

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

ENERGY PARTNERS LTD  
Form 10-Q  
August 08, 2005

UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549

-----  
FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For The Quarterly Period Ended June 30, 2005

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES  
EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission file number 001-16179  
-----

ENERGY PARTNERS, LTD.  
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware  
(State or other jurisdiction  
of incorporation or organization)

72-1409562  
(I.R.S. employer  
identification number)

201 St. Charles Avenue, Suite 3400  
New Orleans, Louisiana  
(Address of principal executive offices)

70170  
(Zip code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (504) 569-1875  
-----

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of August 3, 2005, there were 37,745,056 shares of the Registrant's Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share, outstanding.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

PART I FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Item 1. Financial Statements:

Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2005 and  
December 31, 2004.....

Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended  
June 30, 2005 and 2004.....

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended  
June 30, 2005 and 2004.....

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements .....

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and  
Results of Operations.....

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk.....

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.....

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.....

Item 4. Submission of Matters to the Vote of Security Holders.....

Item 6. Exhibits.....

-2-

ENERGY PARTNERS, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS  
(In thousands, except share data)

	June 30 2005
	----- (Unaudit
ASSETS	
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 5,
Trade accounts receivable.....	67,
Other receivables.....	10,
Deferred tax assets.....	3,
Prepaid expenses.....	6,
	-----
Total current assets.....	93,
Property and equipment, at cost under the successful efforts method of accounting for oil and natural gas properties.....	1,082,

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization.....	(356,
	-----
Net property and equipment.....	725,
Other assets.....	13,
Deferred financing costs -- net of accumulated amortization of \$4,671 in 2005 and \$4,174 in 2004.....	4,
	-----
	\$ 837,
	=====

### LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable.....	\$ 38,
Accrued expenses.....	86,
Fair value of commodity derivative instruments.....	6,
Current maturities of long-term debt.....	-----
Total current liabilities.....	131,
Long-term debt.....	222,
Deferred tax liabilities.....	72,
Asset retirement obligation.....	49,
Other.....	5,
	-----
	480,
Stockholders' equity:	
Preferred stock, \$1 par value. Authorized 1,700,000 shares; issued and outstanding: 2005 - no shares; 2004 - 344,399 shares. Aggregate liquidation value: 2004 - \$34,440.....	-----
Common stock, par value \$0.01 per share. Authorized 50,000,000 shares; issued and outstanding: 2005 - 41,095,780 shares; 2004 - 36,618,084 shares.....	-----
Additional paid-in capital.....	339,
Accumulated other comprehensive loss -- net of deferred taxes of \$3,805 in 2005 and \$630 in 2004.....	(6,
Retained earnings.....	80,
Treasury stock, at cost. 2005 -- 3,474,208 shares; 2004 -- 3,480,441 shares....	(57,
	-----
Total stockholders' equity.....	356,
Commitments and contingencies.....	-----
	\$ 837,
	=====

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

	2005	2004	
Revenue:			
Oil and natural gas.....	\$ 106,230	\$ 74,977	\$ 2
Other.....	(74)	90	
	106,156	75,067	2
Costs and expenses:			
Lease operating.....	14,114	9,720	
Transportation expense.....	345	82	
Taxes, other than on earnings.....	2,658	2,078	
Exploration expenditures and dry hole costs.....	18,872	7,467	
Depreciation, depletion and amortization.....	27,639	22,215	
General and administrative:			
Stock-based compensation.....	1,874	662	
Other general and administrative.....	8,288	6,434	
Total costs and expenses.....	73,790	48,658	1
Income from operations.....	32,366	26,409	
Other income (expense):			
Interest income.....	110	221	
Interest expense.....	(4,335)	(3,586)	
	(4,225)	(3,365)	
Income before income taxes.....	28,141	23,044	
Income taxes.....	(10,091)	(8,388)	(
Net income.....	18,050	14,656	
Less dividends earned on preferred stock and accretion of discount and issuance costs.....	-	(821)	
Net income available to common stockholders.....	\$ 18,050	\$ 13,835	\$
Basic earnings per share.....	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.42	\$
Diluted earnings per share.....	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.38	\$
Weighted average common shares used in Computing income per share:			
Basic.....	37,558	32,913	
Incremental common shares.....	2,968	5,437	
Diluted.....	40,526	38,350	

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

-4-

ENERGY PARTNERS, LTD. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
(Unaudited)  
(In thousands)

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2005	2004
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income.....	\$ 38,471	\$ 22,102
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Depreciation, depletion and amortization.....	53,152	40,952
Loss on disposition of oil and natural gas assets.....	92	-
Non cash-based compensation.....	3,807	1,568
Deferred income taxes.....	21,401	12,688
Exploration expenditures.....	21,332	13,272
Amortization of deferred financing costs.....	497	457
Other.....	379	104
Changes in operating assets and liabilities:		
Trade accounts receivable.....	(8,420)	(15,653)
Other receivables.....	(4,900)	(4,857)
Prepaid expenses.....	(3,942)	(3,423)
Other assets.....	(1,602)	(489)
Accounts payable and accrued expenses.....	24,397	2,524
Other liabilities.....	(131)	(587)
	144,533	68,658
Cash flows used in investing activities:		
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired.....	(863)	(2,166)
Property acquisitions.....	(183,986)	(4,792)
Exploration and development expenditures.....	(122,364)	(72,376)
Other property and equipment additions.....	(792)	(353)
	(308,005)	(79,687)
Cash flows provided by financing activities:		
Repayments of long-term debt.....	(33,053)	(148)
Deferred financing costs.....	(357)	(7)
Equity offering costs.....	(87)	-
Proceeds from long-term debt.....	105,000	(1,212)
Exercise of stock options and warrants.....	4,126	2,628
	75,629	1,261

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents.....	(87,843)	(9,768)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period.....	93,537	104,392
	-----	-----
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period.....	\$ 5,694	\$ 94,624
	=====	=====

See accompanying notes to consolidated financial statements.

-5-

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (UNAUDITED)

#### (1) BASIS OF PRESENTATION

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission; however, management believes the disclosures which are made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. These financial statements and footnotes should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in Energy Partners, Ltd.'s (the Company) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 and Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations. The Company maintains a website at [www.eplweb.com](http://www.eplweb.com) which contains information about the Company including links to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K and all related amendments. The Company's website and the information contained in it and connected to it shall not be deemed incorporated by reference into this report on Form 10-Q.

The financial information as of June 30, 2005 and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 has not been audited. However, in the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the results of operations for the periods presented have been included therein. The results of operations for the first six months of the year are not necessarily indicative of the results of operations, which might be expected for the entire year.

