

CRYO CELL INTERNATIONAL INC

Form 10-Q

October 15, 2015

Table of Contents

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**Quarterly report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the quarterly period ended August 31, 2015**

**Transition report pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.
For the transition period from _____ to _____**

Commission File Number 0-23386

CRYO-CELL INTERNATIONAL, INC.

(Exact name of Registrant as Specified in its Charter)

DELAWARE
(State or other Jurisdiction of

22-3023093
(I.R.S. Employer

Incorporation or Organization)

Identification No.)

700 Brooker Creek Blvd. Oldsmar, FL 34677

(Address of Principal Executive Offices) (Zip Code)

Issuer's phone number, including area code: (813) 749-2100

(Former name, former address and former fiscal year, if changed since last report).

Check whether the registrant (1) filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the past 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files. Yes No Not Applicable

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. See definition of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer and small reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

State the number of shares outstanding of each of the Registrant's classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date. As of October 10, 2015, 12,257,384 shares of \$0.01 par value common stock were issued and 9,067,409 were outstanding.

Table of Contents

CRYO-CELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | PAGE |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------|
| PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION (UNAUDITED) | |
| Item 1. Financial Statements | |
| <u>Consolidated Balance Sheets</u> | 3 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | 4 |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | 5 |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements</u> | 6 |
| <u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | 26 |
| <u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk</u> | 35 |
| <u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u> | 35 |
| PART II - OTHER INFORMATION | |
| <u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u> | 37 |
| <u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 5. Other Information</u> | 38 |
| <u>Item 6. Exhibits</u> | 39 |
| <u>SIGNATURES</u> | 40 |

Table of Contents**CRYO-CELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

| | August 31, 2015 (unaudited) | November 30, 2014 |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <u>ASSETS</u> | | |
| <u>Current Assets</u> | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 2,719,463 | \$ 3,279,267 |
| Restricted cash | 204,289 | 204,141 |
| Marketable securities | 460,512 | 102,674 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$1,950,274 and \$1,976,966, respectively) | 4,162,770 | 4,071,997 |
| Deferred tax assets, current portion | 1,339,663 | |
| Prepaid expenses | 447,591 | 710,754 |
| Inventory, net | 539,093 | 63,742 |
| Other current assets | 33,901 | 59,384 |
| Total current assets | 9,907,282 | 8,491,959 |
| <u>Property and Equipment-net</u> | 878,359 | 953,415 |
| <u>Other Assets</u> | | |
| Investment in Saneron CCEL Therapeutics, Inc. | 684,000 | 684,000 |
| Intangible assets, net | 565,494 | 28,358 |
| Goodwill | 1,741,822 | |
| Deferred tax assets, net of current portion | 5,617,171 | |
| Deposits and other assets, net | 41,306 | 51,854 |
| Total other assets | 8,649,793 | 764,212 |
| Total assets | \$ 19,435,434 | \$ 10,209,586 |
| <u>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS DEFICIT</u> | | |
| <u>Current Liabilities</u> | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,448,442 | \$ 992,910 |
| Accrued expenses | 1,918,985 | 1,471,699 |
| Current portion of note payable | 303,609 | |
| Deferred revenue | 6,781,165 | 6,662,552 |
| Total current liabilities | 10,452,201 | 9,127,161 |

Other Liabilities

| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------|------------|------------|
| Deferred revenue, net of current portion | 10,563,918 | 9,509,088 |
| Note payable, net of current portion | 947,246 | |
| Long-term liability - revenue sharing agreements | 2,300,000 | 2,300,000 |
| Total other liabilities | 13,811,164 | 11,809,088 |

Commitments and contingencies (Note 6)

Stockholders Deficit

| | | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------|---------------|
| Preferred stock (\$.01 par value, 500,000 authorized and none issued and outstanding) | | |
| Series A Junior participating preferred stock (\$.01 par value, 20,000 authorized and none issued and outstanding) | | |
| Common stock (\$.01 par value, 20,000,000 authorized; 12,252,840 issued and 9,079,076 outstanding as of August 31, 2015 and 11,921,285 issued and 9,706,174 outstanding as of November 30, 2014) | 122,529 | 119,213 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 28,215,135 | 27,842,106 |
| Treasury stock, at cost | (8,059,353) | (5,112,648) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 143,318 | |
| Accumulated deficit | (25,249,560) | (33,575,334) |
| Total stockholders deficit | (4,827,931) | (10,726,663) |
| Total liabilities and stockholders deficit | \$ 19,435,434 | \$ 10,209,586 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CRYO-CELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

| | For the Three Months Ended | | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | August 31, 2015 | August 31, 2014 | August 31, 2015 | August 31, 2014 |
| Revenue: | | | | |
| Processing and storage fees | \$ 5,000,731 | \$ 4,768,533 | \$ 14,519,177 | \$ 13,849,199 |
| Licensee and royalty income | 169,411 | 169,412 | 508,235 | 1,302,074 |
| Product revenue | 265,848 | | 265,848 | |
| Total revenue | 5,435,990 | 4,937,945 | 15,293,260 | 15,151,273 |
| Costs and Expenses: | | | | |
| Cost of sales | 1,507,393 | 1,499,739 | 4,158,767 | 4,323,805 |
| Selling, general and administrative expenses | 2,628,970 | 2,948,185 | 8,549,722 | 8,824,048 |
| Abandonment of patents | | | | 25,649 |
| Research, development and related engineering | 9,952 | 17,852 | 32,471 | 50,543 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 25,359 | 43,211 | 60,702 | 131,415 |
| Total costs and expenses | 4,171,674 | 4,508,987 | 12,801,662 | 13,355,460 |
| Operating Income | 1,264,316 | 428,958 | 2,491,598 | 1,795,813 |
| Other Income (Expense): | | | | |
| Other income (expense) | (11,469) | 195,010 | (4,142) | 230,253 |
| Interest expense | (353,534) | (309,317) | (1,024,621) | (836,177) |
| Total other expense | (365,003) | (114,307) | (1,028,763) | (605,924) |
| Income before equity in losses of affiliate and income tax expense | 899,313 | 314,651 | 1,462,835 | 1,189,889 |
| Equity in losses of affiliate | (1,164) | (52,667) | (17,660) | (242,318) |
| Income before income tax expense | 898,149 | 261,984 | 1,445,175 | 947,571 |
| Income tax benefit (expense) | 6,931,423 | (25,412) | 6,880,599 | (98,114) |
| Net Income | \$ 7,829,572 | \$ 236,572 | \$ 8,325,774 | \$ 849,457 |

Edgar Filing: CRYO CELL INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| Net income per common share - basic | \$ 0.83 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.08 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - basic | 9,462,102 | 10,025,939 | 9,697,679 | 10,319,514 |
| Net income per common share - diluted | \$ 0.80 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.08 |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding - diluted | 9,750,197 | 10,252,265 | 9,945,618 | 10,484,849 |
| Other Comprehensive Income | | | | |
| Unrealized (loss) gain on marketable securities | \$ (82,958) | \$ | \$ 143,318 | \$ |
| Comprehensive Income | \$ 7,746,614 | \$ 236,572 | \$ 8,469,092 | \$ 849,457 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**CRYO-CELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

| | For the Nine Months Ended | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|
| | August 31, 2015 | August 31, 2014 |
| Net income | \$ 8,325,774 | \$ 849,457 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | |
| Depreciation and amortization expense | 174,964 | 287,010 |
| Abandonment of patents | | 25,649 |
| Compensatory element of stock options | 304,585 | 255,380 |
| Provision for doubtful accounts | 149,596 | 913,235 |
| Equity in losses of affiliate | 17,660 | 242,318 |
| Deferred income tax benefit | (6,956,834) | |
| Changes in assets and liabilities: | | |
| Accounts receivable | (240,369) | (1,527,397) |
| Notes receivable | | 550,782 |
| Prepaid expenses | 159,163 | 59,927 |
| Inventory | 53,746 | 15,973 |
| Other current assets | 25,483 | 29,145 |
| Deposits and other assets, net | 10,548 | 52,628 |
| Accounts payable | 455,532 | (156,306) |
| Accrued expenses | (789,783) | (657,576) |
| Deferred revenue | 1,173,443 | 371,511 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,863,508 | 1,311,736 |
| Cash flows from investing activities: | | |
| Release of restricted cash held in escrow | (148) | 764,045 |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (54,691) | (93,478) |
| Purchase of Prepacyte®-CB | (212,203) | |
| Purchases of marketable securities and other investments, net | (214,520) | (84,753) |
| Investment in affiliate | | (150,000) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (481,562) | 435,814 |
| Cash flows from financing activities: | | |
| Treasury stock purchases | (2,946,705) | (2,294,631) |
| Repayments of note payable | (49,145) | |
| Proceeds from the exercise of stock options | 54,100 | 70,902 |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (2,941,750) | (2,223,729) |

| | | |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents | (559,804) | (476,179) |
| Cash and cash equivalents - beginning of period | 3,279,267 | 3,925,156 |
| Cash and cash equivalents - end of period | \$ 2,719,463 | \$ 3,448,977 |
| Supplemental non-cash investing activities: | | |
| Unrealized gain on marketable securities | \$ 143,318 | \$ |
| Disposition of Cryo-Cell common stock held by Saneron, increase in investment | \$ | \$ 74,764 |
| Increase in note payable in connection with the purchased business | \$ 1,300,000 | \$ |
| Increase in accrued expenses in connection with the purchased business | \$ 586,675 | \$ |
| Decrease in prepaid expenses in connection with the purchased business | \$ 104,000 | \$ |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

CRYO-CELL INTERNATIONAL, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

August 31, 2015

(Unaudited)

Note 1 Basis of Presentation and Significant Accounting Policies

The unaudited consolidated financial statements including the Consolidated Balance Sheets as of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, the related Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014 and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014 have been prepared by Cryo-Cell International, Inc. and its subsidiaries (the Company or Cryo-Cell) pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission for interim financial reporting. Certain financial information and note disclosures, which are normally included in annual financial statements prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, have been condensed or omitted pursuant to those rules and regulations. It is suggested that these consolidated financial statements be read in conjunction with the financial statements and notes thereto included in the Company's November 30, 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (which include only normal recurring adjustments) necessary to present fairly the financial position, results of operations, and changes in cash flows for all periods presented have been made. The results of operations for the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015 are not necessarily indicative of the results expected for any interim period in the future or the entire year ending November 30, 2015.

Revenue Recognition

Revenue Recognition for Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables

For multi-element arrangements, the Company allocates revenue to all deliverables based on their relative selling prices. In such circumstances, accounting principles establish a hierarchy to determine the selling price to be used for allocating revenue to deliverables as follows: (i) vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value (VSOE), (ii) third-party evidence of selling price (TPE), and (iii) best estimate of the selling price (ESP). VSOE generally exists only when the Company sells the deliverable separately and it is the price actually charged by the Company for that deliverable.

The Company has identified two deliverables generally contained in the arrangements involving the sale of its umbilical cord blood product. The first deliverable is the processing of a specimen. The second deliverable is either the annual storage of a specimen, the 21-year storage fee charged for a specimen or the life-time storage fee charged for a specimen. The Company has allocated revenue between these deliverables using the relative selling price method. The Company has VSOE for its annual storage fees as the Company renews storage fees annually with its customers on a stand-alone basis. Because the Company has neither VSOE nor TPE for the processing, 21-year storage and life-time storage deliverables, the allocation of revenue has been based on the Company's ESPs. Amounts allocated to processing a specimen are recognized at the time the processing of the specimen is complete. Amounts allocated to the storage of a specimen are recognized ratably over the contractual storage period. Any discounts given to the customer are recognized by applying the relative selling price method whereby after the Company determines the selling price to be allocated to each deliverable (processing and storage), the sum of the prices of the deliverables is then compared to the arrangement consideration, and any difference is applied to the separate deliverables ratably.

