RLI CORP Form DEF 14A March 20, 2008

# UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant x

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

o Preliminary Proxy Statement

o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))

x Definitive Proxy Statemento Definitive Additional Materials

(3)

o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

#### RLI CORP.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
x
No fee required.

Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.

(1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:

(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is

calculated and state how it was determined):

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5) Total fee paid:

o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1) Amount Previously Paid:

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

(3) Filing Party:

(4) Date Filed:

RLI CORP.
9025 North Lindbergh Drive
Peoria, Illinois 61615
March 20, 2008
Dear Shareholder:
Please consider this letter your personal invitation to attend the 2008 RLI Corp. Annual Shareholders Meeting. It will be held at 9025 North Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, Illinois, 61615, the Company s principal office, on May 1, 2008, at 2 p.m. CDT.
Business scheduled to be considered at the meeting includes the election of Class III directors, amendments to our Articles of Incorporation, and ratification of KPMG LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current year. In addition, we will review significant events of 2007 and their impact on you and your Company. Company directors and officers and representatives of KPMG LLP will be available before and after the meeting to talk with you and answer any questions you may have.
This year, we are pleased to be taking advantage of a new Securities and Exchange Commission rule that allows companies to furnish their proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to many of our shareholders a notice instead of a paper copy of this proxy statement and our 2007 Financial Report to Shareholders. The notice contains instructions on how to access those documents over the Internet. The notice also contains instructions on how each of those shareholders can receive a paper copy of our proxy materials, including this Proxy Statement, our 2007 Financial Report to Shareholders and a proxy card. All shareholders who do not receive a notice will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. We believe that this new process will provide shareholders with easier access these proxy materials, reduce the costs of printing and distributing our proxy materials and conserve environmental resources.
Thank you for your interest in your Company as well as your confidence and support in our future.
Sincerely,

/s/ Gerald D. Stephens Gerald D. Stephens, CPCU Chairman of the Board

	RLI Corp. 9025 N. Lindbergh Drive Peoria, Illinois 61615
	NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS
	May 1, 2008
To the	Shareholders of RLI Corp.:
	NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of the Shareholders of RLI Corp. ( Company ) will be held at 9025 North Lindbergeoria, Illinois, 61615, on Thursday, May 1, 2008, at 2 p.m. Central Daylight Time to:
1.	Elect four (4) Class III directors for a three-year term expiring in 2011 or until their successors are elected and qualified;
2. Direct	Consider and act upon a proposal to amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to declassify the Board of ors;
3. author	Consider and act upon a proposal to amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of common Stock from 50 million to 200 million;
4.	Consider and act upon a proposal to amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to revise the

indemnification provisions and limit Director personal liability;

5. written c		s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to eliminate shareholder
6. vote requ	Consider and act upon a proposal to amend the Company sired for asset sale transactions;	s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to reduce the shareholde
7. Company	Consider and act upon a proposal to ratify the selection of by for the current year; and	KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm of the
8.	Transact such other business as may properly be brought be	efore the meeting.
Only hol Annual M		se of business on March 7, 2008, are entitled to notice of and to vote at the
		By Order of the Board of Directors
		/s/ Daniel O. Kennedy Daniel O. Kennedy Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
Peoria, Il March 20		vice Tresident, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary
	ortant, regardless of the number of shares you hold, that you ou expect to attend, it is important that you submit your prox	personally be present or be represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting. y as follows:
• possible;		pleting the proxy card and signing, dating and returning it as promptly as
• Notice of	By Phone: by submitting your proxy by telephone, toll-free Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or	e, in accordance with the instructions provided on your proxy card or
• Internet	By Internet: by submitting your proxy over the Internet in Availability of Proxy Materials.	accordance with the instructions provided on your proxy card or Notice of
Company	y prior to the convening of the Annual Meeting, or by presen	y filing a written notice of revocation with the Corporate Secretary of the ting another proxy card with a later date or voting by telephone or over the ovote in person, your proxy may be withdrawn upon request.

### **Table of Contents**

<b>General Information</b>		2
	Voting	2
	Shareholders Entitled to Vote	3
	Proxy Solicitation	3
	Electronic Access to Proxy Materials and Annual Report	3
<b>Share Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners</b>		3
	Principal Shareholders	3
	<u>Directors and Officers</u>	5
	Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	6
<b>Proposal One: Election of Directors</b>		6
<u>General</u>		6
<u>Nominees</u>		7
Director and Nom	inee Information	7
Proposal Two: Amend the Company s Amended and Restated	Articles of Incorporation to Declassify the Board of Directors	9
<u>General</u>		9
Board Declassification		10
Effect of Proposed Amendment		10
Recommendation of the Board of	Directors	10
Proposal Three: Amend the Company s Amended and Restate	ed Articles of Incorporation to Increase the Number of Authorized	
Shares of Common Stock		11
<u>General</u>		11
Increase in Authorized Shares		11
Anti-Takeover Effects of the Proposed Amend	lment to Increase Authorized Shares	11
Additional Effects of the Share Increase		12
Recommendation of the Board of Directors		12
Proposal Four: Amend the Company s Amended and Restated	Articles of Incorporation to Revise the Indemnification Provisions	
and Limit Director Personal Liability		12
General		12
Indemnification		12
Limitation of Director Personal Liability		12
Recommendation of the Board of Directors		13

Proposal Five: Amend the Company S A	mended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Eliminate Shareholder Written Consent	13
	<u>General</u>	13
	Elimination of Shareholder Written Consent	13
	Recommendation of the Board of Directors	13
Proposal Six: Amend the Company s An	nended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Reduce the Shareholder Vote Required	
for Asset Sale Transactions		14
	<u>General</u>	14
	Reduction of Vote Required for Asset Sale Transactions	14
	Recommendation of the Board of Directors	14
Proposal Seven: Ratification of Selection	of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm	14
Corporate Governance and Board Matte	rs	15
	Corporate Governance Principles	15
	Director Independence	15
	Board Independence Status	16
	Director Nomination Policy	17
	Code of Conduct	17
	Shareholder and Interested Parties Communication	17
	Company Policy on Related Party Transactions	18
	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	18
	Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	10
<b>Committees of the Board of Directors</b>		18
	Audit Committee	18
	Executive Resources Committee	19
	Finance and Investment Committee	19
	Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee	19
	Strategy Committee	20
	Committee Membership	20
Paral Mark and Comment of		20
<b>Board Meetings and Compensation</b>	M. C.	20
	Meetings Discourse Control of the Co	20
	Director Compensation	20
	Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors	22
	Outside Directors Fee Award Agreement	22
	Director Deferred Compensation Plan	22
	Director Share Ownership	22
<b>Audit Committee Report</b>		23
<del></del>	Members of the Audit Committee	23

<b>Executive Resources Committee Report</b>		24
	Members of the Executive Resources Committee	24
Compensation Committee Interlocks an	nd Insider Participation	24
Compensation Discussion & Analysis		24
	Introduction/Corporate Governance	24
	ERC Members and Charter	24
	ERC Meetings	25
	Objectives of Compensation Program	25
	Elements of Executive Compensation and Compensation Program Design	26
	Annual Compensation	26
	Base Salary	26
	Market Value Potential Executive Incentive Program	26
	Management Incentive Program	28
	Long Term Compensation  Deformed Compensation Plea	28 28
	<u>Deferred Compensation Plan</u> <u>Omnibus Stock Plan</u>	28 28
	Employee Stock Ownership Plan	29
	401(k) Plan	29
	Key Employee Excess Benefit Plan	29
	Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits	29
	Elements of Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits	30
	Stock Ownership/Retention Guidelines	31
Executive Management		31
-	Executive Officers	31
<b>Executive Compensation</b>		32
<del>-</del>	Summary Compensation Table	32
	Grants of Plan-Based Awards	35
	Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-end	36
	Option Exercises and Stock Vested	38
	Pension Benefits	38
	Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	38
<b>Equity Compensation Plan Information</b>	l .	39
Shareholder Proposals		40
Other Business		40
Exhibit A: Amended and Restated Artic	cles of Incorporation (Existing)	41
Exhibit B: Restated Articles of Incorpor	ration (Proposed)	46
Investor Information		51
ANT VOLUE AND INCIDENCE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE	Annual Shareholders Meeting	51

Internet Voting	51
Shareholder Inquiries	51
Dividend Reinvestment Plan	51
Requests for Additional Information	51
Contacting RLI	51
RLI on the web	51

RLI Corp. 9025 N. Lindbergh Drive Peoria, Illinois 61615	
Proxy Statement	
Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held May 1, 2008	

#### **General Information**

This Proxy Statement is furnished to the shareholders of RLI Corp., an Illinois corporation ( Company ), in connection with the solicitation, by the Board of Directors of the Company ( Board or Board of Directors ), of proxies to be used at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders to be held at 2 p.m. Central Daylight Time on Thursday, May 1, 2008, at the Company s offices at 9025 North Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, Illinois, 61615, and at any adjournments of the Annual Meeting.

This year, we are pleased to be taking advantage of a new Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) rule that allows companies to furnish their proxy materials over the Internet. As a result, we are mailing to many of our shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (E-Proxy Notice) instead of a paper copy of the proxy materials. The E-Proxy Notice contains instructions that will enable shareholders receiving the E-Proxy Notice to access these materials over the Internet and, if so desired, to request a paper copy of these proxy materials by mail. Shareholders who do not receive the E-Proxy Notice will receive a paper copy of the proxy materials by mail. The Company intends to mail the E-Proxy Notice to shareholders on or about March 20, 2008.

**Voting.** Because many shareholders cannot attend the Annual Meeting in person, it is necessary that a large number be represented by proxy to achieve a quorum. Pursuant to the Company s By-Laws, at least a majority of the outstanding voting shares must be present (in person or by proxy) at the Annual Meeting to conduct the meeting, which is known as a quorum of shares. Even if you expect to attend, it is important that you vote your shares in advance.

Whether you hold your shares directly as the shareholder of record or through a broker, trustee, or other nominee ( in street name ), you may vote by proxy without attending the Annual Meeting in three different ways:

- Mail: Shareholders who receive a paper copy of a proxy card by mail may submit their proxy by signing, dating and returning the proxy card as promptly as possible in the envelope enclosed for that purpose.
- Telephone: Shareholders may submit their proxy by telephone, toll-free, by following the instructions provided on the proxy card or on the E-Proxy Notice. Shareholders will need to have the control number appearing on their proxy card or E-Proxy Notice available in order to submit their proxy by telephone.
- Internet: Shareholders may submit their proxy over the Internet by following the instructions provided on the proxy card or on the E-Proxy Notice. Shareholders will need to have the control number appearing on their proxy card or E-Proxy Notice available in order to submit their proxy over the Internet.

Shareholders can save the Company expense by submitting their proxy by telephone or over the Internet. If you submit your proxy by telephone or over the Internet, you do not need to also submit a proxy card unless you are doing so to change your vote as described below. The method of voting will not limit a shareholder s right to attend

the Annual Meeting.

Each proxy will be voted in accordance with the shareholder s specifications. If you return a signed proxy card without providing voting instructions, your shares will be voted as recommended by the Board of Directors. All proxies delivered pursuant to this solicitation are revocable at any time prior to the meeting at the option of the shareholder either by giving written notice to the Corporate Secretary at 9025 North Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, Illinois, 61615, or by timely delivery of a properly completed proxy, whether by proxy card or by Internet or telephone vote, bearing a later date, or by voting in person at the Annual Meeting. All shares represented by valid, unrevoked proxies will be voted at the Annual Meeting.

Assuming the presence, in person or by proxy, of a quorum, the election of directors requires the affirmative vote of a plurality of the votes cast, which means that the four persons receiving the highest number of For votes will be elected. With respect to the election of directors, shareholders may vote in favor of all nominees, or withhold their votes as to all nominees, or withhold their votes as to specific nominees. Assuming the presence of a quorum, the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes represented at the meeting shall be required to approve the other proposals. Votes withheld and abstentions are deemed present at the meeting, and this will be counted for quorum purposes, and other than with respect to Proposal One (election of directors), will have the same effect as a vote against the matter. Brokers who hold shares for the accounts of their clients may vote such shares either as directed by their clients or at their own discretion if permitted by the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) or other organization of which they are members. Members of the NYSE are permitted to vote their clients proxies at their own discretion as to the election of directors if the clients have not furnished voting instructions within 10 days of the meeting. If an executed proxy is returned by a broker on behalf of its client that indicates the broker does not have discretionary authority as to certain shares to vote on one or more matters (a broker non-vote), such shares will be considered present at the Annual Meeting for purposes of determining a quorum. Broker non-votes will have no effect with respect to Proposal One and will not be counted in determining the number of shares necessary for approval for Proposal Seven. With respect to Proposals Two through Six, broker non-votes, like abstentions, will have the effect of voting against the amendments to our Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation, as those amendments require the affirmative vote of a majority of our common shares outstanding.

