

BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST
Form N-CSRS
April 05, 2019

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM N-CSR

**CERTIFIED SHAREHOLDER REPORT OF REGISTERED MANAGEMENT INVESTMENT
COMPANIES**

Investment Company Act file number: 811-10337

Name of Fund: BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Fund Address: 100 Bellevue Parkway, Wilmington, DE 19809

Name and address of agent for service: John M. Perlowski, Chief Executive Officer, BlackRock New York

Municipal Income Trust, 55 East 52nd Street, New York, NY 10055

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (800) 882-0052, Option 4

Date of fiscal year end: 07/31/2019

Date of reporting period: 01/31/2019

Item 1 Report to Stockholders

JANUARY 31, 2019

SEMI-ANNUAL REPORT (UNAUDITED)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

BlackRock Municipal 2030 Target Term Trust (BTT)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust (BBF)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust (BNY)

Beginning on January 1, 2021, as permitted by regulations adopted by the Securities and Exchange Commission, paper copies of each Trust's shareholder reports will no longer be sent by mail, unless you specifically request paper copies of the reports from BlackRock or from your financial intermediary, such as a broker-dealer or bank. Instead, the reports will be made available on a website, and you will be notified by mail each time a report is posted and provided with a website link to access the report.

You may elect to receive all future reports in paper free of charge. If you hold accounts directly with BlackRock, you can call Computershare at (800) 699-1236 to request that you continue receiving paper copies of your shareholder reports. If you hold accounts through a financial intermediary, you can follow the instructions included with this disclosure, if applicable, or contact your financial intermediary to request that you continue to receive paper copies of your shareholder reports. Please note that not all financial intermediaries may offer this service. Your election to receive reports in paper will apply to all funds advised by BlackRock Advisors, LLC or its affiliates, or all funds held with your financial intermediary, as applicable.

If you already elected to receive shareholder reports electronically, you will not be affected by this change and you need not take any action. You may elect to receive electronic delivery of shareholder reports and other communications by contacting your financial intermediary, if you hold accounts through a financial intermediary. Please note that not all financial intermediaries may offer this service.

**Not FDIC Insured May Lose Value No Bank
Guarantee**

The Markets in Review

Dear Shareholder,

In the 12 months ended January 31, 2019, concerns about a variety of political risks and a modest slowdown in global growth worked against the equity market, while the bond market delivered modest positive returns. Though the market's appetite for risk remained healthy for most of the reporting period, risk-taking declined sharply later in the reporting period. As a result, bonds held their value better than stocks, which posted negative returns across the globe. Shorter-term, higher-quality securities led the bond market, and U.S. equities outperformed most international stock markets.

Volatility rose in emerging market stocks, as the rising U.S. dollar and higher interest rates in the U.S. disrupted economic growth abroad. U.S.-China trade relations and debt concerns adversely affected the Chinese stock market, while Turkey and Argentina became embroiled in currency crises, largely due to hyperinflation in both countries. An economic slowdown in Europe also led to negative performance for European equities.

Volatility in the U.S. equity market spiked in October, as a wide range of risks were brought to bear on markets, ranging from rising interest rates and slowing global growth to heightened trade tensions and political turmoil in several countries, including the United States. These risks manifested in a broad based sell-off in December, leading to the worst December performance on record since 1931.

By comparison, fixed income securities delivered modest positive returns with relatively low volatility. In fixed income markets, short-term U.S. Treasury interest rates rose the fastest, while longer-term rates were relatively unchanged. This led to positive returns for U.S. Treasuries and a substantial flattening of the yield curve. Although the credit fundamentals in corporate markets remained relatively solid, investment-grade and high-yield bonds trailed U.S. Treasuries.

The U.S. Federal Reserve (the Fed) increased short-term interest rates four times during the reporting period. The Fed also continued to reduce its balance sheet, gradually reversing the unprecedented stimulus measures it enacted after the financial crisis. By our estimation, the Fed's neutral interest rate (the theoretical rate that is neither stimulative nor restrictive to the economy) is approximately 3.5%. The Fed funds rate is currently at 2.5%, which is stimulative to the economy. At its latest meeting in late January, the Fed left interest rates unchanged and signaled a slower pace of rate hikes in response to the global economic slowdown. Relatively low inflation gives the Fed room to maintain support for the economy until the economic data builds the case for changing interest rates.

