ATMOS ENERGY CORP Form DEF 14A December 21, 2018 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a)

of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

(Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant

Check the appropriate box:

Preliminary Proxy Statement
Definitive Proxy Statement
Definitive Additional Materials
Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

Atmos Energy Corporation

(Name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
(4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
(5) Total fee paid:
Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the form or schedule and the date of its filing.
(1) Amount Previously Paid:
(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

Notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement

Annual Meeting of Shareholders

Wednesday, February 6, 2019

Table of Contents

December 21, 2018

Dear Atmos Energy Shareholder:

You are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of shareholders on Wednesday, February 6, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time, at the Charles K. Vaughan Center, 3697 Mapleshade Lane, Plano, Texas 75075.

The matters to be acted upon at the meeting are described in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement. In addition, we will review the affairs and progress of the Company during the past year and discuss the results of operations for the first quarter of our 2019 fiscal year.

Your vote is very important, regardless of the number of shares you hold. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting in person, please cast your vote, as instructed in the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (Notice) or proxy card, over the Internet, by telephone or on the proxy card, as promptly as possible. If you received only a Notice in the mail or by email, you may also request a paper proxy card to submit your vote by mail, if you prefer. However, we encourage you to vote over the Internet or by telephone because it is more convenient and conserves natural resources, as well as saves on printing costs and postage fees.

On behalf of your Board of Directors, thank you for your continued support and interest in Atmos Energy Corporation.

Sincerely,

Kim R. Cocklin Executive Chairman of the Board Michael E. Haefner President and Chief Executive Officer

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING THE AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON FEBRUARY 6, 2019:

This Proxy Statement, along with the Company s Integrated Annual Report, which includes our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2018, are available on the Internet at www.proxyvote.com.

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

P.O. Box 650205

Dallas, Texas 75265-0205

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING

OF SHAREHOLDERS

To Our Shareholders:

The annual meeting of the shareholders of Atmos Energy Corporation will be held at the Charles K. Vaughan Center, 3697 Mapleshade Lane, Plano, Texas 75075 on February 6, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time for the following purposes:

- 1. To elect the 13 directors named in the proxy statement for one-year terms expiring in 2020;
- 2. To ratify the Audit Committee s appointment of Ernst & Young LLP (Ernst & Young) to serve as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2019;
- 3. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers of the Company for fiscal 2018 (Say-on-Pay);
- 4. To vote on one shareholder proposal, if properly presented at the meeting; and
- 5. To transact such other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournment thereof. Shareholders of record of our common stock at the close of business on December 14, 2018, will be entitled to notice of, and to vote at, our meeting. The stock transfer books will not be closed. Your vote is very important to us. Regardless of the number of shares you own, please vote. All shareholders of record may vote (i) over the Internet, (ii) by toll-free telephone (please see the proxy card for instructions), (iii) by written proxy by signing and dating the proxy card and mailing it to us or (iv) by attending the annual meeting and voting in person. These various options for voting are described in the Notice or proxy card.

For all shareholders who participate in our Retirement Savings Plan and Trust (RSP), your vote over the Internet, by telephone or on your proxy card will serve as voting instructions to the trustee of the RSP, the Atmos Energy Corporation Qualified Retirement Plans and Trusts Committee (RSP Trustee). If you own shares through the RSP, only the RSP Trustee may vote your plan shares even if you attend the annual meeting in person. Your vote will remain confidential. If you do not instruct the RSP Trustee, the unvoted shares allocated to your account will be voted by the RSP Trustee in its best judgment. In addition, State Street Global Advisors Trust Company, an affiliate of State Street Corporation (State Street), is the independent fiduciary for the RSP for the purpose of ensuring the confidentiality of the RSP participant voting process. Please notify State Street if you have specific confidentiality concerns relating to exercising your right to direct the RSP Trustee by writing to Sydney Marzeotti, Vice President, State Street Global Advisors Trust Company, 1 Iron Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02210.

All shareholders who hold shares in street name in the name of a broker, bank or other nominee (broker) may submit your written votes through voting instruction forms provided by your brokers. If you hold shares in street name, you may also generally vote your proxy over the Internet or by telephone, in accordance with voting instructions provided by your broker. Brokers do not have the discretion to vote the shares of customers or clients who fail to provide voting instructions on any of the proposals listed above, except the proposal to ratify the Audit Committee's appointment of Ernst & Young to serve as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal 2019. Therefore, if you do not provide instructions to your broker to vote your shares, the broker may vote your shares only on that one proposal at our annual meeting. In addition, if you own your shares in street name and you intend to vote in person at the meeting, you must first obtain a legal proxy from your

broker and bring it to the annual meeting.

We encourage you to receive all proxy materials in the future electronically to help us save on printing costs and postage fees, as well as to conserve natural resources in producing and distributing these materials. If you wish to receive these materials electronically for next year s annual meeting, please follow the instructions on the proxy card or on our website at www.atmosenergy.com under the Investors tab.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Karen E. Hartsfield Senior Vice President, General Counsel

and Corporate Secretary

December 21, 2018

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
PROXY STATEMENT OVERVIEW	1
GENERAL MEETING MATTERS	5
Date, Time, Place and Purpose of Meeting	5
Internet Availability of Proxy Materials	5
Revocability and Voting of Proxies	5
Solicitation of Proxies	6
Common Stock Information; Record Date	6
Quorum Requirement	6
Broker Non-Votes and Vote Required	6
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OTHER BOARD MATTERS	7
Corporate Governance	7
Independence of Directors	8
Related Person Transactions	9
Board Leadership Structure	12
Lead Director and Communications with Directors	14
Committees of the Board of Directors	14
Independence of Audit Committee Members, Financial Literacy and Audit Committee Financial Experts	16
Independence of Human Resources Committee Members	16
Other Board and Board Committee Matters	17
PROPOSAL ONE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	17
Background Company of the Company of	17
Procedures for Nomination of Candidates for Director	17
Qualifications for Directors	18
Nominees for Director	19
Retiring Director	26
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	27
Annual Compensation	27
Long-Term Compensation	28
Share Ownership Guidelines	28
Summary of Cash and Other Compensation	29
Director Deferred Board Fees	30
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMMON STOCK	31
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners	31
Security Ownership of Management and Directors	32
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	32
PROPOSAL TWO RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING	
FIRM	33
Audit and Related Fees	33
Audit Committee Pre-Approval Policy	34
Audit Committee Report	34

i

Table of Contents

	Page
PROPOSAL THREE NON-BINDING, ADVISORY VOTE TO APPROVE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	36
Background of the Proposal	36
Executive Compensation	36
Human Resources Committee Report	37
COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS	38
Executive Summary	38
Executive Compensation Program Objectives and Strategy	40
Elements of Executive Compensation	42
Additional Information on Named Executive Officer Compensation	48
Competitive Executive Compensation Benchmarking	48
Executive Compensation Consultant	49
Management s Role in Setting Named Executive Officer Compensation	50
New Limitations on Deductibility of Executive Compensation	50
Share Ownership Guidelines	51
OTHER EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION MATTERS	51
Executive Compensation-Related Polices	51
Compensation Risk Assessment	52
NAMED EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION	54
Summary of Cash and Other Compensation	54
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	56
Outstanding Equity Awards	57
Vested Common Stock	58
Retirement Plans	59
Retirement Plans Table	60
Change in Control Severance Agreements	61
Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control	62
Chief Executive Officer Pay Ratio	68
PROPOSAL FOUR SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL REGARDING PREPARATION OF REPORT ON METHANE	
EMISSIONS	69
Shareholder Proposal	69
Statement in Opposition to Shareholder Proposal	70
OTHER MATTERS	71
Shareholder Proposals	71
Other Business	71
Integrated Annual Report	72

ii

PROXY STATEMENT OVERVIEW

This overview provides only highlights of information contained elsewhere in this proxy statement to assist you in reviewing the proposals to be acted upon at our annual meeting of shareholders. Please read the entire proxy statement before voting because this overview does not contain all the information you should consider.

Fiscal 2018 Financial Highlights

Earnings and earnings per share increased for a 16th consecutive year. In fiscal 2018, we generated net income of \$603 million or \$5.43 per diluted share. Included in fiscal 2018 results was a non-recurring benefit of \$159 million or \$1.43 per share recognized from the implementation of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act of 2017.

We have also continued to deliver positive returns to our shareholders, generating total shareholder returns (stock price appreciation and reinvested dividends) (TSR) over the latest one, three and five-year periods, as shown in the following chart:

1

(a) The Atmos Energy peer group used in this chart is the same peer group that was used in determining the level of performance under our incentive compensation plans, as approved by our Board of Directors, and is comprised of the following companies: Alliant Energy Corporation; Ameren Corporation; CenterPoint Energy, Inc.; CMS Energy Corporation; DTE Energy Company; National Fuel Gas Company; NiSource Inc.; ONE Gas, Inc.; Spire, Inc.; Vectren Corporation; WEC Energy Group, Inc.; WGL Holdings, Inc.; and Xcel Energy Inc. However, since WGL Holdings, Inc. was acquired prior to September 30, 2018, its cumulative total return is not included in the total shareholder return represented in the graph above. See *Competitive Executive Compensation Benchmarking*, beginning on page 48 below for further information on these peer group companies.

The indicated annual dividend is \$2.10 for fiscal 2019, which represents over an 8% increase over fiscal 2018. **Highlights of Executive Compensation Program**

Objectives of Program

Total direct compensation (base salary, annual incentive compensation and the value of long-term compensation granted) paid (or granted) to our named executive officers each year is targeted at the 50th percentile of competitive market practice, if performance targets are reached.

Stock-based incentive plans and share ownership guidelines are utilized to align the interests of our named executive officers with those of our shareholders.

The use of perquisites and other personal benefits for our named executive officers is limited. *No Significant Changes to Executive Compensation Program in Fiscal 2018*

Our shareholders approved the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2017 at our 2018 annual meeting of shareholders, with over 87 percent of the shares voted in favor of such compensation. Accordingly, the Human Resources Committee (HR Committee) and our Board decided to not make any significant changes to our executive compensation programs and policies over the last fiscal year.

Compensation of Chief Executive Officer

The Board awarded our President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Michael E. Haefner, in addition to his base salary of \$845,577 paid during fiscal 2018, an amount of annual and long-term incentive compensation for fiscal 2018 that was commensurate with our business results and pay-for-performance philosophy. Such compensation included an award under our Annual Incentive Plan for Management (Incentive Plan) of \$1,127,408 and long-term equity compensation awards under our 1998 Long-Term Incentive Plan (LTIP), comprised of performance-based restricted stock units (RSUs) with a grant date fair value of \$1,143,309 and time-lapse RSUs with a grant date fair value of \$1,174,899 during fiscal 2018. Consistent with our executive compensation philosophy, a majority of Mr. Haefner s total direct compensation of \$4,291,193 for fiscal 2018 was incentive-based and at risk, as illustrated by the following chart:

2

Compensation of Other Named Executive Officers

Consistent with its approach to the compensation of our President and CEO, the Board awarded each of our other named executive officers an amount of annual and long-term incentive compensation for fiscal 2018 that was also commensurate with our business results and pay-for-performance executive compensation philosophy. A significant portion of each of their amounts of total direct compensation for fiscal 2018 was also incentive-based and at risk, as shown on the following table and as illustrated by the chart below, in which the components of their average total direct compensation is presented:

			Performance- Based Restricted	Time-Lapse Restricted	Total
	A	Annual Incentive		Stock Units	Direct
Name and Principal Position	Base Salary	Plan Award	Award Value	Award Value	Compensation
Kim R. Cocklin	\$ 852,972	\$1,137,267	\$1,143,309	\$1,205,607	\$ 4,339,155
Executive Chairman of the Board					
Christopher T. Forsythe	\$ 388,702	\$310,954	\$260,040	\$260,040	\$ 1,219,736
Senior Vice President and					
Chief Financial Officer					
David J. Park	\$ 373,154	\$298,516	\$260,040	\$282,188	\$ 1,213,898
Senior Vice President,					
Utility Operations					
John K. Akers	\$ 373,154	\$298,516	\$260,040	\$260,040	\$ 1,191,750

Senior Vice President,

Safety and Enterprise Services

3

Proposals to be voted on by our Shareholders

Proposal One Election of Directors

You will find in this proxy statement important information about the qualifications, skills and experience of each of the 13 director nominees that you are being asked to elect at our annual meeting of shareholders. Our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (Nominating and CG Committee) performs an annual assessment of the performance of each member of the Board of Directors to ensure that our directors have the qualifications, skills and experience to continue to serve effectively. The committee has determined that all 13 director nominees possess the qualifications, skills, experience and other qualities important to the continued success of the Company. **Accordingly, our Board recommends that our shareholders vote in favor of each nominee for re-election.**

Proposal Two Ratification of Appointment of our Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

You will also find in this proxy statement important information about our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young. We believe Ernst & Young continues to provide high quality professional services to the Company. Our Board of Directors recommends that shareholders vote in favor of ratification of the firm s appointment by the Audit Committee for fiscal 2019.

Proposal Three Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation Say-on-Pay

Our shareholders again have the opportunity to cast a non-binding, advisory vote to approve the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2018. Since an annual frequency for the say-on-pay vote was first recommended by our Board and approved by our shareholders at our 2011 annual meeting and again at our 2016 annual meeting, we have provided our shareholders with an opportunity to vote each year on our executive compensation. We were pleased that at last year s annual meeting, over 87 percent of our shareholders voted to approve the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2017. In evaluating this Say-on-Pay proposal, we recommend that you review our *Compensation Discussion and Analysis* in this proxy statement, which explains how and why the HR Committee and our Board arrived at decisions concerning our fiscal 2018 executive compensation. **Our Board of Directors recommends that our shareholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers for fiscal 2018.**

Proposal Four Shareholder Proposal Regarding Preparation of Report on Methane Emissions

This shareholder proposal requests the preparation of a report on the Company's methane emissions. The proposal will be voted on only if properly presented at the annual meeting. The text of the proponents resolution and supporting statement along with the Company's statement in opposition to such proposal may be found under *Proposal Four Shareholder Proposal Regarding Preparation of Report on Methane Emissions*, beginning on page 69. **Our Board of Directors recommends that our shareholders vote against this shareholder proposal.**

ATMOS ENERGY CORPORATION

P.O. Box 650205

Dallas, Texas 75265-0205

PROXY STATEMENT

for the

2019 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS

to be Held on February 6, 2019

GENERAL MEETING MATTERS

Date, Time, Place and Purpose of Meeting

Our 2019 annual meeting of shareholders will be held on February 6, 2019, at 9:00 a.m. Central Standard Time at the Charles K. Vaughan Center, 3697 Mapleshade Lane, Plano, Texas 75075. The purpose of the 2019 annual meeting is set forth in the Notice of Annual Meeting of Shareholders to which this proxy statement is attached. Atmos Energy Corporation is referred to as Atmos Energy, the Company, our, us or we in this proxy statement.

Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

Under rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we are furnishing proxy materials to our shareholders primarily over the Internet, rather than mailing paper copies of the materials (including our Integrated Annual Report, which includes our Form 10-K for fiscal 2018) to each shareholder. If you received only a Notice by mail or email, you will not receive a paper copy of these proxy materials unless you request one. Instead, the Notice will instruct you as to how you may access and review the proxy materials over the Internet. The Notice will also instruct you on how you may access your proxy card to vote over the Internet. If you received a Notice by mail or email and would like to receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, free of charge, please follow the instructions included in the Notice.

We anticipate that the mailing of the Notice to our shareholders will commence on or about December 21, 2018 and will be sent by email to our shareholders who have opted for such means of delivery on or about December 26, 2018.

Revocability and Voting of Proxies

Any shareholder of record submitting a proxy has the power to revoke the proxy at any time prior to its exercise by (i) submitting a new proxy with a later date or time, including a proxy given over the Internet or by telephone; (ii) notifying our Corporate Secretary in writing before the meeting or (iii) voting in person at the meeting. Any shareholder owning shares in street name who wishes to revoke voting instructions previously given to a broker should contact such broker for further instructions. Any shareholder who holds our shares as a participant in the RSP and who wishes to revoke voting instructions previously given to the RSP Trustee may submit new voting instructions by re-voting his or her proxy card or by written notice to the RSP Trustee on or before February 5, 2019 at the following address: Atmos Energy Qualified Retirement Plans and Trusts Committee, Attn: Ashley Burton, Legal Dept., P.O. Box 650205, Dallas, Texas 75265-0205.

An independent inspector of election will count the votes. Your vote will not be disclosed to us and will remain confidential except under special circumstances. For example, a copy of your proxy

card will be sent to us if you add any written comments to the card. If you are a shareholder of record and give us your signed proxy, but do not specify how to vote on any particular proposal, we will vote your shares in favor of the nominees for the election of directors (see *Proposal One Election of Directors*, beginning on page 17); in favor of the proposal to ratify the Audit Committee s appointment of Ernst & Young as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for fiscal 2019 (see *Proposal Two Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm*, beginning on page 33); in favor of the advisory proposal to approve executive compensation for fiscal 2018 (see *Proposal Three Non-Binding, Advisory Vote to Approve Executive Compensation*, beginning on page 36) and against the shareholder proposal (see *Proposal Four Shareholder Proposal Regarding Preparation of Report on Methane Emissions*, beginning on page 69).

Solicitation of Proxies

The proxy accompanying this statement is solicited by the management of the Company at the direction of our Board of Directors. It is expected that these materials will be first sent to our shareholders on or about December 21, 2018. We expect to solicit proxies primarily by mail, but our directors, officers, employees and agents may also solicit proxies in person or by telephone or other electronic means. We will pay for all costs of preparing, assembling and distributing the proxies and accompanying materials for the annual meeting of shareholders, including the costs of reimbursing brokers for forwarding proxies and proxy materials to their principals. We will ask brokers to prepare and send a Notice to each of their customers or clients for whom they hold shares and forward copies of the proxy materials to such beneficial owners who request a paper copy. In addition, Morrow Sodali, LLC, 470 West Avenue, Stamford, Connecticut 06902 (Morrow Sodali), will assist us in the solicitation of proxies. We will pay approximately \$7,500 in fees, plus expenses and disbursements, to Morrow Sodali for its proxy solicitation services.

Common Stock Information; Record Date

As of December 14, 2018, our record date, there were 116,888,646 shares of our common stock, no par value, issued and outstanding, all of which are entitled to vote. These shares constitute the only class of our stock issued and outstanding. As stated in the Notice, only shareholders of record at the close of business on December 14, 2018 will be entitled to vote at the meeting, with each share being entitled to one vote.

Quorum Requirement

In accordance with Texas and Virginia law, our bylaws provide that if the holders of a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of our common stock entitled to vote are present in person or represented by proxy, there will be a quorum. The aggregate number of votes entitled to be cast by all shareholders present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting, whether those shareholders vote for, against or abstain from voting on any matter, will be counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists. Broker non-votes, which are described below, will also be considered present for purposes of determining whether a quorum exists.

Broker Non-Votes and Vote Required

If a broker holds your shares and you have previously elected to receive a paper copy of your proxy materials, a paper copy of this proxy statement and other proxy materials have been sent to your

Table of Contents 17

6

broker. You may have received this proxy statement directly from your broker, together with a voting instruction form as to how to direct the broker to vote your shares. If you desire to have your vote counted, it is important that you return your voting instruction form to your broker. Rules of the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) determine whether proposals presented at shareholder meetings are considered routine or non-routine. If a proposal is routine, a broker holding shares for an owner in street name may vote on the proposal without having received voting instructions from the owner. If a proposal is non-routine, the broker may vote on the proposal only if the owner has provided voting instructions. A broker non-vote occurs when the broker is unable to vote on a proposal because the proposal is non-routine and the owner does not provide instructions. Broker non-votes have no effect on the vote on such a proposal because they are not considered present and entitled to vote on that proposal. Proposals One, Three and Four are considered non-routine proposals; therefore, brokers may vote on these proposals only if voting instructions are provided by the owner of the shares. Only Proposal Two, the proposal to ratify the appointment of Ernst & Young as the independent registered public accounting firm for the Company for fiscal 2019, is considered a routine proposal, even if no voting instructions are provided by the owner of the shares.

Generally, in accordance with Texas and Virginia law, under our bylaws, the number of votes required for the approval of a proposal is a majority of the shares of our common stock present or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the meeting. Abstentions will have the same effect as an against vote but, as discussed above, broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for these proposals. If any other proposals are properly presented to the shareholders at the meeting, the number of votes required for approval will depend on the nature of the proposal. The proxy gives discretionary authority to the proxy holders to vote on any matter not included in this proxy statement that is properly presented to the shareholders at the meeting. The persons named as proxies on the proxy card are Richard K. Gordon and Richard A. Sampson.

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE AND OTHER BOARD MATTERS

Corporate Governance

In accordance with, and pursuant to, the corporate governance standards of the NYSE, the Board has adopted and periodically updated our Corporate Governance Guidelines (Guidelines), which govern the structure and proceedings of the Board and contain the Board s position on many governance issues. The Board has also adopted and periodically updated the Code of Conduct for our directors, officers and other employees. The Code of Conduct provides guidance to the Board and management in areas of ethical business conduct and risk, and provides guidance to employees and directors by helping them to recognize and deal with ethical issues including, but not limited to (i) conflicts of interest, (ii) gifts and entertainment, (iii) confidential information, (iv) fair dealing, (v) protection of corporate assets and (vi) compliance with rules and regulations. We have also provided to our directors, officers, other employees, customers and any other member of the public a toll-free compliance hotline and a website by which they may report on an anonymous basis any observation of unethical behavior or any suspected violations of our Code of Conduct. In addition, the Board has adopted and periodically updated the charters for its Audit Committee, HR Committee, and Nominating and CG Committee. All of the foregoing documents are posted on the Corporate Responsibility page under the Investors tab of our website at www.atmosenergy.com.

7

Independence of Directors

The Board is comprised of a majority of independent directors in accordance with NYSE corporate governance standards. In accordance with rules of the SEC and the NYSE, as well as our Guidelines, to be considered independent, a director must not have a direct or indirect material relationship with the Company or its management, other than as a director. To assist it in making its determination of the independence of each of its non-employee members, the Board has adopted its Categorical Standards of Director Independence (Standards). The Standards specify the criteria by which the independence of our non-employee directors will be determined and the types of relationships the Board has determined to be categorically immaterial, including relationships of such directors and their immediate families with respect to past employment or affiliation with the Company, our management or our independent registered public accounting firm. For purposes of the Standards, the Board has adopted the definition of an immediate family member as set forth by the NYSE, which includes a director s spouse, parents, children, siblings and in-laws, as well as anyone else (other than any domestic employees) who shares such director s home. The Standards and our Guidelines are posted on the Corporate Responsibility page under the Investors tab of our website at www.atmosenergy.com.

Based on its review of the Standards, as well as applicable SEC rules and regulations, NYSE corporate governance standards, and taking into consideration all business relationships between the Company and each non-employee director and non-employee director nominee, the Board has concluded that none of such relationships are material, other than the relationship with Mr. Springer described below. Accordingly, the Board has affirmatively determined that Mses. Compton, Quinn and Walters as well as Messrs. Best, Donohue, Esquivel, Garza, Gordon, Grable, Sampson and Ware are independent members of the Board. In addition, the Board has affirmatively determined that each member of the Audit Committee, HR Committee and Nominating and CG Committee are independent under the Standards, as well as applicable SEC rules and regulations and NYSE corporate governance standards.

In recommending to the Board that each non-employee director be found independent other than Mr. Springer, for the reasons described below, the Nominating and CG Committee reviewed and considered the following transactions, relationships or arrangements during the past three fiscal years, as discussed below. All matters described below fall within the Standards, including the monetary thresholds set forth in such Standards. Such matters are more fully discussed below under *Related Person Transactions*.

Mr. Ware is chairman of Amarillo National Bank in Amarillo, Texas, which provides a \$25 million short-term line of credit to the Company and serves as a depository bank for us; and

Several of our other directors either are natural gas customers or are affiliated with businesses that are natural gas customers of the Company in the ordinary course of business, including Messrs. Donohue, Esquivel and Springer. Because Mr. Springer s son-in-law is a partner with the firm of Ernst & Young, our independent registered public accounting firm, the Board has determined that Mr. Springer may not be considered independent from the Company under the Standards. However, Mr. Springer s son-in-law is not involved in our audit and is not considered a covered person with respect to us, as defined under the SEC s independence-related rules and regulations for auditors. Thus, this relationship has no effect on Ernst & Young s independence as our independent registered public accounting firm. Further, Mr. Springer does not serve on our Audit Committee, HR Committee or Nominating and CG Committee.

8

Related Person Transactions

In accordance with applicable SEC rules and in recognition that transactions into which we enter with related persons may present potential or actual conflicts of interest, our Board has adopted and periodically reviews written guidelines with respect to related person transactions. For purposes of these guidelines, a reportable related person transaction is a transaction between the Company and any related person (i) involving more than \$120,000 when aggregated with all similar transactions during any fiscal year and (ii) where such related person has or will have a direct or indirect material interest in such transaction (other than solely as a result of being a director or a less than 10 percent beneficial owner of another entity). A related person is any (a) person who is or was (since the beginning of the last fiscal year) an executive officer, director or nominee for election as a director of the Company; (b) person who beneficially owns more than five percent (5%) of the Company s common stock or (c) immediate family member of any of the foregoing. An immediate family member includes a person s spouse, parents, children, siblings, in-laws and anyone else (other than any domestic employees) sharing such person s home.

Under the guidelines, all named executive officers, directors and director nominees are required to identify, to the best of their knowledge after reasonable inquiry, business and financial affiliations involving themselves or their immediate family members, which could reasonably be expected to give rise to a related person transaction. Named executive officers, directors and director nominees are required to advise the Corporate Secretary of the Company promptly of any change in the information provided and are asked periodically to review and reaffirm this information.

The Nominating and CG Committee reviews the material facts of all related person transactions and either approves or disapproves of the entry into any such transaction. However, if advance committee approval of a related person transaction is not feasible, then it shall be considered and, if the committee determines it to be appropriate, ratified at the committee s next regularly scheduled meeting. In determining whether to approve or ratify a related person transaction, the committee takes into account, among other factors it deems appropriate, whether the related person transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person s interest in the transaction.

No director is allowed to participate in any discussion or approval of a related person transaction for which he or she is a related person, except that the director shall provide all material information concerning the transaction to the committee. If a related person transaction will be ongoing, the committee may establish guidelines for the Company s management to follow in its ongoing dealings with the related person. Thereafter, the committee, on at least an annual basis, will review and assess ongoing relationships with the related person to see that they remain in compliance with the Company s related person transactions guidelines and that the related person transaction remains appropriate. In addition, the committee will periodically review the related person transactions guidelines to determine if changes or modifications may be appropriate.

The committee also makes a recommendation to the Board as to whether an identified transaction is required to be reported as a related person transaction under SEC rules. Under SEC rules, certain transactions are deemed not to involve a material interest and thus are not reportable (including transactions in which the amount involved in any 12-month period is less than \$120,000 and transactions with entities where a related person s interest is limited to service as a non-employee director). In determining materiality for this purpose, information is considered material if, in light of all the facts and circumstances of the transaction, there is a substantial likelihood a reasonable investor

would consider the information important in deciding whether to buy, sell or vote shares of the Company s common stock. The types of transactions specified below, which are pre-approved by the committee, are presumed not to involve a material interest.

Transactions in the ordinary course of business with an entity for which a related person serves as an employee or director, provided the aggregate amount involved in any such transactions during any particular fiscal year does not exceed the greater of (a) \$1 million or (b) two percent (2%) of the entity s gross revenues for the most recently completed fiscal year for which data is publicly available;

Charitable contributions made directly or indirectly, through a donor advised fund or foundation, in the ordinary course of business to a foundation, university or other charitable organization, for which a related person serves as an employee or a director, provided the aggregate amount of contributions during any particular fiscal year does not exceed the greater of (a) \$500,000 or (b) two percent (2%) of the charitable organization s annual receipts for its most recently completed fiscal year;

Employment by the Company of a family member of a named executive officer, provided the named executive officer does not participate in decisions regarding the hiring, performance evaluation or compensation of the family member; and

Payments under the Company s employee benefit plans and other programs that are available generally to the Company s employees (including contributions under the Company s educational matching gift programs and payments to providers under the Company s health care plans).

The committee reviewed all business transactions during fiscal 2018 between the Company and companies for which related persons serve as employees or directors, including the transactions described below, which represent the only significant transactions of this type during fiscal 2018. In addition, as discussed above under *Independence of Directors*, the committee noted the relationship that Mr. Springer has with our independent registered public accounting firm, Ernst & Young, through his son-in-law who is a partner with such firm. The total amount of fees paid to Ernst & Young during fiscal 2018 was \$3,380,000, as more particularly described under *Audit and Related Fees* on page 33 below.

First, Mr. Donohue is Chief Executive Officer of Dallas Fort Worth International Airport (DFW Airport) in Dallas, Texas, which is a natural gas distribution customer of the Company. For the 2018 fiscal year, the Company received total revenues from DFW Airport in the amount of approximately \$1,373,000. All transactions with DFW Airport were made in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms as other comparable transactions with third parties. The committee has received written confirmation from DFW Airport that the total amount of revenues received by the Company from DFW Airport during fiscal 2018 represents less than two percent (2%) of the gross revenues of DFW Airport for that period. Because these transactions with DFW Airport fall within the types of transactions that have been pre-approved by the committee, such transactions are presumed to not involve a material interest.

Next, Mr. Esquivel is Vice President for Community and Corporate Relations for UT Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas, Texas (UT Southwestern). For fiscal 2018, the Company received total revenues from UT Southwestern of approximately \$633,000 for distribution and transportation services provided to UT Southwestern. All such services

provided to UT Southwestern were made in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms as other comparable transactions with third

10

parties. The committee has received written confirmation from UT Southwestern that the total amount of revenues received by the Company from UT Southwestern during fiscal 2018 represents less than two percent (2%) of the gross revenues of UT Southwestern for that period. Because these transactions with UT Southwestern fall within the types of transactions that have been pre-approved by the committee, such transactions are presumed to not involve a material interest.

In addition, Mr. Springer has a son employed by ConocoPhillips in a non-officer capacity in its commercial group. During fiscal 2018, the Company s Atmos Pipeline Texas Division provided natural gas transportation services to ConocoPhillips. Total net revenue received from ConocoPhillips during fiscal 2018 for such services was approximately \$2,941,000. All transactions with ConocoPhillips were made in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms as other comparable transactions with third parties. The total amount of transactions engaged in by Atmos Pipeline Texas with ConocoPhillips during fiscal 2018 represents less than two percent (2%) of the gross revenues of ConocoPhillips for that period. Because these transactions with ConocoPhillips fall within the types of transactions that have been pre-approved by the committee, such transactions are presumed to not involve a material interest.

Next, Ms. Walters has a brother employed as a partner by Gibson, Dunn & Crutcher LLP (Gibson Dunn), which provides legal services to the Company. During fiscal 2018, we paid a total of approximately \$210,000 to Gibson Dunn. All such services provided by Gibson Dunn to the Company were made in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms as other comparable transactions with third parties. The committee has received written confirmation from Gibson Dunn that the total amount of revenues received by Gibson Dunn from the Company during fiscal 2018 represents less than two percent (2%) of the gross revenues of Gibson Dunn for that period. Because these transactions with Gibson Dunn fall within the types of transactions that have been pre-approved by the committee, such transactions are presumed to not involve a material interest.

As noted above, in the discussion on the independence of our directors, Mr. Ware is Chairman of Amarillo National Bank in Amarillo, Texas, which provides a \$25 million short-term line of credit to the Company and serves as a depository bank for us. During fiscal 2018, we paid a total of approximately \$157,000 to Amarillo National Bank for these services, which amount is reasonable and customary for these types of services and such services are substantially on the same terms as comparable transactions with third parties. The committee has received written confirmation from Amarillo National Bank that such amount represents less than two percent (2%) of the gross revenues of the Bank for the applicable period. Because these transactions with Amarillo National Bank fall within the types of transactions that have been pre-approved by the committee, such transactions are presumed to not involve a material interest.

The Vanguard Group, Inc., BlackRock, Inc. and State Street were each the beneficial owners of more than five percent (5%) of the Company's common stock outstanding as of the record date of December 14, 2018 (see *Beneficial Ownership of Common Stock*, beginning on page 31). However, only State Street and its affiliates provided services to the Company or our Master Retirement Trust (Master Trust) during fiscal 2018. For the Company, State Street (i) acted as trustee of several benefits plans and trusts; (ii) provided fiduciary services for a benefits plan; and (iii) provided retiree benefit payment processing services for several benefits plans and trusts, for which the Company paid a total of approximately \$102,000 in fees during fiscal 2018. For the Master Trust, State Street (i) acted as trustee; (ii) provided fiduciary services for a benefits plan; (iii) provided retiree benefit processing services for a benefit plan whose assets are held in the Master Trust; and (iv) provided investment

management services relating to assets held in the Master Trust. For such services, the Master Trust paid a total of approximately \$295,000 in fees during fiscal 2018. All such services provided to the Company and the Master Trust were made in the ordinary course of business and on substantially the same terms as other comparable transactions with third parties.

Finally, Messrs. Cocklin and Best each have a son-in-law employed by the Company in a non-executive officer position whose total compensation exceeds the SEC s reporting threshold of \$120,000 per fiscal year. Consistent with our guidelines on related person transactions, although the total value of each such family member s compensation for fiscal 2018, including base salary, incentive compensation and equity awards appropriate for their respective positions, exceeds the SEC s reporting threshold for disclosure of \$120,000 per fiscal year, the committee determined each such relationship was not material.

The committee also reviewed all other transactions between the Company and other related persons, and determined that the transactions described above represent the only significant transactions of this type during fiscal 2018. In addition, the Company is not aware of any related person transactions required to be reported under applicable SEC rules since the beginning of the last fiscal year where our policies and procedures did not require review or where such policies and procedures were not followed.

Board Leadership Structure

The Company s bylaws and Guidelines provide that our Board of Directors has the right to exercise its discretion to either separate or combine the offices of the Chairman of the Board and the CEO. This decision is based upon the Board s determination of what is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders, in light of the circumstances and taking into consideration succession planning, skills and experience of the individuals filling those positions and other relevant factors. The current leadership structure is based on the experienced leadership provided by an Executive Chairman of the Board (currently Mr. Cocklin) and a full-time President and CEO (currently Mr. Haefner), with both positions being subject to oversight and review by the Company s independent directors. The Board recognizes that if the circumstances change in the future, other leadership structures might also be appropriate and it has the discretion to revisit this determination of the Company s leadership structure. A combined Chairman and CEO Board leadership structure has previously worked well for the Company and its shareholders and may do so in the future.

The Board s leadership structure is designed so that independent directors exercise oversight of the Company s management and key issues related to strategy and risk. Only independent directors serve on our Audit Committee, HR Committee and Nominating and CG Committee of the Board, and all standing Board committees are chaired by independent directors. Additionally, independent directors regularly hold executive sessions of the Board outside the presence of the Executive Chairman, the President and CEO or any other Company employee, and they generally meet in a private session with the Executive Chairman and the President and CEO at regularly scheduled Board meetings.

Each year, the independent directors of the Board select an independent director to serve as a lead director (the Lead Director). The Lead Director performs the following duties:

(i) presides at all meetings of the Board at which the Executive Chairman is not present;

(ii) presides at all meetings of the independent directors or non-management directors in executive sessions as may be necessary;

12

- (iii) coordinates and develops the agenda for executive sessions of the independent directors or non-management directors;
- (iv) approves meeting agendas for the Board;
- (v) approves Board meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items;
- (vi) acts as liaison between the Executive Chairman and the independent directors regarding business, management or other issues;
- (vii) approves information that is sent to the Board;
- (viii) discusses the results of the performance evaluation of the President and CEO with the chair of the HR Committee;
- (ix) along with the chair of the HR Committee, reports to the President and CEO the results of the performance evaluation; and
- (x) identifies and develops with the Executive Chairman and the President and CEO along with the chair of the Nominating and CG Committee, the Board s compositional needs and criteria for the selection of candidates to serve as directors.

In performing the duties described above, the Lead Director is expected to consult with the chairs of the appropriate Board committees and solicit their participation. The Lead Director also has the authority to call meetings of the independent directors as well as the non-management directors; and if requested by major shareholders, will ensure that he or she is available for consultation and direct communication.

Our Board of Directors has the responsibility for risk oversight of the Company as a whole. However, the Board has delegated primary risk oversight responsibility to the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee is responsible for overseeing risks associated with financial and accounting matters, including compliance with all legal and regulatory requirements and internal control over financial reporting. In addition, the Audit Committee has oversight responsibility for the Company s overall business risk management process, which includes the identification, assessment, mitigation and monitoring of key business risks on a company-wide basis. KPMG LLP (KPMG) which serves as the Company s internal auditor, presents to the Audit Committee at its regularly scheduled quarterly meetings on its internal audit activities, including the audit activities performed the previous quarter, which address the key business risks identified by the Audit Committee, including evaluations and assessments of internal controls and procedures.

The Board has charged the HR Committee with ensuring that our executive compensation policies and practices support the retention and development of executive talent with the experience required to manage risks inherent to our business and do not encourage or reward excessive risk-taking by our executives. See the discussion in *Compensation Risk Assessment*, beginning on page 52, for more information on the specific processes used by the HR Committee to

assess the risk profile of our compensation programs. The Nominating and CG Committee oversees risks associated with corporate governance, including Board leadership structure, succession planning and other matters. The Board's role in risk oversight has had no significant effect on the Board's leadership structure. In addition, we believe that the current leadership structure of the Board supports effective oversight of the Company's risk management processes described above by providing independent leadership at the Board committee level, with ultimate oversight by the full Board as led by the Executive Chairman, the President and CEO and the Lead Director.

Lead Director and Communications with Directors

In accordance with the corporate governance standards of the NYSE, the independent directors of the Board have designated Mr. Gordon as the Lead Director at all meetings of both independent directors and non-management directors, which meetings will continue to be held by the Board on a regular basis. Shareholders and other interested parties may communicate with the Lead Director, individual non-management directors, or the non-management directors as a group, by writing to Board of Directors, Atmos Energy Corporation, P.O. Box 650205, Dallas, Texas, 75265-0205 or by email at *boardofdirectors@atmosenergy.com*. Our Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary, Karen E. Hartsfield, receives all such communications initially and forwards the communications to Mr. Gordon, as Lead Director, or another individual non-management director, if applicable, as she deems appropriate. Interested parties may also contact by email our directors who are members of management, Kim R. Cocklin, Executive Chairman, at *kim.cocklin@atmosenergy.com* and Michael E. Haefner, President and CEO at *mike.haefner@atmosenergy.com*. Messrs. Cocklin and Haefner may also be reached by mail at Atmos Energy Corporation, P.O. Box 650205, Dallas, Texas 75265-0205 or by telephone at 972-934-9227.

Committees of the Board of Directors

Atmos Energy s Board committee structure is organized around key strategic issues to facilitate oversight of management. Committee chairs regularly coordinate with one another to ensure appropriate information sharing. To further facilitate information sharing, all committees provide a summary of significant actions to the full Board. As required under our Corporate Governance Guidelines, each standing committee conducts an annual self-assessment and review of its charter.

The following table identifies the chairperson (C) and the current membership (M) of each standing committee of the Board and the number of committee meetings held in fiscal 2018. Mr. Donohue and Ms. Walters were elected to the Board after the end of fiscal 2018 on November 1, 2018 and therefore are not represented in the table below.

Director	Audit Committee	Human Resources Committee	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee	Executive Committee	Work Session/Annual Meeting Committee
Robert W. Best					
Kim R. Cocklin(a)					
Kelly H. Compton	M	M			
Ruben E. Esquivel	M	M		M	С
Rafael G. Garza	M		M		
Richard K. Gordon		M	M	C	
Robert C. Grable	M		C	M	M
Michael E. Haefner(a)					
Nancy K. Quinn	M	C		M	
Richard A. Sampson	C	M		M	
Stephen R. Springer(a)					M
Richard Ware II	M		M		M
Number of meetings held in					
fiscal 2018	4	3	3	0	1

(a) The director is not independent and accordingly is not eligible to be a member of any of the committees except the Executive Committee and/or the Work Session/Annual Meeting Committee, pursuant to the rules of the New York Stock Exchange.

14

Audit Committee. The Board has established a separately-designated standing Audit Committee in accordance with applicable provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Exchange Act). The Audit Committee consists of Ms. Compton and Ms. Quinn, as well as Messrs. Esquivel, Garza, Grable, Sampson and Ware, with Mr. Sampson serving as chair of the committee. As discussed in *Independence of Directors* on page 8, the Board has determined that each member of the committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE and SEC applicable to members of an audit committee. The Audit Committee oversees our accounting and financial reporting processes and procedures, reviews the scope and procedures of the internal audit function, appoints our independent registered public accounting firm and is responsible for the oversight of its work and the review of the results of its independent audits. The Audit Committee held four meetings during the last fiscal year and has adopted a charter that it follows in conducting its activities, which is available on the Corporate Responsibility page of our website at www.atmosenergy.com.

Human Resources Committee. This committee consists of Ms. Compton and Ms. Quinn, as well as Messrs. Esquivel, Gordon and Sampson, with Ms. Quinn serving as chair of the committee. The Board has determined that each member of the committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE and SEC. This committee reviews and makes recommendations to the Board regarding executive compensation policy and strategy, and specific compensation recommendations for the Executive Chairman, the President and CEO, as well as our other officers and division presidents. This committee retained the consulting firm of Pay Governance LLC (Pay Governance) during fiscal 2018 to serve as its executive compensation consultant, which was directly accountable to the committee for the performance of its consulting services. In addition, the committee determines, develops and makes recommendations to the Board regarding severance agreements, succession planning and other related matters concerning our Executive Chairman, the President and CEO, as well as other officers and division presidents. This committee also administers our LTIP and our Incentive Plan. During the last fiscal year, the committee held three meetings. The committee has adopted a charter that it follows in conducting its activities, which is available on the Corporate Responsibility page of our website at www.atmosenergy.com.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. This committee consists of Messrs. Garza, Gordon, Grable and Ware, with Mr. Grable serving as chair of the committee. The Board has determined that each member of the committee satisfies the independence requirements of the NYSE and SEC. This committee makes recommendations to the Board regarding the nominees for director to be submitted to our shareholders for election at each annual meeting of shareholders, selects candidates for consideration by the full Board to fill any vacancies on the Board which may occur from time to time and oversees all of our corporate governance matters. The committee held three meetings during the last fiscal year. The committee has adopted a charter that it follows in conducting its activities, which is available on the Corporate Responsibility page of our website at www.atmosenergy.com.

