

MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P

Form 425

December 01, 2015

Filed by MPLX LP

Commission File No.: 001-35714

Pursuant to Rule 425 under the

Securities Act of 1933, as amended

Subject Company:

MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P.

Commission File No.: 001-31239

The following press release was issued by MPLX LP on December 1, 2015.

MarkWest unitholders approve combination with MPLX
Transaction expected to close Dec. 4, 2015

FINDLAY, Ohio, and DENVER, Dec. 1, 2015 — At a special meeting held today, unitholders of MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P. (NYSE: MWE) approved a strategic combination with MPLX LP (NYSE: MPLX) by voting to approve the merger agreement dated July 11, 2015, as amended. Based on the voting results, approximately 80 percent of the units voted at the special meeting were in favor of the merger agreement.

The transaction will result in MarkWest, the second-largest processor of natural gas in the United States and largest processor and fractionator in the Marcellus and Utica shale plays, becoming a wholly owned subsidiary of MPLX, a rapidly growing crude oil and refined products logistics partnership sponsored by Marathon Petroleum Corporation (NYSE:MPC). The combination creates one of the largest master limited partnerships (MLPs) and is expected to generate a mid-20 percent compound annual distribution growth rate through 2019.

“We are pleased the overwhelming majority of MarkWest unitholders voting supported the combination and we look forward to delivering on the significant opportunities in front of the combined partnership,” said Gary R. Heminger, MPLX chairman and chief executive officer. “This combination creates a large- cap diversified midstream partnership with an extraordinary growth profile, underpinned by MarkWest’s large organic growth backlog and MPC’s large inventory of MLP-eligible assets.”

Frank Semple, MarkWest chairman, president and chief executive officer, said MarkWest and MPLX form a powerful combination to support the ongoing needs of producer customers. “Together with MPLX, MarkWest is exceptionally well-positioned to extend its long history of delivering best-in-class customer service and focused execution on continued midstream infrastructure build-out,” he said. “Our development of critical midstream solutions will be further enhanced by MPC’s strong parental support and a growing inventory of dropdown assets available to the combined partnership. MPC’s significant pipeline and refinery operations will be critical for expanding and integrating MarkWest’s midstream platform throughout some of our nation’s most productive resource plays.”

The transaction is subject to customary closing conditions and is expected to close Dec. 4, 2015.

MPLX, MarkWest and MPC management will host an analyst and institutional investor meeting Dec. 3, 2015. The presentation will be webcast live beginning at 9 a.m. EST. The webcast will include the presentation audio as well as accompanying slides. The meeting is expected to conclude at approximately 11:30 a.m. This event will be accessible via the MPC website at [HYPERLINK "http://ir.marathonpetroleum.com/"](http://ir.marathonpetroleum.com/) <http://ir.marathonpetroleum.com> and the MPLX website at [HYPERLINK "http://ir.mplx.com/"](http://ir.mplx.com/) <http://ir.mplx.com>.

###

About MPLX LP

MPLX is a fee-based, growth-oriented master limited partnership formed in 2012 by Marathon Petroleum Corporation to own, operate, develop and acquire pipelines and other midstream assets related to the transportation and storage of crude oil, refined products and other hydrocarbon-based products. Headquartered in Findlay, Ohio, MPLX’s assets consist of a 99.5 percent equity interest in a network of common carrier crude oil and products pipeline assets located in the Midwest and Gulf Coast regions of the United States and a 100 percent interest in a butane storage cavern located in West Virginia with approximately 1 million barrels of natural gas liquids storage capacity.

About MarkWest Energy Partners

MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P. is a master limited partnership that owns and operates midstream service businesses. MarkWest has a leading presence in many natural gas resource plays including the Marcellus Shale, Utica Shale, Huron/Berea Shale, Haynesville Shale, Woodford Shale and Granite Wash formation.

MPLX Investor Relations Contacts:

Geri Ewing (419) 421-2071

Teresa Homan (419) 421-2965

MPLX Media Contacts:

Chuck Rice (419) 421-2521

Jamal Kheiry (419) 421-3312

MarkWest Investor Relations and Media Contact:

Joshua Hallenbeck (866) 858-0482

This press release contains forward-looking statements within the meaning of federal securities laws regarding MPLX LP ("MPLX"), Marathon Petroleum Corporation ("MPC"), and MarkWest Energy Partners, L.P. ("MWE"). These forward-looking statements relate to, among other things, expectations, estimates and projections concerning the business and operations of MPLX, MPC, and MWE. You can identify forward-looking statements by words such as "anticipate," "believe," "estimate," "objective," "expect," "forecast," "guidance," "imply," "plan," "project," "potential," "could," "may," "should," "would," "will" or other similar expressions that convey the uncertainty of future events or outcomes. Such forward- looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and are subject to risks, uncertainties and other factors, some of which are beyond the companies' control and are difficult to predict. In addition to other factors described herein that could cause MPLX's or MWE's actual results to differ materially from those implied in these forward-looking statements, negative capital market conditions, including a persistence or increase of the current yield on common units, which is higher than historical yields, could adversely affect MPLX's ability to meet its distribution growth guidance, particularly with respect to the later years of such guidance. Factors that could cause MPLX's or MWE's actual results to differ materially from those implied in the forward-looking statements include: the ability to satisfy conditions to the closing of the transaction contemplated by the merger agreement; risk that the synergies from the MPLX/MWE transaction may not be fully realized or may take longer to realize than expected; disruption from the MPLX/MWE transaction making it more difficult to maintain relationships with customers, employees or suppliers; risks relating to any unforeseen liabilities of MWE or MPLX, as applicable; the adequacy of MPLX's and MWE's respective capital resources and liquidity, including, but not limited to, availability of sufficient cash flow to pay distributions, and the ability to successfully execute their business plans and implement their growth strategies; the timing and extent of changes in commodity prices and demand for crude oil, refined products, feedstocks or other hydrocarbon-based products; volatility in and/or degradation of market and industry conditions; completion of pipeline capacity by competitors; disruptions due to equipment interruption or failure, including electrical shortages and power grid failures; the suspension, reduction or termination of MPC's obligations under MPLX's commercial agreements; each company's ability to successfully implement its growth plan, whether through organic growth or acquisitions; modifications to earnings and distribution growth objectives; federal and state environmental, economic, health and safety, energy and other policies and regulations; changes to MPLX's capital budget; other risk factors inherent to MPLX or MWE's industry; and the factors set forth under the heading "Risk Factors" in MPLX's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2014, filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC); and the factors set forth under the heading "Risk Factors" in MWE's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2014, and Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended Sept. 30, 2015, filed with the SEC. These risks, as well as other risks associated with MPLX, MWE and the proposed transaction, are also more fully discussed in the joint proxy statement and prospectus included in the registration statement on Form S-4 filed by MPLX and declared effective by the SEC on Oct. 29, 2015, as supplemented. Factors

that could cause MPC's actual results to differ materially from those implied in the forward-looking statements include: risks described above relating to the MPLX/MWE proposed merger; changes to the expected construction costs and timing of pipeline projects; volatility in and/or degradation of market and industry conditions; the availability and pricing of crude oil and other feedstocks; slower growth in domestic and Canadian crude supply; an easing or lifting of the U.S. crude oil export ban; completion of pipeline capacity to areas outside the U.S. Midwest; consumer demand for refined products; transportation logistics; the reliability of processing units and other equipment; MPC's ability to successfully implement growth opportunities; modifications to MPLX earnings and distribution growth objectives; federal and state environmental, economic, health and safety, energy and other

policies and regulations; other risk factors inherent to MPC's industry; and the factors set forth under the heading "Risk Factors" in MPC's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended Dec. 31, 2014, filed with SEC. In addition, the forward-looking statements included herein could be affected by general domestic and international economic and political conditions. Unpredictable or unknown factors not discussed here, in MPLX's Form 10-K, in MPC's Form 10-K, or in MWE's Form 10-K and Form 10-Qs could also have material adverse effects on forward-looking statements. Copies of MPLX's Form 10-K are available on the SEC website, MPLX's website at HYPERLINK "<http://ir.mplx.com/>" <http://ir.mplx.com> or by contacting MPLX's Investor Relations office. Copies of MPC's Form 10-K are available on the SEC website, MPC's website at HYPERLINK "<http://ir.marathonpetroleum.com/>" <http://ir.marathonpetroleum.com> or by contacting MPC's Investor Relations office. Copies of MWE's Form 10-K and Form 10-Qs are available on the SEC website, MWE's website at HYPERLINK "<http://investor.markwest.com/>" <http://investor.markwest.com> or by contacting MWE's Investor Relations office.

Additional Information and Where to Find It

In connection with the proposed acquisition, MPLX and MWE have filed relevant materials with the SEC, including MPLX's registration statement on Form S-4 that includes a definitive joint proxy statement and a prospectus declared effective by the SEC on Oct. 29, 2015 and a supplement to the proxy statement/prospectus filed on Nov. 17, 2015. Investors and security holders are urged to read all relevant documents filed with the SEC, including the definitive joint proxy statement and prospectus, because they contain important information about the proposed transaction. Investors and security holders are able to obtain the documents free of charge at the SEC's website, HYPERLINK "<http://www.sec.gov/>" <http://www.sec.gov>, or for free from MPLX LP at its website, HYPERLINK "<http://ir.mplx.com/>" <http://ir.mplx.com>, or in writing at 200 E. Hardin Street, Findlay, Ohio 45840, Attention: Corporate Secretary, or for free from MWE by contacting Investor Relations by phone at 1-(866) 858-0482 or by email at HYPERLINK "<mailto:investorrelations@markwest.com>" investorrelations@markwest.com.

olid #000000 ;border-left:1pt none #D9D9D9 ;border-bottom:1pt none #D9D9D9 ;border-right:1pt none #D9D9D9 ;height:12.00pt;padding:0pt;">

32,942

35,811

Securities available for sale

134,965

138,202

Federal Home Loan Bank stock, at cost

1,375

1,375

Loans receivable, net of allowance for loan losses 2015 \$1,940; 2014 \$1,921

286,624

284,853

Premises and equipment, net

9,380

9,519

Accrued interest receivable

1,918

1,716

Bank owned life insurance

14,733

14,666

Other assets

1,010

1,329

Total Assets

\$

482,947

\$

487,471

Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

Liabilities

Deposits:

Interest bearing

\$

344,268

\$

349,777

Non-interest bearing

38,392

37,162

Total Deposits

382,660

386,939

Long-term debt

18,950

18,950

Advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance

2,422

3,415

Other liabilities

6,390

6,537

Total Liabilities

\$

410,422

\$

415,841

Commitments and Contingencies

-

-

Stockholders' Equity

Common stock, \$0.01 par value per share, 25,000,000 shares authorized; 6,684,282 shares issued and 5,995,439 shares outstanding at March 31, 2015 and 6,673,940 shares issued and 5,990,042 shares outstanding at December 31, 2014

\$

67

\$

67

Additional paid-in capital

28,817

28,684

Treasury stock, at cost (688,343 shares at March 31, 2015 and 683,898 shares at December 31, 2014)

(6,550)

(6,420)

Unearned shares held by ESOP

(1,769)

(1,791)

Unearned shares held by compensation plans

(719)

(622)

Retained earnings

48,607

48,192

Accumulated other comprehensive income

4,072

3,520

Total Stockholders' Equity

72,525

71,630

Total Liabilities and Stockholders' Equity

\$

482,947

\$

487,471

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Consolidated Statements of Income

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(Unaudited)	
	(Dollars in thousands, except per share data)	
Interest Income		
Loans, including fees	\$ 3,370	\$ 3,305
Investment securities, taxable	493	702
Investment securities, tax-exempt	530	530
Other	6	1
Total Interest Income	4,399	4,538
Interest Expense		
Deposits	663	757
Short-term borrowings	-	12
Long-term debt	99	32
Other	24	25
Total Interest Expense	786	826
Net Interest Income	3,613	3,712
Provision for Loan Losses	25	-
Net Interest Income after Provision for Loan Losses	3,588	3,712
Non-Interest Income		
Service charges and fees	379	396
Earnings on bank owned life insurance	67	61
Recovery on previously impaired investment securities	32	83
Loss on sale of security available for sale	-	(98)
Gain on sale of loans	24	-
Other	32	38
Total Non-Interest Income	534	480
Non-Interest Expenses		
Salaries and employee benefits	1,803	1,663
Occupancy and equipment	571	559
Data processing	250	183
Professional services	245	315
Advertising	106	99
FDIC Insurance	71	71
Postage and supplies	59	67
Other	202	281
Total Non-Interest Expenses	3,307	3,238
Income before Income Taxes	815	954
Income Tax Expense	246	179
Net Income	\$ 569	\$ 775
Basic and diluted earnings per common share	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14
Dividends declared per share	\$ 0.07	\$ 0.07

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 2014 (Unaudited) (Dollars in thousands)	
Net Income	\$ 569	\$ 775
Other Comprehensive Income, net of tax expense		
Unrealized holding gains on securities available for sale, net of tax expense	573	1,526
Reclassification adjustments related to:		
Recovery on previously impaired investment securities included in net income, net of tax expense	(21)	(51)
Loss on sale of security included in net income, net of tax benefit	-	60
Total Other Comprehensive Income	552	1,535
Total Comprehensive Income	\$ 1,121	\$ 2,310

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity

Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 (Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Treasury Stock	Unearned Shares Held by ESOP	Unearned Shares Held by Compensation Plans	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Total
(In thousands, except share and per share data)								
Balance - January 1, 2014	\$ 66	\$ 28,039	\$ (6,588)	\$ (1,876)	\$ (499)	\$ 45,624	\$ 505	\$ 65,271
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	775	-	775
Other comprehensive income, net of tax expense of \$969	-	-	-	-	-	-	1,535	1,535
ESOP shares earned (1,984 shares)	-	3	-	21	-	-	-	24
Stock based compensation	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
Compensation plan shares earned (658 shares)	-	4	-	-	9	-	-	13
Purchase of treasury stock, at cost (5,000 shares)	-	-	(62)	-	-	-	-	(62)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.07 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	(147)	-	(147)
Balance - March 31, 2014	\$ 66	\$ 28,047	\$ (6,650)	\$ (1,855)	\$ (490)	\$ 46,252	\$ 2,040	\$ 67,410
Balance - January 1, 2015	\$ 67	\$ 28,684	\$ (6,420)	\$ (1,791)	\$ (622)	\$ 48,192	\$ 3,520	\$ 71,630
Net income	-	-	-	-	-	569	-	569
Other comprehensive income, net of tax benefit of \$123	-	-	-	-	-	-	552	552
Stock options exercised (10,342 shares)	-	119	-	-	-	-	-	119
ESOP shares earned (1,984 shares)	-	5	-	22	-	-	-	27
Stock based compensation	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	1
	-	-	140	-	(140)	-	-	-