Although fears of recession drove equity volatility higher at the end of 2018, we continue to believe the probability of recession in 2019 remains relatively low. Economic growth and global earnings are likely to slow somewhat in 2019 the tax cut stimulus will be less pronounced, and the Fed's rate hikes in 2018 will gain traction in 2019. Trade frictions look more baked into asset prices than a year ago, but markets may be overlooking European political risks. Consequently, we are cautious on European equities, as European unity remains tenuous with a history of flare-ups. We continue to prefer to take risk in U.S. and emerging market equities. Within U.S. equities, we believe that companies with high-quality earnings and strong balance sheets offer the most attractive risk/reward trade-off. We also favor short-term bonds over long-term bonds because they offer nearly equivalent yields with far lower volatility.

In this environment, investors need to think globally, extend their scope across a broad array of asset classes, and be nimble as market conditions change. We encourage you to talk with your financial advisor and visit **blackrock.com** for further insight about investing in today's markets.

Sincerely,

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Rob Kapito

President, BlackRock Advisors, LLC

Total Returns as of January 31, 2019

	6-month	12-month
U.S. large cap equities (S&P 500 [®] Index)	(3.00)%	(2.31)%
U.S. small cap equities (Russell 2000 [®] Index)	(9.62)	(3.52)
International equities (MSCI Europe, Australasia, Far East Index)	(7.80)	(12.51)
Emerging market equities (MSCI Emerging Markets Index)	(2.60)	(14.24)
3-month Treasury bills (ICE BofAML 3-Month U.S. Treasury Bill Index)	1.10	1.95
U.S. Treasury securities (ICE BofAML 10-Year U.S. Treasury Index)	4.20	3.21
U.S. investment grade bonds (Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Aggregate Bond Index)	2.71	2.25
Tax-exempt municipal bonds (S&P Municipal Bond Index)	1.86	3.08
U.S. high yield bonds (Bloomberg Barclays U.S. Corporate High Yield 2% Issuer Capped Index)	1.07	1.73

Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. You cannot invest directly in an index.

Table of Contents

	Page
<u>The Markets in Review</u>	2
Semi-Annual Report:	
<u>Municipal Market Overview</u>	4
<u>The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging</u>	5
<u>Derivative Financial Instruments</u>	5
<u>Trust Summaries</u>	6
Financial Statements:	
<u>Schedules of Investments</u>	14
<u>Statements of Assets and Liabilities</u>	41
<u>Statements of Operations</u>	42
<u>Statements of Changes in Net Assets</u>	43
<u>Statements of Cash Flows</u>	45
<u>Financial Highlights</u>	46
<u>Notes to Financial Statements</u>	50
<u>Trustee and Officer Information</u>	60
<u>Additional Information</u>	61
<u>Glossary of Terms Used in this Report</u>	63

Municipal Market Overview For the Reporting Period Ended January 31, 2019

Municipal Market Conditions

Municipal bonds experienced positive performance during the period, despite challenged total returns during most of 2018 as interest rates moved higher on the back of continued Fed policy normalization, fiscal stimulus, strong economic growth, and increased U.S. Treasury issuance. Performance turned particularly strong late in the year, with interest rates rallying as the Fed began to indicate a pivot from forecast based to data driven policy and the potential for a slower pace of future rate hikes. During the period, demand for the asset class remained firm, although displayed some bouts of volatility. Broadly, investors favored the tax-exempt income, diversification, quality, and value of municipal bonds given that tax reform ultimately lowered the top individual tax rate just 2.6% while eliminating deductions. During the 12 months ended January 31, 2019, municipal bond funds experienced net inflows of approximately \$2.7 billion (based on data from the Investment Company Institute).

For the same 12-month period, total new issuance underwhelmed from a historical perspective at \$315 billion (below the \$394 billion issued in the prior 12-month period), a direct result of the elimination of advanced refundings through the 2017 Tax Cuts and Jobs Act. This shift transitioned the market from an existing net positive supply environment to a much more favorable net negative supply environment in which reinvestment income (coupons, calls, and maturities) largely outstripped gross issuance and provided a powerful technical tailwind.

