

ALLSTATE CORP
Form 10-K
February 20, 2014

Use these links to rapidly review the document

[TABLE OF CONTENTS](#)

[Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk](#)

[Part IV](#)

[Table of Contents](#)

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549**

FORM 10-K

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2013

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

For the transition period from _____ to _____

Commission file number 1-11840

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

Delaware
(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

36-3871531
(I.R.S. Employer
Identification No.)

2775 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062
(Address of principal executive offices) (Zip Code)

Registrant's telephone number, including area code: (847) 402-5000

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

| <u>Title of each class</u> | Name of each exchange on which registered |
|--|--|
| Common Stock, par value \$0.01 per share | New York Stock Exchange Chicago Stock Exchange |
| 5.10% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Debentures due 2053 | New York Stock Exchange |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Depository Shares each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A New York Stock Exchange
Depository Shares each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C New York Stock Exchange
Depository Shares each representing a 1/1,000th interest in a share of Fixed Rate Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D New York Stock Exchange
Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: None

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or Section 15(d) of the Act.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§ 232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files).

Yes No

Indicate by check mark if disclosure of delinquent filers pursuant to Item 405 of Regulation S-K (§ 229.405 of this chapter) is not contained herein, and will not be contained, to the best of registrant's knowledge in definitive proxy or information statements incorporated by reference in Part III of this Form 10-K or any amendment to this Form 10-K.

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See the definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act.

Large accelerated filer Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer (Do not check if a smaller reporting company) Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act).

Yes No

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

The aggregate market value of the common stock held by non-affiliates of the registrant, computed by reference to the closing price as of the last business day of the registrant's most recently completed second fiscal quarter, June 30, 2013, was approximately \$22.11 billion.

As of January 31, 2014, the registrant had 447,099,450 shares of common stock outstanding.

Documents Incorporated By Reference

Portions of the following documents are incorporated herein by reference as follows:

Part III of this Form 10-K incorporates by reference certain information from the registrant's definitive proxy statement for its annual stockholders meeting to be held on May 20, 2014 (the "Proxy Statement") to be filed not later than 120 days after the end of the fiscal year covered by this Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| <u>PART I</u> | |
| <u>Item 1.</u> <u>Business</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Priorities</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Allstate Protection Segment</u> | <u>1</u> |
| <u>Allstate Financial Segment</u> | <u>4</u> |
| <u>Allstate Exclusive Agencies</u> | <u>5</u> |
| <u>Other Business Segments</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Reserve for Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense</u> | <u>6</u> |
| <u>Regulation</u> | <u>10</u> |
| <u>Internet Website</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Other Information about Allstate</u> | <u>13</u> |
| <u>Executive Officers of the Registrant</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 1A.</u> <u>Risk Factors</u> | <u>14</u> |
| <u>Item 1B.</u> <u>Unresolved Staff Comments</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>Item 2.</u> <u>Properties</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>Item 3.</u> <u>Legal Proceedings</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>Item 4.</u> <u>Mine Safety Disclosures</u> | <u>25</u> |
| <u>PART II</u> | |
| <u>Item 5.</u> <u>Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholders Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities</u> | <u>26</u> |
| <u>Item 6.</u> <u>Selected Financial Data</u> | <u>27</u> |
| <u>Item 7.</u> <u>Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u> | <u>28</u> |
| <u>Item 7A.</u> <u>Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u> | <u>108</u> |
| <u>Item 8.</u> <u>Financial Statements and Supplementary Data</u> | <u>108</u> |
| <u>Item 9.</u> <u>Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure</u> | <u>199</u> |
| <u>Item 9A.</u> <u>Controls and Procedures</u> | <u>199</u> |
| <u>Item 9B.</u> <u>Other Information</u> | <u>199</u> |
| <u>PART III</u> | |
| <u>Item 10.</u> <u>Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance</u> | <u>200</u> |
| <u>Item 11.</u> <u>Executive Compensation</u> | <u>200</u> |
| <u>Item 12.</u> <u>Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters</u> | <u>200</u> |
| <u>Item 13.</u> <u>Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence</u> | <u>200</u> |
| <u>Item 14.</u> <u>Principal Accounting Fees and Services</u> | <u>200</u> |
| <u>PART IV</u> | |
| <u>Item 15.</u> <u>Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>201</u> |
| <u>Signatures</u> | <u>207</u> |
| <u>Financial Statement Schedules</u> | <u>S-1</u> |

Table of Contents

Part I

Item 1. Business

The Allstate Corporation was incorporated under the laws of the State of Delaware on November 5, 1992 to serve as the holding company for Allstate Insurance Company. Its business is conducted principally through Allstate Insurance Company, Allstate Life Insurance Company and other subsidiaries (collectively, including The Allstate Corporation, "Allstate"). Allstate is primarily engaged in the property-liability insurance and life insurance business. It conducts its business primarily in the United States.

The Allstate Corporation is the largest publicly held personal lines insurer in the United States. Widely known through the "You're In Good Hands With Allstate®" slogan, Allstate's strategy is to reinvent protection and retirement to help individuals in approximately 16 million households protect what they have today and better prepare for tomorrow. Customers can access Allstate products and services such as auto and homeowners insurance through approximately 11,600 exclusive Allstate agencies and financial representatives in the United States and Canada, as well as through independent agencies, contact centers and the internet. Allstate is the 2nd largest personal property and casualty insurer in the United States on the basis of 2012 statutory direct premiums earned according to A.M. Best. In addition, according to A.M. Best, it is the nation's 17th largest issuer of life insurance business on the basis of 2012 ordinary life insurance in force and 24th largest on the basis of 2012 statutory admitted assets.

