

TRINITY BIOTECH PLC
Form 20-F
April 15, 2010

Table of Contents

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington D.C. 20549
FORM 20-F**

- o REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR (g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
- OR**
- þ ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
- For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009**
- OR**
- o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**
- For the transition period from _____ to _____**
- o SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**Date of event requiring this shell company report
Commission file number: 0-22320**

Trinity Biotech plc

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter and translation of Registrant's name into English)

Ireland

(Jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland

(Address of principal executive offices)

Kevin Tansley

Chief Financial Officer

Tel: +353 1276 9800

Fax: +353 1276 9888

IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of each class

None

Name of each exchange on which registered

None

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act:

American Depositary Shares (each representing 4 A Ordinary Shares, par value US\$0.0109)

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: **None**

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

82,952,037 Class A Ordinary Shares and 700,000 Class B Shares

(as of December 31, 2009)

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP

**International Financial
Reporting
Standards as issued by the
International Accounting
Standards
Board**

Other

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow:

Item 17 Item 18

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

This Annual Report on Form 20-F is incorporated by reference into our Registration Statements on Form F-3 File No. 333-113091, 333-112568, 333-116537, 333-103033, 333-107363 and 333-114099 and our Registration Statements on Form S-8 File No. 33-76384, 333-220, 333-5532, 333-7762 and 333-124384.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PART I	
<u>Item 1</u>	<u>Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisors</u> 1
<u>Item 2</u>	<u>Offer statistics and Expected timetable</u> 1
<u>Item 3</u>	<u>Selected Consolidated Financial Data</u> 1
<u>Item 4</u>	<u>Information on the Company</u> 7
<u>Item 5</u>	<u>Operating and Financial Review and Prospects</u> 14
<u>Item 6</u>	<u>Directors and Senior Management</u> 36
<u>Item 7</u>	<u>Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions</u> 42
<u>Item 8</u>	<u>Financial Information</u> 44
<u>Item 9</u>	<u>The Offer and Listing</u> 44
<u>Item 10</u>	<u>Memorandum and Articles of Association</u> 46
<u>Item 11</u>	<u>Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> 57
<u>Item 12</u>	<u>Description of Securities other than Equity Securities</u> 59
PART II	
<u>Item 13</u>	<u>Defaults, Dividend Arrangements and Delinquencies</u> 59
<u>Item 14</u>	<u>Material Modification to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds</u> 59
<u>Item 15</u>	<u>Control and Procedures</u> 59
<u>Item 16A</u>	<u>Audit Committee Financial Expert</u> 60
<u>Item 16B</u>	<u>Code of Ethics</u> 60
<u>Item 16C</u>	<u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> 60
PART III	
<u>Item 17</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u> 61
<u>Item 18</u>	<u>Consolidated Financial Statements</u> 61

Item 19

Exhibits

131

Exhibit 4

Exhibit 12.1

Exhibit 12.2

Exhibit 13.1

Exhibit 13.2

Exhibit 15.1

Exhibit 15.2

Table of Contents

As used herein, references to we , us , Trinity Biotech or the Group in this form 20-F shall mean Trinity Biotech and its world-wide subsidiaries, collectively. References to the Company in this annual report shall mean Trinity Biotech plc.

Our financial statements are presented in US Dollars and are prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) both as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and as subsequently adopted by the European Union (EU). The IFRS applied are those effective for accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009. Consolidated financial statements are required by Irish law to comply with IFRS as adopted by the EU which differ in certain respects from IFRS as issued by the IASB. These differences predominantly relate to the timing of adoption of new standards by the EU. However, as none of the differences are relevant in the context of Trinity Biotech, the consolidated financial statements for the periods presented comply with IFRS both as issued by the IASB and as adopted by the EU. All references in this annual report to Dollars and \$ are to US Dollars, and all references to Euro or are to European Union Euro. Except as otherwise stated herein, all monetary amounts in this annual report have been presented in US Dollars. For presentation purposes all financial information, including comparative figures from prior periods, have been stated in round thousands.

Item 1 Identity of Directors, Senior Management and Advisers

Not applicable.

Item 2 Offer Statistics and Expected Timetable

Not applicable.

Item 3 Selected Consolidated Financial Data

The following selected consolidated financial data of Trinity Biotech as at December 31, 2009 and 2008 and for each of the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008 and 2007 have been derived from, and should be read in conjunction with, the audited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto set forth in Item 18 of this annual report. The selected consolidated financial data as at December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 are derived from the audited consolidated financial statements not appearing in this Annual Report. This data should be read in conjunction with the financial statements, related notes and other financial information included elsewhere herein.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF OPERATIONS DATA**

	<i>Year ended December, 31</i>				
	<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2007</i>	<i>2006</i>	<i>2005</i>
	<i>Total</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Revenues	125,907	140,139	143,617	118,674	98,560
Cost of sales	(68,891)	(77,645)	(75,643)	(62,090)	(51,378)
Cost of sales restructuring expenses			(953)		
Cost of sales inventory write off / provision			(11,772)	(5,800)	
Total cost of sales	(68,891)	(77,645)	(88,368)	(67,890)	(51,378)
Gross profit	57,016	62,494	55,249	50,784	47,182
Other operating income	437	1,173	413	275	161
Research and development expenses	(7,341)	(7,544)	(6,802)	(6,696)	(6,070)
Research and development restructuring expenses			(6,907)		
Total research and development expenses	(7,341)	(7,544)	(13,709)	(6,696)	(6,070)
Selling, general and administrative expenses	(36,013)	(47,816)	(51,010)	(42,422)	(34,651)
Selling, general and administrative impairment charges and restructuring expenses		(87,882)	(20,315)		
Total selling, general and administrative expenses	(36,013)	(135,698)	(71,325)	(42,422)	(34,651)
Operating profit/(loss)	14,099	(79,575)	(29,372)	1,941	6,622
Financial income	8	65	457	1,164	389
Financial expenses	(1,192)	(2,160)	(3,148)	(2,653)	(1,058)
Net financing costs	(1,184)	(2,095)	(2,691)	(1,489)	(669)
Profit/(loss) before tax	12,915	(81,670)	(32,063)	452	5,953
Income tax (expense)/ credit	(1,091)	3,892	(3,309)	2,824	(673)

Profit/(loss) for the year (all attributable to owners of the parent)	11,824	(77,778)	(35,372)	3,276	5,280
Basic earnings/(loss) per A ordinary share (US Dollars)	0.14	(0.96)	(0.47)	0.05	0.09
Basic earnings/(loss) per B ordinary share (US Dollars)	0.28	(1.91)	(0.94)	0.10	0.18
Diluted earnings/(loss) per A ordinary share (US Dollars)	0.14	(0.96)	(0.47)	0.05	0.09
Diluted earnings/(loss) per B ordinary share (US Dollars)	0.28	(1.91)	(0.94)	0.10	0.18
Basic earnings/(loss) per ADS (US Dollars)	0.57	(3.82)	(1.86)	0.19	0.36
Diluted earnings/(loss) per ADS (US Dollars)	0.57	(3.82)	(1.86)	0.19	0.35
Weighted average number of shares used in computing basic EPS	83,737,884	81,394,075	76,036,579	70,693,753	58,890,084
Weighted average number of shares used in computing diluted EPS	83,772,094	81,394,075	76,036,579	72,125,740	67,032,382

Table of Contents**Consolidated Balance Sheet Data**

	<i>December</i> <i>31,</i> <i>2009</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>December</i> <i>31,</i> <i>2008</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>December</i> <i>31,</i> <i>2007</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>December</i> <i>31,</i> <i>2006</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>December</i> <i>31,</i> <i>2005</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
Net current assets (current assets less current liabilities)	42,835	39,494	36,298	60,996	44,964
Non-current liabilities	(27,500)	(27,897)	(35,623)	(45,928)	(19,083)
Total assets	132,445	129,509	215,979	249,131	184,602
Capital stock	1,080	1,070	991	978	830
Shareholders' equity	79,344	65,905	136,845	167,262	133,618

No dividends were declared in any of the periods from December 31, 2005 to December 31, 2009.

Risk Factors

Before you invest in our shares, you should be aware that there are various risks, which are described below. You should consider carefully these risks together with all of the other information included in this annual report before you decide to purchase our shares.

Trinity Biotech's operating results may be subject to fluctuations.

Trinity Biotech's operating results may fluctuate as a result of many factors related to its business, including the competitive conditions in the industry, major reorganisations of the Group's activities, loss of significant customers, delays in the development of new products and currency fluctuations, as described in more detail below, and general factors such as the size and timing of orders, the prevalence of various diseases and general economic conditions. In the event of lower operating profits, this could have a negative impact on cash generated from operations and also negatively impact shareholder value.

A need for capital might arise in the future if Trinity Biotech's capital requirements increase or revenues decrease.

Up to now Trinity Biotech has funded its operations through the sale of its shares and securities convertible into shares, cashflows from operations and bank borrowings. Trinity Biotech expects that the proceeds of equity financings, bank borrowings, lease financing, current working capital and sales revenues will fund its existing operations and payment obligations. However, if our capital requirements are greater than expected, or if our revenues do not generate sufficient cashflows to fund our operations, we may need to find additional financing which may not be available on attractive terms or at all. Any future financing could have an adverse effect on our current shareholders or the price of our shares in general.

Trinity Biotech's acquisition strategy may be less successful than expected, and therefore, growth may be limited.

Trinity Biotech has historically grown organically and through the acquisition of, and investment in, other companies, product lines and technologies. There can be no guarantees that recent or future acquisitions can be successfully assimilated or that projected growth in revenues or synergies in operating costs can be achieved. Our ability to integrate future acquisitions may also be adversely affected by inexperience in dealing with new technologies, and changes in regulatory or competitive environments. Additionally, even during a successful integration, the investment of management's time and resources in the new enterprise may be detrimental to the consolidation and growth of our existing business.

Table of Contents***The diagnostics industry is highly competitive, and Trinity Biotech's research and development could be rendered obsolete by technological advances of competitors.***

Trinity Biotech's principal business is the supply of medical diagnostic test kits and related diagnostic instrumentation. The diagnostics industry is extremely competitive. Trinity Biotech is competing directly with companies which have greater capital resources and larger marketing and business organisations than Trinity Biotech. Trinity Biotech's ability to grow revenue and earnings may be adversely impacted by competitive product and pricing pressures and by its inability to gain or retain market share as a result of the action of competitors.

We have invested in research and development (R&D) but there can be no guarantees that our R&D programmes will not be rendered technologically obsolete or financially non-viable by the technological advances of our competitors, which would also adversely affect our existing product lines and inventory. The main competitors of Trinity Biotech (and their principal products with which Trinity Biotech competes) include Siemens (Sysmex® CA, D-Dimer plus, Enzygnost®), Inverness Medical Innovations, Inc. (Determine , Wampole , Athena), Diasorin Inc. (Liasion , ETI), Abbott Diagnostics (AxSYM , IMx), Diagnostic Products Corp. (DPC (Immulite), Bio-Rad (ELISA, WB, Bioplex & A1c), Roche Diagnostics (COBAS AMPLICOR , Ampliscreen , Accutrend) and OraSure Technologies, Inc (OraQuick®).

Trinity Biotech is highly dependent on suitable distributors worldwide.

Trinity Biotech currently distributes its product portfolio through distributors in approximately 75 countries worldwide. Our continuing economic success and financial security is dependent on our ability to secure effective channels of distribution on favourable trading terms with suitable distributors.

Trinity Biotech's business could be adversely affected by changing market conditions resulting in the reduction of the number of institutional customers.

The diagnostics industry is in transition with a number of changes that affect the market for diagnostic test products. Changes in the healthcare industry delivery system have resulted in major consolidation among reference laboratories and in the formation of multi-hospital alliances, reducing the number of institutional customers for diagnostic test products. There can be no assurance that we will be able to enter into and/or sustain contractual or other marketing or distribution arrangements on a satisfactory commercial basis with these institutional customers.

Trinity Biotech's long-term success depends on its ability to develop new products subject to stringent regulatory control. Even if new products are successfully developed, Trinity Biotech's proprietary know-how, manufacturing techniques and trade secrets may be copied by competitors. Furthermore, Trinity Biotech's patents have a limited life time and are thereafter subject to competition with generic products. Also, competitors might claim an exclusive patent for products Trinity Biotech plans to develop.

We are committed to significant expenditure on research and development (R&D). However, there is no certainty that this investment in research and development will yield technically feasible or commercially viable products. Our organic growth and long-term success is dependent on our ability to develop and market new products but this work is subject to very stringent regulatory control and very significant costs in research, development and marketing. Failure to introduce new products could significantly slow our growth and adversely affect our market share.

Even when products are successfully developed and marketed, Trinity Biotech's ownership of the technology behind these products has a finite life. In general, generic competition, which can arise through replication of the Trinity Biotech's proprietary know-how, manufacturing techniques and trade secrets or after the expiration of a patent, can have a detrimental effect on a product's revenue, profitability and market share. There can be no guarantee that the net income and financial position of Trinity Biotech will not be adversely affected by competition from generic products. Conversely, on occasion, certain companies have claimed exclusive patent, copyright and other intellectual property rights to technologies in the diagnostics industry. If these technologies relate to Trinity Biotech's planned products, Trinity Biotech would be obliged to seek licences to use this technology and, in the event of being unable to obtain such licences or it being obtainable on grounds that would be materially disadvantageous to Trinity Biotech, we would be precluded from marketing such products, which

could adversely impact our revenues, sales and financial position.

Table of Contents

Trinity Biotech's patent applications could be rejected or the existing patents could be challenged; our technologies could be subject to patent infringement claims; and trade secrets and confidential know-how could be obtained by competitors.

We can provide no assurance that the patents Trinity Biotech may apply for will be obtained or that existing patents will not be challenged. The patents owned by Trinity Biotech and its subsidiaries may be challenged by third parties through litigation and could adversely affect the value of our patents. We can provide no assurance that our patents will continue to be commercially valuable.

Trinity Biotech currently owns 15 US patents with remaining patent lives varying from less than one year to 13 years. In addition to these US patents, Trinity Biotech owns a total of 7 additional non-US patents with expiration dates varying between the years 2010 and 2023.

Also, our technologies could be subject to claims of infringement of patents or proprietary technology owned by others. The cost of enforcing our patent and technology rights against infringers or defending our patents and technologies against infringement charges by others may be high and could adversely affect our business.

Trade secrets and confidential know-how are important to our scientific and commercial success. Although we seek to protect our proprietary information through confidentiality agreements and other contracts, we can provide no assurance that others will not independently develop the same or similar information or gain access to our proprietary information.

Trinity Biotech's business is heavily regulated and non-compliance with applicable regulations could reduce revenues and profitability.

Our manufacturing and marketing of diagnostic test kits are subject to government regulation in the United States of America by the Food and Drug Administration (FDA), and by comparable regulatory authorities in other jurisdictions. The approval process for our products, while variable across countries, is generally lengthy, time consuming, detailed and expensive. Our continued success is dependent on our ability to develop and market new products, some of which are currently awaiting approval from these regulatory authorities. There is no certainty that such approval will be granted or, even once granted, will not be revoked during the continuing review and monitoring process.

We are required to comply with extensive post market regulatory requirements. Non-compliance with applicable regulatory requirements of the FDA or comparable foreign regulatory bodies can result in enforcement action which may include recalling products, ceasing product marketing, paying significant fines and penalties, and similar actions that could limit product sales, delay product shipment, and adversely affect profitability.

Trinity Biotech's success is dependent on certain key management personnel.

Trinity Biotech's success is dependent on certain key management personnel. Our key employees at December 31, 2009 were Ronan O Caoimh, our CEO and Chairman, Rory Nealon, our COO and Kevin Tansley, our CFO and Company Secretary. Competition for qualified employees among biotechnology companies is intense, and the loss of such personnel or the inability to attract and retain the additional highly skilled employees required for the expansion of our activities, could adversely affect our business. In the USA, the UK, France and Germany we have been able to attract and retain qualified personnel. In Ireland, we have experienced some difficulties in attracting and retaining staff due to competition from other employers in our industry.

Table of Contents***Trinity Biotech is dependent on its suppliers for the primary raw materials required for its test kits.***

The primary raw materials required for Trinity Biotech's test kits consist of antibodies, antigens or other reagents, glass fibre and packaging materials which are acquired from third parties. Although Trinity Biotech does not expect to be dependent upon any one source for these raw materials, alternative sources of antibodies with the characteristics and quality desired by Trinity Biotech may not be available. Such unavailability could affect the quality of our products and our ability to meet orders for specific products.

Trinity Biotech may be subject to liability resulting from its products or services.

Trinity Biotech may be subject to claims for personal injuries or other damages resulting from its products or services. Trinity Biotech has global product liability insurance in place for its manufacturing subsidiaries up to a maximum of 6,500,000 (US\$9,382,000) for any one accident, limited to a maximum of 6,500,000 (US\$9,382,000) in any one year period of insurance. A deductible of US\$25,000 is applicable to each insurance event that may arise. There can be no assurance that our product liability insurance is sufficient to protect us against liability that could have a material adverse effect on our business.

Currency fluctuations may adversely affect our earnings and assets.

Trinity Biotech records its transactions primarily in US Dollars and Euro and prepares its financial statements in US Dollars. A substantial portion of our expenses are denominated in Euro. However, Trinity Biotech's revenues are primarily denominated in US Dollars. As a result, the Group is affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates, especially the exchange rate between the US dollar and the Euro, which may adversely affect our earnings and assets. The percentage of 2009 consolidated revenue denominated in US Dollars was approximately 72%. Of the remaining 28%, 22% relates to revenue denominated in Euro and 6% relates to sterling and yen denominated revenues. Thus, a 10% decrease in the value of the Euro would have approximately a 2% adverse impact on consolidated revenues.

As part of the process of mitigating foreign exchange risk, the principal exchange risk identified by Trinity Biotech is with respect to fluctuations in the Euro. This is attributable to the level of Euro denominated expenses exceeding the level of Euro denominated revenues thus creating a Euro deficit. Trinity Biotech continuously monitors its exposure to foreign currency movements and based on expectations on future exchange rate exposure implements a hedging policy which may include covering a portion of this exposure through the use of forward contracts. In the medium term, our objective is to increase the level of non-US Dollar denominated revenue, thus creating a natural hedge of the non-US Dollar expenditure.

The conversion of our outstanding employee share options and warrants would dilute the ownership interest of existing shareholders.

The warrants issued in 2004 and 2008 and the total share options exercisable at December 2009, as described in Item 18, note 19 to the consolidated financial statements, are convertible into American Depositary Shares (ADSs), 1 ADS representing 4 Class A Ordinary Shares. The exercise of the share options exercisable and of the warrants will likely occur only when the conversion price is below the trading price of our ADSs and will dilute the ownership interests of existing shareholders. For instance, should the options and warrant holders of the 6,915,952 A Ordinary shares (1,728,988 ADSs) exercisable at December 31, 2009 be exercised, Trinity Biotech would have to issue 6,915,952 additional A ordinary shares (1,728,988 ADSs). On the basis of 82,952,037 A ordinary shares outstanding at December 31, 2009, this would effectively dilute the ownership interest of the existing shareholders by approximately 8%.

Table of Contents

It could be difficult for US holders of ADSs to enforce any securities laws claims against Trinity Biotech, its officers or directors in Irish Courts.

At present, no treaty exists between the United States and Ireland for the reciprocal enforcement of foreign judgements. The laws of Ireland do however, as a general rule, provide that the judgements of the courts of the United States have in Ireland the same validity as if rendered by Irish Courts. Certain important requirements must be satisfied before the Irish Courts will recognize the United States judgement. The originating court must have been a court of competent jurisdiction, the judgement may not be recognized if it is based on public policy, was obtained by fraud or its recognition would be contrary to Irish public policy. Any judgement obtained in contravention of the rules of natural justice will not be enforced in Ireland.

Item 4

Information on the Company

History and Development of the Company

Trinity Biotech (the Group) develops, acquires, manufactures and markets medical diagnostic products for the clinical laboratory and point-of-care (POC) segments of the diagnostic market. These products are used to detect autoimmune, infectious and sexually transmitted diseases, diabetes and disorders of the blood, liver and intestine. The Group is also a significant provider of raw materials to the life sciences industry. The Group sells worldwide in over 75 countries through its own sales force and a network of international distributors and strategic partners.

Trinity Biotech was incorporated as a public limited company (plc) registered in Ireland in 1992. The Company commenced operations in 1992 and, in October 1992, completed an initial public offering of its securities in the US. The principal offices of the Group are located at IDA Business Park, Bray, Co Wicklow, Ireland. The Group has expanded its product base through internal development and acquisitions.

The Group, which has its headquarters in, Bray Ireland, employs in excess of 650 people worldwide and markets its portfolio of over 500 products to customers in 75 countries around the world. Trinity Biotech markets its products in the US and the rest of the world through a combination of direct selling and a network of national and international distributors. The Group has established direct sales forces in the US, Germany, France and the UK. Trinity Biotech has manufacturing facilities in Bray, Ireland and Lemgo, Germany, in Europe and in Jamestown, New York, Carlsbad, California and Kansas City, Missouri in the USA.

In 2010 the Group signed an agreement to sell its worldwide coagulation business to Diagnostica Stago for US\$90 million. Diagnostica Stago have agreed to purchase the share capital of Trinity Biotech (UK Sales) Limited, Trinity Biotech GmbH and Trinity Biotech SARL, along with coagulation assets of Biopool US Inc. and Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited. Included in the sale are Trinity s lists of coagulation customers and suppliers, all coagulation inventory, intellectual property and developed technology. In total, 320 Trinity employees will transfer their employment to Diagnostica Stago. The transaction is expected to close during quarter 2, 2010.

The following represents the acquisitions made by Trinity Biotech in recent years.

Acquisition of the immuno-technology business of Cortex Biochem Inc

In September 2007, the Group acquired the immuno-technology business of Cortex Biochem Inc (Cortex) for a total consideration of US\$2,925,000, consisting of cash consideration of US\$2,887,000 and acquisition expenses of US\$38,000.

Acquisition of certain components of the distribution business of Sterilab Services UK

In October 2007, the Group acquired certain components of the distribution business of Sterilab Services UK (Sterilab), a distributor of Infectious Diseases products, for a total consideration of US\$1,489,000, consisting of cash consideration of US\$1,480,000 and acquisition expenses of US\$9,000.

Table of Contents**Principal Markets**

The primary market for Trinity Biotech's tests remains the USA. During fiscal year 2009, the Group sold 54% (US\$68.1 million) (2008: 50% or US\$69.9 million) (2007: 48% or US\$68.4 million) of product in the USA. Sales to non-US (principally European and Asian/ African) countries represented 46% (US\$57.8 million) for fiscal year 2009 (2008: 50% or US\$70.2 million) (2007: 52% or US\$75.2 million).

For a more comprehensive segmental analysis please refer to Item 5, Results of Operations and Item 18, note 2 to the consolidated financial statements.

Principal Products

Trinity Biotech develops, acquires, manufactures and markets a wide range of clinical in-vitro diagnostic products. The complete portfolio is divided into 2 product lines which are sold under the following established brand names:

	Clinical Laboratory		Point of Care
	Infectious Diseases	Clinical Chemistry	
Coagulation			
Trini™	Bartels®	Primus	UniGold
Biopool®	CAPTIA	EZ	Capillus
Amax	MarDx®		Recombigen®
Destiny	MicroTrak		
	MarBlot®		

These products are sold through our direct sales organisations in USA, UK, France and Germany and through our network of over 75 principal distributor partners in the rest of the world.

Clinical Laboratory

Trinity Biotech supplies the clinical laboratory segment of the market with a range of diagnostic tests and instrumentation which detect infectious diseases, sexually transmitted diseases, blood coagulation and autoimmune disorders. We also sell raw materials to the life sciences industry. Within the clinical laboratory product line, there are three product portfolios, namely coagulation, infectious diseases and clinical chemistry.

Coagulation

The coagulation product line comprises test kits and instrumentation used in the detection of blood coagulation and clotting disorders. The market for blood clotting and bleeding tests continues to grow due to an aging population and improvement in healthcare systems. Trinity Biotech's instrumentation and assays for coagulation are recognized as being among the highest quality available. The comprehensive product offering is marketed globally to hospitals, clinical laboratories, commercial reference laboratories and research institutions.

In 2008 we commenced the rationalization of the three existing coagulation brands of Amax, Biopool and Destiny under a single brand name called Trini. At the end of 2008 we launched the Destiny MAX instrument, which is specifically designed to service the high throughput segment of the market. This market segment is valued at approximately US\$500 million per year. Prior to the launch of the Destiny MAX, this segment was not served by the existing Trinity Biotech instrument product range. During 2009, Destiny MAX has been CE marked for distribution in Europe and has obtained a 510k approval from the FDA. In 2010, the Group has signed an agreement to sell its worldwide coagulation business to Diagnostica Stago please refer to Item 18, note 28 for further details on this proposed sale.

Infectious Diseases

The infectious diseases product line is the most diverse within Trinity Biotech. The products are used to perform tests on patient samples and the results generated are reported to physicians to guide diagnosis for a broad range of infectious diseases. This product line has grown to include diagnostic kits for autoimmune diseases (e.g. lupus, celiac and rheumatoid arthritis), hormonal imbalances, sexually transmitted diseases (syphilis, chlamydia and herpes), intestinal infections, lung/bronchial infections, cardiovascular and a wide range of other diseases.

The vast majority of the infectious diseases product line is FDA cleared for sale in the USA and CE marked for sale in Europe. Products are sold in over 75 countries, with the focus on North America, Europe and Asia.

Table of Contents

The main drivers of expansion and opportunity for the product line have been:

1. The increased Trinity Biotech instrumentation offering/portfolio through collaboration with Dynex and implementation of a system sell (i.e. combining instruments and reagents) strategy;
2. Focus on key accounts in affiliate markets;
3. Expansion of product portfolio to meet market demands; and
4. Increase in our geographical spread with new partnerships in major markets in Asia-Pacific.

Clinical Chemistry

The Trinity Biotech speciality clinical chemistry business includes reagent products such as ACE, Bile Acids, Lactate, Oxalate and Glucose 6 phosphate dehydrogenase (G6PDH) that are clearly differentiated in the marketplace. These products are suitable for both manual and automated testing and have proven performance in the diagnosis of many disease states from liver and kidney disease to G6PDH deficiency which is an indicator of haemolytic anaemia.

In 2005, Trinity Biotech acquired Primus Corporation, a leader in the field of in-vitro diagnostic testing for haemoglobin A1c used in the monitoring of diabetes. Primus manufactures a range of instrumentation using patented HPLC (high pressure liquid chromatography) technology. These products are the most accurate and precise methods available for detection and monitoring the patient status and overall diabetic control. The Primus product range also includes HPLC equipment specifically designed to detect haemoglobin variants which is important for screening populations for genetic abnormalities that can lead to conditions such as sickle cell anaemia. Primus sells the products to physicians' offices and reference laboratories directly in the USA and via a distribution network in other countries. In addition, the group developed the GeneSys system for assay and detection of Haemoglobin variants in neo-natal screening and the system was FDA cleared and launched in the US in May 2008. Since the launch of the GeneSys system in the US, four state laboratory services had adopted the method for their state-wide screening programs for all neonates. Primus, as part of its research and development, continues to focus on developing a sub one minute assay for A1c determination. Primus is also involved in the development of a new HPLC instrument to replace the current PDQ analyzer. The new instrument will allow access to markets not previously open to Trinity Biotech due to instrument price and test capability (A1c and variant). Development was initiated in late 2007, continued through 2009 and is expected to launch initially in the non US market in late 2010.

Point of Care (POC)

Point of Care refers to diagnostic tests which are carried out in the presence of the patient. Trinity Biotech's current range of POC tests principally test for the presence of HIV antibodies. The Group's principal product is UniGold HIV. UniGold HIV has been used for several years in voluntary counselling and testing centres (VCTs) in sub-Saharan Africa where they provide a cornerstone to early detection and treatment intervention. In the USA, the Centres for Disease Control (CDC) recommend the use of rapid tests to control the spread of HIV/AIDS. As part of this, UniGold HIV is used in public health facilities, hospitals and other outreach facilities. Trinity Biotech make a very significant contribution to the global effort to meet the challenge of HIV.

In November 2007, Trinity Biotech received FDA clearance on the TRIstat point-of-care system, which will be used in physician laboratories, diabetes clinics and health centres for the rapid determination of Haemoglobin A1c. The TRIstat system is currently undergoing the completion of CLIA (Clinical Laboratory Improvement Act) clinical trials for the definition of ease of use.

In June 2007, Trinity Biotech launched its Uni-Gold LUA kit. The product is a rapid lateral flow test for the qualitative detection of Legionella pneumophila in urine from patients with symptoms of pneumonia. Development of this product continued in 2009, with verification completed in early Q4 and then entered into validation stage with the production of validation lots of product. The new product started clinical studies in 2010 and is expected to launch during 2010.

Sales and Marketing

Trinity Biotech sells its product through its own direct sales-force in four countries: the United States, Germany, France and the United Kingdom. In the United States there are approximately 93 sales and marketing professionals

responsible for the sale of the Trinity Biotech range of coagulation reagents and instrumentation, clinical chemistry, point of care and infectious disease products. The Group also has sales forces of 17 in Germany, 5 in France and 16 in the UK. In addition to our direct sales operations, Trinity Biotech also operates in approximately 75 countries, through over 300 independent distributors and strategic partners.

Table of Contents

Manufacturing and Raw Materials

Trinity Biotech uses a wide range of biological and non-biological raw materials. The primary raw materials required for Trinity Biotech's test kits consist of antibodies, antigens, human plasma, latex beads, rabbit brain phospholipids, bovine source material, other reagents, glass fibre and packaging materials. The reagents used as raw materials have been acquired for the most part from third parties. Although Trinity Biotech is not dependent upon any one source for such raw materials, alternative sources of antibodies and antigens with the specificity and sensitivity desired by Trinity Biotech may not be available from time to time. Such unavailability could affect the supply of its products and its ability to meet orders for specific products, if such orders are obtained. Trinity Biotech's growth may be limited by its ability to obtain or develop the necessary quantity of antibodies or antigens required for specific products. Thus, Trinity Biotech's strategy is, whenever possible, to establish alternative sources of supply of antibodies.

Competition

The diagnostic industry is very competitive. There are many companies, both public and private, engaged in the sale of medical diagnostic products and diagnostics-related research and development, including a number of well-known pharmaceutical and chemical companies. Competition is based primarily on product reliability, customer service and price. The Group's competition includes several large companies such as, but not limited to, Roche, Abbott, Johnson & Johnson, Siemens (from the combined acquisitions of Bayer Diagnostics, Dade-Behring and DPC), Beckman Coulter, Inverness Medical Innovations, Inc., Bio-Rad and Thermo Fisher.

Patents and Licences

Patents

Many of Trinity Biotech's tests are not protected by specific patents, due to the significant cost of putting patents in place for Trinity Biotech's wide range of products. However, Trinity Biotech believes that substantially all of its tests are protected by proprietary know-how, manufacturing techniques and trade secrets.

From time-to-time, certain companies have asserted exclusive patent, copyright and other intellectual property rights to technologies that are important to the industry in which Trinity Biotech operates. In the event that any of such claims relate to its planned products, Trinity Biotech intends to evaluate such claims and, if appropriate, seek a licence to use the protected technology. There can be no assurance that Trinity Biotech would, firstly, be able to obtain licences to use such technology or, secondly, obtain such licences on satisfactory commercial terms. If Trinity Biotech or its suppliers are unable to obtain or maintain a licence to any such protected technology that might be used in Trinity Biotech's products, Trinity Biotech could be prohibited from marketing such products. It could also incur substantial costs to redesign its products or to defend any legal action taken against it. If Trinity Biotech's products should be found to infringe protected technology, Trinity Biotech could also be required to pay damages to the infringing party.

Licences

Trinity Biotech has entered into a number of key licensing arrangements including the following:

In 2005 Trinity Biotech obtained a license from the University of Texas for the use of Lyme antigen (Vlse), thus enabling the inclusion of this antigen in the Group's Lyme diagnostic products. Trinity also entered a Biological Materials License Agreement with the Centre for Disease Control (CDC) in Atlanta, GA, USA for the rights to produce and sell the CDC developed HIV Incidence assay.

In 2002, Trinity Biotech obtained the Unipath and Carter Wallace lateral flow licences under agreement with Inverness Medical Innovations (IMI). In 2006, Trinity Biotech renewed its license agreement with Inverness Medical Innovations covering IMI's most up to date broad portfolio of lateral flow patents, and expanded the field of use to include over the counter (OTC) for HIV products, thus ensuring Trinity Biotech's freedom to operate in the lateral flow market with its UniGold technology.

On December 20, 1999 Trinity Biotech obtained a non-exclusive commercial licence from the National Institute of Health (NIH) in the US for NIH patents relating to the general method of producing HIV-1 in cell culture and methods of serological detection of antibodies to HIV-1.

Trinity Biotech has also entered into a number of licence/supply agreements for key raw materials used in the manufacture of its products.

Table of Contents***Government Regulation***

The preclinical and clinical testing, manufacture, labelling, distribution, and promotion of Trinity Biotech's products are subject to extensive and rigorous government regulation in the United States and in other countries in which Trinity Biotech's products are sought to be marketed. The process of obtaining regulatory clearance varies, depending on the product categorisation and the country, from merely notifying the authorities of intent to sell, to lengthy formal approval procedures which often require detailed laboratory and clinical testing and other costly and time-consuming processes. The main regulatory bodies which require extensive clinical testing are the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the US, the Irish Medicines Board (as the authority over Trinity Biotech in Europe) and Health Canada.

The process in each country varies considerably depending on the nature of the test, the perceived risk to the user and patient, the facility at which the test is to be used and other factors. As 54% of Trinity Biotech's 2009 revenues were generated in the US and the US represents approximately 43% of the worldwide diagnostics market, an overview of FDA regulation has been included below.

FDA Regulation

Our products are medical devices subject to extensive regulation by the FDA under the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act. The FDA's regulations govern, among other things, the following activities: product development, testing, labeling, storage, pre-market clearance or approval, advertising and promotion and sales and distribution.

Access to US Market. Each medical device that Trinity Biotech may wish to commercially distribute in the US will require either pre-market notification (more commonly known as 510(k)) clearance or pre-market application (PMA) approval prior to commercial distribution. Devices intended for use in blood bank environments fall under even more stringent review and require a Blood Licence Application (BLA). Some low risk devices are exempted from these requirements. The FDA has introduced fees for the review of 510(k) and PMA applications. The fee for a PMA or BLA in 2009 is in the region of US\$200,000.

510(k) Clearance Pathway. To obtain 510(k) clearance, Trinity Biotech must submit a pre-market notification demonstrating that the proposed device is substantially equivalent in intended use and in safety and effectiveness to a predicate device either a previously cleared class I or II device or a class III preamendment device, for which the FDA has not called for PMA applications. The FDA's 510(k) clearance pathway usually takes from 3 to 9 months, but it can take longer. After a device receives 510(k) clearance, any modification that could significantly affect its safety or effectiveness, or that would constitute a major change in its intended use, requires a new 510(k) clearance or could even require a PMA approval.

PMA Approval Pathway. A device that does not qualify for 510(k) clearance generally will be placed in class III and required to obtain PMA approval, which requires proof of the safety and effectiveness of the device to the FDA's satisfaction. A PMA application must provide extensive preclinical and clinical trial data and also information about the device and its components regarding, among other things, device design, manufacturing and labeling. In addition, an advisory committee made up of clinicians and/or other appropriate experts is typically convened to evaluate the application and make recommendations to the FDA as to whether the device should be approved. It generally takes from one to three years but can take longer.

Although the FDA is not bound by the advisory panel decision, the panel's recommendation is important to the FDA's overall decision making process. The PMA approval pathway is more costly, lengthy and uncertain than the 510(k) clearance process. It generally takes from one to three years or even longer. After approval of a PMA, a new PMA or PMA supplement is required in the event of a modification to the device, its labeling or its manufacturing process. As noted above, the FDA has recently implemented substantial fees for the submission and review of PMA applications.

BLA approval pathway. BLA approval is required for some products intended for use in a blood bank environment, where the blood screened using these products may be administered to an individual following processing. This approval pathway involves even more stringent review of the product.

Clinical Studies. A clinical study is required to support a PMA application and is required for a 510(k) pre-market notification. Such studies generally require submission of an application for an Investigational Device Exemption (IDE) showing that it is safe to test the device in humans and that the testing protocol is scientifically sound.

Table of Contents*Post-market Regulation*

After the FDA permits a device to enter commercial distribution, numerous regulatory requirements apply, including the Quality System Regulation (QSR), which requires manufacturers to follow comprehensive testing, control, documentation and other quality assurance procedures during the manufacturing process; labeling regulations; the FDA's general prohibition against promoting products for unapproved or off-label uses; and the Medical Device Reporting (MDR) regulation, which requires that manufacturers report to the FDA if their device may have caused or contributed to a death or serious injury or malfunctioned in a way that would likely cause or contribute to a death or serious injury if it were to recur.

Trinity Biotech is subject to inspection by the FDA to determine compliance with regulatory requirements. If the FDA finds any failure to comply, the agency can institute a wide variety of enforcement actions, ranging from a public warning letter to more severe sanctions such as fines, injunctions, and civil penalties; recall or seizure of products; the issuance of public notices or warnings; operating restrictions, partial suspension or total shutdown of production; refusing requests for 510(k) clearance or PMA approval of new products; withdrawing 510(k) clearance or PMA approvals already granted; and criminal prosecution.

Unanticipated changes in existing regulatory requirements or adoption of new requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Group. Any failure to comply with applicable QSR or other regulatory requirements could have a material adverse effect on the Group's revenues, earnings and financial standing.

There can be no assurances that the Group will not be required to incur significant costs to comply with laws and regulations in the future or that laws or regulations will not have a material adverse effect upon the Group's revenues, earnings and financial standing.

CLIA classification

Purchasers of Trinity Biotech's clinical diagnostic products in the United States may be regulated under The Clinical Laboratory Improvements Amendments of 1988 (CLIA) and related federal and state regulations. CLIA is intended to ensure the quality and reliability of clinical laboratories in the United States by mandating specific standards in the areas of personnel qualifications, administration and participation in proficiency testing, patient test management, quality control, quality assurance and inspections. The regulations promulgated under CLIA established three levels of diagnostic tests (waived , moderately complex and highly complex) and the standards applicable to a clinical laboratory depend on the level of the tests it performs.

Export of products subject to 510(k) notification requirements, but not yet cleared to market, are permitted without FDA export approval, if statutory requirements are met. Unapproved products subject to PMA requirements can be exported to any country without prior FDA approval provided, among other things, they are not contrary to the laws of the destination country, they are manufactured in substantial compliance with the QSR, and have been granted valid marketing authorization in Australia, Canada, Israel, Japan, New Zealand, Switzerland, South Africa or member countries of the European Union or of the European Economic Area (EEA). FDA approval must be obtained for exports of unapproved products subject to PMA requirements if these export conditions are not met.

There can be no assurance that Trinity Biotech will meet statutory requirements and/or receive required export approval on a timely basis, if at all, for the marketing of its products outside the United States.

Regulation outside the United States

Distribution of Trinity Biotech's products outside of the United States is also subject to foreign regulation. Each country's regulatory requirements for product approval and distribution are unique and may require the expenditure of substantial time, money, and effort. There can be no assurance that new laws or regulations will not have a material adverse effect on Trinity Biotech's business, financial condition, and results of operation. The time required to obtain needed product approval by particular foreign governments may be longer or shorter than that required for FDA clearance or approval. There can be no assurance that Trinity Biotech will receive on a timely basis, if at all, any foreign government approval necessary for marketing its products.

Table of Contents***Organisational Structure***

Trinity Biotech plc and its subsidiaries (the Group) is a manufacturer of diagnostic test kits and instrumentation for sale and distribution worldwide. Trinity Biotech's executive offices are located at Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland while its research and development, manufacturing and marketing activities are principally conducted at Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited, based in Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland, Trinity Biotech (UK Sales) Limited, based in Berkshire England, Trinity Biotech GmbH, based in Lemgo, Germany, and at Trinity Biotech (USA), MarDx Diagnostics Inc, Primus Corporation and Biopool US Inc. based in Jamestown, New York State, Carlsbad, California, Kansas City, Missouri and Berkeley Heights, New Jersey respectively. The Group's distributor of raw materials for the life sciences industry, Fitzgerald Industries, is based in Acton, Massachusetts and Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland.

