

CIPRICH PAULA M  
Form 4  
December 21, 2012

**FORM 4**

**UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

OMB APPROVAL

OMB Number: 3235-0287  
Expires: January 31, 2015  
Estimated average burden hours per response... 0.5

Check this box if no longer subject to Section 16. Form 4 or Form 5 obligations may continue. See Instruction 1(b).

**STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF SECURITIES**

Filed pursuant to Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, Section 17(a) of the Public Utility Holding Company Act of 1935 or Section 30(h) of the Investment Company Act of 1940

(Print or Type Responses)

1. Name and Address of Reporting Person \*  
CIPRICH PAULA M

2. Issuer Name and Ticker or Trading Symbol  
NATIONAL FUEL GAS CO [NFG]

5. Relationship of Reporting Person(s) to Issuer  
(Check all applicable)

(Last) (First) (Middle)  
6363 MAIN STREET  
(Street)

3. Date of Earliest Transaction  
(Month/Day/Year)  
12/19/2012

\_\_\_\_ Director \_\_\_\_\_ 10% Owner  
 Officer (give title below) \_\_\_\_\_ Other (specify below)  
General Counsel & Secretary

BUFFALO, NY 14221  
(City) (State) (Zip)

4. If Amendment, Date Original Filed(Month/Day/Year)

6. Individual or Joint/Group Filing(Check Applicable Line)  
 Form filed by One Reporting Person  
 Form filed by More than One Reporting Person

**Table I - Non-Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned**

1. Title of Security (Instr. 3)	2. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	2A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	3. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	4. Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4 and 5)	5. Amount of Securities Beneficially Owned Following Reported Transaction(s) (Instr. 3 and 4)	6. Ownership Form: Direct (D) or Indirect (I) (Instr. 4)	7. Nature of Indirect Ownership (Instr. 4)
Common Stock				(A) or (D) Price	50,229	D	
Common Stock	12/19/2012		J V	413 (1) A \$ 0	7,576	I	401k Trust

Reminder: Report on a separate line for each class of securities beneficially owned directly or indirectly.

**Persons who respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB control number.**

SEC 1474 (9-02)

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

**Table II - Derivative Securities Acquired, Disposed of, or Beneficially Owned**  
(e.g., puts, calls, warrants, options, convertible securities)

1. Title of Derivative Security (Instr. 3)	2. Conversion or Exercise Price of Derivative Security	3. Transaction Date (Month/Day/Year)	3A. Deemed Execution Date, if any (Month/Day/Year)	4. Transaction Code (Instr. 8)	5. Number of Derivative Securities Acquired (A) or Disposed of (D) (Instr. 3, 4, and 5)	6. Date Exercisable and Expiration Date (Month/Day/Year)	7. Title and Amount of Underlying Securities (Instr. 3 and 4)
Stock Appreciation Right	\$ 53.045	12/19/2012		A	12,237	(2) 12/19/2022	Common Stock 12,237

## Reporting Owners

Reporting Owner Name / Address	Relationships			
	Director	10% Owner	Officer	Other
CIPRICH PAULA M 6363 MAIN STREET BUFFALO, NY 14221			General Counsel & Secretary	

## Signatures

James R. Peterson, Attorney  
in Fact 12/21/2012

\*\*Signature of Reporting Person Date

## Explanation of Responses:

- \* If the form is filed by more than one reporting person, see Instruction 4(b)(v).
- \*\* Intentional misstatements or omissions of facts constitute Federal Criminal Violations. See 18 U.S.C. 1001 and 15 U.S.C. 78ff(a).
- (1) Routine acquisition under the NFG 401(k) Plan Trust, exempt under Rule 16b-3(c), a non-reportable transaction.
- (2) The stock appreciation right becomes exercisable in three equal annual installments beginning on December 19, 2013.

Note: File three copies of this Form, one of which must be manually signed. If space is insufficient, see Instruction 6 for procedure. Potential persons who are to respond to the collection of information contained in this form are not required to respond unless the form displays a currently valid OMB number. > · Pricing Software and Methodology: We use actuarial pricing methodologies and software tools that are built and supported by leading independent actuarial service firms such as Modeling Actuarial Pricing Systems, Inc. (“MAPS”) for calculating our expected returns. · Diversified Funding: We have actively developed diversified sources for accessing capital markets in support of our buy and hold strategy for our portfolio of life insurance policies, ranging from institutional bank financing and global capital markets, to a network of broker-dealers registered with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) who have participated in our subsidiary secured notes financing.



On the other hand, our business involves a number of challenges and risks described in more detail elsewhere in this prospectus, including the following:

· Relatively New Market: The purchase and ownership of life insurance policies acquired in the secondary market is a relatively new and evolving market. Our ability to repay the principal and interest on the debentures materially depends on the continued development of the secondary market for life insurance, including the solvency of life insurance companies to pay the face value of the life insurance benefits and other factors beyond our control.

· Assumptions About Valuation of Our Assets: The valuation of our insurance policies, which are the principal assets on our balance sheet, requires us to make material assumptions that may ultimately prove to be incorrect. These assumptions include actuarial life expectancies, which may not prove to be accurate.

· Ability to Expand Our Portfolio: Our business model relies on achieving actual results that are in line with the results we expect to attain from our investments in life insurance policy assets. In this regard, we believe that the larger portfolio we own, the greater likelihood we will achieve our expected results. Although we plan to expand of the number of life insurance policies we own using proceeds raised from the sale of debentures, we may be unable to meet this goal.

· Reliance on Financing: To date, we have chosen to finance our business almost entirely through the issuance of debt, including debt incurred by our subsidiary under a senior revolving credit facility. Our business model expects that we will have continued access to financing in order to purchase a large and diversified portfolio of life insurance policies, pay the attendant premiums and costs of maintaining the portfolio. Our strategy is to build a profitable and larger portfolio of policies that is diversified in terms of insurance carriers and the medical conditions of insureds. We believe that diversification among insurers and medical conditions will lower our overall risk exposure, and that a larger number of policies (diversification in overall number) will provide our portfolio with greater actuarial stability. See “Business—Our Portfolio and Operations” below for additional information. See also, “We may not be able to raise the capital we are seeking in this offering ...” in the “Risk Factors” section of this prospectus, page 22.

· Risk of Investment in Life Insurance Policies: Our investments in life insurance policies have inherent risks, including fraud and legal challenges to the validity of the policies, as well as the possibility of misleading information provided by the seller of the policy.

· Effects of Regulation: Our business is subject to state regulation, and changes in state laws and regulations governing our business, or changes in

the interpretation of such laws and regulations, could negatively affect our business.

7

---

## Corporate Organization

Our business was organized in February 2006. As a parent holding company, GWG Holdings was incorporated on March 19, 2008. Our principal executive offices are located at 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402 and our telephone number is (612) 746-1944. Our website address is [www.gwglife.com](http://www.gwglife.com). The information on or accessible through our website is not part of this prospectus.

On June 10, 2011, GWG Holdings converted from a Delaware limited liability company to a Delaware corporation through the filing of statutory articles of conversion. In connection with the conversion, each class of limited liability company membership interests in GWG Holdings, LLC was converted into shares of common stock of GWG Holdings, Inc. Our corporate structure, including our principal subsidiaries, is as follows:

GWG Life Settlements, LLC (Delaware limited liability company), or GWG Life, is a licensed life/viatical settlement provider. GWG Life has fully and unconditionally guaranteed payment of our renewable secured debentures offered by this prospectus. GWG DLP Funding II, LLC (Delaware limited liability company), or DLP Funding II, is a wholly owned special purpose subsidiary owning life insurance policies and is the borrower under the revolving line of credit from Autobahn/DZ Bank. The life insurance policy assets owned by DLP Funding II are held in the GWG DLP Master Trust II. The trust exists solely to hold the collateral security granted to Autobahn/DZ Bank under the revolving line of credit, and DLP Funding II is the beneficiary under the trust. Neither DLP Funding II nor Master Trust II have guaranteed the renewable secured debentures offered hereby. Further, none of the assets of DLP Funding II nor Master Trust II are collateral for the renewable secured debentures, although GWG Life has pledged the equity in DLP Funding II as collateral.

## The Offering

Issuer	GWG Holdings, Inc.
Indenture Trustee	Bank of Utah
Paying Agent	GWG Holdings, Inc.
Securities Offered	We are offering up to \$250,000,000 in principal amount of our Renewable Secured Debentures, or the “debentures.” The debentures are being sold on a continuous basis.
Method of Purchase	Prior to your purchase of debentures, you will be required to complete a Subscription Agreement setting forth the principal amount of your purchase, the term of the debentures, the interest payment frequency and certain other information regarding your ownership of the debentures, and tender the purchase price for the debentures. The form of Subscription Agreement is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. We will mail you written confirmation that your subscription has been accepted. For more information, see “Plan of Distribution.”
Denomination	The minimum purchase of debentures is \$25,000 in principal amount. Additional debentures in excess of \$25,000 may be purchased in increments of \$1,000.
Offering Price	100% of the principal of the debenture.
Limited Rescission Right	If your Subscription Agreement is accepted at a time when we have determined that a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part must be filed with the SEC, but such post-effective amendment has not yet been declared effective, you will have a limited time within which to rescind your investment subject to the conditions set forth in this prospectus. See “Description of the Debentures—Limited Rescission Right” for additional information.
Maturity	You may generally choose maturities for your debentures of 6 months or 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 or 7 years. Nevertheless, depending on our capital requirements, we may not offer and sell debentures of all maturities at all times during this offering.
Interest Rates	The interest rate of the debentures will be established at the time of your purchase, or at the time of renewal, based upon the rates we are offering in this prospectus or our latest interest rate supplement to this prospectus (i.e., any prospectus supplement containing interest rate information for debentures of different maturities), and will remain fixed throughout the term of the debenture. We may offer higher rates of interest to investors with larger aggregate debenture portfolios, as set forth in the then-current interest rate supplement.





Interest Payments	We will pay interest on the debentures based on the terms you choose, which may be monthly or annually. Interest will accrue from the effective date of the debenture. Interest payments will generally be made on the 15th day immediately following the last day of the month to the debenture holder of record as of the last day of that month. Interest will be paid without any compounding. Your first payment of interest will include interest for the partial month in which the purchase occurred.
Principal Payments	The maturity date for the debentures will be the last day of the month during which the debenture matures. We are obligated to pay the principal on the debenture on the 15th day of the month next following its maturity (or the first business day following such date).
Payment Method	Principal and interest payments will be made by direct deposit to the account you designate in your Subscription Agreement.
Renewal or Redemption at Maturity	<p>Upon maturity, the debentures will be automatically renewed for the same term at the interest rate we are offering at that time to other investors with similar aggregate debenture portfolios for debentures of the same maturity, unless repaid upon maturity at our or your election. In this regard, we will notify you at least 30 days prior to the maturity date of your debentures. In the notice, we will advise you if we intend to repay the debentures or else remind you that your debentures will be automatically renewed unless you exercise your option, within 15 days, to elect to have your debentures repaid. If applicable, a new certificate will be issued.</p> <p>If we determine that a post-effective amendment to the registration statement covering the offer and sale of debentures must be filed during your 15-day repayment election period, we will extend your election period until ten days following the postmark date of our notice to you that the amendment has become effective. For any debentures offered hereby that mature after January 31, 2014, we expect that the renewal of such debentures will require us to file a new registration statement. In such a case, the new registration statement must be declared effective before we will be able to renew your debenture. In this event, if the new registration statement has not yet been filed or become effective, we will extend your election period until ten days following the date of our notice to you that the new registration statement has become effective, which notice will include a new prospectus.</p> <p>If debentures with similar terms are not being offered at the time of renewal, the interest rate upon renewal will be (a) the rate specified by us in writing on or before the maturity date or (b) if no such rate is specified, the rate of your existing debentures. Accordingly, you should understand that the interest rate offered upon renewal may differ from the interest rate applicable to your debentures prior to maturity. See “Description of the Debentures—Renewal or Redemption on Maturity.”</p>



Call and Redemption Prior to Maturity	<p>We may call and redeem the entire outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest of any or all of the debentures at any time without penalty or premium. Debenture holders will have no right to require us to redeem any debenture prior to maturity unless the request is due to death, bankruptcy or total disability. In our sole discretion, we may nonetheless accommodate requests to redeem any debenture prior to maturity. If we agree to redeem a debenture upon the request of a debenture holder, we will impose a redemption fee of 6% against the outstanding principal balance of the debenture redeemed, which fee will be subtracted from the amount paid.</p>
Ranking	<p>The renewable secured debentures will constitute the senior secured debt of GWG Holdings. The payment of principal and interest on the debentures will be:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• pari passu with respect to payment and collateral securing the approximately \$49.3 million in principal amount of subsidiary secured notes previously issued by our subsidiary GWG Life (see the caption “—Collateral Security” below);</li><li>• structurally junior to the present and future obligations owed by our subsidiary DLP Funding II under the revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank (including the approximately \$60 million presently outstanding under such facility); and</li><li>• structurally junior to the present and future claims of other creditors of our subsidiaries, other than GWG Life, including trade creditors.</li></ul> <p>“Pari passu” means that claims for payment and entitlement to security among the holders of debentures, on the one hand, and secured debt previously issued by GWG Life, on the other hand, will be treated equally and without preference. Although the Company has no present intention of causing GWG Life to issue additional secured debt in the future, any such debt issued on a pari passu basis in the future would also be treated equally and without preference in respect of the debentures. Thus, in the event of any default on the debentures (or any other debt securities pari passu with the debentures) resulting in claims for payment or collateral security, the holders of the debentures and all such other debt securities pari passu with the debentures would share in payment or collateral security in proportion to the amount of principal and interest owed on each such debt instrument. See “Description of the Debentures—Ranking” for further information.</p>
Guarantee	<p>The payment of principal and interest on the debentures is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. This guarantee (and accompanying grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Life) makes the debentures pari passu, with respect to collateral, with the approximately \$49.3 million of outstanding subsidiary secured notes previously issued by GWG Life.</p>

Collateral Security

The debentures are secured by the assets of GWG Holdings, Inc. We will grant a security interest in all of our assets to the indenture trustee for the benefit of the debenture holders. Our assets consist primarily of our investments in our subsidiaries and any cash proceeds we receive from life insurance policy assets of our subsidiaries, and all other cash and investments we hold in various accounts.

The majority of our life insurance policy assets are held in our subsidiary DLP Funding II, LLC. The debentures' security interest will be structurally subordinate to the security interest in favor of the lender under DLP Funding II's revolving credit facility. The assets of GWG Life, including proceeds it receives as distributions from DLP Funding II and derived from the insurance policies owned by DLP Funding II, are collateral for GWG Life's guarantee of the repayment of principal and interest on the debentures. This security interest will be pari passu to other debt issued and outstanding by GWG Life. The debentures are also secured by a pledge of a majority of our outstanding common stock from our largest stockholders, which pledge is pari passu with the pledge of the common stock to the holders of secured notes earlier issued by GWG Life. For a description of the meaning of the term "pari passu," please refer to the caption "Ranking" above.

Indenture Covenants

The indenture governing the debentures places restrictive covenants and affirmative obligations on us. For example:

our debt coverage ratio may not exceed 90%; and

our subordination ratio may not exceed 50% for the first four years after our initial sale of debentures.

The indenture defines the debt coverage ratio as a percentage calculated by the ratio of (A) obligations owing by us and our subsidiaries on all outstanding debt for borrowed money (including the debentures), over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance policy assets we own, directly or indirectly, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the cash flows derived from the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month.

The indenture defines the subordination ratio as a percentage calculated as a ratio of (A) the principal amount owing by us or any of our subsidiaries that is either senior in rank to the debentures or secured by the life insurance policy assets owned by us or our subsidiaries, over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance policy assets we own, directly or indirectly, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the cash flows derived from the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted-average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month.

We are required to notify the indenture trustee in the event that we violate one of these restrictive covenants. An “event of default” will exist under the indenture if a violation of these covenants persists for a period of 30 calendar days after our initial notice to the trustee.

The indenture also places limitations on our ability to engage in a merger or sale of all of our assets. See “Description of the Indentures—Events of Default” and “—Consolidation Mergers or Sales” for more information.

Use of Proceeds

If all the debentures are sold, we would expect to receive up to approximately \$231 million of net proceeds from this offering after paying estimated offering and related expenses and after paying our estimated average selling commissions, dealer manager fees, accountable and non-accountable expense allowances, wholesale commissions and our offering expenses. If the maximum offering were sold and the maximum commissions, fees and allowances were paid, the proceeds to us would be approximately \$229 million. There is no minimum amount of debentures that must be sold before we access investor funds. The exact amount of proceeds we receive may vary considerably depending on a variety of factors, including how long the debentures are offered.

We intend to use a substantial majority of the net proceeds from this offering to purchase life insurance policies in the secondary market. We intend to use the remaining balance of the net proceeds from this offering for certain other expenditures we anticipate incurring in connection with this offering and in connection with our business. See “Use of Proceeds” for additional information.

No Market for Debentures and Restrictions on Transfers

There is no existing market for the debentures and we do not anticipate that a secondary market for the debentures will develop. We do not intend to apply for listing of the debentures on any securities exchange or for quotation of the debentures in any automated dealer quotation system. You will be able to transfer or pledge the debentures only with our prior written consent. See “Description of the Debentures—Transfers.”

Book Entry

The debentures will generally be issued in book entry or uncertificated form only and will not be evidenced by certificates or negotiable instruments. Exceptions will be made for debentures purchased through, held in, or transferred to, accounts at custodial firms, and for certain other circumstances. See “Description of the Debentures—Book Entry Registration and Exchange.

Risk Factors

An investment in the debentures involves significant risks, including the risk of losing your entire investment, and may be considered speculative. Importantly, our cash flows are restricted under our borrowing arrangement with our senior secured lender. In sum, and subject to certain exceptions, distributions from our operating subsidiaries are limited to an amount that would result in us realizing an 18% annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount attributable to our life insurance policy assets. These provisions will restrict cash flows available for payment of principal and interest on the debentures. For a summary of risks relating to this offering and our Company and business, please see “Risk Factors” on page 16.

## RISK RELATING TO FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Certain matters discussed in this prospectus are forward-looking statements. We have based these forward-looking statements on our current expectations and projections about future events. These forward-looking statements are subject to risks, uncertainties and assumptions about our operations and the investments we make, including, among other things, factors discussed under the heading “Risk Factors” in this prospectus and the following:

- changes in the secondary market for life insurance;
  - our limited operating history;
- the valuation of assets reflected on our financial statements;
- the reliability of assumptions underlying our actuarial models;
  - our reliance of debt financing;
- risks relating to the validity and enforceability of the life insurance policies we purchase;
  - our reliance on information provided and obtained by third parties;
    - federal and state regulatory matters;
- additional expenses, not reflected in our operating history, related to being a public reporting company;
  - competition in the secondary life insurance market;
  - the relative illiquidity of life insurance policies;
    - life insurance company credit exposure;
      - economic outlook;
  - performance of our investments in life insurance policies;
    - financing requirements;
    - litigation risks; and
- restrictive covenants contained in borrowing agreements.

Some of the statements in this prospectus that are not historical facts are “forward-looking” statements. Forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words like “believes,” “could,” “possibly,” “probably,” “anticipates,” “estimates,” “projects,” “expects,” “may,” “will,” “should,” “seek,” “intend,” “plan,” “consider” or the negative of these expressions or other variations, or by discussions of strategy that involve risks and uncertainties. All forward-looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors that may cause our actual transactions, results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any future transactions, results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by such forward-looking statements. The cautionary statements set forth under the caption “Risk Factors” and elsewhere in this prospectus identify important factors with respect to such forward-looking statements

due to the life insurance focus of our business.

We base these forward-looking statements on current expectations and projections about future events and the information currently available to us. Although we believe that the assumptions for these forward-looking statements are reasonable, any of the assumptions could prove to be inaccurate. Consequently, no representation or warranty can be given that the estimates, opinions, or assumptions made in or referenced by this prospectus will prove to be accurate. Some of the risks, uncertainties and assumptions are identified in the discussion entitled "Risk Factors" in this prospectus. We caution you that the forward-looking statements in this prospectus are only estimates and predictions. Actual results could differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements due to risks, uncertainties or actual events differing from the assumptions underlying these statements. These risks, uncertainties and assumptions include, but are not limited to, those discussed in this prospectus.

Although federal securities laws provide a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by a public company that files reports under the federal securities laws, this safe harbor is not available to certain issuers, including issuers that do not have their equity traded on a recognized national exchange or the Nasdaq Capital Market. Our common stock does not trade on any recognized national exchange or the Nasdaq Capital Market. As a result, we will not have the benefit of this safe harbor protection in the event of any legal action based upon a claim that the material provided by us contained a material misstatement of fact or was misleading in any material respect because of our failure to include any statements necessary to make the statements not misleading.



## RISK FACTORS

An investment in the debentures involves a high degree of risk. Before purchasing debentures, you should carefully consider the following risk factors in conjunction with the other information contained in this prospectus. The risks discussed in this prospectus can materially harm our operations, operating results, financial condition or future results. If any of these risks materialize or occur, the value of our debentures could decline and could cause you to lose part or all of your investment. You should review the risks of this investment with your legal and financial advisors prior to purchasing debentures.

### Risks Related to Our Business and Our Industry

Material changes in the life insurance secondary market, a relatively new and evolving market, may adversely affect our operating results, business prospects and our ability to repay our obligations under the debentures.

Our sole business is the purchase and ownership of life insurance policies acquired in the secondary market, which is a relatively new and evolving market. Our ability to repay the principal and interest on our obligations, including our debentures, materially depends on the continued development of the secondary market for life insurance, including the solvency of life insurance companies to pay the face value of the life insurance benefits, both of which will critically impact the performance of the life insurance policy assets we own. We expect that the development of the secondary market will primarily be impacted by a variety of factors such as the interpretation of existing laws and regulations (including laws relating to insurable interests), the passage of new legislation and regulations, mortality improvement rates, and actuarial understandings and methodologies. Importantly, all of the factors that we believe will most significantly affect the development of the life insurance secondary market are beyond our control. Any material and adverse development in the life insurance secondary market could adversely affect our operating results, our access to capital, our business prospects and viability, and our ability to repay our various obligations including our debentures. Because of this, an investment in the debentures generally involves greater risk as compared to investments offered by companies with more diversified business operations in more established markets.

We have a relatively limited history of operations and our earnings may be volatile, resulting in future losses and uncertainty about our ability to service and repay our debt when and as it comes due.

We are a company with a limited history, which makes it difficult to accurately forecast our earnings and cash flows. During the year ended December 31, 2011, we had a net loss of \$(2,826,656), and in the year ended December 31, 2010, we incurred net losses of \$(230,395). Our common equity as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010 was \$948,569 and \$670,473, respectively. Our convertible, redeemable preferred stock as of December 31, 2011 was \$12,661,276. Our lack of a significant history and the evolving nature of our market make it likely that there are risks inherent in our business and the performance characteristics for portfolios of life insurance policies that are as yet recognized by us or others, or not fully appreciated, and that could result in earning less on our life insurance policies than we anticipate or even suffering further losses. As a result of the foregoing, an investment in the debentures necessarily involves uncertainty about the stability of our earnings, cash flows and, ultimately, our ability to service and repay our debt. Accordingly, there is a risk that you could lose your entire investment.

The valuation of our principal assets on our balance sheet requires us to make material assumptions that may ultimately prove to be incorrect. In such an event, we could suffer significant losses that could materially and adversely affect our results of operations and eventually cause us to be in default of restrictive covenants contained in our borrowing agreements.

Our principal assets are life insurance policies, comprising approximately 94% of our total assets. Those assets are considered "Level 3" assets under ASU No. 2010-06, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, as there is currently no

active market where we are able to observe quoted prices for identical assets. As a result, our valuation of those assets incorporates significant inputs that are not observable. Fair value is defined as an exit price representing the amount that would be received if assets were sold or that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability.

The fair value measurement of Level 3 assets is inherently uncertain and creates additional volatility in our financial statements that are not necessarily related to the performance of the underlying assets. As of December 31, 2011, we estimate the fair value discount rate for our portfolio to be 13.41%. If we determine in the future that fair value requires a higher discount rate for a similarly situated portfolio of life insurance policies, we would experience significant losses materially affecting our results of operations. It is also possible that significant losses of this nature could at some point cause us to fall out of compliance with certain borrowing covenants contained in our revolving credit facility.

In an effort to present results of operations not subject to this volatility, we intend to provide additional non-GAAP financial disclosures, on a consistent basis, presenting the actuarial economic gain we expect to occur within the portfolio of life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return against the costs we incur over the same period. We report these very same non-GAAP financial measures to the lender under our revolving credit facility pursuant to financial covenants in the related borrowing documents. Nevertheless, our reported GAAP earnings may in the future be volatile for reasons that do not bear an immediate relationship to the cash flows we experience.

Our expected results from our life insurance portfolio may not match actual results, which could adversely affect our ability to service and grow our portfolio for diversification, and to service our debt.

Our business model relies on achieving actual results that are in line with the results we expect to attain from our investments in life insurance policy assets. In this regard, we believe that the larger portfolio we own, the greater likelihood we will achieve our expected results. To our knowledge, rating agencies generally suggest that portfolios of life insurance policies be diversified enough to achieve actuarial stability in receiving expected cash flows from underlying mortality. For instance, in a study published in 2009, A.M. Best concluded that at least 300 lives are necessary to achieve actuarial stability, while Standard & Poor's has indicated that stability is unlikely to be achieved with a pool of less than 1,000 lives. As of December 31, 2011, we own approximately \$476 million in face value of life insurance policies covering 164 lives. Accordingly, while there is risk with any portfolio of policies that our actual yield may be less than expected, we believe that the risk we face is presently more significant given the relative lack of diversification in our current portfolio as compared to rating agency recommendations.

Although we plan to expand the number of life insurance policies we own using proceeds raised from the sale of debentures, we may be unable to meet this goal if we do not sell enough debentures and financing from other capital sources is available only on unfavorable or unacceptable terms. Furthermore, even if our portfolio reaches the size we desire, we still may experience differences between the actuarial models we use and actual mortalities.

Differences between our expectations and actuarial models and actual mortality results could have a materially adverse effect on our operating results and cash flow. In such a case, we may face liquidity problems, including difficulties servicing our remaining portfolio of policies and servicing our outstanding debt obligations owed under our revolving credit facility, our subsidiary secured notes, and the debentures. Continued or material failures to meet our expected results could decrease the attractiveness of our debentures or other securities in the eyes of potential investors, making it even more difficult to obtain capital needed to both service our portfolio, grow the portfolio to obtain desired diversification, and service our existing debt.

We rely on debt financing for our business and in particular on our access to liquidity under a revolving credit facility. Any inability to borrow under the revolving credit facility could adversely affect our business operations and our ability to satisfy our obligations under the debentures.

To date, we have chosen to finance our business almost entirely through the issuance of debt, including debt incurred by our subsidiary DLP Funding II under a senior revolving credit facility provided by Autobahn/DZ Bank (which we refer to throughout this prospectus as our "revolving credit facility"). This revolving credit facility is secured by all of

the assets of DLP Funding II, has a maximum amount of \$100 million, and the outstanding balance at December 31, 2011 was approximately \$60 million. Obligations under the revolving credit facility have a scheduled maturity date of July 15, 2013.

Our business model expects that we will have continued access to financing in order to purchase a large and diversified portfolio of life insurance policies, pay the attendant premiums and costs of maintaining the portfolio, all while satisfying our current interest and principal repayment obligations under our revolving credit facility, our other indebtedness and the debentures. In particular, and in light of the fact that we do not presently expect to begin receiving cash inflows from policy benefits exceeding our premium obligations until 2014, we expect to refinance our revolving credit facility, either through renewal or replacement, when it comes due in July 15, 2013. Pending the due date or refinancing of our revolving credit facility, we expect that proceeds from our life insurance policies will first be used to satisfy our obligations under that facility, as required by the revolving loan agreement. Accordingly, until we achieve cash flows derived from the portfolio of life insurance policy benefits, we expect to rely on debt to satisfy our ongoing financing and liquidity needs, including the costs associated with the ongoing offer and sale of the debentures. Nevertheless, continued access to financing and liquidity under the revolving credit facility or otherwise is not guaranteed. If we are unable to borrow under the revolving credit facility or otherwise (including, without limitation, borrowing under this offering) for any reason, or to renew or replace the revolving credit facility when it comes due in July 2013, our business would be adversely impacted as well as our ability to service and repay our obligations.

Our investments in life insurance policies have inherent risks, including fraud and legal challenges to the validity of the policies, which we will be unable to eliminate and which may adversely affect our results of operations.

When we purchase a life insurance policy, we underwrite the purchase of the policy to mitigate risks associated with insurance fraud and other legal challenges to the validity of the life insurance policy. To the extent that the insured is not aware of the existence of the policy, the insured him or herself does not exist, or the insurance company does not recognize the policy, the insurance company may cancel or rescind the policy thereby causing the loss of an investment in a policy. In addition, if medical records have been altered in such a way as to shorten a related life expectancy report, this may cause us to overpay for the related policy. Finally, we may experience legal challenges from insurance companies claiming that the insured failed to have an insurable interest at the time the policy was originally purchased or that the policy owner made fraudulent disclosures to the insurer at the time the policy was purchased (e.g., disclosures pertaining to the health status of the insured or the existence or sources of premium financing), or challenges from the beneficiaries of an insurance policy claiming the sale was invalid upon mortality of the insured. To mitigate these risks, we require a current verification of coverage from the insurance company, complete thorough due diligence on the insured and accompanying medical records, review the life insurance policy application, require a policy to have been in force for at least two years before purchasing, and require a legal review of any premium financing associated with the life insurance policy to insure insurable interest existed. Nevertheless, we do not expect that these steps will eliminate the risk of fraud or legal challenges to the life insurance policies we purchase. Furthermore, changes in laws or regulations, or the interpretation of existing laws or regulations, may prove our current due-diligence and risk-mitigation efforts inadequate for us to have confidence that our portfolio of life insurance policies are unlikely to be successfully challenged or to purchase new policies with such confidence. If a significant face amount of policies were invalidated for reasons of fraud or any other reason, our results of operations would be adversely affected, perhaps materially.

Every acquisition of a life insurance policy necessarily requires us to materially rely on information provided or obtained by third parties. Any misinformation or negligence in the course of obtaining material information could materially and adversely affect the value of the policies we own.

The acquisition of each life insurance policy is negotiated based on variables that are particular facts unique to the life insurance policy itself and the health of the insured. The facts we obtain about the policies and the insured at the time at which the policy was applied for and obtained are based on factual representations made to the insurance company by the insured, and the facts the insurance company independently obtains in the course of its own due-diligence examination, such as facts concerning the health of the insured and whether or not there is an insurable interest present

at the inception of each policy was issued. Any misinformation or negligence in the course of obtaining or supplying material information relating to the insurance policy or the insured could ultimately materially and adversely impact the value of the life insurance policies we own.

Our business is subject to state regulation and changes in state laws and regulations governing our business, or changes in the interpretation of such laws and regulations, could negatively affect our business.

When we purchase a life insurance policy, we are subject to state insurance regulations. Over the past three years, we have seen a dramatic increase in the number of states that have adopted legislation and regulations from a model law promulgated by either the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC) or by the National Conference of Insurance Legislators (NCOIL). These laws are essentially consumer protection statutes responding to abuses that arose early in the development of our industry. Today, almost every state has adopted some version of either the NAIC or NCOIL model laws, which generally require the licensing of purchasers of and brokers for life insurance policies, the filing and approval of purchase agreements, and disclosure of transaction fees. These laws also require various periodic reporting requirements and prohibit certain business practices deemed to be abusive.

State statutes typically provide state regulatory agencies with significant powers to interpret, administer and enforce the laws relating to the purchase of life insurance policies. Under statutory authority, state regulators have broad discretionary power and may impose new licensing requirements, interpret or enforce existing regulatory requirements in different ways or issue new administrative rules, even if not contained in state statutes. State regulators may also impose rules that are generally adverse to our industry. Because the life insurance secondary market is relatively new and because of the history of certain abuses in the industry, we believe it is likely that state regulation will increase and grow more complex during the foreseeable future. We cannot, however, predict what any new regulation would specifically involve.

As discussed in “Business—Government Regulation,” in 2007, the Florida Department of Insurance issued an order for us to desist and refrain from further operating as a life settlement provider unless and until qualification had been made under the Florida law, or unless exempt. In April 2009, without admitting any wrongdoing, we settled the matter with the Florida Department of Insurance. Furthermore, in April 2011, without denying any wrongdoing, we entered into a settlement agreement with the Nevada Secretary of State, Securities Division, for alleged failures to register as a broker-dealer of life insurance settlement transactions and to file a notice of exempt offering for the sale of subsidiary secured notes to residents of that state in 2009-2010.

Any adverse change in present laws or regulations, or their interpretation, in one or more states in which we operate (or an aggregation of states in which we conduct a significant amount of business) could result in our curtailment or termination of operations in such jurisdictions, or cause us to modify our operations in a way that adversely affects our profitability. Any such action could have a corresponding material and negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition, primarily through a material decrease in revenues, and could also negatively affect our general business prospects.

If federal or state regulators or courts conclude that the purchase of life insurance in the secondary market constitutes, in all cases, a transaction in securities, we could be in violation of existing covenants under our revolving credit facility, which could result in significantly diminished access to capital. We could also face increased operational expenses. The materialization of any of these risks could adversely affect our operating results and possibly threaten the viability of our business.

Some states and the SEC have, on occasion, attempted to regulate the purchase of non-variable universal life insurance policies as transactions in securities under federal or state securities laws. In July 2010, the SEC issued a Staff Report of its Life Settlement Task Force. In that report, the Staff recommended that certain types of purchased life insurance policies be classified as securities. The SEC has not taken any position on the Staff Report, and there is no indication if the SEC will take or advocate for any action to implement the recommendations of the Staff Report. In addition, there have been several federal court cases in which transactions involving the purchase and fractionalization of life insurance contracts have been held to be transactions in securities under the federal Securities Act of 1933. We believe that the matters discussed in the Staff Report, and existing case law, do not impact our current business model since our purchases of life settlements are distinguishable from those cases that have been held by courts, and advocated by the Staff Report, to be transactions in securities. For example, neither we nor any of our affiliates are involved in the fractionalization of any life insurance policies.

With respect to state securities laws, almost all states currently treat the sale of a life insurance policy as a securities transaction under state laws, although some states exclude from the definition of security the original sale from the insured or the policy owner to the provider. To date, due to the manner in which we conduct and structure our activities and the availability, in certain instances, of exceptions and exemptions under securities laws, such laws have not adversely impacted our business model.

As a practical matter, the widespread application of securities laws to our purchases of life insurance policies, either through the expansion of the definition of what constitutes a security, the expansion of the types of transactions in life insurance policies that would constitute transactions in securities, or the elimination or limitation of available exemptions and exceptions (whether by statutory change, regulatory change, or administrative or court interpretation) could burden us and other companies operating in the life insurance secondary market through the imposition of additional processes in the purchase of life insurance policies or the imposition of additional corporate governance and operational requirements through the application of the federal Investment Company Act of 1940. Any such burdens could be material. Among the particular repercussions for us would be a violation of existing covenants under our revolving credit facility requiring us to not be an “investment company” under the Investment Company Act of 1940, which could in the short or long term affect our liquidity and increase our cost of capital and operational expenses, all of which would adversely affect our operating results. It is possible that such an outcome could threaten the viability of our business and our ability to satisfy our obligations as they come due, including obligations under our debentures.



Being a public company will result in additional expenses and divert management's attention, and could also adversely affect our ability to attract and retain qualified directors.

We have been a public reporting company since January 31, 2012, which is the date on which our registration statement on Form S-1 (relating to the offer and sale of the debentures) was declared effective by the SEC. As a public reporting company, we will be subject to the reporting requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 pursuant to Section 15(d) of that Act. These requirements will generate significant accounting, legal and financial compliance costs, and can be expected to make some activities more difficult, time consuming or costly, and may place significant strain on our personnel and resources. The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 requires, among other things, that we maintain effective disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting. In order to establish the requisite disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting, significant resources and management oversight are required. As a result, management's attention may be diverted from other business concerns, which could have an adverse and even material effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations. These rules and regulations may also make it more difficult and expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance. If we are unable to obtain appropriate director and officer insurance, our ability to recruit and retain qualified officers and directors, especially those directors who may be deemed independent, could be adversely impacted.

Our historical financial statements contained in this prospectus do not presently include or reflect any of the costs or strains of being a public reporting company. As a consequence, you should understand that our general and administrative expenses will increase as a result of our becoming a public reporting company.

Our business and prospects may be adversely affected by changes, lack of growth or increased competition in the life insurance secondary market.

The growth of the life insurance policy secondary market and our expansion within the market may be negatively affected by a variety of factors beyond our ultimate control, including:

- the inability to locate sufficient numbers of life insurance policy sellers and agents to source life sellers;
- the inability to convince life insurance policy owners of the benefits of selling their life insurance policy;
  - competition from other companies in the life insurance secondary market;
  - negative publicity about the market based on actual or perceived abuses; and
    - the adoption of additional governmental regulation.

The relatively new and evolving nature of the market in which we operate makes these risks unique and difficult to quantify.

Changes in general economic conditions could adversely impact our business.

Changes in general economic conditions, including, for example, interest rates, investor sentiment, changes specifically affecting insurance industry, competition, technological developments, political and diplomatic events, tax laws, and other factors not known to us today, can substantially and adversely affect our business and prospects. For example, changes in interest rates may increase our cost of capital and ability to raise capital, and have a corresponding adverse impact on our operating results. While we may engage in certain hedging activities to mitigate the impact of these changes, none of these conditions are or will be within our control.



If actuarial assumptions we obtain from third-party providers and rely on to model our expected returns on our investments in life insurance policies changes, our operating results and cash flow could be adversely affected, as well as the value of our collateral and our ability to service our debt obligations, including obligations owed to the holders of debentures.

The expected internal rate of return we calculate we will earn when purchasing a life insurance policy is based upon our estimate of how long the insured will live—an actuarial life expectancy. We obtain actuarial life expectancies from third-party medical actuarial underwriting companies. These actuarial life expectancies are subject to interpretation and change. Any change to the actuarial expectancies or the mortality assumptions accompanied therewith that extend the estimated actuarial life expectancies could have a materially adverse effect on our operating results and cash flow. Adverse impacts on the value of our life insurance policy portfolio or our cash flow could in turn impair the value of the collateral we have pledged to our creditors, including the holders of our debentures, and our ability to service our debt.

In September 2008, we experienced adverse changes in actuarial life expectancy estimates by many of the medical actuarial underwriting firms we use with the release of the Society of Actuaries' 2008 Valuation Basic Table. This change in actuarial estimates by the medical actuarial firms had a negative impact on the valuation of our life insurance policy investments and reduced the rate of return we expected we would earn on those investments.

In addition to actuarial life expectancies, we rely on pricing and premium forecasting software models developed by third-party actuarial companies for the valuation of policies we purchase, future mortality revenues, and the calculation of anticipated internal rates of return. These pricing models forecast the estimated future premiums due, as well as the future mortalities based on the survival probabilities of the insureds over their life expectancies. It is possible that the actuarial tables we presently use will again change in the future or that the mortality assumptions will fail substantially to meet actuarial estimates, and that any such failure could have a materially adverse effect on our business.

We rely on estimated rates of mortality for the actuarial assumptions we use when valuing life insurance policies and forecasting the performance of our portfolio, and we also rely on other estimates derived from statistical methodologies for projecting our future cash flows, among other things. If our estimates prove to be incorrect, it could materially and adversely affect our ability to satisfy our debt service and repayment obligations, including our obligations under the debentures.

If we assume we will receive cash inflows from policies sooner than we actually do, we may not be able to make payment on our debt obligations, including our debentures, in a timely manner, or at all. Moreover, a significant discovery that results in mortality improvements among seniors, above historically predicted rates by medical actuaries providing life expectancies, could have a material adverse effect on the life insurance policy investments.

For example, we use a modeling method for projecting cash flows known as the “probabilistic method.” This is an actuarial method that uses a mortality curve to project the likely flow of policy benefits to us, and attempts to reflect the probability that each premium must be paid. Using this method, we have in fact experienced fewer cash flows from policy benefits than projected in the early stages of ownership of our current life insurance policy portfolio. We had expected to receive approximately \$15.3 million in policy benefits during the year ended December 31, 2011, and have in fact received \$4.6 million. This has resulted in greater than expected premium payments, increasing from an expected \$21.3 million to \$22.1 million. Barring significant mortality improvements (i.e., medical advancements relating to the medical conditions of insureds), however, the fact that actual results have differed from the expectations derived from the probabilistic method of projecting cash flows should ordinarily result in greater cash flows later in the portfolio's servicing period.

We update and revise our projected future cash flows each month using the probabilistic method to reflect the actual experience within our life insurance policy portfolio to date. We use the current future cash flow projection to generate our expected internal rate of return on the life insurance policy portfolio we own. We would expect to change our method of calculating our future cash flows only if leading actuarial firms no longer believed such methodology was the most appropriate means of generating projected cash flows from a life insurance policy portfolio. Any change to the pricing model, methodology, premium forecasting assumptions, cash flow projections, or the mortality assumptions accompanied therewith that increase the projected cost of insurance premiums or decrease the probability of mortality could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations and cash flows. Ultimately, this could adversely affect our ability to meet our debt service and repayment obligations, including our obligations under the debentures.

### Risks Related to This Offering and Our Company

We may not be able to raise the capital that we are seeking in this offering, and may be unable to meet our overall business objectives of growing a larger, more statistically diverse portfolio of life insurance policies without the proceeds from the sale of debentures.

Arque Capital serves as our underwriter in this offering on a best-efforts basis. And, while Arque Capital will use its best efforts in the offer and sale of the debentures, investors should understand that (i) there is no minimum aggregate principal amount of debentures that we must sell prior to accessing investor funds, and (ii) we may not be able to sell the debentures that we are seeking to sell in this offering. Consequently, the additional capital we are seeking may not ultimately be obtained.

