

STMICROELECTRONICS NV

Form 6-K

August 03, 2007

Table of Contents

**SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549
FORM 6-K
REPORT OF FOREIGN PRIVATE ISSUER
PURSUANT TO RULE 13a-16 or 15d-16 OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934
Report on Form 6-K dated August 3, 2007**

STMicroelectronics N.V.

(Name of Registrant)

39, Chemin du Champ-des-Filles

1228 Plan-les-Ouates, Geneva, Switzerland

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover of Form 20-F or Form 40-F:
Form 20-F ☐ Form 40-F ☐

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Yes ☐ No ☐

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934:

Yes ☐ No ☐

If ☐ Yes ☐ is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b): 82-

Enclosure: STMicroelectronics N.V.'s Second Quarter and First Half 2007:

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects;

Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Income, Balance Sheets, Statements of Cash Flow, and Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and related Notes for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007;

Certifications pursuant to Sections 302 (Exhibits 12.1 and 12.2) and 906 (Exhibit 13.1) of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, submitted to the Commission on a voluntary basis;

Master agreement by and between STMicroelectronics N.V., Intel Corporation, Redwood Blocker S.A.R.L., and Francisco Partners II (Cayman) L.P., May 22, 2007 (Exhibit 99.1); and

Form of ST Asset Contribution Agreement (Exhibit 99.2).

TABLE OF CONTENTS

SIGNATURES

EXHIBIT 12.1

EXHIBIT 12.2

EXHIBIT 13.1

EXHIBIT 99.1

EXHIBIT 99.2

Table of Contents**OPERATING AND FINANCIAL REVIEW AND PROSPECTS****Overview**

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Income, Balance Sheets, Statements of Cash Flow and Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity for the three months and six months ended June 30, 2007 and Notes thereto included elsewhere in this Form 6-K and in our annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2006 as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the Commission or the SEC) on March 14, 2007 (the Form 20-F). The following discussion contains statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, or Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended, particularly in the sections Critical Accounting Policies Using Significant Estimates, Business Outlook and Liquidity and Capital Resources Financial Outlook. Our actual results may differ significantly from those projected in the forward-looking statements. For a discussion of factors that might cause future actual results to differ materially from our recent results or those projected in the forward-looking statements in addition to the factors set forth below, see Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements and Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors included in our annual report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2006 as filed with the SEC on March 14, 2007, as they may be updated in our SEC submissions from time to time. We assume no obligation to update the forward-looking statements or such risk factors.

Critical Accounting Policies Using Significant Estimates

The preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), requires us to make estimates and assumptions that have a significant impact on the results we report in our Consolidated Financial Statements, which we discuss under the section Results of Operations. Some of our accounting policies require us to make difficult and subjective judgments that can affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net revenue and expenses during the reporting period. The primary areas that require significant estimates and judgments by management include, but are not limited to, sales returns and allowances; reserves for price protection to certain distributor customers; allowances for doubtful accounts; inventory reserves and normal manufacturing loading thresholds to determine costs to be capitalized in inventory; accruals for warranty costs, litigation and claims; valuation of acquired intangibles, goodwill, investments and tangible assets as well as the impairment of their related carrying values; restructuring charges; other non-recurring special charges and stock-based compensation charges; assumptions used in calculating pension obligations and share-based compensation; assessment of hedge effectiveness of derivative instruments; deferred income tax assets, including required valuation allowances and liabilities; provisions for specifically identified income tax exposures and income tax uncertainties; and evaluation of tax provisions. We base our estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors such as market trends, business plans and levels of materiality that we believe to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. While we regularly evaluate our estimates and assumptions, our actual results may differ materially and adversely from our estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the actual results and these estimates, our future results of operations could be significantly affected.

We believe the following critical accounting policies require us to make significant judgments and estimates in the preparation of our Consolidated Financial Statements:

Revenue recognition. Our policy is to recognize revenues from sales of products to our customers when all of the following conditions have been met: (a) persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists; (b) delivery has occurred; (c) the selling price is fixed or determinable; and (d) collectibility is reasonably assured. This usually occurs at the time of shipment.

Consistent with standard business practice in the semiconductor industry, price protection is granted to distribution customers on their existing inventory of our products to compensate them for declines in market prices. The ultimate decision to authorize a distributor refund remains fully within our control. We accrue a provision for price protection based on a rolling historical price trend computed on a monthly basis as a

percentage of gross distributor sales. This historical price trend represents

Table of Contents

differences in recent months between the invoiced price and the final price to the distributor, adjusted if required, to accommodate a significant move in the current market price. The short outstanding inventory time period, visibility into the standard inventory product pricing (as opposed to certain customized products) and long distributor pricing history have enabled us to reliably estimate price protection provisions at period-end. We record the accrued amounts as a deduction of revenue at the time of the sale. If market conditions differ from our assumptions, this could have an impact on future periods; in particular, if market conditions were to deteriorate, net revenues could be reduced due to higher product returns and price reductions at the time these adjustments occur.

Our customers occasionally return our products from time to time for technical reasons. Our standard terms and conditions of sale provide that if we determine that products are non-conforming, we will repair or replace the non-conforming products, or issue a credit or rebate of the purchase price. Quality returns are not related to any technological obsolescence issues and are identified shortly after sale in customer quality control testing. Quality returns are always associated with end-user customers, not with distribution channels. We provide for such returns when they are considered as probable and can be reasonably estimated. We record the accrued amounts as a reduction of revenue.

Our insurance policies relating to product liability only cover physical and other direct damages caused by defective products. We do not carry insurance against immaterial, non-consequential damages. We record a provision for warranty costs as a charge against cost of sales based on historical trends of warranty costs incurred as a percentage of sales which we have determined to be a reasonable estimate of the probable losses to be incurred for warranty claims in a period. Any potential warranty claims are subject to our determination that we are at fault and liable for damages, and such claims usually must be submitted within a short period following the date of sale. This warranty is given in lieu of all other warranties, conditions or terms expressed or implied by statute or common law. Our contractual terms and conditions typically limit our liability to the sales value of the products, which gave rise to the claims.

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for potential estimated losses resulting from our customers inability to make required payments. We base our estimates on historical collection trends and record a provision accordingly. Furthermore, we are required to evaluate our customers credit ratings from time to time and take an additional provision for any specific account that we estimate as doubtful. In the first half of 2007, we did not record any new specific provision related to bankrupt customers in addition to our standard provision of 1% of total receivables based on the estimated historical collection trends. If we receive information that the financial condition of our customers has deteriorated, resulting in an impairment of their ability to make payments, additional allowances could be required.

While the majority of our sales agreements contain standard terms and conditions, we may, from time to time, enter into agreements that contain multiple elements or non-standard terms and conditions, which require revenue recognition judgments. Where multiple elements exist in an arrangement, the arrangement is allocated to the different elements based upon verifiable objective evidence of the fair value of the elements, as governed under Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 00-21, Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables (EITF 00-21).

Goodwill and purchased intangible assets. The purchase method of accounting for acquisitions requires extensive use of estimates and judgments to allocate the purchase price to the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired, including in-process research and development, which is expensed immediately. Goodwill and intangible assets deemed to have indefinite lives are not amortized but are instead subject to annual impairment tests. The amounts and useful lives assigned to other intangible assets impact future amortization. If the assumptions and estimates used to allocate the purchase price are not correct or if business

conditions change, purchase price adjustments or future asset impairment charges could be required. At June 30, 2007, the value of goodwill amounted to \$225 million.

Impairment of goodwill. Goodwill recognized in business combinations is not amortized and is instead subject to an impairment test to be performed on an annual basis, or more frequently if indicators of impairment exist, in order to assess the recoverability of its carrying value. Goodwill subject to potential impairment is tested at a reporting unit level, which represents a component of an operating segment for which discrete financial information is available and is subject to regular review

Table of Contents

by segment management. This impairment test determines whether the fair value of each reporting unit for which goodwill is allocated is lower than the total carrying amount of relevant net assets allocated to such reporting unit, including its allocated goodwill. If lower, the implied fair value of the reporting unit goodwill is then compared to the carrying value of the goodwill and an impairment charge is recognized for any excess. In determining the fair value of a reporting unit, we usually estimate the expected discounted future cash flows associated with the reporting unit. Significant management judgments and estimates are used in forecasting the future discounted cash flows including: the applicable industry's sales volume forecast and selling price evolution; the reporting unit's market penetration; the market acceptance of certain new technologies and relevant cost structure; the discount rates applied using a weighted average cost of capital; and the perpetuity rates used in calculating cash flow terminal values. Our evaluations are based on financial plans updated with the latest available projections of the semiconductor market evolution, our sales expectations and our costs evaluation and are consistent with the plans and estimates that we use to manage our business. It is possible, however, that the plans and estimates used may be incorrect, and future adverse changes in market conditions or operating results of acquired businesses not in line with our estimates may require impairment of certain goodwill. No impairment charges were recorded in the first half of 2007.

Intangible assets subject to amortization. Intangible assets subject to amortization include the cost of technologies and licenses purchased from third parties, internally developed software that is capitalized and purchased software. Intangible assets subject to amortization are reflected net of any impairment losses. These are amortized over a period ranging from three to seven years. The carrying value of intangible assets subject to amortization is evaluated whenever changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable. In determining recoverability, we initially assess whether the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows associated with the intangible assets. If exceeded, we then evaluate whether an impairment charge is required by determining if the asset's carrying value also exceeds its fair value. An impairment loss is recognized for the excess of the carrying amount over the fair value. We normally estimate the fair value based on the projected discounted future cash flows associated with the intangible assets. Significant management judgments and estimates are required and used in the forecasts of future operating results that are used in the discounted cash flow method of valuation, including: the applicable industry's sales volume forecast and selling price evolution; our market penetration; the market acceptance of certain new technologies; and costs evaluation. Our evaluations are based on financial plans updated with the latest available projections of the semiconductor market evolution and our sales expectations and are consistent with the plans and estimates that we use to manage our business. It is possible, however, that the plans and estimates used may be incorrect and that future adverse changes in market conditions or operating results of businesses acquired may not be in line with our estimates and may therefore require impairment of certain intangible assets. No impairment charges were recorded in the first half of 2007. At June 30, 2007, the value of intangible assets in our consolidated financial statements subject to amortization amounted to \$157 million.

Property, plant and equipment. Our business requires substantial investments in technologically advanced manufacturing facilities, which may become significantly underutilized or obsolete as a result of rapid changes in demand and ongoing technological evolution. We estimate the useful life for the majority of our manufacturing equipment, which is the largest component of our long-lived assets, to be six years. This estimate is based on our experience with using equipment over time. Depreciation expense is a major element of our manufacturing cost structure. We begin to depreciate new equipment when it is put into use.

We evaluate each period when there is reason to suspect that the carrying value of tangible assets or groups of assets might not be recoverable. Factors we consider important which could trigger an impairment review include: significant negative industry trends, significant underutilization of the assets or available evidence of obsolescence of an asset, strategic management decisions impacting production or an indication that its economic performance is, or will be, worse than expected and a more likely than not expectation that assets will

be sold or disposed of prior to their estimated useful life. In determining the recoverability of assets to be held and used, we initially assess whether the carrying value exceeds the undiscounted cash flows associated with the tangible assets or group of assets. If exceeded, we then evaluate whether an impairment charge is required by determining if the asset's carrying value also exceeds its fair value. We normally estimate this fair value based on independent market appraisals or the sum of discounted future cash flows, using market assumptions such as the utilization of our fabrication facilities and the ability to upgrade such facilities, change in

Table of Contents

the selling price and the adoption of new technologies. We also evaluate the continued validity of an asset's useful life when impairment indicators are identified. Assets classified as held for sale are reflected at the lower of their carrying amount or fair value less selling costs and are not depreciated during the selling period. Selling costs include incremental direct costs to transact the sale that we would not have incurred except for the decision to sell.

Our evaluations are based on financial plans updated with the latest projections of the semiconductor market evolution and of our sales expectations, from which we derive the future production needs and loading of our manufacturing facilities, and which are consistent with the plans and estimates that we use to manage our business. These plans are highly variable due to the high volatility of the semiconductor business and therefore are subject to continuous modifications. If the future evolution differs from the basis of our plans, both in terms of market evolution and production allocation to our manufacturing plants, this could require a further review of the carrying amount of our tangible assets resulting in a potential impairment loss.

Inventory. Inventory is stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is based on the weighted average cost by adjusting standard cost to approximate actual manufacturing costs on a quarterly basis; the cost is therefore dependent on our manufacturing performance. In the case of underutilization of our manufacturing facilities, we estimate the costs associated with the excess capacity; these costs are not included in the valuation of inventories but are charged directly to cost of sales. Net realizable value is the estimated selling price in the ordinary course of business less applicable variable selling expenses.

The valuation of inventory requires us to estimate obsolete or excess inventory as well as inventory that is not of saleable quality. Provisions for obsolescence are estimated for excess uncommitted inventories based on the previous quarter sales, order backlog and production plans. To the extent that future negative market conditions generate order backlog cancellations and declining sales, or if future conditions are less favorable than the projected revenue assumptions, we could be required to record additional inventory provisions, which would have a negative impact on our gross margin.

Asset disposal. On May 22, 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement with Intel Corporation and Francisco Partners L.P. to create a new independent semiconductor company from the key assets of businesses which for our Company had been included in our Flash Memory Group. Upon signature of this agreement, the conditions were met for assets held for sale treatment in our consolidated financial statements for the assets to be contributed to the new company. Upon movement of the assets to be contributed, which consisted primarily of fixed and intangible assets to assets held for sale, the relevant depreciation and amortization charges were stopped under Statement of Financial Standards No. 144, Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets (FAS 144). Furthermore, FAS 144 requires an impairment analysis when assets are moved to assets held for sale based on the difference between the Net Book Value and the Fair Value, less costs to sale, of the group of assets (and liabilities) to be sold. As a result of this review, we have registered a loss in the second quarter of 2007 of \$857 million. Fair value less costs to sell was based on the net consideration of the agreement and significant estimates. The final amount could be materially different subject to adjustments due to business evolution before closing of the transaction.

Restructuring charges. We have undertaken, and we may continue to undertake, significant restructuring initiatives, which have required us, or may require us in the future, to develop formalized plans for exiting any of our existing activities. We recognize the fair value of a liability for costs associated with exiting an activity when a probable liability exists and it can be reasonably estimated. We record estimated charges for non-voluntary termination benefit arrangements such as severance and outplacement costs meeting the criteria for a liability as described above. Given the significance of and the timing of the execution of such activities, the process is complex and involves periodic reviews of estimates made at the time the original decisions were

taken. As we operate in a highly cyclical industry, we monitor and evaluate business conditions on a regular basis. If broader or new initiatives, which could include production curtailment or closure of other manufacturing facilities were to be taken, we may be required to incur additional charges as well as to change estimates of amounts previously recorded. The potential impact of these changes could be material and could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition. In the first half of 2007, the net amount of restructuring charges and other related closure costs amounted to \$61 million before taxes. In the second quarter of 2007, we incurred \$40 million of the total expected approximate \$270 million to \$300 million in pre-tax charges associated with the new 2007 restructuring plan of our

Table of Contents

manufacturing activities. The plan was defined on July 10, 2007 and is expected to take two to three years to complete. See Note 7 to our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Share-based compensation. We are required to expense our employees' share-based compensation awards for financial reporting purposes. We measure our share-based compensation cost based on the fair value on the grant date of each award. This cost is recognized over the period during which an employee is required to provide service in exchange for the award or the requisite service period, usually the vesting period, and is adjusted for actual forfeitures that occur before vesting. Our share-based compensation plans may award shares contingent on the achievement of certain financial objectives, including market performance and financial results. In order to assess the fair value of this share-based compensation, we are required to estimate certain items, including the probability of meeting the market performance and financial results targets, the forfeitures and the service period of our employees. As a result, we recorded in the first half of 2007 a total pre-tax charge of \$32 million out of which \$4 million are related to the 2005 Unvested Stock Award Plan, \$25 million to the 2006 Unvested Stock Award Plan and \$3 million to the 2007 Unvested Stock Award Plan.

Income taxes. We are required to make estimates and judgments in determining income tax expense for financial statement purposes. These estimates and judgments also occur in the calculation of certain tax assets and liabilities and provisions.

We are required to assess the likelihood of recovery of our deferred tax assets. If recovery is not likely, we are required to record a valuation allowance against the deferred tax assets that we estimate will not ultimately be recoverable, which would increase our provision for income taxes. As of June 30, 2007, we believed that all of the deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowances, as recorded on our balance sheet, would ultimately be recovered. However, should there be a change in our ability to recover our deferred tax assets, in our estimates of the valuation allowance, or a change in the tax rates applicable in the various jurisdictions, this could have an impact on our future tax provision in the periods in which these changes could occur.

Patent and other intellectual property litigation or claims. As is the case with many companies in the semiconductor industry, we have from time to time received, and may in the future receive, communications alleging possible infringement of patents and other intellectual property rights of others. Furthermore, we may become involved in costly litigation brought against us regarding patents, mask works, copyrights, trademarks or trade secrets. In the event that the outcome of any litigation would be unfavorable to us, we may be required to take a license to the underlying intellectual property right upon economically unfavorable terms and conditions, and possibly pay damages for prior use, and/or face an injunction, all of which singly or in the aggregate could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and ability to compete. See Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Operations We depend on patents to protect our rights to our technology included in our Form 20-F, as may be updated from time to time in our public findings.

We record a provision when we believe that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and when the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We regularly evaluate losses and claims with the support of our outside attorneys to determine whether they need to be adjusted based on the current information available to us. Legal costs associated with claims are expensed as incurred. We are in discussion with several parties with respect to claims against us relating to possible infringements of patents and similar intellectual property rights of others.

As of the end of the first half of 2007, based on our assessment, we did not record any provisions in our financial statements relating to legal proceedings, because we had not identified any risk of probable loss that is likely to arise out of the proceedings. There can be no assurance, however, that we will be successful in resolving these proceedings. If we are unsuccessful, or if the outcome of any other litigation or claim were to be unfavorable to us, we may incur monetary damages, or an injunction or exclusion order.

Pension and Post Retirement Benefits. Our results of operations and our balance sheet include the impact of pension and post retirement benefits that are measured using actuarial valuations. At June 30, 2007, our pension obligations amount to \$362 million based on the assumption that our employees will work with us until they reach the age of retirement. These valuations are based on key assumptions, including discount rates, expected long-term rates of return on funds and salary increase rates. These

Table of Contents

assumptions are updated on an annual basis at the beginning of each fiscal year or more frequently upon the occurrence of significant events. Any changes in the pension schemes or in the above assumptions can have an impact on our valuations.

Other claims. We are subject to the possibility of loss contingencies arising in the ordinary course of business. These include, but are not limited to: warranty costs on our products not covered by insurance, breach of contract claims, tax claims and provisions for specifically identified income tax exposures as well as claims for environmental damages. In determining loss contingencies, we consider the likelihood of a loss of an asset or the incurrence of a liability, as well as our ability to reasonably estimate the amount of such loss or liability. An estimated loss is recorded when we believe that it is probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. We regularly reevaluate any losses and claims and determine whether our provisions need to be adjusted based on the current information available to us. In the event of litigation that is adversely determined with respect to our interests, or in the event that we need to change our evaluation of a potential third-party claim based on new evidence or communications, this could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition at the time it were to materialize.

Fiscal Year

Under Article 35 of our Articles of Association, our financial year extends from January 1 to December 31, which is the period end of each fiscal year. The first quarter of 2007 ended on March 31, 2007 and the second quarter of 2007 ended on June 30, 2007. The third quarter of 2007 will end on September 29, 2007 and the fourth quarter of 2007 will end on December 31, 2007. Based on our fiscal calendar, the distribution of our revenues and expenses by quarter may be unbalanced due to a different number of days in the various quarters of the fiscal year.

Business Overview

The total available market is defined as the TAM, while the serviceable available market, the SAM, is defined as the market for products produced by us (which consists of the TAM and excludes PC motherboard major devices such as microprocessors (MPU), dynamic random access memories (DRAMs), and optoelectronics devices).

Effective January 1, 2007, to meet the evolving requirements of the market together with the pursuit of a strategic repositioning in Flash memory, we have reorganized our product segment groups into the Application Specific Product Groups, the Flash Memories Group and the Industrial and Multisegment Sector. Since such date we report our sales and operating income in three segments:

the Application Specific Groups (ASG) is comprised of the newly created Mobile, Multimedia & Communications Group (MMC) and the Home Entertainment & Displays Group (HED) as well as the existing Automotive Product Group (APG) and Computer Peripherals Group (CPG);

the Flash Memories Group (FMG), incorporates all the Flash memory operations (both NOR and NAND), including Technology R&D, all product related activities, front-end and back-end manufacturing, marketing and sales worldwide; and

the Industrial and Multisegment sector (IMS) is comprised of the former Micro, Power, Analog (MPA) segment, which includes discrete and standard products plus standard microcontroller and industrial devices (including the programmable systems memories (PSM) division); non-Flash memory products; and Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS) activity.

