MDC PARTNERS INC Form 10-K March 10, 2014

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-K

ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 For the Fiscal Year Ended December 31, 2013 Commission File Number 001-13178

MDC PARTNERS INC.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Canada 98-0364441

(State or Other Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

(I.R.S. Employer Identification Number)

745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY, 10151 (646) 429-1800

(Address, Including Zip Code, and Telephone Number, Including Area Code, of Registrant s Principal Executive Offices)

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class

Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered

Class A Subordinate Voting Shares, no par value

NASDAQ; Toronto Stock Exchange

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None.

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act. Yes o No x

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Website, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant s knowledge, in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer or a smaller reporting company. (Check one):

Large Accelerated Filer o Accelerated Filer x Non-Accelerated o Smaller reporting company o Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Act). Yes o No x

The aggregate market value of the shares of all classes of voting and non-voting common stock of the registrant held by non-affiliates as of June 30, 2013 was approximately \$461 million, computed upon the basis of the closing sales price (\$12.03/share) of the Class A subordinate voting shares on that date.

As of February 26, 2014, there were 50,272,247 outstanding shares of Class A subordinate voting shares without par value, and 3,755 outstanding shares of Class B multiple voting shares without par value, of the registrant.

MDC PARTNERS INC.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|--|-----------|
| PART I <u>Item 1.</u> | <u>1</u> |
| Business Item 1A. | |
| Risk Factors Item 1B. | <u>8</u> |
| Unresolved Staff Comments Item 2. | <u>14</u> |
| Properties Item 3. | <u>14</u> |
| Legal Proceedings Item 4. | <u>14</u> |
| Mine Safety Disclosures PART II | <u>14</u> |
| Market for Registrant s Common Equity and Related Stockholder Matters | <u>15</u> |
| Selected Financial Data Learn 7 | <u>17</u> |
| Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | <u>19</u> |
| <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>41</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>43</u> |
| Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements With Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure | <u>95</u> |
| Item 9A | 95 |

| Controls and Procedures Item 9B. Other Information PART III | <u>97</u> |
|---|------------|
| Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance | <u>98</u> |
| Item 11. Executive Compensation | <u>99</u> |
| Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters | <u>99</u> |
| Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence Item 14. | <u>99</u> |
| Principal Accountant Fees and Services Item 15. | <u>99</u> |
| Exhibits and Financial Statements Schedules | <u>100</u> |
| Signatures | <u>102</u> |

References in this Annual Report on Form 10-K to MDC Partners, MDC, the Company, we, us and our references Inc. and, unless the context otherwise requires or otherwise is expressly stated, its subsidiaries.

All dollar amounts are stated in US dollars unless otherwise stated.

DOCUMENTS INCORPORATED BY REFERENCE

The following sections of the Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held on June 5, 2014, are incorporated by reference in Parts I and III: Election of Directors, Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance, Executive Compensation, Report of the Human Resources & Compensation Committee on Executive Compensation, Outstanding Shares, Appointment of Auditors, and Certain Relationships and Related Transactions

AVAILABLE INFORMATION

Information regarding the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to these reports, will be made available, free of charge, at the Company s website at http://www.mdc-partners.com, as soon as reasonably practicable after the Company electronically files such reports with or furnishes them to the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC). The information found on, or otherwise accessible through, the Company s website is not incorporated into, and does not form a part of, this Annual Report or Form 10-K. Any document that the Company files with the SEC may also be read and copied at the SEC s public reference room located at 100 F. Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20549. Please call the SEC at 1 (800) SEC-0330 for further information on the public reference room. The Company s filings are also available to the public from the SEC s website at http://www.sec.gov.

The Company s Code of Conduct, Whistleblower Policy, and each of the charters for the Audit Committee, Human Resources & Compensation Committee and the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, are available free of charge on the Company s website at http://www.mdc-partners.com or by writing to MDC Partners Inc., 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10151, Attention: Investor Relations.

ii

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This document contains forward-looking statements. The Company s representatives may also make forward-looking statements orally from time to time. Statements in this document that are not historical facts, including statements about the Company s beliefs and expectations, recent business and economic trends, potential acquisitions, estimates of amounts for deferred acquisition consideration and put option rights, constitute forward-looking statements. These statements are based on current plans, estimates and projections, and are subject to change based on a number of factors, including those outlined in this section. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date they are made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly any of them in light of new information or future events, if any.

Forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties. A number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements. Such risk factors include, but are not limited to, the following:

risks associated with severe effects of international, national and regional economic conditions; the Company s ability to attract new clients and retain existing clients; the spending patterns and financial success of the Company s clients; the Company s ability to retain and attract key employees;

the Company s ability to remain in compliance with its debt agreements and the Company s ability to finance its contingent payment obligations when due and payable, including but not limited to those relating to put option rights and deferred acquisition consideration;

the successful completion and integration of acquisitions which complement and expand the Company s business capabilities; and

foreign currency fluctuations.

The Company s business strategy includes ongoing efforts to engage in material acquisitions of ownership interests in entities in the marketing communications services industry. The Company intends to finance these acquisitions by using available cash from operations, from borrowings under its WF Credit Agreement and through incurrence of bridge or other debt financing, any of which may increase the Company s leverage ratios, or by issuing equity, which may have a dilutive impact on existing shareholders proportionate ownership. At any given time, the Company may be engaged in a number of discussions that may result in one or more material acquisitions. These opportunities require confidentiality and may involve negotiations that require quick responses by the Company. Although there is uncertainty that any of these discussions will result in definitive agreements or the completion of any transactions, the announcement of any such transaction may lead to increased volatility in the trading price of the Company s securities.

Investors should carefully consider these risk factors and the additional risk factors outlined in more detail in this Annual Report on Form 10-K under the caption Risk Factors and in the Company s other SEC filings.

SUPPLEMENTARY FINANCIAL INFORMATION

The Company reports its financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) of the United States of America (USGAAP). However, the Company has included certain non-USGAAP financial measures and ratios, which it believes, provide useful information to both management and readers of this report in measuring the financial performance and financial condition of the Company. These measures do not have a standardized meaning prescribed by USGAAP and, therefore, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures presented by other publicly traded companies, nor should they be construed as an alternative to other titled measures

iii

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I

Item 1. Business

BUSINESS

MDC PARTNERS INC.

MDC was formed by Certificate of Amalgamation effective December 19, 1986, pursuant to the Business Corporations Act (Ontario). Effective December 19, 1986, MDC amalgamated with Branbury Explorations Limited, and thereby became a public company operating under the name of MDC Corporation. On January 1, 2004, MDC changed its name to its current name, MDC Partners Inc., and on June 28, 2004, MDC was continued under Section 187 of the Canada Business Corporations Act. MDC s registered address is located at 45 Hazelton Avenue, Toronto, Ontario, M5R 2E3, and head office address is located at 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10151.

MDC is a leading provider of marketing, activation, communications and marketing effectiveness solutions and services to customers globally with operating units throughout the world.

MDC s subsidiaries provide a comprehensive range of customized marketing, activation, communications and consulting services, including a wide range of advertising and consumer communication services, media management and effectiveness across all channels, interactive and mobile marketing, direct marketing, database and customer relationship management, sales promotion, corporate communications, market research, date and analytics and insights, corporate identity, design and branding, social media, marketing, product and service innovation, ecommerce and other related services.

Part I Business

MDC s strategy is to build, grow and acquire market-leading businesses that deliver innovative, value-added marketing, activation, communications and strategic consulting services to their clients. MDC Partners strives to be a partnership of marketing communications and consulting companies (or Partners) whose strategic, creative and innovative solutions are media-agnostic, challenge the status quo and achieve measurable superior returns on investment and transformative growth and business performance for clients and stakeholders.

MDC s Corporate Group ensures that MDC is the most Partner-responsive marketing services network through its strategic mandate to help Partner firms find clients, talent and tuck under acquisitions, as well as cross-sell services and enhance their culture for innovation and growth. MDC s Corporate Group also works directly with Partner firms to expand their offerings through new strategic services, as well as leverage the collective expertise and scale of the group as a whole. The Corporate Group uses this leverage to provide various shared services to help reduce costs across the group.

The MDC model is driven by three key elements:

Perpetual Partnership. The perpetual partnership creates ongoing alignment of interests to drive performance. The perpetual partnership model functions by (1) identifying the right Partners with a sustainable differentiated position in

BUSINESS 9

the marketplace; (2) creating the right Partnership structure generally by taking a majority ownership position and leaving a substantial noncontrolling equity or economic ownership position in the hands of operating management to incentivize long-term growth; (3) providing access to more strategic resources, best practices, and leveraging the network s scale; and (4) focusing on delivering financial results.

Entrepreneurialism. MDC s Entrepreneurial spirit and that of its Partner firms is optimized through (1) its unique perpetual partnership model that incentivizes senior-level involvement and ambition; (2) Partner access to shared resources within the Corporate Group that allow individual firms to focus on client business and company growth; and (3) MDC s collaborative creation of customized solutions to support and grow Partner businesses.

Human and Financial Capital. The model balances accountability with financial flexibility and meaningful incentives to support growth.

1

Part I Business 10

MDC operates through Partner companies within the following reportable segments:

Strategic Marketing Services

The Strategic Marketing Services segment generally consists of firms that offer a full suite of integrated marketing communication and consulting services, including advertising and media, interactive marketing, direct marketing, public relations, corporate communications, market research, corporate identity and branding, product and service innovation, and sales promotion to national and global clients. The Strategic Marketing Services segment is comprised of the following agencies: 72andSunny; Allison & Partners; Anomaly; Attention; Bruce Mau Design; Capital C; Colle + McVoy; Concentric Partners; Crispin Porter + Bogusky; Doner; Hello Design; HL Group Partners; kbs+; Kwittken; Laird + Partners; The Media Kitchen; Mono Advertising; Redscout; Sloane & Company; Union; Varick Media Management; Veritas; Vitro and Yamamoto.

Performance Marketing Services

The Performance Marketing Services segment includes firms that provide consumer insights and analytic solutions to satisfy the growing need for targetable, measurable solutions or cost effective means of driving return on marketing investment and growth for regional, national and global clients. The Performance Marketing Services segment is comprised of the following agencies: 6degrees Communications; Accent; Boom Marketing; Bryan Mills Iradesso; Integrated Media Solutions; Kenna Communications; LBN Partners; Northstar Research Partners; Relevent; RJ Palmer; Source Marketing; TargetCast; TargetCom; Team; Trade X and Maxxcom Global Media Group.

Ownership Information

The following table includes certain information about MDC s operating subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013. The Put and Call Options information represents existing contractual rights. Owners of interests in certain subsidiaries have the right in certain circumstances to require MDC to acquire additional ownership interests held by them. The owners ability to exercise any such put option right is subject to the satisfaction of certain conditions, including conditions requiring notice in advance of exercise. In addition, these rights cannot be exercised prior to specified staggered exercise dates. The exercise of these rights at their earliest contractual date would result in obligations of MDC to fund the related amounts during the periods described in the accompanying notes. It is not determinable, at this time, if or when the owners of these rights will exercise all or a portion of these rights. The amount payable by MDC in the event such rights are exercised is dependent on defined valuation formulas and on future events, such as the average earnings of the relevant subsidiary through the date of exercise, the growth rate of the earnings of the relevant subsidiary during that period, and, in some cases, the currency exchange rate at the date of payment. See also Management s Discussion and Analysis Othercalance Sheet Commitments Put Rights of Subsidiaries Noncontrolling Shareholders for further discussion.

