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## Part I. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)
Amounts in thousands, except par value and per share data
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

|  | As of June 30, 2013 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { December 31, } \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ASSETS |  |  |
| Current assets |  |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$33,184 | \$ 21,607 |
| Accounts receivable, less allowances of \$3,489 and \$3,529 | 151,993 | 138,311 |
| Inventories, principally on last-in first-out basis (replacement cost higher by $\$ 137,147$ and $\$ 139,940$ ) | 246,862 | 303,772 |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | 11,562 | 11,369 |
| Deferred income taxes | 11,947 | 3,723 |
| Income tax receivable | 8,332 | 7,596 |
| Total current assets | 463,880 | 486,378 |
| Investment in joint venture | 38,727 | 38,854 |
| Goodwill | 69,513 | 70,300 |
| Intangible assets | 75,603 | 82,477 |
| Prepaid pension cost | 14,198 | 12,891 |
| Other assets | 18,508 | 18,266 |
| Property, plant and equipment |  |  |
| Land | 4,922 | 5,195 |
| Building | 52,912 | 52,884 |
| Machinery and equipment | 175,424 | 178,664 |
| Property, plant and equipment, at cost | 233,258 | 236,743 |
| Less - accumulated depreciation | (156,918 | ) $(157,103$ |
| Property, plant and equipment, net | 76,340 | 79,640 |
| Total assets | \$756,769 | \$ 788,806 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY |  |  |
| Current liabilities |  |  |
| Accounts payable | \$87,205 | \$ 67,990 |
| Accrued liabilities | 37,814 | 36,564 |
| Income taxes payable | 960 | 1,563 |
| Current portion of long-term debt | 398 | 415 |
| Short-term debt | - | 500 |
| Total current liabilities | 126,377 | 107,032 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 258,366 | 296,154 |
| Deferred income taxes | 31,104 | 32,350 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 6,808 | 5,279 |
| Pension and post retirement benefit obligations | 10,835 | 10,651 |
| Commitments and contingencies |  |  |
| Stockholders' equity |  |  |
| Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value-9,988 shares authorized (including 400 Series B Junior |  |  |
| Preferred $\$ 0.00$ par value shares); no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 |  |  |
| Common stock, \$0.01 par value-60,000 shares authorized and 23,452 shares issued and | 234 | 232 |
| 23,350 outstanding at June 30, 2013 and 23,211 shares issued and 23,152 outstanding at |  |  |

December 31, 2012
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Additional paid-in capital } & 222,597 & 219,619\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Retained earnings } & 124,818 & 139,239\end{array}$
Accumulated other comprehensive loss (22,881 ) (21,071)
Treasury stock, at cost-102 shares at June 30, 2013 and 59 shares at December 31, $2012(1,489$ ) (679 )
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Total stockholders' equity } & 323,279 & 337,340\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { Total liabilities and stockholders' equity } & \$ 756,769 & \$ 788,806\end{array}$
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

 AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.
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## CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

|  | For the Six Months Ended June 30, <br> 2013 $2012$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Net loss | \$(14,421 | ) | \$(7,278 | ) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash from operating activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Depreciation and amortization | 13,204 |  | 13,087 |  |
| Amortization of deferred (gain) loss | (778 | ) | 15 |  |
| Amortization of deferred financing costs and debt discount | 3,443 |  | 3,028 |  |
| Loss on sale of fixed assets | 27 |  | 26 |  |
| Unrealized loss on debt conversion option | - |  | 15,597 |  |
| Unrealized losses on commodity hedges | 1,435 |  | 876 |  |
| Equity in earnings of joint venture | (2,963 | ) | (4,741 | ) |
| Dividends from joint venture | 3,091 |  | 1,465 |  |
| Deferred tax benefit | (9,902 | ) | (903 | ) |
| Share-based compensation expense | 1,051 |  | 1,943 |  |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | (471 | ) | (63 | ) |
| Increase (decrease) from changes in: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts receivable | (14,728 | ) | 3,170 |  |
| Inventories | 55,380 |  | (92,270 | ) |
| Prepaid expenses and other current assets | (268 | ) | (10,751 | ) |
| Other assets | (537 | ) | 757 |  |
| Prepaid pension costs | (174 | ) | (905 | ) |
| Accounts payable | 20,736 |  | 61,735 |  |
| Income taxes payable and receivable | (947 | ) | 4,174 |  |
| Accrued liabilities | 1,563 |  | 8,490 |  |
| Postretirement benefit obligations and other liabilities | 1,373 |  | (3,081 | ) |
| Net cash from (used in) operating activities | 56,114 |  | (5,629 | ) |
| Investing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | (5,436 | ) | (4,689 | ) |
| Proceeds from sale of fixed assets | 745 |  | 6 |  |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (4,691 | ) | (4,683 | ) |
| Financing activities: |  |  |  |  |
| Short-term borrowings (repayments), net | (500 | ) | 500 |  |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 115,300 |  | 337,135 |  |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (155,169 | ) | (336,120 | ) |
| Payment of debt issue costs | - |  | (1,503 | ) |
| Exercise of stock options | 991 |  | 104 |  |
| Excess tax benefits from share-based payment arrangements | 471 |  | 63 |  |
| Net cash (used in) from financing activities | (38,907 | ) | 179 |  |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents | (939 | ) | 58 |  |
| Net change in cash and cash equivalents | 11,577 |  | (10,075 | ) |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year | 21,607 |  | 30,524 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents - end of period | \$33,184 |  | \$20,449 |  |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these statements.
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A. M. Castle \& Co.

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements
Unaudited - Amounts in thousands except per share data and percentages
(1) Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

The condensed consolidated financial statements included herein have been prepared by A. M. Castle \& Co. and subsidiaries (the "Company"), without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet at December 31, 2012 is derived from the audited financial statements at that date. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the SEC. In the opinion of management, the unaudited statements, included herein, contain all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring adjustments) necessary for a fair presentation of financial results for the interim period. It is suggested that these condensed consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and the notes thereto included in the Company's latest Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended. The 2013 interim results reported herein may not necessarily be indicative of the results of the Company's operations for the full year. Reclassification - To conform with current presentation, the Company has reclassified 2012 amounts related to foreign currency transaction gains (losses) to other income (expense) beginning in the first quarter of 2013. Such amounts were previously recorded in sales, general and administrative expense in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and other comprehensive loss. GAAP provides several alternatives for presenting foreign currency transaction gains (losses). The Company believes its new presentation will be most useful to investors as it is consistent with the way the Company views its operating performance internally and will also allow for better comparability of the Company's operating performance with certain companies within its industry.
Refer below for the impact on the presentation in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss:

Sales, general and administrative expense Other income (expense)

| For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012 |  | For the Six Months Ended |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | June 30, 2012 |  |
| As Previously | After | As Previously | After |
| Reported | Reclassification | Reported | Reclassification |
| \$34,894 | \$ 34,203 | \$70,106 | \$ 69,857 |
| - | (691 | - | (249 |

(2) New Accounting Standards

Standards Updates Adopted
Effective January 1, 2013, the Company adopted Accounting Standards Update ("ASU") No. 2013-01, "Clarifying the Scope of Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities." The amendments in this ASU clarify the that the scope of ASU 2011-11 applies to derivatives accounted for in accordance with Topic 815, Derivatives and Hedging, including bifurcated embedded derivatives, repurchase agreements and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in accordance with Section 210-20-45 or Section 815-10-45 or subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The amendments in this ASU require an entity to disclose information to enable users of its financial statements to evaluate the effect or potential effect of netting arrangements on its financial position for recognized assets and liabilities, including the effect or potential effect of rights of set off associated with an entity's recognized assets and recognized liabilities within the scope of Topic 210. The adoption of this ASU did not have an impact on the Company's financial condition, liquidity or operating results. The disclosure requirements associated with the adoption of ASU 2011-11 are reflected in Note 5.
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Effective January 1, 2013, the Company adopted the guidance in ASU No. 2013-02, "Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income," related to the presentation of reclassification adjustments out of accumulated other comprehensive income. The amendments in this ASU require the Company to provide information about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. The Company is also required to present, either on the face of the statement where net income is presented or in the notes, significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by the respective line items of net income but only if the amount reclassified is required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under U.S. GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, the Company is required to cross-reference to other disclosures required under U.S. GAAP that provide additional detail about those amounts. The disclosure requirements associated with the adoption of ASU 2013-02 are reflected in Note 10.
(3) Earnings Per Share

Diluted earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock plus common stock equivalents. Common stock equivalents consist of employee and director stock options, restricted stock awards, other share-based payment awards, and contingently issuable shares related to the Company's convertible debt which are included in the calculation of weighted average shares outstanding using the treasury stock method, if dilutive. The following table is a reconciliation of the basic and diluted earnings per share calculations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:


The Convertible Notes are dilutive to the extent the Company generates net income and the average stock price during the period is greater than $\$ 10.28$, the conversion price of the Convertible Notes. The Convertible Notes are only dilutive for the "in the money" portion of the Convertible Notes that could be settled with the Company's stock. In future periods, absent a fundamental change, (as defined in the Convertible Notes agreement), the outstanding Convertible Notes could increase diluted average shares outstanding by a maximum of approximately 5,600 shares.
For the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the participating securities, which represent certain non-vested shares granted by the Company, were less than one percent of total securities. These securities do not participate in the Company's net loss.
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4) Debt

Short-term and long-term debt consisted of the following:

|  | June 30, | December 31, <br> 2012 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| SHORT-TERM DEBT | 2013 |  |
| Foreign | $\$-$ | $\$ 500$ |
| Total short-term debt | - | 500 |
| LONG-TERM DEBT | 225,000 | 225,000 |
| 12.75\% Senior Secured Notes due December 15, 2016 | 57,500 | 57,500 |
| $7.0 \%$ Convertible Notes due December 15, 2017 | - | 39,500 |
| Revolving Credit Facility due December 15, 2015 | 1,197 | 1,400 |
| Other, primarily capital leases | 283,697 | 323,400 |
| Total long-term debt | $(24,933$ | $)(26,831$ |
| Less: unamortized discount | $(398$ | $)(415$ |
| Less: current portion | 258,366 | 296,154 |
| Total long-term portion | $\$ 258,764$ | $\$ 297,069$ |
| TOTAL SHORT-TERM AND LONG-TERM DEBT |  |  |

During December of 2011, the Company issued \$225,000 aggregate principal amount of $12.75 \%$ Senior Secured Notes due 2016 (the "Secured Notes"), \$57,500 aggregate principal amount of $7.0 \%$ Convertible Senior Notes due 2017 (the "Convertible Notes") and entered into a $\$ 100,000$ senior secured asset based revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility"). Net proceeds from these transactions (collectively referred to as the "Debt Transactions") were used to complete the acquisition of Tube Supply, repay existing debt and for general corporate purposes.

