LANDMARK BANCORP IN	C
Form 8-K	
May 21, 2015	

## **UNITED STATES**

Securities And Exchange Commission Washington, D.C. 20549

## FORM 8-K

## **Current Report**

Pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Date of Report (Date of earliest event reported): May 20, 2015

## Landmark Bancorp, Inc.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware 0-33203 43-1930755

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation) (Commission File Number) (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

701 Poyntz Avenue Manhattan, Kansas 66502

(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip code)

(785) 565-2000

(Registrant's telephone number, including area code)

N/A

(Former name or former address, if changed since last report)

Check the appropriate box below if the Form 8-K filing is intended to simultaneously satisfy the filing obligation of the registrant under any of the following provisions (*see* General Instruction A.2. below):

- " Written communications pursuant to Rule 425 under the Securities Act (17 CFR 230.425)
- " Soliciting material pursuant to Rule 14a-12 under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14a-12)
- " Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 14d-2(b) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.14d-2(b))
- " Pre-commencement communications pursuant to Rule 13e-4(c) under the Exchange Act (17 CFR 240.13e-4(c))

## Item 5.07. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

On May 20, 2015, the Company held its Annual Meeting of Stockholders in Manhattan, Kansas. Of the 3,337,424 shares of common stock eligible to vote at the Annual Meeting, 2,947,713 shares were represented in person or by proxy, representing approximately 88.3% of the outstanding shares. The final results of voting on each of the proposals submitted to stockholders at the Annual Meeting are as follows:

1) Election of three Class II members of the Board to serve a three-year term expiring in 2018:

Name	Votes For Votes Ag	gainst Abstention	s Broker Non-Votes
Richard A. Ball	2,102,334 18,878	15,135	811,366
Susan E. Roepke	2,094,578 22,209	19,560	811,366
Wayne R. Sloan	1,961,966 17,674	156,707	811,366

2) Approval of the Landmark Bancorp, Inc. 2015 Stock Incentive Plan:

Votes For Votes Against Abstentions Broker Non-Votes 1,772,089 238,490 125,768 811,366

Ratification of the appointment of Crowe Chizek LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2015:

Votes For Votes Against Abstentions Broker Non-Votes 2,915,351 18,055 14,307 -

## **Signatures**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereunto duly authorized.

Date: May 21, 2015 Landmark Bancorp, Inc.

By: /s/ Mark A. Herpich

Name: Mark A. Herpich
Vice President, Secretary, Treasurer and Chief Financial

Title: Vice Hesident, Secretary, Heastrer a

**="1">** (33) (717) 684 (717) (78) (639)

Warrant valuation adjustment

11,254 75 11,179 75 1,026 (951)

Gain (loss) on foreign currency

(126) 311 (437) 311 17 294

Gain on deconsolidation of VIE

12,686 12,686

Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE

2,618 (2,618) 2,618 4,675 (2,057)

Total other income

\$19,802 \$1,761 \$18,041 \$1,761 \$6,403 \$(4,642)

Interest income

Interest income relates to the interest we receive on our cash, cash equivalents, and short-term investments. Our interest income is primarily influenced by the average balances held in our cash, cash equivalent and short-term investment accounts. An additional, less

significant, factor causing fluctuations in our interest income is the interest rate yield achieved. *Interest expense* 

The increase in interest expense in 2007 as compared to 2006 is primarily related to our August 2007 convertible debt offering, as well as interest recognized on our contract to assign certain rights associated with our royalty agreement with Applied Biosystems to DRT. In 2007 we have recognized approximately \$1.5 million in interest expense related to the convertible notes, and approximately \$2.8 million in interest expense related to the agreement to assign certain royalty rights to DRT. The interest expense related to the agreement to assign certain royalty rights to DRT has increased in 2007 as compared to 2006 as the agreement was not signed until September 2006. In addition, interest expenses increased in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily due to us assigning certain rights associated with a royalty agreement with Applied Biosystems to DRT through 2011 for a \$20.0 million upfront payment in cash. We guaranteed DRT a minimum of \$25.1 million in royalty revenue through 2011. Using an implied interest rate of 11.3% we incurred approximately \$567,000 in interest expense with no comparable expenses in 2005.

Warrant valuation adjustment

As a result of our convertible debt issuance in August 2007 and financing transaction in February 2007, we have issued warrants for 17.3 million and 983,333 shares of our common stock, respectively. In addition, as a result of our December 2004 acquisition of Epoch, we assumed warrants for 381,312 shares of our common stock. Using the methodology prescribed in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed To, and Potentially Settled In a Company's Own Stock, we recorded a current liability for the fair value of the warrants. The valuation of the warrants and the corresponding liability is re-measured quarterly, in accordance with the terms of the warrant, until the warrants are exercised or expire. The decrease in the market price of our common stock and other changes in the variables used in our warrant valuation methodologies resulted in a \$11.3 million decrease in the value of the warrants during 2007. We reported \$11.3 million, \$75,000 and \$1.0 million in income as warrant valuation adjustments in our statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005 respectively.

Gain (Loss) on Foreign Currency

In 2007, we have recorded a loss of \$126,000 in foreign currency transactions primarily related to a lease termination penalty. The loss is due to the requirement to settle this lease liability in Canadian dollars, a currency that has strengthened against the U.S. currency since this liability was originally recorded. In 2006, the gain of \$311,000 in foreign currency transactions primarily related to our May 1, 2006 acquisition of Amplimedical s Italian assets and operations where we were required to hold certain Euro based investments as security for acquisition related payables. We recorded a gain as the value of the Euro based investments rose against the dollar. There was no significant currency transaction in 2005.

Gain on deconsolidation of VIE

Amount represents the non-cash gain recognized on the deconsolidation of Jurilab, a variable interest entity, in July 2007 as a result of a reconsideration event in which we were no longer considered the primary beneficiary.

Noncontrolling interests share of loss in VIE

Amount represents the losses in Jurilab, a variable interest entity, which were allocated to the noncontrolling interests through September 30, 2006, at which time the initial fair value of their interests had been reduced to zero. Extraordinary item (in thousands):

	Year	ended D	ecember 31,	Ye	ear ended Dece	ember 31,	
	2007	2006	Difference	2006	2005 (restated)	Difference	
Charge for excess purchase price in VIE	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$ 9.262	\$ (9.262)	

Amount represents charge related to the difference in the overall fair value of Jurilab as compared to the net identifiable assets acquired at the time of our initial investment in July 2005.

## Liquidity and capital resources

At December 31, 2007 we had cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments available for sale of approximately \$7.3 million. Even after giving consideration to the \$10 million sale of royalties in March 2008 (as described in footnote 18) we will need to raise additional funds to continue to support our planned operations until we achieve cash flow break even. Without access to this financing, on terms acceptable to us, we may have to curtail or cease operations and product development that will materially alter our current business strategy. With our exit from the micro array business and based on our current plans with our ongoing other businesses, if we obtain the required financing, we expect to be cash flow break even by the end of 2008.

Cash provided by (used in) operating, investing and financing activities of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is as follows (in thousands):

	De	cember 31, 2007	Dec	cember 31, 2006	Dec	cember 31, 2005
Net cash used in operating activities	\$	(35,255)	\$	(38,443)	\$	(34,613)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	\$	2,956	\$	(160)	\$	5,404
Net cash provided by financing activities	\$	26,326	\$	44,510	\$	20,089

45

Short-term and long-term liquidity

The following is a summary of our key liquidity measures as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 (in thousands):

	Dece	ember 31, 2007	Dec	ember 31, 2006	Dec	ember 31, 2005
Total cash, cash equivalents and short-term investment, available						
for sale	\$	7,256	\$	25,184	\$	32,379
Working capital (deficit)	\$	(187)	\$	20,621	\$	30,651

Our cash and cash equivalents and short-term investments, available for sale and working capital have decreased. This is primarily a result of cash receipts from revenues and financing not offsetting the cash used in our on-going research and business development efforts. Going forward, with our exit from the micro array business, we believe we can use less cash as we focus on further cutting costs and work to increase sales to achieve cash flow break even.

Historic sources of finances:

From inception to December 31, 2007, we have financed our operations primarily by:

Issuing our stock and warrants

Issuing convertible debt

Generating revenues

Assignment of certain royalty interests to DRT

Financing our trade receivables

Obtained cash through our acquisition of Epoch

Using proceeds from our litigation settlement with CombiMatrix

Obtaining capital equipment financing

Reimbursement from federal, state and private agencies for certain research and development projects  $Financing\ activities$ 

In 2007, 2006, and 2005 due to our negative cash flows from operations we remained dependent on equity, debt, or other sources financing to fund our operations.

Significant equity financing activities in 2007, 2006, and 2005 included:

We filed a shelf registration statement in June 2005 with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) that allowed us to raise up to \$60.0 million in equity and debt financing transactions. On May 9, 2006, we filed a 462(b) registration statement with the SEC to increase our available funding under this shelf registration statement as of May 9, 2006 by approximately \$4.0 million.

The following table illustrates our financing under the June 2005 shelf registration statement:

Date of Financing	Number of Shares	Issuance Share Price	Proceeds, Net (in million)
September, 2005	6.8 million shares	\$2.94	\$18.8
September, 2005	1.0 million warrants	\$4.00	
March, 2006	5.7 million shares	\$2.65	15.0
July, 2006	2.5 million shares	\$1.58	3.9
September, 2006	0.8 million shares	\$1.80	1.5
February, 2007	4.9 million shares	\$1.54	7.2
February, 2007	1.0 million warrants	\$1.85	
Total shares and warrants issued:	22.7 million	Total proceeds:	\$46.4

In July 2007, we filed a shelf registration statement with the SEC that allowed us to raise up to \$50.0 million equity and debt financings. In August 2007, we filed a 462(b) registration statement with the SEC to increase the maximum amount of equity and debt financings covered by this shelf registration statement by approximately \$10.0 million. In August 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement for the sale and issuance of \$20 million in aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior convertible notes (Notes) which are convertible initially into an aggregate of up to 15,748,030 shares of our common stock. In addition, upon conversion we are required to issue an additional number of shares representing present value of future interest. The Notes bear interest at 6.25% per annum and interest is accrued and payable on a quarterly basis. Any portion of the Notes and all accrued but unpaid interest which is not converted are repayable in cash in August 2010. The notes may be converted into common stock at a stated rate of \$1.27 per share. Upon conversion whether at our election or the debt holders—election, we are also required to pay the present value of the future interest payments that would have been made if the conversion had not occurred (Make Whole Payments). These Make Whole Payments must be paid in common stock at a rate of \$1.27 per share. This agreement also includes warrants to purchase up to 17,322,833 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$1.14 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$18.5 million, of which \$7.3 million has been restricted until our stock price reaches \$1.52, from the sale of the Notes and warrants after deducting the placement agent fees and estimated offering expenses of \$1.5 million.

Significant financing activities in 2007, 2006 and 2005 included:

In 2006, we entered into an agreement where we assigned certain rights associated with a royalty agreement from July 2006 through December 2011 for a \$20.0 million upfront payment in cash.

In 2007 and 2006, our outstanding balances under our revolving working capital debt facility were \$4.4 million and \$2.9 million. These borrowings are secured by our Italian accounts receivables.

In 2006 we entered into an equipment funding agreement for up to approximately \$2.3 million through December 31, 2007. In March 2005, we extended our \$2.0 million December 2003 equipment funding agreement to provide financing for equipment purchases through March 2006. In 2007, 2006 and 2005 we received approximately \$290,000, \$600,000, and \$828,000, respectively, under these equipment funding agreements. Under these equipment funding agreements, in 2007, 2006 and 2005 we used approximately \$644,000, \$754,000, and \$1.1 million, respectively, to pay down the debt associated with these equipment funding obligations.

Operating activities

Cash used in operations decreased slightly in 2007 as compared to 2006. A significant driver in the reduction related to the reduced amount of working capital needed at our Italian subsidiary, which was acquired in May 2006. At the time of acquisition, we did not purchase any

receivables. As a result, there was virtually no

account receivable collection in 2006 because the typical collection cycle in their marketplace is 270 days. In 2007, we started to see collections begin in a manner consistent with our expectations. The increase in cash used in operating activities in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily related to additional on-going operational costs after our acquisitions of Spectral and Amplimedical, as well as the additional impact on working capital for the increase in accounts receivable at Amplimedical due to its long collection times.

#### Investing activities

In 2007, 2006 and 2005 to increase the rate of return on excess cash balances, we invested excess cash received from our financing activities into highly liquid short-term investments. In 2007, 2006 and 2005, cash provided by investing activities was primarily the result of using cash from the sale and maturity of these short-term investments to fund on-going operations. Cash provided by investing activities has increased in 2007 as compared to the same period in 2006, primarily due to not having any new acquisitions in 2007. Cash provided by investing activities is lower in 2006 as compared to 2005 primarily due to the use of approximately \$7 million in cash related to the purchase of Spectral s and Amplimedical s assets.

As of December 31, 2007 we had approximately \$1.4 million invested in auction rate securities. Auction rate securities represent debt instruments with long term nominal maturity on which monthly auctions provide interest rate resets and liquidity. Subsequent to December 31, 2007, we liquidated approximately \$1 million of these instruments for their December 31, 2007 carrying values. We have one remaining instrument for \$400,000. There was a successful auction on this security in January 2008, but subsequent auctions have failed. As a result, we may need to record an impairment on this security in the first quarter of 2008. Future ability to use these funds is uncertain.

Capital spending is essential to our product innovation initiatives and maintaining our operational capabilities. Therefore, in 2007, 2006 and 2005 we used cash to purchase \$2.5 million, \$2.1 million, and \$1.4 million in property and equipment to support the ongoing development of our product lines. The increases in spending in property equipment purchased in 2007, 2006 and 2005 is a result of us purchasing additional property and equipment to support the on-going selling and development efforts of the businesses we acquired.

We have no significant contractual obligations not fully recorded on our Consolidated Balance Sheets or fully disclosed in the Notes to our Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements. We have no off-balance sheet arrangements as defined in S-K 303(a)(4)(ii).

At December 31, 2007, our outstanding contractual obligations included (in thousands):

	Payments Due by Period Less				
	m . 1	Than	1 2	3 5	TT1 0:
Contractual Obligations & Other Commitments	Total	1 year	years	years	Thereafter
Debt obligations	20,789	474	20,315		
Other long term liabilities <sup>(a)</sup>	4,848				4,848
Operating leases	12,228	2,812	4,699	2,566	2,151
Commitments to fund research and development <sup>(b)</sup>	775	775			
Assignment of royalty interests <sup>(c)</sup>	21,940	4,820	10,608	6,512	
Total contractual obligations & other commitments	\$ 60,580	\$ 8,881	\$ 35,622	\$ 9,078	\$ 6,999

(a) In July 2000, we executed a ten-year agreement with Hitachi to develop, manufacture and distribute potential products based on the parties proprietary technologies. At a minimum, we were required to match the Hitachi contribution to our research and development on an annual basis over a ten-year period. In addition, we are required to repay 50% of Hitachi s contributions to research and development with no interest over an indefinite period of time based on a percentage of micro array cartridge sales. From the

- inception of the collaboration agreement with Hitachi through the termination of the agreement in August 2003, we received a total of \$9.8 million in sponsored research funding. Half of this funding was recorded as revenue and the remaining half is recorded as a long-term liability.
- (b) We have entered into various development agreements for the development of a certain future products. Actual funding of the commitments included in the table above is subject to performance by our development partners and their ability to meet certain milestones. The \$775,000 commitment above assumes that all milestones and payments are achieved.
- (c) In September 2006, we entered into an agreement to assign certain rights associated with our Applied Biosystems, Inc. royalty agreement from the period of July 2006 through December 2011 to DRT for an upfront payment of \$20.0 million. Under the agreement, we have guaranteed minimum royalty payments from Applied Biosystems to DRT. If the royalty payments fall below certain minimums in a given fiscal year, we are required to pay cash to DRT for the difference between the actual royalty payments from Applied Biosystems and the minimums. In addition, if royalty payments from Applied Biosystems are above certain thresholds for a given calendar year we will receive, in cash, a certain percentage of the amount above the threshold.

Additional Financing Required in 2008

We will require additional financing in order to complete our stated plan of operations for the next twelve months. There can be no assurance, however, that such financing will be available or, if it is available, that we will be able to structure such financing on terms acceptable to us and that it will be sufficient to fund our cash requirements until we can reach a level of profitable operations and positive cash flows. If we are unable to obtain the financing necessary to support our operations, we will be unable to continue as a going concern.

Our independent registered public accounting firm has included an explanatory paragraph in its report on our 2007 financial statements related to the uncertainty in our ability to continue as a going concern. We anticipate that our cash at December 31, 2007, together with the net proceeds from our sale of certain patent rights in March 2008, are not sufficient to meet the cash requirements to fund our operating expenses, capital expenditures, and working capital through December 2008 without additional sources of cash.

While we believe that we will be successful in generating additional cash through a combination of corporate partnerships and collaborations, federal and state grant funding, sale or licensing of intellectual property and incremental product sales, if we are unsuccessful in obtaining additional cash flows from any of these sources, we need to defer, reduce or eliminate certain planned expenditures. There can be no assurance that we will be able to obtain any sources of financing on acceptable terms, or at all. If we are not able to defer, reduce or eliminate our expenditures, secure additional sources of revenue or otherwise secure additional funding, we will need to restructure or significantly curtail our operations, file for bankruptcy or cease operations.

The trading price of our shares of common stock, a downturn in the United States stock and debt markets, and the existence of, and covenants in our Notes could make it more difficult to obtain financing through the issuance of equity or debt securities. We will also seek to raise capital from other sources, such as sale of assets, licensing of technology or intellectual property. Any delay in reaching cash flow break even will require us to raise additional capital. Under the terms of the 9.75% Notes, we are required to use a portion of the proceeds of certain financings to redeem the 9.75% Notes. Any additional equity financing will be dilutive to our stockholders, and debt financing, if available, may include restrictive covenants and require significant collateral. Further, if we issue additional equity or debt securities, stockholders may experience additional dilution or the new equity securities may have rights, preferences or privileges senior to those of existing holders of our shares of common stock. If additional financing is not available or is not available on acceptable terms, we will have to curtail our operations.

#### **Future Accounting Requirements**

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS 157 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements. SFAS 157 applies only to fair value measurements that are already required or permitted by other accounting standards. Accordingly, SFAS 157 does not require any new fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2007. Management is currently evaluating the impact, if any, the adoption of SFAS 157 will have on the Company s consolidated results of operations and financial position.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115.* SFAS 159 permits entities to choose to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be recognized in earnings at each subsequent reporting date. SFAS 159 is effective for us beginning January 1, 2008. We are evaluating the impact that the adoption of SFAS 159 will have on our consolidated financial statements.

#### Net operating loss carryforwards

As of December 31, 2007 we had federal, state and foreign net operating loss, or NOL, carryforwards of approximately \$300.3 million, \$165.2 million, and \$33.3 million, respectively. If not utilized, the net operating loss carryforwards will continue expiring in 2008 for federal purposes, 2008 for state purposes, and 2008 for foreign purposes. As of December 31, 2007, we had both federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$10.4 million, and \$7.6 million, respectively. The federal tax credits will continue expiring in 2008 unless utilized and the state tax credits carryforward indefinitely.

The federal and state NOL carryforwards are subject to alternative minimum tax limitations and to examination by the various taxing authorities. Additionally, pursuant to Sections 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code, annual use of the our net operating losses and credit carryforwards may be limited due to cumulative changes in ownership of more than 50% over a 3-year period. We may be subject to similar limitations on our Canadian losses acquired from SynX (aka Nanogen Point-of-Care).

Although the Company has determined that an ownership change had not occurred through June 30, 2007, based on analysis performed during adoption of FIN48, it is possible that an ownership change occurred subsequent to that date. Certain owners are not required to submit ownership change information with the Securities and Exchange Commission until mid-February 2008. The Company plans to update its Section 382 analysis based on this information for the limitation of the net operating loss and research and development credit carry forwards.

Until this analysis has been updated the Company has removed the deferred tax assets for net operating losses of \$111.6 million and research and development credits of \$15.4 million generated through 2007 from its deferred tax asset schedule and have recorded a corresponding decrease to its valuation allowance. When this analysis is finalized, the Company plans to update its unrecognized tax benefits under FIN 48. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in the Company s unrecognized tax benefits will not impact the Company s effective tax rate.

# Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures about Market Risk

Interest rate exposure

Our exposure to market risk due to fluctuations in interest rates relates primarily to short-term investments. These short-term investments, reported at an aggregate fair market value of \$1.4 million as of December 31, 2007, consist primarily of investments in debt instruments of financial institutions and corporations with strong credit ratings and United States government obligations. These securities are subject to

market rate risk inasmuch as their fair value will fall if market interest rates increase. If market interest rates were to increase immediately and uniformly by 100 basis points from the levels prevailing at December 31, 2007, for example, the fair value of the portfolio would not decline by a material amount. We do not use derivative financial instruments to mitigate the risk inherent in these securities. However, we do attempt to reduce such risks by generally limiting the maturity date of such securities, diversifying our investments and limiting the amount of credit exposure with any one issuer. We believe that reductions in the value of such securities attributable to short-term fluctuations in interest rates would not materially affect our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. Changes in interest rates would affect the interest income we earn on our cash balances after re-investment.

Foreign Currency Exchange Rate Exposure

The functional currency for our Canadian subsidiary is the U.S. dollar and the functional currency of our subsidiary in Italy is the euro. The Italian subsidiaries—accounts are translated from the euro to the U.S. dollar using the current exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date for balance sheet accounts, and using the average exchange rate during the period for revenues and expense accounts. The effects of translation are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated financial statements included herein. In certain instances, our subsidiaries conduct business with customers and vendors in euros or in other local European currencies. Exchange gains and losses arising from these transactions are recorded using the actual exchange rate differences on the dates of the transactions. We have not taken any action to reduce our exposure to changes in foreign currency exchange rates, such as options or futures contracts, with respect to transactions with our European customers and vendors. The net tangible assets of our foreign subsidiaries, excluding intercompany balances, were approximately \$11.0 million at December 31, 2007.

