

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

UICI  
Form 10-Q  
November 09, 2001

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

-----

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF  
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001.

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d)  
OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934  
FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROM \_\_\_\_\_ TO \_\_\_\_\_  
COMMISSION FILE NO. 001-14953

-----

UICI  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware	75-2044750
-----	-----
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)	(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

4001 McEwen, Suite 200, Dallas, Texas	75244
-----	-----
(Address of principal executive office)	(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (972) 392-6700

Not Applicable

-----

Former name, former address and former fiscal year,  
if changed since last report.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes X No \_\_\_\_.

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. Common Stock, \$.01 Par Value, 47,630,422 shares as of November 5, 2001.

INDEX

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

UICI AND SUBSIDIARIES

	PAGE
PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION	
Item 1. Financial Statements	
Consolidated condensed balance sheets-September 30, 2001 (unaudited) and December 31, 2000.....	3
Consolidated condensed statements of income (unaudited) - Three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 and nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000.....	4
Consolidated statements of comprehensive income (unaudited) - Three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 and nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 .....	5
Consolidated condensed statements of cash flows (unaudited) - Nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000.....	6
Notes to consolidated condensed financial statements (unaudited) - September 30, 2001.....	7
Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.....	23
Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.....	32
PART II. OTHER INFORMATION	
Item 1. Legal Proceedings.....	33
Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Stock and Related Matters.....	33
Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8-K.....	33
SIGNATURES	34

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION  
Item 1. Financial Statements

UICI AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS  
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT SHARE AMOUNTS)

SEPTEMBER 30,            DECEMBER 31,  
2001                            2000

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

	-----	-----
	(UNAUDITED)	
ASSETS		
Investments		
Securities available for sale --		
Fixed maturities, at fair value (cost:		
2001--\$910,571; 2000-- \$827,905) .....	\$ 927,400	\$ 814,433
Equity securities, at fair value (cost:		
2001--\$37,294; 2000-- \$18,926) .....	74,272	16,916
Mortgage and collateral loans .....	5,026	5,368
Policy loans .....	20,553	20,171
Investment in Healthaxis, Inc. ....	9,638	18,442
Investment in other equity investees .....	5,744	43,196
Short-term investments .....	122,203	149,525
	-----	-----
Total Investments .....	1,164,836	1,068,051
Cash .....	43,605	83,058
Student loans .....	1,306,373	1,156,072
Restricted cash .....	372,350	222,660
Reinsurance receivables .....	92,551	120,723
Due premiums, other receivables and assets .....	63,216	52,766
Investment income due and accrued .....	63,031	62,014
Deferred acquisition costs .....	70,898	68,515
Goodwill .....	89,353	92,120
Deferred income tax .....	12,647	46,927
Property and equipment, net .....	75,080	75,128
	-----	-----
	\$ 3,353,940	\$ 3,048,034
	=====	=====
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY		
Policy liabilities		
Future policy and contract benefits .....	\$ 418,746	\$ 429,167
Claims .....	376,614	358,108
Unearned premiums .....	96,331	98,491
Other policy liabilities .....	17,535	17,927
Other liabilities .....	150,362	156,953
Collections payable .....	222,771	111,787
Note payable to related party .....	--	18,954
Debt .....	24,104	47,828
Student loan credit facilities .....	1,512,750	1,358,056
	-----	-----
	2,819,213	2,597,271
Commitments and Contingencies		
Stockholders' Equity		
Preferred stock, par value \$0.01 per share .....	--	--
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share .....	488	483
Additional paid-in capital .....	197,052	186,820
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) ...	34,972	(10,068)
Retained earnings .....	310,871	274,277
Treasury stock, at cost .....	(8,656)	(749)
	-----	-----
	534,727	450,763
	-----	-----
	\$ 3,353,940	\$ 3,048,034
	=====	=====

NOTE: The balance sheet data as of December 31, 2000 have been derived from the audited financial statements at that date.

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

3

UICI AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF INCOME (UNAUDITED)  
 (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED	SEPTEMBER 30,
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
<b>REVENUE</b>		
Premiums:		
Health (includes amounts received from related parties of \$1,563 and \$1,252 for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$4,723 and \$2,425 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively) .....	\$ 197,513	\$ 10,079
Life premiums and other considerations .....	-----	-----
	207,592	-----
Investment income .....	23,785	
Interest income (includes amounts received from related parties of \$8 and \$331 for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$18 and \$342 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively) .....	17,701	
Other fee income (includes amounts received from related parties of \$1,508 and \$936 for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$5,331 and \$2,488 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively) .....	23,070	
Other income .....	806	
Gain on sale of HealthAxis.com shares .....	--	
Gains on sales of other investments .....	3,214	
	-----	-----
	276,168	
<b>BENEFITS AND EXPENSES</b>		
Benefits, claims, and settlement expenses .....	129,597	
Underwriting, acquisition, and insurance expenses (includes amounts paid to related parties of \$7,255 and \$7,676 for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$22,415 and \$24,613 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively) .....	78,255	
Other expenses (includes amounts paid to related parties of \$2,553 and \$2,705 for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$7,863 and \$5,760 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively) .....	28,535	
Depreciation (includes expense on assets purchased from related parties of \$20 and \$0 for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$42 and \$0 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively) .....	4,049	
Interest expense (includes expenses incurred with related parties of \$0 and \$1,705 for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$98 and \$3,607 for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively) .....	577	
Interest expense--student loan credit facilities .....	15,518	

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

Equity in losses of Healthaxis, Inc. investment .....	1,272	
Goodwill amortization .....	1,172	
	258,975	
INCOME FROM CONTINUING OPERATIONS BEFORE		
FEDERAL INCOME TAXES .....	17,193	
Federal income taxes .....	5,318	
	11,875	
DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS		
(net of income tax benefit of \$0 and \$0, for the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, respectively, and \$0 and \$12,600, respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000) .....		
	--	
NET INCOME .....	\$ 11,875	\$
Earnings (loss) per share:		
Basic earnings (loss)		
Income from continuing operations .....	\$ 0.25	\$
Loss from discontinued operations .....	0.00	
	\$ 0.25	\$
Diluted earnings (loss)		
Income from continuing operations .....	\$ 0.25	\$
Loss from discontinued operations .....	0.00	
	\$ 0.25	\$

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

4

UICI AND SUBSIDIARIES CONSOLIDATED  
STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME  
(UNAUDITED) (DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		N
	2001	2000	
Net income .....	\$ 11,875	\$ 9,016	\$ 3
Other comprehensive income before tax:			
Unrealized gains on securities:			
Unrealized holding gains arising during period .....	19,451	13,030	6
Reclassification adjustment for gains (losses)			

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

included in net income .....	1,687	(238)	
	-----	-----	-----
Other comprehensive income, before tax .....	21,138	12,792	6
Income tax provision related to items of			
other comprehensive income .....	(7,399)	(4,475)	(2)
	-----	-----	-----
Other comprehensive income, net of tax benefits ..	13,739	8,317	4
	-----	-----	-----
Comprehensive income .....	\$ 25,614	\$ 17,333	\$ 8
	=====	=====	=====

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

UICI AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)  
(DOLLARS IN THOUSANDS)

	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001	2000
	-----	-----
<b>OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net income .....	\$ 36,594	\$ 11,827
Adjustments to reconcile net income to		
cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Increase in policy liabilities .....	19,507	13,210
Decrease in other liabilities .....	(4,440)	(1,500)
Increase in income taxes .....	9,937	38,298
(Increase) decrease in deferred acquisition costs .....	(2,383)	2,510
Increase in accrued investment income .....	(1,017)	(16,635)
(Increase) decrease in reinsurance and other receivables .....	21,994	(53,708)
Depreciation and amortization .....	14,765	14,475
Increase in collections payable .....	110,984	19,104
Equity in losses of Healthaxis, Inc. ....	9,237	16,269
Net gains on sale of investments .....	(5,800)	(26,314)
Amounts contributed to discontinued operations .....	--	(150,300)
Other items, net .....	(2,119)	1,498
	-----	-----
Cash Provided by (Used in) Operating Activities .....	207,259	(131,266)
	-----	-----
<b>INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
(Increase) decrease in student loans .....	(150,301)	159,448
(Increase) decrease in other investments .....	(32,104)	66,240
Proceeds from sale of HealthAxis.com shares .....	--	30,000
(Increase) decrease in restricted cash .....	(149,690)	201,604
Increase in agents' receivables .....	(1,212)	(4,516)
Book value of subsidiaries sold net of cash disposal of \$8,319 ...	--	36,854
Purchase of subsidiary .....	--	(4,481)

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

Increase in property and equipment .....	(11,200)	(11,227)
	-----	-----
Cash Provided by (Used in) Investing Activities .....	(344,507)	473,922
	-----	-----
FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Deposits from investment products .....	11,125	12,264
Withdrawals from investment products .....	(25,099)	(34,815)
Proceeds from student loan borrowings .....	614,461	556,315
Repayment of student loan borrowings .....	(459,768)	(929,944)
Proceeds from issuance of debt .....	--	34,000
Proceeds from note payable to related party .....	--	146,000
Repayment of debt .....	(23,724)	(104,605)
Repayment of note payable to related party .....	(18,954)	(77,400)
Purchase of treasury shares .....	(8,946)	--
Issuance of treasury shares .....	1,039	--
Other items, net .....	7,661	11,597
	-----	-----
Cash Provided by (Used in) Financing Activities .....	97,795	(386,588)
	-----	-----
Net Decrease in Cash .....	(39,453)	(43,932)
Cash at Beginning of Period .....	83,058	74,091
	-----	-----
Cash at End of Period .....	\$ 43,605	\$ 30,159
	=====	=====

See Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

6

UICI AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

September 30, 2001

NOTE A - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements for UICI and its subsidiaries (the "Company" or "UICI") have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles ("GAAP") for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, such financial statements do not include all of the information and notes required by GAAP for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. All such adjustments, except as otherwise described herein, consist of normal recurring accruals. Operating results for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2001 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2001. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2000. Certain amounts in the 2000 financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the 2001 financial statement presentation.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In June 2000, FAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, was issued, and as amended, is required to be adopted in

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

years beginning after June 15, 2000. This Statement requires all derivatives to be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. Changes in fair values of derivatives not meeting the Statement's hedge criteria are included in income. Because of the Company's minimal use of derivatives, the adoption of the new Statement does not have a significant effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In September 2000, the FASB issued Statement No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities, replacing Statement No. 125. Statement No. 140, which is effective for transfers occurring after March 31, 2001, changes certain provisions of Statement No. 125. The adoption of the new Statement does not have a significant effect on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

In June 2001, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statements of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141, Business Combinations, and No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2001. Under the new rules, goodwill (and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives) will no longer be amortized but will be subject to annual impairment tests in accordance with the Statements. Other intangible assets will continue to be amortized over their useful lives.

The Company will apply the new rules on accounting for goodwill and other intangible assets beginning in the first quarter of 2002. During 2002, the Company will perform the first of the required impairment tests of goodwill and indefinite lived intangible assets as of January 1, 2002 and has not yet determined what the effect of these tests will be on the earnings and financial position of the Company.

### NOTE B - LIQUIDITY

UICI is a holding company, the principal assets of which are its investments in its separate operating subsidiaries, including its regulated insurance subsidiaries. The holding company's ability to fund its cash requirements is largely dependent upon its ability to access cash, by means of dividends or other means, from its subsidiaries. The laws governing the Company's insurance subsidiaries restrict dividends paid by the Company's domestic insurance subsidiaries in any year. Inability to access cash from its subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect upon the Company's liquidity and capital resources.

At September 30, 2001, UICI at the holding company level held cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$13.2 million and had short and long-term indebtedness outstanding in the amount of \$6.3 million and \$17.7 million, respectively. In October 2001, UICI's two principal domestic insurance subsidiaries declared regular dividends in the aggregate amount of \$40.0 million to be paid to the holding company prior to December 31, 2001.

7

Giving effect to the payment through October 31, 2001 of \$22.0 million of such dividends, at November 1, 2001 UICI at the holding company level held cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$37.6 million.

The Company currently estimates that, through December 31, 2001, the holding company will have operating cash requirements in the amount of approximately \$25.4 million. The Company currently anticipates that these cash requirements at the holding company level will be funded by cash on hand, cash received from interest income, the balance of dividends to be paid from domestic



## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

and offshore insurance companies and tax sharing reimbursements from subsidiaries (which will be partially offset by holding company operating expenses).

