

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP
Form 10-Q
May 15, 2017

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended March 31, 2017

or

**TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE
ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 001-36613

Middlefield Banc. Corp.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Ohio 34-1585111
State or Other Jurisdiction of I.R.S. Employer Identification No.
Incorporation or Organization

15985 East High Street, Middlefield, Ohio 44062-0035
Address of Principal Executive Offices Zip Code

440-632-1666

Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code

Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, smaller reporting company, or an emerging growth company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," "smaller reporting company," and "emerging growth company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Emerging growth company

If an emerging growth company, indicate by check mark if the registrant has elected not to use the extended transition period for complying with any new or revised financial accounting standards provided pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Exchange Act.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes No

APPLICABLE ONLY TO ISSUERS INVOLVED IN BANKRUPTCY PROCEEDINGS DURING THE PRECEDING FIVE YEARS:

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has filed all documents and reports required to be filed by Section 12, 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 subsequent to the distribution of securities under a plan confirmed by a court. Yes No

Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date.

Class: Common Stock, without par value

Outstanding at May 15, 2017: 3,204,858

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

INDEX

Part I – Financial Information

Item 1. Financial Statements (unaudited)

Consolidated Balance Sheet as of March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016	3
Consolidated Statement of Income for the Three Months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016	4
Consolidated Statement of Comprehensive Income for the Three Months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016	5
Consolidated Statement of Changes in Stockholders' Equity for the Three Months ended March 31, 2017	6
Consolidated Statement of Cash Flows for the Three Months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016	7
Notes to Unaudited Consolidated Financial Statements	9

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations 36

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk 44

Item 4. Controls and Procedures 45

Part II – Other Information

Item 1. Legal Proceedings 45

Item 1A. Risk Factors 45

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds 45

Item 3. Defaults by the Company on its Senior Securities 45

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures 45

Item 5. Other Information 45

Item 6. Exhibits and Reports on Form 8 – K 46

Signatures

49

Exhibit 31.1

Exhibit 31.2

Exhibit 32

2

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
ASSETS		
Cash and due from banks	\$61,364	\$31,395
Federal funds sold	1,000	1,100
Cash and cash equivalents	62,364	32,495
Investment securities available for sale, at fair value	110,452	114,376
Loans held for sale	9,462	634
Loans	837,158	609,140
Less allowance for loan and lease losses	6,720	6,598
Net loans	830,438	602,542
Premises and equipment, net	11,481	11,203
Goodwill	15,646	4,559
Core deposit intangibles	3,051	36
Bank-owned life insurance	15,334	13,540
Other real estate owned	1,634	934
Accrued interest and other assets	9,605	7,502
TOTAL ASSETS	\$1,069,467	787,821
LIABILITIES		
Deposits:		
Noninterest-bearing demand	\$162,614	\$133,630
Interest-bearing demand	94,605	59,560
Money market	162,843	74,940
Savings	183,845	172,370
Time	243,944	189,434
Total deposits	847,851	629,934
Short-term borrowings	76,213	68,359
Other borrowings	39,388	9,437
Accrued interest and other liabilities	6,700	3,131
TOTAL LIABILITIES	970,152	710,861

STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY

Common stock, no par value; 10,000,000 shares authorized, 3,189,722 and 2,640,418 shares issued; 2,803,557 2,254,253 shares outstanding	69,123	47,943
Retained earnings	42,678	41,334
Accumulated other comprehensive income	1,032	1,201
Treasury stock, at cost; 386,165 shares	(13,518)	(13,518)
TOTAL STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	99,315	76,960
 TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY	 \$1,069,467	 \$787,821

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF INCOME

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except per share data)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
INTEREST AND DIVIDEND INCOME		
Interest and fees on loans	\$9,180	\$6,173
Interest-bearing deposits in other institutions	49	12
Federal funds sold	3	4
Investment securities:		
Taxable interest	218	340
Tax-exempt interest	637	790
Dividends on stock	112	29
Total interest and dividend income	10,199	7,348
INTEREST EXPENSE		
Deposits	1,125	855
Short-term borrowings	177	120
Other borrowings	83	17
Trust preferred securities	57	33
Total interest expense	1,442	1,025
NET INTEREST INCOME	8,757	6,323
Provision for loan losses	165	105
NET INTEREST INCOME AFTER PROVISION FOR LOAN LOSSES	8,592	6,218
NONINTEREST INCOME		
Service charges on deposit accounts	469	447
Investment securities gains, net	488	51
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	109	99
Gain on sale of loans	234	87
Other income	211	225
Total noninterest income	1,511	909
NONINTEREST EXPENSE		
Salaries and employee benefits	3,696	2,780
Occupancy expense	488	335

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

Equipment expense	281	269
Data processing costs	484	272
Ohio state franchise tax	186	100
Federal deposit insurance expense	68	132
Professional fees	596	292
(Gain) loss on other real estate owned	(78)	12
Advertising expense	248	195
Other real estate expense	133	46
Directors fees	112	107
Core deposit intangible amortization	72	10
Appraiser fees	102	101
ATM fees	76	96
Other expense	803	591
Total noninterest expense	7,267	5,338
Income before income taxes	2,836	1,789
Income taxes	736	302
NET INCOME	\$2,100	\$1,487
EARNINGS PER SHARE		
Basic	\$0.78	\$0.79
Diluted	0.78	0.79
DIVIDENDS DECLARED PER SHARE	\$0.27	\$0.27

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31, 2017 2016	
Net income	\$2,100	\$1,487
Other comprehensive income:		
Net unrealized holding gain on available-for-sale securities	231	547
Tax effect	(78)	(187)
Reclassification adjustment for investment securities gains included in net income	(488)	(51)
Tax effect	166	17
Total other comprehensive income (loss)	(169)	326
Comprehensive income	\$1,931	\$1,813

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(Dollar amounts in thousands, except share data)

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income	Treasury Stock	Total Stockholders' Equity
Balance, December 31, 2016	\$47,943	\$41,334	\$ 1,201	\$(13,518)	\$ 76,960
Net income		2,100			2,100
Other comprehensive income			(169)		(169)
Common stock issuance (546,107 shares)	21,048				21,048
Dividend reinvestment and purchase plan (3,197 shares)	132				132
Cash dividends (\$0.27 per share)		(756)			(756)
Balance, March 31, 2017	\$ 69,123	\$42,678	\$ 1,032	\$(13,518)	\$ 99,315

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CASH FLOWS

(Dollar amounts in thousands)

(Unaudited)

	Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
OPERATING ACTIVITIES		
Net income	\$2,100	\$1,487
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:		
Provision for loan losses	165	105
Investment securities gain, net	(488)	(51)
Depreciation and amortization	308	231
Amortization of premium and discount on investment securities	124	107
Accretion of deferred loan fees, net	(185)	(71)
Origination of loans held for sale	(4,562)	(3,356)
Proceeds from sale of loans	1,921	4,374
Gain on sale of loans	(234)	(87)
Earnings on bank-owned life insurance	(109)	(99)
Deferred income tax	(1,116)	(218)
(Gain) loss on other real estate owned	(78)	12
Other real estate owned writedowns	22	24
Increase in accrued interest receivable	(199)	(263)
Decrease in accrued interest payable	(14)	(6)
Amorization of core deposit intangibles	72	40
Other, net	547	(203)
Net cash (used in) provided by operating activities	(1,726)	2,026
INVESTING ACTIVITIES		
Investment securities available for sale:		
Proceeds from repayments and maturities	3,544	4,822
Proceeds from sale of securities	-	1,322
Purchases	-	(1,744)
(Increase) decrease in loans, net	(34,533)	3,215
Proceeds from the sale of other real estate owned	333	6
Purchase of bank-owned life insurance	(4)	-
Purchase of premises and equipment	(179)	(18)
Purchase of restricted stock	(899)	-
Redemption of restricted stock	795	-
Acquisition, net of cash paid	5,431	-
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(25,512)	7,603

FINANCING ACTIVITIES

Net increase in deposits	19,873	6,959
Increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings, net	7,854	(9,701)
Repayment of other borrowings	(49)	(64)
Proceeds from other borrowings	30,000	-
Common stock issued	53	29
Proceeds from dividend reinvestment and purchase plan	132	125
Cash dividends	(756)	(507)
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	57,107	(3,159)

Increase in cash and cash equivalents	29,869	6,470
---------------------------------------	--------	-------

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT BEGINNING OF PERIOD	32,495	23,750
--	--------	--------

CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS AT END OF PERIOD	\$62,364	\$30,220
--	----------	----------

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Cash paid during the year for:

Interest on deposits and borrowings	\$1,456	\$1,031
Income taxes	-	375

Noncash investing transactions:

Transfers from loans to other real estate owned	\$977	\$77
Common stock issued in business acquisition	20,995	-

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION (continued)

Acquisition of Liberty Bank

Non-cash assets acquired	
Loans	\$ 194,320
Loans held for sale	5,953
Premises and equipment, net	325
Accrued interest receivable	440
Bank-owned life insurance	1,681
Core deposit intangible	3,087
Deferred tax asset	(1,104)
Other assets	997
Goodwill	11,087
	216,786
Liabilities assumed	
Time deposits	(30,744)
Deposits other than time deposits	(167,300)
Accrued interest payable	(47)
Other liabilities	(3,131)
	(201,222)
Net non-cash assets acquired	\$ 15,564
Cash and cash equivalents acquired	\$ 5,431

See accompanying notes to unaudited consolidated financial statements.

MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP.

NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE 1 - BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The consolidated financial statements of Middlefield Banc Corp. ("Company") include its bank subsidiary, The Middlefield Banking Company ("MBC"), and a nonbank asset resolution subsidiary, EMORECO, Inc. All significant inter-company items have been eliminated.

On January 12, 2017, the Company completed its acquisition of Liberty Bank, N.A. ("Liberty"), pursuant to a previously announced definitive merger agreement. Under the terms of the merger agreement, Liberty shareholders received \$37.96 in cash or 1.1934 shares of Middlefield's common stock in exchange for each share of Liberty common stock they owned immediately prior to the merger. Middlefield issued 544,610 shares of its common stock in the merger and the aggregate merger consideration was approximately \$42.2 million. Upon closing, Liberty was merged into MBC, and its three full-service bank offices, in Twinsburg, in northern Summit County, and in Beachwood and Solon in eastern Cuyahoga County, became offices of MBC. The systems integration of Liberty into MBC was completed in February.