#### (2) STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company has two stock award plans, the Amended and Restated 2000 Long Term Stock Incentive Plan, as amended, and the Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors (the Plans). The Company accounts for its stock-based compensation in accordance with Accounting Principles Board's Opinion No. 25, "Accounting For Stock Issued to Employees" (Opinion No. 25). Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Statement 123), "Accounting For Stock-Based Compensation" and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 148, "Accounting For Stock-Based Compensation - Transition and Disclosure," (Statement 148) permit the continued use of the intrinsic value-based method prescribed by Opinion No. 25, but require additional disclosures, including pro-forma calculations of earnings and net earnings per share as if the fair value method of accounting prescribed by Statement 123 had been applied. If compensation expense for the Plans had been determined using the fair-value method in Statement 123, the Company's net income and earnings per share would have been as shown in the pro forma amounts below:

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

	THREE MONTHS ENDED		SIX MONTHS ENDED	
	JUNE 30,		JUNE 30,	
	2005	2004	2005	2004
(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)				
Net income available to common stockholders:				
As reported.....	\$ 18,050	\$ 13,835	\$ 37,527	\$ 20,
Less: Pro forma net stock based employee compensation cost, after tax.....	(251)	(351)	(171)	(
Pro forma.....	\$ 17,799	\$ 13,484	\$ 37,356	\$ 19,
Basic earnings per share:				
As reported.....	\$ 0.48	\$ 0.42	\$ 1.03	\$ 0
Pro forma.....	\$ 0.47	\$ 0.41	\$ 1.03	\$ 0
Diluted earnings per share:				
As reported.....	\$ 0.45	\$ 0.38	\$ 0.95	\$ 0
Pro forma.....	\$ 0.44	\$ 0.37	\$ 0.95	\$ 0
Stock-option based employee compensation cost, net of tax, included in net income as reported...	\$ 120	\$ --	\$ 468	\$

-6-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS  
(UNAUDITED)

(3) ACQUISITIONS

In connection with a business combination in 2002, the Company issued among other things, \$38.4 million liquidation preference of newly authorized and issued Series D Exchangeable Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series D Preferred Stock), with an issue date fair value of \$34.7 million discounted to give effect to the increasing dividend rate, and \$38.4 million of 11% Senior Subordinated Notes (the Notes) due 2009 (immediately callable at par). On February 28, 2005, the Company gave notice of the redemption of all of the Series D Preferred Stock issued in connection with the acquisition that remained outstanding on the redemption date of March 21, 2005. The redemption price was \$100 per share plus accrued and unpaid dividends to the redemption date. Holders of record had the right to convert their shares into shares of common stock through the close of business on March 18, 2005. All holders exercised their right to convert their shares and there were no preferred shares outstanding as of the close of business on March 18, 2005.

The Company also issued warrants to purchase four million shares of the Company's common stock in the same business combination. Of the warrants, one million had a strike price of \$9.00 and three million had a strike price of \$11.00 per share. The warrants became exercisable on January 15, 2003 and expire on January 15, 2007. At June 30, 2005 there were 767,141 warrants outstanding with a strike price of \$9.00 per share and 2,673,124 warrants outstanding with a strike price of \$11.00 per share.

In addition, former preferred stockholders of the acquired company have the right to receive contingent consideration based upon a percentage of the amount by which the before tax net present value of proved reserves related, in general, to exploratory prospect acreage held by the acquired company as of the closing date of the acquisition (the Ring-Fenced Properties) exceeds the net present value discounted at 30%. The potential consideration is determined annually from March 3, 2003 until March 1, 2007. The cumulative percentage

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

remitted to the participants was 20% for the March 3, 2003, 30% for the March 1, 2004 and 35% for the March 1, 2005 determination dates and is 40% for the March 1, 2006 and 50% for the March 1, 2007 determination dates. The contingent consideration, if any, may be paid in the Company's common stock or cash at the Company's option (with a minimum of 20% in cash) and in no event will exceed a value of \$50 million. In the first six months of 2005 and 2004, the Company capitalized, as additional purchase price, and paid additional consideration in cash, of \$0.9 million and \$2.2 million related to the March 1, 2005 and the March 1, 2004 contingent consideration determination dates, respectively. Due to the uncertainty inherent in estimating the value of future contingent consideration which includes annual revaluations based upon, among other things, drilling results from the date of the prior revaluation, and development, operating and abandonment costs and production revenues (actual historical and future projected, as contractually defined, as of each revaluation date) for the Ring-Fenced Properties, total final consideration will not be determined until March 1, 2007. All additional contingent consideration will be capitalized as additional purchase price.

On January 20, 2005, the Company closed an acquisition of properties and reserves in south Louisiana for approximately \$146.0 million in cash, after adjustments for the exercise of preferential rights by third parties and preliminary closing adjustments. The entire purchase price was allocated to property and equipment. The terms of the acquisition did not contain any contingent consideration, options or future commitments. The acquisition is composed of nine fields, four of which were producing at the time of the closing through 14 wells, with estimated proved reserves of 51.2 billion cubic feet equivalent. Also included were interests in 22 exploratory prospects. The transaction expands the Company's exploration opportunities in its expanded focus area and further reduces the concentration of its reserves and production. Upon the signing of the purchase agreement, the Company paid a \$5.0 million deposit in 2004 toward the purchase price which was recorded as other assets in the consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2004. Concurrent with the closing, the borrowing base under the Company's bank credit facility was increased to \$150 million, of which \$60 million was drawn to fund the acquisition. In connection with the acquisition, the Company has also entered into a two-year agreement with the seller of the properties that defines an area of mutual interest (AMI) encompassing over one million acres. The Company intends to continue to explore and develop oil and natural gas reserves in the AMI over the next two years jointly with the seller.

-7-

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

The proved reserves, prospects and AMI are in the southern portions of Terrebone, Lafourche and Jefferson Parishes in Louisiana.

The following unaudited pro forma information for the three and six months ended June 30, 2004 presents a summary of the consolidated results of operations as if the acquisition occurred on January 1, 2004 with pro forma adjustments to give effect to depreciation, depletion and amortization, interest expense and related income tax effects.

THREE MONTHS ENDED	SIX MONTHS ENDED
JUNE 30, 2004	JUNE 30, 2004

-----  
(UNAUDITED, IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER  
SHARE AMOUNTS)



## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Pro forma:

Revenue.....	\$ 80,118	\$ 148,641
Income from operations.....	28,514	45,699
Net income.....	15,889	24,550
Basic income per common share.....	\$ 0.46	\$ 0.70
Diluted income per common share.....	\$ 0.41	\$ 0.64

On March 8, 2005, the Company closed the acquisition of the remaining 50% gross working interest in South Timbalier 26 above approximately 13,000 feet subsea that it did not already own for approximately \$21.0 million after preliminary closing adjustments from the effective date of December 1, 2004. The entire purchase price was allocated to property and equipment. The terms of the acquisition did not contain any contingent consideration, options or future commitments. As a result of the acquisition, the Company now owns a 100% gross working interest in the producing horizons in this field. The acquisition expands the Company's interest in its core Greater Bay Marchand area and gives the Company additional flexibility in undertaking the future development of the South Timbalier 26 field.

The Company has included the results of operations from the acquisitions discussed above from their respective closing dates. The Company has experienced substantial revenue and production growth as a result of these acquisitions. For the foregoing reasons these acquisitions will affect the comparability of the Company's historical results of operations with future periods.

#### (4) EARNINGS PER SHARE

Basic earnings per share are computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is computed in the same manner as basic earnings per share except that the denominator is increased to include the number of additional common shares that could have been outstanding assuming the conversion of convertible preferred stock shares, and the exercise of warrants and stock options and the potential shares associated with restricted share units that would have a dilutive effect on earnings per share.

-8-

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

The following tables reconcile the net earnings and common shares outstanding used in the calculations of basic and diluted earnings per share for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004.

	NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING	EARNING PER SHA
	-----	-----	-----
	(IN THOUSANDS,	EXCEPT PER SHARE	AMOUNTS
Three months ended June 30, 2005:			
Basic.....	\$ 18,050	37,558	\$ 0.48
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Stock options.....	-	867	

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Warrants.....	-	1,926	
Restricted share units.....	-	175	
		-----	
Diluted.....	\$ 18,050	40,526	\$ 0.45
		-----	

	NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING	EARNING PER SHARE
	(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)		
Three months ended June 30, 2004:			
Basic.....	\$ 13,835	32,913	\$ 0.42
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Preferred stock.....	821	4,057	
Stock options.....	-	503	
Warrants.....	-	868	
Restricted share units.....	-	9	
	-----	-----	
Diluted.....	\$ 14,656	38,350	\$ 0.38
	-----	-----	

	NET INCOME AVAILABLE TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING	EARNING PER SHARE
	(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)		
Six months ended June 30, 2005:			
Basic.....	\$ 37,527	36,299	\$ 1.0
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Preferred stock.....	944	1,096	
Stock options.....	-	946	
Warrants.....	-	1,907	
Restricted share units.....	-	172	
	-----	-----	
Diluted.....	\$ 38,471	40,420	\$ 0.9
	-----	-----	

-9-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)  
(UNAUDITED)

NET INCOME AVAILABLE	WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON
-------------------------	-------------------------------

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

	TO COMMON STOCKHOLDERS	SHARES OUTSTANDING	EARNINGS PER SHARE
	(IN THOUSANDS, EXCEPT PER SHARE AMOUNTS)		
Six months ended June 30, 2004:			
Basic.....	\$ 20,352	32,667	\$ 0.62
Effect of dilutive securities:			
Preferred stock.....	1,750	4,057	
Stock options.....	-	541	
Warrants.....	-	855	
Restricted share units.....	-	8	
	-----	-----	
Diluted.....	\$ 22,102	38,128	\$ 0.58
	-----	-----	

(5) HEDGING ACTIVITIES

The Company enters into hedging transactions with major financial institutions to reduce exposure to fluctuations in the price of oil and natural gas. Any gains or losses resulting from the change in fair value from hedging transactions that are determined to be ineffective are recorded in other revenue, whereas gains and losses from the settlement of hedging contracts are recorded in oil and natural gas revenue in the statements of operations. Crude oil hedges are settled based on the average of the reported settlement prices for West Texas Intermediate crude on the New York Mercantile Exchange (NYMEX) for each month. Natural gas hedges are settled based on the average of the last three days of trading of the NYMEX Henry Hub natural gas contract for each month. The Company also uses financially-settled crude oil and natural gas swaps, zero-cost collars and options that provide floor prices with varying upside price participation.

With a financially-settled swap, the counterparty is required to make a payment to the Company if the settlement price for any settlement period is below the hedged price for the transaction, and the Company is required to make a payment to the counterparty if the settlement price for any settlement period is above the hedged price for the transaction. With a zero-cost collar, the counterparty is required to make a payment to the Company if the settlement price for any settlement period is below the floor price of the collar, and the Company is required to make a payment to the counterparty if the settlement price for any settlement period is above the cap price for the collar. In some hedges, we may modify our collar to provide full upside participation after a limited non-participation range.

The Company had the following hedging contracts as of June 30, 2005:

NATURAL GAS POSITIONS

REMAINING CONTRACT TERM	CONTRACT TYPE	STRIKE PRICE (\$/MMBTU)	VOLUME (MMBTU)	
			DAILY	TOTAL
07/05 - 12/05.....	Collar	\$ 4.50/\$10.75	20,000	3,680,000
07/05 - 12/05.....	Collar	\$ 5.00/\$10.00	15,000	2,760,000
01/06 - 12/06.....	Collar	\$ 5.00/\$ 9.51	15,000	5,475,000
01/07 - 12/07.....	Collar	\$ 5.00/\$ 8.00	10,000	3,650,000

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

CRUDE OIL POSITIONS

REMAINING CONTRACT TERM	CONTRACT TYPE	STRIKE PRICE (\$/BBL)	VOLUME (BBLs)	
			DAILY	TOTAL
07/05 - 12/05.....	Collar	\$ 31.00/\$44.05	2,000	368,000

Settlements of hedging contracts reduced crude oil revenues by \$1.7 million and \$2.7 million in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 and had no effect on natural gas revenues in the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005. The Company has not discontinued hedge accounting treatment in the periods presented, and therefore, has not reclassified any gains or losses into earnings as a result.

-10-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)  
(UNAUDITED)

The following tables reconcile the change in accumulated other comprehensive income for the six month periods ending June 30, 2005 and 2004.

	SIX MONTHS JUNE 30, 2005
	(IN THOUSANDS)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2004	\$
Net income.....	\$ 38,471
Other comprehensive loss - net of tax	
Hedging activities	
Reclassification adjustments for settled contracts - net of taxes of \$(989).....	1,759
Changes in fair value of outstanding hedging positions- net of taxes of \$4,164.....	(7,404)
Total other comprehensive loss.....	(5,645)
Comprehensive income.....	\$ 32,826
Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2005.....	\$

	SIX MONTHS JUNE 30, 2004
	(IN THOUSANDS)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of December 31, 2003	\$

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Net income.....	\$	22,102
Other comprehensive loss - net of tax		
Hedging activities		
Reclassification adjustments for settled contracts-net of taxes of \$(1,585).....		2,818
Changes in fair value of outstanding hedging positions-net of taxes of \$2,042.....		(3,629)
		-----
Total other comprehensive loss.....		(811)
		-----
Comprehensive income.....	\$	21,291
		=====
Accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2004.....		\$

Based upon current prices, the Company expects to transfer approximately \$6.8 million of pretax net deferred losses in accumulated other comprehensive loss as of June 30, 2005 to earnings during the next twelve months when the forecasted transactions actually occur.

-11-

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

#### (6) ASSET RETIREMENT OBLIGATION

Accounting and reporting standards require entities to record the fair value of a liability for an asset retirement obligation in the period in which it is incurred and a corresponding increase in the carrying amount of the related long-lived asset. The following table reconciles the beginning and ending aggregate recorded amount of the asset retirement obligation for the six months ended June 30, 2005.

		SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005
		----- (IN THOUSANDS)
December 31, 2004.....	\$	45,064
Accretion expense.....		1,927
Liabilities incurred.....		2,694
Liabilities settled.....		(54)
		-----
June 30, 2005.....	\$	49,631
		=====

#### (7) COMMON STOCK

On July 16, 2004 the Company filed a universal shelf registration statement (Shelf Registration Statement) which allowed the Company to issue an aggregate of \$300 million in common stock, preferred stock, senior debt and subordinated debt in one or more separate offerings with the size, price and terms to be determined at the time of the sale. On November 10, 2004 the Company sold approximately 3.5 million shares of its common stock to the public pursuant to this shelf registration statement. Concurrent with this offering, the Company entered into a stock purchase agreement with Energy Income Fund, L.P. (EIF) in

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

which it purchased approximately 3.5 million shares of common stock owned by EIF at a price per share equal to the net proceeds per share received in the offering, before expenses. The Company therefore did not retain any of the proceeds from this offering and the stock has been recorded as treasury stock on the consolidated balance sheet at cost. The Company restored the Shelf Registration Statement to \$300 million in May 2005. The Company has no immediate plans to enter into any additional transactions under this registration statement, but plans to use the proceeds for general corporate purposes, which may include debt repayment, acquisitions, expansion and working capital.

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company acquired 2,312 shares of its common stock as a result of tax withholding in connection with employee benefit transactions. All of these shares are reflected in treasury stock in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

### (8) INDEBTEDNESS

On August 5, 2003, the Company issued \$150 million of 8.75% Senior Notes Due 2010 (the Senior Notes) in a Rule 144A private offering (the Debt Offering) which allows unregistered transactions with qualified institutional buyers. In October 2003, the Company consummated an exchange offer pursuant to which it exchanged registered Senior Notes (the Registered Senior Notes) having substantially identical terms as the Senior Notes for the privately placed Senior Notes. After discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses, the Company received \$145.3 million, which was used to redeem all of the outstanding 11% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2009, that had been issued in connection with a business combination in 2002, and to repay substantially all of the borrowings outstanding under the Company's bank credit facility. In January 2005 the remainder of the net proceeds were used to purchase properties in south Louisiana as discussed in note (3).

