

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP
Form 10-K
February 27, 2013

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

Form 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to Commission File Number 1-12486

Associated Estates Realty Corporation
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

OHIO
(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

34-1747603
(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

1 AEC Parkway, Richmond Heights, Ohio 44143-1550
(Address of principal executive offices)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code (216) 261-5000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| TITLE OF EACH CLASS | NAME OF EACH EXCHANGE ON WHICH REGISTERED |
|----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|
| Common Shares, without par value | New York Stock Exchange, Inc. Nasdaq Global Market |

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.
Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (subsection 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company)
Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The aggregate market value of the voting stock held by non-affiliates of the Registrant was \$705.8 million as of June 30, 2012.

The number of Common Shares outstanding as of February 12, 2013 was 50,186,951.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE (To the Extent Indicated Herein).

Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2013 (in Part III).

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
 TABLE OF CONTENTS
 FORM 10-K ANNUAL REPORT
 FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2012

| Item | PART I | Page |
|------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 1. | <u>Business</u> | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>General</u> | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>Business Segments</u> | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>Operating Strategy and Business Objectives</u> | <u>3</u> |
| | <u>Acquisition/Disposition</u> | <u>4</u> |
| | <u>Development</u> | <u>4</u> |
| | <u>Property Operations</u> | <u>4</u> |
| | <u>Financing and Capital</u> | <u>5</u> |
| | <u>General Contractor/Construction</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Income Taxes</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Competitive Conditions</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Customers</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Employees</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Environmental Considerations</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Available Information</u> | <u>6</u> |
| | <u>Reports to Security Holders</u> | <u>6</u> |
| 1A. | <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>6</u> |
| 1B. | <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>11</u> |
| 2. | <u>Properties</u> | <u>12</u> |
| | <u>Our Portfolio</u> | <u>12</u> |
| | <u>Indebtedness Encumbering the Properties</u> | <u>15</u> |
| 3. | <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>15</u> |
| 4. | <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>15</u> |
| | PART II | |
| 5. | <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters</u> <u>and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>16</u> |
| 6. | <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>18</u> |
| 7. | <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial</u> <u>Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>22</u> |
| 7A. | <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>35</u> |
| 8. | <u>Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>35</u> |
| 9. | <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants</u> <u>on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>35</u> |
| 9A. | <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>36</u> |
| 9B. | <u>Other Information</u> | <u>36</u> |
| | PART III | |
| 10. | <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>37</u> |
| 11. | <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>40</u> |

| | | |
|-----|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 12. | <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters</u> | <u>40</u> |
| 13. | <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence</u> | <u>41</u> |
| 14. | <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>41</u> |

PART IV

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|
| 15. | <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>42</u> |
|-----|---------------------------------------------------|-----------|

2

PART I

Except as the context otherwise requires, all references to "we," "our," "us" and the "Company" in this report collectively refer to Associated Estates Realty Corporation ("AERC") and its consolidated subsidiaries.

Item 1. Business

GENERAL

We are a fully integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity real estate investment trust ("REIT"). We are publicly traded on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") and the Nasdaq Global Market ("NASDAQ") under the ticker symbol "AEC." Our headquarters, located at 1 AEC Parkway in Richmond Heights, Ohio, is comprised of one office building of approximately 42,000 square feet and two adjacent parcels of land containing approximately 1.1 and 3.0 acres, respectively, all of which are suitable for further development or expansion and all of which we own under a long-term ground lease.

We specialize in multifamily ownership, operation, acquisition, development, construction, disposition and property management activities. We own a taxable REIT subsidiary that performs general contracting and construction services for our own account in connection with the development of multifamily properties that we own and operate. This taxable REIT subsidiary also previously performed construction services for third parties. In 2011, we decided to exit the third party construction services business and our work under all third party construction contracts was substantially completed as of December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2012, our operating property portfolio consisted of 52 owned apartment communities containing 13,950 units in ten states. See Item 2 for a state-by-state listing of our portfolio. Additionally, in May 2012, in conjunction with our acquisition of land for development of an apartment community, we acquired an office building in Los Angeles, California containing approximately 78,800 total square feet of office and retail space. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all subsidiaries, including the taxable REIT subsidiary, which is separately taxed for federal income tax purposes as a Taxable REIT Subsidiary ("TRS") under the REIT Modernization Act ("RMA") implemented in 1999. Our consolidated financial statements also include a partnership in which we own a 90.0% equity interest, a partnership in which we own a 97.0% equity interest and an Operating Partnership structured as a DownREIT that owns one of our apartment communities in Florida and in which we own 97.6% equity interest.

BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Substantially all of our properties are multifamily communities that have similar characteristics. Management evaluates the performance of our properties on an individual basis. Our multifamily properties provided approximately 99.4% of our consolidated revenue for 2012; as a result, we determined that we have only one reportable segment, which is multifamily properties. Our subsidiary, Merit Enterprises, Inc. ("Merit"), is a general contractor that acts as our in-house construction division. Merit also formerly provided general contracting and construction management services to third parties. We previously reported construction and other services as a separate segment; however, we are no longer engaged in the third party construction business and all third party projects were substantially completed at the end of 2011. We intend to continue to provide general contracting and construction services for our own account in connection with the development of multifamily properties we will own and operate.

OPERATING STRATEGY AND BUSINESS OBJECTIVES

See Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this report on Form 10-K for additional discussion of our 2013 outlook and strategy.

Acquisition/Disposition. Our acquisition/disposition strategy in recent years has been to buy properties located outside of the Midwest and to sell properties where market conditions are such that the reinvestment of cash proceeds derived from a sale are expected to provide, over time, a significantly greater return on equity, an increase in cash flow or further enhance our strategic objectives. In 2012, we acquired four properties for an approximate total purchase price of approximately \$162.6 million. Three of the properties, totaling 760 units, are located in the Raleigh/Durham submarket and the fourth is a 396-unit property located in Dallas, Texas. In addition, in 2012, we acquired land for development of an apartment community in Los Angeles, California that also includes a building containing approximately 78,800 total square feet of office and retail space. The aggregate purchase price for the land and building was approximately \$37.3 million. In 2012, we sold six properties containing 1,356 units, one in Georgia, one in Central Ohio and four in Western Michigan for a combined sales price of approximately \$67.3 million. We continue to monitor acquisition opportunities in our existing markets, in particular Southeast Florida and Dallas, Texas. We have also identified Southern California as a strategic growth market for acquisition and development. We will consider opportunistic acquisition and development opportunities in other markets.

While most of our property sales have been comprised of Midwest and single property locations, we continually monitor the profitability of all of our properties. We will consider opportunistic sales of properties in any market, including our targeted growth markets, if we determine that the proceeds from such sales would provide a greater return on equity and increased cash flow when invested in other properties, used to fund development or applied to reduce debt.

During the three years ended December 31, 2012, we acquired 11 multifamily properties containing a total of 3,346 units for an aggregate total of approximately \$554 million and we have sold 10 properties containing a total of 2,370 units for an aggregate of approximately \$132 million.

Development. We intend to continue to execute our growth strategy by the development and construction of new properties. In 2012, we completed a new development property in Nashville, Tennessee comprising of 242 units. Additionally, during 2012, we acquired one parcel of land in Los Angeles, California, where we are pursuing development approvals and one parcel in Bethesda, Maryland for which we have received development approvals to build 140 apartment units and 7,000 square feet of ground floor retail space and where construction has commenced. During 2011, we acquired two parcels of land in Dallas, Texas. One of the two new communities will be located adjacent to our existing San Raphael property in North Dallas. We commenced development of this property during 2012. Our other Dallas land parcel is located in the Turtle Creek section adjacent to downtown Dallas and we have received development approvals to build 249 apartment units. See Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

Property Operations. We operate in many different markets and submarkets. Each of these markets may have characteristics that differ and, as a result, the degree to which we can increase rents varies between markets. However, our goal is to maximize property net operating income in all of our markets through a combination of increasing rents, maintaining occupancy levels and aggressively managing controllable operating expenses. Strategies to increase revenues include constant monitoring of our markets and submarkets, providing superior resident service and creating highly desirable communities in which to live. We leverage the power of the Internet through enhanced property websites and resident portals and by implementing resident billing programs for utilities and refuse collections. We use LRO™, a rental revenue software product that provides comprehensive submarket based statistical data to assist in maximizing rental revenue while remaining market competitive. We combine this data with our proprietary market knowledge and experience in our effort to maximize rental revenues and maintain high occupancy levels. We expect LRO™ to continue to assist us in generating long term rent growth with daily, incremental rent changes. Our AEC Academy for Career Development provides training and support for our employees, which help us provide better trained, quality personnel at our communities while minimizing employee turnover. We aggressively manage controllable operating expenses through strategies such as utilizing centralized purchasing contracts benefiting multiple properties and through diligent upkeep and regular maintenance at our apartment communities.

Financing and Capital. Proceeds received from new debt, debt refinancings, property sales or equity issuances are invested based upon the expected return and the impact on our balance sheet. Increasing both our coverage ratios and the number of unencumbered assets have been two of our principal objectives. During the past three years, we continued to focus on lowering our cost of debt by financing, refinancing and prepaying debt. The weighted average interest rate on our total debt declined 250 basis points from 6.2% at December 31, 2009 to 3.7% at December 31, 2012. Our interest coverage ratio and fixed charge coverage ratios were 2.98:1 and 2.98:1, respectively, at December 31, 2012, up from 1.72:1 and 1.53:1, respectively, at December 31, 2009.

2012 and Year-to-Date 2013 Activities. On January 22, 2013, we completed the issuance of \$150.0 million of unsecured senior notes. The notes were offered in a private placement with two maturity tranches: \$63.0 million 8-year maturity at 4.02% and \$87.0 million 10-year maturity at 4.45%. The \$150.0 million total issuance has a weighted average term of 9.2 years and a weighted average interest rate of 4.27%. Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay borrowings under our unsecured revolver, including amounts borrowed in December to repay two mortgages totaling approximately \$33.6 million.

On October 19, 2012, we completed modifications to our unsecured term loan which included increasing the outstanding principal amount to \$150.0 million from \$125.0 million and extending the maturity date from June 2016 to January 2018. An investment grade pricing grid was also added to determine the interest rate on the loan upon our attaining investment grade credit ratings. Total costs associated with this modification were \$600,000.

During 2012, we sold 681,178 shares under our \$25.0 million at-the-market ("ATM") program for total gross proceeds of \$11.3 million, or \$11.1 million net of sales and commissions and other costs. The proceeds were used to reduce borrowings on our unsecured revolver and for general corporate purposes. At June 30, 2012, all \$25.0 million of common shares available for issuance under the ATM had been sold and the program was completed. In August 2012, we entered into an at-the-market ("ATM") program registered with the SEC allowing us to sell up to \$75.0 million of our common shares in open market transactions at-the-then market price per share. We intend to use the proceeds for general corporate purposes. There were no shares sold during 2012 under this program.

On June 27, 2012, we sold 6,325,000 of our common shares in an underwritten public offering at a price of \$14.40 per share, which resulted in total net proceeds of approximately \$87.2 million. Of the 6,325,000 shares sold in this offering, we issued all of the 3,403,333 common shares then remaining in treasury and 2,921,667 authorized and previously unissued common shares. The proceeds were used to fund property acquisitions and development and for general corporate purposes.

In January 2012, we increased our \$250.0 million unsecured revolver to \$350.0 million. This facility provides financial flexibility and the opportunity to capitalize on strategic acquisitions without the delays associated with financing contingencies. Our borrowing capacity under the revolver is a function of our unencumbered property pool. As of the date of this filing, the maximum amount of borrowings available to us under this revolver was \$315.2 million.

2011 Activities. On June 3, 2011, we closed on a \$125.0 million unsecured, five year term loan. This loan has a variable interest rate which was 1.92% at December 31, 2012, and matures June 2, 2016. Proceeds from the term loan were used to pay down borrowings outstanding on our \$250.0 million unsecured revolver and for general corporate purposes. Debt procurement costs related to this loan were \$1.0 million. The Company entered into a forward starting interest rate swap in December 2011 fixing the rate at 1.26% plus the credit spread (which is currently 1.7%), or an all-in rate of 2.96% beginning in June 2013 until June 2016. See Note 10 for additional information regarding this swap.

During 2011, we sold 788,676 shares under the ATM program for total gross proceeds of \$13.7 million, or \$13.3 million net of sales commissions and other costs. The proceeds were used to reduce borrowings on our unsecured revolver and for general corporate purposes.

2010 Activities. During 2010, we sold 23,575,000 of our common shares in three separate underwritten public offerings. The net proceeds from these offerings, which totaled \$288.8 million, were used to (i) repay maturing debt, (ii) redeem all of our outstanding 8.7% Class B Series II Preferred Shares and Trust Preferred Securities, (iii) partially pay for three operating properties, (iv) acquire the land for our Vista Germantown, Nashville, Tennessee, development property that we have completed and stabilized in 2012, (v) repay borrowings on our unsecured revolver and (vi) for

general corporate purposes.

5

General Contractor/Construction. Our subsidiary, Merit, is a general contractor that performs construction services for our own account in connection with the development of multifamily properties we own and operate. Merit also previously performed construction services for third parties. In 2011, we decided to exit the third party construction services business and our work under all third party construction contracts was substantially completed as of December 31, 2011. Among other things, we believe we will realize significant cost savings and improved quality of our development properties as a result of the in-house services performed by Merit.

INCOME TAXES

See Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

COMPETITIVE CONDITIONS

See Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations" of this report on Form 10-K.

CUSTOMERS

Our business, taken as a whole, is not dependent upon any single customer or a few customers.

EMPLOYEES

On February 12, 2013, we employed approximately 410 people.

ENVIRONMENTAL CONSIDERATIONS

See Item 1A. Risk Factors for information concerning the potential effects of environmental regulations on our operations.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Shareholders may obtain, free of charge from our Internet site at AssociatedEstates.com, a copy of our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as soon as reasonably practicable after we file such material electronically with, or furnish it to, the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC").

REPORTS TO SECURITY HOLDERS

We issue annual reports to our security holders that contain financial statements.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

We are subject to certain risks and uncertainties as described below. These risks and uncertainties are not the only ones we face and there may be additional risks that we do not presently know of or that we currently consider immaterial. All of these risks could adversely affect our business, financial condition, results of operations and cash flows. Our ability to pay dividends on, and the market price of, our equity securities may be adversely affected if any of such risks operate to result in a material adverse effect upon our operations and/or financial condition.

We are subject to risks inherent in the real estate business and operation of a REIT. We own and manage multifamily apartment communities that are subject to varying degrees of risk generally incident to the ownership of real estate. Our financial condition, the value of our properties and our ability to make distributions to our shareholders will be dependent upon our continued access to the debt and equity markets and our ability to operate our properties in a manner sufficient to generate income in excess of operating expenses and debt service charges, which may be affected by the following risks, some of which are discussed in more detail below:

- changes in the economic climate in the markets in which we own and manage properties, including interest rates, the overall level of economic activity, the availability of consumer credit and mortgage financing, unemployment rates and other factors;
- elimination of, or limitations on, federal government support for Fannie Mae and/or Freddie Mac that might result in significantly reduced availability of mortgage financing sources as well as increases in interest rates for mortgage financing;
- our ability to refinance debt on favorable terms at maturity;
- risks of a lessening of demand for the multifamily units that we own;
- competition from other available multifamily units, single family units available for rental or purchase, and changes in market rental rates;
- the failure of development projects or redevelopment activities to achieve expected results due to, among other causes, construction and contracting risks, unanticipated increases in materials and/or labor, and delays in project completion and/or lease-up that result in increased costs and/or reduce the profitability of a completed project;
- increases in property and liability insurance costs;
- unanticipated increases in real estate taxes and other operating expenses;
- weather conditions that adversely affect operating expenses;
- expenditures that cannot be anticipated such as utility rate and usage increases, and unanticipated repairs;
- our inability to control operating expenses or achieve increases in revenue;
- shareholder ownership limitations that may discourage a takeover otherwise considered favorably by shareholders;
- the results of litigation filed or to be filed against us;
- changes in tax legislation;
- risks of personal injury claims and property damage related to mold claims that are not covered by our insurance;
- catastrophic property damage losses that are not covered by our insurance;
- our ability to acquire properties at prices consistent with our investment criteria;
- risks associated with property acquisitions such as failure to achieve expected results or matters not discovered in due diligence; and
- risks related to the perception of residents and prospective residents as to the attractiveness, convenience and safety of our properties or the neighborhoods in which they are located.

We are dependent on rental income from our multifamily apartment communities. If we are unable to attract and retain residents, or if our residents are unable to pay their rental obligations, our financial condition and funds available for distribution to our shareholders may be adversely affected.

Our multifamily apartment communities are subject to competition. Our apartment communities are located in developed areas that include other apartment communities and compete with other housing alternatives, such as condominiums, single and multifamily rental homes and owner occupied single and multifamily homes. In certain markets, such as Florida and North Carolina, failed condominium conversions or properties originally developed as condominiums are reverting to rentals, creating increasing competition in those markets. Foreclosed single family homes that become rental properties could create additional competition in certain of our markets. Such competition may affect our ability to attract and retain residents and to increase or maintain rental rates.

Our insurance may not be adequate to cover certain risks. There are certain types of risks, generally of a catastrophic nature, such as earthquakes, floods, windstorms, acts of war and terrorist attacks that may be uninsurable, are not economically insurable, or are not fully covered by insurance. Moreover, certain risks, such as mold and environmental exposures and certain employment related claims, generally are not covered by our insurance. Other risks are subject to various limits, sub-limits, deductibles and self insurance retentions, which help to control insurance costs, but which may result in increased exposures to uninsured losses. Significant uninsured losses could have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Secured debt financing could adversely affect our performance. At December 31, 2012, 15 of our 52 properties were encumbered by project specific, non-recourse, and (except for five properties), non-cross-collateralized mortgage debt. There is a risk that these properties may not have sufficient cash flow from operations to pay required principal and interest. We may not be able to refinance these loans at an amount equal to the loan balance, and the terms of any refinancing may not be as favorable as the terms of existing indebtedness. If we are unable to make required payments on indebtedness that is secured by a mortgage, the property securing the mortgage may be foreclosed with a consequent loss of income and value to us. Although Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac continue to provide needed financing to qualified borrowers, such as us, there is no assurance that those mortgage capital sources will remain available or available on competitively favorable terms. Additional sources of secured financing are provided by life insurance companies and commercial banks, which from time-to-time offer terms competitive with Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac. We believe that we currently have access to such financing at competitive terms; however, there can be no assurance that such financing will be available or that we will qualify for such financing in the future. In addition, there are currently numerous proposals before Congress that could curtail the lending ability of Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac.

Financial covenants could limit our ability to achieve our strategic objectives. The agreements governing our unsecured credit facilities contain certain restrictions, requirements and other limitations on our ability to incur additional secured and unsecured debt, acquire additional land or development properties and make other strategic investments or business acquisitions or dispositions. These agreements also contain certain financial and operating covenants including, among other things, maintenance of certain financial ratios. Additionally, our unsecured notes contain certain provisions that mirror the requirements of our unsecured credit facilities. Our unsecured credit facilities and unsecured notes are cross-defaulted and also contain cross default provisions with all of our other outstanding indebtedness of \$25.0 million or more.

Real estate investments are generally illiquid, and we may not be able to sell our properties when it is economically or strategically advantageous to do so. Real estate investments generally cannot be sold quickly, and our ability to sell properties may be adversely affected by market conditions. We may not be able to further diversify or vary our portfolio in accordance with our strategies or in response to economic or other conditions. In addition, provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"), limit the ability of a REIT to sell its properties in some situations when it may be economically advantageous to do so, thereby potentially adversely affecting our ability to make distributions to our shareholders.

Litigation may result in unfavorable outcomes. Like many real estate operators, we are frequently involved in lawsuits involving premises liability claims, housing discrimination claims and alleged violations of landlord-tenant laws, which may give rise to class action litigation or governmental investigations. Any material litigation not covered by insurance, such as a class action, could result in substantial costs being incurred.

The costs of complying with laws and regulations could adversely affect our cash flow. Our properties must comply with Title III of the Americans with Disabilities Act (the "ADA") to the extent that they are "public accommodations" or "commercial facilities" as defined in the ADA. The ADA does not consider apartment communities to be public accommodations or commercial facilities, except for portions of such communities, such as our leasing offices, that are open to the public. In addition, the Fair Housing Amendments Act of 1988 (the "FHAA") requires apartment communities first occupied after March 13, 1990, to be accessible to disabled individuals. Other state and local laws also require apartment communities to be disability accessible. The FHAA also prohibits discrimination against protected classes of individuals. Noncompliance with these laws could result in the imposition of fines or an award of damages to private litigants. We have been subject to lawsuits alleging violations of handicap design laws in connection with certain of our properties.

Under various federal, state and local laws, an owner or operator of real estate may be liable for the costs of removal or remediation of certain hazardous or toxic substances on, under or in the property. This liability may be imposed without regard to whether the owner or operator knew of, or was responsible for, the presence of the substances. Other laws impose on owners and operators certain requirements regarding conditions and activities that may affect human health or the environment. Failure to comply with applicable requirements could complicate our ability to lease or sell an affected property and could subject us to monetary penalties, costs required to achieve compliance and potential liability to third parties. Substantially all of our properties have been the subject of environmental assessments completed by qualified independent environmental consulting companies. While these environmental assessments have not revealed, nor are we aware of, any environmental liability that our management believes would have a material adverse effect, there can be no assurance that we will not incur such liabilities in the future. There have been an increasing number of lawsuits against owners and managers of multifamily properties alleging personal injury and property damage caused by the presence of mold in residential real estate. As some of these lawsuits have resulted in substantial monetary judgments or settlements, insurance carriers have reacted by excluding mold-related claims from standard policies and pricing mold endorsements at prohibitively high rates. While we have adopted programs designed to minimize the existence of mold in any of our properties as well as guidelines for promptly addressing and resolving reports of mold to minimize any impact mold might have on our residents and our properties, should mold become an issue in the future, our financial condition or results of operations may be adversely affected. Further, it is possible that material environmental contamination or conditions exist, or could arise in the future in our apartment communities or on the land upon which they are located or be present in land or improvements which we may acquire in the future.

Changes in applicable laws could adversely affect our operations or expose us to liability. In addition to the costs of compliance with applicable laws currently in effect, applicable laws are subject to change and new legislation may be enacted, all of which may have the effect of increasing our costs of compliance and/or exposing us to increased potential liabilities. Compliance with changes in: (i) laws increasing the potential liability for environmental conditions existing on properties or the restrictions on discharges or other conditions; (ii) rent control or rent stabilization laws; (iii) increased construction costs for additional accommodations for disabled residents; (iv) required employee benefits, such as health care coverage, or additional employer obligations; or (v) other governmental rules and regulations or enforcement policies affecting the use and operation of our communities, including changes to building codes and fire and life-safety codes, may result in lower revenue growth or significant unanticipated expenditures.

We are subject to risks associated with development, acquisition and expansion of multifamily apartment communities. Development projects, acquisitions and expansions of apartment communities are subject to a number of risks, including:

- availability of acceptable financing;
- competition with other entities for investment opportunities, development or construction services or tenants;
- failure by our properties to achieve anticipated operating results;
- development costs of a property exceeding estimates;
- delays in construction of developments or expansions due to weather, required governmental approvals and/or unavailability of labor and materials;
- expenditure of funds on, and the devotion of management time to, transactions that may not come to fruition; and
- construction and construction business risks, including, without limitation, rapid and unanticipated increases in prices of building materials and commodities.

Failure to succeed in new markets or in activities ancillary to the development, ownership and operation of multifamily residential rental communities may adversely effect our operations. We may, from time to time, commence development activity or make acquisitions outside of our existing market areas when appropriate opportunities arise. Our experience in our existing markets in acquiring, developing, owning and operating multifamily rental communities does not ensure that we will be able to operate successfully in new markets when we choose to enter them. Entering new markets may expose us to a variety of risks, including an inability to accurately evaluate local apartment market conditions; an inability to identify appropriate acquisition opportunities; an inability to hire and retain key personnel; and lack of familiarity with local governmental requirements. Although we are primarily in the multifamily business, we may also own and lease ancillary retail or commercial office space when such ancillary rental activities are a component of our multifamily rental activities. We may be unsuccessful in owning and leasing ancillary retail or commercial space at or adjacent to our residential communities, which could have an adverse effect on our results of operations.

We impose stock ownership limitations that may discourage a takeover otherwise considered favorable by shareholders. With certain limited exceptions, our Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended, prohibit the ownership of more than 4.0% of our outstanding common shares and more than 9.8% of the shares of any series of any class of our preferred shares by any person, (the "Ownership Limit"), unless we grant a waiver. Absent such a waiver, any shares owned in excess of such Ownership Limit are subject to repurchase by us and to other consequences as set forth in our Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended. A transfer of shares may be void if it causes a person to violate the Ownership Limit. The Ownership Limit could delay or prevent a change in control and, therefore, could adversely affect our security holders' ability to realize a premium over the then prevailing market price for their shares.

We have a shareholders rights plan which would delay or prevent a change in control. We also have a shareholders rights plan, which may be triggered if any person or group becomes the beneficial owner of, or announces an offer to acquire, 15.0% or more of our common shares. While our Board of Directors believes that our shareholders rights plan could assist in maximizing value for our shareholders in a change in control transaction, our shareholders rights plan is likely to have the effect of precluding acquisition of control of us without our consent.

We may fail to qualify as a REIT. Commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 1993, we have operated in a manner so as to permit us to qualify as a REIT under the Code, and we intend to continue to operate in such a manner. Many of the REIT requirements, however, are highly technical and complex. We cannot, therefore, guarantee that we have qualified or will qualify in the future as a REIT. The determination that we are a REIT requires an analysis of various factual matters that may not be totally within our control. For example, to qualify as a REIT, our gross income must generally come from rental and other real estate or passive related sources that are itemized in the REIT tax laws. We are also required to distribute to security holders at least 90.0% of our REIT taxable income excluding capital gains. If we were to fail to qualify as a REIT in any taxable year, we would not be allowed a deduction for distributions to our shareholders in computing our taxable income and would be subject to federal income tax (including any applicable alternative minimum tax) on our taxable income at regular corporate rates. Unless we are entitled to relief under certain Code provisions, we also would be disqualified from treatment as a REIT for the four taxable years following the year during which REIT qualification was lost. As a result, the cash available for distribution to our shareholders could be reduced or eliminated for each of the years involved.

Changes in tax laws could adversely affect the value of our common stock. If Congress enacts legislation that eliminates the REIT provisions from the Code or otherwise decreases the advantages of qualified REIT status under the Code, such as imposing a direct tax on some or all of our real estate related income, such legislation would likely have an adverse impact upon the market value of our common stock and might also result in a reduction of the dividends payable on our common stock.

We depend on our key personnel. Our success depends to a significant degree upon the continued contribution of key members of our management team, who may be difficult to replace. The loss of services of these executives could have a material adverse effect on us. There can be no assurance that the services of such personnel will continue to be available to us. Our Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Jeffrey I. Friedman, is a party to an employment agreement with us. Other than Mr. Friedman, we do not have employment agreements with key personnel. We do not hold key-man life insurance on any of our key personnel.

We may be exposed to construction business risk. We intend to perform construction services as a general contractor for our own account on certain of our development projects in the future. Inherent risks of those operations include the following:

- adverse weather conditions;
- continuing difficulties in the development and construction industries;
- continuing difficulties in obtaining financing for development and construction;
- rapid and unanticipated increases in the costs of commodities and building materials;
- failure of subcontractors to perform as anticipated;
- the availability of qualified subcontractors, subcontractors in turn are dependent on the availability of skilled workers; and
- bonding indemnification obligations and standby letters of credit that may be required by our construction lenders.