## Secured Notes

The Secured Notes will mature on December 15, 2016. The Company will pay interest on the Secured Notes at a rate of $12.75 \%$ per annum in cash semi-annually. The Secured Notes are fully and unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, by certain $100 \%$ owned domestic subsidiaries of the Company (the Note Guarantors). Refer to Note 16 for Guarantor Financial Information disclosure.
Subject to certain conditions, within 95 days after the end of each fiscal year, the Company must make an offer to purchase Secured Notes with certain of its excess cash flow (as defined in the indenture) for such fiscal year, commencing with the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012, at 103\% of the principal amount thereof, plus accrued and unpaid interest. For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, the Company estimated excess cash flow (as defined in the indenture) to be approximately $\$ 17,000$ and therefore, an offer to purchase Secured Notes was made on April 1, 2013. This offer expired on April 30, 2013 with no Secured Notes tendered.
Convertible Notes
The Convertible Note holders may convert their Convertible Notes during the three months immediately succeeding June 30, 2013 as the last reported sale price of the Company's common stock exceeded $\$ 13.36$ for at least 20 of the last 30 consecutive trading days ending on June 30, 2013. If any Convertible Notes were to be surrendered, the Company would settle them via a combination of cash and shares of its common stock. If all the Convertible Notes were to be surrendered, the Company has estimated that it would deliver cash of $\$ 57,500$ and issue approximately 2,295 shares of common stock. Although the conversion of the Convertible Notes is outside the control of the Company at June 30, 2013, the discounted value of the outstanding Convertible Notes are classified as long-term debt in the Consolidated Balance Sheets at June 30, 2013 as the Company would have the ability and intent to utilize its revolving credit facility, which is classified as long-term, to settle the cash portion of the conversion.
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Revolving Credit Facility
The weighted average interest rate for borrowings under the Revolving Credit Facility for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was $2.64 \%$. As of June 30, 2013, there were no cash borrowings outstanding under the Revolving Credit Facility. The Company pays certain customary recurring fees with respect to the Revolving Credit Facility. The Revolving Credit facility contains a springing financial maintenance covenant requiring the Company to maintain the ratio (as defined in the agreement) of EBITDA to fixed charges of 1.1 to 1.0 when excess availability is less than the greater of $10 \%$ of the calculated borrowing base (as defined in the agreement) or $\$ 10,000$. In addition, if excess availability is less than the greater of $12.5 \%$ of the calculated borrowing base (as defined in the agreement) or $\$ 12,500$, the lender has the right to take full dominion of the Company's cash collections and apply these proceeds to outstanding loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2013, the Company's excess availability of $\$ 89,834$ was above such thresholds.
(5) Fair Value Measurements

The three-tier value hierarchy the Company utilizes, which prioritizes the inputs used in the valuation methodologies, is:
Level 1-Valuations based on quoted prices for identical assets and liabilities in active markets.
Level 2-Valuations based on observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active, or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data. Level 3-Valuations based on unobservable inputs reflecting our own assumptions, consistent with reasonably available assumptions made by other market participants.
The fair value of cash, accounts receivable and accounts payable approximate their carrying values. The fair value of cash equivalents are determined using the fair value hierarchy described above. Cash equivalents consisting of money market funds are valued based on quoted prices in active markets and as a result are classified as Level 1.
The Company's pension plan asset portfolio as of June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012 is primarily invested in fixed income securities, which generally fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. Fixed income securities are valued based on evaluated prices provided to the trustee by independent pricing services. Such prices may be determined by factors which include, but are not limited to, market quotations, yields, maturities, call features, ratings, institutional size trading in similar groups of securities and developments related to specific securities.
Fair Value Measurements of Debt
The fair value of the Company's Secured Notes as of June 30, 2013 was estimated to be $\$ 260,100$ compared to a carrying value of $\$ 218,982$. The fair value for the Secured Notes is determined based on recent trades of the bonds and fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.
The fair value of the Convertible Notes as of June 30, 2013 was approximately $\$ 98,721$ compared to a carrying value of $\$ 38,585$. The fair value of the Convertible Notes, which fall within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy, is determined based on similar debt instruments that do not contain a conversion feature, as well as other factors related to the callable nature of the notes.
The main inputs and assumptions into the fair value model for the Convertible Notes at June 30, 2013 were as follows: Company's stock price at the end of the period \$15.76
Expected volatility
29.2

Credit spreads
6.32

Risk-free interest rate
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## Fair Value Measurements of Commodity Hedges

The Company has a commodity hedging program to mitigate risks associated with certain commodity price fluctuations. At June 30, 2013, the Company had executed forward contracts that extend through 2016. The counterparty to these contracts is not considered a credit risk by the Company. At June 30, 2013, the notional value associated with forward contracts was $\$ 16,406$. The Company recorded, through cost of materials, realized and unrealized losses of $\$ 874$ and realized and unrealized losses of $\$ 2,173$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively, and realized and unrealized losses of $\$ 1,387$ and $\$ 1,011$ for the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, as a result of the change in the fair value of the contracts. As of June 30, 2013, all commodity hedge contracts were in a liability position. As of December 31, 2012, a receivable of $\$ 19$ associated with commodity hedge contracts was netted with the liability to derive the value disclosed in the table below. Refer to Note 13 for letters of credit outstanding for collateral associated with commodity hedges.
The Company uses information which is representative of readily observable market data when valuing derivatives liabilities associated with commodity hedges. The derivative liabilities are classified as Level 2 in the table below. The liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows:

| Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 | Total |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$-$ | $\$ 3,947$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 3,947$ |
| $\$-$ | $\$ 2,494$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 2,494$ |

As of June 30, 2013
Derivative liability for commodity hedges As of December 31, 2012
Derivative liability for commodity hedges
(6) Segment Reporting

The Company distributes and performs processing on both metals and plastics. Although the distribution processes are similar, the customer markets, supplier bases and types of products are different. Additionally, the Company's Chief Executive Officer, the chief operating decision-maker, reviews and manages these two businesses separately. As such, these businesses are considered reportable segments and are reported accordingly.
In its Metals segment, the Company's marketing strategy focuses on distributing highly engineered specialty grades and alloys of metals as well as providing specialized processing services designed to meet very precise specifications. Core products include alloy, aluminum, stainless, nickel, titanium and carbon. Inventories of these products assume many forms such as plate, sheet, extrusions, round bar, hexagon bar, square and flat bar, tubing and coil. Depending on the size of the facility and the nature of the markets it serves, service centers are equipped as needed with bar saws, plate saws, oxygen and plasma arc flame cutting machinery, trepanning machinery, boring machinery, honing equipment, water-jet cutting, stress relieving and annealing furnaces, surface grinding equipment and sheet shearing equipment. This segment also performs various specialized fabrications for its customers through pre-qualified subcontractors that thermally process, turn, polish and straighten alloy and carbon bar.
The Company's Plastics segment consists exclusively of a wholly-owned subsidiary that operates as Total Plastics, Inc. ("TPI") headquartered in Kalamazoo, Michigan, and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. The Plastics segment stocks and distributes a wide variety of plastics in forms that include plate, rod, tube, clear sheet, tape, gaskets and fittings. Processing activities within this segment include cut-to-length, cut-to-shape, bending and forming according to customer specifications. The Plastics segment's diverse customer base consists of companies in the retail (point-of-purchase), automotive, marine, office furniture and fixtures, safety products, life sciences applications, and general manufacturing industries. TPI has locations throughout the upper northeast and midwest regions of the U.S. and one facility in Florida from which it services a wide variety of users of industrial plastics.
The accounting policies of all segments are the same as described in Note 1, "Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2012. Management evaluates the performance of its business segments based on operating income.
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Segment information for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

|  | Net | Operating |  | Capital | Depreciation \& Amortization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sales | (Loss) Inco |  | Expenditures |  |
| 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals segment | \$239,450 | \$ 2,576 | ) | \$3,520 | \$6,211 |
| Plastics segment | 33,960 | 720 |  | 309 | 422 |
| Other ${ }^{(a)}$ | - | (1,907 | ) | - | - |
| Consolidated | \$273,410 | \$(3,763 | ) | \$3,829 | \$6,633 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals segment | \$297,244 | \$11,901 |  | \$1,668 | \$6,117 |
| Plastics segment | 32,148 | 1,064 |  | 370 | 357 |
| Other ${ }^{(a)}$ | - | (3,405 | ) | - | - |
| Consolidated | \$329,392 | \$9,560 |  | \$2,038 | \$6,474 |

Segment information for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:

|  | Net Sales | Operating (Loss) Income | Capital <br> Expenditures | Depreciation \& Amortization |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 ( |  |  |  |  |
| Metals segment | \$497,830 | \$ 2,851 | ) $\$ 4,647$ | \$12,376 |
| Plastics segment | 68,294 | 1,901 | 789 | 828 |
| Other ${ }^{(a)}$ | - | (3,786 | ) - | - |
| Consolidated | \$566,124 | \$(4,736 | ) $\$ 5,436$ | \$13,204 |
| 2012 |  |  |  |  |
| Metals segment | \$629,136 | \$32,012 | \$3,320 | \$12,423 |
| Plastics segment | 63,172 | 1,601 | 771 | 664 |
| Other ${ }^{(a)}$ | - | (5,897 | ) - | - |
| Consolidated | \$692,308 | \$27,716 | \$4,091 | \$13,087 |

(a) "Other" - Operating income includes the costs of executive, legal and finance departments, which are shared by both
the Metals and Plastics segments.