Based upon most recently published estimates, in the first half of 2007, semiconductor industry revenue increased year-over-year by approximately 2.1% for the TAM and by approximately 0.3% for the SAM. For the second quarter of 2007, the TAM and the SAM both registered an increase of approximately 0.9% and by approximately 0.6%, respectively on a year-over-year basis; on a sequential basis, the TAM decreased by approximately 2.0% and the SAM increased by approximately 2.5%.

Our net revenues for the first half of 2007 were \$4,693 million, decreasing 3.4% compared to \$4,858 million in the first half of 2006, reflecting a significant drop in the Telecom and Computer market segments.

Table of Contents

Flash memory revenues declined 20% while non-memory products sales were equivalent. Our sales performance was below the TAM and the SAM.

Our second quarter 2007 net revenues decreased by 3.1% to \$2,418 million, from \$2,495 million in the second quarter of 2006. This year-over-year decline was driven by the Computer and Telecom market segments. Flash memory revenues declined by approximately 19%. Our revenue performance in the second quarter of 2007 was also below the TAM and the SAM on a year-over-year basis.

On a sequential basis, net revenues for the second quarter 2007 increased by 6.2% to \$2,418 million from \$2,276 million in the first quarter of 2007. This sequential improvement was driven by double-digit growth in the Consumer and Telecom segments. Our second quarter of 2007 results were slightly below the mid range of the guidance released to the market anticipating a sequential increase of net revenues between 4% and 10%. Our sequential revenue performance was above the TAM and the SAM.

In the first half of 2007, our effective exchange rate was \$1.31 for 1.00, which reflects actual exchange rate levels and the impact of certain hedging contracts, compared to an effective exchange rate of \$1.22 for 1.00 in the first half of 2006. In the second quarter of 2007, our effective exchange rate was \$1.33 for 1.00, while in the second quarter of 2006 our effective exchange rate was \$1.23 for 1.00 and in the first quarter of 2007 our effective exchange rate was \$1.29 for 1.00. For a more detailed discussion of our hedging arrangements and the impact of fluctuations in exchange rates, see *Impact of Changes in Exchange Rates* below.

Our gross margin for the first half of 2007 decreased to 34.6%, compared to 35.4% in the first half of 2006. The lower gross margin mainly resulted from the combined negative impact of the decline in selling prices and the weakening of the U.S. dollar exchange rate against the other major international currencies that exceeded the benefits of the improved manufacturing efficiencies and a higher sales volume. On a year-over-year basis, our second quarter 2007 gross margin experienced a similar trend decreasing to 34.7% from 35.4%.

On a sequential basis, our gross margin increased from 34.5% in the first quarter of 2007. This was the result of the combined favorable effect of higher sales volume, improved product mix and manufacturing efficiencies, which exceeded the continued negative impact of pricing pressures and the weakening of the U.S. dollar exchange rate. Our second quarter performance was within the guidance that indicated a gross margin of approximately 35% plus or minus 1 percentage point.

Our first half 2007 combined selling, general and administrative expenses and research and development expenses increased to \$1,412 million compared to \$1,339 million in the first half of 2006, mostly due to the weakening of the U.S. dollar exchange rate. In the first half of 2007, our operating expenses included \$27 million in share-based compensation expenses compared to \$7 million in the first half of 2006. Due to the decline in revenues, our operating expenses to sales ratio was 30.1%, exceeding the 27.6% comparable ratio registered for the first half of 2006. In the second quarter of 2007, our operating expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased sequentially to 29.6% from 30.6%.

Other income and expenses, net resulted in a net expense of \$3 million in the first half of 2007 compared to a net expense of \$24 million in the first half of 2006, mainly due to spending for start-up costs and patent costs. In the second quarter of 2007, we registered a net income of \$12 million, compared to a net expense of \$5 million in the second quarter of 2006 and a net expense of \$15 million in the first quarter of 2007.

We registered a charge of \$918 million in the first half of 2007 for impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, of which a \$857 million impairment loss was related to the pending disposal of our FMG assets, \$40 million were restructuring charges related to our new 2007 manufacturing restructuring plan and \$21 million related to our former 150-mm restructuring and headcount reduction plans. In the first half of 2006, impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs amounted to \$47 million relating to our 150-mm restructuring and headcount reduction plans.

Due to the significant impairment and restructuring charges, our operating result in the first half of 2007 was an operating loss of \$710 million compared to an operating income of \$309 million in the first half of 2006. Excluding impairment and restructuring charges, our operating income also decreased compared to the previous year due to lower sales volume and the negative impact of the U.S. dollar exchange rate. Also on a quarterly basis, our second quarter operating result was largely negatively impacted by the amount of

Table of Contents

impairment and restructuring charges; excluding these extraordinary charges, our operating income improved on a sequential basis but still declined on a year-over-year basis.

We recorded a net interest income of \$36 million in the first half of 2007 originated by our efficient and diversified investment management of available liquidity between money market and marketable securities; however, it decreased compared to the \$51 million of net interest income in the first half of 2006 mainly as a result of the reduction of available liquidity due to the redemption in August 2006 of \$1.4 billion of our 2013 Convertible Bonds (with 0.5% of positive yield). In the first half of 2007, we recorded a \$9 million income recognition related to our joint venture with Hynix Semiconductor Inc. in China as a benefit of production build-up, which was registered as Earnings on equity investments .

We registered an income tax expense of \$15 million in the first half of 2007 compared to an income tax expense of \$57 million in the first half of 2006.

In summary, our profitability during the first half of 2007 was negatively impacted by the following factors:

- impairment and restructuring charges;

- euro exchange rate strengthening;

- decline in revenues mainly due to negative pricing trends; and

- higher operating expenses to sales ratio.

The factors above were partially offset by the following favorable factors:

- continuous improvement of our manufacturing performances;

- favorable balance of other income and expenses, net ;

- earnings on equity investments; and

- lower income taxes.

From the operational point of view, our sequential revenue results, led by recovery in wireless and digital consumer, concretely demonstrate our ability to increase sales and, we believe, to gain market share in the second quarter of 2007 sequentially. Strategically, the recent resolution of important initiatives allows us to focus efforts and resources on leadership in multimedia convergence applications and power solutions, advance a lighter asset business model, drive towards a higher return on net assets and enhance cash generation from operations.

Announced in mid-May, the creation of a new independent Flash memory company and the sale of our Flash memory assets to this entity are moving ahead according to the anticipated timeline.

Additionally, advancing our commitment to a lighter asset structure, following a careful evolution of further opportunities to optimize our asset utilization, we reached the recently-announced decision for a further rationalization of our manufacturing operations, including the closures of two fabs and one back-end site.

IMS and, within ASG, Automotive posted record net revenue levels in the second quarter of 2007. ASG operating profit recovery reflected both sales leverage and product-mix improvement. While not yet at the year-ago level, these improvements indicate that the plan we articulated is delivering the expected results.

These are forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially; in particular, refer to those known risks and uncertainties described in

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements herein and Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors in our Form 20-F as may be updated from time to time in our SEC filings.

Table of Contents

Business Outlook

Based on current order visibility for the third quarter of 2007, we see sequential sales growth continuing for us in the range between 2% and 7%. Despite the further deterioration of the U.S. dollar exchange rate, we expect the gross margin for the quarter to expand to about 35.5% plus or minus 1 percentage point.

These objectives are based on an assumed effective currency exchange rate of approximately \$1.37 to for 1.00 for the third quarter of 2007, which reflects current exchange rates levels combined with the expected impact of existing hedging contracts.

These are forward-looking statements that are subject to known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially; in particular, refer to those known risks and uncertainties described in

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements herein and Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors in our Form 20-F as may be updated from time to time in our SEC filings.

Other Developments in the First Half of 2007

As of January 1, 2007, we reorganized our product segment groups as follows: the Application Specific Groups, the Industrial and Multisegment Sector and the Flash Memories Group. The Application Specific Groups include the existing Automotive Products Group and Computer Peripherals Group and the newly created Mobile, Multimedia & Communications Group and Home Entertainment & Displays Group. The Industrial and Multisegment Sector contain the Microcontrollers, Memories & Smartcards Group and the Analog, Power & MEMS Group. The Flash Memories Group incorporates all Flash memory operations, including research and development and product-related activities, front- and back-end manufacturing, marketing and sales. In conjunction with this realignment, we announced a number of new executive and corporate vice presidents. These include Mr. Mario Licciardello as the Corporate Vice President and General Manager of the stand-alone Flash Memories Group; Mr. Carmelo Papa was promoted to Executive Vice President leading the Industrial and Multisegment Sector; Mr. Claude Dardanne as the new Corporate Vice President leading the Microcontrollers, Memories & Smartcards Group; Mr. Tommi Uhari was promoted to Executive Vice President over Mobile, Multimedia & Communications Group; and Mr. Christos Lagomichos promoted to Corporate Vice President for the Home Entertainment & Displays Group.

On January 16, 2007, we confirmed that the technology development at Crolles will continue beyond 2007 despite the announcement that NXP Semiconductors B.V. (NXP Semiconductors) will withdraw from the Crolles2 alliance at the end of 2007 and the joint technology cooperation agreements with NXP Semiconductors and Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. (Freescale Semiconductor) will expire on December 31, 2007. The Crolles2 alliance, in which we have partnered with NXP Semiconductors and Freescale Semiconductor, will work together to complete the program on 45-nm CMOS and manage the transition throughout 2007.

On January 22, 2007, a new option agreement was enacted with an independent foundation, Stichting Continuïteit ST (the Stichting), which has an independent board. The new option agreement provides for the issuance of up to a maximum of 540,000,000 preference shares. The Stichting has the option, which it shall exercise in its sole discretion, to take up the preference shares. The preference shares would be issuable if the board of the Stichting determines that hostile actions, such as a creeping acquisition or an unsolicited offer for our common shares, would be contrary to our interests, the interests of our shareholders, or of other stakeholders. If the Stichting exercises its call option and acquires preference shares, it must pay at least 25% of the par value of such preference shares. The new option agreement with the Stichting reflects changes in Dutch legal requirements, not a response to any hostile takeover attempt.

On February 14, 2007, we announced the expansion of our partnership with Premier Indian Institutes, BITS Pilani and IIT Delhi, to set up research and innovation labs. The main objective of these partnerships is to facilitate proliferation of Very Large Scale Integration (VLSI) design and the labs are expected to be operational by the second quarter of 2007.

In 2006, our shareholders at our annual shareholders meeting approved the grant of up to 5 million Unvested Stock Awards to our senior executives and certain of our key employees, as well as the grant of up to 100,000 Unvested Stock Awards to our President and CEO. Pursuant to such approval, the Compensation Committee approved in April 2006 the conditions which shall apply to the vesting of such awards. These conditions related to three criteria related to our financial performance as well as the continued presence at the

Table of Contents

defined vesting dates in 2007, 2008 and 2009. About 5 million shares have been awarded under this plan as of March 31, 2007 and on February 28, 2007, the Compensation Committee noted that the three conditions fixed in April 2006 have been fulfilled triggering the vesting of the first tranche of the 2006 awards on April 27, 2007.

At our general meeting of shareholders held on April 26, 2007, our shareholders approved the following proposals of our Managing Board upon the recommendation of our Supervisory Board:

a cash dividend of \$0.30 per share, an approximately 150% increase to last year's cash dividend distribution. The cash dividend distribution took place in May 2007. On May 21, 2007, our common shares traded ex-dividend on the three stock exchanges on which they are listed;

the appointment of Mr. Ray Bingham and Mr. Alessandro Ovi for three-year terms until the 2010 annual general meeting of shareholders as new Supervisory Board members in replacement of Mr. Robert White whose mandate was up at this year's annual shareholders' meeting and Mr. Antonio Turicchi who resigned from his position effective as of this year's annual shareholders' meeting;

the approval of the main principles of the 2007 share-based compensation plan for our employees and CEO. As part of such plan and specifically as approved by the general meeting of shareholders, our President and CEO will be entitled to receive a maximum of 100,000 ordinary shares;

the adoption of the share-based compensation plan, for members of our Supervisory Board;

the designation of our Supervisory Board as the corporate body authorized to resolve upon (i) issuance of any number of shares as comprised in the authorized share capital of our Company as this shall read from time to time, (ii) upon the terms and conditions of an issuance of shares, (iii) upon limitation and/or exclusion of pre-emptive rights of existing shareholders upon issuance of shares, and (iv) upon the granting of rights to subscribe for shares, all for a five-year period as of the date of our 2007 annual shareholders' meeting;

the authorization of our Managing Board to acquire for a consideration on a stock exchange or otherwise up to such a number of fully paid-up ordinary shares and/or preference shares in our share capital as is permitted by law and our Articles of Association as per the moment of such acquisition other than acquisition of shares pursuant to article 5, paragraph 2 of our Articles of Association for a price (i) per ordinary share which at such moment is within a range between the par value of an ordinary share and 110% of the share price per ordinary share on Eurolist by EuronextTM Paris, the New York Stock Exchange or Borsa Italiana, whichever at such moment is the highest, and (ii) per preference share which is calculated in accordance with article 5, paragraph 5 of our Articles of Association, taking into account the amendment to our Articles of Association, for a period of eighteen months as of the date of our 2007 annual shareholders' meeting; and

amendments to our Articles of Association.

In addition, at our annual general meeting of shareholders held in Amsterdam on April 26, 2007, our shareholders approved our accounts which were reported in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS).

On May 22, 2007, we announced that we had entered into a definitive agreement with Intel Corporation and Francisco Partners L.P. to create a new independent semiconductor company from the key assets of businesses which last year generated approximately \$3.6 billion in combined annual revenue. The new company's strategic focus will be on supplying Flash memory solutions for a variety of consumer and industrial devices, including cellular phones, MP3 players, digital cameras, computers and other high-tech equipment. Under the terms of the agreement, we will sell our Flash memory assets, including our NAND joint venture interest and other NOR resources, to the new company while Intel will sell its NOR assets and resources. In exchange, Intel will receive a 45.1% equity ownership stake and a

\$432 million cash payment at close and we will receive a 48.6% equity ownership stake and a \$468 million cash payment at close. Francisco Partners L.P., a Menlo Park, California-based private equity firm, will invest \$150 million in cash for convertible preferred stock representing a 6.3% ownership interest, subject to adjustment in certain circumstances. Concurrently, the parties have arranged for the new company to receive firm commitments for a \$1.3 billion term loan and \$250 million revolver. The term loan has been underwritten by a consortium of banks. Proceeds from the term loan will be used for working capital and payment to us and Intel for the purchase price. The transaction is subject to

Table of Contents

regulatory approvals and customary closing conditions and is expected to occur in the second half of 2007. On July 19, 2007, we announced that the pending new company will be named Numonyx™.

On June 18, 2007, we committed to a new program to optimize our cost structure which involves the closing of three manufacturing operations. Over the next two to three years we will wind down operations of our 200-mm wafer fab in Phoenix (Arizona), our 150-mm fab in Carrollton (Texas) and our back-end packaging and test facility in Ain Sebaa (Morocco). The plan was announced on July 10, 2007. We expect these measures to generate savings of approximately \$150 million per year in the cost of goods sold once the plan has been completed. The total impairment and restructuring charges for this program are expected to be in the range of \$270 million and \$300 million, of which approximately \$250 million are estimated to be cash charges.

On July 24, 2007, we announced that we have signed an agreement with IBM to collaborate on the development of next-generation process technology that is used in semiconductor development and manufacturing. The agreement includes 32-nm and 22-nm complementary metal oxide semiconductor (CMOS) process-technology development, design enablement and advanced research adapted to the manufacturing of 300-mm silicon wafers. In addition, it includes both the core bulk CMOS technology and value-added derivative System-on-Chip (SoC) technologies and positions both companies at the leading edge of technology development. The new agreement between IBM and us will also include collaboration on IP development and platforms to speed the design of SoC devices in these technologies.

Results of Operations

Segment Information

We operate in two business areas: Semiconductors and Subsystems.

In the semiconductors business area, we design, develop, manufacture and market a broad range of products, including discrete, memories and standard commodity components, application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), full-custom devices and semi-custom devices and application-specific standard products (ASSPs) for analog, digital and mixed-signal applications. In addition, we further participate in the manufacturing value chain of Smart card products through our divisions, which include the production and sale of both silicon chips and Smart cards.

Pursuing the strategic repositioning in Flash Memory and in order to better meet the requirements of the market, we realigned our product groups effective January 1, 2007. Since such date, we report our semiconductor sales and operating income in the following three product segments:

Application Specific Groups (ASG) segment, comprised of three product lines: Home Entertainment & Displays Group (HED), Mobile, Multimedia & Communications Group (MMC) and Automotive Products (APG);

Flash Memories Group (FMG) segment; and

Industrial and Multisegment Sector (IMS), comprised of the former Micro, Power, Analog (MPA) segment, non-Flash memory products and Micro-Electro-Mechanical Systems (MEMS).

We have restated our results in prior periods for illustrative comparisons of our performance by product segment and by period. The segment information of 2006 has been restated using the same principles applied to 2007. The preparation of segment information according to the new segment structure requires management to make significant estimates, assumptions and judgments in determining the operating income of the segments for the prior reporting periods. However, we believe the presentation of the segment information for 2006 is comparable to 2007 and we are using these comparatives for business management.

Our principal investment and resource allocation decisions in the semiconductor business area are for expenditures on research and development and capital investments in front-end and back-end manufacturing facilities. These decisions are not made by product segments, but on the basis of the semiconductor business area. All these product segments share common research and development for process technology and manufacturing capacity for most of their products.

In the subsystems business area, we design, develop, manufacture and market subsystems and modules for the telecommunications, automotive and industrial markets including mobile phone accessories, battery

Table of Contents

chargers, ISDN power supplies and in-vehicle equipment for electronic toll payment. Based on its immateriality to our business as a whole, the Subsystems segment does not meet the requirements for a reportable segment as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (FAS 131).

The following tables present our consolidated net revenues and consolidated operating income by semiconductor product group segment. For the computation of the segments' internal financial measurements, we use certain internal rules of allocation for the costs not directly chargeable to the segments, including cost of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses and a significant part of research and development expenses. Additionally, in compliance with our internal policies, certain cost items are not charged to the segments, including impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, start-up costs of new manufacturing facilities, some strategic and special research and development programs or other corporate-sponsored initiatives, including certain corporate level operating expenses and certain other miscellaneous charges.

	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(in \$ millions)			
Net revenues by product segments:				
Application Specific Groups (ASG)	\$ 1,303	\$ 1,367	\$ 2,524	\$ 2,684
Flash Memories Group (FMG)	331	407	654	818
Industrial and Multisegment Sector (IMS)	767	707	1,488	1,328
Others ⁽¹⁾	17	14	27	28
Total consolidated net revenues	\$ 2,418	\$ 2,495	\$ 4,693	\$ 4,858

(1) Includes revenues from sales of subsystems and other products not allocated to product segments.

	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(in \$ millions)			
Operating income (loss) by product segments:				
Application Specific Groups (ASG)	\$ 53	\$ 108	\$ 52	\$ 202
Flash Memories Group (FMG)	(25)	3	(42)	(12)
Industrial and Multisegment Sector (IMS)	103	105	210	182
Total operating income of product segments	131	216	220	372

Others ⁽¹⁾	(903)	(47)	(930)	(637)
Total consolidated operating income	\$ (772)	\$ 169	\$ (710)	\$ 309

(1) Operating income (loss) of Others includes items such as impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, start-up costs, and other unallocated expenses such as: strategic or special research and development programs, certain corporate level operating expenses, certain patent claims and litigations, and other costs that are not allocated to the product segments, as well as operating earnings or losses of the Subsystems and Other Products Group.

	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(as percentages of net revenues)			
Operating income (loss) by product segments:				
Application Specific Groups (ASG) ⁽¹⁾	4.1%	7.9%	2.1%	7.5%
Flash Memories Group (FMG) ⁽¹⁾	(7.6)	0.7	(6.4)	(1.5)
Industrial and Multisegment Sector (IMS) ⁽¹⁾	13.4	14.9	14.1	13.7
Others ⁽²⁾				

Total consolidated operating income⁽³⁾	(31.9)%	6.8%	(15.1)%	6.4%
--	----------------	-------------	----------------	-------------

(1) As a percentage of net revenues per product group.

(2) As a percentage of total net revenues. Includes operating income (loss) from sales of subsystems and other income (costs) not allocated to product segments.

(3) As a percentage of total net revenues.

Table of Contents

	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(in \$ millions)			
Reconciliation to consolidated operating income:				
Total operating income of product segments	\$ 131	\$ 216	\$ 220	\$ 372
Strategic and other research and development programs	(4)	(2)	(8)	(5)
Start-up costs	(5)	(14)	(15)	(25)
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs	(906)	(34)	(918)	(47)
Other non-allocated provisions ⁽¹⁾	12	3	11	14
Total operating loss Others ⁽²⁾	(903)	(47)	(930)	(63)
Total consolidated operating income	\$ (772)	\$ 169	\$ (710)	\$ 309

- (1) Includes unallocated income and expenses such as certain corporate level operating expenses and other costs that are not allocated to the product segments.
- (2) Operating income (loss) of Others includes items such as impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, start-up costs, and other unallocated expenses such as: strategic or special research and development

programs,
certain
corporate level
operating
expenses,
certain patent
claims and
litigations, and
other costs that
are not allocated
to the product
segments, as
well as
operating
earnings or
losses of the
Subsystems and
Other Products
Group.

