DOLLAR GENERAL CORP Form 10-Q August 27, 2015

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 10-Q

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended July 31, 2015

Commission File Number: <u>001-11421</u>

DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION

(Exact name of Registrant as specified in its charter)

TENNESSEE

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

61-0502302

(I.R.S. Employer Identification No.)

100 MISSION RIDGE GOODLETTSVILLE, TN 37072

(Address of principal executive offices, zip code)

Registrant s telephone number, including area code: (615) 855-4000

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the Registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes x No o

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of large accelerated filer, accelerated filer, and smaller reporting company in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Accelerated filer O

Large accelerated filer X

Non-accelerated filer O Smaller reporting company O

Indicate by check mark whether the Registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes o No x

The registrant had 294,659,578 shares of common stock outstanding on August $21,\,2015$.

PART I FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS.

DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

(In thousands)

		July 31, 2015 (Unaudited)		January 30, 2015 (see Note 1)
ASSETS				
Current assets:			_	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$	180,525	\$	579,823
Merchandise inventories		3,029,731		2,782,521
Income taxes receivable		14,646		450.045
Prepaid expenses and other current assets		199,945		170,265
Total current assets		3,424,847		3,532,609
Net property and equipment		2,195,857		2,116,075
Goodwill		4,338,589		4,338,589
Other intangible assets, net		1,201,241		1,201,870
Other assets, net		34,661		34,961
Total assets	\$	11,195,195	\$	11,224,104
A LA DIA ATTICA A NID CHA DELICA DEDICA DOLLARIA				
LIABILITIES AND SHAREHOLDERS EQUITY				
Current liabilities:	Φ.	101.225	ф	101 150
Current portion of long-term obligations	\$	101,335	\$	101,158
Accounts payable		1,536,610		1,388,154
Accrued expenses and other		443,164		413,760
Income taxes payable		41,348		59,400
Deferred income taxes		32,306		25,268
Total current liabilities		2,154,763		1,987,740
Long-term obligations		2,761,794		2,639,427
Deferred income taxes		578,084		601,590
Other liabilities		281,620		285,309
Commitments and contingencies				
Shareholders equity:				
Preferred stock				
Common stock		257,968		265,514
Additional paid-in capital		3,085,637		3,048,806
Retained earnings		2,081,543		2,403,045
Accumulated other comprehensive loss		(6,214)		(7,327)
Total shareholders equity		5,418,934		5,710,038
Total liabilities and shareholders equity	\$	11,195,195	\$	11,224,104

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In thousands, except per share amounts)

	For the 13 v	weeks e	nded	For the 26 weeks ended				
	July 31, 2015		August 1, 2014	July 31, 2015		August 1, 2014		
Net sales	\$ 5,095,904	\$	4,724,039	\$ 10,014,576	\$	9,246,120		
Cost of goods sold	3,507,749		3,268,465	6,927,716		6,432,800		
Gross profit	1,588,155		1,455,574	3,086,860		2,813,320		
Selling, general and administrative expenses	1,112,343		1,027,048	2,182,854		2,005,086		
Operating profit	475,812		428,526	904,006		808,234		
Interest expense	20,699		22,598	42,275		44,865		
Income before income taxes	455,113		405,928	861,731		763,369		
Income tax expense	172,764		154,668	326,147		289,711		
Net income	\$ 282,349	\$	251,260	\$ 535,584	\$	473,658		
Earnings per share:								
Basic	\$ 0.95	\$	0.83	\$ 1.79	\$	1.55		
Diluted	\$ 0.95	\$	0.83	\$ 1.79	\$	1.54		
Weighted average shares outstanding:								
Basic	295,679		303,015	298,440		306,173		
Diluted	296,528		303,888	299,308		307,091		
Dividends per share	\$ 0.22	\$		\$ 0.44	\$			

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	For the 13 weeks ended					For the 26 weeks ended			
		July 31, 2015		August 1, 2014		July 31, 2015		August 1, 2014	
Net income	\$	282,349	\$	251,260	\$	535,584	\$	473,658	
Unrealized net gain (loss) on hedged transactions, net of related income tax expense (benefit) of \$238, \$450, \$719, and \$718, respectively.		355		704		1 112		1,102	
respectively		333		/04		1,113		1,102	
Comprehensive income	\$	282,704	\$	251,964	\$	536,697	\$	474,760	

 $See\ notes\ to\ condensed\ consolidated\ financial\ statements.$

DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

(Unaudited)

(In thousands)