-12-

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

The Registered Senior Notes mature on August 1, 2010 with interest payable each February 1 and August 1, commencing February 1, 2004. The indenture relating to the Registered Senior Notes contains certain restrictions on the Company's ability to incur additional debt, pay dividends on its common stock, make investments, create liens on its assets, engage in transactions with its affiliates, transfer or sell assets and consolidate or merge substantially all of its assets. The Registered Senior Notes are not subject to any sinking fund requirements.

On August 3, 2004, the Company amended and extended to August 3, 2008 its bank credit facility. The borrowing base was increased to \$150 million at the time of the Company's purchase of south Louisiana properties and reserves in January 2005. At June 30, 2005 the Company had \$75.0 million outstanding under the bank credit facility. The borrowing base remains subject to redetermination based on the proved reserves of the oil and natural gas properties that serve as collateral for the bank credit facility as set out in the reserve report delivered to the banks each April 1 and October 1. The Company's borrowing base was reaffirmed effective April 1, 2005.

### (9) NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In November 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151 "Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4" (Statement 151). The amendments made by Statement 151 clarify that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage)

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

should be recognized as current-period charges and require the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The guidance is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after November 23, 2004. The Company's assessment of the provisions of Statement 151 is that it is not expected to have an impact on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 153 "Exchanges of Non-monetary assets - an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29" (Statement 153). Statement 153 amends Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion 29 to eliminate the exception for nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. Statement 153 does not apply to a pooling of assets in a joint undertaking intended to fund, develop, or produce oil or natural gas from a particular property or group of properties. The provisions of Statement 153 shall be effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Early adoption is permitted and the provisions of Statement 153 should be applied prospectively. The Company's assessment of the provisions of Statement 153 is that it is not expected to have an impact on the financial position, results of operations or cash flows of the Company.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123-Revised 2004, "Share-Based Payment," (Statement 123R). This is a revision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", and supersedes APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." The Company currently accounts for stock-based compensation under the provisions of APB No. 25. Under Statement 123R, the Company will be required to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for stock based on the grant-date fair value (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized as expense over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). The fair value will be estimated using an option-pricing model. Excess tax benefits, as defined in Statement 123R, will be recognized as an addition to paid-in capital. This will be effective for the Company as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. The Company is currently in the process of evaluating the impact of Statement 123R on its financial statements, including different option-pricing models. Note (2) illustrates the current effect on net income and earnings per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement 123.

-13-

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections -- a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3," (Statement 154). Statement 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements specific to Statement 154. The provisions of Statement 154 shall be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

(10) SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING FINANCIAL INFORMATION

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

In connection with the Debt Offering discussed above, all of the Company's current active subsidiaries (the Guarantor Subsidiaries) jointly, severally and unconditionally guaranteed the payment obligations under the Debt Offering. The following supplemental financial information sets forth, on a consolidating basis, the balance sheet, statement of operations and cash flow information for Energy Partners, Ltd. (Parent Company Only) and for the Guarantor Subsidiaries. The Company has not presented separate financial statements and other disclosures concerning the Guarantor Subsidiaries because management has determined that such information is not material to investors.

The supplemental condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared pursuant to the rules and regulations for condensed financial information and does not include all disclosures included in annual financial statements, although the Company believes that the disclosures made are adequate to make the information presented not misleading. Certain reclassifications were made to conform all of the financial information to the financial presentation on a consolidated basis. The principal eliminating entries eliminate investments in subsidiaries, intercompany balances and intercompany revenues and expenses.

-14-

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED) (UNAUDITED)

#### SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET AS OF JUNE 30, 2005

	PARENT COMPANY ONLY	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED
(IN THOUSANDS)				
ASSETS				
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents.....	\$ 5,694	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 5,694
Accounts receivable.....	232,968	(154,707)	--	78,261
Other current assets.....	9,371	59	--	9,430
Total current assets.....	248,033	(154,648)	--	93,385
Property and equipment.....	698,717	383,420	--	1,082,137
Less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization.....	(258,440)	(98,270)	--	(356,710)
Net property and equipment.....	440,277	285,150	--	725,427
Investment in affiliates.....	91,415	--	(91,415)	--
Notes receivable, long-term.....	--	70,362	(70,362)	--
Other assets.....	18,525	(21)	--	18,504
	\$ 798,250	\$ 200,843	\$ (161,777)	\$ 837,316
	=====	=====	=====	=====

#### LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Current liabilities:



Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Accounts payable and accrued expenses.....	\$ 123,374	\$ 1,415	\$ --	\$ 124
Fair value of commodity derivative instruments.....	6,771	--	--	6
Current maturities of long-term debt.....	--	164	--	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total current liabilities.....	130,145	1,579	--	131
Long-term debt.....	222,000	70,362	(70,362)	222
Other liabilities.....	89,411	37,487	--	126
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	441,556	109,428	(70,362)	480
Stockholders' equity:				
Common stock.....	412	--	--	--
Additional paid-in capital.....	339,736	--	--	339
Accumulated other comprehensive loss.....	(6,764)	--	--	(6)
Retained earnings.....	80,742	91,415	(91,415)	80
Treasury stock.....	(57,432)	--	--	(57)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total stockholders' equity	356,694	91,415	(91,415)	356
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	\$ 798,250	\$ 200,843	\$ (161,777)	\$ 837
	=====	=====	=====	=====

-15-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)  
(UNAUDITED)

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS  
SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

	PARENT COMPANY ONLY	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	(IN THOUSANDS)			
Revenue:				
Oil and natural gas.....	\$ 147,725	\$ 55,958	\$ --	\$ 203
Other.....	14,484	218	(14,751)	--
	-----	-----	-----	-----
	162,209	56,176	(14,751)	203
Costs and expenses:				
Lease operating expenses.....	24,482	2,580	--	27
Taxes, other than on earnings.....	742	4,680	--	5
Exploration expenditures.....	14,720	14,907	--	29
Depreciation, depletion and amortization.....	34,543	18,609	--	53
General and administrative.....	19,405	8,157	(7,500)	20
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Total costs and expenses.....	93,892	48,933	(7,500)	135
Income from operations.....	68,317	7,243	(7,251)	68
Interest expense, net.....	(8,096)	8	--	(8)
	-----	-----	-----	-----
Income before income taxes.....	60,221	7,251	(7,251)	60

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Income taxes.....	(21,750)	--	--	(21,750)
Net income.....	\$ 38,471	\$ 7,251	\$ (7,251)	\$ 38,471

-16-

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS - (CONTINUED)  
(UNAUDITED)

SUPPLEMENTAL CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS  
SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2005

	PARENT COMPANY ONLY	GUARANTOR SUBSIDIARIES	ELIMINATIONS	CONSOLIDATED
	(IN THOUSANDS)			
Net cash provided by operating activities....	\$ (56,457)	\$ 200,990	\$ --	\$ 144,533
Cash flows used in investing activities:				
Acquisition of business, net of cash acquired.....	(863)	--	--	(863)
Property acquisitions.....	(42,149)	(141,837)	--	(183,986)
Exploration and development expenditures.....	(63,264)	(59,100)	--	(122,364)
Other property and equipment additions.....	(792)	--	--	(792)
Net cash used in investing activities.....	(107,068)	(200,937)	--	(308,005)
Cash flows provided by financing activities:				
Deferred financing costs.....	(357)	--	--	(357)
Repayments of long-term debt.....	(33,000)	(53)	--	(33,053)
Equity offering costs.....	(87)	--	--	(87)
Proceeds from long-term debt.....	105,000	--	--	105,000
Exercise of stock options and warrants....	4,126	--	--	4,126
Net cash provided by financing activities.....	75,682	(53)	--	75,629
Net decrease in cash and cash equivalents....	(87,843)	--	--	(87,843)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period.....	93,537	--	--	93,537
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period.....	\$ 5,694	\$ --	\$ --	\$ 5,694

(11) CONTINGENCIES

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

In the ordinary course of business, the Company is a defendant in various legal proceedings. The Company does not expect its exposure in these proceedings, individually or in the aggregate, to have a material adverse effect on the financial position, results of operations or liquidity of the Company.

