HEALTHSOUTH CORP Form 10-Q May 05, 2010

o

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2010

OR TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

Commission File Number 001-10315

HealthSouth Corporation

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its Charter)

Delaware 63-0860407 (State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization) Identification No.)

3660 Grandview Parkway, Suite 200 Birmingham, Alabama

Birmingham, Alabama 35243 (Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

(205) 967-7116 (Registrant's telephone number)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes o No "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer " Non-Accelerated filer " Smaller reporting company "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Exchange Act Rule 12b-2). Yes o No x

The registrant had 93,563,432 shares of common stock outstanding, net of treasury shares, as of April 30, 2010.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

<u>PART I</u>	Financial Information	Page
Item 1. Item 2. Item 4.	Financial Statements (Unaudited) Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations Controls and Procedures	1 26 40
PART II	Other Information	
Item 1. Item 2. Item 6.	Legal Proceedings Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds Exhibits	42 42 42
i		

Table of Contents

CAUTIONARY STATEMENT REGARDING FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This quarterly report contains historical information, as well as forward-looking statements that involve known and unknown risks and relate to future events, our business strategy, our future financial performance, or our projected business results. In some cases, you can identify forward-looking statements by terminology such as "may," "will," "should," "expects," "plans," "anticipates," "believes," "estimates," "predicts," "targets," "potential," or "continue" or the negaterms or other comparable terminology. Such forward-looking statements are necessarily estimates or forecasts based upon current information and involve a number of risks and uncertainties, many of which are beyond our control. Actual events or results may differ materially from the results anticipated in these forward-looking statements as a result of a variety of factors. Any forward-looking statement is based on information current as of the date of this report and speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made. While it is impossible to identify all such factors, factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those estimated by us include, but are not limited to, the following:

- each of the factors discussed in Item 1A, Risk Factors, of our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, as well as uncertainties and factors discussed elsewhere in this Form 10-Q, in our other filings from time to time with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, or in materials incorporated therein by reference;
 - changes in the regulations of the healthcare industry at either or both of the federal and state levels;
- changes or delays in, or suspension of, reimbursement for our services by governmental or private payors, including our ability to obtain and retain favorable arrangements with third-party payors;
- our ability to attract and retain nurses, therapists, and other healthcare professionals in a highly competitive environment with often severe staffing shortages and the impact on our labor expenses from potential union activity and staffing shortages;
 - competitive pressures in the healthcare industry and our response to those pressures;
- our ability to successfully complete and integrate acquisitions, investments, and joint ventures consistent with our growth strategy; and
 - general conditions in the economy and capital markets.

The cautionary statements referred to in this section also should be considered in connection with any subsequent written or oral forward-looking statements that may be issued by us or persons acting on our behalf. We undertake no duty to update these forward-looking statements, even though our situation may change in the future. Furthermore, we cannot guarantee future results, events, levels of activity, performance, or achievements.

ii

Table of Contents

PART 1. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (Unaudited)

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 2009 (In Millions, Except Per Share Data)

Net operating revenues	\$ 491.0		\$ 472.9	
Operating expenses:				
Salaries and benefits	241.9		233.3	
Other operating expenses	66.9		66.9	
General and administrative expenses	26.3		25.5	
Supplies	28.3		27.4	
Depreciation and amortization	18.3		17.4	
Occupancy costs	11.6		12.0	
Provision for doubtful accounts	6.9		7.8	
Loss on disposal of assets	-		1.0	
Government, class action, and related settlements	-		(15.9)
Professional fees—accounting, tax, and legal	2.9		4.8	
Total operating expenses	403.1		380.2	
Loss (gain) on early extinguishment of debt	0.3		(1.8)
Interest expense and amortization of debt discounts and fees	30.5		34.4	
Other (income) expense	(0.7)	0.2	
Loss on interest rate swaps	4.3		5.0	
Equity in net income of nonconsolidated affiliates	(2.6)	(2.5)
Income from continuing operations before income tax expense	56.1		57.4	
Provision for income tax expense	2.5		1.2	
Income from continuing operations	53.6		56.2	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(3.1)	(2.7)
Net income	50.5		53.5	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(9.8)	(8.6))
Net income attributable to HealthSouth	40.7		44.9	
Less: Convertible perpetual preferred stock dividends	(6.5)	(6.5)
Net income available to common shareholders	\$ 34.2	ĺ	\$ 38.4	
Weighted average common shares outstanding:				
Basic	92.7		87.5	
Diluted	108.0		100.9	
Basic and diluted earnings per common share:				
Income from continuing operations				
attributable to HealthSouth common shareholders	\$ 0.40		\$ 0.47	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax,				
attributable to HealthSouth common shareholders	(0.03)	(0.03)
		,		,

Net income per share attributable to HealthSouth common				
shareholders	\$ 0.37		\$ 0.44	
Amounts attributable to HealthSouth common shareholders:				
Income from continuing operations	\$ 43.8		\$ 47.9	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax	(3.1)	(3.0)
Net income attributable to HealthSouth	\$ 40.7		\$ 44.9	

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these condensed statements.

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets (Unaudited)

	March 31, 2010 December 31, (In Millions, Except Share Data)					
Assets		(III IVIIIIOII	, LAC	cpt of	iare Data)	
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	116.8		\$	80.9	
Restricted cash		76.3			67.8	
Accounts receivable, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$33.5						
in 2010; \$33.1 in 2009		234.1			219.7	
Other current assets		56.2			57.6	
Total current assets		483.4			426.0	
Property and equipment, net		662.0			664.8	
Goodwill		416.4			416.4	
Intangible assets, net		35.7			37.4	
Investments in and advances to nonconsolidated affiliates		33.4			29.3	
Other long-term assets		85.2			107.6	
Total assets	\$	1,716.1		\$	1,681.5	
Liabilities and Shareholders' Deficit						
Current liabilities:						
Current portion of long-term debt	\$	21.5		\$	21.5	
Accounts payable		47.2			50.2	
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities		324.1			319.5	
Total current liabilities		392.8			391.2	
Long-term debt, net of current portion		1,635.8			1,641.0	
Other long-term liabilities		162.0			159.5	
		2,190.6			2,191.7	
Commitments and contingencies						
Convertible perpetual preferred stock, \$.10 par value; 1,500,000 shares authorized;						
400,000 shares issued; liquidation preference of \$1,000 per share		387.4			387.4	
Shareholders' deficit:						
HealthSouth shareholders' deficit:						
Common stock, \$.01 par value; 200,000,000 shares authorized;						
issued: 97,614,958 in 2010; 97,238,725 in 2009		1.0			1.0	
Capital in excess of par value		2,877.8			2,879.9	
Accumulated deficit		(3,676.7)		(3,717.4)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(2.8)		-	
Treasury stock, at cost (4,050,222 shares in 2010 and 3,957,047						
shares in 2009)		(139.2)		(137.5)
Total HealthSouth shareholders' deficit		(939.9)			(974.0)
Noncontrolling interests		78.0			76.4	
Total shareholders' deficit		(861.9)		(897.6)
Total liabilities and shareholders' deficit	\$	1,716.1		\$	1,681.5	

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these condensed balance sheets.

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income (Unaudited)

	N 2010	Months Ended March 31, 2009 Millions)	[
COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	Φ.5.0. 5	Φ.5.2.5	
Net income	\$50.5	\$53.5	
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax:			
Net change in unrealized gain (loss) on available-for-sale securities:			
Unrealized net holding gain (loss) arising during the period	0.6	(1.6)
Reclassifications to net income	(1.3) 1.6	
Net change in unrealized gain (loss) on forward-starting interest rate swaps:			
Unrealized net holding loss arising during the period	(2.1) (0.7)
Other comprehensive loss, net of tax	(2.8) (0.7)
Comprehensive income	47.7	52.8	
Comprehensive income attributable to noncontrolling interests	(9.8) (8.6)
Comprehensive income attributable to HealthSouth	\$37.9	\$44.2	

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these condensed statements.