	For the 26 v	veeks en	eks ended		
	July 31, 2015		August 1, 2014		
Cash flows from operating activities:					
Net income	\$ 535,584	\$	473,658		
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash from operating activities:					
Depreciation and amortization	174,734		169,498		
Deferred income taxes	(32,680)		(38,880)		
Tax benefit of share-based awards	(27,929)		(10,994)		
Noncash share-based compensation	19,642		18,320		
Other noncash (gains) and losses	7,734		3,539		
Change in operating assets and liabilities:					
Merchandise inventories	(246,793)		(235,890)		
Prepaid expenses and other current assets	(30,754)		(29,055)		
Accounts payable	133,615		104,382		
Accrued expenses and other liabilities	29,237		61,977		
Income taxes	(4,769)		(28,469)		
Other	(569)		(1,162)		
Net cash provided by (used in) operating activities	557,052		486,924		
Cash flows from investing activities:					
Purchases of property and equipment	(247,051)		(191,414)		
Proceeds from sales of property and equipment	257		692		
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(246,794)		(190,722)		
Cash flows from financing activities:					
Repayments of long-term obligations	(50,605)		(26,672)		
Borrowings under revolving credit facilities	445,100		972.000		
Repayments of borrowings under revolving credit facilities	(272,100)		(782,000)		
Repurchases of common stock	(734,334)		(800,095)		
Payments of cash dividends	(131,204)		(000,070)		
Other equity and related transactions	5,658		(3,521)		
Tax benefit of share-based awards	27,929		10,994		
Net cash provided by (used in) financing activities	(709,556)		(629,294)		
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(399,298)		(333,092)		
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	579,823		505,566		
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 180,525	\$	172,474		
Supplemental schedule of non-cash investing and financing activities:					
Purchases of property and equipment awaiting processing for payment, included in					
Accounts payable	\$ 46,427	\$	31,996		

See notes to condensed consolidated financial statements.

DOLLAR GENERAL CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

1. Basis of presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of Dollar General Corporation and its subsidiaries (the Company) have been prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America (U.S. GAAP) for interim financial information and are presented in accordance with the requirements of Form 10-Q and Rule 10-01 of Regulation S-X. Such financial statements consequently do not include all of the disclosures normally required by U.S. GAAP for annual financial statements or those normally made in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K, including the condensed consolidated balance sheet as of January 30, 2015 which has been derived from the audited consolidated financial statements at that date. Accordingly, readers of this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q should refer to the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended January 30, 2015 for additional information.

The Company s fiscal year ends on the Friday closest to January 31. Unless the context requires otherwise, references to years contained herein pertain to the Company s fiscal year. The Company s 2015 fiscal year is scheduled to be a 52-week accounting period ending on January 29, 2016, and the 2014 fiscal year was a 52-week accounting period that ended on January 30, 2015.

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with the Company s customary accounting practices. In management s opinion, all adjustments (which are of a normal recurring nature) necessary for a fair presentation of the consolidated financial position as of July 31, 2015 and results of operations for the 13-week and 26-week accounting periods ended July 31, 2015 and August 1, 2014 have been made.

The preparation of financial statements and related disclosures in conformity with U.S. GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The Company uses the last-in, first-out (LIFO) method of valuing inventory. An actual valuation of inventory under the LIFO method is made at the end of each year based on the inventory levels and costs at that time. Accordingly, interim LIFO calculations are based on management s estimates of expected year-end inventory levels, sales for the year and the expected rate of inflation or deflation for the year. The interim LIFO calculations are subject to adjustment in the final year-end LIFO inventory valuation. The Company recorded a LIFO provision (benefit) of \$(1.0) million and \$0.8 million in the respective 13-week periods, and \$(0.6) million and \$0.9 million in the respective 26-week periods, ended July 31, 2015 and August 1, 2014. In addition, ongoing estimates of inventory shrinkage and initial markups and markdowns are included in the interim cost of goods sold calculation. Because the Company s

business is moderately seasonal, the results for interim periods are not necessarily indicative of the results to be expected for the entire year.

In May 2014, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) issued comprehensive new accounting standards related to the recognition of revenue, which specified an effective date for annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2016, with early adoption not permitted. In August 2015, the FASB extended the effective date to annual reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2017. The new guidance allows for companies to use either a full retrospective or a modified retrospective approach in the adoption of this guidance, and the Company is evaluating these transition approaches. The Company expects to adopt this guidance in the first quarter of the fiscal year following its effective date and is currently in the process of evaluating the effect of adoption on its consolidated financial statements.

2. Earnings per share

Earnings per share is computed as follows (in thousands, except per share data):

		13 Wee	ks Ended July 31,			13 Weeks Ended August 1, 2014					
	Net			Per Share			Net		F	Per Share	
		Income	Shares		Amount		Income	Shares		Amount	
Basic earnings per share	\$	282,349	295,679	\$	0.95	\$	251,260	303,015	\$	0.83	
Effect of dilutive share-based											
awards			849					873			
Diluted earnings per share	\$	282,349	296,528	\$	0.95	\$	251,260	303,888	\$	0.83	

	26 Weeks Ended July 31, 2015						26 Weeks Ended August 1, 2014					
	Net			Per Share			Net		Pe	r Share		
		Income	Shares	A	Amount		Income	Shares	A	mount		
Basic earnings per share	\$	535,584	298,440	\$	1.79	\$	473,658	306,173	\$	1.55		
Effect of dilutive share-based												
awards			868					918				
Diluted earnings per share	\$	535,584	299,308	\$	1.79	\$	473,658	307,091	\$	1.54		

Basic earnings per share is computed by dividing net income by the weighted average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period. Diluted earnings per share is determined based on the dilutive effect of share-based awards using the treasury stock method.