**Shareholders Entitled to Vote.** Shareholders of record at the close of business on March 7, 2008, the record date, shall be entitled to vote at the 2008 Annual Meeting. As of the record date, the Company had 21,677,565 shares of Common Stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Common share ownership entitles the holder to one vote per share upon each matter to be voted at the 2008 Annual Meeting.

**Proxy Solicitation.** The Company will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies. In addition to the use of the mail, proxies may be solicited in person or by telephone, facsimile or other electronic means, by directors, officers or employees of the Company. No additional compensation will be paid to such persons for their services. In accordance with the regulations of the SEC and the NYSE, the Company will reimburse banks, brokerage firms, investment advisors and other custodians, nominees, fiduciaries and service bureaus for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses for forwarding soliciting material to beneficial owners of the Company s Common Stock and obtaining their proxies or voting instructions.

**Electronic Access to Proxy Materials and Annual Report.** This notice of Annual Meeting and Proxy Statement and the 2007 Annual Report are available on the Company s Internet site at www.rlicorp.com and at www.proxyvote.com.

#### **Share Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners**

**Principal Shareholders.** The only persons or entities known to the Company who beneficially own more than 5 percent of the Company s Common Stock as of December 31, 2007, are as follows:

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned	Percent of Outstanding Common Stock
Franklin Resources, Inc.(1) One Franklin Parkway San Mateo, California 94403	1,964,370	8.9%
State Street Bank and Trust Company (2) 225 Franklin Street Boston, Massachusetts 02110	1,853,732	8.4%
Gerald D. Stephens (3) 493 East High Point Drive Peoria, Illinois 61614	1,398,256	6.3%
Barclays Global Investors, NA (4) Barclays Global Fund Advisors Barclays Global Investors, Ltd. 45 Fremont Street San Francisco, California 94105	1,373,271	6.2%

The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated January 24, 2008, except the percent of outstanding stock which was calculated by the Company, filed with the SEC by Franklin Resources, Inc., (Franklin), Charles B. Johnson, Rupert H. Johnson, Jr., and Franklin Advisory Services, LLC, which filing indicates one or more open- or closed-end investment companies or other managed accounts that are investment management clients of investment managers that are direct and indirect subsidiaries of Franklin, have sole voting power with respect to 1,949,995 and sole dispositive power with respect to 1,964,370 shares. Messrs. Johnson and Johnson are the principal shareholders of Franklin.

The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated February 12, 2008, filed with the SEC by State Street Bank and Trust Company (State Street), which filing indicates that as of December 31, 2007, State Street, in its capacity as trustee of the Company's Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP), held 1,474,495 shares on behalf of participants in such plan. State Street further disclosed sole voting power with respect to 379,237 shares, shared voting power with respect to 1,474,495 shares, and shared dispositive power with respect to 1,853,732 shares. Each ESOP participant or beneficiary may direct State Street as to the manner in which the shares allocated to each participant under the ESOP are to be voted. State Street has sole voting power with respect to all unallocated shares and sole investment power as to all allocated and unallocated shares. With respect to allocated shares for which no votes are received, State Street will vote such shares in proportion to the votes cast on behalf of allocated shares for which votes are received.

Mr. Stephens is the Chairman of the Board of the Company. Includes 72,644 shares allocated to Mr. Stephens under the RLI Corp. Key Employee Excess Benefit Plan (Key Plan), over which Mr. Stephens has no voting or investment power; 29,615 shares held in custodian accounts for the benefit of Mr. Stephens grandchildren, over which Mr. Stephens has sole voting and investment power; 2,492 shares in the B. L. Stephens Trust for the benefit of Mr. Stephens sister, over which Mr. Stephens, as trustee, has sole voting and investment power; 1,431 shares owned by the Gerald D. and Helen M. Stephens Foundation, over which Mr. Stephens, as President, has sole voting and investment power; 150,000 shares owned by the Gerald D. Stephens Grantor Annuity Trust, over which Mr. Stephens, as trustee, has sole voting and investment power; 108,738 exercisable stock options; and 15,066 shares held by a bank, as trustee, under an irrevocable trust established by the Company pursuant to the RLI Corp. Executive Deferred Compensation Agreement (Deferred Agreement). Excludes 68,935 shares owned by Mr. Stephens spouse, over which Mr. Stephens has no voting or investment power, as to which Mr. Stephens disclaims beneficial ownership.

The information shown is based solely on a Schedule 13G dated January 10, 2008, except the percent of outstanding stock which was calculated by the Company, filed with the SEC by Barclays Global Investors, NA (registered bank), Barclays Global Fund Advisors (investment advisor) and Barclays Global Investors. Ltd. (registered bank). According to the Schedule 13G, Barclays Global Investors, NA is the beneficial owner of, and has sole dispositive power with respect to 742,048 shares, and sole voting power with respect to 657,770

4

shares; Barclays Global Fund Advisors is the beneficial owner of, and has sole dispositive power with respect to 611,460 shares, and sole voting power with respect to 450,426 shares; and Barclays Global Investors, Ltd. is the beneficial owner of, and has dispositive power with respect to 19,763 shares.

**Directors and Officers.** The following information is furnished as to the beneficial ownership of the shares of the Company s Common Stock by each current director, nominee for director and named executive officer, and the directors and executive officers of the Company as a group, as of December 31, 2007.

Name of Individual or Number of Persons in Group	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned (1)	Percent of Outstanding Common Stock
Barbara R. Allen	2,465	*
John T. Baily (2) (3) (4)	11,525	*
Richard H. Blum (2) (4)	20,552	*
Joseph E. Dondanville (5) (9) (10) (11)	262,712	1.2%
Jordan W. Graham (2)	3,509	*
Daniel O. Kennedy (9) (10)	4,422	*
Craig W. Kliethermes (9) (10) (11)	3,860	*
Gerald I. Lenrow (2) (4) (6)	54,919	*
Charles M. Linke (2) (4)	12,665	*
F. Lynn McPheeters (2) (4)	28,077	*
Jonathan E. Michael (7) (9) (10) (11) (12)	560,664	2.5%
Gerald D. Stephens (8) (10) (11)	1,398,256	6.3%
Michael J. Stone (9) (10) (11) (12)	281,467	1.3%
Edward F. Sutkowski (2) (4)	202,540	*
Robert O. Viets (2) (4)	77,797	*
Directors and executive officers as a group (19 persons) (9) (10) (11)	2,978,932	12.9%

<sup>\*</sup>Less than 1% of Class.

<sup>(1)</sup> Unless otherwise noted, each person has sole voting power and sole investment power with respect to the shares reported.

Mr. Graham 2,631 s	Includes shares held by a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established by the Company pursuant to the RLI Corp. ompensation Plan ( Deferred Plan ) for the benefit of the following: Mr. Baily 2,199 shares; Mr. Blum 5,402 shares; hares; Mr. Lenrow 42,007 shares; Mr. Linke 3,117 shares; Mr. McPheeters 11,178 shares; Mr. Sutkowski 79,427 shares; and ares. Each participating director has no voting or investment power with respect to such shares.
(3)	Includes 2,000 shares held by Mr. Baily s spouse as to which Mr. Baily claims beneficial interest.
	Includes shares that may be acquired by the named persons within 60 days after December 31, 2007, under the Directors or Outside Directors ( Director Plan ), upon the exercise of outstanding stock options as follows: Mr. Baily 4,233 shares; ares; Mr. Lenrow 7,200 shares; Mr. Linke 5,407 shares; Mr. McPheeters 14,482 shares; Mr. Sutkowski 5,400 shares; and ares.
(5) Mr. Dondanville dis	Includes 200 shares held by Mr. Dondanville s spouse in a custodian account for the benefit of their children, as to which claims any beneficial interest.
(6) Mr. Lenrow disclain	Includes 956 shares held by Mr. Lenrow s spouse in a custodian account for the benefit of their minor daughter, as to which any beneficial interest.
	5

(7)	Includes 34,986 shares allocated under the Key Plan, over which Mr. Michael has no voting or investment power.
voting and investment trustee, has sole voor Mr. Stephens, as to over which Mr. St	Includes 72,644 shares allocated to Mr. Stephens under the Key Plan, over which Mr. Stephens has no voting or 329,615 shares held in custodian accounts for the benefit of Mr. Stephens grandchildren, over which Mr. Stephens has sole ment power; 2,492 shares in the B. L. Stephens Trust for the benefit of Mr. Stephens sister, over which Mr. Stephens, as oting and investment power; 150,000 shares owned by the Gerald D. Stephens Grantor Annuity Trust, over which rustee, has sole voting and investment power; and 1,431 shares owned by the Gerald D. and Helen M. Stephens Foundation, tephens, as President, has sole voting and investment power; Excludes 68,935 shares owned by Mr. Stephens spouse, over ms has no voting or investment power, as to which Mr. Stephens disclaims beneficial interest.
shares owned by t	Includes shares allocated to the named persons under the ESOP with respect to which such persons have sole voting power power. During 2007, Joseph E. Dondanville, Jonathan E. Michael and Michael J. Stone were eligible to elect to diversify he ESOP. As of December 31, 2007, the following shares were allocated under the ESOP: Mr. Dondanville 24,217 shares; shares; Mr. Kliethermes 509 shares; Mr. Michael 62,339 shares; and Mr. Stone 13,187 shares.
•	Includes shares that may be acquired by the named persons within 60 days after December 31, 2007, under the Incentive ( ISOP ) and the Omnibus Stock Plan, upon the exercise of outstanding stock options as follows: Mr. Dondanville 168,018 day 3,599 shares; Mr. Kliethermes 1,000 shares; Mr. Michael 306,026 shares; Mr. Stephens 108,738 shares; and Mr. Stone
	Includes shares allocated to the named persons which shares are held by a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established bursuant to the Deferred Agreement for the benefit of the following: Mr. Dondanville 8,517 shares; Mr. Kliethermes 536 are 14,117 shares; Mr. Stephens 15,066 shares; and Mr. Stone 17,311 shares. Each participant has no voting or investment at to such shares.
(12) shares were held i	Includes shares held in margin securities accounts at brokerage firms. At December 31, 2007, the following number of n such accounts: Mr. Michael 141,137 shares; and Mr. Stone 74,636 shares.
	with respect to beneficial ownership of Common Stock of the Company is based on information furnished to the Company by cluded in the table.
	Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance
	ne Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (1934 Act), requires the Company s directors, executive officers and of more than 10 percent of the Common Stock of the Company to file with the SEC certain reports regarding their ownership

of Common Stock or any changes in such ownership. In addition, officers, directors and greater than 10 percent shareholders are required by

SEC regulations to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) forms that they file.

Based solely on its review of the copies of such reports received by it, and/or written representations from certain reporting persons, the Company believes that, during the year ended December 31, 2007, the reporting persons have complied with all filing requirements of Section 16(a) of the 1934 Act.

## **Proposal One:** Election of Directors

General. The Company s Board of Directors currently consists of 11 persons, divided into three classes (Class I, Class II and Class III). At the Annual Meeting, four (4) Class III directors are to be elected, each to hold office for a three-year term or until a successor is elected and qualified unless that director dies, resigns or is removed prior to that time. Directors whose terms do not expire this year will continue to serve. Unless otherwise instructed, the shares represented by a proxy will be voted for the election of the four nominees named below. Assuming the presence of a quorum at the Annual Meeting, the affirmative vote of a plurality of the shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote is required for the election of directors. Votes will be tabulated by an Inspector of Election appointed at the Annual Meeting. Shares may be voted for, or withheld from, each nominee. Shares that are withheld and broker non-votes count towards establishment of a quorum but have no effect on determinations of plurality and thus will not affect the outcome of the election. There is no cumulative voting for the

directors under the Company s Articles of Incorporation. If Proposal Two is passed, this will be the last three-year term for elected directors please refer to that proposal for additional detail.

**Nominees.** Messrs. John T. Baily, Jordan W. Graham, Gerald I. Lenrow and Gerald D. Stephens, each current directors, are the Class III directors standing for election. Such directors were elected previously by the shareholders in 2005 for a three-year term expiring in 2008. Each are nominated to serve for a three-year term expiring in 2011.

The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve if elected. In the event that any nominee shall become unavailable for election, the shares represented by a proxy will be voted for the election of a substitute nominee selected by the persons appointed as proxies and recommended by the Board unless the Board of Directors should determine to reduce the number of directors pursuant to the Company s By-Laws or allow the vacancy to stay open until a replacement is designated by the Board.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote For election of all four nominees listed below.