Table of Contents

Number of

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Deficit (Unaudited)

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 (In Millions)

Accumulated

HealthSouth Common Shareholders

	Common			Capital in		7100	Other	u					
		Con		•				• Tana a a sam Ala	maantualli.	.~	Com		
						ccumula c dm	•	•		_		prehen	
D-1	Outstanding	St	ock	Par value	,	Deficit	Loss	Stock	interests	Total		Income	;
Balance at													
beginning of	00.0	ф	1.0	4.2.070.0	φ.	(2.515.4) ф		Φ (10 5 5)	4.764	ф. (OO =	<i>c</i> >		
period	93.3	\$	1.0	\$ 2,879.9	\$	(3,717.4) \$	-	\$ (137.5)	\$ 76.4	\$ (897	.6)		
Comprehensive													
income:													
Net income	-		-	-		40.7	-	-	9.8	50.5	\$	50.5	
Other													
comprehensive													
loss, net of tax	-		-	-		-	(2.8)	-	-	(2.8)	(2.8))
Comprehensive													
income											\$	47.7	
Receipt of													
treasury stock	(0.1)	-	-		_	-	(1.4)	-	(1.4)		
Dividends													
declared on													
convertible													
perpetual													
	_		_	(6.5)	_	_	_	-	(6.5)		
Stock-based				· ·									
compensation	_		_	3.8		_	_	_	_	3.8			
Stock options													
exercised	_		_	0.4		_	_	_	_	0.4			
Distributions													
declared	_		_	_		_	_	_	(7.9)	(7.9)		
Other	0.4		_	0.2		_	_	(0.3)	` ,	(0.4)		
Balance at end of				0.2				(0.5)	(0.5)	(0.1	,		
period	93.6	\$	1.0	\$ 2.877.8	\$	(3,676.7) \$	(2.8.)	\$ (139.2)	\$ 78.0	\$ (861	9)		
period	75.0	Ψ	1.0	Ψ 2,011.0	Ψ	(2,010.1) ψ	(2.0)	Ψ (137.2)	Ψ /0.0	Ψ (001	,		

Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 (In Millions) HealthSouth Common Shareholders

Total

Edgar Filing: HEALTHSOUTH CORP - Form 10-Q

	Number of Common Capital in Accumulate Accumulated Freasury on controlling									Com	prehensiv		
	Common	St	ock	Excess of		Deficit	Other		Stock	Interests	3]	Income
	Shares			Par Value		Com	preher	ısiv	e				
	Outstanding						Loss						
Balance at													
beginning of													
period	88.0	\$	1.0	\$ 2,956.5	\$	(3,812.2) \$	(3.2)) \$	3 (311.5)	\$ 82.2	\$ (1,08	7.2)	
Comprehensive													
income:													
Net income	-		-	-		44.9	-		-	8.6	53.5	\$	53.5
Other													
comprehensive													
loss, net of tax	-		-	-		-	(0.7))	-	-	(0.7)	(0.7)
Comprehensive													
income												\$	5 52.8
Dividends													
declared on													
convertible													
perpetual													
preferred stock	-		-	(6.5)	-	-		-	-	(6.5)	
Stock-based													
compensation	-		-	3.7		-	-		-	-	3.7		
Distributions													
declared	-		-	-		-	-		-	(6.7)	
Other	0.3		-	0.1		-	-		(0.5)	0.3	(0.1)	
Balance at end of		Φ.	1.0	4.2052	.	(2.5(5.2) A	(2.6	` ^	(212.6)	. 0.4.4	.	4.00	
period	88.3	\$	1.0	\$ 2,953.8	\$	(3,767.3) \$	(3.9) \$	5 (312.0)	\$ 84.4	\$ (1,04	4.0)	

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these condensed statements.

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 2009					
		2010	(In M	illions)	2009	
Cash flows from operating activities:			(/		
Net income	\$	50.5		\$	53.5	
Loss from discontinued operations		3.1			2.7	
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by						
operating activities—						
Provision for doubtful accounts		6.9			7.8	
Provision for government, class action, and related settlements		-			(15.9)
UBS Settlement proceeds, gross		-			100.0	
Depreciation and amortization		18.3			17.4	
Loss on interest rate swaps		4.3			5.0	
Equity in net income of nonconsolidated affiliates		(2.6)		(2.5)
Distributions from nonconsolidated affiliates		2.1			1.5	
Stock-based compensation		3.8			3.7	
Deferred tax provision		0.4			1.5	
Other		1.7			1.6	
(Increase) decrease in assets—						
Accounts receivable		(21.3)		(13.0)
Other assets		(2.1)		(6.6)
Income tax refund receivable		9.0			47.6	
(Decrease) increase in liabilities—						
Accounts payable		(2.9)		(1.5)
Accrued fees and expenses for derivative plaintiffs'						
attorneys in UBS Settlement		-			(26.2)
Other liabilities		16.6			8.7	
Government, class action, and related settlements		(0.8))		(1.7)
Net cash used in operating activities of discontinued operations		(2.2)		(0.5)
Total adjustments		31.2			126.9	
Net cash provided by operating activities		84.8			183.1	

(Continued)

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (Continued) (Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,			
	2010 (In	ı Mill	2009 lions)	
Cash flows from investing activities:				
Capital expenditures	(14.0)	(17.1)
Proceeds from sale of restricted marketable securities	3.2		0.4	
Net change in restricted cash	(11.4)	(3.5)
Net settlements on interest rate swaps	(11.9)	(8.5)
Other	-		(0.1)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities of discontinued				
operations	7.9		(1.4)
Net cash used in investing activities	(26.2)	(30.2)
Cash flows from financing activities:				
Checks in excess of bank balance	-		4.1	
Principal payments on debt, including pre-payments	(1.9)	(39.6)
Borrowings on revolving credit facility	-		10.0	
Payments on revolving credit facility	-		(50.0)
Principal payments under capital lease obligations	(3.5)	(3.2)
Dividends paid on convertible perpetual preferred stock	(6.5)	(6.5)
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests of	·		·	
consolidated affiliates	(11.1)	(8.5)
Other	0.3		0.5	
Net cash used in financing activities of discontinued				
operations	_		(1.2)
Net cash used in financing activities	(22.7)	(94.4)
			(- '	
Increase in cash and cash equivalents	35.9		58.5	
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of period	80.9		32.1	
Cash and cash equivalents of facilities held for sale	00.9		02,1	
at beginning of period	0.1		0.1	
Less: Cash and cash equivalents of facilities held for	0.1		0.1	
sale at end of period	(0.1)	_	
Cash and cash equivalents at end of period	\$116.8	,	\$90.7	
Cash and Cash equivalents at end of period	φ110.0		$\psi \mathcal{I} 0.7$	

The accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements are an integral part of these condensed statements.

,

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

1. Basis of Presentation:

HealthSouth Corporation, incorporated in Delaware in 1984, including its subsidiaries, is the largest provider of inpatient rehabilitative healthcare services in the United States. We operate inpatient rehabilitation hospitals and long-term acute care hospitals and provide treatment on both an inpatient and outpatient basis. References herein to "HealthSouth," the "Company," "we," "our," or "us" refer to HealthSouth Corporation and its subsidiaries unless otherwise stated or indicated by context.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes filed with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission in HealthSouth's Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on February 23, 2010 (the "2009 Form 10-K"). The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the rules and regulations of the SEC applicable to interim financial information. Certain information and note disclosures included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States of America have been omitted in these interim statements, as allowed by such SEC rules and regulations. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 has been derived from audited financial statements, but it does not include all disclosures required by GAAP. However, we believe the disclosures are adequate to make the information presented not misleading.

The unaudited results of operations for the interim periods shown in these financial statements are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the entire year. In our opinion, the accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements recognize all adjustments of a normal recurring nature considered necessary to fairly state the financial position, results of operations, and cash flows for each interim period presented.

Long-term Debt—

As discussed in Note 8, Long-term Debt, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K, our credit agreement includes a \$100 million synthetic letter of credit facility. During the first quarter of 2010, we permanently reduced this facility by \$30 million to \$70 million. As of March 31, 2010, \$67.0 million were utilized under the synthetic letter of credit facility. These letters are being used in the ordinary course of business primarily to secure workers' compensation and other insurance coverages.

Stock-Based Compensation—

In February 2010, we granted 0.9 million of restricted stock awards to members of our management team and our board of directors. Approximately 0.1 million of the awards granted are restricted stock units that vest upon grant. The remaining awards are shares of restricted stock that contain a service and either a performance or market condition. For these awards, the number of shares that will ultimately be issued to employees may vary based on the Company's performance during the applicable performance measurement period. Additionally, we granted 0.2 million stock options to members of our management team. The fair value of these awards and options were determined using the policies described in our 2009 Form 10-K.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements—

Since the filing of our 2009 Form 10-K, we do not believe any recently issued, but not yet effective, accounting standards will have a material effect on our consolidated financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

2. Investments in and Advances to Nonconsolidated Affiliates:

Investments in and advances to nonconsolidated affiliates as of March 31, 2010 represents our investment in 17 partially owned subsidiaries, of which 12 are general or limited partnerships, limited liability companies, or joint ventures in which HealthSouth or one of our subsidiaries is a general or limited partner, managing member, member, or venturer, as applicable. We do not control these affiliates, but have the ability to exercise significant influence over the operating and financial policies of certain of these affiliates. Our ownership percentages in these affiliates range from approximately 1% to 51%. We account for these investments using the cost and equity methods of accounting.

