TELEPHONE & DATA SYSTEMS INC /DE/ Form DEF 14A April 10, 2019

Table of Contents

(4)

(5)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

	Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.
Filed	d by the Registrant ý
Filed	d by a Party other than the Registrant o
Che	ck the appropriate box:
o	Preliminary Proxy Statement
o	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
ý	Definitive Proxy Statement
o	Definitive Additional Materials
o	Soliciting Material under §240.14a-12
	Telephone and Data Systems, Inc.
	(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
	(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
Payr	ment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
ý	No fee required.
o	Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Total fee paid:

0	Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fe

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.

(1)	Amount Previousl	y Pai	id:
-----	------------------	-------	-----

(2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

(3) Filing Party:

o

(4) Date Filed:

Table of Contents

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

30 North LaSalle Street Suite 4000 Chicago, Illinois 60602 Phone: (312) 630-1900

April 10, 2019

Dear Shareholders

You are cordially invited to attend the 2019 annual meeting of shareholders ("2019 Annual Meeting") of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. ("TDS") on Thursday, May 23, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., central time, at The Standard Club, 320 S. Plymouth Court, Chicago, Illinois.

The formal Notice of the 2019 Annual Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement ("2019 Proxy Statement") of our board of directors is attached. Also enclosed is our 2018 Annual Report to Shareholders ("2018 Annual Report"). At our 2019 Annual Meeting, shareholders are being asked to take the following actions:

- 1. elect members of the board of directors;
- ratify the selection of independent registered public accountant for the current fiscal year;
- 3. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in the attached 2019 Proxy Statement (commonly known as "Say-on-Pay"); and
- 4. consider a proposal submitted by a shareholder.

Your board of directors recommends a vote "FOR" its nominees for election as directors, "FOR" the proposal to ratify accountants, "FOR" approval of the Say-on-Pay proposal, and "AGAINST" the proposal submitted by a shareholder.

We would like to have as many shareholders as possible represented at the 2019 Annual Meeting. Therefore, whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card(s), or vote on the Internet in accordance with the instructions set forth on the proxy card(s).

We look forward to visiting with you at the 2019 Annual Meeting.

Very truly yours,

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer Walter C. D. Carlson Chairman of the Board

Table of Contents

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

Dear Shareholders,

TDS' mission is to provide outstanding communication services to our customers and meet the needs of our shareholders, our people, and our communities. In pursuing this mission, we seek to continuously grow our businesses, create opportunities for our associates and employees, and steadily build value over the long term for our shareholders.

With 50 successful years in business, we continue to focus on providing outstanding communications services to our customers. We are investing in the TDS Family of Businesses to bring our customers high-quality wireless and broadband technology that positions the company for long-term sustainability and growth.

U.S. Cellular During 2018, U.S. Cellular implemented our customer-centric strategy, which led to expansion of our postpaid handset customer base while also increasing revenues and profitability. We tightly managed costs throughout the organization, while investing in our network to continue providing an exceptional experience for our customers. U.S. Cellular builds on this momentum to focus on our key objectives for 2019. The first objective is to strengthen and grow our customer base, and to capture new and emerging revenue opportunities. We will advance our network to meet our customers' needs by investing in current technologies like 4G LTE and VoLTE and new technology like 5G. Despite increasing data usage, we will maintain expense discipline through cost management initiatives.

TDS Telecom TDS Telecom made significant progress on our strategic priorities in 2018 expanding our fiber footprint in wireline and generating strong increases in cable broadband connections. TDS Telecom's strategic imperatives for 2019 are to continue to grow our fiber footprint both in and outside of our current markets and to execute on broadband buildouts obligations under the Alternative Connect America Cost Model.

OneNeck IT Solutions At our hosted and managed IT services business, OneNeck IT Solutions, our strategic goals are to grow recurring service revenues and to further standardize our processes across our portfolio of offerings.

Creating long-term shareholder value

At TDS corporate, we maintain a financially sound foundation so that all our businesses can take advantage of growth opportunities to enhance their competitive positions. We continue to return value to our shareholders primarily through our cash dividends, which have increased every year for the past 45 years.

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. President and Chief Executive Officer Walter C. D. Carlson Chairman of the Board

Table of Contents

2019 Proxy Statement Summary

Annual Meeting Information

Time and Date May 23, 2019 at 9:00 a.m. central time

Place The Standard Club

320 South Plymouth Court

Chicago, IL 60604

Record Date March 28, 2019

Webcast investors.tdsinc.com

Strong Corporate Governance Practices

Annual election of all directors

Annual "Say on Pay" vote

Executive sessions with only independent directors present

Policy prohibiting pledging and hedging of company shares

Charter and bylaws can be amended by a simple majority vote

Authority to retain independent advisors by each committee

The positions of (i) Chairman of the Board and (ii) President and Chief Executive Officer are separate

Guidelines recommending that TDS Directors limit to three the number of other public company boards they serve on

Succession planning sessions are held in Executive Session at least annually

Cyber security oversight by the full Board, the Audit Committee and the Technology Advisory Group

Stock ownership requirements for board members

Annual self-assessment of board

TDS has a Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (CGNC) even though, as a controlled company, TDS is not required to do so. The CGNC operates under a formal charter and in a manner that is intended to reflect good corporate governance and other best practices, including an effective self-assessment process.

TDS has an entirely independent Compensation Committee even though, as a controlled company, TDS is not required to do so. The Compensation Committee operates under a formal charter.

In order to further tie compensation to performance, the Compensation Committee began issuing performance-based shares in 2016.

The TDS Audit Committee, which is comprised entirely of independent directors, operates under a formal charter and continues to earn high scores on Audit Quality from proxy advisory services.

Shareholder Engagement

TDS has an open-door policy for its shareholders to meet with management. Our goal is ongoing engagement and we value the views and opinions of our shareholders.

Board Refreshment in 2019

TDS believes that new perspectives can be important to a well-run Board. At the same time, it is equally important to benefit from the valuable experience that longer-serving Directors bring to the Boardroom. With his extensive experience in the communications service provider industry, we are very pleased that Wade Oosterman has agreed to join TDS' Board.

Our long-serving director Mitchell H. Saranow is retiring from the board of directors as of the 2019 Annual Meeting. TDS thanks Mr. Saranow for his many years of service and looks forward to his contributions serving as Director Emeritus.

Table of Contents

Voting Matters	Board's Recommendations	Rationale	Page Reference
Election of 12 Director nominees	FOR all TDS Board nominees	Broad, relevant expertise	9
		Active refreshment program	
		Progress on strategic initiatives	
Ratify independent registered public accountants	FOR	Independent	28
Approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of named executive officers ("Say on Pay")	FOR	Strong oversight by Compensation Committee	31
		Executive compensation is designed to align executives' interests with shareholders, drive performance and facilitate retention of superior talent	
		Added performance-based shares to Long-Term Incentive Plan grants made in 2016, 2017 and 2018	
Proposal submitted by a shareholder	AGAINST	The TDS Voting Trust opposes and intends to vote against this proposal	87

Proposal 1 Director Nominees

Our Board of Directors has nominated 12 directors for election at the 2019 Annual Meeting (Proposal Item No. 1) beginning on page 9.

Table of Contents

Each of the nominees brings a broad range of experiences and skills to provide effective oversight of the Board. See biographies on pages 11-16. The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" the nominees.

James W. Butman	2018	61	President and CEO, TDS Telecommunications LLC (TDS Telecom)					
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	1968	72	President and CEO, TDS				X	Chair
Letitia G. Carlson, MD	1996	58	Physician and Associate Clinical Professor at George Washington University Medical Faculty Associates					
Prudence E. Carlson	2008	67	Private Investor					
Walter C. D. Carlson	1981	65	Partner at Sidley Austin LLP				Chair	
Clarence A. Davis*	2009	77	Former Director and CEO of Nestor, Inc.	x	x			
Kimberly D. Dixon	2017	56	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer at FedEx Office	X		X		X
Kenneth R. Meyers	2007	65	President and CEO, U.S. Cellular					
Christopher D. O'Leary	2006	59	Senior Advisor at KKR and Partner at Twin Ridge Capital Management	X	x	Chair		X
George W. Off*	1997	72	Former Chairman and CEO of Checkpoint Systems, Inc.	X	Chair	X		X
Wade Oosterman*	N/A	58	Vice Chair and Group President, BCE Inc. and Bell Canada	X				
Gary L. Sugarman*	2009	66	Managing member-Richfield Capital Partners	X		X		

^{*}To be elected by Common Shares

Proposal 2 Independent Public Accountant

As a matter of good corporate governance and consistent with our past practices, we are requesting shareholders to ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019. The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" this proposal.

Table of Contents

Proposal 3 Approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of named executive officers ("Say on Pay") Executive Compensation Programs

Our executive compensation programs are designed to attract and retain high-quality executives. We believe that our compensation practices are transparent and reflect our commitment to align compensation with our business strategy and our short- and long-term performance.

Pay for Performance

Beginning in 2016, Performance Share awards were added to the long-term equity mix. Return on Capital, Total Revenue and Relative Total Shareholder Return are measured over a three year performance period with a target opportunity in TDS Common shares equal to 0% to 200% based on performance.

Compensation Beliefs

Compensation should be attractive and fiscally responsible

Compensation is a mix of salary, cash bonuses and equity-based long-term incentive awards

Link individual compensation with attainment of business unit and individual performance goals

Compensation programs designed to motivate executive officers to act in the long-term interests of TDS

Compensation Committee utilizes services of both an independent compensation consultant (Compensation Strategies) and TDS' compensation consultant (Willis Towers Watson)

Few perquisites

The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote "FOR" this proposal.

Proposal 4 Shareholder Proposal

As required by the rules of the SEC, the 2019 Proxy Statement includes a proposal submitted by a shareholder of TDS calling for the board of directors to take steps to adopt a plan for all of TDS' outstanding stock to have one vote per share. The board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote "AGAINST" this proposal.

Communicating with Board of Directors

Any interested party with germane matters can communicate with an individual director or the full Board of Directors by contacting TDS' Corporate Secretary.

Contacting TDS

Corporate Secretary, Telephone and Data Systems, Inc. 30 N. LaSalle Street, Suite 4000, Chicago, IL 60602.

Governance Documents

Governance documents, such as the Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board Committee Charters, and the Officer & Director Code of Conduct can be found in the Corporate Governance section of investors.tdsinc.com.

These documents are also available at no cost by writing the Corporate Secretary.

Table of Contents

NOTICE OF 2019 ANNUAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS AND 2019 PROXY STATEMENT

TO THE SHAREHOLDERS OF

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

The 2019 Annual Meeting of Telephone and Data Systems, Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held at The Standard Club, 320 S. Plymouth Court, Chicago, Illinois, on Thursday, May 23, 2019, at 9:00 a.m., central time, for the following purposes:

- 1.

 To elect members of the board of directors nominated by your board of directors and named in this proxy statement. Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the persons nominated by the TDS board of directors.
- 2. To consider and ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2019. Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR this proposal.
- 3. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed herein (commonly known as "Say-on-Pay"). Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR the Say-on-Pay proposal.
- 4.

 If properly presented at the 2019 Annual Meeting, to consider and vote upon a proposal submitted by a shareholder of TDS calling for the board of directors to take steps to adopt a plan for all of TDS' outstanding stock to have one vote per share. Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote AGAINST this proposal.
- 5.

 To transact such other business as may properly be brought before the meeting or any postponement, adjournment or recess thereof by or at the direction of the TDS board of directors.

We have fixed the close of business on March 28, 2019, as the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2019 Annual Meeting or any adjournments thereof.

This Notice of 2019 Proxy Statement, together with 2018 Annual Report, the proxy card, or the notice of Internet availability of proxy materials, as applicable, are being distributed on or about April 10, 2019 to all stockholders entitled to vote.

vi

Table of Contents

IMPORTANT NOTICE REGARDING INTERNET AVAILABILITY OF PROXY MATERIALS FOR THE SHAREHOLDER MEETING TO BE HELD ON MAY 23, 2019

The following information about the Internet availability of proxy materials is being provided under Rule 14a-16 of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"):

Effective as of April 10, 2019, the following documents are available at www.tdsinc.com under Investor Relations Proxy Vote, or at investors.tdsinc.com/proxyvote:

- 1. 2019 Proxy Statement
- 2. 2018 Annual Report
- 3. Forms of Proxy Cards
- 4. Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials

Under SEC rules, proxy materials are being furnished to many of our shareholders via the Internet, instead of mailing printed copies of those materials to each shareholder. Beginning April 10, 2019, TDS made arrangements to commence sending certain shareholders a Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the "Notice") containing instructions on how to access our proxy materials, including our 2019 Proxy Statement and 2018 Annual Report. The Notice also instructs shareholders on how to vote through the Internet.

This process is designed to reduce the environmental impact and expense associated with our annual meeting and help conserve resources. However, if a shareholder prefers to receive printed proxy materials at no additional cost, on a one-time or ongoing basis, instructions for doing so are included in the Notice or at investors.tdsinc.com/proxyvote.

If you have previously elected to receive our proxy materials electronically or in paper format, you will continue to receive these materials in accordance with your election until you elect otherwise.

We encourage you to formally consent to receive all proxy materials electronically in the future. If you wish to receive these materials electronically next year, please follow the instructions at investors.tdsinc.com/proxyvote.

If you received a Notice, any control/identification numbers that you need to access the proxy materials and vote are set forth on your Notice.

If you received printed materials, any control/identification numbers that you need to vote are set forth on your proxy card(s) if you are a record holder, or on your voting instruction card if you hold shares through a broker, dealer or bank.

In addition, all additional soliciting materials sent to shareholders or made public after this Notice has been sent will be made publicly accessible at the above website address no later than the day on which such materials are first sent to shareholders or made public.

The location where the 2019 Annual Meeting will be held is The Standard Club in Chicago, Illinois. This is located in the Chicago loop area between Jackson Boulevard and Van Buren Street at 320 South Plymouth Court, which is between State Street and Dearborn Street.

Table of Contents

TELEPHONE AND DATA SYSTEMS, INC.

2019 PROXY STATEMENT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	<u>2</u>
	9
CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	<u>18</u>
EXECUTIVE OFFICERS	<u>26</u>
Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Officers and Directors	<u></u>
PROPOSAL 2: INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM	_
FEES PAID TO PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTS	<u>28</u>
AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT	<u>29</u>
PROPOSAL 3 : ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	<u>29</u>
EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	<u>31</u>
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	<u>32</u>
Compensation Committee Report	<u>32</u>
	<u>55</u>
Risks from Compensation Policies and Practices	<u>55</u>
Compensation Tables	<u>56</u>
Summary Compensation Table	<u>57</u>
Grants of Plan-Based Awards	<u>62</u>
Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year-End	_
Option Exercises and Stock Vested	65
Nonqualified Deferred Compensation	<u>69</u>
Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control	<u>71</u>
Director Compensation	<u>77</u>
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	<u>79</u>
SECURITIES AUTHORIZED FOR ISSUANCE UNDER EQUITY COMPENSATION PLANS	<u>81</u>
	<u>82</u>
SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT	<u>83</u>
SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE	<u>87</u>
CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS	

CEO PAY RATIO	<u>87</u>
	<u>87</u>
PROPOSAL 4: SHAREHOLDER PROPOSAL THAT IS OPPOSED BY THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2020 ANNIHAL MEETING	<u>87</u>
SHAREHOLDER PROPOSALS FOR 2020 ANNUAL MEETING SOLICITATION OF PROXIES	<u>89</u>
FINANCIAL AND OTHER INFORMATION	<u>89</u>
FORWARD LOOKING STATEMENTS	<u>90</u>
OTHER BUSINESS	<u>90</u>
1	<u>91</u>

Table of Contents

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS

The following are questions and answers relating to the actions being taken at the 2019 Annual Meeting and do not include all of the information that may be important to you. You should carefully read this entire 2019 Proxy Statement and not rely solely on the following questions and answers.

Proposal 1 Election of Directors

Under TDS' Restated Certificate of Incorporation, as amended, the terms of all incumbent directors will expire at the 2019 Annual Meeting.

Holders of Series A Common Shares, voting as a group, will be entitled to elect eight directors. Your board of directors has nominated the following incumbent directors for election by the holders of Series A Common Shares: James W. Butman, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Letitia G. Carlson, MD, Prudence E. Carlson, Walter C. D. Carlson, Kimberly D. Dixon, Kenneth R. Meyers, and Christopher D. O'Leary.

Holders of Common Shares will be entitled to elect four directors. Your board of directors has nominated the following incumbent directors for election by the holders of Common Shares: Clarence A. Davis, George W. Off, Wade Oosterman, and Gary L. Sugarman.

None of the nominees have been nominated because of any agreement or other arrangement. Clarence A. Davis and Gary L. Sugarman were initially nominated as directors in 2009 pursuant to a Settlement Agreement, which we refer to as the "Settlement Agreement," between TDS and GAMCO Asset Management, Inc. which we refer to, together with its affiliates, as "GAMCO," but the obligations expired in 2010. Nevertheless, because of their positive contributions, the TDS board of directors has continued to nominate Clarence A. Davis and Gary L. Sugarman as directors at subsequent annual meetings, including at the 2019 Annual Meeting, as discussed below.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR its nominees for election as directors on the enclosed proxy card(s).

Proposal 2 Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2019

As in prior years, shareholders are being asked to ratify PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") for the year ending December 31, 2019.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR this proposal.

Proposal 3 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation or "Say-on-Pay"

As required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act (the "Dodd-Frank Act"), at the 2019 Annual Meeting, shareholders are being asked to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers for 2018.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote FOR this proposal.

Proposal 4 Proposal Submitted by a Shareholder

In accordance with SEC rules, this 2019 Proxy Statement includes a proposal submitted by a shareholder of TDS calling for the board of directors to take steps to adopt a plan for all of TDS' outstanding stock to have one vote per share.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends that you vote AGAINST this proposal.

What is the record date for the meeting?

The close of business on March 28, 2019 is the record date for the determination of shareholders entitled to notice of, and to vote at, the 2019 Annual Meeting or any postponement, adjournment or recess thereof.

A complete list of shareholders entitled to vote at the 2019 Annual Meeting, arranged in alphabetical order and by voting group, showing the address of and number of shares held by each shareholder, will

Table of Contents

be made available at the offices of TDS, 30 North LaSalle Street, Suite 4000, Chicago, Illinois 60602, for examination by any shareholder, for any purpose germane to the 2019 Annual Meeting, during normal business hours, for a period of at least ten days prior to the Annual Meeting.

What shares of stock entitle holders to vote at the meeting?

We have the following classes of stock outstanding, each of which entitles holders to vote at the meeting:

Common Shares;

Series A Common Shares.

The Common Shares are listed on the New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") under the symbol "TDS."

There is generally no public trading of the Series A Common Shares on the over-the-counter market but the Series A Common Shares are convertible on a share-for-share basis into Common Shares.

What is the voting power of the outstanding shares in the election of directors as of the record date?

		Total Number of Directors Elected by Voting Group and
	Votes	Standing
	Outstanding per	Voting for
Class of Stock	Shares Share I	Power Election
Series A Common Shares	7,278,899 10 72,7	788,990 8
Common Shares	106,640,455 1106,0	640,455 4
Total Directors		12

Accordingly, holders of Series A Common Shares will be entitled to elect eight directors and holders of Common Shares will be entitled to elect four directors.

Director Voting Sunset Provision

As noted above, holders of Series A Common Shares and Common Shares currently vote for separate directors. However, pursuant to the Restated Charter, if the number of Series A Common Shares issued and outstanding at any time falls below 500,000, because of the conversion of Series A Common Shares into Common Shares or otherwise, the holders of Series A Common Shares would lose the right to vote as a separate class and thereafter the holders of Series A Common Shares, with ten votes per share, and the holders of Common Shares, with one vote per share, would vote as a single class in the election of all directors.

What is the voting power of the outstanding shares in matters other than the election of directors as of the record date?

Class of Stock Percent

	Outstandin § /otes Total Shares per Voting Share Power
Series A Common Shares	7,278,899 10 72,788,990 56.7%
Common Shares	106,640,455 1 55,551,039 43.3%

128,340,029 100%

Pursuant to the Restated Certificate of Incorporation for TDS (the "Restated Charter"), which effected a reclassification of TDS shares during 2012 (the "Reclassification"), the aggregate voting power of Series A Common Shares and Common Shares in matters other than the election of directors was set at approximately 56.7% and 43.3%, respectively. The initial percentages will be adjusted under certain circumstances, except that the aggregate voting percentage of the Series A Common Shares cannot

Table of Contents

increase above the initial fixed percentage voting power of approximately 56.7%. The percentage could decrease because of the conversion of Series A Common Shares into Common Shares.

Based on shares outstanding on March 28, 2019, the per share voting power of the Common Shares for the 2019 Annual Meeting is 0.520919 votes per share, calculated pursuant to Section B.9 of Article IV of the Restated Charter. See the Restated Charter which explains how the relative voting percentages are calculated.

Voting Power Sunset Provision

The aggregate voting power of Series A Common Shares in matters other than the election of directors can be adjusted, but cannot increase above approximately 56.7%. The percentage could decrease because of the conversion of Series A Common Shares into Common Shares or otherwise. The Restated Charter has a sunset provision for voting in matters other than the election of directors because, if a sufficient number of Series A Common Shares are converted into Common Shares, the voting power of Series A Common Shares could decline below 50%.

How may shareholders vote with respect to the election of directors in Proposal 1?

Shareholders may, with respect to directors to be elected by such shareholders:

vote FOR the election of such director nominees, or

WITHHOLD authority to vote for such director nominees.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR its nominees for election as directors.

How may shareholders vote with respect to the ratification of our independent registered public accounting firm for 2019 in Proposal 2?

Shareholders may, with respect to Proposal 2:

vote FOR,

vote AGAINST, or

ABSTAIN from voting on this proposal.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR this proposal.

How may shareholders vote with respect to Say-on-Pay in Proposal 3?

Shareholders may, with respect to Proposal 3:

vote FOR,

vote AGAINST, or

ABSTAIN from voting on this proposal.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR this proposal.

How may shareholders vote with respect to the shareholder proposal in Proposal 4?

Shareholders may, with respect to Proposal 4:	
vote FOR,	
vote AGAINST, or	

ABSTAIN from voting on this proposal.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote AGAINST this proposal.