**Director and Nominee Information.** The following includes certain information with respect to the current directors and nominees to the Board of Directors furnished to the Company by such individuals:

NAME	AGE	DIRECTOR SINCE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION
John T. Baily (1) (term expiring in 2008)	64	2003	Previously National Insurance Industry Chairman and Partner of the accounting firm of Coopers & Lybrand LLP (now known as PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP) retiring in 1999 after 23 years as a partner. Served as President of Swiss Re Capital Partners from 1999 through 2002.
Jordan W. Graham (term expiring in 2008)	47	2004	Managing Director leading North American Business Development for Citi Markets and Banking, Global Transaction Services Division where he is responsible for mergers and acquisitions for the firm s Cash Management and Trade Services/Finance businesses. Vice president of Cisco, Inc. s Services Industry Consulting (1998 2004), where he provided executive advisory and strategy consulting services to Global 500 firms in the insurance and financial services industries. Former director of Securitas Capital, LLC, which invested in insurance and risk related ventures. Current director of Technology Credit Union and Coldspark, Inc.
Gerald I. Lenrow (term expiring in 2008)	80	1993	Since 1999, in private law practice providing consultation services to certain members of the insurance industry. Consultant to General Reinsurance Corp. until December 31, 1998. In 1990, after 28 years as a partner, retired as a partner in the international accounting firm of Coopers & Lybrand LLP (now known as PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP). He continued on as a

consultant until 1996.

7

Gerald D. Stephens	75	1965	Elected Chairman of the Board of the Company January 1,
(term expiring in 2008)			2001. Mr. Stephens founded the Company in 1965 and
			served as Chief Executive Officer until his election as
			Chairman of the Board.

Certain information concerning the remaining directors, whose terms expire either in 2009 or 2010, is set forth as follows based upon information furnished to the Company by such individuals:

NAME	AGE	DIRECTOR SINCE	PRINCIPAL OCCUPATION
Barbara R. Allen (2) (term expiring in 2010)	55	2006	Appointed to the Board on June 20, 2006. President of Proactive Partners, a division of Tennis Corporation of America since October, 2005. Former Partner with The Everest Group, a firm specializing in growth strategy and general management consulting, from 2003 through October, 2005. Former marketing and executive management leader with Quaker Oats Company. Current director of Lance, Inc., a snack manufacturer, and former director for Maytag Corporation, Tyson Foods, Converse and Charthouse Inc.
Richard H. Blum (term expiring in 2010)	69	2000	Previously Chairman of AXIS Specialty U.S. Holdings, Inc., (February 2002 to January 2006). Was Senior Advisor to Marsh & McLennan Companies, Inc., (MMC) a professional services firm in risk and insurance services, investment management and consulting businesses from 1999 to January 2002. Former director of MMC from 1986 to 1999 and served as Vice Chairman of J&H Marsh & McLennan from 1997 to 1999, and previously as Chairman and CEO of Guy Carpenter & Company, Inc., having joined that firm in 1958.
Charles M. Linke (term expiring in 2009)	70	2003	Professor Emeritus of Finance at the University of Illinois (Urbana-Champaign) since 1998. Professor Linke has held various positions with the University of Illinois since 1966. CEO of Economics Et Cetera, Inc., a consulting firm specializing in financial economics since 1981.
F. Lynn McPheeters (term expiring in 2010)	65	2000	Retired as Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of Caterpillar Inc., manufacturer of construction, mining and related equipment in February 2005. Mr. McPheeters joined Caterpillar Inc. in 1964 and held

			various finance positions until his promotion to Vice President and CFO in 1998.
Jonathan E. Michael (term expiring in 2009)	54	1997	President & Chief Executive Officer of the Company since January 1, 2001. Elected Chairman of the Board & Chief Executive Officer of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries January 1, 2002. Mr. Michael joined the Company in 1982, and held various managerial and executive officer positions until his promotion to President & Chief Executive Officer.
Edward F. Sutkowski (term expiring in 2009)	69	1975	Since 1965, President of the law firm of Sutkowski & Rhoads Ltd., a regional firm specializing in wealth transfer tax and ERISA law; Adjunct Professor, Pension and Profit Sharing Law, University of Illinois College of Law at Champaign-Urbana; Executive Limited Partner, member of the Advisory Committee of Pfingsten Executive Fund III, LP, a private equity firm located in Deerfield, Illinois and Member, Advisory Committee, North American Sports Media, L.L.C.
Robert O. Viets (3) (term expiring in 2010)	64	1993	Since 1999, President of ROV Consultants, LLC. Former President, CEO and Director from 1988 until 1999 of CILCORP Inc., a holding company in Peoria, Illinois, whose principal subsidiary was Central Illinois Light Company.

- Mr. Baily serves as a Director of Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd., Erie Indemnity Company and NYMAGIC, Inc., all publicly traded companies.
- (2) Mrs. Allen serves as a Director of Lance, Inc., a publicly traded company.
- (3) Mr. Viets serves as a Director of Patriot Coal Corporation, a publicly traded company.

#### **Proposal Two:**

## Amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Declassify the Board of Directors

General. The Company's Board of Directors has unanimously approved, subject to shareholder approval, the amendment and restatement of the Company's existing Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation (the Existing Articles) to make several important changes, which are embodied in the Restated Articles of Incorporation (the Proposed Articles). Copies of the Existing Articles, which are marked to show proposed changes, and of the Proposed Articles are being provided to you along with this Proxy Statement as Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively. We encourage you to read the Proposed Articles carefully and in their entirety and compare them to the Existing Articles. The Proposed Articles also include additional amendments that the shareholders are voting upon separately under Proposals Three through Six. Approval of this proposal is not contingent upon, nor will it be affected by, approval or disapproval of Proposals Three through Six.

Board Declassification. The Company values strong corporate governance principles. Accordingly, the Company s Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee and Board of Directors have examined the advantages and disadvantages of maintaining a classified Board. Currently, the Board of Directors is divided into three classes, with the directors in each class holding three-year terms and standing for election at every third annual meeting of shareholders. After careful consideration, consultation with outside advisors, and in light of evolving corporate governance best practices and investor sentiment, and upon the recommendation of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, the Board has adopted and now recommends shareholder approval of a proposal to amend Article Five of the Existing Articles to eliminate the classification of the Company s Board of Directors ( Declassification Amendment ). As proposed, the Declassification Amendment would provide for the phased elimination of the classified board structure over three years.

Effect of Proposed Amendment. The Declassification Amendment will not affect the election of directors at this year s Annual Meeting. If the Declassification Amendment is approved, the Board s Class III directors standing for election at this year s Annual Meeting (Messrs. Baily, Graham, Lenrow and Stephens) will be elected for a three-year term expiring at the 2011 annual meeting and will stand for one-year terms thereafter. If this Proposal Two passes, the current election of Class III directors will be the last three-year term, and all director positions thereafter will have one- year terms. The Board s Class I and Class II directors (who are not standing for election at this year s Annual Meeting) will continue to hold office until the end of the terms for which they were previously elected and will stand for one-year terms thereafter. Accordingly, Class I directors will continue to serve for the term expiring at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2009 and Class II directors will continue to serve for the term expiring at the Annual Meeting of Shareholders in 2010. Thus, if the Declassification Amendment is approved, all directors will be elected on an annual basis for one-year terms commencing in 2011.

If prior to 2011, the number of directors is changed, any increase or decrease shall be apportioned among the classes so as to maintain the number of directors per class as equal as possible. In all cases, each director will hold office until his or her successor has been elected and qualified or until the director s earlier resignation or removal, and vacancies that occur during the year will be filled by the Board to serve until the expiration of the term of the predecessor director.

Illinois law generally provides that any director or the entire Board of Directors of a corporation may be removed, with or without cause, by the holders of a majority of the shares entitled to vote at an election of directors. However, if a corporation s board of directors is divided into multiple classes, Illinois law provides that the directors of such a corporation may be removed only for cause. Accordingly, our directors may be removed only for cause at the present time. However, if the proposal to declassify our Board of Directors is approved, and after full declassification of our board, our directors will be subject to removal, with or without cause, at any time by the holders of a majority of Company shares that are entitled to vote on the election of directors.

**Recommendation of the Board of Directors.** The Board of Directors has unanimously approved the Proposed Articles and has determined that the Declassification Amendment is in the best interest of the Company and its shareholders.

The Declassification Amendment as manifested in the Proposed Articles shall only be effectuated and effective if shareholder approval is secured; if such shareholder approval is not secured, the Declassification Amendment shall not be effective, and the Company will continue to have a classified Board of Directors as is currently in place.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company is required for adoption of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote For Proposal Two and the Amendment to the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Declassify the Board of Directors.

# Proposal Three: Amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Increase the Number of Authorized Shares of Common Stock

General. The Company s Board of Directors has unanimously approved, subject to shareholder approval, the amendment and restatement of the Company s Existing Articles to make several important changes, which are embodied in the Proposed Articles. Copies of the Existing Articles, which are marked to show proposed changes, and of the Proposed Articles are being provided to you along with this Proxy Statement as, Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively. We encourage you to read the Proposed Articles carefully and in their entirety and to compare them to the Existing Articles. The Proposed Articles also include amendments that the shareholders are voting upon separately under Proposals Two and Four through Six. Approval of this proposal is not contingent upon, nor will it be affected by, approval or disapproval of Proposals Two and Four through Six.

Increase in Authorized Shares. The Company s Existing Articles currently authorize the issuance of a total of 50,000,000 shares of Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share, and 5,000,000 shares of Preferred Stock, par value \$0.01 per share. The Proposed Articles would increase the total number of authorized shares of capital stock to 205,000,000 shares and would increase the number of authorized shares of Common Stock to 200,000,000 shares (the Share Increase). The Proposed Articles do not contemplate an increase in the number of shares of Preferred Stock, and therefore the total number of authorized shares of capital stock would increase to 205,000,000 shares. See Article Four, Paragraph 1 of the Proposed Articles for these changes

As of March 7, 2008, 21,677,565 shares of Common Stock and no shares of Preferred Stock were outstanding. As of December 31, 2007, 843,552 shares of Common Stock were reserved for issuance pursuant to the Company s Omnibus Stock Plan.

The Share Increase will constitute additional authorized but unissued shares of the existing Common Stock and, if and when issued, will have the same rights and privileges as the shares of Common Stock currently authorized. The Share Increase only increases our amount of authorized shares, but will not alter the current number of issued shares.

The Share Increase would enable the Company, without further shareholder approval, (unless shareholder approval is required by law, SEC or under the rules of the NYSE) to issue shares from time to time as may be required for various purposes. The purposes contemplated by the Board of Directors include business and asset acquisitions, stock splits and dividends, present and future employee benefit programs, raising additional capital for ongoing operations and other corporate purposes. The current number of authorized shares of Common Stock was established in 1996, and has not been increased since then. The increase is advisable in view of our growth and capital structure needs since that time. The Company has no present plans for issuance of any of the newly-authorized shares of Common Stock.

The Share Increase could have a number of effects on the Company s shareholders, depending upon the exact nature and circumstances of any actual issuances of authorized but unissued shares. These effects are described further below.

Anti-Takeover Effects of the Proposed Amendment to Increase Authorized Shares. The Share Increase and the subsequent issuance of such shares could have the effect of delaying or preventing a change of control of the Company without further action by the shareholders. Shares of authorized and unissued Common Stock could (within the limits imposed by applicable law) be issued in one or more transactions that would make a change of control of the Company more difficult, and therefore less likely. The additional authorized shares could be issued to discourage persons from attempting to gain control of the Company, by diluting the voting power of shares then outstanding.

In addition, the Share Increase authorized by the proposed amendment could permit the Board of Directors to issue Common Stock to persons supportive of management s position. Such persons might then be in a position to vote to prevent or delay a proposed business combination that is deemed unacceptable to the Board of Directors, although perceived to be desirable by some shareholders. Any such issuance could provide management with a means to block any vote that might be used to effect a business combination in accordance with the Proposed Articles. Similarly, the issuance of additional shares to certain persons allied with the Company s management could have the effect of making it more difficult to remove the Company s current management by diluting the stock ownership or voting rights of persons seeking to cause such removal. Likewise, shares could be used to facilitate the

adoption of measures intended to deter unfair or coercive takeover tactics not believed to be in the best interests of shareholders. The Board of Directors is not aware of any attempt or contemplated attempt to acquire control of the Company, and the Share Increase is not being presented with the intent that it be utilized as a type of anti-takeover device.