Table of Contents

The following summarizes the combined results of operations of our equity method affiliates (on a 100% basis, in millions):

	Three Mont	ths Ended		
	March 31,			
	2010	2009		
Net operating revenues	\$ 20.1	\$ 17.4		
Operating expenses	(12.9)	(11.7)		
Income from continuing operations, net of tax	6.3	5.0		
Net income	6.3	5.0		

3. Derivative Instruments

Interest Rate Swaps Not Designated as Hedging Instruments—

In March 2006, we entered into an interest rate swap to effectively convert the floating rate of a portion of our credit agreement to a fixed rate in order to limit the variability of interest-related payments caused by changes in LIBOR. Under this interest rate swap agreement, we pay a fixed rate of 5.2% on a notional principal of \$984.0 million, while the counterparties to this agreement pay a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR, which was 0.3% at March 10, 2010, which was the most recent interest rate set date. The termination date of this swap is March 10, 2011. The fair market value of this swap as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was (\$46.4) million and (\$54.8) million, respectively, and is included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In June 2009, we entered into a receive-fixed swap as a mirror offset to \$100.0 million of the \$984.0 million interest rate swap discussed above in order to reduce our effective fixed rate to total debt ratio. Under this interest rate swap agreement, we pay a variable rate based on 3-month LIBOR, while the counterparty to this agreement pays a fixed rate of 5.2% on a notional principal of \$100.0 million. Net settlements commenced in September 2009 and are made quarterly on the same settlement schedule as the \$984.0 million interest rate swap discussed above. The termination date of this swap is March 10, 2011. Our initial net investment in this swap was \$6.4 million. The fair market value of this swap as of March 31, 2010 was \$4.8 million and is included in Other current assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet. The fair market value of this swap as of December 31, 2009 was \$5.6 million. Of this amount, \$4.7 million is included in Other current assets with the remainder included in Other long-term assets in our condensed consolidated balance sheet.

These interest rate swaps are not designated as hedges. Therefore, changes in the fair value of these interest rate swaps are included in current-period earnings as Loss on interest rate swaps.

During the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, we made net cash settlement payments of \$11.9 million and \$8.5 million, respectively, to our counterparties. Net settlement payments or receipts on these swaps are included in the line item Loss on interest rate swaps in our condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Forward-Starting Interest Rate Swaps Designated as Cash Flow Hedges—

In December 2008, we entered into a \$100 million forward-starting interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of future interest payments on our term loan facility. Under this swap agreement, we will pay a fixed rate of 2.6% while the counterparty will pay a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR. Net settlements will commence on June 10, 2011. The termination date of this swap is December 12, 2012. The fair market value of this swap as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was (\$0.7) million and \$0.4 million, respectively, and is included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities and Other long-term assets, respectively, in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

In March 2009, we entered into an additional \$100 million forward-starting interest rate swap as a cash flow hedge of future interest payments on our term loan facility. Under this swap agreement, we will pay a fixed rate of 2.9% while the counterparty will pay a floating rate based on 3-month LIBOR. Net settlements will commence on June 10, 2011. The termination date of this swap is September 12, 2012. The fair market value of this swap as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 was (\$1.3) million and (\$0.3) million, respectively, and is included in Accrued expenses and other current liabilities in our condensed consolidated balance sheets.

Table of Contents

Both forward-starting swaps are designated as cash flow hedges and are accounted for under the policies described in Note 1, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K. The effective portion of changes in the fair value of these cash flow hedges is deferred as a component of other comprehensive income and is reclassified into earnings as part of interest expense in the same period in which the forecasted transaction impacts earnings.

See Note 9, Derivative Instruments, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K for additional information related to these interest rate swaps. See also Note 5, Fair Value Measurements.

4. Guarantees:

Primarily in conjunction with the sale of certain facilities, including the sale of our surgery centers, outpatient, and diagnostic divisions during 2007, HealthSouth assigned, or remained as a guarantor on, the leases of certain properties and equipment to certain purchasers and, as a condition of the lease, agreed to act as a guarantor of the purchaser's performance on the lease. Should the purchaser fail to pay the obligations due on these leases or contracts, the lessor or vendor would have contractual recourse against us.

As of March 31, 2010, we were secondarily liable for 64 such guarantees. The remaining terms of these guarantees ranged from one month to 111 months. If we were required to perform under all such guarantees, the maximum amount we would be required to pay approximated \$46.2 million.

We have not recorded a liability for these guarantees, as we do not believe it is probable we will have to perform under these agreements. If we are required to perform under these guarantees, we could potentially have recourse against the purchaser for recovery of any amounts paid. In addition, the purchasers of our surgery centers, outpatient, and diagnostic divisions have agreed to seek releases from the lessors and vendors in favor of HealthSouth with respect to the guarantee obligations associated with these divestitures. To the extent the purchasers of these divisions are unable to obtain releases for HealthSouth, the purchasers have agreed to indemnify HealthSouth for damages incurred under the guarantee obligations, if any. These guarantees are not secured by any assets under the agreements.

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

5. Fair Value Measurements:

Our financial assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis are as follows (in millions):

		Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets		Significant Other Observable Inputs		significant Unobservable Inputs		Valuation Technique	
March 31, 2010	Fair	Value	(Level	1)	(Lev	vel 2)	(Level	3)	(1)
Other current assets:	ф	4.0	Ф		ф	4.0	Φ.		τ.
June 2009 trading swap	\$	4.8	\$	-	\$	4.8	\$	-	I
Other long-term assets:		1.4.0		1.4.0					3.5
Restricted marketable securities		14.0		14.0		-		-	M
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities:									
March 2006 trading swap		(46.4)		-		(46.4)		-	I
December 2008 forward-starting									
swap		(0.7)		-		(0.7)		-	I
March 2009 forward-starting									
swap		(1.3)		-		(1.3)		-	I
December 31, 2009									
Other current assets:									
Restricted marketable securities	\$	2.7	\$	2.7	\$	-	\$	-	M
June 2009 trading swap		4.7		-		4.7		-	I
Other long-term assets:									
Restricted marketable securities		18.3		18.3		-		-	M
December 2008 forward-starting									
swap		0.4		-		0.4		-	I
June 2009 trading swap		0.9		-		0.9		-	I
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities:									
March 2006 trading swap		(54.8)		-		(54.8)		-	I
March 2009 forward-starting swap		(0.3)		-		(0.3)		-	I

⁽¹⁾ The three valuation techniques are: market approach (M), cost approach (C), and income approach (I).

During the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, we did not record any gains or losses related to our nonfinancial assets and liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis as part of our continuing operations. During the first quarter of 2010, we recorded impairment charges of \$0.6 million as part of our results of discontinued operations. This charge related to a hospital that was closed in 2008. We determined the fair value of the impaired long-lived assets at the hospital primarily based on the assets' estimated fair value using valuation techniques that included third-party appraisals.

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The carrying value equals fair value for our financial instruments that are not included in the table below and are classified as current in our condensed consolidated balance sheets. The carrying amounts and estimated fair values for all of our other financial instruments are presented in the following table (in millions):

	As	of Marc	h 31, 2010	As of December 31, 200			
	Car	rying	Estimated	Carrying	Estimated		
	An	nount	Fair Value	Amount	Fair Value		
Interest rate swap agreements:							
March 2006 trading swap	\$	(46.4)	\$ (46.4)	\$ (54.8)	\$ (54.8)		
December 2008 forward-starting swap		(0.7)	(0.7)	0.4	0.4		
March 2009 forward-starting swap		(1.3)	(1.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)		
June 2009 trading swap		4.8	4.8	5.6	5.6		
Long-term debt:							
Term loan facility		749.4	739.4	751.3	714.5		
10.75% Senior Notes due 2016		495.0	541.6	494.9	542.5		
8.125% Senior Notes due 2020		285.2	288.9	285.2	284.7		
Other bonds payable		1.8	1.8	1.8	1.8		
Other notes payable		28.0	28.0	28.0	28.0		
Financial commitments:							
Letters of credit		-	67.0	-	95.2		

6. Income Taxes:

Our Provision for income tax expense of \$2.5 million for the three months ended March 31, 2010 primarily includes the following: (1) current income tax expense of \$2.1 million attributable to state income tax expense of subsidiaries which have separate state filing requirements, a reduction in the amount of state income tax refunds previously accrued, alternative minimum tax ("AMT") expense, and federal income taxes for subsidiaries not included in our federal consolidated income tax return and (2) deferred income tax expense of \$0.4 million attributable to increases in basis differences of certain indefinite-lived assets.