The accompanying unaudited financial statements have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles and the instructions for Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. In management's opinion, the financial statements include all adjustments, consisting of normal recurring adjustments, that the Company considers necessary to fairly state the Company's financial position and the results of operations and cash flows. The consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2016, has been derived from the audited financial statements at that date but does not include all of the necessary informational disclosures and footnotes as required by U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The accompanying financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included with the Company's Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2016. The results of the Company's operations for any interim period are not necessarily indicative of the results of the Company's operations for any other interim period or for a full fiscal year.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements –

In May 2014, the FASB issued ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (a new revenue recognition standard). The Update's core principle is that a company will recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or

services to customers in an amount that reflects the consideration to which the entity expects to be entitled in exchange for those goods or services. In addition, this Update specifies the accounting for certain costs to obtain or fulfill a contract with a customer and expands disclosure requirements for revenue recognition. This Update is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, including interim periods within that reporting period. Because the guidance does not apply to revenue associated with financial instruments, including loans and securities, we do not expect the new standard, or any of the amendments, to result in a material change from our current accounting for revenue because the majority of the Company's financial instruments are not within the scope of Topic 606. However, we do expect that the standard will result in new disclosure requirements, which are currently being evaluated.

In August 2015, the FASB issued ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments in this Update defer the effective date of ASU 2014-09 for all entities by one year. Public business entities, certain not-for-profit entities, and certain employee benefit plans should apply the guidance in ASU 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim reporting periods within that reporting period. All other entities should apply the guidance in ASU 2014-09 to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim reporting periods within annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The Company is evaluating the effect of adopting this new accounting Update.

In January 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-01, Financial Instruments – Overall (Subtopic 825-10): Recognition and Measurement of Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities. This Update applies to all entities that hold financial assets or owe financial liabilities and is intended to provide more useful information on the recognition, measurement, presentation, and disclosure of financial instruments. Among other things, this Update (a) requires equity investments (except those accounted for under the equity method of accounting or those that result in consolidation of the investee) to be measured at fair value with changes in fair value recognized in net income; (b) simplifies the impairment assessment of equity investments without readily determinable fair values by requiring a qualitative assessment to identify impairment; (c) eliminates the requirement to disclose the fair value of financial instruments measured at amortized cost for entities that are not public business entities; (d) eliminates the requirement for public business entities to disclose the method(s) and significant assumptions used to estimate the fair value that is required to be disclosed for financial instruments measured at amortized cost on the balance sheet; (e) requires public business entities to use the exit price notion when measuring the fair value of financial instruments for disclosure purposes; (f) requires separate presentation of financial assets and financial liabilities by measurement category and form of financial asset (that is, securities or loans and receivables) on the balance sheet or the accompanying notes to the financial statements; and (g) clarifies that an entity should evaluate the need for a valuation allowance on a deferred tax asset related to available-for-sale securities in combination with the entity's other deferred tax assets. For public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. For all other entities, including not-for-profit entities and employee benefit plans within the scope of Topics 960 through 965 on plan accounting, the amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. All entities that are not public business entities may adopt the amendments in this Update earlier as of the fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those fiscal years. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In February 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842). The standard requires lessees to recognize the assets and liabilities that arise from leases on the balance sheet. A lessee should recognize in the statement of financial position a liability to make lease payments (the lease liability) and a right-of-use asset representing its right to use the underlying asset for the lease term. A short-term lease is defined as one in which (a) the lease term is 12 months or less and (b) there is not an option to purchase the underlying asset that the lessee is reasonably certain to exercise. For short-term leases, lessees may elect to recognize lease payments over the lease term on a straight-line basis. For public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within those years. For all other entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and for interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020. The amendments should be applied at the beginning of the earliest period presented using a modified retrospective approach with earlier application permitted as of the beginning of an interim or annual reporting period. The Company is currently assessing the practical expedients it may elect at adoption, but does not anticipate the amendments will have a significant impact on the financial statements. Based on the Company's preliminary analysis of its current portfolio, the impact to the Company's balance sheet is estimated to result in less than a 1 percent increase in assets and liabilities. The Company also anticipates additional disclosure to be provided at adoption.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-04, Liabilities – Extinguishments of Liabilities (Subtopic 405-20). The standard provides that liabilities related to the sale of prepaid stored-value products within the scope of this Update are financial liabilities. The amendments in the Update provide a narrow-scope exception to the guidance in Subtopic 405-20 to require that breakage for those liabilities be accounted for consistent with the breakage guidance in Topic 606. The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities, certain not-for-profit entities, and certain employee benefit plans for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. For all other entities, the amendments are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Earlier application is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. This Update is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-05, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815). The amendments in this Update apply to all reporting entities for which there is a change in the counterparty to a derivative instrument that has been designated as a hedging instrument under Topic 815. The standards in this Update clarify that a change in the counterparty to a derivative instrument that has been designated as the hedging instrument under Topic 815 does not, in and of itself, require designation of that hedging relationship provided that all other hedge accounting criteria continue to be met. For public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal years. For all other entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. An entity has an option to apply the amendments in this Update on either a prospective basis or a modified retrospective basis. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-06, Derivatives and Hedging (Topic 815). The amendments apply to all entities that are issuers of or investors in debt instruments (or hybrid financial instruments that are determined to have a debt host) with embedded call (put) options. The amendments in this Update clarify the requirements for assessing whether contingent call (put) options that can accelerate the payment of principal on debt instruments are clearly and closely related to their debt host. An entity performing the assessment under the amendments in this Update is required to assess the embedded call (put) options solely in accordance with the four-step decision sequence. For public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those fiscal years. For entities other than public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for financial statements issued for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-07, Investments – Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323). The Update affects all entities that have an investment that becomes qualified for the equity method of accounting as a result of an increase in the level of ownership interest or degree of influence. The amendments in this Update eliminate the requirement that when an investment qualifies for use of the equity method as a result of an increase in the level of ownership interest or degree of influence, an investor must adjust the investment, results of operations, and retained earnings retroactively on a step-by-step basis as if the equity method had been in effect during all previous periods that the investment had been held. The amendments require that the equity method investor add the cost of acquiring the additional interest in the investee to the current basis of the investor's previously held interest and adopt the equity method of accounting as of the date the investment becomes qualified for equity method accounting. Therefore, upon qualifying for the equity method of accounting, no retroactive adjustment of the investment is required. The amendments in this Update require that an entity that has an available-for-sale equity security that becomes qualified for the equity method of accounting recognize through earnings the unrealized holding gain or loss in accumulated other comprehensive income at the date the investment becomes qualified for use of the equity method. The amendments in this Update are effective for all entities for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2016. The amendments should be applied prospectively upon their effective date to increases in the level of ownership interest or degree of influence that result in the adoption of the equity method. Earlier application is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-08, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments in this Update affect entities with transactions included within the scope of Topic 606, which includes entities that enter into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services (that are an output of the entity's ordinary activities) in exchange for consideration. The amendments in this Update do not change the core principle of the guidance in Topic 606; they simply clarify the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. The amendments in this Update are intended to improve the operability and understandability of the implementation guidance on principal versus agent considerations. The amendments in this Update affect the guidance in ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which is not yet effective. The effective date and transition requirements for the amendments in this Update are the same as the effective date and transition requirements of Update 2014-09. ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, defers the effective date of Update 2014-09 by one year. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-09, Compensation – Stock Compensation (Topic 718). The amendments in this Update affect all entities that issue share-based payment awards to their employees. The standards in this Update provide simplification for several aspects of the accounting for share-based payment transactions, including the income tax consequences, classification of awards as with equity or liabilities, and classification on the statement of cash flows. Some of the areas for simplification apply only to nonpublic entities. In addition to those simplifications, the amendments eliminate the guidance in Topic 718 that was indefinitely deferred shortly after the issuance of FASB Statement No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment. This should not result in a change in practice because the guidance that is being superseded was never effective. For public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2016, and interim periods within those annual periods. For all other entities, the amendments are effective for annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted for any entity in any interim or annual period. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In April 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-10, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606). The amendments in this Update affect entities with transactions included within the scope of Topic 606, which includes entities that enter into contracts with customers to transfer goods or services in exchange for consideration. The amendments in this Update do not change the core principle for revenue recognition in Topic 606. Instead, the amendments provide (1) more detailed guidance in a few areas and (2) additional implementation guidance and examples based on feedback the FASB received from its stakeholders. The amendments are expected to reduce the degree of judgment necessary to comply with Topic 606, which the FASB expects will reduce the potential for diversity arising in practice and reduce the cost and complexity of applying the guidance. The amendments in this Update affect the guidance in ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which is not yet effective. The effective date and transition requirements for the amendments in this Update are the same as the effective date and transition requirements in Topic 606 (and any other Topic amended by Update 2014-09). ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, defers the effective date of Update 2014-09 by one year. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In May 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-12, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which among other things clarifies the objective of the collectability criterion in Topic 606, as well as certain narrow aspects of Topic 606. The amendments in this Update affect the guidance in ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606), which is not yet effective. The effective date and transition requirements for the amendments in this Update are the same as the effective date and transition requirements for Topic 606 (and any other Topic amended by Update 2014-09). ASU 2015-14, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606): Deferral of the Effective Date, defers the effective date of Update 2014-09 by one year. This Update is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments - Credit Losses: Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments, which changes the impairment model for most financial assets. This Update is intended to improve financial reporting by requiring timelier recording of credit losses on loans and other financial instruments held by financial institutions and other organizations. The underlying premise of the Update is that financial assets measured at amortized cost should be presented at the net amount expected to be collected, through an allowance for credit losses that are deducted from the amortized cost basis. The allowance for credit losses should reflect management's current estimate of credit losses that are expected to occur over the remaining life of a financial asset. The income statement will be effected for the measurement of credit losses for newly recognized financial assets, as well as the expected increases or decreases of expected credit losses that have taken place during the period. ASU 2016-13 is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2019, and early adoption is permitted for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2018. With certain exceptions, transition to the new requirements will be through a cumulative effect adjustment to opening retained earnings as of the beginning of the first reporting period in which the guidance is adopted. We expect to recognize a one-time cumulative effect adjustment to the allowance for loan losses as of the beginning of the first reporting period in which the new standard is effective, but cannot yet determine the magnitude of any such one-time adjustment or the overall impact of the new guidance on the consolidated financial statements.

