HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC. Form 10-K February 27, 2008 Table of Contents

UNITED STATES

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, DC 20549

FORM 10-K

x ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007

OR

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the transition period from: ______ to _____

Commission file number: 1-13754

THE HANOVER INSURANCE GROUP, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware (State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization) 04-3263626 (I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

440 Lincoln Street, Worcester, Massachusetts (Address of principal executive offices) 01653 (Zip Code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (508) 855-1000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

<u>Title of each class</u> Common Stock, \$.01 par value 7 ⁵/8% Senior Debentures due 2025 Name of each exchange on which registered New York Stock Exchange New York Stock Exchange

Table of Contents

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: NONE

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes x No "

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes "No x

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No⁻⁻

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of the registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of accelerated filer and large accelerated filer in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act (check one):

Large accelerated filer x Accelerated filer "Non-accelerated filer "

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes "No x

Based on the closing sales price of June 29, 2007 the aggregate market value of the voting and non-voting common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant was \$2,513,232,765.

The number of shares outstanding of the registrant s common stock, \$.01 par value, was 51,908,839 shares as of February 15, 2008.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

Portions of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. s Proxy Statement relating to the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 13, 2008 to be filed pursuant to Regulation 14A are incorporated by reference in Part III.

PART I

ITEM 1 BUSINESS

ORGANIZATION

The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. (THG) is a holding company organized as a Delaware corporation in 1995. Our consolidated financial statements include the accounts of THG; The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance) and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), which are our principal property and casualty subsidiaries; First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC), which is our life insurance and annuity subsidiary; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. In addition, our results of operations prior to December 30, 2005 include Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company (AFLIAC). On December 30, 2005, we sold AFLIAC through a stock purchase agreement, and reinsured 100% of the variable life insurance and annuity business of FAFLIC (see Life Companies on pages 47 to 49 in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations for further information). The results of operations for AFLIAC are reported as discontinued operations.

FINANCIAL INFORMATION ABOUT OPERATING SEGMENTS

Our primary business operations include insurance products and services in three property and casualty operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines, and Other Property and Casualty. Our fourth operating segment, Life Companies, is in run-off. We report interest expense related to our corporate debt separately from the earnings of our operating segments. Corporate debt consists of our junior subordinated debentures and our senior debentures.

Information with respect to each of our segments is included in Segment Results on pages 35 to 49 in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and in Note 15 on pages 107 and 108 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

DESCRIPTION OF BUSINESS BY SEGMENT

Following is a discussion of each of our operating segments.

PROPERTY AND CASUALTY

GENERAL

Our Property and Casualty group manages its operations principally through three segments: Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty. We underwrite personal and commercial property and casualty insurance through Hanover Insurance, Citizens and their respective subsidiaries, primarily through an independent agent network concentrated in the Midwest, Northeast, and Southeast United States. Additionally, our Other Property and Casualty segment consists of our investment management services business, our premium financing business and our voluntary pools business, in which we have not actively participated since 1999.

Our strategy in the Property and Casualty group focuses on strong agency relationships, active agency management, disciplined underwriting, pricing, quality claim handling, effective expense management and customer service. We have a strong regional focus. Our Property and Casualty group constituted the 32nd largest property and casualty insurance group in the United States based on 2006 direct premiums written, according to A.M. Best and Company.

RISKS

The industry s profitability and cash flow can be significantly affected by: price; competition; volatile and unpredictable developments such as extreme weather conditions and natural disasters, including catastrophes; legal developments affecting insurer and insureds' liability; extra-contractual liability; size of jury awards; acts of terrorism; fluctuations in interest rates; credit default levels and other factors that may affect investment returns; and other general economic conditions and trends, such as inflationary pressures, that may affect the adequacy of reserves. Additionally, the economic conditions in geographic locations where we conduct business, especially those locations where our business is concentrated, may affect the profitability of our business. The regulatory environments in those locations where we conduct business, including any pricing, underwriting or product controls, shared market mechanisms or mandatory pooling arrangements, and other conditions, such as our agency relationships, may also affect the profitability of our business. In addition, our loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE)

reserves are based on our estimates, principally involving actuarial projections, at a given time, of what we expect the ultimate settlement and administration of claims will cost based on facts and circumstances then known, predictions of future events, estimates of future trends in claims frequency and severity

and judicial theories of liability, costs of repairs and replacement, legislative activity and other factors. Changes to the estimates may affect our profitability.

Reference is also made to Item 1A Risk Factors on pages 19 to 22 and Risks and Forward-Looking Statements on page 68 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations contained in this Form 10-K.

LINES OF BUSINESS

We underwrite personal and commercial property and casualty insurance coverage.

Personal Lines

Our Personal Lines segment accounted for \$1.6 billion, or 57.3%, of consolidated segment revenues and provided \$210.9 million of segment income before federal income taxes for the year ended December 31, 2007. Personal Lines comprised 61.3% of the Property and Casualty group s net written premium in 2007. Personal automobile insurance accounted for 68.8% and homeowners insurance accounted for 28.6% of Personal Lines net written premium in 2007.

Products

Personal Lines coverages include:

Personal automobile coverage insures individuals against losses incurred from personal bodily injury, bodily injury to third parties, property damage to an insured s vehicle, and property damage to other vehicles and other property. In 2007, the majority of our new personal automobile business was written through *Connections® Auto*, our multivariate auto product, which is available in seventeen states and is expected to be available in Massachusetts in 2008. *Connections Auto* utilizes a multivariate rating application which calculates rates based upon the magnitude and correlation of multiple risk factors and is intended to improve both our and our agents competitiveness in our target market segments by offering policies that are more appropriately priced to be commensurate with the underlying risks.

Homeowners coverage insures individuals for losses to their residences and personal property, such as those caused by fire, wind, hail, water damage (except for flooding), theft and vandalism, and against third party liability claims. In 2006, we released an upgrade to our homeowners product that enhanced our agents ease of doing business and decreased quote times. In 2007, we introduced further refinements to our homeowners product, including the ability to easily endorse umbrella coverage to the homeowners policy. This modified homeowners product, *Connections Home*, is now available in sixteen states.

Other personal lines is comprised of miscellaneous coverages including inland marine, umbrella, fire, personal watercraft and earthquake.

Taken together, *Connections Auto*, *Connections Home* and our other personal lines products are intended to facilitate an agents ability to write total accounts, or multiple coverages for a single household. Writing the total account is core to our strategy in Personal Lines and we expect to introduce further enhancements to our product suite in 2008 that are intended to further strengthen our total account offering.

Commercial Lines

Our Commercial Lines segment accounted for \$1.0 billion, or 37.3%, of consolidated segment revenues and provided segment income before federal income taxes of \$170.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. Commercial Lines comprised 38.7% of the Property and Casualty group s net written premium in 2007. Commercial multiple peril net written premium accounted for 37.4%, commercial automobile 20.9% and workers compensation 11.9% of Commercial Lines net written premium in 2007. Net written premium for our marine business of \$122.0 million comprised 13.1% of our 2007 Commercial Lines net written premium. Bonds net written premium of \$77.8 million comprised 8.3% of our Commercial Lines net written premium in 2007.

Products

Avenues[®], our Commercial Lines product suite, provides agents and customers with products designed for small, middle, and specialized markets. Commercial Lines coverages include:

Commercial multiple peril coverage insures businesses against third party liability from accidents occurring on their premises or arising out of their operations, such as injuries sustained from products sold. It also insures business property for damage, such as that caused by fire, wind, hail, water damage (except for flooding), theft and vandalism.

Commercial automobile coverage insures businesses against losses incurred from personal bodily injury, bodily injury to third parties, property damage to an insured s vehicle, and property damage to other vehicles and other property.

Workers compensation coverage insures employers against employee medical and indemnity claims resulting from injuries related to work. Workers compensation policies are often written in conjunction with other commercial policies.

Other commercial lines is comprised of various coverages including bonds, which provides businesses with contract surety coverage in the event of performance or

payment claims, and commercial surety coverage related to fiduciary or regulatory obligations. It also includes inland marine, which insures businesses against physical losses to property, such as contractor s equipment, builders risk and goods in transit. Additional other commercial lines coverages include umbrella, general liability, fire, and professional liability.

Other Property and Casualty

The Other Property and Casualty segment consists of Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus), which provides investment advisory services to affiliates and also manages approximately \$1.3 billion of assets for unaffiliated institutions, such as insurance companies, retirement plans and foundations; AMGRO, Inc. (AMGRO), our premium financing business; and our voluntary pools business which has been in run-off since 1999, but pursuant to which we are subject to claims related to years in which we were a participant. See also Reinsurance Facilities and Pools Voluntary Pools on page 10 of this Form 10-K. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment includes earnings on holding company assets.

MARKETING AND DISTRIBUTION

Our Property and Casualty group s structure allows us to maintain a strong focus on local markets and the flexibility to respond to specific market conditions while also achieving operational effectiveness. We write approximately 30% of our business in Michigan and approximately 12% in Massachusetts. Our structure also is a key factor in the establishment and maintenance of productive long-term relationships with mid-sized, well-established independent agencies. We maintain twenty-five local branch sales and underwriting offices in twenty-one states, reflecting our strong regional focus. Additional processing support for these locations is provided from Worcester, Massachusetts; Howell, Michigan; and Atlanta, Georgia. Additional administrative functions are centralized in our headquarters in Worcester, Massachusetts.

Independent agents account for most of the sales of our property and casualty products. Agencies are appointed based on profitability track record, financial stability, professionalism, and business strategy. Once appointed, we monitor each agency s performance and, in accordance with applicable legal and regulatory requirements, take actions as necessary to change these business relationships, such as discontinuing the authority of the agent to underwrite certain products or revising commissions or bonus opportunities. We compensate agents primarily through base commissions and bonus plans that are tied to an agency s written premium, growth and profitability.

We are licensed to sell property and casualty insurance in all fifty states in the United States, as well as the District of Columbia. In 2007, our top personal and commercial geographical markets based on total net written premium in the state were:

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31, 2007

	Commercial										
(In millions, except ratios)	Personal	Lines	Line	es	Tota	1					
	GAAP Net	%	GAAP Net	%	GAAP Net	%					
	Premiums	of	Premiums	of	Premiums	of					
	Written	Total	Written	Total	Written	Total					
Michigan	\$ 587.1	39.6%	\$ 141.6	15.2%	\$ 728.7	30.2%					
Massachusetts	197.7	13.3	101.1	10.8	298.8	12.4					
New York	131.0	8.8	118.9	12.7	249.9	10.4					
New Jersey	88.2	6.0	67.2	7.2	155.4	6.4					
Florida	58.5	4.0	51.0	5.5	109.5	4.5					
Louisiana	68.9	4.7	26.4	2.8	95.3	3.9					
Connecticut	59.1	4.0	27.8	3.0	86.9	3.6					
Maine	35.9	2.4	41.3	4.4	77.2	3.2					
Indiana	46.3	3.1	28.3	3.0	74.6	3.1					
Virginia	38.4	2.6	34.3	3.7	72.7	3.0					
Georgia	40.3	2.7	27.8	3.0	68.1	2.8					
Illinois	25.1	1.7	38.6	4.1	63.7	2.6					
Texas			47.5	5.1	47.5	2.0					
Other	104.3	7.1	182.7	19.5	287.0	11.9					
Total	\$ 1,480.8	100.0%	\$ 934.5	100.0%	\$ 2,415.3	100.0%					

More than 50% of our Personal Lines net written premium is generated in the combined states of Michigan and Massachusetts. In Michigan, according to A.M. Best, based upon direct written premium for 2006, we ranked 4th in the state for Personal Lines business, with approximately 8% of the state s total market. Approximately 66% of our Michigan Personal Lines business is in the personal automobile line and 32% is in the homeowners line. Michigan business represents approximately 38% of our total personal automobile net written premium and 44% of our total homeowners net written premium. In Michigan, we are a principal provider with many of our agencies averaging over \$1.2 million of total direct written premium per agency in 2007.

In Massachusetts, approximately 75% of our Personal Lines business is in the personal automobile line and 22% is in the homeowners line. Massachusetts business represents approximately 15% of our total personal automobile net written premium and 10% of our total homeowners net written premium.

We manage our Commercial Lines portfolio with a focus on growth from the most profitable industry segments within our underwriting expertise, which varies by line of business and geography. Approximately half of Commercial Lines direct written premium is comprised of small accounts having less than \$25,000 in premium. Accounts with premium between \$25,000 and \$200,000 account for an additional 40% of the total. The Commercial Lines segment seeks to maintain strong agency relationships as a strategy to secure and retain our agents best business. The quality of business written is monitored through an ongoing quality review program, accountability for which is shared at the local, regional and corporate levels.

We sponsor local and national agent advisory councils to gain the benefit of our agents insight and enhance our relationships. These councils provide feedback, input on the development of products and services, guidance on marketing efforts, and support for our strategies, and assist us in enhancing our local market presence.

For our Other Property and Casualty segment business, investment advisory services are marketed directly through Opus, while premium financing services are generally marketed through independent insurance agents to customers of many property and casualty carriers. Less than 1% of our premium financing services business is provided to customers of our Commercial Lines segment.

PRICING AND COMPETITION

We seek to achieve targeted combined ratios in each of our product lines. Our targets vary by product and change with market conditions. The targeted combined ratios reflect competitive market conditions, current investment yield expectations, our loss payout patterns, and target returns on equity. This strategy is intended to better enable us to achieve measured growth and consistent profitability. In addition, we seek to utilize our knowledge of local markets to achieve superior underwriting results. We rely on market information provided by our local agents and on the knowledge of our staff in the local branch offices. Since we maintain a strong regional focus and a significant market share in a number of states, we can apply our knowledge and experience in making underwriting and rate setting decisions. Also, we seek to gather objective and verifiable information at a policy level during the underwriting process, such as past driving records and, where permitted, credit histories.

The property and casualty industry is a very competitive market. Our competitors include national, regional and local companies that sell insurance through various distribution channels, including independent agencies, captive agency forces and direct to consumers. We market primarily through independent agents and compete for business on the basis of product, price, agency and customer service, local relationship and ratings, and effective claims handling, among other things. We believe that our emphasis on maintaining strong agency relationships and a local presence in our markets, coupled with investments in products, operating efficiency, technology and effective claims handling will enable us to compete effectively. Our total account strategy in Personal Lines and broad product offerings in Commercial Lines are instrumental to our strategy to capitalize on these relationships. Our Property and Casualty group is not dependent on a single customer or even a few customers, for which the loss of any one or more would have an adverse effect upon the group s insurance operation.

In our Michigan Personal Lines business, where we market our products under the Citizens Insurance brand name, we compete with a number of national direct writers and regional and local companies. Principal personal lines competitors in Michigan are AAA Auto Club of Michigan, State Farm Group and Auto Owners. We believe our agency relationships; Citizens Insurance brand recognition; the Citizens Best program, a discount program offered to qualified members of retirement and senior citizens organizations; and *Connections Auto* enable us to distribute our products competitively in Michigan.

Based on net written premium, approximately 15% of our 2007 personal automobile business was written in Massachusetts. During 2007, the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance issued two important decisions impacting the personal automobile insurance market. The first called for an end to the fix-and-establish system of setting automobile rates and replaced it with a system of managed competition. The second ordered the implementation of an Assigned Risk Plan, replacing the previous system of assigning carriers Exclusive Representative Producers (ERPs). Transition to both the managed competition rating system and to the Assigned Risk Plan will begin effective April 1, 2008. For additional information, please see the section entitled Contingencies and Regulatory Matters Other Regulatory Matters on pages 65 and 66 in Management s Discussion and Analysis and Results of Operations contained in this Form 10-K.

CLAIMS

We utilize experienced claims adjusters, appraisers, medical specialists, managers and attorneys to manage our claims. Our Property and Casualty group has field claims adjusters strategically located throughout our operating territories. Claims staff members work closely with the agents and seek to settle claims rapidly, fairly and efficiently.

Claims office adjusting staff is supported by general adjusters for large property and large casualty losses, by automobile and heavy equipment damage appraisers for automobile material damage losses, and by medical specialists whose principal concentration is on workers compensation and automobile injury cases. In addition, the claims offices are supported by staff attorneys who specialize in litigation defense and claim settlements. We also maintain a special investigative unit that investigates suspected insurance fraud and abuse.

We utilize claims processing technology which allows most of the smaller and more routine Personal Lines claims to be processed at centralized locations. In 2007, we continued enhancements to our claims related technology and processes, including the initial implementation of new technology in selected states for personal automobile. We believe these enhancements and our centralization of processing will increase efficiency and reduce costs as we expand the new technology into additional states and product lines.

CATASTROPHES

We are subject to claims arising out of catastrophes, which may have a significant impact on our results of operations and financial condition. We may experience catastrophe losses in the future, which could have a material adverse impact on us. Catastrophes can be caused by various events, including snow, ice storm, hurricane, earthquake, tornado, wind, hail, terrorism, fire, explosion, or other extraordinary events. The incidence and severity of catastrophes are inherently unpredictable. We manage our catastrophe risks through underwriting procedures, including the use of deductibles and specific exclusions for floods and earthquakes, as allowed, and other factors, through geographic exposure management and through reinsurance programs. The catastrophe reinsurance program is structured to protect us on a per-occurrence basis. We monitor geographic location and coverage concentrations in order to manage corporate exposure to catastrophic events. Although catastrophes can cause losses in a variety of property and casualty lines, homeowners and commercial multiple peril insurance have, in the past, generated the majority of catastrophe-related claims.

TERRORISM

As a result of the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Act of 2002, the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Extension Act of 2005 (TRIEA) and the Federal Terrorism Risk Insurance Program Reauthorization Act of 2007 (TRIPRA), terrorism coverage must be offered to certain policy holders to cover losses from certified events (as defined in TRIPRA). TRIPRA provides a federal reinsurance arrangement for insured losses resulting from certified terrorist events that exceed certain thresholds on an industry-wide basis. TRIPRA is effective through December 31, 2014.

As required, we notify policyholders of their option to elect terrorism coverage. TRIPRA requires us to retain 15% of any claims from a certified terrorist event in excess of our federally mandated deductible. Our deductible represents 20% of direct earned premium for the covered lines of business of the prior year. In 2007, the deductible was \$137.4 million, which represents 9.4% of year-end 2006 statutory policyholder surplus, and is estimated to be \$150.1 million in 2008, representing 9.0% of 2007 year-end statutory policyholder surplus. Coverage under TRIPRA applies to workers compensation, commercial multiple peril and certain other Commercial Lines policies. We are permitted to reinsure our retention and deductible under TRIPRA, although at this time, we

have not purchased additional specific terrorism-only reinsurance coverage. However, we are reinsured for certain terrorism coverage within existing Catastrophe, Property per Risk and Casualty Excess of Loss corporate treaties (see Reinsurance on pages 13 to 15 of this Form 10-K). We manage our exposures on an individual line of business basis and in the aggregate by zip code.

STATE REGULATION

Our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries are subject to extensive regulation in the various states in which they transact business and are supervised by the individual state insurance departments. Numerous aspects of our business are subject to regulatory requirements, including premium rates, mandatory risks that must be covered, prohibited exclusions, licensing of agents, investments, restrictions on the size of risks that may be insured under a single policy, reserves and provisions for unearned premiums, losses and other obligations, deposits of securities for the benefit of policyholders, policy forms, advertising, and other conduct, including the use of credit information in underwriting, as well as other underwriting and claims practices. States also regulate various aspects of the contractual relationships between insurers and independent agents.

In addition, as a condition to writing business in certain states, insurers are required to participate in various pools or risk sharing mechanisms or to accept certain classes of risk, regardless of whether such risks meet our underwriting requirements for voluntary business. Some states also limit or impose restrictions on the ability of an insurer to withdraw from certain classes of business. For example, Massachusetts, New Jersey, New York, Louisiana and Florida each impose material restrictions on a company s ability to withdraw from certain lines of business in their respective states. The state insurance departments can impose significant charges on a carrier in connection with a market withdrawal or refuse to approve withdrawal plans on the grounds that they could lead to market disruption. Laws and regulations that limit cancellation and non-renewal and that subject withdrawal plans to prior approval requirements may significantly restrict an insurer's ability to exit unprofitable markets. For example, the state of Louisiana continues to restrict our ability to reduce exposure to areas affected by hurricanes Katrina and Rita and to increase or maintain rates on homeowners policies.

During 2007, the State of Florida implemented several laws impacting the property insurance market. Most significantly, the legislation mandated that private insurer rates be adjusted to reflect projected savings in reinsurance costs realized through the purchase of catastrophe reinsurance from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund. Newly enacted restrictions also require any company which writes personal automobile business in Florida to write homeowners insurance in the state if it or any of its affiliates write homeowners insurance in any other state. We expect that such newly enacted legislation will result in more consumers purchasing insurance from the Florida residual market for property insurance, thereby increasing the potential for significant assessments or other liabilities on private insurance companies in the event of a catastrophe.

During 2007, the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance issued two important decisions impacting the personal automobile insurance market. The first called for an end to the fix-and-establish system of setting automobile rates and replaced it with a system of managed competition . The second ordered the implementation of an Assigned Risk Plan, replacing the previous system of assigning carriers ERPs. Transition to both the managed competition rating system and to the Assigned Risk Plan will begin effective April 1, 2008. We have filed new personal automobile rates with the Massachusetts Division of Insurance as part of the transition to managed competition and expect to implement such rates on April 1, 2008.

The insurance laws of many states generally provide that property and casualty insurers doing business in those states belong to statutory property and casualty guaranty funds. The purpose of these guaranty funds is to protect policyholders by requiring that solvent property and casualty insurers pay insurance claims of insolvent insurers. These guaranty associations generally pay these claims by assessing solvent insurers proportionately based on the insurer s share of voluntary written premium in the state. While most guaranty associations provide for recovery of assessments through subsequent rate increases, surcharges or premium tax credits, there is no assurance that insurers will ultimately recover these assessments, which could be material particularly following a large catastrophe or in markets which become disrupted.

We are subject to periodic financial and market conduct examinations conducted by state insurance departments. We are also required to file annual and other reports relating to the financial condition of our insurance subsidiaries and other matters.

See also Contingencies and Regulatory Matters on pages 63 to 66 and Significant Transactions on page 58 in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K.

RESIDUAL MARKETS AND POOLING ARRANGEMENTS

As a condition of our license to do business in various states, we are required to participate in mandatory property and casualty shared market mechanisms or pooling arrangements which provide various insurance coverages where such coverage may not otherwise be available at rates which are deemed reasonable. Such mechanisms provide coverage primarily for personal and commercial property, personal and commercial automobile, and workers compensation and include assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and pools, joint underwriting associations, fair access to insurance requirements plans, and commercial automobile insurance plans. For example, since most states compel the purchase of a minimal level of automobile liability insurance, states have developed shared market mechanisms to provide the required coverages and in many cases, optional coverages, to those drivers who, because of their driving records or other factors, cannot find insurers who will insure them voluntarily. Our participation in such shared markets or pooling mechanisms is generally proportional to our direct writings for the type of coverage written by the specific pooling mechanism in the applicable state. We experienced an underwriting loss from participation in these mechanisms, mandatory pools and underwriting associations of \$12.3 million in 2007 and an underwriting profit of \$9.7 million in 2006. The decline in underwriting results in 2007, compared to 2006, primarily resulted from our participation in the Louisiana Fair Access to Insurance Requirements Plan (FAIR Plan), and in the Massachusetts Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers (CAR) pool, both of which incurred favorable development in 2006.

Reinsurance Facilities and Pools

Reinsurance facilities are currently in operation in various states that require an insurer to write all applications submitted by an agent, regardless of its pricing or underwriting characteristics. As a result, insurers in that state may be writing policies for applicants with a higher risk of loss, or at a lower premium, than they would normally accept. The reinsurance facility allows the insurer to cede this high risk business to the reinsurance facility, thus sharing the underwriting experience with all other insurers in the state. If a claim is paid on a policy issued in this market, the facility will reimburse the insurer. Typically, reinsurance facilities operate at a deficit, which is then recouped by levying assessments against the same insurers. These assessments are determined on the basis of each insurers share of net written premium in the state; therefore, our most significant liability to these facilities arise from the states of Michigan and Massachusetts, which for 2007 represented 39.6% and 13.3%, respectively, of our total net written premium.

The Michigan Catastrophic Claims Association (MCCA) is a reinsurance mechanism that covers no-fault first party medical losses of retentions in excess of \$420,000. All automobile insurers doing business in Michigan are required to participate in the MCCA. Insurers are reimbursed for their covered losses in excess of this threshold, which increased from \$400,000 to \$420,000 on July 1, 2007, and will continue to increase each July 1st in scheduled amounts until it reaches \$500,000 in 2011. Funding for MCCA comes from assessments against automobile insurers based upon their proportionate market share of the state s automobile liability insurance market. Insurers are allowed to pass along this cost to Michigan automobile policyholders. We ceded to the MCCA premiums earned and losses and LAE of \$70.1 million and \$84.6 million in 2007, \$74.3 million and \$118.8 million in 2006, and \$68.9 million and \$61.3 million in 2005, respectively. At December 31, 2007, the MCCA represented at least 10% of our total reinsurance activity in terms of ceded premiums.

With respect to our Massachusetts business, we cede a portion of our personal and commercial automobile premiums to the CAR pool. Net premiums earned and losses and LAE ceded to CAR were \$42.8 million and \$25.9 million in 2007, \$44.3 million and \$29.5 million in 2006, and \$53.3 million and \$37.1 million in 2005, respectively. At December 31, 2007, CAR represented at least 10% of our reinsurance activity in terms of ceded premiums.

As part of the CAR plan, Massachusetts maintains an ERP program. An ERP is an independent agency which cannot obtain a voluntary insurance market for automobile business from insurance companies in Massachusetts. For Personal Lines, CAR assigns an ERP agency to an individual insurance carrier, which is then required to write all personal automobile business produced by that agency (subject to any cessions to the CAR pool). We are required to maintain a level of ERPs consistent with other carriers in the state and proportionate to our overall market share of such business. Once an agency is assigned to an insurance carrier, it is difficult to terminate the relationship. ERPs generally produce underwriting results that are markedly poorer than our voluntary agents, although results vary significantly among ERPs. For Personal Lines, as of December 31, 2007, we had approximately 15 ERPs assigned to us with annual direct retained written premium of approximately \$19.4 million.

As described under Contingencies and Regulatory Matters Other Regulatory Matters on pages 65 and 66 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations included in this Form 10-K, the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance issued a decision which will begin to require the phase-in of an Assigned Risk Plan effective April 1, 2008,

to gradually replace the existing ERP system. The Assigned Risk Plan will distribute the Massachusetts residual automobile market based on individual policyholder assignments rather than assigning ERPs to carriers. We believe the Assigned Risk Plan will provide for a more equitable distribution of residual market risks across all carriers in the market, and therefore, such plan, when implemented, is not likely to adversely affect our results of operations or financial position.

At December 31, 2007 and 2006, we had reinsurance recoverables on paid and unpaid losses from the MCCA of \$557.7 million and \$515.0 million, respectively, and from CAR of \$41.6 million and \$42.3 million, respectively. We believe that we are unlikely to incur any material loss as a result of non-payment of amounts owed to us by MCCA, because (i) the payment obligations of the MCCA are extended over many years, resulting in relatively small current payment obligations in terms of MCCA total assets, and (ii) the MCCA is supported by assessments permitted by statute. In addition, with respect to CAR, we are unlikely to incur any material loss from this facility as a result of non-payment of amounts owed to us because CAR is a mandated pool supported by all insurance companies licensed to write automobile insurance in Massachusetts.

Reference is made to Note 17 Reinsurance, on pages 109 and 110 and Note 20 Commitments and Contingencies, on pages 112 and 116 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

FAIR Plans and Other Involuntary Pools

The principal shared market mechanisms for property insurance are state mandated FAIR Plans, the formation of which were required by the federal government as a condition to an insurer s ability to obtain federal riot reinsurance coverage following the riots and civil disorder that occurred during the 1960s. These plans, created as mechanisms similar to automobile assigned risk plans, were designed to increase the availability of property insurance in urban areas, but over time have been extended to cover other circumstances where homeowners are unable to obtain insurance at rates deemed reasonable, such as in coastal or other areas prone to natural catastrophes. Approximately 30 states have FAIR Plans, including Louisiana, Florida and Massachusetts.

In 2005, the Louisiana FAIR Plan experienced substantial losses primarily from Hurricane Katrina. The maximum annual FAIR Plan assessment that can be levied against an insurer operating in Louisiana is approximately 30% of the annual direct property premium written by the insurer in Louisiana in the prior year. Under the state s FAIR Plan, we are allowed to recover such losses from policyholders, subject to annual limitations. The impact of Louisiana FAIR Plan assessments was not significant to our 2007 and 2006 results of operations. The availability of private homeowners insurance in the state is declining as carriers seek to exit or significantly reduce their exposure in the state. This will increase the number of insureds seeking coverage from the FAIR Plan and could result in increased losses to us through the state s FAIR Plan for future events.

The Florida FAIR Plan also experienced considerable losses during 2005 as a result of hurricanes. The Florida Plan has authority to annually assess insurers up to an amount equal to approximately 20% of the direct property premium written by that insurer in the prior year. The impact of Florida's FAIR plan assessments was not significant to our 2007 or 2006 results of operations. Florida's FAIR Plan has experienced significant growth and is expected to continue to grow. In January 2007, the Governor of Florida signed into law significant changes affecting the property insurance industry. For a further discussion of this legislation, see Contingencies and Regulatory Matters Other Regulatory Matters on pages 65 and 66 in Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K.

The Massachusetts FAIR Plan has grown significantly because of coastal exposures. Although it is difficult to accurately estimate our ultimate exposure, a large coastal event would likely be material to our financial position and/or results of operations. Two other state FAIR Plans, where our participation is larger as compared to other states, are North Carolina and New York.

The New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance has adopted a regulation that would establish a mechanism to subsidize insurers for writing urban personal automobile policies. The Territorial Rating Equalization Exchange is intended to mitigate high premiums and reduced availability in urban areas. The regulation provides for the appointment of a Governing Committee to develop a Plan of Operations to be submitted to the Commissioner of Insurance for approval. Until the Plan of Operation is developed and approved, it is uncertain as to the potential impact, if any, on our operations.

With respect to commercial automobile coverage, another pooling mechanism, a Commercial Auto Insurance Plan (CAIP), uses a limited number of servicing carriers to handle assignments from other insurers. The CAIP servicing carrier is paid a fee by the insurer who otherwise would be assigned the responsibility of handling the commercial automobile policy and paying claims. Approximately 40 states have CAIP mechanisms, including the states of New Jersey, New York, and Louisiana, where our participation is larger as compared to other states.

Assigned Risk Plans

Assigned risk plans are the most common type of shared market mechanism. Most states, including New Jersey and New York, operate assigned risk plans, and Massachusetts is implementing such a plan for new business beginning on April 1, 2008. Such plans assign applications from drivers who are unable to obtain insurance in the voluntary market to insurers licensed in the applicant s state. Each insurer is required to accept a specific percentage of applications based on its market share of voluntary business in the state. Once an application has been assigned to an insurer, the insurer issues a policy under its own name and retains premiums and pays losses as if the policy was voluntarily written. With respect to New York s assigned risk plan, which is called The New York Automobile Insurance Plan (NYAIP), we have elected to transfer our assignments to a servicing carrier under a limited assignment distribution (LAD) agreement. Under this LAD agreement, the servicing carrier retains the assigned underwriting results of the NYAIP for which it receives a fee from us. The fee from NYAIP was not significant to our 2007 or 2006 results of operations.

Voluntary Pools

We have terminated our participation in virtually all voluntary pool business; however, we continue to be subject to claims related to years in which we were a participant. The most significant of these pools is a voluntary excess and casualty reinsurance pool known as the Excess and Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA), in which we were a participant from 1950 to 1982. In 1982, the pool was dissolved and since that time the business has been in runoff. Our participation in this pool has resulted in average paid losses of approximately \$3 million annually over the past ten years. Because of the inherent uncertainty regarding the types of claims in this pool, there can be no assurance that the reserves will be sufficient. Loss and LAE reserves for our voluntary pools were \$76.0 million and \$74.8 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, including \$53.3 million related to ECRA as of December 31, 2007 and 2006. Excluding the ECRA pool, the average annual paid losses and reserve balances at December 31, 2007 for other voluntary pools were not individually significant.

RESERVE FOR UNPAID LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

Reference is made to Property and Casualty Reserve for Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses on pages 41 to 46 of Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K.

Our property and casualty actuaries review the reserves each quarter and certify the reserves annually as required for statutory filings. Significant periods of time often elapse between the occurrence of an insured loss, the reporting of the loss to us and our settlement and payment of that loss. To recognize liabilities for unpaid losses, we establish reserves as balance sheet liabilities representing estimates of amounts needed to pay reported and unreported losses and LAE.

We regularly review our reserving techniques, our overall reserving position and our reinsurance. We believe that adequate provision has been made for loss reserves. This belief is based on (i) our review of historical data, legislative enactments, judicial decisions, legal developments in impositions of damages, changes in political attitudes and trends in general economic conditions, (ii) our review of per claim information, (iii) our historical loss experience and that of the industry, (iv) the relatively short-term nature of most policies written by us, and (v) our internal estimates of required reserves. However, establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process and there can be no certainty that reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. A significant change to the estimated reserves could have a material effect on our results of operations or financial position. An increase or decrease in reserve estimates would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in financial results. For example, each one percentage point change in the aggregate loss and LAE ratio resulting from a change in reserve estimation is currently projected to have an approximate \$24 million impact on the property and casualty group s segment income, based on 2007 full year premiums.

We do not use discounting techniques in establishing reserves for losses and LAE, nor have we participated in any loss portfolio transfers or other similar transactions.

The following table reconciles reserves determined in accordance with accounting principles and practices prescribed or permitted by insurance statutory authorities (Statutory) to reserves determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Statutory reserve for losses and LAE	\$ 2,225.3	\$ 2,274.4	\$ 2,351.4
GAAP adjustments:			

Table of Contents

Reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses	940.5	889.5	1,107.6
Other			(0.3)
GAAP reserve for losses and LAE	\$ 3,165.8	\$ 3,163.9	\$ 3,458.7

ANALYSIS OF LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES RESERVE DEVELOPMENT

The following table sets forth the development of our GAAP reserves (net of reinsurance recoverables) for unpaid losses and LAE from 1997 through 2007.

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998	1997
Net reserve for losses and $LAE^{(1)}$	\$ 2 225 3	\$ 2 274 4	\$ 2 351 1	\$ 2 161 5	\$ 2 078 9	\$ 2 083 8	\$ 2,056.9	\$ 1,902.2	\$ 1 924 5	\$ 2,005.5	\$ 2 038 7
Cumulative amount paid as $of^{(2)}$:		φ 2,271.1	φ 2,351.1	φ 2,101.5	\$2,070.9	\$ 2,005.0	φ 2,050.9	φ 1,902.2	φ1,721.5	φ 2,005.5	φ 2,050.7
One year later		689.9	729.5	622.0	658.3	784.5	763.6	780.3	703.8	638.0	643.0
Two years later			1,121.9	967.0	995.4	1,131.7	1,213.6	1,180.1	1,063.8	996.0	967.4
Three years later				1,175.4	1,217.1	1,339.5	1,423.9	1,458.3	1,298.2	1,203.0	1,180.7
Four years later					1,351.6	1,478.9	1,551.5	1,567.8	1,471.8	1,333.0	1,301.5
Five years later						1,566.8	1,636.9	1,636.9	1,524.4	1,446.0	1,375.5
Six years later							1,696.3	1,689.0	1,560.6	1,497.5	1,458.7
Seven years later								1,731.0	1,596.4	1,537.4	1,496.3
Eight years later									1,627.2	1,573.3	1,528.0
Nine years later										1,606.1	1,557.2
Ten years later											1,585.5
Net reserve re-estimated as of ⁽³⁾ :											
End of year	2,225.3	2,274.4	2,351.1	2,161.5	2,078.9	2,083.8	2,056.9	1,902.2	1,924.5	2,005.5	2,038.7
One year later		2,138.0	2,271.1	2,082.0	2,064.4	2,124.2	2,063.3	2,010.8	1,837.1	1,822.1	1,911.5
Two years later			2,155.8	1,989.6	2,017.4	2,115.3	2,122.5	2,028.2	1,863.3	1,781.4	1,796.8
Three years later				1,899.6	1,971.5	2,093.9	2,124.3	2,066.6	1,863.0	1,818.6	1,734.9
Four years later					1,917.3	2,074.0	2,121.6	2,071.1	1,893.6	1,823.5	1,762.9
Five years later						2,041.6	2,121.7	2,078.3	1,901.6	1,860.5	1,770.9
Six years later							2,103.2	2,084.1	1,913.4	1,871.0	1,806.8
Seven years later								2,074.8	1,925.4	1,883.1	1,818.3
Eight years later									1,920.9	1,897.6	1,834.7
Nine years later										1,896.0	1,851.0
Ten years later											1,851.3
Redundancy (deficiency), net ^(4,5)	\$	\$ 136.4	\$ 195.3	\$ 261.9	\$ 161.6	\$ 42.2	\$ (46.3)	\$ (172.6)	\$ 3.6	\$ 109.5	\$ 187.4

(1) Sets forth the estimated net liability for unpaid losses and LAE recorded at the balance sheet date for each of the indicated years; represents the estimated amount of net losses and LAE for claims arising in the current and all prior years that are unpaid at the balance sheet date, including incurred but not reported (IBNR) reserves.

⁽²⁾ Cumulative loss and LAE payments made in succeeding years for losses incurred prior to the balance sheet date.

⁽³⁾ Re-estimated amount of the previously recorded liability based on experience for each succeeding year; increased or decreased as payments are made and more information becomes known about the severity of remaining unpaid claims.