Put options represent puts of ownership interests by other interest holders to MDC with reciprocal call rights held by MDC for the same ownership interests with similar terms. The percentages shown represent the potential ownership interest MDC could achieve in each company assuming that the remaining equity holder(s) were to fully exercise their put option rights at the earliest opportunity.

MDC PARTNERS INC.

SCHEDULE OF CURRENT AND POTENTIAL MARKETING COMMUNICATIONS COMPANY OWNERSHIP

| Company at 12/31/13 Initial Investment 2013 Thereafter Consolidated: Strategic Marketing Services 72andSunny 51.0 2010 Note 1 Allison & Partners 51.0 2010 Note 2 Anomaly 60.0 2011 Note 3 Attention 60.8 2009 Note 4 Bruce Mau Design 100.0 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 1999 100.0 Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 2004 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 2004 Note 10 HL Group Partners 100.0 2012 70.0 Note 10 HL Group Partners 100.0 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 2010 Note 12 Varick Media Managemen |
|--|
| Consolidated: Strategic Marketing Services Strategic Marketing Services 72andSunny 51.0 % 2010 Note 1 Allison & Partners 51.0 % 2010 Note 2 Anomaly 60.0 % 2011 Note 3 Attention 60.8 % 2009 Note 4 Bruce Mau Design 100.0 % 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Note 10 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 10 Kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2010 Note 15 Sloane & Compan |
| Strategic Marketing Services 72andSunny 51.0 % 2010 Note 1 Allison & Partners 51.0 % 2010 Note 2 Anomaly 60.0 % 2011 Note 3 Attention 60.8 % 2009 Note 4 Bruce Mau Design 100.0 % 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Note 10 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 11 Kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2010 Note 15 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| 72andSunny 51.0 % 2010 Note 1 Allison & Partners 51.0 % 2010 Note 2 Anomaly 60.0 % 2011 Note 3 Attention 60.8 % 2009 Note 4 Bruce Mau Design 100.0 % 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 10 HL Group Partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2010 Note 15 Sloane & Com |
| Allison & Partners 51.0 % 2010 Note 2 Anomaly 60.0 % 2011 Note 3 Attention 60.8 % 2009 Note 4 Bruce Mau Design 100.0 % 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 10 HL Group Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Note 15 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Anomaly 60.0 % 2011 Note 3 Attention 60.8 % 2009 Note 4 Bruce Mau Design 100.0 % 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Note 10 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 10 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Note 15 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 </td |
| Attention 60.8 % 2009 Note 4 Bruce Mau Design 100.0 % 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Note 15 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Bruce Mau Design 100.0 % 2004 Note 5 Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 10 HL Group Partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Note 15 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Capital C Partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 6 Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Note 10 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 10 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Note 15 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Colle + McVoy 95.0 % 1999 100.0 % Note 7 Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 2004 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 2004 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Concentric Partners 70.0 % 2011 Note 8 Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 70.0 % Note 10 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 Note 11 Kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Note 11 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Note 15 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Crispin Porter + Bogusky 100.0 % 2001 Note 9 Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Kwittken 60.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Hello Design 49.0 % 2004 Doner 30.0 % 2012 70.0 % Note 10 HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Note 12 Kwittken 60.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| HL Group Partners 93.8 % 2007 kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 |
| kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners 100.0 % 2004 Note 11 The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| The Media Kitchen 100.0 % 2010 Varick Media Management 100.0 % 2010 Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Kwittken 60.0 % 2010 Note 12 Laird + Partners 65.0 % 2011 Note 13 Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Mono Advertising 49.9 % 2004 70.0 % Note 14 Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Redscout 100.0 % 2007 Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| Sloane & Company 70.0 % 2010 Note 15 |
| <u> </u> |
| Union 70.0 % 2013 Note 16 |
| Veritas 95.0 % 1993 96.0 % Note 17 |
| Vitro 81.6 % 2004 Note 18 |
| Yamamoto 100.0 % 2000 |
| Performance Marketing Services |
| 6degrees Communications 66.3 % 1993 77.3 % Note 19 |
| Accent 100.0 % 1999 |
| Boom Marketing 85.0 % 2005 |
| Bryan Mills Iradesso 62.8 % 1989 100.0 % Note 20 |
| Integrated Media Solutions 100.0 % 2010 |
| Kenna Communications 80.0 % 2010 Note 21 |

| henderson bas kohn | 100.0 % | 2004 | | Note 22 |
|-----------------------------|---------|------|---------|---------|
| LBN Partners | 70.0 % | 2013 | | Note 23 |
| Maxxcom Global Media | 100.0 % | 2012 | | |
| Northstar Research Partners | 91.8 % | 1998 | 100.0 % | Note 24 |
| Relevent | 60.0 % | 2010 | | |
| RJ Palmer | 100.0 % | 2011 | | |
| Source Marketing | 91.0 % | 1998 | | Note 25 |
| Communifx | 100.0 % | 2010 | | Note 26 |
| TargetCast | 70.0 % | 2012 | | Note 27 |
| TargetCom | 100.0 % | 2000 | | |
| TEAM | 100.0 % | 2010 | | |
| Trade X | 75.0 % | 2011 | | Note 28 |
| | | | | |

Notes

- MDC has the right to increase its ownership interest in 72andSunny Partners LLC through acquisition of an incremental interest of up to 100% in 2016.
 - MDC has the right to increase its ownership interest in Allison & Partners LLC through acquisition of an
- (2)incremental interest, and other holders have the right to put only upon termination to MDC the same incremental interest up to 100% of this entity in 2015.
- (3) MDC has the right to increase its ownership interest in Anomaly Partners LLC through acquisition of an incremental interest of up to 100% in 2015.
 - MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Attention Partners, LLC through acquisitions of incremental
- (4) interests, and the other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests up to 100% only upon termination.
- (5) Effective January 24, 2013, MDC owns 100% of the equity interests of each of Bruce Mau Holdings Ltd. And Bruce Mau Design (USA) LLC.
 - (6) During 2013, MDC acquired 100% of Capital C Partners LP.
 - MDC has the right to increase its economic ownership in Colle + McVoy, LLC through acquisition of an
- (7)incremental interest, and the other interest holder has the right to put to MDC the same incremental interest, up to 100% of this entity in 2014.
- (8) MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Concentric Partners LLC through acquisition of an incremental interest of up to 100% in 2016.
- (9) Includes Crispin Porter & Bogusky LLC, and certain other domestic and international operating subsidiaries.
- MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Doner Partners LLC through conversion of preferred interests and/or acquisitions of incremental interests, up to 70% of this entity in 2014 and up to 100% in 2017.
 - Consists of Kirshenbaum Bond Senecal + Partners LLC, Kwittken PR LLC, Varick Media Management LLC,
- (11)certain other domestic and international operating subsidiaries, and The Media Kitchen, a division of kirshenbaum bond senecal + partners.
- MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Kwittken PR LLC through acquisitions of incremental interests, up to 100% of this entity in 2015.
- MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Laird + Partners New York LLC through acquisition of an incremental interest of up to 100% in 2016.
 - MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Mono Advertising, LLC through acquisitions of incremental
- (14) interests, and the other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests, up to 75.0% in 2014.
 - MDC has the right to increase its ownership interest in Sloane & Company LLC through acquisition of
- (15)incremental interests, and other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests up to 100% in 2015.
- $^{(16)}$ MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Union Advertising Canada, LP through acquisition of incremental interests, up to 80% of this entity in 2018, 90% in 2019, and 100% in 2020.
 - MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Veritas Communications Inc. through acquisitions of incremental
- (17)interests, and the other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests, up to 98.3% of this entity in 2014 and 100% in 2015.
 - Effective January 1, 2012, Vitro Robertson, LLC and Skinny NYC, LLC were combined into a new entity Vitro
- Partners, LLC. MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Vitro Partners, LLC through acquisition interests, and other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests up to 88.1% of this entity in 2015, and up to 100% in 2017.

(19)

Notes 14

Subject to certain conditions, MDC has the right to increase its ownership in 6degrees Integrated Communications Corp. through acquisitions of incremental interests, and the other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests up to 77.3% of this entity in 2014. Effective January 1, 2014, MDC acquired an additional 8.63%.

4

Notes 15

- MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Bryan Mills Iradesso, Corp. through acquisition of an incremental (20) interest, and the other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interest, up to 100% of this entity in 2014.
- Effective June 13, 2012, MDC increased its economic ownership in henderson bas kohn to 100%. Effective January 1, 2013, MDC merged the operations into Kenna Communications LP.
- (22) MDC has the right to increase its ownership interest in Kenna Communications LP through acquisition of an incremental interest, up to 100% in 2015.
- MDC has the right to increase its ownership interest in LBN Partners LLC through acquisition of an incremental (23) interest, and the other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interest, up to 100% of this entity in 2018.
 - The Northstar Research Partners Group consists of Northstar Research Holdings USA LP and Northstar Research
- Holdings Canada Inc. MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Northstar Research Canada through acquisitions of incremental interests, and the other holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests, up to 100% of this entity in 2014.
 - MDC has the right to increase its ownership in Source Marketing, LLC through acquisitions of incremental
- (25) interests, and the other interest holders have the right to put to MDC the same incremental interests up to 100% in 2015. Effective January 1, 2014, MDC acquired an additional 4.0%.
- (26) Effective April 1, 2012, MDC increased its ownership interest in Communifx Partners to 100%. In addition, MDC has merged the operations into Source Marketing, LLC.
- MDC has the right to increase its ownership in TargetCast LLC through acquisitions of incremental interests. In (27) February of 2014, MDC increased its ownership to 100%.
- (28) MDC has the right to increase its ownership interest in Trade X Partners LLC through acquisitions of incremental interests up to 100% in 2015.

Financial Information Relating to Business Segments and Geographic Regions

For financial information relating to the Company s Marketing Communications Businesses and the geographic regions the businesses operate within, refer to Note 15 (Segment Information) of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included in this Annual Report and to Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis for further discussion.

Competition

In the competitive, highly fragmented marketing and communications industry, the Company s operating companies compete for business with the operating subsidiaries of large global holding companies such as Omnicom Group Inc., Interpublic Group of Companies, Inc., WPP Group plc, Publicis Group SA, Dentsu Inc., and Havas Advertising. These global holding companies generally have greater resources than those available to MDC and its subsidiaries, and such resources may enable them to aggressively compete with the Company s marketing communications businesses. Each of MDC s operating companies also faces competition from numerous independent agencies that operate in multiple markets. MDC s operating companies must compete with these other companies to maintain existing client relationships and to obtain new clients and assignments. MDC s operating companies compete at this level by providing clients with disruptive marketing ideas and strategies that are focused on increasing clients revenues and profits. These existing and potential clients include multinational corporations and national companies with mid-to-large sized marketing budgets. MDC also benefits from cooperation among the entrepreneurial operating companies through referrals and the sharing of both services and expertise, which enables MDC to service clients varied marketing needs by crafting custom integrated solutions.