Net revenues by location of order shipment and by market segment

The table below sets forth information on our net revenues by location of order shipment:

	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(in millions)			
Net Revenues by Location of Order Shipment⁽¹⁾				
Europe	\$ 812	\$ 779	\$ 1,583	\$ 1,499
North America ⁽²⁾	284	308	567	636
Asia Pacific	414	537	842	1,050
Greater China	646	657	1,203	1,253
Japan	131	95	242	177
Emerging Markets ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	131	119	256	243
Total	\$ 2,418	\$ 2,495	\$ 4,693	\$ 4,858

(1) Net revenues by location of order shipment are classified by location of customer invoiced. For example, products ordered by U.S.-based companies to be

invoiced to Asia Pacific affiliates are classified as Asia Pacific revenues.

- (2) As of July 2, 2006, the region North America includes Mexico, which was part of Emerging Markets in prior periods. Amounts have been reclassified to reflect this change.
- (3) Emerging Markets include markets such as India, Latin America, the Middle East and Africa, Europe (non-EU and non-EFTA) and Russia.

Table of Contents

The table below shows our net revenues by location of order shipment and market segment application in percentages of net revenues:

	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(as percentages of net revenues)			
Net Revenues by Location of Order Shipment⁽¹⁾				
Europe	33.6%	31.2%	33.7%	30.9%
North America ⁽²⁾	11.7	12.4	12.1	13.1
Asia Pacific	17.1	21.5	17.9	21.6
Greater China	26.8	26.3	25.6	25.8
Japan	5.4	3.8	5.2	3.6
Emerging Markets ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾	5.4	4.8	5.5	5.0
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
Net Revenues by Market Segment Application⁽⁴⁾:				
Automotive	15.9%	15.2%	16.1%	15.3%
Consumer	17.4	15.7	17.1	16.0
Computer	15.1	16.5	15.9	16.6
Telecom	35.9	38.4	35.2	38.2
Industrial and Other	15.7	14.2	15.7	13.9
Total	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%

(1) Net revenues by location of order shipment are classified by location of customer invoiced. For example, products ordered by U.S.-based companies to be invoiced to Asia Pacific affiliates are classified as Asia Pacific revenues.

(2) As of July 2, 2006, the region North America

includes
Mexico, which
was part of
Emerging
Markets in prior
periods.
Amounts have
been reclassified
to reflect this
change.

- (3) Emerging
Markets include
markets such as
India, Latin
America, the
Middle East and
Africa, Europe
(non-EU and
non-EFTA) and
Russia.

- (4) The above table
estimates,
within a
variance of 5%
to 10% in the
absolute dollar
amount, the
relative
weighting of
each of our
target segments.

The following table sets forth certain financial data from our Consolidated Statements of Income, expressed in each case as a percentage of net revenues:

	(unaudited)			
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(as percentage of net revenues)			
Net sales	99.6%	99.9%	99.7%	99.9%
Other revenues	0.4	0.1	0.3	0.1
Net revenues	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Cost of sales	(65.3)	(64.6)	(65.4)	(64.6)
Gross profit	34.7	35.4	34.6	35.4
Selling, general and administrative	(11.2)	(10.7)	(11.3)	(10.8)
Research and development	(18.4)	(16.4)	(18.8)	(16.8)
Other income and expenses, net	0.5	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.5)
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs	(37.5)	(1.3)	(19.5)	(0.9)

Operating income	(31.9)	6.8	(15.1)	6.4
Interest income, net	0.7	1.2	0.7	1.0
Earnings (loss) on equity investments	0.1		0.2	(0.1)
Income before income taxes and minority interests	(31.1)	7.9	(14.2)	7.3
Income tax expense	(0.1)	(1.1)	(0.4)	(1.1)
Income before minority interests	(31.2)	6.8	(14.6)	6.2
Minority interests	(0.2)	(0.1)	(0.0)	
Net income	(31.4)%	6.7%	(14.6)%	6.2%
	15			

Table of Contents**Second Quarter of 2007 vs. Second Quarter of 2006 and First Quarter of 2007**

Based upon most recently published estimates, in the second quarter of 2007, semiconductor industry revenue on a year-over-year basis increased by approximately 0.9% for the TAM and by approximately 0.6% for the SAM. On a sequential basis, revenues in the second quarter of 2007 decreased by approximately 2.0% for the TAM and increased by approximately 2.5% for the SAM.

Net Revenues

	Quarter ended			% Variation	
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007 (unaudited, in \$ millions)	July 1, 2006	Sequential	Year-over-year
Net sales	\$ 2,409	\$ 2,269	\$ 2,492	6.2%	(3.3)%
Other revenues	9	7	3		
Net revenues	\$ 2,418	\$ 2,276	\$ 2,495	6.2%	(3.1)%

Year-over-year comparison

Our second quarter 2007 net revenues decreased 3.1% compared to the second quarter of 2006, mainly as a result of the decline in our average selling prices of about 9% due to ongoing pricing pressure in the semiconductor market. Furthermore, our sales units were higher. In the quarter, we benefited from a higher amount in other revenues which was related to the selling of materials that did not meet our quality standards to end users in other industries.

With reference to our product group segments, IMS improved its revenue performance, while ASG and, in particular, FMG net revenues registered a negative variation. ASG net revenues decreased 4.7% due to a significant decline in selling prices, in particular, in the Telecom sector, while the group benefited from higher units sold and a more favorable product mix; the main decreases were registered in Imaging, Computer Peripherals and Communication Infrastructure while the group's revenues performed better in Automotive, Consumer and Cellular Communication. Revenue performance for IMS was significant with an 8.5% growth rate driven by higher sales volumes in most of its main product families and a more favorable product mix, which resulted in improving the group's overall average selling prices. FMG net revenues decreased by 18.5% as a result of a drop in selling prices and fewer units sold; wireless Flash decreased by approximately 31% while NAND increased by approximately 73%.

By market segment application, Computer and Telecom were the main contributors to the negative year-over-year variation; while an upside was registered in Consumer, Industrial and Automotive.

By location of order shipment, the most significant decrease was experienced in the Asia Pacific region which declined by approximately 23%; North America and Greater China regions experienced a decrease by approximately 8% and 2%, respectively, while net revenues increased by approximately 38% in Japan, 4% in Europe and 10% in Emerging Markets.

We had several large customers, with the largest one, the Nokia group of companies, accounting for approximately 20% of our second quarter of 2007 net revenues, which was lower than the approximate 22% it accounted for during the second quarter of 2006. Our top ten OEM (original equipment manufacturers) customers accounted for approximately 48% of our net revenues compared to approximately 52% in the second quarter of 2006. Sales to distributors accounted for approximately 17% in the second quarter of 2007, compared to 19% in the second quarter of 2006.

Sequential comparison

Our second quarter 2007 net revenues grew by 6.2% compared to the first quarter 2007 net revenues due to higher overall units sold, while average selling prices remained basically flat as a result of an improvement in product mix and despite a pure price decline.

All product group segments registered an increase in net revenues. ASG increased 6.8% as a result of higher sales volume and improved product mix; the more favorable variations were in Consumer, Wireless Communication,

Connectivity and Automotive while a decline was experienced in Data Storage and Imaging.

Table of Contents

IMS increased 6.2% led by higher sales volume and improved product mix and most of the product families experienced an increase in sales volume. FMG sales were up 2.7% driven by sales volume; Wireless products decreased by approximately 2% while NAND products increased 26%.

Almost all of our market segment applications experienced an increase in revenues except Computer, with main contribution for the increase coming from Telecom, Consumer and Industrial.

By location of order shipment, net revenues in Japan increased by approximately 18%, Greater China approximately 16%, Europe and Emerging Markets approximately 5% each, while Asia Pacific decreased by approximately 3% and North America was flat.

In the second quarter of 2007, we had several large customers, with the largest one, the Nokia group of companies, accounting for approximately 20% of our net revenues, increasing from the 19% it accounted for during the first quarter of 2007. Our top ten OEM customers accounted for approximately 48% of our net revenues in the second quarter of 2007 compared to 50% in the first quarter of 2007. Sales to distributors were approximately 17% in the second quarter of 2007 compared to 19% in the first quarter of 2007.

Gross profit

	Quarter ended			% Variation	
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006	Sequential	Year-over-year
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)				
Cost of sales	\$(1,580)	\$ (1,491)	\$(1,613)	(6.0)%	2.0%
Gross profit	\$ 838	\$ 785	\$ 882	6.7%	(5.1)%
Gross margin (as a percentage of net revenues)	34.7%	34.5%	35.4%		

On a year-over-year basis, our gross profit decreased by 5.1%. In the same period, our gross margin decreased from 35.4% to 34.7%, mainly caused by the combined negative effect of the selling price decline and the unfavorable trend of the U.S. dollar exchange rate, which were partially balanced by gains in manufacturing efficiencies and a more favorable product mix.

On a sequential basis, our gross profit increased 6.7%, mainly driven by higher sales volume, improved product mix and manufacturing efficiencies, partially compensated by negative impacts of selling prices and the weakening U.S. dollar exchange rate. Due to these factors, our gross margin recovered 20 basis points reaching the level of 34.7%.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

	Quarter ended			% Variation	
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006	Sequential	Year-over-year
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)				
Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ (270)	\$ (261)	\$ (266)	(3.4)%	(1.5)%
As percentage of net revenues	(11.2)%	(11.5)%	(10.7)%		

The amount of our selling, general and administrative (SG&A) expenses slightly increased on year-over-year basis; mainly due to the U.S. dollar rate weakening and higher share-based compensation charges. Our share-based compensation charges were \$9 million in the second quarter of 2007 and \$3 million in the second quarter of 2006. Combined with our net revenues decline, our second quarter 2007 ratio of SG&A to sales was 11.2% compared to 10.7% for the second quarter of 2006.

Selling, general and administrative increased 3.4% compared to the first quarter of 2007, which included \$10 million of share-based compensation. Due to the higher sales, SG&A to sales ratio slightly improved sequentially.

Table of Contents*Research and development expenses*

	Quarter ended			% Variation	
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006	Sequential	Year-over-year
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)				
Research and development expenses	\$ (446)	\$ (435)	\$ (408)	(2.3)%	(9.0)%
As percentage of net revenues	(18.4)%	(19.1)%	(16.4)%		

On a year-over-year basis and sequentially, our research and development expenses increased mainly due to the negative impact of the effective U.S. dollar exchange rate. The second quarter of 2006 was favorably impacted by a \$5 million benefit associated with the change of the pension scheme in one subsidiary and a \$2 million benefit related to the recognition of regional grants associated with the relief of social charges for past hirings in Italy. Our share-based compensation charges were \$1 million in the second quarter of 2006.

On a sequential basis, research and development expenses increased as a result of the negative impact of the U.S. dollar exchange rate. Our share-based compensation charges to R&D expenses were \$3 million in the second quarter of 2007 compared to \$5 million in the first quarter of 2007.

Other income and expenses, net

	Quarter ended		
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Research and development funding	\$ 15	\$ 11	\$ 9
Start-up costs	(5)	(10)	(14)
Exchange gain (loss), net	1	(4)	(4)
Patent litigation costs	(5)	(7)	(4)
Patent pre-litigation costs	(3)	(2)	(1)
Gain on sale of Accent subsidiary			6
Gain (loss) on sale of other non-current assets, net	(1)	1	1
Other, net	10	(4)	(2)
Other income and expenses, net	12	(15)	(5)
As a percentage of net revenues	0.5%	(0.7)%	(0.2)%

Other income and expenses, net results mainly include, as income, items such as research and development funding, gains on sale of non-current assets, and as expenses, start-up costs, net exchange rate results and patent claim costs. In the second quarter of 2007, research and development funding income was associated with our research and development projects, which qualify as funding on the basis of contracts with local government agencies in locations where we pursue our activities. In second quarter of 2007, other, net included \$10 million in income that we received in our on-going pursuit to recover damage related to the case disclosed in our November 2006 press release. In the second quarter of 2007, all of these factors resulted in a net income of \$12 million.

Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs

	Quarter ended		
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs	\$ (906)	\$ (12)	\$ (34)

In the second quarter of 2007, we recorded impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs of \$906 million. This expense was related to:

an impairment loss estimated at \$857 million booked upon signing the agreement for the pending disposal of our FMG assets;

Table of Contents

a charge of \$40 million related to the severance costs booked in relation to the new 2007 restructuring plan of our manufacturing activities;

a charge of \$6 million generated by our 150-mm restructuring plan; and

a charge of \$3 million for employee benefits relating to our headcount restructuring plan.

In the second quarter of 2006, impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs amounted to \$34 million and were mainly related to the same restructuring plans (\$28 million for the headcount restructuring plan and \$6 million for 150-mm restructuring plan).

In the first quarter of 2007, we booked \$12 million in impairment, restructuring charges and other related closures costs, composed of \$3 million for the headcount restructuring plan and \$9 million for the 150-mm restructuring plan.

See Note 7 to our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Operating income (loss)

	June 30, 2007	Quarter ended March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Operating income (loss)	\$ (772)	\$ 62	\$ 169
As a percentage of net revenues	(31.9)%	2.7%	6.8%

Year-over-year basis

Due to the high amount of impairment and restructuring charges in the second quarter of 2007, our operating results for the quarter registered a loss, while in the previous comparable periods we registered an operating income. However, even excluding the impairment and restructuring charges, our operating income decreased both in dollar value and percentage to sales ratio due to the negative impact of declining selling prices and the weakening U.S. dollar, only partially balanced by continuous improvements in our manufacturing efficiencies.

In the second quarter of 2007, with reference to our product group segments, we registered an operating income in IMS and ASG, and a loss in FMG. ASG operating income was \$53 million, decreasing from an operating income of \$108 million, primarily due to the drop in its revenues that were impacted by the decline in selling prices. In spite of the revenue increase, IMS operating income remained basically flat at the level of \$103 million, since the benefit of higher sales was offset by the negative impact of a decline in selling prices. FMG operating result moved from an operating income of \$3 million to a loss of \$25 million in the second quarter of 2007 mainly due to the drop in its revenues.

Sequentially

On a sequential basis and excluding impairment and restructuring charges, our operating result significantly improved driven by revenues and manufacturing efficiencies which exceeded the negative impact of the persisting pricing pressure and the weakening of the U.S. dollar.

ASG operating income improved from breakeven to a solid profit level supported by the recovery of a higher sales volume. IMS operating income slightly decreased on a sequential basis due to the increased level of operating expenses and manufacturing inefficiencies. FMG further deteriorated its operating loss as the group was also impacted by charges associated with lower manufacturing capacity utilization.

Interest income, net

	June 30, 2007	Quarter ended March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Interest income, net	\$ 18	\$ 17	\$ 30

Table of Contents

Net interest income was \$18 million in the second quarter of 2007, basically equivalent to the amount in the previous quarter. In comparison to the second quarter of 2006, interest income, net was lower primarily as a result of the reduction of available liquidity due to the early redemption in August 2006 of \$1.4 billion of our 2013 Convertible Bonds (with 0.5% of positive yield).

Earnings (loss) on equity investments

	Quarter Ended		
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Earnings (loss) on equity investments	\$3	\$ 7	\$ (1)

The earnings in the second quarter of 2007 are mainly related to our investment as minority shareholder in our joint venture with Hynix Semiconductor Inc. in China, decreasing sequentially from the first quarter of 2007 which included a one-time income recognition.

Income tax expense

	Quarter ended		
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Income tax expense	\$(4)	\$ (11)	\$ (29)

During the second quarter of 2007, we registered an income tax expense of \$4 million that reflected an estimated annual effective tax rate for recurring operations of approximately 12%, as well as some one-time income tax benefits, including those from the cost-structure initiative announced on July 10, 2007. Furthermore, we did not accrue in the quarter any tax impact which could be associated to the FMG impairment loss pending the appraisals of the fair value of the assets and the recognition of these fair values in the tax jurisdictions involved in the FMG transaction. During the second quarter of 2006, we had an income tax expense of \$29 million. During the first quarter of 2007, we recorded an income tax expense of \$11 million.

Our tax rate is variable and depends on changes in the level of operating income within various local jurisdictions and on changes in the applicable taxation rates of these jurisdictions, as well as changes in estimated tax provisions due to new events. We currently enjoy certain tax benefits in some countries; as such benefits may not be available in the future due to changes in the local jurisdictions, our effective tax rate could be different in future quarters and may increase in the coming years.

Net income (loss)

	Quarter ended		
	June 30, 2007	March 31, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Net income (loss)	\$ (758)	\$ 74	\$ 168
As percentage of net revenues	(31.4)%	3.3%	6.7%

For the second quarter of 2007, we reported a net loss of \$758 million, compared to net income of \$168 million in the second quarter of 2006 and net income of \$74 million in the first quarter of 2007. This loss was originated by the impairment and restructuring charges associated with the FMG pending disposal. Loss per share for the second quarter of 2007 was \$(0.84); the \$(0.84) loss per share included \$(0.99) loss per share due to the second quarter 2007 impairment and restructuring charges. The second quarter 2007 loss per share declined from basic and diluted earnings of \$0.19 and \$0.18, respectively, for the second quarter of 2006 and declined compared to basic and diluted earnings per share of \$0.08 each for the first quarter of 2007.

Table of Contents**First Half of 2007 vs. First Half of 2006**

Based upon most recently published estimates, in the first half of 2007, semiconductor industry revenue increased year-over-year by approximately 2.1% for the TAM and by approximately 0.3% for the SAM.

Net Revenues

	Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	% Variation
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Net sales	\$4,678	\$4,854	(3.6)%
Other revenues	15	4	
Net revenues	\$4,693	\$4,858	(3.4)%

Our first half 2007 net revenues decreased 3.4% compared to the first half of 2006. This was mainly due to the significant negative impact of declining prices which largely exceeded a higher sales volume and improved product mix. During the first half of 2007, the ongoing pricing pressure in the semiconductor market generated an average selling price decrease of approximately 8%, as a pure pricing effect, just marginally compensated by a favorable product mix effect.

With respect to our product group segments, ASG net revenues decreased by 6% due to the negative price trend. IMS revenue increased by 12% and was supported by an improved product mix. FMG net revenues decreased 20% due both to price pressure and declining units sold.

By market segment application, Telecom and Computer decreased by approximately 11% and 8%, respectively, while Industrial was the major gainer with revenue increases of approximately 9%; also Consumer and Automotive revenues registered a slight increase.

By location of order shipment, the revenue performances were mixed among the regions, with a decline in Asia Pacific of approximately 20%, North America 11% and Greater China 4%, while the growth rate in the other three regions, Japan, Europe and Emerging Markets, was approximately 37%, 6% and 5%, respectively.

In the first half of 2007, we had several large customers, with the largest one, the Nokia Group of companies, accounting for approximately 20% of our net revenues, slightly decreasing from 22% it accounted for during the first half of 2006. Our top ten OEM customers accounted for approximately 49% of our net revenues in the first half of 2007 compared to approximately 52% in the equivalent previous year period. Sales to distributors were approximately 18% in the first half of 2007 compared to 19% in the first half of 2006.

Gross profit

	Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	% Variation
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		
Cost of sales	\$(3,070)	\$(3,139)	2.2%
Gross profit	\$ 1,623	\$ 1,719	(5.6)%
Gross margin (as a percentage of net revenues)	34.6%	35.4%	

Our gross profit decreased 5.6% and our gross margin deteriorated to 34.6% compared to 35.4% in the year-ago period, due to the negative impact of the significant selling price drop which was compensated by improved manufacturing efficiencies.

Selling, general and administrative expenses

	Six Months Ended		
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	% Variation
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)		

Edgar Filing: STMICROELECTRONICS NV - Form 6-K

Selling, general and administrative expenses	\$ (531)	\$ (522)	(1.7)%
As a percentage of net revenues	(11.3)%	(10.8)%	

21

Table of Contents

Our selling, general and administrative expenses slightly increased by 1.7% due to an unfavorable trend in the U.S. dollar exchange rate. Expenses in the first half of 2007 included \$19 million in charges related to share-based compensation compared to \$5 million in first half of 2006. Due to the revenue decline, the ratio of sales to selling, general and administrative expenses increased to 11.3% from 10.8% a year ago.

