

VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP
Form 10-K
February 13, 2013
Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION • WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

| | | |
|---|-----------|--|
| <p>x Annual Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012</p> | <p>or</p> | <p>o Transition Report Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of The Securities Exchange Act of 1934 For the transition period from to</p> |
|---|-----------|--|

Commission file number 001-32887
VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

| | |
|--|--|
| <p>Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)</p> | <p>11-3547680 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)</p> |
|--|--|

| | |
|---|-----------------------------|
| <p>23 Main Street, Holmdel, New Jersey (Address of principal executive offices)</p> | <p>07733 (Zip Code)</p> |
|---|-----------------------------|

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (732) 528-2600

| | |
|---|---|
| Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act: | |
| Title of each class | Name of each exchange on which registered |
| Common Stock, Par Value \$0.001 Per Share | The New York Stock Exchange |
| Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: | |

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulations S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Edgar Filing: VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K. x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer", "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. Check one:

- Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer
 Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No x

The aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common equity held by non-affiliates of the registrant at June 30, 2012 was \$344,612,156 based on the closing price of \$2.01 per share.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant's common stock as of January 31, 2013 was 214,103,180.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Selected portions of the Vonage Holdings Corp. definitive Proxy Statement, which will be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2012, are incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP.
 FORM 10-K
 FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED December 31, 2012

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|------------|
| PART I | |
| Item 1. <u>Business</u> | <u>2</u> |
| Item 1A. <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>8</u> |
| Item 1B. <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>16</u> |
| Item 2. <u>Properties</u> | <u>16</u> |
| Item 3. <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>17</u> |
| Item 4. <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>19</u> |
| PART II | |
| Item 5. <u>Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>20</u> |
| Item 6. <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>22</u> |
| Item 7. <u>Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>29</u> |
| Item 7A. <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Item 8. <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Item 9. <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>38</u> |
| Item 9A. <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>39</u> |
| Item 9B. <u>Other Information</u> | <u>40</u> |
| PART III | |
| Item 10. <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>41</u> |
| Item 11. <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>41</u> |
| Item 12. <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>41</u> |
| Item 13. <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>41</u> |
| Item 14. <u>Principal Accountant Fees and Services</u> | <u>41</u> |
| PART IV | |
| Item 15. <u>Exhibits, Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>42</u> |
| | <u>46</u> |
| | <u>F-1</u> |

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

VONAGE ANNUAL REPORT 2012

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Annual Report on Form 10-K contains statements and other information which are deemed to be “forward-looking” within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 (the “Litigation Reform Act”). These forward-looking statements and other information are based on our beliefs as well as assumptions made by us using information currently available.

The words “plan,” “anticipate,” “believe,” “estimate,” “expect,” “intend,” “will,” “should” and similar expressions, as they relate to us, are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such statements reflect our current views with respect to future events, are subject to certain risks, uncertainties, and assumptions, and are not a guarantee of future performance. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual results may vary materially from those described in such forward-looking statements or information. In light of the significant uncertainties in these forward-looking statements, you should not place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements. The forward-looking statements and information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K relate to events and state our beliefs and the assumptions made by us only as to the date of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. We do not intend to update these forward-looking statements, except as required by law. In accordance with the provisions of the Litigation Reform Act, we are making investors aware that such forward-looking statements, because they relate to future events, are by their very nature subject to many important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those contemplated by the forward-looking statements contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, any exhibits to this Form 10-K and other public statements we make. Such factors include, but are not limited to: the competition we face; our ability to adapt to rapid changes

in the market for voice and messaging services; our ability to retain customers and attract new customers; our ability to establish and expand strategic alliances; governmental regulation and related actions and taxes in our international operations; increased market and competitive risks, including currency restrictions, in our international operations; risks related to the acquisition or integration of future businesses or joint ventures; our ability to obtain or maintain relevant intellectual property licenses; intellectual property and other litigation that have been and may be brought against us; failure to protect our trademarks and internally developed software; security breaches and other compromises of information security; our dependence on third party facilities, equipment, systems and services; system disruptions or flaws in our technology and systems; uncertainties relating to regulation of VoIP services; liability under anti-corruption laws; results of regulatory inquiries into our business practices; fraudulent use of our name or services; our ability to maintain data security; our dependence upon key personnel; our dependence on our customers' existing broadband connections; differences between our service and traditional phone services, including our 911 service; restrictions in our debt agreements that may limit our operating flexibility; our ability to obtain additional financing if required; any reinstatement of holdbacks by our vendors; our history of net losses and ability to achieve consistent profitability in the future; and other factors that are set forth in the “Risk Factors” section and other sections of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as well as in our Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q and Current Reports on Form 8-K.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION PRESENTATION

For the financial information discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than per share and per line amounts, dollar amounts are presented in thousands, except where noted. All trademarks are the property of their owners.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. Business

OVERVIEW AND STRATEGY

OVERVIEW

We are a leading provider of communications services connecting people through cloud-connected devices worldwide. We rely heavily on our network, which is a flexible, scalable Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) based Voice over Internet Protocol, or VoIP, network. This platform enables a user via a single “identity,” either a number or user name, to access and utilize services and features regardless of how they are connected to the Internet, including over 3G, 4G, Cable, or DSL broadband networks. This technology enables us to offer our customers attractively priced voice and messaging services and other features around the world on a variety of devices.

Over the past five years, we have fundamentally transformed our company - strategically, operationally and financially. Strategically, we shifted our primary focus to serving rapidly growing but under-served ethnic segments in the United States with international calling needs. We improved our value proposition by being the first to deliver flat-rate, unlimited calling to over 60 countries with the launch of our Vonage World service, and we were the first to provide easy-to-use, enhanced features, like voice-to-text translation and mobile Extension services, at no extra cost. These strategic shifts have resulted in new customers with a higher average lifetime value and a better churn profile than those in the past. Reflecting the higher value proposition, we have increased our average revenue per user over this time period.

Our focus on operations during this period has resulted in a significantly improved cost structure. We have implemented operational efficiencies throughout our business and have reduced domestic and international termination costs per minute, and customer care costs. Importantly, we have enabled structural cost reductions while significantly improving network call quality and customer service performance. Improvements in the overall customer experience have contributed to lower churn, which declined from highs of 3.6% in July 2009 to 2.5% at the end of the 2012.

Through debt refinancings in December 2010 and July 2011, we have fundamentally improved our balance sheet, reducing annual interest expense from \$49 million in 2010 to \$6 million in 2012 and reducing interest rates from as high as 20% in 2009 to less than 4% today.

In part as a result of our operational and financial stability, on February 7, 2013, Vonage's Board of Directors discontinued the remainder of our existing share repurchase program effective at the close of business on February 12, 2013 with \$16,682 remaining, and authorized a new program to repurchase up to \$100,000 of the Company's outstanding shares by December 31, 2014. We believe our repurchase program reflects our balanced approach to capital allocation as we invest for growth through our growth initiatives and deliver value to shareholders without compromising our ongoing operational needs.

Having achieved operational and financial stability, we are focused on driving revenue through three major growth initiatives. The first growth initiative is in our core North American markets, where we will continue to provide value in international long distance and target under-served ethnic segments, and we expect to enter the low-end domestic market with a secondary brand. Our second growth initiative is international expansion outside of North America through strategic partnerships. Our third growth initiative is mobile services, which we view as a strategic enabler of the Company's entire product offering.

> Core North American Markets

International long distance. We are solidifying our core business through continued penetration of international calling segments. The markets for international long distance are large and growing, and they allow us to leverage our VoIP network by providing customers a low-cost and convenient alternative to services offered by telecom and cable providers and international calling cards. According to industry data, the total outbound international long distance calling market is estimated to be \$80 billion, and approximately 10 to 15 percent of all US households make international long distance calls, using a mix of home and mobile phones.

An increasing proportion of our customer base are international callers. Approximately 50 percent of our customers are now on Vonage World, and approximately 40 percent are international long distance callers and we continue to focus on strengthening this component of our customer base. Targeting the substantial market opportunities for customers calling Mexico and Southeast Asia, we launched several new calling plans in 2012, and were the first to provide unlimited calling to mobile phones in both Mexico and South Korea.

To increase the visibility of our international long distance plans, we have shifted an increasing portion of our marketing budget from broad national advertising as we target attractive segments of the international long distance market. We have direct sales channels where customers can subscribe to our services on-line or through our toll-free number, as well as a retail distribution channel through regional and national retailers and localized street teams. Our retail distribution outlets include Walmart, Best Buy, Kmart, Sears, RadioShack, Fry's and Microcenter.

Sales from our assisted selling initiative, where we place Vonage sales representatives in mass merchant stores to proactively engage customers, have increased as a portion of total sales. We have increased the number of stores with assisted selling to more than 300 at the end of 2012 and we expect to expand that number in 2013. In addition, we have nearly 375 part-time sales agents, organized in community teams, selling Vonage services directly to consumers in targeted ethnic segments. We have a presence in nearly every major ethnic market in the United States and we are expanding in Canada.

Low-end domestic. While our primary emphasis remains the international long distance calling market in the United States, the domestic-only calling segment, with over 40 million broadband households, remains a sizeable opportunity which we expect to target with our low-priced domestic calling only BasicTalk product. We believe this segment represents a large incremental market opportunity for light users and security-conscious households, often with poor in-home wireless coverage.

>International Expansion

Expansion opportunities outside of the United States. We currently have operations in the United States, United Kingdom, and Canada and believe that our low-cost Internet based communications platform enables us to cost effectively deliver voice and messaging services to other locations throughout the world.

The global consumer communications market is estimated to be \$200 billion and growing at approximately 7% per year. We are expanding our geographic footprint through partnerships and are pursuing a range of business concepts which include jointly providing low rates and expanded footprints with international partners, to placing kiosks in foreign locations, to providing a full suite of communications services sold and marketed by other companies.

In 2012, we signed our first partnership with Globe in the Philippines, offering a Philippines calling plan for the more than three million Filipinos living in the United States. In February 2013, we signed

Table of Contents

our second international partnership, a joint venture with Datora Telecomunicacoes Ltda., to deliver communications services in Brazil. We are in discussions with other prospective international partners in countries we have targeted for expansion. These partnerships underscore our strategy to expand our services beyond North America and the United Kingdom.

>Mobile Services

Mobile and other connected device services. Mobility has become central to our development priorities, including enhancements to our core services, international expansion opportunities, and standalone products. Over the past 18 months, we have built a mobile platform that has the ability to deliver high-quality voice, messaging, and in the future, video communication, across wired and wireless data networks. In addition, we recently conducted a technical trial of our new mobile roaming feature in Western Europe.

In early 2012, we introduced Vonage Mobile, our all-in-one mobile application that provides free calling and messaging between users who have the application, as well as low-cost international calling to more than 200 countries to any other phone.

Customer interest in our patented Extensions product, which allows consumers to extend their Vonage home phone service to another phone, including mobiles, continues to grow, and we now have 28% of our customer base signed up to use Vonage on their mobile phone through this service. 24% of all international calls on our network now originate from a mobile phone. Our recently launched Digital Calling Card for mobile phones makes use of the same platform, directly competing with traditional calling card services.

We had approximately 2.4 million subscriber lines for broadband telephone replacement services as of December 31, 2012. We bill customers in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. Customers in the United States represented 93% of our subscriber lines at December 31, 2012.

SERVICE OFFERINGS

Our home telephone replacement services are offered to residential, small office and home office customers through several service plans with different pricing structures. The service plans include an array of basic and enhanced features, and customers have the opportunity to purchase premium features for an additional fee. We also charge for local and international calling outside of plan limits. Information on our revenues, operating income, and identifiable assets appears in Note 1 to our consolidated financial statements included in Item 8 hereof.

As of December 31, 2012, approximately 95% of our United States subscriber lines were for residential service. Our primary residential offering is Vonage World with approximately 1.1 million subscriber lines as of December 31, 2012. For a flat monthly fee, this plan includes unlimited local calling and unlimited calling to landline phones in all cities and locations in more than 60 countries, including India, Mexico, and China, and unlimited calling to mobile phones in certain of those countries. In addition, the Vonage World offer includes unlimited Vonage Visual Voicemail, which is “readable voicemail” delivered via email or SMS text message, Vonage Extensions, which extends the plan to additional phone numbers and devices including smartphones and feature phones, and directory assistance. We also market other residential plans that include enhanced features or limited minutes, in addition to offering our legacy Residential Premium Unlimited plan to existing customers.

We view the small and home office market as a potential growth market. In the United States we offer two small and home office calling plans, one of which offers unlimited local and long distance calling anywhere in the United States, Canada, Puerto Rico, and five European countries and another that includes a specified number of minutes in the United States, Canada, and Puerto Rico. Both plans offer a free dedicated fax line.

We offer similar plans in Canada and the United Kingdom. Please see Note 11 to our consolidated financial statements for financial information about our geographic areas.

Each of our residential, small office and home office calling plans provides a number of basic features including call waiting, caller ID with name, call forwarding, and voicemail. We also offer, in some cases for additional fees, a number of enhanced services that we believe help differentiate our service from our competitors, such as:

> Area Code Selection. Customers can select from approximately 281 United States area codes for their telephone number for use with our service, regardless of physical location.

Virtual Phone Number. A customer can have additional inbound telephone numbers that ring on a primary subscriber line, each for an additional fee. Each of these inbound telephone numbers can have a different area code. In addition to United States virtual phone numbers, we offer virtual phone numbers for 19 other countries. For >example, a customer living in New York City with a New York City phone number can purchase a Toronto virtual phone number that rings on the customer's primary subscriber line. In this instance, a caller from Toronto could call the customer's virtual phone number and be billed as if the customer were in Toronto. Virtual phone numbers are not included in our subscriber line count.

Personalized Web-Enabled Voicemail. Our service allows customers to receive e-mail notification of a voicemail >with the voice message attached to the e-mail message as an audio file. Our customers can also check and retrieve voicemails online or from any phone.

Our mobile services include enhancements to our residential, small office and home office calling plans as well as mobile applications that can be initially downloaded for iPhone®, iPad®, iPod touch®, and Android® OS devices for free. In August 2011, we launched Extensions, an enhancement to our flat rate, unlimited international calling plan that extends the plan to additional phone numbers and devices including smartphones and feature phones. A customer may sign-up for up to two extensions with the first extension provided free of charge and the second extension incurring an additional fee. In early 2012, we introduced Vonage Mobile, our all-in-one mobile application that provides free calling and messaging between users who have the application, as well as low-cost international calling to more than 200 countries to any other phone. In addition, calls by users of the mobile application to Vonage home or business lines are also free. This mobile application works over WiFi, 3G and 4G and in more than 90 countries worldwide.

Devices

In order to access our residential, small office, and home office services, a customer need only connect a standard telephone to a broadband Internet connection through a small Vonage-enabled device. After connecting the device, our customers can use their telephone to make and receive calls. Many of our adapters include a networking router and generally permit a subscriber to connect up to two Vonage lines through a high-speed Internet connection.

Vonage-enabled devices allow customers to use the Internet connection for their computer and telephones at the same time while ensuring a high quality calling experience. We also offer a cordless multi-phone system solution. Our plug-and-play Vonage-enabled devices permit portability as customers can take their Vonage device to different locations where broadband service is available. Since May 2009, we generally have not charged new customers for the adapters permitting use of our service.

WORK OPERATIONS

NETWORK OPERATIONS

Table of Contents

Our network operations are conducted by a wholly-owned subsidiary that holds our networking equipment and employs the personnel who develop and operate our technology.

The Vonage network uses our customer's existing high-speed broadband Internet service to allow calls over the Internet either from a standard telephone through a Vonage-enabled device or through soft phone software or mobile client applications. Our service is not dependent on any specific type or provider of Internet service, and our customers are free to change their Internet service provider in response to a competitive alternative, or because they have moved to a different location. Our Vonage-enabled devices, soft phone software, and mobile client applications allow our customers to be authenticated and authorized to access our network in a secure manner.

Our network is scalable and geographically distributed for robustness, high availability, and reliability across multiple call processing sites, using regional data interconnection points, where calls to non-Vonage customers are interconnected with the public switched telephone network. We periodically assess the locations of our regional data connection points in connection with efforts to improve the quality of and efficiency in delivering our service. In 2011, we consolidated certain interconnection points, increasing efficiencies and creating a platform for growth. Our interconnections with the public switched telephone network are made pursuant to agreements we have with several telecommunications providers. Under these agreements, we transfer calls originated by our customers to other carriers who connect the call to the called party. We pay a per-minute charge for this. The calls are routed from our network to other carriers' interconnected circuits at co-location facilities in which we lease space. This method of connecting to the public switched telephone network allows us to expand capacity quickly, as necessary to meet call volume, and to provide redundancy within our network. In 2011, we enhanced our call routing platform, increasing our control over call routing which lowered costs and improved call quality. Our business is not substantially dependent upon our agreements with any single carrier or our interconnection agreements because we can easily substitute other telecommunications providers in order to obtain the same or similar service.

Because Vonage's system is not constrained to use any specific broadband service provider to connect to our customers, we can centrally manage and share resources across our customer base to minimize capital investment when entering new markets.

The following are also important in supporting our network operations:

Network Operations Center. We currently maintain a network operations center at our headquarters with monitoring redundancies at several points within our network. The network operations center monitors and manages the status and health of our network elements, allowing us to manage our network in real time, respond to alert notifications, and re-route network traffic as needed. We pursue a multi-faceted approach to managing our network to ensure high call quality and reliable communications services to our customers.

Back Office Systems. In addition to our network management systems, we have developed a number of software systems that enable us to manage our network and service offerings more efficiently and effectively. Key aspects of these systems include:

Network Quality Metrics. We have implemented a suite of advanced metrics gathering and analysis tools that allow us to monitor the performance of our calling and data network, customer premises equipment, and other associated calling elements in real-time. This suite is proprietary and was developed specifically to address the needs that Vonage has in monitoring, analyzing, understanding, troubleshooting, maintaining, and operating a world-class consumer VoIP platform.

Web Portal. We provide a fully functional customer Web portal that allows our customers to configure and manage almost all aspects of their service on the Internet without requiring intervention of a customer-care representative. The portal permits customers to add and change features and phone numbers, update billing information, and access all of their call usage and billing details.