Table of Contents

The Company's process for determining its ESP for deliverables without VSOE or TPE considers multiple factors that may vary depending upon the unique facts and circumstances related to each deliverable. Key factors considered by the Company in developing the ESPs for its processing, 21 year storage and life-time storage fee include the Company's historical pricing practices, as well as expected profit margins.

The Company records revenue from processing and storage of specimens and pursuant to agreements with licensees. The Company recognizes revenue from processing fees upon completion of processing and recognizes storage fees ratably over the contractual storage period as well as other income from royalties paid by licensees related to long-term storage contracts which the Company has under license agreements. Contracted storage periods are annual, twenty-one years and lifetime. Deferred revenue on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets includes the portion of the annual storage fee, the twenty-one year storage fee and the life-time storage fee that is being recognized over the contractual storage period as well as royalties received from foreign licensees related to long-term storage contracts in which the Company has future obligations under the license agreement. The Company classifies deferred revenue as current if the Company expects to recognize the related revenue over the next 12 months. The Company also records revenue within processing and storage fees from shipping and handling billed to customers when earned. Shipping and handling costs that the Company incurs are expensed and included in cost of sales.

The Company records revenue from the sale of the Prepacyte®-CB product line upon shipment of the product to the Company's customers.

Accounts Receivable

Accounts receivable consist of uncollateralized amounts due from clients that have enrolled and processed in the umbilical cord blood stem cell processing and storage programs and amounts due from license affiliates, and sublicensee territories. Accounts receivable are due within 30 days and are stated at amounts net of an allowance for doubtful accounts. Accounts outstanding longer than the contractual payment terms are considered past due. The Company determines its allowance by considering the length of time accounts receivable are past due, the Company's previous loss history, and the client's current ability to pay its obligations. Therefore, if the financial condition of the Company's clients were to deteriorate beyond the estimates, the Company may have to increase the allowance for doubtful accounts which could have a negative impact on earnings. The Company writes-off accounts receivable when they become uncollectible, and payments subsequently received on such receivables are credited to the allowance for doubtful accounts. During the third quarter of fiscal 2015, the Company evaluated the reserve against the accounts receivable from the Company's affiliate in India. The Company determined that reserve of \$287,000 could be reversed due to evidence that India will pay the Company and recorded the amount in selling, general and administrative expenses on the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Income Taxes

Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the estimated future tax consequences attributable to differences between financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases. Deferred income tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to be recovered or settled. The Company has recorded a valuation allowance of \$3,559,000 and \$10,517,000 as of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, respectively, as the Company does not believe it is more likely than not that all future income tax benefits will be realized. When the Company changes its determination as to the amount of deferred income tax assets that can be realized,

Table of Contents

the valuation allowance is adjusted with a corresponding impact to income tax expense in the period in which such determination is made. The ultimate realization of the Company's deferred income tax assets depends upon generating sufficient taxable income prior to the expiration of the tax attributes. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, the Company projects future levels of taxable income. This assessment requires significant judgment. The Company examines the evidence related to the recent history of income or losses, the economic conditions in which the Company operates and forecasts and projections to make that determination.

For the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015, the Company recorded an income tax benefit, net of foreign taxes, of \$6,931,000 and \$6,881,000, respectively, of which approximately \$6,957,000 relates to a reversal of a portion of the Company's valuation allowance for U.S. income taxes. The reversal of a portion of the deferred tax valuation allowance is based upon the Company's historical operating performance which includes profitability in ten of the last eleven quarters, steadily improving operations and positive expectations for future taxable income.

There was no U.S. income tax expense for the three and nine months ended August 31, 2014 due to the utilization of net operating losses and foreign tax credit carryforwards, which were not previously benefited in the Company's financial statements.

The Company records foreign income taxes withheld from installment payments of non-refundable up-front license fees and royalty income earned on the processing and storage of cord blood stem cell specimens in geographic areas where the Company has license agreements. The Company recognized approximately \$25,000 and \$25,000 for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of foreign income tax expense. The Company recognized approximately \$76,000 and \$98,000 for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of foreign income tax expense. Foreign income tax expense is included in income tax expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

The Company recognizes the financial statement benefit of a tax position only after determining that the relevant tax authority would more likely than not sustain the position following an audit. For tax positions meeting the more-likely-than-not threshold, the amount recognized in the financial statements is the largest benefit that has a greater than 50 percent likelihood of being realized upon ultimate settlement with the relevant tax authority. Increases or decreases to the unrecognized tax benefits could result from management's belief that a position can or cannot be sustained upon examination based on subsequent information or potential lapse of the applicable statute of limitation for certain tax positions.

The Company recognizes interest and penalties related to uncertain tax positions in income tax expense. For the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014, the Company had no provisions for interest or penalties related to uncertain tax positions.

In September 2013, the Internal Revenue Service issued final regulations governing the income tax treatment of the acquisition, disposition and repair of tangible property. The regulations are effective for taxable years beginning on or after January 1, 2014. The Company does not expect these new regulations to have a material impact on the financial statements

Long-Lived Assets

The Company evaluates the realizability of its long-lived assets, which requires impairment losses to be recorded on long-lived assets used in operations when indicators of impairment, such as reductions in demand or when significant economic slowdowns are present. Reviews are performed to determine whether the carrying value of an asset is impaired, based on comparisons to undiscounted

Table of Contents

expected future cash flows. If this comparison indicates that there is impairment and carrying value is in excess of fair value, the impaired asset is written down to fair value, which is typically calculated using: (i) quoted market prices or (ii) discounted expected future cash flows utilizing a discount rate. The Company did not note any impairment for the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014.

Due to tests performed during the second quarter of fiscal 2014, management decided to discontinue pursuing certain patents and trademarks related to the Company's menstrual stem cell technology resulting in a write-off of approximately \$26,000 for abandoned patents and trademarks which is reflected as abandonment of patents in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income. The impact to future operations is insignificant and it will not impact the Company's core operations.

Inventory

Inventory is comprised of collection kits, finished goods, work-in-process and raw materials. Collection kits are used in the collection and processing of umbilical cord blood and cord tissue stem cells, and work-in-process and finished goods include products purchased for resale and for use in the Company's processing and storage service. Inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method.

Stock Compensation

As of August 31, 2015, the Company has three stock-based compensation plans, which are described in Note 4 to the unaudited consolidated financial statements. The Company's third stock-based employee compensation plan became effective December 1, 2011 as approved by the Board of Directors and approved by the stockholders at the 2012 Annual Meeting. The Company recognized approximately \$60,000 and \$48,000 for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014, respectively, of stock option compensation expense. The Company recognized approximately \$305,000 and \$255,000 for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014, respectively, of stock compensation expense.

The Company recognizes stock-based compensation based on the fair value of the related awards. Under the fair value recognition guidance of stock-based compensation accounting rules, stock-based compensation expense is estimated at the grant date based on the fair value of the award and is recognized as expense over the requisite service period of the award. The fair value of service-based vesting condition and performance-based vesting condition stock option awards is determined using the Black-Scholes valuation model. For stock option awards with only service-based vesting conditions and graded vesting features, the Company recognizes stock compensation expense based on the graded-vesting method. The Company recognizes compensation cost for awards with market-based vesting conditions on a graded-vesting basis over the derived service period calculated by the binomial valuation model. The use of these valuation models involve assumptions that are judgmental and highly sensitive in the determination of compensation expense and include the expected life of the option, stock price volatility, risk-free interest rate, dividend yield, exercise price, and forfeiture rate. Forfeitures are estimated at the time of valuation and reduce expense ratably over the vesting period.

The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest requires judgment and to the extent that actual results or updated estimates differ from current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period they become known. The Company considered many factors when estimating forfeitures, including the recipient groups and historical experience. Actual results and future changes in estimates may differ substantially from current estimates.

Table of Contents

Performance-based equity awards vest upon the achievement of certain financial performance goals, including revenue and income targets. Determining the appropriate amount to expense based on the anticipated achievement of the stated goals requires judgment, including forecasting future financial results. The estimate of the timing of the expense recognition is revised periodically based on the probability of achieving the required performance targets and adjustments are made as appropriate. The cumulative impact of any revision is reflected in the period of the change. If the financial performance goals are not met, the award does not vest, so no compensation cost is recognized and any previously recognized stock-based compensation expense is reversed.

Equity awards with market-based vesting conditions vest upon the achievement of certain stock price targets. If the awards are forfeited prior to the completion of the derived service period, any recognized compensation is reversed. If the awards are forfeited after the completion of the derived service period, the compensation cost is not reversed, even if the awards never vest.

Fair Value of Financial Instruments

Management uses a fair value hierarchy, which gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets. The fair value of financial instruments is estimated based on market trading information, where available. Absent published market values for an instrument or other assets, management uses observable market data to arrive at its estimates of fair value. Management believes that the carrying amount of cash and cash equivalents, accounts receivable, notes receivable, accounts payable and accrued expenses approximate fair value. The Company believes that the fair value of its revenue sharing agreements liability recorded on the balance sheet is between the recorded book value and up to the Company's settlement experience, due to the various terms and conditions associated with each Revenue Sharing Agreement.

The Company uses an accounting standard that defines fair value as an exit price, representing the amount that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. As such, fair value is a market-based measurement that should be determined based on assumptions that market participants would use in pricing an asset or liability. As a basis for considering such assumptions, the standard establishes a three-level fair value hierarchy that prioritizes the inputs used to measure fair value. The three levels of inputs used to measure fair value are as follows:

- Level 1 Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities.
- Level 2 Observable inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1, such as quoted prices for similar assets and liabilities in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar assets and liabilities in markets that are not active; or other inputs that are observable or can be corroborated by observable market data.
- Level 3 Unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and that are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities. This includes certain pricing models, discounted cash flow methodologies and similar techniques that use significant unobservable inputs.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the financial assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, respectively, segregated among the appropriate levels within the fair value hierarchy:

| Description | Fair Value at August 31, 2015 | Fair Value Measurements at August 31, 2015 Using | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Trading securities | \$ 103,773 | \$ 103,773 | | |
| Available-for-sale securities | 356,739 | 356,739 | | |
| Total | \$ 460,512 | \$ 460,512 | | |

| Description | Fair Value at November 30, 2014 | Fair Value Measurements at November 30, 2014 Using | | |
|--------------------|------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------|---------|---------|
| | | Level 1 | Level 2 | Level 3 |
| Assets: | | | | |
| Trading securities | \$ 102,674 | \$ 102,674 | | |

The following is a description of the valuation techniques used for these items, as well as the general classification of such items pursuant to the fair value hierarchy:

Trading securities Fair values for these investments are based on quoted prices of identical securities in active markets and are therefore classified within Level 1 of the fair value hierarchy. For trading securities, there was (\$11,529) and \$34,560 in unrealized holding loss and gain, respectively, recorded in other income and expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014. There was (\$4,813) and \$11,680 in unrealized holding loss and gain, respectively, recorded in other income on the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014.