Allstate has four business segments:

Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages

Allstate Financial Corporate and Other

To achieve its goals in 2014, Allstate is focused on the following priorities:

- grow insurance policies in force;
- maintain the underlying combined ratio;
- proactively manage investments to generate attractive risk adjusted returns;
- modernize the operating model; and
- build long-term growth platforms.

In this annual report on Form 10-K, we occasionally refer to statutory financial information. All domestic United States insurance companies are required to prepare statutory-basis financial statements. As a result, industry data is available that enables comparisons between insurance companies, including competitors that are not subject to the requirement to prepare financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). We frequently use industry publications containing statutory financial information to assess our competitive position.

ALLSTATE PROTECTION SEGMENT

Products and Distribution

Total Allstate Protection premiums written were \$28.16 billion in 2013. Our Allstate Protection segment accounted for 92% of Allstate's 2013 consolidated insurance premiums and contract charges. In this segment, we principally sell private passenger auto and homeowners insurance through agencies and directly through contact centers and the internet. These products are marketed under the Allstate®, Encompass®, and Esurance® brand names.

Our Unique Strategy **Consumer Segments**

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Allstate serves four different consumer segments with distinct interaction preferences (local advice and assistance versus self-directed) and brand preferences (brand-neutral versus brand-sensitive).

Allstate brand auto and homeowners insurance products are sold primarily through Allstate exclusive agencies and serve customers who prefer local personal advice and service and are brand-sensitive. Allstate brand sales and service are supported through contact centers and the internet. In 2013, the Allstate brand represented 91% of the Allstate Protection segment's written premium. In the U.S., we offer these Allstate brand products through approximately 9,600 Allstate exclusive agencies in approximately 9,300 locations. We also offer these products through approximately 1,800 independent agencies that are primarily in rural areas in the U.S. In Canada we offer Allstate brand products through approximately 710 employee producers working in five provinces across the country (Ontario, Quebec, Alberta, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia).

Encompass brand auto, homeowners, and umbrella insurance products, sold predominantly in the form of a single annual household ("Package") policy are distributed through independent agencies which serve consumers who prefer personal advice and assistance from an independent adviser and are brand neutral. In 2013, the Encompass brand represented 4% of the Allstate Protection segment's written premium. Encompass brand products are distributed through approximately 2,500 independent agencies. Encompass is among the top 15 largest providers of personal property and casualty insurance products through independent agencies in the United States, based on statutory written premium information provided by A.M. Best for 2012.

Esurance brand auto and homeowners insurance products are sold directly to consumers online, through contact centers and through select agents, including Answer Financial. Esurance serves self-directed, brand-sensitive customers. In 2013, the Esurance brand represented 5% of the Allstate Protection segment's written premium.

Answer Financial, an independent personal lines insurance agency, serves self-directed, brand-neutral consumers who want a choice between insurance carriers. It offers comparison quotes for auto and homeowners insurance from approximately 25 insurance companies through its website and over the phone and receives fee income for this service.

The Allstate Protection segment also produces and sells specialty auto products including motorcycle, trailer, motor home and off-road vehicle insurance policies; other personal lines products including renter, condominium, landlord, boat, umbrella and manufactured home insurance policies; commercial lines products for small business owners; roadside assistance products; and service contracts and other products sold in conjunction with auto lending and vehicle sales transactions. We also participate in the involuntary or shared private passenger auto insurance business in order to maintain our licenses to do business in many states.

Through arrangements made with other companies, agencies, and brokers, the Allstate Protection segment may offer non-proprietary products to consumers when an Allstate product is not available. As of December 31, 2013, Allstate agencies had approximately \$1.2 billion of non-proprietary personal insurance premiums under management, primarily related to property business in hurricane exposed areas, and approximately \$160 million of non-proprietary commercial insurance premiums under management. In addition, Esurance had \$44 million of non-proprietary premiums written in 2013, primarily related to homeowners, renters and motorcycle insurance that Esurance does not offer in all states. Answer Financial had \$477 million of non-proprietary premiums written in 2013.

Competition

The markets for personal private passenger auto and homeowners insurance are highly competitive. The following charts provide the market shares of our principal competitors in the U.S. by direct written premium for the year ended December 31, 2012 according to A.M. Best.

Private Passenger Auto Insurance

| Insurer | Market Share |
|----------------|---------------------|
| State Farm | 17.9% |
| Allstate | 10.1 |
| GEICO | 9.7 |
| Progressive | 8.4 |
| Farmers | 6.0 |
| USAA | 4.9 |
| Liberty Mutual | 4.8 |
| Nationwide | 4.1 |

Homeowners Insurance

| Insurer | Market Share |
|----------------|---------------------|
| State Farm | 19.8% |
| Allstate | 8.8 |
| Farmers | 5.9 |
| Liberty Mutual | 5.8 |
| USAA | 4.7 |
| Travelers | 4.5 |
| Nationwide | 4.0 |

Table of Contents

We monitor our market share compared to our competitors and expect our market share of private passenger auto insurance to increase for the year ended December 31, 2013, but drop to the third position in the table above.