Notwithstanding the foregoing, the indirect effect of fluctuations in interest rates and foreign currency exchange rates could have a material adverse effect on our business financial condition and results of operations. For example currency exchange rate fluctuations may affect international demand for our products. In addition, interest rates fluctuations may affect our customers buying patterns. Furthermore, interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations may broadly influence the United States and foreign economies resulting in a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

#### Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

Our consolidated financial statements as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 and the Report of Ernst and Young LLP, Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, are included in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

**Item 9.** Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure None.

#### Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

(a) Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures.

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that (a) the information required to be disclosed by us in the reports we file or submit under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms, and (b) that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognized that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can only provide reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and in reaching a reasonable level of assurance, management necessarily was required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures.

Table of Contents 15

51

#### **Table of Contents**

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our Chief Executive Officer and our Chief Financial Officer, we carried out an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as such term is defined in SEC Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) as of December 31, 2007. Based on such evaluation, such officers have concluded that, as of December 31, 2007, our disclosure controls and procedures were not effective because of the identification of material weaknesses in our internal control over the financial close and inventory valuation processes as described below. Based on a number of factors, including our performance of additional procedures as discussed under Additional Disclosures and Management s Remediation Efforts below, our management has concluded that the consolidated financial statements included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K fairly present, in all material respects, our financial position, results of operations and cash flows for the periods presented in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP). The unqualified opinion, which contains an explanatory paragraph relating to our ability to continue as a going concern and our restatement of previously issued financial statements relating to accounting for a variable interest entity, of our independent registered public accounting firm on our financial statements as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 and for each of the years in the three year period ended December 31, 2007 is included in Part II, Item 8 of this Form 10-K.

(b) Change in Internal Control over Financial Reporting.

There were no significant changes in our internal control processes and procedures over financial reporting identified in connection with the evaluation of such controls that occurred during our most recent fiscal quarter that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect our internal control over financial reporting. The implementation of certain processes and procedures, however, were not determined to be effectively implemented as of December 31, 2007 as discussed more fully in the report below.

52

#### Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting

Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over our financial reporting. Internal control over financial reporting refers to the process designed by, or under the supervision of, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, and effected by our board of directors, management and other personnel, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and includes those policies and procedures that:

- (1) Pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of our assets;
- (2) Provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that our receipts and expenditures are being made only in accordance with authorizations of our management; and
- (3) Provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use or disposition of our assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting cannot provide absolute assurance of achieving financial reporting objectives. Internal control over financial reporting is a process that involves human diligence and compliance and is subject to lapses in judgment and breakdowns resulting from human failures. Internal control over financial reporting also can be circumvented by collusion or improper management override. Because of such limitations, there is a risk that material misstatements may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis by internal control over financial reporting. However, these inherent limitations are known features of the financial reporting process. Therefore, it is possible to design into the process safeguards to reduce, though not eliminate, this risk. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become ineffective because of changes in conditions or that the degree of compliance with established policies or procedures may deteriorate.

Management assessed the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007 using the framework set forth in the report entitled Internal Control Integrated Framework published by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations (COSO) of the Treadway Commission. Management reviewed the results of this evaluation with the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors, and based on this evaluation, management identified deficiencies in our financial statement close and inventory valuation processes related to:

inadequate management oversight of the financial reporting process,

an insufficient number of staff accountants with a sufficient level of technical accounting knowledge, and

insufficient controls over assessing inventory values, including reserve requirements.

We believe that the combination of these deficiencies result in a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented on a timely basis. Therefore, management has concluded that we had material weaknesses and that our internal control over financial reporting was not effective as of December 31, 2007. As a result of these material weaknesses there were material adjustments that resulted from the annual audit. These adjustments primarily resulted in changes to the inventory and accrued liability balances.

Ernst & Young LLP, our independent registered public accounting firm, has issued an attestation report on our internal control over financial reporting which is included below.

53

#### **Table of Contents**

Additional Discussion and Management s Remediation Efforts

We are currently implementing additional controls and procedures to remediate these deficiencies, including:

recruitment of additional staff (subsequent to December 31, 2007, we have hired an accounting manager and a senior accountant to help remediate the insufficient number of qualified staff accountants); and

adding detailed review procedures over accounting close activities, including inventory valuation analysis. We expect these measures to be fully implemented on or before December 31, 2008.

These actions we have taken to remediate these deficiencies are subject to continued management review supported by testing, as well as oversight by the Audit Committee of our Board of Directors. We cannot assure you that material weaknesses or significant deficiencies will not occur in the future and that we will be able to remediate such weaknesses or deficiencies in a timely manner, which could impair our ability to accurately and timely report our financial position, results of operations or cash flows. See the Risk Factor entitled As of December 31, 2007, we identified material weaknesses in internal control over financial reporting. If we fail to maintain an effective system of internal controls, we may not be able to accurately report our financial results or prevent fraud and as a result, investors may be misled and lose confidence in our financial reporting and disclosures, and the price of our common stock may be negatively affected in this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

54

#### Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Nanogen, Inc.

We have audited Nanogen, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (the COSO criteria). Nanogen, Inc. s management is responsible for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting included in the accompanying Management s Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on the company s internal control over financial reporting based on our audit.

We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audit included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk, and performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company s assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

A material weakness is a deficiency, or combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the company s annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. A combination of deficiencies related to inadequate management oversight of the financial statement close process, an insufficient number of staff accountants with a sufficient level of technical accounting knowledge and insufficient controls over assessing inventory values, including related reserves resulted in material weaknesses in internal control at December 31, 2007.

These material weaknesses were considered in determining the nature, timing, and extent of audit tests applied in our audit of the 2007 financial statements, and this report does not affect our report dated March 28, 2008 on those financial statements.

In our opinion, because of the effect of the material weaknesses described above on the achievement of the objectives of the control criteria, Nanogen, Inc. has not maintained effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on the COSO criteria.

/s/ ERNST & YOUNG LLP

San Diego, California

March 28, 2008

Item 9B. Other Information

None.

#### **PART III**

#### Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

The information required by this item concerning our directors, executive officers, Section 16 compliance and code of ethics is incorporated by reference to the information set forth in the sections titled Election of Directors, Executive Officers of the Company, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance and Code of Ethics in our definitive proxy statement to be filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission in connection with the 2008 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Proxy Statement ).

#### Item 11. Executive Compensation

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement under the heading Compensation of Executive Officers and Directors.

#### Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement under the heading Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Equity Compensation Plan Information .

#### Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions and Director Independence

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement under the heading Certain Transactions and Election of Directors.

#### Item 14. Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The information required by this item is incorporated by reference to the Proxy Statement under the heading Principal Accountant Fees and Services .

#### PART IV

#### Item 15. Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules

(a)(1) Financial Statements:

Our consolidated restated financial statements are included herein as required under Item 8 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K. See Index on page F-1.

(2) Financial Statement Schedules

## Schedule II Valuation and Qualifying Accounts

## For the Years Ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005

## (in thousands)

	Beg	ance at ginning Period	•	uired in iisitions	(ch	ditions arges to penses)	De	ductions	 lance at l of year
Allowance for doubtful accounts									
Year ended December 31, 2007	\$	183	\$		\$	652	\$	(567)	\$ 268
Year ended December 31, 2006	\$	70	\$	64	\$	62	\$	(13)	\$ 183
Year ended December 31, 2005	\$	176	\$		\$		\$	(106)	\$ 70
Inventory reserve for obsolescence									
Year ended December 31, 2007	\$	4,145	\$		\$	7,594	\$	(2,701)	\$ 9,038
Year ended December 31, 2006	\$	5,148	\$	379	\$	480	\$	(1,862)	\$ 4,145
Year ended December 31, 2005	\$	5,860	\$		\$		\$	(712)	\$ 5,148

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, not required or the information is included in the consolidated financial statements or notes thereto.

## 3) Exhibits

## **EXHIBIT INDEX**

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
1.1(35)	Placement Agency Agreement, between Registrant and Ascendant Securities, dated February 5, 2007. (1.1)
1.2(35)	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement, dated February 5, 2007. (1.2)
1.3(38)	Placement Agency Agreement between Registrant and Seven Hills Partners LLC, dated August 26, 2007. (10.6)
1.4(38)	Securities Purchase Agreement, dated August 26, 2007. (10.1)
2.1(20)	Plan of Arrangement between Nanogen, Inc. and SynX Pharma, Inc., dated February 9, 2004.
2.2(19)	Agreement and Plan of Merger and Reorganization dated September 7, 2004, by and among Nanogen, Inc., Empire Acquisition Corp. and Epoch Biosciences, Inc.
2.3(28)	Asset Purchase Agreement among Registrant, SynX Pharma, Inc. and Spectral Diagnostics, Inc., dated December 19, 2005.
2.4(34)	Asset Purchase Agreement by and between Nanogen, Inc., Nanogen Advanced Diagnostics, S.r.L. and Amplimedical S.p.A. (2.1)
3.1(3)	Restated Certificate of Incorporation. (3.(I)1)
3.2(17)	Certificate of Amendment to Restated Certificate of Incorporation.
3.3(3)	Certificate of Designations, as filed with the Delaware Secretary of State on November 23, 1998. (3.(I)2)
3.4(11)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of Registrant. (3.(II)1)
4.1(1)	Form of Common Stock Certificate.
4.2(2)	Rights Agreement between Registrant and BankBoston, N.A., dated November 17, 1998.
4.3(8)	Amendment No. 1 to Rights Agreement between Registrant and FleetBoston, N.A., dated December 11, 2000.

Exhibit Number	Description of Document
4.4(34)	Form of Convertible Promissory Note. (4.1)
4.5(29)	Form of Warrant, dated September 28, 2005. (4.1)
4.6(35)	Form of Warrant, dated February 5, 2007. (4.1)
4.7(38)	Indenture, dated as of August 27, 2007, between Registrant and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (4.1)
4.8(38)	First Supplemental Indenture, dated as of August 27, 2007, between Registrant and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. (4.2)
4.9(38)	Form of Notes, dated August 27, 2007. (10.2)
4.10(38)	Form of Series A Warrants, dated August 27, 2007. (10.3)
4.11(38)	Form of Series B Warrants, dated August 27, 2007. (10.4)
4.12(38)	Form of Series C Warrants, dated August 27, 2007. (10.5)
10.1(21)(A)	Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Incentive Plan of Nanogen, Inc. (the 1997 Plan ). (99.1)
10.2(6)(A)	Form of Incentive Stock Option Agreement under the 1997 Plan, as amended. (10.2)
10.3(6)(A)	Form of Nonqualified Stock Option Agreement under the 1997 Plan, as amended. (10.3)
10.4(21)(A)	Amended and Restated Nanogen, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan. (99.2)
10.5(13)(A)	Nanogen, Inc. 2002 Stock Bonus Plan.
10.6(1)(A)	Form of Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and its directors and executive officers. (10.7)
10.7(7)	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock between Registrant, Aventis Research and Technologies Verwaltungs GmbH, dated September 22, 2000. (10.9)
10.8(12)	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock between Registrant and Gene Type AG, dated April 12, 2002. (10.9)
10.9(16)	Form of Securities Purchase Agreement between Registrant and investors described therein, dated September 17, 2003.
10.10(18)	Warrant to Purchase Common Stock between Registrant and Aventis Pharma Deutchland GmbH, dated June 6, 2003. (10.10)
10.11(5)(+)	Reader, Loader and Cassette Low Cost Engineering and Manufacturing Agreement between Registrant and Hitachi, Ltd., dated as of December 15, 1999.
10.12(7)(+)	First Amendment to Reader, Loader and Cassette Low Cost Engineering and Manufacturing Agreement between Registrant and Hitachi, Ltd., dated July 26, 2000. (10.7)
10.13(7)(+)	Collaboration Agreement among Registrant and Hitachi, Ltd., Nissei Sangyo Co. Ltd. and Hitachi Instruments Service Co. Ltd. (collectively, Hitachi), dated July 26, 2000. (10.6)
10.14(7)	Common Stock Purchase Agreement between Registrant and Hitachi, dated July 26, 2000. (10.8)
10.15(1)	Amended and Restated Investors Rights Agreement between Registrant and certain security holders set forth therein, dated May 5, 1997. (10.18)
10.16(1)	Master Lease Agreement between Registrant and Mellon US Leasing, dated September 11, 1997. (10.19)

58

# **Table of Contents**

Exhibit Number 10.17(1)	Description of Document  Master Lease Agreement between Registrant and LMP Properties Ltd., dated June 29, 1994, as amended on March 14,
	2001. (10.20)
10.18(1)	Lease Agreement between Registrant and Lease Management Services, Inc., dated April 26, 1994, as amended on December 13, 1994 and June 13, 1996. (10.21)
10.19(1)(A)	Form of Promissory Note between Registrant and certain of its executive officers, dated August 22, 1996. (10.23)
10.20(1)(A)	Form of Promissory Note between Registrant and certain of its executive officers, dated June 30, 1995. (10.24)
10.21(1)(A)	Forms of Performance Stock Option Agreement. (10.26)
10.22(15)(A)	Separation Agreement between Registrant and Kieran T. Gallahue, dated January 2, 2003.(10.21)
10.23(15)(A)	Separation Agreement between Registrant and Dr. Vance R. White, dated December 11, 2002. (10.22)
10.24(18)(A)	Separation Agreement between Registrant and Ira Marks, dated August 15, 2003.
10.25(15)(A)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and Bruce A. Huebner, dated December 1, 2002.(10.24)
10.26(15)(A)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and William Franzblau, dated January 24, 2003. (10.25)
10.27(15)(A)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and David Macdonald, dated January 24, 2003. (10.26)
10.28(18)(A)	Separation Agreement between Registrant and Gerard A. Wills, dated May 21, 2003.
10.31(15)(A)	Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and Bruce A. Huebner, dated effective as of December 1, 2002. (10.30)
10.32(15)(A)	Indemnification Agreement between Registrant and Graham Lidgard, dated effective as of January 24, 2003. (10.31)
10.33(9)(+)	Cooperation and Shareholders Agreement among Aventis Research & Technologies GmbH & Co. KG ( Aventis ), Registrant and Nanogen Recognomics GmbH ( Nanogen Recognomics ), dated June 29, 2001. (10.3)
10.34(9)(+)	Contribution Agreement among Aventis, Registrant and Nanogen Recognomics, dated June 27, 2001. (10.4)
10.35(11)(+)	Settlement Agreement among Motorola, Inc., Genometrix, Inc., Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Registrant, dated July 20, 2001. (10.6)
10.36(14)	Settlement Agreement among CombiMatrix Corporation, Dr. Donald Montgomery, Acacia Research Corporation and Registrant, dated September 30, 2002.
10.37(4)	Master Loan and Security Agreement between Registrant and Transamerica Business Credit Corporation, dated June 14, 1999.
10.38 (22)(+)	Cross License Agreement on NT-proBNP between SynX Pharma, Inc. and Roche Diagnostics GmbH., dated July 17, 2003.
10.39(23)	SynX Pharma, Inc. Stock Option Plan. (99.1)
10.40(23)	Form of Stock Option Agreement (SynX Pharma, Inc. Stock Option Plan). (99.2)

59

# Table of Contents

Exhibit Number 10.41(23)	Description of Document Form of Stock Option Assumption Agreement (99.3)
10.42(24)	Epoch Biosciences, Inc. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan. (99.1)
10.43(24)	Epoch Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Incentive Stock Option, Nonqualified Stock Option and Restricted Stock Purchase Plan 1991. (99.2)
10.44(24)	Epoch Pharmaceuticals, Inc. Incentive Stock Option, Nonqualified Stock Option and Restricted Stock Purchase Plan 1993. (99.3)
10.45(25)	Epoch Biosciences, Inc. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, Non-qualified Stock Option Agreement. (10.46)
10.46(25)(+)	Second Amended and Restated Collaboration, License and Supply Agreement by and between Epoch Pharmaceuticals, Inc. and PE Corporation, through its Applied Biosystems Group, dated August 17, 2000. (10.49)
10.47(25)(+)	First Side Agreement dated October 31, 2001 by and between Epoch and PE Corporation, through its Applied Biosystems Group. (10.50)
10.48(25)(+)	Amendment No. 1 to Second Amended and Restated Collaboration, License and Supply Agreement between Epoch and Applera Corporation, formerly PE Corporation, through its Applied Biosystems Group, dated July 26, 2002. (10.51)
10.49(26)	Epoch Biosciences, Inc. 2003 Stock Incentive Plan, as Assumed by Nanogen, Inc., amended and restated as of July 29, 2005.
10.50(28)	Placement Agency Agreement among Registrant, Seven Hills Partners LLC and Stonegate Securities, Inc., dated September 27, 2005. (10.1)
10.51(29)(+)	Amendment No. 2 to Second Amended and Restated Collaboration, License and Supply Agreement between Epoch and Applera Corporation, formerly PE Corporation, through its Applied Biosystems Group, dated effective as of December 31, 2005. (10.56)
10.52(29)(+)	Manufacturing and Distribution Agreement between Registrant and Princeton BioMeditech Corporation, dated October 27, 2005. (10.58)
10.53(29)(+)	Development Agreement between Registrant and Princeton BioMeditech Corporation, dated January 13, 2006. (10.57)
10.54(29)(+)(A)	2006 Executive Officer Incentive Compensation Plan. (10.59)
10.55(30)	Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of March 15, 2006 between Fisher Scientific International Inc., and Nanogen, Inc. (10.1)
10.56(34)	Amended and Restated Stock Purchase Plan. (Appendix A)
10.57(34)(A)	Nanogen, Inc. Employee Stock Purchase Plan. (Appendix B)
10.58(31)	Common Stock Purchase Agreement between Nanogen, Inc. and Azimuth Opportunity Ltd., dated May 10, 2006.
10.59(33)(++)	Royalty Interest Assignment Agreement between Epoch BioSciences, Inc., Drug Royalty Trust 9, and Nanogen Inc., dated September 29, 2006. (10.1)
10.60(32)	Security Agreement between Drug Royalty Trust 9 and Epoch BioSciences, Inc., dated September 29, 2006. (10.2)
10.61(32)(A)	Independent Contractor Agreement between Nanogen, Inc. and Heiner Dreismann, dated November 6, 2006. (10.3)

60

Exhibit Number 10.62(36)(A)	Description of Document  Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Registrant and Howard C. Birndorf, dated February 19, 2007.
	(10.1)
10.63(36)(A)	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Registrant and Robert Saltmarsh, dated February 19, 2007. (10.2)
10.64(36)(A)	Amended and Restated Employment Agreement between Registrant and Graham Lidgard, dated February 19, 2007. (10.3)
10.65(36)(A)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and Dr. William L. Respess, dated February 19, 2007. (10.4)
10.66(36)(A)	Employment Agreement between Registrant and David Ludvigson, dated February 19, 2007. (10.5)
10.67(37)(A)	Amended and Restated 1997 Stock Incentive Plan of Registrant (10.1)
14.1(15)	Nanogen, Inc. Code of Business Conduct and Ethics. (99.2)
21.1	List of Subsidiaries. (21.1)
23.1	Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm.
31.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer Required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
31.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer Required by Rule 13a-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.1	Certifications of Chief Executive Officer Required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
32.2	Certifications of Chief Financial Officer Required by Rule 13a-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

- (1) Incorporated by reference to Registrant s Registration Statement on Form S-1 (File No. 333-42791). Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (2) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.2 to the Registrant s Registration Statement on Form 8-A12G, filed on November 24, 1998.
- (3) Incorporated by reference to Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 29, 1999. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (4) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.38 to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 1999.
- (5) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on May 12, 2000.
- (6) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Form S-8 filed on June 15, 2000. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed
- (7) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2000. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.

61

#### **Table of Contents**

- (8) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 4.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on December 12, 2000.
- (9) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2001. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (10) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form S-8 filed on June 20, 2001. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (11) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 14, 2001. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (12) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 14, 2002. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (13) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form S-8 filed on August 16, 2002.
- (14) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended September 31, 2002.
- (15) Incorporated by reference to Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 31, 2003. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (16) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on September 22, 2003.
- (17) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 3.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on December 21, 2004.
- (18) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Form 10-K filed on March 30, 2004. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (19) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on September 8, 2004.
- (20) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 of the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on May 6, 2004.
- (21) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Form S-8 (File No. 333-116605) filed on June 18, 2004. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (22) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.2 of the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on August 16, 2004.

- (23) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-115629), filed on May 19, 2004. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (24) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's Registration Statement on Form S-8 (File No. 333-121508) filed on December 21, 2004. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (25) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 15, 2005. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (26) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 99.1 to the Registrant s Form S-8 (File No. 333-127916) filed on August 29, 2005.

62

#### **Table of Contents**

- (27) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 2.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on December 23, 2005.
- (28) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on September 28, 2005. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (29) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Annual Report on Form 10-K filed on March 16, 2006. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (30) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on March 16, 2006.
- (31) Incorporated by reference to Exhibit 10.1 to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on May 10, 2006.
- (32) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q filed on November 9, 2006. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (33) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on May 5, 2006. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (34) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant's definitive proxy statement filed on May 5, 2006. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the Appendix under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (35) Incorporated by reference to the Registrant s Form 8-K filed on February 5, 2007. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (36) Incorporated by reference to Registrant s Form 8-K filed on February 23, 2007. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (37) Incorporated by reference to Registrant s Form 8-K filed on June 15, 2007. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (38) Incorporated by reference to Registrant s Form 8-K filed on August 27, 2007. Parenthetical references following the description of each document relate to the exhibit number under which such exhibit was initially filed.
- (A) Indicates management compensatory plan or arrangement.
- (+) Confidential treatment has been granted for certain information contained in this document pursuant to an order of the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such information has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

(++) Confidential treatment has been requested for certain information contained in this document. Such information has been omitted and filed separately with the Securities and Exchange Commission.

63

### **SIGNATURES**

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Nanogen, Inc.