Table of Contents

How does the TDS Voting Trust intend to vote?

The Voting Trust under Agreement dated June 30, 1989, as amended (the "TDS Voting Trust"), held 6,905,213 Series A Common Shares on the record date, representing approximately 94.9% of the Series A Common Shares. By reason of such holding, the TDS Voting Trust had the voting power to elect all of the directors to be elected by the holders of Series A Common Shares and had approximately 53.8% of the voting power with respect to matters other than the election of directors. The TDS Voting Trust also held 6,209,604 Common Shares on the record date, representing approximately 5.8% of the Common Shares. By reason of such holding, the TDS Voting Trust had approximately 5.8% of the voting power with respect to the election of directors elected by the holders of Common Shares and an additional 2.5% of the voting power in matters other than the election of directors. Accordingly, the TDS Voting Trust had an aggregate of 56.3% of the voting power in matters other than the election of directors.

The TDS Voting Trust has advised us that it intends to vote:

FOR the board of directors' nominees for election by the holders of Series A Common Shares, and FOR the board of directors' nominees for election by the holders of Common Shares,

FOR the proposal to ratify the selection of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for 2019,

FOR the Say-on-Pay proposal, and

AGAINST the shareholder proposal.

How do I vote?

Proxies are being requested from the holders of Common Shares in connection with the election of four directors in Proposal 1 and in connection with Proposals 2, 3, and 4.

Proxies are being requested from the holders of Series A Common Shares in connection with the election of eight directors in Proposal 1 and in connection with Proposals 2, 3, and 4.

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please sign, date and mail your proxy card(s) in the enclosed self-addressed envelope to Proxy Services, c/o Computershare Investor Services, P.O. Box 505000, Louisville, KY 40233-5000, or vote on the Internet using the control/identification number on your proxy card in accordance with the instructions set forth on the proxy card.

How will proxies be voted?

All properly voted and unrevoked proxies will be voted in the manner directed. If no direction is made, a proxy will be voted FOR the election of the board of directors' nominees to serve as directors in Proposal 1, FOR Proposal 2, FOR Proposal 3, and AGAINST Proposal 4.

If a proxy indicates that all or a portion of the votes represented by such proxy are not being voted or abstained with respect to a particular matter, and the shareholder giving such proxy does not attend the 2019 Annual Meeting, such "non-votes" will not be considered present and entitled to vote on such matter. However, the shares represented by such a proxy may be considered present and entitled to vote on other matters and will count for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum.

Proxies may be revoked at any time prior to the voting of the shares at the Annual Meeting by written notice to the Secretary of TDS, by submitting a later dated proxy or by attendance and voting in person at the 2019 Annual Meeting.

The board of directors has no knowledge of any other proposals that may be properly presented at the Annual Meeting and no other proposals were received by TDS by the date specified by the advance notice provision in TDS' Bylaws. The proxy solicited by the board of directors for the 2019 Annual Meeting confers discretionary authority to the proxies named therein to vote on matters that may properly come before such meeting or any postponement, adjournment or recess thereof, in addition to the foregoing proposals, to the extent permitted by Rule 14a-4(c) under the Securities Exchange Act of

Table of Contents

1934, as amended. If the meeting is adjourned or postponed, the named proxies can vote such shares at the adjournment or postponement.

How will my shares be voted if I own shares through a broker?

If you are the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name" by a broker, bank, or other nominee ("broker"), such broker, as the record holder of the shares, is required to vote those shares in accordance with your instructions.

In the event that there are no contested matters at the meeting, the broker may be entitled to vote the shares with respect to "discretionary" items but will not be permitted to vote the shares with respect to "non-discretionary" items (in which case such shares will be treated as non-votes). In addition, whether the broker can or will vote your shares with respect to discretionary items if you have not given instructions to the broker and how such shares may be voted by the broker (i.e., proportionately with voting instructions received by the broker from other shareholders or pursuant to the recommendation of management, etc.) depend on the particular broker's policies. As a result, we cannot advise you whether your broker will or will not vote your shares or how it may vote the shares if it does not receive or have voting instructions from you and, accordingly, recommend that you contact your broker. In general, the ratification of auditors is a discretionary item. On the other hand, matters such as the election of directors, votes on Say-on-Pay, the approval of an equity compensation plan, and shareholder proposals are non-discretionary items. In such cases, if your broker does not have specific or standing instructions, your shares will be treated as non-votes and may not be voted on such matters.

Accordingly, we urge you to provide instructions to your broker so that your votes may be counted on all matters. If your shares are held in street name, your broker will include a voting instruction form with this 2019 Proxy Statement. We strongly encourage you to vote your shares by following the instructions provided on the voting instruction form. Please return your voting instruction form to your broker and/or contact your broker to ensure that a proxy card is voted on your behalf.

What constitutes a quorum for the meeting?

A quorum is the minimum number of shares required to conduct business at an Annual Meeting. A majority of the voting power of shares of capital stock in matters other than the election of directors and entitled to vote, represented in person or by proxy, will constitute a quorum to permit the 2019 Annual Meeting to proceed. Withheld votes and abstentions and any non-votes will be included in establishing a quorum for the meeting. If the shares beneficially owned by the TDS Voting Trust are present in person or represented by proxy at the 2019 Annual Meeting, such shares will constitute a quorum and permit the meeting to proceed. In addition, where a separate vote by a class or group is required, a quorum is also required with respect to such proposal for the vote to proceed.

In the election of directors, where a separate vote by a class or voting group is required, the holders of a majority of the votes of the stock of such class or group issued and outstanding and entitled to vote with respect to such director election, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum with respect to such election. Withheld votes and non-votes will be treated as present in person or represented by proxy for purposes of establishing a quorum. If Series A Common Shares beneficially owned by the TDS Voting Trust are present in person or represented by proxy at the 2019 Annual Meeting, such shares will constitute a quorum at the 2019 Annual Meeting in connection with the election of directors by the holders of Series A Common Shares. If a quorum of the holders of Common Shares is not present at the time the 2019 Annual Meeting is convened, the chairman of the meeting or holders of a majority of the voting power in matters other than the election of directors may adjourn the Annual Meeting with respect to all proposals or only with respect to the election of directors by the holders of Common Shares.

With respect to Proposals 2, 3, and 4 the holders of a majority of the votes of the stock issued and outstanding and entitled to vote with respect to such proposals, present in person or represented by proxy, will constitute a quorum at the 2019 Annual Meeting. Abstentions and any non-votes will be treated as present in person or represented by proxy for purposes of establishing a quorum. If TDS

Table of Contents

shares beneficially owned by the TDS Voting Trust are present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting, such shares will constitute a quorum.

Even if a quorum is present, holders of a majority of the voting stock present in person or represented by proxy may adjourn the 2019 Annual Meeting. Because it holds a majority of the voting power of all classes of stock, the TDS Voting Trust has the voting power to approve an adjournment. TDS does not currently have any expectation that the Annual Meeting would be adjourned for any reason.

What vote is required to elect directors in Proposal 1?

The holders of Common Shares will vote separately for four directors while the holders of Series A Common Shares will vote for eight other directors.

Directors will be elected by a plurality of the votes cast by the class or group of shareholders entitled to vote in the election of such directors which are present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting.

Accordingly, if a quorum exists, the persons receiving a plurality of the votes cast will be elected to serve as directors. Withheld votes and any non-votes will not be counted as votes cast for the purpose of determining if a director has received a plurality of the votes.

In the election of directors by holders of Common Shares, each holder of outstanding Common Shares is entitled to one vote for each Common Share held. In the election of directors by holders of Series A Common Shares, each holder of outstanding Series A Common Shares is entitled to ten votes for each Series A Common Share held.

What vote is required with respect to Proposals 2, 3, and 4?

The holders of Common Shares and Series A Common Shares will vote together as a single group with respect to Proposals 2, 3, and 4. Based on shares outstanding on March 28, 2019, each holder of outstanding Common Shares was entitled to 0.520919 vote for each Common Share and each holder of outstanding Series A Common Shares was entitled to ten votes for each Series A Common Share held in such holder's name.

If a quorum is present at the 2019 Annual Meeting, the approval of Proposals 2, 3, and 4 will require the affirmative vote of the holders of stock having a majority of the votes which could be cast by the holders of all stock entitled to vote which are present in person or represented by proxy at the meeting. Abstentions by shares entitled to vote on such proposals will be treated as votes which could be cast and, accordingly, will effectively count as a vote against such proposal. Any non-votes will not be included in the total of votes for purposes of determining whether such proposals are approved, even though they may be included for purposes of determining a quorum.

What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy card?

If you hold multiple series of shares, or hold shares in multiple registrations, you will receive a proxy card for each such account. Please sign, date, and return all proxy cards you receive. If you choose to vote by Internet, please vote each proxy card you receive. Only your latest dated proxy for each account will be voted at the 2019 Annual Meeting.

Can I change my vote or revoke my proxy?

Yes. You can change your vote or revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted at the 2019 Annual Meeting by written notice to the Secretary of TDS, by voting a later-dated proxy or by voting by ballot at the meeting. Only the latest dated proxy card you vote will be counted for voting purposes.

Who pays the solicitation expenses for this 2019 Proxy Statement and related TDS materials?

Your proxy is being solicited by the TDS board of directors and its agents, and the cost of solicitation will be paid by TDS. Officers, directors and employees of TDS, acting on the behalf of the

Table of Contents

TDS board of directors, may also solicit proxies by mail, email, advertisement, telephone, press release, employee communication, postings on TDS' Internet website and Intranet website or in person. We will not pay such persons additional compensation for their proxy solicitation efforts. TDS has also retained MacKenzie Partners, Inc. to assist in the solicitation of proxies. TDS will, at its expense, request brokers and other custodians, nominees and fiduciaries to forward proxy soliciting material to the beneficial owners of shares held of record by such persons.

Who should I call if I have any questions?

If you have any questions, or need assistance voting, please contact our proxy solicitor, MacKenzie Partners, Inc. at (800) 322-2885.

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

The terms of all incumbent directors will expire at the 2019 Annual Meeting. The board of directors' nominees are identified in the tables below. Each of the nominees has consented to be named and serve if elected. The age of the following persons is as of the date of this 2019 Proxy Statement.

To be Elected by Holders of Common Shares

			Served as Director
Name	Age	Position with TDS and Principal Occupation	since
Clarence A. Davis	77	Officer of TDS, Former Director and Chief Executive Officer of Nestor, Inc.; Former Chief Financial Officer and Chief Operating Officer of AICPA	2009
George W. Off	72	Director of TDS, Former Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Checkpoint Systems, Inc.	1997
Wade Oosterman	58	Nominee for Director of TDS, Vice Chair and Group President, BCE Inc. and Bell Canada	N/A
Gary L. Sugarman	66	Director of TDS, Managing Member Richfield Capital Partners and Principal of Richfield Associates, Inc.	2009

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the election of each of the above nominees for election by the holders of Common Shares.

To be Elected by Holders of Series A Common Shares

			Served
			as
			Director
Name	Age	Position with TDS and Principal Occupation	Since
James W. Butman	61	Director of TDS, President and Chief Executive Officer of	2018
		TDS Telecom (a deemed executive officer of TDS)	
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	72	Director and President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS	1968
Letitia G. Carlson, MD	58	Director of TDS and Physician and Associate Clinical	1996
		Professor at George Washington University Medical Faculty	
		Associates	
Prudence E. Carlson	67	Director of TDS and Private Investor	2008
Walter C. D. Carlson	65	Director and non-executive Chairman of the Board of TDS	1981
		and Partner, Sidley Austin LLP, Chicago, Illinois	
Kimberly D. Dixon	56	Director of TDS, Executive Vice President and Chief	2017
		Operating Officer of FedEx Office, an operating company of	
		FedEx Corp.	
Kenneth R. Meyers	65	Director of TDS and President and Chief Executive Officer	2007
		of U.S. Cellular (a deemed executive officer of TDS)	
Christopher D. O'Leary	59	Director of TDS and Senior Advisor at KKR and Partner at	2006
		Twin Ridge Capital Management	

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the election of each of the above nominees for election by the holders of Series A Common Shares.

Table of Contents

Background of Board of Directors' Nominees

The following briefly describes the nominees' business experience during at least the past five years. In addition, the following also briefly discusses the specific experience, qualifications, attributes or skills that led to the conclusion that each such person should serve as a director of TDS.

TDS does not have any specific, minimum qualifications that the board believes must be met by a nominee for a position on the TDS board of directors. The TDS board believes that substantial judgment, diligence and care are required to identify and select qualified persons as directors. The TDS board has consistently sought to nominate to the board of directors eminently qualified individuals whom the board believes would provide substantial benefit and guidance to TDS.

Table of Contents

Nominees for Election by Holders of Common Shares

Clarence A. Davis Independent Director

Current Role: Director: Private Investor

Mr. Davis brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience. expertise and qualifications as a director of TDS for several years, as a former director and chief executive officer of a public technology company, as a chief financial officer and chief operating officer of the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) and as a director or trustee of investment funds. In addition, he has substantial experience, expertise and qualifications in accounting as a result of having been a chief financial officer of the AICPA and a Certified Public Accountant in a public accounting firm for many years, and as a result of being or having been a member of six audit committees, including the TDS Audit Committee since 2010. Further, his background and attributes bring diversity to the board. Mr. Davis is a member of the board of directors of West Broad Street YMCA in Savannah, Georgia, and is named in Who's Who Among African Americans. Mr. Davis has a Bachelor of Science degree in Accounting from Long Island University.

Age 77

Director since 2009, originally nominated by GAMCO

TDS Board Committee

Audit Committee, Designated financial expert

Prior Business and other Experience

Chief Executive Officer, Nestor, Inc. (2007-2009)

Chief Financial Officer, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, (1998-2000)

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

Gabelli Funds: (Gabelli Capital

Fund, since 2015 and Gabelli ESG

Fund.

since 2007)

The GDL Fund (NYSE: GDL), since 2007

Nestor, Inc. (2006-2009)*

Oneida, LTD (2005-2006)

Pennichuck Corp. (2009-2012)

Sonesta International Hotels

(2009-2012)

Chief Operating Officer, American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (2000-2005)

Within the last ten years, Nestor successfully petitioned the Rhode Island Superior Court for a court appointed receiver who assumed all aspects of the company's operations in 2009. The receiver sold the assets of Nestor to American Traffic Solutions in 2009. Mr. Davis ceased to be a director of Nestor at that time.

George W. Off Independent Director

Current Role: Director: Private Investor

Mr. Off brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications as a director of TDS for many years. He also has significant experience in marketing and management as a result of his Director since 1997

Age 72

TDS Board Committees

prior positions as a director and as chief executive officer and chairman of Checkpoint Systems, Inc. and of Catalina Marketing Corporation. Because of the retail nature of the TDS businesses, the TDS board of directors believes that it is highly desirable to have a director with significant knowledge and experience in retail marketing, as well as significant, high-level experience in managing consumer businesses. In addition, Mr. Off has significant experience as a member of the TDS Audit Committee and the TDS Compensation Committee for many years. Mr. Off has a Bachelor of Science degree from the Colorado School of Mines. Mr. Off has also earned the CERT certificate in Cybersecurity Oversight issued by Carnegie Mellon University.

Audit Committee, Chairperson

Compensation Committee

Technology Advisory Group Committee

Prior Business and other Experience

Infinian Mobile Commerce & Analytic Solutions (2011-2012)

Chief Executive Officer, Checkpoint Systems (2002-2009) President and Chief Executive Officer, Catalina Marketing Corporation (1994-1998)

Current Public Company Boards

None

Former Public Company Boards

Checkpoint Systems (2002-2009)

Catalina Marketing Corporation (1998-2000)

Table of Contents

Wade Oosterman Nominee for Independent Director

Current Role: Vice Chair and Group President, BCE Inc. and Bell Canada Age: 58

Mr. Oosterman brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications gained in executive leadership roles at BCE, Canada's largest communications provider, and other publicly traded communications companies over the last 30 years. Mr. Oosterman has proven expertise in the wireless, residential and small business segments, extensive background in media, including television, radio and digital and deep brand and marketing experience from Chief Brand Officer roles at BCE and other corporations. He has also developed a reputation as a leader in social and digital media, video streaming platforms, smart data and the Internet of Things. Mr. Oosterman holds an MBA and a BA from Western University.

Prior Business and other Experience

Enstream, Board member (2006-present)

Virgin Mobile Canada, Board member (2006-2009)

TELUS, Chief Marketing & Brand Officer;

TELUS Mobility, Executive VP Sales & Marketing (2000-2005)

Clearnet Communications Inc., Executive VP Sales & Marketing (1987-2000)

Current Public Company Boards For

Former Public Company Boards

None Ingram Micro (2013-2016), Audit

Committee

Clearnet Communications Inc.

(1987-2000)

Gary L. Sugarman Independent Director

Current Role: Director, Managing Member-Richfield Capital Partners and Principal of Richfield Associates, Inc.

Mr. Sugarman brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications as a director of TDS for several years, and in the telecommunications industry as a result of his positions at Otelco Inc. and LICT Corporation and his many years of prior experience with other companies in the telecommunications industry. He has been the Managing Member-Richfield Capital Partners and Principal of Richfield Associates, Inc. for twenty five years. He is also currently an advisor and investor in Dezignable, Inc., an online interior design company. Prior to that he was executive chairman of FXecosystem, Inc., a provider of outsourced connectivity services to the foreign currency and bond markets, and executive chairman and investor of Veroxity Technology Partners, a provider of optical data and Internet protocol connectivity solutions to service enterprise customers. He also was chairman and chief executive officer of Mid-Maine Communications, a telecommunications company that he co-founded. In addition, he has experience as a member of the TDS

Age 66

Director since 2009, originally nominated by GAMCO

TDS Board Committee

Compensation Committee

Prior Business and other Experience

Executive Chairman, FXecosystem (2010-2013)

Executive Chairman/Investor-Veroxity Technology Partners, privately held company (2007-2010)

Compensation Committee since 2010. Mr. Sugarman has an MBA from the PrairieWave Communications, University at Buffalo-State University of New York.

privately-held over-builder providing telecommunications

(2003-2007)

Chairman and Chief Executive

Officer, Mid-Maine

Communications (1994-2006)

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

LICT Corporation (1991-1993)

LICT Corporation (2006 April 2018, None since February 2019)

Multiple operating roles at Rochester Telephone Company,

(now known as Frontier

Communications) (1984-1991)

OTELCO Inc. (NASDAQ: OTEL), since 2013

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" each of the above nominees for election by the holders of Common Shares.

Table of Contents

Nominees for Election by Holders of Series A Common Shares

James W. Butman Non-Independent Director

Current Role: President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS Telecom

Mr. Butman brings substantial experience, expertise and qualifications with respect to TDS and its subsidiaries and industries in which they operate as a result of his leadership roles at TDS Telecom. Mr. Butman has extensive sales and marketing experience. Mr. Butman was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS Telecom on January 1, 2018. Prior to that, he was TDS Telecom's Chief Operating Officer since October 2016 and was its Group President of Marketing, Sales & Customer Operations from 2006 to 2016. As President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS Telecom, the board of directors considers it appropriate and beneficial for Mr. Butman to serve on the TDS board to provide the board with his views on strategy and operations of TDS Telecom and its businesses. Mr. Butman has a Bachelor of Business Administration in Finance from the University of Wisconsin Eau Claire and an MBA in Finance from the University of Wisconsin Madison.

Age 61

Director since 2018

Prior Business and other Experience

Significant leadership and operational experience since joining TDS Telecom in 1985 including several executive leadership roles in management, sales and marketing, and regulatory affairs.

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

None None

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. President, CEO and Non-Independent Director

Current Role: Director; TDS President, since 1981, and Chief Executive Officer, since 1986

Mr. Carlson brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications with respect to TDS and its subsidiaries and the industries in which they operate as a result of his many years as an investor in TDS, a trustee of the TDS Voting Trust, a director and President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS, and a director and Chairman of its two principal business units. As the senior executive officer of TDS and each of its business units, the board of directors considers it essential that Mr. Carlson serve on the TDS board to provide the board with his views on strategy and operations of TDS and its business units. In addition, as a shareholder with a significant economic stake in TDS, Mr. Carlson provides to the TDS board of directors the perspective of shareholders in managing and operating TDS in the long-term interests of shareholders. He also has experience as a member of the TDS Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee since 2004. Mr. Carlson has an MBA from Harvard University. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. is the brother of Walter C. D. Carlson, Letitia G. Carlson, M.D. and Prudence E. Carlson.

Age 72

Director since 1968

TDS Board Committees

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee

Technology Advisory Group Committee, Chairperson

Prior Business and other Experience

Trustee of the TDS Voting Trust

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

U.S. Cellular, Chairman, since 1989 Aerial Communications (formerly

NASDAQ: AERL)

American Paging (formerly AMEX:

APP)

Table of Contents

Letitia G. Carlson, MD, MPH, FACP Non-Independent Director

Current Role: Director; Physician and Associate Clinical Professor at George Washington University Medical Faculty Associates for more than five years

Age 58

Director since 1996

Dr. Carlson brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications with respect to TDS and its subsidiaries and the industries in which they operate as a result of her many years as an investor in TDS, as a trustee of the TDS Voting Trust, and as a director of TDS. Further, her background and attributes bring diversity to the board. In addition, as a shareholder with a significant economic stake in TDS, Dr. Carlson provides to the TDS board of directors the perspective of shareholders in managing and operating TDS in the long-term interests of shareholders. Dr. Carlson has an MD from Harvard Medical School, a Master of Public Health (MPH) from George Washington University and is a Fellow of the American College of Physicians (FACP).

Prior Business and other Experience

Trustee of the TDS Voting Trust

Dr. Carlson is the sister of LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Walter C. D. Carlson and Prudence E. Carlson.

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

None None Non-Independent Director

Current Role: Director; Private Investor

Age 67

Ms. Carlson brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications with respect to TDS and its subsidiaries and the industries in which they operate as a result of her many years as an investor in TDS, as a trustee of the TDS Voting Trust, and as a director of TDS. Further, her background and attributes bring diversity to the board. In addition, as a shareholder with a significant economic stake in TDS, Ms. Carlson provides to the TDS board of directors the perspective of shareholders in managing and operating TDS in the long-term interests of shareholders. Ms. Carlson has a Bachelor of Arts degree from Harvard University.