A partner agency s ability to compete for new clients is affected in some instances by the policy, which many advertisers and marketers impose, of not permitting their agencies to represent competitive accounts in the same market. In the vast majority of cases, however, MDC s consistent maintenance of separate, independent operating companies has enabled MDC to represent competing clients across its network.

5

Competition 17

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Industry Trends

Historically, advertising has been the primary service provided by the marketing communications industry. However, as clients aim to establish one-to-one relationships with customers, and more accurately measure the effectiveness of their marketing expenditures, specialized and digital communications services and database marketing and analytics are consuming a growing portion of marketing dollars. The Company believes this is increasing the demand for a broader range of non-advertising marketing communications services (i.e., direct marketing, sales promotion, interactive, strategic communications and public relations, etc). The notion of a mass market audience is giving way to life-style segments, social events/networks, and online/mobile communities, each segment requiring a customized message and/or different, often non- traditional, channels of communication and connection to their e-commerce capabilities. Global marketers now demand breakthrough and integrated creative ideas, and no longer require traditional brick-and-mortar communications partners in every market to optimize the effectiveness of their marketing efforts. Combined with the fragmentation of the media landscape, these factors provide new opportunities for small to mid-sized communications companies like those in the MDC network. In addition, marketers now require ever greater speed-to-market to drive financial returns on their marketing and media investment, causing them to turn to more nimble, entrepreneurial and collaborative communications firms like MDC Partners.

There are several recent economic and industry trends that affect or may be expected to affect the Company s results of operations. For example, the rapid increase in the amount of revenue attributable to digital offerings is indicative of the changing needs of clients and the evolving competitive landscape. Changes in the way consumers interact with media due to increased use of the Internet, and adoption of smartphones and tablets, has led to increased demand for digital offerings, which we expect could have a positive impact on our results of operation.

The increase of expenses at a greater rate than revenues over recent periods reflects both the increase in expenses for deferred acquisition consideration and from our investment in headcount for certain growth initiatives. Should our acquisitions continue to outperform current expectations, expenses for deferred acquisition consideration could increase as well in future periods. If our growth initiatives do not provide sufficient revenue to offset the incremental costs in future periods, profits could be reduced and severance expense could be incurred in order to return to targeted profit margins over time.

Over the last several years, client procurement departments have begun to focus on marketing services company fees to ensure efficiency of the investment the client is making in marketing. This has led to a more competitive pricing environment and increased efforts on delivering and measuring proper value for the fees received from clients. We have invested in resources to work with client procurement departments to ensure that we are able to deliver against client goals in a mutually beneficial way. For example, we have explored new compensation models, such as performance-based incentive payments and equity, in order to meet to greater align our success with our clients. These incentive payments may offset negative pricing pressure from client procurement departments.

Clients

The Company serves clients in virtually every industry, and in many cases, the same clients in various locations, and through several partner firms. Representation of a client rarely means that MDC handles marketing communications for all brands or product lines of the client in every geographical location. MDC s agencies have written contracts with many of their clients. As is customary in the industry, these contracts provide for termination by either party on relatively short notice. See Management s Discussion and Analysis Executive Overview for a further discussion of MDC s arrangements with its clients.

Industry Trends 18

During 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company s largest client, Sprint, accounted for approximately 5%, 5% and 6% of revenues, respectively. In addition, MDC s ten largest clients (measured by revenue generated) accounted for 28%, 26% and 29% of 2013, 2012 and 2011 revenues, respectively.

6

Clients 19

Employees

As of December 31, 2013, MDC and its subsidiaries had the following number of employees within its reportable segments:

| Segment | Total |
|--------------------------------|-------|
| Strategic Marketing Services | 3,602 |
| Performance Marketing Services | 3,561 |
| Corporate | 55 |
| Total | 7,218 |

See Management s Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of the effect of cost of services sold on MDC s historical results of operations. Because of the personal service character of the marketing communications businesses, the quality of personnel is of crucial importance to MDC s continuing success. MDC considers its relations with employees to be satisfactory.

Effect of Environmental Laws

MDC believes it is substantially in compliance with all regulations concerning the discharge of materials into the environment, and such regulations have not had a material effect on the capital expenditures or operations of MDC.

7

Employees 20

Item 1A. Risk Factors

The following factors could adversely affect the Company s revenues, results of operations or financial condition. See also Statement Regarding Forward-Looking Disclosure.

Future economic and financial conditions could adversely impact our financial condition and results.

Economic and financial conditions deteriorated sharply in the latter part of 2008, and these deteriorating conditions continued in 2009 and 2010. In 2011 through 2013, the United States experienced modest economic growth, but the pace of the global economic recovery is uneven and a future economic downturn could renew reductions in client spending levels and adversely affect our results of operations and financial position in 2014.

a. As a marketing services company, our revenues are highly susceptible to declines as a result of unfavorable economic conditions.

Global economic conditions affect the advertising and marketing services industry more severely than other industries. In the past, some clients have responded to weakening economic conditions with reductions to their marketing budgets, which include discretionary components that are easier to reduce in the short term than other operating expenses. This pattern may recur in the future. Decreases in our revenue would negatively affect our financial results, including a reduction of our estimates of free cash flow from operations.

b. If our clients experience financial distress, their weakened financial position could negatively affect our own financial position and results.

We have a diverse client base, and at any given time, one or more of our clients may experience financial difficulty, file for bankruptcy protection or go out of business. The unfavorable economic and financial conditions that have impacted many sectors of the global economy could result in an increase in client financial difficulties that affect us. The direct impact on us could include reduced revenues and write-offs of accounts receivable. If these effects were severe, the indirect impact could include impairments of goodwill, covenant violations relating to the WF Credit Agreement or the 6.75% Notes, or reduced liquidity. Our 10 largest clients (measured by revenue generated) accounted for 28% of revenue in 2013.

c. Conditions in the credit markets could adversely impact our results of operations and financial position.

Turmoil in the credit markets or a contraction in the availability of credit would make it more difficult for businesses to meet their capital requirements and could lead clients to change their financial relationship with their vendors, including us. If that were to occur, it could materially adversely impact our results of operations and financial position.

MDC competes for clients in highly competitive industries.

The Company operates in a highly competitive environment in an industry characterized by numerous firms of varying sizes, with no single firm or group of firms having a dominant position in the marketplace. MDC is, however, smaller than several of its larger industry competitors. Competitive factors include creative reputation, management, personal relationships, quality and reliability of service and expertise in particular niche areas of the marketplace. In addition, because a firm s principal asset is its people, barriers to entry are minimal, and relatively small firms are, on

occasion, able to take all or some portion of a client s business from a larger competitor.

While many of MDC s client relationships are long-standing, companies put their advertising and marketing services businesses up for competitive review from time to time, including at times when clients enter into strategic transactions or experienced senior management changes. From year to year, the identities of MDC s 10 largest customers may change, as a result of client losses and additions and other factors. To the extent that the Company fails to maintain existing clients or attract new clients, MDC s business, financial condition and operating results may be affected in a materially adverse manner.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The loss of lines of credit under our WF Credit Agreement could adversely affect MDC s liquidity and our ability to implement MDC s acquisition strategy and fund any put options if exercised.

MDC uses amounts available under the WF Credit Agreement, together with cash flow from operations, to fund its working capital needs, to fund the exercise of put option obligations and to fund our strategy of making selective acquisitions of ownership interests in entities in the marketing communications services industry, including through contingent deferred acquisition payments.

The Company is currently in compliance with all of the terms and conditions of the WF Credit Agreement. If, however, events were to occur, which result in MDC losing all or a substantial portion of its available credit under the WF Credit Agreement, or if MDC was prevented from accessing such lines of credit due to other restrictions such as those in the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes, MDC could be required to seek other sources of liquidity. In addition, if MDC were unable to replace this source of liquidity, then MDC s ability to fund its working capital needs and any contingent obligations with respect to put options or contingent deferred acquisition payments would be materially adversely affected.

We have significant contingent obligations related to deferred acquisition consideration and minority interests in our subsidiaries, which will require us to utilize our cash flow and/or to incur additional debt to satisfy.

The Company has made a number of acquisitions for which it has deferred payment of a portion of the purchase price, usually for a period between one to five years after the acquisition. The deferred acquisition consideration is generally payable based on achievement of certain thresholds of future earnings of the acquired company and, in certain cases, also based on the rate of growth of those earnings. Once any contingency is resolved, the Company may pay the contingent consideration over time.

The Company records liabilities on its balance sheet for deferred acquisition payments at their estimated value based on the current performance of the business, which are re-measured each quarter. At December 31, 2013, these aggregate liabilities were \$153.9 million, of which \$53.0 million, \$42.6 million, \$36.0 million and \$22.3 million would be payable in 2014, 2015, 2016 and thereafter, respectively.

In addition to the Company s obligations for deferred acquisition consideration, managers of certain of the Company s acquired subsidiaries hold minority interests in such subsidiaries. In the case of certain minority interests related to acquisitions, the founder is entitled to a proportionate distribution of earnings from the relevant subsidiary, which is recognized on the Company s consolidated income statement under Net income attributable to the non-controlling interests.

The minority shareholder often has the right to require the Company to purchase all or part of its interest, either at specified dates or upon the termination of such shareholder s employment with the subsidiary or death (put rights). In addition, the Company usually has rights to call the minority shareholder s interest at a specified date. The purchase price for both puts and calls is typically calculated based on specified formulas tied to the financial performance of the subsidiary.

The Company recorded \$148.5 million on its December 31, 2013 balance sheet as Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests for its estimated obligations in respect of minority shareholder put and call rights based on the current

performance of the subsidiaries, \$17.8 million of which related to put rights for which, if exercised, the payments are due at specified dates, with the remainder of Redeemable Noncontrolling Interests attributable to put or call rights exercisable only upon termination of employment or death. No obligation is recorded on the balance sheet for minority interests for which the Company has a call right but the minority holder has no put right.

Payments to be made by the Company in respect of deferred acquisition consideration and minority shareholder put rights may be significantly higher than the estimated amounts described above because the actual obligation adjusts based on the performance of the acquired businesses over time, including future growth in earnings from the calculations made at December 31, 2013. Similarly, the payments made by the Company under call rights would increase with growth in earnings of the acquired businesses. The Company expects that deferred contingent consideration and minority share interests for managers may be features of future acquisitions that it may undertake and that it may also grant similar minority share interests to managers of its subsidiaries unrelated to acquisitions.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

The Company expects that its obligations in respect of deferred acquisition consideration and payments to minority shareholders under put and call rights will be a significant use of the Company's liquidity in the foreseeable future, whether in the form of free cash flow or borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility or from other funding sources. For further information, see the disclosure under the heading Business Ownership Information and the heading Liquidity and Capital Resources.

