

MUELLER INDUSTRIES INC
Form 10-Q
April 21, 2009

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 28, 2009

Commission file number 1-6770

MUELLER INDUSTRIES, INC.
(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or other jurisdiction
of incorporation or organization)

25-0790410
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

8285 Tournament Drive, Suite 150
Memphis, Tennessee
(Address of principal executive offices)

38125
(Zip Code)

(901) 753-3200
(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer", and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer
Non-accelerated filer

Accelerated filer
Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: MUELLER INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

The number of shares of the Registrant's common stock outstanding as of April 20, 2009, was 37,143,163.

MUELLER INDUSTRIES, INC.

FORM 10-Q

For the Quarterly Period Ended March 28, 2009

INDEX

	Page Number
Part I. Financial Information	
<u>Item 1. – Financial Statements (Unaudited)</u>	
a.) <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations</u>	3
b.) <u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets</u>	4
c.) <u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u>	5
d.) <u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	6
<u>Item 2. – Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	15
<u>Item 3. – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	18
<u>Item 4. – Controls and Procedures</u>	19
Part II. Other Information	
<u>Item 1. – Legal Proceedings</u>	20
<u>Item 2. – Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	24
<u>Item 6. – Exhibits</u>	25
<u>Signatures</u>	26

Table of Contents

PART I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

MUELLER INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(Unaudited)

For the Quarter Ended
March 28, March 29,
2009 2008

(In thousands, except per share data)

Net sales	\$ 326,558	\$ 704,108
Cost of goods sold	287,383	611,797
Depreciation and amortization	10,480	10,984
Selling, general, and administrative expense	31,158	38,291
Operating (loss) income	(2,463)	43,036
Interest expense	(2,636)	(5,467)
Other income, net	627	4,569
(Loss) income before income taxes	(4,472)	42,138
Income tax benefit (expense)	1,962	(14,231)
Consolidated net (loss) income	(2,510)	27,907
Less: net loss (income) attributable to noncontrolling interest	18	(552)
Net (loss) income attributable to Mueller Industries, Inc.	\$ (2,492)	\$ 27,355
Weighted average shares for basic (loss) earnings per share	37,143	37,089
Effect of dilutive stock options	-	192
Adjusted weighted average shares for diluted (loss) earnings per share	37,143	37,281
Basic (loss) earnings per share	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.74
Diluted (loss) earnings per share	\$ (0.07)	\$ 0.73
Dividends per share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.10

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MUELLER INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(Unaudited)

	March 28, 2009	December 27, 2008
(In thousands, except share data)		
Assets		
Current assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 300,336	\$ 278,860
Accounts receivable, less allowance of doubtful accounts of \$6,688 in 2009 and \$6,690 in 2008	190,172	219,035
Inventories	170,968	210,609
Other current assets	37,596	46,322
Total current assets	699,072	754,826
Property, plant, and equipment, net	270,626	276,927
Other assets	148,487	151,160
Total Assets	\$ 1,118,185	\$ 1,182,913
Liabilities		
Current liabilities:		
Current portion of debt	\$ 14,022	\$ 24,184
Accounts payable	52,476	63,732
Accrued wages and other employee costs	22,807	35,079
Other current liabilities	55,455	78,589
Total current liabilities	144,760	201,584
Long-term debt, less current portion	158,726	158,726
Pension and postretirement liabilities	37,692	38,452
Environmental reserves	23,184	23,248
Deferred income taxes	32,663	33,940
Other noncurrent liabilities	1,441	1,698
Total liabilities	398,466	457,648
Equity		
Mueller Industries, Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock - \$1.00 par value; shares authorized 5,000,000; none outstanding	-	-
Common stock - \$.01 par value; shares authorized 100,000,000; issued 40,091,502; outstanding 37,143,163 in 2009 and 2008	401	401
Additional paid-in capital	262,985	262,378
Retained earnings	544,295	550,501

Edgar Filing: MUELLER INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(48,739)	(48,113)
Treasury common stock, at cost	(64,484)	(64,484)
Total Mueller Industries, Inc. stockholders' equity	694,458	700,683
Noncontrolling interest	25,261	24,582
Total equity	719,719	725,265
Commitments and contingencies	-	-
Total Liabilities and Equity	\$ 1,118,185	\$ 1,182,913

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MUELLER INDUSTRIES, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(Unaudited)

	For the Quarter Ended	
	March 28, 2009	March 29, 2008
(In thousands)		
Cash flows from operating activities		
Net (loss) income attributable to Mueller Industries, Inc.	\$ (2,492)	\$ 27,355
Reconciliation of net (loss) income attributable to Mueller Industries, Inc. to net cash provided by (used in) operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	10,521	11,110
Gain on early retirement of debt	-	(2,408)
Net (loss) income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(18)	552
Stock-based compensation expense	607	731
(Gain) loss on disposal of properties	(87)	339
Deferred income taxes	(248)	(429)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Receivables	28,010	(62,218)
Inventories	38,657	(3,664)
Other assets	3,070	(6,780)
Current liabilities	(42,167)	14,264
Other liabilities	(620)	2,566
Other, net	(261)	(2,708)
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	34,972	(21,290)
Cash flows from investing activities		
Capital expenditures	(4,842)	(8,573)
Net withdrawals from restricted cash balances	5,852	85
Proceeds from the sales of properties	402	-
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	1,412	(8,488)
Cash flows from financing activities		
Repayments of long-term debt	-	(22,979)
Dividends paid	(3,714)	(3,709)
(Repayment) issuance of debt by joint venture, net	(10,152)	21,032
Issuance of shares under incentive stock option plans from treasury	-	266
Net cash used in financing activities	(13,866)	(5,390)

Edgar Filing: MUELLER INDUSTRIES INC - Form 10-Q

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	(1,042)	830
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	21,476	(34,338)
Cash and cash equivalents at the beginning of the period	278,860	308,618
Cash and cash equivalents at the end of the period	\$ 300,336	\$ 274,280

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

MUELLER INDUSTRIES, INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(Unaudited)

General

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States have been condensed or omitted. Results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of results which may be expected for any other interim period or for the year as a whole. This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should be read in conjunction with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the annual financial statements incorporated therein.

The accompanying unaudited interim financial statements include all normal recurring adjustments which are, in the opinion of management, necessary to a fair statement of the results for the interim periods presented.

Note 1 – Earnings per Common Share

Basic per share amounts have been computed based on the average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted per share amounts reflect the increase in average common shares outstanding that would result from the assumed exercise of outstanding stock options, computed using the treasury stock method. For the first quarter of 2009, the Company reported a net loss. Therefore the effect of the inclusion of all potentially dilutive securities was anti-dilutive when computing diluted loss per share; thus, the computation for both basic and diluted loss per share was the same for that period. Potentially dilutive securities totaled approximately 73 thousand shares for the quarter ended March 28, 2009.

Note 2 – Commitments and Contingencies

The Company is involved in certain litigation as a result of claims that arose in the ordinary course of business, which management believes will not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position or results of operations. The Company may also realize the benefit of certain legal claims and litigation in the future; these gain contingencies are not recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Environmental Matters

Southeast Kansas Sites

By letter dated October 10, 2006, the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) advised the Company that environmental contamination has been identified at a former smelter site in southeast Kansas. KDHE asserts that the Company is a corporate successor to an entity that is alleged to have owned and operated the smelter from 1915 to 1918. The Company has since been advised of possible connection between that same entity and two other former smelter sites in Kansas. KDHE has requested that the Company and another potentially responsible party (PRP) negotiate a consent order with KDHE to address contamination at these sites. The Company has participated in preliminary discussions with KDHE and the other PRP. The Company believes it is not liable for the contamination but as an alternative to litigation, the Company has entered into settlement negotiations with the other PRP. The negotiations are ongoing.