A Closer Look at Yields
S&P Municipal Bond Index

Total Returns as of January 31, 2019

6 months: 1.86%

12 months: 3.08%

From January 31, 2018 to January 31, 2019, yields on AAA-rated 30-year municipal bonds increased by 11 basis points (bps) from 2.91% to 3.02%, while 10-year rates decreased by 18 bps from 2.35% to 2.17% and 5-year rates decreased by 7 bps from 1.83% to 1.76% (as measured by Thomson Municipal Market Data). The municipal yield curve was nearly unchanged over the 12-month period with the spread between 2- and 30-year maturities bear steepening just 1 bp, which is significant given that the corresponding U.S. Treasury curve bear flattened 26 bps. (Bear steepening is the widening of the yield curve caused by long-term rates increasing at a faster rate than short-term rates. Bear flattened is a yield-rate environment in which

short-term interest rates are increasing at a faster rate than long-term interest rates.) The municipal yield curve is now more than 2.5 times steeper than the U.S. Treasury curve.

During the same time period, on a relative basis, tax-exempt municipal bonds strongly outperformed U.S. Treasuries, driven by the front and intermediate portions of the yield curve. The relative positive performance of municipal bonds was driven largely by a supply/demand imbalance within the municipal market as investors sought income, incremental yield, and tax shelter in an environment where opportunities became increasingly scarce. The asset class is known for its lower relative volatility and preservation of principal with an emphasis on income as tax rates rise.

Financial Conditions of Municipal Issuers

The majority of municipal credits remain strong, despite well-publicized problems among a few issuers. Four of the five states with the largest amount of debt outstanding—California, New York, Texas and Florida—continue to exhibit improved credit fundamentals. However, several states with the largest unfunded pension liabilities are faced with elevated borrowing costs and difficult budgetary decisions. Across the country on the local level, property values support credit stability. Standard & Poor's recent decision to remove its negative outlook on New Mexico underscores the improvement in state finances as it was the only remaining state with the designation. Revenue bonds continue to drive performance as investors continue to seek higher yield bonds in the tobacco sector. BlackRock maintains the view that municipal bond defaults will remain minimal and in the periphery while the overall market is fundamentally sound. We continue to advocate careful credit research and believe that a thoughtful approach to structure and security selection remains imperative amid uncertainty in a modestly improving economic environment.

The opinions expressed are those of BlackRock as of January 31, 2019, and are subject to change at any time due to changes in market or economic conditions. The comments should not be construed as a recommendation of any individual holdings or market sectors. Investing involves risk including loss of principal. Bond values fluctuate in price so the value of your investment can go down depending on market conditions. Fixed income risks include interest-rate and credit risk. Typically, when interest rates rise, there is a corresponding decline in bond values. Credit risk refers to the possibility that the bond issuer will not be able to make principal and interest payments. There may be less information on the financial condition of municipal issuers than for public corporations. The market for municipal bonds may be less liquid than for taxable bonds. Some investors may be subject to Alternative Minimum Tax (AMT). Capital gains distributions, if any, are taxable.

The Standard & Poor's Municipal Bond Index, a broad, market value-weighted index, seeks to measure the performance of the U.S. municipal bond market. All bonds in the index are exempt from U.S. federal income taxes or subject to the AMT. Past performance is no guarantee of future results. Index performance is shown for illustrative purposes only. It is not possible to invest directly in an index.

The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging

The Trusts may utilize leverage to seek to enhance the distribution rate on, and net asset value (NAV) of, their common shares (Common Shares). However, there is no guarantee that these objectives can be achieved in all interest rate environments.

In general, the concept of leveraging is based on the premise that the financing cost of leverage, which is based on short-term interest rates, is normally lower than the income earned by a Trust on its longer-term portfolio investments purchased with the proceeds from leverage. To the extent that the total assets of the Trusts (including the assets obtained from leverage) are invested in higher-yielding portfolio investments, the Trusts' shareholders benefit from the incremental net income. The interest earned on securities purchased with the proceeds from leverage is paid to shareholders in the form of dividends, and the value of these portfolio holdings is reflected in the per share NAV.

To illustrate these concepts, assume a Trust's Common Shares capitalization is \$100 million and it utilizes leverage for an additional \$30 million, creating a total value of \$130 million available for investment in longer-term income securities. If prevailing short-term interest rates are 3% and longer-term interest rates are 6%, the yield curve has a strongly positive slope. In this case, a Trust's financing costs on the \$30 million of proceeds obtained from leverage are based on the lower short-term interest rates. At the same time, the securities purchased by a Trust with the proceeds from leverage earn income based on longer-term interest rates. In this case, a Trust's financing cost of leverage is significantly lower than the income earned on a Trust's longer-term investments acquired from such leverage proceeds, and therefore the holders of Common Shares (Common Shareholders) are the beneficiaries of the incremental net income.