### (12) RECLASSIFICATIONS

Certain reclassifications have been made to the prior period financial statements in order to conform to the classification adopted for reporting in fiscal 2005.

-17-

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

### OVERVIEW

We were incorporated in January 1998 and operate in a single segment as an independent oil and natural gas exploration and production company. Our current operations are concentrated in the shallow to moderate depth waters of the Gulf of Mexico Shelf and the Gulf Coast onshore region.

During the first six months of 2005, we reported another period of growth and progress in implementing our long-term growth strategy. Our strong cash flow provided us the flexibility to make necessary and appropriate investments to continue our strategy. Our long-term strategy is to increase our oil and natural gas reserves and production while keeping our finding and development costs and operating costs competitive with our industry peers. We will implement this strategy through drilling exploratory wells from our inventory of available prospects that we have evaluated for geologic and mechanical risk and future reserve or resource potential, through drilling development wells and working over and recompleting existing wells, and by making acquisitions. Our drilling program contains some higher risk, higher reserve potential opportunities as well as some lower risk, lower reserve potential opportunities, in order to achieve a balanced program of reserve and production growth.

We use the successful efforts method of accounting for our investment in oil and natural gas properties. Under this method, we capitalize lease acquisition costs, costs to drill and complete exploration wells in which proven reserves are discovered and costs to drill and complete development wells. Exploratory drilling costs are charged to expense if and when the well is determined not to have found reserves in commercial quantities. Seismic, geological and geophysical and delay rental expenditures are expensed as they are incurred. We conduct many of our exploration and development activities jointly with others and, accordingly, recorded amounts for our oil and natural gas properties reflect only our proportionate interest in such activities. Our annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2004, includes a discussion of our critical accounting policies, which have not changed significantly since the end of the fiscal year.

On August 5, 2003, we issued \$150 million of 8.75% Senior Notes Due 2010 in a Rule 144A private offering (the "Debt Offering") which allows unregistered transactions with qualified institutional buyers. In October 2003, we consummated an exchange offer pursuant to which we exchanged registered 8.75% Senior Notes Due 2010 having substantially identical terms for the privately placed 8.75% Senior Notes due 2010. After discounts and commissions and estimated offering expenses, we received \$145.3 million, which was used to (i) redeem all of our outstanding 11% Senior Subordinated Notes Due 2009 (the "Notes"), which had been issued in connection with a business combination in

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

2002, and (ii) repay substantially all of the borrowings outstanding under our bank credit facility. In January 2005 the remainder of the net proceeds were used to purchase properties in south Louisiana.

On July 16, 2004, we filed a universal shelf registration statement (the "Registration Statement") which allowed us to issue an aggregate of \$300 million in common stock, preferred stock, senior debt and subordinated debt in one or more separate offerings with the size, price and terms to be determined at the time of the sale. On November 10, 2004 we sold approximately 3.5 million shares of our common stock to the public pursuant to the Registration Statement. Concurrent with this offering, we entered into a stock purchase agreement with Energy Income Fund, L.P. ("EIF") pursuant to which we purchased an equal number of shares of common stock owned by EIF at a price per share equal to the proceeds per share received in the offering, before expenses. We did not retain any of the proceeds from the offering and the shares are now held as treasury shares, at cost. We restored the Shelf Registration Statement to \$300 million in May 2005. We have no immediate plans to enter into any additional transactions under the Registration Statement, but plan to use the proceeds of any future offering under the Registration Statement for general corporate purposes, which may include debt repayment, acquisitions, expansion and working capital.

On August 3, 2004, we amended and extended to August 3, 2008 our bank credit facility. The borrowing base was increased to \$150 million at the time of our purchase of south Louisiana properties and reserves in January 2005. At June 30, 2005 the Company had \$75.0 million outstanding under the bank credit facility. The borrowing base remains subject to redetermination based on the proved reserves of the oil and natural gas properties that serve as collateral for the bank credit facility. The Company's borrowing base was reaffirmed effective April 1, 2005.

-18-

On January 20, 2005, we closed an acquisition of properties and reserves in south Louisiana for \$146.0 million in cash, after adjustments for the exercise of preferential rights by third parties and preliminary closing adjustments. The acquisition is composed of nine fields, four of which were producing at the time of the closing through 14 wells, with estimated proved reserves of 51.2 billion cubic feet equivalent. Also included were interests in 22 exploratory prospects. The transaction expands the exploration opportunities in our expanded focus area and further reduces the concentration of our reserves and production. Upon the signing of the purchase agreement, we paid a \$5.0 million deposit in 2004 toward the purchase price which is recorded as other assets in the consolidated balance sheet, and concurrent with the closing, the borrowing base under our bank credit facility was increased to \$150 million, of which \$60 million was drawn to fund the acquisition. In connection with the acquisition, we also entered into a two-year agreement with the seller of the properties that defines an area of mutual interest ("AMI") encompassing over one million acres. We intend to continue to explore and develop oil and natural gas reserves in the AMI over the next two years jointly with the seller. The proved reserves, prospects and the AMI are in the southern portions of Terrebonne, Lafourche and Jefferson Parishes in Louisiana.

On March 8, 2005, we closed the acquisition of the remaining 50% gross working interest in South Timbalier 26 above approximately 13,000 feet subsea that we did not already own for approximately \$21.0 million after preliminary closing adjustments from the effective date of December 1, 2004. As a result of the acquisition, we now own a 100% gross working interest in the producing horizons in this field. The acquisition expands our interest in our core Greater Bay Marchand area and gives us additional flexibility in undertaking the future development of the South Timbalier 26 field.

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

We have included the results of operations from the acquisitions discussed above from their respective closing dates. We have experienced substantial revenue and production growth as a result of these acquisitions. For the foregoing reasons these acquisitions will affect the comparability of our historical results of operations with future periods.

In the second quarter of 2005, the Company acquired 2,312 shares of its common stock as a result of tax withholding in connection with employee benefit transactions. All of these shares are reflected in treasury stock in the Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Our revenue, profitability and future growth rate depend substantially on factors beyond our control, such as economic, political and regulatory developments and competition from other sources of energy. Oil and natural gas prices historically have been volatile and may fluctuate widely in the future. Sustained periods of low prices for oil and natural gas could materially and adversely affect our financial position, our results of operations, the quantities of oil and natural gas reserves that we can economically produce and our access to capital.