In the personal property and casualty insurance market, we compete principally on the basis of the recognition of our brands, the scope of our distribution system, price, the breadth of our product offerings, product features, customer service, claim handling, and use of technology. In addition, our proprietary database of underwriting and pricing experience enables Allstate to use sophisticated pricing to more accurately price risks and to cross sell products within our customer base.

Our primary focus in using sophisticated pricing methods has been on acquiring and retaining profitable business. Our sophisticated pricing and underwriting methods use a number of risk evaluation factors. For auto insurance, these factors can include but are not limited to vehicle make, model and year; driver age and marital status; territory; years licensed; loss history; years insured with prior carrier; prior liability limits; prior lapse in coverage; and insurance scoring based on credit report information. For property insurance, these factors can include but are not limited to amount of insurance purchased; geographic location of the property; loss history; age, condition and construction characteristics of the property; and insurance scoring based on credit report information.

Allstate differentiates itself from competitors by focusing on the entire household and offering a comprehensive range of innovative product options and features through distribution channels that best suit each market segment. Allstate's Your Choice Auto® insurance allows qualified customers to choose from a variety of options, such as accident forgiveness, safe driving deductible rewards and a safe driving bonus. We believe that Your Choice Auto insurance promotes increased growth and increased retention. We also offer a Claim Satisfaction GuaranteeSM that promises a return of premium to Allstate brand standard auto insurance customers dissatisfied with their claims experience. In addition, our Drivewise® program enables participating customers to be eligible for discounts and bonuses based on driving performance. We continue to enhance the program to improve the customer experience and value proposition. Allstate House and Home® insurance is our homeowners product that provides options of coverage for roof damage including graduated coverage and pricing based on roof type and age. Good Hands® Roadside Assistance is a service that provides pay on demand access to roadside services. Encompass's package policy simplifies the insurance experience by packaging a product with broader coverage and higher limits into a single annual household policy with one premium, one bill, one policy deductible and one renewal date. Esurance's DriveSense™ program enables participating customers to be eligible for discounts based on driving performance as measured by a device installed temporarily in the vehicle. Esurance's DriveSafe™ program is designed to help parents coach teens on safe driving by providing customizable driving statistics and the ability to limit cell phone use while the car is in motion, all controlled by a device installed in the vehicle.

Geographic Markets

The principal geographic markets for our auto, homeowners, and other personal property and casualty products are in the United States. Through various subsidiaries, we are authorized to sell various types of personal property and casualty insurance products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. We also sell personal property and casualty insurance products in Canada.

The following table reflects, in percentages, the principal geographic distribution of premiums earned for the Allstate Protection segment for 2013, based on information contained in statements filed with state insurance departments. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5 percent of the premiums earned for the segment.

| | |
|--------------|------|
| Texas | 9.8% |
| California | 9.8 |
| New York | 9.7 |
| Florida | 7.7 |
| Pennsylvania | 5.3 |

We continue to take actions to support earning an acceptable return on the risks assumed in our property business and to reduce variability in our earnings. Accordingly, we expect to continue to adjust underwriting practices with respect to our property business in markets with significant catastrophe risk exposure.

Additional Information

Information regarding the last three years' revenues and income from operations attributable to the Allstate Protection segment is contained in Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements. Note 20 also includes information regarding the last three years' identifiable assets attributable to our property-liability operations, which includes our

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Allstate Protection segment and our Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment. Note 20 is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

Information regarding the amount of premium earned for Allstate Protection segment products for the last three years is set forth in Part II, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in the table regarding premiums earned by brand. That table is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

ALLSTATE FINANCIAL SEGMENT

Products and Distribution

Our Allstate Financial segment provides life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance. Our principal products are interest-sensitive, traditional and variable life insurance; and voluntary accident and health insurance. We sell Allstate Financial products through Allstate exclusive agencies and approximately 1,280 exclusive financial specialists, and workplace enrolling independent agents. The table below lists our current distribution channels with the associated products and target customers.

| | Distribution Channels | Proprietary Products | Target Customers |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|---|
| Allstate Life and Retirement | Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists | Term life insurance Whole life insurance Interest-sensitive life insurance Variable life insurance | Middle market ⁽¹⁾ and mass affluent consumers ⁽²⁾ with retirement and family financial protection needs |
| Allstate Benefits | Workplace enrolling independent agents Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists | Workplace life and voluntary accident and health insurance: Interest-sensitive and term life insurance Disability income insurance Cancer, accident, critical illness and heart/stroke insurance Hospital indemnity Limited benefit medical insurance Dental insurance | Middle market consumers with family financial protection needs employed by small, medium, and large size firms |

(1) Consumers with \$35,000-\$75,000 in household income.

(2) Consumers with greater than \$75,000 in household income.

Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists also sell the following non-proprietary products in addition to Allstate Financial products: mutual funds, fixed and variable annuities, disability insurance, and long-term care insurance. As of December 31, 2013, Allstate agencies have approximately \$9.9 billion of non-proprietary mutual funds and fixed and variable annuity account balances under management. New and additional deposits into these non-proprietary products were \$1.3 billion in 2013.

