HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS LP Form 10-Q August 01, 2008

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549 FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

| | OR | | |
|--|---|---------------|------------------|
| o TRANSITION REPORT PUR OF 1934 | SUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF TH | E SECURIT | IES ACT |
| For the transition period fromt | | | |
| | nission File Number: 1-32225 Y ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P. | | |
| (Exact name | of registrant as specified in its charter) | | |
| Delaware | 20-08330 | 98 | |
| (State or other jurisdiction of | (I.R.S. Emp | loyer | |
| incorporation or organization) | Identification | ı No.) | |
| | Crescent Court, Suite 1600 | | |
| | Dallas, Texas 75201-6915 | | |
| (Addres | ss of principal executive offices) | | |
| | (214) 871-3555 | | |
| (Registrant s | telephone number, including area code) | | |
| | None | | |
| | ss and former fiscal year, if changed since last | | |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | |
| Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the pre- | | • | ant was |
| required to file such reports), and (2) has been | subject to such filing requirements for the past | - | |
| | | Yes þ | No o |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrant | | | |
| or a smaller reporting company. See the defin company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. | | ed filer and | smaller reportin |
| ge accelerated filer o Accelerated filer þ (I | Non-accelerated filer o Do not check if a smaller reporting company) | Smaller repo | orting company o |
| Indicate by check mark whether the registrant i | s a shell company (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of | f the Exchang | e Act). |
| | | Yes o | No þ |
| | nmon units at July 25, 2008 was 8,390,000. | | |

$\begin{array}{c} \text{HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.} \\ \text{INDEX} \end{array}$

| PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION | 3 |
|--|----|
| FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS | 3 |
| Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited, except December 31, 2007 Balance Sheet) | 4 |
| Consolidated Balance Sheets | 4 |
| Consolidated Statements of Income | 5 |
| Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows | 6 |
| Consolidated Statement of Partners Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Income | 7 |
| Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements | 8 |
| Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 26 |
| Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks | 42 |
| Item 4. Controls and Procedures | 42 |
| PART II. OTHER INFORMATION | 43 |
| Item 1. Legal Proceedings | 43 |
| Item 6. Exhibits | 43 |
| SIGNATURES Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 302 Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 302 Certification of CEO Pursuant to Section 906 Certification of CFO Pursuant to Section 906 - 2 - | 44 |
| | |

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the federal securities laws. All statements, other than statements of historical fact included in this Form 10-Q, including, but not limited to, those under Results of Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources in Item 2 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in Part I are forward-looking statements. These statements are based on management s beliefs and assumptions using currently available information and expectations as of the date hereof, are not guarantees of future performance, and involve certain risks and uncertainties. Although we believe that the expectations reflected in these forward-looking statements are reasonable, we cannot assure you that our expectations will prove correct. Therefore, actual outcomes and results could differ materially from what is expressed, implied or forecast in these statements. Any differences could be caused by a number of factors, including, but not limited to:

Risks and uncertainties with respect to the actual quantities of petroleum products and crude oil shipped on our pipelines and/or terminalled in our terminals;

The economic viability of Holly Corporation, Alon USA, Inc. and our other customers;

The demand for refined petroleum products and crude oil in markets we serve;

Our ability to successfully purchase and integrate additional operations in the future;

Our ability to complete previously announced pending or contemplated acquisitions;

The availability and cost of our financing;

The possibility of reductions in production or shutdowns at refineries utilizing our pipeline and terminal facilities;

The effects of current and future government regulations and policies;

Our operational efficiency in carrying out routine operations and capital construction projects;

The possibility of terrorist attacks and the consequences of any such attacks;

General economic conditions; and

Other financial, operations and legal risks and uncertainties detailed from time to time in our Securities and Exchange Commission filings.

Cautionary statements identifying important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from our expectations are set forth in this Form 10-Q, including without limitation, in conjunction with the forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q that are referred to above. When considering forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind the risk factors and other cautionary statements set forth in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007 in Risk Factors, and in this Form 10-Q in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations . All forward-looking statements included in this Form 10-Q and all subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements attributable to us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by these cautionary statements. The forward-looking statements speak only as of the date made and, other than as required by law, we undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Item 1. Financial Statements

Holly Energy Partners, L.P. Consolidated Balance Sheets

| | (U | June 30, 2008 naudited) | | ecember 31, 2007 |
|---|----|-------------------------------|----------|------------------|
| ASSETS | (| In thousands | , except | unit data) |
| Current assets: | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ | 6,371 | \$ | 10,321 |
| Accounts receivable: | | | | |
| Trade | | 7,331 | | 6,611 |
| Affiliates | | 8,592 | | 5,700 |
| | | 15,923 | | 12,311 |
| Prepaid and other current assets | | 683 | | 546 |
| Total current assets | | 22,977 | | 23,178 |
| Properties and equipment, net | | 279,016 | | 158,600 |
| Transportation agreements, net | | 123,674 | | 54,273 |
| Other assets | | 6,263 | | 2,853 |
| Total assets | \$ | 431,930 | \$ | 238,904 |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS EQUITY | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | 4,479 | \$ | 3,011 |
| Accounts payable affiliates | | 1,792 | | 6,021 |
| Accrued interest | | 2,899 | | 2,996 |
| Deferred revenue | | 10,481 | | 3,700 |
| Accrued property taxes Other current liabilities | | 841 952 | | 1,177 827 |
| Short-term borrowings under credit agreement | | 20,000 | | 627 |
| Total current liabilities | | 41,444 | | 17,732 |
| Commitments and contingencies | | | | |
| Long-term debt | | 354,113 | | 181,435 |
| Other long-term liabilities | | 390 | | 1,181 |
| Minority interest | | 10,509 | | 10,740 |
| Partners equity (deficit): | | | | |
| Common unitholders (8,390,000 and 8,170,000 units issued and outstanding at | | 4=46=0 | | 456.00= |
| June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively) | | 174,838 | | 172,807 |

| Subordinated unitholders (7,000,000 units issued and outstanding) Class B subordinated unitholders (937,500 units issued and outstanding) General partner interest (2% interest) Accumulated other comprehensive income | (79,630) 22,181 (94,363) 2,448 | (73,725) 22,973 (94,239) |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Total partners equity | 25,474 | 27,816 |
| Total liabilities and partners equity | \$ 431,930 | \$ 238,904 |
| See accompanying notes. | | |

Table of Contents

Holly Energy Partners, L.P. Consolidated Statements of Income (Unaudited)

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------|------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| | (In | thousands, exc | ept per unit dat | a) | |
| Revenues: | | | | | |
| Affiliates | \$ 20,146 | \$ 16,353 | \$ 38,473 | \$ 30,115 | |
| Third parties | 6,629 | 10,778 | 15,578 | 20,888 | |
| | 26,775 | 27,131 | 54,051 | 51,003 | |
| Operating costs and expenses: | | | | | |
| Operations | 9,985 | 8,189 | 19,712 | 15,922 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,062 | 3,208 | 10,375 | 7,279 | |
| General and administrative | 1,359 | 1,284 | 2,645 | 2,556 | |
| | 17,406 | 12,681 | 32,732 | 25,757 | |
| Operating income | 9,369 | 14,450 | 21,319 | 25,246 | |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 28 | 145 | 121 | 330 | |
| Interest expense | (5,233) | (3,371) | (9,040) | (6,729) | |
| Gain on sale of assets | | 1 | 36 | 298 | |
| Minority interest in Rio Grande Pipeline Company | (264) | (154) | (670) | (581) | |
| | (5,469) | (3,379) | (9,553) | (6,682) | |
| Income before income taxes | 3,900 | 11,071 | 11,766 | 18,564 | |
| State income tax | (85) | (65) | (153) | (124) | |
| Net income | 3,815 | 11,006 | 11,613 | 18,440 | |
| Less general partner interest in net income | 800 | 726 | 1,621 | 1,306 | |
| Limited partners interest in net income | \$ 3,015 | \$ 10,280 | \$ 9,992 | \$ 17,134 | |
| Net income per limited partner unit - basic and diluted | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 1.06 | |

8

Weighted average limited partners units outstanding 16,328 16,108 16,254 16,108

See accompanying notes.

- 5 -

Cash and cash equivalents

Holly Energy Partners, L.P. Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

| | Six Months Ended June 2008 200 | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|-----------|--|
| | (In thou | | |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | , | |
| Net income | \$ 11,613 | \$ 18,440 | |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 10,375 | 7,279 | |
| Minority interest in Rio Grande Pipeline Company | 670 | 581 | |
| Amortization of restricted and performance units | 548 | 763 | |
| Gain on sale of assets | (36) | (298) | |
| (Increase) decrease in current assets: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | (720) | 2,764 | |
| Accounts receivable affiliates | (2,892) | (373) | |
| Prepaid and other current assets | (137) | 274 | |
| Increase (decrease) in current liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts payable | 1,468 | (1,909) | |
| Accounts payable affiliates | (4,229) | | |
| Accrued interest | (97) | (9) | |
| Deferred revenue | 6,781 | (991) | |
| Accrued property tax | (427) | (254) | |
| Other current liabilities | 216 | (377) | |
| Other, net | 288 | 572 | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 23,421 | 26,462 | |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Additions to properties and equipment | (20,036) | (1,933) | |
| Acquisition of crude pipelines and tankage assets | (171,000) | | |
| Proceeds from sale of assets | 36 | 325 | |
| Net cash used for investing activities | (191,000) | (1,608) | |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Borrowings under credit agreement | 191,000 | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of common units | 104 | | |
| Distributions to partners | (25,656) | (23,382) | |
| Cash distributions to minority interest | (900) | (540) | |
| Cash contribution from general partner | 186 | | |
| Purchase of units for restricted grants | (514) | (908) | |
| Deferred financing costs | (591) | | |
| Other | | (16) | |
| Net cash provided by (used for) financing activities | 163,629 | (24,846) | |
| | | | |

| Decrease for period Beginning of period | | (3,950) 10,321 | 8 11,555 |
|---|-------|-------------------|--------------|
| End of period | | \$ 6,371 | \$ 11,563 |
| See accompanying notes. | - 6 - | | |

Table of Contents

Holly Energy Partners, L.P.
Consolidated Statement of Partners Equity (Deficit) and Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

| | Common Units | Sub | ordinated Units | Sub | Class B ordinated Units (In thous | General Partner Interest ands) | Comp | imulated Other orehensive ncome | Total |
|---|-------------------|-----|--------------------|-------|--|---|------|--|--------------------|
| Balance December 31, 2007 | \$ 172,807 | \$ | (73,725) | \$ | 22,973 | \$ (94,239) | \$ | | \$ 27,816 |
| Net income Change in fair value of | 5,101 | | 4,313 | | 578 | 1,621 | | | 11,613 |
| cash flow hedge | | | | | | | | 2,448 | 2,448 |
| Comprehensive income Distributions to partners Issuance of common | 5,101 (12,137) | | 4,313 (10,218) | | 578 (1,370) | 1,621 (1,931) | | 2,448 | 14,061 (25,656) |
| units Cost of issuing common | 9,104 | | | | | | | | 9,104 |
| units Capital contribution Purchase of units for | (71) | | | | | 186 | | | (71) 186 |
| restricted grants Amortization of restricted and | (514) | | | | | | | | (514) |
| performance units | 548 | | | | | | | | 548 |
| Balance June 30, 2008 | \$ 174,838 | \$ | (79,630) | \$ | 22,181 | \$ (94,363) | \$ | 2,448 | \$ 25,474 |
| See accompanying notes. | | | - | - 7 - | | | | | |

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)

Note 1: Description of Business and Presentation of Financial Statements

Holly Energy Partners, L.P. (HEP) together with its consolidated subsidiaries, is a publicly held master limited partnership, currently 46% owned by Holly Corporation and its subsidiaries (collectively Holly). HEP commenced operations July 13, 2004 upon the completion of its initial public offering. In this document, the words we, our, ours and us refer to HEP unless the context otherwise indicates.

Holly recognizes us as a variable interest entity. Our purchase of Holly's crude pipelines and tankage assets on February 29, 2008 qualified as a reconsideration event whereby Holly reassessed their beneficial interest in us and determined that their beneficial interest in us exceeds 50%. Accordingly, Holly reconsolidated us effective March 1, 2008.

We operate in one business segment the operation of petroleum product and crude oil pipelines, tankage and terminal facilities.

One of Holly s wholly-owned subsidiaries owns a refinery in Artesia, New Mexico, which Holly operates in conjunction with crude, vacuum distillation and other facilities situated in Lovington, New Mexico (collectively, the Navajo Refinery). The Navajo Refinery produces high-value refined products such as gasoline, diesel fuel and jet fuel and serves markets in the southwestern United States and northern Mexico. We own and operate the two parallel intermediate feedstock pipelines (the Intermediate Pipelines), which connect the New Mexico refining facilities. Our refined product pipelines serve as part of the product distribution network that services the Navajo Refinery. Our terminal operations serving the Navajo Refinery include a truck rack at the Navajo Refinery and four integrated refined product terminals located in New Mexico, Texas and Arizona. On February 29, 2008, we acquired pipeline and tankage assets from Holly (the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets) that also service the Navajo Refinery. See Note 2 for a further description of these assets.

Another of Holly s wholly-owned subsidiaries owns a refinery located near Salt Lake City, Utah (the Woods Cross Refinery). Our operations serving the Woods Cross Refinery include a truck rack at the refinery, a refined product terminal in Spokane, Washington and a 50% non-operating interest in product terminals in Boise and Burley, Idaho. See Note 2 for a description of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets that also service the Woods Cross Refinery. We also own and operate refined products pipelines and terminals, located primarily in Texas, that service Alon USA, Inc. s refinery in Big Spring, Texas.