(4)

Cumulative redundancy or deficiency at December 31, 2007 of the net reserve amounts shown on the top line of the corresponding column. A redundancy in reserves means the reserves established in prior years exceeded actual losses and LAE or were re-evaluated at less than the original reserved amount. A deficiency in reserves means the reserves established in prior years were less than actual losses and LAE or were re-evaluated at more than the original reserved amount.

⁽⁵⁾ The following table sets forth the development of gross reserve for unpaid losses and LAE from 1998 through 2007:

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003	2002	2001	2000	1999	1998
Reserve for losses and LAE:										
Gross liability	\$ 3.165.8	\$ 3.163.9	\$ 3.458.7	\$ 3,068.6	\$ 3.018.9	\$ 2.961.7	\$ 2.921.5	\$ 2.719.1	\$ 2.618.7	\$ 2.597.2
Reinsurance recoverable	940.5	889.5	1,107.6	907.1	940.0	877.9	864.6	816.9	694.2	591.7
	7.010	00710	1,10,10	<i>y</i> 0,112	,	07702	00.110	0100		0,111
Net liability	\$ 2,225.3	\$ 2,274.4	\$ 2,351.1	\$ 2,161.5	\$ 2,078.9	\$ 2,083.8	\$ 2,056.9	\$ 1,902.2	\$ 1,924.5	\$ 2,005.5
One year later:										
Gross re-estimated liability		\$ 3,047.0	\$ 3,409.9	\$ 3,005.9	\$ 2,972.2	\$ 3,118.6	\$ 2,926.4	\$ 2,882.0	\$ 2,553.4	\$ 2,432.9
Re-estimated recoverable		909.0	1,138.8	923.9	907.8	994.4	863.1	871.2	716.3	610.8
Net re-estimated liability		\$ 2,138.0	\$ 2,271.1	\$ 2,082.0	\$ 2,064.4	\$ 2,124.2	\$ 2,063.3	\$ 2,010.8	\$ 1,837.1	\$ 1,822.1
Two years later:										
Gross re-estimated liability			\$ 3,334.1	\$ 2,941.5	\$ 2,970.7	\$ 3,113.5	\$ 3,118.9	\$ 2,913.0	\$ 2,640.8	\$ 2,379.6
Re-estimated recoverable			1,178.3	951.9	953.3	998.2	996.4	884.8	777.5	598.2
Net re-estimated liability			\$ 2,155.8	\$ 1,989.6	\$ 2,017.4	\$ 2,115.3	\$ 2,122.5	\$ 2,028.2	\$ 1,863.3	\$ 1,781.4
Three years later:										
Gross re-estimated liability				\$ 2,897.7	\$ 2,951.0	\$ 3,129.4	\$ 3,146.6	\$ 3,063.9	\$ 2,658.0	\$ 2,439.7
Re-estimated recoverable				998.1	979.5	1,035.5	1,022.3	997.3	795.0	621.1
						,	,			
Net re-estimated liability				\$ 1,899.6	\$ 1,971.5	\$ 2,093.9	\$ 2,124.3	\$ 2,066.6	\$ 1,863.0	\$ 1,818.6
Four years later:										
Gross re-estimated liability					\$ 2,935.1	\$ 3,128.6	\$ 3,178.8	\$ 3,088.5	\$ 2,782.4	\$ 2,458.4
Re-estimated recoverable					1,017.8	1,054.6	1,057.2	1,017.4	888.8	634.9
Net re-estimated liability					\$ 1,917.3	\$ 2,074.0	\$ 2,121.6	\$ 2,071.1	\$ 1,893.6	\$ 1,823.5
Five years later:										
Gross re-estimated liability						\$ 3,134.4	\$ 3,197.0	\$ 3,126.1	\$ 2,814.1	\$ 2,576.4
Re-estimated recoverable						1,092.8	1,075.3	1,047.8	912.5	715.9
Net re-estimated liability						\$ 2,041.6	\$ 2,121.7	\$ 2,078.3	\$ 1,901.6	\$ 1,860.5
Six years later:										
Gross re-estimated liability							\$ 3 213 9	\$ 3 148 7	\$ 2,848.1	\$ 2 619 0
Re-estimated recoverable							1,110.7	1,064.6	934.7	748.0
Net re-estimated liability							\$ 2,103.2	\$ 2,084.1	\$ 1,913.4	\$ 1,871.0
Seven years later:										
Gross re-estimated liability								\$ 3.172.9	\$ 2,871.6	\$ 2.649.2
Re-estimated recoverable								1,098.1	946.2	766.1
Net re-estimated liability								\$ 2,074.8	\$ 1,925.4	\$ 1,883.1
Eight years later:										
Gross re-estimated liability									\$ 2,901.4	\$ 2,672.9
Re-estimated recoverable									980.5	775.3
Net re-estimated liability									\$ 1,920.9	\$ 1,897.6

Nine years later:	
Gross re-estimated liability	\$ 2,703.8
Re-estimated recoverable	807.8
Net re-estimated liability	\$ 1,896.0

Reinsurance

We maintain a reinsurance program designed to protect against large or unusual losses and LAE activity. We utilize a variety of reinsurance agreements, which are intended to control our exposure to large property and casualty losses, stabilize earnings and protect capital resources, including facultative reinsurance, excess of loss reinsurance and catastrophe reinsurance. Catastrophe reinsurance serves to protect us, as the ceding insurer, from significant losses arising from a single event such as snow, ice storm, hurricane, earthquake, tornado, wind, hail, terrorism, fire, explosion, or other extraordinary events. We determine the appropriate amount of reinsurance based upon our evaluation of the risks insured, exposure analyses prepared by consultants and/or reinsurers and on market conditions, including the availability and pricing of reinsurance.

We cede to reinsurers a portion of our risk based upon insurance policies subject to such reinsurance. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve us from our obligations to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses to us. We believe that the terms of our reinsurance contracts are consistent with industry practice in that they contain standard terms with respect to lines of business covered, limit and retention, arbitration and occurrence. We believe our reinsurers are financially sound, based upon our ongoing review of their financial statements, financial strength ratings assigned to them by rating agencies, their reputations in the reinsurance marketplace, and the analysis and guidance of our reinsurance advisors.

Our property catastrophe occurrence reinsurance program is expected to cost approximately \$33 million in 2008, compared to \$48.8 million in 2007. In 2008, we purchased catastrophe reinsurance coverage which provided for maximum loss coverage limits of \$700 million and a combined co-participation and retention level of \$197 million of losses for a single event. Our 2007 catastrophe coverage provided maximum loss coverage limits of \$600 million with a combined co-participation and retention level of \$167 million of losses for a single event. Our 2008 treaty requires an automatic reinstatement premium when we exhaust this maximum coverage. We believe the increase in retention for 2008 is appropriate given our increased surplus and the current reinsurance pricing environment.

While we exclude coverage of nuclear, chemical or biological events from the personal and commercial policies we write, we are required under TRIPRA to offer this coverage in our workers compensation policies. We have reinsurance coverage under our casualty reinsurance treaty for losses that result from nuclear, chemical or biological events of approximately \$10 million. All other treaties exclude such coverage. Further, under TRIPRA, our retention of losses from such events, if deemed certified terrorist events, is limited to an estimated \$150.1 million deductible and 15% of losses in excess of this deductible in 2008. However, there can be no assurance that such events would not be material to our financial position or results of operations.

As described above under Residual Markets and Pooling Arrangements Reinsurance Facilities and Pools, we are subject to concentration of risk with respect to reinsurance ceded to various mandatory residual market mechanisms.

Reference is made to Note 17 Reinsurance on pages 109 and 110 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K. Reference is also made to Reinsurance Facilities and Pools on pages 8 and 9 of this Form 10-K.

The following tables summarize our reinsurance programs (excluding coverage available under the federal terrorism reinsurance program):

2007

(in millions)

(in millions)			Reinsurance	
			Coverage, Including Non-Certified	Certified Terrorism Coverage
Treaty	Loss Amount	Loss Retention	Terrorism	(as defined by TRIEA)
Property catastrophe occurrence treaty				
All perils, per occurrence	< \$90.0	100%	NA	NA
	\$90.0 to \$600.0	15%	85%	85%; Personal Lines only
Property new wisk treaty (1)	> \$600.0	100%	NA	NA
Property per risk treaty ⁽¹⁾ All perils including excess commercial marine, per risk	< \$2.0	100%	NA	NA
name, per risk	\$2.0 to \$50.0	NA	100%	100%
	> \$50.0	100%	NA	NA
Casualty reinsurance ⁽²⁾				
Each loss, per occurrence for general liability, automobile liability and workers	< \$0.5	100%	NA	NA 40%; subject to annual aggregate limit
compensation ⁽³⁾	\$0.5 to \$1.25	60%	40%	100%; subject to annual aggregate limit
	\$1.25 to \$30.0	NA	100%	NA
	>\$30.0	100%	NA	
Umbrella reinsurance ⁽¹⁾ Excess of loss treaty on umbrella liability coverages	<\$1.0	100%	NA	NA
	\$1.0 to \$15.0	NA	100%	100%; non-target risks only
	>\$15.0	100%	NA	NA
Commercial marine reinsurance (1)				
All inland and ocean marine, each occurrence	< \$1.0	100%	NA	NA
	\$1.0 excess \$1.0 annual aggregate deductible			
	\$2.0 to \$6.0	16%	84%	84%; inland marine only
	>\$6.0	NA	100%	100%; inland marine only
		100%	NA	NA
Surety/fidelity bond reinsurance ⁽¹⁾	<i>4</i> -	10.5-1		
Excess of loss treaty on bond business	< \$2.0	100%	NA	NA
	\$3.0 excess \$1.0 annual aggregate deductible			
	\$5.0 to \$35.0	17.5%	82.5%	NA

> \$35.0	10%	90%	NA
	100%	NA	NA

NA Not applicable

- ⁽¹⁾ The property per risk, commercial marine and surety/fidelity bond treaties have an annual effective date of July 1st and the excess of loss on umbrella liability coverage is continuous. All other treaties have January 1st effective dates. The commercial marine reinsurance was terminated June 30, 2007, however, the property per risk treaty continued to cover commercial marine at a higher retention.
- ⁽²⁾ The casualty reinsurance treaty includes \$10 million of coverage for acts of terrorism including nuclear, chemical or biological events. Certified terrorism losses, which are not related to nuclear, chemical or biological events are subject to annual aggregate limits.
- ⁽³⁾ Coverage between \$5M and \$30M under this agreement is clash reinsurance. Clash reinsurance is a type of excess of loss reinsurance in which an insurance company is reinsured in the event there is a casualty loss affecting two or more insureds.

2008

(in millions)

(in muuons)			Reinsurance Coverage, Including Domestic	Foreign and Domestic Terrorism Coverage
Treaty	Loss Amount	Loss Retention	Terrorism	(as defined by TRIPRA)
Property catastrophe occurrence treaty All perils, per occurrence	< \$150.0	100%	NA	NA
	\$150.0 to \$250.0	47%	53%	53%; Personal Lines only
	\$250.0 to \$700.0	NA	100%	NA
	> \$700.0	100%	NA	NA
Property per risk treaty ⁽¹⁾ All perils including commercial marine, per	< \$2.0	100%	NA	NA
risk	\$2.0 to \$50.0	NA	100%	100%
	>\$50.0	100%	NA	NA
Casualty reinsurance ⁽²⁾ Each loss, per occurrence for general liability, automobile liability, and workers	< \$2.0	100%	NA	NA
compensation ⁽³⁾	\$2.0 to \$5.0	25%	75%	subject to \$10M annual aggregate limit
	\$5.0 to \$10.0 \$10.0 to \$30.0	NA	100%	subject to \$5M annual aggregate limit
	> \$30.0	NA	100%	subject to \$20M annual aggregate limit
		100%	NA	NA
Umbrella ⁽⁴⁾	< \$2.0	100%	NA	NA
(Shared coverage with general liability, automobile liability, and workers	\$2.0 to \$5.0	25%	75%	subject to \$10M annual aggregate limit
compensation)	\$5.0 to \$10.0	NA	100%	subject to \$5M annual aggregate limit 100%
	\$10.0 to \$20.0	NA	100%	NA
C_{1} (1)	> \$20.0	100%	NA	
Surety/fidelity bond reinsurance ⁽¹⁾ Excess of loss treaty on bond business	< \$3.0	100%	NA	NA
	\$3.0 to \$35.0	10%	90%	NA
	> \$35.0	100%	NA	NA
Professional liability reinsurance	+ ¢0 75	1000	NT 4	NT 4
	< \$0.75 \$0.75 to \$1.0	100% 25%	NA 75%	NA NA
	\$1.0 to \$4.0	20%	80%	NA
NA Not applicable	> \$4.0	100%	NA	NA

- ⁽¹⁾ The property per risk and surety/fidelity bond treaties have an annual effective date of July 1st. All other treaties have January 1st effective dates.
- ⁽²⁾ The casualty reinsurance treaty includes \$10 million for acts of terrorism including nuclear, chemical or biological events. Other foreign and domestic terrorism losses are subject to annual aggregate limits.
- ⁽³⁾ Coverage between \$10M and \$30M under this agreement is clash reinsurance. Clash reinsurance is a type of excess of loss reinsurance in which an insurance company is reinsured in the event there is a casualty loss affecting two or more insureds.
- (4) Effective January 1, 2008, umbrella is covered under our casualty reinsurance treaty subject to separate limits as defined. Umbrella and casualty lines share coverage at the \$2 million to \$10 million layers.

LIFE COMPANIES

OVERVIEW

Our Life Companies segment consists of two major components: Continuing Operations and Discontinued Operations. Our Continuing Operations business, all of which is in run-off, includes traditional life insurance products (principally the Closed Block), a block of retirement products and two remaining guaranteed investment contracts (GICs). For the year ended December 31, 2007, our Continuing Operations segment accounted for \$111.3 million, or 4.0%, of consolidated segment revenues, and a segment loss of \$7.3 million before federal income taxes.

Our Discontinued Operations business reflects the net costs and recoveries associated with the sale in 2005 of AFLIAC, including indemnification costs, operations conversion expenses, employee severance costs and the net cost of transitional services. Reference is made to Segment Results Life Companies on pages 47 to 49 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K.

Assets and liabilities related to our reinsured variable life insurance and annuity business and our discontinued group life and health business (including group life and health voluntary pools) are also reflected in this segment.

PRODUCTS

The following table reflects total reserves held, both gross and net of reinsurance recoverable, for the segment s major product lines, including the Closed Block (See Note 1B - Summary of Significant Accounting Policies, Closed Block on page 78 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K), for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	:	Gross 2007 2006				Net of Re Recov 2007	erab	
General Account Reserves								
Insurance								
Traditional life	\$	705.3	\$	723.8	\$	705.1	\$	723.7
Group life and health insurance		262.4		287.3		62.8		77.5
Other life and health insurance		30.6		34.1		0.7		1.2
Total insurance		998.3		1,045.2		768.6		802.4
Total insurance		<i>370.3</i>		1,045.2		/00.0		802.4
Annuities		00.0		07.6		2.0		7.0
Individual annuities		82.2		97.6		3.8		7.2
Group annuities (Group Retirement block)		334.0		374.7		330.5		369.5
Total annuities		416.2		472.3		334.3		376.7
Total general account reserves ⁽¹⁾	\$ 1	,414.5	\$	1,517.5	\$ 1	1,102.9	\$ 1	,179.1
Trust instruments supported by funding obligations (GICs)	\$	39.1	\$	38.5	\$	39.1	\$	38.5
Separate Account Liabilities:								
Insurance - Variable universal life	\$	83.9	\$	85.3	\$	83.9	\$	85.3
Annuities								
Variable individual annuities		300.5		362.0		300.5		362.0
Group annuities		96.9		96.3		96.9		96.3
croup annuares		, 0.,		20.0		, 0.,		20.0

Total annuities	397.4	458.3	397.4	458.3
Total separate account liabilities ⁽²⁾	\$ 481.3	\$ 543.6	\$ 481.3	\$ 543.6

- (1) Excludes reserves of \$44.7 million and \$49.5 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively, related to projected future gross losses in the run-off of our former Corporate Risk Management Services segment, in accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, *Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions* (See Discontinued Operations Group Life and Health in Note 15 Segment Information on pages 107 and 108 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K).
- (2) Includes separate account liabilities subject to a modified coinsurance agreement with a former subsidiary, AFLIAC, of \$380.2 million and \$437.3 million as of December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

We no longer issue new business. The primary insurance products in this run-off segment are participating, whole life insurance products and fixed individual annuities. Additionally, we continue to manage group annuity accounts for participants of defined benefit plans whose retirement benefits were purchased for them by their defined benefit plan sponsor. Finally, we have stable value products, which currently consist of two non-qualified GICs, often referred to as funding agreements. These funding agreements were issued to non-ERISA institutional buyers and have either fixed or variable interest rates. They are denominated in either U.S. dollars or foreign currencies.

We have interests in approximately 28 assumed accident and health reinsurance pools and arrangements. We ceased writing new premiums in this business in 1998. The reinsurance pool business consisted primarily of direct and assumed medical stop loss, the medical and disability portions of workers compensation risks, small group managed care, long-term disability and long-term care pools, student accident and special risk business. Our total reserves for these pools were \$207.3 million at December 31, 2007. Our total amount recoverable from third party reinsurers was \$159.2 million at December 31, 2007. Our total net reserves for these pools were \$48.1 million at December 31, 2007. These amounts are included in the table above under Group Life and Health Insurance . In accordance with Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 30, *Reporting the Results of Operations Reporting the Effects of Disposal of a Segment of a Business, and Extraordinary, Unusual and Infrequently Occurring Events and Transactions*, upon discontinuing this business in 1999, we established a reserve equal to the future expected net losses. Since then, there have been no results required to be reflected in our Consolidated Statements of Income relating to this business. Any losses from these pools that exceed our expected future loss reserves will be reflected in discontinued operations in the period in which these losses are incurred.

GENERAL ACCOUNT RESERVES

We have established liabilities for policyholders account balances and future policy benefits, included in the Consolidated Balance Sheets, to meet obligations on various policies and contracts. Reserves for policyholders account balances for universal life and investment-type policies are equal to cumulative account balances consisting of deposits plus credited interest, less expense and mortality charges and withdrawals. Future policy benefits for traditional contracts are computed on the net level premium method, which utilizes assumed investment yields, mortality, persistency, morbidity and expenses (including a margin for adverse deviation). These reserves were established at the time of issuance of a policy and generally vary by product, year of issue and policy duration. We periodically review both reserve assumptions and policyholder liabilities. Additionally, in regards to reserves established for pool liabilities, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other facts.

SEPARATE ACCOUNT ASSETS AND RESERVES

Separate account assets and liabilities represent segregated funds administered and invested by us for the benefit of variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders and certain pension funds. The investment income, gains and losses of these accounts inure directly to, and the investment risk is borne by the contractholders and, therefore, are not included in our net income. Reserves for policyholders account balances are equal to the market value of the underlying investment assets. We sold or coinsured substantially all of the business related to our separate accounts (reference is made to Segment Results Life Companies on pages 47 to 49 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this From 10-K).

REGULATION OF LIFE INSURANCE SUBSIDIARY

FAFLIC, our life insurance subsidiary, is subject to the laws and regulations of Massachusetts governing insurance companies and to the insurance laws and regulations of the various jurisdictions where we are licensed to operate. The extent of regulation varies, although most jurisdictions have laws and regulations governing the financial aspects of insurers, including standards of solvency, reserves, reinsurance and capital adequacy, and the business conduct of insurers. We are also subject to various agreements, rules and regulations relating to the administration of the Closed Block and payment of policyholder dividends to participants in this business. Any distributions from FAFLIC to the holding company require prior regulatory approval from the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance. Reference is made to Liquidity and Capital Resources on pages 59 to 62 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and to Note 14 Dividend Restrictions on pages 106 and 107 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and

Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

Although the variable life insurance and annuity business of our life insurance operations are subject to a modified coinsurance agreement with an unaffiliated company, FAFLIC and its separate accounts remain subject to

extensive regulation under federal and state law. In addition, we may be involved, from time to time, in investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies, including with respect to operations which have been sold, discontinued or reinsured. Reference is made to Contingencies and Regulatory Matters on pages 63 to 66 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and to Note 20 Commitments and Contingencies on pages 112 to 116 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

REINSURANCE

Our reinsurance program consists of coinsurance and modified coinsurance agreements that reinsure substantially all of our variable life insurance and annuity business, universal life, individual disability income business and yearly renewable term business. Although reinsurance does not legally discharge the ceding insurer from its primary liability for the full amount of policies reinsured, it does make the reinsurers liable to the insurer to the extent of the reinsurance ceded. We maintain a gross reserve for reinsurance liabilities. We ceded 13% of our statutory individual life insurance premiums in 2007. Based on a review of our reinsurers financial positions and reputations in the marketplace, we believe that our reinsurers are financially sound.

INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

We held \$6.2 billion of investment assets at December 31, 2007. Approximately 92% of our investment assets are comprised of fixed maturities, which includes both investment grade and below investment grade public and private debt securities. An additional 4% of our investment assets are comprised of cash and cash equivalents, while the remaining 4% includes policy loans, equity securities, commercial mortgage loans and other long-term investments. These investments are generally of high quality and our fixed maturities are broadly diversified across sectors of the fixed income market. We do not hold subprime mortgages either directly or through our mortgage-backed securities, nor do we currently own any collateralized debt and loan obligations or invest in credit derivatives. We have limited exposure to the secondary credit risk presented by financial guarantors. Financial guarantor insurance enhanced municipal bonds were approximately \$368 million, or 46%, of our insured municipal bond portfolio at December 31, 2007, with a weighted average rating of AAA. The overall weighted average credit rating of our insured municipal bond portfolio giving no effect to the insurance enhancement was AA-. In addition, we believe we have limited indirect exposure to subprime mortgages through our investments in debt securities of banking, brokerage and insurance companies. Based on the issuing companies public disclosure, we identified approximately \$142 million of such corporate bonds which we believe have significant subprime exposure. At December 31, 2007, these securities have a weighted average rating of A and net unrealized losses of \$11.5 million.

We determine the appropriate asset allocation (the selection of broad investment categories such as fixed maturities, equity securities and mortgage loans) by a process that focuses on our types of businesses and the level of surplus required to support the different businesses and the risk return profiles of the underlying asset classes. We look to balance the goals of capital preservation, stability, liquidity and after-tax return. For our Property and Casualty business, we developed an investment strategy that is intended to maximize income with consideration towards driving long-term growth of shareholders equity and book value. Through fundamental research and credit analysis, our investment professionals seek to identify a combination of undervalued securities in the credit markets and stable income producing higher quality U.S. agency, corporate and mortgage-backed securities. For our Life business, our strategy is to generate investment income while maintaining stability of investment values and preserving capital. As part of this approach, we develop investment guidelines for each portfolio consistent with our return objectives, risk tolerance, liquidity, time horizon, tax and regulatory requirements of the related product or business. We have a general policy of diversifying investments both within and across all portfolios. We monitor the credit quality of our investments and our exposure to individual markets, borrowers, industries, sectors and, in the case of direct commercial mortgages, property types and geographic locations. All investments held by our insurance subsidiaries are subject to diversification requirements under insurance laws.

Reference is made to Investment Portfolio on pages 49 to 51 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K.

RATING AGENCIES

Insurance companies are rated by rating agencies to provide both industry participants and insurance consumers information on specific insurance companies. Higher ratings generally indicate the rating agencies opinion regarding financial stability and a stronger ability to pay claims.

We believe that strong ratings are important factors in marketing our products to our agents and customers, since rating information is broadly disseminated and generally used throughout the industry. We believe that a rating of A- or higher from A.M. Best Co. is particularly important for our business. Insurance company financial strength ratings are assigned to an insurer based upon factors deemed by the rating agencies to be relevant to policyholders and are not directed toward protection of investors. Such ratings are neither a rating of securities nor a recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security.

See Rating Agency Actions on pages 66 to 68 in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K.

EMPLOYEES

We have approximately 3,900 employees located throughout the United States as of December 31, 2007. We believe our relations with employees are good.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS OF THE REGISTRANT

Reference is made to Directors and Executive Officers of the Registrant in Part III, Item 10 on page 120 of this Form 10-K.

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

We file our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, periodic information on Form 8-K, our proxy statement, and other required information with the SEC. Shareholders may read and copy any materials on file with the SEC at the SEC s Public Reference Room at 100 F Street, NE, Washington, DC 20549. Shareholders may obtain information on the operation of the Public Reference Room by calling the SEC at 1-800-SEC-0330. In addition, the SEC maintains an Internet website, http://www.sec.gov, which contains reports, proxy and information statements and other information with respect to our filings.

Our website address is http://www.hanover.com. We make available free of charge on or through our website, our annual report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and amendments to those reports filed or furnished pursuant to Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as soon as reasonably practicable after we electronically file such material with, or furnish it to, the SEC. Additionally, our Code of Conduct is also available, free of charge, on our website. The Code of Conduct applies to our directors, officers and employees, including our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer and Controller. While we do not expect to grant waivers to our Code of Conduct, any such waivers granted to our Chief Executive Officer, Chief Financial Officer or Controller, or any amendments to our Code will be posted on our website as required by law or rules of the New York Stock Exchange. Our Corporate Governance Guidelines and the charters of our Audit Committee, Compensation Committee, Committee of Independent Directors and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, are available on our website. All documents are also available in print to any shareholder who requests them.

ITEM 1A RISK FACTORS

We wish to caution readers that the following important factors, among others, in some cases have affected and in the future could affect our actual results and could cause our actual results for 2008 and beyond to differ materially from historical results and from those expressed in any of our forward-looking statements. When used in our Management s Discussion and Analysis, the words believes , anticipates , expects , projections , outlook , should , could , plan , guidance and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. See "Imp Factors Regarding Forward-Looking Statements" filed as Exhibit 99.2 to our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the period ended December 31, 2007. While any of these factors could affect our business as a whole, we have grouped certain factors by the business segment to which we believe they are most likely to apply.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR PROPERTY AND CASUALTY INSURANCE BUSINESS

We generate most of our total revenues and earnings through our property and casualty insurance subsidiaries. The results of companies in the property and casualty insurance industry historically have been subject to significant fluctuations and uncertainties. Our profitability could be affected significantly by (i) adverse loss development or loss adjustment expense for events we have insured in either the current or in prior years, including risks indirectly insured through various mandatory market mechanisms or through discontinued pools which are included in the Other Property and Casualty segment (our retained Life Companies business also includes discontinued pools which present similar

risks) or the expected decline in the amount of favorable development which has been realized in recent periods; (ii) an inability to retain profitable policies in force and attract profitable policies in our Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments, whether as the result of an increasingly competitive product pricing environment, the adoption by competitors of strategies to increase agency appointments and commissions, as well as marketing and advertising expenditures or otherwise; (iii) heightened competition, including the recent intensification of price competition and increased marketing efforts by our competitors, the entry of new competitors and the introduction of new products by new and existing competitors, or as the result of consolidation within the financial services industry and the entry of additional financial institutions into the insurance industry; (iv) failure to obtain new customers, retain existing customers or reductions of policies in force by existing customers, whether as a result of recent competition or otherwise; (v) increases in costs, particularly those occurring after the time our products are priced and including construction, automobile, and medical and rehabilitation costs; (vi) restrictions on insurance underwriting; (vii) adverse state and federal legislation or regulation, including decreases in rates, the inability to obtain further rate increases, limitations on premium levels, increases in minimum capital and reserve requirements, benefit mandates, limitations on the ability to manage care and utilization, requirements to write certain classes of business, recently announced changes to the fixed-and-established rate setting mechanism for personal automobile rates in Massachusetts, limitations on the use of credit scoring, such as proposals to ban the use of credit scores with respect to personal lines in Michigan and Florida or arising out of the recent report on credit scores issued by the U.S Fair Trade Commission, restrictions on the use of certain compensation arrangements with agents and brokers, as well as continued compliance with state and federal regulations; (viii) adverse changes in the ratings obtained from independent rating agencies, such as Moody s, Standard and Poor s and A.M. Best, whether due to additional capital requirements or our underwriting performance or other factors; (ix) industry-wide change resulting from investigations and inquiries relating to compensation arrangements with insurance brokers and agents; (x) disruptions caused by the introduction of new Personal Lines products, such as our multivariate auto and our new homeowners products, and related technology changes and new Personal and Commercial Lines operating models; (xi) disruptions caused by the implementation of a new claims system for both the personal and commercial automobile lines; and (xii) the impact of our acquisition of Professionals Direct, Inc., the pending acquisition of Verlan Holdings, Inc. or other future acquisitions. Additionally, our profitability could be affected by adverse catastrophe experience, severe weather or other unanticipated significant losses. Further, certain new catastrophe models assume an increased frequency and severity of certain weather events, and financial strength rating agencies are placing increased emphasis on capital and reinsurance adequacy for insurers with certain geographic concentrations of risk. This factor, along with the increased cost of reinsurance, may result in insurers seeking to diversify their geographic exposure which could result in increased regulatory restrictions in those markets where insurers seek to exit or reduce coverage, as well as an increase in competitive pressures in non-coastal markets such as the Midwest. We have significant concentration of exposures in certain areas, including portions of the Northeast and Southeast and derive a material amount of profits from operations in the Midwest.

Specifically, underwriting results and segment income could be adversely affected by further changes in our net loss and LAE estimates related to hurricanes Katrina and Rita. The risks and uncertainties in our business that may affect such estimates and future performance, including the difficulties in arriving at such estimates, should be considered. Estimating losses following any major catastrophe is an inherently uncertain process, which is made more difficult by the unprecedented nature of this event. Factors that add to the complexity in this event include the legal and regulatory uncertainty (including legislative changes in Louisiana to the statute of limitations for reporting certain claims and to sanctions for bad faith claims handling, as well as certain legal questions now pending before the Louisiana Supreme Court related to flood exclusion language in policy contracts and the interpretation of the Louisiana Valued Policy Law), the complexity of factors contributing to the losses, delays in claim reporting, the exacerbating circumstances of Hurricane Rita and a slower pace of recovery resulting from the extent of damage sustained in the affected areas due in part to the availability and cost of resources to effect repairs. As a result, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not be substantially different from current estimates. In addition, there can be no assurance that, in light of the devastation in the areas affected by Hurricane Katrina, our ability to obtain and retain policyholders will not be adversely affected. Hurricane Katrina has also contributed to uncertainty regarding the reinsurance marketplace, which also experienced significant losses related to this catastrophe.

Additionally, future operating results as compared to prior years and forward-looking information regarding Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segment information on written and earned premiums, policies in force, underwriting results and segment income currently are expected to be adversely affected by competitive and regulatory pressures affecting rates, particularly in Massachusetts, where the introduction of managed competition in the personal automobile line is expected to result in a significant rate decrease. In addition, underwriting results and segment income could be adversely affected by changes in frequency and loss trends. Results in Personal Lines business may also be adversely affected by pricing decreases and market disruptions (including any caused by the current economic environment in Michigan, proposals in Michigan to reduce rates, expand coverage, or expand circumstances in which parties can recover non-economic damages for bodily injury claims, the Michigan Commissioner of Insurance s proposed ban on the use of credit scores, or the Governor s executive order creating a new position of the Automobile and Home Insurance Consumer Advocate, who is to act independent from the Michigan Commissioner of Insurance), by unfavorable loss trends that may result in New Jersey due to that state s supreme court ruling relating to the no-fault tort threshold, and by disruptions caused by judicial and potential legislative and executive branch intervention related to rules proposed by the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance to reform the distribution of losses from the Massachusetts personal automobile residual market and introduce managed competition to the personal automobile market. The introduction of managed competition is expected to result in an overall rate level reduction of approximately 8% to 9%. Additionally, there is uncertainty regarding our ability to attract and retain customers in this market as new and larger carriers enter the state as a result of managed competition .

Also, our Personal Lines business production and earnings may be unfavorably affected by the introduction of our multivariate auto product should we experience adverse selection because of our pricing, operational difficulties or implementation impediments with independent agents, including with respect to its introduction in Massachusetts, or the inability to grow or sustain growth in new markets after the introduction of new products or the appointment of new agents. In addition, there are increased underwriting risks associated with premium growth and the introduction of new products or programs in both our Personal and Commercial Lines businesses, as well as the appointment of new agencies and the expansion into new geographical areas, and we have experienced increased loss ratios with respect to our new personal automobile business, which is written through our *Connections Auto* product, particularly in certain states where we have less experience and data.

Additionally, during the past few years we have made, and our current plans are to continue to make, significant investments in our Personal Lines and Commercial Lines businesses to, among other things, strengthen our product offerings and service capabilities, improve technology and our operating models, build expertise in our personnel, and expand our distribution capabilities, with the ultimate goal of achieving significant and sustained profitable growth and obtaining favorable returns on these investments. In order for these investment strategies to be profitable, we must achieve both profitable premium growth and the successful implementation of our operating models so that our expenses do not increase proportionately with growth. The ability to grow profitably throughout the property and casualty cycle is crucial to our current strategy. There can be no assurance that we will be successful in profitably growing our business, or that we will not alter our current strategy due to changes in our markets or an inability to successfully maintain acceptable margins on new business or for other reasons, in which case written and earned premium, property and casualty segment income and net book value could be adversely affected.

Recent significant increases and expected further increases in the number of participants or insureds in state-sponsored reinsurance pools or FAIR Plans, particularly in the states of Massachusetts, Louisiana and Florida, combined with regulatory restrictions on the ability to adequately price, underwrite, or non-renew business, could expose us to significant exposures and assessment risks.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR LIFE COMPANIES

Our businesses may be affected by (i) adverse actions related to legal and regulatory actions described under Contingencies and Regulatory Matters on pages 63 to 66 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K, including those which are subject to the FIN 45 reserve described under Life Companies Discontinued Operations on pages 47 to 49 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations of this Form 10-K; (ii) adverse loss and expense development related to our discontinued assumed accident and health reinsurance pool business or failures of our reinsurers to timely pay their obligations (especially in light of the fact that historically these pools sometimes involved multiple layers of overlapping reinsurers, or so called spirals); (iii) possible claims relating to sales practices for insurance and investment products or our historical administration of such products,

including with respect to activities of our former agents; (iv) adverse trends in mortality and morbidity; (v) lower appreciation or decline in value of our managed investments or the investment markets in general; (vi) issues relating to the administration of the Closed Block, including the implementation of dividend scales; and (vii) an adverse ruling by the Internal Revenue Service eliminating some or all of the separate accounts dividends received deduction tax benefits that we have received.

In particular, we have provided forward-looking information relating to the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business and its effect on our results of operations and financial position. There are certain factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated herein. These include (i) the impact of contingent liabilities, including litigation and regulatory matters, assumed or retained by THG in connection with the transaction and the impact of other indemnification obligations owed from THG to Goldman Sachs (including with respect to existing and potential litigation); and (ii) future statutory operating results of FAFLIC, which will affect its projected statutory adjusted capital and ability to obtain future regulatory approval for dividends.

RISKS RELATING TO OUR BUSINESS GENERALLY

Other market fluctuations and general economic, market and political conditions also may negatively affect our business and profitability. These conditions include (i) changes in interest rates causing a reduction of investment income or in the market value of interest rate sensitive investments; (ii) higher service, administrative or general expense due to the need for additional advertising, marketing, administrative or management information systems expenditures; (iii) the inability to attract, or the loss or retirement of key executives or other key employees, and increased costs associated with the replacement of key executives or employees; (iv) changes in our liquidity due to changes in asset and liability matching, including the effect of defaults of debt securities; (v) failure of a reinsurer of our policies to pay its liabilities under reinsurance or coinsurance contracts or adverse effects on the cost and availability of reinsurance; (vi) changes in the mix of assets comprising our investment portfolios and changes in general market conditions that may cause the market value of our investment portfolio to fluctuate, including the expansion of current concerns regarding sub-prime mortgages to prime mortgage and corresponding mortgage-backed or other debt securities and concerns relative to the ratings and capitalization of municipal bond and mortgage guarantees; (vii) losses resulting from our participation in certain reinsurance pools, including pools in which we no longer participate but may have unquantified potential liabilities relating to asbestos and other matters, or from fronting arrangements where the reinsurer does not meet all of its reinsurance obligations; (viii) defaults or impairments of debt securities held by us; (ix) higher employee benefit costs due to changes in market values of plan assets, interest rates, regulatory requirements or judicial interpretations of benefits (including with respect to our Cash Balance Plan which is the subject of the Durand litigation); (x) the effects of our restructuring actions, including any resulting from our review of operational matters related to our business, including a review of our markets, products, organization, financial capabilities, agency management, regulatory environment, ancillary businesses and service processes; (xi) errors or omissions in connection with the administration of any of our products; (xii) breaches of our information technology security systems or other operational disruptions or breaches which result in the loss or compromise of confidential financial, personal, medical or other information about our policyholders, agents or others with whom we do business; and (xiii) interruptions in our ability to conduct business as a result of terrorist actions, catastrophes or other significant events affecting infrastructure, and delays in recovery of our operating capabilities.

In addition, except where required or permitted by applicable accounting principles, components of our Consolidated Balance Sheets are not marked to market or otherwise intended to reflect our estimates of the fair market or disposition value of such assets or liabilities or the related businesses. The recorded value of certain assets, such as deferred acquisition costs, deferred federal income taxes, real estate, and goodwill, and certain liabilities, such as reserves, reflect the application of our assumptions to generally accepted accounting principles. Accordingly, in the event of a disposition of all or a portion of any such assets, liabilities or related businesses, the value obtainable in such a transaction may differ materially from the value reflected in our consolidated financial statements, which would in turn have a material impact on Shareholders Equity and book value per share. Management continually evaluates strategic options for the Life Companies, including the possible disposition of all or a portion of such a disposition, it is management s expectation that the realizable value would be lower than the value reflected in Shareholders Equity in our Consolidated Balance Sheets. Additionally, such transactions may also result in the reallocation of corporate overhead costs to other segments.