Share-based awards that were outstanding at the end of the respective periods, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per share because the effect of exercising such awards would be antidilutive, were 1.0 million and 1.7 million in the 2015 and 2014 13-week periods, respectively, and were 1.1 million and 1.6 million in the 2015 and 2014 26-week periods, respectively.

3. Income taxes

Under the accounting standards for income taxes, the asset and liability method is used for computing the future income tax consequences of events that have been recognized in the Company s consolidated financial statements or income tax returns.

Income tax reserves are determined using the methodology established by accounting standards for income taxes which require companies to assess each income tax position taken using the following two-step approach. A determination is first made as to whether it is more likely than not that the position will be sustained, based upon the technical merits, upon examination by the taxing authorities. If the tax position is expected to meet the more likely than not criteria, the benefit recorded for the tax position equals the largest amount that is greater than 50% likely to be realized upon ultimate settlement of the respective tax position.

The Internal Revenue Service (IRS) has previously examined the Company s 2009 and earlier federal income tax returns. As a result, the 2009 and earlier tax years are not open for further examination by the IRS. Due to the filing of an amended federal income tax return for the 2010 tax year, the IRS may, to a limited extent, examine the Company s 2010 income tax filings. The IRS, at its discretion, may also choose to examine the Company s 2011 through 2014 fiscal year income tax filings. The Company has various state income tax examinations that are currently in progress. Generally, the Company s 2010 and later tax years remain open for examination by the various state taxing authorities.

As of July 31, 2015, the total reserves for uncertain tax benefits, interest expense related to income taxes and potential income tax penalties were \$9.4 million, \$1.1 million and \$0.5 million, respectively, for a total of \$11.0 million. This total amount is reflected in noncurrent Other liabilities in the condensed consolidated balance sheet.

The Company believes it is reasonably possible that the reserve for uncertain tax positions may be reduced by approximately \$3.0 million in the coming twelve months principally as a result of the effective settlement of uncertain tax positions. As of July 31, 2015, approximately \$9.4 million of the reserve for uncertain tax positions would impact the Company s effective income tax rate if the Company were to recognize the tax benefit for these positions.

The effective income tax rates for the 13-week and 26-week periods ended July 31, 2015 were 38.0% and 37.8%, respectively, compared to rates of 38.1% and 38.0%, respectively, for the 13-week and 26-week periods ended August 1, 2014. Both the 13-week and 26-week effective income tax rates decreased primarily due to favorable changes in state income tax laws.

4. Current and long-term obligations

The Company s senior unsecured credit facilities (the Facilities) consist of a senior unsecured term loan facility (the Term Facility), which had a balance of \$875.0 million at July 31, 2015, and an \$850.0 million senior unsecured revolving credit facility (the Revolving Facility), which provides for the issuance of letters of credit up to \$250.0 million. The Term Facility amortizes in quarterly installments of \$25.0 million. The Facilities are scheduled to mature on April 11, 2018.

Under the Revolving Facility as of July 31, 2015, there were outstanding borrowings of \$173.0 million, outstanding standby letters of credit totaling \$30.6 million, and borrowing

availability of \$646.4 million. In addition, the Company had additional outstanding commercial letters of credit totaling \$11.5 million outside the Revolving Facility.

5. Assets and liabilities measured at fair value

Fair value is a market-based measurement, not an entity-specific measurement. Therefore, a fair value measurement should be determined based on the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the asset or liability. As a basis for considering market participant assumptions in fair value measurements, fair value accounting standards establish a fair value hierarchy that distinguishes between market participant assumptions based on market data obtained from sources independent of the reporting entity (observable inputs that are classified within Levels 1 and 2 of the hierarchy) and the reporting entity sown assumptions about market participant assumptions (unobservable inputs classified within Level 3 of the hierarchy).

In connection with accounting standards for fair value measurement, the Company has made an accounting policy election to measure the credit risk of any derivative financial instruments that are subject to master netting agreements on a net basis by counterparty portfolio. When applicable, the Company has determined that the majority of the inputs used to value derivative financial instruments using the income approach fall within Level 2 of the fair value hierarchy. However, the credit valuation adjustments associated with derivatives may utilize Level 3 inputs, such as estimates of current credit spreads to evaluate the likelihood of default by the Company and its counterparties. Historically, the credit valuation adjustments have not been significant to the overall valuation of the Company s derivative positions. The Company does not have any fair value measurements categorized within Level 3 as of July 31, 2015.

(in thousands)	-	puoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets and Liabilities (Level 1)	Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)	Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)	Balance at July 31, 2015
Liabilities:					
Long-term obligations (a)					
	\$	1,775,824	\$ 1,060,251	\$	\$ 2,836,075
Deferred compensation (b)		24,483			24,483

⁽a) Reflected at book value in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as Current portion of long-term obligations of \$101,335 and Long-term obligations of \$2,761,794.