All of the foregoing risks could have a material adverse affect upon our ability to complete the construction of those development properties on time and/or within budget.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our Portfolio. The following table represents our portfolio as of December 31, 2012, which consists of properties we owned, directly or indirectly.

| | Total Number of Properties | Total Number of Units |
|----------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------|
| State | | |
| Florida | 5 | 1,494 |
| Georgia | 3 | 1,197 |
| Indiana | 3 | 836 |
| Maryland | 3 | 667 |
| Michigan | 7 | 2,216 |
| North Carolina | 3 | 760 |
| Ohio | 17 | 3,310 |
| Tennessee | 1 | 242 |
| Texas | 3 | 842 |
| Virginia | 7 | 2,386 |
| | 52 | 13,950 |
| Development Projects | | |
| Bethesda ⁽¹⁾ | — | — |
| Turtle Creek ⁽²⁾ | — | — |
| San Raphael Phase II ⁽³⁾ | — | — |
| The Desmond on Wilshire ⁽⁴⁾ | — | — |
| Total | 52 | 13,950 |

| | Location | Acres |
|--------------------------|--------------|-------|
| Undeveloped Land Parcels | | |
| Westlake | Westlake, OH | 39.0 |
| Wyndemere | Franklin, OH | 10.0 |
| Total undeveloped acres | | 49.0 |

(1) Planned development in Bethesda, Maryland of 140 units with 7,000 square feet ground floor retail.

(2) Planned development in Dallas, Texas of 249 units.

(3) Planned development in Dallas, Texas of 99 units.

(4) Planned development in Los Angeles, California of an estimated 175 units.

| State | Total Number of Units | Age ⁽¹⁾ |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| Florida | | |
| Courtney Chase | 288 | 9 |
| Cypress Shores | 300 | 21 |
| Vista Lago | 316 | 9 |
| Waterstone at Wellington | 222 | 14 |
| Windsor Pines | 368 | 14 |
| | 1,494 | |
| Georgia | | |
| Cambridge at Buckhead | 168 | 17 |
| Idlewylde | 843 | 11 |
| Morgan Place | 186 | 23 |
| | 1,197 | |
| Indiana | | |
| Center Point | 344 | 15 |
| Residence at White River | 228 | 21 |
| Steeplechase at Shiloh | 264 | 14 |
| | 836 | |
| Maryland | | |
| Annen Woods | 131 | 25 |
| Hampton Point | 352 | 26 |
| Reflections | 184 | 27 |
| | 667 | |
| Michigan | | |
| Arbor Landings | 328 | 13 |
| Clinton Place | 202 | 24 |
| Georgetown Park | 480 | 13 |
| Landings at the Preserve | 190 | 21 |
| Oaks at Hampton | 544 | 24 |
| Spring Valley | 224 | 25 |
| Summer Ridge | 248 | 21 |
| | 2,216 | |

(1) Age of property is determined by the number of years since construction of the property was completed.

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| | Total Number of Units | Age ⁽¹⁾ |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| State | | |
| North Carolina | | |
| Southpoint Village | 211 | 5 |
| The Apartments at the Arboretum | 205 | 3 |
| The Park at Crossroads | 344 | 6 |
| | 760 | |
| Ohio | | |
| Bedford Commons | 112 | 25 |
| Bradford at Easton | 324 | 16 |
| Heathermoor | 280 | 23 |
| Kensington Grove | 76 | 17 |
| Lake Forest | 192 | 18 |
| Mallard's Crossing | 192 | 22 |
| Perimeter Lakes | 189 | 20 |
| Residence at Barrington | 288 | 13 |
| Saw Mill Village | 340 | 25 |
| St. Andrews at Little Turtle | 102 | 25 |
| Sterling Park | 128 | 18 |
| The Residence at Christopher Wren | 264 | 19 |
| Village at Avon | 312 | 11 |
| Village of Western Reserve | 108 | 14 |
| Westchester Townhomes | 136 | 23 |
| Westlake Seven | 7 | 27 |
| Williamsburg Townhomes | 260 | 22 |
| | 3,310 | |
| Tennessee | | |
| Vista Germantown | 242 | — |
| | 242 | |
| Texas | | |
| San Raphael | 222 | 13 |
| The Brixton | 224 | 15 |
| 21-Forty Medical District | 396 | 3 |
| | 842 | |
| Virginia | | |
| Ashborough | 504 | 8 |
| Dwell Vienna Metro | 250 | 4 |
| River Forest | 300 | 6 |
| Riverside Station | 304 | 7 |
| The Alexander at Ghent | 268 | 6 |
| The Belvedere | 296 | 7 |
| Westwind Farms | 464 | 6 |
| | 2,386 | |
| Total | 13,950 | 14 |

| | Location | Acres | Anticipated Completion |
|----------------------|----------|-------|---------------------------|
| Development Projects | | | |

| | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|-----|------|
| Bethesda | Bethesda, MD | 2.5 | 2015 |
| Turtle Creek | Dallas, TX | 2.4 | 2015 |
| San Raphael Phase II | Dallas, TX | 1.5 | 2013 |
| The Desmond on Wilshire | Los Angeles, CA | 2.2 | 2015 |

(1) Age of property is determined by the number of years since construction of the property was completed.

Indebtedness Encumbering the Properties. We have financed, and in many cases refinanced, the acquisition, development and rehabilitation of our properties with a variety of sources of mortgage indebtedness. At December 31, 2012, 37 of the 52 wholly owned properties were unencumbered. The remaining 15 properties were encumbered by project specific mortgages, of which five properties were encumbered by cross-collateralized, cross-defaulted mortgage loans.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

We are subject to legal proceedings, lawsuits and other claims in the ordinary course of our business (collectively "Litigation"). Litigation is subject to uncertainties and outcomes are difficult to predict. Many of the claims in Litigation are covered by insurance, subject to deductible amounts. We believe any current Litigation will not have a material adverse impact on us after final disposition. However, because of the uncertainties of Litigation, one or more lawsuits could ultimately result in a material obligation.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

N/A.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities.

Our common shares are traded on the NYSE and NASDAQ under the trading symbol "AEC." The following table sets forth for the periods indicated the high and low sale prices per common share as reported on the NYSE (composite tape) and the dividends declared per common share.

| | Price Range | | | | Dividends Declared Per Share | |
|----------------|-------------|----------|-----------|----------|------------------------------|---------|
| | 2012 High | 2012 Low | 2011 High | 2011 Low | 2012 | 2011 |
| First Quarter | \$ 17.09 | \$ 14.80 | \$ 16.50 | \$ 14.36 | \$ 0.17 | \$ 0.17 |
| Second Quarter | \$ 17.51 | \$ 14.30 | \$ 16.97 | \$ 15.42 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |
| Third Quarter | \$ 15.60 | \$ 14.24 | \$ 18.85 | \$ 14.58 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |
| Fourth Quarter | \$ 16.13 | \$ 14.25 | \$ 17.43 | \$ 14.26 | \$ 0.18 | \$ 0.17 |
| | | | | | \$ 0.71 | \$ 0.68 |

During the year ended December 31, 2012, net income attributable to AERC was less than the total dividends declared on our common shares. However, cash flow from operations was sufficient to pay cash dividends. See "Liquidity and Capital Resources" in Part II, Item 7 of this report on Form 10-K.

On February 12, 2013, there were approximately 760 holders of record and approximately 17,000 beneficial owners of our common shares.

We maintain a dividend reinvestment plan under which shareholders may elect to reinvest their dividends automatically in our common shares and may also acquire additional common shares which the plan administrator purchases in the open market.

There is a total of \$26.3 million remaining on our Board of Director authorizations to repurchase our common shares. We did not repurchase any shares using this authority during 2012, and we have no present intention to use this authority to repurchase shares. Additionally, we have a policy which allows employees to pay their portion of the income taxes related to restricted shares vesting by surrendering a number of shares to us equal in value on the day of vesting to the amount of taxes due up to the statutory withholding amount.

Performance Graph. The following graph compares the cumulative return on our common shares during the five year period ended December 31, 2012, to the cumulative return of the Russell 2000 and the MSCI US REIT Index for the same period. The comparisons assume an initial investment of \$100 and the reinvestment of all dividends during the comparison period. Performance during this comparison period is not necessarily indicative of future performance.

| Index | Period Ending | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|---------------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | 12/31/07 | 12/31/08 | 12/31/09 | 12/31/10 | 12/31/11 | 12/31/12 |
| Associated Estates Realty Corporation | \$100.00 | \$103.56 | \$141.62 | \$202.37 | \$220.37 | \$233.29 |
| Russell 2000 | \$100.00 | \$66.21 | \$84.20 | \$106.82 | \$102.36 | \$119.09 |
| MSCI US REIT Index | \$100.00 | \$62.03 | \$79.78 | \$102.50 | \$111.41 | \$131.20 |

Source: SNL Financial LC, Charlottesville, VA

© 2013

www.snl.com

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following tables set forth selected financial and other data for us on a consolidated basis. The historical financial information contained in the tables has been derived from and should be read in conjunction with (i) our Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto, and (ii) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations both included elsewhere herein.

(In thousands, except per share, property and unit count and net collected

| | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| rent amounts) | | | | | |
| Operating Data: | | | | | |
| Revenue | | | | | |
| Property revenue | \$173,822 | \$148,198 | \$120,918 | \$113,299 | \$112,753 |
| Office revenue | 1,046 | — | — | — | — |
| Management and service operations: | | | | | |
| Fees, reimbursements and other | — | — | 817 | 1,287 | 1,784 |
| Construction and other services | — | 16,869 | 17,051 | 1,160 | 1,010 |
| Total revenue | 174,868 | 165,067 | 138,786 | 115,746 | 115,547 |
| Total expenses | (141,370) | (145,578) | (119,648) | (95,250) | (94,375) |
| Interest expense | (30,838) | (30,769) | (29,179) | (31,357) | (32,703) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 2,660 | (11,280) | (10,041) | (10,861) | (11,531) |
| Income from discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Operating income, net of interest expense | 1,102 | 2,051 | 1,211 | 1,590 | 1,009 |
| Gain on disposition of properties | 26,849 | 14,597 | 245 | 15,534 | 45,202 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 27,951 | 16,648 | 1,456 | 17,124 | 46,211 |
| Net income (loss) | 30,611 | 5,368 | (8,585) | 6,263 | 34,680 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (19) | (40) | (51) | (53) | (53) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AERC | 30,592 | 5,328 | (8,636) | 6,210 | 34,627 |
| Preferred share dividends | — | — | (2,030) | (4,199) | (4,655) |
| Preferred share redemption/repurchase costs | — | — | (993) | — | (143) |
| Discount on preferred share repurchase | — | — | — | — | 2,289 |
| Allocation to participating securities | — | — | — | (423) | (730) |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$30,592 | \$5,328 | \$(11,659) | \$1,588 | \$31,388 |
| Earnings per common share - Basic: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares | \$0.06 | \$(0.27) | \$(0.43) | \$(0.91) | \$(0.87) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 1.01 | 2.80 |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$0.66 | \$0.13 | \$(0.38) | \$0.10 | \$1.93 |
| Earnings per common share - Diluted: | | | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares | \$0.06 | \$(0.27) | \$(0.43) | \$(0.91) | \$(0.87) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.05 | 1.01 | 2.80 |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$0.66 | \$0.13 | \$(0.38) | \$0.10 | \$1.93 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 46,063 | 41,657 | 30,421 | 16,516 | 16,262 |

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 46,553 | 41,657 | 30,421 | 16,516 | 16,262 |
| Dividends declared per common share | \$0.71 | \$0.68 | \$0.68 | \$0.68 | \$0.68 |

18

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 | |
|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|---|
| Cash flow data: | | | | | | |
| Cash flow provided by operations | \$70,606 | \$53,317 | \$33,511 | \$31,300 | \$24,665 | |
| Cash flow (used for) provided by investing activity | \$(157,319) | \$(146,333) | \$(283,432) | \$16,450 | \$41,051 | |
| Cash flow provided by (used for) financing activity | \$87,125 | \$92,974 | \$250,691 | \$(47,701) | \$(63,714) | |
| Balance Sheet Data at December 31: | | | | | | |
| Real estate assets, net | \$1,139,917 | \$986,834 | \$875,000 | \$638,535 | \$673,848 | |
| Total assets | \$1,172,477 | \$1,018,493 | \$918,235 | \$662,505 | \$699,896 | |
| Total debt | \$716,778 | \$664,788 | \$555,666 | \$525,836 | \$557,481 | |
| Total shareholders' equity attributable to AERC | \$403,398 | \$308,793 | \$316,184 | \$99,440 | \$105,621 | |
| Other Data: | | | | | | |
| Property net operating income ^{(1) (7)} | \$105,591 | \$89,156 | \$70,739 | \$65,822 | \$66,054 | |
| Funds from operations ^{(2) (8)} | \$57,179 | \$42,707 | \$26,153 | \$20,501 | \$21,893 | |
| Funds from operations as adjusted ^{(3) (8)} | \$59,330 | \$42,707 | \$27,075 | \$19,273 | \$21,706 | |
| Funds available for distribution ^{(4) (8)} | \$52,820 | \$36,417 | \$22,291 | \$14,213 | \$15,636 | |
| Total properties (at end of period) | 52 | 53 | 52 | 48 | 50 | |
| Total multifamily units (at end of period) | 13,950 | 13,908 | 13,662 | 12,108 | 12,672 | |
| Average monthly net collected rent per unit ⁽⁵⁾ | \$1,052 | \$963 | \$859 | \$852 | \$858 | |
| Physical occupancy ⁽⁶⁾ | 95.9 | % 95.2 | % 94.8 | % 93.9 | % 93.0 | % |

We consider property net operating income ("property NOI") to be an important indicator of the overall performance of our multifamily property portfolio because it reflects the operating performance of our property portfolio and is used to assess regional property level performance.

Property NOI is determined by deducting property operating and maintenance expenses from property revenue. Property NOI should not be considered (i) as an alternative to net income

(1) determined in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP"), (ii) as an indicator of financial performance, (iii) as cash flow from operating activities (determined in accordance with GAAP), or (iv) as a measure of liquidity; nor is it necessarily indicative of sufficient cash flow to fund all of our needs. Other real estate companies may define property NOI in a different manner. Property NOI has been restated for discontinued operations for periods shown.

(2) We calculate funds from operations ("FFO") in accordance with the definition adopted by the Board of Governors of the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts ("NAREIT"). This definition includes all operating results, both recurring and non-recurring, except those results defined as "extraordinary items" under GAAP, adjusted for depreciation on real estate assets and amortization of intangible assets, excludes impairment write-downs of depreciable real estate and gains and losses from the disposition of properties and land. We calculate FFO per share using the weighted average shares outstanding amounts used in the calculation of basic and diluted earnings per share in accordance with GAAP. FFO does not represent cash generated from operating activities in accordance with GAAP and is not necessarily indicative of cash available to fund cash needs and should not be considered an alternative to net income as an indicator of our operating performance or as an alternative to cash flow as a measure of liquidity. FFO is used in the real estate industry as a supplemental measure of the operating performance of real estate companies because it excludes charges such as real estate depreciation that are generally considered not to be reflective of the actual value of real estate assets over time. See Note 8 below

for those items that are used to compute FFO. Other real estate companies may define FFO in a different manner.

- (3) Funds from operations as adjusted is FFO, as defined above, adjusted for certain corporate transactions to provide an amount that is more representative of the operations of our real estate portfolio. We consider FFO as adjusted to be a more appropriate measure of comparing the operating performance of our real estate portfolio between periods as well as to that of other real estate companies. See Note 8 below for those items that are used to compute FFO as adjusted. Other real estate companies may define FFO as adjusted in a different manner.

- (4) We define funds available for distribution ("FAD") as FFO as adjusted, as defined above, plus depreciation other and amortization of deferred financing fees less recurring fixed asset additions. Fixed asset additions exclude development, investment, revenue enhancing and non-recurring capital additions. We consider FAD to be an appropriate supplemental measure of the performance of an equity REIT because, like FFO and FFO as adjusted, it captures real estate performance by excluding gains or losses from the disposition of properties and land and depreciation on real estate assets and amortization of intangible assets. Unlike FFO and FFO as adjusted, FAD also reflects the recurring capital expenditures that are necessary to maintain the associated real estate. See Note 8 below for a reconciliation of FFO as adjusted to FAD.

- (5) Average monthly net collected rent per unit is calculated as total market rent for all units less vacancy and concessions, divided by the total number of units available. This does not represent actual rental revenue collected per unit.

- (6) Physical occupancy represents the actual number of units leased divided by the total number of units available at the end of the period.

(7) Reconciliation of property NOI to net income (loss) attributable to AERC:

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Property net operating income | \$105,591 | \$89,156 | \$70,739 | \$65,822 | \$66,054 |
| Office revenue | 1,046 | — | — | — | — |
| Fees, reimbursements and other revenue | — | — | 817 | 1,287 | 1,784 |
| Construction and other services revenue | — | 16,869 | 17,051 | 1,160 | 1,010 |
| Direct property management and service company expense | — | — | (745) | (1,107) | (1,062) |
| Construction and other services expenses | (176) | (19,297) | (16,415) | (1,745) | (1,338) |
| Depreciation and amortization | (54,306) | (50,321) | (35,818) | (31,317) | (32,448) |
| General and administrative expense | (16,995) | (15,944) | (15,684) | (14,024) | (14,330) |
| Development costs | (864) | (435) | (208) | — | — |
| Costs associated with acquisitions | (798) | (539) | (599) | — | — |
| Interest expense | (30,838) | (30,769) | (29,179) | (31,357) | (32,703) |
| Gain on insurance recoveries | — | — | — | 420 | — |
| Equity in net income of joint ventures | — | — | — | — | 1,502 |
| Income from discontinued operations: | | | | | |
| Operating income, net of interest expense | 1,102 | 2,051 | 1,211 | 1,590 | 1,009 |
| Gain on disposition of properties | 26,849 | 14,597 | 245 | 15,534 | 45,202 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 27,951 | 16,648 | 1,456 | 17,124 | 46,211 |
| Net income (loss) | 30,611 | 5,368 | (8,585) | 6,263 | 34,680 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling redeemable interest | (19) | (40) | (51) | (53) | (53) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AERC | \$30,592 | \$5,328 | \$(8,636) | \$6,210 | \$34,627 |

(8) Reconciliation of net income (loss) attributable to AERC to FFO, FFO as adjusted and FAD:

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | Year Ended December 31, | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AERC | \$30,592 | \$5,328 | \$(8,636) | \$6,210 | \$34,627 |
| Depreciation - real estate assets | 48,547 | 44,006 | 35,593 | 32,822 | 32,560 |
| Depreciation - real estate assets - joint ventures | — | — | — | — | 91 |
| Amortization of intangible assets | 4,889 | 7,970 | 2,219 | 1,068 | 3,929 |
| Preferred share dividends | — | — | (2,030) | (4,199) | (4,655) |
| Preferred share redemption/repurchase costs | — | — | (993) | — | (143) |
| Preferred share repurchase discount | — | — | — | — | 2,289 |
| Gain on disposition of joint venture property | — | — | — | — | (1,603) |
| Gain on disposition of properties | (26,849) | (14,597) | — | (15,400) | (45,202) |
| Funds from operations | 57,179 | 42,707 | 26,153 | 20,501 | 21,893 |
| Gain on insurance recoveries | — | — | (245) | (665) | — |
| Defeasance/prepayment and other costs associated with debt repayments | 2,430 | — | — | — | 1,959 |
| Preferred share repurchase costs | — | — | 993 | — | 143 |
| Preferred share repurchase discount | — | — | — | — | (2,289) |
| Trust preferred redemption costs | — | — | 727 | — | — |
| Refund of defeasance costs for previously defeased loans | (279) | — | (553) | (563) | — |
| Funds from operations as adjusted | 59,330 | 42,707 | 27,075 | 19,273 | 21,706 |
| Depreciation - other assets | 2,108 | 1,954 | 1,827 | 1,522 | 1,378 |
| Depreciation - other assets - joint ventures | — | — | — | — | 3 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees | 2,128 | 1,970 | 1,415 | 1,225 | 1,296 |
| Amortization of deferred financing fees - joint ventures | — | — | — | — | 1 |
| Recurring fixed asset additions | (10,746) | (10,214) | (8,026) | (7,807) | (8,739) |
| Recurring fixed asset additions - joint ventures | — | — | — | — | (9) |
| Funds available for distribution | \$52,820 | \$36,417 | \$22,291 | \$14,213 | \$15,636 |
| Funds from operations per common share - basic | \$1.24 | \$1.03 | \$0.85 | \$1.20 | \$1.35 |
| Funds from operations as adjusted per common share - basic | \$1.29 | \$1.03 | \$0.89 | \$1.17 | \$1.33 |
| Funds from operations per common share - diluted | \$1.23 | \$1.03 | \$0.85 | \$1.20 | \$1.35 |
| Funds from operations as adjusted per common share - diluted | \$1.27 | \$1.03 | \$0.89 | \$1.17 | \$1.33 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 46,063 | 41,657 | 30,421 | 16,516 | 16,262 |
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 46,553 | 41,657 | 30,421 | 16,516 | 16,262 |

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K. This discussion may contain forward-looking statements based on current judgments and current knowledge of management, which are subject to certain risks, trends and uncertainties that could cause actual results to vary from those projected, including but not limited to, expectations regarding our 2013 performance that are based on certain assumptions. Accordingly, readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on forward-looking statements which speak only as of the date of the document. These forward-looking statements are intended to be covered by the safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The words "expects," "projects," "believes," "plans," "anticipates" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Investors are cautioned that these forward-looking statements involve risks and uncertainty that could cause actual results to differ from estimates or projections contained in these forward-looking statements. For a discussion of these risks and uncertainties, see "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report on Form 10-K.

Overview. We are engaged primarily in the ownership and operation of multifamily residential units. Our subsidiary, Merit Enterprises, Inc. ("Merit"), is a general contractor that acts as our in-house construction division. During the year ended December 31, 2012, our primary sources of cash and revenue from operations were rents from the leasing of our apartment units, which represented 99.4% of our consolidated revenue for the year.

The operating performance of our properties is affected by general economic factors including, but not limited to, household formation, job and wage growth, unemployment rates, population growth, immigration, the supply of new multifamily rental communities and, in certain markets, the supply of other housing alternatives, such as condominiums, single and multifamily rental homes and owner occupied single and multifamily homes. Additionally, our performance may be affected by the access to, and cost of, debt and equity.

Rental revenue collections are impacted by rental rates and occupancy levels. We use LROTM, a rental revenue software product that provides comprehensive submarket based statistical data to assist in maximizing rental revenue while remaining market competitive. We combine this data with our proprietary market knowledge and experience in our efforts to maximize rental revenues and maintain high occupancy levels. We expect LROTM to continue to assist us in generating long term rent growth and asset stability with daily, incremental rent changes. We adjust our rental rates in our continuing effort to adapt to changing market conditions and maximize rental revenue. We continuously monitor physical occupancy and net collected rent per unit to track our success in maximizing rental revenue. These indicators are more fully described in the Results of Operations comparison. Additionally, we consider property NOI, FFO and FFO as adjusted to be important indicators of our overall performance. Property NOI (property revenue less property operating and maintenance expenses) is a measure of the profitability of our properties and has the largest impact on our financial condition and operating results. FFO is used by real estate investment trusts as a supplemental measure of the operating performance of real estate companies because it excludes charges such as real estate depreciation and amortization of intangible assets that are generally considered not to be reflective of the actual value of real estate assets over time. Additionally, gains and losses from the sale of most real estate assets and certain other items are excluded from FFO. See Selected Financial Data presented in Part II, Item 6 of this report on Form 10-K for reconciliations of property NOI, FFO and FFO as adjusted to consolidated net income (loss) in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States ("GAAP").

Our Same Community portfolio includes properties that we have owned and operated for the entire two-year period ending December 31, 2012 and consists of 44 properties containing 11,856 units. Our Same Community portfolio accounted for 87.1% of total revenue and 87.6% of our property NOI in 2012. Acquired/Development properties represent four properties acquired in 2012, a 242-unit development in Nashville, Tennessee completed and stabilized in 2012 and three properties acquired in 2011. See Results of Operations for an additional discussion of our Same Community properties.

Same Community property NOI increased 6.9% in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily as a result of a \$3.2 million or 8.2% increase in property NOI from our Midwest (Ohio, Michigan and Indiana) portfolio. Our Mid-Atlantic (Maryland, Metro DC and Virginia) portfolio property NOI increased \$1.7 million or 5.5%, our Southeast (Georgia and Florida) portfolio property NOI increased \$919,000 or 5.6%, and our Southwest (Texas) portfolio property NOI increased 13.7% or \$170,000 in 2012.

The following table presents property NOI results for 2012 and 2011:

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | |
| | Property NOI | Property NOI | Variance |
| Same Community Properties: | | | |
| Midwest | \$42,264 | \$39,054 | \$3,210 |
| Mid-Atlantic | 31,583 | 29,923 | 1,660 |
| Southeast | 17,282 | 16,363 | 919 |
| Southwest | 1,409 | 1,239 | 170 |
| Total Same Community | 92,538 | 86,579 | 5,959 |
| Acquired Properties | 11,432 | 2,683 | 8,749 |
| Development | 1,621 | (106 |) 1,727 |
| Total Property NOI | \$105,591 | \$89,156 | \$16,435 |

Our 2013 earnings guidance anticipates the acquisition of zero to \$100.0 million of properties and the disposition of \$60.0 million to \$100.0 million of properties. Additionally, our development spend is expected to be approximately \$60.0 million to \$70.0 million, and includes the completion of the 99-unit expansion to our San Raphael property in Dallas. We intend to continue to evaluate potential property acquisitions and development opportunities within our investment criteria. We also may sell properties where market conditions are such that the reinvestment of cash proceeds derived from a sale are expected to provide, over time, a significantly greater return on equity, an increase in cash flow or further enhance our strategic objectives. We will continue to focus on the ratio of our total debt to gross real estate assets which was 47.4% at December 31, 2012 compared with 49.4% at December 31, 2011, and the level of secured debt to gross real estate assets, which was 24.9% at December 31, 2012 compared to 35.8% at December 31, 2011. We will also continue to focus on our fixed charge coverage ratio, which improved to 2.98 times at December 31, 2012 from 2.34 times at December 31, 2011.

In order to maximize property NOI, we plan to continue our efforts toward improving revenue, controlling costs and realizing operational efficiencies at the property level, both regionally and portfolio-wide. In 2013, at the midpoint of our guidance, we expect Same Community property NOI to increase 5.75%, driven by a 4.5% increase in property revenue and a 2.5% increase in property operating expenses compared to 2012. The increase in revenue will primarily be due to maintaining physical occupancy levels and from increased rental rates. However, the uncertainties caused by economic and financial conditions complicate our ability to forecast future performance. We believe that the apartment industry is better situated to weather a slow growing or recessionary environment or a delayed recovery than other real estate sectors because people will normally choose shelter over discretionary spending such as going to the mall or hotel stays. Government sponsored agencies such as Fannie Mae and Freddie Mac continue to provide attractive apartment financing, which may be unavailable to other commercial real estate sectors. Our 2013 expectations could be adversely impacted if recessionary forces resume or if Congress curtails Fannie Mae or Freddie Mac financing support to the apartment industry. Moreover, unless and until sustained job and wage growth occurs in our markets, significant continued rental growth may be limited.