Research and development expenses

	Six Months Ended		% Variation
	June 30, 2007 (unaudited, in \$ millions)	July 1, 2006	
Research and development expenses	\$ (881)	\$ (817)	(7.8)%
As a percentage of net revenues	(18.8)%	(16.8)%	

Research and development expenses slightly increased mainly due to the unfavorable impact of the U.S. dollar exchange rate since a large part of our activities are located in areas denominated in other currencies. The first half of 2006 includes a \$5 million benefit due to the change in the pension scheme in one of our subsidiaries and a \$2 million benefit recognized for receivable regional grants related to the relief of social charges associated with past hirings of employees in Italy. Expenses in the first half of 2007 included \$8 million in charges related to share-based compensation compared to \$3 million in the first half of 2006. As a percentage of net revenues, research and development expenses increased from 16.8% to 18.8% in the first half of 2007.

Other income and expenses, net

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007 (unaudited, in \$ millions)	July 1, 2006
Research and development funding	\$ 26	\$ 13
Start-up costs	(15)	(25)
Exchange gain (loss) net	(3)	(9)
Patent litigation costs	(12)	(8)
Patent pre-litigation costs	(5)	(3)
Gain on sale of Accent subsidiary		6
Gain on sale of other non-current assets		1
Other, net	6	1
Other income and expenses, net	\$ (3)	\$ (24)
As a percentage of net revenues	(0.1)%	(0.5)%

Other income and expenses, net resulted in a net expense of \$3 million in the first half of 2007, compared to a net expense of \$24 million in the first half of 2006. The first half of 2007 includes a cash recovery of \$10 million associated with the case described in our November 2006 press release. The legal and consultancy fees related to such case amount to \$2 million, recorded in the first quarter of 2007. Research and development funding includes income of some of our research and development projects, which qualify as funding on the basis of contracts with local government agencies in locations where we pursue our activities. The major amounts of research and development funding were received in Italy and France. Start-up costs in the first half of 2007 were related to our 150-mm fab expansion in Singapore and the conversion to 200-mm fab in Agrate (Italy) and build-up of our 300-mm fab in Catania (Italy). Patent claim costs included costs associated with several ongoing litigations and claims.

Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006

	(unaudited, in \$ millions)	
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs	\$ (918)	\$ (47)

Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs increased significantly compared to the previous year in view of new items which have been recorded during the second quarter of 2007. This first half expense was mainly composed of:

Table of Contents

an impairment loss estimated at \$857 million booked upon signing the agreement for the pending disposal of our FMG assets;

a charge of \$40 million related to the severance costs booked in relation to the new 2007 restructuring plan of our manufacturing activities;

a charge of \$15 million generated by our 150-mm restructuring plan; and

a charge of approximately \$6 million for employee benefits relating to our headcount restructuring plan.

In the first half of 2006, we incurred \$47 million of impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, including \$36 million relating to our headcount restructuring plan and \$11 million relating to our 150-mm restructuring plan.

See Note 7 to our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Operating income (loss)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	July 1, 2006
	2007	2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)	
Operating income (loss)	\$ (710)	\$ 309
As a percentage of net revenues	(15.1)%	6.4%

Our operating result translated from an operating income of \$309 million in the first half of 2006 to an operating loss of \$710 million in the first half of 2007, due to the provisions associated with the new impairment and restructuring charges more fully described above. See Business Overview .

ASG registered an operating income of \$52 million, significantly decreasing from an operating income of \$202 million mainly due to lower sales and the negative selling price trend. IMS registered an operating income of \$210 million compared to \$182 million mainly due to sales growth; as a percentage of revenue, operating income was 14.1% in the first half of 2007 compared to 13.7% in the first half of 2006. FMG operating loss increased to \$42 million compared to \$12 million in the first half of 2006 mainly due to a decline in sales.

Interest income, net

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	July 1, 2006
	2007	2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)	
Interest income, net	\$ 36	\$ 51

In the first half of 2007, interest income, net contributed \$36 million compared to interest income, net of \$51 million in the same period of 2006. This decrease is mainly as a result of the reduction of available liquidity due to the redemption in August 2006 of \$1.4 billion of our 2013 Convertible Bonds (with 0.5% of positive yield).

Earnings (loss) on equity investments

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	July 1, 2006
	2007	2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)	
Earnings (loss) on equity investments	\$ 9	\$ (4)

The improvement in earnings on equity investments in the first half of 2007 compared to the prior year is associated with the full production ramp-up of our joint venture with Hynix Semiconductor Inc. in China during 2007.

Table of Contents*Income tax expense*

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	July 1, 2006
	2007	(unaudited, in \$ millions)
Income tax expense	\$ (15)	\$ (57)

During the first half of 2007, we registered an income tax expense of \$15 million that reflected an estimated annual effective tax rate for recurring operations of approximately 12%, as well as some one-time income tax benefits, including those from the cost-structure initiative announced on July 10, 2007, while it did not include any income tax impact that could be realized in connection with the Flash memory pending disposal. In the first half of 2006, we incurred a tax expense of \$57 million.

Our tax rate is variable and depends on changes in the level of operating income within various local jurisdictions and on changes in the applicable taxation rates of these jurisdictions, as well as changes in estimated tax provisions due to new events. We currently enjoy certain tax benefits in some countries; as such benefits may not be available in the future due to changes in the local jurisdictions, our effective tax rate could be different in future quarters and may increase in the coming years.

Net income (loss)

	Six Months Ended	
	June 30,	July 1, 2006
	2007	(unaudited, in \$ millions)
Net income (loss)	\$ (684)	\$ 299
As a percentage of net revenues	(14.6)%	6.2%

For the first half of 2007, we reported a net loss of \$684 million, compared to a net income of \$299 million in the first half of 2006 due to the booking of a significant amount of impairment and restructuring charges. Loss per share for the first half of 2007 was \$(0.76), the impairment and restructuring charges accounted for \$(1.01) loss per diluted share in the first half of 2007, while they accounted for \$(0.04) loss per share in the same period in the prior year.

Legal Proceedings

We are currently a party to legal proceedings with SanDisk Corporation.

On October 15, 2004, SanDisk filed a complaint against us with the United States International Trade Commission (the ITC) with respect to certain NAND memory products, alleging patent infringement and seeking an order excluding our NAND products from importation into the United States. On November 15, 2004, the ITC instituted an investigation against us in response to the complaint. On October 19, 2005, Administrative Law Judge Paul J. Luckern, in his Initial Determination, ruled that our NAND products do not infringe the asserted SanDisk patent, and that there was no violation of Section 337 of the U.S. Tariff Act of 1930. On December 5, 2005, the ITC confirmed its initial decision. On March 6, 2007 the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit in Washington D.C. affirmed the ITC decision without opinion.

On October 15, 2004, SanDisk filed a complaint for patent infringement, and declaratory judgment of non-infringement and patent invalidity against us with the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The complaint alleged that our products infringe a SanDisk U.S. patent and seeks a declaratory judgment that SanDisk does not infringe several of our U.S. patents. By order dated January 4, 2005, the court stayed SanDisk's patent infringement claim pending a final determination in the ITC action discussed above. On July 23, 2007, the stay of the district court action was lifted.

On February 4, 2005, we filed two complaints for patent infringement against SanDisk with the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Texas. On April 22, 2005, SanDisk filed a counterclaim against us alleging that our products infringe two SanDisk patents. Our two complaints and the SanDisk counterclaim were dismissed in

June 2007 by a mutual agreement between SanDisk and ourselves.

On October 14, 2005, we filed a complaint against SanDisk and its current CEO Dr. Eli Harari before the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda. The complaint seeks, among other relief, assignment of

Table of Contents

certain SanDisk patents that resulted from inventive activity on the part of Dr. Harari that took place while he was an employee, officer and/or director of Waferscale Integration, Inc. and actual, incidental, consequential, exemplary and punitive damages in an amount to be proven at trial. We are the successor to Waferscale Integration, Inc. by merger. SanDisk removed the matter to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California which remanded the matter to the Superior Court of California, County of Alameda in July 2006. SanDisk moved to transfer the case to the Superior Court of California, County of Santa Clara and to stay the action, both of which motions were denied by the trial court. SanDisk appealed these rulings and on January 12, 2007, the California Court of Appeals ordered that the case be transferred to the Superior Court of California County of Santa Clara. Proceedings are currently stayed pending the outcome of an appeal by SanDisk following the denial of SanDisk's motion to strike our claim pursuant to the Anti-SLAPP (the Strategic Lawsuits Against Public Participation) legislation of the State of California.

On January 10, 2006, SanDisk filed a complaint against us with the ITC with respect to certain NAND and NOR memory products, alleging patent infringement of three SanDisk patents and seeking an order excluding our NAND and NOR products from importation into the United States. In May 2006, SanDisk voluntarily dismissed one of the three patents asserted in the ITC action. The ITC trial was held in Washington D.C. from December 4 to December 14, 2006. Post-trial briefing was completed in January 2007. On June 1, 2007, Administrative Law Judge Charles E. Bullock ruled in his Initial Determination that there was no domestic industry in the United States that practices the 338 patent, that the 517 patent was invalid, and that therefore, there was no violation of section 337 of the U.S. Tariff Act of 1930. SanDisk decided not to petition for a review of Judge Bullock's Initial Determination and this became the final decision on July 20, 2007.

With respect to the lawsuits with SanDisk as described above, we have not identified any risk of probable loss that is likely to arise out of the outstanding proceedings.

We are also a party to legal proceedings with Tessera, Inc.

On January 31, 2006, Tessera filed suit against us, adding our Company as a co-defendant, with several other semiconductor and packaging companies to a lawsuit filed by Tessera on October 7, 2005, against Advanced Micro Devices Inc. and Spansion in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. Tessera is claiming that certain of our BGA packages infringe certain patents owned by Tessera, and that ST is liable for royalties. Tessera is also claiming that various ST entities breached a 1997 License Agreement and that ST is liable for royalties as a result. The actions before the United States District Court in the Northern District of California have now been stayed pending the outcome of the ITC complaint described below. In February and March 2007, our codefendants Siliconware Precision Industries Co., Ltd. and Siliconware USA, Inc., filed six reexamination requests with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office covering all of the claims asserted by Tessera in the lawsuit. On April 20, 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office initiated reexaminations in response to three of the reexamination requests and on May 4, 2007, the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office initiated reexaminations in response to two more of the reexamination requests. A decision regarding the remaining reexamination request is expected shortly.

On April 17, 2007, Tessera filed a complaint against us Spansion, ATI Technologies, Inc., Qualcomm, Motorola and Freescale with the ITC with respect to certain small format ball grid array packages and products containing same, alleging patent infringement of two of the Tessera patents previously asserted in the District Court action described above and seeking an order excluding importation of the accused products into the United States. The ITC issued an injunction on May 21, 2007.

Related-Party Transactions

One of the members of our Supervisory Board is the Chief Operating Officer of Areva, one is the Chairman and CEO of France Telecom, one is a member of the Board of Directors of Thomson, one is the non-executive Chairman of the Board of Directors of ARM Holdings plc and a non-executive director of Soitec, one is member of the Supervisory Board of BESI, and one is a member of the Board of Directors of Oracle Corporation and KLA-Tencor Corporation. We engage in certain research and development collaborations with the Laboratoire d'Electronique de Technologie d'Instrumentation (LETI). LETI is a research laboratory of the Commissariat de l'Energie Atomique (CEA), which is an affiliate of Areva. France Telecom and its subsidiaries supply certain services to our Company, Thomson is one of our strategic customers, and we license technologies from ARM Holdings plc. We also procure

certain software from Oracle Corporation and tools from KLA-Tencor Corporation. We believe that all of these transactions are made on an arms-length basis in line with market practices and conditions.

Table of Contents**Impact of Changes in Exchange Rates**

Our results of operations and financial condition can be significantly affected by material changes in exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and other currencies where we maintain our operations, particularly the euro, the Singapore dollar and the Japanese yen.

As a market rule, the reference currency for the semiconductor industry is the U.S. dollar and product prices are mainly denominated in U.S. dollars. However, revenues for certain of our products (primarily dedicated products sold in Europe and Japan) are quoted in currencies other than the U.S. dollar and as such are directly affected by fluctuations in the value of the U.S. dollar. As a result of currency variations, the appreciation of the euro compared to the U.S. dollar could increase, in the short term, our level of revenues when reported in U.S. dollars; revenues for all other products, which are either quoted in U.S. dollars and billed in U.S. dollars or in local currencies for payment, tend not to be affected significantly by fluctuations in exchange rates, except to the extent that there is a lag between changes in currency rates and adjustments in the local currency equivalent price paid for such products. Furthermore, certain significant costs incurred by us, such as manufacturing, labor costs and depreciation charges, selling, general and administrative expenses, and research and development expenses, are largely incurred in the currency of the jurisdictions in which our operations are located. Given that most of our operations are located in the euro zone or other non-U.S. dollar currency areas, our costs tend to increase when translated into U.S. dollars in case of dollar weakening or to decrease when the U.S. dollar is strengthening.

In summary, as our reporting currency is the U.S. dollar, currency exchange rate fluctuations affect our results of operations: if the U.S. dollar weakens, we receive a limited part of our revenues, and more importantly, we increase a significant part of our costs, in currencies other than the U.S. dollar. As described below, our effective average U.S. dollar exchange rate weakened during the first half of 2007, particularly against the euro, causing us to report higher expenses and negatively impacting both our gross margin and operating income. Our Consolidated Statement of Income for the first half of 2007 includes income and expense items translated at the average U.S. dollar exchange rate for the period.

Our principal strategy to reduce the risks associated with exchange rate fluctuations has been to balance as much as possible the proportion of sales to our customers denominated in U.S. dollars with the amount of raw materials, purchases and services from our suppliers denominated in U.S. dollars, thereby reducing the potential exchange rate impact of certain variable costs relative to revenues. Moreover, in order to further reduce the exposure to U.S. dollar exchange fluctuations, we have hedged certain line items on our income statement, in particular with respect to a portion of cost of goods sold, most of the research and development expenses and certain selling and general and administrative expenses, located in the euro zone. Our effective average exchange rate of the euro to the U.S. dollar was \$1.31 for 1.00 for the first half of 2007 compared to \$1.22 for 1.00 in the first half of 2006. Our effective average rate of the euro to the U.S. dollar was \$1.33 for 1.00 for the second quarter of 2007 and it was \$1.29 for 1.00 for the first quarter of 2007 while it was \$1.23 for 1.00 in the second quarter of 2006. These effective exchange rates reflect the actual exchange rates combined with the impact of hedging contracts matured in the period.

As of June 30, 2007, the outstanding hedged amounts to cover manufacturing costs were 200 million and to cover operating expenses were 230 million, at an average rate of \$1.3624 for 1.00 and \$1.3590 (including the premium paid to purchase foreign exchange options) for 1.00, respectively, maturing over the period from July 2007 to November 2007. As of June 30, 2007, these outstanding hedging contracts and certain expired contracts covering manufacturing expenses capitalized in inventory represented a deferred loss of \$1 million after tax, recorded in other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity, compared to a deferred gain of \$6 million after tax as of March 31, 2007. Our hedging policy is not intended to cover the full exposure. In addition, in order to mitigate potential exchange rate risks on our commercial transactions, we purchased and entered into forward foreign currency exchange contracts and currency options to cover foreign currency exposure in payables or receivables at our affiliates. We may in the future purchase or sell similar types of instruments. See Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included in our Form 20-F, as may be updated from time to time in our public filings for full details of outstanding contracts and their fair values. Furthermore, we may not predict in a timely fashion the amount of future transactions in the volatile industry environment. Consequently, our results of operations have been and may continue to be impacted by fluctuations in exchange rates.

Our treasury strategies to reduce exchange rate risks are intended to mitigate the impact of exchange rate fluctuations. No assurance may be given that our hedging activities will sufficiently protect us against

26

Table of Contents

declines in the value of the U.S. dollar, therefore if the value of the U.S. dollar increases, we may record losses in connection with the loss in value of the remaining hedging instruments at the time. In the first half of 2007, as the result of cash flow hedging, we recorded a net profit of \$18 million, consisting of a profit of \$9 million to cost of sales, a profit of \$7 million to research and development expenses, and a profit of \$2 million to selling, general and administrative expenses, while in the first half of 2006, we recorded a net profit of \$6 million. In the second quarter of 2007, we generated a net profit of \$9 million, while in the second quarter of 2006 we registered a net profit of \$13 million, and in the first quarter of 2007 we registered a net profit of \$10 million.

The net effect of the consolidated foreign exchange exposure resulted in a net loss of \$3 million in Other Income and Expenses, net in the first half of 2007 with a slightly positive result in the second quarter of 2007.

Assets and liabilities of subsidiaries are, for consolidation purposes, translated into U.S. dollars at the period-end exchange rate. Income and expenses are translated at the average exchange rate for the period. The balance sheet impact of such translation adjustments has been, and may be expected to be, significant from period to period since a large part of our assets and liabilities are accounted for in euros as their functional currency. Adjustments resulting from the translation are recorded directly in shareholders' equity, and are shown as accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity. At June 30, 2007, our outstanding indebtedness was denominated mainly in U.S. dollars, in euros and, to a limited extent, in Singapore dollars.

For a more detailed discussion, see Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors Risks Related to Our Operations. Our financial results can be adversely affected by fluctuations in exchange rates, principally in the value of the U.S. dollar as set forth in our Form 20-F as may be updated from time to time in our public filings.

Impact of Changes in Interest Rates

Our results of operations and financial condition can be affected by material changes in interest rates, which can impact the total interest income received on our cash and cash equivalents and on our interest expense on our financial debt.

Our interest income, net is the balance between interest income received mainly from our cash and interest expense paid on our long-term debt. Our interest income is almost entirely dependent on the fluctuations in the interest rates, mainly in the U.S. dollar and the euro. Any increase or decrease in the short-term market interest rates would mean an increase or decrease in our interest income. Our net interest income decreased from \$30 million in the second quarter of 2006 to \$18 million in the second quarter of 2007, mainly due to the redemption in August 2006 of \$1.4 billion of our 2013 Convertible Bonds.

In response to the possible risk of interest rate mismatch, in the second quarter of 2006, we entered into cancelable swaps to hedge a portion of the fixed rate obligations on our outstanding long-term debt with floating rate derivative instruments.

Of the \$974 million in 2016 Convertible Bonds issued in the first quarter of 2006, we entered into cancelable swaps for \$200 million of the principal amount of the bonds, swapping the 1.5% yield equivalent on the bonds for 6 Month USD LIBOR minus 3.375%. Our hedging policy is not intended to cover the full exposure and all risks associated with these instruments.

As of June 30, 2007, the 9-year U.S. swap interest rate was 5.64%, which was the same as the 10-year rate at the inception of the transaction, on June 14, 2006. The fair value of the swaps as of June 30, 2007 was \$1 million since they were executed at slightly higher market rates. In compliance with FAS 133 provisions on fair value hedges, the net impact of the hedging transaction on our income statement was the ineffective part of the hedge, which resulted in a net profit of less than \$1 million for the second quarter of 2007 and was recorded in Other income and expenses, net. These cancelable swaps were designed and are expected to effectively replicate the bond's behavior through a wide range of changes in financial market conditions and decisions made by both the holders of the bonds and us, thus being classified as highly effective hedges; however no assurance can be given that our hedging activities will sufficiently protect us against future significant movements in interest rates.

Table of Contents

We may in the future enter into further cancelable swap transactions related to the 2016 Convertible Bonds or other fixed rate instruments. For full details of quantitative and qualitative information, see Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk as set forth in our Form 20-F as may be updated from time to time in our public filings.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Treasury activities are regulated by our policies, which define procedures, objectives and controls. The policies focus on the management of our financial risk in terms of exposure to currency rates and interest rates. Most treasury activities are centralized, with any local treasury activities subject to oversight from our head treasury office. The majority of our cash and cash equivalents are held in U.S. dollars and euros and are placed with financial institutions rated A or better. Part of our liquidity is also held in euros to naturally hedge intercompany payables in the same currency and is placed with financial institutions rated at least a single A long-term rating, meaning at least A3 from Moody's Investor Service and A- from Standard & Poor's and Fitch Ratings. Marginal amounts are held in other currencies. See Item 11. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk included in our Form 20-F, as may be updated from time to time in our public filings. At June 30, 2007, there had been no material change in foreign currency operations and hedging transactions exposures from those disclosed in our Form 20-F, as may be updated from time to time in our public filings.

At June 30, 2007, cash and cash equivalents totaled \$1,849 million, compared to \$2,040 million at March 31, 2007 and to \$1,963 at December 31, 2006. Our available cash decreased in the second quarter of 2007 mainly due to the payment of dividends and to the increased amount of marketable securities. At June 30, 2007 and at March 31, 2007, we had no investments in short-term deposits, compared to \$250 million at December 31, 2006. As of June 30, 2007, we had \$931 million in marketable securities, with primary financial institutions with a minimum rating of A1/A+ (with 79% of the portfolio rated Aa3 or better). Marketable securities amounted to \$740 million as of March 31, 2007 and to \$460 million as of December 31, 2006. Changes in the instruments adopted to invest our liquidity in future periods may occur and may significantly affect our interest income (expense), net.

Liquidity

We maintain a significant cash position, which provides us with adequate financial flexibility. As in the past, our cash management policy is to finance our investment needs mainly with net cash generated from operating activities.