Shasta Area Mine Sites

Mining Remedial Recovery Company (MRRC), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, owns certain inactive mines in Shasta County, California. MRRC has continued a program, begun in the late 1980's, of sealing mine portals with concrete plugs in mine adits which were discharging water. The sealing program has achieved significant reductions in the metal load in discharges from these adits; however, additional reductions are required pursuant to a series of orders issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (QCB). The remedial activities performed by MRRC have reduced impacts of acid rock drainage; however full compliance has not been achieved. The QCB is presently renewing MRRC's discharge permit and will concurrently issue a new order.

Table of Contents

U.S.S. Lead

U.S.S. Lead Refinery, Inc., (Lead Refinery), a wholly owned subsidiary of MRRC, has been conducting remedial actions pursuant to a Consent Order with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to Section 3008(h) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The Consent Order requires corrective action at Lead Refinery's East Chicago, Indiana site and provides for Lead Refinery to complete certain on-site interim remedial activities and studies that extend off-site. Site activities, which began in December 1996, have been substantially concluded. Lead Refinery's ongoing monitoring and maintenance activities at this site are handled pursuant to a post-closure permit issued by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) effective as of January 22, 2008. EPA has informed Lead Refinery that the Consent Order would be terminated upon issuance of the IDEM post-closure permit in effect. On April 9, 2009, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), the EPA added the Lead Refinery site to the National Priorities List (NPL). The NPL is a list of priority sites where EPA has determined that there has been a release or threatened release of contaminants that warrant investigation and, if appropriate, remedial action. The NPL does not assign liability to any party or to the owner of a property placed on the NPL. The placement of a site on the NPL does not necessarily mean that remedial action must be taken. The Company is unable to determine the likelihood of a materially adverse outcome or the amount or range of a potential loss with respect to placement of this site on the NPL. Lead Refinery lacks the financial resources needed to undertake any investigations or remedial action that may be required by EPA pursuant to CERCLA.

Eureka Mills Site

In November 2008, the Company received a general notice of liability and second request for information under CERCLA from the EPA concerning the Eureka Mills Superfund Site (the Eureka Mills Site) located in Juab County, Utah. The Eureka Mills Site is an area where mining and milling of various metals occurred over the course of several decades. The EPA has been investigating and remediating contamination associated with these activities. The Company's predecessor, Sharon Steel Corporation, acquired land within the Eureka Mills Site from UV Industries, Inc. in 1979. Pursuant to the court-approved 1990 bankruptcy plan of reorganization for Sharon Steel Corporation, the land was transferred by the Company to Amwest Exploration Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, which later sold the land to a third-party in 1993. In 2001, the Company responded to an earlier request for information concerning milling activities stating that it was not responsible for any such activities at the Eureka Site. The second request for information concerned historic mining activities. In responding to EPA's November 2008 letter and also to a recent third request for information received in March, 2009, the Company stated that it does not believe it is liable for the contamination. The Company has agreed to suspend temporarily the running of the time period during which the EPA must bring a lawsuit in order to allow time for the Company and the EPA to discuss this matter. The Company does not know the extent to which EPA may seek to hold the Company liable for cleanup or whether the Company would have claims against any other parties. The Company is continuing to evaluate this matter.

Copper Tube Antitrust Litigation

The Company is named as a defendant in several pending litigations (the Copper Tube Actions) brought by direct and indirect purchasers of various forms of copper tube. The Copper Tube Actions allege anticompetitive activities with respect to the sale of copper plumbing tubes and/or copper tubes used in, among other things, the manufacturing of air-conditioning and refrigeration units. All of the Copper Tube Actions seek monetary and other relief. The Company believes that the claims for relief in the Copper Tube Actions are without merit. Due to the procedural stage of the Copper Tube Actions, the Company is unable to determine the likelihood of a materially adverse outcome in the

Copper Tube Actions or the amount or range of a potential loss in the Copper Tube Actions.

7

Table of Contents

Employment Litigation

On June 1, 2007, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Dupage County, Illinois against Peter D. Berkman and Jeffrey A. Berkman, former executives of the Company and B&K Industries, Inc. (B&K), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, relating to their alleged breach of fiduciary duties and contractual obligations to the Company through, among other things, their involvement with a supplier of B&K during their employment with B&K. The lawsuit alleges appropriation of corporate opportunities for personal benefit, failure to disclose competitive interests or other conflicts of interest, and unfair competition, as well as breach of employment agreements in connection with the foregoing. The lawsuit seeks compensatory and punitive damages, and other appropriate relief. In August 2007, the defendants filed an answer to the complaint admitting Peter Berkman had not sought authorization to have an ownership interest in a supplier, and a counterclaim against the Company, B&K and certain of the Company's officers and directors alleging defamation, tortious interference with prospective economic relations, and conspiracy, and seeking damages in unspecified amounts. In September 2007, Homewerks Worldwide LLC, an entity formed by Peter Berkman, filed a complaint as an intervenor based on substantially the same allegations included in the Berkmans' counterclaim. In October 2007, the Company also filed a motion seeking to have the Berkmans' counterclaim dismissed as a matter of law. On January 3, 2008, the Court overruled that motion and the case proceeded to discovery of the relevant facts. Since that time, depositions and document productions have been ongoing. However, on September 5, 2008, Peter Berkman withdrew prior responses to discovery requests and asserted the Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination as to all requests directed to him. By that assertion, he took the position that his testimony about his actions would have the potential of exposing him to a criminal charge or criminal charges. On October 3, 2008, in response to a motion to compel filed by the Company, the Court held that Peter Berkman could not withhold documents on Fifth Amendment grounds, amongst other things. Peter Berkman moved for reconsideration of that order and his request was denied on November 19, 2008. On December 10, 2008, Peter Berkman moved for the opportunity to file an interlocutory appeal regarding the Court's ruling on the Company's motion to compel. On January 7, 2009, the motion for interlocutory appeal was granted, the Court found Peter Berkman in contempt for resisting discovery, and Peter Berkman has since filed a notice of appeal with the Illinois Appellate Court, Second Judicial District. On October 24, 2008, the defendants filed a motion seeking leave to interpose an Amended Answer and Amended Counterclaims. On December 19, 2008, the Company filed an answer to the Amended Counterclaims that included a new affirmative defense based on the assertion of the Fifth Amendment by Peter Berkman. The Company believes that the counterclaims are without merit and intends to defend them vigorously. The Company does not anticipate any material adverse effect on its business or financial condition as a result of this litigation.

Other

Guarantees, in the form of letters of credit, are issued by the Company generally to guarantee the payment of insurance deductibles and certain retiree health benefits. The terms of the Company's guarantees are generally one year but are renewable annually as required. These letters are primarily backed by the Company's line of credit facility. The maximum potential amount of future payments the Company could have been required to make under its guarantees at March 28, 2009 was \$10.0 million.

Note 3 – Inventories

March 28,	December
2009	27, 2008

(In thousands)

Raw materials and supplies	\$ 27,865	\$ 57,536
Work-in-process	24,810	39,018
Finished goods	124,291	122,756
Valuation reserves	(5,998)	(8,701)
Inventories	\$ 170,968	\$ 210,609

The Company has deferred recognizing potential gains resulting from liquidation of LIFO inventories during the first quarter of 2009. The Company expects to replenish these inventories by the end of 2009 and, as such, has not recognized the effects of liquidating LIFO layers. In the event the Company is not able to replenish these inventories due to lack of availability or operational reasons, the Company would recognize a non-cash gain of approximately \$5.4 million from the liquidation of LIFO layers based on quarter-end quantities.