Federal Income Taxes. We have elected to be taxed as a Real Estate Investment Trust ("REIT") under Sections 856 through 860 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, commencing with our taxable year ending December 31, 1993. REITs are subject to a number of organizational and operational requirements including a requirement that 90.0% of the income that would otherwise be considered as taxable income be distributed to shareholders. Providing we continue to qualify as a REIT, we will generally not be subject to federal income tax on net income.

A REIT is precluded from owning more than 10.0% of the outstanding voting securities of any one issuer, other than a wholly owned subsidiary or another REIT, and more than 10.0% of the value of all securities of any one issuer. As an exception to this prohibition, a REIT is allowed to own up to 100% of the securities of a taxable REIT subsidiary ("TRS") that can provide non-customary services to REIT tenants and others without disqualifying the rents that a REIT receives from its tenants. However, no more than 25.0% of the value of a REIT's total assets can be represented by securities of one or more TRS's. The amount of intercompany interest and other expenses charged in transactions between a TRS and a REIT are subject to arms length allocation requirements contained in the Code and Treasury regulations. We believe we have qualified and plan to, and believe we will, continue to qualify as a REIT, however, qualification is subject to the satisfaction of numerous highly technical and complex requirements. We cannot, therefore, guarantee that we have qualified or will qualify in the future as a REIT. See "Risk Factors" in Item 1A of this report on Form 10-K.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Cash Flows and Liquidity. Significant sources and uses of cash in the past three years are summarized as follows:

Significant Cash Sources (Uses):

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net cash provided by operations | \$70,606 | \$53,317 | \$33,511 |
| Fixed assets: | | | |
| Acquisitions and development expenditures | (207,207) | (165,271) | (266,283) |
| Net property disposition proceeds | 64,422 | 28,961 | — |
| Recurring, revenue enhancing and non-recurring capital expenditures | (12,314) | (11,561) | (15,012) |
| Debt: | | | |
| (Decrease) Increase in mortgage notes | (132,784) | 18,623 | (24,390) |
| Increase (decrease) in revolving credit facility borrowings | 132,500 | (34,500) | 80,000 |
| Increase in term loan borrowings | 25,000 | 125,000 | — |
| Redemption of trust preferred securities | — | — | (25,780) |
| Exercise of stock options | 312 | 810 | 5,418 |
| Common share issuances | 98,149 | 13,300 | 288,835 |
| Preferred share redemption | — | — | (48,263) |
| Cash dividends and operating partnership distributions paid | (32,460) | (28,139) | (21,902) |
| Purchase of treasury shares | (959) | (857) | (634) |

Our primary sources of liquidity are cash flow provided by operations, short-term borrowings on the unsecured revolver, project specific loans and the sale of debt or equity securities. Our scheduled debt maturities for 2012 consisted of five mortgage loans totaling approximately \$79.8 million. We have repaid all of these loans with proceeds from borrowings on our unsecured revolver. In addition we prepaid four mortgage loans totaling \$76.7 million in 2012 and incurred prepayment penalties of \$2.1 million. We also repaid a construction loan totaling \$21.7 million.

As of the filing date of this report on Form 10-K, we have loans totaling \$130.5 million maturing in 2013. We intend to repay these loans from borrowings on one or more of the following sources: borrowings on our unsecured revolver, unsecured debt financings, proceeds from property sales or proceeds from the sale of common shares.

In January 2012, we entered into an Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, that among other things, increased the maximum amount of borrowings under our unsecured revolver to \$350.0 million, extended the maturity date to January 11, 2016 and provided for a one year extension option. Additionally, the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement provides for an increase of the total facility to \$400.0 million upon our request and the agreement of the lenders participating in the facility. Our borrowing capacity under the revolver is a function of our unencumbered property pool. As of February 12, 2013, the maximum amount of borrowings available to us under the revolver was \$315.2 million and borrowings outstanding totaled \$39.5 million.

On October 19, 2012, we completed modifications to our unsecured term loan which included increasing the outstanding principal amount to \$150.0 million from \$125.0 million and extending the maturity date from June 2016 to January 2018. Total costs associated with this modification were \$600,000.

During 2012, we sold 681,178 shares under our \$25.0 million at-the-market ("ATM") program for total gross proceeds of \$11.3 million, or \$11.1 million net of sales and commissions and other costs. The proceeds were used to reduce borrowings on our unsecured revolver and for general corporate purposes. At June 30, 2012, all \$25.0 million of common shares available for issuance under the ATM had been sold and the program was completed. In August 2012, we registered an ATM program allowing us to sell up to \$75.0 million of our common shares in open market transactions at-the-then market price per share. There were no shares sold during 2012 under this program. Our ability to access the capital markets affords us additional liquidity as demonstrated by our sale of 6,325,000 of our common shares in an underwritten public offering in June 2012. The net proceeds from this offering, which totaled approximately \$87.2 million, were used to fund property acquisitions and development and for general corporate purposes. As of the filing date, equity and/or debt securities of up to \$258.8 million remain available for public offerings under our current shelf registration statement which expires in June 2013.

On January 22, 2013, we completed the issuance of \$150.0 million of unsecured senior notes. The notes were offered in a private placement with two maturity tranches: \$63.0 million 8-year maturity at 4.02% and \$87.0 million 10-year maturity at 4.45%. The \$150.0 million total issuance has a weighted average term of 9.2 years at a weighted average interest rate of 4.27%. Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay borrowings under the unsecured revolver, including amounts borrowed in December to repay two mortgages totaling approximately \$33.6 million.

Cash flow provided by operations increased \$17.3 million when comparing 2012 to 2011. The increase was primarily due to a 6.9% increase in Same Community property NOI and the contribution from the four properties acquired during 2012, the three properties acquired during 2011 and the completion and lease up of our Vista Germantown development in 2012.

Cash flow provided by operations increased \$19.8 million when comparing 2011 to 2010. The increase was primarily due to an increase in property NOI as a result of the acquisition of four properties during 2010, the completion of a 60-unit expansion at one of our existing properties and the acquisition of three additional properties during 2011.

Shelf Availability. We have a shelf registration statement that relates to the offering, from time to time, of debt securities (including convertible debt), preferred shares, depositary shares, common shares and common share warrants. The amount of securities originally registered under this registration statement was \$500.0 million and it expires in June 2013. Securities and/or debt offerings up to \$258.8 million are currently available under this shelf registration statement. As of the filing date of this document, we qualify as a well-known seasoned issuer and intend to file a new shelf registration statement that will be effective upon filing prior to the expiration of the existing shelf.

Liquidity: Normal Business Operations. We anticipate that we will meet our normal business operations and liquidity requirements for the upcoming year generally through net cash provided by operations. We believe that if net cash provided by operations is below projections, other sources such as the unsecured revolver and secured and unsecured borrowings are or can be made available and should be sufficient to meet our normal business operations and liquidity requirements.

Liquidity: Non-Operational Activities. Sources of cash available for repayment of debt, any property acquisitions and funding other capital expenditures are expected to be provided primarily by proceeds from the refinancing of debt borrowings, our unsecured revolver, the sale of properties and possibly the sale of common shares. The development of the 99-unit expansion of San Raphael Apartments will be financed from our unsecured revolver. Additionally, we anticipate that the development of our planned Turtle Creek apartment community in Dallas, our Bethesda development in Maryland and our Desmond development in Los Angeles will be funded from some combination of property sale proceeds, construction financings, borrowings on our unsecured revolver or the sale of common shares.

Long-Term Contractual Obligations. The following table summarizes our long-term contractual obligations at December 31, 2012, as defined by Item 303(a)(5) of Regulation S-K of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

| (In thousands) | Payments Due In | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------------------|
| | Total | 2013 | 2014-2015 | 2016-2017 | 2018 and Later Years |
| Contractual Obligations | | | | | |
| Debt payable - principal | \$716,778 | \$138,651 | \$80,850 | \$456,110 | \$41,167 |
| Debt payable - interest | 80,233 | 23,794 | 30,976 | 11,495 | 13,968 |
| Operating leases | 610 | 177 | 265 | 156 | 12 |
| Purchase obligations | 82,554 | 6,153 | 194 | 76,207 | — |
| Total | \$880,175 | \$168,775 | \$112,285 | \$543,968 | \$55,147 |

Debt Payable - Principal. Debt payable - principal includes principal payments on all property specific mortgages, the unsecured term loan and the unsecured revolver based on amounts and terms of debt in existence at December 31, 2012. For detailed information about our debt, see Note 6 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

Debt Payable - Interest. Debt payable - interest includes accrued interest at December 31, 2012, and interest payments as required based upon the terms of the debt in existence at December 31, 2012. Interest related to floating rate debt included in the above table was calculated based on applicable rates as of December 31, 2012.

Operating Leases. We lease certain equipment and facilities under operating leases. For detailed information about our lease obligations, see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

Purchase Obligations. Purchase obligations represent agreements to purchase goods or services and contracts for the acquisition of properties that are legally binding and enforceable and that specify all significant terms of the agreement. Our purchase obligations include, but are not limited to, obligations under construction contracts for labor and materials as well as vendor contracts for property operations entered into in the normal course of operations, such as for landscaping, snow removal, elevator maintenance, security, trash removal and electronically generated services. In addition, 2016 includes a purchase agreement to acquire a property to be developed in Florida for a purchase price of \$80.2 million, net of a \$4.0 million earnest money deposit. Our purchase obligation is conditioned upon the successful completion of the property in accordance with agreed upon plans and specifications and up to a 18-month period to allow for lease up of the property. Closing will not occur unless the closing conditions are satisfied which is not expected to occur until 2016. If we choose not to purchase the property despite the closing conditions having been satisfied within the time period contemplated by the purchase agreement, we would forfeit our \$4.0 million earnest money deposit. Obligations included in the above table represent agreements dated December 31, 2012, or earlier.

Dividends. On December 6, 2012, we declared a dividend of \$0.19 per common share, which was paid in cash on February 1, 2013, to shareholders of record on January 15, 2013. We anticipate that we will continue paying regular quarterly dividends in cash. Effective beginning with the dividend paid in February, 2013, we have increased the quarterly dividend to \$0.19 per share from \$0.18 per share. In conjunction with revising the Company's dividend policy, the Board of Directors evaluated the Company's past performance and future prospects for earnings growth. Additional factors considered in determining the increase included current dividend distributions, the relationship of dividend distributions to taxable income, distribution requirements under rules governing REITs, and expected growth in taxable income.

Capital Expenditures. We anticipate incurring approximately \$14.6 million in capital expenditures for 2013. This includes replacement of worn carpet and appliances, refurbishing parking lots and similar items in accordance with our current property expenditure plan, as well as commitments for investment/revenue enhancing and non-recurring expenditures. We expect to use cash provided by operating activities to pay for these expenditures. Additionally, we anticipate the acquisition of zero to \$100.0 million of properties and the disposition of \$60.0 million to \$100.0 million of properties. Furthermore, our development spend is expected to be approximately \$60.0 million to \$70.0 million. The following table identifies our capital expenditures for December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| (In thousands) | Actual 2012 | Actual 2011 | Variance |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------|
| Recurring fixed asset additions | \$10,659 | \$9,815 | \$844 |
| Revenue enhancing/non-recurring fixed asset additions | 1,655 | 1,746 | (91) |
| Acquisition fixed asset additions: | | | |
| Operating property acquisitions | 157,587 | 132,599 | 24,988 |
| Acquisition-related projects | 1,919 | 5,402 | (3,483) |
| Total acquisition fixed asset additions | \$159,506 | \$138,001 | \$21,505 |
| Development fixed assets: | | | |
| Internal costs | 2,363 | 582 | 1,781 |
| Capitalized interest | 1,460 | 738 | 722 |
| Land and other development costs | 43,878 | 25,950 | 17,928 |
| Total development fixed asset additions | \$47,701 | \$27,270 | \$20,431 |
| Total fixed asset additions | \$219,521 | \$176,832 | \$42,689 |

Capital expenditures have increased primarily due to the four acquisitions during 2012 and the current and future development of four multifamily properties.

Financing and Other Commitments. The following table identifies our total debt outstanding as of December 31, 2012:

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | Balance Outstanding December 31, 2012 | Percentage of Total Debt | Weighted Average Interest Rate | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------------|---|
| Fixed Rate Debt: | | | | |
| Secured | \$376,278 | 52.5 | % 5.4 | % |
| Total Fixed Rate Debt | 376,278 | 52.5 | % 5.4 | % |
| Variable Rate Debt Hedged: | | | | |
| Unsecured - term loan ⁽¹⁾ | 125,000 | 17.4 | % 1.9 | % |
| Total Variable Rate Debt Hedged | 125,000 | 17.4 | % 1.9 | % |
| Variable Rate Debt Unhedged: | | | | |
| Unsecured - revolver | 190,500 | 26.6 | % 1.7 | % |
| Unsecured - term loan | 25,000 | 3.5 | % 1.9 | % |
| Total Variable Rate Debt Unhedged | 215,500 | 30.1 | % 1.7 | % |
| Total Debt | \$716,778 | 100.0 | % 3.7 | % |

The Company entered into a forward starting swap in December 2011 fixing the rate beginning in June 2013 until (1) June 2016 on \$125.0 million of the term loan balance at a rate of 1.26% plus the credit spread under our term loan (which was 1.7% as of December 31, 2012), or an all-in rate of 2.96%. The loan matures in January 2018.

The following table provides information on fixed rate mortgage loans repaid, as well as loans obtained/assumed during 2012:

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | Loans Repaid | | | Loans Obtained/Assumed | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|--------------------|------------------------------|------------------------|--------------------|------------------------------|
| | Property | Amount | Interest Rate ⁽⁴⁾ | Amount | Interest Rate | Maturity |
| Arbor Landings | \$16,074 | 7.9 | % | \$— | N/A | N/A |
| Bradford at Easton | 12,109 | 7.9 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| Center Point | 16,500 | 5.8 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| Residence at Barrington | 19,500 | 5.8 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| River Forest | 18,325 | ⁽⁵⁾ 5.7 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| The Belvedere | 25,280 | ⁽⁵⁾ 5.6 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| The Falls | 15,660 | 7.9 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| Vista Germantown | 21,663 | 3.5 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| Courtney Chase | 20,161 | ⁽⁵⁾ 4.6 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| Steeplechase | 12,967 | ⁽⁵⁾ 4.6 | % | — | N/A | N/A |
| Dwell Vienna Metro | — | N/A | | 41,200 | ⁽¹⁾ 3.7 | % June 2022 |
| The Park at Crossroads | — | N/A | | 27,589 | ⁽²⁾ 3.1 | % ⁽²⁾ August 2016 |
| Total / weighted average rate | \$178,239 | 5.8 | % ⁽³⁾ | \$68,789 | 3.5 | % ⁽³⁾ |

(1)Debt procurement costs related to this loan were \$380.

Fair value amount of loan assumed at time of acquisition. The principal balance at time of acquisition was \$24.9 (2)million with an interest rate of 6.3%. Debt procurement costs related to this loan were \$280. Contract interest rate shown is not adjusted for fair value adjustment to principal amount of loan.

(3)Represents weighted average interest rate for the loans listed.

(4) Represents interest rate at the time of repayment.

(5) In conjunction with the prepayment of four loans we incurred \$2.1 million of prepayment penalties and \$350 in write-offs of deferred financing costs, which are included in "Interest expense."

28

At December 31, 2012, we had 37 unencumbered properties. These properties generated net income of \$21.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2012, and had a net book value of \$648.8 million at December 31, 2012.

We lease certain equipment and facilities under operating leases. Future minimum lease payments under all non-cancellable operating leases in which we are the lessee are included in the previous contractual obligations table. Operating Partnership. We own one of our properties, Windsor Pines, in Pembroke Pines, Florida, containing 368 apartment units, through an Operating Partnership structured as a "DownREIT". As general partner of the DownREIT, we have guaranteed the obligation of the DownREIT Partnership to redeem limited partner interests ("OP units") held by the limited partners. The DownREIT Partnership is obligated to redeem OP units for our common shares or cash, in certain circumstances, at a price per OP unit equal to the average closing price of our common shares for the 20-day period preceding a limited partner's redemption notice. As of December 31, 2012, there were 74,083 OP units remaining having a carrying value of \$1.7 million, and 447,949 of the original 522,032 OP units had been redeemed. These transactions had the effect of increasing our interest in the DownREIT Partnership from 85.0% to 97.6%. For additional information regarding the OP units, see Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

Development Partnership. On July 14, 2011, we entered into a partnership agreement with Keating Project Development, Inc., an unrelated third-party, pursuant to which we hold a 97.0% equity interest in the partnership. In March 2012, the partnership acquired a 2.5 acre parcel of land in Bethesda, Maryland, for \$12.2 million that it intends to use for the development of approximately 140 multifamily units and 7,000 square feet of retail space. We have determined that this entity is not a variable interest entity and that we hold a controlling interest in the entity. As such, this entity is included in our consolidated financial statements. We have also determined that the noncontrolling interest in this entity meets the criteria to be classified as a component of permanent equity.

On September 24, 2010, we entered into a partnership agreement with Bristol Development Group, an unrelated third-party, for the development of Vista Germantown, a 242-unit apartment community located in downtown Nashville, Tennessee. We contributed \$9.4 million to the partnership and held a 90.0% equity interest through February 2013 when we funded the redemption of the interest of the minority 10% partner of the partnership. We have determined that this entity was not a variable interest entity and that we held a controlling interest in the entity. As such, this entity is included in our consolidated financial statements. We have also determined that the non-controlling interest in this entity met the criterion to be classified as a component of permanent equity. The partnership engaged Merit to act as general contractor in the construction of this property. On February 15, 2013, we funded the development partnership's redemption of Bristol's partnership interest and we now own a 100% interest in the Vista Germantown property.

Acquisition and Development Activities. During 2012, we acquired four properties for an approximate total purchase price of \$162.6 million, which includes a \$24.9 million loan that we assumed. In addition, in 2012, we acquired land for development of an apartment community in Los Angeles, California that also includes a building containing approximately 78,800 total square feet of office and retail space. The aggregate purchase price for the land and building was approximately \$37.3 million. During 2011, we acquired three properties for a total purchase price of approximately \$136.0 million, which includes a \$12.3 million loan that we assumed. For additional information regarding the loans assumed in 2012 and 2011, see Note 6 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

During 2012, we began construction on San Raphael Phase II, a 99-unit expansion in Dallas, Texas, as well as our 140 unit development in Bethesda, Maryland. For additional information regarding these developments, see Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

We intend to continue to evaluate opportunities to acquire land for development and property acquisitions. Any future acquisitions or developments would be financed with the most appropriate sources of capital, which may include the assumption of mortgage indebtedness, bank and other institutional borrowings, the exchange of properties, undistributed earnings, secured or unsecured debt financings, or the issuance of common shares.

Dispositions. During 2012, we sold six properties for net cash proceeds of approximately \$64.4 million. The operating results of these properties, along with the gains of \$26.8 million that we recognized, are included in "Income from discontinued operations."

General Contractor/Construction. Our subsidiary, Merit, is a general contractor and construction manager that acts as our in-house construction division. Merit also formerly provided general contracting and construction management services to third parties. Additionally, Merit completed construction of our 242-unit Vista Germantown property in Nashville, Tennessee, as previously described and is serving as general contractor for our 99-unit expansion to our San Raphael property in Dallas that is expected to be completed in 2013.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

In the following discussion, our Same Community portfolio for the comparison of the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 consists of 44 properties containing 11,856 units. In 2012, the four properties that we acquired in 2010 (Riverside Station, The Ashborough, San Raphael and Westwind Farms), containing 1,494 total units moved into the Same Community portfolio from the Acquired Properties portfolio. Properties that are sold or are classified as held for sale are removed from the Same Community portfolio at that time. The six properties that we sold during 2012 containing 1,356 total units have been removed from the Same Community portfolio. Acquired/Development properties represent four properties acquired in 2012, a 242-unit development in Nashville, Tennessee completed in 2012 and three properties acquired in 2011.

In the following discussion, our Same Community portfolio for the comparison of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 consists of 40 properties containing 10,362 units. Properties that are sold or are classified as held for sale are removed from the Same Community portfolio at that time. The eight properties that we sold during 2012 and 2011 containing 1,806 total units have been removed from the Same Community portfolio. Acquired/Development properties represent three properties acquired in 2011 and four properties acquired in 2010 and a 60-unit expansion of a Virginia property, which was completed in 2010.

Net income from continuing operations increased \$13.9 million during 2012 compared to 2011 primarily as a result of an increase in total revenues of \$9.8 million and a decrease of \$4.2 million in total expenses. The net loss from continuing operations increased \$1.2 million during 2011 compared to 2010 primarily as a result of an increase in total revenues of \$26.3 million net of increases of \$25.9 in operating expenses and \$1.6 million in interest expense.

The following chart reflects the amount and percentage change in line items that are relevant to the changes in overall operating performance:

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | Increase (decrease) when comparing the years ended December 31, | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------|---------|--------------|----------|---------|---|
| | 2012 to 2011 | | 2011 to 2010 | | | |
| Property revenue | \$25,624 | 17.3 | % | \$27,280 | 22.6 | % |
| Management and service company revenue | — | — | % | (817) | (100.0) | % |
| Construction and other services revenue | (16,869) | (100.0) | % | (182) | (1.1) | % |
| Property operating and maintenance expenses | 9,189 | 15.6 | % | 8,863 | 17.7 | % |
| Depreciation and amortization | 3,985 | 7.9 | % | 14,503 | 40.5 | % |
| Direct property management and service company expenses | — | — | % | (745) | (100.0) | % |
| Construction and other services expense | (19,121) | (99.1) | % | 2,882 | 17.6 | % |
| General and administrative expenses | 1,051 | 6.6 | % | 260 | 1.7 | % |
| Interest expense | 69 | 0.2 | % | 1,590 | 5.4 | % |
| Income from discontinued operations | 11,303 | 67.9 | % | 15,192 | 1,043.4 | % |

Property Revenue. Property revenue is impacted by a combination of rental rates, rent concessions and occupancy levels, i.e., net collected rent per unit. Physical occupancy at the end of each period and net collected rent per unit are presented in the following tables:

| | Physical Occupancy ⁽¹⁾ | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|---|
| | For the Comparison Period | | For the Comparison Period | | |
| | December 31, | December 31, | December 31, | December 31, | |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Same Community Properties: | | | | | |
| Midwest | 96.0 | % 96.6 | % 96.6 | % 95.7 | % |
| Mid-Atlantic | 96.0 | % 95.5 | % 91.1 | % 91.6 | % |
| Southeast | 95.9 | % 90.9 | % 90.9 | % 92.8 | % |
| Southwest | 97.7 | % 97.3 | % N/A | N/A | |
| Total Same Community | 96.0 | % 95.2 | % 95.1 | % 94.9 | % |
| Acquired Properties | 95.2 | % 95.7 | % 95.8 | % 95.6 | % |
| Development ⁽²⁾ | 97.1 | % N/A | 100.0 | % 93.3 | % |
| Total | 95.9 | % 95.2 | % 95.2 | % 95.0 | % |

(1) Physical occupancy represents the actual number of units leased divided by the total number of units available at the end of the period.

(2) The years ended 2012 and 2011 reflect a 242-unit development in Nashville, Tennessee that was completed in 2012 and 2010 reflects a 60-unit expansion of a Virginia property completed in 2010.

| | Average Monthly Net Collected Rent Per Unit ⁽¹⁾ | | | |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------------------------|--------------|
| | For the Comparison Period | | For the Comparison Period | |
| | December 31, | December 31, | December 31, | December 31, |
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Same Community Properties: | | | | |
| Midwest | \$905 | \$846 | \$846 | \$807 |
| Mid-Atlantic | \$1,350 | \$1,286 | \$1,193 | \$1,131 |
| Southeast | \$1,021 | \$972 | \$972 | \$953 |
| Southwest | \$924 | \$874 | N/A | N/A |
| Total Same Community | \$1,035 | \$977 | \$927 | \$890 |
| Acquired Properties | \$1,189 | \$1,361 | \$1,331 | \$1,329 |
| Development ⁽²⁾ | \$831 | N/A | \$925 | \$688 |
| Total | \$1,052 | \$998 | \$997 | \$994 |

Average monthly net collected rent per unit is calculated as total market rent for all units less

(1) vacancy and concessions, divided by the total number of units available. This does not represent actual rental revenue collected per unit.

(2) The years ended 2012 and 2011 reflect a 242-unit development in Nashville, Tennessee that was completed in 2012 and 2010 reflects a 60-unit expansion of a Virginia property completed in 2010.

Property revenue increased in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily as a result of an increase of \$16.9 million contributed by the acquired and development properties. Property revenue for the Same Community properties increased \$8.7 million primarily due to an increase in net collected rents (potential rent less concessions and vacancies). All markets improved with the largest improvement coming from the Midwest portfolio. Property revenue increased in 2011 compared to 2010 primarily as a result of an increase of \$22.8 million contributed by the acquired and development properties. Property revenue for 2011 compared to 2010 for the Same Community properties increased \$4.8 million primarily due to an increase in net rents and a decline in the amount of vacancy losses.

Management and Service Company Revenue. The management and service company revenues declined \$817,000 when comparing 2011 to 2010. Effective during 2011, we terminated our remaining property and asset management contracts and exited the third party management business. Therefore, we will no longer be reporting management fee revenue.

Property Operating and Maintenance Expenses. Property operating and maintenance expenses increased \$9.2 million when comparing 2012 to 2011. This increase was primarily due to property operating and maintenance expenses for the acquired and development properties increasing \$6.5 million and the Same Community properties increasing \$2.7 million when comparing the two years. Property operating and maintenance expenses increased \$8.9 million when comparing 2011 to 2010. This increase was primarily due to an increase of \$8.1 million related to the properties acquired in 2010 and 2011.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization increased \$4.0 million when comparing 2012 to 2011. This increase was primarily due to an increase of approximately \$6.5 million in depreciation due to the acquired and development properties and an increase of \$2.9 million in amortization of intangible assets recorded in connection with the acquired properties. This was partially offset by a \$6.3 million reduction in amortization of intangibles for the Same Community properties. The intangible assets, consisting primarily of relationships with residents under 12 month leases, are being amortized over a 12 month period. Depreciation and amortization increased \$14.5 million when comparing 2011 to 2010. This increase was primarily due to an increase of approximately \$7.8 million in depreciation due to the acquired and development properties and an increase of \$5.8 million in amortization of intangible assets recorded in connection with the properties acquired during 2011. The intangible assets are being amortized over a 12 month period for residential leases. The \$1.9 million intangible asset recorded in conjunction with the acquisition of an office building in 2012 is being amortized over the life of the lease, which is 60 months.

Direct Property Management and Service Company Expenses. Direct property management and service company expenses decreased \$745,000 in 2011 compared to 2010 due to our terminating all property and asset management contracts and exiting the third party management business, as referenced above.

Construction and Other Services. In 2011, we decided to exit the third party construction services business, and at December 31, 2011, we had substantially completed our work under all third party construction contracts. We continue to provide general contracting and construction management services for our own account in connection with the development of multifamily properties we will own and operate. During 2011 and 2010, Merit worked on ten projects for third parties. Revenues for 2011 were in line with revenues earned in 2010, while 2011 expenses increased by approximately \$2.9 million primarily as a result of additional construction costs incurred for certain rehabilitation projects subject to guaranteed maximum price contracts.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses increased \$1.1 million when comparing 2012 to 2011. This increase was primarily due to increases in payroll resulting from higher incentive compensation awards.