6. Derivatives and hedging activities

The Company records all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. The accounting for changes in the fair value of derivatives depends on the intended use of the derivative, whether the Company has elected to designate a derivative in a hedging relationship and apply hedge

⁽b) Reflected at fair value in the condensed consolidated balance sheet as Accrued expenses and other current liabilities of \$5,663 and noncurrent Other liabilities of \$18,820.

accounting and whether the hedging relationship has satisfied the criteria necessary to apply hedge accounting. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to changes in the fair value of an asset, liability, or firm commitment attributable to a particular risk, such as

interest rate risk, are considered fair value hedges. Derivatives designated and qualifying as a hedge of the exposure to variability in expected future cash flows, or other types of forecasted transactions, are considered cash flow hedges. Derivatives may also be designated as hedges of the foreign currency exposure of a net investment in a foreign operation. Hedge accounting generally provides for the matching of the timing of gain or loss recognition on the hedging instrument with the recognition of the changes in the fair value of the hedged asset or liability that are attributable to the hedged risk in a fair value hedge or the earnings effect of the hedged forecasted transactions in a cash flow hedge.

The Company may enter into derivative contracts that are intended to economically hedge a certain portion of its risk, even though hedge accounting does not apply or the Company elects not to apply the hedge accounting standards. Changes in the fair value of such derivatives are recorded directly in earnings.

Risk management objective of using derivatives

The Company is exposed to certain risks arising from both its business operations and economic conditions. The Company principally manages its exposures to a wide variety of business and operational risks through management of its core business activities. The Company manages economic risks, including interest rate, liquidity, and credit risk, primarily by managing the amount, sources, and duration of its debt funding and, from time to time, the use of derivative financial instruments. Specifically, the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments to manage exposures that arise from business activities that result in the receipt or payment of future known and uncertain cash amounts, the value of which are determined primarily by interest rates.

In addition, the Company is exposed to certain risks arising from uncertainties of future market values caused by the fluctuation in the prices of commodities. From time to time the Company may enter into derivative financial instruments to protect against future price changes related to these commodity prices.

Cash flow hedges of interest rate risk

The Company s objectives when using interest rate derivatives are to add stability to interest expense and to manage its exposure to interest rate changes. To accomplish this objective, the Company has from time to time used interest rate swaps as part of its interest rate risk management strategy. Interest rate swaps designated as cash flow hedges involve the receipt of variable-rate amounts from a counterparty in exchange for the Company making fixed-rate payments over the life of the agreements without exchange of the underlying notional amount. The Company also previously entered into treasury locks that were designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk prior to its April 2013 issuance of long-term debt.

The effective portion of changes in the fair value of derivatives designated and that qualify as cash flow hedges is recorded in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) (also referred to as OCI) and is subsequently reclassified into earnings in the period that the hedged forecasted transaction affects earnings. During the 13-week and 26-week periods ended July 31, 2015 and August 1, 2014, such interest rate swaps were used to hedge the variable cash flows

associated with existing variable-rate debt. The ineffective portion of the change in fair value of the interest rate swaps, if any, is recognized directly in earnings.

The Company had interest rate swaps with a combined notional value of \$875.0 million designated as cash flow hedges of interest rate risk that expired on May 31, 2015. Amounts reported in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) related to derivatives were reclassified to interest expense as interest payments were made on the Company s variable-rate debt.

In 2013, the Company recorded a loss on the settlement of treasury locks associated with the issuance of long-term debt which was deferred to OCI and is being amortized as an increase to interest expense over the period of the debt s maturity. All of the amounts reflected in Accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) in the condensed consolidated balance sheets for the periods presented are related to cash flow hedges.

During the 52-week period following July 31, 2015, the Company estimates that approximately \$1.3 million will be reclassified as an increase to interest expense related to its previous cash flow hedges.

The table below presents the fair value of the Company s derivative financial instruments as well as their classification in the condensed consolidated balance sheets as of July 31, 2015 and January 30, 2015:

(in thousands)	July 31, 2015	Ja	nuary 30, 2015
Derivatives Designated as Hedging Instruments			
Interest rate swaps classified as Accrued expenses and other	\$	\$	1,173

The table below presents the pre-tax effect of the Company s derivative financial instruments as reflected in the condensed consolidated statements of comprehensive income for the 13-week and 26-week periods ended July 31, 2015 and August 1, 2014:

		13 Week	s Ende	d	26 Week	s Ende	d
4 4	July	*		August 1,	July 31,		August 1,
(in thousands)	201	5		2014	2015		2014
Derivatives in Cash Flow Hedging Relationships							
Loss related to effective portion of derivatives							
recognized in OCI	\$	1	\$	139	\$ 3	\$	755
Loss related to effective portion of derivatives							
reclassified from Accumulated OCI to Interest							
expense	\$	593	\$	1,294	\$ 1,834	\$	2,576