For a more comprehensive schedule of the subsidiary undertakings of the Group please refer to Item 18, note 30 to the consolidated financial statements.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Trinity Biotech has five manufacturing sites worldwide, three in the US (Jamestown, NY, Kansas City, MO and Carlsbad, CA), one in Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland and one in Lemgo, Germany. The US and Irish facilities are each FDA and ISO registered facilities. As part of its ongoing commitment to quality, Trinity Biotech was granted the latest ISO 9001: 2000 and ISO 13485: 2003 certification. This certificate was granted by the Underwriters Laboratory, an internationally recognised notified body. It serves as external verification that Trinity Biotech has an established an effective quality system in accordance with an internationally recognised standard. By having an established quality system there is a presumption that Trinity Biotech will consistently manufacture products in a controlled manner. To achieve this certification Trinity Biotech performed an extensive review of the existing quality system and implemented any additional regulatory requirements.

Trinity Biotech's facilities and offices in Ireland are located in four buildings at IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow. The first of these buildings is the manufacturing and research and development facility consisting of approximately 45,000 square feet. This facility is ISO 9001 approved and was purchased in December 1997. The facility includes offices, research and development laboratories, production laboratories, cold storage and drying rooms and warehouse space. Trinity Biotech spent US\$4.2 million buying and fitting out this facility. In December 1999, the Group sold this facility for net proceeds of US\$5.2 million and leased it back from the purchaser for 20 years. The current annual rent, which is reviewed every five years, is set at 479,000 (US\$691,000).

Trinity Biotech has entered into a number of related party transactions with JRJ Investments (JRJ), a partnership owned by Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh, directors of the Company, and directly with Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh, to provide current and potential future needs for the Group's manufacturing and research and development facilities, located at IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland. In July 2000, Trinity Biotech entered into a 20 year lease with JRJ for a 25,000 square foot warehouse adjacent to the existing facility at a current annual rent of 275,000 (US\$397,000). In November 2002, Trinity Biotech entered into an agreement for a 25 year lease with JRJ, for 16,700 square feet of offices at an annual rent of 381,000 (US\$550,000), payable from 2004. In December 2007, the Group entered into an agreement with Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh pursuant to which the Group took a lease on an additional 43,860 square foot manufacturing facility in Bray, Ireland at a rate of 17.94 per square foot (including fit out) giving a total annual rent of 787,000 (US\$1,136,000). See Item 7 Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions.

Trinity Biotech USA operates from a 24,000 square foot FDA and ISO 9001 approved facility in Jamestown, New York. The facility was purchased by Trinity Biotech USA in 1994. Additional warehousing space is also leased in upstate New York at an annual rental charge of US\$128,000.

MarDx operates from two facilities in Carlsbad, California. The first facility comprises 21,500 square feet and is the subject of a five year lease, renewed in 2006, at an annual rental cost of US\$259,000. The second adjacent facility comprises 14,500 square feet and is the subject of a three year lease, amended in 2009, at an annual rental cost of US\$170,000.

Trinity Biotech closed its facility located in Umea, Sweden during 2008.

Trinity Biotech GmbH owns an ISO 9001 approved manufacturing and office facility of 78,000 square feet in Lemgo, Germany.

Trinity Biotech also has sales and marketing functions which operate from additional premises in the UK and France. Trinity Biotech leases two units in Berkshire, UK, at an annual rent of £91,000 (US\$147,000). In 2006, Trinity Biotech entered into a lease for a 5,750 square foot premises in Paris, France, at an annual rent of 46,000 (US\$66,000).

Table of Contents

Additional office space is leased by the Group in Ireland, Kansas City, Missouri, Acton, Massachusetts and Berkeley Heights, New Jersey at an annual cost of US\$170,000, US\$100,000, US\$109,000 and US\$274,000, respectively.

At present we have sufficient productive capacity to cover demand for our product range. We continue to review our level of capacity in the context of future revenue forecasts. In the event that these forecasts indicate capacity constraints, we will either obtain new facilities or expand our existing facilities.

We do not currently have any plans to expand or materially improve our facilities please also refer to Item 18, note 28.

In relation to products produced at our facilities these are as follows:

Bray, Ireland this is the principal coagulation manufacturing site within the Group. Clinical Chemistry, Point of Care/HIV and Immunofluorescence products are also manufactured at this site.

Lemgo, Germany this facility is responsible for the production of our coagulation instrumentation and the associated plastic consumables for use with these instruments.

Jamestown, New York this site specializes in the production of Microtitre Plate EIA products for infectious diseases and auto-immunity.

Carlsbad, California this facility specializes in the development and manufacture of products utilizing Western Blot technology. Our Lyme suite of products is manufactured at this facility.

Kansas City, Missouri this site is responsible for the manufacture of the Group's A1c range of products.

We are fully in compliance with all environmental legislation applicable in each jurisdiction in which we operate.

Capital expenditures and divestitures

Trinity Biotech has no significant capital expenditures in progress. Please refer to Item 18, note 28 with regard to details of the Group's proposed disposal of its coagulation business to Diagnostica Stago.

Item 5

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects

Operating Results

Trinity Biotech's consolidated financial statements include the attributable results of Trinity Biotech plc and all its subsidiary undertakings collectively. This discussion covers the years ended December 31, 2009, December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, and should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Form 20-F. The financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS both as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and as subsequently adopted by the European Union (EU) (together IFRS). Consolidated financial statements are required by Irish law to comply with IFRS as adopted by the EU which differ in certain respects from IFRS as issued by the IASB. These differences predominantly relate to the timing of adoption of new standards by the EU. However, as none of the differences are relevant in the context of Trinity Biotech, the consolidated financial statements for the periods presented comply with IFRS both as issued by the IASB and as adopted by the EU.

Table of Contents

Trinity Biotech has availed of the exemption under SEC rules to prepare consolidated financial statements without a reconciliation to U.S. generally accepted accounting principles (US GAAP) as at and for the three year period ended December 31, 2009 as Trinity Biotech is a foreign private issuer and the financial statements have been prepared in accordance with IFRS both as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and as subsequently adopted by the European Union (EU).

Overview

Trinity Biotech develops, manufactures and markets diagnostic test kits used for the clinical laboratory and point of care (POC) segments of the diagnostic market. These test kits are used to detect infectious diseases, sexually transmitted diseases, blood disorders and autoimmune disorders. The Group markets over 500 different diagnostic products in approximately 75 countries. In addition, the Group manufactures its own and distributes third party coagulation and infectious diseases diagnostic instrumentation. The Group, through its Fitzgerald operation, is also a significant provider of raw materials to the life sciences industry.

Factors affecting our results

The global diagnostics market is growing due to, among other reasons, the ageing population and the increasing demand for rapid tests in a clinical environment.

Our revenues are directly related to our ability to identify high potential products while they are still in development and to bring them to market quickly and effectively. Efficient and productive research and development is crucial in this environment as we, like our competitors, search for effective and cost-efficient solutions to diagnostic problems. The growth in new technology will almost certainly have a fundamental effect on the diagnostics industry as a whole and upon our future development.

The comparability of our financial results for the years ended December 31, 2009, 2008, 2007, 2006 and 2005 have been impacted by acquisitions made by the Group in three of the five years. There were no acquisitions made in 2009 or 2008. In 2007, the Group acquired the immuno-technology assets of Cortex and certain components of the distribution business of Sterilab. In 2006, the Group acquired the coagulation business of bioMerieux and a direct selling entity in France. In 2005, Group acquired Primus Corporation and Research Diagnostics Inc (RDI).

For further information about the Group's principal products, principal markets and competition please refer to Item 4, Information on the Company .

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with IFRS. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make estimates and judgements that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

On an on-going basis, we evaluate our estimates, including those related to intangible assets, contingencies and litigation. We base our estimates on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgements about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

We believe the critical accounting policies described below reflect our more significant judgements and estimates used in the preparation of our consolidated financial statements.

Research and development expenditure

We write-off research and development expenditure as incurred, with the exception of expenditure on projects whose outcome has been assessed with reasonable certainty as to technical feasibility, commercial viability and recovery of costs through future revenues. Such expenditure is capitalised at cost within intangible assets and amortised over its expected useful life of 15 years, which commences when commercial production starts.

Factors which impact our judgement to capitalise certain research and development expenditure include the degree of regulatory approval for products and the results of any market research to determine the likely future commercial success of products being developed. We review these factors each year to determine whether our previous estimates as to feasibility, viability and recovery should be changed.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2009 the carrying value of capitalised development costs was US\$12,785,000 (2008: US\$5,338,000) (see Item 18, note 12 to the consolidated financial statements). The increase in 2009 was as a result of development costs of US\$7,845,000 being capitalised in 2009 and offset partially by amortisation of US\$401,000.

In December 2008, an impairment loss of US\$21,480,000 was incurred on the Group's capitalised development costs. This loss arose as part of the Group's annual impairment review (see Item 18, note 3 to the consolidated financial statements).

Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill

Definite lived intangible assets are reviewed for indicators of impairment annually while goodwill and indefinite lived assets are tested for impairment annually, individually or at the cash generating unit level. Factors considered important, as part of an impairment review, include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to expected, historical or projected future operating results;

Significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;

Obsolescence of products;

Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and

Our market capitalisation relative to net book value.

When we determine that the carrying value of intangibles, non-current assets and related goodwill may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, any impairment is measured based on our estimates of projected net discounted cash flows expected to result from that asset, including eventual disposition. Our estimated impairment could prove insufficient if our analysis overestimated the cash flows or conditions change in the future.

The recoverable amount of goodwill and intangible assets contained in each of the Group's CGU's is determined based on the greater of the fair value less cost to sell and value in use calculations. The Group operates in one business segment and accordingly the key assumptions are similar for all CGU's. The value in use calculations use cash flow projections based on the 2010 budget and projections for a further four years using a projected revenue growth rate of between 3% and 5% and a cost growth rate of 3% to 5%. At the end of the five year forecast period, terminal values for each CGU, based on a long term growth rate are used in the value in use calculations. The cashflows and terminal values for the CGU's are discounted using pre-tax discount rates which range from 18% to 33%.

The value in use calculation is subject to significant estimation, uncertainty and accounting judgements and are particularly sensitive in the following areas. In the event that there was a variation of 10% in the assumed level of future growth in revenues, which would represent a reasonably likely range of outcomes, the following impairment loss/write back would be recorded at December 31, 2009:

A net reversal of impairment loss of US\$2.5 million in the event of a 10% increase in the growth in revenues.

An impairment loss of US\$2.1 million in the event of a 10% decrease in the growth in revenues.

Similarly if there was a 10% variation in the discount rate used to calculate the potential impairment of the carrying values, which would represent a reasonably likely range of outcomes, there would be the following impairment loss/write back would be recorded at December 31, 2009:

A reversal of impairment loss of US\$8.0 million in the event of a 10% decrease in the discount rate.

An impairment loss of US\$7.7 million in the event of a 10% increase in the discount rate.

Allowance for slow-moving and obsolete inventory

We evaluate the realisability of our inventory on a case-by-case basis and make adjustments to our inventory provision based on our estimates of expected losses. We write off any inventory that is approaching its use-by date and for which no further re-processing can be performed. We also consider recent trends in revenues for various

inventory items and instances where the realisable value of inventory is likely to be less than its carrying value. Given the allowance is calculated on the basis of the actual inventory on hand at the particular balance sheet date, there were no material changes in estimates made during 2007, 2008 or 2009 which would have an impact on the carrying values of inventory during those periods, except as discussed below.

Table of Contents

At December 31, 2009 our allowance for slow moving and obsolete inventory was US\$12,566,000 which represents approximately 24.3% of gross inventory value. This compares with US\$16,461,000, or approximately 28.0% of gross inventory value, at December 31, 2008 (see Item 18, note 15 to the consolidated financial statements) and US\$18,234,000, or approximately 29.1% of gross inventory value, at December 31, 2007. There has been no significant change in the estimated allowance for slow moving and obsolete inventory as a percentage of gross inventory between 2008 and 2009. In the case of finished inventory the size of this provision has been calculated based on the expected future sales of products which are being rationalised. In the case of raw materials and work in progress the size of the provision has been based on expected future production of these products. Management is satisfied that the assumptions made with respect to future sales and production levels of these products are reasonable to ensure the adequacy of this provision. In the event that the estimate of the provision required for slow moving and obsolete inventory was to increase or decrease by 2% of gross inventory, which would represent a reasonably likely range of outcomes, then a change in allowance of US\$1,035,000 at December 31, 2009 (2008: US\$1,176,000) (2007: US\$1,253,000) would result.

Allowance for impairment of receivables

We make judgements as to our ability to collect outstanding receivables and where necessary make allowances for impairment. Such impairments are made based upon a specific review of all significant outstanding receivables. In determining the allowance, we analyse our historical collection experience and current economic trends. If the historical data we use to calculate the allowance for impairment of receivables does not reflect the future ability to collect outstanding receivables, additional allowances for impairment of receivables may be needed and the future results of operations could be materially affected. Given the specific manner in which the allowance is calculated, there were no material changes in estimates made during 2009 or 2008 which would have an impact on the carrying values of receivables in these periods. At December 31, 2009, the allowance was US\$855,000 which represents approximately 0.7% of Group revenues. This compares with US\$619,000 at December 31, 2008 which represents approximately 0.4% of Group revenues (see Item 18, note 16 to the consolidated financial statements) and to US\$657,000 at December 31, 2007, which represents approximately 0.5% of Group revenues. In the event that this estimate was to increase or decrease by 0.4% of Group revenues, which would represent a reasonably likely range of outcomes, then a change in the allowance of US\$504,000 at December 31, 2009 (2008: US\$561,000) (2007: US\$574,000) would result.

Accounting for income taxes

Significant judgement is required in determining our worldwide income tax expense provision. In the ordinary course of a global business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax outcome is uncertain. Some of these uncertainties arise as a consequence of revenue sharing and cost reimbursement arrangements among related entities, the process of identifying items of revenue and expense that qualify for preferential tax treatment and segregation of foreign and domestic income and expense to avoid double taxation. In addition, we operate within multiple taxing jurisdictions and are subject to audits in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues that may require an extended period of time for resolution. Although we believe that our estimates are reasonable, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different than that which is reflected in our historical income tax provisions and accruals. Such differences could have a material effect on our income tax provision and profit in the period in which such determination is made. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined using enacted or substantively enacted tax rates for the effects of net operating losses and temporary differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities.

While we have considered future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing whether deferred tax assets can be recognised, there is no assurance that these deferred tax assets may be realisable. The extent to which recognised deferred tax assets are not realisable could have a material adverse impact on our income tax provision and net income in the period in which such determination is made. In addition, we operate within multiple taxing jurisdictions and are subject to audits in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues that may require an extended period of time for resolution. In management's opinion, adequate provisions for income taxes have been made.

Item 18, note 13 to the consolidated financial statements outlines the basis for the deferred tax assets and liabilities and includes details of the unrecognized deferred tax assets at year end. The Group does not recognize deferred tax assets arising on unused tax losses except to the extent that there are sufficient taxable temporary differences relating to the same taxation authority and the same taxable entity which will result in taxable amounts against which the unused tax losses can be utilised before they expire.

Table of Contents

Impact of Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) both as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and as subsequently adopted by the European Union (EU). The IFRS applied are those effective for accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009. Consolidated financial statements are required by Irish law to comply with IFRS as adopted by the EU which differ in certain respects from IFRS as issued by the IASB. These differences predominantly relate to the timing of adoption of new standards by the EU. However, as none of the differences are relevant in the context of Trinity Biotech, the consolidated financial statements for the periods presented comply with IFRS both as issued by the IASB and as adopted by the EU. During 2009, the IASB and the International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC) issued additional standards, interpretations and amendments to existing standards which are effective for periods starting after the date of these financial statements. A list of these additional standards, interpretations and amendments, and the potential impact on the financial statements of the Group, is outlined in Item 18, note 1(z).

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Year ended December 31, 2009 compared to the year ended December 31, 2008**

The following compares our results in the year ended December 31, 2009 to those of the year ended December 31, 2008 under IFRS. Our analysis is divided as follows:

1. Overview
2. Revenues
3. Operating Profit/(loss)
4. Profit/(loss) for the year

1. Overview

Group revenues declined by US\$14.2 million to US\$125.9 million, representing a decrease of 10% compared to 2008. The decrease was mainly due to an 11% decrease in Clinical Laboratory revenues. The main reason for the decrease in Clinical Laboratory revenues was a decrease in coagulation revenues, caused by a reduction in the number of installed instruments and by the strengthening of the US Dollar against both the Euro and Sterling. Point of Care revenues decreased by 5%, largely due to the company's decision not to ship to a major HIV customer due to credit related issues in the second half of 2009.

The gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2009 is 45.3%, which is 0.7% higher than the gross margin for 2008. The increase in gross margin this year is primarily attributable to a reduction in overheads and payroll costs following a cost reduction program, lower depreciation charges and the more favourable Euro exchange rate compared to the previous financial year.

In 2008, Trinity Biotech recognised an impairment charge of US\$85.8 million relating to the carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and prepayments, in the statement of operations. Additionally in 2008, restructuring expenses of US\$2.1 million were recognised. The total effect of these once-off charges on the 2008 results was a reduction in profit before tax of US\$87.9 million and a reduction of US\$83.1m in profit after tax.

The table hereunder compares the operating profit/(loss) and profit after tax for year ended December, 2009 to the previous financial year.

	Year ended December 31,		
	2009	2008	
	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	% Change
Operating Profit/(loss)	14,099	(79,575)	
Operating Profit (2008 figure shown before impairment and restructuring charges)	14,099	8,307	70%
Profit/(loss) after Tax	11,824	(77,778)	
Profit after Tax (2008 figure shown before impairment and restructuring charges)	11,824	5,353	121%

The operating profit is US\$14.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2009 which compares to an operating loss of US\$79.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008. Excluding the impact of impairment charges and restructuring expenses in 2008, the operating profit would have been US\$8.3 million in 2008. On a like-for-like basis, there was therefore an increase in operating profit of 70% in 2009. The increase in operating profit was due to the impact of significant cost reduction measures more than offsetting the negative effect of a 10% fall in revenues. The profitability in 2009 was also helped by a reduction in depreciation and amortisation charges and by more favourable

Euro versus US Dollar exchange rates.

Table of Contents

The profit for the year ended December 31, 2009 was US\$11.8 million which compares to a loss for the year ended December 31, 2008 of US\$77.8 million. Excluding the after tax impact of the restructuring expenses and goodwill impairment, the profit for 2008 would have been US\$5.4 million.

2. Revenues

The Group's revenues consist of the sale of diagnostic kits and related instrumentation and the sale of raw materials to the life sciences industry. Revenues from the sale of the above products are generally recognised on the basis of shipment to customers. The Group ships its products on a variety of freight terms, including ex-works, CIF (carriage including freight) and FOB (free on board), depending on the specific terms agreed with customers. In cases where the Group ships on terms other than ex-works, the Group does not recognise the revenue until its obligations have been fulfilled in accordance with the shipping terms.

No right of return exists in relation to product sales except in instances where demonstrable product defects occur. The Group has defined procedures for dealing with customer complaints associated with such product defects as they arise. The Group also derives a portion of its revenues from leasing infectious diseases and coagulation diagnostic instruments to customers. In cases where the risks and rewards of ownership of the instrument passes to the customer, the fair value of the instrument is recognised at the time of sale matched by the related cost of sale. In the case of operating leases of instruments which typically involve commitments by the customer to pay a fee per test run on the instruments, revenue is recognised on the basis of customer usage of the instruments. In certain markets, the Group also earns revenue from servicing infectious diseases and coagulation instrumentation located at customer premises.

Revenues by Product Line

Trinity Biotech's revenues for the year ended December 31, 2009 were US\$125,907,000 compared to revenues of US\$140,139,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, which represents a decrease of US\$14,232,000 or 10%. The following table sets forth selected sales data for each of the periods indicated.

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2009 US\$ 000	2008 US\$ 000	
Revenues			
Clinical Laboratory	107,778	121,143	(11%)
Point of Care	18,129	18,996	(5%)
Total	125,907	140,139	(10%)

Clinical Laboratory

In 2009 Clinical Laboratory revenues decreased by US\$13,365,000 which equates to an 11% decline. The decrease was mainly due to a decline in sales of coagulation products in advance of the worldwide launch of the Destiny Max instrument.

The decrease in coagulation revenues was caused by a reduction in the installed customer base and by movements in foreign exchange rates. The installed base of MDA instruments in the US and UK declined in advance of the launch of the newly developed Destiny Max instrument. The Destiny Max was launched in all markets by July 2009 and is the designated replacement for the MDA. 5% of the overall decrease was caused by changes in exchange rates, principally the strengthening of the US Dollar against the Euro.

Table of Contents*Point of Care*

Our principal Point of Care product is Unigold , which tests for the presence of HIV antibodies. Sales of Point of Care tests decreased by US\$867,000, which equates to a 5% decline.

Our two main markets for Point of Care tests are Africa and USA. Sales of HIV tests in Africa decreased by 18% largely due to the company s decision not to ship to a major HIV customer due to credit related issues in the second half of 2009. Point of Care revenues continued to show strong growth in the USA with an increase this year of 17% compared to 2008. Outside of our two main Point of Care markets, revenues increased by 4% in 2009, with most of this increase coming from Latin America.

Revenues by Geographical Region

The following table sets forth selected sales data, analysed by geographic region, based on location of customer:

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2009	2008	
	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	
Revenues			
Americas	68,130	69,915	(3%)
Europe	32,389	43,481	(26%)
Asia/Africa	25,388	26,743	(5%)
Total	125,907	140,139	(10%)

The 3% decrease in the Americas amounting to US\$1,785,000 is primarily attributable to a reduction in coagulation revenue arising from an erosion of the MDA customer base. This reduction was largely offset by growth in the sales of the Unigold rapid HIV test, higher sales of infectious diseases tests mainly Lyme disease and higher revenues for diabetes related tests.

European revenues experienced a decline of US\$11,092,000, or 26% compared to 2008. 9% of the decrease was due to the weakening of both Euro and Sterling against the US Dollar. The remaining 17% decrease was mainly due to a reduction in coagulation revenues arising from an erosion of the installed customer base of medium and high throughput analyzers, particularly in UK and Germany.

A US\$1,355,000 decrease in Asia/Africa revenues is largely due to lower sales of Trinity s Unigold rapid HIV tests following Trinity s decision not to ship to a major customer in Africa due to the credit related issues.

For further information about the Group s principal products, principal markets and competition please refer to Item 4, Information on the Company .

Table of Contents**3. Operating Profit/(loss)**

The following table sets forth the Group's operating profit/(loss)

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2009	2008	
	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	
Revenues	125,907	140,139	(10%)
Cost of sales	(68,891)	(77,645)	(11%)
Gross profit	57,016	62,494	(9%)
Other operating income	437	1,173	(63%)
Research & development	(7,341)	(7,544)	(3%)
SG&A expenses	(36,013)	(47,816)	(25%)
SG&A expenses impairment charges and restructuring expenses		(87,882)	(100%)
Operating profit/(loss)	14,099	(79,575)	

Cost of sales

Total cost of sales decreased by US\$8,754,000 from US\$77,645,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 to US\$68,891,000, for the year ended December 31, 2009, a decrease of 11%. The main reasons for the decrease in cost of sales in 2009 were the lower revenues, the savings achieved by a cost reduction program and the change in the Euro exchange rate compared to the previous financial year.

The cost reduction program succeeded in reducing a wide range of direct costs including wages and salaries, utilities and freight costs. Depreciation charges decreased also in 2009.

A significant proportion of the Group's Cost of Sales is denominated in Euro. During 2009 the average Euro versus US Dollar exchange rate was 6% lower than in 2008 and this had the effect of reducing Cost of Sales.

Gross margin

The gross margin of 45.3% in 2009 compares to a gross margin of 44.6% in 2008. The increase in gross margin in 2009 is primarily attributable to a reduction in overheads and payroll costs following the cost reduction program, lower depreciation charges and the slightly more favourable Euro exchange rate compared to the previous financial year.

Other operating income

Other operating income comprises government grants and rental income from sublet properties. The 63% reduction in 2009 is mainly due to lower government grants following the completion of the related grant-aided activity.

Research and development expenses

Research and development (R&D) expenditure reduced from US\$7,544,000 in 2008 to US\$7,341,000 in 2009. The main reason for the decrease was the change in the US Dollar to Euro exchange rate, which caused research and development costs incurred in our Irish and German operations to decrease by approximately 6%. This decrease was partly offset by an increase in average R&D headcount from 57 in 2008 to 61 in 2009. For details of the Company's various R&D projects see Research and Products under Development in Item 5 below.

Selling, General & Administrative expenses (SG&A)

Total SG&A expenses decreased by US\$99,685,000 from US\$135,698,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 to US\$36,013,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009. The decrease is primarily due to the impairment charges and restructuring expenses of US\$87,882,000 incurred in 2008.

Table of Contents

The following table outlines the breakdown of SG&A expenses in 2009 compared to 2008.

	Year ended December 31,		(Decrease) US\$ 000	% Change
	2009 US\$ 000	2008 US\$ 000		
SG&A (excl. share-based payments and amortisation)	33,567	43,314	(9,747)	(23%)
SG&A impairment charges and restructuring expenses		87,882	(87,882)	(100%)
Share-based payments	487	886	(399)	(45%)
Amortisation	1,959	3,616	(1,657)	(46%)
Total	36,013	135,698	(99,685)	(73%)

Selling General & Administrative Expenditure (excluding share-based payments and amortisation)

SG&A expenses excluding share-based payments and amortisation decreased from US\$43,314,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 to US\$33,567,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009, which represents a decrease of 23%.

The decrease this year of US\$9,747,000 is mainly attributable to cost reductions as follows:

a cost reduction program involving a headcount reduction was announced in December 2008, which delivered payroll cost savings in SG&A of approximately US\$5,100,000 in 2009. The headcount reduction also had the effect of reducing travel and other employee expenses by almost US\$1,000,000.

other headcount reductions implemented in 2009 contributed to a further reduction in SG&A payroll costs of US\$700,000. These headcount reductions mainly involved the rationalisation of the French sales and US finance functions.

a salary reduction for directors and senior managers was implemented in early 2009 and resulted in a cost saving of approximately US\$700,000.

a significant proportion of the Group's SG&A expenses are denominated in Euro. During 2009 the average US dollar versus Euro exchange rate was 6% lower compared to 2008 and this had the effect of reducing SG&A expenses by about US\$1,100,000. The US dollar also strengthened versus Sterling in 2009 and this had the effect of reducing the reported SG&A costs for our UK selling entity by just over US\$350,000.

through strict cost control the Group succeeded in reducing its selling overheads and administrative expenses by about US\$750,000 in 2009. A wide range of overhead savings were achieved, including communications, utilities, travel costs, legal and professional fees and recruitment fees.

SG&A impairment charges and restructuring expenses

No impairment charges or restructuring expenses were recorded in 2009. In 2008, an impairment charge of US\$85,793,000 was recognized arising from the annual impairment review of the asset valuations included on the balance sheet. The Company recognized an impairment loss against goodwill and other intangible assets (US\$71,684,000), property, plant and equipment (US\$13,095,000) and prepayments (US\$1,014,000).

Restructuring expenses of US\$2,089,000 were recorded in SG&A in year ended December 31, 2008. This was made up of US\$1,465,000 arising from the resignation of the Company's former Chief Executive and US\$589,000 in relation to costs associated with the implementation of headcount reductions. Other restructuring costs amounted to US\$35,000.

Share-based payments

The expense represents the value of share options granted to directors and employees which is charged to the statement of operations over the vesting period of the underlying options. The Group has used a trinomial valuation

model for the purposes of valuing these share options with the key inputs to the model being the expected volatility over the life of the options, the expected life of the option and the risk free rate.

The Group recorded a total share-based payments charge of US\$521,000 (2008: US\$1,166,000). The total charge is shown in the following expense headings in the statement of operations: US\$19,000 (2008: US\$51,000) was charged against cost of sales, US\$15,000 (2008: US\$48,000) was charged against research and development expenses and US\$487,000 (2008: US\$886,000) was charged against selling, general and administrative expenses. In 2008 a further share option charge of US\$181,000 was included within the selling, general and administrative expenses restructuring charge relating to the share option cost associated with the resignation of the former Chief Executive Officer.

Table of Contents

The decrease of US\$645,000 in the total share-based payments expense is primarily because share option holders ended their employment with the company and thereby forfeited their share options. For further details refer to Item 18, note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

Amortisation

Amortisation reduced from US\$3,616,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 to US\$1,959,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009. The decrease of US\$1,657,000 is partially due to the reduction resulting from the prior year write down of the carrying value of intangible assets following the annual impairment review carried out at December 31, 2008.

4. Profit/(loss) for the year

The following table sets forth selected statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated.

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2009	2008	
	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	
Operating profit/(loss)	14,099	(79,575)	118%
Net financing costs	(1,184)	(2,095)	(43%)
Profit/(Loss) before tax	12,915	(81,670)	116%
Income tax (expense)/credit	(1,091)	3,892	128%
Profit/(Loss) of the year	11,824	(77,778)	115%

Net Financing Costs

Net financing costs decreased by US\$911,000 from US\$2,095,000 in 2008 to US\$1,184,000 in 2009. The decrease is primarily due to a combination of lower interest bearing loan balances outstanding and lower interest rates. The interest bearing loan balances at December 31, 2008 were US\$36,121,000 compared to US\$31,856,000 at December 31, 2009. The interest rate for the majority of the Group's borrowings is based on LIBOR rates, which reduced significantly during 2009. The deposit interest earned during the year reduced from US\$65,000 to US\$8,000 due to lower cash balances and lower interest rates.

Taxation

The Group recorded a tax charge of US\$1,091,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009 compared to a net tax credit of US\$3,892,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008. The 2009 tax charge comprises US\$1,000 of current tax and US\$1,090,000 of deferred tax. In 2009, the net tax credit was primarily attributable to the impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment. For further details on the impairment please refer to Item 18, note 3 and for further details on the Group's tax charge please refer to Item 18, note 9 and note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

Profit/(loss) for the year

The profit for the year amounted to US\$11,824,000 which represents an increase of US\$89,602,000 when compared to the loss for the year of US\$77,778,000 in 2008. Excluding the after tax impact of the restructuring expenses and impairment loss of US\$83,131,000, the 2008 profit for the year would have been US\$5,353,000. The increase in profits in 2009 of US\$6,471,000 compared to 2008, excluding once-off charges, represents an increase of 121%.

Table of Contents**Results of Operations****Year ended December 31, 2008 compared to the year ended December 31, 2007**

The following compares our results in the year ended December 31, 2008 to those of the year ended December 31, 2007 under IFRS. Our analysis is divided as follows:

1. Overview
2. Revenues
3. Operating Loss
4. Loss for the year

1. Overview

In 2008, Trinity Biotech recognised an impairment charge of US\$85.8 million in the statement of operations relating to the carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and prepayments. This non-cash impairment charge, which was triggered by a comparison of our market capitalisation versus the book value of our net assets as required under IFRS accounting standards, contributed to the company recording a loss for the year of US\$77.8 million.

Additionally in December 2008, we recognised restructuring expenses of US\$2.1 million. This is made up of US\$1.5 million in relation to the resignation of the Company's former Chief Executive and US\$0.6 million in relation to costs associated with the implementation of headcount reductions as part of a cost cutting programme announced in December 2008.

Before the impact of these impairment and restructuring charges the Company would have recorded a profit before tax of US\$6.2 million.

The following table sets forth a breakdown of impairment charges and restructuring expenses incurred in the current financial year:

	Year ended December 31, 2008		
	<i>Impairment</i>	<i>Restructuring</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Selling, general & administration expenses</i>			
Impairment of property, plant and equipment (Item 18, note 11)	13,095		13,095
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets (Item 18, note 12)	71,684		71,684
Impairment of prepayments (Item 18, note 16)	1,014		1,014
Employee termination payments		589	589
Director's compensation for loss of office and share option expense		1,465	1,465
Other restructuring expenses		35	35
Total impairment loss and restructuring expenses before tax	85,793	2,089	87,882
Income tax impact of impairment loss and restructuring expenses (Item 18, note 9)	(4,536)	(215)	(4,751)
Total impairment loss and restructuring expenses after tax	81,257	1,874	83,131

For the year ended December 31, 2007, the impact of restructuring expenses and a goodwill impairment was a charge to the statement of operations after tax of US\$38.4 million.

Table of Contents

Group revenues decreased by US\$3.5 million in 2008, representing a decline of 2%. This was mainly attributable to lower Point of Care revenues. During 2008 revenues from HIV products grew in the USA but this was more than offset by lower revenue for HIV tests in Africa. The latter was due to particularly strong sales in 2007 with the result that the return to more normal sales levels resulted in a decline in overall Point of Care revenues.

The gross margin for the year ended December 31, 2008 was approximately 45%. In 2007, excluding the impact of US\$12.7 million restructuring expenses and write-offs, the gross margin would have been 47%. The lower gross margin in 2008 reflects the impact of lower sales of Uni-Gold HIV products, as these products typically command higher margins. Gross margins were also adversely impacted by the weaker US dollar during 2008 compared to 2007.

The operating loss was US\$79.6 million for the year ended December 31, 2008 which compares to an operating loss of US\$29.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Excluding the impact of impairment charges and restructuring expenses in both 2007 and 2008, the operating profit would be US\$8.3 million in 2008, compared to US\$10.6 million in 2007, a decline of 22%. This decline is mainly attributable to the significant decrease in revenue from higher margin HIV tests in Africa and the adverse change in the Euro to US dollar exchange rate. The Group succeeded in significantly offsetting these negative effects by implementing cost reduction measures and by driving revenue growth in its other product lines, principally infectious disease and clinical chemistry.

The loss for the year ended December 31, 2008 was US\$77.8 million which compares to a loss for the year ended December 31, 2007 of US\$35.4 million. Excluding the after tax impact of the restructuring expenses and goodwill impairment, the profit for 2007 would have been US\$3.0 million. Similarly, if the after tax impact of the restructuring expenses and impairment charges is excluded from the 2008 results, the profit for the year would be US\$5.3 million. Despite a loss before tax being recorded for the year in ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a tax charge of US\$3.3 million due to the derecognition of deferred tax assets of US\$3.8 million in relation to unused tax losses.

In December 2008, the Group's new coagulation analyzer, Destiny Max, was launched in markets outside the USA. Destiny Max represents the largest development project ever undertaken by the Group. Its launch represents a major success for the Group. Notwithstanding that the launch came close to the end of the year, the Group was proud to announce it had achieved the first sales of instruments in Japan, Italy and Ireland in 2008. The submission to the FDA for approval of Destiny Max was filed in December 2008. The Group expects to launch Destiny Max in the USA towards the end of quarter 2, 2009.

2. Revenues

The Group's revenues consist of the sale of diagnostic kits and related instrumentation and the sale of raw materials to the life sciences industry. Revenues from the sale of the above products are generally recognised on the basis of shipment to customers. The Group ships its products on a variety of freight terms, including ex-works, CIF (carriage including freight) and FOB (free on board), depending on the specific terms agreed with customers. In cases where the Group ships on terms other than ex-works, the Group does not recognise the revenue until its obligations have been fulfilled in accordance with the shipping terms.

No right of return exists in relation to product sales except in instances where demonstrable product defects occur. The Group has defined procedures for dealing with customer complaints associated with such product defects as they arise. The Group also derives a portion of its revenues from leasing infectious diseases and coagulation diagnostic instruments to customers. In cases where the risks and rewards of ownership of the instrument passes to the customer, the fair value of the instrument is recognised at the time of sale matched by the related cost of sale. In the case of operating leases of instruments which typically involve commitments by the customer to pay a fee per test run on the instruments, revenue is recognised on the basis of customer usage of the instruments. In certain markets, the Group also earns revenue from servicing infectious diseases and coagulation instrumentation located at customer premises.

Table of Contents*Revenues by Product Line*

Trinity Biotech's revenues for the year ended December 31, 2008 were US\$140,139,000 compared to revenues of US\$143,617,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007, which represents a decrease of US\$3,478,000 or 2.4%. The following table sets forth selected sales data for each of the periods indicated.

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2008	2007	
	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	
Revenues			
Clinical Laboratory	121,143	119,113	2%
Point of Care	18,996	24,504	(23%)
Total	140,139	143,617	(2%)

Clinical Laboratory

In 2008 Clinical Laboratory revenues increased by US\$2,030,000 which equates to a growth rate of 2%. The growth was driven by strong demand for infectious disease tests and clinical chemistry tests, which increased by 8% and 9% respectively. These increases were largely offset by a 5% decline in sales of coagulation products.

Sales of infectious diseases products have increased by US\$3,401,000. The 8% increase in 2008 is principally due to higher sales of Lyme kits in the US market, a full year's trading for the Cortex Biochem and Sterilab Services businesses which were acquired in September and October 2007 respectively, and lastly an increase in sales of antibodies by our Fitzgerald business. The Fitzgerald revenues were weakened in 2007 by a poor flu season in that year and 2008 saw a recovery to a more typical level.

Clinical chemistry revenues grew by 9% or US\$1,567,000 mainly due to increased sales of diabetes tests in US, Europe and Asia. The demand for in vitro diagnostic tests for haemoglobin A1c and haemoglobin variants continues to grow as diabetes becomes more prevalent.

The decrease in coagulation revenues of US\$2,938,000 was mainly caused by a decrease in customers in the installed base of MDA instruments in the US and UK and, to a lesser extent, a reduction in the Amax instrument base in Germany. The MDA and Amax 400 instruments are large scale instruments in the late stage of their life cycles. The newly developed Destiny Max instrument, which was launched in all markets except US in December 2008, is the natural replacement for the MDA and Amax 400 instruments. Its introduction to our product range will help to curtail customer losses in that end of the market. Increased coagulation revenues through our distributor network in Western Europe and Latin America partially offset the effect of the lower revenue in US, UK and Germany.

Point of Care

Our principal Point of Care products are Unigold and Capillus and they test for the presence of HIV antibodies. 2007 was an exceptionally strong year for sales of HIV tests in Africa. Revenues from HIV sales in Africa reverted to more normal levels in 2008 and were 16% higher than in 2006, a more comparable year. Meanwhile in the important US market, Point of Care revenue continues to show strong growth with an increase this year of 18% compared to 2007.

Table of Contents*Revenues by Geographical Region*

The following table sets forth selected sales data, analysed by geographic region, based on location of customer:

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2008 US\$ 000	2007 US\$ 000	
Revenues			
Americas	69,915	68,481	2%
Europe	43,481	43,631	0%
Asia/Africa	26,743	31,505	(15%)
Total	140,139	143,617	(2%)

The 2% increase in the Americas amounting to US\$1,434,000 is primarily attributable to the growth in the sales of the Unigold rapid HIV test, higher sales of infectious disease tests mainly Lyme's disease and higher revenues relating to diabetes tests. These increases were largely offset by a reduction in coagulation revenue arising from an erosion of the MDA customer base.

European revenues were consistent with the previous year. A decrease in revenue in the German market was offset by increased sales to distributors in other European markets mainly relating to coagulation products.

A US\$4,762,000 decrease in Asia/Africa revenues is primarily due to lower sales of Trinity's Unigold rapid HIV tests in Africa, partly offset by higher coagulation revenues in the region.

For further information about the Group's principal products, principal markets and competition please refer to Item 4, Information on the Company .

3. Operating Loss

The following table sets forth the Group's operating loss.

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2008 US\$ 000	2007 US\$ 000	
Revenues	140,139	143,617	(2%)
Cost of sales	(77,645)	(75,643)	3%
Cost of sales restructuring expenses		(953)	(100%)
Cost of sales inventory write off/ provision		(11,772)	(100%)
Gross profit	62,494	55,249	13%
Other operating income	1,173	413	184%
Research & development	(7,544)	(6,802)	11%
Research & development restructuring expenses		(6,907)	(100%)
SG&A expenses	(47,816)	(51,010)	(6%)
SG&A expenses impairment charges and restructuring expenses	(87,882)	(20,315)	333%
Operating (loss)	(79,575)	(29,372)	171%

Table of Contents*Cost of sales*

Total cost of sales decreased by US\$10,723,000 from US\$88,368,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 to US\$77,645,000, for the year ended December 31, 2008, a decrease of 12%. The decrease is primarily attributable to the restructuring expenses of US\$12,725,000 recognised in cost of sales in 2007, partially offset by an increase in cost of sales (excluding once-off items) of US\$2,002,000.

Included in cost of sales for the year ended December 31, 2007 was US\$11,772,000 for an inventory write off and US\$953,000 for restructuring expenses. These charges resulted from a decision taken by the Board of Directors of Trinity Biotech during 2007 to restructure the business. Under the restructuring plan, the company undertook to reduce the number of products and instruments within the two key product lines of coagulation and infectious diseases. As a result, the Group recognised US\$11,772,000 for inventory written off relating to those coagulation and infectious diseases products and instruments being rationalised for the year ended December 31, 2007. As part of the restructuring, the Group also recognised an additional amount of US\$953,000 in cost of sales for termination payments for the year ended December 31, 2007.