We have significant federal and state net operating loss carryforwards ("NOLs") that expire in various amounts at varying times through 2029. We assess the realization of our deferred tax assets quarterly to determine whether an adjustment to our valuation allowance is required. After consideration of all evidence, both positive and negative, management concluded it is more likely than not we will not realize a portion of our deferred tax assets. Therefore, a valuation allowance has been established on substantially all of our net deferred tax assets. No valuation allowance has been provided on deferred tax assets attributable to subsidiaries not included within the federal consolidated group.

Our utilization of NOLs could be subject to the Internal Revenue Code Section 382 ("Section 382") limitation and may be limited in the event of certain cumulative changes in ownership interests of significant shareholders over a three-year period in excess of 50%. Section 382 imposes an annual limitation on the use of these losses to an amount equal to the value of a company at the time of an ownership change multiplied by the long-term tax exempt rate. At this time, we do not believe the Section 382 limitation will restrict our ability to use any NOLs before they expire. However, no such assurances can be provided.

Our Provision for income tax expense of \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2009 primarily includes the following: (1) current income tax expense of \$2.8 million attributable to state income tax expense of subsidiaries which have separate state filing requirements, AMT expense, and federal income taxes for subsidiaries not included in our federal consolidated income tax return and (2) deferred income tax expense of \$1.5 million primarily attributable to increases in basis differences of certain indefinite-lived assets and a decrease in our deferred tax asset related to the AMT Refundable Tax Credit offset by (3) current income tax benefit of \$3.1 million attributable to state income tax refunds received, or expected to be received, changes in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits, and an AMT Refundable Tax Credit for 2009.

Total remaining gross unrecognized tax benefits were \$50.9 million as of December 31, 2009, all of which would affect our effective tax rate if recognized. Total accrued interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits as of December 31, 2009 was \$1.9 million. There were no material changes to our unrecognized tax benefits during the three months ended March 31, 2010. Total remaining gross unrecognized tax benefits were \$50.4 million as of March 31, 2010, all of which would affect our effective tax rate if recognized. Total accrued interest expense related to unrecognized tax benefits as of March 31, 2010 was \$2.0 million.

Table of Contents

Our continuing practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, we recorded \$0.1 million and \$0.2 million, respectively, of net interest income as part of our income tax provision. Total accrued interest income was \$0.2 million and \$0.3 million as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively.

HealthSouth and its subsidiaries' federal and state income tax returns are periodically examined by various regulatory taxing authorities. In connection with such examinations, we have settled federal income tax examinations with the IRS for all tax years through 2006. In the first quarter of 2010, the IRS initiated an audit of the 2008 tax year by combining it with an ongoing audit of the 2007 tax year.

For the tax years that remain open under the applicable statutes of limitations, amounts related to unrecognized tax benefits have been considered by management in its estimate of our potential net recovery of prior years' income taxes. However, at this time, we cannot estimate a range of the reasonably possible change that may occur.

We continue to actively pursue the maximization of our remaining state income tax refund claims. The process of resolving these tax matters with the applicable taxing authorities will continue in 2010. Although management believes its estimates and judgments related to these claims are reasonable, depending on the ultimate resolution of these tax matters, actual amounts recovered could differ from management's estimates, and such differences could be material.

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

7. Earnings per Common Share:

The calculation of earnings per common share is based on the weighted-average number of our common shares outstanding during the applicable period. The calculation for diluted earnings per common share recognizes the effect of all dilutive potential common shares that were outstanding during the respective periods, unless their impact would be antidilutive. The following table sets forth the computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share (in millions, except per share amounts):

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 2009		
Numerator:	2010	2007	
Income from continuing operations	\$53.6	\$56.2	
Less: Net income attributable to noncontrolling			
interests included in continuing operations	(9.8) (8.3)
Less: Convertible perpetual preferred stock dividends	(6.5) (6.5)
Income from continuing operations attributable			
to HealthSouth common shareholders	37.3	41.4	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax,			
attributable to HealthSouth common shareholders	(3.1) (3.0)
Net income attributable to HealthSouth			
common shareholders	\$34.2	\$38.4	
Denominator:			
Basic weighted average common shares outstanding	92.7	87.5	
Diluted weighted average common shares outstanding	108.0	100.9	
Basic and diluted earnings per common share:			
Income from continuing operations attributable to			
HealthSouth common shareholders	\$0.40	\$0.47	
Loss from discontinued operations, net of tax,			
attributable to HealthSouth common shareholders	(0.03) (0.03)
Net income per share attributable to			
HealthSouth common shareholders	\$0.37	\$0.44	

Diluted earnings per share report the potential dilution that could occur if securities or other contracts to issue common stock were exercised or converted into common stock. These potential shares include dilutive stock options, restricted stock awards, restricted stock units, and convertible perpetual preferred stock. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, the number of potential shares approximated 15.3 million and 13.4 million, respectively. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, approximately 13.1 million of the potential shares related to our Convertible perpetual preferred stock. For the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009, adding back the dividends for the Convertible perpetual preferred stock to our Income from continuing operations attributable to HealthSouth common shareholders causes a per share increase when calculating diluted earnings per common share resulting in an antidilutive per share amount. Therefore, basic and diluted earnings per common share amounts are the same for the three months ended March 31, 2010 and 2009.

Options to purchase approximately 2.3 million and 2.6 million shares of common stock were outstanding as of March 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted weighted-average shares because to do so would have been antidilutive.

See Note 11, Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, and Note 20, Earnings per Common Share, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K for additional information related to common stock, common stock warrants, and convertible perpetual preferred stock.

8. Contingencies:

We operate in a highly regulated and litigious industry. As a result, various lawsuits, claims, and legal and regulatory proceedings have been and can be expected to be instituted or asserted against us. The resolution of any such lawsuits, claims, or legal and regulatory proceedings could materially and adversely affect our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows in a given period.

<u>Table of Contents</u> Securities Litigation—

See Note 22, Settlements, "Securities Litigation Settlement," to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K, for a discussion of the settlement entered into with the lead plaintiffs in certain securities actions.

On November 24, 2004, an individual securities fraud action captioned Burke v. HealthSouth Corp., et al., 04-B-2451 (OES), was filed in the United States District Court of Colorado against us, some of our former directors and officers, and our former auditor. The complaint makes allegations similar to those in the Consolidated Securities Action, as defined in Note 22, Settlements, "Securities Litigation Settlement," to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K, and asserts claims under the federal securities laws and Colorado state law based on the plaintiff's alleged receipt of unexercised options and the plaintiff's open-market purchases of our stock. By order dated May 3, 2005, the action was transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of Alabama, where it remains pending. The plaintiff in this case has not opted out of the Consolidated Securities Action settlement discussed in Note 22, Settlements, "Securities Litigation Settlement," to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K. Although the deadline for opting out in the Consolidated Securities Action has passed, if the Burke action resumes, we will continue to vigorously defend ourselves in this case. However, based on the stage of litigation, and review of the current facts and circumstances, we are unable to determine an amount of loss or range of possible loss that might result from an adverse judgment or a settlement of this case should litigation continue or whether any resultant liability would have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, or cash flows.

Derivative Litigation—

All lawsuits purporting to be derivative complaints filed in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama since 2002 have been consolidated and stayed in favor of the first-filed action captioned Tucker v. Scrushy and filed August 28, 2002. Derivative lawsuits in other jurisdictions have been stayed. The Tucker complaint named as defendants a number of our former officers and directors. Tucker also asserted claims on our behalf against Ernst & Young LLP, our former auditor, and various UBS entities who formerly served as our investment bankers, as well as against MedCenterDirect.com, Capstone Capital Corporation, now known as HR Acquisition I Corp., and G.G. Enterprises. When originally filed, the primary allegations in the Tucker case involved self-dealing by Mr. Scrushy and other insiders through transactions with various entities allegedly controlled by Mr. Scrushy. The complaint was amended four times to add additional defendants and include claims of accounting fraud, improper Medicare billing practices, and additional self-dealing transactions.

On January 13, 2009, the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama approved the agreement among us, the derivative plaintiffs, and UBS Securities to settle the claims against and by UBS Securities in the Tucker litigation. On May 8, 2009, the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama approved the agreement among us, the derivative plaintiffs, and Capstone to settle the claims against Capstone in the Tucker litigation. On June 18, 2009, the court found Mr. Scrushy liable for, and awarded us, \$2.9 billion in damages as a result of breaches of fiduciary duty and fraud he perpetrated from 1996 to 2003. On July 24, 2009, Mr. Scrushy filed a notice of appeal of the trial court's decision. No assurances can be given as to whether or when any amounts will be received from Mr. Scrushy, nor can we provide any assurances as to the collectability of any amounts owed from Mr. Scrushy. Therefore, no amounts related to this award are included in our condensed consolidated financial statements. The Tucker derivative litigation and the related settlements to date are more fully described in Note 22, Settlements, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K.