**Additional Effects of the Share Increase.** Although the Share Increase will not affect the terms or rights of holders of existing shares of Common Stock, shareholders should recognize that an issuance of additional Common Stock will generally have the effect of diluting the earnings per share and book value per share of outstanding shares of Common Stock and the equity and voting rights of holders of shares of Common Stock. Depending on whether, or the amount of, consideration received for any issuance of additional Common Stock, subsequent issuance may also reduce shareholder s equity on a per share basis.

**Recommendation of the Board of Directors.** The Board of Directors has unanimously approved the Proposed Articles and has determined that the Share Increase is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

The Share Increase as manifested in the Proposed Articles shall only be effectuated and effective if shareholder approval is secured; if such shareholder approval is not secured, the Share Increase shall not be effectuated.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company is required for adoption of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote For Proposal Three and the Amendments to the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Increase the Authorized Shares of Capital Stock.

# Proposal Four: Amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Revise the Indemnification Provisions and Limit Director Personal Liability

General. The Company's Board of Directors has unanimously approved, subject to shareholder approval, the amendment and restatement of the Company's Existing Articles to make several changes which are embodied in the Proposed Articles. Copies of the Existing Articles, which are marked to show proposed changes, and of the Proposed Articles are being provided to you along with this Proxy Statement as, Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively. We encourage you to read the Proposed Articles carefully and in their entirety and to compare them to the Existing Articles. The Proposed Articles also include additional amendments that the shareholders are voting upon separately under Proposals Two, Three, Five, and Six. Approval of this proposal is not contingent upon, nor will it be affected by, approval or disapproval of Proposals Two, Three, Five, and Six.

The Proposed Articles include revisions to the indemnification provisions ( Indemnification Revisions ) and addition of provisions to limit personal liability of directors as permitted by the Illinois Business Corporation Act (IBCA) ( Director Liability Revisions ). These revisions and those included in Proposals Five and Six provide changes that we believe are appropriate and prudent for a public company. The Company last updated its Articles of Incorporation in 1997, and these proposed changes represent what we believe are current best practices typically utilized

for public company charters.

**Indemnification.** The proposed Indemnification Revisions in Article Five of the Existing Articles would make the indemnification of persons who are subject to Company indemnification, but who are not officers and directors, optional (in the Board s discretion) rather than mandatory. The Company believes the Indemnification Revisions appropriately provides the Company and Board with the necessary discretion over coverage in certain circumstances while retaining the mandatory indemnification for directors and officers that is customary in corporate charters.

**Limitation of Director Personal Liability.** The Proposed Articles also add a provision in Article Five of the Existing Articles that is statutorily-permitted by

the IBCA, which provision limits personal liability of directors to the Company and its shareholders for monetary damages for breach of fiduciary duty, except in circumstances involving: (i) breach of duty of loyalty to the Company or its shareholders, (ii) acts or omissions not in good faith or that involve intentional misconduct or a knowing violation of law, (iii) improper distributions to shareholders, (iv) certain acts during a dissolution of the Company, or (v) any transaction from which the director derived an improper personal benefit, all as set forth in Section 2.10(b)(3) of the IBCA. The Company believes inclusion of this optional feature in our Articles of Incorporation will provide basic director liability protections that are permitted by the IBCA and standard for Illinois corporations, will limit unnecessary liability exposure, and enhance the Company s ability to recruit and retain directors.

**Recommendation of the Board of Directors.** The Board of Directors has unanimously approved the Proposed Articles and has determined that the Indemnification Revisions and Director Liability Revisions are in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

The Indemnification Revisions and Director Liability Revisions as manifested in the Proposed Articles shall only be effectuated and effective if shareholder approval is secured; if such shareholder approval is not secured, the Indemnification Revisions and Director Liability Revisions shall not be effectuated.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company is required for adoption of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote For Proposal Four and the Amendments to the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Revise the Indemnification Provisions and Limit Director Personal Liability.

# Proposal Five: Amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Eliminate Shareholder Written Consent

General. The Company's Board of Directors has unanimously approved, subject to shareholder approval, the amendment and restatement of the Company's Existing Articles to make several changes which are embodied in the Proposed Articles. Copies of the Existing Articles, which are marked to show proposed changes, and of the Proposed Articles are being provided to you along with this Proxy Statement as, Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively. We encourage you to read the Proposed Articles carefully and in their entirety and to compare them to the Existing Articles. The Proposed Articles also include additional amendments that the shareholders are voting upon separately under Proposals Two, Three, Four, and Six. Approval of this proposal is not contingent upon, nor will it be affected by, approval or disapproval of Proposals Two, Three, Four, and Six.

**Elimination of Shareholder Written Consent.** The proposed changes in Article Six of the Existing Articles eliminate the ability of Company shareholders to take actions by written consent and without a meeting of the shareholders. Unless such acts are specifically eliminated in the articles of incorporation, the IBCA permits such written actions by shareholders holding shares sufficient to have taken such action in a meeting. The Company believes this provision is not appropriate for a public company and that its elimination will ensure that significant matters are brought to the shareholders in a formal and orderly format.

**Recommendation of the Board of Directors.** The Board of Directors has unanimously approved the Proposed Articles and has determined that the Elimination of Shareholder Written Consent is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

The Elimination of Shareholder Written Consent as manifested in the Proposed Articles shall only be effectuated and effective if shareholder approval is secured; if such shareholder approval is not secured, the Elimination of Shareholder Written Consent shall not be effectuated.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company is required for adoption of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote For Proposal Five and the Amendments to the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Eliminate Shareholder Written Consent.

13

# Proposal Six: Amend the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Reduce the Shareholder Vote Required for Asset Sale Transactions

General. The Company's Board of Directors has unanimously approved, subject to shareholder approval, the amendment and restatement of the Company's Existing Articles to make several changes which are embodied in the Proposed Articles. Copies of the Existing Articles, which are marked to show proposed changes, and of the Proposed Articles are being provided to you along with this Proxy Statement as, Exhibit A and Exhibit B, respectively. We encourage you to read the Proposed Articles carefully and in their entirety and to compare them to the Existing Articles. The Proposed Articles also include additional amendments that the shareholders are voting upon separately under Proposals Two through Five. Approval of this proposal is not contingent upon, nor will it be affected by, approval or disapproval of Proposals Two through Five.

The Proposed Articles include reduction of the shareholder voting level required to approve asset sale transactions ( Vote Reduction ) and other minor clerical revisions.

**Reduction of Vote Required for Asset Sale Transactions.** The proposed Vote Reduction changes in Article Seven of the Existing Articles revise the shareholder vote required for approval of sales of all or substantially all of the Company assets from a supermajority vote down to a standard majority vote. The Existing Articles currently permit a standard majority shareholder vote for mergers and other business combinations, and this proposed change harmonizes the shareholder vote requirement for both types of transactions.

**Recommendation of the Board of Directors.** The Board of Directors has unanimously approved the Proposed Articles and has determined that the Vote Reduction is in the best interests of the Company and its shareholders.

The Vote Reduction as manifested in the Proposed Articles shall only be effectuated and effective if shareholder approval is secured; if such shareholder approval is not secured, the Vote Reduction shall not be effectuated.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock of the Company is required for adoption of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote For Proposal Six and the Amendments to the Company s Amended and Restated Articles of Incorporation to Reduce the Shareholder Vote Required for Asset Sale Transactions.

Proposal Seven:
Ratification of Selection of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

The Audit Committee has selected KPMG LLP (KPMG), the Company s independent registered public accounting firm since 1983, to serve as the Company s independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year if their selection is approved by the shareholders. In view of the difficulty and expense involved in changing auditors on short notice, if KPMG is not approved by the shareholders, it is contemplated the appointment for the fiscal year 2008 will be permitted to stand unless the Audit Committee finds other compelling reasons for making a change. Disapproval of KPMG by the shareholders will be considered an indication to the Audit Committee to select other auditors for the following year.

Representatives of KPMG are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting with the opportunity to make a statement, if they desire, and will be available to respond to appropriate questions from the shareholders.

The affirmative vote of the holders of at least a majority of the shares of Common Stock of the Company present and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting is required for adoption of this proposal.

The Board of Directors recommends the shareholders vote For Proposal Seven and the ratification of selection of KPMG LLP as independent registered public accounting firm of the Company for the current year.

14

#### **Corporate Governance and Board Matters**

**Corporate Governance Principles.** The Company is committed to having sound corporate governance principles that are designed to ensure that the Board exercises reasonable business judgment in discharging its obligations to the Company and its shareholders. Corporate governance practices also help to ensure that full and transparent disclosures are made to the Company shareholders and the SEC.

The Company s published Corporate Governance Guidelines, which are publicly available on the Company s Internet site under Investors at www.rlicorp.com, and are available in print to any shareholder who requests them, outline the directors responsibilities, which include attendance at shareholder, Board and committee meetings. Each member of the Board attended the 2007 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and was available to respond to appropriate questions from the shareholders.

The Company has developed an orientation process that encourages new directors to attend a director seminar in their first year as a director. Each year, certain of the incumbent directors are requested to attend an accredited director seminar selected by the Company.

**Director Independence.** The Board is required to affirmatively determine the independence of each director and to disclose such determination in the proxy statement for each Annual Meeting of Shareholders of the Company. The Board has established guidelines, which are set forth below, to assist it in making this determination, which incorporate all of the NYSE independence standards. Only independent directors serve on the Company s Audit Committee, Executive Resources Committee and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee.

It is the policy of the Board of Directors of the Company that a majority of its members be independent. To be considered independent under the NYSE Listing Standards, the Board must affirmatively determine that a director or director nominee (collectively referred to as director) has no material relationship with the Company (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the Company), and also meet other specific independence tests. The Board examines the independence of each of its members once per year, and again if a member soutside affiliations change substantially during the year. With the exception of the Chairman of the Board and the President & CEO, the Board has affirmatively determined that each director is independent within the meaning of the NYSE Listing Standards and the Company s Director Independence Standards.

The Board has established the following categorical standards, incorporating the NYSE s independence standards to assist it in determining director independence:

- (a) A Director will not be independent if:
- (i) the Director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of RLI, or an immediate family member of the Director is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer of RLI;
- (ii) the Director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$100,000 in direct compensation from RLI, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service);

- (iii) (A) the Director or an immediate family member is a current partner of a firm that is RLI s internal or external auditor; (B) the Director is a current employee of such a firm; (C) the Director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and who participates in the firm s audit, assurance or tax compliance (but not tax planning) practice; or (D) the Director or an immediate family member was within the last three years (but is no longer) a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on RLI s audit within that time;
- (iv) the Director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of RLI s present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company s compensation committee; or
- (v) the Director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, RLI for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues.

- (b) The following commercial and charitable relationships will not be considered to be material relationships that would impair a Director s independence:
- (i) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director, employee or holder of an equity interest of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, RLI for property or services in an amount which, in the last fiscal year, does not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company s consolidated gross revenues;
- (ii) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director, employee or holder of an equity interest of a company that is indebted to RLI, or to which RLI is indebted, and the total amount of either company s indebtedness to the other does not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company s total consolidated assets;
- (iii) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director or employee of a company in which RLI owns an equity interest, and the amount of RLI s equity interest in such other company does not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such other company s total shareholders equity;
- (iv) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is a holder of an equity interest of a company of which a class of equity security is registered under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, and in which RLI owns an equity interest;
- (v) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, is an executive officer, director, employee or holder of an equity interest of a company that owns an equity interest in RLI; and
- (vi) if a Director, or an immediate family member of the Director, serves as an officer, director or trustee of a tax exempt organization, and the contributions from RLI to such tax exempt organization in the last fiscal year do not exceed the greater of \$1 million, or 2 percent of such tax exempt organization s consolidated gross revenues. (RLI s automatic matching of employee charitable contributions will not be included in the amount of RLI s contributions for this purpose.)
- (c) For relationships not covered by the standards in subsection (b) above, the determination of whether the relationship is material or not, and therefore whether the Director would be independent or not, shall be made by the Directors who satisfy the independence standards set forth in subsections (a) and (b) above. RLI is required to explain in its proxy statement the basis for any Board determination that a relationship was immaterial, despite the fact that it did not meet the categorical standards of immateriality set forth in subsection (b) above.