MDC may not realize the benefits it expects from past acquisitions or acquisitions MDC may make in the future.

MDC s business strategy includes ongoing efforts to engage in material acquisitions of ownership interests in entities in the marketing communications services industry. MDC intends to finance these acquisitions by using available cash from operations and through incurrence of debt or bridge financing, either of which may increase its leverage ratios, or by issuing equity, which may have a dilutive impact on its existing shareholders. At any given time MDC may be engaged in a number of discussions that may result in one or more material acquisitions. These opportunities require confidentiality and may involve negotiations that require quick responses by MDC. Although there is uncertainty that any of these discussions will result in definitive agreements or the completion of any transactions, the announcement of any such transaction may lead to increased volatility in the trading price of its securities.

Our expenses have, in recent periods, increased at a greater rate than revenues, which in part reflects both the increase in expenses for deferred acquisition consideration and from our investment in headcount for certain growth initiatives. Should our acquisitions continue to outperform current expectations, expenses for deferred acquisition consideration could increase as well in future periods. If our growth initiatives do not provide sufficient revenue to offset the incremental costs in future periods, profits could be reduced and severance expense could be incurred in order to return to targeted profit margins over time.

The success of acquisitions or strategic investments depends on the effective integration of newly acquired businesses into MDC s current operations. Such integration is subject to risks and uncertainties, including realization of anticipated synergies and cost savings, the ability to retain and attract personnel and clients, the diversion of management s attention from other business concerns, and undisclosed or potential legal liabilities of the acquired company. MDC may not realize the strategic and financial benefits that it expects from any of its past acquisitions, or any future acquisitions.

MDC s business could be adversely affected if it loses key clients or executives.

MDC s strategy has been to acquire ownership stakes in diverse marketing communications businesses to minimize the effects that might arise from the loss of any one client or executive. The loss of one or more clients could materially affect the results of the individual operating companies and the Company as a whole. Management succession at our operating units is very important to the ongoing results of the Company because, as in any service business, the success of a particular agency is dependent upon the leadership of key executives and management personnel. If key executives were to leave our operating units, the relationships that MDC has with its clients could be adversely affected.

MDC s ability to generate new business from new and existing clients may be limited.

MDC may not realize the benefits it expects from past acquisitions or acquisitions MDC may make in the 25 ure.

To increase its revenues, MDC needs to obtain additional clients or generate demand for additional services from existing clients. MDC s ability to generate initial demand for its services from new clients and additional demand from existing clients is subject to such clients and potential clients requirements, pre-existing vendor relationships, financial condition, strategic plans and internal resources, as well as the quality of MDC s employees, services and reputation and the breadth of its services. To the extent MDC cannot generate new business from new and existing clients due to these limitations, MDC s ability to grow its business and to increase its revenues will be limited.

MDC s business could be adversely affected if it loses or fails to attract key employees.

Employees, including creative, research, media, account and practice group specialists, and their skills and relationships with clients, are among MDC s most important assets. An important aspect of MDC s competitiveness is its ability to retain key employee and management personnel. Compensation for these key

employees is an essential factor in attracting and retaining them, and MDC may not offer a level of compensation sufficient to attract and retain these key employees. If MDC fails to hire and retain a sufficient number of these key employees, it may not be able to compete effectively. If key executives were to leave our operating units, the relationships that MDC has with its clients could be adversely affected.

MDC is exposed to the risk of client defaults.

MDC s agencies often incur expenses on behalf of their clients for productions in order to secure a variety of media time and space, in exchange for which they receive a fee. The difference between the gross cost of the production and media and the net revenue earned by us can be significant. While MDC takes precautions against default on payment for these services (such as credit analysis and advance billing of clients) and has historically had a very low incidence of default, MDC is still exposed to the risk of significant uncollectible receivables from our clients. The risk of a material loss could significantly increase in periods of severe economic downturn. Such a loss could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position.

MDC s results of operations are subject to currency fluctuation risks.

Although MDC s financial results are reported in U.S. dollars, a portion of its revenues and operating costs are denominated in currencies other than the US dollar. As a result, fluctuations in the exchange rate between the U.S. dollar and other currencies, particularly the Canadian dollar, may affect MDC s financial results and competitive position.

Goodwill and intangible assets may become impaired.

We have recorded a significant amount of goodwill and intangible assets in our consolidated financial statements in accordance with U.S. GAAP resulting from our acquisition activities, which principally represents the specialized know-how of the workforce at the agencies we have acquired. We test, at least annually, the carrying value of goodwill for impairment, as discussed in Note 2 to our consolidated financial statements. The estimates and assumptions about future results of operations and cash flows made in connection with the impairment testing could differ from future actual results of operations and cash flows. While we have concluded, for each year presented in our financial statements, that our goodwill relating to continuing operations is not impaired, future events could cause us to conclude that the asset values associated with a given operation may become impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could materially adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition.

MDC is subject to regulations and litigation risk that could restrict our activities or negatively impact our revenues.

Advertising and marketing communications businesses are subject to government regulation, both domestic and foreign. There has been an increasing tendency in the United States on the part of advertisers to resort to litigation and self-regulatory bodies to challenge comparative advertising on the grounds that the advertising is false and deceptive. Moreover, there has recently been an expansion of specific rules, prohibitions, media restrictions, labeling disclosures, and warning requirements with respect to advertising for certain products and usage of personally identifiable information. Representatives within government bodies, both domestic and foreign, continue to initiate proposals to ban the advertising of specific products and to impose taxes on or deny deductions for advertising which, if successful, may have an adverse effect on advertising expenditures and consequently MDC s revenues.

Certain of MDC s agencies produce software and e-commerce tools for their clients, and these product offerings have become increasingly subject to litigation based on allegations of patent infringement or other violations of intellectual property rights. As we expand these product offerings, the possibility of an intellectual property claim against us grows. Any such claim, with or without merit, could result in costly litigation and distract management from day-to-day operations and may result in us deciding to enter into license agreements to avoid ongoing patent litigation costs. If we are not successful in defending such claims, we could be required to stop offering these services, pay monetary amounts as damages, enter into royalty or licensing arrangements, or satisfy indemnification obligations that we have with some of our clients. Such arrangements may cause our operating margins to decline.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

In addition, laws and regulations related to user privacy, use of personal information and internet tracking technologies have been proposed or enacted in the United States and certain international markets. These laws and regulations could affect the acceptance of the internet as an advertising medium. These actions could affect our business and reduce demand for certain of our services, which could have a material adverse effect on our results of operations and financial position.

The indenture governing the 6.75% Notes and the WF Credit Agreement governing our secured line of credit contain various covenants that limit our discretion in the operation of our business.

The indenture governing the 6.75% Notes and the WF Credit Agreement governing our lines of credit contain various provisions that limit our discretion in the operation of our business by restricting our ability to:

sell assets;
pay dividends and make other distributions;
redeem or repurchase our capital stock;
incur additional debt and issue capital stock;
create liens;
consolidate, merge or sell substantially all of our assets;
enter into certain transactions with our affiliates;
make loans, investments or advances;
repay subordinated indebtedness;
undergo a change in control;
enter into certain transactions with our affiliates;
engage in new lines of business; and
enter into sale and leaseback transactions.

These restrictions on our ability to operate our business in our discretion could seriously harm our business by, among other things, limiting our ability to take advantage of financing, merger and acquisition and other corporate opportunities. The WF Credit Agreement is subject to various additional covenants, including a senior leverage ratio, a total leverage ratio, a fixed charge coverage ratio, and a minimum EBITDA level (as defined). Events beyond our control could affect our ability to meet these financial tests, and we cannot assure you that we will meet them.

Our substantial indebtedness could adversely affect our cash flow and prevent us from fulfilling our obligations, including the 6.75% Notes.

As of December 31, 2013, MDC had \$665.1 million inclusive of original issue premium of indebtedness. In addition, we expect to make additional drawings under the WF Credit Agreement from time to time. Our ability to pay principal and interest on our indebtedness is dependent on the generation of cash flow by our subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries business may not generate sufficient cash flow from operations to meet MDC s debt service and other obligations. If we are unable to meet our expenses and debt service obligations, we may need to obtain additional debt, refinance all or a portion of our indebtedness on or before maturity, sell assets or raise equity. We may not be able to obtain additional debt, refinance any of our indebtedness, sell assets or raise equity on commercially reasonable terms or at all, which could cause us to default on our obligations and impair our liquidity. Our inability to generate sufficient cash flow to satisfy our debt obligations, to obtain additional debt or to refinance our obligations on commercially reasonable terms would have a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition and results of operations.

If we cannot make scheduled payments on our debt, we will be in default and, as a result, our debt holders could declare all outstanding principal and interest to be due and payable; the lenders under the

TABLE OF CONTENTS

WF Credit Agreement could terminate their commitments to loan us money and foreclose against the assets securing our borrowings; and we could be forced into bankruptcy or liquidation. Our level of indebtedness could have important consequences. For example it could:

make it more difficult for us to satisfy our obligations with respect to the 6.75% Notes; make it difficult for us to meet our obligations with respect to our contingent deferred acquisition payments; limit our ability to increase our ownership stake in our Partner firms; increase our vulnerability to general adverse economic and industry conditions; require us to dedicate a substantial portion of our cash flow from operations to payments on our indebtedness, thereby reducing the availability of our cash flow to fund working capital and other activities; limit our flexibility in planning for, or reacting to, changes in our business and the advertising industry, which may place us at a competitive disadvantage compared to our competitors that have less debt; and limit, particularly in concert with the financial and other restrictive covenants in our indebtedness, our ability to borrow additional funds or take other actions.

Despite our current debt levels, we may be able to incur substantially more indebtedness, which could further increase the risks associated with our leverage.

We may incur substantial additional indebtedness in the future. The terms of our Credit Agreement and the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes permit us and our subsidiaries to incur additional indebtedness subject to certain limitations. If we or our subsidiaries incur additional indebtedness, the related risks that we face could increase.

We are a holding company dependent on our subsidiaries for our ability to service our debt and pay dividends.

MDC is a holding company with no operations of our own. Consequently, our ability to service our debt and to pay cash dividends on our common stock is dependent upon the earnings from the businesses conducted by our subsidiaries. Our subsidiaries are separate and distinct legal entities and have no obligation to provide us with funds for our payment obligations, whether by dividends, distributions, loans or other payments. Although our operating subsidiaries have generally agreed to allow us to consolidate and sweep cash, subject to the timing of payments due to minority holders, any distribution of earnings to us from our subsidiaries is contingent upon the subsidiaries earnings and various other business considerations. Also, our right to receive any assets of any of our subsidiaries upon their liquidation or reorganization, and therefore the right of the holders of common stock to participate in those assets, will be structurally subordinated to the claims of that subsidiary s creditors. In addition, even if we were a creditor of any of our subsidiaries, our rights as a creditor would be subordinate to any security interest in the assets of our subsidiaries and any indebtedness of our subsidiaries senior to that held by us.

We could change or suspend our existing dividend practice in the future.