Additionally, we own a refined product terminal in Mountain Home, Idaho, and a 70% interest in Rio Grande Pipeline Company (Rio Grande), which provides transportation of liquid petroleum gases to northern Mexico. The consolidated financial statements for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 included herein have been prepared without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC). The interim financial statements reflect all adjustments, that, in the opinion of management, are necessary for a fair presentation of our results for the interim periods. Such adjustments are considered to be of a normal recurring nature. Although certain notes and other information required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America have been condensed or omitted, we believe that the disclosures in these consolidated financial statements are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Results of operations for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations that will be realized for the year ending December 31, 2008.

We have reclassified state income taxes for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 to conform to our current presentation at June 30, 2008. State income taxes were previously classified as operations

- 8 -

Table of Contents

and general and administrative expenses in our consolidated statement of income for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157 Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard simplifies and codifies guidance on fair value measurements under generally accepted accounting principles. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and prescribes expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. It also establishes a fair value hierarchy that categorizes inputs used in fair value measurements into three broad levels. Under this hierarchy, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities are considered the most reliable evidence of fair value and are given the highest priority level (level 1). Unobservable inputs are considered the least reliable and are given the lowest priority level (level 3). We adopted this standard effective January 1, 2008.

We have interest rate swaps that we measure at fair value on a recurring basis using level 2 inputs. See Note 5 for additional information on these swaps.

EITF No. 07-04 Application of the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128, Earnings per Share, to Master Limited Partnerships

In March 2008, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 07-04, Application of the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128 to Master Limited Partnerships (MLP s). This standard provides guidance in the application of the two-class method in computing earnings per unit to reflect an MLP s contractual obligation to make distributions to the general partner, limited partners, and incentive distribution rights holder. EITF No. 07-04 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We will adopt this standard effective January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of this standard on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. EITF 03-6-1 Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Transactions Are Participating Securities

In June 2006, the FASB issued FSP No. 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Transactions Are Participating Securities. This standard provides guidance in determining whether unvested instruments granted under share-based payment transactions are participating securities and, therefore, should be included in earnings per share calculations under the two-class method provided under FASB No. 128, Earnings per Share. FSP No. 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We will adopt this standard effective January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of this standard on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

Note 2: Acquisition

On February 29, 2008, we acquired the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets from Holly for \$180.0 million that consist of crude oil trunk lines that deliver crude oil to Holly s Navajo Refinery in southeast New Mexico, gathering and connection pipelines located in west Texas and New Mexico, on-site crude tankage located within the Navajo and Woods Cross refinery complexes, a jet fuel products pipeline and leased terminal between Artesia and Roswell, New Mexico, and crude oil and product pipelines that support Holly s Woods Cross Refinery. The consideration paid consisted of \$171.0 million in cash and 217,497 of our common units having a fair value of \$9.0 million. We financed the \$171.0 million cash portion of the consideration through borrowings under our senior secured revolving credit agreement expiring August 2011.

In connection with this transaction, we entered into a 15-year crude pipelines and tankage agreement with Holly (the Holly CPTA). Under this agreement, Holly agreed to transport and store volumes of crude oil on the crude pipelines and tankage facilities that at the agreed rates will initially result in minimum annual revenues to us of \$25.3 million. The agreed upon tariffs on the crude pipelines will be adjusted each year at a rate equal to the percentage change in the producer price index (PPI) but will

Table of Contents

not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI. Additionally, Holly amended our omnibus agreement (the Omnibus Agreement) to provide \$7.5 million of indemnification for environmental noncompliance and remediation liabilities associated with the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets that occurred or existed prior to our acquisition for a period of up to fifteen years.

The consideration paid for the Crude Pipeline and Tankage Assets was allocated to the individual assets acquired based on management s preliminary fair value estimates. In accounting for this acquisition, we recorded pipeline and terminal assets of \$108.0 million and an intangible asset of \$72.0 million, representing the allocated value of the Holly CPTA. This intangible asset is included in Transportation agreements, net in our consolidated balance sheets.

Note 3: Properties and Equipment

| | | December | | | |
|-------------------------------|------------|----------|---------|--|--|
| | June 30, | | 31, | | |
| | 2008 | | 2007 | | |
| | (In th | ousan | ands) | | |
| Pipelines and terminals | \$ 305,833 | \$ | 196,800 | | |
| Land and right of way | 24,319 | | 22,825 | | |
| Other | 6,562 | | 5,706 | | |
| Construction in progress | 26,044 | | 9,103 | | |
| | 362,758 | | 234,434 | | |
| Less accumulated depreciation | 83,742 | | 75,834 | | |
| | \$ 279,016 | \$ | 158,600 | | |

During the six months ended June 30, 2008 we capitalized \$0.3 million in interest related to major construction projects. We did not capitalize any interest during six months ended June 30, 2007.

Note 4: Transportation Agreements

Our recorded transportation agreements consist of the following:

The transportation agreement with Alon USA, Inc (Alon) represents a portion of the total purchase price of assets purchased from Alon in 2005 that was allocated based on an estimated fair value derived under the income approach. This asset is being amortized over 30 years ending 2035, the 15-year initial term of the pipelines and terminals agreement with Alon plus the expected 15-year extension period.

The Holly crude pipelines and tankage agreement represents a portion of the total purchase price of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets that was allocated based on management s preliminary estimate of its fair value. This asset is being amortized over 15 years ending 2023, the 15-year term of the Holly CPTA. The carrying amounts of our transportation agreements are as follows:

| | | De | ecember |
|---|------------|---------|---------|
| | June 30, | 31, | |
| | 2008 | | 2007 |
| | (In th | housand | .s) |
| Alon transportation agreement | \$ 59,933 | \$ | 59,933 |
| Holly crude pipelines and tankage agreement | 72,000 | | |
| | 131,933 | | 59,933 |
| Less accumulated amortization | 8,259 | | 5,660 |
| | \$ 123,674 | \$ | 54,273 |

Table of Contents

Note 5: Debt

Credit Agreement

In February 2008, we amended our \$100 million senior secured revolving credit agreement expiring in August 2011 to increase the size from \$100 million to \$300 million (the Credit Agreement), which we used to finance the \$171.0 million cash portion of the consideration paid for the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets acquired from Holly. Union Bank of California, N.A. is one of the lenders and serves as administrative agent under this agreement. As of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had \$191.0 million and zero, respectively, outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement is available to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions, and working capital and for general partnership purposes. Advances under the Credit Agreement that are either designated for working capital or have been used as interim financing to fund capital expenditures are classified as short-term liabilities. Other advances under the Credit Agreement are classified as long-term liabilities. In addition, the Credit Agreement is available to fund letters of credit up to a \$50.0 million sub-limit and to fund distributions to unitholders up to a \$20.0 million sub-limit. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we received advances totaling \$20.0 million under the Credit Agreement that were used to fund capital expenditures.

We have the right to request an increase in the maximum amount of the Credit Agreement, up to \$370.0 million. Such request will become effective if (a) certain conditions specified in the Credit Agreement are met and (b) existing lenders under the Credit Agreement or other financial institutions reasonably acceptable to the administrative agent commit to lend such increased amounts under the agreement.

Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of our assets. Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., our general partner, and guaranteed by our wholly-owned subsidiaries.

We may prepay all loans at any time without penalty, except for payment of certain breakage and related costs. We are required to reduce all working capital borrowings under the Credit Agreement to zero for a period of at least 15 consecutive days once each twelve-month period prior to the maturity date of the agreement.

Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement bears interest, at our option, at either (a) the reference rate as announced by the administrative agent plus an applicable margin (ranging from 0.25% to 1.50%) or (b) at a rate equal to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 1.00% to 2.50%). In each case, the applicable margin is based upon the ratio of our funded debt (as defined in the agreement) to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the Credit Agreement). We incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Credit Agreement at a rate ranging from 0.20% to 0.50% based upon the ratio of our funded debt to EBITDA for the four most recently completed fiscal quarters. At June 30, 2008, we are subject to the 0.30% rate on the \$109.0 million of the unused commitment on the Credit Agreement. The agreement matures in August 2011. At that time, the agreement will terminate and all outstanding amounts thereunder will be due and payable.

The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements, including: a prohibition against distribution to unitholders if, before or after the distribution, a potential default or an event of default as defined in the agreement would occur; limitations on our ability to incur debt, make loans, acquire other companies, change the nature of our business, enter a merger or consolidation, or sell assets; and covenants that require maintenance of a specified EBITDA to interest expense ratio and debt to EBITDA ratio. If an event of default exists under the agreement, the lenders will be able to accelerate the maturity of the debt and exercise other rights and remedies.

Senior Notes Due 2015

Our senior notes maturing March 1, 2015 are registered with the SEC and bear interest at 6.25% (the Senior Notes). The Senior Notes are unsecured and impose certain restrictive covenants, including

- 11 -

Table of Contents

limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, make investments, sell assets, incur certain liens, pay distributions, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers. At any time when the Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody s and Standard & Poor s and no default or event of default exists, we will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants. Additionally, we have certain redemption rights under the Senior Notes. The carrying amounts of our long-term debt are as follows:

| | June 30, 2008 | D | ecember 31, 2007 |
|--|------------------|--------|------------------------|
| | (In th | nousan | ds) |
| Credit Agreement | \$ 191,000 | \$ | |
| Senior Notes | | | |
| Principal | 185,000 | | 185,000 |
| Unamortized discount | (2,534) | | (2,724) |
| Fair value hedge interest rate swap | 647 | | (841) |
| | 183,113 | | 181,435 |
| Total Debt | 374,113 | | 181,435 |
| Less short-term borrowing under credit agreement | 20,000 | | , |
| | | | |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 354,113 | \$ | 181,435 |

Interest Rate Risk Management

As of June 30, 2008, we have two interest rate swap contracts.

We entered into an interest rate swap to hedge our exposure to the cash flow risk caused by the effects of LIBOR changes on the \$171.0 million Credit Agreement advance that we used to finance our purchase of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets from Holly. This interest rate swap effectively converts our \$171.0 million LIBOR based debt to fixed rate debt having an interest rate of 3.74% plus an applicable margin, currently 1.75%, that results in a June 30, 2008 effective interest rate of 5.49%. The maturity of this swap contract is February 28, 2013. We intend to renew our Credit Agreement prior to its expiration in August 2011 and continue to finance the \$171.0 million balance until the swap matures.

Under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, we have designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge. Based on our assessment of effectiveness using the change in variable cash flows method, we have determined that this interest rate swap is effective in offsetting the variability in interest payments on our \$171.0 million variable rate debt resulting from changes in LIBOR. Under hedge accounting, we adjust our cash flow hedge on a quarterly basis to its fair value with a corresponding offset to accumulated other comprehensive income. Also on a quarterly basis, we measure hedge effectiveness by comparing the present value of the cumulative change in the expected future interest to be paid or received on the variable leg of our swap against the expected future interest payments on our \$171.0 million variable rate debt. Any ineffectiveness is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. As of June 30, 2008, we had no ineffectiveness on our cash flow hedge.

We also have an interest rate swap contract that effectively converts interest expense associated with \$60.0 million of our 6.25% Senior Notes from a fixed to a variable rate. Under this swap contract, interest on the \$60.0 million notional amount is computed using the three-month LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.1575%, which equaled an effective interest rate of 3.84% at June 30, 2008. The maturity of the swap contract is March 1, 2015, matching the maturity of the Senior Notes.

This interest rate swap has been designated as a fair value hedge and meets the requirements to assume no ineffectiveness under the provisions of SFAS No. 133. Accordingly, we use the shortcut method of accounting as prescribed under SFAS No. 133. Under this method, we adjust the carrying value of the swap to its fair value on a quarterly basis, with an offsetting entry to our Senior Notes, effectively adjusting the carrying value of \$60.0 million of principal on the Senior Notes to its fair value.

- 12 -

Table of Contents

We record interest expense equal to the variable rate payments under the swaps. Receipts under the swap agreements are recorded as a reduction of interest expense.

Additional information on our interest rate swaps are as follows:

| Interest Rate Swaps Cash flow hedge - \$171 million LIBOR based debt | Balance Sheet Location Other assets | Fair Value (In thousands) \$2,448 | | |
|--|---|---|---------------------------------|------------------------|
| Fair value hedge - \$60 million of 6.25% Senior Notes Other Debt Information | Other assets | \$ 647 | Long-term | debt |
| | | | Jun | chs Ended e 30, |
| | | | 2008 (In the | 2007 usands) |
| Interest on outstanding debt: Senior Notes, net of interest rate swap Credit Agreement, net of interest rate swa Amortization of discount and deferred iss Commitment fees | • | | \$ 5,353 3,058 480 149 | \$ 5,874 607 248 |
| Net interest expense | | | \$ 9,040 | \$ 6,729 |
| Cash paid for interest ⁽¹⁾ | | | \$ 6,200 | \$ 6,259 |
| | | | | |

(1) Net of cash received under our interest rate swap agreement of \$2.1 million and \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The estimated fair value of our Senior Notes was \$167.4 million at June 30, 2008.

Note 6: Employees, Retirement and Benefit Plans

Employees who provide direct services to us are employed by Holly Logistic Services, L.L.C., a Holly subsidiary. Their costs, including salaries, bonuses, payroll taxes, benefits, and other direct costs, are charged to us monthly under certain provisions of the Omnibus Agreement that we entered into with Holly in July 2004. These employees participate in the retirement and benefit plans of Holly. Our share of retirement and benefits costs was \$0.6 million and \$0.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$1.0 million and \$0.8 million

for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We have adopted a Long-Term Incentive Plan for employees, consultants and non-employee directors who perform services for us. The Long-Term Incentive Plan consists of four components: restricted units, performance units, unit options and unit appreciation rights.