In August 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-15, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230): Classification of Certain Cash Receipts and Cash Payments, which addresses eight specific cash flow issues with the objective of reducing diversity in practice. Among these include recognizing cash payments for debt prepayment or debt extinguishment as cash outflows for financing activities; cash proceeds received from the settlement of insurance claims should be classified on the basis of the related insurance coverage; and cash proceeds received from the settlement of bank-owned life insurance policies should be classified as cash inflows from investing activities while the cash payments for premiums on bank-owned policies may be classified as cash outflows for investing activities, operating activities, or a combination of investing and operating activities. The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. For all other entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. If an entity early adopts the amendments in an interim period, any adjustments should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. An entity that elects early adoption must adopt all of the amendments in the same period. The amendments in this Update should be applied using a retrospective transition method to each period presented. If it is impracticable to apply the amendments retrospectively for some of the issues, the amendments for those issues would be applied prospectively as of the earliest date practicable. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's statement of cash flows.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-17, Consolidation (Topic 810), which amends the consolidation guidance on how a reporting entity that is the single decision maker of a VIE should treat indirect interests in the entity held through related parties that are under common control with the reporting entity when determining whether it is the primary beneficiary of that VIE. The primary beneficiary of a VIE is the reporting entity that has a controlling financial interest in a VIE and, therefore, consolidates the VIE. A reporting entity has an indirect interest in a VIE if it has a direct interest in a related party that, in turn, has a direct interest in the VIE. Under the amendments, a single decision maker is not required to consider indirect interests held through related parties that are under common control with the single decision maker to be the equivalent of direct interests in their entirety. Instead, a single decision maker is required to include those interests on a proportionate basis consistent with indirect interests held through other related parties. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In October 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-18, Statement of Cash Flows (Topic 230), which requires that a statement of cash flows explains the change during the period in the total of cash, cash equivalents, and amounts generally described as restricted cash or restricted cash equivalents. Therefore, amounts generally described as restricted cash and restricted cash equivalents should be included with cash and cash equivalents when reconciling the beginning-of-period and end-of-period total amounts shown on the statement of cash flows. The amendments in this Update are effective for public business entities for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2017, and interim periods within those fiscal years. For all other entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. If an entity early adopts the amendments in an interim period, any adjustments should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. The amendments in this Update should be applied using a retrospective transition method to each period presented. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's statement of cash flows.

In December 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-19, Technical Corrections and Improvements, which represents changes to clarify, correct errors, or make minor improvements to the Accounting Standards Codification. The amendments make the Accounting Standards Codification easier to understand and easier to apply by eliminating inconsistencies and providing clarifications. Most of the amendments in this Update do not require transition guidance and are effective upon issuance of this Update. This Update is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In December 2016, the FASB issued ASU 2016-20, Technical Corrections and Improvements to Topic 606, Revenue from Contracts with Customers. This Update, among others things, clarifies that guarantee fees within the scope of Topic 460, Guarantees, (other than product or service warranties) are not within the scope of Topic 606. The effective date and transition requirements for ASU 2016-20 are the same as the effective date and transition requirements for the new revenue recognition guidance. For public entities with a calendar year-end, the new guidance is effective in the quarter and year beginning January 1, 2018. For all other entities with a calendar year-end, the new guidance is effective in the year ending December 31, 2019, and interim periods in 2020. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-01, Business Combinations (Topic 805), Clarifying the Definition of a Business, which provides a more robust framework to use in determining when a set of assets and activities (collectively referred to as a “set”) is a business. The screen requires that when substantially all of the fair value of the gross assets acquired (or disposed of) is concentrated in a single identifiable asset or a group of similar identifiable assets, the set is not a business. This screen reduces the number of transactions that need to be further evaluated. Public business entities should apply the amendments in this Update to annual periods beginning after December 15, 2017, including interim periods within those periods. All other entities should apply the amendments to annual periods beginning after December 15, 2018, and interim periods within annual periods beginning after December 15, 2019. The amendments in this Update should be applied prospectively on or after the effective date. This Update is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company’s financial statements.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-03, Accounting Changes and Error Corrections (Topic 250) and Investments—Equity Method and Joint Ventures (Topic 323), Amendments to SEC Paragraphs Pursuant to Staff Announcements at the September 22, 2016 and November 17, 2016 EITF Meetings. This Update adds an SEC paragraph to the Codification following an SEC Staff Announcement about applying Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) Topic 11.M. Specifically, this announcement applies to ASU 2014-09, Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606); ASU 2016-02, Leases (Topic 842); and ASU 2016-13, Financial Instruments—Credit Losses (Topic 326): Measurement of Credit Losses on Financial Instruments. A registrant should evaluate Updates that have not yet been adopted to determine the appropriate financial statement disclosures about the potential material effects of those Updates on the financial statements when adopted. If a registrant does not know or cannot reasonably estimate the impact that adoption of the Updates referenced in this announcement are expected to have on the financial statements, then in addition to making a statement to that effect, that registrant should consider additional qualitative financial statement disclosures to assist the reader in assessing the significance of the impact that the standard will have on the financial statements of the registrant when adopted. In this regard, the SEC staff expects the additional qualitative disclosures to include a description of the effect of the accounting policies that the registrant expects to apply, if determined, and a comparison to the registrant’s current accounting policies. Also, a registrant should describe the status of its process to implement the new standards and the significant implementation matters yet to be addressed. The amendments in this Update are effective immediately.

In January 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-04, Simplifying the Test for Goodwill Impairment. To simplify the subsequent measurement of goodwill, the FASB eliminated Step 2 from the goodwill impairment test. In computing the implied fair value of goodwill under Step 2, an entity had to perform procedures to determine the fair value at the impairment testing date of its assets and liabilities (including unrecognized assets and liabilities) following the procedure that would be required in determining the fair value of assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination. Instead, under the amendments in this Update, an entity should perform its annual, or interim, goodwill impairment test by comparing the fair value of a reporting unit with its carrying amount. An entity should recognize an impairment charge for the amount by which the carrying amount exceeds the reporting units fair value; however, the loss recognized should not exceed the total amount of goodwill allocated to that reporting unit. A public business entity that is a U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) filer should adopt the amendments in this Update for its annual or any interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019. A public business entity that is not an SEC filer should adopt the amendments in this Update for its annual or any interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020. All other entities, including not-for-profit entities, that are adopting the amendments in this Update should do so for their annual or any interim goodwill impairment tests in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2021. This Update is not expected to have a

significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

In February 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-06, Plan Accounting: Defined Benefit Pension Plans (Topic 960), Defined Contribution Pension Plans (Topic 962), and Health and Welfare Benefit Plans (Topic 965). This Update relates primarily to the reporting by an employee benefit plan for its interest in a master trust, which is a trust for which a regulated financial institution serves as a trustee or custodian and in which assets of more than one plan sponsored by a single employer or by a group of employers under common control are held. For each master trust in which a plan holds an interest, the amendments in this Update require a plan's interest in that master trust and any change in that interest to be presented in separate line items in the statement of net assets available for benefits and in the statement of changes in net assets available for benefits, respectively. The amendments in this Update remove the requirement to disclose the percentage interest in the master trust for plans with divided interests and require that all plans disclose the dollar amount of their interest in each of those general types of investments, which supplements the existing requirement to disclose the master trusts balances in each general type of investments. There are also increased disclosure requirements for investments in master trust. The amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2018. Early adoption is permitted. The Company is currently evaluating the impact the adoption of the standard will have on the Company's financial position or results of operations.

In March 2017, the FASB issued ASU 2017-08, Receivables – Nonrefundable Fees and Other Costs (Subtopic 310-20). The amendments in this Update shorten the amortization period for certain callable debt securities held at a premium. Specifically, the amendments require the premium to be amortized to the earliest call date. The amendments do not require an accounting change for securities held at a discount; the discount continues to be amortized to maturity. For public business entities, the amendments in this Update are effective for fiscal years, and interim periods within those fiscal years, beginning after December 15, 2018. For all other entities, the amendments are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2019, and interim periods within fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2020. Early adoption is permitted, including adoption in an interim period. If an entity early adopts the amendments in an interim period, any adjustments should be reflected as of the beginning of the fiscal year that includes that interim period. An entity should apply the amendments in this Update on a modified retrospective basis through a cumulative-effect adjustment directly to retained earnings as of the beginning of the period of adoption. Additionally, in the period of adoption, an entity should provide disclosures about a change in accounting principle. This Update is not expected to have a significant impact on the Company's financial statements.

NOTE 2 - STOCK-BASED COMPENSATION

The Company had no unvested stock options outstanding or unrecognized stock-based compensation costs outstanding as of March 31, 2017 and 2016.

Stock option activity during the three months ended March 31 is as follows:

	2017	Weighted- average Exercise Price	2016	Weighted- average Exercise Price
Outstanding, January 1	29,324	\$ 19.50	31,949	\$ 25.03
Exercised	(1,562)	34.34	-	-
Outstanding, March 31	27,762	\$ 23.07	31,949	\$ 25.03
Exercisable, March 31	27,762	\$ 23.07	31,949	\$ 25.03

NOTE 3 - EARNINGS PER SHARE

The Company provides dual presentation of basic and diluted earnings per share. Basic earnings per share is calculated by dividing net income by the average shares outstanding. Diluted earnings per share adds the dilutive effects of stock options to average shares outstanding.

The following table sets forth the composition of the weighted-average common shares (denominator) used in the basic and diluted earnings per share computation.

	For the Three Months Ended March 31,	
	2017	2016
Weighted-average common shares outstanding	3,065,981	2,264,342
Average treasury stock shares	(386,165)	(386,165)
Weighted-average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate basic earnings per share	2,679,816	1,878,177
Additional common stock equivalents (stock options) used to calculate diluted earnings per share	12,199	8,766
Weighted-average common shares and common stock equivalents used to calculate diluted earnings per share	2,692,015	1,886,943

Options to purchase 27,762 shares of common stock, at prices ranging from \$17.55 to \$37.48, were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2017. Of those options, 27,762 were considered dilutive for the three-month period based on the market price exceeding the strike price and no options were anti-dilutive.

