

DUN & BRADSTREET CORP/NW

Form 10-Q

May 05, 2006

Table of Contents

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

Form 10-Q

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2006

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from _____ to _____

**Commission file number 1-15967
The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)**

Delaware

22-3725387

(State of incorporation)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

103 JFK Parkway, Short Hills, NJ

07078

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (973) 921-5500

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer" and "large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one:)
Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date:

Title of Class	Shares Outstanding at March 31, 2006
----------------	---

Common Stock,
par value \$0.01 per share

66,285,112

**THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
INDEX TO FORM 10-Q**

	Page
<u>PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u>	1
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Three-Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)</u>	1
<u>Consolidated Balance Sheets as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005 (Unaudited)</u>	2
<u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Three-Months Ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 (Unaudited)</u>	3
<u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	4
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	24
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u>	42
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	42
<u>PART II. OTHER INFORMATION</u>	43
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	43
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities, and Use of Proceeds</u>	43
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	44
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	45
<u>SIGNATURES</u>	46
Exhibits	
Exhibit 10.1 Form of Detrimental Conduct Agreement.	
Exhibit 10.2 Executive Retirement Plan of the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation.	
Exhibit 10.3 The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Covered Employee Cash Incentive Plan.	
Exhibit 10.4 Forms of Change in Control Severance Agreements.	
Exhibit-31.1: Certification	
Exhibit-31.2: Certification	
Exhibit-32.1: Certification	
Exhibit-32.2: Certification	
<u>EX-10.1: FORM OF DETRIMENTAL CONDUCT AGREEMENT</u>	
<u>EX-10.2: EXECUTIVE RETIREMENT PLAN</u>	
<u>EX-10.3: COVERED EMPLOYEE CASH INCENTIVE PLAN</u>	
<u>EX-10.4: FORMS OF CHANGE IN CONTROL SEVERANCE AGREEMENTS</u>	
<u>EX-31.1: CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>EX-31.2: CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>EX-32.1: CERTIFICATION</u>	
<u>EX-32.2: CERTIFICATION</u>	

Table of Contents**PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION****Item 1. Financial Statements****The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Operations**

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Unaudited) (Amounts in millions, except per share data)	
Revenue	\$ 367.2	\$ 341.3
Operating Expenses	109.4	95.1
Selling and Administrative Expenses	158.9	155.2
Depreciation and Amortization	6.5	8.6
Restructuring Charge	6.4	10.4
Operating Costs	281.2	269.3
Operating Income	86.0	72.0
Interest Income	2.7	2.8
Interest Expense	(5.4)	(5.3)
Minority Interest	(0.1)	0.7
Other (Expense) Income Net	(0.5)	(0.2)
Non-Operating (Expense) Income Net	(3.3)	(2.0)
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	82.7	70.0
Provision for Income Taxes	31.3	18.1
Equity in Net Income of Affiliates	0.1	0.2
Net Income	\$ 51.5	\$ 52.1
Basic Earnings per Share of Common Stock	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.76
Diluted Earnings per Share of Common Stock	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.73
Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding Basic	66.4	68.5
Weighted Average Number of Shares Outstanding Diluted	68.4	71.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation
Consolidated Balance Sheets

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
(Unaudited)		
(Amounts in millions, except per share data)		
ASSETS		
Current Assets		
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 126.1	\$ 195.3
Marketable Securities	103.2	109.4
Accounts Receivable Net of Allowance of \$21.6 at March 31, 2006 and \$22.0 at December 31, 2005	372.3	380.3
Other Receivables	32.2	36.0
Deferred Income Tax	24.2	22.3
Other Current Assets	15.4	16.0
Total Current Assets	673.4	759.3
Non-Current Assets		
Property, Plant and Equipment, Net of Accumulated Depreciation of \$193.7 at March 31, 2006 and \$190.2 at December 31, 2005	43.3	44.2
Prepaid Pension Costs	472.6	470.8
Computer Software, Net of Accumulated Amortization of \$322.4 at March 31, 2006 and \$315.9 at December 31, 2005	32.7	32.0
Goodwill	222.6	220.2
Deferred Income Tax	39.5	37.9
Other Non-Current Assets	92.2	49.0
Total Non-Current Assets	902.9	854.1
Total Assets	\$ 1,576.3	\$ 1,613.4
LIABILITIES		
Current Liabilities		
Accounts Payable	\$ 32.7	\$ 43.9
Accrued Payroll	56.8	108.7
Accrued Income Tax	0.3	1.5
Short-Term Debt	1.1	300.8
Other Accrued and Current Liabilities	147.1	160.5
Deferred Revenue	476.2	413.7
Total Current Liabilities	714.2	1,029.1
Pension and Postretirement Benefits	431.7	432.6
Long-Term Debt	299.3	0.1
Other Non-Current Liabilities	73.2	74.0

Total Liabilities	1,518.4	1,535.8
Commitments and Contingencies (Note 7)		
Shareholders Equity		
Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, authorized 0.5 shares; outstanding none		
Preferred Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, authorized 9.5 shares; outstanding none		
Series Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, authorized 10.0 shares; outstanding none		
Common Stock, \$0.01 par value per share, authorized 200.0 shares issued 81.9 shares	0.8	0.8
Unearned Compensation		(5.4)
Capital Surplus	179.9	183.8
Retained Earnings	942.9	891.5
Treasury Stock, at cost, 15.7 shares at March 31, 2006 and 14.9 shares at December 31, 2005	(786.5)	(705.5)
Cumulative Translation Adjustment	(171.4)	(175.7)
Minimum Pension Liability Adjustment	(112.7)	(112.7)
Other Comprehensive Income	4.9	0.8
Total Shareholders Equity	57.9	77.6
Total Liabilities and Shareholders Equity	\$ 1,576.3	\$ 1,613.4

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Dollar amounts in millions) (Unaudited)	
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net Income	\$ 51.5	\$ 52.1
Reconciliation of Net Income to Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities:		
Depreciation and Amortization	6.5	8.6
Income Tax Benefit from Stock-Based Awards	23.8	2.5
Excess Tax Benefit on Stock-Based Awards	(18.1)	
Amortization of Stock-Based Awards	8.5	8.5
Restructuring Expense	6.4	10.4
Restructuring Payments	(3.9)	(6.7)
Deferred Income Taxes, Net	0.8	1.2
Accrued Income Taxes, Net	2.4	3.2
Changes in Current Assets and Liabilities:		
Decrease in Accounts Receivable	7.1	4.0
Net Decrease (Increase) in Other Current Assets	3.0	(4.8)
Increase in Deferred Revenue	60.7	67.8
Decrease in Accounts Payable	(15.0)	(17.4)
Net Decrease in Accrued Liabilities	(49.0)	(54.3)
Net Decrease in Other Accrued and Current Liabilities	(6.7)	(5.6)
Changes in Non-Current Assets and Liabilities:		
Increase in Other Long-Term Assets	(38.6)	(3.9)
Net (Increase) Decrease in Long-Term Liabilities	(3.5)	1.2
Net, Other Non-Cash Adjustments	0.3	0.1
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	36.2	66.9
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Investments in Marketable Securities	(139.6)	(48.2)
Redemptions of Marketable Securities	145.8	96.4
Proceeds from Sales of Businesses, Net of Cash Divested		(1.5)
Payments for Acquisitions of Businesses, Net of Cash Acquired	(7.6)	(1.3)
Cash Settlements of Foreign Currency Contracts	(0.2)	(1.9)
Capital Expenditures	(1.6)	(3.1)
Additions to Computer Software and Other Intangibles	(4.1)	(1.5)
Net, Other	(0.5)	0.1
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Investing Activities	(7.8)	39.0
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:		

Payments for Purchases of Treasury Shares	(122.5)	(74.2)
Net Proceeds from Stock-Based Awards	20.0	8.5
Spin-Off Obligation	(20.9)	
Payment of Debt	(300.0)	
Proceeds from Issuance of Long-Term Debt	299.2	
Payment of Bond Issue Costs	(2.2)	
Termination of Interest Rate Derivative	5.0	
Excess Tax Benefit on Stock-Based Awards	18.1	
Net, Other	0.8	0.1
Net Cash Used in Financing Activities	(102.5)	(65.6)
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	4.9	2.2
(Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(69.2)	42.5
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	195.3	252.9
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 126.1	\$ 295.4
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Flow Information:		
Cash Paid		
Income Taxes, Net of Refunds	\$ 4.3	\$ 11.2
Interest	\$ 10.1	\$ 8.5

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation

These interim consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q. They should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and related notes, which appear in The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation's (D&B or We) Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. The consolidated results for interim periods do not include all disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for annual financial statements and are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any subsequent period. In the opinion of our management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position, results of operations, and cash flows at the dates and for the periods presented have been included. All significant inter-company transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Significant Accounting Policies

In preparing our consolidated financial statements and accounting for the underlying transactions and balances reflected therein, we have applied the significant accounting policies described in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we updated the significant accounting policy titled Stock-Based Compensation as follows:

Stock-Based Awards

On January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004) or SFAS No. 123R, Share-Based Payments, requiring the recognition of compensation expense in the income statement related to the fair value of our employee stock options. Determining the fair value of stock options at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility and the expected dividends. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of stock-based awards expected to be forfeited prior to vesting. For further detail on Stock-Based Awards, see Note 8 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Note 2 Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued SFAS No. 123R which revises SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, and supercedes Accounting Principle Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees. This standard requires companies to recognize in the statement of operations the cost of employee services received in exchange for awards of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value of the award (with limited exceptions). The cost will be recognized over the period that an employee provides service in exchange for the award, which normally would be the vesting period. The standard has two transition application methods to choose from. They are the Modified Prospective application or Modified Retrospective application. Under the Modified Prospective application, compensation cost is recognized for new grants and modifications made after the required effective date, plus the remaining unrecognized expense associated with previously issued awards that are not vested as of the date of adoption. Prior periods remain unchanged and pro forma disclosures previously required by SFAS No. 123 continue to be required. Under the Modified Retrospective application, a company is required to restate its financial statements back either (a) to all prior years for which SFAS No. 123 was effective or (b) to only prior interim periods in the year in which SFAS No. 123R is adopted. In April 2005, the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) announced the adoption of a rule that deferred the required effective date of SFAS No. 123R. The SEC rule states that SFAS No. 123R is effective for

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

registrants as of the beginning of the first fiscal year beginning after June 15, 2005, instead of the beginning of the first quarter after June 15, 2005 (as prescribed originally by the FASB Statement). Accordingly, we deferred the adoption of SFAS No. 123R until January 1, 2006 at which time we began to utilize the Modified Prospective application. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we incurred additional expenses of approximately \$4.0 million related to stock options and our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). In addition, SFAS No. 123R also requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the tax impact of recognized compensation expense to be reported as cash flows from financing activities, rather than cash flows from operating activities. As a result, we reclassified \$18.1 million from net cash flows from operating activities to net cash used in financing activities during the three months ended March 31, 2006.

In December 2004, the FASB issued (FSP) No. FAS 109-1, Application of FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, to the Tax Deduction on Qualified Production Activities Provided by the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004. On October 22, 2004, the American Jobs Creation Act of 2004 (the Act) was signed into law. The Act provides a deduction from income for qualified domestic production activities, which will be phased in from 2005 through 2010. In return, the Act also provides for a two-year phase-out of the existing extra-territorial income exclusion (ETI) for foreign sales. FSP No. FAS 109-1 provides guidance on the accounting implications of the Act related to the deduction for qualified domestic production activities. The deduction will be treated as a special deduction as described in SFAS No. 109. As such, the special deduction has no effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities existing at the enactment date. Rather, the impact of this deduction, if any, will be reported in the period in which the deduction is claimed on our tax return. Until final U.S. treasury regulations are issued on this matter, management will be unable to determine the full impact, if any, this will have on our effective income tax rate.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections, which changes the accounting and reporting requirements for a change in accounting principle. APB Opinion 20, Accounting Changes and SFAS No. 3, Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements, are superseded by SFAS No. 154, which requires retrospective application to prior periods financial statements of changes in an accounting principle. SFAS No. 154 applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. When a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions, those provisions should be followed. SFAS No. 154 also defines a restatement as the revising of previously issued financial statements to reflect the correction of an error. SFAS No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and corrections of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The adoption of SFAS No. 154 in the first quarter of 2006 did not have an impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 3 Impact of Implementation of the Blueprint for Growth Strategy

Restructuring Charges

Since the launch of our Blueprint for Growth strategy, we have implemented Financial Flexibility Programs. In each of these Programs, we identified ways to reduce our expense base, then we reallocated some of the identified spending to other areas of our operations to improve revenue growth. With each Program, we have incurred restructuring charges (which generally consist of employee severance and termination costs, contract terminations, asset write-offs, and/or costs to terminate lease obligations less assumed sublease income). These charges are incurred as a result of eliminating, consolidating, standardizing, automating and/or outsourcing operations of our business. We have also incurred transition costs such as consulting fees, costs of temporary workers, relocation costs and stay bonuses to implement our Financial Flexibility Programs.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we recorded a \$4.6 million restructuring charge in connection with the Financial Flexibility Program announced in February 2006 (2006 Financial Flexibility

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Program), a \$2.0 million restructuring charge in connection with the Financial Flexibility Program announced in February 2005 (2005 Financial Flexibility Program) and a \$0.2 million net restructuring curtailment gain in connection with the Financial Flexibility Program announced in February 2004 (2004 Financial Flexibility Program). The restructuring charges were recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. The curtailment gain was recorded in accordance with SFAS 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions. The components of these charges and gains included:

severance and termination costs of \$4.6 million associated with approximately 50 positions related to the 2006 Financial Flexibility Program and \$1.7 million associated with approximately 25 positions related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program;

lease termination obligations, other costs to consolidate or close facilities and other exit costs of \$0.3 million related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program; and

curtailment gain of \$0.2 million related to the U.S. postretirement benefit plan resulting from employee termination actions for the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program. In accordance with SFAS No. 106, we were required to recognize immediately a pro-rata portion of the unrecognized prior service cost as a result of the employee terminations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we recorded an \$8.2 million restructuring charge in connection with the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program and a \$2.2 million net restructuring charge primarily for the International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) outsourcing agreement in connection with the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program. The restructuring charges were recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. The components of these charges and gains included:

severance and termination costs of \$7.9 million associated with approximately 270 positions related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program and \$5.0 million associated with approximately 400 positions related to the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program;

lease termination obligations, other costs to consolidate or close facilities and other exit costs of \$0.3 million related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program; and

curtailment gain of \$2.8 million related to the U.S. postretirement benefit plan resulting from employee termination actions for the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program. In accordance with SFAS No. 106, we were required to recognize immediately a pro-rata portion of the unrecognized prior service cost as a result of the employee terminations.