The settlements with UBS Securities and Capstone do not release our claims against any other defendants in the Tucker litigation, or against our former independent auditor, Ernst & Young, which remain pending in arbitration. The Tucker derivative claims against Ernst & Young and other defendants listed above remain pending and have moved through fact discovery on an expedited schedule that was coordinated with the federal securities claims by our former

stockholders and bondholders against Mr. Scrushy, Ernst & Young, and UBS. We are no longer a party in the federal securities claims action described in Note 22, Settlements, "Securities Litigation Settlement," to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K by our former stockholders and bondholders against Mr. Scrushy, Ernst & Young, and UBS and are not a party to or beneficiary of any settlements between the plaintiffs and the remaining defendants.

Table of Contents

Litigation By and Against Richard M. Scrushy—

On December 9, 2005, Mr. Scrushy filed a complaint in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama, captioned Scrushy v. HealthSouth. The complaint alleged that, as a result of Mr. Scrushy's removal from the position of chief executive officer in March 2003, we owed him "in excess of \$70 million" pursuant to an employment agreement dated as of September 17, 2002. On December 28, 2005, we counterclaimed against Mr. Scrushy, asserting claims for breaches of fiduciary duty and fraud arising out of Mr. Scrushy's tenure with us, and seeking compensatory damages, punitive damages, and disgorgement of wrongfully obtained benefits. We also asserted that any employment agreements with Mr. Scrushy should be void and unenforceable. On July 7, 2009, we filed a motion for summary judgment on all claims by Mr. Scrushy based upon the Tucker court's June 18, 2009 ruling that Mr. Scrushy's employment agreements are void and rescinded. We understand that the court does not intend to rule on this motion at the present time.

On June 18, 2009, the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama ruled on our derivative claims against Mr. Scrushy presented during a non-jury trial held May 11 to May 26, 2009. The court held Mr. Scrushy responsible for fraud and breach of fiduciary duties and awarded us \$2.9 billion in damages. On July 24, 2009, Mr. Scrushy filed a notice of appeal of the trial court's decision, and the parties have submitted their briefs to the Supreme Court of Alabama, which has just indicated the timing of a hearing, if any, or decision. At this time, we cannot predict when and to what extent this judgment can be collected. We will pursue collection aggressively and to the fullest extent permitted by law. We, in coordination with derivative plaintiffs' counsel, are attempting to locate, in order to collect the judgment, Mr. Scrushy's current assets and other assets we believe were improperly disposed. Part of this effort is a fraudulent transfer complaint filed on July 2, 2009 against Mr. Scrushy and a number of related entities by derivative plaintiffs for the benefit of HealthSouth in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama, captioned Tucker v. Scrushy. In that same case, on August 26, 2009, Mr. Scrushy's wife, Leslie Scrushy, filed a counterclaim against the plaintiffs and HealthSouth seeking a declaration that certain personal property belongs to her or her children and not to Mr. Scrushy. HealthSouth filed an answer in this case on September 24, 2009, denying Mrs. Scrushy's entitlement to the relief she seeks. While these proceedings continue, some of Mr. Scrushy's assets have been seized and sold at auction pursuant to the state law procedure for collection of a judgment. Other assets will likewise be sold from time to time. We do not anticipate that any material amount of his assets, or the proceeds from their sale, will be distributed to us or any other party until the final disposition of Mr. Scrushy's appeal of the verdict. We are obligated to pay 35% of any recovery from Mr. Scrushy along with reasonable out-of-pocket expenses to the attorneys for the derivative shareholder plaintiffs. Under the Consolidated Securities Action settlement, we must also pay the federal plaintiffs 25% of any net recovery from Mr. Scrushy. After payment of these obligations and other amounts related to professional fees and expenses, we expect our recovery to be between 40% and 45% of any amounts collected.

In March 2009, Mr. Scrushy filed an arbitration demand claiming we are obligated under a separate indemnification agreement to indemnify him for certain costs associated with litigation and to advance to him his attorneys' fees and costs. On May 14, 2009, the arbitrator ruled we should deposit certain funds for attorneys' fees in escrow until after a ruling in the Tucker litigation. As a result of the Tucker court's June 18, 2009 ruling that Mr. Scrushy committed fraud and breached his fiduciary duties, the arbitrator allowed us to withdraw all funds from the escrow. Any future obligation to pay such fees would be tied to the success of his appeal of the June 18, 2009 ruling.

Litigation By and Against Former Independent Auditor—

In March 2003, claims on behalf of HealthSouth were brought in the Tucker derivative litigation against Ernst & Young, alleging that from 1996 through 2002, when Ernst & Young served as our independent auditor, Ernst & Young acted recklessly and with gross negligence in performing its duties, and specifically that Ernst & Young failed to perform reviews and audits of our financial statements with due professional care as required by law and by its contractual agreements with us. The claims further allege Ernst & Young either knew of or, in the exercise of due

care, should have discovered and investigated the fraudulent and improper accounting practices being directed by certain officers and employees, and should have reported them to our board of directors and the audit committee. The claims seek compensatory and punitive damages, disgorgement of fees received from us by Ernst & Young, and attorneys' fees and costs. On March 18, 2005, Ernst & Young filed a lawsuit captioned Ernst & Young LLP v. HealthSouth Corp. in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama. The complaint asserts that the filing of the claims against us was for the purpose of suspending any statute of limitations applicable to those claims.

Table of Contents

The complaint alleges we provided Ernst & Young with fraudulent management representation letters, financial statements, invoices, bank reconciliations, and journal entries in an effort to conceal accounting fraud. Ernst & Young claims that as a result of our actions, Ernst & Young's reputation has been injured and it has and will incur damages, expenses, and legal fees. On April 1, 2005, we answered Ernst & Young's claims and asserted counterclaims related or identical to those asserted in the Tucker action. Upon Ernst & Young's motion, the Alabama state court referred Ernst & Young's claims and our counterclaims to arbitration pursuant to a clause in the engagement agreements between HealthSouth and Ernst & Young. On July 12, 2006, we and the derivative plaintiffs filed an arbitration demand on behalf of HealthSouth against Ernst & Young. On August 7, 2006, Ernst & Young filed an answering statement and counterclaim in the arbitration reasserting the claims made in state court. In August 2006, we and the derivative plaintiffs agreed to jointly prosecute the claims against Ernst & Young in arbitration.

We are vigorously pursuing our claims against Ernst & Young and defending the claims against us. The three-person arbitration panel that will adjudicate the claims and counterclaims in arbitration has been selected under rules of the American Arbitration Association (the "AAA"). The arbitration process has begun. However, pursuant to an order of the AAA panel, all aspects of the arbitration are confidential. Accordingly, we will not discuss the arbitration until there is a resolution. Based on the stage of litigation, and review of the current facts and circumstances, it is not possible to estimate the amount of loss, if any, or range of possible loss that might result from an adverse judgment or a settlement of this case.

Certain Regulatory Actions—

The False Claims Act, 18 U.S.C. § 287, allows private citizens, called "relators," to institute civil proceedings alleging violations of the False Claims Act. These qui tam cases are generally sealed by the court at the time of filing. The only parties privy to the information contained in the complaint are the relator, the federal government, and the presiding court. It is possible that qui tam lawsuits have been filed against us and that we are unaware of such filings or have been ordered by the presiding court not to discuss or disclose the filing of such lawsuits. We may be subject to liability under one or more undisclosed qui tam cases brought pursuant to the False Claims Act.

General Medicine Action—

On August 16, 2004, General Medicine, P.C. filed a lawsuit against us captioned General Medicine, P.C. v. HealthSouth Corp. seeking the recovery of allegedly fraudulent transfers involving assets of Horizon/CMS Healthcare Corporation, a former subsidiary of HealthSouth. The lawsuit was filed in the Circuit Court of Shelby County, Alabama, but was transferred to the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama on February 28, 2005 (the "Alabama Action").