Options to purchase 31,949 shares of common stock, at prices ranging from \$17.55 to \$40.24, were outstanding during the three months ended March 31, 2016. Of those options, 7,249 were not included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share because they were anti-dilutive.

NOTE 4 - FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in the principal or most advantageous market for an asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. GAAP established a fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the use of inputs used in valuation methodologies into the following levels:

Level I: Quoted prices are available in active markets for identical assets or liabilities as of the reported date.

Level II: Pricing inputs are other than the quoted prices in active markets, which are either directly or indirectly observable as of the reported date. The nature of these assets and liabilities includes items for which quoted prices are available but traded less frequently and items that are fair valued using other financial instruments, the parameters of which can be directly observed.

Level III: Assets and liabilities that have little to no pricing observability as of the reported date. These items do not have two-way markets and are measured using management's best estimate of fair value, where the inputs into the determination of fair value require significant management judgment or estimation.

The following tables present the assets measured on a recurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at their fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy. Financial assets and liabilities are classified in their entirety based on the lowest level of input that is significant to the fair value measurement.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	March 31, 2017			Total
	Level I	Level II	Level III	
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
U.S. government agency securities	\$ -	\$9,827	\$ -	\$9,827
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	-	79,697	-	79,697
Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities	-	19,036	-	19,036
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	1,602	-	1,602
Total debt securities	-	110,162	-	110,162
Equity securities in financial institutions	-	290	-	290
Total	\$ -	\$110,452	\$ -	\$110,452

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2016			Total
	Level I	Level II	Level III	
Assets measured on a recurring basis:				
U.S. government agency securities	\$ -	\$10,236	\$ -	\$10,236
Obligations of states and political subdivisions	-	81,223	-	81,223
Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities	-	20,069	-	20,069
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	-	1,709	-	1,709
Total debt securities	-	113,237	-	113,237
Equity securities in financial institutions	-	1,139	-	1,139
Total	\$ -	\$114,376	\$ -	\$114,376

The Company obtains fair values from an independent pricing service which represent either quoted market prices for the identical securities (Level I inputs) or fair values determined by pricing models using a market approach that considers observable market data, such as interest rate volatilities, LIBOR yield curve, credit spreads and prices from market makers and live trading systems (Level II).

The Company uses prices compiled by third party vendors.

The following tables present the assets measured on a nonrecurring basis on the Consolidated Balance Sheet at their fair value by level within the fair value hierarchy.

Impaired Loans - The Company has measured impairment on impaired loans generally based on the fair value of the loan's collateral. Fair value is generally determined based upon independent third-party appraisals of the properties. In some cases, management may adjust the appraised value due to the age of the appraisal, changes in market conditions, or observable deterioration of the property since the appraisal was completed. Additionally, management makes estimates about expected costs to sell the property which are also included in the net realizable value. If the fair value of the collateral dependent loan is less than the carrying amount of the loan, a specific reserve for the loan is made in the allowance for loan losses or a charge-off is taken to reduce the loan to the fair value of the collateral (less estimated selling costs) and the loan is included in the table below as a Level III measurement. If the fair value of the collateral exceeds the carrying amount of the loan, then the loan is not included in the table below as it is not currently being carried at its fair value. The fair values below excluded estimated selling costs of \$280,600 at March 31, 2017.

Other Real Estate Owned (OREO) – OREO is carried at the lower of cost or fair value, which is measured at the date of foreclosure. If the fair value of the collateral exceeds the carrying amount of the loan, no charge-off or adjustment is necessary, the loan is not considered to be carried at fair value, and is therefore not included in the table below. If the fair value of the collateral is less than the carrying amount of the loan, management will charge the loan down to its estimated realizable value. The fair value of OREO is based on the appraised value of the property, which is generally unadjusted by management and is based on comparable sales for similar properties in the same geographic region as the subject property, and is included in the table below as a Level II measurement. In some cases, management may adjust the appraised value due to the age of the appraisal, changes in market conditions, or observable deterioration of the property since the appraisal was completed. In these cases, the loans are categorized in the table below as Level III measurement since these adjustments are considered to be unobservable inputs. Income and expenses from operations and further declines in the fair value of the collateral subsequent to foreclosure are included in net expenses from OREO.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	March 31, 2017			Total
	Level I	Level II	Level III	
Assets measured on a nonrecurring basis:				
Impaired loans	\$ -	\$-	\$585	\$585
Other real estate owned	-	-	27	27

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	December 31, 2016			Total
	Level I	Level II	Level III	
Assets measured on a nonrecurring basis:				
Impaired loans	\$ -	\$-	\$6,498	\$6,498
Other real estate owned	-	-	511	511

The following tables present additional quantitative information about assets measured at fair value on a nonrecurring basis and for which the Company uses Level III inputs to determine fair value:

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Quantitative Information about Level III Fair Value Measurements			
	Fair Value Estimate	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
	March 31, 2017			
Impaired loans	\$585	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments (2)	3.5% to 8.3% (5.6%)
Other real estate owned	\$27	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments (2)	0.0% to 10.0%

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Quantitative Information about Level III Fair Value Measurements			
	Fair Value Estimate	Valuation Techniques	Unobservable Input	Range (Weighted Average)
	December 31, 2016			
Impaired loans	\$4,928	Discounted cash flow	Discount rate	3.1% to 7.0% (5.1%)
	1,570	Appraisal of collateral (1)	Appraisal adjustments (2)	0.0% to 59.7% (28.2%)

Other real estate owned \$511 Appraisal of collateral (1) Appraisal adjustments (2) 0% to 10.0

- (1) Fair value is generally determined through independent appraisals of the underlying collateral, which generally include various level 3 inputs which are not identifiable, less any associated allowance.
Appraisals may be adjusted by management for qualitative factors such as economic conditions and estimated
- (2) liquidation expenses. The range and weighted average of liquidation expenses and other appraisal adjustments are presented as a percent of the appraisal.

The estimated fair value of the Company's financial instruments is as follows:

	March 31, 2017				Total Fair Value
	Carrying Value	Level I	Level II	Level III	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)				
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$62,364	\$62,364	\$-	\$-	\$62,364
Investment securities Available for sale	110,452	-	110,452	-	110,452
Loans held for sale	9,462	8,328	1,134	-	9,462
Net loans	830,438	-	-	834,096	834,096
Bank-owned life insurance	15,334	15,334	-	-	15,334
Federal Home Loan Bank stock	3,589	3,589	-	-	3,589
Accrued interest receivable	3,068	3,068	-	-	3,068

Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$847,851	\$603,907	\$-	\$205,035	\$808,942
Short-term borrowings	76,213	76,213	-	-	76,213
Other borrowings	39,388	-	-	16,565	16,565
Accrued interest payable	427	427	-	-	427

	December 31, 2016				Total Fair Value
	Carrying Value	Level I	Level II	Level III	
	(Dollar amounts in thousands)				
Financial assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$32,495	\$32,495	\$-	\$-	\$32,495
Investment securities Available for sale	114,376	-	114,376	-	114,376
Loans held for sale	634	-	634	-	634
Net loans	602,542	-	-	604,447	604,447
Bank-owned life insurance	13,540	13,540	-	-	13,540
Restricted stock	2,204	2,204	-	-	2,204
Accrued interest receivable	2,426	2,426	-	-	2,426

Financial liabilities:					
Deposits	\$629,934	\$440,500	\$-	\$189,871	\$630,371
Short-term borrowings	68,359	68,359	-	-	68,359
Other borrowings	9,437	-	-	9,512	9,512
Accrued interest payable	395	395	-	-	395

Financial instruments are defined as cash, evidence of ownership interest in an entity, or a contract which creates an obligation or right to receive or deliver cash or another financial instrument from/to a second entity on potentially favorable or unfavorable terms.

Fair value is defined as the amount at which a financial instrument could be exchanged in a current transaction between willing parties other than in a forced liquidation sale. If a quoted market price is available for a financial instrument, the estimated fair value would be calculated based upon the market price per trading unit of the instrument.

If no readily available market exists, the fair value estimates for financial instruments should be based upon management's judgment regarding current economic conditions, interest rate risk, expected cash flows, future estimated losses, and other factors as determined through various option pricing formulas or simulation modeling. Since many of these assumptions result from judgments made by management based upon estimates which are inherently uncertain, the resulting estimated fair values may not be indicative of the amount realizable in the sale of a particular financial instrument. In addition, changes in assumptions on which the estimated fair values are based may have a significant impact on the resulting estimated fair values.

As certain assets such as deferred tax assets and premises and equipment are not considered financial instruments, the estimated fair value of financial instruments would not represent the full value of the Company.

The Company employed simulation modeling in determining the estimated fair value of financial instruments for which quoted market prices were not available based upon the following assumptions:

Cash and Cash Equivalents, Federal Home Loan Bank Stock, Accrued Interest Receivable, Accrued Interest Payable, and Short-Term Borrowings

The fair value is equal to the current carrying value.

Bank-Owned Life Insurance

The fair value is equal to the cash surrender value of the life insurance policies.

Investment Securities Available for Sale

The fair value of investment securities is equal to the available quoted market price. If no quoted market price is available, fair value is estimated using the quoted market price for similar securities.

Loans Held for Sale

Loans held for sale are carried at lower of cost or fair value. The fair value of loans held for sale is based on secondary market pricing on portfolios with similar characteristics. The changes in fair value of the assets are largely driven by changes in interest rates subsequent to loan funding and changes in the fair value of servicing associated with the mortgage loan held for sale.

Net Loans

The fair value is estimated by discounting future cash flows using current market inputs at which loans with similar terms and qualities would be made to borrowers of similar credit quality. Where quoted market prices were available, primarily for certain residential mortgage loans, such market rates were used as estimates for fair value.

Deposits and Other Borrowings

The fair values of certificates of deposit and other borrowings are based on the discounted value of contractual cash flows. The discount rates are estimated using rates currently offered for similar instruments with similar remaining maturities. Demand, savings, and money market deposits are valued at the amount payable on demand as of period end.

Commitments to Extend Credit

These financial instruments are generally not subject to sale, and estimated fair values are not readily available. The carrying value, represented by the net deferred fee arising from the unrecognized commitment or letter of credit, and the fair value, determined by discounting the remaining contractual fee over the term of the commitment using fees currently charged to enter into similar agreements with similar credit risk, are not considered material for disclosure.