Compensation plan shares granted (14,955 shares)								
Compensation plan shares earned (4,013 shares)	-	8	-	-	43	-	-	51
Purchase of treasury stock, at cost (19,900 shares)	-	-	(270)	-	-	-	-	(270)
Cash dividends declared (\$0.07 per share)	-	-	-	-	-	(154)	-	(154)
Balance - March 31, 2015	\$ 67	\$ 28,817	\$ (6,550)	\$ (1,769)	\$ (719)	\$ 48,607	\$ 4,072	\$ 72,525

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(Unaudited)	
	(Dollars in thousands)	
CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$ 569	\$ 775
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Net amortization of investment securities	78	73
Amortization of deferred loan costs	102	116
Provision for loan losses	25	-
Recovery on previously impaired investment securities	(32)	(83)
Loss on sale of investment security	-	98
Originations of loans held for sale	(1,344)	(112)
Proceeds from sales of loans held for sale	1,368	112
Gain on sale of loans	(24)	-
Depreciation and amortization	205	181
Increase in bank owned life insurance, net	(67)	(61)
ESOP shares committed to be released	27	24
Stock based compensation expense	52	14
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(202)	(165)
Decrease (increase) in other assets	329	(122)
(Decrease) increase in other liabilities	(24)	59
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	1,062	909
CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Activity in available for sale securities:		
Sales	-	1,544
Maturities, prepayments and calls	3,620	3,519
Purchases of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	(29)	-
Redemptions of Federal Home Loan Bank Stock	29	25
Loan origination and principal collections, net	(1,908)	1,674
Additions to premises and equipment	(66)	(129)
Net Cash Provided by Investing Activities	1,646	6,633
CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES		
Net (decrease) increase in deposits	(4,279)	5,102
Net decrease in advances from borrowers for taxes and insurance	(993)	(981)
Net increase in short term borrowings	-	250
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	3,250	1,900
Repayment of long-term debt	(3,250)	(2,700)
Proceeds from stock options exercised	119	-
Purchase of treasury stock	(270)	(62)
Cash dividends paid	(154)	(147)
Net Cash (Used in) Provided by Financing Activities	(5,577)	3,362
Net (Decrease) Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(2,869)	10,904
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - BEGINNING	35,811	17,202
CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS - ENDING	\$ 32,942	\$ 28,106

SUPPLEMENTARY CASH FLOWS INFORMATION

Interest paid	\$ 788	\$ 840
Income taxes paid	\$ 15	\$ 85

SUPPLEMENTARY SCHEDULE OF NONCASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Foreclosed real estate acquired in settlement of loans	\$ 20	\$ 79
--	-------	-------

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. and Subsidiary

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Unaudited)

Note 1 – Nature of Operations and Basis of Presentation

Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. (the “Company,” “us,” “our,” or “we”) was formed on April 3, 2006 to serve as the stock holding company for Lake Shore Savings Bank (“the Bank”) as part of the Bank’s conversion and reorganization from a New York-chartered mutual savings and loan association to the federal mutual holding company form of organization.

The interim consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and the Bank, its wholly owned subsidiary. All intercompany accounts and transactions of the consolidated subsidiary have been eliminated in consolidation.

The interim financial statements included herein as of March 31, 2015 and for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 have been prepared by the Company, without audit, pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission, and therefore, do not include all information or footnotes necessary for a complete presentation of the consolidated statements of financial condition, results of operations and cash flows in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (“GAAP”). The consolidated statement of financial condition at December 31, 2014 has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date, but does not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete consolidated financial statements. The consolidated financial statements reflect all adjustments that are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair statement of such information and to make the financial statements not misleading. These interim consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in the audited consolidated financial statements included in the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014. The consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results for any subsequent period or the entire year ending December 31, 2015.

To prepare these consolidated financial statements in conformity with GAAP, management of the Company made a number of estimates and assumptions relating to the reporting of assets and liabilities and the reporting of revenue and expenses. Actual results could differ from those estimates. Material estimates that are particularly susceptible to significant change in the near term relate to the determination of the allowance for loan losses, securities valuation estimates, evaluation of impairment of securities and income taxes.

The Company has evaluated events and transactions occurring subsequent to the statement of financial condition as of March 31, 2015 for items that should potentially be recognized or disclosed in these consolidated financial statements. The evaluation was conducted through the date these consolidated financial statements were issued.

Note 2 – New Accounting Standards

The Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2014-04, “Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Reclassification of Residential Real Estate Collateralized Consumer Mortgage Loans upon Foreclosure” (“ASU 2014-04”). ASU 2014-04 clarifies that an in substance repossession or foreclosure occurs, when either (a) the creditor obtaining legal title to the residential real estate property upon completion of a foreclosure, or (b) the borrower conveying all interest in the residential real estate property to the creditor to satisfy that loan through completion of a deed in lieu of foreclosure or through a similar legal agreement. Upon completion of either of these two events the credit is considered to have received physical possession of residential real estate property and therefore should derecognize the loan receivable and recognize real estate owned. Additionally, ASU 2014-04 requires interim and annual disclosure of both (a) the amount of foreclosed residential real estate property held by the creditor and (b) the recorded investment in consumer mortgage loans collateralized by residential real estate property that are in the process of foreclosure according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction. The adoption of ASU 2014-04 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

The Company adopted FASB ASU 2014-11, “Transfers and Servicing (Topic 860): Repurchase-to-Maturity Transactions, Repurchase Financings, and Disclosures” (“ASU 2014-11”), which changes the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions and repurchase financing arrangements. The new guidance aligns the accounting for repurchase-to-maturity transactions and repurchase agreements executed as a repurchase financing with the accounting for other typical repurchase agreements. Going forward, these transactions will all be accounted for as secured borrowings. It also requires additional disclosures about repurchase agreements and other similar transactions. The adoption of ASU 2014-11 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

The Company adopted FASB ASU 2014-14, “Receivables – Troubled Debt Restructurings by Creditors (Subtopic 310-40): Classification of Certain Government-Guaranteed Mortgage Loans Upon Foreclosure” (“ASU 2014-14”). ASU 2014-14 applies to all creditors that hold government-guaranteed mortgage loans. The update requires that a mortgage loan be derecognized and that a separate other receivable be recognized upon foreclosure if three conditions are met. The first condition would be that the loan has a government guarantee that is not separable from the loan before foreclosure. The second condition is that at the time of foreclosure, the creditor has the intent to convey the real estate property to the guarantor and make a claim on the guarantee, and the creditor has the ability to recover under that claim. The third and final condition is that at the time of foreclosure, any amount of the claim that is determined on the basis of the fair value of the real estate is fixed. Upon foreclosure, the separate other receivable should be measured based on the amount of the loan balance (principal and interest) expected to be recovered from the guarantor. Management does not expect the adoption of this update to have a material impact on the Company’s consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, “Revenue from Contracts with Customers” (“ASU 2014-09”). ASU 2014-09 is intended to clarify and simplify revenue recognition principles, develop a common revenue standard across industries and accounting frameworks, and improve the usefulness and consistency of revenue reporting. ASU 2014-09 is effective for annual reporting periods, including interim reporting periods within those periods, beginning after December 15, 2016. Early application is not permitted. The Company has not yet determined the impact the adoption of ASU 2014-09 will have on its financial condition and results of operations.

In June 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-12, “Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could Be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period” (“ASU 2014-12”). ASU 2014-12 applies to all reporting entities that grant their employees share-based payments in which the terms of the award provide that a performance target that affects vesting could be achieved after the requisite service period. The update requires that a performance target be treated as a performance condition. Compensation cost should be recognized in the period in which it becomes probable that the performance target will be achieved and should represent the compensation cost attributable to the period(s) for which the requisite service has already been rendered. If the performance target becomes probable of being achieved before the end of the requisite service period, the remaining unrecognized compensation cost should be recognized prospectively over the remaining requisite service period. The total amount of compensation cost recognized during and after the requisite service period should reflect the number of awards that are expected to vest and should be adjusted to reflect those awards that ultimately vest. The requisite service period ends when the employee can cease rendering service and still be eligible to vest in the award if the performance target is achieved. ASU 2014-12 is effective for the reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015. Management does not expect the adoption of this update to have a

material impact on the Company's consolidated financial statements or results of operations.

7

Note 3 – Investment Securities

The amortized cost and fair value of securities are as follows:

	March 31, 2015			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE:				
U.S. Treasury bonds	\$ 12,807	\$ 1,749	\$ -	\$ 14,556
Municipal bonds	57,149	3,478	(12)	60,615
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	60	-	-	60
Collateralized mortgage obligations-government sponsored entities	47,379	273	(367)	47,285
Government National Mortgage Association	500	46	-	546
Federal National Mortgage Association	6,780	369	-	7,149
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	2,547	127	-	2,674
Asset-backed securities-private label	1,445	558	(91)	1,912
Asset-backed securities-government sponsored entities	106	11	-	117
Equity securities	22	29	-	51
	\$ 128,795	\$ 6,640	\$ (470)	\$ 134,965

	December 31, 2014			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)			
SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE:				
U.S. Treasury bonds	\$ 12,817	\$ 1,544	\$ -	\$ 14,361
Municipal bonds	57,158	3,635	(7)	60,786
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	61	-	-	61
Collateralized mortgage obligations-government sponsored entities	50,465	237	(710)	49,992
Government National Mortgage Association	524	47	-	571
Federal National Mortgage Association	7,107	366	-	7,473
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	2,650	117	-	2,767
Asset-backed securities-private label	1,546	584	(107)	2,023
Asset-backed securities-government sponsored entities	111	11	-	122
Equity securities	22	24	-	46
	\$ 132,461	\$ 6,565	\$ (824)	\$ 138,202

All of our collateralized mortgage obligations are backed by residential mortgages.

Edgar Filing: MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P - Form 425

At March 31, 2015 and at December 31, 2014, equity securities consisted of 22,368 shares of Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation (“FHLMC”) common stock.

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, thirty-one municipal bonds with a cost of \$10.7 million and fair value of \$11.4 million were pledged under a collateral agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank of New York for liquidity borrowing. In addition, at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, six municipal bonds with a cost and fair value of \$1.5 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, were pledged as collateral for customer deposits in excess of the Federal Deposit Insurance Corporation (“FDIC”) insurance limits.

The following table sets forth the Company’s investment in securities available for sale with gross unrealized losses of less than twelve months and gross unrealized losses of twelve months or more and associated fair values as of the dates indicated:

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized	
	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses
	(Dollars In thousands)					
March 31, 2015						
Municipal bonds	\$ 975	\$ (4)	\$ 563	\$ (8)	\$ 1,538	\$ (12)
Mortgage-backed securities	6,045	(23)	21,296	(344)	27,341	(367)
Asset-backed securities -private label	561	(19)	792	(72)	1,353	(91)
	\$ 7,581	\$ (46)	\$ 22,651	\$ (424)	\$ 30,232	\$ (470)

	Less than 12 months		12 months or more		Total	
	Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized		Gross Unrealized	
	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses	Fair Value	Losses
	(Dollars In thousands)					
December 31, 2014						
Municipal bonds	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 814	\$ (7)	\$ 814	\$ (7)
Mortgage-backed securities	7,569	(53)	25,027	(657)	32,596	(710)
Asset-backed securities -private label	610	(28)	829	(79)	1,439	(107)
	\$ 8,179	\$ (81)	\$ 26,670	\$ (743)	\$ 34,849	\$ (824)

The Company reviews investment securities on an ongoing basis for the presence of other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”) with formal reviews performed quarterly.

The Company determines whether the unrealized losses are other-than-temporary in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 320 "Investments - Debt and Equity Securities." The evaluation is based upon factors such as the creditworthiness of the issuers/guarantors, the underlying collateral and the continuing performance of the securities.

Management also evaluates other facts and circumstances that may be indicative of an OTTI condition. This includes, but is not limited to, an evaluation of the type of security, length of time and extent to which fair value has been less than cost, and near-term prospects of the issuer. The Company uses the cash flow expected to be realized from the security, which includes assumptions about interest rates, timing and severity of defaults, estimates of potential recoveries, the cash flow distribution from the provisions in the applicable bond indenture and other factors, then applies a discounting rate equal to the effective yield of the security. If the present value of the expected cash flows is less than the amortized book value it is considered a credit loss.

The fair value of the security is determined using the same expected cash flows; the discount rate is a rate the Company determines from open market and other sources as appropriate for the security. The difference between the fair value and the credit loss is recognized in other comprehensive income, net of taxes.

At March 31, 2015, the Company's investment portfolio included three municipal bonds, nine mortgage-backed securities and one private-label asset-backed security in the "unrealized losses less than twelve months" category. The three municipal bonds and nine mortgage-backed securities were not evaluated further for OTTI as the unrealized losses on the individual securities were less than 20% of book value, which management deemed to be immaterial. In addition, the mortgage-backed securities were issued by government sponsored enterprises. The private label asset-backed security was evaluated further for OTTI, as the probability of default is high and the Company's analysis indicated a possible loss of principal. The Company expects these securities to be repaid in full, with no losses realized. Management does not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell these securities.

At March 31, 2015, the Company had one municipal bond, seventeen mortgage-backed securities and one private label asset-backed security in the "unrealized losses twelve months or more" category.

The municipal bond and seventeen mortgage-backed securities were not evaluated further for OTTI, as the unrealized losses were less than 20% of book value. The temporary impairments were due to declines in fair value resulting from changes in interest rates and/or increased credit liquidity spreads since the securities were purchased. The Company expects these securities to be repaid in full, with no losses realized. Management does not intend to sell these securities and it is more likely than not that it will not be required to sell these securities.

The private label asset-backed security in this category was evaluated further for OTTI, as the probability of default is high and the Company's analysis indicated a possible loss of principal.

The following table provides additional information relating to these private label asset-backed securities as of March 31, 2015 (dollars in thousands):

Security	Book Value	Fair Value	Unrealized Loss	Lowest Rating	Delinquent %		Foreclosure/OREO/	
					Over 60 days	Over 90 days	Bankruptcy %	OREO%
1	\$ 864	\$ 792	\$ (72)	CCC	21.80%	20.30%	11.40%	1.50%
2	580	561	(19)	CCC	16.30%	15.30%	7.10%	1.60%
Total	\$ 1,444	\$ 1,353	\$ (91)					

The two private label asset-backed securities listed above were evaluated for OTTI under the guidance of FASB ASC Topic 320. The Company believes the unrealized losses on these private label asset-backed securities occurred due to the ongoing challenges in the economic environment and increased levels of delinquency trends in the underlying loan pools. It is possible that principal losses may be incurred on the tranches we hold in these specific securities. Management's evaluation of the estimated discounted cash flows in comparison to the amortized book value for the securities listed above did not reflect the need to record an OTTI charge against earnings during the three months ended March 31, 2015. The estimated discounted cash flows for these securities did not show an additional principal loss under various prepayment and default rate scenarios. Management concluded that it does not intend to sell these securities and that it is not likely it will be required to sell these securities.