On June 30, 2008, we had two types of equity-based compensation, which are described below. The compensation cost charged against income for these plans was \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007 and \$0.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007. It is currently our policy to purchase units in the open market instead of issuing new units for settlement of restricted unit grants. At June 30, 2008, 350,000 units were authorized to be granted under the equity-based compensation plans, of which 240,174 had not yet been granted.

- 13 -

Restricted Units

Under our Long-Term Incentive Plan, we grant restricted units to selected employees and directors who perform services for us, with vesting generally over a period of one to five years. Certain restricted units granted to our directors vest quarterly. Although full ownership of the units does not transfer to the recipients until the units vest, the recipients have distribution and voting rights on these units from the date of grant. The vesting for certain key executives is contingent upon certain earnings per unit targets being realized. The fair value of each unit of restricted unit awards was measured at the market price as of the date of grant and is being amortized over the vesting period, including the units issued to the key executives, as we expect those units to fully vest.

A summary of restricted unit activity and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2008, is presented below:

| | | Av Grai | ighted- erage nt-Date | Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual | In | gregate trinsic Value |
|--|----------|------------|-----------------------------|--|----|-----------------------------|
| Restricted Units | Grants | Fair | r Value | Term | (| \$000) |
| Outstanding January 1, 2008 (not vested) | 44,711 | \$ | 44.77 | | | |
| Granted | 18,902 | | 40.30 | | | |
| Forfeited | (303) | | 44.62 | | | |
| Vesting and transfer of full ownership to recipients | (11,486) | | 43.53 | | | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2008 (not vested) | 51,824 | \$ | 43.42 | 1.1 | \$ | 2,021 |

There were 11,486 restricted units having an intrinsic value of \$0.4 million and a fair value of \$0.5 million that were vested and transferred to recipients during the six months ended June 30, 2008. As of June 30, 2008, there was \$0.9 million of total unrecognized compensation costs related to nonvested restricted unit grants. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.1 years.

Performance Units

Under our Long-Term Incentive Plan, we grant performance units to selected executives and employees who perform services for us. These performance units are payable upon meeting the performance criteria over a service period, and generally vest over a period of three years. The amount payable under the initial performance grant of 1,514 units in 2005 is based upon our unit price and upon our total unitholder return during the requisite period as compared to the total unitholder return of a selected peer group of partnerships. The amount payable under all other performance unit grants is based upon the growth in distributions per limited partner unit during the requisite period.

We granted 14,337 performance units to certain officers in March 2008. These units will vest over a three-year performance period ending December 31, 2010, and are payable in HEP common units. The number of units actually earned will be based on the growth of distributions to limited partners over the performance period, and can range from 50% to 150% of the number of performance units issued. The fair value of these performance units is based on the grant date closing unit price of \$40.54 and will apply to the number of units ultimately awarded.

A summary of performance units activity and changes during the six months ended June 30, 2008 is presented below:

| | Payable |
|--|----------|
| Performance Units | In Units |
| Outstanding at January 1, 2008 (not vested) | 24,148 |
| Granted | 14,337 |
| Forfeited | |
| Vesting and transfer of full ownership to recipients | (1,514) |
| | |
| Outstanding at June 30, 2008 (not vested) | 36,971 |

Table of Contents

There were 1,514 performance units having an intrinsic value of \$0.1 million and a fair value of \$0.1 million that were vested and transferred to recipients during the six months ended June 30, 2008. Based on the weighted average fair value at June 30, 2008 of \$42.10 there was \$1.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested performance units. That cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 1.5 years.

Note 7: Significant Customers

All revenues are domestic revenues, of which over 90% are currently generated from our three largest customers: Holly, Alon and BP Plc (BP). The major concentration of our petroleum products pipeline system s revenue is derived from activities conducted in the southwest United States. The following table presents the percentage of total revenues generated by each of these three customers:

| | Three Mor June | | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|-------|-------------------|------|------------------------------|------|--|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| Holly | 75% | 60% | 71% | 59% | |
| Alon | 12% | 29% | 16% | 28% | |
| BP | 8% | 7% | 9% | 10% | |

Note 8: Related Party Transactions

As of June 30, 2008, we serve Holly s refineries in New Mexico and Utah under three 15-year pipeline and terminal agreements.

In connection with our purchase of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets from Holly on February 29, 2008, we entered into the 15-year Holly CPTA. Under the Holly CPTA, Holly agreed to transport and store volumes of crude oil on the crude pipelines and tankage facilities that at the agreed rates will initially result in minimum annual revenues to us of \$25.3 million. The agreed upon tariffs on the crude pipelines will be adjusted each year at a rate equal to the percentage change in the PPI but will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI. Additionally, Holly amended the Omnibus Agreement to provide \$7.5 million of indemnification for environmental noncompliance and remediation liabilities associated with the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets that occurred or existed prior to our acquisition for a period of up to fifteen years.

We also have an agreement that relates to the pipelines and terminals contributed by Holly to us at the time of our initial public offering and expires in 2019 (the Holly PTA). Our third agreement with Holly relates to the Intermediate Pipelines acquired from Holly in July 2005 and expires in 2020 (the Holly IPA). The substantial majority of our business is devoted to providing transportation and terminalling services to Holly. The minimum revenue commitments under the Holly PTA and the Holly IPA increase each year at a rate equal to the percentage change in PPI, but will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI.

Following the July 1, 2008 PPI rate adjustment, the volume commitment by Holly under the Holly PTA will produce at least \$41.2 million of revenue for the twelve months ending June 30, 2009. Under the Holly IPA, Holly agreed to transport volumes of intermediate products on the Intermediate Pipelines that following the July 1, 2008 PPI rate adjustment, will result in minimum funds to us of \$13.3 million for the twelve months ending June 30, 2009. Under the Holly PTA and Holly IPA, if Holly fails to meet its minimum volume commitments in any quarter, it is required to pay us in cash the amount of any shortfall by the last day of the month following the end of the quarter. A shortfall payment may be applied as a credit in the following four quarters after Holly s minimum obligations are met.

Table of Contents

In October 2007, we entered into an agreement with Holly that amends the Holly PTA under which we have agreed to expand our refined products pipeline system between Artesia, New Mexico and El Paso, Texas (the South System). The expansion of the South System will include replacing 85 miles of 8-inch pipe with 12-inch pipe, adding 150,000 barrels of refined product storage at our El Paso Terminal, improving existing pumps, adding a tie-in to the Kinder Morgan pipeline to Tucson and Phoenix, Arizona, and making related modifications. The cost of this project is estimated to be \$48.3 million. Currently, we are expecting to complete this project by January 2009 Under certain provisions of the Omnibus Agreement that we entered with Holly in July 2004 and that expires in 2019, we pay Holly an annual administrative fee for the provision by Holly or its affiliates of various general and administrative services to us. Effective March 1, 2008, the annual fee was increased from \$2.1 million to \$2.3 million to cover additional general and administrative services attributable to the operations of our Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets. This fee does not include the salaries of pipeline and terminal personnel or the cost of their employee benefits, such as 401(k), pension and health insurance benefits, which are separately charged to us by Holly. We also reimburse Holly and its affiliates for direct expenses they incur on our behalf.

In consideration for Holly s assistance in obtaining our joint venture opportunity in a new 95-mile intrastate pipeline system (the SLC Pipeline) now under construction by Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. (Plains), we will pay Holly a \$2.5 million finder s fee upon the closing of our investment in the joint venture with Plains. See Note 11 for further information on this proposed joint venture.

Pipeline, terminal and tankage revenues received from Holly were \$20.1 million and \$16.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$38.5 million and \$30.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. These amounts include the revenues received under the Holly PTA, Holly IPA and Holly CPTA.

Holly charged general and administrative services under the Omnibus Agreement of \$0.6 million and \$0.5 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$1.1 million and \$1.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We reimbursed Holly for costs of employees supporting our operations of \$3.5 million and \$2.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$6.1 million and \$4.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Holly reimbursed us zero and \$24,000 for certain costs paid on their behalf for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and zero and \$98,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We distributed \$6.4 million and \$5.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, to Holly as regular distributions on its subordinated units, common units and general partner interest. We distributed \$12.4 million and \$11.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Our accounts receivable from Holly were \$8.6 million and \$5.7 million at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

Holly failed to meet its minimum volume commitment for each of the twelve quarters since inception of the Holly IPA. We have charged Holly \$5.7 million for these shortfalls to date, \$0.9 million and zero of which is included in affiliate accounts receivable at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, our revenues from Holly included \$0.2 million and \$0.7 million, respectively, of shortfalls billed under the Holly IPA in 2007 as Holly did not exceed its minimum volume commitment in any of the subsequent four quarters. Deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, includes \$1.6 million and \$1.1 million, respectively, relating to the

Holly IPA. It is possible that Holly may not exceed its

- 16 -

Table of Contents

minimum obligations under the Holly IPA to allow Holly to receive credit for any of the \$1.6 million deferred at June 30, 2008.

BP

We have a 70% ownership interest in Rio Grande and BP owns the other 30%. Due to the ownership interest and resulting consolidation, BP is a related party to us.

BP s agreement to ship on the Rio Grande pipeline expired on March 31, 2008. Rio Grande is currently serving multiple shippers on the pipeline. We recorded revenues from them of \$2.2 million and \$1.8 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$4.9 million and \$4.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Rio Grande paid distributions to BP of \$0.9 million and \$0.5 million for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Included in our accounts receivable trade at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were \$0.5 million and \$1.5 million, respectively, which represented the receivable balance of Rio Grande from BP.

Alon

We have a 15-year pipelines and terminals agreement with Alon (the Alon PTA), expiring in 2020, under which Alon has agreed to transport on our pipelines and throughput through our terminals volumes of refined products that results in a minimum level of annual revenue. The agreed upon tariffs are increased or decreased annually at a rate equal to the percentage change in PPI, but not below the initial tariff rate. Following the March 1, 2008 PPI rate adjustment, Alon s total minimum commitment for the twelve months ending February 28, 2009 is \$22.0 million. Alon became a related party when it acquired all of our Class B subordinated units in connection with our acquisition of assets from them on February 28, 2005.

We recognized \$1.5 million and \$6.2 million of revenues for pipeline transportation and terminalling services under the Alon PTA and \$1.8 million and \$1.8 million under a pipeline capacity lease for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. We recognized \$4.9 million and \$10.7 million of revenues for pipeline transportation and terminal services under the Alon PTA and \$3.6 million and \$3.5 million under a pipeline capacity lease for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

We paid \$0.7 million to Alon for distributions on our Class B subordinated units for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, and \$1.4 million and \$1.3 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

Included in our accounts receivable trade at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 were \$6.1 million and \$3.5 million, respectively, which represented the receivable balance from Alon.

For the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, our revenues from Alon included \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million, respectively, of shortfalls billed under the Alon PTA in 2007 as Alon did not exceed its minimum revenue obligation in any of the subsequent four quarters. Deferred revenue in the consolidated balance sheets at June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007 includes \$8.9 million and \$2.6 million, respectively, relating to the Alon PTA. It is possible that Alon may not exceed its minimum obligations under the Alon PTA to allow Alon to receive credit for any of the \$8.9 million deferred at June 30, 2008.

- 17 -

Table of Contents

Note 9: Partners Equity, Allocations and Cash Distributions

Issuances of units

As partial consideration for our purchase of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets, we issued 217,497 of our common units having a fair value of \$9.0 million to Holly. Additionally, Holly purchased an additional 2,503 of our common units for \$0.1 million and HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., our general partner, contributed \$0.2 million as an additional capital contribution in order to maintain its 2% general partner interest.

Holly currently holds 7,000,000 of our subordinated units and 290,000 of our common units, which constitutes a 46% ownership interest in us, including the 2% general partner interest.

The Holly-owned subordinated units have the right to receive distributions of available cash from operating surplus in an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution of \$0.50 per quarter, plus any arrearages in the payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units from prior quarters, before any distributions of available cash from operating surplus may be made on the subordinated units. The purpose of the subordinated units is to increase the likelihood that cash is available for common unit distributions during the subordination period. The subordination period will extend until the first day of any quarter beginning after June 30, 2009 that each of the following tests are met: distributions of available cash from operating surplus on each of the outstanding common units and subordinated units equaled or exceeded the minimum quarterly distribution for each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date; the adjusted operating surplus (as defined in its partnership agreement) generated during each of the three consecutive, non-overlapping four-quarter periods immediately preceding that date equaled or exceeded the sum of the minimum quarterly distributions on all of the outstanding common units and subordinated units during those periods on a fully diluted basis and the related distribution on the 2% general partner interest during those periods; and there are no arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units. If the unitholders remove the general partner without cause, the subordination period may end before June 30, 2009. The Holly-owned subordinated units may convert to common units on a one-for-one basis when certain conditions are met. The partnership agreement sets forth the calculation to be used to determine the amount and priority of cash distributions that the common unitholders, subordinated unitholders and general partner will receive.

Under our registration statement filed with the SEC using a shelf registration process, we may offer from time to time up to \$800.0 million of our securities through one or more prospectus supplements that would describe, among other things, the specific amounts, prices and terms of any securities offered and how the proceeds would be used. Any proceeds from the sale of securities would be used for general business purposes, which may include, among other things, funding acquisitions of assets or businesses, working capital, capital expenditures, investments in subsidiaries, the retirement of existing debt and/or the repurchase of common units or other securities.