Executive Committee. This committee consists of Mr. Gordon and the chairs of each of our standing committees. Current members of the Executive Committee are Ms. Quinn, Messrs. Gordon, Esquivel, Grable and Sampson. Mr. Gordon serves as chair of the committee. In accordance with our bylaws, the Executive Committee has, and may exercise, all of the powers of the Board of Directors during the intervals between the Board s meetings, subject to certain limitations and restrictions as set forth in the bylaws or as may be established by resolution of the Board from time to time. The Executive Committee held no meetings during fiscal 2018.

Work Session/Annual Meeting Committee. This committee consists of Messrs. Esquivel, Grable, Springer and Ware, with Mr. Esquivel serving as chair of the committee. This committee selects the site

and plans the meeting and agenda for the work session meeting of the Board held each year for the purpose of focusing on long-range planning and corporate strategy issues and selects the site for the annual meeting of shareholders. During the last fiscal year, the committee held one meeting.

Independence of Audit Committee Members, Financial Literacy and Audit Committee Financial Experts

In addition to being declared as independent under the NYSE corporate governance standards, applicable NYSE and SEC rules and regulations require that each member of an audit committee satisfy additional independence and financial literacy requirements and at least one of these members must satisfy the additional requirement of having accounting or related financial management expertise. This additional requirement can be satisfied if the Board determines that at least one Audit Committee member is an audit committee financial expert, within the meaning of applicable SEC rules and regulations. Generally, the additional independence requirements provide that (i) a member of the Audit Committee, or his or her immediate family members, are prohibited from receiving any direct or indirect compensation or fee from the Company or its affiliates, other than in such Audit Committee member s capacity as a member of the Audit Committee, the Board or any other Board committee; and (ii) he or she may not be an affiliated person of the Company or any of its subsidiaries. An immediate family member is defined by applicable NYSE rules to include a director s spouse, parents, children, siblings and in-laws of the director, as well as anyone else (other than any domestic employee) who shares the director s home.

Generally, the financial literacy requirements provide that the Board, in its business judgment, shall determine if each member is financially literate, taking into account factors such as the member is education, experience and ability to read and understand financial statements of public companies. Audit committee financial experts must have the following five additional attributes: (i) an understanding of generally accepted accounting principles and financial statements; (ii) the ability to assess the general application of such principles in connection with the accounting for estimates, accruals and reserves; (iii) experience preparing, auditing, analyzing or evaluating financial statements that present a breadth and level of complexity of accounting issues that are generally comparable to the breadth and complexity of issues that can reasonably be expected to be raised by the Company is financial statements, or experience actively supervising one or more persons engaged in such activities; (iv) an understanding of internal control over financial reporting and (v) an understanding of how an audit committee functions.

Based on its review of the independence, financial literacy and audit committee financial expert requirements previously discussed, as well as its review of their individual backgrounds and qualifications, the Board has determined that all members of the Audit Committee satisfy the additional independence and financial literacy requirements of the SEC and NYSE for members of an audit committee. The Board has also designated Ms. Quinn and Messrs. Garza, Sampson and Ware each as an audit committee financial expert, as such term is defined by applicable rules and regulations of the SEC. As provided by the safe harbor contained in applicable SEC rules and regulations, our audit committee financial experts will not be deemed experts for any purpose as a result of being so designated. In addition, such designation does not impose on such persons any duties, obligations or liabilities that are greater than the duties, obligations and liabilities imposed on such persons as members of the Audit Committee or the Board in the absence of such designation. This designation also does not affect the duties, obligations or liabilities of any other member of the Audit Committee or the Board.

Independence of Human Resources Committee Members

The Board has affirmatively determined that each member of the HR Committee has no relationship to the Company which is material to that director s ability to be independent from management of the

16

Company in connection with the duties of an HR Committee member. In doing so, the Board considered all factors set forth in the NYSE corporate governance standards (and any exceptions thereto) and any other relevant factor, including, but not limited to (i) the source of all compensation paid by the Company to each member of the HR Committee during fiscal 2018, including any consulting, advisory, or other compensatory fees and (ii) whether each HR Committee member is affiliated with the Company, a subsidiary of the Company or an affiliate of a subsidiary of the Company.

Other Board and Board Committee Matters

Human Resources Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation. None of the HR Committee members were, during fiscal 2018 or previously, an officer or employee of the Company or any of our subsidiaries. In addition, there was no interlocking relationship between any named executive officer of the Company and any other corporation during fiscal 2018.

Attendance at Board Meetings and Annual Meeting of Shareholders. During fiscal 2018, our Board held ten meetings and each director attended at least 75 percent of the aggregate of (a) all meetings of the Board and (b) all meetings of the committees of the Board on which such director served. In addition, all members of the Board attended our annual meeting of shareholders in person on February 7, 2018. We strongly support and encourage each member of our Board to attend our annual meeting of shareholders.

PROPOSAL ONE ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Background

The Board is nominating Mses. Compton, Quinn and Walters, as well as Messrs. Best, Cocklin, Donohue, Garza, Gordon, Grable, Haefner, Sampson, Springer and Ware to continue serving as directors whose one-year terms will expire in 2020. All nominees were recommended for nomination by the Nominating and CG Committee of the Board. We did not pay a fee to any third party to identify, evaluate or assist in identifying or evaluating potential nominees for the Board. In addition, the Nominating and CG Committee did not receive any recommendations from a shareholder or a group of shareholders who, individually or in the aggregate, beneficially owned greater than five percent (5%) of our common stock for at least one year.

The names, ages, biographical summaries and qualifications of the persons who have been nominated to serve as our directors are set forth under *Nominees for Director*, beginning on page 19. Each of the nominees has consented to be a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. If we receive proxies that are signed but do not specify how to vote, we will vote those shares FOR all of the nominees. In accordance with Texas and Virginia law, to be elected as a director, our bylaws require a nominee to receive the vote of a majority of the shares of our common stock entitled to vote and represented in person or by proxy at a meeting of shareholders at which a quorum is present. Abstentions will have the same effect as an against vote for each nominee for director, but, as discussed above, broker non-votes will have no effect on the vote for any nominee.

Procedures for Nomination of Candidates for Director

There are no differences in the manner in which the Nominating and CG Committee evaluates nominees for director based on whether or not the nominee is presented by a shareholder. All director candidates shall, at a minimum, possess the qualifications for director discussed below. According to our

17

bylaws, any shareholder may make nominations for the election of directors if notice of such nominations is delivered to, or mailed and received by the Corporate Secretary of the Company at our principal executive offices no later than the close of business on January 15, 2019, the 25th day following the day on which notice of the meeting is to be sent, December 21, 2018. Our principal executive offices are located at 1800 Three Lincoln Centre, 5430 LBJ Freeway, Dallas, Texas 75240. If no nominations are so made, only the nominations made by the Board of Directors may be voted upon at the 2019 annual meeting.

Each notice of a director nomination should include the following: (i) name, address and number of shares owned by the nominating shareholder, (ii) the nominee s name and address, (iii) a listing of the nominee s background and qualifications, (iv) a description of all arrangements between such shareholder and each nominee and any other person and (v) all other information relating to such person that is required to be disclosed in the solicitations for proxies for election of directors under applicable SEC and NYSE rules and regulations. A signed statement from the nominee should accompany the notice of nomination indicating that he or she consents to being considered as a nominee and that, if nominated by the Board and elected by the shareholders, he or she will serve as a director.

Qualifications for Directors

The Nominating and CG Committee uses a variety of methods to identify nominees for director, including considering potential director candidates who come to the committee s attention through current officers, directors, professional search firms, shareholders or other persons. Nominees for director must possess, at a minimum, the level of education, experience, sophistication and expertise required to perform the duties of a member of the board of directors of a public company of our size and scope. Once a person is nominated, the committee will assess the qualifications of the nominee, including an evaluation of his or her judgment and skills. The Board has adopted guidelines outlining the qualifications sought when considering non-employee director nominees, which are discussed in our Guidelines posted on the Corporate Responsibility page of our website at www.atmosenergy.com.

Based on the Guidelines, the specific qualifications and skills the Board seeks across its membership to achieve a balance of experiences important to the Company include, but are not limited to, outstanding achievement in personal careers; prior board experience; wisdom, integrity and ability to make independent, analytical inquiries; understanding of our business environment and a willingness to devote adequate time to Board duties. Other required specific qualifications and skills include a basic understanding of principal operational and financial objectives, and plans and strategies of a corporation or organization of our stature; results of operations and financial condition of an organization and of any significant subsidiaries or business segments and a relative understanding of an organization and its business segments in relation to its competitors.

The Board is committed to diversified membership and does not discriminate on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion or disability in selecting nominees. Although the Board has not established a formal policy on diversity, the Board and the committee believe it is important that our directors represent diverse viewpoints and backgrounds. Our Guidelines provide that the committee shall evaluate each director—s continued service on the Board, at least annually, by considering the appropriate skills and characteristics of members of the Board of Directors in the context of the then current makeup of the Board. This assessment includes the following factors: diversity (including diversity of skills, background and experience); age; business or professional background; financial literacy and expertise; availability and commitment; independence and other criteria that the committee or the full Board finds to be relevant. It is also the practice of the committee to consider these factors when screening and evaluating candidates for nomination to the Board.

18

Nominees for Director

Each of the following current directors has been nominated to serve an additional one-year term on the Board of Directors with such term expiring in 2020.

Robert W. Best, formerly Chairman of the Board of Atmos Energy from April 2013 through September 2017 and Executive Chairman of the Board of Atmos Energy from October 2010 through March 2013; currently a director of Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Limited. Mr. Best, 72, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 1997.

Mr. Best led the senior management team of Atmos Energy from March 1997 until his retirement as the Executive Chairman in April 2013. Prior to joining Atmos Energy, Mr. Best had an extensive background in the natural gas industry, especially in the interstate pipeline, gas marketing and gas distribution segments of the industry, while serving in leadership roles at Consolidated Natural Gas Company, Transco Energy Company and Texas Gas Transmission Corporation during his almost 40-year career. Mr. Best also has outside board experience as a member of the boards of the Maguire Energy Institute in the Cox School of Business at Southern Methodist University, Associated Electric & Gas Insurance Services Limited and the Gas Technology Institute, with leadership experience as chairman of the boards of Atmos Energy, the American Gas Association, the Southern Gas Association and the Dallas Regional Chamber of Commerce. Mr. Best s knowledge and expertise in the energy industry and leadership abilities developed while with Atmos Energy, other energy companies and industry associations, as well as his demonstration of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, has led the Board to nominate Mr. Best to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

19

Kim R. Cocklin, Executive Chairman of the Board since October 1, 2017; formerly Chief Executive Officer of Atmos Energy from October 2015 through September 2017 and President and Chief Executive Officer of Atmos Energy from October 2010 through September 2015. Mr. Cocklin, 67, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2009.

Mr. Cocklin was appointed as Executive Chairman of the Board, effective October 1, 2017, after having served as Chief Executive Officer or President and Chief Executive Officer from October 2010 through September 2017. Mr. Cocklin has served on the Company s senior management team since June 2006, having served as President and Chief Operating Officer from October 2008 through September 2010, Senior Vice President, Regulated Operations from October 2006 through September 2008 and Senior Vice President from June 2006 through September 2006. Mr. Cocklin has over 35 years of experience in the natural gas industry, most of that serving in senior management positions at Atmos Energy, Piedmont Natural Gas Company and The Williams Companies. Mr. Cocklin has a strong background in the natural gas industry, including interstate pipeline companies, local distribution companies and gas treatment facilities. He also has extensive experience in rates and regulatory matters, business development and Sarbanes-Oxley compliance matters. In addition, Mr. Cocklin has held leadership roles within leading natural gas industry associations, including the Southern Gas Association and the American Gas Association. Due to his professional experience in the energy industry and leadership roles with Atmos Energy, other energy companies and industry associations, as well as possessing those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Cocklin to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

Kelly H. Compton, Executive Director of The Hoglund Foundation in Dallas, Texas since 1992. Ms. Compton, 61, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2016.

Ms. Compton has been a philanthropic leader for over 25 years with The Hoglund Foundation, which partners with education and family support agencies in Dallas, Texas. Prior to managing operations for The Hoglund Foundation, Ms. Compton served as Vice President of Commercial Lending for NationsBank Texas and its predecessors for 13 years. Ms. Compton also currently serves on the Board of Trustees for the Perot Museum of Nature and Science, the Board of Trustees for Southern Methodist University and the Board of Directors for Momentous Institute. As a result of Ms. Compton s leadership abilities and experience in public and private finance, development and strategic matters, in addition to displaying those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Ms. Compton to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

20

Sean Donohue, Chief Executive Officer of Dallas Fort Worth International Airport since 2013. Mr. Donohue, 57, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2018.

In his role as Chief Executive Officer of Dallas Fort Worth International Airport (the Airport), Mr. Donohue is responsible for the management, operation and future strategy and development of the Airport. Mr. Donohue joined the Airport following a 28-year career in the airline industry. Prior to his arrival at the Airport, Mr. Donohue served for three years as the Chief Operating Officer for Virgin Australia Airlines, where he led day-to-day operations for Australia s second largest air carrier. Prior to that, Mr. Donohue served for 25 years with United Airlines in a variety of executive roles that included operations, sales and commercial startups. As a result of Mr. Donohue s leadership abilities and experience in strategy and development matters, in addition to displaying those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Donohue to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

Rafael G. Garza, President and Founder of RGG Capital Partners, LLC in Ft. Worth, Texas since 2000, and Co-Founder and Managing Director of Bravo Equity Partners, LP; currently a director of Inter National Bank, Vantage Bancorp and Vantage Bank Texas. Mr. Garza, 58, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2016.

For Bravo Equity Partners, a private investment company, Mr. Garza has been responsible for managing various portfolio companies with a particular focus on the U.S. and Mexico. Prior to working with Bravo Equity Partners, Mr. Garza held numerous senior leadership positions with Ernst & Young s Audit and Advisory and Corporate Finance divisions. Mr. Garza also has served as a leader on the boards of several non-profit organizations, including Texas Christian University, the Modern Art Museum of Fort Worth and the Lena Pope Home. Mr. Garza s in-depth experience with financial management and strategic planning, his leadership abilities and his display of the attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section have resulted in the Board s nomination of Mr. Garza to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

21

Richard K. Gordon, General Partner of Juniper Capital LP in Houston, Texas since March 2003, General Partner of Juniper Energy LP in Houston, Texas since August 2006, co-founder of Juniper Capital II in Houston, Texas since September 2014; and General Partner of Juniper Capital III in Houston, Texas since November 2017; currently a director of ExoStat Medical, Inc. Mr. Gordon, 69, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2001.

For private equity funds Juniper Capital LP, Juniper Energy LP, Juniper Capital II and Juniper Capital III, Mr. Gordon has been responsible for managing various portfolios that collectively include power generation, mineral, oil and gas, natural gas gathering and oilfield services assets. Prior to working with Juniper Capital, Juniper Energy, Juniper Capital II and Juniper Capital III, Mr. Gordon spent 29 years working with such financial services firms as Dillon, Read & Co., The First Boston Corporation and Merrill Lynch & Co. At such firms, Mr. Gordon was responsible for investment banking activities related to energy and power companies, including natural gas distribution companies. Based upon his extensive business experience in investment banking and the energy industry, his in-depth leadership experience as the Lead Director of the Company and as the former Chair of the HR Committee and as a member of the board of ExoStat Medical, Inc., as well as possessing those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Gordon to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

Robert C. Grable, founding Partner, Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP in Fort Worth, Texas since April 1979. Mr. Grable, 72, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2009.

Mr. Grable possesses advanced leadership skills developed as partner and one of seven founders of Kelly Hart & Hallman LLP, a large regional law firm. Mr. Grable has extensive experience in representing companies in the oil and gas industry, having represented oil and gas producers, pipelines and utilities in transactions, regulatory matters and litigation, for over 40 years. Mr. Grable also has outside board experience as a Trustee of the University of Texas Law School Foundation and as an advisory board member for the local division of a global financial services firm. Mr. Grable is also a member of the McDonald Observatory and Astronomy Board of Visitors at the University of Texas at Austin. As a result of his extensive legal experience with clients in the energy industry and leadership experience with boards of for-profit and non-profit organizations, as well as possessing those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Grable to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

22

Michael E. Haefner, President and Chief Executive Officer since October 1, 2017; formerly President and Chief Operating Officer from October 2015 through September 2017, Executive Vice President from January 2015 through September 2015 and Senior Vice President, Human Resources from June 2008 to January 2015. Mr. Haefner, 58, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2015.

Mr. Haefner was promoted to President and Chief Executive Officer on October 1, 2017, after having served as President and Chief Operating Officer from October 2015 through September 2017 and as Executive Vice President from January 2015 through September 2015. Mr. Haefner has served on Atmos Energy s senior management team since he joined the Company as its Senior Vice President, Human Resources in June 2008. Mr. Haefner served previously as Senior Vice President, Human Resources for Sabre Holdings Corporation. He has over a 30-year work history with broad experience in dynamic industries and over half of his career has been in senior management and critical leadership positions. Mr. Haefner s innovative initiatives, continued strengthening of the Company s culture and leadership as well as his demonstration of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, has led the Board to nominate Mr. Haefner to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

Nancy K. Quinn, independent energy consultant since July 1996; currently a director and chair of the audit committee of Helix Energy Solutions Group, Inc., a New York Stock Exchange company, and formerly a director and chair of the audit committee of Endeavour International Corporation.

Ms. Quinn, 65, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2004.

Ms. Quinn provides senior financial and strategic advice, primarily to clients in the energy and natural resources industries. Prior to 2000, Ms. Quinn also held a senior advisory role with the Beacon Group, focusing on energy industry private equity opportunities and merger and acquisition transactions. Ms. Quinn gained extensive experience in independent exploration and production, as well as in diversified natural gas and oilfield service sectors, while holding leadership positions at PaineWebber Incorporated and Kidder, Peabody & Co. Incorporated. Ms. Quinn has extensive corporate governance leadership experience as Chair of the HR Committee as well as the former Lead Director and Chair of the Audit Committee of Atmos Energy, and as a member of the board and chair of the audit committee of Helix Energy Solutions Group. Ms. Quinn was also previously a member of the boards of Endeavour International, Louis Dreyfus Natural Gas Corp. and DeepTech International Inc. The Board has nominated Ms. Quinn, based upon her considerable experience in the natural gas industry, her demonstrated leadership abilities as a board leader in several public companies and her exhibition of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

23

Richard A. Sampson, General Partner and Founder of RS Core Capital, LLC, a registered investment advisory firm in Denver, Colorado since January 2013, formerly Managing Director and Client Adviser of JPMorgan Chase & Co. in New York, San Francisco and Denver from May 2006 to May 2012. Mr. Sampson, 68, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2012.

Mr. Sampson held numerous senior leadership positions with JPMorgan Chase, a global financial services firm, through which he gained extensive knowledge of portfolio management, investment concepts, strategies and analytical methodologies. Mr. Sampson s experience of over 30 years in investment management has provided him with an understanding of global and domestic macroeconomics and capital market issues, financial markets, securities and a solid understanding of state and federal laws, regulations and policies. In addition to his display of the attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, his substantial experience in investment management, his leadership as Chair of the Audit Committee and his knowledge of complex financial transactions, has led the Board to nominate Mr. Sampson to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

Stephen R. Springer, retired. Formerly Senior Vice President and General Manager, Midstream Division, The Williams Companies, Inc. Mr. Springer, 72, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2005.

Mr. Springer s professional career includes 32 years of experience in the regulated and nonregulated energy industry, while holding leadership roles at Texas Gas Transmission Corporation, Transco Energy Company and The Williams Companies. Mr. Springer s knowledge of the natural gas industry is based on his experience in the natural gas transmission, marketing, supply, transportation, business development, distribution and gathering and processing segments of the industry. Mr. Springer has outside board experience as an honorary director on the Indiana University Foundation Board and formerly on the board of DCP Midstream Partners, LP, a New York Stock Exchange company. The Board has nominated Mr. Springer to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy in light of his considerable experience in the natural gas industry, his leadership abilities developed while with The Williams Companies and service on the boards of other public companies, and non-profit institutions, as well as his exhibition of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section.

24

Diana J. Walters, Founder and Managing Partner of 575 Grant LLC since 2014. Ms. Walters, 55, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2018.

Ms. Walters has more than 30 years of experience in the natural resources sector, as an investment banker and equity investor, and in other roles within the sector. Ms. Walters is the owner and sole manager of 575 Grant LLC, a company that provides advisory services in the field of natural resources. She served as the President of Liberty Metals & Mining Holdings, LLC and as a member of senior management of Liberty Mutual Asset Management from January 2010 to September 2014. She was a Managing Partner of Eland Capital, LLC, a natural resources advisory firm founded by her, from 2007 to 2010. Ms. Walters has extensive investment experience with both debt and equity through various leadership roles at Credit Suisse, HSBC and other firms. She also served previously as Chief Financial Officer of Tatham Offshore Inc., an independent oil and gas company with assets in the Gulf of Mexico. As a result of Ms. Walters leadership abilities and investments experience, in addition to displaying those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Ms. Walters to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

Richard Ware II, Chairman of Amarillo National Bank in Amarillo, Texas since May 2014, formerly President of Amarillo National Bank from January 1982 to January 2018. Mr. Ware, 72, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 1994.

Mr. Ware has developed substantial knowledge of the financial services industry during his over 45-year career with a nationally recognized banking institution. Mr. Ware has a strong background in assessing and overseeing complex financial matters, as well as leadership experience in supervising principal financial officers and experience on the audit or finance committees of Atmos Energy, Southwest Coca Cola Bottling Company and the board of trustees of Southern Methodist University. Due to his valuable insight into financial-related matters gained through his extensive banking industry experience and demonstrated leadership, particularly in his past and present directorships, as well as his demonstration of those attributes discussed in the *Qualifications for Directors* section, the Board has nominated Mr. Ware to continue serving as a director of Atmos Energy.

The Board of Directors recommends that our shareholders vote FOR

each of the nominees named above for election to the Board.

25

Retiring Director

In accordance with the Guidelines of the Company concerning mandatory retirement of directors, Ruben E. Esquivel will retire from the Board of Directors following the annual meeting of shareholders on February 6, 2019.

Ruben E. Esquivel, Vice President for Community and Corporate Relations of UT Southwestern Medical Center in Dallas, Texas since December 1995. Mr. Esquivel, 75, has been a director of Atmos Energy since 2008.

Mr. Esquivel has led the community and corporate relations efforts for UT Southwestern, one of the nation s leading academic medical and research institutions, for over 20 years. During his previous 34-year career with AVO International, a manufacturer of test and measurement equipment for electrical power applications, including his service for over nine years as Chief Executive Officer, Mr. Esquivel gained valuable leadership and managerial experience. Mr. Esquivel also has served as a leader on the boards of publicly-held and non-profit organizations, including his past appointment as chairman of the Texas Guaranteed Student Loan Corporation, and chairman of several boards including the Dallas County Hospital District, North Texas Commission and YMCA of Metropolitan Dallas.

26

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Annual Compensation

As compensation for serving as a director during fiscal 2018, each of our non-employee directors received an annual retainer of \$75,000, payable in advance on a quarterly basis. In addition, our Lead Director, Mr. Gordon, received an additional annual fee of \$25,000, payable in advance on a quarterly basis, for additional services he provided in connection with being the Lead Director. As chair of the Audit Committee, Mr. Sampson was paid an additional annual fee of \$15,000 for additional services provided in connection with his committee duties and responsibilities. Ms. Quinn, the chair of the HR Committee, was paid an additional annual fee of \$12,500 for additional services provided in connection with her committee duties and responsibilities while the remainder of the chairs of the other Board committees were each paid an additional annual fee of \$10,000 for such additional services provided.

The Board of Directors makes the final determination on all non-employee director compensation, including without limitation, the annual retainer, additional annual fees for serving as Chairman, Lead Director and chairs of Board Committees, as well as long-term equity compensation. The Board makes its determination based on recommendations from the HR Committee, which are primarily founded on advice provided to the Committee by its independent executive compensation consultant, Pay Governance. Pay Governance provides a review to the HR Committee of director compensation programs of companies in the Company s proxy peer group. The HR Committee may not delegate to any third party its authority to recommend non-employee director and executive officer compensation.

At its meeting in November 2018, the Board of Directors approved changes to the annual and long-term compensation provided to our non-employee directors upon the recommendation of the HR Committee. The HR Committee made such recommendation based on a review of the results of a study produced and presented by Pay Governance regarding current practices in the payment of non-employee director compensation. As a result, effective October 1, 2018, the annual retainer paid to all non-employee directors has been increased from \$75,000 to \$100,000 and the annual grant of share units under the LTIP has been changed from a fixed amount of share units to a fixed value of \$150,000 of such units at the date of grant, which grants are made to each non-employee director 30 days after the date of each annual meeting of shareholders.

The Company also provides our non-employee directors the option to receive all or part of their director fees (in 10 percent increments) in Atmos Energy common stock through the LTIP in order to increase the proprietary interest of our non-employee directors in the Company s long-term prospects and the strategic growth of the Company. The common stock portion of the fee earned in each quarter is issued as soon as possible following the first business day of each quarter. The number of shares issued is equal to the amount of the fee that would have been paid to the non-employee director during a quarter divided by the fair market value (average of the highest and lowest prices as reported on the NYSE Consolidated Tape) on the first business day of such quarter. Only whole numbers of shares of common stock may be issued; fractional shares are paid in cash. Two of our directors elected this option during fiscal 2018.

With respect to other director compensation matters, all directors are reimbursed for reasonable expenses incurred in connection with attendance at Board and committee meetings. A director who is also an officer or employee receives no compensation for his or her service as a director. We provide business travel accident insurance for non-employee directors and their spouses. The policy provides \$100,000 coverage to directors and \$50,000 coverage to their spouses per accident while traveling on Company business.

27

Long-Term Compensation

Each non-employee director is also eligible to participate in the Atmos Energy Corporation Equity Incentive and Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors (Directors Plan). This plan allows each such director to defer receipt of his or her annual retainer fee or other director fees and to invest such deferred fees in either a cash account or a stock account (in 10 percent increments). The Directors Plan is intended to encourage qualified individuals to accept nominations as directors of the Company and to better align the interests between the non-employee directors and the Company s shareholders.

The amount of the fee allocated as a credit to the cash account is converted to a cash balance as of the first business day of each quarter to be credited with interest at a rate equal to two and a half percent (2.5%) plus the annual yield reported on a 10-year U.S. Treasury Note for the first business day of January for each plan year. Interest on the accumulated balance of the cash account is credited monthly. The amount of the fee allocated as a credit to the stock account is converted to share units. The fee payable for the quarter is converted to a number of whole and, if applicable, fractional share units on the first business day of that quarter. Share units are also credited with dividend equivalents whenever dividends are declared on shares of the Company s common stock. Such dividend equivalent credits are converted to whole and, if applicable, fractional share units on the same day on which such dividends are paid. At the time of a participating director s retirement, plan benefits paid from the cash account are paid in the form of cash. Plan benefits paid from the stock account are paid in the form of shares of common stock issued, which are equal in number to whole share units in the director s stock account. Any fractional share units are rounded up to a whole share unit prior to distribution. Each non-employee director also receives an annual grant of share units under the LTIP each year he or she serves on the Company s Board of Directors. The grants generally occur on the 30th day following the Company s annual meeting of shareholders each year and must be held until the director s retirement, in the same manner as share units under the Directors Plan.

Share Ownership Guidelines

To create more of a direct linkage with the financial performance of the Company and to further align the interests of the members of the Board with those of our shareholders, the Board has adopted share ownership guidelines for our non-employee directors that are set forth in the Guidelines. Within five years of his or her initial election to the Board, each non-employee director is required to acquire ownership of the Company s common stock with a value equal to at least three times the amount of such director s annual retainer. Share ownership positions include all shares held directly or indirectly by our non-employee directors as well as their share units held under our Directors Plan and the LTIP. All non-employee directors are in compliance with the Guidelines.

28

Summary of Cash and Other Compensation

The following table sets forth all compensation paid to our non-employee directors for fiscal 2018:

Director Compensation for Fiscal Year 2018(a)

			Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified		
N	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash	Stock Awards	Deferred Compensation Earnings	All Other Compensation	Total
Name	(\$)(b)	(\$)(c)	(\$)(d)	(\$)(e)	(\$)
Robert W. Best	75,000	239,400			314,400
Kelly H. Compton	75,000	239,400			314,400
Richard W. Douglas(f)	75,000	239,400			314,400
Ruben E. Esquivel	85,000	239,400	9,588	14,106	348,094
Rafael G. Garza	75,000	239,400			314,400
Richard K. Gordon	100,000	239,400			339,400
Robert C. Grable	85,201	239,400			324,601
Nancy K. Quinn	87,500	239,400	3	5	326,908
Richard A. Sampson	90,000	239,400			329,400
Stephen R. Springer	75,000	239,400			314,400
Richard Ware II	75,185	239,400			314,585

- (a) No stock options were awarded to our directors and no non-equity incentive plan compensation was earned by our directors in fiscal 2018. Mr. Donohue and Ms. Walters were elected to the Board effective November 1, 2018; accordingly, they earned no director compensation during fiscal 2018.
- (b) Non-employee directors may defer all or a part of their annual cash retainer under our Directors Plan. During fiscal 2018, Ms. Quinn and Mr. Esquivel elected to defer a portion of their director fees (a total of \$85,500), under such Plan, which amounts are included in this column and are described in the table below. Deferred amounts are invested, at the election of the participating director, either in a stock account or a cash account. Mr. Grable elected to forego the receipt in cash of a total of 30 percent of his director fees (\$25,500) and instead received shares of our common stock under our LTIP in fiscal 2018, while Mr. Ware elected to receive in lieu of cash a total of 70 percent of his director fees (\$52,500) in common stock under our LTIP. These shares do not contain any restrictions and were awarded on the first trading day of the quarter in which such fees were earned at the fair market value on that date. As a result of such elections, a total of 295 shares were issued to Mr. Grable and 610 shares to Mr. Ware on the following dates and at the following fair market values during fiscal 2018: (i) October 2, 2017, at a fair market value of \$84.17 per share; (ii) January 2, 2018, at a fair market value of \$85.56 per share; (iii) April 2, 2018, at a fair market value of \$83.51 per share and (iv) July 2, 2018, at a fair market value of \$90.13 per share. Fractional shares were paid in cash subsequent to the end of fiscal 2018.

- (c) The amounts in this column represent the fair market value on the date of grant, calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 of the 3,000 share units awarded to each of our non-employee directors under our LTIP for service on the Board in fiscal 2018 on March 9, 2018 at a fair market value of \$79.80 per share. As of the last day of fiscal 2018, no non-employee director held any stock options or unvested stock awards.
- (d) The amounts in this column represent the amount of above-market interest earned during fiscal 2018 on the accumulated amount of Board fees deferred to cash accounts. Interest considered above-market is the incremental rate of interest earned above 120 percent of the 10-year U.S. Treasury Note rate, which is reset on January 1 each year.
- (e) The amounts in this column represent the market rate of interest accrued during fiscal 2018 on the accumulated amount of board fees deferred to a cash account, including deferrals made to the cash account in fiscal 2018 for Ms. Quinn and Mr. Esquivel. No director received perquisites and other personal benefits with an aggregate value equal to or exceeding \$10,000 during fiscal 2018.
- (f) Mr. Douglas resigned from the Board effective August 6, 2018, as reported on the Company s Form 8-K filed with the SEC on August 8, 2018. Mr. Douglas received a distribution of all share units in his stock account under the LTIP following his departure from the Board. The Company issued to Mr. Douglas a total of 37,739 shares on August 6, 2018, with a total value of \$3,479,158, based on the fair market value of the shares on that date of \$92.19 per share.

29

Director Deferred Board Fees

The following table sets forth, for each participating non-employee director, the amount of director compensation deferred during fiscal 2018 and cumulative deferred compensation as of September 30, 2018:

Director Deferred Board Fees for Fiscal Year 2018

						Cumulative
		Dividend				Board Fees
		Equivalents	Cumulative			Deferred
	Board Fees	Earned on	Board Fees			to Cash
	Deferred	Stock Account	Deferred to	Board Fees	Interest	Account
	to Stock	and	Stock Account at	Deferred to	Earned on	at
	Account	Reinvested	September 30	Cash Account	Cash Accoun	September 30
Name	(\$)(a)	(\$)(b)	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)(c)	(\$)
Ruben E. Esquivel				68,000	23,694	516,653

Capital expenditures

\$217.8 \$153.6 \$71.1 \$42.6 \$37.0

Average daily COMEX price per pound of copper cathode

\$3.13 \$3.22 \$3.09 \$1.68 \$1.29

Average daily price per pound of aluminum rod

\$1.21 \$1.23 \$1.22 \$0.92 \$0.85

	December 31,									
		2008		2007		2006		2005		2004
Balance Sheet Data:										
Working capital ⁽⁴⁾	\$	1,066.7	\$	737.7	\$	739.1	\$	378.6	\$	298.0
Total assets		3,840.4		3,793.6		2,218.7		1,523.2		1,239.3
Total debt		1,446.6		1,398.8		740.6		451.6		374.9
Dividends to common										
shareholders										
Shareholders equity		708.4		676.9		434.4		293.3		301.4

operating results of PDIC since October 31, 2007 and the effects of the adoption of FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes.

- This period includes the effects of the adoption of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment, and SFAS No. 158, **Employers** Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of **FASB** Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R).
- includes the preliminary opening balance sheet figures for Silec as of December 31, 2005. Due to the purchase dates, the effects of the acquisitions on the statements of operations data were not material.
- (4) Working capital means current assets less current liabilities.

ITEM 7. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations (MD&A) is intended to help the reader understand General Cable Corporation s financial position, changes in financial condition, and results of operations. MD&A is provided as a supplement to the Company s Consolidated Financial Statements and the accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Notes) and should be read in conjunction with these Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes.

Overview

General Cable is a global leader in the development, design, manufacture, installation, marketing and distribution of copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products. The Company s operations are divided into three reportable segments: North America, Europe and North Africa and ROW.

The Company has a strong market position in each of the segments in which it competes due to product, geographic, and customer diversity and the Company s ability to operate as a low cost provider. The Company sells a wide variety of copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products, which it believes represents one of the most diversified product lines in the industry. As a result, the Company is able to offer its customers a single source for most of their wire and cable requirements. As of December 31, 2008, the Company manufactures its product lines in 46 facilities and sells its products worldwide through its global operations.

Certain statements in this report including, without limitation, statements regarding future financial results and performance, plans and objectives, capital expenditures and the Company s or management s beliefs, expectations or opinions, are forward-looking statements, and as such, General Cable desires to take advantage of the safe harbor which is afforded such statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. The Company s forward-looking statements should be read in conjunction with the Company s comments in this report under the heading, Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements. Actual results may differ materially from those statements as a result of factors, risks and uncertainties over which the Company has no control. For a list of some of these factors, risks and uncertainties, see Item 1A.

General Cable analyzes its worldwide operations based on three geographical reportable segments: 1) North America, 2) Europe and North Africa and 3) ROW. The following table sets forth net sales and operating income by geographic group for the periods presented, in millions of dollars:

	Year Ended December 31,								
(in millions)	2008		2007		2006				
Net sales:									
North America	\$	2,178.7	\$	2,243.7	\$	2,058.6			
Europe and North Africa		2,175.3		1,939.7		1,446.8			
ROW		1,876.1		431.4		159.7			
Total	\$	6,230.1	\$	4,614.8	\$	3,665.1			
Operating Income:									
North America	\$	122.5	\$	179.4	\$	128.9			
Europe and North Africa		162.2		162.4		101.9			
ROW		136.7		24.3		5.1			
Total	\$	421.4	\$	366.1	\$	235.9			

General Cable s reported net sales are directly influenced by the price of copper, and to a lesser extent, aluminum. The price of copper and aluminum as traded on the London Metal Exchange (LME) and COMEX has historically been subject to considerable volatility and, during the past few years, global copper prices have established new average

record highs as demonstrated in the table above at Item 1 Raw Materials Sources and Availability.

General Cable generally passes changes in copper and aluminum prices along to its customers, although there are timing delays of varying lengths depending upon the volatility of metals prices, the type of product, competitive conditions and particular customer arrangements. A significant portion of the Company s electric utility and telecommunications business and, to a lesser extent, the Company s electrical infrastructure business has metal escalators included in customer contracts under a variety of price setting and recovery formulas. The remainder of the Company s business requires that volatility in the cost of metals be recovered through negotiated price changes with customers. In these instances, the ability to change the Company s selling prices may lag the movement in metal prices by a period of time as the customer price changes are implemented. As a result of this and a number of other practices intended to match copper and aluminum purchases with sales, profitability over time has historically not been significantly affected by changes in copper and aluminum prices. General Cable hedges metal purchases but does not engage in speculative metals trading.

Table of Contents

The Company has experienced volatility on raw materials other than copper and aluminum used in cable manufacturing, such as insulating compounds, steel and wood reels, freight costs and energy costs. Generally, the Company attempts to adjust selling prices in most of its markets in order to offset the impact of this raw material price and other cost volatility, particularly in periods of rising costs. However, the Company s ability to ultimately realize price increases, in periods of rising costs, is influenced by competitive conditions in its markets, including manufacturing capacity utilization. In addition, a sudden rise in raw material prices when combined with the normal lag time between an announced customer price increase and its effective date in the market, may result in the Company not fully recovering these increased costs. If the Company were not able to adequately increase selling prices in a period of rising raw material costs, the Company may experience a decrease in reported earnings.

Current Business Environment

The wire and cable industry is competitive, mature and cost driven with minimal differentiation for many product offerings among industry participants from a manufacturing or technology standpoint. During recent years, the Company s end markets have recovered from the previous low points of demand experienced in 2003; however beginning in the fourth quarter of 2007 and continuing throughout 2008, an economic slowdown in the United States and slowing growth in certain European markets has resulted in lower demand during 2008 as compared to 2007. In the past several years, there has been significant merger and acquisition activity, which, the Company believes, has led to a reduction in inefficient, high cost capacity in the industry.

In addition to the factors previously mentioned, General Cable is currently being affected by the following macro-level trends:

Slowing global growth and in many markets recessionary conditions;

Weakness in demand for low-voltage electric utility products in North America and construction products in

Europe, particularly as a result of the accelerated deterioration in the Spanish construction markets;

Slowing demand and lower pricing across a broad spectrum of product lines in North America as

a result of weak economic conditions and heightened competitive environment;

Continued decline in demand for copper based telecommunication products;

Continued political uncertainty and currency volatility in certain developing markets;

Worldwide underlying long term growth trends in electric utility and infrastructure markets;

Continuing demand for natural resources, such as oil and gas, and alternative energy initiatives; and

Increasing demand for further deployment of submarine power and fiber optic communication systems.

The Company s overall financial results discussed in the following MD&A demonstrate the diversification of the Company s product offering. In addition to the aforementioned macro-level trends, the Company anticipates that the following trends may affect the financial results of the Company during 2009. The Company s working capital requirements have been and are expected to be impacted by continued volatile raw materials costs, including metals and insulating materials as well as freight and energy costs. Raw material costs, particularly copper and aluminum prices, have been and will likely continue to be volatile. Also, certain currencies around the world have been and are anticipated to remain volatile, particularly in developing markets located in certain countries in South America and Sub-Sahara Africa. Additionally, credit markets in the United States and other regions around the world have grown increasingly restrictive due to economic conditions and as a result access to capital will need to be actively managed, as more fully discussed below.

As part of General Cable s ongoing efforts to reduce total operating costs, the Company continuously evaluates its ability to more efficiently utilize existing manufacturing capacity. Such evaluation includes the costs associated with and benefits to be derived from the combination of existing manufacturing assets into fewer plant locations and the possible outsourcing of certain manufacturing processes. During 2006 and 2007, due to high utilization rates and strong economic conditions, no facility closures occurred. However, during the fourth quarter 2007, the Company rationalized outside plant telecommunication products manufacturing capacity due to continued declines in telecommunications cable demand. The Company closed a portion of its telecommunications capacity and recorded a pre-tax charge to write-off certain production equipment of \$6.6 million. This action freed approximately 100,000 square feet of manufacturing space to manufacture energy, industrial and construction cable products for the Central and South American markets as well as the local Mexican market. There were no facility closures during 2008.

General Cable believes its global investment in Lean Six Sigma (Lean) training, coupled with effectively utilized manufacturing assets, provides a cost advantage compared to many of its competitors and generates cost savings which help offset high raw material prices and other high general economic costs over time. In addition, General Cable s customer and supplier integration capabilities, one-stop selling and geographic and product balance are sources of competitive advantage. As a result, the Company believes it is well positioned, relative to many of its competitors, in the current business environment.

30

Table of Contents

As more fully discussed below in the Liquidity and Capital Resources section, the Company s current business environment encompasses credit markets in the United States and in certain other regions around the world that have grown increasingly restrictive. The Company has access to various credit facilities around the world and believes that it can adequately fund its global working capital requirements through both internal operating cash flow and use of the various credit facilities. Overall, the capital structure changes made in the recent years should allow the Company to maintain financial flexibility and a lower average effective interest rate on outstanding debt when compared to prior years. However, the Company anticipates upward pressure on interest rates on certain of its credit facilities outside of North America at the time of renewal in the coming year. Additionally, as a result of the significant and rapid decline in metal prices beginning in September 2008, the Company s working capital requirements are expected to be reduced resulting in significant operating cash flow generation which has been partially offset by lower account receivables and inventory values.