Competition

We compete on a wide variety of factors, including product offerings, brand recognition, financial strength and ratings, prices, distribution and the level of customer service. The market for life insurance continues to be highly fragmented and competitive. As of December 31, 2012, there were approximately 420 groups of life insurance companies in the United States, most of which offered one or more similar products. According to A.M. Best, as of December 31, 2012, the Allstate Financial segment is the nation's 17th largest issuer of life insurance and related business on the basis of 2012 ordinary life insurance in force and 24th largest on the basis of 2012 statutory admitted assets.

Geographic Markets

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

We sell life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance throughout the United States. Through subsidiaries, we are authorized to sell various types of these products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Guam.

The following table reflects, in percentages, the principal geographic distribution of statutory premiums and annuity considerations for the Allstate Financial segment for 2013, based on information contained in statements filed with

Table of Contents

state insurance departments. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5 percent of the statutory premiums and annuity considerations.

| | |
|------------|-------|
| California | 11.9% |
| Texas | 8.9 |
| Florida | 8.3 |
| New York | 5.2 |

Additional Information

Information regarding the last three years' revenues and income from operations attributable to the Allstate Financial segment is contained in Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements. Note 20 also includes information regarding the last three years' identifiable assets attributable to the Allstate Financial segment. Note 20 is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

Information regarding premiums and contract charges for Allstate Financial segment products for the last three years is set forth in Part II, Item 7 Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations, in the table that summarizes premiums and contract charges by product. That table is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

ALLSTATE EXCLUSIVE AGENCIES

Allstate exclusive agencies offer for sale products targeted to consumers that prefer local advice and branded products from both the Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial segments. They offer Allstate brand auto and homeowners insurance policies; specialty auto products including motorcycle, trailer, motor home and off-road vehicle insurance policies; other personal lines products including renter, condominium, landlord, boat, umbrella and manufactured home insurance policies; commercial products for small business owners; and roadside assistance products. Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists offer various life insurance products, as well as voluntary accident and health insurance products. In addition, arrangements made with other companies, agencies, and brokers allow Allstate exclusive agencies the ability to make available non-proprietary products to consumers when an Allstate product is not available.

In the U.S., Allstate brand products are sold through approximately 9,600 Allstate exclusive agencies in approximately 9,300 locations. In addition, these agencies employ approximately 20,800 licensed sales professionals who are licensed to sell our products. We also offer these products through approximately 1,800 independent agencies in primarily rural areas in the U.S. In Canada we offer Allstate brand products through approximately 710 producers working in five provinces across the country (Ontario, Quebec, Alberta, New Brunswick and Nova Scotia). We grew the number of exclusive agencies in 2013 following several years of decline primarily resulting from agency consolidations and mergers, consistent with our prior plans. We pursue opportunities for growing Allstate brand exclusive agency distribution based on market opportunities.

We support exclusive agencies in a variety of ways to facilitate customer service and Allstate's overall growth strategy. For example, we offer assistance to Allstate exclusive agencies with sales and business processes and provide education and other resources to help them acquire more business and retain more customers. Our programs to support our exclusive agencies and help them grow larger include offering financing to agents to acquire other agencies and awarding additional resources to better performing agencies. We support our relationship with Allstate exclusive agencies through several national and regional working groups:

The Agency Executive Council, led by Allstate's senior leadership, engages exclusive agencies on our customer service and growth strategy. Membership includes approximately 20 Allstate exclusive agency owners selected on the basis of performance, thought leadership and credibility among their peer group.

The National Advisory Board brings together Allstate's senior leadership and a cross section of Allstate exclusive agents and exclusive financial specialists from around the country to address national business issues and develop solutions.

Regional Advisory Boards support Allstate exclusive agency owner engagement within each of Allstate's 15 regional offices in the U.S. and within Canada.

Allstate's strategy is to help improve individual agency success, new business sales, customer satisfaction, retention and productivity. Allstate is striving to help develop stronger, more valuable exclusive agencies with resources to build stronger customer relationships, provide differentiating levels of service by focusing on more complex customer needs, and support technology that enables customer self-service for simpler needs.

Table of Contents

The compensation structure for Allstate exclusive agencies rewards agencies for delivering high value to our customers and achieving certain business outcomes such as product profitability, net growth and a diverse product mix. The Allstate exclusive agent remuneration comprises a base commission, variable compensation and a bonus. Variable compensation has two components: agency success factors (local presence, Allstate Financial product sales and licensed staff), which must be achieved in order to qualify for the second component, customer experience (customer satisfaction survey). In addition, a bonus that is a percentage of premiums can be earned by agents. To qualify for the bonus, agents must achieve a loss ratio and an amount of sales of Allstate Financial products. The bonus is earned by increases in multi-line households and increases in Property-Liability policies in force and Allstate Financial policies in force. Other elements of exclusive agency compensation and support include start-up agency bonuses, marketing support payments, technology and data allowances, regional promotions and recognition trips based on achievement. There are no significant changes to the compensation framework planned for 2014.

Since Allstate brand customers prefer personal advice and assistance, beginning in 2013 all Allstate brand customers who purchase their policies directly through contact centers and the internet are immediately assigned an Allstate agency relationship at the time of purchase.