While we plan to continue the offering in support of our overall business objectives of growing a larger, more statistically diverse portfolio that is more likely to meet our actuarial cash flow projections, if we are unable to continue the offering for any reason we may be unable to meet that goal. In addition, if holders of our subsidiary secured notes were to fail to renew those notes with the frequency we have historically experienced, and actual cash flows from our portfolio of life insurance policies do not occur as our actuarial projections have forecasted, we could be forced to sell our investments in life insurance policies in order to service or satisfy our debt-related obligations. If we are forced to sell investments in life insurance policies, we may be unable to sell them at prices we believe are appropriate. In any such event, our business may be materially and adversely impacted. Accordingly, there is a risk that you could lose your entire investment.

We depend upon cash distributions from our subsidiaries, and contractual restrictions on distributions to us or adverse events at one of our operating subsidiaries could materially and adversely affect our ability to pay our debts, including our obligations under the debentures.

GWG Holdings is a holding company. As a holding company, we conduct our operations through our operating subsidiaries, and our only significant assets are the capital stock of our subsidiaries. Accordingly, our ability to meet our cash obligations, including our obligations under the debentures, depends in part upon the ability of our subsidiaries to make cash distributions to us. The ability of our subsidiaries to make distributions to us is, and will continue to be, restricted by certain negative covenants relating to our revolving credit facility. DLP Funding II is the borrower under our revolving credit facility. See note 7 to our consolidated financial statements. The significant majority of insurance policies owned by the Company are subject to a collateral arrangement with the agent for our revolving credit lender, as described in note 2 to the consolidated financial statements. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund purchases and premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under its revolving credit facility. The lender and its agent must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (us) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by the agent. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used to fund repayments or a reserve account in certain amount, before any additional distributions may be made.

If any of the above limitations were to materially impede the flow of cash to us, such fact would materially and adversely affect our ability to service and repay our debt, including obligations under the debentures. In addition, any adverse event at the subsidiary level, such as a declaration of bankruptcy, liquidation or reorganization or an event of default under our revolving credit facility, could materially and adversely affect the ability of our subsidiaries to make cash distributions to us. Just as with a material contractual impediment to cash flow, any such subsidiary corporate event would materially and adversely affect our ability to service and repay our debt, including obligations under the debentures.



Subordination provisions contained in the indenture will restrict the ability of the trustee or the debenture holders to enforce their rights against us under the indenture, including the right to payment on the debentures, if a default then exists under our senior revolving credit facility.

The debentures will be subordinate in right of payment to any claims of the senior lender under our revolving credit facility. In this regard, subordination provisions limiting the right of debenture holders to enforce their rights are contained in the indenture. These provisions include:

- a prohibition on challenging any enforcement action taken by a senior lender or interfering with any legal action or suits undertaken by a senior lender against us and our affiliates;
- a 180-day standstill period during which there may not be brought any action to enforce an event of default against us or our affiliates unless our revolving credit facility has been repaid in full, which period may be extended if the credit facility provider takes action during such standstill period; and
- a prohibition on filing a bankruptcy or insolvency case against us or our affiliates for at least one year plus one day after the revolving credit facility lender has been paid in full.

Furthermore, in the event of a default, we will be prohibited from making any payment, direct or indirect (whether for interest, principal, as a result of any redemption or repayment at maturity, on default, or otherwise), on the debentures and any other indebtedness, and neither the holders of the debentures nor the trustee will have the right, directly or indirectly, to sue to enforce the indenture or the debentures, if a default or event of default under any senior credit facility has occurred and is continuing, or if any default or event of default under any senior credit facility would result from such payment. This payment restriction will generally remain in effect unless and until: (i) the default and event of default respecting the senior credit facility has been cured or waived or has ceased to exist; and (ii) the end of the period commencing on the date the indenture trustee receives written notice of default from a holder of such credit facility and ending on the earlier of (1) the indenture trustee's receipt of a valid waiver of default from the holder of a credit facility; or (2) the indenture trustee's receipt of a written notice from the holder of a credit facility terminating the payment blockage period.

Other provisions of the indenture permit the trustee to take action to enforce the right of debenture holders to payment after 179 days have passed since the trustee's receipt of notice of default from the senior lender, but in such case any funds paid as a result of any such suit or enforcement action shall be applied toward the senior credit facility until the facility is indefeasibly paid in full before being applied to the debentures. These subordination provisions present the risk that, upon any default by us on obligations owed under our senior debt, the holders of the debentures will be unable to enforce their right to payment.

If the 180-day standstill period noted above or any other limitation on the rights of the trustee or debenture holders to assert their rights to payment of principal or interest under the indenture or debentures is ultimately determined to conflict with provisions of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (most notably sections 316(b) and 317(a) of that Act), then the trustee, as well as any holder who shall not have earlier consented to such subordination provisions, shall (notwithstanding such provision contained in the indenture) be authorized to institute a lawsuit for the enforcement of any payment of principal or interest after their respective due dates.

The collateral granted as security for our obligations under the debentures may be insufficient to repay the debentures upon an event of default.

While the debentures will be guaranteed by GWG Life and rank *pari passu* with our outstanding subsidiary secured notes with respect to collateral security, the debentures will be structurally subordinate to all obligations of any of our

subsidiaries other than GWG Life, including DLP Funding II. Importantly in this regard, DLP Funding II owns the vast majority of our life insurance policies. Moreover, trade payables of our operating subsidiaries other than GWG Life will be structurally senior to the debentures. This means that holders of the debentures will have a junior position to the claims of creditors, including trade creditors, of such other operating subsidiaries on their assets and earnings.



The debentures will also be effectively subordinate to all senior secured debt we have or may incur, to the extent of the value of the assets securing that debt. Importantly, as the issuer of the debentures which has granted a general security interest in its assets as collateral security for its obligations under the debentures, GWG Holdings' most significant assets are cash and its investment in subsidiaries. GWG Holdings' total assets at December 31, 2011 were approximately \$18.8 million, of which approximately \$17.0 million was its investment in subsidiaries. Because GWG Holdings is a guarantor of amounts owing under our revolving credit line (the outstanding principal amount of which was \$60 million at December 31, 2011), this amount of assets is presently insufficient to provide certain security for the debentures. Moreover, while the indenture governing the debentures limits the amount of debt we and our subsidiaries can incur (through the debt coverage ratio covenant contained in Section 6.1 of the indenture), the indenture permits us and our subsidiaries to incur secured debt (subject to the debt coverage ratio) that may be senior to the debentures. For more information relating to the debt coverage ratio, please refer to the risk factor below captioned "Because we intend to hold our life insurance policies to their maturity," page 25.

As indicated above, as of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$60 million of outstanding secured indebtedness under our revolving credit facility that is senior to the debentures. For a description of the ranking of the debentures, see "Description of Debentures—Ranking" in this prospectus. In addition, the guarantee and associated grant of collateral security by GWG Life for our obligations under the debentures may offer security that is insufficient to provide certain security for the debentures. Like GWG Holdings, GWG Life's most significant asset is its investment in its subsidiaries (in this case, DLP Funding II). GWG Life's total assets at December 31, 2011 were approximately \$67.3 million, of which approximately \$61.3 million was its investment in subsidiaries.

Because of the foregoing, and because of the fact that 94% of our life insurance policies representing approximately 96% of the face value of our life insurance policy benefits as of December 31, 2011 are held in our DLP Funding II subsidiary (and all of those assets serve as collateral security for our obligations under the revolving credit facility), debenture holders risk the possibility that the collateral security we have granted for our obligations under the debentures may be insufficient to repay the debentures upon an event of default.

If a significant number of holders of our short-term subsidiary secured notes demand repayment of those notes instead of renewing them, and at such time we have not raised sufficient capital in this offering (and do not otherwise have access to sufficient capital), we may be forced to liquidate some of our life insurance policy assets, which could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations.

Our direct and wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, has issued and outstanding approximately \$49.3 million in subsidiary secured notes as of December 31, 2011. By virtue of GWG Life's full and unconditional guarantee of obligations under the debentures, and other agreements contained in or made in connection with the indenture, the debentures are pari passu in right of payment and collateral with such subsidiary secured notes. The indenture for the debentures, and the note issuance and security agreement for the subsidiary secured notes, each provide for cross defaults upon an event of default under the provisions of the other agreement (i.e., an event of default under the note issuance and security agreement will constitute an event of default under the indenture for the debentures, and vice versa).

As of December 31, 2011, we had the following principal amount of subsidiary secured notes due during the referenced years ended December 31:

2012	\$28,507,000
2013	8,819,000
2014	1,832,000
2015	4,174,000
2016	1,161,000

2017	4,085,000
Thereafter	754,000
Total	\$49,332,000

The renewal terms of the subsidiary secured notes have auto-renewal features. Since we first issued our subsidiary secured notes, we have experienced \$50,218,408 in maturities, of which \$38,690,973 has renewed for an additional term as of December 31, 2011. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 77% for investments in our subsidiary secured notes. Since we filed the registration statement relating to the debentures in June 2011, we have experienced \$16,230,410 in maturities, of which \$13,732,514 has renewed for an additional term in the quarter ending December 31, 2011. This has provided us with a renewal rate of 85% for the quarter ending December 31, 2011.

If investors holding existing indebtedness with short-term maturities do not elect to renew and we do not, at such time, have access to sufficient capital or have not raised sufficient capital in this offering, we may need to liquidate some of our investments in life insurance policies earlier than anticipated. In such an event, we may be unable to sell those life insurance policies at prices we believe are fair or otherwise appropriate, and such sales could have a material and adverse impact on our results of operations.

Because we intend to hold our life insurance policies to their maturity, we therefore measure our debt coverage ratio against our current cost of financing, which may not reflect the sale price if we had to liquidate the policies.

We intend and expect to hold the life insurance policy investments until they are paid out at the mortality of the insured. As a result, we measure our debt coverage ratio based on the portfolio's gross expected yield against the interest cost of our total debt obligations to finance the portfolio. The debt coverage ratio, expressed as a percentage, is defined as the ratio of (i) total amounts outstanding on any indebtedness for borrowed money, over (ii) the net present asset value of all life insurance assets we own, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value is calculated as the present value of the life insurance portfolio's expected future cash flows discounted at the weighted average interest rate of the indebtedness for the previous month. Under the indenture, the maximum amount of debentures we may issue at any time is limited to an amount such that our debt coverage ratio does not exceed 90%. This limitation is designed to provide some comfort to holders of our debt that the value of our assets exceeds our obligations to those holders. Nevertheless, the debt coverage ratio is not based on the market value of our life insurance policy assets, which may be different—greater or less—than the amount we would receive if we were forced to sell those assets in the marketplace.

We have no obligation to redeem debentures prior to their maturity date except in narrowly limited circumstances.

We will have no obligation, and debenture holders will have no right to require us, to redeem any debenture prior to its maturity date. The only exceptions exist for situations in which an individual natural person investor suffers a total permanent disability, a bankruptcy or dies. In any such event, we will be required to redeem the debenture of such person so long as certain procedural requirements are met. Outside these narrow exceptions, we may nonetheless agree, in our sole and absolute discretion, to accommodate requests to redeem a debenture prior to its maturity in other cases. If we do agree to redeem a debenture, we will assess a 6% redemption fee for such transaction. For more information, see "Description of the Debentures—Call and Redemption Prior to Stated Maturity." As a result, any investment in a debenture should be considered illiquid and unable to be redeemed until its stated maturity.

Fraudulent transfer statutes may limit your rights under the guarantee of the debentures.

Our obligations under the debentures will be fully and unconditionally guaranteed by our direct wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life. The guarantee may be subject to review under various laws for the protection of creditors. It is possible that other creditors of GWG Life may challenge the guarantee as a fraudulent transfer under relevant federal and state laws. Under certain circumstances, including a finding that GWG Life was insolvent at the time its guarantee was issued, a court could hold that the obligations of GWG under the guarantee may be voided or are subordinate to other obligations of GWG Life, or that the amount for which GWG Life is liable under its guarantee of the debentures may be limited. Different jurisdictions define "insolvency" differently, and we cannot assure you as to what standard a court would apply to determine whether GWG was insolvent. If a court were to determine that GWG Life was insolvent on the date on which it guaranteed the debentures, or that the guarantee constituted a fraudulent transfer on other legal grounds, the claims of creditors of GWG Life would effectively have priority with respect to GWG Life's assets and earnings over the claims of the holders of the debentures.

Our controlling stockholders and principal executives are involved in a litigation "clawback" claim made by a bankruptcy trustee to an affiliate, and it is possible that the trustee may assert claims against our company.

Our Chief Executive Officer, Jon R. Sabes and Chief Operating Officer, Steven F. Sabes, who together beneficially own approximately 86.1% of our common stock, are subject to litigation relating to claims by a bankruptcy trustee for loan payments made to an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC. Such payments may ultimately be deemed to be avoidable transfers under preference or other legal theories. Case No. 08-45257 (U.S. Bankruptcy Court District of Minnesota). In addition, GWG Holdings invested \$1 million in Opportunity Finance, LLC in 2006 and was repaid and received \$176,948 of interest income from that investment in 2007. To date, no claim has been made against GWG Holdings.

While we believe there are numerous meritorious defenses to the claims made by the bankruptcy trustee, and we are advised that the defendants in that action will vigorously defend against the trustee's claims, such defendants may not prevail in the litigation with the bankruptcy trustee. If the bankruptcy trustee sought to sell or transfer the equity interests of Jon R. Sabes or Steven F. Sabes as a result of the litigation, there could be a change in control of the Company and our business together with all of our investors, including investors in our debentures, could be materially and adversely impacted. Such adverse results would likely arise in connection with negative change-in-control covenants contained in our revolving credit facility agreements, the breach of those covenants and an ensuing event of default under such facility. In addition, if the bankruptcy trustee sought to sell or transfer the equity interests of Jon R. Sabes or Steven F. Sabes as a result of the litigation, such transfers would adversely affect debenture holders by reducing the number of shares of common stock of GWG Holdings that have been pledged as collateral security for our obligations under the debentures. Finally, regardless of the outcome of this litigation, these matters are likely to distract management and reduce the time and attention that they are able to devote to our business.

We have no obligation to contribute to a sinking fund to retire the debentures, nor are the debentures guaranteed by any governmental agency.

We have no obligation to contribute funds to a sinking fund to repay principal or interest on the debentures upon maturity or default. The debentures are not certificates of deposit or similar obligations of, or guaranteed by, any depository institution. Further, no governmental entity insures or guarantees payment on the debentures if we do not have enough funds to make principal or interest payments.

The loss of the services of our current executives or other key employees, or the failure to attract additional key individuals, would materially adversely affect our business operations and prospects.

Our financial success is dependent to a significant degree upon the efforts of our current executive officers and other key employees. In addition, our revolving credit facility requires Messrs. Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes to generally remain active within the business. We have entered into employment agreements with Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, Paul A. Siegert and Jon Gangelhoff. Nevertheless, there can be no assurance that these individuals will continue to provide services to us. A voluntary or involuntary termination of employment could have an adverse effect on our business operations if we were not able to attract qualified replacements in a timely manner. At present, we do not maintain key-man life insurance policies for any of these individuals. In addition, our success and viability is also dependent to a significant extent upon our ability to attract and retain qualified personnel in all areas of our business, especially our sales, policy acquisition, and financial management team. If we were to lose the members of our respective service teams, we would need to replace them with qualified individuals in a timely manner or our business operations and prospects could be adversely impacted.

We will have the discretion to purchase assets, including life insurance policies, through different subsidiaries, and to transfer assets among our subsidiaries. Any decision to purchase or hold title to assets in one subsidiary, as opposed to a different subsidiary, may affect the value of collateral security for our obligations under the debentures.

We may at our discretion direct the purchase of policies by, and the sale of policies and other assets amongst, different subsidiaries of GWG Holdings as a method of asset and liability management and to attempt to maintain diversification and certain ratios in our investment portfolio. Purchases of assets in, or movements of assets amongst, different subsidiaries could affect the value of the collateral security for obligations under the debentures. For example, purchases through, or transfers of life insurance policies to, DLP Funding II would cause the policies acquired by DLP Funding II to become collateral for our revolving credit facility, whereas purchases through, or transfers of life insurance policies to, GWG Life would cause the policies acquired by GWG Life to become collateral for the debentures. Moreover, we determine the sales prices for intracompany (consolidated) transfers of assets,

including life insurance policies, amongst our subsidiaries. In the case of life insurance policies, intracompany sales are generally priced at the sum of the total amount theretofore advanced on the outstanding policies as of the date of transfer. These purchase prices will ordinarily not be equivalent to the fair market value of the policies at the time of the transfer. Accordingly, purchases of assets such as life insurance policies through, or transfers of such assets to, different subsidiaries may affect the value of collateral security for different classes of holders of our debt, including the debentures. In the case of a liquidation, any of these discretionary decisions may affect the value of and amount you may ultimately be entitled to receive with respect to your debentures.

We do not expect a market to exist that will enable you to sell your debentures.

Although the Company is a public reporting company that files information with the SEC, the debentures will not be readily resalable or transferable. No public market for the debentures exists and none is expected to develop. As a result, the transferability of the debentures will be limited. Accordingly, the purchase of debentures is not suitable for investors desiring liquidity at any time prior to the maturity of the debentures.

We cannot know the tax implications of an investment in the debentures for the debenture holder.

The section of this prospectus entitled “Material Federal Income Tax Considerations” sets forth a summary of federal income tax consequences to the purchasers of the debentures. No information is provided concerning tax consequences under any other federal, state, local or foreign laws that may apply to the purchasers of the debentures. Prospective investors or their representatives should read that section very carefully in order to properly evaluate the federal income tax risks of an investment in the debentures. Each prospective investor should consult his personal counsel, accountant and other business advisors as to the federal, state, local and foreign tax consequences of an investment in the debentures. Debenture holders will receive an IRS Form 1099-INT in connection with their receipt of interest payments.

The protection provided by the federal securities laws relating to forward-looking statements does not apply to us. The lack of this protection could harm us in the event of an adverse outcome in a legal proceeding relating to forward-looking statements made by us.

Although federal securities laws provide a safe harbor for forward-looking statements made by a public company that files reports under the federal securities laws, this safe harbor is not available to certain issuers, including issuers that do not have their equity traded on a recognized national exchange (or the Nasdaq Capital Market). Our common stock does not trade on any recognized national exchange (or the Nasdaq Capital Market). As a result, we will not have the benefit of this safe harbor protection in the event of any legal action based upon a claim that the material provided by us contained a material misstatement of fact or was misleading in any material respect because of our failure to include any statements necessary to make the statements not misleading. The lack of this protection in a contested proceeding could harm our financial condition.

Advances previously made to members of our executive management and outstanding at the time that we initially filed the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part may be deemed violations of Section 402 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. That law prohibits public reporting companies from extending or maintaining credit to directors or executive officers in the form of a personal loan. Any such violations could have material and adverse effect upon our reputation and business.

Prior to our conversion from a limited liability company to a corporation and the filing of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, we made certain advances to our executive management personnel, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert, that were to be repaid by such individuals upon or in connection with operating distributions to be paid by us when the Company had cash flow sufficient to make distributions on account of their ownership interests in the Company. For further information, please refer to the “Executive Compensation” section of this prospectus the “—Summary Compensation Table,” “—Employment Agreements and Change-in-Control Provisions,” and “—Related-Party Transactions” captions thereunder.

Each of Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert have repaid all outstanding advances, including all interest accrued thereon. However, because such loan advances remained outstanding at the time that we initially filed such registration statement with the SEC, we may be deemed to have inadvertently violated Section 402 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, which prohibits “issuers” from extending or maintaining credit to directors or executive

officers in the form of a personal loan. As defined under the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, the term “issuer” includes, in addition to public companies, a company that has filed a registration statement that has not yet become effective under the Securities Act of 1933 and that has not been withdrawn. Although we believe that the loan advances constitute business loans, as opposed to personal loans, regulatory authorities may not agree with this assessment if the matter is investigated and claims alleging a violation are pursued. On July 27, 2011, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert repaid their loan balances.

Violations of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 could result in significant penalties, including censure, cease and desist orders, revocation of registration and fines. It is also possible that the criminal penalties could exist, although criminal penalties require a related violation to have been willful, and not the result of an innocent mistake, negligence or inadvertence. In the end, it is possible that we could face any of these potential penalties or results, and any action by administrative authorities, whether or not ultimately successful, could have a material and adverse effect upon our reputation and business.



## USE OF PROCEEDS

If all of the debentures are sold, we expect to receive up to approximately \$230.875 million of net proceeds from this offering after paying estimated offering and related expenses and after paying our estimated average selling and wholesale commissions, dealer manager fees, accountable and non-accountable expense allowances. The estimated commissions, dealer manager fees, accountable and non-accountable expense allowances and wholesale commissions of our selling group members aggregate to approximately \$18.125 million based on expected average selling commissions of \$10.625 million (4.25%), dealer manager fees of \$2.5 million (1.00%), accountable and non-accountable expenses of \$4.25 million (1.70%), and wholesale commissions of \$.75 million (0.30%), assuming the sale of all of the debentures. In addition, we expect that our offering expenses, consisting of legal, accounting, printing, mailing, registration, qualification and associated securities offering filing costs and expenses, will aggregate to approximately \$1,000,000.

As explained elsewhere in this prospectus, the maximum amount of commissions, fees and allowances payable to FINRA selling members is 8.00% of the aggregate principal amount of debentures sold. Therefore, if all of the debentures were sold and the maximum commissions, fees and allowances were paid, we estimate that the net proceeds to us, after paying our estimated offering and related expenses, would be approximately \$229 million. However, because we do not know the total principal amount of debentures that will be ultimately sold, we are unable to accurately forecast the total net proceeds that will be generated by this offering. For more information about dealer manager fees, selling commissions, non-accountable expense allowances and accountable due diligence expenses payable to our selling group in connection with the sale of debentures, as well as our own offering and related expenses, please see “Plan of Distribution.”

There is no minimum amount of debentures that must be sold before we access investor funds. The exact amount of proceeds we receive may vary considerably depending on a variety of factors, including how long the debentures are offered.

Our goal is to use a majority of the net proceeds from this offering to purchase additional life insurance policies in the secondary market. The amount of proceeds we apply towards purchasing additional life insurance policies will depend, among other things, on how long the debentures are offered, the amount of net proceeds that we receive from the sale of debentures being offered, the existence and timing of opportunities to expand our portfolio of insurance policy assets, our cash needs for certain other expenditures (summarized below) we anticipate incurring in connection with this offering and in connection with our business, and the availability of other sources of cash (e.g., our revolving credit facility). These certain other expenditures, listed in order of priority, include:

- paying premiums on life insurance policy assets we own;
- paying principal at maturity, interest and fees to our lenders, including under our revolving credit facility, the subsidiary secured notes, and the debentures; and paying fees and expenses of the trustees of certain trusts associated with our subsidiary secured notes and the debentures; and
- providing funds for portfolio operations and working capital purposes.

Funds for portfolio operations and working capital include, but are not limited to, expenditures such as obtaining life expectancy reports, mortality tracking and legal and collections expenses; and general working capital purposes, including, without limitation, sales and marketing expenses, as well as tax liabilities and interest rate caps, swaps or hedging instruments for our life insurance policy portfolio or our indebtedness.

The extent to which we will use proceeds from this offering for these other purposes, and the amounts and timing of such expenditures will depend on, among other things, how long the debentures are offered, the amount of net proceeds that we receive from the sale of debentures being offered, the existence and timing of opportunities to expand our portfolio of insurance policy assets and the availability of funds from other sources, including borrowings from our revolving credit facility and cash generated from our operations. We currently expect to allocate net offering proceeds (assuming the maximum amount of commissions, fees and allowances payable to FINRA selling members is 8.00% of the aggregate principal amount of debentures sold) as follows, based upon various assumed amounts of gross proceeds that we receive from the sale of debentures:

27

---

	Gross Offering Proceeds							
	\$250,000,000		\$125,000,000		\$62,500,000		\$25,000,000	
Net Offering Proceeds	229,000,000	100%	114,000,000	100%	56,500,000	100%	22,000,000	100%
Purchase Policies	178,620,000	78%	82,080,000	72%	38,420,000	68%	10,560,000	48%
Payment of Premiums	18,320,000	8%	11,400,000	10%	7,910,000	14%	4,180,000	19%
Payment of Principal and Interest	16,030,000	7%	11,400,000	10%	5,650,000	10%	4,180,000	19%
Other Expenditures	16,030,000	7%	9,120,000	8%	4,452,000	8%	3,080,000	14%

Proceeds not immediately applied to the uses summarized above will be invested in money market funds, commercial paper, U.S. Treasury Bills and similar securities investments pending other uses. We may also purchase interest rate hedges to lock in our cost of capital, or longevity hedges to lock in our expected return from our portfolio.

As indicated above, we may use some of the net proceeds from this offering to pay premiums on life insurance policy assets we own. Our aggregate premium obligations over the next five years for life insurance policy assets that we currently own are set forth in the table below. These premium obligations do not take into account the expectation of mortality over the periods presented.

Year	Premiums
2012	\$15,299,000
2013	16,020,000
2014	17,090,000
2015	18,443,000
2016	19,865,000
Total	\$86,717,000

Also as indicated above, we may use some of the net proceeds from this offering to pay principal amounts owing under our subsidiary secured notes when such amounts become due and payable. The amount of such notes that we would repay with proceeds of this offering will depend on whether the holders of such notes elect repayment rather than renewal of such notes, as well as whether we elect to use other sources of repayment such as available borrowings under our line of credit. We believe it is most likely that such payments, if any, would relate to subsidiary secured notes that mature within the first three years after the initial effective date of the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part (i.e., the maximum period of time during which we may offer securities under the registration statement). Of the subsidiary secured notes presently scheduled to mature on or prior to December 31, 2014, such notes have an aggregate outstanding principal amount of approximately \$39,157,432 million and a weighted average interest rate of 7.8%. We do not intend to use any net proceeds from this offering to repurchase subsidiary secured notes prior to their maturity.

Some of the outstanding subsidiary secured notes due to mature within the next year may have been issued within the prior year (i.e., less than one year ago). In such a case, we used the proceeds of such debt to purchase life insurance policies or finance the servicing of such policies.

## CAPITALIZATION

The following table sets forth, as of December 31, 2011, our consolidated debt and stockholders' equity on an actual basis and as adjusted to give effect to the sale of the maximum amount of debentures offered hereby. You should read this table in conjunction with our consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto which are incorporated herein by this reference.

	At December 31, 2011	
	Actual	As Adjusted
	(Dollars in thousands, except per-share amounts)	
	(Unaudited)	
Debt:		
Debentures offered hereby	—	250,000
Subsidiary secured notes (1)	48,179	48,179
Revolving credit line (2)	60,000	60,000
Total debt	\$108,179	\$358,179
Preferred stock:		
Series A Convertible Preferred (par value \$0.001 per share, shares authorized 10,000,000; shares issued 1,881,329) (3)	\$12,661	\$12,661
Stockholders' equity:		
Common stock (par value \$0.001 per share; shares authorized 210,000,000; shares issued 9,989,000) (4)	10	10
Additional paid-in capital	8,169	8,169
Retained earnings	(7,231)	(7,231)
Total stockholders' equity	948	948
Total debt and stockholders' equity	\$121,788	\$371,788

- (1) The total outstanding face amount of subsidiary secured notes outstanding at December 31, 2011 was \$49,332,043, less unamortized selling costs of \$1,152,771. The weighted average interest rate of our outstanding subsidiary secured notes at December 31, 2011 was approximately 8.04%, and the weighted average maturity was approximately 1.46 years.
- (2) The interest rate of our revolving credit line floats in conjunction with advances made thereunder. The weighted average interest rate payable under our revolving credit line at December 31, 2011 was approximately 2.25%. Amounts owing under our revolving credit line come due on July 15, 2013.
- (3) In September 2011, we began issuing Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. As of December 31, 2011, we had issued 1,881,329 shares resulting in gross proceeds of \$14,108,772, and issuance costs of \$1,447,496, resulting in a net preferred stock capital balance of \$12,661,276.
- (4) On August 9, 2011, we effected a two-for-one forward stock split of our issued and outstanding common stock. The outstanding share figure contained in the table reflects the total number of outstanding common shares after giving effect to the forward stock split. Unless otherwise noted, all share figures contained in this prospectus are post-split share figures determined giving after giving effect to the forward stock split.

For more discussion and information relating to the retirement of subsidiary secured notes, please refer to the "Use of Proceeds" section of this prospectus.



## SUMMARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The following tables set forth our summary consolidated financial information. The summary statement of operations data for fiscal years 2011 and 2010 and the selected balance sheet data as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements contained elsewhere in this prospectus. This selected consolidated financial information should be read in conjunction with, and is qualified by reference to, our consolidated financial statements and related notes contained herein and the “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” section of this prospectus.

## BALANCE SHEET DATA:

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Total Assets	\$ 129,389,275	\$ 91,050,758
Investment in Portfolio	122,168,524	82,717,562
Cash and Cash Equivalents	1,878,349	1,758,230
Restricted Cash	4,794,302	5,219,009
Total Liabilities	115,779,430	90,889,411
Revolving Credit Facility	60,000,000	37,085,452
Subsidiary Secured Notes (1)	48,179,271	51,798,992
Stockholder Preferred and Common Equity	13,609,845	670,473

(1) The total outstanding face amount of subsidiary secured notes outstanding at December 31, 2011 was \$49,332,042 less unamortized selling costs of \$1,152,771.

## INCOME STATEMENT DATA:

	Year Ended	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Total Revenue	\$ 17,864,880	\$ 8,898,947
Gain on Life Insurance Contracts	17,804,199	8,658,874
Interest Expense	7,860,479	4,427,368
Net Income (Loss)	(2,826,656 )	(230,395 )

## MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATION

You should read the following discussion in conjunction with the consolidated and combined financial statements and accompanying notes and the information contained in other sections of this report, particularly under the headings "Risk Factors," and "Business." This discussion and analysis is based on the beliefs of our management, as well as assumptions made by, and information currently available to, our management. The statements in this discussion and analysis concerning expectations regarding our future performance, liquidity and capital resources, as well as other non-historical statements in this discussion and analysis, are forward-looking statements. See "Forward-Looking Statements" below. These forward-looking statements are subject to numerous risks and uncertainties, including those described under "Risk Factors." Our actual results could differ materially from those suggested or implied by any forward-looking statements.

### Overview

We are engaged in the emerging secondary market for life insurance policies. We acquire life insurance policies in the secondary market from policy owners desiring to sell their policies at a discount to the face value of the insurance benefit. Once we purchase a policy, we continue paying the policy premiums in order to ultimately collect the face value of the insurance benefit. We generally hold the individual policies to maturity, in order to ultimately collect the policy's face value upon the insured's mortality. Our strategy is to build a profitable and larger portfolio of policies that is diversified in terms of insurance carriers and the medical conditions of insureds. We believe that diversification among insurers and medical conditions will lower our overall risk exposure, and that a larger number of policies (diversification in overall number) will provide our portfolio with greater actuarial stability. See "Business—Our Portfolio and Operations" below for additional information.

### Corporate Conversion

We converted from a Delaware limited liability company to a Delaware corporation on June 10, 2011. As a limited liability company, we were treated as a partnership for United States federal and state income tax purposes and, as such, we were not subject to income taxation. For all periods after such conversion, our income will be subject to corporate-level United States federal and state income taxes.

### Public Company Expenses

We filed a Form S-1 registration statement relating to the offer and sale of our renewable secured debenture on June 14, 2011. Upon the effectiveness of this registration statement on January 31, 2012, we became a public reporting company under Section 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As a result, we are now required to comply with federal securities laws, regulations and requirements, including certain provisions of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Compliance with the requirements of being a public company increase our general and administrative expenses to pay our employees, legal counsel, accountants, and other advisors to assist us in, among other things, external reporting, instituting and maintaining internal control over financial reporting in accordance with Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, and preparing and distributing periodic public reports in compliance with our obligations under the federal securities laws. In addition, being a public company will make it more expensive for us to obtain director and officer liability insurance.

### Critical Accounting Policies

### Critical Accounting Estimates

### Explanation of Responses:

The preparation of our financial statements requires us to make judgments, estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. We base our judgments, estimates and assumptions on historical experience and on various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results could differ materially from these estimates under different assumptions and conditions. We evaluate our judgments, estimates and assumptions on a regular basis and make changes accordingly. We believe that the judgments, estimates and assumptions involved in the accounting for the valuation of investments in life insurance policies have the greatest potential impact on our financial statements and accordingly believe these to be our critical accounting estimates. Below we discuss the critical accounting policies associated with these estimates as well as certain other critical accounting policies. For further information on our critical accounting policies, see the discussion in Note 1 to our audited consolidated financial statements.



### Ownership of Life Insurance Policies—Fair Value Option

Our primary business involves the purchasing and financing of life insurance policies. As such, we account for the purchase of life insurance policies in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts, which requires us to use either the investment method or the fair value method. The election is made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. We have elected to account for these life insurance policies as investments using the fair value method.

We initially record our purchase of life insurance policies at the transaction price, which is the amount paid for the policy, inclusive of all fees and costs associated with the acquisition. The fair value of the investment in insurance policies is evaluated at the end of each reporting period. Changes in the fair value of the life insurance policies are based on periodic evaluations and are recorded as changes in fair value of life insurance policies in our consolidated and combined statement of operations. The fair value is determined as the net present value of the life insurance portfolio's future expected cash flows that incorporates current life expectancy and discount rate assumptions.

In addition to reporting our results of operations and financial condition based on the fair value of our life insurance policies as required by GAAP, management also makes calculations based on the weighted average expected internal rate of return of the policies. See "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" below.

### Valuation of Insurance Policies

Our valuation of insurance policies is a critical component of our estimate for the fair value of our investments in life insurance policies. We currently use a probabilistic method of valuing life insurance policies, which we believe to be the preferred and most prevalent valuation method in the industry. In this regard, the most significant assumptions we make are the life expectancy of the insured and the discount rate.

In determining the life expectancy estimate, we use actuarial medical reviews from independent medical underwriters. These medical underwriters summarize the health of the insured by reviewing historical and current medical records. The medical underwriters evaluate the health condition of the insured in order to produce an estimate of the insured's mortality—a life expectancy report. The life expectancy report represents a range of probabilities for the insured's mortality against a group of cohorts with the same age, sex and smoking status. These mortality probabilities represent a mathematical curve known as a mortality curve, which is then used to generate a series of expected cash flows from the life insurance policy over the expected lifespan of the insured. A discount rate is used to calculate the net present value of the expected cash flows. The discount rate represents the internal rate of return we expect to earn on investments in a policy or in the portfolio as a whole. The discount rate used to calculate fair value of our portfolio incorporates the guidance provided by ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures.

At the end of each reporting period we re-value the life insurance policies using our valuation model in order to update our estimate of fair value for investments in policies held on our balance sheet. This includes reviewing our assumptions for discount rates and life expectancies as well as incorporating current information for premium payments and the passage of time. The table below provides the discount rate used for the fair value of the life insurance policies for the period ending:

December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
13.41%	13.36%

The Company engaged a third party, Model Actuarial Pricing Systems (MAPS), to prepare a third-party valuation of our life settlement portfolio. MAPS owns and maintains the portfolio pricing software used by the Company. MAPS processed policy data, future premium data, life expectancy data, and other actuarial information supplied by the

Company to calculate a net present value for our portfolio using the specified discount rate of 13.41%. MAPS independently calculated the net present value of our portfolio of 175 policies to be \$122,168,524, which is the same carrying value used by the Company on its balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, and furnished the Company with a letter documenting its calculation. See Exhibit 99 to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

## Fair Value Measurement Guidance

We follow ASC 820, Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures, which defines fair value as an exit price representing the amount that would be received if an asset were sold or that would be paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions the guidance establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. Level 1 relates to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 2 relates to observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1. Level 3 relates to unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. The Level 3 fair value measurement is the estimated fair value is based on a model whose significant inputs are the life expectancy of the insured and the discount rate, which are not observable and based on limited information on market activity. Although we believe that the Level 3 fair value is predictable based on the fixed contractual terms of the life insurance policy and its premium schedule and face value of the policy benefit, as well as the ability to predict the insured's age at the time of mortality, and appropriate discount rate to apply, all of which are the key factors in determining the fair value of a life insurance policy, we cannot be certain of the ultimate accuracy of this estimate.

## Principal Revenue and Expense Items

### Components of Revenue

We earn revenues from two primary sources.

**Policy Benefits Realized.** We recognize and record revenues upon the receipt of the face value of the policy benefits paid upon the mortality of an insured. We generally collect the face value of the life insurance policy from the insurance company typically within 45 days of the insured's mortality.

**Change in Fair Value of Life Insurance Policies.** We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Life Insurance Contracts. Accordingly, we value our investments in life insurance policies each reporting period in accordance with the fair value principles discussed herein, which includes the payment of premiums for such period.

### Components of Expenses

**Selling, General and Administrative Expenses.** We recognize and record expenses in the operations of the purchasing and servicing of life insurance policies for the current period. These expenses include legal, salaries, and sales and marketing expenditures.

**Employee Compensation and Benefits.** As described in note 6 to the consolidated financial statements, we had notes receivable from equity owners of the Company at each of the balance sheet dates. Effective January 1, 2011, interest income earned on these notes were treated as guaranteed payments to the members and are included in employee compensation and benefits in the statements of operations.

**Interest Expense.** We recognize and record interest expenses associated with the costs of financing our life insurance portfolio for the current period. These expenses include interest amounts paid to our senior lender under our revolving credit facility, as well as all interest paid on our debentures and other outstanding indebtedness such as our subsidiary secured notes.

Amortization of Deferred Costs. When we issue long-term indebtedness, we amortize the costs associated with such indebtedness over the outstanding term of the financing.

#### Results of Operations

The following is our analysis of the results of operations for the periods indicated below. This analysis should be read in conjunction with our financial statements, including the related notes to the financial statements.

#### 2011 Compared to 2010

Revenue. Recognized revenue from the receipt of policy benefits was \$4,203,333 in 2011, compared to \$400,000 during 2010. Revenue recognized from the change in fair value of our life insurance policies, net of premiums and carrying costs, was \$15,649,418 in 2011 compared to \$8,501,322 in 2010. We initially record these investments at the total purchase price paid for policies. We recorded change in fair value gains, net of premiums and carrying costs, of \$15,649,418 in 2011 due to the evaluation of the fair value of the total portfolio of life insurance policies at the end of the reporting period. The increases in fair value were due to the change in the discount rate. The change in discount rate was driven primarily by increasing demand for the types of policies we purchase. Increasing demand during fiscal 2011 was observed primarily from (i) an increased number of purchasers bidding on policies in general and (ii) our own decreasing success rate at consummating purchases (i.e., submitting winning bids) for policies meeting our purchase criteria. The discount rate used for the fair value of the life insurance policies we own was 13.41% for the period ending December 31, 2011 compared to 13.36% for the same period ending in 2010.

Expenses. Interest expense was \$7,860,479 in 2011 compared to \$4,427,368 in 2010, an increase of \$3,433,111. The increase in interest expense was due to increased debt outstanding during 2011, which debt was issued to purchase life insurance policies. Selling, general and administrative expenses were \$8,522,841 in 2011 compared to \$4,722,399 in 2010, an increase of \$3,800,442. This increase is mostly due to a non-cash investment bank charge incurred as a part of our transaction with Athena Securities Ltd. Amortization of deferred issuance costs was \$2,002,511 in 2011 compared to \$746,635 in 2010, an increase of \$1,258,876. This increase resulted from the sale during 2011 of subsidiary secured notes.

#### Liquidity and Capital Resources

Historically, we have funded our operational expenditures for the management of our business primarily through origination fees derived from the purchase of life insurance policies, and we have funded the acquisition, servicing and financing of our life insurance policy portfolio through various forms of debt financing.

The origination fee we charge is generally one to four percent of the face value of a life insurance policy's benefit and is charged and received by us when we acquire the related policy. The origination fee we charge is calculated into the total purchase price we pay for a life insurance policy, but is a separate transaction that is not netted against the purchase price we pay to a seller of an insurance policy. In 2011, we generated cash flows of \$2,429,870 from origination fees that covered our operational expenditures. Profit from intra-company origination fees for life insurance policies retained by the Company are eliminated from our statement of operations. As such, the origination fees collected under our life insurance policy financing arrangements are reflected in our statement of cash flows as cash flows from financing activities. See the sub-caption "—Cash Flows" below for further information. We determine the purchase price of life insurance policies in accordance with ASC 325-30 Investments in Insurance Contracts using the fair value method. Under the fair value method, the initial investment is recorded at the transaction price, including direct acquisition costs. Since the origination fees are paid from a wholly owned subsidiary to the parent company, these costs are not included in the transaction price for our GAAP financial statements. For further discussion on our accounting policies for life settlements, please refer to footnote 1 of the notes to our consolidated financial statements.

To date, we have financed our policy acquisition, servicing and related financing expenditures primarily through restricted borrowings made directly by our subsidiaries. In particular, DLP Funding II has entered into a \$100 million revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank and GWG Life has issued secured notes (referred to throughout this prospectus as the “subsidiary secured notes”). As of December 31, 2011, we owed approximately \$60 million in principal amount on our revolving credit facility. Interest accrues on amounts borrowed under the revolving credit facility at a floating rate the weighted average of which was 2.25% per annum at December 31, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, we had the potential ability to borrow up to \$40 million with a borrowing base of up to an additional \$7.69 million available under the revolving credit facility. On that same date, we had approximately \$49.3 million in principal amount of subsidiary secured notes outstanding. The weighted-average interest rate of our outstanding subsidiary secured notes at that date was 8.04%, and the weighted-average maturity at that date was 1.46 years. The subsidiary secured notes outstanding have renewal features. Since we first issued our subsidiary secured notes, we have experienced \$50,218,408 in maturities, of which \$38,690,973 has renewed for an additional term as of December 31, 2011. This has provided us with an aggregate renewal rate of approximately 77% for investments in our subsidiary secured notes. Since we filed our Form S-1 registration statement relating to the offer and sale of our debentures in June 2011 and through December 31, 2011, we have experienced \$16,230,410 in maturities, of which \$13,732,514 has renewed for an additional term in the quarter ending December 31, 2011. This has provided us with a renewal rate of approximately 85% for that time period.