7. Commitments and contingencies

Legal proceedings

On October 31, 2014, a lawsuit entitled *Ronda Hood v. Dollar General Corporation* was filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana (Case No. 2:14-cv-02512-JTM-DEK) (Hood). In the Complaint, the *Hood* plaintiff seeks to proceed on a

10

nationwide collective basis under the Fair Labor Standards Act (FLSA) on behalf of herself and other similarly situated store managers who allegedly were improperly classified as exempt executive employees under the FLSA and seeks to recover overtime pay, liquidated damages, and attorneys fees and costs. The *Hood* plaintiff also asserts individual causes of action for alleged violations of the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Louisiana Human Rights Act, the Family Medical Leave Act, and negligent infliction of emotional distress and seeks damages for those claims including back wages, compensatory damages, liquidated and/or punitive damages, reinstatement and/or front pay, interest, and attorneys fees and costs. The Company filed its answer to the complaint on January 16, 2015.

The *Hood* plaintiff did not seek certification of her FLSA claims. Based on the current status of this matter, the Company does not believe that the outcome of this litigation is likely to have a material adverse effect on its consolidated financial statements as a whole.

In September 2011, the Chicago Regional Office of the United States Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC or Commission) notified the Company of a cause finding related to the Company s criminal background check policy. The cause finding alleges that the Company s criminal background check policy, which excludes from employment individuals with certain criminal convictions for specified periods, has a disparate impact on African-American candidates and employees in violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, as amended (Title VII).

The Company and the EEOC engaged in the statutorily required conciliation process, and despite the Company s good faith efforts to resolve the matter, the Commission notified the Company on July 26, 2012 of its view that conciliation had failed.

On June 11, 2013, the EEOC filed a lawsuit in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Illinois entitled *Equal Opportunity Commission v. Dolgencorp, LLC d/b/a Dollar General* (Case No. 1:13-cv-04307) in which the Commission alleges that the Company's criminal background check policy has a disparate impact on Black Applicants in violation of Title VII and seeks to recover monetary damages and injunctive relief on behalf of a class of Black Applicants. The Company filed its answer to the complaint on August 9, 2013.

The Court has bifurcated the issues of liability and damages for purposes of discovery and trial. Fact discovery related to liability is to be completed on or before February 16, 2016.

On July 29, 2014 and May 5, 2015, the court entered orders requiring the Company to produce certain documents, information, and electronic data for the period 2004 to present.

The Company believes that its criminal background check process is both lawful and necessary to a safe environment for its employees and customers and the protection of its assets and shareholders investments. The Company also does not believe that this matter is amenable to class or similar treatment. However, at this time, it is not possible to predict whether the action will ultimately be permitted to proceed as a class or in a similar fashion or the size of any putative class. Likewise, at this time, it is not possible to estimate the value of the claims asserted, and, therefore, the Company cannot estimate the potential exposure or range of potential loss. If the matter were to proceed successfully as a class or similar action or the

Company is unsuccessful in its defense efforts as to the merits of the action, the resolution of this matter could have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements as a whole.

On May 23, 2013, a lawsuit entitled *Juan Varela v. Dolgen California and Does 1 through 50* (Case No. RIC 1306158) (Varela) was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside. In the original complaint, the *Varela* plaintiff alleges that he and other key carriers were not provided with meal and rest periods in violation of California law and seeks to recover alleged unpaid wages, injunctive relief, consequential damages, pre-judgment interest, statutory penalties and attorneys fees and costs and seeks to represent a putative class of California key carriers as to these claims. The *Varela* plaintiff also asserts a claim for unfair business practices and seeks to proceed under California s Private Attorney General Act (the PAGA). The Company filed its answer to the complaint on July 1, 2013.

On November 4, 2014, the *Varela* plaintiff filed an amended complaint to add Victoria Lee Dinger Main as a named plaintiff and to add putative class claims on behalf of key carriers for alleged inaccurate wage statements and failure to provide appropriate pay upon termination in violation of California law. The Company filed its answer to the amended complaint on December 23, 2014. The parties have been ordered to engage in informal discovery and mediation.

On January 15, 2015, a lawsuit entitled *Kendra Pleasant v. Dollar General Corporation, Dolgen California, LLC, and Does 1 through 50* (Case No. CIVDS1500651) (Pleasant) was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of San Bernardino in which the plaintiff seeks to proceed under the PAGA for various alleged violations of California s Labor Code. Specifically, the plaintiff alleges that she and other similarly situated non-exempt California store-level employees were not paid for all time worked, provided meal and rest breaks, reimbursed for necessary work related expenses, and provided with accurate wage statements and seeks to recover unpaid wages, civil and statutory penalties, interest, attorneys fees and costs. On March 12, 2015, the Company filed a demurrer asking the court to stay all proceedings in the *Pleasant* matter pending an issuance of a final judgment in the *Varela* matter. The court granted the Company s demurrer and stayed proceedings until resolution of the *Varela* matter. Subsequently, the *Pleasant* plaintiff moved to transfer this matter to the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Riverside where the *Varela* matter is pending, which the Company opposed. The court denied the *Pleasant* plaintiff s motion to transfer.