Below are reconciliations of segment data to consolidated loss before income taxes for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

|  | June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Operating (loss) income | $\$(3,763$ | $)$ |
| Interest expense, net | $(10,090$ | $)$ |
| Interest expense - unrealized loss on debt conversion option | - | $(4,257$ |
| Other income (expense) | 745 | $(691$ |
| Loss before income taxes and equity in earnings of joint venture | $(13,108$ | $)(5,352$ |
| Equity in earnings of joint venture | 1,494 | 1,733 |
| Consolidated loss before income taxes | $\$(11,614$ | $)$ |
|  |  |  |
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Below are reconciliations of segment data to consolidated loss before income taxes for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:
$\left.\begin{array}{lll} & \text { June } 30, & \\ & 2013 & 2012 \\ \text { Operating (loss) income } & \$(4,736 & ) \\ \text { Interest expense, net } & (20,278 & ) \\ \text { Interest expense }- \text { unrealized loss on debt conversion option } & - & (15,597 \\ \text { Other income (expense) } & (1,554 & ) \\ \text { Loss before income taxes and equity in earnings of joint venture } & (26,568 & ) \\ \text { Equity in earnings of joint venture } & 2,963 & 4,741 \\ \text { Consolidated loss before income taxes } & \$(23,605 & ) \\ & & \$(3,546\end{array}\right)$

Segment information for total assets is as follows:

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Metals segment | $\$ 659,643$ | $\$ 693,803$ |
| Plastics segment | 58,399 | 56,149 |
| Other ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ | 38,727 | 38,854 |
| Consolidated | $\$ 756,769$ | $\$ 788,806$ |

(a) "Other" - Total assets consist of the Company's investment in joint venture.
(7) Goodwill and Intangible Assets

The changes in carrying amounts of goodwill during the six months ended June 30, 2013 were as follows:

Balance as of January 1, 2013
Goodwill
Accumulated impairment losses
Balance as of January 1, 2013
Currency valuation
Balance as of June 30, 2013
Goodwill
Accumulated impairment losses
Balance as of June 30, 2013

| Metals <br> Segment | Plastics <br> Segment | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\$ 117,544$ $\$ 12,973$ $\$ 130,517$  <br> $(60,217$ $)$ - $(60,217$ |  |  |  |
| 57,327 | 12,973 | 70,300 |  |
| $(787$ | - | $(787$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| 116,757 | 12,973 | 129,730 |  |
| $(60,217$ | - | $(60,217$ |  |
| $\$ 56,540$ | $\$ 12,973$ | $\$ 69,513$ |  |

The Company's annual test for goodwill impairment is completed as of January $\mathrm{f}^{\mathrm{t}}$ each year. Based on the January 1, 2013 test, the Company determined that there was no impairment of goodwill. Due to organizational structure changes resulting from the Company's restructuring activities announced in January 2013, the Company combined the reporting units that previously comprised its Metals segment into a single reporting unit for purposes of goodwill impairment testing. The Company's year-to-date operating results, among other factors, are considered in determining whether it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value for either of the two reporting units has declined below their respective carrying values, which would require the Company to perform an interim goodwill impairment test. Taking into consideration the results for three and the six months ended June 30, 2013 in which the Company experienced lower than anticipated demand, management does not believe it is more-likely-than-not that the fair value of either reporting unit has declined below carrying value. If the lower demand continues for a sustained period, this could change management's expectations of future financial results and/or key valuation assumptions used in determining the fair value of its reporting units, which could result in a goodwill impairment.
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The following table summarizes the components of intangible assets:

|  | June 30, 2013 <br> Gross |  | December 31, 2012 <br> Gross |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Carrying | Accumulated | Amortization | Carrying | | Accumulated |
| :--- |
| Amortization |

Substantially all of the Company's intangible assets were acquired as part of the acquisitions of Transtar on September 5, 2006 and Tube Supply on December 15, 2011.

For the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the aggregate amortization expense was $\$ 2,955$ and $\$ 2,956$, respectively. For the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012, the aggregate amortization expense was $\$ 5,911$ and $\$ 5,918$, respectively.
The following is a summary of the estimated annual amortization expense for 2013 and each of the next 4 years:

| 2013 | $\$ 11,756$ |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2014 | 11,722 |
| 2015 | 10,956 |
| 2016 | 10,956 |
| 2017 | 8,932 |

(8) Inventories

Approximately eighty percent of the Company's inventories are valued at the lower of LIFO cost or market. Final inventory determination under the LIFO costing method is made at the end of each fiscal year based on the actual inventory levels and costs at that time. Interim LIFO determinations, including those at June 30, 2013, are based on management's estimates of future inventory levels and costs for the balance of the current fiscal year. The Company values its LIFO increments using the cost of its latest purchases during the periods reported.
Current replacement cost of inventories exceeded book value by $\$ 137,147$ and $\$ 139,940$ at June 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012, respectively. Income taxes would become payable on any realization of this excess from reductions in the level of inventories.
(9) Share-based Compensation

The Company accounts for its share-based compensation arrangements by recognizing compensation expense for the fair value of the share awards granted ratably over their vesting period. All compensation expense related to share-based compensation arrangements is recorded in sales, general and administrative expense. The unrecognized compensation cost as of June 30, 2013 associated with all share-based payment arrangements is $\$ 6,537$ and the weighted average period over which it is to be expensed is 1.4 years.
2013 Long-Term Compensation Plan
On March 6, 2013, the Human Resources Committee (the "Committee") of the Board of Directors of the Company approved equity awards under the Company's 2013 Long-Term Compensation Plan ("2013 LTC Plan") for executive officers and other select personnel. The 2013 LTC Plan awards included restricted stock units ("RSUs") and performance share units ("PSUs"). All 2013 LTC Plan awards are subject to the terms of the Company's 2008 A.M. Castle \& Co. Omnibus Incentive Plan, amended and restated as of April 25, 2013.
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The 2013 LTC Plan consists of three components of share-based payment awards as follows:
Restricted Share Units - The Company granted 106 RSUs with a grant date fair value of $\$ 16.29$ per share unit, which was established using the market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant. The RSUs cliff vest on December 31, 2015. Each RSU that becomes vested entitles the participant to receive one share of the Company's common stock. The number of shares delivered may be reduced by the number of shares required to be withheld for federal and state withholding tax requirements (determined at the market price of Company shares at the time of payout).
Performance Share Units - The Company granted 213 PSUs, half of which contain a market-based performance condition and half of which contained a non-market-based performance condition.
PSUs containing a market-based performance condition - The potential award for PSUs containing a market-based performance condition is dependent on relative total shareholder return ("RTSR"), which is measured over a three-year performance period, beginning January $1^{\text {st }}$ of the year of grant. RTSR is measured against a group of peer companies either in the metals industry or in the industrial products distribution industry (the "RTSR Peer Group"). The number of performance shares, if any, that vest based on the performance achieved during the three-year performance period, will vest at the end of the three-year performance period. Each performance share that becomes vested entitles the participant to receive one share of the Company's common stock. The grant date fair value for the PSUs containing the RTSR market-based performance condition under the 2013 LTC Plan of $\$ 24.74$ was estimated using a Monte Carlo simulation with the following assumptions:

|  | 2013 | $\%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expected volatility | 59.5 | $\%$ |
| Risk-free interest rate | 0.38 | $\%$ |
| Expected life (in years) | 2.82 |  |
| Expected dividend yield | - |  |

Compensation expense for performance awards containing a market-based performance condition is recognized regardless of whether the market condition is achieved to the extent the requisite service period condition is met. PSUs containing a non-market-based performance condition - The potential award for PSUs containing a non-market-based performance condition is determined based on the Company's average actual performance versus Company-specific target goals for Return on Invested Capital ("ROIC") (as defined in the 2013 LTC Plan) for the three-year performance period beginning on January $1^{\text {st }}$ of the year of grant. Partial performance awards can be earned for performance less than the target goal, but in excess of minimum goals and award distributions twice the target can be achieved if the maximum goals are met or exceeded. The number of performance shares, if any, that vest based on the performance achieved during the three-year performance period, will vest at the end of the three-year performance period. Compensation expense recognized is based on management's expectation of future performance compared to the pre-established performance goals. If the performance goals are not expected to be met, no compensation expense is recognized and any previously recognized compensation expense is reversed. The grant date fair-value of the PSUs containing a non-market-based performance condition was established using the market price of the Company's stock on the date of grant.
The award information associated with market and non-market-based performance condition awards is summarized below:

|  | Grant Date | Estimated | Maximum Number of |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Share type | Fair Value | Number of PSUs | PSUs that could |
|  |  | to be Issued | Potentially be Issued |
| Market-based performance condition | $\$ 24.74$ | 183 | 183 |
| Non-market-based performance condition | $\$ 16.29$ | - | 183 |
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(10) Stockholders' Equity

Shareholder Rights Plan
In August 2012, the Company's Board of Directors adopted a Shareholder Rights Plan (the "Rights Plan") and declared a dividend of one right for each outstanding share of the Company's common stock outstanding at the close of business on September 11, 2012. Pursuant to the Rights Plan, the Company is issuing one preferred stock purchase right (a "Right") for each share of common stock outstanding on September 11, 2012. Each Right, once exercisable, represents the right to purchase one one-hundredth of a share (a "Unit") of Series B Junior Preferred Stock of the Company, without par value, for $\$ 54.00$, subject to adjustment. The Rights become exercisable in the event any individual person or entity, without Board approval, acquires $10 \%$ or more of the Company's common stock, subject to certain exceptions. In these circumstances, each holder of a Right (other than rights held by the acquirer) will be entitled to purchase, at the then-current exercise price of the Right, additional shares of the Company's common stock having a value of twice the exercise price of the Right. Additionally, if the Company is involved in a merger or other business combination transaction with another person after which its common stock does not remain outstanding, each Right will entitle its holder to purchase, at the then-current exercise price of the Right, shares of common stock of the ultimate parent of such other person having a market value of twice the exercise price of the Right. The Rights may be redeemed by the Company for $\$ 0.001$ per Right at any time until the tenth business day following the first public announcement of an acquisition of beneficial ownership of $10 \%$ of the Company's common stock. The Rights Plan will expire on August 30, 2013.
Comprehensive Loss
Comprehensive loss includes net loss and all other non-owner changes to equity that are not reported in net loss. The Company's comprehensive loss for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\text { June 30, } & & \\ 2013 & 2012 & \\ \$(3,799 & ) & \$(2,978 \\ (2,533 & ) & (3,374 \\ 345 & (110 & ) \\ \$(5,987 & ) & \$(6,462\end{array}\right)$