Emergency Calling Service and Enhanced 911 Service. We have deployed E-911 service to approximately 99.99% of our U.S. residential and small and home office customer base that is comparable to the emergency calling services provided to customers of traditional wireline telephone companies in the same area. Our E-911 service does not support the calls of our soft phone software users. The emergency calls of our soft phone software users are supported by a national call center. Not all Vonage products require 911 service capabilities, such as our mobile client products. To enable us to effectively deploy and provide our E-911 service, we maintain an agreement with a provider that assists us in delivering emergency calls to an emergency service dispatcher at the public safety answering point, or PSAP, in the area of the customer's registered location and terminating E-911 calls. We also contract for the national call center that operates 24 hours a day, seven days a week to receive certain emergency calls and for the maintenance of PSAP databases for the purpose of deploying and operating E-911 services. The databases include contact, technical infrastructure, boundary, and routing information for delivery of calls to a PSAP or emergency service providers in the United States.

Local Number Portability. Our system allows our telephone replacement customers to port telephone numbers, which allows new customers to retain their existing telephone numbers when subscribing to our services. We rely on an agreement with a provider to facilitate the transfer of customer telephone numbers. In addition, we have engaged a provider that performs the third party verification of pertinent local number portability information from our subscribers prior to porting a customer from one local telephone company to us.

Security. We have developed a service architecture and platform that uses industry-standard security techniques and allows us to remotely manage customer devices. Any Vonage-enabled device used by our customers can be securely managed by us, and these devices use authentication mechanisms to identify themselves to our service in order to place and receive calls. We regularly update our protocols and systems to protect against unauthorized access.

Internet Protocol (IP) Addresses. Every machine on the Internet has a unique identifying number called an Internet Protocol address or IP address. Though there has been recent publicity surrounding the exhaustion of IP addresses under the current Internet Protocol version, we have procured a supply of addresses that we believe will cover our needs for the foreseeable future.

MARKETING

Our marketing objective is to grow subscriber lines and revenue by cost-effectively acquiring and retaining customers. We employ an integrated multi-channel approach to marketing, whereby we evaluate and focus our efforts on efficient marketing vehicles to accomplish our goals. To do this, we make use of both broad-reaching and highly-targeted media channels in the general market and for specific international long distance markets, including television, direct mail, online, alternative media, telemarketing, partner marketing, and customer referral programs. We regularly evaluate the cost per acquisition by media vehicle and reallocate budgets to identify more

Table of Contents

effective media mixes.

We make use of marketing research to gain consumer insights into brand, product, and service performance, and utilize those learnings to improve our messaging and media plans. Market research is also leveraged in the areas of testing, retention marketing, and product marketing to ensure we bring compelling products and services to market for our customers.

SALES AND DISTRIBUTION

Direct Sales

Our primary sales channels for our home telephone replacement services have been in-bound telemarketing and online direct sales. Customers can subscribe to our services at our websites, <http://www.vonage.com>, <http://www.vonage.ca> and <http://www.vonage.co.uk>, or through multiple toll free numbers including 1-877-4VONAGE. We are also increasing efforts to focus on promising international long distance markets. In 2012, we continued to enhance our complete Spanish language experience for our customers, including 24 hour per day inbound telesales, full customer service, a Spanish language online environment and purchasing experience, television advertising, as well as direct mail.

Retail Sales

In addition to our direct sales channel, we also offer sales through our retail channel. In 2012, we continued to increase our retail presence at leading regional and national retailers, including Walmart, Sears, Kmart, Best Buy, Fry's Electronics, and Microcenter, and with the addition of Radio Shack we are currently present in over 8,000 locations. We believe that the availability of our devices through premier retailers enhances and reinforces the Vonage brand, and that the retail channel increases our ability to acquire mainstream consumers by reaching them in a familiar and interactive shopping environment. National and regional retailers provide Vonage with a wide footprint to distribute our service.

Community Sales Channels

We have opened Vonage kiosks throughout the United States and continue to look at ways to optimize sales performance at each kiosk location while looking at growth opportunities in favorable geographic markets. We refined our kiosk operations in 2012 by supplementing our fixed cost kiosks with pay for performance cost structures in certain markets. In addition, we have expanded our key market presence in markets with high ethnic concentration with the introduction of community sales teams in 2010. Our community sales teams provide culturally relevant ways to connect with customers at a grass roots level. We expanded our community sales team presence in 2012 and now operate in over 35 markets across 20 states and Canada.

Customer Service

We offer our customers support 24 hours a day, seven days a week through both our comprehensive online account management website and our toll free number. Many customers use our self-service website when they have a question or problem with their service and are able to resolve their concerns online without needing to speak to a customer care representative. Our customers can manage almost all aspects of their accounts online. This capability empowers our customers through self-service and reduces our customer care expenses.

Customers who cannot or do not wish to resolve their questions through our website may contact a customer care representative through our toll free number. We staff our customer care organization through a combination of our own employees and outsourced customer care representatives. All new customer care representatives are trained through an established program developed by Vonage. We also have a separate team that provides advanced technical support for resolving customers' complex issues. We use extensive monitoring of call quality and customer satisfaction scores to determine additional training or coaching requirements for individual

associates and to drive continuous improvement in our processes, policies, and technology. We offer support in English, Spanish, and French Canadian.

Billing

All customer billing for our broadband telephone replacement services is automated through our website. We automatically collect all fees from our customers' credit card, debit card or electronic check payment ("ECP"). By collecting monthly subscription fees in advance and certain other charges immediately after they are incurred, we are

able to reduce the amount of accounts receivable that we have outstanding, thus allowing us to have lower working capital requirements. Collecting in this manner also helps us mitigate bad debt exposure, which is recorded as a reduction to revenue. If a customer's credit card, debit card or ECP is declined, we generally suspend international calling. Historically, in most cases, we are able to correct the problem with the customer within the current monthly billing cycle. If the customer's credit card, debit card or ECP cannot be successfully processed during three billing cycles (i.e. the current and two subsequent monthly billing cycles), we terminate the account.

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY

We believe that our technological position depends primarily on the experience, technical expertise, and creative ability of our employees. We routinely review our technological developments with our technology staff and business units to identify the aspects of our technology that provide us with a technological or commercial advantage and file patent applications as necessary to protect our technology in the United States and internationally. Our company policies require our employees to assign their intellectual property rights to us and to treat proprietary know-how and materials as our confidential information.

In addition to developing technology and intellectual property, we evaluate for potential licensing and acquisition technology and intellectual property of third parties to identify opportunities that may provide us with a strategic or commercial advantage in exchange for royalties or other consideration. From time to time we receive letters from third parties inviting us to obtain patent licenses that might be relevant to our business. As a result of these efforts, we have acquired multiple U.S. and foreign patents, and obtained licenses to numerous other patents. From time to time, we also have become involved in litigation alleging that our products or services infringe on third party patents or other intellectual property rights. See "Item 3. - Legal Proceedings-IP Matters."

We are the owner of numerous United States and international trademarks and service marks and have applied for registration of our trademarks and service marks in the United States and abroad to establish and protect our brand names as part of our intellectual property strategy. Examples of our registered marks include Vonage®, Vonage Mobile®, Vonage Visual Voicemail® and Vonage Extensions®.

We endeavor to protect our internally developed systems and technologies and maintain our trademarks and service marks. Typically, we enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, customers, and vendors in an effort to control access to and distribution of our technology, software, documentation, and other information.

COMPETITION

We face continued strong competition from traditional telephone companies, cable companies, wireless companies, and alternative communication providers. Because most of our target customers are already purchasing communications services from one or more of these providers, our success is dependent upon our ability to attract these customers away from their existing providers. We believe

Table of Contents

that the principal competitive factors affecting our ability to attract and retain customers are price, call quality, customer service, and enhanced services and features.

Traditional telephone and cable companies

The traditional telephone and cable companies are our primary competitors for our broadband telephone services. Traditional telephone companies in particular have historically dominated their regional markets. These competitors include AT&T, Verizon Communications and CenturyLink, as well as rural incumbents such as Frontier Communications. Cable company competitors include companies such as Cablevision, Charter Communications, Comcast Corporation, Cox Communications, and Time Warner Cable. These traditional phone and cable company competitors are substantially larger and better capitalized than we are and have the advantage of a large existing customer base. Many of these competitors are continuing to make substantial investments in delivering broadband Internet access, VoIP phone service, and cable television to their customers and they often have larger product development and marketing budgets than us. Providing home phone, Internet access, and cable television to many of our existing and potential customers may enhance their image as trusted providers of services.

The traditional phone companies own networks that include a “last mile” connection to substantially all of our existing and potential domestic customers as well as the places our customers call domestically. As a result, the vast majority of the calls placed by a Vonage customer are carried over the “last mile” by a traditional phone company, and we indirectly pay access charges to these competitors for each of these calls. In contrast, traditional wireline providers do not pay us when their customers call our customers.

Cable companies and, in many cases traditional phone companies, are also aggressively using their existing customer relationships to bundle services. For example, they bundle Internet access, cable television, and home phone service with an implied price for the phone service that may be significantly below ours. In addition, such competitors may in the future require new customers or existing customers making changes to their service to purchase voice services when purchasing high speed Internet access. Certain traditional phone companies are also able to bundle wireless telephone service. Many of these competitors are able to advertise on their local access channels with no significant out-of-pocket cost and through mailings in bills with little marginal cost. They also receive advertising time as part of their relationships with television networks and are able to use this time to promote their telephone service offerings. Traditional phone and cable companies’ ownership of Internet connections to our customers could enable them to detect and interfere with the completion of our customers’ calls. While we are not aware of any such occurrence, it is unclear whether current regulations would permit these companies to degrade the quality of, give low priority to or block entirely the information packets and other data we transmit over their lines. In addition, these companies may attempt to charge their customers more for using our services.

Many traditional phone and cable companies routinely send technicians to customers’ premises to initiate service. Although this is expensive, it also can be more attractive to customers than installing their own router. In addition, these technicians may install an independent source of power, which can give customers assurance that their phone service will not be interrupted during power outages.

The traditional phone and cable companies have long-standing relationships with regulators, legislators, lobbyists, and the media. This can be an advantage for them because legislative, regulatory or judicial developments in our rapidly evolving industry could have a negative impact on us.

In many cases, we charge prices that are lower than prices charged by the traditional phone and cable companies. In addition, some of our competitors offer low introductory pricing followed by an increase in price after a certain period of time. We believe that these step-up promotions enable us to gain market share by attracting customers who leave our competitors following these price increases. We believe that

we also currently compete successfully with the traditional phone and cable companies on the basis of the features we offer that they may not (such as area code selection, portable service, virtual phone numbers, and readable voice mail). We offer many of these features at no extra charge.

Wireless telephone companies

We also compete with wireless phone companies, such as AT&T, Sprint, T-Mobile, and Verizon Wireless, for both our broadband telephone services and our mobile services. Some consumers use wireless phones, instead of VoIP

phones, as a replacement for a wireline phone. Also, wireless phone companies increasingly are providing wireless broadband Internet access to their customers. As wireless providers offer more minutes at lower prices and other services that improve calling quality, their services have become more attractive to households as a competitive replacement for wireline service. In addition, wireless providers are also offering standalone wireless home services as well as the ability to link multiple devices for telephony service. Wireless telephone companies have a strong retail presence and have significant financial resources. We are developing next-generation services to meet the emerging needs of mobile and other connected device users by delivering easy-to-use applications that provide significant cost savings in large existing markets. We believe that our efforts will capitalize on favorable trends including the proliferation of low or no-cost Wi-Fi and other broadband around the world, accelerating smart phone adoption rates, and the growth of social communities.

Alternative communication providers

We also compete against alternative communication providers such as magicJack, Skype, and Google Voice, some of which are larger than us and have the ability to devote greater resources to their communications services. Some of these service providers, including Internet product and software companies, have chosen to sacrifice telephony revenue in order to gain market share or attract users to their platform and have offered their services at low prices or for free. While not all of these competitors currently offer the ability to call or be called by anyone not using their service, line portability, E911 service, and customer service, in the future they may integrate such capabilities into their service offerings. As we continue the introduction of applications that integrate different forms of voice, messaging, and other services over multiple devices, we face competition from emerging competitors focused on similar integration, as well as from alternative communication providers.

There is a continuing trend toward consolidation of telecommunications companies, including the acquisition of alternative communication providers by Internet product and software companies with significant resources. In addition, certain of our competitors have partnered and may in the future partner with other competitors to offer products and services, leveraging their collective competitive positions. We also are subject to the risk of future disruptive technologies, which could give rise to significant new competition.

In connection with our increasing emphasis on the international long distance market, we face competition from low-cost international calling cards and VoIP providers in addition to traditional telephone companies, cable companies, and wireless companies.

EMPLOYEES

As of December 31, 2012, we had 983 employees. None of our employees are subject to a collective bargaining agreement.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We were incorporated in Delaware in May 2000 and changed our name to Vonage Holdings Corp. in February 2001. We maintain a website with the address www.vonage.com. The information contained on our website is not included as a part of, or incorporated by reference

Table of Contents

into, this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Other than an investor's own Internet access charges, we make available free of charge through our website our Annual Report on Form 10-K, Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q, Current Reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to these reports, as soon as reasonably practicable after we have electronically filed such material with, or furnished such material to, the Securities and Exchange Commission.

7 VONAGE ANNUAL REPORT 2012

Table of Contents

ITEM 1A. Risk Factors

You should carefully consider the risks below, as well as all of the other information contained in this Annual Report on Form 10-K and our financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, in evaluating our company and our business. Any of these risks could materially adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations and the trading price of our common stock.

For the financial information discussed in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, other than per share and per line amounts, dollar amounts are presented in thousands, except where noted.

If we are unable to compete successfully, we could lose market share and revenue.

The telecommunications industry is highly competitive. We face continued intense competition from traditional telephone companies, cable companies, wireless companies, and alternative communication providers. Our competitors include the traditional telephone service providers, including AT&T, Verizon Communications, and CenturyLink, which provide telephone service based on the public switched telephone network. Some of these traditional providers also have added VoIP services to their existing telephone and broadband offerings. We also face competition from cable companies, such as Cablevision, Charter Communications, Comcast Corporation, Cox Communications, and Time Warner Cable. Traditional telephone service providers and cable companies have added VoIP services to their existing bundled cable television and broadband offerings. Further, as wireless providers, including AT&T, Sprint, T-Mobile, and Verizon Wireless, offer more minutes at lower prices, better coverage, and companion landline alternative services, their services have become more attractive to households as a replacement for wireline service.

Most traditional wireline and wireless telephone service providers and cable companies are substantially larger and better capitalized than we are and have the advantage of greater name and brand name recognition and a large existing customer base. Because most of our target customers are already purchasing communications services from one or more of these providers, our success is dependent upon our ability to attract target customers away from their existing providers. Our competitors' financial resources may allow them to offer services at prices below cost or even for free in order to maintain and gain market share or otherwise improve their competitive positions. Our competitors also could use their greater financial resources to develop and market telephony and messaging services with more attractive features and more robust customer service. In addition, because of the other services our competitors provide, they often choose to offer VoIP services as part of a bundle that includes other products, such as video, high speed Internet access, and wireless telephone service, which we do not offer. This bundle may enable our competitors to offer VoIP service at prices with which we may not be able to compete or to offer functionality that integrates VoIP service with their other offerings, both of which may be more desirable to consumers. Any of these competitive factors could make it more difficult for us to attract and retain customers, reduce our market share and revenues, or cause us to lower our prices or offer additional features that may result in additional costs without commensurate price increases.

We also compete against alternative communication providers, such as magicJack, Skype, and Google Voice, some of which are larger than us, have greater name and brand recognition, and have the ability to devote greater resources to their communications services. Some of these service providers, including Internet product and software companies, have chosen to sacrifice telephony revenue in order to gain market share or attract customers to their platform or have lower cost structures and have offered their services at low prices or for free or are using different payment structures such as one-time or low annual fees. As we continue the introduction of applications that integrate different forms of voice and messaging services over multiple devices, we face

competition from emerging competitors focused on similar integration, as well as from established alternative communication providers. In order to compete with such service providers, we may have to reduce our prices, which would impair our profitability, or offer additional features that may cause us to incur additional costs without commensurate price increases.

In connection with our increasing emphasis on the international long distance market, we face competition from low-cost international calling cards, digital calling cards and VoIP providers in addition to traditional telephone companies, cable companies, and wireless companies. To the extent that these providers target marketing to the same ethnic segments that we target or strengthen their offerings to these segments, we may have to reduce our prices or increase promotions, which would impair our profitability, or offer additional features that may cause us to incur additional costs without commensurate price increase.

As a result of increasing competition, domestic and international telephony and messaging rates have generally decreased during the past few years, and we expect this trend to continue. Continued rate pressures or increasing cost to use our services could lessen or eliminate the pricing advantage that we maintain over certain competitors and cause customers or potential customers to select alternative providers or cause us to lower our prices, which would adversely impact our revenues and profitability.

If we fail to adapt to rapid changes in the market for voice and messaging services, then our products and services could become obsolete.

The market for our products and services is constantly and rapidly evolving as we and our competitors introduce new and enhanced products and services and react to changes in VoIP and messaging technology and customer demands. We may not be able to develop or acquire new products and plans or product and plan enhancements that compete effectively with present or emerging VoIP and messaging technologies or differentiate our products and plans based on functionality and performance. In addition, we may not be able to establish or maintain strategic alliances that will permit enhancement opportunities or innovative distribution methods for our products and plans.

To address these issues, we are targeting revenue growth in large, existing international and mobile markets. Our growth initiatives, focused on the international long distance market, international expansion, and mobile markets, require us to enhance our current products and plans, and develop new products and plans on a timely basis to keep pace with market needs and satisfy the increasingly sophisticated requirements of customers. For example, in early 2012, we introduced Vonage Mobile, our all-in-one mobile application that provides free calling and messaging between users who have the application, as well as traditional paid international calling to any other phone. Vonage Mobile is downloadable for free. In the future, we may enhance this service or introduce other similar services for mobile and other connected devices. These services may include optional added cost premium services such as international calling plans, alternatives for high priced international roaming services, and lower cost text messaging. If we are unable to attract users of these premium services offered by Vonage Mobile or future offerings, our net revenues may fail to grow as we expect.