However, in order to benefit Common Shareholders, the return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds must exceed the ongoing costs associated with the leverage. If interest and other costs of leverage exceed the Trusts' return on assets purchased with leverage proceeds, income to shareholders is lower than if the Trusts had not used leverage. Furthermore, the value of the Trusts' portfolio investments generally varies inversely with the direction of long-term interest rates, although other factors can influence the value of portfolio investments. In contrast, the value of the Trusts' obligations under their respective leverage arrangements generally does not fluctuate in relation to interest rates. As a result, changes in interest rates can influence the Trusts' NAVs positively or negatively. Changes in the future direction of interest rates are very difficult to predict accurately, and there is no assurance that the Trusts' intended leveraging strategy will be successful.

The use of leverage also generally causes greater changes in each Trust's NAV, market price and dividend rates than comparable portfolios without leverage. In a declining market, leverage is likely to cause a greater decline in the NAV and market price of a Trust's Common Shares than if the Trust were not leveraged. In addition, each Trust may be required to sell portfolio securities at inopportune times or at distressed values in order to comply with regulatory requirements applicable to the use of leverage or as required by the terms of leverage instruments, which may cause the Trusts to incur losses. The use of leverage may limit a Trust's ability to invest in certain types of securities or use certain types of hedging strategies. Each Trust incurs expenses in connection with the use of leverage, all of which are borne by Common Shareholders and may reduce income to the Common Shares. Moreover, to the extent the calculation of the Trusts' investment advisory fees includes assets purchased with the proceeds of leverage, the investment advisory fees payable to the Trusts' investment adviser will be higher than if the Trusts did not use leverage.

To obtain leverage, each Trust has issued Variable Rate Demand Preferred Shares (VRDP Shares), Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (VMTP Shares) or Remarketable Variable Rate Muni Term Preferred Shares (RVMTP Shares) (collectively, Preferred Shares) and/or leveraged its assets through the use of tender option bond trusts (TOB

Trusts) as described in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Under the Investment Company Act of 1940, as amended (the 1940 Act), each Trust is permitted to issue debt up to 33 1/3% of its total managed assets or equity securities (e.g., Preferred Shares) up to 50% of its total managed assets. A Trust may voluntarily elect to limit its leverage to less than the maximum amount permitted under the 1940 Act. In addition, a Trust may also be subject to certain asset coverage, leverage or portfolio composition requirements imposed by the Preferred Shares governing instruments or by agencies rating the Preferred Shares, which may be more stringent than those imposed by the 1940 Act.

If a Trust segregates or designates on its books and records cash or liquid assets having a value not less than the value of a Trust's obligations under the TOB Trust (including accrued interest), then the TOB Trust is not considered a senior security and is not subject to the foregoing limitations and requirements imposed by the 1940 Act.

Derivative Financial Instruments

The Trusts may invest in various derivative financial instruments. These instruments are used to obtain exposure to a security, commodity, index, market, and/or other assets without owning or taking physical custody of securities, commodities and/or other referenced assets or to manage market, equity, credit, interest rate, foreign currency exchange rate, commodity and/or other risks. Derivative financial instruments may give rise to a form of economic leverage and involve risks, including the imperfect correlation between the value of a derivative financial instrument and the underlying asset, possible default of the counterparty to the transaction or illiquidity of the instrument. The Trusts' successful use of a derivative financial instrument depends on the investment adviser's ability to predict pertinent market movements accurately, which cannot be assured. The use of these instruments may result in losses greater than if they had not been used, may limit the amount of appreciation a Trust can realize on an investment and/or may result in lower distributions paid to shareholders. The Trusts' investments in these instruments, if any, are discussed in detail in the Notes to Financial Statements.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust**Trust Overview**

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust s (BFZ) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income and California income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal obligations exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and California income taxes. The Trust invests, under normal market conditions, at least 80% of its assets in municipal obligations that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

Symbol on New York Stock Exchange (NYSE)	BFZ
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$12.46) ^(a)	4.29%
Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b)	9.35%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.0445
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.5340
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2019 ^(d)	42%

^(a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

^(b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 54.10%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

^(c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

^(d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

	Returns Based On	
	<i>Market Price</i>	<i>NAV</i>
BFZ ^{(a)(b)}	1.29%	0.70%
Lipper California Municipal Debt Funds ^(c)	2.77	0.80

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust's discount to NAV narrowed during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust's absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

California municipal bonds lagged the national market. However, the state's debt gained a measure of support from strong demand among retail investors looking for tax-exempt income in a state with the country's most punitive income tax regime. The credit quality of state and local authorities remained consistent, but investors were alert for any changes in fiscal responsibility demonstrated by the new governor and his administration.