The Company's comprehensive loss for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 is as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lll} & \text { June 30, } & \\ & 2013 & 2012 \\ \text { Net loss } & \$(14,421 & ) \\ \text { Foreign currency translation loss } & (2,500 & ) \\ \text { Pension cost amortization, net of tax } & 690 & (219\end{array}\right)$

The components of accumulated other comprehensive loss is as follows:

|  | June 30, | December 31, |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013, | 2012 |
| Foreign currency translation losses | $\$(4,822$ | $\$(2,322$, |
| Unrecognized pension and postretirement benefit costs, net of tax | $(18,059$ | $)$ |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive loss | $\$(22,881$ | $)$ |
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Changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss by component for the three months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:
$\left.\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Balance as of April 1, 2013 } & \$(18,404 & ) & \$(2,289 & ) \\ \text { Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications } & - & (2,533 & ) & (2,533\end{array}\right)$

Changes in accumulated other comprehensive loss by component for the six months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Balance as of January 1, 2013
Other comprehensive loss before reclassifications Amounts reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax ${ }^{\text {(a) }}$
Net current period other comprehensive loss
Balance as of June 30, 2013

Defined Benefit

| Penion and | Foreign |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pension | Total |
| Postretirement Items | Currency Items |

$\left.\begin{array}{llll}\$(18,749 & ) & \$(2,322 & ) \\ - & (2,500 & ) & (2,500,071\end{array}\right)$
${ }^{\text {(a) }}$ See the table below for details of reclassification from accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively.

Reclassifications from accumulated other comprehensive loss for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 are as follows:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss Components
Amortization of defined benefit pension and postretirement items Prior service cost ${ }^{(b)}$

Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss
Three months ended Six months ended
June 30, $2013 \quad$ June 30, 2013

Actuarial loss (b) (485
Total before Tax
Tax benefit
Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax

| Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss Components | Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Three months ended June 30, 2013 | Six months ended June 30, 2013 |
| Amortization of defined benefit pension and postretirement items |  |  |
| Prior service cost ${ }^{(b)}$ | \$(81 | \$(162 |
| Actuarial loss ${ }^{(\mathrm{b})}$ | (485 | ) (970 |
| Total before Tax | (566 | ) $(1,132$ |
| Tax benefit | 221 | 442 |
| Total reclassifications for the period, net of tax | \$(345 | ) \$(690 |


| Defined Benefit <br> Pension and Postretirement Items | Foreign Currency Items |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$(18,404 | \$ 2,289 | ) | \$(20,693 |
| - | (2,533 | ) | (2,533 |
| 345 | - |  | 345 |
| 345 | (2,533 | ) | (2,188 |
| \$(18,059 | \$(4,822 | ) | \$(22,881 |

${ }^{(b)}$ These accumulated other comprehensive loss components are included in the computation of net periodic pension and postretirement benefit cost for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively (see Note 11 for additional details).
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(11) Employee Benefit Plans

Components of the net periodic pension and postretirement benefit cost (credit) for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

|  | June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Service cost | $\$ 213$ | $\$ 192$ |
| Interest cost | 1,619 | 1,750 |
| Expected return on assets | $(2,320$ | $)(2,464$ |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 81 | 81 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 485 | 149 |
| Net periodic pension and postretirement benefit cost (credit) | $\$ 78$ | $\$(292$ |
| Contributions paid | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |

Components of the net periodic pension and postretirement benefit cost (credit) for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 are as follows:

|  | June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Service cost | $\$ 426$ | $\$ 384$ |
| Interest cost | 3,238 | 3,500 |
| Expected return on assets | $(4,640$ | $)(4,928$ |
| Amortization of prior service cost | 162 | 162 |
| Amortization of actuarial loss | 970 | 298 |
| Net periodic pension and postretirement benefit cost (credit) | $\$ 156$ | $\$(584$ |
| Contributions paid | $\$-$ | $\$-$ |

The Company anticipates making no significant cash contributions to its pension plans in 2013.
(12) Joint Venture

Kreher Steel Co., LLC is a $50 \%$ owned joint venture of the Company. It is a metals distributor of bulk quantities of alloy, special bar quality and stainless steel bars, headquartered in Melrose Park, Illinois.
The following information summarizes financial data for this joint venture for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

|  | June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales | $\$ 57,451$ | $\$ 67,662$ |
| Cost of materials | 48,152 | 56,267 |
| Income before taxes | 3,695 | 4,433 |
| Net income | 2,988 | 3,466 |
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The following information summarizes financial data for this joint venture for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012:

|  | June 30, |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 2013 | 2012 |
| Net sales | $\$ 114,780$ | $\$ 144,467$ |
| Cost of materials | 96,371 | 119,665 |
| Income before taxes | 7,051 | 11,534 |
| Net income | 5,926 | 9,482 |

(13) Commitments and Contingent Liabilities

As of June 30, 2013, the Company had $\$ 6,701$ of irrevocable letters of credit outstanding which primarily consisted of $\$ 4,000$ for collateral associated with commodity hedges and $\$ 1,901$ for compliance with the insurance reserve requirements of its workers' compensation insurance carriers.
The Company is party to a variety of legal proceedings arising from the operation of its business. These proceedings are incidental and occur in the normal course of the Company's business affairs. It is the opinion of management, based upon the information available at this time, that the currently expected outcome of these proceedings will not have a material effect on the consolidated results of operations, financial condition or cash flows of the Company, except as noted below.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the Company received warranty and other claims from certain customers regarding alleged quality defects with certain alloy round bar products sold by the Company in 2012 and 2013. The Company has evaluated the information provided by the customers and issued a notice of potential defect to other affected customers. The Company continues to investigate the alleged quality defects and the total amount that may be subject to warranty and other customer claims. Based on the information available as of June 30, 2013, the Company estimates that it may incur costs associated with these claims ranging from $\$ 325$ to $\$ 1,250$. Within this range, the Company's best estimate of the probable loss resulting from these claims is $\$ 650$ of which approximately $\$ 350$ and $\$ 650$ are included in gross material margin for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The Company believes that amounts paid to customers will be recoverable from the original supplier of the products. There can be no assurance that the Company's losses related to these claims will not exceed the Company's estimated range of loss, or that the Company will be able to recover any amounts from the original supplier of the products. (14) Restructuring Charges

As part of the Company's efforts to adapt operations to market conditions, restructuring activities related to the Company's organizational structure and operations were announced during January of 2013. The charges associated with the restructuring activities are included in the Company's Metals segment and in the Company's 'Other' segment which includes the costs of the executive, legal, and finance departments shared by both the Metals and Plastics segments.
The charges incurred during the first half of 2013 were comprised of employee termination and related benefits associated with salaried and hourly workforce reductions, lease termination costs, moving costs and other exit costs associated with five plant consolidations. All of the lease termination costs were recognized in the second quarter of 2013.
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Below is a summary of the total restructuring charges incurred in the three and six months ended June 30, 2013. Charges incurred in the six months ended June 30, 2013 represent the cumulative amount incurred to date.

Charges incurred during the
Three months ended Six months ended
June 30, $2013 \quad$ June 30, 2013
Employee termination and related benefits
Lease termination costs
Moving costs associated with plant consolidations
Other exit costs
Inventory write-offs
$\$ 816 \quad \$ 2,214$
1,830 1,830
2,674 3,459

Total
273
315

Approximately $\$ 1,000$ of additional charges, primarily associated with other exit costs, are expected to be incurred during the remainder of 2013.

The restructuring reserve activity for the six months ended June 30, 2013 is summarized below:
Period Activity

|  | Balance as of January 1 | Charges ${ }^{(a)}$ | Cash payments Impairment |  |  | Balance as of June 30 (b) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Employee termination and related benefits | \$- | \$2,214 | \$(1,272 |  | \$- | \$942 |
| Lease termination costs | - | 1,830 | (58 |  | - | 1,772 |
| Moving costs associated with plant consolidations | - | 3,459 | (3,290 |  | (169 ) | ) - |
| Other exit costs | - | 315 | (164 | ) | - | 151 |
| Inventory write-offs | - | 1,236 | - |  | (1,236 ) | ) - |
| Total | \$- | \$9,054 | \$(4,784 | ) | \$(1,405 ) | ) \$2,865 |

${ }^{(a)}$ Costs associated with the write-off of inventory are included in cost of materials in the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss. All other costs are recorded to the restructuring charges line item within the condensed consolidated statements of operations and comprehensive loss as they are incurred.
${ }^{\text {(b) }}$ Cash payments are expected to be made during the third and fourth quarters of 2013 for all the restructuring reserve activity except for the lease termination costs. Payments on certain of the lease obligations are scheduled to continue until 2016. Market conditions and the Company's ability to sublease these properties could affect the ultimate charge related to the lease obligations. Any potential recoveries or additional charges could affect amounts reported in the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements of future periods.
(15) Income Taxes

The reported effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was $59.6 \%$ and $12.0 \%$, respectively. The reported tax rate for six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was $34.6 \%$ and $45.0 \%$, respectively. The change in the effective tax rate was primarily the result of a change in the geographical mix of income (loss). The Company accounted for the restructuring costs incurred during the three and six months ended June 30, 2013 as discrete for interim income tax accounting purposes. The income tax benefit and income tax expense in the three and six months ended June 30, 2012, respectively, was impacted by the non-deductible unrealized loss on the conversion option associated with the Convertible Notes recorded in 2012.
The following tax years remain open to examination by the major taxing jurisdictions to which the Company is subject:
U.S. Federal 2010 to 2012
U.S. States 2008 to 2012

Foreign 2007 to 2012
Due to the expiration of statutes of limitations, it is reasonably possible that the Company's gross unrecognized tax benefits may change within the next 12 months by a range of zero to $\$ 60$.
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The Company received its 2010 federal tax refund of \$2,025 during February 2012.
(16) Guarantor Financial Information

The accompanying condensed consolidating financial information has been prepared and presented pursuant to Rule 3-10 of SEC Regulation S-X "Financial Statements of Guarantors and Issuers of Guaranteed Securities Registered or Being Registered." The consolidating financial information presents A. M. Castle \& Co. (Parent) and subsidiaries. The consolidating financial information has been prepared on the same basis as the consolidated statements of the Parent. The equity method of accounting is followed within this financial information.
In September 2012, the Company merged Tube Supply, LLC, a guarantor, with the Parent. The Company has reflected this change in its accompanying condensed consolidating financial statements of guarantors and non-guarantors.

Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
As of June 30, 2013
Parent Guarantors Non-Guarantors Eliminations Consolidated

Assets
Current assets
Cash and cash equivalents
Accounts receivable, less allowance for doubtful accounts
Receivables from affiliates
Inventories
Prepaid expenses and other current assets
Total current assets
Investment in joint venture
Goodwill
Intangible assets
Other assets
Investment in subsidiaries
Receivables from affiliates
Property, plant and equipment, net
Total assets
Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity
Current liabilities

| Accounts payable | $\$ 56,263$ | $\$ 14,962$ | $\$ 15,980$ | $\$-$ | $\$ 87,205$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Payables due to affiliates | 1,576 | 253 | 1,138 | $(2,967$ | $)$ |
| Other current liabilities | 26,437 | 4,491 | 7,846 | - | 38,774 |
| Current portion of long-term debt and | 371 | - | 27 | - | 398 |
| short-term debt | 84,647 | 19,706 | 24,991 | $(2,967$ | $) 126,377$ |
| Total current liabilities | 258,315 | - | 51 | - | 258,366 |
| Long-term debt, less current portion | 25,792 | 6,971 | 124,644 | $(157,407$ | $)$ |
| Payables due to affiliates | 13,559 | 18,615 | $(1,070$ | $)$ | - |
| Deferred income taxes | 14,366 | 2,629 | 648 | - | 17,104 |
| Other non-current liabilities | 323,279 | 208,437 | 50,110 | $(258,547$ | 323,279 |
| Stockholders' equity | $\$ 256,358$ | $\$ 199,374$ | $\$(418,921)$ | $\$ 756,769$ |  |
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Condensed Consolidating Balance Sheet
As of December 31, 2012
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Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2013

|  | Parent |  | Guarantors |  | Non-Guarantors | Eliminations |  | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Sales | \$ 152,504 |  | \$69,424 |  | \$ 58,612 | \$ (7,130 | ) | \$273,410 |
| Costs and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of materials (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | 110,690 |  | 52,323 |  | 45,578 | (7,130 | ) | 201,461 |
| Warehouse, processing and delivery expense | 21,995 |  | 8,020 |  | 5,805 | - |  | 35,820 |
| Sales, general and administrative expense | 15,534 |  | 7,047 |  | 5,085 | - |  | 27,666 |
| Restructuring charges | 1,436 |  | 2,674 |  | 1,483 | - |  | 5,593 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 3,394 |  | 2,220 |  | 1,019 | - |  | 6,633 |
| Operating (loss) income | (545 | ) | (2,860 | ) | (358 | - |  | (3,763 |
| Interest expense, net | (5,843 | ) | - |  | $(4,247$ | - |  | (10,090 |
| Other income (expense) | - |  | - |  | 745 | - |  | 745 |
| Loss before income taxes and equity in earnings of subsidiaries and joint venture | (6,388 | ) | (2,860 | ) | (3,860 | - |  | (13,108 |
| Income taxes | (8,843 | ) | 2,048 |  | 14,610 | - |  | 7,815 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of subsidiaries | 9,938 |  | (889 | ) | - | (9,049 | ) | - |
| Equity in earnings of joint venture | 1,494 |  | - |  | - | - |  | 1,494 |
| Net (loss) income | (3,799 | ) | (1,701 |  | 10,750 | (9,049 |  | (3,799 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$(5,987 | ) | \$(1,364 | ) | \$ 8,217 | \$(6,853 | ) | \$(5,987 |
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Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss
For the Three Months Ended June 30, 2012

|  | Parent | Guarantors | Non-Guarantors |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Eliminations |  |  |  |  | Consolidated

## Table of Contents

Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

|  | Parent |  | Guarantors |  | Non-Guarantors | Elimination |  | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net SalesCosts and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of materials (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | 239,254 |  | 103,037 |  | 96,940 | (18,339 | ) | 420,892 |
| Warehouse, processing and delivery expense | 43,293 |  | 16,322 |  | 11,789 | - |  | 71,404 |
| Sales, general and administrative expense | 32,233 |  | 14,477 |  | 10,832 | - |  | 57,542 |
| Restructuring charges | 2,683 |  | 3,247 |  | 1,888 | - |  | 7,818 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 6,695 |  | 4,464 |  | 2,045 | - |  | 13,204 |
| Operating (loss) income | (2,870 | ) | (3,551 | ) | 1,685 | - |  | (4,736 |
| Interest expense, net | (11,834 | ) | - |  | (8,444 | - |  | (20,278 |
| Other income (expense) | - |  | - |  | (1,554 | - |  | (1,554 |
| Loss before income taxes and equity in earnings of subsidiaries and joint venture | (14,704 | ) | (3,551 | ) | (8,313 | - |  | (26,568 |
| Income taxes | (8,133 | ) | 2,140 |  | 15,177 | - |  | 9,184 |
| Equity in earnings (losses) of subsidiaries | 5,453 |  | (1,010 | ) | - | (4,443 | ) | - |
| Equity in earnings of joint venture | 2,963 |  | - |  | - | - |  | 2,963 |
| Net (loss) income | (14,421 | ) | (2,421 | ) | 6,864 | (4,443 | ) | (14,421 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$(16,231 | ) | \$(3,450 | ) | \$ 4,364 | \$(914 | ) | \$(16,231 |
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Condensed Consolidating Statement of Operations and Comprehensive Loss
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012

|  | Parent |  | Guarantors |  | Non-Guarantors | Elimination |  | Consolidated |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net SalesCosts and expenses: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of materials (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) | 311,616 |  | 100,788 |  | 113,000 | (20,756 | ) | 504,648 |
| Warehouse, processing and delivery expense | 47,899 |  | 17,190 |  | 11,911 | - |  | 77,000 |
| Sales, general and administrative expense | 41,537 |  | 16,642 |  | 11,678 | - |  | 69,857 |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 6,606 |  | 4,492 |  | 1,989 | - |  | 13,087 |
| Operating income (loss) | 18,742 |  | 501 |  | 9,056 | (583 | ) | 27,716 |
| Interest expense, net | (12,809 | ) | - |  | (7,348 | - |  | (20,157 |
| Interest expense - unrealized loss on debt conversion option | (15,597 | ) | - |  | - | - |  | (15,597 |
| Other income (expense) | - |  | - |  | (249 | - |  | (249 ) |
| (Loss) income before income taxes and equity in earnings of subsidiaries and joint venture | (9,664 | ) | 501 |  | 1,459 | (583 | ) | (8,287 ) |
| Income taxes | (3,520 | ) | (45 | ) | (378 | 211 |  | (3,732 ) |
| Equity in earnings of subsidiaries | 1,165 |  | (241 | ) | - | (924 | ) | - |
| Equity in earnings of joint venture | 4,741 |  | - |  | - | - |  | 4,741 |
| Net (loss) income | \$(7,278 | ) | \$215 |  | \$ 1,081 | \$ 1,296 | ) | \$(7,278 |
| Comprehensive (loss) income | \$(7,538 | ) | \$(69 | ) | \$ 1,040 | \$(971 | ) | \$(7,538 |
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Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2013

|  | Parent |  | Guarantor |  | Non-Gu | tors | Eliminati |  | Consolidate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Operating activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Net (loss) income | \$(14,421 | ) | \$(2,421 | ) | \$ 6,864 |  | \$(4,443 | ) | \$(14,421 | ) |
| Equity in (earnings) losses of subsidiaries | (5,453 | ) | 1,010 |  | - |  | 4,443 |  | - |  |
| Adjustments to reconcile net (loss) income to cash provided by operating activities | 50,789 |  | 13,604 |  | 6,142 |  | - |  | 70,535 |  |
| Net cash from (used in) operating activities | 30,915 |  | 12,193 |  | 13,006 |  | - |  | 56,114 |  |
| Investing activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Capital expenditures | (1,512 | ) | (1,768 | ) | (2,156 | ) | - |  | (5,436 |  |
| Other investing activities, net | 730 |  | 15 |  | - |  | - |  | 745 |  |
| Net cash used in investing activities | (782 | ) | (1,753 | ) | (2,156 | ) | - |  | (4,691 | ) |
| Financing activities: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Proceeds from long-term debt | 115,300 |  | - |  | - |  | - |  | 115,300 |  |
| Repayments of long-term debt | (151,000 | ) | - |  | (4,169 | ) | - |  | (155,169 | ) |
| Net intercompany (repayments) borrowings | 14,086 |  | (10,547 | ) | (3,539 | ) | - |  | - |  |
| Other financing | 1,462 |  | - |  | (500 | ) | - |  | 962 |  |
| Net cash (used in) from financing activities | (20,152 | ) | (10,547 | ) | (8,208 | ) | - |  | (38,907 | ) |
| Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents |  |  | - |  | (939 | ) | - |  | (939 |  |
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | 9,981 |  | (107 | ) | 1,703 |  | - |  | 11,577 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of year | 3,332 |  | 1,677 |  | 16,598 |  | - |  | 21,607 |  |
| Cash and cash equivalents - end of period | \$13,313 |  | \$ 1,570 |  | \$ 18,301 |  | \$- |  | \$33,184 |  |
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Condensed Consolidating Statement of Cash Flows
For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2012
$\left.\begin{array}{llllll} & \text { Parent } & \text { Guarantors } & \text { Non-Guarantors Eliminations } & \text { Consolidated } \\ \begin{array}{l}\text { Operating activities: } \\ \text { Net (loss) income } \\ \text { Equity in (earnings) losses of } \\ \text { subsidiaries }\end{array} & \$(7,278 & ) & \$ 215 & \$ 1,081 & \$(1,296\end{array}\right) \$(7,278)$
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Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations
Amounts in millions except per share data
Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements
Information provided and statements contained in this report that are not purely historical are forward-looking statements within the meaning of Section 27A of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended ("Securities Act"), Section 21E of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended ("Exchange Act"), and the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such forward-looking statements only speak as of the date of this report and the Company assumes no obligation to update the information included in this report. Such forward-looking statements include information concerning our possible or assumed future results of operations, including descriptions of our business strategy. These statements often include words such as "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "intend," "predict," "plan," or similar expressions. Th statements are not guarantees of performance or results, and they involve risks, uncertainties, and assumptions. Although we believe that these forward-looking statements are based on reasonable assumptions, there are many factors that could affect our actual financial results or results of operations and could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements, including those risk factors identified in Item 1A "Risk Factors" in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, for the year ended December 31, 2012. All future written and oral forward-looking statements by us or persons acting on our behalf are expressly qualified in their entirety by the cautionary statements contained or referred to above. Except for our ongoing obligations to disclose material information as required by the federal securities laws, we do not have any obligations or intention to release publicly any revisions to any forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances in the future or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.
The following discussion should be read in conjunction with the Company's condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes thereto in ITEM 1 "Financial Statements (unaudited)".