Allocations of Net Income

Net income is allocated between limited partners and the general partner interest in accordance with the provisions of the partnership agreement. Net income allocated to the general partner includes any incentive distributions declared in the period. After the amount of incentive distributions is allocated to the general partner, the remaining net income for the period is generally allocated to the partners based on their weighted average ownership percentage during the period.

Cash Distributions

We consider regular cash distributions to unitholders on a quarterly basis, although there is no assurance as to the future cash distributions since they are dependent upon future earnings, cash flows, capital requirements, financial condition and other factors. Our Credit Agreement prohibits us from making cash

- 18 -

Table of Contents

distributions if any potential default or event of default, as defined in the Credit Agreement, occurs or would result from the cash distribution.

Within 45 days after the end of each quarter, we will distribute all of our available cash (as defined in our partnership agreement) to unitholders of record on the applicable record date. The amount of available cash generally is all cash on hand at the end of the quarter; less the amount of cash reserves established by our general partner to provide for the proper conduct of our business, comply with applicable law, any of our debt instruments, or other agreements; or provide funds for distributions to our unitholders and to our general partner for any one or more of the next four quarters; plus all cash on hand on the date of determination of available cash for the quarter resulting from working capital borrowings made after the end of the quarter. Working capital borrowings are generally borrowings that are made under our revolving Credit Agreement and in all cases are used solely for working capital purposes or to pay distributions to partners.

We make distributions of available cash from operating surplus for any quarter during any subordination period in the following manner: firstly, 98% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 2% to the general partner, until we distribute for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; secondly, 98% to the common unitholders, pro rata, and 2% to the general partner, until we distribute for each outstanding common unit an amount equal to any arrearages in payment of the minimum quarterly distribution on the common units for any prior quarters during the subordination period; thirdly, 98% to the subordinated unitholders, pro rata, and 2% to the general partner, until we distribute for each subordinated unit an amount equal to the minimum quarterly distribution for that quarter; and thereafter, cash in excess of the minimum quarterly distributions is distributed to the unitholders and the general partner based on the percentages below.

The general partner, HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., is entitled to incentive distributions if the amount we distribute with respect to any quarter exceeds specified target levels shown below:

| | Marginal Per Interest | O | U |
|--------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|---------|
| | | outions | |
| | | | General |
| | Target Amount | Unitholders | Partner |
| Minimum Quarterly Distribution | \$ 0.50 | 98% | 2% |
| First Target Distribution | Up to \$0.55 | 98% | 2% |
| - | above \$0.55 up to | | |
| Second Target Distribution | \$0.625 | 85% | 15% |
| | above \$0.625 up to | | |
| Third Target distribution | \$0.75 | 75% | 25% |
| Thereafter | Above \$0.75 | 50% | 50% |

The following table presents the allocation of our regular quarterly cash distributions to the general and limited partners for each period in which declared.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | | | \$ | Six Months Ended June 30, | | |
|--|--------------------------------|-----|-------|----------|--------|------------------------------|------|-------|
| | 2 | 008 | 2 | 007 | 2 | 2008 | 2 | 2007 |
| | | (It | thous | ands, ex | cept p | er unit da | ıta) | |
| General partner interest | \$ | 260 | \$ | 227 | \$ | 512 | \$ | 449 |
| General partner incentive distribution | | 738 | | 516 | | 1,417 | | 956 |
| Total general partner distribution | | 998 | | 743 | | 1,929 | | 1,405 |

Edgar Filing: HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

| Limited partner distribution | 12,035 | 11,101 | 23,727 | 21,977 |
|---|-----------|----------|-----------|-----------|
| Total regular quarterly cash distribution | \$ 13,033 | \$11,844 | \$ 25,656 | \$ 23,382 |
| Cash distribution per unit applicable to limited partners | \$ 0.735 | \$ 0.69 | \$ 1.460 | \$ 1.365 |

On July 25, 2008, we announced a cash distribution for the second quarter of 2008 of \$0.745 per unit. The distribution is payable on all common, subordinated, and general partner units and will be paid August 14, 2008 to all unitholders of record on August 5, 2008. The aggregate amount of the distribution will be \$13.2 million, including \$0.8 million paid to the general partner as an incentive distribution.

- 19 -

Table of Contents

As a master limited partnership, we distribute our available cash which has historically exceeded our net income because depreciation and amortization expense represents a non-cash charge against income. The result is a decline in partners—equity since our regular quarterly distributions exceed our quarterly net income.

Note 10: Supplemental Guarantor/Non-Guarantor Financial Information

Obligations of Holly Energy Partners, L.P. (Parent) under the 6.25% Senior Notes have been jointly and severally guaranteed by each of its direct and indirect wholly-owned subsidiaries (Guarantor Subsidiaries). These guarantees are full and unconditional. Rio Grande (Non-Guarantor), in which we have a 70% ownership interest, is the only subsidiary that has not guaranteed these obligations.

The following financial information presents condensed consolidating balance sheets, statements of income, and statements of cash flows of the Parent, the Guarantor Subsidiaries and the Non-Guarantor. The information has been presented as if the Parent accounted for its ownership in the Guarantor Subsidiaries, and the Guarantor Subsidiaries accounted for the ownership of the Non-Guarantor, using the equity method of accounting.

- 20 -

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

| June 30, 2008 | Parent | Guarantor Subsidiaries | | Non- Guarantor (In thousands) | | Eli | Eliminations | | nsolidated |
|--|----------------|---------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|--|-----|--------------|----|------------|
| ASSETS | | | | (| , 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 110 | | | | |
| Current assets: | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2 | \$ | 3,908 | \$ | 2,461 | \$ | | \$ | 6,371 |
| Accounts receivable | | | 15,386 | | 537 | | | | 15,923 |
| Intercompany accounts receivable | (164 515) | | 164 002 | | (470) | | | | |
| (payable) Prepaid and other current assets | (164,515) 6 | | 164,993 677 | | (478) | | | | 683 |
| riepaid and other current assets | O | | 077 | | | | | | 063 |
| Total current assets | (164,507) | | 184,964 | | 2,520 | | | | 22,977 |
| Properties and equipment, net | | | 245,981 | | 33,035 | | | | 279,016 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 374,416 | | 24,522 | | 55,055 | | (398,938) | | 277,010 |
| Transportation agreements, net | 2.1,123 | | 123,674 | | | | (-, -,,) | | 123,674 |
| Other assets | 1,894 | | 4,369 | | | | | | 6,263 |
| | | | • | | | | | | · |
| Total assets | \$ 211,803 | \$ | 583,510 | \$ | 35,555 | \$ | (398,938) | \$ | 431,930 |
| LIABILITIES AND | | | | | | | | | |
| PARTNERS EQUITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ | \$ | 5,928 | \$ | 343 | \$ | | \$ | 6,271 |
| Accrued interest | (2,782) | | 5,681 | | | | | | 2,899 |
| Deferred revenue | | | 10,481 | | | | | | 10,481 |
| Accrued property taxes | | | 744 | | 97 | | | | 841 |
| Other current liabilities | 5,998 | | (5,130) | | 84 | | | | 952 |
| Short-term borrowings under | | | 20,000 | | | | | | 20,000 |
| credit agreement | | | 20,000 | | | | | | 20,000 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,216 | | 37,704 | | 524 | | | | 41,444 |
| Long-term debt | 183,113 | | 171,000 | | | | | | 354,113 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 100,110 | | 390 | | | | | | 390 |
| Minority interest | | | | | | | 10,509 | | 10,509 |
| Partners equity | 25,474 | | 374,416 | | 35,031 | | (409,447) | | 25,474 |
| Total liabilities and partners equity | \$ 211,803 | \$ | 583,510 | \$ | 35,555 | \$ | (398,938) | \$ | 431,930 |
| Condensed Consolidating Balance S | Sheet | | | | | | | | |
| | | C | Suarantor | | Non- | | | | |
| December 31, 2007 | Parent | | ıbsidiaries | | uarantor | | iminations | Co | nsolidated |
| ASSETS | | | | (Ir | n thousands) |) | | | |

| Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable | \$ 2 | 2 \$ | 8,060 10,820 | \$ | 2,259 1,491 | \$ | | \$ | 10,321 12,311 |
|---|------------|------|-----------------|----|----------------|----|-----------|----|------------------|
| Intercompany accounts receivable (payable) | (141,175 | 6) | 141,553 | | (378) | | | | |
| Prepaid and other current assets | 183 | | 363 | | (2,0) | | | | 546 |
| Total current assets | (140,990 |)) | 160,796 | | 3,372 | | | | 23,178 |
| Properties and equipment, net | | | 125,383 | | 33,217 | | | | 158,600 |
| Investment in subsidiaries | 353,235 | i . | 25,059 | | | | (378,294) | | - 1 0 - 0 |
| Transportation agreements, net Other assets | 1 200 | , | 54,273 | | | | | | 54,273 |
| Other assets | 1,302 | 2 | 1,551 | | | | | | 2,853 |
| Total assets | \$ 213,547 | \$ | 367,062 | \$ | 36,589 | \$ | (378,294) | \$ | 238,904 |
| LIABILITIES AND PARTNERS EQUITY | | | | | | | | | |
| Current liabilities: Accounts payable | \$ | \$ | 8,499 | \$ | 533 | \$ | | \$ | 9,032 |
| Accrued interest | (2,932 | | 5,928 | Ψ | 333 | Ψ | | Ψ | 2,996 |
| Deferred revenue | (-,, -, | - / | 3,700 | | | | | | 3,700 |
| Accrued property taxes | | | 1,021 | | 156 | | | | 1,177 |
| Other current liabilities | 6,387 | ' | (5,661) | | 101 | | | | 827 |
| Total current liabilities | 3,455 | ; | 13,487 | | 790 | | | | 17,732 |
| Long-term debt | 181,435 | i | | | | | | | 181,435 |
| Other long-term liabilities | 841 | | 340 | | | | | | 1,181 |
| Minority interest | | | | | | | 10,740 | | 10,740 |
| Partners equity | 27,816 |) | 353,235 | | 35,799 | | (389,034) | | 27,816 |
| Total liabilities and partners equity | \$ 213,547 | \$ | 367,062 | \$ | 36,589 | \$ | (378,294) | \$ | 238,904 |
| | | - | 21 - | | | | | | |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

| Three months ended June 30, 2008 | Parent | iarantor osidiaries | Non- Guarantor (In thousand | | Con | Consolidated | | |
|---|------------------|------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------|-----|-------------------------|--|--|
| Revenues: Affiliates Third parties | \$ | \$ 20,146 4,782 | \$ 2,171 | \$ (324) | \$ | 20,146 6,629 | | |
| | | 24,928 | 2,171 | (324) | | 26,775 | | |
| Operating costs and expenses: Operations Depreciation and amortization General and administrative | 760 | 9,342 5,736 603 | 967 326 (4) | (324) | | 9,985 6,062 1,359 | | |
| | 760 | 15,681 | 1,289 | (324) | | 17,406 | | |
| Operating income (loss) | (760) | 9,247 | 882 | | | 9,369 | | |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries Interest income (expense) | 7,180 (2,605) | 615 (2,613) | 13 | (7,795) | | (5,205) | | |
| Gain on sale of assets Minority interest | | | | (264) | | (264) | | |
| | 4,575 | (1,998) | 13 | (8,059) | | (5,469) | | |
| Income before income taxes | 3,815 | 7,249 | 895 | (8,059) | | 3,900 | | |
| State income tax | | (69) | (16) | | | (85) | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,815 | \$ 7,180 | \$ 879 | \$ (8,059) | \$ | 3,815 | | |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

| Three months ended June 30, 2007 | Parent | iarantor osidiaries | Gu | Non- arantor thousand | inations | Con | solidated |
|--|--------|----------------------------|----|---|-------------|-----|------------------|
| Revenues: Affiliates Third parties | \$ | \$ 16,353 9,258 | \$ | 1,820 | \$ (300) | \$ | 16,353 10,778 |

Edgar Filing: HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

| | | | 25,611 | | 1,820 | | (300) | | 27,131 | |
|--|----------|----|--------------|----|-----------|----|----------|----|----------------|--|
| Operating costs and expenses: Operations | | | 7,552 | | 937 | | (300) | | 8,189 | |
| Depreciation and amortization General and administrative | 739 | | 2,851 530 | | 357 15 | | | | 3,208 1,284 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | 739 | | 10,933 | | 1,309 | | (300) | | 12,681 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating income (loss) | (739) | | 14,678 | | 511 | | | | 14,450 | |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 14,820 | | 356 | | 20 | | (15,176) | | (2.006) | |
| Interest income (expense) Gain on sale of assets | (3,075) | | (181) 1 | | 30 | | | | (3,226) | |
| Minority interest | | | | | | | (154) | | (154) | |
| | 11,745 | | 176 | | 30 | | (15,330) | | (3,379) | |
| | 11,743 | | 170 | | 30 | | (13,330) | | (3,379) | |
| Income before income taxes | 11,006 | | 14,854 | | 541 | | (15,330) | | 11,071 | |
| State income tax | | | (49) | | (16) | | | | (65) | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Net income | \$11,006 | \$ | 14,805 | \$ | 525 | \$ | (15,330) | \$ | 11,006 | |
| | - 22 - | | | | | | | | | |

Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

| Six months ended June 30, 2008 | Parent | iarantor osidiaries | Gu | Non- arantor thousands | ninations | Cor | solidated |
|--|-------------------|--------------------------|----|------------------------------|----------------|-----|---------------------------|
| Revenues: Affiliates Third parties | \$ | \$ 38,473 11,298 | \$ | 4,921 | \$ (641) | \$ | 38,473 15,578 |
| | | 49,771 | | 4,921 | (641) | | 54,051 |
| Operating costs and expenses: Operations Depreciation and amortization General and administrative | 1,502 | 18,315 9,724 1,146 | | 2,038 651 (3) | (641) | | 19,712 10,375 2,645 |
| | 1,502 | 29,185 | | 2,686 | (641) | | 32,732 |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,502) | 20,586 | | 2,235 | | | 21,319 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries Interest income (expense) Gain on sale of assets Minority interest | 18,734 (5,619) | 1,562 (3,332) 36 | | 32 | (20,296) | | (8,919) 36 (670) |
| | 13,115 | (1,734) | | 32 | (20,966) | | (9,553) |
| Income before income taxes | 11,613 | 18,852 | | 2,267 | (20,966) | | 11,766 |
| State income tax | | (118) | | (35) | | | (153) |
| Net income | \$11,613 | \$ 18,734 | \$ | 2,232 | \$ (20,966) | \$ | 11,613 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Income

| Six months ended June 30, 2007 | Parent | iarantor osidiaries | Gu | Non- arantor thousand | ninations | Con | solidated |
|--|--------|----------------------------|----|-----------------------------|---------------|-----|------------------|
| Revenues: Affiliates Third parties | \$ | \$ 30,115 16,635 | \$ | 4,846 | \$ (593) | \$ | 30,115 20,888 |

Edgar Filing: HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS LP - Form 10-Q

| | | | 46,750 | 4,846 | (593) | 51,003 |
|--|-------------------|--------|--------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|
| Operating costs and expenses: Operations Depreciation and amortization General and administrative | 1,505 | | 14,785 6,074 1,035 | 1,730 1,205 16 | (593) | 15,922 7,279 2,556 |
| | 1,505 | | 21,894 | 2,951 | (593) | 25,757 |
| Operating income (loss) | (1,505) | | 24,856 | 1,895 | | 25,246 |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries Interest income (expense) Gain on sale of assets Minority interest | 26,084 (6,139) | | 1,353 (330) 298 | 70 | (27,437) (581) | (6,399) 298 (581) |
| | 19,945 | | 1,321 | 70 | (28,018) | (6,682) |
| Income before income taxes | 18,440 | | 26,177 | 1,965 | (28,018) | 18,564 |
| State income tax | | | (93) | (31) | | (124) |
| Net income | \$ 18,440 | \$ | 26,084 | \$ 1,934 | \$ (28,018) | \$ 18,440 |
| | | - 23 - | - | | | |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

| Six months ended June 30, 2008 | Parent | | Non- Guarantorl (In thousands | | Consolidated |
|--|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| Cash flows from operating activities | \$ 16,984 | \$ 4,866 | \$ 3,671 | \$ (2,100) | \$ 23,421 |
| Cash flows from investing activities Additions to properties and equipment Acquisition of crude pipelines and tankage assets Proceeds from sale of assets | | (19,567) (171,000) 36 | , , | | (20,036) (171,000) 36 |
| | | (190,531) | (469) | | (191,000) |
| Cash flows from financing activities Borrowings under credit agreement Proceeds from issuance of common units Distributions to partners Cash distribution to minority interest Cash contribution from general partner Purchase of units for restricted grants Deferred financing costs | 9,000 (25,656) 186 (514) (16,984) | 182,000 104 (591) 181,513 | (3,000) | 3,000 (900) 2,100 | 191,000 104 (25,656) (900) 186 (514) (591) |
| Cash and cash equivalents Increase (decrease) for the period Beginning of period | 2 | (4,152) 8,060 | 202 2,259 | | (3,950) 10,321 |
| End of period | \$ 2 | \$ 3,908 | \$ 2,461 | \$ | \$ 6,371 |

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

| Six months ended June 30, 2007 | Parent | arantor osidiaries | Gu | Non- arantor thousand | ninations | Con | nsolidated |
|---|-----------|---------------------------|----|-----------------------------|---------------|-----|----------------|
| Cash flows from operating activities | \$ 24,290 | \$ (1,196) | \$ | 4,628 | \$ (1,260) | \$ | 26,462 |
| Cash flows from investing activities Additions to properties and equipment Proceeds from sale of assets | | (1,833) 325 | | (100) | | | (1,933) 325 |
| | | (1,508) | | (100) | | | (1,608) |

| Cash flows from financing activities Distributions to partners | (23 | ,382) | | | | (1,800) | | 1,800 | | (23,382) |
|--|-----|-------|----|---------|----|---------|----|-------|----|----------|
| Cash distributions to minority interest | (- | , , | | | | ()) | | (540) | | (540) |
| Purchase of units for restricted grants | | (908) | | | | | | | | (908) |
| Other | | | | (16) | | | | | | (16) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| | (24 | ,290) | | (16) | | (1,800) | | 1,260 | | (24,846) |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | | | | | | | | | | |
| Increase (decrease) for the period | | | | (2,720) | | 2,728 | | | | 8 |
| Beginning of period | | 2 | | 9,819 | | 1,734 | | | | 11,555 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| End of period | \$ | 2 | \$ | 7,099 | \$ | 4,462 | \$ | | \$ | 11,563 |
| Zild of portod | Ψ | - | Ψ | 7,000 | Ψ | 1,102 | Ψ | | Ψ | 11,505 |
| - 24 - | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |

Table of Contents

Note 11: Proposed Joint Ventures

In November 2007, we executed a definitive agreement with Plains to acquire a 25% joint venture interest in a new 95-mile intrastate pipeline system now under construction by Plains for the shipment of up to 120,000 bpd of crude oil into the Salt Lake City area. Under the agreement, the SLC Pipeline will be owned by a joint venture company that will be owned 75% by Plains and 25% by us. We expect to purchase our 25% interest in the joint venture in late 2008 when the SLC Pipeline is expected to become fully operational. The SLC Pipeline will allow various refiners in the Salt Lake City area, including Holly s Woods Cross refinery, to ship crude oil into the Salt Lake City area from the Utah terminus of the Frontier Pipeline as well as crude oil from Wyoming and Utah that is currently flowing on Plains Rocky Mountain Pipeline. The total cost of our investment in the SLC Pipeline is expected to be \$28 million, including the \$2.5 million finder s fee that is payable to Holly upon the closing of our investment in the SLC Pipeline. On January 31, 2008, we entered into an option agreement with Holly granting us an option to purchase all of Holly s equity interests in a joint venture pipeline currently under construction. The pipeline will be capable of transporting refined petroleum products from Salt Lake City, Utah to Las Vegas, Nevada (the UNEV Pipeline). Holly currently owns 75% of the equity interests in the UNEV Pipeline. Under this agreement, we have an option to purchase Holly s equity interests in the UNEV Pipeline, effective for a 180-day period commencing when the UNEV Pipeline becomes operational, at a purchase price equal to Holly s investment in the joint venture pipeline plus interest at 7% per annum.

- 25 -

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.

Item 2. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

This Item 2, including but not limited to the sections on Results of Operations and Liquidity and Capital Resources, contains forward-looking statements. See Forward-Looking Statements at the beginning of Part I.

OVERVIEW

Holly Energy Partners, L.P. (HEP) is a Delaware limited partnership. We own and operate substantially all of the petroleum product and crude oil pipeline, tankage and terminalling assets that support the Holly Corporation (Holly) refining and marketing operations in west Texas, New Mexico, Utah, Idaho and Arizona and a 70% interest in Rio Grande Pipeline Company (Rio Grande). HEP is currently 46% owned by Holly.

We operate a system of petroleum product and crude gathering pipelines in Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma and Utah, distribution terminals in Texas, New Mexico, Arizona, Utah, Idaho and Washington and refinery tankage in New Mexico and Utah. We generate revenues by charging tariffs for transporting petroleum products and crude oil through our pipelines and by charging fees for terminalling petroleum products and other hydrocarbons, and storing and providing other services at our storage tanks and terminals. We do not take ownership of products that we transport or terminal; therefore, we are not directly exposed to changes in commodity prices.

On February 29, 2008, we acquired pipeline and tankage assets from Holly (the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets) for \$180.0 million. The Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets primarily consist of crude oil trunk lines and gathering lines, product and crude oil pipelines and tankage that service Holly s Navajo and Woods Cross Refineries and a leased jet fuel terminal. Additional information on this transaction is provided under Liquidity and Capital Resources. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, our revenues were \$54.1 million and our net income was \$11.6 million. Our revenues and net income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 were \$51.0 million and \$18.4 million, respectively. Our total operating costs and expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$32.7 million compared to \$25.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Agreements with Holly Corporation

a period of up to fifteen years.

As of June 30, 2008, we serve Holly s refineries in New Mexico and Utah under three 15-year pipeline, tankage and terminal agreements.

In connection with our purchase of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets from Holly on February 29, 2008, we entered into a 15-year crude pipelines and tankage agreement with Holly (the Holly CPTA). Under the Holly CPTA, Holly agreed to transport and store volumes of crude oil on the crude pipelines and tankage facilities that, at the agreed rates, will initially result in minimum annual revenues to us of \$25.3 million. The agreed upon tariffs on the crude pipelines will be adjusted each year at a rate equal to the percentage change in the producer price index (PPI) but will not decrease as a result of a decrease in the PPI. Additionally, Holly amended our omnibus agreement (the Omnibus Agreement) to provide \$7.5 million of indemnification for environmental noncompliance and remediation liabilities associated with the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets that occurred or existed prior to our acquisition for

We also have an agreement that relates to the pipelines and terminals contributed by Holly to us at the time of our initial public offering and expires in 2019 (the Holly PTA). Our third agreement with Holly relates to the Intermediate Pipelines acquired from Holly in July 2005 and expires in 2020 (the Holly IPA). The substantial majority of our business is devoted to providing transportation, storage and terminalling services to Holly. Following the July 1, 2008 rate adjustment for the increased producer price index, the minimum volume commitment by Holly under the Holly PTA will produce at least \$41.2 million

- 26 -

Table of Contents

of revenue for the twelve months ending June 30, 2009. Under the Holly IPA, Holly agreed to transport volumes of intermediate products on the intermediate pipelines that, following the July 1, 2008 PPI adjustment, will result in minimum funds to us of \$13.3 million for the twelve months ended June 30, 2009. If Holly fails to meet its minimum volume commitments in any quarter, it will be required to pay us in cash the amount of any shortfall by the last day of the month following the end of the quarter. A shortfall payment may be applied as a credit in the following four quarters after Holly s minimum obligations are met.

In October 2007, we entered into an agreement with Holly that amends the Holly PTA under which we have agreed to expand our refined products pipeline system between Artesia, New Mexico and El Paso, Texas (the South System). The expansion of the South System will include replacing 85 miles of 8-inch pipe with 12-inch pipe, adding 150,000 barrels of refined product storage at our El Paso Terminal, improving existing pumps, adding a tie-in to the Kinder Morgan pipeline to Tucson and Phoenix, Arizona, and making related modifications. The cost of this project is estimated to be \$48.3 million. Currently, we are expecting to complete this project by January 2009 Under certain provisions of the Omnibus Agreement that we entered into with Holly in July 2004 and expires in 2019, we pay Holly an annual administrative fee for the provision by Holly or its affiliates of various general and administrative services to us. Effective March 1, 2008, the annual fee was increased from \$2.1 million to \$2.3 million to cover additional general and administrative services attributable to the operations of our Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets. This fee does not include the salaries of pipeline and terminal personnel or the cost of their employee benefits, such as 401(k), pension and health insurance benefits, which are separately charged to us by Holly. We also reimburse Holly and its affiliates for direct expenses they incur on our behalf.