Net cash from operating activities. Our net cash from operating activities remained at a high level and amounted to \$940 million in the first half of 2007, but significantly decreasing from the \$1,377 million in the first half of 2006. This was associated with lower profitability and less favorable changes in current assets and liabilities.

In the first half of 2007, changes in our current assets and liabilities resulted in net cash used of \$67 million compared to net cash generated of \$179 million in the first half of 2006, as a result of the following changes:

trade receivables generated net cash of \$46 million, compared to net cash used of \$74 million in the first half of 2006 due to lower sales;

inventory used net cash of \$53 million, compared to \$94 million in the first half of 2006; and

trade payables balance was basically nil, while they generated a favorable change of \$261 million in the first half of 2006.

Net cash used in investing activities. Net cash used in investing activities was \$796 million in the first half of 2007, compared to \$1,952 million in the first half of 2006. In line with our objective to selectively control the level of capital investments, payments for purchases of tangible assets amounted to \$507 million for the first half of 2007, decreasing about 27% compared to the \$696 million in the first half of 2006. Purchases of marketable securities in the first half of 2007 amounted to \$511 million and proceeds from matured short-term deposits amounted to \$250 million. In the first half of 2006, purchases of marketable securities amounted to \$100 million and \$903 million as investments in short-term deposits.

Table of Contents

Net operating cash flow. We define net operating cash flow as net cash from operating activities minus net cash used in investing activities, excluding payment for purchases of and proceeds from the sale of marketable securities, short-term deposits and restricted cash. We believe net operating cash flow provides useful information for investors and management because it measures our capacity to generate cash from our operating and investing activities to sustain our operating activities. Net operating cash flow is not a U.S. GAAP measure and does not represent total cash flow since it does not include the cash flows generated by or used in financing activities. In addition, our definition of net operating cash flow may differ from definitions used by other companies. A reconciliation from net cash from operating activities, the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure included in our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Cash Flow as at June 30, 2007, to net operating cash flow for each of the respective periods indicated is as follows:

	Six Months Ended	
	June	July 1, 2006
	30, 2007	July 1, 2006
	(unaudited, in \$ millions)	
Net cash from operating activities	\$ 940	\$ 1,377
Net cash used in investing activities	(796)	(1,952)
Payment for purchase (proceeds from sale of) marketable securities, short-term deposits and restricted cash, net	253	1,003
Net operating cash flow	\$ 397	\$ 428

We generated favorable net operating cash flow of \$397 million in the first half of 2007, compared to net operating cash flow of \$428 million in the first half of 2006. We continued to generate a solid cash flow since the cash flow from our operating activities well exceeds the cash used in purchasing of tangible and intangible assets.

Net cash used in financing activities. Net cash used in financing activities was \$262 million in the first half of 2007, including the payment of dividends in the amount of \$269 million. The net cash generated in the first half of 2006 was \$1,389 million in the first half of 2006, mainly due to the proceeds from the issuance of our 2013 Senior Bonds and 2016 Convertible Bonds, which amounted to \$1,564 million.

Capital Resources*Net financial position*

To evaluate our capital resources, we refer to our net financial position, among other things. Net financial position is not a U.S. GAAP measure. We believe our net financial position provides useful information for investors because it gives evidence of our overall financial position by measuring our capital resources based on cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities, short-term deposits and restricted cash against the total level of financial indebtedness indicated. A reconciliation from cash and cash equivalents, the most directly comparable U.S. GAAP measure included in our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Balance Sheets as at June 30, 2007, to net financial position for each of the respective periods indicated is as follows:

	As at		
	June	March 31,	July 1,
	30,	2007	2006
	2007	(unaudited, in \$ millions)	
Cash and cash equivalents, net of bank overdrafts	\$ 1,808	\$ 2,040	\$ 2,892
Marketable securities	931	740	100
Short-term deposits			903
Restricted cash for equity investments	250	250	
Total	2,989	3,030	3,895

Current portion of long-term debt	(127)	(103)	(1,503)
Long-term debt	(1,992)	(2,010)	(1,853)
Total	(2,119)	(2,113)	(3,356)
Net financial position	\$ 870	\$ 917	\$ 539

Our net financial position was a positive amount of \$870 million as of June 30, 2007, representing an improvement of \$331 million as compared to our net financial position of \$539 million as of July 1, 2006, and a

Table of Contents

decrease of \$47 million as compared to our net financial position of \$917 million as of March 31, 2007, such decrease is due to the dividends paid in the second quarter of 2007 largely balanced by the cash flow generated for the period.

At June 30, 2007, the aggregate amount of our long-term debt was \$1,992 million, including \$993 million of our zero coupon convertible bonds due 2016 and \$675 million of our floating rate senior bonds due 2013 (corresponding to 500 million issuance). Additionally, we had uncommitted short-term credit facilities with several financial institutions exceeding \$1.1 billion. We also had a \$331 million (245 million) long-term credit facility with the European Investment Bank as part of a funding program loan, of which \$140 million was used as of June 30, 2007. We also maintain uncommitted foreign exchange facilities, which amounted to over \$900 million at June 30, 2007. Our long-term capital market instruments contain standard covenants, but do not impose minimum financial ratios or similar obligations on us. Upon a change of control, the holders of our 2016 Convertible Bonds and 2013 Senior Bonds may require us to repurchase all or a portion of such holder's bonds. See Note 15 to our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

As of June 30, 2007, we have the following credit ratings on our 2013 and 2016 Bonds:

	Moody's Investors Service	Standard & Poor's
Zero Coupon Senior Convertible Bonds due 2013	WR ⁽¹⁾	A-
Zero Coupon Senior Convertible Bonds due 2016	A3	A-
Floating Rate Senior Bonds due 2013	A3	A-

(1) Rating withdrawn since the redemption in August 2006 of \$1.4 billion of our 2013 Convertible Bonds, which left only \$2 million of our 2013 Convertible Bonds outstanding.

On January 9, 2007, Standard & Poor's confirmed the A- ratings and issued a stable outlook. On May 25, 2007, Moody's issued a credit report confirming the A3 ratings and changing the outlook to stable from under review for possible downgrade.

In the event of a downgrade of these ratings, we believe we would continue to have access to sufficient capital resources.

Contractual Obligations, Commercial Commitments and Contingencies

Our contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of June 30, 2007, and for each of the five years to come and thereafter, were as follows:

	Payments due by period (unaudited, in \$ millions)							
	Total	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012	Thereafter
Operating leases ⁽¹⁾	\$ 303	\$ 34	\$ 41	\$ 36	\$ 26	\$23	\$ 17	\$126
Purchase obligations ⁽¹⁾	766	642	89	34	1			

of which:

<i>Equipment purchase</i>	351	348	3					
<i>Foundry purchase</i>	212	212						
<i>Software, technology licenses and design</i>	203	82	86	34	1			
Other obligations ⁽¹⁾	111	40	15	12	7	4	2	31
Long-term debt obligations (including current portion) ^{(2)(3) (4)}	2,119	127	59	46	1,082	29	705	71
of which:								
<i>Capital leases⁽²⁾</i>	23	3	5	6	6	2		1
Pension obligations ⁽²⁾	362	13	24	28	25	23	23	226
Other non-current liabilities ⁽²⁾	105	3	70	5	2	2	1	22
Total	\$3,766	\$859	\$298	\$161	\$1,143	\$81	\$748	\$476

(1) Items not reflected on the Unaudited Interim Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2007.

(2) Items reflected on the Unaudited Interim Consolidated Balance Sheet at June 30, 2007.

(3) See Note 15 to the Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements at June 30, 2007 for additional information related to long-term debt and redeemable convertible securities.

- (4) Year of payment is based on maturity before taking into account any potential acceleration that could result from a triggering of the change of control provisions of the 2016 Convertible Bonds and the 2013 Senior Bonds.

Table of Contents

Operating leases are mainly related to building leases. The amount disclosed is composed of minimum payments for future leases from 2007 to 2012 and thereafter. We lease land, buildings, plants and equipment under operating leases that expire at various dates under non-cancelable lease agreements.

Purchase obligations are primarily comprised of purchase commitments for equipment, for outsourced foundry wafers and for software licenses.

Long-term debt obligations mainly consist of bank loans, convertible and non-convertible debt issued by us that is totally or partially redeemable for cash at the option of the holder. They include maximum future amounts that may be redeemable for cash at the option of the holder, at fixed prices. At the holder's option, any outstanding 2013 Convertible Bonds were redeemable on August 4, 2006 at a conversion ratio of \$985.09.

On August 7, 2006, as a result of almost all of the holders of our 2013 Convertible Bonds exercising the August 4, 2006 put option, we repurchased \$1,397 million aggregate principal amount of the outstanding convertible bonds. The outstanding 2013 Convertible Bonds, corresponding to approximately \$2 million and approximately 2,505 bonds, may be redeemed, at the holder's option, for cash on August 5, 2008 at a conversion ratio of \$975.28, or on August 5, 2010 at a conversion ratio of \$965.56, subject to adjustments in certain circumstances.

In February 2006, we issued \$974 million principal amount at maturity of Zero Coupon Senior Convertible Bonds due in February 2016. The bonds were convertible by the holder at any time prior to maturity at a conversion rate of 43.118317 shares per one thousand dollars face value of the bonds corresponding to 41,997,240 equivalent shares. The holders can also redeem the convertible bonds, subject to adjustments upon the occurrence of certain events, on February 23, 2011 at a price of \$1,077.58, on February 23, 2012 at a price of \$1,093.81 and on February 24, 2014 at a price of \$1,126.99 per one thousand dollars face value of the bonds. We can call the bonds at any time after March 10, 2011 subject to our share price exceeding 130% of the accreted value divided by the conversion rate for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days.

At our general meeting of shareholders held on April 26, 2007, our shareholders approved a cash dividend distribution of \$0.30 per share. Pursuant to the terms of our 2016 Convertible Bonds, the payment of this dividend gave rise to a slight change in the conversion rate thereof. The new conversion rate is 43.363087 corresponding to 42,235,646 equivalent shares.

In March 2006, STMicroelectronics Finance B.V. ("STBV"), one of our wholly-owned subsidiaries, issued Floating Rate Senior Bonds with a principal amount of \$500 million at an issue price of 99.873%. The notes, which mature on March 17, 2013, pay a coupon rate of the three-month Euribor plus 0.40% on the 17th of June, September, December and March of each year through maturity. The notes have a put for early repayment in case of a change of control.

Pension obligations and termination indemnities amounting to \$362 million consist of our best estimates of the amounts that will be payable by us for the retirement plans based on the assumption that our employees will work for us until they reach the age of retirement. The final actual amount to be paid and related timings of such payments may vary significantly due to early retirements or terminations. See Note 17 to our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

Other non-current liabilities include uncertain tax positions, future obligations related to our restructuring plans and miscellaneous contractual obligations.

Other obligations primarily relate to contractual firm commitments with respect to cooperation agreements.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

At June 30, 2007, we had convertible debt instruments outstanding. Our convertible debt instruments contain certain conversion and redemption options that are not required to be accounted for separately in our financial statements. See Note 15 to our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements for more information about our convertible debt instruments and related conversion and redemption options.

We have no other material off-balance sheet arrangements at June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents***Financial Outlook***

We currently expect that capital spending for 2007 will be approximately \$1.2 billion, a decrease compared to the \$1.5 billion spent in 2006. This is in line with our lighter asset model by decreasing our capital intensity towards a capital expense to sales ratio of approximately 12%. The major part of our capital spending will be dedicated to the leading edge technology fabs by aligning the capacity with the expected demand mix. We have the flexibility to modulate our investments up or down in response to changes in market conditions. At June 30, 2007, we had \$348 million in outstanding commitments for equipment purchases for 2007 and \$3 million for 2008.

Our agreement with NXP Semiconductors and Freescale Semiconductor related to the technology and manufacturing cooperation in Crolles2 provides us with the option right to purchase NXP Semiconductors and Freescale Semiconductor assets currently operating in Crolles2 at the termination of the alliance at pre-agreed price conditions. Both NXP Semiconductors and Freescale Semiconductor have communicated that the Crolles2 alliance will expire at its term on December 31, 2007.

The most significant of our 2007 capital expenditure projects are expected to be: for the front-end facilities, (i) in Agrate (Italy), related to the upgrading of our 200-mm pilot line, the ramp-up of the 200-mm line for MEMS and the expansion of capacity to our 200-mm fab and the development of the new technology node for Memories; (ii) the upgrading to finer geometry technologies for our 200-mm plant in Rousset (France); (iii) the upgrading of our 200-mm plant in Singapore; (iv) for the back-end facilities, the capital expenditures will be mainly dedicated to the capacity expansion in our plants in Shenzhen (China) and Muar (Malaysia) and capacity upgrade in other assembly sites. We will continue to monitor our level of capital spending by taking into consideration factors such as trends in the semiconductor industry, capacity utilization and announced additions. We expect to have significant capital requirements in the coming years and in addition we intend to continue to devote a substantial portion of our net revenues to research and development. We plan to fund our capital requirements from cash provided by operating activities, available funds and available support from third parties (including state support), and may have recourse to borrowings under available credit lines and, to the extent necessary or attractive based on market conditions prevailing at the time, the issuing of debt, convertible bonds or additional equity securities. A substantial deterioration of our economic results and consequently of our profitability could generate a deterioration of the cash generated by our operating activities. Therefore, there can be no assurance that, in future periods, we will generate the same level of cash as in the previous years to fund our capital expenditures for expansion plans, our working capital requirements, research and development and industrialization costs.

As part of our refinancing strategy, we issued Zero Coupon Senior Convertible Bonds due 2016 representing total proceeds of \$974 million in the first quarter of 2006. Furthermore, in the first quarter of 2006, we issued 500 million Floating Rate Senior Bonds due 2013. We used the proceeds of these offerings primarily for the repurchase of our 2013 Convertible Bonds on August 7, 2006 and for general corporate purposes.

Impact of Recently Issued U.S. Accounting Standards

In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140 (FAS 155). The statement amended Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (FAS 133) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities (FAS 140). The primary purposes of this statement were (1) to allow companies to select between bifurcation of hybrid financial instruments or fair valuing the hybrid as a single instrument, (2) to clarify certain exclusions of FAS 133 related to interest and principal-only strips, (3) to define the difference between freestanding and hybrid securitized financial assets, and (4) to eliminate the FAS 140 prohibition of Special Purpose Entities holding certain types of derivatives. The statement is effective for annual periods beginning after September 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted prior to a company issuing first quarter financial statements. We adopted FAS 155 in the first quarter of 2007 and FAS 155 did not have any material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

In March 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140 (FAS 156). This statement requires initial fair value recognition of all servicing assets and liabilities for servicing contracts entered in

the first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. After initial recognition, the servicing assets and liabilities are either amortized over the period of expected servicing income or loss or fair value is reassessed each period with changes recorded in earnings for the period. We adopted FAS 156 in the first quarter of 2007 and FAS 156 did not have any material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). The interpretation seeks to clarify the accounting for tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in a company's tax return and the uncertainty as to the amount and timing of recognition in the company's financial statements in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes (FAS 109). The interpretation sets a two step process for the evaluation of uncertain tax positions. The recognition threshold in step one permits the benefit from an uncertain position to be recognized only if it is more likely than not, or 50 percent assured that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities. The measurement methodology in step two is based on cumulative probability, resulting in the recognition of the largest amount that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the taxing authority. The interpretation also addresses derecognizing previously recognized tax positions, classification of related tax assets and liabilities, accrual of interest and penalties, interim period accounting, and disclosure and transition provisions. The interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. We adopted FIN 48 as at January 1, 2007. Before adoption, we applied Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, Accounting for Contingencies (FAS 5) in accounting for income tax uncertainties and tax exposures. In compliance with FAS 5 provisions, liabilities and accruals for income tax uncertainties and specific tax exposures were recorded or reversed when it was probable that additional taxes would be due or refund. As such, a level of sustainability that met the probable threshold was necessary to recognize any benefit from a tax-advantaged transaction. Upon FIN 48 adoption, we assessed all material open income tax positions in all tax jurisdictions to determine the appropriate amount of tax benefits that are recognizable under FIN 48. We recorded as of the adoption date an incremental tax liability of \$8 million for the difference between the amounts recognized under our previous accounting policies and the income tax benefits determined under the new guidance. The cumulative effect of the change in the accounting principle that we applied to uncertain income tax positions was recorded in the first quarter of 2007 as an adjustment to retained earnings. Additionally we elected to classify accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as components of income tax expense in our consolidated statement of income. Uncertain tax positions, unrecognized tax benefits and related accrued interest and penalties are further described in Note 20.

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, Fair Value Measurements (FAS 157). This statement defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In addition, the statement defines a fair value hierarchy which should be used when determining fair values, except as specifically excluded (i.e., stock awards, measurements requiring vendor specific objective evidence, and inventory pricing). The hierarchy places the greatest relevance on Level 1 inputs which include quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs, which are observable either directly or indirectly, and include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in non-active markets, and inputs that could vary based on either the condition of the assets or liabilities or volumes sold. The lowest level of the hierarchy, Level 3, is unobservable inputs and should only be used when observable inputs are not available. This would include company level assumptions and should be based on the best available information under the circumstances. FAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 with early adoption permitted for fiscal year 2007 if first quarter statements have not been issued. We chose not to early adopt FAS 157 during our first quarter of 2007 and will adopt FAS 157 when effective. However, we do not expect FAS 157 will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations upon final adoption.

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities-Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115 (FAS 159). This statement permits companies to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses in earnings at each subsequent reporting date on items for which the fair value option has been elected. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by providing companies with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. A company may decide whether to elect the fair value option for each eligible item on its election date, subject to certain requirements

described in the statement. FAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 with early adoption permitted for fiscal year 2007 if first quarter statements have not been issued. We chose not to early adopt FAS 159 during the first quarter of 2007 and will adopt FAS 159 when effective. We are currently evaluating the effect that adoption of this statement will have on our financial position and results of operations.

Table of Contents

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force reached final consensus on Issue No. 06-11, Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards (EITF 06-11). The issue applies to equity-classified nonvested shares on which dividends are paid prior to vesting, equity-classified nonvested share units on which dividends equivalents are paid, and equity-classified share options on which payments equal to the dividends paid on the underlying shares are made to the option-holder while the option is outstanding. The issue is applicable to the dividends or dividend equivalents that are (1) charged to retained earnings under the guidance in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (FAS 123R) and (2) result in an income tax deduction for the employer. EITF 06-11 states that a realized tax benefit from dividends or dividend equivalents that are charged to retained earnings and paid to employees for equity-classified nonvested shares, nonvested equity share units, and outstanding share options should be recognized as an increase to additional paid-in-capital. Those tax benefits are considered excess tax benefits (windfall) under FAS 123R. EITF 06-11 must be applied prospectively to dividends declared in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted for the income tax benefits of dividends on equity-based awards that are declared in periods for which financial statements have not yet been issued. We will adopt EITF 06-11 when effective. However, we do not expect EITF 06-11 will have a material effect on our financial position and results of operations.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force reached final consensus on Issue No. 07-3, Accounting for Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities (EITF 07-3). The issue addresses whether non-refundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for research and development activities should be expensed when the advance payments are made or when the research and development activities have been performed. EITF 07-3 applies only to non-refundable advance payments for goods and services to be used and rendered in future research and development activities pursuant to an executory contractual arrangement. EITF 07-3 states that non-refundable advance payments for future research and development activities should be capitalized until the goods have been delivered or the related services have been performed. If an entity does not expect the goods to be delivered or services to be rendered, the capitalized advance payment should be charged to expense. EITF 07-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is not permitted and entities should recognize the effect of applying the guidance in this Issue prospectively for new contracts entered into after EITF 07-3 effective date. We will adopt EITF 07-3 when effective and are currently evaluating the effect its application will have on our financial position and results of operations.

Backlog and Customers

We entered the third quarter of 2007 with a backlog approximately 3% higher than we had entering the second quarter of 2007. In the second quarter of 2007, we had several large customers, with the Nokia Group of companies being the largest and accounting for approximately 20% of our revenues. Total OEMs accounted for approximately 83% of our net revenues, of which the top ten OEM customers accounted for approximately 48%. Distributors accounted for approximately 17% of our net revenues. We have no assurance that the Nokia Group of companies, or any other customer, will continue to generate revenues for us at the same levels. If we were to lose one or more of our key customers, or if they were to significantly reduce their bookings, or fail to meet their payment obligations, our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected.

