

TUTOR PERINI Corp  
Form 10-Q  
November 16, 2015

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended September 30, 2015

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from            to

Commission File Number: 1-6314

Tutor Perini Corporation

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

MASSACHUSETTS                      04-1717070  
(State or other jurisdiction of      (I.R.S. Employer  
incorporation or organization)      Identification No.)

15901 OLDEN STREET, SYLMAR, CALIFORNIA 91342-1093

(Address of principal executive offices)

(Zip code)

(818) 362-8391

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes    No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes    No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer      Accelerated filer

Non-Accelerated filer      Smaller reporting company

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  
No

The number of shares of common stock, \$1.00 par value per share, of the registrant outstanding at November 12, 2015 was 49,072,710.

---

Table of Contents

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

INDEX

	Page Number
<u>Part I. Financial Information:</u>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited) Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets — September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations — Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 Consolidated Condensed Statements of Comprehensive Income — Three and Nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014 Consolidated Condensed Statement of Stockholders' Equity — Nine months ended September 30, 2015</u>	3 - 4 5 6 7 8

	<u>Consolidated</u>	
	<u>Condensed</u>	
	<u>Statements of</u>	
	<u>Cash Flows —</u>	
	<u>Nine months</u>	
	<u>ended</u>	
	<u>September 30,</u>	
	<u>2015 and 2014</u>	
	<u>Notes to</u>	
	<u>Consolidated</u>	
	<u>Condensed</u>	9 - 33
	<u>Financial</u>	
	<u>Statements</u>	
	<u>Management’s</u>	
	<u>Discussion and</u>	
	<u>Analysis of</u>	
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Financial</u>	34 - 44
	<u>Condition and</u>	
	<u>Results of</u>	
	<u>Operations</u>	
	<u>Quantitative</u>	
	<u>and Qualitative</u>	
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Disclosures</u>	44
	<u>About Market</u>	
	<u>Risk</u>	
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Controls and</u>	44
	<u>Procedures</u>	
<u>Part II. Other Information:</u>		
<u>Item 1.</u>	<u>Legal</u>	44
	<u>Proceedings</u>	
<u>Item 1A.</u>	<u>Risk Factors</u>	44
	<u>Unregistered</u>	
<u>Item 2.</u>	<u>Sales of Equity</u>	44
	<u>Securities and</u>	
	<u>Use of Proceeds</u>	
	<u>Defaults Upon</u>	
<u>Item 3.</u>	<u>Senior</u>	44
	<u>Securities</u>	
<u>Item 4.</u>	<u>Mine Safety</u>	45
	<u>Disclosures</u>	
<u>Item 5.</u>	<u>Other</u>	45
	<u>Information</u>	
<u>Item 6.</u>	<u>Exhibits</u>	45
<u>Signatures</u>		46

Table of Contents

## Part I. - Financial Information

## TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS

SEPTEMBER 30, 2015 AND DECEMBER 31, 2014

(Unaudited-in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
ASSETS		
CURRENT ASSETS:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 96,894	\$ 135,583
Restricted cash	38,572	44,370
Accounts receivable, including retainage	1,550,865	1,479,504
Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings	883,809	726,402
Deferred income taxes	18,873	17,962
Other current assets	86,734	68,735
Total current assets	2,675,747	2,472,556
PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (net of accumulated depreciation of \$245,091 in 2015 and \$220,028 in 2014)	531,438	527,602
OTHER ASSETS:		
Goodwill	585,006	585,006
Intangible assets, net	97,425	100,254
Other	80,639	87,897
Total assets	\$ 3,970,255	\$ 3,773,315

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED BALANCE SHEETS (continued)  
 SEPTEMBER 30, 2015 AND DECEMBER 31, 2014  
 (Unaudited-in thousands, except share data)

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>CURRENT LIABILITIES:</b>		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 85,897	\$ 81,292
Accounts payable, including retainage	985,217	798,174
Billings in excess of costs and estimated earnings	286,565	319,296
Accrued expenses and other current liabilities	185,764	159,814
Total current liabilities	1,543,443	1,358,576
LONG-TERM DEBT, less current maturities	746,283	784,067
DEFERRED INCOME TAXES	149,021	150,371
OTHER LONG-TERM LIABILITIES	114,902	114,796
Total liabilities	2,553,649	2,407,810
<b>CONTINGENCIES AND COMMITMENTS</b>		
<b>STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY:</b>		
Preferred stock, \$1 par value:		
Authorized – 1,000,000 shares		
Issued and outstanding – none	—	—
Common stock - \$1 par value: 75,000,000 shares authorized; Shares issued and outstanding: 49,072,710 shares and 48,671,492 shares	49,073	48,671
Additional paid-in capital	1,043,103	1,025,941
Retained earnings	369,091	332,511
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(44,661)	(41,618)
Total stockholders' equity	1,416,606	1,365,505
Total liabilities and stockholders' equity	\$ 3,970,255	\$ 3,773,315

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.





Table of Contents

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited-in thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
Revenues	\$ 1,340,739	\$ 1,250,689	\$ 3,719,642	\$ 3,290,432
Cost of operations	1,240,538	1,109,848	3,430,062	2,914,713
Gross profit	100,201	140,841	289,580	375,719
General and administrative expenses	61,227	70,487	199,641	198,425
INCOME FROM CONSTRUCTION OPERATIONS	38,974	70,354	89,939	177,294
Other income (expense), net	5,916	(441)	5,261	(10,788)
Interest expense	(10,935)	(11,297)	(33,048)	(32,985)
Income before income taxes	33,955	58,616	62,152	133,521
Provision for income taxes	(14,278)	(22,886)	(25,572)	(53,307)
NET INCOME	\$ 19,677	\$ 35,730	\$ 36,580	\$ 80,214
BASIC EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.74	\$ 0.75	\$ 1.65
DILUTED EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.73	\$ 0.74	\$ 1.64
WEIGHTED AVERAGE COMMON SHARES OUTSTANDING:				
BASIC	49,070	48,588	48,951	48,525
Effect of dilutive stock options and restricted stock units	705	487	767	495
DILUTED	49,775	49,075	49,718	49,020

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.



Table of Contents

## TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

## CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited-in thousands)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
NET INCOME	\$ 19,677	\$ 35,730	\$ 36,580	\$ 80,214
OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):				
Foreign currency translation	(1,792)	(828)	(4,633)	(728)
Change in fair value of investments	(2)	75	(140)	362
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	77	215	250	473
Other comprehensive income (loss) before taxes	(1,717)	(538)	(4,523)	107
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT):				
Foreign currency translation	(417)	(327)	(1,524)	(288)
Change in fair value of investments	—	30	(54)	143
Change in fair value of interest rate swap	30	86	98	187
Income tax expense (benefit)	(387)	(211)	(1,480)	42
NET OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS)	(1,330)	(327)	(3,043)	65
TOTAL COMPREHENSIVE INCOME	\$ 18,347	\$ 35,403	\$ 33,537	\$ 80,279

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY  
FOR THE NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

(Unaudited-in thousands)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive (Loss) Income	Total
Balance - December 31, 2014	\$ 48,671	\$ 1,025,941	\$ 332,511	\$ (41,618)	\$ 1,365,505
Net income	—	—	36,580	—	36,580
Other comprehensive loss	—	—	—	(3,043)	(3,043)
Total comprehensive income					33,537
Tax effect of stock-based compensation	—	(186)	—	—	(186)
Stock-based compensation expense	—	17,064	—	—	17,064
Issuance of common stock, net	402	284	—	—	686
Balance - September 30, 2015	\$ 49,073	\$ 1,043,103	\$ 369,091	\$ (44,661)	\$ 1,416,606

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES  
 CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS  
 (UNAUDITED)  
 (in thousands)

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015	2014
Cash Flows from Operating Activities:		
Net income	\$ 36,580	\$ 80,214
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:		
Depreciation and amortization	32,528	42,165
Stock-based compensation expense	17,064	14,376
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	(186)	(656)
Deferred income taxes	6,366	15,988
Loss on sale of investments	—	1,786
(Gain) loss on sale of property and equipment	(821)	926
Other long-term liabilities	(1,379)	1,256
Other non-cash items	(5,692)	1,324
Changes in other components of working capital	(63,786)	(300,804)
<b>NET CASH PROVIDED BY (USED IN) OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>20,674</b>	<b>(143,425)</b>
Cash Flows from Investing Activities:		
Acquisition of property and equipment excluding financed purchases	(33,365)	(37,778)

Proceeds from sale of property and equipment	2,220		5,153
Proceeds from sale of available-for-sale securities	—		44,497
Change in restricted cash	5,798		(4,394)
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY INVESTING ACTIVITIES	(25,347)		7,478
Cash Flows from Financing Activities:			
Proceeds from debt	672,719		993,010
Repayment of debt	(706,113)		(808,611)
Business acquisition-related payments	—		(26,430)
Excess income tax benefit from stock-based compensation	186		656
Issuance of common stock and effect of cashless exercise	(808)		(1,692)
Debt issuance costs	—		(3,681)
NET CASH (USED IN) PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	(34,016)		153,252
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(38,689)		17,305
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Year	135,583		119,923
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 96,894	\$	137,228
Supplemental Disclosure of Cash Paid For:			
Interest	\$ 28,706	\$	27,447
Income taxes	\$ 21,565	\$	47,736
Supplemental Disclosure of Non-cash Transactions:			
Property and equipment acquired through financing arrangements not included above	\$ —	\$	27,045

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated condensed financial statements.