Table of Contents

Note 4 – Goodwill

The Company recognized an estimated goodwill impairment charge of \$18.0 million in the period ended December 27, 2008 related to its Mexican Operations, a part of the Plumbing & Refrigeration segment. An estimate was recorded because the Company did not complete step two of its annual impairment test as required by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets (SFAS No. 142) until the quarter ended March 28, 2009. The impairment resulted from revised projections of future cash flows as well as other estimates and assumptions due to prevailing market conditions.

In accordance with SFAS No. 142, the Company applies a fair value based impairment test to the net book value of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets annually at the beginning of the fourth quarter and, on an interim basis if certain events or circumstances indicate that impairment may have been incurred. The analysis of potential impairment of goodwill requires a two-step process. The first step is the estimation of fair value. If this estimate indicates that impairment potentially exists, the second step is performed to quantify the amount of impairment, if any. Goodwill impairment exists when the implied fair value of goodwill is less than its carrying value.

The Company uses a discounted cash flow model (DCF model) to estimate the fair value of reporting units based on expected earnings, because there are no observable inputs available (Level 3 hierarchy as defined by SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements). Cash flows are projected to equal (i) projected future earnings adjusted for the capital investment required to support operations and depreciation expense for a five-year period plus (ii) a terminal value. This cash flow stream is discounted to its present value to arrive at a fair value of each reporting unit. Future earnings are estimated using the Company's most recent annual projection, applying a growth rate to future periods. The discount rate used in the DCF model equals the Company's cost of capital plus a specific reporting unit risk premium.

The results of step one indicated goodwill was impaired at the Company's Mexican Operations as the estimated fair value was less than the carrying value of the reporting unit. As such, step two of the goodwill impairment test was performed to determine the actual amount of goodwill impairment. In this step, the Company was required to allocate the fair value of the reporting unit, as determined in step one, to all the reporting unit's assets and liabilities in a hypothetical purchase price allocation as if the Company's Mexican Operations had been acquired on the date of the test. Upon completion of this step, the Company's original estimate did not change.

The determination of fair value of the reporting units requires the Company to make significant estimates and assumptions. Due to the inherent uncertainty involved in making these estimates, actual results could differ materially for those estimates.

Note 5 – Industry Segments

The Company's reportable segments are Plumbing & Refrigeration and Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM). For disclosure purposes, as permitted under SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, certain operating segments are aggregated into reportable segments. The Plumbing & Refrigeration segment is composed of Standard Products (SPD), European Operations, and Mexican Operations. The OEM segment is composed of Industrial Products (IPD), Engineered Products (EPD), and Mueller-Xingrong. These segments are classified primarily by the markets for their products. Performance of segments is generally evaluated by their operating income. Intersegment transactions are generally conducted on an arms-length basis.

SPD manufactures copper tube and fittings, plastic fittings, plastic pipe, and line sets. These products are manufactured in the U.S. Outside the U.S., the Company's European Operations manufacture copper tube, which is sold in Europe and the Middle East. SPD also imports and resells brass and plastic plumbing valves, malleable iron fittings, faucets, and plumbing specialty products. Mexican Operations consist of pipe nipple manufacturing and import distribution businesses including product lines of malleable iron fittings and other plumbing specialties. The European Operations consist of copper tube manufacturing, as noted above, and the import distribution of fittings, valves, and plumbing specialties primarily in the U.K. and Ireland. The Plumbing & Refrigeration segment's products are sold primarily to plumbing, refrigeration, and air-conditioning wholesalers, hardware wholesalers and co-ops, and building product retailers.

Table of Contents

IPD manufactures brass rod, impact extrusions, and forgings as well as a variety of end products including plumbing brass; automotive components; valves and fittings; and specialty copper, copper-alloy, and aluminum tubing. EPD manufactures and fabricates valves and assemblies for the refrigeration, air-conditioning, gas appliance, and barbecue grill markets. Mueller-Xingrong manufactures engineered copper tube primarily for air-conditioning applications. These products are sold primarily to OEM customers.

Summarized segment information is as follows:

(In thousands)	For the Quarter Ended March 28, 2009			
	Plumbing & Refrigeration Segment	OEM Segment	Corporate and Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$ 190,393	\$ 138,392	\$ (2,227)	\$ 326,558
Cost of goods sold	153,289	136,023	(1,929)	287,383
Depreciation and amortization	6,624	3,586	270	10,480
Selling, general, and administrative expense	20,149	5,049	5,960	31,158
Operating income (loss)	10,331	(6,266)	(6,528)	(2,463)
Interest expense				(2,636)
Other income, net				627
Loss before income taxes				\$ (4,472)

(In thousands)	For the Quarter Ended March 29, 2008			
	Plumbing & Refrigeration Segment	OEM Segment	Corporate and Eliminations	Total
Net sales	\$ 383,884	\$ 326,207	\$ (5,983)	\$ 704,108
Cost of goods sold	327,999	289,481	(5,683)	611,797
Depreciation and amortization	7,258	3,450	276	10,984
Selling, general, and administrative expense	23,543	7,702	7,046	38,291
Operating income	25,084	25,574	(7,622)	43,036
Interest expense				(5,467)
Other income, net				4,569
Income before income taxes				\$ 42,138

Table of Contents

Note 6 – Comprehensive (Loss) Income

Comprehensive (loss) income is as follows:

	For the Quarter Ended	
	March 28, 2009	March 29, 2008
(In thousands)		
Consolidated net (loss) income	\$ (2,510)	\$ 27,907
Other comprehensive (loss) income, net of tax:		
Foreign currency translation	(3,316)	2,704
Net change with respect to derivative instruments and hedging activities	3,023	638
Other, net	364	162
Total other comprehensive income	71	3,504
Comprehensive (loss) income	(2,439)	31,411
Less: comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interest	(679)	(1,406)
Comprehensive (loss) income attributable to Mueller Industries, Inc.	\$ (3,118)	\$ 30,005

The change in cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment primarily relates to the Company's investment in foreign subsidiaries and fluctuations in exchange rates between their local currencies and the U.S. dollar. The values of the British pound sterling and the Mexican peso decreased approximately 1.8 percent and 3.1 percent, respectively, relative to the U.S. dollar during the first quarter of 2009.

Note 7 – Employee Benefits

The Company sponsors several qualified and nonqualified pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans for certain of its employees. The components of net periodic benefit cost (income) are as follows:

	For the Quarter Ended	
	March 28, 2009	March 29, 2008
(In thousands)		
Pension benefits:		
Service cost	\$ 364	\$ 739
Interest cost	2,562	3,417
Expected return on plan assets	(3,198)	(4,922)
Amortization of prior service cost	76	102
Amortization of net loss	160	54

Net periodic benefit income	\$	(36)	\$	(610)
Other benefits:				
Service cost	\$	54	\$	80
Interest cost		338		379
Amortization of prior service cost		1		1
Amortization of net loss		41		56
Net periodic benefit cost	\$	434	\$	516

The Company anticipates contributions to its pension plans for 2009 to be approximately \$1.6 million. During the first quarter of 2009, contributions of approximately \$0.4 million have been made to certain pension plans.

Table of Contents

Note 8 – Income Taxes

The Company's effective tax rate for the first quarter of 2009 was 44 percent compared with 34 percent for the same period last year. The difference between the reported income tax benefit and what would be computed using the U.S. federal statutory tax rate for the first quarter of 2009 was \$0.4 million. None of the items creating differences between the effective and statutory rates are material.