Since the launch of our Blueprint for Growth Strategy, we have eliminated approximately 4,900 positions through March 31, 2006 which included 300 open positions and terminated (via attrition and termination) approximately 4,600 employees under our Financial Flexibility Programs since inception in October 2000. These figures include the 220 employees who were transitioned to IBM as part of the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program and the approximately 400 employees who were transitioned to Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) as part of the 2002 Financial Flexibility Program. Under the terms of the CSC agreement, we outsourced certain technology functions in which approximately 400 of our employees who performed data center operations, technology help desk and network management functions in the United States and in the United Kingdom were transitioned to CSC.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

The following table sets forth, in accordance with SFAS No. 146, the restructuring reserves and utilization to date related to our 2006 Financial Flexibility Program.

	Severance and Termination	Pension Plan/ Postretirement Curtailment Charges (Gains)	Lease Termination Obligations and Other Exit Costs	Total
2006 Restructuring Charges				
Charge Taken during First Quarter 2006	\$ 4.6	\$	\$	\$ 4.6
Payments during First Quarter 2006	(0.8)			(0.8)
Balance Remaining as of March 31, 2006	\$ 3.8	\$	\$	\$ 3.8

The following table sets forth, in accordance with SFAS No. 146, the restructuring reserves and utilization to date related to our 2005 Financial Flexibility Program.

	Severance and Termination	Pension Plan/ Postretirement Curtailment Charges (Gains)	Lease Termination Obligations and Other Exit Costs	Total
2005 Restructuring Charges				
Charge Taken during First Quarter 2005	\$ 7.9	\$	\$ 0.3	\$ 8.2
Payments during First Quarter 2005	(2.4)		(0.2)	(2.6)
Balance Remaining as of March 31, 2005	\$ 5.5	\$	\$ 0.1	\$ 5.6
Charge Taken during Second Quarter 2005	\$ 8.2	\$ 0.3	\$ 0.8	\$ 9.3
Payments/ Pension Plan Curtailment Charge during Second Quarter 2005	(5.0)	(0.3)	(0.1)	(5.4)
Balance Remaining as of June 30, 2005	\$ 8.7	\$	\$ 0.8	\$ 9.5
Charge Taken during Third Quarter 2005	\$ 4.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.3	\$ 4.5
Payments/ Pension Plan Curtailment Charge during Third Quarter 2005	(6.8)	(0.1)	(0.3)	(7.2)
Balance Remaining as of September 30, 2005	\$ 6.0	\$	\$ 0.8	\$ 6.8
Charge Taken during Fourth Quarter 2005	\$ 3.1	\$ 2.4	\$ 3.3	\$ 8.8

Payments/ Pension Plan and Postretirement
Curtailment, Net Charges during Fourth Quarter
2005

	(2.2)		(2.4)		(3.1)	(7.7)
Balance Remaining as of December 31, 2005	\$ 6.9	\$	\$	1.0	\$	7.9
Charge Taken during First Quarter 2006	\$ 1.7	\$	\$	0.3	\$	2.0
Payments during First Quarter 2006	(2.7)					(2.7)
Balance Remaining as of March 31, 2006	\$ 5.9	\$	\$	1.3	\$	7.2

All actions under the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program were substantially completed.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Note 4 Notes Payable and Indebtedness

Our borrowings are summarized below:

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Debt Maturing Within One Year:		
Fixed-rate Notes	\$	\$ 300.0
Other Credit Facilities	0.5	
Other	0.6	0.8
Total Debt Maturing Within One Year	\$ 1.1	\$ 300.8
Debt Maturing After One Year:		
Long-term, Fixed-rate Notes (Net of \$0.8 million discount as of March 31, 2006)	\$ 299.2	\$
Other	0.1	0.1
Total Debt Maturing After One Year	\$ 299.3	\$ 0.1

Fixed Rate Notes

In March 2006, we issued senior notes with a face value of \$300 million that mature on March 14, 2011, (the 2011 notes) bearing interest at a fixed annual rate of 5.50%, payable semi-annually. The proceeds were used to repay our existing \$300 million notes which matured on March 15, 2006. The 2011 notes are recorded as Long-Term Debt in our consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2006. The \$300 million notes that matured on March 15, 2006 were recorded as Short-Term Debt at December 31, 2005.

The 2011 notes were issued at a discount of \$0.8 million and we incurred underwriting and other fees in the amount of approximately \$2.2 million. These costs are being amortized over the life of the 2011 notes. The 2011 note imposes certain limitations on the Company such as liens, sale and leasebacks, consolidation, merger and sale of assets. The 2011 note does not contain any financial covenants.

On September 30, 2005 and February 10, 2006, we entered into interest rate derivative transactions with aggregate notional amounts of \$200 million and \$100 million, respectively. The objective of these hedges was to mitigate the variability of future cash flows from market changes in treasury rates in the anticipation of the above referenced debt issuance. These transactions were accounted for as cash flow hedges, and, as such, changes in fair value of the hedges that took place through the date of debt issuance were recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income. In connection with the issuance of the 2011 notes, these interest rate derivative transactions were executed, resulting in proceeds of approximately \$5.0 million at the date of terminations. The proceeds are recorded in other comprehensive income and will be amortized over the life of the 2011 notes.

Other Credit Facilities

At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, we had a total of \$300 million of bank credit facilities available at prevailing short-term interest rates, which will expire in September 2009. These facilities also support our commercial paper borrowings up to \$300 million. We have not drawn on the facilities and we did not have any borrowings outstanding under these facilities at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. We also have not borrowed under our commercial paper program as of March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005. The facility requires the maintenance of interest coverage and total debt to EBITDA ratios (each as defined in the agreement). We were in compliance with

these requirements at March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, certain of our international operations had non-committed lines of credit of \$17.4 million and \$17.2 million, respectively. We had borrowings of \$0.5 million outstanding under these lines of credit at March 31, 2006 and there were no borrowings outstanding at December 31, 2005. These arrangements have no material commitment fees and no compensating balance requirements.

At March 31, 2006, we were contingently liable under open standby letters of credit issued by our bank in favor of third parties totaling \$7.9 million.

Interest paid totaled \$10.1 million and \$8.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Note 5 Reconciliation of Weighted Average Shares

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Share data in millions)	
Weighted average number of shares basic	66.4	68.5
Dilutive effect of shares issuable under our stock incentive plans	1.8	2.6
Adjustment of shares applicable to awards exercised during the period	0.2	0.3
Weighted average number of shares diluted	68.4	71.4

Stock-based awards to acquire 1.1 million and 0.5 million shares of common stock were outstanding at March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the assumed proceeds, as calculated under the treasury stock method, resulted in these equity awards being anti-dilutive. Our options generally expire 10 years after the grant date.

Our share repurchases were as follows:

Program	For Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2006		2005	
	Shares	\$ Amount	Shares	\$ Amount
	(Share data in millions)			
Share Repurchase Program	1.3(a)	\$ 91.9	0.6(a)	\$ 39.2
Repurchases to mitigate the dilutive effect of the shares issued under our stock incentive plans and ESPP	0.4	30.6	0.6	35.0
Total Repurchases	1.7	\$ 122.5	1.2	\$ 74.2

- (a) Repurchased under the \$400 million, two-year share repurchase program approved by the Board of Directors in February 2005. On January 31, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the addition of \$100 million to this program.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Note 6 Comprehensive Income

Total comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, which includes net income and other gains and losses that affect shareholders' equity, was as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Net Income	\$ 51.5	\$ 52.1
Other Comprehensive Income:		
Foreign Currency Translation Adjustment	4.3	2.6
Unrealized Gains On Investments	4.1	
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 59.9	\$ 54.7

Note 7 Contingencies

We are involved in tax and legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. We periodically assess our liabilities and contingencies in connection with these matters based upon the latest information available. For those matters where it is probable that we have incurred a loss and the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated, we have recorded reserves in our consolidated financial statements. In other instances, we are unable to make a reasonable estimate of any liability because of the uncertainties related to the probability of the outcome and/or amount or range of loss. As additional information becomes available, we adjust our assessment and estimates of such liabilities accordingly. It is possible that the ultimate resolution of our liabilities and contingencies could be at amounts that are different from our currently recorded reserves and that such differences could be material.

Based on our review of the latest information available, we believe our ultimate liability in connection with pending tax and legal proceedings, claims and litigation will not have a material effect on our results of operations, cash flows or financial position, with the possible exception of the matters described below.

In order to understand our exposure to the potential liabilities described below, it is important to understand the relationship between us and Moody's Corporation, our predecessors and other parties that, through various corporate reorganizations and contractual commitments, have assumed varying degrees of responsibility with respect to such matters.

In November 1996, the company then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (D&B1) separated through a spin off into three separate public companies: D&B1, ACNielsen Corporation (ACNielsen) and Cognizant Corporation (Cognizant) (the 1996 Distribution). This was accomplished through a spin off by D&B1 of its stock in ACNielsen and Cognizant. In June 1998, D&B1 separated through a spin off into two separate public companies: D&B1, which changed its name to R.H. Donnelley Corporation (Donnelley/D&B1), and which spun off its stock in a new company named The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (D&B2) (the 1998 Distribution). During 1998, Cognizant separated into two separate public companies: IMS Health Incorporated (IMS) and Nielsen Media Research, Inc. (NMR) (the 1998 Cognizant Distribution). In September 2000, D&B2 separated through a spin off into two separate public companies: D&B2, which changed its name to Moody's Corporation (Moody's and also referred to elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as Moody's/D&B2) and which spun off its stock in a new company named The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (we or D&B3 and also referred to elsewhere in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as D&B) (the 2000 Distribution).

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Tax Matters

Moody s/ D&B2 and its predecessors entered into global tax-planning initiatives in the normal course of business, principally through tax-free restructurings of both their foreign and domestic operations. As further described below, we undertook contractual obligations to be financially responsible for a portion of certain liabilities arising from certain historical tax-planning initiatives (Legacy Tax Matters).

As of the end of 2005, settlement agreements have been executed with the IRS with respect to the Legacy Tax Matters previously referred to in our SEC filings as Utilization of Capital Losses and Royalty Expense Deductions. With respect to the Utilization of Capital Losses matter, the settlement agreement resolved the matter in its entirety. For the Royalty Expense Deductions matter, the settlement covered tax years 1995 and 1996, which represented approximately 90% of the total potential liability to the IRS, including penalties. We believe we are adequately reserved for the remaining exposure. In addition, with respect to these two settlement agreements, we believe that IMS and NMR did not pay their allocable share to the IRS under applicable agreements. Under our agreement with Donnelley/D&B1, we and Moody s were each required to cover the shortfall, and each of us paid to the IRS approximately \$12.8 million in excess of our respective allocable shares. If we are unable to resolve our dispute with IMS and NMR through the negotiation process contemplated by our agreements, we will commence arbitration to enforce our rights and collect these amounts from IMS and NMR. We believe that the resolution of the remaining exposure to the IRS under the Royalty Expense Deduction matter and the foregoing disputes with IMS and NMR will not have a material adverse impact on D&B s financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Our remaining Legacy Tax Matter is referred to as *Amortization and Royalty Expense Deductions/ Royalty Income 1997-2006* .

Beginning in the fourth quarter of 2003, we received a series of notices with respect to a partnership agreement entered into in 1997. In these notices the IRS asserted, among other things, that certain amortization expense deductions claimed by Donnelley/D&B1, Moody s/D&B2 and D&B3 on applicable tax returns for years 1997-2002 should be disallowed. In addition to the foregoing, the IRS has asserted that royalty expense deductions claimed for 1997-2002 for royalties paid to the partnership should be disallowed. We have filed protests with the IRS with respect to these notices. The IRS has also asserted that the receipt of these same royalties by the partnership should be reallocated to and reported as royalty income by the taxpayers, including the portions of the royalties that were allocated to third-party partners in the partnership, and thus included in their taxable income. We believe that the IRS positions with respect to the treatment of the royalty expense and royalty income are mutually inconsistent. If the IRS prevails on one of the positions, we believe that it is unlikely that it will prevail on the other. In addition to the foregoing, the IRS has asserted that certain business expenses incurred by Moody s/D&B2 and D&B3 during 1999-2002 should be capitalized and amortized over a 15-year period, if (but only if) the proposed adjustments described above are not sustained.