OTHER BUSINESS SEGMENTS

Our Corporate and Other segment is comprised of holding company activities and certain non-insurance operations. Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements contains information regarding the revenues, income from operations, and identifiable assets attributable to our Corporate and Other segment over the last three years.

Our Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment includes results from insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. Our exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is presented in this segment. Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements contains information for the last three years regarding revenues, income from operations, and identifiable assets attributable to our property-liability operations, which includes both our Allstate Protection segment and our Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment. Note 20 is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

RESERVE FOR PROPERTY-LIABILITY CLAIMS AND CLAIMS EXPENSE

The following information regarding reserves applies to all of our property-liability operations, encompassing both the Allstate Protection segment and the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

Reconciliation of Claims Reserves

The following tables are summary reconciliations of the beginning and ending property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves, displayed individually for each of the last three years. The first table presents reserves on a gross (before reinsurance) basis. The end of year gross reserve balances are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Financial Position. The second table presents reserves on a net (after reinsurance) basis. The total net property-liability insurance claims and claims expense amounts are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

Gross

(\$ in millions)

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Gross reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, beginning of year | \$ 21,288 | \$ 20,375 | \$ 19,468 |
| Esurance acquisition on October 7, 2011 | | (13) ⁽⁴⁾ | 487 |
| Total gross reserve adjusted | 21,288 | 20,362 | 19,955 |
| Incurring claims and claims expense | | | |
| Provision attributable to the current year | 18,380 | 20,356 | 20,914 |
| Change in provision attributable to prior years ⁽¹⁾ | 1,248 | 179 | 174 |
| Total claims and claims expense | 19,628 | 20,535 | 21,088 |
| Claim payments | | | |
| Claims and claims expense attributable to current year | 11,738 | 12,936 | 14,105 |
| Claims and claims expense attributable to prior years | 7,321 | 6,673 | 6,563 |
| Total payments | 19,059 | 19,609 | 20,668 |
| Gross reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, end of year as shown on the Loss Reserve Reestimates table | \$ 21,857 | \$ 21,288 | \$ 20,375 |

Net

| | Year ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, beginning of year | \$ 17,278 | \$ 17,787 | \$ 17,396 |
| Esurance acquisition on October 7, 2011 | | (13) ⁽⁴⁾ | 425 |
| Total net reserve adjusted | 17,278 | 17,774 | 17,821 |
| Incurring claims and claims expense | | | |
| Provision attributable to the current year | 18,032 | 19,149 | 20,496 |
| Change in provision attributable to prior years ⁽²⁾ | (121) | (665) | (335) |
| Total claims and claims expense | 17,911 | 18,484 | 20,161 |
| Claim payments | | | |
| Claims and claims expense attributable to current year | 11,658 | 12,545 | 13,893 |
| Claims and claims expense attributable to prior years | 6,338 | 6,435 | 6,302 |
| Total payments | 17,996 | 18,980 | 20,195 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Net reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense, end of year as shown on the
Loss Reserve Reestimates table ⁽³⁾ \$ 17,193 \$ 17,278 \$ 17,787

-
- (1) In 2013, the gross change in provision attributable to prior years increased \$1.07 billion compared to 2012, primarily due to increases for Michigan and New Jersey unlimited personal injury protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserves. In 2012 and 2011, the Company also increased Michigan unlimited personal injury protection reserves by \$832 million and \$394 million, respectively, and Discontinued Lines and coverages reserves (see the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section for additional discussion).
- (2) In 2013, the net change in provision attributable to prior years decreased \$544 million compared to 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe reserve reestimates.
- (3) Reserves for claims and claims expense are net of reinsurance of \$4.66 billion, \$4.01 billion and \$2.59 billion as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (4) The Esurance opening balance sheet reserves were reestimated in 2012 resulting in a reduction in reserves due to lower severity. The adjustment was recorded as a reduction in goodwill and an increase in payables to the seller under the terms of the purchase agreement and therefore had no impact on claims expense or the loss ratio.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The year-end 2013 gross reserves of \$21.86 billion for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, as determined under GAAP, were \$5.97 billion more than the net reserve balance of \$15.89 billion recorded on the basis of statutory accounting practices for reports provided to state regulatory authorities. The principal differences are reinsurance recoverables from third parties totaling \$4.66 billion that reduce reserves for statutory reporting but are recorded as assets for GAAP reporting, and a liability for the reserves of the Canadian subsidiaries for \$1.12 billion. Remaining differences are due to variations in requirements between GAAP and statutory reporting.

As the tables above illustrate, Allstate's net reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense at the end of 2012 decreased in 2013 by \$121 million, compared to reestimates of the gross reserves of an increase of \$1.25 billion. Net reserve reestimates in 2013, 2012 and 2011 were more favorable than the gross reserve reestimates due to reinsurance cessations.

Loss Reserve Reestimates

The following Loss Reserve Reestimates table illustrates the change over time of the net reserves established for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense at the end of the last eleven calendar years. The first section shows the reserves as originally reported at the end of the stated year. The second section, reading down, shows the cumulative amounts paid as of the end of successive years with respect to that reserve liability. The third section, reading down, shows retroactive reestimates of the original recorded reserve as of the end of each successive year which is the result of Allstate's expanded awareness of additional facts and circumstances that pertain to the unsettled claims. The last section compares the latest reestimated reserve to the reserve originally established, and indicates whether the original reserve was adequate to cover the estimated costs of unsettled claims. The table also presents the gross reestimated liability as of the end of the latest reestimation period, with separate disclosure of the related reestimated reinsurance recoverable.

