

NEOGENOMICS INC
Form 10-Q
August 14, 2008

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-Q

(Mark One)

**x QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2008.

OR

**o TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission File Number: 333-72097

NEOGENOMICS, INC.

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Nevada

(State or other jurisdiction of
incorporation or organization)

74-2897368

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

**12701 Commonwealth Drive, Suite 9,
Fort Myers, FL 33913
(239)-768-0600**

(Address, including zip code, and area code and telephone
number of Registrant's principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer o

Accelerated filer o

Non-accelerated filer o

Smaller reporting company x

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form 10-Q

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).
Yes No

As of August 12, 2008, the registrant had 31,453,566 shares of Common Stock, par value \$0.001 per share
outstanding

TABLE OF CONTENTS

FINANCIAL INFORMATION

PART I

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------|
| Item 1. | Financial Statements (unaudited) | 4 |
| Item 2. | Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations | 12 |
| Item 3. | Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk | 16 |
| Item 4. | Controls and Procedures | 16 |
| Item 4T. | Controls and Procedures | 16 |

OTHER INFORMATION

PART II

| | | |
|-----------------|--|-----------|
| Item 1. | Legal Proceedings | 17 |
| Item 1A. | Risk Factors | 17 |
| Item 2. | Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds | 17 |
| Item 3. | Defaults Upon Senior Securities | 17 |
| Item 4. | Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders | 17 |
| Item 5. | Other Information | 17 |
| Item 6. | Exhibits | 18 |

SIGNATURES

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

This Form 10-Q contains “forward-looking statements” relating to NeoGenomics, Inc., a Nevada corporation (referred to individually as the “Parent Company” or collectively with all of its subsidiaries as “NeoGenomics” or the “Company” in this Form 10-Q), which represent the Company’s current expectations or beliefs including, but not limited to, statements concerning the Company’s operations, performance, financial condition and growth. For this purpose, any statements contained in this Form 10-Q that are not statements of historical fact are forward-looking statements. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, words such as “may”, “anticipation”, “intend”, “could”, “estimate”, or “continue” or the negative or other comparable terminology are intended to identify forward-looking statements. These statements by their nature involve substantial risks and uncertainties, such as credit losses, dependence on management and key personnel, variability of quarterly results, competition and the ability of the Company to continue its growth strategy, certain of which are beyond the Company’s control. Should one or more of these risks or uncertainties materialize or should the underlying assumptions prove incorrect, actual outcomes and results could differ materially from those indicated in the forward-looking statements.

Any forward-looking statement speaks only as of the date on which such statement is made, and the Company undertakes no obligation to update any forward-looking statement or statements to reflect events or circumstances after the date on which such statement is made or to reflect the occurrence of unanticipated events. New factors emerge from time to time and it is not possible for management to predict all of such factors, nor can it assess the impact of each such factor on the business or the extent to which any factor, or combination of factors, may cause actual results to differ materially from those contained in any forward-looking statements.

PART I – FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Item 1. Financial Statements

NEOGENOMICS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(unaudited)

| | June 30, 2008 | December 31, 2007 |
|--|------------------|----------------------|
| ASSETS | | |
| CURRENT ASSETS | | |
| Cash and cash equivalents | \$ 442,187 | \$ 210,573 |
| Accounts receivable (net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$390,638 and \$414,548, respectively) | 3,641,822 | 3,236,751 |
| Inventories | 364,259 | 304,750 |
| Other current assets | 746,209 | 400,168 |
| Total current assets | 5,194,477 | 4,152,242 |
| PROPERTY AND EQUIPMENT (net of accumulated depreciation of \$1,185,750 and \$862,030, respectively) | 2,215,613 | 2,108,083 |
| OTHER ASSETS | 255,566 | 260,575 |
| TOTAL ASSETS | \$ 7,665,656 | \$ 6,520,900 |
| LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| CURRENT LIABILITIES | | |
| Accounts payable | \$ 1,902,813 | \$ 1,799,159 |
| Accrued expenses and other liabilities | 1,203,374 | 1,319,580 |
| Revolving credit line | 1,053,471 | - |
| Short-term portion of equipment capital leases | 320,682 | 242,966 |
| Total current liabilities | 4,480,340 | 3,361,705 |
| LONG TERM LIABILITIES | | |
| Long-term portion of equipment capital leases | 854,293 | 837,081 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES | 5,334,633 | 4,198,786 |
| STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | | |
| Common stock, \$.001 par value, (100,000,000 shares authorized; 31,368,256 and 31,391,660 shares issued and outstanding, respectively) | 31,368 | 31,391 |
| Additional paid-in capital | 17,022,971 | 16,820,954 |
| Accumulated deficit | (14,723,316) | (14,530,231) |
| Total stockholders' equity | 2,331,023 | 2,322,114 |
| TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY | \$ 7,665,656 | \$ 6,520,900 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEOGENOMICS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS
(unaudited)