Management also completed an OTTI analysis for two private label asset-backed securities, which did not have unrealized losses as of March 31, 2015. Management reviewed key credit metrics for these securities, including delinquency rates, cumulative default rates, prepayment speeds, foreclosure rates, loan-to-value ratios and credit support levels. Management's calculation of the estimated discounted cash flows did not show additional principal losses for these securities under various prepayment and default rate scenarios. As a result of the stress tests that were performed, management concluded that additional OTTI charges were not required as of March 31, 2015 on these securities. Management also concluded that it does not intend to sell the securities and that it is not likely it will be required to sell these securities.

The unrealized losses shown in the previous table, were recorded as a component of other comprehensive income, net of tax on the Company's Consolidated Statements of Stockholders' Equity.

The following table presents a summary of the credit-related OTTI charges recognized as components of earnings:

	For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2014
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Beginning balance	\$ 858	\$ 1,318
Additions:		
Credit loss not previously recognized	-	-
Reductions:		
Realized loss on sale of security on OTTI previously recognized	-	(282)
Losses realized during the period on OTTI previously recognized	(1)	(2)
Receipt of cash flows on previously recorded OTTI	(32)	(83)
Ending balance	\$ 825	\$ 951

Further deterioration in credit quality and/or a continuation of the current imbalances in liquidity that exist in the marketplace might adversely affect the fair values of the Company's investment portfolio and may increase the potential that certain unrealized losses will be designated as "other-than-temporary" and that the Company may incur additional write-downs in future periods.

Scheduled contractual maturities of available for sale securities are as follows:

	Amortized Cost	Fair Value
	(Dollars in thousands)	
March 31, 2015:		
After one year through five years	\$ 957	\$ 1,035
After five years through ten years	35,059	37,810
After ten years	33,940	36,326
Mortgage-backed securities	57,266	57,714
Asset-backed securities	1,551	2,029
Equity securities	22	51
	\$ 128,795	\$ 134,965

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company did not sell any securities available for sale. The Company sold one private-label asset-backed security during the three months ended March 31, 2014 for total proceeds of \$1.5 million, resulting in gross realized losses of \$98,000.

Note 4 - Allowance for Loan Losses

Management segregates the loan portfolio into loan types and analyzes the risk level for each loan type when determining its allowance for loan losses. The loan types are as follows:

Real Estate Loans:

- One- to Four-Family – are loans secured by first lien collateral on residential real estate primarily held in the Western New York region. These loans can be affected by economic conditions and the value of underlying properties. Western New York has not been impacted as severely as other parts of the country by fluctuating real estate prices. Furthermore, the Company has conservative underwriting standards and does not have any sub-prime loans in its loan portfolio.
- Home Equity - are loans or lines of credit secured by second lien collateral on owner-occupied residential real estate primarily held in the Western New York area. These loans can also be affected by economic conditions and the values of underlying properties. Home equity loans may have increased risk of loss if the Company does not hold the first mortgage resulting in the Company being in a secondary position in the event of collateral liquidation. The Company does not originate interest only home equity loans.
- Commercial Real Estate – are loans used to finance the purchase of real property, which generally consists of developed real estate that is held as first lien collateral for the loan. These loans are secured by real estate properties that are primarily held in the Western New York region. Commercial real estate lending involves additional risks compared with one- to four-family residential lending, because payments on loans secured by commercial real estate properties are often dependent on the successful operation or management of the properties, and/or the collateral value of the commercial real estate securing the loan, and repayment of such loans may be subject to adverse conditions in the real estate market or economic conditions to a greater extent than one- to four-family residential mortgage loans. Also, commercial real estate loans typically involve large loan balances to single borrowers or groups of related borrowers.
- Construction – are loans to finance the construction of either one- to four-family owner occupied homes or commercial real estate. At the end of the construction period, the loan automatically converts to either a conventional or commercial mortgage, as applicable. Risk of loss on a construction loan depends largely upon the accuracy of the initial estimate of the value of the property at completion compared to the actual cost of construction.

Other Loans:

- Commercial – includes business installment loans, lines of credit, and other commercial loans. Most of our commercial loans have fixed interest rates, and are for terms generally not in excess of 10 years. Whenever possible, we collateralize these loans with a lien on business assets and equipment and require the personal guarantees from principals of the borrower. Commercial loans generally involve a higher degree of credit risk because the collateral underlying the loans may be in the form of intangible assets and/or inventory subject to market obsolescence. Commercial loans can also involve relatively large loan balances to a single borrower or groups of related borrowers, with the repayment of such loans typically dependent on the successful operation of the commercial business and the income stream of the borrower. Such risks can be significantly affected by economic conditions.
- Consumer – consist of loans secured by collateral such as an automobile or a deposit account, unsecured loans and lines of credit. Consumer loans tend to have a higher credit risk due to the loans being either unsecured or secured by rapidly depreciable assets. Furthermore, consumer loan payments are dependent on the borrower's continuing

financial stability, and therefore are more likely to be adversely affected by job loss, divorce, illness or personal bankruptcy.

The allowance for loan losses is a valuation account that reflects the Company's evaluation of the losses inherent in its loan portfolio. In order to determine the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses, the Company estimates losses by loan type using historical loss factors, as well as other environmental factors, such as trends in loan volume and loan type, loan concentrations, changes in the experience, ability and depth of the Company's lending management, and national and local economic conditions. The Company's determination as to the classification of loans and the amount of loss allowances are subject to review by bank regulators, which can require the establishment of additional loss allowances.

Edgar Filing: MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P - Form 425

The Company also reviews all loans on which the collectability of principal may not be reasonably assured, by reviewing payment status, financial conditions and estimated value of loan collateral. These loans are assigned an internal loan grade, and the Company assigns the amount of loss components to these classified loans based on loan grade.

The following tables summarize the activity in the allowance for loan losses for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 and the distribution of the allowance for loan losses and loan receivable by loan portfolio class and impairment method as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014.

	Real Estate Loans			Other Loans				Total
	One- to Home Four-Family	Equity Commercial	Commercial	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	
March 31, 2014	(Dollars in thousands)							
Allowance for Loan Losses:								
Balance – January 1, 2014	\$ 355	\$ 80	\$ 1,104	\$ -	\$ 218	\$ 9	\$ 47	\$ 1,813
Charge-offs	(17)	(13)	-	-	(4)	(7)	-	(41)
Recoveries	-	-	-	-	-	8	-	8
Provision (Credit)	22	32	(52)	-	(19)	11	6	-
Balance – March 31, 2014	\$ 360	\$ 99	\$ 1,052	\$ -	\$ 195	\$ 21	\$ 53	\$ 1,780

	Real Estate Loans			Other Loans				Total
	One- to Home Four-Family	Equity Commercial	Commercial	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	
March 31, 2015	(Dollars in thousands)							
Allowance for Loan Losses:								
Balance – January 1, 2015	\$ 446	\$ 106	\$ 1,163	\$ -	\$ 184	\$ 22	\$ -	\$ 1,921
Charge-offs	(17)	(15)	-	-	-	(8)	-	(40)
Recoveries	9	1	21	-	-	3	-	34
Provision (Credit)	(13)	14	(3)	-	8	9	10	25
Balance – March 31, 2015	\$ 425	\$ 106	\$ 1,181	\$ -	\$ 192	\$ 26	\$ 10	\$ 1,940
	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 228	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 228

Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment								
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 425	\$ 106	\$ 953	\$ -	\$ 192	\$ 26	\$ 10	\$ 1,712
Gross Loans								
Receivable (1): Ending balance	\$ 165,665	\$ 31,920	\$ 71,560	\$ -	\$ 15,143	\$ 1,381	\$ -	\$ 285,669
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 209	\$ 10	\$ 2,649	\$ -	\$ 105	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,973
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 165,456	\$ 31,910	\$ 68,911	\$ -	\$ 15,038	\$ 1,381	\$ -	\$ 282,696

(1) Gross Loans Receivable does not include allowance for loan losses of \$(1,940) or deferred loan costs of \$2,895.

The following table summarizes the distribution of the allowance for loan losses and loans receivable by loan portfolio class as of December 31, 2014:

	Real Estate Loans			Other Loans				Total
	One- to Four-Family	Home Equity	Commercial	Construction	Commercial	Consumer	Unallocated	
(Dollars in thousands)								
December 31, 2014								
Allowance for Loan Losses:								
Balance – December 31, 2014	\$ 446	\$ 106	\$ 1,163	\$ -	\$ 184	\$ 22	\$ -	\$ 1,921
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 178	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 178
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 446	\$ 106	\$ 985	\$ -	\$ 184	\$ 22	\$ -	\$ 1,743
Gross Loans Receivable (1):								
Ending Balance	\$ 167,840	\$ 32,337	\$ 68,238	\$ 449	\$ 13,467	\$ 1,495	\$ -	\$ 283,826
Ending balance: individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 211	\$ 10	\$ 2,312	\$ -	\$ 10	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 2,543
Ending balance: collectively evaluated for impairment	\$ 167,629	\$ 32,327	\$ 65,926	\$ 449	\$ 13,457	\$ 1,495	\$ -	\$ 281,283

(1) Gross Loans Receivable does not include allowance for loan losses of \$(1,921) or deferred loan costs of \$2,948.

Although the allocations noted above are by loan type, the allowance for loan losses is general in nature and is available to offset losses from any loan in the Company's portfolio. The unallocated component of the allowance for loan losses reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for existing specific and general losses in the portfolio.

A loan is considered impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will not be able to collect the scheduled payments of principal and interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered in determining impairment include payment status, collateral value and the probability of collecting scheduled payments when due. Impairment is measured on a loan-by-loan basis for commercial real estate loans and commercial loans. Larger groups of smaller balance homogeneous loans are collectively evaluated for impairment. Accordingly, the Company does not separately identify individual consumer, home equity, or one- to four-family loans for impairment disclosure, unless they are subject to a troubled debt

restructuring.

14

The following is a summary of information pertaining to impaired loans at or for the periods indicated:

	Unpaid Recorded Principal Investment Balance (Dollars in thousands)		Related Allowance	Average Interest Recorded Income Investment Recognized	
	At March 31, 2015			For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015	
With no related allowance recorded:					
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 209	\$ 209	\$ -	\$ 210	\$ 4
Home equity	10	10	-	10	-
Commercial real estate	2,048	2,048	-	2,048	-
Commercial loans	105	105	-	107	2
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial real estate	601	601	228	601	-
Commercial loans	-	-	-	-	-
Total	\$ 2,973	\$ 2,973	\$ 228	\$ 2,976	\$ 6

	At December 31, 2014			For the Year Ended December 31, 2014	
With no related allowance recorded:					
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 211	\$ 211	\$ -	\$ 216	\$ 11
Home equity	10	10	-	10	1
Commercial real estate	1,711	1,711	-	2,334	19
Commercial loans	10	10	-	6	-
With an allowance recorded:					
Commercial real estate	601	601	178	592	5
Commercial loans	-	-	-	6	-
Total	\$ 2,543	\$ 2,543	\$ 178	\$ 3,164	\$ 36

The following table provides an analysis of past due loans and non-accruing loans as of the dates indicated:

	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days or More Past Due	Total Past Due	Current Due	Total Loans Receivable	Loans on Non-Accrual
March 31, 2015:							
Real Estate Loans:							
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 1,357	\$ 548	\$ 746	\$ 2,651	\$ 163,014	\$ 165,665	\$ 2,383
Home equity	211	105	293	609	31,311	31,920	312
Commercial	20	-	2,649	2,669	68,891	71,560	2,649
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Loans:							
Commercial	162	6	37	205	14,938	15,143	174
Consumer	17	1	5	23	1,358	1,381	7
Total	\$ 1,767	\$ 660	\$ 3,730	\$ 6,157	\$ 279,512	\$ 285,669	\$ 5,525
December 31, 2014:							
Real Estate Loans:							
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 1,327	\$ 467	\$ 1,059	\$ 2,853	\$ 164,987	\$ 167,840	\$ 2,413
Home equity	197	136	206	539	31,798	32,337	335
Commercial	21	-	1,891	1,912	66,326	68,238	1,891
Construction	-	-	-	-	449	449	-
Other Loans:							
Commercial	42	9	37	88	13,379	13,467	76
Consumer	22	5	13	40	1,455	1,495	4
Total	\$ 1,609	\$ 617	\$ 3,206	\$ 5,432	\$ 278,394	\$ 283,826	\$ 4,719

The accrual of interest on loans is discontinued when, in management's opinion, the borrower may be unable to meet payments as they become due. A loan does not have to be 90 days delinquent in order to be classified as non-accrual. When interest accrual is discontinued, all unpaid accrued interest is reversed. Interest income is subsequently recognized only to the extent cash payments are received. If ultimate collection of principal is in doubt, all cash receipts on impaired loans are applied to reduce the principal balance. Interest income not recognized on non-accrual loans during the three month periods ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 was \$105,000 and \$82,000, respectively.

The Company's policies provide for the classification of loans as follows:

- Pass/Performing;
- Special Mention – does not currently expose the Company to a sufficient degree of risk but does possess credit deficiencies or potential weaknesses deserving the Company's close attention;
- Substandard – has one or more well-defined weaknesses and are characterized by the distinct possibility that the Company will sustain some loss if the deficiencies are not corrected. A substandard asset would be one inadequately protected by the current net worth and paying capacity of the obligor or pledged collateral, if applicable;

Doubtful – has all the weaknesses inherent in substandard loans with the additional characteristic that the weaknesses present make collection or liquidation in full on the basis of currently existing facts, conditions and values questionable, and there is a high possibility of loss; and

- Loss – loan is considered uncollectible and continuance without the establishment of a specific valuation reserve is not warranted.

The Company's Asset Classification Committee is responsible for monitoring risk ratings and making changes as deemed appropriate. Each commercial loan is individually assigned a loan classification. The Company's consumer loans, including residential one- to four-family loans and home equity loans, are not classified as described above. Instead, the Company uses the delinquency status as the basis for classifying these loans.

Unless the loan is well secured and in the process of collection, all consumer loans that are more than 90 days past due are classified.