### NOTE C - INVESTMENT IN HEALTHAXIS, INC. (FORMERLY HEALTHAXIS.COM, INC.)

At September 30, 2001, the Company held 25,214,556 shares of common stock of Healthaxis, Inc. (HAXS: Nasdaq) ("HAI") (including 354,844 shares acquired on May 23, 2001 from a former employee of HAI for a purchase price of \$400,000), representing approximately 47.6% of the issued and outstanding shares of HAI. Of such 25,214,556 shares held by the Company, 8,581,714 shares (representing 16.2% of HAI's total issued and outstanding shares) were through November 7, 2001 subject to the terms of a Voting Trust Agreement, pursuant to which trustees unaffiliated with the Company have the right to vote such shares. In addition, the Company holds (a) a warrant to purchase 12,291 shares of HAI common stock at an exercise price of \$3.01 per HAI share; (b) a warrant to purchase 200,100 shares of HAI common stock at an exercise price of \$4.40 per HAI share; (c) a warrant to purchase 10,005 shares of HAI common stock at an exercise price of \$12.00 per share; and (d) \$1.67 million aggregate principal amount of HAI 2% convertible debentures, which are convertible into an aggregate of 185,185 shares of HAI common stock.

Effective November 7, 2001, (a) Gregory T. Mutz and Patrick J. McLaughlin (the President and a director of UICI, respectively) resigned from the Board of Directors of HAI; (b) the Voting Trust Agreement was terminated; and (c) for the sole purpose of electing directors to the board of directors of HAI, UICI appointed as its proxies the board of directors of HAI, with power to vote 33-1/3% of the number of HAI shares held of record from time to time by UICI, with such proxies to vote such in favor of the nominees that a majority of the directors of HAI shall have recommended stand for election. The authority granted to such proxies will terminate at the earlier to occur of (i) November 7, 2011, (ii) such date as UICI beneficially holds less than 25% of the outstanding shares of common stock of HAI on a fully diluted basis, (iii) such date as any person or persons acting as a "group" beneficially holds a greater percentage of the outstanding shares of HAI common stock on a fully diluted basis than the percentage beneficially owned by UICI, or (iv) the filing by HAI of a voluntary petition in bankruptcy or the filing by a third party of an involuntary petition in bankruptcy with respect to HAI.

The Company accounts for its investment in HAI utilizing the equity method and, accordingly, recognizes its ratable share of HAI income and loss (computed prior to amortization of goodwill recorded by HealthAxis.com in connection with the January 7, 2000 merger of Insurdata Incorporated (formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of UICI) with and into HealthAxis.com). At September 30, 2001, the Company's carrying value of its investment in HAI was \$9.6 million. The Company's equity in the loss of HAI in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 was \$(1.3) million and \$(9.2) million, respectively, compared to \$(1.1) million and \$(16.3) million, respectively for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2000.

Set forth below is summary condensed balance sheet and income statement data for HAI as of and for the three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2001. This financial information has been adjusted to exclude the effects of push-down accounting for the January 7, 2000 merger of Insurdata Incorporated with and into HealthAxis.com.

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2001	
	----- (IN THOUSANDS)	
Assets		
Cash and current assets .....	\$	17,937
Property and equipment .....		7,855
Other assets .....		6,203
		-----
Total assets .....	\$	31,995
		=====
Liabilities		
Accounts payable and accrued expenses .....	\$	4,180
Debt .....		27,194
Other liabilities .....		3,554
		-----
Total liabilities .....		34,928
Stockholders' equity (deficit) .....		(2,933)
		-----
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit) ...	\$	31,995
		=====

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2001	NINE MONTHS EN SEPTEMBER 30, 2
	----- (IN THOUSANDS)	----- (IN THOUSANDS)
Revenue .....	\$ 11,218	\$ 33,5
Expenses .....	(13,023)	(52,9
	-----	-----
Net loss .....	\$ (1,805)	\$ (19,3
	=====	=====

Pursuant to the terms of an information technology services agreement, amended and restated as of January 3, 2000 (the "Services Agreement"), HAI provides information systems and software development services (including administration of the Company's computer data center) to the Company and its insurance company affiliates. The Services Agreement has an initial five-year term ending on January 3, 2005, which is subject to extension by the Company. The Services Agreement is non-exclusive and terminable by the Company or HAI at any time upon not less than 180 days' notice to the other party.

Pursuant to the terms of the Services Agreement, UICI paid to HAI \$7.0 million and \$23.7 million, respectively in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, compared to \$6.9 million and \$20.4 million, respectively, in the comparable 2000 periods. HAI generated revenues of \$11.2 million and \$33.6 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, respectively, of which 62.1% and 70.7%, respectively, were derived from information systems and software development services provided to UICI and its affiliates.

NOTE D - LONG TERM DEBT

Effective July 27, 2000, the Company and a limited liability company controlled by the Company's Chairman ("Lender LLC") completed a restructuring of the terms of a \$70.0 million loan originally to a newly-formed subsidiary of the

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

Company in March 2000 (the "Lender LLC Loan"). As part of the restructuring, the Company paid to Lender LLC principal owing on the Lender LLC Loan in the amount of \$6.0 million and amended the terms of the Lender LLC Loan to provide that the aggregate principal amount of \$70.0 million then owing by the Company would consist of a \$32.0 million unsecured tranche and a \$38.0 million tranche secured by a pledge of 100% of the capital stock of Mid-West National Life Insurance Company of Tennessee ("Mid-West") (the "Amended Lender LLC Loan"). The Amended Lender LLC Loan (a) matured on January 1, 2002, (b) continued to bear interest at the prevailing prime rate from time to time, with interest accruing but not payable until the earlier to occur of full prepayment of the Lender LLC Loan or January 1, 2002, and (c) was mandatorily prepayable monthly to the extent of 1% of the original outstanding principal balance of the Amended Lender LLC Loan. The security interest in all remaining collateral previously pledged to secure payment of the Lender LLC Loan and indebtedness outstanding under the bank credit facility (including all investment securities and shares of the Company's National Motor Club unit) was released in full.

In addition to scheduled principal payments totaling \$3.5 million made during the course of 2000, on October 20, 2000, the Company prepaid the unsecured tranche of the Amended Lender LLC Loan in the amount of \$12.5 million. In addition, on November 2, 2000, the Company prepaid an additional \$17.4 million of the unsecured

9

tranche and \$17.6 million of the secured tranche. Accordingly, at December 31, 2000, the Company had no indebtedness outstanding under the unsecured tranche and \$19.0 million outstanding under the secured tranche of the Amended Lender LLC Loan.

On January 30, 2001, the Company prepaid in full all principal and accrued interest on the secured tranche of the Amended Lender LLC Loan in the amount of \$21.1 million, utilizing a portion of the proceeds received in the liquidation of United Credit National Bank ("UCNB"). Lender LLC's security interest in 100% of the capital stock of Mid-West National was released in full.

On July 19, 2000, the Company's offshore-domiciled insurance companies incurred indebtedness with an institutional lender in the amount of \$24.0 million. The indebtedness bore interest at the per annum rate of 11.0%, was scheduled to mature on August 1, 2001, was secured by a pledge of all of the assets of the offshore companies, and was guaranteed by the Company. The proceeds of the borrowing were advanced to the holding company to fulfill the liquidity needs at the holding company. On May 3, 2001, all then-outstanding principal and accrued interest on the loan in the amount of \$6.1 million was paid in full.

On June 28, 2001, Academic Management Services Corp. ("AMS") paid off its remaining senior indebtedness in the amount of \$14.3 million, the proceeds of which were utilized in 1999 to fund a portion of the purchase price for AMS' tuition installment business. At December 31, 2000, this senior indebtedness amounted to \$21.3 million and was included in student loan credit facilities on the Company's consolidated balance sheet. During the nine months ended September 30, 2001, AMS incurred \$675,000 of interest expense under this facility.

On June 30, 2001, the Company made its scheduled principal payment of \$4.0 million on its 8.75% Senior Notes. At September 30, 2001, the Company had \$11.9 million principal amount of Senior Notes outstanding.

On April 27, 2001, the Company completed a \$100.0 million

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

securitization of alternative (i.e., non-federally guaranteed) student loans originated by the Company's College Fund Life Division. The securitization consisted of two \$50.0 million series of Student Loan Asset Backed Notes issued by a bankruptcy-remote special purpose corporation (the "SPC"). Interest rates on the notes reset monthly in a Dutch auction process, with the initial rate set at 4.75% for each of the Series A and Series B notes. At September 30, 2001, the interest rates on the notes were 3.70% and 2.95% for Series A and Series B, respectively. The notes are secured by a pledge of alternative student loans, are rated Aaa by Moody's Investor Service and AAA by Fitch, Inc. and are insured by MBIA. As part of the transaction, the SPC acquired a \$70.1 million portfolio of alternative student loans from various affiliates of the Company, including \$11.0 million of loans previously held by UICI Funding Corp. 2, \$29.1 million of loans held by AMS, \$24.0 million of loans held by The MEGA Life and Health Insurance Company and \$6.0 million of loans held by Mid-West. As part of the securitization, a loan acquisition fund was established to acquire in the future up to an additional \$19.1 million of student loans originated by the Company's College Fund Life Division. On a consolidated basis, the Company continues to carry on its balance sheet the alternative student loans and the associated \$100.0 million of indebtedness (which is included on the Company's consolidated balance sheet as student loan credit facilities) arising from the transaction.

NOTE E - INCOME TAXES

The Company's effective tax rate on operations for the three and nine-month period ended September 30, 2001 was approximately 31% and 30%, respectively, compared to 45% and 46%, respectively, for the same period in 2000. The decrease in effective tax rate for the 2001 periods was primarily due to the fact that the Company has not provided a tax expense for AMS' 2001 earnings or a tax benefit on AMS' 2000 losses. Prior to August 3, 2001, AMS was 75%-owned by the Company and filed a separate federal income tax return. On August 3, 2001, the Company acquired the remaining 25% common stock interest in AMS that the Company did not already own. For periods commencing subsequent to the effective date upon which the Company became the 100% owner of AMS, AMS will be included in the Company's consolidated return. The significant operating tax loss carryover of AMS (approximately \$39.5 million at December 31, 2000) arising before the acquisition of the remaining 25% ownership is not eligible for utilization in the Company's consolidated income tax return except to the extent of AMS' taxable income included therein. Therefore, no tax effect will be provided on AMS' operations until the operating tax loss carryover expires or is utilized to offset taxable income at AMS.

10

NOTE F - EARNINGS (LOSS) PER SHARE

The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings (loss) per share:

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTHS EN SEPTEMBER 3	
	2001	2000	2001	2000
	-----			
	(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNT)			
Income (loss) available to common shareholders:				
Income from continuing operations available				
to Common shareholders .....	\$ 11,875	\$ 9,016	\$ 36,594	\$
Loss from discontinued operations .....	--	--	--	(

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

Net income .....	\$ 11,875	\$ 9,016	\$ 36,594	\$
Weighted average shares outstanding				
-- basic earnings (loss) per share .....	46,255	46,626	46,628	
Effect of dilutive securities:				
Employee stock options and other shares .....	940	1,161	1,173	
Weighted average shares outstanding -- dilutive				
Earnings (loss) per share .....	47,195	47,787	47,801	
Basic earnings (loss) per share				
From continuing operations .....	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.78	\$
From discontinued operations .....	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Net income .....	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.78	\$
Diluted earnings (loss) per share				
From continuing operations .....	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.77	\$
From discontinued operations .....	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Net income .....	\$ 0.25	\$ 0.19	\$ 0.77	\$

NOTE G - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is a party to the following material legal proceedings:

Securities Class Action Litigation

As previously disclosed, in December 1999 and February 2000, the Company and certain of its executive officers were named as defendants in three securities class action lawsuits alleging, among other things, that the Company's periodic filings with the SEC contained untrue statements of material facts and/or failed to disclose all material facts relating to the condition of the Company's credit card business, in violation of Section 10(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and Rule 10b-5 thereunder. The three cases have been subsequently consolidated as Herbert R. Silver, et al. v. UICI et al, which is pending in U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Texas. Plaintiffs purport to represent a class of persons who purchased UICI common stock from February 10, 1999 through December 9, 1999. On June 12, 2000, plaintiffs filed a consolidated amended class action complaint, amending, consolidating and supplementing the allegations made in the original cases.

On October 10, 2001, the Court denied defendants' motion to dismiss the case, and UICI is required to file an answer to the allegations in the complaint on or before November 25, 2001. UICI expects the discovery phase of the litigation to commence shortly.