Changes in tax contingencies had an immaterial effect on the effective tax rate during the first quarter of 2009. Total unrecognized tax benefits at the end of first quarter were \$8.0 million, without consideration of any applicable federal benefit, and this amount includes \$1.7 million of accrued interest. The Company includes interest and penalties related to income tax matters as a component of income tax expense. Of the \$8.0 million, approximately \$6.0 million would impact the effective tax rate, if recognized. An immaterial amount was recorded for interest accruals for the quarter.

The Company files a consolidated U.S. federal income tax return and files numerous consolidated and separate income tax returns in many state, local, and foreign jurisdictions. The Company is no longer subject to U.S. federal income tax examinations for years before 2005 and with few exceptions is no longer subject to state, local, or foreign income tax examinations by tax authorities for years before 2002. The Internal Revenue Service has concluded its examination of the Company's 2005 and 2006 consolidated U.S. federal income tax returns, the results of which were immaterial to the Company. The Internal Revenue Service is beginning an examination of the Company's 2007 consolidated U.S. federal income tax return, as well as a federal return of an entity acquired by the Company. Additionally, various state taxing authorities are currently examining a number of the Company's state income tax returns for years from 2005 forward. The results of these examinations are not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

Note 9 – Other Income, Net

	For the Quarter Ended	
	March 28, 2009	March 29, 2008
(In thousands)		
Interest income	\$ 498	\$ 2,385
Gain on early retirement of debt	-	2,408
Gain (loss) on disposal of properties, net	87	(339)
Environmental expense, non-operating properties	(139)	(118)
Other	181	233
Other income, net	\$ 627	\$ 4,569

Note 10 – Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company adopted SFAS No. 161, Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities, an amendment of FASB Statement No. 133 (SFAS No. 161) during the first quarter of 2009. SFAS No. 161 requires

enhanced disclosures for derivative instruments and hedging activities. The adoption of SFAS No. 161 did not have any impact on the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements.

Copper and brass represent the largest component of the Company's variable costs of production. The cost of these materials is subject to global market fluctuations caused by factors beyond the Company's control. The Company occasionally enters into forward fixed-price arrangements with certain customers; the risk of these arrangements is managed with commodity futures contracts. The Company accounts for these futures contracts in accordance with SFAS No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS No. 133). These futures contracts have been designated as cash flow hedges. The fair value of open futures contracts are recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income until the position is closed which corresponds to the period when the related hedged transaction is recognized in earnings. Should these contracts no longer meet hedge criteria in accordance with SFAS No. 133, either through lack of effectiveness or because the hedged transaction is no longer probable of occurring, all deferred gains and losses related to the hedge will be immediately reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income into earnings. In the next twelve months, the Company will reclassify into earnings realized gains or losses of cash flow hedges; at March 28, 2009, the net fair value of these contracts was approximately a \$3.4 million loss.

Table of Contents

At March 28, 2009 the Company held open futures contracts to purchase approximately \$16.2 million of copper over the next twelve months related to fixed price sales orders. The fair value of those futures contracts was a \$3.0 million loss position, which was determined by obtaining quoted market prices (Level 1 hierarchy as defined by SFAS No. 157). The Company does not offset fair value of amounts for derivative instruments and fair value amounts recognized for the right to reclaim cash collateral. At March 28, 2009, the Company had recorded restricted cash of \$1.9 million related to open futures contracts.

Derivative instruments designated as hedging instruments under SFAS No. 133 are reflected in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as follows:

	March 28, 2009	Fair value
(In thousands)	Location	
Commodity contracts	Other current assets	\$ 289
Commodity contracts	Other current liabilities	3,795
Commodity contracts	Other current liabilities	(281)

The following tables summarize activities related to the Company's derivative instruments, classified as cash flow hedges in accordance with SFAS No. 133 for the period ended March 28, 2009:

	Gain (Loss) Recognized in Accumulated OCI (Effective Portion), Net of Tax	March 28, 2009
(In thousands)		
Commodity contracts		\$ 732 (1)

(1) Includes \$57 thousand attributable to noncontrolling interest.

	(Gain) Loss Reclassified from Accumulated OCI into Income (Effective Portion), Net of Tax	
(In thousands)	Location	Amount
Commodity contracts	Cost of goods sold	\$ 2,291

The Company enters into futures contracts that closely match the terms of the underlying transactions. As a result, the ineffective portion of the open hedge contracts at March 28, 2009 is not material to the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Table of Contents

Note 11 – Recently Issued Accounting Standards

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements — an amendment of ARB No. 51 (SFAS No. 160). SFAS No. 160 requires (i) that noncontrolling (minority) interests be reported as a component of stockholders' equity, (ii) that net income attributable to the parent and the noncontrolling interest be separately identified in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, (iii) that changes in a parent's ownership interest while the parent retains the controlling interest be accounted for as equity transactions, (iv) that any retained noncontrolling equity investment upon the deconsolidation of a subsidiary be initially measured at fair value, and (v) that sufficient disclosures are provided that clearly identify and distinguish between the interests of the parent and the interests of the noncontrolling owners. The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 160 in the first quarter of 2009. As a result of the adoption, the Company has reported noncontrolling interests as a component of equity in the Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets and the net income or loss attributable to noncontrolling interests has been separately identified in the Condensed Consolidated Statement of Operations. The prior periods presented have also been reclassified to conform to the current classification required by SFAS No. 160.

In December 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position FSP 132(R)-1, Employers Disclosures about Postretirement Benefit Plan Assets, which provides additional guidance on employers' disclosures about plan assets of a defined benefit pension or other postretirement plan. This interpretation is effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2009. The adoption of this interpretation will increase the disclosures in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements related to the assets of the Company's defined benefit pension plans.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

General Overview

The Company is a leading manufacturer of copper, brass, plastic, and aluminum products. The range of these products is broad: copper tube and fittings; brass and copper alloy rod, bar, and shapes; aluminum and brass forgings; aluminum and copper impact extrusions; plastic pipe, fittings and valves; refrigeration valves and fittings; fabricated tubular products; and steel nipples. The Company also resells imported brass and plastic plumbing valves, malleable iron fittings, faucets and plumbing specialty products. The Company's operations are located throughout the United States, and in Canada, Mexico, Great Britain, and China.

The Company's businesses are aggregated into two reportable segments: the Plumbing & Refrigeration segment and the OEM segment. For disclosure purposes, as permitted under SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, certain operating segments are aggregated into reportable segments. The Plumbing & Refrigeration segment is composed of the Standard Products Division (SPD), European Operations, and Mexican Operations. The OEM segment is composed of the Industrial Products Division (IPD), Engineered Products Division (EPD), and Mueller-Xingrong, the Company's Chinese joint venture. Certain administrative expenses and expenses related primarily to retiree benefits at inactive operations are combined into the Corporate and Eliminations classification. These reportable segments are described in more detail below.

SPD manufactures and sells copper tube, copper and plastic fittings, plastic pipe, line sets, and valves in North America and sources products for import distribution in North America. European Operations manufactures copper tube in Europe, which is sold in Europe and the Middle East; activities also include import distribution in the U.K. and Ireland. Mexican Operations include pipe nipple manufacturing and import distribution businesses including product lines of malleable iron fittings and other plumbing specialties. The Plumbing & Refrigeration segment sells products to wholesalers in the HVAC (heating, ventilation, and air-conditioning), plumbing, and refrigeration markets, to distributors to the manufactured housing and recreational vehicle industries, and to building material retailers.