| | For the Three- Months Ended June 30, 2008 | For the Three- Months Ended June 30, 2007 | For the Six- Months Ended June 30, 2008 | For the Six- Months Ended June 30, 2007 |
|--|--|--|--|--|
| NET REVENUE | \$ 4,881,402 | \$ 2,344,032 | \$ 9,044,164 | \$ 4,586,694 |
| COST OF REVENUE | 2,183,758 | 1,165,813 | 4,042,231 | 2,102,546 |
| GROSS PROFIT | 2,697,644 | 1,178,219 | 5,001,933 | 2,484,148 |
| OPERATING EXPENSES | | | | |
| General and administrative | 2,556,121 | 2,059,166 | 5,070,676 | 3,485,713 |
| Interest expense, net | 69,246 | 92,556 | 124,342 | 191,480 |
| Total operating expenses | 2,625,367 | 2,151,722 | 5,195,018 | 3,677,193 |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) | \$ 72,277 | \$ (973,503) | \$ (193,085) | \$ (1,193,045) |
| NET INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE | | | | |
| - Basic | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.04) |
| - Diluted | \$ 0.00 | \$ (0.03) | \$ (0.01) | \$ (0.04) |
| WEIGHTED AVERAGE NUMBER OF SHARES OUTSTANDING | | | | |
| - Basic | 31,367,144 | 28,941,466 | 31,383,824 | 28,160,643 |
| - Diluted | 38,243,857 | 28,941,466 | 31,383,824 | 28,160,643 |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEOGENOMICS, INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
FOR THE SIX MONTHS ENDED JUNE 30, 2008 AND 2007
(unaudited)

| | June 30, 2008 | June 30, 2007 |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| CASH FLOWS FROM OPERATING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Net Loss | \$ (193,085) | \$ (1,193,045) |
| Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash used in operating activities: | | |
| Provision for bad debts | 815,011 | 278,000 |
| Depreciation | 323,720 | 180,455 |
| Impairment of assets | - | 2,235 |
| Amortization of debt issue costs | 22,076 | 15,615 |
| Amortization of credit facility warrants | - | 39,285 |
| Stock based compensation | 124,539 | 140,240 |
| Non cash consulting expenses | 67,042 | 84,608 |
| Changes in assets and liabilities, net: | | |
| (Increase) decrease in accounts receivable, net of write-offs | (1,220,083) | (1,000,147) |
| (Increase) decrease in inventories | (59,508) | (245,108) |
| (Increase) decrease in other current assets | (368,117) | (108,376) |
| (Increase) decrease in deposits | 5,009 | (17,286) |
| Increase (decrease) in accounts payable and other liabilities | (38,205) | 255,703 |
| NET CASH USED IN OPERATING ACTIVITIES | (521,601) | (1,567,821) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM INVESTING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Purchases of property and equipment | (170,764) | (221,264) |
| Purchase of convertible debenture | - | (200,000) |
| NET CASH USED IN INVESTING ACTIVITIES | (170,764) | (421,264) |
| CASH FLOWS FROM FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | |
| Advances / (repayments) to affiliates, net | - | (1,675,000) |
| Advances / (repayments) on credit facility | 1,053,471 | - |
| Repayment of capital leases | (139,905) | (63,157) |
| Issuance of common stock and warrants for cash, net of transaction expenses | 10,413 | 5,224,856 |
| Repayment of notes payable | - | (2,000) |
| NET CASH PROVIDED BY FINANCING ACTIVITIES | 923,979 | 3,484,699 |
| NET INCREASE IN CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS | 231,614 | 1,495,614 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, BEGINNING OF PERIOD | 210,573 | 126,264 |
| CASH AND CASH EQUIVALENTS, END OF PERIOD | \$ 442,187 | \$ 1,621,878 |

SUPPLEMENTAL DISCLOSURE OF CASH FLOW INFORMATION

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | | | |
|---|----|---------|----|---------|
| Interest paid | \$ | 107,820 | \$ | 163,282 |
| Income taxes paid | \$ | - | \$ | - |
| NON-CASH INVESTING AND FINANCING ACTIVITIES | | | | |
| Equipment leased under capital leases, including \$140,000 in accrued expenses at December 31, 2007 | \$ | 234,833 | \$ | 272,265 |
| Equipment purchased and included in accounts payable at June 30, 2008 | \$ | 165,653 | \$ | - |

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements.

NEOGENOMICS, INC.
NOTES TO UNAUDITED CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
AS OF JUNE 30, 2008

NOTE A – NATURE OF BUSINESS AND BASIS OF FINANCIAL STATEMENT PRESENTATION

Nature of Business

NeoGenomics, Inc., a Nevada corporation, (the “Parent”) and its subsidiary, NeoGenomics, Inc., a Florida corporation, doing business as NeoGenomics Laboratories (“NEO”, “NeoGenomics” or the “Subsidiary”) (collectively referred to as “we”, “us”, “our”, or the “Company”) operates as a certified “high complexity” clinical laboratory in accordance with the federal government’s Clinical Laboratory Improvement Amendments of 1988 (“CLIA”), and is dedicated to the delivery of clinical diagnostic services to pathologists, oncologists, urologists, hospitals, and other laboratories throughout the United States.

Basis of Presentation

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of the Parent and the Subsidiary. All significant intercompany accounts and balances have been eliminated in consolidation.

The accompanying condensed consolidated financial statements of the Company are unaudited and include all adjustments, in the opinion of management, which are necessary to make the financial statements not misleading. Except as otherwise disclosed, all such adjustments are of a normal recurring nature. Interim results are not necessarily indicative of results for a full year.

The interim condensed consolidated financial statements and notes are presented in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission and do not contain certain information included in the Company’s 2007 Annual Report on Form 10-KSB. Therefore, the interim condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s annual report.

