#### BAYTEX ENERGY CORP.

Form 6-K

October 29, 2018

**UNITED STATES** 

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 6-K

Report of Foreign Private Issuer

Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 Under the

Securities Exchange Act of 1934

For the month of October 2018

Commission File Number: 1-32754

#### BAYTEX ENERGY CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

2800, 520 - 3<sup>1</sup> AVENUE S.W.

CALGARY, ALBERTA, CANADA

T2P 0R3

(Address of principal executive office)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F £ Form 40-F S

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted

by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1): £

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7): £

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant by furnishing the information contained in this Form is also thereby furnishing the information to the Commission pursuant to Rule 12g3-2(b) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Yes £ No S

If "Yes" is marked, indicate below the file number assigned to the registrant in connection with Rule 12g3-2(b):

The following document attached as an exhibit hereto is incorporated by reference herein:

Exhibit

No. Document

Press Release dated October 26, 2018 (Baytex Conference Call and Webcast on Third Quarter 2018 Results

to be held on November 2, 2018)

#### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

BAYTEX ENERGY CORP.

/s/ Rodney D. Gray\_\_\_\_\_

Name: Rodney D. Gray

Title: Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer

Dated: October 26, 2018

bsp; Securities gains

	-
	(917)
Increase in cash surrender value of life insurance contracts	
	(117)
	(219)
(Increase) decrease in	
Deferred income taxes	
	227
	496
Interest receivable	
	129
	197
Other assets	
	234
	(728)
Increase (decrease) in	
Interest payable	
	313
	(52)
Other liabilities	
	546
	(322)
Total adjustments	
	3,187

Eugai Filling. DATTEX ENERGY CORF FUITH 6-K	
	(381)
Net cash provided by operating activities	
	7,236
	4,332
INVESTING	
Proceeds from maturities, calls, and sales of	
ACTIVITIES	
available-for-sale securities	
	25,115
	23,872
Proceeds from maturities and calls of held-to-maturity securities	
	5,500
	10,473
Purchases of investment securities	,
Available-for-sale	
	(14,117)
	(27,537)
Held-to-maturity	(21,331)
Ticid-to-maturity	(23,195)
	(12,732)
Net decrease in loans	
	579
	4,396
Purchases of premises and equipment	
	(440)
	(355)

Purchase of single premium life insurance contract	
	(254)
	(253)
Net cash used in investing activities	
	(6,812)
	(2,136)
FINANCING	
Net increase (decrease) in noninterest-bearing	
ACTIVITIES	
and interest-bearing deposits	
	26,506
	(4,617)
Net increase in short term borrowings	
	2,964
	12,668
Cash dividends	
	(1,752)
	(1,635)
	(1,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	(2,000)
Net cash provided by financing activities	27,718
Net cash provided by financing activities	
Net cash provided by financing activities  Increase in cash and cash equivalents	27,718
	27,718
	<b>27,718</b> 6,416
	27,718 6,416 28,142

22,251

38,434

Cash and cash equivalents at end of period

\$

50,393

\$

47,046

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the consolidated financial statements.

#### SELECTED NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **NOTE 1 - OTHER INFORMATION**

The unaudited consolidated financial statements have been prepared on a consistent basis and in accordance with the instructions to Form 10-Q and do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. These adjustments were of a normal, recurring nature and consistent with generally accepted accounting principles. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes included in First Farmers and Merchants Corporation's annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

## **NOTE 2 - STOCK DIVIDEND**

At the Annual Shareholders' Meeting on April 20, 2004, the Corporation announced a two-for-one stock split effected as a 100% stock dividend payable to shareholders of record as of April 20, 2004. The Corporation issued an additional share of common stock for each share of common stock outstanding, which resulted in 5,840,000 shares of common stock issued and outstanding at a par value of \$10 per share. The transaction was recorded as a transfer from retained earnings to common stock in the amount of \$29,200,000 (\$10 for each of the 2,920,000 additional shares issued). All per share and share data in the accompanying consolidated financial statements and footnotes have been restated to give retroactive effect to this transaction.