In September 2011, we began issuing Series A Convertible Preferred Stock to certain accredited investors. As of December 31, 2011, we had received \$13.9 million in subscriptions for our Series A Convertible Preferred Stock. These subscriptions consisted of \$9.6 million in conversions of our subsidiary secured notes and \$4.3 million of new investments. The conversions of \$9.6 million of our subsidiary secured notes reduced the outstanding principal balance to \$49.3 million as of December 31, 2011. We used the proceeds from our sales of preferred stock, together with the origination fees we received, primarily to fund our operational expenditures.

As of December 31, 2011, we had approximately \$10.4 million in combined available cash and available borrowing capacity under our revolving credit facility for the purpose of purchasing of additional life insurance policies, paying premiums on existing policies, paying portfolio servicing expenses, and paying principal and interest on our outstanding financing obligations.

We expect to meet our ongoing operational capital needs through a combination of origination fees, unsecured working capital loans, and proceeds from financing transactions. We expect to meet our policy acquisition, servicing, and financing capital needs principally from net proceeds from our offering of debentures, and from our revolving credit facility. Because we only receive origination fees when we purchase a policy, our receipt of those fees is contingent upon our consummation of policy purchases, which is, in turn, contingent upon our receipt of external funding. Despite recent capital market conditions, including a prolonged credit crisis, we demonstrated continued access to credit and financing markets. Furthermore, we expect to begin receiving insurance benefit payments on our portfolio of life insurance policies as the average age of the insureds increase and mortality events occur over time—beginning in 2012 and steadily increasing until 2018. As a result of the foregoing, we estimate that our liquidity and capital resources are sufficient for our current and projected financial needs. Nevertheless, if we are unable to continue our debenture offering for any reason, and we are unable to obtain capital from other sources, we expect that our business would be materially and adversely affected as we are staffed and organized to support a larger portfolio of life insurance policies than we currently own. In addition, our business would be materially and adversely affected if we did not receive the policy benefits we forecast and if holders of our subsidiary secured notes also failed to renew those notes with the frequency we have historically experienced. In such a case, we could be forced to sell our investments in life insurance policies, in order to service or satisfy our debt-related obligations.

Capital expenditures have historically not been material and we do not anticipate making material capital expenditures in 2011 or beyond.



## Debt Financings Summary

We had the following outstanding debt balances as of December 31, 2011:

Issuer/Borrower	Principal Amount Outstanding at December 31, 2011 (\$)	Weighted Average Interest Rate (%)	
GWG Holdings, Inc.	\$0	—	
GWG Life Settlements, LLC	49,332,043	8.04	%
GWG DLP Funding II, LLC	60,000,000	2.25	%
Total	\$109,332,043	4.86	%

Our total credit facility and other indebtedness balance as of December 31, 2011 was \$109,332,043 (the total outstanding face amount of subsidiary secured notes outstanding at December 31, 2011 was \$49,332,043, less unamortized selling costs of \$1,152,771, resulting in a carrying cost of \$48,179,271), which was used to purchase and finance our portfolio of life insurance policies. The fair value of our investments in life insurance policies of \$122,168,524 plus our cash balance of \$1,878,349 and our restricted cash balance of \$4,794,302, totaled \$128,841,175, representing an excess of portfolio assets over secured indebtedness of \$19,509,132 at December 31, 2011.

## Cash Flows

The payment of premiums and servicing costs to maintain life insurance policies represents our most significant requirement for cash disbursement. When a policy is purchased, we are able to calculate the minimum premium payments required to maintain the policy in-force. Over time as the insured ages, premium payments will increase; however, the probability of actually needing to pay the premiums decreases since mortality becomes more likely. These scheduled premiums and associated probabilities are factored into our expected internal rate of return and cash-flow modeling. Beyond premiums, we incur policy servicing costs, including annual trustee and tracking costs, and debt servicing costs, including principal and interest payments. Until we receive proceeds from the policy benefits, we intend to pay these costs from our credit facility and through the issuance of debt. We presently expect that by 2014, the cash inflows from the receipt of policy benefits will exceed the premium obligations on the remaining life insurance policies held within the portfolio. However, because our revolving credit facility matures on July 15, 2013, we believe we will need to refinance our revolving credit facility, either through renewal or replacement, when it comes due. Pending the due date or refinancing of our revolving credit facility, we expect that proceeds from our life insurance policies will first be used to satisfy our obligations under that facility, as required by the revolving loan agreement. We expect to begin servicing and paying down our outstanding indebtedness from these cash flows when we receive payments from the policy benefits. See “Business—Portfolio Management.”

The amount of payments that we will be required to make over the next five years to cover the payment of premiums and servicing costs to maintain life insurance policies is set forth in the table below.

Year	Premiums + Servicing
2012	\$15,491,700
2013	16,212,073
2014	17,282,539
2015	18,635,715



2016	20,057,963
Total	\$87,679,990

The significant majority of insurance policies owned by us are subject to a collateral arrangement with the agent to our revolving credit lender, as described in notes 2 and 7 to the consolidated financial statements. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund purchases and premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under our revolving credit facility. The lender and its agent must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by the agent. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used to fund repayments or a reserve account in a certain amount before any additional distributions may be made. In the future, these arrangements may restrict the cash flows available for payment of principal and interest on our debt obligations.

### Inflation

Changes in inflation do not necessarily correlate with changes in interest rates. We presently do not foresee any material impact of inflation on our results of operations in the periods presented in our financial statements.

### Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

We do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements.

### Credit Risk

We review our portfolio of life insurance policies to determine their adequacy of bad debt reserves for losses on life insurance policy benefits, and other assets. We expect to maintain the reserves for losses on policies at an amount estimated to be sufficient to absorb future losses, net of recoveries, inherent in the policies. In evaluating the adequacy of the bad debt reserves, we consider insurance company solvency, credit risk indicators, economic conditions, on-going credit evaluations, reserve account balances if any, and company positions. We attempt to manage our credit risk related to life insurance policies typically by purchasing policies issued only from companies with an investment grade credit rating by either Standard & Poor's, Moody's, or A.M. Best Company. As of December 31, 2011, 93.7% of our life insurance policies were issued by companies rated "A" or better by Standard & Poor's. Our overall credit risk is subject to rapid changes that may be unforeseen and could result in immediate increased losses and material adjustments to the allowance or actual losses.

### Interest Rate Risk

Our credit facility is floating rate financing. In addition, our ability to offer interest rates that attract capital (including in the offer and sale of renewable secured debentures) is generally impacted by prevailing interest rates. Furthermore, while our other indebtedness provides us with fixed-rate financing, our debt coverage ratio is calculated in relation to our total cost of financing. Therefore, fluctuations in interest rates impact our business by increasing our borrowing costs, and reducing availability under our debt financing arrangements. Furthermore, we calculate our portfolio earnings based upon the spread generated between the return on our life insurance portfolio and the cost of our financing. As a result, increases in interest rates will reduce the earnings we expect to achieve from our investments in life insurance policies. While we expect to manage this volatility with interest rate hedges to mitigate our exposure to changes in interest rates, our income and business may nonetheless be impacted by changes in interest rates.

### Non-GAAP Financial Measures

We use non-GAAP financial measures when evaluating our financial results, for planning and forecasting purposes, and for maintaining compliance with covenants contained in our borrowing agreements. Non-GAAP financial measures disclosed by management are provided as additional information to investors in order to provide them with an alternative method for assessing our financial condition and operating results. These non-GAAP financial measures are not in accordance with GAAP and may be different from non-GAAP measures used by other companies, including other companies within our industry. This presentation of non-GAAP financial information is not meant to be considered in isolation or as a substitute for comparable amounts prepared in accordance with GAAP. See our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes.

We have elected to carry our investments in life insurance policies at fair value in accordance with ASC 325-30, Investments in Life Insurance Contracts. Accordingly, we value our investments in life insurance policies at the conclusion of each reporting period in accordance with GAAP fair value accounting principles. In addition to GAAP, we are required to report non-GAAP financial measures to Autobahn/DZ Bank under certain financial covenants made

to that lender under our revolving credit facility. As indicated above, we also use non-GAAP financial reporting to manage and evaluate the financial performance of our business.

GAAP-based fair value accounting imports subjective financial market volatility into our financial reporting by requiring management to estimate the value of our assets as if they were sold in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date based upon prevailing conditions supported by little or no market activity that is readily observable. However, we believe one of the key attractions for purchasing life insurance policies is the non-correlated nature of the returns to be derived from such policies. Therefore, in contrast to a GAAP-based fair valuation, we can accrue for the actuarial gain occurring within life insurance policies at the expected internal rate of return based on statistical mortality probabilities for an insured (using primarily the insured's age, sex and smoking status). The expected internal rate of return tracks actuarial gain occurring within the policies according to mortality tables as the ages of insureds increase. By comparing the actuarial gain accruing within our life insurance policies against our costs in the same period, we manage and evaluate the financial profitability of our business. We use this information to balance our life insurance policy purchasing and manage our capital structure, including the issuance of debt under our revolving credit agreement and utilization of our other sources of capital, and to monitor our compliance with borrowing covenants. We believe that these non-GAAP financial measures provide information that is useful for investors to understand period-over-period operating results separate and apart from fair value items that may, or could, have a disproportionately positive or negative impact on results in any particular period.

Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain an "excess spread," which is the difference between (i) the weighted average of our expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and (ii) the weighted average of our credit facility's interest rate. In addition, our credit facility requires us to maintain a "tangible net worth" and "positive net income" each of which are calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis by recognizing the accrual of value at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own, without regard to GAAP-based fair value.

Excess Spread. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a 2.00% "excess spread" between our weighted-average expected internal rate of return of our portfolio of life insurance policies and the credit facility's interest rate. A presentation of our excess spread and our total excess spread is set forth below. Management uses the "total excess spread" to gauge expected profitability of our investments, and uses the "excess spread" to monitor compliance with our borrowing covenants.

	At December 31, 2011		At December 31, 2010	
Weighted-average expected IRR (1)	14.06	%	12.87	%
Weighted-average revolving credit facility interest rate (2)	2.25	%	2.14	%
Excess spread (3)	11.81	%	10.73	%
Total weighted-average interest rate on indebtedness for borrowed money (4)	4.86	%	5.54	%
Total excess spread	9.20	%	7.33	%

(1) This represents the weighted-average expected internal rate of return of the life insurance policies as of the measurement date based upon our investment cost basis of the insurance policies and the expected cash flows from the life insurance portfolio. Our investment cost basis is calculated as our cash investment in the life insurance policies, without regard to GAAP-based fair value measurements, and is set forth in the following table:

	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
GAAP fair value	\$122,168,524	\$82,717,562
Unrealized fair value loss/(gain) (A)	(24,959,685 )	(16,985,394 )
Adjusted cost basis increase/(decrease) (B)	19,298,035	18,871,117
Investment cost basis (C)	\$116,506,874	\$84,603,285

- (A) This represents the reversal of cumulative unrealized GAAP fair value gain or loss of life insurance policies.
- (B) Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing expenses that are not capitalized by GAAP.
- (C) This is the full cash investment cost basis in life insurance policies from which our expected internal rate of return is calculated.

- (2) This is the weighted-average revolving credit relating to our revolving credit facility interest rate as of the measurement date.
- (3) We must maintain an excess spread of 2.00% relating to our revolving credit facility to maintain compliance under such facility.
- (4) Represents the weighted-average interest rate paid on all outstanding indebtedness as of measurement date, determined as follows:

	Outstanding Indebtedness	
	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
Revolving credit facility	\$60,000,000	\$37,085,452
Subsidiary secured notes	49,332,043	53,292,714
Total	\$109,332,043	\$90,378,166

## Interest Rates on Indebtedness:

Revolving credit facility	2.25	%	2.14	%
Subsidiary secured notes	8.04	%	7.90	%
Weighted-average interest rates on indebtedness	4.86	%	5.54	%

Adjusted Tangible Net Worth. Our revolving credit facility requires us to maintain a tangible net worth in excess of \$5 million calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted tangible net worth by recognizing the accrual of value at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own without regard to fair value.

	As of December 31, 2011	As of December 31, 2010
GAAP net worth (1)	\$13,609,845	\$161,347
Less intangible assets	(1,648,116 )	(619,008 )
GAAP tangible net worth	11,961,729	(457,661 )
Unrealized fair value loss/(gain) (2)	(24,959,684 )	(9,310,266 )
Adjusted cost basis increase/(decrease) (3)	19,298,035	11,195,989
Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (4)	23,049,629	9,977,051
Total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth (5)	\$29,349,709	\$11,405,113

- (1) Includes termination of redeemable member's interest prior to corporate conversion.
- (2) Reversal of cumulative unrealized fair value gain or loss of life insurance policies.
- (3) Adjusted cost basis is increased by acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized under GAAP.
- (4) Accrual of cumulative actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on investment cost basis.
- (5) We must maintain a total adjusted non-GAAP tangible net worth of \$5 million to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

Adjusted Net Income. Our credit facility requires us to maintain a positive net income calculated on an adjusted non-GAAP basis. We calculate the adjusted net income by recognizing the accrual of value at the expected internal rate of return of the policies we own without regard to fair value.

	January 1, 2011 to December 31, 2011	January 1, 2010 to December 31, 2010
GAAP net income	\$(2,826,656 )	\$(230,395 )
Unrealized fair value loss/(gain) (1)	(15,649,418 )	(8,501,322 )
Adjusted cost basis increase/(decrease) (2)	8,170,638	9,675,064
Accrual of unrealized actuarial gain (3)	13,072,579	6,246,378
Adjusted non-GAAP income	2,767,143	7,189,725
Adjusted non-GAAP income (loss) of portfolio sold (4)	-	24,837,599
Total adjusted non-GAAP income (5)	\$2,767,143	\$32,027,324

(1) Reversal of unrealized fair value gain or loss of life insurance policies for current period.

(2) Adjusted cost basis is increased to include those acquisition and servicing expenses which are not capitalized by GAAP.

(3) Accrual of actuarial gain at expected internal rate of return based on Investment Cost Basis for the period.

(4) Represents adjusted non-GAAP income from the life insurance portfolio sold on November 1, 2010 owned by GWG DLP Funding, LLC and financed by WestLB AG. This entity was deconsolidated in our GAAP financial statements, but consolidated for our total adjusted non-GAAP Income measurement.

(5) We must maintain a positive consolidated net income, calculated on a non-GAAP basis, to maintain compliance with our revolving credit facility with DZ Bank/Autobahn.

## BUSINESS

### Overview

We are engaged in the emerging secondary market of life insurance. We acquire life insurance policies in the secondary market from policy owners who sell their policies at a price greater than the cash surrender value, but less than the face value of the policy's benefit. We continue to pay the premiums and generally hold the individual policies to maturity, in order to ultimately collect the policy's face value upon the insured's mortality. Our strategy is to build a profitable and larger portfolio of policies that is diversified in terms of insurance carriers and the medical conditions of insureds. We believe that diversification among insurers and medical conditions will lower our overall risk exposure, and that a larger number of policies (diversification in overall number) will provide our portfolio with greater actuarial stability. See "—Our Portfolio and Operations" below for additional information.

The market opportunity for selling and purchasing life insurance policies in the secondary market is relatively new. According to Conning Research & Consulting, the secondary market for life insurance policies grew from \$2 billion in 2002 to over \$12 billion in face value of life insurance policy benefits being purchased in 2008. To participate in the market opportunity, we have spent significant resources: (i) developing a robust operational platform and systems for purchasing and servicing life insurance policies; (ii) obtaining requisite licensure to purchase life insurance in the secondary market; (iii) developing financing resources for purchasing and financing our life insurance policies; (iv) recruiting and developing a professional management team; (v) establishing origination relationships for purchasing life insurance policies in the secondary market; and (vi) obtaining financing to participate in the business sector.

As of December 31, 2011, we owned \$476 million in face value of life insurance policy benefits with an aggregate cost basis of \$117 million. Aggregate cost basis includes our acquisition costs and ongoing maintenance and carrying costs. We have acquired this portfolio through a combination of the sale of secured notes by a subsidiary and our revolving credit facility. Our objective is to earn returns from the life insurance policies we purchase in the secondary market which are greater than the costs necessary to purchase and finance those policies to their maturity. We expect to accomplish our objective by:

- purchasing life insurance policies with expected internal rates of returns in excess of our cost of capital;
- paying the premiums and costs associated with the life insurance policy until the insured's mortality;
  - obtaining a large and diverse portfolio to mitigate actuarial risk;
  - maintaining diversified funding sources to reduce our overall cost of financing;
- engaging in hedging strategies that reduce potential volatility to our cost of financing; and
  - maintaining rigorous portfolio monitoring and servicing practices.

We generally purchase life insurance policies through secondary market transactions directly from the policy owner who originally purchased the life insurance in the primary market. We purchase policies in the secondary market through a network of life insurance agents, life insurance brokers, and licensed providers who assist policy owners in accessing the secondary market. Before we purchase a life insurance policy, we conduct a rigorous underwriting review that includes obtaining two life expectancy estimates on each insured from third party medical actuarial firms. The policies we purchase are universal life insurance policies issued by rated life insurance companies. Universal life insurance is a type of permanent life insurance in which premium payments above the cost of insurance are credited to the "cash value" of the policy. The cash value is credited each month with interest based on the terms of the insurance



policy agreement. If a universal life insurance policy were to lapse, the insured or other owner of the policy would nonetheless have a right to receive the cash value of the policy. Universal life insurance is different from “term” life insurance in that “term” life insurance does not have a cash value associated with it. Rated life insurance companies are those with an investment grade credit rating by Standard & Poor’s (AAA through BBB), Moody’s (Aaa through Baa3), or A.M. Best Company (aaa through bbb). As of December 31, 2011, over 98% of our life insurance policies were issued by companies rated “A” or better under Standard & Poor’s rating system. The price we are willing to pay for the policy in the secondary market is primarily a function of: (i) the policy’s face value; (ii) the expected actuarial mortality of the insured; (iii) the premiums expected to be paid over the life of the insured; and (iv) market competition from other purchasers.

We intend to apply the proceeds of this offering, along with approximately \$40 million potentially available to DLP Funding II under the revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank, of which \$7.69 million is currently available, to expand the portfolio of insurance policies we own, and finance those policies until their maturity.

### Industry Background

Life insurance companies earn substantial revenue windfalls due to the lapse and surrender of many insurance policies. These revenue windfalls have enabled life insurance companies to issue policies with reduced premiums. These two business practices create a profit opportunity for the life insurance secondary market. The profit opportunity is the difference, or “spread,” between (i) the cost of purchasing and maintaining a life insurance policy over the insured’s lifetime; and (ii) the policy’s benefit that will be paid upon the insured’s mortality. The secondary market for life insurance policies has also been driven by the creation of life insurance policy pricing tools and actuarial modeling techniques developed by investors.

According to the American Council of Life Insurers Fact Book 2010 (ACLI), individuals own over \$10.3 trillion of face value of life insurance policies in the United States in 2009. This figure includes all types of policies, including term and permanent insurance known as whole life, universal life, variable life, and variable universal life. The secondary market for life insurance has developed around individuals aged 65 years or older owning either permanent insurance or term insurance convertible into permanent insurance. According to the ACLI, the average annual lapse rate and surrender rate of life insurance policies for the ten years ending 2009 was 7.3%, or over \$750 billion in face value of policy benefits annually.

Owners of life insurance policies generally surrender the policies or allow them to lapse for a variety of reasons, including: (i) unrealistic original earnings assumptions made when the policy was purchased, combined with higher premium payments later in the term of the policy than initially forecasted; (ii) increasing premium payment obligations as the insured ages; (iii) changes in financial status or outlook which cause the insured to no longer require life insurance; (iv) other financial needs that make the insurance unaffordable; or (v) a desire to maximize the policy’s investment value. Rather than allowing a policy to lapse as worthless, or surrendering a life insurance policy at a fraction of its inherent value, the sale of a life insurance policy in the secondary market can bring significant value to the policy owner. The life insurance secondary market often pays policy sellers amounts ranging from two to ten times the value that would otherwise be paid by the insurer upon policy surrender.

According to the U.S. Census Bureau, the population of individuals aged 65 years or older is growing three times faster than the general population over the next 20 years, growing from an estimated 39 million seniors in 2010 to over 69 million seniors by 2030. As awareness of the secondary market grows, we expect that recent economic and recessionary pressures coupled with historically low savings rates will cause many individuals to sell their life insurance policies rather than surrender the policies or allow them to lapse. Therefore, we believe there will be continued growth in the number of life insurance policy owners seeking to sell their life insurance in the secondary market.

As the life insurance secondary market has grown, a regulatory framework has been established to oversee the sale of life insurance policies in the secondary market. Since 2007, there has been a dramatic increase in the number of states that have adopted legislation and regulations. Today, almost every state has adopted some version of model laws prohibiting business practices deemed to be abusive and generally requiring the licensing of life insurance purchasers and brokers, the filing and approval of purchase agreements, disclosure of transaction fees and periodic reporting requirements. The widespread adoption of this regulatory framework by states has brought about standardized practices and procedures for purchasing life insurance policies in the secondary market. In addition, several states have modified their laws to adopt notice requirements for the benefit of life insurance owners, alerting them to the existence of the secondary market before they surrender their life insurance policy or allow it to lapse.

We believe the strengthened regulatory framework, along with the emergence of best practices adopted within the life insurance secondary market, has led to a growing awareness of the secondary market among life insurance agents and financial advisors. We expect this growing awareness, along with the demographic factors described above, will lead to continued growth in the secondary market for life insurance policies.

The secondary market for life insurance policies has also attracted global investor interest because investments in these policies can provide non-correlated investment diversification. The ability for investors to invest in the life insurance asset class comes as a result of the development of life insurance policy pricing tools and actuarial modeling techniques for valuing portfolios of life insurance policies. Standardized life insurance pricing tools and actuarial modeling software, including life expectancies, have provided foundational support for the development of the life insurance secondary market. The appeal for investors to achieve non-correlated diversification appears strong, particularly after the global recession of 2008. The appeal of non-correlation is that the underlying investment return is independent of the factors contributing to economic downturns such as real estate values, commodity prices, and stock market indices. In addition, many life insurance policies represent payment obligations from highly rated life insurance companies. As a result, investors can evaluate the expected risk premium they receive for investing in the asset class as compared to the credit profile of the underlying insurance company. The risk premium offered by the asset class, along with the non-correlated return profile has attracted a large number of investors seeking investment opportunities in the life insurance secondary market. As innovation and investor awareness of the secondary market for life insurance increases, we expect continued investor interest in the asset class.

### Company History

After we were founded in 2006, we developed a platform to evaluate, purchase, service, and track life insurance policies purchased in the secondary market. Our original model was to operate as a joint venture with WestLB, AG, a German commercial bank, with the goal of having the bank securitize and sell the life insurance policies we purchased. During 2006 and 2007, we built an institutional platform to underwrite, purchase, service, and track life insurance policies purchased in the secondary market in conjunction with a \$250 million revolving credit facility we obtained from WestLB. In 2008, however, WestLB informed us that they were abandoning their effort to securitize and sell our life insurance portfolio in light of the global economic and financial crisis. This resulted in a material change to our business plan, as we had earlier purchased the portfolio of life insurance policies in DLP Funding per WestLB's mandate with the expectation these policies would be sold through a securitization. Subsequently, in 2010 we sold the original portfolio owned by DLP Funding.

Since 2008, we have focused on establishing diversified funding sources whose investment expectation is based on the purchase and finance of life insurance policies to their maturity—a buy and hold strategy—as opposed to the securitized sale of those assets prior to maturity. In July 2008, our wholly owned subsidiary GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, or “DLP Funding II,” established a \$100 million credit facility with Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, a bank-sponsored commercial paper conduit administered by DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank, or “DZ Bank.” In addition, our subsidiary GWG Life Settlements, LLC, or “GWG Life,” began selling secured notes to further finance the business.

### Our Business Model

Our business plan is to earn returns from life insurance policies purchased in the secondary market that are greater than the costs to finance those policies to their maturity. We purchase life insurance policies at a discount to the face value of the policy benefit. We base our purchase price on an actuarial assessment or valuation of both the expected mortality of the insured and the costs of maintaining the policy over this same period. Once we purchase a life insurance policy, we continue to pay the premiums until the insured's mortality, at which time we collect the face value of the life insurance policy benefit. We perform the services required for the assessment, valuation, purchase, underwriting, monitoring, administration, and servicing of the life insurance policies we purchase.

We are also compensated for underwriting and purchasing the life insurance policies in an amount typically between one and four percent of the face value of the policy benefits we purchase in the form of an origination fee. The origination fees are incorporated into our total acquisition costs and expected yield calculations. We expect that the

difference between the returns we earn from the life insurance policies we purchase and the cost of financing those purchases to their maturity represents the majority of the compensation we stand to earn.

We believe that our business model provides significant advantages to potential investors, including:

- **Non-Correlating Assets:** Our earnings from life insurance policies are non-correlated to traditional external market influences such as real estate, equity markets, currency, and commodities.

- **Insurance Carrier Capital Structure:** Life insurance policy benefits are the most senior in rank within an insurance company's capital structure, senior even to secured debt holders, with some amounts further protected under state guaranteed funds (typically limited to \$200,000).
- **Asset Diversification:** Our assets provide diversification from many other investment opportunities. In addition, the policies within the life insurance portfolio are diversified as well, with no single insurance company making up more than 18% of the total face value of insurance policy benefits. The fair value of our life insurance policies, as reflected on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2011, comprised approximately 94% of our total assets.

### Our Strategy and Competitive Strengths

Our objective is to earn returns from the life insurance policies we purchase in the secondary market which are greater than the costs necessary to purchase and finance those policies to their maturity. We expect to accomplish our objective by:

- purchasing life insurance policies with expected internal rates of returns in excess of our cost of capital;
- paying the premiums and costs associated with the life insurance policies until the insured's mortality;
  - obtaining a large and diverse portfolio to mitigate actuarial risk;
  - maintaining diversified funding sources to reduce our overall cost of financing;
  - engaging in hedging strategies that reduce potential volatility to our cost of financing; and
    - maintaining rigorous portfolio monitoring and servicing.

We have built our business with what we believe to be the following competitive strengths:

- **Industry Experience:** We have actively participated in the development of the secondary market of life insurance as a principal purchaser and financier since 2006. Our position within the marketplace has allowed us to evaluate over 30,000 life insurance policies for possible purchase, thereby gaining a deep understanding of the variety of issues involved when purchasing life insurance policies in the secondary market. We have participated in the leadership of various industry associations and forums, including the Life Insurance Settlement Association and the Insurance Studies Institute. Our experience gives us the confidence in building a portfolio of life insurance policies that will perform to our expectations.
- **Operational Platform:** We have built an operational platform and systems for efficiently tracking, processing, and servicing life insurance policies that we believe provide competitive advantages when purchasing policies in the secondary marketplace, and servicing the policies once acquired.
- **Origination and Underwriting Practices:** We purchase life insurance policies that meet published guidelines on what policies would be accepted in a rated securitization. We purchase only non-contestable permanent life insurance policies that meet stringent underwriting criteria and reviews. A life insurance policy is considered "non-contestable" once applicable state law prohibits the insurer from challenging the validity of the policy due to fraud. In this regard, state non-contestability laws generally require a period of one to two years to elapse after the initial issuance of the policy before that policy is considered non-contestable under state law. Non-contestability laws do not, however, prevent an insurer from challenging the validity of a policy procured by fraud for lack of an insurable interest (such as is the case with stranger-originated life insurance policies).

- **Origination Relationships:** We have established origination relationships with over four hundred life insurance policy brokers and insurance agents who submit policies for our purchase or financing. Our referral base knows our underwriting standards for purchasing life insurance policies in the secondary market, which provides confidence in our bidding and closing process and streamlines our due-diligence process.

- **Life Expectancy Methodology:** We rely on at least two life expectancy reports from independent third-party medical actuary underwriting firms that include 21st Services, AVS Underwriting, Fasano Associates, and ISC Services to develop our life expectancy estimate.
- **Pricing Software and Methodology:** We use actuarial pricing methodologies and software tools that have been built and are supported by leading independent actuarial service firms, such as Modeling Actuarial Pricing Systems, Inc. (“MAPS”) for calculating our expected returns.
- **Diversified Funding:** We have actively developed diversified sources for accessing capital markets in support of our buy and hold strategy for our portfolio of life insurance policies, ranging from institutional bank financing and global capital markets, to a network of broker-dealers registered with the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority (“FINRA”) who have participated in our subsidiary secured notes financing and our ongoing public offering of renewable secured debentures.

Our business also involves certain challenges and risks, described in the “Risk Factors” section of this prospectus.

#### Our Portfolio

Our portfolio of life insurance policy portfolio as of December 31, 2011 is summarized and set forth below:

#### Life Insurance Portfolio Summary

Total portfolio face value of policy benefits	\$ 476,405,081
Average face value per policy *	\$ 2,722,315
Average face value per insured life *	\$ 2,904,909
Average age of insured (yrs) *	80.87
Average life expectancy estimate (yrs) *	7.8
Total number of policies	175
Demographics	62% Males; 38% Females
Number of smokers	No insureds are smokers
Largest policy as % of total portfolio	2.10%
Average policy as % of total portfolio	0.57%
Average Annual Premium as % of face value	3.21%

\* Averages presented in the table are weighted averages.

We track concentrations of pre-existing medical conditions among insured individuals within our portfolio based on information contained in life expectancy reports. We track these medical conditions with ten primary disease categories: (1) cardiovascular, (2) cerebrovascular, (3) dementia, (4) cancer, (5) diabetes, (6) respiratory disease, (7) neurological disorders, (8) other, no disease, or multiple. Our primary disease categories are summary generalizations



based on the ICD-9 codes we track on each insured individuals within our portfolio. ICD-9 codes, published by the World Health Organization, are used worldwide for medical diagnoses and treatment systems, as well as morbidity and mortality statistics. Currently, cardiovascular is the only primary disease category within our portfolio that represents a concentration over 10%.

45

---

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

The table below sets forth the primary disease categories of our portfolio as of December 31, 2011:

Primary Disease Category	Policy Benefits		%
Cancer	\$24,550,000	5	%
Cardiovascular	110,302,714	23	%
Cerebrovascular	34,985,000	7	%
Dementia	23,885,380	5	%
Diabetes	32,153,000	7	%
Multiple	76,630,000	16	%
Neurological Disorders	12,600,000	3	%
No Disease	61,485,467	13	%
Other	75,113,520	16	%
Respiratory Diseases	24,700,000	5	%
Total Policy Benefits	\$476,405,081	100	%

The primary disease category represents a general category of impairment. Within the primary disease category, there are a multitude of sub-categorizations defined more specifically by ICD-9 codes. For example, a primary disease category of cardiovascular includes sub-categorizations such as atrial fibrillation, heart valve replacement, coronary atherosclerosis, etc. In addition, individuals may have more than one ICD-9 codes describing multiple medical conditions within one or more primary disease categories. Where an individual's ICD-9 codes indicate medical conditions in more than one primary disease categories, we categorize the individual as having multiple primary disease categories. We expect to continue to develop and refine our identification and tracking on the insured individuals medical conditions as we manage our portfolio of life insurance policies.

Life Insurance Portfolio Detail (as of December 31, 2011)

Face Value Ben. Amt. (\$)	Sex	Insured Current Age (years) (1)	Est. Life Expectancy (months) (2)	Insurance Company	Ins. Co. S&P Rating
5,000,000	F	85	62.7	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
5,000,000	M	75	123.1	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	F	81	126.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	F	81	108.8	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
1,000,000	M	83	81.8	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
2,000,000	M	83	81.8	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
3,000,000	M	79	86.4	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	M	83	71.5	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
4,000,000	M	76	114.9	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
500,000	F	84	71.1	Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	AA-
6,000,000	F	81	128.7	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
5,000,000	F	84	62.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

5,000,000	M	87	58.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
5,000,000	M	75	105.3	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
2,500,000	F	85	77.6	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
500,000	M	75	85.2	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
1,500,000	M	82	76.5	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,000,000	M	84	74.3	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,200,000	M	84	99.2	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	M	74	130.8	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,365,000	F	83	119.4	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	79	133.8	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,500,000	F	79	120.8	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,601,500	M	76	109.9	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,500,000	M	85	65.9	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Face Value	Insured		Est. Life		Ins. Co.
Ben. Amt.	Age (years)	Current	Expectancy	Insurance Company	S&P
(\$)	Sex	(1)	(months) (2)		Rating
5,000,000	M	78	128.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
				Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada	
				(U.S.)	AA-
1,000,000	M	76	98.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
				(U.S.A)	AA-
3,500,000	F	86	77.9	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
1,500,000	F	81	130.8	ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
				Company	A-
200,000	M	72	128.1	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
500,000	F	81	127.8	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
4,000,000	F	85	100.5	ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
				Company	A-
1,600,000	F	85	52.7	ING Life Insurance and Annuity	
				Company	A-
1,000,000	F	83	118.7	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
8,000,000	M	78	110.9	Midland National Life Insurance	
				Company	A+
500,000	M	70	89.0	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
500,000	M	80	124.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	M	85	90.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
				(U.S.A)	AA-
4,500,000	F	85	71.6	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A
550,000	M	78	139.7	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,500,000	F	87	79.9	Principal Life Insurance Company	A
5,000,000	M	76	121.0	John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
				(U.S.A)	AA-
1,500,000	M	85	64.2	John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
				(U.S.A)	AA-
4,200,000	F	80	158.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,500,000	M	79	81.4	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
1,680,000	F	78	97.1	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,750,000	M	82	104.5	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	M	83	92.2	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	F	84	83.3	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
750,000	M	81	126.5	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,900,000	M	80	72.1	American National Insurance Company	A
5,000,000	F	84	78.9	Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA-
500,000	M	80	67.5	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
500,000	M	80	67.5	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
				John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
				(U.S.A)	AA-
1,009,467	M	75	65.8	MetLife Investors USA Insurance	
				Company	AA-
4,000,000	M	75	98.5	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	M	85	86.6		

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

4,000,000	M	81	63.6	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
1,000,000	M	81	96.6	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
1,000,000	M	82	71.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
2,500,000	F	86	82.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,500,000	F	86	82.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	82	110.9	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
500,000	M	85	77.7	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	81	138.8	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
3,000,000	F	82	110.6	Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	AA-
5,000,000	M	81	82.6	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,700,000	M	81	78.3	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
250,000	M	80	57.3	Jackson National Life Insurance Company	AA
1,500,000	M	80	131.5	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	M	78	125.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,250,000	F	78	102.3	Principal Life Insurance Company	A
2,500,000	M	75	113.9	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Face Value Ben. Amt. (\$)	Sex	Insured Current Age (years) (1)	Est. Life Expectancy (months) (2)	Insurance Company	Ins. Co. S&P Rating
2,500,000	M	75	113.9	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
5,000,000	M	75	91.8	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
4,785,380	F	85	66.9	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
3,500,000	F	80	105.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company Hartford Life and Annuity Insurance Company	AA- A
829,022	F	82	42.8	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
500,000	F	75	122.6	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
8,985,000	M	85	55.5	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,500,000	M	84	76.7	United of Omaha Life Insurance Company	A+
1,000,000	M	70	122.2	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
8,500,000	M	83	92.0	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,500,000	M	82	82.2	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	F	84	71.1	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	84	71.1	West Coast Life Insurance Company American General Life Insurance Company	A+
5,000,000	F	88	80.5	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,803,455	F	85	77.8	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,529,270	F	85	77.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	M	78	67.5	Genworth Life Insurance Company	A
130,000	M	77	81.4	Pruco Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	88	41.1	Sun Life Assurance Company of Canada (U.S.)	AA-
5,000,000	F	79	106.5	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
2,250,000	M	76	88.3	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
750,000	M	80	77.2	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,000,000	F	87	61.7	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
5,000,000	M	82	99.7	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	M	83	61.2	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
1,500,000	M	82	78.4	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
1,500,000	M	82	78.4	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
4,500,000	M	80	87.2	Empire General Life Assurance Corporation	AA-
1,000,000	M	77	150.4		

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

7,600,000	F	81	127.0	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,995,000	F	79	101.1	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,000,000	F	82	125.9	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
750,000	M	82	44.3	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
750,000	M	82	44.3	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
5,000,000	F	86	80.6	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
5,000,000	M	72	66.0	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
750,000	M	74	144.1	U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	77	117.8	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
4,000,000	M	79	100.2	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	M	85	68.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
1,250,000	F	79	104.8	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
3,000,000	M	78	120.2	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
5,000,000	F	86	51.9	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	F	88	48.0	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
1,000,000	F	88	34.3	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,500,000	F	81	90.5	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
2,850,000	M	71	85.9	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
2,000,000	M	78	110.2	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
715,000	F	86	90.0	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Face Value Ben. Amt. (\$)	Sex	Insured Current Age (years) (1)	Est. Life Expectancy (months) (2)	Insurance Company	Ins. Co. S&P Rating
800,000	M	84	80.0	National Western Life Insurance Company	A
850,000	M	72	98.0	New York Life Insurance Company	AA+
2,225,000	F	85	101.0	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	M	82	56.8	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
1,800,000	M	83	65.0	John Hancock Variable Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	M	74	125.0	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	M	80	91.5	Pacific Life Insurance Company	A+
3,500,000	M	80	98.4	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,750,000	M	75	89.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	M	75	118.5	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,403,000	F	80	122.7	Phoenix Life Insurance Company	BB-
4,000,000	F	82	72.0	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
5,000,000	M	78	70.5	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	F	82	137.4	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
250,000	M	78	90.6	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
3,000,000	F	85	105.6	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
2,000,000	M	71	152.0	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
1,203,520	M	86	65.0	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
200,000	M	84	69.0	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
4,445,467	M	84	78.8	Penn Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,350,000	F	86	84.0	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	86	49.3	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
1,500,000	M	85	59.0	Union Central Life Insurance Company	A+
5,000,000	M	79	90.9	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
7,500,000	M	84	60.1	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
10,000,000	M	78	121.1	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
3,600,000	F	84	102.6	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,000,000	M	84	62.8	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
2,000,000	F	80	117.7	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
600,000	F	87	79.6	Columbus Life Insurance Company	AA+
2,000,000	M	82	88.2	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,750,000	M	82	88.2	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
1,500,000	M	69	134.4	Metropolitan Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	M	84	91.6	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-

Explanation of Responses:



Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

2,000,000	M	72	122.7	U.S. Financial Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,500,000	F	86	80.0	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,000,000	M	77	140.0	Principal Life Insurance Company	A
				Reassure America Life Insurance	
350,000	M	79	79.5	Company	AA-
2,000,000	M	82	54.4	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
				John Hancock Life Insurance Company	
				(U.S.A)	AA-
2,000,000	M	85	65.6	Lincoln Benefit Life Company	A+
3,500,000	F	81	124.8	American National Insurance Company	A
10,000,000	F	81	83.9	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
500,000	M	81	66.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	M	77	102.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000	M	77	102.8	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
3,000,000	M	76	122.6	Principal Life Insurance Company	A
500,000	M	77	96.4	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
600,000	M	74	114.8	Protective Life Insurance Company	AA-
2,000,000	F	75	73.4	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-

Face Value	Insured		Insurance Company	Ins. Co. S&P Rating
	Current Age (years)	Est. Life Expectancy (months) (2)		
Ben. Amt. (\$) Sex	(1)	(2)		
3,000,000 F	78	130.6	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000 M	73	100.2	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-
5,000,000 M	80	103.3	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
\$476,405,081				

- (1) The insured's age is current as of the measurement date.
- (2) The insured's life expectancy estimate is the average of two life expectancy estimates provided by independent third-party medical actuarial underwriting firms at the time of purchase, actuarially adjusted through the measurement date.

### Obtaining Life Insurance Policies

We seek to purchase life insurance policies nationwide. We work directly with consumers to purchase their policies in states where we hold proper licensure, and in states where we are not licensed we work through other licensed providers. Policy sourcing typically begins with life insurance agents that identify policy owners who should consider selling a life insurance policy. The agents typically work with professional life insurance policy brokers specializing in packaging the policies for presentation to potential purchasers. Their packaging includes obtaining medical records on the insured, life expectancy estimates from medical actuarial firms, current insurance policy illustrations, and other information needed to enable potential purchasers to properly evaluate the policy. The purchasers may work directly in the market or through "providers" who represent investors. Once potential purchasers have evaluated the policy, the policy is sold through an auction process whereby brokers facilitate competing bids from purchasers, concurrently negotiating fees. The highest bidder typically wins the auction, but not always. Brokers and agents also consider the track record of the purchaser and will sometimes award the policy to the purchaser most likely to get the sale of the policy closed. This has been one of our advantages, as we have developed a network of brokers throughout the United States who have advised us that they recognize that our purchase criteria and bids are reliable. This enables the brokers to focus on policy referrals, thus filtering out policies they know we will not consider, and maximizing their return on effort to close the sale of a policy.

Our contacts with life insurance policy brokers and life insurance agent, from whom we obtain referrals, have been developed over the past several years through our marketing efforts. We maintain membership affiliations and representation within key industry groups, such as the Life Insurance Settlement Association (LISA). We typically sponsor events and or maintain a trade booth where we are able to maintain contacts with existing life settlement brokers and meet new brokers to submit policies for purchase.

### Life Insurance Policy Underwriting and Purchasing Process

The process used to value and underwrite life insurance policies is relatively new and continues to be refined. We underwrite and administer all the life insurance policies that we purchase. When we identify a suitable client owning a life insurance policy that meets our purchasing criteria, we seek to make a bid that provides us with an expected internal rate of return that meets our internal guidelines. Once our bid is accepted, we enter into a policy purchase agreement with the seller. This agreement gives us the right to, among other things, pay premiums, collect policy benefits, file collateral assignments, change the ownership, and obtain medical records. The terms of the agreement are standardized.