Net Income (Loss) Per Common Share

We compute net income (loss) per share in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Statement No. 128 “Earnings per Share” (“SFAS 128”) and SEC Staff Accounting Bulletin No. 98 (“SAB 98”). Under the provisions of SFAS No. 128 and SAB 98, basic net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) available to common stockholders by the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the period. Diluted net income (loss) per share is computed by dividing the net income (loss) for the period by the weighted average number of common and common equivalent shares outstanding during the period. Equivalent shares consist of employee stock options and certain warrants issued to consultants and other providers of financing to the Company. Common equivalent shares outstanding as of June 30, 2008 includes approximately 4.3 million equivalent shares for unexercised warrants and approximately 2.6 million shares for unexercised stock options, and these were included in the earnings per share calculation for the three months ended June 30, 2008. There were no common equivalent shares included in the calculation of diluted earnings per share for the six month period ended June 30, 2008 and for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2007, because they were anti-dilutive for those periods.

Recently Issued Accounting Pronouncements

In September 2006, the FASB issued SFAS No. 157, “Fair Value Measurements” (“SFAS 157”). SFAS 157 provides a new single authoritative definition of fair value and provides enhanced guidance for measuring the fair value of assets

and liabilities and requires additional disclosures related to the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS 157 was effective for the Company as of January 1, 2008 for financial assets and financial liabilities within its scope and did not have a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

7

In February 2008, the FASB issued FASB Staff Position No. FAS 157-2 “Effective Date of FASB Statement No. 157” (“FSP FAS 157-2”) which defers the effective date of SFAS 157 for all non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities, except those that are recognized or disclosed at fair value in the financial statements on a recurring basis (at least annually), to fiscal years beginning after November 15, 2008 and interim periods within those fiscal years for items within the scope of FSP FAS 157-2. The Company is currently assessing the impact, if any, of SFAS 157 and FSP FAS 157-2 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities on its consolidated financial statements.

In February 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 159 “The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities - Including an Amendment of FASB Statement No. 115.” (“SFAS 159”). SFAS 159 permits an entity to measure many financial instruments and certain other items at fair value that are not currently required to be measured at fair value. The Company adopted this Statement as of January 1, 2008 and has elected not to apply the fair value option to any of its financial instruments.

In December 2007, the FASB issued SFAS No. 160, “Noncontrolling Interests in Consolidated Financial Statements - an amendment of ARB No. 51.” (“SFAS 160”). SFAS 160 requires all entities to report noncontrolling (minority) interests in subsidiaries as equity in the consolidated financial statements. Its intention is to eliminate the diversity in practice regarding the accounting for transactions between an entity and noncontrolling interests. This Statement is effective for the Company as of January 1, 2009 and currently, we do not expect it to have an impact on the Company’s financial statements.

In May 2008, the FASB issued SFAS No. 162 (“SFAS 162”), “The Hierarchy of Generally Accepted Accounting Principles” (“SFAS 162”). This statement identifies the sources of accounting principles and the framework for selecting the principles to be used in the preparation of financial statements of nongovernmental entities that are presented in conformity with GAAP. While this statement formalizes the sources and hierarchy of GAAP within the authoritative accounting literature, it does not change the accounting principles that are already in place. This statement will be effective 60 days following the SEC’s approval of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board amendments to AU Section 411, “The Meaning of Present Fairly in Conformity With Generally Accepted Accounting Principles.” SFAS 162 is not expected to have a material impact on the Company’s financial statements.

NOTE B – DEBT OBLIGATION

Revolving Credit and Security Agreement

On February 1, 2008, our subsidiary, NeoGenomics, Inc., a Florida corporation (“Borrower”), entered into a Revolving Credit and Security Agreement (the “Credit Facility” or “Credit Agreement”) with CapitalSource Finance LLC (“CapitalSource”), the terms of which provide for borrowings based on eligible accounts receivable up to a maximum borrowing of \$3,000,000, as defined in the Credit Agreement. Subject to the provisions of the Credit Agreement, CapitalSource shall make advances to us from time to time during the three (3) year term, and the Credit Facility may be drawn, repaid and redrawn from time to time as permitted under the Credit Agreement.

Interest on outstanding advances under the Credit Facility are payable monthly in arrears on the first day of each calendar month at an annual rate based on the one-month LIBOR plus 3.25%, subject to a LIBOR floor of 3.14%. At June 30, 2008, the effective rate of interest was 6.39%.

To secure the payment and performance in full of the Obligations (as defined in the Credit Agreement), we granted CapitalSource a continuing security interest in and lien upon, all of our rights, title and interest in and to our Accounts (as defined in the Credit Agreement), which primarily consist of accounts receivable and cash balances held in lock box accounts. Furthermore, pursuant to the Credit Agreement, the Parent guaranteed the punctual payment when due, whether at stated maturity, by acceleration or otherwise, of all of our obligations. The Parent guaranty is a continuing guarantee and shall remain in force and effect until the indefeasible cash payment in full of the Guaranteed

Obligations (as defined in the Credit Agreement) and all other amounts payable under the Credit Agreement.

8

On June 30, 2008, the available credit under the Credit Facility was approximately \$589,000 and the outstanding borrowing was \$1,053,471 after netting of \$55,319 in compensating cash on hand.