Available-for-sale securities During the second quarter of fiscal 2015, management reevaluated its marketable securities and determined that there was a change in certain securities from trading to available-for-sale securities. These investments are classified as available for sale and consist of marketable equity securities that we intend to hold for an indefinite period of time. Investments are stated at fair value and unrealized holding gains and losses are reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income until realized. Realized gains or losses on disposition of investments are computed using the first in, first out (FIFO) method and reported as income or loss in the period of disposition in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income. For available-for-sale securities, there was (\$82,958) and \$0 in unrealized holding loss, respectively, reported as comprehensive income on the accompanying statements of comprehensive income for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014. For available-for-sale securities, there was \$143,318 and \$0 in unrealized holding gains, respectively, reported as a component of comprehensive income on the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the nine month period ended August 31, 2015 and 2014. Additionally, there was \$0 and \$7,361 in realized gains, respectively, on the disposition of available for sale securities recorded in other income and expense on the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended

August 31, 2015.

Product Warranty and Cryo-Cell Cares™ Program

In December 2005, the Company began providing its customers that enrolled after December 2005 a payment warranty under which the Company agrees to pay \$50,000 to its client if the umbilical cord blood product retrieved is used for a stem cell transplant for the donor or an immediate family member and fails to engraft, subject to various restrictions. Effective February 1, 2012, the Company increased the \$50,000 payment warranty to a \$75,000 payment warranty to all of its new clients. Additionally, under the Cryo-Cell Cares™ program, the Company will pay \$10,000 to the client to offset

Table of Contents

personal expenses if the umbilical cord blood product is used for bone marrow reconstitution in a myeloblastic transplant procedure. The product warranty and the Cryo-Cell Cares program are available to clients who enroll under this structure for as long as the specimen is stored with the Company. The Company has not experienced any claims under the warranty program nor has it incurred costs related to these warranties. The Company does not maintain insurance for this warranty program and therefore maintains reserves to cover any estimated potential liabilities. The Company's reserve balance is based on the \$75,000 or \$50,000 (as applicable) maximum payment and the \$10,000 maximum expense reimbursement multiplied by formulas to determine the projected number of units requiring a payout. The Company determined the estimated expected usage and engraftment failure rates based on an analysis of the historical usage and failure rates and the historical usage and failure rates in other private and public cord blood banks based on published data. The Company's estimates of expected usage and engraftment failure could change as a result of changes in actual usage rates or failure rates and such changes would require an adjustment to the established reserves. The historical usage and failure rates have been very low and a small increase in the number of transplants or engraftment failures could cause a significant increase in the estimated rates used in determining the Company's reserve. In addition, the reserve will increase as additional umbilical cord blood specimens are stored which are subject to the warranty. As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014 the Company recorded reserves under these programs in the amounts of approximately \$17,000 and \$17,000, respectively, which are included in accrued expenses in the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Reclassification

Certain reclassifications to Inventory and Intangible Assets have been made to prior period amounts in the Consolidated Balance Sheets and the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications had no effect on the previously reported current and total assets or liabilities or the cash flows from operating, investing and financing activities.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. This update simplifies the subsequent measurement of inventory. It replaces the current lower of cost or market test with the lower of cost or net realizable value test. Net realizable value is defined as the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. The new standard should be applied prospectively and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within those annual periods, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-12, *Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period* (ASU 2014-12). This update requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition in determining expense recognition for the award. As a result, this type of performance condition may delay expense recognition until achievement of the performance target is probable. ASU 2014-12 is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. We will adopt ASU 2014-12 effective December 1, 2016 and it is not anticipated to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*. This update provides a comprehensive new revenue recognition model that requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an

Table of Contents

amount that reflects the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. This update is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, which will require us to adopt these provisions in the first quarter of fiscal 2019. Early application is not permitted. This update permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are evaluating the effect this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. We have not yet selected a transition method nor have we determined the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting.

Note 2 Income per Common Share

The following table sets forth the calculation of basic and diluted net income per common share:

| | Three Months Ended | | Nine Months Ended | |
|----------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|--------------------|
| | August 31, 2015 | August 31, 2014 | August 31, 2015 | August 31, 2014 |
| Numerator: | | | | |
| Net Income | \$ 7,829,572 | \$ 236,572 | \$ 8,325,774 | \$ 849,457 |
| Denominator: | | | | |
| Weighted-average shares outstanding-basic | 9,462,102 | 10,025,939 | 9,697,679 | 10,319,514 |
| Dilutive common shares issuable upon exercise of stock options | 288,095 | 226,326 | 247,939 | 165,335 |
| Weighted-average shares-diluted | 9,750,197 | 10,252,265 | 9,945,618 | 10,484,849 |
| Net income per common share: | | | | |
| Basic | \$ 0.83 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.86 | \$ 0.08 |
| Diluted | \$ 0.80 | \$ 0.02 | \$ 0.84 | \$ 0.08 |

For the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015, the Company excluded the effect of 225,000 and 287,500, respectively, outstanding options from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as the effect of potentially dilutive shares from the outstanding stock options would be anti-dilutive.

For the three and nine months ended August 31, 2014, the Company excluded the effect of 262,500 and 288,500, outstanding options, respectively, from the computation of diluted earnings per share, as the effect of potentially dilutive shares from the outstanding stock options would be anti-dilutive.

Note 3 Investment in Saneron CCEL Therapeutics, Inc. (Saneron)

As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, the Company had an ownership interest of approximately 33% in Saneron, which is accounted for under the equity method. As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, the net Saneron investment, which represents underlying goodwill, is reflected on the consolidated balance sheets at \$684,000. As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, management reviewed the Saneron investment to

determine if there were any indicators that would imply that the investment was impaired. Based on management's review, there were no indicators of impairment and the investment was not impaired as of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014.

Table of Contents

In October 2013, the Company entered into a Convertible Promissory Note Purchase Agreement with Saneron. Cryo-Cell will loan Saneron in quarterly payments an aggregate amount up to \$300,000, subject to certain conditions. The initial loan amount was \$150,000 to be paid in four quarterly installments of \$37,500 per quarter. If after the initial loan amount, Saneron has made best efforts, satisfactory to Cryo-Cell in its sole discretion, to have started independently or via serving as a sponsor of a clinical trial related to its U-CORD-CELL program, then Cryo-Cell will agree to lend Saneron an additional \$150,000 through a series of four additional quarterly payments of \$37,500. Upon receipt of each quarterly payment, Saneron will deliver a convertible promissory note (Note) that matures five years from the date of the Note. Upon maturity of any Note, Saneron will have the option to repay all or a portion of the loan in cash or convert the outstanding principal and accrued interest under the applicable Note(s) into shares of Saneron common stock. The Company has made five payments of \$37,500 through November 30, 2014. The Company has made no additional payments since November 30, 2014 and through August 31, 2015.

For the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015, the Company recorded equity in losses of Saneron operations of \$1,000 and \$18,000, respectively, which solely related to certain stock and warrant awards in Saneron common stock that were granted by Saneron at below fair value to certain employees, consultants and members of Saneron management who represent owners of Saneron and serve on its board of directors. For the three and nine months ended August 31, 2014, the Company recorded equity in losses of Saneron operations of approximately \$53,000 and \$242,000. For the three and nine months ended August 31, 2014, \$37,500 and \$150,000, respectively, was related to valuation allowances associated with the note entered into as discussed above and \$8,500 and \$86,000, respectively, related to certain stock and warrant awards in Saneron common stock that were granted by Saneron at below fair value to certain employees, consultants and members of Saneron management who represent owners of Saneron and serve on its board of directors. The Company will continue to record equity in losses of affiliates related to stock compensation expense with corresponding effect to additional paid-in capital.

During the third quarter of fiscal 2014, the Company repurchased 93,800 common shares that were held by Saneron for \$2.60 per share. During that quarter the Company was made aware that the remaining 56,300 common shares of Cryo-Cell common stock owned by Saneron were sold in prior periods. While the Company should have increased the investment in Saneron, the investment amount would have then been reduced each quarter for the Company's portion of the losses in Saneron. The correction was made during the third quarter of fiscal 2014 to reclassify approximately \$400,000 from treasury stock to accumulated deficit on the accompanying consolidated balance sheets.

Note 4 Stockholder's Equity

Common Stock Issuances

During the nine months ended August 31, 2015, the Company issued 27,500 common shares to option holders who exercised options for \$54,100. During the nine months ended August 31, 2014, the Company issued 46,246 common shares to option holders who exercised options for \$70,902.

During the three months ended August 31, 2015, the Company issued 27,500 common shares to option holders who exercised options for \$54,100. During the three months ended August 31, 2014, the Company issued 13,750 common shares to option holders who exercised options for \$22,238.

Table of Contents**Employee Stock Incentive Plan**

The Company maintains the 2000 Stock Incentive Plan as amended (the 2000 Plan) that has reserved 2,250,000 shares of the Company s common stock for issuance pursuant to stock options or restricted stock. Options issued under the Plan have a term ranging from five to seven years from the date of grant and have a vesting period ranging from immediately upon issuance to three years from the date of grant. The options are exercisable for a period of 90 days after termination. As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, there were 0 and 2,500 shares outstanding under the 2000 Plan, respectively. No further options will be issued under the 2000 Plan.

The Company also maintains the 2006 Stock Incentive Plan (the 2006 Plan). The 2006 Plan has reserved 1,000,000 shares of the Company s common stock for issuance pursuant to stock options, restricted stock, stock-appreciation rights (commonly referred to as SARs), and other stock awards (i.e. performance shares and performance units). As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, there were 576,430 and 594,766 shares outstanding under the 2006 Plan, respectively. As of August 31, 2015, there were 253,679 shares available for future issuance under the 2006 Plan.

The Company also maintains the 2012 Equity Incentive Plan (the 2012 Plan) which became effective December 1, 2011 as approved by the Board of Directors and approved by the stockholders at the 2012 Annual Meeting on July 10, 2012. The 2012 Plan originally reserved 1,500,000 shares of the Company s common stock for issuance pursuant to stock options, restricted stock, SARs, and other stock awards (i.e. performance shares and performance units). In May 2012, the Board of Directors approved an amendment to the 2012 Plan to increase the number of shares of the Company s common stock reserved for issuance to 2,500,000 shares. As of August 31, 2015, there were 400,000 service-based options issued, 129,729 service-based restricted common shares granted, 116,240 performance-based and 58,120 market-based restricted common shares granted under the 2012 plan. As of November 30, 2014, there were 400,000 service-based options issued, 129,729 service-based restricted common shares granted, 58,120 performance-based and 58,120 market-based restricted common shares granted under the 2012 plan. As of August 31, 2015, there were 1,795,911 shares available for future issuance under the 2012 Plan.

Service-based vesting condition options

The fair value of each option award is estimated on the date of the grant using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Expected volatility is based on the historical volatility of the Company s stock over the most recent period commensurate with the expected life of the Company s stock options. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The expected term of options granted to employees is calculated, in accordance with the simplified method for plain vanilla stock options allowed under GAAP. Expected dividends are based on the historical trend of the Company not issuing dividends.

Variables used to determine the fair value of the options granted for the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015:

| | Three Months Ended August 31, 2015 | Nine Months Ended August 31, 2015 |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Weighted average values: | | |
| Expected dividends | 0% | 0% |
| Expected volatility | 75.50% | 75.50% |
| Risk free interest rate | 1.57% | 1.57% |

| | | |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|
| Expected life | 5.0 years | 5.0 years |
|---------------|-----------|-----------|

There were no options granted during the three and nine months ended August 31, 2014.