Table of Contents

TUTOR PERINI CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED CONDENSED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

(1) Basis of Presentation

The unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements presented herein include the accounts of Tutor Perini Corporation and its wholly owned subsidiaries (“Tutor Perini” or the “Company”). The Company’s interests in construction joint ventures are accounted for using the proportionate consolidation method whereby the Company’s proportionate share of each joint venture’s assets, liabilities, revenues and cost of operations are included in the appropriate classifications in the consolidated financial statements. All intercompany transactions and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and note disclosures required by accounting principles generally accepted in the United States as codified in the Financial Accounting Standards Board’s (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Codification. These statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

In the opinion of management, the accompanying unaudited consolidated condensed financial statements include all adjustments, consisting only of normal recurring adjustments necessary to present fairly the Company’s financial position as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, results of operations and comprehensive income, for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, and cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 are not indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2015 because, among other reasons, such results can vary depending on the timing of progress achieved and changes in estimated profitability of projects being reported.

The Company considers events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before the financial statements are issued to provide additional evidence relative to certain estimates or to identify matters that require additional disclosures.

(2) Significant Accounting Policies

The significant accounting policies followed by the Company and its subsidiaries in preparing its consolidated financial statements are set forth in Note 1. Description of Business and Summary of Significant Accounting Policies of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Part IV, Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules, included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

#### Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") ASU No. 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which supersedes the revenue recognition requirements in ASC 605, Revenue Recognition. This ASU addresses when an entity should recognize revenue to depict the transfer of promised goods or services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers: (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, which defers the effective date to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within that reporting period. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of this ASU will have on its financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU No. 2014-12, Compensation — Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period, clarifying the recognition timing of expense associated with certain performance-based stock awards when the performance target that affects vesting could be achieved after the requisite service period. This ASU is an update to FASB ASC Topic 718 and is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015 with earlier adoption permitted. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2015, the FASB issued ASU No. 2015-02, Consolidation (Topic 810). The goal of this ASU is to reduce the potentially distortive impact on reporting entities' consolidated financial statements resulting from the consolidation of certain legal entities, including joint ventures and variable interest entities. This ASU is effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The Company is currently evaluating the effect that the adoption of this ASU will have on its financial statements.

In April 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-03, Interest – Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30). This ASU requires that debt issuance costs related to a recognized debt liability be presented in the balance sheet as a direct deduction from the carrying amount of



Table of Contents

that debt liability, consistent with debt discounts. In August 2015, the FASB also issued ASU 2015-15, Interest—Imputation of Interest (Subtopic 835-30): Presentation and Subsequent Measurement of Debt Issuance Costs Associated With Line-of-Credit Arrangements — Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to Staff Announcement at June 18, 2015, EITF Meeting. This update allows an entity to defer and present debt issuance costs related to line-of-credit arrangements as an asset and subsequently amortize the deferred debt issuance costs ratably over the term of the line-of-credit arrangement, regardless of whether there are any outstanding borrowings on the line-of-credit arrangement. These ASUs are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those years, beginning after December 15, 2015. The adoption of these ASUs is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

Use of and Changes in Estimates

The Company's construction business involves making significant estimates and assumptions in the normal course of business relating to its contracts and its joint venture contracts. Management focuses on evaluating the performance of contracts individually. These estimates can vary in the normal course of business as projects progress, when estimated productivity assumptions change based on experience to date and uncertainties are resolved. Change orders and claims, as well as changes in related estimates of costs to complete, are considered revisions in estimates. The Company uses the cumulative catch-up method applicable to construction contract accounting to account for revisions in estimates. The impact on operating margin in a reporting period and future periods from a change in estimate will depend on the stage of contract completion.

In the third quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a charge for an adverse legal decision related to a long-standing litigation matter, for which the Company assumed liability as part of an acquisition in 2011. This charge resulted in a decrease of \$23.9 million in income from construction operations, \$14.0 million in net income and \$0.28 in diluted earnings per share (for further information, refer to the Brightwater Matter discussion in Note 7. Contingencies and Commitments and Note 16. Subsequent Event). Additionally, in the third quarter of 2015, the Company recorded favorable adjustments for a Civil segment runway reconstruction project related to the estimated cost to complete and the achievement of certain performance-based milestones that resulted in an increase of \$13.7 million in income from construction operations, \$7.9 million in net income and \$0.16 in diluted earnings per share. Previously, in the second quarter of 2015, the Company recorded a significant adverse change in the estimated cost to complete a Building segment project, which is substantially complete and resulted in a decrease of \$14.7 million in income from construction operations, \$8.7 million in net income and \$0.17 in diluted earnings per share. There were other immaterial changes in estimates for these projects during the nine months ended September 30, 2015; these immaterial changes offset each other.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Company's income from construction operations was positively impacted by \$15.8 million and \$30.6 million, respectively, because of changes in the estimated recoveries on two Civil segment projects and a Building segment project. These changes in estimates were driven by changes in cost recovery assumptions based on legal rulings pertaining to the Civil segment projects that were issued during the second quarter of 2014, as well as agreements reached with a customer regarding the Building segment project in the third quarter of 2014. The Building project change in estimate resulted in a \$14.1 million increase in

income from construction operations in the third quarter of 2014. With respect to the two Civil segment projects, during the nine months ended September 30, 2014, there was a \$25.9 million increase and a \$9.4 million decrease in income from construction operations. The above changes in estimates also resulted in increases of \$9.5 million and \$18.3 million in net income, and \$0.19 and \$0.37 in diluted earnings per share during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

The above were the only changes in estimates considered individually material to the Company's results of operations during the periods presented herein.

### (3) Cash and Cash Equivalents and Restricted Cash

Cash and cash equivalents include short-term, highly liquid investments with original maturities of three months or less when acquired.

Cash and cash equivalents, as reported in the accompanying Consolidated Condensed Balance Sheets, consist of amounts held by the Company that are available for general corporate purposes and the Company's proportionate share of amounts held by construction joint ventures that are available only for joint venture-related uses, including future distributions to joint venture partners. Restricted cash is primarily held to secure insurance-related contingent obligations, such as insurance claim deductibles, in lieu of letters of credit.

Table of Contents

Cash and cash equivalents and restricted cash consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Corporate cash and cash equivalents (available for general corporate purposes)	\$ 24,102	\$ 40,846
Company's share of joint venture cash and cash equivalents (available only for joint venture purposes, including future distributions)	72,792	94,737
Total Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 96,894	\$ 135,583
Restricted Cash	\$ 38,572	\$ 44,370

## (4) Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings

Costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings related to the Company's contracts and joint venture contracts consisted of the following:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(in thousands)	
Unbilled costs and profits incurred to date (a)	\$ 275,079	\$ 253,078
Unapproved change orders	312,090	161,375
Claims	296,640	311,949
	\$ 883,809	\$ 726,402

---

(a) Represents the excess of contract costs and profits recognized to date on the percentage of completion accounting method over the amount of contract billings to date on certain contracts.