ITEM 1B UNRESOLVED STAFF COMMENTS

None.

ITEM 2 PROPERTIES

Our headquarters, located at 440 Lincoln Street, Worcester, Massachusetts, which consist primarily of approximately 758,000 square feet of office and conference space, is owned by FAFLIC.

Citizens owns its home office, located at 645 W. Grand River, Howell, Michigan, which is approximately 104,000 square feet. Citizens also owns a three-building complex located at 808 North Highlander Way, Howell, Michigan, with approximately 157,000 square feet, where various business operations are conducted.

Hanover and Citizens lease offices throughout the country for branch sales, underwriting and claims processing functions, and the operations of Professionals Direct, Inc.

We believe that our facilities are adequate for our present needs in all material respects. Certain of our properties may be made available for lease.

ITEM 3 LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

DURAND LITIGATION

On March 12, 2007, a putative class action suit captioned Jennifer A. Durand v. The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc., The Allmerica Financial <u>Cash Balance Pension Plan</u> was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. The named plaintiff, a former employee who received a lump sum distribution from our Cash Balance Plan at or about the time of her termination, claims that she and others similarly situated did not receive the appropriate lump sum distribution because in computing the lump sum, we understated the accrued benefit in the calculation. We filed a motion to dismiss on the basis that the plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative remedies, which motion was granted without prejudice in a decision dated November 7, 2007. On December 3, 2007, plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal of this dismissal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. The potential outcome and our ultimate liability, if any, from this litigation is difficult to predict at this time.

EMERALD LITIGATION

On July 24, 2002, an action captioned <u>American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, as Trustee f/b/o Emerald Investments Limited Partnership, and Emerald Investments Limited Partnership v. Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company (<u>Emerald</u>) was commenced in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division. Although AFLIAC was sold to Goldman Sachs on December 30, 2005, we have agreed to indemnify AFLIAC and Goldman Sachs with respect to this litigation.</u>

In 1999, plaintiffs purchased two variable annuity contracts with initial premiums aggregating \$5 million. Plaintiffs, who AFLIAC subsequently identified as engaging in frequent transfers of significant sums between sub-accounts that in our opinion constituted market timing, were subject to restrictions upon such trading that AFLIAC imposed in December 2001. Plaintiffs allege that such restrictions constituted a breach of the terms of the annuity contracts. In December 2003, the court granted partial summary judgment to the plaintiffs, holding that at least certain restrictions imposed on their trading activities violated the terms of the annuity contracts.

On May 19, 2004, plaintiffs filed a Brief Statement of Damages in which, without quantifying their damage claim, they outlined a claim for (i) amounts totaling \$150,000 for surrender charges imposed on the partial surrender by plaintiffs of the annuity contracts, (ii) loss of trading profits they expected over the remaining term of each annuity contract, and (iii) lost trading profits resulting from AFLIAC s alleged refusal to process five specific transfers in 2002 because of trading restrictions imposed on market timers. With respect to the lost profits, plaintiffs claim that pursuant to their trading strategy of transferring money from money market accounts to international equity accounts and back again to money market accounts, they have been able to consistently obtain relatively risk free returns of between 35% and 40% annually. Plaintiffs claim that they would have been able to continue to maintain such returns on the account values of their annuity contracts over the remaining terms of the annuity contracts (which are based in part on the lives of the named annuitants). The aggregate account value of plaintiffs from claiming any damages accruing beyond July 31, 2004.

A jury trial on plaintiffs damage claim was held in December 2006, which resulted in an aggregate award to plaintiffs of \$1.3 million for lost profits and reimbursement of surrender charges. Plaintiffs motion for a new trial was subsequently denied. On March 5, 2007, plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit which, in a decision rendered on February 20, 2008, reversed the lower court with respect to damages and ordered the district court to enter a judgment that the plaintiffs are entitled to no damages other than the return of the \$150,000 surrender charge. Plaintiffs have not yet indicated whether they will seek a re-hearing or petition the United States Supreme Court for a *writ of certiorari*, requesting a review of this decision.

HURRICANE KATRINA LITIGATION

We have been named as a defendant in various litigations, including putative class actions, relating to disputes arising from damages which occurred as a result of Hurricane Katrina in 2005. As of December 31, 2007, there were approximately 330 such cases, at least two of which were styled as class actions. These cases have been filed in both Louisiana state courts and federal district courts. These cases involve, among other claims, disputes as to the amount of reimbursable claims in particular cases, as well as the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping, business interruption and other matters. Certain of these cases claim a breach of duty of good faith or violations of Louisiana insurance claims handling laws or regulations and involve claims for punitive or exemplary damages. Certain of the cases claim that under Louisiana s so-called Valued Policy Law , the insurers must pay the total insured value of a home which is totally destroyed if any portion of such damage was caused by a covered peril, even if the principal cause of the loss was an excluded peril. Other cases challenge the scope or enforceability of the water damage exclusion in the policies.

Several actions pending against various insurers, including THG, were consolidated for purposes of pretrial discovery and motion practice under the caption <u>In re Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation</u>, Civil Action No. 05-4182 in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana. On November 27, 2006, the Federal District Court issued an Order in these consolidated cases denying our motion to dismiss. The Court held that the flood exclusions utilized in the forms of homeowners and commercial lines policies issued by THG and a number of other insurance carriers were ambiguous because such exclusions did not specify that they applied to flooding caused by negligent acts or omissions as well as to flooding caused by natural incidents such as Acts of God. The plaintiffs in these cases claim, among other things, that the efficient proximate cause of their losses was the third-party negligence of the Army Corps of Engineers and the Orleans Levee District Court ordered that discovery proceed on the questions of whether there was such negligence and whether such negligence was in fact the efficient proximate cause of such losses. On August 2, 2007, the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, issued an opinion reversing the District Court s opinion and holding that flood exclusions, such as those contained in our policies, are applicable to Hurricane Katrina-related flooding irrespective of the cause of the flooding. On November 26, 2007, plaintiffs filed with the United States Supreme Court a petition for a *writ of certiorari* requesting a review of this decision.

Plaintiffs in several consolidated cases (including <u>Sampia v. Massachusetts Bay Insurance Company</u>, E.D. La. Civil Action Number 06-0559) appealed an Order of the Federal District Court dated August 6, 2006 rejecting plaintiffs contention that the Louisiana Valued Policy Law has the effect of requiring coverage for a total loss proximately caused by a non-covered peril so long as there was any covered loss. This consolidated appeal was heard by the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in a case captioned <u>Chauvin, et al., v. State Farm Fire &</u> <u>Casualty Co.</u>, No. 06-30946. On August 6, 2007, the Fifth Circuit Court issued an opinion upholding the District Court decision dismissing plaintiffs claims. Plaintiffs thereafter filed a petition for *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court, which was denied on January 14, 2008.

On August 23, 2007, the State of Louisiana (individually and on behalf of the State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development) filed a putative class action in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana, entitled <u>State of</u> <u>Louisiana, individually and on behalf of State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development ex rel The</u> <u>Honorable Charles C. Foti, Jr., The Attorney General For the State of Louisiana, individually and as a class action on behalf of all recipients of</u> <u>funds as well as all eligible and/or future recipients of funds through The Road Home Program v. AAA Insurance, et al.</u>, No. 07-8970. The complaint named as defendants over 200 foreign and domestic insurance carriers, including THG. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of current and former Louisiana citizens who have applied for and received or will receive funds through Louisiana s Road Home program. On August 29,</u> 2007, Plaintiff filed an Amended Petition in this case, asserting myriad claims including claims under Louisiana s Valued Policy Law, as well as claims for breach of: contract, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fiduciary duty and Louisiana s bad faith statutes. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of, among other things, declarations that (a) the efficient proximate cause of losses suffered by putative class members was windstorm, a covered peril under their policies; (b) the second efficient

proximate cause of their losses was storm surge, which Plaintiff contends is not excluded under class members policies; (c) the damage caused by water entering affected parishes of Louisiana does not fall within the definition of flood ; (d) the damages caused by water entering Orleans Parish and the surrounding area was a result of man-made occurrence and are properly covered under class members policies; (e) many class members suffered total losses to their residences; and (f) many class members are entitled to recover the full value for their residences stated on their policies pursuant to the Louisiana Valued Policy Law. In accordance with these requested declarations, Plaintiff seeks to recover amounts that it alleges should have been paid to policyholders under their insurance agreements, as well as penalties, attorneys fees, and costs. The case has been removed to, and is presently pending in, the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana, where it has been consolidated into the case In re Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation referenced above.

We continue to vigorously defend these matters and other cases related to losses incurred in connection with Hurricane Katrina. The Fifth Circuit Court s decisions in the federal court cases are not legally binding on the state courts, and the effect of these decisions may be affected by subsequent decisions issued by the Supreme Court of Louisiana, including cases to which we are not a party. Two such cases are presently pending before the Supreme Court of Louisiana, where they are scheduled for oral argument on February 26, 2008. One of these cases, <u>Sher v.</u> <u>Lafayette Insurance Company, et al.</u>, No. 2007-C-2441, involves a claim by the plaintiff similar to that raised in the <u>In re Katrina Canal Breaches</u> <u>Consolidated Litigation</u> and ultimately rejected by the Fifth Circuit, that the insurer's flood exclusion was ambiguous in that it did not specify that it applied to flooding caused by negligent acts or omissions. The other case, <u>Landry v. Louisiana Citizens Property Insurance Corporation</u>, No. 2007-C-1907, includes an assertion by the plaintiffs similar to that considered and rejected by the Fifth Circuit in <u>Chauvin, et al., v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co.</u>, that the effect of Louisiana's Valued Policy Law is to render a total loss caused by a non-covered peril as covered so long as accompanied by any covered loss.

We believe that the flood exclusions at issue are unambiguous and enforceable. However, a final non-appealable order that our flood exclusions do not exclude losses from flooding caused by third-party negligence and a determination that such negligence was the efficient proximate cause of such flooding or that such an exclusion is inapplicable where any portion of a loss is attributable to a covered peril, would likely have a material adverse effect on our financial position, as well as on our results of operations. We have established our loss and loss adjustment reserves on the assumption that the flood exclusion will be found to be enforceable and effective to exclude losses caused by third-party negligence, as well as by Acts of God, and that the application of the Valued Policy Law will not result in our having to pay damages for perils not otherwise covered.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

No matters were submitted to a vote of security holders in the fourth quarter of the fiscal year covered by this Annual Report on Form 10-K.

PART II

ITEM 5 MARKET FOR REGISTRANT S COMMON EQUITY, RELATED STOCKHOLDER MATTERS AND ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

COMMON STOCK AND STOCKHOLDER OWNERSHIP

Our common stock is traded on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol THG . On February 15, 2008, we had approximately 30,580 shareholders of record and 51,908,839 shares outstanding. On the same date, the trading price of our common stock was \$43.87 per share.

COMMON STOCK PRICES AND DIVIDENDS

	High	Low	Div	idends
2007				
First Quarter	\$ 49.11	\$ 44.70		
Second Quarter	\$ 49.73	\$ 44.46		
Third Quarter	\$ 49.76	\$41.14		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 46.21	\$ 42.23	\$	0.40
2006				
First Quarter	\$ 53.12	\$ 42.98		
Second Quarter	\$ 54.11	\$43.17		
Third Quarter	\$48.49	\$41.17		
Fourth Quarter	\$ 50.25	\$ 43.95	\$	0.30
2007 DIVIDEND SCHEDULE				

On October 16, 2007, the Board of Directors declared a 40 cents per share cash dividend, which was paid on December 12, 2007 to shareholders of record as of November 28, 2007. The payment of future dividends on our common stock will be a business decision made by the Board of Directors from time to time based upon cash available at our holding company, our results of operations and financial condition and such other factors as the Board of Directors considers relevant.

Dividends to shareholders may be funded from dividends paid to us from our subsidiaries. Dividends from insurance subsidiaries are subject to restrictions imposed by state insurance laws and regulations. See Liquidity and Capital Resources on pages 59 to 62 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and Note 14 Dividend Restrictions on pages 106 and 107 of the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data of this Form 10-K.

ISSUER PURCHASES OF EQUITY SECURITIES

On October 16, 2007, the Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of up to \$100 million of our common stock. Under this repurchase authorization, we may repurchase our common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as we deem appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. We are not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program.

Period	Total Number of Shares Purchased	Average Price Paid per Share	Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs	Approximate Dollar Value of Shares That May Yet be Purchased Under the Plans or Programs
October 1 31, 2007		\$		\$
November 1 30, 2007	13,600	43.11	13,600	99,400,000
December 1 31, 2007 ¹⁾	27,193	43.97	24,000	98,400,000

Total	40,793	\$ 43.69	37,600	\$ 98,400,000

⁽¹⁾ Includes 3,193 shares repurchased to satisfy tax withholding amounts due from employees upon their receipt of previously restricted or deferred shares.

ITEM 6-SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA

Five Year Summary Of Selected Financial Highlights

For The Years Ended December 31

(In millions, except per share data)	2007	2006	2005	2004	2003
Statements of Income					
Revenues	* * 10.1 0	ф <u>а а</u> а 4 <i>с</i>	¢ 2 100 2	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ф. <u>а ава а</u>
Premiums	\$ 2,404.8	\$ 2,254.6	\$ 2,198.2	\$ 2,288.6	\$ 2,282.3
Net investment income	324.0	318.9	321.4	329.3	363.9
Net realized investment gains (losses)	1.5	(4.3)	23.8	16.1	15.1
Fees and other income	56.5	74.9	80.9	83.1	169.2
Total revenues	2,786.8	2,644.1	2,624.3	2,717.1	2,830.5
Benefits, Losses and Expenses					
Policy benefits, claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,545.3	1,471.8	1,703.1	1,646.7	1,783.2
Policy acquisition expenses	524.3	477.5	465.2	477.0	467.7
Restructuring (benefit) costs	(0.8)	1.6	2.1	8.5	28.7
Other operating expenses	369.6	413.8	382.6	440.4	490.7
Total benefits, losses and expenses	2,438.4	2,364.7	2,553.0	2,572.6	2,770.3
Income from continuing operations before federal income taxes	348.4	279.4	71.3	144.5	60.2
Federal income tax expense (benefit)	109.2	87.7	(5.2)	(0.8)	3.9
Income from continuing operations	239.2	191.7	76.5	145.3	56.3
Discontinued operations:					
Income from operations of discontinued variable life insurance and annuity					
business, net of taxes	5.2		42.7	37.2	30.6
Gain (loss) on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of	- 0				
taxes	7.9	(29.8)	(444.4)		
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., net of taxes	0.8	7.8			
Income (less) from discontinued energians	13.9	(22.0)	(401.7)	37.2	30.6
Income (loss) from discontinued operations	15.9	(22.0)	(401.7)	51.2	50.0
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	253.1	169.7	(325.2)	182.5	86.9
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		0.6	, ,	(57.2)	
Net income (loss)	\$ 253.1	\$ 170.3	\$ (325.2)	\$ 125.3	\$ 86.9
Earnings (loss) per common share (diluted)	\$ 4.83	\$ 3.27	\$ (6.02)	\$ 2.34	\$ 1.63
Dividends declared per common share (diluted)	\$ 0.40	\$ 0.30	\$ 0.25	\$	\$

Balance Sheets (at December 31)					
Total assets	\$ 9,815.6	\$ 9,856.6	\$ 10,634.0	\$23,810.1	\$ 25,510.1
Long-term debt	511.9	508.8	508.8	508.8	499.5
Total liabilities	7,516.6	7,857.4	8,682.7	21,470.6	23,289.9
Shareholders equity	2,299.0	1,999.2	1,951.3	2,339.5	2,220.2

ITEM 7

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF

FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Introduction	29
Executive Overview	29-30
Description of Operating Segments	30
Results of Operations	31-34
Segment Income Other Items	32 33-34
Segment Results	35-49
Property and Casualty Life Companies	35-47 47-49
Investment Portfolio	49-51
Market Risk and Risk Management Policies	51-53
Income Taxes	53-54
Critical Accounting Estimates	54-57
Significant Transactions	58
Statutory Capital of Insurance Subsidiaries	58-59
Liquidity and Capital Resources	59-62
Other Matters	63
Off Balance Sheet Arrangements	63
Contingencies and Regulatory Matters	63-66
Rating Agency Actions	66-68
Recent Developments	68
Risks and Forward-Looking Statements	68
Glossary of Selected Insurance Terms	68-71

INTRODUCTION

The following Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations is intended to assist readers in understanding the consolidated results of operations and financial condition of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. (the holding company) and its subsidiaries (collectively THG) and should be read in conjunction with the Consolidated Financial Statements and related footnotes included elsewhere herein.

Our results of operations include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance) and Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), our principal property and casualty companies; First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC), our life insurance and annuity company; and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. Our results of operations also include the accounts of Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company ("AFLIAC") through December 30, 2005. On December 30, 2005, we completed the sale of AFLIAC to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries (Goldman Sachs). In addition, we have reinsured 100% of the variable life insurance and annuity business of FAFLIC (See Significant Transactions on page 58 of this Form 10-K for further information). Hanover Insurance and Citizens are domiciled in the states of New Hampshire and Michigan, respectively, while FAFLIC is domiciled in Massachusetts. The results of AFLIAC s variable life insurance and annuity operations are reported as discontinued operations.

EXECUTIVE OVERVIEW

Our property and casualty business constitutes our primary ongoing operations and includes our Personal Lines segment, our Commercial Lines segment and our Other Property and Casualty segment.

Personal Lines

In our Personal Lines business, we are focused on making investments that are intended to help us maintain profitability, build a distinctive position in the market and provide us with profitable growth opportunities. We expect premium growth from *Connections® Auto*, which is available in seventeen states and is expected to be available in Massachusetts in 2008 as a result of Massachusetts implementation of managed competition . *Connections Auto* accounts for most of our new personal automobile business. Our *Connections Auto* product is designed to be competitive for a wide spectrum of drivers through its multivariate rating application, which calculates rates based upon the magnitude and correlation of multiple risk factors. Additionally, we remain focused on expanding our distribution capabilities through agency appointments, particularly in states where we have historically done little or no business. We appointed over 250 new agents in 2007 and continue to focus on the development of these and additional new relationships with agents in the states where we conduct business.

At the same time, core to our strategy is to broaden our portfolio offerings and write total accounts , which are accounts that include multiple personal line coverages for the same customer. Accordingly, in 2007, we introduced a new homeowners product, *Connections Home*, which is intended to improve our competitiveness and to attract more total account business and is available in sixteen states. Additionally, we continue to make investments in and focus on growing our umbrella product and other personal lines coverages. Having implemented a broader portfolio of products that has begun contributing to our growth, we continue to work closely with high potential agents to increase the percentage of business they place with us and to ensure this business is consistent with our preferred mix of business. We expect these efforts to contribute to growth and improved retention in our Personal Lines segment. Current market conditions, however, continue to be challenging with pricing pressures and economic conditions becoming more difficult, especially in Michigan, and may impact our ability to grow and retain business. We are working closely with our partner agents in Michigan to remain a dominant writer with strong margins. We believe that market conditions will remain challenging and competitive in Personal Lines in 2008.

Commercial Lines

In the Commercial Lines business, the market continues to be increasingly competitive, a trend that we expect to continue and intensify for the foreseeable future. More significant price competition requires us to continue to be highly disciplined in our underwriting process to ensure that we grow the business only at acceptable margins. We continue to target, through mid-sized agents, small and first-tier middle markets, which encompass clients whose premiums are generally below \$200,000. We plan to continue to develop our specialty businesses, particularly bond and inland marine, which on average are expected to offer higher margins over time and enable us to deliver a more complete product portfolio to our agents and policyholders in our target markets. During 2007, we experienced significant new business growth in our specialty lines and anticipate further growth in these lines in the future. We continue to focus on expanding our product offerings in specialty businesses as evidenced through our acquisition of Professionals Direct, Inc., which provides professional liability coverage for lawyers, and our recently announced intention to purchase

Verlan Holdings, Inc., a specialty company providing property insurance to small and medium-sized manufacturing and distribution companies that are highly protected fire risks. We believe these acquisitions provide us with better breadth and diversification of products and improve our competitive position with our agents.

Additionally, we have enhanced the products and operational effectiveness of our small commercial business platform during 2007. These improvements included expanded underwriting appetite for small account, low hazard workers compensation and business owners policies, as well as additional coverage features in our business owners policies. Our technology investments are intended to provide our agents with the ability to view a customer s full account and provide eligibility guidance, as well as other important ease of business improvements. Our focus continues to be on improving and expanding our partnerships with agents. We believe our specialty capabilities and small commercial opportunities, coupled with distinctiveness in the middle market, enables us to deliver significant value to our agents and policyholders in our target markets.

2007 Results

During 2007, our property and casualty group s segment income increased \$66.6 million, or 20.3%, compared to the prior year. This increase was attributable to lower losses, including a \$42.0 million decrease in catastrophe related activity, primarily due to lower unfavorable development on prior years Hurricane Katrina reserves in 2007 compared to 2006. Also reflected in the lower losses for 2007 was higher favorable development of prior years reserves, partially offset by increased current accident year losses. Additionally, net investment income was \$18.4 million higher than the comparable period of 2006.

We experienced new business premium growth in Commercial Lines during 2007, primarily in our bond and inland marine businesses. This growth, however, was tempered by increased pricing competition in our core lines of business. In Personal Lines, our actions to reduce coastal exposures and a mandated 11.7% decrease in Massachusetts personal automobile rates significantly reduced premium growth in 2007. Additionally, over the past several years, we have made significant investments and incurred increased expenses in order to, among other things, strengthen our product offerings and service capabilities, improve technology and our operating models, build expertise in our personnel and expand our distribution capabilities. Our ability to achieve significant profitable premium growth in 2008 and later years, in order to earn adequate returns on such investments and expenses, and to grow further without proportionate increases in expenses, is key to our current strategy.

DESCRIPTION OF OPERATING SEGMENTS

Our primary business operations include insurance products and services in three property and casualty operating segments. These segments are Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty. Our fourth operating segment, Life Companies, is in run-off. We present the separate financial information of each segment consistent with the manner in which our chief operating decision maker evaluates results in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance.

The Property and Casualty group manages its operations principally through three segments: Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty. Personal Lines includes personal automobile, homeowners and other personal coverages, while Commercial Lines includes commercial multiple peril, commercial automobile, workers compensation and other commercial coverages, such as bonds and inland marine business. In addition, the Other Property and Casualty segment consists of: Opus Investment Management, Inc. (Opus), which markets investment management services to institutions, pension funds and other organizations; Amgro, Inc. (AMGRO), our premium financing business; and earnings on holding company assets, as well as voluntary pools business in which we have not actively participated since 1999.

Our Life Companies segment, which is in runoff, consists primarily of a block of traditional life insurance products (principally the Closed Block), a block of group retirement annuity contracts and two remaining guaranteed investment contracts (GICs). Assets and liabilities related to our reinsured variable life insurance and annuity business, as well as our discontinued group life and health business, including group life and health voluntary pools, are reflected in this segment.

We report interest expense related to our corporate debt separately from the earnings of our operating segments. Corporate debt consists of our junior subordinated debentures and our senior debentures.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Our consolidated net income includes the results of our four operating segments (segment income), which we evaluate on a pre-tax basis, and our interest expense on corporate debt. In addition, segment income excludes certain items which we believe are not indicative of our core operations. The income of our segments excludes items such as federal income taxes and net realized investment gains and losses, including net gains or losses on certain derivative instruments, because fluctuations in these gains and losses are determined by interest rates, financial markets and the timing of sales. Also, segment income excludes net gains and losses on disposals of businesses, discontinued operations, restructuring costs, extraordinary items, the cumulative effect of accounting changes and certain other items. Although the items excluded from segment income may be significant components in understanding and assessing our financial performance, we believe segment income enhances an investor s understanding of our results of operations by highlighting net income attributable to the core operations of the business. However, segment income should not be construed as a substitute for net income determined in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP).

Catastrophe losses are a significant component in understanding and assessing the financial performance of our property and casualty insurance business. However, catastrophic events, such as Hurricane Katrina, make it difficult to assess the underlying trends in this business. Management believes that providing certain financial metrics and trends excluding the effects of catastrophes helps investors to understand the variability in periodic earnings and to evaluate the underlying performance of our operations.

Our consolidated net income was \$253.1 million in 2007, compared to \$170.3 million in 2006. The \$82.8 million increase in earnings primarily reflects increased after-tax segment results of \$37.6 million, primarily in our property and casualty business, and the absence of \$29.8 million of losses incurred in 2006 related to the prior disposal of our variable life insurance and annuity business. The increase in Property and Casualty segment results primarily reflects a decrease in catastrophe related activity, as well as higher net investment income.

Our consolidated net income was \$170.3 million in 2006, compared to a net loss of \$325.2 million in 2005. The increase in 2006 of \$495.5 million is primarily the result of the absence, in 2006, of the \$444.4 million loss on the disposal of our variable life insurance and annuity business recorded in 2005, as well as an increase in segment results from our property and casualty business. This improvement in property and casualty segment results primarily reflects lower after-tax catastrophe losses in 2006. We experienced unusually high catastrophe losses in 2005 from Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita.

The following table reflects segment income (loss) as determined in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 131, *Disclosures about Segments of an Enterprise and Related Information*, and a reconciliation of total segment income to consolidated net income (loss).

For The Years Ended December 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Segment income (loss) before federal income taxes:			
Property and Casualty			
Personal Lines	\$ 210.9	\$ 186.7	\$ 143.2
Commercial Lines	170.7	120.3	(35.0)
Other Property and Casualty	13.1	21.1	5.5
Total Property and Casualty	394.7	328.1	113.7
Life Companies	(7.3)	(3.9)	(18.7)
Interest expense on corporate debt	(39.9)	(39.9)	(39.9)
Total segment income before federal income taxes	347.5	284.3	55.1
Federal income tax expense on segment income	(113.8)	(88.2)	(1.0)
Change in prior years tax reserves	2.1	3.3	2.3
Federal income tax settlement	2.6		9.5
Net realized investment gains (losses), net of amortization	0.6	(3.5)	18.6
Gains (losses) on derivative instruments	0.2	0.2	(0.3)
Restructuring benefit (costs)	0.1	(1.6)	(2.1)
Federal income tax expense on non-segment items	(0.1)	(2.8)	(5.6)

Income from continuing operations, net of taxes	239.2	191.7	76.5
Discontinued operations:			
Income from discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes	5.2		42.7
Gain (loss) from disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes	7.9	(29.8)	(444.4)
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., net of taxes	0.8	7.8	
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	253.1	169.7	(325.2)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes		0.6	
Net income (loss)	\$ 253.1	\$ 170.3	\$ (325.2)
	\$ 253.1		\$ (325.2)

SEGMENT INCOME

2007 Compared to 2006

The Property and Casualty group s segment income increased \$66.6 million, or 20.3%, to \$394.7 million, for the year ended December 31, 2007. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$42.0 million in 2007 compared to 2006. For the periods ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina, net of reinsurance, by \$17.0 million and \$48.6 million, respectively. In addition, segment income was positively affected by litigation settlements of \$11.8 million and \$7.0 million for 2007 and 2006, respectively. Excluding the impact of all catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlements, segment income would have increased \$19.8 million as compared to 2006. This increase is primarily due to higher net investment income of \$18.4 million and a \$2.7 million decrease in losses. Losses were lower in the current year due to \$24.8 million of higher favorable development on prior years loss and loss adjustment expense (LAE) reserves, partially offset by approximately \$22 million of higher current accident year losses, primarily in Personal Lines. These increases in segment income were partially offset by a slight net increase in underwriting and loss adjustment expenses.

Life Companies segment loss was \$7.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007 compared to a loss of \$3.9 million in 2006. This increase was primarily due to unfavorable mortality experience, partially offset by lower operating expenses resulting from the continued run-off of the business.

Our federal income tax expense on segment income was \$113.8 million for 2007 compared to \$88.2 million in 2006, primarily due to higher underwriting income in our property and casualty business.

2006 Compared to 2005

The Property and Casualty group s segment income increased \$214.4 million, to \$328.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$113.7 million in 2005, primarily due to a decrease in catastrophe related activity of \$196.7 million in 2006. In 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe related activity primarily due to Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita. In 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina by \$48.6 million. Segment income was also positively affected by a litigation settlement which resulted in a \$7.0 million benefit for 2006.

Excluding the impact of all catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlement, our Property and Casualty group s segment income would have increased \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to 2005. Segment income was positively affected by an increase of \$49.1 million of favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves, excluding Hurricane Katrina activity. Also, positively affecting segment income was improved current accident year underwriting results of an estimated \$28 million, primarily due to earned premium growth in our inland marine and bond lines of business and favorable loss performance in most of our commercial lines of business. Net investment income increased by \$18.3 million, primarily due to improved operational cash flows, and other income increased by approximately \$7 million. These items were partially offset by increased underwriting and LAE expenses of \$94.3 million, primarily attributable to a \$23.6 million increase in variable compensation costs (primarily a result of improved 2006 Property and Casualty group segment results), a \$13.9 million increase in stock-based compensation primarily due to the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006, a \$16.4 million increase in investments in technology and \$6.5 million of higher expenses in support of our inland marine and bond business. In addition, there was a \$17.8 million increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to the Property and Casualty group as a result of the disposal of the variable life insurance and annuity business in December 2005.

Life Companies segment loss was \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to a loss of \$18.7 million during the same period in 2005. This improvement was primarily due to lower expenses resulting from the run-off of our continuing life business and due to lower losses in our GIC business. This improvement is partially offset by the absence, in 2006, of earnings from FAFLIC variable products that were 100% coinsured at December 30, 2005.

Our federal income tax expense on segment income was \$88.2 million in 2006 compared to an expense of \$1.0 million in 2005. The increase in federal income tax expense is primarily due to higher segment income in 2006, as well as by a reduced level of tax-exempt interest income.

OTHER ITEMS

In 2007, 2006 and 2005, we recorded benefits of \$2.1 million, \$3.3 million and \$2.3 million, respectively, due to reductions in our federal income tax reserves resulting from clarification of outstanding issues in the course of ongoing Internal Revenue Service (IRS) audits. Additionally, in 2007 and 2005, we recorded income tax benefits of \$2.6 million and \$9.5 million, respectively, relating to federal income tax settlements for prior years (see Income Taxes on pages 53 and 54 of this Form 10-K for further information).

Net realized gains on investments were \$0.6 million in 2007, primarily due to \$4.9 million of gains recognized principally from the sale of \$600.9 million of fixed maturities, partially offset by \$3.7 million of impairments primarily from fixed maturities and other invested assets. Net realized investment losses were \$3.5 million in 2006, primarily related to \$11.3 million of charges resulting from impairments. Partially offsetting these losses were \$11.0 million of gains recognized primarily from the sale of \$611.7 million of fixed maturities. Also, we incurred \$4.6 million in partnership losses in 2006. During 2005, net realized investment gains were \$18.6 million, primarily due to \$33.4 million of gains recognized from the sale of approximately \$1.2 billion of fixed maturities. Partially offsetting these gains were \$9.3 million of impairments, primarily related to fixed maturities, and \$1.0 million of losses related to the termination of certain derivative instruments.

In 2005, we sold our variable life insurance and annuity business (see Significant Transactions on page 58 of this Form 10-K for further information). In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets* (Statement No. 144), we reflected the 2005 results of AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business as a discontinued operation. As such, we have restated prior year balances related to this business as discontinued operations. We recognized income of \$5.2 million and \$42.7 million from the discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business in 2007 and 2005, respectively. The 2007 income was generated from the favorable settlement of certain IRS audits related to tax years 2002 to 2004.

In 2005, we recorded a loss of \$444.4 million related to the aforementioned sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business (see Life Companies Discontinued Operations on pages 47 to 49 of this Form 10-K for a further discussion of this business). Additionally, in 2006, we recorded losses of \$29.8 million, net of taxes, related to these discontinued operations. The losses in 2006 relate to both a \$15.0 million increase to the existing provision for our estimated potential liability for certain contractual indemnities to Goldman Sachs relating to the pre-sale activities of the business sold, as well as a \$14.8 million loss related to costs associated with the transition of this business to Goldman Sachs (see Life Companies on pages 47 to 49 of this Form 10-K for a further discussion). The additional \$15.0 million provision was recorded under FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN 45). This additional provision related to preliminary estimated expenses, reimbursements, penalties and other costs of remediating certain pre-closing processing errors relating to tax reporting to certain policyholders and others in connection with distributions under a subset of our former variable annuity business. Also, in 2007, we recorded a \$7.9 million gain related to the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards previously generated by AFLIAC.

On August 31, 2006, we sold all of the outstanding shares of Financial Profiles, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Emerging Information Systems Incorporated and recognized a \$7.8 million after-tax gain on the sale during the third quarter of 2006. In 2007, we recognized an additional tax benefit of \$0.8 million (see Significant Transactions on page 58 of this Form 10-K).

Effective January 1, 2006, we adopted Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), *Share-Based Payment* (Statement No. 123(R)), which resulted in a benefit of \$0.6 million. This adjustment was the result of remeasuring the value of certain stock-based awards at grant-date fair value that had previously been measured at intrinsic value.

Net income (loss) includes the following items by segment:

			2007		
	Property and Casualty				
	Personal	Commercial	Other Property and	Life	
(In millions)	Lines	Lines	Casualty (2)	Companies	Total
Change in prior years tax reserves	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2.1	\$ 2.1
Federal income tax settlement				2.6	2.6
Net realized investment (losses) gains (1)	(0.7)	(0.7)	0.5	1.5	0.6
Gains on derivative instruments				0.2	0.2
Restructuring benefit				0.1	0.1
Income from discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business				5.2	5.2
Gain on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of					
taxes				7.9	7.9
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., net of taxes				0.8	0.8

					2006			
	1	Propert	y and Cas	ualty				
				0	ther			
				Pro	operty			
	Personal		mercial		and		Life	
(In millions)	Lines	L	lines	Cast	alty (2)	Con	npanies	Total
Change in prior years tax reserves	\$ (1.3)	\$	(1.4)	\$	4.1	\$	1.9	\$ 3.3
Net realized investment gains (losses) (1)	1.9		2.0		(4.1)		(3.3)	(3.5)
Gains on derivative instruments							0.2	0.2
Restructuring costs							(1.6)	(1.6)
Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net								
of taxes							(29.8)	(29.8)
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc., net of taxes							7.8	7.8
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle, net of taxes	0.2		0.3				0.1	0.6

	2005 Property and Casualty Other					
(In millions)	Personal Lines	Commercial Lines	Property and Casualty (2)	Life Companies	Total	
Change in prior years tax reserves	\$	\$	\$	\$ 2.3	\$ 2.3	
Federal income tax settlement	-	•	Ŧ	9.5	9.5	
Net realized investment gains (1)	2.6	2.6	2.4	11.0	18.6	
Losses on derivative instruments				(0.3)	(0.3)	
Restructuring costs				(2.1)	(2.1)	
Income from discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business, net of taxes				42.7	42.7	
Loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business, net of						
taxes				(444.4)	(444.4)	

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire property and casualty group. We allocate the investment income, expenses and realized gains (losses) to our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying business. (2) Includes corporate eliminations.

SEGMENT RESULTS

The following is our discussion and analysis of the results of operations by business segment. The segment results are presented before taxes and other items which management believes are not indicative of our core operations, including realized gains and losses.

PROPERTY AND CASUALTY

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Property and Casualty group for the periods indicated:

For the Years Ended December 31

(In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Net premiums written	\$ 2,415.3	\$ 2,307.1	\$ 2,150.4
Net premiums earned	2,372.0	2,219.2	2,161.3
Net investment income	245.8	227.4	209.1
Other income	64.9	65.5	51.9
Total segment revenues	2,682.7	2,512.1	2,422.3
Losses and LAE	1,455.6	1,383.5	1,596.9
Policy acquisition expenses	523.6	476.4	458.5
Other operating expenses	308.8	324.1	253.2
Total losses and operating expenses	2,288.0	2,184.0	2,308.6
Segment income	\$ 394.7	\$ 328.1	\$ 113.7

The following table summarizes the impact of catastrophes on results for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005:

For the Years Ended December 31

2007	2006	2005
\$ 9.3	\$ 40.2	\$216.8
7.7	8.4	5.9
		27.0
17.0	48.6	249.7
48.2	58.6	54.2
\$ 65.2	\$107.2	\$ 303.9
	\$ 9.3 7.7 17.0 48.2	\$ 9.3 \$ 40.2 7.7 8.4 17.0 48.6

2007 Compared to 2006

The Property and Casualty group s segment income increased \$66.6 million, to \$394.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to \$328.1 million in 2006. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$42.0 million, from \$107.2 million in 2006 to \$65.2 million in 2007. For the period ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina, net of reinsurance, by \$17.0 million and \$48.6 million, respectively. In addition, segment income was positively affected by litigation settlements that resulted in \$11.8 million and \$7.0 million benefits for 2007 and 2006, respectively.

Excluding the impact of all catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlements, segment income would have increased \$19.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to 2006. This increase is due primarily to higher net investment income and lower losses, partially offset by higher underwriting and loss adjustment expenses. Net investment income increased \$18.4 million. This was primarily due to higher average invested assets resulting from favorable operational cash flows and additional holding company assets. Losses were lower in the current year due to higher favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves that were partially offset by higher current accident year losses. Favorable development on prior years reserves increased \$24.8 million, to \$153.4 million in 2007, from \$128.6 million in 2006. Current accident year results decreased approximately \$22 million in 2007. Underwriting and loss adjustment expenses increased \$4.4 million primarily due to higher salaries and employee benefit costs, principally in our Commercial Lines segment, partially offset by lower technology, variable compensation, legal and independent adjusters costs. Included in 2007 employee benefit costs is an approximate \$3 million pension expense adjustment, which is discussed further in Other Matters on page 63 of this Form 10-K.