NOTE 5 – ACCUMULATED OTHER COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

The following tables present the changes in accumulated other comprehensive income by component net of tax for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively:

(Dollars in thousands)	Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities (a)
Balance as of December 31, 2016	\$ 1,201
Other comprehensive income before reclassification	153
Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(322)
Period change	(169)
Balance at March 31, 2017	\$ 1,032

(Dollars in thousands)	Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities
Balance as of December 31, 2015	\$ 2,395
Other comprehensive income before reclassification	360
Amount reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income	(34)
Period change	326
Balance at March 31, 2016	\$ 2,721

(a) All amounts are net of tax. Amounts in parentheses indicate debits to net income

The following tables present significant amounts reclassified out of each component of accumulated other comprehensive income for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, respectively:

(Dollars in thousands)	Amount Reclassified from Accumulated Other Comprehensive		Affected Line Item in the Statement Where
	Income (a) For the Three Months Ended March	Income (a) For the Three Months Ended March	
Details about other comprehensive income	31, 2017	31, 2016	Net Income is Presented
Unrealized gains on available-for-sale securities	\$488 (166)	\$ 51 (17)	Investment securities gains, net Income taxes Net of tax
	\$322	\$ 34	

(a) Amounts in parentheses indicate debits to net income

NOTE 6 - INVESTMENT SECURITIES AVAILABLE FOR SALE

The amortized cost and fair values of securities available for sale are as follows:

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	March 31, 2017			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. government agency securities	\$9,732	\$ 167	\$ (72) \$9,827
Obligations of states and political subdivisions:				
Taxable	1,614	129	(3) 1,740
Tax-exempt	76,804	1,658	(505) 77,957
Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities	19,091	172	(227) 19,036
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	1,479	123	-	1,602
Total debt securities	108,720	2,249	(807) 110,162
Equity securities in financial institutions	170	120	-	290
Total	\$108,890	\$ 2,369	\$ (807) \$110,452

	December 31, 2016			
	Amortized Cost	Gross Unrealized Gains	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value
U.S. government agency securities	\$10,158	\$ 174	\$ (96) \$10,236
Obligations of states and political subdivisions:				
Taxable	1,615	129	(4) 1,740
Tax-exempt	78,327	1,678	(522) 79,483
Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities	20,128	202	(261) 20,069
Private-label mortgage-backed securities	1,579	130	-	1,709
Total debt securities	111,807	2,313	(883) 113,237
Equity securities in financial institutions	750	389	-	1,139
Total	\$112,557	\$ 2,702	\$ (883) \$114,376

The amortized cost and fair value of debt securities at March 31, 2017, by contractual maturity, are shown below. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Amortized Fair	
	Cost	Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 3,922	\$3,975
Due after one year through five years	9,224	9,527
Due after five years through ten years	11,400	11,793
Due after ten years	84,174	84,867
Total	\$ 108,720	\$ 110,162

Proceeds from the sales of securities available for sale and the gross realized gains and losses for the three months ended March 31 are as follows:

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	For the Three Months	
	Ended March 31, 2017	2016
Proceeds from sales	\$ -	\$ 1,322
Gross realized gains	488	51
Gross realized losses	-	-

Investment securities with an approximate carrying value of \$58.4 million and \$60.3 million at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively, were pledged to secure deposits and other purposes as required by law. Pledged cash with a carrying value of \$4.5 million at March 31, 2017 was also pledged to secure deposits and other purposes as required by law.

Prior to the acquisition of Liberty Bank, N.A., the Company had a previously held equity interest in Liberty which was re-measured at fair value on the acquisition date and resulted in a gain of \$488,000, which was recorded in Investment Securities Gains on the consolidated Income Statement for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

The following tables show the Company's gross unrealized losses and fair value, aggregated by investment category and length of time that the individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	March 31, 2017					
	Less than Twelve Months		Twelve Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
U.S. government agency securities	\$3,723	\$ (35)	\$1,364	\$ (37)	\$5,087	\$ (72)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions						
Taxable	506	(3)	-	-	506	(3)
Tax-exempt	22,979	(505)	-	-	22,979	(505)
Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities	9,432	(114)	4,401	(113)	13,833	(227)
Total	\$36,640	\$ (657)	\$5,765	\$ (150)	\$42,405	\$ (807)

December 31, 2016

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

(Dollar amounts in thousands)	Less than Twelve Months		Twelve Months or Greater		Total	
	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	Gross Unrealized Losses
U.S. government agency securities	\$3,803	\$ (47)	\$1,316	\$ (49)	\$5,119	\$ (96)
Obligations of states and political subdivisions						
Taxable	502	(4)	-	-	502	(4)
Tax-exempt	23,554	(522)	-	-	23,554	(522)
Mortgage-backed securities in government-sponsored entities	9,066	(126)	4,438	(135)	13,504	(261)
Total	\$36,925	\$ (699)	\$5,754	\$ (184)	\$42,679	\$ (883)

There were 67 securities considered temporarily impaired at March 31, 2017.

On a quarterly basis, the Company performs an assessment to determine whether there have been any events or economic circumstances indicating that a security with an unrealized loss has suffered other-than-temporary impairment (“OTTI”). A debt security is considered impaired if the fair value is less than its amortized cost basis at the reporting date. The Company assesses whether the unrealized loss is other than temporary.

OTTI losses are recognized in earnings when the Company has the intent to sell the debt security or it is more likely than not that it will be required to sell the debt security before recovery of its amortized cost basis. However, even if the Company does not expect to sell a debt security, it must evaluate expected cash flows to be received and determine if a credit loss has occurred.

An unrealized loss is generally deemed to be other than temporary and a credit loss is deemed to exist if the present value of the expected future cash flows is less than the amortized cost basis of the debt security. As a result the credit loss component of an OTTI is recorded as a component of investment securities gains (losses) in the accompanying Consolidated Statement of Income, while the remaining portion of the impairment loss is recognized in other comprehensive income, provided the Company does not intend to sell the underlying debt security and it is “more likely than not” that the Company will not have to sell the debt security prior to recovery.

Debt securities issued by U.S. government agencies, U.S. government-sponsored enterprises, and state and political subdivisions accounted for more than 98.3% of the total available-for-sale portfolio as of March 31, 2017 and no credit losses are expected, given the explicit and implicit guarantees provided by the U.S. federal government and the lack of prolonged unrealized loss positions within the obligations of state and political subdivisions security portfolio. The Company considers the following factors in determining whether a credit loss exists and the period over which the debt security is expected to recover:

- The length of time and the extent to which the fair value has been less than the amortized cost basis.
- Changes in the near term prospects of the underlying collateral of a security such as changes in default rates, loss severity given default and significant changes in prepayment assumptions;
- The level of cash flows generated from the underlying collateral supporting the principal and interest payments of the debt securities; and

Any adverse change to the credit conditions and liquidity of the issuer, taking into consideration the latest information available about the overall financial condition of the issuer, credit ratings, recent legislation and government actions affecting the issuer’s industry and actions taken by the issuer to deal with the present economic climate.

For the three months ended March 31, 2017 and 2016, there were no available-for-sale debt securities with an unrealized loss that suffered OTTI. Management does not believe any individual unrealized loss as of March 31, 2017 or December 31, 2016 represented an other-than-temporary impairment. The unrealized losses on debt securities are primarily the result of interest rate changes. These conditions will not prohibit the Company from receiving its contractual principal and interest payments on these debt securities. The fair value of these debt securities is expected to recover as payments are received on these securities and they approach maturity. Should the impairment of any of these securities become other than temporary, the cost basis of the investment will be reduced and the resulting loss

recognized in net income in the period the other-than-temporary impairment is identified.

NOTE 7 - LOANS AND RELATED ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN AND LEASE LOSSES

Major classifications of loans are summarized as follows (in thousands):

	March 31, 2017	December 31, 2016
Commercial and industrial	\$91,777	\$ 60,630
Real estate - construction	29,238	23,709
Real estate - mortgage:		
Residential	300,508	270,830
Commercial	395,102	249,490
Consumer installment	20,533	4,481
	837,158	609,140
Less: Allowance for loan and lease losses	6,720	6,598
Net loans	\$830,438	\$ 602,542

The amounts above include deferred loan origination costs of \$3.2 million and \$1.7 million at March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, respectively.

The Company's primary business activity is with customers located within its local Northeastern Ohio trade area, eastern Geauga County, and contiguous counties to the north, east, and south. The Company also serves the central Ohio market with offices in Dublin, Sunbury and Westerville, Ohio. The Northeastern Ohio trade area includes the newly acquired Liberty locations in Beachwood, Twinsburg, and Solon, Ohio. Commercial, residential, consumer, and agricultural loans are granted. Although the Company has a diversified loan portfolio, loans outstanding to individuals and businesses are dependent upon the local economic conditions in the Company's immediate trade area.

Loans that management has the intent and ability to hold for the foreseeable future or until maturity or payoff generally are reported at their outstanding unpaid principal balances net of the allowance for loan and lease losses. Interest income is recognized as income when earned on the accrual method. The accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions, the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of interest is doubtful. Interest received on nonaccrual loans is recorded as income or applied against principal according to management's judgment as to the collectability of such principal.

Loan origination fees and certain direct loan origination costs are being deferred and the net amount amortized as an adjustment of the related loan's yield. Management is amortizing these amounts over the contractual life of the related loans.