The declaration and payment of dividends on our common stock is at the discretion of MDC s board of directors and will depend upon limitations contained in our Credit Agreement and the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes, future earnings, capital requirements, our general financial condition and general business conditions. MDC s practice is to pay dividends only out of excess free cash flow from operations, and in the event that worsening economic conditions, disruptions in the credit markets or other factors have a significant effect on our liquidity, MDC s board of directors could decide to reduce or suspend dividend payments in the future.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

See the notes to the Company s consolidated financial statements included in this Annual Report for a discussion of the Company s lease commitments and the Management s Discussion and Analysis for the impact of occupancy costs on the Company s operating expenses.

The Company maintains office space in many cities in the United States, Canada, Europe, and the Caribbean. This space is primarily used for office and administrative purposes by the Company s employees in performing professional services. This office space is in suitable and well-maintained condition for MDC s current operations. All of the Company s materially important office space is leased from third parties with varying expiration dates. Certain of these leases are subject to rent reviews or contain various escalation clauses and certain of our leases require our payment of various operating expenses, which may also be subject to escalation. In addition, leases related to the Company s non-US businesses are denominated in other than US dollars and are therefore subject to changes in foreign exchange rates.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

MDC s operating entities are involved in legal proceedings of various types. While any litigation contains an element of uncertainty, MDC has no reason to believe that the outcome of such proceedings or claims will have a material adverse effect on the financial condition or results of operations of MDC.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

PART II

Item 5. Market for Registrant s Common Equity Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

Market Information and Holders of Class A Subordinate Voting Shares

The principal United States market on which the Company s Class A subordinate voting shares are traded is the NASDAQ National Market (NASDAQ) (symbol: MDCA), and the principal market in Canada is the Toronto Stock Exchange (symbol: MDZ.A). As of March 1, 2013, the approximate number of holders of our Class A subordinate voting shares, including those whose shares are held in nominee name, was 2,800. All share amounts and share prices have been adjusted for the Company s 3 for 2 stock split, which was effective November 29, 2013. Quarterly high and low sales prices per share of the Company s Class A subordinate voting shares, as reported on NASDAQ and The Toronto Stock Exchange, respectively, for each quarter in the years ended December 31, 2013 and 2012, are as follows:

Nasdaq

| Quarter Ended | High | Low | |
|--------------------|-----------|----------------|--|
| | (\$ per S | (\$ per Share) | |
| March 31, 2012 | 9.67 | 7.40 | |
| June 30, 2012 | 7.67 | 6.16 | |
| September 30, 2012 | 8.57 | 6.16 | |
| December 31, 2012 | 8.60 | 6.23 | |
| March 31, 2013 | 10.78 | 7.75 | |
| June 30, 2013 | 12.55 | 9.52 | |
| September 30, 2013 | 18.65 | 12.48 | |
| December 31, 2013 | 25.51 | 18.41 | |

The Toronto Stock Exchange

| High | Low |
|-----------------|---|
| (C\$ per Share) | |
| 9.66 | 7.51 |
| 7.69 | 6.19 |
| 8.17 | 6.13 |
| 8.33 | 6.33 |
| 10.89 | 7.70 |
| 12.73 | 9.71 |
| 19.22 | 12.67 |
| 27.30 | 18.83 |
| | (C\$ per 9.66 7.69 8.17 8.33 10.89 12.73 19.22 |

As of February 25, 2014, the last reported sale price of the Class A subordinate voting shares was \$22.70 on NASDAQ and C\$25.06 on the Toronto Stock Exchange.

Dividend Practice

In 2013, MDC s board of directors declared the following dividends: a \$0.19 per share semi-annual dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on May 10, 2013; a \$0.11 per share quarterly dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on August 6, 2013; and a \$0.16 per share quarterly dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on November 7, 2013. MDC s practice is to pay dividends only out of excess free cash flow from operations. MDC is further limited in the extent to which we are able to pay dividends under our Credit Agreement and the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes. The payment of any future dividends will be at the discretion of MDC s board of directors and will depend upon limitations contained in our Credit Agreement and the indenture governing the 6.75% Notes, future earnings, capital requirements, our general financial condition and general business conditions.

15

Dividend Practice 35

In 2012, MDC s board of directors declared the following dividends: a \$0.19 per share semi-annual dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on May 8, 2012; and a \$0.19 per share semi-annual dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on December 20, 2012.

In 2011, MDC s board of directors declared the following dividends: a \$0.09 per share quarterly dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on March 17, 2011; a \$0.09 per share dividend quarterly to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on May 16, 2011; a \$0.09 per share quarterly dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on August 17, 2011; a \$0.09 per share quarterly dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on October 28, 2011; and a \$0.09 per share quarterly dividend to all shareholders of record as of the close of business on February 15, 2012.

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

The following table sets forth information regarding securities issued under our equity compensation plans as of December 31, 2013.

| | Number of Securities | | |
|-------------------------------|----------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|
| | to | Weighted Average | Number of Securities |
| | Be Issued Upon | Exercise Price of | Remaining Available for |
| | Exercise of | Outstanding Options | Future Issuance |
| | Outstanding | and Rights | (Excluding Column (a)) |
| | Options and Rights | - | _ |
| | (a) | (b) | (c) |
| Equity Compensation Plans: | | | |
| Approved by stockholders: | | | |
| Share options | 112,500 | \$ 6.03 | 1,434,554 |
| Not approved by stockholders: | | | |
| None | | | |

On May 26, 2005, the Company s shareholders approved the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, which provides for the issuance of three million Class A shares. On June 2, 2009 and June 1, 2007, the Company s shareholders approved amendments to the 2005 Stock Incentive Plan, which increased the number of shares available for issuance to 6.75 million Class A shares. In addition, the plan was amended to allow shares under this plan to be used to satisfy share obligations under the Stock Appreciation Rights Plan. On May 30, 2008, the Company s shareholders approved the 2008 Key Partner Incentive Plan, which provides for the issuance of 900,000 Class A shares. On June 1, 2011, the Company s shareholders approved the 2011 Stock Incentive Plan, which provides for the issuance of up to 3 million Class A shares.

See also Note 12 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Recent Sales of Unregistered Securities; Use of Proceeds from Registered Securities

On November 15, 2013, the Company issued an additional \$110 million principal amount of 6.75% Senior Notes to various institutional investors in a private offering exempt from registration in reliance on Rule 144A and Regulation

S under the Securities Act. We received net proceeds from the offering of approximately \$110.9 million, and we used the proceeds for general corporate purposes.

Purchase of Equity Securities by the Issuer and Affiliated Purchasers

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities:

Shares Class A subordinate voting shares

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, the Company made no open market purchases of its Class A shares or its Class B shares. Pursuant to its Credit Agreement and Indenture governing the 6.75% Notes, the Company is currently limited from repurchasing its shares in the open market.

During 2013, the Company s employees surrendered 595,448 Class A shares valued at approximately \$13.8 million in connection with the required tax withholding resulting from the vesting of restricted stock. The Company paid these withholding taxes on behalf of the related employees. These Class A shares were subsequently retired and no longer remain outstanding as of December 31, 2013.

In October 2013, the Company settled the exercise of 2,786,002 Stock Appreciation Rights by management in exchange for cash, resulting in a stock based compensation charge of \$78 million.

Transfer Agent and Registrar for Common Stock

The transfer agent and registrar for the Company s common stock is Canadian Stock Transfer Company (f/k/a CIBC Mellon Trust Company). Canadian Stock Transfer Company operates a telephone information inquiry line that can be reached by dialing toll-free 1-800-387-0825 or 416-643-5500.

Correspondence may be addressed to:

MDC Partners Inc.

C/o Canadian Stock Transfer Company
P.O. Box 4202, Postal Station A

Toronto, Ontario M5W 0E4

Item 6. Selected Financial Data

The following selected financial data should be read in connection with Item 7 Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and the consolidated financial statements and notes that are included in this annual report on Form 10-K.

| | Years Ended December 31, | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------|---|-------------|-----------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
| | (Dollars in T | (Dollars in Thousands, Except per Share Data) | | | |
| Operating Data | | | | | |
| Revenues | \$1,148,881 | \$1,063,265 | \$934,288 | \$686,167 | \$534,012 |
| Operating profit (loss) | \$(31,992) | \$(15,926) | \$10,481 | \$30,155 | \$21,554 |
| Loss from continuing operations | \$(131,018) | \$(71,895) | \$(74,163) | \$(1,556) | \$(10,683) |
| Stock-based compensation included in | | | | | |
| income (loss) from continuing | \$100,405 | \$32,197 | \$23,657 | \$16,507 | \$15,444 |
| operations | | | | | |
| Loss per Share | | | | | |
| Basic | | | | | |
| Continuing operations attributable to | | | | | |
| MDC Partners Inc. common | \$(2.92) | \$(1.71) | \$(1.89) | \$(0.29) | \$(0.40) |
| shareholders | | | | | |
| Diluted | | | | | |
| Continuing operations attributable to | | | | | |
| MDC Partners Inc. common | \$(2.92) | \$(1.71) | \$(1.89) | \$(0.29) | \$(0.40) |
| shareholders | | | | | |
| Cash dividends declared per share | \$0.46 | \$0.38 | \$0.45 | \$0.23 | \$ |
| Financial Position Data | | | | | |
| Total assets | \$1,425,227 | \$1,344,945 | \$1,055,745 | \$914,348 | \$604,519 |
| Total debt | \$665,128 | \$431,703 | \$385,174 | \$286,216 | \$217,946 |
| Redeemable noncontrolling interests | \$148,534 | \$117,953 | \$107,432 | \$77,560 | \$33,728 |
| Deferred acquisition consideration | \$153,913 | \$196,446 | \$137,223 | \$107,991 | \$30,645 |

 Fixed charge coverage ratio
 N/A
 N/A
 N/A
 N/A
 N/A

 Fixed charge deficiency
 \$131,829
 \$61,687
 \$28,057
 \$1,949
 1,941

Several significant factors that should be considered when comparing the annual results shown above are as follows:

Year Ended December 31, 2013

During 2013, the Company completed an acquisition and a number of transactions. Please see Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for a summary of these acquisitions.

On March 20, 3013, the Company issued and sold \$550 million aggregate principal amount 6.75% Notes due 2020 (the 6.75% Notes). The 6.75% Notes are guaranteed on a senior unsecured basis by all of MDC s existing and future restricted subsidiaries that guarantee, or are co-borrowers under or grant liens to secure MDC s senior secured revolving credit agreement (the Credit Agreement). The 6.75% Notes bear interest at a rate of 6.75% per annum, accruing from March 20, 2013. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears in cash on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning on October 1, 2013. The 6.75% Notes will mature on April 1, 2020, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased. The notes were sold in a private placement in reliance on exceptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company received net proceeds from the offering of the 6.75% Notes equal to approximately \$537.6 million. The Company used net proceeds to redeem all of the existing 11% Notes, together with accrued interest, related premiums, fees and expenses and recorded a charge for loss on redemption of notes of \$55.6 million, including write offs of unamortized original issue premium and debt issuance costs. Remaining proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. In addition, the Company entered into an amended and restated, \$225 million senior secured revolving credit agreement due 2018.