Table of Contents

Stock option activity for options with only service-based vesting conditions for the nine months ended August 31, 2015, was as follows:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years) | Aggregate Intrinsic Value |
|----------------------------------|----------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Outstanding at November 30, 2014 | 997,266 | \$ 2.14 | 5.84 | \$ 661,466 |
| Granted | 22,500 | 3.08 | | 6,975 |
| Exercised | (27,500) | 1.97 | | 29,475 |
| Expired/forfeited | (15,836) | 2.08 | | 20,795 |
| Outstanding at August 31, 2015 | 976,430 | \$ 2.17 | 5.24 | \$ 1,192,403 |
| Exercisable at August 31, 2015 | 953,924 | \$ 2.15 | 5.21 | \$ 1,184,149 |

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted during the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$1.90.

The aggregate intrinsic value represents the total value of the difference between the Company's closing stock price on the last trading day of the period and the exercise price of the options, multiplied by the number of in-the-money stock options that would have been received by the option holders had all option holders exercised their options on either November 30, 2014 or August 31, 2015, as applicable. The intrinsic value of the Company's stock options changes based on the closing price of the Company's stock.

Significant option groups outstanding and exercisable at August 31, 2015 and related price and contractual life information are as follows:

| Range of Exercise Prices | Outstanding | Outstanding | | Exercisable | |
|--------------------------|-------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| | | Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Life (Years) | Weighted Average Exercise Price | Outstanding | Weighted Average Exercise Price |
| \$1.01 to \$2.00 | 465,930 | 5.73 | \$ 1.72 | 465,930 | \$ 1.72 |
| \$2.01 to \$3.00 | 488,000 | 4.70 | 2.55 | 486,122 | 2.55 |
| \$3.00 to \$4.00 | 22,500 | 6.86 | 3.08 | 1,872 | 3.08 |
| | 976,430 | 5.24 | \$ 2.17 | 953,924 | \$ 2.15 |

Table of Contents

A summary of the status of the Company's non-vested options as of August 31, 2015, and changes during the nine months ended August 31, 2015, is presented below:

| | Shares | Weighted Average Grant-Date Fair Value |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------------|
| Non-vested at November 30, 2014 | 27,083 | \$ 1.64 |
| Granted | 22,500 | 1.90 |
| Vested | (27,077) | 1.66 |
| Forfeited | | |
| Non-vested at August 31, 2015 | 22,506 | \$ 1.88 |

As of August 31, 2015, there was approximately \$37,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost related to non-vested share-based compensation arrangements granted under the 2000 Plan, the 2006 Plan and the 2012 Plan. The cost is expected to be recognized over a weighted-average period of .86 years as of August 31, 2015. The total fair value of shares vested during the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was approximately \$45,000.

Restricted common shares

During the first fiscal quarter of 2014, the Company entered into Amended and Restated Employment Agreements (Employment Agreements) with each of the Company's Co-CEOs. Per the Employment Agreements, each of the Co-CEOs is to receive base grant equity awards in the form of restricted shares of the Company's common stock. As of December 1, 2013, David Portnoy and Mark Portnoy were granted 70,270 and 59,459 shares of the Company's common stock, respectively. The shares were issued under the Company's 2012 Stock Plan and vest 1/3 upon grant, 1/3 on December 1, 2014 and the remaining 1/3 on December 1, 2015. The stock compensation expense of the shares vested as of August 31, 2015 was \$220,000 and is reflected as selling, general and administration expenses in the accompanying consolidated statement of comprehensive income. As of August 31, 2015, there was approximately \$20,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost which will be recognized during the remainder of fiscal year 2015, related to the non-vested shares of restricted common stock.

The Employment Agreements also provide for the grant of restricted shares of the Company's common stock based on certain performance measures being attained by each of the Company's Co-CEOs. The Employment Agreements state if David Portnoy and Mark Portnoy are employed by the Company on November 30, 2014, then no later than February 15, 2015, the Company will grant up to 186,487 and 162,163 shares of restricted common shares, respectively, based on certain performance thresholds, as defined in the agreements. The Company issued David Portnoy 93,244 shares and Mark Portnoy 81,082 shares during the first quarter of fiscal 2015. In addition, if David Portnoy and Mark Portnoy are employed by the Company on November 30, 2015, then no later than February 15, 2016, the Company will grant up to an additional 186,487 and 162,163 shares of restricted common shares, respectively, based on similar performance thresholds, as defined in the agreements. The compensation cost related to certain market-based restricted shares of common stock expensed as of August 31, 2015 was approximately \$68,000. As of August 31, 2015, there was approximately \$24,000 of total unrecognized compensation cost which will be recognized during the remainder of fiscal year 2015, related to the non-vested market-based shares of restricted common stock.

Preferred Stock Rights Plan

On November 26, 2014, the Board of Directors of the Company declared a dividend payable December 5, 2014 of one preferred share purchase right (a Right) for each share of common stock, par value \$0.01 per share, of the Company (a Common Share) outstanding as of the close of business on December 5, 2014 (the Record Date) and authorized the issuance of one Right for each additional Common Share that becomes outstanding between the Record Date and the earliest of the close of business on the Distribution Date (hereinafter defined), the Redemption Date (hereinafter defined), and the close of business on the Final Expiration Date (hereinafter defined), and for certain additional Common Shares that become outstanding after the Distribution Date, such as upon the exercise of stock options or conversion or exchange of securities or notes.

Table of Contents

The Rights will be issued pursuant to a Rights Agreement dated as of December 5, 2014 (the Rights Agreement), between the Company and Continental Stock and Transfer Trust, as Rights Agent (the Rights Agent). The Rights will not and are not intended to prevent an acquisition of the Company that the Board of Directors of the Company considers favorable to and in the best interests of all shareholders of the Company. Rather, because the exercise of the Rights may cause substantial dilution to an Acquiring Person (hereinafter defined) unless the Rights are redeemed by the Board of Directors before an acquisition transaction, the Rights Agreement ensures that the Board of Directors has the ability to negotiate with an Acquiring Person on behalf of unaffiliated shareholders. A description of the material terms and general effect of the Rights Agreement is set forth below.

Each Right represents the right to purchase from the Company one one-thousandth (1/1,000) of a share of Series A Junior Participating Preferred Stock (the Preferred Shares), subject to adjustment as provided in the Rights Agreement. This fraction of a Preferred Share is substantially similar to a Common Share, in that the Rights Agreement provides for each Preferred Share to have the voting, liquidation and dividend rights that are equivalent to 1,000 times the rights of a Common Share.

Initially, the Rights are not exercisable, are transferable only in connection with the transfer of Common Shares, and, generally, are evidenced only by the certificates for Common Shares. The holders of Rights will, solely by reason of their ownership of Rights, have no rights as shareholders of the Company, including, without limitation, the right to vote or to receive dividends. The Rights will become exercisable and trade separately from the Common Shares upon the Distribution Date (the Distribution Date), which takes place upon the earlier of:

- (i) The tenth day after the earlier of either the public announcement or public disclosure of facts indicating that a person has become an Acquiring Person; or
- (ii) The tenth business day (or such later date as may be determined by the Board of Directors of the Company prior to any person becoming an Acquiring Person) after the date of the commencement or announcement of the intention to commence a tender or exchange offer, the consummation of which would result in any person becoming an Acquiring Person.

For the purposes of the Rights Agreement, an Acquiring Person is any person who, together with all affiliates and associates, becomes the Beneficial Owner (as defined in the Rights Agreement) of 20% or more of the outstanding Common Shares, other than: the Company; any subsidiary of the Company; any employee benefit plan of the Company or of any subsidiary of the Company, or any entity holding Common Shares pursuant to any such plan; any person who becomes the Beneficial Owner of 20% or more of outstanding Common Shares solely as a result of an acquisition of Common Shares by the Company, until such person thereafter becomes the Beneficial Owner (other than through a dividend or stock split) of an additional 0.25% or more of the outstanding Common Shares; any person who, the Board determines in good faith, inadvertently crossed the ownership threshold and then promptly sells down below the threshold (unless such divestiture requirement is waived by the Board); any person, along with its affiliates and associates, that, as of the time of the adoption of the Rights Agreement, is the Beneficial Owner of 20% or more of the Common Shares, until such person increases their ownership to 22.5% or above; and any person who or which is the Beneficial Owner of the common shares of an existing shareholder who is the Beneficial Owner of 20% or more of the Common Shares, until such person increases their percentage ownership by 0.25% or more.

Table of Contents

In the event that a person becomes an Acquiring Person, the Board of Directors of the Company may elect to exchange any then-unexercised Rights (other than those of an Acquiring Person, which Rights become void), in whole or in part, for Common Shares at an exchange ratio of one Common Share per Right (subject to adjustment as provided in the Rights Agreement). In lieu of fractional Common Shares, the Company will pay to the Rights holders an amount of cash equal to the same fraction of the current per share market value of a whole Common Share, based upon the closing market price of the last trading day prior to exchange. If the Board of Directors determines, before the Distribution Date, to effect an exchange, the Board may delay the occurrence of the Distribution Date, provided that the Distribution Date must occur no later than 20 days after the earlier of the public announcement or public disclosure of facts indicating that an Acquiring Person has become such. However, notwithstanding the foregoing, the Board of Directors may not effect such an exchange at any time after an Acquiring Person, together with all affiliates and associates, becomes the Beneficial Owner of a majority of the outstanding Common Shares.

The Board of Directors may, at its option, at any time prior to a person becoming an Acquiring Person, redeem the Rights in whole, but not in part, at a price of \$0.01 per Right (the Redemption Price) (the date of such action by the Board of Directors being the Redemption Date). Immediately upon the action of the Board of Directors electing to redeem the Rights, without any further action and without any notice, the right to exercise the Rights will terminate and each Right will thereafter represent only the right to receive the Redemption Price.

Assuming that the Board of Directors has not elected to exchange or redeem the Rights, in the event that, after any person becomes an Acquiring Person, (i) the Company merges into another entity, (ii) another entity merges into the Company and all of the outstanding Common Shares do not remain outstanding after such merger, or (iii) the Company sells 50% or more of its assets, each holder of a Right will, upon exercise, become entitled to receive the number of common shares of the acquiring entity having a value equal to (x) multiplying the Purchase Price of a Right by the number of Rights exercisable by the holder, and dividing that product by (y) 50% of the current per share market price of the common shares of the acquiring entity. The acquiring entity is required to assume the obligations of the Company under the Rights Agreement and to reserve sufficient shares of its common stock to satisfy its obligations under the Rights Agreement. Pursuant to the Rights Agreement, the Company will not enter into any consolidation, merger or sale, unless it enters into a supplemental agreement with the acquiring entity for the benefit of the Rights holders.

Any of the terms of the Rights may be amended or terminated by the Board of Directors at any time, without the consent of the holders of the Rights, except that after such time as any person becomes an Acquiring Person, no such amendment may adversely affect the interests of the holders of the Rights (other than the Acquiring Person).

The Rights will expire on December 5, 2017, unless earlier redeemed, exchanged, terminated, or unless the expiration date is extended.

Note 5 License Agreements

The Company enters into two types of licensing agreements and in both types, the Company earns revenue on the initial license fees. Under the technology agreements, the Company earns processing and storage royalties from the affiliates that process in their own facility. Under the marketing agreements, the Company earns processing and storage revenues from affiliates that store specimens in the Company's facility in Oldsmar, Florida.

Table of Contents**Technology Agreements**

The Company has entered into a definitive License and Royalty Agreement with Asia Cryo-Cell Private Limited to establish and market its umbilical cord blood program in India.

The Company has entered into definitive License and Royalty Agreements with Asia Cryo-Cell Private Limited and S-Evans Bio-Sciences, Inc. to establish and market its menstrual stem cell program in India and China, respectively.