Acquisitions and Divestitures

General Cable actively seeks to identify key global macroeconomic and geopolitical trends in order to capitalize on expanding markets and new niche markets or exit declining or non-strategic markets in order to achieve better returns. The Company also sets aggressive performance targets for its business and intends to refocus or divest those activities, which fail to meet targets or do not fit long-term strategies. The results of operations of the acquired businesses discussed below have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the respective dates of acquisition. On June 30, 2008, the Company and its joint venture partner, A. Soriano Corporation (Anscor), announced that the Company acquired and consolidated Phelps Dodge Philippines (PDP) through an increase of its equity investment from 40% to 60%. The Company paid approximately \$16.4 million (at prevailing exchange rates) in cash to the sellers in consideration for the additional equity interest in PDP and incurred insignificant fees and expenses related to the transaction. PDP is a joint venture established in 1955 by Anscor, a Philippine public holding company with diverse investments, and Phelps Dodge International Corporation (PDIC), a subsidiary of the Company which was acquired in the fourth quarter of 2007. PDP employs approximately 277 associates and operates one of the largest wire and cable manufacturing facilities in the Philippines. The investment complements the Company s strategy in the region by providing a platform for further penetration into Southeast Asia markets as well as supporting ongoing operations in Australia, the Middle East and South Africa. In 2007, the last full year before the purchase of additional equity ownership, PDP reported net revenues of approximately \$100 million. Net assets and pro forma results of the PDP acquisition are immaterial.

On May 21, 2008, the Company entered a joint venture for majority ownership of E.P.E / EN.I.CA.BISKRA/SPA (Enica Biskra), an Algerian state-owned manufacturer of low and medium voltage power and construction cables. Enica Biskra employs approximately 1,000 associates and is a leading provider of utility cables to the principal Algerian state-owned power utility and gas producer. The Company paid approximately \$64.9 million in cash for its investment in Enica Biskra and assumed existing debt of \$43.0 million (at prevailing foreign currency exchange rates on the date of purchase). Fees and expenses related to the acquisition totaled approximately \$1.0 million. In 2007, the last full year before the joint venture was established, Enica Biskra reported net sales of approximately \$102.0 million (based on 2007 average exchange rates). Net assets and pro forma results of the Enica Biskra acquisition are immaterial.

On October 31, 2007, the Company acquired the worldwide wire and cable business of Freeport-McMoRan Copper and Gold, Inc., which operates as Phelps Dodge International (PDIC), located principally in Latin America, sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia. PDIC has manufacturing, distribution and sales facilities in 19 countries and nearly 3,000 employees. With more than 50 years of experience in the wire and cable industry, PDIC manufactures a full range of electric utility, electrical infrastructure, construction and communication products. The Company paid approximately \$707.6 million in cash to the sellers in consideration for PDIC and \$8.5 million in fees and expenses related to the acquisition. In 2006, the last full year before the acquisition, PDIC reported global net sales of approximately \$1,168.4 million (based on average exchange rates). Certain pro forma information has been provided in Note 3 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. Additionally, pro forma information and PDIC audited financial statements were previously provided on Current Reports on Form 8-K/A filed on November 1, 2007 and amended on January 14, 2008.

On April 30, 2007, the Company acquired Norddeutsche Seekabelwerke GmbH & Co. KG (NSW), located in Nordenham, Germany from Corning Incorporated. As a result of the transaction, the Company assumed liabilities in excess of the assets acquired, including approximately \$40.1 million of pension liabilities (based on the prevailing exchange rate at April 30, 2007). The Company recorded proceeds of \$28.0 million, net of \$0.8 million fees and expenses, which included \$12.3 million of cash acquired and \$5.5 million for settlement of accounts receivable. NSW had revenues of approximately \$120 million in 2006 (based on 2006 average exchange rates) and has approximately 400 employees. NSW offers complete solutions for submarine cable systems including manufacturing, engineering, seabed mapping, project management, and installation for the offshore communications, energy exploration, transmission, distribution, and alternative energy markets. Pro forma results of the NSW acquisition are not material.

31

Table of Contents

Critical Accounting Policies and Estimates

The Company s consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. A summary of significant accounting policies is provided in Note 2 to the Consolidated Financial Statements. The application of these policies requires management to make estimates and judgments that affect the amounts reflected in the consolidated financial statements. Management bases its estimates and judgments on historical experience, information that is available to management about current events and actions the Company may take in the future and various other factors that are believed to be reasonable under the circumstances. Actual results may differ from these estimates under different assumptions or conditions. The most critical judgments impacting the financial statements include those policies described below. In addition, significant estimates and judgments are also involved in the valuation allowances for sales incentives and accounts receivable; warranty, uncertain tax positions, legal, environmental, asbestos and customer reel deposit liabilities; assets and obligations related to other postretirement benefits; and self-insured workers compensation and health insurance reserves. Management believes these judgments have been materially accurate in the past and the basis for these judgments should not change significantly in the future. Management periodically evaluates and updates the estimates used in the application of its accounting policies, adjusts amounts in the consolidated financial statements as necessary and has discussed the development, selection and disclosure of these estimates with the Audit Committee of the Company s Board of Directors.

Inventory Costing and Valuation

General Cable utilizes the LIFO method of inventory accounting for the majority of its metals inventory. The Company s use of the LIFO method results in its consolidated statement of operations reflecting the current costs of metals, while metals inventories in the balance sheet are valued at historical costs as the LIFO layers were created. If LIFO inventory quantities are reduced in a period when replacement costs exceed the LIFO value of the inventory, the Company would experience an increase in reported earnings. Conversely, if LIFO inventory quantities are reduced in a period when replacement costs are lower than the LIFO value of the inventory, the Company would experience a decline in reported earnings. If the Company were not able to recover the LIFO value of its inventory in some future period when replacement costs were lower than the LIFO value of the inventory, the Company would be required to take a charge to recognize in its consolidated statement of operations an adjustment of LIFO inventory to market value.

The Company periodically evaluates the realizability of its inventory. In circumstances where inventory levels are in excess of anticipated market demand, where inventory is deemed to be technologically obsolete or not saleable due to its condition or where inventory costs exceed net realizable value, the Company records a charge to cost of sales and reduces the inventory to its net realizable value.

Pension Accounting

General Cable provides retirement benefits through contributory and non-contributory qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans covering eligible domestic and international employees as well as through defined contribution plans and other postretirement benefits. Benefits under General Cable squalified U.S. defined benefit pension plan generally are based on years of service multiplied by a specific fixed dollar amount, and benefits under the Company squalified non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans generally are based on years of service and a variety of other factors that can include a specific fixed dollar amount or a percentage of either current salary or average salary over a specific period of time. The amounts funded for any plan year for the qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan are neither less than the minimum required under federal law nor more than the maximum amount deductible for federal income tax purposes. General Cable s non-qualified unfunded U.S. defined benefit pension plans include a plan that provides defined benefits to select senior management employees beyond those benefits provided by other programs. The Company s non-qualified unfunded non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans include plans that provide retirement indemnities to employees within the Company s European business. Pension obligations for the non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans are provided for by book reserves and are based on local practices and regulations of the respective countries. General Cable makes cash contributions for the costs of the non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans as the benefits are paid.

Benefit costs for the defined benefit pension plans sponsored by General Cable are determined based principally upon certain actuarial assumptions, including the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on assets. The weighted-average discount rate used to determine the net pension cost for 2008 was 6.00% for the U.S. defined benefit pension plans. The weighted-average discount rate as of December 31, 2008 that was used to determine benefit obligations was 5.75% for the U.S. defined benefit pension plans, and was determined based on a review of long-term bonds that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized rating agency which are expected to be available during the period to maturity of the projected pension benefit obligations and based on information received from actuaries. The weighted-average discount rate used to determine the net pension cost for 2008 was 5.76% for the non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans. Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans followed a similar evaluation process based on financial markets in those countries where General Cable provides a defined benefit pension plan, and the weighted-average discount rate used to determine benefit obligations for General Cable s non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans was 5.91% as of December 31, 2008. General Cable s expense under both U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans is determined using the discount rate as of the beginning of the fiscal year, so 2009 expense for the defined benefit pension plans will be based on the weighted-average discount rate of 5.75% for U.S. plans and 5.91% for non-U.S. plans.

32

Table of Contents

The weighted-average long-term expected rate of return on assets is assumed to be 7.80% for 2009, reflecting an 8.50% weighted-average rate for the U.S. plans. The weighted-average long-term expected rate of return on assets is based on input from actuaries, including their review of historical 10-year, 20-year, and 25-year rates of inflation and real rates of return on various broad equity and bond indices in conjunction with the diversification of the asset portfolio. The expected long-term rate of return on assets for the qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan is based on an asset allocation assumption of 65% allocated to equity investments, with an expected real rate of return of 8%, and 35% to fixed-income investments, with an expected real rate of return of 2%, and an assumed long-term rate of inflation of 3%. The actual asset allocations were 56% of equity investments and 44% of fixed-income investments at December 31, 2008 and 64% of equity investments and 36% of fixed-income investments at December 31, 2007. The expected long-term rate of return on assets of 6.7% for qualified non-U.S. defined benefit plans is based on a weighted-average asset allocation assumption of 52% allocated to equity investments, 44% to fixed-income investments and 4% to other investments. The actual weighted-average asset allocations were 49% of equity investments, 47% of fixed-income investments and 4% of other investments at December 31, 2008 and 56% of equity investments, 40% of fixed-income investments and 4% of other investments at December 31, 2007. Management believes that long-term asset allocations on average and by location will approximate the Company's assumptions and that the long-term rate of return used by each country that is included in the weighted-average long-term expected rate of return on assets is a reasonable assumption.

The determination of pension expense for the qualified defined benefit pension plans is based on the fair market value of assets as of the measurement date. Investment gains and losses are recognized in the measurement of assets immediately. Such gains and losses will be amortized and recognized as part of the annual benefit cost to the extent that unrecognized net gains and losses from all sources exceed 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligation or the market value of assets.

General Cable evaluates its actuarial assumptions at least annually, and adjusts them as necessary. The Company uses a measurement date of December 31 for all of its defined benefit pension plans. In 2008, pension expense for the Company s defined benefit pension plans was \$8.2 million. Based on a weighted-average expected rate of return on plan assets of 7.80%, a weighted-average discount rate of 5.90% and various other assumptions, the Company estimates its 2009 pension expense for its defined benefit pension plans will increase approximately \$8.5 million from 2008. A 1% decrease in the assumed discount rate would increase pension expense by approximately \$1.8 million. Future pension expense will depend on future investment performance, changes in future discount rates and various other factors related to the populations participating in the plans. In the event that actual results differ from the actuarial assumptions, the funded status of the defined benefit pension plans may change and any such change could result in a charge or credit to equity and an increase or decrease in future pension expense and cash contributions. *Income Taxes*

The Company provides for income taxes on all transactions that have been recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. Under SFAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that it believes is more likely than not to be realized. The valuation of the deferred tax asset is dependent on, among other things, the ability of the Company to generate a sufficient level of future taxable income. In estimating future taxable income, the Company has considered both positive and negative evidence, such as historical and forecasted results of operations, including prior losses, and has considered the implementation of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. At December 31, 2008, the Company had recorded a net deferred tax asset of \$82.2 million (\$124.7 million net current deferred tax asset less \$42.5 million net long term deferred tax liability). The Company has and will continue to review on a quarterly basis its assumptions and tax planning strategies, and, if the amount of the estimated realizable net deferred tax asset is less than the amount currently on the balance sheet, the Company would reduce its deferred tax asset, recognizing a non-cash charge against reported earnings. Likewise, if the Company determines that a valuation allowance against a deferred tax asset is no longer appropriate, the adjustment to the valuation allowance would

reduce income tax expense. In 2008 and 2007, the Company determined that improved business performance, expectations of future profitability, and other relevant factors constituted sufficient positive evidence to recognize certain foreign and state deferred tax assets. Accordingly, the Company adjusted the valuation allowances and recognized income tax benefits of approximately \$3.2 million in 2008 and \$12.2 million in 2007.

33

Table of Contents

In July 2006, FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, was issued. This Interpretation clarifies accounting for uncertain tax positions in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements and provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition issues. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of Interpretation 48 decreased shareholders equity as of January 1, 2007 by approximately \$18.8 million. See Note 11 for additional information.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the income tax expense line in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. Accrued interest and penalties are included within the related tax liability line item in the consolidated balance sheet.

Revenue Recognition

The majority of the Company s revenue is recognized when goods are shipped to the customer, title and risk of loss are transferred, pricing is fixed and determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Most revenue transactions represent sales of inventory. A provision for payment discounts, product returns, warranty and customer rebates is estimated based upon historical experience and other relevant factors and is recorded within the same period that the revenue is recognized. The Company has a portion of long-term product installation contract revenue that is recognized based on the percentage-of-completion method generally based on the cost-to-cost method if there are reasonably reliable estimates of total revenue, total cost, and the extent of progress toward completion; and there is an enforceable agreement between parties who can fulfill their contractual obligations. The Company reviews contract price and cost estimates periodically as the work progresses and reflects adjustments proportionate to the percentage-of-completion to income in the period when those estimates are revised. For these contracts, if a current estimate of total contract cost indicates a loss on a contract, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined.

Business Combination Accounting

Acquisitions entered into by the Company are accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The purchase method requires management to make significant estimates. Management must determine the cost of the acquired entity based on the fair value of the consideration paid or the fair value of the net assets acquired, whichever is more clearly evident. The cost is then allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. In addition, management must identify and estimate the fair values of intangible assets that should be recognized as assets apart from goodwill as well as the fair value of tangible property, plant and equipment and intangible assets acquired.

Long-Lived Assets, Goodwill and Impairment

The valuation and classification of long-lived assets and the assignment of useful depreciable lives and salvage values involve significant judgments and the use of estimates. The testing of these long-lived assets for impairment also requires a significant amount of judgment and assumptions, particularly as it relates to identification of asset groups and the determination of fair market value. The Company periodically evaluates the recoverability of the carrying amount of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. The Company evaluates events or changes in circumstances based mostly on actual historical operating results, but business plans, forecasts, general and industry trends and anticipated cash flows are also considered. Impairment is assessed when the undiscounted expected future cash flows derived from an asset are less than its carrying amount. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the carrying value of an asset exceeds its fair value and are recognized in earnings. The Company also continually evaluates the estimated useful lives of all long-lived assets and, when warranted, revises such estimates based on current events.

The carrying value of goodwill and other intangible assets with indefinite lives are reviewed annually for possible impairment. The impairment review is incorporates both a market and income valuation approach. The income approach relies on a discounted cash flow model that requires significant management judgment with respect to sales, gross margin and expense growth rates, and selection and use of an appropriate discount rate. The use of different assumptions would increase or decrease estimated discounted future cash flows and could increase or decrease an impairment charge. The occurrence of unexpected events or changes in circumstances, such as adverse business conditions or other economic factors, would determine the need for impairment testing between annual impairment

tests.

Share-Based Compensation

There are certain employees with various forms of share-based payment awards for which the Company recognizes compensation costs for these awards based on their fair values. The fair values of certain awards are estimated on the grant date using the Black-Scholes option pricing formula, which incorporates certain assumptions regarding the expected term of an award and expected stock price volatility. The Company will develop the expected term assumption based on the vesting period and contractual term of an award, historical exercise and post-vesting cancellation experience, stock price history, plan provisions that require exercise or cancellation of awards after employees terminate, and the extent to which currently available information indicates that the future is reasonably expected to differ from past experience. The Company develops the expected volatility assumptions based on the monthly historical price data from the Company s common stock and other economic data trended into future years. After calculating the aggregate fair value of an award, the Company uses an estimated forfeiture rate to discount the amount of share-based compensation costs to be recognized in the operating results over the service period of the award. The Company develops the forfeiture assumption based on its historical pre-vesting cancellation experience. Key assumptions are described in further detail in Note 14 to the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

New Accounting Standards

A discussion of recently issued accounting pronouncements is described in Note 2, Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in Item 8 of Part II of this Report, and we incorporate such discussion in this MD&A by reference and make it a part hereof.

Results of Operations

The following table sets forth, for the periods indicated, consolidated statement of operations data in millions of dollars and as a percentage of net sales. Percentages may not add due to rounding.

	Year Ended December 31,							
	20	008	200		2006			
	Amount	%	Amount	%	Amount	%		
Net sales	\$ 6,230.1	100.0%	\$ 4,614.8	100.0%	\$ 3,665.1	100.0%		
Cost of sales	5,427.7	87.1%	3,952.1	85.6%	3,194.1	87.1%		
Gross profit Selling, general and	802.4	12.9%	662.7	14.4%	471.0	12.9%		
administrative expenses	381.0	6.1%	296.6	6.4%	235.1	6.4%		
Operating income	421.4	6.8%	366.1	7.9%	235.9	6.4%		
Other expense	(27.2)	(0.4)%	(3.4)	(0.1)%	(0.1)	%		
Interest expense, net	(55.8)	(0.9)%	(29.6)	(0.6)%	(35.6)	(1.0)%		
Loss on extinguishment of								
debt		%	(25.3)	(0.5)%		%		
Income before income taxes	338.4	5.4%	307.8	6.7%	200.2	5.5%		
Income tax provision	(112.7)	(1.8)%	(99.4)	(2.1)%	(64.9)	(1.8)%		
Minority interests in								
consolidated subsidiaries	(13.1)	(0.2)%	(0.2)	%		%		
Equity in net earnings of								
affiliated companies	4.6	0.1%	0.4	%		%		
Net income	217.2	3.5%	208.6	4.5%	135.3	3.7%		
Less: preferred stock								
dividends	(0.3)	%	(0.3)	%	(0.3)	%		
Net income applicable to								
common shareholders	\$ 216.9	3.5%	\$ 208.3	4.5%	\$ 135.0	3.7%		

Year Ended December 31, 2008 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2007

The net income applicable to common shareholders was \$216.9 million in 2008 compared to net income applicable to common shareholders of \$208.3 million in 2007. Generally, the increase in net income applicable to common shareholders was principally due to the Company s exposure to global infrastructure markets, the full year benefit of the acquisition of PDIC, the acquisition of Enica Biskra in May 2008 and favorable currency exchange translation all of which more than offset a decrease in North America operating results and higher net interest expense as a result of international working capital lines of credit supporting operations in the ROW segment and incremental borrowings in the Europe and North Africa segment related to the May 2008 acquisition of Enica Biskra. The net income applicable to common shareholders for 2008 included a pre-tax \$32.0 million lower of cost or market charge related to raw material metal inventory, a pre-tax \$2.4 million LIFO inventory quantity liquidation gain and a pre-tax \$27.2 million charge related to foreign currency transaction adjustments resulting principally from the sudden devaluation of certain

emerging market currencies in South America and Sub-Sahara Africa. In comparison, net income applicable to common shareholders for 2007 included a pre-tax \$4.5 million lower of cost or market charge related to raw material metal inventory, a pre-tax \$5.3 million benefit from the favorable resolution of customer project performance obligations, a \$6.6 million pre-tax charge related to the write-off of certain telecommunication production equipment, a pre-tax \$25.3 million loss on extinguishment of debt related to the tender offer on our \$285 million 9.5% Senior Notes and a benefit of \$5.7 million due to state deferred tax valuation allowance releases. Additionally, the 2007 net income available to common shareholders includes the benefit of two months of operations for the PDIC business acquired on October 31, 2007.

35

Table of Contents

Net Sales

The following tables set forth net sales, metal-adjusted net sales and metal pounds sold by segment, in millions. For the metal-adjusted net sales results, net sales for 2007 have been adjusted to reflect the 2008 copper COMEX average price of \$3.13 per pound (a \$0.09 decrease compared to the prior period) and the aluminum rod average price of \$1.21 per pound (a \$0.02 decrease compared to the prior period). Metal-adjusted net sales, a non-GAAP financial measure, are provided herein in order to eliminate the effect of metal price volatility from the comparison of revenues from one period to another. The comparable GAAP financial measure is set forth above. See previous discussion of metal price volatility in the Overview section.

	Net Sales Year Ended December 31,							
	2008 2007							
		Amount	%	Á	Amount	%		
North America	\$	2,178.7	35%	\$	2,243.7	49%		
Europe and North Africa	Ψ	2,175.3	35%	Ψ	1,939.7	42%		
ROW		1,876.1	30%		431.4	9%		
Total net sales	\$	6,230.1	100%	\$	4,614.8	100%		
			Metal-Adjuste	ed No	et Sales			
			Year Ended D					
		2008	}		200	7		
	1	Amount	%	A	Amount	%		
North America	\$	2,178.7	35%	\$	2,248.7	49%		
Europe and North Africa		2,175.3	35%		1,935.7	42%		
ROW		1,876.1	30%		376.2	9%		
Total metal-adjusted net sales	\$	6,230.1	100%		4,560.6	100%		
Metal adjustment					54.2			
Total net sales	\$	6,230.1		\$	4,614.8			
			Metal Pou	nds S	Sold			
	Year Ended Do							
	2008			2007				
		Pounds	%]	Pounds	%		
North America		366.8	33%		394.9	49%		
Europe and North Africa		346.5	32%		336.8	41%		
ROW		388.0	35%		79.8	10%		
Total metal pounds sold		1,101.3	100%		811.5	100%		

Net sales increased \$1,615.3 million to \$6,230.1 million, or 35%, in 2008 from 2007 while metal-adjusted net sales increased \$1,669.5 million, or 37%, in 2008 from 2007. The metals-adjusted net sales increase of \$1,669.5 million included \$1,601.2 million or 96.0% of the increase attributable to acquisitions, primarily related to the PDIC business which was acquired on October 31, 2007 and the previously mentioned acquisitions in the Europe and North Africa

segment. In addition to the impact of acquisitions, the increase in metal-adjusted net sales reflects the favorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$110.5 million and increases in selling prices/product mix improvements of approximately \$206.3 million. These increases are partially offset by a decrease in sales volume of approximately \$223.5 million. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, increased by 289.8 million pounds, or 36%, in 2008 compared to 2007 due primarily to acquired businesses. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, metal pounds sold decreased by 39.6 million pounds or 4.9% as more fully described below. Metal pounds sold is provided herein as the Company believes this metric to be a consistent year over year measure of sales volume since it is not impacted by metal prices or foreign currency exchange rate changes. Generally, the Company has attempted to recover higher metal costs and inflation on non-metals raw materials used in cable manufacturing, such as insulating compounds and steel and wood reels, as well as increased freight and energy costs through increased selling prices. Metal-adjusted net sales in the North America segment decreased \$70.0 million, or 3.1%, in 2008 compared to 2007. Lower sales volume of approximately \$159.7 million was primarily the result of ongoing weak economic conditions in the United States and continued softness in demand for electric utility distribution and transmission cables combined with an overall decrease in demand for copper intensive outside plant telecommunications cable from the Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOCs) and communications distribution products. This lower sales volume was partially offset by favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$4.7 million, principally related to the Canadian dollar, and product mix improvement of approximately \$94.3 million. In general, for much of the year, the Company increased selling prices to recover higher metal costs, inflation on non-metals raw materials and increased freight and energy costs.

36

Table of Contents

The following additional trends in 2008 also affected the results of North America. Weakness in the housing industry in the United States continued to negatively impact the demand for low-voltage and smaller gauge size cables used in electric power distribution. While the passage of energy legislation in the United States in 2005 aimed at improving the transmission grid infrastructure is expected to contribute to the increase in demand for the Company's products over time, growth rates continue to be and are prospectively expected to be highly variable depending on related product business cycles and the approval and funding cycle times for large utility projects. The Company believes that utilities may also be curtailing capital expenditures or taking a more guarded approach to grid reliability problems in the face of the economic conditions and tightened credit markets in the United States. Demand trends for telecommunication products from the RBOCs continue to decline due to the RBOCs broadband investment strategy exacerbated by the weakness in the U.S. housing market as well as RBOC merger activity, allocation of capital to fiber-to-the-home initiatives, and budgetary constraints caused partially by higher copper costs has reduced both RBOC and distributor purchasing volume in this segment. The negative trends discussed above have been partially offset by increasing demand for alternative energy products as well as products used for energy exploration in the mining, oil, gas, and petrochemical markets, a trend the Company expects to continue over the long-term partly as a result of volatile energy prices and federal government economic stimulus plans.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the Europe and North Africa segment increased \$239.6 million, or 12.4%, in 2008 compared to 2007. The increase includes \$136.0 million of net sales attributable to the results of acquired businesses. In addition to the impact from acquisitions, the increase reflects selling price increases in excess of higher metal costs and other inputs and product mix improvement of approximately \$53.2 million and favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$147.2 million, primarily due to the strength of the Euro relative to the dollar. Excluding acquisitions, these increases were partially offset by a decrease in volume of approximately \$54.2 million. Lower demand for low-voltage and building wire products in the Spanish domestic construction market has been partially offset by stronger electric utility and electrical infrastructure demand throughout Europe, particularly, demand for medium-voltage high-voltage and extra-high-voltage cables to upgrade the electricity grid as well as projects involving submarine energy cables and other alternative energy projects for much of the year. European markets in general have weakened near the end of the year and are expected to remain relatively weak into 2009. Metal-adjusted net sales in the ROW segment increased \$1,499.9 million in 2008 compared to 2007. The increase reflects the inclusion of recent acquisitions, accounting for \$1,465.2 million of the metals-adjusted net sales increase. Acquisition related sales of electrical infrastructure and electric utility products were strong, particularly in the

Acquisition related sales of electrical infrastructure and electric utility products were strong, particularly in the developing countries of Central and South America where there continues to be a high level of construction and mining activity as well as programs to bring electricity further into the rural areas, such as Brazil s Lights for All program. A favorable price and product mix of \$59.7 million has been offset by unfavorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$41.4 million, primarily due to the devaluation of most emerging market currencies in South America and Sub-Sahara Africa relative to the dollar.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased \$139.7 million, or 21%, in 2008 from 2007. Gross profit as a percentage of metal-adjusted net sales was 12.9% for 2008 and was 14.5% for 2007. Additionally, the acquisition of PDIC accounted for \$230.8 million or 28.8% of gross profit for 2008. The reduction in gross profit margin on a metal-adjusted net sales basis is principally related to the lower of cost or market accounting related charges of \$32.0 million in 2008 and the general economic slowdown experienced in the North America segment resulting in lower plant utilization, softening end user demand and an unfavorable pricing environment on certain electric utility products for most of the year.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$84.4 million, or 28.5%, in 2008 from 2007. Approximately \$75.0 million or 89% of the increase is related to acquired businesses and strategic employee additions throughout the Company in order to support the Company is growth initiatives and to increase process capability. The increase in SG&A costs was also due in part to unfavorable foreign currency exchange rates in 2008 of \$7.8 million. Reported SG&A was 6.1% of net sales in 2008, an improvement as compared to the prior year, at 6.5% of metal-adjusted net sales in 2007.

37

Table of Contents

Operating Income

The following table sets forth operating income by segment, in millions of dollars.

Operating Income Year Ended December 31,

	rear Ended December 31,								
	2008			2007					
	A	mount	%	A	mount	%			
North America	\$	122.5	29%	\$	179.4	49%			
Europe and North Africa		162.2	39%		162.4	44%			
ROW		136.7	32%		24.3	7%			
Total operating income	\$	421.4	100%	\$	366.1	100%			

Operating income increased \$55.3 million to \$421.4 million in 2008 from \$366.1 million in 2007. This increase is primarily attributable to the Company s ROW segment which increased operating income by \$112.4 million, primarily as a result of the acquisition of PDIC, as well as a \$12.2 million favorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes, a global selling price and product mix improvement and ongoing Lean manufacturing cost containment and efficiency efforts. These increases are partially offset by a \$32.0 million lower of cost or market adjustment primarily related to raw material metal inventory and the \$56.9 million decrease in the operating result of the Company s North America segment.

The decrease in operating income for the North America segment of \$56.9 million is largely the result of lower volume as a result of continued softness in demand for the segment—s electric utility and certain communication products as well as higher raw material and transportation costs. Persistent softness in the housing market has had a negative impact on the demand for low-voltage and smaller gauge size cables used in electric power distribution as well as copper-based telecommunication products used by RBOC—s in new housing starts. A broad spectrum of other product lines in North America also experienced reduced demand and pricing pressure as a result of the weak economy and competitive environment as well as increased raw material and energy input costs for most of the year. Operating income for the Europe and North Africa segment decreased \$0.2 million in 2008 from 2007. Increased selling prices in excess of higher metals costs and other cost inputs for the year, positive product mix changes and the favorable impact of \$12.1 million of foreign currency exchange rate changes helped to offset a raw material metal inventory lower of cost or market adjustment of \$8.0 million and continued softness in demand for residential low-voltage cables and building wire due to the economic slowdown in the Spanish housing market. Additionally, the prior year included the benefit from a \$5.3 million favorable resolution of customer project performance obligations during 2007.

Operating income for the ROW segment increased \$112.4 million in 2008 from 2007. The increase in operating income was primarily due the inclusion of a full year of operating results of the acquired PDIC business. This increase in operating income has been offset by raw material metal inventory lower of cost or market adjustments of approximately \$23.6 million.

Other Expense

Other expense of \$27.2 million in 2008 and \$3.4 million in 2007 is principally comprised primarily of foreign currency transaction losses that resulted from changes in exchange rates between the designated functional currency and the currency in which a transaction is denominated. The change year over year is primarily the result of the rapid and significant devaluation of certain emerging market currencies principally in South America and Sub-Sahara Africa during the period from mid-September through the end of October.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense increased to \$55.8 million in 2008 from \$29.6 million in 2007. The increase in interest expense is due to higher average debt levels in 2008 as compared to 2007, primarily related to the October 2007 issuance of the Company s \$475.0 million 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes to partially fund the PDIC acquisition, the addition of PDIC credit facilities supporting operations in the ROW segment and additional borrowings in Europe related to the

May acquisition of Enica Biskra as well as increased borrowing on the Company s Amended Credit Facility throughout the year. The Company also reported less interest income in 2008 as a result of using existing cash to partially fund the PDIC acquisition and lower interest rates earned on cash. These increases were partially offset by a year over year reduction in interest rates on the Company s \$125.0 million floating rate Senior Notes.

38

Table of Contents

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

During 2007, the Company recognized a pre-tax loss on the extinguishment of debt of approximately \$25.3 million, consisting of a \$20.5 million inducement premium, related fees and expenses and the write-off of approximately \$4.8 million in unamortized fees and expenses due to the tender offer and redemption of approximately \$280.2 million of the Company s \$285.0 million in 9.5% Senior Notes during the first quarter of 2007 and the redemption of the remaining \$4.8 million outstanding 9.5% Senior Notes in November of 2007. See the Debt and Other Contractual Obligations discussion below for additional information.

Tax Provision

The Company s effective tax rate for 2008 and 2007 was 33.3% and 32.3%, respectively. The effective tax rates for 2008 and 2007 were impacted by the recognition of approximately \$3.2 million and \$12.2 million, respectively, of certain foreign and state deferred tax assets due to improved profitability in the relevant jurisdictions.

Preferred Stock Dividends

During 2008 and 2007, the Company accrued and paid \$0.3 million in dividends on its Series A preferred stock.

Year Ended December 31, 2007 Compared with Year Ended December 31, 2006

The net income applicable to common shareholders was \$208.3 million in 2007 compared to net income applicable to common shareholders of \$135.0 million in 2006. The net income applicable to common shareholders for 2007 included a \$0.3 million dividend on the Series A preferred stock, a pre-tax \$4.5 million lower of cost or market charge related to raw material inventory, a pre-tax \$5.3 million benefit from the favorable resolution of customer project performance obligations, \$2.0 million in additional compensation expense from adopting SFAS 123(R), a \$6.6 million pre-tax charge related to the write-off of certain telecommunication production equipment, a pre-tax \$25.3 million loss on extinguishment of debt related to the tender offer on our \$285 million 9.5% Senior Notes and a benefit of \$5.7 million due to state deferred tax valuation allowance releases. Additionally, the 2007 net income available to common shareholders includes the benefit of two months of operations for the PDIC business acquired on October 31, 2007.

The net income applicable to common shareholders for 2006 included a \$0.3 million dividend on the Series A preferred stock, \$1.1 million in additional compensation expense from adopting SFAS 123(R), a pre-tax charge of \$1.0 million to settle a patent dispute with a competitor and a benefit of \$6.3 million due to deferred tax valuation allowance releases.

Net Sales

The following tables set forth net sales, metal-adjusted net sales and metal pounds sold by segment, in millions. For the metal-adjusted net sales results, net sales for 2006 have been adjusted to reflect the 2007 copper COMEX average price of \$3.22 per pound (a \$0.13 increase compared to the prior period) and the aluminum rod average price of \$1.23 per pound (a \$0.01 increase compared to the prior period). Metal-adjusted net sales, a non-GAAP financial measure, are provided herein in order to eliminate the effect of metal price volatility from the comparison of revenues from one period to another. The comparable GAAP financial measure is set forth above. See previous discussion of metal price volatility in the Overview section.

	Net Sales							
			Year Ended D	ecen	nber 31,			
		2007	2006					
	A	Amount	%	1	Amount	%		
North America	\$	2,243.7	49%	\$	2,058.6	56%		
Europe and North Africa		1,939.7	42%		1,446.8	40%		
ROW		431.4	9%		159.7	4%		
Total net sales	\$	4,614.8	100%	\$	3,665.1	100%		

Metal-Adjusted Net Sales

Mat Calas

T 7	T 1 1	D 1	0.1
Vear	Hnded	December	4 I
1 Cai	Liiucu	December	J1.

	Teal Ended December 51,						
	2007			2006			
	Amount		%	Amount		%	
North America	\$	2,243.7	49%	\$	2,100.4	56%	
Europe and North Africa		1,939.7	42%		1,475.5	40%	
ROW		431.4	9%		164.5	4%	
Total metal-adjusted net sales		4,614.8	100%		3,740.4	100%	
Metal adjustment					(75.3)		
Total net sales	\$	4,614.8		\$	3,665.1		
		39					

Table of Contents

Metal Pounds Sold Year Ended December 31.

	T var Znovo z vvincer c 1,							
	2007	2006						
	Pounds	%	Pounds	%				
North America	394.9	49%	428.2	56%				
Europe and North Africa	336.8	41%	307.9	40%				
ROW	79.8	10%	28.2	4%				
Total metal pounds sold	811.5	100%	764.3	100%				

Net sales increased \$949.7 million, or 26%, in 2007 from 2006. After adjusting 2006 net sales to reflect the \$0.13 increase in the average monthly COMEX price per pound of copper and the \$0.01 increase in the average aluminum rod price per pound in 2007, net sales increased \$874.4 million, or 23%, in 2007 from 2006. The metals-adjusted net sales increase of \$874.4 million included \$436.2 million of sales attributable to acquisitions, primarily related to the PDIC business which was acquired on October 31, 2007 and previously mentioned acquisitions in Europe. In addition to the impact of acquisitions, the increase in metal-adjusted net sales reflects the favorable impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$172 million and increases in selling prices/product mix improvements of approximately \$482 million. These increases are partially offset by a decrease in sales volume of approximately \$213 million. Volume, as measured by metal pounds sold, increased by 47.2 million pounds, or 6%, in 2007 compared to 2006 due to acquired businesses. Excluding the impact of acquisitions, metal pounds sold decreased by 43.8 million pounds. Metal pounds sold is provided herein as the Company believes this metric to be a consistent year over year measure of sales volume since it is not impacted by metal prices or foreign currency exchange rate changes. Generally, the Company has attempted to recover higher metal costs and inflation on non-metals raw materials used in cable manufacturing, such as insulating compounds and steel and wood reels, as well as increased freight and energy costs through increased selling prices.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the North America segment increased \$143.3 million, or 7%, in 2007 compared to 2006. The increase reflects price and product mix improvement of approximately \$289 million and favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$19 million, principally related to the Canadian dollar. In general, the Company increased selling prices to recover continued higher metal costs, inflation on non-metals raw materials and increased freight and energy costs. However, contractual customer pricing did not allow for increases related to certain communications products. Through forward price agreements, the Company was economically hedged against this exposure and the lower selling prices did not materially impact the Company s financial results for 2007. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in sales volume of approximately \$164 million. The decrease in sales volume was primarily the result of an overall decrease in demand for outside plant telecommunications cable from the Regional Bell Operating Companies (RBOCs) and decrease in demand from the communications distribution market combined with a decrease in demand for electric utility distribution cables.

Continued weakness in the housing industry in the United States has had a negative impact on the demand for low-voltage and smaller gauge size cables used in electric power distribution during the second half of 2007. While the passage of energy legislation in the United States in 2005 aimed at improving the transmission grid infrastructure is expected to contribute to the increase in demand for the Company's products over time, growth rates are expected to be highly variable depending on related product business cycles and the approval and funding cycle times for large utility projects. Demand trends for telecommunication products from the RBOCs continue to be dependent on the selected strategy of their broadband rollout. Those favoring a copper/fiber hybrid model have been showing flat to marginally decreased demand, while those taking a fiber-to-the-home strategy continue to show weakness in demand for copper products. For example, total metal pounds shipped for copper based telecommunication products have decreased 29.3 million pounds in 2007 or approximately 36%. Demand trends continue to be affected by high copper prices, which make alternatives to copper-based cable and wire comparatively more affordable, and by RBOC merger activity and budgetary constraints. These decreases were partially offset by increasing demand for products used for

energy exploration in the mining, oil, gas, and petrochemical markets, a trend the Company expects to continue partly as a result of higher oil prices. Additionally, demand for low- and medium-voltage electrical infrastructure products driven by a continued turnaround in industrial construction spending contributed to volume growth, as did the expansion of the Company s customer base for its ignition wire sets.

Metal-adjusted net sales in the Europe and North Africa segment increased \$464.2 million, or 32%, in 2007 compared to 2006. The increase includes \$189 million of net sales attributable to the results of acquired businesses. In addition to the impact from acquisitions, the increase reflects selling price increases in excess of higher metal costs and other inputs and product mix improvement of approximately \$182 million and favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes of approximately \$131 million, primarily due to the strength of the Euro relative to the dollar. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in volume of approximately \$37 million. The volume decline was primarily due to lower demand for low-voltage products and building wire in the Spanish domestic construction market, partially offset by strong construction markets elsewhere in the European Union. The decrease in volume was also partially offset by higher demand for medium-voltage and high-voltage cables in Europe to upgrade the electricity grid. The Company expects to continue to experience strong demand for electric utility and industrial infrastructure products as well as its extra high-voltage underground systems over time.

Table of Contents

Metal-adjusted net sales in the ROW segment increased \$266.9 million, or 162%, in 2007 compared to 2006. The increase reflects the inclusion of recent acquisitions, accounting for \$247.1 million of the metals-adjusted net sales increase. Excluding the impact from acquisitions, the increase in metals-adjusted net sales reflects favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes, principally related to New Zealand and Australia, of approximately \$21 million and price and product mix improvement of \$14 million. These increases were partially offset by a decrease in volume of approximately \$16 million. The decline in volume was attributable to softer than expected demand in electric utility and electrical infrastructure products as it relates to the New Zealand building industry as well as increased competitor pressure with regard to price and delivery in Australia.

Gross Profit

Gross profit increased \$191.7 million, or 41%, in 2007 from 2006. Gross profit as a percentage of metal-adjusted net sales was 14.4% for 2007 and was 12.6% for 2006. Additionally, the acquisition of PDIC accounted for \$26.1 million or 4.0% of gross profit for 2007. The improved profit margin on metal-adjusted net sales was the result of increased selling prices to recover raw material costs, favorable product mix changes and improved efficiency as a result of continued Lean manufacturing initiatives.

Selling, General and Administrative Expense

Selling, general and administrative expense increased \$61.5 million, or 26%, in 2007 from 2006. The increase in SG&A was primarily related to incremental SG&A costs of acquired businesses and strategic employee additions throughout the Company in order to support the Company s growth initiatives and to increase process capability. Specifically, incremental SG&A costs of \$17.9 million related to the acquisition of PDIC. The increase in SG&A costs was also due in part to increased foreign currency exchange rates in 2007 compared to 2006. Reported SG&A was 6.4% of net sales in 2007, essentially flat compared to the prior year, at 6.3% of metal-adjusted net sales in 2006. *Operating Income*

The following table sets forth operating income by segment, in millions of dollars.

			Operating Year Ended D			
		2007	2006			
	A	mount	%	A	mount	%
North America	\$	179.4	49%	\$	128.9	55%
Europe and North Africa		162.4	44%		101.9	43%
ROW		24.3	7%		5.1	2%
Total operating income	\$	366.1	100%	\$	235.9	100%

Operating income increased \$130.2 million, or 55%, from 2006. The increase in operating income was primarily the result of increased selling prices to recover raw material costs, favorable product mix changes, ongoing Lean manufacturing cost containment and efficiency efforts, \$12.2 million due to the impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes and higher demand for certain of the Company s products. Additionally, results from acquired businesses accounted for \$21.0 million of the operating income increase.

Operating income for the North America segment increased \$50.5 million in 2007 from 2006. This improvement in operating income was due to selling price increases in excess of higher metals costs, raw material inflation and other cost inputs, improved product mix, improved product margins on certain utility cable, increased demand for certain products, primarily products used in mining, oil, gas and petrochemical applications and the reduction of costs as a result of continued efficiency gains obtained through the implementation of Lean Six Sigma manufacturing cost containment efforts During the fourth quarter 2007, the Company rationalized outside plant telecommunication products manufacturing capacity due to continued declines in telecommunications cable demand. The Company closed a portion of its telecommunications capacity located primarily at its Tetla, Mexico facility and has taken a pre-tax charge to write-off certain production equipment of \$6.6 million. This action will free approximately 100,000 square feet of manufacturing space, which the Company plans to utilize for other products for the Central and South

American markets.

Operating income for the Europe and North Africa segment increased \$60.5 million in 2007 from 2006. The improvement in operating income was due to the continued implementation of Lean Six Sigma cost saving initiatives, efficient manufacturing and high factory utilization rates. Also, results from acquired businesses accounted for \$17.3 million of the operating income increase. Increased selling prices in excess of higher metals costs and other cost inputs, positive product mix changes, increase sales volume for certain products and the impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes also contributed to the improved operating income. Additionally, the Company benefited from a \$5.3 million favorable resolution of customer project performance obligations during 2007.