In November 2013, stock-based compensation included a charge of \$78.0 million relating to the cash settlement of the outstanding Stock Appreciation Rights (SAR s).

On November 15, 2013, the Company issued an additional \$110 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.75% Notes. The additional notes were issued under the Indenture governing the 6.75% Notes and treated as a single series with the original 6.75% Notes.

During 2013, the Company discontinued two subsidiaries and an operating division. All periods reflect these discontinued operations. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Year Ended December 31, 2012

During 2012, the Company completed a number of acquisitions. Please see Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for a summary of these acquisitions.

On December 10, 2012, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, issued and sold an additional \$80 million aggregate principal amount of 11% Notes due 2016. The additional notes were issued under the Indenture governing the 11% Notes and treated as a single series with the original 11% Notes. The additional notes were sold in a private placement in reliance on exceptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company received net proceeds before expenses of \$83.2 million, which included an original issue premium of \$4.8 million, and underwriter fees of \$1.6 million. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering to repay the outstanding balance under the Company s revolving credit agreement described elsewhere herein, and for general corporate purposes.

During 2012, the Company discontinued a subsidiary and certain operating divisions. All periods reflect these discontinued operations. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Year Ended December 31, 2011

During 2011, the Company completed a number of acquisitions. Please see Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for a summary of these acquisitions.

On April 19, 2011, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, issued and sold an additional \$55 million aggregate principal amount of 11% Notes due 2016. The additional notes were issued under the Indenture governing the 11% Notes and treated as a single series with the original 11% Notes. The additional notes were sold in a private placement in reliance on exceptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company received net proceeds before expenses of \$59.6 million, which included an original issue premium of \$6.1 million, and underwriter fees of \$1.5 million. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering to repay the outstanding balance under the Company s revolving credit agreement described elsewhere herein, and for general corporate purposes.

Effective December 31, 2011, the Company discontinued an operating division. All periods reflect this discontinued operation. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Year Ended December 31, 2010

During 2010, the Company completed a significant number of acquisitions. Please see Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for a summary of these acquisitions.

On May 14, 2010, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors issued and sold \$65 million aggregate principal amount of 11% Notes due 2016. The additional notes were issued under the Indenture governing the 11% notes and treated as a single series with the original 11% notes. The additional notes were sold in a private placement in reliance on exceptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company received net proceeds before expenses of \$67.2 million, which included an original issue premium of \$2.6 million, and underwriter fees of \$0.4 million. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering to repay the outstanding balance under the Company s revolving Credit Agreement described elsewhere herein, and for general corporate purposes, including acquisitions.

Effective September 30, 2010, the Company ceased a subsidiary. All periods reflect these discontinued operations. See Note 10 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein.

Year Ended December 31, 2009

On October 23, 2009, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, issued and sold \$225 million aggregate principal amount of 11% Notes due 2016 (the 11% Notes). The 11% Notes bear interest at a rate of 11% per annum, accruing from October 23, 2009. Interest is payable semiannually in arrears in cash on May 1 and November 1 of each year, beginning on May 1, 2010. The 11% Notes will mature on November 1, 2016, unless earlier redeemed or repurchased. In addition, the Company entered into a Credit Agreement described elsewhere herein. The Company used the net proceeds of this offering to repay the outstanding balance and terminate its prior Fortress Financing Agreement, and redeemed its outstanding 8% C\$45 million convertible debentures. As a result, the Company incurred \$4.5 million of early termination fees and wrote off of the remaining deferred financing costs relating to its prior Financing Agreement and convertible debentures.

Item 7. Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

Unless otherwise indicated, references to the Company mean MDC Partners Inc. and its subsidiaries, and references to a fiscal year means the Company s year commencing on January 1 of that year and ending December 31 of that year (e.g., fiscal 2013 means the period beginning January 1, 2013, and ending December 31, 2013).

The Company reports its financial results in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) of the United States of America (US GAAP). However, the Company has included certain non-US GAAP financial measures and ratios, which it believes provide useful information to both management and readers of this report in measuring the financial performance and financial condition of the Company. One such term is organic revenue , which means growth in revenues from sources other than acquisitions or foreign exchange impacts. These measures do not have a standardized meaning prescribed by US GAAP and, therefore, may not be comparable to similarly titled measures presented by other publicly traded companies, nor should they be construed as an alternative to other titled measures determined in accordance with US GAAP.

Executive Summary

The Company s objective is to create shareholder value by building market-leading subsidiaries and affiliates that deliver innovative, value-added marketing communications and strategic consulting to their clients. Management believes that shareholder value is maximized with an operating philosophy of Perpetual Partnership with proven committed industry leaders in marketing communications.

MDC manages the business by monitoring several financial and non-financial performance indicators. The key indicators that we review focus on the areas of revenues and operating expenses and capital expenditures. Revenue growth is analyzed by reviewing the components and mix of the growth, including: growth by major geographic location; existing growth by major reportable segment (organic); growth from currency changes; and growth from acquisitions.

MDC conducts its businesses through the Marketing Communications Group. Within the Marketing Communications Group, there are two reportable operating segments: Strategic Marketing Services and Performance Marketing Services. In addition, MDC has a Corporate Group which provides certain accounting, administrative, financial, human resource and legal functions.

Marketing Communications Businesses

Through its operating partners, MDC provides advertising, consulting, customer relationship management, and specialized communication services to clients throughout the world.

The operating companies earn revenue from agency arrangements in the form of retainer fees or commissions; from short-term project arrangements in the form of fixed fees or per diem fees for services; and from incentives or bonuses. Additional information about revenue recognition appears in Note 2 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

MDC measures operating expenses in two distinct cost categories: cost of services sold, and office and general expenses. Cost of services sold is primarily comprised of employee compensation related costs and direct costs related primarily to providing services. Office and general expenses are primarily comprised of rent and occupancy costs and administrative service costs including related employee compensation costs. Also included in operating expenses is depreciation and amortization.

Because we are a service business, we monitor these costs on a percentage of revenue basis. Cost of services sold tend to fluctuate in conjunction with changes in revenues, whereas office and general expenses and depreciation and amortization, which are not directly related to servicing clients, tend to decrease as a percentage of revenue as revenues increase because a significant portion of these expenses are relatively fixed in nature.

We measure capital expenditures as either maintenance or investment related. Maintenance capital expenditures are primarily composed of general upkeep of our office facilities and equipment that are required to continue to operate our businesses. Investment capital expenditures include expansion costs, the build out of new capabilities, technology or call centers, or other growth initiatives not related to the day to day upkeep of the existing operations. Growth capital expenditures are measured and approved based on the expected return of the invested capital.

Executive Summary 44

Certain Factors Affecting Our Business

Overall Factors Affecting our Business and Results of Operations. The most significant factors include national, regional and local economic conditions, our clients—profitability, mergers and acquisitions of our clients, changes in top management of our clients and our ability to retain and attract key employees. New business wins and client losses occur due to a variety of factors. The two most significant factors are; clients—desire to change marketing communication firms, and the creative product our firms are offering. A client may choose to change marketing communication firms for a number of reasons, such as a change in top management and the new management wants to retain an agency that it may have previously worked with. In addition, if the client is merged or acquired by another company, the marketing communication firm is often changed. Further, global clients are trending to consolidate the use of numerous marketing communication firms to just one or two. Another factor in a client changing firms is the agency—s campaign or work product is not providing results and they feel a change is in order to generate additional revenues.

Clients will generally reduce or increase their spending or outsourcing needs based on their current business trends and profitability. These types of changes impact the Performance Marketing Services Group more than the Strategic Marketing Services Group due to the Performance Marketing Services Group having clients who require project-based work as opposed to the Strategic Marketing Services Group who primarily have retainer-based relationships.

Acquisitions and Dispositions. Our strategy includes acquiring ownership stakes in well-managed businesses with strong reputations in the industry. We engaged in a number of acquisition and disposal transactions during the 2010 to 2013 period, which affected revenues, expenses, operating income and net income. Additional information regarding material acquisitions is provided in Note 4 Acquisitions and information on dispositions is provided in Note 10 Discontinued Operations in the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements.

Foreign Exchange Fluctuation. Our financial results and competitive position are affected by fluctuations in the exchange rate between the US dollar and non-US dollars, primarily the Canadian dollar. See also Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk Foreign Exchange.

Seasonality. Historically, with some exceptions, we generate the highest quarterly revenues during the fourth quarter in each year. The fourth quarter has historically been the period in the year in which the highest volumes of media placements and retail related consumer marketing occur.

Fourth Quarter Results. Revenues for the fourth quarter of 2013 increased to \$307.1 million, compared to 2012 fourth quarter revenues of \$292.6 million. The increase consisted of organic growth of \$14.5 million, acquisition revenue of \$2.2 million and a decrease of \$2.3 million due to foreign currency fluctuations. The Strategic Marketing Services segment had revenue growth of \$17.7 million in 2013, of which \$18.7 million was organic, offset by a decrease of \$1.0 million due to foreign currency fluctuations. The Performance Marketing Services segment had decreased revenue of \$3.3 million in 2013, of which \$4.1 million was an organic decline and \$1.4 million related to foreign currency fluctuations, offset by \$2.2 million of acquisition related revenue. Operating results for the fourth quarter of 2013 resulted in a loss of \$71.6 million, compared to a loss of \$6.9 million in 2012. The decrease in operating profits was primarily related to two compensation related items: a stock based compensation charge of \$55.8 million relating to the Company s settlement of its outstanding SAR s in cash, and a one-time bonus to the Company s CEO of \$9.6 million for the Company s stock price hitting certain targets. These decreases were offset in part by the increase in revenue. Loss from continuing operations for the fourth quarter of 2013 was \$91.8 million, compared to \$21.9 million in 2012. Other expense net increased to expense of \$4.6 million in 2013, compared to income of \$0.4 million in 2012 due to unrealized losses due to foreign currency fluctuations. Interest expense was lower in 2013 by \$0.3 million, income tax expense was also higher by \$0.3 million and equity in earnings of affiliates was \$0.1 million in 2013, compared to \$0.2 million in 2012. Interest expense decreased due to the Company s refinancing of its outstanding indebtedness, in which the Company issued 6.75% Notes and redeemed all of its outstanding 11% Notes.

Summary of Key Transactions

Year Ended December 31, 2013

On March 20, 3013, the Company issued and sold \$550 million aggregate principal amount 6.75% Notes. The Company received net proceeds from the offering of the 6.75% Notes equal to approximately \$537.6 million. The Company used the net proceeds to redeem all of the existing 11% Senior Notes due 2016, together with accrued interest, related premiums, fees and expenses and recorded a charge for loss on redemption of notes of \$55.6 million, including write offs of unamortized original issue premium and debt issuance costs. Remaining proceeds were used for general corporate purposes. In addition, the Company entered into an amended and restated \$225 million senior secured revolving credit agreement due 2018.