In a low-return environment, income was a key contributor to the Trust's return. The Trust's use of leverage aided performance by augmenting the contribution from income.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that Treasury yields fell, as prices rose, this strategy detracted from the Trust's return.

The Trust benefited from its positions in higher-quality issues and bonds with maturities between six and seven years. Conversely, the Trust's positions in the tobacco sector detracted from Trust performance.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 12.46	\$ 12.75	(2.27)%	\$ 12.87	\$ 11.83
Net Asset Value	14.39	14.81	(2.84)	14.81	14.23

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years**Overview of the Trust's Total Investments*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
County/City/Special District/School District	29%	29%
Transportation	17	18
Utilities	16	12
Education	14	16
Health	14	12
State	7	9
Tobacco	3	4
Housing ^(b)		

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL /MATURITY SCHEDULE ^(c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2019	19%
2020	3
2021	8
2022	5
2023	5

(c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.

* Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION ^(a)

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
AAA/Aaa	8%	7%
AA/Aa	72	72
A	15	14
BBB/Baa		1
BB/Ba	1	1
B	1	1
N/R	3	4

^(a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either Standard & Poor's (S&P) or Moody's Investors Service (Moody's) if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.

^(b) Represents less than 1% of the Trust's total investments.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock Municipal 2030 Target Term Trust**Trust Overview**

BlackRock Municipal 2030 Target Term Trust s (BTT) (the Trust) investment objectives are to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax (but which may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax in certain circumstances) and to return \$25.00 per common share (the initial offering price per share) to holders of common shares on or about December 31, 2030. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objectives by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax). The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Trust actively manages the maturity of its bonds to seek to have a dollar weighted average effective maturity approximately equal to the Trust s maturity date. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

There is no assurance that the Trust will achieve its investment objective of returning \$25.00 per share.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BTT
Initial Offering Date	August 30, 2012
Termination Date (on or about)	December 31, 2030
Current Distribution Rate on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$21.69) ^(a)	3.45%
Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b)	5.83%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.0624
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.7488
Economic Leverage as January 31, 2019 ^(d)	38%

^(a) Current Distribution Rate on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. The current distribution rate may consist of income, net realized gains and/or a return of capital. See the financial highlights for the actual sources and character of distributions. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

^(b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.80%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

^(c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

^(d) Represents RVMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to RVMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of

Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

	Returns Based On	
	<i>Market Price</i>	<i>NAV</i>
BTT ^{(a)(b)}	3.05%	3.07%
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ^(c)	2.46	0.94

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust's discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust's absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

The Trust's yield curve positioning contributed to Trust performance. The majority of portfolio holdings were held in the 10- to 15-year range, which outperformed other areas of the curve.

The Trust's positions in the health care, transportation and state tax-backed sectors contributed to performance. Its allocation to the tobacco sector, while limited, detracted from Trust performance.

The Trust's allocation to higher-rated issues, which outpaced lower-quality bonds, aided results.

Income made a meaningful contribution to performance relative to price appreciation. The Trust's use of leverage augmented the contribution from income.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock Municipal 2030 Target Term Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 21.69	\$ 21.43	1.21%	\$ 21.75	\$ 19.92
Net Asset Value	23.91	23.62	1.23	23.91	22.86

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years**Overview of the Trust's Total Investments*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
Transportation	26%	23%
Health	20	19
County/City/Special District/School District	17	15
State	9	10
Education	8	12
Corporate	7	8
Utilities	7	7
Housing	3	3
Tobacco	3	3

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2019	0%
2020	4
2021	1
2022	28

2023

9

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
 * Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION ^(a)

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
AAA/Aaa	3%	3%
AA/Aa	32	32
A	38	37
BBB/Baa	15	17
BB/Ba	2	2
B	2	1
CCC/Caa		
N/R ^(b)	8	8

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody's if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2019 and July 31, 2018, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents less than 1% of the Trust's total investments.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust**Trust Overview**

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust s (BBF) (the Trust) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds, the interest of which is exempt from U.S. federal income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BBF
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$12.90) ^(a)	5.40%
Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b)	9.12%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.0580
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.6960
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2019 ^(d)	41%

^(a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

^(b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal tax rate of 40.80%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

^(c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change. A portion of the distribution may be deemed a return of capital or net realized gain.