The OEM segment manufactures and sells brass and copper alloy rod, bar, and shapes; aluminum and brass forgings; aluminum and copper impact extrusions; refrigeration valves and fittings; fabricated tubular products; and gas valves and assemblies. Mueller-Xingrong manufactures engineered copper tube for refrigeration applications; these products are sold primarily to OEM's located in China. The OEM segment sells its products primarily to original equipment manufacturers, many of which are in the HVAC, plumbing, and refrigeration markets.

New housing starts and commercial construction are important determinants of the Company's sales to the HVAC, refrigeration, and plumbing markets because the principal end use of a significant portion of the Company's products is in the construction of single and multi-family housing and commercial buildings. Repairs and remodeling projects are also important factors affecting the underlying demand for these products.

The majority of the Company's manufacturing facilities operated at significantly below capacity during 2008 and have continued to do so during the first quarter of 2009. This is due to the reduced demand for the Company's products arising from the continued decline in general economic conditions in the U.S. and in foreign markets that the Company serves. The U.S. housing and residential construction market has been adversely affected in the current economic downturn. According to the U.S. Census Bureau, new housing starts were 113 thousand in the first quarter of 2009, which is a 51 percent decrease from the same period in the prior year. The March 2009 seasonally adjusted annual rate of new housing starts was 510 thousand, representing a 48 percent decline from the March 2008 rate. While commercial construction has been more stable, it also has begun to decline. Per the U.S. Census Bureau,

the February 2009 seasonally adjusted annual rate of Nonresidential Value of Construction Put in Place was \$684.9 billion of which \$390.7 billion was private; this is a slight increase compared with \$676.4 billion and \$391.6 billion at February 2008 but a slight decline when compared with \$706.6 billion and \$406.9 billion at December 2008. These conditions have significantly affected the demand for many of the Company's core products.

Profitability of certain of the Company's product lines depends upon the "spreads" between the cost of raw material and the selling prices of its completed products. The open market prices for copper cathode and scrap, for example, influence the selling price of copper tubing, a principal product manufactured by the Company. The Company attempts to minimize the effects on profitability from fluctuations in material costs by passing through these costs to its customers. The Company's earnings and cash flow are dependent upon these spreads that fluctuate based upon market conditions.

Table of Contents

Earnings and profitability are also impacted by unit volumes that are subject to market trends such as substitute products and imports, and market share. Plastic plumbing systems are the primary substitute product; these products represent an increasing share of consumption. U.S. consumption of copper tubing is still predominantly supplied by U.S. manufacturers, although imports from Mexico are a significant factor. Brass rod consumption in the U.S. has steadily declined over the past five years, due to the outsourcing of many manufactured products.

Results of Operations

During the first quarter of 2009, the Company's net sales were \$326.6 million, which compares with net sales of \$704.1 million over the same period of 2008. The decrease is due to reduced unit sales volume across the majority of the Company's product lines as a result of reduced demand arising from current economic conditions. Additionally, selling prices have decreased due to the decreased average cost of raw material during the period, which is generally passed on to customers. The Comex average price of copper, the Company's principal raw material, was \$1.57 per pound in the first quarter of 2009, compared with \$3.53 in the same period of 2008.

Cost of goods sold decreased from \$611.8 million in the first quarter of 2008 to \$287.4 million in the same period of 2009. The decrease is primarily due to decreased sales volume, lower average cost of raw material, and reduced aggregate conversion costs as a result of reduced production workforce and lower utility costs. Depreciation and amortization decreased to \$10.5 million in the first quarter of 2009 from \$11.0 million in the first quarter of 2008 due to several assets becoming fully depreciated during 2008. Selling, general, and administrative expense was \$31.2 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared with \$38.3 million for the same period of 2008. The decrease is primarily due to decreased employment costs resulting from reduced headcount, reduced management incentive compensation, reductions in sales and distribution expenses resulting from lower sales volume, and lower bad debt expense. Total headcount has declined from approximately 4,086 employees in December 2008 to approximately 3,675 employees in March 2009.

Interest expense for the first quarter of 2009 totaled \$2.6 million, compared with \$5.5 million for the same period of 2008. The decrease is attributable to lower interest expense following the early extinguishment of the Company's 6% Subordinated Debentures in 2008. Other income, net was \$0.6 million for the first quarter of 2009 compared with \$4.6 million for the same period of 2008. The current year decrease was primarily due to decreased interest income resulting from lower interest rates, and a gain from early extinguishment of the Company's 6% Subordinated Debentures recognized in the first quarter of 2008.

The Company's effective tax rate for the first quarter of 2009 was 44 percent compared with 34 percent for the same period last year. The difference between the reported income tax benefit and what would be computed using the U.S. federal statutory tax rate for the first quarter of 2009 was \$0.4 million. None of the items creating differences between the effective and statutory rates are material.

Plumbing & Refrigeration Segment

Net sales by the Plumbing and Refrigeration segment were \$190.4 million in the first quarter of 2009 which is approximately a 50 percent decrease from \$383.9 million for the same period in 2008. The decrease is due to decreased sales volume in the majority of the segment's product lines as a result of weak demand and decreased selling prices resulting from lower average raw material costs. Of the \$193.5 million decrease in net sales, approximately \$93.0 million is attributable to lower unit volume and \$85.6 million is due to lower selling prices in the segment's core product lines consisting primarily of copper tube, line sets, and fittings. Additionally, the European copper tube

operation has suffered lower sales volume following a fire in November 2008. Cost of goods sold decreased from \$328.0 million in the first quarter of 2008 to \$153.3 million in the first quarter of 2009. This decrease resulted from lower sales volume, decreased raw material costs, and reduced aggregate conversion costs from reductions in production workforce and lower utility costs. Depreciation and amortization decreased to \$6.6 million in the first quarter of 2009 from \$7.3 million in the same period of 2008 due to several production assets becoming fully depreciated during 2008. Selling, general, and administrative expense decreased to \$20.1 million in the first quarter of 2009 from \$23.5 million in the first quarter of 2008. These decreases are primarily due to decreased employment costs from headcount reductions and lower aggregate sales and distribution expense resulting from lower unit sales volume. Operating income for the segment decreased from \$25.1 million in the first quarter of 2008 to \$10.3 million in the first quarter of 2009 due primarily to lower sales volume, partially offset by improved unit spreads in many of SPD's product lines.

Table of Contents

OEM Segment

Net sales for the OEM segment declined approximately 58 percent to \$138.4 million in the first quarter of 2009 from \$326.2 million in the first quarter of 2008. The decrease is due primarily to lower sales volume and lower selling prices resulting from lower average costs of raw material. Of the \$187.8 million decrease in net sales, approximately \$133.0 million is attributable to lower unit volume and \$44.4 million is due to lower selling prices in the segment's core product lines consisting primarily of brass rod, forgings, and commercial tube. Cost of goods sold decreased from \$289.5 million in the first quarter 2008 to \$136.0 million in the first quarter of 2009. The decrease is due primarily to lower sales volume, lower raw material costs, and lower aggregate conversion costs resulting from reductions in production employees and lower energy costs. Depreciation and amortization remained consistent. Selling, general, and administrative expense decreased \$2.7 million to \$5.0 million in the first quarter of 2009 due primarily to reduced bad debt expense and decreased employment costs associated with headcount reductions. Operating income for the segment decreased from \$25.6 million in the first quarter of 2008 to an operating loss of \$6.3 million in the first quarter of 2009 due primarily to lower sales volumes and decreased unit spreads, especially in the segment's brass rod operations.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Cash provided by operating activities during the first quarter of 2009 totaled \$35.0 million, which is primarily attributable to decreased receivables and inventories, partially offset by decreased current liabilities. Fluctuations in the cost of copper and other raw materials affect the Company's liquidity. Changes in material costs directly impact components of working capital, primarily inventories and accounts receivable. During the first quarter of 2009, the average Comex copper price was approximately \$1.57 per pound, which represents a 56 percent decrease over the average price during the first quarter of 2008. This decrease in the price of cathode has also resulted in sharp decreases in the open market price for copper scrap and, to a lesser extent, the price of brass scrap.