On November 15, 2013, the Company issued an additional \$110 million aggregate principal amount of its 6.75% Notes. The additional notes were issued under the Indenture governing the 6.75% Notes and treated as a single series with the original 6.75% Notes. The additional notes were sold in a private placement in reliance on exceptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company received net proceeds before expenses of \$111.9 million, which included an original issue premium of \$4.1 million, and underwriter fees of \$2.2 million. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering for general corporate purposes.

Year Ended December 31, 2012

The Company completed several key acquisitions and transactions in 2012. These acquisitions included the acquisition of Doner Partners LLC (Doner). The Company acquired a 30% voting interest and a convertible preferred interest that allows the Company to increase ordinary voting ownership to 70% at MDC s option, at no additional cost to the Company. Doner is a full service integrated creative agency. In addition, the Company acquired a 70% interest in TargetCast LLC (TargetCast), a full service integrated media agency.

The total aggregate purchase price for these 2012 transactions was \$82.8 million, which included closing cash payments equal to \$18.5 million and \$8.0 million of working capital payments, plus additional estimated contingent purchase payments in future years of approximately \$59.5 million. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for additional information on these and other acquisitions.

On December 10, 2012, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, issued and sold an additional \$80 million aggregate principal amount of 11% Notes due 2016. The additional notes were issued under the Indenture governing the 11% Notes and treated as a single series with the original 11% Notes. The additional notes were sold in a private placement in reliance on exceptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company received net proceeds before expenses of \$83.2 million, which included an original issue premium of \$4.8 million, less underwriter fees of \$1.6 million. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering to repay the outstanding balance under the Company s revolving credit agreement described elsewhere herein, and for general corporate purposes.

Year Ended December 31, 2011

The Company completed several key acquisitions in 2011. These acquisitions included the acquisition of a 70% interest in Concentric Partners, LLC (Concentric), a 65% interest in Laird + Partners, New York LLC (Laird), a 100%

interest in RJ Palmer Partners LLC (RJ Palmer), a 75% interest in Trade X Partners LLC (Trade X) and a 60% interest in Anomaly Partners, LLC (Anomaly).

The total aggregate purchase price for these 2011 transactions was \$76.8 million, which included closing cash payments equal to \$40 million plus additional estimated contingent purchase payments in future years of approximately \$36.8 million. See Note 4 of the Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements included herein for additional information on these and other acquisitions.

On April 19, 2011, the Company and its wholly-owned subsidiaries, as guarantors, issued and sold an additional \$55 million aggregate principal amount of 11% Notes due 2016. The additional notes were issued under the Indenture governing the 11% Notes and treated as a single series with the original 11% Notes. The additional notes were sold in a private placement in reliance on exceptions from registration under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended. The Company received net proceeds before expenses of \$59.6 million,

which included an original issue premium of \$6.1 million, and underwriter fees of \$1.5 million. The Company used the net proceeds of the offering to repay the outstanding balance under the Company s WF Credit Agreement described elsewhere herein, and for general corporate purposes.

Results of Operations for the Years Ended December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011:

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2013 | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| | Strategic Performance | | | |
| | Marketing | Marketing | Corporate | Total |
| | Services | Services | | |
| Revenue | \$805,439 | \$343,442 | \$ | \$1,148,881 |
| Cost of services sold | 510,014 | 244,480 | | 754,494 |
| Office and general expenses | 186,369 | 73,696 | 126,714 | 386,779 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 23,720 | 14,486 | 1,394 | 39,600 |
| Operating profit (loss) | 85,336 | 10,780 | (128,108) | (31,992) |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Other income, net | | | | 2,531 |
| Foreign exchange loss | | | | (5,537) |
| Interest expense, finance charges, and loss on | | | | (100,592) |
| redemption of notes, net | | | | (100,5)2) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income | | | | (135,590) |
| taxes, equity in affiliates | | | | , , |
| Income tax recovery | | | | (4,291) |
| Loss from continuing operations before equity in | | | | (131,299) |
| affiliates | | | | |
| Equity in earnings of non-consolidated affiliates | | | | 281 |
| Loss from continuing operations | | | | (131,018) |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to MDC Partners Inc., net of taxes | | | | (11,384) |
| Net loss | | | | (142,402) |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling | (4.000) | (1.570 | | , , |
| interests | (4,889) | (1,572) | | (6,461) |
| Net loss attributable to MDC Partners Inc. | | | | \$(148,863) |
| Stock based compensation | \$7,249 | \$3,425 | \$89,731 | \$100,405 |
| | | | | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2012 | | | | |
|--|--------------------------------------|----------------|-----------|-----------------------|---|
| | Strategic | ic Performance | | | |
| | Marketing | Marketing | Corporate | Total | |
| | Services | Services | | | |
| Revenue | \$719,364 | \$343,901 | \$ | \$1,063,26 | 5 |
| Cost of services sold | 479,060 | 254,740 | | 733,800 | |
| Office and general expenses | 188,954 | 71,798 | 38,847 | 299,599 | |
| Depreciation and amortization | 27,260 | 17,190 | 1,342 | 45,792 | |
| Operating profit (loss) | 24,090 | 173 | (40,189) | (15,926 |) |
| Other income (expense): | | | | | |
| Other income, net | | | | 117 | |
| Foreign exchange loss | | | | (976 |) |
| Interest expense and finance charges, net | | | | (46,190 |) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income | | | | (62,975 |) |
| taxes, equity in affiliates | | | | • | , |
| Income tax expense | | | | 9,553 | |
| Loss from continuing operations before equity in | | | | (72,528 |) |
| affiliates | | | | • | , |
| Equity in earnings of non-consolidated affiliates | | | | 633 | |
| Loss from continuing operations | | | | (71,895 |) |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to | | | | (6,681 |) |
| MDC Partners Inc., net of taxes | | | | (50.556 | , |
| Net loss | | | | (78,576 |) |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling interests | (5,167) | (1,696) | | (6,863 |) |
| Net loss attributable to MDC Partners Inc. | | | | \$(85,439 |) |
| Stock based compensation | \$9,186 | \$8,227 | \$14,784 | \$32,197 | , |
| 2-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1-1 | + > , 2 0 0 | + ~, , | +,, | + , - / , | |

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | For the Year Ended December 31, 2011 Strategic Performance | | | |
|--|---|-----------|-----------|------------|
| | Marketing | Marketing | Corporate | Total |
| | Services | Services | | |
| Revenue | \$608,022 | \$326,266 | \$ | \$934,288 |
| Cost of services sold | 425,316 | 241,402 | | 666,718 |
| Office and general expenses | 137,824 | 44,172 | 35,432 | 217,428 |
| Depreciation and amortization | 22,378 | 16,457 | 826 | 39,661 |
| Operating profit (loss) | 22,504 | 24,235 | (36,258) | 10,481 |
| Other income (expense): | | | | |
| Other income, net | | | | 116 |
| Foreign exchange loss | | | | (1,677) |
| Interest expense and finance charges, net | | | | (41,561) |
| Loss from continuing operations before income taxes, | | | | (32,641) |
| equity in affiliates | | | | (32,041) |
| Income tax expense | | | | 41,735 |
| Loss from continuing operations before equity in | | | | (74,376) |
| affiliates | | | | (74,370) |
| Equity in earnings of non-consolidated affiliates | | | | 213 |
| Loss from continuing operations | | | | (74,163) |
| Loss from discontinued operations attributable to | | | | (2.092) |
| MDC Partners Inc., net of taxes | | | | (2,082) |
| Net loss | | | | (76,245) |
| Net income attributable to non-controlling | (6.414) | (2.015 | | (9.420) |
| interests | (6,414) | (2,015) | | (8,429) |
| Net loss attributable to MDC Partners Inc. | | | | \$(84,674) |
| Stock based compensation | \$5,149 | \$3,695 | \$14,813 | \$23,657 |
| - | | | | |

Year Ended December 31, 2013 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2012

Revenue was \$1.15 billion for the year ended 2013, representing an increase of \$85.6 million, or 8.1%, compared to revenue of \$1.06 billion for the year ended 2012. This increase relates primarily to an increase in organic revenue of \$88.5 million and acquisition growth of \$2.6 million. A strengthening of the US Dollar, primarily versus the Canadian dollar during the year ended December 31, 2013, resulted in a decrease of \$5.4 million.

Operating loss for the year ended 2013 was \$32.0 million, compared to a loss of \$15.9 million in 2012. Operating profit increased by \$61.2 million in the Strategic Marketing Services segment and by \$10.6 million in the Performance Marketing Services segment. Corporate operating expenses increased by \$87.9 million in 2013.

Loss from continuing operations was a loss of \$131.0 million in 2013, compared to a loss of \$71.9 million in 2012. This increase in loss of \$59.1 million was primarily attributable to a decrease in operating profits of \$16.1 million (primarily due to an increase in stock based compensation of \$68.2 million), and an increase in net interest expense equal to \$54.4 million, offset by a decrease in tax expense of \$13.8 million. The increase in net interest expense was primarily due to the Company s redemption of its 11% Notes in March 2013 and related premium, fees and expenses of \$55.6 million. These amounts were also impacted by an increase in foreign exchange losses of \$4.6 million in 2013 and an increase in other income, net of \$2.4 million.

Marketing Communications Group

Revenues attributable to the Marketing Communications Group, which consists of two reportable segments Strategic Marketing Services and Performance Marketing Services, were \$1.15 billion in the aggregate in 2013, compared to \$1.06 million in 2012, representing a year-over-year increase of 8.1%.

The components of the revenue for 2013 are shown in the following table:

| | Revenue | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| | \$000 s | % | |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | \$1,063,265 | | |
| Acquisition | 2,572 | 0.3 % | |
| Organic | 88,479 | 8.3 % | |
| Foreign exchange impact | (5,435) | (0.5)% | |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 | \$1,148,881 | 8.1 % | |

The geographic mix in revenues was relatively consistent between 2013 and 2012 and is demonstrated in the following table:

| | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------|------|------|
| US | 82 % | 81 % |
| Canada | 12 % | 14 % |
| Europe and other | 6 % | 5 % |

The operating profit of the Marketing Communications Group increased by \$71.9 million to \$96.1 million from \$24.3 million. Operating margins increased by 6.1% and were 8.4% for 2013, compared to 2.3% for 2012. The increase in operating profit and operating margin was primarily due to increases in revenue and decreases in direct costs, office and general expenses, and depreciation and amortization. Total staff costs were consistent at approximately 59%.

Direct costs (excluding staff costs) decreased as a percentage of revenues from 16.8% in 2012, to 13.4% in 2013. Direct costs decreased as there were fewer pass-through costs incurred on the clients—behalf during 2013 where the company was acting as principal versus agent for certain client contracts. Office and general expenses decreased as a percentage of revenue from 24.5% in 2012, to 22.6% in 2013. This decrease was primarily due to a reduction of \$17.1 million in expense relating to estimated deferred acquisition consideration and the increase in revenue on relatively fixed costs. Depreciation and amortization as a percentage of revenue decreased from 4.2% in 2012 to 3.3% in 2013.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Marketing Communications Businesses

Strategic Marketing Services

Revenues attributable to Strategic Marketing Services in 2013 were \$805.4 million, compared to \$719.4 million in 2012. The year-over-year increase of \$86.1 million, or 12.0%, was attributable primarily to organic growth of \$91.4 million or 12.7%; these increases were offset by a foreign exchange translation decrease due to the strengthening of the US dollar compared to the Canadian dollar. This organic revenue growth was driven by net new business wins.