Excluding the inventory write off and restructuring expenses incurred, the cost of sales in 2007 would have been US\$75,643,000, which is 3% lower than the comparable figure in 2008. The two main reasons for the increase in cost of sales in 2008 were the adverse change in the Euro exchange rate compared to the previous financial year and the change in the sales mix. A significant proportion of the Group's Cost of Sales is denominated in Euro. During 2008 the average Euro versus US Dollar exchange rate was 8% higher than in 2007 and this had the effect of increasing Cost of Sales. The sales mix changed principally because of the decline in revenues from HIV tests in Africa with an increase in revenues for Infectious Disease and Clinical Chemistry revenues.

Gross margin

The gross margin of 45% in 2008 compares to a gross margin of 38% in 2007. The increase in gross margin in 2008 is primarily attributable to the impact of the restructuring expenses and the inventory write off recorded in 2007. Excluding the impact of the US\$12.7 million restructuring expenses and inventory write off, the gross margin in 2007 would have been 47%, which is slightly higher than the 2008 gross margin. The main reasons for this reduction are the impact of lower sales of Uni-Gold HIV products, as these products achieve higher margins, and secondly the gross margin was adversely impacted by the weaker US dollar during 2008 compared to 2007.

Research and development expenses

Research and development (R&D) expenditure reduced from US\$13,709,000 in 2007 to US\$7,544,000 in 2008. In 2007, R&D restructuring expenses of US\$6,907,000 were incurred and this is largely the reason for the higher expenditure in 2007. The restructuring expenses in 2007 consisted of US\$5,573,000 of development and licence costs written off, US\$1,094,000 written off the carrying value of technology intangible assets acquired from BioMerieux and lastly termination payments amounting to US\$240,000.

Research and development expenditure, excluding the impact of last year's restructuring expenses, increased by US\$742,000 compared to 2007. The main reason for the increase was the change in the US Dollar to Euro exchange rate, which caused research and development costs incurred in our Irish and German operations to increase by about 8%. The other reason for the increase in R&D expenditure was the increase in average R&D headcount from 51 in 2007 to 57 in 2008. For a consideration of the Company's various R&D projects see Research and Products under Development in Item 5 below.

Selling, General & Administrative expenses (SG&A)

Total SG&A expenses increased by US\$64,373,000 from US\$71,325,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 to US\$135,698,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008. The increase is primarily due to the higher impairment charges incurred in 2008, which were partially offset by a reduction in SG&A expenses excluding share-based payments and amortisation. The following table outlines the breakdown of SG&A expenses in 2008 compared to 2007.

Table of Contents

	Year ended December 31,		Increase/	
	2008	2007	(decrease)	
	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	% Change
SG&A (excl. share-based payments and amortisation)	43,314	46,368	(3,054)	(7%)
SG&A impairment charges and restructuring expenses	87,882	20,315	67,567	333%
Share-based payments	886	1,224	(338)	(28%)
Amortisation	3,616	3,418	198	6%
Total	135,698	71,325	64,373	90%

Selling General & Administrative Expenditure (excluding share-based payments and amortisation)

SG&A expenses excluding share-based payments and amortisation decreased from US\$46,368,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007 to US\$43,314,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008, which represents a decrease of 7%. The decrease would have been greater than 7% but for an adverse change in the Euro exchange rate compared to the previous financial year. A significant proportion of the Group's SG&A expenses are denominated in Euro. During 2008 the average Euro versus US dollar exchange rate was 8% higher compared to 2007 and this had the effect of increasing SG&A expenses by about US\$1,800,000.

Despite the adverse change in the Euro exchange rate, there was a decrease of US\$3,054,000 in SG&A expenses (excluding restructuring expenses, goodwill impairment, share-based payments and amortisation) in 2008 due to cost reductions as follows:

a reorganisation of our sales force mainly in the US was announced in December 2007. As a result, a headcount reduction was implemented which delivered payroll cost savings of about US\$1,000,000 in 2008. Other headcount reductions in management and administrative functions reduced SG&A payroll costs by a further US\$900,000.

through cost control the Group succeeded in reducing its selling overheads and administrative expenses by about US\$2,000,000 in 2008. Further cost cutting measures were announced by the Board in December 2008 but due to timing these measures did not have a significant impact on the 2008 figures. The full benefit of these cost cutting measures, which mainly comprise further headcount reductions in sales, marketing and administration, will be seen in 2009.

a reduction in professional fees including audit fees of approximately US\$700,000.

the closure of the plant in Umea, Sweden during 2008 reduced administrative expenses by about US\$180,000.

the US dollar strengthened versus Sterling in the second half of 2008 and this had the effect of reducing the reported SG&A costs for our UK selling entity by just over US\$100,000.

SG&A impairment charges and restructuring expenses

An impairment charge of US\$85,793,000 was recorded in year ended December 31, 2008 arising out of the annual impairment review of the asset valuations included on the balance sheet. The Company has recognized an impairment loss against goodwill and other intangible assets (US\$71,684,000), property, plant and equipment (US\$13,095,000) and prepayments (US\$1,014,000). By its nature this adjustment has no cash implications for the Group and does not impact on debt covenants.

Restructuring expenses of US\$2,089,000 were recorded in SG&A in year ended December 31, 2008. This is made up of US\$1,465,000 arising from the resignation of the Company's former Chief Executive and US\$589,000 in relation to

costs associated with the implementation of headcount reductions as part of the cost cutting measures announced in December 2008. Other restructuring costs amounted to US\$35,000. The restructuring, which consists of a combination of head count and overhead reductions, will generate a saving of approximately US\$6 million in 2009. The cash flow benefit will also be approximately US\$6 million in 2009. In total the Company's headcount has been reduced by 70 full-time employees which equates to a reduction of approximately 10% of the overall work force.

Table of Contents

In the 2007 statement of operations, a goodwill impairment loss of US\$19,156,000 was recognised. Additionally, restructuring expenses of US\$1,159,000 were included in SG&A in 2007 primarily relating to termination payments (US\$842,000) and onerous lease obligations resulting from the closure of the Swedish manufacturing operation (US\$116,000).

Share-based payments

The expense represents the value of share options granted to directors and employees which is charged to the statement of operations over the vesting period of the underlying options. The Group has used a trinomial valuation model for the purposes of valuing these share options with the key inputs to the model being the expected volatility over the life of the options, the expected life of the option and the risk free rate.

The Group recorded a total share-based payments charge of US\$1,166,000 (2007: US\$1,403,000) in 2008. The total charge is shown in the following expense headings in the statement of operations: US\$51,000 (2007: US\$71,000) was charged against cost of sales, US\$48,000 (2007: US\$108,000) was charged against research and development expenses and US\$886,000 (2007: US\$1,224,000) was charged against selling, general and administrative expenses. A further share option charge of US\$181,000 has been included within the selling, general and administrative expenses restructuring charge. This amount is related to the share option cost associated with the resignation of the former Chief Executive Officer, Mr Brendan Farrell.

The decrease of US\$237,000 in the total share-based payments expense is primarily because share option holders ended their employment with the company and thereby forfeited their share options. For further details refer to Item 18, note 19 to the consolidated financial statements.

Amortisation

The increase in amortisation of US\$198,000 from US\$3,418,000 to US\$3,616,000 is primarily due to the full year impact of the amortisation charge relating to the Group's acquisitions in 2007. There was a full year's amortisation charge in 2008 for the intangible assets valued on the acquisition of the immuno-technology business of Cortex Biochem Inc. This business was acquired in September 2007 and the amortisation expense was higher in 2008 by an amount of US\$106,000 due to the full year effect. Similarly, there was an increase of US\$82,000 in amortisation for the intangible assets valued on the acquisition of the Sterilab Services distribution business which was acquired in October 2007. The remaining increase of US\$10,000 is attributable to the amortisation of software assets and capitalised development projects costs, which are being amortised over their expected lives.

4. Loss for the year

The following table sets forth selected statement of operations data for each of the periods indicated.

	Year ended December 31,		% Change
	2008	2007	
	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	
Operating (loss)	(79,575)	(29,372)	171%
Net financing costs	(2,095)	(2,691)	(22%)
(Loss) before tax	(81,670)	(32,063)	155%
Income tax credit/(expense)	3,892	(3,309)	(218%)
(Loss) of the year	(77,778)	(35,372)	120%

Net Financing Costs

Net financing costs decreased by US\$596,000 from US\$2,691,000 in 2007 to US\$2,095,000 in 2008. The decrease is primarily due to a combination of lower interest bearing loan balances outstanding and lower interest rates. The interest bearing loan balances at December 31, 2007 were US\$42,133,000 compared to US\$36,121,000 at December 31, 2008. The interest rate for the Group's borrowings is based on LIBOR rates, which reduced significantly during 2008. The deposit interest earned during the year reduced from US\$457,000 to US\$65,000 due to lower cash balances and lower interest rates.

Table of Contents*Taxation*

The Group recorded a net tax credit of US\$3,892,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008. The net deferred tax credit is primarily attributable to the impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment. For further details on the impairment please refer to Item 18, note 3 and for further details on the Group's tax charge please refer to Item 18, note 9 and note 13 to the consolidated financial statements.

Loss for the year

The loss for the year amounted to US\$77,778,000 which represents an increase of US\$42,406,000 when compared to the loss for the year of US\$35,372,000 in 2007. Excluding the after tax impact of the restructuring expenses and impairment loss of US\$83,131,000, the profit for the year would have been US\$5,353,000. This compares to a profit for the year ended December 31, 2007 of US\$2,991,000, excluding the after tax impact of the inventory write off, restructuring expenses and goodwill impairment of US\$38,363,000. A decrease in net financing costs and a decrease in the income tax expense resulted in this increase in profits after tax excluding once off items.

Liquidity and Capital Resources***Financing***

Trinity Biotech has a US\$48,340,000 club banking facility with Allied Irish Bank plc and Bank of Scotland (Ireland) Limited (the banks). The facility consists of a US Dollar floating interest rate term loan of US\$41,340,000, which runs until July 2012, and a one year revolver of US\$7,000,000.

The facility was amended in December 2009, with the length of the term remaining unchanged (July 2012). The repayment schedule has been revised to US\$2,415,000 payable in January and July 2010 (revised from US\$3,215,000). The repayment schedule for January 2011, July 2011 and January 2012 remains unchanged at US\$3,215,000 per repayment. However, the final repayment in July 2012 has been revised to US\$8,032,000 (previously US\$6,432,000). During 2009, amounts of US\$2,144,000 and US\$3,215,000 were paid in January and July respectively. The revolver loan element of the facility has remained at US\$7,000,000. This facility is secured on the assets of the Group (see Item 18, note 25(c)).

Various covenants apply to the Group's bank borrowings. At December 31, 2009, the total amount outstanding under the facility amounted to US\$29,327,000, net of unamortised funding costs of US\$180,000.

During 2008, the Group issued 7,260,816 A Ordinary shares as part of a private placement. These shares were issued for a consideration of US\$7,115,600, settled in cash. The Group incurred costs of US\$438,000 in connection with the issue of these shares.

Working capital

In the Group's opinion the Group will have access to sufficient funds to support its existing operations for at least the next 12 months. These funds will consist of the Group's existing cash resources, cash generated from operations and where required debt and/or equity funding or the proceeds of asset disposals.

The amount of cash generated from operations will depend on a number of factors which include the following:

The ability of the Group to continue to generate revenue growth from its existing product lines;

The ability of the Group to generate revenues from new products following the successful completion of its development projects;

The extent to which capital expenditure is incurred on additional property plant and equipment;

The level of investment required to undertake both new and existing development projects;

Successful working capital management in the context of a growing group.

Where cash generated from operations is not sufficient to meet the Group's obligations, additional debt or equity funding will need to be raised. The cost and availability of debt funding will depend on prevailing interest rates at the time and the size and nature of the funding being provided. The availability of debt and equity will depend on market conditions at the time, which is of relevance at present given the constraints being experienced in international funding markets.

The Group expects that it will have access to sufficient funds to repay the debt obligations which were outstanding at December 31, 2009. These obligations include the repayment of the remaining bank loans and finance leases. The timing of these repayment obligations and the expected maturity dates are set out in more detail in Item 11.

Table of Contents***Cash management***

As at December 31, 2009, Trinity Biotech's consolidated cash and cash equivalents were US\$6,078,000. This compares to cash and cash equivalents of US\$5,184,000 at December 31, 2008.

Cash generated from operations for the year ended December 31, 2009 amounted to US\$15,533,000 (2008: US\$12,946,000), an increase of US\$2,587,000. The increase in cash generated from operations of US\$2,587,000 is attributable to an increase in operating cash flows before changes in working capital of US\$4,007,000 and unfavourable working capital movements of US\$1,420,000. The increase in operating cash flows before changes in working capital of US\$4,007,000 is primarily due to higher net profits arising in 2009 from improved gross margin and the positive impact of strict control over indirect costs. The unfavourable working capital movements are primarily due to the effect of an increase in cash flows from trade and other receivables of US\$8,003,000 and an increase in cash inflows with respect to inventory of US\$310,000 being more than offset by a decrease in trade and other payables of US\$9,733,000 and thus resulted in the overall unfavourable movement. The cash generated from operations was attributable to a profit before interest and taxation of US\$14,099,000 (2008: loss before interest and taxation of US\$79,575,000), as adjusted for non cash items of US\$5,599,000 (2008: US\$95,266,000) less cash outflows due to changes in working capital of US\$4,165,000 (2008: cash outflows of US\$2,745,000).

The decrease in other non cash charges from US\$95,266,000 for the year ended December 31, 2008 to US\$5,599,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009 is mainly attributable to the impairment charge in 2008 (see Item 18, note 3 to the consolidated financial statements). An impairment loss of US\$71,684,000 was recognised against the intangible assets of the Group during 2008, with a further impairment of US\$13,095,000 and US\$1,014,000 being recognised in 2008 against property, plant and equipment and prepayments respectively. In addition to the impairment in 2008, decreased depreciation and amortisation in 2009 has led to a further decrease in other non cash charges of US\$2,639,000 and US\$1,657,000 respectively.

The net cash outflows in 2009 due to changes in working capital of US\$4,165,000 are due to the following:

A decrease in accounts receivable by US\$3,872,000 due to a decrease in debtors days in the year;

A decrease in trade and other payables by US\$10,409,000 due mainly to the significant reduction in overdue creditor amounts during the year; and

A decrease in inventory by US\$2,372,000 due to the continued Group wide emphasis on inventory management.

Net interest paid amounted to US\$871,000 (2008: US\$2,576,000). This consisted of interest paid of US\$883,000 (2008: US\$2,639,000) on the Group's interest bearing debt including bank loans and finance leases and was partially offset by interest received of US\$12,000 (2008: US\$63,000) on the Group's cash deposits.

Net cash outflows from investing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 amounted to US\$10,335,000 (2008: US\$14,688,000) which were principally made up as follows:

Payments to acquire intangible assets of US\$8,103,000 (2008: US\$8,981,000), which principally related to development expenditure capitalised as part of the Group's on-going product development activities;

Acquisition of property, plant and equipment of US\$2,481,000 (2008: US\$3,713,000) incurred as part of the Group's investment programme for its manufacturing and distribution activities;

Proceeds from the disposal of property, plant and equipment of US\$249,000 (2008: US\$808,000).

Net cash outflows from financing activities for the year ended December 31, 2009 amounted to US\$3,512,000 (2008: cash inflow of US\$481,000). The Group received US\$897,000 from its issue of ordinary shares in 2009 (2008: US\$7,116,000). Ordinary shares issued in 2009 are solely as a result of share options exercised during the year. The Group also received US\$307,000 from the issue of long-term debt (2008: US\$NIL) and US\$1,298,000 from the proceeds of new finance leases (2008: US\$NIL). These inflows were offset by the repayment of debt and other liabilities of US\$5,400,000 (2008: US\$5,224,000) and expenses paid in connection with share issues and debt financing of US\$68,000 (2008: US\$624,000). Also offsetting the inflows were payments in respect of finance lease

liabilities of US\$546,000 (2008: US\$787,000).

The majority of the Group's activities are conducted in US Dollars. The primary foreign exchange risk arises from the fluctuating value of the Group's Euro denominated expenses as a result of the movement in the exchange rate between the US Dollar and the Euro. Trinity Biotech continuously monitors its exposure to foreign currency movements and based on expectations on future exchange rate exposure implements a hedging policy which may include covering a portion of this exposure through the use of forward contracts. When used, these forward contracts are cashflow hedging instruments whose objective is to cover a portion of these Euro forecasted transactions.

Table of Contents

As at December 31, 2009, total year end borrowings were US\$31,856,000 (2008: US\$36,121,000) and cash and cash equivalents were US\$6,078,000 (2008: US\$5,184,000). For a more comprehensive discussion of the Group's level of borrowings at the end of 2009, the maturity profile of the borrowings, the Group's use of financial instruments, its currency and interest rate structure and its funding and treasury policies please refer to Item 11 Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk .

Contractual obligations

The following table summarises our minimum contractual obligations and commercial commitments, including interest, as of December 31, 2009:

Contractual Obligations	Total US\$ 000	Payments due by Period			
		less than 1 year US\$ 000	1-3 Years US\$ 000	3-5 Years US\$ 000	more than 5 years US\$ 000
Bank loans	30,556	12,364	18,090	102	
Capital (finance) lease obligations	2,478	909	1,361	208	
Operating lease obligations	53,820	4,289	7,229	6,799	35,503
Total	86,854	17,562	26,680	7,109	35,503

Trinity Biotech incurs debt and raises equity to pursue its policy of growth through acquisition. Trinity Biotech believes that, with further funds generated from operations, it will have sufficient funds to meet its capital commitments and continue existing operations for the foreseeable future, in excess of 12 months. If operating margins on sales were to decline substantially or if the Group was to make a large and unanticipated cash outlay, the Group would have further funding requirements. If this were the case, there can be no assurance that financing will be available at attractive terms, or at all. The Group believes that success in raising additional capital or obtaining profitability will be dependent on the viability of its products and their success in the market place. In December 2009, the Group agreed amendments to its bank facility, for more information see Item 18, note 27.

Impact of Currency Fluctuation

Trinity Biotech's revenue and expenses are affected by fluctuations in currency exchange rates especially the exchange rate between the US Dollar and the Euro. Trinity Biotech's revenues are primarily denominated in US Dollars and its expenses are incurred principally in US Dollars and Euro. The weakening of the US Dollar could have an adverse impact on future profitability. Management are actively seeking to reduce the mismatch in this regard to mitigate this risk. The revenues and costs incurred by US subsidiaries are denominated in US Dollars.

Trinity Biotech holds most of its cash assets in US Dollars. As Trinity Biotech reports in US Dollars, fluctuations in exchange rates do not result in exchange differences on these cash assets. Fluctuations in the exchange rate between the Euro and the US Dollar may impact on the Group's Euro monetary assets and liabilities and on Euro expenses and consequently the Group's earnings.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

After consideration of the following items the Group's management have determined that there are no off-balance sheet arrangements which need to be reflected in the financial statements.

Leases with Related Parties

The Group has entered into lease arrangements for premises in Ireland with JRJ Investments (JRJ), a partnership owned by Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh, directors of Trinity Biotech plc, and directly with Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh. Independent valuers have advised Trinity Biotech that the rent fixed with respect to these leases represents a fair market rent. Details of these leases with related parties are set out in Item 4 Information on the Company , Item 7 Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions and Item 18, note 26 to the consolidated financial statements.

Research & Development (R&D) carried out by third parties

Certain of the Group's R&D activities have been outsourced to third parties. These activities are carried out in the normal course of business with these companies.

Table of Contents***Research and Products under Development******History***

Historically, Trinity Biotech had been primarily focused on infectious diseases diagnostics. The Group acquired a broad portfolio of microtitre plate (EIA) and Western Blot products and has added to these over the last number of years through additional internally developed products. More recently, the Group has entered into several other diagnostic areas including coagulation and clinical chemistry. The Research and Development (R&D) activities of the Group have mirrored this expansion by developing new products in these areas also.

Centres of Excellence

Trinity Biotech has research and development groups focusing separately on Western Blot products, Clinical Chemistry products and Coagulation products. These groups are located in Ireland, Germany and the US and largely mirror the production capability at each production site, hence creating a centre of excellence for each product type. In addition to in-house activities, Trinity Biotech sub-contracts some research and development from time to time to independent researchers based in the US and Europe.

The following is a list of the principal projects which are currently being undertaken by the R&D groups within Trinity Biotech.

Western Blot Development Group

A Western Blot kit is a test where antigens (usually proteins) from a specific bacteria or virus are transferred onto a nitrocellulose strip. When a patient s plasma is added to the strip, if antibodies to that bacteria or virus are present in a patient s sample, then they will bind to the specific antigens on the strip. If antibodies to any of the antigens are present in sufficient concentration, coloured bands corresponding to one or more of those antigens will be visible on the reacted nitrocellulose strip.

US Lyme Western Blot

During 2009, a project was undertaken to further develop the Bordetella pertussis Western Blot product by adding an additional stripe for Adenylate Cyclase per assay kit. This work will continue into 2010 where the newly developed product will be transferred into production and launched onto the European market.

Automated Blotting Instrument and Blot Scanner

In 2006 a project was initiated to introduce the use of an automated blotting instrument with Trinity Biotech s Western Blot tests, initially focusing on the US Lyme Western Blot allowing increased throughput for end-users. This work progressed successfully, culminating on the commencement of validation of the system in late 2006. Validation was completed in early 2007 with launch of the system, which is called TrinBlot. In 2008 the Group continued to extend the range of products which can be used on the TrinBlot, in addition to the introduction of an automated scanner to aid in the interpretation of the western blots. This system was validated and launched for use with US Lyme in 2008. In 2009, in tandem with the development of the enhanced Bordatella Pertussis product, work was initiated in developing the Trinblot and automated scanner for use with the enhanced Western Blot product. This new software will be validated and launched with the new Bordatella Pertussis product in 2010.

Clinical Chemistry***TRIstat POC***

Trinity Biotech, at its Kansas City site, has developed a point of care test called TRIstat for the measurement of haemoglobin A1c for which FDA approval was obtained in late 2007. The Group continued to enhance this product during 2008 culminating in preparation for CLIA trials in late 2008. CLIA trials were performed in 2009 and the file was submitted to the FDA for review. The company is currently awaiting CLIA approval from the FDA.

Medium throughput HPLC for Haemoglobin testing

This project entails the development of a new HPLC instrument to replace the current PDQ analyzer. The new instrument will allow access to markets not previously open to Trinity Biotech due to instrument price and test capability (A1c and variant). Development was initiated in late 2007, continued through 2009 and is expected to launch initially in the non US market in late 2010.

Coagulation Development Group***Destiny Max Development Project***

During 2009 the Group launched a new high throughput coagulation instrument called the Destiny Max. This instrument has been CE marked for distribution in Europe and has obtained a 510k approval from the FDA. The Destiny Max instrument is intended to meet the requirements of large laboratories, commercial laboratories, reference laboratories and anti-coagulation clinics, i.e. high volume laboratories. Enhancement of the instrument has continued throughout 2009 and into 2010. In 2010 the Group signed an agreement to sell its worldwide Coagulation business to Diagnostica Stago please refer to Item 18, note 28 for further details of this proposed sale.

Table of Contents***Trend Information***

For information on trends in future operating expenses and capital resources, see Results of Operations , Liquidity and Capital Resources and Impact of Inflation under Item 5.

Item 6***Directors and Senior Management*****Directors**

<i>Name</i>	<i>Age</i>	<i>Title</i>
Ronan O Caoimh	54	Chief Executive Officer
Rory Nealon	42	Director, Chief Operations Officer
Jim Walsh, PhD	51	Non Executive Director
Denis R. Burger, PhD	66	Non Executive Director
Peter Coyne	50	Non Executive Director
Clint Severson	61	Non Executive Director
James D. Merselis	56	Non Executive Director

Executive Officer

Kevin Tansley	39	Chief Financial Officer & Company Secretary
---------------	----	---

Board of Directors & Executive Officers

Ronan O Caoimh, Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, co-founded Trinity Biotech in June 1992 and acted as Chief Financial Officer until March 1994 when he became Chief Executive Officer. He was also elected Chairman in May 1995. In November 2007, it was decided to separate the role of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman and Mr O Caoimh assumed the role of Executive Chairman. In October 2008, following the resignation of the Chief Executive Officer, Mr. O Caoimh resumed the role of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman. Prior to joining Trinity Biotech, Mr O Caoimh was Managing Director of Noctech Limited, an Irish diagnostics company. Mr O Caoimh was Finance Director of Noctech Limited from 1988 until January 1991 when he became Managing Director. Mr O Caoimh holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from University College Dublin and is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland.

Rory Nealon, Chief Operations Officer, joined Trinity Biotech as Chief Financial Officer and Company Secretary in January 2003. He was appointed Chief Operations Officer in November 2007. Prior to joining Trinity Biotech, he was Chief Financial Officer of Conduit plc, an Irish directory services provider with operations in Ireland, the UK, Austria and Switzerland. Prior to joining Conduit he was an Associate Director in AIB Capital Markets, a subsidiary of AIB Group plc, the Irish banking group. Mr Nealon holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from University College Dublin, is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland, a member of the Institute of Taxation in Ireland and a member of the Institute of Corporate Treasurers in the UK.

Table of Contents

Jim Walsh, PhD, Non-executive director, joined Trinity Biotech in October 1995 as Chief Operations Officer. Dr. Walsh resigned from the role of Chief Operations Officer in 2007. He is currently CEO of Biosensia Ltd., and Stokes Bio Ltd. He also holds non Executive Director positions with PuriCore Plc (LSE: PURI) and BioCurex (OTC: BB: BOCX). Prior to joining Trinity Biotech, Dr Walsh was Managing Director of Cambridge Diagnostics Ireland Limited (CDIL). He was employed with CDIL since 1987. Before joining CDIL he worked with Fleming GmbH as Research & Development Manager. Dr Walsh holds a PhD in Chemistry from University College Galway. Dr Walsh remains on the Board as a non executive director of the Company.

Denis R. Burger, PhD, Non-executive director, co-founded Trinity Biotech in June 1992 and acted as Chairman from June 1992 to May 1995. He is currently Executive Chairman of BioCurex, Inc, a cancer diagnostics, OTC:BB listed company and is also non-executive Chairman of Lorus Therapeutics, Inc, a cancer therapeutics, TSX listed company. Until March 2007, Dr Burger was the Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of AVI Biopharma Inc, a NASDAQ listed biotechnology company. He was also a co-founder and, from 1981 to 1990, Chairman of Epitope Inc. In addition, Dr Burger has held a professorship in the Department of Microbiology and Immunology and Surgery (Surgical Oncology) at the Oregon Health and Sciences University in Portland. Dr Burger received his degree in Bacteriology and Immunology from the University of California in Berkeley in 1965 and his Master of Science and PhD in 1969 in Microbiology and Immunology from the University of Arizona.

Peter Coyne, Non-executive director, joined the board of Trinity Biotech in November 2001 as a non-executive director. Mr Coyne is a director of AIB Corporate Finance, a subsidiary of AIB Group plc, the Irish banking group. He has extensive experience in advising public and private groups on all aspects of corporate strategy. Prior to joining AIB, Mr Coyne trained as a chartered accountant and was a senior manager in Arthur Andersen's Corporate Financial Services practice. Mr Coyne holds a Bachelor of Engineering degree from University College Dublin and is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland.

Clint Severson, Non-executive director, joined the board of Trinity Biotech in November 2008 as a non-executive director. Mr Severson is currently Chairman, President and CEO of Abaxis Inc., a NASDAQ traded diagnostics company based in Union City, California. Since November 2006, Mr. Severson has also served on the Board of Directors of CytoCore, Inc. From February 1989 to May 1996, Mr. Severson served as President and Chief Executive Officer of MAST Immunosystems, Inc., a privately-held medical diagnostic company and to date he has accumulated over 30 years experience in the medical diagnostics industry.

James D. Merselis, Non-executive director, joined the board of Trinity Biotech in February 2009. Mr. Merselis is currently President and CEO of Nexus Dx, Inc, a privately held, San Diego-based diagnostics company working to improve patient care by providing rapid and reliable point of care (POC) medical test information. Prior to this Mr. Merselis served as President and CEO of Alverix, Inc., a privately held company developing portable medical diagnostic instruments. From 2002 to 2007, Mr. Merselis served as President and CEO of HemoSense, Inc. (NASDAQ: HEM), a point-of-care diagnostics company providing patients and physicians with rapid test results to help manage the risk of stroke with the use of warfarin or Coumadin. Prior to his tenure at HemoSense, Mr. Merselis served as President and CEO of Micronics, Inc., a microfluidics company. In addition, Mr. Merselis held a series of increasingly responsible executive positions over twenty-two years with Boehringer Mannheim Diagnostics (now Roche Diagnostics). Mr. Merselis is the Chairman of Akrotome Imaging, a California-based, privately held start-up developing novel imaging agents for cancer diagnosis.

Kevin Tansley, Chief Financial Officer, joined Trinity Biotech in June 2003 and was appointed Chief Financial Officer and Secretary to the Board of Directors in November 2007. Prior to joining Trinity Biotech in 2003, Mr Tansley held a number of financial positions in the Irish electricity utility ESB. Mr Tansley holds a Bachelor of Commerce degree from University College Dublin and is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland.

Table of Contents**Compensation of Directors and Officers**

The basis for the executive directors' remuneration and level of annual bonuses is determined by the Remuneration Committee of the board. In all cases, bonuses and the granting of share options are subject to stringent performance criteria. The Remuneration Committee consists of Dr Denis Burger (committee chairman and senior independent director), Mr Peter Coyne, Mr Clint Severson and Mr James Merselis. Directors' remuneration shown below comprises salaries, pension contributions and other benefits and emoluments in respect of executive directors. Non-executive directors are remunerated by fees and the granting of share options. Non-executive directors who perform additional services on the Audit Committee or Remuneration Committee receive additional fees. The fees payable to non-executive directors are determined by the board. Each director is reimbursed for expenses incurred in attending meetings of the board of directors.

Total directors and non-executive directors' remuneration, excluding pension, for the year ended December 31, 2009 amounted to US\$1,271,000. The pension charge for the year amounted to US\$105,000. See Item 18, note 6 to the consolidated financial statements. The split of directors' remuneration set out by director is detailed in the table below:

<i>Director</i>	<i>Salary/ Benefits US\$ 000</i>	<i>Performance related bonus US\$ 000</i>	<i>Defined contribution pension US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Ronan O Caoimh	579		65	644	593
Rory Nealon	379		40	419	507
Brendan Farrell					1,841
	958		105	1,063	2,941

<i>Non-executive director</i>	<i>Fees US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Denis R. Burger	70	70	68
Peter Coyne	70	70	68
James Merselis	53	53	
Clint Severson	60	60	5
Jim Walsh	60	60	103*
	313	313	244

* comprised of US\$59,000 relating to fees and US\$44,000 relating to other amounts.

<i>Chief Financial Officer & Company</i>	<i>Salary/ Benefits</i>	<i>Performance related bonus</i>	<i>Defined contribution pension</i>	<i>Total 2009</i>	<i>Total 2008</i>
--	-----------------------------	--	---	-----------------------	-----------------------

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

<i>Secretary</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Kevin Tansley	286		31	317	408
	286		31	317	408

As at December 31, 2009 there are no amounts which are set aside or accrued by the Company or its subsidiaries to provide pension, retirement or similar benefits for the directors.

The total share-based compensation expense recognised in the consolidated statement of operations in 2009 in respect of options granted to both executive and non executive directors amounted to US\$422,000. See Item 18, note 6 to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The directors were granted 2,220,000 share options during 2009 and were granted 1,665,000 share options during 2008 the terms of which are as follows:

2009 Share Options Granted:

Director/Executive Officer	Number of Options Granted	Exercise Price of Options Granted	Date of Option Grant*
Ronan O Caoimh	800,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009
Rory Nealon	500,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009
Kevin Tansley	500,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009
Denis Burger	60,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009
Peter Coyne	60,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009
Jim Walsh	60,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009
Clint Severson	120,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009
James Merselis	120,000 A shares	US\$ 0.66 per A share	8 May 2009

* All options issued are subject to a 7 year life from date of grant.

2008 Share Options Granted:

Director/Executive Officer	Number of Options Granted	Exercise Price of Options Granted	Date of Option Grant*
Brendan Farrell	250,000 A shares	US\$ 1.07 per A share	18 March 2008
Rory Nealon	200,000 A shares	US\$ 1.07 per A share	18 March 2008
Ronan O Caoimh	175,000 A shares	US\$ 1.07 per A share	18 March 2008
Kevin Tansley	150,000 A shares	US\$ 1.07 per A share	18 March 2008
Brendan Farrell	300,000 A shares	US\$ 0.74 per A share	16 September 2008
Rory Nealon	240,000 A shares	US\$ 0.74 per A share	16 September 2008
Ronan O Caoimh	200,000 A shares	US\$ 0.74 per A share	16 September 2008
Kevin Tansley	150,000 A shares	US\$ 0.74 per A share	16 September 2008

* All options issued are subject to a 7 year life from date of grant.

In addition, see Item 7 Major Shareholders and Related Party Transactions for further information on the compensation of Directors and Officers.

Directors Service Contracts

The Company has entered into service contracts with its Executive Directors and Officers. These contracts contain certain termination provisions which are summarised below.

Under the terms of his service contract Ronan O Caoimh, Chairman and Chief Executive, is entitled to 12 months salary and benefits in the event of termination by the Company. Where termination arises within 12 months of a

change in control of the Company, Mr. O Caoimh is entitled to 24 months salary and benefits.

Under the terms of his service contract Rory Nealon, Chief Operations Officer, is entitled to 12 months salary and benefits in the event of termination by the Company. Where termination arises within 12 months of a change in control of the Company, Mr. Nealon is entitled to 18 months salary and benefits.

Under the terms of his service contract Kevin Tansley, Chief Financial Officer, is entitled to 12 months salary and benefits in the event of termination by the Company. Where termination arises within 12 months of a change in control of the Company, Mr. Tansley is entitled to 18 months salary and benefits.

Table of Contents***Board Practices***

The Articles of Association of Trinity Biotech provide that one third of the directors in office (other than the Managing Director or a director holding an executive office with Trinity Biotech) or, if their number is not three or a multiple of three, then the number nearest to but not exceeding one third, shall retire from office at every annual general meeting. If at any annual general meeting the number of directors who are subject to retirement by rotation is two, one of such directors shall retire and if the number of such directors is one that director shall retire. Retiring directors may offer themselves for re-election. The directors to retire at each annual general meeting shall be the directors who have been longest in office since their last appointment. As between directors of equal seniority the directors to retire shall, in the absence of agreement, be selected from among them by lot.

The board has established Audit, Remuneration and Compensation Committees. The functions and membership of the Remuneration Committee are described above. The Audit Committee reviews the Group's annual and interim financial statements and reviews reports on the effectiveness of the Group's internal controls. It also appoints the external auditors, reviews the scope and results of the external audit and monitors the relationship with the auditors. The Audit Committee comprises two of the four independent non-executive directors of the Group, Mr Peter Coyne (Committee Chairman) and Mr James Merselis. Mr Jim Walsh is also a member of the Audit Committee. The Compensation Committee currently comprises Mr Ronan O' Caoimh (Committee Chairman) and Mr Rory Nealon. The Compensation Committee administers the Employee Share Option Plan. The Committee determines the exercise price and the term of the options. Options granted to the members of the Committee are approved by the Remuneration Committee and individual option grants in excess of 30,000 shares are approved by the full board of directors. Share options granted to non-executive directors are decided by the other members of the board.

Because Trinity Biotech is a foreign private issuer, it is not required to comply with all of the corporate governance requirements set forth in NASDAQ Rule 4350 as they apply to U.S. domestic companies. The Group's corporate governance measures differ in the following significant way. The Group has not appointed an independent nominations committee or adopted a board resolution addressing the nominations process.

Employees

As of December 31, 2009, Trinity Biotech had 658 employees (2008: 711) consisting of 60 research scientists and technicians, 422 manufacturing and quality assurance employees, and 176 finance, administration, sales and marketing staff (2008: 58 research scientists and technicians, 418 manufacturing and quality assurance employees, and 235 finance, administration, sales and marketing staff). Trinity Biotech's future hiring levels will depend on the growth of revenues.

The geographic spread of the Group's employees was as follows: 289 in Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland, 258 in its US operations, 86 in Germany, 16 in the United Kingdom and 9 in France.

Stock Option Plans

The board of directors have adopted the Employee Share Option Plans (the Plans), with the most recently adopted Share Option Plan being the 2006 Plan. The purpose of these Plans is to provide Trinity Biotech's employees, consultants, officers and directors with additional incentives to improve Trinity Biotech's ability to attract, retain and motivate individuals upon whom Trinity Biotech's sustained growth and financial success depends. These Plans are administered by a Compensation Committee designated by the board of directors. Options under the Plans may be awarded only to employees, officers, directors and consultants of Trinity Biotech.

The exercise price of options is determined by the Compensation Committee. The term of an option will be determined by the Compensation Committee, provided that the term may not exceed seven years from the date of grant. All options will terminate 90 days after termination of the option holder's employment, service or consultancy with Trinity Biotech (or one year after such termination because of death or disability) except where a longer period is approved by the board of directors. Under certain circumstances involving a change in control of Trinity Biotech, the Committee may accelerate the exercisability and termination of options. As of February 28, 2010, 5,418,753 of the options outstanding were held by directors and officers of Trinity Biotech as follows:

Table of Contents

Director/Officer	Number of Options (A Shares)	Exercise Price (Per A Share)	Expiration Date of Options
Ronan O Caoimh	450,000	US\$2.56	26 August 2011
	250,000	US\$1.67	2 November 2012
	350,000	US\$2.09	13 December 2013
	175,000	US\$1.07	18 March 2015
	200,000	US\$0.74	16 September 2015
	800,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016
Rory Nealon	175,000	US\$2.56	26 August 2011
	100,000	US\$1.67	2 November 2012
	150,000	US\$2.09	13 December 2013
	200,000	US\$1.07	18 March 2015
	240,000	US\$0.74	16 September 2015
	500,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016
Denis Burger	60,000	US\$2.56	26 August 2011
	25,000	US\$1.67	2 November 2012
	25,000	US\$2.09	13 December 2013
	60,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016
Jim Walsh	168,753	US\$2.56	26 August 2011
	50,000	US\$1.67	2 November 2012
	25,000	US\$2.09	13 December 2013
	60,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016
Peter Coyne	60,000	US\$2.56	26 August 2011
	25,000	US\$1.67	2 November 2012
	25,000	US\$2.09	13 December 2013
	60,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016
Clint Severson	120,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016
James Merselis	120,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016
Kevin Tansley	20,000	US\$2.79	19 May 2011
	20,000	US\$1.59	16 August 2012
	30,000	US\$1.78	26 July 2013
	75,000	US\$2.24	07 March 2014
	150,000	US\$1.07	18 March 2015
	150,000	US\$0.74	16 September 2015
500,000	US\$0.66	8 May 2016	

As of February 28, 2010 the following options were outstanding:

Number of A Ordinary Shares Subject to Option	Range of Exercise Price per Ordinary Share	Range of Exercise Price per ADS
--	---	--

Total options outstanding 8,304,920 US\$0.66-US\$4.00 US\$ 2.64-US\$16.00
In April 2008, the Company granted warrants to purchase 2,178,244 Class A Ordinary Shares (vesting immediately).
These warrants were issued at an exercise price of US\$1.39 per ordinary share and have a term of five years. As of
February 28, 2010 there were warrants to purchase 2,178,244 A Ordinary Shares in the Company outstanding.

Table of Contents**Item 7*****Major Shareholders and
Related Party Transactions***

As of February 28, 2010 Trinity Biotech has outstanding 82,952,037 A Ordinary shares and 700,000 B Ordinary shares. Such totals exclude 10,483,164 shares issuable upon the exercise of outstanding options and warrants.

The following table sets forth, as of February 28, 2010, the Trinity Biotech A Ordinary Shares and B Ordinary Shares beneficially held by (i) each person believed by Trinity Biotech to beneficially hold 5% or more of such shares, (ii) each director and officer of Trinity Biotech, and (iii) all officers and directors as a group.

Except as otherwise noted, all of the persons and groups shown below have sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares indicated. The Group is not controlled by another corporation or government.