We estimate that the net impact to cash flow as a result of the disallowance of the 1997-2002 amortization expense deductions and the disallowance of such deductions claimed from 2003 to date could be up to \$71.6 million (tax, interest and penalties, net of tax benefits but not taking into account the Moody s/D&B2 repayment to us of \$31.8 million described below). This transaction is scheduled to expire in 2012 and, unless terminated by us, the net impact to cash flow, based on current interest rates and tax rates would increase at a rate of approximately \$2.6 million per quarter (including potential penalties) as future amortization expenses are deducted. On March 3, 2006 we made a deposit to the IRS of approximately \$39.8 million in order to stop the accrual of statutory interest on potential tax deficiencies up to or equal to that amount with respect to tax years 1997-2002.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

We also estimate that, with regard to the possible disallowance of deductions for royalty expenses paid to the partnership and the reallocation of royalty income from the partnership, after taking into account certain other tax benefits resulting from the IRS position on the partnership, it is unlikely that there will be any net impact to cash flow in addition to the amounts noted above related to the amortization expense deduction disallowance. In the unlikely event the IRS were to prevail on both positions with respect to the royalty expense and royalty income, we estimate that the net impact to cash flow as a result of the disallowance of the 1997-2002 royalty expense deductions, and the inclusion of the reallocated royalty income for all relevant years, could be up to \$148.2 million (tax, interest, and penalties, net of tax benefits). This \$148.2 million would be in addition to the \$71.6 million noted above related to the amortization expense deduction.

At the time of the 2000 Distribution, we paid Moody s/D&B2 approximately \$55.0 million in cash representing the discounted value of future tax benefits associated with this transaction. Pursuant to the terms of the 2000 Distribution, should the transaction be terminated, Moody s/D&B2 would be required to repay us an amount equal to the discounted value of its 50% share of the related future tax benefits. If the transaction was terminated at March 31, 2006, the amount of such repayment from Moody s/D&B2 to us would be approximately \$31.8 million and would decrease by approximately \$4.0 million to \$5.0 million per year.

We are attempting to resolve this matter with the IRS before proceeding to litigation, if necessary. If we, on behalf of Donnelley/D&B1, Moody s/D&B2, and D&B3 were to challenge, at any time, any of these IRS positions for years 1997-2002 in U.S. District Court or the U.S. Court of Federal Claims, rather than in U.S. Tax Court, the disputed amounts for each applicable year would need to be paid in advance for the court to have jurisdiction over the case.

We have considered the foregoing Legacy Tax Matters and the merits of the legal defenses and the various contractual obligations in our overall assessment of potential tax liabilities. As of March 31, 2006, we have net \$71.2 million of reserves recorded in the consolidated financial statements, made up of the following components: \$6.0 million in Accrued Income Tax and \$65.2 million in Other Non-Current Liabilities. We believe that these reserves are adequate for our share of the liabilities in these Legacy Tax Matters. Any payments that would be made for these exposures could be significant to our cash from operations in the period a cash payment took place, including any payments for the purpose of obtaining jurisdiction in U.S. District Court or the U.S. Court of Federal Claims to challenge any of the IRS s positions.

* * * * *

Legal Proceedings***Information Resources, Inc.***

On or about February 16, 2006, this antitrust lawsuit was settled and mutual releases were signed by the parties. On March 7, 2006, the Second Circuit Court of Appeals approved the dismissal of the lawsuit and the mutual releases were delivered to the parties. As more fully explained below, we were indemnified for this matter and therefore did not contribute to the settlement payment.

Under an Amended Joint Defense Agreement, VNU N.V., a publicly-traded Dutch company and certain of its U.S. subsidiaries (collectively, the VNU Parties), assumed exclusive joint and several liability for any judgment or settlement of this lawsuit. Because of this indemnity obligation, D&B did not have any exposure to a judgment or settlement of this lawsuit unless the VNU Parties defaulted on their obligations, which did not occur. Accordingly, the VNU Parties paid the entire settlement amount of \$55 million.

By way of background, in 1996, IRI filed a complaint, subsequently amended in 1997, in federal court in New York that named as defendants a company then known as The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation and now known as R.H. Donnelley (referred to in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q as Donnelley/ D&B1), A.C.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Nielsen Company (a subsidiary of ACNielsen) and IMS International, Inc. (a subsidiary of the company then known as Cognizant Corporation). At the time of the filing of the complaint, each of the other defendants was a wholly-owned subsidiary of Donnelley/ D&B1. The amended complaint alleged various violations of US antitrust laws. IRI sought damages in excess of \$650 million, which IRI asked to be trebled, as well as punitive damages and attorneys fees.

As noted above, we did not contribute to the settlement payment and, therefore, the resolution of this matter did not impact our results of operations, cash flows or financial position. No amount in respect of this matter had been accrued in our consolidated financial statements and we will no longer report on this matter in future filings.

Hoover s Initial Public Offering Litigation

On November 15, 2001, a putative shareholder class action lawsuit was filed against Hoover s, certain of its then current and former officers and directors (the Individual Defendants), and one of the investment banks that was an underwriter of Hoover s July 1999 initial public offering (IPO). The lawsuit was filed in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York and purports to be a class action filed on behalf of purchasers of the stock of Hoover s during the period from July 20, 1999 through December 6, 2000.

A Consolidated Amended Complaint, which is now the operative complaint, was filed on April 19, 2002. The purported class action alleges violations of Sections 11 and 15 of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, (the 1933 Act) and Sections 10(b), Rule 10b-5 and 20(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, against Hoover s and the Individual Defendants. Plaintiffs allege that the underwriter defendant agreed to allocate stock in Hoover s IPO to certain investors in exchange for excessive and undisclosed commissions and agreements by those investors to make additional purchases of stock in the aftermarket at predetermined prices above the IPO price. Plaintiffs allege that the Prospectus for Hoover s IPO was false and misleading in violation of the securities laws because it did not disclose these arrangements. The action seeks damages in an unspecified amount. The defense of the action is being coordinated with more than 300 other nearly identical actions filed against other companies. On July 15, 2002, Hoover s moved to dismiss all claims against it and the Individual Defendants. On October 9, 2002, the Court dismissed the Individual Defendants from the case based upon Stipulations of Dismissal filed by the plaintiffs and the Individual Defendants. On February 19, 2003, the Court denied the motion to dismiss the complaint against Hoover s. On October 13, 2004, the Court certified a class in six of the approximately 300 other nearly identical actions and noted that the decision is intended to provide strong guidance to all parties regarding class certification in the remaining cases. The Underwriter Defendants sought leave to appeal this decision and the Second Circuit has accepted the appeal. Plaintiffs have not yet moved to certify a class in the case involving Hoover s.

Hoover s has approved a settlement agreement and related agreements that set forth the terms of a settlement between Hoover s, the plaintiff class and the vast majority of the other approximately 300 issuer defendants. Among other provisions, the settlement provides for a release of Hoover s and the Individual Defendants for the conduct alleged in the action to be wrongful. Hoover s would agree to undertake certain responsibilities, including agreeing to assign away, not assert, or release certain potential claims Hoover s may have against its underwriters. The settlement agreement also provides a guaranteed recovery of \$1 billion to plaintiffs for the cases relating to all of the approximately 300 issuers. To the extent that the underwriter defendants settle all of the cases for at least \$1 billion, no payment will be required under the issuers settlement agreement. To the extent that the underwriter defendants settle for less than \$1 billion, the issuers are required to make up the difference. On April 20, 2006, JPMorgan Chase, one of the underwriter defendants, and the plaintiffs reached a preliminary agreement for a settlement for \$425 million. The JPMorgan Chase settlement has not yet been approved by the Court. However, if it is finally approved, then

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

the maximum amount that the issuers' insurers will be potentially liable for is \$575 million. It is anticipated that any potential financial obligation of Hoover's to plaintiffs pursuant to the terms of the settlement agreement and related agreements will be covered by existing insurance. Hoover's currently is not aware of any material limitations on the expected recovery of any potential financial obligation to plaintiffs from its insurance carriers. Its carriers are solvent, and Hoover's is not aware of any uncertainties as to the legal sufficiency of an insurance claim with respect to any recovery by plaintiffs. Therefore, we do not expect that the settlement will involve any payment by Hoover's. If material limitations on the expected recovery of any potential financial obligation to the plaintiffs from Hoover's insurance carriers should arise, Hoover's maximum financial obligation to plaintiffs pursuant to the settlement agreement is less than \$3.4 million. However, if the JPMorgan Chase settlement is finally approved, Hoover's maximum financial obligation to the plaintiffs pursuant to the settlement agreement would be less than \$2 million. On February 15, 2005, the court granted preliminary approval of the settlement agreement, subject to certain modifications consistent with its opinion. Those modifications have been made. On March 20, 2006, the Underwriter Defendants submitted objections to the settlement to the Court. The Court held a hearing regarding these and other objections to the settlement at a fairness hearing on April 24, 2006, but has not yet issued a ruling. There is no assurance that the court will grant final approval to the settlement.

As previously noted, if the settlement is ultimately approved and implemented in its current form, Hoover's reasonably foreseeable exposure in this matter, if any, would be limited to amounts that would be covered by existing insurance. If the settlement is not approved in its current form, we cannot predict the final outcome of this matter or whether such outcome or ultimate resolution of this matter could materially affect our results of operations, cash flows or financial position. No amount in respect of any potential judgment in this matter has been accrued in our consolidated financial statements.

Pension Plan Litigation***March 2003 Action***

In March 2003, a lawsuit seeking class action status was filed against us in federal court in Connecticut on behalf of 46 specified former employees relating to our retirement plans. The complaint, as amended in July 2003 (the Amended Complaint), sets forth the following putative class:

Current D&B employees who are participants in The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Retirement Account and were previously participants in its predecessor plan, The Dun & Bradstreet Master Retirement Plan;

Current employees of Receivable Management Services Corporation (RMSC) who are participants in The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Retirement Account and were previously participants in its predecessor plan, The Dun & Bradstreet Master Retirement Plan;

Former employees of D&B or D&B's Receivable Management Services (RMS) operations who received a deferred vested retirement benefit under either The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Retirement Account or The Dun & Bradstreet Master Retirement Plan; and

Former employees of D&B's RMS operations whose employment with D&B terminated after the sale of the RMS operations but who are not employees of RMSC and who, during their employment with D&B, were Eligible Employees for purposes of The Dun & Bradstreet Career Transition Plan.

The Amended Complaint estimates that the proposed class covers over 5,000 individuals.

There are four counts in the Amended Complaint. Count 1 claims that we violated ERISA by not paying severance benefits to plaintiffs under our Career Transition Plan. Count 2 claims a violation of ERISA in that our sale of the RMS business to RMSC and the resulting termination of our employees constituted a prohibited discharge of the plaintiffs and/or discrimination against the plaintiffs for the intentional purpose of

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

interfering with their employment and/or attainment of employee benefit rights which they might otherwise have attained. Count 3 claims that the plaintiffs were materially harmed by our alleged violation of ERISA's requirements that a summary plan description reasonably apprise participants and beneficiaries of their rights and obligations under the plans and that, therefore, undisclosed plan provisions (in this case, the actuarial deduction beneficiaries incur when they leave D&B before age 55 and elect to retire early) cannot be enforced against them. Count 4 claims that the 6.60% interest rate (the actual rate is 6.75%) used to actuarially reduce early retirement benefits is unreasonable and, therefore, results in a prohibited forfeiture of benefits under ERISA.

In the Amended Complaint, the plaintiffs sought payment of severance benefits; equitable relief in the form of either reinstatement of employment with D&B or restoration of employee benefits (including stock options); invalidation of the actuarial reductions applied to deferred vested early retirement benefits, including invalidation of the plan rate of 6.60% (the actual rate is 6.75%) used to actuarially reduce former employees' early retirement benefits; attorneys' fees and such other relief as the court may deem just.

We deny all allegations of wrongdoing and are aggressively defending the case. In September 2003, we filed a motion to dismiss Counts 1, 3 and 4 of the Amended Complaint on the ground that plaintiffs cannot prevail on those claims under any set of facts, and in February 2004, the Court heard oral argument on our motion. With respect to Count 4, the court requested that the parties conduct limited expert discovery and submit further briefing. In November 2004, after completion of expert discovery on Count 4, we moved for summary judgment on Count 4 on the ground that an interest rate of 6.75% is reasonable as a matter of law. On November 30, 2004, the Court issued a ruling granting our motion to dismiss Counts 1 and 3. Shortly after that ruling, plaintiffs' counsel stipulated to dismiss with prejudice Count 2 (which challenged the sale of the RMS business as an intentional interference with employee benefit rights, but which the motion to dismiss did not address). Plaintiffs' counsel also stipulated to a dismissal with prejudice of Count 1, the severance pay claim, agreeing to forego any appeal of the Court's dismissal of that claim. Plaintiffs' counsel did file a motion to join party plaintiffs and to amend the Amended Complaint to add a new count challenging the adequacy of the retirement plan's mortality tables. We objected to the attempt to add a new claim. On June 6, 2005, the Court granted D&B's motion for summary judgment as to Count 4 (the interest rate issue) and also denied the plaintiffs' motion to further amend the Amended Complaint to add a new claim challenging the mortality tables. On July 8, 2005, the plaintiffs filed their notice of appeal; they are appealing the ruling granting the motion to dismiss, the ruling granting summary judgment, and the denial of leave to amend their Amended Complaint. Oral argument before the Second Circuit took place on February 15, 2006, and we are awaiting a decision.

While we believe we have strong defenses in this matter, we are unable to predict at this time the final outcome of this matter or whether the resolution of this matter could materially affect our results of operations, cash flows or financial position. No amount in respect of this matter has been accrued in our consolidated financial statements.