## Executive Overview

Economic Trends and Current Business Conditions
A. M. Castle \& Co. and subsidiaries (the "Company") experienced overall lower demand from its customer base in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012 . Consistent with the decline in PMI from 53.3 in the first quarter of 2012 to 50.3 and 50.6 in the third and fourth quarters of 2012, the Company's Metals segment experienced weak demand in all of its key end-use markets compared to the prior year quarter with the largest areas of weakness seen in the general industrials market. Although the aerospace market trend did show modest improvement in the quarter, the Company's net sales have not yet been positively impacted by this growth due to the late cycle nature of the targeted customers in this market. Decreased sales volume in the oil and gas market is consistent with leading industry data which suggests a $11.6 \%$ decrease in North American rig counts compared to the second quarter of last year.

Management uses the PMI provided by the Institute for Supply Management (website is www.ism.ws) as an external indicator for tracking the demand outlook and possible trends in its general manufacturing markets. The table below shows PMI trends from the first quarter of 2011 through the second quarter of 2013. Generally speaking, an index above 50.0 indicates growth in the manufacturing sector of the U.S. economy, while readings under 50.0 indicate contraction.

| YEAR | Qtr 1 | Qtr 2 | Qtr 3 | Qtr 4 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2011 | 61.1 | 56.4 | 51.0 | 52.4 |
| 2012 | 53.3 | 52.7 | 50.3 | 50.6 |
| 2013 | 52.9 | 50.2 |  |  |

Material pricing and demand in both the Metals and Plastics segments of the Company's business have historically proven to be difficult to predict with any degree of accuracy. A favorable PMI trend suggests that demand for some of the Company's products and services, in particular those that are sold to the general manufacturing customer base in
the U.S., could potentially be at a higher level in the near-term. The Company believes that its revenue trends typically correlate to the changes in PMI on a six to twelve month lag basis.
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Metals segment sales decreased $19.4 \%$ from the second quarter of 2012 due primarily to decreased volume. The Company did experience some softening in metals prices on some of its products, although the impact of this was slightly offset by favorable product mix. Average tons sold per day decreased $20.1 \%$ compared to the prior year quarter, which was primarily driven by decreases in SBQ bar, alloy bar, carbon bar and tubing products. Aside from SBQ bar, decreases in these same products led to a $6.7 \%$ decrease in average tons sold per day in the second quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2013.
The Company's Plastics segment reported a net sales increase of $5.6 \%$ compared to the second quarter of 2012 due to increased volume and pricing reflecting continued strength in the automotive sector, as well as modest increases in life science and marine business.
Results of Operations: Second quarter 2013 Compared to Second quarter 2012
Consolidated results by business segment are summarized in the following table for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | 2013 | 2012 |  | Favorable/(Unfavorable) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | \$ Change |  | \% Cha |  |
| Net Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$239.5 |  | \$297.2 |  | \$(57.8 | ) | (19.4 | )\% |
| Plastics | 34.0 |  | 32.2 |  | 1.8 |  | 5.6 | \% |
| Total Net Sales | \$273.4 |  | \$329.4 |  | \$(56.0 | ) | (17.0 | )\% |
| Cost of Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$ 177.0 |  | \$218.1 |  | \$41.2 |  | 18.9 | \% |
| \% of Metals Sales | 73.9 | \% | 73.4 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Plastics | 24.5 |  | 22.5 |  | (2.0 | ) | (8.8 | )\% |
| \% of Plastics Sales | 72.2 | \% | 70.1 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost of Materials | \$201.5 |  | \$240.7 |  | \$39.2 |  | 16.3 | \% |
| \% of Total Sales | 73.7 | \% | 73.1 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Costs and Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$65.1 |  | \$67.2 |  | \$2.1 |  | 3.2 | \% |
| Plastics | 8.7 |  | 8.6 |  | (0.2 | ) | (2.0 | )\% |
| Other | 1.9 |  | 3.4 |  | 1.5 |  | 44.0 | \% |
| Total Operating Costs \& Expenses | \$75.7 |  | \$79.2 |  | \$3.4 |  | 4.4 | \% |
| \% of Total Sales | 27.7 | \% | 24.0 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Operating (Loss) Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$(2.6 | ) | \$11.9 |  | (14.5 | ) | (121.6 | )\% |
| \% of Metals Sales | (1.1 | )\% | 4.0 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Plastics | 0.7 |  | 1.1 |  | (0.3 | ) | (32.3 | )\% |
| \% of Plastics Sales | 2.1 | \% | 3.3 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Other | (1.9 | ) | (3.4 | ) | 1.5 |  | 44.0 | \% |
| Total Operating (Loss) Income | \$(3.8 | ) | \$9.6 |  | (13.3 | ) | (139.4 | )\% |
| \% of Total Sales | (1.4 | )\% | 2.9 | \% |  |  |  |  |

"Other" includes the costs of executive, legal and finance departments which are shared by both segments of the Company.
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Net Sales:
Consolidated net sales were $\$ 273.4$ million, a decrease of $\$ 56.0$ million, or $17.0 \%$, compared to the second quarter of 2012. Metals segment sales during the second quarter of 2013 of $\$ 239.5$ million were $\$ 57.8$ million, or $19.4 \%$, lower than the same period last year. The economic momentum leading to the favorable volume demand in the second quarter of last year weakened compared to the second quarter of this year in virtually all key end-use markets, particularly the general industrial market. Metals segment average tons sold per day decreased $20.1 \%$ compared to the prior year quarter, which was primarily driven by decreases in SBQ bar, alloy bar, carbon bar and tubing products. The second quarter of 2013 brought some softness in the pricing of many of our Metals segment products although this was partially offset by favorable product mix.
Plastics segment sales during the second quarter of 2013 of $\$ 34.0$ million were $\$ 1.8$ million, or $5.6 \%$ higher than the second quarter of 2012 due to higher sales volume and pricing in many of the key end-use market with the most strength continuing to be in the automotive sector.
Cost of Materials:
Cost of materials (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) during the second quarter of 2013 was $\$ 201.5$ million, a decrease of $\$ 39.2$ million, or $16.3 \%$, compared to the second quarter of 2012. Consolidated gross material margins were $26.3 \%$ in the second quarter of 2013 compared to $26.9 \%$ in the same quarter last year. Gross material margins included LIFO income of $\$ 3.0$ million in the second quarter of 2013 compared to LIFO expense of $\$ 1.5$ million in the second quarter of 2012 . In addition, restructuring charges of $\$ 0.4$ million impacted the 2013 second quarter cost of materials.
Material costs for the Metals segment for the second quarter of 2013 were $\$ 177.0$ million, or $73.9 \%$ as a percent of net sales, compared to $\$ 218.1$ million, or $73.4 \%$ as a percent of net sales, for the second quarter of 2012 . Cost of materials in the Metals segment decreased $\$ 41.2$ million compared to the second quarter of 2012 primarily as a result of the decrease in sales volume from the prior year period. Material costs for the Plastics segment of $72.2 \%$ as a percent of net sales for the second quarter of 2013 were higher than $70.1 \%$ for the same period last year due to higher raw material costs experienced in the majority of the business.
Operating Expenses and Operating Income:
On a consolidated basis, operating costs and expenses decreased $\$ 3.4$ million, or $4.4 \%$, from $\$ 79.2$ million, or $24.0 \%$ of net sales, in the second quarter of 2012 to $\$ 75.7$ million, or $27.7 \%$ of net sales, during the second quarter of 2013 . Charges of $\$ 5.6$ million associated with the Company's restructuring were included in operating costs and expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2013 compared to no such charges in the prior year period. Virtually all restructuring charges impacting operating expenses were cash related and were in-line with the Company's expectations.
The decrease in operating expenses for the second quarter of 2013 compared to the second quarter of 2012 primarily relates to the following:
Warehouse, processing and delivery costs decreased by approximately $\$ 2.7$ million primarily as a result of the decrease in sales activity in the Metals segment for the period as well as cost decreases from the Company's recent restructuring activities;
Sales, general and administrative costs decreased by $\$ 6.5$ million primarily as a result of the decrease in sales activity in the Metals segment for the period and a decrease of $\$ 3.5$ million in benefits and compensation costs, some of which was attributable to the Company's recent restructuring activities;
Depreciation and amortization expense of $\$ 6.6$ million was consistent with the prior year quarter.
Consolidated operating loss for the second quarter of 2013 , including restructuring charges of $\$ 5.6$ million, was $\$ 3.8$ million compared to operating income of $\$ 9.6$ million for the same period last year.
Other Income and Expense, Income Taxes and Net Income:
Interest expense, net was $\$ 10.1$ million in the second quarter of 2013 , a decrease of $\$ 4.1$ million compared to the same period last year as a result of the decrease in interest charges associated with the unrealized loss on the conversion option associated with the convertible debt, which is no longer required to be marked-to-market through earnings.
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Other income related to foreign currency transaction gains was $\$ 0.7$ million in the second quarter of 2013 compared to other expense of $\$ 0.7$ million for foreign currency transaction losses in the same period last year. These gains and losses relate to foreign currency transactions and unhedged intercompany financing arrangements.
The Company recorded an income tax benefit of $\$ 7.8$ million for the quarter ended June 30, 2013 compared to income tax benefit of $\$ 0.6$ million for the same period last year. The Company's effective tax rate is expressed as 'Income taxes', which includes tax expense on the Company's share of joint venture earnings, as a percentage of 'Loss before income taxes and equity in earnings of joint venture.' The effective tax rate for the quarters ended June 30, 2013 and 2012 was $59.6 \%$ and $12.0 \%$, respectively. The Company updates its expected annual effective tax rate throughout the year for discrete items and changes in the mix of geographical income (loss). In the second quarter of 2013, the Company's expected annual effective tax rate increased (expressed as a benefit) as a result of changes in the actual and estimated geographical mix of income (loss) for the full year of 2013. Compared to the first quarter of 2013, the second quarter income tax rate benefit increased from $10.2 \%$ in the first quarter of 2013 to $59.6 \%$ in the second quarter of 2013, which results in a year to date effective tax rate of $34.6 \%$. The restructuring charges recognized in the quarter ended June 30, 2013 were treated as discrete in the period. The unrealized loss on the conversion option associated with the convertible debt reported in the second quarter of 2012 was not tax deductible.
Equity in earnings of the Company's joint venture was $\$ 1.5$ million in the second quarter of 2013 and $\$ 1.7$ million in the same period last year.
Consolidated net loss for the second quarter of 2013 was $\$ 3.8$ million, or $\$ 0.16$ per diluted share, compared to a net loss of $\$ 3.0$ million, or $\$ 0.13$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2012.
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Results of Operations: Six months 2013 Compared to Six months 2012
Consolidated results by business segment are summarized in the following table for the six months ended June 30, 2013 and 2012.