41

Table of Contents

Operating income for the ROW segment increased \$19.2 million in 2007 from 2006. The increase in operating income was in part due to the acquired PDIC business which accounted for \$8.2 million, favorable foreign currency exchange rate changes combined with selling price increases in excess of higher metals costs and other cost inputs and other cost containment initiatives.

Other Expense

Other expense of \$3.4 million in 2007 and \$0.1 million in 2006 primarily represents foreign currency transaction losses, which resulted from changes in exchange rates between the designated functional currency and the currency in which the transaction is denominated.

Interest Expense

Net interest expense decreased to \$29.6 million in 2007 from \$35.6 million in 2006. The decrease in interest expense is primarily due to interest savings from the November 2006 pay down of the Company's outstanding balance on its floating-rate Amended Credit Facility with the proceeds from its fixed-rate 0.875% Convertible Notes and lower interest rates resulting from the March 2007 Senior Notes refinancing (see Loss on Extinguishment of Debt discussion which follows). Additionally, the decrease in net interest expense is a result of increased interest income from investments of the Company's excess cash. The decrease in net interest expense was partially offset by higher average debt levels in 2007 of \$428.3 million as compared to 2006, primarily related to the October 2007 issuance of the Company's 1.00% Convertible Notes.

Loss on Extinguishment of Debt

During 2007, the Company recognized a pre-tax loss on the extinguishment of debt of approximately \$25.3 million, consisting of a \$20.5 million inducement premium, related fees and expenses and the write-off of approximately \$4.8 million in unamortized fees and expenses due to the tender offer and redemption of approximately \$280.2 million of the Company s \$285.0 million in 9.5% Senior Notes during the first quarter of 2007 and the redemption of the remaining \$4.8 million outstanding 9.5% Senior Notes in November of 2007. See the Debt and Other Contractual Obligations discussion below for additional information.

Tax Provision

The Company s effective tax rate for 2007 and 2006 was 32.3% and 32.4%, respectively. The effective tax rates for 2007 and 2006 were reduced by the release of approximately \$12.2 million and \$6.3 million, respectively, of certain foreign and state deferred tax asset valuation allowances as it became more likely than not that the deferred tax assets would be utilized in future years as a result of improved profitability in the relevant jurisdiction.

Preferred Stock Dividends

During 2007 and 2006, the Company accrued and paid \$0.3 million in dividends on its Series A preferred stock.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

In general, General Cable requires cash for working capital, capital expenditures, investment in internal product development, debt repayment, salaries and related benefits, interest, Series A preferred stock dividends, repurchase of common shares and taxes. General Cable s working capital requirement decreases when it experiences softening incremental demand for products and/or a significant reduction in the price of copper, aluminum and/or other raw material cost inputs. Based upon historical experience, the cash on its balance sheet and the expected availability of funds under its current credit facilities, the Company believes its sources of liquidity will be sufficient to enable it to meet the Company s cash requirements for working capital, capital expenditures, debt repayment, salaries and related benefits, interest, Series A preferred stock dividends, repurchase of common shares and taxes for the next twelve months and foreseeable future.

General Cable Corporation is a holding company with no operations of its own. All of the Company s operations are conducted, and net sales are generated, by its subsidiaries and investments. Accordingly, the Company s cash flow comes from the cash flows of its global operations. The Company s ability to use cash flow from its international operations, if necessary, has historically been adversely affected by limitations on the Company s ability to repatriate such earnings tax efficiently.

Table of Contents

Summary of Cash Flows

Cash flow provided by operating activities in 2008 was \$229.4 million. This reflects net income before depreciation and amortization, foreign currency exchange loss, deferred income taxes, excess tax benefit from stock based compensation and loss on the disposal of property of \$352.5 million. Additionally, cash inflows resulted from decreases in accounts receivables and other assets of \$26.7 million and \$18.6 million, respectively, as well as a \$32.0 million lower of cost or market raw material inventory charge. The decrease in accounts receivables is partly due to demand trends, which are discussed below related to inventory, and to a lesser extent global selling prices in response to lower raw material costs in the fourth quarter of the year. The Company believes that its accounts receivable balances are collectible and the Company has established appropriate procedures to facilitate collection. The decrease in other assets is primarily the result of changes in the fair market value of commodity and foreign currency derivative assets. The lower of cost or market provision was recorded for copper and aluminum raw material inventory in which the replacement costs at the end of the year were lower than the LIFO value of the acquired copper and aluminum raw material inventory. These positive cash flows have been partially offset by a \$70.3 million increase in inventories and a \$130.1 million decrease in accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities. The increase in inventory reflects weaker demand in Europe specifically related to the Spanish market as well as weaker markets in the ROW segment near the end of the year. The Company is adjusting its production in these regions in order to balance inventory quantities in 2009. The inventory increases above were offset by a reduction in North America where demand weakness began in late 2007 allowing elevated North America inventories to be reduced in 2008. The decrease in accounts payable, accrued and other liabilities was a result of declining manufacturing activity in the later half of the year due to the lower demand for certain products mentioned previously as well as metal price volatility experienced in the fourth quarter of 2008. More specifically, the Company liquidated certain fixed dollar denominated obligations in emerging markets to reduce the overall currency exposure that resulted in currency devaluation charges in the latter part of 2008.

Cash flow used by investing activities was \$263.3 million in 2008, reflecting \$217.8 million of capital expenditures and \$50.3 million principally reflecting the Enica Biskra acquisition and the increase in equity ownership of PDP. The Company anticipates capital spending to be approximately \$120 to \$130 million in 2009, primarily supporting new products and capabilities in developing markets and alternative energy markets.

Cash flow provided by financing activities in 2008 was \$29.6 million. This cash inflow reflects the receipt of \$93.3 million of net additional borrowings in Europe and ROW to fund working capital, \$6.1 million of excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation, \$2.2 million from the exercise of stock options and \$124.7 million from borrowing under the Company s Amended Credit Facility. These cash inflows were partially offset by the repayment of the Company s Amended Credit Facility borrowings of \$184.7 million, the repurchase of common shares for \$11.7 million and the dividend payment on the Series A preferred stock of \$0.3 million. See the Debt and Other Contractual Obligations section below for details.

Debt and Other Contractual Obligations

The Company s outstanding debt obligations of \$1,446.6 million as of December 31, 2008 consisted of \$475.0 million of 1.00% Convertible Notes due in 2012, \$355.0 million of 0.875% Convertible Notes due in 2013, \$200.0 million of 7.125% Senior Notes due in 2017, \$125.0 million of Senior Floating Rate Notes due in 2015, \$64.1 million of Spanish Term Loans, \$84.9 million Silec credit facilities, \$71.5 million PDIC credit facilities, \$2.3 million in capital leases and \$68.8 million of various short and medium term loans. A separate description of our various borrowings, many of which are subject to certain collateral levels, is provided below and additional discussion is included at Note 9 to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

The Company s 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes were issued in September 2007 in the amount of \$475.0 million. The Notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers in reliance on Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). Subsequently, on April 16, 2008, the notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion of the notes were registered on a Registration Statement on Form S-3. The 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 1.00%, payable semi-annually in arrears, on April 15 and October 15, and mature in 2012. The 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis, by the Company s wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries. The estimated fair value of the 1.00% Senior

Convertible Notes was approximately \$285.0 million at December 31, 2008.

The Company s 0.875% Convertible Notes were issued in November of 2006 in the amount of \$355.0 million, pursuant to the Company s effective Registration Statement on Form S-3. The 0.875% Convertible Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 0.875%, payable semi-annually in arrears, on May 15 and November 15, and mature in 2013. As a result of exceeding certain average stock price thresholds as defined in Note 9 of the Consolidated Financial Statements, the Company reclassified \$355.0 million as a current liability as of December 31, 2007. The 0.875% Convertible Notes are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis, by the Company s wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries. The estimated fair value of the 0.875% Convertible Notes was approximately \$184.6 million at December 31, 2008.

43

Table of Contents

The Company completed the issuance and sale of \$325.0 million in aggregate principal amount of new senior unsecured notes, comprised of \$200.0 million of 7.125% Senior Fixed Rate Notes due 2017 (the 7.125% Senior Notes) and \$125.0 million of Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 (the Senior Floating Rate Notes and together with the 7.125% Senior Notes , the Notes) on July 26, 2007 to replace the unregistered Notes with registered Notes with like terms pursuant to an effective Registration Statement on Form S-4. The Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed by the Company s U.S. subsidiaries. The estimated fair value of the 7.125% Senior Notes and Senior Floating Rate Notes was approximately \$132.8 million and \$59.2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2008.

The Senior Floating Rate Notes bear interest at an annual rate equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 2.375%, which combine for a rate of 6.3% at December 31, 2008. Interest on the Senior Floating Rate Notes is payable quarterly in arrears in cash on January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on July 1, 2007. The 7.125% Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.125% per year and are payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on October 1, 2007. The Senior Floating Rate Notes mature on April 1, 2015 and the 7.125% Senior Notes mature on April 1, 2017.

The Spanish Term Loan of 50 million euros was issued in December 2005 and was available in up to three tranches, with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.8% to 1.5% depending on certain debt ratios. Two of the tranches have expired. The remaining tranche (maturing in 2012) was paid and terminated, in June 2008, with net payment of approximately 27.2 million euros or \$43.0 million. In February 2008, the Company entered into a term loan in the amount of 20 million euros with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.5%. The term loan is payable in semi-annual installments, due in August and February, maturing in February 2013. Simultaneously, the Company entered into a fixed interest rate swap to coincide with the terms and conditions of the term loan starting in August 2008 and maturing in February 2013 that will effectively hedge the variable interest rate with a fixed interest rate of 4.2%. In April 2008, the Company entered into a term loan in the amount of 10 million euros with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.75%. The term loan is payable in semi-annual installments, due in April and October, maturing in April 2013. Simultaneously, the Company entered into a fixed interest rate swap to coincide with the terms and conditions of the term loan starting in October 2008 and maturing in April 2013 that will effectively hedge the variable interest rate with a fixed interest rate of 4.58%. In June 2008, the Company entered into a term loan in the amount of 21 million euros with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.75%. The term loan is payable in quarterly installments, due in March, June, September and December, maturing in June 2013. Simultaneously, the Company entered into a fixed interest rate swap to coincide with the terms and conditions of the term loan starting in September 2008 and maturing in June 2013 that will effectively hedge the variable interest rate with a fixed interest rate of 4.48%. As of December 31, 2008, the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$64.1 million was outstanding under these term loan facilities. The proceeds were was used to partially fund the acquisition of Enica Biskra and for general working capital purposes. There is no remaining availability under these Spanish Term Loans. The weighted average interest rate including the effect of the interest rate swaps was 4.4% under these term loan facilities as of December 31, 2008.

Three Spanish Credit Facilities totaling 45 million euros were established in 2008, and mature in 2010, 2011 and 2013 and carry an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.4% to 0.65% depending on certain debt ratios. No funds are currently drawn under these facilities, leaving undrawn availability of approximately the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$62.8 million as of December 31, 2008. Commitment fees ranging from 15 to 25 basis points per annum on any unused commitments under these credit facilities are payable on a quarterly basis.

The Spanish Term Loan and Spanish Credit Facility are subject to certain financial ratios of the Company s European subsidiaries, the most restrictive of which is net debt to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization). The indebtedness under the combined facilities is guaranteed by the Company s Portuguese subsidiary and by Silec Cable, S.A.

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company further amended its senior secured revolving credit facility (Amended Credit Facility), which increased the borrowing limit on the Senior Revolving Credit Facility from \$300 million to \$400 million. Additionally, the amendment extended the maturity date by almost two years to July 2012, and increased the existing interest rates across a pricing grid, which is dependent upon excess availability, as defined. Additionally, the amendment eliminated or relaxed several provisions, expanded permitted indebtedness to include acquired indebtedness of newly acquired foreign subsidiaries, and increased the level of permitted loan-funded

acquisitions. The amendment permitted the Company to draw funds from its Amended Credit Facility to partially fund the acquisition of Phelps Dodge International (PDIC) in conjunction with funds raised through the above mentioned September 2007 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes offering and available cash on the Company s balance sheet. At December 31, 2008, the Company had no outstanding borrowings and undrawn availability of \$301.3 million under the Amended Credit Facility. The Company was in compliance with all covenants under the Amended Credit Facility as of December 31, 2008. The Company had outstanding letters of credit related to this Amended Credit Facility of \$29.5 million at December 31, 2008.

44

Table of Contents

On October 31, 2007 the Company acquired PDIC and assumed the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$64.3 million (at the prevailing exchange rate on that date) of mostly short-term PDIC debt as a part of the acquisition. As of December 31, 2008, PDIC related debt was \$71.5 million of which approximately \$71.0 million was short-term financing agreements at various interest rates. The weighted average interest rate was 5.3% as of December 31, 2008. The Company has approximately \$338.0 million of excess availability under the various credit facilities.

As of December 31, 2008, Silec s debt was the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$84.9 million. The debt consisted of approximately \$41.5 million relating to an uncommitted accounts receivable facility of up to \$69.9 million and approximately \$43.4 million of short-term financing agreements of up to \$50.4 million. The Company has approximately \$28.4 million of excess availability under the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and \$7.0 million availability under the short-term financing agreements. The weighted average interest rate for the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and the short-term financing arrangements was 4.4%.

As of December 31, 2008, ECN Cable s debt was the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$17.4 million. The debt consisted of approximately \$1.8 million relating to an uncommitted accounts receivable facility of up to \$23.9 million and approximately \$15.6 million of credit facilities of up to \$54.1 million. The Company has approximately \$60.6 million of excess availability under the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and the credit facilities. The weighted average interest rate for the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and the credit facilities was 5.8%.

The Company s Spanish operating company, Grupo General Cable Sistemas (Grupo General), participates in accounts payable confirming arrangements with several European financial institutions. Grupo General negotiates payment terms with suppliers of generally 180 days and submits invoices to the financial institutions with instructions for the financial institutions to transfer funds from Grupo General s accounts on the due date (on day 180) to the receiving parties to pay the invoices in full. The banks may, at their discretion, negotiate directly with the suppliers for earlier payment terms at a discount, and the discount is kept by the banks. The suppliers may also decline to participate in an early payment arrangement. At December 31, 2008, these arrangements had a maximum availability limit of the equivalent of \$408.6 million, of which approximately \$238.5 million was utilized. If these arrangements were reduced or terminated, Grupo General would have to pay its suppliers directly.

The Company s defined benefit plans at December 31, 2008 and 2007 were underfunded by \$122.2 million and \$72.5 million, respectively. During 2008 and 2007, as a result of lower than expected asset performance, the Company recorded an after tax loss to accumulated other comprehensive income of \$29.5 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The Company estimates its 2009 pension expense for its defined benefit pension plans will increase approximately \$8.5 million from 2008 and cash contributions are expected to decrease approximately \$0.1 million. In 2007, pension expense increased approximately \$0.1 million, excluding a \$3.2 million curtailment charge and a \$4.3 million settlement gain, from 2006 and cash contributions increased approximately \$8.1 million from 2006.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants.

45

Table of Contents

The Company anticipates being able to meet its obligations as they come due based on historical experience and the expected availability of funds under its current credit facilities. The Company s contractual obligations and commercial commitments as of December 31, 2008 (in millions of dollars) are summarized below:

			Pay	ments	Due by Pe	eriod			
		Le	ss than		1 - 3		4 - 5	1	After
	Total	1	Year	•	Years		Years	5	Years
Contractual obligations ⁽¹⁾ :									
Total debt (excluding capital									
leases)	\$ 1,444.3	\$	229.4	\$	30.4	\$	850.5	\$	334.0
Capital leases	2.3		1.1		1.2				
Interest payments on 7.125%									
Senior Notes	149.6		14.2		28.5		28.5		78.4
Interest payments on Senior									
Floating Rate Notes	54.8		7.8		15.7		15.7		15.6
Interest payments on 0.875%									
Convertible Notes	20.2		3.1		6.2		6.2		4.7
Interest payments on 1.00% Senior									
Convertible Notes	23.8		4.8		9.5		9.5		
Interest payments on Spanish term									
loans	14.0		2.8		5.6		5.6		
Operating leases ⁽²⁾	41.8		16.4		15.5		4.9		5.0
Preferred stock dividend payments	2.1		0.3		0.6		0.6		0.6
Defined benefit pension									
obligations ⁽³⁾	9.3		9.3						
Postretirement benefits	9.9		1.4		2.7		2.1		3.7
Interest rate swap agreements ⁽⁴⁾	74.6				9.0		65.6		
Commodity futures and forward									
pricing agreements ⁽⁴⁾	288.6		234.0		54.6				
Foreign currency contracts ⁽⁴⁾	438.3		318.5		119.8				
FIN 48 obligation, including									
interest and penalties ⁽⁵⁾									
Total	\$ 2,573.6	\$	843.1	\$	299.3	\$	989.2	\$	442.0

(1) This table does not include interest payments on General Cable s revolving credit facilities because the future amounts are based on variable interest rates and the amount of the

borrowings under the Amended Credit Facility and Spanish Credit Facility fluctuate depending upon the Company s working capital requirements.

- (2) Operating lease commitments are described under Off Balance Sheet Assets and Obligations.
- Defined benefit pension obligations reflect the Company s estimates of contributions that will be required in 2009 to meet current law minimum funding requirements. Amounts beyond one year have not been provided because they are not determinable.
- (4) Information on these items is provided under Item 7A,
 Quantitative and Qualitative
 Disclosures
 about Market
 Risk.

FIN 48 obligations of \$73.8 million have not been reflected in the above table due to the inherent uncertainty as to the amount and timing of settlement, which is contingent upon the occurrence of possible future events, such as examinations and determinations by various tax authorities.

Off Balance Sheet Assets and Obligations

As part of the BICC plc acquisition, BICC agreed to indemnify General Cable against environmental liabilities existing at the date of the closing of the purchase of the business. In the sale of the businesses to Pirelli, General Cable generally indemnified Pirelli against any environmental liabilities on the same basis as BICC plc indemnified the Company in the earlier acquisition. However, the indemnity the Company received from BICC plc related to the European business sold to Pirelli terminated upon the sale of those businesses to Pirelli. In addition, General Cable has agreed to indemnify Pirelli against any warranty claims relating to the prior operation of the business. General Cable has also agreed to indemnify Southwire Company against certain liabilities arising out of the operation of the business sold to Southwire prior to its sale. As a part of the 2005 acquisition, SAFRAN SA agreed to indemnify General Cable against certain environmental liabilities existing at the date of the closing of the purchase of Silec.

In 2007, the Company acquired the worldwide wire and cable business of Freeport-McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc., which operates as PDIC. As part of this acquisition, the seller agreed to indemnify the Company for certain environmental liabilities existing at the date of the closing of the acquisition. The seller s obligation to indemnify the Company for these particular liabilities generally survives four years from the date the parties executed the definitive purchase agreement unless the Company has properly notified the seller before the expiry of the four year period. The seller also made certain representations and warranties related to environmental matters and the acquired business and agreed to indemnify the Company for breaches of those representation and warranties for a period of four years from the closing date. Indemnification claims for breach of representations and warranties are subject to an overall indemnity limit of approximately \$105 million, which applies to all warranty and indemnity claims for the transaction.

During 2007, one of the Company s international operations contracted with a bank to transfer accounts receivable that it was owed from one customer to the bank in exchange for payments of approximately \$3.0 million. As the transferor, the Company surrendered control over the financial assets included in the transfer and had no further rights regarding the transferred assets. The transfers were treated as sales and the approximate \$3.0 million received was accounted for as proceeds from the sales. All assets sold were removed from the Company s balance sheet upon completion of the transfers, and no further obligations exist under these agreements. During 2008, no accounts receivable due from customers were sold or transferred to the bank in exchange for early cash payment.

Table of Contents

General Cable has entered into various operating lease agreements related principally to certain administrative, manufacturing and distribution facilities and transportation equipment. Future minimum rental payments required under non-cancelable lease agreements at December 31, 2008 were as follows: 2009 \$16.4 million, 2010 \$9.5 million, 2011 \$6.0 million, 2012 \$3.1 million, 2013 \$1.8 million and thereafter \$5.0 million. Rental expense recorded in income from continuing operations was \$19.1 million, \$14.4 million and \$11.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had \$152.6 million in letters of credit, \$151.3 million in various performance bonds and \$462.0 million in other guarantees. These letters of credit, performance bonds and guarantees are periodically renewed and are generally related to risk associated with self insurance claims, defined benefit plan obligations, contract performance, quality and other various bank and financing guarantees. See Liquidity and Capital Resources for excess availability under the Company s various credit borrowings.

See the previous section, Debt and Other Contractual Obligations, for information on debt-related guarantees.

Environmental Matters

The Company s expenditures for environmental compliance and remediation amounted to approximately \$1.9 million, \$2.8 million and \$2.0 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively. In addition, certain of General Cable s subsidiaries have been named as potentially responsible parties in proceedings that involve environmental remediation. The Company has accrued \$1.1 million at December 31, 2008 for all environmental liabilities. Environmental matters are described in Item 1, Item 3 and Note 17 to the Consolidated Financial Statements, which are incorporated herein by reference. While it is difficult to estimate future environmental liabilities, the Company does not currently anticipate any material adverse effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position as a result of compliance with federal, state, local or foreign environmental laws or regulations or remediation costs.

ITEM 7A. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

General Cable is exposed to various market risks, including changes in interest rates, foreign currency exchange rates and raw material (commodity) prices. To manage risk associated with the volatility of these natural business exposures, General Cable enters into interest rate, commodity and foreign currency derivative agreements as well as copper and aluminum forward pricing agreements. General Cable does not purchase or sell derivative instruments for trading purposes. General Cable does not engage in trading activities involving commodity contracts for which a lack of marketplace quotations would necessitate the use of fair value estimation techniques.

Interest Rate Risk

General Cable utilizes interest rate swaps to manage its interest expense exposure by fixing its interest rate on a portion of the Company s floating rate debt. Under the swap agreements, General Cable typically pays a fixed rate while the counterparty pays to General Cable the floating rate per the terms of the debt being hedged.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has four interest rate swaps outstanding. The first arrangement was designated in the United States and includes a notional value of \$9.0 million, an interest rate of 4.49% and matures in October 2011. The remaining three arrangements were designated in Spain, in 2008, and have notional values of 18.0 million euros, 10.0 million euros and 19.95 million euros, respectively, interest rates of 4.2%, 4.58% and 4.48%, respectively, and maturity dates in 2013 (February, April and June, respectively). The Company does not provide or receive any collateral specifically for this contract. The fair value of interest rate derivatives, which are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges as defined in SFAS No. 133, are based on quoted market prices and assistance of a third party provided calculations, which reflect the present values of the difference between estimated future variable-rate receipts and future fixed-rate payments. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the net unrealized loss on interest rate derivatives and the related carrying value was \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively. A 10% change in the variable rate would change the unrealized loss by \$0.3 million in 2008. All interest rate derivatives are marked-to-market with changes in the fair value of qualifying cash flow hedges recorded as other comprehensive income.

Raw Material Price Risk

General Cable s reported net sales are directly influenced by the price of copper and to a lesser extent aluminum. The price of copper and aluminum as traded on the London Metal Exchange (LME) and COMEX has historically been subject to considerable volatility and, during the past few years, global copper prices have established average record

highs as demonstrated above in the table in Item 1 Raw Materials Sources and Availability. This copper and aluminum price volatility is representative of all reportable segments.

47

Table of Contents

General Cable utilizes the LIFO method of inventory accounting for its metals inventory. The Company s use of the LIFO method results in its consolidated statement of operations reflecting the current costs of metals, while metals inventories in the balance sheet are valued at historical costs as the LIFO layers were created. As a result of volatile copper prices, the replacement cost of the Company s copper inventory exceeded the historic LIFO cost by approximately \$104 million and \$162 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. If LIFO inventory quantities are reduced in a period when replacement costs exceed the LIFO value of the inventory, the Company would experience an increase in reported earnings. Conversely, if LIFO inventory quantities are reduced in a period when replacement costs are lower than the LIFO value of the inventory, the Company would experience a decline in reported earnings. If the Company were not able to recover the LIFO value of its inventory in some future period when replacement costs were lower than the LIFO value of the inventory, the Company would be required to take a charge to recognize in its statement of operations an adjustment of LIFO inventory to market value. During 2006, we increased inventory quantities and therefore there was not a liquidation of LIFO inventory impact in this period. During 2007, the Company reduced copper inventory quantities globally which resulted in a \$0.1 million gain because LIFO inventory quantities were reduced in a period when replacement costs were higher than the LIFO value of the inventory. During 2008, the Company reduced its copper inventory quantities globally resulting in a \$2.4 million LIFO gain since LIFO inventory quantities were reduced in a period when replacement costs were higher than the LIFO value of the inventory.

For the majority of its business outside of North America, General Cable enters into commodity futures contracts, which are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges as defined in SFAS 133, for the purchase of copper and aluminum for delivery in a future month to match certain production needs. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had an unrealized loss of \$84.7 million and \$18.8 million, respectively, on the commodity futures. A 10% change in the price of copper and aluminum would result in a change in the unrealized loss of \$11.0 million in 2008. In North America, and to a lesser extent in Europe and North Africa and ROW, General Cable enters into forward pricing agreements for the purchase of copper and aluminum for delivery in a future month to match certain sales transactions. The Company accounts for these forward pricing arrangements under the normal purchases and normal sales scope exception of SFAS No. 133 because these arrangements are for purchases of copper and aluminum that will be delivered in quantities expected to be used by the Company over a reasonable period of time in the normal course of business. For these arrangements, it is probable at the inception and throughout the life of the arrangements that the arrangements will not settle net and will result in physical delivery of the inventory. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had \$90.5 million and \$90.1 million, respectively, of future copper and aluminum purchases that were under forward pricing agreements. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had an unrealized loss of \$25.1 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, related to these transactions. General Cable expects the unrealized losses under these agreements to be offset as a result of firm sales price commitments with customers.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Risk

The Company enters into forward exchange contracts, which are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges as defined in SFAS 133, principally to hedge the currency fluctuations in certain transactions denominated in foreign currencies, thereby limiting the Company s risk that would otherwise result from changes in exchange rates. Principal transactions hedged during the year were firm sales and purchase commitments. The fair value of foreign currency contracts represents the amount required to enter into offsetting contracts with similar remaining maturities based on quoted market prices. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the net unrealized gain on the net foreign currency contracts was \$0.4 million and \$8.2 million, respectively. A 10% change in the exchange rate for these currencies would change the unrealized loss by \$39.7 million in 2008.

Fair Value of Designated Derivatives

Unrealized gains and losses on the designated cash flow hedge financial instruments identified above are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying transaction occurs and is recorded in the statement of operations at which point such amounts included in other comprehensive income (loss) are recognized in earnings. This recognition generally will occur over periods of less than one year. During the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, a pre-tax \$5.5 million loss and a pre-tax \$0.9 million loss, respectively, were reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the statement of operations. A pre-tax loss of \$62.5 million is expected to be

reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income during 2009.

48

Table of Contents

The notional amounts and fair values of these designated cash flow financial instruments at December 31, 2008 and 2007 are shown below (in millions). The net carrying amount of the designated cash flow and hedge financial instruments was a net liability of \$85.0 million and a net liability of \$11.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

	2008			2007				
	No	otional		Fair	No	otional		Fair
	Amount		Value		Amount		Value	
Cash flow hedges:								
Interest rate swap	\$	74.6	\$	(0.7)	\$	9.0	\$	(0.5)
Commodity futures		198.1		(84.7)		297.7		(18.8)
Foreign currency forward exchange		438.3		0.4		380.5		8.2
			\$	(85.0)			\$	(11.1)

ITEM 8. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	60
Consolidated Statements of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	61
Consolidated Balance Sheets at December 31, 2008 and 2007	62
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	63
Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity for the Years Ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006	64
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	65

ITEM 9. CHANGES IN AND DISAGREEMENTS WITH ACCOUNTANTS ON ACCOUNTING AND FINANCIAL DISCLOSURE

None.

49

Table of Contents

ITEM 9A. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in the Company's reports under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act), is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms, and that such information is accumulated and communicated to management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer (CEO) and Chief Financial Officer (CFO), as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. The Company periodically evaluates the design and effectiveness of its disclosure controls and internal control over financial reporting. The Company makes modifications to improve the design and effectiveness of its disclosure controls and internal control structure, and may take other corrective action, if its evaluations identify a need for such modifications or actions. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures are designed to provide reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives.

A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the Company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the control. The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk.

In connection with the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as of December 31, 2008, an evaluation was performed under the supervision and with the participation of the Company s management, including the CEO and CFO, of the effectiveness of the design and operation of the Company s disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Exchange Act). Based on that evaluation, the Company s CEO and CFO concluded that the Company s disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of December 31, 2008.

Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting, as such item is defined in the Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f). In connection with the preparation of this Annual Report on Form 10-K, as of December 31, 2008, the Company conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting based on the framework in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). As a result of this process, management concluded that internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2008. Management s assessment of and conclusion on the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting did not include an assessment of certain elements of internal control over financial reporting of Enica Biskra acquired on May 21, 2008 and PDP acquired on June 30, 2008, which are included in the consolidated financial statements of the Company for the year ended December 31, 2008.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting

There have been no other changes in the Company s internal control over financial reporting, as such item is defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a 15(f) and 15d 15(f), during the most recently completed fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2008, that have materially affected, or are reasonable likely to materially affect the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, an independent registered public accounting firm that audited the Company s financial statements included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K, has issued an attestation report on Company s internal control over financial reporting.

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of General Cable Corporation Highland Heights, KY

We have audited the internal control over financial reporting of General Cable Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2008, based on criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. As described in *Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*, management excluded from its assessment the internal control over financial reporting at E.P.E. / EN.I.CA.BISKRA/SPA (Enica Biskra), acquired on May 21, 2008, and Phelps Dodge Philippines, Inc. (PDP), a joint venture in which the Company acquired and consolidated through an increase of its equity investment from 40% to 60% on June 30, 2008, and whose financial statements reflect aggregate total assets and revenues constituting 3% and 5%, respectively, of the related consolidated financial statement amounts as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008. Accordingly, our audit did not include the internal control over financial reporting at Enica Biskra and PDP. The Company s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Management s Annual Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company s principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company s board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated financial statements and financial statement schedule as of and for the year ended December 31, 2008 of the Company and our report dated March 2, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on those financial statements and included an explanatory paragraph regarding the adoption of Financial Accounting Standards

Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 109, on January 1, 2007, and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R), on December 31, 2006.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Cincinnati, Ohio March 2, 2009

51

Table of Contents

ITEM 9B. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

PART III.

ITEM 10. DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

See the information on the Company s Executive Officers in Item 1 under the heading, Executive Officers of the Registrant. Except as set forth in Item 1, the additional information required by this item, including information on the Directors of the Company, is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

General Cable s amended and restated by-laws provide that its Board of Directors is divided into three classes (Class I, Class II and Class III). At each annual meeting of the shareholders, directors constituting one class are elected for a three-year term. Each of the directors will be elected to serve until a successor is elected and qualified or until such director s earlier resignation or removal.

The Board of Directors of the Company has determined that Craig P. Omtvedt, Chairman of the Audit Committee, and Audit Committee members, Mr. Welsh, Mr. Lawton and Mr. Smialek, are audit committee financial experts as defined by Item 401(h) of Regulation S-K and are independent within the meaning of Item 7(d)(3)(iv) of Schedule 14A under the Exchange Act.

The Company has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to its directors, officers (including the Company s principal executive officer, principal financial officer and principal accounting officer) and employees. The Company has also adopted Corporate Governance Principles and Guidelines, an Audit Committee Charter, a Compensation Committee Charter and a Corporate Governance Committee Charter (collectively Charters). Copies of the Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, Corporate Governance Principles and Guidelines and each of the Charters are available on the Company s website, www.generalcable.com, and may be found under the Investor Information section by clicking on Corporate Governance . Any of the foregoing documents is also available in print to any shareholders who request the documents. The Company intends to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 10 of Form 8-K regarding an amendment to, or waiver from, a provision of our Code of Ethics by posting such information on our website at the location specified above.

On May 16, 2008, the Company submitted its Annual Chief Executive Officer Certification to the New York Stock Exchange as required by Section 303A.12 (a) of the New York Stock Exchange Listed Company Manual.

The Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer Certifications required under Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act are filed as exhibits to the Company s Form 10-K.

ITEM 11. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The information required by this item is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

52

Table of Contents

ITEM 12. SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT AND RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS

A description of General Cable s equity compensation plans is set forth in Note 14 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements. The following table sets forth information about General Cable s equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2008 (in thousands, except per share price):

	Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options (1)	Weigh avera exercise of outsta optio	age e price anding	Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in first column)
Shareholder approved plans: 1997 Stock Incentive Plan ⁽²⁾ 2005 Stock Incentive Plan	239 459	\$	10.12 54.35	287 801
Non-shareholder approved plans: 2000 Stock Option Plan ⁽²⁾	108		10.95	290
Total	806	\$	35.40	1,378

shares of 90,909
awarded and
outstanding
from the 1997
Plan, restricted
stock shares of
311,692 and
restricted stock
units of 67,250
awarded and
outstanding
from the 2005

restricted stock

(1) Excludes

(2) No new awards were issued under these plans since May 10, 2005.

2008.

Plan through December 31,

Other information required by this item is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 13. CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

The information required by this item is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

ITEM 14. PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTING FEES AND SERVICES

The information required by this item is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference.

PART IV.

ITEM 15. EXHIBITS AND FINANCIAL STATEMENT SCHEDULE

- (a) Documents filed as part of the Form 10-K:
 - 1. Consolidated Financial Statements are included in Part II, Item 8.
 - 2. Financial Statement Schedule filed herewith for 2008, 2007 and 2006:
- II. Valuation and Qualifying Accounts Page 110

All other schedules for which provisions are made in the applicable regulation of the Securities and Exchange Commission have been omitted as they are not applicable, not required, or the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or Notes thereto.

3. The exhibits listed on the accompanying Exhibit Index are filed herewith or incorporated herein by reference.

Documents indicated by an asterisk (*) are filed herewith; documents indicated by a double asterisk (**) identify each management contract or compensatory plan. Documents not indicated by an asterisk are incorporated by reference to the document indicated.

53

Table of Contents

Signatures

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, General Cable Corporation has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

General Cable Corporation

Signed: March 2, 2009 By: /s/ GREGORY B. KENNY

Gregory B. Kenny

President and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the Registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated:

/s/ GREGORY B. KENNY	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director (Principal Executive Officer)	March 2, 2009
Gregory B. Kenny		
/s/ ROBERT J. SIVERD	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary	March 2, 2009
Robert J. Siverd	200101412	
/s/ BRIAN J. ROBINSON	Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer	March 2, 2009
Brian J. Robinson	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
/s/ JOHN E. WELSH, III	Non-executive Chairman and Director	March 2, 2009
John E. Welsh, III		
/s/ GREGORY E. LAWTON	Director	March 2, 2009
Gregory E. Lawton		
/s/ CRAIG P. OMTVEDT	Director	March 2, 2009
Craig P. Omtvedt		
/s/ ROBERT L. SMIALEK	Director	March 2, 2009
Robert L. Smialek		

54

Table of Contents

Exhibit Index

Exhibit	
Number	Description
2.1	Share Purchase Agreement among Grupo General Cable Sistemas, S.A., Safran SA, and Sagem Communications, dated November 18, 2005 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.2 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on December 22, 2005).
2.2	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 12, 2007, by and among Freeport-McMoRan Copper & Gold Inc., Phelps Dodge Corporation, Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc., Habirshaw Cable and Wire Corporation and General Cable Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the form 8-K as filed on September 12, 2007).
2.2.1	Letter Agreement, dated October 29, 2007, to the Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of September 12, 2007, by and among Freeport-McMoRan Cooper & Gold Inc., Phelps Dodge Corporation, Phelps Dodge Industries, Inc., Habirshaw Cable and Wire Corporation and General Cable Corporation. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.109 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 28, 2007).
3.1	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company was filed as Exhibit 3.1 to Post-Effective Amendment No. 1 to Form S-4 (File No. 333-143017). Note: The certificate was amended in May 2007.
3.2	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Form 8-K as filed on July 25, 2007).
3.3	Amended and Restated By-Laws of the Company (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K as filed on December 18, 2008).
4.1	Specimen Common Stock Certificate (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-22961) of the Company filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on March 7, 1997, as amended (the Initial S-1).).
4.2	Certificate of Designations (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K filed December 12, 2003).
4.3	Indenture among the Company, certain guarantors and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Form 8-K filed December 12, 2003).
4.4	Registration Rights Agreement among the Company and the Initial Purchasers relating to the Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.3 to the Form 8-K filed December 12, 2003).
4.5	Registration Rights Agreement among the Company, certain guarantors and the Initial Purchasers relating to the Notes (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.4 to the Form 8-K filed December 12, 2003).
4.6	Indenture for the \$315.0 million 0.875% Senior Convertible Notes Due 2013 dated November 9, 2006 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on November 16, 2006).
4.7	Supplemental Indenture dated as of March 15, 2007, among the Company, certain guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on March 15, 2007).
4.8	Indenture dated as of March 21, 2007, among the Company, certain guarantors, and U.S. Bank National Association, as trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on March 21, 2007).
4.9	Indenture for the \$475.0 million 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes Due 2012, dated October 2, 2007, by and among General Cable Corporation, the subsidiary guarantors named therein, and U.S. Bank National Association, as Trustee (incorporated by reference

- to Exhibit 4.1 to the Form 8-K as filed on October 2, 2007).
- 4.10 Registration Rights Agreement dated March 21, 2007, among the Company, certain guarantors and Goldman, Sachs & Co., as representative of the several purchasers named in Schedule I to the Purchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on March 21, 2007).
- 4.11 Registration Rights Agreement, dated as of October 2, 2007, by and among General Cable Corporation, the subsidiary guarantors named therein, and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Incorporated. (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K filed October 2, 2007).
- 4.12 Second Supplemental Indenture, among the Company, the Additional Guarantor, the other Guarantors and the Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibits 4.1, 4.2, 4.3 to Form 8-K as filed on April 18, 2008).
- 4.13 Prospectus Supplemental No. 1, supplements and amends the prospectus dated April 16, 2008 relating to the resale by the selling security holders of the Company s \$475.0 million 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due 2012 (incorporated by reference to Form 424B7 filed on August 1, 2008).
- 10.2** General Cable Corporation 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Initial S-1).
- 10.2.1** General Cable Corporation 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1997).
- 10.2.2** Form of Grant Agreement pursuant to the General Cable Corporation 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.67 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarter ended October 1, 2004).
 - 10.3** General Cable Corporation 1998 Annual Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1997).
 - 10.4** General Cable Corporation 2000 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.42 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2000).
- 10.4.1** General Cable Corporation 2000 Stock Option Plan, amended and restated as of July 30, 2002 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.55 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2002).
- 10.4.2** Form of Grant Agreement pursuant to the General Cable Corporation 2000 Stock Option Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.68 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarter ended October 1, 2004).
- 10.5** Employment Agreement dated May 13, 1997, between Gregory B. Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.6 to the Initial S-1).
- 10.5.1** Amendment dated March 16, 1998 to Employment Agreement dated May 13, 1997, between Gregory B. Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.8 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1997).

55

Table of Contents

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.5.2**	Change-in-Control Agreement dated May 13, 1997, between Gregory B. Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Initial S-1).
10.5.3**	Employment Agreement dated October 18, 1999, between Gregory B. Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.22 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30,
10.5.4**	1999). Change in Control Agreement dated October 18, 1900 between Greecew P. Kenny and the
10.3.4***	Change-in-Control Agreement dated October 18, 1999 between Gregory B. Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.26 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1999).
10.5.5**	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated April 28, 2000, between Gregory B.
	Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.34 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000).
10.5.6**	Amended and Restated Change-in-Control Agreement dated April 28, 2000 between
	Gregory B. Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period end March 31, 2000).
10.5.7**	Amendment dated August 6, 2001, to Employment Agreement between Gregory B. Kenny
	and General Cable Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to the Quarterly
	Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2001).
10.5.8**	Amendment dated August 6, 2001, to Change-in-Control Agreement between Gregory B.
	Kenny and General Cable Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to the
	Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2001).
10.5.9**	Amendment No. 2 dated July 11, 2003 to Employment Agreement dated April 28, 2000 between Gregory B. Kenny and the Company (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.56 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003).
10.5.10**	Assignment Agreement dated June 9, 2003 by Gregory B. Kenny to General Cable
	Corporation (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.59 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2003).
10.5.11**	Salary Adjustment for Chief Executive Officer dated January 26, 2005 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on February 1, 2005).
10.5.12**	Salary Adjustment for Chief Executive Officer dated February 7, 2006 (incorporated by
	reference to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on February 7, 2006).
10.5.13**	Gregory B. Kenny Amended and Restated Employment agreement termed Termination
	Agreement, dated December 19, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2007).
10.6**	Employment Agreement dated May 13, 1997, between Robert J. Siverd and the Company
10.0	(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.10 to the Initial S-1).
10.6.1**	Change-in-Control Agreement dated May 13, 1997, between Robert J. Siverd and the
	Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.12 to the Initial S-1).
10.6.2**	Employment Agreement dated October 18, 1999, between Robert J. Siverd and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.24 to the Quarterly Report on

- Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1999).
- 10.6.3** Change-in-Control Agreement dated October 18, 1999 between Robert J. Siverd and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.28 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1999).
- 10.6.4** Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated April 28, 2000, between Robert J. Siverd and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.36 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000).
- 10.6.5** Amended and Restated Change-in-Control Agreement dated April 28, 2000 between Robert J. Siverd and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.40 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000).
- 10.6.6** Amendment No. 1 dated July 11, 2003 to Employment Agreement dated April 28, 2000 between Robert J. Siverd and the Company (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.58 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended June 30, 2003).
- 10.6.7** Assignment Agreement dated June 9, 2003 by Robert J. Siverd to General Cable Corporation (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.61 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- 10.6.8** Robert J. Siverd Amended and Restated Employment agreement termed Termination Agreement dated December 19, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2007).
- 10.7.** Letter of understanding with Brian J. Robinson as Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer dated December 22, 2006 (incorporated by reference to exhibits 99.1 and 99.2 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on December 22, 2006).
- 10.7.1** Brian J. Robinson Novation Agreement dated December 19, 2007 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.3 to Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2007).
 - 10.8** General Cable Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan dated April 1, 1996 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.17 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1998).
- 10.8.1** Amended and Restated General Cable Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan dated December 14, 1998 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.18 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1998).
- 10.8.2** General Cable Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan (Amended and Restated Effective January 1, 2008) (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K filed on November 15, 2007).