2006 Compared to 2005

The Property and Casualty group s segment income increased \$214.4 million, to \$328.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to \$113.7 million in 2005. Catastrophe related activity decreased \$196.7 million, from \$303.9 million in 2005 to \$107.2 million in 2006. In 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe related activity primarily due to the impact of Hurricane Katrina of \$249.7 million and, to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita. In 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina by \$48.6 million. Segment income was also positively affected by a litigation settlement which resulted in a \$7.0 million benefit for 2006.

Excluding the impact of all catastrophe related activity and the litigation settlement, our Property and Casualty group s segment income would have increased \$10.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, as compared to 2005. Segment income was positively affected by an increase of \$49.1 million of favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves excluding Hurricane Katrina activity, to \$128.6 million in 2006, from \$79.5 million in 2005. Also, positively affecting segment income was improved current accident year underwriting results of approximately \$28 million, primarily due to earned premium growth in our inland marine and bond lines of business and favorable loss performance in most

of our commercial lines of business. Net investment income increased by \$18.3 million, primarily due to improved operational cash flows, and other income increased by \$7.0 million. These items were partially offset by increased underwriting and LAE expenses of \$94.3 million, primarily attributable to a \$23.6 million increase in variable compensation costs due to improved results, a \$13.9 million increase in stock-based compensation primarily due to the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006, a \$16.4 million increase in investments in technology and \$6.5 million of higher expenses in support of our inland marine and bond business. In addition, there was a \$17.8 million increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to the Property and Casualty group as a result of the disposal of the variable life insurance and annuity business in December 2005.

PRODUCTION AND UNDERWRITING RESULTS

The following table summarizes GAAP net premiums written and GAAP loss, LAE, expense and combined ratios for the Personal Lines and Commercial Lines segments. These items are not meaningful for our Other Property and Casualty segment.

For the Years Ended December 31		2007			2006			2005	
(In millions, except ratios)	GAAP Net Premiums Written	GAAP Loss Ratios (1)(2)	Catast- rophe Loss Ratios (3)	GAAP Net Premiums Written	GAAP Loss Ratios (1)(2)	Catast- rophe Loss Ratios (3)	GAAP Net Premiums Written (4)	GAAP Loss Ratios (1)(2)	Catast- rophe Loss Ratios (3)
Personal Lines:	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	(-)(-)			(-)(-)		(1)	(-)(-)	
Personal automobile	\$ 1,018.6	57.4	0.3	\$ 983.6	56.7	0.3	\$ 937.4	60.2	0.4
Homeowners	423.6	49.7	5.3	405.2	48.2	7.4	387.6	59.9	20.4
Other personal	38.6	36.7	2.1	39.0	33.1	4.6	38.0	50.3	14.6
Total Personal Lines	1,480.8	54.6	1.7	1,427.8	53.5	2.4	1,363.0	59.9	6.5
Commercial Lines:		10 -			7 0 0				
Workers compensation	110.8	43.7		110.0	50.8	1.0	119.6	81.4	0.6
Commercial automobile	194.8	49.1	(0.1)	193.0	45.9	1.0	193.1	47.8	0.6
Commercial multiple peril	349.1	48.9	7.8	351.6	49.4	11.7	323.8	83.7	43.2
Other commercial	279.5	32.7	2.0	224.4	42.8	12.2	150.7	64.3	29.8
Total Commercial Lines	934.2	43.7	3.5	879.0	47.3	7.8	787.2	71.2	23.4
Total	\$ 2,415.0	50.5	2.4	\$ 2,306.8	51.4	4.5	\$ 2,150.2	64.0	12.5
For the Years Ended December 31 (In millions, except ratios)	GAAP LAE Ratio	2007 GAAP Expense Ratio	GAAP Combined Ratio (5)	GAAP LAE Ratio	2006 GAAP Expense Ratio	GAAP Combined Ratio (5)	GAAP LAE Ratio	2005 GAAP Expense Ratio	GAAP Combined Ratio (5)
Personal Lines	10.9	29.0	94.5	11.4	30.2	95.1	9.7	28.3	97.9
Commercial Lines	10.6	39.6	93.9	10.4	41.2	98.9	10.0	37.0	118.2
Total	10.8	33.1	94.4	11.0	34.3	96.7	9.8	31.4	105.2

(1) GAAP loss ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio reflects incurred claims compared to premiums earned. Our GAAP loss ratios include catastrophe losses.

(2) Includes policyholders dividends.

- (3) Catastrophe loss ratio reflects incurred catastrophe claims compared to premiums earned.
- (4) GAAP net premiums written for the year ended December 31, 2005 include Hurricane Katrina related reinstatement premiums of \$27.0 million, \$17.7 million for Personal Lines and \$9.3 million for Commercial Lines.
- (5) GAAP combined ratio is a common industry measurement of the results of property and casualty insurance underwriting. This ratio is the sum of incurred claims, claim expenses and underwriting expenses incurred to premiums earned. Our GAAP combined ratios also include the impact of catastrophes. Federal income taxes, net investment income and other non-underwriting expenses are not reflected in the GAAP combined ratio. Our GAAP combined ratios include the impact of reinsurance reinstatement premiums, resulting from Hurricane Katrina, which represents increases of 1.3 points to each of our Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Total GAAP combined ratios for the year ended December 31, 2005.

³⁶

The following table summarizes GAAP underwriting results for the Personal Lines, Commercial Lines and Other Property and Casualty segments and reconciles it to GAAP segment income.

For the Year Ended December 31, 2007

(In millions)	Personal Commercial Lines Lines			Pr)ther operty and sualty	Total
GAAP underwriting profit, excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes	\$ 36.8	\$	7.0	\$	0.1	\$ 43.9
Prior year reserve development favorable (unfavorable)	69.2		87.2		(3.0)	153.4
Pre-tax catastrophe effect	(26.8)		(38.4)			(65.2)
GAAP underwriting profit (loss)	79.2		55.8		(2.9)	132.1
Net investment income (1)	118.8		110.3		16.7	245.8
Fees and other income	18.8		16.1		30.0	64.9
Other operating expenses	(5.9)		(11.5)		(30.7)	(48.1)
Segment income	\$ 210.9	\$	170.7	\$	13.1	\$ 394.7

For the Year Ended December 31, 2006

(In millions)	Personal Lines	 nmercial Lines	Other Property and Casualty	Total
GAAP underwriting profit (loss), excluding prior year reserve development and				
catastrophes	\$ 56.2	\$ (2.7)	\$	\$ 53.5
Prior year reserve development favorable (unfavorable)	48.1	82.7	(2.2)	128.6
Pre-tax catastrophe effect	(36.6)	(70.6)		(107.2)
GAAP underwriting profit (loss)	67.7	9.4	(2.2)	74.9
Net investment income (1)	108.2	105.8	13.4	227.4
Fees and other income	15.2	16.6	33.7	65.5
Other operating expenses	(4.4)	(11.5)	(23.8)	(39.7)
Segment income	\$ 186.7	\$ 120.3	\$ 21.1	\$ 328.1

For the Year Ended December 31, 2005

Personal Lines	Commercial Lines		Other Property and Casualty		Total
\$ 98.4	\$	11.1	\$	0.6	\$ 110.1
42.4		41.2		(4.1)	79.5
(110.7)		(193.2)			(303.9)
30.1		(140.9)		(3.5)	(114.3)
102.6		101.4		5.1	209.1
15.5		13.0		23.4	51.9
(5.0)		(8.5)		(19.5)	(33.0)
	Lines \$ 98.4 42.4 (110.7) 30.1 102.6 15.5	Lines 2 \$ 98.4 \$ 42.4 (110.7) 30.1 102.6 15.5	Lines Lines \$ 98.4 \$ 11.1 42.4 41.2 (110.7) (193.2) 30.1 (140.9) 102.6 101.4 15.5 13.0	Personal Lines Commercial Lines Product Case \$ 98.4 \$ 11.1 \$ 42.4 \$ 41.2 (110.7) (193.2) \$ 30.1 \$ (140.9) 30.1 (140.9) \$ 102.6 101.4 15.5 13.0 \$	Personal Lines Commercial Lines Property and Casualty \$ 98.4 \$ 11.1 \$ 0.6 42.4 41.2 (4.1) (110.7) (193.2) (4.1) 30.1 (140.9) (3.5) 102.6 101.4 5.1 15.5 13.0 23.4

Segment in	come (loss)
------------	-------------

(1) We manage investment assets for our property and casualty business based on the requirements of the entire Property and Casualty group. We allocate net investment income to each of our Property and Casualty segments based on actuarial information related to the underlying business.

2007 Compared to 2006

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written increased \$53.0 million, or 3.7%, to \$1,480.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This net written premium growth was primarily attributable to rate increases in the personal automobile and homeowners lines. In the personal automobile line, rate increases in all states except for Massachusetts contributed to overall growth of 3.6%. Massachusetts rates declined in accordance with the Commissioner s mandated 11.7% and 8.7% rate decreases effective April 1, 2007 and January 1, 2006, respectively. In the homeowners line, growth of 4.5% was driven by rate increases across most of our states and primarily in Louisiana, Florida, and Michigan. Total Personal Lines new business in 2007 was \$270.1 million, a decrease of \$9.4 million from \$279.5 million in 2006. Although new business decreased slightly compared to the prior year, we experienced growth in new business in the first quarter of 2007, and subsequently experienced a decrease in new business during the last three quarters of 2007. This trend is expected to continue over the next several quarters.

Partially offsetting these favorable items was lower retention, primarily on our Michigan business, which we believe is partially driven by a weakening general economy. Additionally, management actions were taken to improve *Connections Auto* profitability, which adversely

affected retention and new business. Also offsetting our premium growth in 2007 were coastal exposure management actions which resulted in the non-renewal of certain homeowners policies in hurricane prone states.

Policies in force in the personal automobile line of business increased 2.9% in 2007 compared to the end of 2006. The increase was driven by business from *Connections Auto*, our multivariate auto product first introduced in 2005 and available in 17 states, and by the appointment of new agents in states where we previously had little or no business.

Policies in force in the homeowners line of business decreased 2.4% in 2007, compared to the end of 2006, primarily as a result of declines in Michigan, which we attribute to the declining economy in the state. Policies in force also decreased due to exposure management actions taken in coastal states. Partially offsetting this reduction is an increase in policies in force in newer, growth-targeted states.

Our underwriting profit, excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes, declined \$19.4 million, from \$56.2 million in 2006 to \$36.8 million in 2007. This decline was primarily due to a reduction in current accident year profit of approximately \$34 million, primarily due to higher property losses in the homeowners line and to an increase in the frequency of losses in the personal automobile line. Additionally, underwriting and loss adjustment expenses were \$1.7 million higher due to higher salaries, employee benefit costs and survey and underwriting report costs, partially offset by lower technology, variable compensation and independent adjusters costs. These decreases were partially offset by the aforementioned litigation settlement of \$11.8 million and higher other income of \$3.8 million, primarily due to increased policyholder installment fee income.

Favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves, excluding Hurricane Katrina, increased \$21.1 million, from \$48.1 million in 2006 to \$69.2 million in 2007. This increase was driven by favorable personal automobile liability experience, primarily in the 2003 through 2006 accident years.

The pre-tax effect of catastrophes decreased \$9.8 million, from \$36.6 million in 2006 to \$26.8 million in 2007. In 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves, net of reinsurance, for Hurricane Katrina by \$5.7 million. In 2007, there were no changes to our catastrophe reserves for Hurricane Katrina.

Net investment income was \$118.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, an increase of \$10.6 million compared to the same period in the prior year, primarily due to increased operating cash flows.

Our ability to maintain and increase Personal Lines net written premium and to maintain and improve underwriting results is expected to be affected by increasing price competition, our ability to achieve acceptable margins, our ability to generate new business and to retain our existing business, regulatory actions, the difficult economic conditions in Michigan, and our plans to continue to reduce coastal exposures. Beginning April 1, 2008, our overall personal automobile rate levels in Massachusetts are expected to decrease approximately 8% to 9% as a result of the introduction of managed competition in the state. Such rates will be subject to further revision throughout the year, depending on the competitive and regulatory environment.

New business generally experiences higher loss ratios than our other business, and is more difficult to predict. We have experienced loss ratios with our *Connections Auto* business which are higher than expected, particularly in states in which we have less experience and data. We have initiated several actions to improve our results in new business; however, our ability to maintain or increase earnings and continue to grow could be adversely affected should the loss ratios for new business prove to be higher than our pricing and profitability expectations, or if required adjustments to enhance risk segmentation and related agency management actions result in making our products less price competitive. In addition, at this time it is difficult to predict the impact on our written premiums or profitability of the transformation of the Massachusetts personal automobile market from the fix-and-establish system to managed competition .

In addition, as discussed under Contingencies and Regulatory Matters Other Regulatory Matters on pages 65 and 66 of this Form 10-K, certain coastal states may take actions which significantly affect the property and casualty insurance market, including ordering rate reductions for homeowners insurance products and subjecting insurance companies that do business in that state to potentially significant assessments in the event of catastrophic losses that are insured or reinsured by state-sponsored insurance or reinsurance entities. Such state actions or our responses thereto could have a significant impact on our underwriting margins and growth prospects, as well as our ability to manage exposures to hurricane losses.

Commercial Lines

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$55.2 million, or 6.3%, to \$934.2 million for the year ended December 31, 2007. This increase is primarily attributable to 24.6% growth in our other commercial lines business, primarily inland marine and bonds. For our more traditional lines of business, such as workers compensation, commercial automobile and commercial multiple peril, net written premiums for the year ended December 31, 2007 were relatively consistent with net written premiums in 2006, as we sought to be disciplined in our underwriting process to maintain acceptable margins in an increasingly competitive pricing environment.

Our underwriting profit, excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes, increased \$9.7 million in 2007, from a loss of \$2.7 million in 2006 to a profit of \$7.0 million in 2007. This increase was primarily due to continued growth in our inland marine and bonds lines of business and improved current accident year losses primarily in our workers compensation line. These were partially offset by increased frequency of property losses greater than \$250,000 in our commercial multiple peril and marine lines of business and increased expenses. Expenses were higher primarily due to increased investments in our inland marine and bond lines of business, partially offset by lower technology, variable compensation and legal costs.

Favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves, excluding Hurricane Katrina, increased \$4.5 million, from \$82.7 million in 2006 to \$87.2 million in 2007. This increase primarily relates to the other commercial lines of business.

The pre-tax effect of catastrophes decreased \$32.2 million, to \$38.4 million in 2007 from \$70.6 million in 2006. In 2007 and 2006, we increased our catastrophe reserves, net of reinsurance, for Hurricane Katrina by \$17.0 million and \$42.9 million, respectively.

We are experiencing increasing competition in our Commercial Lines segment and are experiencing modest premium decreases on renewal policies, most notably in Michigan. The industry is also experiencing overall rate decreases. Our ability to increase Commercial Lines net premiums written while maintaining or improving underwriting results is expected to be affected by increased price competition and the difficult economic conditions in Michigan.

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment decreased \$8.0 million, to \$13.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, from \$21.1 million in 2006. Segment income in 2006 includes a payment to Opus in the amount of \$7.0 million in settlement of claims which Opus alleged in a lawsuit filed in 2003 against various parties. Excluding this settlement, Other Property and Casualty segment income would have decreased \$1.0 million in 2007 as compared to 2006. The decrease is primarily due to higher expenses in our premium financing business.

2006 Compared to 2005

Personal Lines

Personal Lines net premiums written increased \$64.8 million, or 4.8%, to \$1.4 billion for the year ended December 31, 2006. In 2005, net premiums written were negatively impacted by a reinstatement premium of \$17.7 million, resulting from Hurricane Katrina. Excluding the impact of the reinstatement premiums, Personal Lines net premiums written would have increased \$47.1 million, or 3.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2006. This was primarily attributable to an increase in new business written in the personal automobile line due to the continued introduction of our product, *Connections Auto*, and to the related appointment of new agents.

Policies in force in the personal automobile line of business increased 7.0% in 2006 compared to policies in force at the end of 2005. This increase was due to new business growth in selected states as a result of the introduction of our *Connections Auto* product and the related appointment of new agents. This increase was partially offset by reduced exposures in Massachusetts and New Jersey, where the decline in policies in force is primarily due to the reduction of certain unprofitable agency relationships and certain group business that was not well aligned with our current strategy.

Policies in force in the homeowners line of business decreased 1.6% in 2006, compared to policies in force at the end of 2005, while decreasing modestly by 0.5% as compared to September 30, 2006. The decrease from prior year primarily reflects declines in Michigan, Massachusetts and New Jersey. In Michigan, policies in force declined 3.2% in 2006 compared to 2005, while decreasing by 1.0% as compared to September 30, 2006. We attribute this decline to the slowing economy in the state, which has affected new business production. In Massachusetts, the decrease was primarily driven by our decision to exit certain unprofitable agency relationships that were not well aligned with our current strategy and, to a lesser extent, by our actions to reduce coastal exposures. In New Jersey, we exited certain group business that was also not well aligned with our current strategy.

Table of Contents

Personal Lines segment income increased \$43.5 million to \$186.7 million for the year ended December 31, 2006,

compared to \$143.2 million for the same period in 2005. This was primarily the result of fewer catastrophes, more favorable development of prior years loss and LAE reserves and higher net investment income, partially offset by lower underwriting profit excluding prior year reserve development and the effect of catastrophes.

Catastrophe related activity decreased \$74.1 million, from \$110.7 million in 2005 compared to \$36.6 million in 2006, primarily due to Hurricane Katrina. In addition, segment income was positively affected by an increase of \$6.5 million in favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves excluding Hurricane Katrina activity, to \$48.9 million in 2006 from \$42.4 million in 2005. Investment earnings grew \$5.6 million in 2006 due to an increase in average invested assets, primarily due to higher operational cash flows.

Our underwriting profit, excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes, declined \$43.0 million, from \$98.4 million in 2005 to \$55.4 million in 2006. This decline was primarily due to higher underwriting and LAE expenses of \$49.7 million, principally attributable to a \$10.2 million increase in variable compensation costs due to improved results, a \$7.4 million increase in stock-based compensation primarily due to the impact of new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006, a \$6.5 million increase in investments in technology and \$4.0 million of higher independent adjuster costs. In addition, there was a \$12.0 million increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to this segment, primarily as a result of the disposal of our variable life insurance and annuity business in December 2005. Partially offsetting these items, our underwriting results for involuntary pools, primarily Massachusetts Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers (CAR), improved \$4.1 million in 2006.

Commercial Lines

Commercial Lines net premiums written increased \$91.8 million, or 11.7%, to \$879.0 million for the year ended December 31, 2006. In 2005, net premiums written were negatively impacted by a reinstatement premium of \$9.3 million, resulting from Hurricane Katrina. Excluding the impact of this reinstatement premium, Commercial Lines net premiums written would have increased \$82.5 million, or 10.4%, for the year ended December 31, 2006. This was primarily attributable to an increase in new business driven by growth in our other commercial lines of business, primarily inland marine and bonds, as well as growth in the commercial multiple peril line of business.

Commercial Lines segment income increased \$155.3 million to \$120.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to a loss of \$35.0 million for the same period in 2005. This was primarily the result of fewer catastrophes and more favorable development of prior years loss and LAE reserves, partially offset by lower underwriting results excluding prior year reserve development and the effect of catastrophes.

Catastrophe related activity decreased \$122.6 million, from \$193.2 million in 2005 compared to \$70.6 million in 2006 primarily due to Hurricane Katrina. In addition, segment income was positively affected by an increase in favorable development on prior years loss and LAE reserves excluding Hurricane Katrina activity of \$40.7 million, to \$81.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, from \$41.2 million in 2005.

Our underwriting loss, excluding prior year reserve development and catastrophes, increased \$13.0 million in 2006, from a profit of \$11.1 million in 2005 to a loss of \$1.9 million in 2006. This was primarily due to increased underwriting and LAE expenses of \$39.9 million, primarily attributable to a \$13.3 million increase in variable compensation costs due to improved results, a \$9.9 million increase in investments in technology, \$6.5 million of higher expenses in support of our inland marine and bond lines of business, and a \$6.5 million increase in stock-based compensation primarily due to the impact of the new accounting for stock-based compensation in 2006. In addition, there was a \$5.8 million increase in the proportion of the corporate overhead expenses assigned to this segment, primarily as a result of the disposal of our variable life insurance and annuity business in December 2005. These items were partially offset by approximately \$25 million of improved current accident year results primarily due to earned premium growth in our inland marine and bond lines of business and continued favorable loss trends.

Other Property and Casualty

Segment income of the Other Property and Casualty segment increased \$15.6 million, to \$21.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 from \$5.5 million in 2005. Segment income in 2006 includes a payment to Opus in the amount of \$7.0 million in settlement of claims which Opus alleged in a lawsuit filed in 2003 against various parties. Excluding this settlement, Other Property and Casualty segment income would have increased \$8.6 million as compared to 2005. The increase is principally due to additional investment and other income in our holding company, primarily due to the proceeds from the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business at the end of 2005.

INVESTMENT RESULTS

Net investment income before taxes was \$245.8 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, \$227.4 million for the year ended December 31, 2006 and \$209.1 million for the year ended December 31, 2005. The increase in net investment income in 2007 was primarily due to higher average invested assets resulting from increased operational cash flows and additional holding company assets. The increase in net investment income in 2006 compared to 2005 primarily reflects an increase in average invested assets due to increased operational cash, partially offset by cash outflows related to the 2006 share repurchase program and payments for losses related to Hurricane Katrina. Average pre-tax yields on fixed maturities were 5.6% for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

RESERVE FOR LOSSES AND LOSS ADJUSTMENT EXPENSES

Overview of Loss Reserve Estimation Process

We maintain reserves for our property and casualty products to provide for our ultimate liability for losses and loss adjustment expenses with respect to reported and unreported claims incurred as of the end of each accounting period. These reserves are estimates, taking into account actuarial projections at a given point in time, of what we expect the ultimate settlement and administration of claims will cost based on facts and circumstances then known, estimates of future trends in claim severity and frequency, judicial theories of liability and policy coverage, and other factors.

We determine the amount of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves (the loss reserves) based on an estimation process that is very complex and uses information obtained from both company specific and industry data, as well as general economic information. The estimation process is judgmental, and requires us to continuously monitor and evaluate the life cycle of claims on type-of-business and nature-of-claim bases. Using data obtained from this monitoring and assumptions about emerging trends, our actuaries develop information about the size of ultimate claims based on historical experience and other available market information. The most significant assumptions used in the actuarial estimation process, which vary by line of business, include determining the expected consistency in the frequency and severity of claims incurred but not yet reported to prior years claims, the trend in loss costs, changes in the timing of the reporting of losses from the loss date to the notification date and expected costs to settle unpaid claims. This process assumes that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future events. On a quarterly basis, our actuaries provide to management a point estimate for each significant line of our direct business to summarize their analysis.

In establishing the appropriate loss reserve balances for any period, management carefully considers these actuarial point estimates, which are the principal bases for establishing our reserve balances, along with a qualitative evaluation of business trends, environmental changes, and numerous other factors. In general, such additional factors may include, but are not limited to, improvement or deterioration of the actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past such as changes in the mix of business or the impact of regulatory or litigation developments, the level of volatility within a particular line of business, and the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other facts. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, total recorded net reserves were 5.2% and 5.7% greater than actuarially indicated point reserves, respectively.

Management s Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions

There is greater inherent uncertainty in estimating insurance reserves for certain types of property and casualty insurance lines, particularly workers compensation and other liability lines, where a longer period of time may elapse before a definitive determination of ultimate liability and losses may be made. In addition, the technological, judicial, regulatory and political climates involving these types of claims change regularly. There is also greater uncertainty in establishing reserves with respect to new business, particularly new business which is generated with respect to newly introduced product lines, by newly appointed agents or in geographies in which we have less experience in conducting business. In such cases, there is less historical experience or knowledge and less data upon which the actuaries can rely. Historically, we have limited the issuance of long-tailed other liability policies, including directors and officers (D&O) liability, errors and omissions (E&O) liability and medical malpractice liability. The industry has experienced adverse loss trends in these lines of business.

We regularly update our reserve estimates as new information becomes available and further events occur which may impact the resolution of unsettled claims. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the results of operations as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, these adjustments are recognized in periods subsequent to the

period in which the underlying policy was written and the loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are described separately as prior year reserve development . Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results and may vary by line of business.

Inflation generally increases the cost of losses covered by insurance contracts. The effect of inflation varies by product. Our property and casualty insurance premiums are established before the amount of losses and LAE and the extent to which inflation may affect such expenses are known. Consequently, we attempt, in establishing rates and reserves, to anticipate the potential impact of inflation and increasing medical costs in the projection of ultimate costs. We have experienced increasing medical costs, including those associated with personal automobile personal injury protection claims, particularly in Michigan, as well as in our workers compensation line in most states. This increase is reflected in our reserve estimates, but continued increases could contribute to increased losses and LAE in the future.

We regularly review our reserving techniques, our overall reserving position and our reinsurance. Based on (i) our review of historical data, legislative enactments, judicial decisions, legal developments in impositions of damages and policy coverage, political attitudes and trends in general economic conditions, (ii) our review of per claim information, (iii) our historical loss experience and that of the industry, (iv) the relatively short-term nature of most policies written by us, and (v) our internal estimates of required reserves, we believe that adequate provision has been made for loss reserves. However, establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process and there can be no certainty that current established reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. A significant change to the estimated reserves could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position. An increase or decrease in reserve estimates would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in financial results. For example, each one percentage point change in the aggregate loss and LAE ratio resulting from a change in reserve estimation is currently projected to have an approximate \$24 million impact on property and casualty segment income, based on 2007 full year premiums.

As discussed below, estimated loss and LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years, excluding development related to Hurricane Katrina, developed favorably by \$153.4 million, \$128.6 million and \$79.5 million for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which represents 6.9%, 5.7% and 3.4% of net loss and LAE reserves held, respectively. Reserves for Hurricane Katrina developed adversely by \$17.0 million and \$48.6 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The major causes of material uncertainty relating to ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses (risk factors) generally vary for each line of business, as well as for each separately analyzed component of the line of business. In some cases, such risk factors are explicit assumptions of the estimation method and in others, they are implicit. For example, a method may explicitly assume that a certain percentage of claims will close each year, but will implicitly assume that the legal interpretation of existing contract language will remain unchanged. Actual results will likely vary from expectations for each of these assumptions, resulting in an ultimate claim liability that is different from that being estimated currently.

Some risk factors will affect more than one line of business. Examples include changes in claim department practices, changes in settlement patterns, regulatory and legislative actions, court actions, timeliness of claim reporting, state mix of claimants, and degree of claimant fraud. The extent of the impact of a risk factor will also vary by components within a line of business. Individual risk factors are also subject to interactions with other risk factors within line of business components. Thus, risk factors can have offsetting or compounding effects on required reserves.

In 2005, we experienced significant catastrophe losses associated with Hurricane Katrina and established gross loss and LAE reserves of \$535 million and incurred a reinstatement premium of \$27 million. Our loss estimate for Hurricane Katrina was developed using closed claims data, an analysis of the claims reported to date and estimated values of properties in the affected areas. Wind-speed data, flood maps and intelligence provided by on-the-ground staff and independent adjusters, were used to project anticipated claims and damage projections. Anticipated costs for demand surge (increased costs for construction material and labor due to the increased damage resulting from the hurricane) were also included in the estimate. However, estimating losses following a major catastrophe is an inherently uncertain process, which was made more difficult by the unprecedented nature of this event, including the legal and regulatory uncertainty, difficulty in accessing portions of the affected areas, the complexity of factors contributing to the losses, delays in claim reporting, aggravating circumstances of Hurricane Rita and a slower pace of recovery resulting from the extent of damage sustained in the affected areas.

In 2006 and 2007, trends in claims activity caused us to re-evaluate and increase our estimate of Hurricane Katrina net loss and loss adjustment reserves, net of reinsurance, by \$48.6 million and \$17.0 million, respectively. In Commercial Lines, we increased our estimate of net

losses in 2006, primarily due to the recognition of higher business interruption exposure as more complete information was provided by insureds in response to our initiative to obtain related documentation and to the impact of disputes related to wind versus water as the cause of loss. Additionally, for both Commercial and Personal Lines in 2006, we experienced the continuation of supplemental payments on previously closed claims caused by the development of latent damages as well as inflationary pressures on repair costs. In 2007, we increased our estimate of Commercial Lines net losses primarily due to an increase in recent litigation activity. We believe this increase in litigation activity is due to the expiration, on August 30, 2007, of the two year limit for a policyholder to challenge Hurricane Katrina claims. We also increased our Hurricane Katrina estimate in Commercial Lines in 2007 for supplemental payments on previously closed claims, similar to those experienced in 2006.

The estimate of loss adjustment expenses related to Hurricane Katrina increased \$7.7 million and \$8.4 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively. An increase in litigation activity in 2006 resulted from the pre-existing one year limit on a policyholders ability to challenge claims. This one year limit was extended to August 2007 by legislative action, which we believe resulted in increased litigation activity in the third quarter of 2007.

We are also defendants in various litigation, including putative class actions, which dispute the scope or enforceability of the flood exclusion, claim punitive damages or claim a broader scope of policy coverage than our interpretation, all in connection with losses incurred from Hurricane Katrina. The reserves established with respect to Hurricane Katrina assume that we will prevail with respect to these matters (See Contingencies and Regulatory Matters Litigation and Certain Regulatory Matters on pages 63 and 64 of this Form 10-K). Although we believe our current Hurricane Katrina reserves are adequate, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not substantially exceed these estimates.

Loss and LAE Reserves by Line of Business

We perform actuarial reviews on certain detailed line of business coverages. These individual estimates are summarized into nine broader lines of business including personal automobile, homeowners, workers compensation, commercial automobile, commercial multiple peril, and other personal and other commercial lines. Asbestos and environmental reserves and pools business are separately analyzed.

The process of estimating reserves involves considerable judgment by management and is inherently uncertain. Actuarial point estimates by lines of business are the primary bases for determining ultimate expected losses and LAE and the level of net reserves required; however, other factors are considered as well. In general, such additional factors may include, but are not limited to, improvement or deterioration of the actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past such as changes in the mix of business or the impact of regulatory or litigation developments, the amount of data or experience we have with respect to a particular product or geographic area, the level of volatility within a particular line of business, and the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves. The table below shows our recorded reserves, net of reinsurance, and the related actuarial reserve point estimates by line of business at December 31, 2007 and 2006.

December 31	20	07	20	06
	Recorded	Actuarial	Recorded	Actuarial
(In millions)	Net Reserves	Point Estimate	Net Reserves	Point Estimate
Personal Automobile	\$ 696.7	\$ 672.8	\$ 700.7	\$ 670.1
Homeowners	99.4	97.4	106.4	103.7
Other Personal Lines	24.9	22.1	26.1	23.5
Workers Compensation	371.1	353.9	398.0	382.2
Commercial Automobile	169.9	159.8	162.4	152.5
Commercial Multiple Peril	463.3	424.2	486.6	447.9
Other Commercial Lines	179.9	165.4	158.3	140.0
Asbestos and Environmental	19.4	20.0	24.7	20.5
Pools and Other	200.7	200.7	211.1	211.1

Total

\$ 2,225.3 \$ 2,116.3 \$ 2,274.3 \$ 2,151.5

The principal factors considered by management in addition to the actuarial point estimates in determining the reserves at December 31, 2007 and 2006 vary by line of business. In our Commercial Lines segment, management considered the growth and product mix changes and recent adverse property related frequency trends in certain coverages. In addition, management also considered the significant growth in our inland marine and bond businesses for which we have limited actuarial data to estimate losses and the product mix change in our bond business towards

Table of Contents

a greater proportion of contract surety bonds where losses tend to emerge over a longer period of time and are cyclical related to general economic conditions. Moreover, in our Commercial Lines segment, management considered the potential for adverse development in the workers compensation line where losses tend to emerge over long periods of time and rising medical costs, while moderating, have continued to be a concern. In our Personal Lines segment, management considered the significant growth in our new busi-

ness with our *Connections Auto* product and related growth in a number of states where there is additional uncertainty in the ultimate profitability and development of reserves due to the unseasoned nature of our new business and new agency relationships in these markets, as well as emerging loss trends which are higher than expected. Our lack of credible actuarial data to estimate losses in these new geographical areas and agency relationships and with this new product causes uncertainty in estimating ultimate reserves and requires considerable judgment by management. Also in Personal Lines, management considered the significant improvement in frequency trends the industry experienced during 2001 through 2006 in these lines of business which were unanticipated and remain to some extent unexplained. Management also considered the likelihood of future adverse development related to significant catastrophe losses experienced in 2005. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other factors. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, total recorded net reserves were 5.2% and 5.7% greater than actuarially indicated reserves, respectively.

The table below provides a reconciliation of the gross beginning and ending gross reserve for unpaid losses and LAE as follows:

For the Years Ended December 31

(In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Reserve for losses and LAE, beginning of year	\$ 3,163.9	\$ 3,458.7	\$ 3,068.6
Incurred losses and LAE, net of reinsurance recoverable:			
Provision for insured events of current year	1,591.5	1,463.3	1,454.8
Decrease in provision for insured events of prior years; favorable development	(153.4)	(128.6)	(79.5)
Hurricane Katrina	17.0	48.6	222.7
Total incurred losses and LAE	1,455.1	1,383.3	1,598.0
Payments, net of reinsurance recoverable:			
Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of current year	832.4	730.5	716.7
Losses and LAE attributable to insured events of prior year	630.6	620.8	622.0
Hurricane Katrina	59.3	108.7	69.7
Total payments	1,522.3	1,460.0	1,408.4
Change in reinsurance recoverable on unpaid losses	35.4	(218.1)	200.5
Purchase of Professionals Direct, Inc.	33.7		
Reserve for losses and LAE, end of year	\$ 3,165.8	\$ 3,163.9	\$ 3,458.7

The table below summarizes the gross reserve for losses and LAE by line of business.

For the Years Ended December 31

(In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Personal Automobile	\$ 1,277.4	\$ 1,256.9	\$ 1,183.9
Homeowners and Other	162.5	174.4	224.5
Total Personal	1,439.9	1,431.3	1,408.4
Workers Compensation	593.8	626.7	672.5
Commercial Automobile	250.8	229.4	245.0
Commercial Multiple Peril	541.8	582.8	812.0
Other Commercial	339.5	293.7	320.8

Total Commercial	1,725.9	1,732.6	2,050.3
Total reserve for losses and LAE	\$ 3,165.8	\$ 3,163.9	\$ 3,458.7

The total reserve for losses and LAE as disclosed in the above tables increased by \$1.9 million in 2007 and decreased by \$294.8 million in 2006. The decrease in 2006 was primarily a result of payments to insureds for Hurricanes Katrina and Rita.

Prior Year Development by Line of Business

When trends emerge that we believe affect the future settlement of claims, we adjust our reserves accordingly. Reserve adjustments are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as adjustments to losses and LAE. Often, we recognize these adjustments in periods subsequent to the period in which the underlying loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are disclosed and discussed separately as prior year reserve development . Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results.

The following table summarizes the change in provision for insured events of prior years, excluding those related to Hurricane Katrina (see Management s Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions on pages 41 to 43 of this Form 10-K for a further discussion of Hurricane Katrina) by line of business.

For the Years Ended December 31

(In millions)	2007	2006	2005
(Decrease) increase in loss provision for insured events of prior years:			
Personal Automobile	\$ (66.6)	\$ (45.2)	\$ (29.9)
Homeowners and Other	(5.6)	(3.2)	(13.1)
Total Personal	(72.2)	(48.4)	(43.0)
Workers Compensation	(24.1)	(24.4)	4.0
Commercial Automobile	(11.8)	(15.3)	(8.5)
Commercial Multiple Peril	(25.1)	(23.1)	(18.2)
Other Commercial	(22.5)	(9.1)	(4.1)
Total Commercial	(83.5)	(71.9)	(26.8)
Voluntary Pools	3.0	2.2	4.1
Decrease in loss provision for insured events of prior years	(152.7)	(118.1)	(65.7)
Decrease in LAE provision for insured events of prior years	(0.7)	(10.5)	(13.8)
Decrease in total loss and LAE provision for insured events of prior years	\$ (153.4)	\$ (128.6)	\$ (79.5)

Estimated loss reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$152.7 million, \$118.1 million and \$65.7 million during 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The favorable loss reserve development during the year ended December 31, 2007 is primarily the result of lower than expected bodily injury and personal injury protection claim severity in the personal automobile line, primarily in the 2003 through 2006 accident years, and lower than expected severity of liability claims in the commercial multiple peril line for the 2005 and prior accident years. In addition, lower than expected severity in the workers compensation and other commercial lines, also primarily in the 2003 through 2006 accident years, contributed to the favorable development.

The favorable loss reserve development during the year ended December 31, 2006 was primarily the result of lower than expected bodily injury claim frequency in the personal automobile line, primarily in the 2005 and 2004 accident years, and lower than expected severity in the workers compensation line, primarily in the 2003 accident years. In addition, lower than expected frequency of liability claims in the commercial multiple peril line for the 2003, 2004 and 2005 accident years and lower than expected frequency of bodily injury claims in the commercial automobile line, primarily in the 2004 and 2005 accident years, contributed to the favorable loss development.

The favorable loss reserve development during the year ended December 31, 2005 was primarily the result of a decrease in personal lines claim frequency and claim severity in the 2004 accident year. In addition, the commercial multiple peril line and other commercial lines experienced lower than expected claim severity in the most recent accident years. Partially offsetting these items was adverse development in the workers compensation line during 2005, which was primarily the result of increased medical and long-term attendant care costs.