The underlying claim against Horizon/CMS originates from a services contract entered into in 1995 between General Medicine and Horizon/CMS whereby General Medicine agreed to provide medical director services to skilled nursing facilities owned by Horizon/CMS for a term of three years. Horizon/CMS terminated the agreement six months after it was executed, and General Medicine then initiated a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Michigan in 1996 (the "Michigan Action"). General Medicine's complaint in the Michigan Action alleged that Horizon/CMS breached the services contract by wrongfully terminating General Medicine. We acquired Horizon/CMS in 1997 and sold it to Meadowbrook Healthcare, Inc. in 2001 pursuant to a stock purchase agreement. In 2004, Meadowbrook consented to the entry of a final judgment in the Michigan Action in the amount of \$376 million (the "Consent Judgment") in favor of General Medicine against Horizon/CMS for the alleged wrongful termination of the contract with General Medicine. We were not a party to the Michigan Action or the settlement negotiated by Meadowbrook. The settlement agreement, which was the basis for the Consent Judgment, provided that Meadowbrook would pay only \$0.3 million to General Medicine to settle the Michigan Action. The settlement agreement further provided that General Medicine would seek to recover the remaining balance of the Consent Judgment solely from us.

The complaint filed by General Medicine against us in the Alabama Action alleged that while Horizon/CMS was our wholly owned subsidiary and General Medicine was an existing creditor of Horizon/CMS, we caused Horizon/CMS to transfer its assets to us for less than a reasonably equivalent value or, in the alternative,

Table of Contents

with the actual intent to defraud creditors of Horizon/CMS, including General Medicine, in violation of the Alabama Uniform Fraudulent Transfer Act. General Medicine's complaint requested relief including recovery of the unpaid amount of the Consent Judgment, the avoidance of the subject transfers of assets, attachment of the assets transferred to us, appointment of a receiver over the transferred properties, and a monetary judgment for the value of properties transferred. On September 2, 2008, General Medicine filed an amended complaint which alleged that we should be held liable for the Consent Judgment under two new theories: fraud and alter ego. Specifically, General Medicine alleged in its amended complaint that we, while Horizon's parent from 1997 to 2001, failed to observe corporate formalities in its operation and ownership of Horizon, misused its control of Horizon, stripped assets from Horizon, and engaged in other conduct which amounted to a fraud on Horizon's creditors, including General Medicine.

In the Alabama Action, we filed an answer to General Medicine's complaint, as amended, denying liability to General Medicine. We have also asserted counterclaims against General Medicine for fraud, injurious falsehood, tortious interference with business relations, conspiracy, unjust enrichment, and other causes of action. In our counterclaims, we alleged the Consent Judgment is the product of fraud, collusion and bad faith by General Medicine and Meadowbrook and, further, that these parties were guilty of a conspiracy to manufacture a lawsuit against HealthSouth in favor of General Medicine. The Alabama Action has now entered the discovery stage but is stayed subject to the outcome of the pending motions in the Michigan Action discussed below. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves against General Medicine's claim and to vigorously prosecute our counterclaims against General Medicine.

In the Michigan Action, we filed a motion on October 17, 2008 asking the court to set aside the Consent Judgment on grounds that it was the product of fraud on the court and collusion by the parties. On May 21, 2009, the court granted our motion to set aside the Consent Judgment on grounds that it was the product of fraud on the court. In its order setting aside the Consent Judgment, the court directed General Medicine and Horizon/CMS to confer with each other and the court's case manager to determine what further proceedings are appropriate in the Michigan Action. On June 17, 2009, Horizon/CMS filed a motion for clarification requesting the court rule that Horizon/CMS has fully complied with its obligations under the settlement agreement and is therefore not required to participate in any further proceedings. On July 21, 2009, General Medicine filed a motion to compel Horizon/CMS to enter into a new consent judgment in favor of General Medicine. On February 25, 2010, the court granted Horizon/CMS's motion, denied General Medicine's motion, and ruled that no further proceedings were necessary in the litigation. On March 9, 2010, General Medicine filed an appeal of the court's decision to the Sixth Circuit Court of Appeals. On March 25, 2010, we moved to intervene in General Medicine's appeal, and on March 26, 2010, we moved to dismiss a portion of General Medicine's appeal as untimely. The Court of Appeals has stayed the appeal pending a ruling on our motion to dismiss.

Based on the stage of litigation, and review of the current facts and circumstances, it is not possible to estimate the amount of loss or range of possible loss that might result from an adverse judgment or settlement of this case.

United HealthCare Services Litigation—

On March 19, 2009, United HealthCare Services, Inc. and certain affiliates filed an initial arbitration demand with the AAA against us relating to disputes over therapy service claims paid from 1997 through 2003. United alleges that during that period we submitted fraudulent claims, or claims otherwise in breach of various provider agreements, for reimbursement of therapy services for patients insured under plans provided or administered by United. United requests an accounting and seeks compensatory damages in excess of \$10 million, punitive damages, interest, and attorneys' fees.

On April 14, 2009, we filed an action in Circuit Court in Jefferson County, Alabama, captioned HealthSouth Corp. v. United Healthcare Services, Inc., seeking a declaratory judgment that we are not required to arbitrate the claims alleged in United's arbitration demand, seeking an order enjoining the AAA arbitration, and reserving our claims against United for underpayment and breach of contract. We asserted that the AAA lacks jurisdiction to arbitrate these

claims because we did not agree to arbitration and because, among other reasons, United's arbitration demand disregards the conditions precedent to arbitration and other terms contained in the provider agreements upon which United relies, seeks damages expressly excluded from arbitration, and violates

Table of Contents

state insurance laws which prohibit United from seeking to recoup claims many years after they were submitted and paid. On May 18, 2009, United filed a motion with the court to compel arbitration of the claims presented in their AAA arbitration demand. The court granted United's motion and dismissed our complaint on March 3, 2010. The court ruled that the arbitration agreements were valid and that the parties should submit their disputes under those agreements to the AAA arbitration process.

On May 1, 2009, we filed with the AAA arbitration panel our answer requesting that the arbitration be stayed pending the outcome of our action filed in Circuit Court in Jefferson County, challenging, as a preliminary matter, the AAA's jurisdiction to arbitrate the claims alleged by United, denying the claims asserted by United, raising defenses and asserting counterclaims including breaches of contract, breach of implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing. In connection with our counterclaim, we are seeking restitution for, among other things, United's wrongful recoupment and underpayment of paid claims submitted and compensatory damages in excess of \$10 million, together with interest and the costs, fees and expenses of arbitration.

On May 16, 2009, United filed with the AAA panel an amended arbitration demand adding certain Select Medical Corporation subsidiaries as named respondents, which, with one exception, are successors to HealthSouth entities that signed one or more of the provider agreements at issue in United's demand. Pursuant to the Stock Purchase Agreement between us and Select, we are obligated to defend and indemnify Select and its affiliates named in United's amended arbitration demand. See Note 18, Assets Held for Sale and Results of Discontinued Operations, and the "Other Matters" section of Note 23, Contingencies and Other Commitments, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K. On June 11, 2009, answers were filed with the AAA panel on behalf of all HealthSouth and Select respondents. These answers reiterated the denials, defenses, jurisdictional objections and challenges, and counterclaims previously asserted in our initial answer. The Select entities did not assert any counterclaims. On March 10, 2010, the AAA panel directed United to file separate demands with respect to each separate provider agreement at issue. On April 7, 2010, United filed 18 separate amended demands, each alleging between \$0.5 million and \$1.0 million in damages under various different provider agreements for a combined total of \$13.0 million in damages alleged. United, however, dropped all claims for punitive damages against us that were previously sought in the initial arbitration demands. We expect to file with the AAA panel our answers, objections, and counterclaims to United's amended demands on or about May 10, 2010. We will continue to contest AAA's jurisdiction over all of United's claims.

We intend to vigorously defend ourselves in each of the arbitration hearings and prosecute our counterclaims against United. Although we continue to believe in the merit of our claims and counterclaims and the lack of merit in United's, we have recorded an estimate of this potential liability as part of discontinued operations as of March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009, as this claim relates primarily to our former outpatient division. We consider this estimate to be adequate for these liability risks. However, there can be no assurance the ultimate liability, if any, will not exceed our estimate.

Other Litigation—

We have been named as a defendant in a lawsuit filed March 28, 2003 by individuals in the Circuit Court of Jefferson County, Alabama, captioned Nichols v. HealthSouth Corp. The plaintiffs alleged that we, some of our former officers, and our former auditor engaged in a scheme to overstate and misrepresent our earnings and financial position. The plaintiffs sought compensatory and punitive damages. This case was consolidated with the Tucker case for discovery and other pretrial purposes. The plaintiffs are subject to the Consolidated Securities Action settlement discussed in Note 22, Settlements, "Securities Litigation Settlement," to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K, and thereby foreclosed from pursuing these state court actions based on purchases made during the class period unless they opted out of that settlement. The Nichols lawsuit asserts claims on behalf of a number of plaintiffs, all but three of whom opted out of the settlement. John Kapoor, who claimed to have purchased over 900,000 shares of stock, attempted to opt-out, but his attempt was deemed invalid by the court. Mr. Kapoor has not

challenged this determination. The remaining Nichols plaintiffs that opted out of the settlement claimed losses of approximately \$5.4 million. The Nichols lawsuit is currently stayed in the Circuit Court. On January 12, 2009, the plaintiffs in the case filed a motion to lift the stay which the court subsequently denied. We intend to vigorously defend ourselves in these cases. Based on the stage of litigation, and review of the current facts and circumstances, it is not possible to estimate the amount of loss, if any, or range of possible loss that might result from an adverse judgment or a settlement of these cases.