The following table summarizes the internal loan grades applied to the Company's loan portfolio as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	Pass/Performance	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Loss	Total
(Dollars in thousands)						
March 31, 2015						
Real Estate Loans:						
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 162,095	\$ -	\$ 3,570	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 165,665
Home equity	31,507	-	411	-	2	31,920
Commercial	65,706	2,868	2,303	683	-	71,560
Construction	-	-	-	-	-	0
Other Loans:						
Commercial	14,324	506	308	5	-	15,143
Consumer	1,373	-	2	-	6	1,381
Total	\$ 275,005	\$ 3,374	\$ 6,594	\$ 688	\$ 8	\$ 285,669

December 31, 2014

Real Estate Loans:						
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 164,517	\$ -	\$ 3,323	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 167,840
Home equity	31,899	-	407	29	2	32,337
Commercial	62,323	3,235	1,996	684	-	68,238
Construction	449	-	-	-	-	449
Other Loans:						
Commercial	12,692	619	151	5	-	13,467
Consumer	1,489	-	5	-	1	1,495
Total	\$ 273,369	\$ 3,854	\$ 5,882	\$ 718	\$ 3	\$ 283,826

Troubled debt restructurings (“TDRs”) occur when we grant borrowers concessions that we would not otherwise grant but for economic or legal reasons pertaining to the borrower’s financial difficulties. A concession is made when the terms of the loan modification are more favorable than the terms the borrower would have received in the current market under similar financial difficulties. These concessions may include, but are not limited to, modifications of the terms of the debt, the transfer of assets or the issuance of an equity interest by the borrower to satisfy all or part of the debt, or the addition of borrower(s). The Company identifies loans for potential TDRs primarily through direct communication with the borrower and evaluation of the borrower’s financial statements, revenue projections, tax returns, and credit reports. Even if the borrower is not presently in default, management will consider the likelihood that cash flow shortages, adverse economic conditions, and negative trends may result in a payment default in the near future. Generally, we will not return a TDR to accrual status until the borrower has demonstrated the ability to make

principal and interest payments under the restructured terms for at least six consecutive months. The Company's TDRs are impaired loans, which may result in specific allocations and subsequent charge-offs if appropriate.

The following table summarizes the loans that were classified as TDRs as of the dates indicated:

	Number		Non-Accruing		Accruing		TDRs That Have	
	of Recorded	Investment	Number	Recorded	Number	Recorded	Defaulted on	Modified Terms
	Loans	(Dollars in thousands)	of Loans	Investment	of Loans	Investment	Year to Date	Number
							of Recorded	Loans
							Investment	
At March 31, 2015								
Real Estate Loans:								
Residential, one- to four-family	5	\$ 222	-	\$ -	5	\$ 222	-	\$ -
Home equity	2	10	-	-	2	10	-	-
Total	7	\$ 232	-	\$ -	7	\$ 232	-	\$ -
At December 31, 2014								
Real Estate Loans:								
Residential, one- to four-family	5	\$ 224	-	\$ -	5	\$ 224	-	\$ -
Home equity	2	10	-	-	2	10	-	-
Total	7	\$ 234	-	\$ -	7	\$ 234	-	\$ -

There were no loans restructured and classified as TDRs during the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014. No additional loan commitments were outstanding to these borrowers at March 31, 2015 and at December 31, 2014.

Some loan modifications classified as TDRs may not ultimately result in full collection of principal and interest, as modified, which may result in potential losses. These potential losses have been factored into our overall estimate of the allowance for loan losses. The impact on the allowance was immaterial.

Foreclosed real estate consists of property acquired in settlement of loans which is carried at its fair value less estimated selling costs. Write-downs from cost to fair value less estimated selling costs are recorded at the date of acquisition or repossession and are charged to the Allowance for Loan Losses. Foreclosed real estate was \$153,000 and \$401,000 at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 respectively, and was included as a component of other assets. The recorded investment of consumer mortgage loans secured by residential real estate properties for which formal foreclosure proceeds are in process according to local requirements of the applicable jurisdiction were \$587,000 and \$861,000 at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, respectively.

Note 5 – Earnings per Share

Earnings per share was calculated for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Basic earnings per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, exclusive of unearned shares held by the Employee Stock Ownership Plan of Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. (the “ESOP”), unearned shares held by the Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. 2006 Recognition and Retention Plan (“RRP”), and unearned shares held by the Lake Shore Bancorp, Inc. 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (“EIP”). Diluted earnings per share is based upon the weighted average number of common shares outstanding and common share equivalents that would arise from the exercise of dilutive securities. Stock options are regarded as potential common stock and are considered in the diluted earnings per share calculations to the extent they would be dilutive and computed using the treasury stock method.

The calculated basic and diluted earnings per share are as follows:

	Three Months Ended	
	March 31,	
	2015	2014
Numerator – net income	\$ 569,000	\$ 775,000
Denominator:		
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	5,896,644	5,699,329
Increase in weighted average shares outstanding due to:		
Stock options	32,418	28,386
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	5,929,062	5,727,715
Earnings per share:		
Basic	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14
Diluted	\$ 0.10	\$ 0.14

Note 6 – Commitments to Extend Credit

The Company has commitments to extend credit with off-balance sheet risk in the normal course of business to meet the financing needs of its customers. Such commitments involve, to varying degrees, elements of credit and interest rate risk in excess of the amount recognized in the consolidated statements of financial condition.

The Company's exposure to credit loss is represented by the contractual amount of these commitments. The Company follows the same credit policies in making commitments as it does for on-balance sheet instruments.

The following commitments to extend credit were outstanding as of the dates specified:

	Contract Amount	
	March 31, 2015	December 31, 2014
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Commitments to grant loans	\$ 14,261	\$ 19,027
Unfunded commitments under lines of credit	\$ 27,088	\$ 29,590

Commitments to extend credit are agreements to lend to a customer as long as there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. The commitments for lines of credit may expire without being drawn upon. Therefore, the total commitment amounts do not necessarily represent future cash requirements. The amount of collateral obtained, if it is deemed necessary by the Company, is based on management's credit evaluation of the customer. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, the Company's fixed rate loan commitments totaled \$13.1 million and \$17.0 million, respectively. The range of interest rates on these fixed rate commitments was 3.625% to 6.25% at March 31, 2015.

Note 7 – Stock-based Compensation

As of March 31, 2015, the Company had four stock-based compensation plans, which are described below. The compensation cost that has been recorded under salary and benefits expense in the non-interest expense section of the consolidated statements of income for these plans was \$78,000 and \$31,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

2006 Stock Option Plan

The Company's 2006 Stock Option Plan (the "Stock Option Plan"), which was approved by the Company's stockholders, permits the grant of options to its employees and non-employee directors for up to 297,562 shares of common stock.

Edgar Filing: MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P - Form 425

Both incentive stock options and non-qualified stock options may be granted under the Stock Option Plan. The exercise price of each stock option equals the market price of the Company's common stock on the date of grant and an option's maximum term is ten years. The stock options generally vest over a five year period.

A summary of the status of the Stock Option Plan as of March 31, 2015 and 2014 is presented below:

	March 31, 2015			March 31, 2014		
	Options	Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life	Options	Exercise Price	Remaining Contractual Life
Outstanding at beginning of year	175,369	\$ 10.92		230,106	\$ 11.05	
Granted	-	-		-	-	
Exercised	(10,342)	11.50		-	-	
Forfeited	-	-		-	-	
Outstanding at end of period	165,027	\$ 10.88	1 year	230,106	\$ 11.05	2 years
Options exercisable at end of period	165,027	\$ 10.88	1 year	226,551	\$ 11.10	2 years
Fair value of options granted		-			-	
:						

At March 31, 2015, stock options outstanding had an intrinsic value of \$474,000 and 60,753 options remained available for grant under the Stock Option Plan. Compensation expense amounted to \$1,000 for the quarters ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Recognition and Retention Plan

The Company's 2006 Recognition and Retention Plan ("RRP"), which was approved by the Company's stockholders, permits the grant of restricted stock awards ("Awards") to employees and non-employee directors for up to 119,025 shares of common stock.

As of March 31, 2015 there were 83,174 shares vested or distributed to eligible participants under the RRP. Compensation expense related to the RRP amounted to \$17,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and \$6,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. At March 31, 2015, \$287,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to the RRP is expected to be recognized over a period of 27 to 56 months.

A summary of the status of unvested shares under the RRP for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	Weighted Average Grant			Weighted Average Grant	
	2015	Price	2014	Price	
	29,031	\$ 11.88	6,595	\$ 7.99	

Unvested shares outstanding at beginning of year				
Granted	-	-	-	-
Vested	(2,380)	7.88	(3,975)	7.93
Forfeited	-	-	-	-
Unvested shares outstanding at end of period	26,651	\$ 12.24	2,620	\$ 8.08

2012 Equity Incentive Plan

The Company's 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the "EIP"), which was approved by the Company's stockholders on May 23, 2012, permits the grant of restricted stock awards, incentive stock options or non-qualified stock options to employees and non-employee directors for up to 200,000 shares of common stock. As required by federal regulations, awards were not permitted to be made under the EIP until Federal Reserve Board approval was obtained. On April 24, 2014, the Company received the approval of the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia to begin making awards under the EIP.

Edgar Filing: MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P - Form 425

The Board of Directors has granted restricted stock awards under the EIP during 2015 as follows:

Grant Date	Number of Restricted Stock Awards	Vesting	Fair Value of Award on Grant Date	Awardees
January 20, 2015	3,463	100% on December 18, 2015	\$ 13.35	Non-employee directors
January 20, 2015	10,657	100% on December 15, 2017, if three year performance metric is achieved	13.35	Employees
January 30, 2015	835	100% on December 15, 2017, if three year performance metric is achieved	13.95	Employees

A summary of the status of unvested restricted stock awards under the EIP for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014 is as follows:

	2015	Weighted Average Grant Price	2014	Weighted Average Grant Price
Unvested shares outstanding at beginning of year	21,552	\$ 12.19	-	\$ -
Granted	14,955	13.38	-	-
Vested	-	-	-	-
Forfeited	-	-	-	-
Unvested shares outstanding at end of period	36,507	\$ 12.68	-	\$ -

As of March 31, 2015 there were 3,518 shares vested or distributed to eligible participants under the EIP.

Compensation expense related to the EIP amounted to \$33,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015. At March 31, 2015, \$408,000 of unrecognized compensation cost related to unvested awards is expected to be recognized over a period of 9 to 53 months.

Employee Stock Ownership Plan (“ESOP”)

The Company established the ESOP for the benefit of eligible employees of the Company and the Bank. All Company and Bank employees meeting certain age and service requirements are eligible to participate in the ESOP. Participants’ benefits become fully vested after five years of service once the employee is eligible to participate in the ESOP. The Company utilized \$2.6 million of the proceeds of its 2006 stock offering to extend a loan to the ESOP and the ESOP used such proceeds to purchase 238,050 shares on the open market at an average price of \$10.70

per share, plus commission expenses. As a result of the purchase of shares by the ESOP, total stockholders' equity of the Company was reduced by \$2.6 million. As of March 31, 2015, the balance of the loan to the ESOP was \$1.8 million and the fair value of unallocated shares was \$2.3 million. As of March 31, 2015, there were 58,309 allocated shares and 166,635 unallocated shares compared to 52,346 allocated shares and 174,568 unallocated shares at March 31, 2014. The ESOP compensation expense was \$27,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2015 and \$24,000 for the quarter ended March 31, 2014, based on 1,984 shares earned in each of those quarters.

Note 8 - Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Management uses its best judgment in estimating the fair value of the Company's financial instruments; however, there are inherent weaknesses in any estimation technique. Therefore, for substantially all financial instruments, the fair value estimates herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts the Company could have realized in a sale transaction on the dates indicated. The estimated fair value amounts have been measured as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 and have not been re-evaluated or updated for purposes of these consolidated financial statements subsequent to those respective dates. The estimated fair

values of these financial instruments subsequent to the respective reporting dates may be different than the amounts reported here.

The measurement of fair value under FASB ASC Topic 820, “Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures,” (“ASC Topic 820”) establishes a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs to valuation methods used to measure fair value. The hierarchy gives the highest priority to unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities measurements (Level 1) and the lowest priority to unobservable input measurements (Level 3). The three levels of the fair value hierarchy under ASC Topic 820 are as follows:

Level 1: Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity can access at the measurement date.

Level 2: Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability either directly or indirectly.

Level 3: Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity’s own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.

An asset’s or liability’s level within the fair value hierarchy is based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

Edgar Filing: MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P - Form 425

For assets measured at fair value on a recurring and nonrecurring basis, the fair value measurements by level within the fair value hierarchy used at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 were as follows:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2015

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
March 31, 2015			
	(Dollars in thousands)		

Measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Securities available for sale:

U.S. Treasury bonds

\$ 14,556 \$ 14,556 \$ - \$ -

Municipal bonds

60,615 - 60,615 -

Mortgage-backed securities:

Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label

60 - 60 -

Collateralized mortgage obligations-government sponsored entities

47,285 - 47,285 -

Government National Mortgage Association

546 - 546 -

Federal National Mortgage Association

7,149 - 7,149 -

Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation

2,674 - 2,674 -

Asset-backed securities:

Private label

1,912 - - 1,912

Government sponsored entities

117 - 117 -

Equity securities

51 - 51 -

Total

\$ 134,965 \$ 14,556 \$ 118,497 \$ 1,912

Measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:

Impaired loans

\$ 673 \$ - \$ - \$ 673

Foreclosed real estate

129 - - 129

Any transfers between levels would be recognized as of the actual date of event or change in circumstances that caused the transfer. There were no reclassifications between the Level 1 and Level 2 categories for the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014

	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
December 31, 2014			
	(Dollars in thousands)		

Measured at fair value on a recurring basis:

Securities available for sale:

U.S. Treasury bonds	\$ 14,361	\$ 14,361	\$ -	\$ -
Municipal bonds	60,786	-	60,786	-
Mortgage-backed securities:				
Collateralized mortgage obligations-private label	61	-	61	-
Collateralized mortgage obligations-government sponsored entities	49,992	-	49,992	-
Government National Mortgage Association	571	-	571	-
Federal National Mortgage Association	7,473	-	7,473	-
Federal Home Loan Mortgage Corporation	2,767	-	2,767	-
Asset-backed securities:				
Private label	2,023	-	-	2,023
Government sponsored entities	122	-	122	-
Equity securities	46	-	46	-
Total	\$ 138,202	\$ 14,361	\$ 121,818	\$ 2,023

Measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis:

Impaired loans	\$ 673	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 673
Foreclosed real estate	487	-	-	487

Level 2 inputs for assets or liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.