#### **Board Independence Status**

Director	Independent	Non-Management	Management
Barbara R. Allen	X		
John T. Baily	X		
Richard H. Blum	X		
Jordan W. Graham	X		
Gerald I. Lenrow	X		
Charles M. Linke	X		
F. Lynn McPheeters	X		
Jonathan E. Michael			X
Gerald D. Stephens		X	
Edward F. Sutkowski	X		
Robert O. Viets	X		

The following relationships were reviewed in connection with determining director independence but were determined to not affect such persons independence:

Prior to February 1, 2006, Mr. Blum was the non-executive Chairman of Axis Capital Holdings (Axis), affiliates of which include reinsurance and insurance companies. Other than an immaterial, minority equity ownership in Axis, Mr. Blum has no employee, officer or director affiliations with the Axis group of companies. From time to time the Company enters into immaterial reinsurance arrangements with certain of the Axis companies.

Mr. Baily is a director of Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd. affiliates of which include reinsurance companies. From time to time the Company enters into immaterial reinsurance arrangements with the Endurance companies.

Messrs. Baily and Lenrow each formerly were partners with predecessor firms of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ( PwC ). Mr. Baily retired from PwC in 1999, and Mr. Lenrow retired from PwC in 1990, continuing as a consultant until 1996. From time to time, the Company engages PwC for special projects and services in actuarial, tax and other areas.

**Director Nomination Policy.** The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee of the Company considers director candidates based upon a number of qualifications. A nominee should have:

- A reputation for the highest professional and personal ethics and values, fairness, honesty and good judgment;
- A significant breadth of experience, knowledge and abilities to assist the Board in fulfilling its responsibilities;
- Been in a generally recognized position of leadership in his or her field of endeavor; and
- Commitment to enhancing shareholder value.

Nominees with insurance and accounting backgrounds are particularly desirable. A nominee should not have a conflict of interest that would impair the nominee s ability to represent the interests of the Company s shareholders and fulfill the responsibilities of a director.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee conducts an annual assessment of the composition of the Board and its committees and reviews the appropriate skills and characteristics required of Board members. The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee relies upon recommendations from a wide variety of its business contacts, including current executive officers, directors, community leaders, and shareholders as sources for potential director candidates, but may also utilize third party search firms if so desired.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee will consider qualified director candidates recommended by shareholders. Any shareholder may recommend nominees for director by writing to the Corporate Secretary of the Company at 9025 N. Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, Illinois 61615, giving the candidate s name, biographical data and qualifications. Any such recommendation should be accompanied by a written statement from the candidate of his or her consent to be named as a candidate and, if nominated and elected, to serve as a director.

Code of Conduct. The Company has adopted a Code of Conduct, which is designed to help directors and employees maintain ethical behavior and resolve ethical issues in an increasingly complex global business environment. The Code of Conduct applies to all directors and employees, including the Chief Executive Officer, the Chief Financial Officer, the Controller and any other employee with any responsibility for the preparation and filing of documents with the SEC. The Code of Conduct covers topics including, but not limited to, conflicts of interest, confidentiality of information, and compliance with laws and regulations. A copy of the Code of Conduct is available at the Company s website under Investors at www.rlicorp.com and is available in print to any shareholder who requests it. The Company may post amendments to or waivers of the provisions of the Code of Conduct, if any, made with respect to any of our directors and executive officers on that website. Please note, however, that the information contained on the website is not incorporated by reference in, or considered to be a part of, this document.

**Shareholder and Interested Parties Communications.** Any shareholder or other interested party who desires to communicate with the Board s Presiding Director of the Board s non-management and independent directors or any of the other members of the Company s Board of Directors may do so electronically by sending an email to the following address: Presiding\_Director@rlicorp.com. Alternatively, a shareholder or other interested party may communicate with the Presiding Director or any of the other members of the Board by writing to: Presiding Director, RLI Corp. 9025 N. Lindbergh Drive, Peoria, Illinois 61615. Communications may be addressed to the Presiding Director, an individual director, a Board Committee, the non-

17

management directors or the full Board. Communications received by the Presiding Director will then be distributed to the appropriate directors. Solicitations for the sale of merchandise, publications or services of any kind will not be forwarded to the directors.

Company Policy on Related Party Transactions. The Company recognizes that related party transactions present a heightened risk of conflicts of interest and/or improper valuation (or the perception thereof) and therefore has adopted a written policy which shall be followed in connection with all related party transactions involving the Company. The policy requires approval by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee for all transactions between the Company and its directors, officers, shareholders owning in excess of 5 percent of the Company, and their family members and affiliates, above \$10,000.

In May 2007, Messrs. Michael, Stone and Dondanville purchased their respective Company-provided cars from the Company. Please see further discussion under Compensation Discussion & Analysis, Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits.

**Certain Relationships and Related Transactions.** In 2007, there were no transactions or series of similar transactions to which the Company was or is to be a party in which the amount involved exceeded or will exceed \$120,000 and in which any director, executive officer or holder of more than 5 percent of the Common Stock of the Company had or will have a direct or indirect material interest.

#### **Committees of the Board of Directors**

The Board has five standing committees: Audit, Executive Resources, Finance and Investment, Nominating/Corporate Governance and Strategy. The Audit, Executive Resources and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committees are composed solely of independent directors in compliance with the Company s requirements and the NYSE Listing Standards. Since 2003, each Committee Chair s term has been limited to no more than five (5) years. The Audit, Executive Resources and Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee Chairpersons terms will expire, and new Chairpersons appointed, immediately following the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. Charters for each committee are available on the Company s website under Investors at www.rlicorp.com.

**Audit Committee.** The Company s Audit Committee, composed exclusively of independent directors, met 11 times in 2007 to consider various audit and financial reporting matters, including the Company s outside audit firm relationship and to discuss the planning of the Company s annual outside audit and its results. The Committee also monitored the Company s management of its exposures to risk of financial loss, assessed the auditors performance, reviewed the adequacy of the Company s internal controls, reviewed the extent and scope of audit coverage, reviewed quarterly financial results, monitored selected financial reports and selected the Company s independent registered public accounting firm. The Audit Committee also meets in executive session, with no members of management present, after its regular meetings.

Fees for services rendered by KPMG, the Company s Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm, for the past two fiscal years for each of the following categories of services, are set forth below:

	Fiscal Year 2007	Fiscal Year 2006
Audit Fees	\$ 760,000	\$ 638,000
Audit-Related Fees	\$ -0-	\$ 20,000**
Tax Fees		
Tax Compliance	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Other Tax Services	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
All Other Fees	\$ -0-	\$ -0-
Total Fees	\$ 760,000	\$ 658,000

<sup>\*\*</sup>Audit-related fees consisted of fees billed for assistance provided in connection with SEC-related matters and additional analysis on the income statement accounts.

Audit fees relate to professional services rendered for the audit of the consolidated financial statements of the Company, audits of the financial statements of certain subsidiaries and certain statutory audits, review of quarterly consolidated financial statements, assistance with review of documents filed with the SEC, including attestation as required under Sarbanes Oxley Section 404.

The Audit Committee is responsible for approving every engagement of KPMG to perform audit or non-audit services on behalf of the Company or any of its subsidiaries before KPMG is engaged to provide those services. The Audit Committee evaluated the effects that the provision of non-audit services may have on the Company s independent registered public accounting firm services.

The Board of Directors annually determines the financial literacy of the members of the Audit Committee pursuant to the NYSE required standards. The Board has determined that based on those standards, each member of the Audit Committee is independent and financially literate, and that each member possesses accounting or related financial management expertise. The Board of Directors has further determined that each of Messrs. Baily, Lenrow, McPheeters and Viets qualifies as an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC.

Mr. Baily serves as either a member or chair of the audit committee of four public companies including the Company. After examination of Mr. Baily s extensive accounting experience and considering his retirement from full-time employment, the Board determined that such simultaneous service would not impair his ability to effectively serve on the Audit Committee.

Messrs. Baily, Lenrow (Chairman), McPheeters and Viets are the members of the Committee.

**Executive Resources Committee.** The Company s Executive Resources Committee, composed exclusively of independent directors, met seven times in 2007 to evaluate and recommend salaries, bonuses and other compensation incentives of the President & Chief Executive Officer and certain key executive officers of the Company. The Committee also reviews and evaluates the President s personal goals, management development and succession planning and the Company s deferred compensation, stock option, retirement and medical programs.

Mrs. Allen, Messrs. Linke, Sutkowski and Viets (Chairman) are the members of the Committee.

**Finance and Investment Committee.** The Company s Finance and Investment Committee, composed of independent, management and non-management directors, oversees the Company s investment and corporate finance transactions, policies and guidelines, which includes reviewing investment performance, investment risk management exposure, and the Company s capital structure. This Committee met four times in 2007 to discuss ongoing financial, investment and capital matters.

Messrs. Blum, Graham, Linke, Michael (Chairman), Stephens and Sutkowski are the members of the Committee.

**Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee.** The Company s Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, composed exclusively of independent directors,

met four times in 2007 to guide the Company s corporate governance program, and to monitor and discuss current and emerging corporate governance principles and procedures. The Committee also counsels the Board with respect to Board and Committee organization, compensation, membership, function, and Board and Committee performance assessments, individually and collectively. The Committee identifies and reviews qualified individuals as potential new director candidates.

Messrs. Baily, Blum, Lenrow and McPheeters (Chairman) are the members of the Committee.

**Strategy Committee.** The Company s Strategy Committee, composed of both independent and management directors, met four times in 2007 to oversee the Company s strategic plan and its implementation.

Mrs. Allen, Messrs. Blum, Graham (Chairman), Linke, and Michael are the members of the Committee.

#### **Committee Membership**

		Executive	Nominating/ Corporate	Finance and	
Director	Audit	Resources	Governance	Investment	Strategy
Barbara R. Allen		X			X
John T. Baily	X		X		
Richard H. Blum			X	X	X
Jordan W. Graham				X	X*
Gerald I. Lenrow	X*		X		
Charles M. Linke		X		X	X
F. Lynn McPheeters	X		X*		
Jonathan E. Michael				X*	X
Gerald D. Stephens				X	
Edward F. Sutkowski		X		X	
Robert O. Viets	X	X*			

<sup>\*</sup> Chair of Committee

#### **Board Meetings and Compensation**

Meetings. During the year 2007, five meetings of the Board of Directors were held. No director attended fewer than 75 percent of the aggregate number of meetings of the Board and Board committees on which he or she served. In connection with each Board meeting, the independent and non-management directors meet in executive session with no members of management present. At least once a year, the independent directors meet in executive session with neither non-management nor management directors present. Effective May 3, 2007, Mr. Linke was elected Presiding Director of the Board s executive session until the 2008 Annual Meeting of Shareholders. On February 7, 2008, Mr. Viets was elected the Presiding Director of the executive session effective May 1, 2008 until the 2009 Annual Meeting of Shareholders.

**Director Compensation.** During 2007, Outside Directors (which consist of our independent directors and our non-management director) were compensated as follows:

Annual Board Retainer:	\$ 85,000*
Annual Committee Retainer:	
Audit	\$ 15,000
All Other Committees	\$ 10,000
Additional Annual Committee	
Chair Retainer:	
Audit	\$ 20,000
Executive Resources	\$ 20,000
All Other Committees	\$ 10,000
Chairman of the Board Fee:	\$ 85,000

<sup>\*</sup>Split between cash and stock grants at the election of the director.

Directors are also reimbursed for actual travel and related expenses incurred and are provided a travel accident policy funded by the Company.

Outside Director compensation for 2008 remains the same as the 2007 compensation noted above.

The following table provides the compensation of the Company s Board of Directors for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007.

Name (a)	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$)(1) (b)	Stock Awards (\$) (c)	Option Awards (\$) (d)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) (e)	Change in Pension Value and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Earnings (f)	All Other Compensation (\$) (g)	Total (\$) (h)
Barbara R. Allen	105,000	(2)	( <b>u</b> )	(0)	(1)	(8)	105,000
John T. Baily	110,000	(2)	(3	3)			110,000
Richard H. Blum	115,000	(2)		3)			115,000
Jordan W. Graham	115,000	(2)					115,000
Gerald I. Lenrow	130,000	(2)	(3	3)			130,000
Charles M. Linke	115,000	(2)	(3	3)			115,000
F. Lynn McPheeters	120,000	(2)	(3	3)			120,000
Jonathan E. Michael	(4)						
Gerald D. Stephens	180,000(5)	(2)	(6	5)		54,191(7)	234,191
Edward F. Sutkowski	105,000	(2)	(3	3)			105,000
Robert O. Viets	130,000	(2)	(3	3)			130,000

<sup>(1)</sup> Each outside director elects the form of their Annual Retainer, which may be received either in cash or in Company stock, or a combination of both, in accordance with the Director Deferred Compensation Plan or the Outside Directors Fee Award Agreement. Each outside director also elects the form of their Annual Committee Retainer and Annual Committee Chair Retainer, if applicable, which may be received in either cash or in stock in accordance with the Director Deferred Compensation Plan. Amounts shown include the value of fees taken in the form of Company stock.