The Company believes that the allegations in the complaint are wholly without merit and intends to continue to vigorously contest the allegations in the case.

Sun Communications Litigation

As previously disclosed, UICI and Ronald L. Jensen (the Company's Chairman) are involved in litigation (Sun Communications, Inc. v. SunTech Processing Systems, LLC, UICI, Ronald L. Jensen, et al) (the "Sun Litigation") with a third party concerning the distribution of the cash proceeds from the sale and liquidation of SunTech Processing Systems, LLC ("STP") assets in February 1998. The Dallas County, Texas District Court ruled in December 1998

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

that, as a matter of law, a March 1997 agreement governing the distribution of such cash proceeds should be read in the manner urged by Sun Communications, Inc. ("Sun") and consistent with a court-appointed liquidator's previous ruling. The District Court entered a judgment directing distribution of the sales proceeds in the manner urged by Sun. The District Court also entered a finding that UICI had violated Texas securities disclosure

11

laws and breached a fiduciary duty owed to Sun, and the District Court awarded the plaintiff \$1.7 million in attorneys' fees, which amount could be increased to \$2.1 million under certain circumstances.

On August 1, 2000, the Court of Appeals for the Fifth District of Texas at Dallas reversed the trial court's judgment as to UICI's liability for attorneys' fees and its finding that UICI breached a fiduciary duty. The Appeals Court also reversed the trial court's judgment that directed distribution of the STP sales proceeds in the manner urged by Sun. On December 8, 2000, the Appeals Court affirmed its earlier decision, further reversed the trial court's finding that the Company had violated the Texas Securities Act and denied the Company's, Mr. Jensen's and Sun's respective motions for rehearing. On May 10, 2001, the Texas Supreme Court denied Sun's petition to review of the Court of Appeals opinion, and the case has now been remanded to the District Court for trial on the issues concerning the interpretation of the March 1997 agreement and the alleged breach of fiduciary duty claim. No trial date has been set.

In the brief filed in his appeal of the District Court's December 1998 finding, Mr. Jensen reasserted that the March 1997 agreement requires that, before STP can make a distribution to UICI and Sun, it must advance approximately \$10.0 million to Mr. Jensen in satisfaction of certain creditor and preferred equity claims. If and to the extent that Mr. Jensen's interpretation of the March 1997 agreement is ultimately adopted in the Sun Litigation after all rights to appeal have been exhausted, the amount of such proceeds that UICI may ultimately receive directly from STP may be reduced. However, in such event and in accordance with an agreement reached with the Company in June 1998 (the "Assurance Agreement"), Mr. Jensen has agreed that, if UICI receives less than \$15.1 million in the lawsuit, then Mr. Jensen will advance funds to UICI sufficient to increase UICI's recovery to \$15.1 million. The Assurance Agreement also restricts the manner in which UICI can seek funds in satisfaction of Mr. Jensen's previously unconditional agreement (the "Jensen 1996 Guaranty") to indemnify the Company for any loss or reduction in value of the Company's Class A investment in Cash Delivery Systems, LLC.

By letter dated July 7, 2000, Mr. Jensen submitted a formal proposal to purchase the Company's 80% interest in STP for \$15.6 million ("Proposal A") or, alternatively, to purchase for \$15.1 million the Company's rights and claim of rights to receive funds held in the registry of the Court in the Sun Litigation ("Proposal B"). As part of either proposal, the Company would agree to terminate and release Mr. Jensen from any and all obligations arising under the Jensen 1996 Guaranty and the Assurance Agreement. As part of Mr. Jensen's proposals, Mr. Jensen has offered to indemnify and hold the Company harmless from and against, among other things, (a) the breach of fiduciary duty claim asserted by Sun against the Company and Sun's related claim for attorneys' fees, (b) Sun's claim for attorneys' fees arising out of the distribution issue in the Sun Litigation, and (c) any and all other claims of any nature asserted by Sun against the Company in the Sun Litigation arising out of or relating directly to the March 1997 agreement governing the distribution of cash proceeds from the sale and liquidation of STP.

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

The Company solicited the consent of Sun to the transfer so that it might accept Proposal A, but Sun was unwilling to grant such consent and also objected to Proposal B, claiming that Sun's consent is required to consummate either Proposal. Following approval of the disinterested outside directors of UICI in accordance with the related party transactions policies and procedures adopted by the UICI Board, on July 21, 2000, the Company formally accepted Proposal A and, in the alternative, Proposal B. On November 22, 2000, the Court in the Company's pending Shareholder Derivative Litigation (see discussion below) approved the alternative settlements between Mr. Jensen and the Company, subject to any alleged right on the part of Sun to consent to Proposal A and/or Proposal B.

The Company subsequently sued Sun separately (UICI v. Sun Communications, Inc., pending in 134th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, Cause No. 009353), seeking to resolve the consent issue. Sun's motion to abate the separate suit pending disposition of the Sun case was denied by the Court at a hearing held on August 2, 2001. On October 16, 2001, the Court granted UICI's motion for partial summary judgment and ordered, among other things, that (a) Sun's consent to Proposal B is not required, (b) no injunction or order previously issued by the Court precludes or otherwise limits UICI from completing Proposal A and (c) Sun waived and is estopped to assert any right to prevent UICI's assignment of its interest in STP as contemplated by Proposal A.

The Company cannot at this time predict how, when or in what fashion the Sun Litigation will ultimately be resolved. However, for financial reporting purposes, any cash ultimately received by the Company from Mr. Jensen pursuant to the Assurance Agreement may be treated as a capital contribution to the Company, and the pre-tax gain recognized by UICI in 1998 from the STP sale would be reduced by a corresponding amount. In such case,

12

however, the Company's consolidated stockholders' equity would not be adversely affected. In 1998, the Company's results of operations reflected a pre-tax gain from the STP sale of \$9.7 million (\$6.7 million after tax, or \$0.15 per share).

### Shareholder Derivative Litigation

As previously disclosed, on June 1, 1999, the Company was named as a nominal defendant in a shareholder derivative action captioned Richard Schappel v. UICI, Ronald Jensen, Richard Estell, Vernon Woelke, J. Michael Jaynes, Gary Friedman, John Allen, Charles T. Prater, Richard Mockler and Robert B. Vlach, which was filed in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas (the "Shareholder Derivative Litigation"). The plaintiff asserted on behalf of UICI various derivative claims brought against the individual defendants, alleging, among other things, breach of fiduciary duty, conversion, usurpation of corporate opportunity, waste of corporate assets, constructive fraud, negligent misrepresentation, conspiracy and breach of contract. Plaintiff sought (a) to recover for UICI's benefit all damages caused by such alleged breach of the officers' and directors' duties to UICI, (b) to compel UICI to conduct a complete accounting and audit relating to all related party transactions and to fully and completely restate, report and disclose such transactions, and (c) injunctions against the Company prohibiting, among other things, any existing or future transactions between UICI and any and all entities related to Ronald L. Jensen, unless each such transaction was fully and fairly disclosed to UICI shareholders, and requiring the Company to, among other things, freeze, review and where appropriate rescind all related party transactions. The plaintiff in the Shareholder Derivative Litigation is also the president of Sun (the plaintiff in the Sun Litigation), and substantially all of the initial claims

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

made in the Shareholder Derivative Litigation arose out of the same transactions that serve as the factual underpinning to the Sun Communications Litigation referred to above.

At the regular quarterly meeting of the Company's Board of Directors held on August 4, 1999, George Lane III and Stuart D. Bilton (non-employee directors of the Company) were appointed, in accordance with Texas and Delaware law, to serve as a special committee (the "Special Litigation Committee") to investigate and assess on behalf of the Company the underlying claims made in the Shareholder Derivative Litigation.

In a series of reports delivered in March 2000, September 2000 and January 2001, the Special Litigation Committee rendered to the Board of Directors of UICI its findings with respect to the allegations in the suit. Based on its review and assessment of the allegations, the Special Litigation Committee recommended, among other things, that the Company (a) seek the release to UICI of approximately \$7.6 million of uncontested proceeds from the STP sale held in the District Court's registry; (b) seek from Mr. Jensen and/or former management certain legal fees incurred by UICI in connection with the Sun Litigation that it believes were incurred without appropriate board approval (which fees were reimbursed by Mr. Jensen in July 2000); (c) seek reimbursement of certain legal fees awarded to Sun if and only if certain ongoing appeals prove unsuccessful; (d) implement certain heightened related-party transaction controls; (e) seek dismissal of the derivative claims related to nine specific transactions reviewed by the Special Litigation Committee; (f) make certain supplemental disclosures with respect to certain of the related party transactions that were the subject of the complaints, and (g), with respect to one of the nine related party transactions reviewed by the Special Litigation Committee, seek reimbursement of a portion of compensation paid to an employee of the Company during the period 1995-1996 (which compensation was recovered by the Company in December 2000). In each case, the Company's Board of Directors affirmed the Special Litigation Committee's findings and recommendations and directed management to implement the specific recommendations as promptly as practicable.

In its final report delivered on January 25, 2001, the Special Litigation Committee found that it "has uncovered absolutely no evidence of any pattern of behavior that would suggest any motive to disadvantage the Company on the part of any of UICI's present or former officers or directors," and concluded that, in its opinion and except with respect to the compensation previously recovered from the employee and the legal fees previously recovered from Mr. Jensen (see discussion above), the "related party transactions that were undertaken accrued to the significant benefit of UICI." Finally, the Special Litigation Committee recommended dismissal of plaintiff's lawsuit in its entirety. At a meeting held on February 28, 2001, the UICI Board of Directors accepted the final recommendations and conclusions of the Special Litigation Committee.

On June 20, 2001, the Court granted UICI's motion to dismiss the case in its entirety, reserving the issue of attorneys' fees and expenses, which may be awarded under Texas law. Plaintiff subsequently filed an application for attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses, alleging that he was entitled to approximately \$2.7 million in legal

fees and approximately \$34,000 in expenses. Alleging that the case was brought for an improper purpose, the Company also filed an application for its attorneys' fees and reimbursement of expenses in the aggregate amount of



## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

approximately \$2.3 million.

Following a three-day trial held in September and October 2001 on the issue of attorneys' fees, on October 14, 2001 the Court entered a final judgment in the case. In its final order, the Court (a) found that plaintiff through the derivative proceeding had conferred a "substantial benefit" upon UICI and, as a result, the Court ordered UICI to pay attorneys' fees to plaintiff in the amount of \$540,000; (b) found that, as to the individual defendants (other than Mr. Jensen), plaintiffs had commenced and maintained the litigation for an "improper purpose" under Texas law and, as a result, the Court ordered the plaintiff to pay to UICI the amount of \$167,000, representing attorneys' fees expended by UICI on behalf of such individual defendants in the case; and (c) awarded to UICI the amount of \$14,000, representing fees incurred by UICI in defense of a specific motion made by plaintiff in the litigation not warranted by existing law or a good faith argument for the extension, modification or reversal of existing law. Finally, the Court found that certain statements made by plaintiff in the pleadings in the case were "groundless, false and brought for the purpose of harassment and with the intent to cause harm to or injure" Mr. Jensen and UICI, for which the plaintiff was ordered to pay to Mr. Jensen \$5,000 as a judicial sanction in accordance with Texas law. The final judgment is appealable by all of the parties to the litigation, though UICI has not yet determined whether or not it intends to appeal the decision.

### ACE and AFCA Litigation

As previously disclosed, the Company is a party to a lawsuit (American Credit Educators, LLC and American Fair Credit Association, Inc. v. UICI and United Credit National Bank, pending in the United States District Court for the District of Colorado), which was initially filed as separate lawsuits in February 2000 by American Credit Educators, LLC ("ACE") and American Fair Credit Association, Inc. ("AFCA"), organizations through which United CreditServ formerly marketed its credit card programs. In the suit, plaintiffs initially alleged, among other things, that UCNB breached its agreements with ACE and AFCA, sought injunctive relief and a declaratory judgment and claimed money damages in an indeterminate amount. ACE and AFCA are each controlled by Phillip A. Gray, the former head of UICI's credit card operations.

On June 11, 2001, UICI and UCNB filed a motion for a preliminary and permanent injunction against ACE to prevent ACE from further collection activities with respect to certain UCNB cardholder accounts and to require ACE to remove all negative credit bureau reports related to such collection activities. A hearing on the injunction motion was held on November 2, 2001.