On February 20, 2015, a lawsuit entitled *Julie Sullivan v. Dolgen California and Does 1 through 100* (Case No. RG 15759417) (Sullivan) was filed in the Superior Court of the State of California for the County of Alameda in which the plaintiff alleges that she and other similarly situated Dollar General Market store managers in the State of California were improperly classified as exempt employees and were not provided with meal and rest breaks and accurate wage statements in violation of California law. The *Sullivan* plaintiff also alleges that she and other California store employees were not provided with printed wage statements, purportedly in violation of California law. The plaintiff seeks to recover unpaid wages, including overtime pay, civil and statutory penalties, interest, injunctive relief, restitution, and attorneys fees and costs.

On April 8, 2015, the Company removed this matter to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California (Case No. 3:15-cv-01617-JD) and filed its answer on the same date. On April 29, 2015, the *Sullivan* plaintiff amended her complaint to add a claim under PAGA. The Company s response to the amended complaint was filed on May 14, 2015.

The Company believes that its policies and practices comply with California law and that the *Varela, Pleasant*, and *Sullivan* actions are not appropriate for class or similar treatment. The Company intends to vigorously defend these actions; however, at this time, it is not possible to predict whether the *Varela, Pleasant*, or *Sullivan* action ultimately will be permitted to proceed as a class, and no assurances can be given that the Company will be successful in its defense of these actions on the merits or otherwise. Similarly, at this time the Company cannot estimate either the size of any potential class or the value of the claims asserted in the *Varela, Pleasant*, or *Sullivan* action. For these reasons, the Company is unable to estimate any potential loss or range of loss in these matters; however, if the Company is not successful in its defense efforts, the resolution of any of these actions could have a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements as a whole.

From time to time, the Company is a party to various other legal actions involving claims incidental to the conduct of its business, including actions by employees, consumers, suppliers, government agencies, or others through private actions, class actions, administrative proceedings, regulatory actions or other litigation, including without limitation under federal and state employment laws and wage and hour laws. The Company believes, based upon information currently available, that such other litigation and claims, both individually and in the aggregate, will be resolved without a material adverse effect on the Company s consolidated financial statements as a whole. However, litigation involves an element of uncertainty. Future developments could cause these actions or claims to have a material adverse effect on the Company s results of operations, cash flows, or financial position. In addition, certain of these lawsuits, if decided adversely to the Company or settled by the Company, may result in liability material to the Company s financial position or may negatively affect operating results if changes to the Company s business operation are required.

8. Segment reporting

The Company manages its business on the basis of one reportable operating segment. As of July 31, 2015, all of the Company s operations were located within the United States with the exception of a Hong Kong subsidiary and a liaison office in India, which collectively are not material to the condensed consolidated financial statements. The following net sales data is presented in accordance with accounting standards related to disclosures about segments of an enterprise.

	13 Weel	ks Ende	d	26 Week	s Ended	l
(° 1)	July 31,		August 1,	July 31,		August 1,
(in thousands) Classes of similar products:	2015		2014	2015		2014
Consumables	\$ 3,867,635	\$	3,576,189	\$ 7,621,613	\$	7,021,654
Seasonal	642,525		593,596	1,228,818		1,135,028
Home products	304,305		285,428	607,329		569,025
Apparel	281,439		268,826	556,816		520,413
Net sales	\$ 5,095,904	\$	4,724,039	\$ 10,014,576	\$	9,246,120

9. Common stock transactions

On August 29, 2012, the Company s Board of Directors authorized a common stock repurchase program, which has been increased on several occasions, most recently on March 10, 2015. As of July 31, 2015, a cumulative total of \$3.0 billion had been authorized under the program and \$489.1 million remained available for repurchase. The repurchase authorization has no expiration date and allows repurchases from time to time in the open market or in privately negotiated transactions. The timing and number of shares purchased depends on a variety of factors, such as price, market conditions, compliance with the covenants and restrictions under the Company s debt agreements and other factors. Repurchases under the program may be funded from available cash or borrowings under the Facilities discussed in further detail in Note 4.

Pursuant to its common stock repurchase program, during the 26-week periods ended July 31, 2015, and August 1, 2014, the Company repurchased in the open market approximately 9.7 million shares of its common stock at a total cost of \$734.3 million and approximately 14.1 million shares at a total cost of \$800.1 million, respectively.

The Company paid quarterly cash dividends of \$0.22 per share during each of the first and second quarters of 2015. On August 26, 2015, the Company s Board of Directors approved a quarterly cash dividend of \$0.22 per share, which is payable on September 30, 2015 to shareholders of record as of September 16, 2015. The declaration of future cash dividends is subject to the discretion of the Company s Board of Directors and will depend upon, among other things, the Company s results of operations, cash requirements, financial condition, contractual restrictions and other factors that the Board may deem relevant in its sole discretion.