During the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, estimated LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years developed favorably by \$0.7 million, \$10.5 million and \$13.8 million, respectively. The favorable development in 2007 is primarily attributable to improvements in ultimate loss activity on prior accident years, primarily in the commercial multiple peril line, partially offset by an adverse litigation settlement in the first quarter of 2007, primarily impacting the personal automobile line. The favorable development in 2006 and 2005 was primarily attributable to the aforementioned improvement in ultimate loss activity on prior accident years which results in the decrease of ultimate loss adjustment expenses, primarily related to workers compensation and personal automobile bodily injury. Development in 2005 was also favorably affected by claims process improvement initiatives taken by us during the 1997 to 2001 calendar-year period.

Although we have experienced significant favorable development in both losses and LAE in recent years, there can be no assurance that this level of favorable development will occur in the future. We believe that we will experience less favorable development in future years than we experienced recently. The factors that resulted in the favorable development of prior year reserves are considered in our ongoing process for establishing current accident year reserves. In light of our recent years of favorable development, the factors driving this development were considered to varying degrees in setting the more recent years accident year reserve. As a result, we expect the current and most recent accident year reserves not to develop as favorably as they have in the past.

Table of Contents

Asbestos and Environmental Reserves

Although we attempt to limit our exposures to asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability through specific policy exclusions, we have been and may continue to be subject to claims related to these exposures. The following table summarizes our business asbestos and environmental reserves (net of reinsurance and excluding pools).

For the Years Ended December 31

(In millions)		2	007				2006			20	05	
	Asbestos	Envire	onmental	Total	Asbestos	Envir	onmental	Total	Asbestos	Enviror	nmental	Total
Beginning reserves	\$13.6	\$	11.1	\$ 24.7	\$11.6	\$	12.8	\$ 24.4	\$10.9	\$	13.8	\$ 24.7
Incurred losses and LAE	(1.9)		(2.6)	(4.5)	3.3		(1.0)	2.3	0.2		(0.4)	(0.2)
Paid losses and LAE	0.4		0.4	0.8	1.3		0.7	2.0	(0.5)		0.6	0.1
Ending reserves	\$ 11.3	\$	8.1	\$ 19.4	\$13.6	\$	11.1	\$ 24.7	\$11.6	\$	12.8	\$ 24.4

Ending loss and LAE reserves for all direct business written by our property and casualty companies related to asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability, included in the reserve for losses and LAE, were \$19.4 million, \$24.7 million and \$24.4 million, net of reinsurance of \$11.1 million, \$13.8 million and \$16.2 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. During 2007, we reduced our asbestos and environmental reserves by \$4.5 million. In recent years average asbestos and environmental payments have declined modestly. As a result of our historical direct underwriting mix of Commercial Lines policies toward smaller and middle market risks, past asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability loss experience has remained minimal in relation to our total loss and LAE incurred experience.

In addition, and not included in the numbers above, we have established loss and LAE reserves for assumed reinsurance pool business with asbestos, environmental damage and toxic tort liability of \$56.9 million, \$57.0 million and \$55.9 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. These reserves relate to pools in which we have terminated our participation; however, we continue to be subject to claims related to years in which we were a participant. A significant part of our pool reserves relates to our participation in the Excess and Casualty Reinsurance Association (ECRA) voluntary pool from 1950 to 1982. In 1982, the pool was dissolved and since that time, the business has been in runoff. Our percentage of the total pool liabilities varied from 1% to 6% during these years. Our participation in this pool has resulted in average paid losses of approximately \$2 million annually over the past ten years. Because of the inherent uncertainty regarding the types of claims in these pools, we cannot provide assurance that our reserves will be sufficient.

We estimate our ultimate liability for asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability claims, whether resulting from direct business, assumed reinsurance or pool business, based upon currently known facts, reasonable assumptions where the facts are not known, current law and methodologies currently available. Although these outstanding claims are not significant, their existence gives rise to uncertainty and are discussed because of the possibility that they may become significant. We believe that, notwithstanding the evolution of case law expanding liability in asbestos and environmental claims, recorded reserves related to these claims are adequate. Nevertheless, the asbestos, environmental and toxic tort liability reserves could be revised, and any such revisions could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations for a particular quarterly or annual period or on our financial position.

REINSURANCE

Our Property and Casualty group maintains a reinsurance program designed to protect against large or unusual losses and LAE activity. We utilize a variety of reinsurance agreements that are intended to control our exposure to large property and casualty losses, stabilize earnings and protect capital resources, including facultative reinsurance, excess of loss reinsurance and catastrophe reinsurance. We determine the appropriate amount of reinsurance based upon our evaluation of the risks insured, exposure analyses prepared by consultants and/or reinsurers and on market conditions, including the availability and pricing of reinsurance. Reinsurance contracts do not relieve us from our primary obligations to policyholders. Failure of reinsurers to honor their obligations could result in losses to us. We believe that the terms of our reinsurance contracts are consistent with industry practice in that they contain standard terms with respect to lines of business covered, limit and retention, arbitration and occurrence. Based on an ongoing review of our reinsurers' financial statements, reported financial strength ratings from rating agencies, and the analysis and guidance of our reinsurance advisors, we believe that our reinsurers are financially sound.

Catastrophe reinsurance serves to protect us, as the ceding insurer, from significant losses arising from a single event such as snow, ice storm, windstorm, hail, hurricane, tornado, riot or other extraordinary events. Under our catastrophe reinsurance agreements, we had ceded losses and LAE of \$9.3 million in 2006, primarily as a result of Hurricane Katrina, and to a lesser extent, Hurricane Rita. There were \$0.5 million of ceded losses under our catastrophe reinsurance agreements in 2007. In 2008, we purchased catastrophe reinsurance coverage, which provided for maximum loss coverage limits of

\$700 million and a combined co-participation and retention level of \$197 million of losses for a single event. Our 2007 catastrophe coverage provided maximum loss coverage limits of \$600 million with a combined co-participation and retention level of \$167 million of losses for a single event. The 2008 program contains an automatic reinstatement premium provision in the event we exhaust this maximum coverage. Although we believe the increase in coverage and retention for 2008 is appropriate given our increased surplus and the current reinsurance pricing environment, there can be no assurance that this reinsurance program will provide coverage levels that will prove adequate should we experience losses from one significant or several large catastrophes during 2008. See Reinsurance in Item 1 Business on pages 13 to 15 of this Form 10-K for further information on our reinsurance programs.

We are subject to concentration of risk with respect to reinsurance ceded to various residual market mechanisms. As a condition to conduct certain businesses in various states, we are required to participate in residual market mechanisms and pooling arrangements which provide insurance coverage to individuals or other entities that are otherwise unable to purchase such coverage. These market mechanisms and pooling arrangements include, among others, the Massachusetts Commonwealth Automobile Reinsurers and the Michigan Catastrophic Claims Association.

LIFE COMPANIES

On December 30, 2005, we sold all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of AFLIAC, a life insurance subsidiary representing approximately 95% of our run-off variable life insurance and annuity business, to Goldman Sachs. The transaction also included the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC. In connection with these transactions, FAFLIC provided transition services to Goldman Sachs from December 30, 2005 through December 31, 2006. (See Loss on Sale of AFLIAC Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business on pages 48 and 49 of this Form 10-K).

Our Life Companies segment is discussed in two major components: Continuing Operations and Discontinued Operations.

Continuing Operations

Our Life Companies Continuing Operations business, all of which is in runoff, consists primarily of traditional life insurance products (principally the Closed Block), a block of retirement products, and two remaining GICs. Assets and liabilities related to our reinsured variable life insurance an annuity business (including group life and health voluntary pools) are also reflected in this segment.

The following table summarizes the results of operations for the Continuing Operations segment for the periods indicated:

For the Years Ended December 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Segment revenues			
Premiums	\$ 32.8	\$ 35.4	\$ 36.9
Fees and other income	1.0	16.2	36.3
Net investment income (1)	77.5	90.9	112.1
Total segment revenue	111.3	142.5	185.3
Policy benefits, claims and losses	88.8	89.1	101.0
Policy acquisition expenses	0.7	1.1	6.7
Other operating expenses (2)	29.1	56.2	96.3
Segment loss	\$ (7.3)	\$ (3.9)	\$ (18.7)

(1) Net investment income includes GIC income of \$1.7 million, \$4.9 million and \$16.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

Other operating expenses includes interest credited to the holders of such GICs of \$2.7 million, \$6.4 million and \$19.6 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively.

2007 Compared to 2006

Life Companies Continuing Operations segment loss was \$7.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2007, compared to a loss of \$3.9 million during the same period in 2006. This increase was primarily due to unfavorable mortality experience in our group retirement and traditional lines of business. These increases were partially offset by lower operating expenses resulting from the run-off of the business, coupled with lower losses from our GIC line of business.

2006 Compared to 2005

Life Companies Continuing Operations segment loss was \$3.9 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, compared to a loss of \$18.7 million during the same period in 2005. This improvement was primarily due to lower expenses resulting from the run-off of our continuing life business and due to lower losses in our GIC business. The improvement in 2006 was partially offset by the absence, in 2006, of earnings from FAFLIC variable products that were 100% coinsured at December 30, 2005.

Discontinued Operations

The Discontinued Operations reflects the results of operations for the period that AFLIAC was part of THG and net costs and recoveries associated with the aforementioned sale of AFLIAC. Those net costs and recoveries primarily include, employee severance costs, indemnification expenses, transition services and operations conversion costs, as well as the net loss we incurred on the sale of AFLIAC in 2005.

Income from Operations of Discontinued Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business

The following table summarizes the results of operations related to our Discontinued Operations for the periods indicated.

For the Years Ended December 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Total segment revenue	\$	\$	\$ 336.6
Segment income before net realized gains and taxes Net realized gains Tax benefit and change in prior year tax reserves	5.2		27.6 6.8 8.3
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 5.2	\$	\$ 42.7

The 2007 tax benefit resulted from a favorable settlement related to an IRS audit for the 2002 to 2004 tax years. (See Income Taxes on pages 53 and 54 of this form 10-K for further discussion). The 2005 operating income consists primarily of results from AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business through the date of sale. We do not expect future pre- tax results related to the operations of this business; however, we are still subject to income tax benefits and/or expenses related to those tax years that have not yet been audited by the IRS.

Loss on Sale of AFLIAC Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business

For the Years Ended December 31	2007	2006	2005
(In millions)			
Gain (loss) on sale of AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business	\$ 7.9	\$ (29.8)	\$ (444.4)

The following table summarizes the components of the loss on the disposal of this business as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

For the years Ended December 31

(In millions)	2007	2006	2005	
Proceeds from Goldman Sachs	\$	\$	\$ 318.8	(1)
Less:				
Carrying value of AFLIAC			(719.3)	(2)
Hedge results			(27.9)	(3)
Provision for certain legal indemnities		(15.0)	(13.0)	(4)
Estimated transaction costs			(10.5)	(5)
Deferred gain on FAFLIC coinsurance			(8.6)	(6)
THG tax benefit	7.5		10.0	(7)
Realized gain on securities related to AFLIAC			6.1	
Transition services and conversion costs	(0.5)	(3.9)		
Severance and retention costs	(0.1)	(5.5)		
Litigation and other items	1.0	(5.4)		
Net gain (loss)	\$ 7.9	\$ (29.8)	\$ (444.4)	

(1) Total proceeds from Goldman Sachs was based on the purchase price calculated as of the December 30, 2005 closing and were subject to adjustment based on the purchaser s review of the final purchase price calculation. Proceeds include deferred payments of \$46.7 million to

be received over three years. We received \$11.7 million and \$23.3 million of this deferred balance on December 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

- (2) Shareholder s equity of the AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business at December 30, 2005, prior to the impact of the sale transaction.
- (3) A hedging program was implemented on August 23, 2005 to reduce the volatility in the sales price calculation from effects of equity market movements through the date of the closing.
- (4) Liability for certain contractual indemnities of AFLIAC provided under the stock purchase agreement to Goldman Sachs recorded under FIN 45.
- (5) Transaction costs include investment banker, legal, vendor contract licensing and other professional fees.
- (6) Included in the proceeds from Goldman Sachs is the FAFLIC variable business coinsurance ceding commission of \$8.6 million. This gain was deferred and is being amortized over the remaining life of the policies in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 113, Accounting and Reporting for Reinsurance of Short-Duration and Long-Duration Contracts.
- (7) At December 31, 2007, THG received a tax benefit related to the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards previously generated by AFLIAC. At December 31, 2005, the tax benefit was primarily due to realized losses generated by the AFLIAC sale.

For the year ended December 31, 2007, we recorded a gain of \$7.9 million primarily related to a \$7.5 million tax benefit from the utilization of net operating loss carryforward previously generated by AFLIAC. (See Income Taxes on pages 53 and 54 of this form 10-K for further discussion). In 2006, we recorded additional costs associated with the sale of \$29.8 million, net of tax. Included in this charge was an additional \$15.0 million provision for our estimated potential liability for certain contractual indemnities to Goldman Sachs relating to the pre-sale activities of the business sold recorded under FIN 45.

Although we believe our FIN 45 liability for legal and regulatory matter indemnities is appropriate, such estimates are inherently uncertain and there can be no assurance that these estimates will not materially increase in the future. Also included in the loss for 2006 was \$14.8 million of costs primarily related to employee severance costs, net costs of transitional services, operations conversion expenses and other litigation matters. We substantially completed the transition services in 2006; therefore, we did not incur a significant amount of such expenses during 2007.

INVESTMENT PORTFOLIO

We held general account investment assets diversified across several asset classes, as follows:

DECEMBER 31	07 % of Total	2006 % of Total					
(In millions, except percentage data)	Carrying Value	Carrying Value	Carrying Value	Carrying Value			
Fixed maturities (1)	\$ 5,722.0	91.8%	\$ 5,629.0	90.3%			
Equity securities (1)	44.9	0.7	17.2	0.3			
Mortgages	41.2	0.7	57.1	0.9			
Policy loans (1)	116.0	1.9	125.7	2.0			
Cash and cash equivalents (1)	275.4	4.4	372.7	6.0			
Other long-term investments	30.7	0.5	35.4	0.5			
Total	\$ 6,230.2	100.0%	\$ 6,237.1	100.0%			

(1) We carry these investments at fair value.

Total investment assets were approximately \$6.2 billion for both years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006. During 2007, investment assets decreased \$6.9 million, or 0.1%, of which cash and cash equivalents decreased \$97.3 million, partially offset by a \$93.0 million increase in fixed maturities. Cash and cash equivalents decreased primarily due to a decline in the balance of collateral held related to our securities lending program. Fixed maturities increased primarily due to purchases resulting from improved operational cash flows in our Property and Casualty group and the acquisition of Professionals Direct, Inc. investment assets. These increases were partially offset by the sale of fixed maturities in the Life Companies to fund the run-off of our life operations.

Our fixed maturity portfolio is comprised primarily of investment grade corporate securities, mortgage-backed securities, taxable and tax-exempt issues of state and local governments, U.S. government and agency securities and other issues. Based on ratings by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners (NAIC), our fixed maturity portfolio consisted of 94.5% investment grade securities at December 31, 2007 and 93.9% at December 31, 2006. We do not hold subprime mortgages either directly or through our mortgage backed securities, nor do we currently own any collateralized debt and loan obligations or invest in credit derivatives. Also, we have limited exposure to the secondary credit risk presented by financial guarantors. Financial guarantor insurance enhanced municipal bonds were approximately \$368 million, or 46%, of our municipal bond portfolio at December 31, 2007, with a weighted average rating of AAA. The overall weighted average credit rating of our insured municipal bond portfolio giving no effect to the insurance enhancement was AA-. In addition, we believe we have limited indirect exposure to subprime mortgages through our investments in debt securities of banking, brokerage and insurance companies. Based on the issuing companies public disclosure, we identified approximately \$142 million of corporate bonds which, we believe have significant subprime exposure. At December 31, 2007, these securities have a weighted average rating of A and net unrealized losses of \$11.5 million.

The following table provides information about the credit quality of our fixed maturities.

DECEMBER 31 (In millions, except percentage da	ta)		2007			2006	
(In millions, except percentage ad NAIC	Rating Agency			% of Total			% of Total
Designation	Equivalent Designation	Amortized Cost	Carrying Value	Carrying Value	Amortized Cost	Carrying Value	Carrying Value

Table of Contents

1	Aaa/Aa/A	\$ 4,149.5	\$4,164.1	72.8%	\$4,095.7	\$4,073.6	72.3%
2	Baa	1,257.6	1,244.2	21.7	1,221.0	1,216.0	21.6
3	Ba	130.7	129.6	2.3	127.0	129.6	2.3
4	B	151.9	151.1	2.6	154.6	161.9	2.9
5	Caa and lower	32.4	30.6	0.5	43.7	45.0	0.8
6	In or near default	1.0	2.4	0.1	1.2	2.9	0.1
Total fixed r	naturities	\$ 5,723.1	\$ 5,722.0	100.0%	\$ 5,643.2	\$ 5,629.0	100.0%

Although we expect to invest new funds primarily in cash, cash equivalents and investment grade fixed maturities, we have invested and expect to continue investing a small portion of funds in equity securities, and we may invest a portion in below investment grade fixed maturities and other assets. The average yield on fixed maturities was 5.6% for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006.

At December 31, 2007, \$111.3 million of our fixed maturities were invested in traditional private placement securities, as compared to \$121.1 million at December 31, 2006. Fair values of traditional private placement securities are determined by either a third party broker or by internally developed pricing models, including the use of discounted cash flow analyses.

We recognized \$2.4 million of realized losses on other-than-temporary impairments of fixed maturities for the year ended December 31, 2007, as compared to \$9.3 million for the year ended December 31, 2006, principally resulting from our exposure to below investment grade securities. In addition, we recognized \$1.1 million and \$0.2 million of realized losses on other-than-temporary impairments related to other long-term investments and equity securities, respectively, for the year ended December 31, 2007. In 2006, we recognized \$2.0 million of realized losses on other-than-temporary impairments related to other long-term investments. In our determination of other-than-temporary impairments, we consider several factors and circumstances, including the issuer s overall financial condition; the issuer s credit and financial strength ratings; the issuer s financial performance, including earnings trends, dividend payments, and asset quality; a weakening of the general market conditions in the industry or geographic region in which the issuer operates; the length of time in which the fair value of an issuer s securities remains below our cost; and with respect to fixed maturity investments, any factors that might raise doubt about the issuer s ability to pay all amounts due according to the contractual terms. We apply these factors to all securities. Other-than-temporary impairments are recorded as a realized loss, which reduces net income and earnings per share. Temporary declines in market value are recorded as unrealized losses, which do not affect net income and earnings per share but reduce other comprehensive income. We cannot provide assurance that the other-than-temporary impairments will, in fact, be adequate to cover future losses or that we will not have substantial additional impairments in the future.

The following table provides information about our fixed maturities and equity securities that have been continuously in an unrealized loss position.

DECEMBER 31	2 Gross	007	2006 Gross			
	Unrealized	Fair	Unrealized	Fair		
(In millions)	Losses	Value	Losses	Value		
Investment grade fixed maturities:						
12 months or less	\$ 27.1	\$ 740.0	\$13.2	\$ 1,322.1		
Greater than 12 months	34.3	1,214.7	55.4	2,089.5		
Total investment grade fixed maturities	61.4	1,954.7	68.6	3,411.6		
Below investment grade fixed maturities:						
12 months or less	8.3	171.0	1.3	68.3		
Greater than 12 months						
Total below investment grade fixed maturities	8.3	171.0	1.3	68.3		
Equity securities	0.5	17.8				
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	\$ 70.2	\$ 2,143.5	\$ 69.9	\$ 3,479.9		

We had approximately \$70 million of gross unrealized losses on fixed maturities and equity securities at both December 31, 2007 and 2006. Gross unrealized losses of below investment grade fixed maturities and equity securities increased \$7.5 million primarily due to the impact of increased credit spreads in fixed maturities. The decrease of \$7.2 million in gross unrealized losses of investment grade fixed maturity investments is primarily due to the impact of lower market interest rates in 2007 as compared to 2006. Obligations of the U.S. Treasury, U.S. government and agency securities, states and political subdivisions had associated gross unrealized losses of \$4.7 million at December 31, 2007 and \$10.9 million at December 31, 2006. At both December 31, 2007 and 2006, substantially all below investment grade securities with an unrealized loss had been rated by the NAIC, Standard & Poor s or Moody s.

We view the gross unrealized losses of fixed maturities and equity securities as being temporary since it is our assessment that these securities will recover in the near-term. Furthermore, as of December 31, 2007, we had the intent and ability to retain such investments for the period of time anticipated to allow for this expected recovery in fair value. The risks inherent in our assessment methodology include the risk that, subsequent to the balance sheet date, market factors may differ from our

expectations; we may decide to subsequently sell a security for unforeseen business needs; or changes in the credit assessment or equity characteristics from our original assessment may lead us to determine that a sale at the current value would maximize recovery on such investments. To the extent that there are such adverse changes, the unrealized loss would then be realized and we would record a charge to earnings. Although unrealized losses are not reflected in the results of financial operations until they are realized or deemed other-than-temporary , the fair value of the underlying investment, which does reflect the unrealized loss, is reflected in our Consolidated Balance Sheets.

The following table sets forth gross unrealized losses for fixed maturities by maturity period, and for equity securities at December 31, 2007 and 2006. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations, with or without call or prepayment penalties, or we may have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the category representing their ultimate maturity.

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006
Due in one year or less	\$ 0.2	\$ 0.8
Due after one year through five years	11.2	13.4
Due after five years through ten years	38.5	29.9
Due after ten years	19.8	25.8
Total fixed maturities	69.7	69.9
Equity securities	0.5	
Total fixed maturities and equity securities	\$ 70.2	\$ 69.9

The carrying value of fixed maturities on non-accrual status at December 31, 2007 and 2006, as well as the effect that non-accruals had on net investment income were not material. Although we did not experience defaults in 2007, any defaults in the fixed maturities portfolio in future periods may negatively affect investment income.

MARKET RISK AND RISK MANAGEMENT POLICIES

INTEREST RATE SENSITIVITY

Our operations are subject to risk resulting from interest rate fluctuations which may adversely impact the valuation of the investment portfolio. In a rising interest rate environment, the value of the fixed income sector, which comprises 92% of our total portfolio, may decline as a result of decreases in the fair market value of the securities. Our intent is to hold securities to maturity and recover the decline in valuation as prices accrete to par. However, our intent may change prior to maturity due to changes in the financial markets or our analysis of an issuer s credit metrics and prospects. Interest rate fluctuations may also reduce net investment income and as a result, profitability. The portfolio may realize lower yields and therefore lower net investment income on securities because the securities with prepayment and call features may prepay at a different rate than originally projected. In a declining interest rate environment, prepayments and calls may increase as issuers exercise their option to refinance at lower rates. The resulting funds would be reinvested at lower yields. In a rising interest rate environment, the funds may not be available to invest at higher interest rates.

We determine the appropriate asset allocation (the selection of broad investment categories such as fixed maturities, equity securities and mortgage loans) by a process that focuses on our types of businesses and associated products, the level of surplus required to support the different businesses and the risk return profiles of the underlying asset classes. We look to balance the goals of capital preservation, stability, liquidity and after tax total return. For our Property and Casualty business, we developed an investment strategy that maximizes income with consideration towards driving long-term growth of shareholders equity and book value. Through fundamental research and credit analysis, our investment professionals seek to identify a combination of undervalued securities in the credit markets and stable income producing higher quality U.S. government and agency securities, corporate and mortgage-backed securities. For our Life business, our strategy is to generate investment income while maintaining stability of investment values and preserving capital. As part of this approach, we develop investment guidelines for each portfolio consistent with the return objectives, risk tolerance, liquidity, time horizon, tax and regulatory requirements of the related product or business. We have a general policy of diversifying investments both within and across all portfolios. We monitor the credit quality of our investments and our exposure to individual markets, borrowers, industries, sectors, and in the case of direct commercial mortgages, property types and geographic locations. In addition, we currently carry long-term debt which is subject to interest rate risk. The

majority of this debt was issued at fixed interest rates of 8.207% and 7.625%. Current market conditions do not allow for us to invest assets at similar rates of return; and, therefore our earnings on a similar level of assets is not sufficient to cover our current debt interest costs.

The following tables for the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006 provide information about our financial instruments used for purposes other than trading that are

sensitive to changes in interest rates. The tables present principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates by expected maturities, unless otherwise noted below. Expected maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties, or we may have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage-backed and asset-backed securities are included in the category representing their expected maturity. Available-for-sale securities include both U.S. and foreign-denominated fixed maturities, but exclude foreign currency swap contracts. For liabilities that have no contractual maturity, the tables present principal cash flows and related weighted-average interest rates based on our historical experience, management s judgment, and statistical analysis, as applicable, concerning their most likely withdrawal behaviors. Additionally, we have assumed our available-for-sale securities comprise an immaterial portion of the portfolio and do not have a significant impact on weighted-average interest rates. Therefore, the variable rate investments are not presented separately; instead they are included in the tables at their current interest rate.

For the Years Ended December 31, 2007 (Dollars in millions)	2	2008	ź	2009	1	2010	-	2011	1	2012	Th	ereafter	,	Total		Fair Value 2/31/07
Rate Sensitive Assets:																
Available-for-sale securities	\$	468.7	\$	373.6	\$	516.5	\$	625.6	\$	578.1	\$	3,285.8	\$:	5,848.3	\$:	5,849.7
Average interest rate		4.80%		5.80%		5.98%		6.12%		5.94%		5.60%		5.67%		
Mortgage loans	\$	2.3	\$	4.5	\$	21.4	\$	0.4	\$	0.9	\$	12.7	\$	42.2	\$	43.9
Average interest rate		7.10%		7.60%		8.09%		6.61%		8.45%		7.59%		7.83%		
Policy loans	\$		\$		\$		\$		\$		\$	116.0	\$	116.0	\$	116.0
Average interest rate												6.17%		6.17%		
Rate Sensitive Liabilities:																
Supplemental contracts without life																
contingencies	\$	11.4	\$	2.0	\$	1.5	\$	1.2	\$	1.1	\$	3.6	\$	20.8	\$	20.8
Average interest rate		1.66%		2.67%		2.71%		2.76%		2.81%		2.89%		2.15%		
Other individual contract deposit funds	\$	5.1	\$	4.5	\$	3.9	\$	3.5	\$	4.0	\$	68.6	\$	89.7	\$	89.7
Average interest rate		3.62%		3.58%		3.54%		3.52%		3.50%		3.50%		3.52%		
Other group contract deposit funds	\$	4.1	\$	4.0	\$	3.7	\$	3.5	\$	3.2	\$	10.4	\$	28.9	\$	28.8
Average interest rate		4.26%		4.26%		4.26%		4.26%		4.26%		4.26%		4.26%		
Trust instruments supported by funding																
obligations	\$	19.0	\$		\$		\$	20.3	\$		\$		\$	39.3	\$	39.5
Average interest rate		8.20%						6.00%						7.24%		
Long-term debt	\$		\$		\$	3.1	\$		\$		\$	508.8	\$	511.9	\$	480.2
Average interest rate						7.79%						7.98%		7.98%		

For the Years Ended December 31, 2006 (Dollars in millions)	2007	2	2008	,	2009	2010	2011	Th	ereafter		Total		Fair Value 2/31/06
Rate Sensitive Assets:													
Available-for-sale securities	\$ 404.0	\$	319.5	\$	371.9	\$ 519.1	\$ 681.7	\$	3,422.2	\$:	5,718.4	\$:	5,722.8
Average interest rate	5.41%		5.34%		5.95%	6.09%	6.19%		5.62%		5.72%		
Mortgage loans	\$ 1.4	\$	2.5	\$	4.7	\$ 31.7	\$ 0.5	\$	17.3	\$	58.1	\$	60.7
Average interest rate	7.67%		7.05%		7.58%	8.05%	6.59%		7.66%		7.83%		
Policy loans	\$	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$	125.7	\$	125.7	\$	125.7
Average interest rate									5.80%		5.80%		
Rate Sensitive Liabilities:													
Supplemental contracts without life													
contingencies	\$ 6.5	\$	3.4	\$	2.2	\$ 1.2	\$ 0.8	\$	1.3	\$	15.4	\$	15.4
Average interest rate	2.86%		2.77%		2.54%	2.64%	2.52%		2.52%		2.64%		
Other individual contract deposit funds	\$ 6.7	\$	5.5	\$	4.8	\$ 3.9	\$ 3.3	\$	70.7	\$	94.9	\$	94.9
Average interest rate	3.57%		3.54%		3.51%	3.51%	3.50%		3.50%		3.52%		
Other group contract deposit funds	\$ 4.1	\$	4.1	\$	4.1	\$ 2.6	\$ 2.1	\$	13.5	\$	30.5	\$	30.3
Average interest rate	2.33%		2.33%		2.33%	2.33%	2.33%		2.33%		2.33%		
Trust instruments supported by funding													
obligations	\$	\$	19.0	\$		\$	\$ 20.1	\$		\$	39.1	\$	39.8
Average interest rate			8.20%				6.00%				7.24%		
Long-term debt	\$	\$		\$		\$	\$	\$	508.8	\$	508.8	\$	549.4
Average interest rate FOREIGN CURRENCY SENSITIVITY									7.98%		7.98%		

In 2007 and 2006, we did not have material exposure to foreign currency related risk.

INCOME TAXES

We file a consolidated United States federal income tax return that includes the holding company and its domestic subsidiaries (including non-insurance operations). We segregate the entities included within the consolidated group into either a life insurance or a non-life insurance company subgroup. The consolidation of these subgroups is subject to certain statutory restrictions on the percentage of eligible non-life tax losses that can be applied to offset life company taxable income.

The provision for federal income taxes from continuing operations in 2007 was an expense of \$109.2 million, compared to an expense of \$87.7 million in 2006 and a benefit of \$5.2 million in 2005. These provisions resulted in consolidated effective federal tax rates of 31.3%, 31.4% and (7.3%) on pre-tax income for 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The 2007 provision reflects a \$2.6 million benefit resulting from a settlement with the IRS of interest claims for 1977 through 1994. Additionally both 2007 and 2006 include benefits of \$2.1 million and \$3.3 million, respectively, due to reductions in our federal income tax reserves resulting from clarification of outstanding issues for prior years in the course of ongoing IRS audits. The 2005 provision reflects a \$9.5 million benefit resulting from the settlement of disputed items in our federal tax returns filed for 1992 to 1994, as well as a \$2.3 million benefit also resulting from a reduction in federal income tax reserves for prior years from ongoing IRS audits.

Absent the aforementioned benefits, the effective tax rates in 2007, 2006 and 2005 would have been 32.7%, 32.6% and 9.3%, respectively. The slight increase from 2006 to 2007 is primarily due to higher underwriting income and a reduced level of tax-exempt interest income in the current year. The unusually low tax rate in 2005 reflects low underwriting income resulting primarily from Hurricane Katrina. We expect that at current levels of underwriting income, our federal income tax rate in future years may continue to increase slightly due to reduced levels of tax-exempt investment income and low income housing credits.

In addition to the aforementioned benefits from ongoing IRS audits, we reached an agreement with the IRS on our 2002 to 2004 audit cycle in December 2007. The resulting assessment of federal income tax was offset by the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards of our former subsidiary, AFLIAC. The recognition of these net operating loss carryforwards resulted in a \$7.5 million tax benefit recorded in discontinued operations as an adjust-

ment to the loss on the disposal of our variable life insurance and annuity business. In addition, a benefit of \$5.2 million, also related to AFLIAC items from 2002 to 2004, was recognized in discontinued operations as income from our discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business. We expect to settle the 1995 through 2001 audit cycle during 2008 without a significant adverse impact. The IRS audit for tax years 2005 through 2006 commenced in December 2007.

During 2007, we decreased our valuation allowance related to our deferred tax asset by \$3.3 million, from \$169.4 million to \$166.1 million. The decrease in this valuation allowance resulted primarily from unrealized appreciation of our investment portfolio. Accordingly, we recorded a decrease in the valuation allowance of \$3.7 million as an adjustment to Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss in the Shareholders Equity section of the Consolidated Balance Sheets. This was partially offset by an increase in our valuation allowance of \$0.5 million related to our life company charitable contribution carryforward, as it is our opinion that it is more likely than not that this asset will not be fully realized. This additional valuation allowance was reflected in our federal income tax expense in our Consolidated Statements of Income.

Included in our deferred tax net asset as of December 31, 2007 is an asset of \$168.1 million related to capital loss carryforwards. Our pre-tax capital losses carried forward are \$480.4 million, including \$470.6 million resulting from the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business in 2005. A valuation allowance of \$165.6 million has been recorded against our gross capital loss carryforwards, since it is our opinion that it is more likely than not that this asset will not be fully realized. Our estimate of the gross amount and likely realization of capital loss carryforwards may change over time. In addition, at December 31, 2007, we have a deferred tax asset of \$168.7 million, of which \$131.9 million relates to alternative minimum tax credit carryforwards and \$36.8 million relates to low income housing tax credit carryforwards. The alternative minimum tax credit to offset regular federal income taxes due from future income, and although we believe that these assets are fully recoverable, there can be no certainty that future events will not affect their recoverability.

Effective January 1, 2007, we adopted the provisions of FASB Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). As a result of the implementation of FIN 48, we recognized an \$11.5 million decrease in the liability for unrecognized tax benefits, which was reflected as an increase in the January 1, 2007 balance of retained earnings.

A corporation is entitled to a tax deduction from gross income for a portion of any dividend which was received from a domestic corporation that is subject to income tax. This is referred to as a dividends received deduction. In this and in prior years, we have taken this dividends received deduction when filing our federal income tax return. Many separate accounts held by life insurance companies receive dividends from such domestic corporations, and therefore, were regarded as entitled to this dividends received deduction. In its Revenue Ruling 2007-61, issued on September 25, 2007, the IRS announced its intention to issue regulations with respect to certain computational aspects of the dividends received deduction on separate account assets held in connection with variable annuity contracts. Revenue Ruling 2007-61 suspended a revenue ruling issued in August 2007 that purported to change accepted industry and IRS interpretations of the statutes governing these computational questions. Any regulations that the IRS ultimately proposes for issuance in this area will be subject to public notice and comment, at which time insurance companies and other members of the public will have the opportunity to raise legal and practical questions about the content, scope and application of such regulations. As a result, the ultimate timing and substance of any such regulations are not yet known, but they could result in the elimination of some or all of the separate account dividends received deduction tax benefit that we receive. We believe that it is more likely than not that any such regulation would apply prospectively only, and application of this regulation is not expected to be material to our results of operations in any future annual period. However, there can be no assurance that the outcome of the revenue ruling will be as anticipated and should retroactive application be required, our results of operations may be adversely affected in a quarterly or annual period. We believe that retroactive application would no

CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The discussion and analysis of our financial condition and results of operations are based upon the consolidated financial statements. These statements have been prepared in accordance with GAAP, which requires us to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The following critical accounting estimates are those which we believe affect the more significant judgments and estimates used in the preparation of

our financial statements. Additional information about our other significant accounting policies and estimates may be found in Note 1 Summary of Significant Accounting Policies in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements included in Financial Statements and Supplementary Data on pages 78 to 86 of this Form 10-K.

PROPERTY & CASUALTY INSURANCE LOSS RESERVES

We determine the amount of loss and loss adjustment expense reserves (the loss reserves), as discussed in Segment Results Property and Casualty, Overview of Loss Reserve Estimation Process based on an estimation process that is very complex and uses information obtained from both company specific and industry data, as well as general economic information. The estimation process is judgmental, and requires us to continuously monitor and evaluate the life cycle of claims on type-of-business and nature-of-claim bases. Using data obtained from this monitoring and assumptions about emerging trends, our actuaries develop information about the size of ultimate claims based on historical experience and other available market information. The most significant assumptions used in the actuarial estimation process, which vary by line of business, include determining the expected consistency in the frequency and severity of claims incurred but not yet reported to prior years claims, the trend in loss costs, changes in the timing of the reporting of losses from the loss date to the notification date and expected costs to settle unpaid claims. This process assumes that past experience, adjusted for the effects of current developments and anticipated trends, is an appropriate basis for predicting future events. On a quarterly basis, our actuaries provide to management a point estimate for each significant line of our direct business to summarize their analysis.

In establishing the appropriate loss reserve balances for any period, management carefully considers these actuarial point estimates, which are the principal bases for establishing our reserve balances, along with a qualitative evaluation of business trends, environmental changes, and numerous other factors. In general, such additional factors may include, but are not limited to, improvement or deterioration of the actuarial indications in the period, the maturity of the accident year, trends observed over the recent past such as changes in the mix of business or the impact of regulatory or litigation developments, the level of volatility within a particular line of business, and the magnitude of the difference between the actuarial indication and the recorded reserves. Specific factors considered by management in determining the reserves at December 31, 2007 and 2006 included the current extent to which growth and product mix changes in our Commercial Lines segment have affected our ultimate loss trends, the significant growth in our Personal Lines new business in 2006 and related growth in a number of states, the significant improvement in personal lines frequency and severity trends the industry has experienced over the past couple of years which were unanticipated and remain to some extent unexplained, significant growth and product mix changes in our surety bond and inland marine businesses for which we have limited actuarial data to estimate ultimate losses, and the potential for adverse development in the workers compensation line, where losses tend to emerge over long periods of time and rising medical costs, while moderating, continue to be a concern. Management also considered the likelihood of future adverse development related to significant catastrophe losses experienced in 2005. Regarding our indirect business from voluntary and involuntary pools, we are provided loss estimates by managers of each pool. We adopt reserve estimates for the pools that consider this information and other facts. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, total recorded reserves were 5.2% and 5.7% greater than actuarially indicated point estimates, respectively. We exercise judgment in estimating all loss reserves based upon our knowledge of the property and casualty business, review of the outcome of actuarial studies, historical experience and other facts to record an estimate which reflects our expected ultimate loss and loss adjustment expenses. We believe that adequate provision has been made for loss reserves. However, establishment of appropriate reserves is an inherently uncertain process and there can be no certainty that current established reserves will prove adequate in light of subsequent actual experience. A significant change to the estimated reserves could have a material impact on our results of operations and financial position. An increase or decrease in reserve estimates would result in a corresponding decrease or increase in financial results. For example, each one percentage point change in the loss and LAE ratio resulting from a change in reserve estimation is currently projected to have an approximate \$24 million impact on property and casualty segment income, based on 2007 full year premiums.