#### **NOTE 3 - SUBSIDIARY CHARTER**

The Corporation's subsidiary Bank received regulatory approval to convert its national charter to a state charter, which conversion became effective as of July 5, 2005. On that date, the Bank converted to a Tennessee state-chartered bank and its name changed from First Farmers and Merchants National Bank to First Farmers and Merchants Bank.

#### Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

#### FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain statements contained in this report may not be based on historical facts and are "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, and Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. These forward-looking statements may be identified by, among other things, the use of forward-looking terminology such as "anticipates," "could," "expects," "believes," "may," or "will," or the negatives of such terms. We caution you not to place undue reliance on such forward-looking statements in this report because results could differ materially from those anticipated due to a variety of factors. These factors include, but are not limited to, changes in economic conditions; fluctuations in prevailing interest rates and the effectiveness of our risk monitoring systems; the ability of our borrowers to repay loans; adverse changes in our special mention credits; our ability to sell available-for-sale securities to fund increased loan demand; our ability to meet regulatory capital adequacy requirements; our ability to meet liquidity needs from operating activities; the accuracy of assumptions in our rate risk analysis; our ability to recognize deferred tax assets; our ability to maintain credit quality; our ability to provide market competitive products and services; laws and regulations affecting financial institutions in general; our ability to control factors influencing net interest income; and other factors generally understood to affect the financial results of financial services companies.

#### **EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW**

At June 30, 2005, the consolidated total assets of First Farmers and Merchants Corporation (the "Corporation") were \$846,248, its consolidated net loans were \$440,467, its total deposits were \$720,360, and its total shareholders' equity was \$105,563. The loan portfolio at June 30, 2005, increased \$6,142 or 1.4% compared to March 31, 2005; however, the portfolio at June 30, 2005, increased \$7,063 or 1.6% compared to May 31, 2005. The retail portion of the portfolio experienced a 2.3% increase for the first six months of 2005 while the commercial portfolio saw a decline of 3.0% over the same period. Several factors led to the decline in commercial loans during the first six months of 2005, including continued stagnation in commercial loan volume and the payoff of several large commercial loans, including a large classified loan, and the sale of a large classified loan. Total deposits increased \$26,505 or 3.8% and shareholders' equity increased 1.3% during the first six months of 2005. Shareholders' equity, not including accumulated other comprehensive income, increased 2.2% in the first six months of 2005. Total shareholders' equity includes an unrealized loss on available-for-sale securities of \$304.

#### MATERIAL CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION

Average earning assets for the three months ended June 30, 2005 were up 0.6% from average earning assets at March 31, 2005 but down 0.7% from December 31, 2004. A decline in loan volume in the first quarter of 2005 occurred near the end of the quarter but management believes that trend was beginning to reverse by the end of the second quarter of 2005. As a result, average loans at June 30, 2005 were down 0.5% from average loans at March 31, 2005. Average overnight investments at June 30, 2005, were up \$3.5 million compared to March 31, 2005 and up \$1.7 million compared to December 31, 2004. This increase was attributable to the decline in loan volume. Average investment securities at June 30, 2005 were down 5.1% compared to the average at December 31, 2004. Average total assets of \$819 million at June 30, 2005 decreased 0.8% compared to an average of \$825 million at December 31, 2004.

#### **Securities**

Available-for-sale securities are an integral part of the asset/liability management process for the Bank. Accordingly, they represent an important source of liquidity available to fund loans and accommodate asset reallocation strategies dictated by changes in the Bank's operating and tax plans, shifting yield spread relationships, and changes in configuration of the yield curve. At June 30, 2005, the Bank's investment securities portfolio had \$213.2 million available-for-sale securities and \$93.9 million held-to-maturity securities. There were \$226.8 million available-for-sale securities and \$76.3 million held-to-maturity securities at December 31, 2004. The 6.0% decrease in available-for-sale securities for the six months ended June 30, 2005, resulted from investing in the loan portfolio or held-to-maturity securities funds received as securities matured. The Bank may sell available-for-sale securities to fund planned increased loan demand as needed. No investment securities were sold in the second quarter of 2005. The 23.1% increase in the investment in held-to-maturity securities was a result of a strategy to increase the investment in municipal securities with a maturity of fifteen to seventeen years to shelter taxable income and improve income lost as loan volume fell short of budget projections.