VoIP and messaging technology is complex, and new products and plans and enhancements to existing products and plans can require long development and testing periods. Any delays in developing and releasing new or enhanced products and plans could cause us to lose revenue opportunities and customers. Any technical flaws in products we release could diminish the innovative impact of the products and have a negative effect on customer adoption and our reputation.

Table of Contents

We also are subject to the risk of future disruptive technologies. New products based on new technologies or new industry standards could render our existing products obsolete and unmarketable. If new technologies develop that are able to deliver competing voice and messaging services at lower prices, better or more conveniently, it could have a material adverse effect on us.

If we are unsuccessful at retaining customers or attracting new customers, including customers who pay for our services for mobile and other internet connected devices, we may experience a reduction in revenue or may be required to spend more money or alter our marketing approaches to grow our customer base.

Our rate of customer terminations for our broadband telephone replacement services, or average monthly customer churn, was 2.6% for the year ended December 31, 2012. During 2012, we added 570,316 customers while 629,984 of our customers terminated their service. Our churn rate could increase in the future if customers are not satisfied with the quality and reliability of our network, the value proposition of our products, and the ability of our customer service to meet the needs and expectations of our customers. In addition, competition from traditional telephone companies, cable companies, wireless companies, alternative communications providers, and low-cost international calling cards, disruptive technologies, general economic conditions, and our ability to activate and register new customers on the network, also influence our churn rate. We will have similar issues with customers for Vonage Mobile and other services for mobile and other Internet connected devices as that business develops.

As we continue to emphasize the international long distance market, we expect our churn to be impacted by the ethnic segments that we target. For example, we have found that certain ethnic segments have higher churn due to inability to use our existing payment methods. We may not be able to educate these customers in these payment methods or offer alternative payment methods that serve the needs of these customers. In addition, higher proportions of certain ethnic segments that we target may be more likely to have poor or no credit history, indicating that they may have more difficulty affording the service, leading to higher churn for these customers.

Because of customer losses, we have to acquire new customers on an ongoing basis just to maintain our existing level of customers and revenues. As a result, marketing expense, and the effectiveness of our marketing vendors, is an ongoing requirement to maintain or grow our business. If our churn rate increases, we will have to acquire even more new customers in order to maintain our existing revenues. We incur significant costs to acquire new customers, and expect to continue to do so in connection with the execution of our growth initiatives, and those costs are an important factor in maintaining profitability. Therefore, if we are unsuccessful in retaining customers, are required to spend significant amounts to acquire new customers beyond those budgeted, or our marketing and advertising efforts are not effective in targeting specific customer segments, we may be forced to change marketing approaches or marketing vendors, our revenue could decrease or we could incur losses.

If we are unable to establish and expand strategic relationships our ability to grow revenues and offer new products under commercially attractive terms may be inhibited, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

An element of our growth strategy is to develop and maintain strategic relationships both in the U.S. and internationally. We have or are pursuing relationships in the U.S. retail industry as well as international opportunities ranging from joint venture arrangements to providing full VoIP communication services to be marketed and distributed as a complement to an existing foreign provider's product suite. The development of these relationships may assist us in

enhancing our brand, introducing our products and services to larger numbers of customers, developing and implementing new products and services, and generating additional revenue. We may not be able to enter into new relationships in the U.S. or internationally on economic terms favorable to us. In addition, if we lose any of our important strategic relationships or if strategic relationships fail to benefit us as expected, our ability to grow revenues and offer new products may be inhibited, which could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition. For example, we are increasingly reliant upon relationships with mass merchant retailers and our shift to assisted selling programs. The loss or deterioration of these relationships could adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition.

Our international long distance business and our expanding international operations are increasingly subject to country-specific governmental regulation and related actions and taxes that may increase our costs or impact our product offerings.

As we execute on our growth initiatives and expand our international operations into new geographies, we expect to become subject to numerous additional governmental regulations, including telecommunications, data retention, privacy, consumer protection, financial, and other applicable laws and regulations. Some of these regulations may be complicated or unsettled. Any failure to comply with governmental regulation could result in fines, penalties, or other governmental action, including criminal action, and may damage our brand and reputation. In addition, changes in legislation or regulations and actions by regulators, including changes in administration and enforcement policies, may from time to time require modifications to our offerings or the payment of fines and penalties, or both.

In the United States, Canada, and United Kingdom, we are not a regulated telecommunications business. Our services are also in use in countries outside of the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom where we have regular operations, including countries where providing VoIP services is or may be illegal. We may need to change our service offerings to avoid regulation as a telecommunications business in a jurisdiction or if we are treated as a regulated telecommunications business, we may be required to incur additional expenses. In addition, if governments believe that we are providing unauthorized service in their countries, they may pursue fines, penalties, or other governmental action, including criminal action, that may damage our brand and reputation. If we use a local partner to provide services in a country and the local partner does not comply with applicable governmental regulations, we may face additional regulation, liabilities, penalties or other governmental action, and our brand and reputation may be harmed.

In addition to the risk of being directly subjected to regulation, decisions by foreign regulators to increase the charge for terminating international calls into their countries may adversely impact our ability to attract and retain international long distance customers in the U.S., U.K., and Canada. For example, our Vonage World offering includes calling to over 60 countries. Regulatory actions in any of these countries could cause increased costs, impact margin, cause us to remove a country from Vonage World, and impact churn and gross line additions. These regulatory actions may be taken without notice and cause us to react quickly to changing market conditions. These efforts could divert management's efforts and attention from ordinary business operations which could materially and adversely affect our results of operations.

As a United States-based company, any foreign subsidiary or joint venture that we use for international operations may be subject to a variety of governmental regulations in the countries where we market our products, including tariffs and taxes. For example, distributions of earnings and other payments, including interest, received from our foreign subsidiaries may be subject to withholding taxes imposed by the jurisdiction in which such entities are formed or operating, which will reduce the amount of after-tax cash we can receive. In general, as a United States corporation, we may claim a foreign tax credit against our federal income tax expense for such foreign withholding taxes and for foreign income taxes paid directly by foreign

Table of Contents

corporate entities in which we own 10% or more of the voting stock. The ability to claim such foreign tax credits and to utilize net foreign losses is, however, subject to numerous limitations, and we may incur incremental tax costs as a result of these limitations or because we are not currently in a tax-paying position in the United States. We may also be required to include in our income for United States federal income tax purposes our proportionate share of certain earnings of those foreign subsidiaries that are classified as “controlled foreign corporations” without regard to whether distributions have been actually received from such subsidiaries.

As we expand our international operations into new geographies, we will be increasingly subject to risks that are inherent in operating abroad, including country-specific market and competitive risks, currency restrictions and other restraints.

International markets are competitive, and our international operations will be subject to risks in identifying and addressing international markets. In addition to facing global competitors, we may face local competitors with greater knowledge of local markets. Depending upon our method of entry into these markets, we may be subject to risks associated with infrastructure build out in foreign markets and attracting and retaining experienced key employees for any new foreign subsidiaries. We may also become more dependent on the economies of the markets in which we have operations. These markets are expected to be in countries with economies in various stages of development or structural reform, and our operations in such markets also could be affected by:

- > political, social and economic instability;
- > war, civil disturbance or acts of terrorism;
- > taking of property by nationalization or expropriation without fair compensation;
- > imposition of limitations on conversions of foreign currencies into United States dollars or remittance of dividends and other payments by foreign subsidiaries;
- > hyperinflation; and
- > impositions or increase of investment and other restrictions or requirements by foreign governments.

Our international operations involve transactions in a variety of currencies. Our financial results may be significantly affected by fluctuations of currency exchange rates. To the extent that foreign subsidiaries distribute dividends in local currencies in the future, the amount of cash to be received by us will be affected by fluctuations in exchange rates, and such shifts in the currency exchange rates may have a material adverse effect on us. We do not maintain derivatives for managing foreign currency exchange rate risks.

Our research and development personnel and facility are located in Israel. Political, economic and military conditions in Israel directly affect our operations. For example, increased violence or armed conflict in Israel or the Palestinian territories may disrupt travel and communications in the region, harming our operations there. Furthermore, some of our employees in Israel are obligated to perform up to 36 days of military reserve duty annually and may be called to active duty in a time of crisis. The absence of these employees for significant periods may cause us to operate inefficiently during these periods.

A number of our agreements are governed by the laws of, and subject to dispute resolution in the courts of, or through arbitration proceedings in, the country or region in which the operations are located. We cannot accurately predict whether such forum will provide us with an effective and efficient means of resolving disputes that may arise in the future. Even if we are able to obtain a satisfactory decision through arbitration or a court proceeding, we could have difficulty enforcing any award or judgment on a timely basis. Our ability to obtain or enforce

relief in the United States is uncertain.

We may face difficulties related to entry into joint ventures or the future acquisition or integration of additional businesses, which could harm our growth or operating results.

As we execute on our growth initiatives, we may elect to enter into joint venture arrangements or acquire additional businesses or assets. These activities may require substantial management time and resources. We cannot predict or guarantee that we will be able to identify suitable acquisition candidates or consummate any acquisition or joint venture arrangement.

Future acquisitions may require us to issue equity securities, use our cash resources, incur debt or contingent liabilities, amortize intangibles, or write-off acquisition-related expenses. If we are unable to successfully integrate any acquired businesses or assets we may not receive the intended benefits of such acquisition. In addition, we cannot predict market reactions to any acquisitions we may make or to any failure to announce any future acquisitions. Further, while we conduct due diligence in connection with acquisition and joint venture opportunities, there may be liabilities that such due diligence efforts fail to discover, are not disclosed to us, or that we inadequately assess. The discovery of material liabilities associated with acquisitions or joint venture opportunities could harm our operating results.

Certain rights to third party patents and technology may expire and not be extended, or may not be available, which may decrease the quality of our products or services or subject us to liability.

Certain previously disclosed patent rights licensed to the Company under our agreement with AT&T have expired. We may attempt to pursue extensions of such licenses. If we are unable to do so on terms acceptable to us, our making, using, and selling of certain of our existing and future products and services may be subject to claims of infringement under patents previously subject to these licenses if we do not make changes. In addition, we may seek to obtain rights to other third party technology in the future, but may not be able to agree upon commercially reasonable terms or at all with respect to obtaining such rights. If we are unable to extend existing licenses or are unable to obtain rights to other technology that may be commercially advantageous or necessary for our product and service offerings, we may experience a decrease in the quality of our products or services or we may lose the ability to provide our products and services on a non-infringing basis until alternative technology or suitable alternative products and services can be developed, identified, obtained (through acquisition, license or other grants of rights), and integrated.

We may be subject to damaging and disruptive intellectual property litigation that could materially and adversely affect our business, results of operations, and financial condition, as well as the continued viability of our company. There has been substantial litigation in the VoIP, telecommunications and related industries regarding intellectual property rights and, given the rapid technological change in our industry and our continual development of new products and services, we and/or our commercial partners may be subject to infringement claims from time to time. For example, we may be unaware of filed patent applications and issued patents that could include claims that might be interpreted to cover our products and services. We were subject to patent infringement claims in the past, including suits that we settled in 2007 and 2008 for a total of \$243,825 with Verizon, Sprint, AT&T, Nortel Networks, and others. We are also currently named as a defendant in two suits that relate to alleged patent infringement and from time to time we receive letters from third parties initiating an opportunity for us to obtain licenses to patents that may be relevant to our business or alleging that our services infringe upon third party patents or other intellectual property. See "Item 3. - Legal Proceedings-IP Matters."

Table of Contents

Parties making claims of infringement may be able to obtain injunctive or other equitable relief that could effectively block our ability to provide our services and could cause us to pay substantial royalties, licensing fees, damages or settlement fees. The defense of any lawsuit could divert management's efforts and attention from ordinary business operations and result in time-consuming and expensive litigation, regardless of the merits of such claims. These outcomes may:

>result in the loss of a substantial number of existing customers or prohibit the acquisition of new customers;

>cause us to accelerate expenditures to preserve existing revenues;

>cause existing or new vendors to require prepayments or letters of credit;

>cause our credit card processors to demand reserves or letters of credit or make holdbacks;

>result in substantial employee layoffs;

>materially and adversely affect our brand in the marketplace and cause a substantial loss of goodwill;

>cause our stock price to decline significantly or otherwise cause us to fail to meet the continued listing requirements of the New York Stock Exchange, which could distract management and result in the delisting of our common stock from the exchange;

>materially and adversely affect our liquidity, including our ability to pay debts and other obligations as they become due;

>cause us to change our business methods or services;

>require us to cease certain business operations or offering certain products and services; and

>lead to our bankruptcy or liquidation.

If we fail to protect our internally developed systems and software and our trademarks, we may become involved in costly litigation or our business or brand may be harmed.

Our ability to compete effectively is dependent in large part upon the maintenance and protection of systems and software that we have developed internally based on open standards. While we own eighteen internally developed U.S. issued patents (and a number of foreign patents), have a number of pending patent applications, and have acquired third party patents, we cannot patent much of the technology that is important to our business. Our pending patent applications may not be granted. Any issued patent that we own may be challenged, narrowed, invalidated, or circumvented. To date, we have relied on patent, copyright and trade secret laws, as well as confidentiality procedures and licensing arrangements, to establish and protect our rights to this technology. We typically enter into confidentiality agreements with our employees, consultants, customers, and vendors in an effort to control access to and distribution of technology, software, documentation, and other information. Despite these precautions, it may be possible for a third party to copy or otherwise obtain and use this technology without authorization. Policing unauthorized use of this technology is difficult. The steps we take may not prevent misappropriation of the technology we rely on. In addition, effective protection may be unavailable or limited in some jurisdictions outside the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. Litigation may be necessary in the future to enforce or protect our rights or to determine the validity and scope of the rights of others. That litigation could cause us to incur substantial costs and divert resources away from our daily business, which in turn could materially adversely affect our business.

The unlicensed use of our brand by third parties could harm

our reputation, cause confusion among our customers, and impair our ability to market our services. To that end, we have registered numerous trademarks and service marks and have applied for registration of our trademarks and service marks in the United States and abroad to establish and protect our brand names as part of our intellectual property strategy. If our applications receive objections or are successfully opposed by third parties, it will be difficult for us to prevent third parties from using our brand without our permission. Moreover, successful opposition to our applications might encourage third parties to make additional oppositions or commence trademark infringement proceedings against us, which could be costly and time consuming to defend against. If we decide to take limited or no action to protect our trademarks, our trademark rights may be diluted and subject to challenge or invalidation, which could materially and adversely affect our brand in the marketplace.

Security breaches and other cybersecurity or technological risks could compromise our information, systems and network and expose us to liability, including a failure to meet Payment Card Industry data security standards, which would cause our business and reputation to suffer.

There are several inherent risks to engaging in a technology business, including our reliance on our data centers and networks, and the use and interconnectivity of those networks. A significant portion of our operations relies heavily on the secure processing, storage and transmission of confidential and other sensitive data, including intellectual property, proprietary business information, and personally identifiable information of our customers and employees, in our data centers and on our networks. The secure processing, storage, and transmission of this information is critical to our operations and business strategy. As seen in our industry and others, these activities have been, and will continue to be, subject to continually evolving cybersecurity or other technological risks. Despite our security measures, our information technology and infrastructure may be vulnerable to attacks by hackers or breached due to error, malfeasance or other disruptions by an employee or third-party provider. Any such breach could compromise our systems and network and the information stored there could be accessed, publicly disclosed, lost or stolen. Any such access, disclosure or other loss of information could result in legal claims or proceedings, liability under laws that protect the privacy of personal information, regulatory penalties, disruption to our operations, damage to our reputation, and a loss of confidence in our products and services, which could adversely affect our business.

We have recently been subject to cyber incidents from external sources, including a “brute force” cyber incident, which resulted in unauthorized access to limited customer account data and interruptions of services to customers; and distributed denial of service attacks, which attempted to interrupt our ability to provide service to customers. Although these incidents did not have a material adverse effect financially or on our ability to provide services, this may not continue to be the case going forward. There can be no assurance that similar incidents, or other cyber incidents, will not occur in the future, potentially more frequently and/or on a more significant scale.

We have taken steps designed to improve the security of our networks and computer systems. Despite these defensive measures, there can be no assurance that we are adequately protecting our information or that we will not experience future incidents. The expenses associated with protecting our information could reduce our operating margins. We maintain insurance intended to cover some of these risks, however, this insurance may not be sufficient to cover all of our losses from any future breaches of our systems. In addition, third parties with which we do business may also be sources of cybersecurity or other technological risks. We outsource certain functions, which results in the storage and processing of customer information by third parties. While we engage in certain actions to reduce the exposure resulting from outsourcing, unauthorized access, loss or destruction of data or other cyber incidents could occur, resulting in similar costs and consequences as those discussed above.

We make available on our website our privacy policy, which

Table of Contents

describes how we collect, use, and disclose our customers' personal information. As we expand our international operations into new geographies, we may become subject to local data security, privacy, data retention, and disclosure laws and regulations. It may be difficult for us to comply with these laws and regulations if they were deemed to be applicable to us.

We are subject to Payment Card Industry ("PCI") data security standards, which require periodic audits by independent third parties to assess compliance. PCI data security standards are a comprehensive set of requirements for enhancing payment account data security that was developed by the PCI Security Standards Council including American Express, Discover Financial Services, JCB International, MasterCard Worldwide, and VISA Inc., to help facilitate the broad adoption of consistent data security measures. Failure to comply with the security requirements as identified in subsequent audits or rectify a security issue may result in fines. While we believe it is unusual, restrictions on accepting payment cards, including a complete restriction, may be imposed on companies that are not compliant.

We rely on third parties to provide a portion of our customer service representatives, provide aspects of our E-911 service, and initiate local number portability for our customers. If these third parties do not provide our customers with reliable, high-quality service, our reputation will be harmed and we may lose customers.