During the first quarter of 2009, cash provided by investing activities totaled \$1.4 million, which consisted of net reductions to restricted cash deposits of \$5.9 million and proceeds from sales of properties of \$0.4 million, partially offset by capital expenditures of \$4.8 million. Cash used in financing activities during the first quarter of 2009 totaled \$13.9 million, which consisted of the net reduction in Mueller-Xingrong's working capital debt facility of \$10.1 million and dividends paid totaling \$3.7 million.

The Company has a \$200 million unsecured line-of-credit (Credit Facility) which expires in December 2011. At March 28, 2009, the Company had no borrowings against the Credit Facility. The Credit Facility backed approximately \$9.9 million in letters of credit at the end of the first quarter of 2009. As of March 28, 2009, the Company's total debt was \$172.7 million or 20 percent of its total capitalization (excluding noncontrolling interest).

Covenants contained in the Company's financing obligations require, among other things, the maintenance of minimum levels of tangible net worth and the satisfaction of certain minimum financial ratios. As of March 28, 2009, the Company was in compliance with all of its debt covenants.

The Company declared and paid a regular quarterly cash dividend of ten cents per common share in the first quarter of 2009. Payment of dividends in the future is dependent upon the Company's financial condition, cash flows, capital requirements, earnings, and other factors. On May 1, 2009, the Company will pay approximately \$4.5 million in interest on the Debentures that remain outstanding.

Management believes that cash provided by operations and currently available cash of \$300.3 million will be adequate to meet the Company's normal future capital expenditures and operational needs. The Company's current ratio was 4.8 to 1 at March 28, 2009.

Table of Contents

The Company's Board of Directors has extended, until October 2009, its authorization to repurchase up to ten million shares of the Company's common stock through open market transactions or through privately negotiated transactions. The Company has no obligation to repurchase any shares and may cancel, suspend, or extend the time period for the repurchase of shares at any time. Any repurchases will be funded primarily through existing cash and cash from operations. The Company may hold any shares repurchased in treasury or use a portion of the repurchased shares for employee benefit plans, as well as for other corporate purposes. From its initial authorization in 1999 through March 28, 2009, the Company had repurchased approximately 2.4 million shares under this authorization. In addition, the Company may repurchase portions of its 6% Subordinated Debentures through open market transactions or through privately negotiated transactions.

There have been no significant changes in the Company's contractual cash obligations reported at December 27, 2008.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

The Company is exposed to market risk from changes in raw material and energy costs, interest rates, and foreign currency exchange rates. To reduce such risks, the Company may periodically use financial instruments. All hedging transactions are authorized and executed pursuant to policies and procedures. Further, the Company does not buy or sell financial instruments for trading purposes.

Cost and Availability of Raw Materials and Energy

Copper and brass represent the largest component of the Company's variable costs of production. The cost of these materials is subject to global market fluctuations caused by factors beyond the Company's control. Significant increases in the cost of metal, to the extent not reflected in prices for the Company's finished products, or the lack of availability could materially and adversely affect the Company's business, results of operations and financial condition.

The Company occasionally enters into futures fixed-price arrangements with certain customers. The Company may utilize futures contracts to hedge risks associated with forward fixed-price arrangements. The Company may also utilize futures contracts to manage price risk associated with inventory. Depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the futures contracts will either be offset against the change in fair value of the inventory through earnings or recognized as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and reflected in earnings upon the sale of inventory. Periodic value fluctuations of the contracts generally offset the value fluctuations of the underlying fixed-price transactions or inventory. At March 28, 2009, the Company held open futures contracts to purchase approximately \$16.2 million of copper through March 2010 related to fixed-price sales orders.

Futures contracts may also be used to manage price risk associated with natural gas purchases. The effective portion of gains and losses with respect to these positions are deferred in stockholders' equity as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income and reflected in earnings upon consumption of natural gas. Periodic value fluctuations of the contracts generally offset the value fluctuations of the underlying natural gas prices. At March 28, 2009, the Company held no open contracts to purchase natural gas.

Interest Rates

At March 28, 2009, the Company had variable-rate debt outstanding of \$24.1 million, the majority of which related to the debt issued by Mueller-Xingrong. At these borrowing levels, a hypothetical 10 percent increase in interest rates would have had an insignificant unfavorable impact on the Company's pretax earnings and cash flows. The primary interest rate exposure on floating-rate debt is based on LIBOR and on the base-lending rate published by the People's Bank of China.

Table of Contents

Foreign Currency Exchange Rates

Foreign currency exposures arising from transactions include firm commitments and anticipated transactions denominated in a currency other than an entity's functional currency. The Company and its subsidiaries generally enter into transactions denominated in their respective functional currencies. Foreign currency exposures arising from transactions denominated in currencies other than the functional currency are not material; however, the Company may utilize certain futures contracts to hedge such transactional exposures. Gains and losses with respect to these positions are deferred in stockholders' equity as a component of comprehensive income and reflected in earnings upon collection of receivables. At March 28, 2009, the Company held no open foreign currency futures contracts.

The Company's primary foreign currency exposure arises from foreign-denominated revenues and profits and their translation into U.S. dollars. The primary currencies to which the Company is exposed include the Canadian dollar, the British pound sterling, the Euro, the Mexican peso, and the Chinese renminbi. The Company generally views as long-term its investments in foreign subsidiaries with a functional currency other than the U.S. dollar. As a result, the Company generally does not hedge these net investments.

Cautionary Statement Regarding Forward Looking Information

Statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q that are not strictly historical may be "forward-looking" statements, which involve risks and uncertainties. These include economic and currency conditions, continued availability of raw materials and energy, market demand, pricing, competitive and technological factors, and the availability of financing, among others, as set forth in the Company's filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The words "outlook," "estimate," "project," "intend," "expect," "believe," "target," and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. The reader should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements, which speak only as of the date of this report. The Company has no obligation to publicly update or revise any forward-looking statements to reflect events after the date of this report.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure information required to be disclosed in Company reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed in Company reports filed under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

The Company's management, with the participation of the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, has evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures pursuant to Rule 13a-15(b) of the Exchange Act as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of March 28, 2009 to ensure that information required to be disclosed in Company reports filed under the Exchange Act is (i) recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC rules and forms and (ii) accumulated and communicated to management, including the

Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the Company's fiscal quarter ending March 28, 2009, that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting. However, during the first quarter of 2009, the Company began an implementation of the transaction processing system currently used by its U.S. operations for its Mexican operations. The implementation process is ongoing and is expected to be completed in 2009.

Table of Contents

PART II. OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings

General

The Company is involved in certain litigation as a result of claims that arose in the ordinary course of business. Additionally, the Company may realize the benefit of certain legal claims and litigation in the future; these gain contingencies are not recognized in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

Copper Tube Antitrust Litigation

The Company has been named as a defendant in several pending litigations (the Copper Tube Actions) brought by direct and indirect purchasers of various forms of copper tube. The Copper Tube Actions allege anticompetitive activities with respect to the sale of copper plumbing tubes (copper plumbing tubes) and/or copper tubes used in, among other things, the manufacturing of air-conditioning and refrigeration units (ACR copper tubes). All of the Copper Tube Actions seek monetary and other relief.