On July 26, 2001, the Court issued an order granting UICI's motion to substitute UICI for UCNB as a party defendant and dismissing a significant number of plaintiffs' claims. UICI's motion to dismiss was denied by the Court as to AFCA's claims for breach of contract, declaratory judgment and interference with contractual relations and ACE's claims for breach of contract and for an accounting.

In its answer filed on August 15, 2001, the Company asserted numerous defenses to the plaintiffs' remaining claims. UICI and United CreditServ also asserted numerous counterclaims against ACE and AFCA, including, among other things, breach of contract, breach of fiduciary duty, fraud and civil conspiracy, and UICI and UCS have claimed damages in an indeterminate amount. ACE and AFCA have filed a partial motion to dismiss the counterclaims, and UICI's and United CreditServ's response to that motion was filed on October 29, 2001.

The Company intends to vigorously defend and pursue its counterclaims in the litigation.

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

In a separate suit filed on March 26, 2001 (UICI, United Marketing Membership Group, Inc., and UMMG-Colorado, LLC f/k/a United Membership Marketing Group Ltd. Liability Co. v. Philip A. Gray and PAG Family Partners, LLC., pending in the District Court of Dallas County, Texas), the Company sued Philip A. Gray individually ("Gray") and a related limited liability company, alleging, among other things, fraud, negligent misrepresentation, and breach of fiduciary duty in connection with the Company's sub prime credit card business.

On April 30, 2001, Gray answered and removed the case to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Texas. The Company subsequently moved to remand the case back to Texas state court, which motion is pending before the court.

14

### Mitchell Litigation

As previously disclosed, the Company is one of three named defendants in a class action suit filed in 1997 (Dadra Mitchell v. American Fair Credit Association, United Membership Marketing Group, LLC and UICI) pending in California state court (the "Mitchell case"), in which plaintiffs have alleged that defendants violated California law regarding unfair and deceptive trade practices by making misleading representations about, and falsely advertising the nature and quality of, the benefits of membership in American Fair Credit Association ("AFCA"). Plaintiffs also filed a companion case in federal district court in San Francisco captioned Dadra Mitchell v. BankFirst, N.A., which alleges violations of the federal Truth in Lending Act and Regulation Z. on the theory that the 90-day notice period required for termination of AFCA membership was not properly disclosed. The sole defendant in the federal case (the "BankFirst case") is BankFirst, N.A., a bank that issued a VISA credit card made available through the AFCA program.

On May 4, 2000, the court in the BankFirst case granted BankFirst's motion for summary judgment and entered a judgment terminating the case in favor of BankFirst and against plaintiff Mitchell. Plaintiff Mitchell subsequently filed a notice of appeal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. Oral argument on the appeal was held on November 6, 2001.

In October 2000, the state court in the Mitchell case granted, in part, and denied, in part the joint motions of UICI, AFCA and UMMG to compel arbitration and to narrow the scope of the plaintiff class. The court severed from the class action the claims for recovery of money by way of damages or restitution of class members who joined AFCA after January 1, 1998 and who executed signed arbitration agreements. However, the state court denied UICI's motion to compel arbitration with respect to these class members' claims for injunctive relief and, as a result, their claims for injunctive relief remain part of the class action. With respect to class members who were existing members of AFCA in January of 1998 and who received through the mail an amendment adding arbitration of disputes to their AFCA membership agreement, the state court denied UICI's motion to compel arbitration unless the member also signed a separate arbitration agreement. In addition, the state court clarified that its prior April 12, 1999 order certified a class with respect to all claims pleaded in the complaint, not solely claims under the California Credit Services Act of 1984.

On October 12, 2000, UICI, jointly with defendants AFCA and UMMG, filed a Notice of Appeal from the state court's October 2000 orders and from its original class certification order dated April 12, 1999. By letter dated October 12, 2000, defendants notified plaintiffs of the filing of their Notice of Appeal

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

and, consequently, all trial court proceedings in the Mitchell case were stayed. As of September 17, 2001, UICI's appeal in the California Court of Appeals was fully briefed and awaiting further proceedings.

UICI has not received notice from plaintiff Mitchell of a motion for any relief from the stay, and there have been no further proceedings in the state court. Accordingly, at this time, it is unclear whether or not plaintiffs will move for relief from the stay of proceedings, and, if so, what relief from the stay, if any, will be granted to plaintiffs pending the outcome of UICI's appeal.

### Reinsurance Litigation

As previously disclosed, on November 3, 2000, The MEGA Life and Health Insurance Company (a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company) ("MEGA") was named as a party defendant in a suit filed by General & Cologne Life Re of America ("Cologne Re") (General & Cologne Life Re of America vs. The MEGA Life and Health Insurance Company), which is currently pending in the High Court of Justice, Queen's Bench Division, Commercial Court, Royal Courts of Justice, in London, England. Plaintiff has alleged that it is due the sum of Pound Sterling 1,592,358.54 (approximately US \$2.3 million as of September 30, 2001) for losses incurred in a health insurance program in the United Kingdom in which Cologne Re was a cedent of reinsurance and MEGA was Cologne Re's retrocessionaire.

The dispute centers on alleged disclosures and non-disclosures concerning the program and the financial condition of the third party administrator. A defense has been filed by MEGA, and Cologne Re recently submitted a reply pleading, which is presently under review by counsel. English law provides for extensive document discovery, which is being undertaken by both parties.

15

The Company believes that MEGA has meritorious defenses and counterclaims against Cologne Re, which it intends to pursue vigorously.

### Gottstein Litigation

As previously disclosed, UICI, Ronald L. Jensen, and UGA, Inc. are party defendants in a purported class action lawsuit filed in November 1998 (Gottstein, et al. v. The National Association for the Self-Employed, et al., pending in the United States District Court for the District of Kansas). The class representatives have alleged fraud, conspiracy to commit fraud, breach of fiduciary duty, violation of the Kansas Consumer Protection Act, conspiracy to commit RICO violations, and violation of RICO, all arising out of the concurrent sales of individual health insurance policies underwritten and marketed by PFL Life Insurance Company ("PFL") and memberships in The National Association for the Self-Employed. On February 1, 2001, the court approved a settlement including all potential class members in all states, including Kansas. Under the terms of a cost sharing agreement with a unit of AEGON USA, UICI and/or MEGA will be obligated to reimburse the AEGON USA unit for 50% of the cash cost of the settlement.

Disposition of the case under the current terms of the settlement will not have a material adverse effect upon the Company.

### State of Connecticut Investigation

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

As previously disclosed, on April 19, 2000, the Connecticut Attorney General's Office served upon UCNB a Civil Investigative Demand, seeking information regarding UCNB's credit card fees, disclosures, marketing practices, affinity relationships and the handling of payments from consumers to UCNB. On May 26, 2000, UCNB submitted a timely response to the information request.

### Comptroller of the Currency Consent Order

As previously disclosed, the Company is subject to a Consent Order, initially issued by the United States Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC") on June 29, 2000 and as modified on January 29, 2001, confirming the obligations of the Company to assume all obligations of UCNB. Until January 29, 2001, UCNB was a special purpose national bank headquartered in Sioux Falls, South Dakota, and an indirect wholly owned (except for directors' qualifying shares) subsidiary of the Company. On January 29, 2001, the Company completed the voluntary liquidation of UCNB, in accordance with the terms of a plan of voluntary liquidation approved by the OCC.

In the event that UICI fails to comply with the terms of the Consent Order, as modified, such failure could result in sanctions brought against the Company and its officers and directors, including the assessment of civil money penalties and enforcement of the Consent Order in Federal District Court.

### Roe Litigation

As previously disclosed, on March 8, 2001, UICI and UCNB were named as defendants in a case (Timothy M. Roe v. Phillip A. Gray, American Fair Credit Association, Inc., UICI, UCNB, et al) filed in the U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado. On his own behalf and on behalf of a purported class of similarly situated individuals, plaintiff in connection with the AFCA credit card program has alleged breach of contract and violations of the federal Credit Repair Organizations Act and the Truth-In-Lending Act and seeks certain declaratory relief.

On October 10, 2001, the Court granted the motion of UICI, UCNB and each of the other named defendants to stay the litigation (the "Colorado action") pending arbitration pursuant to the Federal Arbitration Act. Accordingly, the court in the Colorado action entered an order administratively retiring the Colorado action from its docket subject to reactivation for good cause shown. The defendants had previously filed a petition to compel arbitration against the individual named plaintiff in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of North Carolina, the judicial district wherein the named plaintiff resides. The petitions to compel arbitration are pending.

The Company intends to pursue arbitration in North Carolina of the individual plaintiff's claims (as set forth in the complaint in the Colorado action.) The Company believes that it has meritorious defenses to these allegations and intends to vigorously contest these allegations in the proper forum.

### New Mexico Class Action Litigation

As previously disclosed, on June 1, 2001, UICI and MEGA were served as parties defendant in a purported class action (Frances C. Chandler, Individually and as a Representative of a Class of Similarly Situated Persons, vs. PFL Life Insurance Company, UICI, The MEGA Life and Health Insurance Company, et al.) initially filed on January 12, 2001 and pending in United States District Court

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

for the District of New Mexico (Albuquerque). On her own behalf and on behalf of an alleged class of similarly situated individuals, plaintiff has alleged that sales materials associated with a group hospital benefit health insurance plan sponsored, marketed, underwritten, reinsured and/or administered by defendants contained incomplete, inaccurate, misleading and/or false statements, and that benefits and treatment were denied plaintiffs with attendant credit damage, pain and suffering and loss of enjoyment. Plaintiffs have alleged, among other things, breach of contract, misrepresentation, breach of fiduciary duties, unjust enrichment, and the violation of the duty of good faith and fair dealing.

Plaintiffs initially brought the case in New Mexico state court, and the case was subsequently removed to federal court on the basis of diversity and amount in controversy. Defendants filed an answer denying all claims on July 6, 2001, and plaintiffs subsequently filed a motion to remand the case to state court, which motion is pending. Since this class action suit is in a preliminary stage, no discovery has been conducted and the Company is unable at this time to assess its ultimate exposure, if any, in the case.

### United Credit National Bank Shareholder Derivative Litigation

As previously disclosed, various former directors and officers of United Credit National Bank have been named as defendants in a shareholder derivative action (William K. Lester, on behalf of United Credit National Bank, v. Ronald L. Jensen, Gregory T. Mutz, et al), which was filed on June 29, 2001 and is pending in the District Court of Harris County, Texas. The plaintiff has asserted on behalf of UCNB various derivative claims brought against the individual defendants, alleging, among other things, negligence in connection with the operations of UCNB. In December 2000, plaintiff made a demand on the Board of Directors of United Credit National Bank to investigate and assess certain alleged derivative claims. The Board of Directors constituted a special committee to investigate and assess the asserted derivative claims, and the special committee determined that the claims were wholly without merit.

A number of defendants have filed special appearances challenging the Court's personal jurisdiction, and the remaining defendants who have been served in the case have filed a motion to transfer the case to Texas state court in Dallas. Several individual defendants have not yet been personally served in the case. The Court has set a June 17, 2002 trial date.

UICI has agreed to advance the expenses of the individual defendants incurred in connection with the defense of the case, subject to the defendants' undertaking to repay such advances unless it is ultimately determined that they are or would have been entitled to indemnification by UICI under the terms of the Company's bylaws.

### Academic Management Services Corp. Class Action Litigation

As previously disclosed, Academic Management Services Corp. (formerly Education Finance Group, Inc.) has been named as a party defendant in a purported class action suit (Timothy A. McCulloch, et al. v. Educational Finance Group Inc. et al) filed on June 20, 2001 in the United States District Court for the Southern District of Florida (Miami). On his own behalf and on behalf of an alleged class of similarly situated individuals, plaintiff has alleged, among other things, that, in connection with the marketing and origination of federally-insured Parent Plus student loans, AMS and other defendants violated certain provisions of the federal Higher Education Act, were negligent, committed mail and wire fraud, breached a fiduciary duty owed to plaintiffs and made negligent misrepresentations.

On October 19, 2001, the Court granted defendants' motion to dismiss the case in its entirety, dismissing with prejudice plaintiffs' claims under the Higher Education Act and dismissing without prejudice plaintiffs' state law

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

claims. Plaintiff's motion to reconsider the motion to dismiss is currently pending before the Court.

17

The Company believes that the claims are wholly without merit, and AMS intends to vigorously contest the case.