We maintain an underwriting department with experience in underwriting life insurance policies for purchase in the secondary market. The underwriting due diligence process consists of a careful review and analysis of available due-diligence materials related to a life insurance policy and the covered individual. The goal of the underwriting process is to make an informed purchasing decision with respect to the life insurance policy. While we believe that our underwriting policies and practices are consistent with industry best practices, it is possible that the processes may change or may not accurately reflect actual mortality experience or catch fraud or deception by sellers. To the extent the underwriting is not accurate or we are subject to fraud or deception by sellers, the performance of policies may be different from the expected results, which could adversely affect profitability.

### Life Insurance Policy Characteristics

We purchase universal life insurance policies whose insureds are 65 years or older and whose actuarial life expectancies are less than 168 months. In some cases, however, we purchase term life insurance policies that are convertible into universal life insurance policies, depending on the analysis of the life insurance policy and the insured's life expectancy. The life expectancy is the number of months the insured is expected to live based upon 50% mortality (meaning roughly half of the individuals with similar age, sex, smoking and medical statuses will have died within that number of months), which is in turn based upon actuarial studies. We purchase life insurance policies with the goal that the average life expectancy in the portfolio generally will not exceed 144 months. The requirements as to which life insurance policies we will purchase are set forth in the indenture. We reserve the right to disqualify some life insurance companies or categories of life insurance policies for purchasing in our sole discretion.

We purchase life insurance policies that have been in force for more than two years from the policy issuance date and meet our other underwriting guidelines. Our underwriting and business development departments use pricing and credit criteria that are similar to those used by other institutions that finance similar assets. We test the prospective life insurance policies through the use of at least two life expectancy reports for assessing the value of the life insurance policies. In addition, the relevant historical, projected and actual premium streams are reviewed to assess the accuracy of the pricing expectations and identify any variance from projected premium levels, as well as the cause of such variance. This includes a periodic review of the policy's premium payment history and ongoing confirmations of account values with life insurance companies.

### Pricing Life Insurance Policies

Pricing involves an analysis of both the policy and the insured. An analysis of the insurance policy starts with an illustration obtained from the insurance company providing a schedule of level premium payments until the insured reaches age 125. Then, utilizing pricing software now owned by Modeling Actuarial Pricing Systems, Inc. ("MAPS"), we reverse engineer the premium schedule of the policy to determine a premium schedule that provides for the minimum payments required to keep the policy in effect. An analysis of the insured involves an actuarial evaluation of the insured's probable mortality at different points in the future—the mortality curve. This analysis covers the insured's entire projected lifespan using estimates generated by third-party medical actuarial underwriting firms ("life expectancy reports").

In determining the life expectancy estimate, we require at least two life expectancy reports from independent medical actuarial underwriting firms, and we average the estimates of the two reports to generate our estimated life expectancy. The health of the insured is summarized by the underwriters in a written health assessment based on the review of the insured's historical and current medical records. The underwriting assesses the characteristics and health risks of the insured in order to quantify the health into a mortality rating that represents their life expectancy. We average the life expectancy estimates provided by independent medical actuarial underwriting firms to form our life expectancy estimate.

By combining the optimized premiums and the insured's life expectancy estimate within the MAPS software, we generate detailed information, including the expected mortality curve over the insured's total projected lifespan; the expected servicing and related costs over the insured's total projected lifespan; the expected policy benefit paid over the insured's total projected lifespan; the account values within the policy; and the expected internal rate of return we will achieve at various purchase prices. From this information set, we are able to calculate the present value of the life insurance policy by discounting the anticipated cash flows at the sought for internal rate of return using the probabilistic pricing methodology employed by the MAPS program. The price of the policy, or its value, is the present value of the policy's cash flows discounted at our expected internal rate of return. We expect that we will realize an operating profit as long as we are able to acquire and service life insurance policies that generate yields in excess of

our borrowing costs.

#### Portfolio Administration

We have developed a comprehensive administration and servicing platform to administer and service the life insurance policies we own. This allows us to safeguard our life insurance policy assets and to process and report on the assets in our portfolio. We regularly contact each insurance company on every policy we own to verify policy account values, confirm the correct application of premium payments made, and the resulting account values inside the life insurance policy after application of the premium payment and the deduction of the cost of insurance. We typically maintain little account value inside the policy and seek to make only minimum premium payments necessary to keep the life insurance policy in force until the next scheduled premium payment.

51

---

In addition to policy servicing, we monitor insureds by periodically contacting them directly, or their appointed representatives, to confirm their location and health status. We monitor social security database for mortalities as well as online obituary databases. When we are notified of an insured's mortality, we are required to obtain a copy of the death certificate and present it to the life insurance company for payment of the face value of the policy benefit.

#### Portfolio Management

We realize profits by earning a spread between the cost of purchasing and maintaining a life insurance portfolio over its duration and face value of the policy benefits that will be paid upon the insured's mortality. We believe that building and managing a profitable portfolio of life insurance policies is complex, requires considerable technical knowledge and resources, and is subject to numerous regulations. We have developed extensive experience and disciplines to work toward a stable and profitable portfolio. We update our actuarial projections each month for the portfolio based on the life expectancies, premium payments made, and mortalities experienced. These data points combine to provide us with future forecasted cash flows with respect to our portfolio of life insurance assets. These forecasted future cash flows, along with our current financial position, are combined in a comprehensive model that includes detailed assumptions as to interest rates, financing costs, policy acquisitions, and capital markets activities. This comprehensive financial model enables us to closely monitor and manage our necessary capital reserves and future profitability.

We believe our portfolio represents a balanced and stable portfolio of life insurance policies. In order to assess the stability of our portfolio, we analyze longevity risk, which is the risk of the insured living longer than his/her life expectancy estimate. Longevity risk is the single largest variable affecting the returns on an investment in life insurance policy assets and the ability to predict the portfolio's value over time. Research by A.M. Best and others indicates that, as the number of insured lives increase within a portfolio of life insurance policies, there is a decrease in the standard deviation of the value of the portfolio—i.e., the stability of longevity risk increases with an increase in the number of insured lives.

While Standard & Poor's has indicated that statistical credibility is unlikely to be achieved with a pool of less than 1,000 lives, a study published in 2009 by A.M. Best concluded that at least 300 lives are necessary to narrow the band of cash flow volatility in the Monte Carlo simulations, i.e., the same methodology we use to evaluate our portfolios. Our internal analysis of our portfolio, which currently consists of 164 lives, resulted in a standard deviation that is comparable with the A.M. Best measurement for a portfolio of 200 lives. We believe this result is due to the specific portfolio make up of our portfolio relative to the variation in underlying life expectancy estimates. Further, A.M. Best suggests that no one life should comprise more than 3.33% of the face value of an entire portfolio or collateral pool. As of December 31, 2011, the largest face value policy in our portfolio represented approximately 2.1% of the total portfolio. We intend to maintain a well diversified and relatively stable portfolio as we continue to expand our purchases of life insurance policies.

We also believe our portfolio represents a profitable portfolio. In order to assess the profitability, we analyze the future cash flows expected from our portfolio of life insurance policies. The standard practice within the insurance industry is to analyze the timing of uncertain future cash flows through stochastic modeling, or Monte Carlo simulations. We continue to analyze the internal rates of return and spread against borrowing costs represented by our portfolio.

#### Portfolio Credit Risk Management

The life insurance policies that we acquire represent obligations of third-party life insurance companies to pay the benefits under the relevant policy. Because we finance life insurance policies, we rely on the payments from the face value of policy benefits from life insurance companies for revenue collections. We rely on the face value of the life

insurance policy at maturity as the exclusive form of payment.

52

---

The possible insolvency or loss by a life insurance company is a significant risk to our business. To manage this risk, we ensure that 90% of insurance companies in our portfolio hold an investment-grade rating from either A.M. Best, Moody's or Standard & Poor's (with the only exception being for smaller insurance policies having a face amount that falls within a state guarantee). This policy also comprises a covenant under the borrowing documents relating to our revolving credit facility. To further mitigate risk, our purchasing policy is to limit the face amount of policies issued by any one life insurance company within the total portfolio to 20%. State guaranty funds generally guaranty policy benefits less than \$200,000. In addition, to assure diversity and stability in our portfolio, we regularly review the various metrics of our portfolio relating to credit risk. We track industry rating agency reports and industry journals and articles in order to gain insight into possible financial problems of life insurance companies. Recently, some of the credit ratings on insurance companies were downgraded and we will no longer consider purchasing policies issued by these insurance companies. Finally, we will only purchase those life insurance policies that meet the financial requirements and underwriting standards established in the indenture.

Of the 33 insurance companies that insure the policies we own, ten companies insure approximately 80.64% of total face value of insurance benefits and the remaining 23 insurance companies insure the remaining approximately 19.36% of total face value of insurance benefits. We seek to have not more than 20% of our total insured benefits insured by any one company. The concentration risk of our ten largest insurance company holdings as of December 31, 2011, all rated A- or better from Standard & Poor's, is set forth in the table below.

Rank	Percentage of Total		Insurance Company	Ins. Co. S&P Rating
	Face Value Benefit Amt. (\$)	Portfolio Face Benefit Amt. (%)		
1	\$ 83,030,000	17.43%	AXA Equitable Life Insurance Company	AA-
2	\$ 71,744,847	15.06%	John Hancock Life Insurance Company (U.S.A)	AA-
3	\$ 59,686,500	12.53%	Transamerica Life Insurance Company	AA-
4	\$ 48,065,000	10.09%	Jefferson-Pilot Life Insurance Company	AA-
5	\$ 35,585,000	7.47%	Massachusetts Mutual Life Insurance Company	AA+
6	\$ 26,250,000	5.51%	American General Life Insurance Company	A+
7	\$ 21,300,000	4.47%	ING Life Insurance and Annuity Company	A-
8	\$ 14,000,000	2.94%	Lincoln National Life Insurance Company	AA-
9	\$ 12,250,000	2.57%	Principal Life Insurance Company	A
10	\$ 12,250,000	2.57%	West Coast Life Insurance Company	AA-

#### Servicing Agents

We have contracted with Wells Fargo Bank to provide servicing, collateral agent, and trustee services with respect to certain life insurance policies owned by DLP Funding II. In addition, we have contracted with Bank of Utah to provide servicing, collateral agent, and trustee services with respect to all other life insurance policies we own. Wells Fargo Bank and Bank of Utah provide services for certain life insurance policies in connection with ownership and tracking of life insurance policies it owns, including paying premiums, posting of payments (receipts) of the life insurance policies, certain monitoring, enforcement of rights and payor notifications, and related services. We reserve the right to service and provide collateral agent services for certain life insurance policies directly, or appoint additional third-party servicers in the future.

#### Competition



We encounter significant competition in the life insurance purchasing and financing business from numerous companies, including hedge funds, investment banks, secured lenders, specialty life insurance finance companies and life insurance companies themselves. Many of these competitors have greater financial and other resources than we do and may have significantly lower cost of funds because they have greater access to insured deposits or the capital markets. Moreover, some of these competitors have significant cash reserves and can better fund shortfalls in collections that might have a more pronounced impact on companies such as ours. They also have greater market share. In the event that the life insurance companies make a significant effort to compete against the business, we would experience significant challenges with our business model.

Competition can take many forms, including the pricing of the financing, transaction structuring, timeliness and responsiveness in processing a seller's application and customer service. Some of the competitors may outperform us in these areas. Some competitors target the same type of life insurance clients as we do and generally have operated in the markets we service for a longer period of time. Increased competition may result in increased costs of purchasing policies, or it may affect the availability and quality of policies that are available for our purchase. These factors could adversely affect our profitability by reducing our return on investment or increasing our risk.

## Government Regulation

The life insurance sector is highly regulated at both the federal and state levels. We are subject to federal and state regulation and supervision in the life insurance purchasing and finance business. There are significant regulations in many states that require us to obtain specific licenses or approvals to be able to purchase life insurance policies in those states. We continually research and monitor regulations and apply for the appropriate licenses in the required states.

Governments at both the federal and state levels have continued to review the impact of the business on the life insurance industry. Moreover, recent federal government actions with respect to insurance companies have increased the federal government's role in regulating the insurance industry. These efforts may affect the number of life insurance policies available for purchase and their attractiveness.

State statutes typically provide state regulatory agencies with significant powers to interpret, administer and enforce the laws relating to the purchase of life insurance policies in those states. Under statutory authority, state regulators have broad discretionary power and may impose new licensing requirements, interpret or enforce existing regulatory requirements in different ways or issue new administrative rules, even if not contained in state statutes. State regulators may also impose rules that are generally adverse to our industry. Because the life insurance secondary market is relatively new and because of the history of certain abuses in the industry, we believe it is likely that state regulation will increase and grow more complex in the foreseeable future. We cannot, however, predict what any new regulation would specifically involve.

Any adverse change in present laws or regulations, or their interpretation, in one or more states in which we operate (or an aggregation of states in which we conduct a significant amount of business) could result in our curtailment or termination of operations in such jurisdictions, or cause us to modify our operations in a way that adversely affects our ultimate profitability. Any such action could have a corresponding material and negative impact on our results of operations and financial condition, primarily through a material decrease in revenues, and could also negatively affect our general business prospects.

Some states and the SEC have, on occasion, attempted to regulate the purchase of non-variable life insurance policies as transactions in securities under federal or state securities laws. In July 2010, the SEC issued a Staff Report of its Life Settlement Task Force. In that report, the Staff recommended that certain types of purchased life insurance policies be classified as securities. The SEC has not taken any position on the Staff Report, and there is no indication if the SEC will take or advocate for any action to implement the recommendations of the Staff Report. In addition, there have been several federal court cases in which transactions involving the purchase and fractionalization of life insurance contracts have been held to be transactions in securities under the federal Securities Act of 1933. We believe that the matters discussed in the Staff Report, and existing case law, do not impact our current business model since our purchases of life settlements are distinguishable from those cases that have been held by courts, and advocated by the Staff Report, to be transactions in securities. For example, we are not involved in fractionalization of any life insurance policies, and we do not purchase variable life insurance policies.

If federal law were to change, whether by action of the Congress or through the courts, with the result that purchases of non-fractionalized and non-variable life insurance policies would be considered transactions in securities, we would be in violation of existing covenants under our revolving credit facility requiring us to not be an "investment company" under the Investment Company Act of 1940. This could in the short-term or long-term affect our liquidity and increase our cost of capital and operational expenses, all of which would adversely affect our operating results. It is possible that such an outcome could threaten the viability of our business and our ability to satisfy our obligations as they come due.

With respect to state securities laws, almost all states currently treat the sale of a life insurance policy as a securities transaction under state laws, although some states exclude from the definition of security the original sale from the insured or the policy owner to the provider. To date, due to the manner in which we conduct and structure our activities and the availability, in certain instances, of exceptions and exemptions under securities laws, such laws have not adversely impacted our business model.

State Life Settlement License Requirements

State laws differ as to the extent to which purchasers of life insurance policies are required to be licensed by a state regulatory agency. We may elect to conduct the life insurance policy purchasing only in those states in which we are licensed or where no licensure is required. The licensing requirements differ from state to state, but where they exist, they typically require the payment of licensing fees, periodic reporting, and submission to audit by state regulators. We do not intend to purchase any life insurance policies in any states that require a license or similar qualification without first obtaining such license or qualification or purchasing through a licensed provider in that state.

The table below identifies all states (and the District of Columbia) in which we can do business directly with the seller of a life insurance policy. An asterisk (\*) indicates that the state does not require licensing. In those states identified in the right-hand column, we can purchase policies through our provider relationships with Magna Administrative Services, Inc. and Lotus Life, LLC. If our relationships with either Magna Administrative Services or Lotus Life were to end, for any reason, we believe we would be able to replace that relationship quickly.

States Where We Conduct Business Directly	States Where We Conduct Business Through Other Licensed Providers
Alabama*	California
Arizona*	Colorado
Arkansas	Florida
Connecticut	Georgia
Delaware	Illinois
District of Columbia*	Kentucky
Hawaii*	Minnesota
Indiana	Nevada
Iowa	New Jersey
Kansas	New York
Louisiana	Ohio
Maine	Rhode Island
Maryland	Utah
Massachusetts*	Wisconsin
Michigan*	
Mississippi	
Missouri*	
Nebraska	
New Mexico*	
North Carolina	
Oklahoma	
Pennsylvania	
South Carolina*	
South Dakota*	
Tennessee	
Texas	
Virginia	
Wyoming*	

We are not presently able to conduct business in the following states due to the fact that we neither have a license to operate in that state nor do we have a relationship with another licensed provider in that state: Alaska, Idaho, Montana, New Hampshire, North Dakota, Oregon, Vermont, Washington and West Virginia.

Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act

HIPAA requires that holders of medical records maintain such records and implement procedures in ways designed to assure the privacy of patient records. HIPAA has precipitated widespread changes in record keeping, including patient consent forms and access restrictions in data processing software. In order to carry out the business, we receive medical records and obtain a release to share such records with a defined group of persons. We are entitled to have access to patient information, take on the responsibility for preserving the privacy of that information, and use the information only for purposes related to the life insurance policies.

55

---

## Regulatory Matters

In 2007, the Florida Department of Insurance issued us an order to desist and refrain from further operating as a life settlement provider unless and until qualification had been made under the Florida law, or unless exempt. In April 2009, without admitting any wrongdoing, we settled the matter with the Department of Insurance. Furthermore, in April 2011, without denying any wrongdoing, we entered into a settlement agreement with the Nevada Secretary of State, Securities Division, for alleged failures to register as a broker-dealer of life insurance settlement transactions and to file a notice of exempt offering for the sale of subsidiary secured notes to residents of that state in 2009-2010. We believe that we are in compliance with all applicable laws in Florida, Nevada, and elsewhere, and that neither the Company nor this offering is adversely impacted by the Florida or Nevada settlements.

## Employees

We employ approximately 22 employees.

## Properties

Our principal executive offices are located at 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402. We lease 11,695 square feet of space for a lease term expiring in August 2015. We believe that the existing facilities are adequate for our current needs and that suitable additional space will be available as needed.

## Legal Proceedings

Our Chief Executive Officer, Jon R. Sabes and Chief Operating Officer, Steven F. Sabes, who together beneficially own approximately 86.1% of our common stock are subject to litigation relating to claims by a bankruptcy trustee for loan payments to an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC, received, and such payments may ultimately be deemed to be avoidable transfers under preference or other legal theories. Case No. 08-45257 (U.S. Bankruptcy Court District of Minnesota). In addition, GWG Holdings invested \$1 million in Opportunity Finance, LLC in 2006 and was repaid and received \$176,948 of interest income from that investment in 2007. To date, no claim has been made against us.

While we believe there are numerous meritorious defenses to the claims made by the bankruptcy trustee, and we are advised that the defendants in that action will vigorously defend against the trustee's claims, such defendants may not prevail in the litigation with the bankruptcy trustee. If the bankruptcy trustee sought to sell or transfer the equity interests of Jon R. Sabes or Steven F. Sabes as a result of the litigation, there could be a change in control and our business together with all of our investors, including investors in our debentures could be materially and adversely impacted. Such adverse results would likely arise in connection with negative change-in-control covenants contained in our revolving credit facility agreements, the breach of those covenants and an ensuing event of default under such facility. In addition, if the bankruptcy trustee sought to sell or transfer the equity interests of Jon R. Sabes or Steven F. Sabes as a result of the litigation, such transfers would adversely affect debenture holders by reducing the number of shares of common stock of GWG Holdings that have been pledged as collateral security for our obligations under the debentures. Finally, regardless of the outcome of this litigation, these matters are likely to distract management and reduce the time and attention that they are able to devote to our business.

## MANAGEMENT

## Directors and Executive Officers

The name, age and positions of our current executive officers and directors are as follows:

Name	Age	Positions
Jon R. Sabes	45	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Paul A. Siegert	72	President and Director (Chairman of the Board)
Steven F. Sabes	43	Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and Director
Jon Gangelhoff	53	Chief Financial Officer
Brian Tyrell	50	Director
Laurence Zipkin	71	Director
Kenneth Fink	50	Director

Jon R. Sabes, co-founder and Chief Executive Officer of our company, is a financial professional with over 20 years of experience in the fields of finance, venture capital, business development, managerial operations, and federal taxation. Since 1999, Mr. Sabes has served as Chief Executive Officer of Opportunity Finance, LLC, a family investment company specializing in structured finance. Over his career, Mr. Sabes has been active in receivable financing, life insurance financing, and casualty insurance financing, structuring over \$900 million in financing commitments for his related businesses. Mr. Sabes' experience includes co-founding and leading the development of two leading insurance-related finance companies: GWG Life, a company in the life insurance finance industry founded in 2006, and MedFinance, an innovator in casualty insurance and healthcare finance founded in 2005. Through these companies, Mr. Sabes has developed and applied financial structuring techniques, underwriting algorithms, and business modeling aspects to the insurance industry. Mr. Sabes' education includes a Juris Doctor degree cum laude from the University of Minnesota Law School; and a Bachelor of Arts degree in Economics, from the University of Colorado. Over his career, Mr. Sabes has held several licenses and professional association memberships including FINRA Series 7, Series 63, Minnesota State Bar Association, and American Bar Association. In addition to being an active father of three, Mr. Sabes serves on the boards of Saving Children and Building Families, and the Insurance Studies Institute. Mr. Sabes is the brother of Steven F. Sabes. Mr. Sabes has served as our Chief Executive Officer, and a director, since 2006.

Steven F. Sabes, co-founder and Chief Operating Officer and Secretary of our company, is responsible for various managerial aspects of our business, with a specific focus on treasury and financial operations, life insurance policy purchasing, and specialty finance operations. Since 1998, Mr. Sabes has served as a Managing Director of Opportunity Finance, LLC, a family investment company specializing in structured finance. Mr. Sabes holds a Master of Science and Doctor of Philosophy in organic chemistry from the University of Minnesota, as well as a Bachelor of Arts degree from The Colorado College. Mr. Sabes is the brother of Jon Sabes. Mr. Sabes has served as our Chief Operating Officer and Secretary, and a director, since 2006.

Paul A. Siegert, co-founder of our company, has over 50 years experience in national and international business with focus on general business, financial and investment strategies, management practices, fiscal controls, profit incentives, systems and corporate structuring and governance. Over his career, Mr. Siegert has consulted to Fortune 500 corporations, regional firms, emerging businesses, government and education, and has served as director, general partner and advisor to partnerships and corporations, including restructuring of economically troubled businesses. Mr. Siegert has provided written testimony to the Senate Finance Committee regarding SEC practices and created two

companies registered under the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. Mr. Siegert was an active participant in the formation and direction of the Colorado Institute for Artificial Intelligence at the University of Colorado. Mr. Siegert's education includes studies toward a Master of Business Administration, University of Chicago; and Bachelor of Science and Industrial Management, Purdue University. His insurance-related experiences include the creation of one of the nation's first employer self-funded life, medical and disability insurance programs; designing medical, life insurance and social security opt-out programs for educational institutions; incorporation of financial analysis disciplines in life insurance and estate planning; and strategizing of key-man insurance plans and life insurance in business continuation planning for corporations and senior executives. From 1979 to 1986, Mr. Siegert was nationally recognized as a tax and estate planning expert. In 1999 Mr. Siegert retired from active business to engage in various personal financial and investment endeavors. In 2004, he founded Great West Growth, LLC, a Nevada limited liability company and a predecessor to GWG Life, to purchase life insurance policies. In his capacities with GWG Life, he created an insurance policy valuation and pricing model, created life insurance policy purchase documentation, undertook state licensing and compliance and developed operating and marketing systems. Mr. Siegert currently serves as the President and Chief Executive Officer of the Insurance Studies Institute, which he founded in 2007. Mr. Siegert currently serves as President, Director and Chairman of the Board of GWG Holdings, Inc. He has been active in a variety of charities and foundations, including Rotary International.



Jon Gangelhoff has served rapidly growing businesses in several industries as chief financial officer with a strong focus on business operations since 1986. Prior to joining our company in March 2009, he served as chief financial officer for Northern Metal Recycling, a metal recycling firm the sales of which exceeded \$500 million annually, from 2006 to 2008. Mr. Gangelhoff's responsibilities at Northern Metal Recycling included acquisition and related integration operations focused on finance, information systems, and human resources functions. Prior to that, from 2003 to 2006, Mr. Gangelhoff served as the chief financial officer of Kuhlman Company, formerly a public reporting company, where he established corporate infrastructure, developed financial reporting and internal control systems, and managed the SEC reporting process. During his 25-year career, Mr. Gangelhoff has used an integrated hands-on and financial management approach to improve the performance of the companies he served in a variety of industries. Mr. Gangelhoff holds a Bachelor of Arts degree from Mankato State University.

Brian Tyrell is a principal of Athena Securities Ltd. based in Dublin, Ireland where he has worked in the financial services industry specializing in structured investments and fund creation for over the past 19 years. Mr. Tyrell has held directorships in a number of financial service companies with a particular focus on the life insurance finance industry for the past several years. Mr. Tyrell is a Business Studies (Finance) graduate of Dublin City University. He completed postgraduate studies with the Society of Investment Analysts of Ireland and the Institute of Investment Management and Research UK. He is a member of the CFA Institute and a fellow of the Chartered Institute of Securities and Investment. Mr. Tyrell joined our Board of Directors in June 2011.

Laurence Zipkin is nationally recognized for his expertise in the gaming industry, restaurants, and emerging small growth companies. From 1996 to 2006, Mr. Zipkin owned Oakridge Securities, Inc. where, as an investment banker, he successfully raised capital for various early growth-stage companies and advising clients with regard to private placements, initial public offerings, mergers, debt offerings, bridge and bank financings, developing business plans and evaluating cash needs and resources. He has extensive experience in the merger and acquisition field and has represented companies on both the buy and sell side. Since 2006, Mr. Zipkin has been self-employed, engaging in various consulting activities, owning and operating two restaurant properties, and purchasing distressed real estate. Mr. Zipkin is a licensed insurance agent for both life and health insurance. Mr. Zipkin attended the University of Pennsylvania Wharton School of Finance. Mr. Zipkin joined our Board of Directors in June 2011. In October 2006, Mr. Zipkin consented to a \$20,000 fine and a four-month suspension from associating with any NASD member (in a principal capacity) in connection with alleged violations of SEC Rules 10b-9, 15c3-3 and 15c2-4, and NASD Rules 2110 and 2120. In March 1998, Mr. Zipkin was censured and fined \$2,500 in connection with an alleged May 1995 violation of rules of fair practice for the distribution of a "hot issue" securities issue to "restricted persons." In April 1997, Mr. Zipkin was the subject of a \$126,000 judgment for the negligent sale of customer securities in 1994.

Kenneth Fink is President and Chief Executive Officer of Tamar-Fink, Inc. and Family Wealth Counselors, LLC, representing family offices for estate and wealth counseling services. Since 1999, Mr. Fink has led Tamar-Fink, Inc. to become a leading life insurance agency, transacting over \$1 billion of insurance sales transactions. Mr. Fink is a lifetime and qualifying member of the Million Dollar Round Table, the nation's leading life insurance sales organization. He is a member of the prestigious International Forum and Top of the Table organizations, which are limited to approximately 500 of the leading insurance agents in the world. Mr. Fink is also a member of the Planned Giving Counsel, Minnesota Life Underwriters, National Association of Insurance and Financial Advisors, and the Association for Advanced Life Underwriting. Mr. Fink is a member of the National Association of Family Wealth Counselors and was named the 1998 Family Wealth Counselor of the Year after creating and implementing plans that would generate more than \$700 million dollars for charities. Recently, Mr. Fink designed a plan that resulted in a \$42 million dollar gift to the United Hospitals in Cleveland, the largest gift in their history. Mr. Fink is the recipient of the 2006 Preston Hotchkis Distinguished Achievement Award for his generous charitable and business contributions to the community. Mr. Fink has published numerous articles on tax, estate and charitable giving in leading national and regional publications. Mr. Fink is a co-contributor to the book Getting to the Heart of the Matter, which has received critical acclaim nationwide. Mr. Fink has been featured in Forbes magazine and quoted in many of the nation's

newspapers as an expert in the field of insurance and charitable planned giving. Mr. Fink holds a Series 6 license, and is presently a registered representative of Arque Capital, Ltd., the entity that serves as the managing broker-dealer for the Company's debenture offering. Prior to his affiliation with Arque Capital in June 2011, Mr. Fink was a registered representative of NFP Securities, Inc. Mr. Fink graduated Cum Laude from the University of Pennsylvania and received advanced certification as a Family Wealth Counselor in 1997. Mr. Fink joined our Board of Directors in June 2011.

When considering whether directors have the experience, qualifications, attributes and skills to enable the Board of Directors to satisfy its oversight responsibilities effectively in light of the Company's business and structure, the Board of Directors focuses primarily on the information discussed in each of the directors' individual biographies set forth above. With regard to Mr. Jon R. Sabes, the board considered his significant experience, expertise and background with regard to financial matters, and his demonstrated experience and skills in managing the Company's business. With regard to Mr. Steven F. Sabes, the board considered his background and experience with the Company and its business. With respect to Mr. Siegert, the board considered his significant experience in securities and finance, and his background in secondary life insurance market. With regard to Mr. Tyrell, the board considered his experience in global capital markets and his knowledge and experience with the secondary life insurance market. In the case of Mr. Zipkin, the board considered his knowledge, experience and skills in the finance, public securities and investment banking fields. With regard to Mr. Fink, the board considered his extensive background and knowledge of the insurance industry.

The Board of Directors periodically reviews relationships that directors have with the Company to determine whether the directors are independent. Directors are considered "independent" as long as they do not accept any consulting, advisory or other compensatory fee (other than director fees) from the Corporation, are not an affiliated person of the Company or its subsidiaries (e.g., an officer or a greater-than-ten-percent stockholder) and are independent within the meaning of applicable laws, regulations and the Nasdaq listing rules. In this latter regard, the Board of Directors uses the Nasdaq listing rules (specifically, Section 5605(a)(2) of such rules) as a benchmark for determining which, if any, of its directors are independent, solely in order to comply with applicable SEC disclosure rules. However, this is for disclosure purposes only. It should be understood that, as a corporation whose shares are not listed for trading on any securities exchange or listing service, the Company is not required to have any independent directors at all on its Board of Directors, or any independent directors serving on any particular committees of the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors has determined that, of its current directors, Messrs. Zipkin and Fink are independent within the meaning of the Nasdaq listing rule cited above. In the case of Mr. Siegert, his position as an executive officer of the Company precludes him from being considered independent. In the case of both Messrs. Jon R. and Steven F. Sabes, their positions as executive officers of the Company, together with their beneficial ownership of more than ten percent of the common stock of the Company, similarly precludes them from being considered independent within the meaning of the cited Nasdaq listing rule. In the case of Mr. Tyrell, the Board of Directors has determined that his position as executive officer of Athena Securities Group, Ltd. and his beneficial ownership of the common stock of the Company precludes him from being considered independent within the meaning of the cited Nasdaq listing rule.

In November 2011, the Board of Directors created an Audit Committee and a Compensation Committee. The Audit Committee is comprised of Messrs. Zipkin (Chair) and Fink. The Compensation Committee is comprised of Messrs. Zipkin (Chair), Siegert and Fink.

If the Company had its shares listed for trading on the Nasdaq Stock Market, the composition of its Board of Directors would not meet the Nasdaq requirement that a majority of its directors be independent, and would not have met such requirement at any time during fiscal 2010. Similarly, the composition of the committees of our Board of Directors would not meet the Nasdaq requirements for either independence or minimum number of directors.

#### Indemnification of Directors and Executive Officers

Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides for, under certain circumstances, the indemnification of our officers, directors, employees and agents against liabilities that they may incur in such capacities. A summary of the circumstances in which such indemnification provided for is contained herein, but that description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the relevant Section of the Delaware General Corporation Law.



In general, the statute provides that any director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation may be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement, actually and reasonably incurred in a proceeding (including any civil, criminal, administrative or investigative proceeding) to which the individual was a party by reason of such status. Such indemnity may be provided if the indemnified person's actions resulting in the liabilities: (i) were taken in good faith; (ii) were reasonably believed to have been in or not opposed to our best interest; and (iii) with respect to any criminal action, such person had no reasonable cause to believe the actions were unlawful. Unless ordered by a court, indemnification generally may be awarded only after a determination of independent members of the Board of Directors or a committee thereof, by independent legal counsel or by vote of the stockholders that the applicable standard of conduct was met by the individual to be indemnified.

The statutory provisions further provide that to the extent a director, officer, employee or agent is wholly successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any proceeding to which he was a party, he is entitled to receive indemnification against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the proceeding.

Indemnification in connection with a proceeding by or in the right of GWG Holdings, Inc. in which the director, officer, employee or agent is successful is permitted only with respect to expenses, including attorneys' fees actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense. In such actions, the person to be indemnified must have acted in good faith, in a manner believed to have been in our best interest and must not have been adjudged liable to us unless and only to the extent that the Court of Chancery or the court in which such action or suit was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability, in view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expense which the Court of Chancery or such other court shall deem proper. Indemnification is otherwise prohibited in connection with a proceeding brought on behalf of GWG Holdings, Inc. in which a director is adjudged liable to us, or in connection with any proceeding charging improper personal benefit to the director in which the director is adjudged liable for receipt of an improper personal benefit.

Delaware law authorizes us to reimburse or pay reasonable expenses incurred by a director, officer, employee or agent in connection with a proceeding in advance of a final disposition of the matter. Such advances of expenses are permitted if the person furnishes to us a written agreement to repay such advances if it is determined that he is not entitled to be indemnified by us.

The statutory section cited above further specifies that any provisions for indemnification of or advances for expenses does not exclude other rights under our certificate of incorporation, corporate bylaws, resolutions of our stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise. These indemnification provisions continue for a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation and inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of such persons.

The statutory provision cited above also grants the power to GWG Holdings, Inc. to purchase and maintain insurance policies that protect any director, officer, employee or agent against any liability asserted against or incurred by him in such capacity arising out of his status as such. Such policies may provide for indemnification whether or not the corporation would otherwise have the power to provide for it.

Article 6 of our corporate bylaws provides that we shall indemnify our directors, officers, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling GWG Holdings, Inc. pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we understand that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in that Act and is therefore unenforceable.

We have purchased directors' and officers' liability insurance through in order to limit the exposure to liability for indemnification of directors and officers, including liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933.

60

---

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

## Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the cash and non-cash compensation awarded to or earned by: (i) each individual who served as the principal executive officer and principal financial officer of GWG Holdings during the year ended December 31, 2011; and (ii) each other individual that served as an executive officer of either GWG Holdings or GWG Life Settlements, Inc. at the conclusion of the year ended December 31, 2011 and who received more than \$100,000 in the form of salary and bonus during such fiscal year. For purposes of this document, these individuals are collectively the “named executives” of the Company.

Name and Principal Position		Salary	Other Annual Compensation	Stock Option Awards	Total
Jon R. Sabes	2011	\$286,131(1)	—	—	\$286,131(1)
Chief Executive Officer	2010	\$ 0(2)	—	—	\$0(2)
Jon Gangelhoff	2011	\$120,000	\$50,000	—	\$170,000
Chief Financial Officer	2010	\$120,000	\$25,000	—	\$145,000
Paul A. Siegert	2011	\$150,823(3)	—	—	\$150,823(3)
President	2010	\$ 0(2)	—	—	\$0(2)
Steven F. Sabes	2011	\$162,675(4)	—	—	\$162,675(4)
COO and Secretary	2010	\$ 0(2)	—	—	\$ 0(2)

- (1) Of the amount set forth in the table, \$212,641 was paid as salary pursuant to our employment agreement with Mr. Jon R. Sabes, dated June 14, 2011 (which agreement is described in the narrative below); and \$73,490 was paid by us prior to June 14, 2011 (while the Company was a limited liability company).
- (2) The named executive received no salary during 2010 but did, however, receive loan advances on which interest accrued at rates ranging from 4.2% to 5.0% per annum. The advances were to be repaid upon or in connection with operating distributions by us. This arrangement is more fully described in the narrative below. Total advances made under this arrangement during 2010 were as follows: \$162,500 to Mr. Jon R. Sabes and \$150,000 to each of Mr. Siegert and Mr. Steven F. Sabes.
- (3) Of the amount set forth in the table, \$85,385 was paid as salary pursuant to our employment agreement with Mr. Paul A. Siegert, dated June 14, 2011 (which agreement is described in the narrative below); and \$65,438 was paid by us prior to June 14, 2011 (while the Company was a limited liability company).
- (4) Of the amount set forth in the table, \$90,831 was paid as salary pursuant to our employment agreement with Mr. Steven F. Sabes, dated June 14, 2011 (which agreement is described in the narrative below); and \$71,844 was paid by us prior to June 14, 2011 (while the Company was a limited liability company).

## Employment Agreements and Change-in-Control Provisions

In June 2011, we entered into employment agreements with each of Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, Paul A. Siegert and Jon Gangelhoff. Mr. Jon R. Sabes is our Chief Executive Officer; Mr. Steven F. Sabes is our Chief Operating Officer and Secretary; Mr. Siegert is our President (and also our Chairman of the Board); and Mr. Gangelhoff is our Chief Financial Officer. These employment agreements establish key employment terms (including reporting responsibilities, base salary, discretionary and bonus opportunity and other benefits), provide for severance benefits in certain situations, and contain non-competition, non-solicitation and confidentiality covenants.

Under their respective employment agreements, Mr. Jon R. Sabes receives an annual base salary of \$350,000, Messrs. Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert receive an annual base salary of \$150,000, and Mr. Gangelhoff receives an annual

base salary of \$120,000. The employment agreements contain customary provisions prohibiting the executives from soliciting our employees for one year after any termination of employment, and from competing with the Company for either two years (if the executive is terminated for good cause or if he resigns without good reason) or one year (if we terminate the executive's employment without good cause or if he resigns with good reason). If an executive's employment is terminated by us without "good cause" or if the executive voluntarily resigns with "good reason," then the executive will be entitled to (i) severance pay for a period of 12 months and (ii) reimbursement for health insurance premiums for his family if he elects continued coverage under COBRA.

The employment agreements for Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steve F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert also provide that we will reimburse them for any legal costs they incur in enforcing their rights under the employment agreement and, to the fullest extent permitted by applicable law, indemnify them for claims, costs and expenses arising in connection with their employment, regardless of the outcome of any such legal contest, as well as interest at the prime rate on any payments under the employment agreements that are determined to be past due, unless prohibited by law.

All of the executive employment agreements include a provision allowing us to reduce their severance payments and any other payments to which the executive becomes entitled as a result of our change in control to the extent needed for the executive to avoid paying an excise tax under Code Section 280G, unless, the named executive officer is better off, on an after-tax basis, receiving the full amount of such payments and paying the excise taxes due.

Prior to January 1, 2011, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Paul A. Siegert and Steven F. Sabes received loan advances that accrued interest at rates ranging from 4.2% to 5.0% per annum. Under this arrangement, made during the time when GWG Holdings was a limited liability company, these advance amounts were to be repaid upon or in connection with operating distributions made by us. Under the prior arrangements and through June 13, 2011, advances aggregating approximately \$981,167 were made to Jon R. Sabes with cumulative interest owed of \$114,496, \$287,500 to Paul A. Siegert with cumulative interest owed of \$22,708, and \$861,976 to Steven F. Sabes with cumulative interest owed of \$94,438. As indicated in footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table, Mr. Jon R. Sabes received \$162,500 of these advances during 2010. In addition, each of Mr. Paul A. Siegert and Mr. Steven F. Sabes received \$150,000 of advances during 2010. On July 27, 2011, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert repaid their loan balances.



#### Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

We had no outstanding equity awards as of December 31, 2011 for any named executives.

#### Compensation of Directors

Currently, our directors receive no compensation pursuant to any standard arrangement for their services as directors. Nevertheless, we may in the future determine to provide our directors with some form of compensation, either cash or options or contractually restricted securities.

#### Related-Party Transactions

As explained above under “—Employment Agreements and Change-in-Control Provisions,” we were party to an arrangement with each of Jon R. Sabes, Paul A. Siegert and Steven F. Sabes whereby those individuals received loan advances that accrued interest at rates ranging from 4.2% to 5.0% per annum. Under this arrangement, made during the time when GWG Holdings was a limited liability company, these advance amounts were to be repaid upon or in connection with operating distributions made by us. From inception through June 13, 2011, advances aggregating approximately \$981,167 were made to Jon R. Sabes with cumulative interest owed of \$114,496, \$287,500 to Paul A. Siegert with cumulative interest owed of \$22,708, and \$861,976 were made to Steven F. Sabes with cumulative interest owed of \$94,438. As indicated in footnote (1) to the Summary Compensation Table above, Mr. Jon R. Sabes received \$162,500 of these advances during 2010. In addition, each of Mr. Paul A. Siegert and Mr. Steven F. Sabes received \$150,000 of advances during 2010. On July 27, 2011, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes and Paul A. Siegert repaid their loan balances.

In May 2008, our affiliate, Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC, a Delaware limited liability company beneficially owned by Mr. Jon R. Sabes, our Chief Executive Officer, agreed to make discretionary unsecured general working capital loans to GWG Holdings for short-term working capital needs. In 2010, GWG Holdings repaid a total of \$1,446,825 outstanding in principal amount of earlier made working capital loans, together with a total of \$90,900 of interest on such loans. As of December 31, 2011, we owed no amounts to Insurance Strategies Fund. Nevertheless, an Amended and Restated Investment Agreement with Insurance Strategies Fund, dated as of September 3, 2009, remains in place. That agreement permits Insurance Strategies Fund to make additional discretionary unsecured short-term work capital loans in the future.