The following table presents a reconciliation of the securities available for sale measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3), specifically, asset-backed securities - private label, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014:

	2015	2014
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Beginning Balance	\$ 2,023	\$ 3,498
Total gains - realized/unrealized:		
Included in earnings	-	-
Included in other comprehensive income	16	687
Total losses - realized/unrealized:		
Included in earnings	-	(98)
Included in other comprehensive income	(26)	(196)
Sales	-	(1,544)
Principal paydowns	(101)	(191)
Transfers to (out of) Level 3	-	-
Ending Balance	\$ 1,912	\$ 2,156

Both observable and unobservable inputs may be used to determine the fair value of assets and liabilities measured on a recurring basis that the Company has classified within the Level 3 category. As a result, any unrealized gains and losses for assets within the Level 3 category may include changes in fair value attributable to both observable (e.g., changes in market interest rates) and unobservable (e.g., changes in unobservable long-dated volatilities) inputs.

The following table presents additional quantitative information about the Level 3 inputs for the asset-backed securities - private label category. The fair values for this category were developed using the discounted cash flow technique with the following unobservable input ranges as of March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014 (dollars in thousands):

Security Category	Fair Value	Loan Type/Collateral	Credit Ratings	Unobservable Inputs		
				Constant Prepayment Speed (CPR)	Probability of Default (Annual Default Rate)	Loss Severity
Asset-backed securities - private label	\$ 1,912	Sub-prime First and Prime Second Lien - Residential Real Estate	B- thru D	4 - 10	4.0% - 6.0%	70.0% - 100.0%

December 31,
2014

Asset-backed securities - private label	\$ 2,023	Sub-prime First and Prime Second Lien - Residential Real Estate	B- thru D 4 - 10	4.0% - 6.0%	70.0% - 100.0%
---	----------	---	------------------	-------------	----------------

Level 3 inputs are determined by internal management with inputs from its third party financial advisor on a quarterly basis. The significant unobservable inputs used in the fair value measurement of the reporting entity's asset-backed, private label securities are prepayment rates, probability of default and loss severity in the event of default. Significant increases or decreases in any of those inputs in isolation would result in a significantly lower or higher fair value measurement. Generally, a change in the assumption used for the probability of default is accompanied by a directionally similar change in the assumption used for the loss severity and a directionally opposite change in the assumption used for prepayment rates.

25

In addition to disclosure of the fair value of assets on a recurring basis, ASC Topic 820 requires disclosures for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis, such as impaired assets and foreclosed real estate. Loans are generally not recorded at fair value on a recurring basis. Periodically, the Company records non-recurring adjustments to the carrying value of loans based on fair value measurements for partial charge-offs of the uncollectible portions of these loans. Non-recurring adjustments also include certain impairment amounts for collateral-dependent loans calculated as required by ASC Topic 310, "Receivables – Loan Impairment," when establishing the allowance for loan losses. An impaired loan is carried at fair value based on either a recent appraisal less estimated selling costs of related collateral or discounted cash flows based on current market conditions.

At March 31, 2015, impaired loans valued using Level 3 inputs had a carrying amount of \$641,000 and valuation allowances of \$228,000 reflecting fair values of \$673,000. By comparison, at December 31, 2014, impaired loans valued using Level 3 inputs had a carrying amount of \$642,000 and valuation allowances of \$178,000 reflecting fair values of \$673,000.

Once a loan is determined to be impaired, the fair value of the loan continues to be evaluated based upon the market value of the underlying collateral securing the loan. At March 31, 2015, impaired loans whose carrying amount was written down utilizing Level 3 inputs during the three month period ended March 31, 2015 comprised of one loan with a fair value of \$620,000 and resulted in an additional provision for loan loss of \$50,000. At December 31, 2014, impaired loans whose carrying amount was written down utilizing Level 3 inputs during the year ended December 31, 2014 comprised of one loan with a fair value of \$620,000 and resulted in an additional provision for loan loss of \$53,000.

At March 31, 2015, foreclosed real estate valued using Level 3 inputs had a carrying amount of \$152,000 and valuation allowances of \$36,000 reflecting fair values of \$129,000. By comparison at December 31, 2014, foreclosed real estate valued using Level 3 inputs had a carrying amount of \$484,000 and valuation allowances of \$120,000 reflecting fair values of \$487,000.

Once a loan is foreclosed, the fair value of the real estate owned continues to be evaluated based upon the market value of the repossessed real estate originally securing the loan. At March 31, 2015, foreclosed real estate whose carrying value was written down utilizing Level 3 inputs during the three months ended March 31, 2015 comprised of one property with a fair value of \$15,000 and resulted in an additional provision for loan loss of \$10,000. At December 31, 2014, foreclosed real estate whose carrying value was written down utilizing Level 3 inputs during the year ended December 31, 2014 comprised of four properties with a fair value of \$334,000 and resulted in an additional provision for loan losses of \$26,000 and subsequent write-downs recorded in non-interest expense of \$43,000.

Edgar Filing: MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P - Form 425

The following table presents additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis and for which the Company has utilized Level 3 inputs to determine fair value:

Quantitative Information about Level 3 Fair Value Measurements				
Fair Value				
(Dollars in thousands)	Estimate	Valuation Technique	Unobservable Input	Range
At March 31, 2015				
		Market valuation of underlying collateral		
Impaired loans	\$ 673	(1)	Appraisal Adjustments (2)	0.00-25.00%
			Direct Disposal Costs (3)	7.00-10.00%
Foreclosed real estate	129	Market valuation of property (1)	Direct Disposal Costs (3)	7.00-15.00%
At December 31, 2014				
		Market valuation of underlying collateral (1)		
Impaired loans	\$ 673		Appraisal Adjustments (2)	0.00-25.00%
			Direct Disposal Costs (3)	7.00-10.00%
Foreclosed real estate	487	Market valuation of property (1)	Direct Disposal Costs (3)	7.00-15.00%

(1) Fair value is generally determined through independent third-party appraisals of the underlying collateral, which generally includes various Level 3 inputs which are not observable.

(2) Appraisals may be adjusted downward by management for qualitative factors, such as economic conditions. Downward adjustments may be caused by negative changes to the collateral or conditions in the real estate market, known property damage, estimated changes in potential cash flow (i.e., rental income) generated by the property, lack of an interior inspection or age of the appraisal.

(3) The fair value basis of impaired loans and foreclosed real estate may be adjusted to reflect management estimates of disposal costs including, but not necessarily limited to, real estate brokerage commissions, legal fees, and delinquent property taxes, with such costs estimates generally ranging from 7 to 15% of collateral or property market value.

The carrying amount and estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments, whether carried at cost or fair value, are as follows:

Fair Value Measurements at March 31, 2015

Carrying	Estimated	Assets	Fair Value Measurements	
			Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets	Significant Other Observable Inputs
			Significant Other Unobservable Inputs	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs

Edgar Filing: MARKWEST ENERGY PARTNERS L P - Form 425

	Amount	Fair Value	(Level 1)	(Level 2)	(Level 3)
	(Dollars in thousands)				
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 32,942	\$ 32,942	\$ 32,942	\$ -	\$ -
Securities available for sale	134,965	134,965	14,556	118,497	1,912
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	1,375	1,375	-	1,375	-
Loans receivable, net	286,624	273,901	-	-	273,901
Accrued interest receivable	1,918	1,918	-	1,918	-
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	382,660	385,071	-	385,071	-
Long-term debt	18,950	19,228	-	19,228	-
Accrued interest payable	37	37	-	37	-
Off-balance-sheet financial instruments	-	-	-	-	-

Fair Value Measurements at December 31, 2014

	Carrying Amount (Dollars in thousands)	Estimated Fair Value	Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Other Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 35,811	\$ 35,811	\$ 35,811	\$ -	\$ -
Securities available for sale	138,202	138,202	14,361	121,818	2,023
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	1,375	1,375	-	1,375	-
Loans receivable, net	284,853	283,569	-	-	283,569
Accrued interest receivable	1,716	1,716	-	1,716	-
Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	386,939	389,141	-	389,141	-
Long-term debt	18,950	19,277	-	19,277	-
Accrued interest payable	39	39	-	39	-
Off-balance-sheet financial instruments	-	-	-	-	-

The following valuation techniques were used to measure the fair value of financial instruments in the above table:

Cash and cash equivalents (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents approximates fair value.

Securities available for sale (carried at fair value)

The fair value of securities available for sale are determined by obtaining quoted market prices on nationally recognized securities exchanges (Level 1) or matrix pricing (Level 2), which is a mathematical technique used widely in the industry to value debt securities without relying exclusively on quoted market prices for the specific securities, but rather by relying on the securities' relationship to other benchmark quoted prices. The fair value measurements consider observable data that may include dealer quotes, market spreads, cash flows, the U.S. treasury yield curve, live trading levels, trade execution date, market consensus prepayment speeds, credit information and the security's terms and conditions, among other things. Level 2 securities which are fixed income instruments that are not quoted on an exchange, but are traded in active markets, are valued using prices obtained from our custodian, who use third party data service providers. Securities available for sale measured within the Level 3 category consist of private label asset-backed securities. The fair value measurement for these Level 3 securities is explained more fully earlier in this footnote.

Federal Home Loan Bank stock (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of Federal Home Loan Bank stock approximates fair value.

Loans Receivable (carried at cost)

The fair value of fixed-rate and variable rate performing loans is estimated using a discounted cash flow method. The discount rates take into account interest rates currently being offered to customers for loans with similar terms, the credit risk associated with the loan, estimated maturity and market factors including liquidity. The estimate of maturity is based on the Company's contractual cash flows adjusted for prepayment estimates based on current economic and lending conditions. Fair value for significant nonperforming loans is based on carrying value which does not exceed recent external appraisals of any underlying collateral. Due to the significant judgment involved in evaluating credit quality, loans are classified within Level 3 of the fair value hierarchy.

28

Accrued Interest Receivable and Payable (carried at cost)

The carrying amount of accrued interest receivable and payable approximates fair value.

Deposits (carried at cost)

The fair value of deposits with no stated maturity, such as savings, money market and checking is the amount payable on demand at the reporting date and are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The fair value of time deposits is based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows at current rates of interest for similar deposits using market rates currently offered for deposits of similar remaining maturities. Due to the minimal amount of unobservable inputs involved in evaluating assumptions used for discounted cash flows of time deposits, these deposits are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy.

Borrowings (carried at cost)

The fair value of long-term debt was calculated by discounting scheduled cash flows at current market rates of interest for similar borrowings through maturity of each instrument. Due to the minimal amount of unobservable inputs involved in evaluating assumptions used for discounted cash flows of long-term debt, they are classified within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. The carrying amount of short term borrowings approximates fair value of such liability.

Off-Balance Sheet Financial Instruments (disclosed at cost)

Fair values of the Company's off-balance sheet financial instruments (lending commitments) are based on fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements, taking into account, the remaining terms of the agreements and the counterparties' credit standing. Other than loan commitments, the Company does not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on its financial condition.

Note 9 – Treasury Stock

During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, the Company repurchased 19,900 shares of common stock at an average cost of \$13.55 per share. These shares were repurchased pursuant to the Company's publicly announced common stock repurchase program. As of March 31, 2015, there were 36,510 shares remaining to be repurchased under the existing stock repurchase program. During the quarter ended March 31, 2015, the Company transferred 14,955 shares of common stock out of the treasury stock reserved for the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan, at an average cost of \$9.39 per share to fund awards granted under the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan.

During the quarter ended March 31, 2014, the Company repurchased 5,000 shares of common stock at an average cost of \$12.30 per share. These shares were repurchased pursuant to the Company's publicly announced common stock repurchase programs. As of March 31, 2014, there were 56,510 shares remaining to be repurchased under the existing stock repurchase program.

Note 10 – Other Comprehensive Income

In addition to presenting the Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income herein, the following table shows the tax effects allocated to the Company's single component of other comprehensive income for the periods presented:

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			For The Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Pre-Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net of Tax Amount	Pre-Tax Amount	Tax (Expense) Benefit	Net of Tax Amount
Net unrealized gains on securities available for sale:						
Net unrealized gains arising during the period	\$ 461	\$ 112	\$ 573	\$ 2,489	\$ (963)	\$ 1,526
Less: reclassification adjustment related to:						
Recovery on previously impaired investment securities included in net income	(32)	11	(21)	(83)	32	(51)
Net loss on sale of securities included in net income	-	-	-	98	(38)	60
Total Other Comprehensive Income	\$ 429	\$ 123	\$ 552	\$ 2,504	\$ (969)	\$ 1,535

The following table presents the amounts reclassified out of the single component of the Company's accumulated other comprehensive income for the indicated periods:

Details about Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income Components	Accounts Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income for the three months ended March 31,		Affected Line Item on the Consolidated Statements of Income
	2015	2014	
Net unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale			

Recovery on previously impaired investment securities	\$ (32)	\$ (83)	Recovery on previously impaired investment securities
Sale of securities	-	98	Gain on sale of securities available for sale
	(32)	15	
Provision for income tax expense (benefit)	11	(6)	Income Taxes
Total reclassification for the period	\$ (21)	\$ 9	Net Income

Note 11 – Subsequent Events

On April 29, 2015, the Board of Directors declared a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.07 per share on the Company's common stock, payable on May 22, 2015 to stockholders of record as of May 8, 2015. Lake Shore, MHC, which holds 3,636,875 shares, or approximately 60.7% of the Company's total outstanding stock, elected to waive its right to receive this cash dividend of approximately \$255,000. On March 3, 2015, the MHC received the non-objection of the Federal Reserve Bank of Philadelphia to waive its right to receive dividends paid by the Company during the twelve months ending February 3, 2016, aggregating up to \$0.28 per share. The MHC waived \$255,000 of dividends during the three months ended March 31, 2015. Cumulatively, Lake Shore, MHC has waived approximately \$6.7 million of cash dividends as of March 31, 2015. The dividends waived by Lake Shore, MHC are considered a restriction on the retained earnings of the Company.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.

Forward-Looking Statements

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains certain forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Such statements may be identified by words such as "believe," "will," "expect," "project," "may," "could," "anticipate," "estimate," "intend," "plan," "targets" and similar expressions. These statements are based upon our current beliefs and expectations and are subject to significant risks and uncertainties. Actual results may differ materially from those set forth in the forward-looking statements as a result of numerous factors.

The following factors, including the factors set forth in Part II, Item 1A of this and previous Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and in Part I, Item 1A of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014, among others, could cause actual results to differ materially from the anticipated results or other expectations expressed in our forward-looking statements:

general and local economic conditions;

changes in interest rates, deposit flows, demand for mortgages and other loans, real estate values and competition;

the ability of our customers to make loan payments;

our ability to continue to control costs and expenses;

changes in accounting principles, policies or guidelines;

our success in managing the risks involved in our business;

inflation, and market and monetary fluctuations;

the impact of more stringent capital requirements being imposed by banking regulators;

changes in legislation or regulation, including the implementation of the Dodd-Frank Act; and

other economic, competitive, governmental, regulatory and technological factors affecting our operations, pricing, products and services.