Director since 2008

Prior Business and other Experience

Trustee of the TDS Voting Trust

Ms. Carlson is the sister of LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Walter C.D. Carlson and Letitia G. Carlson, M.D.

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

None None

Table of Contents

Walter C. D. Carlson Chairman of the Board and Non-Independent Director

Current Role: Director; Partner of the law firm Sidley Austin LLP for more than five years.

Age 65

Mr. Carlson brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications with respect to TDS and its subsidiaries and the industries in which they operate as a result of his many years as an investor in TDS, as a trustee of the TDS Voting Trust, as a director of TDS and U.S. Cellular, as Chairman of the Board of TDS, and as a result of having represented many public and private corporate clients. In addition, as a shareholder with a significant economic stake in TDS, Mr. Carlson provides to the TDS board of directors the perspective of shareholders in managing and operating TDS in the long-term interests of shareholders. He also has experience as a member and the chairperson of the TDS Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee since 2004. Mr. Carlson has a J.D. from Harvard University. Walter C. D. Carlson is the brother of LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Letitia G. Carlson, M.D. and Prudence E. Carlson.

Director since 1981, non-executive Chairman of the Board since 2002

TDS Board Committee

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee, Chairperson

Prior Business and other Experience

Trustee of the TDS Voting Trust

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

U.S. Cellular, since 1989 Aerial Communications, Inc. (formerly NASDAQ: AERL)

Kimberly D. Dixon Independent Director

Current Role: Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of FedEx Office, an operating company of FedEx Corp., since 2010.

Age 56

Ms. Dixon brings substantial experience, expertise and qualifications from her executive leadership position at FedEx. She has extensive operating and financial management experience. Ms. Dixon has experience in consumer and business marketing, sales and distribution strategies. Ms. Dixon also brings twenty years of experience in the telecommunications industry, and her experience in serving on the board of directors of James Avery Craftsman, Inc., a privately held jewelry designer, manufacturer and retailer. Further, her background and attributes bring diversity to the board. Ms. Dixon has a Bachelor of Science degree from Shippensburg University of Pennsylvania and an MBA from Pennsylvania State University. In 2018, Ms. Dixon was named a National Association of Corporate Directors (NACD) Governance Fellow.

TDS Board Committees

Compensation Committee Technology Advisory Group Committee

Prior Business and other Experience

Sprint Nextel Corporation (1996-2010), including several executive leadership positions most recently as Senior Vice President, Consumer Sales and Distribution

GTE Wireless, Inc. (1989-1996), roles in marketing, sales and field operations

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

None	None	
		15

Table of Contents

Kenneth R. Meyers Non-Independent Director

Current Role: Director: President and Chief Executive Officer of U.S.

Age 65

Cellular, since 2013

Mr. Meyers brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications with respect to TDS and its subsidiaries and the industries in which they operate as a result of his background as a director of TDS and U.S. Cellular for many years, as President and Chief Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular since 2013, as Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of TDS between 2007 and 2013, and as a result of his many years in other positions at U.S. Cellular. He also brings substantial experience, expertise and qualifications in TDS' businesses and in management, finance and accounting as a result of such background. As the President and Chief Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular, TDS' largest business unit, the board of directors considers it appropriate and beneficial for Mr. Meyers to serve on the TDS board to provide the board with his views on strategy and operations of U.S. Cellular. Mr. Meyers has an MBA from Northwestern University's J. L. Kellogg Graduate School of Management.

Director since 2007

Prior Business and other Experience

TDS' executive vice president and chief financial officer (2007-2013)

Former Director, TDS Telecom between 2007 and 2014

Significant leadership and operational experience with U.S. Cellular (1987-2007), including several executive leadership roles providing expertise in management, finance and accounting

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

U.S. Cellular, since 1999 Christopher D. O'Leary Independent Director

Current Role: Director; Senior Advisor at KKR, since 2017; Partner, Twin Ridge Capital Management, since 2018

Mr. O'Leary brings to the TDS board of directors substantial experience, expertise and qualifications as a result of his many years as a director of TDS and as a result of his over 30 years of experience in marketing, management and operations. In addition, Mr. O'Leary has over 15 years of significant and high-level experience in management of large multi-national businesses with a large number of employees, including dealing with businesses outside the U.S. Because of the competitive nature of the TDS businesses, the TDS board of directors believes that it is highly desirable to have a director with significant knowledge and experience in marketing and executive leadership. In addition, Mr. O'Leary has experience as a member of the TDS Compensation Committee since 2007 and the TDS Audit Committee since 2016. In January 2019, Mr. O'Leary was elected to the board of directors at Tupperware Brands Corporation and appointed to its Audit, Finance and Corporate Responsibility Committees. Mr. O'Leary has an MBA from New York University Stern School of Business.

Age 59

Director since 2006

TDS Board Committees

Audit Committee

Compensation Committee, Chairperson since 2016

Technology Advisory Group Committee

Prior Business and other Experience

Former Chief Operating Officer of General Mills International (2006-2016)

Previously president of the General Mills Meals Division, President of the General Mills Betty Crocker Division; and VP of Corporate Strategy and M&A; joined General Mills in 1997

Current Public Company Boards Former Public Company Boards

Tupperware Brands Corporation (NYSE:TUP), since 2019

Newell Rubbermaid (NYSE: NWL), member of Nominating/Governance Committee and Organizational

Committee

Development & Compensation

Significant roles with leading consumer packaged goods providers, including PepsiCo (NYSE: PEP) (1981-1997)

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" each of the above nominees for election by the holders of Series A Common Shares.

Table of Contents

Director Emeritus

Mitchell H. Saranow. Mitchell H. Saranow is a current director whose term will expire at the 2019 annual meeting. Mr. Saranow will not stand for reelection as a director and he will become a director emeritus following the 2019 annual meeting. Mr. Saranow is Chairman of The Saranow Group, L.L.C.

Herbert S. Wander. Herbert S. Wander became director emeritus following the 2017 annual meeting. Mr. Wander is Of Counsel at Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP.

17

Table of Contents

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board of Directors

The business and affairs of TDS are managed by or under the direction of the board of directors. The board of directors consists of twelve members. Holders of Common Shares elect 25% of the directors rounded up plus one director, or a total of four directors based on a board size of twelve directors. Holders of Series A Common Shares elect the remaining eight directors.

Board Leadership Structure

Under its leadership structure, the same person does not serve as both the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board. Walter C. D. Carlson, who is not an employee or officer of TDS, serves as the non-executive Chairman of the Board and presides over meetings of the full board of directors. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., who is an officer and employee of TDS, serves as President and Chief Executive Officer and is responsible for day-to-day leadership and performance of TDS. LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. and Walter C. D. Carlson are both trustees of the TDS Voting Trust. TDS has determined that this leadership structure is appropriate given the specific characteristics and circumstances of TDS and it is set forth in TDS' Bylaws. In particular, TDS considers it appropriate that the person who is the President and Chief Executive Officer of TDS also not serve as the Chairman of the Board in order to separate the executive who is primarily responsible for the performance of the company from the person who presides over board meetings at which performance of TDS is evaluated.

Risk Oversight

The TDS board of directors is primarily responsible for oversight of the risk assessment and risk management process of TDS. Although the TDS board of directors can delegate this responsibility to board committees, the TDS board has not done so, and continues to have full responsibility relating to risk oversight. Although the TDS board of directors has oversight responsibilities, the actual risk assessment and risk management is carried out by the President and Chief Executive Officer and other officers of TDS and reported to the board.

TDS has established an Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) program, which applies to TDS and all of its business units. This program was designed with the assistance of an outside consultant and was integrated into TDS' existing management and strategic planning processes. The ERM program provides a common enterprise-wide language and discipline around risk identification, quantification and mitigation. The TDS board of directors receives periodic updates about the status and progress of this ERM program and takes action to the extent appropriate based on such updates.

Although the TDS board of directors has ultimate oversight authority over risk and has not delegated such responsibility to any committees, certain TDS committees also have certain responsibilities relating to risk.

Under NYSE listing standards, and as set forth in its charter, the Audit Committee discusses TDS' major financial and operational risk exposures and the steps management has taken to monitor and control such exposures in connection with its review of financial statements and related matters on a quarterly basis.

In addition, as part of the ERM program, the Audit Committee discusses guidelines and policies to govern the process by which risk assessment and risk management are handled. The Audit Committee receives updates and discusses policies with respect to risk assessment and risk management on a regular basis.

In addition, in connection with the functions of the Compensation Committee relating to the compensation of the executive officers of TDS (other than executive officers of U.S. Cellular), the Compensation Committee considers risks relating to the compensation of executive officers of TDS in addition to its responsibilities with respect to long-term compensation for all employees, which is discussed below under "Risks from Compensation Policies and Practices."

Table of Contents

Also, the TDS Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee ("CGNC") may consider certain risks in connection with its responsibilities relating to corporate governance and director nominations.

TDS has established a Technology Advisory Group. The functions of the Technology Advisory Group include reviewing, monitoring and informing the board of directors on technology matters including those related security, threats, risks and internal controls, including safeguards, vulnerabilities, preparedness, disaster recovery plans, cybersecurity-insurance and similar matters.

TDS believes that its leadership structure facilitates risk oversight because the role of the President and Chief Executive Officer, who has primary operating responsibility to assess and manage TDS' exposure to risk, is separated from the role of the Chairman of the Board, who sets the agenda for and presides over board of directors' meetings at which the TDS board exercises its oversight responsibility with respect to risk.

Board Oversight of Cybersecurity

TDS believes oversight of cybersecurity risks is the responsibility of the full board of directors and the board of directors receives annual updates regarding TDS' assessment of threats and mitigation plans. The Audit Committee also exercises oversight over the control-related cybersecurity risks and mitigation plans and receives updates bi-annually. The Audit Committee oversees the Company's processes over internal controls and financial reporting that includes controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that significant cybersecurity incidents are communicated to management. Cybersecurity is also discussed at the Technology Advisory Group as warranted.

George W. Off, chairperson of the TDS Audit Committee, completed the NACD Cyber-Risk Oversight program and earned the CERT Certificate in Cybersecurity Oversight issued by Software Engineering Institute at Carnegie Mellon University. The program is designed to help directors enhance their cybersecurity literacy and strengthen the board's role in overseeing the organization's cyber preparedness.

Director Independence and New York Stock Exchange Listing Standards

TDS Common Shares are listed on the NYSE and subject to its listing standards.

TDS is a "controlled company" as defined by the NYSE because over 50% of the voting power for the election of directors of TDS is held by the trustees of the TDS Voting Trust (i.e., the TDS Voting Trust has over 90% of the voting power in the election of directors elected by the holders of Series A Common Shares and thus has the voting power to elect eight of the twelve directors, or 66.7% of the directors). Accordingly, it is exempt from certain NYSE listing standards that require listed companies that are not controlled companies to (i) have a board composed of a majority of directors who qualify as independent, (ii) have a compensation committee composed entirely of directors who qualify as independent.

TDS is required to have at least three directors who qualify as independent to serve on the Audit Committee and the TDS Audit Committee has four independent members. Such directors must qualify as independent under the NYSE Listed Company Manual, including the independence requirements under Section 10A-3 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (collectively, "Section 10A-3"). TDS' definition for independence is the same as that of the NYSE and TDS does not have any additional independence requirements.

Pursuant to the requirements of the NYSE, the TDS board of directors affirmatively determined (i) that each member of the TDS Audit Committee has no material relationship with TDS or any other member of the TDS consolidated group ("TDS Consolidated Group"), either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with any member of the TDS Consolidated Group, and (ii) that each of such persons is independent considering all relevant facts and circumstances, including commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships, if any. The TDS board of directors considered that none of the independent

Table of Contents

directors had any transactions, relationships or arrangements with any member of the TDS Consolidated Group, except in their capacities as directors and members of board committees of TDS.

In addition to the four independent directors on the Audit Committee, incumbent directors Kimberly D. Dixon, Gary L. Sugarman and director nominee Wade Oosterman qualify as independent directors under the listing standards of the NYSE. As a result, both before and after the 2019 annual meeting, six of the twelve director nominees, or 50% of the directors, qualify as independent under the listing standards of the NYSE.

Meetings of Board of Directors

The board of directors held six meetings during 2018. Each incumbent director attended at least 75% of the total number of board meetings and 75% of their respective committee meetings (at which time such person was a director).

Corporate Governance Guidelines

Under NYSE listing standards, TDS is required to adopt and disclose corporate governance guidelines that address certain specified matters. TDS has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines that can be found on TDS' website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Guidelines.

Succession Planning: The corporate governance guidelines provide: In the event of the absence of the President and CEO or in the event of his inability or refusal to act as President and CEO or in the event of his death, resignation, removal or disqualification (a "permanent absence"), the Chairman of the Board will, automatically and without any action on the part of the Board of Directors or otherwise, succeed to and perform the duties of the President and CEO and, when so acting, will have all the powers of and be subject to all the restrictions placed upon the President and CEO set forth in the Company's bylaws. In the event of the permanent absence of both such persons, the vacancy in the position of President and CEO will be filled with a person who is selected by the Board of Directors.

The board of directors receives an annual presentation regarding succession planning and discusses it regularly.

Board Evaluation

TDS conducts an annual board self-assessment. The assessment is conducted by the Senior Vice President Corporate Relations and Corporate Secretary. All Directors are interviewed and topics include matters relating to board oversight, board composition, committee structure, board succession planning, along with board meeting logistics. Additionally, director skills, background, characteristics are discussed. Discussions have been open, candid and frank. Self-assessment results are discussed both quantitatively and qualitatively with the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee and the full Board.

Similarly, each committee of the board of directors evaluated its performance and effectiveness in 2018.

Committees

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee (CGNC)

Meetings in Fiscal 2018: 4

Members: Walter C. D. Carlson (Chair), LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., Mitchell H. Saranow [I]

Responsible for:

advising the Board of corporate governance matters

developing and recommending Corporate Governance Guidelines

developing selection objectives and overseeing searches for qualified individuals to serve on the board

Table of Contents

performing functions outlined in Corporate Governance Guidelines

[I] Independent under NYSE standards

Under NYSE listing standards, a controlled company is not required to have a CGNC. In addition, if a controlled company voluntarily establishes a CGNC, it is not required to be composed entirely of independent directors. TDS voluntarily established a CGNC. A copy of the committee charter is available on TDS' website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Board of Directors Board Committees & Charters.

Audit Committee

Meetings in Fiscal 2018: 8, including joint meetings with U.S. Cellular Audit Committee

Members: George W. Off (Chair), Clarence A. Davis [FE], Christopher D. O'Leary, Mitchell H. Saranow [FE]

Responsible for:

assisting the Board of Directors in oversight of:

the integrity of financial statements

compliance with legal and regulatory matters

the registered public accounting firms qualifications and independence

the performance of the internal audit function and registered public accounting firm

preparing audit committee report (pages 29-30)

performing functions outlined in Audit Committee Charter

[FE] Financial Expert

Each member qualifies as independent under NYSE standards and Section 10A-3 that is applicable only to Audit Committee members.

A copy of the Audit Committee charter is available on TDS' website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Board of Directors Board Committees & Charters.

Pre-Approval Procedures

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy pursuant to which all audit and non-audit services provided by TDS' principal independent registered public accounting firm must be pre-approved by the Audit Committee, consistent with the requirements of the Sarbanes Oxley Act of 2002 and rules issued thereunder.

Review, Approval or Ratification of Transactions with Related Persons

The Audit Committee charter provides that the Audit Committee has responsibility for related party transactions between officers, directors, principal shareholders and the company, as defined by the rules of the NYSE.

Other than NYSE requirements, TDS has no related party policies or procedures relating to (i) the types of transactions that are covered by such policies and procedures; (ii) the standards to be applied pursuant to such policies and procedures; or (iii) the persons or groups of persons on the board of directors or otherwise who are responsible for applying such policies and procedures, and TDS does not maintain any written document evidencing such policies and procedures.

See Executive and Director Compensation Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation Certain Relationships and Related Transactions for discussion of any related party transactions since the beginning of the last fiscal year.

Table of Contents

Compensation Committee

Meetings in Fiscal 2018: 6

Members: Christopher D. O'Leary (Chair), Kimberly D. Dixon, George W. Off, Gary L. Sugarman

Responsible for:

overseeing compensation of TDS executive officers, other than executive officers of U.S. Cellular or any of its subsidiaries

Includes salary, bonus, long-term compensation and all other compensation

reviewing and recommending to the Board the Long-Term Incentive Plans

performing functions outlined in Compensation Charter

utilizing services of an independent compensation consultant

Each member qualifies as independent under NYSE standards

Although not required to do so under NYSE listing standards because it is a controlled company, TDS has voluntarily established a Compensation Committee comprised solely of directors who qualify as independent under the rules of the NYSE.

Even though the Compensation Committee charter permits it to delegate some or all of the administration of the long-term incentive plans, the Compensation Committee has not delegated any of its authority with respect to any of the officers identified in the below Summary Compensation Table.

The Compensation Committee does not approve director compensation. It is the view of the TDS board of directors that this should be the responsibility of the full board of directors. Only non-employee directors receive compensation in their capacity as directors and, as a result, the view of the TDS board of directors is that all directors should participate in such compensation decisions, rather than only some or all of the non-employee directors.

A copy of the charter of the Compensation Committee is available on TDS' website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Board of Directors Board Committees & Charters.

Technology Advisory Group (TAG) Committee

Meetings in Fiscal 2018: 3

Members: LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. (Chair), Kimberly D. Dixon, George W. Off, Christopher D. O'Leary

Responsible for reviewing, monitoring and informing the Board of Directors on technology and related matters affecting TDS business units and its customers, along with its competitors and their customers

TAG does not have authority to take action with respect to any technology matter, but serves solely in an informational and advisory role

TAG Committee members are also members of the Technology Advisory Group which includes representatives of management and employees of TDS and U.S. Cellular

The TAG Committee does not have a charter. The responsibilities of the TAG Committee, as generally described above, are set forth in full in the resolutions of the Board establishing such committee.

Pricing Committee

All actions in 2018 were taken by unanimous consent.

Members: LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. (Chair), Kenneth R. Meyers, Walter C. D. Carlson, alternate member

Taking actions with respect to TDS financing and capital transactions, such as issuance, redemption or repurchase of debt or shares of capital stock

22

Table of Contents

The Pricing Committee does not have a charter. The responsibilities of the Pricing Committee as generally described above are set forth in full in the resolutions of the Board establishing such committee, as amended.

Director Nomination Process

The CGNC charter provides that the committee will develop selection objectives and oversee the search for qualified individuals to serve on the board of directors and recommend to the board of directors prospective nominees and the re-nomination of incumbent directors. The committee does not nominate directors. The entire board of directors determines whether to nominate prospective nominees and re-nominate incumbent directors.

Board Refreshment

TDS believes good governance begins with an engaged and diverse board. TDS also believes that it is desirable for a board to have directors who can bring the benefit of diverse backgrounds, experience, skills, education, attributes and other characteristics to permit the board to have a variety of views and insights. Women comprise one-fourth of the current board.

In 2013, the CGNC established a process relating to board refreshment and committee composition. Related to this:

The CGNC and the full board of directors consider the tenure, qualifications and expertise of all of the incumbent directors.

The CGNC identifies and reviews the desired experience, skills, backgrounds, and characteristics of potential new board members.

In its annual board assessment, the full board of directors considers its composition and discusses expertise that may be needed in the future.

The CGNC and the full board of directors also consider the appropriate composition of each of the committees of the board of directors.

Taking into account the above matters, as well as TDS' business and structure, the Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee embarked on board refreshment at the 2019 annual meeting. The Board has nominated Wade Oosterman to be elected by the holders of Common Shares and Mitchell H. Saranow will be appointed Director Emeritus following the 2019 annual meeting.

The TDS CGNC does not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying director nominees. However, as reflected in its Code of Business Conduct, TDS values diversity and does not discriminate on the basis of gender, age, race, color, sexual orientation, religion, ancestry, national origin, marital status, disability, military or veteran status or citizenship status. In considering whether to recommend that individuals be nominated as director candidates, the CGNC takes into account all facts and circumstances, including diversity.

TDS does not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of any director candidates recommended by shareholders. However, because the TDS Voting Trust has over 90% of the voting power in the election of directors elected by the holders of Series A Common Shares, nominations of directors for election by the holders of Series A Common Shares are based on the recommendation of the trustees of the TDS Voting Trust. With respect to candidates for director to be elected by the holders of Common Shares, the CGNC and/or the TDS board may from time to time informally consider candidates recommended by shareholders that hold a significant number of Common Shares. Shareholders that desire to nominate directors must follow the procedures set forth in TDS' Bylaws.

Considering the importance of Federal Communications Commission ("FCC") licenses to TDS, the TDS Bylaws provide that a person will not be eligible to serve or to continue to serve as a director unless he or she is eligible to serve as a director of a company that controls licenses granted by the FCC, as determined by the TDS CGNC or the board of directors with the advice of counsel. Another qualification requirement provides that a person will not be eligible to serve or to continue to serve as a director if he

Table of Contents

or she is or becomes affiliated with, employed by or a representative of, or has or acquires a material personal involvement with, or material financial interest in, a Business Competitor (as defined in the TDS Bylaws), as determined by the TDS CGNC or the board of directors. Another qualification requirement provides that a person will not be eligible to serve or to continue to serve as a director if, as determined by the TDS CGNC or the board of directors with the advice of counsel, (i) such person's election as a director would violate federal, state or foreign law or applicable stock exchange requirements (other than those related to independence) or (ii) such person has been convicted, including a plea of guilty or nolo contendere, of any felony, or of any misdemeanor involving moral turpitude.

Section 1.15 of the TDS Bylaws provides that a person properly nominated by a shareholder for election as a TDS director shall not be eligible for election as a director unless he or she signs and returns to the Secretary of TDS, within fifteen days of a request therefor, written responses to any questions posed by the Secretary, that are intended to (i) determine whether such person may qualify as independent and would qualify to serve as a director of TDS under rules of the FCC, and (ii) obtain information that would be disclosed in a proxy statement with respect to such person as a nominee for election as a director and other material information about such person.