Of the balance of “Unapproved change orders” and “Claims” included above in costs and estimated earnings in excess of billings at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, approximately \$41.5 million and \$38.4 million, respectively, are the amounts subject to pending litigation or dispute resolution proceedings as described in Note 7. Contingencies and Commitments. These amounts are management’s estimate of the probable cost recovery from the disputed claims considering such factors as evaluation of legal entitlement, settlements reached to date and experience with the customer. In the event that future facts and circumstances, including the resolution of disputed claims, cause a reduction in the aggregate amount of the estimated probable cost recovery from the disputed claims, the amount of such reduction will be recorded against earnings in the relevant future period.

The prerequisite for billing “Unbilled costs and profits incurred to date” is provided in the defined billing terms of each of the applicable contracts. The prerequisite for billing “Unapproved change orders” or “Claims” is the final resolution and agreement between the parties.

#### (5) Fair Value Measurements

The Company measures certain financial instruments, including cash and cash equivalents, such as money market funds, at fair value. The fair values were determined based on a three-tier valuation hierarchy for disclosure of significant inputs. These hierarchical tiers are defined as follows:

Level 1 — inputs are unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.

Level 2 — inputs are other than quoted prices in active markets that are either directly or indirectly observable through market corroboration.

Level 3 — inputs are unobservable inputs in which little or no market data exists, therefore requiring an entity to develop its own assumptions based on the best information available in the circumstances.

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value due to the short-term nature of these items. The carrying value of receivables, payables and other amounts arising out of normal contract activities, including retainage, which may be settled

Table of Contents

beyond one year, is estimated to approximate fair value. Of the Company's long-term debt, the fair values of the fixed rate senior unsecured notes as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were \$306.4 million and \$310.3 million, respectively, compared to the carrying value of \$299.0 million and \$298.8 million as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively. The fair value of the senior unsecured notes was estimated using Level 1 inputs based on market quotations including broker quotes or interest rates for the same financial instruments at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014. The carrying values of the remaining balance of the Company's long-term debt of \$533.2 million and \$566.6 million at September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively, were estimated to approximate their fair values.

The following is a summary of financial statement items carried at estimated fair values measured on a recurring basis as of the dates presented:

	Total Carrying Value (in thousands)	Fair Value Measurements Using		
		Quoted prices in active markets (Level 1)	Significant other observable inputs (Level 2)	Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3)
As of September 30, 2015				
Assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents (a)	\$ 96,894	\$ 96,894	\$ —	\$ —
Restricted cash (a)	38,572	38,572	—	—
Investments in lieu of retainage (b)	37,617	30,973	6,644	—
Total	\$ 173,083	\$ 166,439	\$ 6,644	\$ —
Liabilities:				
Interest rate swap contract (c)	\$ 130	\$ —	\$ 130	\$ —
Contingent consideration (d)	26,181	—	—	26,181
	\$ 26,311	\$ —	\$ 130	\$ 26,181

Total	Fair Value Measurements Using		
	Quoted prices in active	Significant other observable	Significant unobservable

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

As of December 31, 2014	Carrying Value (in thousands)	markets (Level 1)	inputs (Level 2)	inputs (Level 3)
<b>Assets:</b>				
Cash and cash equivalents (a)	\$ 135,583	\$ 135,583	\$ —	\$ —
Restricted cash (a)	44,370	44,370	—	—
Investments in lieu of retainage (b)	33,224	25,761	7,463	—
<b>Total</b>	<b>\$ 213,177</b>	<b>\$ 205,714</b>	<b>\$ 7,463</b>	<b>\$ —</b>
<b>Liabilities:</b>				
Interest rate swap contract (c)	\$ 381	\$ —	\$ 381	\$ —
Contingent consideration (d)	24,814	—	—	24,814
	\$ 25,195	\$ —	\$ 381	\$ 24,814

- 
- (a) Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash consist primarily of money market funds with original maturity dates of three months or less, for which fair value is determined through quoted market prices.
- (b) Investments in lieu of retainage are classified as account receivables, including retainage and are comprised primarily of money market funds for which fair value is determined through quoted market prices. Investment in lieu of retainage also includes U.S. Treasury Notes and other municipal bonds for which fair value is determined through quoted market prices and other similar high quality investments whose values are determined from readily-available pricing sources for comparable instruments.
- (c) As discussed in Note 10. Financial Commitments, the Company entered into a swap agreement with Bank of America, N.A. to establish a long-term interest rate for its former \$200 million five-year term loan. The swap agreement became effective for the

Table of Contents

term loan principal balance outstanding at January 31, 2012. This term loan was paid off and the then current amount refinanced on June 5, 2014, providing for a \$250 million term loan maturing on June 5, 2019. The interest rate swap continues on its original basis for the initial term and amount and finalizes on June 30, 2016. Bank of America calculates the fair market value of the interest rate swap liability by utilizing a mark-to-market valuation model that is based on current observable interest rates, expected future interest rates and the Company's credit risk. The Company reviews the mark-to-market valuation when determining the fair value of the interest rate swap liability to be recognized. This liability is classified as a component of other long-term liabilities.

(d) The liabilities listed as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014 above represent the contingent consideration for the Company's acquisitions in 2011.

The Company did not have any transfers between Levels 1 and 2 of financial assets or liabilities that are fair valued on a recurring basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014.

The following is a summary of changes in Level 3 liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Contingent Consideration (in thousands)
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 24,814
Fair value adjustments included in other income (expense), net	746
Contingent consideration settled	—
Balance at March 31, 2015	\$ 25,560
Fair value adjustments included in other income (expense), net	774
Contingent consideration settled	—
Balance at June 30, 2015	\$ 26,334
Fair value adjustments included in other income (expense), net	(153)
Contingent consideration settled	—
Balance at September 30, 2015	\$ 26,181

	Contingent Consideration (in thousands)
Balance at December 31, 2013	\$ 46,022
Fair value adjustments included in other income (expense), net	3,404
Contingent consideration settled	(1,031)
Balance at March 31, 2014	\$ 48,395
Fair value adjustments included in other income (expense), net	2,162
Contingent consideration settled	(229)
Balance at June 30, 2014	\$ 50,328
Fair value adjustments included in other income (expense), net	26
Contingent consideration settled	(25,170)
Balance at September 30, 2014	\$ 25,184

The fair values of the contingent consideration were estimated by discounting estimated future payments to their present value using a weighted-average cost of capital at a discount rate, which ranged from 14% to 18%, depending on the entity acquired. This approach requires management to project revenues, operating expenses, working capital investment, capital spending and cash flows for the acquired entities over a multi-year period.

#### (6) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The Company tests goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite lives for impairment by applying a fair value test in the fourth quarter of each year and between annual tests if events occur or circumstances change that suggest a material adverse change to the most recently concluded valuation. The Company did not observe any changes in facts or circumstances during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 that would indicate a material adverse change to the fourth quarter 2014 concluded valuation.



Table of Contents

The Company tests intangible assets with finite lives for impairment whenever events or circumstances indicate that the carrying value may not be recoverable. The Company did not observe any events or circumstances during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 that would indicate that the carrying value of its intangible assets may not be recoverable.

The following table presents the carrying amount of goodwill allocated to the Company's reporting units as of September 30, 2015:

	Civil	Building	Specialty Contractors	Total
	(in thousands)			
Gross Goodwill Balance	\$ 492,074	\$ 424,724	\$ 156,193	\$ 1,072,991
Accumulated Impairment	(76,716)	(411,269)	—	(487,985)
Balance at December 31, 2014	\$ 415,358	\$ 13,455	\$ 156,193	\$ 585,006
Current year activity	—	—	—	—
Balance at September 30, 2015	\$ 415,358	\$ 13,455	\$ 156,193	\$ 585,006

Intangible assets consist of the following:

	September 30, 2015				Weighted Average Amortization Period
	Cost	Accumulated Amortization	Accumulated Impairment Charge	Carrying Value	
	(in thousands)				
Trade names (non-amortizable)	\$ 117,600	\$ —	\$ (67,190)	\$ 50,410	Indefinite
Trade names (amortizable)	74,350	(10,695)	(23,232)	40,423	20 years
Contractor license	6,000	—	(6,000)	—	Indefinite
Customer relationships	39,800	(16,563)	(16,645)	6,592	11.4 years
Construction contract backlog	73,706	(73,706)	—	—	N/A
Total	\$ 311,456	\$ (100,964)	\$ (113,067)	\$ 97,425	

Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

	December 31, 2014		Accumulated Impairment Charge	Carrying Value	Weighted Average Amortization Period
	Cost (in thousands)	Accumulated Amortization			
Trade names (non-amortizable)	\$ 117,600	\$ —	\$ (67,190)	\$ 50,410	Indefinite
Trade names (amortizable)	74,350	(8,829)	(23,232)	42,289	20 years
Contractor license	6,000	—	(6,000)	—	Indefinite
Customer relationships	39,800	(15,600)	(16,645)	7,555	11.4 years
Construction contract backlog	73,706	(73,706)	—	—	3.6 years
Total	\$ 311,456	\$ (98,135)	\$ (113,067)	\$ 100,254	

Amortization expense was \$0.9 million and \$2.8 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$3.1 million and \$10.4 million during the same periods in 2014. The following table presents estimated amortization expense of intangible assets for the remainder of 2015 and for the succeeding years:

Fiscal Year	(in millions)
2015 (three months remaining)	\$ 0.9
2016	3.5
2017	3.5
2018	3.5
2019	3.5
Thereafter	32.1
Total	\$ 47.0

Table of Contents

(7) Contingencies and Commitments

The Company and certain of its subsidiaries are involved in litigation and are contingently liable for commitments and performance guarantees arising in the ordinary course of business. The Company and certain of its clients have made claims arising from the performance under their contracts. The Company recognizes certain significant claims for recovery of incurred cost when it is probable that the claim will result in additional contract revenue and when the amount of the claim can be reliably estimated. These assessments require judgments concerning matters such as litigation developments and outcomes, the anticipated outcome of negotiations, the number of future claims and the cost of both pending and future claims. In addition, because most contingencies are resolved over long periods of time, liabilities may change in the future due to various factors.

Several matters are in the litigation and dispute resolution process and represent contingent losses or gains to the Company. The following discussion provides a background and current status of the more significant matters.

Tutor-Saliba-Perini Joint Venture vs. Los Angeles MTA Matter

During 1995, Tutor-Saliba-Perini (“Joint Venture”) filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles against the Los Angeles County Metropolitan Transportation Authority (“LAMTA”), seeking to recover costs for extra work required by LAMTA in connection with the construction of certain tunnel and station projects, all of which were completed by 1996. In 1999, LAMTA countered with civil claims under the California False Claims Act against the Joint Venture, Tutor-Saliba and the Company jointly and severally (together, “TSP”), and obtained a judgment that was reversed on appeal and remanded for retrial before a different judge.

Between 2005 and 2010, the court granted certain Joint Venture motions and LAMTA capitulated on others, which reduced the number of false claims LAMTA may seek and limited LAMTA’s claims for damages and penalties. In September 2010, LAMTA dismissed its remaining claims and agreed to pay the entire amount of the Joint Venture’s remaining claims plus interest. In the remanded proceedings, the Court subsequently entered judgment in favor of TSP and against LAMTA in the amount of \$3.0 million after deducting \$0.5 million, representing the tunnel handrail verdict plus accrued interest against TSP. The parties filed post-trial motions for costs and fees. The Court ruled that TSP’s sureties could recover costs, LAMTA could recover costs for the tunnel handrail trial, and no party could recover attorneys’ fees. TSP appealed the false claims jury verdict on the tunnel handrail claim and other issues, including the denial of TSP’s and its sureties’ request for attorneys’ fees. LAMTA subsequently filed its cross-appeal. In June 2014, the Court of Appeal issued its decision reversing judgment on the People’s Unfair Competition claim and reversing the denial of TSP’s Sureties’ request for attorney’s fees and affirming the remainder of the judgment. In January 2015, payment was made by LAMTA in the amount of \$3.8 million in settlement of all outstanding issues

except for the attorney's fees for TSP's Sureties.

On May 1, 2015, TSP's Surety's motions for attorney's fees were heard, and the Court issued its written ruling on May 5, 2015 in favor of TSP's Sureties for a total award of \$2.1 million. The Court denied adding interest onto these amounts. On June 26, 2015, payment was made by LAMTA for these amounts, which was received by TSP. On June 23, 2015, TSP's Sureties filed a Notice of Appeal challenging the amount awarded to seek an increase. The appeal remains pending while the Court prepares the trial record for the Court of Appeal.

The Company does not expect ultimate resolution of this matter to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

#### Long Island Expressway/Cross Island Parkway Matter

The Company reconstructed the Long Island Expressway/Cross Island Parkway Interchange project for the New York State Department of Transportation (the "NYSDOT"). The \$130 million project was substantially completed in January 2004 and was accepted by the NYSDOT as finally complete in February 2006. The Company incurred significant added costs in completing its work and suffered extended schedule costs due to numerous design errors, undisclosed utility conflicts, lack of coordination with local agencies and other interferences for which the Company believes that the NYSDOT is responsible.

In March 2011, the Company filed its claim and complaint with the New York State Court of Claims and served to the New York State Attorney General's Office, seeking damages in the amount of \$53.8 million. In May 2011, the NYSDOT filed a motion to dismiss the Company's claim on the grounds that the Company had not provided required documentation for project closeout and filing of a claim. In September 2011, the Company reached agreement on final payment with the Comptroller's Office on behalf of the NYSDOT which resulted in an amount of \$0.5 million payable to the Company and formally closed out the project, which allowed the Company's claim to be re-filed. The Company re-filed its claim in the amount of \$53.8 million with the NYSDOT in February 2012 and with the Court of Claims in March 2012. In May 2012, the NYSDOT served its answer and counterclaims in the amount of \$151 million alleging fraud in the inducement and punitive damages related to disadvantaged business enterprise ("DBE") requirements for the project. The Court subsequently ruled that NYSDOT's counterclaims may only be asserted as a defense and offset to the Company's claims and not as affirmative claims. In November 2014, the Appellate Division First Department affirmed the dismissal

Table of Contents

of the City's affirmative defenses and counterclaims based on DBE fraud. The Company does not expect the counterclaims to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements. Discovery is ongoing.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project, and such estimate is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Fontainebleau Matter

Desert Mechanical Inc. ("DMI") and Fisk Electric Company ("Fisk"), wholly owned subsidiaries of the Company, were subcontractors on the Fontainebleau Project in Las Vegas ("Fontainebleau"), a hotel/casino complex with approximately 3,800 rooms. In June 2009, Fontainebleau filed for bankruptcy protection, under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code, in the Southern District of Florida. Fontainebleau is headquartered in Miami, Florida.

DMI and Fisk filed liens in Nevada for approximately \$44 million, representing unreimbursed costs to date and lost profits, including anticipated profits. Other unaffiliated subcontractors have also filed liens. In June 2009, DMI filed suit against Turnberry West Construction, Inc. ("Turnberry"), the general contractor, in the 8th Judicial District Court, Clark County, Nevada, and in May 2010, the court entered an order in favor of DMI for approximately \$45 million.

In January 2010, the Bankruptcy Court approved the sale of the property to Icahn Nevada Gaming Acquisition, LLC, and this transaction closed in February 2010. As a result of a July 2010 ruling relating to certain priming liens, there was approximately \$125 million set aside from this sale, which is available for distribution to satisfy the creditor claims based on seniority. At that time, the total estimated sustainable lien amount was approximately \$350 million. The project lender filed suit against the mechanic's lien claimants, including DMI and Fisk, alleging that certain mechanic's liens are invalid and that all mechanic's liens are subordinate to the lender's claims against the property. The Nevada Supreme Court ruled in October 2012 in an advisory opinion at the request of the Bankruptcy Court that lien priorities would be determined in favor of the mechanic lien holders under Nevada law.

In October 2013, a settlement was reached by and among the Statutory Lienholders and the other interested parties. The agreed upon settlement has not had an impact on the Company's recorded accounting position as of the period ended September 30, 2015. The execution of that settlement agreement continues under the supervision of a mediator appointed by the Bankruptcy Court.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project, and such estimate is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

#### Honeywell Street/Queens Boulevard Bridges Matter

In 1999, the Company was awarded a contract for reconstruction of the Honeywell Street/Queens Boulevard Bridges project for the City of New York (the "City"). In June 2003, after substantial completion of the project, the Company initiated an action to recover \$8.8 million in claims against the City on behalf of itself and its subcontractors. In March 2010, the City filed counterclaims for \$74.6 million and other relief, alleging fraud in connection with the DBE requirements for the project. In May 2010, the Company served the City with its response to the City's counterclaims and affirmative defenses. In August 2013, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the City's affirmative defenses and counterclaims relating to fraud. In September 2013, the City filed a Notice of Appeal to the Court's decision; said appeal was dismissed by the Appellate Court in November 2014. Discovery is ongoing.