NOTE C – LIQUIDITY

Our condensed consolidated financial statements are prepared using accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America applicable to a going concern, which contemplate the realization of assets and liquidation of liabilities in the normal course of business. At June 30, 2008, we had stockholders' equity of \$2,331,023. On February 1, 2008, we entered into a revolving credit facility with CapitalSource Finance, LLC, which allows us to borrow up to \$3,000,000 based on a formula which is based upon our eligible accounts receivable, as defined in the Credit Agreement. As of June 30, 2008, we had approximately \$442,000 in cash on hand and \$589,000 of availability under our Credit Facility. As such, we believe we have adequate resources to meet our operating commitments for the next twelve months and accordingly our condensed consolidated financial statements do not include any adjustments relating to the recoverability and classification of recorded asset amounts or the amounts and classification of liabilities that might be necessary should we be unable to continue as a going concern.

NOTE D – COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

US Labs Settlement

On October 26, 2006, Accupath Diagnostics Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a US Labs, a California corporation ("US Labs") filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles (entitled Accupath Diagnostics Laboratories, Inc. v. NeoGenomics, Inc., et al., Case No. BC 360985) (the "Lawsuit") against the Company and Robert Gasparini, as an individual, and certain other employees and non-employees of NeoGenomics (the "Defendants") with respect to claims arising from discussions with current and former employees of US Labs. On March 18, 2008, we reached a preliminary agreement to settle US Labs' claims, and in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting For Contingencies*, as of December 31, 2007 we accrued a \$375,000 loss contingency, which consisted of \$250,000 to provide for the Company's expected share of this settlement, and \$125,000 to provide for the Company's share of the estimated legal fees up to the date of settlement.

On April 23, 2008, the Company and US Labs entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement") whereby both parties agreed to settle and resolve all claims asserted in and arising out of the aforementioned lawsuit. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Defendants are required to pay \$500,000 to US Labs, of which \$250,000 was paid with funds from the Company's insurance carrier in May 2008 and the remaining \$250,000 is being paid by the Company in equal installments of \$31,250 commencing on May 31, 2008. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, there are certain provisions agreed to in the event of default. As of June 30, 2008, the remaining amount due was \$187,500, and no events of default had occurred.

Private Placement of Common Stock and Related SEC Review

During 2007, we received a comment letter from the SEC Staff questioning certain matters disclosed in our Form 10-KSB as of and for the year ended December 31, 2006. As a result, we were unable to effectively complete the Registration Statement filed in connection with the June 2007 Private Placement (the "Private Placement") of the Company's common stock. As of December 31, 2007 and pursuant to the terms of the Private Placement, the Company accrued \$282,000 in penalties as liquidated damages, which are expected to be incurred for the period commencing on the 120th day following the Private Placement through June 2008, the date we anticipated to be able to effectively complete the Registration Statement for the Private Placement shares.

On April 29, 2008, we filed an amended 2006 Form 10-KSB/A with the SEC, and on April 30, 2008 we received correspondence from the SEC that they have completed their review and that they had no further comments.

On June 3, 2008, we filed a Registration Statement on Form S-1/A, and received a notice of effectiveness for the Private Placement shares on July 1, 2008.

NOTE E – RELATED PARTY TRANSACTIONS

During the six month periods ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, Steven C. Jones, a director of the Company, earned \$106,775 and \$32,000, respectively, for various consulting work performed in connection with his duties as Acting Principal Financial Officer.

During the six month period ended June 30, 2008 and 2007, George O’Leary, a director of the Company, earned \$565 and \$9,500, respectively, in cash for various consulting work performed for the Company.

NOTE F – POWER 3 MEDICAL PRODUCTS, INC.

On April 2, 2007, we entered into an agreement (the “Letter Agreement”) with Power3 Medical Products, Inc., a New York Corporation (“Power3”) regarding the formation of a joint venture Contract Research Organization (“CRO”) and the issuance of convertible debentures and related securities by Power3 to us. Power3 is an early stage company engaged in the discovery, development, and commercialization of protein biomarkers. Under the terms of the agreement, NeoGenomics and Power3 agreed to enter into a joint venture agreement pursuant to which the parties would jointly own a CRO and begin commercializing Power3’s intellectual property portfolio of seventeen patents pending by developing diagnostic tests and other services around one or more of the more than 500 differentially expressed protein biomarkers that Power3 believes it has discovered to date. Power3 has agreed to license all of its intellectual property on a non-exclusive basis to the CRO for selected commercial applications as well as provide certain management personnel. We will provide access to cancer samples, management and sales & marketing personnel, laboratory facilities and working capital. Subject to final negotiation, we will own a minimum of 60% and up to 80% of the new CRO venture.