Interest Expense. There were no significant interest expense variances when comparing 2012 to 2011. Interest expense increased \$1.6 million when comparing 2011 to 2010. The increase was primarily due to the following: interest on mortgage loans secured by Same Community properties declined \$4.3 million as a result of the prepayment of seven loans in 2011 totaling \$53.3 million and five loans in 2010 totaling \$57.3 million. Interest expense on mortgage loans secured by acquired properties increased \$3.2 million due to the addition of two loans totaling \$60.5 million in 2011 and a \$36.0 million loan in 2010. Additionally, interest expense in connection with our unsecured revolver and unsecured term loan increased \$2.1 million due to increased borrowings on the unsecured revolver throughout 2011 when compared to 2010 and the closing of the unsecured term loan in June 2011. Furthermore, our weighted average interest rate declined to 4.8% at December 31, 2011 from 5.5% at December 31, 2010.

Income from Discontinued Operations. Included in discontinued operations for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2011 are the operating results and the gains related to six wholly owned properties that were sold in 2012 and two wholly owned properties that were sold in 2011. Also included in 2010 is a gain on insurance recoveries of \$245,000, net of our deductible, which resulted from the settlement of windstorm damage at one of the properties sold in 2011. For further details on "Income from discontinued operations," see Note 3 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

Preferred Share Repurchase Costs. On June 7, 2010, we redeemed all of our 8.7% Class B Series II Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares at a cost of approximately \$48.3 million, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date. In connection with the issuance of the 8.7% Class B Preferred Shares in December 2004, we incurred approximately \$1.0 million in issuance costs and recorded such costs as a reduction to shareholders' equity. We recognized the \$1.0 million of issuance costs as a reduction in net earnings in computing our net loss applicable to common shares for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Inflation. We believe that the effects of inflation only minimally impact our operational performance because our leases are mostly for 12 month terms, which allow us the opportunity to increase our new lease and lease renewal rents to account for inflationary price increases. We are careful to account for inflationary factors that may impact our development projects; however, there can be no assurance that inflation will not result in development costs exceeding original estimates in future periods. See Item 1A "Risk Factors."

Critical Accounting Estimates

Our consolidated financial statements include accounts of all subsidiaries, our two taxable REIT subsidiaries, the Operating Partnership structured as a DownREIT that owns one of our operating properties in Florida and the partnership in which we were a 90.0% partner that owns our development property in Nashville, Tennessee and a partnership in which we are a 97.0% partner that owns our development property in Bethesda, Maryland. The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires us to make estimates and assumptions in certain circumstances that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and related notes. In preparing these consolidated financial statements, we have utilized information available including industry practice and our own past history in forming estimates and judgments of certain amounts included in the consolidated financial statements, giving due consideration to materiality. It is possible that the ultimate outcome that we anticipated in formulating the estimates inherent in these consolidated financial statements may not materialize. As a result, actual results could differ from these estimates. In addition, other companies may utilize different estimates that may impact comparability of our results of operations to those of other companies in similar businesses.

We have identified four critical accounting policies. These critical accounting policies are those that have the most impact on the reporting of our financial condition and those requiring significant judgments and estimates. With respect to these critical accounting policies, management believes that the application of judgments and estimates is consistently applied and produces financial information that fairly presents the results of operations for all periods presented. The four critical accounting policies are:

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets. Our held for investment real estate assets are assessed for impairment if current events or circumstances, such as significant adverse changes in general market conditions, decreases in property net operating income, or reductions in expected future cash flows, indicate that the carrying value (cost less accumulated depreciation) may not be recoverable. Factors we consider in evaluating impairment of real estate assets held for investment include estimated future growth rates, capitalization rates, occupancy assumptions, current expectations regarding the holding period for the individual assets, and external data to the extent available. Should the estimates used change, impairment may result which could materially impact our results of operations.

We review goodwill annually and whenever there is an impairment indicator. In performing this analysis, we use a multiple of revenues to the range of potential alternatives and assign a probability to the various alternatives we consider. Should estimates used to determine the alternatives considered or the probabilities of the occurrence thereof change, impairment may result which could materially impact our results of operations.

Share Based Compensation. We estimate the fair value of share-based compensation awarded. We use the Black-Scholes option-pricing model to estimate the fair value of the stock options, and the Monte Carlo method to estimate the fair value of restricted share awards in which the number of shares that will ultimately vest are subject to market conditions. The use of judgment and/or estimates is required in determining certain of the assumptions used by these valuation models, such as volatility of share prices and forfeitures of awards. If we had used different judgment and/or estimates, different valuations would have been produced that may have resulted in a material change to our results of operations. We also estimate future performance results related to certain share-based awards. If the results vary from our estimate, it may require us to make a material adjustment to our results of operations.

Real Estate Taxes. We estimate the amount of real estate taxes, for which we will be liable, based upon assumptions relating to possible changes in millage rates and property value reassessments. In most circumstances, the actual millage rates or reassessment values are not available until the following reporting period and, consequently, these rates or values could differ from assumptions and require material adjustments to the liabilities recorded.

Acquisitions of Investment Properties. We allocate the purchase price of properties to net tangible and identified intangible assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their fair values. In making estimates of fair values for purposes of allocating purchase price, we utilize a number of sources, including analysis provided by an advisor, independent appraisals that may be obtained in connection with the acquisition or financing of the applicable property, our own analysis of recently acquired and existing comparable properties in our portfolio and other market data. We amortize intangible assets associated with multifamily acquisitions, consisting primarily of relationships with residents under 12 month leases, over 12 months and had we determined different estimates it could have resulted in the recognition of different expense amounts.

CONTINGENCIES

Environmental. We have reviewed tangible long-lived assets and other agreements for associated asset retirement obligations ("AROs") and have determined that we do not have any material AROs that would require recognition as a liability or disclosure in our financial statements at December 31, 2012. Phase I environmental audits were obtained at the time of our initial public offering in 1993, or property acquisition and/or property refinancing, as applicable, on all of our owned properties.

Future claims for environmental liabilities are not measurable given the uncertainties surrounding whether there exists a basis for any such claims to be asserted and, if so, whether any claims will, in fact, be asserted.

Pending Litigation. For a discussion of pending litigation, see Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K. While there can be no assurance, we are not aware of any pending or threatened litigation which is likely to result in a material adverse liability.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Interest Rate Risk. Based on our variable rate debt outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 2011, an interest rate change of 100 basis points would impact interest expense by approximately \$3.4 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, on an annual basis. Additionally, we have interest rate risk associated with fixed rate debt at maturity. We have managed, and will continue to manage, interest rate risk as follows: (i) maintain what we believe to be a prudent ratio of fixed rate, long-term debt to total debt, such that variable rate exposure is kept at an acceptable level; (ii) consider hedges for certain long-term variable and/or fixed rate debt through the use of interest rate swaps or interest rate caps; and (iii) consider the use of treasury locks where appropriate to hedge rates on anticipated debt transactions. We use various financial models and advisors to assist us in analyzing opportunities to achieve those objectives. For additional information relating to interest rate hedge agreements, see "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities" in Note 10 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K. The table below provides information about our financial instruments that are sensitive to change in interest rates. For debt obligations, the table below presents principal cash flows and related weighted average interest rates based on expected maturity dates.

(Dollar

amounts in December 31, 2012

December 31, 2011

thousands)

| | December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|------|------------|------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2014 | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | Thereafter | Total | Fair Market Value | Total | Fair Market Value |
| Long Term Debt | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Fixed rate mortgage debt | \$ 130,498 | \$ 44,538 | \$ 20,313 | \$ 43,699 | \$ — | \$ 137,230 | \$ 376,278 | \$ 403,391 | \$ 433,743 | \$ 496,910 |
| Weighted average interest rate | 6.1 | % 5.5 | % 4.6 | % 6.2 | % — | % 4.5 | % 5.4 | % | | |
| Variable: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Variable rate mortgage debt | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 48,045 | 51,258 |
| Variable rate unsecured term loan (1) | — | — | — | — | — | 150,000 | 150,000 | 150,232 | 125,000 | 126,885 |
| LIBOR based revolving credit facility (2) | — | — | — | 190,500 | — | — | 190,500 | 189,372 | 58,000 | 58,994 |
| Total variable rate debt | — | — | — | 190,500 | — | 150,000 | 340,500 | 339,604 | 231,045 | 237,137 |
| | \$ 130,498 | \$ 44,538 | \$ 20,313 | \$ 234,199 | \$ — | \$ 287,230 | \$ 716,778 | \$ 742,995 | \$ 664,788 | \$ 734,047 |

Total long
term debt

- Our unsecured term loan had a weighted average interest rate of 1.9% at December 31, 2012. The term loan has a maturity date of January 3, 2018. We entered into a forward starting swap in December 2011 fixing the rate on \$125.0 million of the term loan beginning in June 2013 until June 2016 at a rate of 1.26% plus the credit spread which was 1.7% as of December 31, 2012, or an all-in rate of 2.96%.
- (1)
 - (2) Our unsecured revolving credit facility had a weighted average interest rate of 1.71% at December 31, 2012.

Item 8. Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Please see Item 15 of this report.

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure
None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We evaluated the design and operation of our disclosure controls and procedures to determine whether they are effective in ensuring that the disclosure of required information is made timely in accordance with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 ("Exchange Act") and the rules and forms of the SEC. This evaluation was made under the supervision and with the participation of management, including our Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO") as of the end of the period covered by this annual report on Form 10-K. The CEO and CFO have concluded, based on their review, that our disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e), are effective to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports that we file under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in SEC rules and forms, and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including the CEO and CFO, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting. We are responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Exchange Act Rule 13a-15(f). We assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting using the criteria set forth by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO) in "Internal Control - Integrated Framework." Based on that assessment and those criteria, we concluded that our internal control over financial reporting is effective as of December 31, 2012. Our independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, has issued an audit report on the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting, which is included in the "Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm" in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting during the fourth quarter of 2012 that materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting.

We believe that because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

PART III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information regarding our Directors, including information regarding our Audit Committee's financial expert, contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2013, is incorporated by reference in this report on Form 10-K. Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than April 30, 2013.

We have adopted a Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers that applies to our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer. The Code of Ethics is posted on our website, AssociatedEstates.com. Any future amendments to, or waivers from, the Code of Ethics that apply to these individuals will be posted on the website. More information concerning our Code of Ethics for Senior Financial Officers as well as other Corporate Governance Matters will be included in our Proxy Statement and is incorporated by reference in this report on Form 10-K.

The following information regarding our executive officers is provided pursuant to Instruction 3 to Item 401(b) of Regulation S-K.

| Name | Age | Position with the Company |
|---------------------|-----|--------------------------------------------------------------|
| Jeffrey I. Friedman | 61 | Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer |
| Lou Fatica | 46 | Vice President, Treasurer and Chief Financial Officer |
| Jason A. Friedman | 38 | Vice President, Construction and Development |
| Scott D. Irwin | 46 | Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary |

John T. Shannon 51 Senior Vice President, Operations

Jeffrey I. Friedman, Chairman, President and CEO, joined the Company in 1974. Mr. Friedman has served as Chairman and CEO of the Company since 1993. He has more than 40 years of real estate experience. He is a graduate of Leadership Cleveland and is active in several professional organizations, which include the National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts (NAREIT), Chief Executives Organization, Urban Land Institute, and the National Multi-Housing Council. He is involved in both national and local civic and charitable organizations, including the Greater Cleveland Sports Commission. Mr. Friedman was honored by Ernst & Young as an "Entrepreneur of the Year" in 2011.

Lou Fatica, Vice President, CFO and Treasurer joined the Company in 1999 as Controller and was promoted to Vice President-Controller in 2000. Mr. Fatica assumed his current role in 2001. Mr. Fatica is responsible for financial operations including capital markets, reporting, internal audit and Sarbanes-Oxley compliance as well as tax, treasury and finance functions for the Company. Mr. Fatica is a Certified Public Accountant (CPA) and earned his bachelor's degree in Accounting from Cleveland State University. He is a member of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA), the Ohio Society of CPA's and serves as a member of the Board of Directors of the Hillcrest Family YMCA. Mr. Fatica has more than 20 years of finance and accounting experience.

Jason A. Friedman joined the Company in 2009 as Vice President, Construction and Development and as President of Merit Enterprises, Inc. Mr. Friedman is responsible for overseeing the Company's new development activities that include purchasing of new land, design and entitlement, and project turnover for lease-up. Additionally, he is responsible for directing and supervising the construction efforts, including construction management and general contracting for all new and existing properties. He earned his bachelor's degree in Communications and Business from Auburn University. Mr. Friedman is a member of the Urban Land Institute, National Multi-Housing Council, National Association of Home Builders, Young Presidents Organization (YPO), and National Association of Real Estate Investment Trusts. Mr. Friedman has over 14 years of real estate experience including construction, acquisitions, dispositions, and financing.

Scott D. Irwin joined the Company in 2013 as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary. Mr. Irwin is responsible for all aspects of the Company's legal matters; providing advice and counsel in the negotiation, structuring and implementation of the Company's property acquisitions, development, disposition, financing and capital markets activities, as well as risk management, corporate governance, public reporting and litigation. Mr. Irwin earned his bachelor's degree, summa cum laude, from Kent State University and his Juris Doctorate, summa cum laude, from The Ohio State University College of Law. Mr. Irwin has more than 20 years of overall experience in law, specializing in corporate governance and compliance, acquisitions and divestitures, real estate, finance, labor and employment and litigation.

John T. Shannon joined the Company in 2004 as Senior Vice President, Operations. Mr. Shannon is responsible for the overall direction and guidance of property operations with the objective of maximizing growth and profitability, while fostering a culture committed to providing excellence in service. He also has oversight responsibilities for acquisitions, dispositions, marketing, ancillary services; and provides day-to-day support of the Company's strategic goals. He earned his bachelor's degree in Business Administration with a concentration in real estate finance and construction management from the University of Denver. Mr. Shannon has 23 years of property management experience.

In addition to the officers named in the table above, the following persons have been appointed as officers of the Company and hold positions in senior management as indicated:

Pamela A. Bachelder, Regional Vice President joined the Company in 1997 as a Property Manager. In 2006, she was promoted to Regional Manager and was promoted to her current role as Regional Vice President in 2012. Ms. Bachelder is responsible for overseeing a regional portfolio of properties that includes Ohio and Texas. Ms. Bachelder earned her bachelor's degree from Grand Valley State University in Allendale, Michigan. She has an extensive property management background with more than 20 years of experience and is 42 years old.

Michelle B. Creger joined the Company in 2009 as Vice President, Associate General Counsel. Ms. Creger is responsible for providing legal counsel to the operations, construction and corporate functions of the Company and supervising litigation. She earned her law degree from Case Western Reserve University School of Law and a bachelor's degree in Economics from Creighton University. She is an active member of the Ohio State Bar Association, Association of Corporate Counsel - Northeast Ohio Chapter and Society for Human Resources Management. Ms. Creger has more than 30 years of legal experience and is 57 years old.

Patrick Duffy joined the Company in 2005 as Vice President of Strategic Marketing. Mr. Duffy plays a key role in the Company's portfolio diversification by assisting in identifying markets for acquisitions and dispositions, developing property-specific marketing plans and strategies, and assisting with pricing and positioning strategies. He earned his bachelor's degree from Loyola College in Baltimore, Maryland and his master's degree in Administrative Services from Johns Hopkins University. Mr. Duffy is a member of the National Multi-Housing Council and the National Apartment Association. Mr. Duffy has more than 26 years of experience in the real estate industry and is 51 years old.

Daniel E. Gold joined the Company in 2009 as Vice President of Human Resources. Mr. Gold is responsible for the oversight of all Human Resource functions, including employee development, compensation, benefits, recruiting and payroll. Mr. Gold earned his bachelor's degree in Communications with a minor in Business Administration from Ohio University. He is an active member of the Northeast Ohio Human Resource Planning Society and the Society for Human Resource Management. Mr. Gold has 20 years of human resources experience and is 44 years old.

Jeremy S. Goldberg joined Associated Estates in 2010, and is currently serving as the Vice President of Corporate Finance and Investor Relations. Mr. Goldberg is responsible for developing and implementing financial and capital market strategies, facilitating the underwriting and analysis for acquisitions and dispositions, and managing sell-side analyst and investor relationships. He earned his bachelor's degree from the University of Michigan and his Master of Business Administration (MBA) from Case Western Reserve University. Mr. Goldberg is a member of the National Investor Relations Institute. Mr. Goldberg has 17 years of banking and real estate experience and is 39 years old.

Cindy Harris joined the Company in 2012 as Regional Vice President of Construction and Development. Ms. Harris is responsible for identifying multifamily development opportunities, managing the development process and providing oversight of construction activities in Texas. She earned her bachelor's degree in Business Administration from The University of Texas, San Antonio. Ms. Harris is a member of the Urban Land Institute, a Certified Commercial Investment Member (CCIM) and a member of Commercial Real Estate Women (CREW). Ms. Harris has nearly 30 years of property management and development experience and is 51 years old.

John P. Hinkle joined the Company in 2010 as Vice President of Acquisitions. Mr. Hinkle is responsible for sourcing and generating acquisition opportunities consistent with the Company's long-term investment and growth strategy. He earned his Bachelor of Science in Finance from Lehigh University in Bethlehem, Pennsylvania and is a member of the Urban Land Institute. Mr. Hinkle has more than 16 years of experience in the acquisition, development, management and valuation of investment properties and is 41 years old.

Allen Ingram joined the Company in 2012 as the Chief Technology Officer. Mr. Ingram is responsible for managing the central IT services, including voice and data telecommunications, application development, and technical computing functions. He earned his bachelor's degree in Business from Florida Metropolitan University. Mr. Ingram has 26 years of IT experience and is 46 years old.

Swarup R. Katuri rejoined the Company as a Vice President in 2012. He initially joined the Company in 2005 as a Financial Analyst and progressed through a number of roles with increasing responsibilities. Mr. Katuri is responsible for assisting with initiatives relating to the Company's strategic growth objectives. He most recently served as the Senior Director of Corporate Finance and Investor Relations before leaving the Company in 2010 to pursue his Master of Business Administration (MBA). Mr. Katuri earned his MBA from The Fuqua School of Business at Duke University where he was a Fuqua Scholar, and earned his Bachelor of Arts in Economics (Honors) and Sociology, as well as a Bachelor of Science in Management, magna cum laude, from Case Western Reserve University. Mr. Katuri is a graduate of Cleveland Bridge Builders, a civic leadership program and was recognized in 2007 as one of "Twenty in their 20s" by Crain's Cleveland Business. He has more than 7 years of experience and is 30 years old.

Bill Laws joined the Company in 1994 as an Internal Auditor. His career progression at the Company includes Budget Analyst, Budget Manager, Portfolio Analyst, Senior Portfolio Manager and Regional Manager. He was promoted to Regional Vice President in 2012. Mr. Laws is responsible for overseeing a regional portfolio of properties that includes Maryland, Metro DC, Virginia and North Carolina. He earned his bachelor's degree in Accounting and his Master of Business Administration (MBA) from Cleveland State University. Mr. Laws has a well-rounded background with 19 years of experience and is 41 years old.

Frank J. Poli joined the Company in 2012 as Regional Vice President of Construction and Development. Mr. Poli is responsible for identifying multifamily development opportunities, managing the development process and providing oversight of construction activities on the East Coast and Florida. He earned his bachelor's degrees in Fine Arts and Architecture from the Rhode Island School of Design. Mr. Poli maintains his LEED AP certification, is a member of the Urban Land Institute, serves on the Board of Directors for the Arlington Partnership for Affordable Housing and recently completed 10 years of service as a member of the Arlington County Economic Development Commission. Mr. Poli has 35 years of residential and commercial project development and management experience and is 57 years old.

Miria C. Rabideau joined the Company in 1994 as a Property Manager. In 2003, Ms. Rabideau was promoted to Regional Manager and was promoted to her current role of Vice President of Operations in 2006. Ms. Rabideau is responsible for overseeing a regional portfolio that includes Florida, Georgia, Indiana, Michigan and Tennessee. She earned her bachelor's degree from Michigan State University. Ms. Rabideau is a member of the Institute of Real Estate Management (IREM). Ms. Rabideau has nearly 20 years of asset and property management experience and is 43 years old.

Bradley A. Van Auken joined the Company in 2010 and had been serving as Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary from January 1, 2011 until January 2013. In his current role as Vice President, Mr. Van Auken is specializing in acquisitions, dispositions and development activities. Mr. Van Auken received both his undergraduate and Juris Doctorate degrees from Notre Dame. Mr. Van Auken is a member of the American Society of Corporate Secretaries and NAREIT's Insurance Committee and is a volunteer for Cleveland's Breakthrough Charter Schools. He has more than 29 years experience in transactional law, specializing in real estate and securities and is 55 years old.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information on Executive Compensation contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2013, is incorporated by reference in this report on Form 10-K. Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than April 30, 2013.

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Shareholder Matters

On May 4, 2011, our shareholders approved the 2011 Equity-Based Award Plan. The 2011 Plan provides for the grant to our officers, other employees and directors of options to purchase our common shares, rights to receive the appreciation in value of common shares, awards of common shares subject to vesting and restrictions on transfer, awards of common shares issuable in the future upon satisfaction of certain conditions and other awards based on common shares. Our 2001 and 2008 Plans were discontinued in May 2011 in conjunction with the shareholder approval of our 2011 Plan. For more information regarding all of our plans, see Note 17 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements presented in Part II, Item 8 of this report on Form 10-K.

The following table summarizes information about our common shares that may be issued upon exercise of options outstanding and the total number of securities available for future issuance under all of the existing compensation plans as of December 31, 2012:

| Plan Category | Number of Securities to be Issued Upon Exercise of Outstanding Options | Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options | Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in the First Column) |
|------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders | 769,184 | \$ 10.81 | 1,390,239 |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | - | - | - |
| | 769,184 | | 1,390,239 |

Share amounts in the above table do not include shares that may be issued to members of our Board of Directors who elect to defer cash compensation under the Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. See Part II, Item 7, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Results of Operations - General and Administrative Expenses."

Additionally, the information on Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2013, is incorporated by reference in this report on Form 10-K. Such information will be filed with the SEC no later April 30, 2013.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information on Certain Relationships and Related Transactions contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2013, is incorporated by reference in this report on Form 10-K. Such information will be filed with the SEC no later April 30, 2013.

Additionally, the information on Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2013, is incorporated by reference in this report on Form 10-K. Such information will be filed with the SEC no later April 30, 2013.

Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information on Principal Accountant Fees and Services contained in the Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held on May 8, 2013, is incorporated by reference in this report on Form 10-K. Such information will be filed with the SEC no later than April 30, 2013.

PART IV

Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a) The following documents are filed as part of this Report:

1. Consolidated Financial Statements:

Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.

Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

2. Financial Statement Schedules: The following financial statement schedules of Associated Estates Realty Corporation are filed as part of this Report and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements of Associated Estates Realty Corporation.

| Schedules | Page |
|----------------------------------------------|-------------|
| II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts | <u>F-37</u> |
| III Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation | <u>F-38</u> |

Schedules not listed above have been omitted because they are not applicable or not required or the information required to be set forth therein is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

3. Exhibits: The Exhibits listed on the accompanying Index to Exhibits are filed as part of, or incorporated by reference into, this Report.

POWER OF ATTORNEY

KNOW ALL MEN/WOMEN BY THESE PRESENTS, that each person whose signature appears below, hereby constitutes and appoints Jeffrey I. Friedman and Lou Fatica, or either of them, his attorneys-in-fact and agents, with full power of substitution and resubstitution for him in any and all capacities, to do all acts and things which said attorneys and agents, or either of them, deem advisable to enable the Company to comply with the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and any requirements or regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission in respect thereof, in connection with the Company's filing of an annual report on Form 10-K for the Company's fiscal year 2012, including specifically, but without limitation of the general authority hereby granted, the power and authority to sign his name as a director of the Company, as indicated below opposite his signature, to the Form 10-K, and any amendment thereto; and each of the undersigned does hereby fully ratify and confirm all that said attorneys and agents, or either of them, or the substitute of either of them, shall do or cause to be done by virtue hereof.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, on the 27th day of February, 2013.

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION

By: /s/ Jeffrey I. Friedman
 Jeffrey I. Friedman, Chairman of the Board and Chief
 Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities indicated on the 27th day of February, 2013.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| /s/ Jeffrey I. Friedman Jeffrey I. Friedman | Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer (Principal Executive Officer) | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Lou Fatica Lou Fatica | Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer) | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Albert T. Adams Albert T. Adams | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Michael E. Gibbons Michael E. Gibbons | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Mark L. Milstein Mark L. Milstein | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ James J. Sanfilippo James J. Sanfilippo | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ James A. Schoff James A. Schoff | Director | February 27, 2013 |
| /s/ Richard T. Schwarz Richard T. Schwarz | Director | February 27, 2013 |

INDEX TO EXHIBITS

| Number | Title | Filed herewith or incorporated herein by reference |
|--------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|
| 1.1 | Equity Distribution Agreement. | Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K filed August 10, 2010. |
| 1.1a | Amendment to Equity Distribution Agreement. | Exhibit 1.1a to Form 10-K filed February 23, 2012. |
| 1.2 | Underwriting Agreement dated September 28, 2010. | Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K filed October 4, 2010. |
| 1.2a | Underwriting Agreement dated June 22, 2012 by and among the Company and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, Citigroup Global Markets Inc. and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated, acting as representatives of the several underwriters named in Schedule II thereto. | Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K filed July 2, 2012. |
| 1.3 | Equity Distribution Agreement between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and Barclays Capital Inc. | Exhibit 1.1 to Form 8-K filed August 29, 2012. |
| 1.4 | Equity Distribution Agreement between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and Citigroup Global Markets Inc. | Exhibit 1.2 to Form 8-K filed August 29, 2012. |
| 1.5 | Equity Distribution Agreement between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and Jefferies & Company, Inc. | Exhibit 1.3 to Form 8-K filed August 29, 2012. |
| 1.6 | Equity Distribution Agreement between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. | Exhibit 1.4 to Form 8-K filed August 29, 2012. |
| 1.7 | Equity Distribution Agreement between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and Raymond James & Associates, Inc. | Exhibit 1.5 to Form 8-K filed August 29, 2012. |
| 3.1 | Second Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, as amended. | Exhibit 3.1 to Form 10-Q filed August 3, 2010. |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated Code of Regulations of the Company. | Exhibit 3.3 to Form 10-Q filed August 1, 2006. |
| 4.1 | Specimen Common Share Certificate. | Exhibit 4.1 to Form 10-Q filed November 3, 2009. |
| 4.1a | Amended and Restated Shareholders Rights Agreement dated December 30, 2008. | Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed December 30, 2008. |

- 4.2 Term Loan Agreement dated June 3, 2011 between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and PNC Bank, National Association and the several banks, financial institutions and other entities. Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed June 8, 2011.
- 4.2a First Amendment to Term Loan Agreement dated January 12, 2012, between Associated Estates Realty Corporation PNC Bank, National Association and the other banks and financial institutions. Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8-K filed January 17, 2012.
- 4.2b Second Amendment to Term Loan Agreement dated October 19, 2012, between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and PNC Bank, National Association and the other banks and financial institutions. Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed October 24, 2012.
- 4.2c Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement Dated January 12, 2012 among the Company, as Borrower and PNC Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent and PNC Capital Markets, LLC, as Co-Lead Arranger and Book Manager and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Co-Lead Arranger and Bank of America, N.A., as Co-Documentation Agent and CitiBank, N.A., as Co-Documentation Agent and RBS Citizens Bank, N.A., as Co-Documentation Agent and the several Lenders party thereto. Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8-K filed January 17, 2012.
- 4.3 Form of Promissory Note and Form of Mortgage and Security Agreement dated May 10, 1999 from the Company to The Chase Manhattan Bank. Exhibit 4.5 to Form 10-Q filed August 13, 1999.
- 4.3a Form of Promissory Note and Form of Mortgage and Security Agreement dated September 10, 1999 from the Company to The Chase Manhattan Bank. Exhibit 4.5a to Form 10-Q filed November 12, 1999.