### **Loans**

The loan portfolio is the largest component of earning assets for the Bank and, consequently, provides the highest amount of revenues for the Corporation. The loan portfolio contains the highest exposure to risk as a result of the possibility of unexpected deterioration in the credit quality of borrowers. When analyzing potential loans, management assesses both interest rate objectives and credit quality objectives in determining whether to make a given loan and the appropriate pricing for that loan. Concentrations within the Bank's loan portfolio exist. First mortgage loans, secured by one to four family residential properties, represented 37% of the total loan portfolio at June 30, 2005. The Bank recognizes this concentration, but finds the risk acceptable given the quality of underwriting and low level of historical loss experience. Mortgage loans secured by commercial properties comprised 21% of the portfolio at June 30, 2005 and have a higher level of risk and historical loss experience than residential mortgage loans. There is not an industry concentration as measured by regulatory guidelines. However, the Bank does have heavy exposure in four broad industry categories which include construction, real estate renting and leasing, other services (churches), and public administration. These industries are monitored closely to ensure that underwriting practices, policies and loss allowance levels match the level of risk posed. All loans are expected to be repaid from cash flow or proceeds from the sale of selected assets of the borrowers. Collateral requirements for the loan portfolio are based on credit evaluation of the loan customers.

The analysis and review of asset quality by the Bank's credit administration includes a formal review that evaluates the adequacy of the allowance for possible loan losses. This review is updated monthly and evaluated more completely quarterly in conjunction with loan review reports and evaluations that are discussed in meetings with loan officers, credit administration, and the Board of Directors. This evaluation supported a reversal of specific allocations in the allowance during the first quarter of 2005 as a result of the resolution of certain problem loans associated with those specific allocations. Credit quality continued to improve during the second quarter as indicated by declines in the volume of loans with past due payments and potential problem loans. The allowance for possible loan and lease losses was \$8.0 million or 1.8% of gross loans at June 30, 2005. This compares to \$8.5 million or 1.9% at December 31, 2004. Net recoveries through June 30, 2005 were \$297,000 which results in an annualized net charge-off ratio of -0.14%.

A formal process is in place to provide control over underwriting of loans and monitor their collectibility. This process includes education and training of personnel on the Bank's loan policies and procedures, credit analysts to support lenders, timely identification of loans with adverse characteristics and control of corrective actions, and objective monitoring of loan reviews. A Special Assets Department of the Bank identifies and monitors assets that need attention. At June 30, 2005, this process identified loans totaling \$11.1 million, or 2.5% of the portfolio, that

were classified as other assets especially mentioned. This compares to loans totaling \$6.7 million so classified at December 31, 2004. The increase at June 30, 2005, warrants close attention; but, knowledge of the two large credits accounting for the increase leads management to anticipate no significant migration to more severely classified levels. Loans totaling \$6.2 million, 1.4% of the portfolio, were classified as substandard at June 30, 2005. This compares to loans totaling \$11.2 million, 2.5% of the portfolio, so classified at December 31, 2004. Loans totaling \$0.8 million or 0.20% of the portfolio were classified as doubtful at June 30, 2005. This compares to \$1.0 million, 0.23% of the portfolio, so classified at December 31, 2004. Loans having recorded investments of \$3.0 million, or 0.7% of the total portfolio, were identified as impaired at June 30, 2005 compared to \$5.5 million, 1.2% of the portfolio, at December 31, 2004.