|  | 2013 | 2012 |  | Favorable/(Unfavorable) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | \$ Change |  | \% Change |  |  |
| Net Sales |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$497.8 |  | \$629.1 |  | \$(131.3 |  | (20.9 | )\% |
| Plastics | 68.3 |  | 63.2 |  | 5.1 |  | 8.1 | \% |
| Total Net Sales | \$566.1 |  | \$692.3 |  | \$(126.2 | ) | (18.2 | )\% |
| Cost of Materials |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$371.9 |  | \$460.3 |  | \$88.4 |  | 19.2 | \% |
| \% of Metals Sales | 74.7 | \% | 73.2 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Plastics | 49.0 |  | 44.4 |  | (4.6 | ) | (10.4 | )\% |
| \% of Plastics Sales | 71.7 | \% | 70.2 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Total Cost of Materials | \$420.9 |  | \$504.6 |  | \$83.7 |  | 16.6 | \% |
| \% of Total Sales | 74.3 | \% | 72.9 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Costs and Expenses |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$ 128.7 |  | \$136.8 |  | \$8.1 |  | 5.9 | \% |
| Plastics | 17.4 |  | 17.2 |  | (0.2 |  | (1.2 | )\% |
| Other | 3.8 |  | 5.9 |  | 2.1 |  | 35.8 | \% |
| Total Operating Costs \& Expenses | \$ 150.0 |  | \$ 159.9 |  | \$ 10.0 |  | 6.2 | \% |
| \% of Total Sales | 26.5 | \% | 23.1 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Metals | \$(2.9 | ) | \$32.0 |  | \$(34.9 | ) | (108.9 | )\% |
| \% of Metals Sales | (0.6 | )\% | 5.1 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Plastics | 1.9 |  | 1.6 |  | 0.3 |  | 18.7 | \% |
| \% of Plastics Sales | 2.8 | \% | 2.5 | \% |  |  |  |  |
| Other | (3.8 | ) | (5.9 | ) | 2.1 |  | 35.8 | \% |
| Total Operating Income | \$(4.7 | ) | \$27.7 |  | \$(32.5 | ) | (117.1 | )\% |
| \% of Total Sales | (0.8 | )\% | 4.0 | \% |  |  |  |  |

"Other" includes the costs of executive, legal and finance departments which are shared by both segments of the Company.
Net Sales:
Consolidated net sales were $\$ 566.1$ million, a decrease of $\$ 126.2$ million, or $18.2 \%$, compared to the first six months of 2012. Metals segment sales during the six months ended June 30, 2013 of $\$ 497.8$ million were $\$ 131.3$ million, or $20.9 \%$, lower than the same period last year. The general industrials market, which includes mining equipment and certain parts of heavy equipment, continues to be the weakest market impacting the Company's Metals segment with product demand being lower than the first half of 2012. For the first half of the year, the Metal segment's average tons sold per day decreased $20.1 \%$ compared to the first six months of 2012 , which was primarily driven by decreases in SBQ bar, alloy bar, carbon bar and tubing products.
Plastics segment sales during the first six months of 2013 of $\$ 68.3$ million were $\$ 5.1$ million, or $8.1 \%$ higher than the first six months of 2012 due to higher sales volume reflecting continued strength in the automotive sector, as well as modest increases in life science and marine business compared to the prior year period.
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Cost of Materials:
Cost of materials (exclusive of depreciation and amortization) during the first six months of 2013 was $\$ 420.9$ million, a decrease of $\$ 83.7$ million, or $16.6 \%$, compared to the first six months of 2012. Consolidated gross material margins were $25.7 \%$ in the first six months of 2013 compared to $27.1 \%$ in the same period last year. Gross material margins included LIFO income of $\$ 2.3$ million in the first six months of 2013 compared to LIFO expense of $\$ 6.1$ million in the first six months of 2012. In addition, restructuring charges of $\$ 1.2$ million impacted cost of materials in the first half of 2013.
Material costs for the Metals segment for the first six months of 2013 were $\$ 371.9$ million, or $74.7 \%$ as a percent of net sales, compared to $\$ 460.3$ million, or $73.2 \%$ as a percent of net sales, for the first six months of 2012. Cost of materials in the Metals segment decreased $\$ 88.4$ million compared to the first half of 2012 primarily as a result of the decrease in demand from the prior year period. Material costs for the Plastics segment of $71.7 \%$ as a percent of net sales for the first six months of 2013 were higher compared to $70.2 \%$ for the same period last year due higher raw material costs experienced in the industry.
Operating Expenses and Operating Income:
On a consolidated basis, operating costs and expenses decreased $\$ 10.0$ million, or $6.2 \%$, compared to the first half of 2012. Operating costs and expenses, including restructuring charges of $\$ 7.8$ million, were $\$ 150.0$ million, or $26.5 \%$ of net sales, compared to $\$ 159.9$ million, or $23.1 \%$ of net sales during the first six months of 2012 . There were no restructuring charges included in the operating costs and expenses for the first six months of 2012. Virtually all restructuring charges impacting operating expenses were cash related and were in-line with the Company's expectations.
The decrease in operating expenses for the first six months of 2013 compared to the first six months of 2012 primarily relates to the following:
Warehouse, processing and delivery costs decreased by approximately $\$ 5.6$ million primarily as a result of the decrease in sales activity in the Metals segment for the period, cost decreases resulting from the recent restructuring activities, and a decrease of $\$ 1.5$ million in compensation and benefit costs;
Sales, general and administrative costs decreased by $\$ 12.3$ million primarily as a result of a decline of $\$ 7.4$ million in benefits and compensation costs, some of which was attributable to the Company's recent restructuring activities, as well as a decrease in sales activity;
Depreciation and amortization expense of $\$ 13.2$ million was generally consistent with the prior year period. Consolidated operating loss for the six months ended June 30, 2013, including restructuring charges of $\$ 7.8$ million, was $\$ 4.7$ million compared to operating income of $\$ 27.7$ million for the same period last year.
Other Income and Expense, Income Taxes and Net Income:
Interest expense was $\$ 20.3$ million in the first half of 2013, a decrease of $\$ 15.5$ million versus the same period last year as a result of the decrease in interest charges associated with the unrealized loss on the conversion option associated with the convertible debt, which is no longer required to be marked-to-market through earnings. Other expense related to foreign currency transaction losses was $\$ 1.6$ million in the first half of 2013 compared to $\$ 0.2$ million for foreign currency transaction losses for the same period last year. The majority of these transaction losses related to unhedged intercompany financing arrangements between the United States and the United Kingdom and Canada, respectively.
The Company recorded an income tax benefit of $\$ 9.2$ million for the year to date June 30, 2013 compared to tax expense of $\$ 3.7$ million for the same period last year. The Company's effective tax rate is expressed as 'Income taxes', which includes tax expense on the Company's share of joint venture earnings, as a percentage of 'Income before income taxes and equity in earnings of joint venture.' The effective tax rate for year to date June 30, 2013 and 2012 was $34.6 \%$ and $45.0 \%$, respectively. The change in the effective tax rate compared to the first half of 2012 was primarily the result of the restructuring charges which were treated as discrete in the six months ended June 30, 2013 and the non-deductibility of the unrealized loss on the conversion option associated with the convertible debt in the six months ended June 30, 2012, as well as a change in the geographical mix of income (loss).
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Equity in earnings of the Company's joint venture was $\$ 3.0$ million in the six months ended June 30, 2013, which was $\$ 1.8$ million less than the same period last year. Lower demand and pricing for Kreher's products, $\$ 1.5$ million of which was in the first quarter of 2013 compared to the first quarter of 2012 , was the primary factor contributing to the decrease in equity in earnings of the Company's joint venture. In the second quarter of 2013, the demand for Kreher's products improved but was still weak compared to the second quarter of the prior year.
Consolidated net loss for the six months ended June 30, 2012 was $\$ 14.4$ million, or $\$ 0.62$ per diluted share, compared to a net loss of $\$ 7.3$ million, or $\$ 0.32$ per diluted share, for the same period in 2012.
Liquidity and Capital Resources
Cash and cash equivalents increased by $\$ 11.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to a decrease of $\$ 10.1$ million for the same period last year.
The Company's principal sources of liquidity are cash provided by operations and available borrowing capacity to fund working capital needs and growth initiatives. Cash from operations for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was $\$ 56.1$ million compared to cash used in operations of $\$ 5.6$ million for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Specific components of the change in working capital are highlighted below:
During the six months ended June 30, 2013, net sales exceeded cash receipts from customers resulting in an $\$ 14.7$ million cash flow use due to an increase in accounts receivable compared to a $\$ 3.2$ million cash flow source for the same period last year. Net sales decreased $18.2 \%$ from the same period last year. Average receivable days outstanding was 50.5 days for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 48.4 for the six months ended June 30, 2012. During the six months ended June 30,2013 , sales of inventory exceeded inventory purchases resulting in a $\$ 55.4$ million cash flow source due to a decrease in inventory compared to a $\$ 92.3$ million cash flow use due to an increase in inventory for the six months ended June 30, 2012. Average days sales in inventory was 174.3 days for the six months ended June 30, 2013 compared to 170.5 days for the six months ended June 30, 2012.
During the six months ended June 30, 2013, purchases exceeded cash paid for inventories and other goods and services resulting in a $\$ 22.3$ million cash flow source due to a net increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities compared to a $\$ 70.2$ million cash flow source due to a net increase in accounts payable and accrued liabilities for the same period last year. Accounts payable days outstanding was 37.1 days for the first six months of 2013 compared to 55.0 days for the same period last year.