September 2005 Action

In addition to the foregoing proceeding, a lawsuit seeking class action status was filed in September of 2005 against us in federal court in the Northern District of Illinois on behalf of a current employee relating to our retirement plans. The complaint (the Complaint) seeks certification of the following putative class:

Current or former D&B employees (other than employees who on December 31, 2001 (i) were at least age 50 with 10 years of vesting service, (ii) had attained an age which, when added to his or her years of vesting service, was equal to or greater than 70; or (iii) had attained age 65), who participated in The Dun & Bradstreet Master Retirement Plan before January 1, 2002 and who have participated in The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Retirement Account at any time since January 1, 2002.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

The Complaint estimates that the proposed class covers over 1,000 individuals.

There are five counts in the Complaint. Count 1 claims that we violated ERISA by reducing the rate of an employee's benefit accrual on the basis of age. Count 2 claims a violation of ERISA's non-forfeitability requirement, because the plan allegedly conditions receipt of cash balance benefits on foregoing the early retirement benefits plaintiff earned prior to the adoption of the cash balance amendment. Count 3 claims that the cash balance plan violates ERISA's anti-backloading rule. Count 4 claims that D&B failed to supply advance notice of a significant benefit decrease. Count 5 claims that D&B failed to provide an adequate Summary Plan Description.

In the Complaint, the plaintiff seeks (1) a declaration that (a) D&B's cash balance plan is ineffective and that the D&B Master Retirement Plan is still in force and effect, and (b) plaintiff's benefit accrual under the cash balance plan must be unconditional and not reduced because of age, (2) an injunction (a) prohibiting the application of the cash balance plan's reduction in the rate of benefit accruals because of age and its conditions of benefits due under the plan, and (b) ordering appropriate equitable relief to determine plan participant losses caused by D&B's payment of benefits under the cash balance plan's terms and requiring the payment of additional benefits as appropriate, (3) attorneys' fees and costs, (4) interest, and (5) such other relief as the court may deem just.

A Motion to Transfer Venue to the District of New Jersey was filed on January 27, 2006 and was granted on March 31, 2006.

We believe we have strong defenses in this matter and we will deny all allegations of wrongdoing and aggressively defend the case. We are unable to predict at this time the final outcome of this matter or whether the resolution of this matter could materially affect our results of operations, cash flows or financial position. No amount in respect of this matter has been accrued in our consolidated financial statements.

Other Matters

In addition, in the normal course of business, D&B indemnifies other parties, including customers, lessors and parties to other transactions with D&B, with respect to certain matters. D&B has agreed to hold the other parties harmless against losses arising from a breach of representations or covenants, or arising out of other claims made against certain parties. These agreements may limit the time within which an indemnification claim can be made and the amount of the claim. D&B has also entered into indemnity obligations with its officers and directors of the Company. Additionally, in certain circumstances, D&B issues guarantee letters on behalf of our wholly-owned subsidiaries for specific situations. It is not possible to determine the maximum potential amount of future payments under these indemnification agreements due to the limited history of prior indemnification claims and the unique facts and circumstances involved in each particular agreement. Historically, payments made by D&B under these agreements have not had a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

Note 8 Stock-Based Awards

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R using the Modified Prospective transition method. Prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, we applied APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for our plans. Accordingly, no compensation cost was recognized for grants under the stock option programs.

Under the Modified Prospective method, compensation cost associated with the stock option programs recognized for the three months ended March 31, 2006 includes (a) compensation cost for stock options granted prior to, but not yet vested as of January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value estimated in accordance with the original provision of SFAS No. 123, and (b) compensation cost for stock options granted

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

subsequent to January 1, 2006, based on the grant date fair value under SFAS No. 123R. SFAS No. 123R also requires us to estimate future forfeitures in calculating the expense relating to stock-based compensation as opposed to only recognizing these forfeitures and the corresponding reduction in expense as they occur. As a result, we have adjusted for this cumulative effect and recognized a reduction in stock-based compensation of \$0.5 million pre-tax, related to our restricted stock and restricted stock unit programs. As required under the Modified Prospective method, results for prior periods have not been restated.

For periods prior to the adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the following table summarized the pro forma effect of stock-based compensation on net income and net income per share as if the fair value expenses recognition provisions of SFAS No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, as amended by SFAS No. 148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure, has been adopted, as follows:

		For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2005
Reported Net Income	\$	52.1
Add: Stock compensation cost, included in net income, net of tax benefits		2.2
Deduct: Total stock compensation cost under fair-value method for all awards, net of tax benefits		(4.5)
Pro forma Net Income	\$	49.8
Basic EPS:		
As reported	\$	0.76
Pro forma	\$	0.73
Diluted EPS:		
As reported	\$	0.73
Pro forma	\$	0.70

Stock Option Programs

Under The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation 2000 Stock Incentive Plan (2000 SIP) and Non-Employee Directors Stock Incentive Plan (2000 DSIP), we have granted stock options to certain employees and non-employee directors to purchase shares of our common stock at the market price on the date of the grant. Stock options granted under the 2000 SIP prior to February 9, 2004 generally vest in three equal installments, beginning on the third anniversary of the grant. Stock options granted under the 2000 SIP on or after February 9, 2004 generally vest in four equal installments beginning on the first anniversary of the grant. Stock options granted under the 2000 DSIP generally vest 100% on the first anniversary of the grant. All stock options generally expire 10 years from the date of the grant. The 2000 SIP and 2000 DSIP provide for the granting of up to 9.7 million and 0.3 million shares of our common stock, respectively.

Accordingly, compensation cost is recognized on a straight-line basis over the vesting period. For stock options granted after adoption of SFAS No. 123R, the compensation cost is recognized over the shorter of the vesting period or the period from the grant date to the date when retirement eligibility is achieved. We recognized \$3.7 million expense for the three months ended March 31, 2006 associated with stock option awards. Total income tax benefit

associated with the stock option program was \$1.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

The fair value of each stock option award is estimated on the date of grant using a Black-Scholes option valuation model that uses the assumptions noted in the following table.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2006
Expected stock price volatility	23%
Expected dividends	0%
Expected terms (in years)	6.21
Weighted-average risk-free interest	4.55%

Expected volatilities are derived from the historical volatility of our common stock. Expected terms are determined using the simplified method for estimating expected option life, as prescribed under Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 107. The risk-free interest for corresponding expected terms of the stock option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant.

A summary of stock option activity under the stock option programs as of March 31, 2006 is presented below:

Stock Options	Shares	Weighted-Average Exercise Price Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
Outstanding at January 1, 2006	5,740,625	\$ 34.05		
Granted	381,470	\$ 71.26		
Exercised	731,898	\$ 25.33		
Forfeited or expired	104,797	\$ 40.46		
Outstanding at March 31, 2006	5,285,400	\$ 37.82	6.3	\$ 205.4
Exercisable at March 31, 2006	3,052,193	\$ 29.87	5.2	\$ 142.9

The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$34.2 million, which includes D&B and Moody's employees that exercised D&B options. See Note 7 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further discussion on the separation of D&B and Moody's Corporation in September 2000.

A summary of the status of our nonvested stock options as of March 31, 2006 is presented below:

Nonvested Stock Options	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value Per Share
Nonvested at January 1, 2006	2,625,453	\$ 15.83
Granted	381,470	\$ 24.47
Vested	668,919	\$ 14.93
Forfeited	104,797	\$ 14.23

Nonvested at March 31, 2006	2,233,207	\$	17.66
-----------------------------	-----------	----	-------

Total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested stock options was \$26.8 million at March 31, 2006. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 2.6 years. The total fair value of stock options vested during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$10.0 million.

Cash received from stock option exercises for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 was \$18.5 million and \$7.2 million, respectively. The expected tax benefit associated with the tax deductions from

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

stock option exercises totaled \$20.4 million and \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively, including D&B employees exercising both D&B and Moody's stock options.

Restricted Stock and Restricted Stock Unit Programs

The adoption of SFAS No. 123R did not change our accounting for restricted stock and restricted stock units. The cost associated with our restricted stock and restricted stock units has been included in net income. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock units is determined based on the closing trading price of our common stock on the grant date.

Prior to 2004, restricted stock and restricted stock units grants were generally vested on a cliff basis over three years of service. Compensation cost associated with these awards is generally recognized on a straight-line basis over three years. Beginning in 2004, certain employees were provided an opportunity to receive an award of restricted stock or restricted stock unit in the future. That award is contingent on performance against the same goals that drive payout of the annual bonus plan. The restricted stock or restricted stock units will be granted, if at all, after the one year performance goal has been met and will then vest over a three-year period on a graded basis. Compensation cost associated with these grants is recognized on a graded-vesting basis over four years, including the performance period. Total expense associated with restricted stock, restricted stock units and restricted stock opportunity was \$1.8 million (including a reduction of expense of \$0.5 million related to accumulated effect of forfeiture assumption) and \$3.4 million for the three-month period ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. Total income tax benefit associated with restricted stock, restricted stock units and restricted stock opportunity was \$0.5 million and \$1.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

A summary of the status of our restricted stock and restricted stock units as of March 31, 2006 is presented below:

Restricted Stock/ Restricted Stock Units	Shares	Weighted-Average Grant-Date Fair Value Per Share	Weighted-Average Remaining Contractual Term (in years)	Aggregate Intrinsic Value
<i>Nonvested Shares at January 1, 2004</i>	220,446	\$ 32.57		
Granted	9,231	\$ 54.09		
Vested	45,318	\$ 25.62		
Forfeited	17,080	\$ 35.29		
<i>Nonvested at January 1, 2005</i>	167,279	\$ 35.36	1.1	\$ 10.0
Granted	368,668	\$ 60.60		
Vested	90,295	\$ 48.26		
Forfeited	42,888	\$ 53.44		
<i>Nonvested at January 1, 2006</i>	402,764	\$ 53.64	1.6	\$ 27.0
Granted	213,146	\$ 72.12		
Vested	127,426	\$ 45.51		
Forfeited	13,608	\$ 63.70		
<i>Nonvested at March 31, 2006</i>	474,876	\$ 63.83	2.2	\$ 36.4

Total unrecognized compensation cost related to nonvested awards was \$23.4 million at March 31, 2006. This cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of 3.2 years. The total fair value of shares vested during the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$9.0 million. The tax benefit associated with the tax deductions from vested shares totaled \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Employee Stock Purchase Plan

Under the ESPP, our employees can purchase our common stock at a 15% discount from market value, subject to certain limitations as set forth in the ESPP. In the three months ended March 31, 2006, we recognized expense associated with such purchases under the ESPP of \$0.3 million.

Note 9 Pension and Postretirement Benefits

The following table sets forth the components of the net periodic cost associated with our pension plans and our postretirement benefit obligations.

	Pension Plans for Three Months Ended March 31,		Postretirement Benefits for Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005	2006	2005
Service cost	\$ 4.5	\$ 3.9	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.3
Interest cost	21.7	22.2	1.2	1.2
Expected return on plan assets	(28.3)	(30.8)		
Amortization of prior service cost	0.5	0.7	(1.9)	(2.8)
Recognized actuarial loss (gain)	7.9	5.9	(0.4)	(0.2)
Net periodic cost (income)	\$ 6.3	\$ 1.9	\$ (0.9)	\$ (1.5)

We previously disclosed in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005 that we expected to contribute \$32.4 million and \$12.4 million to our Non-Qualified U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans and the U.S. postretirement benefit plan, respectively in 2006. As of March 31, 2006, we have made contributions to our Non-Qualified U.S. and non-U.S. pension plans and postretirement benefit plan of \$6.0 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

We also recognized a curtailment gain of \$0.2 million for our postretirement benefit plan in the three months ended March 31, 2006 related to the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program (see detail in Note 3 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

Note 10 Segment Information

The operating segments reported below are our segments for which separate financial information is available and upon which operating results are evaluated by management on a timely basis to assess performance and to allocate resources. Our results are reported and managed under the following two segments: United States (U.S.) and International (which consists of operations in Canada, Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America). Our customer solution sets are Risk Management Solutions[™], Sales & Marketing Solutions[™], E-Business Solutions[™] and Supply Management Solutions[™]. Inter-segment sales are immaterial and no single customer accounted for 10% or more of our total revenues during the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005. For management reporting purposes, we evaluate business segment performance before restructuring charges because restructuring charges are not a component of our ongoing income or expenses and may have a disproportionate positive or negative impact on the results of our ongoing underlying business (see Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, under the heading How We Manage Our Business for further details). Additionally, transition costs, which are period costs such as consulting fees, costs of temporary employees, relocation costs and stay bonuses incurred to implement our Financial Flexibility Program, are not allocated to our business segments.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
 (Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Operating Revenue:		
U.S.	\$ 286.0	\$ 263.2
International	81.2	78.1
Consolidated Total	\$ 367.2	\$ 341.3
Operating Income (Loss):		
U.S.	\$ 103.7	\$ 98.1
International	8.7	1.9
Total Divisions	112.4	100.0
Corporate and Other(1)	(26.4)	(28.0)
Consolidated Total	86.0	72.0
Non-Operating Income (Expense) Net	(3.3)	(2.0)
Income Before Provision for Income Taxes	\$ 82.7	\$ 70.0

Supplemental Geographic and Customer Solution Set Information:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Customer Solution Set Revenues:		
U.S.:		
Risk Management Solutions	\$ 176.1	\$ 164.7
Sales & Marketing Solutions	83.6	77.4
E-Business Solutions	19.6	15.2
Supply Management Solutions	6.7	5.9
Total U.S. Revenue	286.0	263.2
International:		
Risk Management Solutions	67.8	67.4
Sales & Marketing Solutions	11.5	9.3
E-Business Solutions	1.0	0.4

Supply Management Solutions	0.9	1.0
Total International Revenue	81.2	78.1
Consolidated Total:		
Risk Management Solutions	243.9	232.1
Sales & Marketing Solutions	95.1	86.7
E-Business Solutions	20.6	15.6
Supply Management Solutions	7.6	6.9
Consolidated Total Revenue	\$ 367.2	\$ 341.3

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Assets:		
U.S.	\$ 465.1	\$ 452.8
International	338.2	464.2
Total Divisions	803.3	917.0
Corporate and Other (primarily domestic pensions and taxes)	773.0	696.4
Total Assets	\$ 1,576.3	\$ 1,613.4

	March 31, 2006	December 31, 2005
Goodwill: (2)		
U.S.	\$ 124.3	\$ 122.9
International	98.3	97.3
Total Goodwill	\$ 222.6	\$ 220.2

(1) The following table itemizes Corporate and Other :

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Corporate Costs	\$ (15.5)	\$ (11.8)
Transition Costs (Costs to implement our Financial Flexibility Program)	(4.5)	(5.8)
Restructuring Expense	(6.4)	(10.4)
Total Corporate and Other	\$ (26.4)	\$ (28.0)

(2) The increase in goodwill in the U.S. from \$122.9 million at December 31, 2005 to \$124.3 million at March 31, 2006 is attributable to the acquisition of Open Ratings, (see Note 12 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q) and a purchase accounting adjustment for the LiveCapital, Inc. acquisition of \$0.2 million related to the fair value of net assets acquired. The increase in goodwill in International from \$97.3 million at December 31, 2005 to \$98.3 million at March 31, 2006 is attributable to the positive impact of foreign currency translation.