The following tables summarize the primary segments of the loan portfolio and allowance for loan and lease losses (in thousands):

March 31, 2017	Commercial and industrial	Real estate- construction	Real Estate- Mortgage		Consumer installment	Total
			Residential	Commercial		
Loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,553	\$ 706	\$3,049	\$ 6,967	\$ 4	\$12,279
Collectively evaluated for impairment	90,224	28,532	297,459	388,135	20,529	824,879
Total loans	\$ 91,777	\$ 29,238	\$300,508	\$ 395,102	\$ 20,533	\$837,158

December 31, 2016	Commercial and industrial	Real estate- construction	Real estate- Mortgage		Consumer installment	Total
			Residential	Commercial		
Loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 1,190	\$ 913	\$3,135	\$ 7,187	\$ 5	\$12,430
Collectively evaluated for impairment	59,440	22,796	267,695	242,303	4,476	596,710
Total loans	\$ 60,630	\$ 23,709	\$270,830	\$ 249,490	\$ 4,481	\$609,140

March 31, 2017	Commercial and industrial	Real estate- construction	Real Estate- Mortgage		Consumer installment	Total
			Residential	Commercial		
Allowance for loan and lease losses:						
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 237	\$ -	\$104	\$ 188	\$ -	\$529
Collectively evaluated for impairment	379	186	2,419	3,190	17	6,191
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 616	\$ 186	\$2,523	\$ 3,378	\$ 17	\$6,720

Real Estate-
Mortgage

December 31, 2016	Commercial and industrial	Real estate- construction	Residential	Commercial	Consumer installment	Total
Allowance for loan and lease losses:						
Ending allowance balance attributable to loans:						
Individually evaluated for impairment	\$ 90	\$ -	\$251	\$ 186	\$ -	\$527
Collectively evaluated for impairment	358	172	2,567	2,949	25	6,071
Total ending allowance balance	\$ 448	\$ 172	\$2,818	\$ 3,135	\$ 25	\$6,598

The Company's loan portfolio is segmented to a level that allows management to monitor risk and performance. The portfolio is segmented into Commercial and Industrial ("C&I"), Real Estate Construction, Real Estate - Mortgage which is further segmented into Residential and Commercial real estate ("CRE"), and Consumer Installment Loans. The C&I loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial customers. The residential mortgage loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of residential homeowners. The commercial mortgage loan segment consists of loans made for the purpose of financing the activities of commercial real estate owners and operators. The consumer loan segment consists primarily of installment loans and overdraft lines of credit connected with customer deposit accounts. The increase in the allowance for loan loss for C&I, Real Estate Construction, and CRE loan portfolios were partially offset by decreases in the allowance for the Residential and Consumer Installment loan portfolios.

Management evaluates individual loans in all of the commercial segments for possible impairment based on guidance established by the Board of Directors. Loans are considered to be impaired when, based on current information and events, it is probable that the Company will be unable to collect the scheduled payments of principal or interest when due according to the contractual terms of the loan agreement. Factors considered by management in evaluating impairment include payment status, collateral value, and the probability of collecting scheduled principal and interest payments when due. Management determines the significance of payment delays and payment shortfalls on a case-by-case basis, taking into consideration all of the circumstances surrounding the loan and the borrower, including the length of the delay, the reasons for the delay, the borrower's prior payment record, and the amount of the shortfall in relation to the principal and interest owed. The Company does not separately evaluate individual consumer and residential mortgage loans for impairment, unless such loans are part of a larger relationship that is impaired.

Once the determination has been made that a loan is impaired, the determination of whether a specific allocation of the allowance is necessary is measured by comparing the recorded investment in the loan to the fair value of the loan using one of the following methods: (a) the present value of expected future cash flows discounted at the loan's effective interest rate; (b) the loan's observable market price; or (c) the fair value of the collateral less selling costs. The method is selected on a loan-by-loan basis, with management primarily utilizing the fair value of collateral method. The evaluation of the need and amount of a specific allocation of the allowance and whether a loan can be removed from impairment status is made on a quarterly basis. The Company's policy for recognizing interest income on impaired loans does not differ from its overall policy for interest recognition.

The following tables present impaired loans by class, segregated by those for which a specific allowance was required and those for which a specific allowance was not necessary (in thousands):

March 31, 2017

Impaired Loans

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 488	485	-
Real estate - construction	706	675	-
Real estate - mortgage:			
Residential	2,296	2,286	-
Commercial	1,616	1,610	-
Consumer installment	4	4	-
Total	\$ 5,110	\$ 5,060	\$ -
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,065	1,060	237
Real estate - construction	-	-	-
Real estate - mortgage:			
Residential	753	749	104
Commercial	5,351	5,319	188
Total	\$ 7,169	\$ 7,128	\$ 529
Total:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,553	\$ 1,545	\$ 237
Real estate - construction	706	675	-
Real estate - mortgage:			
Residential	3,049	3,035	104
Commercial	6,967	6,929	188
Consumer installment	4	4	-
Total	\$ 12,279	\$ 12,188	\$ 529

December 31, 2016

Impaired Loans

	Recorded Investment	Unpaid Principal Balance	Related Allowance
With no related allowance recorded:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 319	\$ 318	\$ -
Real estate - construction	913	909	-
Real estate - mortgage:			
Residential	2,142	2,140	-
Commercial	2,031	2,027	-
Total	\$ 5,405	\$ 5,394	\$ -
With an allowance recorded:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 871	\$ 868	\$ 90
Real estate - construction	-	-	-
Real estate - mortgage:			
Residential	993	991	251
Commercial	5,156	5,147	186
Consumer installment	5	5	-
Total	\$ 7,025	\$ 7,011	\$ 527
Total:			
Commercial and industrial	\$ 1,190	\$ 1,186	\$ 90
Real estate - construction	913	909	-
Real estate - mortgage:			
Residential	3,135	3,131	251
Commercial	7,187	7,174	186
Consumer installment	5	5	-
Total	\$ 12,430	\$ 12,405	\$ 527

The tables above include troubled debt restructuring totaling \$1.7 million at March 31, 2017 and \$6.7 million as of December 31, 2016.

The following tables present the average balance and interest income by class, recognized on impaired loans (in thousands):

For the Three Months
Ended March 31,

2017
 Average Interest
 Recorded Income
 Investment Recognized

Total:		
Commercial and industrial	\$1,372	\$ 84
Real estate - construction	810	-
Real estate - mortgage:		
Residential	3,092	22
Commercial	7,077	88
Consumer installment	5	-
	\$12,356	\$ 194

For the Three Months
 Ended March 31,
 2016
 Average Interest
 Recorded Income
 Investment Recognized

Total:

Commercial and industrial	\$1,337	\$ 13
Real estate - construction	1,620	25
Real estate - mortgage:		
Residential	3,983	36
Commercial	7,719	123
Consumer installment	6	-
	\$14,665	\$ 197

Management uses a nine-point internal risk-rating system to monitor the credit quality of the overall loan portfolio. The first five categories are considered not criticized and are aggregated as Pass rated. The criticized rating categories utilized by management generally follow bank regulatory definitions. The Special Mention category includes assets that are currently protected but are potentially weak, resulting in an undue and unwarranted credit risk, but not to the point of justifying a Substandard classification. Loans in the Substandard category have well-defined weaknesses that jeopardize the liquidation of the debt and have a distinct possibility that some loss will be sustained if the weaknesses are not corrected. All loans greater than 90 days past due are considered Substandard. Any portion of a loan that has been charged off is placed in the Loss category.

To help ensure that risk ratings are accurate and reflect the present and future capacity of borrowers to repay a loan as agreed, the Company has a structured loan-rating process with several layers of internal and external oversight. Generally, consumer and residential mortgage loans are included in the Pass categories unless a specific action, such as bankruptcy, repossession, or death, occurs to raise awareness of a possible credit event. The Company's Commercial Loan Officers are responsible for the timely and accurate risk rating of the loans in their portfolios at origination and on an ongoing basis with the Chief Credit Officer ultimately responsible for accurate and timely risk ratings. The Credit Department performs an annual review of all commercial relationships with loan balances of \$1,000,000 or greater. Confirmation of the appropriate risk grade is included in the review on an ongoing basis. The Company engages an external consultant to conduct loan reviews on a semiannual basis. Generally, the external consultant reviews commercial relationships greater than \$250,000 and/or criticized relationships greater than \$125,000. Detailed reviews, including plans for resolution, are performed on loans classified as Substandard on a quarterly basis. Loans in the Special Mention and Substandard categories that are collectively evaluated for impairment are given separate consideration in the determination of the allowance.

The primary risk of commercial and industrial loans is the current economic uncertainties. C&I loans are, by nature, secured by less substantial collateral than real estate-secured loans. The primary risk of real estate construction loans is potential delays and /or disputes during the completion process. The primary risk of residential real estate loans is current economic uncertainties along with the slow recovery in the housing market. The primary risk of commercial real estate loans is loss of income of the owner or occupier of the property and the inability of the market to sustain

rent levels. Consumer installment loans historically have experienced higher delinquency rates. Consumer installments are typically secured by less substantial collateral than other types of credits.

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aggregate Pass and the criticized categories of Special Mention, Substandard and Doubtful within the internal risk-rating system (in thousands):

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total Loans
March 31, 2017					
Commercial and industrial	\$85,248	\$ 2,051	\$ 4,478	\$ -	\$91,777
Real estate - construction	29,215	-	23	-	29,238
Real estate - mortgage:					
Residential	294,284	568	5,656	-	300,508
Commercial	386,346	3,844	4,912	-	395,102
Consumer installment	20,526	-	7	-	20,533
Total	\$815,619	\$ 6,463	\$ 15,076	\$ -	\$837,158

	Pass	Special Mention	Substandard	Doubtful	Total Loans
December 31, 2016					
Commercial and industrial	\$58,539	\$ 663	\$ 1,428	\$ -	\$60,630
Real estate - construction	23,541	144	24	-	23,709
Real estate - mortgage:					
Residential	264,481	428	5,921	-	270,830
Commercial	240,678	4,422	4,390	-	249,490
Consumer installment	4,467	-	14	-	4,481
Total	\$591,706	\$ 5,657	\$ 11,777	\$ -	\$609,140

Management further monitors the performance and credit quality of the loan portfolio by analyzing the age of the portfolio as determined by the length of time a recorded payment is past due.

Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans, troubled debt restructurings (TDRs), loans 90 days or more past due, EMORECO assets, other real estate owned, and repossessed assets. A loan is classified as nonaccrual when, in the opinion of management, there are serious doubts about collectability of interest and principal. Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions, the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of principal and interest is doubtful. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied against the principal balance.