The Company previously had a License and Royalty Agreement with Cryo-Cell de Mexico (Mexico) and on August 19, 2011, the Company received notification from Mexico that they were terminating the license agreement effective immediately due to an alleged breach of the license agreement. On October 17, 2011, the Company and Mexico entered into an amendment to the license agreement whereby the termination has been revoked and Mexico would pay the Company \$1,863,000 in 37 monthly installments of \$50,000 beginning on October 17, 2011 with a final payment of \$13,000. In December 2013, Mexico paid the balance due of \$563,000 in full, which is reflected in the consolidated statement of comprehensive income as of February 28, 2014 as licensee and interest income. Mexico has no other continuing obligations to the Company for royalties or other license payments and the agreement is terminated. The amendment has and is expected to result in a reduction of licensee and royalty income in future periods.

Marketing Agreements

The Company has definitive license agreements to market the Company's umbilical cord blood stem cell programs in Costa Rica, El Salvador, Guatemala, Honduras, Nicaragua, Panama and Pakistan. In October 2012, the Company sent a notice of termination to the Company's Venezuelan affiliate for failure to meet its payment obligation in accordance with the contract. Subsequent to the notice of termination, payment was received for outstanding processing and storage fees due from Venezuela. The Company is in the process of discussing a new agreement. The Company continues to accept umbilical cord blood stem cell specimens to be processed and stored during the negotiations. In December 2012, the Company sent a notice of termination to the Company's affiliate in Ecuador for failure to meet its payment obligation in accordance with the contract. Subsequent to the notice of termination, payment was received for outstanding processing and storage fees due from Ecuador. In August 2013, the Company was notified that its affiliate in Ecuador was closed by the National Institute of Organic Donation (INDOT). As a result, the Company recorded an allowance for uncollectible receivables for the \$150,000 processing and storage fee receivable due from Ecuador in the third quarter of fiscal 2013. During the fourth quarter of fiscal 2013, the Company began to bill the Ecuadorian clients directly for cord blood specimens that are stored at the Company's facility in Oldsmar, Florida.

The following table details the initial license fees for the technology and marketing agreements and processing and storage royalties earned under the technology agreements for the three and nine months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014. The initial license fees and processing and storage royalties are reflected in licensee and royalty income in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

| | Processing and Storage Royalties | |
|-------|----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| | Three Months | Nine Months |
| | Ended August 31, 2015 | Ended August 31, 2015 |
| India | \$ 169,411 | \$ 508,235 |

| | | |
|--------|------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| Total | \$ 169,411 | \$ 508,235 |
| | Three Months Ended August 31, 2014 | Nine Months Ended August 31, 2014 |
| India | \$ 169,412 | \$ 508,235 |
| Mexico | | 793,839 |
| Total | \$ 169,412 | \$ 1,302,074 |

Table of Contents

Note 6 Legal Proceedings

On February 25, 2011, a Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial was filed against the Company in the United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, styled: Charles D. Nyberg; Mary J. Nyberg; and Red Rock Partners, an Arizona general partnership vs. Cryo-Cell International, Inc., Case No. 8:11-CV-399-T-30AEP. The Complaint was amended on May 25, 2011 and served on the Company on May 26, 2011. The Complaint alleged that the Company had underpaid amounts owed to plaintiffs Florida and Texas Revenue Sharing Agreements with the Company. The Complaint did not specify the amount claimed, other than stating that it was more than \$75,000 which is the jurisdictional amount of the court the complaint was filed in.

On November 15, 2013, the parties came to a final settlement on this action. The terms of the settlement are confidential. Upon completion of the settlement, the claims in the lawsuit were dismissed with prejudice. In December 2013, the Company paid \$525,000 in full settlement.

On October 11, 2013, a Complaint was filed by the Company in the Circuit Court of Hillsborough County, Florida, styled: Cryo-Cell International, Inc. v. Dilworth Paxson LLP et al, Case No. 13-CA-D09980. The Complaint alleged that Dilworth Paxson LLP and a partner for the firm were negligent and breached the duty of reasonable care owed to the Company. The Complaint alleges the defendants negligence led to the cancellation of the license agreement with Cryo-Cell de Mexico. The Company lost profits and income that would have been earned under the original agreement and was forced to renegotiate the terms of the agreement with terms far less lucrative to the Company. The defendants removed the case to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida as permitted because the parties are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of \$75,000. The case now bears a case number of 8:13-Civ-2639-T-33AEP. On June 2, 2014, a confidential settlement was executed by both parties.

In addition, from time to time the Company is subject to proceedings, lawsuits, contract disputes and other claims in the normal course of its business. The Company believes that the ultimate resolution of current matters should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of comprehensive income. It is possible, however, that there could be an unfavorable ultimate outcome for or resolution which could be material to the Company's results of operations for a particular quarterly reporting period. Litigation is inherently uncertain and there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail. The Company does not include an estimate of legal fees and other related defense costs in its estimate of loss contingencies.

Note 7 Share Repurchase Plan

In December 2011, the Company's Board of Directors authorized management at its discretion to repurchase up to one million (1,000,000) shares of the Company's outstanding common stock. On June 6,

Table of Contents

2012, the Board of Directors of the Company increased the number of shares of the Company's outstanding common stock that management is authorized to repurchase to up to three million (3,000,000). On April 8, 2015, the Board of Directors of the Company increased the number of shares of the Company's outstanding common stock that management is authorized to repurchase to up to six million (6,000,000) shares. The repurchases must be effectuated through open market purchases, privately negotiated block trades, unsolicited negotiated transactions, and/or pursuant to any trading plan that may be adopted in accordance with Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities and Exchange Commission or in such other manner as will comply with the provisions of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

On June 30, 2015, the Company commenced a partial tender offer to purchase up to 750,000 shares of its common stock, at a price of \$3.25 per share. The maximum number of shares proposed to be purchased in the tender offer represented 7.76% of Cryo-Cell's outstanding common shares (including shares of unvested restricted stock) as of June 30, 2015. On June 29, 2015, the last trading day prior to the commencement of the tender offer, the last sale price of Cryo-Cell's shares reported on the OTCBB was \$2.29 per share. The tender offer expired on July 28, 2015. Cryo-Cell accepted for purchase 557,805 shares of its common stock, including all odd lots properly tendered, at a purchase price of \$3.25 per share, for an aggregate cost of \$1,812,866 excluding fees and expenses relating to the tender offer.

As of August 31, 2015, the Company had repurchased an aggregate of 3,173,536 shares of the Company's common stock, inclusive of the shares that were accepted as part of the tender offer, at an average price of \$2.54 per share through open market and privately negotiated transactions. The Company purchased 957,956 and 968,302 shares of the Company's common stock during the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014, respectively, at an average price of \$3.08 per share and \$2.37 per share, respectively.

The repurchased shares will be held as treasury stock and have been removed from common shares outstanding as of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014. As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, 3,173,536 and 2,215,111 shares, respectively, were held as treasury stock.

Subsequent to the balance sheet date, the Company repurchased an additional 12,405 shares of the Company's common stock at an average price of \$3.44 per share through open market and privately negotiated transactions.

Note 8 Acquisition

On June 11, 2015, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Asset Purchase Agreement") with CytoMedical Design Group LLC ("CytoMedical"), for the purchase of certain assets and assumption of certain contracts that CytoMedical used in the operation of its cord blood business, including the Prepacyte-CB Processing System which is used in cell processing laboratories to process and store stem cells from umbilical cord blood (the "Acquisition"). This transaction has been accounted for as a business combination. The purchase price was \$2,400,000, plus the value of inventory, comprised of \$1,553,272 in cash and assumed liabilities of the seller less any prepayment made by the Company to CytoMedical (\$966,597 at closing and \$586,675 on or before September 30, 2015) and a note payable to the seller in the amount of \$1,300,000. The closing was effective on June 30, 2015. As part of the closing, Cryo-Cell paid \$861,783 as required per the Disbursement of Funds Schedule in the Amended Agreement with CytoMedical, dated June 30, 2015 and a prepayment for inventory of \$104,000 paid by the Company to CytoMedical during the second quarter of fiscal 2015 was applied to the purchase. On September 30, 2015, \$662,500 was due to be paid to CytoMedical. A portion of the amount due on September 30, 2015 (\$225,000) was contingent on the number of the Company's new clients choosing to have their umbilical cord blood processed using the Prepacyte-CB product during the months of July, August and September, 2015. This amount was reduced to \$149,175. On September 30, 2015, the Company paid \$586,675 in

Table of Contents

accordance with the Asset Purchase Agreement. In connection with the acquisition, the Company incurred approximately \$22,000 in transaction costs, which have been included in selling, general and administrative expenses.

The following summarizes the fair value of the consideration for the Acquisition:

| Consideration | |
|---------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Cash | \$ 375,374 |
| Assumed liabilities of seller | 1,073,898 |
| Note payable to seller | 1,300,000 |
| Prepaid expense paid to seller by purchaser | 104,000 |
| Consideration | \$ 2,853,272 |

The following summarizes the preliminary allocation of the total purchase price for the Acquisition:

| | |
|--------------------------------------------|------------------|
| Inventory | 529,097 |
| Tooling molds | 35,353 |
| License agreement | 430,000 |
| Customer relationships | 117,000 |
| Total identifiable net assets acquired | 1,111,450 |
| Goodwill | \$ 1,741,822 |

In connection with the Asset Purchase Agreement, the Company assumed an exclusive perpetual license agreement which enables the Company to use licensed technology in its umbilical cord blood processing and storage product for cord blood banking. Under the terms of the Asset Purchase Agreement, the Company will pay a royalty of \$5 per bag set unit sold, subject to minimum annual royalties totaling \$35,000.

It is anticipated that all of the goodwill recognized will be deductible for income tax purposes and is currently under evaluation.

The fair value of inventory and tooling molds were estimated by applying a comparable cost/market approach, representing Level 2 measurement. The fair value of the license agreement and customer relationships were estimated by applying an income approach, representing a Level 3 measurement. The fair value estimates are based on (1) an assumed discount rate of 16%, (2) long-term sustainable growth rate of 3%, and (3) a ten and fifteen year lives for the license agreement and customer relationships, respectively.

The fair values of the assets acquired includes inventory of \$529,097 which the Company expects to sell to outside customers and consume within the Company's operations. The Company also acquired tooling molds of \$35,353 used in the manufacture of bag set units.

The fair values of the license agreement and customer relationships reflect the anticipated cash flows over their expected lives.

Table of Contents**Note 9 Inventory**

Inventory is comprised of collection kits, finished goods, work-in-process and raw materials. Collection kits are used in the collection and processing of umbilical cord blood and cord tissue stem cells, finished goods include products purchased or assumed for resale and for the use in the Company's processing and storage service. Inventory purchased pursuant to the Asset Purchase Agreement was valued at fair value. Subsequently, inventory is valued at the lower of cost or market using the first-in, first-out (FIFO) method. Inventory has been pledged as collateral on the note payable incurred in connection with the Asset Purchase Agreement (Note 8). The components of inventory at August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014 are as follows:

| | As of August 31, 2015 | As of November 30, 2014 |
|------------------------|-----------------------|-------------------------|
| Raw materials | \$ 1,751 | \$ |
| Work-in-process | 70,226 | |
| Finished goods | 405,096 | |
| Collection kits | 62,020 | 63,742 |
| Total inventory | \$ 539,093 | \$ 63,742 |

Note 10 Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of the purchase price of the assets acquired from CytoMedical (Note 8) over the estimated fair value of the net tangible and identifiable assets acquired. The annual assessment of the reporting unit is performed as of September 1, 2015, and an assessment is performed at other times if an event occurs or circumstances change that would more likely than not reduce the fair value of the asset below its carrying value. Step one of the impairment assessment compares the fair value of the reporting unit to its carrying value and if the fair value exceeds its carrying value, goodwill is not impaired. If the carrying value exceeds the fair value, the implied fair value of goodwill is compared to the carrying value of goodwill. If the implied fair value exceeds the carrying value then goodwill is not impaired; otherwise, an impairment loss would be recorded by the amount the carrying value exceeds the implied fair value. As of August 31, 2015 and November 30, 2014, goodwill, is reflected on the consolidated balance sheets at \$1,741,822 and \$0.