Whether or not the CGNC will recommend that the TDS board re-nominate, and the TDS board will re-nominate, existing directors for re-election depend on all facts and circumstances, including views on how the director has performed his or her duties. In the event of a vacancy on the board of a director elected by the holders of Series A Common Shares, nominations are based on the recommendation of the trustees of the TDS Voting Trust. In the event of a vacancy on the board of a director elected by the holders of Common Shares, TDS may use various sources to identify potential candidates, including an executive search firm. In addition, the CGNC may consider recommendations by shareholders that hold a significant number of Common Shares. Potential candidates are initially screened by the CGNC and by other persons that the committee designates. Following this process, the CGNC will consider whether one or more candidates should be considered by the full board of directors.

All of the incumbent nominees approved by the TDS board for inclusion on TDS' proxy card for election at the 2019 Annual Meeting were recommended for re-nomination by the CGNC. The CGNC has recommended that Mitchell H. Saranow be appointed Director Emeritus at the expiration of his term at the 2019 annual meeting and Wade Oosterman be nominated for election as director to succeed Mr. Saranow. Additionally, Kimberly D. Dixon, who was elected by the holders of Common Shares at the 2018 annual meeting, is now recommended for election by the holders of Series A Common Shares and George W. Off, who was elected by the holders of Series A Common Shares at the 2018 annual meeting, is now recommended for election by the holders of Common Shares at the 2019 annual meeting. In 2018, TDS paid a fixed fee to a search firm for identification of potential board candidates.

Shareholder Engagement

The TDS board of directors and management value the views of our shareholders and are committed to doing what is in the best interests of all stakeholders over the long term.

TDS has been conducting its annual shareholder engagement program with respect to corporate governance and executive compensation programs since 2014. Discussions are intended to occur outside of the proxy season and we prepare a presentation as background for our discussions. Management and the board value the feedback and have responded with changes such as the introduction of our performance share units and a proxy summary. In 2018, we offered to engage on governance-related topics with shareholders representing over 60% of our outstanding common shares. Our engagement team is led by our Senior Vice President of Corporate Relations and Corporate Secretary.

In addition, TDS speaks with institutional shareholders throughout the year. Quarterly, we conduct earnings conference calls and we regularly attend investor conferences and hold one-on-one meetings with shareholders and potential investors throughout the United States as well as overseas. Also, senior management and all directors are encouraged to attend each Annual Meeting of Shareholders, where shareholders have the opportunity to make comments and ask questions.

Table of Contents

TDS' shareholder engagement program will at all times be conducted in accordance with applicable law, including Regulation FD, and it does not share material non-public information with any shareholder, investor or analyst. Further, our shareholder engagement program in no way replaces or diminishes other ways in which shareholders can communicate with management or the board of directors.

TDS' Investor Relations department is the key point of contact for shareholder interaction. Shareholders may access information about TDS and obtain contact information through the Investor Relations section of our website, www.tdsinc.com.

Shareholder Communication with Directors

Shareholders or other interested parties may send germane communications to the TDS board of directors, to the Chairman of the Board, to the non-management or independent directors or to specified individual directors of TDS at any time. Shareholders or other interested parties should direct their communication to such persons or group in care of the Secretary of TDS at its corporate headquarters, 30 N. LaSalle St., Suite 4000, Chicago IL 60602. Any shareholder or other communications that are addressed to the board of directors, the Chairman of the Board, the non-management or independent directors or specified individual directors will be delivered by the Secretary of TDS to such persons or group.

For more information, see the instructions on TDS' website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Board of Directors Contact the Board.

Meetings of Non-Management and Independent Directors

As required by NYSE listing standards, the non-management directors of TDS meet at regularly scheduled executive sessions without management. The TDS Chairman of the Board, Walter C. D. Carlson, a non-management director, presides at all meetings of the non-management directors. In addition, the independent directors of TDS meet at least once per year in an executive session without management or directors who are not independent.

TDS Policy on Attendance of Directors at Annual Meeting of Shareholders

All directors are invited and encouraged to attend each Annual Meeting of shareholders, which is normally followed by a meeting of the board of directors. In general, all directors attend each Annual Meeting of shareholders unless they are unable to do so due to unavoidable commitments or intervening events. All of the persons serving as directors at that time, except for one director, attended the 2018 annual meeting.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The TDS Corporate Governance Guidelines provide that, within three years after the date on which a director first became a director and thereafter for so long as each director remains a director of TDS, each director shall own Series A Common Shares and/or Common Shares having a combined value of at least three times, or \$240,000, the cash retainer which is currently \$80,000. The board of directors reviews this minimum ownership requirement periodically. The stock ownership guidelines are included in TDS' Corporate Governance Guidelines, which have been posted to TDS' website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Governance Guidelines.

Although TDS does not have executive officer stock ownership guidelines, certain executive officers are directors and subject to the director stock ownership guidelines. In particular, as of February 28, 2019, the following executive officers were directors and each beneficially owned more shares of common stock (Common Shares and Series A Common Shares) than required: excluding stock option awards, restricted stock units and phantom awards, James W. Butman owned 8,109 shares; LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., owned 4,223,583 shares; and Kenneth R. Meyers owned 117,996 shares.

Table of Contents

TDS EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

Although they are TDS executive officers, the below list does not include James W. Butman, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. and Kenneth R. Meyers who are all TDS Board members because they are included above under Election of Directors. Unless indicated, the position held is an office of TDS. The age is as of the date this proxy statement.

Name	Age	Position
	Sen	ior Vice President Finance and Chief
Douglas W. Chambers	49 Acc	counting Officer
Daniel J. Dewitt	67 Ser	ior Vice President Human Resources
	Sen	ior Vice President Technology, Services and
Joseph R. Hanley	52 Stra	ntegy
	Ser	ior Vice President Corporate Relations and
Jane W. McCahon	58 Coi	porate Secretary
Peter L. Sereda	60 Ser	ior Vice President Finance
	Sen	ior Vice President and Chief Information
Kurt B. Thaus	60 Off	icer
	Sen	ior Vice President Acquisitions and
Scott H. Williamson	68 Coi	porate Development

Douglas W. Chambers. Douglas W. Chambers was appointed Senior Vice President Finance and Chief Accounting Officer in May 2018. Prior to that, he was Vice President and Controller at U.S. Cellular since 2017, prior to that he was Vice President and Controller for TDS since 2015 and Assistant Controller at TDS since 2012.

Daniel J. DeWitt. Daniel J. DeWitt was appointed Senior Vice President of Human Resources in January 2017. Prior to that, he was an Executive Psychologist at Shields Meneley Partners, since 2006.

Joseph R. Hanley. Joseph R. Hanley was appointed Senior Vice President Technology, Services and Strategy of TDS in 2012. Prior to that, he was Vice President Technology Planning and Services of TDS for more than five years.

Jane W. McCahon. Jane W. McCahon was appointed Senior Vice President Corporate Relations and Corporate Secretary in 2016. Prior to that, she was Vice President Corporate Relations and Corporate Secretary since 2013. She joined TDS as Vice President Corporate Relations in 2009.

Peter L. Sereda. Peter L. Sereda was appointed Senior Vice President Finance in May 2018. Prior to that he was Senior Vice President Finance and Treasurer of TDS for more than five years. In 2014, Mr. Sereda was appointed to the board of directors of U.S. Cellular.

Kurt B. Thaus. Kurt B. Thaus has been the Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer of TDS for more than five years. In 2014, Mr. Thaus was appointed to the board of directors of U.S. Cellular. Effective January 1, 2018, Mr. Thaus assumed responsibility for TDS' subsidiary OneNeck IT Solutions, with the CEO of OneNeck IT Solutions reporting to him.

Scott H. Williamson. Scott H. Williamson has been the Senior Vice President Acquisitions and Corporate Development of TDS for more than five years.

All of the executive officers devote all of their employment to the affairs of TDS and/or its subsidiaries.

Douglas D. Shuma was TDS' Senior Vice President-Finance and Chief Accounting Officer until his retirement on May 18, 2018. Mr. Shuma's officer positions were assumed by Douglas W. Chambers.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics Applicable to Officers and Directors

As required by Section 303A.10 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual, TDS has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics for Officers and Directors, that also complies with the definition of a "code of ethics" as set forth in Item 406 of Regulation S-K of the SEC. The foregoing code has been posted to TDS' Internet website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Officer & Director Code of Conduct.

Table of Contents

In addition, TDS has adopted a broad Code of Business Conduct that is applicable to all officers and employees of TDS and its subsidiaries. The foregoing code has also been posted to TDS' Internet website, www.tdsinc.com, under Corporate Governance Code of Conduct.

TDS intends to satisfy the disclosure requirement under Item 5.05 of Form 8-K regarding any amendment to any of the foregoing codes, by posting such information to TDS' Internet website. Any waivers of any of the foregoing codes for directors or executive officers will be approved by TDS' board of directors or an authorized committee thereof, as applicable, and disclosed in a Form 8-K. There were no such waivers during 2018.

27

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 2 INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

What am I being asked to vote on in Proposal 2?

In Proposal 2, we are requesting shareholders to ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019. This proposal gives our shareholders the opportunity to express their views on TDS' independent registered public accounting firm for the current fiscal year.

How does the board of directors recommend that I vote on this proposal?

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR approval of the ratification of the selection of PwC.

We anticipate continuing the services of PwC for the current year. Representatives of PwC are expected to be present at the 2019 Annual Meeting and they will have the opportunity to make a statement and respond to appropriate questions raised by shareholders or submitted in writing prior to the meeting.

Is this vote binding on the board of directors?

This vote is an advisory vote only, and therefore it will not bind TDS, our board of directors or the Audit Committee. We are not required to obtain shareholder ratification of the selection of our independent registered public accounting firm by our Bylaws. However, we have elected to seek such ratification by the affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of the votes which could be cast by shares present or represented by proxy at the 2019 Annual Meeting and entitled to vote with respect to such matter. Should the shareholders fail to ratify the selection of PwC, the Audit Committee will review whether to retain such firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2019.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the approval of Proposal 2.

Table of Contents

FEES PAID TO PRINCIPAL ACCOUNTANTS

The following sets forth the aggregate fees (including expenses) billed by TDS' principal accountants PwC for 2018 and 2017:

	2018	2017
Audit Fees(1)	\$ 4,497,213	\$ 5,085,568
Audit Related Fees(2)	295,373	327,087
Tax Fees(3)		
All Other Fees(4)	13,770	14,670
Total Fees	\$ 4,806,356	\$ 5,427,325

- Represents the aggregate fees billed for professional services rendered for the audit of the annual financial statements for the years 2018 and 2017 included in TDS' and U.S. Cellular's Forms 10-K for those years and the reviews of the financial statements included in TDS' and U.S. Cellular's Forms 10-Q for those years, including the attestation and report relating to internal control over financial reporting. Also includes fees for services that are normally incurred in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, such as comfort letters, statutory audits, attest services, consents, and review of documents filed with the SEC.
- (2)
 Represents the aggregate fees billed for assurance and related services that are reasonably related to the performance of the audit or review of TDS' and U.S. Cellular's financial statements that are not reported under Audit Fees. In both 2018 and 2017, this amount represents fees billed for audits of subsidiaries.
- (3) Represents the aggregate fees billed for tax compliance, tax advice, and tax planning, if any.
- (4)
 Represents the aggregate fees billed for services, other than services described in Notes (1), (2) or (3), if any.
 In both 2018 and 2017, this amount includes the fee for access to a virtual accounting research service.

See "Corporate Governance Audit Committee Pre-Approval Procedures" above for a description of the Audit Committee's pre-approval policies and procedures with respect to TDS' independent registered public accounting firm.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The Audit Committee is composed of four board of directors that are "independent" as defined by the NYSE. The Audit Committee has a written charter that has been approved by the TDS board of directors, a copy of which is available on TDS' website, *www.tdsinc.com*, under Corporate Governance Board of Directors Board Committees & Charters.

Management is responsible for TDS' internal controls and the financial reporting process. TDS has an internal audit staff, which performs testing of internal controls and the financial reporting process. TDS' independent registered public accounting firm is responsible for performing an independent audit of TDS' consolidated financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States) (the "PCAOB") and to issue a report thereon. The Audit Committee's responsibility is to monitor and oversee these processes.

In this context, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements with management, the internal audit staff and representatives of PwC, TDS' independent registered public accounting firm for 2018. Management represented to the Audit Committee that TDS' consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. The discussions with PwC also included the matters required to be discussed by PCAOB Auditing Standard No. 1301, Communications with Audit Committees, relating to information regarding the scope and results of the audit. The Audit Committee also received from PwC written disclosures and a letter regarding its independence as required by applicable requirements of the PCAOB and discussed this with PwC. The Audit Committee also considered and concluded that the provision of non-audit services by PWC to TDS during 2018 was compatible with their independence.

Based on and in reliance upon these reviews and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the board of directors that the audited financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2018 be included in TDS' Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Table of Contents

In addition to the foregoing report required by SEC rules, the following represents supplemental information voluntarily disclosed by the Audit Committee:

The Audit Committee holds quarterly regularly scheduled in person meetings along with teleconferences to review and approve the financial results for the immediately preceding period. The Audit Committee reviews TDS' Forms 10-Q and 10-K prior to filing with the SEC. The Audit Committee's agenda for meetings is established by the Audit Committee's chairperson with input from other Committee members and the TDS Vice President Internal Audit.

During 2018, at each of its regularly scheduled meetings, the Audit Committee met with the senior members of TDS' financial management team. Additionally, the Audit Committee had separate private sessions with TDS management, TDS' Vice President Internal Audit, TDS' General Counsel, and representatives of PwC, at which candid discussions regarding financial management, legal, accounting, auditing and internal control issues took place.

The Audit Committee is updated periodically on management's process to assess the adequacy of TDS' system of internal control over financial reporting, the framework used to make the assessment and management's conclusions on the effectiveness of TDS' internal control over financial reporting. The Audit Committee also discussed with PwC TDS' internal control assessment process, management's assessment and its evaluation of TDS' system of internal control over financial reporting.

The Audit Committee reviewed with senior members of management, including the Vice President Internal Audit and General Counsel, TDS' policies and procedures with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The overall adequacy and effectiveness of TDS' legal, regulatory and ethical compliance programs, including TDS' Code of Business Conduct and Whistleblower hotline activity, were also reviewed.

The Audit Committee evaluates the performance of PwC, including the senior audit engagement team, each year and determines whether to reengage PwC or consider other audit firms. In doing so, the Audit Committee considers the quality and efficiency of the services provided by the auditors, the auditors' capabilities and the auditors' technical expertise and knowledge of TDS' operations and industry. Based on this evaluation, the Audit Committee decided to engage PwC as TDS' independent registered public accountants for the year ending December 31, 2019. Although the Audit Committee has the sole authority to appoint the independent registered public accounting firm, TDS anticipates that it will continue to request shareholders to ratify the selection of the independent registered public accounting firm at annual meetings of shareholders.

In fulfilling its oversight responsibilities, the Audit Committee reviewed and discussed with management and PwC the audited financial statements of TDS, including the quality of the financial reporting, the reasonableness of significant accounting judgments and estimates, the clarity of disclosures in the financial statements, and the assessment of TDS' internal controls over financial reporting. In performing all of these functions, the Audit Committee acts in an oversight capacity and relies on TDS' management and PwC.

By the members of the Audit Committee of the board of directors of TDS:

George W. Off, Chair Clarence A. Davis Christopher D. O'Leary Mitchell H. Saranow

30

Table of Contents

PROPOSAL 3 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

What am I being asked to vote on in Proposal 3?

In Proposal 3, we are providing shareholders with a vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our Named Executive Officers ("NEO") as disclosed in this 2019 Proxy as required, including Compensation Discussion and Analysis, compensation tables and discussions. This vote is required to be submitted to shareholders pursuant to SEC rules adopted under provisions in the Dodd-Frank Act. The advisory vote on executive compensation described in this proposal is commonly referred to as a "Say-on-Pay" vote.

TDS is required to request shareholders to vote, on an advisory basis, on the frequency of holding Say-on-Pay votes, commonly referred to as a "Say-on-Frequency" vote, at least once every six years. TDS held a Say-on-Frequency vote at the 2017 Annual Meeting and the shareholders voted by a substantial majority to hold a Say-on-Pay vote every year. Based on the Say-on-Frequency votes in 2017, the TDS board of directors adopted a policy to hold the Say-on-Pay vote every year. Accordingly, TDS is holding a Say-on-Pay vote every year unless and until this policy is changed and it will submit the next Say-on-Frequency proposal to shareholders at the 2023 Annual Meeting.

This proposal gives our shareholders the opportunity to express their views on the overall compensation of our named executive officers and the compensation philosophy, policies and practices.

How does the board of directors recommend that I vote on this proposal?

The board of directors unanimously recommends a vote FOR approval of the Say-on-Pay proposal.

TDS believes that its executive compensation program is reasonable, competitive and strongly focused on pay for performance. TDS' compensation objectives for executive officers are to support the overall business strategy and objectives, attract and retain high-quality management, link compensation to both individual and company performance, and provide compensation that is both competitive and consistent with our financial performance.

Consistent with these goals, the Compensation Committee has developed and approved an executive compensation philosophy to provide a framework for TDS' executive compensation program featuring the policies and practices described in the Executive Summary of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis below.

Is this vote binding on the board of directors?

The Say-on-Pay vote is an advisory vote only, and therefore will not bind TDS, our board of directors or the Compensation Committee. However, the board of directors and the Compensation Committee will consider the voting results as appropriate when making future decisions regarding executive compensation.

The results of the Say-on-Pay vote will be disclosed on a Form 8-K.

Your board of directors unanimously recommends a vote "FOR" the approval of Proposal 3.

Table of Contents

EXECUTIVE AND DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

The following discussion and analysis of our compensation practices and related compensation information should be read in conjunction with the Summary Compensation Table and other tables included below, as well as our financial statements and management's discussion and analysis of financial condition and results of operations included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2018.

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Compensation Philosophy and Objectives

TDS and its business units are committed to providing the very best in customer satisfaction, achieving long-term profitable growth, and building the high-quality teams required to make this possible. As such, we focus on operating in a fiscally responsible manner, and on recruiting and retaining talented employees who believe in the Company's values and long-term perspective.

The objectives of TDS' compensation programs for executive officers are to:

support TDS' overall business strategy and objectives;

attract and retain high-quality management;

link individual compensation with attainment of individual performance goals and with attainment of business unit and TDS objectives; and

provide competitive compensation opportunities consistent with the financial performance of TDS.

The primary financial focus of TDS as a consolidated enterprise is the increase of long-term shareholder value measured primarily in such terms as consolidated operating revenue, consolidated adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation, and amortization, and consolidated capital spending. Operating units of TDS may have somewhat different primary financial measures. TDS' compensation policies for executive officers are designed to reward the achievement of such corporate performance goals.

TDS' compensation programs are designed to reward performance on both a short-term and long-term basis. With respect to the NEOs identified in the Summary Compensation Table, the design of compensation programs and performance rewarded are similar but with some differences for each of the NEOs depending on such officer's position and responsibilities. TDS' policies establish incentive compensation performance goals for executive officers based on factors over which such officers are believed to have some control and which are viewed as important to TDS' long-term success. TDS believes compensation should be related to the performance of TDS.

The Compensation Committee evaluates the performance of the President and CEO of TDS in light of the annual and ongoing objectives for TDS and its primary business units and the degree of attainment of those objectives, and sets the elements of compensation for him on such performance evaluation and compensation principles.

With respect to the other executive officers identified in the Summary Compensation Table, the Compensation Committee reviews management's evaluation of the performance of such executive officers, as approved by the President and CEO, and determines and approves the elements of compensation for such executive officers, considering such performance evaluations and compensation principles and the Compensation Committee's own assessment of the performance of these officers, as discussed below.

Table of Contents

Executive Compensation Best Practices

We annually review all elements of compensation and where appropriate may make changes. The following provides a summary of "what we do" and "what we don't do".

What we do

To align pay and performance, we grant performance-based stock units

TDS may seek to adjust or recover awards or payments if performance measures are restated or otherwise adjusted as described under "Clawback" below

We design our compensation programs to motivate executive officers to act in the long-term interest of TDS

To align the executive bonus program with the interests of our shareholders, we have increased the company performance component weighting while decreasing the individual performance component weighting. Bonuses paid in 2019 with respect to 2018 performance were calculated with a 80% company performance weighting and a 20% individual performance weighting. The ratio was 50% (company) 50% (individual) in 2012.

Our executive officer compensation levels are based in part on competitive market compensation data supplied by our Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, Compensation Strategies, Inc., and by our compensation consultant, Willis Towers Watson

A major compensation goal is to provide compensation and benefit programs that are both attractive and fiscally responsible

We have an independent Compensation Committee, that reviews and approves the salaries, bonuses and long-term compensation of executive officers (other than the President and Chief Executive Officer of U.S. Cellular, whose compensation is approved by U.S. Cellular's chairman and Long-Term Incentive Compensation Committee

The maximum amount of the TDS bonus paid to officers related to company performance is 195% of the target opportunity allocated to company performance

What we don't do

Hedging by officers is prohibited

TDS does not backdate options or have any program, plan or practice to time the grant of awards in coordination with the release of material non-public information

TDS provides limited perquisites

Except in limited circumstances, our plans, awards and agreements do not include tax gross-ups

Results on Say-on-Pay Vote

At our 2018 annual meeting, approximately 99% of the votes cast for the Say-on-Pay vote were in support of the Company's executive compensation program. Considering that substantial support and other factors, the Compensation Committee did not make any significant changes to TDS' executive compensation policies and decisions in 2018.

2018 Officer Bonus Plan Places More Weight on Company Performance

In March 2018, TDS approved changes to its 2018 officer bonus plan that increased the company performance weighting to 80% from 70%, while decreasing the individual performance weighting from 30% to 20%. Additionally, TDS changed its company performance metric weightings increasing the importance of consolidated adjusted EBITDA from 35% to 40% and decreasing the importance of consolidated capital expenditures from 15% to 10%.

TDS' Compensation Program

The elements of executive compensation under TDS' compensation program include both annual cash and long-term equity compensation. Annual compensation decisions are based on both individual

Table of Contents

(1)

and corporate short-term and long-term performance. TDS has chosen to pay and provide these elements of compensation after considering common compensation practices of peers and other companies with similar characteristics, in order to support TDS' overall business strategy and objectives. Executive compensation is intended to provide an appropriate balance between long-term and short-term performance.