As part of the agreement, we provided \$200,000 of working capital to Power3 by purchasing a convertible debenture on April 17, 2007 pursuant to a Securities Purchase Agreement (the “Purchase Agreement”) between us and Power3. The debenture has a term of two years and a 6% per annum interest rate which is payable quarterly on the last calendar day of each quarter. We were also granted two options to increase our stake in Power3 to up to 60% of Power3’s fully diluted shares. The first option (the “First Option”) is a fixed option to purchase convertible preferred stock of Power3 that is convertible into such number of shares of Power3 Common Stock, in one or more transactions, up to 20% of Power3’s voting Common Stock at a purchase price per share, which will also equal the initial conversion price per share, equal to the lesser of (a) \$0.20 per share, or (b) \$20,000,000 divided by the fully-diluted shares outstanding on the date of the exercise of the First Option. This First Option is exercisable for a period starting on the date of purchase of the convertible debenture by NeoGenomics and extending until the day which is the later of (y) November 16, 2007 or (z) the date that certain milestones specified in the agreement have been achieved. As of June 30, 2008, the milestones described in the letter agreement had not been met. The First Option is exercisable in cash or NeoGenomics Common Stock at our option, provided, however, that we must include at least \$1.0 million of cash in the consideration if we elect to exercise this First Option. The second option (the “Second Option”), which is only exercisable to the extent that we have exercised the First Option, provides that we will have the option to increase our stake in Power3 to up to 60% of fully diluted shares of Power3 over the twelve month period beginning on the expiration date of the First Option in one or a series of transactions by purchasing additional convertible preferred stock of Power3 that is convertible into voting Common Stock and the right to receive additional warrants. The purchase price per share, and the initial conversion price of the Second Option convertible preferred stock will, to the extent such Second Option is exercised within six months of exercise of the First Option, be the lesser of (a) \$0.40 per share or (b) \$40,000,000 divided by the fully diluted shares outstanding on the date of exercise of the Second Option. The purchase price per share, and the initial conversion price of the Second Option convertible preferred stock will, to the extent such Second Option is exercised after six months, but within twelve months of exercise of the First Option,

be the lesser of (y) \$0.50 per share or (z) an equity price per share equal to \$50,000,000 divided by the fully diluted shares outstanding on the date of any purchase. The exercise price of the Second Option may be paid in cash or in any combination of cash and our Common Stock at our option.

As of June 30, 2008, the parties were engaged in good faith negotiations to clarify and amend certain terms of the Letter Agreement. Until such time as an agreement can be reached with Power3 modifying the original terms of the Letter Agreement, it is the position of NeoGenomics that Power3 has not yet met the milestones outlined in the original agreement and, as a result, the First and Second Options are still valid.

The convertible debenture, because it is convertible into restricted shares of stock, is recorded under the fair value method at its initial cost of \$200,000 if the stock price of Power3 is less than \$0.20 per share or at fair value if the stock price of Power3 is greater than \$0.20 per share. As of June 30, 2008, the stock price of Power3 was less than \$0.20 per share and, accordingly, the convertible debenture is carried at cost and is included in Other Assets.

END OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The following discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, and the Notes thereto included herein. The information contained below includes statements of the Company's or management's beliefs, expectations, hopes, goals and plans that, if not historical, are forward-looking statements subject to certain risks and uncertainties that could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated in the forward-looking statements. For a discussion on forward-looking statements, see the information set forth in the Introductory Note to this Quarterly Report under the caption "Forward Looking Statements", which information is incorporated herein by reference.

Critical Accounting Policies

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires us to make estimates and assumptions and select accounting policies that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements, as well as the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

While many operational aspects of our business are subject to complex federal, state and local regulations, the accounting for our business is generally straightforward with net revenues primarily recognized upon completion of the testing process. Our revenues are primarily comprised of a high volume of relatively low dollar transactions, and about one-half of total operating costs and expenses consist of employee compensation and benefits. Due to the nature of our business, several of our accounting policies involve significant estimates and judgments. These accounting policies have been described in our Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2007, and there have been no material changes in the six months ended June 30, 2008.

Overview

NeoGenomics operates a network of cancer testing laboratories that specifically target the rapidly growing genetic and molecular testing segment of the medical laboratory industry. We currently operate in three laboratory locations: Fort Myers, Florida, Nashville, Tennessee and Irvine, California. We currently offer throughout the United States the following types of testing services to oncologists, pathologists, urologists, hospitals, and other laboratories: a) cytogenetics testing, which analyzes human chromosomes, b) Fluorescence In-Situ Hybridization (FISH) testing, which analyzes abnormalities at the chromosome and gene levels, c) flow cytometry testing services, which analyzes gene expression of specific markers inside cells and on cell surfaces, d) morphological testing, which analyzes cellular structures and e) molecular testing which involves analysis of DNA and RNA and prediction of the clinical significance of various cancers. All of these testing services are widely used in the diagnosis and prognosis of various types of cancer.

Our common stock is listed on the NASDAQ Over-the-Counter Bulletin Board (the "OTCBB") under the symbol "NGNM."

Results of Operations for the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2008 as Compared to the Three and Six Months Ended June 30, 2007

Revenue

Revenues increased 108%, or \$2.5 million, to \$4.9 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$2.4 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, revenues increased

97%, or \$4.5 million, to \$9.0 million as compared to \$4.6 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in revenues for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in the prior year was primarily attributable to increases in case and testing volume resulting from wide acceptance of our bundled testing product offering and our industry leading turnaround times, which has resulted in new customers.

Test volume increased 76%, or 3,424, to 7,906 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 4,482 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, test volume increased 69%, or 5,987, to 14,655 as compared to 8,678 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. Average revenue per test increased 18%, or \$94.44 to \$617.43 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$522.99 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, average revenue per test increased 17% or \$88.17 to \$616.72 as compared to \$528.54 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in average revenue per test is primarily attributable to an increase in certain Medicare reimbursements for 2008, and a modest increase in our test mix of flow cytometry testing, which has the highest reimbursement rate of any test we offer. Revenues per test are a function of both the nature of the test and the payer (Medicare, Medicaid, third party insurer, institutional client etc.).