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| Number | Title | Filed herewith or incorporated herein by reference |
|--------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 4.3b | Form of Promissory Note and Form of Mortgage and Security Agreement dated November 18, 1999 from the Company to The Chase Manhattan Bank. | Exhibit 4.5b to Form 10-K filed March 15, 2000. |
| 4.4a | First Amendment to Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement dated October 19, 2012 between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and PNC Bank, National Association and the several banks, financial institutions and other entities. | Exhibit 4.2 to Form 8K filed October 24, 2012. |
| 4.5 | Amended and Restated Credit Agreement Dated October 18, 2010 among the Company, as Borrower and PNC Bank, National Association as Administrative Agent and PNC Capital Markets, LLC, as Co-Lead Arranger and Book Manager and Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as Syndication Agent and Wells Fargo Securities, LLC, as Co-Lead Arranger and U.S. Bank National Association, as Documentation Agent and the several Lenders from time to time parties hereto, as Lenders. | Exhibit 4.12 to Form 10-Q filed November 2, 2010. |
| | Certain of the Company's assets are subject to mortgage obligations each of which individually relates to indebtedness totaling less than 10.0% of the total assets of the Registrant. The Registrant hereby agrees to furnish a copy of such agreements to the Commission upon its request. | |
| 4.6 | Note Purchase Agreement dated January 22, 2013 between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and the purchasers of the Notes party thereto (including the forms of 4.02% Senior Notes, Series A, due January 22, 2021 and 4.45% Senior Notes, Series B, due January 22, 2023). | Exhibit 4.1 to Form 8K filed January 25, 2013. |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between the Company and Jeffrey I. Friedman. | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 10-Q filed May 13, 1996. |
| 10.2a | Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and Jeffrey I. Friedman. | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8K filed January 2, 2013. |
| 10.2b | Employment Agreement between Associated Estates Realty Corporation and Martin A. Fishman dated October 25, 2010. | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed October 25, 2010. |
| 10.2c | Associated Estates Realty Corporation 2011 Equity-Based Award Plan. | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed May 4, 2011. |
| 10.3b | Form of Equity Award Agreement. | Exhibit 10.11 to Form 10-Q filed August 2, 2005. |

| | | |
|------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 10.5 | Form of Indemnification Agreement. | Exhibit 4.2 to Form S-11 filed September 2, 1993 (File No. 33-68276 as amended). |
| 10.6 | Amended 2008 Equity-Based Award Plan. | Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed May 13, 2008. |
| 10.7 | Amendment to Associated Estates Realty Corporation 2008 Equity-Based Award Plan. | Exhibit 10.7 to Form 10-K filed February 25, 2009. |
| 10.8 | Associated Estates Realty Corporation Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (Restated). | Exhibit 10.7b to Form 10-K filed February 25, 2009. |

| Number | Title | Filed herewith or incorporated herein by reference |
|--------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| 10.9 | Long Term Incentive Compensation Plan. | Exhibit 10.12 to Form 10-Q filed November 1, 2005. |
| 10.10 | Associated Estates Realty Corporation Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan. | Exhibit 10 to Form 10-K filed February 25, 2010. |
| 10.13 | Associated Estates Realty Corporation Elective Deferred Compensation Plan. | Exhibit 10.13 to Form 10-Q filed July 31, 2007. |
| 21.1 | List of Subsidiaries. | Exhibit 21.1 to Form 10-K filed herewith. |
| 23.1 | Consent of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. | Exhibit 23.1 to Form 10-K filed herewith. |
| 24 | Power of Attorney. | See the signature page to this report. |
| 31 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act. | Exhibit 31 to Form 10-K filed herewith. |
| 31.1 | Certification of Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act. | Exhibit 31.1 to Form 10-K filed herewith. |
| 32 | Certification of Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act. | Exhibit 32 to Form 10-K filed herewith. |

INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION

| Consolidated Financial Statements: | Page |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>F-2</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2012 and 2011</u> | <u>F-3</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income</u> for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 | <u>F-4</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u> for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 | <u>F-5</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 | <u>F-7</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | <u>F-8</u> |
| Financial Statement Schedules: | |
| <u>II - Valuation and Qualifying Accounts</u> | <u>F-37</u> |
| <u>III - Real Estate and Accumulated Depreciation at December 31, 2012</u> | <u>F-38</u> |
| All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable or the required information is shown in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto. | |

F-1

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of Associated Estates Realty Corporation:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of Associated Estates Realty Corporation and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2012, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2012, based on criteria established in Internal Control - Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in Management's Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting appearing under Item 9A. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedules, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

/s/PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP
Cleveland, Ohio
February 27, 2013

F-2

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| (In thousands, except share and per share amounts) | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| Real estate assets | | |
| Land | \$241,159 | \$195,267 |
| Buildings and improvements | 1,223,042 | 1,094,145 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 36,997 | 33,727 |
| Construction in progress | 10,449 | 22,300 |
| Gross real estate | 1,511,647 | 1,345,439 |
| Less: accumulated depreciation | (371,730) | (358,605) |
| Real estate, net | 1,139,917 | 986,834 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 4,740 | 4,328 |
| Restricted cash | 4,429 | 6,901 |
| Accounts receivable, net | | |
| Rents | 1,395 | 1,325 |
| Construction | — | 3,692 |
| Other | 553 | 779 |
| Other assets, net | 21,443 | 14,634 |
| Total assets | \$1,172,477 | \$1,018,493 |
| LIABILITIES AND EQUITY | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$376,278 | \$481,788 |
| Unsecured revolving credit facility | 190,500 | 58,000 |
| Unsecured debt | 150,000 | 125,000 |
| Total debt | 716,778 | 664,788 |
| Accounts payable and other liabilities | 32,865 | 28,189 |
| Dividends payable | 10,149 | 7,659 |
| Resident security deposits | 3,846 | 3,591 |
| Accrued interest | 2,363 | 2,710 |
| Total liabilities | 766,001 | 706,937 |
| Noncontrolling redeemable interest | 1,734 | 1,734 |
| Equity | | |
| Common shares, without par value; \$.10 stated value; 91,000,000 authorized 49,526,639 issued and outstanding at December 31, 2012 and 46,570,763 issued and 42,330,899 outstanding at December 31, 2011, respectively | 4,953 | 4,657 |
| Paid-in capital | 634,587 | 583,172 |
| Accumulated distributions in excess of accumulated net income | (233,208) | (228,545) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive loss | (2,934) | (405) |
| Less: Treasury shares, at cost, 0 and 4,239,864 shares at December 31, 2012 and December 31, 2011, respectively | — | (50,086) |
| Total shareholders' equity attributable to AERC | 403,398 | 308,793 |
| Noncontrolling interest | 1,344 | 1,029 |
| Total equity | 404,742 | 309,822 |
| Total liabilities and equity | \$1,172,477 | \$1,018,493 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-3

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS AND COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| REVENUE | | | |
| Property revenue | \$ 173,822 | \$ 148,198 | \$ 120,918 |
| Office revenue | 1,046 | — | — |
| Management and service company revenue | — | — | 817 |
| Construction and other services | — | 16,869 | 17,051 |
| Total revenue | 174,868 | 165,067 | 138,786 |
| EXPENSES | | | |
| Property operating and maintenance | 68,231 | 59,042 | 50,179 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 54,306 | 50,321 | 35,818 |
| Direct property management and service company expense | — | — | 745 |
| Construction and other services | 176 | 19,297 | 16,415 |
| General and administrative | 16,995 | 15,944 | 15,684 |
| Development costs | 864 | 435 | 208 |
| Costs associated with acquisitions | 798 | 539 | 599 |
| Total expenses | 141,370 | 145,578 | 119,648 |
| Operating income | 33,498 | 19,489 | 19,138 |
| Interest expense | (30,838) | (30,769) | (29,179) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | 2,660 | (11,280) | (10,041) |
| Income from discontinued operations: | | | |
| Operating income, net of interest expense | 1,102 | 2,051 | 1,211 |
| Gain on disposition of properties | 26,849 | 14,597 | 245 |
| Income from discontinued operations | 27,951 | 16,648 | 1,456 |
| Net income (loss) | 30,611 | 5,368 | (8,585) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (19) | (40) | (51) |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AERC | 30,592 | 5,328 | (8,636) |
| Preferred share dividends | — | — | (2,030) |
| Preferred share repurchase costs | — | — | (993) |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$30,592 | \$5,328 | \$(11,659) |
| Earnings per common share - basic: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares | \$0.06 | \$(0.27) | \$(0.43) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.05 |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$0.66 | \$0.13 | \$(0.38) |
| Earnings per common share - diluted: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares | \$0.06 | \$(0.27) | \$(0.43) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.05 |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$0.66 | \$0.13 | \$(0.38) |
| Comprehensive income: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$30,611 | \$5,368 | \$(8,585) |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | |
| Change in fair value and reclassification of hedge instruments | (2,529) | (405) | 1,420 |

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------|----------|---------|------------|
| Total comprehensive income (loss) | 28,082 | 4,963 | (7,165) |
| Comprehensive loss attributable to noncontrolling interests | (19) | (40) | (51) |
| Total comprehensive income (loss) attributable to AERC | \$28,063 | \$4,923 | \$(7,216) |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding - basic | 46,063 | 41,657 | 30,421 |
|---------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|

| | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Weighted average shares outstanding - diluted | 46,553 | 41,657 | 30,421 |
|-----------------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-4

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| (In thousands, except share amounts) | Preferred Shares | | Common Shares | | Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Distributions in Excess of Accumulated | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss | Treasury Shares (at Cost) | Noncontrolling Interest | Total |
|------------------------------------------------------|------------------|----------|---------------|---------|-----------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | Shares | Amount | Shares | Amount | | Net Income | Loss | Loss | | |
| Balance, December 31, 2009 | 193,050 | \$48,263 | 16,675,826 | \$2,300 | \$283,090 | \$(168,822) | \$(1,420) | \$(63,971) | \$— | \$99,440 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to AERC | — | — | — | — | — | (8,636) | — | — | — | (8,636) |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of hedge instruments | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1,420 | — | — | 1,420 |
| Noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | 1,040 | 1,040 |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | — | — | 1,936 | 9 | — | 1,155 | — | 3,100 |
| Reclassification of deferred directors' compensation | — | — | — | — | 2,233 | — | — | — | — | 2,233 |
| Purchase of common shares | — | — | (51,424) | — | — | — | — | (634) | — | (634) |
| Issuance of common shares | — | — | 23,575,000 | 2,357 | 286,328 | — | — | — | — | 288,685 |
| Option exercises from treasury shares | — | — | 615,724 | — | 414 | — | — | 5,004 | — | 5,418 |
| Purchase and retirement of Class B Series II | | | | | | | | | | |
| Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares | (193,050) | (48,263) | — | — | 993 | (993) | — | — | — | (48,263) |
| Restricted share activity, net | — | — | 565,079 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------|---|---|------------|-------|---------|------------|--------|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Common share dividends declared | — | — | — | — | — | (24,549) | — | — | — | (24,549) |
| Preferred share dividends declared | — | — | — | — | — | (2,030) | — | — | — | (2,030) |
| Balance, December 31, 2010 | — | — | 41,380,205 | 4,657 | 574,994 | (205,021) | — | (58,446) | 1,040 | 17,224 |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AERC | — | — | — | — | — | 5,328 | — | — | — | 5,328 |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of hedge instruments | — | — | — | — | — | — | (405) | — | — | (405) |
| Noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | — | (1) | (11) |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | — | — | 1,795 | 5 | — | 1,490 | — | 3,290 |
| Purchase of common shares | — | — | (55,168) | — | — | — | — | (857) | — | (857) |
| Issuance of common shares from treasury shares | — | — | 788,676 | — | 6,278 | — | — | 7,022 | — | 13,300 |
| Option exercises from treasury shares | — | — | 80,456 | — | 105 | — | — | 705 | — | 810 |
| Restricted share activity, net | — | — | 136,730 | — | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Common share dividends declared | — | — | — | — | — | (28,857) | — | — | — | (28,857) |
| Balance, December 31, 2011 | — | — | 42,330,899 | 4,657 | 583,172 | (228,545) | (405) | (50,086) | 1,029 | 909,822 |

F-5

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY - Continued

| | Preferred Shares | Common Shares | Paid-in Capital | Accumulated Distributions in Excess of | Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income | Treasury Shares | Noncontrolling Interest | Total | |
|------------------------------------------------|---------------------|---------------|--------------------|----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|--------------------|----------------------------|----------|------------|
| | Amount | Amount | Amount | Net Income | Loss | (at Cost) | Interest | Total | |
| Net income (loss) attributable to AERC | — | — | — | 30,592 | — | — | — | 30,592 | |
| Other comprehensive income: | | | | | | | | | |
| Change in fair value of hedge instruments | — | — | — | — | (2,529) | — | — | (2,529) | |
| Noncontrolling interest cash contribution | — | — | — | — | — | — | 350 | 350 | |
| Net (loss) income from noncontrolling interest | — | — | — | — | — | — | (35) | (35) | |
| Share-based compensation | — | — | — | 2,379 | 2 | — | 1,916 | 4,297 | |
| Purchase of common shares | — | (59,458) | — | — | — | — | (959) | (959) | |
| Issuance of common shares | — | 7,066,166 | 296 | 48,763 | — | — | 49,090 | 98,149 | |
| Option exercises | — | 35,000 | — | 273 | — | — | 39 | 312 | |
| Restricted share activity, net | — | 154,032 | — | — | — | — | — | — | |
| Common share dividends declared | — | — | — | (35,257) | — | — | — | (35,257) | |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 | — | \$ 49,526,639 | \$ 4,953 | \$ 634,587 | \$ (233,208) | \$ (2,934) | \$ — | \$ 1,344 | \$ 404,742 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|------------|------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash flow from operating activities: | | | |
| Net income (loss) | \$30,611 | \$5,368 | \$(8,585) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation and amortization (including discontinued operations) | 55,544 | 53,930 | 39,639 |
| Loss on fixed asset replacements write-off | 68 | 22 | 69 |
| Gain on disposition of properties | (26,849) | (14,597) | — |
| Gain on insurance recoveries | — | — | (245) |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and other, net | 2,168 | 1,970 | 2,142 |
| Share-based compensation | 4,055 | 3,352 | 3,069 |
| Net change in assets and liabilities: | | | |
| Accounts receivable | 3,806 | 5,466 | (8,414) |
| Accounts payable and accrued expenses | 1,197 | (2,356) | 6,186 |
| Other operating assets and liabilities | 6 | 162 | (350) |
| Total adjustments | 39,995 | 47,949 | 42,096 |
| Net cash flow provided by operations | 70,606 | 53,317 | 33,511 |
| Cash flow from investing activities: | | | |
| Recurring fixed asset additions | (10,659) | (9,815) | (7,067) |
| Revenue enhancing/non-recurring fixed asset additions | (1,655) | (1,746) | (7,945) |
| Acquisition fixed asset additions | (159,506) | (138,001) | (255,108) |
| Development fixed asset additions | (47,701) | (27,270) | (11,175) |
| Net proceeds from disposition of operating properties | 64,422 | 28,961 | — |
| Other investing activity | (2,220) | 1,538 | (2,137) |
| Net cash flow used for investing activities | (157,319) | (146,333) | (283,432) |
| Cash flow from financing activities: | | | |
| Principal amortization payments on mortgage notes payable | (3,058) | (2,860) | (3,122) |
| Principal repayments of mortgage notes payable | (178,239) | (53,317) | (78,268) |
| Payment of debt procurement costs | (3,546) | (1,689) | (3,875) |
| Proceeds from mortgage notes obtained | 48,513 | 74,800 | 57,000 |
| Proceeds from term loan borrowings | 25,000 | 125,000 | — |
| Revolving credit facility borrowings | 522,300 | 251,000 | 288,950 |
| Revolving credit facility repayments | (389,800) | (285,500) | (208,950) |
| Principal repayments of unsecured trust preferred securities | — | — | (25,780) |
| Common share dividends paid | (32,406) | (28,089) | (19,821) |
| Preferred share dividends paid | — | — | (2,030) |
| Operating partnership distributions paid | (54) | (50) | (51) |
| Purchase of operating partnership units | — | — | (59) |
| Exercise of stock options | 312 | 810 | 5,418 |
| Issuance of common shares | 98,149 | 13,300 | 288,835 |
| Purchase of treasury shares | (959) | (857) | (634) |
| Redemption of preferred shares | — | — | (48,263) |
| Noncontrolling interest investment in partnership | 350 | — | 1,040 |
| Other financing activities, net | 563 | 426 | 301 |
| Net cash flow provided by financing activities | 87,125 | 92,974 | 250,691 |

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 412 | (42 |) 770 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period | 4,328 | 4,370 | 3,600 |
| Cash and cash equivalents, end of period | \$4,740 | \$4,328 | \$4,370 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

F-7

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. BUSINESS

We are a fully-integrated, self-administered and self-managed equity real estate investment trust ("REIT") specializing in multifamily ownership, operation, acquisition, development, construction, disposition and property management activities. Our primary source of income is rental revenue. We own a taxable REIT subsidiary that performs construction services for our own account in connection with the development of multifamily properties that we own and operate. This taxable REIT subsidiary also previously performed construction services for third parties. In 2011, we decided to exit the third party construction services business and our work under all third party construction contracts was substantially completed as of December 31, 2011. During 2010, a second taxable REIT subsidiary completed its final asset management service contract for a third party owner. As of December 31, 2012, our operating portfolio consisted of 52 apartment communities containing 13,950 units in ten states that are owned, either directly or indirectly through subsidiaries. Additionally, in May 2012, in conjunction with our acquisition of land for development of an apartment community, we acquired an office building in Los Angeles, California containing approximately 78,800 total square feet (unaudited) of office and retail space.

2. SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Principles of Consolidation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of all subsidiaries, any REIT subsidiaries (which are taxed as Taxable REIT Subsidiaries ("TRS") under the REIT Modernization Act ("RMA") implemented in 1999), an Operating Partnership structured as a DownREIT that owns one of our apartment communities in Florida and in which we own an aggregate 97.6% equity interest as of December 31, 2012 and 2011, a partnership in which we are a 90.0% partner at December 31, 2012 that owns our development property in Nashville, Tennessee and a partnership in which we were a 97.0% partner at December 31, 2012 that owns a property in Bethesda, Maryland. Limited partnership interests held by others in the Operating Partnership we control are reflected as "Noncontrolling redeemable interest" in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the partnerships in which we are a 90.0% and 97.0% partner are reflected as "Noncontrolling interest" in the Consolidated Balance Sheets. Capital contributions, distributions and profits and losses are allocated to noncontrolling interests in accordance with the terms of the Operating Partnership agreement and the partnership agreements, respectively. The DownREIT structure enabled us to acquire multifamily real estate assets in an operating partnership entity that is separate from other properties that we own. In the DownREIT structure, the limited partners originally contributed two real estate assets to the operating partnership and, in return, received partnership units entitling them to a share of the profits, based on the number of operating partnership units. One of the properties was sold in October 2005. The operating partnership units entitle the holders to exchange their partnership units at any time for common shares or to redeem partnership units for cash (at our option). We are the general partner of the DownREIT Operating Partnership. All significant intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

We own 100% of the common stock of all taxable REIT subsidiaries included in our consolidated financial statements.

Segment Reporting

Substantially all of our properties are multifamily communities that have similar economic characteristics and offer similar products and services, and as such, our apartment communities have been aggregated into one reportable segment. Management evaluates the performance of our properties on an individual basis. Our subsidiary, Merit Enterprises, Inc. ("Merit"), is a general contractor and construction manager that acts as our in-house construction division. Merit also formerly provided general contracting and construction management services to third parties. We previously reported construction and other services as a separate segment, however, we are no longer engaged in the third party construction business and all third party projects were substantially completed at the end of 2011. During the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, substantially all of our consolidated revenue was provided by our multifamily properties. As a result, we have determined that as of December 31, 2012, we have one reportable segment which is multifamily properties.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the consolidated financial statements in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities, disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from these estimates.

Cash Equivalents

Highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less when purchased are considered to be cash equivalents.

Real Estate Capitalization Policies and Depreciation

Real estate assets are stated at cost less accumulated depreciation. Depreciation is provided on a straight-line basis over the estimated useful lives of the assets as follows:

| | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------|
| Buildings and improvements | 5 - 30 years |
| Furniture, fixtures and equipment | 5 - 10 years |

We capitalize replacements and improvements, such as HVAC equipment, structural replacements, windows, appliances, flooring, carpeting and kitchen/bath replacements and renovations. Ordinary repairs and maintenance, such as unit cleaning, painting and appliance repairs, are expensed when incurred.

We allocate the purchase price of properties acquired to net tangible and identified intangible assets acquired based on their fair values. In making estimates of fair values for purposes of allocating purchase price, we utilize a number of sources, including analysis provided by an advisor, independent appraisals that may be obtained in connection with the acquisition or financing of the respective property, our own analysis of recently acquired and existing comparable properties in our portfolio and other market data. The intangible assets are amortized over the remaining lease terms or estimated life of the tenant relationship, which is generally 12 months. Due to the short term nature of residential leases, we believe that existing lease rates approximate market rates; therefore, no allocation is made for above/below market leases. The intangible assets associated with one commercial lease are being amortized over the life of the lease which is 60 months.

For properties under development, we capitalize interest costs on funds used in construction, real estate taxes and insurance from the commencement of development activity through the time the property is ready for leasing. Costs associated with the lease up of development properties are not capitalized. We also capitalize internal costs, which are primarily payroll but may also include costs such as travel, lodging and temporary construction facilities, that are directly attributable to the construction of a property or asset. Capitalized payroll costs are allocated to projects based upon time allocations for the applicable personnel. Capitalized costs related to development and construction are transferred to buildings and improvements and/or furniture and fixtures, as applicable, upon substantial completion of the project. Total capitalized interest during 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$1.5 million, \$740,000 and \$300,000, respectively. Total capitalized payroll costs during 2012, 2011 and 2010 were \$2.4 million, \$875,000 and \$413,000, respectively.

We discontinue the depreciation of assets that we have specifically identified as held for sale. There were no properties classified as held for sale at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Classification of Fixed Asset Additions

We define recurring fixed asset additions to a property as capital expenditures made to replace worn out assets to maintain the property's value. Revenue enhancing/non-recurring fixed asset additions are defined as capital expenditures that increase the value of the property and/or enable us to increase rents. Acquisition/development fixed asset additions are defined as capital expenditures for the purchase or construction of new properties to be added to our portfolio, or fixed asset additions identified at the time of purchase that are not made until subsequent periods.

Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Our held for investment real estate assets are assessed for impairment if current events or circumstances, such as significant adverse changes in general market conditions, decreases in property net operating income, or reductions in expected future cash flows, indicate that the carrying value (cost less accumulated depreciation) may not be recoverable. Factors we consider in evaluating impairment of real estate assets held for investment include estimated future growth rates, capitalization rates, occupancy assumptions, current expectations regarding the holding period for the individual assets and external data to the extent available. Real estate assets held for investment are not considered impaired if the undiscounted, estimated future cash flows of the asset (both the annual estimated cash flow from future operations and the estimated cash flow from the theoretical sale of the asset) over its estimated holding period are in excess of the asset's net book value at the measurement date. If the carrying value of any real estate asset held for investment is not recoverable, an impairment loss is recorded to reduce the carrying value of the asset to its fair value. No impairments were recorded for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010.

We periodically classify real estate assets as held for sale. Upon the classification of a real estate asset as held for sale, we estimate its fair value less costs to sell. If the fair value less costs to sell is less than the carrying value, then we reduce the carrying value to the fair value less costs to sell. Subsequent to the classification of assets as held for sale, no further depreciation expense is recorded. There were no properties recorded as held for sale at December 31, 2012 and 2011.

Deferred Financing Costs

Costs incurred in obtaining long-term financing are deferred and amortized over the life of the associated instrument using the effective interest method.

Intangible Assets and Goodwill

Intangible assets, not subject to amortization and goodwill, are tested for impairment annually, or more frequently, if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. See Note 5 for additional information related to intangible assets and goodwill.

Property Revenue Recognition

Our residential property leases are for terms of generally one year or less. Rental income is recognized on a straight-line basis over the term of the lease.

Rent concessions, including free rent incurred in connection with residential property leases, are amortized on a straight-line basis over the terms of the related leases (generally one year) and are charged as a reduction of rental revenue.

Property Management Revenue

During 2010, we terminated all remaining management contracts with third parties, both property and asset management contracts, and as such, we will no longer record such fees.

Advertising Costs

We recognize advertising costs as expense when incurred. The total amount charged to advertising expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, were \$2.0 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.5 million, respectively.

Share-Based Compensation

We account for share based compensation using the fair value method to recognize compensation cost. See Note 17 for information about share-based compensation and our equity based award plans.

Noncontrolling Redeemable Interest

In 1998, in conjunction with the acquisition of an operating partnership that owned two apartment communities, one of which was sold in October 2005, we issued a total of 522,032 operating partnership units ("OP units"). Holders of OP units are entitled to receive cumulative distributions per OP unit equal to the per share distributions on our common shares. If and when the OP units are presented for redemption at the option of the holder, we have the option to redeem, in certain circumstances, the OP units for common shares exchangeable on a one-for-one basis, or the cash equivalent amount, determined as the average closing price for our common shares over the 20-day period preceding the redemption. As of December 31, 2012, all units presented to date for redemption had been redeemed for cash. During 2010, there were 4,252 OP units redeemed for a total of \$59,000. No OP Units were redeemed during 2012 and 2011. There were 74,083 OP units remaining as of December 31, 2012.

Noncontrolling Interest

On July 14, 2011, we entered into a partnership agreement with Keating Project Development, Inc., an unrelated third-party, pursuant to which we hold a 97.0% equity interest in the partnership. In March 2012, the partnership acquired a 2.5 acre parcel of land (unaudited) in Bethesda, Maryland, for \$12.2 million that it intends to use for the development of approximately 140 multifamily units (unaudited) and 7,000 square feet of retail space (unaudited). We have determined that this entity is not a variable interest entity and that we hold a controlling interest in the entity. As such, this entity is included in our consolidated financial statements. We have also determined that the noncontrolling interest in this entity meets the criteria to be classified as a component of permanent equity.

On September 24, 2010, we entered into a partnership agreement with Bristol Development Group, an unrelated third-party, for the development of Vista Germantown, a 242-unit apartment community located in downtown Nashville, Tennessee. We contributed \$9.4 million to the partnership and held a 90.0% equity interest at December 31, 2012. We have determined that this entity is not a variable interest entity and that we hold a controlling interest in the entity. As such, this entity is included in our consolidated financial statements. We have also determined that the noncontrolling interest in this entity meets the criterion to be classified as a component of permanent equity. In February 2013 we funded the redemption of the interest of the minority 10% partner of this partnership and now hold a 100% interest.

Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

We have utilized interest rate swaps and caps to add stability to interest expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts in exchange for fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying principal amount. Interest rate caps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts if interest rates rise above a certain level in exchange for an upfront premium.

We do not use derivatives for trading or speculative purposes. Further, we have a policy of only entering into contracts with major financial institutions based upon their credit ratings and other factors. When viewed in conjunction with the underlying and offsetting exposure that the derivatives are designed to hedge, we have not sustained a material loss from these hedges.

We record all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative and the resulting designation. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives used to hedge the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows or other types of forecasted transactions are considered cash flow hedges.