56

Table of Contents

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.8.3**	Fourth Amendment to the General Cable Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan
	(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K as filed on June 27, 2007).
10.8.4**	Registration Statement of additional Common Stock shares under the Deferred
	Compensation Plan (incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on June 30, 2008).
10.9	Credit Agreement between the Company, Chase Manhattan Bank, as Administrative
	Agent, and the lenders signatory thereto dated May 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to
	Exhibit 10.19 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the
10.0.1	quarterly period ended September 30, 1999).
10.9.1	Amendment dated October 8, 1999 to the Credit Agreement between the Company, Chase
	Manhattan Bank, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders signatory thereto dated May 28,
	1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.20 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30, 1999).
10.9.2	Second amendment dated March 9, 2000 to the Credit Agreement between the Company,
10.7.2	Chase Manhattan Bank, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders signatory thereto dated
	May 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.32 to the Quarterly Report on
	Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000).
10.9.3	Third amendment dated January 24, 2001 to the Credit Agreement between the Company,
	Chase Manhattan Bank, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders signatory thereto dated
	May 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.41 to the Annual Report on
	Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2000).
10.9.4	Amendment dated April 19, 2002 to the Credit Agreement between the Company, JP
	Morgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders signatory thereto dated
	May 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on
10.0.5	Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2002).
10.9.5	Fifth Amendment dated October 11, 2002 to the Credit Agreement between the Company,
	JP Morgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders signatory thereto dated May 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Form 8-K filed on October 14, 2002).
10.9.6	Sixth Amendment dated December 26, 2002 to the Credit Agreement between the
10.7.0	Company, JP Morgan Chase Bank, as Administrative Agent, and the lenders signatory
	thereto dated May 28, 1999 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.54 to the Annual
	Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31,
	2002).
10.10	Master Pooling and Servicing Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2001, among General Cable
	Capital Funding, Inc., General Cable Industries, Inc. and The Chase Manhattan Bank
	(incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.47 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General
	Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2001).
10.10.1	Series 2001-1 Supplement to Master Pooling and Servicing Agreement, dated as of May 9,
	2001, among General Cable Capital Funding, Inc., General Cable Industries, Inc. and The
	Chase Manhattan Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.48 to the Annual Report
10 10 2	on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for year ended December 31, 2001).
10.10.2	Series VFC Supplement to Master Pooling and Servicing Agreement, dated as of May 9,
	2001, among General Cable Capital Funding, Inc., General Cable Industries, Inc. and The Chase Manhattan Bank (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.49 to the Annual Report
	on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2001).
10.10.3	First amendment dated December 21, 2001 to the Series 2001-1 Supplement to Master
- 5.10.0	Pooling and Servicing Agreement dated as of May 9, 2001, (incorporated by reference to
	,, (

- Exhibit 10.51 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the vear ended December 31, 2001).
- 10.11 Credit Agreement between the Company, Merrill Lynch Capital as Collateral and Syndication Agent, UBS AG as Administrative Agent and the lenders signatory thereto dated November 24, 2003 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.63 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2003).
- 10.11.1 First Amendment dated April 14, 2004, to the Credit Agreement between the Company, Merrill Lynch Capital as Collateral and Syndication Agent, UBS AG as Administrative Agent and the lenders signatory thereto dated November 24, 2003 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.66 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarter ended March 31, 2004).
- Amended and restated Credit Agreement dated October 22, 2004, between the Company and Merrill Lynch Capital as collateral and syndication agent, UBS AG as Administrative Agent and the lenders signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.69 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarter ended October 1, 2004).
- 10.11.3 First Amendment dated June 13, 2005 to the Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between the Company, Merrill Lynch Capital as Collateral and Syndication Agent, UBS AG as Administrative Agent and the lenders signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.70 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarter ended July 1, 2005).
- 10.11.4 Second Amended and restated Credit Agreement dated November 23, 2005, between the Company and Merrill Lynch Capital as Collateral and Administrative Agent, National City Business Credit, Inc., as Syndication Agent and the lenders signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.65 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005).
- 10.11.5 First Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between the Company and Merrill Lynch Capital as Collateral and Administrative Agent, National City Business Credit, Inc., as Syndication Agent and the lenders signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.90 of the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 29, 2006).
- 10.11.6 Second Amendment to the Second Amended and Restated Credit Agreement between the Company and Merrill Lynch Capital as Collateral and Administrative Agent, National City Business Credit, Inc., as Syndication Agent and the lenders signatory thereto (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on March 6, 2007.
- Third Amended and Restated Credit Agreement, dated October 31, 2007, by and among GCI, as Borrower, the Company and those certain other subsidiaries of the Company party thereto, as Guarantors, the Issuing Banks, the Lenders and Merrill Lynch Capital, a division of Merrill Lynch Business Financial Services Inc., as Administrative Agent for the Lenders, Collateral Agent and Security Trustee (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 1, 2007).
 - 10.12 Master Agreement confirming the initiation of a \$75.0 million cross currency and interest rate swap between General Cable Corporation and Merrill Lynch Capital Services, Inc., dated October 13, 2005 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.65 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005).

Table of Contents

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.12.1	Master Agreement confirming the initiation of a \$75.0 million cross currency and interest rate swap between General Cable Corporation and Bank of America, N.A., dated October 13, 2005 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.65 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005).
10.13	Form of Intercompany Agreement among Wassall PLC, Netherlands Cable V.B. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.14 to the Initial S-1).
10.14	Stock Purchase Agreement dated May 13, 1997, among Wassall PLC, General Cable Industries Inc. and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.15 to the Initial S-1).
10.15**	BICCGeneral Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan dated December 15, 1999 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.29 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1999).
10.16**	BICCGeneral Mid-Term Incentive Plan dated February 1, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.30 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1999).
10.17	Share Purchase Agreement between General Cable Corporation and Pirelli Cavi e Sistemi S.p.A. dated February 9, 2000 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.31 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 1999).
10.18**	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement dated April 28, 2000, between Stephen Rabinowitz and the Company (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.33 to the Quarterly report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended March 31, 2000).
10.19**	Term Sheet dated August 7, 2001, for Retirement and Termination of Employment Agreement dated October 18, 1999, as Amended, between General Cable Corporation and Stephen Rabinowitz (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period ended September 30, 2001).
10.20	Asset Purchase Agreement between Southwire Company and General Cable Industries, Inc. and General Cable Corporation dated September 5, 2001 (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of General Cable Corporation for the quarterly period end September 30, 2001).
10.21	Receivables Sale Agreement, dated as of May 9, 2001, between General Cable Industries, Inc. and General Cable Capital Funding, Inc. (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.50 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2001).
10.22	Trust Termination Agreement for General Cable 2001 Master Trust dated November 24, 2003 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.62 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2003).
10.23	Corporate Governance Principles and Guidelines dated January 2004 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.65 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2003).
10.24	Credit Agreement between Grupo General Cable Sistemas, S.A., and Banco de Sabadell, S.A., as Agent and Financial Institution, dated December 22, 2005 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.65 to the Annual Report on Form 10-K/A of General Cable Corporation for the year ended December 31, 2005.

- Director Compensation Program modification dated January 26, 2005 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on February 1, 2005).
- 10.26** Salary Adjustment for Chief Financial Officer and for Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary dated February 18, 2005 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on February 22, 2005).
- 10.27** General Cable Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on May 16, 2005).
- 10.28** Incentive Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on May 16, 2005).
- 10.29** Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.3 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on May 16, 2005).
- 10.30** Restricted Stock Agreement (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.4 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on May 16, 2005).
- 10.31** Stock Unit Agreement (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.5 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on May 16, 2005).
- 10.32** Salary Adjustment for Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary dated February 23, 2006 (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on February 23, 2006).
- 10.33** Separation Agreement and General Release of Claims and Amendment to Separation Agreement and General Release of Claims between General Cable Corporation and its Chief Financial Officer dated May 30, 2006 (incorporated by reference to exhibit 99.1 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on June 2, 2006).
- Agreement for Convertible Note Hedges dated November 9, 2006, between the Company and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
- Agreement for Convertible Note Hedges dated November 9, 2006 between the Company and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
- 10.36 Agreement for Convertible Note Hedges dated November 9, 2006 between the Company and Wachovia (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.3 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
- 10.37 Agreement for Warrant Transactions dated November 9, 2006 between the Company and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.4 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
- 10.38 Agreement for Warrant Transactions dated November 9, 2006 between the Company and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.5 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
- 10.39 Agreement for Warrant Transactions dated November 9, 2006 between the Company and Wachovia (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.6 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).

58

Table of Contents

Exhibit	
Number	Description
10.40	Agreement for Convertible Note Hedges dated November 15, 2006 between the Company and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.7 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
10.41	Agreement for Convertible Note Hedges dated November 15, 2006 between the Company and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.8 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
10.42	Agreement for Convertible Note Hedges dated November 15, 2006 between the Company and Wachovia (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.9 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
10.43	Agreement for Warrant Transactions dated November 15, 2006 between the Company and Merrill Lynch, Pierce, Fenner & Smith Inc. (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.10 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
10.44	Agreement for Warrant Transactions dated November 15, 2006 between the Company and Credit Suisse Securities (USA) LLC (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.11 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
10.45	Agreement for Warrant Transactions dated November 15, 2006 between the Company and Wachovia (incorporated by reference to exhibit 10.12 to the Form 8-K as filed on November 16, 2006).
10.46**	Salary Adjustment for President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary dated February 14, 2007 (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on February 16, 2007).
10.47	Purchase Agreement dated as of March 15, 2007, among the Company, certain guarantors and Goldman, Sachs & Co., as representative of the several purchasers named in Schedule I to the Purchase Agreement (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on March 21, 2007).
10.48**	Amendment to the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan of General Cable Corporation (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Form 8-K as filed on June 27, 2007).
10.49**	General Cable Corporation Executive Officer Severance Benefit Plan effective January 1, 2008 (Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.4 to Form 8-K filed on December 18, 2007).
10.50**	Separation Agreement and Addendum, Departure of Principal Officer (incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K as filed on July 24, 2008).
10.51	Joinder Agreement, between the Additional Guarantor and GE Financial (incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to Form 8-K as filed on April 18, 2008).
10.52	Registration Statement of additional Common Stock shares under the General Cable Savings Plan (incorporated by reference to Form S-8 filed on June 30, 2008).
10.53	Pro forma financial information and PDIC audited financial statements are incorporated by reference to Current Report on Form 8-K on November 1, 2007, amended on January 14, 2008 and April 18, 2008.
10.54**	Salary Adjustment for President and Chief Executive Officer and Executive Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer and Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Secretary dated February 5, 2008 (incorporated by reference to the Form 8-K Current Report as filed on February 5, 2008).
10.55	General Cable adopted a written trading plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. The Company implemented this written trading plan in connection with its share repurchase program, which was authorized by the Company s Board of Directors and announced on October 29, 2008 (incorporated by reference to

- Form 8-K Current Report as filed on October 29, 2008 and November 26, 2008).
- 12.1 Computation of Ratio of Earnings to Fixed Charges.
- 14.0 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics available on the Company s website at www.generalcable.com.
- 21.1 List of Subsidiaries of General Cable.
- 23.1 Consent of Deloitte & Touche LLP.
- 31.1 Certification of Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15(d) 14.
- 31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) or 15(d) 14.
- 32.1 Certification pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §1350, as adopted under Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.

59

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of General Cable Corporation Highland Heights, KY

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of General Cable Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the related consolidated statements of operations, changes in shareholders equity, and cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008. Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15. These financial statements and financial statement schedule are the responsibility of the Company s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the financial statements and financial statement schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, such consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of General Cable Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2008, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As discussed in Note 2 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes an interpretation of Financial Accounting Standards Board Statement No. 109, on January 1, 2007, and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Benefit Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R), on December 31, 2006.

We have also audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the Company s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2008, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control Integrated Framework* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 2, 2009 expressed an unqualified opinion on the Company s internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ DELOITTE & TOUCHE LLP Cincinnati, Ohio March 2, 2009

60

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Operations (in millions, except per share data)

Net sales	\$ Year 2008 6,230.1	Ende	ed Decembe 2007 4,614.8	er 31, \$	2006 3,665.1
Cost of sales	5,427.7		3,952.1		3,194.1
Gross profit	802.4		662.7		471.0
Selling, general and administrative expenses	381.0		296.6		235.1
Operating income	421.4		366.1		235.9
Other expense	(27.2)		(3.4)		(0.1)
Interest income (expense): Interest expense Interest income Loss on extinguishment of debt	(68.1) 12.3		(48.4) 18.8 (25.3)		(40.0) 4.4
	(55.8)		(54.9)		(35.6)
Income before income taxes Income tax provision Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies	338.4 (112.7) (13.1) 4.6		307.8 (99.4) (0.2) 0.4		200.2 (64.9)
Net income	217.2		208.6		135.3
Less: preferred stock dividends	(0.3)		(0.3)		(0.3)
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$ 216.9	\$	208.3	\$	135.0
EPS Earnings per common share-basic	\$ 4.16	\$	4.07	\$	2.70
Weighted average common shares-basic	52.2		51.2		50.0
Earnings per common share-assuming dilution	\$ 4.07	\$	3.82	\$	2.60
Weighted average common shares-assuming dilution	53.4		54.6		52.0
T.I. (0.1.)					

115

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

61

Table of Contents

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Consolidated Balance Sheets (in millions, except share data)

	December 31,				
		2008		2007	
Assets					
Current Assets:					
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	282.6	\$	325.7	
Receivables, net of allowances of \$19.3 million in 2008 and \$17.9 million in					
2007		1,032.0		1,121.4	
Inventories		953.2		928.8	
Deferred income taxes		132.3		123.6	
Prepaid expenses and other		77.6		73.7	
• •					
Total current assets		2,477.7		2,573.2	
Property, plant and equipment, net		880.9		738.8	
Deferred income taxes		53.9		42.6	
Goodwill		171.9		116.1	
Intangible assets, net		201.8		236.7	
Unconsolidated affiliated companies		7.5		29.5	
Other non-current assets		46.7		56.7	
other non current assets		10.7		30.7	
Total assets	\$	3,840.4	\$	3,793.6	
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity					
Current Liabilities:					
Accounts payable	\$	757.2	\$	937.3	
Accrued liabilities		423.3		397.3	
Current portion of long-term debt		230.5		500.9	
m - 1		1 411 0		1.025.5	
Total current liabilities		1,411.0		1,835.5	
Long town dobt		1,216.1		897.9	
Long-term debt		-			
Deferred income taxes		96.4		118.5	
Other liabilities		276.2		190.0	
Total liabilities		2,999.7		3,041.9	
		_,,,,,,,,		-,- :-:>	
Commitments and Contingencies					
Minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries		132.3		74.8	
Shareholders Equity:					
		3.8		5.1	

Redeemable convertible preferred stock, at redemption value (liquidation preference of \$50.00 per share):

2008 - 76,233 shares outstanding

2007 - 101,940 shares outstanding

Common stock, \$0.01 par value, issued and outstanding shares:

2008 - 51,775,200 (net of 6,177,498 treasury shares)

2000 31,773,200 (net of 0,177,790 treasury shares)		
2007 - 52,430,149 (net of 5,121,841 treasury shares)	0.6	0.6
Additional paid-in capital	288.4	268.0
Treasury stock	(71.9)	(60.3)
Retained earnings	644.7	428.3
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)	(157.2)	35.2
Total shareholders equity	708.4	676.9

Total liabilities and shareholders equity

\$ 3,840.4 \$ 3,793.6

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

62

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows (in millions)

	Year 2008	Ended December 2007	r 31, 2006
Cash flows of operating activities:			
Net income \$	217.2	\$ 208.6	\$ 135.3
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by			
operating activities:	07.0	62.5	70.0
Depreciation and amortization	97.3	63.5	50.9
Foreign currency exchange loss	27.2	3.4	0.1
Loss on extinguishment of debt	22.0	25.3	
Inventory impairment charges	32.0	(11.6)	4.7
Deferred income taxes	11.3	(11.6)	4.7
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(6.1)	(11.1)	(19.0)
Loss on disposal of property	5.6	8.8	2.5
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net of effect of			
acquisitions and divestitures:	26.7	((5)	(04.7)
(Increase) decrease in receivables	26.7	(6.5)	(94.7)
Increase in inventories	(70.3)	(13.5)	(142.4)
(Increase) decrease in other assets	18.6	(1.4)	0.5
Increase (decrease) in accounts payable, accrued and other	(120.1)	(22.0)	156 1
liabilities	(130.1)	(33.8)	156.1
Net cash flows of operating activities	229.4	231.7	94.0
Cash flows of investing activities:			
Capital expenditures	(217.8)	(153.6)	(71.1)
Proceeds from properties sold	6.2	1.1	0.8
Proceeds from acquisition including cash acquired		28.0	
Acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(50.3)	(634.8)	(26.9)
Other	(1.4)	(0.5)	1.4
Net cash flows of investing activities	(263.3)	(759.8)	(95.8)
Cash flows of financing activities:			
Preferred stock dividends paid	(0.3)	(0.3)	(0.3)
Settlement of net investment hedge		(30.5)	
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation	6.1	11.1	19.0
Proceeds from revolving credit borrowings	124.7	100.0	264.1
Repayments of revolving credit borrowings	(184.7)	(40.0)	(379.2)
Proceeds of other debt	93.3	7.3	6.9
Issuance of long-term debt		800.0	355.0
Payment of deferred financing fees		(19.0)	(9.4)
Settlement of long-term debt		(305.5)	
Purchase of note hedges			(124.5)
Proceeds from issuance of warrants			80.4
Purchase of treasury shares	(11.7)		

119

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Proceeds from exercise of stock options		2.2		5.0		22.7
Net cash flows of financing activities		29.6		528.1		234.7
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents		(38.8)		15.2		5.4
Decrease in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period		(43.1) 325.7		15.2 310.5		238.3 72.2
Cash and cash equivalents end of period	\$	282.6	\$	325.7	\$	310.5
Supplemental Information Cash paid during the period for:	¢	04.0	ф	00.0	ф	46.2
Income tax payments	\$	84.8	\$	98.8	\$	46.3
Interest paid	\$	47.5	\$	51.7	\$	36.7
Non-cash investing and financing activities: Issuance of nonvested shares	\$	4.5	\$	10.5	\$	6.4

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

63

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES Consolidated Statements of Changes in Shareholders Equity (dollars in millions, share amounts in thousands)

	S	eferr tock s An	ζ	Com Sto Shares	ock		Add 1 Paid in Capital		-		ed C on	ocumulated Other nprehensi		Total
Balance, December 31, 2005 Comprehensive	130	\$	6.5	49,520	\$	0.5	\$ 246.3	\$	(52.2)	\$ 103.	8 \$	(6.8)	\$ (4.8)	\$ 293.3
income: Net income Foreign currency translation adj.										135.	3	30.6		135.3 30.6
Pension adjustments, net of \$3.5 million tax expense	f											6.4		6.4
Unrealized investment gain Loss on change in fair value of												2.9		2.9
financial instruments, net of \$16.7 million tax benefit												(28.7)		(28.7)
Comprehensive income Adoption of SFAS	.													146.5
158, net of \$3.8 million tax benefit Preferred stock												(7.0)		(7.0)
dividend Note hedge										(0.	3)			(0.3)
transaction Issuance of							(124.5))						(124.5)
warrants Reclass of							80.4							80.4
unearned stock compensation Issuance of				• • •			(4.8))					4.8	
nonvested shares Stock option and				213			1 1							1 1
RSU expense				2,120		0.1	1.1 22.6							1.1 22.7

121

		_	_									
Exercise of stock options Treasury shares related to nonvested stock												
vesting			(30)	١				(0.8)				(0.8)
Amortization of nonvested shares Excess tax benefits from							3.8					3.8
stock-based compensation Conversion of						1	9.0					19.0
preferred stock Other	(28)	(1.4)	140 39				1.4 0.2					0.2
Balance, December 31, 2006	102	\$ 5.1	52,002	\$	0.6	\$ 24	5.5	\$ (53.0)	\$ 238.8	\$	(2.6) \$	\$ 434.4
Comprehensive income: Net income			,,,,,,	•		·		()	208.6			208.6
Foreign currency									200.0			200.0
translation adj. Defined benefit plans adjustments,											51.3	51.3
net of \$0.1 million tax expense											4.8	4.8
Unrealized investment gain, net of \$0.3 million												
tax expense Loss on change in fair value of											0.8	0.8
financial instruments, net of												
\$16.5 million tax benefit											(19.0)	(19.0)
Comprehensive income Preferred stock												246.5
dividend Issuance of									(0.3)		(0.3)
nonvested shares Stock option and			143									
RSU expense Exercise of stock							2.5					2.5
options			405 (83)	١			5.0	(4.3)				5.0 (4.3)

122

Treasury shares related to nonvested stock vesting Amortization of nonvested shares Excess tax benefits from stock-based						3.8						3.8
compensation Fin 48 adoption						11.1			(18.8)	1		11.0 (18.8)
Other			(37)	١		0.1		(3.0)	()		(0.1)	(2.9)
Balance, December 31, 2007 Comprehensive	102	\$ 5.1	52,430	\$	0.6	\$ 268.0) \$	(60.3)	\$ 428.3	\$	35.2	\$ \$ 676.9
income: Net income									217.2			217.2
Foreign currency translation adj. Defined benefit plans adjustments, net of										((122.8)	(122.8)
\$18.2 million tax expense Unrealized investment gain, net of \$1.0 million											(29.5)	(29.5)
tax expense Loss on change in fair value of financial instruments, net of \$24.9 million tax											(5.8)	(5.8)
benefit Comprehensive											(34.3)	(34.3)
income												24.8
Preferred stock dividend Issuance of nonvested shares			32						(0.3))		(0.3)
Stock option and RSU expense Exercise of stock						6.0)					6.0
options Treasury shares related to nonvested stock			232 (31)	1		2.4	ļ	(1.8)				2.4 (1.8)

123

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

(26)	(1.3)	129 (1,000) (18)		0.4	(11.7) 1.9				(11.7) 1.8	
		(18)		0.4	1.9	(0.5)			1.8	
	(26)	(26) (1.3)	(1,000)	(1,000)	(1,000)	(26) (1.3) 129 1.3 (1,000) (11.7) (11.7)				

See accompanying Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements.

64

Table of Contents

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements

1. General

General Cable Corporation and Subsidiaries (General Cable) is a leading global developer, designer, manufacturer, marketer and distributor in the wire and cable industry. The Company sells copper, aluminum and fiber optic wire and cable products worldwide. The Company s operations are divided into three main reportable segments: North America, Europe and North Africa and Rest of World (ROW) which consists of operations in Latin America, Sub-Saharan Africa, Middle East and Asia Pacific. As of December 31, 2008, General Cable operated 46 manufacturing facilities, which includes 2 facilities owned by companies in which the Company has an equity investment, in 22 countries with regional distribution centers around the world in addition to the corporate headquarters in Highland Heights, Kentucky.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Principles of Consolidation

The Company s consolidated financial statements include the accounts of wholly-owned subsidiaries, majority-owned controlled subsidiaries and variable interest entities where the Company is the primary beneficiary. The Company records its investment in each unconsolidated affiliated company (generally 20-50 percent ownership in which it has the ability to exercise significant influence) at its respective equity in net assets. Other investments (less than 20 percent ownership) are recorded at cost. All intercompany transactions and balances among the consolidated companies have been eliminated.

Use of Estimates

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. These estimates are based on historical experience and information that is available to management about current events and actions the Company may take in the future. Significant items subject to estimates and assumptions include valuation allowances for sales incentives, accounts receivable, inventory and deferred income taxes; legal, environmental, asbestos, uncertain tax positions, warranty and customer reel deposit liabilities; assets and obligations related to pension and other postretirement benefits; business combination accounting and related purchase accounting valuations; financial instruments; and self-insured workers compensation and health insurance reserves. There can be no assurance that actual results will not differ from these estimates.

Revenue Recognition

The majority of the Company s revenue is recognized when goods are shipped to the customer, title and risk of loss are transferred, pricing is fixed and determinable and collectibility is reasonably assured. Most revenue transactions represent sales of inventory. A provision for payment discounts, product returns, warranty and customer rebates is estimated based upon historical experience and other relevant factors and is recorded within the same period that the revenue is recognized. The Company has a portion of long-term product installation contract revenue that is recognized based on the percentage-of-completion method generally based on the cost-to-cost method if there are reasonably reliable estimates of total revenue, total cost, and the extent of progress toward completion; and there is an enforceable agreement between parties who can fulfill their contractual obligations. The Company reviews contract price and cost estimates periodically as the work progresses and reflects adjustments proportionate to the percentage-of-completion to income in the period when those estimates are revised. For these contracts, if a current estimate of total contract cost indicates a loss on a contract, the projected loss is recognized in full when determined.

Stock-Based Compensation

General Cable has various plans which provide for granting options and common stock to certain employees and independent directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. SFAS 123(R) requires all share-based payments to employees, including grants of employee stock options, to be recognized in the financial statements based on their fair values. Under the provisions of the statement, the Company must determine the appropriate fair value model to be used for valuing share-based payments and the amortization method for the compensation cost. The Company has

applied SFAS 123(R) to new awards and to awards modified, repurchased or cancelled after January 1, 2006. Compensation cost for the portion of the awards for which the requisite service had not been rendered, that were outstanding as of January 1, 2006, is being recognized as the requisite service is rendered on or after January 1, 2006 (generally over the remaining vesting period). Information on General Cable s equity compensation plans and additional information on compensation costs from stock-based compensation are described in Note 13 & 14.

65

Table of Contents

Earnings Per Share

Earnings per common share-basic is determined by dividing net income applicable to common shareholders by the weighted average number of common shares-basic outstanding. Earnings per common share-assuming dilution is computed based on the weighted average number of common shares-assuming dilution outstanding which gives effect (when dilutive) to stock options, other stock-based awards, the assumed conversion of the Company s preferred stock, 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes and 0.875% Convertible Notes, if applicable, and other potentially dilutive securities. See discussion in Note 15.

Foreign Currency Translation

For operations outside the United States that prepare financial statements in currencies other than the U.S. dollar, results of operations and cash flows are translated at average exchange rates during the period, and assets and liabilities are translated at spot exchange rates at the end of the period. Foreign currency translation adjustments are included as a separate component of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in shareholders—equity. The effects of changes in exchange rates between the designated functional currency and the currency in which a transaction is denominated are recorded as foreign currency transaction gains (losses) in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Business Combination Accounting

Acquisitions entered into by the Company are accounted for using the purchase method of accounting. The purchase method requires management to make significant estimates. Management must determine the cost of the acquired entity based on the fair value of the consideration paid or the fair value of the net assets acquired, whichever is more clearly evident. The cost is then allocated to the assets acquired and liabilities assumed based on their estimated fair values at the acquisition date. In addition, management must identify and estimate the fair values of intangible assets that should be recognized as assets apart from goodwill as well as the fair value of tangible property, plant and equipment acquired.

Cash Equivalents

The Company considers all highly liquid investments with an original maturity of three months or less from the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. Cash equivalents are carried at cost, which approximates fair value.

Inventories

General Cable values its North American inventories and its non-North American metal inventories using the last-in first-out (LIFO) method and all remaining inventories using the first-in first-out (FIFO) method. Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market value. The Company determines whether a lower of cost or market provision is required on a quarterly basis by computing whether inventory on hand, on a LIFO basis, can be sold at a profit based upon current selling prices less variable selling costs. In the event that provisions are required, the Company will determine the amount of the provision by writing down the value of the inventory to the level of current selling prices less variable selling costs.

The Company has consignment inventory at certain of its customer locations for purchase and use by the customer or other parties. General Cable retains title to the inventory and records no sale until it is ultimately sold either to the customer storing the inventory or to another party. In general, the value and quantity of the consignment inventory is verified by General Cable through either cycle counting or annual physical inventory counting procedures.

Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment are stated at cost. Costs assigned to property, plant and equipment relating to acquisitions are based on estimated fair values at the acquired date. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets: new buildings, from 15 to 50 years; and machinery, equipment and office furnishings, from 2 to 15 years. Leasehold improvements are depreciated over the life of the lease or over the useful life if shorter. The Company s manufacturing facilities perform major maintenance activities during planned shutdown periods which traditionally occur in July and December, and costs related to major maintenance activities are expensed as incurred.

The Company periodically evaluates the recoverability of the carrying amount of long-lived assets (including property, plant and equipment and intangible assets with determinable lives) whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be fully recoverable. The Company evaluates

events or changes in circumstances based mostly on actual historical operating results, but business plans, forecasts, general and industry trends and anticipated cash flows are also considered. Impairment is assessed when the undiscounted expected future cash flows derived from an asset are less than its carrying amount. Impairment losses are measured as the amount by which the carrying value of an asset exceeds its fair value and are recognized in earnings. The Company also continually evaluates the estimated useful lives of all long-lived assets and, when warranted, revises such estimates based on current events.

Goodwill and Intangible Assets

Goodwill and intangible assets with indefinite useful lives are not amortized, but are reviewed at least annually for impairment. If the carrying amount of goodwill or an intangible asset with an indefinite life exceeds its fair value, an impairment loss is recognized in the amount equal to the excess. Intangible assets that are not deemed to have an indefinite life, principally customer relationships, are amortized over their useful lives based on the expected economic benefit consistent with the historical customer attrition rates.

66

Table of Contents

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The Company carries derivative assets, derivative liabilities and available-for-sale (AFS) marketable equity securities held in rabbi trust as part of the Company s deferred compensation plan at fair value. The Company determines the fair market value of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established in SFAS 157 Fair Value Measurements which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs (Level 1) and minimize the use of unobservable inputs (Level 3) when measuring fair value. The three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values include:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. Level 1 assets and liabilities include debt and equity securities and derivative contracts that are traded in an active exchange market, as well as certain U.S. Treasury securities that are highly liquid and are actively traded in over-the-counter markets.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than Level 1 prices such as quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities; quoted prices in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data for substantially the full term of the assets or liabilities. Level 2 assets and liabilities include debt securities with quoted prices that are traded less frequently than exchange-traded instruments and derivative contracts whose value is determined using a pricing model with inputs that are observable in the market or can be derived principally from or corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. Level 3 assets and liabilities include financial instruments whose value is determined using pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies, or similar techniques, as well as instruments for which the determination of fair value requires significant management judgment or estimation. Unobservable inputs shall be developed based on the best information available, which may include the Company s own data.

Forward Pricing Agreements for Purchases of Copper and Aluminum

In the normal course of business, General Cable enters into forward pricing agreements for purchases of copper and aluminum to match certain sales transactions. The Company accounts for these forward pricing arrangements under the normal purchases and normal sales scope exemption of SFAS No. 133 because these arrangements are for purchases of copper and aluminum that will be delivered in quantities expected to be used by the Company over a reasonable period of time in the normal course of business. For these arrangements, it is probable at the inception and throughout the life of the arrangements that the arrangements will not settle net and will result in physical delivery of the inventory. General Cable expects to recover the cost of copper and aluminum under these agreements as a result of firm sales price commitments with customers. See Note 10.

Pension Plans

General Cable provides retirement benefits through contributory and non-contributory qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans covering eligible domestic and international employees as well as through defined contribution plans and other postretirement benefits. Benefits under General Cable s qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan generally are based on years of service multiplied by a specific fixed dollar amount, and benefits under the Company s qualified non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans generally are based on years of service and a variety of other factors that can include a specific fixed dollar amount or a percentage of either current salary or average salary over a specific period of time. The amounts funded for any plan year for the qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan are neither less than the minimum required under federal law nor more than the maximum amount deductible for federal income tax purposes. General Cable s non-qualified unfunded U.S. defined benefit pension plans include a plan that provides defined benefits to select senior management employees beyond those benefits provided by other programs. The Company s non-qualified unfunded non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans include plans that provide retirement indemnities to employees within the Company s Europe and North Africa and ROW segments. Pension obligations for the non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans are provided for by book reserves and are based on local practices and regulations of the respective countries. General Cable makes cash contributions

for the costs of the non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans as the benefits are paid. On June 27, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved amendments to the General Cable Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) and the General Cable Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP) and the merger of the SERP into the DCP. The Company received written acknowledgement and acceptance of the SERP amendments and merger from each participant in the SERP. The amendments and merger were made in order to simplify, limit and better align these specific compensation plans with the Company's compensation policies. As of December 31, 2006, the Company adopted the recognition provisions of SFAS No. 158 and initially applied them to the funded status of its defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions. This statement required the Company to recognize an asset or liability for the underfunded status of its defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions in its Consolidated Balance Sheet for the year ended December 31, 2006. The initial recognition of the funded status of its defined benefit pension plans and postretirement benefits other than pensions resulted in a decrease in Shareholders Equity of \$7.0 million, which was net of a tax benefit of \$3.8 million.

67

Table of Contents

Self-insurance

The Company is self-insured for certain employee medical benefits, workers—compensation benefits, environmental and asbestos-related issues. The Company purchased stop-loss coverage in order to limit its exposure to any significant level of employee medical claims and workers—compensation claims in 2008 and 2007. Certain insurers are also partly responsible for coverage on many of the asbestos-related issues (see Note 17 for information relating to the release of one of these insurers during 2006). Self-insured losses are accrued based upon estimates of the aggregate liability for uninsured claims incurred using the Company—s historical claims experience.

Concentration of Labor Subject to Collective Bargaining Agreements

At December 31, 2008, approximately 13,000 persons were employed by General Cable, and collective bargaining agreements covered approximately 7,000 employees, or 54% of total employees, at various locations around the world. During the five calendar years ended December 31, 2008, the Company experienced two strikes in North America both of which were settled on satisfactory terms. There were no other major strikes at any of the Company s facilities during the five years ended December 31, 2008. In the United States, Canada, Chile, Thailand, Venezuela and Zambia union contracts will expire at seven facilities in 2009 and seven facilities in 2010 representing approximately 9.6% and 14.6%, respectively, of total employees as of December 31, 2008. The Company believes it will successfully renegotiate these contracts as they come due. For countries not specifically discussed above, labor agreements are generally negotiated on an annual or bi-annual basis.

Concentration of Risk

General Cable sells a broad range of products globally. Concentrations of credit risk with respect to trade receivables are limited due to the large number of customers, including members of buying groups, composing General Cable s customer base. General Cable customers generally receive a 30 to 60 day payment period on purchases from the Company, with certain exception in European markets. Certain automotive aftermarket customers of the Company receive payment terms ranging from 45 days to 210 days, which is common in this particular market. Ongoing credit evaluations of customers—financial condition are performed, and generally, no collateral is required. General Cable maintains reserves for potential credit losses and such losses, in the aggregate, have not exceeded management—s estimates. Certain subsidiaries also maintain credit insurance for certain customer balances. Bad debt expense associated with uncollectible accounts for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006 was \$4.3 million, \$9.7 million and \$2.2 million, respectively.

In North America, the Company has centralized the purchasing of its copper, aluminum and other significant raw materials to capitalize on economies of scale and to facilitate the negotiation of favorable purchase terms from suppliers. In 2008, the Company s largest supplier of copper rod accounted for approximately 91% of its North American copper purchases while the largest supplier of aluminum rod accounted for approximately 84% of its North American aluminum purchases. The Company s European operations purchases copper and aluminum rod from many suppliers or brokers with each generally providing a small percentage of the total copper and aluminum rod purchased. The Company s ROW segment internally produces the majority of its copper and aluminum rod production needs and obtains cathode and ingots from various suppliers with each supplier generally providing a small percentage.

Income Taxes

The Company provides for income taxes on all transactions that have been recognized in the Consolidated Financial Statements in accordance with SFAS No. 109. Under SFAS 109, deferred tax assets and liabilities are determined based on the differences between the financial statement basis and tax basis of assets and liabilities using enacted tax rates in effect for the year in which the differences are expected to reverse. The effect of a change in tax rates on deferred tax assets and liabilities is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date.

The Company records a valuation allowance to reduce deferred tax assets to the amount that it believes is more likely than not to be realized. The valuation of the deferred tax asset is dependent on, among other things, the ability of the Company to generate a sufficient level of future taxable income. In estimating future taxable income, the Company has considered both positive and negative evidence, such as historical and forecasted results of operations, including prior losses, and has considered the implementation of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. At December 31, 2008, the Company had recorded a net deferred tax asset of \$82.2 million (\$124.7 million net current deferred tax

asset less \$42.5 million net long term deferred tax liability). The Company has and will continue to review on a quarterly basis its assumptions and tax planning strategies, and, if the amount of the estimated realizable net deferred tax asset is less than the amount currently on the balance sheet, the Company would reduce its deferred tax asset, recognizing a non-cash charge against reported earnings. Likewise, if the Company determines that a valuation allowance against a deferred tax asset is no longer appropriate, the adjustment to the valuation allowance would reduce income tax expense. In 2008 and 2007, the Company determined that improved business performance, expectations of future profitability, and other relevant factors constituted sufficient positive evidence to recognize certain foreign and state deferred tax assets. Accordingly, the Company adjusted the valuation allowances and recognized income tax benefits of approximately \$3.2 million in 2008 and \$12.2 million in 2007.

68

Table of Contents

In July 2006, FASB Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, was issued. This Interpretation clarifies accounting for uncertain tax positions in accordance with SFAS No. 109. FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements and provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition issues. This Interpretation is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The adoption of Interpretation 48 decreased shareholders equity as of January 1, 2007 by approximately \$18.8 million. See Note 11 for additional information.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits within the income tax expense line in the accompanying consolidated statement of operations. Accrued interest and penalties are included within the related tax liability line item in the consolidated balance sheet.

The Company presents taxes assessed by a governmental authority that are directly imposed on a revenue-producing transaction between a seller and a customer including, but not limited to, sales, use, value added, and some excise taxes on a net basis.

Derivative Financial Instruments

Derivative financial instruments are utilized to manage interest rate, commodity and foreign currency risk. General Cable does not hold or issue derivative financial instruments for trading purposes. Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities*, as amended, requires that all derivatives be recorded on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of the derivative depends on the intended use of the derivative and whether it qualifies for hedge accounting. SFAS No. 133, as applied to General Cable s risk management strategies, may increase or decrease reported net income, and shareholders equity, or both, prospectively depending on changes in interest rates and other variables affecting the fair value of derivative instruments and hedged items, but will have no effect on cash flows or economic risk. See further discussion in Note 10 & 19.

Foreign currency and commodity contracts that are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges are used to hedge future sales and purchase commitments. Interest rate swaps that are also designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges are used to achieve a targeted mix of floating rate and fixed rate debt. Unrealized gains and losses on the designated cash flow financial instruments, excluding ineffectiveness, which is recorded in earnings are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying transaction occurs and is recorded in the statement of operations at which point such amounts included in other comprehensive income (loss) are recognized in earnings. This recognition generally will occur over periods of less than one year.

The Company s U.S. dollar to Euro cross currency and interest rate swap expired on November 15, 2007. The instrument was designated as and qualified as a hedge of the Company s net investment in its European operations and was used to hedge the effects of the changes in spot exchange rates on the value of the net investment. At the maturity date, November 15, 2007, the Company paid approximately \$30.5 million to settle the net investment hedge. The unrealized loss recognized in other comprehensive income (loss) may be recorded in the statement of operations if the Company divests of its European operations at some future date.

Shipping and Handling Costs

All shipping and handling amounts billed to a customer in a sales transaction are classified as revenue. Shipping and handling costs associated with storage and handling of finished goods and storage and handling of shipments to customers are included in cost of sales and totaled \$165.4 million, \$117.2 million and \$102.7 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Advertising Expense

Advertising expense consists of expenses relating to promoting the Company s products, including trade shows, catalogs, and e-commerce promotions, and is charged to expense when incurred. Advertising expense was \$11.1 million, \$9.5 million and \$8.2 million in 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

69

Table of Contents

New Accounting Standards

In May 2008, the FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) APB 14-1, *Accounting for Convertible Debt Instruments That May Be Settled in Cash Upon Conversion (including Partial Cash Settlement)*. The FSP specifies that when issuers of convertible debt instruments recognize interest cost in subsequent periods, they should separately account for the liability and equity components of the instrument in a manner that will reflect the entity—s nonconvertible debt borrowing rate on the instrument—s issuance date. The FSP will be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The transition provision will require that entities retrospectively apply the FSP for all periods presented. The Company—s two convertible issuances (see Note 9) will be affected by adopting the FSP. The Company estimates the impact of adopting the FSP on January 1, 2009 will result in a reduction of the Company—s senior convertible notes of approximately \$192.6 million, an increase in additional paid-in capital of approximately \$203.3 million and an increase in deferred taxes of approximately \$37.2 million. The Company estimates the impact of the non-cash interest expense will reduce earning approximately \$31.0 million or \$0.60 basic earning per share for fiscal year 2009. The non-cash interest expense would have been approximately \$29.0 million, \$18.0 million and \$1.2 million for each of the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

In March 2008, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement No. 161, *Disclosures about Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 133*. Statement No. 161 requires qualitative disclosures about the Company s objectives and strategies for using derivatives, quantitative disclosures about the fair value of gains and losses on derivative instruments and disclosures about credit-risk-related contingent features in derivative agreements. The Statement is effective for fiscal years and interim periods beginning after November 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 161 on its disclosures for the consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

In February 2008, FSP No. 157-2 partially delayed the effective date of SFAS No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a nonrecurring basis. This FSP is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008. However, this scope exception does not apply to assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a business combination that are required to be measured at fair value under FASB Statement No. 141, *Business Combinations* or FASB No. 141R, *Business Combinations*. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting FSP No. 157-2 on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows. As discussed below in Note 19, the Company has adopted SFAS No. 157 with the exception of FSP No. 157-2 as it relates to nonrecurring non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations*, and Statement No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements*. Statement No. 141 (revised 2007) requires an acquirer to measure the identifiable assets acquired, the liabilities assumed and any noncontrolling interest in the acquiree at their fair values on the acquisition date, with goodwill being the excess value over the net identifiable assets acquired. This standard also requires the fair value measurement of certain other assets and liabilities related to the acquisition such as contingencies and research and development. Statement No. 160 clarifies that a noncontrolling interest in a subsidiary should be reported at fair value as equity in the consolidated financial statements. Consolidated net income should include the net income for both the parent and the noncontrolling interest with disclosure of both amounts on the consolidated statement of operations. The calculation of earnings per share will continue to be based on income amounts attributable to the parent. The Statements are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company is currently evaluating the impact of adopting SFAS No. 160 and 141(R) on its consolidated financial position, results of operations and cash flows.