	Number of A Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage Outstanding A Ordinary Shares	Number of B Ordinary Shares Beneficially Owned	Percentage Outstanding B Ordinary Shares	Percentage Total Voting Power
Heartland Advisors Inc.	7,862,400	9.5%			9.3%
William Blair Capital Management LLC	7,106,952	8.6%			8.4%
Goldman Capital Management Inc.	6,380,000	7.7%			7.6%
Ronan O Caoimh	4,997,914(1)	5.9%			5.8%
Rory Nealon	755,000(2)	0.9%			0.9%
Jim Walsh	1,637,362(3)	2.0%			1.9%
Denis R. Burger	250,000(4)	0.3%			0.3%
Peter Coyne	110,000(5)	0.1%			0.1%
Kevin Tansley	237,000(6)	0.3%			0.3%
Clint Severson	48,000	0.1%			0.1%
James Merselis					
Potenza Investments Inc.			500,000(7)	71.4%	1.2%
Officers and Directors as a group (8 persons)	8,035,276(1)(2)(3)(4)(5)(6)	9.4%			9.3%

(1) Includes
1,160,418
shares issuable

upon exercise of
options.

- (2) Includes
555,000 shares
issuable upon
exercise of
options.
- (3) Includes
243,750 shares
issuable upon
exercise of
options.
- (4) Includes
110,000 shares
issuable upon
exercise of
options.
- (5) Includes
110,000 shares
issuable upon
exercise of
options.
- (6) Includes
185,000 shares
issuable upon
exercise of
options.
- (7) These B shares
have two votes
per share.

Table of Contents***Related Party Transactions***

The Group has entered into various arrangements with JRJ Investments (JRJ), a partnership owned by Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh, directors of Trinity Biotech, and directly with Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh, to provide for current and potential future needs to extend its premises at IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland.

In July 2000, Trinity Biotech entered into an agreement with JRJ pursuant to which the Group took a lease of a 25,000 square foot premises adjacent to the existing facility for a term of 20 years at a rent of 7.62 per square foot for an annual rent of 190,000 (US\$274,000). During 2006, the rent on this property was reviewed and increased to 11.00 per square foot, resulting in an annual rent of 275,000 (US\$397,000).

In November 2002, the Group entered into an agreement for a 25 year lease with JRJ for offices that have been constructed adjacent to its premises at IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland. The annual rent of 381,000 (US\$550,000) is payable from January 1, 2004. There was a rent review performed on this premises in 2009 and further to this review, there was no change to the annual rental charge.

In December 2007, the Group entered into an agreement with Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh pursuant to which the Group took a lease on an additional 43,860 square foot manufacturing facility in Bray, Ireland at a rate of 17.94 per square foot (including fit out) giving a total annual rent of 787,000 (US\$1,136,000).

Independent valuers have advised the Group that the rent in respect of each of the leases represents a fair market rent. Trinity Biotech and its directors (excepting Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh who express no opinion on this point) believe that the arrangements entered into represent a fair and reasonable basis on which the Group can meet its ongoing requirements for premises.

Rayville Limited, an Irish registered company, which is wholly owned by the four executive directors and certain other executives of the Group, owns all of the B non-voting Ordinary Shares in Trinity Research Limited, one of the Group's subsidiaries. The B shares do not entitle the holders thereof to receive any assets of the company on a winding up. All of the A voting ordinary shares in Trinity Research Limited are held by the Group. Trinity Research Limited may, from time to time, declare dividends to Rayville Limited and Rayville Limited may declare dividends to its shareholders out of those amounts. Any such dividends paid by Trinity Research Limited are ordinarily treated as a compensation expense by the Group in the consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS, notwithstanding their legal form of dividends to minority interests, as this best represents the substance of the transactions.

There were no director loans advanced during 2009 and there were no loan balances payable to or receivable from directors at January 1, 2009 and at December 31, 2009.

In December 2006, the Remuneration Committee of the Board approved the payment of a dividend of US\$5,331,000 by Trinity Research Limited to Rayville Limited on the B shares held by it. This amount was then lent back by Rayville to Trinity Research Limited. This loan was partially used to fund executive compensation in 2007, 2008 and 2009 and will fund future executive compensation over the next number of years under the arrangement described above, with the amount of such funding being reflected in compensation expense over the corresponding period. As the dividend is matched by a loan from Rayville Limited to Trinity Research Limited which is repayable solely at the discretion of the Remuneration Committee of the Board and is unsecured and interest free, the Group netted the dividend paid to Rayville Limited against the corresponding loan from Rayville Limited in the 2006 consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the Board approved the payment of a dividend of \$2,830,000 by Trinity Research Limited to Rayville Limited on the B shares held by it. This amount was then lent back by Rayville to Trinity Research Limited. As the dividend is matched by a loan from Rayville Limited to Trinity Research Limited which is repayable solely at the discretion of the Remuneration Committee of the Board and is unsecured and interest free, the Group netted the dividend paid to Rayville Limited against the corresponding loan from Rayville Limited in the 2009 consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The amount of payments to Rayville included in compensation expense was US\$2,061,000, US\$1,866,000 and US\$1,071,000 for 2007, 2008 and 2009 respectively, of which US\$1,867,000, US\$1,609,905 and US\$887,000 respectively related to the key management personnel of the Group. There were no dividends payable to Rayville Limited as of December 31, 2009 or 2007. Dividends payable to Rayville at December 31, 2008 amounted to US\$60,000. Of the US\$1,071,000 of payments made to Rayville Limited in 2009, US\$311,000 represented repayments of the loan to Trinity Research Limited referred to above.

Item 8***Financial Information******Legal Proceedings***

In 2008 Trinity Biotech filed a civil suit with a New York court against the former shareholders of Primus Corporation. Trinity Biotech claimed that the defendants unjustly received an overpayment of US\$512,000 based on the fraudulent and wrongful calculation of the earnout payable to the shareholders of Primus Corporation. Trinity Biotech also alleged that one of the former shareholders, Mr Thomas Reidy, failed to return stock certificates and collateral pledged by Trinity Biotech as security for the payment of a US\$3 million promissory note given to the defendants by Trinity Biotech as part of compensation under the share purchase agreement for acquiring Primus. During 2009, all of the defendants with the exception of Mr. Reidy settled the legal action. The US District Court, Southern District of New York granted a judgment against Mr. Reidy ordering him to pay Trinity damages of US\$200,000 plus interest and to return stock certificates and collateral pledged by Trinity Biotech as security for the payment of the US\$3 million promissory note.

Item 9***The Offer and Listing***

Trinity Biotech's American Depositary Shares (ADSs) are listed on the NASDAQ National Cap Market under the symbol TRIB. In 2005, the Trinity Biotech adjusted the ratio of American Depositary Shares (ADSs) to Ordinary Shares and changed its NASDAQ Listing from the NASDAQ Small Capital listing to a NASDAQ National Market Listing. The ratio of ADSs to underlying Ordinary Shares has changed from 1 ADS : 1 Ordinary Share to 1 ADS : 4 Ordinary Shares and all historical data has been restated as a result.

The Group's A Ordinary Shares were also listed and traded on the Irish Stock Exchange until November 2007, whereby the Company de-listed from the Irish Stock Exchange. The Group's depository bank for ADSs is The Bank of New York Mellon. On February 28, 2010, the reported closing sale price of the ADSs was US\$5.07 per ADS. The following tables set forth the range of quoted high and low sale prices of Trinity Biotech's ADSs for (a) the years ended December 31, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 and 2009; (b) the quarters ended March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2008; March 31, June 30, September 30 and December 31, 2009; and (c) the months of March, April, May, June, July, August, September, October, November and December 2009 and January and February 2010 as reported on NASDAQ. These quotes reflect inter-dealer prices without retail mark-up, mark-down or commission and may not necessarily represent actual transactions.

ADSs

Year Ended December 31	High	Low
2005	US\$ 11.72	US\$ 6.28
2006	US\$ 9.54	US\$ 7.09
2007	US\$ 11.75	US\$ 5.72
2008	US\$ 6.95	US\$ 1.25
2009	US\$ 5.70	US\$ 1.05

Table of Contents**ADSs**

2008	High	Low
Quarter ended March 31	US\$ 6.95	US\$ 4.15
Quarter ended June 30	US\$ 4.61	US\$ 3.39
Quarter ended September 30	US\$ 3.90	US\$ 2.82
Quarter ended December 31	US\$ 3.10	US\$ 1.25

ADSs

2009	High	Low
Quarter ended March 31	US\$ 2.35	US\$ 1.05
Quarter ended June 30	US\$ 4.84	US\$ 1.50
Quarter ended September 30	US\$ 5.70	US\$ 3.06
Quarter ended December 31	US\$ 4.43	US\$ 3.33

ADSs

Month Ended	High	Low
March 31, 2009	US\$ 2.00	US\$ 1.05
April 30, 2009	US\$ 2.92	US\$ 1.50
May 31, 2009	US\$ 3.63	US\$ 2.45
June 30, 2009	US\$ 4.84	US\$ 2.84
July 31, 2009	US\$ 5.70	US\$ 3.95
August 31, 2009	US\$ 4.39	US\$ 3.35
September 30, 2009	US\$ 4.75	US\$ 3.06
October 31, 2009	US\$ 4.42	US\$ 3.33
November 30, 2009	US\$ 4.25	US\$ 3.60
December 31, 2009	US\$ 4.43	US\$ 3.65
January 31, 2010	US\$ 4.30	US\$ 3.79
February 28, 2010	US\$ 5.16	US\$ 3.76

The number of record holders of Trinity Biotech's ADSs as at February 28, 2009 amounts to 585, inclusive of those brokerage firms and/or clearing houses holding Trinity Biotech's securities for their clientele (with each such brokerage house and/or clearing house being considered as one holder).

Table of Contents**Item 10*****Memorandum and
Articles of Association******Objects***

The Company's objects, detailed in Clause 3 of its Memorandum of Association, are varied and wide ranging and include principally researching, manufacturing, buying, selling and distributing all kinds of patents, pharmaceutical, medicinal and diagnostic preparations, equipment, drugs and accessories. They also include the power to acquire shares or other interests or securities in other companies or businesses and to exercise all rights in relation thereto. The Company's registered number in Ireland is 183476.

Powers and Duties of Directors

A director may enter into a contract and be interested in any contract or proposed contract with the Company either as vendor, purchaser or otherwise and shall not be liable to account for any profit made by him resulting therefrom provided that he has first disclosed the nature of his interest in such a contract at a meeting of the board as required by Section 194 of the Irish Companies Act 1963. Generally, a director must not vote in respect of any contract or arrangement or any proposal in which he has a material interest (otherwise than by virtue of his holding of shares or debentures or other securities in or through the Group). In addition, a director shall not be counted in the quorum at a meeting in relation to any resolution from which he is barred from voting.

A director is entitled to vote and be counted in the quorum in respect of certain arrangements in which he is interested (in the absence of some other material interest). These include the giving of a security or indemnity to him in respect of money lent or obligations incurred by him for the Group, the giving of any security or indemnity to a third party in respect of a debt or obligation of the Group for which he has assumed responsibility, any proposal concerning an offer of shares or other securities in which he may be interested as a participant in the underwriting or sub-underwriting and any proposal concerning any other company in which he is interested provided he is not the holder of or beneficially interested in 1% or more of the issued shares of any class of share capital of such company or of voting rights.

The Board may exercise all the powers of the Group to borrow money but it is obliged to restrict these borrowings to ensure that the aggregate amount outstanding of all monies borrowed by the Group does not, without the previous sanction of an ordinary resolution of the Company, exceed an amount equal to twice the adjusted capital and reserves (both terms as defined in the Articles of Association). However, no lender or other person dealing with the Group shall be obliged to see or to inquire whether the limit imposed is observed and no debt incurred in excess of such limit will be invalid or ineffectual unless the lender has express notice at the time when the debt is incurred that the limit was or was to be exceeded.

Directors are not required to retire upon reaching any specific age and are not required to hold any shares in the capital of the Group. The Articles provide for retirement of the directors by rotation.

All of the above mentioned powers of directors may be varied by way of a special resolution of the shareholders.

Rights, Preferences and Restrictions Attaching to Shares

The A Ordinary Shares and the B Ordinary Shares rank pari passu in all respects save that the B Ordinary Shares have two votes per share and the right to receive dividends and participate in the distribution of the assets of the Company upon liquidation or winding up at a rate of twice that of the A Ordinary Shares.

Where a shareholder or person who appears to be interested in shares fails to comply with a request for information from the Company in relation to the capacity in which such shares or interest are held, who is interested in them or whether there are any voting arrangements, that shareholder or person may be disenfranchised and thereby restricted from transferring the shares and voting rights or receiving any sums in respect thereof (except in the case of a liquidation). In addition, if cheques in respect of the last three dividends paid to a shareholder remain uncashed, the Company is, subject to compliance with the procedure set out in the Articles of Association, entitled to sell the shares of that shareholder.

At a general meeting, on a show of hands, every member who is present in person or by proxy and entitled to vote shall have one vote (so, however, that no individual shall have more than one vote) and upon a poll, every member present in person or by proxy shall have one vote for every share carrying voting rights of which he is the holder. In the case of joint holders, the vote of the senior (being the first person named in the register of members in respect of

the joint holding) who tendered a vote, whether in person or by proxy, shall be accepted to the exclusion of votes of the other joint holders.

Table of Contents

One third of the directors other than an executive director or, if their number is not three or a multiple of three, then the number nearest to but not exceeding one third, shall retire from office at each annual general meeting. If, however, the number of directors subject to retirement by rotation is two, one of such directors shall retire. If the number is one, that director shall retire. The directors to retire at each annual general meeting shall be the ones who have been longest in office since their last appointment. Where directors are of equal seniority, the directors to retire shall, in the absence of agreement, be selected by lot. A retiring director shall be eligible for re-appointment and shall act as director throughout the meeting at which he retires. A separate motion must be put to a meeting in respect of each director to be appointed unless the meeting itself has first agreed that a single resolution is acceptable without any vote being given against it.

The Company may, subject to the provisions of the Companies Acts, 1963 to 2006 of Ireland, issue any share on the terms that it is, or at the option of the Company is to be liable, to be redeemed on such terms and in such manner as the Company may determine by special resolution. Before recommending a dividend, the directors may reserve out of the profits of the Company such sums as they think proper which shall be applicable for any purpose to which the profits of the Company may properly be applied and, pending such application, may be either employed in the business of the Company or be invested in such investments (other than shares of the Company or of its holding company (if any)) as the directors may from time to time think fit.

Subject to any conditions of allotment, the directors may from time to time make calls on members in respect of monies unpaid on their shares. At least 14 days notice must be given of each call. A call shall be deemed to have been made at the time when the directors resolve to authorise such call.

The Articles do not contain any provisions discriminating against any existing or prospective holder of securities as a result of such shareholder owning a substantial number of shares.

Action Necessary to Change the Rights of Shareholders

In order to change the rights attaching to any class of shares, a special resolution passed at a class meeting of the holders of such shares is required. The provisions in relation to general meetings apply to such class meetings except the quorum shall be two persons holding or representing by proxy at least one third in nominal amount of the issued shares of that class. In addition, in order to amend any provisions of the Articles of Association in relation to rights attaching to shares, a special resolution of the shareholders as a whole is required.

Calling of AGM s and EGM s of Shareholders

The Company must hold a general meeting as its annual general meeting each year. Not more than 15 months can elapse between annual general meetings. The annual general meetings are held at such time and place as the directors determine and all other general meetings are called extraordinary general meetings. Every general meeting shall be held in Ireland unless all of the members entitled to attend and vote at it consent in writing to it being held elsewhere or a resolution providing that it be held elsewhere was passed at the preceding annual general meeting. The directors may at any time call an extraordinary general meeting and such meetings may also be convened on such requisition, or in default may be convened by such requisitions, as is provided by the Companies Acts, 1963 to 2006 of Ireland.

In the case of an annual general meeting or a meeting at which a special resolution is proposed, 21 clear days notice of the meeting is required and in any other case it is seven clear days notice. Notice must be given in writing to all members and to the auditors and must state the details specified in the Articles of Association. A general meeting (other than one at which a special resolution is to be proposed) may be called on shorter notice subject to the agreement of the auditors and all members entitled to attend and vote at it. In certain circumstances provided in the Companies Acts, 1963 to 2006 of Ireland, extended notice is required. These include removal of a director. No business may be transacted at a general meeting unless a quorum is present. Five members present in person or by proxy (not being less than five individuals) representing not less than 40% of the ordinary shares shall be a quorum. The Company is not obliged to serve notices upon members who have addresses outside Ireland and the US but otherwise there are no limitations in the Articles of Association or under Irish law restricting the rights of non-resident or foreign shareholders to hold or exercise voting rights on the shares in the Company.

However, the Financial Transfers Act, 1992 and regulations made thereunder prevent transfers of capital or payments between Ireland and certain countries. These restrictions on financial transfers are more comprehensively described in

Exchange Controls below. In addition, Irish competition law may restrict the acquisition by a party of shares in the

Company but this does not apply on the basis of nationality or residence.

Table of Contents

Other Provisions of the Memorandum and Articles of Association

The Memorandum and Articles of Association do not contain any provisions:

which would have an effect of delaying, deferring or preventing a change in control of the Company and which would operate only with respect to a merger, acquisition or corporate restructuring involving the Company (or any of its subsidiaries); or

governing the ownership threshold above which a shareholder ownership must be disclosed; or

imposing conditions governing changes in the capital which are more stringent than is required by Irish law.

The Company incorporates by reference all other information concerning its Memorandum and Articles of Association from the Registration Statement on Form F-1 on June 12, 1992.

Irish Law

Pursuant to Irish law, Trinity Biotech must maintain a register of its shareholders. This register is open to inspection by shareholders free of charge and to any member of the public on payment of a small fee. The books containing the minutes of proceedings of any general meeting of Trinity Biotech are required to be kept at the registered office of the Company and are open to the inspection of any member without charge. Minutes of meetings of the Board of Directors are not open to scrutiny by shareholders. Trinity Biotech is obliged to keep proper books of account. The shareholders have no statutory right to inspect the books of account. The only financial records, which are open to the shareholders, are the financial statements, which are sent to shareholders with the annual report. Irish law also obliges Trinity Biotech to file information relating to certain events within the Company (new share capital issues, changes to share rights, changes to the Board of Directors). This information is filed with the Companies Registration Office (the CRO) in Dublin and is open to public inspection. The Articles of Association of Trinity Biotech permit ordinary shareholders to approve corporate matters in writing provided that it is signed by all the members for the time being entitled to vote and attend at general meeting. Ordinary shareholders are entitled to call a meeting by way of a requisition. The requisition must be signed by ordinary shareholders holding not less than one-tenth of the paid up capital of the Company carrying the right of voting at general meetings of the Company. Trinity Biotech is generally permitted, subject to company law, to issue shares with preferential rights, including preferential rights as to voting, dividends or rights to a return of capital on a winding up of the Company. Any shareholder who complains that the affairs of the Company are being conducted or that the powers of the directors of the Company are being exercised in a manner oppressive to him or any of the shareholders (including himself), or in disregard of his or their interests as shareholders, may apply to the Irish courts for relief. Shareholders have no right to maintain proceedings in respect of wrongs done to the Company.

Ordinarily, our directors owe their duties only to Trinity Biotech and not its shareholders. The duties of directors are twofold, fiduciary duties and duties of care and skill. Fiduciary duties are owed by the directors individually and owed to Trinity Biotech. Those duties include duties to act in good faith towards Trinity Biotech in any transaction, not to make use of any money or other property of Trinity Biotech, not to gain directly or indirectly any improper advantage for himself at the expense of Trinity Biotech, to act bona fide in the interests of Trinity Biotech and exercise powers for the proper purpose. A director need not exhibit in the performance of his duties a greater degree of skill than may reasonably be expected from a person of his knowledge and experience. When directors, as agents in transactions, make contracts on behalf of the Company, they generally incur no personal liability under these contracts.

It is Trinity Biotech, as principal, which will be liable under them, as long as the directors have acted within Trinity Biotech's objects and within their own authority. A director who commits a breach of his fiduciary duties shall be liable to Trinity Biotech for any profit made by him or for any damage suffered by Trinity Biotech as a result of the breach. In addition to the above, a breach by a director of his duties may lead to a sanction from a Court including damages of compensation, summary dismissal of the director, a requirement to account to Trinity Biotech for profit made and restriction of the director from acting as a director in the future.

Table of Contents

Material Contracts

Other than contracts entered into in the ordinary course of business, the following represents the material contracts entered into by the Group:

Agreement to sell the Coagulation business to Diagnostica Stago SAS

Trinity Biotech signed an agreement in March 2010 to sell its worldwide coagulation business to Diagnostica Stago for US\$90 million. US\$67.5m will be paid on closing, US\$11.25m on the first anniversary of closing and the remaining US\$11.25m on the second anniversary of closing. Diagnostica Stago have agreed to purchase the share capital of Trinity Biotech (UK Sales) Limited, Trinity Biotech GmbH and Trinity Biotech SARL, along with coagulation assets of Biopool US Inc. and Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited. Included in the sale are Trinity's lists of coagulation customers and suppliers, all coagulation inventory, intellectual property and developed technology. In total, 320 Trinity employees will transfer their employment to Diagnostica Stago. The transaction, which is subject to certain conditions that are not met at the date of approval of the financial statements, is expected to close during quarter 2, 2010.

Acquisition of the immuno-technology business of Cortex Biochem Inc

In September 2007, the Group acquired the immuno-technology business of Cortex Biochem Inc (Cortex) for a total consideration of US\$2,925,000, consisting of cash consideration of US\$2,887,000 and acquisition expenses of US\$38,000.

The main terms and conditions in the Cortex purchase agreement were as follows:

1. Trinity Biotech acquired Cortex's lists of customers and suppliers, inventory of immuno reagents, certain accounts receivable and accounts payable balances and the Cortex Biochem website.
2. The vendor undertook not to compete directly with the Cortex business for a period of three years after the sale of the business to Trinity
3. All of the purchase consideration was payable on signing of the contract.

Acquisition of certain components of the distribution business of Sterilab Services UK

In October 2007, the Group acquired certain components of the distribution business of Sterilab Services UK (Sterilab), a distributor of Infectious Diseases products, for a total consideration of US\$1,489,000, consisting of cash consideration of US\$1,480,000 and acquisition expenses of US\$9,000.

The main terms and conditions in the Sterilab purchase agreement were as follows:

1. Trinity Biotech acquired a list of customers, inventory of infectious diseases and autoimmune products and all diagnostic instruments placed with Sterilab's customers
2. The vendor undertook not to compete directly with Trinity's infectious disease business in the United Kingdom for a period of one year after the sale of the Sterilab business to Trinity
3. All of the purchase consideration was payable on signing of the contract.

***Exchange Controls and Other Limitations
Affecting Security Holders***

Irish exchange control regulations ceased to apply from and after December 31, 1992. Except as indicated below, there are no restrictions on non-residents of the Republic of Ireland dealing in domestic securities which includes shares or depository receipts of Irish companies such as Trinity Biotech, and dividends and redemption proceeds, subject to the withholding where appropriate of withholding tax as described under Item 10, are freely transferable to non-resident holders of such securities.

The Financial Transfers Act, 1992 was enacted in December 1992. This Act gives power to the Minister of Finance of the Republic of Ireland to make provision for the restriction of financial transfers between the Republic of Ireland and other countries. Financial transfers are broadly defined and include all transfers, which would be movements of funds within the meaning of the treaties governing the European Communities. The acquisition or disposal of ADSs representing shares issued by an Irish incorporated company and associated payments may fall within this definition. In addition, dividends or payments on redemption or purchase of shares, interest payments, debentures or other securities in an Irish incorporated company and payments on a liquidation of an Irish incorporated company would fall within this definition. Currently, orders under this Act

Table of Contents

prohibit any financial transfer to or by the order of or on behalf of residents of the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, Federal Republic of Serbia, Angola and Iraq, any financial transfer in respect of funds and financial resources belonging to the Taliban of Afghanistan (or related terrorist organisations), financial transfers to the senior members of the Zimbabwean government and financial transfers to any persons, groups or entities listed in EU Council Decision 2002/400/EC of June 17, 2002 unless permission for the transfer has been given by the Central Bank of Ireland. Trinity Biotech does not anticipate that Irish exchange controls or orders under the Financial Transfers Act, 1992 will have a material effect on its business.

For the purposes of the orders relating to Iraq and the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia, reconstituted in 1991 as Serbia and Montenegro, a resident of those countries is a person living in these countries, a body corporate or entity operating in these countries and any person acting on behalf of any of these persons.

Any transfer of, or payment for, an ordinary share or ADS involving the government of any country which is currently the subject of United Nations sanctions, any person or body controlled by any government or country under United Nations sanctions or any persons or body controlled acting on behalf of these governments of countries, may be subject to restrictions required under these sanctions as implemented into Irish law.

Taxation

The following discussion is based on US and Republic of Ireland tax law, statutes, treaties, regulations, rulings and decisions all as of the date of this annual report. Taxation laws are subject to change, from time to time, and no representation is or can be made as to whether such laws will change, or what impact, if any, such changes will have on the statements contained in this summary. No assurance can be given that proposed amendments will be enacted as proposed, or that legislative or judicial changes, or changes in administrative practice, will not modify or change the statements expressed herein.

This summary is of a general nature only. It does not constitute legal or tax advice nor does it discuss all aspects of Irish taxation that may be relevant to any particular Irish Holder or US Holder of ordinary shares or ADSs.

This summary does not discuss all aspects of Irish and US federal income taxation that may be relevant to a particular holder of Trinity Biotech ADSs in light of the holder's own circumstances or to certain types of investors subject to special treatment under applicable tax laws (for example, financial institutions, life insurance companies, tax-exempt organisations, and non-US taxpayers) and it does not discuss any tax consequences arising under the laws of taxing jurisdictions other than the Republic of Ireland and the US federal government. The tax treatment of holders of Trinity Biotech ADSs may vary depending upon each holder's own particular situation.

Prospective purchasers of Trinity Biotech ADSs are advised to consult their own tax advisors as to the US, Irish or other tax consequences of the purchase, ownership and disposition of such ADSs.

US Federal Income Tax Consequences to US Holders

The following is a summary of certain material US federal income tax consequences that generally would apply with respect to the ownership and disposition of Trinity Biotech ADSs, in the case of a purchaser of such ADSs who is a US Holder (as defined below) and who holds the ADSs as capital assets. This summary is based on the US Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Code), Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, and judicial and administrative interpretations thereof, all as in effect on the date hereof and all of which are subject to change either prospectively or retroactively. For the purposes of this summary, a US Holder is: an individual who is a citizen or a resident of the United States; a corporation created or organised in or under the laws of the United States or any political subdivision thereof; an estate whose income is subject to US federal income tax regardless of its source; or a trust that (a) is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and the control of one or more US persons or (b) has a valid election in effect under applicable US Treasury regulations to be treated as a US person. This summary does not address all tax considerations that may be relevant with respect to an investment in ADSs. This summary does not discuss all the tax consequences that may be relevant to a US holder in light of such holder's particular circumstances or to US holders subject to special rules, including persons that are non-US holders, broker dealers, financial institutions, certain insurance companies, investors liable for alternative minimum tax, tax exempt organisations, regulated investment companies, non-resident aliens of the US or taxpayers whose functional currency is not the dollar, persons who hold ADSs through partnerships or other pass-through entities, persons who acquired their ADSs through the exercise or cancellation of employee stock options or otherwise as compensation for services,

investors that actually or constructively own 10% or more of Trinity Biotech's voting shares, and investors holding ADSs as part of a straddle or appreciated financial position or as part of a hedging or conversion transaction.

Table of Contents

If a partnership or an entity treated as a partnership for US federal income tax purposes owns ADSs, the US federal income tax treatment of a partner in such a partnership will generally depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. The partners in a partnership which owns ADSs should consult their tax advisors about the US federal income tax consequences of holding and disposing of ADSs.

This summary does not address the effect of any US federal taxation other than US federal income taxation. In addition, this summary does not include any discussion of state, local or foreign taxation. You are urged to consult your tax advisors regarding the foreign and US federal, state and local tax considerations of an investment in ADSs.

For US federal income tax purposes, US Holders of Trinity Biotech ADSs will be treated as owning the underlying Class A Ordinary Shares represented by the ADSs held by them. The gross amount of any distribution made by Trinity Biotech to US Holders with respect to the underlying shares represented by the ADSs held by them, including the amount of any Irish taxes withheld from such distribution, will be treated for US federal income tax purposes as a dividend to the extent of Trinity Biotech's current and accumulated earnings and profits, as determined for US federal income tax purposes. The amount of any such distribution that exceeds Trinity Biotech's current and accumulated earnings and profits will be applied against and reduce a US Holder's tax basis in the holder's ADSs, and any amount of the distribution remaining after the holder's tax basis has been reduced to zero will constitute capital gain. The capital gain will be treated as a long-term or short-term capital gain depending on whether or not the holder's ADSs have been held for more than one year as of the date of the distribution.

Dividends paid by Trinity Biotech generally will not qualify for the dividends received deduction otherwise available to US corporate shareholders.

Subject to complex limitations, any Irish withholding tax imposed on such dividends will be a foreign income tax eligible for credit against a US Holder's US federal income tax liability (or, alternatively, for deduction against income in determining such tax liability). The limitations set out in the Code include computational rules under which foreign tax credits allowable with respect to specific classes of income cannot exceed the US federal income taxes otherwise payable with respect to each such class of income. Dividends generally will be treated as foreign-source passive category income or, in the case of certain US Holders, general category income for US foreign tax credit purposes. Further, there are special rules for computing the foreign tax credit limitation of a taxpayer who receives dividends subject to a reduced tax, see discussion below.

A US Holder will be denied a foreign tax credit with respect to Irish income tax withheld from dividends received on the ordinary shares to the extent such US Holder has not held the ordinary shares for at least 16 days of the 31-day period beginning on the date which is 15 days before the ex-dividend date or to the extent such US Holder is under an obligation to make related payments with respect to substantially similar or related property. Any days during which a US Holder has substantially diminished its risk of loss on the ordinary shares are not counted toward meeting the 16-day holding period required by the statute. The rules relating to the determination of the foreign tax credit are complex, and you should consult with your personal tax advisors to determine whether and to what extent you would be entitled to this credit.

Subject to certain limitations, qualified dividend income received by a noncorporate US Holder in tax years beginning on or before December 31, 2010 will be subject to tax at a reduced maximum tax rate of 15%. Distributions taxable as dividends paid on the ordinary shares should qualify for the 15% rate provided that either: (i) we are entitled to benefits under the income tax treaty between the United States and Ireland (the Treaty) or (ii) the ADSs are readily tradable on an established securities market in the US and certain other requirements are met. We believe that we are entitled to benefits under the Treaty and that the ADSs currently are readily tradable on an established securities market in the US. However, no assurance can be given that the ordinary shares will remain readily tradable. The rate reduction does not apply unless certain holding period requirements are satisfied. With respect to the ADSs, the US Holder must have held such ADSs for at least 61 days during the 121-day period beginning 60 days before the ex-dividend date. The rate reduction also does not apply to dividends received from passive foreign investment companies, see discussion below, or in respect of certain hedged positions or in certain other situations. The legislation enacting the reduced tax rate contains special rules for computing the foreign tax credit limitation of a taxpayer who receives dividends subject to the reduced tax rate. US Holders of Trinity Biotech ADSs should consult their own tax advisors regarding the effect of these rules in their particular circumstances.

Table of Contents

Upon a sale or exchange of ADSs, a US Holder will recognise a gain or loss for US federal income tax purposes in an amount equal to the difference between the amount realised on the sale or exchange and the holder's adjusted tax basis in the ADSs sold or exchanged. Such gain or loss generally will be capital gain or loss and will be long-term or short-term capital gain or loss depending on whether the US Holder has held the ADSs sold or exchanged for more than one year at the time of the sale or exchange.

For US federal income tax purposes, a foreign corporation is treated as a passive foreign investment company (or PFIC) in any taxable year in which, after taking into account the income and assets of the corporation and certain of its subsidiaries pursuant to the applicable look through rules, either (1) at least 75% of the corporation's gross income is passive income or (2) at least 50% of the average value of the corporation's assets is attributable to assets that produce passive income or are held for the production of passive income. Based on the nature of its present business operations, assets and income, Trinity Biotech believes that it is not currently subject to treatment as a PFIC. However, no assurance can be given that changes will not occur in Trinity Biotech's business operations, assets and income that might cause it to be treated as a PFIC at some future time.

If Trinity Biotech were to become a PFIC, a US Holder of Trinity Biotech ADSs would be required to allocate to each day in the holding period for such holder's ADSs a pro rata portion of any distribution received (or deemed to be received) by the holder from Trinity Biotech, to the extent the distribution so received constitutes an excess distribution, as defined under US federal income tax law. Generally, a distribution received during a taxable year by a US Holder with respect to the underlying shares represented by any of the holder's ADSs would be treated as an excess distribution to the extent that the distribution so received, plus all other distributions received (or deemed to be received) by the holder during the taxable year with respect to such underlying shares, is greater than 125% of the average annual distributions received by the holder with respect to such underlying shares during the three preceding years (or during such shorter period as the US Holder may have held the ADSs). Any portion of an excess distribution that is treated as allocable to one or more taxable years prior to the year of distribution during which Trinity Biotech was classified as a PFIC would be subject to US federal income tax in the year in which the excess distribution is made, but it would be subject to tax at the highest tax rate applicable to the holder in the prior tax year or years. The holder also would be subject to an interest charge, in the year in which the excess distribution is made, on the amount of taxes deemed to have been deferred with respect to the excess distribution. In addition, any gain recognised on a sale or other disposition of a US Holder's ADSs, including any gain recognised on a liquidation of Trinity Biotech, would be treated in the same manner as an excess distribution. Any such gain would be treated as ordinary income rather than as capital gain. Finally, the 15% reduced US federal income tax rate otherwise applicable to dividend income as discussed above, will not apply to any distribution made by Trinity Biotech in any taxable year in which it is a PFIC (or made in the taxable year following any such year), whether or not the distribution is an excess distribution.

If Trinity Biotech became a PFIC, a US Holder may make a qualifying electing fund election in the year Trinity Biotech first becomes a PFIC or in the year the holder acquires the shares, whichever is later. This election provides for a current inclusion of Trinity Biotech's ordinary income and capital gain income in the US Holder's US taxable income. In return, any gain on sale or other disposition of a US Holder's ADSs in Trinity Biotech, if it were classified as a PFIC, will be treated as capital, and the interest penalty will not be imposed. This election is not made by Trinity Biotech, but by each US Holder. The PFIC must provide certain information to the IRS in order to qualify as a Qualified Electing Fund. US Holders should contact their tax advisor for further information on this area.

Alternatively, if the ADSs are considered marketable stock a US Holder may elect to mark-to-market its ADSs, and such US Holder would not be subject to the rules described above. Instead, such US Holder would generally include in income any excess of the fair market value of the ADSs at the close of each tax year over its adjusted basis in the ADSs. If the fair market value of the ADSs had depreciated below the US Holders adjusted basis at the close of the tax year, the US Holder may generally deduct the excess of the adjusted basis of the ADSs over its fair market value at that time. However, such deductions generally would be limited to the net mark-to-market gains, if any, that the US Holder included in income with respect to such ADSs in prior years. Income recognized and deductions allowed under the mark-to-market provisions, as well as any gain or loss on the disposition of ADSs with respect to which the mark-to-market election is made, is treated as ordinary income or loss (except that loss is treated as capital loss to the

extent the loss exceeds the net mark-to-market gains, if any, that a US Holder included in income with respect to such ordinary shares in prior years). However, gain or loss from the disposition of ordinary shares (as to which a mark-to-market election was made) in a year in which Trinity Biotech is no longer a PFIC, will be capital gain or loss. The ADSs should be considered marketable stock if they traded at least 15 days during each calendar quarter of the relevant calendar year in more than de minimis quantities.

Table of Contents

If Trinity Biotech were to become a CFC, each US Holder treated as a US Ten-percent Shareholder would be required to include in income each year such US Ten-percent Shareholder's pro rata share of Trinity Biotech's undistributed Subpart F income. For this purpose, Subpart F income generally would include interest, original issue discount, dividends, net gains from the disposition of stocks or securities, net gains on forward and option contracts, receipts with respect to securities loans and net payments received with respect to equity swaps and similar derivatives.

Any undistributed Subpart F income included in a US Holder's income for any year would be added to the tax basis of the US Holder's ADSs. Amounts distributed by Trinity Biotech to the US Holder in any subsequent year would not be subject to further US federal income tax in the year of distribution, to the extent attributable to amounts so included in the US Holder's income in prior years under the CFC rules but would be treated, instead, as a reduction in the tax basis of the US Holder's ADSs, the PFIC rules discussed above would not apply to any undistributed Subpart F income required to be included in a US Holder's income under the CFC rules, or to the amount of any distributions received from Trinity Biotech that were attributable to amounts so included.

Distributions made with respect to underlying shares represented by ADSs may be subject to information reporting to the US Internal Revenue Service and to US backup withholding tax at a rate equal to the fourth lowest income tax rate applicable to individuals (which, under current law, is 28%). Backup withholding will not apply, however, if the holder (i) is a corporation or comes within certain exempt categories, and demonstrates its eligibility for exemption when so required, or (ii) furnishes a correct taxpayer identification number and makes any other required certification. Backup withholding is not an additional tax. Amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules may be credited against a US Holder's US tax liability, and a US Holder may obtain a refund of any excess amounts withheld under the backup withholding rules by filing the appropriate claim for refund with the Internal Revenue Service.

Any US Holder who holds 10% or more in vote or value of Trinity Biotech will be subject to certain additional United States information reporting requirements.

US Holders may be subject to state or local income and other taxes with respect to their ownership and disposition of ADSs. US Holders of ADSs should consult their own tax advisers as to the applicability and effect of any such taxes.

Table of Contents

Republic of Ireland Taxation

For the purposes of this summary, an **Irish Holder** means a holder of ordinary shares or ADSs evidenced by ADSs that (i) beneficially owns the ordinary shares or ADSs registered in their name; (ii) in the case of individual holders, are resident, ordinarily resident and domiciled in Ireland under Irish taxation laws; (iii) in the case of holders that are companies, are resident in Ireland under Irish taxation laws; and (iv) are not also resident in any other country under any double taxation agreement entered into by Ireland.

For Irish taxation purposes, Irish Holders of ADSs will be treated as the owners of the underlying ordinary shares represented by such ADSs.

Solely for the purposes of this summary of Irish Tax Considerations, a **US Holder** means a holder of ordinary shares or ADSs evidenced by ADSs that (i) beneficially owns the ordinary shares or ADSs registered in their name; (ii) is resident in the United States for the purposes of the Republic of Ireland/United States Double Taxation Convention (the Treaty); (iii) in the case of an individual holder, is not also resident or ordinarily resident in Ireland for Irish tax purposes; (iv) in the case of a corporate holder, is not a resident in Ireland for Irish tax purposes and is not ultimately controlled by persons resident in Ireland; and (v) is not engaged in any trade or business in Ireland and does not perform independent personal services through a permanent establishment or fixed base in Ireland.

The Board of Directors does not expect to pay dividends for the foreseeable future. Should Trinity Biotech begin paying dividends, such dividends will generally be subject to dividend withholding tax (DWT) at the standard rate of income tax in force at the time the dividend is paid, currently 20%. Under current legislation, where DWT applies, Trinity Biotech will be responsible for withholding it at source. DWT will not be withheld where an exemption applies and where Trinity Biotech has received all necessary documentation from the recipient prior to payment of the dividend.

Corporate Irish Holders will generally be entitled to claim an exemption from DWT by delivering a declaration, which confirms that the company is resident in Ireland for tax purposes, to Trinity Biotech in the form prescribed by the Irish Revenue Commissioners. Such corporate Irish Holders will generally not otherwise be subject to Irish tax in respect of dividends received.

Individual Irish Holders will be subject to income tax on the gross amount of any dividend (that is the amount of the dividend received plus any DWT withheld), at their marginal rate of tax (currently either 20% or 41% depending on the individual's circumstances). Individual Irish Holders will be able to claim a credit against their resulting income tax liability in respect of DWT withheld. Individual Irish Holders may, depending on their circumstances, also be subject to the Irish income levy of up to 6%, the health levy of up to 5% and pay related social insurance contribution of up to 4% in respect of their dividend income.

Shareholders who are individuals resident in the US (and certain other countries) and who are not resident or ordinarily resident in Ireland may receive dividends free of DWT where the shareholder has provided Trinity Biotech with the relevant declaration and residency certificate required by legislation.

Corporate shareholders that are not resident in Ireland and who are ultimately controlled by persons resident in the US (or certain other countries) or corporate holders of ordinary shares resident in a relevant territory (being a country with which Ireland has a double tax treaty, which includes the United States) or resident in a member state of the European Union other than Ireland which are not controlled by Irish residents or whose principal class of shares or its 75% parent's principal class of shares are substantially or regularly traded on a recognised stock exchange in a country with which Ireland has a tax treaty, may be exempt from withholding tax on the production of the appropriate declarations. As a result of Finance Bill 2010 (assuming the Bill is enacted in April 2010), there will no longer be a requirement for non-resident companies receiving dividends from Irish resident companies to provide a tax residence and/or auditor's certificate in order to obtain an exemption from dividend withholding tax at source. Instead, a self-assessment system will apply under which a non-resident company will provide a declaration and certain information to the dividend paying company or intermediary to claim the exemption. The declaration will extend for a period of up to 6 years.