- (2) See column (a) and related footnote (1).
- (3) Effective May 7, 2004, no further options were granted to outside directors under the Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors. The aggregate number of outstanding stock options under the Directors Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors as of December 31, 2007, were as follows: Mr. Baily 4,233 options; Mr. Blum 10,664 options; Mr. Lenrow 7,200 options; Mr. Linke 5,407 options; Mr. McPheeters 14,482 options; Mr. Sutkowski 5,400 options; and Mr. Viets 10,800 options. The vesting of all stock options was accelerated in 2005 to eliminate the

21

requirement for recognizing future compensation expense under Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) Statement No. 123R and, therefore, all such options were fully vested.

- (4) Mr. Michael, as a non-independent Management Director, does not receive Director fees. His compensation as President and CEO is disclosed under the Executive Compensation Summary Compensation Table.
- (5) Effective January 1, 2006, Mr. Stephens retired as an employee of the Company and receives the same compensation as all outside directors of the Company. In addition, Mr. Stephens was paid an \$85,000 fee as Chairman of the Board for 2007.
- (6) The aggregate number of outstanding stock options under the Incentive Stock Option Plan as of December 31, 2007 for Mr. Stephens was 108,738 options.
- (7) Represents personal use of the Company aircraft imputed at the Standard Industry Fare Level. Mr. Stephens was also provided an office when present at the Company s home office, and part-time secretarial support at the aggregate cost of \$40,142.

Stock Option Plan for Outside Directors. Prior to May 7, 2004, the Director Plan provided for the grant of an option to purchase 3,000 shares of the Company s Common Stock to each newly elected or appointed outside director. In addition, effective the first business day in February of each year, each outside director was annually granted an option to purchase 1,800 shares of the Company s Common Stock under the Director Plan. If the Company earned more than its cost of capital as provided under its MVP Plan in each respective year, each outside director was granted an option to purchase 1,800 additional shares of the Company s Common Stock under the Director Plan, effective the first business day in February of the succeeding year. The exercise price of each option granted is an amount equal to the fair market value of such option share on the grant date, and all options granted provided for one-third annual vesting over a period of three years. In the event of an outside director s death, disability or termination of status as an outside director, all options granted become fully vested. Effective May 7, 2004, no future options were granted to outside directors under the Director Plan. In 2005, the Board approved the acceleration of the vesting of all outstanding stock options, including options issued to outside directors under the Director Plan. The Board took this action to eliminate the requirement for recognizing future compensation expense under FASB Statement No. 123R, which became effective for the Company s financial statements on January 1, 2006.

Outside Directors Fee Award AgreementThe Director Fee Award Agreement provides for each newly elected or appointed outside director to receive a stock award having a fair market value equal to an amount determined by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee. Likewise, it further provides for each outside director to receive an annual stock award equal to an amount determined by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee (Annual Stock Award). With respect to the Annual Stock Award, for each fiscal quarter ending during the fiscal year, the Company will issue to each outside director a stock award having a fair market value equal to one-fourth of the Annual Stock Award (Quarterly Stock Award) such that the number of shares of Common Stock subject to such stock grant will be equal to the number determined by dividing the Quarterly Stock Award by the fair market value of a share of Common Stock on the date of award. For 2007 and 2008, each outside director is aggregate compensation for service on the Board (before payments for Committee and Chairman service) was set at \$85,000, and each outside director was given the opportunity to elect how much of that was the Annual Stock Award and how much was the annual cash retainer.

**Director Deferred Compensation Plan.** Prior to the beginning of each year, an outside director may elect to defer the compensation otherwise payable or awarded to the director during the succeeding year pursuant to the Deferred Plan. Under the Deferred Plan, the Company must transfer to a bank trustee, under an irrevocable trust established by the Company, such number of shares as are equal to the compensation as earned and deferred during the relevant year. Dividends on these shares are reinvested quarterly under the Company s Dividend Reinvestment Plan. In general, Deferred Plan benefits are distributable beginning when the director s status terminates.

**Director Share Ownership.** Each outside director is encouraged to, within three years of the later of (i) January 1, 2006, or (ii) their initial appointment as a Company director, own shares of the Common Stock of the Company having a value of not less

22

than 500 percent of such Director's Annual Board Retainer, which Retainer was \$60,000 in 2006 and \$85,000 in 2007. Shares held directly and in Company benefit plans are counted to satisfy the guideline, but options, whether vested or not, are not counted.

The Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee monitors Directors—share ownership and may make allowances to accommodate timing of purchases caused by—quiet period—limitations, periodic adjustments to the Annual Board Retainer, and other factors affecting a Director—s share ownership level. The Committee has concluded that all Directors have met or are making satisfactory progress toward expected ownership levels.

#### **Audit Committee Report**

The following report by the Audit Committee is required by the rules of the SEC to be included in this Proxy Statement and shall not be considered incorporated by reference in other filings by the Company with the SEC.

The Audit Committee (the Committee ) of the Company s Board of Directors is composed of four independent directors and operates under a written charter adopted by the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors believes that the Committee s current composition satisfies the NYSE rules governing audit committee composition and that each of the members of the Audit Committee qualifies as independent for purposes of the NYSE Listing Standards and the rules of the SEC. The Board of Directors has further determined that each of Messrs. Baily, Lenrow, McPheeters and Viets is an audit committee financial expert as defined by the SEC.

The Committee reviews the internal audit function of the Company, including the independence and authority of its reporting obligations, the proposed audit plans for the coming year, and the coordination of such plans with the independent registered public accounting firm.

The Committee selects the Company s independent registered public accounting firm and provides assistance to the members of the Board of Directors in fulfilling their oversight functions of the financial reporting practices, including satisfying obligations imposed by Section 404 of the Sarbanes Oxley Act, and financial statements of the Company. It is not the duty of the Committee, however, to plan or conduct audits or to determine that the Company s financial statements are complete and accurate and are in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles. The Company s independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for planning and conducting audits; and the Company s management is responsible for determining that the Company s financial statements are complete and accurate and in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles.

The Committee received reports and reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management and the independent registered public accounting firm. The Committee also discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the Section 404 obligations and matters required to be discussed by Statement on Auditing Standards No. 114. The Company s independent registered public accounting firm also provided to the Committee the written disclosures and letter required by the Independence Standards Board Standard No. 1. The Committee discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm that firm s independence and any relationships that may impact that firm s objectivity and independence.

Based on the Committee s discussion with and review of reports from management and its independent registered public accounting firm and the Committee s reliance on the representation of management that the Company s consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with U.S. generally accepted accounting principles, the Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements of the Company be included in the Company s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the SEC.

**Members of the Audit Committee** 

Gerald I. Lenrow, Chairman

John T. Baily

F. Lynn McPheeters

Robert O. Viets

23

#### **Executive Resources Committee Report**

The Executive Resources Committee has reviewed and discussed with management of the Company the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement. Based on the Executive Resources Committee s review and discussions, it recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the Company s 2008 Proxy Statement.

#### Members of the Executive Resources Committee

Robert O. Viets, Chairman

Barbara A. Allen

Charles M. Linke

Edward F. Sutkowski

#### **Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation**

No member of the Executive Resources Committee is a current or former employee or officer of the Company or otherwise had any relationships to be disclosed within the scope of SEC regulations.

### Compensation Discussion & Analysis

Introduction/Corporate Governance. The Executive Resources Committee ( ERC ) is responsible for reviewing, determining, and administering specific compensation levels for certain senior executive officers and for overseeing other executive compensation programs and management succession and development processes. In 2007, the members of the ERC were Messrs. Viets (Chairman), Sutkowski, Linke, and Mrs. Allen.

ERC Members and Charter. ERC members are nominated by the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee, elected by the Board, and may be removed from the ERC at any time, with or without cause. The members of the ERC are independent directors under the independence standards developed by the Board, which incorporate all of the NYSE independence standards, and which are set out previously under the section entitled Corporate Governance and Board Matters. The Board annually determines the independence of each member of the ERC under those independence standards. The ERC operates under a Charter, which can be found at the Company s website at www.rlicorp.com. The ERC Charter is reviewed annually by the ERC and any proposed changes to the Charter are submitted to the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee for approval.

The ERC is responsible to the Board for (1) reviewing and providing advice regarding the executive compensation policy and the execution thereof; (2) reviewing and providing advice regarding the Company s management development and succession planning; (3) monitoring compensation actions by management below the executive level; (4) producing an annual report on executive compensation for approval by the Board for inclusion in the Company s proxy statement in accordance with applicable rules and regulations; and (5) supervising the implementation and operation of the Company s (i) Employee Welfare Benefit Plans (as defined in ERISA Section 3(1)), and (ii) Employee Pension Benefit Plans (as defined in ERISA Section 3(2)), specifically excluding, however, the Company s Employee Stock Ownership Plan and Trust. The ERC does not set or advise upon compensation of the Board, which function is in the purview of the Nominating/Corporate Governance Committee.

Governance Committee.
The principal functions and responsibilities of the ERC relate to compensation and management development, and are as follows:
Compensation:
1. Ensure that the Company s executive compensation policies and administration are directly aligned with the Company s strategy, are consistent with a pay for performance philosophy, and support long-term shareholder value creation.
2. Review and evaluate, at least annually, the corporate goals and objectives, the performance (in light of the goals and objectives) and the leadership of the Chief Executive Officer ( CEO ); determine and recommend compensation of the CEO to the independent Directors for approval; review performance and recommend
24

compensation of the non-CEO executive officers (designated by the ERC to be those identified under section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934) to the Board of Directors for approval.
3. Determine and approve annually the participants, target awards, and the Company s financial goals for the Market Value Potential Executive Incentive Program.
4. Review and approve (or recommend changes for the Board to approve) the Company s long-term incentive programs and plans and equity-based plans.
5. Review annual reports prepared by management disclosing all direct and indirect compensation paid to all home office and branch vice presidents.
6. Retain compensation consultants as appropriate to assist in the evaluation of CEO and other executive compensation.
7. Review annually management s summary report on all other executive compensation actions.
Management Development:
1. Review periodically the process and results for identifying key executive managers of the Company.
2. Review periodically the Company s key employee management development actions and succession plan.
3. Review periodically with the Board the Company s succession plan, including those plans for emergency succession in cases of the unexpected disability, of the CEO.
<b>ERC Meetings.</b> The ERC meets in person in February, May, August, and November in conjunction with Board meetings. The ERC holds additional meetings in person and telephonically as necessary. In 2007, the ERC held seven meetings. The agenda for each ERC meeting is established by the Chairman of the ERC in consultation with other ERC members. Mr. Michael and Jeffrey Fick. Vice President, Human

Resources. ERC materials are prepared by Messrs. Michael and Fick and are reviewed and approved by the ERC Chairman in advance of distribution to ERC members. The ERC meetings are attended by Messrs. Michael and Fick. In addition, Mr. Stephens attended six ERC

meetings in 2007. Messrs. Michael and Fick are excused during the executive session portion of the ERC meetings.

Mr. Michael plays a key role in the ERC s consideration of executive compensation levels and the design of executive compensation plans and programs. Mr. Michael recommends the following components of executive compensation to the ERC for review and recommendation to the Board:

- annual base salary levels;
- annual bonus targets and financial goals;
- and the form and amount of long-term incentives.

Mr. Michael makes such compensation recommendations for Messrs. Stone, Dondanville and Fick based on his judgment. In making compensation recommendations for other vice presidents, Mr. Michael relies upon his own judgment and the input of Messrs. Stone, Dondanville, and Fick.

**Objectives of Compensation Program.** The ERC s objective is to provide a total executive compensation program linked to Company performance that will attract, retain and motivate talented executives critical to the Company s long-term success. The ERC seeks to attract and retain these executives by offering competitive pay packages, including base salary and other performance based compensation. The ERC believes that a compensation structure that rewards individuals for achieving annual and long-term performance goals will motivate the executives to lead the Company to sustainable annual profitability and will enhance long-term shareholder value. The Company s overall approach to executive compensation is founded on the philosophy that compensation should reflect both the individual s and the Company s performance.

The Company also has a long-standing employee ownership culture, supported by its Employee Stock Ownership Plan implemented in 1974. The ownership culture creates strong alignment between the interests of employees and shareholders to foster long-term shareholder value. Consequently, the ERC has designed the executive compensation program to provide cash and stock-based incentives and has implemented a stock ownership guideline requiring significant levels of stock ownership (direct and indirect) for key executives.