Effective July 14, 2008, the Company entered into an Addendum No. 1 to Sub-Sublease Agreement with Opportunity Finance, LLC, a limited liability company of which Jon R. Sabes, our Chief Executive Officer, also serves as Chief Executive Officer. Pursuant to the Addendum, Opportunity Finance, LLC assigned to the Company, and the Company assumed, all of Opportunity Finance’s rights and obligations under a Sub-Sublease Agreement between Opportunity Finance and an unrelated third party. The Sub-Sublease Agreement relates to the facilities in which we conduct our business operations. Under the Sub-Sublease Agreement, as assigned, the Company assumed the obligation to make monthly payments of base rent that range from \$7,310 (from the commencement date through July 31, 2009) to \$8,770 (for the period from August 1, 2011 through the April 20, 2012 expiration of the Sub-Sublease Agreement). In addition, the Sub-Sublease Agreement, as assigned, requires that the Company pay additional monthly amounts in respect of operating costs as additional rent. The Company made aggregate payments under the Sub-Sublease Agreement of \$168,000 and \$149,000 for the calendar years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

On July 11, 2011, the Company entered into and consummated certain transactions contemplated by a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group Ltd. Pursuant to that agreement, the Company sold 989,000 shares of common stock (after giving effect to the August 9, 2011 two-for-one forward stock split) to Athena Securities Group, and purchased 5,940 ordinary shares of Athena Structured Funds PLC. As a result of these transactions, the Company

owns approximately 9.9% of Athena Structured Funds, and Athena Securities Group owns approximately 9.9% of the common stock of the Company. The larger purpose of the transaction was to facilitate cooperation among the Company and the Athena entities in future financing efforts that may permit Athena to raise capital from global markets outside of the United States for the purpose of financing the acquisition of life insurance policies in the secondary market.

Our director, Mr. Kenneth Michael Fink, is a registered representative of Arque Capital, Ltd., the managing broker-dealer (underwriter) in this offering of debentures. The Company, however, has not paid Mr. Fink any compensation in this regard and has been advised by Arque Capital that it has not paid Mr. Fink any compensation in respect of this offering of debentures.

#### Related-Party Transaction Policy and Related Matters

In all cases, the Company abides by applicable state corporate law when approving all transactions, including transactions involving officers, directors or affiliates. More particularly, the Company's policy is to have any related-party transactions (i.e., transactions involving a director, an officer or an affiliate of the Company) be approved solely by a majority of the disinterested and independent directors serving on the board. Presently, the Company has two independent directors serving on the board, and intends to maintain a board consisting of at least two independent directors.

The Company does not anticipate making any future loans or advances for non-business purposes to directors, officers, affiliates or other persons who might be considered "promoters" under state laws. Any such loans or advances would be violative of Section 402 of the federal Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. The Company may in the future engage in transactions with Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC, pursuant to the Amended and Restated Investment Agreement described above under the "Related Party Transactions caption." A copy of that agreement is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. In addition, the Company may in the future engage in transactions with Athena Structured Funds as described above under the "Related Party Transactions caption." Any such transactions with Insurance Strategies Fund or Athena Structured Funds will be effected on terms no less favorable to the Company than those that can be obtained from unaffiliated third parties. The Company and its legal counsel have determined that there is a reasonable basis for these representations and disclosures, and may in the future determine to incorporate into board committee charters or other written governance policies or documents some or all of the policies of the Company described herein.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS  
AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

As of the close of business on April 10, 2012, we had outstanding two classes of voting securities—common stock, of which there were 9,989,000 shares issued and outstanding; and Series A Convertible Preferred Stock, of which there were 2,959,718 shares issued and outstanding. Each share of capital stock is currently entitled to one vote on all matters put to a vote of our stockholders. The following table sets forth the number of common shares, and percentage of outstanding common shares, beneficially owned as of the date set forth above, by:

- each person known by us to be the beneficial owner of more than five percent of our outstanding common stock
  - each of our current directors
- each our current executive officers and any other persons identified as a “named executive” in the Summary Compensation Table above, and
  - all current executive officers and directors as a group.

Unless otherwise indicated, the address of each of the following persons is 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55402, and each such person has sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares set forth opposite his, her or its name.

Name and Address	Common Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Percentage of Common Shares	
Jon R. Sabes (2)	4,854,788	48.6	%
Steven F. Sabes (3)	4,722,494	47.2	%
Paul A. Siegert (4)	400,890	4.0	%
Jon Gangelhoff (5)	0	*	
Brian Tyrell (6)	0	*	
Laurence Zipkin (7)	0	*	
Kenneth Fink (8)	0	*	
All current directors and officers as a group (9)	9,000,000	90.1	%
Athena Securities Group Ltd. 44 Upper Mount Street Dublin 2, Ireland	989,000	9.9	%

\* less than one percent.

(1) Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the SEC, and includes general voting power and/or investment power with respect to securities. Shares of common stock issuable upon exercise of options or warrants that are currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of the record date, and shares of common stock issuable upon conversion of other securities currently convertible or convertible within 60 days, are deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of the person holding such securities but are not deemed outstanding for computing the beneficial ownership percentage of any other person. Under the applicable SEC rules, each person’s beneficial ownership is calculated by dividing the total number of shares with respect to which they possess beneficial ownership by the total number of outstanding shares of the Company. In any case where an individual has beneficial ownership over securities that are not outstanding, but are issuable upon the exercise of options or warrants or similar rights within the next 60 days, that same number of shares is added to the

denominator in the calculation described above. Because the calculation of each person's beneficial ownership set forth in the "Percentage of Common Shares" column of the table may include shares that are not presently outstanding, the sum total of the percentages set forth in such column may exceed 100%.

- (2) Mr. Sabes is our Chief Executive Officer and a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 400,890 shares held individually, 3,475,726 shares held by Mokeson, LLC, a Minnesota limited liability company of which Mr. Sabes is a manager and member, and 978,172 shares held by Opportunity Finance, LLC, a Minnesota limited liability company of which Mr. Sabes is a manager and member.
- (3) Mr. Sabes is our Chief Operating Officer, Secretary and a director of the Company. Shares reflected in the table include 1,599,558 shares held individually, 978,172 shares held by Opportunity Finance, LLC, a Minnesota limited liability company of which Mr. Sabes is a manager and member, 1,042,316 shares held by SFS Trust 1982, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is the beneficiary, 701,558 shares held by SFS Trust 1982 Esther, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is a beneficiary, and 400,890 shares held by SFS Trust 1976, a trust of which Mr. Sabes is a beneficiary. The trustees of each of the trusts are Robert W. Sabes, Jon R. Sabes and Ross A. Sabes.
- (4) Mr. Siegert is our President and a director of the Company.
- (5) Mr. Gangelhoff is our Chief Financial Officer.
- (6) Mr. Tyrell is a director of the Company.
- (7) Mr. Zipkin is a director of the Company.
- (8) Mr. Fink is a director of the Company.
- (9) Includes the beneficial ownership of Messrs. Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, Siegert, Gangelhoff, Tyrell, Zipkin and Fink.

## DESCRIPTION OF THE DEBENTURES

### General

The debentures will be secured obligations of GWG Holdings. The debentures will be issued under an indenture between us and Bank of Utah as the indenture trustee. The terms and conditions of the debentures include those stated in the indenture and those made part of the indenture by reference to the Trust Indenture Act of 1939. The following is a summary of the material provisions of the indenture. For a complete understanding of the debentures, you should review the definitive terms and conditions contained in the indenture, which include definitions of certain terms used below. A copy of the indenture has been filed with the SEC as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, and is available from us at no charge upon request.

The following is a summary of the material terms associated with the debentures:

- The debentures are general secured obligations of GWG Holdings, Inc. The obligations are secured by a grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Holdings, which assets will serve as collateral for our obligations under the debentures. This grant of a security interest is effected pursuant to a pledge and security agreement attached to the indenture.
- The debentures are fully and unconditionally guaranteed by our wholly owned direct subsidiary, GWG Life, but otherwise are not guaranteed by any other person or entity. The guarantee is backed by a grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Life, which assets will serve as additional collateral for our obligations under the debentures. Chief among these assets is GWG Life's ownership interest in DLP Funding II. This guarantee is effected pursuant to a subsidiary guarantee agreement included in the indenture.
- The debentures are also secured by a pledge of the equity ownership interests in GWG Holdings, Inc. by its principal stockholders—Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes—which pledge will be effected pursuant to a pledge and security agreement attached to the indenture.
- The collateral granted for our obligations under the debentures (i.e., the security interest in all of the assets of GWG Holdings, and the guarantee by GWG Life and corresponding security interest in all of its assets including a pledge of the equity ownership interests in DLP Funding II), together with (i) certain covenants contained in the documents relating to our earlier issued series of subsidiary secured notes (of which approximately \$49.3 million is presently outstanding), and (ii) an intercreditor agreement between the trustee (on behalf of the debenture holders) and Lord Securities Corporation (the collateral trustee for our subsidiary secured notes), make the debentures pari passu with the subsidiary secured notes with respect to payment, security and collateral. The intercreditor agreement is attached to the indenture. As of December 31, 2011, the collateral security granted by GWG Holdings and GWG Life for our debentures (i.e., all of the assets of such entities) was valued at \$18,807,738 and \$67,327,579, respectively. As of December 31, 2010, such collateral was valued at \$(1,576,667) and \$53,002,838, respectively.
  - The debentures will be junior to the \$100 million revolving credit facility of DLP Funding II with Autobahn/DZ Bank, which currently has an outstanding balance of approximately \$60 million. The debentures will also be junior to any later senior lending facility we may obtain.
- The debentures are not savings accounts, certificates of deposit (CDs) or other forms of "deposits," and are not insured by the FDIC or any other governmental agency.
- The debentures are not directly secured by any life insurance policy assets that are not owned by GWG Life. Nearly all of our life insurance policy assets (94% of our policies, representing approximately 96% of the face value of

policy benefits as of December 31, 2011) are held by our DLP Funding II subsidiary. Although GWG Life's equity ownership interests in DLP Funding II is an asset in which GWG Life has pursuant to its guarantee granted a security interest to serve as collateral for obligations under the debentures, the payment on such equity interests will be subordinate to the interests of creditors of DLP Funding II, including our senior creditor Autobahn/DZ Bank.

- The debentures do not have the benefit of a “sinking fund” for the retirement of principal.
- The debentures are not convertible into our capital stock or other securities.
- We have the option to call and redeem the entire (but not less than the entire) outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest of the debentures at any time without premium or penalty. If we elect to call and redeem your debentures, the redeemed debentures will cease to accrue interest after the redemption date under the terms and subject to the conditions of the indenture.
- Except in limited circumstances (death, bankruptcy or total disability), debenture holders will have no right to require us to redeem any debenture prior to its maturity date. Any early redemption will be for the total outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest. If we in our sole discretion nonetheless elect to accommodate a redemption request, we will redeem the entire (but not less than the entire) outstanding principal balance and accrued but unpaid interest of the debentures and impose a redemption fee of 6% against the outstanding principal balance of the debenture redeemed. This fee will be subtracted from the amount paid to you.

The debentures will be denominated in U.S. dollars and we intend to sell the debentures at 100% of their principal face amount. The minimum investment amount in the debentures will be \$25,000. Above that minimum amount, debentures may be purchased in \$1,000 increments. Subject to the minimum investment amount, you may select the principal amount and term of the debentures (ranging from six months to 7 years) you would like to purchase when you subscribe. The interest rate of your debenture will remain fixed until maturity. Depending our capital requirements, we may not, however, always offer debentures with the particular terms you seek. See “Description of the Debentures—Interest Rate and Maturity” below.

Upon acceptance of your subscription, we will create an account in a book-entry registration and transfer system for you, and credit the principal amount of your subscription to your account. We will send you a purchase confirmation that will indicate our acceptance of your subscription. You will have five business days from the postmark date of your purchase confirmation to rescind your subscription. If your subscription is rejected, or if you rescind your subscription during the rescission period, all funds deposited will be promptly returned to you without any interest. See “—Book-Entry Registration and Exchange” and “—Limited Rescission Right” below.

Investors whose subscriptions for debentures have been accepted and anyone who subsequently acquires debentures in a qualified transfer are referred to as “holders” or “registered holders” in this prospectus. We may modify or supplement the terms of the debentures described in this prospectus from time to time in a supplement to the indenture and a supplement to this prospectus. Except as set forth under “—Amendment, Supplement and Waiver” below, any modification or amendment will not affect debentures outstanding at the time of such modification or amendment.

The debentures are not assignable without our prior consent. The debentures may be transferred or exchanged for other debentures of the same series and class of a like aggregate principal amount subject to limitations contained in the indenture. We will not charge a fee for any registration, transfer or exchange of debentures. However, we may require the holder to pay any tax, assessment fee, or other governmental charge required in connection with any registration, transfer or exchange of debentures. The registered holder of a debenture will be treated as its owner for all purposes.

#### Denomination

You may purchase debentures in the minimum principal amount of \$25,000, and in whole increments of \$1,000 above \$25,000. You will determine the original principal amount of each debenture you purchase when you subscribe. You may not cumulate purchases of multiple debentures with principal amounts less than \$25,000 to satisfy the minimum

requirement. In our discretion, we may waive the \$25,000 minimum purchase requirement for any investor.

65

---



## Term

We may offer debentures with the following terms to maturity:

- six months
- one year
- two years
- three years
- four years
- five years
- seven years

You will select the term of each debenture you purchase when you subscribe. You may purchase multiple debentures with different terms by filling in investment amounts for more than one term on your Subscription Agreement. However, during this offering we may not always offer debentures with each of the terms outlined above.

The actual maturity date will be on the last day of the month in which the debenture matures (i.e., the month in which the debenture's term ends). For example, if you select a one-year term and your debenture becomes effective on January 15, 2012, the actual maturity date will be January 31, 2013. After actual maturity, we will pay all outstanding principal and accrued but unpaid interest on the debenture on or prior to the 15th day of the calendar month next following its maturity (or the first business day following the 15th day of such month). So, in the case of a debenture with a maturity date of January 31, 2012, actual payment will be made on or prior to February 15, 2012 (unless such date is not a business day, in which case actual payment will be made on the next business day).

Should the original debenture holder (x) no longer be the holder of the debenture or (y) be unavailable, or a change in payee be necessary, such as in the case of a surviving estate, we may require a copy of the executed assignment agreement between the original debenture holder and any transferee along with our consent to such transfer, or an order from a court or probate commission, as the case may be, in order that we know the principal is returned to the rightful party.

## Interest Rate

The rate of interest we will offer to pay on debentures at any particular time will vary based upon market conditions, and will be determined by the term to maturity of the debentures, our capital requirements and other factors described below. The interest rate on a particular debenture will be determined at the time of subscription or renewal and then remain fixed for the original or renewal term of the debenture. We will establish and may change the interest rates payable for debentures of various terms and at various investment levels in an interest rate supplement to this prospectus.

We may offer debentures that earn incrementally higher interest rates when, at the time they are purchased or renewed, the aggregate principal amount of the debenture portfolio of the holder increases. If applicable, the interest rates payable at each level of investment will be set forth in an interest rate supplement to this prospectus. We may change the interest rate for any or all maturities to reflect market conditions at any time by supplementing this prospectus. If we change the interest rates, the interest rate on debentures issued before the date of the change will not be affected.

## Payments on the Debentures; Paying Agent and Registrar

Investors will have the opportunity to select whether interest on their debentures will be paid monthly or annually. This selection opportunity will be presented in the Subscription Agreement.

Interest will accrue on the debentures at the stated rate from and including the effective date of the debenture until maturity. The effective date of a debenture will be: (a) if the debenture is paid for via wire transfer directly to us, the business day on which we receive the wire; (b) if the debenture is paid for by bank draft, the business day after we receive the draft; and (c) if by personal check, five business days after we receive the check. For purposes of the foregoing, the date we receive the bank draft or personal check means the date on which GWG Holdings actually receives such draft or check either directly from an investor or from a broker-dealer. We will receive all Subscription Agreements, bank drafts and personal checks, and will deposit the drafts and checks, together with information specifying the effective date, provided that no debentures will be issued or dated prior to our receipt and acceptance of a completed and executed related Subscription Agreement. The debentures generally do not earn interest after the maturity date or any date set for prepayment.

Interest payments on debentures will be paid on the 15th day immediately following the last day of the month. Interest will be paid without any compounding. The first payment of interest will include interest for the partial month in which the purchase occurred. The indenture provides that all interest will be calculated based on a year with twelve 30-day months.

We will pay the principal of, and interest on, debentures by direct deposit to the account you specify in your Subscription Agreement. We will not accept subscriptions from investors who are not willing to receive their interest payments via direct deposit. If the foregoing payment method is not available, principal and interest payments on the debentures will be payable at our principal executive office or at such other place as we may designate for payment purposes.

We will withhold 28% of any interest payable to any investor who has not provided us with a social security number, employer identification number, or other satisfactory equivalent in the Subscription Agreement (or another document) or where the IRS has notified us that backup withholding is otherwise required. Please see “Material Federal Income Tax Considerations—Backup Withholding and Information Reporting.”

#### Book-Entry Registration and Exchange

The debentures will generally be issued in book-entry form, which means that no physical debenture is created. Evidence of your ownership is provided by written confirmation. Except under limited circumstances described below, holders will not receive or be entitled to receive any physical delivery of a certificated security or negotiable instrument that evidences their debentures. The issuance and transfer of debentures will be accomplished exclusively through the crediting and debiting of the appropriate accounts in our book-entry registration and transfer system.

The holders of the accounts established upon the purchase or transfer of debentures will be deemed to be the owners of the debentures under the indenture. The holder of the debentures must rely upon the procedures established by the trustee to exercise any rights of a holder of debentures under the indenture. We will regularly provide the trustee with information regarding the establishment of new accounts and the transfer of existing accounts.

On or prior to any interest payment date or upon redemption, we will also provide the trustee with information regarding the total amount of any principal and interest due to holders of debentures. On each interest payment date, we will credit interest due on each account and direct payments to the holders. We will determine the interest payments to be made to the book-entry accounts and maintain, supervise and review any records relating to book-entry beneficial interests in the debentures.

Book-entry notations in the accounts evidencing ownership of the debentures are exchangeable for certificated debentures in principal denominations of \$1,000 and any amount in excess of \$25,000 and fully registered in those names as we direct only if: (i) the debenture is purchased through, held in, or transferred to a custodial account; (ii) we, at our option, advise the trustee in writing of our election to terminate the book-entry system; or (iii) after the occurrence of an event of default under the indenture, holders of more than 50% of the aggregate outstanding principal amount of the debentures advise the trustee in writing that the continuation of a book-entry system is no longer in the best interests of the holders of debentures and the trustee notifies all registered holders of the occurrence of any such event and the availability of certificated securities that evidence the debentures. Subject to these limited exceptions, the book-entry interests in these securities will not be exchangeable for fully registered certificated debentures.

#### Limited Rescission Right

If your Subscription Agreement is accepted at a time when we have determined that a post-effective amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part must be filed with the SEC, but such post-effective

amendment has not yet been declared effective, we will send to you at your registered address a notice and a copy of the related prospectus once it has been declared effective. You will thereupon have the right to rescind your investment upon written request within five business days from the postmark date of the notice we send to you that the post-effective amendment has been declared effective (and containing the related prospectus). We will promptly return any funds sent with a Subscription Agreement that is properly rescinded without penalty, although any interest previously paid on a rescinded debenture will be deducted from the funds returned to you upon rescission. A written request for rescission, except in the case of a mailed rescission, must be postmarked on or before the fifth business day after our notice to (described above). If you notify us other than by mail, we must actually receive your rescission request on or before the fifth business day after our notice to you.

## Renewal or Repayment on Maturity

At least 30 days prior to the maturity of your debenture, we will provide you with a notice indicating that your debenture is about to mature and whether we will allow automatic renewal of your debenture. If we allow you to renew your debenture, we will also provide to you the then-current form of prospectus, which will include an interest rate supplement and any other updates to the information contained in this prospectus. The interest rate supplement will set forth the interest rates then in effect. The notice will recommend that you review the then-current prospectus, including any prospectus supplements and the interest rate supplement, prior to exercising one of the below options. If we do not provide you a new prospectus because the prospectus has not changed since the delivery of this prospectus in connection with your original subscription or any prior renewal, we will nonetheless send you a new copy of the prospectus upon your request. Unless the election period is extended as described below, you will have until 15 days prior to the maturity date to exercise one of the following options:

- You can do nothing, in which case (subject to applicable law) your debenture will automatically renew for a new term equal to the original term but at the interest rate in effect at the time of renewal. Interest on renewed debentures will be paid on the same schedule (i.e., monthly or annually) as the original debenture. If applicable, a new certificate will be issued.
- You can elect repayment of your debenture, in which case the principal amount will be repaid in full along with any accrued but unpaid interest. If you choose this option, your debenture will not earn interest on or after the maturity date.
- You can elect repayment of your debenture and use all or part of the proceeds to purchase a new debenture with a different term or principal amount. To exercise this option, you will need to complete a new Subscription Agreement for the new debenture and mail it along with your request. The issue date of the new debenture will be the maturity date of the old debenture. Any proceeds from the old debenture that are not applied to the new debenture will be sent to you.

The foregoing options will be available to holders unless and until terminated under the indenture. Interest will accrue from the first day of each renewed term. Each renewed debenture will retain all its original provisions, including provisions relating to payment, except that the interest rate payable during any renewal term will be the interest rate that is being offered at that time to other holders with similar aggregate debenture portfolios for debentures of the same term as set forth in the interest rate supplement delivered with the maturity notice. If similar debentures are not then being offered, the interest rate upon renewal will be the rate specified by us on or before the maturity date, or the rate of the existing debenture if no such rate is specified.

If we notify the holder of our intention to repay a debenture at maturity, or if the holder timely requests repayment, we will pay the principal and all accrued but unpaid interest on the debenture on or prior to the 15th day of the calendar month after the maturity date (or the first business day following the 15th day of such month). Thus, in the case of a debenture with a maturity date of January 31, 2012, actual payment will be made on or prior to February 15, 2012 (unless such date is not a business day, in which case actual payment will be made on the next business day). No interest will accrue after the maturity date. You should be aware that because payment is made by ACH transfer, funds may not be received in the holder's account for two to three business days.

We will be required from time to time to file post-effective amendments to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part to update the information it contains. If you would otherwise be required to elect to have your debentures renewed or repaid following their stated maturity at a time when we have determined that a post-effective amendment must be filed with the SEC, but such post-effective amendment has not yet been declared effective, the period during which you can elect renewal or repayment will be automatically extended until ten days following the

postmark date of our notice to you that the post-effective amendment has been declared effective, which notice shall contain a copy of the related prospectus. All other provisions relating to the renewal or redemption of debentures upon their stated maturity described above shall remain unchanged.

For any debentures offered hereby that mature on or after January 31, 2014, we expect that the renewal of such debentures will require us to file a new registration statement. In such a case, the new registration statement must be declared effective before we can renew your debenture. In this event, if the new registration statement has not yet been filed or become effective, we will extend your election period until ten days following the date of our notice to you that the new registration statement has become effective, which notice will include a new prospectus. In addition, we will be required to renew or extend our applications for effectiveness in one or more states from time to time.

#### Call and Redemption Prior to Stated Maturity

We may call and redeem the entire (but not less than the entire) principal amount and accrued but unpaid interest on any debentures prior to their stated maturity only as set forth in the indenture and described below. The holder has no right to put or otherwise require us to redeem any debenture prior to its maturity date (as originally stated or as it may be extended), except as indicated in the indenture and described below.

#### Our Voluntary Redemption

We have the right to redeem any debenture, in whole but not in part, at any time prior to its stated maturity upon 30 days written notice to the holder of the debenture. The holder of the debenture being redeemed will be paid a redemption price equal to the outstanding principal amount thereof plus accrued but unpaid interest up to but not including the date of redemption without any penalty or premium. We may use any criteria we choose to determine which debentures we will redeem if we choose to do so. We are not required to redeem debentures on a pro rata basis.

#### Holder's Put Election Upon Death, Bankruptcy or Total Permanent Disability

Debentures may be redeemed prior to maturity at the election of a holder who is a natural person (including debentures held in an individual retirement account), by giving us written notice within 45 days following the holder's total permanent disability or bankruptcy, as established to our satisfaction, or at the election of the holder's estate, by giving written notice within 45 days following the death of the holder. Subject to the limitations described below, we will redeem the debentures on the 15th day of the month next following the month in which we establish to our satisfaction the holder's death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability. In the event that the 15th day of the month next following the month in which we so establish such facts is not a business day, we will redeem the debentures on the next business day. The redemption price, in the event of such a death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability, will be the entire principal amount of the debentures, plus interest accrued but unpaid interest thereon up to and through the last day of the calendar month preceding the redemption date.

If spouses are joint registered holders of a debenture, the right to elect to have us redeem debentures will apply when either registered holder dies, files bankruptcy or suffers a total permanent disability. If the debenture is held jointly by two or more persons who are not legally married, none of these persons will have the right to request that we redeem the debentures unless all joint holders have either died, filed bankruptcy or suffered a total permanent disability. If the debenture is held by a trust, partnership, corporation or other similar entity, the right to request redemption upon death or total permanent disability does not apply.

#### Redemption at Request of Holder

We have no obligation to redeem any debentures other than upon maturity, or upon the death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability of a natural person holder. Nevertheless, at our sole discretion we may agree from time to time, at the written request of a holder, to redeem a debenture, subject, however, to a redemption fee of 6.0% of the principal amount of such debenture. If we so redeem any debenture prior to maturity, we will redeem the entire principal amount of such debenture together with accrued but unpaid interest thereon. The redemption fee will be

subtracted from the amount paid to you.

#### Transfers

The debentures are not negotiable debt instruments and, subject to certain exceptions (see “—Book-Entry Registration and Exchange” above), will generally be issued only in book-entry form. The purchase confirmation issued upon our acceptance of a subscription is not a certificated security or negotiable instrument, and no rights of record ownership can be transferred without our prior written consent. Ownership of debentures may be transferred on the debenture register only as follows:



- The holder must deliver us written notice requesting a transfer signed by the holder(s) or such holder's duly authorized representative on a form to be supplied by us.
  - We must provide our written consent to the proposed transfer.
- We may require a legal opinion from counsel satisfactory to us that the proposed transfer will not violate any applicable federal or state securities laws.
  - We may require a signature guarantee in connection with such transfer.

Upon transfer of a debenture, we will provide the new holder of the debenture with a purchase confirmation that will evidence the transfer of the account on our records. We may charge a reasonable service charge in connection with the transfer of any debenture. If applicable (e.g., if transferred to a custodial account), a new certificate will be issued.

#### Quarterly Statements

We will provide holders of the debentures with quarterly statements, which will indicate, among other things, the account balance at the end of the quarter, interest credited, redemptions made, if any, and the interest rate paid during the quarter. These statements will be sent electronically on or prior to the 32nd day after the end of each calendar quarter. If a holder is unwilling or unable to receive quarterly statements electronically, we will mail the statements to the address of record on or prior to the 32nd day after the end of each calendar quarter. In such a case, we may charge such holders a reasonable fee to cover our expenses incurred in mailing the statements.

#### Ranking

The debentures will constitute the senior secured debt of GWG Holdings. The payment of principal and interest on the debentures will be:

- pari passu with the approximately \$49.3 million in principal amount of subsidiary secured notes previously issued by our subsidiary GWG Life as of December 31, 2011 (see the caption “—Collateral Security” below);
- structurally junior to the present and future obligations owed by our subsidiary DLP Funding II under the revolving credit facility with Autobahn/DZ Bank (including the approximately \$60 million outstanding under such facility as of December 31, 2011); and
- structurally junior to the present and future claims of creditors of our subsidiaries, other than GWG Life, including trade creditors. The indenture will permit us to issue other forms of debt, including secured and senior debt, in the future.

“Pari passu” means that claims for payment and entitlement to security among the holders of debentures, on the one hand, and secured debt previously issued by GWG Life, on the other hand, will be treated equally and without preference. Although the Company has no present intention of causing GWG Life to issue additional secured debt in the future, any such debt issued on a pari passu basis in the future would also be treated equally and without preference in respect of the debentures. Thus, in the event of any default on the debentures (or any other debt securities pari passu with the debentures) resulting in claims for payment or collateral security, the holders of the debentures and all such other debt securities pari passu with the debentures would share in payment or collateral security in proportion to the amount of principal and interest owed on each such debt instrument.



### Guarantee by GWG Life Subsidiary

The payment of principal and interest on the debentures is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. This guarantee, together with (i) the accompanying grant of a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Life, including GWG Life's entire ownership interest in DLP Funding II, (ii) the pledge of ownership interests in GWG Holdings, Inc. by our principal stockholders, and (iii) an intercreditor agreement between the trustee and Lord Securities Corporation (collateral trustee for our subsidiary secured notes), makes the debentures pari passu with respect to payment and the collateral securing the subsidiary secured notes previously issued by GWG Life. For an explanation of the term "pari passu," see "—Ranking" above. There was approximately \$49.3 million in principal amount of subsidiary secured notes outstanding as of December 31, 2011.

### Collateral Security

The debentures are secured by the assets of GWG Holdings, Inc. We will grant a security interest in all of the assets of GWG Holdings to the indenture trustee for the benefit of the debenture holders. The assets of GWG Holdings consist, and are expected to consist, primarily of (i) any cash proceeds received from its subsidiaries as distributions derived from life insurance policy assets of subsidiaries, (ii) all other cash and investments held in various accounts, (iii) the equity ownership interests in subsidiaries of GWG Holdings, including the equity ownership interest in GWG Life, together with (iv) all proceeds from the foregoing. This collateral security granted by us is referred to as the "GWG Holdings Assets Collateral."

As indicated above, our direct and wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life, will fully and unconditionally guarantee our obligations under the debentures. This guarantee will be supported by GWG Life's grant of a security interest in all of its assets. The assets of GWG Life consist, and are expected to consist, primarily of (i) certain life insurance policy assets, (ii) any cash proceeds received from life insurance policy assets owned by GWG Life or received from its direct subsidiary DLP Funding II as distributions derived from life insurance policies owned by that subsidiary, (iii) all other cash and investments held by GWG Life in its various accounts, (iv) GWG Life's equity ownership interest in its direct subsidiary DLP Funding II, together with (v) all proceeds from the foregoing. The collateral security granted by GWG Life pursuant to its guarantee of our obligations under the debentures is referred to as the "GWG Life Assets Collateral."

In addition, Messrs. Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes, our principal stockholders beneficially holding approximately 86.1% of the outstanding shares of our common stock, have pledged all of the shares they beneficially own in GWG Holdings to further secure our obligations under the debentures. This collateral security granted by Messrs. Jon R. Sabes and Steven F. Sabes is referred to as the "GWG Holdings Equity Collateral."

Together, the GWG Holdings Assets Collateral, GWG Life Assets Collateral and GWG Holdings Equity Collateral comprise all of the collateral security for our obligations under the debentures. To the extent that we subsequently establish one or more wholly owned subsidiaries of GWG Holdings or GWG Life, the debentures will have a security interest in the equity ownership interests of those subsidiaries if and to the extent owned by GWG Holdings or GWG Life.

The guarantee by GWG Life is contained in the indenture, and the grant of security interests in the GWG Holdings Assets Collateral, GWG Life Assets Collateral and GWG Holdings Equity Collateral is effected through a "Pledge and Security Agreement" that is an exhibit to the indenture. The grant of collateral security comprising the GWG Life Assets Collateral and GWG Holdings Equity Collateral is designed to afford the holders of debentures with rights to the same payment and collateral as that granted to holders of our subsidiary secured notes on a pari passu basis. To effect this arrangement, the trustee under the indenture, Bank of Utah (to whom the security grant is made under the Pledge and Security Agreement), has entered into an "Intercreditor Agreement" with GWG Holdings, GWG Life, and

Lord Securities Corporation, the trustee for our subsidiary secured notes. This Intercreditor Agreement is an exhibit to the indenture.

Nearly all of our life insurance policy assets (94% of our policies, representing approximately 96% of the face value of policy benefits as of December 31, 2011) are held in our subsidiary GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, which we refer to throughout this prospectus as “DLP Funding II.” The debentures will not be directly secured by any security interest in the assets of DLP Funding II. Instead, the debentures will be secured by a pledge of the equity ownership interests in DLP Funding II owned by GWG Life by virtue of the guarantee provisions in the indenture and the Pledge and Security Agreement referenced above. An equity ownership interest is, by its very nature, subordinate to the interests of creditors. Therefore, although investors in the debentures will have a security interest in the ownership of DLP Funding II, any claim they may have to the assets owned by such entity will be subordinate to the interests of creditors of that entity, including (i) Autobahn/DZ Bank which is the lender to DLP Funding II under our revolving credit facility, and (ii) all other creditors of DLP Funding II, including trade creditors. In addition, there is the risk that the collateral security granted for our obligations under the debentures may be insufficient to repay the debentures upon an event of default. See “Risk Factors,” page 22.

#### Subordination; Other Indebtedness

Our obligations under the debentures will be subordinate to all our senior debt. For this purpose, “our senior debt” presently includes all indebtedness of our subsidiaries with respect to which the debentures are not pari passu with respect to payment and collateral (i.e., other than our subsidiary secured notes). In this regard, our subsidiary DLP Funding II has, as of December 31, 2011, approximately \$60 million of debt outstanding under our revolving credit facility. With respect to pari passu indebtedness, as of December 31, 2011 our subsidiary GWG Life has approximately \$49.3 million of debt outstanding under our subsidiary secured notes.

The maximum amount of debt, including the debentures, we may issue is limited by the indenture. In particular, the indenture prohibits us from issuing debt in an amount such that our “debt coverage ratio” would exceed 90%. The indenture defines the debt coverage ratio as a percentage calculated by the ratio of (A) obligations owing by us and our subsidiaries on all outstanding debt for borrowed money (including the debentures), over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance policy assets we own, directly or indirectly, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the cash flows derived from the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month.

The indenture provides that for the first four years after our initial sale of debentures, our subordination ratio may not exceed 50%. The indenture defines the subordination ratio as a percentage calculated as a ratio of (A) the principal amount owing by us or any of our subsidiaries that is either senior in rank to the debentures or secured by the life insurance policy assets owned by us or our subsidiaries, over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance policy assets we own, directly or indirectly, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the cash flows derived from the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted-average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month.

We are required to notify the indenture trustee in the event that we violate one of these restrictive covenants. An “event of default” will exist under the indenture if a violation persists for a period of 30 consecutive calendar days after our initial notice to the trustee. See “Risk Factors” page 23-25.

The debentures are guaranteed by GWG Life but otherwise are not guaranteed by any of our subsidiaries, affiliates or control persons. The indenture does not prevent holders of debt issued by our subsidiaries from disposing of, or exercising any other rights with respect to, any or all of the collateral securing that debt. Accordingly, in the event of a liquidation or dissolution of one of our subsidiaries (other than GWG Life), creditors of that subsidiary that are senior in rank will be paid in full, or provision for such payment will be made, from the assets of that subsidiary prior to distributing any remaining assets to us as an equity owner of that subsidiary.

The indenture also contains specific subordination provisions, benefitting lenders under senior credit facilities to our operating subsidiaries, restricting the right of debenture holders to enforce certain of their rights in certain circumstances, including:

- a prohibition on challenging any enforcement action taken by a senior lender or interfering with any legal action or suits undertaken by the senior lender against us and our affiliates;
- a 180-day standstill period during which there may not be brought any action to enforce an event of default against us or our affiliates unless our revolving credit facility has been repaid in full, which period may be extended if the credit facility provider takes action during such standstill period; and

- a prohibition on filing a bankruptcy or insolvency case against us or our affiliates for at least one year plus one day after the revolving credit facility lender has been paid in full.

We will not make any payment, direct or indirect (whether for interest, principal, as a result of any redemption or repayment at maturity, on default, or otherwise), on the debentures and any other indebtedness, and neither the holders of the debentures nor the trustee will have the right, directly or indirectly, to sue to enforce the indenture or the debentures, if a default or event of default under any senior credit facility has occurred and is continuing, or if any default or event of default under any senior credit facility would result from such payment, in each case unless and until:

- the default and event of default has been cured or waived or has ceased to exist; and
- the end of the period commencing on the date the indenture trustee receives written notice of default from a holder of such credit facility and ending on the earlier of (i) the indenture trustee's receipt of a valid waiver of default from the holder of a credit facility; or (ii) the indenture trustee's receipt of a written notice from the holder of a credit facility terminating the payment blockage period.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, if any of the blockage events described above have occurred and 179 days have passed since the indenture trustee's receipt of the notice of default without the occurrence of the cure, waiver, termination, or extension of all blockage periods described above, the trustee may thereafter sue on and enforce the indenture and our obligations thereunder and under the debentures as long as any funds paid as a result of any such suit or enforcement action shall be paid toward the senior credit facility until it is indefeasibly paid in full before being applied to the debentures.

Both the indenture and the Subscription Agreements contain provisions whereby each investor in the debentures consents to the subordination provisions contained in the indenture and related agreements governing collateral security.

If the 180-day standstill period noted above or any other limitation on the rights of the trustee or debenture holders to assert their rights to payment of principal or interest under the indenture or debentures is ultimately determined to conflict with provisions of the Trust Indenture Act of 1939 (most notably sections 316(b) and 317(a) of that Act), then the trustee, as well as any holder who shall not have earlier consented to such subordination provisions, shall (notwithstanding such provision contained in the indenture) be authorized to institute a lawsuit for the enforcement of any payment of principal or interest after their respective due dates.

#### No Sinking Fund

The debentures are not associated with any sinking fund. A sinking fund is generally any account to which contributions will be made, from which payments of principal or interest owed on the debentures will be made. See "Risk Factors" page 26.

#### Restrictive Covenants

The indenture contains covenants that restrict us from certain actions as described below. In particular, the indenture provides that:

- we will not declare or pay any dividends or other payments of cash or other property solely in respect of our capital stock to our stockholders (other than a dividend paid in shares of our capital stock on a pro rata basis to all our stockholders) unless no default and no event of default with respect to the debentures exists or would exist immediately following the declaration or payment of the dividend or other payment;
- to the extent legally permissible, we will not at any time insist upon, plead, or in any manner whatsoever claim or take the benefit or the performance of the indenture;
- our Board of Directors will not adopt a plan of liquidation that provides for, contemplates or the effectuation of which is preceded by (a) the sale, lease, conveyance or other disposition of all or substantially all of our assets, otherwise than (i) substantially as an entirety, or (ii) in a qualified sales and financing transaction, and (b) the distribution of all or substantially all of the proceeds of such sale, lease, conveyance or other disposition and of our remaining assets to the holders of our capital stock, unless, prior to making any liquidating distribution pursuant to

such plan, we make provision for the satisfaction of our obligations under the renewable unsecured subordinated notes;

- our debt coverage ratio may not exceed 90%; and



- for the first four years after our initial sale of debentures, our subordination ratio may not exceed 50%.

The indenture defines the debt coverage ratio as a percentage calculated by the ratio of (A) obligations owing on all outstanding debt for borrowed money (including the debentures), over (B) the net present asset value of all life insurance policy assets we own, plus any cash held in our accounts. For this purpose, the net present asset value of our life insurance assets is equal to the present value of the face value of policy benefit assets we own, discounted at a rate equal to the weighted average cost of capital for all our indebtedness for the prior month. The indenture defines the subordination ratio as a percentage calculated as a ratio of (1) the principal amount owing by us or any of our subsidiaries that is either senior in rank to the debentures or secured by the life insurance policy assets owned by us or our subsidiaries, over (2) the principal amount of outstanding debentures and subsidiary secured notes.

Importantly, we are not restricted from entering into qualified sale and financing transactions or incurring additional indebtedness, including additional senior debt.

#### Consolidation, Mergers or Sales

The indenture generally permits a consolidation or merger between us and another entity. It also permits the sale or transfer by us of all or substantially all of our property and assets. These transactions are permitted if:

- the resulting or acquiring entity, if other than us, is a United States corporation, limited liability company or limited partnership and assumes all of our responsibilities and liabilities under the indenture, including the payment of all amounts due on the notes and performance of the covenants in the indenture; and
- immediately after the transaction, and after giving effect to the transaction, no event of default shall exist under the indenture.

If we consolidate or merge with or into any other entity or sell or lease all or substantially all of our assets, according to the terms and conditions of the indenture, the resulting or acquiring entity will be substituted for us in the indenture with the same effect as if it had been an original party to the indenture. As a result, the successor entity may exercise our rights and powers under the indenture in our name, and we (as an entity) will be released from all our liabilities and obligations under the indenture and under the debentures. Nevertheless, no such transaction will by itself eliminate or modify the collateral that we have provided as security for our obligations under the indenture.

#### Events of Default and Remedies

The indenture provides that each of the following constitutes an event of default:

- the failure to pay interest or principal on any debenture for a period of 30 days after it becomes due and payable;
- a failure to observe or perform any material covenant, condition or agreement in the indenture, but only after notice of failure to the indenture trustee and such failure is not cured within 60 days;
  - our debt coverage ratio exceeds 90% for a period of 30 consecutive calendar days;
- the subordination ratio exceeds 50% for a period of 30 consecutive calendar days during the four-year period after the commencement of the offering of the debentures;
  - certain events of bankruptcy, insolvency or reorganization with respect to us; or

- the cessation of our business.

In addition, the indenture provides that for so long as any subsidiary secured notes remain outstanding, an event of default under the borrowing agreements relating to the subsidiary secured notes (as the same may from time to time be amended) will constitute an event of default under the indenture. In this regard, a default under the subsidiary secured note borrowing agreements includes a default under our revolving credit facility. As explained in other parts of this prospectus, our revolving credit facility is currently provided by Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, as lender, and DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank, as agent, pursuant to a Credit and Security Agreement dated July 15, 2008. DLP Funding II and another special purpose (but presently inactive) subsidiary entity are borrowers under the line of credit, and GWG Holdings is a party to the facility as performance guarantor.