For derivatives designated as fair value hedges, changes in the fair value of the derivative and the hedged item related to the hedged risk are recognized in earnings. For derivatives designated as cash flow hedges, the effective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is initially reported in other comprehensive income (outside of earnings) and subsequently reclassified to earnings when the hedged transaction affects earnings, and the ineffective portion of changes in the fair value of the derivative is recognized directly in earnings. Hedge ineffectiveness is measured by comparing the changes in fair value or cash flows of the derivative hedging instrument with the changes in fair value or cash flows of the designated hedged item or transaction. For derivatives not designated as hedges, changes in fair value are recognized in earnings. See Note 10 for additional information related to our derivative and hedging activities.

Treasury Shares

We record the purchase of treasury shares at cost. From time to time, we may reissue these shares. When shares are reissued, we account for the issuance based on the "first in, first out" method. For additional information regarding treasury shares, see Note 14. We commonly use our treasury shares to provide for restricted share award grants and acquire treasury shares upon the forfeiture of restricted shares, upon the termination of employment prior to vesting and upon surrender of restricted shares in satisfaction of income tax liabilities upon vesting events.

Comprehensive Income

In June 2011, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued ASU No. 2011-05, Presentation of Comprehensive Income, which amends ASC 220, Comprehensive Income. This ASU requires the presentation of total comprehensive income, total net income and the components of net income and comprehensive income in either a single continuous statement or in two separate but consecutive statements. This updated guidance eliminates the option to present the components of other comprehensive income as part of the statement of changes in equity. This updated guidance applies to fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, commencing after December 15, 2011. We adopted this guidance effective January 1, 2012.

Reclassifications

Certain reclassifications have been made to the 2011 and 2010 consolidated financial statements to conform to the 2012 presentation.

3. ACQUISITION, DEVELOPMENT, CONSTRUCTION AND DISPOSITION ACTIVITY

Acquisition Activity

The following table provides information on operating properties acquired during 2012 (purchase price in thousands):

| Acquisition Date | Property | Units | Purchase Price |
|------------------|---------------------------------------|-------|----------------|
| August 28, 2012 | The Park at Crossroads ⁽¹⁾ | 344 | \$35,200 |
| July 23, 2012 | 21-Forty Medical District | 396 | 53,350 |
| July 17, 2012 | Southpoint Village | 211 | 34,800 |
| May 23, 2012 | The Apartments at the Arboretum | 205 | 39,250 |
| May 8, 2012 | Desmond's Tower ⁽²⁾ | — | 20,000 |
| | | 1,156 | \$182,600 |

(1) Assumed a \$24.9 million loan, with a fair value of \$27.6 million.

In conjunction with our May 2012 acquisition of land for development of an apartment community, we acquired an operating office building. This amount represents the purchase price allocation of the office building component only. The purchase price allocation of \$17.3 million, representing the parking lot to be used for development, is included in the "Future Development Pipeline - Unimproved Land" table below.

The following table provides information on operating properties acquired during 2011 (purchase price in thousands):

| Acquisition Date | Property | Units | Purchase Price |
|------------------|----------------------------|-------|----------------|
| October 17, 2011 | The Brixton ⁽¹⁾ | 224 | \$21,000 |
| August 9, 2011 | Dwell Vienna Metro | 250 | 82,600 |
| June 15, 2011 | Waterstone at Wellington | 222 | 32,900 |
| | | 696 | \$136,500 |

(1) Assumed a \$12.3 million loan, with a fair value of \$12.9 million.

The following table provides information on operating properties acquired during 2010 (purchase price in thousands):

| Acquisition Date | Property | Units | Purchase Price |
|--------------------|-------------------|-------|----------------|
| December 14, 2010 | Westwind Farms | 464 | \$89,500 |
| October 12, 2010 | San Raphael | 222 | 20,600 |
| September 15, 2010 | The Ashborough | 504 | 90,200 |
| May 18, 2010 | Riverside Station | 304 | 54,300 |
| | | 1,494 | \$254,600 |

We expensed costs totaling approximately \$798,000 associated with the acquisition of the five operating properties acquired during 2012, which are included in "Costs associated with acquisitions." The amount of revenue and net income (loss) related to the 2012 acquisitions that is included in our Consolidated Statements of Operations and the pro forma financial information as if these acquisitions had occurred on January 1, 2011, are presented in the following table. This pro forma information is presented for informational purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of what our actual results of operations would have been had the acquisitions occurred at such time.

| (Unaudited; in thousands, except per share data) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Actual revenue from acquisitions | \$7,978 | \$4,599 | \$6,594 |
| Actual net income (loss) from acquisitions | 51 | (1,068) | (750) |
| Pro forma revenue | 184,997 | 199,716 | 178,069 |
| Pro forma net income (loss) applicable to common shares | 33,898 | 2,712 | (15,227) |
| Pro forma earnings per common share - basic: | | | |
| Pro forma net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$0.74 | \$0.07 | \$(0.50) |
| Pro forma earnings per common share - diluted: | | | |
| Pro forma net income (loss) applicable to common shares | \$0.73 | \$0.07 | \$(0.50) |

The purchase price allocations for the operating properties acquired were as follows:

| (In thousands) | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Land | \$25,638 | \$20,056 |
| Buildings and improvements | 150,028 | 110,224 |
| Furniture and fixtures | 3,197 | 2,319 |
| Existing leases and tenant relationships (Other assets) ⁽¹⁾ | 6,313 | 4,381 |
| Debt assumed ^{(2) (3)} | (27,589) | (12,892) |
| Other liabilities | 101 | — |
| Total cash paid | \$157,688 | \$124,088 |

⁽¹⁾ See Note 5 for additional information related to intangible assets identified as existing leases and tenant relationships.

⁽²⁾ Fair value amount of loan assumed in 2012 at the time of acquisition; actual principal amount of loan assumed was \$24.9 million.

⁽³⁾ Fair value amount of loan assumed in 2011 at the time of acquisition; actual principal amount of loan assumed was \$12.3 million.

Development Activity

Completed Development

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| Completed | Ownership % | Total Units | Total Capital Cost ⁽¹⁾ | Costs to Date | Actual Dates for | |
|--------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------|--------------------------------|-------------------------------------|
| | | | | | Construction Start (Unaudited) | Construction Completion (Unaudited) |
| Vista Germantown Nashville, TN | 90.0 | % ⁽²⁾ 242 | \$36,447 | \$36,447 | Q4 2010 | Q3 2012 |
| Total | | 242 | \$36,447 | \$36,447 | | |

⁽¹⁾Total capital cost represents actual costs inclusive of all capitalized costs.

⁽²⁾As of December 31, 2012. See also Note 7 and Note 19.

Current Development

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| Under Construction | Ownership % | Planned Total Units (Unaudited) | Total | | | Actual Construction Start (Unaudited) | Estimated Construction Completion (Unaudited) |
|------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|---------------|------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|
| | | | Budgeted Capital Cost ⁽¹⁾ (Unaudited) | Costs to Date | Total Debt | | |
| San Raphael Phase II Dallas, TX | 100.0 % | 99 | \$ 13,750 | \$4,933 | \$— | Q2 2012 | Q4 2013 |
| Bethesda Bethesda, MD | 97.0 % ⁽²⁾ | 140 | \$ 53,400 | \$15,762 | \$— | Q4 2012 | Q1 2015 |
| Total | | 239 | \$ 67,150 | \$20,695 | \$— | | |

Future Development Pipeline - Unimproved Land

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

| Name | Location | Ownership % | Estimated | |
|-------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|----------------------------------------|---------------|
| | | | Total Units ⁽³⁾ (Unaudited) | Costs to Date |
| Turtle Creek | Dallas, Texas | 100.0% | 249 | \$8,563 |
| The Desmond on Wilshire | Los Angeles, California | 100.0% | 175 | 18,886 |
| Total | | | 424 | \$27,449 |

(1) Total capital cost represents estimated costs for projects under development inclusive of all capitalized costs.

(2) Ownership percentage based on current equity of the joint venture and is subject to change based on changes in total equity. Joint venture partner contribution is \$350.

(3) Based on current projections as of February 12, 2013.

Construction Activity

Our subsidiary, Merit, is engaged as a general contractor and construction manager that acts as our in-house construction division and also has provided general contracting and construction management services to third parties. As of December 31, 2011, Merit was no longer engaged in the third party construction business.

Disposition Activity

We report the results of operations and gain/loss related to the sale of real estate assets as discontinued operations. Real estate assets that are classified as held for sale are reported as discontinued operations for the current and all prior periods. We classify properties as held for sale when all significant contingencies surrounding the closing have been resolved. In most transactions, these contingencies are not satisfied until the actual closing of the transaction. Properties held for sale are measured at the lower of the carrying amount or the fair value less the cost to sell. Subsequent to classification of a property as held for sale, no further depreciation is recorded. Interest expense included in discontinued operations is limited to interest and any defeasance/prepayment costs on mortgage debt, specifically associated with properties sold or classified as held for sale.

During 2012, we completed the sale of six properties for a total sales price of \$67.3 million. Four of the properties were located in Western Michigan, one in Central Ohio, and one in Georgia. We recognized total gains of \$26.8 million related to these sales.

During 2011, we completed the sale of two properties located in Central Ohio for a total sales price of \$30.5 million. We recognized total gains of \$14.6 million related to these sales.

Gains from property sales are included in "Income from discontinued operations."

F-15

The following chart summarizes "Income from discontinued operations" for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively:

| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--------------------------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Property revenue | \$5,334 | \$14,101 | \$14,929 |
| Property operating and maintenance expense | 2,748 | 7,028 | 7,406 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 1,238 | 3,609 | 3,821 |
| Total expenses | 3,986 | 10,637 | 11,227 |
| Operating income | 1,348 | 3,464 | 3,702 |
| Interest expense | (246) | (1,413) | (2,491) |
| Operating income, net of interest expense | 1,102 | 2,051 | 1,211 |
| Gain on disposition of properties | 26,849 | 14,597 | 245 |
| Income from discontinued operations | \$27,951 | \$16,648 | \$1,456 |

During 2012, in conjunction with the acquisition of Desmond's Tower and the adjacent parking lot and the sale of six properties, we engaged Hancock Real Estate Strategies ("HRES"), a full service investment real estate brokerage and advisory firm to provide real estate brokerage services. HRES is owned by Matthew E. Friedman, a son of our CEO. The total value of these transactions was \$104.6 million, and as payment for these services HRES received commissions totaling \$1.6 million. These transactions were approved by the independent Directors in compliance with Company policy.

4. RESTRICTED CASH

Restricted cash, some of which is required by our lenders, includes residents' security deposits, reserve funds for replacements and other escrows held for the future payment of real estate taxes and insurance. The reserve funds for replacements are intended to provide cash to defray future costs that may be incurred to maintain the associated property.

Restricted cash is comprised of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 31, | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Resident security deposits | \$1,265 | \$1,247 |
| Other escrows | 762 | 1,213 |
| Escrows and reserve funds for replacements required by mortgages | 2,402 | 4,441 |
| | \$4,429 | \$6,901 |

Restricted resident security deposits are held in separate bank accounts in the name of the properties for which the funds are being held. Other escrows represent primarily retainage for subcontractor vendors related to projects under development. These funds are held in short-term investments.

5. GOODWILL AND OTHER ASSETS

Goodwill

We have a policy of completing our annual review of goodwill during the first quarter of each year and more frequently if events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. The review that was completed during the three months ended March 31, 2012, determined that goodwill was not impaired and no other events have occurred which would require that goodwill be reevaluated, as such, there were no changes to the carrying value of goodwill as of December 31, 2012. In performing this analysis, we use a multiple of revenues to the range of potential alternatives. We then assign a probability to the various alternatives under our consideration. Should the estimates used to determine alternatives or the probabilities of the occurrence thereof change, impairment may result which could materially impact our results of operations for the period in which it is recorded.

Other Assets, Net

Other assets, net, consist of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 31, | |
|--------------------------------|--------------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Intangible assets | \$ 8,985 | \$ 4,381 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (4,888 |) (1,708 |
| | 4,097 | 2,673 |
| Prepaid expenses | 3,977 | 4,046 |
| Deferred financing costs, net | 6,485 | 5,484 |
| Other assets | 6,884 | 2,431 |
| | \$ 21,443 | \$ 14,634 |

Property Acquisitions. We allocate a portion of the total purchase price of a property acquisition to any intangible assets identified, such as existing leases and tenant relationships. The intangible assets are amortized over the remaining lease terms or estimated life of the tenant relationship which is approximately 12 months. Due to the short term nature of residential leases, we believe that existing lease rates approximate market rates; therefore, no allocation is made for above/below market leases. The intangible assets associated with one commercial lease are being amortized over the life of the lease, which is 60 months.

In connection with four multifamily property acquisitions completed during 2012, as discussed in Note 3, we recorded total intangible assets in the amount of \$4.4 million related to existing leases and tenant relationships, which are being amortized over 12 months.

In connection with one acquisition completed during 2012, as discussed in Note 3, we recorded an intangible asset in the amount of \$1.9 million related to one commercial lease, which is being amortized over the 60-month life of the lease.

In connection with three multifamily property acquisitions completed during 2011, as discussed in Note 3, we recorded total intangible assets in the amount of \$4.4 million related to existing leases and tenant relationships, which were amortized over 12 months.

Information related to existing leases and tenant relationships consist of the following:

| (In thousands) | December 31, | |
|---------------------------------|--------------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross carrying amount | \$ 8,985 | \$ 4,381 |
| Less: accumulated amortization | (4,888 |) (1,708 |
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 4,097 | \$ 2,673 |

The aggregate intangible asset amortization expense for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$4.9 million, \$8.0 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

As of December 31, 2012, the scheduled amortization for each of the next five years are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------|---------|
| 2013 | \$2,836 |
| 2014 | 374 |
| 2015 | 374 |
| 2016 | 373 |
| 2017 | 140 |
| | \$4,097 |

Gross deferred tax assets of \$6.9 million and \$6.2 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively, are also included in intangible assets. See Note 9 for additional information related to income taxes.

Deferred Financing Costs

Amortization expense for deferred financing costs including amortization classified in income from discontinued operations was \$2.1 million, \$2.0 million and \$1.4 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

6. DEBT

The following table identifies our total debt outstanding and weighted average interest rates as of December 31, 2012 and 2011:

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) | December 31, 2012 | | December 31, 2011 | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|--|---|
| | Balance Outstanding | Weighted Average Interest Rate | Balance Outstanding | Weighted Average Interest Rate | | |
| Fixed Rate Debt: | | | | | | |
| Secured | \$376,278 | 5.4 | % \$433,743 | 5.8 | | % |
| Total Fixed Rate Debt | 376,278 | 5.4 | % 433,743 | 5.8 | | % |
| Variable Rate Debt Hedged: | | | | | | |
| Secured | — | — | % 33,728 | 4.7 | | % |
| Unsecured - term loan ⁽¹⁾ | 125,000 | 1.9 | % 125,000 | 2.3 | | % |
| Total Variable Rate Debt Hedged | 125,000 | 1.9 | % 158,728 | 2.8 | | % |
| Variable Rate Debt Unhedged: | | | | | | |
| Secured | — | — | % 14,317 | 3.6 | | % |
| Unsecured - revolver | 190,500 | 1.7 | % 58,000 | 2.9 | | % |
| Unsecured - term loan | 25,000 | 1.9 | % — | — | | % |
| Total Variable Rate Debt Unhedged | 215,500 | 1.7 | % 72,317 | 3.1 | | % |
| Total Debt | \$716,778 | 3.7 | % \$664,788 | 4.8 | | % |

We entered into a forward starting swap in December 2011 fixing the rate beginning in June 2013 until June 2016 (1) at a rate of 1.26% plus the credit spread (which was 1.7% at December 31, 2012), or an all-in rate of 2.96%. The loan matures in January 2018.

Real estate assets pledged as collateral for all debt had a net book value of \$418.4 million and \$515.2 million at December 31, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

As of December 31, 2012, the scheduled debt maturities for each of the next five years and thereafter, are as follows (in thousands):

| | |
|------------|-----------|
| 2013 | \$130,498 |
| 2014 | 44,538 |
| 2015 | 20,313 |
| 2016 | 234,199 |
| 2017 | — |
| Thereafter | 287,230 |
| | \$716,778 |

Cash paid for interest net of capitalized interest was \$29.1 million, \$30.8 million and \$30.2 million for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Capitalized interest was \$1.5 million, \$740,000 and \$300,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

During 2008, 2007 and 2006, we defeased 21 CMBS loans. These loans were defeased pursuant to the terms of the underlying loan documents. We removed those financial assets and the mortgage loans from our financial records. All risk of loss associated with these defeasances have been transferred from us to the successor borrower and any ongoing relationship between the successor borrower and us was deemed inconsequential at the time of completion of the respective transfers. However, we subsequently learned that for certain defeasance transactions completed prior to June 2007, the successor borrower may be able to prepay the loans thus enabling us to receive a refund of a portion of the costs incurred in connection with the transaction. We received defeasance refunds of \$279,000 and \$553,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012 and 2010, respectively, which were included as a reduction to interest expense. We will not have the right to receive any further defeasance refunds in respect to these defeased loans as all loans have now matured and have been repaid in full.

The following table provides information on loans repaid as well as loans obtained during 2012:

| (Dollar amounts in thousands) Property | Loans Repaid | | Loans Obtained/Assumed | | |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| | Amount | Interest Rate ⁽⁴⁾ | Amount | Interest Rate | Maturity |
| Arbor Landings | \$ 16,074 | 7.9% | \$— | N/A | N/A |
| Bradford at Easton | 12,109 | 7.9% | — | N/A | N/A |
| Center Point | 16,500 | 5.8% | — | N/A | N/A |
| Residence at Barrington | 19,500 | 5.8% | — | N/A | N/A |
| River Forest | 18,325 | 5.7% | ⁽⁵⁾ — | N/A | N/A |
| The Belvedere | 25,280 | 5.6% | ⁽⁵⁾ — | N/A | N/A |
| The Falls | 15,660 | 7.9% | — | N/A | N/A |
| Vista Germantown | 21,663 | 3.5% | — | N/A | N/A |
| Courtney Chase | 20,161 | 4.6% | ⁽⁵⁾ — | N/A | N/A |
| Steeplechase | 12,967 | 4.6% | ⁽⁵⁾ — | N/A | N/A |
| Dwell Vienna Metro | — | N/A | 41,200 | ⁽¹⁾ 3.7% | June 2022 |
| The Park at Crossroads | — | N/A | 27,589 | ⁽²⁾ 3.1% | ⁽²⁾ August 2016 |
| Total / weighted average rate | \$ 178,239 | 5.8% | ⁽³⁾ \$ 68,789 | 3.5% | ⁽³⁾ |

(1) Debt procurement costs related to this loan were \$380.

(2) Fair value amount of loan assumed at time of acquisition. The principal balance at time of acquisition was \$24.9 million and with an interest rate of 6.3%. Debt procurement costs related to this loan were \$280.

(3) Represents weighted average interest rate for the loans listed.

(4) Represents interest rate at the time of repayment.

(5) In conjunction with the prepayment of four loans we incurred \$2.1 million of prepayment penalties and \$350 in write-offs of deferred financing costs, which are included in "Interest expense."

Mortgage Notes Payable

At December 31, 2012, mortgage notes payable consisted of 15 project specific loans and, except for five properties, are non-cross-collateralized. Each loan is collateralized by the respective real estate and resident leases.

At December 31, 2011, mortgage notes payable consisted of 17 project specific loans. Each loan is collateralized by the respective real estate and resident leases. One construction loan was collateralized by the development property located in Nashville, Tennessee and five cross-collateralized, cross-defaulted loans are secured by the real estate and resident leases at five properties that include a collateral substitution feature.

Mortgages payable generally require monthly installments of principal and interest and mature at various dates through 2022. Certain of our mortgages require payments of interest only prior to maturity. Under certain of the mortgage agreements, we are required to make escrow deposits for taxes, insurance and replacement of project assets.

Unsecured Revolving Credit Facility

On January 12, 2012, the Company closed on a \$350.0 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility. This credit facility replaced the Company's \$250.0 million line of credit. This new facility has a four year term with a one year extension at the Company's option. Debt procurement costs related to this loan were \$2.3 million and were paid in January 2012. From time to time, we have the option to choose either a LIBOR-based or Prime-based variable interest rate on this facility. As of December 31, 2012, the LIBOR-based rate is 1.5% and the Prime-based rate is the prime rate plus 0.5%. There were outstanding borrowings of \$190.5 million on this facility at December 31, 2012, with a weighted average interest rate of 1.71%. At December 31, 2011, there were outstanding borrowings of \$58.0 million with a weighted average interest rate of 2.94%.

Unsecured Term Loan

On June 3, 2011, we closed on a \$125.0 million unsecured, five year term loan. This loan has a variable interest rate which was 1.92% at December 31, 2012, and had an original maturity date of June 2, 2016. Proceeds from the term loan were used to pay down borrowings outstanding on our \$250.0 million unsecured revolving credit facility and for general corporate purposes. Debt procurement costs related to this loan were \$1.0 million. The Company entered into a forward starting interest rate swap in December 2011 fixing the rate at 1.26% plus the credit spread (which was 1.7% at December 31, 2012), or an all-in rate of 2.96% beginning in June 2013 until its original maturity in June 2016. See Note 10 for additional information regarding this swap.

On October 19, 2012, we completed modifications to our unsecured term loan which included increasing the outstanding principal amount to \$150.0 million from \$125.0 million and extending the maturity date from June 2016 to January 2018. Additionally, an investment grade pricing grid for determining interest rates was added. Total costs associated with this modification were \$600,000.

7. NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS

Noncontrolling Redeemable Interest

In 1998, in conjunction with the acquisition of an operating partnership that owned two apartment communities, one of which was sold in October 2005, we issued a total of 522,032 operating partnership units ("OP units"). Holders of OP units are entitled to receive cumulative distributions per OP unit equal to the per share distributions on our common shares. If and when the OP units are presented for redemption, we have the option to redeem, in certain circumstances, the OP units for common shares exchangeable on a one-for-one basis, or the cash equivalent amount, determined as the average closing price for our common shares over the 20-day period preceding the redemption. As of December 31, 2012, all units presented to date for redemption had been redeemed for cash. There were 4,252 OP units redeemed for a total of \$59,000 during 2010. No OP units were redeemed during 2012 and 2011. There were 74,083 OP units remaining as of December 31, 2012.

Activity related to the noncontrolling redeemable interest is as follows:

| (In thousands) | For the year ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Balance at beginning of period | \$1,734 | \$1,734 | \$1,829 |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling redeemable interest | 54 | 51 | 51 |
| Distribution to noncontrolling redeemable interest | (54 |) (51 |) (51 |
| Redemption of Operating Partnership units | — | — | (95 |
| Balance at end of period | \$1,734 | \$1,734 | \$1,734 |

Noncontrolling Interest

On July 14, 2011, we entered into a partnership agreement with Keating Project Development, Inc., an unrelated third-party, pursuant to which we hold a 97.0% equity interest in the partnership. In March 2012, the partnership acquired a 2.5 acre parcel (unaudited) of land in Bethesda, Maryland, for \$12.2 million that it intends to use for the development of approximately 140 multifamily units (unaudited) and 7,000 square feet of retail space (unaudited). We have determined that this entity is not a variable interest entity and that we hold a controlling interest in the entity. As such, this entity is included in our consolidated financial statements. We have also determined that the noncontrolling interest in this entity meets the criteria to be classified as a component of permanent equity.

On September 24, 2010, we entered into a partnership agreement with Bristol Development Group, an unrelated third-party for the development of Vista Germantown, a 242-unit apartment community located in downtown Nashville, Tennessee. We contributed \$9.4 million to the partnership and held a 90.0% equity interest through February 2013 when we funded the redemption of the interest of the minority 10.0% partner of this partnership. We have determined that this entity is not a variable interest entity and that we hold a controlling interest in the entity. As such, this entity is included in our consolidated financial statements. We have also determined that the noncontrolling interest in this entity meets the criterion to be classified as a component of permanent equity.

The following table provides details of the activity related to noncontrolling interests:

| (In thousands) | December 31, 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------------------------|----------------------|----------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$ 1,029 | \$ 1,040 |
| Net (loss) income | (35 |) (11 |
| Noncontrolling interest cash contribution | 350 | — |
| Balance at end of period | \$ 1,344 | \$ 1,029 |

8. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

Leases

We had no equipment leased under capital leases at December 31, 2012 and 2011. We lease certain equipment and facilities under operating leases. Future minimum lease payments under all non-cancellable operating leases in which we are the lessee are immaterial.

Legal Proceedings

We are subject to legal proceedings, lawsuits and other claims arising in the ordinary course of business (collectively "Litigation"). Litigation is subject to uncertainties and outcomes are difficult to predict. We believe any current Litigation will not have a material adverse impact on us after final disposition. However, because of the uncertainties of Litigation, one or more lawsuits could ultimately result in a material obligation.

Guarantees

We may guaranty mortgage debt of our wholly owned subsidiaries in whole or in part (i.e. for so-called "nonrecourse carve outs") and some subsidiaries that own unencumbered property guaranty the Company's obligations under the unsecured revolver. In the normal course of business, we may enter into contractual arrangements under which we may agree to indemnify the third party to such arrangements from any losses incurred relating to the services they perform on behalf of the Company or for losses arising from certain events as defined within the particular contract, which may include, for example, litigation or claims relating to past performance. Such indemnification obligations may not be subject to maximum loss clauses. Historically, payments made related to these indemnifications have not been material.

9. INCOME TAXES

Income Taxes

We have elected to be taxed as a REIT under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 (the "Code"), as amended. As a REIT, we are entitled to a tax deduction for dividends paid to shareholders, thereby effectively subjecting the distributed net income to taxation at the shareholder level only, provided we distribute at least 90.0% of our taxable income and meet certain other qualifications.

Our two REIT subsidiaries that have historically engaged in third party property and asset management and third party construction services, respectively, have elected to be treated as Taxable REIT Subsidiaries ("TRS") and operate as C-corporations under the Code. Accordingly, these TRS entities separately account for income taxes. Taxes are recorded for these two TRS subsidiaries to the extent they recognize net profits for both financial statement and income tax purposes. The 2012, 2011 and 2010 net operating loss carry forwards for these two TRS subsidiaries, in the aggregate, are approximately \$13.2 million, \$11.7 million and \$9.1 million, respectively, and expire during the years 2018 to 2032.

The gross deferred tax assets were \$6.9 million, \$6.2 million and \$5.2 million at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, and relate principally to net operating losses of the two TRS subsidiaries. Gross deferred tax liabilities of \$246,000, \$247,000 and \$250,000 at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively, relate primarily to tax basis differences in fixed assets and intangibles. The deferred tax valuation allowance was \$6.6 million, \$6.0 million and \$4.9 million at December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. We reserve for net deferred tax assets when we believe it is more likely than not that they will not be realized. The deferred tax assets and the deferred tax valuation allowance are recorded in "Other assets, net" and the deferred tax liabilities are recorded in "Accounts payable and other liabilities."

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, our net tax basis of properties exceeds the amount set forth in the Consolidated Balance Sheets by \$67.2 million and \$67.5 million, respectively.

Reconciliation between GAAP net income (loss) and taxable income:

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| GAAP net income (loss) | \$ 30,592 | \$ 5,328 | \$ (8,636) |
| Add: GAAP net income (loss) of taxable REIT subsidiaries and noncontrolling redeemable interest, net | 1,224 | 3,002 | (187) |
| GAAP net income (loss) from REIT operations ⁽¹⁾ | 31,816 | 8,330 | (8,823) |
| Add: Book depreciation and amortization | 57,672 | 55,899 | 41,054 |
| Less: Tax depreciation and amortization | (39,835) | (35,086) | (28,019) |
| Book/tax differences on gains from capital transactions | (19,128) | (4,677) | — |
| Other book/tax differences, net | 1,088 | 51 | (3,524) |
| Taxable income before adjustments | 31,613 | 24,517 | 688 |
| Less: Capital gain | (7,762) | (9,920) | — |
| Taxable income subject to dividend requirements | \$ 23,851 | \$ 14,597 | \$ 688 |

(1) All adjustments to GAAP net income (loss) from REIT operations are net of amounts attributable to taxable REIT subsidiaries and noncontrolling interests.