- 27 -

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS (Unaudited)

Income, Distributable Cash Flow and Volumes

The following tables present income, distributable cash flow and volume information for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

| | | onths Ended ne 30, | Six Month | |
|--|---------------|-----------------------|----------------|----------------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | | (In thousands, e | | |
| Revenues Dinalines: | | | | |
| Pipelines: Affiliates refined product pipelines | \$ 8,873 | \$ 9,438 | \$ 18,441 | \$ 17,677 |
| Affiliates intermediate pipelines | 2,456 | 4,054 | 6,049 | 7,063 |
| Affiliates crude pipelines | 6,553 | .,00 | 8,748 | 7,000 |
| | 17.000 | 12.402 | 22.220 | 24.740 |
| | 17,882 | 13,492 | 33,238 | 24,740 |
| Third parties- refined product pipelines | 5,681 | 9,355 | 13,516 | 18,145 |
| | 23,563 | 22,847 | 46,754 | 42,885 |
| Terminals and truck loading racks: | | | | |
| Affiliates | 2,264 | 2,861 | 5,235 | 5,403 |
| Third parties | 948 | 1,423 | 2,062 | 2,715 |
| | 3,212 | 4,284 | 7,297 | 8,118 |
| Total revenues | 26,775 | 27,131 | 54,051 | 51,003 |
| Operating costs and expenses | | | | |
| Operations | 9,985 | 8,189 | 19,712 | 15,922 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 6,062 | 3,208 | 10,375 | 7,279 |
| General and administrative | 1,359 | 1,284 | 2,645 | 2,556 |
| | 17,406 | 12,681 | 32,732 | 25,757 |
| Operating income | 9,369 | 14,450 | 21,319 | 25,246 |
| Total most in comme | 20 | 1 45 | 101 | 220 |
| Interest income Interest expense, including amortization | 28 (5,233) | 145 | 121 (9,040) | 330 |
| Gain on sale of assets | (3,233) | (3,371) | (9,040) | (6,729) 298 |
| Minority interest in Rio Grande | (264) | (154) | (670) | (581) |
| - | . , | | . , | . , |
| Income before income taxes | 3,900 | 11,071 | 11,766 | 18,564 |
| State income tax | (85) | (65) | (153) | (124) |

44

| Net income | 3,815 | 11,006 | 11,613 | 18,440 |
|--|-----------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|------------------------------|
| Less general partner interest in net income, including incentive distributions (1) | 800 | 726 | 1,621 | 1,306 |
| Limited partners interest in net income | \$ 3,015 | \$ 10,280 | \$ 9,992 | \$ 17,134 |
| Net income per limited partner unit basic and diluted (1) | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.64 | \$ 0.61 | \$ 1.06 |
| Weighted average limited partners units outstanding | 16,328 | 16,108 | 16,254 | 16,108 |
| EBITDA (2) | \$ 15,167 | \$ 17,505 | \$ 31,060 | \$ 32,242 |
| Distributable cash flow (3) | \$ 13,995 | \$ 12,389 | \$ 27,703 | \$ 24,983 |
| Volumes (bpd) (4) | | | | |
| Pipelines: Affiliates refined product pipelines Affiliates intermediate pipelines Affiliates crude pipelines | 75,812 51,886 130,559 | 82,571 68,437 | 80,186 59,748 88,979 | 77,494 63,980 |
| Third parties- refined product pipelines | 258,257 24,423 | 151,008 64,487 | 228,913 34,966 | 141,474 64,835 |
| | 282,680 | 215,495 | 263,879 | 206,309 |
| Terminals and truck loading racks: Affiliates Third parties | 93,328 31,178 124,506 | 123,245 53,179 176,424 | 110,381 34,210 144,591 | 121,724 50,030 171,754 |
| Total for pipelines and terminal assets (bpd) | 407,186 | 391,919 | 408,470 | 378,063 |
| | - 28 - | | | |

(1) Net income is allocated between limited partners and the general partner interest in accordance with the provisions of the partnership agreement. Net income allocated to the general partner includes any incentive distributions declared in the period. Incentive distributions of \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million were declared during the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, and \$1.4 million and \$1.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The net income applicable to the limited partners is divided by the weighted average limited partner units outstanding in computing the net income per unit applicable to limited partners.

(2) Earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (EBITDA) is calculated as net income plus

(i) interest

expense, net of

interest income

and

(ii) depreciation

and

amortization.

EBITDA is not

a calculation

based upon U.S.

generally

accepted

accounting

principles (U.S.

GAAP).

However, the

amounts

included in the

EBITDA

calculation are

derived from

amounts

included in our

consolidated

financial

statements.

EBITDA should

not be

considered as an

alternative to net

income or

operating

income, as an

indication of our

operating

performance or

as an alternative

to operating

cash flow as a

measure of

liquidity.

EBITDA is not

necessarily

comparable to similarly titled measures of other companies. EBITDA is presented here because it is a widely used financial indicator used by investors and analysts to measure performance. EBITDA is also used by our management for internal analysis and as a basis for compliance with financial covenants.

Set forth below is our calculation of EBITDA.

| | Three Mor | nths Ended | Six Mont | ıs Ended | |
|---|---------------|------------|-----------|---------------|--|
| | June 30, June | | | e 30 , | |
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 | |
| | | (In thou | ısands) | | |
| Net income | \$ 3,815 | \$ 11,006 | \$11,613 | \$ 18,440 | |
| Add interest expense | 4,976 | 3,067 | 8,560 | 6,122 | |
| Add amortization of discount and deferred debt issuance | | | | | |
| costs | 257 | 304 | 480 | 607 | |
| Subtract interest income | (28) | (145) | (121) | (330) | |
| Add state income tax | 85 | 65 | 153 | 124 | |
| Add depreciation and amortization | 6,062 | 3,208 | 10,375 | 7,279 | |
| EBITDA | \$ 15,167 | \$ 17,505 | \$ 31,060 | \$ 32,242 | |

(3) Distributable cash flow is not a calculation based upon U.S. GAAP.

However, the

amounts

included in the

calculation are

derived from

amounts

separately

presented in our

consolidated

financial

statements, with

the exception of

maintenance

capital

expenditures.

Distributable

cash flow

should not be

considered in

isolation or as

an alternative to

net income or

operating

income as an

indication of our

operating

performance or

as an alternative

to operating

cash flow as a

measure of

liquidity.

Distributable

cash flow is not

necessarily

comparable to

similarly titled

measures of

other

companies.

Distributable

cash flow is

presented here

because it is a

widely accepted

financial

indicator used

by investors to

compare

partnership

performance.

We believe that this measure provides investors an enhanced perspective of the operating performance of our assets and the cash our business is generating.

- 29 -

Set forth below is our calculation of distributable cash flow.

| | Three Months Ended June 30, | | Six Months Ended June 30, | |
|---|-----------------------------|-----------|------------------------------|-----------|
| | 2008 | 2007 | 2008 | 2007 |
| | | (In tho | usands) | |
| Net income | \$ 3,815 | \$11,006 | \$11,613 | \$ 18,440 |
| Add depreciation and amortization | 6,062 | 3,208 | 10,375 | 7,279 |
| Add amortization of discount and deferred debt issuance | | | | |
| costs | 257 | 304 | 480 | 607 |
| Add (subtract) increase (decrease) in deferred revenue | 4,930 | (1,896) | 6,781 | (990) |
| Subtract maintenance capital expenditures* | (1,069) | (233) | (1,546) | (353) |
| Distributable cash flow | \$ 13,995 | \$ 12,389 | \$ 27,703 | \$ 24,983 |

- Maintenance capital expenditures are capital expenditures made to replace partially or fully depreciated assets in order to maintain the existing operating capacity of our assets and to extend their useful lives.
- (4) The amounts reported for the six months ended June 30, 2008 include volumes transported on the crude pipelines for the period from March 1, 2008 through June 30, 2008 only. Volumes shipped during the months of March through June 2008 averaged 133.1 thousand barrels per day (mbpd). For the six months ended June 30, 2008, crude pipeline volumes are based on volumes for the months of March through June, averaged over the 182 days in the first six months of 2008. Under the Holly CPTA, fees are based on volumes transported on each pipeline component comprising the crude pipeline system (the crude oil gathering pipelines and the crude oil trunk lines). Accordingly, volumes transported on the crude pipelines represent the sum of volumes transported on both pipeline components. In cases where volumes are transported over both components of the crude pipeline system, such volumes are reflected twice in the total crude oil pipeline volumes.

June 30,

| | | December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|--------------|--|--|
| | 2008 | 2007 | | |
| Balance Sheet Data | (In thou | ısands) | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 6,371 | \$ 10,321 | | |
| Working capital ⁽⁵⁾ | \$ (18,467) | \$ 5,446 | | |
| Total assets | \$431,930 | \$238,904 | | |
| Long-term debt | \$354,113 | \$181,435 | | |
| Partners equity | \$ 25,474 | \$ 27,816 | | |

⁽⁵⁾ Reflects \$20.0 million of short-term borrowings that are classified as current liabilities.

As a master limited partnership, we distribute our available cash which historically has exceeded our net income because depreciation and amortization expense represents a non-cash charge against income. The result is a decline in partners—equity since our regular quarterly distributions exceed our quarterly net income.

- 30 -

Table of Contents

Results of Operations Three Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared with Three Months Ended June 30, 2007 Summary

Net income for the three months ended June 30, 2008 was \$3.8 million, a \$7.2 million decrease compared to the same period in 2007. This decrease was due principally to the effects of limited production at Alon s Big Spring Refinery resulting from an explosion and fire in February, production downtime at Holly s Navajo Refinery during the second quarter of 2008, a decrease in previously deferred revenue realized, and an increase in operating costs and expenses and interest expense. These factors were partially offset by revenues attributable to our crude pipeline assets that were acquired in the first quarter of 2008. Revenue of \$5.6 million relating to deficiency payments associated with certain transportation contracts was deferred during the three months ended June 30, 2008. Such revenue will be recognized in future periods either as payment for shipments in excess of minimum required levels or when shipping rights expire unused after a twelve-month period.

On February 18, 2008, Alon experienced an explosion and fire at its Big Spring refinery that resulted in the shutdown of production. In early April Alon reopened its Big Spring refinery and has resumed production which is currently running at about one-half of refinery capacity. Alon has announced that it plans to complete repairs and be back at full capacity in the third quarter of 2008. Lost production and reduced operations attributable to this incident resulted in a decrease in third party shipments on our refined product pipelines during the first six months of 2008. Under our pipelines and terminals agreement with Alon, Alon has committed to a level of product shipments that generally results in a minimum level of revenue. The amount billed to Alon for any shortfalls with respect to these contractual commitments is recorded as deferred revenue and later included in revenue and net income when earned and no longer subject to recapture. Increases in deferred revenue as a result of such shortfalls are included in distributable cash flow when the shortfall occurs.

Additionally, during the 2008 second quarter, Holly s Navajo Refinery experienced approximately 10 days of unplanned downtime as a result of unexpected repairs that further contributed to reduced volume shipments on our pipeline systems.

Revenues

Total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2008 were \$26.8 million, a \$0.4 million decrease compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007. This decrease was due to the effects of limited production at Alon s Big Spring Refinery resulting from an explosion and fire in February, production downtime at Holly s Navajo Refinery during the second quarter of 2008 and a decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. These decreases were partially offset by revenues attributable to our crude pipeline assets that were acquired in the first quarter of 2008.

Revenues from our refined product pipelines were \$14.6 million, a decrease of \$4.2 million compared to the second quarter of 2007. This decrease was due to a decline in refined product pipeline shipments by refineries utilizing our refined product pipeline system during the second quarter and a \$0.9 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. These decreases were partially offset by the effect of the annual tariff increase on refined product shipments. Shipments on our refined product pipeline system decreased to an average of 100.2 mbpd compared to 147.1 mbpd for the same period last year.

Revenues from our intermediate pipelines were \$2.5 million, a decrease of \$1.6 million compared to the second quarter of 2007. This decrease was due to a decline in volumes shipped on our intermediate pipelines resulting from downtime at Holly s Navajo Refinery and a \$1.0 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. These decreases were partially offset by the effect of the annual tariff increase on intermediate pipeline shipments. Shipments on our intermediate product pipeline system decreased to an average of 51.9 mbpd compared to 68.4 mbpd for the same period last year.

- 31 -

Revenues from our crude pipelines were \$6.6 million; second quarter shipments averaged 130.6 mbpd.

Table of Contents

Revenues from terminal, tankage and truck loading rack fees were \$3.2 million, a decrease of \$1.1 million compared to the second quarter of 2007. Refined products terminalled in our facilities decreased to an average of 124.5 mbpd compared to 176.4 mbpd for the same period last year.

Operating Costs

Operations expense for the three months ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$1.8 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007. This increase in expense was principally due to the operations of our crude pipelines commencing March 1, 2008 and increased pipeline maintenance and payroll costs.

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization for the three months ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$2.9 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007, due principally to depreciation and amortization attributable to our newly acquired crude pipelines, tankage assets and transportation agreement.

General and Administrative

General and administrative costs for the three months ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$0.1 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the three months ended June 30, 2008 totaled \$5.2 million, an increase of \$1.8 million compared to the three months ended June 30, 2007. This increase is due principally to interest attributable to advances from our revolving credit agreement that were used to finance our crude pipeline asset purchase in the first quarter as well as capital projects. For the three months ended June 30, 2008, our aggregate effective interest rate was 5.6% compared to 7.3% for the same period last year.

Minority Interest in Earnings of Rio Grande

The minority interest related to the 30% of Rio Grande that we do not own reduced our income by \$0.3 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$0.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007.

State Income Tax

State income taxes were less than \$0.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

- 32 -

Table of Contents

Results of Operations Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 Compared with Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 Summary

Net income for the six months ended June 30, 2008 was \$11.6 million, a \$6.8 million decrease compared to the same period in 2007. This decrease was due principally to the effects of limited production at Alon s Big Spring Refinery resulting from an explosion and fire in February, a decrease in intermediate pipeline revenues as a result of downtime at Holly s Navajo Refinery in the second quarter, a decrease in previously deferred revenue realized and an increase in operating costs and expenses and interest expense. These factors were partially offset by revenues attributable to our crude pipeline assets that were acquired in the first quarter of 2008. Revenue of \$8.7 million relating to deficiency payments associated with certain transportation contracts was deferred during the six months ended June 30, 2008. Such revenue will be recognized in future periods either as payment for shipments in excess of minimum required levels or when shipping rights expire unused after a twelve-month period.

Revenues

Total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$54.1 million, an increase of \$3.0 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. This increase was principally due to revenues attributable to our crude pipeline assets acquired in the first quarter of 2008. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in third party shipments, a decrease in shipments on our intermediate pipeline system and a decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. Revenues from our refined product pipelines were \$32.0 million, a decrease of \$3.9 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. This decrease was due to a decline in third party shipments as a result of reduced production and downtime following an explosion at Alon s Big Spring refinery during the first quarter. This decrease was offset partially by a year-to-date increase in affiliate shipments and the effect of the annual tariff increase on refined product shipments. Overall shipments on our refined product pipeline system decreased to an average of 115.2 mbpd compared to 142.3 mbpd for the same period last year.