Our policy is to record as revenue the amounts that we expect to collect based on published or contracted amounts and/or prior experience with the payer. We have established a reserve for uncollectible amounts based on estimates of what we will collect from a) third-party payers with whom we do not have a contractual arrangement or sufficient experience to accurately estimate the amount of reimbursement we will receive, b) co-payments directly from patients, and c) those procedures that are not covered by insurance or other third party payers. The Company's allowance for doubtful accounts decreased 5.8%, or approximately \$24,000 to \$391,000, as compared to \$415,000 at December 31, 2007. The allowance for doubtful accounts was approximately 9.7% and 11.4% of accounts receivables on June 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. This decrease is primarily attributed to our new billing system that went live in the later part of the first quarter, and reflects the fact that we have resolved most of the billing issues we discussed in our December 31, 2007 Form 10-KSB and our previous quarterly report on Form 10-Q for the period ended March 31, 2008. We expect to return to an allowance level equal to 6%-7% of our gross receivables by the end of the year, as we continue to pursue claims that are greater than 150 days outstanding from our old billing system.

Cost of Revenue

Cost of revenue includes payroll and payroll related costs for performing tests, depreciation of laboratory equipment, rent for laboratory facilities, laboratory reagents, probes and supplies, and delivery and courier costs relating to the transportation of specimens to be tested.

Cost of revenue increased 87%, or \$1.0 million, to \$2.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, cost of revenue increased 92%, or \$1.9 million, to \$4.0 million as compared to \$2.1 million for the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increase in cost of revenue for the three and six month periods ended June 30, 2008, as compared to the same periods in the prior year was primarily attributable to increases in all areas of costs of revenue as the Company scaled its operations in order meet increasing demand. Cost of revenue as a percentage of revenue decreased to 44.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 49.7% for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 cost of revenue as a percentage of sales decreased to 44.7% as compared to 45.8% for the six months ended June 30, 2007.

Accordingly, this resulted in gross margin increasing to 55.3% and 55.3% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, as compared to gross margin of 50.3% and 54.2% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. The increase in gross margins is primarily attributable to the Company achieving economies of scale with volume increases. We anticipate that gross margins will continue at or near these levels as we more effectively utilize our capacity.

General and Administrative Expenses

General and administrative expenses increased 24%, or \$497,000, to \$2.6 million for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$2.1 million for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 general and administrative expenses increased 45%, or \$1.6 million, to \$5.1 million as compared to \$3.5 million for

the six months ended June 30, 2007. The increases in general and administrative expenses are primarily a result of adding sales and marketing personnel as well as corporate personnel to generate and support revenue growth. We anticipate general and administrative expenses will continue to grow as a result of our expected revenue growth. However, we expect these expenses to decline as a percentage of revenue as our infrastructure costs stabilize.

General and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 52% for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to 88% for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 general and administrative expenses as a percentage of revenue decreased to 56% as compared to 76% for the six months ended June 30, 2007. These decreases as compared to the same periods last year were primarily a result of greater economies of scale in our business from spreading our wage expense over a greater revenue base as well as a decrease in professional fees as a result of settling the litigation with US Labs earlier this year.

Bad debt expense increased 132%, or \$222,000, to \$390,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$168,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 bad debt expense increased 193%, or \$537,000 to \$815,000 as compared to \$278,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. This increase was a result of the significant increases in revenue and to a lesser extent, from the issues with our old billing system, as noted in the revenue section above and in our December 31, 2007 Form 10-KSB and our March 31, 2008 Form 10-Q. Bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue was 8% and 9% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008, respectively, as compared to 7% and 6% for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007, respectively. Even though bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue increased as compared to the same periods last year, on a sequential basis, bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue continues to fall. For the three months ended March 31, 2008, bad debt expense was 10% of revenues and for the three months ended December 31, 2007, it was 13%. We believe that these sequential decreases demonstrate that our billing issues, which peaked towards the end of last year, are now behind us, and we expect that bad debt expense as a percentage of revenue to continue to decrease and settle at normal historical levels of 5%-7% of revenue.

Interest Expense, net

Interest expense net, which primarily represents interest on borrowing arrangements, decreased 25%, or \$24,000 to \$69,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to \$93,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2007. For the six months ended June 30, 2008 interest expense, net decreased 35%, or \$67,000 to \$124,000 as compared to \$191,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. This decrease is primarily a result of a greater amount of indebtedness outstanding during the comparable periods last year as compared to this year. Interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2008 is related to our new credit facility with Capital Source, while interest expense for the three and six months ended June 30, 2007 was related to our previous credit facility with Aspen Select Healthcare, which had a higher average balance.

Net Income (Loss)

As a result of the foregoing, we reported net income of \$72,000 for the three months ended June 30, 2008 as compared to a net loss of (\$973,000) for the three months ended June 30, 2007, an improvement of over \$1 million. For the six months ended June 30, 2008, we reported a net loss of (\$193,000) as compared to a net loss of (\$1.2 million) for the six months ended June 30, 2007, an improvement of almost \$1 million.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

During the six months ended June 30, 2008, our operating activities used approximately \$522,000 in cash compared with approximately \$1,568,000 used in the six months ended June 30, 2007. We invested approximately \$171,000 on new equipment during the six months ended June 30, 2008, compared with approximately \$421,000 for the six months ended June 30, 2007. At March 31, 2008 and March 31, 2007, we had cash and cash equivalents of approximately \$330,358 and \$575,393, respectively. As of June 30, 2008, we had approximately \$442,000 in cash on hand and \$589,000 of availability under the Credit Facility. At the present time, we anticipate that based on i) our current business plan and operations, ii) our existing cash balances, and iii) the availability of our accounts receivable line with CapitalSource, we will have adequate cash for at least the next twelve months. This estimate of our cash needs does not include any additional funding which may be required for growth in our business beyond that which is

planned, strategic transactions, or acquisitions. In the event that the Company grows faster than we currently anticipate or we engage in strategic transactions or acquisitions and our cash on hand and/or our availability under the CapitalSource Credit Facility is not sufficient to meet our financing needs, we may need to raise additional capital from other resources. In such event, the Company may not be able to obtain such funding on attractive terms, or at all, and the Company may be required to curtail its operations. In the event that we do need to raise additional capital, we would seek to raise this additional money through issuing a combination of debt and/or equity securities primarily through banks and/or other large institutional investors. At June 30, 2008, we had stockholders' equity of \$2,331,023.