The Company does not expect ultimate resolution of this matter to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements.

#### Westgate Planet Hollywood Matter

Tutor-Saliba Corporation ("TSC"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, contracted to construct a time share development project in Las Vegas which was substantially completed in December 2009. The Company's claims against the owner, Westgate Planet Hollywood Las Vegas, LLC ("WPH"), relate to unresolved owner change orders and other claims. The Company filed a lien on the project in the amount of \$23.2 million, and filed its complaint with the District Court, Clark County, Nevada. Several subcontractors have also recorded liens, some of which have been released by bonds and some of which have been released as a result of subsequent payment. WPH has posted a mechanic's lien release bond for \$22.3 million.

WPH filed a cross-complaint alleging non-conforming and defective work for approximately \$51 million, primarily related to alleged defects, misallocated costs, and liquidated damages. WPH revised the amount of their counterclaims to approximately \$45 million.

Following multiple post-trial motions, final judgment was entered in this matter on March 20, 2014. TSC was awarded total judgment in the amount of \$19.7 million on its breach of contract claim, which includes an award of interest up through the date of judgment, plus attorney's fees and costs. WPH has paid \$0.6 million of that judgment. WPH was awarded total judgment in the amount of \$3.1



Table of Contents

million on its construction defect claims, which includes interest up through the date of judgment. The awards are not offsetting. WPH and its Sureties have filed a notice of appeal. TSC has filed a notice of appeal on the defect award. In July 2014, the Court ordered WPH to post an additional supersedeas bond on appeal, in the amount of \$1.7 million, in addition to the lien release bond of \$22.3 million, which increases the security up to \$24.0 million. The Nevada Supreme Court is anticipated to rule on this matter during the first quarter of 2016.

The Company does not expect ultimate resolution of this matter to have any material effect on its consolidated financial statements. Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and such estimate is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Brightwater Matter

In 2006, the Department of Natural Resources and Parks Wastewater Treatment Division of King County (“King County”), as Owner, and Vinci Construction Grands Projects/Parsons RCI/Frontier-Kemper, Joint Venture (“VPFK”), as Contractor, entered into a contract to construct the Brightwater Conveyance System and tunnel sections in Washington State. Frontier-Kemper, a 20% minority partner in the joint venture, is a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company that was acquired in June 2011.

In April 2010, King County filed a lawsuit alleging damages in the amount of \$74 million, plus costs, for VPFK’s failure to complete specified components of the project in the King County Superior Court, State of Washington. Shortly thereafter, VPFK filed a counterclaim in the amount of approximately \$75 million, seeking reimbursement for additional costs incurred as a result of differing site conditions, King County’s defective specifications, and for damages sustained on VPFK’s tunnel boring machines (“TBM”), and increased costs as a result of hyperbaric interventions. VPFK’s claims were presented to a Dispute Resolution Board (“DRB”) who generally found that King County was liable to VPFK for VPFK’s claims for encountering differing site conditions, including damages to the TBM, but not on claims related to defective design specifications. From June through August 2012, each party filed several motions for summary judgment on certain claims and requests in preparation for trial, which were heard and ruled upon by the Court. The Court granted and denied various requests of each party related to evidence and damages.

In December 2012, a jury verdict was received in favor of King County in the amount of \$155.8 million and a verdict in favor of VPFK in the amount of \$26.3 million. In late April 2013, the Court ruled on post-trial motions and ordered VPFK’s sureties to pay King County’s attorneys’ fees and costs in the amount of \$14.7 million. All other motions were denied. On May 7, 2013, VPFK paid the full verdict amount and the associated fees, thus terminating any interest on the judgment. VPFK’s notice of appeal was filed on May 31, 2013. King County has appealed approximately \$17.0



million of the verdict award in VPFK's favor and VPFK's sureties have appealed the Court's order granting King County's request for legal fees and costs. Oral argument was held on March 9, 2015.

The Company received notice on November 9, 2015, that the Court of Appeals of the State of Washington filed their decision that day, which affirmed the trial court's judgment and denied the appeals brought forth by both VPFK and King County. Management booked the impact of this judgment during the third quarter of 2015, resulting in a non-cash, pre-tax charge of \$23.9 million. The Court granted King County's request for recovery of reasonable attorney fees and appellate costs but did not quantify an amount. The Company does not expect the award of attorney fees, while not specifically determinable, to have a material financial impact on its consolidated financial statements.

#### 156 Stations Matter

In December 2003, Five Star Electric Corporation ("FSE"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into an agreement with the Prime Contractor Transit Technologies, L.L.C ("Transit"), a Consortium member of Siemens Transportation Transit Technologies, L.L.C ("Siemens"), to assist in the installation of new public address and customer information screens system for each of the 156 stations for the New York City Transit Authority ("NYCTA") as the owner.

In June 2012, an arbitration panel awarded FSE a total of approximately \$11.9 million. Subsequently, the Court affirmed FSE's position, however, decided that only \$8.5 million of the total arbitration award of \$11.9 million can be recovered against the payment bond. In December 2014, FSE filed its reply for the motion for re-argument with regard to the reduction in recoverable costs against the payment bond.

This matter was fully settled in April 2015 and payment was received. The settlement amount was consistent with the Company's recorded position and, accordingly, the settlement did not have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

#### U.S. Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration Matter

Rudolph and Sletten, Inc. ("R&S"), a wholly owned subsidiary of the Company, entered into a contract with the United States Department of Commerce, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration ("NOAA" or "Owner") for the construction of a 287,000 square-foot facility for NOAA's Southwest Fisheries Science Center Replacement Headquarters and Laboratory in La Jolla,

Table of Contents

California. The contract work began on May 24, 2010, and was substantially completed in September 2012. R&S incurred significant additional costs as a result of a design that contained errors and omissions, NOAA's unwillingness to correct design flaws in a timely fashion and a refusal to negotiate the time and pricing associated with change order work.

R&S has filed three certified claims against NOAA for contract adjustments related to the unresolved Owner change orders, delays, design deficiencies and other claims. The First Certified Claim was submitted on August 20, 2013, in the amount of \$26.8 million ("First Certified Claim") and the Second Certified Claim was submitted on October 30, 2013, in the amount of \$2.6 million ("Second Certified Claim") and the Third Certified Claim was submitted on October 1, 2014 in the amount of \$0.7 million ("Third Certified Claim").

NOAA requested an extension to issue a decision on the First Certified Claim and on the Third Certified Claim, but did not request an extension of time to review the Second Certified Claim. On January 6, 2014, R&S filed suit in the United States Federal Court of Claims on the Second Certified Claim plus interest and attorney's fees and costs. This was followed by a submission of a law suit on the First Certified Claim on July 31, 2014. In February 2015 the Court denied NOAA's motion to dismiss the Second Certified Claim. In March 2015 the Contracting Officer issued decisions on all Claims accepting a total of approximately \$1.0 million of claims and denying approximately \$29.5 million of claims. On April 14, 2015, the Court consolidated the cases and has commenced discovery through July 2016. No trial date has been set.

Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project, and such estimate is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

Five Star Electric Matter

In the third quarter of 2015 Five Star Electric Corp ("Five Star"), a subsidiary of the Company that was acquired in 2011, entered into a tolling agreement related to an ongoing investigation being conducted by the United States Attorney for the Eastern District of New York ("USAO EDNY"). The tolling agreement extends the statute of limitations to December 31, 2015 to avoid the expiration of any unexpired statute of limitations while the investigation is pending. Five Star has been cooperating with the USAO EDNY since late June 2014, when it was first made aware of the investigation, and has been providing information related to its use of certain minority-owned, women-owned, small and disadvantaged business enterprises and, in addition, most recently information regarding certain of Five Star's employee compensation, benefit and tax practices. The investigation covers the period of 2005-2014.

The Company cannot predict the ultimate outcome of the investigation and cannot accurately estimate any potential liability that Five Star or the Company may incur or the impact of the results of the investigation on Five Star or the Company.

#### Alaskan Way Viaduct Matter

In January 2011, Seattle Tunnel Partners (“STP”), a joint venture between Dragados USA, Inc. and the Company, entered into a design-build contract with the Washington State Department of Transportation (“WSDOT”) for the construction of a large diameter bored tunnel in downtown Seattle, King County, Washington to replace the Alaskan Way Viaduct, also known as State Route 99.