During the second quarter of 2005, there were write downs totaling \$0.004 million of other real estate associated with declines in real estate values subsequent to foreclosure and disposition of the properties at less than their carrying value that were charged to current income. The carrying value of other real estate is included in other assets on the balance sheet and represents real estate acquired through foreclosure and is stated at the lower of cost or fair value minus cost to sell. An allowance for other real estate owned is not maintained. Any decreases or losses associated with the properties have been charged to current income.

#### **Deposits**

The Bank does not have any foreign offices and all deposits are serviced in its twenty-one domestic offices. The Bank's average deposits declined 1.2% during the first six months of 2005 compared to a decline of 5.6% in the first six months of 2004. The primary reason for the decrease in the decline of average deposits between these periods is that the Bank changed its strategy for pricing short term large dollar certificates of deposit. By being more aggressive in pricing these deposits in 2005 than in 2004, the decline in deposits has slowed. Average total noninterest-bearing deposits were 16.4% of total deposits at June 30, 2005, contributing to the Bank's low cost of deposits. This compares to 16.2% at December 31, 2004.

#### **Regulatory Requirements for Capital**

The Corporation and the Bank are subject to federal regulatory risk-adjusted capital adequacy standards. Failure to meet capital adequacy requirements could result in certain mandatory, and possibly additional discretionary, actions by regulators that could have a direct material effect on the consolidated financial statements of the Corporation and its subsidiary, the Bank. The regulations require the Bank to meet specific capital adequacy guidelines that involve quantitative measures of assets, liabilities, and certain off-balance-sheet items as calculated under regulatory accounting practices. The capital classification is also subject to qualitative judgments by the regulators about components, risk weightings, and other factors.

Quantitative measures established by regulation to ensure capital adequacy require the Corporation and the Bank to maintain minimum amounts and ratios of Total Capital and Tier I Capital to risk-weighted assets and of Tier I Capital to average assets. Equity capital (net of certain adjustments for intangible assets and investments in non-consolidated subsidiaries and certain classes of preferred stock) is considered Tier 1 ("core") capital. Tier 2 ("total") capital consists of core capital plus subordinated debt, some types of preferred stock, and a defined percentage of the allowance for possible loan losses. As of June 30, 2005, the Corporation's total risk-based and core capital ratios were 19.0% and 17.8%, respectively. The comparable ratios were 19.6% and 18.4% at December 31, 2004. As of June 30, 2005, the Bank's total risk-based and core capital ratios were 19.8% and 18.4%, respectively. As of June 30, 2005, the Corporation and the Bank had a ratio of core capital to average total assets ratio of 11.2% and 11.5%, respectively, compared to 11.3 % and 11.1% at December 31, 2004. Management believes, as of June 30, 2005, that the Corporation and the Bank meet all capital adequacy requirements to which they are subject.

## **Liquidity and Capital Resources**

Most of the capital needs of the Bank have historically been financed with retained earnings and deposits received and the Corporation's primary source of liquidity is dividends declared by the Bank. The Corporation and the Bank do not have any long-term debt and do not have plans for material capital expenditures in the near future. The Corporation's average shareholders' equity at June 30, 2005 was \$105.4 million, a 1.48% increase from the average at December 31, 2004. The approval of the Office of the Comptroller of the Currency ("OCC") is required before the Bank's dividends in a given year may exceed the total of its net profit (as defined by the OCC) for the year, combined with retained net profits of the preceding two years. As of June 30, 2005, the Bank could have declared additional dividends of approximately \$10.7 million without regulatory agency approval. The Bank declared a \$0.31 per share dividend in the second quarter of 2005. Management believes that the Corporation's traditional sources of cash generated from the Bank's operating activities are adequate to meet the Corporation's liquidity needs for normal ongoing operations. The Bank's Board of Directors adopted a liquidity policy that outlines specific liquidity target balances. The formal review of compliance with this policy is monitored quarterly by the Bank's Asset/Liability Committee and results are reported to the Board of Directors.