^(d) Represents VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VRDP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

	Returns Based On	
	<i>Market Price</i>	<i>NAV</i>
BBF ^{(a)(b)}	(0.77)%	0.48%
Lipper General & Insured Municipal Debt Funds (Leveraged) ^(c)	2.46	0.94

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust's discount to NAV widened during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust's absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

Income, which was enhanced by leverage, was the largest contributor to Trust performance. However, the cost of leverage became more expensive during the period due to the Fed's two interest rate increases.

Positions in short-dated maturities were top performers on a price basis, as yields fell the most for bond with maturities of ten years and below. (Prices and yields move in opposite directions.) Longer-dated maturities, while experiencing less price appreciation than short-term issues, provided the Trust with an attractive level of income.

The Trust's holdings in higher-quality bonds generally added value and outpaced lower-quality securities.

At the sector level, positions in health care and transportation issues were contributors. Conversely, an allocation to the tobacco sector was a slight detractor. The sector experienced yield spread widening, which led to poor performance relative to other market segments.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that Treasury yields fell, as prices rose, this strategy detracted from the Trust's return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock Municipal Income Investment Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 12.90	\$ 13.37	(3.52)%	\$ 14.17	\$ 11.80
Net Asset Value	13.55	13.87	(2.31)	13.87	13.36

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years**Overview of the Trust's Total Investments*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
County/City/Special District/School District	22%	22%
Health	19	16
Transportation	19	19
Utilities	10	13
State	9	9
Education	7	9
Tobacco	7	7
Housing	6	3
Corporate	1	2

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,	
2019	25%
2020	10
2021	13
2022	2
2023	16

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
 * Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION ^(a)

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
AAA/Aaa	3%	7%
AA/Aa	36	43
A	22	17
BBB/Baa	17	16
BB/Ba	3	4
B	3	3
N/R ^(b)	16	10

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody's if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2019 and July 31, 2018, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 1% and less than 1%, respectively, of the Trust's total investments.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust

Trust Overview

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust s (BNY) (the **Trust**) investment objective is to provide current income exempt from regular U.S. federal income tax and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust seeks to achieve its investment objective by investing primarily in municipal bonds exempt from U.S. federal income taxes (except that the interest may be subject to the federal alternative minimum tax) and New York State and New York City personal income taxes. The Trust invests at least 80% of its assets in municipal bonds that are investment grade quality, or are considered by the Trust s investment adviser to be of comparable quality, at the time of investment. The Trust may invest directly in such securities or synthetically through the use of derivatives.

No assurance can be given that the Trust s investment objective will be achieved.

Trust Information

Symbol on NYSE	BNY
Initial Offering Date	July 27, 2001
Yield on Closing Market Price as of January 31, 2019 (\$12.66) ^(a)	4.22%
Tax Equivalent Yield ^(b)	8.38%
Current Monthly Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.0445
Current Annualized Distribution per Common Share ^(c)	\$0.5340
Economic Leverage as of January 31, 2019 ^(d)	41%

^(a) Yield on closing market price is calculated by dividing the current annualized distribution per share by the closing market price. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

^(b) Tax equivalent yield assumes the maximum marginal U.S. federal and state tax rate of 49.62%, which includes the 3.8% Medicare tax. Actual tax rates will vary based on income, exemptions and deductions. Lower taxes will result in lower tax equivalent yields.

^(c) The distribution rate is not constant and is subject to change.

^(d) Represents VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts as a percentage of total managed assets, which is the total assets of the Trust, including any assets attributable to VMTP Shares and TOB Trusts, minus the sum of accrued liabilities. For a discussion of leveraging techniques utilized by the Trust, please see The Benefits and Risks of Leveraging on page 5.

Performance

Returns for the six months ended January 31, 2019 were as follows:

	Returns Based On	
	Market Price	NAV
BNY ^{(a)(b)}	3.25%	1.28%
Lipper New York Municipal Debt Funds ^(c)	3.35	1.12

- (a) All returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at actual reinvestment prices.
- (b) The Trust's discount to NAV narrowed during the period, which accounts for the difference between performance based on market price and performance based on NAV.
- (c) Average return. Returns reflect reinvestment of dividends and/or distributions at NAV on the ex-dividend date as calculated by Lipper.