We currently have an extensive inventory of drillable prospects in-house, we are generating more prospects internally and we are exploring new opportunities through relationships with industry partners. Despite our expanded budget in 2005, strong commodity prices together with growing production volumes should enable us to adhere to our policy of funding our exploration and development expenditures with internally generated cash flow. This strategy allows us to preserve our strong balance sheet to finance acquisitions and other capital intensive projects that might result from exploration and development activities. In addition to the south Louisiana and South Timbalier 26 property acquisitions already completed this year, we believe this year will provide us a number of opportunities to acquire targeted properties, including those within our focus area.

-19-

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table presents information about our oil and natural gas operations.

	THREE MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30,		SIX MONTH JUNE
	2005	2004	2005
Net production (per day):			
Oil (Bbls).....	10,469	8,411	10,225
Natural gas (Mcf).....	99,941	87,054	98,067
Total barrels of oil equivalent (Boe).....	27,126	22,920	26,570
Oil and natural gas revenues (in thousands):			
Oil.....	\$ 43,637	\$ 25,412	\$ 84,656
Natural gas.....	62,593	49,565	119,027
Total.....	106,230	74,977	203,683
Average sales prices, net of hedging:			
Oil (per Bbl).....	\$ 45.80	\$ 33.20	\$ 45.74
Natural gas (per Mcf).....	6.88	6.26	6.71
Total (per Boe).....	43.03	35.95	42.35
Impact of hedging:			

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Oil (per Bbl).....	\$ (1.74)	\$ (3.40)	\$ (1.46)
Natural gas (per Mcf).....	--	(0.01)	--
Average costs (per Boe):			
Lease operating expense.....	\$ 5.72	\$ 4.66	\$ 5.52
Taxes, other than on earnings.....	1.08	1.00	1.13
Depreciation, depletion and amortization.....	11.20	10.65	11.05
Increase in oil and natural gas revenues between periods presented (net of hedging) due to:			
Changes in prices of oil.....	\$ 9,649		\$ 19,432
Changes in production volumes of oil.....	8,576		16,641
Total increase in oil sales.....	18,225		36,073
Changes in prices of natural gas.....	\$ 4,930		\$ 10,197
Changes in production volumes of natural gas.....	8,098		19,017
Total increase in natural gas sales.....	13,028		29,214

### REVENUES AND NET INCOME

Our oil and natural gas revenues increased to \$106.2 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$75.0 million in the second quarter of 2004. Our oil and natural gas revenues increased to \$203.7 million in the first half of 2005 from \$138.4 million in the first half of 2004. The increase for this period is in part the result of sharply increased oil prices and higher natural gas prices combined with an increase in production from 28 new natural gas wells brought on production since the end of the second quarter of 2004. In addition, the acquisitions, in the first quarter of 2005, of the south Louisiana properties and the additional interest in South Timbalier 26 added incremental production. These increases were partially offset by natural reservoir declines.

We recognized net income of \$18.1 million in the second quarter of 2005 compared to net income of \$14.7 million in the second quarter of 2004. We recognized net income of \$38.5 million in the first half of 2005 compared to net income of \$22.1 million in the first half of 2004. The increase was primarily a result of the increase in oil and natural gas revenues discussed above partially offset by increased costs discussed below.

-20-

### OPERATING EXPENSES

Operating expenses during the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2005 and 2004 were affected by the following:

- Lease operating expense increased in the second quarter of 2005 to \$14.1 million compared to \$9.7 million in the second quarter of 2004. Lease operating expense increased to \$26.6 million in the first half of 2005 from \$19.4 million in the first half of 2004. This increase in both periods is a result of new wells coming on stream in new fields, acquisitions during the first quarter 2005, workovers in the current periods, as well as a general increase in the cost of oilfield industry services.
- Taxes, other than on earnings, increased to \$2.7 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$2.1 million in the second quarter of 2004. Taxes, other than on earnings, increased to \$5.4 million in the first half of 2005 from \$4.3 million in the first half of 2004. The increase was due to the increase in commodity prices and production from non-federal leases as a result of the south Louisiana property acquisition. These taxes are expected to fluctuate from period to period depending on our production

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

volumes from non-federal leases and the commodity prices received.

- Exploration expenditures, including dry hole costs, increased to \$18.9 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$7.5 million in the second quarter of 2004. The expense in the second quarter of 2005 is comprised of \$15.9 million of costs for exploratory wells or portions thereof which were found to be not commercially productive, \$0.7 million of proved property impairments at two of our fields which had reached the end of their economic lives and \$2.3 million of seismic expenditures and delay rentals, whereas the expense in the second quarter of 2004 is comprised of \$5.5 million of costs for exploratory wells or portions thereof which were found to be not commercially productive and \$2.0 million for seismic expenditures and delay rentals.

Exploration expenditures, including dry hole costs, increased to \$29.6 million in the first half of 2005 from \$16.9 million in the first half of 2004. The expense in the first half of 2005 is comprised of \$20.6 million of costs for exploratory wells or portions thereof which were found to be not commercially productive, \$0.7 million of proved property impairments noted above and \$8.3 million of seismic expenditures and delay rentals, whereas the expense in the first half of 2004 is comprised of \$6.4 million of costs for exploratory wells or portions thereof which were found to be not commercially productive, \$6.9 million of proved property impairments at our East Cameron 378 field and \$3.7 million of seismic expenditures and delay rentals.

Our exploration expenditures, including dry hole charges, will vary depending on the amount of our capital budget dedicated to exploration activities and the level of success we achieve in exploratory drilling activities.

- Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased to \$27.6 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$22.2 million in the second quarter of 2004. Depreciation, depletion and amortization increased to \$53.2 million in the first half of 2005 from \$41.0 million in the first half of 2004. Each increase was due to higher production from an increased asset base and a shift in the production contribution from our various fields.

In addition, in both periods, the shift in the production contribution amongst our various fields also increased our expense per Boe. Some fields carry a higher depreciation burden than others; therefore, changes in the sources of our production will directly impact this expense.

- Other general and administrative expenses increased to \$8.3 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$6.4 million in the second quarter of 2004. The change was due to increased personnel costs resulting from our overall increased level of activity and expanded asset base in addition to increased insurance costs.

-21-

Other general and administrative expenses increased to \$16.3 million in the first half of 2005 from \$13.8 million in the first half of 2004. The change was also due to increased personnel costs resulting from our overall increased level of activity and expanded asset base in addition to increased consulting fees.

- Non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$1.9 million was recognized in the second quarter of 2005 compared to \$0.7 million in the second quarter of 2004. Non-cash stock-based compensation expense of \$3.8 million was recognized in the first half of 2005 compared to \$1.5 million in the

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

first half of 2004. The increased expense relates to the increased amortization of new restricted stock and performance share awards made to employees in late 2004 and early 2005 as well as accelerated vesting of stock for two former employees.

### OTHER INCOME AND EXPENSE

Interest expense increased to \$4.3 million in the second quarter of 2005 from \$3.6 million in the second quarter of 2004. Interest expense increased to \$8.4 million in the first half of 2005 from \$7.2 million in the first half of 2004. The increase was a result of interest expense on borrowings under our bank credit facility to finance acquisitions.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

The trend of increased revenues we have experienced from 2004 into the first six months of 2005 has continued to provide strong cash flows from operations which totaled \$144.5 million in the first six months of the year. We intend to fund our exploration and development expenditures from internally generated cash flows, which we define as cash flows from operations before changes in working capital plus total exploration expenditures. Our cash on hand at June 30, 2005 was \$5.7 million. Our future internally generated cash flows will depend on our ability to maintain and increase production through our development and exploratory drilling program, as well as the prices we receive for oil and natural gas. We may, from time to time, use our bank credit facility to fund working capital needs.