Any or all of our forward-looking statements in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q and in any other public statements we make may differ from actual outcomes. They can be affected by inaccurate assumptions we might make or known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Consequently, no forward-looking statements can be guaranteed. We undertake no obligation to publicly update any forward looking statement, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Overview

The following discussion and analysis is presented to assist in the understanding and evaluation of our consolidated financial condition and results of operations. It is intended to complement the unaudited consolidated financial statements and notes thereto appearing elsewhere in this Form 10-Q and should be read in conjunction therewith. The detailed discussion focuses on our consolidated financial condition as of March 31, 2015 compared to the financial condition as of December 31, 2014 and the consolidated results of operations for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

Our results of operations depend primarily on our net interest income, which is the difference between the interest income we earn on loans and investments and the interest expense we pay on deposits and other

interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is affected by the relative amounts of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rates we earn or pay on these balances.

Our operations are also affected by non-interest income, such as service fees and gains and losses on the sales of securities and loans, our provision for loan losses and non-interest expenses which include salaries and employee benefits, occupancy and equipment costs, professional fees, and other general and administrative expenses.

Financial institutions like us, in general, are significantly affected by economic conditions, competition, and the monetary and fiscal policies of the federal government. Lending activities are influenced by the demand for and supply of housing, competition among lenders, interest rate conditions, and funds availability. Our operations and lending are principally concentrated in the Western New York area, and our operations and earnings are influenced by local economic conditions. Deposit balances and cost of funds are influenced by prevailing market rates on competing investments, customer preferences, and levels of personal income and savings in our primary market area. Certain areas of the Western New York market have recently experienced economic growth, especially in Erie County.

While the recession is officially over and improvements have been noted in the housing market and unemployment rate, the Federal Reserve has continued to maintain the Fed Funds rate at 0.00%-0.25% for more than six years. The Federal Reserve has indicated that the Fed Funds rate will remain low until its dual mandates of maximum employment and a 2% inflation rate are achieved on a consistent basis, and as such, this rate is not expected to increase until late-2015 or beyond. At the December 2013 meeting of the Federal Open Market Committee ("FOMC"), the Federal Reserve began to taper its quantitative easing ("QE") program by reducing its purchases of mortgage-backed securities and treasury bonds. This program was further reduced by the FOMC at each subsequent meeting until the end of the program in October 2014. The Fed continues to reinvest cash flow received on its portfolio back into agency mortgage backed securities and treasury bonds. This action will continue to exert downward pressure on long-term rates. Current interest rates on residential mortgage loans remain very low compared to historical yields, and the rates have declined since January 2014. Despite this decline in interest rates on residential mortgage loans, the current interest rates have increased by approximately 36 basis points since January 2013, which has resulted in decreased loan origination and refinance activity. We will continue to closely monitor the impact of the national and regional economy on our net interest margin, results of operations and critical risk areas, including interest rate risk and credit risk.

As discussed in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K Part I, Item 1 "Business – Supervision and Regulation" for the year ended December 31, 2014, since October 2008, numerous legislative actions, including the Dodd-Frank Act, have been taken in response to the financial crisis affecting the banking system and financial markets. While we do not know all the possible outcomes from these initiatives, we can anticipate that the Company will need to dedicate more resources to ensure compliance with new legislation and regulations, which may impact profitability. There can be no assurance as to the actual impact any governmental program will have on the financial markets or our financial condition and results of operations. We remain active in monitoring these developments and supporting the interests of our stockholders.

Management Strategy

Our Reputation. Our primary management strategy has been to retain our perceived image as one of the most respected and recognized community banks in Western New York with over 123 years of service to our community. Our management strives to accomplish this goal by continuing to emphasize our high quality customer service and financial strength.

Branching. We opened our sixth branch office in Erie County, New York during the second quarter of 2013. This branch is located in Snyder, New York and is our eleventh branch overall. Our offices are located in Dunkirk, Fredonia, Jamestown, Lakewood and Westfield in Chautauqua County, New York and in Depew, East Amherst, Hamburg, Kenmore, Orchard Park, and Snyder in Erie County, New York. Saturation of the market in Chautauqua County led to our expansion plan in Erie County, which is a critical component of our future profitability and growth.

An important strategic objective is to continue to evaluate and enhance the technology supporting our customer service. We are committed to making investments in technology and we believe that it represents an efficient way to deploy a portion of our capital. To this end, the Company has developed a five year plan for the implementation of cost effective and efficient digital services to meet our customer's technology needs, to focus on attracting new customers, and to improve our operational efficiencies. Although we remain committed to expanding our retail branch footprint whenever it makes strategic sense, we will be concentrating our near term efforts on expanding our digital footprint.

Our People. A large part of our success is related to customer service and customer satisfaction. Having employees who understand and value our clientele and their business is a key component to our success. We believe that our present staff is one of our competitive strengths, and thus the retention of such persons and our ability to continue to attract quality personnel is a high priority.

Lending. Historically, our lending portfolio has consisted predominantly of residential one- to four-family mortgage loans. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we held \$165.7 million and \$167.8 million of residential one- to four-family mortgage loans, respectively, which constituted 58.0% and 59.1% of our total loan portfolio, at such respective dates. Due to the interest rate risk inherent in holding long-term, fixed rate one- to four-family real estate loans in our portfolio in a potential rising interest rate environment, we have been strategically focused on the origination of commercial real estate loans to finance the purchase of real property, which generally consists of developed real estate. We have also focused on small business commercial lending, including business installment loans, lines of credit and other commercial loans. These types of commercial loans are generally made at higher interest rates and for shorter terms than one- to four- family real estate loans, which lowers the Bank's interest rate risk. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, our commercial real estate loan portfolio consisted of loans totaling \$71.6 million and \$68.2 million respectively, or 25.0% and 24.0%, respectively, of total loans. At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, our commercial loan portfolio consisted of loans totaling \$15.1 million and \$13.5 million, respectively, or 5.3% and 4.7%, respectively, of total loans. Other loan products offered to our customers include home equity lines of credit, construction loans and consumer loans, including automobile loans, overdraft lines of credit and share loans. In the fourth quarter of 2014, we began to sell fixed rate, long-term one- to four-family residential loans (with low yields and 30 year terms) that we originate, as part of our interest rate risk strategy and asset/liability management, and plan to continue to do so in the future, as it is deemed appropriate. We typically retain servicing rights when we sell one- to four-family residential mortgage loans.

Investment Strategy. Our investment policy is designed primarily to manage the interest rate sensitivity of our assets and liabilities, to generate a favorable return without incurring undue interest rate and credit risk, to complement our lending activities and to provide and maintain liquidity within established guidelines. We employ a third party financial advisor to assist us in managing our investment portfolio and developing balance sheet strategies.

At March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014, we had \$135.0 million and \$138.2 million, respectively, invested in securities available for sale, the majority of which are Treasury securities, agency collateralized mortgage obligation securities ("CMOs"), agency mortgage-backed securities, and municipal securities. As of March 31, 2015, we had a net unrealized gain in the investment portfolio of \$6.2 million.

Asset-Liability Strategy. Our business consists primarily of originating one- to four-family residential real estate loans and commercial real estate loans secured by property in our market area and investing in residential mortgage-backed securities, CMOs and municipal securities. One- to four-family residential real estate loans generally involve a lower degree of credit risk and carry a lower yield than commercial real estate and commercial business loans. The average maturity of residential real estate loans is longer than that for commercial loans. Our loans are primarily funded by time deposits, which typically mature within 2 years on average and core deposits (i.e. checking, savings and money market accounts). As a result, we are exposed to interest rate risk, as our interest-bearing liabilities will mature or re-price more quickly than our interest-earning assets in a rising rate environment. Although we plan to continue to originate one- to four-family residential mortgage loans going forward, we have been and intend to continue to increase our focus on the origination of commercial real estate loans and commercial business loans, which generally have higher returns and shorter durations than one- to four-family residential real estate loans. As part of our asset liability

strategy, we review the duration of assets on our balance sheet, and if necessary, we may sell loans or securities to help manage our interest rate risk. Our strategy also involves managing interest expense. Since 2014 we have decreased the volume of higher-rate time deposits and have concentrated on building lower-cost core deposits, with a focus on building commercial relationships, in order to help reduce and control our cost of funds. As part of our strategy to expand our commercial loan portfolio, we expect to attract lower cost core deposits as part of these borrower relationships. We offer competitive rates on a variety of deposit products to meet the needs of our customers and we promote long term deposits, where necessary, to meet asset-liability goals. We also consider borrowed funds or derivatives as an opportunity to extend the duration of liabilities at attractive levels.

We are actively involved in managing our balance sheet through the direction of our Asset-Liability Committee and the assistance of a third party advisor. Recent economic conditions have underscored the importance of a strong balance sheet. We strive to achieve this through managing our interest rate risk and maintaining strong capital levels, putting aside adequate loan loss reserves and keeping liquid assets on hand. Diversifying our asset mix may improve net interest margin and may also reduce the exposure of our net interest income and earnings to interest rate risk. We will continue to manage our interest rate risk by diversifying the type and maturity of assets in our loan and investment portfolios and monitoring the maturities in our deposit portfolio and borrowing facilities.

Critical Accounting Policies

It is management's opinion that accounting estimates covering certain aspects of our business have more significance than others due to the relative importance of those areas to overall performance, or the level of subjectivity required in making such estimates. Management considers the accounting policy relating to the allowance for loan losses to be a critical accounting policy given the uncertainty in evaluating the level of the allowance for loan losses required for probable credit losses and the material effect that such judgments can have on the results of operations. Management's monthly evaluation of the adequacy of the allowance considers our historical loan loss experience, review of specific loans, current economic conditions, and such other factors considered appropriate to estimate loan losses. Management uses presently available information to estimate probable losses on loans; however, future additions to the allowance may be necessary based on changes in estimates, assumptions, or economic conditions. Significant factors that could give rise to changes in these estimates include, but are not limited to, changes in economic conditions in our local area, concentrations of risk and decline in local property values. The Company's determination as to the amount of its allowance for loan losses is subject to review by its bank regulators, which can require that we establish additional loss allowances. Refer to Note 4 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on the allowance for loan losses.

In management's opinion, the accounting policy relating to the valuation of investments is a critical accounting policy. We use a third party vendor to provide independent pricing of the securities in our investment portfolio, with the exception of four securities which are not actively traded. The third party vendor utilizes public quotations, third party dealer quotes and pricing models. For the four securities that are not actively trading, the Company utilizes discounted cash flow models to determine fair value pricing. Thus, the determination of fair value pricing on investments may require significant judgment or estimation, particularly when liquid markets do not exist for the item being valued. The use of different assumptions for these valuations could produce significantly different results which may have material positive or negative effects on the results of our operations. Refer to Note 8 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on fair value.

Management also considers the accounting policy relating to the impairment of investments to be a critical accounting policy due to the subjectivity and judgment involved and the material effect an impairment loss could have on the consolidated results of income. The credit portion of a decline in the fair market value of investments below cost

deemed to be OTTI may be charged to earnings resulting in the establishment of a new cost basis for an asset. Management continually reviews the current value of its investments for evidence of OTTI. Refer to Note 3 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements for more information on OTTI.

These critical policies and their application are reviewed periodically by our Audit/Risk Committee and our Board of Directors. All accounting policies are important, and as such, we encourage the reader to review each of the policies included in the notes to our audited Consolidated Financial Statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014 to better understand how our financial performance is reported.

Analysis of Net Interest Income

Net interest income represents the difference between the interest we earn on our interest-earning assets, such as mortgage loans and investment securities, and the expense we pay on interest-bearing liabilities, such as deposits and borrowings. Net interest income depends on both the volume of our interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and the interest rates we earn or pay on them.

Average Balances, Interest and Average Yields. The following table sets forth certain information relating to our average balance sheets and reflects the average yield on interest-earning assets and average cost of interest-bearing liabilities, interest earned and interest paid for the periods indicated. Such yields and costs are derived by dividing interest income or expense by the average balance of interest-earning assets or interest-bearing liabilities, respectively, for the periods indicated. Average balances are derived from daily balances over the periods indicated. The average balances for loans are net of allowance for loan losses, but include non-accrual loans. Interest income on securities does not include a tax equivalent adjustment for bank qualified municipal bonds.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015			For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2014		
	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate	Average Balance	Interest Income/ Expense	Yield/ Rate
(Dollars in thousands)						
Interest-earning assets:						
Interest-earning deposits & federal funds sold	\$ 27,313	\$ 6	0.09%	\$ 13,081	\$ 1	0.03%
Securities	138,579	1,023	2.95%	159,113	1,232	3.10%
Loans	284,426	3,370	4.74%	275,698	3,305	4.80%
Total interest-earning assets	450,318	4,399	3.91%	447,892	4,538	4.05%
Other assets	33,809			34,758		
Total assets	\$ 484,127			\$ 482,650		
Interest-bearing liabilities						
Demand & NOW accounts	\$ 46,081	\$ 14	0.12%	\$ 44,373	\$ 13	0.12%
Money market accounts	77,612	58	0.30%	78,495	69	0.35%
Savings accounts	42,752	11	0.10%	39,841	10	0.10%
Time deposits	179,807	580	1.29%	192,282	665	1.38%
Borrowed funds	18,914	99	2.09%	19,206	44	0.92%
Other interest-bearing liabilities	1,070	24	8.97%	1,134	25	8.82%
Total interest-bearing liabilities	366,236	786	0.86%	375,331	826	0.88%
Other non-interest bearing liabilities	45,340			40,516		
Stockholders' equity	72,551			66,803		
Total liabilities & stockholders' equity	\$ 484,127			\$ 482,650		
Net interest income		\$ 3,613			\$ 3,712	
Interest rate spread			3.05%			3.17%
Net interest margin			3.21%			3.32%

Rate Volume Analysis. The following table analyzes the dollar amount of changes in interest income and interest expense for major components of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities. The table shows the amount of the change in interest income or expense caused by either changes in outstanding balances (volume) or changes in interest rates. The effect of a change in volume is measured by applying the average rate during the first period to the volume change between the two periods. The effect of changes in rate is measured by applying the change in rate between the two periods to the average volume during the first period. Changes attributable to both rate and volume, which cannot be segregated, have been allocated proportionately to the absolute value of the change due to volume and the change due to rate.