As of August 31, 2015, there were no indications of impairment and no impairment loss was recorded for goodwill.

Note 11 Intangible Assets

The Company incurs certain legal and related costs in connection with patent and trademark applications. If a future economic benefit is anticipated from the resulting patent or trademark or an alternate future use is available to the Company, such costs are capitalized and amortized over the expected life of the patent or trademark. The Company's assessment of future economic benefit involves considerable management judgment. A different conclusion could result in the reduction of the carrying value of these assets. Due to tests performed during the second quarter of fiscal 2014, management decided to discontinue pursuing certain patents and trademarks related to the Company's menstrual stem cell technology resulting in a write-off of approximately \$26,000 for abandoned patents and trademarks which is reflected as abandonment of patents in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income. The impact to future operations is insignificant and it will not impact the Company's core operations.

Edgar Filing: CRYO CELL INTERNATIONAL INC - Form 10-Q

| | Useful lives | August 31, 2015 | November 30, 2014 |
|--------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|--------------------------|
| Patents | 10-20 years | \$ 34,570 | \$ 34,570 |
| License agreement | 10 years | 430,000 | |
| Customer relationships | 15 years | 117,000 | |
| Less: Accumulated amortization | | (16,076) | (6,212) |
| Net Intangible Assets | | \$ 565,494 | \$ 28,358 |

Table of Contents

Expected amortization related to these intangible assets for each of the fiscal years ending November 30, 2015 through 2019 and for periods thereafter is as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|-------------------|
| Period from September 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015 | \$ 13,166 |
| Years ending November 30: | |
| 2016 | 52,663 |
| 2017 | 52,663 |
| 2018 | 52,663 |
| 2019 | 52,663 |
| 2020 | 52,663 |
| Thereafter | 289,013 |
| Total | \$ 565,494 |

Amortization expense of intangibles was \$9,864 and \$27,810 for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014, respectively.

Amortization expense of intangibles was \$8,933 and \$466 for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014, respectively.

Note 12 Note Payable

On June 30, 2015, the Company entered into a note payable in the amount of \$1,300,000, in connection with the Asset Purchase Agreement (Note 8). The note is payable in 48 monthly installments of \$29,938 including principal and interest at the rate of 5% per annum, commencing on July 31, 2015, and ending on June 30, 2019. The note is secured by all assets, inventory, molds, rights and contracts purchased pursuant to the Asset Purchase Agreement.

In the event the Company sells less than 8,000 PrepaCyte CB Processing System Units (Units) to outside customers during each of the twelve month periods during the note term beginning each July 1 and ending June 30, the note will be reduced by the lesser of \$100,000 plus interest at the rate of 5% per annum or \$25 times the difference between 8,000 and the actual number of Units sold plus interest at the rate of 5% per annum. Any annual note reduction shall serve to lower the remaining monthly note payments.

In addition, it is assumed that during the period from July 1, 2018 to June 30, 2019, the Company shall sell 4,000 Units and receive an additional \$120,000 note reduction. However if the Company sells more than 4,000 Units, it will owe additional principal in the amount of \$25 times the difference between 8,000 and the actual number of Units sold plus interest at the rate of 5% per annum, which is payable on June 30, 2019.

Table of Contents

Maturities of the note payable subsequent to August 31, 2015 are as follows:

| | |
|---------------------------------------------------------|------------|
| Period from September 1, 2015 through November 30, 2015 | \$ 74,488 |
| Years ending November 30: | |
| 2016 | 307,420 |
| 2017 | 323,148 |
| 2018 | 339,681 |
| 2019 | 206,118 |
| | |
| Total note payable | 1,250,855 |
| Less current portion | (303,609) |
| | |
| Long-term portion | \$ 947,246 |

As of August 31, 2015, the Company has made two installments of \$29,938 and recognized \$10,731 of interest expense related to the note payable. The remaining principal balance of the note payable is \$1,250,855 and is reflected on the accompanying balance sheets as of August 31, 2015.

Note 13 Segment Reporting

During the third quarter of fiscal 2015, the Company purchased certain assets and assumed certain contracts that CytoMedical used in the operation of its cord blood business (See Note 8). The Company is currently evaluating whether this acquisition qualifies as a separate segment. The Company currently operates in the cellular processing and cryogenic storage service, with a current focus on the collection and preservation of umbilical cord blood and cord tissue stem cells for family use. The acquired business's core operation are the manufacturing of the Prepacyte®-CB processing system which is used in cell processing laboratories to process and store stem cells from umbilical cord blood. The Company will further evaluate how this new business will be integrated into the Company's current operation over the next quarter and report in the Company's November 30, 2015 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations.
Forward Looking Statements**

This Form 10-Q, press releases and certain information provided periodically in writing or orally by the Company's officers or its agents may contain statements which constitute forward-looking statements. The terms Cryo-Cell International, Inc., Cryo-Cell, Company, we, our and us refer to Cryo-Cell International, Inc. The words expect, anticipate, believe, goal, strategy, plan, intend, estimate and similar expressions and variations thereof, if used, are intended to specifically identify forward-looking statements. Those statements appear in a number of places in this Form 10-Q and in other places, and include statements regarding the intent, belief or current expectations of the Company, its directors or its officers with respect to, among other things:

- (i) our future performance and operating results;

- (ii) our future operating plans;
- (iii) our liquidity and capital resources; and
- (iv) our financial condition, accounting policies and management judgments.

Table of Contents

Investors and prospective investors are cautioned that any such forward-looking statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve risks and uncertainties, and that actual results may differ materially from those projected in the forward-looking statements as a result of various factors. The factors that might cause such differences include:

- (i) any adverse effect or limitations caused by recent increases in government regulation of stem cell storage facilities;
- (ii) any increased competition in our business including increasing competition from public cord blood banks particularly in overseas markets but also in the U.S.;
- (iii) any decrease or slowdown in the number of people seeking to store umbilical cord blood stem cells or decrease in the number of people paying annual storage fees;
- (iv) any new services relating to other types of stem cells that have not yet been offered commercially, and there is no assurance that other stem cell services will be launched or will gain market acceptance;
- (v) any adverse impacts on revenue or operating margins due to the costs associated with increased growth in our business, including the possibility of unanticipated costs relating to the operation of our facility and costs relating to the commercial launch of new types of stem cells;
- (vi) any unique risks posed by our international activities, including but not limited to local business laws or practices that diminish our affiliates' ability to effectively compete in their local markets;
- (vii) any technological or medical breakthroughs that would render our business of stem cell preservation obsolete;
- (viii) any material failure or malfunction in our storage facilities; or any natural disaster or act of terrorism that adversely affects stored specimens;
- (ix) any adverse results to our prospects, financial condition or reputation arising from any material failure or compromise of our information systems;
- (x) the costs associated with defending or prosecuting litigation matters, particularly including litigation related to intellectual property, and any material adverse result from such matters;

- (xi) the success of our licensing agreements and their ability to provide us with royalty fees;
- (xii) any difficulties and increased expense in enforcing our international licensing agreements;
- (xiii) any adverse performance by or relations with any of our licensees;
- (xiv) any inability to enter into new licensing arrangements including arrangements with non-refundable upfront fees;
- (xv) any inability to realize cost savings as a result of recent acquisitions;

Table of Contents

- (xvi) any inability to realize a return on an investment;
- (xvii) any increased U.S. income tax expense as a result of inability to utilize or exhaustion of net operating losses;
- (xviii) any adverse impact on our revenues and operating margins as a result of discounting of our services in order to generate new business in tough economic times where consumers are selective with discretionary spending;
- (xix) the success of our global expansion initiatives;
- (xx) our actual future ownership stake in future therapies emerging from our collaborative research partnerships;
- (xxi) our ability to minimize our future costs related to R&D initiatives and collaborations and the success of such initiatives and collaborations;
- (xxii) any inability to successfully identify and consummate strategic acquisitions;
- (xxiii) any inability to realize benefits from any strategic acquisitions;
- (xxiv) the Company's ability to realize a profit on the acquisition of Prepacyte-CB;
- (xxv) the costs associated with proxy contests and its impact on our business and
- (xxvi) other factors many of which are beyond our control.

We undertake no obligation to publicly update or revise the forward-looking statements made in this Form 10-Q to reflect events or circumstances after the date of this Form 10-Q or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events.

Readers are cautioned not to place undue reliance on these forward-looking statements, which reflect management's analysis only as of the date hereof. Cryo-Cell International, Inc. undertakes no obligation to publicly revise these forward-looking statements to reflect events or circumstances that arise after the date hereof. Readers should carefully review the risk factors described in other documents the Company files from time to time with the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Annual Report on Form 10-K filed by the Company and any Current Reports on Form 8-K filed by the Company.

Overview

The Company's principal sources of revenues are service fees for cord blood processing and preservation for new customers and recurring annual storage fees. Effective July 2015, the Company offers two pricing models, a standard plan and premium plan. The Company charges fees of \$1,400 for the standard plan and \$1,950 for the premium plan to new clients for the collection kit, processing, testing and return medical courier service, with discounts in the case of multiple children from the same family and in other circumstances. The Company charges an annual storage fee of \$150 for new clients that enroll in the standard and premium plans; storage fees for existing customers depend on the contracts with such customers. The Company continues to offer a one-time payment plan for 21 years of storage and a life-time payment plan, pursuant to which the client is charged \$3,799 for the standard plan and \$4,249 for the premium plan and \$6,000 for the standard plan and \$7,000 for the premium plan, respectively, less discounts in the case of multiple children from the same family and in other

Table of Contents

circumstances. The one-time plan includes the collection kit, processing and testing, return medical courier service and 21 years of pre-paid storage fees. The life-time plan includes the collection kit, processing and testing, return medical courier service and pre-paid storage fees for the life of the client. The Company also receives other income from licensing fees and royalties from global affiliates.

On June 11, 2015, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement (the "Asset Purchase Agreement") with CytoMedical Design Group LLC ("CytoMedical"), for the purchase of certain assets and assumption of certain liabilities and contracts that CytoMedical used in the operation of its cord blood business. The Prepacyte-CB Processing System is used in cell processing laboratories to process and store stem cells from umbilical cord blood. The purchase price was \$2,400,000, plus the value of inventory, comprised of \$1,553,272 in cash and assumed liabilities less any prepayment made by the Company to CytoMedical (\$966,597 at closing and \$586,675 on or before September 30, 2015) and a note payable to the seller in the amount of \$1,300,000. The closing was effective on June 30, 2015.