### Other Matters

The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various other pending legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including some asserting significant damages arising from claims under insurance policies, disputes with agents and other matters. Based in part upon the opinion of counsel as to the ultimate disposition of such lawsuits and claims, management believes that the liability, if any, resulting from the disposition of such proceedings will not be material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

### NOTE H - SEGMENT INFORMATION

The Company's operating segments included in operations are: (i) Insurance, which includes the businesses of the Self Employed Agency Division, the Student Insurance Division, the OKC Division, the Special Risk Division, the Senior Markets Division, and the National Motor Club Division (which the Company sold on July 27, 2000); (ii) Financial Services, which includes the businesses of Academic Management Services Corp. ("AMS"), the Company's investment in Healthaxis, Inc. (formerly HealthAxis.com, Inc.) and Third Party Administration (formerly UICI Administrators), and (iii) Other Key Factors.

Other Key Factors includes (a) investment income not allocated to other business segments, (b) interest on non-student loan indebtedness, (c) general expenses relating to corporate operations, (d) realized gains or losses on sale of investments (e) the operations of the Company's AMLI Realty Co. subsidiary (including AMLI Realty Co.'s 20% equity interest in AMLI Commercial Properties Trust, a private real estate investment trust), (f) minority interest, (g) variable stock compensation and (h) amortization of goodwill. Allocations of investment income and certain general expenses are based on a number of assumptions and estimates, and the business segments reported operating results would change if different methods were applied. Certain assets are not individually identifiable by segment and, accordingly, have been allocated by formulas. Segment revenues include premiums and other policy charges and considerations, net investment income, fees and other income. Operations that do not constitute reportable operating segments have been combined with Other Key Factors. Depreciation expense and capital expenditures are not considered material. Management does not allocate income taxes to segments. Transactions between reportable operating segments are accounted for under respective agreements, which provide for such transactions generally at cost.

18

Revenues, income from continuing operations before federal income taxes, and assets by operating segment are set forth in the tables below:

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001	2000	2001	2000
	(IN THOUSANDS)			
Revenues				
Insurance:				
Self Employed Agency .....	\$ 179,342	\$ 141,043	\$ 503,399	\$ 416,744
Student Insurance .....	23,163	19,050	81,477	74,165
OKC Division .....	25,826	23,175	74,165	69,344
Special Risk .....	3,952	13,774	22,596	34,165
Senior Markets .....	2	--	2	--
National Motor Club .....	--	3,340	--	21,165
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	232,285	200,382	681,639	616,744
Financial Services:				
Academic Management Services .....	28,475	40,408	106,161	121,165
Third Party Administration .....	6,091	5,347	18,669	14,165
Gain on sale of HealthAxis.com shares ...	--	--	--	26,165
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	34,566	45,755	124,830	162,165
Other Key Factors .....	9,908	10,183	25,946	26,165
Intersegment Eliminations .....	(591)	(1,079)	(2,507)	(3,165)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total revenues .....	\$ 276,168	\$ 255,241	\$ 829,908	\$ 802,165
	=====	=====	=====	=====

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001	2000
	(IN THOUSANDS)	
Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income taxes:		
Insurance:		
Self Employed Agency .....	\$ 19,220	\$ 17,165
Student Insurance .....	1,235	(1,165)
OKC Division .....	3,025	3,165
Special Risk .....	(2,699)	(1,165)
Senior Markets .....	(537)	--
National Motor Club .....	--	--
	-----	-----
	20,244	20,165
Financial Services:		
Academic Management Services .....	(852)	(3,165)
Third Party Administration .....	(375)	(1,165)
Gain on sale of HealthAxis.com shares .....	--	--
Equity in Healthaxis, Inc. operating loss .....	(1,272)	(1,165)

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

	-----	-----
	(2,499)	(4,
Other Key Factors:		
General corporate expenses and other (including interest on non-student loan indebtedness) .....	3,510	2,
Variable stock compensation .....	(2,890)	(
Goodwill amortization .....	(1,172)	(1,
	-----	-----
	(552)	1,
Total income from continuing operations before federal income taxes .....	\$ 17,193	\$ 16,
	=====	=====

19

	SEPTEMBER 30, 2001	DECEMBER 31, 2000
	-----	-----
	(IN THOUSANDS)	
Assets		
Insurance:		
Self Employed Agency .....	\$ 549,024	\$ 446,106
Student Insurance .....	77,852	78,197
OKC Division .....	792,597	666,552
Special Risk .....	98,409	97,647
	-----	-----
	1,517,882	1,288,502
Financial Services:		
Academic Management Services .....	1,644,053	1,479,217
Third Party Administration .....	5,642	6,392
Investment in Healthaxis, Inc. ...	9,638	18,442
	-----	-----
	1,659,333	1,504,051
Other Key Factors:		
General corporate and other .....	87,372	163,361
Goodwill .....	89,353	92,120
	-----	-----
	176,725	255,481
Total assets .....	\$ 3,353,940	\$ 3,048,034
	=====	=====

NOTE I - RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

Historically, the Company and its subsidiaries have engaged from time to time in transactions and joint investments with executive officers and entities controlled by executive officers, particularly Ronald L. Jensen (the Company's Chairman) and entities in which Mr. Jensen and his adult children have an interest.



## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

### Sale of Interest in SunTech Processing LLC

As previously disclosed, by letter dated July 7, 2000, Mr. Jensen submitted a formal proposal to purchase the Company's 80% interest in SunTech Processing LLC ("STP") for \$15.6 million ("Proposal A") or, alternatively, to purchase for \$15.1 million the Company's rights and claim of rights to receive funds held in the registry of the Court in the Sun Litigation ("Proposal B"). See Note G of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

The Company solicited the consent of Sun Communications Inc. ("Sun") to the transfer so that the Company might accept Proposal A, but Sun was unwilling to grant such consent and also objected to Proposal B, claiming that Sun's consent is required to consummate either Proposal. Following approval of the disinterested outside directors of UICI in accordance with the related party transactions policies and procedures adopted by the UICI Board, on July 21, 2000, the Company formally accepted Proposal A and, in the alternative, Proposal B. On November 22, 2000, the Court in the Company's pending Shareholder Derivative Litigation (see Note G of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements) approved the alternative settlements between Mr. Jensen and the Company, subject to any alleged right on the part of Sun to consent to Proposal A and/or Proposal B.

The Company subsequently sued Sun separately (UICI v. Sun Communications, Inc., pending in 134th Judicial District Court of Dallas County, Texas, Cause No. 009353), seeking to resolve the consent issue. On October 16, 2001, the Court granted UICI's motion for partial summary judgment and ordered, among other things, that (a) Sun's consent to Proposal B is not required, (b) no injunction or order previously issued by the Court precludes or otherwise limits UICI from completing Proposal A and (c) Sun waived and is estopped to assert any right to prevent UICI's assignment of its interest in STP as contemplated by Proposal A. The Company is in the process of preparing definitive documentation to effect Proposal A.

### Acquisition of AMS Minority Interest

On August 3, 2001, the Company completed the acquisition from AMS' former chief executive officer of the remaining 25% common stock interest in AMS it did not already own for a purchase price of \$750,000. For additional consideration, the former chief executive officer and certain former employees of AMS agreed, for a three-year period ending in August 2004, not to engage in any business competitive with AMS' tuition installment or student loan servicing businesses. These former executives and their affiliates further agreed to pay to AMS fees

20

in prescribed amounts in connection with the origination and consolidation of certain student loans over a three-year period ending in August 2004.

### NOTE J - EMPLOYEE AND AGENT STOCK ACCUMULATION PLANS

#### UICI Employee Stock Ownership and Savings Plan

The Company maintains for the benefit of its and its subsidiaries' employees the UICI Employee Stock Ownership and Savings Plan (the "Employee Plan"). The Employee Plan through its 401(k) feature enables eligible employees to make pre-tax contributions to the Employee Plan in an amount not in excess of 15% of compensation (subject to overall limitations) and to direct the investment of such contributions among several investment options, including

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

UICI common stock. A second feature of the Employee Plan constitutes an employee stock ownership plan (the "ESOP"), contributions to which are invested primarily in shares of UICI common stock. The ESOP feature allows participants to receive from UICI and its subsidiaries discretionary matching contributions and to share in certain supplemental contributions made by UICI and its subsidiaries. Contributions by UICI and its subsidiaries to the Employee Plan under the ESOP feature currently vest in prescribed increments over a seven-year period.

On August 11, 2000, the Company issued to the Employee Plan 1,610,000 shares of UICI common stock at a purchase price of \$5.25 per share or \$8.5 million in the aggregate. The purchase price for the shares was paid by delivery to UICI of the Employee Plan's \$8.5 million promissory note (the "Plan Note"), which matures in three years and is secured by a pledge of the purchased shares. The shares of UICI common stock purchased with the Plan Note (the "\$5.25 ESOP Shares") are held in a suspense account for allocation among participants as and when the Company's matching and supplemental contributions to the ESOP are made. It is expected that the Plan Note will be extinguished over a period of approximately two years by crediting the Company's matching and supplemental contribution obligations under the ESOP feature of the Employee Plan against principal and interest due on the Plan Note.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2001, the Company recorded compensation expense associated with contributions to the Employee Plan in the amount of \$5.1 million. Included in the \$5.1 million expense is \$2.3 of stock appreciation, which is reflected in other expenses on the Company's consolidated statement of income. The amount classified as stock appreciation expense represents the incremental compensation expense associated with the allocation during the nine months ended September 30, 2001 of 485,000 \$5.25 ESOP Shares to fund the Company's matching and supplemental contributions to the ESOP. As and when the Company makes matching and supplemental contributions to the ESOP by allocating to participants' accounts the \$5.25 ESOP Shares held in the suspense account, the Company will record additional compensation expense equal to the excess, if any, between the fair value of the shares allocated and \$5.25 per share. Stock appreciation expense is non-cash and will accordingly have no impact on the Company's cash flows or liquidity. The allocated \$5.25 ESOP Shares are considered outstanding for purposes of the computation of earnings per share.

The Company currently estimates that approximately 620,000 \$5.25 ESOP Shares will be allocated to participants' ESOP accounts during 2001. The fair value of the 770,000 unallocated \$5.25 ESOP Shares totaled \$10.5 million at September 30, 2001.

### Agent Stock Accumulation Plans

The Company sponsors a series of stock accumulation plans (the "Agent Plans") established for the benefit of the independent insurance agents and independent sales representatives associated with UGA - Association Field Services, New United Agency, Cornerstone Marketing of America and CFLD Association Field Services.

The Agent Plans generally combine an agent-contribution feature and a Company-match feature. The agent-contribution feature generally provides that eligible participants are permitted to allocate a portion (subject to prescribed limits) of their commissions or other compensation earned on a monthly basis to purchase shares of UICI common stock at the fair market value of such shares at the time of purchase. Under the Company-match feature of the Agent Plans, participants are eligible to have posted to their respective Agent Plan accounts book credits in the form of equivalent shares based on the number of shares of UICI common stock purchased by the participant under the agent-contribution feature of the Agent Plans. The "matching credits" vest over time (generally in prescribed

increments over a ten-year period, commencing the plan year following the plan year during which contributions are first made under the agent-contribution feature), and vested matching credits in a participant's plan account in January of each year are converted from book credits to an equivalent number of shares of UICI common stock. Matching credits forfeited by participants no longer eligible to participate in the Agent Plans are reallocated each year among eligible participants and credited to eligible participants' Agent Plan accounts.

The Agent Plans do not constitute qualified plans under Section 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 or employee benefit plans under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA"), and the Agent Plans are not subject to the vesting, funding, nondiscrimination and other requirements imposed on such plans by the Internal Revenue Code and ERISA.

Prior to July 1, 2000, the Company granted matching credits in an amount equal to the number of shares of UICI common stock purchased by the participant under the agent-contribution feature of the Agent Plans. Effective July 1, 2000, the Company modified the formula for calculating the number of matching credits to be posted to participants' accounts. During the period beginning July 1, 2000 and ending on the earlier of June 30, 2002 or the date that an aggregate of 2,175,000 share equivalents have been granted under this revised formula, the number of matching credits issued to an individual participant will be the greater of (a) the number of matching credits determined each month by dividing the dollar amount of the participant's contribution for that month by \$5.25, or (b) the actual number of shares acquired, at then-current fair market value, by the participant's contribution amount.

Prior to July 1, 2000, the Company purchased UICI shares in the open market from time to time to satisfy its commitment to issue its shares upon vesting of matching credits under the Agent Plans. During the period beginning July 1, 2000 and ending June 30, 2002, the Company will utilize up to 2,175,000 newly-issued shares to satisfy its commitment to deliver shares that will vest under the Company-match feature of the Agent Plans. Under the arrangement effective July 1, 2000, the Company's subsidiaries will transfer to the Company \$5.25 per share for any newly issued shares utilized to fund vested matching credits under the Plans.