Date: March 31, 2008 By: /s/ Howard C. Birndorf

Howard C. Birndorf Chairman of the Board, and Chief Executive Officer

Pursuant to the requirements to the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

Signature	Title	Date
/s/ Howard C. Birndorf	Chairman of the Board,	March 31, 2008
Howard C. Birndorf	and Chief Executive Officer	
	(Principal Executive Officer)	
/s/ Nicholas J. Venuto	Chief Financial Officer	March 31, 2008
Nicholas J. Venuto	(Principal Financial and Accounting Officer)	
/s/ David Schreiber	Director	March 31, 2008
David Schreiber		
/s/ Stelios B. Papadopoulos	Director	March 31, 2008
Stelios B. Papadopoulos		
/s/ Robert E. Whalen	Director	March 31, 2008
Robert E. Whalen		
/s/ Heiner Dreismann	Director	March 31, 2008
Heiner Dreismann		

64

# NANOGEN, INC.

## INDEX TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

	Page
Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	F-2
Consolidated Balance Sheets	F-3
Consolidated Statements of Operations	F-4
Consolidated Statements of Stockholders Equity	F-5
Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows	F-8
Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements	F-9-F-57

F-1

#### REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Board of Directors and Stockholders of Nanogen, Inc.

We have audited the accompanying consolidated balance sheets of Nanogen, Inc., as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 (restated), and the related consolidated statements of operations, stockholders—equity, and cash flows for each of the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 (restated) and 2005 (restated). Our audits also included the financial statement schedule listed in the Index at Item 15(a)(2). These financial statements and schedule are the responsibility of the Company—s management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and schedule based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement. An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinion.

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the consolidated financial position of Nanogen, Inc., at December 31, 2007 and 2006 (restated), and the consolidated results of its operations and its cash flows for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 (restated) and 2005 (restated), in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. Also, in our opinion, the related financial statement schedule, when considered in relation to the basic financial statements taken as a whole, presents fairly in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

As disclosed in Note 3 to the consolidated financial statements, Nanogen, Inc. changed its method of accounting for share-based payments in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004) on January 1, 2006.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming the Company will continue as a going concern. As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company has recurring operating losses, a working capital deficit and an accumulated deficit of \$400.6 million as of December 31, 2007. These factors, among others, as discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, raise substantial doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern. Management s plans regarding these matters are also described in Note 1. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments to reflect the possible future effects on the recoverability and classification of assets or the amounts and classification of liabilities that may result from the outcome of this uncertainty.

As disclosed in Note 2, the Company has restated previously issued financial statements as of December 31, 2006, and for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005.

We also have audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the effectiveness of Nanogen, Inc. s internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission and our report dated March 28, 2008 expressed an adverse opinion on effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

San Diego, California

March 28, 2008

F-2

# NANOGEN, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(in thousands, except par value and share data)

		As of Dec 2007	ember 31, 2006 (Restated)	
ASSETS			Ì	ŕ
Current assets:				
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	5,806		11,261
Short-term investments		1,450		13,923
Receivables, net		14,821		11,568
Inventories, net		2,267		7,691
Other current assets		1,840		2,058
		26.104		46.501
Total current assets		26,184	4	46,501
Property and equipment, net		6,662		9,388
Acquired technology rights and intangibles, net		14,905		17,894
Restricted cash		9,626		5,131
Other assets, net		2,011		1,312
Goodwill		38,963		39,027
Total assets	\$	98,351	\$ 1	19,253
LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:				
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$	15,600	\$	13,395
Acquisition payable, secured by letter of credit				2,061
Deferred revenue		663		3,376
Conversion feature of convertible debt		664		
Current portion of assigned royalty interests obligation		2,868		3,447
Common stock warrants		1,708		11
Current portion of debt obligations		4,868		3,590
Total current liabilities		26,371		25,880
Debt obligations, less current portion		8,139		535
Debt obligations of variable interest entity				7,781
Sponsored research payable		4,848		4,851
Long-term assigned royalty interests obligation		14,711		15,529
Other long-term liabilities		2,778		2,304
Total long term liabilities		20.476		21 000
Total long-term liabilities		30,476		31,000
Commitments and contingencies				
Stockholders equity:				
Convertible preferred stock, \$0.001 par value, 5,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2007 and 2006; no shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006				
Common stock, \$0.001 par value, 135,000,000 shares authorized at December 31, 2007 and 2006; 73,218,128				
and 67,468,252 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively		73		68
Additional paid-in capital		440,583	4:	30,110
Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss)		2,237		(361)
Accumulated deficit		(400,618)	(3)	66,673)
Treasury stock, at cost, 416,027 shares at December 31, 2007 and 2006		(771)		(771)
•				. /

Total stockholders equity		41,504	62,373
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$	98 351	\$ 119,253
Total habilities and stockholders equity	Ф	90,331	\$ 119,233

See accompanying notes.

F-3

## NANOGEN, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

## (in thousands, except per share data)

		ember 31,	
	2007	2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)
Revenues:		(Restated)	(Restated)
Product sales	\$ 22,866	\$ 15,996	\$ 4,544
License fees and royalty income	6,981	7,908	6,530
Contracts and grants	8,336	2,948	1,470
Total revenues	38,183	26,852	12,544
Costs and expenses:			
Cost of product sales	24,295	13,290	4,518
Research and development	26,463	25,683	22,033
Selling, general and administrative	38,181	33,385	23,578
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	2,991	2,987	1,677
Impairment charge on goodwill			59,000
Charge for acquired in-process research and development			3,491
Impairment of acquired technology rights			167
Total costs and expenses	91,930	75,345	114,464
Loss from operations:	(53,747)	(48,493)	(101,920)
Other income (expense):			
Interest income	965	1,046	1,408
Interest expense	(4,944)	(1,572)	(645)
Other expense	(33)	(717)	(78)
Warrant and conversion right valuation adjustment	11,254	75	1,026
Gain (loss) on foreign currency transactions	(126)	311	17
Gain on deconsolidation of variable interest entity	12,686		
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in variable interest entity	,	2,618	4,675
Total other income	19,802	1,761	6,403
Loss before extraordinary item	(33,945)	(46,732)	(95,517)
Extraordinary item:			
Charge for excess purchase price in variable interest entity			(9,262)
Net loss	\$ (33,945)	\$ (46,732)	\$ (104,779)
Loss before extraordinary item per share	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.74)	\$ (1.93)
Extraordinary item per share			(0.19)
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.47)	\$ (0.74)	\$ (2.11)
Number of shares used in computing net loss per share basic and diluted	72,312	63,221	49,585

See accompanying notes.

F-4

## NANOGEN, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

## (in thousands)

	Common Stock			Treasur		ccumulate Other			
	510	CK	Additional	Treasur		mprehens	ive		Total
	~-		Paid-in					Accumulated	
D. 1			t Capital				Compensatio		Equity
Balance at December 31, 2004	47,766	\$ 48	\$ 374,910	(500)	\$ (922)	\$ (174)	\$ (1,184)	\$ (215,162)	\$ 157,516
Components of comprehensive loss:								(404 ==0)	(101 ==0)
Net loss (as restated)								(104,779)	(104,779)
Unrealized gain on short-term investments						136			136
Unrealized loss on other investments						(93)			(93)
Cumulative foreign currency translation adjustment						(50)			(50)
Total comprehensive loss (as restated)									(104,786)
Issuance of common stock in a private placement,									
net of expenses	6,803	7	18,793						18,800
Issuance of common stock for employee stock	-,		-,						-,
purchase plan	124		324						324
Issuance of common stock to employees	19		36	(6)	(16)				20
Amortization of stock options related to acquisitions				(-)	( - /		376		376
Issuance of common stock to Board of Directors	34		125						125
Issuance of common stock in connection with									
defined contribution plan, net of forfeitures	49		122				(36)		86
Proceeds from the exercise of options	121		239						239
Rescinded warrants	(121)	)							
Issuance of restricted stock grants to employees			1,761				(1,395)		366
Options issued to consultants			(13)				21		8
Other			139						139
Balance at December 31, 2005 (as restated)	54,795	\$ 55	\$ 396,436	(506)	\$ (938)	\$ (181)	\$ (2,218)	\$ (319,941)	\$ 73,213

## NANOGEN, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Continued)

## (in thousands)

	Com: Sto		Additional Paid-in	Treasui	y Stock	O ompr	mulate ther rehensi come	ive	eferred	Accumulated (	Total Stockholders
	Shares	Amount	t Capital	Shares	Amount	(L	oss)	Com	pensation	n Deficit	Equity
Balance at December 31, 2005 (as restated)	54,795	\$ 55	\$ 396,436	(506)	\$ (938)	\$	(181)	\$	(2,218)	\$ (319,941)	\$ 73,213
Components of comprehensive loss:											
Net loss (as restated)										(46,732)	(46,732)
Unrealized gain on short-term investments							73				73
Cumulative foreign currency translation											
adjustment							(253)				(253)
Total comprehensive loss (as restated)											(46,912)
Issuance of common stock in private											
placements, net of expenses	9,018	9	20,491								20,500
Issuance of common stock related to the											
conversion of acquisition related debt	2,887	3	6,937								6,940
Issuance of restricted stock	90		ĺ								ŕ
Issuance of common stock for acquisition	975	1	2,905								2,906
Amortization of stock-based compensation			5,486								5,486
Elimination of deferred compensation upon			,								ĺ
adoption of FAS 123R			(2,218)						2,218		
Issuance of common stock to Board of			( , - ,						, -		
Directors	65										
Issuance of common stock in connection with											
defined contribution plan, net of forfeitures	3		1	90	167						168
Proceeds from the exercise of options	51		72								72
	0.1										
Balance at December 31, 2006 (as restated)	67,884	\$ 68	\$ 430,110	(416)	\$ (771)	\$	(361)	\$		\$ (366,673)	\$ 62,373

## NANOGEN, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY (Continued)

## (in thousands)

	Commo	Common Stock			Treasury Stock			cumulated Other			
	Shares	Am	ount	Additional Paid-in Capital	Shares	Amount	Cor	nprehensive Income (Loss)	Ac	ccumulated Deficit	 Total ckholders Equity
Balance at December 31, 2006 (as restated)	67,884	\$	68	\$ 430,110	(416)	\$ (771)	\$	(361)	\$	(366,673)	\$ 62,373
Components of comprehensive loss:											
Net loss										(33,945)	(33,945)
Unrealized gain on short-term investments								127			127
Cumulative foreign currency translation											
adjustment								2,471			2,471
Total comprehensive loss											(31,347)
Issuance of common stock in private placements,											
net of expenses	4,917		5	6,383							6,388
Issuance of common stock related to the											
conversion of debt	76			82							82
Issuance of restricted stock	323										
Issuance of common stock for acquisition											
Amortization of stock-based compensation				3,417							3,417
Issuance of common stock for employee stock											
purchase plan	166			351							351
Issuance of common stock to Board of Directors	72										
Issuance of common stock in connection with											
defined contribution plan, net of forfeitures	196			379							379
Proceeds from the exercise of options											
Other				(139)							(139)
Balance at December 31, 2007	73,634	\$	73	\$ 440,583	(416)	\$ (771)	\$	2,237	\$	(400,618)	\$ 41,504

## NANOGEN, INC.

## CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

## $(in\ thousands)$

	F 2007	or the Years End December 31, 2006 (Restated)	2005 (Restated)
Operating activities:		(Restateu)	(Kestateu)
Net loss	\$ (33,945)	\$ (46,732)	\$ (104,779)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities:			, , , ,
Depreciation and amortization	7,126	6,573	4,979
Goodwill impairment charges			59,000
Non-cash charges related to exit of micro-array business	9,238		·
Gain on deconsolidation of variable interest entity	(12,686)		
Other asset impairment and non-cash charges (gains)	848	(230)	52
Loss on disposal of fixed assets	231	597	31
Accretion related to short-term investments	89	100	276
Foreign currency transactions gain		(310)	
Stock-based compensation expense	3,417	5,486	997
Warrant valuation and conversion right adjustment	(11,254)	(75)	(1,026)
Accretion of long-term debt	1,061	280	101
Charge for excess purchase price in VIE	-,		9,262
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE		(2,618)	(4,675)
Charge for acquired in-process research and development relating to VIE		(2,010)	3,491
Increase (decrease) in cash caused by changes in operating assets and liabilities, excluding the effects of acquisitions:			3,171
Receivables, net	(2,250)	(9,197)	(110)
Inventories, net	(1,602)		(118)
	484	(1,153)	595
Other current and long-term assets Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	4,575	164	
Deferred revenue and other long-term liabilities	(587)	5,831 2,841	(620) 115
Net cash used in operating activities	(35,255)	(38,443)	(34,613)
Net easif used in operating activities	(33,233)	(30,443)	(34,013)
Investing activities:			
Purchase of short-term investments	(21,642)	(38,137)	(50,088)
Conversion of cash to restricted cash	(5,094)	(3,337)	
Proceeds from sale and maturities of short-term investments	34,150	50,371	60,376
Strategic investments, including investment in variable interest entity			(3,475)
Acquisition of businesses, net of cash acquired	(1,978)	(6,970)	
Purchase of equipment and technology rights	(2,480)	(2,087)	(1,409)
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	2,956	(160)	5,404
Financing activities:			
Payments on long term obligations	(698)	(754)	(1,082)
Proceeds from assignment of royalty interests obligation		20,000	· ·
Payments on assigned royalty interests obligation	(2,118)	(1,024)	
Proceeds from debt financing secured by receivables	1,050	2,931	
Proceeds from debt obligations of variable interest entity	1,895	2,178	996
Issuance of common stock, net	7,420	20,578	19,363
Proceeds from long-term obligations	18,777	601	828
Acquisition of treasury stock	20,,,	001	(16)
Not and associated by Committee activities	26.226	44.510	20.000
Net cash provided by financing activities	26,326	44,510	20,089

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash	518		(840)	(58)
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(5,455	)	5,067	(9,178)
Cash and cash equivalents at beginning of year	11,261		6,194	15,372
Cash and cash equivalents at end of year	\$ 5,806	\$ 1	1,261	6,194
Supplemental disclosure of cash flow information:				
Interest paid	\$ 3,452	\$	495	\$ 211
Net assets of Spectral acquired for common stock	\$	\$	2,906	\$
•				
Net assets of Amplimedical acquired for promissory note	\$	\$	6,939	5
	<del>-</del>	<b>T</b>	-,	
Net assets of Amplimedical acquired for letter of credit	\$	\$	2,061	5
	<del>+</del>	Ψ	_,	
Conversion of debt to equity	\$ 82	\$	9	1
Conversion of debt to equity	\$ 82	Ψ	,	P

See accompanying notes.

#### NANOGEN, INC.

#### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

#### **December 31, 2007**

### 1. Organization

#### Organization and Business Activity

Nanogen, Inc. (the Company) was incorporated in California in November 1991 and, in November 1997, was reincorporated in Delaware. We are in the business of developing, manufacturing, and selling diagnostic products for use in the in vitro diagnostic (IVD) market.

### Basis of Consolidation

These consolidated financial statements and the accompanying notes relate to Nanogen, Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries which include the following:

Nanogen Point-of-Care, Inc.: based in Toronto, Canada, and includes assets purchased from SynX Pharma (SynX) on April 21, 2004, and from Spectral Diagnostics (Spectral) on February 6, 2006.

Epoch Biosciences, Inc. (Epoch): all of the outstanding stock was acquired on December 16, 2004.

Nanogen Advanced Diagnostics, S.r.L. ( Amplimedical ): formed in 2006 and acquired the assets related to rapid cardiac immunoassay test business of an unaffiliated company on May 1, 2006.

In addition, we have several other legal entities which are included in the consolidation, but collectively they are not material.

### Variable Interest Entities

In a series of investments from July 2005 to June 2006, we purchased \$3.0 million in equity of Jurilab LTD (Jurilab). Using the methodology prescribed in Financial Accounting Standards Board FASB Interpretation No. 46R, Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities, an Interpretation of ARB No. 51, (FIN 46(R)) we determined we were the primary beneficiary and were required to include Jurilab s assets and liabilities in our consolidated financial statements. We included Jurilab s assets and liabilities as of the date of our initial investment on July 20, 2005 and its operating results after this date. In July 2007, a reconsideration event occurred as a result of Jurilab obtaining new equity financing from a third party. We have determined that under FIN 46(R), we no longer qualify as the primary beneficiary as a result of the new equity financing and therefore no longer consolidate Jurilab s assets and liabilities in our financial statements. The results of Jurilab s operations through the date of the reconsideration event are included in our consolidated results of operations.

### Basis of Presentation

The Company has incurred net losses of \$33.9 million, \$46.7 million, and \$104.8 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, and has an accumulated deficit of \$400.6 million as of December 31, 2007. Based on the Company s operating plan, its existing working capital is not sufficient to meet the cash requirements to fund the Company s planned operating expenses, capital expenditures, and working capital requirements through December 31, 2008 without additional sources of cash and/or the deferral, reduction or elimination of significant planned expenditures.

These factors raise substantial doubt about the Company s ability to continue as a going concern. The accompanying consolidated financial statements have been prepared assuming that the Company will continue as a going concern. This basis of accounting contemplates the recovery of the Company s assets and the satisfaction of liabilities in the normal course of business.

#### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

The Company s plan to address the expected shortfall of working capital is to generate additional financing through a combination of financing sources (in addition to the monetization of intellectual property disclosed as a subsequent event in Note 18), equity, or debt, and incremental product sales. If the Company is unsuccessful in raising significant additional capital from any of these sources, it will defer, reduce, or eliminate certain planned expenditures. The Company will continue to consider other financing alternatives. There can be no assurance that the Company will be able to obtain any sources of financing on acceptable terms, or at all.

If the Company cannot obtain sufficient additional financing in the short-term, it will be forced to restructure or significantly curtail its operations, file for bankruptcy or cease operations. The consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should the Company be forced to take any such actions.

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Company and all of the subsidiaries. Intercompany transactions and balances are eliminated in consolidation.

#### 2. Restatement

#### Restatement of Prior Periods Presented

We have restated our previously issued consolidated financial statements to reflect certain accounting adjustments. As disclosed in the Current Report on Form 8-K filed March 28, 2008, the staff of the Securities and Exchange Commission (the SEC Staff) reviewed and issued comments pertaining to our Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2006 and the Form 10-Q for the three and nine month periods ended September 30, 2007. After reviewing the accounting related to certain comments received pertaining to the Company s accounting for Jurilab, a variable interest entity (VIE), management determined that certain adjustments should have been recorded at the date of initial consolidation of the VIE, July 2005, and during the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005 and the interim reporting periods in 2007, 2006 and 2005, during which time the VIE was consolidated in our balance sheets and included in our results of operations.

In July 2005, we made an initial \$1.6 million equity investment in Jurilab which provided us with an initial ownership percentage of approximately 16.8%. Upon completion of the initial investment, we determined that we were the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R), and were required to consolidate Jurilab s financial statements. In June 2006, we made a subsequent investment in Jurilab of \$1.5 million, which increased our ownership percentage to approximately 29.7%.

At the time of initial investment, we originally consolidated Jurilab s balance sheet based on the carrying value of their assets and liabilities. After receiving the SEC comment letter, we have reviewed our accounting and determined that, in accordance with FIN 46(R), we should have recorded the identifiable assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests in the VIE at their fair value upon initial consolidation. We have performed an assessment of the fair value of the identifiable assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests and as a result, we have adjusted the initial carrying value of the VIE s assets, liabilities and the noncontrolling interests to reflect their estimated fair value. The most significant changes to the balance sheet accounts related to: the establishment of noncontrolling interests in Jurilab of \$7.6 million representing the fair value of the other investors interest Jurilab as of the date of our investment, and the reduction of the carrying value of the debt from \$7.0 million to \$5.2 million as a result of the assessment of the underlying structure of the debt compared to available market terms primarily relating to its interest rates. In addition, we recorded two charges upon the initial consolidation. The first charge relates to the excess of the value paid compared to the fair value of identified assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interest. As of the initial consolidation date, we determined that

#### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

this amounted to \$9.3 million. Under FIN 46(R), this excess is recorded as either goodwill or an extraordinary charge, based on the status of the VIE. As Jurilab was a development stage company and did not meet the definition of a business as defined by FIN 46 (R) as of the date of initial consolidation, the company was required to record the excess as an extraordinary charge. The second charge relates to the identification of research projects that were in process as of the date of initial consolidation and resulted in us recording a charge for in-process research and development of \$3.5 million.

As a result of the changes made to correct the accounting for the initial consolidation of the VIE, we have restated subsequent periods reported in our results of operations. As the fair value of the debt was determined to be less than the carrying value as of the date of initial consolidation, that discount was required to be accreted to the debt over the estimated term of the debt. As a result, the Company has recorded additional interest expense of \$169,000, \$280,000 and \$101,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005. In addition, as noncontrolling interest was established as of the date of initial consolidation, we are required to allocate to the noncontrolling interests their prorata share of the losses of the VIE until such time that the balance of the noncontrolling interests reach zero, and thereafter we absorbed all of the losses of the VIE. We have recorded a reduction to our consolidated net loss of \$2.6 million and \$4.7 million in 2006 and 2005 to reflect the allocation of losses to the noncontrolling interests. Based on the allocations of losses to the noncontrolling interests through the third quarter of 2006, the balance of noncontrolling interests was reduced to zero and subsequent losses were no longer allocated to the noncontrolling interests.

In July 2007, an additional equity investment by a new investor resulted in our reconsideration of our position as primary beneficiary. At the time of this reconsideration event, we determined that we were no longer the primary beneficiary, and we deconsolidated Jurilab in July 2007. As a result of the deconsolidation, we removed the Jurilab balances from our books and recorded a gain for the excess of the liabilities removed over the assets removed of \$12.7 million.

The purchase consideration paid by us in July 2005 to obtain the 16.8% ownership was used as the primary determinate to assess the overall fair value of Jurilab and the basis of the noncontrolling interests.