Revenues from our intermediate pipelines were \$6.0, a decrease of \$1.0 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. This decrease was due to the effects of downtime at Holly s Navajo Refinery during the second quarter of 2008 and a \$0.9 million decrease in previously deferred revenue realized. These decreases were partially offset by the effect of the annual tariff increase on intermediate pipeline shipments. Shipments on our intermediate product pipeline system decreased to an average of 59.7 mbpd compared to 64.0 mbpd for the same period last year. Revenues from our crude pipelines were \$8.7 million; for the months of March through June 2008 shipments averaged 133.1 mbpd.

Revenues from terminal, tankage and truck loading rack fees were \$7.3 million, a decrease of \$0.8 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. Refined products terminalled in our facilities decreased to an average of 144.6 mbpd compared to 171.8 mbpd for the same period last year.

Operating Costs

Operations expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008 increased by \$3.8 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. This increase in expense was principally due to the operations of our crude pipelines commencing March 1, 2008 and increased pipeline maintenance and payroll costs.

- 33 -

Table of Contents

Depreciation and Amortization

Depreciation and amortization for the six months ended increased by \$3.1 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007, due principally to depreciation and amortization attributable to our newly acquired crude pipelines, tankage assets and transportation agreement.

General and Administrative

General and administrative costs were \$2.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

Interest Expense

Interest expense for the six months ended June 30, 2008 totaled \$9.0 million, an increase of \$2.3 million compared to the six months ended June 30, 2007. This increase is due principally to interest attributable to advances from our revolving credit agreement that were used to finance our crude pipeline asset purchase in the first quarter as well as capital projects. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, our aggregate effective interest rate was 5.4% compared to 7.3% for the same period last year.

Minority Interest in Earnings of Rio Grande

The minority interest related to the 30% of Rio Grande that we do not own reduced our income by \$0.7 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to \$0.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

State Income Tax

State income taxes were \$0.2 million and \$0.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Overview

In February 2008, we amended our \$100 million senior secured revolving credit agreement expiring in August 2011 to increase the size from \$100 million to \$300 million (the Credit Agreement), which we used to finance the \$171.0 million cash portion of the consideration paid for the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets acquired from Holly. As of June 30, 2008, we had \$191.0 million outstanding under the Credit Agreement. The Credit Agreement is available to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions, and working capital and for general partnership purposes. Advances under the Credit Agreement that are either designated for working capital or have been used as interim financing to fund capital expenditures are classified as short-term liabilities. Other advances under the Credit Agreement are classified as long-term liabilities. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we received advances totaling \$20.0 million under the Credit Agreement that were used as interim financing for capital expenditures. Our senior notes maturing March 1, 2015 are registered with the SEC and bear interest at 6.25% (the Senior Notes). The Senior Notes are unsecured and impose certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, make investments, sell assets, incur certain liens, pay distributions, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers.

Under our shelf registration statement, filed September 2, 2005, we may offer from time to time up to \$800.0 million of our securities, through one or more prospectus supplements that would describe, among other things, the specific amounts, prices and terms of any securities offered and how the proceeds would be used. Any proceeds from the sale of securities would be used for general business purposes, which may include, among other things, funding acquisitions of assets or businesses, working capital, capital expenditures, investments in subsidiaries, the retirement of existing debt and/or the repurchase of common units or other securities.

- 34 -

Table of Contents

We believe our current cash balances, future internally-generated funds and funds available under our Credit Agreement will provide sufficient resources to meet our working capital liquidity needs for the foreseeable future. In February and May 2008, we paid regular cash distributions of \$0.725 and \$0.735, respectively, on all units, an aggregate amount of \$25.7 million. Included in these distributions was an aggregate of \$1.4 million paid to the general partner as incentive distributions, as the distributions per unit exceeded \$0.55.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$4.0 million during the six months ended June 30, 2008. The cash flows used for investing activities of \$191.0 million exceeded cash flows provided by operating and financing activities of \$23.4 million and \$163.6 million, respectively. Working capital for the six months ended June 30, 2008 decreased by \$23.9 million due principally to \$20.0 million in interim financing of capital projects.

Cash Flows Operating Activities

Cash flows from operating activities decreased by \$3.1 million from \$26.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to \$23.4 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. This decrease is mainly due to a \$1.1 million decrease in cash collections from our major customers, resulting principally from a decrease in third-party revenues, offset by miscellaneous year-over-year changes in collections and payments.

As discussed above, our major shippers are obligated to make deficiency payments to us if they do not meet their minimum volume shipping obligations. Certain of these shippers then have the right to recapture these amounts if future volumes exceed minimum levels. During the first six months of 2008, we received cash payments of \$3.7 million under these commitments. We billed \$2.1 million for the first six months of 2007 related to shortfalls that occurred during this period that expired without recapture and was recognized as revenue during the six months ended June 30, 2008. Another \$5.6 million is included in our accounts receivable at June 30, 2008 related to shortfalls that occurred in the second quarter of 2008.

Cash Flows Investing Activities

Cash flows used for investing activities increased by \$189.4 million from \$1.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007 to \$191.0 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008. Additions to properties and equipment for the six months ended June 30, 2008 were \$20.0 million, an increase of \$18.1 million from \$1.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Also during the six months ended June 30, 2008, we acquired the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets from Holly. The cash consideration paid upon closing of this purchase was \$171.0 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2007, we received cash proceeds of \$0.3 million upon the sale of certain assets.

Cash Flows Financing Activities

Cash flows provided by financing activities were \$163.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008 compared to cash flows used for financing activities of \$24.8 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we borrowed \$191.0 million under our credit agreement of which \$171.0 million was used to finance the cash portion of the consideration paid to acquire the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets on February 29, 2008. During the first six months of 2008, we paid cash distributions on all units and the general partner interest in the aggregate amount of \$25.7 million, an increase of \$2.3 million from \$23.4 million in distributions paid during the first six months of 2007. We also paid \$0.9 million in minority interest distributions, an increase in \$0.4 million over the six months ended June 30, 2007. Cash paid for the purchase of units for restricted grants was \$0.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2008, a decrease of \$0.4 million from \$0.9 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Also for the six months ended June 30, 2008, we paid \$0.6 million in deferred financing costs that were attributable to our amended credit agreement.

Capital Requirements

Our pipeline and terminalling operations are capital intensive, requiring investments to maintain, expand, upgrade or enhance existing operations and to meet environmental and operational regulations. Our

- 35 -

Table of Contents

capital requirements have consisted of, and are expected to continue to consist of, maintenance capital expenditures and expansion capital expenditures. Maintenance capital expenditures represent capital expenditures to replace partially or fully depreciated assets to maintain the operating capacity of existing assets. Maintenance capital expenditures include expenditures required to maintain equipment reliability, tankage and pipeline integrity, safety and to address environmental regulations. Expansion capital expenditures represent capital expenditures to expand the operating capacity of existing or new assets, whether through construction or acquisition. Expansion capital expenditures include expenditures to acquire assets to grow our business and to expand existing facilities, such as projects that increase throughput capacity on our pipelines and in our terminals. Repair and maintenance expenses associated with existing assets that are minor in nature and do not extend the useful life of existing assets are charged to operating expenses as incurred.

Each year the Holly Logistic Services, L.L.C. (HLS) board of directors approves our annual capital budget, which specifies capital projects that our management is authorized to undertake. Additionally, at times when conditions warrant or as new opportunities arise, special projects may be approved. The funds allocated for a particular capital project may be expended over a period of years, depending on the time required to complete the project. Therefore, our planned capital expenditures for a given year consist of expenditures approved for capital projects included in the current year s capital budget as well as, in certain cases, expenditures approved for capital projects in capital budgets for prior years. Our total capital budget for 2008 is \$53.7 million. This consists of budgeted costs for our south system expansion discussed below and other capital expansion and maintenance projects.

In October 2007, we entered into an agreement with Holly that amends the Holly PTA under which we have agreed to expand our South System between Artesia, New Mexico and El Paso, Texas. The expansion of the South System will include replacing 85 miles of 8-inch pipe with 12-inch pipe, adding 150,000 barrels of refined product storage at our El Paso Terminal, improving existing pumps, adding a tie-in to the Kinder Morgan pipeline to Tucson and Phoenix, Arizona, and making related modifications. The cost of this project is estimated to be \$48.3 million. Currently, we are expecting to complete this project by January 2009.

In November 2007, we executed a definitive agreement with Plains All American Pipeline, L.P. (Plains) to acquire a 25% joint venture interest in a new 95-mile intrastate pipeline system now under construction by Plains for the shipment of up to 120,000 bpd of crude oil into the Salt Lake City area (the SLC Pipeline). Under the agreement, the SLC Pipeline will be owned by a joint venture company that will be owned 75% by Plains and 25% by us. We expect to purchase our 25% interest in the joint venture in late 2008 when the SLC Pipeline is expected to become fully operational. The SLC Pipeline will allow various refiners in the Salt Lake City area, including Holly s Woods Cross refinery, to ship crude oil into the Salt Lake City area from the Utah terminus of the Frontier Pipeline as well as crude oil from Wyoming and Utah that is currently flowing on Plains Rocky Mountain Pipeline. The total cost of our investment in the SLC Pipeline is expected to be \$28 million, including a \$2.5 million finder s fee that is payable to Holly upon the closing of our investment in the SLC Pipeline.

On January 31, 2008, we entered into an option agreement with Holly, granting us an option to purchase all of Holly s equity interests in a joint venture pipeline currently under construction. The pipeline will be capable of transporting refined petroleum products from Salt Lake City, Utah to Las Vegas, Nevada (the UNEV Pipeline). Holly currently owns 75% of the equity interests in the UNEV Pipeline. Under this agreement, we have an option to purchase Holly s equity interests in the UNEV Pipeline, effective for a 180-day period commencing when the UNEV Pipeline becomes operational, at a purchase price equal to Holly s investment in the joint venture pipeline, plus interest at 7% per annum. The initial capacity of the pipeline will be 62,000 bpd, with the capacity for further expansion to 120,000 bpd. The total cost of the pipeline project including terminals is expected to be \$300.0 million. Holly s share of this cost is \$225.0 million. Construction of this project is currently expected to be completed and operational in late 2009. On July 17, 2008, Holly announced the purchase of Musket Corporation s Cedar City, Utah terminal and rail facilities that will serve as part of the UNEV Pipeline s Cedar City Terminal.

We are also studying several other projects that are in various stages of analysis.

- 36 -

Table of Contents

We expect that our currently planned expenditures for sustaining and maintenance capital as well as expenditures for acquisitions and capital development projects such as the UNEV Pipeline, SLC Pipeline and South System expansion projects described above will be funded with existing cash balances, cash generated by operations, the sale of additional limited partner units, the issuance of debt securities and advances under our \$300 million senior secured revolving credit agreement maturing August 2011.

Credit Agreement

In February 2008, we amended our \$100 million senior secured revolving credit agreement expiring in August 2011 to increase the size from \$100 million to \$300 million, which we used to finance the \$171.0 million cash portion of the consideration paid for the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets acquired from Holly. Union Bank of California, N.A. is one of the lenders and serves as administrative agent under this agreement. As of June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, we had \$191.0 million and zero, respectively, outstanding under the Credit Agreement.

The Credit Agreement is available to fund capital expenditures, acquisitions, and working capital and for general partnership purposes. Advances under the Credit Agreement that are either designated for working capital or have been used as interim financing to fund capital expenditures are classified as short-term liabilities. Other advances under the Credit Agreement are classified as long-term liabilities. In addition, the Credit Agreement is available to fund letters of credit up to a \$50.0 million sub-limit and to fund distributions to unitholders up to a \$20.0 million sub-limit. During the six months ended June 30, 2008, we received advances totaling \$20.0 million under the Credit Agreement that were used as interim financing for capital expenditures.

We have the right to request an increase in the maximum amount of the Credit Agreement, up to \$370.0 million. Such request will become effective if (a) certain conditions specified in the Credit Agreement are met and (b) existing lenders under the Credit Agreement or other financial institutions reasonably acceptable to the administrative agent commit to lend such increased amounts under the agreement.

Our obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by substantially all of our assets. Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement is recourse to HEP Logistics Holdings, L.P., our general partner, and guaranteed by our wholly-owned subsidiaries.

We may prepay all loans at any time without penalty, except for payment of certain breakage and related costs. We are required to reduce all working capital borrowings under the Credit Agreement to zero for a period of at least 15 consecutive days once each twelve-month period prior to the maturity date of the agreement.

Indebtedness under the Credit Agreement bears interest, at our option, at either (a) the reference rate as announced by the administrative agent plus an applicable margin (ranging from 0.25% to 1.50%) or (b) at a rate equal to the London Interbank Offered Rate (LIBOR) plus an applicable margin (ranging from 1.00% to 2.50%). In each case, the applicable margin is based upon the ratio of our funded debt (as defined in the agreement) to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization, as defined in the Credit Agreement). We incur a commitment fee on the unused portion of the Credit Agreement at a rate ranging from 0.20% to 0.50% based upon the ratio of our funded debt to EBITDA for the four most recently completed fiscal quarters. At June 30, 2008, we are subject to the 0.30% rate on the \$109.0 million of the unused commitment on the Credit Agreement. The agreement matures in August 2011. At that time, the agreement will terminate and all outstanding amounts thereunder will be due and payable.

The Credit Agreement imposes certain requirements, including: a prohibition against distribution to unitholders if, before or after the distribution, a potential default or an event of default as defined in the agreement would occur; limitations on our ability to incur debt, make loans, acquire other companies, change the nature of our business, enter a merger or consolidation, or sell assets; and covenants that require maintenance of a specified EBITDA to interest expense ratio and debt to EBITDA ratio. If an event of default exists under the agreement, the lenders will be able to accelerate the maturity of the debt and exercise other rights and remedies.