Note 11 Income Taxes

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, our effective tax rate was 37.9% as compared to 25.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was positively impacted by 1.1 points for the benefit of a reduction in interest expense due to lower tax reserves, by 0.7 points for items permanently excluded for federal and state income tax purposes and by 0.6 points for other tax items.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was 25.8% which was positively impacted by 12.9 points for foreign income taxes primarily related to the liquidation of dormant entities that remained after the sale of our divested businesses in the Nordic region (Sweden, Denmark, Norway and Finland) and by 1.6 points for global tax initiatives.

Table of Contents

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Unaudited) (Continued)
(Tabular dollar amounts in millions, except per share data)

Note 12 Acquisitions

Open Ratings

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we acquired a 100% ownership interest in Open Ratings with cash on hand. Open Ratings is located in Waltham, Massachusetts. The results of Open Ratings' operations have been included in our consolidated financial statements since the date of acquisition. Open Ratings provides web-based supply risk management solutions to leading manufacturing companies. We believe that the addition of Open Ratings solutions to our Supply Management Solutions product suite will provide our customers with a more comprehensive supply management solution.

The transaction was valued at \$8.3 million, subject to net working capital adjustment, inclusive of cash acquired of \$0.4 million and \$0.2 million of transaction costs recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 141, Business Combinations. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method of accounting. As a result, we recognized goodwill and intangible assets of \$1.6 million and \$4.9 million, respectively. The remaining purchase price was allocated to acquired tangible assets and liabilities on the basis of their respective fair values. The goodwill was assigned to our U.S. segment. Of the \$4.9 million in acquired intangible assets, \$1.3 million was assigned to Open Ratings online reports, \$1.1 million was assigned to customer contract backlog, \$1.9 million was assigned to customer relationships and \$0.6 million was assigned to technology. These intangible assets are subject to amortization with useful lives from two to seventeen years. The impact the acquisition would have had on our results had the acquisition occurred at the beginning of 2006 is not material, and as such, pro forma results have not been presented.

We are in the process of finalizing the valuation of the acquired deferred tax asset in connection with the acquisition. As a result, the allocation of the purchase price is subject to future adjustment.

Table of Contents**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations****Business Overview**

The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation (D&B or we or our) is the leading provider of global business information, tools and insight, and has enabled customers to Decide with Confidence® for over 165 years. Our proprietary DUNSRight® quality process provides our customers with quality business information. This quality information is the foundation of our solutions that customers rely on to make critical business decisions. Customers use our Risk Management Solutions™ to mitigate credit risk, increase cash flow and drive increased profitability, our Sales & Marketing Solutions™ to increase revenue from new and existing customers, our E-Business Solutions™ to convert prospects to clients faster by enabling business professionals to research companies, executives and industries and our Supply Management Solutions™ to increase cash by generating ongoing savings from our customers' suppliers and protecting our customers from serious financial, operational and regulatory risk.

How We Manage Our Business

For internal management purposes, we refer to core revenue which we calculate as total revenue less the revenue of divested businesses. Core revenue is used to manage and evaluate the performance of our business segments and to allocate resources because this measure provides an indication of the underlying direction of changes in revenue in a single performance measure. Core revenue does not include reported revenue of divested businesses since they are not included in future revenue.

Management believes that this measure provides valuable insight into our revenue from ongoing operations and enables investors to evaluate business performance and trends by facilitating a comparison of results of ongoing operations with past reports of financial results. During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, there were no divestitures.

We also isolate the effects of changes in foreign exchange rates on our revenue growth because we believe it is useful for investors to be able to compare revenue from one period to another, both with and without the effects of foreign exchange. As a result, we monitor our core revenue growth both after and before the effects of foreign exchange. Core revenue growth excluding the effects of foreign exchange is referred to as revenue growth before the effects of foreign exchange.

We further analyze core revenue growth before the effects of foreign exchange among two components, organic core revenue growth and core revenue growth from acquisitions. We analyze organic core revenue growth and core revenue growth from acquisitions because management believes this information provides an important insight into the underlying health of our business. Core revenue includes the revenue from acquired businesses from the date of acquisition. In addition, with respect to our Italian real estate data business, we analyze core revenue both before and after the impact of price increases.

We evaluate the performance of our business segments based on segment revenue growth before the effects of foreign exchange, and segment operating income growth before certain types of gains and charges that we consider do not reflect our underlying business performance. Specifically, for management reporting purposes, we evaluate business segment performance before non-core gains and (charges) because such charges are not a component of our ongoing income or expenses and/or may have a disproportionate positive or negative impact on the results of our ongoing underlying business operations. A recurring component of non-core gains and (charges) are our restructuring charges, which result from a foundational element of our growth strategy that we refer to as financial flexibility. Through financial flexibility, management identifies opportunities to improve the performance of the business in terms of quality, efficiency and cost, in order to generate savings primarily to invest for growth. Such charges are variable from period-to-period based upon actions identified and taken during each period. Management reviews operating results before such charges on a monthly basis and establishes internal budgets and forecasts based upon such measures. Management further establishes annual and long-term compensation such as salaries, target cash bonuses and target equity compensation amounts based on such measures and a significant percentage weight is placed upon such measures in determining whether performance objectives have been achieved. Management believes that by

Table of Contents

eliminating restructuring charges from such financial measures, and by being overt to shareholders about the results of our operations excluding such charges, business leaders are provided incentives to recommend and execute actions that are in the best long term interests of our shareholders, rather than being influenced by the potential impact a charge in a particular period could have on their compensation. Additionally, transition costs (period costs such as consulting fees, costs of temporary employees, relocation costs and stay bonuses incurred to implement the Financial Flexibility component of our strategy) are reported as Corporate and Other expenses and are not allocated to our business segments. (See Note 10 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for financial information regarding our segments).

Similarly, when we evaluate the performance of our business as a whole, we focus on results (such as operating income, operating income growth, operating margin, net income, tax rate and diluted earnings per share) before non-core gains and charges because such non-core gains and charges are not a component of our ongoing income or expenses and/or may have a disproportionate positive or negative impact on the results of our ongoing underlying business operations and may drive behavior that does not ultimately maximize shareholder value. It should not be concluded from our presentation of non-core gains and charges that the items that result in non-core gains and charges will not occur in the future.

Other components of how we manage our business are free cash flow and net debt position :

We define free cash flow as net cash provided by operating activities minus capital expenditures and additions to computer software and other intangibles. Free cash flow measures our available cash flow for potential debt repayment, acquisitions, stock repurchases and additions to cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments. We believe free cash flow to be relevant and useful to our investors as this measure is used by our management in evaluating the funding available after supporting our ongoing business operations and our portfolio of product investments.

We define net debt position as cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities minus short-term debt and long-term debt. We believe net debt position to be relevant and useful to our investors as this measure is used by our management in evaluating our liquidity on a global consolidated basis.

Free cash flow and net debt position should not be considered as a substitute measure for net cash flows provided by operating activities, investing activities or financing activities, or cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities, short-term debt and long-term debt, respectively. Therefore, we believe it is important to view free cash flow and net debt position as complements to our consolidated statements of cash flows and consolidated balance sheets, respectively.

The adjustments discussed herein to our results as determined under generally accepted accounting principles in the United States (GAAP) are among the primary indicators management uses as a basis for our planning and forecasting of future periods, to allocate resources, to evaluate business performance and, as noted above, for compensation purposes. However, these financial measures (results before non-core gains and charges, free cash flow and net debt position) are not prepared in accordance with GAAP, and should not be considered in isolation or as a substitute for total revenue, operating income, operating income growth, operating margin, net income, tax rate, diluted earnings per share, net cash provided by operating activities, investing activities and financing activities, cash, cash equivalents, marketable securities, short-term debt and long-term debt prepared in accordance with GAAP. In addition, it should be noted that because not all companies calculate these financial measures similarly, or at all, the presentation of these financial measures is not likely to be comparable to measures of other companies.

See Results of Operations, below, for a discussion of our results reported on a GAAP basis.

Overview

Our discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005 are based upon our unaudited consolidated financial statements for those periods. The consolidated results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of results for the full year or any subsequent period. Our unaudited consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with

Table of Contents

the consolidated financial statements and related notes, and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations, which appear in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Total revenue and core revenue were the same for both the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, as there were no divestitures during these periods. Therefore, our discussion of our results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, references only our core revenue.

We manage and report our operations under the following two segments: United States (U.S.) and International.

The U.S. segment contributed 78% and 77% of our core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively; and

The International segment (which consists of operations in Canada, Europe, Asia Pacific and Latin America) contributed 22% and 23% of our core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

The unaudited financial statements of our subsidiaries outside the United States and Canada reflect a quarter ended February 28th to facilitate timely reporting of our unaudited consolidated financial results and financial position.

The following customer solution sets are sold in our segments:

Risk Management Solutions contributed 66% and 68% of our core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Sales & Marketing Solutions contributed 26% and 25% of our core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

E-Business Solutions contributed 6% and 5% of our core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Supply Management Solutions contributed 2% of core revenue for each of the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.

Our customer solution sets are discussed in greater detail in Item 1. Business of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

Within our Risk Management Solutions and our Sales & Marketing Solutions, we monitor the performance of our Traditional products and our Value-Added products.

Risk Management Solutions

Our Traditional Risk Management Solutions generally consist of reports derived from our database which our customers use primarily to make decisions about new credit applications. Our Traditional Risk Management Solutions constituted the following percentages of revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively:

80% and 82% of our Risk Management Solutions revenue; and

53% and 56% of our core revenue.

Our Value-Added Risk Management Solutions generally support automated decision-making and portfolio management through the use of scoring and integrated software solutions. Our Value-Added Risk Management Solutions constituted the following percentages of revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively:

20% and 18% of our Risk Management Solutions revenue; and

13% and 12% of our core revenue.

Table of Contents

Sales & Marketing Solutions

Our Traditional Sales & Marketing Solutions generally consist of marketing lists, labels and customized data files used by our customers in their direct mail and direct marketing activities. Our Traditional Sales & Marketing Solutions constituted the following percentages of revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively:

44% and 47% of our Sales & Marketing Solutions revenue; and

12% of our core revenue in each period.

Our Value-Added Sales & Marketing Solutions generally include decision-making and customer information management products. Our Value-Added Sales & Marketing Solutions constituted the following percentages of revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively:

56% and 53% of our Sales & Marketing Solutions revenue; and

14% and 13% of our core revenue.

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

In preparing our consolidated financial statements and accounting for the underlying transactions and balances reflected therein, we have applied the critical accounting policies described in Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we updated the following critical accounting policy as follows:

Stock-Based Awards

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R Share-Based Payment requiring the recognition of compensation expense in the income statement related to the fair value of our employee stock options. Determining the fair value of stock options at the grant date requires judgment, including estimating the expected term that stock options will be outstanding prior to exercise, the associated volatility and the expected dividends. Judgment is also required in estimating the amount of stock-based awards expected to be forfeited prior to vesting. For further detail on Stock-Based Awards, see Note 8 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Recently Issued Accounting Standards

See Note 2 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for disclosure of the impact that recently issued accounting standards will have on our unaudited consolidated financial statements.

Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon our unaudited consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction with the unaudited consolidated financial statements and related notes set forth in Item 1. of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, which have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America.

Consolidated Revenues

Our results are reported under the following two operating segments: United States (U.S.) and International for which separate financial information is available, and upon which operating results are evaluated on a timely basis to assess performance and to allocate resources.

Table of Contents

The following tables present our revenue by segment and our revenue by customer solution set for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Amounts in millions)	
Revenues by Segment:		
U.S.	\$ 286.0	\$ 263.2
International	81.2	78.1
Core Revenue	\$ 367.2	\$ 341.3

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Amounts in millions)	
Revenues by Customer Solution Set:		
Risk Management Solutions	\$ 243.9	\$ 232.1
Sales & Marketing Solutions	95.1	86.7
E-Business Solutions	20.6	15.6
Supply Management Solutions	7.6	6.9
Core Revenue	\$ 367.2	\$ 341.3

Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 vs. Three Months Ended March 31, 2005

Core revenue increased \$25.9 million, or 8% (9% increase before the effect of foreign exchange). The increase in core revenue was primarily driven by an increase in U.S. revenue of \$22.8 million, or 9%, and an increase in International revenue of \$3.1 million, or 4% (11% increase before the effect of foreign exchange).