Carrier ACR Tube Action

A Copper Tube Action (the Carrier ACR Tube Action) was filed in March 2006 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee by Carrier Corporation, Carrier S.A., and Carrier Italia S.p.A. (collectively, Carrier). The Carrier ACR Tube Action alleges anticompetitive activities with respect to the sale to Carrier of ACR copper tubes. The Company and Mueller Europe Limited (Mueller Europe) are named in the Carrier ACR Tube Action.

In July 2007, the Carrier ACR Tube Action was dismissed in its entirety for lack of subject matter jurisdiction as to all defendants. In August 2007, plaintiffs filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit a notice of appeal from the judgment and order dismissing the complaint in the Carrier ACR Tube Action. The Company and Mueller Europe filed notices of cross-appeal in August 2007.

In October 2007, Carrier filed with the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit a motion to dismiss the cross-appeals, which the Court denied in December 2007. All appeals in the Carrier ACR Tube Action remain pending, and briefing on the appeals is proceeding.

Indirect-Purchaser ACR Tube Action

Two Copper Tube Actions were filed in June and August 2006 in the United States District Court for the Western District of Tennessee and were consolidated to become the Indirect-Purchaser ACR Tube Action. The Indirect-Purchaser ACR Tube Action is a purported class action brought on behalf of indirect purchasers of ACR copper tubes in the United States and alleges anticompetitive activities with respect to the sale of ACR copper tubes. The Company and Mueller Europe are named in the Indirect-Purchaser ACR Tube Action. The Company and Mueller Europe have been served, but have not yet been required to respond, in the Indirect-Purchaser ACR Tube Action.

Indirect-Purchaser Copper Tube Action

A Copper Tube Action (the Indirect-Purchaser Copper Tube Action) was filed in July 2006 in the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. The Indirect-Purchaser Copper Tube Action is a purported class action brought on behalf of indirect purchasers of copper plumbing tubes and ACR copper tubes in the United States and alleges anticompetitive activities with respect to the sale of both copper plumbing tubes and ACR copper tubes.

Table of Contents

The Company, Mueller Europe, WTC Holding Company, Inc. (WTC Holding Company), Deno Holding Company, Inc. (Deno Holding Company), and Deno Acquisition Eurl are named in the Indirect-Purchaser Copper Tube Action. The Company, Mueller Europe, WTC Holding Company, and Deno Holding Company have been served, but have not yet been required to respond, in the Indirect-Purchaser Copper Tube Action. Deno Acquisition Eurl has not been served with the complaint in the Indirect-Purchaser Copper Tube Action.

Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action

Four Copper Tube Actions were filed in October 2004 in state court in California and were consolidated to become the Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action. The Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action is a purported class action brought on behalf of indirect purchasers of copper plumbing tubes in California and alleges anticompetitive activities with respect to the sale of copper plumbing tubes. The Company, Mueller Europe, WTC Holding Company, Deno Holding Company, and Deno Acquisition Eurl are named in the Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action. Deno Acquisition Eurl has not been served with the complaint in the Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action.

The claims against WTC Holding Company and Deno Holding Company have been dismissed without prejudice in the Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action. Mueller Europe has not yet been required to respond in the Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action. The Company's demurrer to the complaint has been filed in the Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action. The court overseeing the Indirect-Purchaser Plumbing Tube Action has stayed that action conditioned upon the parties' submitting periodic status reports on the status of the other Copper Tube Actions.

Although the Company believes that the claims for relief in the Copper Tube Actions are without merit, due to the procedural stage of the Copper Tube Actions, the Company is unable to determine the likelihood of a materially adverse outcome in the Copper Tube Actions or the amount or range of a potential loss in the Copper Tube Actions.

Canadian Dumping and Countervail Investigation

In June 2006, the Canada Border Services Agency (CBSA) initiated an investigation into the alleged dumping of certain copper pipe fittings from the United States and from South Korea, and the dumping and subsidizing of these same goods from China. The Company and certain affiliated companies were identified by the CBSA as exporters and importers of these goods.

On January 18, 2007, the CBSA issued a final determination in its investigation. The Company was found to have dumped subject goods during the CBSA's investigation period. On February 19, 2007, the Canadian International Trade Tribunal (CITT) concluded that the dumping of the subject goods from the United States had caused injury to the Canadian industry.

As a result of these findings, exports of subject goods to Canada by the Company made on or after October 20, 2006 have been subject to antidumping measures. Under Canada's system of prospective antidumping enforcement, the CBSA has issued normal values to the Company. Antidumping duties will be imposed on the Company's Canadian customers only to the extent that the Company's future exports of copper pipe fittings are made at net export prices which are below these normal values. If net export prices for subject goods exceed normal values, no antidumping duties will be payable. These measures will remain in place for five years, at which time an expiry review will be conducted by Canadian authorities to determine whether these measures should be maintained for another five years or allowed to expire.

On August 27, 2008 the CBSA completed a review process pursuant to which revised normal values were issued to exporters of subject goods, including the Company. Given that these normal values are calculated on the basis of sales and cost data provided by the Company and given that the cost of copper has declined significantly since the issuance of the normal values in August 2008, the Company has experienced a decrease in its sales volumes of copper pipe fittings subject to the dumping order, particularly during the fourth quarter of 2008. However, given the small percentage of its products that are sold for export to Canada, the Company does not anticipate any material adverse effect on its financial condition as a result of the antidumping case in Canada.

Table of Contents

Mueller's normal values are subject to potential review and revision in the future. Depending on the level of these revised normal values, the Company's ability to compete in Canada could be affected although, as discussed above, export sales to Canada comprise only a small percentage of the Company's total sales. The "sunset review" process, pursuant to which Canadian authorities will examine whether the dumping order should be revoked or maintained for another five years, will initiate in April 2011.

United States Department of Commerce Antidumping Review

On December 24, 2008, the United States Department of Commerce (DOC) initiated an antidumping administrative review of the antidumping duty order covering circular welded non-alloy steel pipe and tube from Mexico. The review will determine the final antidumping duties owed, if any, on U.S. imports by certain subsidiaries of the Company during the period November 1, 2007 through October 31, 2008, pursuant to the existing antidumping duty order. DOC has selected Mueller Comercial de Mexico, S. de R.L. de C.V. (Mueller Mexico) as a respondent in this proceeding, and Mueller Mexico is currently preparing its response to the questionnaire. It is possible that certain subsidiaries of the Company will incur additional antidumping duties on subject imports made during the review period. The amount of such potential liability, if any, is not known at this time.