- 37 -

Table of Contents

Senior Notes Due 2015

Our Senior Notes mature on March 1, 2015 and bear interest at 6.25%. The Senior Notes are unsecured and impose certain restrictive covenants, including limitations on our ability to incur additional indebtedness, make investments, sell assets, incur certain liens, pay distributions, enter into transactions with affiliates, and enter into mergers. At any time when the Senior Notes are rated investment grade by both Moody s and Standard & Poor s and no default or event of default exists, we will not be subject to many of the foregoing covenants. Additionally, we have certain redemption rights under the Senior Notes.

The carrying amounts of our long-term debt are as follows:

| | June 30, 2008 | D | ecember 31, 2007 |
|--|------------------|---------|------------------------|
| | (In th | nousand | ds) |
| Credit Agreement | \$ 191,000 | \$ | |
| Senior Notes | | | |
| Principal | 185,000 | | 185,000 |
| Unamortized discount | (2,534) | | (2,724) |
| Fair value hedge interest rate swap | 647 | | (841) |
| | 183,113 | | 181,435 |
| Total Debt | 374,113 | | 181,435 |
| Less short-term borrowing under credit agreement | 20,000 | | |
| Total long-term debt | \$ 354,113 | \$ | 181,435 |

See Risk Management for a discussion of our interest rate swap.

Holly Crude Pipelines and Tankage Transaction

On February 29, 2008, we acquired pipeline and tankage assets from Holly for \$180.0 million. The Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets consist of crude oil trunk lines that deliver crude to Holly s Navajo Refinery in southeast New Mexico, gathering and connection pipelines located in west Texas and New Mexico, on-site crude tankage located within the Navajo and Woods Cross refinery complexes, a jet fuel products pipeline and leased terminal between Artesia and Roswell, New Mexico, and crude oil and product pipelines that support Holly s Woods Cross Refinery. The consideration paid for the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets consisted of \$171.0 million in cash and 217,497 of our common units having a fair value of \$9.0 million. We financed the \$171.0 million cash portion of the consideration through borrowings under our Credit Agreement expiring August 2011.

The consideration paid for the Crude Pipeline and Tankage Assets was allocated to the individual assets acquired based on their estimated fair values. In accounting for this acquisition, we recorded pipeline and terminal assets of \$108.0 million and an intangible asset of \$72.0 million, representing the allocated value of the 15-year Holly CPTA. This intangible asset is included in Transportation agreements, net in our consolidated balance sheets.

Impact of Inflation

Inflation in the United States has been relatively low in recent years and did not have a material impact on our results of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2008 and 2007.

A substantial majority of our revenues are generated under long-term contracts that include the right to increase our rates and minimum revenue guarantees annually for increases in the PPI. Historically, the PPI has increased an average of 3.7% annually over the past 3 calendar years.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

Our operation of pipelines, terminals, and associated facilities in connection with the storage and transportation of refined products and crude oil is subject to stringent and complex federal, state, and local laws and regulations governing the discharge of materials into the environment, or otherwise relating to the protection of the environment. As with the industry generally, compliance with existing and anticipated laws and regulations increases our overall cost of business, including our capital costs to construct, maintain, and upgrade equipment and facilities. While these laws and regulations affect our maintenance capital expenditures and net income, we believe that they do not affect our competitive position in that the operations of our competitors are similarly affected. We believe that our operations are in substantial compliance with applicable environmental laws and regulations. However, these laws and regulations, and the interpretation or enforcement thereof, are subject to frequent change by regulatory authorities, and we are unable to predict the ongoing cost to us of complying with these laws and regulations or the future impact of these laws and regulations on our operations. Violation of environmental laws, regulations, and permits can result in the imposition of significant administrative, civil and criminal penalties, injunctions, and construction bans or delays. A discharge of hydrocarbons or hazardous substances into the environment could, to the extent the event is not insured, subject us to substantial expense, including both the cost to comply with applicable laws and regulations and claims made by employees, neighboring landowners and other third parties for personal injury and property damage. In connection with our acquisition of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets on February 29, 2008, Holly amended the Omnibus Agreement to provide \$7.5 million of indemnification for environmental noncompliance and remediation liabilities associated with the newly acquired assets for a period of up to fifteen years. The Omnibus Agreement also provides environmental indemnification for the assets transferred to us at the time of our initial public offering in 2004 and the Intermediate Pipelines acquired in July 2005. The indemnification relates to environmental noncompliance and remediation liabilities associated with the assets acquired from Holly that occurred or existed prior to our acquisition. We also have an environmental agreement with Alon with respect to pre-closing environmental costs and liabilities relating to the pipelines and terminals acquired from Alon in February 2005.

There are environmental remediation projects that are currently underway relating to certain assets purchased from Holly Corporation. These remediation projects, including assessment and monitoring activities are covered by the environmental indemnification discussed above and represent liabilities of Holly Corporation.

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. GAAP. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgments that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities as of the date of the financial statements. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. We consider the following policies to be the most critical to understanding the judgments that are involved and the uncertainties that could impact our results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Our significant accounting policies are described in Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Operations Critical Accounting Policies in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007. Certain critical accounting policies that materially affect the amounts recorded in our consolidated financial statements include revenue recognition, assessing the possible impairment of certain long-lived assets and assessing contingent liabilities for probable losses. There have been no changes to these policies in 2008.

Table of Contents

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157 Fair Value Measurements

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. This standard simplifies and codifies guidance on fair value measurements under generally accepted accounting principles. This standard defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value and prescribes expanded disclosures about fair value measurements. It also establishes a fair value hierarchy that categorizes inputs used in fair value measurements into three broad levels. Under this hierarchy, quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities are considered the most reliable evidence of fair value and are given the highest priority level (level 1). Unobservable inputs are considered the least reliable and are given the lowest priority level (level 3). We adopted this standard effective January 1, 2008.

We have interest rate swaps that we measure at fair value on a recurring basis using level 2 inputs. See Note 5 in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for additional information on these swaps.

EITF No. 07-04 Application of the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128, Earnings per Share, to Master Limited Partnerships

In March 2008, the FASB ratified Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) Issue No. 07-04, Application of the Two-Class Method under FASB Statement No. 128 to Master Limited Partnerships (MLP s). This standard provides guidance in the application of the two-class method in computing earnings per unit to reflect an MLP s contractual obligation to make distributions to the general partner, limited partners, and incentive distribution rights holder. EITF No. 07-04 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We will adopt this standard effective January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of this standard on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

FASB Staff Position (FSP) No. EITF 03-6-1 Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Transactions Are Participating Securities

In June 2006, the FASB issued FSP No. 03-6-1, Determining Whether Instruments Granted in Share-Based Transactions Are Participating Securities. This standard provides guidance in determining whether unvested instruments granted under share-based payment transactions are participating securities and, therefore, should be included in earnings per share calculations under the two-class method provided under FASB No. 128, Earnings per Share. FSP No. 03-6-1 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008, and interim periods within those fiscal years. We will adopt this standard effective January 1, 2009. We are currently evaluating the impact of this standard on our financial condition, results of operations and cash flows.

RISK MANAGEMENT

As of June 30, 2008, we have two interest rate swap contracts.

We entered into an interest rate swap to hedge our exposure to the cash flow risk caused by the effects of LIBOR changes on the \$171.0 million Credit Agreement advance that we used to finance our purchase of the Crude Pipelines and Tankage Assets from Holly. This interest rate swap effectively converts our \$171.0 million LIBOR based debt to fixed rate debt having an interest rate of 3.74% plus an applicable margin, currently 1.75%, that results in a June 30, 2008 effective interest rate of 5.49%. The maturity of this swap contract is February 28, 2013. We intend to renew our Credit Agreement prior to its expiration in August 2011 and continue to finance the \$171.0 million balance until the swap matures.

Under the provisions of SFAS No. 133, we have designated this interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge. Based on our assessment of effectiveness using the change in variable cash flows method, we have determined that this interest rate swap is effective in offsetting the variability in interest payments on our \$171.0 million variable rate debt resulting from changes in LIBOR. Under hedge accounting, we adjust our cash flow hedge on a quarterly basis to its fair value with a corresponding offset to accumulated other comprehensive income. Also on a quarterly basis, we measure hedge effectiveness by comparing the present value of the cumulative change in the expected future interest to be paid or received on the

Table of Contents

variable leg of our swap against the expected future interest payments on our \$171.0 million variable rate debt. Any ineffectiveness is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to interest expense. As of June 30, 2008, we had no ineffectiveness on our cash flow hedge.

We also have an interest rate swap contract that effectively converts interest expense associated with \$60.0 million of our 6.25% Senior Notes from a fixed to a variable rate. Under this swap contract, interest on the \$60.0 million notional amount is computed using the three-month LIBOR plus an applicable margin of 1.1575%, which equaled an effective interest rate of 3.84% at June 30, 2008. The maturity of the swap contract is March 1, 2015, matching the maturity of the Senior Notes.

This interest rate swap has been designated as a fair value hedge and meets the requirements to assume no ineffectiveness under the provisions of SFAS No. 133. Accordingly, we use the shortcut method of accounting as prescribed under SFAS No. 133. Under this method, we adjust the carrying value of the swap to its fair value on a quarterly basis, with an offsetting entry to our Senior Notes, effectively adjusting the carrying value of \$60.0 million of principal on the Senior notes to its fair value.

We record interest expense equal to the variable rate payments under the swaps. Receipts under the swap agreements are recorded as a reduction of interest expense.

Additional information on our interest rate swaps are as follows:

| | | Fair Value (In | Location of Offsetting |
|---|-------------------------------|-------------------|--|
| Interest Rate Swaps | Balance Sheet Location | thousands) | Balance |
| Cash flow hedge - \$171 million LIBOR based debt | Other assets | \$ 2,448 | Accumulated other comprehensive income |
| Fair value hedge - \$60 million of 6.25% Senior Notes | Other assets | \$ 647 | Long-term debt |

The market risk inherent in our debt instruments and positions is the potential change arising from increases or decreases in interest rates as discussed below.

At June 30, 2008, we had an outstanding principal balance on our unsecured Senior Notes of \$185.0 million. By means of our interest rate swap contract, we have effectively converted \$60.0 million of the Senior Notes from a fixed rate to variable rate. For the fixed rate debt portion of \$125.0 million, changes in interest rates would generally affect the fair value of the debt, but not our earnings or cash flows. Conversely, for the variable rate debt portion of \$60.0 million, changes in interest rates would generally not impact the fair value of the debt, but may affect our future earnings and cash flows. We estimate a hypothetical 10% change in the yield-to-maturity applicable to our fixed rate debt portion of \$125.0 million as of June 30, 2008 would result in a change of approximately \$4.9 million in the fair value of the debt. A hypothetical 10% change in the interest rate applicable to our variable rate debt portion of \$60.0 million would not have a material effect on our earnings or cash flows.

At June 30, 2008, our cash and cash equivalents included highly liquid investments with a maturity of three months or less at the time of purchase. Due to the short-term nature of our cash and cash equivalents, a hypothetical 10% increase in interest rates would not have a material effect on the fair market value of our portfolio. Since we have the ability to liquidate this portfolio, we do not expect our operating results or cash flows to be materially affected to any significant degree by the effect of a sudden change in market interest rates on our investment portfolio.

Our operations are subject to normal hazards of operations, including fire, explosion and weather-related perils. We maintain various insurance coverages, including business interruption insurance, subject to certain deductibles. We are not fully insured against certain risks because such risks are not fully insurable, coverage is unavailable, or premium costs, in our judgment, do not justify such expenditures.

We have formed a risk management oversight committee that is made up of members from our senior management. This committee monitors our risk environment and provides direction for activities to mitigate, to an acceptable level, identified risks that may adversely affect the achievement of our goals.

Table of Contents

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risks

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices. See Risk Management under Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for a discussion of market risk exposures that we have with respect to our cash and cash equivalents and long-term debt. We utilize derivative instruments to hedge our interest rate exposure, also discussed under Risk Management.

Since we do not own products shipped on our pipelines or terminalled at our terminal facilities we do not have market risks associated with commodity prices.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of disclosure controls and procedures

Our principal executive officer and principal financial officer have evaluated, as required by Rule 13a-15(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the Exchange Act), our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(e)) as of the end of the period covered by this quarterly report on Form 10-Q. Based on that evaluation, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in ensuring that information we are required to disclose in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Securities and Exchange Commission s rules and forms.

(b) Changes in internal control over financial reporting

There have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during our last fiscal quarter that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

- 42 -

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal proceedings

We are a party to various legal and regulatory proceedings, none of which we believe will have a material adverse impact on our financial condition, results of operations or cash flows.

Item 6. Exhibits

- 3.1 Amendment No. 3 to First Amended and Restated Agreement of Limited Partnership of Holly Energy Partners, L.P., dated April 11, 2008 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 of Registrant s Current Report on Form 8-K filed April 15, 2008, File No. 1-32225).
- 12.1* Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 31.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1* Certification of Chief Executive Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2* Certification of Chief Financial Officer under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- * Filed herewith
- + Constitutes
 management
 contracts or
 compensatory
 plans or
 arrangements.

- 43 -

Table of Contents

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

HOLLY ENERGY PARTNERS, L.P.

(Registrant)

By: HEP LOGISTICS HOLDINGS, L.P.

its General Partner

By: HOLLY LOGISTIC SERVICES, L.L.C.

its General Partner

Date: August 1, 2008 /s/ Bruce R. Shaw

Bruce R. Shaw Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)

/s/ Scott C. Surplus

Scott C. Surplus

Vice President and Controller (Principal Accounting Officer)

- 44 -