This \$25.9 million increase is primarily attributed to:

growth in our Risk Management Solutions in the U.S. primarily related to (i) growth in each of our subscription plans for our Preferred Pricing Agreement and for our Preferred Pricing Agreement with DNBI, from existing customers willing to increase the level of business they do with us; and (ii) an increase in our Self Awareness Solutions, which allow our small business customers to establish, improve and protect their own credit;

pricing increases in our Italian real estate data business in response to local legislation in February 2005, which contributed approximately one percentage point of revenue growth;

growth in our Sales & Marketing Solutions in the U.S. primarily due to higher purchases; and

growth in our E-Business Solutions, representing the results of Hoover's, Inc. The increase was primarily due to continued growth in subscription revenue and increased advertising sales.

Customer Solution Sets

On a customer solution set basis, the \$25.9 million increase in core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 versus March 31, 2005 reflects:

an \$11.8 million, or 5%, increase in Risk Management Solutions (7% increase before the effect of foreign exchange). The increase was driven by growth in the U.S. of \$11.4 million, or 7%, and growth in International of \$0.4 million, or 1% (8% increase before the effect of foreign exchange);

Table of Contents

an \$8.4 million, or 10%, increase in Sales & Marketing Solutions (10% increase before the effect of foreign exchange). The increase was driven by growth in the U.S. of \$6.2 million, or 8%, and an increase in International of \$2.2 million, or 23% (30% increase before the effect of foreign exchange);

a \$5.0 million, or 33%, increase in E-Business Solutions (33% increase before the effect of foreign exchange). The increase was driven by growth in the U.S. of \$4.4 million, or 29%, and growth in International of \$0.6 million; and

a \$0.7 million, or 10%, increase in Supply Management Solutions (11% increase before the effect of foreign exchange). The increase was driven by growth in the U.S. of \$0.8 million, or 14%, partially offset by a decrease in International of \$0.1 million or 13% (5% decrease before the effect of foreign exchange).

Consolidated Operating Costs

The following table presents our consolidated operating costs and operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Amounts in millions)	
Operating Expenses	\$ 109.4	\$ 95.1
Selling and Administrative Expenses	158.9	155.2
Depreciation and Amortization	6.5	8.6
Restructuring Charge	6.4	10.4
Operating Costs	\$ 281.2	\$ 269.3

Operating expenses increased \$14.3 million, or 15%, for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to the following:

investments in our DUNSRight quality process and investments in DNBi, our interactive, web-based subscription service;

certain tax legislation in Italy which has increased the operating costs of our Italian real estate data business;

higher pension costs and lower postretirement benefit income (see below for further discussion); and

the effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R (see below for further discussion);

partially offset by

the impact of foreign exchange; and

improved efficiency and a reduction in the number of employees as a result of our process of continuous reengineering.

Selling and administrative expenses increased \$3.7 million, or 2%, for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was primarily due to the following:

additional costs related to revenue generating investments as well as additional variable costs (such as commissions and bonuses) incurred as a result of increased revenues;

higher pension costs and lower postretirement benefit income (see below for further discussion); and
the effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R (see below for further discussion);
partially offset by

Table of Contents

administrative cost savings, such as lower compensation costs achieved as a result of our process of continuous reengineering; and

the impact of foreign exchange.

As discussed above, operating and selling and administrative expenses were impacted by the following:

We had net pension cost of \$6.3 million and \$1.9 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The increase in cost was primarily driven by increased actuarial loss amortization included in 2006, a one-quarter-percentage-point decrease in the long-term rate of return assumption used in 2006 for our U.S. Qualified Plan and a one-quarter-percentage-point decrease in the discount rate applied to our U.S. plans.

We had postretirement benefit income of \$0.9 million and \$1.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The decrease in income was primarily due to a portion of the unrecognized prior service cost being recognized immediately in 2005 as a one-time curtailment gain as a result of the 2004 and 2005 Financial Flexibility Program, precluding income recognition in the 2006 comparable period. The curtailment gain is included within Restructuring Charges. We consider net pension income and postretirement benefit costs to be part of our compensation costs and, therefore, they are included in operating expenses and in selling and administrative expenses, based upon the classifications of the underlying compensation costs.

On January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS No. 123R, requiring the recognition of compensation cost on a straight-line basis over the vesting period for our stock options. We have selected the modified prospective method of transition and therefore, prior periods have not been restated. Prior to January 1, 2006, we applied APB No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations in accounting for our stock option programs. Accordingly, no compensation cost was recognized for grants under the stock option programs prior to January 1, 2006.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, we recognized expense of \$3.7 million associated with our option programs and \$0.3 million associated with our Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP). We expect total expense associated with our stock option programs and ESPP of approximately \$14 million in 2006. Additionally, we recognized expense associated with restricted stock, restricted stock unit and restricted stock opportunity of \$1.8 million and \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The lower expense in 2006 was primarily due to the forfeiture assumption required after January 1, 2006 in accordance with SFAS No. 123R, including a cumulative effective adjustment (to reflect adjustments to previously recognized compensation expense for awards outstanding at the adoption date of SFAS No. 123R that we do not expect to vest), as well as lower restricted stock opportunities awarded to employees in 2006. We consider these costs to be part of our compensation costs and, therefore, they are included in operating expenses and in selling and administrative expenses, based upon the classifications of the underlying compensation costs.

Depreciation and amortization decreased \$2.1 million or 24% for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. The decrease was largely driven by our business model changes which have enabled us to reduce the capital requirements of our business through continuous reengineering, leveraging partners in key markets and outsourcing capital intensive activities.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we recorded a \$4.6 million restructuring charge in connection with the Financial Flexibility Program announced in February 2006 (2006 Financial Flexibility Program), a \$2.0 million restructuring charge in connection with the Financial Flexibility Program announced in February 2005 (2005 Financial Flexibility Program) and a \$0.2 million net restructuring curtailment gain in connection with the Financial Flexibility Program announced in February 2004 (2004 Financial Flexibility Program). The restructuring charges were recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. The curtailment gain was recorded in

Table of Contents

accordance with SFAS 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions. The components of these charges and gains included:

severance and termination costs of \$4.6 million associated with approximately 50 positions related to the 2006 Financial Flexibility Program and \$1.7 million associated with approximately 25 positions related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program;

lease termination obligations, other costs to consolidate or close facilities and other exit costs of \$0.3 million related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program; and

curtailment gain of \$0.2 million related to the U.S. postretirement benefit plan resulting from employee termination actions for the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program. In accordance with SFAS No. 106, we were required to recognize immediately a pro-rata portion of the unrecognized prior service cost as a result of the employee terminations.

During the three months ended March 31, 2005, we recognized an \$8.2 million restructuring charge in connection with the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program and we recognized a \$2.2 million net restructuring charge primarily for the International Business Machines Corporation (IBM) outsourcing agreement in connection with the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program. The charges were recorded in accordance with SFAS No. 146, Accounting for Costs Associated with Exit or Disposal Activities. The components of these charges and gains included:

severance and termination costs of \$7.9 million associated with approximately 270 positions related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program and \$5.0 million associated with approximately 400 positions related to the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program;

lease termination obligations, other costs to consolidate or close facilities and other exit costs of \$0.3 million related to the 2005 Financial Flexibility Program; and

curtailment gain of \$2.8 million related to the U.S. postretirement benefit plan resulting from employee termination actions for the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program. In accordance with SFAS No. 106, we were required to recognize immediately a pro-rata portion of the unrecognized prior service cost as a result of the employee terminations.

Since the launch of our Blueprint for Growth Strategy, we have eliminated approximately 4,900 positions through March 31, 2006, which included 300 open positions and terminated (via attrition and termination) approximately 4,600 employees under our Financial Flexibility Programs since inception in October 2000. These figures include the 220 employees who were transitioned to IBM as part of the 2004 Financial Flexibility Program and the approximately 400 employees who were transitioned to Computer Sciences Corporation (CSC) as part of the 2002 Financial Flexibility Program. Under the terms of the CSC agreement, we outsourced certain technology functions in which approximately 400 of our employees who performed data center operations, technology help desk and network management functions in the United States and in the United Kingdom were transitioned to CSC.

Interest Income (Expense) Net

The following table presents our interest income (expense) for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Amounts in millions)	
Interest Income	\$ 2.7	\$ 2.8

Interest Expense		(5.4)	(5.3)
Interest Income (Expense) Net		\$ (2.7)	\$ (2.5)

Table of Contents

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, interest income decreased \$0.1 million and interest expense increased by \$0.1 million, compared with the three months ended March 31, 2005. The decrease in interest income was primarily due to lower interest bearing investments for the three months ended March 31, 2006, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005.

The increase in interest expense was primarily due to higher interest rates on the variable portion of our \$300 million fixed-rate notes that matured in March 2006. On March 14, 2006, we issued \$300 million in fixed rate notes maturing in March 2011 and bearing a lower rate of interest than the \$300 million in debt we then retired on March 15, 2006 using the proceeds of our recent issuance (see Note 4 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q).

Minority Interest

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, minority interest loss was approximately \$0.1 million which represented the minority owner's share of our estimated net income of our majority-owned Italian real estate data company, RIBES, S.p.A. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, minority interest income was approximately \$0.7 million which represented the minority owner's share of our estimated 2005 net loss of our majority-owned Italian real estate data company, RIBES, S.p.A.

Other (Expense) Income Net

The following table presents our Other (Expense) Income Net for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Amounts in millions)	
Miscellaneous Other (Expense) Income Net(a)	\$ (0.5)	\$ (0.2)
Total Other (Expense) Income Net	\$ (0.5)	\$ (0.2)

(a) Miscellaneous Other (Expense) Income Net increased for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared to three months ended March 31, 2005, primarily due to higher foreign currency transaction gains and lower bank fees, partially offset by higher dividends received on our equity investments.

Provision for Income Taxes

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, our effective tax rate was 37.9% as compared to 25.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2006, was positively impacted by 1.1 points for the benefit of a reduction in interest expense due to lower tax reserves, by 0.7 points for items permanently excluded for federal and state income tax purposes and by 0.6 points for other tax items.

The effective tax rate for the three months ended March 31, 2005 was 25.8% which was positively impacted by 12.9 points for foreign income taxes primarily related to the liquidation of dormant entities that remained after the sale of our divested businesses in the Nordic region (Sweden, Denmark, Norway and Finland) and by 1.6 points for global tax initiatives.

Equity in Net Income of Affiliates

We recorded \$0.1 million as Equity in Net Income of Affiliates for the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to \$0.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Table of Contents**Earnings per Share**

We reported earnings per share, or EPS, for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, as follows:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
Basic Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.77	\$ 0.76
Diluted Earnings Per Share	\$ 0.75	\$ 0.73

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, basic EPS increased 1% and diluted EPS increased 3%, compared with the three months ended March 31, 2005, primarily due to a 3% reduction in the weighted average number of basic shares outstanding as a result of our share repurchase programs. Our \$400 million, two-year share repurchase program was approved by our Board of Directors in February 2005. On January 31, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the addition of \$100 million to this program. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, we repurchased 1.3 million shares of common stock under this share repurchase program. In addition, the diluted earnings per share was impacted by our repurchases of 0.4 million shares of common stock to mitigate the dilutive effect of the shares issued under our stock incentive programs and ESPP.

Non-Core Gains and (Charges)

For internal management purposes, we treat certain gains and (charges) that are included in Consolidated Operating Costs, Other Income (Expense) Net and Provision for Income Taxes as non-core gains and (charges). These non-core gains and (charges) are summarized in the table below. We exclude non-core gains and (charges) when evaluating our financial performance because we do not consider these items to reflect our underlying business performance.

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
<i>Non-core gains and (charges) included in Consolidated Operating Costs:</i>		
Restructuring costs related to our Financial Flexibility Programs	\$ (6.4)	\$ (10.4)
<i>Non-core gains and (charges) included in Provision for Income Taxes:</i>		
Tax benefits recognized upon the liquidation of dormant international entities	\$	\$ 9.0
<i>(Provision) Benefit for Income Taxes:</i>		
Restructuring costs related to our Financial Flexibility Programs	\$ 2.2	\$ 3.3

Segment Results

Our results are reported under the following two segments: United States (U.S.) and International. The operating segments reported below, U.S. and International, are our segments for which separate financial information is available, and upon which operating results are evaluated on a timely basis to assess performance and to allocate resources.

United States

U.S. is our largest segment, representing 78% and 77% of core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Table of Contents

The following table presents U.S. revenue by customer solution set and U.S. operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Amounts in millions)	
Revenue:		
Risk Management Solutions	\$ 176.1	\$ 164.7
Sales & Marketing Solutions	83.6	77.4
E-Business Solutions	19.6	15.2
Supply Management Solutions	6.7	5.9
Core U.S. Revenue	\$ 286.0	\$ 263.2
Operating Income	\$ 103.7	\$ 98.1

Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 vs. Three Months Ended March 31, 2005*U.S. Overview*

U.S. core revenue increased \$22.8 million, or 9%, for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared with the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase reflects growth in all of our customer solution sets.

U.S. Customer Solution Sets

On a customer solution set basis, the \$22.8 million increase in U.S. core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 versus the three months ended March 31, 2005 reflects:

Risk Management Solutions

an \$11.4 million, or 7%, increase in Risk Management Solutions.

Traditional Risk Management Solutions, which accounted for 77% of total U.S. Risk Management Solutions, increased 4%. There were two main drivers of this growth:

continued growth of each of our Preferred Pricing Agreement and Preferred Pricing Agreement with DNBI subscription plans, from existing customers who are willing to increase the level of business they do with us. These subscription plans provide our customers with unlimited use of our Risk Management reports and data, within pre-defined ranges, provided such customers commit to an increased level of spend from their historical levels; and

our Self Awareness Solutions, which allow our small business customers to establish, improve and protect their own credit.