The maximum line of credit is \$100 million subject to a borrowing base, which permits us to borrow up to 70% of the amount of eligible policies purchased and held in our portfolio. As of December 31, 2011, approximately \$60 million was outstanding under the line of credit. Proceeds of the line of credit may be used to purchase policies and loans. The credit facility matures in July 2013. Advances under the line of credit bear interest based either at the commercial paper rates available to the lender at the time of funding or at LIBOR plus an applicable margin.

The line of credit is secured by a pledge of substantially all of each borrower's assets and is subject to a performance guaranty by GWG Holdings. In addition, the borrowers are required to maintain a reserve account for the benefit of the lenders. If at any time the ratio of outstanding borrowings under the line of credit, together with accrued and unpaid interest and fees, exceeds 50% of the borrower's net eligible receivables balance (as defined in the loan agreement), collections from the maturity of life insurance policies are required to be deposited in the reserve account.

The line of credit is subject to customary affirmative and negative covenants. In addition, we must maintain certain financial covenants, including a positive consolidated net income measured annually and, at all times, a tangible net worth in excess of \$5,000,000 (calculated on a prescribed non-GAAP basis).

Finally, the line of credit is subject to certain customary events of default (e.g., payment defaults, covenant defaults, cross-defaults, material adverse change, changes in control and changes in management) and certain events of default specifically relating to our business, including but not limited to (i) portfolio defaults in excess of 10% on an annualized basis, (ii) failure to obtain an unqualified opinion on our annual consolidated financial statements, (iii) failure to maintain certain hedge transactions or replace hedge counterparties under any certain hedging transactions required under the credit agreement, (iv) any governmental authority directs that the purchase and/or servicing of loans be terminated or any law, rule or regulation makes it unlawful to originate, purchase and/or service loans, (v) the performance guaranty of GWG Holdings shall cease to be in full force and effect (vi) a deficiency in the borrowing base, as calculated under the credit agreement, or (vii) any default in the payment when due of other indebtedness in excess of \$100,000.

The indenture requires that we give immediate notice to the indenture trustee upon the occurrence of an event of default, unless it has been cured or waived. The indenture trustee may then provide notice to the debenture holders or withhold the notice if the indenture trustee determines in good faith that withholding the notice is in your best interest, unless the default is a failure to pay principal or interest on any debenture.

If an event of default occurs, the indenture trustee or the holders of at least 25% in principal amount of the outstanding debentures, may by written notice to us declare the unpaid principal and all accrued but unpaid interest on the debentures to be immediately due and payable. Notwithstanding the foregoing, the indenture limits the ability of the debenture holders to enforce certain rights under the indenture in certain circumstances. These limitations are required subordination provisions under our revolving credit facility and are summarized above under "—Subordination; Other Indebtedness." The Pledge and Security Agreement permits the trustee to exercise on behalf of the holders of debentures all rights and remedies as are available to a secured creditor under applicable law, subject to any limitations in the indenture, that agreement or the Intercreditor Agreement. In this regard, the trustee is not specifically authorized under the Pledge and Security Agreement to distribute in kind any collateral in its possession to the holders of debentures.

#### Amendment, Supplement and Waiver

Except as provided in this prospectus or the indenture, the terms of the indenture or the debentures then outstanding may be amended, supplemented or waived with the consent of the holders of at least a majority in principal amount of the debentures then outstanding (which consent will be presumed if a holder does not object within 30 days of a request for consent), and any existing default or compliance with any provision of the indenture or the debentures may

be waived with the affirmative consent of the holders of a majority in principal amount of the then outstanding debentures.

75

---

Notwithstanding the foregoing, an amendment or waiver will not be effective with respect to the debentures held by a holder who him, her or itself has not consented if such amendment or waiver:

- reduces the principal of or changes the fixed maturity of any debenture or alters the redemption provisions or the price at which we may redeem the debenture (other than as permitted under the indenture and described in the following paragraph);
- reduces the rate of or changes the time for payment of interest, including default interest, on any debenture;
- waives a default or event of default in the payment of principal or interest on the debentures, except for a rescission or withdrawal of acceleration of the debentures made by the holders of at least a majority in aggregate principal amount of the then-outstanding debentures and a waiver of the payment default that resulted from such acceleration;
  - makes any debenture payable in money other than that stated in this prospectus;
- makes any change in the provisions of the indenture relating to waivers of past defaults or the rights of holders of debentures to receive payments of principal of or interest on the debentures; or
- makes any change to the subordination provisions of the indenture that has a material adverse effect on holders of debentures.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the following kinds of amendments or supplements to the indenture may be effected by us and the trustee without any consent of any holder of the debentures:

- to cure any ambiguity, defect or inconsistency;
- to provide for assumption of our obligations to holders of the debentures in the case of a merger, consolidation or sale of all or substantially all of our assets;
  - to provide for additional uncertificated or certificated debentures;
- to make any change that does not materially and adversely affect the legal rights under the indenture of any holder of debentures, including but not limited to an increase in the aggregate dollar amount of debentures which may be outstanding under the indenture;
- to modify or eliminate our policy regarding redemptions elected by a holder of debentures prior to maturity, including our obligation to redeem debentures upon the death, bankruptcy or total permanent disability of any holder of the debentures, but only so long as such modifications do not materially and adversely affect any then-outstanding debentures; or
- to comply with requirements of the SEC in order to effect or maintain the qualification of the indenture under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939, or to comply with other applicable federal or state laws or regulations.

#### Rights of Debenture Holders

As a debenture holder, you have limited rights to vote on our actions as they are limited by the indenture. In general, you will have the right to vote on whether or not to approve some amendments to the indenture. For a description of these rights, see “—Amendment, Supplement and Waiver” above. You will also have the right to direct some actions that

the trustee takes if there is an event of default with respect to the debentures. For a description of these rights, see above under the caption “—Events of Default.” For a complete description of your rights as a debenture holder, we encourage you to read a copy of the indenture, which is filed as an exhibit to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part. We will also provide you with a copy of the indenture upon your request.

The trustee and the debenture holders will have the right to direct the time, method and place of conducting any proceeding for some of the remedies available, except as otherwise provided in the indenture. The trustee may require reasonable indemnity, satisfactory to the trustee, from debenture holders before acting at their direction. You will not have any right to pursue any remedy with respect to the indenture or the debentures unless you satisfy the conditions contained in the indenture.

#### The Indenture Trustee

##### General

Bank of Utah has agreed to be the trustee under the indenture. The indenture contains certain limitations on the rights of the trustee, should it become one of our creditors, to obtain payment of claims in certain cases, or to realize on certain property received in respect of any claim as security or otherwise. The trustee will be permitted to engage in other transactions with us.

Subject to certain exceptions, the holders of a majority in principal amount of the then-outstanding debentures will have the right to direct the time, method and place of conducting any proceeding for exercising any remedy available to the trustee. The indenture provides that if an event of default specified in the indenture shall occur and not be cured, the trustee will be required, in the exercise of its power, to use the degree of care of a reasonable person in the conduct of his own affairs. Subject to such provisions, the trustee will be under no obligation to exercise any of its rights or powers under the indenture at the request of any holder of debentures, unless the holder shall have offered to the trustee security and indemnity satisfactory to it against any loss, liability or expense.

##### Resignation or Removal of the Trustee

The trustee may resign at any time, or may be removed by the holders of a majority of the aggregate principal amount of the outstanding debentures. In addition, we may remove the trustee for certain failures in its duties, including the insolvency of the trustee or the trustee's ineligibility to serve as trustee under the Trust Indenture Act of 1939. However, no resignation or removal of the trustee may become effective until a successor trustee has accepted the appointment as provided in the indenture.

##### Reports to Trustee

We will provide the trustee with (i) a calculation date report by the 15th day of each month containing a calculation of the debt coverage ratio that includes a summary of all cash, life insurance policy investments serving as collateral, as well as our total outstanding indebtedness including outstanding principal balances, interest credited and paid, transfers made, any redemption or repayment and interest rate paid; (ii) copies of our audited annual financials, no earlier than when the same become a matter of public record; and (iii) any additional information reasonably requested by the trustee.

##### Certain Charges

We and our servicing agents, if any, may assess service charges for changing the registration of any debenture to reflect a change in name of the holder, multiple changes in interest payment dates or transfers (whether by operation of law or otherwise) of a debenture by the holder to another person. The indenture permits us to set off, against amounts otherwise payable to you under the debentures, the amount of these charges.

##### Variations in Terms and Conditions

#### Explanation of Responses:

We may from time to time vary the terms and conditions of the debentures offered, including but not limited to minimum initial principal investment amount requirements, maximum aggregate principal amount limits, interest rates, minimum denominations, service and other fees and charges, and redemption provisions. Terms and conditions may be varied by state, locality, principal amount, type of investor (for example, new or current investor) or as otherwise permitted under the indenture governing the securities offered by this prospectus. No change in terms, however, will apply to any debentures already issued and outstanding at the time of such change.



### Satisfaction and Discharge of Indenture

The indenture shall cease to be of further effect upon the payment in full of all of the outstanding debentures and the delivery of an officer's certificate to the trustee stating that we do not intend to issue additional debentures under the indenture or, with certain limitations, upon deposit with the trustee of funds sufficient for the payment in full of all of the outstanding debentures.

### Reports

We will publish annual reports containing financial statements and quarterly reports containing financial information for the first three quarters of each fiscal year. We will send copies of these reports, at no charge, to any holder of debentures who sends us a written request.

### PLAN OF DISTRIBUTION

We are offering up to \$250,000,000 in principal amount of debentures on a continuous basis. The debentures will be sold at their face value and in amounts of \$25,000 or more in principal. There is no minimum amount of debentures that must be sold before we access and use the proceeds. The proceeds of new sales of debentures will be paid directly to us promptly following each sale and will not be placed in an escrow account. Even if we sell less than the entire \$250,000,000 in debentures being offered, the debentures that we sell will be issued, and the proceeds of those debenture sales will be invested as described in this prospectus.

The debentures will be offered and sold on a best efforts basis by Arque Capital, Ltd. (our "dealer manager") and any participating broker-dealers it engages for this purpose (together the "selling group"). Arque Capital will be an underwriter of the debentures for purposes of the Securities Act of 1933. We may also directly offer and sell debentures apart from the selling group. We and the selling group will offer the debentures to the public on the terms set forth in this prospectus and any prospectus supplements we may file from time to time. Both we and the selling group plan to market the debentures directly to the public primarily through seminar presentations, the Internet, and personal contacts made by us and through the selling group. We may also sell debentures to registered investment advisors. Neither our dealer manager nor any other broker-dealer participating in our selling group will have any obligation to take or purchase any debentures. Our dealer manager and each broker-dealer member of our selling group is expected to assist in the offering by: (1) conducting informational meetings for subscribers and other qualified potential purchasers; (2) keeping records of all subscriptions; and (3) training and educating employees regarding the mechanics and regulatory requirements of the offering process.

Members of the selling group will receive sales commissions of up to 5.00% of the gross offering proceeds depending upon the maturity of the debenture sold. In addition, members of our selling group may receive up to 3.00% of the gross offering proceeds as additional underwriting compensation consisting of (i) an accountable and non-accountable expense allowance, (ii) a dealer manager fee (payable only to Arque Capital) for managing and coordinating the offering, and (iii) a wholesaling fee (payable only to wholesaling dealers), in each case depending upon the maturity of the debenture sold. We will not pay referral or similar fees to any accountants, attorneys or other persons in connection with the distribution of the debentures.

Our dealer manager will enter into participating dealer agreements with certain other broker-dealers that are members of FINRA, referred to as selling group members, to authorize such broker-dealers to sell our debentures. Upon the sale of debentures by such broker-dealers, the broker-dealer effecting the sale will receive selling commissions and additional underwriting compensation in connection therewith.

As part of the accountable expense allowance, the dealer manager and members of the selling group are expected to be reimbursed for accountable due diligence expenses incurred by them. Expenses eligible for reimbursement may include travel, lodging, meals and other reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred by participating broker-dealers and their personnel when visiting our office to verify information relating to us and this offering, and reimbursement of actual costs of third-party professionals retained to provide due diligence services to our dealer manager and selling group members. In no event will the total selling commissions, additional underwriting compensation and accountable due diligence expenses exceed 8.00% of the aggregate principal amount of debentures sold.

Our debentures will also be distributed through registered investment advisors who are generally compensated on a fee-for-service basis by the investor. In the event of the sale of debentures in our primary offering through an investment advisor compensated on a fee-for-service basis by the investor, our selling group member will waive its right to a commission.

In addition to the sales commissions, fees, allowances and expenses described above, the Company expects to pay approximately \$1,000,000 in offering and related costs and expenses in connection with this offering. These kinds of expenses include all expenses to be paid by us in connection with the offering (other than sales commissions, additional underwriting compensation, and expense allowances and reimbursement to our selling group members), including but not limited to legal, accounting, printing and mailing expenses, registration, qualification and associated securities filing fees and other costs and expenses.

The table below sets forth the maximum amount of sales commissions and additional underwriting compensation (consisting of accountable and non-accountable expense allowances, a dealer manager fee (payable only to Arque Capital), and a wholesaling fee (payable only to wholesaling dealers), and more fully described in fn. 1A to the table below) we may pay in connection with this offering.

Debenture Term	Sales Commission		Additional Underwriting Compensation (1A)		Total	
6 Month	.50	%	2.00	%	2.50	%
One Year	1.00	%	3.00	%	4.00	%
Two Year	3.25	%	3.00	%	6.25	%
Three Year	4.25	%	3.00	%	7.25	%
Four Year	4.75	%	3.00	%	7.75	%
Five Year	4.90	%	3.00	%	7.90	%
Seven Year	5.00	%	3.00	%	8.00	%

(1A) As described above, additional underwriting compensation includes (i) a non-accountable allowance expense of 0.50% of gross offering proceeds for a debenture with a term of six months and 1.00% for all other debenture maturities; (ii) an accountable allowance expense of up to 0.70% of gross offering proceeds for all debenture maturities; (iii) a dealer manager fee of 0.50% gross offering proceeds for a debenture with a term of six months and 1.00% for all other maturities; and (iv) a wholesaling fee of 0.30% of gross offering proceeds for all debenture maturities.

The line items reflected in the table below are our current estimates of average sales commissions and additional underwriting compensation (including accountable due diligence expenses) that we will pay. Specifically, we estimate that the average sales commission will be 4.25%, or \$10,625,000 based on \$250,000,000 in principal amount of debentures sold, and the average additional underwriting compensation will be 3.00%, or \$7,500,000 based on \$250,000,000 in principal amount of debentures sold. The components of “additional underwriting compensation” are detailed in fn. 1B to the table below. Actual costs may differ from the percentages and amounts shown in the table below, subject, however, to the limitations noted above.

Debentures Sold	Sales Commission	Additional Underwriting Compensation (1B)	Total	
\$75,000,000	\$3,187,500	\$2,225,000	7.25	%

125,000,000	5,312,500	3,750,000	7.25	%
250,000,000	10,625,000	7,500,000	7.25	%

(1B) Additional underwriting compensation consists of all selling compensation (other than sales commissions) paid in the form of an accountable and non-accountable expense allowance, a dealer manager fee, and wholesale commissions. We have assumed the maximum accountable and non-accountable allowance expense of 1.70% or \$4,250,000 of gross offering proceeds (assuming \$250,000,000 in principal amount of debentures sold), dealer manager fees of 1.00% or \$2,500,000 of gross offering proceeds (assuming \$250,000,000 in principal amount of debentures sold), and wholesale commissions of 0.30% or \$750,000 of gross offering proceeds (assuming \$250,000,000 in principal amount of debentures sold) will be paid by us in connection with the offering.

Our dealer manager holds the FINRA licenses for wholesalers employed by us, who attend local, regional and national conferences of the participating broker-dealers and who contact participating broker-dealers and their registered representatives to make presentations concerning us and this offering and to encourage them to sell our debentures. The wholesalers receive base salaries and bonuses as compensation for their efforts. Our dealer manager hosts training and education meetings for broker-dealers and their representatives. The other costs of the training and education meetings will be borne by us.

In accordance with FINRA rules, in no event will our total underwriting compensation to FINRA members, including but not limited to sales commissions, the dealer manager fee and accountable and non-accountable expense reimbursements to our dealer manager and selling group broker-dealers, exceed 8.00% of our gross offering proceeds, in the aggregate.

We will indemnify the participating broker-dealers and our dealer manager against some civil liabilities, including certain liabilities under the Securities Act of 1933 and liabilities arising from breaches of our representations and warranties contained in the Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement. If we are unable to provide this indemnification, we may contribute to payments the indemnified parties may be required to make in respect of those liabilities.

The foregoing is a summary of the material terms relating to the plan of distribution of the debentures contained in the Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement. Any amendment to the Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement will be filed as an exhibit to an amendment to the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part.

#### MATERIAL FEDERAL INCOME TAX CONSIDERATIONS

The following is a general discussion of the material United States (“U.S.”) federal income tax considerations relating to the initial purchase, ownership and disposition of the debentures by U.S. and non-U.S. holders. This discussion is a summary only and is not a complete analysis of all the potential tax considerations relating to the purchase, ownership and disposition of the debentures. We have based this summary on current provisions of the Code of 1986, as amended (the “Code”), applicable U.S. Treasury Regulations promulgated thereunder, judicial opinions, and published rulings of the Internal Revenue Service (the “IRS”), all as in effect on the date of this prospectus. However, these laws and other guidance are subject to differing interpretations or change, possibly with retroactive effect. In addition, we have not sought, and will not seek, a ruling from the U.S. Internal Revenue Service (“IRS”) or an opinion of counsel with respect to any tax consequences of purchasing, owning or disposing of debentures. Thus, the IRS could challenge one or more of the tax consequences or matters described in this prospectus; and there can be no assurance that any position taken by the IRS would not be sustained.

This discussion is limited to purchasers of debentures who acquire the debentures from us in this offering and hold the debentures as capital assets for federal income tax purposes. This discussion does not address all possible tax consequences that may be applicable to you in light of your specific circumstances. For instance, this discussion does not address the alternative minimum tax provisions of the Code, or special rules applicable to some categories of investors such as financial institutions, insurance companies, tax-exempt organizations, dealers in securities, real estate investment trusts, regulated investment companies, or persons who hold debentures as part of a hedge, conversion or constructive sale transaction, straddle or other risk reduction transaction that may be subject to special rules. This discussion also does not address the tax consequences arising under the laws of any foreign, state or local jurisdiction; or any U.S. estate or gift tax laws.

If you are considering the purchase of a debenture, you should consult your own tax advisors as to the particular tax consequences to you of acquiring, holding or otherwise disposing of the debentures, including the effect and applicability of state, local or foreign tax laws, or any U.S. estate and gift tax laws.

As used in this discussion, the term “U.S. holder” means a holder of a debenture that is:

- (i) for United States federal income tax purposes, a citizen or resident of the United States;

80

---

- (ii) a corporation, partnership or other entity created or organized in or under the laws of the United States or of any political subdivision thereof or other entity characterized as a corporation or partnership for federal income tax purposes;
- (iii) an estate, the income of which is subject to United States federal income taxation regardless of its source; or
- (iv) a trust, the administration of which is subject to the primary supervision of a court within the United States and which has one or more United States persons with authority to control all substantial decisions, or if the trust was in existence on August 20, 1996, and has elected to continue to be treated as a United States trust.

For the purposes of this discussion, a “non-U.S. holder” means any holder of debentures other than a U.S. holder. Any debenture purchaser who is not a U.S. citizen will be required to furnish documentation, on IRS Form W-8BEN, that clearly states whether it is subject to U.S. withholding taxes, in accordance with applicable requirements of the United States taxing authority.

#### Characterization of the Debentures

The federal income tax consequences of owning debentures depend on characterization of the debentures as debt for federal income tax purposes, rather than as equity interests or a partnership among the holders of the debentures. We believe that the debentures have been structured in a manner that will allow the debentures to be characterized as debt for federal income tax purposes. However, this is only our belief; and no ruling from the IRS or an opinion of counsel has been sought in this regard. Thus, the IRS could successfully challenge this characterization.

If the debentures were treated as equity interests, there could be adverse effects on some holders. For example, payments on the debentures could (1) if paid to non-U.S. holders, be subject to federal income tax withholding; (2) constitute unrelated business taxable income to some tax-exempt entities, including pension funds and some retirement accounts (if the relationship were characterized as a partnership for tax purposes); and (3) cause the timing and amount of income that accrues to holders of debentures to be different from that described below.

Because of these potential adverse effects, you are urged to consult your own tax advisors as to the tax consequences that may apply to your particular situation in the event the debentures are re-characterized as equity interests; and as to the likelihood that the debentures could be so re-characterized. The remainder of this discussion assumes that the debentures are characterized as debt.

#### Taxation of U.S. Holders

##### Stated Interest

Under general federal income tax principles, you must include stated interest in income in accordance with the method of accounting you use for federal income tax purposes. Accordingly, if you are using the accrual method of tax accounting, you must include stated interest in income as it accrues. If you are using the cash method of tax accounting, you must include stated interest in income as it is actually or constructively received. Payments of interest to taxable holders of debentures will constitute portfolio income, and not passive activity income, for the purposes of the passive loss limitations of the Code. Accordingly, income arising from payments on the debentures will not be subject to reduction by losses from passive activities of a holder.

Income attributable to interest payments on the debentures may be offset by investment expense deductions, subject to the limitation that individual investors may only deduct miscellaneous itemized deductions, including investment expenses other than interest, to the extent these deductions exceed 2% of the investor’s adjusted gross income.

#### Explanation of Responses:

If a partnership (or other entity or arrangement treated as a partnership for U.S. federal income tax purposes) holds debentures, the tax treatment of a partner generally will depend upon the status of the partner and the activities of the partnership. If you are a partner in a partnership purchasing debentures, we urge you to consult your tax advisor.

81

---



## Disposition of Debentures

In general, a U.S. holder will recognize gain or loss upon the sale, exchange or other taxable disposition of a debenture measured by the difference between (1) the sum of the cash and the fair market value of all other property received on such disposition, excluding any portion of the payment that is attributable to accrued interest on the debentures; and (2) your adjusted tax basis in the debenture. A U.S. holder's adjusted tax basis in a debenture generally will be equal to the price the U.S. holder paid for the debenture. Any of this gain or loss generally will be long-term capital gain or loss if, at the time of any such taxable disposition, the debenture was a capital asset in the hands of the holder and was held for more than one year. Under current law, net long-term capital gain recognized by individual U.S. holders in tax years beginning before January 1, 2013, is eligible for a reduced rate of taxation. The deductibility of capital losses is subject to annual limitations.

The terms of the debentures may be modified upon the consent of a specified percentage of holders and, in some cases, without consent of the holders. In addition, the debentures may be assumed upon the occurrence of specific transactions. The modification or assumption of a debenture could, in some instances, give rise to a deemed exchange of a debenture for a new debt instrument for federal income tax purposes. If an exchange is deemed to occur by reason of a modification or assumption, you could realize gain or loss without receiving any cash.

## Additional Tax on Net Investment Income

For taxable years beginning after December 31, 2012, if you are a U.S. holder other than a corporation, you generally will be subject to a 3.8% additional tax (the "Medicare tax") on the lesser of (1) your "net investment income" for the taxable year, and (2) the excess of your modified adjusted gross income for the taxable year over a certain threshold. Your net investment income generally will include any income or gain recognized by you with respect to our debentures, unless such income or gain is derived in the ordinary course of the conduct of your trade or business (other than a trade or business that consists of certain passive or trading activities).

## Considerations for Tax-Exempt Holders of Debentures

Tax-exempt entities, including charitable corporations, pension plans, profit sharing or stock bonus plans, individual retirement accounts and some other employee benefit plans are subject to federal income tax on unrelated business taxable income. For example, net income derived from the conduct of a trade or business regularly carried on by a tax-exempt entity or by a partnership in which it is a partner is treated as unrelated business taxable income.

A \$1,000 special deduction is allowed in determining the amount of unrelated business taxable income subject to tax. Tax-exempt entities taxed on their unrelated business taxable income are also subject to the alternative minimum tax for items of tax preference which enter into the computation of unrelated business taxable income.

In general, interest income does not constitute unrelated business taxable income. However, under the debt-financed property rules, if tax-exempt holders of debentures finance the acquisition or holding of debentures with debt, interest on the debentures will be taxable as unrelated business taxable income. The debentures will be treated as debt-financed property if the debt was incurred to acquire the debentures or was incurred after the acquisition of the debentures, so long as the debt would not have been incurred but for the acquisition and, at the time of the acquisition, the incurrence of the debt has already occurred or was foreseeable.

## Non-U.S. Holders

The following discussion is a summary of the principal U.S. federal income consequences resulting from the ownership of the debentures by non-U.S. holders. However, application of the U.S. federal income tax rules

associated with non-U.S. holders is complex and factually sensitive. Thus, if you could be considered to be a non-U.S. holder, you are urged to consult your own tax advisors with respect to the application of the federal income tax rules for your particular situation.

#### Payments of Interest to Non-U.S. Holders

Subject to the discussion below under “Backup Withholding and Information Reporting,” payments of interest received by a non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal withholding tax, provided (1) that (a) the non-U.S. holder does not own, actually or constructively, 10% or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of our stock entitled to vote; (b) the non-U.S. holder is not a controlled foreign corporation, actually or constructively, through stock ownership; and (c) the beneficial owner of the debenture complies with the certification requirements, including delivery of a statement, signed by the holder under penalties of perjury, certifying that the holder is a foreign person and provides its name and address; or (2) that the non-U.S. holder is entitled to the benefits of an income tax treaty under which the interest is exempt from U.S. withholding tax and the non-U.S. holder complies with the reporting requirements. If a debenture is held through a securities clearing organization or other specified financial institutions (an “Intermediary”), the Intermediary may provide the relevant signed statement and, unless the Intermediary is a “qualified” intermediary as defined under the Code, the signed statement provided by the Intermediary must be accompanied by a copy of a valid Form W-8BEN provided by the non-U.S. beneficial holder of the debenture.

Payments of interest not exempt from United States federal withholding tax as described above will be subject to a withholding tax at the rate of 30%, subject to reduction under an applicable income tax treaty. Payments of interest on a debenture to a non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to U.S. federal income tax, as opposed to withholding tax, unless the income is effectively connected with the conduct by the non-U.S. holder of a trade or business in the United States. To claim the benefit of a lower treaty withholding rate, a non-U.S. holder must provide a properly executed IRS Form W-8BEN to us or our paying agent before the payment of stated interest; and may be required to obtain a U.S. taxpayer identification number and provide documentary evidence issued by foreign governmental authorities to prove residence in the foreign country. You should consult your own tax advisor to determine the effects of the application of the U.S. federal withholding tax to your particular situation.

#### Disposition of the Debentures by Non-U.S. Holders

Subject to the discussion below under “Backup Withholding and Information Reporting,” a non-U.S. holder generally will not be subject to United States federal income tax, and generally no tax will be withheld with respect to gains realized on the disposition of a debenture, unless (a) the gain is effectively connected with a United States trade or business conducted by the non-U.S. holder or (b) the non-U.S. holder is an individual who is present in the United States for 183 or more days during the taxable year of the disposition and other requirements are satisfied.

#### Non-U.S. Holders Subject to U.S. Income Taxation

If interest and other payments received by a non-U.S. holder with respect to the debentures, including proceeds from the disposition of the debentures, are effectively connected with the conduct by the non-U.S. holder of a trade or business within the United States, or the non-U.S. holder is otherwise subject to United States federal income taxation on a net basis with respect to the holder’s ownership of the debentures, or are individuals that have by operation of law become residents in the United States for federal income tax purposes, the non-U.S. holder generally will be subject to the rules described above applicable to U.S. holders of debentures, subject to any modification provided under an applicable income tax treaty. If any of these non-U.S. holders is a corporation, it may also be subject to a U.S. “branch profits tax” at a 30% rate.

#### Backup Withholding and Information Reporting

Non-corporate U.S. holders may be subject to backup withholding at a rate of 31% on payments of principal, premium, and interest on, and the proceeds of the disposition of, the debentures. In general, backup withholding will

be imposed only if the U.S. holder (1) fails to furnish its taxpayer identification number (“TIN”), which for an individual would be his or her Social Security number; (2) furnishes an incorrect TIN; (3) is notified by the IRS that it has failed to report payments of interest or dividends; or (4) under some circumstances, fails to certify under penalty of perjury that it has furnished a correct TIN and has been notified by the IRS that it is subject to backup withholding tax for failure to report interest or dividend payments. In addition, the payments of principal and interest to U.S. holders generally will be subject to information reporting. You should consult your tax advisors regarding your qualification for exemption from backup withholding and the procedure for obtaining an exemption, if applicable.

Backup withholding generally will not apply to payments made to a non-U.S. holder of a debenture who provides the certification that it is a non-U.S. holder, and the payor does not have actual knowledge that a certificate is false, or otherwise establishes an exemption from backup withholding. Payments by United States office of a broker of the proceeds of a disposition of the debentures generally will be subject to backup withholding at a rate of 31% unless the non-U.S. holder certifies it is a non-U.S. holder under penalties of perjury or otherwise establishes an exemption. In addition, if a foreign office of a foreign custodian, foreign nominee or other foreign agent of the beneficial owner, or if a foreign office of a foreign “broker” pays the proceeds of the sale of a debenture to the seller, backup withholding and information reporting will not apply; provided that the nominee, custodian, agent or broker is not a “United States related person,” or a person which derives more than 50% of its gross income for some periods from the conduct of a trade or business in the United States or is a controlled foreign corporation. The payment by a foreign office of a broker that is a United States person or a United States related person of the proceeds of the sale of debentures will not be subject to backup withholding, but will be subject to information reporting unless the broker has documentary evidence in its records that the beneficial owner is not a United States person for purposes of the backup withholding and information reporting requirements and other conditions are met, or the beneficial owner otherwise establishes an exemption.

The amount of any backup withholding imposed on a payment to a holder of a debenture will be allowed as a credit against the holder’s United States federal income tax liability and may entitle the holder to a refund; provided that the required information is furnished to the IRS.

#### STATE, LOCAL AND FOREIGN TAXES

We make no representations regarding the tax consequences of the purchase, ownership or disposition of the debentures under the tax laws of any state, locality or foreign country. You should consult your own tax advisors regarding these state and foreign tax consequences.

#### ERISA CONSIDERATIONS

##### General

Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974, as amended (“ERISA”), and Section 4975 of the Code impose restrictions on employee benefit plans that are subject to ERISA, or plans or arrangements that are subject to Code Section 4975, and on persons who are parties in interest or disqualified persons with respect to those plans or arrangements. Some employee benefit plans, like governmental plans and church plans (if no election has been made under Section 410(d) of the Code), are not subject to the restrictions of Title I of ERISA or Code Section 4975, and assets of these plans may be invested in the debentures without regard to the ERISA considerations described below, subject to the Code and other applicable federal and state laws affecting tax-exempt organizations generally. Any plan fiduciary that proposes to cause a plan to acquire any of the debentures should consult with its counsel with respect to the potential consequences under ERISA and the Code of the plan’s acquisition and ownership of the debentures. Investments by plans are also subject to ERISA’s and the Code’s general fiduciary requirements, including the requirement of investment prudence and diversification and the requirement that a plan’s investments be made in accordance with the documents governing the plan.

##### Prohibited Transactions

##### General

Section 406 of ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code prohibits certain “parties in interest” and “disqualified persons” with respect to a plan from engaging in select transactions involving a plan and its assets unless a statutory, regulatory or administrative exemption applies to the transaction. Section 4975 of the Code imposes excise taxes, or in some cases a civil penalty may be assessed under Section 502(i) of ERISA, on parties in interest that engage in non-exempt “prohibited transactions.” Section 502(i) of ERISA requires the Secretary of the U.S. Department of Labor (“Labor”) to assess a civil penalty against a fiduciary who breaches any fiduciary responsibility under, or commits any other violation of, part 4 of Title I of ERISA, or any other person who knowingly participates in a breach or violation.

## Plan Asset Regulations

Labor has issued regulations concerning the definition of what constitutes the assets of a plan for purposes of ERISA and the prohibited transaction provisions of the Code. The plan asset regulations describe the circumstances where the assets of an entity in which a plan invests will be considered to be “plan assets,” so that any person who exercises control over the assets would be subject to ERISA’s fiduciary standards. Generally, under the plan asset regulation, when a plan invests in another entity, the plan’s assets do not include, solely by reason of the investment, any of the underlying assets of the entity. However, the plain asset regulation provides that, if a plan acquires an “equity interest” in an entity that is neither a “publicly-offered security” nor a security issued by an investment company registered under the Investment Company Act of 1940 the assets of the entity will be treated as assets of the plan investor unless exceptions apply.

Under the plan asset regulations the term “equity interest” is defined as any interest in an entity other than an instrument that is treated as indebtedness under “applicable local law” and that has no “substantial equity features.” Although the plan asset regulation is silent with respect to the question of which law constitutes “applicable local law” for this purpose, Labor has stated that these determinations should be made under the state law governing interpretation of the instrument in question. In the preamble to the plan asset regulation, Labor declined to provide a precise definition of what features are equity features or the circumstances under which the features would be considered “substantial,” noting that the question of whether a plan’s interest has substantial equity features is an inherently factual one, but that in making that determination it would be appropriate to take into account whether the equity features are such that a plan’s investment would be a practical vehicle for the indirect provision of investment management services. We believe that the debentures will be classified as indebtedness without substantial equity features for ERISA purposes.

Under the plan asset regulations the term “publicly-offered security” is defined as a security that is (i) freely transferable, (ii) part of a class of securities that is widely held, and (iii) either (A) part of a class of securities registered under section 12(b) or 12(g) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or (B) sold to the plan as part of an offering of securities to the public pursuant to an effective registration statement under the Securities Act of 1933 and the class of securities of which such security is a part is registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 within 120 days after the end of the fiscal year of the issuer during which the offering of such securities to the public occurred. For purposes of the above, a class of securities is considered to be “widely held” if it is owned by 100 or more investors independent of the issuer and of one another. In the case of this offering, while the offer and sale of the debentures have been registered under the Securities Act of 1933, the debentures themselves have not been registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. For this reason, we believe that the debentures will not likely meet the definition for “publicly-offered security” under the plan asset regulations.

In light of the foregoing, if the debentures were deemed to be equity interests for this purpose and no statutory, regulatory, or administrative exception applies, we could be considered to hold plan assets by reason of a plan’s investment in the debentures. These plan assets would include an undivided interest in all of our assets. In this case, we may be considered a fiduciary with respect to the investing plans. We would be subject to the fiduciary responsibility provisions of Title I of ERISA, including the prohibited transaction provisions of Section 406 of ERISA and Section 4975 of the Code, and to Section 4975 of the Code with respect to transactions involving any of our assets. The ERISA fiduciary standards could affect the way we conduct the business, which would have consequences for all investors, not just those that are employee benefit plans.

Depending on the relevant facts and circumstances, prohibited transaction exemptions may apply to the purchase or holding of the debentures. See, for example, Prohibited Transaction Class Exemption (“PTE”) 96-23, which exempts some transactions effected on behalf of a plan or by an “in-house asset manager;” PTE 95-60, which exempts some transactions between insurance company general accounts and parties in interest; PTE 91-38, which exempts some transactions between bank collective investment funds and parties in interest; PTE 90-1, which exempts some

transactions between insurance company pooled separate accounts and parties in interest; or PTE 84-14, which exempts some transactions effected on behalf of a plan by a “qualified professional asset manager.” However, there can be no assurance that any of these exemptions will apply with respect to any plan’s investment in the debentures, or that the exemption, if it did apply, would apply to all prohibited transactions that may occur in connection with the investment.



Any plan fiduciary considering whether to purchase debentures on behalf of a plan should consult with its counsel regarding the applicability of the fiduciary responsibility and prohibited transaction provisions of ERISA and the Code. Before purchasing any debentures, a fiduciary of a plan should make its own determination as to (1) whether GWG Holdings, as issuer of and borrower under the debentures, is a “party in interest” under ERISA or a “disqualified person” under the Code with respect to the plan; (2) the availability of the relief provided in the plan asset regulation and (3) the availability of any other prohibited transaction exemptions. In addition, purchasers that are insurance companies should consult their own ERISA counsel with respect to their fiduciary responsibilities associated with their purchase and ownership of the debentures, including any responsibility under the Supreme Court case *John Hancock Mutual Life Insurance Co. v. Harris Trust and Savings Bank*.

#### LEGAL MATTERS

Certain legal matters in connection with the debentures will be passed upon for us by Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP, of Minneapolis, Minnesota.

#### EXPERTS

The consolidated financial statements of GWG Holdings, Inc. and its subsidiaries as of and for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2011, included in this prospectus and in the related registration statement, have been audited by Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C., an independent registered public accounting firm. As indicated in their report with respect thereto, these consolidated financial statements are included in this prospectus in reliance upon the authority of such firm as experts in auditing and accounting, with respect to such report.

#### WHERE YOU CAN FIND MORE INFORMATION

We have filed with the SEC a registration statement on Form S-1 under the Securities Act with respect to the debentures to be offered and sold pursuant to the prospectus which is a part of that registration statement. This prospectus does not contain all the information contained in the registration statement. For further information with respect to us and the debentures to be sold in this offering, we refer you to the registration statement, including the agreements, other documents and schedules filed as exhibits to the registration statement.

We file annual, quarterly and current reports, and other information with the SEC. We intend to make these filings available on our website at [www.gwglife.com](http://www.gwglife.com). Information on our website is not incorporated by reference in this prospectus. We maintain an office at 220 South Sixth Street, Suite 1200, Minneapolis, MN 55402 where all records concerning the debentures are to be retained. Debenture holders and their representatives can request information regarding the debentures by contacting our office by mail at our address or by telephone at (612) 746-1944 or by fax at (612) 746-0445. Upon request, we will provide copies of our filings with the SEC free of charge to our investors. Our SEC filings, including the registration statement of which this prospectus is a part, will also be available on the SEC’s Internet site at <http://www.sec.gov>. You may read and copy all or any portion of the registration statement or any reports, statements or other information we file at the SEC’s public reference room at 100 F Street, N.E., Washington, D.C. 20549. In addition, you may call the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330 for further information on the operation of the public reference room. You may receive copies of these documents upon payment of a duplicating fee by writing to the SEC.



GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

Table of Contents

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-1
Consolidated Balance Sheets as of December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	F-2
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Equity for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the years ended December 31, 2011 and December 31, 2010	F-6
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-8

---

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of GWG Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries (Company) as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in equity, and cash flows for the years then ended. These consolidated financial statements are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these consolidated financial statements based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. The Company is not required to have, nor were we engaged to perform, an audit of its internal control over financial reporting. Our audit included consideration of internal control over financial reporting as a basis for designing audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of GWG Holdings, Inc. and Subsidiaries as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for the years then ended, in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Minneapolis, Minnesota  
April 27, 2012

F-1

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,878,349	\$ 1,758,230
Restricted cash	4,794,302	5,219,009
Due from related parties	2,265	2,415
Investment in life settlements, at fair value	122,168,524	82,717,562
Deferred financing costs, net	329,937	562,834
Death benefits receivable	-	400,000
Investment in Athena Structured Funds, PLC	4,973	-
Other assets	210,925	390,708
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 129,389,275</b>	<b>\$ 91,050,758</b>
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>		
<b>LIABILITIES</b>		
Revolving credit facility	\$ 60,000,000	\$ 37,085,452
Series I Secured notes payable	48,179,271	51,798,992
Accounts payable	435,768	710,547
Accrued expenses		
Interest	1,887,835	975,817
Other	968,339	318,603
Deferred taxes, net	4,308,217	-
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>115,779,430</b>	<b>90,889,411</b>
<b>REDEEMABLE MEMBER'S INTEREST</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(509,126)</b>
<b>CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK</b> (par value \$0.001; shares authorized 40,000,000; shares issued and outstanding 1,881,329; liquidation preference of \$14,108,772) (see note 10)		
	12,661,276	-
<b>EQUITY</b>		
Members' capital	-	2,976,541
Common stock (par value \$0.001: shares authorized 210,000,000; shares issued 9,989,000) (see note 1)	9,989	-
Additional paid-in capital	8,169,303	-
Accumulated deficit	(7,230,723)	-
Notes receivable from related parties	-	(2,306,068)
<b>TOTAL EQUITY</b>	<b>948,569</b>	<b>670,473</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY</b>	<b>\$ 129,389,275</b>	<b>\$ 91,050,758</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-2

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

	Year Ended	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>REVENUE</b>		
Contract servicing fees	\$ -	\$ 142,982
Gain on life settlements, net	17,804,199	8,658,874
Interest and other income	60,681	97,091
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>17,864,880</b>	<b>8,898,947</b>
<b>EXPENSES</b>		
Employee compensation and benefits	2,081,545	2,230,106
Legal and professional fees	1,200,137	1,109,013
Investment banking services	3,595,027	-
Interest expense	7,860,479	4,427,368
Recovery of losses on related party notes receivable	-	(20,425)
Other expenses	1,646,131	1,383,280
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>16,383,319</b>	<b>9,129,342</b>
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>	<b>1,481,561</b>	<b>(230,395)</b>
<b>INCOME TAX EXPENSE</b>	<b>4,308,217</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>NET LOSS</b>	<b>(2,826,656)</b>	<b>(230,395)</b>
<b>NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,277,682)</b>
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONTROLLING INTERESTS</b>	<b>(2,826,656)</b>	<b>1,047,287</b>
<b>NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO REDEEMABLE INTERESTS</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>46,671</b>
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONREDEEMABLE CONTROLLING INTERESTS</b>	<b>\$(2,826,656)</b>	<b>\$1,000,616</b>
<b>BASIC AND FULLY DILUTED INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONTROLLING INTERESTS</b>	<b>\$(0.30)</b>	<b>\$0.12</b>
<b>BASIC AND FULLY DILUTED WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING</b>	<b>9,468,759</b>	<b>9,000,000</b>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.





GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS - CONTINUED

PROFORMA INFORMATION AS IF THE COMPANY	Year Ended	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
HAD BEEN A CORPORATION FOR ALL PERIODS (see note 13)		
INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES	\$ 1,481,561	\$ (230,395)
INCOME TAX EXPENSE (BENEFIT)	582,253	(90,545)
NET INCOME (LOSS)	899,308	(139,850)
NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS	\$ -	\$ (775,553)
NET INCOME ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONTROLLING INTERESTS	\$ 899,308	\$ 635,703
PROFORMA BASIC EARNINGS PER SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONTROLLING INTERESTS (see note 1)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07
PROFORMA BASIC WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (see note 1)	9,468,759	9,000,000
PROFORMA FULLY DILUTED EARNINGS PER SHARE ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONTROLLING INTERESTS (see note 1)	\$ 0.09	\$ 0.07
PROFORMA FULLY DILUTED WEIGHTED AVERAGE SHARES OUTSTANDING (see note 1)	\$ 9,909,129	\$ 9,000,000

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CHANGES IN EQUITY

	Members' Capital Units	Dollars	Common Shares	Common Stock (par)	Additional Paid-in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	Notes Receivable From Related Parties	Total Equity Attributable to Controlling Interest	Non-con Inter
Balance, December 31, 2009	2,144	\$3,806,061	-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$(1,761,134)	\$2,044,927	\$150,5
Net income (loss)	-	1,047,287	-	-	-	-	-	1,047,287	(1,27
Net income allocated to the redeemable members units		(46,671 )	-	-	-	-	-	(46,671 )	-
Capital contributions	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	680,3
Redemption of noncontrolling interest in GWG Life Settlements, LLC	-	(1,830,136)	-	-	-	-	-	(1,830,136)	1,915
Sale of membership interest in Opportunity Bridge Funding, LLC	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	(1,46
Advances to related parties	-	-	-	-	-	-	(544,934 )	(544,934 )	-
Balance, December 31, 2010	2,144	2,976,541	-	-	-	-	(2,306,068)	670,473	-
Net income through June 10	-	4,404,069	-	-	-	-	-	4,404,069	-

Restructuring of redeemable member's interest	100	(509,126 )	-	-	-	-	-	(509,126 )	-
Conversion from LLC to corporation (see note 1)	(2,244)	(6,871,484)	9,000,000	9,000	6,862,484	-	-	-	-
Net loss June 11 through December 31	-	-	-	-	-	(7,230,723)	-	(7,230,723)	-
Payment of notes receivable by related parties		-	-	-	-	-	2,306,068	2,306,068	-
Common stock dividends		-	-	-	(2,306,068)	-	-	(2,306,068)	-
Issuance of warrants to purchase common stock					13,876			13,876	
Issuance of common stock		-	989,000	989	3,599,011	-	-	3,600,000	-
Balance, December 31, 2011	-	\$-	9,989,000	\$9,989	\$8,169,303	\$(7,230,723)	\$-	\$948,569	\$-

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

	Year Ended	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net loss	\$ (2,826,656)	\$ (230,395)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities:		
Gain on life settlements	(29,325,019)	(17,227,092)
Recovery of losses on notes receivable from related parties	-	(20,425)
Capitalized interest on notes receivable from related parties	-	(82,435)
Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs	2,002,512	746,635
Investment banking services	3,595,027	-
Deferred income taxes, net	4,308,217	-
Preferred stock issued for dividends	16,689	-
Convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends payable	290,454	-
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:		
Due from related parties	-	(2,415)
Death benefits receivable	400,000	(158,302)
Other assets	188,686	(178,465)
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:		
Due to related party	150	(610,888)
Accounts payable	(274,779)	(243,777)
Accrued interest	1,219,971	1,601,334
Accrued expenses	143,267	(354,691)
<b>NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(20,261,481)</b>	<b>(16,760,916)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Investment in life settlements	(11,929,395)	(53,223,996)
Proceeds from settlement of life settlements	1,803,452	-
<b>NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>(10,125,943)</b>	<b>(53,223,996)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Net proceeds from revolving credit facility	22,914,548	32,098,027
Proceeds from issuance of Series I Secured notes payable	11,991,331	43,494,690
Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable	(7,810,398)	(5,780,420)
Proceeds from restricted cash	424,707	532,106
Issuance of common stock	4,973	-
Advances on notes to related parties	-	(462,499)
Issuance of non-controlling interest member capital	-	680,388
Issuance of preferred stock	4,213,862	-
Payments of issuance cost for preferred stock	(1,231,480)	-
Proceeds from notes receivable from related parties	2,306,068	-

Common stock dividends	(2,306,068)	-
NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES	30,507,543	70,562,292
NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS	120,119	577,380
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS		
BEGINNING OF YEAR	1,758,230	1,180,850
END OF YEAR	\$ 1,878,349	\$ 1,758,230

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

F-6

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS – CONTINUED

	Year Ended	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
<b>SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURES OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION</b>		
Interest paid	\$ 5,846,000	\$ 2,904,000
Income taxes paid	\$ -	\$ -
<b>NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>		
Receivable for maturity of life settlement investment	\$ -	\$ 241,698
Redemption of non-controlling interest	\$ -	\$ 1,916,000
Sale of membership interest in Opportunity Bridge Funding, LLC to Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC:		
Prepaid expenses	\$ -	\$ 16,000
Bridge loans receivable	\$ -	\$ 3,226,000
Accounts payable	\$ -	\$ (84,000)
Due to related parties	\$ -	\$ (1,690,000)
Noncontrolling interest	\$ -	\$ (1,469,000)
Convertible, redeemable preferred stock:		
Non-cash conversion of Series I secured notes	\$ 9,570,000	\$ -
Non-cash conversion of accrued interest payable on Series I secured notes	\$ 308,000	\$ -

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these Consolidated Financial Statements.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

( 1 ) Nature of business and summary of significant accounting policies

Nature of business - GWG Holdings, Inc. (Holdings) (previously GWG Holdings, LLC) and Subsidiaries, located in Minneapolis, Minnesota, facilitates the purchase of life insurance policies for its own investment portfolio through its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Life Settlements, LLC (GWG Life), and its subsidiaries, GWG DLP Funding, LLC (DLP) and its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG DLP Master Trust (the Trust) prior to its sale on November 1, 2010 as described in note 4; and GWG DLP Funding II, LLC (DLP II) and its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG DLP Master Trust II (the Trust II). Holdings converted from a limited liability company into a corporation effective June 10, 2011 and as a result of this change all member units were converted into common stock. Holdings finances the acquisition of life insurance policies, and pays policy premiums through its line of credit and other debt and equity securities. Holdings earns fees for brokering policies transactions between market participants through its wholly owned subsidiary, GWG Broker Services, LLC (Broker Services). GWG Member, LLC a wholly owned subsidiary formed November 2010 to facilitate the acquisition of policies has not commenced operations as of December 31, 2011. The entities were legally organized in Delaware and are collectively referred herein to as GWG, or the Company.

On July 11, 2011 the Company entered into a Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group, LTD and Athena Structured Funds PLC. Under this agreement, Holdings issued to Athena Securities Group, LTD (Athena) 989,000 shares of common stock, which was equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in the Company in exchange for shares equal to 9.9% of the outstanding shares in Athena Structured Funds, PLC (Athena Funds) and cash of \$5,000. In accordance with ASC 505-50, the Company recorded the share-based payment transaction with Athena at the fair value of the Company's 989,000 shares of common stock issued as it was the most reliable measurable form of consideration in this exchange (see note 5 for fair value definition and assumptions). In exchange, Athena will endeavor to issue securities outside the United States through Athena Funds, which will be operated with the intent of raising funds to invest in the Company which may be used for the acquisition of life insurance policies. The total value ascribed to the common stock issued to Athena was \$3.6 million, of which approximately \$3,595,000 has been included in the Consolidated Statement of Operations under the caption "investment banking services." The \$5,000 cash paid by Athena, which represents the fair value of the shares of Athena Funds, is included in financing activities of the Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows. To date, Athena Funds has not raised any funds or made any investment in the Company.

Principles of consolidation - The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of Holdings and its subsidiaries. The Company operates in a single segment. All significant intercompany balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC (ISF), a related party with common ownership to the Company, held a non-controlling interest in both GWG Life and Opportunity Bridge Funding at December 31, 2009. Holdings sold its controlling interest in Opportunity Bridge Funding to ISF (see note 11 for further information on the transactions). The operations of each subsidiary have been consolidated for its period of ownership and ISF's non-controlling interest is included in equity.

GWG Life, through December 31, 2008, sold 100 percent of the investments in life insurance policies acquired to a wholly-owned subsidiary, DLP and the related Trust. DLP was considered a variable interest entity as defined by Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) ASC 810-10. Despite a 100 percent equity interest, GWG Life was not the primary beneficiary of DLP, and therefore did not consolidate DLP, but rather accounted for its investment in DLP under the equity method with a carrying value of zero at December 31, 2010. Effective November 1, 2010 DLP sold

its portfolio of insurance policies to Life Assets Trust S.A. resulting in a triggering event in which GWG Life was determined to be the primary beneficiary. As of and since the transaction date GWG Life has consolidated DLP. DLP did not have any operations or net assets as of or from November 1, 2010 (immediately subsequent to the transaction) to December 31, 2011. See note 4 regarding the transaction.

F-8

---



GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

Use of estimates - The preparation of consolidated financial statements in conformity with Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP) requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. The Company regularly evaluates estimates and assumptions. The Company bases its estimates and assumptions on current facts, historical experience, and various other factors that it believes to be reasonable under the circumstances, the results of which form the basis for making judgments about the carrying values of assets and liabilities and the accrual of costs and expenses that are not readily apparent from other sources. The actual results experienced by the Company may differ materially and adversely from the Company's estimates. To the extent there are material differences between the estimates and the actual results, future results of operations will be affected. The most significant estimates with regard to these consolidated financial statements relates to (1) the determination of the assumptions used in estimating the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies, (2) the fair value of the Company's stock issued in connection with the Athena transaction, and (3) the value of deferred tax assets upon conversion to a corporation.

Operating agreement – Prior to the conversion to a corporation in 2011, the Amended and Restated Operating Agreement (Operating Agreement) dated September 29, 2009, specified the members' obligations and rights relating to contributions, income, gains, losses, deductions, credits and distributions. The Company had issued 2,044 Class A units and 200 Class B units to members. The Operating Agreement provided for the allocation of income, losses and distribution to unit holders on a prorata basis for all Class A and Class B members.

One Class B member held a put right to cause the Company to purchase the member's 100 units at fair value. This put option was exercisable five years from the date of the Operating Agreement (March 19, 2013) or at any time at which voting control over the remaining unit holders is relinquished. The Operating Agreement also gave the Company the right to purchase, at fair value, the 100 units held by the Class B member aforementioned. This call right became exercisable on February 10, 2011. The redemption value of the Class B units subject to the put and call provisions have been excluded from member's equity through March 2011 in accordance with the guidance in Accounting Standards Codification 480 "Distinguishing Liabilities from Equity".

The Company's Operating Agreement, with the consent of its unit holders, was amended effective March 31, 2011 to eliminate the put and call option held by the Class B unit holder and the Company, respectively. As a result, the accumulated loss attributable to the Class B units of \$509,126 was reclassified as a component of equity.

Effective June 10, 2011 the Company filed a certificate of conversion from a limited liability company into a corporation, registered in the state of Delaware. With this registration, the Company is authorized to issue 210,000,000 shares of common stock, par value \$.001, and 40,000,000 shares of preferred stock, par value \$.001. In connection with the conversion, the outstanding member units were converted to 4,500,000 shares of common stock (prior to giving effect to the August 9, 2011 two-for-one forward stock split discussed below). Common stock dividends distributed subsequent to the conversion will be recorded as a reduction of paid in capital until the Company reflects accumulated positive earnings.

On July 31, 2011 the Company issued a Private Placement Memorandum for the sale of up to 3,333,333 shares of Series A 10% convertible, redeemable preferred stock at an offering price of \$7.50 per share (see note 10).

On August 9, 2011 the Company filed an amendment to its certificate of incorporation to effect a two-for-one forward stock split of its common stock. Unless otherwise noted, all share amounts contained in these consolidated financial statements are post-split share amounts determined after giving effect to the forward stock split.

Cash and cash equivalents - The Company considers cash in demand deposit accounts and temporary investments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less to be cash equivalents. The Company maintains its cash and cash equivalents with highly rated financial institutions. From time to time, the Company's balances in its bank accounts exceed Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation limits. The Company periodically evaluates the risk of exceeding insured levels and may transfer funds as it deems appropriate. The Company has not experienced any losses with regards to balances in excess of insured limits or as a result of other concentrations of credit risk.

Life settlements - ASC 325-30, Investments in Insurance Contracts allows an investor the election to account for its investments in life settlements using either the investment method or the fair value method. The election shall be made on an instrument-by-instrument basis and is irrevocable. Under the investment method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price plus all initial direct costs. Continuing costs (policy premiums and direct external costs, if any) to keep the policy in force shall be capitalized. Under the fair value method, an investor shall recognize the initial investment at the purchase price. In subsequent periods, the investor shall re-measure the investment at fair value in its entirety at each reporting period and shall recognize the change in fair value in current period income net of premiums paid. The Company uses the fair value method to account for all life settlements.

F-9

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Company recognizes the difference between the death benefits and carrying values of the policy when an insured event has occurred and the Company determines that settlement and ultimate collection of the death benefits is realizable and reasonably assured. Revenue from a transaction must meet both criteria in order to be recognized. The Company recognizes realized gains (revenue) from life settlement contracts upon one of the two following events:

- 1) Receipt of death notice or verified obituary of insured
- 2) Sale of policy and filing of change of ownership forms and receipt of payment

Deposits and initial direct costs advanced on policies to be purchased are recorded as other assets until policy ownership has been transferred to the Company.

Deferred financing and issuance costs – Financing costs incurred to obtain financing under the revolving credit facility have been capitalized and are amortized using the straight-line method over the term of the revolving credit facility. Amortization of deferred financing costs was \$233,000 for each of the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010. The future amortization is \$233,000 and \$97,000 for the years ending December 31, 2012 and 2013, respectively. The Series I Secured note obligations, as described in note 8, are reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method over the term of the borrowings. The Series A preferred stock, as described in note 10, is reported net of issuance costs, sales commissions, and other direct expenses, which are amortized using the interest method.

Costs for issuance of convertible, redeemable-preferred stock – The Company amortizes costs related to the issuance of convertible, redeemable preferred stock as interest expense over the three year redemption period.

Investments in non-marketable securities – The Company's investments in non-marketable securities are carried at cost and evaluated for impairment.

Related party income from life insurance policies - Through the date of the sale of DLP's policy portfolio, GWG Life received origination and servicing fees from DLP as consideration for providing life insurance-related services. These contract servicing fees were recognized as income in the period the services were performed.

Income taxes - The Company was a pass through entity for federal income tax purposes through June 10, 2011. No income tax provision has been included through that date in these consolidated financial statements as income or loss of the Company was required to be reported by the respective members on their income tax returns. Subsequent to the Company's conversion to a corporation it will file a combined return with the subsidiaries.

As a result of the Company's change in legal structure from a limited liability company (filing tax returns as a pass through entity) to a corporation effective June 10, 2011, the Company will file and pay taxes based on its reported income.

Deferred taxes are provided on a liability method whereby deferred tax assets are recognized for deductible temporary differences and operating loss carryforwards and deferred tax liabilities are recognized for taxable temporary differences. Temporary differences are the differences between the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and their tax basis. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance when, in the opinion of management, it is more likely than not that some portion or all of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are adjusted for the effects of changes in tax laws and rates on the date enacted.

The Company adopted the accounting guidance on accounting for uncertainty in income taxes effective January 1, 2010. The Company reviews and assesses its tax positions taken or expected to be taken in tax returns, including its previous status as a tax-exempt entity. Based on this assessment the Company determines whether it is more likely than not that the position would be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. The Company's assessment has not identified any significant positions that it believes would not be sustained under examination. The Company recognizes interest and penalties on any unrecognized tax benefits as a component of income tax expense.

The Company files tax returns in the United States (U.S.) federal jurisdiction and in various state jurisdictions. Uncertain tax positions include those related to tax years that remain subject to examination. U.S. tax returns for fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 through 2011 (when filed), remain subject to examination by federal tax authorities. Tax returns for state and local jurisdictions for fiscal years ended December 31, 2008 through 2011 (when filed), remain subject to examination by state and local tax authorities.

Earnings (loss) per share – The Company converted from a limited liability company into a corporation effective June 10, 2011 and as a result of this change all member units were converted into common stock. The earnings (loss) attributable to controlling interests per ownership interest has been restated to reflect the equivalent common stock per share amounts as of the earliest period reported. Basic per share earnings (loss) attributable to controlling non-redeemable interests is calculated using the weighted average number of shares outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is calculated based on the potential dilutive impact, if any, of the Company's preferred stock and outstanding warrants.

F-10

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

**Subsequent events** - Subsequent events are events or transactions that occur after the balance sheet date but before consolidated financial statements are issued. The Company recognizes in the consolidated financial statements the effects of all subsequent events that provide additional evidence about conditions that existed at the date of the balance sheet, including the estimates inherent in the process of preparing the consolidated financial statements. The Company's consolidated financial statements do not recognize subsequent events that provide evidence about conditions that did not exist at the date of the balance sheet but arose after the balance sheet date and before the consolidated financial statements are available to be issued. The Company evaluates subsequent events and transactions that occur after the balance sheet date up to the date that the consolidated financial statements are filed for potential recognition or disclosure.

**Recently adopted pronouncements** - In December 2009, the FASB issued Accounting Standard Update (ASU) No. 2009-17, "Improvements to Financial Reporting by Enterprises Involved with Variable Interest Entities", which was effective for the Company beginning January 1, 2010. This ASU amends Subtopic 810-10, for consolidations of variable interest entities to require revised evaluation of whether entities represent variable interest entities, determining the primary beneficiary, ongoing assessments of control over such entities, and additional disclosures for variable interests. The adoption of this pronouncement did not have an impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-02, "Accounting and Reporting for Decreases in Ownership of a subsidiary" – a Scope Clarification (Topic 810), which clarifies the scope of Subtopic 810-10 and expands the disclosure requirements related to the accounting and reporting by an entity that experiences a decrease in ownership in a subsidiary or exchanges a group of assets (business) for an equity interest in another entity. The guidance became effective for the reporting period beginning January 1, 2010. The adoption of this new guidance has been applied to the equity transactions involving the Company's subsidiaries in the consolidated financial statements.

In January 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-06, "Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures (Topic 820): Improving Disclosures about Fair Value Measurements" ("ASU 2010-06"), which amends the disclosure requirements related to recurring and nonrecurring fair value measurements. The guidance requires additional disclosures on the transfers of assets and liabilities between Level 1 (quoted prices in active market for identical assets or liabilities) and Level 2 (significant other observable inputs) of the fair value measurement hierarchy, including the reasons and the timing of the transfers. The guidance also clarifies certain existing disclosure requirements. Additionally, the guidance requires a roll forward of activities on purchases, sales, issuance, and settlements of assets and liabilities measured using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3 fair value measurements). This pronouncement became effective for the Company with the reporting period beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this standard did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In April, 2010, the FASB issued ASU No. 2010-15, "Financial Services – Insurance (Topic 944): How Investments Held through Separate Accounts Affect an Insurer's Analysis of Those Investments", which clarifies that an insurance entity generally should not consider any separate account interests held for the benefit of policy holders in an investment to be the insurer's interests and should not combine those interests with its general account interest in the same investment when assessing the investment for consolidation. The general guidance does not apply in instances where the separate account interests are held for the benefit of a related party policy holder as defined in the Variable Interest Entities Subsection of Codification Topic-810, "Consolidation", Subtopic 810-10, as those Subsections require the consideration of related parties. The guidance became effective for the reporting period beginning January 1, 2011. The adoption of this standard did not have a significant impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

In May 2011, the FASB issued ASU No. 2011-04, "Fair Value Measurement (Topic 820): Amendments to Achieve Common Fair Value Measurement and Disclosure Requirements in U.S. GAAP and IFRS", which amends disclosure requirements related to categorization within the fair value hierarchy. This update results in common principles and requirements for measuring fair value and disclosing information about fair value measurements in accordance with GAAP and International Financial Reporting Standards. The guidance will become effective for the annual period beginning after December 15, 2011. The adoption of this guidance is not expected to have a material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements.

Other pronouncements issued by the FASB or other authoritative accounting standards groups with future effective dates are either not applicable or are not expected to be significant to the Company.

F-11

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ( 2 ) Restrictions on cash

The Company is required by its lenders to maintain collection and escrow accounts. These accounts are used to fund the acquisition and pay annual premiums of insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under its revolving credit facility. DZ Bank AG as agent for Autobahn Funding Company, LLC, the lender for the revolving credit facility as described in note 7, authorizes the disbursements from these accounts. The Company also maintains a separate cash account for the deposit of Series I Secured note proceeds as described in note 8. An account control agreement is in place with the Series I Trustee, Lord Securities Corporation, who must authorize disbursements from this account for uses identified in note 8. At December 31, 2011 and 2010 there was a balance of \$4,794,000, and \$5,219,000 respectively, maintained in these restricted cash accounts.

## ( 3 ) Investment in life insurance policies

The life insurance policies (level 3 financial instruments) are valued based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Changes in the fair value of these instruments are recorded in gain or loss on life insurance policies in our consolidated statements of operations (net of the cash premiums paid on the policies). The fair value is determined on a discounted cash flow basis that incorporates current life expectancy assumptions. Life expectancy reports have been obtained from widely accepted life expectancy providers. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and our estimate of the risk premium an investor in the policy would require. As a result of management's analysis, discount rates of 13.41%, and 13.36% were applied to the portfolio as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively.

A summary of the Company's life insurance policies, as of December 31, 2011 accounted for under the fair value method, based on remaining life expectancy is as follows:

Years Ending December 31,	Number of Contracts	Estimated Fair Value	Face Value
2012	-	\$ -	\$ -
2013	-	-	-
2014	1	535,000	1,000,000
2015	5	4,636,000	9,329,000
2016	10	12,930,000	34,835,000
2017	29	24,144,000	71,998,000
Thereafter	130	79,924,000	359,243,000
Totals	175	\$ 122,169,000	\$ 476,405,000

The Company recognized death benefits of \$4,203,000 and 400,000 during 2011 and 2010 respectively, related to policies with an estimated fair value of \$1,393,800 and \$168,000, respectively. The Company recorded realized gains of \$2,810,000 and \$232,000 on such policies.

Reconciliation of gain on life settlements:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Change in fair value, including realized gains	\$ 29,325,000	\$ 17,227,000

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Premiums and other annual fees	(14,331,000 )	(8,800,000 )
Policy maturities	2,810,000	232,000
Gain on life settlements, net	\$ 17,804,000	\$ 8,659,000

The estimated expected premium payments to maintain the above life insurance policies in force for the next five years, assuming no mortalities, are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,

2012	\$	15,299,000
2013		16,020,000
2014		17,090,000
2015		18,443,000
2016		19,865,000
	\$	86,717,000

Management anticipates funding the estimated premium payments as noted above with proceeds from the DZ Bank revolving credit facility and through additional debt and equity financing. The proceeds of these capital sources are also intended to be used for the purchase, financing, and maintenance of additional life insurance policies.

From January 1, 2010 through November 1, 2010, the Company purchased life insurance policies from its affiliate DLP for \$20,521,000 which represented DLP's amortized cost of the specific contracts. The amortized costs of these contracts were in excess of their fair value and as a result the Company recorded losses on the purchases of \$2,530,000 during 2010.

F-12

---



## GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## (4) Investment in unconsolidated company

DLP was considered a variable interest entity as defined by FASB ASC Topic 810-10. GWG Life was not the primary beneficiary and therefore did not consolidate DLP despite ownership of all equity interests in DLP. Effective November 1, 2010 an agreement was reached where Life Assets Trust S.A. purchased DLP's portfolio of life insurance policies for the \$257,465,000 due on DLP's credit facility. This transaction with Life Assets Trust S.A. included the transfer and assumption of all of DLP's assets and liabilities to Life Assets Trust S.A. as of the date of the transaction. DLP's gain on the sale of the portfolio of policies was recognized by the primary beneficiary, its lender, WestLB AG. Subsequent to the transaction, WestLB AG was no longer the primary beneficiary of DLP. As a result, DLP is presented as a consolidated subsidiary of GWG Life as of and subsequent to the transaction date, as GWG Life holds all equity interest and there are no other variable interests. GWG Life's investment in DLP had a carrying value of \$0 at the date of the transaction. DLP did not have any operations or net assets as of or from November 1, 2010 (immediately subsequent to the transaction) to December 31, 2011. See note 1 "Principles of consolidation," and note 3 "Investment in life policies" for additional information regarding DLP.

A summary of the assets and liabilities of DLP on November 1, 2010 (as transferred and assumed) and revenues, expenses and net income for the period January 1, 2010 to November 1, 2010 as follows:

	November 1, 2010
<b>ASSETS</b>	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 100,954
Investment in life settlements	257,245,651
Deferred financing costs	119,050
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 257,465,295</b>
<b>LIABILITIES</b>	
Revolving credit facility	\$ 257,148,907
Accrued interest payable and other accrued expenses	316,388
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>257,465,295</b>
<b>MEMBERS' DEFICIT</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; MEMBERS' DEFICIT</b>	<b>\$ 257,465,295</b>

	January 1 to November 1, 2010
<b>REVENUE</b>	
Gain on life settlements	\$ 84,903,535
Interest and other income	8
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>84,903,543</b>
<b>EXPENSES</b>	
Interest expense	5,799,008
Origination and servicing fees paid to Company's member	37,825

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Amortization of deferred financing costs	119,050
Legal and professional fees	71,421
Other expenses	290
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>6,027,594</b>
<b>NET INCOME</b>	<b>\$ 78,875,949</b>

F-13

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ( 5 ) Fair value definition and hierarchy

ASC 820 establishes a hierarchical disclosure framework which prioritizes and ranks the level of market price observability used in measuring assets and liabilities at fair value. Market price observability is affected by a number of factors, including the type of investment, the characteristics specific to the investment and the state of the marketplace including the existence and transparency of transactions between market participants. Assets and liabilities with readily available active quoted prices or for which fair value can be measured from actively quoted prices in an orderly market generally will have a higher degree of market price observability and a lesser degree of judgment used in measuring fair value. ASC 820 establishes a three-level valuation hierarchy for inputs used in measuring fair value that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that the most observable inputs be used when available. Observable inputs are inputs that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on market data obtained from sources independent of the Company. Unobservable inputs are inputs that reflect the Company's assumptions about the assumptions market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability developed based on the best information available in the circumstances. Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability (i.e., the "exit price") in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date.

The hierarchy is broken down into three levels based on the observability of inputs as follows:

- Level 1 - Valuations based on quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the Company has the ability to access. Valuation adjustments and block discounts are not applied to Level 1 instruments. Since valuations are based on quoted prices that are readily and regularly available in an active market, valuation of these products does not entail a significant degree of judgment.
- Level 2 - Valuations based on one or more quoted prices in markets that are not active or for which all significant inputs are observable, either directly or indirectly.
- Level 3 - Valuations based on inputs that are unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement.

The availability of observable inputs can vary by types of assets and liabilities and is affected by a wide variety of factors, including, for example, whether the investment is established in the marketplace, the liquidity of markets and other characteristics particular to the transaction. To the extent that valuation is based on models or inputs that are less observable or unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. Accordingly, the degree of judgment exercised by management in determining fair value is greatest for assets and liabilities categorized in Level 3.

Life insurance policies represent financial instruments recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. The following tables reconcile the beginning and ending fair value of the Company's Level 3 investments in life insurance policies for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010:

Balance at December 31, 2009	\$12,908,000
Purchases	53,224,000
Maturities	(242,000 )
Net change in fair value	16,828,000
Balance at December 31, 2010	\$82,718,000

Purchases	11,929,000
Maturities	(1,803,000 )
Net change in fair value	29,325,000
Balance at December 31, 2011	\$122,169,000

The fair value of a portfolio of life insurance policies is based on information available to the Company at the reporting date. Fair value is based upon a discounted cash flow model that incorporates current life expectancy assumptions. Life expectancy reports are obtained from independent, third-party widely accepted life expectancy providers. The life expectancy values of each policy holder, as determined at policy acquisition, are rolled down monthly by the MAPS actuarial software the Company uses for ongoing valuation of its portfolio of life insurance policies. The Company also orders new life expectancy reports from time to time on existing policies already in the portfolio. The discount rate incorporates current information about market interest rates, the credit exposure to the insurance company that issued the life insurance policy and management's estimate of the risk premium an investor in the individual policies would require.

F-14

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fair value of life insurance policies is estimated using present value calculations of estimated cash flows based on the data specific to each individual life insurance policy. The following summarizes data utilized in estimating the fair value of the portfolio of life insurance policies:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Weighted average age of insured	80.9	80.3
Weighted average life expectancy, months*	93.6	102.0
Average face amount per policy	\$ 2,722,315	\$ 2,710,310
Fair Value Discount rate	13.41%	13.36%

\* Standard life expectancy as adjusted for insured's specific circumstances.

These assumptions are, by their nature, inherently uncertain and the effect of changes in estimates may be significant. The techniques used in estimating the present value of estimated cash flows are derived from valuation techniques generally used in the industry that include inputs for the asset that are not based on observable market data. The extent to which the fair value could reasonable vary in the near term has been quantified by evaluating the effect of changes in significant underlying assumptions used to estimate the fair value. If the life expectancies were increased or decreased by 4 months on each outstanding policy and the discount factors were increased or decreased by 1% while all other variables are held constant, the fair value of the investment in life insurance policies would increase or (decrease) by the amounts summarized below:

	Change in life expectancy	
	plus 4 months	minus 4 months
Investment in life policies		
December 31, 2011	\$ (9,660,000 )	\$ 9,951,000
December 31, 2010	\$ (7,047,000 )	\$ 7,278,000
	Change in discount rate	
	plus 1%	minus 1%
Investment in life policies		
December 31, 2011	\$ (6,665,000 )	\$ 7,254,000
December 31, 2010	\$ (5,283,000 )	\$ 5,785,000

Carrying value of receivables, prepaid expenses, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value due to their short term maturities. The estimated fair value of the Company's Series I Secured notes payable is approximately \$49,919,000 based on a weighted average market interest rate of 8.04%. The carrying value of the revolving credit facility reflects interest charged at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin. The margin represents our credit risk, and management believes this margin has not changed over time. The overall rate reflects market, and the carrying value of the revolver approximates fair value.

The Company has issued warrants to purchase common stock in connection with the issuance of its preferred stock. These warrants are level 3 instruments and are measured at fair value. The estimated fair value of each warrant

as of the date of issuance was \$0.14 using the Black-Scholes model and included an assumed life of three years, a risk free interest rate of 0.42% and a volatility rate of 25.25%.

The Company has not changed its methodology in estimating fair value from prior periods.

F-15

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The fair value of the GWG common stock issued to Athena is considered to be level 3 fair value measurement, as it has been determined using inputs that require a significant degree of judgment by management. Management calculated an enterprise value contemporaneous with entering into the Athena transaction in July 2011. Pursuant to ASC 505-50-25-7, if fully vested, nonforfeitable equity instruments are issued at the date the grantor and grantee enter into an agreement for goods or services (i.e., no specific performance is required by the grantee to retain those equity instruments), then, because of the elimination of any obligation on the part of the counterparty to earn the equity instruments, a measurement date has been reached. Since the shares issued by the Company to Athena as of the date of the agreement with Athena are classified as equity and were not subject to future service or performance requirements, the shares issued are not subject to revaluation in the future. The fair value of Athena Fund's stock received by the Company represents the \$5,000 capital contribution to the fund. The fund has had no operations and no significant assets as of December 31, 2011.

The Company performed a valuation of GWG Holdings as of July 11, 2011. The valuation used an equity cost of capital discounted cash flow calculation to determine the value of the Company. The assumptions utilized in the calculation of estimated cash flows include \$799 million of debentures sold over a nine-year timeframe and approximately \$421 million of borrowing under its line of credit. These funds would be used to acquire additional life insurance policies, pay premiums and service debt. The resulting portfolio of policies would be expected to generate a weighted average internal rate of return of 14.26% and provide an increasing cash flow to equity. Further discounts of 30% and 10% were then applied to the enterprise value due to marketability and minority interest factors.

The Company's valuation of a non-control, non-marketable value for GWG Holdings was \$36,786,000. The value of common stock issued to Athena as 9.9% of the aggregate value of the Company's common stock was \$3,600,000.

(6) Notes receivable from related parties

Notes receivable from related parties consisted of various unsecured notes receivable totaling \$2,306,000 at December 31, 2010. These notes were due from shareholders of the Company, with interest rates ranging from 4.2% to 5%, payable annually and were paid in full in 2011. Interest income from related parties totaled \$24,000 and \$82,000 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively. As a part of the Company's compensation plan effective January 1, 2011, interest income earned on these notes were treated as guaranteed payments to the members and are included in employee compensation and benefits in the statement of operations.

On July 27, 2011 the Company paid dividends to the shareholders in the amount of their respective note receivable balances. They immediately repaid their balance due on each note and the related accrued interest in full.

As of December 31, 2011, and 2010, the Company had receivables totaling \$5,000,000 due from an affiliate, Opportunity Finance, LLC, that were fully reserved. Opportunity Finance ceased operations in 2008.

(7) Credit facilities

Revolving credit facility – Autobahn Funding Company LLC

On July 15, 2008, DLP II and United Lending entered into a revolving credit facility pursuant to a Credit and Security Agreement (Agreement) with Autobahn Funding Company LLC (Autobahn), providing the Company with a maximum borrowing amount of \$100,000,000. Autobahn is a commercial paper conduit that issues commercial paper to investors in order to provide funding to DLP II and United Lending. DZ Bank AG acts as the agent for Autobahn.

The Agreement expires on July 15, 2013. The amount outstanding under this facility as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, was \$60,000,000 and \$37,085,000, respectively.

The Agreement requires DLP II or United Lending to pay, on a monthly basis, interest at the commercial paper rate plus an applicable margin, as defined in the Agreement. The effective rate was 2.25% and 2.14% at December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The weighted average effective interest rate was 2.17% and 2.21% (excluding the unused line fee) for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. The Agreement also requires payment of an unused line fee on the amount unused under the revolving credit facility. The note is secured by substantially all of DLP II and United Lending assets which consist primarily of life settlement policies. The Company anticipates making all required principal and interest payments under the Agreement.

F-16

---



GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The Agreement has certain financial and nonfinancial covenants. The Company is in compliance with these covenants at December 31, 2011. In addition, advances under the Agreement are subject to a borrowing base formula, which limits the availability of advances on the borrowing base calculation based on attributes of policies pledged to the facility. Over-concentration of policies by insurance carrier, and over-concentration of policies by insurance carriers with ratings below a AA- rating are the two primary factors with the potential of limiting availability of funds on the facility. Total funds available for borrowing under the borrowing base formula criteria at December 31, 2011 and 2010, were \$7,691,000 and \$11,063,000 respectively.

Holdings is not obligated under this credit facility to guarantee loan or interest payments to Autobahn: however, Holdings is obligated under a performance guaranty to provide servicing for policies held by DLP II.

Lending agreement with affiliate

The Company has established an Investment Agreement with an affiliate Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC (ISF) on September 3, 2009. Under the terms of this agreement, ISF will provide working capital loans to the Company for general working capital needs and expenses. The Company borrowed \$850,000 under this agreement during the year ended December 31, 2010. The Company repaid all these loans prior to December 31, 2010 resulting in a zero balance as of December 31, 2010. The Company borrowed \$300,000 under this agreement during the year ended December 31, 2011. The Company repaid all these working capital loans prior to December 31, 2011 resulting in a zero balance as of December 31, 2011. The Company paid interest of \$5,000 and \$58,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively.

( 8 ) Series I Secured notes payable

Series I Secured notes payable have been issued in conjunction with the GWG Series I Secured notes private placement memorandum dated August 25, 2009 (last revised November 15, 2010). On June 14, 2011 the Company closed the offering to additional investors, however, existing investors may elect to continue advancing amounts outstanding. Series I Secured notes have maturity dates ranging from six months to seven years with fixed interest rates varying from 7.0% to 9.55% depending on the term of the note. Interest is payable monthly, quarterly, annually or at maturity depending on the terms of the note. At December 31, 2011 and 2010 the weighted average interest rates of Series I Secured notes were 8.04%, and 7.95% respectively. The notes are secured by assets of GWG Life. The amount outstanding under these Series I Secured notes was \$49,332,000 and \$53,293,000 at December 31, 2011, and December 31, 2010, respectively. The difference between the amount outstanding on the Series I Secured notes and the carrying amount on the consolidated balance sheet is due to netting of unamortized deferred issuance costs. Amortization of deferred issuance costs was \$1,770,000 and \$511,000, for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010, respectively. Future expected amortization of deferred financing costs is \$1,153,000. Overall, interest expense includes financing and issuance costs of \$2,003,000 and \$744,000 for the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010.

On November 15, 2010, the owners pledged their ownership interests in the Company to the Series I Trust as security for advances under the Series I Trust arrangement.

The use of proceeds from the issuances of Series I Secured notes is limited to the following:

1. Payment of commissions of Series I Secured note sales
2. Purchase life insurance policies

Explanation of Responses:

3. Pay premiums of life insurance policies
4. Pay principal and interest to Senior Liquidity Provider (DZ Bank)
5. Pay portfolio or note operating fees or costs
6. Pay trustee (Wells Fargo Bank, N.A.)
7. Pay servicer and collateral fees
8. Pay principal and interest on Series I Secured notes
9. Make distributions to equity holders for tax liability related to portfolio
10. Purchase interest rate caps, swaps, or hedging instruments
11. Pay GWG Series I Trustee fees
12. Pay offering expenses

On November 1, 2011, GWG entered into a Third Amended and Restated Note Issuance and Security Agreement with Lord Securities Corporation after receiving majority approval from the holders of Series I Secured Notes. Among other things, the amended and restated agreement modified the use of proceeds and certain provisions relating to the distribution of collections and subordination of cash flow. Under the amended and restated agreement, GWG is no longer restricted as to its use of proceeds or subject to restrictions on certain distributions of collections and subordination of cash flows.

Future maturities of Series I Secured notes payable at December 31, 2011 are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,		
2012	\$	28,507,000
2013		8,819,000
2014		1,832,000
2015		4,174,000
2016		1,161,000
Thereafter		4,839,000
	\$	49,332,000

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

( 9 ) Renewable secured debentures

The Company has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission, effective January 2012, to offer the sale of \$250,000,000 of secured debentures. Subsequent to the effective date the Company has issued \$3,787,000 of these secured debentures.

( 10 ) Convertible, redeemable preferred stock

The Company offered 3,333,333 shares of convertible redeemable preferred stock (Series A preferred stock) for sale to accredited investors through a private placement memorandum effective July 31, 2011. The preferred stock is being sold at an offering price of \$7.50 per share. Series A preferred stock has a preferred yield of 10% per annum, and each share shall have the right to convert into 1.5 shares of the Company's common stock until such time as the Company elects to automatically convert the preferred stock to common stock as described below. Preferred shareholders also received three-year warrants to purchase, at an exercise price per share of \$6.25, one share of common stock for every twenty shares of Series A preferred stock purchased. The warrants are convertible immediately. In the Certificate of Designation dated July 31, 2011, the Company has agreed to permit preferred shareholders to sell their shares back to the Company for the stated value of \$7.50 per share, plus accrued dividends, according to the following schedule:

- Up to 33% of the holder's unredeemed shares one year after issuance;
- Up to 66% of the holder's unredeemed shares two years after issuance; and
- Up to 100% of the holder's unredeemed shares three years after issuance.

The Company's obligation to redeem preferred shares will terminate upon the Company completing a registration of its common stock with the SEC. The Company may redeem the shares at a price equal to 110% of their liquidation preference (\$7.50 per share) at any time after December 15, 2012.

At the election of the Company, the preferred shares may be automatically converted into the common stock of the Company in the event of either (1) a registered offering of the Company's common stock with the SEC aggregating gross proceeds of at least \$5.0 million at a price equal to or greater than \$5.50 per share of common stock, or (2) the consent of shareholders holding at least a majority of the then-outstanding shares of Series A preferred stock. The Company began issuing Series A preferred stock on September 1, 2011. As of December 31, 2011, the Company had issued 1,881,329 preferred shares resulting in gross consideration of \$14,109,000 (including cash proceeds for new notes, conversion of Series I Secured notes and accrued interest on Series I notes, and preferred dividends). The Company incurred issuance costs of \$1,448,000 resulting in a net preferred stock capital balance of \$12,661,000.

The Company determined the fair value of the warrants attached to the Series A preferred stock was \$14,000 at December 31, 2011. The Company may redeem outstanding warrants prior to their expiration, at a price of \$0.01 per share upon 30 days written notice to the investors at any time after (i) the Company has completed a registration of its common stock with the SEC and (ii) the volume of weighted average sale price per share of common stock equals or exceeds \$7.00 per share for ten consecutive trading days ending on the third business day prior to proper notice of such redemption. Total warrants outstanding at December 31, 2011, was 139,417 with a weighted average remaining life of 2.76 years.

Dividends on the preferred stock may be paid in either cash or additional shares of preferred stock at the election of the holder. The dividends are reported as an expense and included in the caption interest expense in the consolidated statements of operations.

The Company declared and accrued dividends payable of \$45,000 as of September 30, 2011. Cash dividends of \$27,000 were paid on October 15, 2011, and 2,481 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued as dividends on October 15, 2011, pursuant to a board resolution declaring the dividend. On December 31, 2011, the Company declared and accrued dividends of \$290,000. Cash dividends of \$181,000 were paid on January 15, 2012, and 15,607 shares of Series A preferred stock were issued as dividends pursuant to a board resolution declaring the dividend on January 15, 2012.