We offer our customers support 24 hours a day, seven days a week through both our comprehensive online account management website and our toll free number. Our customer support is currently provided via United States based employees as well as third party partners located in the United States, Philippines, Costa Rica, Chile, Mexico, and India. We offer support in English, Spanish, and French Canadian. Our third-party providers generally represent us without identifying themselves as independent parties. The ability to support our customers may be disrupted by natural disasters, inclement weather conditions, civil unrest, and other adverse events in the locations where our customer support is provided.

We also contract for services required to provide E-911 services including assistance in routing emergency calls, terminating E-911 calls, operating a national call center that is available 24 hours a day, seven days a week to receive certain emergency calls, and maintaining PSAP databases for the purpose of deploying and operating E-911 services. Interruptions in service from our vendor could cause failures in our customers' access to E-911 services and expose us to liability and damage our reputation.

We also have agreements with companies that initiate our local number portability, which allow new customers to retain their existing telephone numbers when subscribing to our services.

If any of these third parties do not provide reliable, high-quality service, our reputation and our business will be harmed. In addition, industry consolidation among providers of services to us may impact our ability to obtain these services or increase our expense for these services.

Our ability to provide our telephony service and manage related customer accounts is dependent upon third-party facilities, equipment, and systems, the failure of which could cause delays of or interruptions to our service, damage our reputation, cause us to lose customers, limit our growth, and affect our financial condition.

Our success depends on our ability to provide quality and reliable telephony service, which is in part dependent upon the proper functioning of facilities and equipment owned and operated by third parties and is, therefore, beyond our control. Unlike traditional wireline telephone service or wireless service, our telephony service requires our customers to have an operative broadband Internet connection and

an electrical power supply, which are provided by the customer's Internet service provider and electric utility company, respectively, and not by us. The quality of some broadband Internet connections may be too poor for customers to use our telephony services properly. In addition, if there is any interruption to a customer's broadband Internet service or electrical power supply, that customer will be unable to make or receive calls, including emergency calls, using our telephony service.

We outsource several of our network functions to third-party providers. For example, we outsource the maintenance of our regional data connection points, which are the facilities at which our network interconnects with the public switched telephone network. If our third-party service providers fail to maintain these facilities properly, or fail to respond quickly to problems, our customers may experience service interruptions. Interruptions in our service caused by third-party facilities have in the past caused and may in the future cause us to lose customers or cause us to offer

substantial customer credits, which could adversely affect our revenue and profitability. If interruptions adversely affect the perceived reliability of our service, we may have difficulty attracting new customers, and our brand, reputation, and growth will be negatively impacted.

In order to access our residential, small office, and home office services, a customer needs to connect a standard telephone to a broadband Internet connection through a Vonage-enabled device that we provide. Although we closely monitor inventory levels, if we are unable to procure a sufficient number of devices from our suppliers in a timely manner, including as a result of a failure by a component supplier, we would be delayed in activating new customers and may lose these customers.

Flaws in our technology and systems or our failure to adapt our systems to any new Internet Protocol could cause delays or interruptions of service, which could damage our reputation, cause us to lose customers, and limit our growth.

Although we have designed our service network to reduce the possibility of disruptions or other outages, our service may be disrupted by problems with our technology and systems, such as malfunctions in our Vonage-enabled device that we provide to customers, software or facilities and overloading of our network. As we attract new subscribers, we expect increased call volume that we need to manage to avoid network interruptions. In particular, as we have marketed to different international long distance markets, we have seen international call volumes to targeted countries increase. During the next few years we expect wide-spread industry adoption of a new Internet Protocol, which is a set of standard communications and routing mechanisms. Customers may experience periodic delays of service caused by the industry transition to this new Internet Protocol. Interruptions have caused and may in the future cause us to lose customers and offer substantial customer credits, which could adversely affect our revenue and profitability. Network interruptions have also impaired our ability at times to sign-up new customers and the ability of customers to manage their accounts. During 2012, we had outages that affected groups of customers at various times, some of which affected large groups of customers for several hours. If service interruptions or other outages adversely affect the perceived reliability of our telephony service or customer service, we may have difficulty attracting and retaining customers and our brand reputation and growth may suffer.

In addition, we utilize third-party Internet-based or "cloud" computing services in connection with some of our business operations. Any disruption to the internet or to our third-party Web hosting or cloud computing providers, including technological or business-related disruptions, could adversely impact the experience of our customers and have adverse effects on our operations. In addition, fires, floods, earthquakes, power losses, telecommunications failures, and similar "Acts of God" could damage these systems and hardware or cause them to fail completely. We do not maintain entirely redundant systems, thus such an event could result in downtime for our operations and could adversely affect our business.

Our services are subject to regulation in the United

Table of Contents

States, United Kingdom, and Canada, and future legislative, regulatory or judicial actions could adversely affect our business and expose us to liability.

Our business has developed in a relatively lightly regulated environment. However, the United States, United Kingdom, and Canada have applied some traditional telephone company regulations to VoIP and continue to evaluate how VoIP should be regulated. The effects of future regulatory developments are uncertain.

In the United States, interconnected VoIP providers, such as Vonage, are subject to certain Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") rules. For example, on August 5, 2005, the FCC released an Order extending the obligations of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act ("CALEA") to interconnected VoIP providers. Under CALEA, telecommunications carriers must assist law enforcement in executing electronic surveillance, which include the capability of providing call content and call-identifying information to a local enforcement agency, or LEA, pursuant to a court order or other lawful authorization. We could be subject to an enforcement action by the FCC if our CALEA solution is deemed not fully operational.

Effective February 2, 2011, another FCC order reduced to one business day the amount of time that a telecommunications provider such as Vonage has to port a telephone number to another provider. If we, or third parties we rely upon for porting, have difficulty complying with the one-day porting requirement, we could be subject to FCC enforcement action.

Future legislative, judicial or other regulatory actions could have a negative effect on our business. If we become subject to the rules and regulations applicable to telecommunications providers in individual states, we may incur significant litigation and compliance costs, and we may have to restructure our service offerings, exit certain markets, or raise the price of our services, any of which could cause our services to be less attractive to customers. In addition, future regulatory developments could increase our cost of doing business and limit our growth.

We may be exposed to liabilities under the Foreign Corrupt Practices Act, the UK Bribery Act, and similar laws, and any determination that we violated any of these laws could have a material adverse effect on our business.

We are subject to the Foreign Corrupt Practice Act ("FCPA"), the UK Bribery Act and other laws that prohibit improper payments or offers of payments to foreign governments and their officials and political parties by persons and entities for the purpose of obtaining or retaining business. We have operations, agreements with third parties, and make sales internationally. In addition, we plan to expand our international operations through potential joint ventures with local partners. Our international activities create the risk of unauthorized payments or offers of payments by one of our employees, consultants, partners, sales agents or distributors, even though these parties are not always subject to our control. It is our policy to prohibit these practices by our employees, consultants, partners, sales agents or distributors. However, our existing safeguards and any future improvements may prove to be less than effective, and our employees, consultants, partners, sales agents or distributors may engage in conduct for which we might be held responsible. Violations of the FCPA, the UK Bribery Act or other laws may result in severe criminal or civil sanctions, and we may be subject to other liabilities, which could negatively affect our business, operating results, and financial condition.

We may incur significant costs and harm to our reputation from lawsuits and regulatory inquiries related to our business practices, which may also divert the attention of our management from other aspects of our business.

We have been subject to periodic regulatory inquiries

regarding our business practices, including an investigation settled in 2009 with a group of 32 states' attorneys general into certain of our business practices. There was no finding of any violation or wrongdoing by us, and the 32 states participating in the settlement have released us and our affiliates from the matters investigated. On July 18, 2011, we entered into an amended settlement agreement initiated at our request to reflect revised business practices associated with our new "consumable" product offerings. Any similar claims or regulatory inquiries, whether successful or not, could require us to devote significant amounts of monetary or human resources to defend ourselves and could harm our reputation. We may need to spend significant amounts on our legal defense, senior management may be required to divert their attention from other portions of our business, new product launches may be deferred or canceled as a result of any proceedings, and we may be required to make changes to our present and planned products or services. If, as a result of any proceedings, a judgment is rendered or a decree is entered against us, it may materially and

adversely affect our business, financial condition, and results of operations and harm our reputation.

Third parties may fraudulently use our name to obtain access to customer accounts and other personal information, use our services to commit fraud or steal our services, which could damage our reputation, limit our growth, and cause us to incur additional expenses.

Our customers have been subject to “phishing,” which occurs when a third party calls or sends an email or pop-up message to a customer that claims to be from a business or organization that provides services to the customer. The purpose of the inquiry is typically to encourage the customer to visit a bogus website designed to look like a website operated by the legitimate business or organization or provide information to the operator. At the bogus website, the operator attempts to trick the customer into divulging customer account or other personal information such as credit card information or to introduce viruses through “trojan horse” programs to the customers’ computers. This has resulted in identity theft from our customers and the unauthorized use of Vonage services. Third parties have also used our communications services to commit fraud. Although we have engaged a third party to assist in the shutdown of purported phishing sites, if we are unable to detect and prevent “phishing,” use of our services for fraud, and similar activities, our brand reputation and growth may suffer and we may incur additional costs, including costs to increase security, or be required to credit significant amounts to customers.

Third parties also have used our communications services without paying, including by submitting fraudulent credit card information. This has resulted in our incurring the cost of providing the services, including incurring call termination fees, without any corresponding revenues. We have implemented anti-fraud procedures in order to limit the expenses resulting from theft of service, which were not material in 2012. If our procedures are not effective, theft of service could significantly increase our expenses and negatively impact our profitability.

We are dependent on a small number of individuals, and if we lose key personnel upon whom we are dependent, our business will be adversely affected.

Many of the key responsibilities of our business have been assigned to a relatively small number of individuals. Our future success depends to a considerable degree on the vision, skills, experience, and effort of our senior management, especially Marc P. Lefar, our Chief Executive Officer. The loss of the services of these officers could have a material adverse effect on our business. In addition, our continued growth depends on our ability to attract and retain experienced key employees.

The success of our business relies on customers’ continued and unimpeded access to broadband service. Providers of broadband services may be

Table of Contents

able to block our services or charge their customers more for also using our services, which could adversely affect our revenue and growth.

Our customers must have broadband access to the Internet in order to use our service. Some providers of broadband access, including outside of the United States, may take measures that affect their customers' ability to use our service, such as degrading the quality of the data packets we transmit over their lines, giving those packets low priority, giving other packets higher priority than ours, blocking our packets entirely or attempting to charge their customers more for also using our services.

In the United States, there continues to be some uncertainty regarding whether suppliers of broadband Internet access have a legal obligation to allow their customers to access and use our service without interference. In December 2010, the FCC adopted new net neutrality rules that would protect services like ours from such interference. Several parties have sought judicial review of the FCC's net neutrality rules. These appeals are currently pending. Interference with our service or higher charges for using our service could cause us to lose existing customers, impair our ability to attract new customers, and harm our revenue and growth. These problems could also arise in international markets. Most foreign countries have not adopted formal net neutrality rules like those adopted by the FCC.

If customers do not accept the differences between our service and traditional telephone service, they may choose to remain with their current telephone service provider or may choose to return to service provided by traditional telephone companies.

For certain users, aspects of our service are not the same as traditional telephone service. Our continued growth is dependent on the adoption of our services by mainstream customers, so these differences are important. For example:

> Both our E-911 and emergency calling services are different, in significant respects, from the 911 service associated with traditional wireline and wireless telephone providers and, in certain cases, with other VoIP providers.

In the event of a power loss or Internet access interruption experienced by a customer, our service is interrupted.
> Unlike some of our competitors, we have not installed batteries at customer premises to provide emergency power for our customers' equipment if they lose power, although we do have backup power systems for our network equipment and service platform.

> Our customers may experience lower call quality than they are used to from traditional wireline telephone companies, including static, echoes, and delays in transmissions.

> Our customers may experience higher dropped-call rates than they are used to from traditional wireline telephone companies.

> Customers who obtain new phone numbers from us do not appear in the phone book and their phone numbers are not available through directory assistance services offered by traditional telephone companies.

> Our customers cannot accept collect calls.

> Our customers cannot call premium-rate telephone numbers such as 1-900 numbers and 976 numbers.

If customers do not accept the differences between our service and traditional telephone service, they may choose to remain with their current telephone service provider or may choose to return to service provided by traditional telephone companies.

Our emergency and E-911 calling services may expose us to significant liability.

The FCC rules for the provision of 911 service by interconnected VoIP providers, such as the VoIP service we provide, require that for all geographic areas covered by the traditional wireline E-911 network, interconnected VoIP providers must provide E-911 service as defined by the FCC's rules. Under the FCC's rules, E-911 service means that

interconnected VoIP providers must transmit the caller's telephone number and registered location information to the appropriate PSAP for the caller's registered location. Vonage provides E-911 service, under the FCC's rules, to approximately 99.99% of its subscriber lines.

The remaining subscriber lines do not have E-911 service for a variety of reasons including refusal by PSAPs to accept VoIP 911 calls, the inability of PSAPs to receive the registered location data from us, and the failure by third party companies with whom we contract to provide aspects of our E-911 service to obtain the necessary access or complete implementation of the necessary interfaces to the traditional wireline E-911 infrastructure. In addition, certain of our services designed to be highly mobile including soft phone service, which is software that enables a customer to make telephone calls from a computer, route callers to a national emergency call center that in turns routes the call to the appropriate PSAP.

We could be subject to enforcement action by the FCC for our subscriber lines that do not have E-911 service. This enforcement action could result in significant monetary penalties and restrictions on our ability to offer non-compliant services.

Delays our customers may encounter when making emergency services calls and any inability of a PSAP to automatically recognize the caller's location or telephone number can have devastating consequences. Customers have attempted, and may in the future attempt, to hold us responsible for any loss, damage, personal injury or death suffered as a result. In July 2008, the New and Emerging Technologies 911 Improvement Act of 2008 became law and provided that interconnected VoIP providers have the same protections from liability for the operation of 911 service as traditional wireline and wireless providers. Limitations on liability for the provision of 911 service are normally governed by state law and these limitations typically are not absolute. Thus, for example, we could be subject to liability for a problem with our 911 service where our failures are greater than mere negligence. It is also unclear under the FCC's rules whether the limitations on liability would apply to those subscriber lines where Vonage does not provide E-911 service.

The debt agreements governing our financing contain restrictions that may limit our flexibility in operating our business.

On February 11, 2013, we entered into Amendment No. 1 to our then existing credit facility (the "2013 Credit Facility"). The 2013 Credit Facility consists of a \$70,000 senior secured term loan and a \$75,000 revolving credit facility. The 2013 Credit Facility contains customary representations and warranties and affirmative covenants that limit our ability and/or the ability of certain of our subsidiaries to engage in specified types of transactions. These covenants and other restrictions may under certain circumstances limit, but not necessarily preclude, our and certain of our subsidiaries' ability to, among other things:

- >consolidate or merge;
- >create liens;
- >incur additional indebtedness;
- >dispose of assets;
- >consummate acquisitions;
- >make investments; or

Table of Contents

>pay dividends and other distributions.

Under the 2013 Credit Facility, we are required to comply with the following financial covenants: specified maximum consolidated leverage ratio, specified minimum consolidated fixed coverage charge ratio, minimum cash position and maximum capital expenditures. Our ability to comply with such financial and other covenants may be affected by events beyond our control, so we may not be able to comply with these covenants. A breach of any such covenant could result in a default under the 2013 Credit Facility. In that case, the lenders could elect to declare due and payable immediately all amounts due under the 2013 Credit Facility, including principal and accrued interest.

The market price of our common stock has been and may continue to be volatile, and purchasers of our common stock could incur substantial losses.

Securities markets experience significant price and volume fluctuations. This market volatility, as well as general economic conditions, could cause the market price of our common stock to fluctuate substantially. The trading price of our common stock has been, and is likely to continue to be, volatile. Many factors that are beyond our control may significantly affect the market price of our shares. These factors include:

>changes in our earnings or variations in operating results;

>any shortfall in revenue or increase in losses from levels expected by securities analysts;

>judgments in litigation;

>operating performance of companies comparable to us;

>general economic trends and other external factors; and

>market conditions and competitive pressures that prevent us from executing on our future growth initiatives.

If any of these factors causes the price of our common stock to fall, investors may not be able to sell their common stock at or above their respective purchase prices, or we may face delisting from the New York Stock Exchange. If we require additional capital, we may not be able to obtain additional financing on favorable terms or at all. We may need to pursue additional financing to respond to new competitive pressures, pay extraordinary expenses such as litigation settlements or judgments or fund growth, including through acquisitions. Because of our past significant losses and our limited tangible assets, we do not fit traditional credit lending criteria, which, in particular, could make it difficult for us to obtain loans or to access the capital markets. In addition, the credit documentation for our recent financing contains affirmative and negative covenants that affect, and in many respects may significantly limit or prohibit, among other things, our and certain of our subsidiaries' ability to incur, refinance or modify indebtedness and create liens.

Our credit card processors have the ability to impose significant holdbacks in certain circumstances. The reinstatement of such holdbacks likely would have a material adverse effect on our liquidity.

Under our credit card processing agreements with our Visa, MasterCard, American Express, and Discover credit card processors, the credit card processor has the right, in certain circumstances, including adverse events affecting our business, to impose a holdback of our advanced payments purchased using a Visa, MasterCard, American Express, or Discover credit card, as applicable, or demand additional reserves or other security. If circumstances were to occur that

would allow any of these processors to reinstate a holdback, the negative impact on our liquidity likely would be significant. In addition, our Visa and MasterCard credit card processing agreement may be terminated by the credit card processor at its discretion if we are deemed to be financially insecure. As a significant portion of payments to us are made through Visa and MasterCard credit cards, if the credit card processor does not assist in transitioning our

business to another credit card processor, the negative impact on our liquidity likely would be significant. There were no cash reserves and cash-collateralized letters of credit with any credit card processors as of December 31, 2012. We have incurred cumulative losses since our inception and may not achieve consistent profitability in the future. While we achieved net income of \$36,627 for the year ended December 31, 2012, our accumulated deficit is \$726,230 from our inception through December 31, 2012, which included the release of \$325,601 of the valuation allowance recorded against our net deferred tax assets that we recorded as a one-time non-cash income tax benefit for the year ended December 31, 2011. Although we believe we will achieve consistent profitability in the future, we ultimately may not be successful. We believe that our ability to achieve consistent profitability will depend, among other factors, on our ability to continue to achieve and maintain substantive operational improvements and structural cost reductions while maintaining and growing our net revenues. In addition, certain of the costs of our business are not within our control and may increase. For example, we and other telecommunications providers are subject to regulatory termination charges imposed by regulatory authorities in countries to which customers make calls, such as India where regulatory authorities have been petitioned by local providers to consider termination rate increases. As we attract additional international long distance callers, we will be more affected by these increases to the extent that we are unable to offset such costs by passing through price increases to customers.