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by the aging categories of performing loans (in thousands):

	Current	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days+ Past Due	Total Past Due	Total Loans
March 31, 2017						
Commercial and industrial	\$90,236	\$1,325	\$9	\$207	\$1,541	\$91,777
Real estate - construction	29,238	-	-	-	-	29,238
Real estate - mortgage:						
Residential	296,786	2,767	454	501	3,722	300,508
Commercial	394,255	361	30	456	847	395,102
Consumer installment	20,503	17	13	-	30	20,533
Total	\$831,018	\$4,470	\$506	\$1,164	\$6,140	\$837,158

	Current	30-59 Days Past Due	60-89 Days Past Due	90 Days+ Past Due	Total Past Due	Total Loans
December 31, 2016						
Commercial and industrial	\$60,407	\$17	\$2	\$204	\$223	\$60,630
Real estate - construction	23,709	-	-	-	-	23,709
Real estate - mortgage:						
Residential	268,041	1,909	207	673	2,789	270,830
Commercial	249,081	92	-	317	409	249,490
Consumer installment	4,465	-	10	6	16	4,481
Total	\$605,703	\$2,018	\$219	\$1,200	\$3,437	\$609,140

The following tables present the classes of the loan portfolio summarized by nonaccrual loans (in thousands):

March 31, 2017	Nonaccrual	90+ Days Past Due and Accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 410	\$ -
Real estate - construction	-	-
Real estate - mortgage:		
Residential	4,145	35
Commercial	1,990	-
Consumer installment	-	-
Total	\$ 6,545	\$ 35

December 31, 2016	Nonaccrual	90+ Days Past Due and Accruing
Commercial and industrial	\$ 454	\$ -
Real estate - construction	-	-
Real estate - mortgage:		

Residential	4,034	-
Commercial	1,409	-
Consumer installment	6	-
Total	\$ 5,903	\$ -

Interest income that would have been recorded had these loans not been placed on nonaccrual status was \$462,000 for the three months ended March 31, 2017 and \$309,000 for the year ended December 31, 2016.

An allowance for loan and lease losses (“ALLL”) is maintained to absorb losses from the loan portfolio. The ALLL is based on management’s continuing evaluation of the risk characteristics and credit quality of the loan portfolio, assessment of current economic conditions, diversification and size of the portfolio, adequacy of collateral, past and anticipated loss experience, and the amount of nonperforming loans.

The Company’s methodology for determining the ALLL is based on the requirements of ASC Section 310-10-35 for loans individually evaluated for impairment (discussed above) and ASC Subtopic 450-20 for loans collectively evaluated for impairment, as well as the Interagency Policy Statements on the Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and other bank regulatory guidance. The total of the two components represents the Company’s ALLL. Management also performs impairment analyses on TDRs, which may result in specific reserves.

Loans that are collectively evaluated for impairment are analyzed with general allowances being made as appropriate. For general allowances, historical loss trends are used in the estimation of losses in the current portfolio. These historical loss amounts are modified by other qualitative factors.

The classes described above, which are based on the purpose code assigned to each loan, provide the starting point for the ALLL analysis. Management tracks the historical net charge-off activity at the purpose code level. The historical charge-off factor was calculated using the last twelve consecutive historical quarters.

Management has identified a number of additional qualitative factors which it uses to supplement the historical charge-off factor because these factors are likely to cause estimated credit losses associated with the existing loan pools to differ from historical loss experience. The additional factors that are evaluated quarterly and updated using information obtained from internal, regulatory, and governmental sources are: national and local economic trends and conditions; levels of and trends in delinquency rates and nonaccrual loans; trends in volumes and terms of loans; effects of changes in lending policies; experience, ability, and depth of lending staff; value of underlying collateral; and concentrations of credit from a loan type, industry and/or geographic standpoint.

Management reviews the loan portfolio on a quarterly basis using a defined, consistently applied process in order to make appropriate and timely adjustments to the ALLL. When information confirms all or part of specific loans to be uncollectible, these amounts are promptly charged off against the ALLL.

The following tables summarize the primary segments of the loan portfolio (in thousands):

	Commercial and industrial	Real estate- construction	Real estate- residential mortgage	Real estate- commercial mortgage	Consumer installment	Total
ALLL balance at December 31, 2016	\$ 448	\$ 172	\$ 2,818	\$ 3,135	\$ 25	\$6,598
Charge-offs	(20)	-	(68)	(19)	(101)	(208)
Recoveries	78	17	7	-	63	165
Provision	110	(3)	(234)	262	30	165
ALLL balance at March 31, 2017	\$ 616	\$ 186	\$ 2,523	\$ 3,378	\$ 17	\$6,720
	Commercial	Real estate-		Real estate-	Consumer	Total

Edgar Filing: MIDDLEFIELD BANC CORP - Form 10-Q

	and industrial	construction	Real estate- residential	commercial mortgage	installment		
ALLL balance at December 31, 2015	\$ 867	\$ 276	\$ 3,139	\$ 2,078	\$ 25		\$6,385
Charge-offs	(120)	-	(42)	-	(15)	(177)	
Recoveries	37	-	4	-	3	44	
Provision	(201)	(29)	(385)	705	15	105	
ALLL balance at March 31, 2016	\$ 583	\$ 247	\$ 2,716	\$ 2,783	\$ 28		\$6,357

The following tables summarize troubled debt restructurings (in thousands):

Troubled Debt Restructurings	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2017			Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
	Number of Contracts				
	Term	Modification	Total		
Commercial and industrial	1	-	1	\$ 50	\$ 50
Residential real estate	2	-	2	36	36

Troubled Debt Restructurings	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2016			Pre-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment	Post-Modification Outstanding Recorded Investment
	Number of Contracts				
	Term	Modification	Total		
Commercial and industrial	2	-	2	\$ 33	\$ 33
Residential real estate	2	-	2	74	74
Commercial real estate	2	-	2	581	581

The following tables summarizes subsequent defaults of troubled debt restructurings (in thousands):

Troubled Debt Restructurings subsequently defaulted	For the Three Months Ended March 31, 2017	
	Number of Contracts	Investment Recorded
Residential real estate	1	\$ 33

There were no subsequent defaults of troubled debt restructurings for the three months ended March 31, 2016.

NOTE 8 – OTHER REAL ESTATE OWNED (“OREO”)

OREO comprises foreclosed assets acquired in settlement of loans and is carried at fair value less estimated cost to sell and is included in other assets on the Consolidated Balance Sheet. At March 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, there was \$1.6 million and \$934,000 of OREO, respectively. As of March 31, 2017, there were no formal foreclosure proceedings in process.

NOTE 9 – BUSINESS ACQUISITION

In the second quarter of 2016, the Company announced the signing of a definitive merger agreement to acquire 100% of the outstanding equity interest of Liberty Bank for cash and stock. Liberty was an Ohio bank that conducted its business from a main office in Beachwood, Ohio with branches in Twinsburg and Solon, Ohio.

The transaction closed on January 12, 2017, with Liberty having been merged into Middlefield Bank, with Middlefield Bank as the surviving entity. The acquisition established the Company’s presence in Cuyahoga and Summit Counties.

Under the terms of the merger agreement, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Liberty for a total purchase price of \$42.2 million. As a result of the acquisition, the Company issued 544,610 common shares and \$21.2 million in cash to the former shareholders of Liberty Bank. The shares were issued with a value of \$38.55 per share, which was the closing price of the Company’s stock on January 12, 2017. Prior to the acquisition the Company had a previously held equity interest in Liberty Bank which was re-measured at fair value on the acquisition date and resulted in a gain of \$488,000, which was recorded in the Investment Securities Gains – Taxable Interest line on the consolidated Income Statement for the three months ended March 31, 2017.

The acquired assets and assumed liabilities were measured at estimated fair values. The Company relied on the income approach to estimate the value of the loans. The loans’ underlying characteristics (account types, remaining terms (in months), annual interest rates or coupons, interest types, past delinquencies, timing of principal and interest payments, current market rates, loan-to-value ratios, loss exposures and remaining balance) were considered. Various assumptions were applied regarding credit, interest, and prepayment risks for the loans based on loan types, payment types and fixed or variable classifications.

The Company also recorded an identifiable intangible asset representing the core deposit base of Liberty Bank. The discounted cash flow method was used in valuing this intangible. This method is based upon the principle of future benefits; economic value is based on anticipated future benefits as measured by cash flows expected to occur in the future. The estimated future cash flows are converted to a value indicator by determining the present value of the cash flows using a discount rate. The discount rate is based upon the nature of the business, the level of risk, and the expected stability of the estimated future cash flows. The higher the risk, the higher the discount rate, and the lower the value indicator.

Time deposit fair values were estimated using an income approach. The methodology entailed discounting the contractual cash flows of the instruments over their remaining contractual lives at prevailing market rates. Interest and principal payments were projected for each category of CDs over the period from the valuation date to the maturity dates. These payments represent future cash flows to be paid to depositors until maturity. Using appropriate market interest rates for each category of CDs the future cash flows were discounted to their present value equivalents. The market interest rates were selected based on peer rates in Ohio from Bankrate as of the valuation date.

The following table summarizes the purchase of Liberty Bank as of January 12, 2017:

(In Thousands, Except Per Share Data)

Purchase Price Consideration in Common Stock		
Middlefield Banc Corp shares issued	544,610	
Value assigned to Middlefield Banc Corp common share	\$38.55	
Purchase price assigned to Liberty Bank common shares exchanged for Middlefield Banc Corp shares		20,995
Purchase Price Consideration in Cash		
Purchase price assigned to Liberty Bank common shares exchanged for cash		21,173
Total Purchase Price		42,168
Previously held equity interest in Liberty Bank		(1,068)
Net Assets Acquired:		
Liberty Bank shareholders equity	\$30,097	
Adjustments to reflect assets acquired at fair value:		
Loans		
Allowance for loan loss	3,257	
Core deposit intangible	3,087	
Loans - interest rate	578	
Loans - general credit	(2,161))
Deferred tax asset	(1,104))
Other	254	
Adjustments to reflect liabilities acquired at fair value:		
Time deposits	(141))
Change in control	(1,718))
		32,149
Goodwill resulting from merger		\$11,087

The following condensed statement reflects the amounts recognized as of the acquisition date for each major class of asset acquired and liability assumed, at fair value:

(In Thousands)	
Total purchase price	\$42,168
Assets (liabilities) acquired:	
Net assets acquired:	
Cash	26,604
Loans	200,273
Premises and equipment, net	325
Accrued interest receivable	440
Bank-owned life insurance	1,681
Core deposit intangible	3,087
Deferred tax asset	(1,104)
Other assets	997
Time deposits	(30,744)
Non-time deposits	(167,300)
Accrued interest payable	(47)
Other liabilities	(3,131)
	31,081
Goodwill resulting from the Liberty Bank merger	\$11,087

Middlefield recorded goodwill and intangibles associated with the purchase of Liberty Bank totaling \$11,087,000. Goodwill is not amortized, but is periodically evaluated for impairment. The Bank did not recognize any impairment during the three months ended March 31, 2017.