Elements of Compensation

Annual Cash Compensation	Equity Compensation	Other Benefits Available to Named Executives	Other Generally Applicable Benefits Plans
Salary	Performance Share Unit Awards	Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan	Tax-Deferred Savings Plan (401(k))
Bonus	Stock Options	Limited Perquisites	Pension Plan
TO CIL.	Stock Awards	Deferred compensation	Welfare Benefits (during employment and retirement)

The following charts summarize the material elements of the Company's 2018 executive compensation programs for NEOs. Percentages are rounded.

CEO and Other NEOs have a substantial portion of their compensation At-Risk due to it being tied to company performance.

The All Other NEOs chart excludes Mr. Meyers' 2018 compensation because his compensation was approved by U.S. Cellular. Mr. Chambers' 2018 compensation was also excluded since a portion of his 2018 compensation was approved by U.S. Cellular. Mr. Shuma's 2018 compensation was also excluded because he retired in May 2018.

The Compensation Committee does not consider an officer's outstanding equity awards or stock ownership levels when determining the value of the long-term incentive award component of such officer's compensation. The Compensation Committee makes long-term incentive awards based on performance for a particular year and other considerations.

Risks Relating to Compensation to Executive Officers

TDS does not believe that incentives related to compensation encourage executive officers to take unnecessary, excessive or inappropriate risks that could threaten the value of TDS, or that risks arising from compensation policies and practices are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. Also, TDS does not believe that risks arising from TDS' compensation policies and practices for its employees, including non-executive officers, are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on TDS.

Table of Contents

Compensation Consultant

Willis Towers Watson is TDS management's primary compensation consultant but has from time to time provided materials to the Compensation Committee. Willis Towers Watson also provides compensation consulting and other services to U.S. Cellular, which are described in the U.S. Cellular 2019 proxy statement. The TDS Compensation Committee has no involvement in U.S. Cellular's compensation policies or practices.

As required by SEC rules, the following discloses the role of Willis Towers Watson in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive officer compensation, the nature and scope of the assignment, and the material elements of the instructions or directions given to Willis Towers Watson with respect to the performance of its duties under its engagement. Willis Towers Watson provides external market compensation data to TDS from their executive compensation survey database. See "Benchmarking/Market Compensation Data" below. Willis Towers Watson also performs other services for TDS, which may include consulting on TDS compensation plans.

The Compensation Committee's charter provides that it shall have the authority to engage advisors as it deems necessary to carry out its duties and that TDS shall provide appropriate funding for any advisor retained, as well as ordinary administrative expenses that are necessary or appropriate in carrying out its duties. Pursuant to such authority, since 2008, the Compensation Committee has retained and obtained the advice of Compensation Strategies, Inc., a provider of executive compensation consulting services. TDS management has no role in the engagement of Compensation Strategies and Compensation Strategies has not provided any services to TDS nor its affiliates other than its services to the Compensation Committee.

As required by SEC rules, the following discloses the role of Compensation Strategies in determining or recommending the amount or form of executive officer compensation, the nature and scope of the assignment, and the material elements of the instructions or directions given to Compensation Strategies with respect to the performance of its duties under its engagement: Compensation Strategies reviews TDS' various compensation elements and programs and provides independent analysis and advice to the Compensation Committee for the purpose of evaluating such elements and programs. As discussed below under "Benchmarking/Market Compensation Data", Compensation Strategies conducted a competitive review of compensation levels of TDS executive officers in 2018 as a comparison to the information provided by Willis Towers Watson. In 2018, Compensation Strategies also provided advice to the Compensation Committee relating to the designs of the bonus program and the long-term incentive program, as well as advice on other elements of compensation.

Compensation Consultant Conflicts of Interest

As required by SEC and NYSE rules, with regard to Willis Towers Watson and Compensation Strategies, the Compensation Committee considered if their work raised any conflict of interest.

Based on its review, the Compensation Committee determined that the work did not raise any conflict of interest considering the factors identified in Rule 10C-1 under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.

Although the independence rules of Section 303.05 of the NYSE Listed Company Manual are not applicable to TDS because it is a controlled company, the Compensation Committee believes that Compensation Strategies would nonetheless satisfy the independence requirements of such rules if they were applicable, considering the factors identified in Rule 10C-1.

Neither Willis Towers Watson nor Compensation Strategies provides any advice as to director compensation.

Unrealized Components of Compensation

The compensation reported under "Stock Awards" and "Option Awards" in the Summary Compensation Table represents grant date values as required by SEC rules, and does not represent currently realized or realizable compensation. The NEOs will not realize cash from such awards unless

Table of Contents

and until any stock awards are vested and the shares received upon vesting are sold for cash, or unless and until any stock options become exercisable, are exercised and the shares received upon exercise are sold for cash. There is no assurance that this will occur. In general, awards are subject to a risk of forfeiture and the options will expire if not exercised during their term. The compensation realized by a NEO may be more or less than the amount reported in the Summary Compensation Table below depending on the performance of the TDS stock price and other factors. With respect to 2018, the amount of compensation realized by each NEO can be approximated by (i) deducting from the amount in the "Total" column in the Summary Compensation Table the amounts reported in the "Stock Awards" and "Option Awards" columns for such officer, and (ii) adding the values realized by such officer as set forth in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table below. However, other unrealized components of compensation also may be included in the Summary Compensation Table, such as retirement plan contributions that are subject to a vesting schedule.

Benchmarking/Market Compensation Data

TDS does not engage in "benchmarking" as defined by the SEC, which would entail using compensation data about other companies as a reference point either wholly or in part to base, justify or provide a framework for a compensation decision.

TDS does obtain, review and consider a broad-based third-party survey of market compensation data from Willis Towers Watson. For compensation decisions in 2018, data was obtained from the Willis Towers Watson 2018 database, which contained data for over 1,100 companies that represented a diverse range of companies across all industries, including companies from the telecommunications, retail, financial, electronics, pharmaceutical, manufacturing and consumer products sectors. This database was used to identify the ranges of annual cash compensation considered to be appropriate for the NEOs. This database also was used in evaluating the equity compensation awards of the NEOs. TDS believes this approach provides a reasonably accurate reflection of the competitive market for such elements of compensation necessary to retain current executives and attract future executives to positions at TDS. In addition, TDS believes this methodology is more statistically valid than solely benchmarking these elements of compensation to the limited number of companies in the peer group used for the "Stock Performance Graph" that is included in the TDS Annual Report to shareholders.

The identities of the individual component companies that are included in the Willis Towers Watson database are not disclosed or considered by TDS or the Compensation Committee. TDS and the Compensation Committee rely upon and consider to be material only the aggregated survey data prepared by Willis Towers Watson.

In addition, the Compensation Committee obtains peer group information from Compensation Strategies. In particular, with respect to 2018, Compensation Strategies provided market data for a peer group for purposes of a competitive review of compensation levels of TDS' executive officers. This was done as a comparison against the information provided by Willis Towers Watson in connection with the approval of 2018 compensation.

Compensation Strategies created an industry peer group that consisted of the following 17 publicly-traded companies: American Tower Corp., CenturyLink Inc., Cincinnati Bell Inc., Consolidated Communications Holding, Inc., Crown Castle International Corp., DISH Network Corporation, Equinix, Inc., Fairpoint Communications, Inc., Frontier Communications Corp., General Communications Inc., Harris Corporation, IDT Corporation, Level 3 Communications, Inc, NII Holdings, Inc., SBA Communications Corporation, Vonage Holdings Corp. and Windstream Holdings, Inc. These companies were included in this analysis because they are companies somewhat similar in size to TDS in similar industries.

TDS also considers compensation arrangements at the companies in the peer group index included in the "Stock Performance Graph" that is included in the TDS Annual Report to shareholders, as well as other companies in the telecommunications industry and other industries, to the extent considered appropriate, based on similar size, function, geography or otherwise. This information is used to generally understand the market for compensation arrangements for executives, but is not used for benchmarking purposes.

Table of Contents

Company Performance

U.S. Cellular produced a payout of 144.3% of target for the company performance portion of its 2018 executive bonus plan, as disclosed in the U.S. Cellular 2019 proxy statement. TDS Telecom company performance for purposes of its 2018 bonus plan was determined to be 121.2% of target. For bonuses relating to 2018 performance paid in 2019, TDS company performance was based on consolidated results of TDS. The TDS consolidated company performance for purposes of its 2018 bonus paid in 2019 was determined to be 130.4% of target.

For 2018, the TDS consolidated company performance was based on the following three metrics with the following weights: consolidated operating revenue (50%), consolidated adjusted earnings before interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization (40%), and consolidated capital expenditures (10%).

The following shows TDS' calculation of consolidated company performance with respect to 2018. The below amounts are based on the performance metrics established specifically for bonus purposes and may not agree with TDS' financial statements, which are based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), or with other publicly disclosed measures. The below bonus results and targets are intended to reflect the regular operating results over which TDS officers have significant influence.

Performance Measures	R	Final Bonus Pesults for 2018	T_{i}	Final larget for 2018	Bonus Results as a % of Target	Minimum Threshold Performance (as a % of Target)	Maximum Performance (as a % of Target)	Interpolated % of Target Bonus Earned (if within Minimum and Maximum Range)		Weighted Avg % of Target Bonus
Consolidated Operating Revenue(1)	\$	5.109	\$	5.126	99.7%	90%	110%	98.3%	50%	49.2%
Consolidated Adjusted Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization(2)		·		·	110.3%	85%	115%	169.0%	40%	
Consolidated Capital Expenditures(3)	\$	767		826		105%	90%	136.0%	10%	13.6%
Overall Company Performance									100%	130.4%

(3)

⁽¹⁾ Consolidated Operating Revenue is based on the externally reported metric

⁽²⁾ Consolidated Adjusted Earnings before Interest, Taxes, Depreciation and Amortization will not align with the externally reported metric due to an adjustment for U.S. Cellular's equity in earnings of unconsolidated entities

Consolidated Capital Expenditures aligns with externally reported metric

If a metric does not meet the minimum threshold performance level, the plan provides that it will be at the discretion of the Compensation Committee to determine if a bonus will be paid with respect to such metric. If maximum performance or greater is achieved, 200% of the bonus opportunity for that metric will be funded, except with respect to Consolidated Capital Expenditures for which the maximum bonus opportunity is 150% of target. As shown above, the minimum threshold was achieved with respect to all of the three metrics, but performance was less than maximum performance for all three metrics. As a result, the payout level was interpolated as indicated above based on the formula included in the TDS bonus plan.

In accordance with this methodology, the overall percentage deemed to have been achieved by TDS for company performance with respect to 2018 was 130.4%.

Personal Objectives and Performance

In addition to TDS and/or business unit performance, the Compensation Committee may consider personal objectives and performance. There was no minimum level of achievement of any personal objectives that was required for any cash compensation decision. The assessment of the achievement of personal objectives is not formulaic, objective or quantifiable. Instead, the individual performance considerations are factors, among others, that are taken into account in the course of making subjective judgments in connection with compensation decisions.

The TDS executive bonus plan considers company performance and individual performance when determining the amount of bonuses.

Table of Contents

TDS Corporate Objectives and Accomplishments

In addition to achieving TDS financial performance of 130.4% of target as discussed above, TDS Corporate supported the overall organization in the following initiatives:

achieving financial targets while continuing to make long-term investments in the business;

helping the business units identify and realize additional cost savings and cost efficiencies;

developing and, as appropriate, executing financing strategies for growth initiatives while minimizing financing and other risk;

assisting U.S. Cellular in maximizing the value of non-strategic spectrum;

providing recommendations for ways to improve the long-term sustainability of TDS, including its business units;

assisting TDS Telecom in sourcing, evaluating and acquiring attractive cable/broadband companies and fiber opportunities; and

executing capital allocation strategy taking into account the funding needs and corporate strategy of the enterprise and the impact on medium and long term share value.

The following shows the position, tenure and primary responsibilities of each NEO, which, among other factors, were considered in connection with compensation paid by TDS in or with respect to 2018:

i						
	LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	Kenneth R. Meyers	Douglas W. Chambers	James W. Butman	Scott H. Williamson	Douglas D.
n at TDS	Director and President	t Director and	Senior Vice	Director and	Senior Vice	Former Seni
	and CEO	Executive Officer	President Finance and	Executive Officer	President Acquisition	is President I
			Chief Accounting		and Corporate	and Chi
			Officer (chief financial		Development	Accounting
			officer and chief			(chief fina
			accounting officer)			officer and
						accounting
n at U.S.	Director and	Director and	Chief Accounting	N/A	N/A	Former Di
r	Chairman	President and CEO	Officer			and Former
i						Accounting
n at TDS	Director and	N/A	Director and Chief	Director and	N/A	Former Di
m	Chairman		Accounting Officer	President and CEO		and Former
						Accounting
ppointed	1981 (President) and	2013	2018	2018	1998	N/A
ent	1986 (CEO)					
Title						
rst	1968	1987	2007	1985	1995	2007
d with						
its						
aries as						

r or						
/ee						
y	Primary responsibility	Primary	Primary responsibility	Primary	Primary responsibility	Primar
sibilities	for operations and	responsibility for	for accounting policy,	responsibility for	for acquisitions and	responsibil
8	performance of TDS	operations and	internal controls over	operations and	corporate	accounting j
	and subsidiaries as	performance of	financial reporting, and	performance of	development of TDS	internal co
	TDS CEO	U.S. Cellular as its	tax functions at TDS	TDS Telecom as	and subsidiaries	over finar
		CEO		its CEO		reporting, a
						functions a

Letter and Consulting Agreements

U.S. Cellular and Kenneth R. Meyers are parties to a letter agreement dated July 25, 2013 relating to his appointment as President and CEO effective June 22, 2013 (the "Meyers Letter Agreement"). The Meyers Letter Agreement provided for Mr. Meyers' cash compensation and equity awards for 2013, and includes provisions relating to annual equity awards in subsequent years, cash reimbursements or payments with respect to retiree medical/life insurance benefits and a related tax gross-up, and severance (pursuant to which Mr. Meyers would be entitled to his then current annual base salary in the

Table of Contents

event that U.S. Cellular terminates Mr. Meyers' employment involuntarily without cause prior to June 22, 2019). See footnote (2) to the Summary Compensation Table below for further details.

TDS and Douglas W. Chambers are parties to a letter agreement relating to his appointment as Senior Vice President-Finance and Chief Accounting Officer effective May 18, 2018. The Letter Agreement provides for (i) an annual base salary of \$360,000 per year (subject to adjustment from time to time); (ii) an annual bonus program target of 45% of his annual base salary; and (iii) an annual equity award target of 110% of his annual base salary.

TDS entered into a Consulting Agreement with Douglas D. Shuma effective June 1, 2018 and continuing through May 31, 2019. The agreement provides Mr. Shuma with a fee of \$12,500 per month in exchange for his consulting services and requires Mr. Shuma to comply with covenants relating to non-disclosure of confidential information.

Annual Cash Compensation

Annual cash compensation decisions are generally made concurrently by the Compensation Committee each year for each of the NEOs (other than the President and CEO of U.S. Cellular, whose compensation is not determined by the Compensation Committee but rather by the Chairman and LTICC of U.S. Cellular). Annual compensation decisions are based partly on individual and corporate short-term performance and partly on individual and corporate cumulative long-term performance during the executive's tenure in his or her position, particularly with regard to the President and CEO.

As part of the process of determining the appropriate elements of annual cash compensation for the NEOs, the Compensation Committee is provided with information about the compensation of similar executive officers at other companies, including chief executive officers of companies, chief executive officers and chief operating officers of principal business units, if available, chief financial officers and other officers with responsibilities comparable to the TDS NEOs, as reported in the survey data discussed above and the proxy statements of other companies. The Compensation Committee also considers recommendations from the President and CEO of TDS regarding compensation for the NEOs other than himself, each of which reports directly to him. TDS' Senior Vice President Human Resources prepares for the Compensation Committee an analysis of compensation paid to similar executive officers of other comparable companies. See "Benchmarking/Market Compensation Data" above.

Annually, the nature and extent of each executive officer's personal accomplishments and contributions for the year are determined, based on information submitted by the executive and by others familiar with his or her performance, including the President and CEO of TDS in the case of the NEOs other than himself. The Compensation Committee evaluates the information in terms of the personal objectives established for such executive officer for the performance appraisal period.

The Compensation Committee also assesses how well TDS did as a whole during the year and the extent to which the President and CEO of TDS believes that the other executive officers contributed to the results. With respect to executive officers having primary responsibility over a certain business unit or division of TDS, the Compensation Committee considers the performance of the business unit or division and the contribution of the executive officer.

In general, other facts and circumstances that the Compensation Committee and TDS President and CEO consider include TDS' status as a public company and a controlled company; the publicly-available market cash compensation information of TDS' publicly-held peers and other publicly-held companies; the fact that TDS is primarily a regional competitor and that some of its competitors are national or global telecommunications companies that are much larger than TDS and possess greater resources than TDS; the value of TDS' assets; and TDS' primary financial focus of increasing long-term shareholder value.

The Compensation Committee uses these sources and makes the determination of appropriate elements of compensation and ranges for such elements for such NEOs based on its informed judgment, using the information provided to it by the TDS Human Resources department, Willis Towers Watson and Compensation Strategies. The Compensation Committee also has access to numerous performance measures and financial statistics prepared by TDS. This financial information includes the

Table of Contents

audited financial statements of TDS, as well as internal financial reports such as budgets and actual results, operating statistics and other analyses. The Compensation Committee may also consider such other factors as it deems appropriate in making its compensation decisions.

The elements of compensation and ranges for such elements are discretionary. No specific measures of performance or factors are considered determinative in the compensation of executive officers. Instead, various facts and circumstances are taken into consideration by the Compensation Committee. Ultimately, it is the informed judgment of the Compensation Committee, after reviewing all the facts and circumstances, that determines the elements of compensation and total compensation for the executive officers.

Base Salary

The base salary of each officer is set within the range identified for this element based on an assessment of the responsibilities and the performance of such officer, also taking into account the performance of TDS and/or its business units or divisions, other comparable companies, the industry and the overall economy during the preceding year. Column (c), "Salary," in the Summary Compensation Table includes the dollar value of base salary (cash and non-cash) earned by the NEOs during 2018, 2017 and 2016, whether or not paid in such year.

The following shows certain information relating to the rate of base salary in 2018 compared to 2017 for the NEOs whose 2017 compensation was previously reported.

	LeRoy T.		Douglas
	Carlson,	Scott H.	D .
	Jr.	Williamson	Shuma*
2017 Base Salary effective 1/1/17	\$ 1,352,700	\$ 680,000	\$ 446,500
2018 Base Salary effective 1/1/18	\$ 1,352,700	\$ 697,000	\$ 460,500
\$ Change in 2018		\$ 17,000	\$ 14,000
% Change in 2018		3%	3%

*

Mr. Shuma retired in May 2018.

The TDS Compensation Committee reviews the base salary and bonus of the executive officers on an aggregate basis as described below under "Total Cash Compensation."

Bonus

TDS established the 2018 Officer Bonus Program for awarding bonuses to certain officers. This bonus program covered all TDS executive officers other than the President and CEO of TDS. This program was filed as Exhibit 10.1 by TDS on a Form 8-K dated March 23, 2018. For bonuses relating to 2018 performance that were paid in 2019, in general, 20% of an officer's target bonus was based on the officer's individual performance and the remaining 80% was based on company performance (utilizing the weightings and metrics described above under "Company Performance"). The maximum amount of the bonus based on company performance could not exceed 195% of the target and the maximum bonus based on individual performance could not exceed 160% of the target bonus.

The program provided that the TDS Telecom President and CEO would have the same company and individual performance weightings as the other TDS executive officers, provided that the company performance was to be based on TDS Telecom's performance rather than TDS' consolidated performance. However, the amount of the bonus payable to the TDS Telecom President and CEO was not formulaic and was based on TDS Telecom's bonus plan, TDS Telecom metrics and various other performance measures in the discretion of the TDS President and CEO and the TDS Compensation Committee.

In addition, TDS has established performance guidelines and procedures for awarding bonuses to the President and CEO of TDS. These guidelines and procedures as amended and restated were filed by TDS as Exhibit 10.1 to TDS' Form 8-K dated November 18, 2009. These guidelines and procedures provide that the Compensation Committee in its sole discretion determines whether an annual bonus will be payable to the President and CEO of TDS for a performance year and, if so, the amount of such

Table of Contents

bonus, and describe factors that may be considered by the Compensation Committee in making such determination, including any factors that the Compensation Committee in the exercise of its judgment and discretion determines relevant. The guidelines and procedures provide that no single factor will be determinative and no factor will be applied mechanically to calculate any portion of the bonus of the President and CEO. The entire amount of the bonus is discretionary. The guidelines and procedures provide that the President and CEO will have no right or expectation with respect to any bonus until the Compensation Committee has determined whether a bonus will be paid for a performance year.

The TDS 2018 Officer Bonus Program does not cover the President and CEO of U.S. Cellular, who is subject to separate guidelines as described in U.S. Cellular's 2019 proxy statement.

Summary of Bonus Payments

The following shows information with respect to each NEO that received a bonus for 2018 performance (paid in 2019) from TDS, showing the amount of bonus awarded. The bonus for Mr. Meyers for 2018 performance was paid by U.S. Cellular as described in the U.S. Cellular 2019 proxy statement. Mr. Shuma retired in May 2018 and did not receive a bonus for 2018 performance. Since Mr. Chambers transferred to TDS from U.S. Cellular on May 18, 2018, his bonus calculation was based on his performance at both U.S. Cellular and TDS.

Table of Contents

As noted above under "Company Performance," the overall percentage achieved by TDS with respect to company performance for 2018 was determined to be 130.4%. Certain amounts below are rounded.