During the nine months ended August 31, 2015, total revenue increased 1% as compared to the same period in 2014. The Company reported net income of approximately \$8,326,000 or \$0.86 per basic common share for the nine months ended August 31, 2015, compared to net income of approximately \$849,000 or \$0.08 per basic common share for the same period in 2014. The increase in net income for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 principally resulted from a 4% decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses and a 4% decrease in cost of sales. Also during the third quarter of 2015, the Company reversed approximately \$7.0 million of its valuation allowance for income taxes. The decision to reverse a portion of the allowance is based on the Company's historical operating performance, which includes profitability in ten of the last eleven quarters, steadily improving operations and positive expectations for future taxable income.

At August 31, 2015, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$2,719,463. The Company's cash decreased by approximately \$560,000 during the first nine months of fiscal 2015, primarily as a result of approximately \$2,947,000 used for the stock repurchase plan and tender offer pursuant to which the Company repurchased 957,956 shares of the Company's common stock during the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and \$862,000 paid per the Asset Purchase Agreement for the Prepacyte® CB cord blood business (See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements) which was offset by cash flows from operations of \$2,863,508.

Consistent with its fiduciary duties, the board of directors and management has reviewed and will continue to review strategic options and opportunities for the Company, in order to maximize shareholder value. These options may include strategic mergers or acquisitions, a deregistration of the Company's common stock under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 or a going-private transaction.

Results of Operations – Nine Month Period Ended August 31, 2015 Compared to the Nine Month Period Ended August 31, 2014

Revenue. Revenue for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$15,293,260 as compared to \$15,151,273 for the same period in 2014. The increase was due to a 5% increase in processing and storage fees and \$265,848 of revenue from Prepacyte®-CB. This is offset by a 61% decrease in licensee income.

Processing and Storage Fees. The increase in processing and storage fee revenue is primarily attributable to a 6% increase in recurring annual storage fee revenue which is due to the continuing increase in the Company's client base. The Company's number of new cord blood specimens processed during the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was relatively flat compared to the same period in 2014.

Table of Contents

Product Revenue. On June 11, 2015, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement as described in Note 8. For the nine months ended August 31, 2015, revenue from the product sales was \$265,848 compared to \$0 for the nine months ended August 31, 2014.

Licensee Income. Licensee income for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$508,235 as compared to \$1,302,074 for the 2014 period. Licensee income for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 consists of royalty income earned on the processing and storage of cord blood stem cell specimens in India where the Company has a definitive License and Royalty Agreement. Licensee income for the nine months ended August 31, 2014 consists of \$794,000 related to Mexico which is a result of Mexico paying off the remaining balance due under the amendment during the first quarter of fiscal 2014. The remaining licensee income consists of royalty income earned on the processing and storage of specimens in geographical areas where the Company has license agreements. Mexico has no other continuing obligations to the Company for royalties or other license payments and the agreement is terminated. The amendment has resulted in a reduction of ongoing licensee income.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$4,158,767 as compared to \$4,323,805 for the same period in 2014, representing a 4% decrease. Cost of sales was 27% of revenues for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and 29% for the nine months ended August 31, 2014. Cost of sales includes wages and supplies associated with process enhancements to the existing production procedures and quality systems in the processing of cord blood specimens at the Company's facility in Oldsmar, Florida and depreciation expense of approximately \$114,000 and \$156,000 for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Also, included in Cost of Sales is \$190,983 related to the costs associated with production of the Prepacyte®-CB processing and storage system for the nine months ended August 31, 2015. These are costs related to the sales of Prepacyte-CB since closing of the asset purchase on June 30, 2015.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 were \$8,549,722 as compared to \$8,824,048 for the 2014 period representing a 3% decrease. These expenses are primarily comprised of expenses for consumer advertising, salaries and wages for personnel and professional fees. Included in selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 is a \$287,000 reversal of a reserve against a receivable from the Company's affiliate in India. The Company believes that this receivable will be collectible in 2016. Included in selling, general and administrative expenses is approximately \$321,000 in legal fees during the first nine months of fiscal 2014 related to a shareholder derivative complaint filed in November 2013, which was excluded from the Company's directors and officer's insurance policy.

Abandonment of Patents. During the nine months ended August 31, 2014, management decided to discontinue pursuing certain patents and trademarks resulting in a write-off of approximately \$26,000, respectively, for abandoned patents and trademarks related to the Company's menstrual stem cell technology which is reflected as abandonment of patents in the accompanying consolidated statement of comprehensive income. The impact to future operations is considered immaterial and is not expected to impact the Company's core operations.

Research, Development and Related Engineering Expenses. Research, development and related engineering expenses for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 were \$32,471 as compared to \$50,543 for the 2014 period representing a 36% decrease.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization (not included in Cost of Sales) for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$60,702 compared to \$131,415 for the 2014 period.

Table of Contents

Interest Expense. Interest expense during the nine months ended August 31, 2015, was \$1,024,621 compared to \$836,177 during the comparable period in 2014. Interest expense for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 consists of \$10,731 related to the repayment of the note payable as a result of the Asset Purchase Agreement (Note 8 and Note 12). The remaining interest expense is mainly comprised of amounts due to the parties to the Company's revenue sharing agreements (RSAs) based on the Company's storage revenue. Interest expense for the nine months ended August 31, 2014 is mainly comprised of amounts due to the parties to the Company's RSAs based on the Company's storage revenue.

Equity in Losses of Affiliate. Equity in losses of affiliate was \$17,660 for the nine months ended August 31, 2015, compared to \$242,318 for the 2014 period. Equity in losses of affiliate for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 solely consists of amounts related to compensation expense for stock option awards that were granted by Saneron to certain consultants and employees. Equity in losses of affiliate for the nine months ended August 31, 2014 consists of \$150,000 related to additional investments made by the Company into Saneron and \$92,318 related to compensation expense for stock option awards that were granted by Saneron to certain consultants and employees.

Income Taxes. The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$6,880,599, net of foreign income taxes for the nine months ended August 31, 2015. During the third quarter August 31, 2015, the Company reversed a portion of its valuation allowance for U.S. income taxes of approximately \$6,957,000. The reversal of a portion of the deferred tax valuation allowance is based upon the Company's historical operating performance which includes profitability in ten of the last eleven quarters, steadily improving operations and positive expectations for future taxable income. There was no US income tax expense for the nine months ended August 31, 2014 due to the utilization of net operating losses and foreign tax credit carryforwards, which were not previously benefited in the Company's financial statements.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to be recovered or settled. The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets depends upon generating sufficient future taxable income prior to the expiration of the tax attributes. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, we must project future levels of taxable income. This assessment requires significant judgment. We examine the evidence related to the recent history of tax losses, the economic conditions in which we operate and our forecasts and projections to make that determination.

The Company records foreign income taxes withheld from installment payments of non-refundable up-front license fees and royalty income earned on the processing and storage of cord blood stem cell specimens in geographic areas where the Company has license agreements. The Company recorded \$76,235 and \$98,114 for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of foreign income tax expense which is included in income tax expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Results of Operations - Three Month Period Ended August 31, 2015 Compared to the Three Month Period Ended August 31, 2014

Revenue. Revenue for the three months ended August 31, 2014 was \$5,435,990 as compared to \$4,937,945 for the same period in 2014, an increase of 5% and \$265,848 of revenue from Prepacyte®-CB. The increase in revenue was primarily attributable to a 5% increase in processing and storage fees.

Processing and Storage Fees. The increase in processing and storage fee revenue is primarily attributable to a 3% increase in recurring annual storage fee revenue which is due to the continuing increase in the Company's client base. The Company also had a 1% increase in the number of new cord blood specimens processed for three months ended August 31, 2015 versus the same period in 2014.

Table of Contents

Licensee Income. Licensee income for the three months ended August 31, 2015, was \$169,411 as compared to \$169,412 for the 2014 quarter. Licensee income for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and August 31, 2014 consisted of royalty income earned on the processing and storage of cord blood stem cell specimens in India where the Company has a definitive License and Royalty Agreement.

Product Revenue. On June 11, 2015, the Company entered into an Asset Purchase Agreement as described in Note 8. For the three months ended August 31, 2015, revenue from the product sales was \$265,848 compared to \$0 for the three months ended August 31, 2014.

Cost of Sales. Cost of sales for the three months ended August 31, 2015 was \$1,507,393 as compared to \$1,499,739 for the same period in 2014, representing a slight increase. Cost of sales was 28% of revenues for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and 30% for the three months ended August 31, 2014. Cost of sales includes wages and supplies associated with process enhancements to the existing production procedures and quality systems in the processing of cord blood specimens at the Company's facility in Oldsmar, Florida and depreciation expense of approximately \$36,000 and \$51,000 for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively. Also, included in Cost of Sales is \$191,243 related to the costs associated with production of the Prepacyte®-CB processing and storage system for the nine months ended August 31, 2015.

Selling, General and Administrative Expenses. Selling, general and administrative expenses for the three months ended August 31, 2015 were \$2,628,970 as compared to \$2,948,185 for the 2014 period representing a 11% decrease. These expenses are primarily comprised of expenses for consumer advertising, salaries and wages for personnel and professional fees. Included in selling, general and administrative expenses for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 is a \$287,000 reversal of a reserve against a receivable from the Company's affiliate in India. The Company believes that this receivable will be collectible in 2016.

Research, Development and Related Engineering Expenses. Research, development and related engineering expenses for the three months ended August 31, 2015 were \$9,952 as compared to \$17,852 for the 2014 period. The expenses for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014 are primarily comprised of expenses related to the Company's cord tissue product.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization (not included in Cost of Sales) for the three months ended August 31, 2015 was \$25,359 compared to \$43,211 for the 2014 period.

Interest Expense. Interest expense during the three months ended August 31, 2015, was \$353,534 compared to \$309,317 during the comparable quarter in 2014. Interest expense for the three months ended August 31, 2015 consists of \$10,731 related to the repayment of the note payable as a result of the Asset Purchase Agreement (Note 8 and Note 12). The remaining interest expense is mainly comprised of amounts due to the parties to the Company's revenue sharing agreements (RSAs) based on the Company's storage revenue. Interest expense for the three months ended August 31, 2014 is mainly comprised of amounts due to the parties to the Company's revenue sharing agreements (RSAs) based on the Company's storage revenue.

Equity in Losses of Affiliate. Equity in losses of affiliate was \$1,164 for the three months ended August 31, 2015, compared to \$52,667 for the 2014 period. Equity in losses of affiliate for the three months ended August 31, 2015 consists solely of amounts related to compensation expense for stock option awards that were granted by Saneron to certain consultants and employees. Equity in losses of affiliate for the three months ended August 31, 2014 consists of \$37,500 related to additional investments made by the Company into Saneron and \$8,451 related to compensation expense for stock option awards that were granted by Saneron to certain consultants and employees.

Table of Contents

Income Taxes. The Company recorded an income tax benefit of \$6,931,423, net of foreign income taxes for the three months ended August 31, 2015. During the third quarter August 31, 2015, the Company reversed a portion of its valuation allowance for U.S. income taxes of approximately \$6,957,000. The reversal of a portion of the deferred tax valuation allowance is based upon the Company's historical operating performance which includes profitability in ten of the last eleven quarters, steadily improving operations and positive expectations for future taxable income. There was no US income tax expense for the three months ended August 31, 2014 due to the utilization of net operating losses and foreign tax credit carryforwards, which were not previously benefited in the Company's financial statements.

Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to be recovered or settled. The ultimate realization of our deferred tax assets depends upon generating sufficient future taxable income prior to the expiration of the tax attributes. In assessing the need for a valuation allowance, the Company must project future levels of taxable income. This assessment requires significant judgment. The Company examined the evidence related to the recent history of tax losses, the economic conditions in which we operate and our forecasts and projections to make that determination.