3. Acquisitions and Divestitures

On June 30, 2008, the Company and its joint venture partner, A. Soriano Corporation (Anscor), announced that the Company acquired and consolidated Phelps Dodge Philippines (PDP) through an increase of its equity investment from 40% to 60%. The Company paid approximately \$16.4 million in cash to the sellers in consideration for the additional equity interest in PDP and incurred insignificant fees and expenses related to the transaction. PDP is a joint venture established in 1955 by Anscor, a Philippine public holding company with diverse investments, and Phelps Dodge International Corporation (PDIC), a subsidiary of the Company which was acquired in the fourth quarter of

2007. PDP employs approximately 277 associates and operates one of the largest wire and cable manufacturing facilities in the Philippines. The investment complements the Company s strategy in the region by providing a platform for further penetration into Southeast Asia markets as well as supporting ongoing operations in Australia, the Middle East and South Africa. In 2007, the last full year before the purchase of additional equity ownership, PDP reported net revenues of approximately \$100 million (based on average exchange rates). Net assets and pro forma results of the PDP acquisition are immaterial.

On May 21, 2008, the Company entered a joint venture for majority ownership of E.P.E / EN.I.CA.BISKRA/SPA (Enica Biskra), an Algerian state-owned manufacturer of low and medium voltage power and construction cables. Enica Biskra employs approximately 1,000 associates and is a leading provider of utility cables to the principal Algerian state-owned power utility and gas producer. The Company paid approximately \$64.9 million in cash for its investment in Enica Biskra and assumed existing debt of \$43.0 million (at prevailing foreign currency exchange rates on the date of purchase). Fees and expenses related to the acquisition totaled approximately \$1.0 million. In 2007, the last full year before the joint venture was established, Enica Biskra reported net sales of approximately \$102.0 million (based on 2007 average exchange rates). Net assets and pro forma results of the Enica Biskra acquisition are immaterial.

70

Table of Contents

On October 31, 2007, the Company acquired Phelps Dodge International (PDIC), with operations principally located in Latin America, sub-Saharan Africa and Southeast Asia. PDIC has manufacturing, distribution and sales facilities in 19 countries and nearly 3,000 employees. With more than 50 years of experience in the wire and cable industry, PDIC manufactures a full range of electric utility, electrical infrastructure, construction and communication products. The Company paid approximately \$707.6 million in cash to the sellers in consideration for PDIC and \$9.2 million in fees and expenses related to the acquisition. In 2006, the last full year before the acquisition, PDIC reported global net sales of approximately \$1,168.4 million (based on average exchange rates).

The following table represents the final purchase price allocation based on the estimated fair values, or other measurements as applicable, of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed as well as \$8.0 million for the purchase of additional minority interest, in millions:

	October 31, 200				
Assets					
Cash	\$	99.0			
Accounts receivable		279.8			
Inventories		280.7			
Property, plant and equipment		190.3			
Intangible assets		237.4			
Goodwill		159.7			
Other current and noncurrent assets		75.0			
Total assets	\$	1,321.9			
Liabilities					
Current liabilities	\$	396.5			
Other liabilities		114.3			
Total liabilities	\$	510.8			
Minority Interest	\$	86.3			

The Company finalized the purchase price allocation in October 2008. The amount of goodwill recognized for the purchase of PDIC represents the excess of the fair value of identified intangible assets and tangible net assets that is partly attributable to PDIC s 50 plus years of experience in the wire and cable industry, its full range of product offerings and its presence in strategic locations around the world. Further, a certain amount of goodwill is tax deductible in various tax jurisdictions in future periods based on the Company making certain tax elections or other relevant actions. See Note 7 for further discussion.

The following table presents, in millions, actual consolidated results of operations for the Company for the year ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, including the operations of PDIC, and presents the unaudited pro forma consolidated results of operations for the Company for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007 as though the acquisition of PDIC had been completed as of the beginning of that period. This pro forma information is intended to provide information regarding how the Company might have looked if the acquisition had occurred as of January 1, 2007. The pro forma adjustments represent management s best estimates based on information available at the time the pro forma information was prepared and may differ from the adjustments that may actually have been required. Accordingly, the pro forma financial information should not be relied upon as being indicative of the historical results that would have been realized had the acquisition occurred as of the dates indicated or that may be achieved in the future.

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

	Year Ended December 31,					
	2008		2007		2007	
		(As				
	re	eported)	(As	reported)	(Pi	ro forma)
Revenue	\$	6,230.1	\$	4,614.8	\$	5,552.4
Net income applicable to common shareholders	\$	216.9	\$	208.3	\$	263.3
Earnings per common share assuming dilution	\$	4.07	\$	3.82	\$	4.82

Pro forma adjustments have been made to interest expense, depreciation and amortization, income taxes and minority interest in consolidated subsidiaries to present the amounts on a purchase accounting adjusted basis. On April 30, 2007, the Company acquired Norddeutsche Seekabelwerke GmbH & Co. KG (NSW), located in Nordenham, Germany from Corning Incorporated. As a result of the transaction, the Company assumed liabilities in excess of the assets acquired, including approximately \$40.1 million of pension liabilities (based on the prevailing exchange rate at April 30, 2007). The Company recorded proceeds of \$27.7 million (\$11.0 million was received in the third quarter 2007) net of \$1.1 million fees and expenses, which included \$12.3 million of cash acquired and \$5.5 million for settlement of accounts receivable from the former parent company.

71

Table of Contents

The final purchase price allocation based on the estimated fair values, or other measurements as applicable, of the assets acquired and the liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition is as follows (in millions at the prevailing exchange rate at April 30, 2007):

	April 30, 200			
Assets				
Cash	\$	12.3		
Accounts receivable		27.8		
Inventories		29.2		
Property, plant and equipment		2.5		
Other current and noncurrent assets		0.3		
Total assets	\$	72.1		
Liabilities				
Current liabilities	\$	40.5		
Other liabilities		1.4		
Pension liabilities		40.1		
Total liabilities	\$	82.0		

NSW had revenues of approximately \$120 million in 2006 the last year before the acquisition (based on 2006 average exchange rates) and has approximately 400 employees. NSW offers complete solutions for submarine cable systems including manufacturing, engineering, seabed mapping, project management, and installation for the offshore communications, energy exploration, transmission, distribution, and alternative energy markets. Pro forma results of the NSW acquisition are not material.

The results of operations of the acquired businesses discussed above have been included in the consolidated financial statements since the respective dates of acquisition.

4. Other Expense

Other expense includes foreign currency transaction gains or losses, which result from changes in exchange rates between the designated functional currency and the currency in which a transaction is denominated. During 2008, 2007 and 2006, the Company recorded a \$27.2 million loss, \$3.4 million loss and a \$0.1 million loss, respectively, resulting from foreign currency transaction gains and losses. The change 2008 over 2007 is primarily the result of the rapid and significant devaluation of certain emerging market currencies principally in South America and Sub-Sahara Africa.

5. Inventories

Inventories consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31,			
	2008	,	2007	
Raw materials	\$ 197.4	\$	145.5	
Work in process	168.9		154.3	
Finished goods	586.9		629.0	
Total	\$ 953.2	\$	928.8	

At December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, \$610.1 million and \$616.6 million, respectively, of inventories were valued using the LIFO method. Approximate replacement costs of inventories valued using the LIFO method totaled

\$505.9 million at December 31, 2008 and \$792.3 million at December 31, 2007.

If in some future period the Company was not able to recover the LIFO value of its inventory when replacement costs were lower than the LIFO value of the inventory, the Company would be required to record a lower of cost or market LIFO inventory adjustment to recognize the charge in its consolidated statement of operations. In 2008, a \$32.0 million lower of cost or market provision was recorded for copper and aluminum raw material inventory in which the replacement costs at the end of the year were lower than the LIFO value of the acquired copper and aluminum raw material inventory. Approximately, \$23.6 million of the lower of cost or market adjustment is attributable to the high LIFO value of metal inventory acquired in the PDIC acquisition. In 2007, a \$4.5 million lower of cost or market provision was recorded for copper and aluminum raw material inventory obtained as a result of the PDIC acquisition in which the replacement costs at the end of the year were lower than the LIFO value of the acquired copper and aluminum raw material inventory. There was no lower of cost or market provision recorded in 2006. Additionally, during 2008 and 2007, the Company reduced copper and aluminum inventory quantities globally, during which time the replacement costs throughout the year exceeded the LIFO value for the majority of the year, which resulted in a \$2.4 million and \$0.1 million gain, respectively.

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company had approximately \$30.2 million and \$38.8 million, respectively of consignment inventory at locations not operated by the Company with approximately 74%, respectively, of the consignment inventory being located throughout the United States and Canada.

72

Table of Contents

6. Property, Plant and Equipment

Property, plant and equipment consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31,			
		2008		2007
Land	\$	93.1	\$	84.4
Buildings and leasehold improvements		214.7		186.7
Machinery, equipment and office furnishings		783.3		670.9
Construction in progress		121.0		95.0
Total gross book value		1,212.1		1,037.0
Less accumulated depreciation		(331.2)		(298.2)
Total net book value	\$	880.9	\$	738.8

Depreciation expense totaled \$75.5 million, \$55.8 million and \$45.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

During the fourth quarter 2007, the Company rationalized outside plant telecommunication products manufacturing capacity due to continued declines in telecommunications cable demand. The Company closed a portion of its telecommunications capacity and has taken a pre-tax charge to write-off certain production equipment of \$6.6 million. This action will free approximately 100,000 square feet of manufacturing space, which the Company plans to utilize for other products for the Central and South American markets.

On December 27, 2005, General Cable entered into a capital lease for certain pieces of equipment being used at the Company's Indianapolis polymer plant. The capital lease agreement provides that the lease payments for the machinery and equipment will be approximately \$0.6 million semi-annually, or approximately \$1.2 million on an annual basis. The lease expires in December of 2010, and General Cable has the option to purchase the machinery and equipment for fair value at the end of the lease term. The present value of the minimum lease payments on the capital lease at inception was approximately \$5.0 million which is reflected in fixed assets and in short-term and long-term lease obligations in the Company's consolidated balance sheet. The Company has not entered into a material capital lease in 2007 or 2008.

Capital leases included within property, plant and equipment on the balance sheet were \$5.3 million at December 31, 2008 and \$5.7 million at December 31, 2007. Accumulated depreciation on capital leases was \$3.2 million at December 31, 2008 and \$2.5 million at December 31, 2007.

7. Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets, net

The amounts of goodwill and indefinite-lived intangible assets were as follows in millions of dollars:

	Goodwill			Indefinite-lived assets - Trade names					
	North	Europe and			North	Europe	and		
	America	North Africa	ROW	Total	America	North A	frica	ROW	Total
Balance at January 1,									
2007	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	0.5	\$	\$ 0.5
Acquisitions			116.1	116.1				132.4	132.4
Currency translation									
and other									
adjustments ⁽¹⁾									
Balance at									
December 31, 2007			116.1	116.1			0.5	132.4	132.9
Acquisitions	0.8	26.4	43.6	70.8					

Currency translation and other adjustments

(3.5) (11.5) (15.0)

(9.8) (9.8)

Balance at

December 31, 2008 \$ 0.8 \$ 22.9 \$ 148.2 \$ 171.9 \$ \$ 0.5 \$ 122.6 \$ 123.1

(1) The Company did not record currency translation or other purchase price allocation adjustments during 2007 because the acquisition of PDIC occurred on October 31, 2007.

73

Table of Contents

Acquisitions during 2008 include goodwill of \$26.4 million, before currency translation adjustments, related to the acquisition of Enica Biskra in the Company s Europe and North Africa segment as well as final purchase accounting allocation adjustments of \$43.6 million in 2008 in the Company s ROW segment related to the acquisition of PDIC. The amount of goodwill of \$159.7 million, before currency translation adjustments, recognized for the PDIC acquisition reflects the fair market value of PDIC in excess of the fair value of identified intangible assets and tangible net assets as of the date of the acquisition October 31, 2007. The Company finalized the purchase price allocation for the PDIC acquisition in October 2008, which included, among other things, the finalization of asset and liability valuations and the related tax impact. Goodwill and trade names were not impaired as a result of the annual impairment testing performed by the Company in accordance with Financial Accounting Standard Board No. 142, Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets .

The amounts of other intangible assets customer relationships were as follows in millions of dollars:

	December 31,				
		2008		2007	
Amortized intangible assets:					
Customer relationships	\$	106.4	\$	106.4	
Accumulated amortization		(19.1)		(2.6)	
Foreign currency translation adjustment		(8.6)			
Total Amortized intangible assets	\$	78.7	\$	103.8	

As part of the PDIC acquisition and the related purchase accounting adjustments, the Company acquired certain trade names and customer relationships for which the fair market value as of October 31, 2007 was \$132.4 million and \$104.9 million, respectively, before currency translation adjustments. Amortized intangible assets are stated at cost less accumulated amortization as of December 31, 2008 and 2007. Customer relationships have been determined to have a useful life in the range of 3.5 to 10 years and the Company has accelerated the amortization expense to align with the historical customer attrition rates. The amortization of intangible assets in 2008 and 2007 was \$16.5 million and \$14.8 million, respectively. The estimated amortization expense for the next five years is in millions of dollars: 2009 \$14.1 million, 2010 \$13.2 million, 2011 \$10.1 million, 2012 \$8.5 million, and 2013 \$7.6 million and \$25.2 million thereafter.

8. Accrued Liabilities

Accrued liabilities consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31,			
	2008		2007	
Payroll related accruals	\$	85.1	\$	87.4
Customers deposits and prepayments		33.5		34.2
Taxes other than income		17.9		26.5
Customer rebates		78.8		93.0
Insurance claims and related expenses		15.6		23.5
Current deferred tax liability		11.7		28.8
Derivative liability		64.7		11.1
Other accrued liabilities		116.0		92.8
Total	\$	423.3	\$	397.3

Table of Contents

9. Long-Term Debt

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):

	December 31,					
	2008			2007		
1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due 2012	\$	475.0	\$	475.0		
0.875% Convertible Notes due 2013		355.0		355.0		
7.125% Senior Notes due 2017		200.0		200.0		
Senior Floating Rate Notes		125.0		125.0		
Silec credit facilities		84.9		63.5		
PDIC credit facilities		71.5		37.7		
Spanish Term Loan		64.1		31.3		
Asset Based Loan				60.0		
Capital leases		2.3		3.4		
Other		68.8		47.9		
Total debt		1,446.6		1,398.8		
Less current maturities		230.5		500.9		
Long-term debt	\$	1,216.1	\$	897.9		
Weighted average interest rates on the above outstanding balances were as						
follows: 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes due 2012		1 0007		1 000		
0.875% Convertible Notes due 2013		1.00% 0.875%		1.00%		
7.125% Senior Notes due 2017		0.875% 7.125%		0.875% 7.125%		
		6.3%		7.125%		
Senior Floating Rate Notes Silec credit facilities		4.4%				
PDIC credit facilities		4.4% 5.3%		4.8% 6.4%		
		3.5% 4.4%		5.1%		
Spanish Term Loan Asset Based Loan		4.4% %		6.3%		
Capital leases		6.5%		6.5%		
Other		5.8%		0.5% 4.6%		
Ouici		3.0%		4.0%		

1.00% Senior Convertible Notes

The Company s 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes were issued in September 2007 in the amount of \$475.0 million. The notes were sold to qualified institutional buyers in reliance on Rule 144A under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). Subsequently, on April 16, 2008, the notes and the common stock issuable upon conversion of the notes were registered on a Registration Statement on Form S-3. The 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 1.00%, payable semi-annually in arrears, and mature in 2012. The 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis, by the Company s wholly-owned U.S. and Canadian subsidiaries. The estimated fair value of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes was approximately \$285.0 million at December 31, 2008.

The 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes are convertible at the option of the holder into the Company s common stock at an initial conversion price of \$83.93 per share (approximating 11.9142 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes), upon the occurrence of certain events, including (i) during any calendar quarter commencing after March 31, 2008 in which the closing price of the Company s common stock is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price for at least 20 trading days during the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter (establishing a contingent conversion price of \$109.11);

(ii) during any five business day period after any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes for each day of that period is less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of the Company s common stock and the applicable conversion rate; (iii) distributions to holders of the Company s common stock are made or upon specified corporate transactions including a consolidation or merger; and (iv) at any time during the period beginning on September 15, 2012 and ending on the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the stated maturity date. In addition, upon events defined as a fundamental change under the 1.00% Senior Convertible Note indenture, holders of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes may require the Company to repurchase the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes. If upon the occurrence of such events in which the holders of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes exercise the conversion provisions, the Company would need to remit the principal balance of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes to the holders in cash.

75

Table of Contents

Therefore, in the event of fundamental change or the aforementioned average pricing thresholds, the Company would be required to classify the entire amount outstanding of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes as a current liability. The evaluation of the classification of amounts outstanding associated with the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes will occur every quarter.

Upon conversion, a holder will receive, in lieu of common stock, an amount of cash equal to the lesser of (i) the principal amount of 1.00% Senior Convertible Note, or (ii) the conversion value, determined in the manner set forth in the indenture governing the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, of a number of shares equal to the conversion rate. If the conversion value exceeds the principal amount of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Note on the conversion date, the Company will also deliver, at the Company selection, cash or common stock or a combination of cash and common stock with respect to the conversion value upon conversion. If conversion occurs in connection with a fundamental change as defined in the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes indenture, the Company may be required to repurchase the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes for cash at a price equal to the principal amount plus accrued but unpaid interest. In addition, if conversion occurs in connection with certain changes in control, the Company may be required to deliver additional shares of the Company s common stock (a make whole premium, not to exceed 15.1906 shares per \$1,000 principal amount) by increasing the conversion rate with respect to such notes, under this scenario the maximum aggregate number of shares that the Company would be obligated to issue upon conversion of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes is 7,215,535. Under almost all other conditions, the Company may be obligated to issue additional shares up to a maximum of 5,659,245 upon conversion in full of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes.

Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 90-19, Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion, EITF 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company s Own Stock (EITF 00-19), and EITF 01-6, The Meaning of Indexed to a Company s Own Stock (E01-6), the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes are accounted for as convertible debt in the accompanying Consolidated Balance Sheet and the embedded conversion option in the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes has not been accounted for as a separate derivative. For a discussion of the effects of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes on earnings per share, see Note 15.

Proceeds from the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes were used to partially fund the purchase price of \$707.6 million related to the PDIC acquisition and to pay approximately \$12.3 million in debt issuance costs that are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes.

0.875% Convertible Notes

The Company s 0.875% Convertible Notes were issued in November of 2006 in the amount of \$355.0 million, pursuant to the Company s effective Registration Statement on Form S-3. The 0.875% Convertible Notes bear interest at a fixed rate of 0.875%, payable semi-annually in arrears, and mature in 2013. The 0.875% Convertible Notes are unconditionally guaranteed, jointly and severally, on a senior unsecured basis, by the Company s wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries. The estimated fair value of the 0.875% Convertible Notes was approximately \$184.6 million at December 31, 2008.

The 0.875% Convertible Notes are convertible at the option of the holder into the Company s common stock at an initial conversion price of \$50.36 per share (approximating 19.856 shares per \$1,000 principal amount of the 0.875% Convertible Notes), upon the occurrence of certain events, including (i) during any calendar quarter commencing after March 31, 2007 in which the closing price of the Company s common stock is greater than or equal to 130% of the conversion price for at least 20 trading days during the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the preceding calendar quarter (establishing a contingent conversion price of \$65.47 per share); (ii) during any five business day period after any five consecutive trading day period in which the trading price per \$1,000 principal amount of 0.875% Convertible Notes for each day of that period is less than 98% of the product of the closing sale price of the Company s common stock and the applicable conversion rate; (iii) distributions to holders of the Company s common stock are made or upon specified corporate transactions including a consolidation or merger; and (iv) at any time during the period beginning on October 15, 2013 and ending on the close of business on the business day immediately preceding the stated maturity date. In addition, upon events defined as a fundamental change under the 0.875% Convertible Note indenture, holders of the 0.875% Convertible Notes may require the Company to repurchase the 0.875% Convertible Notes. If upon the occurrence of such events in which the holders of

the 0.875% Convertible Notes exercise the conversion provisions, the Company would need to remit the principal balance of the 0.875% Convertible Notes to the holders in cash.

Therefore, in the event of fundamental change or the aforementioned average pricing thresholds, the Company would be required to classify the entire amount outstanding of the 0.875% Convertible Notes as a current liability. The evaluation of the classification of amounts outstanding associated with the 0.875% Convertible Notes will occur every quarter. As a result the entire \$355.0 million has been classified as a current liability as of December 31, 2007 because the average stock price has exceeded the conversion threshold of \$65.47 for 20 trading days during the period of 30 consecutive trading days ending on the last trading day of the previous calendar quarter. However, as the average stock price did not exceed the conversion threshold for the 20 days during the 30 consecutive trading days ending the calendar year, the entire \$355.0 million was classified as a non-current liability as of December 31, 2008.

76

Table of Contents

Upon conversion, a holder will receive, in lieu of common stock, an amount of cash equal to the lesser of (i) the principal amount of 0.875% Convertible Note, or (ii) the conversion value, determined in the manner set forth in the indenture governing the 0.875% Convertible Notes, of a number of shares equal to the conversion rate. If the conversion value exceeds the principal amount of the 0.875% Convertible Note on the conversion date, the Company will also deliver, at the Company s election, cash or common stock or a combination of cash and common stock with respect to the conversion value upon conversion. If conversion occurs in connection with a fundamental change as defined in the 0.875% Convertible Notes indenture, the Company may be required to repurchase the 0.875% Convertible Notes for cash at a price equal to the principal amount plus accrued but unpaid interest. In addition, if conversion occurs in connection with certain changes in control, the Company may be required to deliver additional shares of the Company s common stock (a make whole premium) by increasing the conversion rate with respect to such notes, under this scenario the maximum aggregate number of shares that the Company would be obligated to issue upon conversion of the 0.875% Convertible Notes is 8,987,322. Under almost all other conditions, the Company may be obligated to issue additional shares up to a maximum of 7,048,880 upon conversion in full of the 0.875% Convertible Notes.

Pursuant to Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 90-19, Convertible Bonds with Issuer Option to Settle for Cash upon Conversion, EITF 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in, a Company s Own Stock (EITF 00-19), and EITF 01-6, The Meaning of Indexed to a Company s Own Stock (E01-6), the 0.875% Convertible Notes are accounted for as convertible debt in the accompanying Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheet and the embedded conversion option in the 0.875% Convertible Notes has not been accounted for as a separate derivative. For a discussion of the effects of the 0.875% Convertible Notes and the bond hedges and warrants discussed below on earnings per share, see Note 15.

Concurrent with the sale of the 0.875% Convertible Notes, the Company purchased note hedges that are designed to mitigate potential dilution from the conversion of the 0.875% Convertible Notes in the event that the market value per share of the Company s common stock at the time of exercise is greater than approximately \$50.36. Under the note hedges that cover approximately 7,048,880 shares of the Company s common stock, the counterparties are required to deliver to the Company either shares of the Company s common stock in the amount that the Company delivers to the holders of the 0.875% Convertible Notes with respect to a conversion, calculated exclusive of shares deliverable by the Company by reason of any additional make whole premium relating to the 0.875% Convertible Notes or by reason of any election by the Company to unilaterally increase the conversion rate as permitted by the indenture governing the 0.875% Convertible Notes. The note hedges expire at the close of trading on November 15, 2013, which is also the maturity date of the 0.875% Convertible Notes, although the counterparties will have ongoing obligations with respect to 0.875% Convertible Notes properly converted on or prior to that date as to which the counterparties have been timely notified.

In addition, the Company issued warrants to counterparties that could require the Company to issue up to approximately 7,048,880 shares of the Company s common stock in equal installments on each of the fifteen consecutive business days beginning on and including February 13, 2014. The strike price is \$76.00 per share, which represents a 92.4% premium over the closing price of the Company s shares of common stock on November 9, 2006. The warrants are expected to provide the Company with some protection against increases in the common stock price over the conversion price per share.

The note hedges and warrants are separate and legally distinct instruments that bind the Company and the counterparties and have no binding effect on the holders of the 0.875% Convertible Notes. In addition, pursuant to EITF 00-19 and EITF 01-6, the note hedges and warrants are accounted for as equity transactions. Therefore, the payment associated with the issuance of the note hedges and the proceeds received from the issuance of the warrants were recorded as a charge and an increase, respectively, in additional paid-in capital in shareholders equity as separate equity transactions.

For income tax reporting purposes, the Company has elected to integrate the 0.875% Convertible Notes and the note hedges. Integration of the note hedges with the 0.875% Convertible Notes creates an original issue discount (OID) debt instrument for income tax reporting purposes. Therefore, the cost of the note hedges will be accounted for as interest expense over the term of the 0.875% Convertible Notes for income tax reporting purposes.

Proceeds from the offering were used to pay down \$87.8 million outstanding, including accrued interest, under the Company's Amended Credit Facility, to pay \$124.5 million for the cost of the note hedges, and to pay approximately \$9.4 million in debt issuance costs that are being amortized to interest expense over the term of the 0.875% Convertible Notes. Additionally, the Company received \$80.4 million in proceeds from the issuance of the warrants. At the conclusion of these transactions, the net effect of the receipt of the funds from the 0.875% Convertible Notes and the payments and proceeds mentioned above was an increase in cash of approximately \$213.7 million, which is being used by the Company for general corporate purposes including acquisitions.

77

Table of Contents

7.125% Senior Notes and Senior Floating Rate Notes

On March 21, 2007, the Company completed the issuance and sale of \$325.0 million in aggregate principal amount of new senior unsecured notes, comprised of \$125.0 million of Senior Floating Rate Notes due 2015 (the Senior Floating Rate Notes) and \$200.0 million of 7.125% Senior Fixed Rate Notes due 2017 (the 7.125% Senior Notes and together, the Notes). The Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed by the Company s U.S. subsidiaries. The Notes were offered and sold in private transactions in accordance with Rule 144A and Regulation S under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Securities Act). An exchange offer commenced on June 11, 2007 and was completed on July 26, 2007 to replace the unregistered Notes with registered Notes with like terms pursuant to an effective Registration Statement on Form S-4. The Notes are jointly and severally guaranteed by the Company s wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries. The estimated fair value of the 7.125% Senior Notes and Senior Floating Rate Notes was approximately \$132.8 million and \$59.2 million, respectively, at December 31, 2008.

The Senior Floating Rate Notes bear interest at an annual rate equal to the 3-month LIBOR rate plus 2.375%, which combine for a rate of 6.3% at December 31, 2008. Interest on the Senior Floating Rate Notes is payable quarterly in arrears in cash on January 1, April 1, July 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on July 1, 2007. The 7.125% Senior Notes bear interest at a rate of 7.125% per year and are payable semi-annually in arrears in cash on April 1 and October 1 of each year, commencing on October 1, 2007. The Senior Floating Rate Notes mature on April 1, 2015 and the 7.125% Senior Notes mature on April 1, 2017.

The Notes indenture contains covenants that limit the ability of the Company and certain of its subsidiaries to (i) pay dividends on, redeem or repurchase the Company s capital stock; (ii) incur additional indebtedness; (iii) make investments; (iv) create liens; (v) sell assets; (vi) engage in certain transactions with affiliates; (vii) create or designate unrestricted subsidiaries; and (viii) consolidate, merge or transfer all or substantially all assets. However, these covenants are subject to important exceptions and qualifications, one of which will permit the Company to declare and pay dividends or distributions on the Series A preferred stock so long as there is no default on the Notes and the Company meets certain financial conditions.

The Company may, at its option, redeem the Senior Floating Rate Notes and 7.125% Senior Notes on or after the following dates and at the following percentages plus accrued and unpaid interest:

Senior Floatin	g Rate Notes	7.125% Senior Notes					
Beginning Date	Percentage	Beginning Date	Percentage				
April 1, 2009	102.000%	April 1, 2012	103.563%				
April 1, 2010	101.000%	April 1, 2013	102.375%				
April 1, 2011	100.000%	April 1, 2014	101.188%				
		April 1, 2015	100.000%				

Proceeds from the Notes of \$325.0 million, less approximately \$7.9 million of cash payments for fees and expenses that will be amortized over the life of the Notes, were used to pay approximately \$285.0 million for the 9.5% Senior Notes, \$9.3 million for accrued interest on the 9.5% Senior Notes and \$20.5 million for tender fees and the inducement premium on the 9.5% Senior Notes, leaving net cash proceeds of approximately \$2.3 million that will be used for general corporate purposes.

Silec credit facilities

As of December 31, 2008, Silec s debt was the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$84.9 million. The debt consisted of approximately \$41.5 million relating to an uncommitted accounts receivable facility of up to \$69.9 million and approximately \$43.4 million of short-term financing agreements of up to \$50.4 million. The Company has approximately \$28.4 million of excess availability under the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and \$7.0 million availability under the short-term financing agreements. The weighted average interest rate for the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and the short-term financing arrangements was 4.4%.

PDIC credit facilities

On October 31, 2007 the Company acquired PDIC and assumed the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$64.3 million (at the prevailing exchange rate on that date) of mostly short-term PDIC debt as a part of the acquisition. As of December 31, 2008, PDIC related debt was \$71.5 million of which approximately \$71.0 million was short-term financing

agreements at various interest rates. The weighted average interest rate was 5.3% as of December 31, 2008. The Company has approximately \$338.0 million of excess availability, subject to certain conditions as defined, under these various credit facilities.

78

Table of Contents

Spanish Term Loan and Spanish Credit Facility

The Spanish Term Loan of 50 million euros was issued in December 2005 and was available in up to three tranches, with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.8% to 1.5% depending on certain debt ratios. Two of the tranches have expired. The remaining tranche (maturing in 2012) was paid and terminated, in June 2008, with net payment of approximately 27.2 million euros or \$43.0 million. In February 2008, the Company entered into a term loan in the amount of 20 million euros with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.5%. The term loan is payable in semi-annual installments, due in August and February, maturing in February 2013. Simultaneously, the Company entered into a fixed interest rate swap to coincide with the terms and conditions of the term loan starting in August 2008 and maturing in February 2013 that will effectively hedge the variable interest rate with a fixed interest rate of 4.2%. In April 2008, the Company entered into a term loan in the amount of 10 million euros with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.75%. The term loan is payable in semi-annual installments, due in April and October, maturing in April 2013. Simultaneously, the Company entered into a fixed interest rate swap to coincide with the terms and conditions of the term loan starting in October 2008 and maturing in April 2013 that will effectively hedge the variable interest rate with a fixed interest rate of 4.58%. In June 2008, the Company entered into a term loan in the amount of 21 million euros with an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.75%. The term loan is payable in quarterly installments, due in March, June, September and December, maturing in June 2013. Simultaneously, the Company entered into a fixed interest rate swap to coincide with the terms and conditions of the term loan starting in September 2008 and maturing in June 2013 that will effectively hedge the variable interest rate with a fixed interest rate of 4.48%. As of December 31, 2008, the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$64.1 million was outstanding under these term loan facilities. The proceeds were was used to partially fund the acquisition of Enica Biskra and for general working capital purposes. There is no remaining availability under these Spanish Term Loans. The weighted average interest rate including the effect of the interest rate swaps was 4.4% under these term loan facilities as of December 31, 2008.

Three Spanish Credit Facilities totaling 45 million euros were established in 2008, and mature in 2010, 2011 and 2013 and carry an interest rate of Euribor plus 0.4% to 0.65% depending on certain debt ratios. No funds are currently drawn under these facilities, leaving undrawn availability of approximately the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$62.8 million as of December 31, 2008. Commitment fees ranging from 15 to 25 basis points per annum on any unused commitments under these credit facilities are payable on a quarterly basis.

The Spanish Term Loan and Spanish Credit Facility are subject to certain financial ratios of the Company s European subsidiaries, which includes minimum net equity and net debt to EBITDA (earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization). At December 31, 2008, the Company was in compliance with all covenants under these facilities. In addition, the indebtedness under the combined facilities is guaranteed by the Company s Portuguese subsidiary and by Silec Cable, S.A.

Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility (Amended Credit Facility)

The Company s current senior secured revolving credit facility (Amended Credit Facility), as amended, is a five-year, \$400.0 million asset based revolving credit agreement that includes an approximate \$50.0 million sublimit for the issuance of commercial and standby letters of credit and a \$20.0 million sublimit for swingline loans. Loans under the Amended Credit Facility bear interest at the Company s option, equal to either an alternate base rate (prime plus 0.00% to 0.625%) or an adjusted LIBOR rate plus an applicable margin percentage (LIBOR plus 1.125% to 1.875%). The applicable margin percentage is subject to adjustments based upon the excess availability, as defined. At December 31, 2008, the Company had no outstanding borrowings and undrawn availability of \$301.3 million under the Amended Credit Facility. The Company had outstanding letters of credit related to this Amended Credit Facility of \$29.5 million at December 31, 2008.

Indebtedness under the Amended Credit Facility is guaranteed by the Company s wholly-owned U.S. subsidiaries and is secured by a first priority security interest in tangible and intangible property and assets of the Company s U.S. subsidiaries. The lenders have also received a pledge of all of the capital stock of the Company s existing domestic subsidiaries and any future domestic subsidiaries.

The Amended Credit Facility requires that the Company comply with certain financial covenants, the principal covenant of which is a quarterly minimum fixed charge coverage ratio test, which is only applicable when excess availability, as defined, is below a certain threshold. At December 31, 2007, the Company was in compliance with all

covenants under the Amended Credit Facility. In addition, the Amended Credit Facility includes negative covenants, which restrict certain acts. However, the Company will be permitted to declare and pay dividends or distributions on the Series A preferred stock so long as there is no default under the Amended Credit Facility and the Company meets certain financial conditions. The Credit Facility was originally established in November 2003 and has been periodically amended as illustrated at Exhibits 10.11.1 through 10.11.4, also below is a summary of recent amendments which are also incorporated by reference at Exhibits 10.11.5 through 10.11.7.

During the first quarter of 2007, the Company further amended the Amended Credit Facility. The amendment permitted the Company to issue senior notes of up to \$350.0 million on an unsecured basis, to enter into certain hedging agreements to exchange up to \$100.0 million of any fixed rate of interest on the senior notes for a floating rate and extend or replace existing hedging agreements, to effect a cash tender offer to purchase at least a majority of the \$285.0 million outstanding aggregate principal balance of the 9.5% Senior Notes, to pay fees and expenses related to the tender offer, and to replenish a basket which would allow the Company to repurchase up to \$125.0 million of its outstanding shares of common stock. This basket had previously been used to purchase the note hedges discussed below.

79

Table of Contents

During the fourth quarter of 2007, the Company further amended the Amended Credit Facility, which increased the borrowing limit on the Senior Revolving Credit Facility from \$300 million to \$400 million. Additionally, the amendment extended the maturity date by almost two years to July 2012, and increased the existing interest rates across a pricing grid which is dependent upon excess availability as defined. Additionally, the amendment eliminated or relaxed several provisions, expanded permitted indebtedness to include acquired indebtedness of newly acquired foreign subsidiaries, expanded permitted indebtedness to allow for the issuance of the Company s \$475.0 million 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes and increased the level of permitted loan-funded acquisitions. The amendment permitted the Company to draw funds from its Amended Credit Facility to partially fund the acquisition of Phelps Dodge International (PDIC) in conjunction with funds raised through the abovementioned September 2007 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes offering and available cash on the Company s balance sheet.

The Company pays fees in connection with the issuance of letters of credit and commitment fees equal to 25 basis points, per annum on any unused commitments under the Amended Credit Facility. Both fees are payable quarterly. In connection with the original issuance and related subsequent amendments to the Amended Credit Facility, the Company incurred fees and expenses aggregating \$11.1 million, which are being amortized over the term of the Amended Credit Facility.

Other

As of December 31, 2008, ECN Cable s debt was the U.S. dollar equivalent of \$17.4 million. The debt consisted of approximately \$1.8 million relating to an uncommitted accounts receivable facility of up to \$23.9 million and approximately \$15.6 million of credit facilities of up to \$54.1 million. The Company has approximately \$60.6 million of excess availability under the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and the credit facilities. The weighted average interest rate for the uncommitted accounts receivable facility and the credit facilities was 5.8%.

At December 31, 2008, maturities of long-term debt during each of the years 2009 through 2013 are \$229.4 million, \$15.7 million, \$14.7 million, \$489.7 million, \$360.8 million, respectively, and \$334.0 million thereafter.

As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company was in compliance with all debt covenants. In certain cases, the Company credit facilities discussed above are limited based on transaction and collateral level requirements, as defined in the respective credit facility contract(s).

Maturities of capital lease obligations during each of the years 2009 and 2010 through \$1.1 million and \$1.2 million, respectively.

10. Financial Instruments

General Cable is exposed to various market risks, including changes in interest rates, foreign currency and raw material (commodity) prices. To manage risks associated with the volatility of these natural business exposures, General Cable enters into interest rate, commodity and foreign currency derivative agreements, as it relates to both transactions and the Company s net investment in its European operations, as well as copper and aluminum forward pricing agreements. General Cable does not purchase or sell derivative instruments for trading purposes. General Cable does not engage in trading activities involving commodity contracts for which a lack of marketplace quotations would necessitate the use of fair value estimation techniques. Depending on the extent of an unrealized loss position on a derivative contract held by the Company, certain counterparties may require a deposit to secure the derivative contract position. The Company recorded \$8.7 million in prepaid expenses and other assets line item on the consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2008. No such deposit was required as of December 31, 2007.

Cash Flow Hedges

General Cable utilizes interest rate swaps to manage its interest expense exposure by fixing its interest rate on a portion of the Company s floating rate debt. Under the swap agreements, General Cable typically pays a fixed rate while the counterparty pays to General Cable the difference between the fixed rate and the floating rate. The Company has entered into interest rate swaps on the Company s Spanish Term Loans. The interest rate swaps were effective beginning in August, September, and October of 2008 as discussed above in Note 9. As of December 31, 2008, in addition to the above mentioned Spanish Term Loans related interest rate swaps, the Company has one outstanding interest rate swap with a notional value of \$9.0 million and provides for fixed a interest rate of 4.49% which matures in October 2011. The Company does not provide or receive any collateral specifically for this contract. The fair value of interest rate derivatives, which are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges as defined in SFAS No. 133, are

based on quoted market prices, which reflect the present values of the difference between estimated future variable-rate receipts and future fixed-rate payments. At December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, the net unrealized loss on the interest rate derivative and the related carrying value was \$0.7 million and \$0.5 million, respectively.

80

Table of Contents

Outside of North America, General Cable enters into commodity futures contracts, which are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges as defined in SFAS No. 133, for the purchase of copper and aluminum for delivery in a future month to match certain sales transactions. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had an unrealized loss of \$84.7 million and \$18.8 million, respectively, on the commodity futures.

The Company enters into forward exchange contracts, which are designated as and qualify as cash flow hedges as defined in SFAS No. 133, principally to hedge the currency fluctuations in certain transactions denominated in foreign currencies, thereby limiting the Company s risk that would otherwise result from changes in exchange rates. Principal transactions hedged during the year were firm sales and purchase commitments. The fair value of foreign currency contracts represents the amount required to enter into offsetting contracts with similar remaining maturities based on quoted market prices. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the net unrealized gain on the net foreign currency contracts was \$0.4 million and \$8.2 million, respectively.

Unrealized gains and losses on the Company's derivative financial instruments are recorded in other comprehensive income (loss) until the underlying transaction occurs and is recorded in the statement of operations at which point such amounts included in other comprehensive income (loss) are recognized in income, which generally will occur over periods less than one year. During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, a pre-tax \$5.5 million loss, a pre-tax \$0.9 million loss and a pre-tax \$20.9 million gain, respectively, were reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to the statement of operations. A pre-tax loss of \$62.5 million is expected to be reclassified into earnings from other comprehensive income during 2009.

Fair Value of Designated Derivatives

The notional amounts and fair values of these designated cash flow financial instruments at December 31, 2008 and 2007 are shown below (in millions). The carrying amount of the financial instruments was a net liability of \$85.0 million and a net liability of \$11.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

	2008					2007			
	Notional Amount			Fair		Notional		Fair	
			7	Value	Amount		Value		
Cash flow hedges:									
Interest rate swap	\$	74.6	\$	(0.7)	\$	9.0	\$	(0.5)	
Commodity futures		198.1		(84.7)		297.7		(18.8)	
Foreign currency forward exchange		438.3		0.4		380.5		8.2	
			\$	(85.0)			\$	(11.1)	

Other Forward Pricing Agreements

In the normal course of business, General Cable enters into forward pricing agreements for the purchase of copper and aluminum for delivery in a future month to match certain sales transactions. The Company accounts for these forward pricing arrangements under the normal purchases and normal sales scope exemption of SFAS No. 133 because these arrangements are for purchases of copper and aluminum that will be delivered in quantities expected to be used by the Company over a reasonable period of time in the normal course of business. For these arrangements, it is probable at the inception and throughout the life of the arrangements that the arrangements will not settle net and will result in physical delivery of the inventory. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had \$90.5 million and \$90.1 million, respectively, of future copper and aluminum purchases that were under forward pricing agreements. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had an unrealized loss of \$25.1 million and \$4.0 million, respectively, related to these transactions. The fair market value of the forward pricing agreements was \$65.4 million and \$86.1 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. General Cable expects the unrealized losses under these agreements to be offset as a result of firm sales price commitments with customers.