US resident holders who do not comply with the documentation requirements or otherwise do not qualify for an exemption may be able to claim treaty benefits under the treaty. US resident holders who are entitled to benefits under the treaty will be able to claim a partial refund of DWT from the Irish Revenue Commissioners.

Table of Contents

Special DWT arrangements are available in the case of shares held by US resident holders in Irish companies through American depository banks using ADSs who enter into intermediary agreements with the Irish Revenue Commissioners and hence such banks are viewed as qualifying intermediaries under Irish Tax legislation.

Under such agreements, American depository banks who receive dividends from Irish companies and pay the dividends on to the US resident ADS holders are allowed to receive and pass on a dividend from the Irish company on a gross basis (without any withholding) if:

the depository bank's ADS register shows that the direct beneficial owner of the dividends has a US address on the register, or

there is an intermediary between the depository bank and the beneficial shareholder and the depository bank receives confirmation from the intermediary that the beneficial shareholder's address in the intermediary's records is in the US.

Where the above procedures have not been complied with and DWT is withheld from dividend payments to US Holders of ordinary shares or ADSs evidenced by ADSs, such US Holders can apply to the Irish Revenue Commissioners claiming a full refund of DWT paid by filing a declaration, a certificate of residency and, in the case of US Holders that are corporations, an auditor's certificate, each in the form prescribed by the Irish Revenue Commissioners.

The DWT rate applicable to US Holders is reduced to 5% under the terms of the Treaty for corporate US Holders holding 10% or more of our voting shares, and to 15% for other US Holders. While this will, subject to the application of Article 23 of the Treaty, generally entitle US Holders to claim a partial refund of DWT from the Irish Revenue Commissioners, US Holders will, in most circumstances, likely prefer to seek a full refund of DWT under Irish domestic legislation.

Under the Irish Taxes Consolidation Act 1997, non-Irish shareholders may, unless exempted, be liable to DWT tax on dividends received from Trinity Biotech. Such a shareholder will not suffer DWT on dividends if the shareholder is:

an individual resident in the US (or certain other countries with which Ireland has a double taxation treaty) and who is neither resident nor ordinarily resident in Ireland; or

a corporation that is not resident in Ireland and which is ultimately controlled by persons resident in the US (or certain other countries with which Ireland has a double taxation treaty); or

a corporation that is not resident in Ireland and whose principal class of shares (or its 75% parent's principal class of shares) are substantially or regularly traded on a recognised stock exchange; or is otherwise entitled to an exemption from DWT.

Disposals of Ordinary Shares or ADSs

Irish Holders that acquire ordinary shares or ADSs will generally be considered, for Irish tax purposes, to have acquired their ordinary shares or ADSs at a base cost equal to the amount paid for the ordinary shares or ADSs. On subsequent dispositions, ordinary shares or ADSs acquired at an earlier time will generally be deemed, for Irish tax purposes, to be disposed of on a first in first out basis before ordinary shares or ADSs acquired at a later time. Irish Holders that dispose of their ordinary shares or ADSs will be subject to Irish capital gains tax (CGT) to the extent that the proceeds realised from such disposition exceed the indexed base cost of the ordinary shares or ADSs disposed of and any incidental expenses. The current rate of CGT is 25% and this applies to disposals made on or after 8 April 2009 while the rate is 22% for disposals made between 15 October 2008 and 7 April 2009. Indexation of the base cost of the ordinary shares or ADSs will only be available up to 31 December 2002, and only in respect of ordinary shares or ADSs held for more than 12 months prior to their disposal.

Irish Holders that have unutilised capital losses from other sources in the current, or any previous tax year, can generally apply such losses to reduce gains realised on the disposal of the ordinary shares or ADSs.

An annual exemption allows individuals to realise chargeable gains of up to 1,270 in each tax year without giving rise to CGT. This exemption is specific to the individual and cannot be transferred between spouses. Irish Holders are required, under Ireland's self-assessment system, to file a tax return reporting any chargeable gains arising to them in a particular tax year.

Table of Contents

Where disposal proceeds are received in a currency other than Euro they must be translated into amounts to calculate the amount of any chargeable gain or loss. Similarly, acquisition costs denominated in a currency other than Euro must be translated at the date of acquisition in Euro amounts.

Irish Holders that realise a loss on the disposal of ordinary shares or ADSs will generally be entitled to offset such allowable losses against capital gains realised from other sources in determining their CGT liability in a year. Allowable losses which remain unrelieved in a year may generally be carried forward indefinitely for CGT purposes and applied against capital gains in future years.

Transfers between spouses will not give rise to any chargeable gain or loss for CGT purposes with the acquiring spouse acquiring the same pro rata base cost and acquisition date as that of the transferring spouse.

US Holders will not be subject to Irish capital gains tax (CGT) on the disposal of ordinary shares or ADSs provided that such ordinary shares or ADSs are quoted on a stock exchange at the time of disposition. The stock exchange for this purpose is the Nasdaq National Market (NASDAQ). While it is our intention to continue the quotation of ADSs on NASDAQ, no assurances can be given in this regard.

If, for any reason, our ADSs cease to be quoted on NASDAQ, US Holders will not be subject to CGT on the disposal of their ordinary shares or ADSs provided that the ordinary shares or ADSs do not, at the time of the disposal, derive the greater part of their value from land, buildings, minerals, or mineral rights or exploration rights in Ireland.

A gift or inheritance of ordinary shares will be, or in the case of ADSs may be, within the charge to capital acquisitions tax, regardless of where the disponer or the donee/successor in relation to the gift/inheritance is domiciled, resident or ordinarily resident. Capital acquisitions tax is levied at a rate of 25% (this rate is effective for valuation dates from 8 April 2009 and a rate of 22% applies to gifts and inheritances taken where the valuation date is between 20 November 2008 and 7 April 2009) on the taxable value of the gift or inheritance above certain tax-free thresholds. This tax-free threshold is determined by the amount of the current benefit and of previous benefits, received within the group threshold since December 5, 1991, which are within the charge to the capital acquisitions tax and the relationship between the former holder and the successor. Gifts and inheritances between spouses are not subject to the capital acquisitions tax. Gifts of up to 3,000 can be received each year from any given individual without triggering a charge to capital acquisitions tax. Where a charge to Irish CGT and capital acquisitions tax arises on the same event, capital acquisitions tax payable on the event can be reduced by the amount of the CGT payable. There should be no clawback of the same event credit of CGT offset against capital acquisitions tax provided the donee/successor does not dispose of the ordinary shares or ADSs within two years from the date of gift/inheritance.

The Estate Tax Convention between Ireland and the United States generally provides for Irish capital acquisitions tax paid on inheritances in Ireland to be credited, in whole or in part, against tax payable in the United States, in the case where an inheritance of ordinary shares or ADSs is subject to both Irish capital acquisitions tax and US federal estate tax. The Estate Tax Convention does not apply to Irish capital acquisitions tax paid on gifts.

Irish stamp duty, which is a tax imposed on certain documents, is payable on all transfers of ordinary shares of an Irish registered company (other than transfers made between spouses, transfers made between 90% associated companies, or certain other exempt transfers) regardless of where the document of transfer is executed. Irish stamp duty is also payable on electronic transfers of ordinary shares. A transfer of ordinary shares made as part of a sale or gift will generally be stampable at the ad valorem rate of 1% of the value of the consideration received for the transfer, or, if higher, the market value of the shares transferred. Any instrument executed on or after 24 December 2008 which transfers stock or marketable securities on sale where the amount or value of the consideration is 1,000 or less may be exempt from stamp duty. To avail of the exemption the instrument must be certified in accordance with Revenue guidelines. Where the consideration for a sale is expressed in a currency other than Euro, the duty will be charged on the Euro equivalent calculated at the rate of exchange prevailing at the date of the transfer.

Transfers of ordinary shares where no beneficial interest passes (e.g. a transfer of shares from a beneficial owner to a nominee), will generally be exempt from stamp duty if the transfer form contains an appropriate certification, otherwise a nominal stamp duty rate of 12.50 will apply.

Transfers of ADSs are exempt from Irish stamp duty as long as the ADSs are quoted on any recognised stock exchange in the US or Canada.

Table of Contents

Transfers of ordinary shares from the Depository or the Depository's custodian upon surrender of ADSs for the purposes of withdrawing the underlying ordinary shares from the ADS system, and transfers of ordinary shares to the Depository or the Depository's custodian for the purposes of transferring ordinary shares onto the ADS system, will be stampable at the ad valorem rate of 1% of the value of the shares transferred if the transfer relates to a sale or contemplated sale or any other change in the beneficial ownership of ordinary shares. Such transfers will be exempt from Irish stamp duty if the transfer does not relate to or involve any change in the beneficial ownership in the underlying ordinary shares and the transfer form contains the appropriate certification. In the absence of an appropriate certification, stamp duty will be applied at the nominal rate of 12.50.

The person accountable for the payment of stamp duty is the transferee or, in the case of a transfer by way of gift or for consideration less than the market value, both parties to the transfer. Stamp duty is normally payable within 30 days after the date of execution of the transfer. Late or inadequate payment of stamp duty will result in liability for interest, penalties and fines.

Dividend Policy

Since its inception Trinity Biotech has not declared or paid dividends on its A Ordinary Shares. Trinity Biotech anticipates, for the foreseeable future, that it will retain any future earnings in order to fund the business operations of the Group. Trinity Biotech does not, therefore, anticipate paying any cash or share dividends on its A Ordinary Shares in the foreseeable future.

Any cash dividends or other distributions, if made, are expected to be made in US Dollars, as provided for by the Articles of Association.

Documents on Display

This annual report and the exhibits thereto and any other document that we have to file pursuant to the Exchange Act may be inspected without charge and copied at prescribed rates at the Securities and Exchange Commission public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Room 1580, Washington, D.C. 20549; and on the Securities and Exchange Commission Internet site (<http://www.sec.gov>). You may obtain information on the operation of the Securities and Exchange Commission's public reference room in Washington, D.C. by calling the Securities and Exchange Commission at 1-800-SEC-0330 or by visiting the Securities and Exchange Commission's website at <http://www.sec.gov>, and may obtain copies of our filings from the public reference room by calling (202) 551-8090. The Exchange Act file number for our Securities and Exchange Commission filings is 000-22320.

Item 11

Qualitative and Quantitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Qualitative information about Market Risk

Trinity Biotech's treasury policy is to manage financial risks arising in relation to or as a result of underlying business needs. The activities of the treasury function, which does not operate as a profit centre, are carried out in accordance with board approved policies and are subject to regular internal review. These activities include the Group making use of spot and forward foreign exchange markets.

Trinity Biotech uses a range of financial instruments (including cash, bank borrowings, convertible notes, forward contracts, promissory notes and finance leases) to fund its operations. These instruments are used to manage the liquidity of the Group in a cost effective, low-risk manner. Working capital management is a key additional element in the effective management of overall liquidity. Trinity Biotech does not trade in financial instruments or derivatives.

The main risks arising from the utilisation of these financial instruments are interest rate risk, liquidity risk and foreign exchange risk.

Trinity Biotech's reported net income, net assets and gearing (net debt expressed as a percentage of shareholders equity) are all affected by movements in foreign exchange rates.

The Group borrows in US dollars at floating and fixed rates of interest. At December 31, 2009 borrowings totalled US\$31,856,000 (2008: US\$36,121,000), (net of cash: US\$25,778,000 (2008: net of cash: US\$30,937,000)), at interest rates ranging from 2.53% to 6.61% (2008: 2.74% to 6.98%) see Item 18, note 27.

Table of Contents

The total year-end borrowings consists of fixed rate debt of US\$2,529,000 (2008: US\$1,570,000) at interest rates ranging from 6% to 6.61% (2008: 5% to 6.98%) and floating rate debt of US\$29,327,000 (2008: US\$34,551,000) at an interest rate of 2.53% (2008: 2.74%). In broad terms, a one-percentage point increase in interest rates would increase interest income by US\$61,000 (2008: US\$52,000) and increase the interest expense by US\$295,000 (2008: US\$349,000) resulting in an increase in the net interest charge of US\$234,000 (2008: increase by US\$297,000).

Long-term borrowing requirements are met by funding in the US and Ireland. Short-term borrowing requirements are primarily drawn under committed bank facilities. At the year-end, 40% of total long term borrowings fell due for repayment within one year.

The majority of the Group's activities are conducted in US Dollars. The primary foreign exchange risk arises from the fluctuating value of the Group's Euro denominated expenses as a result of the movement in the exchange rate between the US Dollar and the Euro. Arising from this, where considered necessary, the Group pursues a treasury policy which aims to sell US Dollars forward to match a portion of its uncovered Euro expenses at exchange rates lower than budgeted exchange rates. These forward contracts are primarily cashflow hedging instruments whose objective is to cover a portion of these Euro forecasted transactions. These forward contracts normally have maturities of less than one year after the balance sheet date. The forward contracts in place at December 31, 2009 have maturity dates of less than one year after the balance sheet date. Where necessary, the forward contracts are rolled over at maturity.

The Group had foreign currency denominated cash balances equivalent to US\$518,000 at December 31, 2009 (2008: US\$1,257,000).

Quantitative information about Market Risk**Interest rate sensitivity**

Trinity Biotech monitors its exposure to changes in interest and exchange rates by estimating the impact of possible changes on reported profit before tax and net worth. The Group accepts interest rate and currency risk as part of the overall risks of operating in different economies and seeks to manage these risks by following the policies set above.

Trinity Biotech estimates that the maximum effect of a rise of one percentage point in one of the principal interest rates to which the Group is exposed, without making any allowance for the potential impact of such a rise on exchange rates, would be a decrease in the profit before tax for 2009 by approximately 1.7%.

The table below provides information about the Group's long term debt obligations, including variable rate debt obligation which are sensitive to changes in interest rates. The table presents principal cash flows and related weighted average interest rates by expected maturity dates. Weighted average variable rates are based on rates set at the balance sheet date. The information is presented in US Dollars, which is Trinity Biotech's reporting currency.

Group**Maturity**

Before December 31	2010	2011	2012	2013	2014	After 2014	Total	Fair value
Long-term debt								
Variable rate US\$000	11,735	6,365	11,227				29,327	29,327
Average interest rate	2.53%	2.53%	2.53%				2.53%	
Fixed rate US\$000	890	936	506	118	79		2,529	2,541
Average interest rate	6.31%	6.31%	5.99%	6.11%	6.11%		6.21%	

Exchange rate sensitivity

At year-end 2009, approximately 13% of the Group's US\$79,344,000 net worth (shareholders' equity) was denominated in currencies other than the US Dollar, principally the Euro.

A strengthening or weakening of the US Dollar by 10% against all the other currencies in which the Group operates, would have the approximate effect of reducing or increasing the Group's 2009 year-end net worth by US\$1,031,000.

Table of Contents

Item 12

Description of Securities Other than Equity Securities

Not applicable.

Part II

Item 13

Defaults, Dividend Arrearages and Delinquencies

Not applicable.

Item 14

Material Modifications to the Rights of Security Holders and Use of Proceeds

Not applicable.

Item 15

Control and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Group's disclosure and control procedures are designed so that information required to be disclosed in reports filed or submitted under the Securities Exchange Act 1934 is prepared and reported on a timely basis and communicated to management, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, have evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 as of the end of the period covered by this Form 20-F. The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2009.

In designing and evaluating our disclosure controls and procedures, our management, with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and our management necessarily was required to apply its judgement in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Group have been detected.

Management's Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

The management of Trinity Biotech are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting. Trinity Biotech's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed under the supervision and with the participation of the principal executive and principal financial officers to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and preparation of Trinity Biotech's financial statements for external reporting purposes in accordance with IFRS both as issued by the IASB and as subsequently adopted by the EU.

Trinity Biotech's internal control over financial reporting includes policies and procedures that pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect transactions and dispositions of assets; provide reasonable assurances that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of the financial statements in accordance with IFRS and that receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with the authorization of management and the directors of Trinity Biotech; and provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of Trinity Biotech's assets that could have a material effect on our financial statements. Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect all misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, and that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management has assessed the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on criteria established in the Internal Control – Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Based on this assessment, management has concluded that the Group's internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents

Our independent auditor, Grant Thornton, a registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on the Group's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009 (see Item 18).

Changes in Internal Controls over Financial Reporting

There were no changes to our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this Form 20-F that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 16**16A Audit Committee Financial Expert**

Mr Peter Coyne is an independent director and a member of the Audit Committee.

Our board of directors has determined that Mr Peter Coyne meets the definition of an audit committee financial expert, as defined in Item 401 of Regulation S-K.

This determination is made on the basis that Mr Coyne is a Fellow of the Institute of Chartered Accountants in Ireland and was formerly a senior manager in Arthur Andersen's Corporate Financial Services practice. Mr Coyne is currently a director of AIB Corporate Finance, a subsidiary of AIB Group plc, the Irish banking group and has extensive experience in advising public and private groups on all aspects of corporate strategy.

16B Code of Ethics

Trinity Biotech has adopted a code of ethics that applies to the Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer, Chief Accounting Officer and all organisation employees. Written copies of the code of ethics are available free of charge upon request. If we make any substantive amendments to the code of ethics or grant any waivers, including any implicit waiver, from a provision of these codes to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Chief Accounting Officer, we will disclose the nature of such amendment or waiver on our website.

16C Principal Accounting fees and services

Fees Billed by Independent Public Accountants The following table sets forth, for each of the years indicated, the fees billed by our independent public accountants and the percentage of each of the fees out of the total amount billed by the accountants.

	<i>Year ended December 31,</i>		<i>Year ended December 31,</i>	
	<i>2009</i>		<i>2008</i>	
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>%</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>%</i>
Audit	625	89%	713	89%
Audit-related	6	1%	6	1%
Tax	70	10%	80	10%
Total	701		799	

During 2008, we engaged Grant Thornton, as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008, and chose not to renew the engagement of KPMG which served as the Company's independent auditors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. Grant Thornton continued to act as our independent auditors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. We have agreed to indemnify and hold KPMG harmless against and from any and all legal costs and expenses incurred by KPMG in successful defense of any legal action or proceeding that arises as a result of KPMG's consent to the incorporation of its audit report on our past financial statements in this Annual Report on Form 20-F.

Audit services include audit of our consolidated financial statements, as well as work only the independent auditors can reasonably be expected to provide, including statutory audits. Audit related services are for assurance and related services performed by the independent auditor, including due diligence related to acquisitions and any special procedures required to meet certain regulatory requirements. Tax fees consist of fees for professional services for tax compliance and tax advice.

Table of Contents

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

Our Audit Committee has adopted a policy and procedures for the pre-approval of audit and non-audit services rendered by our independent public accountants, Grant Thornton. The policy generally pre-approves certain specific services in the categories of audit services, audit-related services, and tax services up to specified amounts, and sets requirements for specific case-by-case pre-approval of discrete projects, those which may have a material effect on our operations or services over certain amounts.

Pre-approval may be given as part of the Audit Committee's approval of the scope of the engagement of our independent auditor or on an individual basis. The pre-approval of services may be delegated to one or more of the Audit Committee's members, but the decision must be presented to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting. The policy prohibits retention of the independent public accountants to perform the prohibited non-audit functions defined in Section 201 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act or the rules of the SEC, and also considers whether proposed services are compatible with the independence of the public accountants.

Exemptions from the Listing Requirements and Standards for Audit Committee

Not applicable.

Purchase of equity securities by the issuer and affiliates and purchasers

The maximum number of shares that may yet be purchased under the Group share option plan by Trinity Biotech or on the Group's behalf at December 31, 2009 was 8,295,203 (2008: 8,201,758). No shares were purchased by Trinity Biotech or on our behalf or by any affiliated purchaser in 2009 or 2008. No shares were purchased as part of a publicly announced repurchase plan or program in 2009 or 2008.

Part III

Item 17

Financial Statements

The registrant has responded to Item 18 in lieu of responding to this item.

Item 18

Financial Statements

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Trinity Biotech plc

We have audited Trinity Biotech plc's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). Trinity Biotech's management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying Management's Annual Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting, appearing under Item 15 in this Annual Report on Form 20-F. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on Trinity Biotech plc's internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, Trinity Biotech maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by COSO. We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheets of Trinity Biotech plc and subsidiaries, as of December 31, 2009 and 2008, and the related consolidated statements of operations and cash flows for each of the years in the two year period ended December 31, 2009 and our report dated April 15, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements.

Grant Thornton
Dublin, Ireland
April 15, 2010

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Trinity Biotech plc

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Trinity Biotech plc and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the related consolidated statements of operations, comprehensive income, changes in equity and cash flows for each of the years in the two year period ended December 31, 2009. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform an audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Trinity Biotech plc and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the results of their operations and cash flows for each of the years in the two year period ended December 31, 2009, in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and as adopted by the European Union.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), Trinity Biotech plc's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2009, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO), and our report dated April 15, 2010 expressed an unqualified opinion on the effective operation of internal control over financial reporting.

Grant Thornton

Dublin, Ireland

April 15, 2010

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Shareholders of Trinity Biotech plc

We have audited the accompanying consolidated statements of operations, recognised income and expense, and cash flows of Trinity Biotech plc and subsidiaries (the Company) for the year ended December 31, 2007. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the results of Trinity Biotech plc and subsidiaries' operations and cash flows for the year ended December 31, 2007, in conformity with International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board and as adopted by the European Union.

KPMG

Dublin, Ireland

April 2, 2008

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

		<i>Year ended December, 31</i>		
		<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2007</i>
		<i>Total</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>Notes</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Revenues	2	125,907	140,139	143,617
Cost of sales		(68,891)	(77,645)	(75,643)
Cost of sales restructuring expenses	3			(953)
Cost of sales inventory write off/ provision	2, 3			(11,772)
Total cost of sales		(68,891)	(77,645)	(88,368)
Gross profit		57,016	62,494	55,249
Other operating income	5	437	1,173	413
Research and development expenses		(7,341)	(7,544)	(6,802)
Research and development restructuring expenses	3			(6,907)
Total research and development expenses		(7,341)	(7,544)	(13,709)
Selling, general and administrative expenses		(36,013)	(47,816)	(51,010)
Selling, general and administrative impairment charges and restructuring expenses	3		(87,882)	(20,315)
Total selling, general and administrative expenses		(36,013)	(135,698)	(71,325)
Operating profit/(loss)		14,099	(79,575)	(29,372)
Financial income	4	8	65	457
Financial expenses	2, 4	(1,192)	(2,160)	(3,148)
Net financing costs		(1,184)	(2,095)	(2,691)
Profit/(loss) before tax	6	12,915	(81,670)	(32,063)
Total income tax (expense)/credit	2, 9	(1,091)	3,892	(3,309)
Profit/(loss) for the year (all attributable to owners of the parent)	2	11,824	(77,778)	(35,372)

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

Basic earnings/(loss) per ordinary share (US Dollars)	10	0.14	(0.96)	(0.47)
Basic earnings/(loss) per B ordinary share (US Dollars)	10	0.28	(1.91)	(0.94)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per ordinary share (US Dollars)	10	0.14	(0.96)	(0.47)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per B ordinary share (US Dollars)	10	0.28	(1.91)	(0.94)
Basic earnings/(loss) per ADS (US Dollars)	10	0.57	(3.82)	(1.86)
Diluted earnings/(loss) per ADS (US Dollars)	10	0.57	(3.82)	(1.86)

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME**

		<i>Year ended December 31,</i>		
		<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2007</i>
	<i>Notes</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Profit/(loss) for the year	2	11,824	(77,778)	(35,372)
Other comprehensive income:				
Foreign exchange translation differences		215	(806)	1,072
<i>Cash flow hedges:</i>				
Effective portion of changes in fair value		(31)	(252)	224
Deferred tax on income and expenses recognised directly in equity		3	26	(23)
 <i>Other comprehensive income</i>		 187	 (1,032)	 1,273
 <i>Total Comprehensive Income (all attributable to owners of the parent)</i>		 12,011	 (78,810)	 (34,099)

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

	<i>Notes</i>	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
ASSETS			
Non-current assets			
Property, plant and equipment	11	12,174	11,855
Goodwill and intangible assets	12	44,822	38,525
Deferred tax assets	13	5,801	3,051
Other assets	14	1,212	877
Total non-current assets		64,009	54,308
Current assets			
Inventories	15	39,198	42,317
Trade and other receivables	16	22,931	27,418
Income tax receivable		229	282
Cash and cash equivalents	17	6,078	5,184
Total current assets		68,436	75,201
TOTAL ASSETS	2	132,445	129,509
EQUITY AND LIABILITIES			
Equity attributable to the equity holders of the parent			
Share capital		1,080	1,070
Share premium		160,683	159,864
Accumulated deficit		(87,070)	(99,493)
Translation reserve		206	(9)
Other reserves		4,445	4,473
Total equity		79,344	65,905
Current liabilities			
Interest-bearing loans and borrowings	20	12,625	12,656
Derivative financial instruments	27	58	27
Income tax payable		24	5
Trade and other payables	21	12,844	22,969
Provisions	22	50	50
Total current liabilities		25,601	35,707
Non-current liabilities			

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

Interest-bearing loans and borrowings	20	19,231	23,465
Other payables	23	59	59
Deferred tax liabilities	13	8,210	4,373
Total non-current liabilities		27,500	27,897
TOTAL LIABILITIES	2	53,101	63,604
TOTAL EQUITY AND LIABILITIES		132,445	129,509

Table of Contents**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY**

	<i>Share capital A</i>	<i>Share capital B</i>	<i>Share premium</i>	<i>Translation reserve</i>	<i>Warrant reserve</i>	<i>Hedging reserves</i>	<i>Convertible notes equity component</i>	<i>Accumulated deficit/ retained earnings</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Balance at January 1, 2007	966	12	151,774	(275)	3,803		164	10,818	167,262
Total comprehensive income				1,072		201		(35,372)	(34,099)
Share-based payments								1,482	1,482
Options exercised	4		450						454
Class A shares issued on conversion of convertible notes	9		1,813						1,822
Convertible notes transfer to retained earnings on maturity							(164)	164	
Share issue expenses			(76)						(76)
Balance at December 31, 2007	979	12	153,961	797	3,803	201		(22,908)	136,845
Balance at January 1, 2008	979	12	153,961	797	3,803	201		(22,908)	136,845
Total comprehensive income				(806)		(226)		(77,778)	(78,810)
Share-based payments								1,193	1,193
Options exercised									
Class A shares issued on conversion of convertible notes									
Class A shares issued in private placement	79		7,037						7,116
Share issue expenses			(439)						(439)
Fair Value of Warrants issued during the year			(695)		695				
Balance at December 31, 2008	1,058	12	159,864	(9)	4,498	(25)		(99,493)	65,905
Balance at January 1, 2009	1,058	12	159,864	(9)	4,498	(25)		(99,493)	65,905
				215		(28)		11,824	12,011

Total comprehensive income									
Share-based payments								599	599
Options exercised	10		887						897
Share issue expenses			(68)						(68)
Balance at December 31, 2009	1,068	12	160,683	206	4,498	(53)		(87,070)	79,344

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS**

	Notes	Year ended December 31,		
		2009 US\$ 000	2008 US\$ 000	2007 US\$ 000
Cash flows from operating activities				
Profit/(loss) for the year		11,824	(77,778)	(35,372)
<i>Adjustments to reconcile net profit to cash provided by operating activities:</i>				
Depreciation		1,786	4,425	4,341
Amortisation		1,959	3,616	3,418
Income tax expense/(credit)		1,091	(3,892)	3,309
Financial income		(8)	(65)	(457)
Financial expense		1,192	2,160	3,148
Share-based payments		521	1,166	1,403
Foreign exchange losses on operating cash flows		109	77	(26)
Loss/(profit) on disposal / retirement of property, plant and equipment		66	(682)	17
Impairment of assets	3		85,793	19,156
Non-cash restructuring expenses	3			18,573
Other non-cash items		1,158	871	577
Operating cash flows before changes in working capital		19,698	15,691	18,087
Decrease/(increase) in trade and other receivables		3,872	(4,131)	5,226
Decrease/(increase) in inventories		2,372	2,062	(7,101)
(Decrease)/increase in trade and other payables		(10,409)	(676)	1,966
Cash generated from operations		15,533	12,946	18,178
Interest paid		(883)	(2,639)	(2,802)
Interest received		12	63	429
Income taxes received/(paid)		70	359	(456)
Net cash provided by operating activities		14,732	10,729	15,349
Cash flows from investing activities				
Payments to acquire subsidiaries and businesses	24			(4,414)
Deferred consideration to acquire subsidiaries and businesses			(2,802)	(3,472)
Payments to acquire intangible assets		(8,103)	(8,981)	(7,851)
Disposal of financial assets				15,500
Proceeds from disposal of property, plant and equipment		249	808	84
Acquisition of property, plant and equipment		(2,481)	(3,713)	(8,262)
Net cash used in investing activities		(10,335)	(14,688)	(8,415)

Cash flows from financing activities				
Proceeds from issue of ordinary share capital		897	7,116	454
Proceeds from borrowings, short-term debt				5,000
Proceeds from borrowings, long-term debt		307		
Expenses paid in connection with share issue and debt financing		(68)	(624)	(70)
Repayment of long-term debt		(5,400)	(5,224)	(8,285)
Proceeds from new finance leases		1,298		2,087
Payment of finance lease liabilities		(546)	(787)	(294)
Net cash (used in)/provided by financing activities		(3,512)	481	(1,108)
Increase/(decrease) in cash and cash equivalents		885	(3,478)	5,826
Effects of exchange rate movements on cash held		9	(38)	53
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year		5,184	8,700	2,821
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	17	6,078	5,184	8,700

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

The principal accounting policies adopted by Trinity Biotech plc and its subsidiaries (the Group) are as follows:

a) Statement of compliance

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS) both as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) and as subsequently adopted by the European Union (EU) (together IFRS). The IFRS applied are those effective for accounting periods beginning on or after 1 January 2009. Consolidated financial statements are required by Irish law to comply with IFRS as adopted by the EU which differ in certain respects from IFRS as issued by the IASB. These differences predominantly relate to the timing of adoption of new standards by the EU. However, as none of the differences are relevant in the context of Trinity Biotech, the consolidated financial statements for the periods presented comply with IFRS both as issued by the IASB and as adopted by the EU.

b) Basis of preparation

The consolidated financial statements have been prepared in United States Dollars (US\$), rounded to the nearest thousand, under the historical cost basis of accounting, except for derivative financial instruments and share-based payments which are initially recorded at fair value. Derivatives are also subsequently carried at fair value.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with IFRS requires management to make judgements, estimates and assumptions that affect the application of policies and amounts reported in the financial statements and accompanying notes. The estimates and associated assumptions are based on historical experience and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis of making the judgements about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates.

The estimates and underlying assumptions are reviewed on an ongoing basis. Revisions to accounting estimates are recognised in the period in which the estimate is revised if the revision affects only that period or in the period of the revision and future periods if the revision affects both current and future periods.

Judgements made by management that have a significant effect on the financial statements and estimates with a significant risk of material adjustment in the next year are discussed in note 29.

Having considered the Group s current financial position, its cashflow projections, its existing bank debt facility and other potential sources of funding available to the Group, the directors believe that the Group will be able to continue in operational existence for at least the next 12 months from the date of approval of these consolidated financial statements and that it is appropriate to continue to prepare the consolidated financial statements on a going concern basis.

The accounting policies set out below have been applied consistently to all periods presented in these consolidated financial statements. The accounting policies have been applied consistently by all Group entities.

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to current presentation.

c) Basis of consolidation

Subsidiaries

Subsidiaries are entities controlled by the Company. Control exists when the Company has the power, directly or indirectly, to govern the financial and reporting policies of an entity so as to obtain benefits from its activities. In assessing control, potential voting rights that presently are exercisable or convertible are taken into account. The financial statements of subsidiaries are included in the consolidated financial statements from the date that control commences until the date that control ceases.

Transactions eliminated on consolidation

Intra-group balances and any unrealised gains or losses or income and expenses arising from intra-group transactions are eliminated in preparing the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009****1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)***d) Property, plant and equipment****Owned assets***

Items of property, plant and equipment are stated at cost less any accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses (see note 1(h)). The cost of self-constructed assets includes the cost of materials, direct labour and attributable overheads. It is not Group policy to revalue any items of property, plant and equipment.

Depreciation is charged to the statement of operations on a straight-line basis to write-off the cost of the assets over their expected useful lives as follows:

Leasehold improvements	5-15 years
Office equipment and fittings	10 years
Buildings	50 years
Computer equipment	3-5 years
Plant and equipment	5-15 years

Land is not depreciated. The residual values, if not insignificant, useful lives and depreciation methods of property, plant and equipment are reviewed and adjusted if appropriate, at each balance sheet date.

Leased assets as lessee

Leases under terms of which the Group assumes substantially all the risks and rewards of ownership are classified as finance leases. Property, plant and equipment acquired by way of finance lease is stated at an amount equal to the lower of its fair value and present value of the minimum lease payments at inception of the lease, less accumulated depreciation and any impairment losses.

Depreciation is calculated in order to write-off the amounts capitalised over the estimated useful lives of the assets, or the lease term if shorter, by equal annual instalments. The excess of the total rentals under a lease over the amount capitalised is treated as interest, which is charged to the statement of operations in proportion to the amount outstanding under the lease. Leased assets are reviewed for impairment (see note 1(h)).

Leases other than finance leases are classified as operating leases, and the rentals thereunder are charged to the statement of operations on a straight-line basis over the period of the leases. Lease incentives are recognised in the statement of operations on a straight-line basis over the lease term.

Leased assets as lessor

Leases where the Group substantially transfers the risks and benefits of ownership of the asset to the customer are classified as finance leases within finance lease receivables. The Group recognises the amount receivable from assets leased under finance leases at an amount equal to the net investment in the lease. Finance lease income is recognised as revenue in the statement of operations reflecting a constant periodic rate of return on the Group's net investment in the lease.

Assets provided to customers under leases other than finance leases are classified as operating leases and carried in property, plant and equipment at cost and are depreciated on a straight-line basis over the useful life of the asset or the lease term, if shorter.

Subsequent costs

The Group recognises in the carrying amount of an item of property, plant and equipment the cost of replacing part of such an item when that cost is incurred if it is probable that the future economic benefits embodied within the item will flow to the Group and the cost of the replaced item can be measured reliably. All other costs are recognised in the statement of operations as an expense as incurred.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2009

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

e) Business combinations

All business combinations are accounted for by applying the purchase method.

The cost of a business combination is measured as the aggregate of the fair values at the date of exchange of assets given, liabilities incurred or assumed and equity instruments issued in exchange for control together with any directly attributable expenses. To the extent that settlement of all or any part of a business combination is deferred for a period of 12 months or longer, the fair value of the deferred component is determined through discounting the amounts payable to their present value at the date of exchange. The discount component is unwound as an interest charge in the statement of operations over the life of the obligation.

Where a business combination agreement provides for an adjustment to the cost of the combination contingent on future events, the estimated present value of the adjustment is included in the cost at the acquisition date. Changes in these amounts subsequently are reflected in goodwill.

When the initial accounting for a business combination is determined provisionally, any subsequent adjustments to the provisional values allocated to the identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities are made within twelve months of the acquisition date and treated retrospectively as an adjustment to goodwill.

f) Goodwill

In respect of business combinations that have occurred since January 1, 2004 (being the transition date to IFRS), goodwill represents the difference between the cost of the acquisition and the fair value of the net identifiable assets acquired.

In respect of acquisitions prior to this date, goodwill is included on the basis of its deemed cost, which represents the amount recorded under the old basis of accounting, Irish GAAP, (Previous GAAP). Save for retrospective restatement of deferred tax as an adjustment to retained earnings in accordance with IAS 12, *Income Taxes*, the classification and accounting treatment of business combinations undertaken prior to the transition date were not reconsidered in preparing the Group's opening IFRS balance sheet as at January 1, 2004.

To the extent that the Group's interest in the net fair value of the identifiable assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities acquired exceeds the cost of a business combination, the identification and measurement of the related assets, liabilities and contingent liabilities are revisited accompanied by a reassessment of the cost of the transaction, and any remaining balance is immediately recognised in the statement of operations.

At the acquisition date, any goodwill is allocated to each of the cash generating units expected to benefit from the combination's synergies. Following initial recognition, goodwill is stated at cost less any accumulated impairment losses (see note 1(h)).

g) Intangibles, including research and development (other than goodwill)

An intangible asset, which is an identifiable non-monetary asset without physical substance, is recognised to the extent that it is probable that the expected future economic benefits attributable to the asset will flow to the Group and that its cost can be measured reliably. The asset is deemed to be identifiable when it is separable (that is, capable of being divided from the entity and sold, transferred, licensed, rented or exchanged, either individually

or together with a related contract, asset or liability) or when it arises from contractual or other legal rights, regardless of whether those rights are transferable or separable from the Group or from other rights and obligations.

Intangible assets acquired as part of a business combination are capitalised separately from goodwill if the intangible asset meets the definition of an asset and the fair value can be reliably measured on initial recognition. Subsequent to initial recognition, these intangible assets are carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any accumulated impairment losses (note 1(h)). Definite lived intangible assets are reviewed for indicators of impairment annually while indefinite lived assets and those not yet brought into use are tested for impairment annually, either individually or at the cash generating unit level.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)*****Research and development***

Expenditure on research activities, undertaken with the prospect of gaining new scientific or technical knowledge and understanding, is recognised in the statement of operations as an expense as incurred. Expenditure on development activities, whereby research findings are applied to a plan or design for the production of new or substantially improved products and processes, is capitalised if the product or process is technically and commercially feasible and the Group has sufficient resources to complete the development. The expenditure capitalised includes the cost of materials, direct labour and attributable overheads and third party costs. Subsequent expenditure on capitalised intangible assets is capitalised only when it increases the future economic benefits embodied in the specific asset to which it relates. All other development expenditure is expensed as incurred. Subsequent to initial recognition, the capitalised development expenditure is carried at cost less any accumulated amortisation and any accumulated impairment losses (note 1(h)).

Expenditure on internally generated goodwill and brands is recognised in the statement of operations as an expense as incurred.

Amortisation

Amortisation is charged to the statement of operations on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of intangible assets, unless such lives are indefinite. Intangible assets are amortised from the date they are available for use. The estimated useful lives are as follows:

Patents and licences	6-15 years
Capitalised development costs	15 years
Other (including acquired customer and supplier lists)	6-15 years

Certain trade names acquired are deemed to have an indefinite useful life.

Where amortisation is charged on assets with finite lives, this expense is taken to the statement of operations through the selling, general and administrative expenses line.

Useful lives are examined on an annual basis and adjustments, where applicable, are made on a prospective basis.

h) Impairment

The carrying amount of the Group's assets, other than inventories and deferred tax assets, are reviewed at each balance sheet date to determine whether there is any indication of impairment. If any such indication exists, the asset's recoverable amount (being the greater of fair value less costs to sell and value in use) is assessed at each balance sheet date.

Fair value less costs to sell is defined as the amount obtainable from the sale of an asset or cash-generating unit in an arm's length transaction between knowledgeable and willing parties, less the costs that would be incurred in disposal. Value in use is defined as the present value of the future cash flows expected to be derived through the continued use of an asset or cash-generating unit. In assessing value in use, the estimated future cash flows are

discounted to their present value using a pre-tax discount rate that reflects current market assessments of the time value of money and the risks specific to the asset for which the future cash flow estimates have not yet been adjusted. The estimates of future cash flows exclude cash inflows or outflows attributable to financing activities and income tax. For an asset that does not generate largely independent cash flows, the recoverable amount is determined by reference to the cash generating unit to which the asset belongs.

For goodwill, assets that have an indefinite useful life and intangible assets that are not yet available for use, the recoverable amount is estimated at each balance sheet date at the cash generating unit level. The goodwill and indefinite-lived assets were reviewed for impairment at December 31, 2007, December 31, 2008 and December 2009. See note 12.

An impairment loss is recognised whenever the carrying amount of an asset or its cash-generating unit exceeds its recoverable amount. Impairment losses are recognised in the statement of operations.

Impairment losses recognised in respect of cash-generating units are allocated first to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to cash-generating units and then to reduce the carrying amount of other assets in the cash-generating units on a pro-rata basis.

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

An impairment loss is reversed only to the extent that the asset's carrying amount does not exceed the carrying amount that would have been determined, net of depreciation or amortisation, if no impairment loss had been recognised.

An impairment loss in respect of goodwill is not reversed.