Base pay levels are guided by competitive market data in the insurance industry for jobs and their associated skill sets. In general, the Company targets base pay at or below the median of base salary for comparable positions in the insurance industry. The Company has a long-standing philosophy that executive annual and long-term

incentive compensation should be at risk, but with significant upside potential associated with superior annual and long-term financial results. Total cash compensation (base salary and annual incentives) for executives exceeds the median of competitive market data for the insurance industry if the Company s and individual participants annual incentive goals are met. Long-term incentives are awarded to senior level employees who are in a position to directly influence business decisions that have long-term consequences. The Company targets long-term incentives at approximately the median of competitive market data. Total compensation and other benefits are determined by reference to insurance industry compensation surveys and by comparison to peer companies described below.

	s of Executive Compensation and Compensation Program Design. The Company s total executive compensation program is d of the following components:
(a)	Total annual cash compensation consisting of:
(i)	Base salary;
(ii) CFO; an	Annual Bonuses under the Market Value Potential Executive Incentive Program ( MVP Program ) for the CEO, COO and
(iii) manager	Annual Bonuses under the Management Incentive Plan for other vice presidents, assistant vice presidents, and other senior s.
(b)	Long-term incentive compensation granted under the Incentive Stock Option Plan and Omnibus Stock Plan; and
	Perquisites. All executives are provided with travel accident insurance and are reimbursed any out of pocket costs for examinations not covered by the Company s health plan. The CEO, COO, and CFO are permitted to use the Company s aircraft pursuarily lease approved by the Board of Directors, with maximum use limited to total lease charges of 6.5 percent of base salary.

# **Annual Compensation**

Base Salary. Executive base salaries are targeted to be at or below the median base salary for comparable positions in the insurance industry, based on performance, experience, potential and the level of base salary necessary to attract and retain top executive talent. In 2007, the ERC set base salary ranges for the CEO, CFO, and COO based on publicly available executive compensation data from the following peer companies: W.R. Berkley Corporation; HCC Insurance Holdings, Inc.; St. Paul Travelers; ACE Limited; Axis Capital Holdings Limited; Arch Capital Group Ltd.; The Chubb Corporation; CNA Financial Corporation; Zenith National Insurance Corp.; LandAmerica Financial Group, Inc.; Selective Insurance Group, Inc.; Horace Mann Educators Corporation; Argonaut Group, Inc.; Mercury General Corporation; Cincinnati Financial Corporation; Philadelphia Insurance Companies; Alleghany Corporation; Endurance Specialty Holdings Ltd.; Midland National Life

Insurance Company; CNA Surety Corporation; PMA Capital Corporation; SCPIE Holdings Inc.; Markel Insurance Company; and NYMagic, Inc.

The ERC selected these peer companies because each competes within the property and casualty insurance industry and sell a variety of insurance products that serve both commercial entities and individuals that can generally be defined as specialty in nature, or targeted toward niche markets. The peer companies have established records of financial performance, and most have been publicly traded for at least five years, facilitating the comparison of the Company s financial performance to theirs. The ERC compares the relative ranking among the Company and peer companies for total compensation for the CEO, COO, and CFO positions to the relative performance ranking for three-year combined ratio; market capitalization; price-to-book ratio; and total return to shareholders for one, three, five, and ten year timeframes. Base salaries for other executive positions are established by reference to the publicly available salary survey data, including median base salary levels, for executive salaries in the insurance industry.

Market Value Potential Executive Incentive Program (MVP Program). The Company has paid annual cash bonuses to certain executive officers under the Market Value Potential Executive Incentive Compensation Plan (MVP Plan), adopted by the shareholders in 1997, and again ratified by the shareholders in 2002. The MVP Plan was replaced with the RLI Incentive Compensation Plan in 2006 when it was approved by shareholders. In 2006, as authorized under the RLI Incentive Compensation Plan, the Board of Directors approved the MVP Program, which incorporated all material provisions of the prior MVP Plan.

The MVP Program uses a measure called Market Value Potential, or MVP, which measures the returns earned

by the Company above its cost of capital, as a direct gauge of shareholder value creation. MVP is (1) the actual return (the increase in adjusted GAAP book value), less (2) the required return (invested capital multiplied by the blended cost of capital). The time horizon of the MVP Program focuses participants on both annual results and long-term shareholder value creation. The MVP Program employs a banking feature which places annual award amounts (which may be positive or negative) into a bonus bank that is at risk depending on future financial results, paying a portion of the bonus bank each year to participants as a cash bonus.

Participation in the MVP Program, percentage awards, and the formula to calculate MVP are recommended by the ERC and approved annually by the independent directors of the Board of Directors for Mr. Michael and the Board of Directors for other participants. In 2007, participation in the MVP Program was limited to Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Dondanville. The Board of Directors has concluded that the senior executive management team (the CEO, COO, and CFO) is most responsible for the operating and investment decisions that directly impact the creation of long-term shareholder value, and therefore should be rewarded with incentive compensation that is directly and exclusively tied to the creation of MVP. The MVP Program thus rewards the senior executive management team for creating incremental shareholder value by earning returns in excess of the Company s cost of capital.

Each participant in the MVP Program receives an annual MVP bonus award expressed as a certain percentage of MVP. The ERC determines each executive s percentage award under the MVP Program at the beginning of each year taking into account studies of base salary, bonus, and total compensation of the peer companies, the executive officer s scope of responsibilities, and individual performance. The assigned percentage multiplied by actual MVP is credited annually to a MVP bonus bank for each participant. If the required return is not achieved, any amount less than the required return is charged to each participant s bonus bank at the same rate. Negative bank balances carry over to the next year. Interest at the three-year U.S. Government Treasury Bill rate is accrued on any positive unpaid bonus bank balance on December 31 of each year.

Annually, a percentage of a participant s MVP Program bonus bank (if positive) is paid as a cash bonus payment. The bonus payout percentage equals 33 percent of a participant s positive bonus bank balance attributable to contributions to the bonus bank for years 2007 and later, and 40 percent of a participant s bank balance attributable to contributions to the bonus bank for years 2006 and earlier. For example, if a participant s bank balance with interest was \$1.3 million, \$1 million of which was for 2006 and earlier and \$300,000 of which was contributed to the bonus bank for 2007, the annual cash bonus payment for 2007 would be \$500,000 (40 percent of \$1 million, and 33 percent of \$300,000). The MVP Program therefore provides an annual incentive with a long-term banking feature and thus aligns participants annual incentive compensation with the primary factors upon which long-term shareholder value is determined.

Individual annual MVP awards are capped at \$7.5 million. In 2006, the Board added a Board Approval Limit to the MVP Program. The Board Approval Limit provides that if an MVP Award to be credited to, or charged against, a participant s bonus bank exceeds 300 percent of year-end base salary, the independent directors of the Board must approve that portion of the MVP Award in excess of the Board Approval Limit.

The ERC reviewed the Company s 2007 results and approved the MVP awards for the fiscal year 2007. MVP awards approved by the ERC for 2007 for Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Dondanville exceeded the Board Approval Limit of 300 percent of their respective base salaries. The ERC concluded that the award amounts above the Board Approval Limit resulted from the creation of MVP attributable to outstanding operating results and strong investment returns which created corresponding shareholder value. The ERC accordingly recommended, and the independent directors of the Board approved that portion of 2007 MVP awards for Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Dondanville above the Board Approval Limit.

The Company s MVP in 2007 was \$109.1 million, compared to 2006 MVP of \$114.2 million. The MVP award, expressed as a percentage of MVP, assigned to each participant for 2007 was as follows: 2.0 percent for Mr. Michael, 1.2 percent for Mr. Stone and 0.8 percent for Mr. Dondanville, the same respective award for each participant since 2001. Pursuant to the MVP Program, MVP bonuses are calculated after tax, so amounts credited to a participant s MVP bonus bank are grossed up by the Company s marginal state and federal tax rate. The total credit

to Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Dondanville s MVP bonus banks for 2007, including the gross up amounts, was \$6.8 million, compared to the contribution for 2006 of \$7.1 million. The following amounts were credited to MVP bonus banks for 2007: Mr. Michael, \$3,398,661; Mr. Stone, \$2,039,196; and Mr. Dondanville, \$1,359,464. The following amounts, representing 40 percent of each participant s respective MVP bonus bank balance attributable to MVP awards for years 2006 and prior and 33 percent of each participant s MVP bonus bank balance attributable to MVP

awards for 2007 and later, were paid in February 2008 with respect to 2007: Mr. Michael, \$2,497,496; Mr. Stone, \$1,497,809, and Mr. Dondanville, \$1,000,530. After the February 2008 MVP bonus payments, the MVP bank balance for each participant was: Mr. Michael, \$4,368,415; Mr. Stone, \$2,620,000; and Mr. Dondanville, \$1,749,693.

Management Incentive Program (MIP). Participants in the MIP include home office vice presidents, assistant vice presidents, and other senior managers. Target awards are granted annually and expressed as a percentage of base pay. Actual awards are based on individual performance against personal objectives, and Company performance against operating return on equity (ROE) and MVP goals. ROE is used as a financial goal to provide an incentive to increase annual profitability. MVP is used as a financial goal as a proxy for shareholder value creation. Actual awards for a year are paid in February of the following year and range from zero to a maximum of 150 percent of the target award for vice presidents. The ERC approves target awards for MIP participants at the vice president level. The CEO is responsible for establishing target award levels for other MIP participants.

For 2007, Messrs. Kennedy and Kliethermes were eligible for a MIP target award of 50 percent with a maximum award of 75 percent. The target award of 50 percent of base pay was comprised of a target of 15 percent of base pay for achieving the MVP goal of \$60 million, a target 15 percent of base pay for achieving the ROE goal of 15 percent, and a target of 20 percent of base pay for the achievement of individual objectives. Mr. Kennedy received a 2007 MIP award of \$206,910. Mr. Kliethermes received a 2007 MIP award of \$205,150.

#### **Long-Term Compensation**

**Deferred Compensation Plan (Deferred Plan).** Under the Company s Deferred Plan, an executive officer may elect to defer up to 100 percent of total cash compensation after payroll deductions. Upon an election by an executive officer to defer compensation, the Company transfers cash equal to the amount deferred to a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established by the Company, and the Trustee purchases such number of shares of Common Stock of the Company representing an amount equal to the compensation deferred by the executive officer. Pursuant to the Deferred Plan, dividends paid on the shares in such trust are used by the Trustee to purchase additional shares of Common Stock of the Company which are placed in the trust. The trust is considered to be a Rabbi Trust or grantor trust for tax purposes. The assets of the trust are subject to claims by the Company s creditors. The Deferred Plan generally provides that the shares credited to the participant s account will be paid upon termination of employment over five years. Mr. Kliethermes deferred income under the Deferred Plan in 2007. Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Dondanville have deferred income under the Deferred Plan in prior years, and continue to receive dividends on shares held in the Deferred Plan.

Omnibus Stock Plan (Omnibus Plan). Under the Company s Omnibus Plan, certain employees, officers, consultants and directors of the Company are eligible to receive long-term incentive compensation in a variety of forms including non-qualified stock options, incentive stock options, stock appreciation rights, performance units, restricted stock awards, and other stock-based awards. The Omnibus Plan was adopted in 2005 and replaced the Company s Incentive Stock Option Plan ( ISOP ). The purpose of the Omnibus Plan is to promote the interests of the Company and its shareholders by providing key personnel of the Company with an opportunity to acquire a proprietary interest in the Company and rewarding them for achieving or exceeding the Company s performance goals. The grant of awards, the value of which is related to the value of the Company s Common Stock, aligns the interests of the Company s employees, executive officers, consultants, and directors with that of the shareholders. The ERC believes this arrangement develops a strong incentive for the key personnel to put forth maximum effort for the continued success and long-term growth of the Company.

In 2007, the Company awarded long-term incentive awards in the form of non-qualified stock option grants to certain employees and officers of the Company. In general, the stock options vest over five years at the rate of 20 percent per year and expire 10 years after grant.

The ERC believes stock options serve as incentives to executives to maximize long-term growth and profitability of the Company, an arrangement that benefits both the executives and shareholders. Stock options, also provide a means to retain key employees. The ERC determines the number of stock option awards an executive officer receives under the Omnibus Plan based on the executive officer s position, and a subjective assessment of the

executive officer s individual performance and anticipated future contributions to the Company. The ERC establishes and recommends to the independent directors of the Board the annual stock option grant for Mr. Michael, which is established based on a review of long-term incentive compensation of CEO positions among the peer companies described above. A target range of the value of annual long-term incentives awards, expressed as a percentage of base salary, has been established for all other Company executives. Mr. Michael recommends to the ERC proposed annual stock options awards within the target range for each position, and the ERC reviews and recommends those proposed stock option awards to the Board of Directors for approval.