		Formula	(LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	CI (U	Douglas W. hambers USM)(2)	CI (T	(DS)(2)	Б	James W. Butman		Scott H. Uliamson
a	2018 base salary		\$	1,352,700	\$	123,100	\$	360,000	\$	562,500	\$	697,000
b	Target bonus percentage (informal for Mr. Carlson and Mr. Butman)			90%	ó	40%	, D	45%	6	709	%	50%
С	Target bonus for 2018	a × b	\$	1,217,430	\$	49,200	\$	162,000	\$	393,750	\$	348,500
d	Percentage of 2018 target bonus based on company performance (informal for Mr. Carlson and Mr. Butman)			80%	ó	40%	,	80%	6	809	%	80%
e	Target bonus for company performance	c×d	\$	973,944	\$	19,680	\$	129,600	\$	315,000	\$	278,800
	TDS target bonus was prorated for 8 months worked						\$	86,400				
f	Calculation of amount reported under "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column based on TDS company performance in 2018(1)	e × 130.4%		N/A			\$	112,700		N/A	\$	363,555
	Calculation of amount reported under "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column based on USM company performance in 2018(1)	e × 144.3%			\$	28,400						
	Calculation of amount reported under "Bonus" column:											
g	Amount of discretionary bonus based on individual performance			N/A	\$	35,500	\$	27,100		N/A	\$	107,545
h	Other bonus(1)		\$	1,481,900		N/A		N/A	\$	515,200		N/A
i	Subtotal of amount reported under "Bonus" column	g + h	\$	1,481,900	\$	35,500	\$	27,100	\$	515,200	\$	107,545
	Total bonus for 2018 paid in 2019 (sum of amount reported under "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation" column and amount reported under "Bonus" column)	f+i	\$	1,481,900	\$	63,900	\$	139,800	\$	515,200	\$	471,100

⁽¹⁾Unlike the TDS 2018 Officer Bonus Program, which provides that a specified percentage of an officer's bonus will be determined based on company performance measures (as described above) and that the remaining percentage will be discretionary based on

individual performance, the bonus guidelines for the President and CEO of TDS (LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.), do not provide such specificity and provide that the entire amount of the bonus is discretionary. Accordingly, the entire amount of the bonus for LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. is reported under the "Bonus" column of the Summary Compensation Table. In addition, although the TDS Officer Bonus Program and TDS Telecom bonus plan are used as guidelines for the bonus for the President and CEO of TDS Telecom, the actual amount of the bonus paid is not formulaic and is based on such bonus arrangements, metrics of TDS Telecom and various other facts and circumstances. Accordingly, the entire amount of the bonus for James W. Butman is reported under the "Bonus" column of the Summary Compensation Table.

(2)
Douglas W. Chambers bonus for his 2018 performance was paid by TDS but the calculation was based on company and individual performance at TDS and U.S. Cellular.

42

Table of Contents

As indicated above, the TDS Compensation Committee approved the following bonuses for the above NEOs with respect to their 2018 performance:

			L	Oouglas W.				
		eRoy T. urlson, Jr.		hambers TDS)(1)	•	imes W. Butman	Scott H. Williamson	
2018 Bonus Paid in 2019	\$	1,481,900	\$	203,700	\$	515,200	\$	471,100
Target Bonus	\$	1,217,430	\$	211,200	\$	393,750	\$	348,500
Percentage of Target Bonus		122%		96%		131%		135%

(1) Douglas W. Chambers bonus for his 2018 performance was paid by TDS but the calculation was based on company and individual performance at TDS and U.S. Cellular.

Mr. Butman's bonus of \$515,200 represents a bonus of 131% of his target bonus with respect to the 2018 bonus paid in 2019. The individual performance percentage was based on the recommendation of the TDS President and CEO, based on his subjective judgement of Mr. Butman's personal achievements and performance in 2018.

Mr. Carlson's informal target bonus with respect to the 2018 bonus paid in 2019 was 90% of his 2018 base salary of \$1,352,700 or \$1,217,430. In the Compensation Committee's subjective judgment and based on its analysis and consultation with Compensation Strategies, it believed that Mr. Carlson's cash bonus for 2018 should be \$1,481,900 or approximately 122% of this target. This reflects the Compensation Committee's subjective judgment of the bonus that Mr. Carlson should receive based on company performance, individual performance and other factors, including Mr. Carlson's total cash compensation of base salary and bonus, as discussed below.

Mr. Chambers' bonus was \$203,700 and paid by TDS. The amount is based on his performance at U.S. Cellular prior to May 18, 2018 and then his performance at TDS for the remaining portion of the year. Mr. Chambers' TDS bonus amount was \$139,800 and the amount for his U.S. Cellular service was \$63,900. The individual performance percentage was based on the recommendation of the TDS President and CEO, based on his subjective judgment of Mr. Chambers' personal achievements and performance at both U.S. Cellular and TDS in 2018.

Mr. Williamson's bonus of \$471,100 represents a bonus of 135% of his target bonus. The individual performance percentage was based on the recommendation of the TDS President and CEO, based on his subjective judgment of Mr. Williamson's personal achievements and performance in 2018.

Kenneth R. Meyers did not receive a bonus from TDS because his bonus was paid by U.S. Cellular, as described in U.S. Cellular's 2019 proxy statement.

Douglas D. Shuma did not receive a bonus from TDS because he retired during the 2018 performance year.

Total Cash Compensation

The following shows information relating to total cash compensation in 2018 for the NEOs that received a bonus with respect to 2018 from TDS:

			$D\epsilon$	ouglas						
		LeRoy T.		•			•	lames W.	Scott H.	
	Car	lson, Jr.	Ch	ambers		Butman	W	illiamson		
Base Salary in 2018*	\$	1,352,700	\$	211,527	\$	562,500	\$	697,000		
2018 Bonus Paid in 2019	\$	1,481,900	\$	203,700	\$	515,200	\$	471,100		
Total Cash Compensation in 2018	\$	2,834,600	\$	415,227	\$	1,077,700	\$	1,168,100		

Total Cash Compensation per Willis Towers Watson Survey:

50th percentile	\$ 2,405,000	\$ 460,000	\$ 1,115,000	\$ 675,000
75th percentile	\$ 3,255,000	\$ 605,000	\$ 1,500,000	\$ 810,000

*

Mr. Chambers' base salary is prorated for his time at TDS.

43

Table of Contents

The amount reported above as Base Salary represents the NEO's 2018 base salary. The Compensation Committee, based on its analysis and consultation with Compensation Strategies, believes that total cash compensation paid to TDS executive officers is in line with TDS' peers, but that a greater proportion of the total cash compensation should be paid as bonus and less should be paid as salary compared to peers.

The basis of the Compensation Committee's decisions for the above levels of compensation is as follows:

Mr. Carlson's total cash compensation represents the Compensation Committee's subjective view of the appropriate total cash compensation considering the importance of Mr. Carlson's responsibilities, the performance of TDS and its subsidiaries and Mr. Carlson's performance as President and CEO.

Mr. Chambers' total cash compensation represents the Compensation Committee's subjective view of the appropriate total cash compensation considering his many years of service with TDS and U.S. Cellular.

Mr. Butman's total cash compensation represents the Compensation Committee's subjective view of the appropriate total cash compensation considering the compensation of officers at comparable companies with similar responsibilities and the performance of TDS Telecom and Mr. Butman.

Mr. Williamson's total cash compensation represents the Compensation Committee's subjective view of the appropriate total cash compensation considering his many years of service with TDS, the importance of Mr. Williamson's responsibilities and his performance over a long period of time.

Long-Term Equity Compensation

The Compensation Committee also determines long-term equity compensation awards to the NEOs (other than the President and CEO of U.S. Cellular whose equity awards are determined by the U.S. Cellular LTICC) under the TDS long-term incentive plans, which awards historically have included options, restricted stock units, performance share units and bonus match units, as discussed below. Long-term equity is usually granted to all equity recipients at the same time each year. In 2018, options, performance share units, and restricted stock units were granted on May 23, 2018. Bonus match units were granted on March 9, 2018 (the date that the related bonus was determined). TDS may also make grants of equity awards during other times of the year as it deems appropriate. All option, restricted stock unit, performance share unit and bonus match unit awards are expensed over the applicable vesting periods.

Although the Compensation Committee has the discretion to grant various awards, prior to 2016 it generally only granted service-based restricted stock units and service-based options. However, beginning in 2016, performance share unit awards were added to the mix of awards granted. In addition, officers may receive employer stock match awards in connection with deferred bonus as described below under "Information Regarding Nonqualified Deferred Compensation." With respect to long-term compensation, the Senior Vice President Human Resources prepares an analysis of long-term compensation paid to similar officers of companies (see "Benchmarking/Market Compensation Data" above). This information is presented to the Compensation Committee, which approves the long-term compensation of the NEOs in part based on such information. The Compensation Committee also looks at the mix of salary, bonus and long-term incentive compensation, and obtains additional information from its compensation consultant, Compensation Strategies.

Long-term compensation awards for executive officers are based, in part, on company and individual performance, with the goal of increasing long-term company performance and shareholder value. Stock options, restricted stock units and bonus match units generally vest over several years, and performance share unit awards are subject to a three-year performance period, to reflect the goal of relating long-term executive compensation to increases in shareholder value over the same period. The President and CEO of TDS may recommend to the Compensation Committee long-term compensation in the form of stock option, performance share unit and restricted stock unit grants or otherwise for the executive officers other than himself.

Table of Contents

Performance share units better align compensation with the Company's long-term strategy

Long-term equity compensation is intended to compensate executives primarily for their contributions to long-term increases in shareholder value. For certain TDS executive officers, during 2016 TDS introduced performance share unit awards and reduced the proportion of long-term equity consisting of stock options and restricted stock units. TDS also issued performance share unit awards to certain executive officers in 2017 and 2018. The financial-based performance share units are measured over a three year time period using three key performance metrics. The three-year performance period applicable to each of the performance share unit awards results in an overlap of performance periods. The performance goals for the 2016 performance share unit awards relate to the years 2016 - 2018, the performance goals for the 2017 performance share unit awards relate to the years 2018 - 2020.

Performance against the goals established for each award is measured separately for each year's award. Information relating to the 2016 and 2017 awards were disclosed in 2016 and 2017 TDS proxy statements. The below describes the performance measures that are applicable to the 2018 awards, describes the current level of performance achievement of the 2018 awards and provides certain additional information regarding such awards.

With the pay-for-performance program, each of the designated executives was granted a performance share unit award with a payout target opportunity in TDS Common Shares. The payout could be increased up to 200% of target or reduced to 0% based on achievement of the key metrics. Performance share units accumulate dividend equivalents (in the form of additional performance share units) which are forfeitable if the performance metrics are not achieved. Dividend equivalents are accrued each quarter that TDS pays a dividend for the period between the grant date and the date the award is settled and will only be issued if and when the shares underlying the performance share units are issued.

Performance metrics and methodology for 2016 - 2018 Awards

	Methodology during	
	Performance Period	Weighting
Return on Capital ("ROC")	Simple average of the three fiscal years in the performance period	40%
	Based on Average Net Operating Profit After Tax divided by Average Adjusted Assets	
Total Revenue	Cumulative Consolidated Operating Revenue over the three fiscal years in the performance period	40%
Relative Total Shareholder Return ("TSR")	Comparison of TDS to specified peer group from the beginning to the end of the performance period	20%
	Dividends, if any, are deemed to be reinvested in additional shares of	
	the subject company, based on the then-current closing stock price	

2018 Performance share units peer group at December 31, 2018

American Tower Corp.	DISH Network Corp.	Shenandoah Telecommunications
AT&T, Inc.	Equinix, Inc.	Company
CenturyLink, Inc.	Frontier Communications	Sprint Corp.
Charter Communications, Inc.	Corp. Harris Corp.	T-Mobile U.S. Inc.
Cincinnati Bell, Inc.	IDT Corp.	Verizon Communications, Inc.
Comcast Corp.	NII Holdings, Inc.	Vonage Holdings Corp.
Consolidated Communications	SBA Communications	Windstream Holdings, Inc.
Holdings, Inc.	Corp.	Zayo Group Holdings, Inc.
Crown Castle International Corp.		
	45	

Table of Contents

Performance share unit performance for 2018 Grants

Below are the three performance share unit performance metrics along with the potential number of shares that would be issued with respect to the performance share units awarded in 2018 based on performance as of December 31, 2018. The final determination of performance will be based on achievement during the three year performance period, which ends on December 31, 2020.

				Payout (as a % of
Performance Measure	Threshold(1)	Target	Maximum(2)	Target)(3)
Total Revenue		56,696	113,392	128%
Return on Capital		56,696	113,392	107%
Relative Total Shareholder Return	14,174	28,348	56,696	100%
	14,174	141.740	283,480	
	14,1/4	141,740	283,480	

- (1) Represents the minimum payout level possible that is more than a zero (0) payout. In the case of TDS' 2018 performance share unit grants, the minimum payout level possible will result if the Total Revenue and Return on Capital measures are not achieved, and the Relative Total Shareholder Return measure (which has a weight of 20%) achieves a 50% payout. Such a scenario will result in a payout which is 10% of the Target amount (calculated as 20% × 50%) times 141,740 shares. If none of the Threshold amounts are achieved, the payout would be zero (0).
- (2) Represents the maximum number of TDS Common Shares that would be delivered if each company performance measure equals or exceeds 200% of Target.
- Represents December 31, 2018 assessed probability of achieving the performance targets. At December 31, 2018, the expectation of final performance at vest date was more than the Target but less than the Maximum for the 2018 grants. Final determination of payout will be based on actual results. Actual payout as a percentage of target could differ significantly from that projected in the table above.

The following summarizes the TDS performance share unit awards made by the Compensation Committee during 2018 to the following TDS Corporate named executive officers and other officers. Performance share unit awards were not granted to officers of TDS Telecom. Mr. Shuma did not receive a grant because he retired from TDS during 2018. Mr. Chambers was not awarded TDS performance share units because he was employed with U.S. Cellular during the time of TDS' annual award grants and he received a U.S. Cellular grant.

2018 PSU Grants

Officer	Threshold	Target	Maximum
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	8,483	84,827	169,654
Scott H. Williamson	1,760	17,600	35,200
Other Officers	3,931	39,313	78,626
Total	14,174	141.740	283,480

Column (e), "Stock Awards," of the Summary Compensation Table below includes the aggregate grant date fair value of the performance share unit awards computed in accordance with Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718. The grant date fair value of the performance share unit awards is calculated based on the probable satisfaction of the performance conditions for such awards.

Performance on 2016 Performance Share Units Produces a 107% Payout

The performance period for the 2016 performance share units ended on December 31, 2018. On February 19, 2019, the 2016 performance share units were reviewed against the set metrics and were

Table of Contents

certified by the Compensation Committee. The certified attainment was 107% and the shares have been issued.

Performance Measure	Cu	3-Year mulative Results	Target (100% Payout)	Payout % Achieved	Revised Award(1)
Total Revenue	\$	15.18 B	38,632	%	
Return on Capital		2.7%	38,632	200%	74,010
Relative Total Shareholder Return		58.5%	19,316	134%	24,791
Total Performance Share Units			96,580	107%	98,801

(1) Includes accumulated dividend equivalents, Mr. Shuma's forfeiture due to retirement, and additional pro-rated adjustments due to the retirement of another employee

The following summarizes the adjustment of the performance share unit awards made during 2018 to the following NEOs and all other employees on February 19, 2019.

	Target Award	Adjustment above Target(1)	Total Award Adjusted on February 19, 2019
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	45,442	5,806	51,248
Scott H. Williamson	14,773	1,884	16,657
All Others	36,365	(5,469)	30,896
Total	96,580	2,221	98,801

(1) Includes accumulated dividend equivalents, Mr. Shuma's forfeiture due to retirement, and additional pro-rated adjustments due to the retirement of another employee

Options and Restricted Stock Units

Performance is also a factor in determining the number of shares subject to restricted stock unit and option awards made to the executive officers. A NEO receives an award of restricted stock units in the current year based in part on the achievement of certain levels of corporate performance in the immediately preceding year and an award of options in the current year based in part on the achievement of certain levels of individual performance in the immediately preceding year.

Executive officers do not automatically become entitled to any options or restricted stock units as a result of the achievement of any corporate or individual performance levels. Although prior year performance is considered by the Compensation Committee, the award of options and restricted stock units is entirely discretionary and a NEO has no right to any options or restricted stock units unless and until they are awarded. Pursuant to SEC rules, awards with respect to 2017 performance granted in 2018 are included in the Summary Compensation Table below as 2018 compensation.

The NEOs who were employed by TDS in 2017 received an award of restricted stock units in 2018, in part, based on the achievement of certain levels of corporate performance in 2017. Column (e), "Stock Awards," of the Summary Compensation Table includes the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC 718. The grant date fair value of restricted stock units is calculated as the product of the number of shares underlying the award and the closing price of the underlying shares on the grant date, reduced by the estimated value of the discounted cash flows of dividends that would normally be received with respect to the underlying shares (because restricted stock units do not receive credit for dividends prior to vesting). Mr. Shuma did not receive a grant because he retired during 2018. Mr. Chambers did not receive a grant because he was employed with U.S. Cellular during the time of TDS' annual award grants and he received a U.S. Cellular grant.

The restricted stock units granted in 2018 vest in full (cliff vesting) on May 23, 2021, subject to continued employment.

The NEOs who were employed by TDS in 2017 also received an award of options in 2018 based, in part, on the achievement of certain levels of individual performance in 2017. Column (f), "Option

47

Table of Contents

Awards," of the Summary Compensation Table includes the aggregate grant date fair value computed in accordance with FASB ASC 718. The grant date fair value of stock options is calculated using the Black-Scholes valuation model. Mr. Shuma did not receive a grant because he retired on May 18, 2018. Mr. Chambers did not receive a grant because he was employed with U.S. Cellular during the time of TDS' annual award grants.

Options granted in 2018 vest in full (cliff vesting) on May 23, 2021 and are exercisable until May 22, 2028, in each case subject to continued employment.

The total target long-term incentive value is determined by multiplying the officer's salary for the immediately preceding year by a multiple. The multiple is determined considering the officer's job responsibilities and the market compensation data from Willis Towers Watson. See "Benchmarking/Market Compensation Data". The target value is also adjusted for performance.

The following summarizes the TDS option, performance share unit and restricted stock unit grants made by the Compensation Committee on May 23, 2018 to the following NEOs (the amounts below may be rounded).

			I	eRoy T.				
			(Carlson,	J	ames W.		Scott H.
		Formula		Jr.	I	Butman	W	illiamson
a	2017 Salary		\$	1,352,700	\$	478,900	\$	680,000
b	Multiple used			220%		160%		150%
c	Long-Term Incentive Target Value	$a \times b$	\$	2,975,940	\$	766,240	\$	1,020,000
d	Options Target(1)	$(c \times 10\%)/\$6.50$		45,784				15,692
	Options Target(1)	$(c \times 50\%)/\$6.50$				58,942		
e	Individual Performance % for 2017			100%		125%		121%
f	Options Granted			18,320				
f	Options Granted	$d \times e$				73,723		19,000
g	Target Performance Share Units(1)	$(c \times 45\%)/\$26.08$		51,349				17,600
h	Performance Share Units Granted			84,827				17,600
i	Target RSUs(1)	$(c \times 45\%)/$24.23$		55,269				18,943
	Target RSUs(1)	$(c \times 50\%)/$24.23$				15,812		
j	Company/Business Unit Performance %							
	for 2017			103.6%		119.0%		103.6%
k	RSUs Granted	i×j		57,261		18,817		19,626
		5						

Messrs. Carlson and Williamson received the following target mix of awards: options (10%); performance share units (45%) and restricted stock units (45%). Mr. Butman received the following target mix of awards: options (50%) and restricted stock units (50%). The values used for stock options, performance share units and restricted stock units for the above formulas were the estimated values used in determining the May 23, 2018 grants. For financial reporting purposes, the values used were determined using methodology based on FASB ASC 718. The values calculated for 2018 were \$6.50 per TDS stock option, \$26.08 per TDS performance share unit and \$24.23 per TDS restricted stock unit.

The Company/Business Unit Performance percentage in the above table represents the overall performance of TDS (or in the case of Mr. Butman, TDS Telecom). The overall company performance for TDS in 2017 was 103.6%. The business unit performance for TDS Telecom in 2017 was 119%.

The individual performance percentage in the above table is based on each officer's individual performance assessment relating to 2017.

The individual performance percentage used for the TDS President and CEO was based on the Compensation Committee's subjective judgment of the individual performance of the TDS President and CEO in 2017.

The individual performance percentage used for James W. Butman and Scott H. Williamson was based on the Compensation Committee's subjective judgment of the individual performance of such officers, considering the TDS President and CEO's evaluation and recommendation to the Compensation Committee for such officers with respect to 2017.

Table of Contents

Mr. Shuma terminated from TDS on May 18, 2018 and did not receive any grants of long-term equity in 2018 with respect to his 2017 performance. Mr. Chambers did not receive any grant of long-term equity in 2018 from TDS because he was employed with U.S. Cellular during the time of TDS' annual award grants and he received long-term equity from U.S. Cellular.

Mr. Meyers participates in the U.S. Cellular long-term incentive plans.

Analysis of Compensation

The following table identifies the percentage of each element of total compensation of each of the NEOs based on the Summary Compensation Table for 2018:

	LeRoy			
	T. Kenneth	James	Scott D	ouglas
	Carlson, R. Doug	las W.W.	Н.	D.
	Jr. MeyeiChan	nbe B utm d l	Villiams d S	huma
Salary	20.6% 12.2% 40	0.8% 26.4%	30.3%	82.3%
Bonus	22.5% 14.9%	7.6% 24.2%	4.7%	%
Stock Awards	54.5% 71.6% 25	5.9% 21.1%	40.0%	%
Stock Options	1.8% %	% 21.9%	5.2%	%
Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation	% % 17	7.2% %	6 15.8%	%
Other	0.6% 1.3%	8.5% 6.4%	4.0%	17.7%
	100.0% 100.0% 100	0.0% 100.0%	100.0%10	00.0%

As indicated in the Summary Compensation Table, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.'s total compensation for 2018 was \$6,576,397 and the total compensation for the other NEOs for 2018 ranged from a high of \$2,300,940 to a low of \$821,016, excluding Mr. Meyers whose compensation is not set by the TDS Compensation Committee and Mr. Shuma who retired in May 2018). Accordingly in 2018, Mr. Carlson's total compensation was approximately 2.9 times greater than the total compensation of the next highest compensated NEO.

TDS recognizes that its compensation practices must be competitive in order to attract and retain high quality management. TDS considers the compensation practices of peers and other companies with similar characteristics.

The Compensation Committee believes that the elements of compensation and total compensation of the above NEOs of TDS were set at an appropriate level considering the foregoing principles.

Other Benefits and Plans Available to NEOs

The NEOs participate in certain other benefits and plans, as described below.