Based on the result of our assessment of fair values of Jurilab s assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests, the following is the allocation of fair value at the time of initial investment (in thousands):

Cash	\$ 1,525
Restricted cash	486
Other assets	722
Completed technology	106
In-process research and development	3,491
Extraordinary charge for excess purchase price	9,262
Debt obligations	(5,223)
Other liabilities	(1,153)
Net assets	\$ 9,216

The allocation of the fair value between the Company and the noncontrolling interest is summarized as follows (in thousands):

Our basis in fair value	\$ 1,664
Noncontrolling interests basis in fair value	\$ 7,552

Total fair value \$9,216

F-11

### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### December 31, 2007

Reconciliation of Original Financial Statements to Restated Financial Statements.

The following tables reconcile the consolidated financial statements originally reported by the Company to the restated financials:

### Impact of the changes related to accounting for VIE Adjustments on the Consolidated Financial Statements

The following table presents the impact of the changes in accounting for VIE related adjustments on our previously-reported consolidated statements of operations for the years ended December 31, 2006 and 2005:

### RECONCILIATION OF CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR 2006 AND 2005

### (In thousands, except per share data)

		nded December 3	,	Year Ended December 31, 2005				
	As Reported	Adjustments(a)	As Restated	As Reported	Adjustments(a)	As Restated		
Consolidated Statements of Operations	reported	rajustinents <sub>(a)</sub>	restated	перопец	rajustinents <sub>(a)</sub>	Resulted		
Revenues:								
Product Sales	\$ 15,996	\$	\$ 15,996	\$ 4,544	\$	\$ 4,544		
License fees and royalty income	7,908		7,908	6,530		6,530		
Contracts and grants	2,948		2,948	1,470		1,470		
Total revenues	26,852		26,852	12,544		12,544		
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of product sales	13,290		13,290	4,518		4,518		
Research and development	25,683		25,683	22,033		22,033		
Selling, general and administrative	33,385		33,385	23,578		23,578		
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	2,987		2,987	1,571	106	1,677		
Impairment charge on goodwill				59,000		59,000		
Charge for acquired in-process research and development					3,491	3,491		
Impairment of acquired technology rights				167		167		
Total costs and expenses	75,345		75,345	110,867	3,597	114,464		
Loss from operations	(48,493)		(48,493)	(98,323)	(3,597)	(101,920)		
Other income (expense)								
Interest income	1,046		1,046	1,408		1,408		
Interest expense	(1,292)	(280)	(1,572)	(544)	(101)	(645)		
Other expense	(717)		(717)	(78)		(78)		
Warrant valuation adjustment	75		75	1,026		1,026		
Gain of foreign currency transactions	311		311	17		17		
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE		2,618	2,618		4,675	4,675		
Total other income (expense)	(577)	2,338	1,761	1,829	4,574	6,403		

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

Loss before extraordinary item	\$ (	49,070)	\$ 2,338	\$ (	46,732)	\$ (	96,494)	\$ 977	\$	(95,517)
Extraordinary item:										
Charge for excess purchase price in VIE								(9,262)		(9,262)
Net loss	\$ (	49,070)	\$ 2,338	\$ (	46,732)	\$ (	96,494)	\$ (8,285)	\$ (	(104,779)
Loss before extraordinary item per share-basic and diluted	\$	(0.78)	\$ 0.04	\$	(0.74)	\$	(1.95)	\$ 0.02	\$	(1.93)
Extraordinary item per share								(0.19)		(0.19)
Net loss per share-basic and diluted	\$	(0.78)	\$ 0.04	\$	(0.74)	\$	(1.95)	\$ (0.17)	\$	(2.11)
Weighted average shares-basic and diluted		63,221			63,221		49,585			49,585

<sup>(</sup>a) Adjustments to reflect accretion of debt in VIE to fair value, and to allocate the pro rata share of losses to the non-controlling interests, and to record a charge for acquired in-process research and development and extraordinary loss upon our initial investment in the VIE.

### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### December 31, 2007

The following table presents the impact of the additional VIE related adjustments on our previously-reported consolidated balance sheets as of December 31, 2006 and 2005:

### RECONCILIATION OF CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS FOR 2006 AND 2005

### (In thousands)

	As	December 31, 2006 As As			December 31, 2005			
	Reported	Adjustments(a)	Restated	As Reported	Adjustments(a)	Restated		
Assets	•	<b>(a</b> )		•	<b>o</b> (a)			
Current assets:								
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 11,261	\$	\$ 11,261	\$ 6,194	\$	\$ 6,194		
Short-term investments	13,923		13,923	26,185		26,185		
Receivables, net	11,568		11,568	2,141		2,141		
Inventories, net	7,691		7,691	3,724		3,724		
Other current assets	2,058		2,058	1,457		1,457		
Total current assets	46,501		46,501	39,701		39,701		
Property and equipment, net	9,388		9,388	7,590		7,590		
Acquired technology rights and intangibles, net	17,894		17,894	9,604		9,604		
Restricted cash	5,131		5,131	1,794		1,794		
Other assets, net	1,312		1,312	2,214		2,214		
Goodwill	39,027		39,027	37,178		37,178		
Total assets	\$ 119,253	\$	\$ 119,253	\$ 98,081	\$	\$ 98,081		
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	Ψ 119,233	Ψ	Ψ 117,233	φ	Ψ	Ψ 20,001		
Current liabilities:								
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 13,395	\$	\$ 13,395	\$ 7,728	\$	\$ 7,728		
Acquisition payable, secured by letter of credit	2,061		2,061	, ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	·	, ,,,,		
Deferred revenue	3,376		3,376	535		535		
Current portion of assigned royalty interests	,		ĺ					
obligation	3,447		3,447					
Common stock warrants	11		11	86		86		
Current portion of debt obligations Deferred								
revenue	3,590		3,590	701		701		
Total current liabilities	25,880		25,880	9,050		9.050		
Debt obligation less current portion	535		535	643		643		
Debt obligation of variable interest entity	9,941	(2,160)	7,781	7,245	(1,637)	5,608		
Sponsored research payable	4,851		4,851	,		,		
Long term assigned royalty interest obligation	15,529		15,529					
Other long-term liabilities	2,304		2,304	6,648		6,648		
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE					2,919	2,919		
Stockholders equity:								
Convertible preferred stock								

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

Common stock	68		68	55		55
Additional paid-in capital	429,971	139	430,110	396,297	139	396,436
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(956)	595	(361)	(189)	8	(181)
Deferred Compensation				(2,218)		(2,218)
Capital deficit in VIE, net	(7,373)	7,373		(6,856)	6,856	
Accumulated deficit	(360,726)	(5,947)	(366,673)	(311,656)	(8,285)	(319,941)
Treasury stock	(771)		(771)	(938)		(938)
Total stockholders equity	60,213	2,160	62,373	74,495	(1,282)	73,213
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 119,253	\$	\$ 119,253	\$ 98,081	\$	\$ 98,081

<sup>(</sup>a) Adjustments to reflect recording of VIE  $\,$  s assets, liabilities and noncontrolling interests.

### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### December 31, 2007

The unaudited quarterly information set forth below has been restated from previously-reported information filed on Form 10-Q and Form 10-K for all quarters beginning with the quarter ended September 30, 2005 (the period of initial consolidation of Jurilab) through the quarter ended September 30, 2007 (the period of deconsolidation):

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR INTERIM PERIODS OF 2007 AND 2006

	T	hree Months l	Ended		Three Months Ended					
	March 31, 2007 (Restated) (In thousa	June 30, 2007 (Restated) ands, except p	September 30 2007 (Restated) er share data)	2006 (Restated)		September 30, 2006 (Restated) except per share	December 31, 2006 (Restated) data)			
Consolidated Statements of Operations	Ì	, <b>, , ,</b>	ĺ		,	• •	ĺ			
Revenues:										
Product Sales	\$ 6,084	\$ 5,294	\$ 5,549	\$ 2,122	\$ 4,016	\$ 4,727	\$ 5,131			
License fees and royalties	1,241	2,058	1,833	1,814	1,814	1,866	2,414			
Contracts and grants	2,328	2,963	986	416	481	912	1,139			
Total Revenues	9,653	10,315	8,368	4,352	6,311	7,505	8,684			
Costs and expenses:	2,000		-,,,,,	.,	3,2	.,	2,00.			
Cost of product sales	4,830	4,529	8,705	2,239	4,023	3,509	3,519			
Research and development	6,512	7,546	7,540	6,260	6,552	6,242	6,629			
Selling, general and administrative	8,853	11,233	9,167		8,928	8,441	8,647			
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	767	760	733	560	730	869	828			
Total costs and expenses	20.962	24.068	26.145	16.428	20,233	19.061	19.623			
Loss from operations	(11,309)	(13,753)	(17,777	-, -	(13,922)	(11,556)	(10,939)			
Other income (expense):	(11,50))	(13,733)	(17,777	(12,070)	(15,722)	(11,550)	(10,737)			
Interest income	538	31	188	351	219	141	335			
Interest expense	(1,143)	(856)	(1,439		(191)	(180)	(963)			
Other expense	(28)	(25)	27	/ /		(243)	(77)			
Warrant valuation adjustment	10	(20)	5,426	\ /	\ /	10	2			
Gain (Loss) on foreign currency transactions	2	(16)	(12	. ,		(25)	354			
Gain on deconsolidation of VIE		(10)	12,686		(12)	(==)				
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE			,	948	1.187	482				
β					,					
Total other income (expense)	(621)	(866)	16,876	936	988	185	(349)			
Loss before extraordinary item	(11,930)	(14,619)	(901	) (11,140)	(12,934)	(11,371)	(11,288)			
Extraordinary item:	(11,550)	(11,01))	(501	(11,110)	(12,751)	(11,5/1)	(11,200)			
Charge for excess purchase price in VIE										
Net loss	\$ (11,930)	\$ (14,619)	\$ (901	\$ (11,140)	\$ (12,934)	\$ (11,371)	\$ (11,288)			
Loss before extraordinary item per share	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.01	) \$ (0.20)	\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.17)			
Extraordinary item per share	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$	\$			
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.01		\$ (0.21)	\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.17)			
<u>.</u>	. (,	. (==)	. (0101	, (,	. (::==)	(3.12.)	(321)			
Weighted average shares basic and diluted	70,496	72,616	72,966	56,340	61,477	66,839	67,968			

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

F-14

## NANOGEN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## **December 31, 2007**

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR INTERIM PERIODS OF 2005

	(Re	Three rember 30, 2005 estated) thousands, 6	(1	cember 31, 2005 Restated)
Consolidated Statements of Operations	(111	thousands, t	меері рег в	nare data)
Revenues:				
Product Sales	\$	1,060	\$	1,196
License fees and royalties	•	1,748	•	1,459
Contracts and grants		363		407
Total Revenues		3,171		3,062
Costs and expenses:		-, -		- ,
Cost of product sales		799		1,445
Research and development		5,701		6,260
Selling, general and administrative		5,326		5,875
Amortization of purchased intangible assets		499		393
Impairment charge on goodwill				59,000
Charge for acquired in-process research and development		3,491		
Impairment charge on acquired technology				167
Total costs and expenses		15,816		73,140
Loss from operations		(12,645)		(70,078)
Other income (expense):				
Interest income		110		810
Interest expense		(46)		(599)
Other expense		(8)		40
Warrant valuation adjustment		109		80
Gain (Loss) on foreign currency transactions		(1)		14
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE		3,615		1,060
Total other income (expense)		3,779		1,405
Loss before extraordinary item		(8,866)		(68,673)
Extraordinary item:				
Charge for excess purchase price in VIE		(9,262)		
Net loss	\$	(18,128)	\$	(68,673)
Loss before extraordinary item per share	\$	(0.18)	\$	(1.26)
Extraordinary item per share	\$	(0.19)	\$	,
Net loss per share basic and diluted	\$	(0.38)	\$	(1.26)

Weighted average shares basic and diluted

48,018

54,689

F-15

### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### December 31, 2007

The quarterly consolidated balance sheets set forth below have been restated from previously-reported information filed in our quarterly and annual reports on Forms 10-Q and Form 10-K for restated quarters beginning with the quarter ending September 30, 2005 (the period of initial consolidation of Jurilab) through the quarter ending September 30, 2007 (period of deconsolidation):

### UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS FOR INTERIM PERIODS OF 2007 AND 2006

### (In thousands)

	March 31, 2007 (Restated)	June 30, 2007 (Restated)	September 30, 2007 (Restated)	March 31, 2006 (Restated)	June 30, 2006 (Restated)	September 30, 2006 (Restated)
Assets	(Hestatea)	(Hestatea)	(Hestatea)	(Hestatea)	(Hestatea)	(Hestatea)
Current assets:						
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 8,811	\$ 7,285	\$ 8,236	\$ 12,322	\$ 7,893	\$ 26,761
Short-term investments	12,443	6.084	7.061	20,096	10.725	6.025
Receivables, net	14,649	15,537	15,053	2,729	4,828	7,779
Inventories, net	7,462	7,470	3,487	5,059	6,935	7,366
Other current assets	2,299	3,020	4,412	1,875	2,051	2,122
Total current assets	45,664	39,396	38,249	42,081	32,432	50,053
Property and equipment, net	9,307	8,522	6,386	8,080	9,790	9,424
Acquired technology rights, net	16,999	16,091	14,956	14,243	19,307	18,750
Restricted cash	2,028	2,013	8,931	1,561	4,140	4,341
Other assets, net	1,246	967	2,503	1,922	2,115	1,533
Goodwill	38,853	38,853	38,853	38,407	39,078	39,727
Total assets	\$ 114,097	\$ 105,842	\$ 109,878	\$ 106,294	\$ 106,862	\$ 123,828
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity						
Current liabilities:						
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 12,968	\$ 15,963	\$ 17,435	\$ 7,810	\$ 10,489	\$ 11,388
Acquisition payable					2,570	2,570
Deferred revenue	3,267	2,923	1,345	594	676	775
Conversion feature of convertible debt			3,351			
Current portion of assigned royalty interests	2,268	2,780	2,662			2,930
Common stock warrants	1	1	4,838	111	22	13
Current portion of debt obligations	3,851	4,288	4,601	642	645	687
Total current liabilities	22,355	25,955	34,232	9,157	14,402	18,363
Debt obligations, less current portion	453	405	7,571	557	460	619
Debt obligation of VIE	8,548	9,790		6,311	6,732	7,825
Sponsored research payable	4,851	4,851	4,848	4,853	4,852	4,852
Long term assigned royalty interest obligation	17,011	16,257	15,508			17,070
Other long-term liabilities	2,262	2,654	960	2,080	2,814	2,407
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE				1,891	515	
Stockholders equity:						
Common stock	73	73	73	61	65	67
Additional paid-in capital	438,306	439,892	440,372	413,629	422,166	428,899
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(388)	(43)	1,209	(177)	(157)	(64)
Deferred compensation				(49)	(35)	(54)

Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

Accumulated deficit	(378,603)	(393,221)	(394,124)	(331,081)	(344,014)	(355,385)
Treasury Stock	(771)	(771)	(771)	(938)	(938)	(771)
Total stockholders equity	58,617	45,930	46,759	81,445	77,087	72,692
Total liabilities and stockholders equity	\$ 114,097	\$ 105,842	\$ 109,878	\$ 106,294	\$ 106,862	\$ 123,828

## NANOGEN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## **December 31, 2007**

## UNAUDITED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEET AS OF SEPTEMBER 30, 2005

### (In thousands)

	per 30, 2005 stated)
Assets	ŕ
Current assets:	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 27,670
Short-term investments	12,989
Receivables, net	2,416
Inventories, net	3,372
Other current assets	1,850
Total current assets	48,297
Property and equipment, net	8,037
Acquired technology rights, net	10,043
Restricted cash	1,897
Other assets, net	1,928
Goodwill	96,178
Total assets	\$ 166,380
Liabilities and Stockholders Equity	
Current liabilities:	
Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 7,274
Deferred revenue	539
Common stock warrants	166
Current portion of debt obligations	727
Total current liabilities	8,706
Debt obligations, less current portion	774
Debt obligation of VIE	5,270
Sponsored research payable	4,855
Other long-term liabilities	1,391
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE	3,937
Stockholders equity:	
Common stock	55
Additional paid-in capital	396,156
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(84)
Deferred compensation	(2,490)
Accumulated deficit	(251,268)
Treasury Stock	(922)
Total stockholders equity	141,447

F-17

### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### December 31, 2007

The following tables present the impact of the changes in accounting to VIE related adjustments on our previously-reported consolidated statements of operations for all quarters beginning with the quarter ending September 30, 2005 (the period of initial consolidation of Jurilab) through the quarter ending September 30, 2007 (period of deconsolidation):

### UNAUDITED RECONCILIATION OF CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR INTERIM PERIODS OF 2007

#### (In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended													
		March 31, 2007 June 30, 2007						September 30, 2007						
	As			As	As			As	_	As			_	As
	Reported	Adjustn	nents	Restated	Reported	Adju	istments	Restated	R	eported	Adj	ustments	Re	estated
Consolidated Statements of														
Operations														
Revenues:	Φ 6004	Φ.		Φ 6004	Φ 5004	Φ.		ф. <b>7.00</b> 4	ф	5.5.40	ф		ф	5.540
Product Sales	\$ 6,084	\$		\$ 6,084	\$ 5,294	\$		\$ 5,294	\$	- )	\$		\$	5,549
License fees and royalty income	1,241			1,241	2,058			2,058		1,833				1,833
Contracts and grants	2,328			2,328	2,963			2,963		986				986
Total Revenues	9,653			9,653	10,315			10,315		8,368				8,368
Costs and expenses:														
Cost of product sales	4,830			4,830	4,529			4,529		8,705				8,705
Research and development	6,512			6,512	7,546			7,546		7,238		302		7,540
Selling, general and administrative	8,853			8,853	11,233			11,233		9,167				9,167
Amortization of purchased intangible														
assets	767			767	760			760		733				733
Total costs and expenses	20,962			20,962	24,068			24,068		25,843		302		26,145
Loss from operations	(11,309)	)		(11,309)	(13,753)	)		(13,753)		(17,475)		(302)	(	(17,777)
Other income (expense):														
Interest income	538			538	31			31		188				188
Interest expense	(1,062)	) (	(81)	(1,143)	(768)	)	(88)	(856)		(1,439)				(1,439)
Other expense	(28)	)		(28)	(25)	)		(25)		27				27
Warrant valuation adjustment	10			10						5,426				5,426
Gain (Loss) on foreign currency														
transactions	2			2	(16)	)		(16)		(12)				(12)
Gain on deconsolidation of VIE										5,831		6,855		12,686
Total other income (expense)	(540)	) (	81)	(621)	(778)	)	(88)	(866)		10,021		6,855		16,876
( 1	()	`	/	(- )	(		()	()		- , -		-,		,,,,,,,
Net loss	\$ (11,849)	\$ (	81)	\$ (11,930)	\$ (14,531)	) \$	(88)	\$ (14,619)	\$	(7,454)	\$	6,553	\$	(901)
1101 1088	φ (11,042)	Ψ (	01)	Φ (11,930)	φ (14,331)	, ψ	(00)	ψ (14,019)	Ψ	(7,434)	Ψ	0,333	Ψ	(901)
NT (1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	ф (O.17)	. ф		¢ (0.17)	ф (0.20°	. ф		ф (O 20)	ф	(0.10)	ф	0.00	ф	(0.01)
Net loss per share-basic and diluted	\$ (0.17)	\$		\$ (0.17)	\$ (0.20)	) \$		\$ (0.20)	\$	(0.10)	\$	0.09	\$	(0.01)
Number of shares used in computing	70.406			70.406	70.616			70.616		72.066				72.066
net loss per share-basic and diluted	70,496			70,496	72,616			72,616		72,966				72,966

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

F-18

### NANOGEN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### December 31, 2007

### UNAUDITED RECONCILIATION OF CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR INTERIM PERIODS OF 2006

(In thousands, except per share data)

								Three Mon	ths								
		Iarcl	h 31, 20			June	e 30, 200			-	mber 30	*		Decei	nber	31, 2	
	As			As	As			As	_	As		As	_	As			As
0 111 1 101 1	-	Adjı	ustmen	tsRestated	Reported	Adj	ustmen	tsRestated	R	ReportedA (	ljustme	ntsRestated	Re	eporte <b>ck</b>	ljust	ments	Restated
Consolidated Statements of Operations																	
Revenues:																	
Product Sales	\$ 2,122	2 \$		\$ 2,122	\$ 4,016	\$		\$ 4,016	\$	4,727	\$	\$ 4,727	\$	5,131	\$	9	5,131
License fees and royalty																	
income	1,814			1,814	1,814			1,814		1,866		1,866		2,414			2,414
Contracts and grants	416	5		416	481			481		912		912		1,139			1,139
Total Revenues	4,352	2		4,352	6,311			6,311		7,505		7,505		8,684			8,684
Costs and expenses:																	
Cost of product sales	2,239	)		2,239	4,023			4,023		3,509		3,509		3,519			3,519
Research and																	
development	6,260	)		6,260	6,552			6,552		6,242		6,242		6,629			6,629
Selling, general and																	
administrative	7,369	)		7,369	8,928			8,928		8,441		8,441		8,647			8,647
Amortization of																	
purchased intangible																	
assets	560	)		560	730			730		869		869		828			828
Total costs and expenses	16,428	3		16,428	20,233			20,233		19,061		19,061		19,623			19,623
1				,	•					*		,		,			*
Loss from operations	(12,076	5)		(12,076)	(13,922	)		(13,922)		(11,556)		(11,556	\ (	(10,939)			(10,939)
Other income (expense):	(12,070	))		(12,070)	(13,922	)		(13,922)	,	(11,550)		(11,550	, (	(10,939)			(10,939)
Interest income	351	ı		351	219			219		141		141		335			335
Interest expense	(171		(67)	(238)	(121		(70)	-	)	(109)	(71		)	(891)	(	(72)	(963)
Other expense	(97	-	(07)	(97)	(300	_	(, 0)	(300)		(243)	(,,	(243	_	(77)	,	()	(77)
Warrant valuation	(> .			(, , )	(200	,		(200)		(=)		(= 10		(,,,			(,,,
adjustment	(25	5)		(25)	88			88		10		10		2			2
Gain (Loss) on foreign	,	ĺ		` '													
currency transactions	(3	3)		(3)	(15	)		(15)	)	(25)		(25	)	354			354
Noncontrolling interests																	
share of losses in VIE			948	948			1,187	1,187			482	482					
Total other income																	
(expense)	55	5	881	936	(129	)	1.117	988		(226)	411	185		(277)	(	(72)	(349)
r						,	, .			( -/				( , , ,		. ,	( )
Loss before extraordinary																	
item per share	\$ (12,021	2 (1	881	\$ (11,140)	\$ (14.051	2 (	1 117	\$ (12,934)	ν Φ	(11.782)	\$ 411	\$ (11,371	\ \$ (	(11 216)	\$ (	(72)	5 (11,288)
item per snare	ψ (12,02)	ι) ψ	001	ψ (11,140)	Φ (17,031	) ψ	1,117	Φ (12,757)	, ψ	(11,702)	Ψ -111	φ (11,5/1	) ψ(	(11,210)	ψ (	(12)	(11,200)
Net loss per share-basic	ф (0.5		0.00	d (0.00)	d (0.55		0.02	ф <i>(</i> О. <b>2</b> · ·		(0.10)	Φ 0.0	ф (0.4-		(0.15)	ф		(0.17)
and diluted	\$ (0.21	1) \$	0.02	\$ (0.20)	\$ (0.23	) \$	0.02	\$ (0.21)	\$	(0.18)	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.17	) \$	(0.17)	\$	9	6 (0.17)
Number of shares used in																	
computing net loss per	56.246	`		56 240	61 477			61 477		66 920		66 020		67.069			67.060
share-basic and diluted	56,340	,		56,340	61,477			61,477		66,839		66,839		67,968			67,968