Capital Expenditures

We currently forecast capital expenditures in order to execute on our business plan. The amount and timing of such capital expenditures will be determined by the volume of business, but we currently anticipate that we will need to purchase approximately \$1.5 million to \$2.0 million of additional capital equipment during the next twelve months. We plan to fund these expenditures through capital lease financing arrangements. If we are unable to obtain such funding, we will need to pay cash for these items or we will be required to curtail our equipment purchases, which may have an impact on our ability to continue to grow our revenues.

ITEM 3 – Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide information under this item.

ITEM 4 – Controls and Procedures

Disclosure Controls and Procedures

We maintain disclosure controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed in reports filed under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, is recorded, processed, summarized, and reported to our management, including our Principal Executive Officer, Principal Financial Officer, and Principal Accounting Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Based on our evaluation completed as of December 31, 2007, our Principal Executive Officer and Principal Financial Officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures as of March 31, 2008, had material weaknesses that caused our controls and procedures to be ineffective. As detailed in the Company's Form 10-KSB for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, these weaknesses consisted of the lack of a formal anti-fraud program, inadequate controls over financial software systems and high risk spreadsheets, and proper controls over the timely resubmission of insurance claims. Since then we have remedied our controls over timely resubmission of insurance claims as of June 30, 2008. There have been no significant changes to our controls or other factors that could significantly affect internal controls subsequent to the period covered by this Quarterly Report.

A control system, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable, not absolute, assurance that the control system's objectives will be met. Further, the design of a control system must reflect the fact that there are resource constraints, and the benefits of controls must be considered relative to their costs. Because of the inherent limitations in all control systems, no evaluation of controls can provide absolute assurance that all control issues and instances of fraud, if any, within our company have been detected. These inherent limitations include the realities that judgments in decision-making can be faulty, and that breakdowns can occur because of simple errors or mistakes. Controls can also be circumvented by the individual acts of some persons, by collusion of two or more people, or by management override of the controls. The design of any system of controls is based in part upon certain assumptions about the likelihood of future events, and there can be no assurance that any design will succeed in achieving its stated goals under all potential future conditions. Over time, controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions or deterioration in the degree of compliance with our policies or procedures. Because of the inherent limitations in a cost-effective control system, misstatements due to error or fraud may occur and not be detected. We continuously evaluate our internal controls and make changes to improve them.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the last fiscal quarter that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

ITEM 4T – Controls and Procedures

Not applicable.

PART II – OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 1 – LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

US Labs Settlement

On October 26, 2006, Accupath Diagnostics Laboratories, Inc. d/b/a US Labs, a California corporation (“US Labs”) filed a complaint in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Los Angeles (entitled Accupath Diagnostics Laboratories, Inc. v. NeoGenomics, Inc., et al., Case No. BC 360985) (the “Lawsuit”) against the Company and Robert Gasparini, as an individual, and certain other employees and non-employees of NeoGenomics (the “Defendants”) with respect to claims arising from discussions with current and former employees of US Labs. On March 18, 2008, we reached a preliminary agreement to settle US Labs' claims, and in accordance with SFAS No. 5, *Accounting For Contingencies*, as of December 31, 2007 we accrued a \$375,000 loss contingency, which consisted of \$250,000 to provide for the Company's expected share of this settlement, and \$125,000 to provide for the Company's share of the estimated legal fees up to the date of settlement.

On April 23, 2008, the Company and US Labs entered into a Settlement Agreement and Release (the "Settlement Agreement") whereby both parties agreed to settle and resolve all claims asserted in and arising out of the aforementioned lawsuit. Pursuant to the Settlement Agreement, the Defendants are required to pay \$500,000 to US Labs, of which \$250,000 was paid with funds from the Company's insurance carrier in May 2008 and the remaining \$250,000 shall be paid by the Company in equal installments of \$31,250 commencing on May 31, 2008. Under the terms of the Settlement Agreement, there are certain provisions agreed to in the event of default. As of June 30, 2008 the remaining amount due was \$187,500, and no events of default had occurred.

ITEM 1A – RISK FACTORS

We are a smaller reporting company as defined by Rule 12b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and are not required to provide information under this item.