Performance results may include adjustments made for financial reporting purposes in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

Past performance is not indicative of future results.

The following discussion relates to the Trust's absolute performance based on NAV:

After performing poorly through the first half of the period, municipal bonds recovered to post a positive total return for the full six months. The initial downturn was largely brought about by concerns that the Fed would raise interest rates aggressively in 2019. However, subsequent signs of slowing growth prompted investors to adjust their expectations in favor of more accommodative Fed policy, sparking a rally across the bond market from early November onward.

The New York municipal market finished somewhat behind the national indexes due primarily to elevated new-issue supply. While New York continues to benefit from a broad and diverse economic base, a tax revenue shortfall possibly driven by changes stemming from the federal Tax Cuts and Jobs Act had an adverse effect on investor sentiment. In addition to making revenue forecasting more of a challenge, the tax-law changes made New York's tax structure less competitive relative to lower-tax states.

Income, which was enhanced by leverage, was the largest contributor to Trust performance. However, the cost of leverage became more expensive during the period due to the Fed's two interest rate increases.

Positions in short-dated maturities were top performers on a price basis, as yields fell the most for bond with maturities of ten years and below. (Prices and yields move in opposite directions.) Longer-dated maturities, while experiencing less price appreciation than short-term issues, provided the Trust with an attractive level of income.

At the sector level, positions in transportation and local tax-backed issues aided results. In both cases, holdings in higher-quality bonds were key contributors. Conversely, an allocation to the tobacco sector was a slight detractor. The sector experienced yield spread widening, which led to poor performance relative to other market segments.

The Trust sought to manage interest rate risk using U.S. Treasury futures. Given that Treasury yields fell, as prices rose, this strategy detracted from the Trust's return.

The views expressed reflect the opinions of BlackRock as of the date of this report and are subject to change based on changes in market, economic or other conditions. These views are not intended to be a forecast of future events and are no guarantee of future results.

Trust Summary as of January 31, 2019 (continued)

BlackRock New York Municipal Income Trust

Market Price and Net Asset Value Per Share Summary

	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>	<i>Change</i>	<i>High</i>	<i>Low</i>
Market Price	\$ 12.66	\$ 12.53	1.04%	\$ 12.83	\$ 11.70
Net Asset Value	14.39	14.52	(0.90)	14.52	14.01

Market Price and Net Asset Value History For the Past Five Years**Overview of the Trust's Total Investments*****SECTOR ALLOCATION**

<i>Sector</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
County/City/Special District/School District	22%	22%
Transportation	21	21
Education	18	19
State	12	11
Utilities	11	11
Health	7	8
Corporate	3	3
Tobacco	3	3
Housing	3	2

For Trust compliance purposes, the Trust's sector classifications refer to one or more of the sector sub-classifications used by one or more widely recognized market indexes or rating group indexes, and/or as defined by the investment adviser. These definitions may not apply for purposes of this report, which may combine such sector sub-classifications for reporting ease.

CALL/MATURITY SCHEDULE (c)

Calendar Year Ended December 31,

2019	9%
2020	8
2021	18
2022	13
2023	8

- (c) Scheduled maturity dates and/or bonds that are subject to potential calls by issuers over the next five years.
 * Excludes short-term securities.

CREDIT QUALITY ALLOCATION^(a)

<i>Credit Rating</i>	<i>01/31/19</i>	<i>07/31/18</i>
AAA/Aaa	7%	17%
AA/Aa	45	35
A	26	30
BBB/Baa	8	7
BB/Ba	2	2
B	2	1
N/R ^(b)	10	8

- (a) For financial reporting purposes, credit quality ratings shown above reflect the highest rating assigned by either S&P or Moody's if ratings differ. These rating agencies are independent, nationally recognized statistical rating organizations and are widely used. Investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BBB/Baa or higher. Below investment grade ratings are credit ratings of BB/Ba or lower. Investments designated N/R are not rated by either rating agency. Unrated investments do not necessarily indicate low credit quality. Credit quality ratings are subject to change.
- (b) The investment adviser evaluates the credit quality of unrated investments based upon certain factors including, but not limited to, credit ratings for similar investments and financial analysis of sectors and individual investments. Using this approach, the investment adviser has deemed certain of these unrated securities as investment grade quality. As of January 31, 2019 and July 31, 2018, the market value of unrated securities deemed by the investment adviser to be investment grade represents 1% and 4%, respectively, of the Trust's total investments.