Employment Litigation

On June 1, 2007, the Company filed a lawsuit in the Circuit Court of Dupage County, Illinois against Peter D. Berkman and Jeffrey A. Berkman, former executives of the Company and B&K Industries, Inc. (B&K), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, relating to their alleged breach of fiduciary duties and contractual obligations to the Company through, among other things, their involvement with a supplier of B&K during their employment with B&K. The lawsuit alleges appropriation of corporate opportunities for personal benefit, failure to disclose competitive interests or other conflicts of interest, and unfair competition, as well as breach of employment agreements in connection with the foregoing. The lawsuit seeks compensatory and punitive damages, and other appropriate relief. In August 2007, the defendants filed an answer to the complaint admitting Peter Berkman had not sought authorization to have an ownership interest in a supplier, and a counterclaim against the Company, B&K and certain of the Company's officers and directors alleging defamation, tortious interference with prospective economic relations, and conspiracy, and seeking damages in unspecified amounts. In September 2007, Homewerks Worldwide LLC, an entity formed by Peter Berkman, filed a complaint as an intervenor based on substantially the same allegations included in the Berkman's counterclaim. In October 2007, the Company also filed a motion seeking to have the Berkman's counterclaim dismissed as a matter of law. On January 3, 2008, the Court overruled that motion and the case proceeded to discovery of the relevant facts. Since that time, depositions and document productions have been ongoing. However, on September 5, 2008, Peter Berkman withdrew prior responses to discovery requests and asserted the Fifth Amendment privilege against self-incrimination as to all requests directed to him. By that assertion, he took the position that his testimony about his actions would have the potential of exposing him to a criminal charge or criminal charges. On October 3, 2008, in response to a motion to compel filed by the Company, the Court held that Peter Berkman could not withhold documents on Fifth Amendment grounds, amongst other things. Peter Berkman moved for reconsideration of that order and his request was denied on November 19, 2008. On December 10, 2008, Peter Berkman moved for the opportunity to file an interlocutory appeal regarding the Court's ruling on the Company's motion to compel. On January 7, 2009, the motion for interlocutory appeal was granted, the Court found Peter Berkman in contempt for resisting discovery, and Peter Berkman has since filed a notice of appeal with the Illinois Appellate Court, Second Judicial District. On October 24, 2008, the defendants filed a motion seeking leave to interpose an Amended Answer and Amended Counterclaims. On December 19, 2008, the Company filed an answer to the Amended Counterclaims that included a new affirmative defense based on the assertion of the Fifth Amendment by Peter Berkman. The Company believes that the counterclaims are without merit and intends to defend them

vigorously. The Company does not anticipate any material adverse effect on its business or financial condition as a result of this litigation.

Table of Contents

Environmental Matters

Southeast Kansas Sites

By letter dated October 10, 2006, the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) advised the Company that environmental contamination has been identified at a former smelter site in southeast Kansas. KDHE asserts that the Company is a corporate successor to an entity that is alleged to have owned and operated the smelter from 1915 to 1918. The Company has since been advised of possible connection between that same entity and two other former smelter sites in Kansas. KDHE has requested that the Company and another potentially responsible party (PRP) negotiate a consent order with KDHE to address contamination at these sites. The Company has participated in preliminary discussions with KDHE and the other PRP. The Company believes it is not liable for the contamination but as an alternative to litigation, the Company has entered into settlement negotiations with the other PRP. The negotiations are ongoing.

Shasta Area Mine Sites

Mining Remedial Recovery Company (MRRC), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, owns certain inactive mines in Shasta County, California. MRRC has continued a program, begun in the late 1980's, of sealing mine portals with concrete plugs in mine adits which were discharging water. The sealing program has achieved significant reductions in the metal load in discharges from these adits; however, additional reductions are required pursuant to a series of orders issued by the California Regional Water Quality Control Board (QCB). The remedial activities performed by MRRC have reduced impacts of acid rock drainage; however full compliance has not been achieved. The QCB is presently renewing MRRC's discharge permit and will concurrently issue a new order.

U.S.S. Lead

U.S.S. Lead Refinery, Inc., (Lead Refinery), a wholly owned subsidiary of MRRC, has been conducting remedial actions pursuant to a Consent Order with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) pursuant to Section 3008(h) of the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act. The Consent Order requires corrective action at Lead Refinery's East Chicago, Indiana site and provides for Lead Refinery to complete certain on-site interim remedial activities and studies that extend off-site. Site activities, which began in December 1996, have been substantially concluded. Lead Refinery's ongoing monitoring and maintenance activities at this site are handled pursuant to a post-closure permit issued by the Indiana Department of Environmental Management (IDEM) effective as of January 22, 2008. EPA has informed Lead Refinery that the Consent Order would be terminated upon issuance of the IDEM post-closure permit in effect. On April 9, 2009, pursuant to the Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA), the EPA added the Lead Refinery site to the National Priorities List (NPL). The NPL is a list of priority sites where EPA has determined that there has been a release or threatened release of contaminants that warrant investigation and, if appropriate, remedial action. The NPL does not assign liability to any party or to the owner of a property placed on the NPL. The placement of a site on the NPL does not necessarily mean that remedial action must be taken. The Company is unable to determine the likelihood of a materially adverse outcome or the amount or range of a potential loss with respect to placement of this site on the NPL. Lead Refinery lacks the financial resources needed to undertake any investigations or remedial action that may be required by EPA pursuant to CERCLA.

Eureka Mills Site

In November 2008, the Company received a general notice of liability and second request for information under CERCLA from the EPA concerning the Eureka Mills Superfund Site (the Eureka Mills Site) located in Juab County, Utah. The Eureka Mills Site is an area where mining and milling of various metals occurred over the course of several decades. The EPA has been investigating and remediating contamination associated with these activities. The Company's predecessor, Sharon Steel Corporation, acquired land within the Eureka Mills Site from UV Industries, Inc. in 1979. Pursuant to the court-approved 1990 bankruptcy plan of reorganization for Sharon Steel Corporation, the land was transferred by the Company to Amwest Exploration Company, a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Company, which later sold the land to a third-party in 1993. In 2001, the Company responded to an earlier request for information concerning milling activities stating that it was not responsible for any such activities at the Eureka Site. The second request for information concerned historic mining activities. In responding to EPA's November 2008 letter and also to a recent third request for information received in March, 2009, the Company stated that it does not believe it is liable for the contamination. The Company has agreed to suspend temporarily the running of the time period during which the EPA must bring a lawsuit in order to allow time for the Company and the EPA to discuss this matter. The Company does not know the extent to which EPA may seek to hold the Company liable for cleanup or whether the Company would have claims against any other parties. The Company is continuing to evaluate this matter.

Table of Contents

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

The Company's Board of Directors has extended, until October 2009, its authorization to repurchase up to ten million shares of the Company's common stock through open market transactions or through privately negotiated transactions. The Company has no obligation to repurchase any shares and may cancel, suspend, or extend the time period for the repurchase of shares at any time. Any repurchases will be funded primarily through existing cash and cash from operations. The Company may hold any shares repurchased in treasury or use a portion of the repurchased shares for employee benefit plans, as well as for other corporate purposes. From its initial authorization in 1999 through March 28, 2009, the Company had repurchased approximately 2.4 million shares under this authorization. Below is a summary of the Company's stock repurchases for the period ended March 28, 2009.

	(a)	(b)	(c)	(d)
	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares That May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
December 28, 2008 – January 24, 2009	—	\$ —		7,647,030(1)
January 25 – February 21, 2009	—	—		
February 22 – March 28, 2009	—	—		

(1) Shares available to be purchased under the Company's 10 million share repurchase authorization until October 2009. The extension of the authorization was announced on October 21, 2008.

Table of Contents

Item 6. Exhibits

- 19.1 Mueller Industries, Inc.'s Quarterly Report to Stockholders for the quarter ended March 28, 2009. Such report is being furnished for the information of the Securities and Exchange Commission only and is not to be deemed filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Items 1A, 3, 4, and 5 are not applicable and have been omitted.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

MUELLER INDUSTRIES, INC.

April 21, 2009 Date	/S/ Kent A. McKee Kent A. McKee Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
------------------------	---

April 21, 2009 Date	/S/ Richard W. Corman Richard W. Corman Vice President – Controller
------------------------	---

Table of Contents

EXHIBIT INDEX

Exhibits	Description
19.1	Mueller Industries, Inc.'s Quarterly Report to Stockholders for the quarter ended March 28, 2009. Such report is being furnished for the information of the Securities and Exchange Commission only and is not to be deemed filed as part of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q.
31.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
31.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.1	Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

Table of Contents