Value-Added Risk Management Solutions, which accounted for 23% of total U.S. Risk Management Solutions, increased 18%. The increase was primarily attributed to higher sales and the timing of completion of tailored customized solutions and services.

Sales & Marketing Solutions

a \$6.2 million, or 8%, increase in Sales & Marketing Solutions.

Traditional Sales & Marketing Solutions, which accounted for 43% of total U.S. Sales & Marketing Solutions, increased 1%. The increase in the Traditional Sales & Marketing Solutions reflects higher purchase commitments from our third party channels.

Table of Contents

Our Value-Added Sales & Marketing Solutions, which accounted for 57% of total U.S. Sales & Marketing Solutions, increased by 14%. The increase was primarily driven by higher purchases from our existing customers.

E-Business Solutions

a \$4.4 million, or 29%, increase in E-Business Solutions, representing continued strength in Hoover's subscription and advertising sales.

Supply Management Solutions

a \$0.8 million, or 14%, increase in Supply Management Solutions, on a small base, which includes six points of growth associated with our Open Ratings acquisition.

U.S. operating income for the three months ended March 31, 2006 was \$103.7 million, compared to \$98.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005, an increase of \$5.6 million, or 6%. The increase in operating income was primarily attributed to an increase in U.S. revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and the benefits of our reengineering efforts, partially offset by higher pension costs and lower postretirement benefit income, the effect of the adoption of SFAS No. 123R and the impact of increased costs associated with data purchases from our International segment.

International

International represented 22% and 23% of our core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The following table presents our International revenue by customer solution set and International operating income:

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2006	2005
	(Amounts in millions)	
Revenue:		
Risk Management Solutions	\$ 67.8	\$ 67.4
Sales & Marketing Solutions	11.5	9.3
E-Business Solutions	1.0	0.4
Supply Management Solutions	0.9	1.0
Core International Revenue	\$ 81.2	\$ 78.1
Operating Income	\$ 8.7	\$ 1.9

*Three Months Ended March 31, 2006 vs. Three Months Ended March 31, 2005**International Overview*

International core revenue increased \$3.1 million, or 4% (11% increase before the effect of foreign exchange), for the three months ended March 31, 2006, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005 due, in part, to poor operating performance in the first quarter of 2005. The increase is primarily a result of:

our Italian real estate data business, which contributed three percentage points of revenue growth, mainly due to a price increase in February 2005; and

an increase in revenue from each of our United Kingdom (UK) and Asia Pacific markets. The increase in revenue in the UK is due, in part, to poor operating performance in the first quarter of 2005;

Table of Contents

partially offset by:

the negative impact of foreign exchange.

International Customer Solution Sets

On a customer solution set basis, the \$3.1 million increase in International core revenue for the three months ended March 31, 2006, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005 reflects:

Risk Management Solutions

Risk Management Solutions increased \$0.4 million, or 1% (8% increase before the effect of foreign exchange), reflecting:

Traditional Risk Management Solutions, which accounted for 89% of International Risk Management Solutions, decreased approximately 1% (6% increase before the effect of foreign exchange), reflecting six points of growth from our Italian real estate data price increase in February 2005.

Value-Added Risk Management Solutions, which accounted for 11% of International Risk Management Solutions, increased approximately 20% (22% increase before the effect of foreign exchange) driven mainly by higher-value project-oriented business in our UK market.

Sales & Marketing Solutions

Sales & Marketing Solutions increased \$2.2 million, or 23% (30% increase before the effect of foreign exchange), reflecting:

Traditional Sales & Marketing Solutions, which accounted for 53% of International Sales & Marketing Solutions, increased approximately 24% (31% increase before the effect of foreign exchange), reflecting increased purchases in our UK market resulting from larger customer commitments made in the fourth quarter of 2005 and a lower rate of cancellations in the first quarter of 2006 as compared to the prior year period.

Value-Added Sales & Marketing Solutions, which accounted for 47% of International Sales & Marketing Solutions, increased approximately 22% (30% increase before the effect of foreign exchange) primarily attributed to a shift in the timing of a customer renewal from the fourth quarter of 2005 into the first quarter of 2006 and an increase in purchases by customers in our Asia Pacific market.

E-Business Solutions

a \$0.6 million increase in E-Business Solutions, from \$0.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005 to \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. The increase is primarily attributed to increased market penetration of our Hoover's solutions to customers in Europe.

Supply Management Solutions

a \$0.1 million, or 13% decrease, in Supply Management Solutions (5% decrease before the effect of foreign exchange).

International operating income increased \$6.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006, as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005, primarily due to:

an increase in core revenue;

the benefits of our prior reengineering efforts which improved our efficiency; and

data sales to our U.S. segment, as referenced earlier;

Table of Contents

partially offset by:

investments in our DUNSRight quality process.

Certain additional factors affecting International create particular challenges to our international business. For example:

Governmental agencies, which may seek, from time to time, to increase the fees or taxes that we must pay to acquire, use and/or redistribute data. For example:

During the first quarter of 2005, regulations implementing new tax legislation became effective in Italy that significantly increased data acquisition costs for our Italian real estate data business and required that we pay a fee each time we resell that data. In response to this, we instituted a combination of price increases to our customers and reengineering efforts. As a result, both our revenue and our operating costs increased, without a material impact to our operating income. We believe that aspects of the regulations are illegal and, therefore, are challenging them in court and with anti-trust authorities. We cannot predict the outcome of these efforts.

In addition, in the first quarter of 2006, the Italian government enacted legislation to further regulate the reuse of other public data that we currently use to support our Italian Risk Management Solutions business. Based on our assessment of the legislation and discussions with relevant authorities, we currently believe that this legislation will not have a material impact on our revenue, operating expenses, financial condition or results of operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, including statements contained in filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission, in reports to shareholders and in press releases and investor Webcasts. These forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words like anticipates, aspirations, believes, continues, estimates, expects, goals, guidance, intends, plans, targets, will and other words of similar meaning. They can also be identified by the fact that they do not relate strictly to historical or current facts.

We cannot guarantee that any forward-looking statement will be realized. Achievement of future results is subject to risks, uncertainties and inaccurate assumptions. Should known or unknown risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove inaccurate, actual results could vary materially from those anticipated, estimated or projected. Investors should bear this in mind as they consider forward-looking statements and whether to invest in, or remain invested in, our securities. In connection with the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, we are identifying in the following paragraphs important factors that, individually or in the aggregate, could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements made by us; any such statement is qualified by reference to the following cautionary statements.

The following important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those projected in such forward-looking statements:

We rely significantly on third parties to support critical components of our business model in a continuous and high quality manner, including third party data providers, strategic partners in our WorldWide network, and outsourcing partners;

Demand for our products is subject to intense competition, changes in customer preferences and, to a lesser extent, economic conditions which impact customer behavior;

The profitability of our International segment depends on our ability to identify and execute on various initiatives, such as the implementation of subscription plan pricing and successfully managing our

Table of Contents

WorldWide Network, and to identify and contend with various challenges present in foreign markets, such as local competition and the availability of public records at no cost;

Our ability to renew large contracts and the timing thereof may impact our results of operations from period to period;

Our results, including operating income, are subject to the effects of foreign economies, exchange rate fluctuations and U.S. and foreign legislative or regulatory requirements, and the adoption of new or changes in accounting policies and practices, including pronouncements by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or other standard setting bodies;

Our solutions and brand image are dependent upon the integrity of our global database and the continued availability thereof through the internet and by other means, as well as our ability to protect key assets, such as data center capacity;

We are involved in various tax matters and legal proceedings, the outcomes of which are unknown and uncertain with respect to the impact on our cash flow and profitability;

Our ability to successfully implement our Blueprint for Growth Strategy requires that we successfully reduce our expense base through our Financial Flexibility Program, and reallocate certain of the expense base reductions into initiatives that produce desired revenue growth;

Our future success requires that we attract and retain qualified personnel in regions throughout the world;

Our ability to repurchase shares is subject to market conditions, including trading volume in our common stock, and our ability to repurchase securities in accordance with applicable securities laws;

Our projection for free cash flow in 2006 is dependent upon our ability to generate revenue, our collection processes, customer payment patterns, the amount and timing of payments related to the tax and other matters and legal proceedings in which we are involved, and the timing and volume of stock option exercises; and

Our ability to acquire and successfully integrate other complimentary businesses, products and technologies into our existing business, without significant disruption to our existing business or to our financial results.

We elaborate on the above list of important factors in our other filings with the SEC, particularly in the discussion of our Risk Factors in Item 1A. of our Annual Report on the Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005. It should be understood that it is not possible to predict or identify all risk factors. Consequently, the above list of important factors and the Risk Factors discussed in our Annual Report on the Form 10-K should not be considered to be a complete discussion of all of our potential trends, risks and uncertainties. Except as otherwise required by federal securities laws, we do not undertake to update any forward-looking statement we may make from time to time.

Liquidity and Financial Position

In accordance with our Blueprint for Growth strategy, we have used our cash for three primary purposes: investing in the current business, acquisitions as appropriate, and our share repurchase programs, as approved by our Board of Directors.

We believe that cash provided by operating activities, supplemented as needed with readily available financing arrangements, is sufficient to meet our short-term and long-term needs, including the cash costs of our restructuring charges, transition costs, contractual obligations and contingencies (see Note 7 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q), excluding the legal matters identified therein for which exposures are not estimable. In addition, on March 15, 2006 we refinanced our then outstanding \$300 million senior fixed-rate notes (see Note 4 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on

Form 10-Q). We have the ability to

Table of Contents

access the short-term borrowings market from time to time to fund our working capital needs, acquisitions and share repurchases, if needed. Such borrowings would be supported by our bank credit facilities, if needed.

Cash Provided by Operating Activities

Net cash provided by operating activities decreased by \$30.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2005. This decline was driven by an increase in our Other Non-Current Assets from prior year levels primarily for a deposit with the IRS related to legacy tax matters in order to stop the accrual of statutory interest on potential tax deficiencies. See Note 7 Contingencies (Tax Matters) to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q. In addition, the implementation of SFAS No. 123R required the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the tax impact of recognized compensation expense to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow. This requirement reduced net operating cash flows and increased net financing cash flows by \$18.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006. Included in the \$18.1 million, was \$8.5 million associated with the exercise of 0.4 million of Moody's stock options. This effect will be partially offset by lower tax payments in the second quarter of 2006.

Cash Used in Investing Activities

Our business is not capital-intensive, and most of our spending to grow the business is funded by operating cash flow. As a result of our Financial Flexibility Programs, we have sold non-core businesses and real estate assets. Proceeds from these sales have partially (or in some cases, fully) offset our capital expenditures and additions to computer software and other intangibles.

Net cash used in investing activities totaled \$7.8 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006, compared with net cash in investing activities of \$39.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. This change primarily relates to the following activities:

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we acquired Open Ratings for approximately \$8.0 million, inclusive of cash acquired of \$0.4 million, funded with cash on hand. See Note 12 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for further details.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we had \$6.2 million of net redemptions in short-term marketable securities, as compared to \$48.2 million during the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Investments in total capital expenditures, including computer software and other intangibles, were \$5.7 million in the three months ended March 31, 2006 and \$4.6 million in the three months ended March 31, 2005. Such investments were primarily in the U.S. segment for our investments in DNB*i*, our interactive, web-based subscription service.

Cash settlements of our foreign currency contracts for our hedged transactions resulted in a \$0.2 million outflow during the three months ended March 31, 2006 as compared to a \$1.9 million outflow during the three months ended March 31, 2005.

Cash Used in Financing Activities

Net cash used in financing activities was \$102.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006 and \$65.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006 and 2005, cash used in financing activities was largely attributable to the purchase of treasury shares. For the three months ended March 31, 2006, we repurchased 0.4 million shares of common stock for \$30.6 million to mitigate the dilutive effect of the shares issued under our stock incentive programs and ESPP. Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2006, we repurchased 1.3 million shares of common stock for \$91.9 million related to a previously announced \$400 million, two-year share repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors in February, 2005. On

Table of Contents

January 31, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the addition of \$100 million to this program. For the three months ended March 31, 2005, we repurchased 0.6 million shares of common stock for \$35.0 million to mitigate the dilutive effect of the shares issued under our stock incentive programs and ESPP. Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2005, we repurchased 0.6 million shares of common stock for \$39.2 million related to the share repurchase program.

For the three months ended March 31, 2006, net proceeds from our stock-based awards were \$20.0 million, compared with \$8.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2005. The increase was driven by increased stock option exercise activity during the three months ended March 31, 2006.

In addition, the implementation of SFAS No. 123R, effective January 1, 2006, requires the benefits of tax deductions in excess of the tax impact of recognized compensation expense to be reported as a financing cash flow, rather than as an operating cash flow. This requirement reduced net operating cash flows and increased net financing cash flows by \$18.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2006.

As part of our spin-off from Moody's/D&B2 in 2000, we entered into a Tax Allocation Agreement dated as of September 30, 2000 (the "TAA"). Under the TAA, Moody's/D&B2 and D&B agreed that Moody's/D&B2 would be entitled to deduct compensation expense associated with the exercise of Moody's/D&B2 stock options (including Moody's/D&B2 options exercised by D&B employees) and D&B would be entitled to deduct the compensation expense associated with the exercise of D&B stock options (including D&B options exercised by employees of Moody's/D&B2). Put simply, the tax deduction goes to the issuing company of the stock option. The TAA provides, however, that if the IRS issues rules, regulations or other authority contrary to the agreed upon treatment of the tax deductions under the TAA, then the party that then becomes entitled to take the deduction may be required to indemnify the other party for the loss of such deduction. The IRS issued rulings discussing an employer's entitlement to stock option deductions after a spin-off or liquidation that require that that tax deduction belongs to the employer of the optionee and not the issuer of the option. (i.e. D&B would be entitled to deduct compensation expense associated with a D&B employee exercising a Moody's/D&B2 option). During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we made a payment of approximately \$20.9 million to Moody's/D&B2 under the TAA which was fully accrued as of December 31, 2005.