For financial reporting purposes, the Company accounts for the Company-match feature of its Agent Plans under EITF 96-18 "Accounting for Equity Instruments that are Issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring or in Connection with Selling Goods and Services," by recognizing commission expense over the vesting period in an amount equal to the fair market value of vested shares at the date of their vesting and distribution to the participants. At each quarter-end, the Company estimates its current liability for unvested matching credits by reference to the number of unvested credits, the current market price of the Company's common stock, and the Company's estimate of the percentage of the vesting period that has elapsed up to the current quarter end. Changes in the liability from one quarter to the next are accounted for as an increase in, or decrease to, commission expense, as the case may be. Upon vesting, the Company releases the accrued liability (equal to the market value of the vested shares at date of vesting) with a corresponding increase to paid-in capital. Unvested matching credits are considered share equivalents outstanding for purposes of the computation of earnings per share.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000, the Company

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

recorded commission expense associated with the Agent Plans in the amount of \$4.3 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

At September 30, 2001, the Company had recorded approximately 1.5 million unvested matching credits associated with the Agent Plans, of which the Company estimates 434,000 will vest at January 1, 2002.

The accounting treatment of the Company's Agent Plans will result in unpredictable stock-based commission expense charges, dependent upon fluctuations in the quoted price of UICI common stock. These unpredictable fluctuations in stock based commission charges may result in material non-cash fluctuations in the Company's results of operations. In periods of general decline in the quoted price of UICI common stock, if any, the Company will recognize less stock based commission expense than in periods of general appreciation in the quoted price of UICI common stock. In addition, in circumstances where increases in the quoted price of UICI common stock are followed by declines in the quoted price of UICI common stock, negative commission expense may result as the Company adjusts the cumulative liability for unvested stock-based commission expense.

22

### ITEM 2 -- MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

#### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The Company's business segments included in operations are: (i) Insurance, which includes the businesses of the Self Employed Agency Division, the Student Insurance Division, the OKC Division, the Special Risk Division, the Senior Markets Division and the National Motor Club Division (which the Company sold July 27, 2000); (ii) Financial Services, which includes the businesses of Academic Management Services Corp., the Company's investment in Healthaxis, Inc., (formerly Insurdata Incorporated), and Third Party Administration (formerly UICI Administrators) and (iii) Other Key Factors, which includes (a) investment income not allocated to other business segments, (b) interest on non-student loan indebtedness, (c) general expenses relating to corporate operations, (d) realized gains or losses on sale of investments (e) the operations of the Company's AMLI Realty Co. subsidiary (including AMLI Realty Co.'s 20% equity interest in AMLI Commercial Properties Trust, a private real estate investment trust), (f) minority interest, (g) variable stock compensation and (h) amortization of goodwill. Allocation of investment income is based on a number of assumptions and estimates and the business segments reported operating results would change if different methods were applied. Segment revenues include premiums and other policy charges and considerations, net investment income, fees and other income.

The Company continues to monitor the long term effects of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in New York City and Washington, D.C. on its underlying insurance businesses. The Company's primary business is the sale and distribution of health insurance, primarily to the self-employed market, through dedicated agency field forces. UICI does not distribute its health insurance products in the State of New York, and UICI's insurance subsidiaries do not issue property and casualty or business interruption insurance. While UICI temporarily suspended prospect lead generation efforts immediately following the tragedy, those efforts were promptly reinstated and sales efforts continued. While the long-term macro effects of the tragedy on the economy as a whole are

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

at this time impossible to predict, UICI does not currently believe that the tragic events of September 11 will have a direct and material adverse impact on its primary businesses.

Revenues and income from continuing operations before federal income taxes ("operating income") by business segment are summarized in the tables below:

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		NINE MONTH SEPTEMBER
	2001	2000	2001
	(IN THOUSANDS)		
Revenues			
Insurance:			
Self Employed Agency .....	\$ 179,342	\$ 141,043	\$ 503,399
Student Insurance .....	23,163	19,050	81,477
OKC Division .....	25,826	23,175	74,165
Special Risk .....	3,952	13,774	22,596
Senior Markets .....	2	--	2
National Motor Club .....	--	3,340	--
	-----	-----	-----
	232,285	200,382	681,639
Financial Services:			
Academic Management Services .....	28,475	40,408	106,161
Third Party Administration .....	6,091	5,347	18,669
Gain on sale of HealthAxis.com shares ...	--	--	--
	-----	-----	-----
	34,566	45,755	124,830
Other Key Factors .....	9,908	10,183	25,946
Intersegment Eliminations .....	(591)	(1,079)	(2,507)
	-----	-----	-----
Total revenues .....	\$ 276,168	\$ 255,241	\$ 829,908
	=====	=====	=====

	THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,	
	2001	2000
Income (loss) from continuing operations before federal income taxes:		
Insurance:		
Self Employed Agency .....	\$ 19,220	\$ 17,
Student Insurance .....	1,235	(
OKC Division .....	3,025	3,

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

Special Risk .....	(2,699)	(
Senior Markets .....	(537)	(
National Motor Club .....	--	
	-----	-----
	20,244	20,
Financial Services:		
Academic Management Services .....	(852)	(3,
Third Party Administration .....	(375)	(
Gain on sale of HealthAxis.com shares .....	--	
Equity in Healthaxis, Inc. operating loss .....	(1,272)	(1,
	-----	-----
	(2,499)	(4,
Other Key Factors:		
General corporate expenses and other (including interest on non-student loan indebtedness) .....	3,510	2,
Variable stock compensation .....	(2,890)	(
Goodwill amortization .....	(1,172)	(1,
	-----	-----
	(552)	1,
Total income from continuing operations before federal income taxes .....	\$ 17,193	\$ 16,
	=====	=====

Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2001 compared to Three and Nine Months ended September 30, 2000

For the three months ended September 30, 2001, the Company generated revenues and net income of \$276.2 million and \$11.9 million (\$0.25 per diluted share), respectively, compared to revenues and net income of \$255.2 million and \$9.0 million (\$0.19 per diluted share), respectively, for the three months ended September 30, 2000. For the nine months ended September 30, 2001, the Company generated revenues and net income of \$829.9 million and \$36.6 million (\$0.77 per diluted share), respectively, compared to revenues and net income of \$802.4 million and \$11.8 million (\$0.29 per diluted share), respectively, for the nine months ended September 30, 2000.

Self-Employed Agency ("SEA") Division

Operating income for the SEA Division increased to \$19.2 million and \$55.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, from \$17.5 million and \$53.4 million for the comparable three and nine-month periods ended September 30, 2000. Revenues for the SEA Division increased to \$179.3 million and \$503.4 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, from \$141.0 million and \$416.5 million for the comparable periods in 2000. During the nine months ended September 30, 2001, the SEA Division continued to experience substantial growth in submitted annualized premium volume (\$395.2 million for the first nine months of 2001 compared to \$248.6 million for the first nine months of 2000), continued to successfully direct newer sales to the more traditional, higher margin, indemnity products (sales of indemnity products represented 86.2% of new production in the first nine months of 2001 compared to 63.1% of new production in the first nine months of 2000), and maintained loss ratios consistent with its favorable experience during 2000 (59.9% for the first nine months of 2001 compared to 60.0% for the nine months of 2000).

Student Insurance Division

The Student Insurance Division operating income increased to \$1.2 million and \$2.5 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, from losses of \$(979,000) and \$(888,000) for the comparable 2000 periods,

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

respectively. The increase in operating income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 was attributable primarily to increases in earned premium over earned premium in the corresponding 2000 periods and continued improvement in administrative efficiencies. Revenue for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 from the Student Insurance Division increased to \$23.2 million and \$81.5 million, respectively, from \$19.1 million and \$74.8 million in the corresponding 2000 periods.

24

### OKC Division

The Company's OKC Division reported operating income of \$3.0 million for the three months ended September 30, 2001, compared to operating income of \$3.7 million for the comparable period in 2000. For the nine months ended September 30, 2001, the OKC Division reported operating income of \$6.6 million compared to operating income of \$10.1 million for the comparable period in 2000. Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2001 for the OKC Division increased to \$25.8 million from \$23.2 million in the comparable 2000 period and for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 revenues increased to \$74.2 million from \$69.8 million in the comparable period in 2000. The decrease in operating income for the three months ended September 30, 2001 was due primarily to an expected decline from closed life blocks of business and greater administrative and development costs associated with efforts to increase sales of new life insurance products. The decrease in operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 was primarily attributable to the discontinuation in May 2001 of the Company's workers compensation business, in connection with which the Company incurred in the second quarter of 2001 a charge of \$8.7 million associated with a strengthening of reserves. This charge was partially offset by a \$5.2 million benefit resulting from an increase in the carrying value of student loans generated by the College Fund Life Division. As part of a \$100.0 million financing completed in April 2001, the Company transferred approximately \$63.1 million of such student loans at par to a special purpose corporation. See Note D of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

### Special Risk Division

The Company's Special Risk unit reported an operating loss of \$(2.7) million for the three months ended September 30, 2001 compared to an operating loss of \$(135,000) for the comparable period in 2000. For the nine months ended September 30, 2001, Special Risk reported an operating loss of \$(3.1) million compared to an operating income of \$408,000 for the comparable period in 2000. The increase in losses for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 resulted from a \$2.8 million charge attributable to the strengthening of reserves. Revenue for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2001 decreased to \$22.6 million from \$34.0 million in the comparable period in 2000. The decrease in revenue during 2001 is a result of the implementation of reinsurance and specific retrocession agreements in 2000 that effectively permitted the Company to transfer insurance revenue and the associated risk to a new insurance carrier. This transfer was effective January 1, 2000, and will occur monthly as the business renews over the life of the policies.

### Senior Markets Division

For financial reporting purposes the Company has established a Senior Markets Division to segregate the reporting of expenses incurred in connection with the development of insurance products for the senior market (including long term care and Medicare supplement products), and the development of distribution channels for the products. Through September 30, 2001, the Company has realized

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

nominal revenues associated with this Division, and the Company has expensed all expenditures as they have been incurred.

### Academic Management Services Corp. ("AMS")

AMS reported an operating loss of \$(852,000) for the three months ended September 30, 2001 compared to an operating loss of \$(3.0) million for the comparable period in 2000. For the nine months ended September 30, 2001, AMS reported operating income of \$4.7 million compared to an operating loss of \$(12.3) million for the comparable period in 2000. AMS' revenues for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 were \$28.5 million and \$106.2 million, respectively, compared to revenues of \$40.4 million and \$121.4 million for the comparable periods in the prior year. The significant improvement in operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 compared to the three and nine months ended September 30, 2000 resulted primarily from increased student loan spread income (i.e., the difference between interest earned on outstanding student loans and interest expense associated with indebtedness incurred to fund such loans) attributable to a favorable interest rate environment, increased gains on sales of loans and continued efforts to reduce operating expenses.

25

Declining market interest rates throughout the first nine months of 2001 resulted in an overall decrease in revenue (due to decreased interest income on AMS' student loan portfolio and decreased investment income from funds on deposit in AMS' tuition installment plan trust account) during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 compared to the corresponding 2000 periods. However, declining market interest rates throughout the first nine months of 2001 benefited AMS by significantly lowering its costs of borrowing, resulting in improved spreads on AMS' student loan portfolio. Spread income was \$3.2 million and \$12.9 million for the three months and nine months ended September 30, 2001, respectively, compared to spread income of \$949,000 and \$6.0 million for the comparable periods of the prior year.

AMS sold only a nominal amount of student loans in the three months ended September 30, 2001, compared to sales of \$230.3 million principal amount of loans in the three months ended September 30, 2000, from which AMS realized net gains in the amount of \$1.5 million. For the nine months ended September 30, 2001, AMS sold \$359.7 million principal amount of loans (from which it realized net gains in the amount of \$8.2 million), compared to sales of \$656.0 million principal amount of loans (from which it realized net gains of \$6.1 million) in the comparable nine-month period of the prior year.

Income from AMS' tuition payment programs in the three months ended September 30, 2001 and 2000 remained stable (\$5.3 million in each period). Payment of approximately \$300,000 of expected fee income was deferred until the fourth quarter due to impact of the events of September 11, 2001 on various New York City - area schools. In addition, in the three month period ended September 30, 2001, an increase in fees attributable to additional tuition payment accounts was more than offset by a \$768,000 decline in investment income from tuition payment program funds held in trust (despite a 15.1% increase in the average trust fund balance), which was attributable to significantly lower prevailing interest rates. Income from tuition payment programs increased to \$12.6 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, compared to income of \$11.6 million for the comparable period of the prior year. The increase in income from tuition payment programs in the nine-month 2001 period was due primarily to an increase in tuition installment plan accounts and the imposition of late fees on delinquent accounts.



## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

AMS also reduced operating expenses by \$1.2 million and \$6.9 million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, respectively, compared in each case to expenses in the comparable periods of the prior year. These reductions in operating expenses were primarily attributable to the closing in September 2000 of AMS' San Diego facility, reduced interest expense associated with non-student loan indebtedness, and reduced administrative expenses. For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, interest expense on non-student loan indebtedness was \$469,000 and \$2.7 million, respectively, compared to interest expense on non-student loans of \$1.3 million and \$4.4 million in the comparable 2000 periods. On June 28, 2001, AMS paid off its remaining senior indebtedness in the amount of \$14.3 million, the proceeds of which were utilized in 1999 to fund a portion of the purchase price for AMS' tuition installment business.

During the first six months of 2001, AMS benefited significantly from a favorable prescribed minimum rate earned on its student loan portfolio. Effective July 1, 2001, the floor rate prescribed by Department of Education regulations on AMS' student loan portfolio was decreased by approximately 220 basis points, which resulted in spread income decreasing from \$6.3 million in the three month period ended June 30, 2001 to \$3.2 million in the three month period ended September 30, 2001. However, the continued decline in prevailing market interest rates over the three months ended September 30, 2001 has had the effect of continuing to reduce AMS' overall borrowing costs. In addition, due to rapidly declining market interest rates, shortly before September 30, 2001 the rate that AMS will earn on its portfolio again fell to the statutorily prescribed minimum rate, and, as a result, AMS currently expects spread income in the fourth quarter of 2001 to exceed spread income in the third quarter. Fee income on tuition payment programs at AMS will be negatively impacted in the fourth quarter of 2001 by the seasonality of this business, which historically has generated its highest levels of fee income (and operating profits) in the second and third quarters of the calendar year and an operating loss in the fourth quarter of the calendar year. Due to the inherent uncertainty surrounding spread income and the seasonality of its tuition installment business, AMS may in any given quarter continue to rely on gains from timely sales of student loans to remain profitable for the quarter.

On August 3, 2001, the Company completed the acquisition from AMS' former chief executive officer of the remaining 25% common stock interest in AMS it did not already own for a purchase price of \$750,000. For additional consideration, the former chief executive officer and certain former employees of AMS agreed, for a three-year period ending in August 2004, not to engage in any business competitive with AMS' tuition installment or

26

student loan servicing businesses. These former executives and their affiliates further agreed to pay to AMS fees in prescribed amounts in connection with the origination and consolidation of certain student loans over a three-year period ending in August 2004.

Third Party Administration Division (formerly UICI Administrators)

The Company has classified the operations of UICI Administrators, Inc., Insurdata Marketing Services, LLC, Healthcare Management Administrators, Inc. and Barron Risk Management Services, Inc. (previously included in the OKC Division) as its Third Party Administration ("TPA") Division. For the three months ended September 30, 2000, the TPA Division incurred an operating loss of \$(375,000), compared to an operating loss of \$(600,000) in the comparable 2000

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

period, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, the TPA Division incurred an operating loss of \$(1.4) million compared to an operating loss of \$(740,000) in the corresponding 2000 period. Revenues for the three months ended September 30, 2001 increased to \$6.1 million from \$5.3 million in the corresponding 2000 period, and for the nine months ended September 30, 2001, revenue increased to \$18.7 million from \$14.7 million in the corresponding period of the prior year.

Investment in Healthaxis, Inc. (formerly HealthAxis.com, Inc.)

At September 30, 2001, the Company held 25,214,556 shares of common stock of Healthaxis, Inc. (HAXS: Nasdaq) ("HAI") (including 354,844 shares acquired on May 23, 2001 from a former employee of Healthaxis, Inc. for a purchase price of \$400,000), representing approximately 47.6% of the issued and outstanding shares of HAI. The Company accounts for its investment in HAI utilizing the equity method and, accordingly, recognizes its ratable share of HAI income and loss (computed prior to amortization of goodwill recorded by HealthAxis.com in connection with the January 7, 2000 merger of Insurdata Incorporated (formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of UICI) with and into HealthAxis.com). See Note C of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001, the Company's share of HAI's operating losses (computed prior to amortization of merger related goodwill) was \$(1.3) million and \$(9.2) million respectively, compared to its reported share of operating losses of \$(1.1) million and \$(16.3) million in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2000, respectively. UICI's share of HAI's operating loss in the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 included \$2.4 million in restructuring charges, consisting of a write-off of capitalized software expenses, employee severance expenses and a write-down of investments. UICI's share of HAI's operating loss also included a one-time stock option expense in the amount of \$2.2 million associated with HAI's merger with HealthAxis.com (which was completed in the first quarter of 2001). At September 30, 2001, the Company's carrying value of its investment in HAI was \$9.6 million.

### Other Key Factors

The Other Key Factors category includes investment income not allocated to the other segments, interest expense on corporate debt, general expenses relating to corporate operations, realized gains or losses on sale of investments, minority interest expense, variable stock compensation, the Company's share of income and loss from AMLI Residential Properties Trust and amortization of goodwill. Operating losses for the three months ended September 30, 2001 attributable to this category were \$(552,000) compared to operating income of \$1.0 million for the comparable period in 2000, and operating losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 were \$(2.0) million compared to operating income of \$3.0 million for the comparable period in 2000. The decrease in operating income for the nine months ended September 30, 2001 was primarily attributable to a \$3.4 million increase in minority interest expense, a decrease in the investment income of \$5.8 million, an increase in variable stock compensation of \$4.2 million, an increase in other expenses of \$3.7 million, partially offset by an increase in realized gains of \$5.8 million, a decrease in interest expense of \$5.4 million and a decrease in goodwill amortization of \$1.1 million.

During the nine months ended September 2001, AMLI Commercial Properties Trust (in which the Company holds a 20% equity interest) sold substantially all of its assets for an aggregate sale price of approximately \$226.0 million. In connection with such sale, during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2001 the Company recognized a gain in the amount of \$2.3 million and \$5.3 million, respectively.

## LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Historically, the Company's primary sources of cash on a consolidated basis have been premium revenues from policies issued, investment income, fees and other income, and borrowings to fund student loans. The primary uses of cash have been payments for benefits, claims and commissions under those policies, operating expenses and the funding of student loans. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2001, net cash provided by operations totaled approximately \$207.3 million. In the nine-month period ended September 30, 2000, net cash used in operations totaled approximately \$131.3 million.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2001, the Company reduced its consolidated short and long-term indebtedness (exclusive of indebtedness incurred to fund student loans) from \$66.8 million at December 31, 2000 to \$24.1 million at September 30, 2001. In addition, the Company utilized approximately \$8.6 million to repurchase 964,800 shares of its common stock pursuant to its previously announced stock repurchase program, which was reconfirmed by the Board of Directors of the Company at its February 28, 2001 meeting.

UICI is a holding company, the principal assets of which are its investments in its separate operating subsidiaries, including its regulated insurance subsidiaries. The holding company's ability to fund its cash requirements is largely dependent upon its ability to access cash, by means of dividends or other means, from its subsidiaries. The laws governing the Company's insurance subsidiaries restrict dividends paid by the Company's domestic insurance subsidiaries in any year. Inability to access cash from its subsidiaries could have a material adverse effect upon the Company's liquidity and capital resources.

At September 30, 2001, UICI at the holding company level held cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$13.2 million and had short and long-term indebtedness outstanding in the amount of \$6.3 million and \$17.7 million, respectively. In October 2001, UICI's two principal domestic insurance subsidiaries declared regular dividends in the aggregate amount of \$40.0 million to be paid to the holding company prior to December 31, 2001. Giving effect to the payment through October 31, 2001 of \$22.0 million of such dividends, at November 1, 2001 UICI at the holding company level held cash and cash equivalents in the amount of \$37.6 million.

The Company currently estimates that, through December 31, 2001, the holding company will have operating cash requirements in the amount of approximately \$25.4 million. The Company currently anticipates that these cash requirements at the holding company level will be funded by cash on hand, cash received from interest income, the balance of dividends to be paid from domestic and offshore insurance companies and tax sharing reimbursements from subsidiaries (which will be partially offset by holding company operating expenses).

On June 28, 2001, AMS paid off its remaining senior indebtedness in the amount of \$14.3 million, the proceeds of which were utilized in 1999 to fund a portion of the purchase price for AMS' tuition installment business. At December 31, 2000 this senior indebtedness amounted to \$21.3 million and was included in student loan credit facilities on the Company's balance sheet.

Effective June 30, 2001, the Company changed its method for accounting

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

for its investment in AMLI Residential Properties Trust (a publicly-traded (NYSE: AML) real estate investment trust) ("AMLI Residential") from the equity method to the investment method. The effect of the accounting change was to increase the carrying value of AMLI Residential on the consolidated balance sheet of the Company at June 30, 2001 from \$22.6 million to \$62.8 million; the accounting change had no effect on the Company's results of operations for the three or nine months ended September 30, 2001. As a result of the accounting change, in future periods the Company will mark-to-market its investment in AMLI Residential and will no longer record its ratable share of AMLI Residential's gains or losses. At September 30, 2001, AMLI Residential is reflected on the Company's consolidated balance sheet at \$60.2 million.

### STATUTORY ACCOUNTING

The Company's insurance subsidiaries statutory-basis financial statements are prepared in accordance with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the Oklahoma, Tennessee, or Texas Insurance Departments. Currently, "prescribed" statutory accounting practices are interspersed throughout state insurance laws and regulations, the NAIC's Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual and a variety of other NAIC publications.

28

"Permitted" statutory accounting practices encompass all accounting practices that are not prescribed; such practices may differ from state to state, may differ from company to company within a state, and may change in the future.

The NAIC revised the Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual in a process referred to as Codification. The revised manual became effective January 1, 2001. The domiciled states of the Company's insurance subsidiaries (Oklahoma, Tennessee and Texas) have adopted the provisions of the revised manual. The revised manual has changed, to some extent, prescribed statutory accounting practices and will result in changes to the accounting practices that the Company's insurance subsidiaries use to prepare its statutory-basis financial statements. The cumulative effect of changes in accounting practices adopted to conform to the revised Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual was reported as an adjustment to surplus as of January 1, 2001 in the Company's statutory statements. The impact of these changes resulted in an increase in the Company's statutory-basis capital and surplus as of adoption mainly from the admissibility of deferred income taxes.

### UNITED CREDITSERV - DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

In March 2000 the Board of Directors of UICI determined that, after a thorough assessment of the unit's prospects, the Company would exit from its United CreditServ sub-prime credit card business. Accordingly, the United CreditServ unit was reflected as a discontinued operation for financial reporting purposes.

The Company's exit from the credit card business is now complete. On January 29, 2001, the Company completed the voluntary liquidation of United Credit National Bank ("UCNB") (the Company's credit card issuing bank), in accordance with the terms of a plan of voluntary liquidation approved by the United States Office of the Comptroller of the Currency (the "OCC"). UCNB surrendered to the OCC its national bank charter and distributed to a wholly-owned subsidiary of UICI the residual assets of UCNB in the amount of approximately \$26.0 million, substantially all of which consisted of cash and cash equivalents. The Company utilized a substantial portion of the proceeds of the liquidation to prepay in full principal and accrued interest owing to Lender

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

LLC (see Note D of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements) in the amount of \$21.1 million and other indebtedness in the amount of \$5.0 million.

For financial reporting purposes, at December 31, 2000, the remaining assets of the discontinued operations in the amount of \$54.3 million (consisting of cash and short-term investments in the amount of \$27.8 million and other assets in the amount of \$26.5 million) were reclassified to cash and other assets, respectively, on the Company's consolidated balance sheet, and the remaining liabilities of the discontinued operations in the amount of \$53.0 million (consisting of notes payable in the amount of \$4.3 million and other liabilities in the amount of \$48.7 million) were reclassified to notes payable and other liabilities, respectively, on the Company's consolidated balance sheet.