When trends emerge that we believe affect the future settlement of claims, we adjust our reserves accordingly (See Segment Results Property and Casualty, Management s Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions on pages 41 to 43 of this Form 10-K for further explanation of factors affecting our reserve estimates, our review process and our process for determining changes to our reserve estimates). Reserve adjustments are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as adjustments to losses and loss adjustment expenses. Often, we recognize these adjustments in periods subsequent to the period in

which the underlying loss event occurred. These types of subsequent adjustments are disclosed and discussed separately as prior year reserve development . Such development can be either favorable or unfavorable to our financial results. As discussed in Segment Results Property and Casualty, Management s Review of Judgments and Key Assumptions on pages 41 to 43 of this Form 10-K, estimated loss and LAE reserves for claims occurring in prior years, excluding those related to Hurricane Katrina, developed favorably by \$153.4 million, \$128.6 million and \$79.5 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively, which represents 6.9%, 5.7% and 3.4% of loss reserves held, respectively. Also in 2007 and 2006, reserves for Hurricane Katrina developed unfavorably by \$17.0 million and \$48.6 million, respectively. See also Analysis of Losses and Loss Adjustment Expenses Reserve Development in Item 1-Business on pages 11 and 12 of this Form 10-K, for guidance related to the annual development of our loss and LAE reserves.

The major causes of material uncertainty relating to ultimate losses and loss adjustment expenses (risk factors) generally vary for each line of business, as well as for each separately analyzed component of the line of business. In some cases, such risk factors are explicit assumptions of the estimation method and in others, they are implicit. For example, a method may explicitly assume that a certain percentage of claims will close each year, but will implicitly assume that the legal interpretation of existing contract language will remain unchanged. Actual results will likely vary from expectations for each of these assumptions, resulting in an ultimate claim liability that is different from that being estimated currently. Some risk factors will affect more than one line of business. Examples include changes in claim department practices, changes in settlement patterns, regulatory and legislative actions, court actions, timeliness of claim reporting, state mix of claimants, and degree of claimant fraud. The extent of the impact of a risk factor will also vary by components within a line of business. Individual risk factors are also subject to interactions with other risk factors within line of business components. Thus, risk factors can have offsetting or compounding effects on required reserves.

In 2005, our loss estimate for Hurricane Katrina was developed using an analysis of the claims reported to date and estimated values of properties in the affected areas. Wind-speed data, flood maps and intelligence provided by on-the-ground staff and independent adjusters were used to project anticipated claims and damage projections. Anticipated costs for demand surge (increased costs for construction material and labor due to the increased damage resulting from the hurricane) were also included in the estimate. However, estimating losses following a major catastrophe is an inherently uncertain process, which was made more difficult by the unprecedented nature of this event, including the legal and regulatory uncertainty, difficulty in accessing portions of the affected areas, the complexity of factors contributing to the losses, delays in claim reporting, aggravating circumstances of Hurricane Rita and a slower pace of recovery resulting from the extent of damage sustained in the affected areas.

In 2006 and 2007, trends in claims activity caused us to re-evaluate and increase our estimate of Hurricane Katrina net loss and loss adjustment reserves by \$48.6 million and \$17.0 million, respectively. In Commercial Lines, we increased our estimate of net losses in 2006, primarily due to the recognition of higher business interruption exposure as more complete information was provided by insureds in response to our initiative to obtain related documentation and to the impact of disputes related to wind versus water as the cause of loss. Additionally, for both Commercial and Personal Lines in 2006, we experienced the continuation of supplemental payments on previously closed claims caused by the development of latent damages as well as inflationary pressures on repair costs. In 2007, we increased our estimate of Commercial Lines net losses primarily due to an increase in recent litigation activity. We believe this increase in litigation activity is due to the expiration, on August 30, 2007, of the two year limit for a policyholder to challenge Hurricane Katrina claims. We also increased our Hurricane Katrina estimate in Commercial Lines in 2007 for supplemental payments on previously closed claims, similar to those experienced in 2006.

The estimate of loss adjustment expenses related to Hurricane Katrina increased \$7.7 million and \$8.4 million in 2007 and 2006, respectively. The increase in litigation activity in 2006 resulted from the pre-existing one year limit on a policyholders ability to challenge claims. This one year limit was extended to August 2007 by legislative action, which we believe resulted in increased litigation activity in the third quarter of 2007.

We are also defendants in various litigation, including putative class actions, which dispute the scope or enforceability of the flood exclusion, claim punitive damages or claim a broader scope of policy coverage than our interpretation, all in connection with losses incurred from Hurricane Katrina. The reserves established with respect to Hurricane Katrina assume that we will prevail with respect to these matters (see Contingencies and Regulatory Matters Litigation and Certain Regulatory Matters on pages 63 to 66 of this Form 10-K). Although we believe our current Hurricane

Katrina reserves are adequate, there can be no assurance that our ultimate costs associated with this event will not substantially exceed these estimates.

PROPERTY & CASUALTY REINSURANCE RECOVERABLES

We share a significant amount of insurance risk of the primary underlying contracts with various insurance entities through the use of reinsurance contracts. As a result, when we experience loss events that are subject to the reinsurance contract, reinsurance recoveries are recorded. The amount of the reinsurance recoverable can vary based on the size of the individual loss or the aggregate amount of all losses in a particular line, book of business or an aggregate amount associated with a particular accident year. The valuation of losses recoverable depends on whether the underlying loss is a reported loss, or an incurred but not reported loss. For reported losses, we value reinsurance recoverables at the time the underlying loss is recognized, in accordance with contract terms. For incurred but not reported losses, we estimate the amount of reinsurance recoverable based on the terms of the reinsurance contracts and historical reinsurance recovery information and apply that information to the gross loss reserve estimates. The most significant assumption we use is the average size of the individual losses for those claims that have occurred but have not yet been recorded by us. The reinsurance recoverable is based on what we believe are reasonable estimates and is disclosed separately on the financial statements. However, the ultimate amount of the reinsurance recoverable is not known until all losses are settled.

PENSION BENEFIT OBLIGATIONS

Prior to 2005, we provided pension retirement benefits to substantially all of our employees based on a defined benefit cash balance formula. In addition to the cash balance allocation, certain transition group employees, who had met specified age and service requirements as of December 31, 1994, were eligible for a grandfathered benefit based primarily on the employees years of service and compensation during their highest five consecutive plan years of employment. As of January 1, 2005, the defined benefit pension plans were frozen.

We account for our pension plans in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, *Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements 87, 88, 106, and 132(R)* and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, *Employers Accounting for Pensions* (Statement No. 87). In order to measure the liabilities and expense associated with these plans, we must make various estimates and key assumptions, including discount rates used to value liabilities, assumed rates of return on plan assets, employee turnover rates and anticipated mortality rates. These estimates and assumptions are reviewed at least annually and are based on our historical experience, as well as current facts and circumstances. In addition, we use outside actuaries to assist in measuring the expenses and liabilities associated with this plan.

The discount rate enables us to state expected future cash flows as a present value on the measurement date. We also use this discount rate in the determination of our pre-tax pension expense or benefit. A lower discount rate increases the present value of benefit obligations and increases pension expense. We determined our discount rate utilizing the Citigroup Pension Discount Curve as of December 31, 2007. At December 31, 2007, based upon our qualified plan assets in relation to this discount curve, we increased our discount rate to 6.38%, from 5.88% at December 31, 2006.

To determine the expected long-term return on plan assets, we consider the historical mean returns by asset class for passive indexed strategies, as well as current and expected asset allocations and adjust for certain factors that we believe will have an impact on future returns. For the years ended December 31, 2007 and 2006, the expected rate of return on plan assets was 8.0% and 8.25%, respectively. Increases in actual returns on plan assets will generally reduce our net actuarial losses that are reflected in our accumulated other comprehensive income balance in shareholders equity.

Our expense in 2007 of \$11.4 million included \$6.0 million of expenses for 2006 due to the correction in 2007 of participant data as discussed in Other Matters on page 63 of this Form 10-K. Excluding the correction, we expect our pre-tax pension expense to decrease by approximately \$7.1 million in 2008 from an expense of \$5.4 million in 2007, to a benefit of \$1.7 million in 2008. This decrease in expense is primarily due to the aforementioned increase in the discount rate.

Holding all other assumptions constant, sensitivity to changes in our key assumptions are as follows:

Discount Rate A 25 basis point increase in discount rate would decrease our pension expense in 2008 by \$0.3 million and decrease our projected benefit obligation by approximately \$13 million. A 25 basis point reduction in the discount rate would increase our pension expense by \$2.1 million and increase our projected benefit obligation by approximately \$14 million.

Expected Return on Plan Assets A 25 basis point increase or decrease in the expected return on plan assets would decrease or increase our pension expense in 2008 by \$1.1 million.

SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS

On October 16, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$100 million. Under this repurchase authorization, we may repurchase our common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as we deem appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. We are not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. As of February 15, 2008, we have repurchased approximately 329,000 shares at an aggregate cost of \$14.3 million.

On September 14, 2007, we acquired all of the outstanding shares of Professionals Direct, Inc. for \$23.2 million. Professionals Direct, Inc. is a Michigan-based holding company whose primary business is professional liability insurance for small and mid-sized law practices and generates annual written premium of approximately \$30 million.

On August 3, 2007, the Commissioner of the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation issued a Consent Order which permitted us to non-renew our Florida homeowners insurance policies, beginning December 15, 2007, and continuing until all such policies are non-renewed, which is expected to occur over the ensuing twelve months. Non-renewal of these policies is expected to affect policies which represent approximately \$16 million in written premium. Florida agents whose customers will be affected by such non-renewals will be offered appointments with another company, which in turn will offer to such customers new homeowners policies on substantially the same terms and rates as we had provided. Additionally, we have entered into an agreement with a Florida insurance carrier pursuant to which we are deemed affiliated with such insurance carrier such that we are not prohibited from continuing to write personal automobile insurance in Florida.

On August 31, 2006, we sold all of the outstanding shares of Financial Profiles, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Emerging Information Systems Incorporated. We originally acquired Financial Profiles, Inc. in 1998 in connection with our then-ongoing life insurance and annuity operations. We received pre-tax proceeds of \$21.5 million from the transaction and recognized an after-tax gain of \$7.8 million in 2006. In 2007, we recognized an additional gain of \$0.8 million for certain tax benefits associated with the sale.

On December 28, 2005, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$200 million. As of May 3, 2006, we completed this share repurchase program, with repurchases of 4.0 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$200 million.

On December 30, 2005, we sold all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of AFLIAC, a life insurance subsidiary representing approximately 95% of our run-off variable life insurance and annuity business, to Goldman Sachs. The transaction also included the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC. In connection with these transactions, Allmerica Investment Trust agreed to transfer certain assets and liabilities of its funds to certain Goldman Sachs Variable Insurance Trust managed funds through a fund reorganization transaction. Finally, we agreed to sell to Goldman Sachs all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Allmerica Financial Investment Management Services, Inc., our investment advisory subsidiary, concurrently with the consummation of the fund reorganization transaction. The fund reorganization transaction was consummated on January 9, 2006. For the year ended December 31, 2005, we recorded a loss \$444.4 million, related to this sale transaction. In connection with the sale, FAFLIC provided transition services from the December 30, 2005 closing through December 31, 2006. Costs associated with these transition services and additional costs provided for indemnification obligations to Goldman Sachs in connection with the sale, totaled \$29.8 million as of December 31, 2006. In 2007 we received benefits of \$7.9 million associated with this business, primarily related to a tax settlement with the IRS (see Income Taxes on pages 53 and 54 of this Form 10-K). These were reflected in 2007 and 2006, respectively as a gain (loss) on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business.

STATUTORY CAPITAL OF INSURANCE SUBSIDIARIES

The NAIC prescribes an annual calculation regarding risk based capital (RBC). RBC ratios for regulatory purposes, as described in the glossary, are expressed as a percentage of the capital required to be above the Authorized Control Level (the Regulatory Scale); however, in the insurance industry RBC ratios are widely expressed as a percentage of the Company Action Level. Set forth below are Total Adjusted Capital, the Company Action Level, the Authorized Control Level and RBC ratios for FAFLIC and Hanover Insurance, as of December 31, 2007 and December 31, 2006, expressed both on the Industry Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by the Company Action Level) and Regulatory Scale (Total Adjusted Capital divided by Authorized Control Level).

<u>2007</u>

	Total	Company	Authorized	RBC Ratio	RBC Ratio
	Adjusted	Action	Control	Industry	Regulatory
(In millions, except ratios)	Capital	Level	Level	Scale	Scale
Hanover Insurance (1)	\$ 1,666.4	\$ 488.2	\$ 244.1	341%	683%
FAFLIC	188.9	37.4	18.7	506%	1010%

(1) Hanover Insurance s Total Adjusted Capital includes \$737.1 million related to its subsidiary, Citizens. 2006

	Total	Company	Authorized	RBC Ratio	RBC Ratio
	Adjusted	Action	Control	Industry	Regulatory
(In millions, except ratios)	Capital	Level	Level	Scale	Scale
Hanover Insurance (1)	\$ 1,463.6	\$ 473.0	\$ 236.5	309%	619%
FAFLIC (2)	179.9	41.7	20.9	431%	861%

(1) Hanover Insurance s Total Adjusted Capital includes \$736.8 million related to its subsidiary, Citizens.

(2) FAFLIC s Total Adjusted Capital is reported net of the \$40.0 million dividend declared to the holding company in December 2006. The total adjusted statutory capital position of Hanover Insurance improved during 2007, from \$1.5 billion at December 31, 2006 to \$1.7 billion at December 31, 2007. This increase is primarily due to improved underwriting results in our property and casualty business. The total adjusted statutory capital position of FAFLIC improved during 2007, from \$179.9 million at December 31, 2006 to \$188.9 million at December 31, 2007. The increase in statutory surplus primarily resulted from the recognition of tax attributes, partially offset by reserve strengthening in our group retirement line of business, an increase in our pension liabilities, changes in assets for statutory purposes and higher litigation costs.

The improvement of FAFLIC s RBC ratios reflects the increase in statutory surplus as well as lower required risk-based capital primarily due to lower asset and statutory reserve levels as a result of scheduled GIC maturities, coinsurance, and continued business run-off.

LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Liquidity is a measure of our ability to generate sufficient cash flows to meet the cash requirements of business operations. As a holding company, our primary ongoing source of cash is dividends from our insurance subsidiaries. However, dividend payments to us by our insurance subsidiaries are subject to limitations imposed by state regulators, such as the requirement that cash dividends be paid out of unreserved and unrestricted earned surplus. As a result of this limitation, no dividends may be paid from FAFLIC without the consent of the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance. Also, the payment of extraordinary dividends, as defined, from any of our insurance subsidiaries is restricted. In addition, we entered into an agreement with the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance, upon the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business, whereby we agreed to maintain FAFLIC s RBC ratio at a minimum of 100% of the Company Action Level (on the Industry Scale).

Approximately \$167 million is currently available to dividend from our property and casualty companies without prior approval from the Insurance Commissioners

in the states of domicile. During 2007 and 2006, we declared no dividends from our property and casualty businesses to the holding company. In January 2008, the Massachusetts Division of Insurance (the Division) approved a \$17 million dividend from FAFLIC, which was paid to the holding company in February 2008. In 2006, the Division approved a dividend from FAFLIC of \$40 million effective December 31, 2006, which was paid to the holding company in 2007. In 2005, in connection with the sale of the variable life insurance and annuity business to Goldman Sachs, the Division approved both a cash dividend from FAFLIC of \$48.6 million, including the \$8.6 million ceding commission related to the reinsurance of 100% of FAFLIC s variable life insurance and annuity business, and the distribution of other non-insurance subsidiaries, from which the holding company received an additional \$15.4 million of funds. These dividends were paid to the holding company in 2006.

Our sources of cash for our insurance subsidiaries are premiums and fees collected, investment income and maturing investments. Primary cash outflows are paid benefits, claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses, policy acquisition expenses, other underwriting expenses and investment purchases. Cash outflows related to benefits, claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses can be variable because of uncertainties surrounding settlement dates for liabilities for unpaid losses and because of the potential for large losses either individually or in the aggregate. We periodically adjust our investment policy to respond to changes in short-term and long-term cash requirements.

Net cash provided by operating activities was \$73.3 million, \$41.8 million and \$153.1 million for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. The \$31.5 million increase in cash provided by operating activities primarily resulted from higher net written premium in our property and casualty business. In addition, lower payments in 2007 associated with Hurricane Katrina also contributed to the increase in cash from operations. These increases were partially offset by increased non-Hurricane Katrina loss and loss adjustment expense payments. The \$111.3 million decrease in cash provided by operating activities in 2006 resulted primarily from an increase in cash outflows for loss and LAE payments primarily related to 2005 catastrophes. Also, payments to fund our qualified defined pension plan increased by \$48.1 million.

Net cash used in investing activities was \$72.3 million in 2007 as compared to net cash provided of \$97.9 million in 2006 and \$811.5 million in 2005. During 2007, we used cash primarily to fund net purchases of fixed maturity securities, resulting from improved underwriting results in our property and casualty business, partially offset by the run-off of our Life Companies operations. Additionally, in 2007, we purchased Professionals Direct, Inc. In 2006, approximately \$69 million of the cash provided resulted from proceeds received from the sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business and Financial Profiles, Inc. Additionally, we received cash in 2006 from collections related to mortgage loans. Approximately \$692 million of the cash provided from net sales of fixed maturities, primarily due to the maturity of certain long-term funding agreements in our Life Companies segment. Additionally, we received approximately \$121 million in proceeds related to the 2005 sale of our variable life insurance and annuity business.

Net cash used in financing activities was \$98.3 million, \$468.5 million and \$749.6 million in 2007, 2006 and 2005, respectively. During 2007, cash used in financing activities resulted from a net repayment of \$101.0 million related to our securities lending program and dividend payments of \$20.8 million, partially offset by \$23.8 million of proceeds from option exercises. Cash used in 2006 was primarily due to the maturity of certain long-term funding agreements in our Life Companies segment and to fund our share repurchase program, in which we repurchased 4.0 million shares at an aggregate cost of approximately \$200 million. Cash used in 2005 was primarily due to the maturity of certain long-term funding agreements in our Life Companies segment, including trust instruments supported by funding obligations and the reduction of cash held as collateral related to our securities lending program.

During 2005, we sold AFLIAC, which held \$11.0 billion of assets and \$10.7 billion of liabilities at December 30, 2005. Assets transferred as a part of the sale included investment assets of \$1.3 billion and \$123.2 million of cash.

At December 31, 2007, THG, as a holding company, had \$316.5 million of fixed maturities and cash. We believe our holding company assets are sufficient to meet our future obligations, which currently consist primarily of interest on both our senior debentures and our junior subordinated debentures, and to pay certain federal income tax liabilities expected to become due in 2008. We also expect that the holding company will be required to make payments in 2008 related to indemnification of liabilities associated with the sale in 2005 of the variable life insurance and annuity business. We currently do not expect that it will be necessary to dividend funds from our insurance subsidiaries in order to fund 2008 holding company obligations. In 2007, we paid an annual dividend of forty cents per share to our shareholders totaling \$20.8 million. We believe that our holding company assets are sufficient to provide for future shareholder dividends should the Board of Directors declare them.

We expect to continue to generate sufficient positive operating cash to meet all short-term and long-term cash requirements, including the funding of our qualified defined benefit pension plan. Based on current law, we are required to contribute an estimated \$21.5 million in 2008. We expect to continue to make significant cash contributions to our qualified defined benefit pension plan in future years. Effective January 1, 2008, Hanover Insurance became the common employer of all employees of the holding company and its subsidiaries and as such, the funding of these plans is now the legal responsibility of Hanover Insurance.

Our insurance subsidiaries maintain a high degree of liquidity within their respective investment portfolios in fixed maturity and short-term investments.

On October 16, 2007, our Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$100 million. Under this repurchase authorization, we may repurchase our common stock from time to time, in amounts and prices and at such times as we deem appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. We are not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. As of February 15, 2008, we had repurchased approximately 329,000 shares for a cost of approximately \$14.3 million.

In June 2007, we entered into a \$150.0 million committed syndicated credit agreement which expires in June 2010. Borrowings, if any, under this agreement are unsecured and incur interest at a rate per annum equal to, at our option, a designated base rate or the Eurodollar rate plus applicable margin. The agreement provides covenants, including, but not limited to, maintaining a certain level of equity and an RBC ratio in our primary property and casualty companies of at least 175% (based on the Industry Scale). We had no borrowings under this line of credit during 2007. Additionally, we had no commercial paper borrowings as of December 31, 2007 and we do not anticipate utilizing commercial paper in 2008.

Our financing obligations generally include repayment of our senior debentures and junior subordinated debentures, operating lease payments and trust instruments supported by funding obligations. The following table represents our annual payments related to the principal payments of these financing obligations as of December 31, 2007 and operating lease payments reflect expected cash payments based upon lease terms. In addition, we also have included individual contract deposit funds related to the operations of our life insurance companies which provide for contractual payments, our estimated payments related to our policy and claim reserves, as well as our current expectation of payments to be made to support the obligations of our benefit plans. Actual payments may differ from the contractual and/or estimated payments in the table.

December 31, 2007 (In millions)	Maturity less than 1 year	Maturity 1-3 years	Maturity 4-5 years	Maturity in excess of 5 years	Total
Long-term debt (1)	\$	\$ 3.1	\$	\$ 508.8	\$ 511.9
Interest associated with long-term debt (1)	40.8	81.6	81.2	565.9	769.5
Trust instruments supported by funding obligations (2)	19.0		20.1		39.1
Individual contract deposit funds (3)	10.3	20.4	16.0	28.9	75.6
Operating lease commitments (4)	12.9	14.9	5.9	0.6	34.3
Qualified pension plan funding obligations (5)	21.5	24.2	22.6		68.3
Non-qualified pension and post-retirement benefit obligations (6)	8.3	16.3	16.1	38.9	79.6
Life-contingent contract benefit obligations (7), (11)	57.2	109.8	103.6	825.2	1,095.8
Group annuity pension benefit obligations (8)	43.0	80.9	74.2	444.6	642.7
Certain group life and health insurance obligations (9), (11)	7.0	13.8	13.5	127.0	161.3
Loss and LAE obligations (10)	1,330.1	932.6	408.7	494.4	3,165.8

- (1) Long-term debt includes our senior debentures due in 2025, which pay annual interest at a rate of 7 5/8%, and our junior subordinated debentures due in 2027, which pay cumulative dividends at an annual rate of 8.207%. Payments related to both of these agreements are expected to be made at the end of the debt agreement. We hold additional junior subordinated debentures which pay cumulative dividends at an annual rate of 7.785% until 2010 and change to LIBOR plus 3.625% through maturity in 2035. Payments related to this agreement are expected to be made in 2010.
- (2) Trust instruments supported by funding obligations payments are reflected in the category representing their contractual maturity.
- (3) Individual contract deposit funds are reflected in the category representing their contractual maturity.
- (4) We have operating leases in FAFLIC, Hanover Insurance and Citizens.
- (5) Qualified pension plan funding obligations represent estimated amounts necessary to be contributed to the plan to satisfy minimum funding obligations in accordance with the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974. These payments have been estimated through 2012. Additional contributions may be required based on the level of pension assets and liabilities in future periods. These estimated payments are based on several assumptions, including, but not limited to, the rate of return on plan assets, the discount rate for benefit obligations, mortality experience, and interest crediting rates. Differences between actual plan experience and our assumptions will result in changes to our future minimum funding obligations.
- (6) Non-qualified pension and postretirement benefit obligations reflect estimated payments to be made through plan year 2017 for pension, postretirement and postemployment benefits. Estimates of these payments and the payment patterns are based upon historical experience.
- (7) Life-contingent contract benefits relate to traditional life insurance contracts and reflect the estimated cash payments to be made to policyholders in the future. The timing of cash outflows related to these contracts is based on historical experience and our expectation of future payment patterns. Uncertainties relating to these estimates include mortality assumptions, customer lapse and surrender activity, renewal premium and expense assumptions. Actual payments may differ from our estimates and could result in a significantly different future payment pattern. The total contractual obligation exceeds the corresponding liability on the financial statements primarily because the financial statement liability has been discounted for interest.
- (8) Group annuity pension obligations reflect the estimated cash payments due to annuitants as a result of policies purchased from us by their employers. The timing of cash outflows related to these contracts is based on historical experience and our expectation of future payment patterns. Mortality assumptions are the primary uncertainty in estimating these obligations. The total contractual obligations exceeds the

corresponding liability on the financial statements primarily because the financial statement liability has been discounted for interest.

- (9) During 1999, we exited our group life and health insurance business. Certain group life and health benefit obligations relate to this discontinued business and primarily represent the run-off of accident and health assumed reinsurance pool obligations. The timing of cash outflows related to these contracts is based on historical experience and information provided by the administrators of each pool. Uncertainties relating to these estimates include mortality assumptions, morbidity assumptions, medical inflation costs and other factors. Actual payments may differ from our estimates and could result in a significantly different future payment pattern. The total contractual obligations differs from the corresponding liability on the financial statements primarily because we have included approximate reserves related to business reinsured with other insurance companies and because the financial statement liability has been discounted for interest.
- (10) Unlike many other forms of contractual obligations, loss and LAE reserves do not have definitive due dates and the ultimate payment dates are subject to a number of variables and uncertainties. As a result, the total loss and LAE reserve payments to be made by period, as shown above, are estimates based principally on historical experience.
- (11) As of December 31, 2007, FAFLIC variable business reserves of \$92.2 million, universal life reserves of \$0.9 million, individual health insurance reserves of \$16.1 million and certain group life and health insurance reserves of \$175.0 million have been excluded because substantially all of these obligations are reinsured with other insurance companies. The related contractual obligations and cash flows are borne by the reinsurers.

Other Matters

We have a defined benefit pension plan that was frozen as of January 1, 2005. Pension plan participant information, referred to as census data, is maintained by a third party recordkeeper. Census data is an important component in our estimate of actuarially determined projected benefit obligations (PBO) and expense under Statement No. 87. During 2007, we detected errors in the census data prepared by our external recordkeeper and initiated a detailed review of current and certain historical pension census data.

The census data errors affected the actuarially estimated PBO as of December 31, 2005 and 2006. The impact represents a \$46.1 million increase in our PBO, a reduction in shareholders equity of \$40.1 million and a cumulative increase to prior periods pension expense of \$6.0 million, of which approximately half relates to our Property and Casualty segment and half relates to our Life Companies segment. These amendments are not deemed to be material to our 2007 results of operations, financial position or cash flows and do not represent material errors in our previously issued consolidated quarterly or annual financial statements. As such, these amounts have been recorded in our 2007 results.

OFF-BALANCE SHEET ARRANGEMENTS

We currently do not have any material off-balance sheet arrangements that are reasonably likely to have an effect on our financial position, revenues, expenses, results of operations, liquidity, capital expenditures, or capital resources.

CONTINGENCIES AND REGULATORY MATTERS

LITIGATION AND CERTAIN REGULATORY MATTERS

Durand Litigation

On March 12, 2007, a putative class action suit captioned Jennifer A. Durand v. The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc., The Allmerica Financial Cash Balance Pension Plan was filed in the United States District Court for the Western District of Kentucky. The named plaintiff, a former employee who received a lump sum distribution from our Cash Balance Plan at or about the time of her termination, claims that she and others similarly situated did not receive the appropriate lump sum distribution because in computing the lump sum, we understated the accrued benefit in the calculation. We filed a motion to dismiss on the basis that the plaintiff failed to exhaust administrative remedies, which motion was granted without prejudice in a decision dated November 7, 2007. On December 3, 2007, plaintiff filed a Notice of Appeal of this dismissal to the United States Court of Appeals for the Sixth Circuit. The potential outcome and our ultimate liability, if any, from this litigation is difficult to predict at this time.

Emerald Litigation

On July 24, 2002, an action captioned <u>American National Bank and Trust Company of Chicago, as Trustee f/b/o Emerald Investments Limited</u> <u>Partnership, and Emerald Investments Limited Partnership v. Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company (Emerald</u>) was commenced in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois, Eastern Division.

Although AFLIAC was sold to Goldman Sachs on December 30, 2005, we have agreed to indemnify AFLIAC and Goldman Sachs with respect to this litigation. In 1999, plaintiffs purchased two variable annuity contracts with initial premiums aggregating \$5 million. Plaintiffs, who AFLIAC subsequently identified as engaging in frequent transfers of significant sums between sub-accounts that in our opinion constituted market timing , were subject to restrictions upon such trading that AFLIAC imposed in December 2001. Plaintiffs allege that such restrictions constituted a breach of the terms of the annuity contracts. In December 2003, the court granted partial summary judgment to the plaintiffs, holding that at least certain restrictions imposed on their trading activities violated the terms of the annuity contracts.

On May 19, 2004, plaintiffs filed a Brief Statement of Damages in which, without quantifying their damage claim, they outlined a claim for (i) amounts totaling \$150,000 for surrender charges imposed on the partial surrender by plaintiffs of the annuity contracts, (ii) loss of trading profits they expected over the remaining term of each annuity contract, and (iii) lost trading profits resulting from AFLIAC s alleged refusal to process five specific transfers in 2002 because of trading restrictions imposed on market timers. With respect to the lost profits, plaintiffs claim that pursuant to their trading strategy of transferring money from money market accounts to international equity accounts and back again to money market accounts, they have been able to consistently obtain relatively risk free returns of between 35% and 40% annually. Plaintiffs claim that they would have been able to continue to maintain such returns on the account values of their annuity contracts over the remaining terms of the annuity contracts (which are based in part on the lives of the named annuitants). The aggregate account value of plaintiffs from claiming any damages accruing beyond July 31, 2004.

Table of Contents

A jury trial on plaintiffs damage claim was held in December 2006, which resulted in an aggregate award to plaintiffs of \$1.3 million for lost profits and reimbursement of surrender charges. Plaintiffs motion for a new trial was subsequently denied. On March 5, 2007,

plaintiffs filed a Notice of Appeal to the United States Court of Appeals, Seventh Circuit, which, in a decision rendered on February 20, 2008, reversed the lower court with respect to damages and ordered the district court to enter a judgment that the plaintiffs are entitled to no damages other than the return of the \$150,000 surrender charge. Plaintiffs have not yet indicated whether they will seek a re-hearing or petition the United States Supreme Court for a *writ of certiorari*, requesting a review of this decision.

Hurricane Katrina Litigation

We have been named as a defendant in various litigations, including putative class actions, relating to disputes arising from damages which occurred as a result of Hurricane Katrina in 2005. As of December 31, 2007, there were approximately 330 such cases, at least two of which were styled as class actions. These cases have been filed in both Louisiana state courts and federal district courts. These cases involve, among other claims, disputes as to the amount of reimbursable claims in particular cases, as well as the scope of insurance coverage under homeowners and commercial property policies due to flooding, civil authority actions, loss of landscaping, business interruption and other matters. Certain of these cases claim a breach of duty of good faith or violations of Louisiana insurance claims handling laws or regulations and involve claims for punitive or exemplary damages. Certain of the cases claim that under Louisiana s so-called Valued Policy Law , the insurers must pay the total insured value of a home which is totally destroyed if any portion of such damage was caused by a covered peril, even if the principal cause of the loss was an excluded peril. Other cases challenge the scope or enforceability of the water damage exclusion in the policies.

Several actions pending against various insurers, including us, were consolidated for purposes of pretrial discovery and motion practice under the caption In re Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation, Civil Action No. 05-4182 in the United States District Court, Eastern District of Louisiana. On November 27, 2006, the Federal District Court issued an Order in these consolidated cases denying our motion to dismiss. The Court held that the flood exclusions utilized in the forms of homeowners and commercial lines policies issued by us and a number of other insurance carriers were ambiguous because such exclusions did not specify that they applied to flooding caused by negligent acts or omissions, as well as to flooding caused by natural incidents such as Acts of God. The plaintiffs in these cases claim, among other things, that the efficient proximate cause of their losses was the third-party negligence of the Army Corps of Engineers and the Orleans Levee District in the maintenance of the canal walls or in its failure to warn the plaintiffs and others of the impending water intrusion. The Federal District Court ordered that discovery proceed on the questions of whether there was such negligence and whether such negligence was in fact the efficient proximate cause of such losses. On August 2, 2007, the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit issued an opinion reversing the District Court s opinion and holding that flood exclusions, such as those contained in our policies, are applicable to Hurricane Katrina-related flooding irrespective of the cause of the flooding. On November 26, 2007, plaintiffs filed with the United States Supreme Court a petition for a *writ of certiorari* requesting a review of this decision.

Plaintiffs in several consolidated cases (including <u>Sampia v. Massachusetts Bay Insurance Company</u>, E.D. La. Civil Action Number 06-0559) appealed an Order of the Federal District Court dated August 6, 2006 rejecting plaintiffs contention that the Louisiana Valued Policy Law has the effect of requiring coverage for a total loss proximately caused by a non-covered peril so long as there was any covered loss. This consolidated appeal was heard by the United States Court of Appeals, Fifth Circuit, in a case captioned <u>Chauvin, et al., v. State Farm Fire & Casualty Co.</u>, No. 06-30946. On August 6, 2007, the Fifth Circuit Court issued an opinion upholding the District Court decision dismissing plaintiffs claims. Plaintiffs thereafter filed a petition for a *writ of certiorari* with the United States Supreme Court, which was denied on January 14, 2008.

On August 23, 2007, the State of Louisiana (individually and on behalf of the State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development) filed a putative class action in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans, State of Louisiana, entitled <u>State of</u> <u>Louisiana, individually and on behalf of State of Louisiana, Division of Administration, Office of Community Development ex rel The</u> <u>Honorable Charles C. Foti, Jr., The Attorney General For the State of Louisiana, individually and as a class action on behalf of all recipients of</u> <u>funds as well as all eligible and/or future recipients of funds through The Road Home Program v. AAA Insurance, et al.</u>, No. 07-8970. The complaint named as defendants over 200 foreign and domestic insurance carriers, including us. Plaintiff seeks to represent a class of current and former Louisiana citizens who have applied for and received or will receive funds through Louisiana s Road Home program. On August 29,</u> 2007, Plaintiff filed an Amended Petition in this case, asserting myriad claims including claims under Louisiana s Valued Policy Law, as well as claims for breach of: contract, the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing, fiduciary duty and Louisiana s bad faith statutes. Plaintiff seeks relief in the form of, among other things,

declarations that (a) the efficient proximate cause of losses suffered by putative class members was windstorm, a covered peril under their policies; (b) the second efficient proximate cause of their losses was storm surge, which Plaintiff contends is not excluded under class members policies; (c) the damage caused by water entering affected parishes of Louisiana does not fall within the definition of flood ; (d) the damages caused by water entering Orleans Parish and the surrounding area was a result of man-made occurrence and are properly covered under class members policies; (e) many class members suffered total losses to their residences; and (f) many class members are entitled to recover the full value for their residences stated on their policies pursuant to the Louisiana Valued Policy Law. In accordance with these requested declarations, Plaintiff seeks to recover amounts that it alleges should have been paid to policyholders under their insurance agreements, as well as penalties, attorneys fees, and costs. The case has been consolidated into the case In re Katrina Canal Breaches Consolidated Litigation referenced above.

We continue to vigorously defend these matters and other cases related to losses incurred in connection with Hurricane Katrina. The Fifth Circuit Court s decisions in the federal court cases are not legally binding on the state courts, and the effect of these decisions may be affected by subsequent decisions issued by the Supreme Court of Louisiana, including cases to which we are not a party. Two such cases are presently pending before the Supreme Court of Louisiana, where they are scheduled for oral argument on February 26, 2008. One of these cases, <u>Sher v.</u> <u>Lafayette Insurance Company, et al.</u>, No. 2007-C-2441, involves a claim by the plaintiff similar to that raised in the <u>In re Katrina Canal Breaches</u> litigation and ultimately rejected by the Fifth Circuit, that the insurer's flood exclusion was ambiguous in that it did not specify that it applied to flooding caused by negligent acts or omissions. The other case, <u>Landry V. Louisiana Citizens Property Insurance Corporation</u>, No. 2007-C-1907, includes an assertion by the plaintiffs similar to that considered and rejected by the Fifth Circuit in <u>Chauvin, et al.</u>, V. <u>State Farm Fire & Casualty Co</u>. that the effect of Louisiana's Valued Policy Law is to render a total loss caused by a non-covered peril as covered so long as accompanied by any covered loss.

We believe that the flood exclusions at issue are unambiguous and enforceable. However, a final non-appealable order that our flood exclusions do not exclude losses from flooding caused by third-party negligence and a determination that such negligence was the efficient proximate cause of such flooding or that such an exclusion is inapplicable where any portion of a loss is attributable to a covered peril, would likely have a material adverse effect on our financial position, as well as on our results of operations. We have established our loss and LAE reserves on the assumption that the flood exclusion will be found to be enforceable and effective to exclude losses caused by third-party negligence, as well as by Acts of God, and that the application of the Valued Policy Law will not result in our having to pay damages for perils not otherwise covered

Other Matters

We have been named a defendant in various other legal proceedings arising in the normal course of business, including one other suit which, like the Emerald case described above, challenges our imposition of certain restrictions on trading funds invested in separate accounts. In addition, we are involved, from time to time, in investigations and proceedings by governmental and self-regulatory agencies. The potential outcome of any such action, or regulatory proceedings or other legal proceedings in which we have been named a defendant, and our ultimate liability, if any, from such action or legal proceedings, is difficult to predict at this time. In our opinion, based on the advice of legal counsel, the ultimate resolutions of such proceedings will not have a material effect on our financial position, although they could have a material effect on the results of operations for a particular quarter or annual period.