The Loss Reserve Reestimates table is cumulative and, therefore, ending balances should not be added since the amount at the end of each calendar year includes activity for both the current and prior years. Unfavorable reserve reestimates are shown in this table in parentheses.

| | Loss Reserve Reestimates | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|---------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| | December 31, | | | | | | | | | | |
| (in millions) | 2003 & prior | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 |
| Gross reserves for claims and claims expense | \$ 17,714 | \$ 19,338 | \$ 22,117 | \$ 18,866 | \$ 18,865 | \$ 19,456 | \$ 19,167 | \$ 19,468 | \$ 20,375 | \$ 21,288 | \$ 21,288 |
| Reinsurance recoverable | 1,734 | 2,577 | 3,186 | 2,256 | 2,205 | 2,274 | 2,139 | 2,072 | 2,588 | 4,010 | 4,010 |
| Net reserves for claims and claims expense (cumulative) | 15,980 | 16,761 | 18,931 | 16,610 | 16,660 | 17,182 | 17,028 | 17,396 | 17,787 | 17,278 | 17,278 |
| As of year later | 6,073 | 6,665 | 7,952 | 6,684 | 6,884 | 6,995 | 6,571 | 6,302 | 6,435 | 6,338 | 6,338 |
| 1 year later | 9,098 | 9,587 | 11,293 | 9,957 | 9,852 | 10,069 | 9,491 | 9,396 | 9,513 | 9,513 | 9,513 |
| 2 years later | 10,936 | 11,455 | 13,431 | 11,837 | 11,761 | 11,915 | 11,402 | 11,287 | 11,287 | 11,287 | 11,287 |
| 3 years later | 12,088 | 12,678 | 14,608 | 12,990 | 12,902 | 13,071 | 12,566 | 12,566 | 12,566 | 12,566 | 12,566 |
| 4 years later | 12,866 | 13,374 | 15,325 | 13,723 | 13,628 | 13,801 | 13,801 | 13,801 | 13,801 | 13,801 | 13,801 |
| 5 years later | 13,326 | 13,866 | 15,839 | 14,239 | 14,154 | 14,154 | 14,154 | 14,154 | 14,154 | 14,154 | 14,154 |
| 6 years later | 13,703 | 14,303 | 16,249 | 14,657 | 14,657 | 14,657 | 14,657 | 14,657 | 14,657 | 14,657 | 14,657 |
| 7 years later | 14,082 | 14,642 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 |
| 8 years later | 14,390 | 14,952 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 |
| 9 years later | 14,679 | 14,952 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 | 16,607 |
| Reestimated as of: | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 year | 15,980 | 16,761 | 18,931 | 16,610 | 16,660 | 17,182 | 17,028 | 17,396 | 17,787 | 17,278 | 17,278 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|------------|
| ar later | 15,750 | 16,293 | 17,960 | 16,438 | 16,830 | 17,070 | 16,869 | 17,061 | 17,122 | 17,157 |
| ears later | 15,677 | 16,033 | 17,876 | 16,633 | 17,174 | 17,035 | 16,903 | 16,906 | 17,001 | |
| years later | 15,721 | 16,213 | 18,162 | 17,135 | 17,185 | 17,217 | 16,909 | 16,869 | | |
| ears later | 15,915 | 16,337 | 18,805 | 17,238 | 17,393 | 17,260 | 16,892 | | | |
| ears later | 16,027 | 16,895 | 19,014 | 17,447 | 17,477 | 17,306 | | | | |
| ars later | 16,496 | 17,149 | 19,215 | 17,542 | 17,560 | | | | | |
| years later | 16,763 | 17,344 | 19,300 | 17,671 | | | | | | |
| ears later | 16,950 | 17,477 | 19,474 | | | | | | | |
| ears later | 17,093 | 17,683 | | | | | | | | |
| ars later | 17,293 | | | | | | | | | |
| reserve in | | | | | | | | | | |
| of (less | | | | | | | | | | |
| reestimated | | | | | | | | | | |
| : | | | | | | | | | | |
| nt of | | | | | | | | | | |
| ate | (1,313) | (922) | (543) | (1,061) | (900) | (124) | 136 | 527 | 786 | 121 |
| t | (8.2)% | (5.5)% | (2.9)% | (6.4)% | (5.4)% | (0.7)% | 0.8% | 3.0% | 4.4% | 0.7% |
| reestimated | | | | | | | | | | |
| y-latest | 22,098 | 22,777 | 25,117 | 22,332 | 22,133 | 21,924 | 21,328 | 21,261 | 21,463 | 22,536 |
| ated | | | | | | | | | | |
| rable-latest | 4,805 | 5,094 | 5,643 | 4,661 | 4,573 | 4,618 | 4,436 | 4,392 | 4,462 | 5,379 |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| estimated | | | | | | | | | | |
| y-latest | 17,293 | 17,683 | 19,474 | 17,671 | 17,560 | 17,306 | 16,892 | 16,869 | 17,001 | 17,157 |
| cumulative | | | | | | | | | | |
| ate | | | | | | | | | | |
| se) | | | | | | | | | | |
| se | \$ (4,384) | \$ (3,439) | \$ (3,000) | \$ (3,466) | \$ (3,268) | \$ (2,468) | \$ (2,161) | \$ (1,793) | \$ (1,088) | \$ (1,248) |