Following recognition of any impairment loss (and on recognition of an impairment loss reversal), the depreciation or amortisation charge applicable to the asset or cash generating unit is adjusted prospectively with the objective of systematically allocating the revised carrying amount, net of any residual value, over the remaining useful life.

i) Inventories

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost and net realisable value. Cost is based on the first-in, first-out principle and includes all expenditure which has been incurred in bringing the products to their present location and condition, and includes an appropriate allocation of manufacturing overhead based on the normal level of operating capacity. Net realisable value is the estimated selling price of inventory on hand in the ordinary course of business less all further costs to completion and costs expected to be incurred in selling these products.

The Group provides for inventory, based on estimates of the expected realisability of the Group's inventory. The estimated realisability is evaluated on a case-by-case basis and any inventory that is approaching its use-by date and for which no further re-processing can be performed is written off. Any reversal of an inventory provision is recognised in the statement of operations in the year in which the reversal occurs.

j) Trade and other receivables

Trade and other receivables are stated at their amortised cost less impairment losses incurred. Cost approximates fair value given the short dated nature of these assets.

k) Trade and other payables

Trade and other payables are stated at cost. Cost approximates fair value given the short dated nature of these liabilities.

l) Cash and cash equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents comprise cash balances and short-term deposits with a maturity of three months or less. The Group has no short-term bank overdraft facilities. Where restrictions are imposed by third parties, such as lending institutions, on cash balances held by the Group these are treated as financial assets in the financial statements.

m) Interest-bearing loans and borrowings

Loans and borrowings, including promissory notes

Under IFRS interest-bearing loans, borrowings and promissory notes are recognised initially at fair value less attributable transaction costs. Subsequent to initial recognition, interest-bearing borrowings are stated at amortised cost, with any difference between cost and redemption value being recognised in the statement of operations over the period of the borrowings on an effective interest basis.

Convertible notes

Under IFRS convertible notes that can be converted into share capital at the option of the holder, where the number of shares issued does not vary with changes in their fair value, are accounted for as compound financial instruments. Transaction costs that relate to the issue of a compound financial instrument are allocated to the liability and equity components in proportion to the allocation of proceeds. The equity component of the convertible notes is calculated as the excess of the issue proceeds over the present value of the future interest and principal payments, discounted at the market rate of interest applicable to similar liabilities that do not have a conversion option. The interest expense recognised in the statement of operations is calculated using the effective interest rate method.

n) Share-based payments

For equity-settled share-based payments (share options), the Group measures the services received and the corresponding increase in equity at fair value at the measurement date (which is the grant date) using a trinomial model. Given that the share options granted do not vest until the completion of a specified period of service, the fair value, which is assessed at the grant date, is recognised on the basis that the services to be rendered by employees as consideration for the granting of share options will be received over the vesting period.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

DECEMBER 31, 2009

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

The share options issued by the Group are not subject to market-based vesting conditions as defined in IFRS 2, *Share-based Payment*. Non-market vesting conditions are not taken into account when estimating the fair value of share options as at the grant date; such conditions are taken into account through adjusting the number of equity instruments included in the measurement of the transaction amount so that, ultimately, the amount recognised equates to the number of equity instruments that actually vest. The expense in the statement of operations in relation to share options represents the product of the total number of options anticipated to vest and the fair value of those options; this amount is allocated to accounting periods on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. Given that the performance conditions underlying the Group's share options are non-market in nature, the cumulative charge to the statement of operations is only reversed where the performance condition is not met or where an employee in receipt of share options relinquishes service prior to completion of the expected vesting period. Share based payments, to the extent they relate to direct labour involved in development activities, are capitalised, see 1(g).

The proceeds received net of any directly attributable transaction costs are credited to share capital (nominal value) and share premium when the options are exercised. The Group does not operate any cash-settled share-based payment schemes or share-based payment transactions with cash alternatives as defined in IFRS 2.

o) Government grants

Grants that compensate the Group for expenses incurred such as research and development, employment and training are recognised as revenue or income in the statement of operations on a systematic basis in the same periods in which the expenses are incurred. Grants that compensate the Group for the cost of an asset are recognised in the statement of operations as other operating income on a systematic basis over the useful life of the asset.

p) Revenue recognition

Goods sold and services rendered

Revenue from the sale of goods is recognised in the statement of operations when the significant risks and rewards of ownership have been transferred to the buyer. Revenue from products is generally recorded as of the date of shipment. Revenue is recognised when the Group has satisfied all of its obligations to the customer. Revenue, including any amounts invoiced for shipping and handling costs, represents the value of goods supplied to external customers, net of discounts and excluding sales taxes.

Revenue from services rendered is recognised in the statement of operations in proportion to the stage of completion of the transaction at the balance sheet date.

Revenue is recognised to the extent that it is probable that economic benefit will flow to the Group, that the risks and rewards of ownership have passed to the buyer and the revenue can be measured. No revenue is recognised if there is uncertainty regarding recovery of the consideration due at the outset of the transaction or the possible return of goods.

The Group leases instruments under operating and finance leases as part of its business. In cases where the risks and rewards of ownership of the instrument pass to the customer, the fair value of the instrument is recognised as revenue at the commencement of the lease and is matched by the related cost of sale. In the case of operating

leases of instruments which typically involve commitments by the customer to pay a fee per test run on the instruments, revenue is recognised on the basis of customer usage of the instruments. See also note 1(d).

Other operating income

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

q) *Employee benefits*

Defined contribution plans

The Group operates defined contribution schemes in various locations where its subsidiaries are based. Contributions to the defined contribution schemes are recognised in the statement of operations in the period in which the related service is received from the employee.

Other long-term benefits

Where employees participate in the Group's other long-term benefit schemes (such as permanent health insurance schemes under which the scheme insures the employees), or where the Group contributes to insurance schemes for employees, the Group pays an annual fee to a service provider, and accordingly the Group expenses such payments as incurred.

Termination benefits

Termination benefits are recognised as an expense when the Group is demonstrably committed, without realistic possibility of withdrawal, to a formal detailed plan to either terminate employment before normal retirement date, or to provide termination benefits as a result of an offer made to encourage voluntary redundancy.

r) *Foreign currency*

A majority of the revenue of the Group is generated in US dollars. The Group's management has determined that the US dollar is the primary currency of the economic environment in which the Company and its subsidiaries (with the exception of the Group's subsidiaries in Germany and Sweden) principally operate. Thus the functional currency of the Company and its subsidiaries (other than those subsidiaries in Germany and Sweden) is the US Dollar. The functional currency of the German and Swedish subsidiaries is the Euro and the Swedish Kroner, respectively. The presentation currency of the Company and Group is the US Dollar. Monetary assets and liabilities denominated in foreign currencies are translated at the rates of exchange ruling at the balance sheet date. The resulting gains and losses are included in the statement of operations. Non-monetary assets and liabilities that are measured in terms of historical cost in a foreign currency are translated using the exchange rate at the date of the transaction.

Results and cash flows of subsidiary undertakings, which have a functional currency other than the US Dollar, are translated into US Dollars at average exchange rates for the year, and the related balance sheets have been translated at the rates of exchange ruling on the balance sheet date. Any exchange differences arising from the translations are recognised in the currency translation reserve via the statement of recognised income and expense.

Where Euro or Sterling amounts have been referenced in this document, their corresponding US Dollar equivalent has also been included and these equivalents have been calculated with reference to the foreign exchange rates prevailing at December 31, 2009.

s) *Derivative financial instruments*

The activities of the Group expose it primarily to changes in foreign exchange rates and interest rates. The Group uses derivative financial instruments, when necessary, such as forward foreign exchange contracts to hedge these exposures.

The Group enters into forward contracts to sell US Dollars forward for Euro. The principal exchange risk identified by the Group is with respect to fluctuations in the Euro as a substantial portion of its expenses are denominated in Euro but its revenues are primarily denominated in US Dollars. Trinity Biotech monitors its exposure to foreign currency movements and may use these forward contracts as cash flow hedging instruments whose objective is to cover a portion of this Euro expense.

At the inception of a hedging transaction entailing the use of derivatives, the Group documents the relationship between the hedged item and the hedging instrument together with its risk management objective and the strategy underlying the proposed transaction. The Group also documents its quarterly assessment of the effectiveness of the hedge in offsetting movements in the cash flows of the hedged items.

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)

Derivative financial instruments are recognised at fair value. Where derivatives do not fulfil the criteria for hedge accounting, they are classified as held-for-trading and changes in fair values are reported in the statement of operations. The fair value of forward exchange contracts is calculated by reference to current forward exchange rates for contracts with similar maturity profiles and equates to the current market price at the balance sheet date.

The portion of the gain or loss on a hedging instrument that is deemed to be an effective cash flow hedge is recognised directly in the hedging reserve in equity and the ineffective portion is recognised in the statement of operations. As the forward contracts are exercised the net cumulative gain or loss recognised in the hedging reserve is transferred to the statement of operations and reflected in the same line as the hedged item.

t) Segment reporting

Operating segments are reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the chief operating decision-maker. The chief operating decision-maker, who is responsible for allocating resources and assessing performance of the operating segments, has been identified as the Board of Directors.

u) Tax (current and deferred)

Income tax on the profit or loss for the year comprises current and deferred tax. Income tax is recognised in the statement of operations except to the extent that it relates to items recognised directly in equity, in which case it is recognised in equity.

Current tax represents the expected tax payable (or recoverable) on the taxable profit for the year using tax rates enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date and taking into account any adjustments stemming from prior years.

Deferred tax is provided on the basis of the balance sheet liability method on all temporary differences at the balance sheet date which is defined as the difference between the tax bases of assets and liabilities and their carrying amounts in the financial statements. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are not subject to discounting and are measured at the tax rates that are anticipated to apply in the period in which the asset is realised or the liability is settled based on tax rates and tax laws that have been enacted or substantively enacted at the balance sheet date. The amount of deferred tax provided is based on the expected manner of realisation or settlement of the carrying amount of assets and liabilities.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognised for all temporary differences (that is, differences between the carrying amount of the asset or liability and its tax base) with the exception of the following:

- i. Where the deferred tax liability arises from goodwill not deductible for tax purposes or the initial recognition of an asset or a liability in a transaction that is not a business combination and affects neither the accounting profit nor the taxable profit or loss at the time of the transaction; and
- ii. Where, in respect of temporary differences associated with investments in subsidiary undertakings, the timing of the reversal of the temporary difference is subject to control and it is probable that the temporary difference will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

Where goodwill is tax deductible, a deferred tax liability is not recognised on initial recognition of goodwill. It is recognised subsequently for the taxable temporary difference which arises when the goodwill is amortised for tax with no corresponding adjustment to the carrying value of the goodwill.

The carrying amounts of deferred tax assets are subject to review at each balance sheet date and are derecognised to the extent that future taxable profits are considered to be inadequate to allow all or part of any deferred tax asset to be utilised.

v) *Provisions*

A provision is recognised in the balance sheet when the Group has a present legal or constructive obligation as a result of a past event, and it is probable that an outflow of economic benefits will be required to settle the obligation.

w) *Cost of sales*

Cost of sales comprises product cost including manufacturing and payroll costs, quality control, shipping, handling, and packaging costs and the cost of services provided.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****1. BASIS OF PREPARATION AND SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES (CONTINUED)***x) Finance income and costs*

Financing expenses comprise costs payable on leases, loans and borrowings including promissory notes. Interest payable on loans and borrowings, promissory notes and convertible notes is calculated using the effective interest rate method. Interest payable on finance leases is allocated to each period during the lease term so as to produce a constant periodic rate of interest on the remaining balance of the liability. Financing expenses also includes the financing element of long term liabilities which have been discounted.

Finance income comprises interest income on deposits and is recognised in the statement of operations as it accrues, using the effective interest method.

y) Warrant reserve

The Group calculates the fair value of warrants at the date of issue taking the amount directly to equity. The fair value is calculated using a recognised valuation methodology for the valuation of financial instruments (that is, the trinomial model). The fair value which is assessed at the grant date is calculated on the basis of the contractual term of the warrants.

z) New IFRS Standards and Interpretations not applied

The IASB and IFRIC have issued additional standards and interpretations which are effective for periods starting on or after January 1, 2009, some of which have not yet been adopted by the EU. The following standards and interpretations have yet to be adopted by the Group:

<i>International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS/IAS)</i>		<i>Effective date</i>
IFRS 1	First-time Adoption of International Financial Reporting Standards – Additional Exemptions for First-time Adopters (Amendments)	January 1, 2010 (not yet adopted by the EU)
IFRS 2	Group Cash-settled Share-based Payment Arrangements (Amendments)	January 1, 2010 (not yet adopted by the EU)
IFRS 3	Business Combinations (Revised)	July 1, 2009 (adopted by the EU)
IAS 27	Consolidated and Separate Financial Statements (Amendment)	July 1, 2009 (adopted by the EU)
IAS 39	Financial Instruments: Recognition and Measurement – Eligible hedged items (Amendment)	July 1, 2009 (adopted by the EU)

International Financial Reporting Interpretations Committee (IFRIC)

IFRIC 15	Agreements for the Construction of Real Estate	January 1, 2009 (not yet adopted by the EU)
----------	--	---

IFRIC 17 Distributions on Non-cash Assets to Owners

July 1, 2009 (adopted by the EU)

IFRIC 18 Transfers of Assets from Customers

July 1, 2009 (adopted by the EU)

The Group does not anticipate that the adoption of these standards and interpretations will have a material effect on its financial statements on initial adoption.

2. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Operating segments are reported in a manner consistent with the internal reporting provided to the chief operating decision-maker. The chief operating decision-maker, who is responsible for allocating resources and assessing the performance of the operating segments, has been identified as the Board of Directors.

Management has determined the operating segments based on the reports reviewed by the Board of Directors, which are used to make strategic decisions. The Board considers the business from a geographic perspective based on the Group's management and internal reporting structure. Sales of product between companies in the Group are made on commercial terms which reflect the nature of the relationship between the relevant companies. Segment results, assets and liabilities include items directly attributable to a segment as well as those that can be allocated on a reasonable basis. Unallocated items comprise interest-bearing loans, borrowings and expenses and corporate expenses. Segment capital expenditure is the total cost during the year to acquire segment plant, property and equipment and intangible assets that are expected to be used for more than one period, whether acquired on acquisition of a business combination or through acquisitions as part of the current operations.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****2. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)**

The Group comprises two main geographical segments (i) the Americas and (ii) Rest of World. The Group's geographical segments are determined by the location of the Group's assets and operations.

The Group has also presented a geographical analysis of the segmental data for Ireland as is consistent with the information used by the Board of Directors.

The reportable operating segments derive their revenue primarily from one source (i.e. the market for diagnostic tests for a range of diseases and other medical conditions). In determining the nature of its segmentation, the Group has considered the nature of the products, their risks and rewards, the nature of the production base, the customer base and the nature of the regulatory environment. The Group acquires, manufactures and markets a range of diagnostic products. The Group's products are sold to a similar customer base and the main body whose regulation the Group's products must comply with is the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in the US.

The following presents revenue and profit information and certain asset and liability information regarding the Group's geographical segments.

a) The distribution of revenue by geographical area based on location of assets was as follows:

Revenue

	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Eliminations</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>		
<i>Year ended December 31, 2009</i>		<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Revenue from external customers	46,286	65,529	14,092		125,907
Inter-segment revenue	25,527	20,843	9,588	(55,958)	
Total revenue	71,813	86,372	23,680	(55,958)	125,907

	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Eliminations</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>		
<i>Year ended December 31, 2008</i>		<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Revenue from external customers	48,615	72,676	18,848		140,139
Inter-segment revenue	28,345	22,248	12,435	(63,028)	
Total revenue	76,960	94,924	31,283	(63,028)	140,139

	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Eliminations</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>		
<i>Year ended December 31, 2007</i>		<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Revenue from external customers	37,095	64,210	42,312		143,617

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

Inter-segment revenue	24,815	27,196	10,134	(62,145)	
Total revenue	61,910	91,406	52,446	(62,145)	143,617

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****2. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)**

b) The distribution of revenue by customers geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Revenue			
Americas	68,130	69,915	68,481
Europe (including Ireland) *	32,389	43,481	43,631
Asia / Africa	25,388	26,743	31,505
	125,907	140,139	143,617

* Revenue for customers in Ireland is not disclosed separately due to the immateriality of these revenues.

c) The distribution of revenue by major product group was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Revenue			
Clinical laboratory	107,778	121,143	119,113
Point of care	18,129	18,996	24,504
	125,907	140,139	143,617

d) The distribution of segment results by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Total</i>
<i>Year ended December 31, 2009</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland US\$ 000</i>	<i>Other US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Result	9,073	7,004	(1,211)	14,866
Unallocated expenses *				(767)
Operating profit				14,099
Net financing costs (note 4)				(1,184)
Profit before tax				12,915
Income tax expense (note 9)				(1,091)

Profit for the year				11,824
	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		
		<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Total</i>
<i>Year ended December 31, 2008</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Result before exceptional expenses	807	10,848	(2,391)	9,264
Impairment expense (note 3)	(17,645)	(66,152)	(1,996)	(85,793)
Restructuring expenses (note 3)	(185)	(1,904)		(2,089)
Result after exceptional expenses	(17,023)	(57,208)	(4,387)	(78,618)
Unallocated expenses *				(957)
Operating loss				(79,575)
Net financing costs (note 4)				(2,095)
Loss before tax				(81,670)
Income tax credit (note 9)				3,892
Loss for the year				(77,778)

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****2. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)**

<i>Year ended December 31, 2007</i>	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Total</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Other</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	
Result before goodwill impairment and restructuring expenses	15	10,868	447	11,330
Goodwill impairment (note 3)		(19,156)		(19,156)
Restructuring expenses (note 3)	(6,215)	(11,961)	(2,615)	(20,791)
Result after goodwill impairment and restructuring	(6,200)	(20,249)	(2,168)	(28,617)
Unallocated expenses *				(755)
Operating loss				(29,372)
Net financing costs (note 4)				(2,691)
Loss before tax				(32,063)
Income tax expense (note 9)				(3,309)
Loss for the year				(35,372)

* Unallocated expenses represent head office general and administration costs of the Group which cannot be allocated to the results of any specific geographical area.

e) The distribution of segment assets and segment liabilities by geographical area was as follows:

<i>As at December 31, 2009</i>	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Total</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Other</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	
Assets and liabilities				
Segment assets	37,355	65,693	17,289	120,337
<i>Unallocated assets:</i>				
Income tax assets (current and deferred)				6,030

Cash and cash equivalents				6,078
Total assets as reported in the Group balance sheet				132,445
Segment liabilities	2,695	2,567	7,749	13,011
<i>Unallocated liabilities:</i>				
Income tax liabilities (current and deferred)				8,234
Interest-bearing loans and borrowings (current and non-current)				31,856
Total liabilities as reported in the Group balance sheet				53,101

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

2. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)

<i>As at December 31, 2008</i>	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>	
Assets and liabilities				
Segment assets	58,248	128,907	19,630	206,785
Impairment (note 3)	(17,645)	(66,152)	(1,996)	(85,793)
Segment assets after goodwill impairment and restructuring	40,603	62,755	17,634	120,992
<i>Unallocated assets:</i>				
Income tax assets (current and deferred)				3,333
Cash and cash equivalents				5,184
Total assets as reported in the Group balance sheet				129,509
Segment liabilities before restructuring	6,909	10,451	4,601	21,961
Impact of restructuring (note 21)	6	1,138		1,144
Segment liabilities after restructuring	6,915	11,589	4,601	23,105
<i>Unallocated liabilities:</i>				
Income tax liabilities (current and deferred)				4,378
Interest-bearing loans and borrowings (current and non-current)				36,121
Total liabilities as reported in the Group balance sheet				63,604

f) The distribution of long-lived assets, which are property, plant and equipment, goodwill and intangible assets and other non-current assets (excluding deferred tax assets), by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Rest of World Ireland	38,756	33,511
Rest of World Other	6,815	7,174
Americas	12,637	10,572
	58,208	51,257

g) The distribution of depreciation and amortisation by geographical area was as follows:

<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>

Depreciation:

Rest of World	Ireland	325	1,799	1,450
Rest of World	Other	900	1,149	1,537
Americas		561	1,477	1,354
		1,786	4,425	4,341

Amortisation:

Rest of World	Ireland	1,712	3,113	2,971
Rest of World	Other	169	206	151
Americas		78	297	296
		1,959	3,616	3,418

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****2. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)**

h) The distribution of share-based payment expense by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Rest of World Ireland	470	996	1,146
Rest of World Other	17	38	37
Americas	34	132	220
	521	1,166	1,403

See note 19 for further information on share-based payments.

i) The distribution of restructuring expenses in 2008 and 2007 (see note 3) by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
<i>Impairment:</i>	
Rest of World Ireland	66,152
Rest of World Other	1,996
Americas	17,645
	85,793
<i>Restructuring expenses:</i>	
Rest of World Ireland	1,904
Rest of World Other	
Americas	185
	2,089

Asset impairments arose as a result of the annual impairment review which was performed on 31 December 2008 (see note 3).

The Board of Directors announced a restructuring of the business in December 2008, which resulted in certain one-off expenditure being incurred. These termination payments and other restructuring costs resulted in an after tax charge of US\$1.9 million (see note 3).

	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
<i>Impairment:</i>	
Rest of World Ireland	19,156
Rest of World Other	
Americas	

		19,156
<i>Restructuring expenses:</i>		
Rest of World	Ireland	11,961
Rest of World	Other	6,215
Americas		2,615
		20,791

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****2. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)**

In 2007, the total restructuring expenses above of US\$20,791,000 included an inventory write off of US\$11,772,000. As part of the restructuring plan (see note 3), Trinity Biotech undertook to reduce the number of products and instruments within the two key product lines of Coagulation and Infectious Diseases. As a result, the Group has recognised US\$11,772,000 for inventory written off relating to those Coagulation and Infectious Diseases products and instruments being rationalised for the year ended December 31, 2007. The write off was included as part of the total restructuring expenses in cost of sales in the 2007 statement of operations. The distribution of the inventory write off by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Inventory write off</i>	
Rest of World Ireland	4,146
Rest of World Other	2,279
Americas	5,347
	11,772

j) The distribution of interest income and interest expense by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>			
		<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Eliminations</i>	<i>Total</i>
Interest Income	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Year ended December 31, 2009</i>					
Interest Income Earned		6	2		8
Inter-segment Interest Income		1,157		(1,157)	
Total revenue		1,163	2	(1,157)	8

	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>			
		<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Eliminations</i>	<i>Total</i>
Interest Expense	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Year ended December 31, 2009</i>					
Interest Income Expense	11	1,178	3		1,192
Inter-segment Interest Expense	184	973		(1,157)	
Total revenue	195	2,151	3	(1,157)	1,192

	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>			
		<i>Ireland</i>	<i>Other</i>	<i>Eliminations</i>	<i>Total</i>
Interest Income	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Year ended December 31, 2008</i>					
Interest Income Earned	1	62	2		65

Inter-segment Interest Income		2,038		(2,038)	
Total revenue	1	2,100	2	(2,038)	65

Interest Expense <i>Year ended December 31, 2008</i>	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Eliminations</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Other</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>		
Interest Income Expense	5	2,147	8		2,160
Inter-segment Interest Expense	330	1,708		(2,038)	
Total revenue	335	3,855	8	(2,038)	2,160

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****2. SEGMENT INFORMATION (CONTINUED)**

The distribution of interest expense by geographical area for the year ended December 31, 2007 was as follows:

Interest Expense <i>Year ended December 31, 2007</i>	<i>Americas</i>	<i>Rest of World</i>		<i>Total</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Ireland</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Other</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	
Interest Expense	538	2,595	15	3,148
Total	538	2,595	15	3,148

k) The distribution of taxation (expense)/credit by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2009</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2008</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2007</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
Rest of World Ireland	(1,023)	3,716	531
Rest of World Other	200	9	(662)
Americas	(268)	167	(3,178)
	(1,091)	3,892	(3,309)

l) During 2009, 2008 and 2007 there were no customers generating 10% or more of total revenues.

m) The distribution of capital expenditure, including expenditure on non-current assets in business combinations, by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2009</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2008</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
Rest of World Ireland	6,816	8,101
Rest of World Other	670	1,239
Americas	3,071	3,507
	10,557	12,847

3. IMPAIRMENT CHARGES AND RESTRUCTURING EXPENSES

In the year ended December 31, 2008, asset impairment charges totalling US\$85,793,000 were recognised in the statement of operations. No impairment charge was recognised in the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2009.

In accordance with IAS 36, *Impairment of Assets*, the Group carries out an annual impairment review of the asset valuations. The Group carries out its impairment review on 31 December each year. In determining whether a potential asset impairment exists, the Group considered a range of internal and external factors. One such factor was the relationship between the Group's market valuation and the book value of its net assets. Trinity Biotech's market capitalization at the end of 2008 was significantly below the book value of its net assets. In such circumstances given the accounting standard guidance, the Group decided to recognize at December 31, 2008 a

non-cash impairment charge of US\$81.3 million after tax. The impairment was taken against goodwill and other intangible assets, property, plant and equipment and prepayments (see notes 11, 12 and 16). The tax impact of the impairment charges is described in note 9.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****3. IMPAIRMENT CHARGES AND RESTRUCTURING EXPENSES (CONTINUED)**

The Board of Directors announced a restructuring of the business in December 2008. The restructuring aimed to reduce costs through improved operational efficiency within the Group. As a result of the restructuring, there was a reduction in the size of the workforce, mainly affecting the sales, marketing and administration functions. Termination payments and other restructuring costs resulted in an after tax charge of US\$1.9 million in the current year. Included in this amount is US\$1.5 million relating to the resignation of Brendan Farrell as Chief Executive Officer in October 2008.

The impact of the above items on the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2008 was as follows:

	<i>Impairment</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Restructuring</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Selling, general & administration expenses</i>			
Impairment of PP&E (note 11)	13,095		13,095
Impairment of goodwill and other intangible assets (note 12)	71,684		71,684
Impairment of prepayments (note 16)	1,014		1,014
Employee termination payments	(a)	589	589
Director's compensation for loss of office and share option expense	(b)	1,465	1,465
Other restructuring expenses		35	35
Total impairment loss and restructuring expenses before tax	85,793	2,089	87,882
Income tax impact of impairment loss and restructuring expenses (note 9)	(4,536)	(215)	(4,751)
Total impairment loss and restructuring expenses after tax	81,257	1,874	83,131

- (a) Under the restructuring plan announced in December 2008, the Group's workforce was reduced by about 10%. The redundancies occurred in the Group's US, Irish and German operations. The total redundancy costs amounted to US\$589,000, of which an amount of US\$156,000 is accrued at December 31, 2008.
- (b) An expense of US\$1,465,000 was recorded in 2008 in relation to the resignation of the former Chief Executive Officer, Brendan Farrell. Mr. Farrell left the company in October 2008. The expense comprises termination payments of US\$1,283,000, of which US\$988,000 is included in accrued restructuring expenses at December 31, 2008, and an accelerated share option expense of US\$182,000.

In December 2007, the Board of Directors announced a restructuring of the business. The impact of this restructuring resulted in an after tax charge to the statement of operations of US\$19,207,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007. In addition, the Group recognised an impairment loss of US\$19,156,000 against goodwill (see note 12).

The restructuring included the following elements:

the rationalisation of the Coagulation and Infectious Diseases reagent and instrumentation product lines;

the reorganisation of the US sales force;

the closure of the Group's operation in Sweden;

the streamlining of the Group's development activities and,

a redundancy programme to reduce headcount across the Group.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****3. IMPAIRMENT CHARGES AND RESTRUCTURING EXPENSES (CONTINUED)**

The impact of the above items on the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007 was as follows:

Year ended 31 December 2007	<i>Impairment Loss US\$ 000</i>	<i>Restructuring US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total US\$ 000</i>
<i>Cost of sales</i>			
Inventory provision	(a)(c)	11,772	11,772
Termination payments	(c)(d)	953	953
		12,725	12,725
<i>Research & development</i>			
Write-off of capitalised development and license costs	(b)	6,667	6,667
Termination payments	(c)(d)	240	240
		6,907	6,907
<i>Selling, general & administration expenses</i>			
Impairment of goodwill (note 12)		19,156	19,156
Termination payments	(c)(d)	842	842
Lease obligation provision	(c)	116	116
Other		201	201
		19,156	20,315
Total inventory write off, restructuring expenses and goodwill impairment before tax		19,156	20,791
Income tax impact of inventory write off, restructuring expenses and goodwill impairment (note 9)		(1,584)	(1,584)
Total inventory write off, restructuring expenses and goodwill impairment after tax		19,156	38,363

The non cash element of the restructuring expenses amounted to US\$18,573,000 and the goodwill impairment of US\$19,156,000 also had no cash impact.

- (a) Under the 2007 restructuring plan, Trinity Biotech undertook to reduce the number of products and instruments within the two key product lines of Coagulation and Infectious Diseases. The purpose of the rationalisation was to reduce complexity in the business, to improve selling and operating efficiencies and to eliminate low revenue

generating products. As a result, the Group recognised US\$11,772,000, including US\$147,000 in respect of the closure of the Swedish operation (see note (c)), for inventory written off relating to those Coagulation and Infectious Diseases products and instruments being rationalised for the year ended December 31, 2007.

- (b) The Group decided to terminate or suspend a number of product development projects, which resulted in a write-off of capitalised development and license costs for the year ended December 31, 2007 of US\$6,667,000. Under IFRS the Group writes off research and development expenditure as incurred, with the exception of expenditure on projects whose outcome has been assessed with reasonable certainty as to technical feasibility, commercial viability and recovery of costs through future revenues. Such expenditure is capitalised at cost within intangible assets as development costs. Factors which impact our judgement to capitalise certain research and development expenditure include the degree of regulatory approval for products and the results of any market research to determine the likely future commercial success of products being developed. We review these factors each year to determine whether our previous estimates as to feasibility, viability and recovery should be changed.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****3. IMPAIRMENT CHARGES AND RESTRUCTURING EXPENSES (CONTINUED)**

In December 2007, the Group announced its decision to focus on a smaller number of R&D projects, with a particular focus on projects which will make the greatest contribution to the strategic growth and development of the Group. Consequently, it was decided to terminate or suspend a number of projects. As a result, US\$5,134,000 of development costs were written off for the year ended December 31, 2007. The write off of capitalised developments costs in 2007 related to a number of specific projects, the two most significant being the HIV over-the-counter (OTC) product and the development of the HIV Western Blot confirmatory test which accounts for US\$2,772,000 of the total amount of capitalised development costs written off of US\$5,134,000. The decision to suspend the HIV OTC project was based on an assessment of expected market size for this product. The Group's market assessment, carried out in 2007, indicated that the market opportunity for this product was significantly less than was originally envisaged. The Group's decision to suspend the development of its HIV Western Blot confirmatory test was also due to changes in the marketplace. The remaining development projects, which account for US\$2,631,000 of the total capitalised development costs being written off in 2007 resulted from the strategic decision made by the Group in 2007 to focus on a smaller number of R&D projects. Based on the decision to suspend a number of projects, US\$439,000 was also written off for license costs which were capitalised in prior years. These license costs related to projects which have been written off in the year ended December 31, 2007.

A further US\$1,094,000 was written off technology intangible assets acquired from bioMerieux. This represented the portion of such assets which related to instruments and reagents which were being culled as part of the 2007 restructuring (see note 12).

- (c) As part of the restructuring announced in December 2007, Trinity Biotech decided to close its manufacturing facility located in Umea, Sweden. This facility manufactured a portion of the Group's Coagulation products and was acquired as part of the Biopool AB acquisition in 2001. The manufacture of these products was transferred to the Group's Irish and US facilities during 2008. As part of the closure of this facility, the Group recognised an inventory write off of US\$147,000 and a write down of property, plant and equipment of US\$42,000. A total of US\$448,000 was accrued at December 31, 2007 which consisted of termination payments of US\$332,000, and lease obligations of US\$116,000.
- (d) The reduction in the number of products, the more focused R&D approach and the closure of the Swedish operation enabled the Group to reduce its workforce and consequently total redundancy costs of US\$1,470,000 were accrued for at December 31, 2007.

4. FINANCIAL INCOME AND EXPENSES

		<i>December 31,</i> <i>2009</i>	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2008</i>	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2007</i>
	<i>Note</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Financial income:				
Interest income		8	65	457
Financial expense:				
Finance lease interest		(135)	(123)	(65)
Interest payable on interest bearing loans and borrowings	20	(1,053)	(1,912)	(2,834)
Other interest expense		(4)	(125)	(249)
		(1,192)	(2,160)	(3,148)

Net Financing Costs	(1,184)	(2,095)	(2,691)
---------------------	---------	---------	---------

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

4. FINANCIAL INCOME AND EXPENSES (CONTINUED)

Other interest expense recognised in 2008 and 2007 mainly comprises an interest expense arising from the discounting of the deferred consideration payable to bioMerieux, resulting from the acquisition of the coagulation business during 2006, to reflect the present value of this additional consideration.

5. OTHER OPERATING INCOME

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Rental income from premises	222	237	233
Employment / training grants	215	936	180
	437	1,173	413

6. PROFIT/(LOSS) BEFORE TAX

The following amounts were charged / (credited) to the statement of operations:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Directors' emoluments (including non-executive directors):			
Remuneration	1,271	1,617	2,370
Pension	105	241	147
Share based payments	422	776	920
Compensation for loss of office		1,283	
Other		44	
Auditors' remuneration			
Audit fees	764	809	1,544
Non audit fees	21	31	77
Depreciation - leased assets	87	372	260
Depreciation - owned assets	1,699	4,053	4,081
Amortisation	1,959	3,616	3,418
Loss/(profit) on the disposal of property, plant and equipment	66	(682)	16
Net foreign exchange differences	32	(224)	68
Operating lease rentals:			
Plant and machinery	15	31	38
Land and buildings	3,727	4,421	3,798
Other equipment	339	437	407

7. PERSONNEL EXPENSES

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
--	---	---	---

Wages and salaries	39,967	48,755	48,385
Social welfare costs	4,237	5,338	5,118
Pension costs	1,350	1,442	1,388
Share-based payments	521	1,166	1,403
	46,075	56,701	56,294

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****7. PERSONNEL EXPENSES (CONTINUED)**

Personnel expenses are shown net of capitalisations. Total personnel expenses (wages and salaries, social welfare costs and pension costs), inclusive of amounts capitalised, for the year ended December 31, 2009 amounted to US\$50,459,000 (2008: US\$61,644,000) (2007: US\$60,502,000). Total share based payments, inclusive of amounts capitalised in the balance sheet, amounted to US\$599,000 for the year ended December 31, 2009 (2008: US\$1,193,000) (2007: US\$1,482,000). See note 19.

Included in personnel expenses for the year ended December 31, 2008 is US\$589,000 which relates to termination payments resulting from the restructuring announced in December 2008 (see note 3).

The average number of persons employed by the Group in the financial year was 676 (2008: 757) (2007: 802) and is analysed into the following categories:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
Research and development	61	57	51
Administration and sales	189	261	268
Manufacturing and quality	426	439	483
	676	757	802

8. PENSION SCHEMES

The Group operates defined contribution pension schemes for certain of its full time employees. The benefits under these schemes are financed by both Group and employee contributions. Total contributions made by the Group in the financial year and charged against income amounted to US\$1,350,000 (2008: US\$1,442,000) (2007: US\$1,388,000) (note 7). The pension accrual for the Group at December 31, 2009 was US\$309,000 (2008: US\$332,000), (2007: US\$Nil).

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****9. INCOME TAX EXPENSE / (CREDIT)**

a. The charge for tax based on the profit / (loss) comprises:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
<i>Current tax expense</i>			
Corporation tax at 12.5%	75	58	60
Overseas tax (a)	46	35	114
Adjustment in respect of prior years (b)	(120)	(33)	(67)
Total current tax expense	1	60	107
<i>Deferred tax expense / (credit) (c)</i>			
Origination and reversal of temporary differences (see note 13)	1,354	(3,858)	(1,042)
Origination and reversal of net operating losses (see note 13)	(264)	(94)	4,244
Total deferred tax expense / (credit)	1,090	(3,952)	3,202
Total income tax charge / (credit) in income statement (d)	1,091	(3,892)	3,309

(a) The overseas tax charge in 2009, 2008 and 2007 relates primarily to US State Taxes.

(b) The credit in 2009 arises in respect of the finalisation of a claim for Irish Research and Development Tax Credits (R&D tax credits) in respect of the year ended December 31, 2008 and the refund of US state taxes. The credit in 2008 relates primarily to the release of a provision for US State taxes at December 31, 2007 which was not considered to be required. The credit in 2007 relates primarily to the finalisation of a claim for R&D tax credits in respect of the year ended December 31, 2006.

(c) In 2009 there was a deferred tax charge of US\$1,015,000 (2008: US\$3,744,000 credit; 2007: US\$538,000 credit) recognised in respect of Ireland and a deferred tax charge of US\$75,000 (2008: US\$208,000 credit; 2007: US\$3,740,000 charge) recognised in respect of overseas tax jurisdictions.

(d) The impairment charge in 2008 and the restructuring charges in 2008 and in 2007 had a significant impact on the income tax (credit)/charge in those financial years. The tax credit in 2008 includes a deferred tax credit of US\$4,536,000 relating to the impairment and a deferred tax credit of US\$215,000 relating to the restructuring (see note 3). The income tax charge in 2007 includes a deferred tax credit of US\$1,584,000 relating to the restructuring (see note 3). The income tax charge in 2007 also includes a tax expense of US\$3,780,000 relating to the derecognition of deferred tax assets previously recognised, which primarily arose on tax losses carried forward in the Group's US operations. The derecognition of these deferred tax assets was considered appropriate in light of the increased tax losses caused by the restructuring and uncertainty over the timing of the utilisation of the tax losses.

<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
------------------------------	------------------------------	------------------------------

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

<i>Effective tax rate</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Profit/(loss) before taxation	12,915	(81,670)	(32,063)
As a percentage of profit/(loss) before tax:			
Current tax	0.00%	0.07%	(0.34%)
Total (current and deferred)	8.45%	4.76%	(10.32%)

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****9. INCOME TAX EXPENSE / (CREDIT) (CONTINUED)**

The following table reconciles the applicable Republic of Ireland statutory tax rate to the effective total tax rate for the Group:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
Irish corporation tax	12.50%	12.50%	12.50%
Adjustments in respect of prior years	(0.93%)	0.04%	0.21%
Effect of tax rates on overseas earnings	25.30%	1.67%	5.08%
Effect of non deductible expenses	1.09%	(6.48%)	(8.24%)
Effect of current year net operating losses and temporary differences for which no deferred tax asset was recognised	(30.66%)	(3.21%)	(9.00%)
Effect of derecognition of deferred tax assets relating to loss carryforwards and temporary differences at the start of the period			(11.79%)
R&D tax credit		0.29%	1.05%
Effect of Irish income taxable at higher tax rate	1.15%	(0.05%)	(0.13%)
Effective tax rate	8.45%	4.76%	(10.32%)

Deferred tax recognised directly in equity

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Relating to forward contracts as hedged instruments	3	26	(23)
	3	26	(23)

a. The distribution of profit/(loss) before taxes by geographical area was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Rest of World Ireland	5,240	(59,917)	(23,143)
Rest of World Other	(1,206)	(4,395)	(2,182)
Americas	8,881	(17,358)	(6,738)
	12,915	(81,670)	(32,063)

b. At December 31, 2009, the Group had unutilised net operating losses as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
--	---	---	---

USA	7,569	10,167	9,158
France	2,368	1,812	1,085
Germany	1,152	3,245	3,540
Ireland	1,918	290	290
UK	101	197	160
	13,108	15,711	14,233

The utilisation of these net operating loss carryforwards is limited to future profits in the USA, France, Germany, Ireland and the UK. The US net operating loss has a maximum carryforward of 20 years. US\$1,159,000 of the net operating losses in the USA will expire by December 31, 2024, US\$5,267,000 will expire by December 31, 2026 and US\$1,143,000 will expire by December 31, 2027.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****9. INCOME TAX EXPENSE / (CREDIT) (CONTINUED)**

The French, German, Irish and UK net operating losses can be carried forward indefinitely.

At December 31, 2009, the Group recognised a deferred tax asset of US\$96,000 (2008: US\$133,000) in respect of net operating loss carryforwards in Germany and the UK, as there are sufficient taxable temporary differences relating to the same taxation authority and the same taxable entity which will result in taxable amounts against which the unused tax losses can be utilised before they expire. The utilisation of these net operating loss carryforwards is limited to future profits in Germany and the UK.