The Company records foreign income taxes withheld from installment payments of non-refundable up-front license fees and royalty income earned on the processing and storage of cord blood stem cell specimens in geographic areas where the Company has license agreements. The Company recorded \$25,411 and \$25,412 for the three months ended August 31, 2015 and 2014, respectively, of foreign income tax expense, which is included in income tax expense in the accompanying consolidated statements of comprehensive income.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Through August 31, 2015, the Company's principal source of cash has been from sales of its umbilical cord blood program to customers and royalties from licensees. The Company does not expect a change in its principal source of cash flow.

At August 31, 2015, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$2,719,463 as compared to \$3,279,267 at November 30, 2014. The decrease in cash and cash equivalents during the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was primarily attributable to the following:

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$2,863,508, which was attributable to an increase in the Company's new clients choosing the prepaid storage plans versus the annual storage fee plan, a 4% decrease in cost of sales and a 3% decrease in selling, general and administrative expenses.

Net cash provided by operating activities for the nine months ended August 31, 2014 was \$1,311,736, which was primarily attributable to changes in net income, working capital and in restricted funds held in the escrow account.

Net cash used in investing activities for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$481,562 which was primarily attributable to the purchase of Prepacyte®-CB (See Note 8 to the consolidated financial statements) in the amount of \$212,203 and the sales and purchases of marketable securities and other investments of \$214,520.

Net cash provided by investing activities for the nine months ended August 31, 2014 was \$435,814, which was primarily attributable to the transfer of \$764,045 from the trust which was offset by \$178,231 of purchases of property and equipment and marketable securities and the investment of \$150,000 into Saneron (see above).

Table of Contents

Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended August 31, 2015 was \$2,941,750, which was primarily attributable to the stock repurchase plan and tender offer pursuant to which the Company has repurchased 957,956 shares of the Company's common stock for \$2,946,705.

Net cash used in financing activities for the nine months ended August 31, 2014 was \$2,223,729, which was primarily attributable to the stock repurchase plan pursuant to which the Company has repurchased 968,302 shares of the Company's common stock for \$2,294,631.

The Company does not have a line of credit.

The Company anticipates making discretionary capital expenditures of approximately \$500,000 over the next twelve months for software enhancements and purchases of property and equipment. The Company anticipates funding future property and equipment purchases with cash-on-hand and cash flows from future operations.

The Company anticipates that its cash and cash equivalents, marketable securities and cash flows from future operations will be sufficient to fund its known cash needs for at least the next 12 months. Cash flows from operations will depend primarily upon increasing revenues from sales of its umbilical cord blood and cord tissue cellular storage services, and managing discretionary expenses. If expected increases in revenues are not realized, or if expenses are higher than anticipated, the Company may be required to reduce or defer cash expenditures or otherwise manage its cash resources during the next 12 months so that they are sufficient to meet the Company's cash needs for that period. In addition, the Company may consider seeking equity or debt financing if deemed appropriate for its plan of operations, and if such financing can be obtained on acceptable terms. There is no assurance that any reductions in expenditures, if necessary, will not have an adverse effect on the Company's business operations, including sales activities and the development of new services and technology.

Critical Accounting Policies

This discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations is based on our consolidated financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The preparation of these financial statements requires us to make judgments, estimates, and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues, expenses and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities. For a full discussion of our accounting policies please refer to Note 1 to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K filed with the SEC. Our most critical accounting policies and estimates include: recognition of revenue and the related allowance for doubtful accounts, stock-based compensation, income taxes and license and revenue sharing agreements. We continually evaluate our judgments, estimates and assumptions. We base our estimates on the terms of underlying agreements, historical experience and other factors that we believe are reasonable based on the circumstances, the results of which form our management's basis for making judgments about the carrying value of assets and liabilities that are not readily apparent from other sources. Actual results may differ from these estimates. There have been no material changes to our critical accounting policies and estimates from the information provided in Item 7, *Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations* included in our 2014 Annual Report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In July 2015, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No 2015-11, *Inventory (Topic 330): Simplifying the Measurement of Inventory*. This update simplifies the subsequent measurement of inventory. It replaces the current lower of cost or market test with the lower of cost or net realizable value test. Net realizable value is defined as the estimated selling prices in the ordinary course of business, less reasonably predictable costs of completion, disposal, and transportation. The new standard should be applied prospectively and is effective for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016 and interim periods within those annual periods, with early adoption permitted. The Company does not expect the adoption of this standard to have a material impact on the Company's financial statements.

In June 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-12, *Compensation - Stock Compensation (Topic 718): Accounting for Share-Based Payments When the Terms of an Award Provide That a Performance Target Could be Achieved after the Requisite Service Period* (ASU 2014-12). This update requires that a performance target that affects vesting, and that could be achieved after the requisite service period, be treated as a performance condition in determining expense recognition for the award. As a result, this type of performance condition may delay expense recognition until achievement of the performance target is probable. ASU 2014-12 is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2015, and early adoption is permitted. We will adopt ASU 2014-12 effective December 1, 2016 and it is not anticipated to have a material impact on our financial statements.

In May 2014, the FASB issued Accounting Standards Update No. 2014-09, *Revenue from Contracts with Customers (Topic 606)*. This update provides a comprehensive new revenue recognition model that requires a company to recognize revenue to depict the transfer of goods or services to a customer at an amount that reflects the consideration it expects to receive in exchange for those goods or services. The guidance also requires additional disclosure about the nature, amount, timing and uncertainty of revenue and cash flows arising from customer contracts. This update is effective for annual and interim periods beginning after December 15, 2017, which will require us to adopt these provisions in the first quarter of fiscal 2019. Early application is not permitted. This update permits the use of either the retrospective or cumulative effect transition method. We are evaluating the effect this guidance will have on our consolidated financial statements and related disclosures. We have not yet selected a transition method nor have we determined the effect of the standard on our ongoing financial reporting.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

The Company has no off-balance sheet arrangements that have or are reasonable likely to have a current or future effect on its financial condition, changes in financial condition, revenues or expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures or capital resources that is material to investors.

Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk.

Not applicable.

Item 4. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Based on their most recent review, as of the end of the period covered by this report, the Company's principal executive officers and principal financial officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are not effective to provide reasonable assurance that information required to be disclosed by the Company in the reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is accumulated and communicated to the Company's management, including its principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure and are not effective to ensure that such information is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC's rules and forms.

Table of Contents

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

As previously disclosed in the Company's 10-Q filed May 31, 2015, the Company's principal executive officers and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and internal controls over financial reporting were not effective, due to a material weakness surrounding the Company's identification and application of the appropriate accounting treatment for non-routine transactions and related documentation thereof. The Company's controls over non-routine transactions were not conducive to identify certain items with sufficient precision.

Management has taken steps to design and implement more effective internal controls, including the implementation of a review process of non-routine transactions and has engaged qualified consultants to assist the Company with the application of the appropriate accounting treatment of non-routine transactions when necessary.

There were no other changes in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting during the quarter ended August 31, 2015 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

Limitations on the Effectiveness of Controls

Our management, including our co-CEOs and CFO, does not expect that our disclosure controls and internal controls will prevent all error and all fraud. A control system, no matter how well conceived and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the objectives of the control system are met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within the company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple error or mistake. Additionally, controls can be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management or board override of the control.

The design of any system of controls also is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions; over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected.

CEO and CFO Certifications

Appearing as exhibits 31.1, 31.2 and 31.3 to this report there are Certifications of the Co-CEOs and the CFO. The Certifications are required in accordance with Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 (the Section 302 Certifications). This Item of this report is the information concerning the evaluation referred to in the Section 302 Certifications and this information should be read in conjunction with the Section 302 Certifications for a more complete understanding of the topics presented.

Table of Contents

PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

On February 25, 2011, a Complaint and Demand for Jury Trial was filed against the Company in the United States District Court, Middle District of Florida, Tampa Division, styled: Charles D. Nyberg; Mary J. Nyberg; and Red Rock Partners, an Arizona general partnership vs. Cryo-Cell International, Inc., Case No. 8:11-CV-399-T-30AEP. The Complaint was amended on May 25, 2011 and served on the Company on May 26, 2011. The Complaint alleged that the Company had underpaid amounts owed to plaintiffs Florida and Texas Revenue Sharing Agreements with the Company. The Complaint did not specify the amount claimed, other than stating that it was more than \$75,000 which is the jurisdictional amount of the court the complaint was filed in.

On November 15, 2013, the parties came to a final settlement on this action. The terms of the settlement are confidential. Upon completion of the settlement, the claims in the lawsuit were dismissed with prejudice. In December 2013, the Company paid \$525,000 in full settlement.

On October 11, 2013, a Complaint was filed by the Company in the Circuit Court of Hillsborough County, Florida, styled: Cryo-Cell International, Inc. v. Dilworth Paxson LLP et al, Case No. 13-CA-D09980. The Complaint alleged that Dilworth Paxson LLP and a partner for the firm were negligent and breached the duty of reasonable care owed to the Company. The Complaint alleges the defendants negligence led to the cancellation of the license agreement with Cryo-Cell de Mexico. The Company lost profits and income that would have been earned under the original agreement and was forced to renegotiate the terms of the agreement with terms far less lucrative to the Company. The defendants removed the case to the United States District Court for the Middle District of Florida as permitted because the parties are citizens of different states and the amount in controversy exceeds the jurisdictional minimum of \$75,000. The case now bears a case number of 8:13-Civ-2639-T-33AEP. On June 2, 2014, a confidential settlement was executed by both parties.

On March 10, 2015, a Complaint was filed by the Company in the Pinellas County Court, Florida, styled: Cryo-Cell International, Inc. v Cord Blood America, Inc. The Complaint was filed in order to compel Cord Blood of America, Inc., a Florida corporation (CBAI), to hold an annual meeting of shareholders for the purpose of electing directors.

In addition, from time to time the Company is subject to proceedings, lawsuits, contract disputes and other claims in the normal course of its business. The Company believes that the ultimate resolution of current matters should not have a material adverse effect on the Company's business, consolidated financial position or results of operations. It is possible, however, that there could be an unfavorable ultimate outcome for or resolution which could be material to the Company's results of operations for a particular quarterly reporting period. Litigation is inherently uncertain and there can be no assurance that the Company will prevail. The Company does not include an estimate of legal fees and other related defense costs in its estimate of loss contingencies.

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS****ISSUER PURCHASE OF EQUITY SECURITIES**

| Period | Total Number of Shares Purchased | Average Price Paid per Share | Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs | Maximum Number of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs |
|-------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| June 1 30, 2015 | 769 | \$ 2.32 | | 3,440,094 |
| July 1 31, 2015 | 557,805 | \$ 3.25 | | 2,882,289 |
| August 1 31, 2015 | 55,356 | \$ 3.24 | | 2,826,933 |

ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

None.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION

None.

Table of Contents

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

(a) Exhibits

| | |
|---------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 31.1 | Certification of Co-CEO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.2 | Certification of Co-CEO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 31.3 | Certification of CFO Pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 32.1 | Certification Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as Adopted Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema Document |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase Document |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase Document |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase Document |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase Document |

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

Cryo-Cell International, Inc.

/s/ David Portnoy
David Portnoy
Co-Chief Executive Officer

Cryo-Cell International, Inc.

/s/ Mark Portnoy
Mark Portnoy
Co-Chief Executive Officer

Cryo-Cell International, Inc.

/s/ Jill M. Taymans
Jill M. Taymans
Vice President, Finance, Chief Financial
Officer

Date: October 15, 2015