Three Months Ended March 31,
2015
Compared to
Three Months Ended March 31,
2014
Rate Volume Net Change
(Dollars in thousands)

Interest-earning assets:			
Interest-earning deposits & federal funds sold	\$ 3	\$ 2	\$ 5
Securities	(55)	(154)	(209)
Loans, including fees	(39)	104	65
Total interest-earning assets	(91)	(48)	(139)
Interest-bearing liabilities:			
Demand & NOW accounts	-	1	1
Money market accounts	(10)	(1)	(11)
Savings accounts	-	1	1
Time deposits	(43)	(42)	(85)
Total deposits	(53)	(41)	(94)
Other interest-bearing liabilities:			
Borrowed funds & other	56	(2)	54
Total interest-bearing liabilities	3	(43)	(40)
Total change in net interest income	\$ (94)	\$ (5)	\$ (99)

Our earnings may be adversely impacted by an increase in interest rates because the majority of our interest-earning assets are long-term, fixed rate mortgage-related assets that will not re-price as long-term interest rates increase. As rates rise, we expect loan applications to decrease, prepayment speeds to slow down and the interest rate on our loan portfolio to remain static. Conversely, a majority of our interest-bearing liabilities have much shorter contractual maturities and are expected to re-price, resulting in increased interest expense. A significant portion of our deposits have no contractual maturities and are likely to re-price quickly as short-term interest rates increase. Therefore, in an increasing rate environment, our cost of funds is expected to increase more rapidly than the yields earned on our loan and securities portfolios. An increasing rate environment is expected to cause a decrease in our net interest rate spread and a decrease in our earnings. Changes in market interest rates could also reduce the value of our interest-earning assets including, but not limited to, our securities portfolio. In particular, the unrealized gains and losses on securities available for sale are reported, net of tax, in accumulated other comprehensive income which is a component of stockholders' equity. As such, declines in the fair value of such securities resulting from increases in market interest rates may adversely affect stockholders' equity. In order to mitigate the effect of a rising interest rate environment, the Bank's Asset-Liability Committee is continuing to review its options in relation to shortening the term of interest earning assets, increasing short term investments in liquid assets to take advantage of potential rising interest rates, increasing core deposit growth, implementing new products, promoting adjustable rate commercial loan products and using derivative products.

In a decreasing interest rate environment, our earnings may increase or decrease. If long-term interest-earning assets do not re-price and interest rates on short-term deposits begin to decrease, earnings may rise. However, low interest rates on loan products may result in an increase in prepayments, as borrowers refinance their loans. If we cannot re-invest the funds received from prepayments at a comparable spread, net interest income could be reduced. Also, in a falling interest rate environment, certain categories of deposits may reach a point where market forces prevent further reduction in interest paid on those products. The net effect of these circumstances is reduced net interest income and possibly net interest rate spread.

In the current extended low interest-rate environment, yields on the lending and investment portfolios have declined significantly, as have rates on deposit products and borrowed funds. Recently, the cost of funding

37

has remained flat or increased slightly while asset yields have fallen, which has resulted in a decline of the net interest margin.

For the three months ended March 31, 2015, the average yields on our loan and investment portfolios were 4.74% and 2.95%, respectively, in comparison to 4.80% and 3.10%, respectively, for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Overall, the average yield on our interest earning assets decreased by 14 basis points to 3.91% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 in comparison to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decline in average yield was primarily due to lower interest income earned on our investment portfolio as a result of the low interest rate environment, a decrease in the average balance of investments and an increase in the average balance of lower yielding cash and cash equivalents. For the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, the average interest rate that we were paying on interest-bearing liabilities remained flat at 0.86% and 0.88%, respectively. This was primarily due to a decrease in the average interest rate paid on time deposits, offset by a corresponding increase in the average interest rate paid on borrowed funds. The average balance of core deposits increased by \$3.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, in addition to a \$12.5 million decrease in average time deposits. Our interest rate spread for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was 3.05% which was a 12 basis point decrease in comparison to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Our net interest margin was 3.21% and 3.32% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively.

Comparison of Financial Condition at March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014

Total assets at March 31, 2015 were \$482.9 million, a decrease of \$4.5 million, or 0.9%, from \$487.5 million at December 31, 2014. The decrease in total assets was primarily due to a \$3.2 million decrease in securities available for sale and a \$2.9 million decrease in cash and cash equivalents, partially offset by a \$1.8 million increase in loans receivable, net.

Cash and cash equivalents decreased by \$2.9 million, or 8.0%, from \$35.8 million at December 31, 2014 to \$32.9 million at March 31, 2015. The decrease was primarily attributed to a \$4.3 million decrease in total deposits and \$13.0 million of cash used to originate loans, partially offset by the receipt of cash proceeds from \$14.4 million of paydowns on the investment and loan portfolio during the first quarter of 2015.

Securities available for sale decreased by \$3.2 million, or 2.3%, to \$135.0 million at March 31, 2015 compared to \$138.2 million at December 31, 2014. The decrease was primarily due to the receipt of \$3.6 million in paydowns on the investment portfolio that were not subsequently re-invested into available for sale securities. The Company is currently maintaining higher levels of liquid assets to be in a better position to take advantage of shifts in market interest rates and to manage interest rate risk. These decreases were partially offset by a \$400,000 increase in the market value (before taxes) of the securities available for sale portfolio during the three months ended March 31, 2015 due to a decrease in market interest rates.

Net loans receivable increased during the three month period ended March 31, 2015 as shown in the table below:

	At March 31, 2015	At December 31, 2014	Change \$	%	
(Dollars in thousands)					
Real Estate Loans:					
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 165,665	\$ 167,840	\$ (2,175)	(1.3)	%
Home equity	31,920	32,337	(417)	(1.3)	%
Commercial	71,560	68,238	3,322	4.9	%
Construction	-	449	(449)	(100.0)	%
Total real estate loans	269,145	268,864	281	0.1	%
Other Loans:					
Commercial	15,143	13,467	1,676	12.4	%
Consumer	1,381	1,495	(114)	(7.6)	%
Total gross loans	285,669	283,826	1,843	0.6	%
Allowance for loan losses	(1,940)	(1,921)	(19)	1.0	%
Net deferred loan costs	2,895	2,948	(53)	(1.8)	%
Loans receivable, net	\$ 286,624	\$ 284,853	\$ 1,771	0.6	%

The increase in net loans receivable was primarily due to an increase in commercial real estate loans and commercial business loans, partially offset by a decrease in residential, one- to four-family real estate loans, home equity loans, construction loans and consumer loans. As fixed rate one- to four-family residential real estate loans present additional interest rate risk to our loan portfolio as a result of the longer duration of these types of assets, we remain strategically focused in 2015 on originating adjustable rate commercial real estate and commercial business loans to diversify our asset mix, to reduce interest rate risk, to take advantage of the opportunities available to serve small businesses in our market area, and to maintain the net interest margin.

The table below shows changes in deposit balances by type of deposit account between March 31, 2015 and December 31, 2014:

	At March 31, 2015	At December 31, 2014	Change \$	%	
(Dollars in thousands)					
Demand deposits and NOW accounts:					
Non-interest bearing	\$ 38,392	\$ 37,162	\$ 1,230	3.3	%
Interest bearing	45,914	46,685	(771)	(1.7)	%
Money market	76,855	78,457	(1,602)	(2.0)	%
Savings	44,346	42,507	1,839	4.3	%

Time deposits	177,153	182,128	(4,975)	(2.7)%
Total Deposits	\$ 382,660	\$ 386,939	\$ (4,279)	(1.1)%

The decrease in total deposits was due to a decrease in time deposits, partially offset by net growth in core deposits. The growth in core deposits was the result of the Company's continued strategic focus on growing low-cost core deposits among its retail and commercial customers in effort to manage interest expenses.

Total stockholders' equity increased by \$895,000, or 1.2%, from \$71.6 million at December 31, 2014 to \$72.5 million at March 31, 2015. The increase was primarily due to net income of \$569,000 and a \$552,000 increase in unrealized mark to market gains on available for sale securities (after taxes) as a result of the decline in

market interest rates during the three months ended March 31, 2015, partially offset by \$154,000 in cash dividends paid during the three months ended March 31, 2015.

Comparison of Results of Operations for the Three Months Ended March 31, 2015 and 2014

General. Net income was \$569,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015, or \$0.10 per diluted share, a decrease of \$206,000, or 26.6%, compared to net income of \$775,000, or \$0.14 per diluted share, for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in net income was primarily due to a \$99,000 decrease in net interest income, a \$69,000 increase in non-interest expense, a \$67,000 increase in income tax expense and a \$25,000 increase in provision for loan losses, partially offset by a \$54,000 increase in non-interest income.

Interest Income. Interest income decreased by \$139,000, or 3.1%, to \$4.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This decrease was primarily due to reduced interest income on investment securities resulting from the continued low interest rate environment, as well as the Company's strategy to hold shorter term liquid investments in preparation for a rising rate environment. Investment interest income decreased by \$209,000, or 17.0%, from \$1.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 to \$1.0 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015, due to a decrease in the average balance of the investment portfolio. The average balance in the investment portfolio decreased from \$159.1 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 to \$138.6 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The decrease in the average balance of the investment portfolio was partially due to the Company's strategy to reinvest paydowns received on securities into shorter term cash and cash equivalents in preparation for a rising rate environment. The decrease was also due to the sale of \$10.3 million in available for sale securities in the first half of 2014 in order to reduce interest rate risk. The sale of securities has allowed the Bank to position itself to take advantage of an anticipated rising interest rate environment by shortening the duration of its assets, which reduces the risk of holding long term securities at low yields. The average yield on the investment portfolio decreased 15 basis points from 3.10% for the three months ended March 31, 2014 to 2.95% for the three months ended March 31, 2015, as a result of the declining portfolio balance of higher yielding securities. Loan interest income increased by \$65,000, or 2.0%, to \$3.4 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to an increase in the average balance of the loan portfolio by \$8.7 million, or 3.2%, from \$275.7 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 to \$284.4 million for the three months end March 31, 2015. The increase in the average balance of loans receivable was primarily due to an increase in the average balance of commercial real estate loans, commercial business loans and home equity loans, partially offset by a decrease in the average balance of one- to four-family real estate loans. The average yield on the loan portfolio decreased from 4.80% for the three months ended March 31, 2014 to 4.74% for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The average yield on the loan portfolio decreased as new loans were originated or existing loans were refinanced at lower yields than the rates earned on loans which had paid off, as a result of the current low interest rate environment.

Interest Expense. Interest expense decreased \$40,000, or 4.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 to \$786,000 compared to \$826,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014. Interest expense on deposits decreased by \$94,000, or 12.4%, to \$663,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014 primarily due to the decrease in the average rate paid on deposits and a shift in the deposit mix, resulting in a larger percentage of the deposit portfolio consisting of low cost core deposits. The average balance of deposits for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was \$346.3 million with an average rate of 0.77% compared to the average balance of deposits of \$355.0 million and an average rate of 0.85% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The decrease in the average rate paid on deposits was due to the continued low interest rate environment during the first three months of 2015 and due to the shift in the deposit mix. The interest expense related to advances from the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York ("FHLB NY") increased \$55,000, or 125.0%, to \$99,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The average balance of advances from the FHLB NY for the three months ended March 31, 2015 was \$18.9 million with an average rate of 2.09%

compared to an average balance of \$19.2 million and an average rate of 0.92% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase in the average rate paid on advances from the FHLB NY was primarily due to the refinancing of short-term borrowings into long-term debt during the first half of 2014 to lock in low long-term fixed rates and manage interest rate risk.

Provision for Loan Losses. A provision of \$25,000 to the allowance for loan losses was recorded during the three month period ended March 31, 2015. A provision to the allowance for loan losses was not recorded during the three month period ended March 31, 2014. Net charge-offs were \$6,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2015 compared to \$33,000 for the three month period ended March 31, 2014. Non-performing loans increased to \$5.6 million, or 1.96% of total loans, at March 31, 2015 as compared to \$5.3 million, or 1.91% of total loans, at March 31, 2014.

During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recorded a \$14,000 and \$9,000 provision for loan losses on home equity loans and consumer loans, respectively, primarily due to net charge-offs. The Company recorded an \$8,000 provision for loan losses on commercial loans primarily due to an increase in outstanding loan balances during the three months ended March 31, 2015. The provisions for loan losses were partially offset by a \$16,000 credit for loan losses on one- to four-family and commercial real estate loans during the three months ended March 31, 2015, primarily due to a review of the historical losses relating to these types of loans. The Company determined an adjustment was necessary due to a decrease in historical average net charge-offs over the last five years. During the three months ended March 31, 2015, the Company recorded an unallocated provision for loan losses of \$10,000, to reflect the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating allocated and general losses in the portfolio.

During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recorded a \$54,000 provision for loan losses on one- to four-family residential loans and home equity loans as part of its review of certain environmental factors used to qualitatively assess inherent losses in the loan portfolio. Management concluded that an adjustment was necessary to account for the potential economic impact of the then recently announced company closings within the Chautauqua County market area. An \$11,000 provision for loan losses was recorded on consumer loans as part of management's review of the historical losses relating to these types of loans. The Company determined an adjustment for loan losses was necessary due to an increase in historical average net charge-offs over the last three years. These provisions for loan losses were offset by a \$71,000 credit for loan losses on commercial real estate and commercial business loans during the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to a review of the historical losses relating to these types of loans. The Company determined an adjustment for loan losses was necessary due to a decrease in historical average net charge-offs over the last four years. During the three months ended March 31, 2014, the Company recorded an unallocated provision for loan losses of \$6,000. This unallocated provision reflects the margin of imprecision inherent in the underlying assumptions used in the methodologies for estimating allocated and general losses in the portfolio.

Refer to Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements for details on the provision for loan losses.

Non-interest Income. Non-interest income increased \$54,000, or 11.3%, to \$534,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. The increase was primarily due to a loss on the sale of securities of \$98,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014, while there were no securities sold during the same period in 2015. The increase was also due to a \$24,000 net gain on the sale of loans during the three months ended March 31, 2015, whereas there were no loan sales during the same period in 2014. These increases were partially offset by a \$51,000 decrease in recovery on previously impaired investment securities during the three months ended March 31, 2015 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Furthermore, there was a \$17,000 decrease in service charges and fees during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014.