Identifiable intangibles are amortized to their estimated residual values over the expected useful lives. Such lives are also periodically reassessed to determine if any amortization period adjustments are required. During the three months ended March 31, 2017, no such adjustments were recorded. The identifiable intangible assets consist of a core deposit intangible which is being amortized over the estimated useful life. The gross carrying amount of the core deposit intangible at March 31, 2017 was \$3,025,000 with \$62,000 accumulated amortization as of that date.

As of March 31, 2017, the current year and estimated future amortization expense for the core deposits intangible.

2018	348
2019	341
2020	332
2021	321
2022	309
2023	296
2024	281
2025	264
2026	253
	3,025

Results of operations for Liberty prior to the acquisition date are not included in the Consolidated Statement of Income for the three-month period ended March 31, 2017. The results of activities from the former Liberty Bank operations that are included in the Consolidated Statement of Income from the date of acquisition through March 31, 2017 are broken out in the following table:

	Actual From Acquisition Date Through March 31, 2017 (in thousands)
Net interest income	\$ 2,735
Noninterest income	291
Net income	\$ 98

The table below presents unaudited pro forma information as if the acquisition of Liberty Bank had occurred on January 1, 2016 and as if the acquisition had occurred on January 1, 2017. This had been prepared for comparative purposes only and is not necessarily indicative of the actual results that would have been attained had the acquisition occurred as of the beginning of the periods presented, nor is it indicative of future results. Furthermore, the unaudited pro forma information does not reflect management's estimate of any revenue-enhancing opportunities nor anticipated cost savings as a result of the integration and consolidation of the acquisition. Merger and acquisition integration costs and amortization of fair value adjustments are included in the amounts below.

Pro Formas
Three-month
period ended
March 31,
2017 2016
(in thousands,
except per share
data)

Net interest income	\$9,055	\$8,330
Noninterest income	1,572	1,157
Net income	\$1,083	\$1,822
Pro forma earnings per share:		
Basic	\$0.40	\$0.97
Diluted	\$0.40	\$0.97

Included in the above net income amount for March 31, 2017 are \$467,000 of non-reoccurring merger expenses.

NOTE 10 – SUBSEQUENT EVENT

Pursuant to its private placement, on May 9, 2017, the Company sold 400,000 shares of its common stock, no par value, at a purchase price of \$40.00 per share. The offering was to accredited investors only, without the use of a general solicitation or general advertising. The gross proceeds of the offering were \$16,000,000 before compensation of \$760,000 payable to the investment bank acting as placement agent. The offer and sale of the Company’s common stock in the private placement was exempt from the registration requirements of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the “Securities Act”) pursuant to Section 4(a)(2) of, and Rule 506 of Regulation D under, the Securities Act.

Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

The following discussion and analysis provides further detail to the financial condition and results of operations of the Company. The MD&A should be read in conjunction with the notes and financial statements presented in this report.

The information contained or incorporated by reference in this current report on Form 10-Q contain forward-looking statements, including certain plans, expectations, goals, and projections, which are subject to numerous assumptions, risks, and uncertainties. Actual results could differ materially from those contained or implied by such statements for a variety of factors, including: changes in economic conditions; movements in interest rates; competitive pressures on product pricing and services; success and timing of business strategies; the nature, extent, and timing of government actions and reforms; and extended disruption of vital infrastructure. All forward-looking statements included in this current report on Form 10-Q are based on information available at the time of the report. Middlefield Banc Corp. assumes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement.

CHANGES IN FINANCIAL CONDITION

General. The Company's total assets ended the March 31, 2017 quarter at \$1.1 billion, an increase of \$281.6 million or 35.7% from December 31, 2016. For the same time period, cash and cash equivalents increased \$29.9 million, or 91.9% while net loans increased \$227.9 million, or 37.8%. Total liabilities increased \$259.3 million, or 36.5% while stockholders' equity increased \$22.4 million, or 29.0%.

On January 12, 2017, the Company completed its acquisition of Liberty Bank, N.A. ("Liberty"), pursuant to a previously announced definitive merger agreement. Under the terms of the merger agreement, Liberty shareholders received \$37.96 in cash or 1.1934 shares of Middlefield's common stock in exchange for each share of Liberty common stock they owned immediately prior to the merger. Middlefield issued 544,610 shares of its common stock in the merger and the aggregate merger consideration was approximately \$42.2 million.

Cash and cash equivalents. Cash and due from banks and Federal funds sold represent cash and cash equivalents. Cash and cash equivalents increased \$29.9 million or 91.9% to \$62.4 million at March 31, 2017 from \$32.5 million at December 31, 2016. Deposits from customers into savings and checking accounts, loan and securities repayments and proceeds from borrowed funds typically increase these accounts. Decreases result from customer withdrawals, new loan originations, purchases of investment securities and repayments of borrowed funds.

Investment securities. Investment securities available for sale on March 31, 2017 totaled \$110.5 million, a decrease of \$3.9 million or 3.4% from \$114.4 million at December 31, 2016. During this period the Company recorded repayments, calls, and maturities of \$3.5 million. The Company recorded \$488,000 in security gains as of March 31, 2017 which is the liquidation of the Company's investment in Liberty stock due to the acquisition. There were no securities available for sale sold or purchased during the period.

Loans receivable. The loans receivable category consists primarily of single-family mortgage loans used to purchase or refinance personal residences located within the Company's market area and commercial real estate loans used to finance properties that are used in the borrowers' businesses or to finance investor-owned rental properties, and to a lesser extent, construction and consumer loans. Net loans receivable increased \$227.9 million or 37.8% to \$830.4 million as of March 31, 2017 from \$602.5 million at December 31, 2016 due to the Liberty acquisition as well as strategic growth goals. The Liberty acquisition resulted in a net increase of loans receivable of \$192.6 million as of March 31, 2017. Included in the total increase to loans receivable were increases in the residential real estate, commercial and industrial, commercial real estate, and construction portfolios of \$29.7 million, or 11.0%, \$31.2 million, or 51.4%, \$145.6 million, or 58.4% and \$5.5 million, or 23.3%, respectively.

The Company's Mortgage Banking operation generates loans for sale to FHLMC. Loans held for sale on March 31, 2017 totaled \$9.5 million, an increase of \$8.8 million, or 1,392.4%, from December 31, 2016. \$8.3 million of this increase is the result of the acquisition of Liberty's Student Lending loans which are classified as Loans Held for Sale as of March 31, 2017. This increase is also a result of a greater number of funded loans being held in the warehouse at quarter end.

Student Lending Through its merger with Liberty Bank, N.A., on January 12, 2017, MBC has acquired Liberty's private student loan business, which provides qualified borrowers nationwide with the ability to finance the costs associated with obtaining their undergraduate or graduate degrees and to refinance their existing student loans. Pursuant to loan origination agreements with student loan origination and servicing companies, MBC will make student loans to qualified students and sell those loans, without recourse and with servicing released, into the secondary market. This "originate-to-sell" model allows the Bank to enhance its liquidity, making credit more widely available while transferring the risk of non-payment to third parties. During the three-month period ending March 31, 2017, the Company originated \$110.8 million in student loans. We anticipate continuing the student loan program but can give no assurance that MBC will originate student loans at equivalent levels.

Allowance for Loan and Lease Losses and Asset Quality. The allowance for loan and lease losses increased \$122,000 or 1.8% to \$6.7 million at March 31, 2017 from \$6.6 million at December 31, 2016. For the three months ended March 31, 2017, net loan charge-offs totaled \$43,000, or 0.01% of average loans, compared to net charge-offs of \$133,000, or 0.10%, for the same period in 2016. To maintain the allowance for loan and lease losses, the Company recorded a provision for loan loss of \$165,000 in the three month period ending March 31, 2017, and a provision of \$105,000 in the three month period ending March 31, 2016. MBC recorded loans acquired through the Liberty acquisition net of any allowance. As such, the acquisition of these loans had a negligible effect on the determination of the allowance for loans and lease losses as of March 31, 2017.

Management analyzes the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses regularly through reviews of the performance of the loan portfolio considering economic conditions, changes in interest rates and the effect of such changes on real estate values and changes in the amount and composition of the loan portfolio. The allowance for loan and lease losses is a significant estimate that is particularly susceptible to changes in the near term. Such evaluation includes a review of all loans designated as impaired, historical loan loss experience, the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral, economic conditions, current interest rates, trends in the borrower's industry and other factors that management believes warrant recognition in providing for an appropriate allowance for loan and lease losses. Future additions or reductions to the allowance for loan and lease losses will be dependent on these factors. Additionally, the Company uses an outside party to conduct an independent review of commercial and commercial real estate loans that is designed to validate management conclusions of risk ratings and the appropriateness of the allowance allocated to these loans. The Company uses the results of this review to help determine the effectiveness of policies and procedures and to assess the adequacy of the allowance for loan and lease losses allocated to these types of loans. Management believes the allowance for loan and lease losses is appropriately stated at March 31, 2017. Based on the variables involved and management's judgments about uncertain outcomes, the determination of the allowance for loan and lease losses is considered a critical accounting policy.

Nonperforming assets. Nonperforming assets include nonaccrual loans, troubled debt restructurings (TDRs), loans 90 days or more past due, EMORECO assets, other real estate, and repossessed assets. Real estate owned is written down to fair value at its initial recording and continually monitored for changes in fair value. A loan is classified as nonaccrual when, in the opinion of management, there are serious doubts about collectability of interest and principal. Accrual of interest is discontinued on a loan when management believes, after considering economic and business conditions, the borrower's financial condition is such that collection of principal and interest is doubtful. Payments received on nonaccrual loans are applied against principal until doubt about collectability ceases. TDRs are those loans which the Company, for economic or legal reasons related to a borrower's financial difficulties, grants a concession to the borrower that the Company would not otherwise consider. The Company has 21 TDRs with a total balance of \$1.4 million as of March 31, 2017. A TDR that yields a market interest rate at the time of restructuring and is in compliance with its modified terms is no longer reported as TDR in calendar years after the year in which the restructuring took place. To be in compliance with its modified terms, a loan that is a TDR must not be in nonaccrual status and must be current or less than 30 days past due on its c