**Employee Stock Ownership Plan (ESOP).** The Company s ESOP offers another valuable way of retaining and motivating the employees, including executive officers, who work 1,000 or more hours per year, by offering ownership in the Company on a long-term basis. The Board of Directors may approve an annual profit sharing contribution to the ESOP that is used by the ESOP to purchase Common Stock on behalf of the Company s employees, including executive officers. All ESOP participants, including executive officers, may receive an annual contribution expressed as a percentage of eligible compensation (limited for an individual employee to an annual cap of \$225,000 in earnings in 2007). For plan years prior to 2007, ESOP contributions vest 100 percent after five years of vesting service; for plan years 2007 and after, ESOP contributions vest 100 percent after three years of vesting service. For 2007, the Company made a discretionary profit sharing contribution to the ESOP of 12 percent of participants eligible compensation.

**401(k) Plan.** Effective January 1, 2004, the Company adopted a 401(k) Plan in which all employees, including executive officers, scheduled to work 1,000 or more hours per year, are entitled to participate. All participants receive a minimum annual contribution by the Company to their 401(k) accounts of three percent of eligible compensation (limited for an individual employee to an annual cap of \$225,000 in earnings in 2007), which is immediately vested. The Board of Directors may also approve discretionary profit sharing contributions to the 401(k) Plan, which are allocated in proportion to the eligible compensation paid to each participant, subject to statutory maximums. For plan years prior to 2007, the profit sharing contributions vest 100 percent after five years of vesting service; for plan years 2007 and after, profit sharing contributions vest after three years of vesting service. Participants are entitled to make their own elective deferrals to the 401(k) Plan through compensation reduction. For 2007, in addition to the 3 percent annual contribution, the Company made a discretionary profit sharing contribution to the 401(k) of 3 percent of participants eligible compensation.

**Key Employee Excess Benefit Plan (Key Plan).** The purpose of the Key Plan is to restore benefits lost to certain executive officers under the ESOP and 401(k) Plan due to limitations on benefits contained in the Internal Revenue Code. The Company transfers to a bank trustee under an irrevocable trust established by the Company such number of shares of Common Stock of the Company representing an amount equal to the benefits the participant would have earned in 401(k) and ESOP but for the limitation in the Internal Revenue Code on the maximum compensation on which those benefits may be calculated. The trust is considered to be a Rabbi Trust or grantor trust for tax purposes. The assets of the trust are subject to claims by the Company s creditors. The Key Plan generally provides that dividends are credited to the participant s account and reinvested in shares of Common Stock of the Company. The shares credited to the participant s account pursuant to the Key Plan will be paid upon termination of employment in five annual installments. Mr. Michael ceased active participation in the Key Plan in 2005. He continues to receive dividends on the shares held in the Key Plan. No other employee participates or has participated in the Key Plan.

**Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits.** Until May 2007, Messrs. Michael, Stone and Dondanville were authorized to use the Company s aircraft for their personal use, and were reimbursed for their personal commercial air travel and personal legal expenses, all of which could not exceed 6.5 percent of each of their respective base salary (hereinafter referred to as the travel/legal benefit). They were reimbursed for any taxes arising out of the receipts of these benefits, but only to the extent that these benefits and related taxes together did not exceed 6.5 percent of base salary. The travel/legal benefit was eliminated effective May 2007. In May 2007, an amount of 5 percent of base salary was added to the base salary for Messrs. Stone and Dondanville to compensate for the elimination of the travel/legal benefit, which amount was

recommended by Mr. Michael and the ERC and approved by the Board of Directors. At Mr. Michael s request, no increase was added to his base salary for the elimination of this benefit.

In May 2007, the Board authorized Messrs. Michael, Stone and Dondanville to continue to use the Company s aircraft for personal use, when it is not being used for business purposes, by paying an hourly lease rate pursuant to a lease arrangement approved by the Board at a rate of \$1,100 per hour representing the variable cost to operate the aircraft, including fuel. Use of the aircraft by Messrs. Michael, Stone and Dondanville is subject to a usage limit equal to hourly lease charges actually paid by Messrs. Michael, Stone, or Dondanville equal to 6.5 percent of their respective base salaries.

Until May 2007, Messrs. Michael, Stone and Dondanville were provided with a company car for personal and business use. The company car perquisite was eliminated effective May 2007 and \$7,500 was added to the base salary for Messrs. Stone and Dondanville to compensate for the elimination of that perquisite, which amount was recommended by Mr. Michael and the ERC and approved by the Board of Directors. At Mr. Michael s request, no increase was added to his base salary for the elimination of this benefit. At that time, the Board authorized Messrs. Michael, Stone and Dondanville to purchase their respective company cars at fair market value. Messrs. Michael, Stone and Dondanville each purchased their respective company car as reflected below:

Officer	Purch	ase Price	Vehicle	Mileage
Jon Michael	\$	26,650	2003 BMW 745I	63,500
Mike Stone	\$	21,125	2003 Lexus GS430	47,070
Joe Dondanville	\$	27,049	2005 Lexus RX330	12,200

Elements of Post-Termination Compensation and Benefits. The Company has not entered into any employment contracts or other severance agreements with any of its executive officers that would compensate the executive officers for or after departing the Company. Nor does the Company have change in control agreements with its executives and does not provide any additional benefits for executives in the event of a change in control.

Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Dondanville are participants in the MVP Program, which is described in more detail at page 26. Upon termination of employment of an MVP Program participant for any reason other than retirement (defined as the date at which a participant has attained both combined age and service with the Company of 75 and at least 10 years of service), death, or disability, all unpaid positive MVP bonus bank balances of the participant are forfeited unless the ERC deems otherwise. Upon retirement, a positive MVP bonus bank as calculated under the MVP Program will be paid to a participant in a lump sum within 90 days of retirement if the participant is age 65 or older, and as a quarterly annuity to age 65 using the five year Treasury Note in effect at the date of retirement if the Participant s age is less than 65. All such payments upon retirement are subject to ongoing restrictions on the participant s employment in the insurance industry, solicitation of Company employees, and solicitation of business away from the Company, among other obligations. At year-end 2007, Mr. Michael qualified for retirement under the MVP Program; Messrs. Stone and Dondanville did not qualify for retirement.

Under the terms of the Incentive Stock Option Plan and Omnibus Stock Plan, stock option grants vest upon the death, disability, or retirement of an optionee. Retirement is defined as combined age and years of service of 75 or greater. Stock options must be exercised within one year of the death of an optionee, and within three years of the disability or retirement of an optionee. In the event of the departure of an optionee for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement, vested options must be exercised within 90 days of the termination of employment, except that all vested options are forfeited if the optionee s employment is terminated for cause.

At the beginning of 2007, Mr. Michael s age and years of service exceeded 75. Accordingly, upon Mr. Michael s departure from the Company, he would meet the definition of retirement and all of his outstanding stock option grants will immediately vest, expiring on the earlier of the original expiration date or three years. At year-end 2007, none of the other named executive officers had age and years of service that would qualify them for retirement under the Incentive Stock Option Plan or Omnibus Stock Plan.

Under the Company s self-funded health plan for employees, coverage may be maintained at retirement, defined

30

as age 55 or older and at least 20 years of service, until age 65, by paying the full amount of the employee and Company premium. At the end of 2007, none of the named executive officers qualified for such continuation coverage.

**Stock Ownership/Retention Guidelines (Guideline)**. It is the Company s belief that key executives, those few executives who impact the stock price based on their achievements, should hold significant amounts of Company stock. The value of all shares owned, including those held outright and in benefit plans, but excluding the value of stock options held, must equal or exceed a multiple of their annual base salary, as shown below:

Position	\$ Value of Shares
CEO	6.0 x Base Salary
COO	4.0 x Base Salary
CFO	3.0 x Base Salary
Other Officers	1.5 x Base Salary

Executives to whom this Guideline applies will be encouraged to reach their respective stock ownership level within five years of the later of: the enactment of this Guideline in February 2006, or the date on which an individual assumes an executive position covered by this Guideline.

The ERC reviews the progress of executives to whom the Guideline applies toward their stock ownership goal each year. Effective December 31, 2007, Messrs. Michael, Stone, and Dondanville had met their respective stock ownership goal. Mr. Kennedy who joined the Company in 2006, held 795 shares of RLI stock effective December 31, 2007. Mr. Kliethermes who joined the Company in 2006, held 2,831 shares of RLI stock, effective December 31, 2007. Until an executive subject to the Guideline reaches the stated level of ownership, the executive is required to hold all net shares received from long-term incentive awards. The ERC has concluded that all Company executives to whom the Guideline applies have met their ownership level or are making satisfactory progress toward the achievement of their respective ownership goal.

#### **Executive Management**

**Executive Officers.** The following information is provided as to each current executive officer of the Company:

Name	Age	Position with Company	Executive Officer Since
Carol J. Denzer (1)	45	Vice President, Chief Information Officer of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries	2007

Joseph E. Dondanville	51	Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	1992
ловери Е. Бонцануше	31	Semoi vice Flesident, Chief Fillancial Officer	1772

Jeffrey D. Fick (2)	47	Vice President, Human Resources	2006

Aaron H. Jacoby	37	Vice President, Corporate Development	2003

Daniel O. Kannady (2)	12		2006
Daniel O. Kennedy (3)	43	Vice President, General Counsel & Corporate Secretary	2006

Craig W. Kliethermes (4)	42	Vice President, Actuarial Services of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries	2007

January E. Mishaul		Decident & Chief Franchis Officer and Discrete	1005
onathan E. Michael	54	President & Chief Executive Officer and Director	1985

John E. Robison (5)	40	Treasurer	2006

Michael J. Stone	59	President & Chief Operating Officer of the Company s principal insurance subsidiaries	1997

(1) Mrs. Denzer was promoted to Vice President, Chief Information Officer of the Company s wholly-owned insurance subsidiaries, RLI Insurance Company, Mt. Hawley Insurance Company and RLI Indemnity Company in January 2006. Prior to this promotion, Mrs. Denzer had been Vice President, Reinsurance Catastrophe Management since July 2004, and previously held various managerial and accounting positions since she joined the Company in 1987.
(2) Mr. Fick joined the Company as Vice President, Human Resources in October 2005. Previously he was Vice President, Human Resources at Snap-On, Inc., Diagnostic and Information Division. He also served as the Vice President, Human Resources of HNI Corporation, a publicly traded manufacturer and retailer of office furniture and hearth products, where he was employed from March 1994 through January 2005.
(3) Mr. Kennedy joined the Company as Vice President and General Counsel in February 2006. Previously, he was a Partner in the law firm of Hunton & Williams LLP from April 1999 through February 2006 and an associate with that firm from 1997. He was appointed Corporate Secretary as of February 16, 2007.
(4) Mr. Kliethermes joined the Company as Vice President, Actuarial Services in April 2006. Previously he was Senior Vice President, Quantitative Analyst for Lockton Companies from January 2006 through April 2006. Mr. Kliethermes was Assistant Vice President, Employers Reinsurance Corporation and Vice President, Westport, both a part of GE Insurance Solutions, from May 1998 through January 2006.
(5) Mr. Robison joined the Company as Treasurer in August 2004. From February 2002 through August 2004, he was Investment Manager of National Interstate Insurance Company, a property and casualty insurance company. He was an Analyst with Battelle Memorial, a global science and technology enterprise, from June 2001 through February 2002, and a Business Analyst with Marconi Communications from June 2000 to April 2001.
Executive Compensation
<b>Summary Compensation Table.</b> The aggregate compensation earned from the Company and its subsidiaries during the last fiscal year is set forth below for the Company s President & Chief Executive Officer, Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer, and the other three most highly compensated executive officers, referred to here collectively as named executive officers.
32

NAME and PRINCIPAL POSITION (a)	YEAR (b)	SALARY (\$) (c)	BONUS (\$)(1) (d)	STOCK AWARDS(\$) (e)	OPTION AWARDS(\$)(2) (f)	NON-EQUITY INCENTIVE PLAN COMPENSA- TION (\$)(3) (g)	CHANGE IN PENSION VALUE AND NONQUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION EARNINGS (\$) (4) (h)	ALL OTHER COMPENSATION (\$)(5)(6)(7)(8) (i)	TOTAL (\$) (j)
Jonathan E. Michael President & Chief Executive Officer	2007 2006	728,000 726,125			641,235 434,595	2,497,496 2,207,104		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	3,928,189 3,453,186
Joseph E. Dondanville Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer	2007 2006	354,858 331,333			307,427 88,975	1,000,530 885,298			1,717,782 1,366,664