At December 31, 2009, the Group had unrecognised deferred tax assets in respect of unused tax losses, unused tax credits and deductible temporary differences as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
USA unused tax losses	3,071	4,126	3,717
Germany unused tax losses	427	866	945
France unused tax losses	861	598	290
Ireland unused tax losses	73	73	73
USA unused tax credits	346	346	314
USA-deductible temporary differences	387	3,464	1,600
Unrecognised Deferred Tax Asset	5,165	9,473	6,939

A deferred tax asset of US\$ 3,071,000 (2008 : US\$4,126,000) in respect of net operating losses in the USA, US\$427,000 (2008 : US\$866,000) in respect of net operating losses in Germany, US\$861,000 (2008 : US\$598,000) in respect of net operating losses in France and US\$73,000 (2008 : US\$73,000) in respect of net operating losses in Ireland were not recognised at December 31, 2009 due to uncertainties regarding full utilisation of these losses in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods (see note 13). The Group has US state credit carryforwards of US\$346,000 at December 31, 2009 (2008: US\$346,000). A deferred tax asset of US\$346,000 (2008: US\$346,000) in respect of US state credit carryforwards was not recognised in 2009 due to uncertainties regarding future full utilisation of these state credit carryforwards in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods.

- (d) There are no income tax consequences for the Company attaching to the payment of dividends by Trinity Biotech plc to shareholders of the Company.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****10. EARNINGS/(LOSS) PER SHARE***Basic earnings/(loss) per ordinary share*

Basic earnings/(loss) per ordinary share for the Group is computed by dividing the profit after taxation of US\$11,824,000 (2008: loss after tax of US\$77,778,000) (2007: loss after tax of US\$35,372,000) for the financial year by the weighted average number of A ordinary and B ordinary shares in issue of 83,737,884 (2008: 81,394,075) (2007: 76,036,579). 1,400,000 of the total weighted average shares used as the EPS denominator relate to the 700,000 B ordinary shares in issue. In all respects these shares are treated the same as A ordinary shares except for the fact that they have two voting rights per share, rights to participate in any liquidation or sale of the Group and to receive dividends as if each Class B ordinary share were two Class A ordinary shares. Hence the earnings/(loss) per share for a B ordinary share is exactly twice the earnings/ (loss) per share of an A ordinary share.

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
A ordinary shares	82,337,884	79,994,075	74,636,579
B ordinary shares (multiplied by 2)	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,400,000
Basic earnings/ (loss) per share denominator	83,737,884	81,394,075	76,036,579

Reconciliation to weighted average earnings per share denominator:

Number of A ordinary shares at January 1 (note 18)	82,017,581	74,756,765	73,601,497
Number of B ordinary shares at January 1 (multiplied by 2)	1,400,000	1,400,000	1,400,000
Weighted average number of shares issued during the year	320,303	5,237,310	1,035,082
Basic earnings/ (loss) per share denominator	83,737,884	81,394,075	76,036,579

The weighted average number of shares issued during the year is calculated by taking the number of shares issued by the number of days in the year each share is in issue divided by 365 days.

Diluted earnings/ (loss) per ordinary share

Diluted earnings/ (loss) per ordinary share is computed by dividing the profit after tax of US\$11,824,000 (2008: loss after tax of US\$77,778,000) (2007: loss after tax of US\$35,372,000) for the financial year by the diluted weighted average number of ordinary shares in issue of 83,772,094 (2008: 81,394,075) (2007: 76,036,579).

The basic weighted average number of shares for the Group may be reconciled to the number used in the diluted earnings/ (loss) per ordinary share calculation as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
Basic earnings/ (loss) per share denominator (see above)	83,737,884	81,394,075	76,036,579
Issuable on exercise of options and warrants	34,210		
Diluted earnings/ (loss) per share denominator *	83,772,094	81,394,075	76,036,579

* At December 31, 2009, the number of shares issuable on the exercise of options and warrants is dilutive. At December 31, 2008, the number of shares issuable on the exercise of options and warrants was not dilutive. At December 31, 2007, the number of shares issuable on the exercise of options and warrants was anti-dilutive and hence the diluted (loss)/ earnings per share was calculated excluding the number of shares issuable on the exercise of options and warrants. If the number of shares issuable on the exercise of options and warrants had not been anti-dilutive, 1,854,825 shares issuable on the exercise of options and warrants would have been included in the diluted (loss)/ earnings per share denominator in 2007.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009**

10. EARNINGS/(LOSS) PER SHARE (CONTINUED)

Earnings per ADS

In June 2005, Trinity Biotech adjusted its ADS ratio from 1 ADS: 1 Ordinary Share to 1 ADS: 4 Ordinary Shares. Earnings per ADS for all periods presented have been restated to reflect this exchange ratio.

Basic earnings/ (loss) per ADS for the Group is computed by dividing the profit after taxation of US\$11,824,000 (2008: loss after tax of US\$77,778,000) (2007: loss after tax of US\$35,372,000) for the financial year by the weighted average number of ADS in issue of 20,934,471 (2008: 20,348,519) (2007: 19,009,144).

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
A ordinary shares ADS	20,584,471	19,998,519	18,659,144
B ordinary shares ADS	350,000	350,000	350,000
Basic earnings/ (loss) per share denominator	20,934,471	20,348,519	19,009,144

Diluted earnings/ (loss) per ADS for the Group is computed by dividing the profit after taxation of US\$11,824,000 (2008: loss after tax of US\$77,778,000) (2007: loss after tax of US\$35,372,000) for the financial year, by the diluted weighted average number of ADS in issue of 20,934,471 (2008: 20,348,519) (2007: 19,009,144).

The basic weighted average number of ADS shares for the Group may only be reconciled to the number used in the diluted earnings per ADS share calculation as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
Basic earnings/ (loss) per share denominator (see above)	20,934,471	20,348,519	19,009,144
Issuable on exercise of options and warrants	8,553		
Diluted (loss)/ earnings per share denominator *	20,943,024	20,348,519	19,009,144

* At December 31, 2009, the number of shares issuable on the exercise of options and warrants is dilutive. At December 31, 2008, the number of ADSs issuable on the exercise

of options and warrants was not dilutive. At December 31, 2007, the number of ADSs issuable on the exercise of options and warrants was anti-dilutive and hence the diluted (loss)/earnings per share was calculated excluding the number of ADSs issuable on the exercise of options and warrants. If the number of ADSs issuable on the exercise of options and warrants had not been anti-dilutive, 463,706 ADSs issuable on the exercise of options and warrants would have been included in the diluted (loss)/earnings per ADS denominator in 2007.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****11. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT**

	<i>Freehold land and buildings US\$ 000</i>	<i>Leasehold improvements US\$ 000</i>	<i>Computers, fixtures and fittings US\$ 000</i>	<i>Plant and equipment US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total US\$ 000</i>
<i>Cost</i>					
At January 1, 2008	5,836	3,675	5,528	29,666	44,705
Additions	34	41	313	3,551	3,939
Disposals / retirements		(34)	(126)	(1,642)	(1,802)
Exchange adjustments	(154)		(9)	(185)	(348)
At December 31, 2008	5,716	3,682	5,706	31,390	46,494
At January 1, 2009	5,716	3,682	5,706	31,390	46,494
Additions	29	8	157	2,111	2,305
Disposals / retirements			(322)	(933)	(1,255)
Exchange adjustments	81		5	117	203
At December 31, 2009	5,826	3,690	5,546	32,685	47,747
<i>Accumulated depreciation and impairment losses</i>					
At January 1, 2008	(970)	(1,666)	(3,362)	(12,298)	(18,296)
Charge for the year	(124)	(381)	(621)	(3,299)	(4,425)
Impairment loss		(1,149)	(1,185)	(10,761)	(13,095)
Disposals / retirements		35	81	944	1,060
Exchange adjustments	17		6	94	117
At December 31, 2008	(1,077)	(3,161)	(5,081)	(25,320)	(34,639)
At January 1, 2009	(1,077)	(3,161)	(5,081)	(25,320)	(34,639)
Charge for the year	(124)	(92)	(159)	(1,411)	(1,786)
Disposals / retirements			322	618	940
Exchange adjustments	(10)		(5)	(73)	(88)
At December 31, 2009	(1,211)	(3,253)	(4,923)	(26,186)	(35,573)
<i>Carrying amounts</i>					
At December 31, 2009	4,615	437	623	6,499	12,174

At December 31, 2008	4,639	521	625	6,070	11,855
----------------------	-------	-----	-----	-------	--------

The annual impairment review performed at December 31, 2009, showed that the carrying value of the Group's assets did not exceed the amount that could be recovered through their use or sale and on that basis, there was no impairment in 2009.

The annual impairment review performed at December 31, 2008, showed that the carrying value of the Group's assets exceeded the amount to be recovered through use or sale of the assets by a total of US\$97,126,000. The details of the impairment review are described in note 12. When an impairment loss is identified in a cash generating unit, it must be first allocated to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to the cash generating unit and then to the other assets of the unit pro rata on the basis of the carrying amount of each asset in the unit. In this manner, an impairment loss of US\$13,095,000 was allocated to property, plant and equipment in 2008. The recoverable amount of property, plant and equipment was determined to be the value in use of each cash generating unit.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****11. PROPERTY, PLANT AND EQUIPMENT (CONTINUED)**

The impairment loss relating to property, plant and equipment in 2008 arose in the following cash generating units:

	US\$ 000
Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited	9,709
Biopool US Inc	2,821
Primus Corporation Inc	377
Trinity Biotech France SARL	179
Trinity Biotech (UK Sales) Limited	9
	13,095

Assets held under operating leases (where the Company is the lessor)

Included in the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment are a number of assets included in plant and equipment which generate operating lease revenue for the Group. The net book value of these assets as at December 31, 2009 is US\$1,409,000 (2008: US\$768,000). Depreciation charged on these assets in 2009 amounted to US\$427,000 (2008: US\$1,082,000). Impairment charged on these assets amounted to US\$2,373,000 in 2008.

Included in disposals/retirements in 2009 is US\$321,000 (2008: US\$612,000) relating to the net book value of leased instruments reclassified as inventory on return from customers.

Assets held under finance leases

Included in the carrying amount of property, plant and equipment is an amount for capitalised leased assets of US\$704,000 (2008: US\$537,000). Impairment charged on these assets amounted to US\$1,987,000 in 2008. The leased equipment secures the lease obligations (note 25). The depreciation charge in respect of capitalised leased assets for the year ended December 31, 2009 was US\$87,000 (2008: US\$372,000). This is split as follows:

	<i>Leasehold improvements</i>	<i>Computers, fixtures and fittings</i>	<i>Plant and equipment</i>	<i>Total</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>At December 31, 2009</i>				
Depreciation charge	7	7	73	87
<i>Carrying value</i>				
At December 31, 2009	26	48	630	704
<i>At December 31, 2008</i>				
Depreciation charge	43	46	283	372
Impairment charge	168	280	1,539	1,987
<i>Carrying value</i>				
At December 31, 2008	33	55	449	537

Property, plant and equipment under construction

Included in plant and equipment at December 31, 2009 is an amount of US\$9,000 (2008: US\$148,000) relating to assets in the course of construction. US\$63,000 of assets were added to assets under construction during the year, with a further US\$202,000 of assets transferred to additions as they were completed during 2009.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****12. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS**

	<i>Goodwill</i> US\$ 000	<i>Development costs</i> US\$ 000	<i>Patents and licences</i> US\$ 000	<i>Other</i> US\$ 000	<i>Total</i> US\$ 000
<i>Cost</i>					
At January 1, 2008	79,599	25,812	10,093	25,602	141,106
Additions		8,426		482	8,908
Exchange adjustments		(26)		(6)	(32)
At December 31, 2008	79,599	34,212	10,093	26,078	149,982
At January 1, 2009	79,599	34,212	10,093	26,078	149,982
Additions		7,845		407	8,252
Disposals / retirements				(25)	(25)
Exchange adjustments		13		4	17
At December 31, 2009	79,599	42,070	10,093	26,464	158,226
<i>Accumulated amortisation and Impairment losses</i>					
At January 1, 2008	(19,156)	(6,662)	(4,453)	(5,907)	(36,178)
Charge for the year		(750)	(627)	(2,239)	(3,616)
Impairment loss	(40,390)	(21,480)	(3,728)	(6,086)	(71,684)
Exchange adjustments		18		3	21
At December 31, 2008	(59,546)	(28,874)	(8,808)	(14,229)	(111,457)
At January 1, 2009	(59,546)	(28,874)	(8,808)	(14,229)	(111,457)
Charge for the year		(401)	(149)	(1,409)	(1,959)
Disposals / retirements				25	25
Exchange adjustments		(10)		(3)	(13)
At December 31, 2009	(59,546)	(29,285)	(8,957)	(15,616)	(113,404)
<i>Carrying amounts</i>					
At December 31, 2009	20,053	12,785	1,136	10,848	44,822
At December 31, 2008	20,053	5,338	1,285	11,849	38,525

Included within development costs are costs of US\$4,564,000 which were not amortised in 2009 (2008: US\$3,453,000). These development costs are not being amortised as the projects to which the costs relate were not fully complete at December 31, 2009 or at December 31, 2008. As at December 31, 2009 these projects are expected to be completed during the period from January 1, 2010 to June 30, 2011 at an expected approximate further cost of US\$5.5 million.

Other intangible assets consist primarily of acquired customer and supplier lists, trade names, website and software costs.

Amortisation is charged to the statement of operations through the selling, general and administrative expenses line.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****12. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS (CONTINUED)**

Included in other intangibles are the following indefinite lived assets:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Fitzgerald trade name	970	970
RDI trade name	560	560
Primus trade name	670	670
	2,200	2,200

No trade names were purchased as part of the 2007 acquisitions (note 24). The trade name assets purchased as part of the acquisition of Primus and RDI in 2005 and Fitzgerald in 2004 were valued by an external valuer using the relief from royalty method and based on factors such as (1) the market and competitive trends and (2) the expected usage of the name. It was considered that these trade names will generate net cash inflows for the Group for an indefinite period.

Impairment testing for intangibles including goodwill and indefinite lived assets

Goodwill and other intangibles with indefinite lives are tested annually for impairment at each balance sheet date at a cash-generating unit (CGU) level, i.e. the individual legal entities. For the purpose of these annual impairment reviews goodwill is allocated to the relevant CGU.

The recoverable amount of goodwill and intangible assets contained in each of the Group's CGU's is determined based on the greater of the fair value less cost to sell and value in use calculations. The Group operates in one business segment and accordingly the key assumptions are similar for all CGU's. The value in use calculations use cash flow projections based on the 2010 budget and projections for a further four years using a projected revenue growth rate of between 3% and 5% and a cost growth rate of 3% to 5%. At the end of the five year forecast period, terminal values for each CGU, based on a long term growth rate are used in the value in use calculations. The cashflows and terminal values for the CGU's are discounted using pre-tax discount rates which range from 18% to 33%.

The value in use calculation is subject to significant estimation, uncertainty and accounting judgements and are particularly sensitive in the following areas. In the event that there was a variation of 10% in the assumed level of future growth in revenues, which would represent a reasonably likely range of outcomes, the following impairment loss/write back would be recorded at December 31, 2009:

A net reversal of impairment loss of US\$2.5 million in the event of a 10% increase in the growth in revenues.

An impairment loss of US\$2.1 million in the event of a 10% decrease in the growth in revenues.

Similarly if there was a 10% variation in the discount rate used to calculate the potential impairment of the carrying values, which would represent a reasonably likely range of outcomes, there would be the following impairment loss/write back would be recorded at December 31, 2009:

A reversal of impairment loss of US\$8.0 million in the event of a 10% decrease in the discount rate

An impairment loss of US\$7.7 million in the event of a 10% increase in the discount rate

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****12. GOODWILL AND INTANGIBLE ASSETS (CONTINUED)***Impairment loss arising on annual impairment review in 2008*

The impairment review carried out at December 31, 2008 identified a total impairment loss of US\$97,126,000 in six CGU's. In accordance with IAS 36, *Impairment of Assets*, the impairment loss for each CGU was first allocated to reduce the carrying amount of any goodwill allocated to the CGU, then to other assets of the unit pro rata on the basis of the carrying amount of each asset in the CGU. The full impairment loss for Biopool US Inc and Trinity Biotech France SARL could not be reflected in the 2008 financial statements for these entities because each of these entities had insufficient assets to write down after excluding those assets with a known recoverable amount. The amount of impairment loss that could not be recorded for Biopool US Inc and Trinity Biotech France SARL was US\$10,279,000 and US\$1,054,000 respectively. As a result, the impairment loss that was recorded in the 2008 financial statements was US\$85,793,000. The table below sets forth the impairment loss recorded for each of the CGU's at December 31, 2008:

	US\$ 000
Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited	57,889
Primus Corporation Inc.	13,988
Biopool US Inc	8,649
Trinity Biotech (UK Sales) Limited	3,036
Trinity Biotech France SARL	1,973
Clark Laboratories Inc	258
Total impairment loss	85,793

The table below sets forth the breakdown of the impairment loss for each class of asset at December 31, 2008:

	US\$ 000
Goodwill and other intangible assets	71,684
Property, plant and equipment (see note 11)	13,095
Prepayments (see note 16)	1,014
Total impairment loss	85,793

Impairment loss arising on annual impairment review in 2007

Arising from the 2007 impairment review, an impairment loss of US\$19,156,000 was recognised in the financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2007, representing the excess of the carrying value over the discounted future cashflows. This impairment loss arose in Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited, one of the Group's CGU's. Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited manufactures coagulation, infectious diseases, point of care and clinical chemistry products at its plant in Bray, Ireland, which are then sold to third party distributors and other selling entities within the Group. The impairment loss was allocated entirely to goodwill and in particular to goodwill arising on the following acquisitions:

	<i>December 31, 2007</i> US\$ 000
Bartels	7,340
Cambridge	3,005

Ortho	783
Dade	8,028
	19,156

In 2007 this impairment loss was allocated to the goodwill arising on the abovementioned acquisitions as sales of the products associated with each of these acquisitions are now static or declining.

Impairment loss on bioMerieux technology asset

In December 2007, the Group announced a restructuring of its activities (see note 3). As part this restructuring, the Group decided to rationalise its three existing coagulation product lines with a view to creating a single product line consisting of the best products from each line. As a direct consequence, a number of the Group's coagulation products were identified for culling, including a number of products acquired from bioMerieux in 2006. As a result, the Group recognised in 2007 a specific impairment loss of US\$1,094,000 against the carrying value of the technology assets acquired from bioMerieux. The impairment loss represented 25% of the carrying value of the technology assets at the date of the group restructuring, as the products being culled represent approximately 25% of sales of those products acquired from bioMerieux. The remaining useful economic life of the remaining 75% of the carrying value of the technology asset was unaffected and was amortised on a straight line basis, through December 31, 2009. No other assets were impaired in 2007 as a direct result of the product rationalisation.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****13. DEFERRED TAX ASSETS AND LIABILITIES****Recognised deferred tax assets and liabilities**

Deferred tax assets and liabilities of the Group are attributable to the following:

	<i>Assets</i>		<i>Liabilities</i>		<i>Net</i>	
	<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Property, plant and equipment	3,869	1,285	(1,187)	(885)	2,682	400
Intangible assets			(6,343)	(3,069)	(6,343)	(3,069)
Inventories	1,253	1,214			1,253	1,214
Provisions	118	255			118	255
Other items			(680)	(419)	(680)	(419)
Tax value of loss carryforwards recognised	561	297			561	297
Deferred tax assets/(liabilities)	5,801	3,051	(8,210)	(4,373)	(2,409)	(1,322)

The deferred tax asset in 2009 is due mainly to deductible temporary differences and the elimination of unrealised intercompany inventory profit. The deferred tax asset increased in 2009 principally due to a decrease in unrecognised deferred tax assets. As deferred tax assets are only recognised where there is a reversing deferred tax liability in the same jurisdiction reversing in the same period, the recognised deferred tax asset increases in line with the increase in deferred tax liabilities.

At December 31, 2009, the Group recognised a deferred tax asset of US\$96,000 (2008: US\$133,000) in respect of net operating loss carryforwards in Germany and the UK, as there are sufficient taxable temporary differences relating to the same taxation authority and the same taxable entity which will result in taxable amounts against which the unused tax losses can be utilised before they expire. The utilisation of these net operating loss carryforwards is limited to future profits in Germany and the UK.

The deferred tax liability is caused by the net book value of non-current assets being greater than the tax written down value of non-current assets, temporary differences due to the acceleration of the recognition of certain charges in calculating taxable income permitted in Ireland, the USA and Germany, and deferred tax recognised on fair value asset uplifts in connection with business combinations. The deferred tax liability increased in 2009, principally due to temporary differences in respect of property, plant and equipment and intangible assets. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are only offset when the entity has a legally enforceable right to set off current tax assets against current tax liabilities and where the intention is to settle current tax liabilities and assets on a net basis or to realise the assets and settle the liabilities simultaneously. At December 31, 2009 and at December 31, 2008 no deferred tax assets and liabilities are offset as it is not certain as to whether there is a legally enforceable right to set off current tax assets against current tax liabilities and it is also uncertain as to what current tax assets may be set off against current tax liabilities and in what periods.

Unrecognised deferred tax assets

Deferred tax assets have not been recognised by the Group in respect of the following items:

	<i>December 31,</i>	<i>December 31,</i>
	<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Deductible temporary differences	954	8,536
Capital losses	6,138	6,138

US state credit carryforwards	346	346
Net operating losses	11,720	15,248
	19,158	30,268

No deferred tax asset is recognised in 2009 or 2008 in respect of a capital loss forward of US\$6,138,000 in Ireland as it was not probable that there will be future capital gains against which to offset these capital losses. A deferred tax asset of US\$3,071,000 (2008: US\$4,126,000) in respect of net operating losses of US\$7,569,000 (2008: US\$10,167,000) in the US was not recognised due to uncertainties regarding the timing of the utilisation of these losses in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods. A deferred tax asset of US\$387,000 (2008:

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****13. DEFERRED TAX ASSETS AND LIABILITIES (CONTINUED)**

US\$3,464,000) in respect of deductible temporary differences of US\$954,000 (2008: US\$8,536,000) in the US was not recognised due to uncertainties regarding the timing of the utilisation of these temporary differences in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods.

A deferred tax asset of US\$346,000 (2008: US\$346,000) in respect of US state credit carryforwards was not recognised due to uncertainties regarding the timing of the utilisation of these state credit carryforwards in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods.

A deferred tax asset of US\$427,000 (2008: US\$866,000) in respect of net operating losses of US\$1,253,000 (2008: US\$2,979,000) in Germany was not recognised due to uncertainties regarding the timing of the utilisation of these losses in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods.

A deferred tax asset of US\$73,000 (2008: US\$73,000) in respect of net operating losses of US\$290,000 (2008 : US\$290,000) in Ireland was not recognised due to uncertainties regarding the timing of the utilisation of these losses in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods.

A deferred tax asset of US\$861,000 (2008: US\$598,000) in respect of net operating losses of US\$2,608,000 (2008: US\$1,812,000) in France was not recognised due to uncertainties regarding the timing of the utilisation of these losses in the related tax jurisdiction in future periods.

Unrecognised deferred tax liabilities

At December 31, 2009 and 2008, there was no recognised or unrecognised deferred tax liability for taxes that would be payable on the unremitted earnings of certain of the Group's subsidiaries. The Company is able to control the timing of the reversal of the temporary differences of its subsidiaries and it is probable that these temporary differences will not reverse in the foreseeable future.

Movement in temporary differences during the year

	<i>Balance</i>	<i>Recognised</i>		<i>Balance</i>
	<i>January, 1</i>	<i>in</i>	<i>Recognised</i>	<i>December</i>
	<i>2009</i>	<i>income</i>	<i>in equity</i>	<i>31,</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>2009</i>
				<i>US\$ 000</i>
Property, plant and equipment	400	2,282		2,682
Intangible assets	(3,069)	(3,274)		(6,343)
Inventories	1,214	39		1,253
Provisions	255	(137)		118
Other items	(419)	(264)	3	(680)
Tax value of loss carryforwards recognised	297	264		561
	(1,322)	(1,090)	3	(2,409)

	<i>Balance</i>	<i>Recognised</i>		<i>Balance</i>
	<i>January, 1</i>	<i>in</i>	<i>Recognised</i>	<i>December</i>
	<i>2008</i>	<i>income</i>	<i>in equity</i>	<i>31,</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>2008</i>
				<i>US\$ 000</i>
Property, plant and equipment	(1,603)	2,003		400
Intangible assets	(6,998)	3,929		(3,069)
Inventories	1,733	(519)		1,214
Provisions	1,520	(1,265)		255

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

Other items	(155)	(290)	26	(419)
Tax value of loss carryforwards recognised	203	94		297
	(5,300)	3,952	26	(1,322)

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

14. OTHER ASSETS

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Finance lease receivables (see note 16)	1,106	776
Other assets	106	101
	1,212	877

The Group leases instruments as part of its business. For details of future minimum finance lease receivables with non-cancellable terms, please refer to note 16.

15. INVENTORIES

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Raw materials and consumables	9,191	11,245
Work-in-progress	10,478	11,033
Finished goods	19,529	20,039
	39,198	42,317

All inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realisable value. Total inventories for the Group are shown net of provisions of US\$12,566,000 (2008: US\$16,461,000).

The movement on the inventory provision for the three year period to December 31, 2009 is as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2007 US\$ 000</i>
Opening provision at January 1	16,461	18,234	7,284
Charged during the year	2,064	1,570	13,856
Utilised during the year	(4,751)	(2,182)	(2,323)
Released during the year	(1,208)	(1,161)	(583)
Closing provision at December 31	12,566	16,461	18,234

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****16. TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES**

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Trade receivables, net of impairment losses	20,120	24,962
Prepayments*	1,798	736
Value added tax	67	195
Finance lease receivables	692	439
Other receivables	254	1,086
	22,931	27,418

Trade receivables are shown net of an impairment losses provision of US\$855,000 (2008: US\$619,000) (see note 27).

- * Prepayments are shown net of amounts written down as part of the 2008 impairment review of US\$1,014,000 (see note 3).

Leases as lessor***(i) Finance lease commitments – Group as lessor***

The Group leases instruments as part of its business. Future minimum finance lease receivables with non-cancellable terms are as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>		
	Gross investment	Unearned income	Minimum payments receivable
Less than one year	1,002	310	692
Between one and five years (note 14)	1,559	453	1,106
	2,561	763	1,798

	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>		
	Gross investment	Unearned income	Minimum payments receivable

Less than one year	764	325	439
Between one and five years (note 14)	1,394	618	776
	2,158	943	1,215

In 2009, the Group classified future minimum lease receivables between one and five years of US\$1,106,000 (2008: US\$776,000) to Other Assets, see note 14. Under the terms of the lease arrangements, no contingent rents are receivable.

(ii) Operating lease commitments Group as lessor

The Group has leased a facility consisting of 9,000 square feet in Dublin, Ireland. This property has been sub-let by the Group. The lease contains a clause to enable upward revision of the rent charge on a periodic basis. The Group also leases instruments under operating leases as part of its business.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009**

16. TRADE AND OTHER RECEIVABLES (CONTINUED)

Future minimum rentals receivable under non-cancellable operating leases are as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>		
	<i>US\$ 000</i>		
	Land and buildings	Instruments	Total
Less than one year	228	1,992	2,220
Between one and five years	911	852	1,763
More than five years	399		399
	1,538	2,844	4,382

	<i>December 31, 2008</i>		
	<i>US\$ 000</i>		
	Land and buildings	Instruments	Total
Less than one year	223	1,160	1,383
Between one and five years	892	1,552	2,444
More than five years	613		613
	1,728	2,712	4,440

17. CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS

	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2009</i>	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2008</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Cash at bank and in hand	4,711	3,182
Short-term deposits	1,367	2,002
Cash and cash equivalents in the statements of cash flows	6,078	5,184

Cash relates to all cash balances which are readily available at year end. Cash equivalents relate to all cash balances on deposit, with a maturity of less than three months, which are not restricted. See note 25 (c).

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009****18. CAPITAL AND RESERVES*****Share capital***

<i>In thousands of shares</i>	<i>Class A Ordinary shares 2009</i>	<i>Class A Ordinary shares 2008</i>
In issue at January 1	82,017	74,757
Issued for cash	935	7,260
In issue at December 31	82,952	82,017
<i>In thousands of shares</i>	<i>Class B Ordinary shares 2009</i>	<i>Class B Ordinary shares 2008</i>
In issue at January 1	700	700
Issued for cash		
In issue at December 31	700	700

The Group had authorised share capital of 200,000,000 A ordinary shares of US\$0.0109 each (2008: 200,000,000 A ordinary shares of US\$0.0109 each) and 700,000 B ordinary shares of US\$0.0109 each (2008: 700,000 B ordinary shares of US\$0.0109 each) as at December 31, 2009.

- (a) During 2009, the Group issued 935,000 A Ordinary shares from the exercise of employee options for a consideration of US\$897,000 settled in cash. The Group incurred costs of US\$68,000 in connection with the issue of shares.
- (b) During 2008, the Group issued 7,260,816 A Ordinary shares as part of a private placement. These shares were issued for a consideration of US\$7,116,000, settled in cash. The Group incurred costs of US\$439,000 in connection with the issue of shares.
- (c) Since its incorporation the Group has not declared or paid dividends on its A Ordinary Shares or B Ordinary Shares. The Group anticipates, for the foreseeable future, that it will retain any future earnings in order to fund its business operations. The Group does not, therefore, anticipate paying any cash or share dividends on its A Ordinary or B Ordinary shares in the foreseeable future. As provided in the Articles of Association of the Company, dividends or other distributions will be declared and paid in US Dollars.
- (d) The Class B Ordinary Shares have two votes per share and the rights to participate in any liquidation or sale of the Group and to receive dividends as if each Class B Ordinary Share were two Class A Ordinary Shares. In all other respects they rank pari passu with the A ordinary shares.

Currency translation reserve

The currency translation reserve comprises all foreign exchange differences arising from the translation of the financial statements of foreign currency denominated operations of the Group since January 1, 2004.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009**

18. CAPITAL AND RESERVES (CONTINUED)

Warrant reserve

The warrant reserve comprises the equity component of share warrants issued by the Group for the purpose of fundraising. The Group calculates the fair value of warrants at the date of issue taking the amount directly to a separate reserve within equity. The fair value is calculated using the trinomial model. The fair value which is assessed at the grant date is calculated on the basis of the contractual term of the warrants.

In accordance with IFRS 2, 3,437,068 warrants with a fair value of US\$4,498,000 (2008: 3,437,068 warrants with a fair value of US\$4,498,000) have been classified as a separate reserve. There were no new warrants issued by the Group in 2009.

The following input assumptions were made to fair value the warrants issued by the Group during 2008:

Fair value at date of measurement	US\$	0.32
Share price	US\$	0.91
Exercise price	US\$	1.39
Expected volatility		51.31%
Contractual life		5 years
Risk free rate		2.57%
Expected dividend yield		

The following input assumptions were made to fair value the warrants previously issued by the Group in 2004:

Fair value at date of measurement	US\$	3.02
Share price	US\$	4.78
Exercise price	US\$	5.25
Expected volatility		78.31%
Contractual life		5 years
Risk free rate		3.26%
Expected dividend yield		

Hedging reserve

The hedging reserve comprises the effective portion of the cumulative net change in the fair value of cash flow hedging instruments related to hedged transactions entered into but not yet crystallised.

Convertible notes equity component

Under IAS 32, *Financial Instruments: Disclosure and Presentation*, the equity and liability elements of the convertible notes are recorded separately, with the equity component of the convertible notes being calculated as the excess of the issue proceeds over the present value of the future interest and principal repayments, discounted at the market rate of interest applicable to similar liabilities that do not have a conversion option. Transaction costs are allocated to the liability and equity components in proportion to the allocation of proceeds. On January 2, 2007, the maturity date of the convertible notes, the amount classified as equity of US\$164,000 was reclassified from equity to retained earnings.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009****19. SHARE OPTIONS AND SHARE WARRANTS*****Warrants***

In January 2004, the Company completed a private placement of 5,294,118 Class A Ordinary Shares of the Company at a price of US\$4.25 per A Ordinary share. The investors were granted five year warrants (vesting immediately) to purchase an aggregate of 1,058,824 Class A Ordinary Shares in the Company at an exercise price of US\$5.25 per share. The Company granted further warrants (vesting immediately) to purchase 200,000 Class A Ordinary Shares in the Company to agents of the Company who were involved in this private placement in January 2004 at an exercise price of US\$5.25. These warrants also have a term of five years. At December 31, 2009 there were no warrants outstanding under this award.

The Company granted warrants to purchase 2,178,244 Class A Ordinary Shares (vesting immediately) in April 2008. These warrants were issued at an exercise price of US\$1.39 and have a term of five years.

	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2009</i>	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2008</i>
Outstanding at beginning of year	3,437,068	1,258,824
Granted		2,178,244
Exercised		
Forfeited	(1,258,824)	
Outstanding at end of year	2,178,244	3,437,068

Options

Under the terms of the Company's Employee Share Option Plans, options to purchase 8,470,466 (excluding warrants of 2,178,244) A Ordinary Shares were outstanding at December 31, 2009. Under the Plans, options are granted to officers, employees and consultants of the Group at the discretion of the Compensation Committee (designated by the board of directors), under the terms outlined below.

The terms and conditions of the grants are as follows, whereby all options are settled by physical delivery of shares:

Vesting conditions

The options vest following a period of service by the officer or employee. The required period of service is determined by the Compensation Committee at the date of grant of the options (usually the date of approval by the Compensation Committee) and it is generally over a three to four year period. There are no market conditions associated with the share option grants.

Contractual life

The term of an option is determined by the Compensation Committee, provided that the term may not exceed seven years from the date of grant (some of the Group's earlier Plans had a ten year life). All options will terminate 90 days after termination of the option holder's employment, service or consultancy with the Group (or one year after such termination because of death or disability) except where a longer period is approved by the Board of Directors. Under certain circumstances involving a change in control of the Group, the Compensation Committee may accelerate the exercisability and termination of the options up to a maximum of one year.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****19. SHARE OPTIONS AND SHARE WARRANTS (CONTINUED)**

The number and weighted average exercise price of share options and warrants per ordinary share is as follows (as required by IFRS 2, this information relates to all grants of share options and warrants by the Group):

	<i>Options and warrants</i>	<i>Weighted- average exercise price US\$</i>	<i>Range US\$</i>
Outstanding January 1, 2007	9,612,067	2.32	0.98-5.25
Granted	364,667	2.24	1.35-2.80
Exercised	(285,210)	1.59	0.98-2.72
Forfeited	(623,405)	2.07	0.98-4.00
Outstanding at end of year	9,068,119	2.36	0.98-5.25
Exercisable at end of year	6,417,223	2.48	0.98-5.25
Outstanding January 1, 2008	9,068,119	2.36	0.98-5.25
Granted	4,378,244	1.14	0.74-1.66
Exercised			
Forfeited	(1,635,249)	1.58	0.74-4.50
Outstanding at end of year	11,811,114	2.01	0.74-5.25
Exercisable at end of year	8,670,013	2.27	0.74-5.25
Outstanding January 1, 2009	11,811,114	2.01	0.74-5.25
Granted	2,220,000	0.66	0.66-0.66
Exercised	(934,456)	0.96	0.74-1.07
Forfeited	(2,447,948)	3.52	0.87-5.25
Outstanding at end of year	10,648,710	1.48	0.66-4.00
Exercisable at end of year	6,915,952	1.84	0.74-4.00

The weighted average share price per A Ordinary share at the date of exercise for options exercised in 2009 was US\$1.05 (2007: US\$2.59). There were no share options exercised in 2008.

The opening share price per A Ordinary share at the start of the financial year was US\$0.40 (2008: US\$1.68) (2007: US\$2.14) and the closing share price at December 31, 2009 was US\$1.01 (2008: US\$0.40) (2007: US\$1.70). The average share price for the year ended December 31, 2009 was US\$0.80.

A summary of the range of prices for the Company's stock options and warrants for the year ended December 31, 2009 follows:

Outstanding**Exercisable**

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

Exercise price range	No. of options/warrants	Weighted- avg exercise price	Weighted- avg contractual life		No. of options/warrants	Weighted- avg exercise price	Weighted- avg contractual life	
			remaining (years)				remaining (years)	
US\$0.66-US\$0.99	3,275,000	US\$ 0.70	6.12		196,666	US\$ 0.74	5.72	
US\$1.00-US\$2.05	4,666,294	US\$ 1.47	3.02		4,141,040	US\$ 1.51	2.76	
US\$2.06- US\$2.99	2,551,916	US\$ 2.38	2.74		2,422,746	US\$ 2.39	2.66	
US\$3.00 -US\$5.25	155,500	US\$ 3.21	1.37		155,500	US\$ 3.21	1.37	
	10,648,710				6,915,952			

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****19. SHARE OPTIONS AND SHARE WARRANTS (CONTINUED)**

The weighted-average remaining contractual life of options and warrants outstanding at December 31, 2009 was 3.88 years (2008: 3.45 years).

A summary of the range of prices for the Company's stock options and warrants for the year ended December 31, 2008 follows:

Exercise price range	Outstanding			Exercisable		
	No. of options	Weighted-avg exercise price	Weighted-avg contractual life (years)	No. of options	Weighted-avg exercise price	Weighted-avg contractual life (years)
US\$0.74-US\$0.99	2,093,667	US\$ 0.87	4.28	833,667	US\$ 0.98	0.75
US\$1.00-US\$2.05	5,338,372	US\$ 1.47	3.78	4,165,860	US\$ 1.50	3.36
US\$2.06-US\$2.99	2,908,750	US\$ 2.36	3.80	2,200,162	US\$ 2.43	3.42
US\$3.00-US\$5.25	1,470,325	US\$ 4.96	0.35	1,470,324	US\$ 4.96	0.35
	11,811,114			8,670,013		

The recognition and measurement principles of IFRS 2 have been applied to share options granted under the Company's Share Option Plans since November 7, 2002 which have not vested by January 1, 2005 in accordance with IFRS 2.

Charge for the year under IFRS 2

The charge for the year is calculated based on the fair value of the options granted which have not yet vested. The fair value of the options is expensed over the vesting period of the option. US\$521,000 was charged to the statement of operations in 2009, (2008: US\$1,166,000) (2007: US\$1,403,000) split as follows:

		<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>	<i>December 31, 2007</i>
		<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Share-based payments	cost of sales	19	51	71
Share-based payments	research and development	15	48	108
Share-based payments	selling, general and administrative	487	1,067	1,224
Total		521	1,166	1,403

The total share based payments charge for the year was US\$599,000. However, a total of US\$78,000 (2008: US\$27,000) (2007: US\$79,000) of research and development share based payments were capitalised in intangible development project assets during the year.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009****19. SHARE OPTIONS AND SHARE WARRANTS (CONTINUED)**

The fair value of services received in return for share options granted are measured by reference to the fair value of share options granted. The estimate of the fair value of services received is measured based on a trinomial model. The following are the input assumptions used in determining the fair value of share options granted in 2009, 2008 and 2007:

	Key management personnel 2009		Key management personnel 2008		Key management personnel 2007		Other employees 2009		Other employees 2008		Other employees 2007	
Weighted average fair value at measurement date	US\$	0.38	US\$	0.47	US\$	0.39			US\$	0.96		
Total share options granted		2,220,000		1,665,000		535,000						364,667
Weighted average share price	US\$	0.66	US\$	0.89	US\$	0.92			US\$	2.28		
Weighted average exercise price	US\$	0.66	US\$	0.89	US\$	0.92			US\$	2.28		
Weighted average expected volatility		63.31%		51.61%		46.79%						47.41%
Weighted average expected life		5.73 years		6.36 years		4.60 years						4.18 years
Weighted average risk free interest rate		2.47%		2.77%		3.28%						4.35%
Expected dividend yield		0%		0%		0%						0%

No options were granted to the key management during 2007.

The expected life of the options is based on historical data and is not necessarily indicative of exercise patterns that may occur. The expected volatility is based on the historic volatility (calculated based on the expected life of the options). The Group has considered how future experience may affect historical volatility. The profile and activities of the Group are not expected to change in the immediate future and therefore Trinity Biotech would expect estimated volatility to be consistent with historical volatility.

20. INTEREST-BEARING LOANS AND BORROWINGS

This note provides information about the contractual terms of the Group's interest-bearing loans and borrowings. For more information about the Group's exposure to interest rate and foreign currency risk, see note 27.