Changes to Our Share Capital, Stock Option Grants and Other Matters

The following table sets forth changes to our share capital as of June 30, 2007:

					Nominal value of increase/ reduction in capital	Amount of issue premium (euro)	Cumulative issue premium (euro)
Year	Number of Transactions	Nominal value (euro)	Cumulative amount of	Cumulative number of shares			
	shares						

**capital
(euro)**

December 31, 2006 Exercise of options 2,333,654 1.04 946,564,250 910,157,933 2,427,000 19,819,100 1,754,532,654

June 30, 2007 Exercise of options 131,167 1.04 946,700,664 910,289,100 136,414 1,667,784 1,756,200,438

As of June 30, 2007, we had 910,289,100 shares of which 10,979,721 shares owned as treasury stock. We also had outstanding stock options exercisable into the equivalent of 54,971,536 common shares and

Table of Contents

11,041,240 Unvested Stock Awards to be vested on treasury stock. Upon fulfillment of the respective predetermined criteria, the first tranche of stock awards granted under our 2006 stock-based compensation plan and the second tranche of stock awards granted under our 2005 stock-based compensation plan vested on April 27, 2007. For full details of quantitative and qualitative information, see Item 6. Directors, Senior Management and Employees as set forth in our Form 20-F, as may be updated from time to time in our public filings, and see Note 16 to our Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements.

In the first half of 2007, our share-based compensation plans generated a total charge in our income statement of \$32 million pre-tax (\$3 million for the 2007 Unvested Stock Award Plan, \$25 million for the 2006 Unvested Stock Award Plan and \$4 million for the 2005 Unvested Stock Award Plan).

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Exchange Act) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the evaluation date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the Commission's rules and forms and is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the period covered by this report that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Other Reviews

We have sent this report to our Audit Committee, which had an opportunity to raise questions with our management and independent auditors before we submitted it to the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Cautionary Note Regarding Forward-Looking Statements

Some of the statements contained in Overview Business Outlook and in Liquidity and Capital Resources Financial Outlook and elsewhere in this Form 6-K that are not historical facts are statements of future expectations and other forward-looking statements (within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, each as amended) based on management's current views and assumptions and involve known and unknown risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results, performance or events to differ materially from those in such statements due to, among other factors:

future developments of the world semiconductor market, in particular the future demand for semiconductor products in the key application markets and from key customers served by our products;

pricing pressures, losses or curtailments of purchases from key customers all of which are highly variable and difficult to predict;

the financial impact of obsolete or excess inventories if actual demand differs from our anticipations;

the impact of intellectual property claims by our competitors or third parties, and our ability to obtain required licenses on reasonable terms and conditions;

changes in the exchange rates between the U.S. dollar and the Euro, compared to an assumed effective exchange rate of U.S. \$1.37 = 1.00 and between the U.S. dollar and the currencies of the other major countries in which we have our operating infrastructure;

our ability to manage in an intensely competitive and cyclical industry where a high percentage of our costs are fixed and difficult to reduce in the short term, including our ability to adequately utilize and operate our manufacturing facilities at sufficient levels to cover fixed operating costs;

Table of Contents

our ability to close as currently planned and scheduled our agreement with Intel Corporation and Francisco Partners L.P. concerning the creation of a new pending independent Flash memory company to be named Numonyx™ (i) if the financial, business or other conditions to closing as contractually provided are not met due to issues not currently anticipated and/or (ii) if the estimated loss of \$857 million relating to our Flash memory business materially changes at Closing as a result of significant developments in the Flash memory business;

our ability in an intensive competitive environment, to secure customer acceptance and to achieve our pricing expectations for high-volume supplies of new products in whose development we have or are currently investing;

the anticipated benefits of research and development alliances and cooperative activities, as well as the uncertainties concerning the modalities, conditions and financial impact beyond 2007 of the R&D cooperative alliance in Crolles2;

the ability of our suppliers to meet our demands for supplies and materials and to offer competitive pricing;

our gross margin could vary significantly from expectations based on changes in revenue levels, product mix and pricing, capacity utilization, variations in inventory valuation, excess or obsolete inventory, manufacturing yields, changes in unit costs, impairments of long-lived assets, including manufacturing, assembly/test and intangible assets, and the timing and execution of the manufacturing ramp and associated costs, including start-up costs;

changes in the economic, social or political environment, including military conflict and/or terrorist activities, as well as natural events such as severe weather, health risks, epidemics or earthquakes in the countries in which we, our key customers and our suppliers operate;

changes in our overall tax position as a result of changes in tax laws or the outcome of tax audits, and our ability to accurately estimate tax credits, benefits, deductions and provisions and to realize deferred tax assets;

the outcome of litigation; and

the results of actions by our competitors, including new product offerings and our ability to react thereto.

Such forward-looking statements are subject to various risks and uncertainties, which may cause actual results and performance of our business to differ materially and adversely from the forward-looking statements. Certain forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of forward-looking terminology, such as *believes*, *expects*, *may*, *are expected to*, *will*, *will continue*, *should*, *would be*, *seeks* or *anticipates* or similar expressions or other variations thereof or comparable terminology, or by discussions of strategy, plans or intentions. Some of these risk factors are set forth and are discussed in more detail in *Item 3. Key Information Risk Factors* in our Form 20-F. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in this Form 6-K as anticipated, believed or expected. We do not intend, and do not assume any obligation, to update any industry information or forward-looking statements set forth in this Form 6-K to reflect subsequent events or circumstances.

Unfavorable changes in the above or other factors listed under *Risk Factors* from time to time in our SEC filings, could have a material adverse effect on our business and/or financial condition.

Table of Contents

**STMICROELECTRONICS N.V.
UNAUDITED INTERIM CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

	Pages
Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three Months and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and July 1, 2006 (unaudited)	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2007 (unaudited) and December 31, 2006 (audited)	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Six Months Ended June 30, 2007 and July 1, 2006 (unaudited)	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity (unaudited)	F-5
Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)	F-6

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, STMicroelectronics N.V. has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

STMicroelectronics N.V.

Date: August 3, 2007

By: /s/ Carlo Bozotti

Name: **Carlo Bozotti**

Title: **President and Chief
Executive
Officer and Sole Member of
our Managing Board**

Enclosure: STMicroelectronics N.V.'s Second Quarter and First Half 2007:

Operating and Financial Review and Prospects;

Unaudited Interim Consolidated Statements of Income, Balance Sheets, Statements of Cash Flow and Statements of Changes in Shareholders' Equity and related Notes;

Certifications pursuant to Sections 302 and 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, submitted to the Commission on a voluntary basis;

Master agreement by and between STMicroelectronics N.V., Intel Corporation, Redwood Blocker S.A.R.L., and Francisco Partners II (Cayman) L.P., May 22, 2007 (Exhibit 99.1); and

Form of ST Asset Contribution Agreement (Exhibit 99.2).

Table of Contents**STMicroelectronics N.V.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	Three months ended (unaudited)	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
In million of U.S. dollars except per share amounts		
Net sales	2,409	2,492
Other revenues	9	3
Net revenues	2,418	2,495
Cost of sales	(1,580)	(1,613)
Gross profit	838	882
Selling, general and administrative	(270)	(266)
Research and development	(446)	(408)
Other income and expenses, net	12	(5)
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs	(906)	(34)
Operating income (loss)	(772)	169
Interest income, net	18	30
Earnings (loss) on equity investments	3	(1)
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interests	(751)	198
Income tax expense	(4)	(29)
Income (loss) before minority interests	(755)	169
Minority interests	(3)	(1)
Net income (loss)	(758)	168
 Earnings (loss) per share (Basic)	 (0.84)	 0.19
Earnings (loss) per share (Diluted)	(0.84)	0.18

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements

F-1

Table of Contents**STMicroelectronics N.V.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME**

	Six months ended (unaudited)	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
In million of U.S. dollars except per share amounts		
Net sales	4,678	4,854
Other revenues	15	4
Net revenues	4,693	4,858
Cost of sales	(3,070)	(3,139)
Gross profit	1,623	1,719
Selling, general and administrative	(531)	(522)
Research and development	(881)	(817)
Other income and expenses, net	(3)	(24)
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs	(918)	(47)
Operating income (loss)	(710)	309
Interest income, net	36	51
Earnings (loss) on equity investments	9	(4)
Income (loss) before income taxes and minority interests	(665)	356
Income tax expense	(15)	(57)
Income (loss) before minority interests	(680)	299
Minority interests	(4)	0
Net income (loss)	(684)	299
 Earnings (loss) per share (Basic)	 (0.76)	 0.33
Earnings (loss) per share (Diluted)	(0.76)	0.32

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements

Table of Contents**STMicroelectronics N.V.
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**

In million of U.S. dollars	June 30, 2007 (unaudited)	December 31, 2006 (audited)
Assets		
Current assets :		
Cash and cash equivalents	1,849	1,963
Marketable securities	931	460
Short-term deposits	0	250
Trade accounts receivable, net	1,543	1,589
Inventories, net	1,337	1,639
Deferred tax assets	205	187
Assets held for sale	1,204	0
Other receivables and assets	596	498
Total current assets	7,665	6,586
Goodwill	225	223
Other intangible assets, net	157	211
Property, plant and equipment, net	4,843	6,426
Long-term deferred tax assets	134	124
Equity investments	0	261
Restricted cash for equity investments	250	218
Other investments and other non-current assets	158	149
	5,767	7,612
Total assets	13,432	14,198
Liabilities and shareholders' equity		
Current liabilities:		
Bank overdrafts	41	0
Current portion of long-term debt	127	136
Trade accounts payable	1,003	1,044
Other payables and accrued liabilities	714	664
Deferred tax liabilities	10	7
Accrued income tax	41	112
Total current liabilities	1,936	1,963
Long-term debt	1,992	1,994
Reserve for pension and termination indemnities	362	342
Long-term deferred tax liabilities	66	57

Other non-current liabilities	105	43
	2,525	2,436
Total liabilities	4,461	4,399
Commitment and contingencies		
Minority interests	56	52
Common stock (preferred stock: 540,000,000 shares authorized, not issued; common stock: Euro 1.04 nominal value, 1,200,000,000 shares authorized, 910,289,100 shares issued, 899,309,379 shares outstanding)	1,156	1,156
Capital surplus	2,055	2,021
Accumulated result	5,087	6,086
Accumulated other comprehensive income	903	816
Treasury stock	(286)	(332)
Shareholders' equity	8,915	9,747
Total liabilities and shareholders' equity	13,432	14,198

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements

F-3

Table of Contents
STMicroelectronics N.V.
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

In million of U.S. dollars	Six Months Ended (unaudited)	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
Cash flows from operating activities:		
Net income (loss)	(684)	299
Items to reconcile net income (loss) and cash flows from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	770	897
Amortization of discount on convertible debt	9	8
Other non-cash items	39	5
Minority interests	4	
Deferred income tax	(7)	(15)
Earnings (loss) on equity investments	(9)	4
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, net of cash payments	885	
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Trade receivables, net	46	(74)
Inventories, net	(53)	(94)
Trade payables	(2)	261
Other assets and liabilities, net	(58)	86
Net cash from operating activities	940	1,377
Cash flows from investing activities:		
Payment for purchase of tangible assets	(507)	(696)
Payment for purchase of marketable securities	(511)	(100)
Investment in short-term deposits		(903)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	40	
Proceeds from matured short-term deposits	250	
Restricted cash for equity investments	(32)	
Investment in intangible and financial assets	(36)	(48)
Proceeds from the sale of Accent subsidiary		7
Capital contributions to equity investments		(212)
Net cash used in investing activities	(796)	(1,952)
Cash flows from financing activities:		
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	17	1,561
Repayment of long-term debt	(52)	(69)
Increase (decrease) in short-term facilities	40	(12)
Capital increase	2	16

Dividends paid	(269)	(107)
Net cash from (used in) financing activities	(262)	1,389
Effect of changes in exchange rates	4	51
Net cash increase (decrease)	(114)	865
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of the period	1,963	2,027
Cash and cash equivalents at end of the period	1,849	2,892

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements

F-4

Table of Contents**STMicroelectronics N.V.****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY**

In million of U.S. dollars, except per share amounts

	Common Stock	Capital Surplus	Treasury Stock	Accumulated Result	Accumulated Other Comprehensive income	Shareholders Equity
Balance as of December 31, 2005 (Audited)	1,153	1,967	(348)	5,427	281	8,480
Capital increase	3	25				28
Stock-based compensation expense		29	16	(16)		29
Comprehensive income (loss):						
Net Income				782		782
Other comprehensive income, net of tax					535	535
Comprehensive income (loss)						1,317
Dividends, \$0.12 per share				(107)		(107)
Balance as of December 31, 2006 (audited)	1,156	2,021	(332)	6,086	816	9,747
Capital increase		2				2
Stock-based compensation expense		32	46	(46)		32
Comprehensive income (loss):						
Net Loss				(684)		(684)
Other comprehensive income, net of tax					87	87
Comprehensive income (loss)						(597)
Dividends, \$0.30 per share				(269)		(269)
Balance as of June 30, 2007 (Unaudited)	1,156	2,055	(286)	5,087	903	8,915

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited interim consolidated financial statements

F-5

Table of Contents

STMicroelectronics N.V.

Notes to Interim Consolidated Financial Statements (unaudited)

1. The Company

STMicroelectronics N.V. (the Company) is registered in The Netherlands with its statutory domicile in Amsterdam and its corporate headquarters located in Geneva, Switzerland.

The Company is a global independent semiconductor company that designs, develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of semiconductor integrated circuits (ICs) and discrete devices. The Company offers a diversified product portfolio and develops products for a wide range of market applications, including automotive products, computer peripherals, telecommunications systems, consumer products, industrial automation and control systems. Within its diversified portfolio, the Company has focused on developing products that leverage its technological strengths in creating customized, system-level solutions with high-growth digital and mixed-signal content.

2. Fiscal year

The Company's fiscal year ends on December 31. Interim periods are established for accounting purposes on a thirteen-week basis. In 2007, the Company's first quarter ended on March 31, its second ended on June 30, its third quarter will end on September 29 and its fourth quarter will end on December 31.

3. Basis of Presentation

The accompanying Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements of the Company have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP), consistent in all material respects with those applied for the year ended December 31, 2006. The interim financial information is unaudited but reflects all normal adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to provide a fair statement of results for the periods presented. The results of operations for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

All balances and values in the current and prior periods are in millions of dollars, except share and per-share amounts.

The accompanying Unaudited Interim Consolidated Financial Statements do not include certain footnotes and financial presentation normally required on an annual basis under U.S. GAAP. Therefore, these interim financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements in the Company's Annual Report on Form 20-F for the year ended December 31, 2006.

4. Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of net revenue and expenses during the reporting period. The primary areas that require significant estimates and judgments by management include, but are not limited to, sales returns and allowances, allowances for doubtful accounts, inventory reserves and normal manufacturing capacity thresholds to determine costs capitalized in inventory, accruals for warranty costs, litigation and claims, valuation of acquired intangibles, goodwill, investments and tangible assets as well as the impairment of their related carrying values, restructuring charges, assumptions used in calculating pension obligations and share-based compensation, assessment of hedge effectiveness of derivative instruments, deferred income tax assets including required valuation allowances and liabilities as well as provisions for specifically identified income tax exposures and income tax uncertainties. The Company bases the estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors such as market trends and business plans that it

Table of Contents

believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities. The actual results experienced by the Company could differ materially and adversely from management's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations, cash flows and financial position could be significantly affected.

5. Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In February 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments – an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140* (FAS 155). The statement amended Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (FAS 133) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities* (FAS 140). The primary purposes of this statement were (1) to allow companies to select between bifurcation of hybrid financial instruments or fair valuing the hybrid as a single instrument, (2) to clarify certain exclusions of FAS 133 related to interest and principal-only strips, (3) to define the difference between freestanding and hybrid securitized financial assets, and (4) to eliminate the FAS 140 prohibition of Special Purpose Entities holding certain types of derivatives. The statement is effective for annual periods beginning after September 15, 2006, with early adoption permitted prior to a company issuing first quarter financial statements. The Company adopted FAS 155 in the first quarter 2007 and FAS 155 did not have any material effect on its financial position and results of operations.

In March 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, *Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets – an amendment of FASB Statement No. 140* (FAS 156). This statement requires initial fair value recognition of all servicing assets and liabilities for servicing contracts entered in the first fiscal year beginning after September 15, 2006. After initial recognition, the servicing assets and liabilities are either amortized over the period of expected servicing income or loss or fair value is reassessed each period with changes recorded in earnings for the period. The Company adopted FAS 156 in the first quarter 2007 and FAS 156 did not have any material effect on its financial position and results of operations.

In June 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes – an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). The interpretation seeks to clarify the accounting for tax positions taken, or expected to be taken, in a company's tax return and the uncertainty as to the amount and timing of recognition in the company's financial statements in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (FAS 109). The interpretation sets a two step process for the evaluation of uncertain tax positions. The recognition threshold in step one permits the benefit from an uncertain position to be recognized only if it is more likely than not, or 50 percent assured that the tax position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities. The measurement methodology in step two is based on cumulative probability, resulting in the recognition of the largest amount that is greater than 50 percent likely of being realized upon settlement with the taxing authority. The interpretation also addresses derecognising previously recognized tax positions, classification of related tax assets and liabilities, accrual of interest and penalties, interim period accounting, and disclosure and transition provisions. The interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company adopted FIN 48 as at January 1, 2007. Before adoption, the Company applied Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 5, *Accounting for Contingencies* (FAS 5) in accounting for income tax uncertainties and tax exposures. In compliance with FAS 5 provisions, liabilities and accruals for income tax uncertainties and specific tax exposures were recorded or reversed when it was probable that additional taxes would be due or refund. As such, a level of sustainability that met the probable threshold was necessary to recognize any benefit from a tax-advantaged transaction. Upon FIN 48 adoption, the Company assessed all material open income tax positions in all tax jurisdictions to determine the appropriate amount of tax benefits that are recognizable under FIN 48. The Company recorded as of the adoption date an incremental tax liability of \$8 million for the difference between the amounts recognized under its previous accounting policies and the income tax benefits determined under the new guidance. The cumulative effect of the change in the accounting principle that the Company applied to uncertain income tax positions was recorded in the first quarter of 2007 as an adjustment to retained earnings. Additionally the Company elected to classify accrued interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions as components of income tax expense in its consolidated

statement of income. Uncertain tax positions, unrecognized tax benefits and related accrued interest and penalties are further described in Note 20.

F-7

Table of Contents

In September 2006, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (FAS 157). This statement defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. In addition, the statement defines a fair value hierarchy which should be used when determining fair values, except as specifically excluded (i.e. stock awards, measurements requiring vendor specific objective evidence, and inventory pricing). The hierarchy places the greatest relevance on Level 1 inputs which include quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 inputs, which are observable either directly or indirectly, and include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities, quoted prices in non-active markets, and inputs that could vary based on either the condition of the assets or liabilities or volumes sold. The lowest level of the hierarchy, Level 3, is unobservable inputs and should only be used when observable inputs are not available. This would include company level assumptions and should be based on the best available information under the circumstances. FAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 with early adoption permitted for fiscal year 2007 if first quarter statements have not been issued. The Company chose not to early adopt FAS 157 during its first quarter of 2007 and will adopt FAS 157 when effective. However, management does not expect FAS 157 will have a material effect on its financial position and results of operations upon final adoption.

In February 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities- Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (FAS 159). This statement permits companies to choose to measure eligible items at fair value at specified election dates and report unrealized gains and losses in earnings at each subsequent reporting date on items for which the fair value option has been elected. The objective of this statement is to improve financial reporting by providing companies with the opportunity to mitigate volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently without having to apply complex hedge accounting provisions. A company may decide whether to elect the fair value option for each eligible item on its election date, subject to certain requirements described in the statement. FAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 with early adoption permitted for fiscal year 2007 if first quarter statements have not been issued. The Company chose not to early adopt FAS 159 during its first quarter of 2007 and will adopt FAS 159 when effective. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that adoption of this statement will have on its financial position and results of operations.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force reached final consensus on Issue No. 06-11, *Accounting for Income Tax Benefits of Dividends on Share-Based Payment Awards* (EITF 06-11). The issue applies to equity-classified nonvested shares on which dividends are paid prior to vesting, equity-classified nonvested share units on which dividends equivalents are paid, and equity-classified share options on which payments equal to the dividends paid on the underlying shares are made to the option-holder while the option is outstanding. The issue is applicable to the dividends or dividend equivalents that are (1) charged to retained earnings under the guidance in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (FAS 123R) and (2) result in an income tax deduction for the employer. EITF 06-11 states that a realized tax benefit from dividends or dividend equivalents that are charged to retained earnings and paid to employees for equity-classified nonvested shares, nonvested equity share units, and outstanding share options should be recognized as an increase to additional paid-in-capital. Those tax benefits are considered excess tax benefits (windfall) under FAS 123R. EITF 06-11 must be applied prospectively to dividends declared in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years, with early adoption permitted for the income tax benefits of dividends on equity-based awards that are declared in periods for which financial statements have not yet been issued. The Company will adopt EITF 06-11 when effective. However, management does not expect EITF 06-11 will have a material effect on the Company's financial position and results of operations.