We may be unable to fully realize the benefits of our net operating loss (“NOL”) carry forwards if an ownership change occurs.

If we were to experience a “change in ownership” under Section 382 of the Internal Revenue Code (“Section 382”), the NOL carry forward limitations under Section 382 would impose an annual limit on the amount of the future taxable income that may be offset by our NOL generated prior to the change in ownership. If a change in ownership were to occur, we may be unable to use a significant portion of our NOL to offset future taxable income. In general, a change in ownership occurs when, as of any testing date, there has been a cumulative change in the stock ownership of the corporation held by 5% stockholders of more than 50 percentage points over an applicable three-year period. For these purposes, a 5% stockholder is generally any person or group of persons that at any time during an applicable three-year period has owned 5% or more of our outstanding common stock. In addition, persons who own less than 5% of the outstanding common stock are grouped together as one or more “public group” 5% stockholders. Under Section 382, stock ownership would be determined under complex attribution rules and generally includes shares held directly, indirectly (through intervening entities), and constructively (by certain related parties and certain unrelated parties acting as a group). We have implemented a Tax Benefits Preservation Plan intended to provide a meaningful deterrent effect against acquisitions that could cause a change in ownership, however this is not a guarantee against such a change in ownership.

Jeffrey A. Citron, our founder, non-executive Chairman, and a significant stockholder, exerts significant influence over us.

As of December 31, 2012, Mr. Citron beneficially owned approximately 20.6% of our outstanding common stock, including outstanding securities exercisable for common stock within 60 days of such date. As a result, Mr. Citron is able to exert significant influence over all matters presented to our stockholders for approval, including

Table of Contents

election and removal of our directors and change of control transactions. In addition, as our non-executive Chairman, Mr. Citron has and will continue to have influence over our strategy and other matters as a board member. Mr. Citron's interests may not always coincide with the interests of other holders of our common stock.

Our certificate of incorporation and bylaws, the agreements governing our indebtedness, and the terms of certain settlement agreements to which we are a party contain provisions that could delay or discourage a takeover attempt, which could prevent the completion of a transaction in which our stockholders could receive a substantial premium over the then-current market price for their shares.

Certain provisions of our restated certificate of incorporation and our second amended and restated bylaws may make it more difficult for, or have the effect of discouraging, a third party from acquiring control of us or changing our board of directors and management. These provisions:

- > permit our board of directors to issue additional shares of common stock and preferred stock and to establish the number of shares, series designation, voting powers (if any), preferences, other special rights, qualifications, limitations or restrictions of any series of preferred stock;

- > limit the ability of stockholders to amend our restated certificate of incorporation and second amended and restated bylaws, including supermajority requirements;

- > allow only our board of directors, Chairman of the board of directors or Chief Executive Officer to call special meetings of our stockholders;

- > eliminate the ability of stockholders to act by written consent;

- > require advance notice for stockholder proposals and director nominations;

- > limit the removal of directors and the filling of director vacancies; and

- > establish a classified board of directors with staggered three-year terms.

In addition, a change of control would constitute an event of default under our 2013 Credit Facility. Upon the occurrence of an event of default, the lenders could elect to declare due and payable immediately all amounts due under our 2013 Credit Facility, including principal and accrued interest, and may take action to foreclose upon the collateral securing the indebtedness.

Under our 2013 Credit Facility, a "change of control" would result from the occurrence of, among other things, the acquisition by any person or group (other than Mr. Citron and his majority-controlled affiliates) of 35% or more of the voting and/or economic interest of our outstanding common stock on a fully-diluted basis. The definition of "change of control" in the 2013 Credit Facility remains the same as under the credit facility that we entered into in July 2011, a copy of which has been previously filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission as Exhibit 10.1 to a Form 8-K filed by us on July 29, 2011.

Further, we were named as a defendant in several suits that related to patent infringement and entered into agreements to settle certain of the suits in 2007. Certain terms of those agreements, including licenses and covenants not to sue, will be restricted upon a change of control, which may discourage certain potential purchasers from acquiring us. Such provisions could have the effect of depriving stockholders of an opportunity to sell their shares at a premium over prevailing market prices. Any delay or prevention of, or significant payments required to be made upon, a change of control transaction or changes in our board of directors or management could deter potential acquirors or prevent the completion of a transaction in which our stockholders could receive a substantial premium over the then-current market price for their shares.

ITEM 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

Not applicable.

ITEM 2. Properties

The following is a summary of our offices and locations:

| Location | Business Use | Square Footage | Lease Expiration Date |
|------------------------|---|----------------|-----------------------|
| Holmdel, New Jersey | Corporate Headquarters, Network Operations, Customer Service, Sales and Marketing, and Administration | 350,000 | 2017 |
| London, United Kingdom | Sales and Marketing, Administration | 3,472 | 2015 |
| Atlanta, Georgia | Product Development | 2,588 | 2013 |
| Tel Aviv, Israel | Application Development | 7,158 | 2015 |
| | | 363,218 | |

We believe that the facilities that we occupy are adequate for our current needs and do not anticipate leasing any additional space.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. Legal Proceedings

Litigation

IP Matters

Bear Creek Technologies, Inc. On February 22, 2011, Bear Creek Technologies, Inc. (“Bear Creek”) filed a lawsuit against Vonage Holdings Corp., Vonage America, Inc., and Vonage Marketing LLC in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Virginia (Norfolk Division) alleging that Vonage's products and services are covered by United States Patent No. 7,889,722, entitled “System for Interconnecting Standard Telephony Communications Equipment to Internet Protocol Networks” (the “’722 Patent”). The suit also named numerous other defendants, including Verizon Communications, Inc., Comcast Corporation, Time-Warner Cable, Inc., AT&T, Inc., and T-Mobile USA Inc. On August 17, 2011, the Court dismissed Bear Creek's case against the Vonage entities, as well as all the other defendants, except for one defendant. Later, on August 17, 2011, Bear Creek re-filed its complaint concerning the '722 Patent in the United States District Court for the District of Delaware against the same Vonage entities. In its Delaware complaint, Bear Creek alleges that Vonage is infringing one or more claims of the '722 Patent. In addition, Bear Creek alleges that Vonage is contributing to and inducing infringement of one or more claims of the '722 Patent. On September 28, 2011, Vonage filed a motion to dismiss Bear Creek's claims for induced, contributory, and willful infringement, which was denied on September 27, 2012. On January 25, 2012, Bear Creek filed a motion with the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation seeking to transfer and consolidate its litigation against Vonage with thirteen separate actions Bear Creek filed in the U.S. District Courts for Delaware and the Eastern District of Virginia. On May 2, 2012, the Multidistrict Litigation Panel granted Bear Creek's motion and ordered the coordination or consolidation for pretrial proceedings of all fourteen actions in the U.S. District Court for the District of Delaware. On October 11, 2012, Vonage filed an answer to Bear Creek's complaint, including counterclaims of non-infringement and invalidity of the '722 patent. On November 5, 2012, Bear Creek filed an answer to Vonage's counterclaims. On January 22, 2013, the Court set a conference in the case for March 19, 2013, for the purpose of discussing case management including setting a case schedule.

On March 8, 2012, a third-party requested the United States Patent and Trademark Office (“USPTO”) to reexamine the validity of the asserted '722 Patent. The USPTO granted the request on April 26, 2012, and subsequently issued an initial Office Action rejecting all of the '722 Patent claims. After reconsideration based on statements made by the patentee, however, the USPTO on September 19, 2012, reversed its initial rejection, and confirmed all claims as patentable over the references cited in the reexamination request. A second request for reexamination of the '722 Patent was filed on September 12, 2012, by Cisco Systems, Inc., challenging the validity of the '722 Patent. Cisco's request was granted by the USPTO on November 28, 2012. No Office Action in this reexamination has been issued by the USPTO. A third request for reexamination of the '722 Patent was filed on September 14, 2012, and the USPTO denied this request on December 6, 2012.

OpinionLab, Inc. On July 18, 2012, OpinionLab, Inc. (“OpinionLab”) filed a lawsuit against IPerceptions, Inc. and IPerceptions US, Inc. (“IPerceptions”) alleging claims of patent infringement, breach of contract, misappropriation of trade secrets, and tortious interference with business expectancy. On August 16, 2012, OpinionLab filed an amended complaint, adding Vonage Marketing LLC and Vonage Holdings Corp. as defendants, and alleging that Vonage's products and services are covered by United States Patent Nos. 6,421,724, 6,606,581, 6,928,392, 7,085,820, 7,370,285, 8,024,668, and 8,041,805. OpinionLab alleged direct, indirect and willful infringement against Vonage. IPerceptions, the supplier to Vonage of the accused product in this lawsuit, has agreed to fully indemnify and defend Vonage in this lawsuit. On September 11, 2012, IPerceptions and Vonage each moved to dismiss OpinionLab's indirect and willful patent infringement claims. The motions were denied on November 8, 2012. Vonage

answered the complaint on December 7, 2012. The court has scheduled a status hearing for February 19, 2013. From time to time, in addition to those identified above, we are subject to legal proceedings, claims, investigations, and proceedings in the ordinary course of business, including claims of alleged infringement of third-party patents and

other intellectual property rights, commercial, employment, and other matters. From time to time we receive letters or other communications from third parties inviting us to obtain patent licenses that might be relevant to our business or alleging that our services infringe upon third party patents or other intellectual property. In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we make a provision for a liability when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the loss or range of loss can be reasonably estimated. These provisions, if any, are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. Litigation is inherently unpredictable. We believe that we have valid defenses with respect to the legal matters pending against us and are vigorously defending these matters. Given the uncertainty surrounding litigation and our inability to assess the likelihood of a favorable or unfavorable outcome in the above noted matters and our inability to reasonably estimate the amount of loss or range of loss, it is possible that the resolution of one or more of these matters could have a material adverse effect on our consolidated financial position, cash flows or results of operations.

Regulation

Telephony services are subject to a broad spectrum of state and federal regulations. Because of the uncertainty over whether Voice over Internet Protocol (“VoIP”) should be treated as a telecommunications or information service, we have been involved in a substantial amount of state and federal regulatory activity. Implementation and interpretation of the existing laws and regulations is ongoing and is subject to litigation by various federal and state agencies and courts. Due to the uncertainty over the regulatory classification of VoIP service, there can be no assurance that we will not be subject to new regulations or existing regulations under new interpretations, and that such change would not introduce material additional costs to our business.

Federal - CALEA

On August 5, 2005, the Federal Communications Commission (the “FCC”) released an Order extending the obligations of the Communications Assistance for Law Enforcement Act (“CALEA”) to interconnected VoIP providers. Under CALEA, telecommunications carriers must assist law enforcement in executing electronic surveillance, which includes the capability to provide call content and call-identifying information to a local enforcement agency, or LEA, pursuant to a court order or other lawful authorization.

The FCC required all interconnected VoIP providers to become fully CALEA compliant by May 14, 2007. Vonage has tested with a federal law enforcement agency and implemented a trusted third party CALEA solution to meet this requirement. We could be subject to an enforcement action in the future in the event the government took the position that we were not in compliance with CALEA.

Federal - Local Number Portability

On May 13, 2009, the FCC adopted an order that reduced to one business day the amount of time that an interconnected VoIP provider such as us have to port a telephone number to another provider. If we, or third parties we rely upon for porting, have difficulty executing the one-day porting requirement, we could be subject to FCC enforcement action.

Table of Contents

Federal - Net Neutrality

Clear and enforceable net neutrality rules would make it more difficult for broadband Internet service providers to block or discriminate against Vonage service. Also explicitly applying net neutrality rules to wireless broadband Internet service could create greater opportunities for VoIP applications that run on wireless broadband Internet service. In October 2009, the FCC proposed the adoption of enforceable net neutrality rules for both wired and wireless broadband Internet service providers. The proposed rules would prohibit wired and wireless broadband Internet service providers from blocking or hindering lawful content, applications, or services and from unreasonably discriminating when transmitting lawful network traffic. In addition, broadband Internet service providers would have to publicly disclose certain information about their network management practices. In December 2010, the FCC adopted enforceable net neutrality rules based on its October 2009 proposal. All of the proposed rules in the October 2009 proposal applied to wired broadband Internet providers. The FCC applied some but not all of the proposed rules to wireless broadband service. Wireless broadband Internet services providers are prohibited from blocking or hindering voice or video applications that compete with the broadband Internet service provider's voice or video services. Wireless providers are also subject to transparency requirements, but they are not subject to the prohibition on unreasonable discrimination that applies to wired broadband Internet services providers. Final rules were filed in the Federal Register in September 2011. Shortly thereafter, a number of parties filed appeals of the rules in various federal circuit courts; some alleging that the FCC lacks authority to apply net neutrality rules to broadband service providers and some alleging that the rules did not go far enough. The D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals was selected by lottery to decide the appeals and the appeals alleging that the rules did not go far enough were dropped. The appeals alleging that the FCC lacks authority to apply the rules are pending.

Federal - Intercarrier Compensation

On February 9, 2011, the FCC released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on reforming universal service and the intercarrier compensation ("ICC") system that governs payments between telecommunications carriers primarily for terminating traffic. In particular, the FCC indicated that it has never determined the ICC obligations for VoIP service and sought comment on a number of proposals for how VoIP should be treated in the ICC system. The FCC's adoption of an ICC proposal will impact Vonage's costs for telecommunications services. On October 27, 2011, the FCC adopted an order reforming universal service and ICC. The FCC order provides that VoIP originated calls will be subject to interstate access charges for long distance calls and reciprocal compensation for local calls that terminate to the public switched telephone network ("PSTN"). It also subjected PSTN originated traffic directed to VoIP subscribers to similar ICC obligations. The termination charges for all traffic, including VoIP originated traffic, will transition over several years to a bill and keep arrangement (i.e., no termination charges). Numerous parties filed appeals of the FCC order in multiple federal circuit courts of appeal. The 10th Circuit Court of Appeals was selected by lottery to decide the appeals. The appeals are pending.

Federal - Universal Service Contribution Reform

On April 30, 2012, the FCC released a Further Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on reforming federal universal service fund ("USF") contributions. Currently USF contributions are assessed on the interstate and international revenue of traditional telephone carriers and interconnected VoIP providers like Vonage. The level of USF assessments on these providers has been going up over time because of decreases in the revenue subject to assessment due to substitution of non-assessable services such as non-interconnected VoIP services. If the FCC does reform USF contributions, it is likely that Vonage's contribution burden will decline.

State Telecommunications Regulation

In general, the focus of interconnected VoIP telecommunications regulation is at the federal level. On November 12,

2004, the FCC issued a declaratory ruling providing that our service is subject to federal regulation and preempted the Minnesota Public Utilities Commission from imposing certain of its regulations on us. The FCC's decision was based on its conclusion that our service is interstate in nature and cannot be separated into interstate and intrastate components. On March 21, 2007, the United States Court of Appeals for the 8th Circuit affirmed the FCC's declaratory ruling preempting state regulation of our service. The 8th Circuit found that it is impossible for us to separate our interstate traffic from our intrastate traffic because of the nomadic nature of the service. As a result, the

8th Circuit held that it was reasonable for the FCC to preempt state regulation of our service. The 8th Circuit was clear, however, that the preemptive effect of the FCC's declaratory ruling may be reexamined if technological advances allow for the separation of interstate and intrastate components of the nomadic VoIP service. Therefore, the preemption of state authority over our service under this ruling generally hinges on the inability to separate the interstate and intrastate components of the service.

While this ruling does not exempt us from all state oversight of our service, it effectively prevents state telecommunications regulators from imposing certain burdensome and inconsistent market entry requirements and certain other state utility rules and regulations on our service. State regulators continue to probe the limits of federal preemption in their attempts to apply state telecommunications regulation to interconnected VoIP service. On July 16, 2009, the Nebraska Public Service Commission and the Kansas Corporation Commission filed a petition with the FCC seeking a declaratory ruling or, alternatively, adoption of a rule declaring that state authorities may apply universal service funding requirements to nomadic VoIP providers. We participated in the FCC proceedings on the petition. On November 5, 2010, the FCC issued a declaratory ruling that allowed states to assess state USF on nomadic VoIP providers on a going forward basis provided that the states comply with certain conditions to ensure that imposing state USF does not conflict with federal law or policy. We expect that state public utility commissions and state legislators will continue their attempts to apply state telecommunications regulations to nomadic VoIP service.

State and Municipal Taxes

In accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, we make a provision for a liability for taxes when it is both probable that a liability has been incurred and the amount of the liability or range of liability can be reasonably estimated. These provisions are reviewed at least quarterly and adjusted to reflect the impacts of negotiations, settlements, rulings, advice of legal counsel, and other information and events pertaining to a particular case. For a period of time, we did not collect or remit state or municipal taxes (such as sales, excise, utility, use, and ad valorem taxes), fees or surcharges ("Taxes") on the charges to our customers for our services, except that we historically complied with the New Jersey sales tax. We have received inquiries or demands from a number of state and municipal taxing and 911 agencies seeking payment of Taxes that are applied to or collected from customers of providers of traditional public switched telephone network services. Although we have consistently maintained that these Taxes do not apply to our service for a variety of reasons depending on the statute or rule that establishes such obligations, we are now collecting and remitting sales taxes in certain of those states including a number of states that have changed their statutes to expressly include VoIP. In addition, many states address how VoIP providers should contribute to support public safety agencies, and in those states we remit fees to the appropriate state agencies. We could also be contacted by state or municipal taxing and 911 agencies regarding Taxes that do explicitly apply to VoIP and these agencies could seek retroactive payment of Taxes. As such, we have a reserve of \$1,514 as of December 31, 2012 as our best estimate of the potential tax exposure for any retroactive assessment. We believe the maximum estimated exposure for retroactive assessments is approximately \$4,000 as of December 31, 2012.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. Mine Safety Disclosures
Not Applicable.