OTHER REGULATORY MATTERS

During 2007, the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance issued two decisions pertaining to personal automobile insurance. The first decision calls for the end of the fix-and-establish system of setting automobile rates and replaces it with a system of managed competition. The second decision orders the implementation of an Assigned Risk Plan beginning with new business as of April 1, 2008.

The Commissioner of Insurance has issued a regulation providing the framework for the transition from a market in which the rates are set by the Commissioner to one in which companies propose their own rates. Our rate filing was approved by the Massachusetts Division of Insurance on January 18, 2008 and is expected to be implemented in the second quarter of 2008. Over the course of the year, we currently anticipate overall rate level decreases of approximately 8% to 9%. Certain Massachusetts legislators have called for legislation to be passed that would limit the implementation of managed competition . Reportedly, the legislators seek to implement a number of restrictions on the implementation of managed competition , including a limit on the type of permissible rating factors. It is uncertain as to whether the legislation will be enacted and if enacted, what effect,

if any, it would have on our business. In any event, it is anticipated that personal automobile rates will decline in 2008, as noted above.

The Assigned Risk Plan would distribute the Massachusetts residual automobile market based on individual policyholder assignments rather than assigning carriers Exclusive Representative Producers. We believe the Assigned Risk Plan would provide for a more equitable distribution of residual market risks across all carriers in the market, and therefore, such plan, if implemented, is not likely to adversely affect our results of operations or financial position.

Legislation was enacted in Louisiana to extend the time period for Louisiana homeowners who have policy coverage claims arising out of hurricanes Katrina and Rita to take legal action against their insurance companies from the pre-existing 12 month period to 24 months from the date of loss. The Louisiana Supreme Court determined that the legislation is constitutional. Legislation was also adopted which increased an insurer s potential exposure if it is determined to have acted in bad faith in the claim adjustment process. Additionally, the State of Louisiana continues to impose regulatory restrictions on our ability to reduce exposure to areas affected by hurricanes Katrina and Rita and to increase or maintain rates on homeowners policies.

During 2007, the State of Florida implemented several changes to the law impacting the property and casualty market. Most significantly, the legislation mandated that private insurer rates be adjusted to reflect projected savings in reinsurance costs realized through purchase of catastrophe reinsurance from the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund. Newly enacted restrictions also require any company which writes personal automobile business in Florida to write homeowners insurance in the state if it or any of its affiliates write homeowners in any other state. We expect that such newly enacted legislation will result in more consumers purchasing insurance from the Florida residual market for property insurance, thereby increasing the potential for significant assessments or other liabilities on private insurance companies in the event of a catastrophe.

Over the past year other state-sponsored insurers, reinsurers or involuntary pools have increased significantly, particularly those states which have Atlantic or Gulf Coast exposures. As a result, the potential assessment exposure of insurers doing business in such states and the attendant collection risks have increased, particularly, in our case, in the states of Massachusetts, Louisiana and Florida. Such actions and related regulatory restrictions may limit our ability to reduce our potential exposure to hurricane related losses. It is possible that other states may take action similar to those taken in the state of Florida. At this time we are unable to predict the likelihood or impact of any such potential assessments or other actions.

RATING AGENCY ACTIONS

Insurance companies are rated by rating agencies to provide both industry participants and insurance consumers information on specific insurance companies. Higher ratings generally indicate the rating agencies opinion regarding financial stability and a stronger ability to pay claims.

We believe that strong ratings are important factors in marketing our products to our agents and customers, since rating information is broadly disseminated and generally used throughout the industry. Insurance company financial strength ratings are assigned to an insurer based upon factors deemed by the rating agencies to be relevant to policyholders and are not directed toward protection of investors. Such ratings are neither a rating of securities nor a recommendation to buy, hold or sell any security.

The following tables provide information about our ratings at December 31, 2005, 2006 and 2007 and recent ratings actions.

Standard & Poor s Ratings

	December		
End of Year Rating Financial Strength Ratings	2005	December 2006	December 2007
Property and Casualty Companies	BBB+	BBB+	BBB+
	(Good)	(Good)	(Good)
	with a stable outlook	with a positive outlook	with a positive outlook

FAFLIC	BBB-	BBB-	BBB-
	(Good)	(Good)	(Good)
Debt Ratings	with a stable outlook	with a stable outlook	with a stable outlook
Senior Debt	BB+	BB+	BB+
	(Marginal)	(Marginal)	(Marginal)
	with a stable outlook	with a positive outlook	with a positive outlook
Capital Securities	B+	B+	B+
	(Weak)	(Weak)	(Weak)
	with a stable outlook	with a positive outlook	with a positive outlook

Moody s Ratings

	December			
End of Year Rating Financial Strength	2005	December 2006	December 2007	January 2008
Ratings				
Property and Casualty	Baa1	Baa1	Baa1	A3
Companies	(Adequate)	(Adequate)	(Adequate)	(Good)
	with negative outlook	with positive outlook	with positive outlook	with stable outlook
FAFLIC	Ba1	Ba1	Bal	Ba1
	(Questionable)	(Questionable)	(Questionable)	(Questionable)
	with stable outlook	with stable outlook	with stable outlook	with stable outlook
Debt Ratings				
Senior Debt	Ba1	Ba1	Ba1	Baa3
	(Questionable)	(Questionable)	(Questionable)	(Adequate)
	with stable outlook	with positive outlook	with positive outlook	with stable outlook
Capital Securities	Ba2	Ba2	Ba2	Ba1
	(Questionable)	(Questionable)	(Questionable)	(Questionable)
	with stable outlook	with positive outlook	with positive outlook	with stable outlook
Short-term Debt	NP	NP	NP	Prime-3
	(Not Prime)	(Not Prime)	(Not Prime)	(Acceptable)

A.M. Best s Ratings

	December	December	December
End of Year Rating Financial Strength Ratings	2005	2006	2007
Property and Casualty Companies	A-	A-	A-
	(Excellent)	(Excellent)	(Excellent)
	with stable outlook	with stable outlook	with positive outlook
FAFLIC	B+	B+	B+
	(Good)	(Good)	(Good)
Debt Ratings	with stable outlook	with stable outlook	with stable outlook
Senior Debt	bbb-	bbb-	bbb-
	(Adequate)	(Adequate)	(Adequate)
	with stable outlook	with stable outlook	with positive outlook
Capital Securities	bb	bb	bb
	(Speculative)	(Speculative)	(Speculative)
	with stable outlook	with stable outlook	with stable outlook
Short-term Debt RECENT DEVELOPMENTS	Not Rated	Not Rated	Not Rated

On January 14, 2008, we entered into a definitive agreement through which we will acquire Verlan Holdings, Inc. Verlan Holdings, Inc. is a specialty company providing property insurance to small and medium-sized manufacturing and distribution companies that are highly protected fire risks, and generates annual written premium of approximately \$10 million. The transaction is subject to regulatory review and approval and is expected to close in the first quarter of 2008.

RISKS AND FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

Information regarding risk factors and forward-looking information appears in Part I Item 1A on pages 19 to 22 of this Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007. This Management s Discussion and Analysis should be read and interpreted in light of such factors.

GLOSSARY OF SELECTED INSURANCE TERMS

Annuity contracts An annuity contract is an arrangement whereby an annuitant is guaranteed to receive a series of stipulated amounts commencing either immediately or at some future date. Annuity contracts can be issued to individuals or to groups.

Benefit payments Payments made to an insured or their beneficiary in accordance with the terms of an insurance policy.

Casualty insurance Insurance that is primarily concerned with the losses caused by injuries to third persons and their property (other than the policyholder) and the related legal liability of the insured for such losses.

Table of Contents

Catastrophe A severe loss, resulting from natural and manmade events, including risks such as hurricane, fire, earthquake, windstorm, tornado, hailstorm, severe winter weather, explosion, terrorism and other similar events.

Catastrophe loss Loss and directly identified loss adjustment expenses from catastrophes. The Insurance Services Office (ISO) Property Claim Services (PCS) defines a catastrophe loss as an event that causes \$25 million or more in industry insured property losses and affects a significant number of property and casualty policyholders and insurers.

Cede; cedent; ceding company When a party reinsures its liability with another, it cedes business and is referred to as the cedent or ceding company .

Closed Block Consists of certain individual life insurance participating policies, individual deferred annuity contracts and supplementary contracts not involving life contingencies which were in force as of FAFLIC s demutualization in 1995. The purpose of this block of business is to protect the policy dividend expectations of such FAFLIC dividend paying policies and contracts. The Closed Block will be in effect until none of the Closed Block policies are in force, unless an earlier date is agreed to by the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance.

Combined ratio, GAAP This ratio is the GAAP equivalent of the statutory ratio that is widely used as a benchmark for determining an insurer s underwriting performance. A ratio below 100% generally indicates profitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. A combined ratio over 100% generally indicates unprofitable underwriting prior to the consideration of investment income. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio, the loss adjustment expense ratio and the underwriting expense ratio.

Current year underwriting results A measure of the estimated earnings impact of current premiums offset by estimated loss experience and expenses for the current accident year. This measure includes the estimated increase in revenue associated with higher prices (premiums), including those caused by price inflation and changes in exposure, partially offset by higher volume driven expenses and inflation of loss costs. Volume driven expenses include policy acquisition costs such as commissions paid to property and casualty agents which are typically based on a percentage of premium dollars.

Dividends received deduction A corporation is entitled to a special tax deduction from gross income for dividends received from a domestic corporation that is subject to income tax.

Earned premium The portion of a premium that is recognized as income, or earned, based on the expired portion of the policy period, that is, the period for which loss coverage has actually been provided. For example, after six months, \$50 of a \$100 annual premium is considered earned premium. The remaining \$50 of annual premium is unearned premium. Net earned premium is earned premium net of reinsurance.

Excess of loss reinsurance Reinsurance that indemnifies the insured against all or a specific portion of losses under reinsured policies in excess of a specified dollar amount or retention .

Expense Ratio, GAAP The ratio of underwriting expenses to premiums earned for a given period.

Exposure A measure of the rating units or premium basis of a risk; for example, an exposure of a number of automobiles.

Frequency The number of claims occurring during a given coverage period.

Inland Marine Insurance In Commercial Lines, this is a type of coverage developed for shipments that do not involve ocean transport. It covers articles in transit by all forms of land and air transportation as well as bridges, tunnels and other means of transportation and communication. In the context of Personal Lines, this term relates to floater policies that cover expensive personal items such as fine art and jewelry.

Loss adjustment expenses (LAE) Expenses incurred in the adjusting, recording, and settlement of claims. These expenses include both internal company expenses and outside services. Examples of LAE include claims adjustment services, adjuster salaries and fringe benefits, legal fees and court costs, investigation fees and claims processing fees.

Loss adjustment expense (LAE) ratio, GAAP The ratio of loss adjustment expenses to earned premiums for a given period.

Loss costs An amount of money paid for a property and casualty claim.

Loss ratio, GAAP The ratio of losses to premiums earned for a given period.

Loss reserves Liabilities established by insurers to reflect the estimated cost of claims payments and the related expenses that the insurer will ultimately be required to pay in respect of insurance it has written. Reserves are established for losses and for LAE.

Multivariate product An insurance product, the pricing for which is based upon the magnitude of, and correlation between, multiple rating factors. In practical application, the term refers to the foundational analytics and methods applied to the product construct. Our *Connections Auto* product is a multivariate product.

Peril A cause of loss.

Property insurance Insurance that provides coverage for tangible property in the event of loss, damage or loss of use.

Rate The pricing factor upon which the policyholder s premium is based.

Rate increase (commercial lines) Represents the average change in premium on renewal policies caused by the estimated net effect of base rate changes, discretionary pricing, inflation or changes in policy level exposure.

Rate increase (personal lines) The estimated cumulative premium effect of approved rate actions during the prior policy period applied to a policy s renewal premium.

Reinstatement premium A pro-rata reinsurance premium that may be charged for reinstating the amount of reinsurance coverage reduced as the result of a reinsurance loss payment under a catastrophe cover. For example, in 2005 this premium was required to ensure that our property catastrophe occurrence treaty, which was exhausted by Hurricane Katrina, was available again in the event of another large catastrophe loss in 2005.

Reinsurance An arrangement in which an insurance company, the reinsurer, agrees to indemnify another insurance or reinsurance company, the ceding company, against all or a portion of the insurance or reinsurance risks underwritten by the ceding company under one or more policies. Reinsurance can provide a ceding company with several benefits, including a reduction in net liability on risks and catastrophe protection from large or multiple losses. Reinsurance does not legally discharge the primary insurer from its liability with respect to its obligations to the insured.

Risk based capital (RBC) A method of measuring the minimum amount of capital appropriate for an insurance company to support its overall business operations in consideration of its size and risk profile. The RBC ratio for regulatory purposes is calculated as total adjusted capital divided by required risk based capital. Total adjusted capital for property and casualty companies is capital and surplus. Total adjusted capital for life insurance companies is defined as capital and surplus, plus asset valuation reserve, plus 50% of policyholder dividends apportioned for payment. The Company Action Level is the first level at which regulatory involvement is specified based upon the level of capital. Regulators may take action for reasons other than triggering various RBC action levels. The various action levels are summarized as follows:

The Company Action Level, which equals 200% of the Authorized Control Level, requires a company to prepare and submit a RBC plan to the commissioner of the state of domicile. A RBC plan proposes actions which a company may take in order to bring statutory capital above the Company Action Level. After review, the commissioner will notify the company if the plan is satisfactory.

The Regulatory Action Level, which equals 150% of the Authorized Control Level, requires the insurer to submit to the commissioner of the state of domicile an RBC plan, or if applicable, a revised RBC plan. After examination or analysis, the commissioner will issue an order specifying corrective actions to be taken.

The Authorized Control Level authorizes the commissioner of the state of domicile to take whatever regulatory actions considered necessary to protect the best interest of the policyholders and creditors of the insurer.

The Mandatory Control Level, which equals 70% of the Authorized Control Level, authorizes the commissioner of the state of domicile to take actions necessary to place the company under regulatory control (i.e., rehabilitation or liquidation).

Life and health companies whose Total Adjusted Capital is between 200% and 250% of the Authorized Control Level are subject to a trend test. The trend test calculates the greater of the decrease in the margin between the current year and the prior year and the average of the past three years.

Security Lending We engage our banking provider to lend securities from our investment portfolio to third parties. These lent securities are fully collateralized by cash. We monitor the fair value of the securities on a daily basis to assure that the collateral is maintained at a level of at least 102% of the fair value of the loaned securities. We record securities lending collateral as a cash equivalent, with an offsetting liability in expenses and taxes payable.

Separate accounts An investment account that is maintained separately from an insurer s general investment portfolio and that allows the insurer to manage the funds placed in variable life insurance policies and variable annuity policies. Policyholders direct the investment of policy funds among the different types of separate accounts available from the insurer.

Severity A monetary increase in the loss costs associated with the same or similar type of event or coverage.

Specialty Lines A major component of our Other Commercial Lines, includes products such as inland and ocean marine, bond and various small commercial niche products.

Statutory accounting principles Recording transactions and preparing financial statements in accordance with the rules and procedures prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities including the NAIC, which in general reflect a liquidating, rather than going concern, concept of accounting.

Surrender or withdrawal Surrenders of life insurance policies and annuity contracts for their entire net cash surrender values and withdrawals of a portion of such values.

Underwriting The process of selecting risks for insurance and determining in what amounts and on what terms the insurance company will accept risks.

Underwriting expenses Expenses incurred in connection with the acquisition, pricing and administration of a policy.

Underwriting expense ratio, GAAP The ratio of underwriting expenses to earned premiums in a given period.

Unearned premiums The portion of a premium representing the unexpired amount of the contract term as of a certain date.

Variable annuity An annuity which includes a provision for benefit payments to vary according to the investment experience of the separate account in which the amounts paid to provide for this annuity are allocated.

Written premium The premium assessed for the entire coverage period of a property and casualty policy without regard to how much of the premium has been earned. See also earned premium. Net written premium is written premium net of reinsurance.

ITEM 7A QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

Reference is made to Market Risk and Risk Management Policies on pages 51 to 53 of Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in this Form 10-K.

ITEM 8 FINANCIAL STATEMENTS AND SUPPLEMENTARY DATA

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc.:

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(1) present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. and its subsidiaries at December 31, 2007 and 2006, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2007 in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. In addition, in our opinion, the financial statement schedules listed in the index appearing under Item 15(a)(2) present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein when read in conjunction with the related consolidated financial statements. Also in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2007, based on criteria established in Internal Control-Integrated Framework issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission (COSO). The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements and financial statement schedules, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying report. Our responsibility is to express opinions on these financial statements, on the financial statement schedules, and on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our integrated audits. We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audits to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed the method in which it accounts for uncertain tax positions in 2007.

As discussed in Note 1 to the consolidated financial statements, the Company changed its method of accounting for certain stock-based compensation in 2006.

A company s internal control over financial reporting is a process designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company s internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (i) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (ii) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (iii) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company is assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of its inherent limitations, internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements. Also, projections of any evaluation of effectiveness to future periods are subject to the risk that controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

Boston, Massachusetts

February 26, 2008

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (In millions, except per share data)	2007	2006	2005
Revenues			
Premiums	\$ 2,404.8	\$ 2,254.6	\$ 2,198.2
Net investment income	324.0	318.9	321.4
Net realized investment gains (losses)	1.5	(4.3)	23.8
Fees and other income	56.5	74.9	80.9
Total revenues	2,786.8	2,644.1	2,624.3
D			
Benefits, losses and expenses	1 = 4 = 0	1 471 0	1 702 1
Policy benefits, claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses	1,545.3	1,471.8	1,703.1
Policy acquisition expenses	524.3	477.5	465.2
Other operating expenses	368.8	415.4	384.7
Total benefits, losses and expenses	2,438.4	2,364.7	2,553.0
Income before federal income taxes	348.4	279.4	71.3
Federal income tax expense (benefit)			
Current	20.8	18.4	(6.8)
Deferred	88.4	69.3	1.6
Total federal income tax expense (benefit)	109.2	87.7	(5.2)
	109.2	07.7	(3.2)
Income from continuing operations	239.2	191.7	76.5
Discontinued operations (Notes 2 and 3):			
Income from operations of discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$8.3 in 2005)	5.2		42.7
Gain (loss) on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$2.9 in 2006)	7.9	(29.8)	(444.4)
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. (net of income tax expense of \$1.2 in 2006)	0.8	7.8	()
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	253.1	169.7 0.6	(325.2)
Net income (loss)	\$ 253.1	\$ 170.3	\$ (325.2)
Earnings per common share:			
Basic:			
Income from continuing operations	\$ 4.63	\$ 3.72	\$ 1.43
Discontinued operations:			
Income from operations of discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.16 in 2005)	0.10		0.80
Gain (loss) on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.06 in 2006)	0.15	(0.57)	(8.31)
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. (net of income tax expense of \$0.02 in 2006)	0.02	0.15	(0.01)
	0.02	0.10	
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	4.90	3.30	(6.08)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle	0	0.01	(0.00)
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		0.01	

Net income (loss) per share	\$	4.90	\$	3.31	\$	(6.08)
Weighted average shares outstanding		51.7		51.5		53.5
Diluted:						
Income from continuing operations	\$	4.56	\$	3.68	\$	1.42
Discontinued operations:						
Income from operations of discontinued variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax						
benefit of \$0.15in 2005)		0.10				0.79
Gain (loss) on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business (net of income tax benefit of \$0.06						
in 2006)		0.15		(0.57)		(8.23)
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc. (net of income tax expense of \$0.02 in 2006)		0.02		0.15		
•						
Income (loss) before cumulative effect of change in accounting principle		4.83		3.26		(6.02)
Cumulative effect of change in accounting principle				0.01		
Net income (loss) per share	\$	4.83	\$	3.27	\$	(6.02)
Net meone (1055) per share	φ	0J	φ	5.21	φ	(0.02)
		50.4		50.0		54.0
Weighted average shares outstanding		52.4		52.2		54.0

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

DECEMBER 31 (In millions, except per share data)	2007	2006
Assets		
Investments:		
Fixed maturities at fair value (amortized cost of \$5,723.1 and \$5,643.2)	\$ 5,722.0	\$ 5,629.0
Equity securities at fair value (cost of \$37.6 and \$11.6)	44.9	17.2
Mortgage loans	41.2	57.1
Policy loans	116.0	125.7
Other long-term investments	30.7	35.4
Total investments	5,954.8	5,864.4
Cash and cash equivalents	275.4	372.7
Accrued investment income	72.5	72.3
Premiums, accounts and notes receivable, net	629.5	584.7
Reinsurance receivable on paid and unpaid losses, benefits and unearned premiums	1,378.9	1,350.5
Deferred policy acquisition costs	250.5	233.5
Deferred federal income taxes	330.5	385.0
Goodwill	126.0	121.4
Other assets	316.2	328.5
Separate account assets	481.3	543.6
Total assets	\$ 9,815.6	\$ 9,856.6
Liabilities		
Policy liabilities and accruals:		
Future policy benefits	\$ 1,164.9	\$ 1,242.3
Outstanding claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses	3,239.5	3,247.2
Unearned premiums	1,157.1	1,101.4
Contractholder deposit funds and other policy liabilities	179.2	194.9
Total policy liabilities and accruals	5,740.7	5,785.8
	•,,, ••••	0,10010
Expenses and taxes payable	696.4	928.0
Reinsurance premiums payable	47.2	52.7
Trust instruments supported by funding obligations	39.1	38.5
Long-term debt	511.9	508.8
Separate account liabilities	481.3	543.6
Separate account nationales	10110	5 15.0
Total liabilities	7,516.6	7,857.4
Total habilities	7,510.0	7,037.4
Commitments and contingencies (Notes 16 and 20)		
Shareholders Equity		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value, 20.0 million shares authorized, none issued	0.6	0.6
Common stock, \$0.01 par value, 300.0 million shares authorized, 60.5 million shares issued	0.6	0.6
Additional paid-in capital	1,822.6	1,814.3
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(20.4)	(39.9)
Retained earnings	946.9	712.0
Treasury stock at cost (8.7 million and 9.4 million shares)	(450.7)	(487.8)
Total shareholders equity	2,299.0	1,999.2

Total liabilities and shareholders equity

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Preferred Stock			
Balance at beginning and end of year	\$	\$	\$
Common Stock			
Balance at beginning and end of year	0.6	0.6	0.6
Additional Paid-in Capital			
Balance at beginning of year	1,814.3	1,785.1	1,782.1
Tax benefit from stock options and other	2.5	8.1	2.7
Employee and director stock-based awards	5.8	21.1	0.3
Balance at end of year	1,822.6	1,814.3	1,785.1
Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss			
Net Unrealized Appreciation (Depreciation) on Investments and Derivative Instruments:			
Balance at beginning of year Net appreciation (depreciation) during the period:	(9.0)	9.9	87.1
Net appreciation (depreciation) on available-for-sale securities and derivative instruments	16.7	(23.5)	(118.7)
(Provision) benefit for deferred federal income taxes	(2.2)	4.6	41.5
	(2.2)	1.0	11.5
	14.5	(18.9)	(77.2)
Balance at end of year	5.5	(9.0)	9.9
Defined Benefit Pension and Postretirement Plans:			
Balance at beginning of year	(30.9)	(69.4)	(84.1)
Decrease in minimum pension liability	(30.9)	(09.4)	22.6
Amounts arising in the period	0.2	57.4	22.0
Amortization during the period:	0.2		
Amount recognized as net periodic benefit cost	7.4		
Adjustment to initially apply Statement No. 158	···	(0.2)	
Provision for deferred federal income taxes	(2.6)	(20.7)	(7.9)
	5.0	29.5	147
	5.0	38.5	14.7
Balance at end of year	(25.9)	(30.9)	(69.4)
Total accumulated other comprehensive loss	(20.4)	(39.9)	(59.5)
Retained Earnings			
Balance at beginning of year, before cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	712.0	589.8	943.4
Cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	11.5	569.6	943.4
cumulative effect of accounting change, net of tax	11.5		
Balance at beginning of year, as adjusted	723.5	589.8	943.4
Net income	253.1	170.3	(325.2)
Dividends to shareholders	(20.8)	(15.4)	(13.4)
Treasury stock issued for less than cost	(8.9)	(32.7)	(15.0)
Balance at end of year	946.9	712.0	589.8

(487.8)	(364.7)	(389.6)
(1.6)	(200.2)	
38.7	77.1	24.9
(450.7)	(487.8)	(364.7)
\$ 2,299.0	\$ 1,999.2	\$ 1,951.3
	(1.6) 38.7 (450.7)	(1.6) (200.2) 38.7 77.1 (450.7) (487.8)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31	2007	2006	2005
(In millions) Net income (loss)	\$ 253.1	\$ 170.3	\$ (325.2)
Other comprehensive income (loss):	\$ 233.1	\$170.5	\$ (323.2)
Available-for-sale securities:			
Net appreciation (depreciation) during the period	16.9	(24.6)	(195.0)
(Provision) benefit for deferred federal income taxes	(2.3)	(24.0)	68.2
(1 rovision) benefit for deferred rederal income taxes	(2.3)	5.0	00.2
Total available-for-sale securities	14.6	(19.6)	(126.8)
Derivative instruments:			
Net (depreciation) appreciation during the period	(0.2)	1.1	76.3
Benefit (provision) for deferred federal income taxes	0.1	(0.4)	(26.7)
	(0.1)	0.7	10.6
Total derivative instruments	(0.1)	0.7	49.6
	14.5	(18.9)	(77.2)
Pension and postretirement benefits:			
Amounts arising in the period:			
Net actuarial loss	(19.7)		
Prior service cost	19.9		
Total amounts arising in the period	0.2		
Amortization recognized as net periodic benefit costs:			
Net actuarial loss	12.4		
Prior service cost	(3.3)		
Transition asset	(1.7)		
Total amortization recognized as net periodic benefit costs	7.4		
Increase in pension and postretirement benefits	7.6		
Decrease in additional minimum pension liability		59.2	22.6
Provision for deferred federal income taxes	(2.6)	(20.7)	(7.9)
Total pension and postretirement benefits	5.0	38.5	14.7
Other comprehensive income (loss)	19.5	19.6	(62.5)
Comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 272.6	\$ 189.9	\$ (387.7)

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.

CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Cash Flows From Operating Activities			
Net income (loss)	\$ 253.1	\$ 170.3	\$ (325.2)
Adjustments to reconcile net income (loss) to net cash provided by operating activities:			
(Gain) loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business	(7.9)	29.8	444.4
Gain on sale of Financial Profiles, Inc.	(0.8)	(7.8)	
Net realized investment (gains) losses	(1.5)	4.3	(30.6)
Net amortization and depreciation	18.9	21.4	31.6
Stock-based compensation expense	15.5	17.3	
Interest credited to contractholder deposit funds and trust instruments supported by funding			
obligations	2.4	6.6	21.1
Deferred federal income taxes	88.4	65.0	(1.8)
Change in deferred acquisition costs	(16.1)	(25.3)	139.8
Change in premiums and notes receivable, net of reinsurance payable	(39.1)	(114.8)	(7.7)
Change in accrued investment income	0.3	4.2	21.7
Change in policy liabilities and accruals, net	(91.7)	(328.7)	133.3
Change in reinsurance receivable	(8.3)	266.8	(304.6)
Change in expenses and taxes payable	(141.1)	(56.4)	24.7
Other, net	1.2	(10.9)	6.4
Net cash provided by operating activities	73.3	41.8	153.1
Cash Flows From Investing Activities			
Proceeds from disposals and maturities of available-for-sale fixed maturities	1,174.2	1,563.5	2,426.2
Proceeds from disposals of equity securities and other investments	23.7	26.4	25.3
Proceeds from mortgages matured or collected	15.9	42.5	15.8
Proceeds from collections of installment finance and notes receivable	453.5	354.7	321.0
Net cash used to acquire Professionals Direct, Inc.	(16.9)		
Proceeds from sale of variable life insurance and annuity business, net	12.7	50.9	121.3
Proceeds from sale of Financial Profiles, Inc.		17.9	
Purchase of available-for-sale fixed maturities	(1,227.1)	(1,544.3)	(1,734.4)
Purchase of equity securities and other investments	(34.3)	(5.9)	(7.3)
Capital expenditures	(9.5)	(8.8)	(8.3)
Net receipts (payments) related to swap agreements	0.3	(28.3)	(39.7)
Disbursements to fund installment finance and notes receivable	(464.8)	(370.7)	(308.4)
Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities	(72.3)	97.9	811.5
Cash Flows From Financing Activities			
Withdrawals from contractholder deposit funds		(31.0)	(1.7)
Withdrawals from trust instruments supported by funding obligations		(253.1)	(651.5)
Exercise of options	23.8	44.8	8.6
Proceeds from excess tax benefits related to share-based payments	1.3	6.0	
Change in collateral related to securities lending program	(101.0)	(19.6)	(91.6)
Dividends paid to shareholders	(20.8)	(15.4)	(13.4)
Treasury stock purchased at cost	(1.6)	(200.2)	
Net cash used in financing activities	(98.3)	(468.5)	(749.6)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents	(97.3)	(328.8)	215.0
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	(97.3) 372.7	(328.8) 701.5	486.5
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of year	312.1	/01.5	480.5

Cash and cash equivalents, end of year	\$	275.4	\$	372.7	\$	701.5
Supplemental Cash Flow information						
Interest payments	\$	40.8	\$	40.6	\$	40.6
Income tax net payments (refunds)	\$	61.4	\$	(14.0)	\$	17.2
The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements.						

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

A. Basis of Presentation and Principles of Consolidation

The consolidated financial statements of The Hanover Insurance Group, Inc. (THG or the Company), include the accounts of The Hanover Insurance Company (Hanover Insurance), Citizens Insurance Company of America (Citizens), First Allmerica Financial Life Insurance Company (FAFLIC), and certain other insurance and non-insurance subsidiaries. These legal entities conduct their operations through several business segments as discussed in Note 15. All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated. The Company's results of operations also include the accounts of Allmerica Financial Life Insurance and Annuity Company (AFLIAC) through December 30, 2005. As further described in Note 2 - Sale of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business, on December 30, 2005, the Company sold, as part of a stock purchase agreement, its run-off variable life insurance and annuity business. In December 2005, the Company restated its results of operations for periods prior to then to reflect AFLIAC s variable life insurance and annuity business as a discontinued operation.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with generally accepted accounting principles requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amount of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

B. Closed Block

FAFLIC established and began operating a closed block (the Closed Block) for the benefit of the participating policies included therein, consisting of certain individual life insurance participating policies, individual deferred annuity contracts and supplementary contracts not involving life contingencies which were in force as of FAFLIC s demutualization on October 16, 1995; such policies constitute the Closed Block Business . The purpose of the Closed Block is to protect the policy dividend expectations of such FAFLIC dividend paying policies and contracts. Unless the Massachusetts Commissioner of Insurance consents to an earlier termination, the Closed Block will continue to be in effect until the date none of the Closed Block policies are in force. FAFLIC allocated to the Closed Block assets in an amount that was expected to produce cash flows which, together with future revenues from the Closed Block Business, are reasonably sufficient to support the Closed Block Business, including provision for payment of policy benefits, certain future expenses and taxes and for continuation of policyholder dividend scales payable in 1994 so long as the experience underlying such dividend scales for Closed Block Business have been and will continue to be set accordingly.

Although the assets and cash flow generated by the Closed Block inure solely to the benefit of the holders of policies included in the Closed Block, the excess of Closed Block liabilities over Closed Block assets as measured on a GAAP basis represent the expected future after-tax income from the Closed Block which may be recognized in income over the period the policies and contracts in the Closed Block remain in force.

If the actual income from the Closed Block in any given period equals or exceeds the expected income for such period, only the expected income would be recognized in income for that period. Further, cumulative actual Closed Block income in excess of the expected income would not inure to the shareholders and would be recorded as an additional liability for policyholder dividend obligations. This accrual for future dividends effectively limits the actual Closed Block income currently recognized in the Company s results to the income expected to emerge from operation of the Closed Block.

If, over the period the policies and contracts in the Closed Block remain in force, the actual income from the Closed Block is less than the expected income, only such actual income (which could reflect a loss) would be recognized in income. If the actual income from the Closed Block in any given period is less than the expected income for that period and changes in dividend scales are inadequate to offset the negative performance in relation to the expected performance, the income inuring to shareholders of the Company will be reduced. If a policyholder dividend liability had been previously established in the Closed Block because the actual income to the relevant date had exceeded the expected income to such date, such liability would be reduced by this reduction in income (but not below zero) in any period in which the actual income for that period is less than the expected income for such period.

C. Valuation of Investments

In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 115, *Accounting for Certain Investments in Debt and Equity Securities* (Statement No. 115), the Company is required to classify its investments into one of three categories: held-to-maturity, available-for-sale or trading. The Company determines the appropriate classification of debt

securities at the time of purchase and re-evaluates such designation as of each balance sheet date.

Fixed maturities and equity securities are classified as available-for-sale. Available-for-sale securities are carried at fair value, with the unrealized gains and losses, net of taxes, reported in accumulated other comprehensive income, a separate component of shareholders equity. The amortized cost of fixed maturities is adjusted for amortization of premiums and accretion of discounts to maturity. Such amortization is included in net investment income.

Mortgage loans on real estate are stated at unpaid principal balances, net of unamortized discounts and reserves. Reserves on mortgage loans are based on losses expected by the Company to be realized on transfers of mortgage loans to real estate (upon foreclosure), on the disposition or settlement of mortgage loans and on mortgage loans which the Company believes may not be collectible in full. In establishing reserves, the Company considers, among other things, the estimated fair value of the underlying collateral.

Fixed maturities and mortgage loans that are delinquent are placed on non-accrual status, and thereafter interest income is recognized only when cash payments are received.

Policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances.

Realized investment gains and losses, other than those related to separate accounts, are reported as a component of revenues based upon specific identification of the investment assets sold. When an other-than-temporary decline in value of a specific investment is deemed to have occurred, the Company reduces the cost basis of the investment to fair value. This reduction is permanent and is recognized as a realized investment loss. Changes in the reserves for mortgage loans are included in realized investment gains or losses. Realized investment gains and losses related to separate accounts for which the Company does not bear the investment risk and that meet the conditions for separate account reporting under Statement of Position 03-1, *Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Nontraditional Long-Duration Contracts and for Separate Accounts* (SOP 03-1), accrue to the contractholder. Effective December 30, 2005, as discussed in Note 2 Sale of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business , the Company sold or coinsured all of its SOP 03-1 liabilities. See also Note 1K

D. Financial Instruments

In the normal course of business, the Company may enter into transactions involving various types of financial instruments, including debt, investments such as fixed maturities, mortgage loans and equity securities, investment and loan commitments, swap contracts, option contracts, forward contracts and futures contracts. These instruments involve credit risk and are also subject to risk of loss due to interest rate and foreign currency fluctuation. The Company evaluates and monitors each financial instrument individually and, when appropriate, obtains collateral or other security to minimize losses.

E. Derivatives and Hedging Activities

All derivatives are recognized on the balance sheet at their fair value. On the date the derivative contract is entered into, the Company designates the derivative as (1) a hedge of the fair value of a recognized asset or liability (fair value hedge), (2) a hedge of a forecasted transaction or of the variability of cash flows to be received or paid related to a recognized asset or liability (cash flow hedge), (3) a foreign currency fair value or cash flow hedge (foreign currency hedge), or (4) held for trading. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is highly effective and that is designated and qualifies as a fair value hedge, along with the gain or loss on the hedged asset or liability that is attributable to the hedged risk, are recorded in current period earnings. Changes in the fair value of a derivative that is designated and qualifies as a cash flow hedge are recorded in other comprehensive income, until earnings are affected by the variability of cash flows (i.e., when periodic settlements on a variable-rate asset or liability are recorded in earnings). Changes in the fair value of derivatives that are highly effective and that are designated and qualify as foreign currency hedges are recorded in either current period earnings or other comprehensive income, depending on whether the hedge transaction is a fair value hedge or a cash flow hedge. Lastly, changes in the fair value of derivative trading instruments are reported in current period earnings.

The Company may hold financial instruments that contain embedded derivative instruments. The Company assesses whether the economic characteristics of the embedded derivative are clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the remaining component of the financial instrument, or host contract, and whether a separate instrument with the same terms as the embedded instrument would meet the definition of a derivative instrument. When it is determined that (1) the embedded derivative possesses economic characteristics that are not clearly and closely related to the economic characteristics of the host contract, and (2) a separate instrument with the same terms would qualify as a derivative instrument, the Company may elect to carry certain hybrid financial instruments at their fair value without separating the embedded derivative from the host contract. Otherwise, the embedded derivative is separated from the host

contract, carried at fair value, and designated as a fair value, cash flow or foreign currency hedge, or as a trading derivative instrument.

The Company formally documents all relationships between hedging instruments and hedged items, as well as its risk-management objective and strategy for undertaking various hedge transactions. This process includes linking all derivatives that are designated as fair value, cash flow or foreign currency hedges to specific assets and liabilities on the balance sheet or to specific forecasted transactions. The Company also formally assesses, both at the hedge s inception and on an ongoing basis, whether the derivatives that are used in hedging transactions are highly effective in offsetting changes in fair values or cash flows of hedged items. When it is determined that a derivative is not highly effective as a hedge or that it has ceased to be a highly effective hedge, the Company discontinues hedge accounting prospectively.