Review	Report	of Iı	ndependent	Registered	Public A	Accounting	Firm

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of

Dollar General Corporation

•		

We have reviewed the condensed consolidated balance sheet of Dollar General Corporation and subsidiaries (the Company) as of July 31, 2015, and the related condensed consolidated statements of income and comprehensive income for the thirteen and twenty-six week periods ended July 31, 2015 and August 1, 2014, and the condensed consolidated statements of cash flows for the twenty-six week periods ended July 31, 2015 and August 1, 2014. These financial statements are the responsibility of the Company s management.

We conducted our review in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). A review of interim financial information consists principally of applying analytical procedures and making inquiries of persons responsible for financial and accounting matters. It is substantially less in scope than an audit conducted in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the objective of which is the expression of an opinion regarding the financial statements taken as a whole. Accordingly, we do not express such an opinion.

Based on our review, we are not aware of any material modifications that should be made to the condensed consolidated financial statements referred to above for them to be in conformity with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

We have previously audited, in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States), the consolidated balance sheet of Dollar General Corporation and subsidiaries as of January 30, 2015 and the related consolidated statements of income, comprehensive income, shareholders equity, and cash flows for the fiscal year then ended (not presented herein) and in our report dated March 20, 2015, we expressed an unqualified opinion on those consolidated financial statements. In our opinion, the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet of Dollar General Corporation and subsidiaries as of January 30, 2015, is fairly stated, in all material respects, in relation to the consolidated balance sheet from which it has been derived.

/s/ Ernst & Young LLP

August 27, 2015 Nashville, Tennessee

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS.

General

This discussion and analysis is based on, should be read with, and is qualified in its entirety by, the accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements and related notes, as well as our consolidated financial statements and the related Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations as contained in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended January 30, 2015. It also should be read in conjunction with the disclosure under Cautionary Disclosure Regarding Forward-Looking Statements in this report.

Executive Overview

Dollar General operates 12,198 stores located in 43 states as of July 31, 2015, geographically concentrated in the southern, southwestern, midwestern and eastern United States. We offer a broad selection of merchandise, including consumable products such as food, paper and cleaning products, health and beauty products, pet supplies and tobacco products, and non-consumable products such as seasonal merchandise, home decor and domestics, and basic apparel. Our merchandise includes high quality national brands from leading manufacturers, as well as comparable quality or value private brand selections with prices at substantial discounts to national brands. We offer our customers these national brand and private brand products at everyday low prices (typically \$10 or less) in our convenient small-box locations, with selling space averaging approximately 7,400 square feet per store.

The customers we serve are value-conscious, many with low or fixed incomes. We have always been intensely focused on helping our customers make the most of their spending dollars. We believe our convenient store format and broad selection of high quality products at compelling values have driven our substantial growth and financial success over the years. Like other retailers, we have been operating for several years in an environment with ongoing economic difficulties and uncertainties. Our core customers are often the first to be affected by negative or uncertain economic conditions such as unemployment and fluctuating food, energy and medical costs, and the last to feel the effects of improving economic conditions. Although our customer has experienced some positive general economic factors since the middle of 2014 such as lower gasoline prices and unemployment rates, these factors have been inconsistent and their duration is unknown.

We remain keenly focused on executing our four primary operating priorities, which are: 1) drive productive sales growth, 2) enhance our gross profit margins, 3) leverage process improvements and information technology to reduce costs, and 4) strengthen and expand our culture of serving others.

We seek to drive productive sales growth by increasing shopper frequency, increasing item unit sales and average transaction amount in our same-stores, and adding new stores, as well as remodeling and relocating stores. We continued to meet the affordability needs of our core customer, as more than 75% of our stock-keeping units (SKUs) at quarter-end were

priced at \$5 or less, with sales of \$1 items growing slightly more than the overall company average. Our offering of tobacco products continues to drive increased customer traffic and average basket, while we believe a key competitor s decision during 2014 to discontinue the sale of such products has boosted our tobacco sales. During the fiscal 2015 second quarter, all four of our product categories delivered same-store sales growth, reflecting increases in both customer traffic and average transaction amount, with moderately higher same-store sales increases in consumables as compared to the non-consumable categories. Same-store sales increases within the consumables category were primarily driven by sales of candy and snacks, tobacco products, and perishables. Strength in non-consumables was attributable to the seasonal and home categories. Store growth also contributed to increased sales, as we opened 209 new stores in the second quarter of 2015, opened 428 new stores during the first half of 2015, and have plans to open 730 stores for the full year. In addition, we continue to expand our remodeling efforts, which optimize shelf space in many of our older, smaller stores and, in many instances, increase the number of coolers for refrigerated and frozen foods and beverages.