Edgar Filing: HEALTHSOUTH CORP - Form 10-Q

<u>Table of Contents</u> Other Matters—

It is our obligation as a participant in Medicare and other federal healthcare programs to routinely conduct audits and reviews of the accuracy of our billing systems and other regulatory compliance matters. As a result of these reviews, we have made, and will continue to make, disclosures to the HHS-OIG relating to amounts we suspect represent over-payments from these programs, whether due to inaccurate billing or otherwise. Some of these disclosures have resulted in, or may result in, HealthSouth refunding amounts to Medicare or other federal healthcare programs. See Note 22, Settlements, "Medicare Program Settlement - The 2004 Civil DOJ Settlement" and "Medicare Program Settlement - The December 2004 Corporate Integrity Agreement" to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K.

We are undergoing an audit of unclaimed property which is being conducted by Kelmar Associates, LLC for three states for the years 1996 through 2004. We do not have sufficient information from the auditors to date to estimate any obligation that may result from this audit.

We also face certain financial risks and challenges relating to our 2007 divestiture transactions (see Note 18, Assets Held for Sale and Results of Discontinued Operations, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K) following their closing. These include indemnification obligations, which in the aggregate could have a material adverse effect on our financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

9. Condensed Consolidating Financial Information:

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to SEC Regulation S-X, Rule 3-10, "Financial Statements of Guaranters and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered." Each of the subsidiary guarantors is 100% owned by HealthSouth, and all guarantees are full and unconditional and joint and several. HealthSouth's investments in its consolidated subsidiaries, as well as guarantor subsidiaries' investments in non-guarantor subsidiaries and non-guarantor subsidiaries' investments in guarantor subsidiaries, are presented under the equity method of accounting.

As described in Note 8, Long-term Debt, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K, the terms of our credit agreement restrict us from declaring or paying cash dividends on our common stock unless: (1) we are not in default under our credit agreement and (2) the amount of the dividend, when added to the aggregate amount of certain other defined payments made during the same fiscal year, does not exceed certain maximum thresholds. However, as described in Note 11, Convertible Perpetual Preferred Stock, to the consolidated financial statements accompanying our 2009 Form 10-K, our preferred stock generally provides for the payment of cash dividends, subject to certain limitations.

19

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Three N	Months	Ended	March	31,	2010
---------	---------------	-------	-------	-----	------

								Non		,					
	He	althSou	th	C	Guaranto	r	C	Guaranto	r	El	iminatin	g	He	althSou	th
	Co	rporatio	n	Su	ıbsidiari	es	St	ıbsidiari	es		Entries	_	Co	nsolidat	ed
		•					(Ir	Million	ıs)						
Net operating revenues	\$	20.8		\$	341.5		\$	138.8		\$	(10.1)	\$	491.0	
Operating expenses:															
Salaries and benefits		13.3			164.1			67.6			(3.1)		241.9	
Other operating expenses		4.9			45.5			20.9			(4.4)		66.9	
General and administrative															
expenses		26.3			-			-			-			26.3	
Supplies		1.6			19.4			7.3			-			28.3	
Depreciation and amortization		2.6			12.1			3.6			-			18.3	
Occupancy costs		1.1			8.6			4.4			(2.5)		11.6	
Provision for doubtful accounts		0.4			4.8			1.7			-			6.9	
Loss (gain) on disposal of assets		-			0.1			(0.1)		-			-	
Professional fees—accounting, tax	х,														
and legal		2.9			-			-			-			2.9	
Total operating expenses		53.1			254.6			105.4			(10.0))		403.1	
Loss on early extinguishment of															
debt		0.3			-			-			-			0.3	
Interest expense and amortization															
of debt discounts and fees		28.1			2.2			0.7			(0.5))		30.5	
Other income		(0.2))		-			(1.0))		0.5			(0.7))
Loss on interest rate swaps		4.3			-			-			-			4.3	
Equity in net income of															
nonconsolidated affiliates		(0.7)		(1.9)		-			-			(2.6)
Equity in net income of															
consolidated affiliates		(45.5)		(1.8)		(0.6))		47.9			-	
Management fees		(21.5)		16.8			4.7			-			-	
Income from continuing															
operations before income tax															
(benefit) expense		2.9			71.6			29.6			(48.0)		56.1	
Provision for income tax															
(benefit) expense		(39.7)		34.5			7.7			-			2.5	
Income from continuing															
operations		42.6			37.1			21.9			(48.0)		53.6	
Loss from discontinued															
operations, net of tax		(1.9)		(0.6)		(0.6))		-			(3.1)
Net income		40.7			36.5			21.3			(48.0)		50.5	
Less: Net income attributable to															
noncontrolling interests		-			-			(9.8)		-			(9.8)
	\$	40.7		\$	36.5		\$	11.5		\$	(48.0)	\$	40.7	_

Net income attributable to HealthSouth

20

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations

Three	Months	Fnded	March	31	2009
THICC	MOHUIS	Liiucu	watch	91.	2007

								Non							
	HealthSouth			Guarantor			Guarantor			Eliminating			HealthSouth		
	Co	Corporation		Subsidiaries			Subsidiaries]	Entries		Consolidated		
							(In	Million	s)						
Net operating revenues	\$	19.0		\$	332.5		\$	130.5		\$	(9.1)	\$	472.9	
Operating expenses:															
Salaries and benefits		13.6			158.2			64.6			(3.1)		233.3	
Other operating expenses		4.6			45.5			20.5			(3.7)		66.9	
General and administrative															
expenses		25.5			-			-			-			25.5	
Supplies		1.5			18.8			7.1			-			27.4	
Depreciation and amortization		2.1			11.4			3.9			-			17.4	
Occupancy costs		1.0			9.0			4.3			(2.3)		12.0	
Provision for doubtful accounts		1.2			4.5			2.1			-			7.8	
Loss on disposal of assets		-			1.0			-			-			1.0	
Government, class action, and															
related settlements		(15.9))		-			-			-			(15.9))
Professional fees—accounting, tax,															
and legal		4.8			-			-			-			4.8	
Total operating expenses		38.4			248.4			102.5			(9.1)		380.2	
Gain on early extinguishment of															
debt		(1.8)		-			-			-			(1.8)
Interest expense and amortization															
of debt discounts and fees		31.6			2.1			0.7			-			34.4	
Other expense (income)		0.6			(0.1)		(0.3)		-			0.2	
Loss on interest rate swap		5.0			-			-			-			5.0	
Equity in net income of															
nonconsolidated affiliates		(0.5)		(1.9)		(0.1)		-			(2.5)
Equity in net income of															
consolidated affiliates		(44.5)		(1.9)		(0.8)		47.2			-	
Management fees		(21.0)		16.4			4.6			-			-	
Income from continuing operations															
before income tax (benefit) expense	;	11.2			69.5			23.9			(47.2)		57.4	
Provision for income tax (benefit)		(2.5.2			24.4										
expense		(36.3)		31.4			6.1			-			1.2	
Income from continuing operations		47.5			38.1			17.8			(47.2)		56.2	
(Loss) income from discontinued		(2.5			0.4			رم -							,
operations, net of tax		(2.6)		0.4			(0.5)		-	,		(2.7)
Net income		44.9			38.5			17.3			(47.2)		53.5	
Less: Net income attributable to					(0.1	,		(O. 7	,					(0.5	,
noncontrolling interests	ф	-		Φ	(0.1)	Φ	(8.5)	Φ	-		ф	(8.6)
	\$	44.9		\$	38.4		\$	8.8		\$	(47.2)	\$	44.9	