In March 2006, we issued senior notes with a face value of \$300 million that mature on March 14, 2011 bearing interest at a fixed annual rate of 5.50%, payable semi-annually. The proceeds were used to repay our existing \$300 million notes which matured on March 15, 2006. The senior fixed rate notes maturing in 2011 are recorded as Long-Term Debt in our consolidated balance sheet at March 31, 2006. The 2011 note imposes certain limitations on the Company such as liens, sale and leasebacks, consolidation, merger and sale of assets. The 2011 note does not contain any financial covenants.

During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we had a total of \$300 million of bank credit facilities available at prevailing short-term interest rates, which will expire in September 2009. These facilities also support our commercial paper borrowings up to \$300 million. We have not drawn on the facilities and we did not have any borrowings outstanding under these facilities at March 31, 2006 or 2005. We also have not borrowed under our commercial paper program in 2006. The facilities require the maintenance of interest coverage and total debt to EBITDA ratios (each as defined in the agreement). We were in compliance with these requirements at March 31, 2006 and 2005. We believe that cash flows generated from operations, supplemented as needed with readily available financing arrangements, are sufficient to meet our short-term and long-term needs, including any payments that may be required in connection with our Financial Flexibility Program restructuring charges discussed in Note 3 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, to meet commitments and contractual obligations as explained in more detail in Note 12 of our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005, and to settle the contingencies discussed in Note 7 to our unaudited consolidated financial statements included in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q, excluding the matters identified therein for which the exposures are not estimable.

At March 31, 2006 and December 31, 2005, certain of our international operations also had non-committed lines of credit of \$17.4 million and \$17.2 million, respectively. We had borrowings of \$0.5 million outstanding under these lines of credit at March 31, 2006 and there were no borrowings outstanding at

Table of Contents

December 31, 2005. These arrangements have no material commitment fees or compensating balance requirements.

On September 30, 2005 and February 10, 2006, we entered into interest rate derivative transactions with aggregate notional amounts of \$200 million and \$100 million, respectively. The objective of these hedges was to mitigate the variability of future cash flows from market changes in treasury rates in the anticipation of a future debt issuance during the first half of 2006. These transactions were accounted for as a cash flow hedge, and, as such, changes in fair value of the related swap that took place through the date of debt issuance were recorded in Accumulated Other Comprehensive income. In connection with the issuance of our \$300 million fixed-rate notes maturing in 2011, these interest rate transactions were terminated resulting in proceeds of \$5.0 million. The proceeds are recorded in Other Comprehensive Income and will be amortized over the life of the \$300 million notes due on March 14, 2011.

Future Liquidity Sources and Uses of Funds***Share Repurchases and Dividends***

On January 31, 2006, our Board of Directors approved the addition of \$100 million to our existing \$400 million, two-year share repurchase program. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we repurchased 1.3 million shares of common stock for \$91.9 million. We believe that we will repurchase the remaining \$208.1 million under this program by December 31, 2006, subject to market and other conditions beyond our control.

We also intend to continue to repurchase shares, subject to volume limitations, to offset the dilutive effect of the shares issued under our stock incentive programs and ESPP. During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we repurchased 0.4 million shares of common stock for \$30.6 million, which was partially offset by \$20.0 million proceeds from employees related to the stock incentive programs.

Spin-off Obligation

As part of our spin-off from Moody's/D&B2 in 2000, we entered into a Tax Allocation Agreement dated as of September 30, 2000 (the TAA). Under the TAA, Moody's/D&B2 and D&B agreed that Moody's/D&B2 would be entitled to deduct compensation expense associated with the exercise of Moody's/D&B2 stock options (including Moody's/D&B2 options exercised by D&B employees) and D&B would be entitled to deduct the compensation expense associated with the exercise of D&B stock options (including D&B options exercised by employees of Moody's/D&B2). Put simply, the tax deduction goes to the issuing company of the stock option. The TAA provides, however, that if the IRS issues rules, regulations or other authority contrary to the agreed upon treatment of the tax deductions under TAA, then the party that then becomes entitled to take the deduction may be required to indemnify the other party for the loss of such deduction. The IRS issued rulings discussing an employer's entitlement to stock option deductions after a spin-off or liquidation that require that that tax deduction belongs to the employer of the optionee and not the issuer of the option. (i.e. D&B would be entitled to deduct compensation expense associated with a D&B employee exercising a Moody's/D&B2 option). During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we made a payment of approximately \$20.9 million to Moody's/D&B2 under the TAA which was fully accrued as of December 31, 2005. In addition, under the TAA, we received the benefit of additional tax deductions and we may be required to reimburse Moody's/D&B2 for the loss of income tax deductions relating to 2002 and the first quarter of 2006 of approximately \$21.6 million in the aggregate. This potential reimbursement is a reduction to shareholders equity. We may also be required to pay additional amounts in the future based upon interpretations by the parties of the TAA, timing of future exercises of options, the future price of the stock underlying the stock options and relevant tax rates.

As of March 31, 2006, current and former employees of D&B held 1.7 million Moody's stock options. These stock options had a weighted average exercise price of \$11.15 and a remaining contractual life ranging from one to four years as of March 31, 2006. All of these options are currently exercisable.

Table of Contents***Potential Payments in Settlement of Tax and Legal Matters***

We and our predecessors are involved in certain tax and legal proceedings, claims and litigation arising in the ordinary course of business. These matters are at various stages of resolution, but could ultimately result in cash payments in the amounts described in Note 7 Contingencies (Legal Proceedings) in this Quarterly Form 10-Q, as well, as payments, the amount of which cannot be determined at the present time. We believe we have adequate reserves recorded in our consolidated financial statements for our share of current exposures in these matters.

Item 3. *Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk*

Our market risks primarily consist of the impact of changes in currency exchange rates on assets and liabilities, the impact of changes in the market value of certain of our investments and the impact of changes in interest rates. Our 2005 consolidated financial statements included in Item 7a. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk of our Annual Report on Form 10-K provide a more detailed discussion of the market risks affecting operations. As of March 31, 2006, no material change had occurred in our market risks, compared with the disclosure in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ending December 31, 2005.

Item 4. *Controls and Procedures.***Evaluation of Disclosure Controls**

We evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (Disclosure Controls) as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), as of the end of the period covered by this report. This evaluation (Controls Evaluation) was done with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO).

Disclosure Controls are controls and other procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by us in the reports that we file or submit under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our CEO and CFO, does not expect that our Disclosure Controls or our internal control over financial reporting will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance that the objectives of a control system are met. Further, any control system reflects limitations on resources, and the benefits of a control system must be considered relative to its costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within D&B have been detected. Judgments in decision-making can be faulty and breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by individual acts, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override. A design of a control system is also based upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and may not be detected. Our Disclosure Controls are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives.

Table of Contents**Conclusions regarding Disclosure Controls**

Based upon our Controls Evaluation, our CEO and CFO have concluded that as of the end of the quarter ended March 31, 2006, our Disclosure Controls are effective at a reasonable assurance level.

Change in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There was no change in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the first quarter of 2006 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION**Item 1. Legal Proceedings**

Information in response to this Item is included in Part I Item I Note 7 Contingencies and is incorporated by reference into Part II of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds**ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

The following table provides information about purchases made by or on behalf during the quarter ended March 31, 2006 of shares of equity that are registered by the Company pursuant to Section 12 of the Exchange Act:

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased(a)	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs(a)	Maximum	Approximate
				Number of Currently Authorized Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(b)	Dollar Value of Currently Authorized Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs(b)
(Amounts in millions, except per share data)					
January 1-31, 2006	0.3	\$ 71.81	0.3		\$
February 1-28, 2006	0.6	\$ 71.59	0.6		
March 1-31, 2006	0.8	\$ 74.05	0.8		
Quarter Ended March 31, 2006	1.7	\$ 72.80	1.7	2.3	\$ 208.1

- (a) During the three months ended March 31, 2006, we repurchased 0.4 million shares of common stock for \$30.6 million to mitigate the dilutive effect of the shares issued under our stock incentive programs and Employee Stock Purchase Plan. This program was announced in July 2003 and expires in September 2006. The maximum amount authorized under the program is 6.0 million shares. Additionally, during the three months ended March 31, 2006, we repurchased 1.3 million shares of common stock for \$91.9 million related to a previously announced two-year share repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors in February 2005.

This program expires in February 2007.

- (b) Excludes shares that may be purchased under our \$400 million, two-year share repurchase program approved by our Board of Directors and announced in February 2005. In January 2006, our Board of Directors approved the addition of \$100 million to our existing \$400 million, two-year share repurchase program, which was announced in February 2005, and \$291.9 million was repurchased through March 31, 2006. The total program expires in February 2007.

Table of Contents**Item 5. Other Information*****Form of Detrimental Conduct Agreement***

We have a detrimental conduct program under which employees who received equity-based awards are required to sign an agreement upon receipt of such awards. This agreement requires employees to return a portion of the amounts received pursuant to such awards if, during their employment and for one year thereafter (two years in the case of executive officers on the global leadership team), they engage in detrimental conduct, which includes working for a competitor, disclosing confidential information and acting otherwise than in the interests of the Company. We periodically review and revise our Form of Detrimental Conduct Agreement and we have included our current Form of Detrimental Conduct Agreement as an Exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Executive Retirement Plan of the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation

In May 2006, we approved The Executive Retirement Plan of the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation, a non-qualified plan, which provides certain retirement income benefits to certain of our executive officers. This plan is similar to our existing Supplemental Executive Plan, which applies to other executive officers. Retirement income benefits are just one element of our compensation program for executive officers. A description of our compensation program elements can be found in our Proxy Statement filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 23, 2006 (the Proxy) and in future Proxy Statement filings with the SEC. We have included The Executive Retirement Plan of the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation as an Exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Re-Approval of the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Covered Employee Cash Incentive Plan

At our Annual Meeting of Shareholders held on May 2, 2006, shareholders voted upon and re-approved our Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Covered Employee Cash Incentive Plan (the Plan). The Board of Directors previously adopted the Plan on October 18, 2000, which provides for annual performance-based bonuses to executive officers whose compensation may be subject to Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the Tax Code). The Plan was initially approved by shareholders at the 2001 Annual Meeting of Shareholders on April 27, 2001.

The Tax Code requires resubmission of the Plan to shareholders for re-approval within five years of initial approval to ensure that compensation awarded under the plan can continue to qualify as tax deductible performance-based compensation under Section 162(m) of the Tax Code. No changes were proposed to the Plan in connection with the re-approval thereof. Additional information concerning the re-approval of the Plan was previously reported (as defined in Rule 12b-2 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) in the Proxy, and we have included the Plan as an Exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Forms of Change in Control Severance Agreements

Pursuant to the terms of change in control severance agreements with the Company, the Executive Officers (as set forth in our Proxy) who are direct reports to our Chairman & CEO, will be provided certain benefits upon actual or constructive termination of employment in the event of a potential change in control or change in control of the Company. If, following a potential change in control or change in control, the executive is terminated other than for cause or by reason of death, disability or normal retirement, or the executive terminates employment for good reason (generally, an unfavorable change in employment status, compensation or benefits or a required relocation), the executive shall be entitled to receive: (i) a lump-sum payment equal to three times the sum of salary plus the annual target bonus then in effect; (ii) continuation of welfare benefits and certain perquisites for three years; (iii) retiree medical and life insurance benefits starting at age 55; (iv) outplacement consulting in the amount of 20% of the sum of salary plus the annual target bonus then in effect, but not exceeding \$100,000; (v) immediate vesting of certain entitlements; (vi) a prorated annual target bonus for the year in which the change in control occurs and a full target bonus for all other bonus plans in effect at the time of termination; and (vii) payment of any excise taxes due in respect of the foregoing benefits. Regarding all other members of the global leadership team, if, following a potential

Table of Contents

change in control or change in control, the executive is terminated other than for cause or by reason of death, disability or normal retirement, or the executive terminates employment for good reason (generally, an unfavorable change in employment status, compensation or benefits or a required relocation), the executive shall be entitled to receive the same benefits as the direct report executive officers, as described above, except that: (i) the lump-sum payment will be equal to two times the sum of salary plus the annual target bonus then in effect; (ii) the continuation of welfare benefits and certain perquisites will be for only two years; and (iii) outplacement consulting will be in the amount of 15% of the sum salary plus annual target bonus then in effect, but not exceeding \$50,000. We periodically review and revise our Forms of Change in Control Severance Agreements and we have included our current Forms of Change in Control Severance Agreements as an Exhibit to this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.

Item 6. Exhibits

+Exhibit 10.1 Form of Detrimental Conduct Agreement.

+Exhibit 10.2 Executive Retirement Plan of the Dun & Bradstreet Corporation.

+Exhibit 10.3 The Dun & Bradstreet Corporation Covered Employee Cash Incentive Plan.

+Exhibit 10.4 Forms of Change in Control Severance Agreements.

Exhibit 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a)/15(d)-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Exhibit 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

+ Represents a management contract or compensatory plan.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

THE DUN & BRADSTREET CORPORATION

By: /s/ Sara Mathew

Sara Mathew
Chief Financial Officer

Date: May 5, 2006

By: /s/ Anastasios G. Konidaris

Anastasios G. Konidaris
Principal Accounting Officer

Date: May 5, 2006