The construction of the large diameter bored tunnel requires the use of a tunnel boring machine (“TBM”). In December 2013, the TBM struck a steel pipe, installed by WSDOT as a well casing for an exploratory well. The TBM was damaged and was required to be shut down for repair. STP has asserted that the steel pipe casing was a differing site condition that WSDOT failed to properly disclose. The Disputes Review Board mandated by the Contract to hear disputes issued a decision finding the steel casing was a Type I differing site condition. WSDOT has not accepted that finding.

The TBM was insured under a Builder’s Risk Insurance Policy (“the Policy”) with Great Lakes Reinsurance (UK) PLC and a consortium of other insurers (the “Insurers”). STP submitted the claims to the insurer and requested interim payments under the Policy. The insurers refused to pay and denied coverage. In June 2015, STP filed a lawsuit in the King County Superior Court, State of Washington seeking declaratory relief concerning contract interpretation as well as damages as a result of the Insurers’ breach of its obligations under the terms of the Policy, and added WSDOT as a defendant since WSDOT is an insured under the Policy and had filed its own claim for damages. In August 2015, the Insurers filed a complaint in the Supreme Court, State of New York County seeking declaratory relief concerning contract interpretation. The Court in New York has stayed the Insurers’ lawsuit pending a decision from the Washington State Court.

In October 2015, WSDOT filed a complaint against STP in the King County Superior Court, State of Washington for breach of contract and declaratory relief concerning contract interpretation.

As of September 2015, the Company has concluded that the potential for a material adverse financial impact due to the Insurer’s and WSDOT’s respective legal actions are neither probable nor remote. Management has made an estimate of the total anticipated recovery on this project and such estimate is included in revenue recorded to date. To the extent new facts become known or the final

Table of Contents

recovery included in the claim settlement varies from the estimate, the impact of the change will be reflected in the financial statements at that time.

(8) Income Taxes

The Company's income tax provision was \$14.3 million and \$25.6 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 compared to \$22.9 million and \$53.3 million for the same periods in 2014. The effective income tax rate was 42.0% and 41.1% for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, compared to 39.0% and 39.9% for the same periods in 2014. The largest contributor to the increase for both periods was increased activity in higher state tax jurisdictions. Higher compensation expense also contributed to the increase, particularly for the three month comparison period.

As of September 30, 2015, the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits, including related interest and penalties was \$7.6 million. If the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits was recognized, \$6.7 million of unrecognized tax benefits and \$0.9 million of interest would decrease the effective tax rate.

(9) Stock-Based Compensation

The Company is authorized to grant up to 8,500,000 stock-based compensation awards to key executives, employees, other key persons, including consultants, and directors of the Company under the Amended and Restated Tutor Perini Corporation Long-Term Incentive Plan (the "Plan"). The Plan allows stock-based compensation awards to be granted in a variety of forms, including stock options, stock appreciation rights, restricted stock unit awards, unrestricted stock awards, deferred stock awards and dividend equivalent rights. The terms and conditions of the awards granted are established by the Compensation Committee of the Company's Board of Directors who also administers the Plan.

The Company intends to settle stock awards in shares upon vesting to the extent that the Company has shares available under the Plan. A total of 389,022 shares of common stock remain available for future grant under the Plan at September 30, 2015. As a result, awards of 400,000 stock options that were made in December 2014 and March 2015 under the Plan were not deducted from the shares of common stock remaining available for future grant and these option awards, which may at the Company's election be settled in cash, were classified as liabilities, and compensation cost for these liability-classified awards will be remeasured at each balance sheet date until such time

that the Plan has been amended to increase the number of shares available. Under FASB ASC Topic 718, "Stock Compensation" (ASC 718), options or similar instruments on shares are classified as liabilities when a cash settlement feature exists in the stock based award that can be exercised only upon the occurrence of an event that is outside the employee's control.

#### Restricted Stock Unit Awards

Restricted stock unit awards generally vest subject to the satisfaction of service requirements or the satisfaction of both service requirements and achievement of certain performance targets. Upon vesting, each restricted stock unit award is exchanged for one share of the Company's common stock. The grant date fair values of these awards are determined based on the closing price of the Company's stock on either the award date (if subject only to service conditions), or the date that the Compensation Committee establishes the applicable performance target (if subject to performance conditions). The related compensation expense is amortized over the applicable requisite service period. As of September 30, 2015, the Compensation Committee has approved the grant of an aggregate of 6,321,430 restricted stock unit awards under the 2004 Stock Option and Incentive Plan (and amendments) to eligible participants.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Compensation Committee established the 2015 performance targets for 321,500 restricted stock units awarded in 2015, 2013, and 2012. The restricted stock unit awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 had a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$23.07. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Compensation Committee established the 2014 performance targets for 866,500 restricted stock units awarded in 2014, 2013, and 2012. The restricted stock unit awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 had a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$27.33. The grant date fair value is determined based on the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date of the grant.

There were 31,560 restricted stock unit awards forfeited during the nine months ended September 30, 2015.

During the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company recognized \$2.5 million and \$11.1 million, respectively, of compensation expense related to restricted stock unit awards, as compared to \$3.2 million and \$10.2 million during the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014. Such expense is included in general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Operations. As of September 30, 2015, there was \$12.4 million of unrecognized compensation cost related to the unvested awards which, absent significant forfeitures in the future, is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.5 years.

Table of Contents

A summary of restricted stock unit awards activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 is as follows:

	Number of Shares	Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (in thousands)
Granted and Unvested - January 1, 2015	1,056,597	\$ 26.54	\$ 25,432
Activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:			
Vested	(370,940)	\$ 27.07	\$ 7,983
Granted	321,500	\$ 23.07	\$ 5,292
Forfeited	(31,560)	\$ 28.19	\$ —
Total Granted and Unvested - September 30, 2015	975,597	\$ 26.70	\$ 16,058
Approved for grant	754,500	(a)	\$ 12,419
Total Awarded and Unvested - September 30, 2015	1,730,097	(a)	\$ 28,477

(a) Grant date fair value cannot be determined currently because the related performance targets for future years have not yet been established by the Compensation Committee for certain grants.

The outstanding unvested awards at September 30, 2015 are scheduled to vest as follows, subject where applicable to the achievement of performance targets. As described above, certain performance targets are not yet established.

Vesting Date	Number of Awards
2015	-
2016	302,500
2017	942,597
2018	311,000
2019	174,000
	1,730,097

There are 30,000 of the unvested restricted stock unit awards that will vest based on the satisfaction of service requirements and 1,700,097 of the unvested restricted stock unit awards will vest based on the satisfaction of both service requirements and the achievement of performance targets.

## Stock Options

Stock option awards generally vest subject to the satisfaction of service requirements or the satisfaction of both service requirements and achievement of certain performance targets. The grant date fair values of these awards are determined based on the Black-Scholes option price model on either the award date (if subject only to service conditions) or the date that the Compensation Committee establishes the applicable performance target (if subject to performance conditions). The related compensation expense is amortized over the applicable requisite service period. The exercise price of the options is equal to the closing price of the Company's common stock on the date the awards were approved by the Compensation Committee, and the awards expire ten years from the award date. As of September 30, 2015, the Compensation Committee has approved the award of an aggregate of 3,445,465 stock options to eligible participants.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Compensation Committee established certain performance targets for 259,000 stock options awarded in 2015, 2014, 2013, and 2012. The stock option awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 had a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$12.48. During the nine months ended September 30, 2014, the Compensation Committee established certain performance targets for 714,000 stock options awarded in 2014, 2013 and 2012. The stock option awards granted during the nine months ended September 30, 2014 had a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$17.69.

For the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, the Company recognized compensation expense related to stock option awards of \$1.2 million and \$6.0 million, respectively, as compared to \$1.3 million and \$4.1 million for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. Such expenses are included in general and administrative expenses in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As of September 30, 2015, there was \$3.9 million of unrecognized compensation expense related to the

Table of Contents

outstanding options, which, absent significant forfeitures in the future, is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of approximately 1.1 years.