F-19

### NANOGEN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

### December 31, 2007

### UNAUDITED RECONCILIATION OF CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS FOR INTERIM PERIODS OF 2005

(In thousands, except per share data)

	Three Months Ended							
	September 30, 2005 December 31, 200					)5		
	As		As	As		As		
D	Reported	Adjustment	s Restated	Reported	Adjustments	Restated		
Revenues:	¢ 1.000	ф	¢ 1.060	¢ 1.107	ф	¢ 1.106		
Product Sales	\$ 1,060	\$	\$ 1,060	\$ 1,196	\$	\$ 1,196		
License fees and royalty income	1,748		1,748	1,459		1,459		
Contracts and grants	363		363	407		407		
Total Revenues	3,171		3,171	3,062		3,062		
Costs and expenses:								
Cost of product sales	799		799	1,445		1,445		
Research and development	5,701		5,701	6,260		6,260		
Selling, general and administrative	5,326		5,326	5,875		5,875		
Amortization of purchased intangible assets	393	100	5 499	393		393		
Impairment charge on goodwill				59,000		59,000		
Charge for acquired in-process research and development		3,49	3,491					
Impairment charge on acquired technology rights				167		167		
Total costs and expenses	12,219	3,59	7 15,816	73,140		73,140		
Loss from operations	(9,048)	(3,59)	7) (12,645)	(70,078)		(70,078)		
Other income (expense):		•						
Interest income	110		110	810		810		
Interest expense		(40	6) (46)	(544)	(55)	(599)		
Other expense	(8)		(8)	40		40		
Warrant valuation adjustment	109		109	80		80		
Gain (Loss) on foreign currency transactions	(1)		(1)	14		14		
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE		3,613	3,615		1,060	1,060		
Ç								
Total other income (expense)	210	3,569	3,779	400	1,005	1,405		
1		,	,		ĺ	ĺ		
Loss before extraordinary item	(8,838)	(23	(8,866)	(69,678)	1,005	(68,673)		
Extraordinary item:	(0,030)	(2)	(0,000)	(02,070)	1,003	(00,073)		
Charge for excess purchase price in VIE		(9,26)	2) (9,262)					
Charge for excess parenase price in VIE		(7,202	(7,202)					
Net loss	\$ (8,838)	\$ (9,290	) \$ (18,128)	\$ (69,678)	\$ 1,005	\$ (68,673)		
	Ψ (0,000)	÷ (>,2>	ψ (10,1 <b>2</b> 0)	+ (0),010)	- 1,000	+ (00,075)		
Loss before extraordinary item per share	\$ (0.18)	\$	\$ (0.18)	\$ (1.27)	\$ 0.02	\$ (1.26)		
Extraordinary item per share	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.19		\$ (1.27)	\$ 0.02	\$ (1.20)		
Net loss per share-basic and diluted	\$ (0.18)	\$ (0.19		\$ (1.27)	\$ 0.02	\$ (1.26)		
Thet 1055 per share-basic and unuted	φ (0.18)	φ (0.1)	ν, φ (U.36)	ψ (1.27)	φ 0.02	ψ (1.20)		

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

Number of shares used in computing net loss per share-b	asic			
and diluted	48,018	48,018	54,689	54,689

F-20

#### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

#### 3. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

#### Financial Statement Preparation

The preparation of the financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts and the disclosure of contingent amounts in our financial statements and the accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

### Cash, Cash Equivalents and Short-term Investments

We consider all highly liquid investments with maturities of three months or less from the date of purchase to be cash equivalents. We invest excess cash in debt instruments of financial institutions and corporations with strong credit ratings and in United States government obligations. We have established guidelines relative to diversification and maturities that help maintain safety and liquidity. These guidelines are periodically reviewed and modified to take advantage of trends in yields and interest rates.

We have evaluated our investments in accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 115, Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities, and we have determined that all of our investment securities are properly classified as available-for-sale. Based on our intent, investment policies and our ability to liquidate debt securities, we classified such short-term investment securities within current assets. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value, with unrealized gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive loss within stockholders equity. The amortized cost basis of debt securities is periodically adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization is included as a component of interest income. The amortized cost basis of securities sold is based on the specific identification method and all such realized gains and losses are recorded as a component within other income (expense), net.

We review the carrying values of our investments and write down investments to their estimated fair value by a charge to other income (expense) when we determine the decline in value of an investment is considered to be other than temporary.

### Fair Value of Financial Instruments

The carrying amounts of our cash and cash equivalents, receivables and accounts payable and accrued liabilities approximate their fair value due to the short-term nature of these balances. Our marketable securities available-for-sale are carried at fair value based on quoted market prices. The carrying amounts of short-term debt obligations approximate fair value as the rates of interest for these instruments approximate market rates of interest currently available to us for similar instruments.

Convertible debt issued in August 2007 has been accounted for in accordance with SFAS 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities (SFAS 133) and the EITF No. 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in a Company s Own Stock, (EITF 00-19). According to these pronouncements, we have recorded the embedded conversion option and warrants related to our convertible debt at fair value on the reporting date, resulting in the convertible instrument itself being recorded at a discount from the face amount.

### Allowances for Doubtful Accounts

We maintain an allowance for doubtful accounts for estimated losses resulting from the inability of our customers to make required payments. We estimate losses based on, but not limited to, such factors as

F-21

#### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

identification of specific collection issues, past due trends, general economic conditions and payment history. Estimated losses are recorded within an allowance for doubtful accounts and reported as a deduction from gross receivables.

#### Restricted Cash

We have restricted cash representing cash, cash equivalents and short-term investments pledged in lieu of cash deposits primarily for deposits we are required to keep related to our convertible debt in 2007, and in 2006 for acquisition related payables. The restricted cash balances are approximately \$9.6 million and \$5.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

#### **Inventories**

Inventories are stated at the lower of cost or market. Inventories include materials, labor, and overhead costs. Adjustments to reduce the cost of inventory to its net realizable value, if required, are made for estimated excess, obsolete or impaired balances. Factors influencing these adjustments include decline in demand, rapid technological changes, product life cycle and development plans, component cost trends, product pricing, physical deterioration and quality issues.

As we record provisions within cost of sales to increase inventory valuation reserves, we establish a new, lower cost basis for the inventory. We do not increase this new cost basis for subsequent changes in facts or circumstances. Once we establish a reserve for an inventory item, we only relieve the reserve upon the subsequent use or disposal of the item.

### Property and Equipment

Property and equipment is stated at cost and depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets. Amortization of leasehold improvements is computed using the straight-line method over the shorter of the lease term or the estimated useful lives of the assets. Maintenance and repair expenses are charged to operations as incurred. When assets are sold, or otherwise disposed of, the cost and related accumulated depreciation are removed from the accounts and any gain or loss is included in the statement of operations.

### Acquired Technology Rights and Intangible Assets

Acquired technology rights are recorded at cost. Identifiable intangible assets acquired in business acquisitions are recorded at their fair value. Once the commercialization of the acquired technology begins, the asset is amortized into the cost of product sales over its estimated useful life, which has historically been between three to ten years.

### Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets

Goodwill is recorded as the difference, if any, between the aggregate consideration paid for an acquisition and the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired. In accordance with SFAS No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (SFAS 142), we do not amortize goodwill or intangible assets with indefinite useful lives, and we test goodwill for impairment on an annual basis in the fourth quarter, or more frequently if we believe indicators of impairment exist. The performance of the test involves a two-step process. The first step of the impairment test involves comparing the fair values of the applicable reporting units with their aggregate carrying values, including goodwill. The Company generally determines the fair value of its reporting units using the income approach methodology of valuation that includes the discounted cash flow method as well as other

#### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

generally accepted valuation methodologies. If the carrying amount of a reporting unit exceeds the reporting unit s fair value, the Company performs the second step of the goodwill impairment test to determine the amount of impairment loss. The second step of the goodwill impairment test involves comparing the implied fair value of the affected reporting unit s goodwill with the carrying value of that goodwill. During 2007 and 2006 there were no material events or changes in circumstances to indicate that the carrying amount of our goodwill might not be recoverable, and the results of our annual impairment test indicated there was no impairment.

In the fourth quarter of 2005, under the first step of the SFAS 142 analysis we determined that the carrying value of the reporting unit that included Epoch was in excess of its fair value. Therefore, we were required to proceed to the second step of the SFAS 142 analysis for the Epoch reporting unit and use the methodology described in SFAS No. 141, *Business Combinations*, to determine the fair value of the reporting unit as if we purchased the reporting unit on October 1, 2005. The fair value was based on a combination of the income approach, which estimates the fair value based on the future discounted cash flows, and the market approach, which estimates the fair value based on comparable market prices. Under the income approach, we assumed a cash flow period through 2010 with terminal values thereafter, long-term annual revenue growth rates of 5% to 43%, and a discount rate of 20% and terminal value growth rates of 5%. We determined the fair value by weighting 67% to the income approach and 33% to the market approach. The resulting fair value of the Epoch reporting unit was approximately \$26.6 million. Therefore, we incurred a non-cash impairment charge to our goodwill of \$59.0 million during the fourth quarter of 2005.

#### Impairment of Long-Lived Assets

Quarterly we assess our long-lived assets (excluding goodwill) for indicators of impairment using the methodology prescribed in SFAS No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-Lived Assets*. During our assessments, if there are indicators of impairment related to our long-lived assets, we are required to determine that the carrying value of the assets can be recovered through undiscounted future cash flows. If the carrying value of the asset can not be recovered, we are required to write down the value of the long-lived asset to its fair value.

### Common Stock Warrant Liability

As a result of our convertible debt issuance in August 2007 and financing transaction in February 2007, we have issued warrants for 17.3 million and 983,333 shares of our common stock, respectively. The warrants have an initial exercise price of \$1.14 per share, are subject to antidilution repricing provisions, and expire in 2012. The warrants have a provision that allows them to be redeemed for cash based on the Black-Scholes or other appropriate formula under certain circumstances if there is a change of control of Nanogen.

In addition, as a result of our December 2004 acquisition of Epoch, we assumed warrants for 381,312 shares of our common stock. The warrants have an exercise price of \$6.33 per share and expire in early 2009, and have a provision that allows them to be redeemed for cash based on the Black-Scholes formula under certain circumstances if there is a change of control of Nanogen. However, the volatility variable on these warrants in the Black-Scholes formula is limited to the lesser of 50% or our actual historical volatility.

Using the methodology prescribed in Emerging Issues Task Force (EITF) 00-19, Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed To, and Potentially Settled In a Company s Own Stock, we recorded a current liability for the fair value of the cash redemption feature of the warrants. The valuation of the warrants and the corresponding liability is re-measured quarterly, in accordance with the terms of the warrant, until the warrants are exercised or expire.

#### NANOGEN, INC.

### NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

The decrease in the market price of our common stock and other changes in the variables used in our warrant valuation methodologies during 2007 resulted in an \$11.3 million decrease in the value of the warrants and conversion rights. We reported \$11.3 million, \$75,000 and \$1.0 million in income as a warrant and conversion right valuation adjustment (Note 5) in our statement of operations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

### Research and Development

Cost incurred in research and development activities are expensed as incurred.

### Revenue Recognition

We generate revenue through our product sales, license and royalty fees, and contracts and grants with third parties. We recognize revenue only after all of the following criteria are met: i) there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, ii) delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, iii) the price is fixed and determinable, iv) collectibility is reasonably assured, and v) both the title and the risks and rewards of ownership are transferred to an unrelated third party. In addition, we apply the prescribed methodology in EITF Issue No. 00-21, *Accounting for Revenue Arrangements with Multiple Deliverables*, (EITF 00-21) to evaluate our revenue arrangements to determine if it involves more than one deliverable and, if so, how the arrangement s consideration should be measured and allocated to revenue.

### Product sales

We sell our commercial products under various sales programs directly to end users and real-time diagnostic tests through various distribution channels. Our product sales include our molecular testing platforms and related consumables, Analyte-Specific Reagents ( ASRs ), real time polymerase chain reaction ( PCR ) reagent products and point-of-care diagnostic tests.

We sell molecular testing platforms as either (i) a direct sale or (ii) under a reagent rental arrangement.

### (i) Direct sales

We recognize revenue from the direct sale of molecular testing platforms to end users or distributors after we receive a purchase order, have shipped the instrument and title has passed to the customer (f.o.b. shipping point in the United States or Delivery Duty Paid at the customer s site in Europe) and collection is reasonably assured. In transactions where a right-of-return exists, revenue is deferred until acceptance has occurred and the period for the right-of-return has lapsed. The cost of product sales related to a sold instrument is recorded in the period in which the corresponding revenue is recognized.

#### (ii) Reagent rental arrangements

A reagent rental/cost per test arrangement occurs when we provide a customer a molecular testing platform in return for a contractual arrangement where the customer is required to purchase a minimum number of consumables, at set prices, within a certain time-frame. When a reagent rental arrangement is consummated, the value of the molecular testing platform is reclassified from inventory to fixed assets and the cost of the system is amortized to the cost of product sales over the period of the contractual arrangement. We recognize revenue when the consumables are shipped under the terms of the arrangement.

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

F-24

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

We provide product warranty coverage for our molecular testing platforms. The warranty periods are generally for one year for direct sales. Molecular testing platforms sold to distributors are sold without warranty coverage.

Revenue from real-time diagnostic tests, ASRs, real time PCR reagent products and point-of-care diagnostic tests is recognized when we receive a purchase order, have shipped the product and title has passed to the customer (f.o.b. shipping point in the United States or Delivery Duty Paid at the customer s site in Europe) and collection is reasonably assured. In transactions where a right-of-return exists, we defer our revenue recognition until the customer has accepted our product and the right-of-return period has lapsed.

## License and royalty fees

We apply the prescribed methodology in EITF 00-21 to evaluate our license and royalty fee contracts to determine if these contracts involve more than one identifiable deliverable. We then determine the fair value of each identified deliverable in the contract. Any cash payments received before the identified deliverable is provided to the licensee are recorded as deferred revenue. As each deliverable is provided to the licensee, we recognize the fair value of the deliverable as revenue. Often the useful life of the technology transferred is not explicitly written in the license and royalty fee contract and we are required to estimate the useful life of the technology transferred to ratably recognize revenue over this period. We believe that cash payment streams are one of the primary indicators of our customer s perceived useful life of the technology transferred; therefore, we recognize revenue during this period of time unless there are other contrary indicators in the license and royalty contract. In addition, as they are determinable under contract we recognize minimum payments on an accrual basis.

Royalty payments that are based on product sales by the licensees are generally not determinable until the licensee has completed their internal computations of the royalties due and/or remitted their cash payment. Therefore, we will recognize revenue tied to third party sales on an accrual basis if information is available to enable us to accurately estimate the royalty due to us. In certain situations we may not be able to receive information on licensee product sales on a timely basis that will allow us to reasonably estimate the amount of royalty revenue to be recognized in the quarter the third party sales take place. We will not recognize this royalty revenue until we are able to ensure that we have reliable information, which maybe in a subsequent period. Therefore, we could experience fluctuations in revenues from quarter to quarter depending on the timing of the receipt of third party sales reports or cash payments.

#### Contract and grant revenue

We earn revenue for performing tasks under research agreements with both private enterprises and governmental agencies. Contract and grant revenue is recorded as the costs and expenses to perform the research are incurred. Continuation of certain contracts and grants are dependent upon our achievement of specific contractual milestones. Milestone payments are recognized as revenue upon meeting the following criteria: i) we have achieved a specified milestone and have earned the milestone payment, ii) the milestone is substantive in nature and the achievement of the milestone was not reasonably assured at the inception of the agreement, iii) the fees are non-refundable, and iv) the collection of the payment is reasonably assured. In circumstances where funding is provided on a contractually scheduled basis, revenue is recorded ratably over the term of the arrangement. Any payments received in advance or prior to satisfying our revenue recognition criteria are recorded as deferred revenue in the balance sheet.

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### December 31, 2007

## Comprehensive Income (Loss)

The prescribed methodology in SFAS No. 130, *Reporting Comprehensive Income*, requires all components of comprehensive income (loss), including net income (loss), be reported in the financial statements in the period in which they are recognized. Comprehensive income (loss) is defined as the change in equity of a business enterprise during a period from transactions and other events and circumstances from non-owner sources, including foreign currency translation adjustments and unrealized gains and losses on marketable securities. We present other comprehensive income (loss) in our consolidated statements of stockholders equity.

#### Net Loss Per Share

We used the prescribed methodology in SFAS No. 128, *Earnings Per Share*, to compute our net income (loss) per share. Basic per share data is computed by dividing income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted per share data is computed by dividing net income (loss) available to the common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period increased to include, if dilutive, the number of additional common share equivalents that would have been outstanding if potential common shares had been issued using the treasury stock method. The weighted average common number of shares outstanding during the period excludes stock options and restricted stock.

Due to our net losses, we have excluded all potentially dilutive securities from the calculation of diluted loss per share attributable to common stockholders during the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, as their effect would be anti-dilutive. The number of potentially dilutive stock options, restricted stock and warrants that have been excluded from the computation of diluted net loss per share are as follows:

	Years 1	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006	2005	
Stock options and restricted stock	10,730,043	9,388,980	7,229,499	
Warrants outstanding	20,456,199	2,157,042	2,472,905	
	31,186,242	11,546,022	9,702,404	

#### **Derivative Financial Instruments**

Convertible debt issued in August 2007 has been accounted for in accordance with SFAS 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (SFAS 133) and the EITF No. 00-19, *Accounting for Derivative Financial Instruments Indexed to, and Potentially Settled in a Company s Own Stock*, (EITF 00-19).

For derivative instruments that are required to be accounted for as liabilities, the instrument would be initially recorded at its fair value and then at each reporting date, the change in fair value would be recorded in the statement of operations.

If the embedded derivative instrument is to be bifurcated and accounted for as a liability, the proceeds from an issuance will be first allocated to the fair value of the bifurcated derivative instruments. If there are also options or warrants that are required to be recorded as a liability, the proceeds are next allocated to the fair value of those instruments. The remaining proceeds, if any, are allocated to the convertible instrument itself, usually resulting in that instrument being recorded at a discount from the face amount.

Table of Contents 74

F-26

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

# Adoption of SFAS 123(R), Share-Based Payment

Prior to January 1, 2006, we accounted for stock awards under the intrinsic value method, which followed the recognition and measurement principles of Accounting Principles Board (APB) Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB 25), and its related Interpretations, as permitted by FASB No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (SFAS 123). The intrinsic value method of accounting resulted in compensation expense for restricted stock and restricted stock unit issuances to employees at their estimated fair value on the date of grant based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of our common stock. The intrinsic value method resulted in compensation expense for stock options issued to employees to the extent the option s exercise price was set below the market price on the date of grant. Also, to the extent stock awards were subject to an exchange offer, other modifications, or performance criteria, such awards were subject to variable accounting treatment. To the extent stock awards were forfeited prior to vesting, the corresponding previously recognized expense was reversed as an offset to operating expenses. In addition, prior to our adoption of SFAS 123R, we did not record any compensation expense associated with our Employee Share Purchase Plan (ESPP).

As of January 1, 2006, we adopted SFAS 123R, Share-based Payment (SFAS 123R) using the modified prospective method of recognition of compensation expense related to share-based payments. Our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2006 reflects the impact of adopting SFAS 123R. In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 has not been restated to reflect, and does not include, the impact of SFAS 123R.

Under SFAS 123R, we are required to measure the compensation cost for all stock awards at fair value on the date of grant and recognize the associated compensation expense over the service period for the awards that are expected to vest. The fair value of restricted stock and restricted stock unit grants are determined on the date of grant, based on the number of shares granted and the quoted price of our common stock. To determine the fair value of stock option awards SFAS 123R requires companies to use an option-pricing model. We determined the fair value of our stock option grants using the Black-Scholes valuation model, which is consistent with the valuation techniques utilized for our stock option footnote disclosures required under SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, \*Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation Transition and Disclosure\*\* ( SFAS 148 ). The associated fair value of the awards is recognized as an expense over the service period, net of estimated forfeitures. The estimation of stock awards that will ultimately vest requires significant judgment, and to the extent actual results or updated estimates differ from our current estimates, such amounts will be recorded as a cumulative adjustment in the period the estimates are revised. When estimating expected forfeitures we consider the type of awards and our historical experience. Actual results, and future changes in estimates, may differ substantially from our current estimates. In addition, we are required to calculate, as of the date of grant, the fair value of the ESPP shares issued to our employees and record this cost as compensation expense over the vesting period.