Reconciliation between cash dividends paid and dividends paid deduction:

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Cash dividends paid | \$ 32,406 | \$ 28,089 | \$ 21,851 |
| Less: Portion designated as capital gain distribution | (7,762) | (9,920) | — |
| Less: Return of capital | (793) | (3,572) | (21,163) |
| Taxable income subject to the 90% dividend requirement | \$ 23,851 | \$ 14,597 | \$ 688 |

Dividends Per Share

Total dividends per common share and the related components for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, as reported for income tax purposes, were as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 2012

| Date Paid | Ordinary Income | Non-Taxable Return of Capital | 20% Rate Capital Gain | Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain | Dividends |
|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 2/1/2012 | \$0.140533 | \$0.009077 | \$0.020390 | \$0.017826 | \$0.170000 |
| 5/1/2012 | 0.148800 | 0.009611 | 0.021589 | 0.018875 | 0.180000 |
| 8/1/2012 | 0.148800 | 0.009611 | 0.021589 | 0.018875 | 0.180000 |
| 11/1/2012 | 0.148800 | 0.009611 | 0.021589 | 0.018875 | 0.180000 |
| | \$0.586933 | \$0.037910 | \$0.085157 | \$0.074451 | \$0.710000 |

Year Ended December 31, 2011

| Date Paid | Ordinary Income | Non-Taxable Return of Capital | 20% Rate Capital Gain | Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain | Dividends |
|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 2/1/2011 | \$0.100553 | \$0.009460 | \$0.059987 | \$0.056351 | \$0.170000 |
| 5/2/2011 | 0.100553 | 0.009460 | 0.059987 | 0.056351 | 0.170000 |
| 8/1/2011 | 0.100553 | 0.009460 | 0.059987 | 0.056351 | 0.170000 |
| 11/1/2011 | 0.100553 | 0.009460 | 0.059987 | 0.056351 | 0.170000 |
| | \$0.402212 | \$0.037840 | \$0.239948 | \$0.225404 | \$0.680000 |

Year Ended December 31, 2010

| Date Paid | Ordinary Income | Non-Taxable Return of Capital | 20% Rate Capital Gain | Unrecaptured Section 1250 Gain | Dividends |
|-----------|--------------------|-------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------|
| 2/1/2010 | \$— | \$0.170000 | \$— | \$— | \$0.170000 |
| 5/3/2010 | — | 0.170000 | — | — | 0.170000 |
| 8/2/2010 | — | 0.170000 | — | — | 0.170000 |
| 11/1/2010 | — | 0.170000 | — | — | 0.170000 |
| | \$— | \$0.680000 | \$— | \$— | \$0.680000 |

Preferred dividends of \$2.0 million were paid for the year ended December 31, 2010, and of which zero was designated as a capital gain dividend.

10. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING ACTIVITIES

We utilize interest rate swaps and caps, from time to time, to add stability to interest rate expense and to manage our exposure to interest rate movements. See Note 2 for additional information related to our derivative instruments and hedging policy.

On December 19, 2011, we entered into a forward starting interest rate swap effective June 7, 2013. This swap hedges the future cash flows of interest payments on \$125.0 million of our unsecured term loan by fixing the rate until June 2016 at a rate of 1.26% plus the credit spread (which was 1.7% at December 31, 2012), or an all-in-rate of 2.96%. The credit spread is subject to change, from time to time, from a minimum of 1.25% to a maximum of 2.2% over LIBOR based upon our qualified ratings as defined in the agreement.

During 2007, we executed two interest rate swaps to hedge the cash flows of two existing variable rate loans. In January 2010, we prepaid one of these loans but we did not terminate the corresponding interest rate swap and as a result reclassified the fair value of the related interest rate swap of \$777,000 from other comprehensive income to earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2010. This derivative matured in June 2010, and the change in fair value was recorded in earnings. During December 2010, the second derivative matured and the change in fair value was recorded in earnings.

The following table presents the fair value of our derivative financial instrument as well as the classification on the Consolidated Balance Sheets (see Note 11 for additional information regarding the fair value of this derivative instrument):

Fair Value of Derivative Instruments

| (in thousands) | Liability Derivatives As of December 31, 2012 | | As of December 31, 2011 | |
|------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|----------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value | Balance Sheet Location | Fair Value |
| Derivatives designated as hedging instruments: | | | | |
| Interest rate swaps | Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | \$(2,934) | Accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities | \$(405) |

The following tables present the effect of our derivative financial instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income:

The Effect of Derivative Instruments on the Consolidated Statements of Operations and Comprehensive Income

| (In thousands) | Location of Gain or (Loss) Recognized in Income on Derivative | Year Ended | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | | December 31, 2012 | December 31, 2011 | December 31, 2010 |
| Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships (Interest Rate Swaps) | | | | |
| Amount of loss recognized in OCI on derivative | | \$(2,529) | \$(405) | \$(59) |

Amount of loss reclassified from
accumulated

| | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|--------|---|
| OCI into interest expense | Interest Expense | \$— | \$— | \$(701 |) |
|---------------------------|------------------|-----|-----|--------|---|

Amount of gain or (loss) recognized
in income

on derivative (ineffective portion
and amount

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----|-----|--------|---|
| excluded from effectiveness testing) | Other Expense | \$— | \$— | \$(778 |) |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|-----|-----|--------|---|

F-24

11. FAIR VALUE

Fair value, as defined by GAAP, represents the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. The inputs used in the determination of fair value amounts and disclosures are based on the assumptions that market participants would use when pricing certain assets or liabilities. These inputs are classified in the fair value hierarchy as follows:

Level 1 inputs utilize quoted prices (unadjusted) in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that we have the ability to access;

Level 2 inputs may include quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, as well as interest rates and yield curves that are observable at commonly quoted intervals; and

Level 3 inputs are unobservable inputs for the asset or liability that are typically based on an entity's own assumptions as there is little, if any, related market activity.

The inputs used in the fair value measurement should be from the highest level available. In instances where the measurement is based on inputs from different levels of the fair value hierarchy, the fair value measurement will fall within the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety.

Cash, accounts and notes receivable, other assets, accounts payable, accrued expenses and other liabilities (except for the interest rate swap discussed below) are carried at amounts that reasonably approximate corresponding fair values because of their short term nature.

The interest rate swap derivative, as discussed in detail in Note 10 under "Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities," is carried at fair value. The fair value of the derivative was determined by using a model that applies discount rates to the expected future cash flows associated with the swap. The significant inputs used in the valuation model to estimate the discount rates and expected cash flows are observable in active markets and, therefore, are Level 2 inputs.

We estimate the fair value of our mortgage notes payable by discounting the associated cash flows using the interest rates available to us as of the dates reported for issuance of debt with similar terms, remaining maturities and loan to value ratios, which ranged from 38% to 66% at December 31, 2012. We classify the fair value of our mortgage notes payable as Level 3.

We estimate the fair value of our unsecured debt by discounting the associated cash flows using the interest rates available to us as of the dates reported for issuance of debt with similar terms and remaining maturities. We classify the fair value of our unsecured debt as Level 2.

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value at December 31, 2012 Using | | |
|------------------------|----------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------|
| | | Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$376,278 | \$— | \$— | \$403,391 |
| Unsecured debt | 340,500 | — | 339,604 | — |

| | Carrying Value | Fair Value at December 31, 2011 Using Quoted Prices in | | |
|------------------------|-------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| | | Active Markets for Identical Assets or Liabilities (Level 1) | Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2) | Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3) |
| (In thousands) | | | | |
| Mortgage notes payable | \$481,788 | \$— | \$— | \$548,168 |
| Unsecured debt | 183,000 | — | 185,879 | — |

12. GAIN ON INSURANCE RECOVERIES

During 2010, we settled a windstorm damage claim at one of our Central Ohio properties for the aggregate sum of \$245,000 which is net of our deductible. The property for which this settlement applied was sold in 2011 and the \$245,000 gain is now included in discontinued operations. See Note 3 for further information concerning the sale of this property.

13. SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

The following table summarizes our non-cash investing and financing activities which are not reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows:

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Dividends declared but not paid | \$10,149 | \$7,659 | \$7,242 |
| Issuance of shares for share-based compensation | 1,916 | 1,490 | 1,155 |
| Assumption of debt in connection with property acquisition ⁽¹⁾ ⁽²⁾ | 27,589 | 12,892 | — |
| Net change in accounts payable related to fixed asset additions | 1,362 | 97 | 559 |
| Net change in accounts payable and restricted cash related to disposition of operating properties | (638) | (797) | — |
| Reclassification of deferred directors' compensation | — | — | 2,233 |

(1) Fair value amount of loan assumed in 2012 at time of acquisition. The principal balance at time of acquisition was \$24.9 million. Fair value was determined based on an interest rate of 3.1%.

(2) Fair value amount of loan assumed in 2011 at time of acquisition. The principal balance at time of acquisition was \$12.3 million. Fair value was determined based on an interest rate of 4.5%.

14. COMMON, TREASURY AND PREFERRED SHARES

Common Shares

In August 2012, we entered into an at-the-market ("ATM") program registered with the SEC allowing us to sell up to \$75.0 million of our common shares in open market transactions at-the-then market price per share. We intend to use the proceeds for general corporate purposes. There were no shares sold during the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, under this program.

On June 27, 2012, we sold 6,325,000 of our common shares in a public offering at a price of \$14.40 per share, which resulted in total net proceeds of approximately \$87.2 million. Of the 6,325,000 shares sold in this offering, we issued all of the 3,403,333 common shares then remaining in treasury and 2,921,667 authorized and previously unissued common shares. The proceeds were used to fund property acquisitions and development and for general corporate purposes.

During 2012, we sold 681,178 shares under our \$25.0 million ATM program for total gross proceeds of \$11.3 million, or \$11.1 million net of sales and commissions and other costs. The proceeds were used to reduce borrowings on our unsecured revolver and for general corporate purposes. At June 30, 2012, all \$25.0 million of common shares available for issuance under the ATM have been sold and the program has been completed.

During 2011, we sold 788,676 shares under the ATM program for total gross proceeds of \$13.7 million, or \$13.3 million net of sales commissions and other costs. The proceeds were used to reduce borrowings on our unsecured revolver and for general corporate purposes.

On January 15, 2010, we sold 5,175,000 of our common shares in a public offering at a price of \$11.10 per share, which resulted in total net proceeds of approximately \$54.9 million. On May 12, 2010, we sold 9,200,000 common shares in a public offering at a price of \$13.00 per share, which resulted in total net proceeds of approximately \$114.3 million. On October 1, 2010, we sold 9,200,000 common shares in a public offering at a price of \$13.60 per share, which resulted in total net proceeds of approximately \$119.6 million. Proceeds were used to repay debt and to redeem all outstanding trust preferred securities and preferred shares, to fund acquisitions and for general corporate purposes.

Treasury Shares

In December 2008, our Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$25.0 million of our common and/or preferred shares. This authorization is in addition to the \$1.3 million remaining on our \$50.0 million authorization that was originally approved by our Board of Directors in July 2005 and October 2006. As of December 31, 2012, we had repurchased 3,825,125 common shares under this plan at a cost of \$41.1 million and 389,500 preferred depositary shares at a cost of \$7.6 million. There were no common shares repurchased after the third quarter of 2007 and no preferred shares repurchased after the fourth quarter of 2008 under this authorization. Additionally, we have a policy which allows employees to pay their portion of the income taxes related to restricted shares vesting by surrendering a number of shares to us equal in value on the day of vesting to the amount of taxes due up to the statutory withholding amount.

During the year ended December 31, 2012, a total of 182,672 restricted shares had vested and were issued from treasury shares.

Preferred Shares

We are authorized to issue a total of 9,000,000 Preferred Shares, designated as follows:

3,000,000 Class A Cumulative Preferred Shares, of which 225,000 have been designated as 9.75% Class A Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares and were redeemed in 2005.

3,000,000 Class B Cumulative Preferred Shares, of which 400,000 have been designated as Class B Series I Cumulative Preferred Shares reserved for issuance in connection with our Shareholder Rights Plan and 232,000 have been designated as 8.7% Class B Series II Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares and are discussed below.

3,000,000 Noncumulative Preferred Shares.

8.7% Class B Series II Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares. On June 7, 2010, we redeemed all of our 8.7% Class B Series II Cumulative Redeemable Preferred Shares at a cost of approximately \$48.3 million, plus accrued and unpaid dividends through the redemption date. In connection with the issuance of the 8.7% Class B Preferred Shares in December 2004, we incurred approximately \$1.0 million in issuance costs and recorded such costs as a reduction to shareholders' equity. We recognized the \$1.0 million of issuance costs as a reduction in net earnings to arrive at a net (loss) income applicable to common shares for the twelve months ended December 31, 2010.

Shareholder Rights Plan

In January 1999, we adopted a Shareholder Rights Plan. To implement the Plan, the Board of Directors declared a distribution of one Right for each of our outstanding common shares. Each Right entitles the holder to purchase from us 1/1,000th of a Class B Series I Cumulative Preferred Share (a "Preferred Share") at a purchase price of \$40 per Right, subject to adjustment. One one-thousandth of a Preferred Share is intended to be approximately the economic equivalent of one common share.

The Rights are not currently exercisable and are traded with our common shares. The Rights will become exercisable if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of, or announces an offer to acquire, 15% or more of the then outstanding common shares.

If a person or group acquires 15% or more of our outstanding common shares, then each Right not owned by the acquiring person or its affiliates will entitle its holder to purchase, at the Right's then-current exercise price, fractional preferred shares that are approximately the economic equivalent of common shares (or, in certain circumstances, common shares, cash, property or other securities) having a market value equal to twice the then-current exercise price. In addition, if, after the rights become exercisable, we are acquired in a merger or other business combination transaction with an acquiring person or its affiliates or sell 50% or more of our assets or earning power to an acquiring person or its affiliates, each Right will entitle its holder to purchase, at the Right's then-current exercise price, a number of the acquiring common shares having a market value of twice the Right's exercise price. The Board of Directors may redeem the Rights, in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$0.01 per Right.

The distribution was made on January 29, 1999, to shareholders of record on that date. The initial distribution of Rights was not taxable to shareholders.

On December 30, 2008, we entered into an Amended and Restated Shareholder Rights Agreement that, among other matters, extended the Plan to December 30, 2018 and revised the Plan definition of "beneficial ownership" to include certain derivative or synthetic arrangements having characteristics of a long position in the Company's common shares.

15. EARNINGS PER SHARE

The exchange of Operating Partnership noncontrolling redeemable interest into common shares was not included in the computation of diluted EPS because we plan to settle these OP units in cash.

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Numerator - basic and diluted: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations | \$2,660 | \$(11,280) | \$(10,041) |
| Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests | (19) | (40) | (51) |
| Preferred share dividends | — | — | (2,030) |
| Preferred share redemption/repurchase costs | — | — | (993) |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares | \$2,641 | \$(11,320) | \$(13,115) |
| Income from discontinued operations applicable to common shares | \$27,591 | \$16,648 | \$1,456 |
| Denominator - basic: | 46,063 | 41,657 | 30,421 |
| Effect of dilutive securities ⁽¹⁾ | 490 | — | — |
| Denominator - diluted: | 46,553 | 41,657 | 30,421 |

| (In thousands) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Net income applicable to common shares - basic: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares | \$0.06 | \$(0.27) | \$(0.43) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.05 |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares - basic | \$0.66 | \$0.13 | \$(0.38) |
| Net income applicable to common shares - diluted: | | | |
| Income (loss) from continuing operations applicable to common shares | \$0.06 | \$(0.27) | \$(0.43) |
| Income from discontinued operations | 0.60 | 0.40 | 0.05 |
| Net income (loss) applicable to common shares - diluted | \$0.66 | \$0.13 | \$(0.38) |

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2012, the effect of 135,000 stock options were excluded as their (1) inclusion would be anti-dilutive. For the twelve months ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, all potential common shares are excluded as they are anti-dilutive to the net loss from continuing operations.

16. EMPLOYEE BENEFIT PLANS

We offer medical, dental, vision and life insurance benefits to those employees who have completed their 90-day introductory period. Employees who have completed six months of service are eligible for an educational assistance program and to participate in the 401(k) plan and employees who have completed one year of service are provided with long-term disability coverage. Additionally, we offer employees an opportunity to purchase a variety of supplemental benefits for their own account at no expense to the Company.

We sponsor a defined contribution plan pursuant to Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, whereby eligible employees may elect to contribute up to 50% of their gross wages. After one year of service, we match such contributions at a rate of 25% up to a maximum participant contribution of 6% of wages. We recorded expenses relating to this plan of approximately \$143,000, \$120,000 and \$112,000 for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan

Our Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (the "SERP") was adopted by the Board of Directors on January 1, 1997. This Plan was implemented to provide competitive retirement benefits for officers and to act as a retention incentive. This non-qualified, unfunded, defined contribution plan extends to certain named officers nominated by the Chief Executive Officer and approved by the Executive Compensation Committee of the Board. The SERP provides for us to make a contribution accrual to the account of each of the participating officers at the end of each plan year. In January 2007, the Executive Compensation Committee revised the annual contribution accruals such that the accounts of participants not vested as of January 1, 2007, would no longer receive annual contribution accruals, however their accounts will continue to accrue interest. The accrual amount is a percentage of eligible earnings (including base salary and cash payments under the Annual Incentive Plan) that is set by the Committee. Contribution accruals will not be taxable to the participant, other than social security and federal unemployment taxes once vested, until distribution. The account balances accrue interest each year at a rate determined by the Executive Compensation Committee of the Board to approximate the Company's weighted average cost of capital.

The following table summarizes the changes in SERP balances for the years ended December 31:

| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|--------------------------------|---------|---------|---------|
| Balance at beginning of period | \$2,067 | \$2,019 | \$1,774 |
| Service cost | 77 | 83 | 98 |
| Interest cost | 139 | 129 | 147 |
| Distribution | — | (164) | — |
| Balance at end of period | \$2,283 | \$2,067 | \$2,019 |

17. EQUITY BASED AWARD PLANS

On May 4, 2011, our shareholders approved the 2011 Equity-Based Award Plan (the "2011 Plan") which had been adopted by our Board of Directors (the "Board") on February 23, 2011, subject to shareholder approval.

A total of 1,726,608 common shares were made available for awards under the 2011 Plan, which included 1,500,000 newly authorized common shares and 226,608 common shares that remained available for awards under our 2008 Equity Based Award Plan (the "2008 Plan") and our 2001 Equity Incentive Plan (the "2001 Plan"), both of which were approved by our shareholders. The 2011 Plan provides for the grant to our officers, other employees and directors of options to purchase our common shares, rights to receive the appreciation in value of common shares, awards of common shares subject to vesting and restrictions on transfer, awards of common shares issuable in the future upon satisfaction of certain conditions and other awards based on common shares. At December 31, 2012, we had 1,390,239 common shares available for awards under this plan.

Our 2001 and 2008 Plans were discontinued in May 2011 in conjunction with the shareholder approval of our 2011 Plan. Additionally, our Equity-Based Incentive Compensation Plan (the "Omnibus Plan") expired February 20, 2005. Outstanding stock options awarded under these plans will remain in effect according to their original terms and conditions. Options were granted with per share exercise prices not less than fair market value at the date of grant and must be exercised within ten years thereof. Options outstanding and exercisable at December 31, 2012, were as follows:

| | Options Outstanding | Options Exercisable |
|--------------|------------------------|------------------------|
| 2011 Plan | 125,000 | — |
| 2008 Plan | — | — |
| 2001 Plan | 437,684 | 405,684 |
| Omnibus Plan | 206,500 | 206,500 |
| | 769,184 | 612,184 |

During 2012, 2011 and 2010, our share-based compensation awards consisted primarily of restricted shares. We award share-based compensation to our officers and employees as a performance incentive and to align individual goals with those of the Company. Certain of our share-based awards require only continued service with the Company to vest. These awards vest either at the end of the specified service period or in equal increments during the service period on each anniversary of the grant date. We recognize compensation cost on these awards on a straight-line basis. In addition to awards containing only service conditions, we issue certain awards in which the number of shares that will ultimately vest is dependent upon the achievement of specified performance goals and/or market conditions.

Compensation cost for awards with performance conditions is recognized based on our best estimate of the number of awards that will vest. Compensation cost for awards dependent upon market conditions is recognized based on the estimated fair market value of the award on the date granted, as described below, and the vesting period. We estimate the amount of expected forfeitures when calculating compensation costs. The forfeiture rates we use were calculated based on our historical forfeiture activity, adjusted for activity that we believe is not representative of expected future activity. During the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we recognized share-based compensation cost of \$3.9 million, \$3.4 million and \$2.9 million in "General and administrative expense." Additionally, during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010, we recognized \$180,000, \$80,000 and \$40,000 of share-based compensation in capitalized payroll. See Note 2 for additional information related to capitalized payroll.

Restricted Shares. Restricted shares generally have the same rights as our common shares, except for transfer restrictions and forfeiture provisions. Cash distributions paid during the period of restriction on shares that are expected to vest are recorded as a charge to "Accumulated distributions in excess of accumulated net income." Cash distributions paid during the period of restriction on shares that are expected to be forfeited are recorded as a charge to expense.

We have two compensation plans under which our officers and directors may elect to defer the receipt of restricted shares. These plans are more fully discussed in Note 18. Restricted share awards deferred under these plans are reflected as restricted share equivalent units ("RSUs") in an individual bookkeeping account maintained for each participant. The vesting of such RSUs occurs on the same schedule as the restricted shares made subject to the deferral election, and the valuation and attribution of cost in our consolidated financial statements are also the same as the restricted shares made subject to the deferral election.

The following table represents restricted share and RSU activity for the year ended December 31, 2012:

| | Number of Restricted Shares | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value | Number of RSUs | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------------------------|
| Nonvested at beginning of period | 554,050 | \$ 7.87 | 63,349 | \$ 9.64 |
| Granted | 158,261 | \$ 16.48 | 37,681 | \$ 16.80 |
| Vested | 182,672 | \$ 12.04 | 42,205 | \$ 6.85 |
| Forfeited | 4,233 | \$ 16.05 | — | \$ — |
| Nonvested at end of period | 525,406 | \$ 9.23 | 58,825 | \$ 14.13 |

The weighted average grant-date fair value of restricted shares granted during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$16.48, \$15.19 and \$7.46, respectively. The weighted average grant-date fair value of RSUs granted during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$16.80, \$16.29 and \$14.10, respectively. The total fair value of restricted shares vested during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$2.9 million, \$2.7 million and \$1.8 million, respectively. The total fair value of RSUs vested during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$715,000, \$1.0 million and \$1.3 million, respectively. At December 31, 2012, there was a total of \$2.8 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested restricted share awards and RSUs that we expect to recognize over a weighted average period of 1.6 years.

During 2010, we issued restricted share awards in which the number of shares that will ultimately vest was subject to market conditions over a three year period and service conditions over a four year period. The total estimated grant-date fair value of these awards, including the awards that were deferred, was \$1.1 million. We used the Monte Carlo method to estimate the fair value of these awards. The Monte Carlo method, which is similar to the binomial analysis, evaluates the award for changing stock prices over the term of vesting and uses random situations that are averaged based on past stock characteristics. There were one million simulation paths used to estimate the fair value of these awards. The expected volatility was based upon the historical volatility of our daily share closing prices over a period equal to the market condition performance periods. The risk-free interest rate used was based on a yield curve derived from U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds on the date of grant with a maturity equal to the market condition performance periods. The expected life used was the market condition performance periods.

The following table represents the assumption ranges used in the Monte Carlo method during 2010:

| | |
|------------------------------------|----------------|
| Expected volatility - AERC | 31.8% to 63.1% |
| Expected volatility - peer group | 24.2% to 68.1% |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.1% to 1.5% |
| Expected life (performance period) | 3 years |

Stock Options. We use the Black-Scholes option pricing model to estimate the fair value of share-based awards. There were 125,000, zero, and 20,000 options granted in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The weighted average Black-Scholes assumptions and fair value for 2012 and 2010 were as follows:

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|---------|---|
| | 2012 | 2010 | |
| Expected volatility | 33.9 | % 38.8 | % |
| Risk-free interest rate | 1.27 | % 1.8 | % |
| Expected life of options (in years) | 7.0 | 7.1 | |
| Dividend yield | 4.7 | % 4.9 | % |
| Grant-date fair value | \$ 2.97 | \$ 3.27 | |

The expected volatility for the awards granted in 2012 was based upon a 50/50 blend of historical and implied volatility. The historical volatility based upon changes in the weekly closing prices of our shares over a period equal to the expected life of the options granted. The implied volatility was the trailing month average of daily implied volatilities calculated by interpolating between the volatilities implied by stock call option contracts that were both closest to the expected life and the exercise price of the options. The longest terms of such options over the trailing month averaged 7.1 months. The expected volatility for the awards granted in 2010 was based entirely upon the historical volatility of our weekly share closing prices over a period equal to the expected life of the options granted. The risk-free interest rate used was the yield from U.S. Treasury zero-coupon bonds on the date of grant with a maturity equal to the expected life of the options. The expected life was derived using our historical experience for similar awards. The dividend yield was derived using our annual dividend rate as a percentage of the price of our shares on the date of grant.

The following table represents stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2012:

| | Number of Stock Options | Weighted- Average Exercise Price | Weighted- Average Remaining Contract Life |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|
| Outstanding at beginning of period | 689,184 | \$ 9.93 | |
| Granted | 125,000 | \$ 15.29 | |
| Exercised | 35,000 | \$ 8.99 | |
| Expired | 10,000 | \$ 12.19 | |
| Outstanding at end of period | 769,184 | \$ 10.81 | 3.8 years |
| Exercisable at end of period | 612,184 | \$ 9.93 | 2.5 years |

The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options outstanding and stock options exercisable at December 31, 2012, was \$4.1 million and \$3.8 million, respectively. The aggregate intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 was \$225,000, \$529,000 and \$2.9 million, respectively.

18. DIRECTOR/EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Elective Deferred Compensation Program

The Associated Estates Realty Corporation Elective Deferred Compensation Program is an unfunded, non-qualified deferred compensation program that is subject to the provisions of Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, which strictly regulates the timing of elections and payment. Eligibility under the plan shall be determined by the Executive Compensation Committee or its designee, and at December 31, 2012, each of our appointed and/or elected officers was eligible.

This plan permits deferral of up to 90.0% of base salary and up to 100.0% of any incentive payment. An individual bookkeeping account will be maintained for each participant. Participants are provided a number of measurement funds from which they may select to determine earnings, which may be, but are not required to be, the same as those offered under our 401(k) savings plan. Deferrals of base salary and incentive payments (other than restricted shares, discussed below) are fully vested.

The plan also permits the deferral of the receipt of restricted shares granted under the Equity-Based Award Plan, which also will be reflected in a separate bookkeeping account for each individual as share equivalent units. Dividend credits shall be made to such account in the form of share equivalent units. Distribution of amounts reflected by such share equivalents will be made in the form of shares. The vesting of share equivalent units (together with associated dividend credits for performance based awards) occurs on the same schedule as the restricted shares that had been deferred.