Net income attributable to HealthSouth

21

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

	As of March 31, 2010									
			Non							
	HealthSouth Corporation		Guarantor Subsidiaries (In Millions)	Eliminating Entries	HealthSouth Consolidated					
Assets										
Current assets:										
Cash and cash equivalents	\$115.0	\$ 0.1	\$ 1.7	\$-	\$ 116.8					
Restricted cash	1.6	-	74.7	-	76.3					
Accounts receivable, net	9.9	159.5	64.7	-	234.1					
Other current assets	55.0	62.9	68.8	` '	56.2					
Total current assets	181.5	222.5	209.9	(130.5	483.4					
Property and equipment, net	38.0	478.3	145.7	-	662.0					
Goodwill	-	264.6	151.8	-	416.4					
Intangible assets, net	0.4	28.3	7.0	-	35.7					
Investments in and advances to										
nonconsolidated affiliates	2.6	23.4	7.4	-	33.4					
Other long-term assets	54.0	208.4	64.6	(241.8	85.2					
Intercompany receivable	1,055.5	-	-	(1,055.5) -					
Total assets	\$1,332.0	\$ 1,225.5	\$ 586.4	\$(1,427.8)	\$ 1,716.1					
Liabilities and Shareholders' (Deficit) Equity										
Current liabilities:										
Current portion of long-term debt	\$9.8	\$ 9.9	\$ 1.8	\$-	\$ 21.5					
Accounts payable	10.8	25.6	10.8	-	47.2					
Accrued expenses and other current										
liabilities	234.5	58.1	54.4	(22.9	324.1					
Total current liabilities	255.1	93.6	67.0	(22.9	392.8					
Long-term debt, net of current portion	1,549.7	83.6	26.5	(24.0	1,635.8					
Other long-term liabilities	79.7	11.3	91.4		162.0					
Intercompany payable	-	305.2	1,415.9	(1,721.1) -					
Las A Las	1,884.5	493.7	1,600.8	(1,788.4	2,190.6					
Commitments and contingencies	,									
Convertible perpetual preferred stock	387.4	-	-	-	387.4					
Shareholders' (deficit) equity										
HealthSouth shareholders' (deficit) equity	(939.9	731.8	(1,092.4)	360.6	(939.9)					
Noncontrolling interests	-	-	78.0	-	78.0					
Total shareholders' (deficit) equity	(939.9	731.8	(1,014.4)	360.6	(861.9)					
Total liabilities and shareholders' (deficit)	((-,,-)	2 2 2 . 0	(=====)					
equity (deficity)	\$1,332.0	\$ 1,225.5	\$ 586.4	\$(1,427.8)	\$ 1,716.1					
1	, ,- =	. ,		. () =	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,					

Edgar Filing: HEALTHSOUTH CORP - Form 10-Q

Table of Contents

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet

	As of December 31, 2009										
			Non								
	HealthSouth Corporation		Guarantor Subsidiaries (In Millions)	Eliminating Entries	HealthSouth Consolidated						
Assets											
Current assets:											
Cash and cash equivalents	\$76.2	\$ 1.8	\$ 2.9	\$-	\$ 80.9						
Restricted cash	2.3	-	65.5	-	67.8						
Accounts receivable, net	10.1	149.5	60.1	-	219.7						
Other current assets	35.2	62.1	48.8	(88.5) 57.6						
Total current assets	123.8	213.4	177.3	(88.5) 426.0						
Property and equipment, net	40.1	479.8	144.9	-	664.8						
Goodwill	-	264.6	151.8	-	416.4						
Intangible assets, net	0.4	29.4	7.6	-	37.4						
Investments in and advances to											
nonconsolidated affiliates	3.0	22.4	3.9	-	29.3						
Other long-term assets	65.4	209.0	79.5	(246.3) 107.6						
Intercompany receivable	1,053.0	-	-	(1,053.0) -						
Total assets	\$1,285.7	\$ 1,218.6	\$ 565.0	\$(1,387.8) \$ 1,681.5						
Liabilities and Shareholders'											
(Deficit) Equity											
Current liabilities:											
Current portion of long-term debt	\$9.7	\$ 10.0	\$ 1.8	\$-	\$ 21.5						
Accounts payable	12.5	28.1	9.6	_	50.2						
Accrued expenses and other current											
liabilities	213.8	48.5	57.2	_	319.5						
Total current liabilities	236.0	86.6	68.6	_	391.2						
Long-term debt, net of current portion	1,552.9	86.1	27.0	(25.0) 1,641.0						
Other long-term liabilities	83.4	11.3	69.5	(4.7) 159.5						
Intercompany payable	_	372.0	1,448.0	(1,820.0) -						
	1,872.3	556.0	1,613.1) 2,191.7						
Commitments and contingencies	,		,	()=	, , , , , , ,						
Convertible perpetual preferred stock	387.4	-	-	_	387.4						
Shareholders' (deficit) equity											
HealthSouth shareholders' (deficit) equity	(974.0) 662.6	(1,124.5)	461.9	(974.0)						
Noncontrolling interests	-	-	76.4	-	76.4						
Total shareholders' (deficit) equity	(974.0) 662.6	(1,048.1)	461.9	(897.6)						
Total liabilities and shareholders' (deficit)	(>,	, 002.0	(1,010.1	101.7	(0)/1.0						
equity	\$1,285.7	\$ 1,218.6	\$ 565.0	\$(1,387.8) \$ 1,681.5						
74	Ψ1,200.1	Ψ 1,210.0	\$ 202.0	Ψ(1,507.0	, 4 1,001.0						

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

Three Months Ended March 31, 2010 Non	
HealthSouth Guarantor Guarantor Eliminating I	HealthSouth Consolidated
Net cash provided by operating	
	84.8
Cash flows from investing activities:	
	(14.0)
Proceeds from sale of restricted	
marketable securities 3.2 - 3	3.2
Net change in restricted cash 0.6 - (12.0) -	(11.4)
Net settlements on interest rate swaps (11.9) ((11.9)
Other - 0.1 (0.1)	
Net cash provided by investing	
activities of discontinued operations 7.9 -	7.9
Net cash used in investing activities (11.8) (9.6) (4.8) -	(26.2)
Cash flows from financing activities:	
Principal payments on debt, including	
pre-payments (2.9) 1.0	(1.9)
Principal payments under capital lease	
obligations (0.5) (2.6) (0.4) -	(3.5)
Dividends paid on convertible	
	(6.5)
Distributions paid to noncontrolling	
interests of consolidated affiliates (11.1) -	(11.1)
Other 0.3 (0.3
Change in intercompany advances 18.4 (51.0) (15.5) 48.1	
Net cash provided by (used in)	
financing activities 8.8 (53.6) (27.0) 49.1 ((22.7)
Increase (decrease) in cash and cash	
equivalents 38.8 (1.7) (1.2) -	35.9
Cash and cash equivalents at	
beginning of period 76.2 1.8 2.9 -	80.9
Cash and cash equivalents of facilities	
held for sale at beginning of period 0.1 - (0.1
Less: Cash and cash equivalents of	
facilities held for sale at end of period - (0.1) -	(0.1)
Cash and cash equivalents at end of	

\$0.1

\$115.0

\$1.7

\$-

period

\$116.8

HealthSouth Corporation and Subsidiaries Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2009 Non														
		ealthSouth orporation		Guarantor Subsidiaries		8	Guarantor Subsidiaries (In Millions)			Eliminating Entries			HealthSouth Consolidated		
Net cash provided by															
operating activities	\$	155.2		\$	53.6		\$	22.9		\$	(48.6)	\$	183.1	
Cash flows from investing															
activities:															
Capital expenditures		(0.7)		(14.0)		(2.4)		-			(17.1)
Proceeds from sale of restricted marketable								0.4						0.4	
securities		-	`		-			0.4	\		-			0.4	\
Net change in restricted cash Net settlements on interest		(0.2)		-			(3.3)					(3.5)
rate swap		(8.5)		-			-			-			(8.5)
Other		-			0.8			(0.9))		-			(0.1))
Net cash used in investing activities of discontinued															
operations		-			(0.1)		(1.3)		-			(1.4)
Net cash used in investing activities		(9.4)		(13.3)		(7.5)		-			(30.2)
Cash flows from financing activities:															
Checks in excess of bank															
balances		4.1			-			-			-			4.1	
Principal payments on debt, including pre-payments		(40.4)		(0.2)		-			1.0			(39.6)
Borrowings on revolving															
credit facility		10.0			-			-			-			10.0	
Payments on revolving credit	t														
facility		(50.0)		-			-			-			(50.0)
Principal payments under															
capital lease obligations		-			(2.4)		(0.8))		-			(3.2)
Dividends paid on															
convertible perpetual															
preferred stock		(6.5)		-			-			-			(6.5)
Distributions paid to															
noncontrolling interests of															
consolidated affiliates		-			-			(8.5)		-			(8.5)
Other		(0.1)		-			0.6			-			0.5	
Change in intercompany															

(37.7

(5.4

(4.5

advances