On March 29, 2005, the SEC published Staff Accounting Bulletin (SAB) No. 107 (SAB 107), which provided the Staff s views on a variety of matters relating to stock-based payments. SAB 107 requires stock-based compensation expense to be classified in the same expense line items as the employee s cash compensation.

Pro Forma Information under SFAS No. 123 before January 1, 2006

In accordance with the modified prospective transition method, our consolidated statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2005 has not been restated to reflect, and does not include, the impact of SFAS No. 123(R). We used the intrinsic value-based method as prescribed by Accounting Principles Board ( APB )

F-27

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## December 31, 2007

Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees, and related interpretations that includes FASB Interpretation No. 44, Accounting for Certain Transactions involving Stock Compensation an interpretation of APB Opinion No. 25, (collectively APB 25) to account for our stock option plans. Using the intrinsic value methodology, no compensation expense is recorded if the exercise price of the stock option equals the market price on the date of grant. If the exercise price of the stock option grant is below the market price on the date of grant, the difference between the market price and exercise price is recorded as a compensation expense on a straight-line basis over the stock option s vesting period. We use the prescribed methodology in SFAS No. 123, as amended by SFAS No. 148, to account for our employee stock-based compensation plans. As permitted by SFAS 123, we have elected to continue to apply the intrinsic value-based method of APB 25 while adopting the disclosure requirements of SFAS 123 and SFAS 148.

The weighted average estimated fair values of stock options granted and stock issued under the employee stock purchase plan during the year ended December 31, 2005 was \$2.57 per share. To determine the fair value of the stock options we granted to our employees we used the following assumptions as inputs into the Black-Scholes option-pricing model:

	Stock Options For the year ended December 31, 2005
Expected term	5 years
Interest rate	4.5%
Volatility	59%
Dividend yield	0%  Employee Stock Purchase Plan
	For the year ended
Expected term	For the year ended December 31,
Expected term Interest rate	For the year ended December 31, 2005
•	For the year ended December 31, 2005 6 months

F-28

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

Had we elected to record compensation expense for option grants as prescribed by SFAS 123 in 2005 our pro forma net loss, and pro forma loss per share would have been as follows:

For the year ended

	December 31, 2005 (In thousands, except per share data)		
Net loss:			
As reported (as restated)	\$	(104,779)	
Add: Stock based employee compensation expense included in reported net income (loss),			
net of related tax effects		376	
Deduct: Total stock based employee compensation expense determined under			
Black-Scholes method for all awards, net of related tax effects		(5,376)	
Pro forma net loss	\$	(109,779)	
Basic and diluted loss per common share:			
As reported	\$	(2.11)	
Pro forma	\$	(2.21)	

Periodically, we issue options to non-employees. The options are recorded at their fair values (using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model) as determined in accordance with SFAS 123 and periodically re-measured as prescribed by EITF 96-18, *Accounting for Equity Instruments That Are Issued To Other Than Employees for Acquiring, or in Conjunction with Selling, Goods, or Services*, and are recognized over the related service period.

## Adoption of FIN No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109

In July 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109, (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity s financial statements in accordance with FASB Statement No. 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributes for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. Under FIN 48, the impact of an uncertain income tax position on the income tax return must be recognized at the largest amount that is more-likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. There are no unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption. As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, the Company recognized no decrease in deferred tax assets or in the valuation allowance. There are no unrecognized tax benefits included in the balance sheet that would, if recognized, affect the effective tax rate.

## Warranty

All of our products are sold without a warranty, with the exception of our micro array instrumentation platforms. Historically, the micro array instrumentation platforms warranty period was generally for one year

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

F-29

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

from the date sold to an end customer. We have discontinued this product line as of December 2007, and no new warranties are being offered. In addition, micro array instrumentation platforms sold to distributors are typically sold without warranty coverage. We estimated our warranty obligations by analyzing our historical warranty costs. Based upon our estimation process no significant warranty obligations have been recorded.

## Foreign Currency

The functional currency for our Canadian subsidiary is the U.S. dollar. The functional currency for our Italian subsidiary and the variable interest entity we consolidated from July 2005 to July 2007 is the Euro. Their accounts are translated from the Euro to the U.S. dollar using the current exchange rate in effect at the balance sheet date for balance sheet accounts, and using the average exchange rate during the period for revenues and expense accounts. The effects of translation are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income in the consolidated financial statements included herein. In certain instances, our subsidiaries conduct business with customers and vendors in Euros or in other local European currencies. Exchange gains and losses arising from these transactions are recorded using the actual exchange rate differences between the date of the transaction and the date of settlement.

# Segments of a Business Enterprise

The Company operates in one reportable operating segment, in vitro diagnostics. SFAS No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, (SFAS 131), establishes standards for the way public business enterprises report information about operating segments in annual consolidated financial statements and requires that those enterprises report selected information about operating segments in interim financial reports. SFAS 131 also establishes standards for related disclosures about products and services, geographic areas and major customers. Although the Company had three operating segments at December 31, 2007, under the aggregation criteria set forth in SFAS 131, the Company operates in only one reportable operating segment, in vitro diagnostics.

Under SFAS 131, two or more operating segments may be aggregated into a single operating segment for financial reporting purposes if aggregation is consistent with the objective and basic principles of SFAS 131, if the segments have similar economic characteristics, and if the segments are similar in each of the following areas:

the nature of products and services;
the nature of the production processes;
the type or class of customer for their products and services; and

the methods used to distribute their products or provide their services.

Because the Company meets each of the criteria set forth in SFAS 131 and the three operating segments as of December 31, 2007 share similar economic characteristics, the Company aggregates its results of operations into one reportable operating segment.

Recent Accounting Pronouncements

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements, (SFAS 157). This statement clarifies the definition of fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value, and expands the

F-30

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## December 31, 2007

disclosures on fair value measurements. SFAS 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. We have not determined the effect, if any, the adoption of this statement will have on our consolidated results of operations or financial position.

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities.* SFAS 159 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007. SFAS 159 allows entities to choose, at specified election dates, to measure eligible financial assets and liabilities at fair value that are not otherwise required to be measured at fair value. If a company elects the fair value option for an eligible item, changes in that item s fair value in subsequent reporting periods must be recognized in current earnings. SFAS 159 also establishes presentation and disclosure requirements designed to draw comparison between entities that elect different measurement attributes for similar assets and liabilities. Management is currently evaluating the effect, that SFAS 159, if adopted, will have on the Company s consolidated results of operations and financial position.

#### 4. Financial Statement Details

## Short-term Investments

Short-term investments consisted of the following as of December 31 (in thousands):

	Amortized Cost	Unrealized Gain	Unrealized Loss	Market Value
2007				
Auction rate securities	\$ 1,450			\$ 1,450
	\$ 1,450	\$	\$	\$ 1,450
2006				
Corporate debt securities	\$ 7,823	\$	\$ (1)	\$ 7,822
Euro dollar bonds	2,500			2,500
Auction rate securities	2,100			2,100
Certificate of deposit	1,501			1,501
	\$ 13,924	\$	\$ (1)	\$ 13,923

# NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## December 31, 2007

The following tables show the gross unrealized losses and fair values of our investments in individual securities that have been in an unrealized loss position believed to be temporary, aggregated by investment category, at December 31, 2007 and 2006 (in thousands):

	Less than 12 months temporary impairment			
	Number of Investments	Market Value	_	ealized Joss
2007				
Auction rate securities	4	\$ 1,450		
		\$ 1,450	\$	
2006				
Corporate debt securities	8	\$ 7,822	\$	(1)
Euro dollar bonds	3	2,500		
Auction rate securities	2	2,100		
Certificate of deposit	2	1,501		
		\$ 13,923	\$	(1)

As of December 31, 2007 we had approximately \$1.4 million invested in auction rate securities. Auction rate securities represent debt instruments with long term nominal maturity on which monthly auctions provide interest rate resets and liquidity. Subsequent to December 31, 2007, we liquidated approximately \$1 million of these instruments for their December 31, 2007 carrying values. We have one remaining instrument for \$400,000. There was a successful auction on this security in January 2008, but subsequent auctions have failed. As a result, we may need to record an impairment on this security in the first quarter of 2008. Future ability to use these funds is uncertain.

The entire balance of available for sale securities matures in one year or less, or in the case of auction rate securities, reset in auction in one year or less.

We had no net realized losses from the sale of securities for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

# Receivables

Receivables are comprised of the following (in thousands) as of:

	Decem	ıber 31,
	2007	2006
Product	\$ 11,996	\$ 10,240
License fees	1,100	1,369
Contract and grant	1,993	142
	15,089	11,751
Allowance for doubtful accounts	(268)	(183)

\$ 14,821 \$ 11,568

F-32

# NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# December 31, 2007

# **Inventories**

Inventories consist of the following (in thousands) as of:

	Decemb	ber 31,
	2007	2006
Raw materials	\$ 6,084	\$ 5,190
Work in process	529	2,308
Finished goods	4,813	5,061
	11,426	12,559
Reserve for excess and obsolescence	(9,159)	(4,868)
	\$ 2,267	\$ 7,691

# Property and Equipment

Property and equipment consist of the following (in thousands) as of:

	Estimated Useful Life		
	(in-years)	Decem 2007	ber 31, 2006
Scientific equipment	5	\$ 10,701	\$ 11,061
Office furniture and equipment	3-5	5,126	4,697
Manufacturing equipment	5	6,440	4,755
Leasehold improvements	(lesser of lease term or life of		
	improvements)	7,744	7,365
		30,011	27,878
Less accumulated depreciation and amortization		(23,349)	(18,490)
		\$ 6,662	\$ 9,388

For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006, and 2005, depreciation expense related to property and equipment totaled \$3.7 million, \$3.4 million, and \$2.7 million, respectively.

# NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# December 31, 2007

# Acquired Technology Rights

Acquired technology rights consist of the following (in thousands) as of:

	December 31, 2007			]	06				
		Gross			Net	Gross			Net
	Life	Carrying Amount		ccumulated mortization	Carrying Amount	Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization		rrying mount
Intangible assets subject to amortization:									
Trade names and other intangible assets	1-8 years	\$ 559	\$	(132)	\$ 427	\$ 521	\$ (69)	\$	452
In-licensed technology rights	3-10 years					6,069	(5,650)		419
Customer contracts acquired	7 years	4,539		(1,786)	2,753	4,181	(844)		3,337
Completed technology acquired	3-10 years	17,619		(6,188)	11,431	17,039	(3,647)		13,392
Intangible assets subject to amortization:		\$ 22,717	\$	(8,106)	\$ 14,611	\$ 27,810	\$ (10,210)	\$	17,600
Intangible assets not subject to amortization:									
Trademarks & trade names		294			294	294			294
Total acquired technology rights		\$ 23,011	\$	(8,106)	\$ 14,905	\$ 28,104	\$ (10,210)	\$	17,894

The amortization expense of intangibles assets for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$3.4 million, \$3.4 million and \$2.1 million, respectively. In the year ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we recognized \$279,000, \$29,000 and \$167,000, respectively, of impairment charges related to our inability to utilize certain in-licensed technology rights.

Estimated amortization of intangibles (in thousands) for the years ended December 31:

2008	\$ 3,240
2009	3,187
2010	2,749
2011	2,588
2012	1,101 1,746
Thereafter	1,746
	\$ 14,611

## Accounts Payable and Accrued Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands) as of:

December 31,

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

	2007	2006
Accounts payable	\$ 4,725	\$ 3,454
Accrued compensation and benefits	3,222	2,943
Micro array closure	1,345	
Other	6,308	6,998
	\$ 15,600	\$ 13,395

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

## Other Long-Term Liabilities

Other long-term liabilities are comprised of the following (in thousands) as of:

	Decen	ıber 31,
	2007	2006
Jurilab s long-term liabilities	\$	\$ 922
Deferred rent	1,906	777
Other	872	605
	\$ 2.778	\$ 2,304

## Long-Term Debt

Convertible subordinated notes payable

The convertible debt, detachable warrant and conversion rights liabilities are as follows (in thousands):

	ember 31, 2007	December 31 2006
Current Liabilities:		
Detachable warrants	\$ 1,708	\$
Conversion feature related to convertible debt	\$ 664	\$
Long-term Liabilities:		
Convertible subordinated notes payable in August 2010 at interest rate of 6.25%, net		
of discount	\$ 7,824	\$

In August 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement for the sale and issuance of \$20 million in aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior convertible notes (Notes) which are convertible initially into an aggregate of up to 15,748,030 shares of our common stock. In addition, upon conversion we are required to issue an additional number of shares representing present value of future interest. The Notes bear interest at 6.25% per annum and interest is accrued and payable on a quarterly basis. Any portion of the Notes and all accrued but unpaid interest which is not converted are repayable in cash in August 2010. The notes may be converted into common stock at a stated rate of \$1.27 per share. Upon conversion, whether at our election or the debt holders election, we are also required to pay the present value of the future interest payments that would have been made if the conversion had not occurred (Make Whole Payments). These Make Whole Payments must be paid in common stock at a rate of \$1.27 per share. This agreement also includes warrants to purchase up to 17,322,833 shares of our common stock at an initial exercise price of \$1.14 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$18.5 million, of which \$7.3 million has been restricted until our stock price reaches \$1.52 per share and remains at that level or higher for 20 out of 30 consecutive days, from the sale of the Notes and warrants after deducting the placement agent fees and estimated offering expenses of \$1.5 million.

The holders of the debt have full-ratchet anti-dilution protection for eighteen months from the date of funding and weighted average anti-dilution thereafter. Any adjustment was initially subject to a conversion price floor of \$1.2675 per share and an exercise price floor of \$1.13 exercise price per share for warrants. We received stockholder approval to remove these price floors in February 2008, which resulted in the removal of certain financing restrictions placed on us.

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

If, at any time after the twenty four-month anniversary of the issuance date of the Notes, the last closing sale price of our common stock exceeds \$2.22 for any twenty out of thirty consecutive trading days, we have the

F-35

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

right, subject to compliance with certain conditions, to require the holders of the Notes to convert all, or any portion of the conversion amount of the Notes, into shares of our common stock at the then applicable conversion price, subject to certain limitations on beneficial ownership.

The maturity date of the Notes is August 27, 2010, subject to extension for an additional two-year period with respect to any amounts not converted as of the initial maturity date due to limitations on beneficial ownership. The Notes are not secured by any of our assets or assets of our subsidiaries, except that we have agreed to obtain a \$7.3 million letter of credit. We have deposited \$7.3 million in a trust as collateral on this letter of credit which we classified as restricted cash on our balance sheet as of December 31, 2007.

The Notes contain customary events of default provisions, including without limitation related to failure to pay principal or interest when due, suspension from trading, failure to cure conversion failures or maintain sufficient shares of common stock available for conversion, breaches of covenants, breaches of material representations, failure to repay certain indebtedness exceeding \$250,000, the occurrence of bankruptcy or similar events, defaults under our agreements, and the rendering of a final judgment in excess of \$500,000 not covered by insurance. From and during the occurrence of an event of default (as defined in the Notes), the interest rate under the Notes will increase to 12.0% per annum.

The Notes contain provisions, that in connection with a change of control transaction, the holders of the Notes will have the right to require us to redeem all of their Notes at a redemption price in cash.

We have agreed, for so long as any Notes or related warrants remain outstanding, that we will not sell, subject to certain exceptions, securities with a conversion or exercise price that varies from the market price of our common stock. In addition, we have agreed that we will not incur additional indebtedness other than in connection with existing indebtedness or other permitted indebtedness, grant liens on our assets other than certain ordinary permitted liens, or make distributions on or repurchase shares of our common stock.

We evaluated the Notes and detachable warrants to determine if these contracts or embedded components of those contracts qualify as derivatives to be separately accounted for under SFAS 133, and related interpretations including EITF 00-19. As a result of this evaluation, we have identified the detachable warrants and the conversion rights as derivative financial instruments that are required to be recorded as liabilities.

We have allocated the proceeds received from the Notes between the underlying debt instruments, conversion rights, and the detachable warrants. At inception, the fair value of the detachable warrants of \$6.4 million and the conversion rights of \$6.6 million were separated from the host debt contract and recorded as derivative liabilities which resulted in a reduction of the initial carrying amount of the Notes. The discount, equivalent to the initial value of the warrants and conversion rights of \$13.0 million will be accreted over the term of the Notes using the effective interest method. Through December 31, 2007, we have recorded \$891,000 non-cash interest expense relating to the accretion of this discount.

The fair value of the warrants and the conversion rights are marked-to-market each balance sheet date and recorded as a liability. The change in fair value is recorded in the statement of operations as Change in fair value of the detachable warrants and conversion rights. Upon conversion or exercise of a derivative instrument, the instrument is marked to fair value at the conversion date and then that fair value is reclassified to equity.

The fair value of these warrants and conversion rights are primarily affected by our stock price, but are also affected by our stock price volatility, expected life and interest rates. We recorded approximately \$11.3 million

F-36

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

of other income in 2007 related to the change in the fair value of the warrants and the conversion feature. This change in fair value was primarily driven by the decrease in our stock price from the closing of the transaction in August 2007 to the end of the year. Assuming our stock volatility, expected life and the interest rates remain constant, the fair value of the warrants would increase and we would recognize a charge to earnings if the price of our stock increases. If the price of our stock decreases and our stock price volatility expected life and the risk-free interest rates remain constant, the fair value of the warrants and conversion rights will decrease and we will recognize additional income.

As of December 31, 2007, we recorded \$538,000 in interest expense relating to the 6.25% coupon rate and the amortization of the financing costs and \$891,000 relating to the amortization of discounts. The financing costs of \$1.5 million are being amortized into interest expense over the term of the debt or 3 years. Amortization of the financing costs recorded through December 31, 2007 totaled \$113,000.

#### 5. Business Combinations and Divestitures

## Exit of the Micro Array Business

In November 2007, we announced that we were exiting the micro array business, which includes the NanoChip instrument system and related multiplexed reagents and consumables. The exit of the micro array business does not affect the real-time PCR products or Point of Care rapid testing solutions offered. The exit of the micro array business began in November 2007 and is expected to be completed during 2008.

Below is a summary of the micro array related assets identified and the impairment recorded as of December 31, 2007 (in thousands):

	Impairment
	Recorded
Inventory	\$ (5,763)
Fixed assets	(1,810)
Acquired technology rights	(279)
Total	\$ (7,852)

The inventory impairment is included in our cost of product sales. The fixed asset impairments were allocated to operating expenses in the category for which the asset was intended for use. As a result, the fixed asset impairments were allocated as follows: \$1.2 million to research and development expense; \$0.2 million to selling, general and administrative expense, and \$0.4 million to cost of sales. The acquired technology rights were expensed to selling, general and administrative costs.

The total impact of the exit of this business in the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$9.7 million, including the \$7.9 million impairment noted above. As of December 31, 2007, we have incurred expenses of \$1.8 million for severance and other costs related to the micro array closure, of which \$487,000 of severance costs were paid as of December 31, 2007.

The total expected expense to be incurred in 2008 related to the micro array closure is \$162,000.

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

There were no business combinations during the year ended December 31, 2007; however, we completed the following acquisitions during the year ended December 31, 2006 that were accounted for under the purchase method of accounting:

## Spectral Diagnostics Inc.

On February 6, 2006, we completed the acquisition of the rapid cardiac immunoassay test business from Spectral Diagnostics Inc. (Spectral) for approximately \$4.8 million in cash and 975,193 shares of our common stock with a fair value of approximately \$2.9 million. Based in Toronto, Canada, the rapid cardiac immunoassay test business includes a portfolio of point-of-care tests such as the Cardiac STATus® and Decision Point product lines, the i-Lynx reader, related intellectual property and manufacturing capabilities. This acquisition provided us a fully integrated point-of-care group with resources and capabilities in manufacturing, and sales and marketing with a worldwide distribution network to compete in the point-of-care market. These factors were among those that contributed to a purchase price resulting in the allocation of \$1.4 million in goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired, and is not deductible for tax purposes.

To determine the value of the 975,193 shares of common stock provided to Spectral, we used the prescribed methodology in EITF 99-12, *Determination of the Measurement Date for the Market Price of Acquirer Securities Issued in a Purchase Business Combination.* We used the quoted market price a few days before and after the number of shares to be exchanged in the acquisition was agreed to and announced (February 6, 2006). Therefore, we valued these shares at \$2.98 per common share. In addition, because we were unable to register these issued shares with the Securities and Exchange Commission within fifteen days of the closing of the acquisition, we triggered a cash settlement provision in the purchase agreement. Therefore, we were required to provide Spectral a cash settlement for the difference between their realized sales price of the common stock above \$2.26 and below \$3.01 per share. On April 3, 2006, Spectral sold our common stock at an average price of \$2.80 per share and under the cash settlement provision we were required to pay them \$210,000 in cash which was offset by certain agreed upon closing settlements. The results of operations of Spectral have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition on February 6, 2006. The purchase consideration was as follows (in thousands):

Nanogen common stock exchanged	\$ 2,906
Cash payment	4,755
Direct transaction costs	1,230
Total purchase price	\$ 8,891

F-38

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

The allocation of the above purchase price was as follows (in thousands):

Accounts Receivable	\$ 230
Inventory	1,416
Fixed assets	596
Tangible assets acquired	2,242
Intangible assets	
Completed technology	4,143
Distributor relationships	810
Trade name	270
Backlog	24
Goodwill	1,402
Total assets acquired	8,891
Liabilities assumed	
Net assets acquired	\$ 8,891

We used valuation techniques comparable with others in the high technology industry. We evaluated the technology acquired from Spectral in accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 4, *Applicability of FASB Statement No. 2 to Business Combinations Accounted for by the Purchase Method*, (FIN 4) and determined there was no in-process research and development (IPR&D).