The Bank maintains a formal asset and liability management process to control interest rate risk and assist management in maintaining reasonable stability in the gross interest margin as a result of changes in the level of interest rates and/or the spread relationships among interest rates. The Bank uses an earnings simulation model to evaluate the impact of different interest rate scenarios on the gross margin. Each month, the Asset/Liability Committee of the Bank monitors the relationship of rate sensitive earning assets to rate sensitive interest bearing liabilities (interest rate sensitivity) which is the principal factor in determining the effect that fluctuating interest rates will have on future net interest income. Rate sensitive earning assets and interest bearing liabilities are financial instruments that can be repriced to current market rates within a defined time period.

### **Critical Accounting Policies**

The accounting principles the Bank follows and the methods of applying these principles conform with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America and with general practices within the banking industry. In connection with the application of those principles, the Bank's management has made judgments and estimates that, in the case of the determination of the allowance for loan losses ("ALLL") and the recognition of deferred income tax assets, have been critical to the determination of the Bank's financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

#### Allowance for Loan Losses

Management assesses the adequacy of the ALLL prior to the end of each month and prepares a more formal review quarterly to assess the risk in the Bank's loan portfolio. This assessment includes procedures to estimate the allowance and test the adequacy and appropriateness of the resulting balance. The ALLL represents calculated amounts for specifically identified credit exposure and exposures readily predictable by historical or comparative experience. Even though this calculation considers specific credits, the entire allowance is available to absorb any credit losses.

These calculated amounts are determined by assessing loans identified as not in compliance with loan agreements. These loans are generally in two different risk groups. One group is unique loans (commercial loans, including those loans considered impaired). The second group is pools of homogenous loans (generally retail loans). The calculation for unique loans is based primarily on risk rating grades assigned to each of these loans as a result of our loan management and review processes. Each risk-rating grade is assigned a loss ratio, which is determined based on the experience of management, discussions with banking regulators, and the independent loan review process. The amount allocated for impaired loans is based on estimated cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate or the underlying collateral value. Historical data, including actual loss experience on specific types of homogenous loans, is used to allocate amounts for loans or groups of loans meeting the specified criteria. More detailed historical data is being accumulated by category of consumer credit and performance characteristics to broaden the analysis and improve monitoring of potential credit risk.

Criteria considered in evaluating the adequacy of the ALLL are:

Portfolio quality trends;

Changes in the nature and volume of the portfolio;

Present and prospective economic and business conditions, locally and nationally;

Management review systems and board oversight, including external loan review proc esses;

Changes in credit policy, credit administration, portfolio management and procedures; and

Changes in personnel, management, and staff.

In assessing the adequacy of the ALLL, the risk characteristics of the entire loan portfolio are evaluated. This process includes the judgment of management, input from independent loan reviews, and reviews that may have been conducted by bank regulators as part of their usual examination process.

Deferred Income Tax Assets

Deferred income tax assets consist mainly of the tax effect of excess provisions for loan losses over actual losses incurred and deferred compensation. The Corporation and the Bank have paid taxes for many years. Management believes that it is more likely than not that these assets will be realized in future years.

#### **Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements**

The Bank is a party to financial instruments with off-balance-sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. These financial instruments include commitments to extend credit and standby letters of credit. Those instruments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit risk in excess of the amount recognized in the balance sheet. The contract or notional amounts of those instruments reflect the extent of involvement the Bank has in those financial instruments. The total outstanding loan commitments and stand-by letters of credit in the normal course of business at June 30, 2005, were \$69.4 million and \$8.9 million, respectively. These totals compare to \$67.9 million outstanding loan commitments and \$6.7 million stand-by letters of credit in the normal course of business at March 31, 2005. Loan commitments are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is not a violation of any condition established in the contract. Standby letters of credit are conditional commitments issued by the Bank to guarantee the performance of a customer to a third party. Those guarantees are primarily issued to support public and private borrowing arrangements, including commercial paper, bond financing, and similar transactions. The credit risk involved in issuing letters of credit is essentially the same as that involved in making a loan.