( 11 ) Noncontrolling interest

Opportunity Bridge Funding - The Company's former subsidiary, Opportunity Bridge Funding, issued a preferred membership interest to a related party, Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC. Under the terms of a preferred membership investment certificate and the amended Operating Agreement, the preferred membership investment entitled the preferred membership investors to all of the economic benefits of Opportunity Bridge Funding, LLC during which time the preferred membership was invested. The preferred membership interest in Opportunity Bridge Funding has been reflected as noncontrolling interest in the Company's consolidated financial statements through December 31, 2009. Effective January 1, 2010, the preferred member of Opportunity Bridge Funding, Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC (a related party controlled by members of the Company) purchased Holding's membership interest in Opportunity Bridge Funding for \$1. Opportunity Bridge Funding was no longer consolidated as of that date. No gain or loss was recognized as a result of the transaction. Net assets and noncontrolling interest of \$1,469,000 were deconsolidated.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

GWG Life - On September 3, 2009 GWG amended and restated its operating agreement authorizing the issuance of non-voting preferred Series I Units (Series I Units) redeemable at the Company's option for an amount equal to the tax basis of the Series I Units at the date of redemption. The Series I Units were entitled to receive all the remaining economic benefits, including cash flow, proceeds and distributions of or from current assets owned or hereafter acquired, directly or indirectly by GWG, including those of DLP and DLP II after amounts to be paid to senior lenders and Series I Secured note holders. Effective September 3, 2009 a related party, Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC (ISF), was issued one Series I Unit. During the period September 3, 2009 through February 28, 2010, ISF made total capital contributions of \$1,531,000. During this same period, tax losses of \$1,560,000 allocated to ISF's interest in GWG Life reduced its tax basis below zero. In accordance with ASC 810-10-45-20, net income or loss and comprehensive income or loss was attributed to the controlling interest and the non-controlling interest based on the terms of the substantive profit and loss sharing agreement contained in the GWG Life Amended and Restated Operating Agreement dated as of September 30, 2009. The non-controlling interest was attributed its share of losses resulting in a deficit non-controlling interest balance. As a result of these allocations, the non-controlling interest had a member's capital balance of \$(1,915,000).

In accordance with the provisions of the GWG Life Amended and Restated Operating Agreement dated as of September 30, 2009, the Company purchased ISF's interest in GWG Life Series I units on March 1, 2010 for no consideration. As the remaining member in GWG Life, Holdings recorded a transfer of ISF's \$(1,915,000) non-controlling interest in GWG Life to member's capital and non-redeemable member's interest.

(12) Income taxes

The Company was a pass through entity for federal income tax purposes through June 10, 2011. No income tax provision has been included in these consolidated financial statements through June 10, 2011, as the related income or loss of the Company was required to be reported by the respective members on their income tax returns.

The Company, as provided by Delaware state regulations, changed its legal structure from a limited liability company to a corporation effective June 10, 2011. Subsequent to the conversion, the Company reports its income or loss on its own tax returns and is responsible for any related taxes.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities and the related tax expense were recorded effective the date of the Company's change in tax status, thereby reflecting the income tax effect of temporary differences between the tax bases and financial reporting bases of assets and liabilities. The Company's tax provision for the year ended December 31, 2011, includes income tax expense related to both the entity's conversion and operations for the period June 11, 2011 to December 31, 2011.

The Company did not have any current income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2011. The components of deferred income tax expense for the year ended December 31, 2011, consisted of the following:

Income tax provision:	2011	2010
Deferred:		
Federal	\$ 3,620,000	-
State	688,000	-
Total income tax expense (benefit)	\$ 4,308,000	\$ -



**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

Actual income tax expense differs from the statutory federal income tax expense primarily due to the conversion of the Company from a pass through entity to a taxable corporation during the year ended December 31, 2011. Upon conversion, FASB ASC 740, Income Taxes, required the Company to recognize, through current period income tax expense, an amount equal to the amount of deferred income taxes required to be recorded on the consolidated balance sheet at the date of conversion. On June 11, 2011, the Company recorded deferred tax liabilities of \$5,488,000 and deferred tax assets of \$2,028,000 as a result of the conversion.

The following table provides a reconciliation of our income tax expense at the statutory federal tax rate to our actual income tax expense:

	Years Ended December 31, 2011	
Statutory federal income tax	\$ 504,000	34.0%
State income taxes, net of federal benefit	96,000	6.0%
Non-taxable earnings prior to tax conversion on June 10, 2011	(1,788,000)	(120.7)%
Effect of conversion to corporation	5,483,000	370.1%
Other	13,000	0.9%
Total income tax expense	\$ 4,308,000	290.8%

The tax effects of temporary differences that give rise to deferred income taxes were as follows:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Deferred tax assets :		
Other assets	\$ 4,000	\$ -
Investment in Athena Structured Funds, PLC	1,455,000	-
Note receivable from related party	2,023,000	-
Net operating loss carryforwards	946,000	-
Subtotal	4,428,000	-
Valuation allowance	2,023,000	-
Net deferred tax asset	2,405,000	-
Deferred tax liabilities:		
Investment in life settlements	(6,713,000)	-
Net deferred tax assets	\$ (4,308,000)	\$ -

At December 31, 2011, the Company had federal and state net operating loss (NOL) carryforwards of \$2,337,000 which represent losses incurred since conversion, and expire in 2031. Future utilization of NOL carryforwards is subject to limitation under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code. This section generally relates to a more than 50 percent change in ownership over a three-year period. We currently do not believe that any issuance of common stock has resulted in an ownership change under Section 382.

The Company provides for a valuation allowance when it is considered not more likely than not that our deferred tax assets will be realized. At December 31, 2011, based upon all available evidence, the Company established a \$2,023,000 valuation allowance against deferred tax assets related to the likelihood of recovering the tax benefit of a capital loss on a note receivable from a related entity. Management believes all other deferred tax assets are

recoverable.

ASC 740, Income Taxes, requires the reporting of certain tax positions which do not meet a threshold of "more-likely-than-not" to be recorded as uncertain tax benefits. It is management's responsibility to determine whether it is "more-likely-than-not" that a tax position will be sustained upon examination, including resolution of any related appeals or litigation, based upon the technical merits of the position. Management has reviewed all income tax positions taken or expected to be taken for all open years and determined that the income tax positions are appropriately stated and supported.

Under the Company's accounting policies, interest and penalties on unrecognized tax benefits, as well as interest received from favorable tax settlements are recognized as components of income tax expense. At December 31, 2011, the Company has recorded no accrued interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

F-20

---



GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The 2011 tax year, the first year that the Company was taxable as a corporation, once filed, remains open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and various state taxing jurisdictions. GWG Holdings, LLC tax returns for periods ended December 31, 2008 through June 10, 2011 (date of conversion) remain open to examination by the Internal Revenue Service and various state taxing jurisdictions.

( 13 ) Earnings per share and proforma information

The Company began issuing convertible redeemable preferred stock September, 1, 2011, as described in note 10. The Series A preferred stock is anti-dilutive to the earnings per share calculation at December 31, 2011. The Company has also issued warrants to purchase common stock in conjunction with the sale of convertible preferred stock, as discussed in note 10. The warrants are also anti-dilutive at December 31, 2011 and have not been included in the fully diluted earnings per share calculation.

	Years Ended	
	December 31, 2011	December 31, 2010
Net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests	\$ (2,826,656 )	\$ 1,047,287
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	9,468,759	9,000,000
Basic income (loss) per share attributable to controlling interests	\$ (.30 )	\$ 0.12

The following summarizes the proforma effect on the Company's net income (loss) attributable to controlling interests to reflect a tax expense (benefit) as if it had been a taxable corporate entity for all periods reported:

	Years Ended December 31,	
	2011	2010
Income (loss) before income taxes	\$ 1,481,561	\$ (230,395)
Income tax expense (benefit)	582,253	(90,545)
Net income (loss)	899,308	(139,850)
Net loss attributable to noncontrolling interests	-	775,553
Net income attributable to controlling interests	899,308	635,703
Net income attributable to redeemable interests	-	46,671
Net income available to nonredeemable controlling interests	\$ 899,308	\$ 589,032
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	9,468,759	9,000,000

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Conversion of preferred stock	440,370	-
Fully diluted weighted average shares outstanding	9,909,129	9,000,000
Basic income per share attributable to controlling interests	\$ .09	\$ .07
Fully diluted income per share attributable to controlling interests	\$ .09	\$ .07

F-21

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

## ( 14 ) Commitments

The Company conducts its operations in facilities sublet from a related party. The non-cancelable lease agreement provides for monthly rental payments over the lease term. Rent expensed under this arrangement and other various month-to-month equipment leases was \$170,000 and \$168,000 during the years ended December 31, 2011 and 2010 respectively. This agreement expires in April 2012; however, the Company entered into a new lease agreement (not with a related party) commencing April 2012 and expiring August 2015 with base monthly rent ranging from \$8,000 to \$9,000 over the term of the lease. Future minimum lease payments which must be made under these agreements are as follows:

Years Ending December 31,		
2012	\$	103,000
2013		114,000
2014		119,000
2015		86,000
Total	\$	422,000

## ( 15 ) Contingencies

Litigation - In the normal course of business, the Company is involved in various legal proceedings. In the opinion of management, any liability resulting from such proceedings would not have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial position, results of operations or cash flows.

Contingency matter - An affiliate of the Company is subject to litigation, clawback claims by the bankruptcy trustee for third-party matters in which our affiliates received payments that may have been deemed preference payments. If the affiliate subject to litigation is unsuccessful in defending against these claims, the affiliate is an owner of the Company's equity, and thus that equity ownership may be sold or transferred to other parties to satisfy such claims.

In addition, the Company invested \$1,000,000 in the affiliate, and was repaid in full plus interest of \$177,000. This investment amount may also be subject to clawback claims by the bankruptcy court. These matters may also distract management and reduce the time and attention that they are able to devote to the Company's operations.

## ( 16 ) Guarantees of secured debentures

Holdings has filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission to offer for sale \$250,000,000 of secured debentures as described in note 9. The secured debentures are secured by the assets as described in note 9 of Holdings and a pledge of all the common stock by the largest shareholders. Obligations under the debentures are guaranteed by Holding's subsidiary GWG Life. This guarantee involves the grant of a security interest in all the assets of GWG Life. GWG Life is a wholly owned subsidiary of Holdings and the payment of principal and interest on the secured debentures is fully and unconditionally guaranteed by GWG Life. The majority of the Company's life insurance policies are held by DLP II a wholly owned subsidiary of GWG Life, however, the policies held by DLP II are not collateral for the debenture obligations as such policies are collateral for the credit facility.

The consolidating financial statements are presented in lieu of separate financial statements and other related disclosures of the subsidiary guarantors and issuer because management does not believe that separate financial statements and related disclosures would be material to investors. There are currently no significant restrictions on the

ability of Holdings or GWG Life , the guarantor subsidiary, to obtain funds from its subsidiaries by dividend or loan, except as follows. DLP II is a borrower under a credit agreement with Autobahn, with DZ Bank AG as agent, as described in note 7. The significant majority of insurance policies owned by the Company are subject to a collateral arrangement with DZ Bank AG described in note 2. Under this arrangement, collection and escrow accounts are used to fund purchases and premiums of the insurance policies and to pay interest and other charges under its revolving credit facility. DZ Bank AG and Autobahn must authorize all disbursements from these accounts, including any distributions to GWG Life. Distributions are limited to an amount that would result in the borrowers (GWG DLP Funding II, LLC, GWG Life Settlements, LLC, and GWG Holdings, Inc) realizing an annualized rate of return on the equity funded amount for such assets of not more than 18%, as determined by DZ Bank AG. After such amount is reached, the credit agreement requires that excess funds be used for repayments of borrowings before any additional distributions may be made.

F-22

---

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

The following represents consolidating financial information as of December 31, 2011 and 2010, with respect to the financial position as of December 31, 2011 and 2010 and results of operations and cash flows of Holdings and its subsidiaries for the years then ended. The parent column presents the financial information of Holdings, the primary obligor of the secured debentures. The guarantor subsidiary column presents the financial information of GWG Life, the guarantor subsidiary of the secured debentures, presenting its investment in DLP II under the equity method. The non-guarantor subsidiaries column presents the financial information of all non-guarantor subsidiaries including DLP II.

## Consolidating Balance Sheets

December 31, 2011	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 1,746,456	\$ 131,893	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 1,878,349
Restricted cash	-	822,227	3,972,075	-	4,794,302
Due from related parties	-	2,265	-	-	2,265
Investment in life settlements, at fair value	-	4,876,389	117,292,135	-	122,168,524
Deferred financing costs, net	-	-	329,937	-	329,937
Investment in Athena Strategies Fund, PLC	4,973	-	-	-	4,973
Other assets	29,844	168,081	13,000	-	210,925
Investment in subsidiaries	17,026,465	61,326,724	-	(78,353,189)	-
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$ 18,807,738</b>	<b>\$ 67,327,579</b>	<b>\$ 121,607,147</b>	<b>\$(78,353,189)</b>	<b>\$ 129,389,275</b>
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; MEMBERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>					
<b>LIABILITIES</b>					
Revolving credit facility	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 60,000,000	\$ -	\$ 60,000,000
Series I Secured notes payable	-	48,179,271	-	-	48,179,271
Accounts payable	379,457	56,311	-	-	435,768
Accrued expenses					
Interest	-	1,779,796	108,039	-	1,887,835
Other	510,219	450,704	7,416	-	968,339
Deferred taxes, net	4,308,217	-	-	-	4,308,217
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>5,197,893</b>	<b>50,466,082</b>	<b>60,115,455</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>115,779,430</b>
<b>CONVERTIBLE, REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK</b>					
	12,661,276	-	-	-	12,661,276

EQUITY (DEFICIT)					
Member capital	10,427,852	47,620,149	(58,048,001)		-
Common stock	9,989	-	-	-	9,989
Additional paid-in capital	8,169,303	-	-	-	8,169,303
Accumulated deficit	(7,230,723)	6,433,645	13,871,543	(20,305,188)	(7,230,723)
TOTAL EQUITY	948,569	16,861,497	61,491,692	(78,353,189)	948,569
TOTAL LIABILITIES					
AND EQUITY (DEFICIT)	\$18,807,738	\$67,327,579	\$ 121,607,147	\$ (78,353,189)	\$ 129,389,275

F-23

GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

December 31, 2010	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>ASSETS</b>					
<b>ASSETS</b>					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$-	\$ 1,842	\$ 1,568,388	\$-	\$ 1,758,230
Restricted cash	-	4,585,069	633,940	-	5,219,009
Due from related parties	-	30,353,176	-	(30,350,761)	2,415
Investment in life settlements	-	3,454,861	79,262,701	-	82,717,562
Deferred financing costs, net	-	-	562,834	-	562,834
Death benefits receivable	-	-	400,000	-	400,000
Other assets	-	79,213	311,495	-	390,708
Investment in subsidiaries	(1,576,667)	14,340,677	-	(12,764,010)	-
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<b>\$(1,576,667)</b>	<b>\$53,002,838</b>	<b>\$ 82,739,358</b>	<b>\$(43,114,771)</b>	<b>\$ 91,050,758</b>
<b>LIABILITIES &amp; MEMBERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>					
<b>LIABILITIES</b>					
Revolving credit facility	\$-	\$-	\$ 37,085,452	\$-	\$ 37,085,452
Series I Secured notes payable	-	51,798,992	-	-	51,798,992
Accounts payable	-	85,547	625,000	-	710,547
Due to related parties	30,000	-	30,320,761	(30,350,761)	-
Accrued expenses					
Interest	-	924,848	50,969	-	975,817
Other	-	283,521	35,082	-	318,603
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES</b>	<b>30,000</b>	<b>53,092,908</b>	<b>68,117,264</b>	<b>(30,350,761)</b>	<b>90,889,411</b>
<b>REDEEMABLE MEMBER'S INTEREST</b>	<b>(509,126 )</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(509,126 )</b>
<b>EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>					
Members' capital	(1,097,541)	2,215,998	14,622,094	(12,764,010)	2,976,541
Notes receivable from related parties	-	(2,306,068 )	-	-	(2,306,068 )
<b>TOTAL EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>	<b>(1,097,541)</b>	<b>(90,070 )</b>	<b>14,622,094</b>	<b>(12,764,010)</b>	<b>670,473</b>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES &amp; EQUITY (DEFICIT)</b>	<b>\$(1,576,667)</b>	<b>\$53,002,838</b>	<b>\$ 82,739,358</b>	<b>\$(43,114,771)</b>	<b>\$ 91,050,758</b>

## Consolidating Statements of Operations

For the year ended December 31, 2011	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
--------------------------------------	--------	-------------------------	-------------------------------	--------------	--------------

Explanation of Responses:

## Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

<b>REVENUE</b>								
Contract servicing fees	\$	-	\$ 2,429,870	\$	-	\$ (2,429,870)	\$	-
Gain on life settlements, net		-	574,983		17,229,216		-	17,804,199
Interest and other income		3,489	34,806		22,386		-	60,681
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>		<b>3,489</b>	<b>3,039,659</b>		<b>17,251,602</b>		<b>(2,429,870)</b>	<b>17,864,880</b>
<b>EXPENSES</b>								
Origination and servicing fees		-	112,500		2,317,370		(2,429,870)	-
Employee compensation and benefits		-	2,081,545		-		-	2,081,545
Legal and professional fees		790,277	363,477		46,383		-	1,200,137
Investment banking services		3,595,027	-		-		-	3,595,027
Interest expense		4,700	6,431,691		1,424,088		-	7,860,479
Other expenses		589,188	1,003,943		53,000		-	1,646,131
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>		<b>4,979,192</b>	<b>9,993,156</b>		<b>3,840,841</b>		<b>(2,429,870)</b>	<b>16,383,319</b>
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES AND EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARY</b>								
		(4,975,703)	(6,953,497)		13,410,761		-	1,481,561
<b>EQUITY IN INCOME OF SUBSIDIARY</b>								
		6,457,264	13,527,209		-		(19,984,473)	-
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE INCOME TAXES</b>								
		1,481,561	6,573,712		13,410,761		(19,984,473)	1,481,561
<b>INCOME TAX EXPENSE</b>								
		4,308,217	-		-		-	4,308,217
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS)</b>								
	\$	(2,826,656)	\$ 6,573,712	\$	13,410,761	\$	(19,984,473)	\$ (2,826,656)

F-24



GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES  
NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

For the year ended December 31, 2010	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>REVENUE</b>					
Contract servicing fees	\$-	\$6,153,650	\$ -	\$(6,010,668)	\$ 142,982
Gain on life settlements, net	-	1,003,257	7,655,617	-	8,658,874
Interest and other income	1,268	94,468	1,355	-	97,091
<b>TOTAL REVENUE</b>	<b>1,268</b>	<b>7,251,375</b>	<b>7,656,972</b>	<b>(6,010,668)</b>	<b>8,898,947</b>
<b>EXPENSES</b>					
Origination and servicing fees	-	200,100	5,810,568	(6,010,668)	-
Employee compensation and benefits	9,800	2,220,306	-	-	2,230,106
Legal and professional fees	30,000	957,847	121,166	-	1,109,013
Interest expense	57,166	3,479,806	890,396	-	4,427,368
Recovery of losses on related-party notes	-	(20,425 )	-	-	(20,425 )
Other expenses	14,758	1,305,521	63,001	-	1,383,280
<b>TOTAL EXPENSES</b>	<b>111,724</b>	<b>8,143,155</b>	<b>6,885,131</b>	<b>(6,010,668)</b>	<b>9,129,342</b>
<b>INCOME (LOSS) BEFORE EQUITY IN LOSS OF SUBSIDIARIES</b>	<b>(110,456 )</b>	<b>(891,780 )</b>	<b>771,841</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(230,395 )</b>
<b>EQUITY IN LOSS OF SUBSIDIARY</b>	<b>(119,939 )</b>	<b>1,033,835</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(913,896 )</b>	<b>-</b>
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS)</b>	<b>(230,395 )</b>	<b>142,055</b>	<b>771,841</b>	<b>(913,896 )</b>	<b>(230,395 )</b>
<b>NET LOSS ATTRIBUTABLE TO NONCONTROLLING INTERESTS</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,277,682)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(1,277,682)</b>
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO CONTROLLING INTEREST</b>	<b>(230,395 )</b>	<b>1,419,737</b>	<b>771,841</b>	<b>(913,896 )</b>	<b>1,047,287</b>
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS) ATTRIBUTABLE TO REDEEMABLE MEMBER'S INTEREST</b>	<b>(134,692 )</b>	<b>57,077</b>	<b>34,347</b>	<b>89,939</b>	<b>46,671</b>
<b>NET INCOME (LOSS) AVAILABLE TO NONREDEEMABLE MEMBER'S INTERESTS</b>	<b>\$(95,703 )</b>	<b>\$1,362,660</b>	<b>\$ 737,494</b>	<b>\$(1,003,835)</b>	<b>\$ 1,000,616</b>

F-25

---

## Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows

For the year ended December 31, 2011	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiary	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Net income (loss)	\$(2,826,656)	\$6,573,713	\$ 13,410,761	\$(19,984,474)	\$(2,826,656)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash flows from operating activities:					
(Equity) loss of subsidiaries	(6,457,264)	(13,527,209)	-	19,984,473	-
(Gain) on life settlements	-	(1,037,683)	(28,287,336)	-	(29,325,019)
Amortization of deferred financing and issuance costs	-	1,769,615	232,897	-	2,002,512
Investment banking services	3,595,027	-	-	-	3,595,027
Deferred income taxes, net	4,308,217	-	-	-	4,308,217
Preferred stock issued for dividends	16,689	-	-	-	16,689
Accrued convertible, redeemable preferred stock dividends payable	290,454	-	-	-	290,454
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:					
Due from related parties	2,326,068	(5,464,144)	3,138,076	-	-
Death benefit receivable	-	-	400,000	-	400,000
Other assets	(20,941)	(88,868)	298,495	-	188,686
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:					
Due to related party	(549,632)	549,783	-	(1)	150
Accounts payable	379,457	(29,236)	(625,000)	-	(274,779)
Accrued interest	-	1,162,899	57,070	2	1,219,971
Accrued expenses	3,750	167,183	(27,666)	-	143,267
<b>NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>1,065,169</b>	<b>(9,923,947)</b>	<b>(11,402,703)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(20,261,481)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Investments in life settlements	-	(383,845)	(11,545,550)	-	(11,929,395)
Proceeds from investment in life settlements	-	-	1,803,452	-	1,803,452
<b>NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(383,845)</b>	<b>(9,742,098)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>(10,125,943)</b>
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Net proceeds on revolving credit facility	-	-	22,914,548	-	22,914,548
Proceeds from issuance of Series I Secured notes payable	-	11,991,331	-	-	11,991,331
Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable	-	(7,810,398)	-	-	(7,810,398)
Proceeds (payments) from restricted cash	-	3,762,842	(3,338,135)	-	424,707
Issuance of common stock	4,973	-	-	-	4,973
Issuance of preferred stock	4,213,862	-	-	-	4,213,862

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Payments for issuance costs of preferred stock	(1,231,480)	-	-	-	(1,231,480)
Proceeds from notes receivable from related parties	-	2,306,068	-	-	2,306,068
Common stock dividends	(2,306,068)	-	-	-	(2,306,068)
<b>NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	<b>681,287</b>	<b>10,249,843</b>	<b>19,576,413</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>30,507,543</b>
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	<b>1,746,456</b>	<b>(57,949)</b>	<b>(1,568,388)</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>120,119</b>
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS BEGINNING OF YEAR</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>189,842</b>	<b>1,568,388</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>1,758,230</b>
<b>END OF YEAR</b>	<b>\$ 1,746,456</b>	<b>\$ 131,893</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ -</b>	<b>\$ 1,878,349</b>

F-26

**GWG HOLDINGS, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**

## Consolidating Statements of Cash Flows (continued)

For the year ended December 31, 2010	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiary	Non-Guarantor Subsidiary	Eliminations	Consolidated
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Net income (loss)	\$(230,395 )	\$ 142,055	\$ 771,841	\$(913,896 )	\$(230,395 )
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to cash:					
(Equity) loss of subsidiaries	(2,998,390)	134,267	-	2,864,123	-
Gain) loss from life settlements	-	(1,003,257 )	(16,223,835 )	-	(17,227,092)
Recovery of losses on notes receivable from related parties	-	(20,425 )	-	-	(20,425 )
Capitalized interest on notes receivable from related parties	-	(82,435 )	-	-	(82,435 )
Amortization of deferred financing costs	-	513,739	232,896	-	746,635
(Increase) decrease in operating assets:					
Due from related parties	(2,415 )	-	-	-	(2,415 )
Death benefit receivable	-	-	(158,302 )	-	(158,302 )
Other assets	842,464	(37,166,317)	35,231,492	913,896	(178,465 )
Increase (decrease) in operating liabilities:					
Due to related party	(610,888 )	-	-	-	(610,888 )
Accounts payable	378,223	(27,418 )	(594,582 )	-	(243,777 )
Accrued interest	-	1,525,884	75,450	-	1,601,334
Accrued expenses	2,621,401	(1,630,914 )	1,518,945	(2,864,123)	(354,691 )
<b>NET CASH FLOWS USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES</b>	-	(37,614,821)	20,853,905	-	(16,760,916)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Investment in life settlements	-	(858,770 )	(52,365,226 )	-	(53,223,996)
Proceeds from investment in life settlements	-	-	-	-	-
<b>NET CASH FLOWS USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES</b>	-	(858,770 )	(52,365,226 )	-	(53,223,996)
<b>CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>					
Net proceeds from revolving credit facility	-	-	32,098,027	-	32,098,027
Proceeds from issuance of Series I Secured notes payable	-	43,494,690	-	-	43,494,690
	-	(5,780,420 )	-	-	(5,780,420 )

Payments for redemption of Series I Secured notes payable					
Proceeds (payments) from restricted cash	-	532,106	-	-	532,106
Advances on notes to related parties	-	(462,499 )	-	-	(462,499 )
Issuance of non-controlling interest member capital	-	680,388	-	-	680,388
<b>NET CASH FLOWS PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES</b>	-	38,464,265	32,098,027	-	70,562,292
<b>NET INCREASE (DECREASE) IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS</b>	-	(9,326 )	586,706	-	577,380
<b>CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS BEGINNING OF THE YEAR</b>	-	199,168	981,682	-	1,180,850
<b>END OF THE YEAR</b>	\$-	\$189,842	\$ 1,568,388	\$-	\$1,758,230

F-27

## ( 17 ) Concentration

GWG purchases life insurance policies written by life insurance companies having investment grade ratings by independent rating agencies. As a result there may be certain concentrations of contracts with life insurance companies. The following summarizes the face value of insurance contracts with specific life insurance companies exceeding 10% of the total face value held by the Company.

Life insurance company	December 2011 %	December 2010 %
Company A	17.43	17.93
Company B	15.06	15.61
Company C	12.53	10.27
Company D	10.09	-

The following summarizes the number of insurance contracts held in specific states exceeding 10% of the total face value held by the Company:

State of residence	December 2011 %	December 2010 %
California	31.43	30.00
New York	13.71	12.86
Florida	11.43	10.00

F-28

---

---

\$250,000,000

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

Renewable Secured Debentures

—  
PROSPECTUS  
—

Dealer Prospectus Delivery Obligation

Until April 30, 2012, all dealers that effect transactions in these securities, whether or not participating in this offering, may be required to deliver a prospectus. This is in addition to the dealers' obligation to deliver a prospectus when acting as underwriters and with respect to their unsold allotments or subscriptions.

, 2012

---

---

---



PART II  
INFORMATION NOT REQUIRED IN PROSPECTUS

ITEM 13. OTHER EXPENSES OF ISSUANCE AND DISTRIBUTION

Set forth below are expenses (other than the selling agent's commissions, dealer manager fees and allowance expenses) we expect to be incurred in connection with the issuance and distribution of the securities registered hereby. With the exception of the Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee, the amounts set forth below are estimates and actual expenses may vary considerably from these estimates depending upon how long the notes are offered and other factors:

Securities and Exchange Commission registration fee	\$29,025
Accounting fees and expenses	\$200,000
Legal fees and expenses	\$350,000
Blue sky fees and expenses	\$80,000
Printing expenses	\$190,000
Trustee fees and expenses	\$100,000
Miscellaneous	\$15,000

ITEM 14. INDEMNIFICATION OF DIRECTORS AND OFFICERS

Section 145 of the Delaware General Corporation Law provides for, under certain circumstances, the indemnification of our officers, directors, employees and agents against liabilities that they may incur in such capacities. A summary of the circumstances in which such indemnification provided for is contained herein, but that description is qualified in its entirety by reference to the relevant Section of the Delaware General Corporation Law.

In general, the statute provides that any director, officer, employee or agent of a corporation may be indemnified against expenses (including attorneys' fees), judgments, fines and amounts paid in settlement, actually and reasonably incurred in a proceeding (including any civil, criminal, administrative or investigative proceeding) to which the individual was a party by reason of such status. Such indemnity may be provided if the indemnified person's actions resulting in the liabilities: (i) were taken in good faith; (ii) were reasonably believed to have been in or not opposed to our best interest; and (iii) with respect to any criminal action, such person had no reasonable cause to believe the actions were unlawful. Unless ordered by a court, indemnification generally may be awarded only after a determination of independent members of the Board of Directors or a committee thereof, by independent legal counsel or by vote of the stockholders that the applicable standard of conduct was met by the individual to be indemnified.

The statutory provisions further provide that to the extent a director, officer, employee or agent is wholly successful on the merits or otherwise in defense of any proceeding to which he was a party, he is entitled to receive indemnification against expenses, including attorneys' fees, actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the proceeding.

Indemnification in connection with a proceeding by or in the right of GWG Holdings, Inc. in which the director, officer, employee or agent is successful is permitted only with respect to expenses, including attorneys' fees actually and reasonably incurred in connection with the defense. In such actions, the person to be indemnified must have acted in good faith, in a manner believed to have been in our best interest and must not have been adjudged liable to us unless and only to the extent that the Court of Chancery or the court in which such action or suit was brought shall determine upon application that, despite the adjudication of liability, in view of all the circumstances of the case, such person is fairly and reasonably entitled to indemnity for such expense which the Court of Chancery or such other court shall deem proper. Indemnification is otherwise prohibited in connection with a proceeding brought on behalf of

GWG Holdings, Inc. in which a director is adjudged liable to us, or in connection with any proceeding charging improper personal benefit to the director in which the director is adjudged liable for receipt of an improper personal benefit.

Delaware law authorizes us to reimburse or pay reasonable expenses incurred by a director, officer, employee or agent in connection with a proceeding in advance of a final disposition of the matter. Such advances of expenses are permitted if the person furnishes to us a written agreement to repay such advances if it is determined that he is not entitled to be indemnified by us.

II-1

---

The statutory section cited above further specifies that any provisions for indemnification of or advances for expenses does not exclude other rights under our certificate of incorporation, corporate bylaws, resolutions of our stockholders or disinterested directors, or otherwise. These indemnification provisions continue for a person who has ceased to be a director, officer, employee or agent of the corporation and inure to the benefit of the heirs, executors and administrators of such persons.

The statutory provision cited above also grants the power to GWG Holdings, Inc. to purchase and maintain insurance policies that protect any director, officer, employee or agent against any liability asserted against or incurred by him in such capacity arising out of his status as such. Such policies may provide for indemnification whether or not the corporation would otherwise have the power to provide for it.

Article 6 of our corporate bylaws provides that we shall indemnify our directors, officers, employees and agents to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 may be permitted to directors, officers or persons controlling GWG Holdings, Inc. pursuant to the foregoing provisions, we understand that in the opinion of the SEC such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in that Act and is therefore unenforceable.

#### ITEM 15. RECENT SALES OF UNREGISTERED SECURITIES

From August 2009 through June 14, 2011, GWG Life Settlements, LLC, our wholly owned subsidiary, offered and sold approximately \$60.6 million (net of redemptions) in principal amount of subsidiary secured notes (referred to as “Series I Secured notes” in the notes to our consolidated financial statements, and marketed under the name “LifeNotes”). These offers and sales were made in reliance on Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, and Rule 506 thereunder, on the basis that only accredited investors were offered and sold the debt securities involved.

From September 1, 2011 through April 11, 2012, the Company sold 2,959,718 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock for an aggregate of \$22,197,885 (i.e., \$7.50 per share), and issued related three-year warrants to purchase up to 221,979 shares of Company common stock at an exercise price of \$6.25 per share. Of this aggregate purchase price, \$9,537,116 was received in cash and the remaining \$12,660,769 was received upon surrender of earlier issued subsidiary secured notes (including principal and interest). In addition, 38,179 shares of Series A Convertible Preferred Stock were issued as stock dividends. The Company paid aggregate placement commissions, fees and non-accountable expenses of approximately \$2,314,171 in connection with the sale of the preferred stock. The Company offered and sold the preferred stock solely to accredited investors and, as a result, offered and sold these securities in reliance on the exemption available under Rule 506 and Section 4(5) of the Securities Act of 1933.

#### ITEM 16. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULES

(a) Exhibits. The exhibits listed below are filed as a part of this registration statement.

##### Exhibit

##### Number Description

- 3.1 Certificate of Incorporation (1)
- 3.2 Bylaws (1)
- 3.3 Certificate of Amendment of Certificate of Incorporation (2)
- 3.4 Certificate of Designations for Series A Convertible Preferred Stock (2)
- 4.1 Indenture with Bank of Utah, dated October 19, 2011 (3)
- 4.2 Form of Debenture (2)
- 4.3 Form of Subscription Agreement (revised April 2012) \*
- 4.4

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Pledge and Security Agreement by and among GWG Holdings, Inc., GWG Life Settlements, LLC, Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, and Bank of Utah, dated October 19, 2011 (3)

- 4.5 Intercreditor Agreement by and among Bank of Utah, and Lord Securities Corporation, dated October 19, 2011 (3)
- 4.6 Amendment No. 1 to Indenture with Bank of Utah, dated December 15, 2011 \*
- 4.7 Amendment No. 1 to Pledge and Security Agreement, dated December 15, 2011 \*
- 5.1 Opinion of Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP dated November 1, 2011 (4)
- 10.1 Credit and Security Agreement with DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender), dated July 15, 2008 (5)

II-2

---

- 10.2 Consent and Amendment No. 1 to Credit and Security Agreement with DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender), dated December 14, 2010 (2)
- 10.3 Consent and Amendment No. 2 to Credit and Security Agreement with DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender), dated June 10, 2011 (2)
- 10.4 Performance Guaranty dated July 15, 2008, delivered in favor of DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender) (2)
- 10.5 Amended and Restated Note Issuance and Security Agreement dated November 15, 2010, with Lord Securities Corporation (as trustee), GWG LifeNotes Trust (as secured party), and noteholders \*
- 10.6 Pledge Agreement dated November 15, 2010, among Jon R. Sabes, Steven F. Sabes, Opportunity Finance, LLC, SFS Trust 1976, SFS Trust 1992 Esther, SFS Trust 1982, Mokeson, LLC (collectively as pledgors), and Lord Securities Corporation (as trustee and pledgee) (2)
- 10.7 Reaffirmation of Guaranty dated June 10, 2011 in favor of with DZ Bank AG Deutsche Zentral-Genossenschaftsbank (as agent), and Autobahn Funding Company LLC (as lender) (2)
- 10.8 Second Amended and Restated Managing Broker-Dealer Agreement with Arque Capital dated effective November 16, 2011 (6)
- 10.9 Amended and Restated Investment Agreement with Insurance Strategies Fund, LLC, dated as of September 3, 2009 (2)
- 10.10 Addendum No. 1 to Sub-Sublease Agreement effective as of July 14, 2008 by Opportunity Finance, LLC and GWG Life, LLC (7)
- 10.11 Employment Agreement with Jon R. Sabes, dated June 14, 2011 (5)
- 10.12 Employment Agreement with Steven F. Sabes, dated June 14, 2011 (5)
- 10.13 Employment Agreement with Paul A. Siegert, dated June 14, 2011 (5)
- 10.14 Purchase and Sale Agreement with Athena Securities Group Ltd. and Athena Structured Funds PLC, dated July 11, 2011 (2)
- 10.15 Shareholders' Agreement with respect to Athena Structured Funds PLC, dated July 11, 2011 (2)
  - 21 List of Subsidiaries \*
  - 23.1 Consent of Mayer Hoffman McCann P.C. \*
  - 23.2 Consent of Maslon Edelman Borman & Brand, LLP (contained within Exhibit 5.1 above)
  - 25 Statement of Eligibility of Trustee (8)
- 99 Letter from Model Actuarial Pricing Systems, dated March 8, 2012\*

---

\* Filed electronically herewith.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1 Registration Statement filed on June 14, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on August 23, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on October 20, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on November 1, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on September 20, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (6) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on December 15, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (7) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on July 26, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).
- (8) Incorporated by reference to Form S-1/A Registration Statement filed on October 5, 2011 (File No. 333-174887).

## ITEM 17. UNDERTAKINGS

Insofar as indemnification for liabilities arising under the Securities Act of 1933 (the "Securities Act") may be permitted to directors, officers and controlling persons of the registrant pursuant to the foregoing provisions, or otherwise, the registrant has been advised that in the opinion of the Securities and Exchange Commission such indemnification is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act, and is, therefore, unenforceable. In the event that a claim for indemnification against such liabilities (other than the payment by the registrant of expenses incurred or paid by a director, officer or controlling person of the registrant in the successful defense of any action, suit or proceeding) is asserted by such director, officer or controlling person in connection with the securities being registered, the registrant will, unless in the opinion of its counsel the matter has been settled by controlling precedent, submit to a court of appropriate jurisdiction the question whether such indemnification by it is against public policy as expressed in the Securities Act and will be governed by the final adjudication of such issue.

II-3

---

The undersigned registrant hereby undertakes:

(1) To file, during any period in which offers or sales are being made, a post-effective amendment to this registration statement:

(i) to include any prospectus required by section 10(a)(3) of the Securities Act of 1933;

(ii) to reflect in the prospectus any facts or events arising after the effective date of the registration statement (or the most recent post-effective amendment thereof) which, individually or in the aggregate, represent a fundamental change in the information set forth in the registration statement. Notwithstanding the foregoing, an increase or decrease in volume of securities offered (if the total dollar value of securities offered would not exceed that which was registered) and any deviation from the low or high end of the estimated maximum offering range may be reflected in the form of prospectus filed with the Commission pursuant to Rule 424(b) if, in the aggregate, the changes in volume and price represent no more than a 20% change in the maximum aggregate offering price set forth in the "Calculation of Registration Fee" table in the effective registration statement;

(iii) to include any material information with respect to the plan of distribution not previously disclosed in the registration statement or any material change to such information in the registration statement.

(2) That, for the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act of 1933, each such post-effective amendment shall be deemed to be a new registration statement relating to the securities offered therein, and the offering of such securities at that time shall be deemed to be the initial bona fide offering thereof.

(3) To remove from registration by means of a post-effective amendment any of the securities being registered which remain unsold at the termination of the offering.

(4) [intentionally omitted]

(5) For the purpose of determining any liability under the Securities Act to any purchaser, each prospectus filed pursuant to Rule 424(b) as part of a registration statement relating to an offering, other than registration statements relying on Rule 430B or other than prospectuses filed in reliance on Rule 430A, shall be deemed to be part of and included in the registration statement as of the date it is first used after effectiveness. Provided, however, that no statement made in a registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement or made in a document incorporated or deemed incorporated by reference into the registration statement or prospectus that is part of the registration statement will, as to a purchaser with a time of contract of sale prior to such first use, supersede or modify any statement that was made in the registration statement or prospectus that was part of the registration statement or made in any such document immediately prior to such date of first use.

(6) That, for the purpose of determining liability of the registrant under the Securities Act of 1933 to any purchaser in the initial distribution of the securities: The undersigned registrant undertakes that in a primary offering of securities of the undersigned registrant pursuant to this registration statement, regardless of the underwriting method used to sell the securities to the purchaser, if the securities are offered or sold to such purchaser by means of any of the following communications, the undersigned registrant will be a seller to the purchaser and will be considered to offer or sell such securities to such purchaser:

(i) Any preliminary prospectus or prospectus of the undersigned registrant relating to the offering required to be filed pursuant to Rule 424;

(ii)

Explanation of Responses:

Edgar Filing: CIPRICH PAULA M - Form 4

Any free writing prospectus relating to the offering prepared by or on behalf of the undersigned registrant or used or referred to by the undersigned registrant;

(iii) The portion of any other free writing prospectus relating to the offering containing material information about the undersigned registrant or its securities provided by or on behalf of the undersigned registrant; and

(iv) Any other communication that is an offer in the offering made by the undersigned registrant to the purchaser.

II-4

---



SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the Registrant has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, on April 27, 2012.

GWG HOLDINGS, INC.

By: /s/ Jon R. Sabes  
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this registration statement has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated below.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Jon R. Sabes Jon R. Sabes	Director, Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	April 27, 2012
/s/ Paul A. Siegert Paul A. Siegert	Chairman of the Board, President	April 27, 2012
/s/ Jon Gangelhoff Jon Gangelhoff	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)	April 27, 2012
/s/ Steven F. Sabes Steven F. Sabes	Director, Chief Operating Officer and Secretary	April 27, 2012
/s/ Laurence Zipkin Laurence Zipkin	Director	April 27, 2012
/s/ Brian Tyrell Brian Tyrell	Director	April 27, 2012
/s/ Kenneth Michael Fink Kenneth Michael Fink	Director	April 27, 2012

II-5

---

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, the registrant has duly caused this registration statement to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized, in the City of Minneapolis, State of Minnesota, on April 27, 2012.

GWG LIFE SETTLEMENTS, LLC

By: /s/ Jon R. Sabes  
Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, this registration statement has been signed by the following persons in the capacities and on the dates indicated below.

Name	Title	Date
/s/ Jon R. Sabes Jon R. Sabes	Chief Executive Officer (principal executive officer)	April 27, 2012
/s/ Paul A. Siegert Paul A. Siegert	Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer)	April 27, 2012
/s/ Jon R. Sabes Jon R. Sabes	Manager of GWG Life Settlements, LLC	April 27, 2012

II-6

---