In June 2007, the Emerging Issues Task Force reached final consensus on Issue No. 07-3, *Accounting for Advance Payments for Goods or Services to Be Used in Future Research and Development Activities* (EITF 07-3). The issue addresses whether non-refundable advance payments for goods or services that will be used or rendered for research and development activities should be expensed when the advance payments are made or when the research and development activities have been performed. EITF 07-3 applies only to non-refundable advance payments for goods

and services to be used and rendered in future research and development activities pursuant to an executory contractual arrangement. EITF 07-3 states that non-refundable advance payments for future research and development activities should be capitalized until the goods have been delivered or the related services have been performed. If an entity does not expect the goods to be delivered or services to be rendered, the capitalized advance

F-8

Table of Contents

payment should be charged to expense. EITF 07-3 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. Earlier application is not permitted and entities should recognize the effect of applying the guidance in this Issue prospectively for new contracts entered into after EITF 07-3 effective date. The Company will adopt EITF 07-3 when effective and is currently evaluating the effect its application will have on its financial position and results of operations.

6. Other Income and Expenses, Net

Other income and expenses, net consisted of the following:

In million of U.S dollars	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
Research and development funding	15	9	26	13
Start-up costs	(5)	(14)	(15)	(25)
Exchange gain (loss), net	1	(4)	(3)	(9)
Patent litigation costs	(5)	(4)	(12)	(8)
Patent pre-litigation costs	(3)	(1)	(5)	(3)
Gain on sale of investment in Accent		6		6
Gain (loss) on sale of other non-current assets, net	(1)	1		1
Other, net	10	2	6	1
Total Other income and expenses, net	12	(5)	(3)	(24)

Patent litigation costs include legal and attorney fees and payment of claims, and patent pre-litigation costs are composed of consultancy fees and legal fees. Patent litigation costs are costs incurred in respect of pending litigation. Patent pre-litigation costs are costs incurred to prepare for licensing discussions with third parties with a view to concluding an agreement.

In the second quarter of 2007, other, net included \$10 million in income that the Company received in its ongoing pursuit to recover damages related to the case previously disclosed.

On June 29, 2006, the Company sold to Sofinnova Capital V its participation in Accent Srl, a subsidiary based in Italy. Accent Srl, in which the Company held a 51% interest, was jointly formed in 1999 with Cadence Design Systems Inc. and is specialized in hardware and software design and consulting services for integrated circuit design and fabrication. The total consideration amounting to \$7 million was received in cash on June 29, 2006. Net of consolidated carrying amount and transactions related expenses, the divestiture resulted in a net pre tax gain of \$6 million which was recorded in Other income and expenses, net in the consolidated statement of income. In addition the Company simultaneously entered into a license agreement with Accent by which the Company granted to Accent, for a total agreed lump sum amount of \$3 million, the right to use as is and with no right to future development certain specific intellectual property of the Company that are currently used in Accent's business activities. The total consideration was recognized immediately in the second quarter 2006 and recorded as Other revenues in the consolidated statement of income. The Company was also granted warrants for 6,675 new shares of Accent. The exercise of such warrants is limited to 15 years but can only be exercised in the event of a change of control or an Initial Public Offering of Accent above a predetermined value.

Table of Contents

7. Impairment, Restructuring Charges and Other Related Closure Costs

In the second quarter of 2007, the Company has incurred impairment and restructuring charges related to the following items: (i) the valuation of assets to be disposed of within Flash memory business deconsolidation under the held-for-sale model of FAS 144, *Accounting for the impairment or disposal of long-term assets* (FAS 144); (ii) the new manufacturing plan committed to by the Company in the second quarter of 2007 (the 2007 restructuring plan); (iii) the 150mm restructuring plan started in 2003; and (iv) the headcount reduction plan announced in the second quarter of 2005.

During the third quarter of 2003, the Company commenced a plan to restructure its 150mm fab operations and part of its back-end operations in order to improve cost competitiveness. The 150mm restructuring plan focuses on cost reduction by migrating a large part of European and U.S. 150mm production to Singapore and by upgrading production to finer geometry 200mm wafer fabs. The plan includes the discontinuation of the 150mm production of Rennes (France), the closure as soon as operationally feasible of the 150mm wafer pilot line in Castelletto (Italy) and the downsizing by approximately one-half of the 150mm wafer fab in Carrollton, Texas. Furthermore, the 150mm wafer fab productions in Agrate (Italy) and Rousset (France) will be gradually phased-out in favor of 200mm wafer ramp-ups at existing facilities in these locations, which will be expanded or upgraded to accommodate additional finer geometry wafer capacity. This manufacturing restructuring plan was nearly fully completed in the second quarter of 2007, later than originally anticipated because of unforeseen customer qualification requirements.

In May 2005, the Company announced additional restructuring efforts to improve profitability. These initiatives aimed to reduce the Company's workforce by 3,000 outside Asia, of which 2,300 were planned for Europe. The Company planned to reorganize its European activities by optimizing on a global scale its EWS activities (wafer testing); harmonizing its support functions; streamlining its activities outside its manufacturing areas and by disengaging from certain activities.

The Company announced on July 10, 2007 that management committed to a new restructuring plan in the second quarter of 2007 (the 2007 restructuring plan). Such plan aimed at redefining the Company's manufacturing strategy in order to contribute to be more competitive in the semiconductor market. In addition to the prior restructuring measures undertaken in the past years, which include the 150mm restructuring plan and the headcount reduction plan, this new manufacturing plan will pursue: the transfer of 150mm production from Carrollton, Texas to Asia, the transfer of 200mm production from Phoenix, Arizona, to Europe and Asia and the restructuring of the manufacturing operations in Morocco with a progressive phase out of the activities in Ain Sebaa site synchronized with a significant growth in Bouskoura site.

In the second quarter of 2007, the Company announced it had entered into a definitive agreement with Intel to create a new independent semiconductor company from the key assets of the Company's and Intel's Flash memory business (FMG deconsolidation). The new company will combine key research and development, manufacturing and sales and marketing assets of both companies into a streamlined worldwide structure with the scale to produce cost-effective and innovative non-volatile memory solutions. Under the terms of the agreement, the Company will sell its flash memory assets, including its NAND joint venture interest and other NOR resources, to the new company while Intel will sell its NOR assets and resources. In exchange, the Company will receive a 48.6% equity ownership stake and \$468 million in cash at closing. Intel will receive a 45.1% equity ownership stake and \$432 million in cash at closing. Francisco Partners L.P., a Menlo Park, California-based private equity firm, will invest \$150 million in cash for convertible preferred stock representing 6.3% ownership interests, subject to adjustments in certain circumstances.

Table of Contents

Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs incurred in the second quarter of 2007 and in the first half of 2007 are summarized as follows:

			Other related closure costs	Total impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs
Three months ended		Restructuring		
June 30, 2007	Impairment	charges		
150mm fab plan		2	(8)	(6)
Headcount reduction plan		(2)	(1)	(3)
2007 restructuring plan		(40)		(40)
FMG deconsolidation	(857)			(857)
Total	(857)	(40)	(9)	(906)

			Other related closure costs	Total impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs
Six months ended		Restructuring		
June 30, 2007	Impairment	charges		
150mm fab plan		2	(17)	(15)
Headcount reduction plan		(4)	(2)	(6)
2007 restructuring plan		(40)		(40)
FMG deconsolidation	(857)			(857)
Total	(857)	(42)	(19)	(918)

Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs incurred in the second quarter of 2006 and in the first half of 2006 are summarized as follows:

			Other related closure costs	Total impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs
Three months ended		Restructuring		
July 1, 2006	Impairment	charges		
150mm fab operations		(1)	(5)	(6)
Headcount reduction plan	(1)	(25)	(2)	(28)
Total	(1)	(26)	(7)	(34)

			Other related closure costs	Total impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs
Six months ended		Restructuring		

	Impairment	charges	closure costs	costs
July 1, 2006				
150mm fab operations		(1)	(10)	(11)
Headcount reduction plan	(1)	(32)	(3)	(36)
Total	(1)	(33)	(13)	(47)

Impairment charges

At June 30, 2007, following the announcement to dispose in the near future its Flash memory business, the Company was required to evaluate the accounting and reporting of the to-be-disposed-of FMG assets under the FAS 144 held-for-sale model. Since FAS 144 sale criteria were met, the Company reported FMG assets as part of current assets on the face of the consolidated balance sheet as at June 30, 2007. These assets were recorded at fair value less costs to

F-11

Table of Contents

sell, which generated an impairment loss of \$857 million in the first half of 2007. Fair value less costs to sell was based on the net consideration of the agreement and significant estimates. The final amount could be materially different subject to adjustments due to business evolution before closing of the transaction.

Additionally, the commitment of the Company to the closure of two front-end sites and one back-end site as part of the 2007 restructuring plan has triggered an impairment review of assets to be disposed of at closure of the manufacturing sites, which is expected to occur within two years. Consequently, the Company reviewed as at June 30, 2007 the recoverability of the assets to be disposed of under FAS 144 held-for-use model and the outcome of such impairment test determined that no impairment was required at June 30, 2007.

Finally, in January 2007, NXP Semiconductors B.V. announced that it will withdraw from the alliance the Company operates jointly with Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. for certain research and development activities and the operation of a 300mm wafer pilot line fab in Crolles (France) (Crolles2 alliance). Therefore, the Crolles2 alliance will expire on December 31, 2007. Freescale Semiconductor, Inc. has also notified the Company that the Crolles2 alliance will terminate as of such date.

Restructuring charges and other related closure costs

Provisions for restructuring charges and other related closure costs as at June 30, 2007 are summarized as follows:

				2005	2007	Total
	150mm fab			restructuring	restructuring	restructuring
	plan	Other		initiatives	plan	& other
		related				related
	Restructuring	closure	Total			closure costs
		costs				
Provision as at						
December 31, 2006	14		14	18		32
Charges incurred in 2007		17	17	6	40	63
Reversal of provision	(2)		(2)			(2)
Amounts paid	(3)	(17)	(20)	(13)		(33)
Currency translation effect	(1)		(1)	(1)		(2)
Provision as at						
June 30, 2007	8		8	10	40	58

150mm fab plan:

Restructuring charges incurred in the first half of 2007 primarily related to transfer, maintenance and decontamination associated with the closure and transfer of production for the sites of Rousset (France) and Agrate (Italy). In the second quarter of 2007, the Company reversed a \$2 million provision recorded in 2003 to cover the Company's legal

obligation to pay penalties to the French governmental institutions related to the closure of Rennes production site. In the second quarter of 2007 the French authorities decided to waive the payment of such penalties.

Restructuring charges incurred in the first half of 2006 primarily related to \$1 million termination benefits and \$10 million of transfer and other costs in Agrate (Italy) and Rousset (France) sites.

2005 restructuring initiatives:

In the first half of 2007, the Company recorded a total restructuring charge amounting to \$6 million, of which \$4 million corresponded to workforce reduction initiatives in Europe and \$2 million was related to reorganization actions aiming at optimizing the Company's EWS activities.

In the first half of 2006, the Company recorded \$35 million associated with its 2005 restructuring plan. These restructuring charges included \$32 million voluntary termination benefits, mainly in France and Italy, and \$3 million restructuring charges related to EWS activities.

F-12

Table of Contents

2007 restructuring plan:

Pursuant to its commitment to a new restructuring plan aimed at redefining the Company's manufacturing strategy in order to improve its competitiveness, the Company recorded in the second quarter of 2007 a total restructuring charge amounting to \$40 million, mainly related to on-going termination benefits for involuntary leaves. This total charge includes the provision for contractual, legal and past practice termination benefits to be paid for an estimated number of employees in the United States and Morocco.

Total impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs

In the first half of 2007, total amounts paid for restructuring and related closure costs amounted to \$33 million.

The 2003 restructuring plan and related manufacturing initiatives are nearly fully completed as at June 30, 2007. Of the total \$330 million expected pre-tax charges to be incurred under the plan, \$331 million have been incurred as of June 30, 2007 (\$15 million in 2007, \$22 million in 2006, \$13 million in 2005, \$76 million in 2004, and \$205 million in 2003).

The 2005 headcount reduction plan, which was nearly fully completed as at June 30, 2007, was originally expected to result in pre-tax charges between of \$100 million, out of which \$92 million have been incurred as of June 30, 2007 (\$6 million in 2007, \$45 million in 2006 and \$41 million in 2005).

The 2007 restructuring plan is expected to result in pre-tax charges in the range of \$270 million to \$300 million, of which \$40 million have been incurred as of June 30, 2007. This plan is expected to be completed in the second half of 2009.

The total actual costs that the Company will incur may differ from these estimates based on the timing required to fully complete the restructuring plans.

8. Interest income, net

Interest income, net consisted of the following:

In million of U.S dollars	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
	Three months ended June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	Six months ended June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
Income	36	44	71	73
Expense	(18)	(14)	(35)	(22)
Total	18	30	36	51

Interest expense also included charges related to the amortization of issuance costs incurred by the Company for the outstanding bonds.

Table of Contents**9. Available-for-sale financial assets**

As at June 30, 2007, the Company had marketable securities amounting to \$931 million, of which \$511 million were purchased and \$40 million were sold in the first half of 2007. These financial assets consisted of floating rate notes issued by various primary financial institutions. These marketable securities were reported as current assets as at June 30, 2007 since they represent investments of funds available for current operations. These financial assets are classified as available-for-sale and are recorded at fair value, with changes in fair value recognized as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated statement of changes in shareholders equity. The Company had \$100 million marketable securities purchased in the second quarter of 2006 and classified as available-for-sale as at July 1, 2006.

10. Short term deposits

In the first half of 2007, the Company did not roll over \$250 million of short term deposits, which had a maturity between three months and one year. As at June 30, 2007 no amount of existing cash was held in short term deposits while as at July 1, 2006 the total amount of existing cash held in short term deposits was \$903 million purchased in the second quarter of 2006.

11. Inventories, net

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or net realizable value. Cost is based on the weighted average cost by adjusting standard cost to approximate actual manufacturing costs on a quarterly basis; the cost is therefore dependent on the Company's manufacturing performance. In the case of underutilization of manufacturing facilities, the costs associated with the excess capacity are not included in the valuation of inventories but charged directly to cost of sales.

Provisions for obsolescence are estimated for excess uncommitted inventories based on the previous quarter sales, orders backlog and production plans.

Inventories, net of reserve consisted of the following:

In million of U.S. dollars	(unaudited) As at June 30, 2007	(audited) As at December 31, 2006
Raw materials	79	80
Work-in-process	820	1,032
Finished products	438	527
Total	1,337	1,639

As at June 30, 2007 inventories amounting to \$371 million were reported as a component of the line assets held for sale on the consolidated balance sheet as part of the assets to be transferred to the newly created flash memory company within FMG deconsolidation.

12. Assets held for sale

As a result on the signing of a definitive agreement for the FMG deconsolidation and upon meeting FAS 144 criteria for assets held for sale, the Company reclassified the assets to be transferred to the newly created company from their original balance sheet classification to the line assets held for sale. These assets were reported at fair value less costs to sell as at June 30, 2007, including an impairment loss of \$857 million, reported on the line impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs of the consolidated income statement for the three months ended as at June 30, 2007. Fair value less costs to sell was based on the net consideration of the agreement and significant estimates. The final amount could be materially different subject to adjustments due to business evolution before closing of the transaction.

Table of Contents

Assets held for sale consisted of the following:

In million of U.S. dollars	(unaudited) As at June 30, 2007	(audited) As at December 31, 2006
Inventories, net	371	
Other intangible assets, net	19	
Property, plant and equipment, net	551	
Equity investment	263	
Total	1,204	

13. Hynix ST joint venture equity investment

The Company signed in 2004 a joint-venture agreement with Hynix Semiconductor Inc. to build a front-end memory-manufacturing facility in Wuxi City, Jiangsu Province, China. Under the agreement, Hynix Semiconductor Inc. contributed \$500 million for a 67% equity interest and the Company contributed \$250 million for a 33% equity interest. In addition, the Company originally committed to grant \$250 million in long-term financing to the new joint venture guaranteed by the subordinated collateral of the joint-venture's assets. The Company made the total \$250 million capital contributions as previously planned in the joint venture agreement in 2006. The Company accounts for its share in the Hynix ST joint venture under the equity method based on the actual results of the joint venture. As such, the Company recorded earnings totaling \$9 million in the first half of 2007 and a loss of \$4 million in the first half of 2006, reported as earnings (loss) on equity investments in the consolidated statements of income. Due to regulatory and withholding issues the Company could not directly provide the joint venture with the \$250 million long-term financing as originally planned. As a consequence, in the fourth quarter of 2006, the Company entered into a ten-year term debt guarantee agreement with an external financial institution through which the Company guaranteed the repayment of the loan by the joint venture to the bank. The guarantee agreement includes the Company placing up to \$250 million in cash on a deposit account. The guarantee deposit will be used by the bank in case of repayment failure from the joint venture, with \$250 million as the maximum potential amount of future payments the Company, as the guarantor, could be required to make. In the event of default and failure to repay the loan from the joint venture, the bank will exercise the Company's rights, subordinated to the repayment to senior lenders, to recover the amounts paid under the guarantee through the sale of the joint-venture's assets. In the first half of 2007, the Company placed the remaining \$32 million of cash on the guarantee deposit account, which totaled \$250 million as at June 30, 2007 and was reported as restricted cash for equity investments on the consolidated balance sheet. In the first half of 2006 the Company had not entered yet into any debt guarantee agreement and no amount was placed as restricted cash on the guarantee deposit account as at July 1, 2006.

The debt guarantee was evaluated under FIN 45. It resulted in the recognition of a \$17 million liability, corresponding to the fair value of the guarantee at inception of the transaction. The liability was reported on the line Other non-current liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet as at June 30, 2007 and was recorded against the value of the equity investment, which totaled \$280 million. Following the Company's definitive agreement with Intel to sell its flash memory key assets, including its equity interest in Hynix ST joint venture, as part of FMG deconsolidation, the equity investment was reported as a component of the line assets held for sale on the consolidated balance sheet as at June 30, 2007 for an amount of \$263 million. The Company reported the debt guarantee on the line other investments and other non-current assets since the terms of the FMG sale agreement do not include the transfer of the debt guarantee.

The Company has identified the joint venture as a Variable Interest Entity (VIE) at June 30, 2007, but has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company's current maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the joint venture is limited to its equity investments and debt guarantee commitments.

Table of Contents**14. Other investments and other non-current assets**

Investments and other non-current assets consisted of the following:

In million of U.S. dollars	(unaudited) As at June 30, 2007	(audited) As at December 31, 2006
Cost Investments	41	39
Long-term receivables related to funding	35	36
Long-term receivables related to tax refund	30	33
Debt issuance costs, net	11	12
Cancellable swaps designated as fair value hedge		4
Deposits and other non-current assets	41	25
Total	158	149

The Company entered into a joint venture agreement in 2002 with Dai Nippon Printing Co, Ltd for the development and production of Photomask in which the Company holds a 19% equity interest. The joint venture, DNP Photomask Europe S.p.A, was initially capitalized with the Company's contribution of 2 million of cash. Dai Nippon Printing Co, Ltd contributed 8 million of cash for an 81% equity interest. In the event of the liquidation of the joint-venture, the Company is required to repurchase the land at cost, and the facility at 10% of its net book value, if no suitable buyer is identified. No provision for this obligation has been recorded to date. At June 30, 2007, the Company's total contribution to the joint venture is \$10 million. The Company continues to maintain its 19% ownership of the joint venture, and therefore continues to account for this investment under the cost method. The Company has identified the joint venture as a Variable Interest Entity (VIE), but has determined that it is not the primary beneficiary of the VIE. The Company's current maximum exposure to loss as a result of its involvement with the joint venture is limited to its equity investment.

Long-term receivables related to funding are mainly public grants to be received from governmental agencies in Italy as part of long-term research and development, industrialization and capital investment projects.

Long-term receivables related to tax refund correspond to tax benefits claimed by the Company in certain of its local tax jurisdictions, for which collection is expected beyond one year.

In 2006, the Company entered into cancellable swaps with a combined notional value of \$200 million to hedge the fair value of a portion of the convertible bonds due 2016 carrying a fixed interest rate. The cancellable swaps convert the fixed rate interest expense recorded on the convertible bonds due 2016 to a variable interest rate based upon adjusted LIBOR. The cancellable swaps meet the criteria for designation as a fair value hedge, as further detailed in Note 22 and are reflected at their fair value, which was negative as at June 30, 2007 for approximately \$1 million. As such, the fair value of the cancellable swaps was reported on the line "other non-current liabilities" in the consolidated balance sheet as at June 30, 2007.