The operating profit of Strategic Marketing Services increased by \$61.2 million from \$24.1 million in 2012 to \$85.3 million in 2013. Operating margins increased from 3.4% in 2012 to 10.6% in 2013. The increase in operating profits and operating margins were primarily due to increases in revenues and decreases in direct costs, office and general costs and depreciation and amortization. Total staff costs were relatively consistent at 60%. Direct costs (excluding staff labor) decreased as a percentage of revenue from 13.2% 2012 to 8.8% in 2013. Direct costs decreased as there were fewer pass-through costs incurred on the clients behalf during 2013 where the company was acting as principal versus agent for certain client contracts. Office and general expenses decreased as a percentage of revenue from 26.3% in 2012 to 23.1% in 2013. The decrease was due to a reduction of \$11.8 million in expense relating to estimated deferred acquisition consideration and the increased revenue on relatively fixed costs. Depreciation and amortization as a percentage of revenue decreased from 3.8% in 2012 to 2.9% in 2013.

Performance Marketing Services

Performance Marketing Services generated revenues of \$343.4 million for 2013, a decrease of \$0.5 million, or 0.1%, compared to revenues of \$343.9 million in 2012. The year-over-year decrease was attributable primarily to an organic decline of \$2.9 million offset by an increase in acquisition growth of \$5.8 million, and a foreign translation decrease of \$3.3 million. This organic revenue decline was driven by net new business wins offset by larger reductions of client spending amounts.

The operating profit of Performance Marketing Services increased by \$10.6 million, from \$0.2 million in 2012 to \$10.8 million in 2013. Operating margins increased from 0.1% in 2012 to 3.1% in 2013. The increase in operating profits and operating margins were primarily due to decreases in staff costs, direct costs (excluding staff labor) and depreciation and amortization. Total staff costs decreased from 58.3% in 2012 to 57.4% in 2013. Direct costs decreased from 24.2% in 2012 to 23.9% in 2013 due to decreased pass-through costs incurred on the clients behalf during 2013 where the agency was acting as principal versus agent for certain client contracts. Office and general costs increased from 20.9% in 2012 to 21.5% in 2013 on relatively flat revenue. Depreciation and amortization decreased from 5.0% in 2012 to 4.2% in 2013.

Corporate

Operating costs related to the Company s Corporate operations increased by \$87.9 million to \$128.1 million in 2013, compared to \$40.2 million in 2012. This increase was primarily related to increased compensation and related costs of \$89.0 million. The increase in compensation and related costs is due to the Company s settlement of its SAR s in cash resulting in a stock based compensation charge of \$78.0 million and a one-time bonus payment of \$9.6 million to our CEO for the Company s stock price achieving specified targets. Increases in benefits and severance costs accounted for the remaining increase. Additional advertising and promotion costs, occupancy, travel and entertainment, professional fees, and other administrative costs were offset by the repayment in full of a previously fully reserved loan by the

Company s CEO of \$5.3 million.

Other Income, Net

Other income, net, increased by \$2.4 million from income of \$0.1 million in 2012 to income of \$2.5 million in 2013. The increase was primarily related to a distribution received in excess of the assets carrying value of \$3.1 million.

27

Corporate 55

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Foreign Exchange

The foreign exchange loss was \$5.5 million for 2013, compared to a loss of \$1.0 million recorded in 2012. This unrealized loss was due primarily to the fluctuation in the US dollar during 2013 and 2012 compared to the Canadian dollar relating to the Company s US dollar denominated intercompany balances with its Canadian subsidiaries.

Interest Expense and Finance Charges, Net

Interest expense and finance charges, net for 2013 was \$100.6 million, an increase of \$54.4 million over the \$46.2 million of interest expense and finance charges, net incurred during 2012. This increase was due to the loss paid on the redemption of the Company s 11% Notes of \$55.6 million, offset in part by lower borrowing costs related to the 6.75% Notes issued to replace those notes.

Income Tax Expense

Income tax expense in 2012 was \$9.6 million compared to a benefit of \$4.3 million for 2013. The Company s effective rate was substantially lower than the statutory rate in 2013, primarily due to nondeductible stock-based compensation, an increase in the valuation allowance, offset in part by noncontrolling interest charges. The Company s effective tax rate was substantially higher than the statutory rate in 2012 due to non-deductible stock-based compensation and an increase in the Company s valuation allowance, offset in part by noncontrolling interest charges.

The Company s US operating units are generally structured as limited liability companies, which are treated as partnerships for tax purposes. The Company is only taxed on its share of profits, while noncontrolling holders are responsible for taxes on their share of the profits.

Equity in Affiliates

Equity in affiliates represents the income attributable to equity-accounted affiliate operations. In 2013, the Company recorded income of \$0.3 million compared to income of \$0.6 million in 2012.

Noncontrolling Interests

The effects of noncontrolling interest was \$6.5 million for 2013, a decrease of \$0.4 million from the \$6.9 million during 2012. The decrease relates to step-up transactions of entities the Company does not own 100% in both the Strategic Marketing Services and Performance Marketing Service segments.

Discontinued Operations

The loss net of taxes from discontinued operations for 2013 was \$11.4 million and \$6.7 million in 2012.

Net Loss Attributable to MDC Partners Inc.

As a result of the foregoing, the net loss attributable to MDC Partners Inc. for 2013 was \$148.9 million or a loss of \$3.16 per diluted share, compared to a net loss of \$85.4 million or \$1.85 per diluted share reported for 2012.

Other Income, Net 56

Year Ended December 31, 2012 Compared to Year Ended December 31, 2011

Revenue was \$1.06 billion for the year ended 2012, representing an increase of \$129.0 million, or 13.8%, compared to revenue of \$934.3 million for the year ended 2011. This increase relates primarily to acquisition growth of \$54.2 million and an increase in organic revenue of \$77.3 million. A strengthening of the US Dollar, primarily versus the Canadian dollar during the year ended December 31, 2012, resulted in a decrease of \$2.5 million.

Operating loss for the year ended 2012 was \$15.9 million, compared to operating profits of \$10.5 million in 2011. Operating profit decreased by \$24.1 million in the Performance Marketing Services segment, and was offset by an increase of \$1.6 million in the Strategic Marketing Services segment. Corporate operating expenses increased by \$3.9 million in 2012.

Loss from continuing operations was a loss of \$71.9 million in 2012, compared to a loss of \$74.2 million in 2011. This increase in loss of \$2.3 million was primarily attributable to a decrease in operating profits of

\$26.4 million resulting from an increase in deferred acquisition consideration of \$40.2 million, offset by the increase in revenue and an increase in net interest expense equal to \$4.6 million, offset by the decrease in tax expense of \$32.2 million. The increase in net interest expense was primarily due to higher outstanding borrowing under the WF Credit Agreement and the Company s outstanding 11% notes. These amounts were impacted by a decrease in foreign exchange losses of \$0.7 million in 2012 and an increase in equity in earnings of non-consolidated affiliates of \$0.4 million.

Marketing Communications Group

Revenues attributable to the Marketing Communications Group, which consists of two reportable segments Strategic Marketing Services and Performance Marketing Services, were \$1.06 billion in the aggregate in 2012, compared to \$934.3 million in 2011, representing a year-over-year increase of 13.8%.

The components of the revenue for 2012 are shown in the following table:

| | Revenue | | |
|------------------------------|-------------|--------|--|
| | \$000 s | % | |
| Year ended December 31, 2011 | \$934,288 | | |
| Acquisition | 54,198 | 5.8 % | |
| Organic | 77,293 | 8.3 % | |
| Foreign exchange impact | (2,514) | (0.3)% | |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | \$1,063,265 | 13.8% | |

The geographic mix in revenues was relatively consistent between 2012 and 2011 and is demonstrated in the following table:

| | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------|------|------|
| US | 81 % | 80 % |
| Canada | 14 % | 16 % |
| Europe and other | 5 % | 4 % |

The operating profit of the Marketing Communications Group decreased by approximately 48.1% to \$24.3 million in 2012, from \$46.7 million in 2011. The decrease in operating profit of \$22.4 million was primarily due to an increase in estimated deferred acquisition consideration adjustments of \$40.2 million, an increase in stock based compensation of \$8.6 million and increased depreciation and amortization of \$5.6 million, all due to acquisitions. These amounts were offset by \$32.0 million of increased operating profits driven by the increase in revenue following the Company s strategic investment spending in 2011. Operating margins decreased to 2.3% for 2012, compared to 5.0% for 2011. This decrease in operating margin was primarily related to an increase in office and general expenses as a percentage of revenue from 19.5% in 2011, to 24.5% in 2012. This increase was primarily due to estimated deferred acquisition consideration adjustments as a percentage of revenue which increased to 5.0% in 2012 compared to 1.4% in 2011. In addition, total staff costs increased as a percentage of revenue from 55.2% in 2011, to 59.3% in 2012. Offsetting these increases was a decrease in reimbursed client related direct costs (excluding staff costs) as a percentage of revenue from 22.8% in 2011, to 16.8% in 2012.

Marketing Communications Businesses

Strategic Marketing Services

Revenues attributable to Strategic Marketing Services in 2012 were \$719.4 million, compared to \$608.0 million in 2011. The year-over-year increase of \$111.4 million, or 18.3%, was attributable primarily to organic growth of \$74.3 million or 12.2%; and acquisition growth of \$38.6 million or 6.4%; these increases were offset by a foreign exchange translation decrease of \$1.6 million due to the strengthening of the US dollar compared to the Canadian dollar. This organic revenue growth was driven by net new business wins.

The operating profit of Strategic Marketing Services increased by \$1.6 million to \$24.1 million in 2012, from \$22.5 million in 2011. Operating margins decreased to 3.3% in 2012 from 3.7% in 2011. The increase in

TABLE OF CONTENTS

operating profit is primarily related to the increase in revenue. This increase was offset by an increase in estimated deferred acquisition consideration adjustments of \$24.3 million, increased depreciation and amortization of \$4.9 million due to acquisitions, and an increase in stock based compensation of \$4.0 million. The decrease in operating margin was primarily related to an increase in office and general expenses as a percentage of revenue from 22.7% in 2011 to 26.3% in 2012 due to the increased estimated deferred acquisition consideration adjustments of 6.6% in 2012 compared to 3.9% in 2011. In addition, total staff costs as a percentage of revenue increased from 56.2% in 2011 to 59.8% in 2012. Depreciation and amortization increased as a percentage of revenue from 3.7% during 2011 to 3.8% during 2012. Offsetting this decrease in margins was a decrease in direct costs (excluding staff costs) as a percentage of revenue from 20.1% of revenue in 2011, to 13.2% of revenue in 2012.