Table of Contents

| (\$ in millions) | Amount of reestimates for each segment December 31, | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|---------|
| | 2003 & prior | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 |
| Net Discontinued Lines and Coverages reestimate | \$(1,265) | (630) | (463) | (331) | (284) | (266) | (242) | (214) | (193) | \$(142) |
| Net Allstate Protection reestimate | (48) | (292) | (80) | (730) | (616) | 142 | 378 | 741 | 979 | 263 |
| Amount of reestimate (net) | \$(1,313) | (922) | (543) | (1,061) | (900) | (124) | 136 | 527 | 786 | \$ 121 |

As shown in the above table, the subsequent cumulative increase in the net reserves established up to December 31, 2004, in general, reflect additions to reserves in the Discontinued Lines and Coverages Segment, primarily for asbestos and environmental liabilities, which offset the effects of favorable severity trends experienced by Allstate Protection, as discussed more fully below. The cumulative increases in reserves established as of December 31, 2006 and 2007 are due to the shift of reserves to older accident years attributable to a reallocation of reserves related to employee postretirement benefits to more accident years, litigation settlements, reclassification of injury and non-injury reserves to older years along with reserve strengthening as discussed below.

The following table is derived from the Loss Reserve Reestimates table and summarizes the effect of reserve reestimates, net of reinsurance, on calendar year operations for the ten-year period ended December 31, 2013. The total of each column details the amount of reserve reestimates made in the indicated calendar year and shows the accident years to which the reestimates are applicable. The amounts in the total accident year column on the far right represent the cumulative reserve reestimates for the indicated accident year(s). Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in this table in parentheses. The changes in total have generally been favorable other than 2008 which was adversely impacted due to litigation filed in conjunction with a Louisiana deadline for filing suits related to Hurricane Katrina, as shown and discussed more fully below.

| (\$ in millions) | Effect of net reserve reestimates on calendar year operations | | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|-----------|
| | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | 2013 | Total |
| <u>BY ACCIDENT YEAR</u> | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 2003 & prior | \$(230) | \$(73) | \$44 | \$195 | \$112 | \$469 | \$267 | \$187 | \$143 | 200 | \$1,314 |
| 2004 | | (395) | (304) | (14) | 12 | 90 | (13) | 8 | (10) | 6 | (620) |
| 2005 | | | (711) | (264) | 162 | 84 | (45) | 6 | (48) | (32) | (848) |
| 2006 | | | | (89) | (91) | (141) | (106) | 8 | 10 | (45) | (454) |
| 2007 | | | | | (25) | (158) | (92) | (1) | (11) | (46) | (333) |
| 2008 | | | | | | (456) | (46) | (26) | (41) | (37) | (606) |
| 2009 | | | | | | | (124) | (148) | (37) | (63) | (372) |
| 2010 | | | | | | | | (369) | (161) | (20) | (550) |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | (510) | (84) | (594) |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | | | |
| TOTAL | \$ (230) | \$(468) | \$(971) | \$(172) | \$ 170 | \$(112) | \$(159) | \$(335) | \$(665) | (121) | \$(3,063) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

In 2013, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates and catastrophe losses. The increased reserves in accident years 2003 & prior is due to reserve strengthening by the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment and a reclassification of injury reserves to older years.

In 2012, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to catastrophe losses and auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates. The increased reserves in accident years 2002 & prior is due to a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

In 2011, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates and catastrophe losses. The increased reserves in accident years 2001 & prior is due to a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

In 2010, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection catastrophe losses and auto severity development that was less than anticipated in previous estimates, partially offset by litigation settlements. The increased reserves in accident years 2000 & prior is due to the litigation settlements of \$100 million, a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

In 2009, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection catastrophe losses that were less than anticipated in previous estimates. The shift of reserves to older accident years is attributable to a

Table of Contents

reallocation of reserves related to employee postretirement benefits to more accident years, and a reclassification of injury and 2008 non-injury reserves to older years.

In 2008, unfavorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection catastrophe losses that were more than anticipated in previous estimates.

In 2007, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection auto severity development that was less than what was anticipated in previous estimates. Decreased reserve reestimates for Allstate Protection more than offset increased reestimates of losses primarily related to environmental liabilities reported by the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

In 2006, 2005 and 2004, favorable prior year reserve reestimates were primarily due to Allstate Protection auto injury severity and late reported loss development that was less than what was anticipated in previous estimates and in 2006, also by catastrophe losses that were less than anticipated in previous estimates. Decreased reserve reestimates for Allstate Protection more than offset increased reestimates of losses primarily related to asbestos liabilities reported by the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

For additional information regarding reserves, see "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations - Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves."