At June 30, 2005 and December 31, 2004, the Corporation and the Bank did not have any off-balance sheet arrangements other than commitments to extend credit and stand-by letters of credit.

#### MATERIAL CHANGES IN RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Total interest income for the first six months of 2005 was \$19,041 compared to \$18,716 for the first six months of 2004. Total interest income for the three months ending June 30, 2005, was \$6,556 compared to \$5,825 for the three months June 30, 2004. Interest and fees earned on loans and investments are the components of total interest income. Interest and fees earned on loans increased 8.8% during the first six months of 2005 compared to the first six months

of 2004 and increased 12.5% during the three months ending June 30, 2005 compared to the three months ending June 30, 2004, as a result of the increase in the volume of average loans. Interest earned on investment securities and other investments decreased 10.6% during the first six months of 2005 compared to the first six months of 2004 and decreased 10.4% for the three months ending June 30, 2005 compared to the three months ending June 30, 2004, as a result of the reduced volume of investment securities and higher yielding investments maturing and being replaced with investments at lower yields.

Total interest expense in the first six months of 2005 increased 6.9% compared to the first six months of 2004 and increased 14.3% in the three months ending June 30, 2005, compared to the three months ending June 30, 2004. As a policy, budgeted financial goals are monitored on a monthly basis by the Asset/Liability Committee which reviews the actual dollar change in net interest income given different interest rate movements. A negative dollar change in net interest income for a twelve-month period of less than 4.5% of net interest income given a three hundred basis point shift in interest rates is considered an acceptable rate risk position. The rate risk analysis for the twelve-month period beginning July 1, 2005 and ending June 30, 2006 showed a worst-case potential change to net interest income of a negative 0.8%, or a decline in net interest income of \$212,000.

Net interest income of the Corporation on a fully taxable equivalent basis is influenced primarily by changes in:

- (1) the volume and mix of earning assets and sources of funding;
- (2) market rates of interest; and
- (3) income tax rates.

The impact of some of these factors can be controlled by management policies and actions. External factors also can have a significant impact on changes in net interest income from one period to another. Some examples of such factors are:

- (1) the strength of credit demands by customers;
- (2) Federal Reserve Board monetary policy; and
- (3) fiscal and debt management policies of the federal government, including changes in tax laws.

The net interest margin, on a tax equivalent basis, at June 30, 2005, June 30, 2004, and December, 31, 2004 was 4.14%, 4.10%, and 4.13%, respectively.

No additions were made to the allowance for loan losses in the second quarter of 2005 or the second quarter of 2004. A credit was taken as of February 28, 2005, in the amount of \$796,000 based upon unexpected loss recoveries and substantial reductions in the level of criticized assets during the period.

Noninterest income decreased 18.6% during the first six months of 2005 compared to the first six months of 2004 and did not change much in the three months ending June 30, 2005, compared to the three months ending June 30, 2004. The decrease during the first six months resulted from:

- (1) A decline in the level of overdrawn account service fees;
- (2) There were no gains on the sale of available-for-sale securities to compare to the \$917 gain on the sale of available-for-sale securities in the first quarter of 2004.

Noninterest expenses, excluding the provision for possible loan losses, were 0.9% less in the first six months of 2005 than in the first six months of 2004 and they were 2.4% less in the quarter ending June 30, 2005, compared to the quarter ending June 30, 2004. These declines were a result of effective cost controls in salary administration, furniture and equipment expenses, and other operating expenses.

The Corporation and the Bank do not have any long-term debt or other obligations with the exception of certain operating leases. There has not been a change during the six month period ending June 30, 2005 in these operating leases.

Net income for the six months ending June 30, 2005, was \$5.596 million, compared to \$6.500 million for the six months ending June 30, 2004. Net income for the three months ending June 30, 2005 was \$1.965 million compared to \$2.252 million for the three months ending June 30, 2004. Noninterest income for the first six months of June 30, 2004 included a gain on the sale of available-for-sale securities that contributed to the higher earnings for that period compared to the first six months of June 30, 2005. Lower service fees on deposit accounts were the reason for the decline in the three months ending June 30, 2005 compared to the three months ending June 30, 2004.

### Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

During the quarter ended June 30, 2005, there were no material changes in the quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk presented in the Corporation's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2004.

### Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

- (a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. The Corporation carried out an evaluation, under the supervision and with the participation of management, including the Corporation's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 13a-15. Based upon that evaluation, the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Corporation's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in ensuring that information required to be disclosed by the Corporation (including the Corporation's consolidated subsidiaries) in reports that the Corporation files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported in a timely manner.
- (b) <u>Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting</u>. There has been no change in the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the second quarter of 2005 that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Corporation's internal control over financial reporting.

#### **PART II - OTHER INFORMATION**

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

The annual meeting for shareholders of the Corporation was held on Tuesday, April 21, 2005. At the meeting, the election of directors was voted upon by the Corporation's shareholders. At the meeting, votes were cast as follows:

	Votes In	Votes	Votes
	Favor_	Abstained	Against
Kenneth A. Abercrombie	3,8′	72,277 -	60
James L. Bailey, Jr.	3,8	70,537 -	1800
Hulet M. Chaney	3,8	72,337 -	-
H. Terry Cook, Jr.	3,8′	71,937 -	400
Tom Napier Gordon	3,6	73,421 -	199,220
Dr. O. Rebecca Hawkins	3,8′	71,657 -	680
Dr. Joseph W. Remke, III	3,8	71,657 -	680
T. Randy Stevens	3,80	66,826 -	5,511
John P. Tomlinson, III	3,80	66,886 -	5,451
William R. Walter	3,8′	70,293 -	5,976
Dan C. Wheeler	3,8′	72,337 -	-
Dr. David S. Williams	3,8′	72,277 -	60
W. Donald Wright	3,8′	72,337 -	-
James E. York	3,8	72,337 -	-

As indicated in the above table, Kenneth A. Abercrombie, James L. Bailey, Jr., Hulet M. Chaney, H. Terry Cook, Jr., Tom Napier Gordon, Dr. O. Rebecca Hawkins, Dr. Joseph W. Remke, III, T. Randy Stevens, John P. Tomlinson, III, William R. Walter, Dan C. Wheeler, Dr. David S. Williams, W. Donald Wright and James E. York, were elected as directors of the Corporation. The terms of the directors will expire at the annual meeting of shareholders in 2006, but not before their respective successors are elected and qualified.

#### Item 5. Other Information.

....... Waymon L. Hickman retired as chairman of the Board of Directors effective April 21, 2005.

#### Item 6. Exhibits.

**EXHIBIT** 

NUMBER DESCRIPTION

- 3.1 Charter. (a)
- 3.2 Articles of Amendment to Charter. (a)
- 3.3 By-laws. (a)
- 3.4 Amendment to By-laws.(b)
- 4.1 Form of Specimen Stock Certificate. (a)
- 10.1 Memorandum of Understanding among First Farmers and Merchants National Bank, First Farmers and Merchants Corporation, and Waymon L. Hickman, dated April 29, 2005.
- 31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer of First Farmers and Merchants Corporation Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 31.2 Certification of the Chief Financial Officer of First Farmers and Merchants Corporation Pursuant to Rule 13a-14(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer of First Farmers and Merchants Corporation Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

<sup>(</sup>a) Incorporated by reference from the First Farmers and Merchants Corporation Amendment No. 1 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A for the year ended December 31, 2003, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 7, 2004.

<sup>(</sup>b) Incorporated by reference from the First Farmers and Merchants Corporation Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended March 31, 2004, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on May 10, 2004.

## **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

## FIRST FARMERS AND MERCHANTS CORPORATION

(Registrant)

Date	August 2, 2005	/s/ T. Randy Stevens
		T. Randy Stevens, President/Chief Executive Officer
Date	August 2, 2005	/s/ Patricia N. McClanahan
		Patricia N. McClanahan, Chief Financial Officer