19 VONAGE ANNUAL REPORT 2012

Table of Contents

PART II

ITEM 5. Market for Registrant’s Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Price Range of Common Stock

Our common stock has been listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the ticker symbol “VG” since May 24, 2006. Prior to that time, there was no public market for our common stock. The

following table sets forth the high and low sales prices for our common stock as reported on the NYSE for the quarterly periods indicated.

| | Price Range of Common Stock | |
|----------------|--------------------------------|--------|
| | High | Low |
| 2012 | | |
| Fourth quarter | \$2.50 | \$2.12 |
| Third quarter | \$2.55 | \$1.63 |
| Second quarter | \$2.27 | \$1.64 |
| First quarter | \$3.16 | \$2.14 |
| 2011 | | |
| Fourth quarter | \$3.52 | \$2.04 |
| Third quarter | \$4.82 | \$2.51 |
| Second quarter | \$5.39 | \$3.99 |
| First quarter | \$4.94 | \$2.25 |

Holders

At January 31, 2013, we had approximately 148 stockholders of record. This number does not include beneficial owners whose shares are held in street name.

Dividends

We have never paid cash dividends on our common stock, and we do not anticipate paying any cash dividends on our common stock for at least the next 12 months. We intend to retain all of our earnings, if any, for general corporate purposes, and, if appropriate, to finance the expansion of our business.

Stock Performance Graph

The graph below compares the cumulative total return of

our common stock between December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2012, with the cumulative total return of (1) the S&P 500 Index, (2) the NASDAQ Telecom Index and (3) the NYSE Composite Index. This graph assumes the investment of \$100 on December 31, 2007 in our common stock, the S&P 500 Index, the NASDAQ Telecom Index and the NYSE Composite Index, and assumes the reinvestment of dividends, if any.

The graph below and related information shall not be deemed “soliciting material” or “filed” with the Securities and Exchange Commission or otherwise subject to the liabilities of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (the “Exchange Act”), nor shall such information be deemed incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 (the “Securities Act”) or the Exchange Act, except to the extent we specifically request that such information be treated as soliciting material or specifically incorporate such information by reference into a document

filed under the Securities Act or the Exchange Act.

20 VONAGE ANNUAL REPORT 2012

Table of Contents

COMPARISON OF THE CUMULATIVE TOTAL RETURN ON COMMON STOCK BETWEEN DECEMBER 31, 2007 AND DECEMBER 31, 2012

Among Vonage Holdings Corp., the S&P 500 Index, the NASDAQ Telecom Index and the NYSE Composite Index.

| | December 31, | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|---------|----------|----------|
| | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Vonage Holdings Corp. | \$28.70 | \$60.87 | \$97.39 | \$106.52 | \$103.04 |
| S&P 500 Index | \$61.51 | \$75.94 | \$85.65 | \$85.65 | \$97.13 |
| NASDAQ Telecom Index | \$57.02 | \$87.25 | \$87.84 | \$76.75 | \$78.29 |
| NYSE Composite Index | \$59.11 | \$76.21 | \$81.76 | \$76.76 | \$86.69 |

Common Stock repurchases

See Note 8 – Common Stock of the Notes to Financial Statements (Part IV of this Form 10-K) for information regarding common stock repurchases by quarter. Following are our monthly

common stock repurchases (in thousands, except per share value) during the fourth quarter of 2012, all of which were purchased as part of publicly announced repurchase programs:

| Period | (a) Total Number of Shares Purchased | (b) Average Price Paid per Share | (c) Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | (d) Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet be Purchased under the Plans or Program |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------------------------|--|---|
| October 1, 2012 - October 31, 2012 (1) | 2,237 | 2.24 | 2,237 | \$35,932 |
| November 1, 2012 - November 30, 2012 (2) | 2,721 | 2.26 | 2,721 | \$29,787 |
| December 1, 2012 - December 31, 2012 (3) | 3,199 | 2.42 | 3,199 | \$22,056 |
| | 8,157 | | 8,157 | |

(1) including 100 shares, or \$229, of common stock repurchases settled in November 2012; excluding commission of \$44.

(2) including 300 shares, or \$709, of common stock repurchases settled in December 2012; excluding commission of \$54.

(3) including 278 shares, or \$638, of common stock repurchases settled in January 2013; excluding commission of \$65.

During the three months ended December 31, 2012, we repurchased 8,157 shares of Vonage Holdings Corp. common stock for \$18,889 using cash resources. The repurchases occurred in the open market and pursuant to a trading plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. As of December 31, 2012, approximately \$22,056 remained of our \$50,000 repurchase program that we announced on July 25, 2012. The program was to expire on

December 31, 2013, subject to suspension or discontinuance at any time without notice. On February 7, 2013, Vonage's Board of Directors discontinued this share repurchase program effective at the close of business on February 12, 2013 with \$16,682 remaining, and authorized a new program to repurchase up to \$100,000 of the Company's outstanding shares by December 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. Selected Financial Data

The following table sets forth our selected historical financial information. The statement of operations and cash flow data for the years ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010 and the balance sheet data as of December 31, 2012 and 2011 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The statement of operations and cash flow data for the years ended December 31, 2009 and 2008 and the balance sheet data as of

December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 are derived from our audited consolidated financial statements and related notes not included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. The results included below and elsewhere are not necessarily indicative of our future performance. You should read this information together with “Management’s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations” and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

| (In thousands, except per share amounts) | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-----------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Statement of Operations Data: | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$849,114 | \$870,323 | \$885,042 | \$889,080 | \$900,120 |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | | |
| Direct cost of telephony services (1) | 231,877 | 236,149 | 243,794 | 213,553 | 226,210 |
| Direct cost of goods sold | 39,133 | 41,756 | 55,965 | 71,488 | 79,382 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 242,368 | 234,754 | 238,986 | 265,456 | 298,985 |
| Marketing | 212,540 | 204,263 | 198,170 | 227,990 | 253,370 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 33,324 | 37,051 | 53,073 | 53,391 | 48,612 |
| Loss from abandonment of software assets | 25,262 | — | — | — | — |
| | 784,504 | 753,973 | 789,988 | 831,878 | 906,559 |
| Income (loss) from operations | 64,610 | 116,350 | 95,054 | 57,202 | (6,439) |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | | |
| Interest income | 109 | 135 | 519 | 277 | 3,236 |
| Interest expense | (5,986) | (17,118) | (48,541) | (54,192) | (29,878) |
| Change in fair value of embedded features within notes payable and stock warrant | — | (950) | (99,338) | (49,933) | — |
| Loss (gain) on extinguishment of notes | — | (11,806) | (31,023) | 4,041 | (30,570) |
| Other (expense) income, net | (11) | (271) | (18) | 843 | (247) |
| | (5,888) | (30,010) | (178,401) | (98,964) | (57,459) |
| Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit | 58,722 | 86,340 | (83,347) | (41,762) | (63,898) |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (22,095) | 322,704 | (318) | (836) | (678) |
| Net Income (loss) | \$36,627 | \$409,044 | \$(83,665) | \$(42,598) | \$(64,576) |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.16 | \$1.82 | \$(0.40) | \$(0.25) | \$(0.41) |
| Diluted | \$0.16 | \$1.69 | \$(0.40) | \$(0.25) | \$(0.41) |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding: | | | | | |
| Basic | 224,264 | 224,324 | 209,868 | 170,314 | 156,258 |
| Diluted | 232,633 | 241,744 | 209,868 | 170,314 | 156,258 |

Table of Contents

| | December 31, | | | | |
|---|--------------|------------|------------|-----------|-----------|
| (dollars in thousands) | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 | 2008 |
| Statement of Cash Flow Data: | | | | | |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | \$ 119,843 | \$ 146,786 | \$ 194,212 | \$ 38,396 | \$ 3,555 |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (25,472) | (37,604) | (4,686) | (50,565) | 40,486 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (56,257) | (130,138) | (143,762) | (3,253) | (68,370) |
| Balance Sheet Data (at period end): | | | | | |
| Cash, cash equivalents and marketable securities | \$97,110 | \$58,863 | \$78,934 | \$32,213 | \$46,134 |
| Property and equipment, net | 60,533 | 67,978 | 79,050 | 90,548 | 98,292 |
| Total deferred tax assets, including current portion, net | 306,113 | 325,601 | — | — | — |
| Restricted cash | 5,656 | 6,929 | 7,978 | 43,700 | 39,585 |
| Total assets | 547,389 | 566,215 | 260,392 | 313,384 | 336,905 |
| Total notes payable, including current portion, net of discount | 42,500 | 70,833 | 193,004 | 201,771 | 194,050 |
| Capital lease obligations | 15,561 | 17,665 | 19,448 | 20,948 | 22,199 |
| Total liabilities | 225,974 | 266,648 | 390,039 | 405,293 | 427,647 |
| Total stockholders' equity (deficit) | 321,415 | 299,567 | (129,647) | (91,909) | (90,742) |

(1) Excludes depreciation and amortization of \$15,115 for 2012, \$15,824 for 2011, \$18,725 for 2010, \$18,958 for 2009, and \$20,254 for 2008.

Table of Contents

ITEM 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

You should read the following discussion together with "Selected Financial Data" and our consolidated financial statements and the related notes included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. This discussion contains forward-looking statements, which involve risks and uncertainties. Our actual results may differ materially from those we currently anticipate as a result of many factors, including the factors we describe under "Item 1A—Risk Factors," and elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

OVERVIEW

We are a leading provider of communications services connecting people through cloud-connected devices worldwide. We rely heavily on our network, which is a flexible, scalable Session Initiation Protocol (SIP) based Voice over Internet Protocol, or VoIP, network. This platform enables a user via a single "identity," either a number or user name, to access and utilize services and features regardless of how they are connected to the Internet, including over 3G, 4G, Cable, or DSL broadband networks. This technology enables us to offer our customers attractively priced voice and messaging services and other features around the world on a variety of devices.

Over the past five years, we have fundamentally transformed our company - strategically, operationally and financially. Strategically, we shifted our primary focus to serving rapidly growing but under-served ethnic segments in the United States with international calling needs. We improved our value proposition by being the first to deliver flat-rate, unlimited calling to over 60 countries with the launch of our Vonage World service, and we were the first to provide easy-to-use, enhanced features, like voice-to-text translation and mobile Extension services, at no extra cost. These strategic shifts have resulted in new customers with a higher average lifetime value and a better churn profile than those in the past.

Our focus on operations during this period has resulted in a significantly improved cost structure. We have implemented operational efficiencies throughout our business and have reduced domestic and international termination costs per minute, and customer care costs. Importantly, we have enabled structural cost reductions while significantly improving network call quality and customer service performance. Improvements in the overall customer experience have contributed to lower churn, which declined from highs of 3.6% in July 2009 to 2.5% at the end of the 2012.

Through debt refinancings in December 2010 and July 2011, we have fundamentally improved our balance sheet, reducing annual interest expense from \$49 million in 2010 to \$6 million in 2012 and reducing interest rates from as high as 20% in 2009 to less than 4% today.

In part as a result of our operational and financial stability, on February 7, 2013, Vonage's Board of Directors discontinued the remainder of our existing share repurchase program effective at the close of business on February 12, 2013 with \$16,682 remaining, and authorized a new program to repurchase up to \$100,000 of the Company's outstanding shares by December 31, 2014. We believe our repurchase program reflects our balanced approach to capital allocation as we invest for growth through our growth initiatives and deliver value to shareholders without compromising our ongoing operational needs.

Having achieved operational and financial stability, we are focused on driving revenue through three major growth initiatives. The first growth initiative is in our core North American markets, where we will continue to provide extraordinary value in international long distance calling, while targeting under-served ethnic segments, and expect to

enter the low-end domestic market with a secondary brand. Our second growth initiative is international expansion outside of North America through strategic partnerships. Our third growth initiative is mobile services which we view

as a strategic enabler of the Company's entire product offering over time.

We had approximately 2.4 million subscriber lines for broadband telephone replacement services as of December 31, 2012. We bill customers in the United States, Canada, and the United Kingdom. Customers in the United States represented 93% of our subscriber lines at December 31, 2012.

Recent Developments

Amended Credit Agreement. On February 11, 2013, we entered into an amendment to the credit facility that we entered into in July 2011 (the "2011 Credit Facility"). The amendment (the "2013 Credit Facility") consists of a \$70,000 senior secured term loan and a \$75,000 revolving credit facility. The co-borrowers under the 2013 Credit Facility are us and Vonage America Inc., our wholly owned subsidiary. Obligations under the 2013 Credit Facility are guaranteed, fully and unconditionally, by our other United States subsidiaries and are secured by substantially all of the assets of each borrower and each of the guarantors. We used \$42,500 of the available proceeds of the 2013 Credit Facility to retire all of the debt under our 2011 Credit Facility.

Share Repurchase Authorization. On February 7, 2013, Vonage's Board of Directors discontinued the remainder of our existing share repurchase program effective at the close of business on February 12, 2013 with \$16,682 remaining, and authorized a new share repurchase program to repurchase up to \$100,000 of the Company's outstanding shares. This new authorization expires on December 31, 2014. The specific timing and amount of repurchases will vary based on available capital resources and other financial and operational performance, market conditions, securities law limitations, and other factors. The repurchases will be made using our cash resources. The repurchase program may be commenced, suspended or discontinued at any time without prior notice. In any period, cash used in financing activities related to common stock repurchased may differ from the comparable change in stockholders' equity, reflecting timing differences between the recognition of share repurchase transactions and their settlement for cash.

Joint Venture with Datora in Brazil. On February 8, 2013, we entered into our second international partnership, a joint venture with Datora Telecomunicacoes Ltda. ("Datora"), to deliver communication services in Brazil. Our partner, Datora, is a telecom operator in Brazil delivering managed business-to-business and termination services throughout South America and other parts of the world. Datora also has a significant physical presence in Brazilian economic centers. We expect this partnership to accelerate our entry into the Brazilian market.

Trends in Our Industry and Key Operating Data A number of trends in our industry have a significant effect on our results of operations and are important to an understanding of our financial statements.

Competitive landscape. We face intense competition from traditional telephone companies, wireless companies, cable companies, and alternative voice communication providers. Most traditional wireline and wireless telephone service providers and cable companies are substantially larger and better capitalized than we are and have the advantage of a large existing customer base. In addition, because our competitors provide other services, they often choose to offer VoIP services or other voice services as part of a bundle that includes other products, such as Internet access, cable television, and home telephone service, with an implied price for telephone service that

Table of Contents

may be significantly below ours. In addition, such competitors may in the future require new customers or existing customers making changes to their service to purchase voice services when purchasing high speed Internet access. Further, as wireless providers offer more minutes at lower prices, better coverage, and companion landline alternative services, their services have become more attractive to households as a replacement for wireline service. We also compete against alternative voice communication providers, such as magicJack, Skype, and Google Voice. Some of these service providers have chosen to sacrifice telephony revenue in order to gain market share and have offered their services at low prices or for free. As we continue to introduce applications that integrate different forms of voice and messaging services over multiple devices, we are facing competition from emerging competitors focused on similar integration, as well as from alternative voice communication providers. In addition, our competitors have partnered and may in the future partner with other competitors to offer products and services, leveraging their collective competitive positions. We also are subject to the risk of future disruptive technologies. In connection with our increasing emphasis on the international long distance market, we face competition from low-cost international calling cards and VoIP providers in addition to traditional telephone companies, cable companies, and wireless companies. Broadband adoption. The number of United States households with broadband Internet access has grown significantly. On March 16, 2010, the Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") released its National Broadband Plan, which seeks, through supporting broadband deployment and programs, to encourage broadband adoption for the approximately 100 million United States residents who do not have broadband at home. We expect the trend of greater broadband adoption to continue. We benefit from this trend because our service requires a broadband Internet connection and our potential addressable market increases as broadband adoption increases. Regulation. Our business has developed in a relatively lightly

regulated environment. The United States and other countries, however, are examining how VoIP services should be regulated. A November 2010 order by the FCC that permits states to impose state universal service fund obligations on VoIP service, discussed in Note 10 to our financial statements, is an example of efforts by regulators to determine how VoIP service fits into the telecommunications regulatory landscape. In addition to regulatory matters that directly address VoIP, a number of other regulatory initiatives could impact our business. One such regulatory initiative is net neutrality. In December 2010, the FCC adopted a revised set of net neutrality rules for broadband Internet service providers. These rules make it more difficult for broadband Internet service providers to block or discriminate against Vonage service. Several broadband Internet service providers have filed appeals of the FCC's new rules at the D.C. Circuit Court of Appeals alleging that the FCC lacks authority to apply its rules to broadband Internet service providers. In addition, on February 9, 2011, the FCC released a Notice of Proposed Rulemaking on reforming universal service and the intercarrier compensation ("ICC") system that governs payments between telecommunications carriers primarily for terminating traffic. The FCC's adoption of an ICC proposal will impact Vonage's costs for telecommunications services. On October 27, 2011, the FCC adopted an order reforming universal service and ICC. The FCC order provides that VoIP originated calls will be subject to interstate access charges for long distance calls and reciprocal compensation for local calls that terminate to the public switched telephone network ("PSTN"). The termination charges for all traffic, including VoIP originated traffic, will transition over several years to a bill and keep arrangement (i.e., no termination charges). Numerous parties filed appeals of the FCC's ICC order. We believe that the order, if effected, will positively impact our costs over time. See also the discussion under "Regulation" in Note 10 to our financial statements for a discussion of regulatory issues that impact us.