	Note	December 31, 2009 US\$ '000	December 31, 2008 US\$ '000
Current liabilities			
Finance lease liabilities		791	430
Bank loans, secured	25(c)		
- Repayable by instalment		4,890	5,302
- Repayable not by instalment		6,944	6,924

		12,625	12,656
Non-current liabilities			
Finance lease liabilities		1,470	1,138
Bank loans, secured	25(c)		
- Repayable by instalment		17,761	22,327
		19,231	23,465

Bank loans

Trinity Biotech has a US\$48,340,000 club banking facility with Allied Irish Bank plc and Bank of Scotland (Ireland) Limited (the banks). The facility consists of a US Dollar floating interest rate term loan of US\$41,340,000, which runs until July 2012, and a one year revolver of US\$7,000,000.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009****20. INTEREST-BEARING LOANS AND BORROWINGS (CONTINUED)**

The facility was amended in December 2009, with the length of the term remaining unchanged (July 2012). The repayment schedule has been revised to US\$2,415,000 payable in January and July 2010 (revised from US\$3,215,000). The repayment schedule for January 2011, July 2011 and January 2012 remains unchanged at US\$3,215,000 per repayment. However, the final repayment in July 2012 has been revised to US\$8,032,000 (previously US\$6,432,000). During 2009, amounts of \$2,144,000 and \$3,215,000 were paid in January and July respectively. The revolver loan element of the facility has remained at US\$7,000,000. This facility is secured on the assets of the Group (see note 25 (c)).

Various covenants apply to the Group's bank borrowings. At December 31, 2009, the total amount outstanding under the facility amounted to US\$29,327,000, net of unamortised funding costs of US\$180,000.

Finance lease liabilities

Finance lease liabilities are payable as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>		
	<i>US\$ '000</i>		
	Minimum lease payments	Interest	Principal
Less than one year	909	118	791
In more than one year, but not more than two	904	66	838
In more than two years but not more than five	665	32	633
	2,478	216	2,262

	<i>December 31, 2008</i>		
	<i>US\$ '000</i>		
	Minimum lease payments	Interest	Principal
Less than one year	514	84	430
In more than one year, but not more than two	477	58	419
In more than two years but not more than five	757	38	719
	1,748	180	1,568

Under the terms of the lease arrangements, no contingent rents are payable.

Terms and debt repayment schedule

The terms and conditions of outstanding interest bearing loans and borrowings at December 31, 2009 are as follows:

<i>Facility</i>	<i>Currency</i>	<i>Nominal interest rate</i>	<i>Year of maturity</i>	<i>Fair Value December 31, 2009</i>	<i>Carrying Value</i>	<i>Fair Value December 31, 2008</i>	<i>Carrying Value</i>
Fixed bank loans	USD	5.00% - 6.00%	2009 -2012	268	268	2	2
	USD	2.53%	2009 -2012	29,327	29,327	34,551	34,551

Floating (LIBOR) bank loans							
Finance lease liabilities	Euro	6.24%	2009 -2014	2,268	2,257	1,551	1,524
Finance lease liabilities	GBP	7.72%	2009 -2010	5	5	44	44
Total interest-bearing loans and borrowings				31,868	31,857	36,148	36,121

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****21. TRADE AND OTHER PAYABLES**

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Trade payables	3,693	11,585
Payroll taxes	424	393
Employee related social insurance	485	429
Accrued restructuring expenses		1,144
Accrued liabilities	6,926	7,506
Deferred income	1,316	1,912
	12,844	22,969

Accrued restructuring expenses

The restructuring accrual in 2008 relates to contract termination costs and employee termination benefits associated with the restructuring announced in December 2008 (see note 3).

22. PROVISIONS

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Provisions	50	50

Movement on provisions during the year is as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
Balance at January 1	50	100
Provisions released during the year		(50)
Balance at December 31	50	50

During 2009 the Group experienced no significant product warranty claims. However, the Group believes that it is appropriate to retain a product warranty provision to cover any future claims. The provision at December 31, 2009 represents the estimated cost of product warranties, the exact amount which cannot be determined.

US\$50,000 represents management's best estimate of these obligations at December 31, 2009.

23. OTHER PAYABLES

	<i>December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
--	---	---

Other payables

59

59

113

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****24. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS***2009 Acquisitions*

There were no acquisitions made by the Group in the current financial year.

2008 Acquisitions

There were no acquisitions made by the Group in 2008.

2007 Acquisitions

In September 2007, the Group acquired the immuno technology business of Cortex Biochem Inc (Cortex) for a total cash consideration of US\$2,925,000, consisting of cash consideration of US\$2,887,000 and acquisition expenses of US\$38,000.

In October 2007, the Group acquired certain components relating to the distribution business of Sterilab Services UK (Sterilab), a distributor of Infectious Diseases products, for a total of US\$1,489,000, consisting of cash consideration of US\$1,480,000 and acquisition expenses of US\$9,000.

The results for both acquisitions in 2007 are incorporated from the date of acquisition in the consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007.

	<i>Cortex</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Sterilab</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Total</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
Property, plant and equipment		23	23
Inventories	41	88	129
Trade and other receivables	152		152
Intangible assets	844	656	1,500
	1,037	767	1,804
Deferred tax liability (see note 13)	102	183	285
Trade and other payables	45		45
	147	183	330
Fair value of net assets	890	584	1,474
Goodwill arising on acquisition	2,035	905	2,940
	2,925	1,489	4,414
Consideration:			
Cash payments	2,887	1,480	4,367
Costs associated with the acquisition	38	9	47
	2,925	1,489	4,414

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****24. BUSINESS COMBINATIONS (CONTINUED)**

Goodwill capitalised during 2007 in respect of the Cortex and Sterilab acquisitions amounted to US\$2,940,000 and comprised:

	Book values US\$ 000	Fair value adjustments US\$ 000	Fair value US\$ 000	Consideration US\$ 000	Goodwill US\$ 000
Cortex					
Trade and other receivables	152		152		
Inventories	218	(177)	41		
Intangible assets		844	844		
	370	667	1,037		
Deferred tax liability		102	102		
Trade and other payables	45		45		
	325	565	890	2,925	2,035
Sterilab					
Property, plant and equipment	23		23		
Inventories	99	(11)	88		
Intangible assets		656	656		
	122	645	767		
Deferred tax liability		183	183		
Trade and other payables					
	122	462	584	1,489	905

Impact of the acquisition on the statement of operations and cashflow

Due to their size, the impact of the acquisition of Cortex and Sterilab does not have a significant impact on the statement of operations and cashflow in 2007.

The following represents the increases to goodwill which took place in 2007.

	US\$ 000
Goodwill recognised with respect to 2007 acquisitions	
- Cortex	2,035
- Sterilab	905
Goodwill recognised with respect to 2006 acquisitions	
- bioMerieux	42
Total goodwill movement in 2007	2,982

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****25. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES****(a) Capital Commitments**

The Group has no capital commitments authorised and contracted for as at December 31, 2009 (2008: US\$Nil).

(b) Leasing Commitments

The Group leases a number of premises under operating leases. The leases typically run for periods up to 25 years. Lease payments are reviewed periodically (typically on a 5 year basis) to reflect market rentals. Operating lease commitments payable during the next 12 months amount to US\$4,289,000 (2008: US\$4,438,000) payable on leases of buildings at Dublin and Bray, Ireland, Berkshire, UK, Paris, France, Jamestown, New York, Kansas City, Missouri, Berkeley Heights, New Jersey, Acton, Massachusetts and Carlsbad, California and motor vehicles and equipment in the UK and Germany. US\$415,000 (2008: US\$181,000) of these operating lease commitments relates to leases whose remaining term will expire within one year, US\$406,000 (2008: US\$902,000) relates to leases whose remaining term expires between one and two years, US\$395,000 (2008: US\$350,000) between two and five years and the balance of US\$3,073,000 (2008: US\$3,005,000) relates to leases which expire after more than five years. See note 26 for related party leasing arrangements.

Future minimum operating lease commitments with non-cancellable terms in excess of one year are as follows:

	<i>Year ended 2009 Operating leases US\$ 000</i>
2010	4,289
2011	3,743
2012	3,486
2013	3,482
2014	3,317
Later years	35,503
Total lease obligations	53,820

	<i>Year ended 2008 Operating leases US\$ 000</i>
2009	4,438
2010	3,972
2011	3,491
2012	3,164
2013	3,030
Later years	39,595

Total lease obligations	57,690
For future minimum finance lease commitments, in respect of which the lessor has a charge over the related assets, see note 20.	
(c) Bank Security	
The Group's bank borrowings (note 20) are secured by a fixed and floating charge over the assets of Group entities, including specific charges over the shares in the subsidiaries and the Group's patents. Various covenants apply to the Group's bank borrowings with respect to profitability, interest cover, capital expenditure, working capital and location of assets. As at December 31, 2009 the Group was in breach of one of these covenants which was waived by the banks in advance of the balance sheet date. The covenant which was breached concerned the level of earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, amortisation and share option expense for the year ended December 31, 2009 (see note 27).	

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009**

25. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES (CONTINUED)

(d) *Section 17 Guarantees*

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 17, Irish Companies (Amendment) Act, 1986, the Company has guaranteed the liabilities of Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited, Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Services Limited, Trinity Research Limited, Benen Trading Limited, Trinity Biotech Financial Services Limited and Trinity Biotech Sales Limited, subsidiary undertakings in the Republic of Ireland, for the financial year to December 31, 2009 and, as a result, these subsidiary undertakings have been exempted from the filing provisions of Section 17, Irish Companies (Amendment) Act, 1986. Where the Company enters into these guarantees of the indebtedness of other companies within its Group, the Company considers these to be insurance arrangements and accounts for them as such. The Company treats the guarantee contract as a contingent liability until such time as it becomes probable that the company will be required to make a payment under the guarantee. The Company does not enter into financial guarantees with third parties.

(e) *Government Grant Contingencies*

The Group has received training and employment grant income from Irish development agencies. Subject to existence of certain conditions specified in the grant agreements, this income may become repayable. No such conditions existed as at December 31, 2009. However if the income were to become repayable, the maximum amounts repayable as at December 31, 2009 would amount to US\$3,646,000 (2008: US\$1,997,000).

26. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

The Group has related party relationships with its subsidiaries, and with its directors and executive officers.

Leasing arrangements with related parties

The Group has entered into various arrangements with JRJ Investments (JRJ), a partnership owned by Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh, directors of the Company, to provide for current and potential future needs to extend its premises at IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland.

In July 2000, Trinity Biotech entered into an agreement with JRJ pursuant to which the Group took a lease of a 25,000 square foot premises adjacent to the existing facility for a term of 20 years at a rent of 7.62 per square foot for an annual rent of 190,000 (US\$274,000). During 2006, the rent on this property was reviewed and increased to 11.00 per square foot, resulting in an annual rent of 275,000 (US\$397,000).

In November 2002, the Group entered into an agreement for a 25 year lease with JRJ for offices that have been constructed adjacent to its premises at IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland. The annual rent of 381,000 (US\$550,000) is payable from January 1, 2004. There was a rent review performed on this premises in 2009 and further to this review, there was no change to the annual rental charge.

In December 2007, the Group entered into an agreement with Mr. O Caoimh and Dr Walsh pursuant to which the Group took a lease on an additional 43,860 square foot manufacturing facility in Bray, Ireland at a rate of 17.94 per square foot (including fit out) giving a total annual rent of 787,000 (US\$1,136,000).

Trinity Biotech and its directors (excepting Mr O Caoimh and Dr Walsh who express no opinion on this point) believe that the arrangements entered into represent a fair and reasonable basis on which the Group can meet its ongoing requirements for premises.

Compensation of key management personnel of the Group

At December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 the key management personnel of the Group were made up of three key personnel, the two executive directors and the Chief Financial Officer/Company Secretary, Mr Kevin Tansley. Mr Brendan Farrell served as Chief Executive Officer until October 2008 and, accordingly, his remuneration up to that date has been included in the analysis below for 2008.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009****26. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS**

Compensation for the year ended December 31, 2009 of these personnel is detailed below:

	December 31, 2009 US\$ 000	December 31, 2008 US\$ 000
Short-term employee benefits	1,244	1,789
Compensation for loss of office		1,283
Post-employment benefits	136	277
Equity compensation benefits	367	736
	1,747	4,085

Total director emoluments included in note 6 includes non executive directors fees of US\$313,000 (2008: US\$200,000) and equity compensation benefits of US\$55,000 (2008: US\$39,000) and excludes the compensation costs of the Chief Financial Officer of US\$317,000 (2008: US\$408,000). Total directors remuneration is also included in personnel expenses (note 7).

Directors and executive officers interests in the Company's shares and share option plan

	<i>A Ordinary Shares</i>	<i>Share options</i>
At January 1, 2009	6,774,070	4,114,085
Exercised		(471,955)
Granted		2,220,000
Expired Options		(195,044)
Shares purchased	150,996	
At December 31, 2009	6,925,066	5,667,086
	<i>A Ordinary Shares</i>	<i>Share options</i>
At January 1, 2008	5,881,205	4,977,083
Exercised		
Granted		1,665,000
Additions /(Removals)*	(589,135)	(1,885,000)
Expired Options		(642,998)
Shares purchased	1,482,000	
At December 31, 2008	6,774,070	4,114,085

* The amounts removed are wholly attributable to shares and share options held by

Mr Brendan
Farrell as
Mr. Farrell was
not an executive
officer or a
director at the
year end.

Rayville Limited, an Irish registered company, which is wholly owned by the two executive directors and certain other executives of the Group, owns all of the B non-voting Ordinary Shares in Trinity Research Limited, one of the Group's subsidiaries. The B shares do not entitle the holders thereof to receive any assets of the company on a winding up. All of the A voting ordinary shares in Trinity Research Limited are held by the Group. Trinity Research Limited may, from time to time, declare dividends to Rayville Limited and Rayville Limited may declare dividends to its shareholders out of those amounts. Any such dividends paid by Trinity Research Limited are ordinarily treated as a compensation expense by the Group in the consolidated financial statements prepared in accordance with IFRS, notwithstanding their legal form of dividends to minority interests, as this best represents the substance of the transactions.

There were no director loans advanced during 2009. In February 2008, Dr. Walsh advanced a loan to Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited amounting to 650,000 (US\$938,000) at an annual interest rate of 5.68%. The company repaid the loan to Dr. Walsh prior to the year end. There were no other director loans advanced during 2008 and there were no loan balances payable to or receivable from directors at January 1, 2009 and at December 31, 2009.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS****DECEMBER 31, 2009****26. RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS (CONTINUED)**

In December 2006, the Remuneration Committee of the Board approved the payment of a dividend of US\$5,331,000 by Trinity Research Limited to Rayville Limited on the B shares held by it. This amount was then lent back by Rayville to Trinity Research Limited. This loan was partially used to fund executive compensation in 2007, 2008 and 2009 and will fund future executive compensation over the next number of years under the arrangement described above, with the amount of such funding being reflected in compensation expense over the corresponding period. As the dividend is matched by a loan from Rayville Limited to Trinity Research Limited which is repayable solely at the discretion of the Remuneration Committee of the Board and is unsecured and interest free, the Group netted the dividend paid to Rayville Limited against the corresponding loan from Rayville Limited in the 2006 consolidated financial statements.

In June 2009, the Board approved the payment of a dividend of \$2,830,000 by Trinity Research Limited to Rayville Limited on the B shares held by it. This amount was then lent back by Rayville to Trinity Research Limited. As the dividend is matched by a loan from Rayville Limited to Trinity Research Limited which is repayable solely at the discretion of the Remuneration Committee of the Board and is unsecured and interest free, the Group netted the dividend paid to Rayville Limited against the corresponding loan from Rayville Limited in the 2009 consolidated financial statements.

The amount of payments to Rayville included in compensation expense was US\$2,061,000, US\$1,866,000 and US\$1,071,000 for 2007, 2008 and 2009 respectively, of which US\$1,867,000, US\$1,609,905 and US\$887,000 respectively related to the key management personnel of the Group. There were no dividends payable to Rayville Limited as of December 31, 2009 or 2007. Dividends payable to Rayville at December 31, 2008 amounted to US\$60,000. Of the US\$1,071,000 of payments made to Rayville Limited in 2009, US\$311,000 represented repayments of the loan to Trinity Research Limited referred to above.

27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS

The Group uses a range of financial instruments (including cash, bank borrowings, convertible notes, promissory notes, finance leases, receivables, payables and derivatives) to fund its operations. These instruments are used to manage the liquidity of the Group in a cost effective, low-risk manner. Working capital management is a key additional element in the effective management of overall liquidity. The Group does not trade in financial instruments or derivatives. The main risks arising from the utilization of these financial instruments are interest rate risk, liquidity risk and credit risk.

Effective interest rate and repricing analysis

The following table sets out all interest-earning financial assets and interest bearing financial liabilities held by the Group at December 31, indicating their effective interest rates and the period in which they re-price:

As at December 31,

2009		Effective interest rate	Total US\$ 000	6 mths or less US\$ 000	6 - 12 mths US\$ 000	1-2 years US\$ 000	2-5 years US\$ 000
US\$ 000	Note		US\$ 000	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	US\$ 000	US\$ 000
Cash and cash equivalents	17	0.2%	6,078	6,078			
Secured bank loans floating	20	2.53%	(29,327)	(29,327)			
Secured bank loans fixed	20	6.00%	(268)				(268)
Finance lease liabilities fixed	20	6.61%	(2,261)	(5)		(305)	(1,951)
Total			(25,778)	(23,254)		(305)	(2,219)

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)***As at December 31,*

2008		<i>Effective interest rate</i>	<i>Total</i>	<i>6 mths or less</i>	<i>6 - 12 mths</i>	<i>1-2 years</i>	<i>2-5 years</i>
<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Note</i>		<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
Cash and cash equivalents	17	2.16%	5,184	5,184			
Secured bank loans floating	20	2.74%	(34,551)	(34,551)			
Secured bank loans fixed	20	5%	(2)	(2)			
Finance lease liabilities fixed	20	6.98%	(1,568)		(16)	(28)	(1,524)
Total			(30,937)	(29,369)	(16)	(28)	(1,524)

The effective interest rate on all loans and borrowings is the same as the actual interest rates.

Interest rate risk

The Group borrows in US dollars at floating and fixed rates of interest. Year-end borrowings totalled US\$31,856,000 (2008: US\$36,121,000), (net of cash: US\$25,778,000 (2008: US\$30,937,000)), at interest rates ranging from 2.53% to 6.61% (2008: 2.74% to 6.98%).

The total year-end borrowings consists of fixed rate debt of US\$2,529,000 (2008: US\$1,570,000) at interest rates ranging from 6% to 6.61% (2008: 5% to 6.98%) and floating rate debt of US\$29,327,000 (2008: US\$34,551,000) at an interest rate of 2.53% (2008: 2.74%). In broad terms, a one-percentage point increase in interest rates would increase interest income by US\$61,000 (2008: US\$52,000) and increase the interest expense by US\$295,000 (2008: US\$349,000) resulting in an increase in the net interest charge of US\$234,000 (2008: increase by US\$297,000).

Interest rate profile of financial liabilities

The interest rate profile of financial liabilities of the Group was as follows:

	<i>December 31, 2009</i>	<i>December 31, 2008</i>
	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Fixed rate instruments</i>		
Fixed rate financial liabilities	(2,529)	(1,570)
<i>Variable rate instruments</i>		
Financial assets	6,078	5,184
Floating rate financial liabilities	(29,327)	(34,551)
	(25,778)	(30,937)

Fixed rate instruments comprise fixed rate borrowings and finance lease obligations. The weighted average interest rate and weighted average period for which the rate is fixed is as follows:

	<i>December 31,</i> 2009	<i>December 31,</i> 2008
<i>Fixed rate financial liabilities</i>		
Weighted average interest rate	6.21%	6.16%
Weighted average period for which rate is fixed	2.82 years	3.56 years
Financial assets comprise of cash and cash equivalents at December 31, 2009 and at December 31, 2008 (see note 17).		
Floating rate financial liabilities comprise other borrowings that bear interest at a rate of 2.53%. These borrowings are provided by lenders at a margin of 2.25% over inter-bank rates.		

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

Fair value sensitivity analysis for fixed rate instruments

The Group does not account for any fixed rate financial liabilities at fair value through the statement of operations. Therefore a change in interest rates at December 31, 2009 would not affect profit or loss.

Cash flow sensitivity analysis for variable rate instruments

A change of 100 basis points in interest rates at the reporting date would have no effect on profit or loss for the period. This assumes that all other variables, in particular foreign currency rates, remain constant.

Fair Values

The table below sets out the Group's classification of each class of financial assets/liabilities and their fair values:

	<i>Note</i>	<i>Loans and receivables</i>	<i>Cash flow hedge derivatives</i>	<i>Liabilities at amortised cost</i>	<i>Total carrying amount</i>	<i>Fair Value</i>
December 31, 2009						
Trade receivables	16	20,120			20,120	20,120
Cash and cash equivalents	17	6,078			6,078	6,078
Finance lease receivable	14, 16	1,798			1,798	1,798
Forward contracts used for hedging			(58)		(58)	(58)
Grant income receivable		201			201	201
Secured bank loans	20			(29,595)	(29,595)	(29,595)
Finance lease liabilities	20			(2,261)	(2,261)	(2,273)
Trade and other payables (excluding deferred revenue)				(11,528)	(11,528)	(11,528)
Other payables	23			(59)	(59)	(59)
Provisions	22			(50)	(50)	(50)
		28,197	(58)	(43,493)	(15,354)	(15,366)
December 31, 2008						
Trade receivables	16	24,962			24,962	24,962
Cash and cash equivalents	17	5,184			5,184	5,184

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

Finance lease receivable	<i>14, 16</i>	1,215		1,215	1,215
Forward contracts used for hedging			(27)	(27)	(27)
Grant income receivable		1,008		1,008	1,008
Secured bank loans	<i>20</i>		(34,553)	(34,553)	(34,553)
Finance lease liabilities	<i>20</i>		(1,568)	(1,568)	(1,595)
Trade and other payables (excluding deferred revenue)			(21,057)	(21,057)	(21,057)
Other payables	<i>23</i>		(59)	(59)	(59)
Provisions	<i>22</i>		(50)	(50)	(50)
		32,369	(27)	(57,287)	(24,945)
					(24,972)

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)****Interest rates used for determining fair value**

The interest rates used to discount estimated cash flows, where applicable, based on observable market rates plus a premium which reflects the risk profile of the Group at the reporting date, were as follows:

	<i>December 31,</i> <i>2009</i>		<i>December 31,</i> <i>2008</i>	
Loans and borrowings	2.53%		2.74%	
Leases	5.66%	5.82%	5.02%	5.14%

There was no significant difference between the fair value and carrying value of the Group's trade receivables and trade and other payables at December 31, 2009 and December, 31 2008 as all fell due within 6 months.

Liquidity risk

The Group's operations are cash generating. Short-term flexibility is achieved through the management of the group's short-term deposits and through the use of a US\$7,000,000 revolver loan facility.

The following are the contractual maturities of financial liabilities, including estimated interest payments:

<i>As at December 31, 2009</i>	<i>Carrying amount</i>	<i>Contractual cash flows</i>	<i>6 mths or less</i>	<i>6 mths 12 mths</i>	<i>1-2 years</i>	<i>2-5 years</i>
<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>
<i>Financial liabilities</i>						
Secured bank loans floating	29,327	30,268	9,660	2,592	6,549	11,467
Secured bank loans fixed	268	288	56	56	112	64
Finance lease liabilities fixed	2,261	2,478	457	452	898	671
Trade & other payables	12,844	12,844	12,844			
	44,700	45,878	23,017	3,100	7,559	12,202

Trinity Biotech has a US\$48,340,000 club banking facility with AIB plc and Bank of Scotland (Ireland) Limited (the banks). The facility consists of a five year term loan of US\$41,340,000 and a one year revolver of US\$7,000,000. At December 31, 2009, the total amount outstanding under the facility amounted to US\$29,327,000, net of unamortised funding costs of US\$180,000. Various covenants apply to these borrowings. In the event that the Group breaches these covenants, this may result in the borrowings becoming payable immediately. As at December 31, 2009 the Group was in breach of one of these covenants which was waived by the banks. The covenant which was breached concerned the level of earnings before interest, tax, depreciation, amortisation and share option expense for the year end December 31, 2009. The margin applied to the loan facility has remained consistent at 2.25% above LIBOR.

<i>As at December 31, 2008</i>	<i>Carrying amount</i>	<i>Contractual cash flows</i>	<i>6 mths or less</i>	<i>6 mths 12 mths</i>	<i>1-2 years</i>	<i>2-5 years</i>
--------------------------------	------------------------	-------------------------------	-----------------------	-----------------------	------------------	------------------

Edgar Filing: TRINITY BIOTECH PLC - Form 20-F

<i>US\$ 000</i>		<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
<i>Financial liabilities</i>							
Secured bank loans	floating	34,551	36,289	9,614	3,463	6,817	16,395
Secured bank loans	fixed	2	2	2			
Finance lease liabilities	fixed	1,568	1,748	260	254	477	757
Trade & other payables		22,969	22,969	22,969			
		59,090	61,008	32,845	3,717	7,294	17,152

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)*****Foreign exchange risk***

The majority of the Group's activities are conducted in US Dollars. The primary foreign exchange risk arises from the fluctuating value of the Group's Euro denominated expenses as a result of the movement in the exchange rate between the US Dollar and the Euro. Arising from this, where considered necessary, the Group pursues a treasury policy which aims to sell US Dollars forward to match a portion of its uncovered Euro expenses at exchange rates lower than budgeted exchange rates. These forward contracts are primarily cashflow hedging instruments whose objective is to cover a portion of these Euro forecasted transactions. All of the forward contracts normally have maturities of less than one year after the balance sheet date. All of the forward contracts in place at December 31, 2009 have a maturity of less than one year after the balance sheet date. Where necessary, these forward contracts will be rolled over at maturity.

Euro denominated sales remained relatively consistent with the prior year, in percentage terms. The Group had foreign currency denominated cash balances equivalent to US\$518,000 at December 31, 2009 (2008: US\$1,257,000).

The Group states its forward exchange contracts at fair value in the balance sheet. The Group classifies its forward exchange contracts as hedging forecasted transactions and thus accounts for them as cash flow hedges. During 2009 and 2008, changes in the fair value of these contracts were recognized in equity and then in the case of contracts which were exercised during 2009 and 2008, the cumulative gain or losses were transferred to the statement of operations.

At December 31, 2009 the fair value of the forward exchange contract in place amounted to a liability of US\$58,000 (2008: liability of US\$27,000).

The following are the contractual maturities of the forward contracts used for hedging in place at December 31, 2009, which crystallize in 2010:

<i>As at December 31, 2009</i>	<i>Carrying</i>	<i>Contractual</i>	<i>6 mths or</i>	<i>6 mths 12</i>
<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>amount</i>	<i>cash</i>	<i>flows</i>	<i>less</i>
<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>	<i>US\$ '000</i>
<i>Forward contract used for hedging:</i>				
Outflow	(58)	(5,100)	(2,550)	(2,550)
Inflow		5,045	2,522	2,523
	(58)	(55)	(28)	(27)

Sensitivity analysis

A 10% strengthening of the US dollar against the following currencies at December 31, 2009 would have increased/ (decreased) profit or loss and other equity by the amounts shown below. This analysis assumes that all other variables, in particular interest rates, remain constant.

	<i>Profit or loss</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>Other equity</i> <i>movements</i> <i>US\$ 000</i>
December 31, 2009		
Euro	2,009	5
Pound Sterling	(416)	
December 31, 2008		
Euro	1,808	2
Pound Sterling	(24)	

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009****27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)**

A 10% weakening of the US dollar against the above currencies at December 31, 2009 and December 31, 2008 would have the equal but opposite effect on the above currencies to the amounts shown above, on the basis that all other variables remain constant.

Credit Risk

The Group has no significant concentrations of credit risk. Exposure to credit risk is monitored on an ongoing basis. The Group maintains specific provisions for potential credit losses. To date such losses have been within management's expectations. Due to the large number of customers and the geographical dispersion of these customers, the Group has no significant concentrations of accounts receivable.

With respect to credit risk arising from the other financial assets of the Group, which comprise cash and cash equivalents and forward contracts, the Group's exposure to credit risk arises from default of the counter-party, with a maximum exposure equal to the carrying amount of these instruments.

The Group maintains cash and cash equivalents and enters into forward contracts, when necessary, with various financial institutions. These financial institutions are located in a number of countries and Group policy is designed to limit exposure to any one institution. The Group performs periodic evaluations of the relative credit standing of those financial institutions. The carrying amount reported in the balance sheet for cash and cash equivalents and forward contracts approximate their fair value.

Exposure to credit risk

The carrying amount of financial assets represents the maximum credit exposure. The maximum exposure to credit risk is as follows:

	<i>Carrying Value December 31, 2009 US\$ '000</i>	<i>Carrying Value December 31, 2008 US\$ '000</i>
Third party trade receivables	20,120	24,962
Finance lease income receivable	1,798	1,215
Cash & cash equivalents	6,078	5,184
Grant income receivable	201	1,008
	28,197	32,369

The maximum exposure to credit risk for trade receivables and finance lease income receivable by geographic location is as follows:

<i>Carrying Value December 31, 2009</i>	<i>Carrying Value December 31, 2008</i>
---	---

	<i>US\$ 000</i>	<i>US\$ 000</i>
United States	10,187	11,310
Euro-zone countries	3,215	4,006
UK	599	950
Other European countries	732	1,866
Other regions	7,185	8,045
	21,918	26,177

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

The maximum exposure to credit risk for trade receivables and finance lease income receivable by type of customer is as follows:

	<i>Carrying Value December 31, 2009 US\$ 000</i>	<i>Carrying Value December 31, 2008 US\$ 000</i>
End-user customers	11,524	11,404
Distributors	9,742	12,623
Non-governmental organisations	652	2,150
	21,918	26,177

Due to the large number of customers and the geographical dispersion of these customers, the Group has no significant concentrations of accounts receivable.

Impairment Losses

The ageing of trade receivables at December 31, 2009 is as follows:

<i>In thousands of US\$</i>	<i>Gross 2009</i>	<i>Impairment 2009</i>	<i>Gross 2008</i>	<i>Impairment 2008</i>
Not past due	13,388	102	16,916	97
Past due 0-30 days	3,817	6	4,274	15
Past due 31-120 days	962	29	2,011	89
Greater than 120 days	2,808	718	2,380	418
	20,975	855	25,581	619

The movement in the allowance for impairment in respect of trade receivables during the year was as follows:

<i>In thousands of US\$</i>	<i>2009</i>	<i>2008</i>	<i>2007</i>
Balance at January 1	619	657	1,074
Charged to costs and expenses	302	544	578
Amounts recovered during the year	(22)	(82)	(190)
Amounts written off during the year	(44)	(500)	(805)
Balance at December 31	855	619	657

The allowance for impairment in respect of trade receivables is used to record impairment losses unless the Group is satisfied that no recovery of the account owing is possible. At this point the amount is considered

irrecoverable and is written off against the financial asset directly.

Capital Management

The Group's policy is to maintain a strong capital base so as to maintain investor, creditor and market confidence and to sustain future development of the business. The Board of Directors monitors earnings per share as a measure of performance, which the Group defines as profit after tax divided by the weighted average number of shares in issue.

The Board of Directors have a policy to maintain a capital structure consisting of both debt and equity and constantly monitors the mix of long term debt to equity. This approach is of particular importance with respect to the acquisition strategy of the Group whereby the Group has funded recent acquisitions using both equity and long term debt depending on the size of the acquisition and the capital structure in place at the time of the acquisition.

The Group has a long term lending facility with a number of lending banks (see note 20) and Trinity Biotech is listed on the NASDAQ which allows the Group to raise funds through equity financing where necessary.

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

27. DERIVATIVES AND FINANCIAL INSTRUMENTS (CONTINUED)

The Board of Directors is authorised to purchase its own shares on the market on the following conditions; the aggregate nominal value of the shares authorised to be acquired shall not exceed 10% of the aggregate nominal value of the issued share capital of the Company at the close of business on the date of the passing of the resolution:

the minimum price (exclusive of taxes and expenses) which may be paid for a share shall be the nominal value of that share:

the maximum price (exclusive of taxes and expenses) which may be paid for a share shall not be more than the average of the closing bid price on NASDAQ in respect of the ten business days immediately preceding the day on which the share is purchased.

There were no changes to the Group's approach to capital management during the year.

Neither the Company nor any of its subsidiaries are subject to externally imposed capital requirements.

28. PROPOSED DISPOSAL OF COAGULATION BUSINESS

In 2010, the Group signed an agreement to sell its worldwide Coagulation business to Diagnostica Stago for US\$90 million. Diagnostica Stago have agreed to purchase the share capital of Trinity Biotech (UK Sales) Limited, Trinity Biotech GmbH and Trinity Biotech SARL, along with coagulation assets of Biopool US Inc. and Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited. Included in the sale are Trinity's lists of coagulation customers and suppliers, all coagulation inventory, intellectual property and developed technology. In total, 320 Trinity employees will transfer their employment to Diagnostica Stago. The transaction is expected to close during quarter 2, 2010.

29. ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGEMENTS

The preparation of these financial statements requires the Group to make estimates and judgements that affect the reported amount of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities.

On an on-going basis, the Group evaluates these estimates, including those related to intangible assets, contingencies and litigation. The estimates are based on historical experience and on various other assumptions that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgements about the carrying values of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions.

Key sources of estimation uncertainty

Note 12 contains information about the assumptions and the risk factors relating to goodwill impairment. Note 19 outlines information regarding the valuation of share options and warrants. In note 27, detailed analysis is given about the interest rate risk, credit risk, liquidity risk and foreign exchange risk of the Group.

Critical accounting judgements in applying the Group's accounting policies

Certain critical accounting judgements in applying the group's accounting policies are described below:

Research and development expenditure

Under IFRS as adopted by the EU, we write-off research and development expenditure as incurred, with the exception of expenditure on projects whose outcome has been assessed with reasonable certainty as to technical feasibility, commercial viability and recovery of costs through future revenues. Such expenditure is capitalised at cost within intangible assets and amortised over its expected useful life of 15 years, which commences when commercial production starts.

Factors which impact our judgement to capitalise certain research and development expenditure include the degree of regulatory approval for products and the results of any market research to determine the likely future commercial success of products being developed. We review these factors each year to determine whether our previous estimates as to feasibility, viability and recovery should be changed.

Table of Contents

**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

29. ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGEMENTS (CONTINUED)

Impairment of intangible assets and goodwill

Definite lived intangible assets are reviewed for indicators of impairment annually while goodwill and indefinite lived assets are tested for impairment annually, individually or at the cash generating unit level.

Factors considered important, as part of an impairment review, include the following:

Significant underperformance relative to expected historical or projected future operating results;

Significant changes in the manner of our use of the acquired assets or the strategy for our overall business;

Obsolescence of products;

Significant decline in our stock price for a sustained period; and

Our market capitalisation relative to net book value.

When we determine that the carrying value of intangibles, non-current assets and related goodwill may not be recoverable based upon the existence of one or more of the above indicators of impairment, any impairment is measured based on our estimates of projected net discounted cash flows expected to result from that asset, including eventual disposition. Our estimated impairment could prove insufficient if our analysis overestimated the cash flows or conditions change in the future.

Allowance for slow-moving and obsolete inventory

We evaluate the realisability of our inventory on a case-by-case basis and make adjustments to our inventory provision based on our estimates of expected losses. We write-off any inventory that is approaching its use-by date and for which no further re-processing can be performed. We also consider recent trends in revenues for various inventory items and instances where the realisable value of inventory is likely to be less than its carrying value.

Allowance for impairment of receivables

We make judgements as to our ability to collect outstanding receivables and where necessary make allowances for impairment. Such impairments are made based upon a specific review of all significant outstanding receivables. In determining the allowance, we analyse our historical collection experience and current economic trends. If the historical data we use to calculate the allowance for impairment of receivables does not reflect the future ability to collect outstanding receivables, additional allowances for impairment of receivables may be needed and the future results of operations could be materially affected.

Accounting for income taxes

Significant judgement is required in determining our worldwide income tax expense provision. In the ordinary course of a global business, there are many transactions and calculations where the ultimate tax outcome is uncertain. Some of these uncertainties arise as a consequence of revenue sharing and cost reimbursement arrangements among related entities, the process of identifying items of revenue and expense that qualify for

preferential tax treatment and segregation of foreign and domestic income and expense to avoid double taxation. In addition, we operate within multiple taxing jurisdictions and are subject to audits in these jurisdictions. These audits can involve complex issues that may require an extended period of time for resolution. Although we believe that our estimates are reasonable, no assurance can be given that the final tax outcome of these matters will not be different than that which is reflected in our historical income tax provisions and accruals. Such differences could have a material effect on our income tax provision and profit in the period in which such determination is made. In management's opinion, adequate provisions for income taxes have been made.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined for the effects of net operating losses and temporary differences between the book and tax bases of assets and liabilities, using tax rates projected to be in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. While we have considered future taxable income and ongoing prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing whether deferred tax assets can be recognised, there is no assurance that these deferred tax assets may be realisable. The extent to which recognised deferred tax assets are not realisable could have a material adverse impact on our income tax provision and net income in the period in which such determination is made.

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

29. ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES AND JUDGEMENTS (CONTINUED)

Item 18, note 13 to the consolidated financial statements outlines the basis for the deferred tax assets and liabilities and includes details of the unrecognized deferred tax assets at year end. The Group derecognized deferred tax assets arising on unused tax losses except to the extent that there are sufficient taxable temporary differences relating to the same taxation authority and the same taxable entity which will result in taxable amounts against which the unused tax losses can be utilized before they expire. The derecognition of these deferred tax assets was considered appropriate in light of the increased tax losses caused by the restructuring and uncertainty over the timing of the utilization of the tax losses. Except for the derecognition of deferred tax assets there were no material changes in estimates used to calculate the income tax expense provision during 2009, 2008 or 2007.

30. GROUP UNDERTAKINGS

The consolidated financial statements include the financial statements of Trinity Biotech plc and the following principal subsidiary undertakings:

<i>Name and registered office</i>	<i>Principal activity</i>	<i>Principal Country of incorporation and operation</i>	<i>Group % holding</i>
Trinity Biotech plc IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland	Investment and holding company	Ireland	Holding company
Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Limited IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland	Manufacture and sale of diagnostic test kits	Ireland	100%
Trinity Research Limited IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland	Research and development	Ireland	100%
Benen Trading Limited IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland	Trading	Ireland	100%
Trinity Biotech Manufacturing Services Limited IDA Business Park, Bray, Co. Wicklow, Ireland	Engineering services	Ireland	100%
Trinity Biotech Financial Services Limited IDA Business Park, Bray,	Provision of financial services	Ireland	100%

Co Wicklow, Ireland

Trinity Biotech Inc Girls Road, Jamestown, NY 14702, USA	Holding Company	U.S.A.	100%
Clark Laboratories Inc Trading as Trinity Biotech (USA) Girls Road, Jamestown NY14702, USA	Manufacture and sale of diagnostic test kits	U.S.A.	100%
Mardx Diagnostics Inc 5919 Farnsworth Court Carlsbad CA 92008, USA	Manufacture and sale of diagnostic test kits	U.S.A.	100%

Table of Contents**NOTES TO THE CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
DECEMBER 31, 2009**

30. GROUP UNDERTAKINGS (CONTINUED)

<i>Name and registered office</i>	<i>Principal activity</i>	<i>Principal Country of incorporation and operation</i>	<i>Group % holding</i>
Fitzgerald Industries International, Inc 2711 Centerville Road, Suite 400 Wilmington, New Castle Delaware, 19808, USA	Management services company	U.S.A.	100%
Biopool US Inc (trading as Trinity Biotech Distribution) Girts Road, Jamestown NY14702, USA	Sale of diagnostic test kits	U.S.A.	100%
Primus Corporation 4231 E 75 th Terrace Kansas City, MO 64132, USA	Manufacture and sale of diagnostic test kits and instrumentation	U.S.A.	100%
Trinity Biotech (UK Sales) Limited 54 Queens Road Reading RG1 4A2, England	Sale of diagnostic test kits	UK	100%
Trinity Biotech GmbH Lehbrinksweg 59, 32657 Lemgo, Germany	Manufacture of diagnostic instrumentation and sale of diagnostic test kits	Germany	100%
Trinity Biotech France SARL 300A Rue Marcel Paul 21 Des Grands Godets 93 500 Champigny sur marne France	Sale of diagnostic test kits	France	100%

31. AUTHORISATION FOR ISSUE

These Group consolidated financial statements were authorised for issue by the Board of Directors on April 15, 2010.

Table of Contents

Signatures

The registrant hereby certifies that it meets all of the requirements for filing on Form 20-F and that it has duly caused and authorised the undersigned to sign this annual report on its behalf.

TRINITY BIOTECH PLC

By: RONAN O CAOIMH
Mr Ronan O Caoimh
Director/
Chief Executive Officer

Date: April 15, 2010

By: KEVIN TANSLEY
Mr Kevin Tansley
Company secretary/
Chief Financial Officer

Date: April 15, 2010

Table of Contents

Item 19

Exhibits

Exhibit No.	Description of Exhibit
4	Employee Share Option Plan
12.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002.
12.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002.
13.1	Certification by Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
13.2	Certification by Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, As Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
15.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (GT)
15.2	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm (KPMG)