A summary of stock option activity for the nine months ended September 30, 2015 is as follows:

	Number	Weighted-Average Grant Date	Exercise Fair Price
	of Shares	Value	
Granted and Outstanding - January 1, 2015	1,989,000	\$ 12.75	\$ 19.63
Activity during the nine months ended September 30, 2015:			
Granted	259,000	\$ 12.48	\$ 16.07
Exercised	—	\$ —	\$ —
Forfeited	—	\$ —	\$ —
Total Granted and Outstanding - September 30, 2015	2,248,000	\$ 11.93	\$ 19.22
Approved for grant	722,000	(a)	\$ 20.58
Total Awarded and Outstanding - September 30, 2015	2,970,000	(a)	\$ 19.55

---

(a) Grant date fair value cannot be determined currently because the related performance targets for future years have not yet been established by the Compensation Committee for certain grants.

There were 1,485,000 stock options that have vested and were exercisable at September 30, 2015 at a weighted-average exercise price of \$19.57 per share.

Of the remaining stock options outstanding, approximately 15,000 stock options will vest based on the satisfaction of service requirements and 1,470,000 stock options will vest based on the satisfaction of both service requirements and the achievement of performance targets.

At September 30, 2015, the outstanding stock options of 2,248,000 had an intrinsic value of \$3.6 million and a weighted-average remaining contractual life of 5.4 years.



No stock options were granted during the third quarter of 2015. The following table details the key assumptions used in estimating the grant date fair values of stock option awards granted during the first quarter of 2015 based on the Black Scholes option pricing model using the following key assumptions:

	Award Year		
	2015	2013	2012
Number of options granted during 2015	100,000	9,000	150,000
Risk-free interest rate	1.46 %	1.77 %	1.15 %
Expected life of options (years)	5.50	5.68	4.06
Expected volatility of underlying stock	45.11 %	43.92 %	45.92 %
Expected quarterly dividends (per share)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

#### (10) Financial Commitments

##### Amended Credit Agreement

On June 5, 2014, the Company entered into a Sixth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, (the "Credit Agreement") restructuring its former \$300 million revolving credit facility and \$200 million Term Loan. All outstanding amounts under the Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement were repaid in full using proceeds of the Credit Agreement. The new agreement provides for a \$300 million revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility") and a \$250 million term loan (the "Term Loan") with Bank of America, N.A. as Administrative Agent, Swing Line Lender and L/C Issuer and a syndicate of other lenders. The Term Loan principal

Table of Contents

is to be repaid on a quarterly basis, with 6.0% of the original total outstanding principal repaid in year 1, 9.0% in year 2, 12.0% in year 3, 15.0% in year 4 and 13.5% in year 5 along with a balloon payment of the remaining 44.5% due at maturity. Borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility bear interest based either on Bank of America's prime lending rate or the London Interbank Offered Rate ("LIBOR") plus an applicable margin. Borrowings under the Term Loan bear interest based on LIBOR plus an applicable margin. Included in the Credit Agreement is a special provision allowing an additional accordion provision, which the Company may opt to utilize at a future date to increase either the Revolving Credit Facility or establish one or more new term loan commitments, up to an aggregate amount not to exceed \$300 million. The Credit Agreement provides a sublimit for the issuance of letters of credit up to the aggregate amount of \$150 million. Both the Revolving Credit Facility and the Term Loan mature on June 5, 2019.

The Revolving Credit Facility and Term Loan include usual and customary covenants for credit facilities of this type, including covenants providing maximum allowable ranges of consolidated leverage ratios from 3.75:1.00 to 2.75:1.00 over a range of five years and maintaining a minimum consolidated fixed charge coverage ratio of 1.25:1.00. The Credit Agreement eliminated the other covenant requirements that were formerly held under the Fifth Amended and Restated Credit Agreement.

Substantially all of the Company's subsidiaries unconditionally guarantee the obligations of the Company under the Credit Agreement. The obligations under the Credit Agreement are secured by a lien on all personal property of the Company and its subsidiaries party thereto. The Term Loan balance was \$229.4 million at September 30, 2015 and \$242.5 million at December 31, 2014.

As discussed in Note 7. Commitments and Contingencies and Note 16. Subsequent Event, during the three months ended September 30, 2015 the Company recognized a non-cash, pre-tax charge of \$23.9 million as a result of an adverse ruling on the Brightwater litigation matter. The financial impact of this charge caused the Company to breach its financial covenants under the Credit Agreement. On November 13, 2015, the Company received a waiver from the Credit Agreement lenders. Therefore, the Company is not in default under the Credit Agreement.

The Company had \$140.0 million of outstanding borrowings under its Revolving Facility as of September 30, 2015 and \$130.0 million as of December 31, 2014. The net change in borrowings under the Revolving Facility comprises all "Proceeds from debt" and a significant portion of all "Repayment of debt" as presented in the Consolidated Condensed Statements of Cash Flows. The Company utilized the Revolving Facility for letters of credit in the amount of \$0.2 million as of September 30, 2015 and \$1.0 million as of December 31, 2014. Accordingly, at September 30, 2015, the Company had \$159.8 million available to borrow under the Revolving Facility.

Basic earnings per common share were computed by dividing net income by the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per common share were similarly computed after giving consideration to the dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock unit awards outstanding on the weighted-average number of common shares outstanding. The computation of diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 excluded the antidilutive effect of 201,764 and 110,620 restricted stock units, respectively, compared to 10,000 and 3,333 antidilutive restricted stock units for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively. The computation of diluted earnings per common share for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 excluded the antidilutive effect of 1,579,667 and 908,889 stock options, respectively, compared to 5,000 and 88,333 antidilutive stock options for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

Table of Contents

## (12) Business Segments

The following table sets forth certain reportable segment information relating to the Company's operation for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

(in thousands)	Reportable Segments			Totals	Corporate	Consolidated Total
	Civil	Building	Specialty Contractors			
Three Months Ended September 30, 2015						
Total revenues	\$ 604,317	\$ 506,259	\$ 325,365	\$ 1,435,941	\$ —	\$ 1,435,941
Elimination of intersegment revenues	(64,067)	(31,135)	—	(95,202)	—	(95,202)
Revenues from external customers	\$ 540,250	\$ 475,124	\$ 325,365	\$ 1,340,739	\$ —	\$ 1,340,739
Income from construction operations	\$ 43,183	\$ 6,763	\$ 4,741	\$ 54,687	\$ (15,713)(a)	\$ 38,974
Three Months Ended September 30, 2014						
Total revenues	\$ 496,142	\$ 420,404	\$ 355,932	\$ 1,272,478	\$ —	\$ 1,272,478
Elimination of intersegment revenues	(13,472)	(8,317)	—	(21,789)	—	(21,789)
Revenues from external customers	\$ 482,670	\$ 412,087	\$ 355,932	\$ 1,250,689	\$ —	\$ 1,250,689
Income from construction operations	\$ 53,684	\$ 19,159	\$ 10,876	\$ 83,719	\$ (13,365)(a)	\$ 70,354
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2015						
Reportable Segments						
(in thousands)	Civil	Building	Specialty Contractors	Totals	Corporate	Consolidated Total
Total revenues	\$ 1,570,553	\$ 1,394,568	\$ 945,181	\$ 3,910,302	\$ —	\$ 3,910,302
Elimination of intersegment revenues	(121,449)	(69,211)	—	(190,660)	—	(190,660)
	\$ 1,449,104	\$ 1,325,357	\$ 945,181	\$ 3,719,642	\$ —	\$ 3,719,642

Revenues from external customers						
Income from construction operations	\$ 120,106	\$ (8,107)	\$ 29,008	\$ 141,007	\$ (51,068)(a)	\$ 89,939
Nine Months Ended September 30, 2014						
Total revenues	\$ 1,270,657	\$ 1,122,072	\$ 970,036	\$ 3,362,765	\$ —	\$ 3,362,765
Elimination of intersegment revenues	(32,292)	(40,041)	—	(72,333)	—	(72,333)
Revenues from external customers	\$ 1,238,365	\$ 1,082,031	\$ 970,036	\$ 3,290,432	\$ —	\$ 3,290,432
Income from construction operations	\$ 156,031	\$ 28,656	\$ 31,326	\$ 216,013	\$ (38,719)(a)	\$ 177,294

(a) Consists primarily of corporate general and administrative expenses.

The following table sets forth certain reportable segment information relating to the Company's total assets as of September 30, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	September 30, 2015	December 31, 2014
(in thousands)		
Building	\$ 794,274	\$ 680,933
Civil	1,973,925	1,814,170
Specialty Contractors	863,750	775,162
Totals	\$ 3,631,949	\$ 3,270,265
Corporate (a)	338,306	503,050
Consolidated Total	\$ 3,970,255	\$ 3,773,315

(a) Consists principally of cash and cash equivalents and corporate transportation equipment.