Our bank credit facility, as amended on August 3, 2004, consists of a revolving line of credit with a group of banks available through August 3, 2008 (the "bank credit facility"). The bank credit facility currently has a borrowing base of \$150 million that is subject to redetermination based on the proved reserves of the oil and natural gas properties that serve as collateral for the bank credit facility as set out in the reserve report delivered to the banks each April 1 and October 1. The bank credit facility permits both prime rate borrowings and London interbank offered rate ("LIBOR") borrowings plus a floating spread. The spread will float up or down based on our utilization of the bank credit facility. The spread can range from 1.25% to 2.00% above LIBOR and 0% to 0.75% above prime. The borrowing base under the bank credit facility is secured by substantially all of our assets. We used our bank credit facility to fund a portion of the purchase of the south Louisiana properties in January 2005 and the acquisition of the additional interest in South Timbalier 26 in March 2005. At August 3, 2005 we had \$95.0 million outstanding and \$55.0 million of credit capacity available under the bank credit facility. In addition, we pay an annual fee on the unused portion of the bank credit facility ranging between 0.375% to 0.5% based on utilization. The bank credit facility contains customary events of default and various financial covenants, which require us to: (i) maintain a minimum current ratio, as defined in our bank credit facility agreement, of 1.0 and (ii) maintain a minimum EBITDAX to interest ratio, as defined in our bank credit facility agreement, of 3.5. We were in compliance with the bank credit facility covenants as of June 30, 2005.

On August 5, 2003, we issued \$150 million of our 8.75% senior notes due 2010 which were exchanged in October 2003 for registered 8.75% senior notes due 2010 (the "Senior Notes") with substantially the same terms. The Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 8.75% per annum with interest payable semi-annually on February 1 and August 1, beginning February 1, 2004. We may redeem the Senior Notes at our option, in whole or in part, at any time on or after August 1, 2007 at a price equal to 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest, if any, plus a specified premium which decreases yearly from 4.375% in 2007 to 0% in 2009 and thereafter. In addition, at any time prior to August 1, 2006, we may redeem up to a maximum of 35% of the aggregate principal amount with the net proceeds of certain equity offerings at a price equal to 108.75% of



## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

the principal amount, plus accrued and unpaid interest. The notes are unsecured obligations and rank equal in right of payment to all

-22-

existing and future senior debt, including the bank credit facility, and will rank senior or equal in right of payment to all existing and future subordinated indebtedness. The indenture relating to the Senior Notes contains certain restrictions on our ability to incur additional debt, pay dividends on our common stock, make investments, create liens on our assets, engage in transactions with our affiliates, transfer or sell assets and consolidate or merge substantially all of our assets. The Senior Notes are not subject to any sinking fund requirements.

Net cash of \$308.0 million used in investing activities in the first six months of 2005 consisted primarily of the acquisition of south Louisiana properties and of an additional interest in South Timbalier 26, as well as oil and natural gas exploration and development expenditures. Dry hole costs resulting from exploration expenditures are excluded from operating cash flows and included in investing activities. During the first six months of 2005, we completed 41 drilling projects, 29 of which were successful, and 21 recompletion/workover projects, 17 of which were successful. During the first six months of 2004, we completed 15 drilling projects, 12 of which were successful, and 10 recompletion/workover projects, eight of which were successful.

Our 2005 capital exploration and development budget is focused on exploration, exploitation and development activities on our proved properties combined with moderate risk and higher risk exploratory activities on undeveloped leases and our proved properties, and does not include acquisitions. We currently intend to allocate approximately 55% of our budget on an annual basis to low risk development and exploitation activities, approximately 30% to moderate risk exploration opportunities and approximately 15% to higher risk, higher potential exploration opportunities. Our exploration and development budget for 2005 is currently approximately \$307 million inclusive of expected incremental drilling expenditures on properties acquired through acquisitions closed thus far during the year. We do not budget for acquisitions. During the first six months of 2005, capital and exploration expenditures were approximately \$337.3 million inclusive of a \$0.9 million contingent consideration payment resulting from an acquisition during 2002 and \$189.0 million related to the acquisition of leases and producing assets in 2005. The level of our capital and exploration expenditure budget is based on many factors, including results of our drilling program, oil and natural gas prices, industry conditions, participation by other working interest owners and the costs and availability of drilling rigs and other oilfield goods and services. Should actual conditions differ materially from expectations, some projects may be accelerated or deferred and, consequently, may increase or decrease total 2005 capital expenditures.

We have experienced and expect to continue to experience substantial working capital requirements, primarily due to our active capital expenditure program. We believe that internally generated cash flows will be sufficient to meet our budgeted capital requirements for at least the next twelve months. Availability under the bank credit facility may be used to balance short-term fluctuations in working capital requirements. However, additional financing may be required in the future to fund our growth.

Our annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004 included a discussion of our contractual obligations. There have been no material changes to that disclosure during the six months ended June 30, 2005. In addition, we do not maintain any off balance sheet transactions,

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

arrangements, obligations or other relationships with unconsolidated entities or others that are reasonably likely to have a material current or future effect on our financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues and expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources.

### NEW ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In November 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 151 "Inventory Costs, an amendment of ARB No. 43, Chapter 4" ("Statement 151"). The amendments made by Statement 151 clarify that abnormal amounts of idle facility expense, freight, handling costs, and wasted materials (spoilage) should be recognized as current-period charges and require the allocation of fixed production overheads to inventory based on the normal capacity of the production facilities. The guidance is effective for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. Earlier application is permitted for inventory costs incurred during fiscal years beginning after November 23, 2004. Our assessment of the provisions of Statement 151 is that it is not expected to have an impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 153 "Exchanges of Non-monetary assets - an amendment of APB Opinion No. 29" ("Statement 153"). Statement 153 amends Accounting Principles Board ("APB") Opinion 29 to eliminate the exception for

-23-

nonmonetary exchanges of similar productive assets and replaces it with a general exception for exchanges of nonmonetary assets that do not have commercial substance. A nonmonetary exchange has commercial substance if the future cash flows of the entity are expected to change significantly as a result of the exchange. Statement 153 does not apply to a pooling of assets in a joint undertaking intended to fund, develop, or produce oil or natural gas from a particular property or group of properties. The provisions of Statement 153 shall be effective for nonmonetary asset exchanges occurring in fiscal periods beginning after June 15, 2005. Early adoption is permitted and the provisions of Statement 153 should be applied prospectively. Our assessment of the provisions of Statement 153 is that it is not expected to have an impact on our financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123-Revised 2004, "Share-Based Payment," ("Statement 123R"). This is a revision of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, "Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation", and supersedes APB No. 25, "Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees." We currently account for stock-based compensation under the provisions of APB 25. Under Statement 123R, we will be required to measure the cost of employee services received in exchange for stock, based on the grant-date fair value (with limited exceptions). That cost will be recognized as expense over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award (usually the vesting period). The fair value will be estimated using an option-pricing model. Excess tax benefits, as defined in Statement 123R, will be recognized as an addition to paid-in capital. This will be effective for us as of the beginning of the first annual reporting period that begins after June 15, 2005. We are currently in the process of evaluating the impact of Statement 123R on our financial statements, including different option-pricing models. Note (2)(j) of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements illustrates the current effect on net income and earnings per share if we had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement 123.