F. Cash and Cash Equivalents

Cash and cash equivalents includes cash on hand, amounts due from banks and highly liquid debt instruments purchased with an original maturity of three months or less.

G. Deferred Policy Acquisition Costs

Acquisition costs consist of commissions, underwriting costs and other costs, which vary with, and are primarily related to, the production of revenues. Property and casualty insurance business acquisition costs are deferred and amortized over the terms of the insurance policies. In addition, acquisition costs related to variable annuities and contractholder deposit funds that were deferred in 2002 and prior were permanently impaired upon the disposal of the Company s variable life business. Deferred acquisition costs (DAC) related to fixed annuities and other life insurance products which were deferred in 2002 and prior are amortized, generally in proportion to the ratio of annual revenue to the estimated total revenues over the contract periods based upon the same assumptions

DAC for each property and casualty line of business and remaining life insurance product is reviewed to determine if it is recoverable from future income, including investment income. If such costs are determined to be unrecoverable, they are expensed at the time of determination. Although recoverability of DAC is not assured, the Company believes it is more likely than not that all of these costs will be recovered. The amount of DAC considered recoverable, however, could be reduced in the near term if the estimates of total revenues discussed above are reduced or permanently impaired as a result of the disposition of a line of business. The amount of DAC could be revised in the near term if any of the estimates discussed above are revised.

H. Reinsurance Recoverables

The Company shares certain insurance risks it has underwritten, through the use of reinsurance contracts, with various insurance entities. Reinsurance accounting is followed for ceded transactions when the risk transfer provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 113, *Accounting and Reporting for Reinsurance of Short-Duration and Long-Duration Contracts* (Statement No. 113), have been met. As a result, when the Company experiences loss or claims events, or unfavorable mortality or morbidity experience that are subject to a reinsurance contract, reinsurance recoverables are recorded. The amount of the reinsurance recoverable can vary based on the terms of the reinsurance contract, the size of the individual loss or claim, or the aggregate amount of all losses or claims in a particular line, book of business or an aggregate amount associated with a particular accident year. The valuation of losses or claims recoverable depends on whether the underlying loss or claim is a reported loss or claim, an incurred but not reported loss or a future policy benefit. For reported losses and claims, the Company values reinsurance recoverables at the time the underlying loss or claim is recognized, in accordance with contract terms. For incurred but not reported losses and future policy benefits, the Company estimates the amount of reinsurance recoverables based on the terms of the reinsurance contracts and historical reinsurance recovery information and applies that information to the gross loss reserve and future policy benefit estimates. The reinsurance recoverables are based on what the Company believes are reasonable estimates and the balance is disclosed separately in the financial statements. However, the ultimate amount of the reinsurance recoverable is not known until all losses and claims are settled.

I. Property, Equipment and Capitalized Software

Property, equipment, leasehold improvements and capitalized software are stated at cost, less accumulated depreciation and amortization. Depreciation is provided using the straight-line or accelerated method over the estimated useful lives of the related assets, which generally range from 3 to 30 years. The estimated useful life for capitalized software is generally 5 years. Amortization of leasehold improvements is provided using the straight-line method over the lesser of the term of the leases or the estimated useful life of the improvements.

The Company tests for the recoverability of long-lived assets whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. The Company recognizes impairment losses only to the extent that the carrying amounts of long-lived assets

exceed the sum of the undiscounted cash flows expected to result from the use and eventual disposition of the assets. When an impairment loss occurs, the Company reduces the carrying value of the asset to fair value. Fair values are estimated using discounted cash flow analysis.

J. Goodwill

In accordance with the provisions of Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 142, *Goodwill and Other Intangible Assets* (Statement No. 142), the Company carries its goodwill at amortized cost, net of impairments. The Company's goodwill relates to its property and casualty business. Increases to goodwill are generated through acquisition and represent the excess of the cost of an acquisition over the fair value of the assets and liabilities acquired, including any intangible assets acquired. The Company tests for the recoverability of goodwill annually or whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amounts may not be recoverable. The Company recognizes impairment losses only to the extent that the carrying amounts of reporting units with goodwill exceed the fair value. The amount of the impairment loss that is recognized is determined based upon the excess of the carrying value of goodwill compared to the implied fair value of the goodwill, as determined with respect to all assets and liabilities of the reporting unit.

The Company has performed its annual review of goodwill for impairment in the fourth quarters of 2007, 2006 and 2005 with no impairments recognized. On August 31, 2006, the Company sold all of its outstanding shares of Financial Profiles, Inc, a wholly-owned subsidiary. Included in the carrying value of Financial Profiles, Inc. was \$6.8 million of historical goodwill. The Company s goodwill was reduced by this amount as a result of the sales transaction.

K. Separate Accounts

Separate account assets and liabilities represent segregated funds administered and invested by the Company for the benefit of variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders and certain pension funds. Assets consist principally of mutual funds, bonds, common stocks and short-term obligations at market value. The investment income, gains and losses of these accounts inure directly to, and the investment risk is borne by the contractholders and, therefore, are not included in the Company s net income. Reserves for policyholders account balances are equal to the market value of the underlying investment assets and are reflected as separate account liabilities. On December 30, 2005 the Company sold or coinsured substantially all of the business related to its separate accounts (see Note 2 Sale of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business). Accordingly, in 2007, there is no material effect on the Company s net income associated with these contracts. However, in 2005, the Company s net income reflects fees assessed on fund values of these contracts.

L. Policy Liabilities and Accruals

Liabilities for outstanding claims, losses and loss adjustment expenses (LAE) are estimates of payments to be made on property and casualty and health insurance contracts for reported losses and LAE and estimates of losses and LAE incurred but not reported. These liabilities are determined using case basis evaluations and statistical analyses of historical loss patterns and represent estimates of the ultimate cost of all losses incurred but not paid. These estimates are continually reviewed and adjusted as necessary; such adjustments are reflected in current operations. Estimated amounts of salvage and subrogation on unpaid property and casualty losses are deducted from the liability for unpaid claims.

Premiums for property and casualty insurance are reported as earned on a pro-rata basis over the contract period. The unexpired portion of these premiums is recorded as unearned premiums.

Future policy benefits are liabilities for life, health and annuity products. Such liabilities are established in amounts adequate to meet the estimated future obligations of policies in force. The liabilities associated with traditional life insurance products are computed using the net level premium method for individual life and annuity policies, and are based upon estimates as to future investment yield, mortality, and withdrawals that include provisions for adverse deviation. Future policy benefits for individual life insurance and annuity policies consider crediting rates ranging from 2 1/2% to 6% for life insurance and 2% to 9 1/2% for annuities. Mortality, morbidity, and withdrawal assumptions for all policies are based on the Company s own experience and industry standards.

Contractholder deposit funds and other policy liabilities include investment-related products such as group retirement purchased annuities and immediate participation guarantee funds. These funds consist of deposits received from customers and investment earnings on their fund balances.

Trust instruments supported by funding obligations, also known as guaranteed investment contracts (GICs) consist of deposits received from customers, investment earnings on their fund balance and the effect of changes in foreign currencies related to these deposits.

All policy liabilities and accruals are based on the various estimates discussed above. Although the adequacy

Table of Contents

of these amounts cannot be assured, the Company believes that it is more likely than not that policy liabilities and accruals will be sufficient to meet future obligations of policies in force. The amount of liabilities and accruals, however, could be revised in the near-term if the estimates discussed above are revised.

M. Junior Subordinated Debentures

The Company has established a business trust, AFC Capital Trust I, for the sole purpose of issuing mandatorily redeemable preferred securities to investors. Through AFC Capital Trust I, the Company issued \$300.0 million of Series B Capital Securities, which are registered under the Securities Act of 1933, the proceeds of which were used to purchase related junior subordinated debentures from the holding company. In addition, the Company issued \$9.3 million of junior subordinated debentures to purchase all of the common stock of AFC Capital Trust I. Through certain guarantees, these subordinated debentures and the terms of related agreements, the Company has irrevocably and unconditionally guaranteed the obligations of AFC Capital Trust I.

The securities embody an unconditional obligation that requires the Company to redeem the securities on a stated maturity date. In addition, these securities contain a settlement alternative that occurs as a result of a special event. A special event could occur if a change in laws and/or regulations or the application or interpretation of these laws and/or regulations causes the interest from these debentures to become taxable income (or non-deductible expense), or for the subsidiary trust to become deemed an investment company and subject to the filing requirements of Registered Investment Companies. In accordance with FASB Interpretation No. 46, *Consolidation of Variable Interest Entities an Interpretation of ARB No. 51*, the Company does not consolidate AFC Capital Trust and carries the debt issued by the trust as a component of its long-term debt. The \$9.3 million of equity interest in the trust is included as a part of the Company s equity securities.

On September 14, 2007, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Professionals Direct, Inc. (PDI) (See also Note 3 Significant Transactions). Prior to this acquisition, Professionals Direct Statutory Trust II, now an unconsolidated subsidiary of THG, issued \$3.0 million of preferred securities in 2005, the proceeds of which were used to purchase junior subordinated debentures issued by PDI. Coincident with the issuance of the preferred securities, PDI issued \$0.1 million of junior subordinated debentures to purchase all of the common stock of Professionals Direct Statutory Trust II. The Company also carries the debt issued by this trust as a component of its long-term debt.

N. Premium, Fee Revenue and Related Expenses

Property and casualty insurance premiums are recognized as revenue over the related contract periods. Premiums for individual life insurance and individual and group annuity products, excluding universal life and investment-related products, are considered revenue when due. Benefits, losses and related expenses are matched with premiums, resulting in their recognition over the lives of the contracts. This matching is accomplished through estimated and unpaid losses, the provision for future benefits and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. Revenues for investment-related products consist of net investment income and contract charges assessed against the fund values. Certain policy charges such as enhanced crediting rates or bonus payments that represent compensation for services to be provided in future periods were classified as deferred sales inducements and amortized over the period benefited using the same assumptions used to amortize DAC. These deferred policy charges were permanently impaired upon the 2005 disposal of the Company s variable life insurance and annuity business and are reflected in the Consolidated Statement of Income for the year ended December 31, 2005 as a component of the loss on disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business that was sold, were reclassified to discontinued operations.

O. Federal Income Taxes

THG and its domestic subsidiaries (including certain non-insurance operations) file a consolidated United States federal income tax return. Entities included within the consolidated group are segregated into either a life insurance or a non-life insurance company subgroup. The consolidation of these subgroups is subject to certain statutory restrictions on the percentage of eligible non-life tax losses that can be applied to offset life company taxable income.

Deferred income taxes are generally recognized when assets and liabilities have different values for financial statement and tax reporting purposes, and for other temporary taxable and deductible differences as defined by Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 109, *Accounting for Income Taxes* (Statement No. 109). These differences result primarily from tax credit carryforwards, capital loss carryforwards, loss and LAE reserves, policy reserves, policy acquisition expenses and employee benefit plans. Deferred tax assets are reduced by a valuation allowance if it is more likely than not that all or some portion of the deferred tax assets will not be realized. Changes in valuation allowances are generally

reflected in federal income tax expense or as an adjustment to Other Comprehensive Income (Loss) depending on the nature of the item for which the valuation allowance is being recorded.

P. New Accounting Pronouncements

Recently Issued Standards

In December 2007, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 141 (revised 2007), *Business Combinations* (Statement No. 141(R)). Statement No. 141(R) requires the acquiring entity in a business combination to recognize all assets acquired and liabilities assumed in a transaction at the acquisition-date fair value, with certain exceptions. Additionally, the statement requires changes to the accounting treatment of acquisition related items, including, among other items, transaction costs, contingent consideration, restructuring costs, indemnification assets and tax benefits. Statement No. 141(R) also provides for a substantial number of new disclosure requirements. This statement is effective for business combinations initiated on or after the first annual reporting period beginning after December 15, 2008. The Company expects that Statement No. 141(R) will have an impact on its accounting for future business combinations once the statement is adopted, but the effect is dependent upon acquisitions, if any, that are made in the future.

In December 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 160, *Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements, an amendment of ARB No. 51* (Statement No. 160), which establishes new standards governing the accounting for and reporting of noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests). This statement establishes reporting requirements which include, among other things, that noncontrolling interests be reflected as a separate component of equity, not as a liability. It also requires that the interests of the parent and the noncontrolling interest be clearly identifiable. Additionally, increases and decreases in a parent s ownership interest that leave control intact shall be reflected as equity transactions, rather than step acquisitions or dilution gains or losses. This statement also requires changes to the presentation of information in the financial statements and provides for additional disclosure requirements. Statement No. 160 is effective for fiscal years beginning on or after December 15, 2008. The Company does not expect the effect, if any, of adopting Statement No. 160 will be material to its financial position or results of operations.

In February 2007, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 159, *The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities Including an amendment of FASB Statement No. 115* (Statement No. 159). Statement No. 159 permits a company to choose, at specified election dates, to measure at fair value certain eligible financial assets and liabilities that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The specified election dates include, but are not limited to, the date when an entity first recognizes the item, when an entity enters into a firm commitment or when changes in the financial instrument causes it to no longer qualify for fair value accounting under a different accounting standard. An entity may elect the fair value option for eligible items that exist at the effective date. At that date, the difference between the carrying amounts and the fair values of eligible items for which the fair value option is elected should be recognized as a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings. The fair value option may be elected for each entire financial instrument, but need not be applied to all similar instruments. Once the fair value option has been elected, it is irrevocable. Unrealized gains and losses on items for which the fair value option has been elected will be reported in earnings. Statement No. 159 is effective as of the beginning of fiscal years that begin after November 15, 2007. The Company did not elect to implement the fair value option for eligible financial assets and liabilities as of January 1, 2008.

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 157, *Fair Value Measurements* (Statement No. 157). This statement creates a common definition of fair value to be used throughout generally accepted accounting principles. Statement No. 157 will apply whenever another standard requires or permits assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value, with certain exceptions. The standard establishes a hierarchy for determining fair value which emphasizes the use of observable market data whenever available. The statement also requires expanded disclosures which include the extent to which assets and liabilities are measured at fair value, the methods and assumptions used to measure fair value and the effect of fair value measures on earnings. Statement No. 157 is effective for fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2007 and interim periods within those fiscal years. The difference between the carrying amounts and fair values of those financial instruments held at the date this statement is initially applied should be recognized as a cumulative effect adjustment to the opening balance of retained earnings for the fiscal year in which this statement is initially applied. The Company does not believe that the effect of adopting Statement No. 157 will be material to its financial position or results of operations.

Recently Adopted Standards

In September 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 158, Employers Accounting for Defined Benefit Pension and Other Postretirement Plans an amendment of FASB Statements No. 87, 88, 106, and 132(R) (Statement No. 158). This statement requires an employer to recognize the funded status of its benefit plans in its statement of financial position and to recognize changes in that funded status through comprehensive income in the year in which they occur. The funded status of the plans should be measured as the difference between the fair value of plan assets and the benefit obligation. This statement also requires the recognition, as a component of other comprehensive income, net of taxes, of the gains or losses and prior service costs or credits that arise during the period but are not recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 87, Employers Accounting for Pensions (Statement No. 87) or Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 106, Employers Accounting for Postretirement Benefits Other Than Pensions (Statement No. 106), as well as the balance of transition assets or obligations remaining from the initial application of statement No. 87 and Statement No. 106. These balances in accumulated other comprehensive income shall be subsequently recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost pursuant to the recognition and amortization requirements of Statement No. 87 and Statement. No. 106. In addition, the statement also provides for enhanced disclosures which include, among other items, the estimated amount of actuarial gains or losses, prior services costs or credits, and transition assets or obligations that are included in accumulated other comprehensive income to be recognized as components of net periodic benefit cost in the next fiscal year. The effective date for a company to recognize the funded status of its plans and the related disclosure requirements was as of the end of its fiscal year ending after December 15, 2006. Retrospective application of this statement is not permitted. The effective date for changing a company s measurement date for plan assets and benefit obligations to coincide with the date of its statement of financial position will be for fiscal years ending after December 15, 2008. The Company currently measures its funded status as of December 31. THG adopted Statement No. 158 effective December 31, 2006 (See also Note 10 Pension Plans and Note 11 Other Postretirement Benefit Plans). The impact of adopting Statement No. 158 was not material to the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In June 2006, the FASB issued Interpretation No. 48, *Accounting for Uncertainty in Income Taxes, an interpretation of FASB Statement No. 109* (FIN 48). The interpretation requires companies to recognize the tax benefits of uncertain tax positions only when the position is more likely than not to be sustained upon examination by tax authorities. The amount recognized would be the amount that represents the largest amount of tax benefit that is greater than 50% likely of being ultimately realized. A liability would be recognized for any benefit claimed, or expected to be claimed, in a tax return in excess of the benefit recorded in the financial statements, along with any interest and penalty on the excess. FIN 48 will require, among other items, a tabular reconciliation of the change during the reporting period, in the aggregate unrecognized tax benefits claimed or expected to be claimed in tax returns and disclosure relating to accrued interest and penalties for unrecognized tax benefits. Additional disclosure will also be required for those uncertain tax positions where it is reasonably possible that the estimate of the tax benefit will change significantly in the next twelve months. FIN 48 was effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company adopted FIN 48 as of January 1, 2007 which resulted in an increase to shareholders equity of \$11.5 million (See also Note 9-Federal Income Taxes).

In March 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 156, Accounting *for Servicing of Financial Assets an amendment to FASB Statement No. 140* (Statement No. 156). Statement No. 156 amends Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 140, *Accounting for Transfers and Servicing of Financial Assets and Extinguishments of Liabilities* (Statement No. 140) to require, among other things, that all separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities be initially measured at fair value, if practicable. It also permits an entity to choose a method for the subsequent measurement of separately recognized servicing assets and liabilities, either the amortization method or the fair value measurement method. The statement was effective as of the beginning of fiscal years that begin after September 15, 2006. The Company adopted Statement No. 156 effective January 1, 2007 with no material impact on its results of operations or financial position.

In February 2006, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 155, *Accounting for Certain Hybrid Financial Instruments an amendment of FASB Statements No. 133 and 140* (Statement No. 155). This Statement amends Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 133, *Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities* (Statement No. 133), and Statement No. 140. Statement No. 155, among other things, permits fair value remeasurement for any hybrid financial instrument that contains an embedded derivative that otherwise would require bifurcation, adds clarity regarding interest-only strips and principal-only strips that are not subject to the requirements of Statement No. 133,

and requires companies to evaluate interests in securitized financial assets to identify interests that are freestanding derivatives or that are hybrid financial instruments containing an embedded derivative that requires bifurcation. Statement No. 155 was effective for all financial instruments acquired or issued after the beginning of an entity s first fiscal year that begins after September 15, 2006. Since early adoption was permitted, the Company adopted Statement No. 155 on January 1, 2006 with no material impact to its results of operations or financial position.

In September 2005, the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) issued Statement of Position 05-1, *Accounting by Insurance Enterprises for Deferred Acquisition Costs in Connection with Modifications or Exchanges of Insurance Contracts* (SOP 05-1). SOP 05-1 provides guidance on accounting by insurance companies for deferred acquisition costs on internal replacements of insurance and investment contracts other than those described in Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 97, *Accounting and Reporting by Insurance Enterprises for Certain Long-Duration Contracts and for Realized Gains and Losses from the Sale of Investments.* This statement was effective for internal replacements occurring in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2006. The Company adopted SOP 05-1 effective January 1, 2007. The adoption of SOP 05-1 did not have a material effect on the Company s results of operations or financial position.

In May 2005, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 154, *Accounting Changes and Error Corrections a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3* (Statement No. 154). Statement No. 154 replaces Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 20, Accounting Changes (APB Opinion No. 20) and Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 3, *Reporting Accounting Changes in Interim Financial Statements*. This statement establishes, unless impracticable, retrospective application as the required method for all voluntary changes in accounting principle in the absence of specific transition provisions for the newly adopted accounting principle. Statement No. 154 requires companies to retrospectively apply the effect of the change to all prior periods practicable, and the financial statements for all periods presented shall be adjusted to reflect the change. Similarly, an error in the financial statements for each period presented shall be adjusted to reflect the change for determining whether retrospective application of a change in accounting principle is impracticable and the necessary disclosures once that determination has been made. Additionally, changes in methods of depreciation, amortization or depletion of long-lived, non-financial assets must be accounted for as a change in accounting estimate. The statement also requires certain disclosures in the period in which a change in accounting principle or correction of an error is made. Statement No. 154 is effective for accounting changes and correction of errors made in fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005.

In December 2004, the FASB issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123 (revised 2004), Share-Based Payment (Statement No. 123(R)). This statement requires companies to measure and recognize the cost of employee services received in exchange for an award of equity instruments based on the grant-date fair value. Statement No. 123(R) replaces Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 123, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation (Statement No. 123), and supersedes Accounting Principles Board Opinion No. 25, Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees (APB Opinion No. 25). The Company adopted Statement No. 123(R) effective January 1, 2006 using the modified prospective transition method. Prior to the adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the Company accounted for its stock-based compensation in accordance with APB Opinion No. 25; therefore, the Company had not previously recognized compensation expense for employee stock options in net income because the exercise price equaled the market value of the underlying common stock on the grant date. Upon adoption of Statement No. 123(R), the Company began recognizing expense related to employee stock options and modified its expense calculation associated with restricted shares and restricted share units (see Note 12 Stock-Based Compensation Plans). The cumulative effect adjustment of adopting Statement No. 123(R), net of tax, was a benefit of \$0.6 million. In the fourth quarter 2006, the Company elected to adopt the alternate transition method described in FASB Staff Position No. FAS 123(R)-3, Transition Election Related to Accounting for Tax Effects of Share-based Payment Awards, for the purposes of calculating the pool of excess tax benefits available to absorb tax deficiencies recognized subsequent to the adoption of Statement No. 123(R). Electing the alternative method constitutes a change in accounting principle which required retrospective application to the 2006 quarterly Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. There was no impact to the Company s results of operations or financial position as a result of electing the alternative method.

⁸⁵

Q. Earnings Per Share

Earnings per share (EPS) for the years ended December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005 is based on a weighted average of the number of shares outstanding during each year. Basic and diluted EPS is computed by dividing income available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of shares outstanding for the period. The weighted average shares outstanding used to calculate basic EPS differ from the weighted average shares outstanding used in the calculation of diluted EPS due to the effect of dilutive employee stock options and nonvested stock grants. If the effect of such stock options and grants are antidilutive, the weighted average shares outstanding used to calculate diluted EPS equal those used to calculate basic EPS.

Options to purchase shares of common stock whose exercise prices are greater than the average market price of the common shares are not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect would be antidilutive.

R. Stock-Based Compensation

Effective January 1, 2006, the Company adopted Statement No. 123(R) which requires the Company to recognize the fair value of compensation costs for all share-based payments, including employee stock options, in the financial statements. The Company adopted Statement No. 123(R) using the modified prospective transition method. Under this method, the Company did not restate its prior financial statements, but recognized compensation cost for all share-based awards granted, modified or settled after January 1, 2006, as well as any awards that were granted prior to January 1, 2006 for which the requisite service period had not been provided as of the implementation date, i.e., unvested awards. Unvested awards are expensed consistent with the valuation used in previous disclosures of the pro-forma effect of Statement No. 123. The cumulative effect adjustment recognized in the first quarter of 2006 relating to the adoption of Statement No. 123(R), was a benefit of \$0.6 million, net of tax. Prior to January 1, 2006, the Company applied the provisions of APB Opinion No. 25 in accounting for its stock-based compensation plans. Under APB Opinion No. 25, the Company did not recognize compensation expense related to employee stock options because the exercise price of its options equaled the fair market value of the underlying stock on the date of grant. The Company s stock-based compensation plans are discussed further in Note 12 Stock-Based Compensation Plans .

The following table illustrates the effect on net loss and net loss per share if the Company had applied the fair value recognition provisions of Statement No. 123(R) to stock-based compensation in 2005.

FOR THE YEAR ENDED DECEMBER 31	2005
(In millions, except per share data)	¢ (225 2)
Net loss, as reported	\$ (325.2)
Stock-based compensation expense included in reported net loss, net of taxes	2.6
Total stock-based compensation expense determined under fair value based method for all awards, net of taxes	(10.3)
Net loss, after effect of Statement No. 123(R)	\$ (332.9)
Earnings per share:	
Basic - as reported	\$ (6.08)
Basic - after effect of Statement No. 123(R)	\$ (6.22)
Diluted - as reported	\$ (6.02)
Diluted - after effect of Statement No. 123(R)	\$ (6.19)

Since options vest over several years and additional awards generally are made each year, the aforementioned pro forma effects are not likely to be representative of the effects on reported net income for future years.

S. Reclassifications

Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform to the current year presentation.

2. SALE OF VARIABLE LIFE INSURANCE AND ANNUITY BUSINESS

On December 30, 2005, the Company sold all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of AFLIAC, a life insurance subsidiary representing approximately 95% of the Company s run-off variable life insurance and annuity business to The Goldman Sachs Group, Inc. (Goldman Sachs). The transaction also included the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC. In connection with these transactions, Allmerica Investment Trust agreed to transfer certain assets and liabilities of its funds to certain Goldman Sachs Variable Insurance Trust managed funds through a fund reorganization transaction. Finally, the Company agreed to sell to Goldman Sachs all of the outstanding shares of capital stock of Allmerica Financial Investment Management Service, Inc. (AFIMS), its investment advisory subsidiary, concurrently with the consummation of a fund reorganization transaction. The fund reorganization transaction was consummated on January 9, 2006.

Total proceeds from the sale were \$318.8 million, comprised of \$284.0 million of proceeds from the sale of AFLIAC, \$26.2 million from the sale of AFIMS and \$8.6 million from the ceding commission related to the FAFLIC variable business. Included in the proceeds from the sale of AFLIAC is \$46.7 million that was deferred as part of the agreement. On December 30, 2007 and December 30, 2006 the Company received \$11.7

million and \$23.3 million, respectively, which represents 75% of the deferred balance. The remaining deferred balance will be received in 2008.

In connection with the sale, the Massachusetts Division of Insurance approved a cash dividend of \$48.6 million from FAFLIC, including the \$8.6 million ceding commission received related to the reinsurance of 100% of the variable business of FAFLIC, and for the distribution of other non-insurance subsidiaries, from which the holding company received \$15.4 million of additional funds. These funds were paid to the holding company in 2006.

The Company and Goldman Sachs have made various representations, warranties and covenants in connection with the transaction. The Company has agreed to indemnify Goldman Sachs for the breaches of the Company s representations, warranties and covenants. THG has also agreed to indemnify Goldman Sachs for certain litigation, regulatory matters and other liabilities relating to the pre-closing activities of the business that was sold. Additionally, the Company provided transition services to Goldman Sachs from December 30, 2005 through December 31, 2006. These services included policy and claims processing, accounting and reporting, and other administrative services.

The Company accounted for the disposal of AFLIAC as a discontinued operation in accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards No. 144, *Accounting for the Impairment or Disposal of Long-lived Assets* (Statement No. 144). As such, the Company recognized a loss of \$444.4 million related to this transaction in 2005, primarily from the disposition of AFLIAC, whose book value was greater than the proceeds received, including costs to sell. This loss is presented in the Consolidated Statements of Income as Loss on Disposal of Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business, a component of discontinued operations. In 2006, the Company incurred \$29.8 million of costs related to additional contractual indemnifications, severance expenses, and transition services and conversion costs. The Company recognized a \$7.9 million adjustment to the loss on disposal of its variable life insurance and annuity business in 2007 primarily related to a \$7.5 million tax benefit from the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards previously generated by AFLIAC (See Note 9) Federal Income Taxes for further discussion). The following table summarizes the components of the loss on the disposal of variable life insurance and annuity business as of December 31, 2007, 2006 and 2005.

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Proceeds from Goldman Sachs	\$	\$	\$ 318.8(1)
Less:			
Carrying value of AFLIAC			$(719.3)^{(2)}$
Hedge results			$(27.9)^{(3)}$
Provision for certain legal indemnities		(15.0)	$(13.0)^{(4)}$
Estimated transaction costs			$(10.5)^{(5)}$
Deferred gain on FAFLIC coinsurance			(8.6) ⁽⁶⁾
THG tax benefit	7.5		10.0(7)
Realized gain on securities related to AFLIAC			6.1
Transition services and conversion costs	(0.5)	(3.9)	
Severance and retention costs	(0.1)	(5.5)	
Litigation and other items	1.0	(5.4)	
Net gain (loss)	\$ 7.9	\$ (29.8)	\$ (444.4)

⁽¹⁾ Total proceeds from Goldman Sachs was based on the purchase price calculated as of the December 30, 2005 closing and were subject to adjustment based on the purchaser s review of the final purchase price calculation. Proceeds include deferred payments of \$46.7 million to be received over three years. The Company received \$11.7 million and \$23.3 million of this deferred balance on December 30, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

⁽²⁾ Shareholder s equity of the AFLIAC variable life insurance and annuity business at December 30, 2005, prior to the impact of the sale transaction.

- ⁽³⁾ A hedging program was implemented on August 23, 2005 to reduce the volatility in the sales price calculation from effects of equity market movements through the date of the closing.
- (4) Liability for certain contractual indemnities of AFLIAC provided under the stock purchase agreement to Goldman Sachs recorded under FASB Interpretation No. 45, *Guarantor s Accounting and Disclosure Requirements for Guarantees, Including Indirect Guarantees of Indebtedness of Others* (FIN45).
- ⁽⁵⁾ Transaction costs include investment banker, legal, vendor contract licensing and other professional fees.
- ⁽⁶⁾ Included in the proceeds from Goldman Sachs is the FAFLIC variable business coinsurance ceding commission of \$8.6 million. This gain was deferred and is being amortized over the remaining life of the policies in accordance with Statement No. 113.
- (7) At December 31, 2007, THG holding company recognized a tax benefit related to the utilization of net operating loss carryforwards previously generated by AFLIAC. At December 31, 2005, the tax benefit was primarily due to realized losses generated by the AFLIAC sale.

In 2006, THG incurred additional costs associated with the sale of \$29.8 million, net of tax. Included in this charge was an additional \$15.0 million provision related to the Company s estimated potential liability for certain contractual indemnities to Goldman Sachs relating to the pre-sale activities of the business sold recorded under FIN 45. This additional provision relates to costs to remediate certain pre-closing processing errors relating to tax reporting for certain policyholders and others for a subset of the Company s former variable annuity business.

The Company regularly reviews and updates its FIN 45 liability for legal and regulatory matter indemnities. Although the Company believes its current estimate for its FIN 45 liability is appropriate, there can be no assurance that these estimates will not materially increase in the future. Adjustments to this reserve are recorded in the results of the Company in the period they are determined.

Also included in the loss for 2006 was \$14.8 million of costs primarily related to employee severance costs, net costs of transition services, operations conversion expenses and other litigation matters all of which are reflected net of taxes. The Company provided transition services to Goldman Sachs from December 30, 2005 through December 31, 2006. These services included policy and claims processing, accounting and reporting, and other administrative services. During 2006, the Company earned pre-tax revenues of \$16.5 million and incurred pre-tax costs of \$32.8 million relating to transition services. These transition services were substantially completed as of December 31, 2006.

The Company reclassified the 2005 results of operations related to this business from its operations, including the related tax effect, to discontinued operations in accordance with Statement No. 144. These results are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Income as Income from Operations of Discontinued Variable Life Insurance and Annuity Business. Included in income from discontinued operations in 2007 was a benefit related to AFLIAC tax items from 2002 to 2004. This tax adjustment, total revenues and results from the variable life insurance and annuity business previously included in the Life Companies segment (see Note 15 Segment Information for a description of the Company s Operating Segments), now reported in discontinued operations are as follows:

FOR THE YEARS ENDED DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006	2005
Total revenues	\$	\$	\$ 343.5
Income before federal income taxes			34.4
Tax benefit and change in prior year tax reserves	5.2		8.3
Income from discontinued operations	\$ 5.2	\$	\$ 42.7

As of December 31, 2007 and 2006, there was a net receivable from Goldman Sachs of approximately \$22 million and \$32 million, respectively, associated with the transaction.

3. SIGNIFICANT TRANSACTIONS

On October 16, 2007, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$100 million. Under this repurchase authorization, the Company may repurchase its common stock from time to time, in varying amounts and prices and at such times deemed appropriate, subject to market conditions and other considerations. The Company is not required to purchase any specific number of shares or to make purchases by any certain date under this program. As of February 15, 2008, the Company has purchased approximately 329,000 shares at an aggregate cost of \$14.3 million.

On September 14, 2007, the Company acquired all of the outstanding shares of Professionals Direct, Inc. for \$23.2 million. Professionals Direct Inc. is a Michigan-based holding company whose primary business is professional liability insurance for small and mid-sized law practices.

On August 31, 2006, the Company sold all of the outstanding shares of Financial Profiles, Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary, to Emerging Information Systems Incorporated. The Company originally acquired Financial Profiles, Inc. in 1998 in connection with its then-ongoing life insurance and annuity operations. The Company received pre-tax proceeds of \$21.5 million from the transaction and recognized an after-tax gain of \$7.8 million in 2006. In 2007, the Company recognized an additional gain of \$0.8 million for certain tax benefits associated with the sale.

On December 28, 2005, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a share repurchase program of up to \$200 million. As of May 3, 2006, the Company completed this share repurchase program, with repurchases of 4.0 million shares at an aggregate cost of \$200 million.

4. INVESTMENTS

A. Fixed Maturities and Equity Securities

The Company accounts for its investments in fixed maturities and equity securities, all of which are classified as available-for-sale, in accordance with the provisions of Statement No. 115.

Table of Contents

The amortized cost and fair value of available-for-sale fixed maturities and equity securities were as follows:

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007				
		Gross	Gross		
	Amortized Cost ⁽¹⁾	Unrealized Gains	Unrealized Losses	Fair Value	
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 465.6	\$ 6.7	\$ 2.5	\$ 469.8	
States and political subdivisions	795.4	15.1	2.3	808.2	
Foreign governments	5.2			5.2	
Corporate fixed maturities	2,834.9	32.5	57.6	2,809.8	
Mortgage-backed securities	1,622.0	14.3	7.3	1,629.0	
Total fixed maturities	\$ 5,723.1	\$ 68.6	\$ 69.7	\$ 5,722.0	
Equity securities	\$ 37.6	\$ 7.8	\$ 0.5	\$ 44.9	

DECEMBER 31	
(In millions)	

(In millions)		G	ross	6	ross	
	Amortized Cost ⁽¹⁾	Unre	ealized ains	Unr	ealized osses	Fair Value
U.S. Treasury securities and U.S. government and agency securities	\$ 579.3	\$	0.5	\$	7.3	\$ 572.5
States and political subdivisions	752.0		13.0		3.6	761.4
Foreign governments	4.4					4.4
Corporate fixed maturities	2,728.1		36.9		39.0	2,726.0
Mortgage-backed securities	1,579.4		5.3		20.0	1,564.7
Total fixed maturities	\$ 5,643.2	\$	55.7	\$	69.9	\$ 5,629.0
Equity securities	\$ 11.6	\$	5.6	\$		\$ 17.2

⁽¹⁾ Amortized cost for fixed maturities and cost for equity securities.

The Company participates in a security lending program for the purpose of enhancing income. Securities on loan to various counterparties were fully collateralized by cash and had a fair value of \$69.6 million and \$167.0 million, at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. The fair value of the loaned securities is monitored on a daily basis, and the collateral is maintained at a level of at least 102% of the fair value of the loaned securities. Securities lending collateral is recorded by the Company in cash and cash equivalents, with an offsetting liability included in expenses and taxes payable.

Fixed maturities with an amortized cost of \$78.5 million and \$79.3 million were on deposit with various state and governmental authorities at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Fair values related to these securities were \$80.7 million and \$79.8 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively.

The Company enters into various reinsurance, derivative and other arrangements that may require fixed maturities to be held as collateral by others. At December 31, 2007 and 2006, the Company had fixed maturities that were held as collateral related to these arrangements with a fair value of \$59.7 million and \$73.3 million, respectively.

There were no contractual investment commitments at December 31, 2007.

The amortized cost and fair value by maturity periods for fixed maturities are shown below. Actual maturities may differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or without call or prepayment penalties, or the Company may

have the right to put or sell the obligations back to the issuers. Mortgage-backed securities are included in the category representing their stated maturity.

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	20	2007		
	Amortized	Fair		
	Cost	Value		
Due in one year or less	\$ 177.5	\$ 175.7		
Due after one year through five years	1,625.8	1,635.5		
Due after five years through ten years	1,900.0	1,884.6		
Due after ten years	2,019.8	2,026.2		
Total	\$ 5,723.1	\$ 5,722.0		

B. Mortgage Loans

The Company s mortgage loans are diversified by property type and location. Mortgage loans are collateralized by the related properties and generally do not exceed 75% of the property s value at the time of origination. No mortgage loans were originated during 2007 and 2006. The carrying values of mortgage loans, net of applicable reserves, were \$41.2 million and \$57.1 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006, respectively. Mortgage loans investment valuation allowances of \$1.0 million at December 31, 2007 and 2006 have been deducted in arriving at investment carrying values as presented in the Consolidated Balance Sheets.

There were no contractual commitments to extend credit under commercial mortgage loan agreements at December 31, 2007.

Mortgage loan investments comprised the following property types and geographic regions:

DECEMBER 31 (In millions)	2007	2006
Property Type:		
Office building	\$ 20.5	\$ 30.9
Retail	12.5	13.2
Industrial / warehouse	9.2	14.0
Valuation allowances	(1.0)	(1.0)
Total	\$ 41.2	\$ 57.1
Geographic Region:		