### STOCK REPURCHASE PLAN

In November 1998, the Company's board of directors authorized the repurchase of up to 4,500,000 shares of the Company's Common Stock. The shares were authorized to be purchased from time to time on the open market or in private transactions. As of December 31, 2000, the Company had repurchased 198,000 shares pursuant to such authorization, all of which were purchased in 1999. At its regular meeting held on February 28, 2001, the Board of Directors of the Company reconfirmed the Company's 1998 share repurchase program. Following reconfirmation of the program, through November 1, 2001, the Company had purchased an additional 964,800 shares pursuant to the program (with the most recent purchase made on April 10, 2001). The timing and extent of additional repurchases, if any, will depend on market conditions and the Company's evaluation of its financial resources at the time of purchase.

### ACCOUNTING FOR AGENT STOCK ACCUMULATION PLANS

The Company sponsors a series of stock accumulation plans (the "Agent Plans") established for the benefit of the independent insurance agents and independent sales representatives associated with UGA - Association Field Services, New United Agency, Cornerstone Marketing of America and CFLD Association Field Services. Under EITF 96-18 "Accounting for Equity Instruments that are issued to Other Than Employees for Acquiring or in Connection with Selling Goods and Services," the Company has established a liability for future unvested benefits under the Agent Plans and adjusts the liability based on the market value of the Company's Common Stock. The

29

accounting treatment of the Company's Agent Plans will result in unpredictable stock-based commission charges, dependent upon fluctuations in the quoted price of UICI common stock. These unpredictable fluctuations in stock based commission charges may result in material non-cash fluctuations in the Company's results of operations. See Note J of Notes to Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements.

### PRIVACY INITIATIVES

Recently adopted legislation and regulations governing the use and security of individuals' nonpublic personal data by financial institutions, including insurance companies, may have a significant impact on the Company's business and future results of operations.

### Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act and State Insurance Laws and Regulations

The business of insurance is primarily regulated by the states and is

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

also affected by a range of legislative developments at the state and federal levels. The recent Financial Services Modernization Act of 1999 (the so-called Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act, or "GLBA") includes several privacy provisions and introduces new controls over the transfer and use of individuals' nonpublic personal data by financial institutions, including insurance companies, insurance agents and brokers and certain other entities licensed by state insurance regulatory authorities. Additional federal legislation aimed at protecting the privacy of nonpublic personal financial and health information is proposed and over 400 state privacy bills are pending. As required, the Company has provided written notice of its privacy practices to all of the Company's customers/insureds, the Company has given customers/insureds an opportunity to state their preferences regarding the Company's use of their non-public personal information, and the Company must honor those preferences.

GLBA provides that there is no federal preemption of a state's insurance related privacy laws if the state law is more stringent than the privacy rules imposed under GLBA. Accordingly, state insurance regulators or state legislatures will likely adopt rules that will limit the ability of insurance companies, insurance agents and brokers and certain other entities licensed by state insurance regulatory authorities to disclose and use non-public information about consumers to third parties. These limitations will require the disclosure by these entities of their privacy policies to consumers and, in some circumstances, will allow consumers to prevent the disclosure or use of certain personal information to an unaffiliated third party. Pursuant to the authority granted under GLBA to state insurance regulatory authorities to regulate the privacy of nonpublic personal information provided to consumers and customers of insurance companies, insurance agents and brokers and certain other entities licensed by state insurance regulatory authorities, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners promulgated a new model regulation called Privacy of Consumer Financial and Health Information Regulation. Some states issued this model regulation before July 1, 2001, while other states must pass certain legislative reforms to implement new state privacy rules pursuant to GLBA. In addition, GLBA requires state insurance regulators to establish standards for administrative, technical and physical safeguards pertaining to customer records and information to (a) ensure their security and confidentiality, (b) protect against anticipated threats and hazards to their security and integrity, and (c) protect against unauthorized access to and use of these records and information. However, no state insurance regulators have yet issued any final regulations in response to such security and confidentiality requirements. The privacy and security provisions of GLBA will significantly affect how a consumer's nonpublic personal information is transmitted through and used by diversified financial services companies and conveyed to and used by outside vendors and other unaffiliated third parties.

Due to the increasing popularity of the Internet, laws and regulations may be passed dealing with issues such as user privacy, pricing, content and quality of products and services, and those regulations could adversely affect the growth of the online financial services industry. If Internet use does not grow as a result of privacy or security concerns, increasing regulation or for other reasons, the growth of the Company's Internet-based activities would be hindered. It is not possible at this time to assess the impact of the privacy provisions on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996

The federal Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act of 1996 ("HIPAA") contains provisions requiring mandatory standardization of certain communications between health plans (including health insurance companies), electronic clearinghouses and health care providers who transmit certain health information

electronically. HIPAA requires health plans to use specific data-content standards, mandates the use of specific identifiers (e.g., national provider identifiers and national employer identifiers) and requires specific privacy and security procedures. HIPAA authorized the Secretary of the federal Department of Health and Human Services ("HHS") to issue standards for the privacy and security of medical records and other individually identifiable patient data.

In December 2000, HHS issued final regulations regarding the privacy of individually identifiable health information. This final rule on privacy applies to both electronic and paper records and imposes extensive requirements on the way in which health care providers, health plan sponsors, health insurance companies and their business associates use and disclose protected information. Under the new HIPAA privacy rules, the Company will now be required to (a) comply with a variety of requirements concerning its use and disclosure of individuals' protected health information, (b) establish rigorous internal procedures to protect health information and (c) enter into business associate contracts with other companies that use similar privacy protection procedures. The final rules do not provide for complete federal preemption of state laws, but, rather, preempt all contrary state laws unless the state law is more stringent. These rules must be complied with by April 14, 2003.

Sanctions for failing to comply with standards issued pursuant to HIPAA include criminal penalties of up to \$250,000 per violation and civil sanctions of up to \$25,000 per violation. Due to the complex and controversial nature of the privacy regulations, they may be subject to court challenge, as well as further legislative and regulatory actions that could alter their effect.

In August 2000, HHS published for comment proposed rules related to the security of electronic health data, including individual health information and medical records, for health plans, health care providers, and health care clearinghouses that maintain or transmit health information electronically. The proposed rules would require these businesses to establish and maintain responsible and appropriate safeguards to ensure the integrity and confidentiality of this information. The standards embraced by these rules include the implementation of technical and organization policies, practices and procedures for security and confidentiality of health information and protecting its integrity, education and training programs, authentication of individuals who access this information, system controls, physical security and disaster recovery systems, protection of external communications and use of electronic signatures. These proposed rules have not yet become final.

The Company is currently reviewing the potential impact of the HIPAA privacy regulations on its operations, including its information technology and security systems. The Company cannot at this time predict with specificity what impact (a) the recently adopted final HIPAA rules governing the privacy of individually-identifiable health information and (b) the proposed HIPAA rules for ensuring the security of individually-identifiable health information may have on the business or results of operations of the Company. However, these new rules will likely increase the Company's burden of regulatory compliance with respect to the Company's life and health insurance products and other information-based products, and may reduce the amount of information the Company may disclose and use if the Company's customers do not consent to such disclosure and use. There can be no assurance that the restrictions and duties imposed by the recently adopted final rules on the privacy of individually-identifiable health information, or the proposed rule on security of individually-identifiable health information, will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business and future results of operations.

## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

SAFE HARBOR STATEMENT UNDER THE PRIVATE SECURITIES LITIGATION REFORM ACT OF 1995

Certain statements set forth herein or incorporated by reference herein from the Company's filings that are not historical facts are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act. Actual results may differ materially from those included in the forward-looking statements. These forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainties including, but not limited to, the following: changes in general economic conditions, including the performance of financial markets, and interest rates; competitive, regulatory or tax changes that affect the cost of or demand for the Company's products; health care reform; the ability to predict and effectively manage claims related to health care costs; and reliance on key management and adequacy of claim liabilities.

The Company's future results will depend in large part on accurately predicting health care costs incurred on existing business and upon the Company's ability to control future health care costs through product and benefit design, underwriting criteria, utilization management and negotiation of favorable provider contracts. Changes in

31

mandated benefits, utilization rates, demographic characteristics, health care practices, provider consolidation, inflation, new pharmaceuticals/technologies, clusters of high-cost cases, the regulatory environment and numerous other factors are beyond the control of any health plan provider and may adversely affect the Company's ability to predict and control health care costs and claims, as well as the Company's financial condition, results of operations or cash flows. Periodic renegotiations of hospital and other provider contracts coupled with continued consolidation of physician, hospital and other provider groups may result in increased health care costs and limit the Company's ability to negotiate favorable rates. Recently, large physician practice management companies have experienced extreme financial difficulties, including bankruptcy, which may subject the Company to increased credit risk related to provider groups and cause the Company to incur duplicative claims expense. In addition, the Company faces competitive pressure to contain premium prices. Fiscal concerns regarding the continued viability of government-sponsored programs such as Medicare and Medicaid may cause decreasing reimbursement rates for these programs. Any limitation on the Company's ability to increase or maintain its premium levels, design products, and implement underwriting criteria or negotiate competitive provider contracts may adversely affect the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

The Company's Academic Management Services Corp. business could be adversely affected by changes in the Higher Education Act or other relevant federal or state laws, rules and regulations and the programs implemented thereunder may adversely impact the education credit market. In addition, existing legislation and future measures by the federal government may adversely affect the amount and nature of federal financial assistance available with respect to loans made through the U.S. Department of Education. Finally the level of competition currently in existence in the secondary market for loans made under the Federal Loan Programs could be reduced, resulting in fewer potential buyers of the Federal Loans and lower prices available in the secondary market for those loans.

### ITEM 3--QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk of loss arising from adverse changes in market rates and prices, such as interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates, and



## Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

other relevant market rate or price changes. Market risk is directly influenced by the volatility and liquidity in the markets in which the related underlying assets are traded.

The primary market risk to the Company's investment portfolio is interest rate risk associated with investments and the amount of interest that policyholders expect to have credited to their policies. The interest rate risk taken in the investment portfolio is managed relative to the duration of the policy liabilities. The Company's investment portfolio consists mainly of high quality, liquid securities that provide current investment returns. The Company believes that the annuity and universal life-type policies are generally competitive with those offered by other insurance companies of similar size. The Company does not anticipate significant changes in the primary market risk exposures or in how those exposures are managed in the future reporting periods based upon what is known or expected to be in effect in future reporting periods.

Profitability of the student loans is affected by the spreads between the interest yield on the student loans and the cost of the funds borrowed under the various credit facilities. Although the interest rates on the student loans and the interest rate on the credit facilities are variable, the gross interest earned by lenders on Stafford student loans uses the results of 91-day T-bill auctions as the base rate, while the base rate on the credit facilities is LIBOR. The effect of rising interest rates on earnings on Stafford loans is generally small, as both revenues and costs adjust to new market levels. In addition to Stafford loans, the Company holds PLUS loans on which the interest rate yield is set annually beginning July 1 through June 30 by regulation at a fixed rate. The Company had approximately \$262.5 million principal amount of PLUS loans outstanding at September 30, 2001. The fixed yield on PLUS loans was 7.72% and 8.99% for the twelve months ended June 30, 2000 and 2001 respectively, and has been reset to 6.79% for the twelve months beginning July 1, 2001. These loans are financed with borrowings whose rates are subject to reset, generally monthly. During the twelve months beginning July 1, 2001, the cost of borrowings to finance this portion of the student loan portfolio could rise or fall while the rate earned on the student loans will remain fixed.

32

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1 - LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

The Company is a party to various material legal proceedings, all of which are described in Note G of Notes to the Consolidated Condensed Financial Statements included herein and in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed for the year ended December 31, 2000 under the caption "Item 3 - Legal Proceedings." The Company and its subsidiaries are parties to various other pending legal proceedings arising in the ordinary course of business, including some asserting significant damages arising from claims under insurance policies, disputes with agents and other matters. Based in part upon the opinion of counsel as to the ultimate disposition of such lawsuits and claims, management believes that the liability, if any, resulting from the disposition of such proceedings will not be material to the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

#### ITEM 5 - MARKET FOR REGISTRANT'S COMMON STOCK AND RELATED MATTERS

Edgar Filing: UICI - Form 10-Q

During the nine months ended September 30, 2001, the Company issued 106,250 shares of unregistered common stock pursuant to its 2001 Restricted Stock Plan.

ITEM 6 - EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K

(a) Exhibits.

None.

(b) Reports on Form 8-K.

None.

33

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

UICI

-----  
(Registrant)

Date: November 9, 2001

/s/ Gregory T. Mutz

-----  
Gregory T. Mutz, President,  
Chief Executive Officer and Director

Date: November 9, 2001

/s/ Matthew R. Cassell

-----  
Matthew R. Cassell, Vice President and  
Chief Financial Officer

34