To attract and retain high quality management, TDS' compensation packages are designed to compete with other companies for talented employees. The Compensation Committee believes that the NEOs must be offered a competitive compensation package, including benefits and plans. Benefits and plans are an important part of the mix of compensation but do not significantly affect decisions relating to other elements of annual or long-term compensation.

Deferred Salary and Bonus under Deferred Compensation Arrangements

Deferred Salary and/or Bonus Arrangements. The NEOs are permitted to defer salary and/or bonus into an interest-bearing arrangement pursuant to deferred compensation agreements or plans. The entire amount of the salary earned is reported in the Summary Compensation Table in column (c) under "Salary," whether or not deferred. The entire amount of the bonus earned is reported in column (d) under "Bonus," or in column (g) under "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation," whether or not deferred. Pursuant to the agreement or plan, the officer's deferred compensation account is credited with interest compounded monthly, computed at a rate equal to one-twelfth of the sum of the average thirty-year Treasury Bond rate for amounts deferred as an employee of TDS or TDS Telecom, or the twenty-year Treasury Bond rate for amounts deferred as an employee of U.S. Cellular, plus 1.25 percentage points, until the deferred compensation amount is paid to such person. As required by

Table of Contents

SEC rules, column (h) includes any portion of such interest that exceeded the rate specified by the Internal Revenue Service which is 120% of the applicable federal long-term rate, with compounding (as prescribed under section 1274(d) of the Internal Revenue Code) (such specified rate, the "AFR"), at the time each monthly interest rate was set. The deferred compensation accounts are paid at the time and in the form provided in the applicable plan or agreement, which permits certain distribution elections by the officer.

As indicated, certain of the NEOs have deferred a specified portion of their salaries or bonuses. The executive is always 100% vested in all salary or bonus amounts that have been deferred and any interest credited with respect thereto. Accordingly, the executive is entitled to 100% of the amount deferred and all earnings upon any termination. Such amounts are reported below in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and, because there would not be any increased benefit or accelerated vesting in the event of any termination or change in control, are not included in the Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

Deferred Bonus under Long-Term Incentive Plan ("LTIP"). In addition to being permitted to defer some or all of their bonuses into an interest-bearing arrangement as described immediately above, the NEOs are also permitted to defer some or all of their bonuses pursuant to a LTIP. The entire amount of the bonus earned is reported in column (d) under "Bonus," or in column (g) under "Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation," whether or not deferred. Deferred bonus is deemed invested in phantom TDS Common Shares under the applicable TDS LTIP in the case of deferrals attributable to employment with TDS and in phantom U.S. Cellular Common Shares under the applicable U.S. Cellular LTIP in the case of deferrals attributable to employment with U.S. Cellular. The NEOs receive a distribution of the deferred compensation account generally at the earlier of the date elected by the officer and the officer's separation from service (or, with respect to amounts subject to section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code, the seventh calendar month following the calendar month of the officer's separation from service). The NEOs who defer bonus into phantom shares also receive a company match from TDS, other than the President and CEO of U.S. Cellular, who participates in and may receive a match from U.S. Cellular under the applicable U.S. Cellular LTIP, as described in the U.S. Cellular 2019 proxy statement.

As indicated in the below tables, certain of the NEOs have deferred a specified portion of their bonuses under the LTIP. The executive is always 100% vested in all bonus amounts that have been deferred and any dividends credited on such bonus amounts. Such amounts are reported below in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation table and, because there would not be any increased benefit or accelerated vesting in the event of any termination or change in control, are not included in the Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

TDS 2011 LTIP

Long-term compensation awards under the TDS 2011 LTIP were discussed above in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis. Under the TDS 2011 LTIP, TDS is authorized to grant incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights ("SARs"), bonus stock awards, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, performance share awards and employer match awards for deferred bonus. The following provides certain additional information relating to the TDS 2011 LTIP.

TDS adopted the TDS 2011 LTIP to replace the TDS 2004 LTIP for awards granted after January 24, 2012. A total of six million TDS Common Shares were initially reserved for issuance under the TDS 2011 LTIP and an additional five million TDS Common Shares were reserved following approval by shareholders at the 2014 Annual Meeting.

Under the TDS 2011 LTIP, executives are permitted to defer receipt of all or a portion of their annual bonuses and receive stock unit matches on the amount deferred. Deferred compensation is deemed invested in phantom TDS Common Shares, and the TDS match amounts depend on the amount of annual bonus that was deferred into stock units. Vested stock units are credited with dividend equivalents.

Table of Contents

If the officer enters into competition with, or misappropriates confidential information of, TDS or any affiliate thereof, or the officer's employment with TDS or any affiliate thereof is terminated on account of the officer's negligence or willful misconduct, then all awards held by the officer under the TDS 2011 LTIP shall terminate and be forfeited. In addition, the TDS 2011 LTIP provides that the Compensation Committee may impose other conditions on an award, and pursuant thereto, certain awards under the plan have been granted subject to forfeiture in the event of the officer's violation of non-solicitation and non-disparagement agreements. Also see "Clawback" below.

The TDS 2011 LTIP and related award agreements provide various rights upon resignation (with prior consent of the TDS board of directors), retirement, special retirement, disability, death, or other termination or separation from service, and upon a change in control thereunder, as summarized below.

See the below Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control for additional information.

The TDS 2011 LTIP provides that if an outstanding award expires or terminates unexercised or is canceled or forfeited, then the shares subject to such outstanding award would again be available under the plan. The TDS 2011 LTIP also provides that if a share subject to an award is not delivered by reason of the settlement of such award in cash, then such share shall again be available under the plan. However, the TDS 2011 LTIP expressly provides that such "share recycling" will not occur in the event the option exercise price or tax withholding with respect to an award is satisfied by the delivery or netting of shares, rather than the payment of cash.

The TDS 2011 LTIP broadly prohibits, without shareholder approval and other than in connection with certain business transactions, "repricings," including the reduction of the exercise price of an outstanding stock option or the base price of an outstanding SAR or the cash buyout of underwater stock options.

The TDS 2011 LTIP does not have a provision automatically replenishing the shares available under the plan without shareholder approval, known as an "evergreen" provision.

TDS 2011 LTIP Change in Control

Notwithstanding any other provision in the TDS 2011 LTIP or any agreement, in the event of a Change in Control (as described below), the board of directors may in its discretion, but will not be required to, make such adjustments to outstanding awards as it deems appropriate, including without limitation, (i) accelerating the vesting or exercisability of some or all outstanding awards, and/or to the extent legally permissible, causing any applicable restriction or performance period to lapse in full or part; (ii) causing any applicable performance measures to be deemed satisfied at the target, maximum or any other level determined by the board of directors; (iii) requiring that the shares of stock into which TDS Common Shares are converted be substituted for some or all of the TDS Common Shares subject to outstanding awards, with an appropriate adjustment as determined by the Compensation Committee; and/or (iv) requiring outstanding awards, in whole or part, to be surrendered to TDS in exchange for a payment of cash, shares of capital stock of the company resulting from or succeeding to the business of TDS, or the parent thereof, or a combination of cash and shares.

Generally, a "Change in Control" is defined in the Plan as: (i) an acquisition by a person or entity of the then outstanding securities of TDS (the "Outstanding Voting Securities") (x) having sufficient voting power of all classes of capital stock of TDS to elect at least 50% or more of the members of the TDS board of directors or (y) having 50% or more of the combined voting power of the Outstanding Voting Securities entitled to vote generally on matters (without regard to the election of directors), subject to certain exceptions; (ii) unapproved changes in the majority of the members of the TDS board of directors; (iii) certain corporate restructurings, including certain reorganizations, mergers, consolidations or sales or other dispositions of all or substantially all of the assets of TDS; or (iv) approval by the shareholders of TDS of a plan of complete liquidation or dissolution of TDS.

TDS currently only has outstanding under the 2011 LTIP restricted stock units, performance share awards (granted in the form of performance share units), options and phantom stock units related to deferred compensation accounts. Because a 2011 LTIP Change in Control would or may result in the

Table of Contents

acceleration of vesting of stock options, restricted stock units, performance share units, and bonus match units, the effects of such accelerated vesting in such event are included in the Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

TDS 2004 LTIP

Under the 2004 LTIP, TDS was authorized to grant incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, SARs, bonus stock awards, restricted stock awards, restricted stock unit awards, performance share awards and employer match awards for deferred bonus. TDS adopted the 2011 LTIP to replace the 2004 LTIP for awards granted after January 24, 2012. No additional awards can be granted under the 2004 LTIP and only options and phantom stock units related to deferred compensation accounts are outstanding. Because awards outstanding under the 2004 LTIP are fully vested, no amounts with respect to awards under the 2004 LTIP are reported in the below Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

U.S. Cellular LTIPs

The U.S. Cellular President and CEO does not participate in the TDS LTIPs. Instead, he participates in the U.S. Cellular LTIPs. The Meyers Letter Agreement specifies the terms of certain equity awards granted to Mr. Meyers under the U.S. Cellular 2013 LTIP in 2013 and annually prior to June 22, 2019. See footnote (2) to the Summary Compensation Table. Mr. Meyers received grants of equity awards under the U.S. Cellular 2013 LTIP in 2018. The U.S. Cellular LTIPs are described in U.S. Cellular's 2019 proxy statement.

SERP

Each of the NEOs participates or formerly participated in a supplemental executive retirement plan or SERP, which is a non-qualified defined contribution plan. The SERP is not intended to provide substantial benefits other than to replace the benefits which cannot be provided under the TDS Pension Plan as a result of tax law limitations on the amount and types of annual employee compensation which can be taken into account under a tax qualified pension plan. The SERP is unfunded. The amount of the SERP contribution with respect to the executives identified in the Summary Compensation Table is included in column (i), "All Other Compensation," of the Summary Compensation Table. Participants are credited with interest on balances of the SERP. Pursuant to SEC rules, column (h) includes any portion of interest earned under the SERP calculated utilizing a rate that exceeded the AFR at the time the rate was set.

A participant is entitled to distribution of his or her entire account balance under the SERP if the participant has a separation from service without cause, after either (a) his or her attainment of age 65; or (b) his or her completion of at least ten years of service. If a participant has a separation from service under circumstances other than those set forth in the preceding sentence, without cause, the participant will be entitled to distribution of 10% of his or her account balance for each year of service up to ten years. Upon a separation from service under circumstances that permit payments under the SERP, the participant will be paid his or her vested account balance in one of the following forms as elected by the participant prior to the first day of the first plan year in which the participant commences participation in the SERP: (a) a single lump sum or (b) annual installments over a period of 5, 10, 15, 20 or 25 years. The SERP does not include any provision that would increase benefits or accelerate amounts upon any termination or change in control and, accordingly, no amount with respect to the SERP is included in the below Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control. The balance of the SERP as of December 31, 2018 for each NEO is set forth below in the "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" Table.

Perquisites

TDS provides few perquisites to its officers. See note (i) under "Explanation of Columns" under the Summary Compensation Table for information about perquisites provided to the NEOs. In addition, TDS has no formal plan, policy or procedure which entitles executive officers to any perquisites following

Table of Contents

termination or change in control. However, from time to time, TDS or its affiliates may enter into employment, retirement, severance or similar agreements that may provide for certain limited perquisites.

See the description of the Meyers Letter Agreement in footnote (2) to the Summary Compensation Table. Perquisites and personal benefits represent a relatively insignificant portion of the NEOs' total compensation. Accordingly, they do not materially influence the Compensation Committee's consideration in setting compensation.

Other Generally Applicable Benefits and Plans

Tax-Deferred Savings Plan

TDS sponsors the Tax-Deferred Savings Plan (TDSP), a tax-qualified defined contribution plan under Sections 401(a) and 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code. This plan is available to eligible employees of TDS and participating subsidiaries which have adopted the TDSP, including U.S. Cellular. Employees contribute amounts from their eligible compensation, and TDS and participating employers make matching contributions to the plan in cash equal to 100% of an employee's contributions up to the first 3% of such employee's eligible compensation, and 40% of an employee's contributions up to the next 2% of such employee's eligible compensation. Participating employees have the option of investing their contributions and their employer matching contributions in the TDS Common Share fund, the U.S. Cellular Common Share fund and certain unaffiliated funds. Contributions into the company common stock funds are limited to no more than 20%, combined.

The amount of the matching contribution with respect to the executives identified in the Summary Compensation Table is included in column (i), "All Other Compensation," of the Summary Compensation Table. SEC rules do not require the Summary Compensation Table to include earnings or other amounts with respect to tax-qualified defined contribution plans.

Under the TDS TDSP, employees are always fully vested in their employee contributions, but are subject to a two year graduated vesting schedule (34% vesting at one year of service and 100% vesting at two years of service) for employer matching contributions. Each calendar year in which an employee completes at least 1,000 hours of service with TDS and its affiliates (including the year they were hired), they will earn one year of vesting service. Vesting in employer matching contributions is not accelerated upon a change in control or termination event, except a termination by reason of death, total and permanent disability, or after an employee attains age 65. The vested portion of an employee's account becomes payable following the employee's termination of employment as (a) a lump sum (full or partial) or (b) a series of annual or more frequent installments. This plan does not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of executive officers and is available generally to all employees, and benefits are not enhanced upon any termination (other than a termination by reason of death, total and permanent disability or after an employee attains age 65) or change in control. Accordingly, no amounts are reported in the below Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

Pension Plan

TDS sponsors a tax-qualified noncontributory defined contribution Pension Plan for the eligible employees of U.S. Cellular (regardless of employment date), or eligible employees of TDS and its other participating subsidiaries employed on or before December 31, 2014. Under this plan, pension contributions are calculated separately for each participant based on the applicable pension formula, and are funded annually by TDS and its participating subsidiaries. The Pension Plan is designed to provide retirement benefits for eligible employees of TDS and its participating subsidiaries. The amount of the contribution with respect to the executives identified in the Summary Compensation Table is included in column (i), "All Other Compensation," of the Summary Compensation Table. SEC rules do not require the Summary Compensation Table to include earnings or other amounts with respect to tax-qualified defined contribution plans.

Benefits under the TDS Pension Plan are subject to a five year graduated vesting schedule (20% vesting at two years of service, 40% vesting at three years of service, 60% vesting at four years of service and 100% vesting at five years of service). Each calendar year in which an employee completes at least

Table of Contents

1,000 hours of service with TDS and its affiliates (including the year they were hired), they will earn one year of vesting service. Vesting is not accelerated upon a change in control or termination event, except a termination of employment due to death or a total and permanent disability or after the employee has attained his or her Early or Normal Retirement Date as defined in the plan. The vested portion of an employee's account becomes payable following the employee's termination of employment as (a) an annuity or (b) a lump sum payment. This plan does not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of executive officers and is available generally to all employees of participating employers (subject to restrictions for employees hired after December 31, 2014), and benefits are not enhanced upon any termination (except due to death or a total and permanent disability or after the employee has attained his or her Early or Normal Retirement Date) or change in control. Accordingly, no amounts are reported in the below Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

Retiree Welfare Benefits

TDS sponsors retiree medical and life insurance plans for eligible retirees of TDS and subsidiaries of TDS which have adopted the plans. These plans do not discriminate in scope, terms, or operation in favor of executive officers and are available generally to all eligible employees of participating employers, and benefits are not enhanced upon any termination or change in control. Accordingly, no amounts are reported in the below Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

Welfare Benefits during Employment

TDS also provides customary health and welfare and similar plans for the benefit of its employees. These group life, health, disability, medical reimbursement and/or similar plans do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of executive officers and are available generally to all employees, and benefits are not enhanced upon any termination or change in control. Accordingly, no amounts are reported in the below Table of Potential Payments upon Termination or Change in Control.

Impact of Accounting and Tax Treatments of Particular Forms of Compensation

The Compensation Committee considers the accounting and tax treatments of particular forms of compensation, primarily to be informed and to confirm that company personnel understand and recognize the appropriate accounting and tax treatments that will be required with respect to compensation. However, accounting treatments do not significantly impact the Committee's determinations of the appropriate compensation for TDS executive officers.

The Compensation Committee places more significance on the tax treatments of particular forms of compensation, because these may involve an actual cash expense to the company or the executive.

Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code provides a one million dollar annual limit on the amount that a publicly held corporation is allowed to deduct as compensation paid to each of the corporation's principal executive officer ("PEO"), principal financial officer ("PFO") and the corporation's three most highly compensated officers, exclusive of the corporation's PEO and PFO. The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act enacted on December 22, 2017 eliminates the performance-based compensation exception to Section 162(m) (with an exception for certain compensation paid under contracts in effect on November 2, 2017 that are not materially modified). As a result, unless subject to the exception, compensation paid to TDS' covered executive officers in excess of \$1 million per year generally will not be deductible, even if it is performance-based. TDS believes that it is important to preserve flexibility in administering compensation programs in a manner designed to promote corporate goals. Accordingly, although TDS considers the deductibility of particular forms of compensation, TDS expects to approve elements of compensation that are consistent with the objectives of our executive compensation program, even though annual compensation in excess of \$1 million per covered executive officer generally will not be deductible.

TDS generally does not have any arrangements with its executive officers pursuant to which it has agreed to "gross-up" payments due to taxes or to otherwise reimburse officers for the payment of taxes, except with respect to certain reimbursements related to Mr. Meyers' retiree medical benefits as noted below, and certain perquisites.

Table of Contents

Clawback

Depending on the facts and circumstances, TDS may seek to adjust or recover awards or payments if the relevant TDS performance measures upon which they are based are restated or otherwise adjusted in a manner that would reduce the size of an award or payment. Under the Dodd-Frank Act, TDS will be required to adopt a formal clawback policy that satisfies SEC and NYSE requirements after the requirements are adopted.

TDS Policy on Stock Ownership

TDS does not have a formal policy relating to stock ownership by executive officers. However, because the President and CEO of TDS, U.S. Cellular and TDS Telecom are all directors of TDS, they are subject to the stock ownership guidelines applicable to directors. See "Corporate Governance" Stock Ownership Guidelines and "Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management".

Prohibition of Derivative Trading, Hedging and Pledging of Shares

TDS' Policy Regarding Insider Trading and Confidentiality provides that persons subject to such policy may not, under any circumstances, trade options for, pledge, or sell "short," any securities of TDS or U.S. Cellular, and may not enter into any hedging, monetization or margin transactions with respect to any such securities.

Compensation Committee Report

The TDS Compensation Committee oversees TDS' compensation program on behalf of the board of directors. The committee has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis with management and based on the review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the board of directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in TDS's Proxy Statement and the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year-ended 2018.

This Compensation Committee Report is submitted by:

Christopher D. O'Leary, Kimberly D. George W. Gary L.
Chair Dixon Off Sugarman

Risks from Compensation Policies and Practices

Based on its assessment in 2018, TDS does not believe that its compensation policies and practices risks are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company or its financial statements or that any portion of the compensation encourages excessive risk taking. TDS' compensation policies and practices have been developed over time with the assistance of Willis Towers Watson and Compensation Strategies.

TDS has a number of processes and controls operating concurrently that assess TDS' compensation and benefits plans to determine if any of them create excessive risks of a material nature. First, as part of its Sarbanes Oxley program, the Company has established internal control processes and procedures to identify, evaluate and remediate deficiencies. The Company also has an annual formal mapping and review process that identifies and evaluates each compensation element along with the characteristics, potential risks, controls and mitigating factors related to each compensation element. The Company also maintains an Enterprise Risk Management control related to compensation. As part of its annual review of risk factors for its Annual Report on Form 10-K, the Company reviews all risks that may have a material effect on the Company.

TDS believes that its compensation programs do not encourage excessive risk taking for the following reasons:

Our programs contain a mix of short and long-term compensation

A substantial portion of compensation is fixed salary, discouraging any risk taking

Table of Contents

Bonuses are not derived from a single component; individual and company performance components discourage risk taking

A portion of long-term incentive compensation is restricted stock units which have value, unlike stock options which might be unexercisable due to stock price

Our performance share unit awards utilize multiple and diverse performance metrics to promote progress toward financial goals. Multiple diverse performance metrics discourage risk taking

Stock options have a ten year exercise period, which discourages short-term risk taking

TDS believes there is less risk related to compensation policies and practices for non-executive officers than executive officers.

In general, TDS believes that its risks are similar to those at other publicly traded companies. As a company engaged in Wireless, Wireline, Cable and HMS businesses, TDS also faces risks like other companies of comparable size and industry sector. TDS does not have any business units that have significantly different risk profiles (such as a business unit involved in finance, securities, investing, speculation or similar activities), or where compensation expense is a dominant percentage of the business unit's revenues or with a risk and reward structure that varies significantly from the overall risk and reward structure of TDS.

Also, depending on the facts and circumstances, TDS may seek to adjust or recover awards or payments if the relevant performance measures upon which they are based are restated or otherwise adjusted in a manner that would reduce the size of an award or payment, which is believed to discourage risk taking.

Compensation Tables

Summary of Compensation

The following table summarizes the compensation earned by the named executive officers ("NEO") in 2018, 2017 and 2016. Compensation reported under "Stock Awards" and "Option Awards" represents grant date values as required by SEC rules, and does not represent currently realized or realizable compensation. The NEOs will not realize cash from such awards unless and until any stock awards, including restricted stock unit and performance share unit awards, are vested and the shares received upon vesting are sold for cash, or unless and until any stock options become exercisable, are exercised and the shares received upon exercise are sold for cash. There is no assurance that this will occur. In general, awards are subject to a risk of forfeiture, and no shares subject to restricted stock unit or performance share unit awards will be issued unless the vesting conditions are satisfied (including, in the case of performance share units awards, the performance threshold is achieved), and the options will expire if not exercised during their term, which may occur if the stock price does not appreciate and/or remain above the exercise price during the option's term. The compensation actually realized by a NEO may be more or less than the amount reported depending on the performance of the TDS stock price and other factors. With respect to 2018, the amount of compensation realized by each NEO can be approximated by (i) deducting from the amount reported in the "Total" column in the 2018 Summary Compensation Table the amounts reported in the "Stock Awards" and "Option Awards" columns for such officer, and (ii) adding the values realized in 2018 by such officer as set forth in the Option Exercises and Stock Vested table below. However, other unrealized components of compensation also may be included, such as retirement plan contributions that are subject to a vesting schedule.