Schedule of Investments (unaudited)

BlackRock California Municipal Income Trust (BFZ)

January 31, 2019

(Percentages shown are based on Net Assets)

<i>Security</i>	<i>Par</i> <i>(000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
Municipal Bonds 97.2%		
California 96.5%		
County/City/Special District/School District 23.3%		
City of San Jose California Hotel Tax, RB, Convention Center Expansion & Renovation Project:		
6.13%, 05/01/31	\$ 500	\$ 546,015
6.50%, 05/01/36	1,210	1,331,254
6.50%, 05/01/42	2,225	2,447,967
County of Kern California, COP, Capital Improvements Projects, Series A (AGC), 6.00%, 02/01/19 ^(a)	2,000	2,000,000
County of Los Angeles Metropolitan Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Series A, 5.00%, 07/01/38	4,815	5,587,952
County of Riverside California Public Financing Authority, RB, Capital Facilities Project, 5.25%, 11/01/45	8,990	10,382,012
County of San Joaquin California Transportation Authority, Refunding RB, Limited Tax, Measure K, Series A, 6.00%, 03/01/21 ^(a)	2,880	3,138,192
Evergreen Elementary School District, GO, Election of 2006, Series B (AGC), 5.13%, 08/01/33	2,500	2,540,625
Los Angeles California Community College District, GO, Series G, 4.00%, 08/01/39	5,000	5,169,400
Los Angeles California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B-1, 5.25%, 07/01/42	12,500	14,737,500
Modesto Irrigation District, COP, Capital Improvements, Series A, 5.75%, 10/01/29	3,035	3,053,908
Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency, Refunding, Consolidated Capital Assessment District, 5.00%, 10/01/41	8,000	9,049,520
San Jose California Financing Authority, Refunding LRB, Civic Center Project, Series A, 5.00%, 06/01/32	3,375	3,761,269
San Leandro California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2010, Series A, 5.75%, 08/01/41	3,060	3,335,981
Santa Clarita Public Finance Authority, RB, Streetlights Acquisition And Retrofit Program, Series A, 5.00%, 09/01/43	3,205	3,644,854
Santa Monica Public Financing Authority, RB, Downtown Fire Station Project, 5.00%, 07/01/42	1,250	1,437,550
State of California, GO, Refunding, 5.00%, 08/01/46	2,500	2,811,825
State of California, GO, Refunding Various Purposes-Bid Group, 5.00%, 08/01/37	15,000	17,570,100
Torrance Unified School District California, GO, Election of 2008, Measure Z, 6.00%, 08/01/19 ^(a)	4,000	4,088,840
Tracy Community Facilities District, Special Tax Bonds, Series 1:		
5.00%, 09/01/38	335	365,924
5.00%, 09/01/43	515	560,619
5.00%, 09/01/48	570	619,516
Tustin California School District, GO, Election of 2008, Series B, 5.25%, 08/01/21 ^(a)	3,445	3,764,214
	1,625	1,895,481

Edgar Filing: BLACKROCK NEW YORK MUNICIPAL INCOME TRUST - Form N-CSRS

Washington Township Health Care District, GO, Election of 2004, Series B, 5.50%, 08/01/38		
West Contra Costa California Unified School District, GO, Election of 2012, Series A, 5.50%, 08/01/39	2,500	2,867,150
		106,707,668
Education 7.9%		
California Educational Facilities Authority, Refunding RB, San Francisco University ^(a) : 6.13%, 10/01/21	3,075	3,439,910
6.13%, 10/01/21	3,205	3,589,792
California Municipal Finance Authority, RB, Emerson College, 5.75%, 01/01/22 ^(a)	2,500	2,797,425
California Municipal Finance Authority, Refunding RB, Emerson College, Series B, 5.00%, 01/01/42	1,000	1,094,680
California State University, Refunding RB, Systemwide, Series A, 5.00%, 11/01/33	5,640	6,560,335
	<i>Par</i>	
<i>Security</i>	<i>(000)</i>	<i>Value</i>
Education (continued)		
University of California, Refunding RB: General, Series AZ, 5.00%, 05/15/43	\$ 5,800	\$ 6,637,288
Limited Project, Series O, 5.00%, 05/15/40	6,350	7,283,196
Series AR, 5.00%, 05/15/38	4,250	4