Table of Contents

11. Income Taxes

For financial reporting purposes, income before income taxes includes the following components:

	Year Ended December 31,								
		2008		2007		2006			
United States	\$	111.1	\$	112.6	\$	72.1			
Foreign		227.3		195.2		128.1			
Total	\$	338.4	\$	307.8	\$	200.2			

The provision (benefit) for income taxes attributable to continuing operations consisted of the following (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2008			2007		2006		
Current tax expense:								
Federal	\$	20.7	\$	24.4	\$	6.8		
State		2.0		3.8		0.3		
Foreign		78.7		59.7		53.1		
Deferred tax expense (benefit):								
Federal		14.6		15.7		22.5		
State		2.5		(6.1)		(5.8)		
Foreign		(5.8)		1.9		(12.0)		
Total	\$	112.7	\$	99.4	\$	64.9		

The reconciliation of reported income tax expense (benefit) to the amount of income tax expense that would result from applying domestic federal statutory tax rates to pretax income from continuing operations is as follows (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,									
		2008		2007	2	2006				
Statutory federal income tax	\$	118.4	\$	107.6	\$	70.1				
State and foreign income tax differential ⁽¹⁾		(7.1)		(5.8)		(4.7)				
Other, net		1.4		(2.4)		(0.5)				
Total	\$	112.7	\$	99.4	\$	64.9				

(1) The 2008, 2007 and 2006 state and foreign income tax differential amount includes \$3.2 million, \$12.2 million and \$6.3 million of tax benefits, respectively,

attributable to the recognition of certain state and foreign deferred tax assets that were previously subject to valuation allowances.

The components of deferred tax assets and liabilities were as follows (in millions):

	December 31,						
	2008			2007			
Deferred tax assets:							
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$	20.8	\$	24.0			
Pension and retiree benefits accruals		33.9		24.8			
Inventory		81.9		100.2			
Depreciation and fixed assets		7.9		6.5			
Tax credit carryforwards		4.7		8.1			
Other liabilities		80.5		49.1			
Valuation allowance		(11.7)		(19.3)			
Total deferred tax assets		218.0		193.4			
Deferred tax liabilities:							
Inventory		11.6		5.9			
Depreciation and fixed assets		62.1		69.9			
Intangibles		62.1		74.7			
Total deferred tax liabilities		135.8		150.5			
Net deferred tax assets	\$	82.2	\$	42.9			

82

Table of Contents

The valuation of the deferred tax asset is dependent on, among other things, the ability of the Company to generate a sufficient level of future taxable income in relevant taxing jurisdictions. In estimating future taxable income, the Company has considered both positive and negative evidence and has considered the implementation of prudent and feasible tax planning strategies. The Company has and will continue to review on a quarterly basis its assumptions and tax planning strategies and, if the amount of the estimated realizable net deferred tax asset is less than the amount currently on the balance sheet, the Company would reduce its deferred tax asset, recognizing a non-cash charge against reported earnings.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company has recorded a valuation allowance for certain foreign net operating loss carryforwards and temporary differences due to uncertainties regarding the ability to obtain future tax benefits for these tax attributes. In 2008 and 2007, the Company determined that improved business performance, expectations of future profitability, and other relevant factors constituted sufficient positive evidence to recognize certain foreign and state deferred tax assets. Accordingly, the Company adjusted the valuation allowances and recognized income tax benefits of \$3.2 million and \$12.2 million in 2008 and 2007, respectively.

The Company has recognized deferred tax assets of approximately \$10.5 million for net tax loss carryforwards in various taxing jurisdictions as follows:

	Net Tax Loss			
Jurisdiction	Carryforward	Expiration		
Australia	\$ 4.6	Indefinite		
Brazil	18.6	Indefinite		
France	1.1	Indefinite		
Mexico	1.5	Indefinite		
South Africa	0.4	Indefinite		
United States	5.4	2009		
Total	\$ 31.6			

The Company also has various foreign subsidiaries with approximately \$35 million of tax loss carryforwards in various jurisdictions that are subject to a valuation allowance due to statutory limitations on utilization, uncertainty of future profitability, and other relevant factors.

The Company does not provide for deferred taxes on the excess of the financial reporting over the tax basis in investments in foreign subsidiaries that are essentially permanent in duration. That excess was approximately \$575 million as of December 31, 2008. The determination of the additional tax expense that would be incurred upon repatriation of assets or disposition of foreign subsidiaries is not practical.

On January 1, 2007, the Company adopted Financial Accounting Standards Board Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes (FIN 48). FIN 48 prescribes a recognition threshold that a tax position is required to meet before being recognized in the financial statements and provides guidance on derecognition, measurement, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition issues.

In connection with the January 1, 2007 adoption of FIN 48, the Company recognized an \$18.8 million decrease in opening retained earnings and had total unrecognized tax benefits of \$45.6 million, of which \$37.2 million would have a favorable impact on the effective tax rate if recognized. The following is a tabular reconciliation of the total amounts of unrecognized tax benefits for the year:

In millions	2	2008	2007
Unrecognized Tax Benefit Beginning balance	\$	57.8	\$ 45.6
Gross Increases Tax Positions in Prior Period		0.9	1.9
Gross Decreases Tax Positions in Prior Period		(0.7)	(0.4)
Gross Increases Tax Positions in Current Period		3.2	6.0
Gross Increases Business Combinations		5.0	4.2

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Settlements		(0.2)
Lapse of Statute of Limitations	(1.1)	(1.1)
Foreign Currency Translation	(3.4)	1.8
Unrecognized Tax Benefit Ending Balance	\$ 61.7	\$ 57.8

Included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2008 and 2007, are \$54.6 million and \$45.5 million of tax benefits that, if recognized, would affect the effective tax rate. Also included in the balance of unrecognized tax benefits at December 31, 2008 and 2007, are \$7.1 million and \$9.8 million of tax benefits that, if recognized, would result in adjustments to deferred taxes. At December 31, 2007, there were \$2.5 million of unrecognized tax benefits that, if recognized, would result in a decrease to goodwill recorded in purchase business combination.

Table of Contents

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to unrecognized tax benefits as income tax expense. Related to the unrecognized tax benefits noted above, the Company accrued penalties of \$1.5 million and interest of \$5.0 million during 2008 and in total, as of December 31, 2008, has recognized a liability for penalties of \$2.6 million and interest of \$9.5 million. During 2007, the Company accrued penalties of \$(0.5) million and interest of \$2.3 million and in total, as of December 31, 2007, had recognized a liability for penalties of \$1.1 million and interest of \$4.6 million. In addition, the Company believes that it is reasonably possible that approximately \$2.3 million related to various state and foreign unrecognized tax positions could change within the next twelve months due to the expiration of the statute of limitations or tax audit settlements.

The Company files income tax returns in the United States and numerous foreign, state, and local tax jurisdictions. Tax years that are open for examination and assessment by the Internal Revenue Service are 2005 2008. With limited exceptions, tax years prior to 2004 are no longer open in major foreign, state or local tax jurisdictions.

12. Employee Benefit Plans

General Cable provides retirement benefits through contributory and noncontributory qualified and non-qualified defined benefit pension plans covering eligible domestic and international employees as well as through defined contribution plans and other postretirement benefits.

Defined Benefit Pension Plans

Benefits under General Cable s qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan generally are based on years of service multiplied by a specific fixed dollar amount, and benefits under the Company s qualified non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans generally are based on years of service and a variety of other factors that can include a specific fixed dollar amount or a percentage of either current salary or average salary over a specific period of time. The amounts funded for any plan year for the qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan are neither less than the minimum required under federal law or more than the maximum amount deductible for federal income tax purposes. General Cable s non-qualified unfunded U.S. defined benefit pension plans include a plan that provides defined benefits to select senior management employees beyond those benefits provided by other programs. The Company s non-qualified unfunded non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans include plans that provide retirement indemnities and other post-retirement payments to employees within the Company s European and ROW segments. The Company s pension obligation increased \$40.1 million due to the NSW acquisition on April 30, 2007, see Note 3. Pension obligations for the majority of non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans are provided for by book reserves and are based on local practices and regulations of the respective countries. General Cable makes cash contributions for the costs of the non-qualified unfunded defined benefit pension plans as the benefits are paid.

On June 27, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved amendments to the General Cable Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) and the General Cable Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP) and the merger of the SERP into the DCP. The Company received written acknowledgement and acceptance of the SERP amendments and merger from each participant in the SERP. The amendments and merger were made in order to simplify, limit and better align these specific compensation plans with the Company s compensation policies. The amendments and merger (i) provided to each active SERP participant an enhanced benefit which reflected an additional period of credited service through December 31, 2008, and each participant s estimated 2007 and 2008 base and bonus compensation, (ii) froze the accrual of benefits under the SERP following the addition of the enhanced benefit, (iii) converted the SERP from a non-account balance plan into an account balance plan by replacing the accrued benefit of a participant with a benefit based on the value of an account balance, being credited initially by the present value of the participant s unvested enhanced benefit in the SERP, (iv) required the participants to make an election with regard to time and form of payment of the amounts credited to the account balance which became effective as of June 27, 2007, and (v) transferred all account balances and all account liabilities under the amended SERP to the DCP to be governed by the provisions of the DCP, including, but not limited to, those relating to the time and form of benefit payment, investment recommendations and vesting. The Company funded each participant s account balance with contributions to the Company s Rabbi Trust as part of the DCP, as amended. As a result of the amendments and merger and based on the guidance provided in SFAS No. 88, Employer s Accounting for Settlements and Curtailments of Defined Benefit Pension Plans and for Termination Benefits, a curtailment loss of approximately \$3.2 million and a settlement gain of approximately \$4.3 million were recognized, resulting in a net gain of

approximately \$1.1 million.

84

Table of Contents

The changes in the benefit obligation and plan assets, the funded status of the plans and the amounts recognized in the Consolidated Balance Sheets were as follows (in millions):

	U.S. Plans December 31,					Non-U.S. Plans December 31,			
		2008		2007		2008		2007	
Changes in Benefit Obligation:	\$	1.40.1	ď	150.7	¢	02.4	\$	27.4	
Beginning benefit obligation Impact of foreign currency exchange rate change	Þ	140.1	\$	150.7	\$	92.4 (12.1)	Э	37.4 7.2	
Acquisitions						8.5		50.5	
Service cost		1.4		1.6		2.7		1.7	
Interest cost		8.2		8.4		4.9		3.6	
Curtailment loss				(1.6)					
Benefits paid		(10.4)		(18.4)		(3.6)		(2.6)	
Employee contributions						0.1		0.1	
Amendments / Change in assumptions		0.2		0.3		0.2			
Actuarial (gain) loss		7.0		(0.9)		(4.9)		(5.5)	
Ending benefit obligation	\$	146.5	\$	140.1	\$	88.2	\$	92.4	
Changes in Plan Assets:	Φ.	120.4	Φ.	106.5	Φ.	20.6	Φ.	25.0	
Beginning fair value of plan assets	\$	129.4	\$	126.5	\$	30.6	\$	25.9	
Impact of foreign currency exchange rate change Acquisitions						(6.4) 0.5		3.0	
Actual return on plan assets		(32.9)		9.0		(4.0)		0.2	
Company contributions		4.4		12.3		4.9		4.1	
Benefits paid		(10.4)		(18.4)		(3.6)		(2.6)	
Ending fair value of plan assets	\$	90.5	\$	129.4	\$	22.0	\$	30.6	
Funded status at end of year	\$	(56.0)	\$	(10.7)	\$	(66.2)	\$	(61.8)	
Amounts Recognized in Consolidated Balance									
Sheet:									
Other Assets	\$		\$		\$	0.3	\$		
Accrued liabilities	\$	(0.5)	\$	(0.4)	\$	(3.0)	\$	(2.0)	
Other liabilities	\$	(55.5)	\$	(10.3)	\$	(63.5)	\$	(59.8)	
Pagagnized in Aggumulated Other Comprehensive									
Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:									
Net actuarial loss	\$	84.5	\$	36.2	\$	2.6	\$	4.1	
Prior service cost	Ψ	1.0	Ψ	1.4	Ψ	0.9	Ψ	1.0	
Transition obligation						0.3		0.4	
	\$	85.5	\$	37.6	\$	3.8	\$	5.5	

Accumulated benefit obligation (1) \$ 145.8 \$ 139.4 \$ 73.8 \$ 84.6

(1) Denotes accumulated benefit obligation in excess of plan assets.

The accumulated benefit obligation for all of the Company s defined benefit pension plans was \$225.3 million and \$224.0 million at December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

85

Table of Contents

Pension expense included the following components (in millions):

	U.S. Plans							Non-U.S. Plans						
	Year Ended December 31,						Year Ended December 31,							
		2008		2007	2	2006	2	800	2	007	2	006		
Pension expense:														
Service cost	\$	1.4	\$	1.6	\$	1.8	\$	2.7	\$	1.7	\$	0.9		
Interest cost		8.2		8.4		8.6		4.9		3.6		1.7		
Expected return on plan assets		(10.8)		(10.5)		(9.8)		(1.7)		(2.0)		(1.5)		
Amortization of prior service														
cost		0.7		0.8		1.3		0.1		0.1		0.1		
Amortization of net loss		2.3		2.1		2.8		0.3		0.5		0.3		
Amortization of transition														
obligation								0.1		0.1		0.1		
Curtailment loss				3.2										
Settlement gain				(4.3)										
Net pension expense	\$	1.8	\$	1.3	\$	4.7	\$	6.4	\$	4.0	\$	1.6		

The estimated net loss and prior service cost for the defined benefit pension plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net pension expense over the next fiscal year are \$7.5 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

General Cable evaluates its actuarial assumptions at least annually, and adjusts them as necessary. The Company uses a measurement date of December 31 for all of its defined benefit pension plans. The weighted average assumptions used in determining benefit obligations were:

	U.S. Pla	ans	Non-U.S. Plans			
	2008	2007	2008	2007		
Discount rate	5.75%	6.00%	5.91%	5.62%		
Expected rate of increase in future compensation						
levels	2.50%	2.50%	4.05%	3.66%		

The weighted average assumptions used to determine net pension expense were:

	U.S. Plans			Non-U.S. Plans			
	2008	2007	2006	2008	2007	2006	
Discount rate	6.00%	6.00%	5.75%	5.76%	4.99%	4.72%	
Expected rate of increase in							
future compensation levels	2.25%	4.00%	4.00%	4.33%	3.35%	2.72%	
Long-term expected rate of							
return on plan assets	8.50%	8.50%	8.50%	6.70%	6.74%	6.90%	

Pension expense for the defined benefit pension plans sponsored by General Cable is determined based principally upon certain actuarial assumptions, including the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on assets. The discount rates for the U.S. defined benefit pension plans were determined based on a review of long-term bonds that receive one of the two highest ratings given by a recognized rating agency which are expected to be available during the period to maturity of the projected pension benefit obligations and based on information received from actuaries. Non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans followed a similar evaluation process based on financial markets in those countries where General Cable provides a defined benefit pension plan.

The weighted-average long-term expected rate of return on assets is based on input from actuaries, including their review of historical 10-year, 20-year, and 25-year rates of inflation and real rates of return on various broad equity and

bond indices in conjunction with the diversification of the asset portfolio. The expected long-term rate of return on assets for the qualified U.S. defined benefit pension plan is based on an asset allocation assumption of 65% allocated to equity investments, with an expected real rate of return of 8%, and 35% to fixed-income investments, with an expected real rate of return of 2%, and an assumed long-term rate of inflation of 3%. The actual asset allocations were 56% of equity investments and 44% of fixed-income investments at December 31, 2008 and 60% of equity investments and 40% of fixed-income investments at December 31, 2007. Approximately 36% and 34% of plan assets were concentrated in two mutual funds as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The expected long-term rate of return on assets for qualified non-U.S. defined benefit plans is based on a weighted-average asset allocation assumption of 52% allocated to equity investments, 44% to fixed-income investments and 4% to other investments. The actual weighted-average asset allocations were 49% of equity investments, 47% of fixed-income investments and 4% of other investments at December 31, 2008 and 52% of equity investments, 43% of fixed-income investments and 5% of other investments at December 31, 2007. Management believes that long-term asset allocations on average and by location will approximate the Company s assumptions and that the long-term rate of return used by each country that is included in the weighted-average long-term expected rate of return on assets is a reasonable assumption.

86

Table of Contents

The determination of pension expense for the qualified defined benefit pension plans is based on the fair market value of assets as of the measurement date. Investment gains and losses are recognized in the measurement of assets immediately. Such gains and losses will be amortized and recognized as part of the annual benefit cost to the extent that unrecognized net gains and losses from all sources exceed 10% of the greater of the projected benefit obligation or the market value of assets.

General Cable s expense under both U.S. and non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans is determined using the discount rate as of the beginning of the fiscal year, so 2009 expense for the pension plans will be based on the weighted-average discount rate of 5.75% for U.S. defined benefit pension plans and 5.91% for non-U.S. defined benefit pension plans.

The Company expects to contribute, at a minimum, \$9.3 million to its defined benefit pension plans for 2009. The estimated future benefit payments expected to be paid for the Company s defined benefit pension plans are \$13.8 million in 2009, \$13.9 million in 2010, \$14.8 million in 2011, \$15.8 million in 2012, \$15.6 million in 2013 and \$79.9 million in the five years thereafter.

Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions

General Cable has postretirement benefit plans that provide medical and life insurance for certain retirees and eligible dependents. General Cable funds the plans as claims or insurance premiums are incurred.

The changes in accrued postretirement benefits were as follows (in millions):

	December 31,				
	2	800		2007	
Changes in Benefit Obligation:					
Beginning benefit obligation	\$	11.4	\$	11.8	
Service cost		0.1		0.1	
Interest cost		0.5		0.6	
Actuarial loss		(1.4)		0.6	
Benefits paid		(1.4)		(1.7)	
Foreign currency impact		(0.1)			
Ending benefit obligation	\$	9.1	\$	11.4	
Funded status at end of year	\$	(9.1)	\$	(11.4)	
Amounts Recognized in Consolidated Balance Sheet:					
Accrued liabilities	\$	(1.3)	\$	(1.6)	
Other liabilities	\$	(7.8)	\$	(9.8)	
Recognized in Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income:					
Net actuarial loss	\$	2.5	\$	4.0	
Prior service cost	ψ	(0.5)	Ψ	(0.5)	
THOI SCIVICE COST		(0.3)		(0.3)	
	\$	2.0	\$	3.5	

Net postretirement benefit expense included the following components (in millions):

	Year Ended December 31,	
2008	2007	2006

Postretirement benefit expense:

Service cost	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1	\$ 0.1
Interest cost	0.5	0.6	0.7
Amortization of prior service cost	(0.1)	(0.1)	(0.1)
Amortization of net loss	0.2	0.3	0.3
Net postretirement benefit expense	\$ 0.7	\$ 0.9	\$ 1.0

87

Table of Contents

The estimated net loss and prior service cost for the postretirement benefit plans that will be amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income into net pension expense over the next fiscal year are \$0.2 million and \$(0.1) million, respectively.

The discount rate used in determining the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation was 5.50% for the year ended December 31, 2008, 5.50% for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 5.75% for the year ended December 31, 2006. The discount rate used in determining the net postretirement benefit expense was 5.5% for the year ended December 31, 2008, 5.8% for the year ended December 31, 2007 and 5.5% for the years ended December 31, 2006. The assumed health-care cost trend rate used in measuring the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation in 2008 was 9.0% decreasing gradually to 4.50% in year 2014 and thereafter, in 2007 was 9.00%, decreasing gradually to 4.50% in year 2013 and thereafter and in 2006 was 8.00% decreasing gradually to 4.50% in year 2012 and thereafter. Increasing the assumed health-care cost trend rate by 1% would result in an increase in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation of \$0.5 million. Decreasing the assumed health-care cost trend rate by 1% would result in a decrease in the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation of \$0.4 million for 2008. The effect of this change would decrease net postretirement benefit expense by less than \$0.1 million.

The estimated future benefit payments expected to be paid for the Company s postretirement benefits other than pensions are \$1.4 million in 2009, \$1.4 million in 2010, \$1.3 million in 2011, \$1.1 million in 2012, \$1.0 million in 2013 and \$3.7 million in the five years thereafter.

Defined Contribution Plans

Expense under both U.S. and non-U.S. defined contribution plans generally equals up to six percent of each eligible employee s covered compensation based on the location and status of the employee. The net defined contribution plan expense recognized was \$9.3 million, \$8.5 million and \$8.0 million, respectively, for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006.

13. Shareholders Equity

General Cable is authorized to issue 200 million shares of common stock and 25 million shares of preferred stock. The Company issued 2,070,000 shares of General Cable 5.75% Series A Redeemable Convertible Preferred Stock (Series A preferred stock) on November 24, 2003 and subsequent to the November 9, 2005 inducement offer, 76,233 shares and 101,940 shares are outstanding under the original terms of the Series A preferred stock issuance as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company paid fees and expenses of \$4.2 million related to this transaction, which included an underwriting discount of \$3.4 million. The Series A preferred stock was offered only to qualified institutional buyers in reliance on Rule 144A under the Securities Act.

The preferred stock has a liquidation preference of \$50.00 per share. Dividends accrue on the convertible preferred stock at the rate of 5.75% per annum and are payable quarterly in arrears. Dividends are payable in cash, shares of General Cable common stock or a combination thereof. Holders of the convertible preferred stock are entitled to convert any or all of their shares of convertible preferred stock into shares of General Cable common stock, at an initial conversion price of \$10.004 per share. The conversion price is subject to adjustments under certain circumstances. General Cable is obligated to redeem all outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock on November 24, 2013 at par. The Company may, at its option, elect to pay the redemption price in cash or in shares of General Cable common stock with an equivalent fair value, or any combination thereof. The Company has the option to redeem some or all of the outstanding shares of convertible preferred stock in cash beginning on the fifth anniversary of the issue date. The redemption premium will initially equal one-half the dividend rate on the convertible preferred stock and decline ratably to par on the date of mandatory redemption. In the event of a change in control, the Company has the right to either redeem the preferred stock for cash or to convert the preferred stock to common stock.

The Company maintains a deferred compensation plan (Deferred Compensation Plan). This plan is available to directors and certain officers and managers of the Company. On June 27, 2007, the Board of Directors of the Company approved amendments to the General Cable Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan (SERP) and the General Cable Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan (DCP) in order to merge the SERP into the DCP. The plan allows participants to defer all or a portion of their directors fees and/or salary and annual bonuses, as applicable, and

it permits participants to elect to contribute and defer all or any portion of their nonvested stock, restricted stock and stock awards. All deferrals to the participants—accounts vest immediately; Company contributions vest according to the vesting schedules in the qualified plan and nonvested stock and restricted stock vests according to the schedule designated by the award. The Company makes matching and retirement contributions (currently equal to 6%) of compensation paid over the maximum allowed for qualified pension benefits, whether or not the employee elects to defer any compensation. The Deferred Compensation Plan does not have dollar limits

88

Table of Contents

on tax-deferred contributions. The assets of the Deferred Compensation Plan are held in a Rabbi Trust (Trust) and, therefore, are available to satisfy the claims of the Company's creditors in the event of bankruptcy or insolvency of the Company. Participants have the right to request that their account balance be determined by reference to specified investment alternatives (with the exception of the portion of the account which consists of deferred nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock). With certain exceptions, these investment alternatives are the same alternatives offered to participants in the General Cable Retirement and Savings Plan for Salaried Associates. In addition, participants have the right to request that the Plan Administrator re-allocate the deferral among available investment alternatives; provided, however that the Plan Administrator is not required to honor such requests. Distributions from the plan are generally made upon the participants termination as a director and/or employee, as applicable, of the Company. Participants receive payments from the plan in cash, either as a lump sum payment or through equal annual installments from between one and ten years, except for the nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock, which the participants receive in shares of General Cable stock. The Company accounts for the Deferred Compensation Plan in accordance with EITF 97-14, Accounting for Deferred Compensation Arrangements Where Amounts Earned are Held in a Rabbi Trust and Invested.

Assets of the Trust, other than the nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock of the Company, are invested in funds covering a variety of securities and investment strategies, approximately 90% are invested in mutual funds and the remaining 10% are invested in a General Cable stock fund. Mutual funds available to participants are publicly quoted and reported at market value. The Company accounts for these investments as available-for-sale securities in accordance with SFAS No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities. The Trust also holds nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock shares of the Company. The Company s nonvested and subsequently vested and restricted stock that is held by the Trust has been accounted for in additional paid-in capital since the adoption of SFAS 123(R) on January 1, 2006, and prior to that date, had been accounted for in other shareholders equity in the consolidated balance sheet, and the market value of this nonvested and subsequently vested stock, restricted stock and stock awards was \$23.5 million as of December 31, 2008 and \$45.8 million as of December 31, 2007. The market value of the assets held by the Trust, exclusive of the market value of the shares of the Company s nonvested and subsequently vested stock, restricted stock and stock awards, at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007 was \$11.4 million and \$18.2 million, respectively, and is classified as other non-current assets in the consolidated balance sheet. Amounts payable to the plan participants at December 31, 2008 and December 31, 2007, excluding the market value of the shares of the Company s nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock, was \$12.6 million and \$21.1 million, respectively, and is classified as other liabilities in the consolidated balance sheet. The total aggregate net gain/loss in accumulated other comprehensive income was \$1.4 million and \$7.2 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. Additionally, the gross realized gain/loss included in the consolidated statement of operations was \$6.8 million and \$0.9 million for 2008 and 2007, respectively. The net unrealized holding gain/loss on available for sale securities included in accumulated other comprehensive income was \$5.8 million and \$0.8 million as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively. The Company uses the specific identification method to determine the cost of the securities sold or reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income and into earnings.

In accordance with EITF 97-14, all market value fluctuations of the Trust assets, exclusive of the shares of nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock of the Company, have been reflected in other comprehensive income (loss). Increases or decreases in the market value of the deferred compensation liability, excluding the shares of nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock of the Company held by the Trust, are included as compensation expense in the consolidated statements of operations. Based on the changes in the total market value of the Trust s assets, exclusive of the nonvested and subsequently vested stock and restricted stock, the Company recorded a net gain of \$6.8 million in 2008 and net compensation expense of \$0.6 million in 2007 and \$2.9 million in 2006. See Note 14 for compensation costs recorded on nonvested and subsequently vested stock shares and restricted stock.

The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) consisted of the following (in millions):

December 31,

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

	2008		2007		
Foreign currency translation adjustment	\$	(26.7)	\$	96.1	
Defined benefit plan adjustments, net of tax		(51.7)		(22.2)	
Change in fair value of derivatives, net of tax		(73.5)		(39.2)	
Unrealized investment gains, net of tax		1.4		7.2	
Adoption of SFAS 158, net of tax		(7.0)		(7.0)	
Other		0.3		0.3	
Tatal	¢	(157.2)	¢	35.2	
Total	Ф	(157.2)	Э	33.2	

89

Table of Contents

14. Share-Based Compensation

General Cable has various plans which provide for granting options and common stock to certain employees and independent directors of the Company and its subsidiaries. The Company recognizes compensation expense for share-based payments based on the fair value of the awards at the grant date in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (Revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (SFAS 123(R)). The table below summarizes compensation expense for the Company s non-qualified stock options, nonvested stock awards and performance-based nonvested stock awards based on the fair value method as estimated using the Black-Scholes valuation model for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006. The Company records compensation expense related to non-vested stock awards as a component of selling, general and administrative expense.

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2008		2007		2	2006	
Non-qualified stock option expense	\$	4.8	\$	2.0	\$	1.1	
Non-vested stock awards expense		4.2		3.5		2.5	
Stock unit awards		1.6		0.5			
Performance-based non-vested stock awards expense				0.3		1.3	
Total pre-tax share-based compensation expense	\$	10.6	\$	6.3	\$	4.9	
Excess tax benefit on share-based compensation (1)	\$	6.1	\$	11.1	\$	19.0	

(1) Cash inflows recognized as financing activities in the Company s consolidated statement of cash flows.

During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, cash received from stock option exercises was \$2.2 million, \$5.0 million and \$22.7 million, respectively. The total tax benefit to be realized for tax deductions from these option exercises was \$4.6 million, \$7.4 million and \$17.7 million, respectively. The \$18.1 million and \$32.5 million tax deductions for all share-based compensation for the years ended December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, includes \$6.1 million and \$11.1 million of excess tax benefits that are classified as a financing cash flow and would have been classified as an operating cash inflow prior to the adoption of SFAS 123(R). The Company has elected the alternative method, as discussed in SFAS 123(R)-3, to calculate the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of SFAS 123(R).

General Cable currently has share-based compensation awards outstanding under three plans. These plans allow the Company to fulfill its incentive award obligations generally by granting nonqualified stock options and nonvested stock awards. New shares are issued when nonqualified stock options are exercised and when non-vested stock awards are granted. There has been no material modifications made to these plans during the year ended December 31, 2008 or 2007. On May 10, 2005, the General Cable Corporation 2005 Stock Incentive Plan (2005 Plan) was approved and replaced the two previous equity compensation plans, the 1997 Stock Incentive Plan and the 2000 Stock Option Plan. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors will no longer grant any awards under the previous plans but will continue to administer awards which were previously granted under the 1997 and 2000 plans. The 2005 Plan authorized a maximum of 1,800 thousand shares to be granted. Shares reserved for future grants, including options, under the 2005 Plan, approximated 801 thousand at December 31, 2008.

The 2005 Stock Incentive Plan authorizes the following types of awards to be granted: (i) Stock Options (both Incentive Stock Options and Nonqualified Stock Options); (ii) Stock Appreciation Rights; (iii) Nonvested and Restricted Stock Awards; (iv) Performance Awards; and (v) Stock Units, as more fully described in the 2005 Plan. Each award is subject to such terms and conditions consistent with the 2005 Plan as determined by the Compensation Committee and as set forth in an award agreement and awards under the 2005 Plan were granted at not less than the closing market price on the date of grant.

The 2000 Stock Option Plan (2000 Plan), as amended, authorized a maximum of 1,500 thousand non-qualified options to be granted. No other forms of award were authorized under this plan. Stock options were granted to employees selected by the Compensation Committee of the Board or the Chief Executive Officer at prices which were not less than the closing market price on the date of grant. The Compensation Committee (or Chief Executive Officer) had authority to set all the terms of each grant.

The 1997 Stock Incentive Plan (1997 Plan) authorized a maximum of 4,725 thousand nonvested shares, options or units of common stock to be granted. Stock options were granted to employees selected by the Compensation Committee of the Board or the Chief Executive Officer at prices which were not less than the closing market price on the date of grant. The Compensation Committee (or Chief Executive Officer) had authority to set all the terms of each grant.

90

Table of Contents

Stock Options

All options awarded under the 2005 Plan have a term of 10 years from the grant date. The majority of the options vest three years from grant date. The majority of the options granted under the 2000 Plan expire in 10 years and become fully exercisable ratably over three years of continued employment or become fully exercisable after three years of continued employment. The majority of the options granted under the 1997 Plan expire in 10 years and become fully exercisable ratably over three years of continued employment or become fully exercisable after three years of continued employment.

A summary of stock option activity for the year ended December 31, 2008, is as follows (options in thousands and aggregate intrinsic value in millions):

	Options Outstanding			Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Int	gregate rinsic alue
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	888	\$	23.88	TCIIII	v	aruc
Granted	190		62.28			
Exercised	(232)		9.92			
Forfeited or Expired	(40)		55.02			
Outstanding at December 31, 2008	806		35.40	6.6 years	\$	2.6
Exercisable at December 31, 2008	388		16.55	4.5 years	\$	2.6
Options expected to vest in the next twelve months	180		44.64	8.1 years	\$	

During the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, the weighted average grant date fair value of options granted was \$22.98, \$24.76 and \$12.75, respectively, the total intrinsic value of options exercised was \$12.8 million, \$19.4 million, and \$50.9 million, respectively, and the total fair value of options vested during the periods was \$1.8 million, \$0.3 million, and \$2.7 million, respectively. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, the total compensation cost related to nonvested options not yet recognized was \$3.6 million and \$4.4 million with a weighted average expense recognition period of 1.8 and 2.5 years, respectively.

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model using the following weighted-average assumptions:

	Year Ended December 31,						
	2008	2007	2006				
Risk-free interest rate ⁽¹⁾	2.4%	3.8%	4.7%				
Expected dividend yield ⁽²⁾	N/A	N/A	N/A				
Expected option life ⁽³⁾	3.8 years	3.9 years	4.6 years				
Expected stock price volatility ⁽⁴⁾	45.0%	47.5%	62.6%				
Weighted average fair value of options granted	\$ 22.98	\$ 24.76	\$ 12.75				

(1) Risk-free
interest rate
This is the U.S.
Treasury rate at
the end of the
period in which

the option was granted having a term approximately equal to the expected life of the option. An increase in the risk-free interest rate will increase compensation expense.

Expected dividend yield The Company has not made any dividend payments on common stock since 2002 and it does not have plans to pay dividends on common stock in the foreseeable future. Any dividends paid in the future will decrease compensation expense.

Expected option life This is the period of time over which the options granted are expected to remain outstanding and is based on historical experience. Options granted have a maximum term of ten years. An increase in

expected life will increase compensation expense.

Expected stock price volatility This is a measure of the amount by which a price has fluctuated or is expected to fluctuate. The Company uses actual historical changes in the market value of the Company s stock to calculate the volatility assumption as it is management s belief that this is the best indicator of future volatility. An increase in the expected volatility will increase compensation expense.

91

Table of Contents

Additional information regarding options outstanding as of December 31, 2008 is as follows (options in thousands):

			eighted verage	Weighted Average			eighted verage
Range of	Options	E	xercise	Remaining Contractual	Options	E	xercise
Option Prices	Outstanding]	Price	Life	Exercisable		Price
\$0 -\$14	335.4	\$	10.04	4.4	335.4	\$	10.04
\$14 - \$28	99.1	\$	22.57	6.2	12.3	\$	19.77
\$28 -\$42	0.7	\$	31.98	7.3	0.3	\$	31.98
\$42 - \$56	84.5	\$	50.98	8.1			
\$56 - \$70	287.2	\$	64.87	8.8	40.6	\$	69.29

Nonvested Stock

The majority of the nonvested stock and stock unit awards issued under the 2005 Plan are restricted as to transferability and salability with these restrictions being removed in equal annual installments over the five-year period following the grant date. The majority of the nonvested stock awards issued under the 1997 Plan are restricted as to transferability and salability with these restrictions expiring ratably over a three-year or five-year period, expiring after six years from the date of grant or expiring ratably from the second anniversary to the sixth anniversary of the date of grant. A minimal amount of immediately vesting restricted stock held by certain members of the Company s Board of Directors in the Deferred Compensation Plan is included in this presentation as nonvested stock. During the first quarter of 2001 and 2004, approximately 356 thousand and 341 thousand, respectively, nonvested common stock shares with performance accelerated vesting features were awarded to certain senior executives and key employees under the Company s 1997 Stock Incentive Plan, as amended. The nonvested shares vest either six years from the date of grant or ratably from the second anniversary of the date of grant to the sixth anniversary unless certain performance criteria are met. The performance measure used to determine vesting is either the Company s stock price or earnings per share. As of December 31, 2008, all shares issued with performance accelerated vesting features had fully vested and all related compensation costs had been recognized.

A summary of all nonvested stock and restricted stock units activity for the year ended December 31, 2008, is as follows (shares in thousands):

	Shares	We	eighted Average Grant
	Outstanding		Date Fair Value
Balance At December 31, 2007	617	\$	32.88
Granted	93		48.61
Vested	(201)		20.39
Forfeited	(39)		46.76
Balance At December 31, 2008	470	\$	40.36

The weighted-average grant date fair value of all nonvested shares granted, the total fair value (in millions) of all nonvested shares granted, and the fair value (in millions) of all shares that have vested during each of the past three years is as follows:

	Year Ended December 31,							
	2008		2007		2006			
Weighted-average grant date fair value	\$	48.61	\$	62.69	\$	25.95		
Fair value of nonvested shares granted	\$	4.5	\$	13.1	\$	7.2		

Fair value of shares vested

\$ 4.1

\$ 13.4

.

\$

7.7

As of December 31, 2008, there was \$13.1 million of total unrecognized compensation cost related to all nonvested stock. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 3.3 years. There are 136 thousand nonvested stock and restricted stock units with a weighted average grant price of \$31.42 and a fair value of \$4.3 million expected to vest in 2009.

92

Table of Contents

15. Earnings Per Common Share

A reconciliation of the numerator and denominator of earnings per common share of continuing operations-basic to earnings per common share of continuing operations-assuming dilution is as follows (in millions, except per share data):

	Year Ended December 31,							
		2008		2007	2006			
Earnings per share basic:								
Net income	\$	217.2	\$	208.6	\$	135.3		
Less: Preferred stock dividends on convertible stock		(0.3)		(0.3)		(0.3)		
Net income for basic EPS computation ⁽¹⁾	\$	216.9	\$	208.3	\$	135.0		
Weighted average shares outstanding for basic EPS computation ⁽²⁾		52.2		51.2		50.0		
Earnings per common share basic	\$	4.16	\$	4.07	\$	2.70		
Earnings per share assuming dilution:								
Net income for diluted EPS computation ⁽¹⁾	\$	217.2	\$	208.6	\$	135.3		
Weighted average shares outstanding including nonvested shares		52.6		52.2		51.0		
Dilutive effect of convertible bonds				1.5				
Dilutive effect of stock options and restricted stock units		0.4		0.4		0.5		
Dilutive effect of assumed conversion of preferred stock		0.4		0.5		0.5		
Weighted average shares outstanding for diluted EPS								
computation ⁽²⁾		53.4		54.6		52.0		
Earnings per common share assuming dilution	\$	4.07	\$	3.82	\$	2.60		

(1) Numerator.

(2) Denominator.

The Company was authorized by its Board of Directors on October 29, 2008 to institute a stock repurchase program for up to \$100 million of common stock (incorporated by reference herein to Exhibit 10.55). The Company has repurchased 1.0 million common shares under terms of this program during the fourth quarter of 2008. Due to the timing of the repurchase, the above weighted average shares outstanding for basic EPS computation of 52.2 million reflects a reduction of weighted average shares outstanding of 125.0 thousand. In 2007 and 2006, the Company did not have a stock repurchase program and as a result did not repurchase any of its common stock.

As of January 1, 2006, 129,916 shares, or 6.28%, of the Series A preferred stock remained outstanding under the original terms of the Series A preferred stock issuance, and all shares of Series A preferred stock surrendered for conversion in the inducement offer were canceled and retired. See Note 13 above for additional discussion of the inducement offer. As of December 31, 2008, 76,233 shares of the Series A preferred stock remained outstanding under the original terms of the Series A preferred stock issuance.

The earnings per common share assuming dilution computation also excludes the impact of an insignificant amount of stock options and restricted stock units in 2007 and 2006 because their impact was anti-dilutive. As of December 31, 2008, there were approximately 371 thousand stock options and restricted stock units excluded from the earnings per common share assuming dilution computation because their impact was anti-dilutive.

Certain effects on diluted net income per common share may result in future periods as a result of the Company s issuance of (i) \$355.0 million in 0.875% Convertible Notes and the Company s entry into note hedge and warrant agreements during the fourth quarter of 2006 and (ii) \$475.0 million in 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes during the fourth quarter of 2007. See Note 9 for a description of the key terms of these transactions.

Under EITF 04-8, The Effect of Contingently Convertible Instruments on Diluted Earnings Per Share , and EITF 90-19, and because of the Company s obligation to settle the par value of the 0.875% Convertible Notes and 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes in cash, the Company is not required to include any shares underlying the 0.875% Convertible Notes and 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes in its weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution until the average stock price per share for the quarter exceeds the \$50.36 and \$83.93 conversion price of the 0.875% Convertible Notes and 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, respectively, and only to the extent of the additional shares that the Company may be required to issue in the event that the Company s conversion obligation exceeds the principal amount of the 0.875% Convertible Notes and the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes.

93

Table of Contents

Regarding the 0.875% Convertible Notes, the average stock price threshold conditions had not been met as of December 31, 2008. At any such time in the future the threshold conditions are met, only the number of shares issuable under the treasury method of accounting for the share dilution would be included in the Company s earning per share—assuming dilution calculation, which is based upon the amount by which the average stock price exceeds the conversion price. In addition, shares underlying the warrants will be included in the weighted average shares outstanding—assuming dilution when the average stock price per share for a quarter exceeds the \$76.00 strike price of the warrants, and shares underlying the note hedges, per the guidance in SFAS 128, *Earnings per Share*, will not be included in the weighted average shares outstanding—assuming dilution because the impact of the shares will always be anti-dilutive.

The following tables provides examples of how changes in the Company s stock price would require the inclusion of additional shares in the denominator of the weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution calculation for the 0.875% Convertible Notes. The table also reflects the impact on the number of shares that the Company would expect to issue upon concurrent settlement of the 0.875% Convertible Notes and the note hedges and warrants.

				Total		Incremental
				Treasury		Shares
		Shares			Shares Due to	
		Underlying		Method	the	Issued by the
		0.875%				
		Convertible	Warrant	Incremental	Company under	Company upon
Sh	are					
Pr	ice	Notes	Shares	Shares ⁽¹⁾	Note Hedges	Conversion ⁽²⁾
\$	50.36					
\$	60.36	1,167,502		1,167,502	(1,167,502)	
\$	70.36	2,003,400		2,003,400	(2,003,400)	
\$	80.36	2,631,259	382,618	3,013,877	(2,631,259)	382,618
\$	90.36	3,120,150	1,120,363	4,240,513	(3,120,150)	1,120,363
\$	100.36	3,511,614	1,711,088	5,222,702	(3,511,614)	1,711,088

- (1) Represents the number of incremental shares that must be included in the calculation of fully diluted shares under U.S. GAAP.
- (2) Represents the number of incremental shares to be issued by the Company upon conversion of the 0.875% Convertible Notes, assuming

concurrent settlement of the note hedges and warrants.

Regarding the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, the average stock price threshold conditions had not been met as of December 31, 2008. At any such time in the future the threshold conditions are met, only the number of shares issuable under the treasury method of accounting for the share dilution would be included in the Company s earning per share assuming dilution calculation, which is based upon the amount by which the average stock price exceeds the conversion price.

The following tables provides examples of how changes in the Company s stock price would require the inclusion of additional shares in the denominator of the weighted average shares outstanding assuming dilution calculation for the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes.