The table below includes key operating data that our management uses to measure the growth and operating performance of our business:

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 |
| Gross subscriber line additions | 652,750 | 672,274 | 640,205 |
| Change in net subscriber lines | (15,071) | (29,996) | (30,013) |
| Subscriber lines (at period end) | 2,359,816 | 2,374,887 | 2,404,883 |

Edgar Filing: VONAGE HOLDINGS CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|---|----------|---|----------|---|
| Average monthly customer churn | 2.6 | % | 2.6 | % | 2.4 | % |
| Average monthly operating revenues per line | \$29.89 | | \$30.35 | | \$30.48 | |
| Average monthly direct cost of telephony services per line | \$8.16 | | \$8.23 | | \$8.40 | |
| Marketing costs per gross subscriber line addition | \$325.61 | | \$303.84 | | \$309.54 | |
| Employees (excluding temporary help) (at period end) | 983 | | 1,008 | | 1,140 | |

Gross subscriber line additions. Gross subscriber line additions for a particular period are calculated by taking the net subscriber line additions during that particular period and adding to that the number of subscriber lines that terminated during that period. This number does not include subscriber lines both added and terminated during the period, where termination occurred within the first 30 days after activation. The number does include, however, subscriber lines added during the period that are terminated within 30 days of activation but after the end of the period.

Net subscriber line additions. Net subscriber line additions for a particular period reflect the number of subscriber lines at the end of the period, less the number of subscriber lines at the beginning of the period.

Subscriber lines. Our subscriber lines include, as of a particular date, all paid subscriber lines from which a customer can make an outbound telephone call on that date. Our subscriber lines include fax lines and soft phones but do not include our virtual phone numbers or toll free numbers, which only allow inbound telephone calls to customers.

Subscriber lines decreased by 15,071 from 2,374,887 as of December 31, 2011 to 2,359,816 as of December 31, 2012. This decrease was partially attributable to the removal of unlimited calling to

Pakistan from our Vonage World plan in the fourth quarter of 2012 due to a government imposed increase in termination costs.

Average monthly customer churn. Average monthly customer churn for a particular period is calculated by dividing the number of customers that terminated during that period by the simple average number of customers during the period, and dividing the result by the number of months in the period. The simple average number of customers during the period is the number of customers on the first day of the period, plus the number of customers on the last day of the period, divided by two. Terminations, as used in the calculation of churn statistics, do not include customers terminated during the period if termination occurred within the first 30 days after activation. Our average monthly customer churn was flat at 2.6% for 2012 compared to 2011. Our average monthly customer churn declined year over year from 2.7% for the three months ended December 31, 2011 to 2.5% for the three months ended December 31, 2012. The decline in churn was a result of reintroduction of a service period requirement, sustained improvements in customer satisfaction and more effective retention processes. Our average monthly customer churn remained the same sequentially for the three months ended December 31, 2012 from the three months ended September 30, 2012. There was an increase in

Table of Contents

churn due to the removal of unlimited calling to Pakistan from our Vonage World plan as a result of significant increases in call completion costs to Pakistan imposed by regulatory authorities in Pakistan, offset by the decrease in churn as a result of sustained improvements in customer satisfaction and more effective retention processes. We monitor churn on a daily basis and use it as an indicator of the level of customer satisfaction. Other companies may calculate churn differently, and their churn data may not be directly comparable to ours. Customers who have been with us for a year or more tend to have a lower churn rate than customers who have not. In addition, our customers who are international callers generally churn at a lower rate than customers who are domestic callers. Our churn will fluctuate over time due to economic conditions, competitive pressures, marketplace perception of our services, and our ability to provide high quality customer care and network quality and add future innovative products and services.

Average monthly operating revenues per line. Average monthly revenue per line for a particular period is calculated by dividing our total revenue for that period by the simple average number of subscriber lines for the period, and dividing the result by the number of months in the period. The simple average number of subscriber lines for the period is the number of subscriber lines on the first day of the period, plus the number of subscriber lines on the last day of the period, divided by two. Our average monthly revenue per line decreased to \$29.89 for 2012 compared to \$30.35 for 2011. This decrease was due primarily to the expansion of lower priced plan offerings to meet customer segment needs, and lower activation fee revenue, offset by selected pricing actions, higher priced rate plans, and higher USF fees.

Average monthly direct cost of telephony services per line. Average monthly direct cost of telephony services per line for a particular period is calculated by dividing our direct cost of telephony services for that period by the simple average number of subscriber lines for the period, and dividing the result by the number of months in the period. We use the average monthly direct cost of telephony services per line to evaluate how effective we are at managing our costs of providing service. Our average monthly direct cost of telephony services per line decreased slightly to \$8.16 for 2012 compared to \$8.23 for 2011, due primarily to the decrease in domestic termination costs due to a lower customer base and more favorable rates negotiated with our service providers and the decrease in our network costs and in our E-911 costs, offset by the increase in international calling by our growing base of Vonage World customers and an increase in regulatory fees.

Direct cost of telephony services both overall and on a per line basis is expected to experience upward pressure from increased international calling by our base of Vonage World customers offset by intelligent call routing, peering relationships, and improved pricing from various carriers.

Marketing cost per gross subscriber line addition. Marketing cost per gross subscriber line addition is calculated by dividing our marketing expense for a particular period by the number of gross subscriber line additions during the period. Marketing expense does not include the cost of certain customer acquisition activities, such as rebates and promotions, which are accounted for as an offset to revenues, or customer equipment subsidies, which are accounted for as direct cost of goods sold. As a result, it does not represent the full cost to us of obtaining a new customer. Our marketing cost per gross subscriber line addition increased to \$325.61 for 2012 from \$303.84 in 2011, due primarily to television and direct mail marketing investment efficiency, the market test of our low-priced domestic offer, and less line additions due to the removal of unlimited calling to Pakistan from our Vonage World plan in the fourth quarter of 2012 due to a government imposed increase in termination costs.

Employees. Employees represent the number of personnel that are on our payroll and exclude temporary or outsourced labor.

OPERATING REVENUES

Revenues consist of telephony services revenue and customer equipment and shipping revenue. Substantially all of our revenues are telephony services revenue. In the United States, we offer

domestic and international rate plans to meet the needs of our customers, including a variety of residential plans, mobile plans, and small office and home office calling plans. The “Vonage World” plan, now available in the United States and Canada, offers unlimited calling across the United States and Puerto Rico, unlimited international calling to

over 60 countries including India, Mexico, and China, subject to certain restrictions, and free voicemail to text messages with Vonage Visual Voicemail. Each of our unlimited plans other than Vonage World offers unlimited domestic calling as well as unlimited calling to Puerto Rico, Canada, and selected European countries, subject to certain restrictions. Each of our basic plans offers a limited number of domestic calling minutes per month. We offer similar plans in Canada. Under our basic plans, we charge on a per minute basis when the number of domestic calling minutes included in the plan is exceeded for a particular month. International calls (except for calls to Puerto Rico, Canada and certain European countries under our unlimited plans and a variety of countries under international calling plans and Vonage World) are charged on a per minute basis. These per minute fees are not included in our monthly subscription fees.

In addition to our landline telephony business, we are leveraging our technology to offer services and applications for mobile and other connected devices to address large existing markets. We introduced our first mobile offering in late 2009 and in early 2012 we introduced Vonage Mobile, our all-in-one mobile application that provides free calling and messaging between users who have the application, as well as traditional paid international calling to any other phone. This mobile application works over WiFi, 3G and 4G and in more than 90 countries worldwide. The application consolidates the best features of our prior applications, while adding important functionality, value and ease of use including direct payment through iTunes.

We derive most of our telephony services revenue from monthly subscription fees that we charge our customers under our service plans. We also offer residential fax service, virtual phone numbers, toll free numbers and other services, and charge an additional monthly fee for each service. One business fax line is included with each of our two small office and home office plans, but we charge monthly fees for additional business fax lines. We automatically charge these fees to our customers' credit cards, debit cards, or electronic check payments ("ECP"), monthly in advance. We also automatically charge the per minute fees not included in our monthly subscription fees to our customers' credit cards, debit cards or ECP monthly in arrears unless they exceed a certain dollar threshold, in which case they are charged immediately.

By collecting monthly subscription fees in advance and certain other charges immediately after they are incurred, we are able to reduce the amount of accounts receivable that we have outstanding, thus allowing us to have lower working capital requirements. Collecting in this manner also helps us mitigate bad debt losses, which are recorded as a reduction to revenue. If a customer's credit card, debit card or ECP is declined, we generally suspend international calling capabilities as well as the customer's ability to incur domestic usage charges in excess of their plan minutes. Historically, in most cases, we are able to correct the problem with the customer within the current monthly billing cycle. If the customer's credit card, debit card or ECP could not be successfully processed during three billing cycles (i.e., the current and two subsequent monthly billing cycles), we terminate the account.

In the United States, we charge regulatory, compliance, E-911, and intellectual property-related recovery fees on a monthly basis to defray costs, and to cover taxes that we are charged by the suppliers of telecommunications services. In addition, we recognize revenue on a gross basis for contributions to the Federal Universal Service Fund ("USF") and related fees. All other taxes are recorded on a net basis.

In addition, historically, we charged a disconnect fee for customers who terminated their service plan within the first twelve months of service. Disconnect fees are recorded as revenue and are recognized at the time the customer terminates service. Beginning in September 2010, we eliminated the disconnect fee for new customers. In February of 2012 we re-introduced service agreements as an option for new customers.

Telephony services revenue is offset by the cost of certain

Table of Contents

customer acquisition activities, such as rebates and promotions.

Customer equipment and shipping revenue consists of revenue from sales of customer equipment to our wholesalers or directly to customers and retailers. In addition, customer equipment and shipping revenue includes the fees, when collected, that we charge our customers for shipping any equipment to them.

OPERATING EXPENSES

Operating expenses consists of direct cost of telephony services, royalties, direct cost of goods sold, selling, general and administrative expense, marketing expense, depreciation and amortization, and loss from abandonment of software.

Direct cost of telephony services. Direct cost of telephony services primarily consists of fees that we pay to third parties on an ongoing basis in order to provide our services. These fees include:

Access charges that we pay to other telephone companies to terminate domestic and international calls on the public switched telephone network. These costs represented approximately 49% and 50% of our total direct cost of telephony services for 2012 and 2011, respectively, with a portion of these payments ultimately being made to incumbent telephone companies. When a Vonage subscriber calls another Vonage subscriber, we do not pay an access charge.

The cost of leasing Internet transit services from multiple Internet service providers. This Internet connectivity is used to carry VoIP session initiation signaling and packetized audio media between our subscribers and our regional data centers.

The cost of leasing from other telephone companies the telephone numbers that we provide to our customers. We lease these telephone numbers on a monthly basis.

The cost of co-locating our regional data connection point equipment in third-party facilities owned by other telephone companies, Internet service providers or collocation facility providers.

The cost of providing local number portability, which allows customers to move their existing telephone numbers from another provider to our service. Only regulated telecommunications providers have access to the centralized number databases that facilitate this process. Because we are not a regulated telecommunications provider, we must pay other telecommunications providers to process our local number portability requests.

The cost of complying with the FCC regulations regarding VoIP emergency services, which require us to provide enhanced emergency dialing capabilities to transmit 911 calls for all of our customers.

Taxes that we pay on our purchase of telecommunications services from our suppliers or imposed by government agencies such as Federal USF and related fees.

License fees for use of third party intellectual property.

Direct cost of goods sold. Direct cost of goods sold primarily consists of costs that we incur when a customer first subscribes to our service. These costs include:

The cost of the equipment that we provide to customers who subscribe to our service through our direct sales channel in excess of activation fees when an activation fee is collected. The remaining cost of customer equipment is deferred up to the activation fee collected and amortized over the estimated average customer life.

The cost of the equipment that we sell directly to retailers.

The cost of shipping and handling for customer equipment, together with the installation manual, that we ship to customers.

The cost of certain products or services that we give customers as promotions.

Selling, general and administrative expense. Selling, general and administrative expense includes:

Compensation and benefit costs for all employees, which is the largest component of selling, general and administrative expense and includes customer care, research and development, network engineering and operations, sales and marketing, executive, legal, finance, and human resources personnel.

Share-based expense related to share-based awards to employees, directors, and consultants.

>

Outsourced labor related to customer care, kiosk and community based events teams, and retail in-store support activities.

>Product awareness advertising.

> Transaction fees paid to credit card, debit card, and ECP companies and other third party billers such as iTunes, which may include a per transaction charge in addition to a percent of billings charge.

>Rent and related expenses.

>Professional fees for legal, accounting, tax, public relations, lobbying, and development activities.

>Litigation settlements.

Marketing expense. Marketing expense consists of:

> Advertising costs, which comprise a majority of our marketing expense and include online, television, direct mail, alternative media, promotions, sponsorships, and inbound and outbound telemarketing.

>Creative and production costs.

>The costs to serve and track our online advertising.

>Certain amounts we pay to retailers for activation commissions.

>The cost associated with our customer referral program.

Depreciation and amortization expenses. Depreciation and amortization expenses include:

>Depreciation of our network equipment, furniture and fixtures, and employee computer equipment.

>Amortization of leasehold improvements and purchased and developed software.

>Amortization of intangible assets (patents and trademarks).

>Loss on disposal or impairment of property and equipment.

Loss from abandonment of software assets. Loss from abandonment of software assets include:

>Impairment of investment in software assets.

OTHER INCOME (EXPENSE)

Other Income (Expense) consists of:

>Interest income on cash and cash equivalents.

>Interest expense on notes payable, patent litigation judgments and settlements, and capital leases.

>Amortization of debt related costs.

>Accretion of notes.

>Realized and unrealized gains (losses) on foreign currency.

>Debt conversion expense relating to the conversion of notes payable to equity.

>Gain (loss) on extinguishment of notes.

>Change in fair value of embedded features within notes payable and stock warrant.

Table of Contents

RESULTS OF OPERATION

The following table sets forth, as a percentage of consolidated operating revenues, our consolidated statement of operations for the periods indicated:

| | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | |
|--|----------------------------------|-------|--------|---|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | |
| Revenues | 100 | % 100 | % 100 | % |
| Operating Expenses: | | | | |
| Direct cost of telephony services (excluding depreciation and amortization) | 27 | 27 | 28 | |
| Direct cost of goods sold | 5 | 5 | 6 | |
| Selling, general and administrative | 28 | 27 | 27 | |
| Marketing | 25 | 24 | 22 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 4 | 4 | 6 | |
| Loss from abandonment of software assets | 3 | — | — | |
| | 92 | 87 | 89 | |
| Income from operations | 8 | 13 | 11 | |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | |
| Interest income | — | — | — | |
| Interest expense | (1) | (2) | (5) | |
| Change in fair value of embedded features within notes payable and stock warrant | — | — | (11) | |
| Loss on extinguishment of notes | — | (1) | (4) | |
| Other expense, net | — | — | — | |
| | (1) | (3) | (20) | |
| Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit | 7 | 10 | (9) | |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (3) | 37 | — | |
| Net income (loss) | 4 | % 47 | % (9) | % |

Table of Contents

Summary of Results for the Years Ended December 31, 2012, 2011, and 2010

| Revenues, Direct Cost of Telephony Services and Direct Cost of Goods Sold (in thousands, except percentages) | For the Years Ended December 31 | | | Dollar Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Dollar Change 2011 vs. 2010 | Percent Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Percent Change 2011 vs. 2010 |
|---|---------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | | | | |
| Revenues | \$849,114 | \$870,323 | \$885,042 | \$(21,209) | \$(14,719) | (2)% | (2)% |
| Direct cost of telephony services (1) | 231,877 | 236,149 | 243,794 | (4,272) | (7,645) | (2)% | (3)% |
| Direct cost of goods sold | 39,133 | 41,756 | 55,965 | (2,623) | (14,209) | (6)% | (25)% |

(1) Excludes depreciation and amortization of \$15,115, \$15,824, and \$18,725, respectively.

2012 compared to 2011

Revenues. The decrease in revenues of \$21,209, or 2%, was primarily driven by a decrease of \$21,307 in monthly subscription fees resulting from a decreased number of subscription lines, which reduced from 2,374,887 at December 31, 2011 to 2,359,816 at December 31, 2012, and plan mix, a decrease in activation fees of \$3,850, and a decrease in overage in plan minutes of \$864. There was an increase in rebates and credits issued to subscribers of \$249 and a decrease in additional features revenue of \$1,424 due primarily to customers opting for our Vonage World offering, which now includes directory assistance and voice mail to text. In addition, there was a decrease of \$1,663 in equipment and shipping revenue due to lower direct customer additions and elimination of equipment recovery fees for new customers and a decrease in other revenue of \$2,578 due to lower rates from our revenue sharing partners. These decreases were offset by a decrease of \$1,064 in bad debt expense due to improved customer credit quality and lower non-pay churn, and an increase in our regulatory fee revenue of \$7,473, which includes an increase of \$7,231 in USF fees. There was also an increase in international minutes of use revenue of \$390 and an increase in fees that we charged for disconnecting our service of \$1,798 due to reinstatement of contracts for new customers beginning in February 2012.

Direct cost of telephony services. The decrease in direct cost of telephony services of \$4,272, or 2%, was primarily due to a decrease in domestic termination costs of \$8,538 due to improved termination rates, which are costs that we pay other phone companies for terminating phone calls, and fewer minutes of use and a decrease in our network costs of \$7,550, which includes costs for co-locating in other carriers' facilities, leasing phone numbers, routing calls on the Internet, E-911 costs, and transferring calls to and from the Internet to the public switched telephone network due to improved rates. There was also a decrease in local number portability costs of \$837 due to lower rates and a decrease in other costs of \$503. These decreases were partially offset by an increased cost of \$5,386 from higher international call volume associated with Vonage World, an increased cost of \$7,231 for USF and related fees imposed by government agencies, and an increase in other taxes and surcharges of \$540.

Direct cost of goods sold. The decrease in direct cost of goods sold of \$2,623, or 6%, was primarily due to a decrease in amortization costs on deferred customer equipment of \$2,918, a decrease in waived activation fees for new customers of \$4,711 due to lower direct customer adds, and a decrease in shipping costs of \$300. These decreases were offset by an increase in customer equipment costs of \$5,303 from additional customers from our retail expansion started in the second quarter of 2011.