# Amplimedical, S.p.A.

On May 1, 2006, we completed the acquisition of the diagnostics division of Amplimedical S.p.A. (Amplimedical), which is a manufacturer and distributor of molecular diagnostic products based in Italy, for \$9.9 million, consisting of approximately \$2.1 million for the issuance of a letter of credit, securitized by restricted cash, approximately \$6.9 million in a promissory note issued by us, and approximately \$0.9 million in transaction costs. The promissory note was convertible into shares of our common stock. On June 30, 2006, we paid the promissory note in full by issuing Amplimedical 2,886,935 shares of our common stock at a conversion price of \$2.63 per share and incurred no interest charges. Based in Italy, Amplimedical has been active in the European and other markets since the early 1990s with its molecular diagnostic reagents. Nanogen and Amplimedical have shared a business relationship for approximately five years, during which time Amplimedical has been a distributor of Nanogen s NanoChip Molecular Biology Workstation and NanoChip 400 instrument systems in Italy. We believe this acquisition will allow our molecular diagnostics business to further expand in Europe by providing additional resources and scale. These factors were among those that contributed to a purchase price resulting in the preliminary allocation of \$0.7 million in goodwill. Goodwill represents the excess purchase price over the fair value of the net tangible and intangible assets acquired.

The results of operations of Amplimedical have been included in the accompanying consolidated financial statements from the date of acquisition on May 1, 2006. The purchase price of the acquisition has been recorded as follows (in thousands):

Promissory note (converted to Nanogen common stock effective June 30, 2006)	\$ 6,939
Cash due in 2007	2,061
Direct transaction costs	945

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

Total purchase price \$9,945

F-39

# NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## December 31, 2007

The allocation of the above purchase price is as follows (in thousands):

Cash	\$ 63
Inventory	1,441
Fixed assets	2,718
Tangible assets acquired	4,222
Intangible assets:	
Completed technology	3,374
Distributor and customer relationships	2,161
Trade name	354
Goodwill	722
Total assets acquired	10,833
Liabilities assumed	(888)
Net assets acquired	\$ 9,945

We used valuation techniques comparable with others in the high technology industry. We evaluated the technology acquired from Amplimedical in accordance with FIN 4 and determined there was no IPR&D.

# Pro Forma Information

The results of operations of Spectral and Amplimedical have been included in our consolidated statements of operations since the completion of the acquisitions on February 6, 2006 and May 1, 2006, respectively. The following unaudited pro forma information presents a summary of the results of our operations assuming the acquisitions of Spectral and Amplimedical occurred on January 1, 2005 (in thousands, except per share data):

	For the year	For the year ended	
	December 31, (	December 31, (unaudited)	
	2006	2005	
Revenues	\$ 30,316	\$ 27,394	
Net loss	(47,113)	(108,688)	
Loss per share (basic and diluted)	\$ (0.75)	\$ (2.19)	

# 6. Commitments and Contingencies

# **Assigned Royalty Interests**

In September 2006, we entered into an agreement to assign certain rights (the Assignment Agreement ) associated with our Applied Biosystems, Inc. (Applied Biosystems ) royalty agreement from the period of July 2006 through December 2011 to Drug Royalty Trust (DRT) for an upfront payment of \$20.0 million. Under the agreement, we have guaranteed minimum royalty payments from Applied Biosystems to DRT. If the royalty payments fall below certain minimums in a given fiscal year, we are required to pay cash to DRT for the difference between the actual royalty payments from Applied Biosystems and the minimums. In addition, if royalty payments from Applied Biosystems are above certain

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

thresholds for a given calendar year, we will receive, in cash, a certain percentage of the amount above the threshold.

We have accounted for this transaction as secured debt because the ownership of the underlying asset (the Patent) has not been transferred or sold and if ABI terminated the license agreement, we would still be obligated

F-40

# NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## December 31, 2007

to make the minimum payments to DRT in accordance with the Assignment Agreement. We recorded a liability for the \$20 million of cash received upon the inception of the Assignment Agreement. We allocate payments made to DRT between interest and principal reduction using the effective interest rate based upon the total minimum payments due to DRT over the term of the Assignment Agreement.

The table below illustrates each fiscal year s minimum undiscounted payment to DRT guaranteed by us:

	Minimum payment
Calendar year ending	(in thousands)
2008	\$ 4,820
2009	5,200
2010	5,408
2011	5,375
2012	1,137
Total	21,940
Less amount representing interest	(4,361)
Present value of future minimum obligations	17,579
Less amount due in one year	(2,868)
·	
Long term portion of obligation	\$ 14,711

The agreement with DRT was amended in March 2008. See Note 18.

#### Leases

We lease our facilities and certain equipment under operating lease agreements that expire at various dates through 2017.

At December 31, 2007, minimum annual obligations for operating leases were as follows (in thousands):

	perating Leases
2008	\$ 2,812
2009	2,883
2010	1,816
2011	1,337
2012	1,229
Thereafter	2,151
Total minimum lease payments	\$ 12,228

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

Rent expense was \$5.2 million, \$3.3 million and \$2.9 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. We record rent on a straight line basis on leases that have stated rental increases, and accordingly, as of December 31, 2007 and 2006 we had \$1.9 million and \$777,000, respectively, in deferred rent recorded as a long term liability in the balance sheet.

F-41

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

## **Debt Obligations**

In August 2007, we entered into a definitive agreement for the sale and issuance of \$20 million in aggregate principal amount of unsecured senior convertible notes (Notes) which are convertible initially into an aggregate of up to 15,748,030 shares of our common stock. In addition, upon conversion we are required to issue an additional number of shares representing present value of future interest. The Notes bear interest at 6.25% per annum and interest is accrued and payable on a quarterly basis. Any portion of the Notes and all accrued but unpaid interest which is not converted are repayable in cash in August 2010.

In December 2006, we obtained a revolving working capital debt facility for up to approximately \$5.2 million secured by our Italian accounts receivable. As of December 31, 2007, we had borrowed \$4.4 million under this agreement.

We have entered into various debt obligations to provide financing for equipment purchases. As of December 31, 2007, we had approximately \$1.4 million of outstanding obligation. There was no additional borrowing available under these agreements as of December 31, 2007. The interest rates on the outstanding notes range from 10.0% to 11.5% per annum with principal and interest due in monthly aggregated payments of approximately \$71,000 maturing in 1 to 3 years and are secured by the specific equipment being financed.

As of December 31, 2007, the future contractual principal payments of our debt obligations are as follows (in thousands):

	Oł	Debt oligations
2008	\$	4,927
2009		272
2010		20,064
Total minimum debt obligations payments		25,263
Less amount representing discount and interest		(12,256)
Carrying amount of future minimum debt obligations		13,007
Less amounts due in one year		(4,868)
Long term portion of debt obligations	\$	8,139

The interest expense for the debt obligations for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 was \$4.9 million, \$1.6 million and \$645,000, respectively.

## Litigation

We may be subject to potential liabilities under various claims and legal actions that may be asserted. These matters may arise in the ordinary course and conduct of our business, as well as through the disposition of product lines such as the micro array, acquisitions, and some may be covered, at least partly, by insurance. Claim estimates that are probable and can be reasonably estimated are reflected as liabilities and as of December 31, 2007, we have no significant accrual for any pending claims.

In the normal course of business we have been and may continue to be subject to litigation incidental to our business, such as claims related to customer disputes, employment practices, including layoffs, product liability, professional liability, warranty or patent infringement. Responding to litigation matters, regardless whether it has

F-42

## NANOGEN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

merit can be expensive and disruptive to normal business operations. As litigation is inherently uncertain, we cannot predict the outcome of such matters. We can provide no assurance that the ultimate outcome either individually or in the aggregate will not have an adverse effect on our business or financial results.

## 7. Related Party Transactions

## FasTraQ, Inc.

In June 2005, we signed a letter of agreement with FasTraQ, Inc. (FasTraQ) for the development of a certain future product. Our Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board, Mr. Birndorf, is a director and an investor in FasTraQ. In October and December 2005 we amended this letter of agreement. As a result of this agreement and related amendments, we made an initial non-refundable payment of \$500,000 in 2005 to begin the initial development of this product. As of December 31, 2005, we expensed the initial \$500,000. In February 2006, we converted this letter of agreement into two executed contracts, a Development and License Agreement and a Collaboration Agreement. In February 2006, we committed to provide FasTraQ up to an additional \$500,000 in funding based on certain milestones, of which \$200,000 was paid in 2006 and expensed into research and development. There were no additional funds provided to FasTraQ during 2007.

## Consulting Agreement with Board member

In October 2006, we signed a consulting agreement with Mr. Dreismann, one of our Board members, and the agreement was amended in November 2006, and again in September 2007. Mr. Dreismann received \$60,000 and \$20,000 in compensation under this agreement during 2007 and 2006, respectively. Total compensation under the agreement is capped at a maximum of \$5,000 per month.

# Fisher Development Agreement

On August 3, 2006, we entered into research and development collaboration arrangements with Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc., (Fisher) a related party, that owns approximately 5.7 million shares of our common stock, and Athena Diagnostic, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Fisher. We agreed to share certain technology and patent rights related to the development, manufacture and marketing of new molecular diagnostic products. On August 9, 2006, we entered into an exclusive distribution agreement with Fisher. There were approximately \$265,000 and \$42,000 of sales under this agreement in the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

In February 2008, we entered into a distribution and license agreement with Fisher under which we will provide certain distribution and technology access rights to Fisher. As part of the agreement, Fisher has agreed to fund a development program related to the development, manufacture and marketing of new molecular diagnostic products on a cost incurred based. Upon commercial launch of the new products, Fisher has agreed to certain minimum purchases over a six-year period.

## 8. Employee Benefit Plans

## **Equity Incentive Plans**

We have multiple stock option plans, including several option plans that were assumed through acquisitions. The stock option plans include:

Nanogen s 1993 Stock Option Plan, 1995 Stock Option/Stock Issuance Plan, and 1997 Stock Incentive Plan; SynX s 2001 Stock Option Plan; and

Epoch s 1991 Incentive Stock Option, Nonqualified Stock Option And Restricted Stock Purchase Plan, 1993 Incentive Stock Option,

Nonqualified Stock Option And Restricted Stock Purchase Plan, and 2003 Stock Incentive Plan. Of these plans, only two have

## NANOGEN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

shares available for future grants: Nanogen s 1997 Stock Incentive Plan ( 1997 plan ), and Epoch s 2003 Stock Incentive Plan ( 2003 plan ).

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, the cumulative amount of shares initially reserved, or subsequently approved by stockholders, for all option plans totaled approximately 20.7 and 16.1 million, respectively. Of this amount, outstanding stock options and restricted stock totaled approximately 8.5 million and 9.2 million, and approximately 5.2 million and 0.9 million shares were available for future grants as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

## Active Equity Incentive Plans (Containing Shares Available for Grant)

In August 1997, the Board of Directors adopted the 1997 Plan, under which, as amended, 16.2 million shares were reserved for issuance. Of this amount, outstanding stock options and restricted stock totaled approximately 6.8 million, and approximately 4.6 million shares were available for future grants as of December 31, 2007. As part of the acquisition of Epoch on December 16, 2004, we assumed Epoch s 2003 Plan. The 2003 Plan had approximately 2.0 million shares reserved for issuance. Of this amount, outstanding stock options totaled approximately 1.7 million and approximately 378,000 were available for future grants as of December 31, 2007. In addition, the 2003 Plan has an evergreen provision that provides for annual increases in the number of shares available for issuance annually on January 1. This increase is based on a percentage of fully diluted outstanding shares; however, it is limited to a maximum annual increase of approximately 350,475 shares. On January 1, 2007, based on Epoch s 2003 Plan s evergreen provision, an additional 350,475 options of our common stock became available for grant.

## Stock Option Grants (Excluding Performance Options)

Employees vest in stock option awards ratably over the service term, generally between two and four years. Outstanding stock options generally have a term of 10 years from the date of grant. The exercise price of the stock options granted under the plans have historically been issued at an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our stock on the date of grant. However, our 1997 Plan provides us the ability to issue certain stock options with an exercise price of greater or equal to 85% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of grant. Stock options expire after a period not to exceed ten years, except in the event of termination, whereupon vested shares must be exercised generally within 90 days under the 1997 Plan and within a time frame specified by the plan administrator (the plan administrator s policy is 90 days) under the Epoch plans, or upon death or disability, where an extended twelve-month exercise period is specified in the 1997 Plan. All of our issued stock options are exercisable only after they vest. The vesting period varies with the type of award.

Approximately 1,143,280 stock options were granted during the year ended December 31, 2007. The fair value for each stock option granted was estimated at the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, using the following assumptions which are based on type of option award and stratified by employee classification:

Vesting period	Expected life in years	Risk-Free Interest Rate	Volatility	Dividend Yield	Pre-vesting cancellation rate
Four-year vesting period with a one-year cliff, thereafter					
monthly vesting	5.2-5.7	4.36-5.08%	85.2%	0%	10.78%
Two-year vesting period with a six-month cliff, thereafter					
monthly vesting	5.0-5.8	4.36-5.08%	85.2%	0%	10.78%
Four-year vesting period with monthly vesting	5.8-6.7	4.36-5.08%	85.2% - 89.24%	0%	

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

Expected volatilities are based on the historical volatility of our common stock over the expected life of the grant. The expected life represents the weighted average period of time that grants are expected to be outstanding given the vesting schedules and historical exercise patterns. The risk-free rate is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant for periods corresponding with the expected life of the option. We do not anticipate paying any dividends in the foreseeable future therefore our dividend yield is zero. The pre-vesting cancellation rates are the percentage of forfeitures expected to occur before the awards vest.

The weighted average estimated fair value of stock options granted during the year ended December 31, 2007 was \$1.00. At December 31, 2007, total unrecognized estimated compensation cost related to non-vested stock options granted prior to that date was \$3.1 million, which is expected to be recognized over a weighted average period of 1.5 years. In the year ended December 31, 2007, \$200,000 in share-based compensation expense was capitalized as inventory overhead.

The following table summarizes stock option activity, in all plans, excluding performance options, through December 31, 2007:

	Number of Shares	Weighted Average Exercise Price Per Share		Weighted Average Remaining Contractual Term	Aggregate Intrinsic Value (\$000)
Outstanding at December 31, 2006	7,709,313	\$	5.00		
Granted	1,143,280	\$	1.34		
Exercised		\$			
Cancelled	(1,180,050)	\$	6.06		
Outstanding at December 31, 2007	7,672,543	\$	4.29	6.38	\$
Vested and Exercisable at December 31, 2007	6,056,490	\$	4.87	5.71	\$

In the year ended December 31, 2006, the total intrinsic value of the stock options exercised was \$128,000. In addition, we received \$72,000 in cash from our employees for the exercise of these stock options and we recorded no related tax benefits. There were no stock option exercises during 2007.

# Restricted Stock Units

On July 29, 2005, we granted 402,250 restricted stock units to certain employees under the 1997 plan at a fair value of \$4.40 per restricted stock unit. The restricted stock units had a two-year cliff vesting period and were converted into our common shares on July 29, 2007. In the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 this resulted in \$516,328 and \$874,000, respectively, in amortization of stock based compensation which is included in our loss from operations.

On December 12, 2006, we granted 300,000 restricted stock units to certain employees under the 1997 plan at a fair value of \$2.09 per restricted stock unit. The restricted stock units vest monthly through December 2008. In the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 this resulted in \$296,000 and \$16,000, respectively, in amortization of stock based compensation which is included in our loss from operations.

On August 2, 2007, we granted 82,500 restricted stock units at a fair value of \$1.33 per restricted stock unit. The restricted stock units have a two-year cliff vesting period and will become convertible into common shares on August 2, 2009. In the year ended December 31, 2007, this resulted in \$22,000 in amortization of stock-based compensation which is included in our loss from operations.

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had 217,500 and 686,750 non-vested restricted stock units outstanding with a weighted-average grant date fair value of \$1.61 and \$3.39, and an aggregated unrecognized compensation expense of \$362,000 and \$1.1 million, respectively.

#### **Performance Options**

In June 2007 and in December 2006, we issued 1,950,000 and 990,000 performance options with an exercise price of \$1.39 and \$2.09, respectively, to our executives and key members of management. These options vest when these individuals meet specific performance targets and align the interest of our employees with specific internal goals over a wide-range of the Company s operations. The June 2007 options vest over a four-year period if specific performance criteria are met and have a ten year contractual term. The December 2006 options vest based on achieving specific performance goals and have a ten year contractual term. We evaluated the probability of meeting the performance objectives in the June 2007 awards and recognized approximately \$27,000 through December 31, 2007 as compensation expense. We did not recognize any compensation expense associated with the December 2006 performance options, because we determined that it was unlikely, with our current resources, these individuals could meet their specific performance objectives within the vesting period. We evaluate the probability of each performance option vesting on a quarterly basis and, if required, begin expensing the fair value of the award.

The grant date fair value of each June 2007 and December 2006 option was \$1.02 and \$1.77, respectively. As of December 31, 2007, there was no intrinsic value of the options; however, there was an aggregate unrecognized compensation expense of \$2.0 million and \$1.8 million for the June 2007 and December 2006 grants, respectively.

# Employee Stock Purchase Plan

In 1997, the Board of Directors approved the Employee Stock Purchase Plan (ESPP), as amended, under which 1.1 million shares of common stock were authorized for issuance. The ESPP permits eligible employees to purchase shares of common stock, at semi-annual intervals, through periodic payroll deductions. Payroll deductions may not exceed 15% of the employee s base salary subject to certain limitations, and the purchase price will not be less than 85% of the lower of the fair value of the stock at either the beginning of the applicable offering period or the last day of the accumulation period. Each offering period is 24 months, with new offering periods commencing every six months, and an accumulation period is six months in duration. For the year ended December 31, 2007, we issued 146,436 shares under the ESPP plan and recognized approximately \$62,000 in stock-based compensation expense. At December 31, 2007, approximately 195,595 shares were reserved for future issuance.

## 401(k) Plan

We have a 401(k) defined contribution savings and retirement plan (the Plan ). The Plan is for the benefit of all qualifying employees and permits employees to make voluntary contributions up to a maximum of 20% of their base salary, subject to certain limitations. The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors ( Compensation Committee ) may, at its sole discretion, approve matching contributions. For the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, the Compensation Committee approved a match in the form of our common stock equal to 25% of employee contributions subject to a four year vesting period from the employee s date of hire. This resulted in approximately \$66,000, \$141,000, and \$209,000 in stock based compensation expense for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. On September 8, 2006, we issued 89,803 shares from our treasury stock and 3,124 shares from our 1997 Stock Incentive Plan as a result of this matching contribution.

F-46

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

## December 31, 2007

## Stock Bonus Plan

In 2002, the Board of Directors adopted, and the stockholders approved, a Stock Bonus Plan (the Bonus Plan ) under which 250,000 shares of common stock were authorized for issuance in the form of restricted shares as a portion of our annual bonuses to employees. The Board of Directors is required to approve all issued shares under the Bonus Plan. There were no shares earned under the Bonus Plan in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 or 2005. There are 178,390 shares available for grant as of December 31, 2007.

## Shares Reserved for Future Issuance

The following shares of common stock, including restricted stock are reserved for future issuance at December 31, 2007:

Conversion of debt	15,748,030
Warrants outstanding	20,456,199
Stock options outstanding	7,672,543
Performance options outstanding	2,940,000
Stock options available for grant	5,023,847
Restricted stock units	238,000
Stock bonus plan	178,390
Employee stock purchase plan	195,595
	52,452,604

Shares reserved for future issuances related to warrants outstanding include:

Description	Amount	Accounting Method	Antidilution Repricing	Expiration Date	Exer	cise Price
Joint venture agreement	323,850	Equity	No	6/08	\$	5.61
Private financing in 2003	424,243	Equity	No	9/08	\$	4.75
Private financing in 2005	1,020,628	Equity	No	9/10	\$	4.00
Assumed in acquisitions	381,312	Liability	Yes	2/09	\$	6.33
Equity financing in 2007	983,333	Liability	Yes	2/12	\$	1.14
Convertible debt financing in 2007	17,322,833	Liability	Yes	8/12	\$	1.14
	20 456 199					

We evaluated the application of SFAS 133 and EITF 00-19 for all of our warrants, and it was determined that certain of the warrants to purchase common stock issued by the company were derivatives that we are required to account for as free-standing derivative instruments under GAAP. According to the guidance in EITF 00-19, contracts requiring net-cash settlement are assets or liabilities and contracts that require settlement in shares are equity instruments. In addition, application of EITF 00-19 requires that all contracts be initially measured at fair value and subsequently accounted for based on the current classification and the assumed or required settlement method.

We have determined that of the 20,456,199 shares reserved for future issuances related to warrants outstanding, warrants for 18,687,478 shares contain a provision that would require net cash settlement in the event of a change of control. Accordingly, these shares were classified as

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

liabilities on our balance sheet and

F-47

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

valued at each fiscal period end date using the Black-Scholes or other appropriate valuation model. Additionally, some of our warrant agreements contain antidilution repricing provisions which require us to reprice these warrants if additional warrants are issued at lower exercise prices at later dates.

## 9. Stockholder Rights Plan

In 1998, the Board of Directors adopted a Stockholder Rights Plan which provides for a dividend of one Preferred Stock Purchase Right (Right) for each share of common stock to stockholders of record on November 30, 1998. Each Right will entitle stockholders to buy one one-thousandth of a share of Series A Participating Preferred Stock at an exercise price of \$50.00, subject to antidilution adjustments. The Rights will become exercisable only if a person or group becomes the beneficial owner of 15% or more of the common stock, or commences a tender or exchange offer which would result in the offer or beneficially owning 15% or more of common stock, which is not approved by our Board of Directors. The Board of Directors is entitled to redeem the Rights at \$0.01 per Right at any time prior to the public announcement of the existence of a 15% holder. If not earlier terminated or redeemed, the Rights will expire on November 17, 2008.

## 10. Treasury stock

In 2002, the Board of Directors authorized a limited stock repurchase program under which we may purchase up to an aggregate of 10% of our outstanding common stock from time to time. We may initiate treasury stock purchases during certain periods in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions and discontinue these purchases at any time.