In December 2011, in conjunction with the acquisition of Tube Supply (the "Acquisition"), the Company issued $\$ 225.0$ million aggregate principal amount of $12.75 \%$ Senior Secured Notes due 2016, $\$ 57.5$ million aggregate principal amount of $7.0 \%$ Convertible Senior Notes due 2017 and entered into a $\$ 100.0$ million senior secured asset based revolving credit facility (the "Revolving Credit Facility"). Net proceeds of $\$ 304.6$ million were used to complete the Acquisition, pay-off amounts outstanding under our previous credit agreement and for general corporate purposes. Historically, the Company's primary uses of liquidity and capital resources have been capital expenditures, payments on debt (including interest payments), acquisitions and dividend payments. Management believes the Company will be able to generate sufficient cash from operations and planned working capital improvements to fund its ongoing capital expenditure programs and meet its debt obligations for at least the next twelve months. Furthermore, the Company has available borrowing capacity under the Revolving Credit Facility. The Company's debt agreements impose significant operating and financial restrictions which may prevent the Company from certain business opportunities such as, making acquisitions or paying dividends, among other things. The Revolving Credit Facility contains a springing financial maintenance covenant requiring the Company to maintain the ratio (as defined in the agreement) of EBITDA to fixed charges of 1.1 to 1.0 when excess availability is less than the greater of $10 \%$ of the calculated borrowing base (as defined in the agreement) or $\$ 10.0$ million. In addition, if excess availability is less than the greater of $12.5 \%$ of the calculated borrowing base (as defined in the agreement) or $\$ 12.5$ million, the lender has the right to take full dominion of the Company's cash collections and apply these proceeds to outstanding loans under the Revolving Credit Agreement ("cash dominion"). Based on the Company's cash projections, it does not anticipate a scenario whereby cash dominion would occur during the next twelve months.
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The Company is committed to maintaining a strong financial position through maintaining sufficient levels of available liquidity, managing working capital and monitoring the Company's overall capitalization. Cash and cash equivalents at June 30, 2013 were $\$ 33.2$ million, and the Company had $\$ 89.8$ million of available borrowing capacity under its Revolving Credit Facility. Approximately $44.9 \%$ of the Company's consolidated cash and cash equivalents balance resides in the United States. As foreign earnings are permanently reinvested, availability under the Company's Revolving Credit Facility would be used to fund operations in the United States should the need arise in the future.
Working capital at June 30, 2013 was $\$ 337.5$ million compared to $\$ 379.3$ million at December 31, 2012. The decrease in working capital is primarily due to lower inventory of $\$ 56.9$ million and higher accounts payable of $\$ 19.2$ million partially offset by higher cash and cash equivalents of $\$ 11.6$ million, higher deferred income tax asset of $\$ 8.2$ million and higher accounts receivable of \$13.7 million, comparatively from December 31, 2012 to June 30, 2013.
The Company monitors its overall capitalization by evaluating total debt to total capitalization. Total debt to total capitalization is defined as the sum of short- and long-term debt, divided by the sum of total debt and stockholders' equity. Total debt to total capitalization was $44.5 \%$ at June 30, 2013 and $46.8 \%$ at December 31, 2012. Over the long-term, the Company plans to continue to improve its total debt to total capitalization by improving operating results, managing working capital and using cash generated from operations to repay outstanding debt. Going forward, as and when permitted by term of agreements noted above, depending on market conditions, the Company may decide in the future to refinance, redeem or repurchase its debt and take other steps to reduce its debt or lease obligations or otherwise improve its overall financial position and balance sheet.
Cash paid for capital expenditures for the six months ended June 30, 2013 was $\$ 5.4$ million, an increase of $\$ 0.7$ million compared to the same period last year. Management believes that annual capital expenditures will be between $\$ 10.0$ million and $\$ 12.0$ million in 2013.
The Company's principal payments on long-term debt, including the current portion of long-term debt, required during the next five years and thereafter are summarized below:
2013 ( $\$ 0.2$

2014 0.4
2015 0.4
2016 225.2
2017 57.5
2018 and beyond -
Total debt
\$283.7
As of June 30, 2013, the Company had $\$ 6.7$ million of irrevocable letters of credit outstanding, which primarily consisted of $\$ 4.0$ million for collateral associated with commodity hedges and $\$ 1.9$ million for compliance with the insurance reserve requirements of its workers' compensation insurance carriers.

Item 3.Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk
The Company is exposed to interest rate, commodity price and foreign exchange rate risks that arise in the normal course of business. There have been no significant or material changes to such risks since December 31, 2012. Refer to Item 7a in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K, as amended, filed for the year ended December 31, 2012 for further discussion of such risks.

## Item 4.Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

A review and evaluation was performed by the Company's management, including the Chief Executive Officer ("CEO") and Chief Financial Officer ("CFO"), of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934). Based upon that
review and evaluation, the CEO and CFO have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of the end of the period covered by this report.

## Table of Contents

(b) Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation required by Rules $13 \mathrm{a}-15$ and $15 \mathrm{~d}-15$ under the Exchange Act that occurred during the three months ended June 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.
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## Part II. OTHER INFORMATION

## Item 1.Legal Proceedings

(Amounts in thousands)
During the quarter ended March 31, 2013, the Company received warranty and other claims from certain customers regarding alleged quality defects with certain alloy round bar products sold by the Company in 2012 and 2013. The Company has evaluated the information provided by the customers and issued a notice of potential defect to other affected customers. The Company continues to investigate the alleged quality defects and the total amount that may be subject to warranty and other customer claims. Based on the information available as of June 30, 2013, the Company estimates that it may incur costs associated with these claims ranging from $\$ 325$ to $\$ 1,250$. Within this range, the Company's best estimate of the probable loss resulting from these claims is $\$ 650$ of which approximately $\$ 350$ and $\$ 650$ are included in gross material margin for the three and six months ended June 30, 2013, respectively. The Company believes that amounts paid to customers will be recoverable from the original supplier of the products. There can be no assurance that the Company's losses related to these claims will not exceed the Company's estimated range of loss, or that the Company will be able to recover any amounts from the original supplier of the products.
Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds
Directors of the Company who are not employees may elect to defer receipt of up to $100 \%$ of his or her cash retainer and meeting fees. A director who defers board compensation may select either an interest or a stock equivalent investment option for amounts in the director's deferred compensation account. Disbursement of the stock equivalent unit account may be in shares of Company common stock or in cash as designated by the director. If payment from the stock equivalent unit account is made in shares of the Company's common stock, the number of shares to be distributed will equal the number of full stock equivalent units held in the director's account. On April 1, 2013, receipt of approximately 435 shares was deferred as payment for the board compensation. The shares were acquired at a price of $\$ 17.26$ per share, which represented the closing price of the Company's common stock on the day as of which such fees would otherwise have been paid to the director. Exemption from registration of the shares is claimed by the company under Section 4(2) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended.

Item 6.Exhibits

## Exhibit

No.
Description
10.37* Separation Agreement, dated April 26, 2013, by and between A.M. Castle \& Co. and Mr. Blain Tiffany
$31.1 \quad$ CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
$31.2 \quad$ CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
$32.1 \quad$ CEO and CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS XBRL Instance Document ${ }^{(1)}$
101.SCH XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document ${ }^{(1)}$
101.CAL XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document ${ }^{(1)}$
101.LAB XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document ${ }^{(1)}$
101.PRE XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document ${ }^{(1)}$

Furnished with this report. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or
(1) otherwise subject to liability under that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.
*This agreement is considered a compensatory plan or arrangement.
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## SIGNATURE

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Date: July 31, 2013
A. M. Castle \& Co.
(Registrant)
By: /s/ Patrick R. Anderson
Patrick R. Anderson
Vice President - Controller and Chief Accounting Officer
(Mr. Anderson has been authorized to sign on behalf of the Registrant.)
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Exhibit Index
The following exhibits are filed herewith or incorporated herein by reference:

| Exhibit |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| No. | Description | Page |
| 10.37* | Separation Agreement, dated April 26, 2013, by and between A.M. Castle \&Co. and Mr. Blain | E-1 |
| 31.1 | Tiffany | CEO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 |
| 31.2 | CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 | E-2 |
| 32.1 | CEO and CFO Certification Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 | E-3 |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document ${ }^{(1)}$ | E-4 |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Label Linkbase Document ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Presentation Linkbase Document ${ }^{(1)}$ |  |${ }^{(1)}$

Furnished with this report. In accordance with Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, the information in these exhibits shall not be deemed to be "filed" for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, or
(1) otherwise subject to liability under that section, and shall not be incorporated by reference into any registration statement or other document filed under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, except as expressly set forth by specific reference in such filing.
*This agreement is considered a compensatory plan or arrangement.