Table of Contents

## (13) Employee Pension Plans

The Company has a defined benefit pension plan and an unfunded supplemental retirement plan. Effective September 1, 2004, all benefit accruals under the Company's pension plan were frozen; however, the current vested benefit was preserved. The pension disclosure presented below includes aggregated amounts for both of the Company's plans.

The following table sets forth the net periodic benefit cost by component for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014:

	Three Months Ended		Nine Months Ended	
	September 30,		September 30,	
	2015	2014	2015	2014
	(in thousands)		(in thousands)	
Interest cost	\$ 1,008	\$ 1,030	\$ 3,030	\$ 3,090
Expected return on plan assets	(1,256)	(1,192)	(3,768)	(3,576)
Amortization of net loss	(1,417)	1,077	1,503	3,231
Net periodic benefit cost	\$ (1,665)	\$ 915	\$ 765	\$ 2,745

The Company contributed \$2.3 million and \$4.1 million to its defined benefit pension plan during the nine months ended September 30, 2015 and 2014, respectively. The Company expects to contribute an additional \$0.5 million to its defined benefit pension plan during the remainder of fiscal year 2015.

## (14) Related Party Transactions

The Company leases certain facilities from Ronald N. Tutor, the Company's Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, and an affiliate owned by Mr. Tutor under non-cancelable operating lease agreements. On April 18, 2014, the Company and Ronald N. Tutor entered into two separate lease agreements, replacing the former leases which terminated on May 31, 2014. Each of the new leases is effective June 1, 2014 with new monthly payments of an aggregate of \$0.2 million, and an increase at the rate of the greater of 3% per annum or the Consumer Price Index

(“CPI”) for the Los Angeles metropolitan area beginning on June 1, 2015. Both new leases provide for purchase/sell options of the facilities at fair market value beginning on June 1, 2021 and June 1, 2025. Also under both leases, the fair market value is to be agreed upon by both parties, or determined by a consensus of independent qualified appraisers. Lease expense for these new leases and the former leases until date of termination, recorded on a straight-line basis, was \$0.8 million and \$2.4 million for three and nine months ended September 30, 2015, respectively. Lease expense for these new leases and the former leases until date of termination, recorded on a straight-line basis, was \$0.7 million and \$1.8 million for three and nine months ended September 30, 2014, respectively.

Raymond R. Oneglia, who is the Vice Chairman of O&G Industries, Inc. (“O&G”), is a director of the Company. Currently the Company has a 30% interest in a joint venture with O&G as the sponsor involving a highway construction project for the State of Connecticut, with an estimated total contract value of approximately \$362 million, scheduled for completion in 2016. Under this arrangement, O&G provides project-related equipment and services directly to the customer (on customary trade terms). In accordance with the joint venture agreement, payments to O&G for equipment and services for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2015 were \$3.3 million and \$8.5 million, respectively. Payments to O&G for equipment and services for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2014 were \$1.8 million and \$5.1 million, respectively. O&G’s cumulative holdings of the Company’s stock were 500,000 shares at both September 30, 2015 and September 30, 2014, or 1.02% and 1.03%, respectively, of total common shares outstanding.

#### (15) Separate Financial Information of Subsidiary Guarantors of Indebtedness

The Company’s obligation to pay principal and interest on its 7.625% senior unsecured notes due November 1, 2018, is guaranteed on a joint and several basis by substantially all of the Company’s existing and future subsidiaries that guarantee obligations under the Company’s Sixth Amended Credit Agreement (the “Guarantors”). The guarantees are full and unconditional and the Guarantors are 100%-owned by the Company.

The following supplemental condensed consolidating financial information reflects the summarized financial information of the Company as the issuer of the senior unsecured notes, the Guarantors and the Company’s non-guarantor subsidiaries on a combined basis.

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET - SEPTEMBER 30, 2015

(Unaudited-in thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 64,316	\$ 31,558	\$ 1,020	\$ —	\$ 96,894
Restricted Cash	3,369	4,008	31,195	—	38,572
Accounts Receivable	427,119	1,186,601	58,326	(121,181)	1,550,865
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	110,378	849,774	152	(76,495)	883,809
Deferred Income Taxes	4,787	12,955	1,131	—	18,873
Other Current Assets	76,162	51,422	37,765	(78,615)	86,734
Total Current Assets	\$ 686,131	\$ 2,136,318	\$ 129,589	\$ (276,291)	\$ 2,675,747
Long-term Investments	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —
Property and Equipment, net	107,030	420,262	4,146	—	531,438
Intercompany Notes and Receivables	—	186,736	—	(186,736)	—
Other Assets:					
Goodwill	—	585,006	—	—	585,006
Intangible Assets, net	—	97,425	—	—	97,425
Investment in Subsidiaries	1,998,039	—	—	(1,998,039)	—
Other	57,170	27,363	1,761	(5,655)	80,639
	\$ 2,848,370	\$ 3,453,110	\$ 135,496	\$ (2,466,721)	\$ 3,970,255
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>					
Current Maturities of Long-term Debt	\$ 81,825	\$ 45,072	\$ —	\$ (41,000)	\$ 85,897
Accounts Payable	250,379	918,808	3,344	(187,314)	985,217
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	100,598	188,172	34	(2,239)	286,565
Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities	50,226	118,252	63,024	(45,738)	185,764
Total Current Liabilities	\$ 483,028	\$ 1,270,304	\$ 66,402	\$ (276,291)	\$ 1,543,443
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	664,931	87,007	—	(5,655)	746,283
Deferred Income Taxes	—	149,021	—	—	149,021
Other Long-term Liabilities	112,909	1,993	—	—	114,902
Intercompany Notes and Advances Payable	152,120	—	34,616	(186,736)	—



Edgar Filing: TUTOR PERINI Corp - Form 10-Q

Contingencies and Commitments	—	—	—	—	—
Stockholders' Equity	1,435,382	1,944,785	34,478	(1,998,039)	1,416,606
	\$ 2,848,370	\$ 3,453,110	\$ 135,496	\$ (2,466,721)	\$ 3,970,255

Table of Contents

## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATING BALANCE SHEET - DECEMBER 31, 2014

(in thousands)

	Tutor Perini Corporation	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total Consolidated
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Cash and Cash Equivalents	\$ 75,087	\$ 36,764	\$ 23,732	\$ —	\$ 135,583
Restricted Cash	3,369	5,274	35,727	—	44,370
Accounts Receivable	299,427	1,246,635	37,064	(103,622)	1,479,504
Costs and Estimated Earnings in Excess of Billings	70,344	700,362	152	(44,456)	726,402
Deferred Income Taxes	—	15,639	—	2,323	17,962
Other Current Assets	39,196	42,750	24,397	(37,608)	68,735
Total Current Assets	\$ 487,423	\$ 2,047,424	\$ 121,072	\$ (183,363)	\$ 2,472,556
Long-term Investments	—	—	—	—	—
Property and Equipment, net	92,413	430,876	4,313	—	527,602
Intercompany Notes and Receivables	—	122,401	—	(122,401)	—
Other Assets:					
Goodwill	—	585,006	—	—	585,006
Intangible Assets, net	—	100,254	—	—	100,254
Investment in Subsidiaries	2,154,562	19,519	50	(2,174,131)	—
Other	83,503	9,847	—	(5,453)	87,897
	\$ 2,817,901	\$ 3,315,327	\$ 125,435	\$ (2,485,348)	\$ 3,773,315
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>					
Current Maturities of Long-term Debt	34,776	46,516	—	—	81,292
Accounts Payable	186,958	716,851	3,749	(109,384)	798,174
Billings in Excess of Costs and Estimated Earnings	139,020	185,807	2,672	(8,203)	319,296
Accrued Expenses and Other Current Liabilities	33,018	95,177	58,571	(26,952)	159,814
Total Current Liabilities	\$ 393,772	\$ 1,044,351	\$ 64,992	\$ (144,539)	\$ 1,358,576
Long-term Debt, less current maturities	712,460	112,060	—	(40,453)	784,067
Deferred Income Taxes	142,457	7,914	—	—	150,371
Other Long-term Liabilities	112,899	1,897	—	—	114,796
Intercompany Notes and Advances Payable	90,373	—	35,619	(125,992)	—

Contingencies and Commitments

— — — — —