Ongoing initiatives to enhance our gross profit margin include effective category management, inventory shrink reduction initiatives, utilization of private brands, efforts to improve distribution and transportation efficiencies, global sourcing, and a strategic focus on pricing and markdowns while remaining committed to our everyday low price strategy. The second quarter of 2015 evidenced the successful execution of these initiatives, as partially demonstrated by the positive comparable sales results discussed above, as well as by an improved shrink rate and lower transportation costs overall. We are committed to improving sales of our non-consumable categories, which generally have higher gross profit rates. Although we expect the growth rate of sales of consumable items to slightly outpace the growth rate of sales of non-consumable items throughout the remainder of the year, we expect the improved balance of sales between consumable items and non-consumable items to continue. For the second quarter, similar to past quarters, commodities cost inflation remained minimal overall and in some instances we have experienced a decrease in such costs.

We remain committed to controlling costs, particularly selling, general and administrative expenses (SG&A) throughout the company that do not affect the customer experience. At the store level, we remain committed to simplifying or eliminating various tasks so that those time savings can be re-invested by our store managers in other areas such as customer service, improved in-stock levels, and improved store standards. However, concurrently with these work elimination and simplification efforts, we have begun to implement targeted increases in retail labor hours to grow market share in a competitive environment in stores where we believe such increases will generate positive financial returns. The first phase of a three-phase implementation is complete, and the two additional phases are expected to be complete by the end of fiscal 2015. We have a very disciplined approach to this labor investment and are able to quickly evaluate the results of these investments and reallocate resources based on results. In addition, to further support our efforts to improve store standards we have realigned our store operations field management structure which has also increased our retail labor expense. We will continue to balance these initiatives, and will maintain our focus on additional opportunities to reduce costs, including through our centralized procurement initiative.

We have continued our mission of serving others by striving to give our customers clean, well-stocked stores with quality products at everyday low prices, to create for our employees an

environment that attracts and retains talented personnel, to give back to our store communities through our charitable and other efforts, and to meet our shareholders expectations of an efficiently and profitably run organization that operates with compassion and integrity.

The following include highlights of our second quarter 2015 results of operations compared to the comparable 2014 period in many of our key financial metrics. Basis points amounts referred to below are equal to 0.01% as a percentage of sales.

- Net sales increased 7.9% to \$5.10 billion. Sales in same-stores increased 2.8% driven by increases in customer traffic and average transaction amount. Average sales per square foot for all stores over the 52-week period ended July 31, 2015 were \$225.
- Gross profit, as a percentage of sales, was 31.2% in the 2015 period compared to 30.8% in the 2014 period, an increase of 36 basis points. We experienced higher initial inventory markups, an improved shrink rate, and lower transportation costs.
- SG&A, as a percentage of sales, was 21.8% compared to 21.7% in the 2014 period, an increase of 9 basis points, reflecting increases in certain expenses including store asset impairment charges, incentive compensation, and repairs and maintenance.
- Interest expense decreased by \$1.9 million to \$20.7 million in the 2015 period.
- Net income was \$282.3 million or \$0.95 per diluted share, compared to net income of \$251.3 million, or \$0.83 per diluted share, in the 2014 period. Diluted shares outstanding decreased by 7.4 million shares as a result of share repurchases.

Additional highlights for the first half of 2015 include:

- Cash generated from operating activities was \$557.1 million for the 26-week period ended July 31, 2015, compared to \$486.9 million in the comparable prior year period. At July 31, 2015, we had a cash balance of \$180.5 million.
- Total cash dividends of \$131.2 million, or \$0.44 per share, were paid during the first half of 2015.

- Inventory turnover was 4.8 times on a rolling four-quarter basis. On a per store basis, inventories increased by 2.7% over the balances at August 1, 2014.
- During the first half of 2015, we opened 428 new stores, remodeled or relocated 593 stores and closed 19 stores, resulting in a store count of 12,198 as of July 31, 2015.

The above discussion is a summary only. Readers should refer to the detailed discussion of our operating results below for the full analysis of our financial performance in the current year period as compared with the prior year period.

Results of Operations

Accounting Periods. We utilize a 52-53 week fiscal year convention that ends on the Friday nearest to January 31. The following text contains references to years 2015 and 2014, which represent the 52-week fiscal years ending and ended January 29, 2016 and January 30, 2015, respectively. References to the second quarter accounting periods for 2015 and 2014 contained herein refer to the 13-week accounting periods ended July 31, 2015 and August 1, 2014, respectively.

Seasonality. The nature of our business is seasonal to a certain extent. Primarily because of sales of holiday-related merchandise, sales in our fourth quarter (November, December and January) have historically been higher than sales achieved in each of the first three quarters of the fiscal year. Expenses, and to a greater extent operating profit, vary by quarter. Results of a period shorter than a full year may not be indicative of results expected for the entire year. Furthermore, the seasonal nature of our business may affect comparisons between periods.

The following table contains results of operations data for the most recent 13-week and 26-week periods of 2015 and 2014, and the dollar and percentage variances among those periods:

13 Weeks Ended 2015 vs. 2014 26 Weeks Ended 2015 vs. 2014

(dollars in millions, except