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

No. 154, "Accounting Changes and Error Corrections -- a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3," (Statement 154). Statement 154 provides guidance on the accounting for and reporting of accounting changes and error corrections. It establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for reporting a change in accounting principle in the absence of explicit transition requirements specific to Statement 154. The provisions of Statement 154 shall be effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

### FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

All statements other than statements of historical fact contained in this Report on Form 10-Q ("Report") and other periodic reports filed by us under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and other written or oral statements made by us or on our behalf, are forward-looking statements. When used herein, the words "anticipates", "expects", "believes", "goals", "intends", "plans", or "projects" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. It is important to note that forward-looking statements are based on a number of assumptions about future events and are subject to various risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual results to differ materially from the views, beliefs and estimates expressed or implied in such forward-looking statements. We refer you specifically to the section "Additional Factors Affecting Business" in Items 1 and 2 of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004. Although we believe that the assumptions on which any forward-looking statements in this Report and other periodic reports filed by us are reasonable, no assurance can be given that such assumptions will prove correct. All forward-looking statements in this document are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements in this paragraph.

-24-

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

#### INTEREST RATE RISK

We are exposed to changes in interest rates. Changes in interest rates affect the interest earned on our cash and cash equivalents and the interest rate paid on borrowings under our bank credit facility. Currently, we do not use interest rate derivative instruments to manage exposure to interest rate changes. At June 30, 2005, \$72.0 million of our long-term debt had variable interest rates while the remaining long-term debt had fixed interest expense. If the market interest rates had averaged 1% higher in the second quarter of 2005, interest rates for the period on variable rate debt outstanding during the period would have increased, and net income before income taxes would have decreased by approximately \$0.2 million based on total variable debt outstanding during the period. If market interest rates had averaged 1% lower in the second quarter of 2005, interest expense for the period on variable rate debt would have decreased, and net income before income taxes would have increased by approximately \$0.2 million.

#### COMMODITY PRICE RISK

Our revenues, profitability and future growth depend substantially on prevailing prices for oil and natural gas. Prices also affect the amount of cash flow available for capital expenditures and our ability to borrow and raise additional capital. The amount we can borrow under our bank credit facility is subject to periodic redetermination based in part on changing expectations of future prices. Lower prices may also reduce the amount of oil and natural gas that we can economically produce. We currently sell all of our oil and natural gas production under price sensitive or market price contracts.

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

We use derivative commodity instruments to manage commodity price risks associated with future oil and natural gas production. As of June 30, 2005, we had the following contracts in place:

### Natural Gas Positions

REMAINING CONTRACT TERM	CONTRACT TYPE	STRIKE PRICE (\$/MMBTU)	VOLUME (MMBTU)	
			DAILY	TOTAL
07/05 - 12/05.....	Collar	\$ 4.50/\$10.75	20,000	3,680,000
07/05 - 12/05.....	Collar	\$ 5.00/\$10.00	15,000	2,760,000
01/06 - 12/06.....	Collar	\$ 5.00/\$ 9.51	15,000	5,475,000
01/07 - 12/07.....	Collar	\$ 5.00/\$ 8.00	10,000	3,650,000

### Crude Oil Positions

REMAINING CONTRACT TERM	CONTRACT TYPE	STRIKE PRICE (\$/BBL)	VOLUME (BBLs)	
			DAILY	TOTAL
07/05 - 12/05.....	Collar	\$ 31.00/\$44.05	2,000	368,000

Our hedged volume as of June 30, 2005 approximated 12% of our estimated production from proved reserves for the balance of the terms of the contracts. Had these contracts been terminated at June 30, 2005, we estimate the pre-tax loss would have been \$10.6 million.

We use a sensitivity analysis technique to evaluate the hypothetical effect that changes in the market value of crude oil and natural gas may have on the fair value of our derivative instruments. At June 30, 2005, the potential change in the fair value of commodity derivative instruments assuming a 10% increase in the underlying commodity price was a \$5.4 million increase in the combined estimated pre tax loss.

For purposes of calculating the hypothetical change in fair value, the relevant variables are the type of commodity (crude oil or natural gas), the commodities futures prices and volatility of commodity prices. The hypothetical fair value is calculated by multiplying the difference between the hypothetical price and the contractual price by the contractual volumes.

-25-

#### ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

##### CONCLUSION REGARDING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DISCLOSURE CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Under the supervision and with the participation of certain members of our management, including the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, we completed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) of the Securities

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Exchange Act of 1934, as amended). Based on this evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer believe that the disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report with respect to timely communication to them and other members of management responsible for preparing periodic reports and all material information required to be disclosed in this report as it relates to our Company and its consolidated subsidiaries. There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting during the fiscal quarter ended June 30, 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons or by collusion of two or more people. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. Accordingly, our disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system are met and, as set forth above, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded, based on their evaluation as of the end of the period, that our disclosure controls and procedures were sufficiently effective to provide reasonable assurance that the objectives of our disclosure control system were met.

-26-

### PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Period	(a) Total Number of Shares Purchased	(b) Average Price Paid per Share (1)	(c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs (1)	(d) Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under Plans or Programs
	(in thousands)			
4/1/05 - 4/30/05	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
5/1/05 - 5/31/05	2,312	\$23.49	n/a	n/a
6/1/05 - 6/30/05	-	n/a	n/a	n/a
<b>Total</b>	<b>2,312</b>	<b>\$23.49</b>	<b>n/a</b>	

## Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

(1) Shares were acquired from employees as part of a benefit plan agreement and were not purchased as part of a publicly announced plan or program.

### ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO THE VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

a) At the Annual Meeting of Stockholders of the Company held on May 12, 2005, the stockholders elected 10 directors to serve until the 2006 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, approved the Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors and ratified the appointment of KPMG as the Company's independent public accountants for the year ended December 31, 2005.

The voting tabulation is as follows:

	FOR	WITHHELD			
Election as a Director of the Company:					
Richard A. Bachmann	30,023,788	2,839,985			
John C. Bumgarner, Jr.	31,044,788	1,818,985			
Jerry D. Carlisle	31,127,696	1,736,077			
Harold D. Carter	30,446,280	2,417,493			
Enoch L. Dawkins	20,117,304	12,746,469			
Robert D. Gershen	30,456,143	2,407,630			
William R. Herrin	31,166,351	1,697,422			
William O. Hiltz	31,134,856	1,728,917			
John G. Phillips	31,047,431	1,816,342			
Norman D. Francis	31,167,444	1,696,329			
			FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
Approve the Amended and Restated 2000 Stock Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors:	23,074,221	4,030,651	25,739		
			FOR	AGAINST	ABSTAIN
Ratify appointment of KPMG LLP as the Company's independent public accountants:	32,785,677	68,698	9,398		

-27-

### ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

Exhibits:

- 10.1 General Release between William Flores, Jr. and Energy Partners, Ltd. dated July 6, 2005.
- 31.1 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Energy Partners, Ltd.
- 31.2 Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Executive Vice President and

Edgar Filing: ENERGY PARTNERS LTD - Form 10-Q

Chief Financial Officer of Energy Partners, Ltd.

32.0 Section 1350 Certifications.

-28-

SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

ENERGY PARTNERS, LTD.

Date: August 8, 2005 By: /s/ David R. Looney

-----  
David R. Looney  
Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer  
(Authorized and Principal Financial Officer)

-29-

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibit Number	Description of Exhibit
-----	-----
10.1	General Release between William Flores, Jr. and Energy Partners, Ltd. dated July 6, 2005.
31.1	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Energy Partners, Ltd.
31.2	Rule 13a-14(a)/15d-14(a) Certification of Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Energy Partners, Ltd.
32.0	Section 1350 Certifications.

-30-