		Shares Underlying	Total Treasury
		1.00% Senior	Method Incremental
Sha	are Price	Convertible Notes	Shares ⁽¹⁾
\$	83.93		
\$	93.93	602,288	602,288
\$	103.93	1,088,861	1,088,861
\$	113.93	1,490,018	1,490,018
\$	123.93	1,826,436	1,826,436
\$	133.93	2,112,616	2,112,616

(1) Represents the number of incremental shares that must be included in the calculation of fully diluted shares under U.S. GAAP.

16. Segment Information

During the fourth quarter of 2007, General Cable announced a change in the management reporting structure that resulted in a change in the Company s reportable segments. The Company now conducts its operations through three geographic operating segments — North America, Europe and North Africa, and ROW, which consists of operations in Latin America, Sub-Saharan Africa, Middle East and Asia Pacific. The Company s operating segments align with the structure of the Company s internal management organization. All three segments engage in the development, design, manufacturing, marketing and distribution of copper, aluminum, and fiber optic communication, electric utility and electrical infrastructure wire and cable products. In addition to the above products, the ROW segment and the Europe and North Africa segment develops, designs, manufactures, markets and distributes construction products and the ROW segment develops, designs, manufactures, markets and distributes rod mill wire and cable products.

94

Table of Contents

General Cable has reclassified prior year segment disclosures to conform to the new segment presentation. The change represents only reclassifications between segments and does not change the Company s consolidated net sales, operating income, identifiable assets, capital expenditures and depreciation expense as reported in previous quarterly and annual filings. The effects of the segment change on previously reported historical results are included in this footnote.

Net revenues as shown below represent sales to external customers for each segment. Intercompany revenues have been eliminated. The Company evaluates segment performance and allocates resources based on segment operating income. Segment operating income represents income from continuing operations before interest income, interest expense, other income (expense), other financial costs or income tax.

Corporate assets include cash, deferred income taxes, certain property, including property held for sale and prepaid expenses and other certain current and non-current assets. The property held for sale consists of real property remaining from the Company s closure of certain manufacturing operations in the amount of \$2.4 million as of December 31, 2006. The amount of property held for sale as of December 31, 2008 and 2007 was immaterial.

	Year Ended December 31,								
(in millions)		2008		2007 2006					
Net sales:									
North America	\$	2,178.7	\$	2,243.7	\$	2,058.6			
Europe and North Africa		2,175.3		1,939.7		1,446.8			
ROW		1,876.1		431.4		159.7			
Total	\$	6,230.1	\$	4,614.8	\$	3,665.1			
Operating Income:									
North America	\$	122.5	\$	179.4	\$	128.9			
Europe and North Africa		162.2		162.4		101.9			
ROW		136.7		24.3		5.1			
Total	\$	421.4	\$	366.1	\$	235.9			
Total Assets:									
North America	\$	760.1	\$	784.9	\$	728.7			
Europe and North Africa	4	1,493.3	4	1,379.5	Ψ	985.1			
ROW		1,414.6		1,380.8		94.8			
Corporate		172.4		252.8		410.1			
Total	\$	3,840.4	\$	3,798.0	\$	2,218.7			
Capital Expenditures:									
North America	\$	52.3	\$	41.9	\$	23.5			
Europe and North Africa	Ψ	106.0	Ψ	97.7	Ψ	44.6			
ROW		59.5		14.0		3.0			
Total	\$	217.8	\$	153.6	\$	71.1			

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Depreciation Expense:			
North America	\$ 29.7	\$ 29.0	\$ 28.6
Europe and North Africa	29.7	22.0	14.5
ROW	16.1	4.8	2.4
Corporate			
Total	\$ 75.5	\$ 55.8	\$ 45.5

Table of Contents

Revenues by Major Product Lines Revenues to external customers are attributable to sales of electric utility, electrical infrastructure, construction, communications and rod mill wire product lines.

	Year Ended December 31,								
(in millions)		2008		2007		2006			
Electric Utility	\$	2,120.9	\$	1,665.2	\$	1,366.9			
Electrical Infrastructure		1,626.6		1,234.1		907.1			
Construction		1,439.5		872.5		662.9			
Communications		827.5		807.0		728.2			
Rod Mill Products		215.6		36.0					
Total	\$	6,230.1	\$	4,614.8	\$	3,665.1			

Geographic Information The following table presents net sales to unaffiliated customers by country of destination for the last three years and long-lived assets by country as of December 31:

	Year 1	Net Sales Ended Decemb	per 31,	Long-lived Assets Year Ended December 31,					
(in millions)	2008	2007	2006	2008	2007	2	2006		
United States	\$ 1,938.4	\$ 1,933.5	\$ 1,778.7	\$ 250.7	\$ 235.1	\$	216.7		
Spain	772.5	820.9	681.2	192.9	187.7		143.5		
France	535.5	546.5	400.8	97.8	65.0		29.5		
Others	2,983.7	1,313.9	804.4	821.4	732.6		94.6		
Total	\$ 6,230.1	\$ 4,614.8	\$ 3,665.1	\$ 1,362.8	\$ 1,220.4	\$	484.3		

The following summary of net sales, operating profit and identifiable assets by year for North America, Europe and North Africa and ROW, Europe and North Africa and ROW illustrates the segment contribution by quarter as it relates to the change in reportable segments (in millions).

2008

Net Sales North America Europe and North Africa ROW	Qu \$	arter 1 540.7 553.3 474.4	Qı \$	628.6 600.3 513.9	Q \$	578.2 537.0 510.8	Q \$	uarter 4 431.2 484.7 377.0	\$ Total 2,178.7 2,175.3 1,876.1
Operating Profit	Qι	arter 1	Q	uarter 2	Ç	Quarter 3	Ç	uarter 4	Total
North America Europe and North Africa ROW	\$	31.2 49.1 35.0	\$	32.5 49.1 49.0	\$	33.9 36.6 43.3	\$	24.9 27.4 9.4	\$ 122.5 162.2 136.7
Identifiable Assets	Qι	arter 1	Q	uarter 2	Ç	Quarter 3	Ç	uarter 4	
North America Europe and North Africa ROW Corporate 2007		883.8 1,543.0 1,512.0 226.9	\$	920.5 1,838.2 1,586.8 223.0	\$	888.6 1,658.6 1,586.9 170.8	\$	760.1 1,493.3 1,414.6 172.4	

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Net Sales	Qı	uarter 1	Q	uarter 2	Q	uarter 3	Q	uarter 4	Total
North America	\$	545.1	\$	615.2	\$	583.4	\$	500.0	\$ 2,243.7
Europe and North Africa		426.0		506.7		493.9		513.1	1,939.7
ROW		38.1		50.6		58.0		284.7	431.4
Operating Profit	Q	uarter 1	Ç	uarter 2	Ç	Quarter 3	Ç	uarter 4	Total
North America	\$	46.8	\$	56.7	\$	51.0	\$	24.9	\$ 179.4
Europe and North Africa		39.3		42.3		36.8		44.0	162.4
ROW		5.0		4.0		4.5		10.8	24.3
Identifiable Assets	Q	uarter 1	Ç	uarter 2	Ç	Quarter 3	Ç	uarter 4	
North America	\$	829.9	\$	880.5	\$	863.4	\$	784.9	
Europe and North Africa		1,028.1		1,273.3		1,363.9		1,380.0	
ROW		104.9		123.3		125.8		1,386.1	
Corporate		368.4		402.6		451.1		247.0	

Table of Contents

2006

Net Sales	Qua	arter 1	Qu	arter 2	Qu	arter 3	Qu	arter 4	Total
North America	\$	464.2	\$	579.5	\$	535.7	\$	479.2	\$ 2,058.6
Europe and North Africa		307.4		368.8		368.5		402.1	1,446.8
ROW		32.7		38.8		44.2		44.0	159.7
Operating Profit	Qu	arter 1	Qι	arter 2	Qı	uarter 3	Qı	ıarter 4	Total
North America	\$	20.2	\$	41.9	\$	36.4	\$	30.4	\$ 128.9
Europe and North Africa		22.3		27.7		27.4		24.5	101.9
ROW		(0.3)		0.8		2.0		2.6	5.1
Identifiable Assets	Qu	arter 1	Qι	arter 2	Qı	uarter 3	Qı	ıarter 4	
North America	\$	711.2	\$	772.9	\$	770.6	\$	728.7	
Europe and North Africa		694.8		814.8		944.8		985.1	
ROW		66.0		75.9		81.8		94.8	
Corporate		158.0		160.5		162.6		410.1	

17. Commitments and Contingencies

Environmental Matters

The Company is subject to a variety of federal, state, local and foreign laws and regulations covering the storage, handling, emission and discharge of materials into the environment, including CERCLA, the Clean Water Act, the Clean Air Act (including the 1990 amendments) and the Resource Conservation and Recovery Act.

The Company s subsidiaries in the United States have been identified as potentially responsible parties with respect to several sites designated for cleanup under CERCLA or similar state laws, which impose liability for cleanup of certain waste sites and for related natural resource damages without regard to fault or the legality of waste generation or disposal. Persons liable for such costs and damages generally include the site owner or operator and persons that disposed or arranged for the disposal of hazardous substances found at those sites. Although CERCLA imposes joint and several liability on all potentially responsible parties, in application, the potentially responsible parties typically allocate the investigation and cleanup costs based upon, among other things, the volume of waste contributed by each potentially responsible party.

Settlements can often be achieved through negotiations with the appropriate environmental agency or the other potentially responsible parties. Potentially responsible parties that contributed small amounts of waste (typically less than 1% of the waste) are often given the opportunity to settle as de minimus parties, resolving their liability for a particular site. The Company does not own or operate any of the waste sites with respect to which it has been named as a potentially responsible party by the government. Based on the Company s review and other factors, it believes that costs to the Company relating to environmental clean-up at these sites will not have a material adverse effect on its results of operations, cash flows or financial position.

In the transaction with Wassall PLC in 1994, American Premier Underwriters, Inc. agreed to indemnify the Company against liabilities (including all environmental liabilities) arising out of the Company s or the Company s predecessors ownership or operation of the Indiana Steel & Wire Company and Marathon Manufacturing Holdings, Inc. businesses (which were divested by the predecessor prior to the 1994 Wassall transaction), without limitation as to time or amount. American Premier also agreed to indemnify the Company against $66^2/_3\%$ of all other environmental liabilities arising out of the Company s or the Company s predecessors ownership or operation of other properties and assets in excess of \$10 million but not in excess of \$33 million, which were identified during the seven-year period ended June 2001. Indemnifiable environmental liabilities through June 2001 were substantially below that threshold. In addition, the Company also has claims against third parties with respect to some of these liabilities

At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had an accrued liability of approximately \$1.1 million and \$1.8 million, respectively, for various environmental-related liabilities of which General Cable is aware. American Premier Underwriters Inc., a former parent of General Cable, agreed to indemnify General Cable against all environmental-related liabilities arising out of General Cable s or its predecessors ownership or operation of the Indiana Steel & Wire Company and Marathon Manufacturing Holdings, Inc. businesses (which were divested by General Cable), without limitation as to time or amount. While it is difficult to estimate future environmental-related liabilities accurately, General Cable does not currently anticipate any material adverse impact on its results of operations, financial position or cash flows as a result of compliance with federal, state, local or foreign environmental laws or regulations or cleanup costs of the sites discussed above.

97

Table of Contents

During 1999, the Company acquired the worldwide energy cable and cable systems business of Balfour Beatty plc, previously known as BICC plc. As part of this acquisition, the seller agreed to indemnify the Company against environmental liabilities existing at the date of the closing of the purchase of the business. The indemnity was for an eight-year period that ended in 2007, while the Company operates the businesses, subject to certain sharing of losses (with BICC plc covering 95% of losses in the first three years, 80% in years four and five and 60% in the remaining three years). The indemnity is also subject to the overall indemnity limit of \$150 million, which applies to all warranty and indemnity claims in the transaction. In addition, BICC plc assumed responsibility for cleanup of certain specific conditions at various sites operated by the Company and cleanup is mostly complete at these sites. In the sale of the businesses to Pirelli in August 2000, the Company generally indemnified Pirelli against any environmental liabilities on the same basis as BICC plc indemnified it in the earlier acquisition. However, the indemnity the Company received from BICC plc relating to the European businesses sold to Pirelli terminated upon the sale of those businesses to Pirelli. In addition, the Company generally indemnified Pirelli against other claims relating to the prior operation of the business. Pirelli has asserted claims under this indemnification. The Company is continuing to investigate and defend against these claims and believes that the reserves currently included in the Company s balance sheet are adequate to cover any obligations it may have.

In connection with the sale of certain business to Southwire Company in 2001, the Company has agreed to indemnify Southwire Company against certain environmental liabilities arising out of the operation of the business it sold to Southwire. The indemnity is for a ten-year period from the closing of the sale, which ends in the fourth quarter of 2011, and is subject to an overall limit of \$20 million. At this time, there are no claims outstanding under this indemnity.

As part of the acquisition of Silec, SAFRAN SA agreed to indemnify General Cable against environmental losses arising from breach of representations and warranties on environmental law compliance and against losses arising from costs General Cable could incur to remediate property acquired based on a directive of the French authorities to rehabilitate property in regard to soil, water and other underground contamination arising before the closing date of the purchase. These indemnities are for a six-year period ending in 2011 while General Cable operates the businesses subject to sharing of certain losses (with SAFRAN covering 100% of losses in year one, 75% in years two and three, 50% in year four, and 25% in years five and six). The indemnities are subject to an overall limit of 4.0 million euros. As of December 31, 2008, there were no claims outstanding under this indemnity.

In addition, Company subsidiaries have been named as defendants in lawsuits alleging exposure to asbestos in products manufactured by the Company. As of December 31, 2008, General Cable was a defendant in approximately 34,730 cases brought in various jurisdictions throughout the United States. With regards to the approximately 1,241 remaining cases, General Cable has aggressively defended these cases based upon either lack of product identification as to General Cable manufactured asbestos-containing product and/or lack of exposure to asbestos dust from the use of General Cable product. In the last 20 years, General Cable has had no cases proceed to verdict. In many of the cases, General Cable was dismissed as a defendant before trial for lack of product identification.

For cases outside the Multidistrict Litigation (MDL) as of December 31, 2008, Plaintiffs have asserted monetary damages in 300 cases. In 153 of these cases, plaintiffs allege only damages in excess of some dollar amount (about \$217.0 thousand per plaintiff); in these cases there are no claims for specific dollar amounts requested as to any defendant. In 142 other cases pending in state and federal district courts (outside the MDL), plaintiffs seek approximately \$349.0 million in damages from as many as 110 defendants. In five cases, plaintiffs have asserted damages related to General Cable in the amount of \$2.1 million. In addition, in relation to these 300 cases, there are claims of \$168.0 million in punitive damages from all of the defendants. However, many of the plaintiffs in these cases allege non-malignant injuries. At December 31, 2008 and 2007, General Cable had accrued, on a gross basis, approximately \$5.0 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, and had recorded approximately \$0.5 million, respectively, of insurance recoveries for these lawsuits. The net amount of \$4.5 million and \$4.7 million, as of December 31, 2008 and 2007, respectively, represents the Company s best estimate in order to cover resolution of future asbestos-related claims.

In January 1994, General Cable entered into a settlement agreement with certain principal primary insurers concerning liability for the costs of defense, judgments and settlements, if any, in all of the asbestos litigation described above.

Subject to the terms and conditions of the settlement agreement, the insurers are responsible for a substantial portion of the costs and expenses incurred in the defense or resolution of this litigation. In recent years one of the insurers participating in the settlement that was responsible for a significant portion of the contribution under the settlement agreement entered into insurance liquidation proceedings. As a result, the contribution of the insurers has been reduced and the Company has had to bear a larger portion of the costs relating to these lawsuits. Moreover, certain of the other insurers may be financially unstable, and if one or more of these insurers enter into insurance liquidation proceedings, General Cable will be required to pay a larger portion of the costs incurred in connection with these cases. In 2006, the Company reached an approximate \$3.0 million settlement in cash for the resolution of one of these insurers obligations that effectively exhausted the limits of the insurance company s policies that were included in the 1994 settlement agreement.

98

Table of Contents

In 2007, the Company acquired the worldwide wire and cable business of Freeport-McMoRan Copper and Gold Inc., which operates as PDIC. As part of this acquisition, the seller agreed to indemnify the Company for certain environmental liabilities existing at the date of the closing of the acquisition. The seller s obligation to indemnify the Company for these particular liabilities generally survives four years from the date the parties executed the definitive purchase agreement unless the Company has properly notified the seller before the expiry of the four year period. The seller also made certain representations and warranties related to environmental matters and the acquired business and agreed to indemnify the Company for breaches of those representation and warranties for a period of four years from the closing date. Indemnification claims for breach of representations and warranties are subject to an overall indemnity limit of approximately \$105 million with a deductible of \$5.0 million, which generally applies to all warranty and indemnity claims for the transaction.

The Company does not believe that the outcome of the litigation will have a material adverse effect on its consolidated results of operations, financial position or cash flows.

Other Matters

General Cable is also involved in various routine legal proceedings and administrative actions. Such proceedings and actions should not, individually or in the aggregate, have a material adverse effect on its result of operations, cash flows or financial position.

The General Cable Executive Severance Benefit Plan (Severance Plan), effective January 1, 2008, applicable to the Company s executive officers includes a change in control provision such that the executives may receive payments or benefits in accordance with the Severance Plan to the extent that both a change of control and a triggering event, each as defined in the Severance Plan, occur. Unless there are circumstances of ineligibility, as defined, the Company must provide payments and benefits upon both a change in control and a triggering event. The information is included in the definitive Proxy Statement which General Cable intends to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission within 120 days after December 31, 2008, and is incorporated herein by reference, as discussed in Item 13: Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.

General Cable has entered into various leases related principally to certain administrative, manufacturing and distribution facilities and transportation equipment. Future minimum rental payments required under non-cancelable lease agreements at December 31, 2008 were as follows: 2009 \$16.4 million, 2010 \$9.5 million, 2011 \$6.0 million, 2012 \$3.1 million, 2013 \$1.8 million and thereafter \$5.0 million. Rental expense recorded in income from continuing operations was \$19.1 million, \$14.4 million and \$11.3 million for the years ended December 31, 2008, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

As of December 31, 2008, the Company had \$152.6 million in letters of credit, \$151.3 million in various performance bonds and \$462.0 million in other guarantees. These letters of credit, performance bonds and guarantees are periodically renewed and are generally related to risk associated with self insurance claims, defined benefit plan obligations, contract performance and quality and other various bank financing guarantees.

18. Unconsolidated Affiliated Companies

Unconsolidated affiliated companies are those in which the Company generally owns less than 50 percent of the outstanding voting shares. The Company does not control these companies and accounts for its investments in them on the equity basis. The unconsolidated affiliated companies primarily manufacture or market wire and cable products in our ROW segment. As of December 31, 2008 and 2007, the Company has recorded on its consolidated balance sheets an investment in unconsolidated affiliated companies of \$7.5 million and \$29.5 million, respectively. The Company s share of the income of these companies is reported in the consolidated statements of operations under Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies. In 2008 and 2007, equity in net earnings of affiliated companies was \$4.6 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Equity in earnings of affiliated companies in 2006 was immaterial. As of December 31, 2008, the Company s ownership percentage were as follows: PTDL Trading Company Ltd. 49%, Colada Continua Chilean, S.A. 41%, Keystone Electric Wire & Cable Co., Ltd. 20% and Thai Copper Rod Company Ltd. 18%.

19. Fair Value Disclosure

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted SFAS 157 (See Note 2 above for FSP No. 157-2 discussion), which provides a framework for measuring fair value. SFAS 157 defines fair value as the exchange price that would be

received for an asset or paid to transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. SFAS 157 also eliminated the deferral of gains and losses at inception of certain derivative contracts whose fair value was not evidenced by market observable data. SFAS 157 requires that the impact of this change in accounting for derivative contracts be recorded as an adjustment to beginning retained earnings in the period of adoption. There was no impact on the beginning balance of retained earnings as a result of adopting SFAS 157 because the Company held no financial instruments in which a gain or loss at inception was deferred. The Company also adopted SFAS 159 on January 1, 2008. SFAS 159 allows an entity the irrevocable option to elect fair value for the initial and subsequent measurement for certain financial assets and liabilities. There was no impact on the Company s financial statement as a result of adopting SFAS 159 because the Company did not elect to apply the fair value option to any eligible financial assets or financial liabilities at that time.

99

Table of Contents

The Company determined the fair market values of its financial instruments based on the fair value hierarchy established in SFAS 157 which requires an entity to maximize the use of observable inputs and minimize the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value. The standard describes three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair values which are provided in Note 2. The Company carries available-for-sale (AFS) marketable equity securities held in rabbi trust as part of the Company s deferred compensation plan and derivative assets and liabilities at fair value.

AFS marketable equity securities are recorded at fair value, which are based on quoted market prices. The fair values of derivative assets and liabilities traded in the over-the-counter market are determined using quantitative models that require the use of multiple market inputs including interest rates, prices and indices to generate pricing and volatility factors, which are used to value the position. The predominance of market inputs are actively quoted and can be validated through external sources, including brokers, market transactions and third-party pricing services. Estimation risk is greater for derivative asset and liability positions that are either option-based or have longer maturity dates where observable market inputs are less readily available or are unobservable, in which case interest rate, price or index scenarios are extrapolated in order to determine the fair value. The fair values of derivative assets and liabilities include adjustments for market liquidity, counterparty credit quality, Company s own credit standing and other specific factors, where appropriate. To ensure the prudent application of estimates and management judgment in determining the fair value of derivative assets and liabilities, various processes and controls have been adopted, which include: model validation that requires a review and approval for pricing, financial statement fair value determination and risk quantification; periodic review and substantiation of profit and loss reporting for all derivative instruments. Financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis are summarized below:

	December 31, 2008 Fair Value Measurement Using									
	Le	vel 1	Le	evel 2	Level 3	Fair	r Value			
Assets: Derivative assets Available-for-sale securities ⁽¹⁾	\$	11.4	\$	1.7	\$	\$	1.7 11.4			
Total Assets	\$	11.4	\$	1.7	\$	\$	13.1			
Liabilities: Derivative liabilities	\$		\$	86.7	\$	\$	86.7			
Total liabilities	\$		\$	86.7	\$	\$	86.7			

(1) Available-for-sale securities are held in rabbi trust as part of the Company s deferred compensation plan and are accounted for in accordance with EITF 97-14, see Note 10 to the condensed

consolidated financial statements.

At the time of the adoption of SFAS 157, there were no financial assets or financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis using significant unobservable inputs (Level 3). Similarly, as a result of FSP No. 157-2, there were no nonfinancial assets or nonfinancial liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis.

100

Table of Contents

20. Quarterly Operating Results (Unaudited)

The interim financial information is unaudited. In the opinion of management, the interim financial information reflects all adjustments necessary for a fair presentation of quarterly financial information. Quarterly results have been influenced by seasonal factors inherent in General Cable s businesses. The sum of the quarters earnings per share amounts may not add to full year earnings per share because each quarter is calculated independently, and the sum of the quarters other figures may not add to the full year because of rounding. Summarized historical quarterly financial data for 2008 and 2007 are set forth below (in millions, except per share data):

	First		,	Second		Third		Fourth
	(Quarter	(Quarter		Quarter		Quarter
2008								
Net sales	\$	1,568.4	\$	1,742.8	\$	1,626.0	\$	1,292.9
Gross profit		212.7		227.3		209.8		152.6
Net income		65.9		75.7		57.7		17.9
Net income applicable to common shareholders		65.8		75.6		57.6		17.8
Earnings per common share basic	\$	1.28	\$	1.47	\$	1.11	\$	0.34
Earnings per common share assuming dilution	\$	1.21	\$	1.37	\$	1.07	\$	0.34
2007								
Net sales	\$	1,009.2	\$	1,172.5	\$	1,135.3	\$	1,297.8
Gross profit		159.8		173.1		163.5		166.3
Net income		37.9		62.9		61.2		46.7
Net income applicable to common shareholders		37.8		62.8		61.1		46.6
Earnings per common share basic	\$	0.74	\$	1.23	\$	1.19	\$	0.91
Earnings per common share assuming dilution	\$	0.71	\$	1.15	\$	1.11	\$	0.84

101

Table of Contents

21. Supplemental Guarantor and Parent Company Condensed Financial Information

General Cable Corporation and its U.S. wholly-owned subsidiaries fully and unconditionally guarantee the \$475 million of 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes, the \$355.0 million of 0.875% Convertible Notes and the \$325 million of 7.125% Senior Notes due in 2017 and Senior Floating Rate Notes of General Cable Corporation (the Parent) on a joint and several basis. The following presents financial information about the Parent, guarantor subsidiaries and non-guarantor subsidiaries in millions. All of the Company s subsidiaries are restricted subsidiaries for purposes of the 1.00% Senior Convertible Notes and 0.875% Convertible Notes. Intercompany transactions are eliminated.

Condensed Statements of Operations Year Ended December 31, 2008

	Parent			Guarantor Subsidiaries		Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries		Eliminations		Total
Net sales:	¢.		¢.	0 1 40 1	Ф	4 000 0	Ф		ф	(220 1
Customers	\$	59.4	\$	2,142.1 2.4	\$	4,088.0	\$	(110.0)	\$	6,230.1
Intercompany		39.4		2.4		49.1		(110.9)		
		59.4		2,144.5		4,137.1		(110.9)		6,230.1
Cost of sales		57.1		1,884.2		3,592.6		(49.1)		5,427.7
0000 01 04120				1,002		0,002.0		(1711)		0,,
Gross profit		59.4		260.3		544.5		(61.8)		802.4
Selling, general and								, ,		
administrative expenses		48.6		145.6		248.6		(61.8)		381.0
Operating income		10.8		114.7		295.9				421.4
Other income (expense)		0.5		(0.6)		(27.1)				(27.2)
Interest income (expense):										
Interest expense		(34.2)		(77.1)		(52.1)		95.3		(68.1)
Interest income		72.3		23.6		11.7		(95.3)		12.3
		38.1		(53.5)		(40.4)				(55.8)
Income before income taxes		49.4		60.6		228.4				338.4
Income tax provision		(18.5)		(34.1)		(60.1)				(112.7)
Minority interest		(10.5)		(34.1)		(13.1)				(112.7) (13.1)
Equity in net earnings of affiliated						(13.1)				(13.1)
companies		186.3		159.8		0.4		(341.9)		4.6
companies		100.5		137.0		0.4		(341.7)		4.0
Net income (loss)		217.2		186.3		155.6		(341.9)		217.2
Less: preferred stock dividends		(0.3)						(= :-:>)		(0.3)
r		(3.2)								(5.5)
Net income (loss) applicable to										
common shareholders	\$	216.9	\$	186.3	\$	155.6	\$	(341.9)	\$	216.9

102

Table of Contents

Condensed Statements of Operations Year Ended December 31, 2007

Naturka	Pa	arent		uarantor osidiaries		Non- uarantor osidiaries	Elim	ninations		Total
Net sales: Customers	\$		\$	2,208.5	\$	2,406.3	\$		\$	4,614.8
	Ф	48.7	Ф	2,208.3	Ф	2,400.3	Ф	(48.7)	Ф	4,014.8
Intercompany		40.7						(48.7)		
Cost of sales		48.7		2,208.5 1,887.7		2,406.3 2,064.4		(48.7)		4,614.8 3,952.1
Gross profit		48.7		320.8		341.9		(48.7)		662.7
Selling, general and		10.7		320.0		541.7		(40.7)		002.7
administrative expenses		44.5		144.1		156.7		(48.7)		296.6
administrative expenses		11.5		1 1 1.1		150.7		(10.7)		270.0
Operating income		4.2		176.7		185.2				366.1
Other income (expense)		1.2		0.2		(4.8)				(3.4)
Interest income (expense):						(110)				(= 1 1)
Interest expense		(33.3)		(68.0)		(17.9)		70.8		(48.4)
Interest income		74.7		5.5		9.4		(70.8)		18.8
Loss on extinguishment of debt		(25.3)						, ,		(25.3)
C		, ,								, ,
		16.1		(62.5)		(8.5)				(54.9)
Income before income taxes		21.5		114.4		171.9				307.8
Income tax provision		(7.8)		(41.0)		(50.6)				(99.4)
Minority interest						(0.2)				(0.2)
Equity in net earnings of affiliated										
companies		194.9		121.5		0.4		(316.4)		0.4
Net income (loss)		208.6		194.9		121.5		(316.4)		208.6
Less: preferred stock dividends		(0.3)						,		(0.3)
Net income (loss) applicable to common shareholders	\$	208.3	\$	194.9	\$	121.5	\$	(316.4)	\$	208.3

Condensed Statements of Operations Year Ended December 31, 2006

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total	
Net sales: Customers Intercompany	\$ 50.1	\$ 2,028.1	\$ 1,637.0	\$ (50.1)	\$ 3,665.1	
	50.1	2,028.1	1,637.0	(50.1)	3,665.1	

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Cost of sales		1,774.6	1,419.5		3,194.1
Gross profit	50.1	253.5	217.5	(50.1)	471.0
Selling, general and					
administrative expenses	46.1	133.3	105.8	(50.1)	235.1
Operating income	4.0	120.2	111.7		235.9
Other expense		(0.3)	0.2		(0.1)
Interest income (expense):		, ,			. ,
Interest expense	(27.8)	(61.6)	(8.6)	58.0	(40.0)
Interest income	55.9	1.3	5.2	(58.0)	4.4
	28.1	(60.3)	(3.4)		(35.6)
Income (loss) before income taxes	32.1	59.6	108.5		200.2
Income tax (provision) benefit	(11.7)	(20.1)	(33.1)		(64.9)
Equity in net earnings of affiliated companies	114.9	75.4		(190.3)	
Net income (loss)	135.3	114.9	75.4	(190.3)	135.3
Less: preferred stock dividends	(0.3)				(0.3)
Net income (loss) applicable to					
common shareholders	\$ 135.0	\$ 114.9	\$ 75.4	\$ (190.3)	\$ 135.0

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Condensed Balance Sheets December 31, 2008

		Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries		Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries		Eliminations		Total	
Assets		1 di Ciit	Su	osidiaries	Su	osidiaries	Li	iiiiiiations		Total
Current assets:										
Cash	\$	2.3	\$	28.1	\$	252.2	\$		\$	282.6
Receivables, net of allowances				211.9		820.1				1,032.0
Inventories				269.0		684.2				953.2
Deferred income taxes		7.0		90.8		34.5				132.3
Prepaid expenses and other		4.7		21.4		51.5				77.6
Total current assets		14.0		621.2		1,842.5				2,477.7
Property, plant and equipment,										
net		0.6		203.4		676.9				880.9
Deferred income taxes		24.3		(1.5)		31.1				53.9
Intercompany accounts		1,037.3		413.1		21.3		(1,471.7)		
Investment in subsidiaries		762.8		971.0				(1,733.8)		
Goodwill				0.9		171.0				171.9
Intangible assets, net				0.7		201.1				201.8
Unconsolidated affiliated										
companies				1.9		5.6				7.5
Other non-current assets		17.3		20.0		9.4				46.7
Total assets	\$	1,856.3	\$	2,230.7	\$	2,958.9	\$	(3,205.5)	\$	3,840.4
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity										
Current liabilities:	4		4	1100	4	60 0	4			
Accounts payable	\$	(10.4)	\$	119.9	\$	637.3	\$		\$	757.2
Accrued liabilities		(19.4)		125.3		317.4				423.3
Current portion of long-term debt				1.0		229.5				230.5
Total current liabilities		(19.4)		246.2		1,184.2				1,411.0
Long-term debt		1,155.0		10.2		50.9				1,216.1
Deferred income taxes				(3.7)		100.1				96.4
Intercompany accounts				1,058.6		413.1		(1,471.7)		
Other liabilities		12.3		160.8		103.1				276.2
Total liabilities		1,147.9		1,472.1		1,851.4		(1,471.7)		2,999.7
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries						132.3				132.3

201

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Total shareholders equity (deficit)	708.4	758.6	975.2	(1,733.8)	708.4
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$ 1.856.3	\$ 2,230.7	\$ 2,958.9	\$ (3,205.5)	\$ 3.840.4

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Condensed Balance Sheets December 31, 2007

		D		Guarantor Subsidiaries		Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries		,.	Total	
Assets		Parent	Su	bsidiaries	Su	bsidiaries	Ell	iminations		1 otai
Current assets:										
Cash	\$	7.2	\$	13.2	\$	305.3	\$		\$	325.7
Receivables, net of allowances	Ψ	7.2	Ψ	241.1	Ψ	880.3	Ψ		Ψ	1,121.4
Inventories				301.4		627.4				928.8
Deferred income taxes		4.5		88.0		31.1				123.6
Prepaid expenses and other		8.1		33.4		32.2				73.7
Tropana expenses and sanor		0.1		22.1		32.2				73.7
Total current assets		19.8		677.1		1,876.3				2,573.2
Property, plant and equipment,										
net		0.7		185.4		552.7				738.8
Deferred income taxes				21.1		21.5				42.6
Intercompany accounts		944.2		487.7		305.1		(1,737.0)		
Investment in subsidiaries		841.4		914.8				(1,756.2)		
Goodwill						116.1				116.1
Intangible assets, net				0.7		236.0				236.7
Unconsolidated affiliated										
companies						29.5				29.5
Other non-current assets		23.4		25.3		8.0				56.7
Total assets	\$	1,829.5	\$	2,312.1	\$	3,145.2	\$	(3,493.2)	\$	3,793.6
Liabilities and Shareholders Equity										
Current liabilities:										
Accounts payable	\$		\$	133.3	\$	804.0	\$		\$	937.3
Accrued liabilities		(15.1)		121.9		290.5				397.3
Current portion of long-term debt		355.0		1.0		144.9				500.9
Total current liabilities		339.9		256.2		1,239.4				1,835.5
Long-term debt		800.0		71.4		26.5				897.9
Deferred income taxes		000.0		, 1. 1		118.5				118.5
Intercompany accounts		0.5		1,042.3		694.2		(1,737.0)		
Other liabilities		12.2		100.8		77.0		(-,)		190.0
Total liabilities		1,152.6		1,470.7		2,155.6		(1,737.0)		3,041.9
Minority interests in consolidated subsidiaries						74.8				74.8

203

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Total shareholders	equity (deficit)	676.9	841.4	914.8	(1,756.2)	676.9
Total liabilities and equity		5 1,829.5	\$ 2,312.1	\$ 3,145.2	\$ (3,493.2)	\$ 3,793.6

Table of Contents

Table of Contents

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows Year Ended December 31, 2008

	P	arent	arantor sidiaries	Gu	Non- parantor psidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net cash flows of operating activities	\$	30.4	\$ 123.2	\$	75.8	\$	\$ 229.4
Cash flows of investing activities: Capital expenditures Acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from properties sold Intercompany accounts Other, net		(33.1)	(51.5) (19.0) 2.7 (1.4)		(166.3) (31.3) 3.5	33.1	(217.8) (50.3) 6.2 (1.4)
Net cash flows of investing activities		(33.1)	(69.2)		(194.1)	33.1	(263.3)
Cash flows of financing activities: Dividends paid Excess tax benefits from		1.2 6.0			(1.5)		(0.3)
Intercompany accounts Proceeds from revolving credit		0.0	22.4		10.7	(33.1)	
borrowings Repayments of revolving credit borrowings Proceeds (repayments) of other			124.7 (184.7)				124.7 (184.7)
debt Purchase of treasury shares Proceeds from exercise of stock		(11.7)	(1.1)		94.4		93.3 (11.7)
options Net cash flows of financing activities		(2.2)	(38.7)		103.6	(33.1)	2.3
Effect of exchange rate changes		(2.2)	(30.7)		105.0	(33.1)	29.0
on cash and cash equivalents			(0.4)		(38.4)		(38.8)
Increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents		(4.9)	14.9		(53.1)		(43.1)
beginning of period		7.2	13.2		305.3		325.7

205

Cash and cash equivalents end of

period \$ 2.3 \$ 28.1 \$ 252.2 \$ \$ 282.6

106

Table of Contents

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows Year Ended December 31, 2007

	Parent	Guarantor Subsidiaries	Non- Guarantor Subsidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net cash flows of operating activities	\$ (1.9)	\$ 127.3	\$ 106.3	\$	\$ 231.7
Cash flows of investing activities: Capital expenditures Acquisitions, net of cash acquired Proceeds from acquisitions	(0.2)	(40.8)	(112.6) (634.8)		(153.6) (634.8)
including cash acquired Proceeds from properties sold		0.4	28.0 0.7		28.0 1.1
Intercompany accounts Other, net	(647.5) (1.7)	1.2	•	647.5	(0.5)
Net cash flows of investing	(1.7)	1,2			(0.3)
activities	(649.4)	(39.2)	(718.7)	647.5	(759.8)
Cash flows of financing activities:	(a.a.)				(0.5)
Dividends paid Settlement net investment swap	(0.3) (30.5)				(0.3) (30.5)
Excess tax benefits from stock-based compensation Intercompany accounts	11.1	(145.5)	793.0	(647.5)	11.1
Proceeds from revolving credit borrowings		100.0			100.0
Repayments of revolving credit borrowings		(40.0)			(40.0)
Issuance of long-term debt, net of fees & expenses	800.0				800.0
Payment of deferred financing fees	(19.0)				(19.0)
Repayments of long-term debt, including fees & expenses	(305.5)				(305.5)
Proceeds (repayments) of other debt		(0.8)	8.1		7.3
Proceeds from exercise of stock options	5.0				5.0
Net cash flows of financing activities	460.8	(86.3)	801.1	(647.5)	528.1

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Effect of exchange rate char on cash and cash equivalent	_		0.5	14.7		15.2
Increase in cash and cash equivalents		(190.5)	2.3	203.4		15.2
Cash and cash equivalents beginning of period		197.7	10.9	101.9		310.5
Cash and cash equivalents period	end of	\$ 7.2	\$ 13.2	\$ 305.3	\$	\$ 325.7

Table of Contents

Condensed Statements of Cash Flows Year Ended December 31, 2006

	F	arent	narantor osidiaries	Gu	Non- arantor sidiaries	Eliminations	Total
Net cash flows of operating activities	\$	54.1	\$ (39.9)	\$	79.8	\$	\$ 94.0
Cash flows of investing activities: Capital expenditures Acquisitions, net of cash acquired		(0.6)	(21.9)		(48.6) (26.9)		(71.1) (26.9)
Proceeds from properties sold Intercompany accounts Other, net		(198.7)	0.1		0.7	198.7	0.8
Net cash flows of investing activities		(199.3)	(20.4)		(74.8)	198.7	(95.8)
Cash flows of financing activities:							
Dividends paid Excess tax benefits from		(0.3)					(0.3)
stock-based compensation Intercompany accounts Proceeds from revolving credit		19.0	173.7		25.0	(198.7)	19.0
borrowings Repayments of revolving credit			264.1				264.1
borrowings Proceeds of other debt Issuance of long-term debt, net of			(379.2) 1.8		5.1		(379.2) 6.9
fees & expenses Payment of deferred financing		355.0					355.0
fees Purchase of note hedges Proceeds from issuance of		(9.4) (124.5)					(9.4) (124.5)
warrants Proceeds from exercise of stock		80.4					80.4
options		22.7					22.7
Net cash flows of financing activities		342.9	60.4		30.1	(198.7)	234.7
Effect of exchange rate changes on cash and cash equivalents			(0.3)		5.7		5.4

Edgar Filing: ATMOS ENERGY CORP - Form DEF 14A

Increase in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents		197.7		(0.2) 40.		40.8	10.8		238.3	
beginning of period				11.1		61.1			72.2	
Cash and cash equivalents period	end of	\$	197.7	\$ 10.9	\$	101.9	\$		\$ 310.5	

Table of Contents

Notes to Parent Company Condensed Financial Information Basis of Presentation

In accordance with the requirements of Regulation S-X of the Securities and Exchange Commission, restricted net assets of the Company s subsidiaries and the Company s equity in the undistributed earnings of 50 percent or less owned entities exceeded 25% of the Company s total consolidated net assets as of December 31, 2008. As a result, Parent Company Condensed Financial Information is required to be disclosed. This financial information is condensed and omits many disclosures presented in the Consolidated Financial Statements and Notes thereto.

Parent Company Long-Term Debt

At December 31, 2008, the Parent Company was party to various long-term financing arrangements, as summarized below:

Long-term debt consisted of the following (in millions):

			December 31,					
					2008		2007	
1.00% Senior Convertible Note		\$	475.0	\$	475.0			
0.875% Convertible Notes due			355.0		355.0			
7.125% Senior Notes due 2017			200.0		200.0			
Senior Floating Rate Notes					125.0		125.0	
Total Parent Company debt					1,155.0		1,155.0	
Less current maturities							355.0	
Parent Company Long-term de	ebt			\$	1,155.0	\$	800.0	
(in millions)	2009	2010	2011	2011		2	2013	
Debt maturities	\$	\$	\$		\$ 4	475.0	\$ 355	5.0

Long-term debt related to the Parent Company is discussed in Note 9 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Commitments and Contingencies

For contingencies and guarantees related to the Parent Company, refer to Note 9 and Note 17 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Dividends

Cash dividends paid to the Parent Company by its consolidated subsidiaries was \$34.8 million in 2008. There were no cash dividend payments in 2007 or 2006.

109

Table of Contents

Schedule II

GENERAL CABLE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES Valuation and Qualifying Accounts (in millions)

		For the Year Ended December 31,								
	2008		2007		2006					
Accounts Receivable Allowances:										
Beginning balance	\$	17.9	\$	10.0	\$	8.6				
Impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes		(0.8)		0.6		0.7				
Provision		4.3		9.7		2.2				
Write-offs		(2.1)		(2.4)		(1.5)				
Ending balance	\$	19.3	\$	17.9	\$	10.0				
Deferred Tax Valuation Allowance:										
Beginning balance	\$	19.3	\$	21.3	\$	18.5				
Additions charged to expense		1.0		2.6		2.3				
Additions attributable to acquisitions and dispositions		(1.7)		6.2		9.0				
Impact of foreign currency exchange rate changes		(1.7)		1.4		0.8				
Reductions from utilization and reassessments		(5.2)		(12.2)		(9.3)				
Ending balance	\$	11.7	\$	19.3	\$	21.3				