ITEM 2 – UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

Not Applicable

ITEM 3 – DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES

Not Applicable

ITEM 4 – SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

Not Applicable

ITEM 5 – OTHER INFORMATION

Not Applicable

ITEM 6 - EXHIBITS

| EXHIBIT NO. | DESCRIPTION | FILING REFERENCE |
|--------------------|---|-------------------------|
| 3.1 | Articles of Incorporation, as amended | (i) |
| 3.2 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on January 3, 2003. | (ii) |
| 3.3 | Amendment to Articles of Incorporation filed with the Nevada Secretary of State on April 11, 2003. | (ii) |
| 3.4 | Amended and Restated Bylaws, dated April 15, 2003. | (ii) |
| 10.1 | Amended and Restated Loan Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P., dated March 30, 2006 | (iii) |
| 10.2 | Amended and Restated Registration Rights Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P. and individuals dated March 23, 2005 | (iv) |
| 10.3 | Guaranty of NeoGenomics, Inc., dated March 23, 2005 | (iv) |
| 10.4 | Stock Pledge Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P., dated March 23, 2005 | (iv) |
| 10.5 | Warrants issued to Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P., dated March 23, 2005 | (iv) |
| 10.6 | Securities Equity Distribution Agreement with Yorkville Advisors, LLC (f/k/a Cornell Capital Partners, L.P.) dated June 6, 2005 | (iv) |
| 10.7 | Employment Agreement, dated December 14, 2005, between Mr. Robert P. Gasparini and the Company | (v) |
| 10.8 | Standby Equity Distribution Agreement with Yorkville Advisors, LLC (f/k/a Cornell Capital Partners, L.P.) dated June 6, 2005 | (vi) |
| 10.9 | Registration Rights Agreement with Yorkville Advisors, LLC (f/k/a Cornell Capital Partners, L.P.)Capital partners, L.P. related to the Standby Equity Distribution dated June 6, 2005 | (vi) |
| 10.10 | Placement Agent with Spartan Securities Group, Ltd., related to the Standby Equity Distribution dated June 6, 2005 | (vi) |
| 10.11 | Amended and restated Loan Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P., dated March 30, 2006 | (iii) |
| 10.12 | | (iii) |

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form 10-Q

Amended and Restated Warrant Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P., dated January 21, 2006

- | | | |
|--------------|---|-------|
| 10.13 | Amended and Restated Security Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P., dated March 30, 2006 | (iii) |
| 10.14 | Registration Rights Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P., dated March 30, 2006 | (iii) |
| 10.15 | Warrant Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and SKL Family Limited Partnership, L.P. issued January 23, 2006 | (iii) |

18

Edgar Filing: NEOGENOMICS INC - Form 10-Q

| | | |
|--------------|--|---------------------|
| 10.16 | Warrant Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P. issued March 14, 2006 | (iii) |
| 10.17 | Warrant Agreement between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Aspen Select Healthcare, L.P. issued March 30, 2006 | (iii) |
| 10.18 | Agreement with Power3 Medical Products, Inc regarding the Formation of Joint Venture & Issuance of Convertible Debenture and Related Securities | (vii) |
| 10.19 | Securities Purchase Agreement by and between NeoGenomics, Inc. and Power3 Medical Products, Inc. | (viii) |
| 10.20 | Power3 Medical Products, Inc. Convertible Debenture | (viii) |
| 10.21 | Agreement between NeoGenomics and Noble International Investments, Inc. | (xiv) |
| 10.22 | Subscription Document | (xiv) |
| 10.23 | Investor Registration Rights Agreement | (xiv) |
| 10.24 | Revolving Credit and Security Agreement, dated February 1, 2008, by and between NeoGenomics, Inc., the Nevada corporation, NeoGenomics, Inc., the Florida corporation and CapitalSource Finance LLC | (xii) |
| 10.25 | Employment Agreement, dated March 12, 2008, between Mr. Robert P. Gasparini and the Company | (xiii) |
| 10.26 | Employment Agreement, dated June 24, 2008, between Mr. Jerome Dvonch and the Company | (Provided herewith) |
| 31.1 | Certification by Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | (Provided herewith) |
| 31.2 | Certification by Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | (Provided herewith) |
| 31.3 | Certification by Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to 15 U.S.C. Section 7241, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | (Provided herewith) |
| 32.1 | Certification by Principal Executive Office, Principal Financial Officer and Principal Accounting Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 | (Provided herewith) |

Footnotes

- (i) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration Statement on Form SB-2, filed February 10, 1999.
- (ii) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2002, filed May 20, 2003.
- (iii) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2005, filed April 3, 2006.
- (iv) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Report on Form 8-K, filed March 30, 2005.

- (v) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2004, filed April 15, 2005.
- (vi) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Report on Form 8-K for the SEC filed June 8, 2005.
- (vii) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2006 filed April 2, 2007 amended on Form 10-K/A filed September 11, 2007.
- (viii) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended March 31, 2007, filed May 15, 2007.
- (ix) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Registration statement on Form SB-2 filed July 6, 2007, amended on Form SB-2/A filed July 12, 2007 and amended on Form SB-2/A filed September 14, 2007.
- (x) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended June 30, 2007, filed August 17, 2007.
- (xi) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Quarterly Report on Form 10-QSB for the quarter ended September 30, 2007, filed November 19, 2007.
- (xii) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Report on Form 8-K for the SEC filed February 7, 2008.
- (xiii) Incorporated by reference to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-KSB for the year ended December 31, 2007 filed April 14, 2008

SIGNATURES

In accordance with Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act, the registrant has caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

Date: August 13, 2008

NEOGENOMICS, INC.

By: */s/ Robert P. Gasparini*
Name: Robert P. Gasparini
Title: President and Principal Executive Officer

By: */s/ Steven C. Jones*
Name: Steven C. Jones
Title: Acting Principal Financial Officer and
Director

By: */s/ Jerome J. Dvonch*
Name: Jerome J. Dvonch
Title: Principal Accounting Officer