Table of Contents**15. Long-term Debt**

Long-term debt consisted of the following:

In million of U.S dollars	(Unaudited) As at June 30, 2007	(Audited) As at December 31, 2006
Bank loans:		
2.57% (weighted average), due 2007, fixed interest rate	33	65
5.72% (weighted average), due 2007, variable interest rate	27	30
5.79% due 2008, floating interest rate at Libor + 0.40%	43	49
5.75% due 2009, floating interest rate at Libor + 0.40%	50	35
Funding program loans:		
1.43% (weighted average), due 2009, fixed interest rate	16	18
0.90% (weighted average), due 2010, fixed interest rate	40	45
2.79% (weighted average), due 2012, fixed interest rate	13	12
0.49% (weighted average), due 2014, fixed interest rate	9	8
3.33% (weighted average), due 2017, fixed interest rate	55	53
5.34% due 2014, floating interest rate at Libor + 0.017%	140	140
Capital leases:		
4.97%, due 2017, fixed interest rate	23	23
Senior Bonds		
4.55%, due 2013, floating interest rate at EURIBOR + 0.40%	675	659
Convertible debt:		
-0.50% convertible bonds due 2013	2	2
1.5% convertible bonds due 2016	993	991
Total long-term debt	2,119	2,130
Less current portion	(127)	(136)
Total long-term debt, less current portion	1,992	1,994

In August 2003, the Company issued \$1,332 million principal amount at maturity of zero coupon unsubordinated convertible bonds due 2013. The bonds were issued with a negative yield of 0.5% that resulted in a higher principal amount at issuance of \$1,400 million and net proceeds of \$1,386 million. The negative yield through the first redemption right of the holder totals \$21 million and was recorded in capital surplus. The bonds are convertible at any time by the holders at the rate of 29.9144 shares of the Company's common stock for each one thousand dollar face value of the bonds. The holders may redeem their convertible bonds on August 5, 2006 at a price of \$985.09, on August 5, 2008 at \$975.28 and on August 5, 2010 at \$965.56 per one thousand dollar face value of the bonds. Pursuant to the terms of the convertible bonds due 2013, the Company was required to purchase, at the option of the

Table of Contents

holders, 1,397,493 convertible bonds, at a price of \$985.09 each between August 7 and August 9, 2006. This resulted in a cash payment of \$1,377 million. The outstanding long-term debt corresponding to the 2013 convertible debt amounted to approximately \$2 million as at June 30, 2007 corresponding to the remaining 2,505 bonds valued at August 5, 2008 redemption price. At any time from August 20, 2006 the Company may redeem for cash at their negative accreted value all or a portion of the convertible bonds subject to the level of the Company's share price. In February 2006, the Company issued \$974 million principal amount at maturity of zero coupon senior convertible bonds due in February 2016. The bonds were issued at 100% of principal with a yield to maturity of 1.5% and resulted in net proceeds to the Company of \$974 million less transaction fees. The bonds are convertible by the holder at any time prior to maturity at a conversion rate of 43.363087 shares per one thousand dollar face value of the bonds corresponding to 42,235,646 equivalent shares. This conversion rate has been adjusted from 43.118317 shares per one thousand dollar face value of the bonds at issuance, as the result of the extraordinary cash dividend approved by the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on April 26, 2007. This new conversion has been effective since May, 21, 2007. The holders can also redeem the convertible bonds on February 23, 2011 at a price of \$1,077.58, on February 23, 2012 at a price of \$1,093.81 and on February 24, 2014 at a price of \$1,126.99 per one thousand dollar face value of the bonds. The Company can call the bonds at any time after March 10, 2011 subject to the Company's share price exceeding 130% of the accreted value divided by the conversion rate for 20 out of 30 consecutive trading days. The Company may redeem for cash at the principal amount at issuance plus accumulated gross yield all, but not a portion, of the convertible bonds at any time if 10% or less of the aggregate principal amount at issuance of the convertible bonds remain outstanding in certain circumstances or in the event of changes to the tax laws of the Netherlands or any successor jurisdiction. In the second quarter 2006, the Company entered into cancellable swaps with a combined notional value of \$200 million to hedge the fair value of a portion of these convertible bonds. As a result of the cancellable swap hedging transactions, as described in further detail in Note 22, the effective yield on the \$200 million principal amount of the hedged convertible bonds has increased from 1.5% to 2.0% as of June 30, 2007. In March 2006, STMicroelectronics Finance B.V. ("ST BV"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, issued floating rate senior bonds with a principal amount of Euro 500 million at an issue price of 99.873%. The notes, which mature on March 17, 2013, pay a coupon rate of the three-month Euribor plus 0.40% on the 17th of June, September, December and March of each year through maturity. In the event of changes to the tax laws of the Netherlands or any successor jurisdiction, ST BV or the Company, may redeem the full amount of senior bonds for cash. In the event of certain change in control triggering events, the holders can cause ST BV or the Company to repurchase all or a portion of the bonds outstanding.

16. Earnings per Share

Basic net earnings per share ("EPS") is computed based on net income available to common shareholders using the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding during the reported period; the number of outstanding shares does not include treasury shares. Diluted EPS is computed using the weighted-average number of common shares and dilutive potential common shares outstanding during the period, such as stock issuable pursuant to the exercise of stock options outstanding, nonvested shares granted and the conversion of convertible debt.

(In millions of U.S. dollars, except per share amounts):

	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
	Three Months Ended		Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
Basic Earnings (Loss) per Share:				
Net income (loss)	(758)	168	(684)	299
Weighted average shares outstanding	898,762,654	895,401,689	898,086,120	894,962,236
Earnings (Loss) per Share (basic)	(0.84)	0.19	(0.76)	0.33

Table of Contents

	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
Diluted Earnings (Loss) per Share:				
Net income (loss)	(758)	168	(684)	299
Interest expense on convertible debt, net of tax		5		8
Net income (loss), adjusted	(758)	173	(684)	307
Weighted average shares outstanding	898,762,654	895,401,689	898,086,120	894,962,236
Dilutive effect of stock options		135,951		419,504
Dilutive effect of nonvested shares		951,324		1,455,186
Dilutive effect of convertible debt		83,877,341		71,416,621
Number of shares used in calculating Earnings (Loss) per Share	898,762,654	980,366,305	898,086,120	968,253,547
Earnings (Loss) per Share (diluted)	(0.84)	0.18	(0.76)	0.32

As of June 30, 2007, common shares issued were 910,289,100 shares of which 10,979,721 shares were owned by the Company as treasury stock.

As of June 30, 2007, there were outstanding stock options exercisable into the equivalent of 54,971,536 common shares. There was also the equivalent of 42,310,583 common shares outstanding for convertible debt, out of which 74,936 for the 2013 bonds and 42,235,647 for the 2016 bonds. None of these bonds have been converted to shares during the first half of 2007.

17. Long-term employee benefits and Retirement plans

The Company and its subsidiaries have a number of defined benefit pension plans covering employees in various countries. The plans provide for pension benefits, the amounts of which are calculated based on factors such as years of service and employee compensation levels. Eligibility is generally determined in accordance with local statutory requirements.

For Italian termination indemnity plan (TFR), the Company continues to measure the vested benefits to which Italian employees are entitled as if they retired immediately as of June 30, 2007, in compliance with the Emerging Issues Task Force Issue No. 88-1, *Determination of Vested Benefit Obligation for a Defined Benefit Pension Plan* (EITF 88-1). Nevertheless, since December 31, 2006, the TFR has been reported according to FAS 132(R), as any other defined benefit plan. The information presented below for the second quarter and first half of 2006 has been modified accordingly.

The components of the net periodic benefit cost include the following:

	(unaudited) Three Months ended		(unaudited) Six Months ended	
In millions of U.S dollars	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
Service cost	10	10	20	20

Edgar Filing: STMICROELECTRONICS NV - Form 6-K

Interest cost	7	6	14	11
Expected return on plan assets	(3)	(3)	(7)	(6)
Recognition of prior service cost		(5)		(5)
Amortization of net (gain) and loss	1	1	1	2
Net periodic benefit cost	15	9	28	22

Employer contributions paid and expected to be paid in 2007 are consistent with the amounts disclosed in the consolidated financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2006.

F-19

Table of Contents**18. Dividends**

At the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders on April 26, 2007 shareholders approved the distribution of \$0.30 per share in cash dividends. The dividend amount of approximately \$269 million was paid in the second quarter of 2007. At the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders on April 27, 2006 shareholders approved the distribution of \$0.12 per share in cash dividends. The dividend amount of approximately \$107 million was paid in the second quarter of 2006.

19. Treasury Stock

In 2002 and 2001, the Company repurchased 13,400,000 of its own shares, for a total amount of \$348 million, which were reflected at cost as a reduction of the shareholders' equity. No treasury shares were acquired in 2007 and 2006. The treasury shares have been designated for allocation under the Company's share based remuneration programs on non-vested shares including such plans as approved by the 2005, 2006 and 2007 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders. In the second quarter of 2007, 1,782,326 of these treasury shares have been transferred to employees under the Company's share based remuneration programs, following the vesting as of April 27, 2007 of the first and second tranches of the stock award plans granted in 2006 and 2005 and the acceleration of the vesting of a limited number of stock awards. As of July 1, 2006 637,109 of these treasury shares were transferred to employees under the Company's share based remuneration programs, following the vesting as at April 27, 2006 of the first tranche of the stock award plan granted in 2005.

20. Contingencies and Uncertainties in Income Tax Positions

The Company is subject to the possibility of loss contingencies arising in the ordinary course of business. These include but are not limited to: warranty cost on the products of the Company, breach of contract claims, claims for unauthorized use of third party intellectual property as well as claims for environmental damages. In determining loss contingencies, the Company considers the likelihood of a loss of an asset or the incurrence of a liability as well as the ability to reasonably estimate the amount of such loss or liability. An estimated loss is recorded when it is probable that a liability has been incurred and when the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company regularly reevaluates claims to determine whether provisions need to be readjusted based on the most current information available to the Company. Changes in these evaluations could result in adverse material impact on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or its financial position for the period in which they occur. With the adoption of FIN 48 in the first quarter of 2007, the Company applies a two-step process for the evaluation of uncertain income tax positions based on a more likely than not threshold to determine if a tax position will be sustained upon examination by the taxing authorities, as described in details in Note 5. The tax years that remain open for review in the Company's major tax jurisdictions are from 1996 to 2006. Total unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption amounts to \$82 million, of which \$74 million correspond to tax exposure provisions recorded under accounting principles applicable prior to FIN 48 adoption. The total amount of these unrecognized tax benefits would affect the effective tax rate, if recognized. Interest and penalties recognized in the consolidated balance sheets as at the adoption date and as at June 30, 2007 and in the consolidated statement of income for the six months ended June 30, 2007 are not material. Additionally, there is no reasonable evidence that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will significantly increase or decrease within the next twelve months. Nevertheless, this assertion is based on events and circumstances as known today. Events may occur in the near future that would cause a material change in the estimate of the unrecognized tax benefit.

21. Claims and Legal proceedings

The Company has received and may in the future receive communications alleging possible infringements, in particular in case of patents and similar intellectual property rights of others. Furthermore, the Company may become involved in costly litigation brought against the Company regarding patents, mask works, copy-rights, trade-marks or trade secrets. In the event that the outcome of any litigation would be unfavorable to the Company, the Company may be required to license the underlying intellectual property right at economically unfavorable terms and

Table of Contents

conditions, and possibly pay damages for prior use and/or face an injunction, all of which individually or in the aggregate could have a material adverse effect on the Company's results of operations, cash flows or financial position and ability to compete.

The Company is involved in various lawsuits, claims, investigations and proceedings incidental to the normal conduct of its operations, other than external patent utilization. These matters mainly include the risks associated with claims from customers or other parties. The Company has accrued for these loss contingencies when the loss is probable and can be estimated. The Company regularly evaluates claims and legal proceedings together with their related probable losses to determine whether they need to be adjusted based on the current information available to the Company.

Legal costs associated with claims are expensed as incurred. In the event of litigation which is adversely determined with respect to the Company's interests, or in the event the Company needs to change its evaluation of a potential third-party claim, based on new evidence or communications, a material adverse effect could impact its operations or financial condition at the time it were to materialize.

The Company is currently a party to legal proceedings with SanDisk Corporation (SanDisk) and Tessera Technologies, Inc (Tessera). Based on management's current assumptions made with support of the Company's outside attorneys, the Company is not currently in a position to evaluate any probable loss, which may arise out of such litigation.

22. Derivative instruments*Foreign Currency Forward Contracts Not Designated as a Hedge*

The Company conducts its business on a global basis in various major international currencies. As a result, the Company is exposed to adverse movements in foreign currency exchange rates. The Company enters into foreign currency forward contracts to reduce its exposure to changes in exchange rates and the associated risk arising from the denomination of certain assets and liabilities in foreign currencies at the Company's subsidiaries. These instruments do not qualify as hedging instruments under Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (FAS 133) and are marked-to-market at each period-end with the associated changes in fair value recognized in other income and expenses, net in the consolidated statements of income.

Cash Flow Hedges

To further reduce its exposure to U.S. dollar exchange rate fluctuations, the Company also hedges with foreign currency forward contracts and currency options certain euro-denominated forecasted transactions that cover at year-end a large part of its research and development, selling general and administrative expenses as well as a portion of its front-end manufacturing production costs of semi-finished goods.

The foreign currency forward contracts and currency options used to hedge exposures are reflected at their fair value in the consolidated balance sheet and meet the criteria for designation as cash flow hedges. The criteria for designating a derivative as a hedge include the instrument's effectiveness in risk reduction and, in most cases, a one-to-one matching of the derivative instrument to its underlying transaction. Foreign currency forward contracts and currency options used as hedges are effective at reducing the euro/U.S. dollar currency fluctuation risk and are designated as a hedge at the inception of the contract and on an on-going basis over the duration of the hedge relationship.

Effectiveness on transactions hedged through purchased currency options is measured on the full fair value of the option, including the time value of the option. For these derivatives, ineffectiveness appears if the hedge relationship is not perfectly effective or if the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative hedging instrument exceeds the cumulative change on the expected cash flows on the hedged transactions. The ineffective portion of the hedge is

F-21

Table of Contents

immediately reported in other income and expenses, net in the consolidated statements of income. The gain or loss from the effective portion of the hedge is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated statements of changes in shareholders' equity and is reclassified into earnings in the same period in which the hedged transaction affects earnings, and within the same income statement line item as the impact of the hedged transaction. The gain or loss is recognized immediately in other income and expenses, net in the consolidated statements of income when a designated hedging instrument is either terminated early or an improbable or ineffective portion of the hedge is identified.

Fair Value Hedges

In the second quarter 2006, the Company entered into cancellable swaps with a combined notional value of \$200 million to hedge the fair value of a portion of the convertible bonds due 2016 carrying a fixed interest rate. These financial instruments correspond to interest rate swaps with a cancellation feature depending on the Company's convertible bonds convertibility. They convert the fixed rate interest expense recorded on the convertible bond due 2016 to a variable interest rate based upon adjusted LIBOR. The interest rate swaps meet the criteria for designation as a fair value hedge and, as such, both the interest rate swaps and the hedged portion of the bonds are reflected at the fair values in the consolidated balance sheet. The criteria for designating a derivative as a hedge include evaluating whether the instrument is highly effective at offsetting changes in the fair value of the hedged item attributable to the hedged risk. Hedged effectiveness is assessed on both a prospective and retrospective basis at each reporting period. The interest rate swaps are highly effective for hedging the change in fair value of the hedged bonds attributable to changes in interest rates and were designated as a fair value hedge at their inception. Any ineffectiveness of the hedge relationship is recorded as a gain or loss on derivatives as a component of other income and expenses, net. If the hedge becomes no longer highly effective, the hedged portion of the bonds will discontinue being marked to fair value while the changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps will continue to be recorded in the consolidated income statement.

The net gain recognized in other income and expenses, net for the six months ended June 30, 2007 as a result of the ineffective portion of this fair value hedge was not material.

23. Segment Reporting

The Company operates in two business areas: Semiconductors and Subsystems.

In the Semiconductors business area, the Company designs, develops, manufactures and markets a broad range of products, including discrete, memories and standard commodity components, application-specific integrated circuits (ASICs), full custom devices and semi-custom devices and application-specific standard products (ASSPs) for analog, digital, and mixed-signal applications. In addition, the Company further participates in the manufacturing value chain of Smartcard products through its Incard division, which includes the production and sale of both silicon chips and Smartcards.

Beginning with the first quarter of 2005, the Company reported until December 31, 2006 its semiconductor sales and operating income in three segments:

Application Specific Product Groups (ASG) segment, comprised of three product lines Home, Personal and Communication (HPC), Computer Peripherals (CPG) and new Automotive Product (APG);

Memory Products Group (MPG) segment; and

Micro, Power, Analog (MPA) segment.

In an effort to better align the Company to meet the requirements of the market, together with the pursuit of strategic repositioning in Flash Memory, the Company announced in December 2006 a reorganization of its product segments into three main segments:

Application Specific Product Groups (ASG) segment;

Industrial and Multisegment Sector (IMS) segment; and

Flash Memory Group (FMG) segment.

Table of Contents

ASG segment includes the existing APG and CPG product lines and the newly created Mobile, Multimedia and Communications Group and Home, Entertainment and Display Group. IMS segment contains the Microcontrollers, Memories and Smartcards Group and the Analog, Power and MEMS Group. FMG segment incorporates all Flash Memory operations, including research and development and product-related activities, front- and back-end manufacturing, marketing and sales.

The new product segments became effective on January 1, 2007. The Company has restated its results in prior periods for illustrative comparisons of its performance by product segment. The preparation of segment information according to the new segment structure requires management to make significant estimates, assumptions and judgments in determining the operating income of the segments for the prior reporting periods. However management believes the 2006 quarter's presentation is representative of 2007 and is using these comparatives when managing the Company. The Company's principal investment and resource allocation decisions in the Semiconductor business area are for expenditures on research and development and capital investments in front-end and back-end manufacturing facilities. These decisions are not made by product segments, but on the basis of the Semiconductor Business area. All these product segments share common research and development for process technology and manufacturing capacity for most of their products.

In the Subsystems business area, the Company designs, develops, manufactures and markets subsystems and modules for the telecommunications, automotive and industrial markets including mobile phone accessories, battery chargers, ISDN power supplies and in-vehicle equipment for electronic toll payment. Based on its immateriality to its business as a whole, the Subsystems segment does not meet the requirements for a reportable segment as defined in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information* (FAS 131).

The following tables present the Company's consolidated net revenues and consolidated operating income by semiconductor product segment. For the computation of the Groups' internal financial measurements, the Company uses certain internal rules of allocation for the costs not directly chargeable to the Groups, including cost of sales, selling, general and administrative expenses and a significant part of research and development expenses. Additionally, in compliance with the Company's internal policies, certain cost items are not charged to the Groups, including impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, start-up costs of new manufacturing facilities, some strategic and special research and development programs or other corporate-sponsored initiatives, including certain corporate level operating expenses and certain other miscellaneous charges.

Net revenues by product segment

In million of U.S dollars	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
	Three Months Ended	July 1,	Six Months Ended	July 1,
	June 30,	2006	June 30,	2006
	2007		2007	
Net revenues by product segment:				
Application Specific Product Groups segment	1,303	1,367	2,524	2,684
Industrial and Multisegment Sector segment	767	707	1,488	1,328
Flash Memory Group segment	331	407	654	818
Others ⁽¹⁾	17	14	27	28
Total consolidated net revenues	2,418	2,495	4,693	4,858

(1) Includes revenues from sales of subsystems and other products not allocated to product

segments.

F-23

Table of Contents

Operating income (loss) by product segment

In million of U.S dollars	(unaudited)		(unaudited)	
	Three Months Ended	July 1,	Six Months Ended	July 1,
	June 30,	2006	June 30,	2006
	2007		2007	
Operating income (loss) by product segment:				
Application Specific Product Groups segment	53	108	52	202
Industrial and Multisegment Sector segment	103	105	210	182
Flash Memory Group segment	(25)	3	(42)	(12)
Total operating income of product segments	131	216	220	372
Others ⁽¹⁾	(903)	(47)	(930)	(63)
Total consolidated operating income (loss)	(772)	169	(710)	309

(1) Operating income (loss) of Others includes items such as impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, start-up costs, and other unallocated expenses, such as: strategic or special research and development programs, certain corporate-level operating expenses, certain patent claims and litigations, and other costs that are not allocated to the product segments, as well as operating earnings or losses of the Subsystems and

Other Products
Group.

In million of U.S dollars	(unaudited) Three Months Ended		(unaudited) Six Months Ended	
	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006	June 30, 2007	July 1, 2006
Reconciliation to consolidated operating income (loss):				
Total operating income of product segments	131	216	220	372
Strategic and other research and development programs	(4)	(2)	(8)	(5)
Start-up costs	(5)	(14)	(15)	(25)
Impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs	(906)	(34)	(918)	(47)
Other non-allocated provisions ⁽¹⁾	12	3	11	14
Total operating loss Others ⁽²⁾	(903)	(47)	(930)	(63)
Total consolidated operating income (loss)	(772)	169	(710)	309

(1) Includes unallocated income and expenses such as certain corporate level operating expenses and other costs.

(2) Operating income (loss) of Others includes items such as impairment, restructuring charges and other related closure costs, start-up costs, and other unallocated expenses, such as: strategic or special research and development programs, certain

corporate-level
operating
expenses,
certain patent
claims and
litigations, and
other costs that
are not allocated
to the product
segments, as
well as
operating
earnings or
losses of the
Subsystems and
Other Products
Group.

F-24