The plan allows for in-service and separation sub-accounts to permit election of distribution at either a specified date or following separation. Payment of each deferral under the plan will be made in the form specified in the participant's election, and may be in the form of a lump sum or annual installment payments over a period not to exceed four years. Payment of each deferral under the plan will be made on account of separation from service, death, or disability, or at a time specified by the participant, within the parameters set forth in the plan. Re-deferral elections are permitted within the parameters set forth in the plan. Accounts will be distributed upon a change of control, and distribution due to unforeseen financial hardship is also possible.

At December 31, 2012 and 2011, there were 286,002 and 253,894 share equivalent units, respectively, deferred under this plan.

Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan

The Directors' Deferred Compensation Plan allows persons serving as non-employee Directors the option of deferring receipt of compensation otherwise payable to them for their services as Directors and creates an opportunity for appreciation of the amount deferred based upon appreciation of our Common Shares.

Prior to January 1 of each year, any eligible Director may elect to defer all or a portion of the fees otherwise payable to that Director for that year and such amount will be credited to a deferral account maintained on behalf of the Director. Fees for each period are converted to "share units" and credited to the deferral account as they are earned. This plan also permits the deferral of the receipt of restricted shares granted, which also will be reflected in a separate bookkeeping account for each individual as share equivalent units.

Each deferral account is increased when we pay a dividend on our common shares by the number of share units that represent the dividend paid per share multiplied by the number of share units in the account on the date of record for the related dividend payment. Share units representing deferred fees and dividend units are vested at all times. Share units representing deferred restricted shares vest on the same schedule as the restricted shares that were deferred. In December 2009 and effective on January 1, 2010, this plan was modified such that all distributions from the plan will be in the form of our common shares instead of cash, except for distributions with a commencement date of December 31, 2009 or prior, which are being made in cash. As a result, the value of the deferred compensation is included in "Paid-in capital" and is not adjusted based upon subsequent changes in the price of our common shares. At December 31, 2012, there were 267,136 share equivalent units under this plan, of which 133,073 units represent the deferral of cash fees earned. Distributions of \$45,000, \$55,000 and \$69,000 were made in cash from this plan during 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Additionally, 59,988 and 6,267 shares were distributed from this plan during 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Executive Compensation and Employment Agreements

On December 31, 2012, we entered into the Second Amended and Restated Employment Agreement, dated December 31, 2012, with Mr. Jeffrey I. Friedman, the Company's Chairman, President and CEO (the "Amended Agreement"). The Amended Agreement, effective as of January 1, 2013, removes the prior "evergreen" term and replaces it with a five year term, unless terminated prior to that time by reason of Mr. Friedman's death, permanent disability or retirement or by the Company for "cause" or without "cause" (as such terms are defined in the Amended Agreement). The Amended Agreement eliminates the tax gross-up provisions relating to Internal Revenue Code Section 280G that were contained in Mr. Friedman's prior employment agreement. The Amended Agreement also eliminates the so-called "single trigger" provision that allowed Mr. Friedman to voluntarily terminate his employment with the Company following a change in control and receive severance benefits. The Amended Agreement also includes non-competition and non-solicitation provisions that apply during the term of the agreement and for a period of three years following termination of the agreement.

Additionally, we have severance arrangements with certain other executive officers.

Annual Incentive Plan

In February 2011, the Executive Compensation Committee (the "Committee") approved the terms of the Annual Incentive Plan for Officers. Annual incentives emphasize pay for performance and serve as a key means of driving current objectives and priorities. The Committee determines specific compensation levels for the five most highly compensated officers ("Officers"), which includes our five "Named Executive Officers" in 2012 and 2011 and our four "Named Executive Officers" in 2010. Officers are rewarded for accomplishing our short-term financial and business unit objectives. In 2012 and 2011, annual incentive opportunities for the Officers were linked to same property NOI, as defined, business unit objectives and individual performance. During 2010, the level of achievement under this plan was not tied to specific performance metrics but was determined at the discretion of the Committee. The Officers earned annual incentives of approximately \$1.9 million, \$1.8 million and \$1.7 million in cash in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Long-Term Incentive Plan

Our Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP") that is intended to create a stronger link to shareholder returns, reward long-term performance and foster retention of the executives. The Committee determines specific compensation levels for our Officers. Each Officer has threshold, target and maximum award opportunities established that are expressed as a percentage of base salary. The framework of the LTIP includes a single-year and multi-year component. Single-year component. Objectives under the single-year LTIP are established annually at the beginning of the year and evaluated at the conclusion of the year. If one or all of the objectives is met, a grant of restricted shares will be issued. One-third of the issued shares will vest immediately and the remaining two-thirds will vest in equal, annual installments. Restricted shares, if issued, have voting rights and dividends will be paid on them during the restricted period.

In February of each year, the Committee approves the terms of that year's single-year component of the LTIP. For 2012 and 2011, this component focused primarily on interest coverage and fixed charge coverage financial ratios and same property NOI. During 2010 the level of achievement under this component was not tied to specific performance metrics but was determined at the discretion of the Committee. Officers earned approximately \$2.1 million, \$2.3 million and \$1.5 million under the single-year LTIP in 2012, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

Multi-year component. The multi-year component focuses on performance over a three-year measurement period. Grants under the multi-year component are issued and metrics and objectives are established every three years. Any shares that are earned at the end of the measurement period will vest one year from the end of such measurement period. Restricted shares have voting rights and dividends accrue and earn interest at a rate determined by the Executive Compensation Committee during the restricted period. Only the dividends and accrued interest attributable to shares that vest will be paid when such shares vest.

In February 2010, the Committee approved the terms of the 2010 multi-year component. This component focuses on absolute and relative cumulative total shareholder return over the three-year period ending December 31, 2012, and continued employment with the Company. Total shareholder return threshold, target and maximum objectives were established and a grant of restricted shares was issued to the Officers in February 2010 with an intrinsic value of \$3.9 million. Based upon the performance for the three-year period ended December 31, 2012, shares having an intrinsic value of \$3.3 million when granted are eligible for vesting on December 31, 2013, which is the end of the service period.

In February 2007, the Committee approved the terms of the 2007 multi-year component. This component focused on cumulative total shareholder return over the three-year period ending December 31, 2009 and continued employment with the Company. Total shareholder return threshold, target and maximum objectives were established and a grant of restricted shares was issued to the Officers in February 2007 with an intrinsic value of \$3.2 million. The total shareholder return objectives under the 2007 plan were not met and only the shares attributable to continued employment with the Company vested on December 31, 2010, which had an intrinsic value of \$392,000 when granted.

19. SUBSEQUENT EVENTS

Debt. On January 22, 2013, we completed the issuance of \$150.0 million of unsecured senior notes. The notes were offered in a private placement with two maturity tranches: \$63.0 million, 8-year maturity at 4.02% and \$87.0 million, 10-year maturity at 4.45%. The \$150.0 million total issuance has a weighted average term of 9.2 years and a weighted average interest rate of 4.27%. Proceeds from the issuance were used to repay borrowings on the unsecured revolver. Total costs associated with this issuance were approximately \$1.0 million.

Dividends. On February 1, 2013, we paid a dividend of \$0.19 per common share to shareholders of record on January 15, 2013, which had been declared on December 6, 2012. The declaration and payment of quarterly dividends remains subject to review by, and approval of, the Board of Directors.

Noncontrolling Interest. On February 15, 2013, we funded the development partnership's redemption of Bristol Development Group's partnership interest and we now own a 100.0% interest in the Vista Germantown property.

20. QUARTERLY FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED)

| | 2012 | | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|------------------|-------------------|
| (In thousands, except per share data) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| Revenue as reported in Form 10-Qs | \$42,931 | \$42,309 | \$45,841 | |
| Revenue of sold properties transferred to discontinued operations | \$ (2,858 |) \$ (318 |) \$ — | |
| Revenue | \$40,073 | \$41,991 | \$45,841 | \$46,964 |
| Operating income | \$7,507 | \$7,405 | \$8,982 | \$10,496 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (2,086 |) \$23,665 | \$2,101 | \$6,931 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to AERC | \$ (2,081 |) \$23,669 | \$2,093 | \$6,911 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ (0.05 |) \$0.55 | \$0.04 | \$0.14 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ (0.05 |) \$0.54 | \$0.04 | \$0.14 |
| | 2011 | | | |
| (In thousands, except per share data) | First Quarter | Second Quarter | Third Quarter | Fourth Quarter |
| Revenue as reported in Form 10-Qs | \$42,254 | \$42,000 | \$43,832 | |
| Revenue of sold properties transferred to discontinued operations | \$ (2,629 |) \$ (295 |) \$ — | |
| Revenue | \$39,625 | \$41,705 | \$43,832 | \$39,903 |
| Operating income | \$4,402 | \$5,383 | \$4,962 | \$5,398 |
| Net (loss) income | \$ (3,069 |) \$ (1,561 |) \$12,208 | \$ (2,210 |
| Net (loss) income attributable to AERC | \$ (3,082 |) \$ (1,573 |) \$12,196 | \$ (2,213 |
| Basic earnings per common share | \$ (0.07 |) \$ (0.04 |) \$0.29 | \$ (0.05 |
| Diluted earnings per common share | \$ (0.07 |) \$ (0.04 |) \$0.29 | \$ (0.05 |

F-36

SCHEDULE II

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
VALUATION AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

| Column A (In thousands) DESCRIPTION | Column B Balance at Beginning of Period | Column C ADDITIONS Charged to Costs and Expenses ⁽¹⁾ | Charged to Other Accounts | Column D Deductions ⁽²⁾ | Column E Balance at End of Period |
|-------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------|
| Year ended December 31, 2012: | | | | | |
| Deducted from asset accounts: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$141 | \$1,991 | \$— | \$(2,028) |) \$104 |
| Valuation allowance-deferred tax asset | 5,970 | 656 | — | — | 6,626 |
| Year ended December 31, 2011: | | | | | |
| Deducted from asset accounts: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$100 | \$2,808 | \$— | \$(2,767) |) \$141 |
| Valuation allowance-deferred tax asset | 4,948 | 1,022 | — | — | 5,970 |
| Year ended December 31, 2010: | | | | | |
| Deducted from asset accounts: | | | | | |
| Allowance for doubtful accounts | \$80 | \$2,239 | \$— | \$(2,219) |) \$100 |
| Valuation allowance-deferred tax asset | 5,176 | (228) |) — | — | 4,948 |

(1) Adjustments to the valuation allowance for deferred taxes are recorded to adjust deferred tax asset to net realizable value.

(2) Uncollectible amounts reserved for or written off.

SCHEDULE III
ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
December 31, 2012

| (In thousands) | Dates Acquired/ Constructed | Depreciable Lives - Years | Initial Cost to Company | | Costs Capitalized |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Subsequent to Acquisition |
| PROPERTIES | | | | | |
| NORTHEAST OHIO | | | | | |
| Mallard's Crossing | February, 1995 | 5-30 | \$941 | \$ 8,499 | \$2,482 |
| The Residence at Barrington | September, 1995 | 5-30 | 2,357 | 21,986 | 1,472 |
| Village at Avon | June, 1998 | 5-30 | 2,145 | 21,704 | 2,591 |
| Village of Western Reserve | August, 1996 | 5-30 | 691 | 6,866 | 643 |
| Westchester Townhomes | November, 1989 | 5-15 | 693 | 5,686 | 1,069 |
| Westlake Seven | October, 1995 | 5-30 | 559 | 332 | 315 |
| Williamsburg Townhomes | February, 1994 | 5-30 | 844 | 12,787 | 3,551 |
| CENTRAL OHIO | | | | | |
| Bedford Commons | December, 1994 | 5-30 | 929 | 5,751 | 949 |
| Bradford at Easton | October, 1995 | 5-30 | 2,033 | 16,303 | 2,299 |
| Heathermoor | August, 1994 | 5-30 | 1,796 | 8,535 | 2,001 |
| Kensington Grove | July, 1995 | 5-30 | 533 | 4,600 | 776 |
| Lake Forest | July, 1994 | 5-30 | 824 | 6,135 | 909 |
| Perimeter Lakes | September, 1996 | 5-30 | 1,265 | 8,647 | 1,323 |
| Saw Mill Village | April, 1997 | 5-30 | 2,548 | 17,218 | 4,131 |
| St. Andrews at Little Turtle | March, 1995 | 5-30 | 478 | 4,216 | 1,106 |
| Sterling Park | August, 1994 | 5-30 | 646 | 3,919 | 538 |
| The Residence at Christopher Wren | March, 1994 | 5-30 | 1,560 | 13,754 | 3,038 |
| Wyndemere Land | March, 1997 | — | 200 | — | — |

SCHEDULE III
ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
December 31, 2012

| (In thousands) Property | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2012 | | | | | Maturity Date |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|--------------------------|------------------|---------------|
| | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Encumbrances (1) | |
| PROPERTIES | | | | | | |
| NORTHEAST OHIO | | | | | | |
| Mallard's Crossing | \$941 | \$ 10,981 | \$11,922 | \$ 6,574 | \$— | — |
| The Residence at Barrington | 2,355 | 23,460 | 25,815 | 11,334 | — | — |
| Village at Avon | 2,145 | 24,295 | 26,440 | 10,477 | 20,313 | December 2015 |
| Village of Western Reserve | 691 | 7,509 | 8,200 | 3,482 | — | — |
| Westchester Townhomes | 693 | 6,755 | 7,448 | 5,428 | — | — |
| Westlake Seven | — | 1,206 | 1,206 | 1,142 | — | — |
| Williamsburg Townhomes | 844 | 16,338 | 17,182 | 9,985 | — | — |
| CENTRAL OHIO | | | | | | |
| Bedford Commons | 929 | 6,700 | 7,629 | 3,992 | — | — |
| Bradford at Easton | 2,033 | 18,602 | 20,635 | 9,566 | — | — |
| Heathermoor | 1,796 | 10,536 | 12,332 | 6,519 | — | — |
| Kensington Grove | 533 | 5,376 | 5,909 | 3,118 | — | — |
| Lake Forest | 824 | 7,044 | 7,868 | 4,294 | — | — |
| Perimeter Lakes | 1,265 | 9,970 | 11,235 | 5,641 | — | — |
| Saw Mill Village | 2,548 | 21,349 | 23,897 | 11,307 | 16,438 | April 2016 |
| St. Andrews at Little Turtle | 478 | 5,322 | 5,800 | 3,166 | — | — |
| Sterling Park | 646 | 4,457 | 5,103 | 2,674 | — | — |
| The Residence at Christopher Wren | 1,560 | 16,792 | 18,352 | 10,488 | — | — |
| Wyndemere Land | 200 | — | 200 | — | — | — |

SCHEDULE III
ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
December 31, 2012

| (In thousands) | Dates Acquired/ Constructed | Depreciable Lives - Years | Initial Cost to Company | | Costs Capitalized |
|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------------|
| | | | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Subsequent to Acquisition |
| PROPERTIES | | | | | |
| SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN | | | | | |
| Arbor Landings | June, 1999 | 5-30 | \$1,129 | \$ 10,403 | \$10,637 |
| Clinton Place | August, 1997 | 5-30 | 1,219 | 9,478 | 2,208 |
| Georgetown Park | June, 1999 | 5-30 | 1,778 | 12,141 | 13,896 |
| Oaks at Hampton | August, 1995 | 5-30 | 3,026 | 27,204 | 4,311 |
| Spring Valley | October, 1997 | 5-30 | 1,433 | 13,461 | 1,688 |
| WESTERN MICHIGAN | | | | | |
| Landings at the Preserve | September, 1995 | 5-30 | 1,081 | 7,190 | 1,312 |
| Summer Ridge | April, 1996 | 5-30 | 1,251 | 11,194 | 2,304 |
| CENTRAL FLORIDA | | | | | |
| Courtney Chase ⁽²⁾ | August, 2004 | 5-30 | 3,032 | 20,452 | 514 |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | | | | | |
| Cypress Shores | February, 1998 | 5-30 | 2,769 | 16,452 | 1,820 |
| Vista Lago ⁽²⁾ | March, 2005 | 5-30 | 4,012 | 35,954 | 451 |
| Waterstone at Wellington ⁽²⁾ | June, 2011 | 5-30 | 5,948 | 25,456 | 392 |
| Windsor Pines | October, 1998 | 5-30 | 4,834 | 28,795 | 1,433 |
| GEORGIA | | | | | |
| Cambridge at Buckhead ⁽²⁾ | October, 2005 | 5-30 | 6,166 | 16,730 | 829 |
| Idlewylde ⁽²⁾ | June, 2007 | 5-30 | 12,058 | 53,124 | 1,661 |
| Morgan Place | July, 1998 | 5-30 | 3,292 | 9,159 | 1,117 |
| MARYLAND | | | | | |
| Annen Woods | July, 1998 | 5-30 | 1,389 | 9,069 | 1,768 |
| Bethesda ⁽⁴⁾ | | — | 12,484 | — | 4 |
| Reflections | February, 1998 | 5-30 | 1,807 | 12,447 | 3,943 |
| METRO DC | | | | | |
| Dwell Vienna Metro ⁽²⁾ | August, 2011 | 5-30 | 11,241 | 67,130 | 329 |
| Hampton Point | July, 1998 | 5-30 | 3,394 | 21,703 | 7,150 |

INDIANA

| | | | | | |
|--------------------------|----------------|------|-------|--------|-------|
| Center Point | August, 1997 | 5-30 | 1,508 | 22,861 | 2,036 |
| Residence at White River | February, 1997 | 5-30 | 1,064 | 11,631 | 1,979 |
| Steeplechase at Shiloh | July, 1998 | 5-30 | 2,261 | 16,257 | 1,011 |

F-40

SCHEDULE III
ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
December 31, 2012

| (In thousands) Property | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|----------|-----------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Encumbrances (1) | Maturity Date |
| PROPERTIES | | | | | | |
| SOUTHEAST MICHIGAN | | | | | | |
| Arbor Landings | \$1,682 | \$20,487 | \$22,169 | \$10,703 | \$— | — |
| Clinton Place | 1,219 | 11,686 | 12,905 | 6,017 | — | — |
| Georgetown Park | 2,128 | 25,687 | 27,815 | 14,345 | — | — |
| Oaks at Hampton | 3,026 | 31,515 | 34,541 | 18,396 | — | — |
| Spring Valley | 1,433 | 15,149 | 16,582 | 7,838 | 10,817 | June 2014 |
| WESTERN MICHIGAN | | | | | | |
| Landings at the Preserve | 1,143 | 8,440 | 9,583 | 4,775 | — | — |
| Summer Ridge | 1,251 | 13,498 | 14,749 | 7,492 | — | — |
| CENTRAL FLORIDA | | | | | | |
| Courtney Chase ⁽²⁾ | 3,032 | 20,966 | 23,998 | 6,584 | — | — |
| SOUTHEAST FLORIDA | | | | | | |
| Cypress Shores | 2,769 | 18,272 | 21,041 | 9,075 | 26,990 | October 2013 |
| Vista Lago ⁽²⁾ | 4,012 | 36,405 | 40,417 | 10,411 | — | — |
| Waterstone at Wellington ⁽²⁾ | 5,948 | 25,848 | 31,796 | 1,608 | — | — |
| Windsor Pines | 4,834 | 30,228 | 35,062 | 14,706 | 38,880 | October 2013 |
| GEORGIA | | | | | | |
| Cambridge at Buckhead ⁽²⁾ | 6,166 | 17,559 | 23,725 | 4,648 | — | — |
| Idlewylde ⁽²⁾ | 11,849 | 54,994 | 66,843 | 13,245 | — | — |
| Morgan Place | 3,292 | 10,276 | 13,568 | 5,005 | — | — |
| MARYLAND | | | | | | |
| Annen Woods | 1,389 | 10,837 | 12,226 | 5,385 | 12,857 | October 2013 |
| Bethesda ⁽⁴⁾ | 12,488 | — | 12,488 | — | — | — |
| Reflections | 1,807 | 16,390 | 18,197 | 7,692 | 19,198 | October 2013 |
| METRO DC | | | | | | |
| Dwell Vienna Metro ⁽²⁾ | 11,241 | 67,459 | 78,700 | 3,563 | 41,167 | June 2022 |
| Hampton Point | 3,394 | 28,853 | 32,247 | 13,495 | 32,573 | October 2013 |

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

INDIANA

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------|--------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|
| Center Point | 1,470 | 24,935 | 26,405 | 12,844 | — | — |
| Residence at White River | 1,064 | 13,610 | 14,674 | 7,072 | 9,221 | June 2014 |
| Steeplechase at Shiloh | 2,261 | 17,268 | 19,529 | 8,351 | — | — |

F-41

SCHEDULE III
ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
December 31, 2012

| (In thousands) | Dates Acquired/ Constructed | Depreciable Lives - Years | Initial Cost to Company | | Costs |
|------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| | | | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Capitalized Subsequent to Acquisition |
| PROPERTIES | | | | | |
| NORTH CAROLINA | | | | | |
| Southpoint Village ⁽²⁾ | July, 2012 | 5-30 | \$3,307 | \$ 29,661 | \$61 |
| The Apartments at the Arboretum ⁽²⁾ | May, 2012 | 5-30 | 4,008 | 33,411 | 114 |
| The Park at Crossroads ⁽²⁾ | August, 2012 | 5-30 | 5,520 | 31,391 | 449 |
| NORTHERN VIRGINIA | | | | | |
| Ashborough ⁽²⁾ | September, 2010 | 5-30 | 20,136 | 65,885 | 446 |
| Riverside Station ⁽²⁾ | May, 2010 | 5-30 | 13,680 | 38,708 | 340 |
| Westwind Farms ⁽²⁾ | December, 2010 | 5-30 | 18,265 | 67,098 | 443 |
| SOUTHEAST VIRGINIA | | | | | |
| River Forest ⁽²⁾ | April, 2008 | 5-30 | 4,655 | 25,758 | 7,184 |
| The Alexander at Ghent ⁽²⁾ | June, 2007 | 5-30 | 4,368 | 43,263 | 816 |
| The Belvedere ⁽²⁾ | April, 2008 | 5-30 | 6,044 | 35,353 | 391 |
| CALIFORNIA | | | | | |
| Desmond's Tower ^{(2) (5)} | May, 2012 | 14-30 | 7,390 | 10,742 | — |
| The Desmond on Wilshire ⁽³⁾ | May, 2012 | — | 17,491 | — | — |
| TEXAS | | | | | |
| Turtle Creek ⁽³⁾ | | — | 6,983 | — | — |
| San Raphael ⁽²⁾ | October, 2010 | 5-30 | 3,777 | 15,795 | 816 |
| San Raphael Phase II ⁽⁴⁾ | | | 733 | — | — |
| The Brixton | October, 2011 | 5-30 | 2,867 | 17,638 | 469 |
| 21-Forty Medical District | July, 2012 | 5-30 | 5,413 | 44,823 | 101 |
| TENNESSEE | | | | | |
| Vista Germantown | November, 2012 | 5-30 | 5,649 | 29,389 | — |
| | | | \$239,504 | \$ 1,114,144 | 103,615 |
| MANAGEMENT SERVICE | | | | | |

COMPANIES

| | | | |
|--------------|-------------------|-------|-----------|
| Improvements | November, 1993 | 10-30 | 6,938 |
| | | | \$110,553 |

F-42

SCHEDULE III
ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION
REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION
December 31, 2012

| (In thousands) Property | Gross Amount at Which Carried at December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------|-----------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Land | Buildings & Improvements | Total | Accumulated Depreciation | Encumbrances (1) | Maturity Date |
| PROPERTIES | | | | | | |
| NORTH CAROLINA | | | | | | |
| Southpoint Village ⁽²⁾ | \$3,307 | \$ 29,722 | \$33,029 | \$ 448 | \$— | — |
| The Apartments at the Arboretum ⁽²⁾ | 4,008 | 33,524 | 37,532 | 706 | — | — |
| The Park at Crossroads ⁽²⁾ | 5,520 | 31,840 | 37,360 | 406 | 27,260 | August 2016 |
| NORTHERN VIRGINIA | | | | | | |
| Ashborough ⁽²⁾ | 20,136 | 66,331 | 86,467 | 5,869 | 47,591 | May 2018 |
| Riverside Station ⁽²⁾ | 13,680 | 39,048 | 52,728 | 3,912 | 35,878 | September 2020 |
| Westwind Farms ⁽²⁾ | 18,265 | 67,541 | 85,806 | 5,397 | — | — |
| SOUTHEAST VIRGINIA | | | | | | |
| River Forest ⁽²⁾ | 4,644 | 32,953 | 37,597 | 5,399 | — | — |
| The Alexander at Ghent ⁽²⁾ | 4,367 | 44,080 | 48,447 | 9,414 | 24,500 | October 2014 |
| The Belvedere ⁽²⁾ | 6,044 | 35,744 | 41,788 | 6,282 | — | — |
| CALIFORNIA | | | | | | |
| Desmond's Tower ^{(2) (5)} | 7,390 | 10,742 | 18,132 | 293 | — | — |
| The Desmond on Wilshire ⁽³⁾ | 17,491 | — | 17,491 | — | — | — |
| TEXAS | | | | | | |
| Turtle Creek ⁽³⁾ | 6,983 | — | 6,983 | — | — | — |
| San Raphael ⁽²⁾ | 3,777 | 16,611 | 20,388 | 1,482 | — | — |
| San Raphael Phase II ⁽⁴⁾ | 733 | — | 733 | — | — | — |
| The Brixton | 2,867 | 18,107 | 20,974 | 849 | 12,595 | June 2019 |
| 21-Forty Medical District | 5,413 | 44,924 | 50,337 | 670 | — | — |
| TENNESSEE | | | | | | |
| Vista Germantown | 5,649 | 29,389 | 35,038 | 951 | — | — |
| | 239,653 | 1,217,610 | 1,457,263 | 344,515 | \$ 376,278 | |
| MANAGEMENT SERVICE COMPANIES | | | | | | |
| Land, Building and | 1,506 | 5,432 | 6,938 | 2,897 | | |

Edgar Filing: ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------|--------------|-------------|------------|
| Improvements | \$241,159 | \$ 1,223,042 | 1,464,201 | 347,412 |
| Furniture, Fixtures and Equipment | | | 36,997 | 24,318 |
| Construction in Progress | | | 10,449 | — |
| GRAND TOTALS | | | \$1,511,647 | \$ 371,730 |

(1) Encumbrances include mortgage debt secured by the real estate assets.

(2) For acquisitions initiated after June 30, 2001, intangible assets such as in place leases were recognized upon the acquisition of these properties and are not included in the initial costs or historical costs shown.

(3) Land held for future development.

(4) Currently under development.

(5) Office building containing 78,800 total square feet (unaudited).

F-43

SCHEDULE III

ASSOCIATED ESTATES REALTY CORPORATION

REAL ESTATE AND ACCUMULATED DEPRECIATION

December 31, 2012

The aggregate cost for federal income tax purposes was approximately \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2012.

The changes in total real estate assets for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 1,345,439 | \$ 1,210,289 | \$ 940,643 |
| Disposal of fixed assets | (75,770) |) (37,443 |) (4,344 |
| New acquisition properties | 178,864 | 138,050 | 253,240 |
| Improvements | 63,114 | 34,543 | 20,750 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 1,511,647 | \$ 1,345,439 | \$ 1,210,289 |

The changes in accumulated depreciation for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011 and 2010 are as follows:

| (In thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
|------------------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Balance, beginning of period | \$ 358,605 | \$ 335,289 | \$ 302,108 |
| Disposal of fixed assets | (37,531) |) (22,643 |) (4,238 |
| Depreciation for period | 50,656 | 45,959 | 37,419 |
| Balance, end of period | \$ 371,730 | \$ 358,605 | \$ 335,289 |

F-44