2011 compared to 2010

Revenues. The decrease in revenues of \$14,719, or 2%, was primarily driven by a decrease in activation fees of \$13,193 as the historical deferred activation fees are amortized and new activation fees are no longer charged and deferred, a decrease in fees that we charged for disconnecting our service of \$8,587 due to fewer disconnections and elimination of this fee for new customers beginning in September 2010, and a reduction in international minutes of use revenue of \$2,248 primarily due to customers moving, as expected, to our fixed rate Vonage World plan. In addition, there was an increase in rebates and credits issued to subscribers of \$2,889 and a decrease in additional features revenue of \$3,420 due primarily to customers opting for our Vonage World offering, which now includes directory assistance and voice mail to text. There was also a decrease in equipment sales, net of rebates, of \$7,508

related to lower equipment recovery fees due to fewer disconnections and elimination of equipment recovery fees for new customers beginning in September 2010 and a decrease in customer shipping revenue of \$837 due to higher priority shipping in 2010, partially offset by higher customer additions in 2011. These decreases were offset by an increase in our regulatory recovery and E-911 fees of \$9,000 that we collected from subscribers due to pricing actions in 2010, which included \$4,257 of USF and related fees, a decrease of \$10,455 in bad debt expense due to improved customer credit quality and lower non-pay churn, an increase in other revenue of \$1,268, and an increase in monthly subscription fees of \$3,153 due to changes in plan mix.

Direct cost of telephony services. The decrease in direct cost of telephony services of \$7,645, or 3%, was primarily due to a decrease in domestic termination costs of \$16,828 due to improved termination rates, which are costs that we pay other phone companies for terminating phone calls, and fewer minutes of use and a decrease in our network costs of \$7,258, which includes costs for co-locating in other carriers' facilities, leasing phone numbers, routing calls on the Internet, E-911 costs, and transferring calls to and from the Internet to the public switched telephone network due to improved rates. There was also a decrease in other cost of \$920 and a decrease in local number portability costs of \$838 due to lower rates. These decreases were partially offset by an increased cost of \$14,739 from higher international call volume associated with Vonage World and an increase of USF and related fees imposed by government agencies of \$3,460.

Direct cost of goods sold. The decrease in direct cost of goods sold of \$14,209, or 25%, was primarily due to a decrease in customer equipment costs of \$5,758 resulting from a lower cost device introduced in September 2010 and lower home installations. There was also a corresponding decrease in shipping costs of \$595 and a decrease in amortization costs on deferred customer equipment of \$10,572 as the historical deferred customer equipment costs are amortized and new customer equipment costs are no longer charged and deferred. These decreases were offset by an increase in waived activation fees for new customers of \$2,716.

Table of Contents

| Selling, General and Administrative (in thousands, except percentages) | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | Dollar | Dollar | Percent | Percent |
|---|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Selling, general and administrative | \$ 242,368 | \$ 234,754 | \$ 238,986 | \$ 7,614 | \$(4,232) | 3 | % (2) % |

2012 compared to 2011

Selling, general and administrative. Selling expense increased by \$9,831 including \$4,286 due to the expansion of the number of community sales teams, \$2,189 due to an increase in the number of retail outlets with assisted selling, and \$3,256 related to our new mobile offering launched in February 2012 and our Digital Calling Card launched in the fourth quarter of 2012. General and administrative expense decreased by \$2,217 due to a decrease in credit card fees of \$3,064 as a result of the Durbin Amendment, lower uncollected state and municipal tax expense of \$965, and lower share based cost of \$2,304, including a reversal of executive stock compensation expense of \$1,200. These decreases were partially offset by an increase in compensation and benefits related expense of \$3,669 driven by higher salary related expense of \$6,486 offset by a decrease in outsourced temporary labor of \$2,577, of which \$2,118 was related to Customer Care.

2011 compared to 2010

Selling, general and administrative. Selling expense increased by \$6,425 including \$5,981 due to the expansion of the number of community sales teams and \$869 due to an increase in the number of retail outlets with assisted selling partially offset by lower retail commissions of \$425. General and administrative expense decreased by \$10,657 due to a decrease in compensation and benefits related expense of \$9,591 driven by lower salary related expense of \$6,554 and lower outsourced temporary labor of \$2,714, of which \$2,223 is related to Customer Care. In addition, we had a decrease in credit card fees of \$1,957 as a result of the Durbin Amendment, lower settlement costs related to litigation and contractual disputes of \$2,506, lower uncollected state and municipal tax expense of \$1,922, and lower professional fees of \$572. These decreases were offset by an increase in share based cost of \$6,024.

| Marketing | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | Dollar | Dollar | Percent | Percent |
|-----------|----------------------------------|------------|------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Marketing | \$ 212,540 | \$ 204,263 | \$ 198,170 | \$ 8,277 | \$ 6,093 | 4 | % 3 % |

2012 compared to 2011

Marketing. The increase in marketing expense of \$8,277, or 4%, resulted from increasing our marketing investment in direct mail and retail to reach targeted ethnic segments and incremental media expenses associated with the market test of our low-priced domestic offer partially offset by the decrease in television advertising.

2011 compared to 2010

Marketing. The increase in marketing expense of \$6,093, or 3%, resulted from increasing our marketing investment in direct mail to targeted ethnic segments which drove a 5% improvement in gross subscriber line additions.

| Depreciation and Amortization (in thousands, except percentages) | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | Dollar Change | Dollar Change | Percent Change | Percent Change |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|------------------|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2012 vs. 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2012 vs. 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Depreciation and amortization | \$ 33,324 | \$ 37,051 | \$ 53,073 | \$(3,727) | \$(16,022) | (10)% | (30)% |

2012 compared to 2011

Depreciation and amortization. The decrease in depreciation and amortization of \$3,727, or 10%, was primarily due to lower depreciation of network equipment, computer hardware, and furniture of \$2,356 and lower software amortization of \$2,471 due to certain projects being fully amortized, offset by an increase in intangible asset amortization of \$1,098 from additional intangible assets acquired during the fourth quarter of 2011.

2011 compared to 2010

Depreciation and amortization. The decrease in depreciation and amortization of \$16,022, or 30%, was primarily due to lower software amortization of \$10,455 due to our internally developed customer acquisition and customer care automation tools projects being fully amortized, lower depreciation of network equipment, computer hardware, and furniture of \$5,284, and lower impairment charges of \$411.

| Loss from abandonment of software assets (in thousands, except percentages) | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | Dollar Change | Dollar Change | Percent Change | Percent Change |
|---|----------------------------------|-------|-------|------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|
| | 2,012 | 2,011 | 2,010 | 2012 vs. 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 | 2012 vs. 2011 | 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Loss from abandonment of software assets | \$ 25,262 | \$ — | \$ — | \$ 25,262 | \$ — | 100% | * |

2012 compared to 2011

Loss from abandonment of software assets. The loss from abandonment of software assets of \$25,262 in 2012 was due to the write-off of our investment in the Amdocs system, net of settlement amounts to the Company, during the second quarter of 2012.

2011 compared to 2010

Loss from abandonment of software assets. None.

Table of Contents

| Other Income (Expense) (in thousands, except percentages) | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | Dollar | Dollar | Percent | Percent |
|--|----------------------------------|-------------|--------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Interest income | \$ 109 | \$ 135 | \$ 519 | \$(26) | \$(384) | (19)% | (74)% |
| Interest expense | (5,986) | (17,118) | (48,541) | 11,132 | 31,423 | 65 % | 65 % |
| Change in fair value of embedded features within notes payable and stock warrant | — | (950) | (99,338) | 950 | 98,388 | 100 % | 99 % |
| Loss on extinguishment of notes | — | (11,806) | (31,023) | 11,806 | 19,217 | 100 % | 62 % |
| Other expense, net | (11) | (271) | (18) | 260 | (253) | 96 % | * |
| | \$(5,888) | \$(30,010) | \$(178,401) | | | | |

2012 compared to 2011

Interest income. Interest income decreased \$26, or 19%.

Interest expense. The decrease in interest expense of \$11,132, or 65%, was due to lower principal outstanding and the reduced interest rate on the 2011 Credit Facility.

Change in fair value of embedded features within notes payable and stock warrant. The change in the fair value of our stock warrant fluctuated with changes in the price of our common stock and was an expense of \$950 in 2011, as the stock warrant was exercised during the three months ended March 31, 2011. An increase in our stock price resulted in expense while a decrease in our stock price resulted in income.

Loss on extinguishment of notes. The loss on extinguishment of notes of \$11,806 in 2011 was due to the acceleration of unamortized debt discount and debt related costs in connection with prepayments of the credit facility we entered into in December 2010 (the "2010 Credit Facility") and our refinancing of the 2010 Credit Facility in July 2011.

Other. Net other income and expense decreased by \$260 in 2012 compared to 2011.

2011 compared to 2010

Interest income. The decrease in interest income of \$384, or 74%, was due to lower interest rates and lower average cash balances driven by prepayments on the 2010 Credit Facility and the repayments on the 2011 Credit Facility.

Interest expense. The decrease in interest expense of \$31,423, or 65%, was due to the reduced interest rate on our 2010 Credit Facility and our 2011 Credit Facility resulting from our refinancings

in December 2010 and July 2011 and lower principal outstanding due to the refinancings and prepayments in 2011.

Change in fair value of embedded features within notes payable and stock warrant. The change in fair value of the embedded conversion option within our prior third lien convertible notes fluctuated with changes in the price of our common stock and was \$0 during 2011 compared to loss of \$7,308 in 2010 as all convertible notes had been converted as of December 31, 2010. The change in the fair value of our stock warrant fluctuated with changes in the price of our common stock and was an expense of \$950 in 2011 compared to \$344 in 2010. An increase in our stock price resulted in expense while a decrease in our stock price resulted in income. In addition, due to the progress of our repurchase negotiations and other factors, the make-whole premiums in our prior senior secured first lien credit facility and prior senior secured second lien credit facility from our 2008 financing were ascribed a value of \$91,686 at the time the make-whole premiums were paid in December 2010.

Loss on extinguishment of notes. The loss on extinguishment of notes of \$11,806 in 2011 was due to the acceleration of unamortized debt discount and debt related costs in connection with prepayments of our 2010 Credit Facility and our refinancing of the 2010 Credit Facility in July 2011. The loss on extinguishment of notes of \$31,023 in 2010 was due to the acceleration of unamortized debt discount, debt related costs, and administrative agent fees associated with our prior senior secured first lien credit facility and prior senior secured second lien credit facility from our 2008 financing prepayments partially offset by gains associated with conversion of our prior third lien convertible notes.

Other. Net other income and expense decreased by \$253 in 2011 compared to 2010.

| Income Tax Benefit (Expense) (in thousands, except percentages) | For the Years Ended December 31, | | | Dollar | Dollar | Percent | Percent |
|---|----------------------------------|-----------|---------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | \$(22,095) | \$322,704 | \$(318) | \$(344,799) | \$323,022 | (107)% | 101,579% |
| Effective tax rate | 38% | (375)% | —% | | | | |

We recognize income tax expense equal to our pre-tax income multiplied by our effective income tax rate, an expense that had not been recognized prior to the reduction of the valuation allowance in the fourth quarter of 2011. In addition, adjustments were recorded for discrete period items related to stock compensation and changes to our state effective tax rate.

The provision also includes the federal alternative minimum tax in 2012 and 2011 and state and local income taxes in 2012, 2011, and 2010.

We are required to record a valuation allowance which reduces net deferred tax assets if we conclude that it is more likely than not that taxable income generated in the future will be insufficient to utilize the future income tax benefit from these net deferred tax assets prior to expiration. Our net deferred tax assets primarily consist of net

operating loss carry forwards (“NOLs”). We periodically review this conclusion, which requires significant management judgment. Until the fourth quarter of 2011, we recorded a valuation allowance which reduced our net deferred tax assets to zero. In the fourth quarter of 2011, based upon our sustained profitable operating performance over the past three years excluding certain losses associated with our prior convertible notes and our December 2010 debt refinancing and our positive outlook for taxable income in the future, our evaluation determined that the benefit resulting from our net deferred tax assets (namely, the NOLs) are likely to be usable prior to their expiration.

Accordingly, we released the related valuation allowance against our United States and Canada net deferred tax assets, and a portion of the allowance against our state net deferred tax assets as certain NOLs may expire prior to utilization due to shorter utilization periods in certain states, resulting in a one-time non-cash income tax benefit of \$325,601 that we recorded in our

Table of Contents

statement of operations and a corresponding net deferred tax asset of \$325,601 that we recorded on our balance sheet on December 31, 2011. In the future, if available evidence changes our conclusion that it is more likely than not that we will utilize our net deferred tax assets prior to their expiration, we will make an adjustment to the related valuation allowance and income tax expense at that time.

We participated in the State of New Jersey's corporation business tax benefit certificate transfer program, which allows certain high technology and biotechnology companies to transfer unused New Jersey net operating loss carryovers to other New Jersey corporation business taxpayers. During 2003 and 2004, we submitted an application to the New Jersey Economic Development Authority, or EDA, to participate in the program and the application was approved. The EDA then issued a certificate certifying our eligibility to participate in the program. The program requires that a purchaser pay at least 75% of the amount of the surrendered tax benefit. In tax years 2010, 2011, and

2012, we sold approximately, \$2,194, \$0, and \$0, respectively, of our New Jersey State net operating loss carry forwards for a recognized benefit of approximately \$168 in 2010, \$0 in 2011, and \$0 in 2012. Collectively, all transactions represent approximately 85% of the surrendered tax benefit each year and have been recognized in the year received.

As of December 31, 2012, we had net operating loss carry forwards for United States federal and state tax purposes of \$744,139 and \$290,196, respectively, expiring at various times from years ending 2013 through 2030. In addition, we had net operating loss carry forwards for Canadian tax purposes of \$25,476 expiring through 2028. We also had net operating loss carry forwards for United Kingdom tax purposes of \$37,765 with no expiration date.

| Net Income (Loss) (in thousands, except percentages) | For the Years Ended December 31, Dollar | | | | Dollar | Percent | Percent |
|--|---|-----------|------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|----------------------------|
| | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 | Change 2012 vs. 2011 | Change 2011 vs. 2010 |
| Net income (loss) | \$36,627 | \$409,044 | \$(83,665) | \$(372,417) | \$492,709 | (91)% | 589% |

2012 compared to 2011

Net Income. Based on the activity described above, our net income of \$36,627 for the year ended December 31, 2012 decreased by \$372,417, or 91%, from net income of \$409,044 for the year ended December 31, 2011.

2011 compared to 2010

Net Income (Loss). Based on the activity described above, our net income of \$409,044 for the year ended December 31, 2011 increased by \$492,709, or 589%, from net loss of \$83,665 for the year ended December 31, 2010.

Table of Contents

QUARTERLY RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following table sets forth quarterly statement of operations data. We derived this data from our unaudited consolidated financial statements, which we believe have been prepared on substantially the same basis as our audited consolidated financial statements. The operating results in any quarter are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for any future period.

| (dollars in thousands, except operating data) | For the Quarter Ended | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | Mar 31, 2011 | Jun 30, 2011 | Sep 30, 2011 | Dec 31, 2011 | Mar 31, 2012 | Jun 30, 2012 | Sep 30, 2012 |
| Revenues | \$219,841 | \$218,285 | \$216,507 | \$215,690 | \$215,903 | \$211,916 | \$207,584 |
| Operating expenses: | | | | | | | |
| Direct cost of telephony services (1) | 60,189 | 57,883 | 59,230 | 58,847 | 61,623 | 58,195 | 55,245 |
| Direct cost of goods sold | 11,055 | 9,865 | 10,711 | 10,125 | 9,846 | 9,275 | 10,444 |
| Selling, general and administrative | 58,243 | 58,481 | 59,451 | 58,579 | 61,835 | 58,396 | 59,676 |
| Marketing | 49,404 | 52,211 | 51,044 | 51,604 | 53,422 | 54,956 | 51,361 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 11,066 | 8,664 | 8,683 | 8,638 | 8,644 | 8,518 | 8,110 |
| Loss from abandonment of software assets | — | — | — | — | — | 25,262 | — |
| | 189,957 | 187,104 | 189,119 | 187,793 | 195,370 | 214,602 | 184,836 |
| Income from operations | 29,884 | 31,181 | 27,388 | 27,897 | 20,533 | (2,686) | 22,748 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | | | |
| Interest income | 42 | 37 | 33 | 23 | 20 | 30 | 30 |
| Interest expense | (6,602) | (5,588) | (2,926) | (2,002) | (1,751) | (1,566) | (1,402) |
| Change in fair value of embedded features within notes payable and stock warrant | (950) | — | — | — | — | — | — |
| Loss on extinguishment of notes | (593) | (3,228) | (7,985) | — | — | — | — |
| Other, net | (2) | 44 | (47) | (266) | 42 | (65) | 28 |
| | (8,105) | (8,735) | (10,925) | (2,245) | (1,689) | (1,601) | (1,344) |
| Income (loss) before income tax (expense) benefit | 21,779 | 22,446 | 16,463 | 25,652 | 18,844 | (4,287) | 21,404 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (666) | (698) | (426) | 324,494 | (4,923) | 947 | (8,191) |
| Net income (loss) | \$21,113 | \$21,748 | \$16,037 | \$350,146 | \$13,921 | \$(3,340) | \$13,213 |
| Net income (loss) per common share: | | | | | | | |
| Basic | \$0.10 | \$0.10 | \$0.07 | \$1.55 | \$0.06 | \$(0.01) | \$0.06 |
| Diluted | \$0.09 | \$0.09 | \$0.07 | \$1.48 | \$0.06 | \$(0.01) | \$0.06 |
| Weighted-average common shares outstanding: | | | | | | | |
| Basic | 222,162 | 224,233 | 225,281 | 225,572 | 225,732 | 226,429 | 225,555 |
| Diluted | 240,340 | 244,590 | 241,189 | 237,342 | 236,036 | 226,429 | 233,708 |