Table of Contents

2018 Summary Compensation Table

Name and Principal Position(a)	Year (b)	Salary (\$)(c)	Bonus (\$)(d)	Stock Awards (\$)(e)		P on-Equ N yn Incentive D Plan Com mpensatio h	eferred pensatio A	ll Other	Total (\$)(j)
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.				:					
(1)(7)(8)		1,352,700\$				\$	4,755\$		6,576,397
President and CEO		1,352,700\$			•	\$			5,705,849
of TDS	2016\$	1,352,700\$	1,217,400\$	3,534,346\$	389,401	\$	8,654\$	37,880\$	6,540,381
Kenneth R. Meyers (2)(7)(8)	2018\$	1,051,000\$	1,280,400\$	6,156,729		\$	24,448\$	87,560\$	8,600,137
President and CEO	2017\$	•	1,066,100\$			\$	28,490\$		7,812,357
of U.S. Cellular	2016\$	948,000\$	1,007,200\$	2,656,905\$	2,634,164	\$	26,056\$	79,508\$	7,351,833
Douglas W. Chambers (3)(8) Senior Vice President Finance and Chief Accounting Officer of TDS (since 5/18/18) Douglas W. Chambers (3)(8)	2018\$	211,527\$ 123,075\$		212,710	\$	112,700 \$ 28,400 \$	92 \$ 57 \$	36,659\$ 33,196\$	388,078 432,938
Vice President and Controller of U.S. Cellular (prior to 5/18/18)	\$	334,602\$	62 600\$	212,710	\$	141,100\$	149\$	60 855 \$	821.016
Totai	Ψ	33 4 ,002ψ	02,000φ	212,710	Ψ	141,100 ψ	1 寸ノ ψ	09,055 ψ	021,010
James W. Butman (4)(8) President and CEO of TDS Telecom	2018\$	562,500\$	515,200\$	448,785\$	466,861	\$	20,896\$	115,970\$	2,130,212
Scott H. Williamson (5)(8) Senior Vice President Acquisitions and Corporate Development at TDS	2018\$ 2017\$ 2016\$	697,000\$ 680,000\$ 663,000\$	134,432\$		128,085\$	363,555 \$ 246,568 \$ 266,451 \$	9,845\$	77,639\$	2,300,940 2,264,045 2,405,297

Douglas D. Shuma

(6)(8)	2018\$	191,190				\$	892\$	40,291\$	232,373
Former Senior Vice	2017\$	446,500\$	96,709\$	472,391\$	59,279\$	178,091\$	1,860\$	63,623\$	1,318,454
President Finance and	2016\$	432,500\$	92,358\$	533,990\$	61,016\$	191,142\$	1,305\$	67,539\$	1,379,850
Chief Accounting Officer									
of TDS									

Explanation of Columns:

- For the years ended 2018, 2017, and 2016, includes LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., TDS' principal executive officer, and Messrs. Meyers, Butman and Williamson as the three most highly compensated executive officers other than the principal executive officer or principal financial officer of TDS who was serving as an executive officer at the end of the last completed fiscal year, including executive officers of subsidiaries. Douglas W. Chambers replaced Douglas D. Shuma on May 18, 2018 as TDS' principal financial officer and both are included in that capacity. The determination as to which executive officers are most highly compensated is made by reference to total compensation for the last completed fiscal year as set forth in column (j), reduced by any amount in column (h).
- (b) For additional details relating to 2017 and 2016, see the TDS proxy statements filed with the SEC on Schedule 14A on April 11, 2018 and on April 12, 2017, respectively.
- (c)
 Represents the dollar value of base salary (cash and non-cash) earned by the NEO during the fiscal year, whether or not paid in such year. Kenneth R. Meyers deferred a portion of his 2018 base salary to an interest-bearing account, all of which salary is included in column (c) whether or not deferred. The total amount of salary deferred was \$146,302. See "Information Regarding Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" below for further detail.
- (d)

 Represents the dollar value of bonus (cash and non-cash) earned by the NEO during the fiscal year, whether or not paid in such year.

Table of Contents

The following is a summary of the amount of bonus for 2017 performance paid in 2018 and the amount deferred (shares with respect to Mr. Carlson are TDS Shares and shares with respect to Mr. Meyers are USM Shares):

	eRoy T. rlson, Jr.	K	enneth R. Meyers
Total 2017 Bonus Paid in 2018	\$ 1,030,000	\$	1,066,100
Percentage Deferred to Interest Account	0%	6	25%
Amount Deferred to Interest Account	\$	\$	266,525
Percentage Deferred to Phantom Stock	15%	o o	25%
Amount Deferred to Phantom Stock	\$ 154,500	\$	266,525
Number of Underlying Shares	5,335		6,545
Company Match-see Note (e) below	\$ 38,625	\$	66,631
Number of Underlying Shares	1,334		1,636

The bonus was paid and the related phantom stock awards were granted in March 2018.

The foregoing dollar amounts of the Company Match awarded in 2018 are included in column (e), Stock Awards. See note (e) below.

For 2018 bonuses paid in 2019, LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., deferred 15% into TDS phantom stock and Kenneth R. Meyers deferred 25% into U.S. Cellular phantom stock and 25% into an interest-bearing arrangement.

In accordance with FASB ASC 718, this represents the aggregate grant date fair value. For restricted stock units, such value is reduced by the estimated value of the discounted cash flows of dividends that would normally be received with respect to such shares (because restricted stock units do not receive credit for dividends prior to vesting). For performance share units, the amount is consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the service period determined as of the grant date (excluding the effect of estimated forfeitures). Assumptions made in the valuation of the stock awards in this column are described in TDS' financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Includes the aggregate grant date fair value relating to restricted stock units in TDS Common Shares under the TDS 2011 LTIP and/or relating to restricted stock units in USM Common Shares under the U.S. Cellular 2013 LTIP. See "Information Regarding Plan-Based Awards" below for vesting and other information.

Also, as noted in note (d) above, includes the aggregate grant date fair value relating to phantom stock bonus match units awarded to such officer in 2018 with respect to deferred bonus compensation. Vested TDS phantom stock units are credited with dividend equivalents. U.S. Cellular does not currently pay regular dividends.

LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. and Kenneth R. Meyers deferred part of their 2017 bonuses which were paid in 2018. Mr. Carlson received a stock unit match in phantom TDS Common Shares and Mr. Meyers received a stock unit match in phantom USM Common Shares. See "Information Regarding Nonqualified Deferred Compensation" below.

Also includes the grant date value of performance share unit awards made during 2018, calculated based on an estimate of the probable satisfaction of the performance conditions for such awards. This value is the same as the amount included in the 2018 Grants of Plan-Based Awards Table below.

Pursuant to SEC rules and interpretations, column (e) includes the grant date value of stock awards even if the awards are subsequently forfeited.

In accordance with FASB ASC 718, represents the aggregate grant date fair value. The dates on which the options granted in 2018 become exercisable and expire are set forth below under "Grants of Plan-Based Awards." Assumptions made in the valuation of the option awards in this column are described in Note 17 Stock-Based Compensation, in TDS' financial statements for the year ended December 31, 2018.

Pursuant to SEC rules and interpretations, column (f) includes the grant date value of stock option awards even if the awards are subsequently forfeited.

- (g)

 Represents the portion of the bonus that represents non-equity incentive plan compensation pursuant to SEC rules. See the discussion under "Bonus" in the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis and in Note (8) below to the above Summary Compensation Table.
- As required by SEC rules, column (h) includes the portion of interest that exceeded the amount calculated utilizing the AFR at the time the interest rate was set. Each of the NEOs currently participates (or formerly participated) in a supplemental executive retirement plan or SERP. The interest rate under the SERP for 2018 was set as of the last trading date of 2017 at 3.70% per annum, based on the yield on ten year BBB rated industrial bonds at such time. Such rate exceeded the AFR of 3.16% at such time. Accordingly, pursuant to SEC rules, column (h) of the Summary Compensation Table for 2018 includes the portion of such interest that exceeded that calculated utilizing the AFR at the time the interest rate was set. In addition, column (h) includes interest on any deferred salary or bonus that exceeded that calculated utilizing the AFR, as indicated in the below table. Interest on deferred salary or bonus is compounded monthly, computed at a rate equal to one-twelfth of the sum of the average thirty-year Treasury Bond rate for salary or bonus deferred as an employee of TDS (twenty-year Treasury Bond rate for salary or bonus deferred as an employee of U.S. Cellular), plus 1.25 percentage points.

Column (h) does not include any changes in pension values because TDS and U.S. Cellular do not have any defined benefit pension plans (including supplemental defined benefit pension plans). The NEOs only participate in tax-qualified defined contribution plans and a non-qualified defined contribution plan which, under SEC rules, are not required to be reflected in column (h). Both the TDSP and the TDS Pension Plan are tax-qualified defined contribution plans and the SERP is a non-qualified defined contribution plan.

Table of Contents

The excess earnings for Mr. Chambers for 2018 related to SERP have been allocated between TDS and U.S. Cellular in proportion to the number of days that he was employed by each company in 2018.

	LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.		nneth R. eyers	1	V.	lames W. utman	 ott H.	uglas D. uma
Excess Earnings:								
SERP	\$ 4,755	\$	5,820	\$	149	\$ 2,661	\$ 4,978	\$ 892
TDS Deferred Salary and Bonus			8,387			18,235		
U.S. Cellular Deferred Salary and Bonus]	10,241					
Total Excess Earnings	\$ 4,755	\$ 2	24,448	\$	149	\$ 20,896	\$ 4,978	\$ 892

(i) Does not include any discount amount under the TDS dividend reinvestment plans because such discounts are available generally to all security holders of TDS.

Does not include perquisites and other personal benefits, or property, unless the aggregate amount of such compensation is \$10,000 or more. Perquisites do not include expenditures that are used exclusively for business purposes.

Includes the following: (1) if applicable, the total of perquisites and personal benefits if they equal or exceed \$10,000, summarized by type, or specified for any perquisite or personal benefit that exceeds the greater of \$25,000 or 10% of the total amount of perquisites and personal benefits for each officer, in each case, valued on the basis of the aggregate incremental cost of such perquisite or personal benefit to TDS, including any related tax gross up (if the total amount is less than \$10,000, the following indicates "N/A") and (2) contributions by TDS for the benefit of the NEO under the (a) TDSP, (b) TDS Pension Plan and (c) SERP:

		LeRoy T. Kenneth Douglas Carlson, R. W.		Ia	mos W	es W. Scott			ouglas D.		
	Ci	Jr.				-			lliamson	S	
Perquisites (if \$10,000 or more):											
Corporate automobile allowance and related											
expenses	\$	12,284	\$	8,711	\$ 5,843	\$	11,704	\$	13,215		
Other (Club Dues, Health and Fitness											
Reimbursements, Temporary Living Expenses, and											
Vehicle Gift)	\$	4,170	\$	6,471	\$ 7,065	\$	11,087	\$	3,000	\$	20,292
Other (Temporary living expenses paid by U.S.											
Cellular)					\$ 20,325						
Travel allowance						\$	15,110				
Tax gross up relating to corporate automobile											
allowance, travel allowance and vehicle gift	\$	9,770	\$	6,928	\$ 2,750	\$	12,618	\$	5,477	\$	9,549
Total Perquisites if \$10,000 or more	\$	26,224	\$	22,111	\$ 35,983	\$	50,520	\$	21,692	\$	29,841
Contributions to Benefit Plans											
TDSP	\$	10,450	\$	10,450	\$ 10,450	\$	10,450	\$	10,450	\$	10,450
Pension Plan			\$	12,648	\$ 12,648	\$	20,314				

SERP

\$ 42,352 \$ 10,774 \$ 34,686 \$ 55,000

Total, including perquisites if \$10,000 or more

\$ 36,674 \$ 87,560 \$ 69,855 \$ 115,970 \$ 87,142 \$ 40,291

Perquisites are valued based on the incremental cost to TDS. No amount is reported if the executive officer reimburses the cost to TDS. In 2018, perquisites primarily included an automobile allowance and related expenses, and an allowance for travel, meals and accommodations for an executive officer and his spouse to attend company-sponsored awards banquets, temporary living expenses and vehicle gifts. Also, TDS and U.S. Cellular reimbursed the officers' additional taxes related to the automobile allowance and the travel allowance.

TDS and U.S. Cellular purchase tickets to various sporting, civic, cultural, charity and entertainment events. They use these tickets for business development, partnership building, charitable donations and community involvement. If not used for business purposes, they may make these tickets available to employees, including the NEOs, as a form of recognition and reward for their efforts. Because such tickets have already been purchased, we do not believe that there is any aggregate incremental cost to TDS or U.S. Cellular if a named executive officer uses a ticket for personal purposes.

The TDSP and Pension Plan are tax-qualified defined contribution retirement plans that do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of executive officers and are available generally to all eligible employees of participating employers. TDS and its participating subsidiaries make annual employer contributions to the plans for each participant.

The SERP is a non-qualified defined contribution plan that is available only to board-approved officers. This plan provides supplemental benefits to the TDS Pension Plan to offset the reduction of benefits under the TDS Pension Plan caused by limitations under the Internal Revenue Code for tax-qualified pension plans, including the limitation on annual employee compensation which can be considered. TDS and its participating subsidiaries make annual employer contributions for each participant.

The contributions to the TDSP, Pension Plan, and SERP with respect to Mr. Chambers are allocated between TDS and U.S. Cellular in proportion to the number of days that he was employed by each company in 2018. The allocation related to U.S. Cellular was \$12,871 and TDS was \$21,001.

(j)
Represents the dollar value of total compensation for the fiscal year based on the sum of all amounts reported in columns (c) through (i). See the above Compensation Discussion and Analysis for a discussion of the proportions of each of the compensation elements to total compensation.

Footnotes:

(1)
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., is included as TDS' principal executive officer. He is also Chairman of U.S. Cellular and TDS Telecom. TDS does not have any employment, severance or similar agreement with LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.

Table of Contents

- Kenneth R. Meyers is included in the above table as one of the three most highly compensated executive officers other than the principal executive officer or principal financial officer of TDS who was serving as an executive officer at the end of the last completed fiscal year, including executive officers of subsidiaries. The following is a brief description of a letter agreement with Mr. Meyers effective June 22, 2013 (the "Meyers Letter Agreement") which was filed as Exhibit 10.1 to U.S. Cellular's Current Report on Form 8-K dated July 25, 2013:
 - Annual Equity Awards: Provided that Mr. Meyers remains employed by U.S. Cellular through June 22, 2019 and satisfies the Equity Conditions, following his retirement any Pre-June 22, 2019 Annual Awards will continue to vest in accordance with their original vesting schedules through the third anniversary of his retirement (subject to accelerated vesting to the extent provided in the standard form of award agreement maintained by U.S. Cellular at the time of grant). In addition, provided that he remains employed by the company through June 22, 2019 and satisfies the Equity Conditions, he will be eligible to exercise options granted to him on or before June 22, 2019, to the extent vested, through the earlier of (i) the third anniversary of his retirement date and (ii) the tenth anniversary of the date the option was granted. The "Equity Conditions" are that Mr. Meyers terminates employment without cause and performs reasonably requested consulting services and does not compete with the company or misappropriate the company's confidential information through the earlier of (i) the third anniversary of his retirement date and (ii) the tenth anniversary of the date the award was granted.
 - (b)

 Retiree Medical and Life Insurance Benefits: Because the transfer of Mr. Meyers' employment from TDS to U.S. Cellular caused him to be ineligible for certain retiree medical and life insurance benefits that may have been available to him had he retired from TDS, U.S. Cellular agreed to make certain cash reimbursements or payments to Mr. Meyers following his retirement from U.S. Cellular pursuant to the terms and conditions specified in the Meyers Letter Agreement. The reimbursements related to retiree medical benefits will include a gross-up for additional taxes payable by Mr. Meyers as a result of such reimbursements.
 - (c)
 Severance: The Meyers Letter Agreement provides that, in the event that U.S. Cellular terminates
 Mr. Meyers' employment involuntarily without cause prior to June 22, 2019, U.S. Cellular shall pay
 him a severance amount equal to his then current annual base salary.

Further information about Mr. Meyers' compensation is included in the U.S. Cellular 2019 proxy statement.

Effective May 18, 2018, Douglas W. Chambers became TDS' principal financial officer. Prior to May 18, 2018, Mr. Chambers was compensated by U.S. Cellular. Compensation for Mr. Chambers is not included for 2017 or 2016 because he was not a named executive officer for those years. TDS entered into a letter agreement with Mr. Chambers in connection with his appointment as Senior Vice President-Finance and Chief Accounting Officer effective May 18, 2018, pursuant to which Mr. Chambers is eligible to receive, among other things (i) an annual base salary of \$360,000, as adjusted from time to time; (ii) a 2018 annual bonus program target of 45% of his annual base salary; and (iii) a 2018 annual equity award target of 110% of his annual base salary.

- Effective January 1, 2018 James W. Butman became President and CEO of TDS Telecom and one of three most highly compensated executive officers other than the principal executive officer or principal financial officer of TDS. Mr. Butman does not have any employment, severance or similar agreement with TDS or TDS Telecom. Compensation for Mr. Butman is not included for 2017 and 2016 because he was not a named executive officer for those years.
- Scott H. Williamson, Senior Vice President Acquisitions and Corporate Development of TDS, is one of the three most highly compensated executive officers other than the principal executive officer or principal financial officer of TDS, who was serving as an executive officer at the end of the last completed fiscal year, including executive officers of subsidiaries. TDS does not have any employment, severance or similar agreement with Scott H. Williamson.
- Prior to his retirement on May 18, 2018, Douglas D. Shuma was deemed to be TDS' principal financial officer. TDS has an agreement with Mr. Shuma pursuant to which Mr. Shuma will provide consulting services through May 31, 2019. Mr. Shuma's consulting compensation is not included in the Summary Compensation Table.
- (7)
 LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr., and Kenneth R. Meyers are also executive officers and directors of U.S. Cellular and Douglas D. Shuma was an executive officer and director of U.S. Cellular prior to his retirement.

Effective June 22, 2013, Mr. Meyers was appointed President and CEO of U.S. Cellular. Prior to that date, he received his compensation from TDS as the Executive Vice President and CFO and did not receive any compensation directly from U.S. Cellular, After that date, Mr. Meyers received compensation directly from U.S. Cellular. Prior to his May 18, 2018 appointment as Senior Vice President-Finance and Chief Accounting Officer of TDS, Mr. Chambers was compensated by U.S. Cellular. After such appointment, Mr. Chambers was compensated by TDS. Although Mr. Carlson, Chairman of U.S. Cellular, Mr. Chambers, current Chief Accounting Officer of U.S. Cellular and Douglas D. Shuma, former Chief Accounting Officer of U.S. Cellular, were officers of U.S. Cellular in 2018, they were compensated by TDS in connection with their services for TDS and TDS subsidiaries, including U.S. Cellular (in the case of Mr. Chambers, with respect to services on and after May 18, 2018). A portion of their compensation expense incurred by TDS is allocated to U.S. Cellular by TDS, along with the allocation of other compensation expense and other expenses of TDS. This allocation by TDS to U.S. Cellular is done in the form of a single management fee pursuant to an Intercompany Agreement between TDS and U.S. Cellular. There is no identification or quantification of the compensation of such persons to U.S. Cellular, or of any other allocated expense in this management fee. The management fee is recorded as a single expense by U.S. Cellular, U.S. Cellular does not obtain details of the components that make up this fee and U.S. Cellular does not segregate this fee or allocate any part of the management fee to other accounts such as compensation expense. All of the compensation of LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. and Douglas D. Shuma in 2018, and the compensation of Douglas W. Chambers with respect to the period on and after May 18, 2018, was approved by the TDS Compensation Committee and none of it was subject to approval by any U.S. Cellular directors or officers. Accordingly, all of such compensation expense incurred by TDS is reported in the above table by TDS and is not reported by U.S. Cellular. U.S. Cellular discloses the amount of the management fee that it pays to TDS in its proxy statement together with a description of the Intercompany Agreement.

Table of Contents

(8) The following summarizes the bonus amounts in the 2018 row in the Summary Compensation Table for the named executive officers that were paid a bonus in 2019 for 2018 performance. Mr. Shuma did not receive a bonus in 2019 for 2018 performance due to his retirement in 2018.

	LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.	Kenneth R. Meyers	Douglas Chamb (USM	ers		ouglas W. hambers (TDS)	James W. Butman		Scott H. illiamson
Bonus paid in 2019 for 2018	ф 1 401 000	¢ 1 200 400	ф (2		Ф	120,000	ф. 515 2 00	Ф	471 100
Performance Less amount reported as	\$ 1,481,900	\$ 1,280,400	\$ 63	3,900	\$	139,800	\$ 515,200	\$	471,100
Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation			\$ 28	3,400	\$	112,700		\$	363,555
Total Amount reported as Bonus for 2018	\$ 1.481.900	\$ 1.280.400	\$ 35	5,500	\$	27,100	\$ 515,200	\$	107,545

Unlike the bonus program for certain other executive officers, which provides that a specified percentage of an officer's bonus will be determined based on company performance and on individual performance, the bonus guidelines for the President and CEO of TDS (LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr.), do not provide such specificity and provide that the entire amount of the bonus is discretionary. Accordingly, the entire amount of the bonus for LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. is reported under the "Bonus" column of the Summary Compensation Table. This approach was also used for the bonus paid to Kenneth R. Meyers and James W. Butman.

Table of Contents

Information Regarding Plan-Based Awards

The following table shows, as to the executive officers who are named in the Summary Compensation Table, certain information regarding plan-based awards in 2018.

2018 Grants of Plan-Based Awards

Name (a)	Incenti Plan Award CFhndFlMMM Dat(\$)(\$) (\$	uity Equity ofAwards: on we IncentiveSha ren mber Ba Plan of of of P	se rice Grant Date f Fair Value fon of Stock rds and Option fh) Awards
LeRoy T. Carlson, Jr. Awards in TDS Shares(2) TDS Restricted Stock Units TDS Phantom Stock Match Units for 2017 Bonus 2018(4)	5/23/2018 s paid in 3/9/2018	57,261 1,334	\$ 1,365,675 \$ 38,625
TDS Performance Share Units(2)(5) Total Grant Date Value of Stock Awards TDS Options Total Grant Date Value of all Awards	5/23/2018 5/23/2018	3, 4893,869 ,654 18,320 \$ 25	\$ 2,180,054 \$ 3,584,354 7.70 \$ 116,014 \$ 3,700,368

Kenneth R. Meyers

Awards in USM Common Shares(3)