In December 2005, we issued 18,456 shares of common stock to certain employees and withheld the fair value of 5,641 shares for the required payroll taxes in lieu of cash payments to us by the employees. We paid cash to the tax authorities and accounted for the withheld shares as treasury stock. On September 8, 2006, we issued 89,803 shares from our treasury stock and 3,124 shares from our 1997 Stock Incentive Plan as a result of a 401(k) matching contribution.

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, we held a total of 416,027 treasury shares at a cost of \$771,000.

# 11. Income Taxes

Income taxes are accounted for under the asset and liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recognized for the future tax consequences attributable to differences between the financial statement carrying amounts of existing assets and liabilities and their respective tax bases and operating loss and tax credit carryforwards. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are measured using enacted tax rates expected to apply to taxable income in the years in which those temporary differences are expected to be recovered or settled. The effect on deferred tax assets and liabilities of a change in tax rates is recognized in income in the period that includes the enactment date. The Company provides a valuation allowance against net deferred tax assets unless, based upon the available evidence, it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized.

On July 13, 2006, the FASB issued Financial Interpretation (FIN) 48, Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes An Interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109 (FIN 48). FIN 48 clarifies the accounting for uncertainty in income taxes recognized in an entity s financial statements in accordance with SFAS 109, Accounting for Income Taxes, and prescribes a recognition threshold and measurement attributes for financial statement disclosure of tax positions taken or expected to be taken on a tax return. Under FIN 48, the impact of

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# December 31, 2007

an uncertain income tax position on the income tax return must be recognized at the largest amount that is more- likely-than-not to be sustained upon audit by the relevant taxing authority. An uncertain income tax position will not be recognized if it has less than a 50% likelihood of being sustained. Additionally, FIN 48 provides guidance on derecognition, classification, interest and penalties, accounting in interim periods, disclosure and transition. FIN 48 is effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006.

The Company adopted the provisions of FIN 48 on January 1, 2007. There were no unrecognized tax benefits as of the date of adoption and there are no unrecognized tax benefits included in the balance sheet at December 31, 2007 that would, if recognized, affect the effective tax rate.

The Company s practice is to recognize interest and/or penalties related to income tax matters in income tax expense. The Company had \$0 accrued for interest and penalties on the Company s balance sheets at December 31, 2007 and 2006 and has recognized \$0 in interest and/or penalties in the statement of operations for the year ended December 31, 2007.

The Company is subject to taxation in the U.S. and various state and foreign jurisdictions. The Company s tax years from 1992 and forward are subject to examination by the Federal and California and various other taxing authorities due to the carryforward of unutilized net operating losses and research and development credits.

Although the Company has determined that an ownership change had not occurred through June 30, 2007 based on analysis performed during adoption of FIN 48, it is possible that an ownership change occurred subsequent to that date. Certain owners are not required to submit ownership change information with the Securities and Exchange Commission until mid-February 2008. The Company plans to update its Section 382 analysis based on this information for the limitation of the net operating loss and research and development credit carry forwards.

Until this analysis has been updated, the Company has removed the deferred tax assets for net operating losses of \$111.6 million and research and development credits of \$15.4 million generated through 2007 from its deferred tax asset schedule and have recorded a corresponding decrease to its valuation allowance. When this analysis is finalized, the Company plans to update its unrecognized tax benefits under FIN 48. Due to the existence of the valuation allowance, future changes in the Company s unrecognized tax benefits will not impact the Company s effective tax rate.

F-49

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

Significant components of the Company s deferred tax assets for federal and state income taxes at December 31, 2007 and 2006 are shown below. A valuation allowance has been established as realization of such deferred tax assets has not met the more likely than not threshold requirement under SFAS 109.

	Years Ended	Years Ended December 31,		
	2007	2006		
Deferred tax assets:				
Net operating loss carryforwards	\$ 10,678	\$ 113,370		
Research and development credits		13,329		
Capitalized research expenses	14,472	13,804		
Accrued expenses	484	485		
Basis difference in intangibles	1,666	1,056		
Basis difference in assets	3,272	2,600		
Other, net	16,210	6,748		
Total deferred tax assets	46,782	151,392		
Valuation allowance for deferred tax assets	(44,587)	(148,553)		
Net deferred tax assets	2,195	2,839		
Deferred tax liabilities:				
Basis difference in intangibles	(2,195)	(2,839)		
Net deferred tax assets	\$	\$		

We have established a valuation allowance against our deferred tax assets due to the uncertainty surrounding the realization of such assets. We periodically evaluate the recoverability of the deferred tax assets. At such time as it is determined that it is more likely than not that deferred tax assets are realizable, the valuation allowance will be reduced. We have recorded a valuation allowance of \$44.6 million as of December 31, 2007 to reflect the estimated amount of deferred tax assets that may not be realized. We decreased our valuation allowance by approximately \$103.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 due to the derecognition of deferred tax assets of an equal amount relating to NOL and credits on which we have not concluded the impact of any change in control.

As of December 31, 2007, we had federal, state and foreign net operating loss, or NOL, carryforwards of approximately \$300.3 million, \$165.2 million and \$33.3 million, respectively. If not utilized, the net operating loss carryforwards will continue expiring in 2008 for federal purposes, 2008 for state purposes, and 2008 for foreign purposes. As of December 31, 2007, we had both federal and state research and development tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$10.4 million and \$7.6 million, respectively. The federal tax credits will continue expiring in 2008 unless utilized and the state tax credits carryforward indefinitely.

## 12. Collaborative Alliances

## Hitachi, Ltd., Nissei Sangyo Co. Ltd. and Hitachi Instruments Service Co. Ltd.- Research agreement

In 2000, we executed a research agreement with Hitachi, Ltd., Nissei Sangyo Co. Ltd. and Hitachi Instruments Service Co. Ltd. of Japan (collectively, Hitachi) to develop, manufacture and distribute potential products based on our proprietary technologies. Pursuant to the terms of the agreement, both of us are required to contribute cash over the period of the agreement toward the research and development efforts. We are required to repay, without interest, 50% of the funding Hitachi has contributed toward the development effort over an

F-50

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

indefinite period of time. Repayment amounts are 2% of our gross micro array instrument platform s consumable cartridge sales until the liability is paid in full. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, we owed approximately \$4.9 million to Hitachi which is recorded in other long-term obligations. In 2003, in accordance with the terms of the agreement, Hitachi exercised its right to terminate the collaborative research agreement. The termination of this agreement did not accelerate the repayment due Hitachi.

# Princeton BioMeditech Corporation

We are party to a distribution agreement, a manufacturing agreement and a development agreement with Princeton BioMeditech Corporation (PBM) to jointly develop and market various point-of-care tests for certain biomarkers and protein targets.

We are jointly developing a point-of-care instrument that incorporates PBM s proprietary technology, our proprietary reagents and an exclusive license between us and Roche Diagnostics GmbH. PBM is responsible for the development of a reasonably priced instrument that uses our reagents to determine the amount of target NT-proBNP present in a patient. In addition, PBM is required to obtain the regulatory approval of the instrument and will own these approvals. We will fund a certain percentage of the development cost of the instrument, up to an agreed upon maximum amount which is not material to our financial statements. In addition, we are required to develop and manufacture the reagents used in the instrument and supply them to PBM. We also have to conduct the testing of our reagents required to obtain regulatory approval to market and sell them. We will own these regulatory approvals. Further, we will share revenues associated with this point-of-care instrument with the majority of revenues being allocated to the party responsible for selling, marketing and distributing the instrument within a specific geographic territory. Each party will be responsible for its own manufacturing, sales and marketing expenses and both parties are required to provide each other a forecast of expected demand for each others products (reagents or instruments).

We provided PBM with an option to purchase or to receive a nonexclusive license for certain biological markers for the incorporation into a future point-of-care instrument related to congestive heart failure, stroke or traumatic brain injury. We have agreed to negotiate in good faith commercially reasonable terms for such a license or supply arrangement. However, if we are unable to agree upon such terms PBM will pay Nanogen a certain royalty for the use of these markers.

# Jurilab LTD

In a series of investments from July 2005 through June 2006, we invested approximately \$3.0 million to purchase 29.7% of the outstanding stock of Jurilab. In addition, we had the option to purchase the entire company at not-to-exceed prices through December 31, 2007. Based on our initial analysis of the investment agreement, we were the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R), and were required to consolidate Jurilab s financial statements. In July 2007 an additional equity investment by a new investor resulted in reconsideration of our position as the primary beneficiary. Based on this reconsideration event, we are no longer the primary beneficiary under FIN 46(R), and are no longer required to consolidate Jurilab s financial statements. Our 2007 results of operations include the results of Jurilab through July 2007, at which point Jurilab was deconsolidated from our balance sheet. As a result of recording losses in excess of our investment, a gain on deconsolidation was recorded at the time of deconsolidation.

# NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# December 31, 2007

Included in our consolidated balance sheet at December 31, 2007 and 2006 were the net liabilities (in thousands As Restated ) of Jurilab:

	December 31, 2007	December 31, 20 As Restate		
Cash	\$	\$	743	
Restricted cash			660	
Other assets			589	
Deferred revenues			(2,639)	
Debt obligations			(7,780)	
Other long-term liabilities			(922)	
Net liabilities	\$	\$	(9,349)	

Consolidation of Jurilab s results of operations included the following (in thousands As Restated ):

		year ended per 31, 2007	For the year ended December 31, 2006 As Restated		
Net sales	\$ 578		\$	47	
Cost of product sales				(108)	
Research and development expense	(3,636)			(4,986)	
Gain on deconsolidation of variable interest entity		12,686			
Other loss	(334)			(518)	
Noncontrolling interests share of losses in VIE				2,618	
Net income (loss)	\$	9,294	\$	(2,947)	

Immediately prior to deconsolidation, Jurilab s net liabilities on our consolidated balance sheet totaled \$12.3 million. This net liability was deconsolidated from our equity as follows (in thousands As Restated ):

Net liabilities at July 24, 2007	\$ (12,320)
Elimination of cumulative foreign exchange translation adjustments	373
Gain on deconsolidation of variable interest entity	12,686
Equity method investment in Jurilab established at the date of deconsolidation	739
Our share of Jurilab s losses subsequent to deconsolidation	(739)
F '	Φ.

Equity method investment in Jurilab at December 31, 2007

φ

# Edgar Filing: LANDMARK BANCORP INC - Form 8-K

# 13. Licensed Technology

As a result of the 2004 acquisition of SynX, we gained access to a cross-licensing agreement between Roche Diagnostics, Inc. (Roche) and SynX entered into in 2003. We have a non-exclusive world-wide license relating to the development, manufacture and marketing in the field of point-of-care diagnostics of immunoassays that detect the congestive heart failure marker NT-proBNP. As part of the cross-license agreement, we granted Roche a non-exclusive world-wide license on the technology relating to the development, manufacture and marketing of immunoassays that detect the congestive heart failure marker NT-proBNP.

F-52

## NANOGEN, INC.

## NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

#### 14. Contract and Grant Revenue

## U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

In December 2006, the U.S. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) awarded us a contract to develop a multi-analyte assay for Influenza. This development program is partnered with HX Diagnostics, Inc., which will commercialize the product upon approval. We were awarded \$4.5 million to fund the first two phases of a five-phase development project, and an additional \$1.2 million of funding was added in November 2007. If all five phases are funded by the CDC, they may provide us up to \$12.5 million in funding over the next three years. Revenue is recognized under these grants as expenses are incurred and totaled \$5.5 million and \$194,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

## National Institutes of Health (NIH)

The National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases for the National Institutes of Health (NIH), provides funding for several grants. In July 2002, the Company was awarded a grant which focused on the development of a compact centrifugal micro fluidics based biological warfare agent (BWA) analyzer. In March of 2005 we began phase two of this grant and were awarded an additional \$529,000 over a two-year period. In May and September 2003, Nanogen was awarded a second and third grant. The second grant is for the development of a dieletrophorectic (DEP) separator for cell/pathogen separation. The third grant is aimed at developing an on-chip real-time DNA amplification for BWA detection. The total awards of these grants totaled approximately \$1.5 million over a four-year period. In July 2005, we were awarded a fourth grant for the diagnosis of Sepsis and community acquired pneumonia for a total of \$2.5 million over five years. Revenue is recognized under these grants as expenses are incurred and totaled \$557,000, \$998,000 and \$650,000 for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

## Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation grant

In July 2005, the University of Washington was awarded a grant from the Bill and Melinda Gates Foundation as lead partner of a consortium, which includes us, to develop a portable device that healthcare workers could pack into remote regions to quickly and easily make life-saving diagnoses. Our portion of this grant is anticipated to be approximately \$3.6 million. This consortium will concentrate on meeting the need for an affordable portable device to do point-of-care testing and provide rapid results. Our portion of the revenue under this grant totaled \$749,000, \$480,000 and \$429,000 in the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

# Medical College of Wisconsin

In September 2006, the Medical College of Wisconsin awarded a sub-award to us for the development of a rapid point-of-care diagnostic for bio-terrorism agents and pandemic influenza in an amount thus far totaling approximately \$1.3 million over a 5-year period. Revenue totaled \$702,000 for the year ended December 31, 2007.

F-53

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

## 15. Segment, Geographic Sales and Significant Customers

SFAS No. 131, Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information, (SFAS 131) prescribes the methodology for reporting information on operating segments in interim and annual financial statements. SFAS 131 requires segment information to be reported using the same methodology we use to internally evaluate the operating performance of our company. As of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, we have identified two reporting units for the purpose of our goodwill testing and a limited amount of internal reporting; however, our chief operating decision-maker s strategy is to penetrate the in vitro diagnostic market segment with our various product lines. Therefore, our chief decision maker views our operating results as a consolidated business and makes strategic operational decisions as if we are operating in a single operating segment.

#### Geographic Information

We have product sales revenue by region as follows for the years ended December 31, (in thousands):

	2007	2006	2005
Customer Location:			
North America	\$ 5,202	\$ 7,390	\$ 4,155
Europe	17,665	8,606	389
Total	\$ 22,867	\$ 15,996	\$ 4,544

Revenue from customers representing 10% or more of total revenue during years ended December 31 is as follows:

	2007	2006	2005
License fees:			
Applied Biosystems, Inc.	16%	23%	45%
Contract and grants:			
Center for Disease Control (CDC)	14%		

## 16. Stock transactions

In March 2006, we sold approximately 5.7 million shares of our common stock to Fisher Scientific International, Inc. at a price of \$2.65 per share, for net proceeds of approximately \$15.0 million.

In May 2006, we entered into an equity financing agreement with Azimuth Opportunity Ltd. (Azimuth), pursuant to which Azimuth agreed to purchase, subject to certain limitations and closing conditions, up to \$25 million of our common stock over the subsequent eighteen months. On July 11, 2006, under our equity financing agreement with Azimuth we issued 2,524,130 shares at an aggregate purchase price of \$4.0 million or approximately \$1.58 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$3.9 million after deducting our offering expenses. On September 20, 2006, under this agreement we issued 833,333 shares at an aggregate purchase price of \$1.5 million or approximately \$1.80 per share. We received net proceeds of approximately \$1.47 million after deducting our offering expenses. On February 2, 2007, we agreed with Azimuth to terminate our equity financing agreement.

On February 5, 2007, we entered into a placement agency agreement with Ascendiant Securities, LLC ( Ascendiant ) relating to the offering of stock pursuant to an effective shelf registration statement. Under the

F-54

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

placement agency agreement, Ascendiant agreed to act as our placement agent in connection with the issuance and sale of our common stock and warrants to purchase shares of common stock, on a best efforts basis, to certain institutional investors. We agreed to pay a placement agent fee in an amount equal to 5% of the gross cash proceeds of the offering. Under this agreement and related purchase agreements with the investors, we sold 4,916,667 shares of our common stock and 983,333 warrants to purchase a share of our common stock for net proceeds of approximately \$7.2 million.

# 17. Lease Termination

In November 2001, SynX Pharma, Inc. entered into a lease of a 50,000 square foot building at 15 Marmac Drive in Toronto, Canada. In April 2004 we acquired Synx Pharma. As a result of the acquisition of the assets of Spectral Diagnostics in February of 2006 (also located in Toronto), we have been operating two Toronto locations. As part of our plan to consolidate operations to improve efficiencies and reduce cost, a lease termination notice was given to the landlord of 15 Marmac Drive, triggering lease termination related expenses of approximately \$2.4 million during 2007. The most significant item included in the total termination expenses recognized was a lease termination penalty of \$1.7 million which has been paid during 2007. The lease termination related expenses are reflected in the financial statements in general and administrative expenses.

## 18. Subsequent Events

## Convertible Note Restructuring

On March 13, 2008, we entered into an Amendment and Exchange Agreement (the Exchange Agreement ) with holders (the Holders ) of our 6.25% Senior Convertible Notes due 2010 issued on August 27, 2007. Pursuant to the Exchange Agreement, the Holders will exchange an aggregate \$12,917,000 in principal amount of the Notes (the Exchange Offer ) with our 9.75% Senior Secured Convertible Notes due 2010 (the New Notes ) with an aggregate principal amount of \$15,500,400. The New Notes are convertible initially into an aggregate of 22,784,653 shares of common stock of our common stock at an initial conversion price of \$0.6803 per share. The Exchange Offer is made pursuant to an exemption from registration requirement under Section 3(a)(9) of the Securities Act of 1933, as amended (the Act ). Neither the New Notes nor shares of Common Stock issuable upon conversion of the New Notes are registered under the Act.

The Notes were issued in our debt financing transaction (the August 2007 Debt Financing ) pursuant to the Indenture, dated August 27, 2008, between us and The Bank of New York Trust Company, N.A. as trustee (the Trustee ), as modified by the First Supplemental Indenture, dated August 27, 2008 between us and the Trustee (the Indenture ). Upon consummation of the Exchange Offer, Notes in an aggregate principal amount of \$7,000,000 will remain outstanding under the Indenture. Upon closing of the Exchange Offer, the conversion price of remaining Notes and the exercise prices of certain warrants issued to the Holders in the August 2007 Debt Financing were adjusted, in accordance with the terms of the documents governing the securities to an amount equal to \$0.6803. In addition, we agreed to pay Holders certain tax gross up payments and legal fees incurred as a result of the Exchange Offer.

In connection with the Exchange Offer, on March 13, 2008, we and each Holder entered into a Consent and Agreement, pursuant to which each Holder consented to a potential sale of certain royalties, effective upon the closing of the Exchange Offer. The Consent and Agreement also approves that certain Second Supplemental Indenture to be entered into by us and Trustee on or prior to closing of the Exchange Offer, for the purpose of making certain technical amendments to the Indenture in order permit us to consummate the potential sale of certain royalties, and to revise the terms in the Indenture, including certain covenants, in order to conform to the terms of the New Notes.

## NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

#### **December 31, 2007**

To secure its obligations under the New Notes, the Company entered into a Security Agreement with Portside Growth & Opportunity Fund, as collateral agent, pursuant to which the Company granted a security interest in substantially all of its assets and stock to the collateral agent. Certain assets are excluded from such security interest, including (i) more than 65% of capital stock of foreign subsidiaries of the Company; (ii) receivables sold pursuant to a factoring agreement with GE Capital Finance S.p.A. in Italy; (iii) intellectual property assets securing the obligations of the Company and its subsidiaries under certain royalty sales transaction; (iv) cash collateral securing the letter of credit for the benefit of Holders, and (v) assets covering certain permitted liens set forth in the terms of the New Notes. The Security Agreement contains customary representations, warranties and covenants.

## Sale of Royalties

On March 28, 2008, we entered into a new Royalty Interest Assignment Agreement with DRT in which we received a payment of \$10 million in exchange for assigning royalty rights under a license agreement with Applied Biosystems, Inc. from and after January 1, 2012. In September 2006, the Company had entered into an agreement with DRT which assigned the royalty rights through 2011, see Note 6.

In connection with this transaction, on March 28, 2008, we entered into a Supplemental Royalty Interest Assignment Agreement with DRT ( The March 08 Amendment ). This agreement amended the original assignment of royalty interests to DRT which was entered into in September 2006 related to the same license agreement with Applied Biosystems, Inc. whereby we received \$20 million for the assignment of royalty rights through December 31, 2011. The March 2008 Amendment resulted in the elimination of the minimum undiscounted payments which had originally been guaranteed by us. We agreed to forgo any participation in future cash flows that we would have been entitled to under the original agreement. These guarantees had totaled \$17.6 million as of December 31, 2007 and were included in our balance sheet as a combination of current and long term liabilities under the caption—assigned royalty interests obligations. No cash consideration was paid by us related to the settlement of this liability.

F-56

# NANOGEN, INC.

# NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS (Continued)

# December 31, 2007

# 19. Quarterly Financial Data (unaudited)

Summarized quarterly financial data for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 are as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

	1st	Quarter(2)	2nd	Quarter(2)	3rd	Quarter(2)	4th	Quarter(2)
2007 (As Restated)								
Revenues	\$	9,653	\$	10,315	\$	8,368	\$	9,847
Costs and expenses		20,962		24,068		26,145		20,755
Loss from operations		(11,309)		(13,753)		(17,777)		(10,908)
Net loss		(11,930)		(14,619)		(901)		(6,495)
Net loss per share basic and diluted)	\$	(0.17)	\$	(0.20)	\$	(0.01)	\$	(0.09)
2006 (As Restated)								
Revenues	\$	4,352	\$	6,311	\$	7,505	\$	8,684
Costs and expenses		16,428		20,233		19,061		19,623
Loss from operations		(12,076)		(13,922)		(11,556)		(10,939)
Net loss		(11,140)		(12,934)		(11,371)		(11,287)
Net loss per share basic and diluted)	\$	(0.20)	\$	(0.21)	\$	(0.17)	\$	(0.16)

<sup>(1)</sup> Net loss per share is computed independently for each of the quarters presented. Therefore, the sum of the quarterly net loss per share will not necessarily equal the total for the year.

F-57

<sup>(2)</sup> Quarterly results reflect the impact of the restatement relating to Jurilab (See Note 2).