Non-interest Expenses. Non-interest expenses increased \$69,000, or 2.1%, from \$3.2 million for the three months ended March 31, 2014 to \$3.3 million for the three months ended March 31, 2015. Salaries and employee benefits expense increased \$140,000, or 8.4%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. This was primarily due to annual salary increases, the hiring of an executive vice president for

commercial lending during the third quarter of 2014 and additional expense for stock grants awarded during the second half of 2014 and the first three months of 2015. Data processing expenses increased \$67,000, or 36.6%, during the three months ended March 31, 2015 as compared with the same period in 2014 primarily due to the costs associated with the implementation of new mobile banking,

online banking and loan origination technology and related software. Professional services decreased \$70,000, or 22.2%, during the first quarter of 2015 as compared with the same period in 2014 primarily due to lower consulting costs. Other expenses decreased \$79,000, or 28.1%, for the three months ended March 31, 2015 compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014, primarily due to an increase in net gains on the sale of foreclosed properties in the first quarter of 2015.

Income Taxes Expense. Income tax expense increased by \$67,000, or 37.4%, from \$179,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2014 to \$246,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2015. The increase was primarily due to reforms in New York State corporate tax law which combined bank and corporate franchise tax laws. Beginning in 2015, the most significant change in the tax law allows the Company to subtract up to 50% of its net interest income received from qualifying loans. This change effectively eliminates the Company's New York State tax on income resulting in the Company being taxed on its apportioned capital. Because of the tax reform, the Company will not generate sufficient taxable income within New York State to realize its existing state deferred tax assets. Therefore, a deferred tax valuation allowance was recorded resulting in a one-time tax expense of \$128,000. The valuation allowance was based on management's belief that it is more likely than not that the Company will not realize its state deferred tax assets pursuant to the tax reform. As a result of the one-time tax expense, our effective tax rate increased to 30.2% for the three months ended March 31, 2015, compared to 18.8% for the three months ended March 31, 2014. This increase was partially offset by a decrease in income before tax for the three months ended March 31, 2015 when compared to the three months ended March 31, 2014. Without the one-time tax effects for 2015, our effective tax rate would have decreased to 14.1% for the three months ended March 31, 2015 primarily resulting from our projected mix of tax-exempt income derived from our municipal bond portfolio and bank-owned life insurance related to our projection of pre-tax income for the year.

Loans Past Due and Non-performing Assets. We define non-performing loans as loans that are either non-accruing or accruing whose payments are 90 days or more past due and non-accruing troubled debt restructurings.

Non-performing assets, including non-performing loans and foreclosed real estate, totaled \$5.8 million, or 1.19% of total assets, at March 31, 2015 and \$5.1 million, or 1.05% of total assets, at December 31, 2014.

The following table presents information regarding our accruing loans delinquent 90 days or more, non-accrual loans, non-performing loans, foreclosed real estate, and non-performing and performing loans classified as troubled debt restructurings, as of the dates indicated.

	At March 31, 2015	At December 31, 2014
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Loans past due 90 days or more but still accruing:		
Real estate loans:		
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 24	\$ -
Home equity	58	1
Commercial	-	-
Construction	-	-
Other loans:		
Commercial	-	-
Consumer	-	9
Total	\$ 82	\$ 10
Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis:		
Real estate loans:		
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 2,383	\$ 2,413
Home equity	312	335
Commercial	2,649	1,891
Construction	-	-
Other loans:		
Commercial	174	76
Consumer	7	4
Total non-accrual loans	5,525	4,719
Total non-performing loans	5,607	4,729
Foreclosed real estate	153	401
Total non-performing assets	\$ 5,760	\$ 5,130

Ratios:

Non-performing loans as a percent of net loans:	1.96	%	1.66	%
Non-performing assets as a percent of total assets:	1.19	%	1.05	%

Troubled debt restructuring:

Loans accounted for on a non-accrual basis

Residential, one- to four-family	\$ -		\$ -	
Home equity	-		-	
Performing loans				
Residential, one- to four-family	\$ 222		\$ 224	
Home equity	10		10	

The following table sets forth activity in our allowance for loan losses and other ratios at or for the dates indicated.

	At or for the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2015	2014
	(Dollars in thousands)	
Balance at beginning of year:	\$ 1,921	\$ 1,813
Provision for loan losses	25	-
Charge-offs:		
Real estate loans:		
Residential, one- to four-family	(17)	(17)
Home equity	(15)	(13)
Commercial	-	-
Construction	-	-
Other loans:		
Commercial	-	(4)
Consumer	(8)	(7)
Total charge-offs	(40)	(41)
Recoveries:		
Real estate loans:		
Residential, one- to four-family	9	-
Home equity	1	-
Commercial	21	-
Construction	-	-
Other loans:		
Commercial	-	-
Consumer	3	8
Total recoveries	34	8
Net charge-offs	(6)	(33)
Balance at end of period	\$ 1,940	\$ 1,780
Average loans outstanding	\$ 284,426	\$ 275,698
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of total net loans	0.68%	0.65%
Allowance for loan losses as a percent of non-performing loans	34.60%	33.82%
Ratio of net charge-offs to average loans outstanding (1)	0.01%	0.05%

(1) Annualized

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Liquidity describes our ability to meet the financial obligations that arise during the ordinary course of business. Liquidity is primarily needed to fund loan commitments, to pay the deposit withdrawal requirements of our customers as well as to fund current and planned expenditures. Our primary sources of funds consist of deposits, fed funds balances, scheduled amortization and prepayments of loans and securities, maturities and sales of investments, interest earning deposits at other financial institutions and funds provided from operations. We have a written agreement with the Federal Home Loan Bank of New York, which allows us to borrow up to \$118.4 million as of March 31, 2015, and is collateralized by a pledge of certain fixed-rate residential, one- to four-family real estate loans. At March 31, 2015, we had outstanding advances under this agreement of \$19.0 million. We have a written agreement with the Federal Reserve Bank discount window for overnight borrowings which is collateralized by a pledge of our securities, and allows us to borrow up to the value of the securities pledged, which was equal to a book value of \$10.7 million and a fair value of \$11.4 million as of March 31, 2015. There were no balances outstanding with the Federal Reserve Bank at March 31, 2015. We have also established lines of credits with correspondent banks for \$22.0 million, of which \$20.0 million is unsecured and the remaining \$2.0 million will be secured by a pledge of our securities when a draw is made. There were no borrowings on these lines as of March 31, 2015.

Historically, loan repayments and maturing investment securities were a relatively predictable source of funds. However, in light of the current economic environment, there are now more risks related to loan repayments and the valuation and maturity of investment securities. In addition, deposit flows, calls of investment securities, and prepayments of loans and mortgage-backed securities are strongly influenced by interest rates, general and local economic conditions, and competition in the marketplace. These factors and the current economic environment reduce the predictability of the timing of these sources of funds. To the extent possible, the Bank manages the cash flows of its loan and deposit portfolios by the rates it offers customers.

Our primary investing activities include the origination of loans and the purchase of investment securities. For the three months ended March 31, 2015, we originated loans of approximately \$13.0 million in comparison to approximately \$7.5 million of loans originated during the three months ended March 31, 2014. We did not purchase any investment securities in the three months ended March 31, 2015 and 2014.

At March 31, 2015, we had loan commitments to borrowers of approximately \$14.3 million and overdraft lines of protection and unused home equity lines of credit of approximately \$27.1 million. Total deposits were \$382.7 million at March 31, 2015, as compared to \$393.3 million at March 31, 2014. Time deposit accounts scheduled to mature within one year were \$100.4 million at March 31, 2015. Based on our deposit retention experience, current pricing strategy, and competitive pricing policies, we anticipate that a significant portion of these time deposits will remain with us following their maturity.

In recent years, macro-economic conditions negatively impacted liquidity and credit quality across the financial markets as the U.S. economy experienced an economic downturn. Although recent reports have indicated improvements in the macro-economic conditions, the economic downturn has had far-reaching effects. However, our financial condition, credit quality and liquidity position remain strong.

We are committed to maintaining a strong liquidity position; therefore, we monitor our liquidity position on a daily basis. We anticipate that we will have sufficient funds to meet our current funding commitments. The marginal cost of new funding, however, whether from deposits or borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank, will be carefully considered as we monitor our liquidity needs. Therefore, in order to minimize our cost of funds, we may consider additional borrowings from the Federal Home Loan Bank in the future.

We do not anticipate any material capital expenditures during the remainder of 2015. We do not have any balloon or other payments due on any long-term obligations or any off-balance sheet items other than loan commitments as described in Note 6 in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements and the borrowing agreements noted above.

Capital

The federal banking agencies have adopted regulations that substantially amend the capital regulations currently applicable to us. These regulations implement the Basel III regulatory capital reforms and changes required by the Dodd-Frank Act, as discussed in the “Supervision and Regulation – Federal Banking Regulation – Capital Requirements” section included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2014.

Effective January 1, 2015, the Bank became subject to new capital requirements adopted by the OCC. These new requirements create a new required ratio for common equity Tier 1 (“CETI”) capital, increase the Tier 1 capital ratio requirements, change the risk weight of certain assets for purposes of the risk-based capital ratios, create an additional capital conservation buffer over the required capital ratios and change what qualifies as capital for purposes of meeting these various capital requirements. Beginning in 2016, failure to maintain the required capital conservation buffer will limit the ability of the Bank to pay dividends, repurchase shares or pay discretionary bonuses. The Company is exempt from consolidated capital requirements as those requirements do not apply to certain small bank holding companies with assets under \$1 billion.

Under the new capital regulations, the minimum capital ratios are: (1) CETI capital ratio of 4.5% of risk-weighted assets; (2) a Tier 1 capital ratio of 6.0% of risk-weighted assets; (3) a total capital ratio of 8% of risk-weighted assets; and (4) a leverage ratio of 4.0%. CETI generally consists of common stock and retained earnings, subject to applicable regulatory adjustments and deductions.

There are a number of changes in what constitutes regulatory capital, some of which are subject to transition periods. These changes include the phasing-out of certain instruments as qualifying capital. The Bank does not use any of these instruments. Under the new requirements for total capital, Tier 2 capital is no longer limited to the amount of Tier 1 capital included in total capital. Mortgage servicing rights, certain deferred tax assets and investments in unconsolidated subsidiaries over designated percentages of CETI will be deducted from capital. The Bank has elected to permanently opt-out of the inclusion of accumulated other comprehensive income in our capital calculations, as permitted by the regulations. This opt-out will reduce the impact of market volatility on our regulatory capital levels.

The new requirements also include changes in the risk-weights of assets to better reflect credit risk and other risk exposures. These include a 150% risk weight (increased from 100%) for certain high volatility commercial real estate acquisitions, development and construction loans and for non-residential mortgage loans that are 90 days past due or otherwise in non-accrual status; a 20% (increased from 0%) credit conversion factor for the unused portion of a commitment with an original maturity of one year or less that is not unconditionally cancellable; a 250% risk weight (increased from 100%) for mortgage servicing and deferred tax assets that are not deducted from capital; and increased risk weights (0% to 600%) for equity exposures.

In addition to the minimum CETI, Tier 1 and total capital ratios, the Bank will have to maintain a capital conservation buffer consisting of additional CETI capital greater than 2.5% of risk-weighted assets above the required minimum levels in order to avoid limitations on paying dividends, engaging in share repurchases, and paying discretionary bonuses based on percentages of eligible retained income that could be utilized for such actions. This new capital conservation buffer requirement will be phased in beginning in January 2016 at 0.625% of risk-weighted assets and increasing each year until fully implemented in January 2019.

The OCC’s prompt corrective action standards changed effective January 1, 2015. Under the new standards, in order to be considered well-capitalized, the Bank must have a CETI ratio of 6.5% (new), a Tier 1 ratio of 8.0% (increased from 6.0%), a total risk-based capital ratio of 10.0% (unchanged) and a leverage ratio of 5.0% (unchanged). The Bank meets all these new requirements, including the full capital conservation buffer that will be required by January 2019.

The Bank's actual capital amounts and ratios and those required by the regulatory standards in effect as of the dates presented are as follows:

	Actual	For Capital Adequacy Purposes	To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Action Provisions
At March 31, 2015			
CETI capital (to risk-weighted assets)	24.56 %	>= 4.50 %	>= 6.50 %
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	24.56 %	>= 6.00 %	>= 8.00 %
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	25.31 %	>= 8.00 %	>= 10.00 %
Tier 1 Leverage (to adjusted total assets)	13.40 %	>= 4.00 %	>= 5.00 %
			To Be Well Capitalized Under Prompt Action Provisions
At December 31, 2014			
CETI capital (to risk-weighted assets)	n/a	>= n/a	>= n/a
Tier 1 capital (to risk-weighted assets)	24.95 %	>= 4.00 %	>= 6.00 %
Total capital (to risk-weighted assets)	25.71 %	>= 8.00 %	>= 10.00 %
Tier 1 Leverage (to adjusted total assets)	13.16 %	>= 4.00 %	>= 5.00 %

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

Other than loan commitments, we do not have any off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonably likely to have a current or future effect on our financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources that is material to investors. Refer to Note 6 in the Notes to our Consolidated Financial Statements for a summary of commitments outstanding as of March 31, 2015.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Not applicable as the Company is a smaller reporting company.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures.

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company's management, with the participation of its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13(a)-15(e) and

15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon such evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that, as of the end of such period, the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have not been any changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as such term is defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) during the quarter ended March 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

There have been no material changes in the Company's risk factors from those disclosed in its Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds

The following table reports information regarding repurchases by Lake Shore Bancorp of its common stock in each month of the quarter ended March 31, 2015:

COMPANY PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs (1)
January 1 through January 31, 2015	-	\$ -	-	56,410
February 1 through February 28, 2015	19,900	13.55	19,900	36,510
March 1 through March 31, 2015	-	-	-	36,510
Total	19,900	\$ 13.55	19,900	36,510

On November 17, 2010, our Board of Directors approved a stock repurchase plan pursuant to which we can repurchase up to 116,510 shares of our outstanding common stock. This amount represented 5% of our outstanding stock not owned by the MHC as of November 23, 2010. The repurchase plan does not have an expiration date and superseded all of the prior stock repurchase programs.

Item 6. Exhibits

31.1 Certification by the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the

31.2	Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002* Certification by the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes- Oxley Act of 2002*
32.1	Certification by the Chief Executive Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
32.2	Certification by the Chief Financial Officer Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002*
101.INS	XBRL Instance Document*
101.SCH	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document*
101.CAL	XBRL Taxonomy Calculation Linkbase Document*
101.DEF	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

	Document*
101.LAB	XBRL
	Taxonomy Label
	Linkbase
	Document*
101.PRE	XBRL
	Taxonomy
	Presentation
	Linkbase
	Document*

*Filed herewith.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

LAKE SHORE BANCORP, INC.
(Registrant)

May 12, 2015 By: /s/ Daniel P. Reininga
Daniel P. Reininga
President and Chief Executive Officer
(Principal Executive Officer)

May 12, 2015 By: /s/ Rachel A. Foley
Rachel A. Foley
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)