REGULATION

Allstate is subject to extensive regulation, primarily at the state level. The method, extent, and substance of such regulation varies by state but generally has its source in statutes that establish standards and requirements for conducting the business of insurance and that delegate regulatory authority to a state agency. These rules have a substantial effect on our business and relate to a wide variety of matters, including insurer solvency, reserve adequacy, insurance company licensing and examination, agent and adjuster licensing, policy forms, rate setting, the nature and amount of investments, claims practices, participation in shared markets and guaranty funds, transactions with affiliates, the payment of dividends, underwriting standards, statutory accounting methods, trade practices, and corporate governance. Some of these matters are discussed in more detail below. For a discussion of statutory financial information, see Note 17 of the consolidated financial statements. For a discussion of regulatory contingencies, see Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements. Notes 15 and 17 are incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under increased federal scrutiny. As part of an effort to strengthen the regulation of the financial services market, the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act ("Dodd-Frank") was enacted in 2010. Many regulations required pursuant to this law must still be finalized, and we cannot predict what the final regulations will require but do not expect a material impact on Allstate's operations. Dodd-Frank also created the Federal Insurance Office ("FIO") within the Treasury Department. The FIO monitors the insurance industry, provides advice to the Financial Stability Oversight Council ("FSOC"), represents the U.S. on international insurance matters, and studies the current regulatory system. FIO submitted a report to Congress in December 2013 addressing how to improve and modernize the system of insurance regulation. In addition, state legislators and insurance regulators continue to examine the appropriate nature and scope of state insurance regulation. We cannot predict whether any specific state or federal measures will be adopted to change the nature or scope of the regulation of insurance or what effect any such measures would have on Allstate. We are working for changes in the regulatory environment, including recognizing the need for better catastrophe preparedness, promoting the creation of government-sponsored, privately-funded solutions for mega-catastrophes that will make insurance more available and affordable, improving appropriate risk-based pricing, and promoting ways to make regulation more uniform and consistent across the country.

Agent and Broker Compensation. In recent years, several states considered new legislation or regulations regarding the compensation of agents and brokers by insurance companies. The proposals ranged in nature from new disclosure requirements to new duties on insurance agents and brokers in dealing with customers. Agents and brokers in New York are required to disclose certain information concerning compensation.

Limitations on Dividends By Insurance Subsidiaries. As a holding company with no significant business operations of its own, The Allstate Corporation relies on dividends from Allstate Insurance Company as one of the principal sources of cash to pay dividends and to meet its obligations, including the payment of principal and interest on debt. Allstate Insurance Company is regulated as an insurance company in Illinois and its ability to pay dividends is restricted by Illinois law. For additional information regarding those restrictions, see Part II, Item 5 of this report. The laws of the other jurisdictions that generally govern our other insurance subsidiaries contain similar limitations on the payment of dividends and in some jurisdictions the laws may be more restrictive.

Table of Contents

Insurance Holding Company Regulation. The Allstate Corporation and Allstate Insurance Company are insurance holding companies subject to regulation in the jurisdictions in which their insurance subsidiaries do business. In the U.S., these subsidiaries are organized under the insurance codes of California, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New York, Texas, and Wisconsin, and some of these subsidiaries are considered commercially domiciled in California and Florida. Generally, the insurance codes in these states provide that the acquisition or change of "control" of a domestic or commercially domiciled insurer or of any person that controls such an insurer cannot be consummated without the prior approval of the relevant insurance regulator. In general, a presumption of "control" arises from the ownership, control, possession with the power to vote, or possession of proxies with respect to, ten percent or more of the voting securities of an insurer or of a person that controls an insurer. In addition, certain state insurance laws require pre-acquisition notification to state agencies of a change in control with respect to a non-domestic insurance company licensed to do business in that state. While such pre-acquisition notification statutes do not authorize the state agency to disapprove the change of control, such statutes do authorize certain remedies, including the issuance of a cease and desist order with respect to the non-domestic insurer if certain conditions exist, such as undue market concentration. Thus, any transaction involving the acquisition of ten percent or more of The Allstate Corporation's common stock would generally require prior approval by the state insurance departments in California, Illinois, Massachusetts, Nebraska, New York, Texas, and Wisconsin. The prior approval of the Florida insurance department would be necessary for the acquisition of five percent or more. Moreover, notification would be required in those other states that have adopted pre-acquisition notification provisions and where the insurance subsidiaries are admitted to transact business. Such approval requirements may deter, delay, or prevent certain transactions affecting the ownership of The Allstate Corporation's common stock.

Rate Regulation. Nearly all states have insurance laws requiring personal property and casualty insurers to file rating plans, policy or coverage forms, and other information with the state's regulatory authority. In many cases, such rating plans, policy forms, or both must be approved prior to use.

The speed with which an insurer can change rates in response to competition or in response to increasing costs depends, in part, on whether the rating laws are (i) prior approval, (ii) file-and-use, or (iii) use-and-file laws. In states having prior approval laws, the regulator must approve a rate before the insurer may use it. In states having file-and-use laws, the insurer does not have to wait for the regulator's approval to use a rate, but the rate must be filed with the regulatory authority prior to being used. A use-and-file law requires an insurer to file rates within a certain period of time after the insurer begins using them. Eighteen states, including California and New York, have prior approval laws. Under all three types of rating laws, the regulator has the authority to disapprove a rate filing.

An insurer's ability to adjust its rates in response to competition or to changing costs is often dependent on an insurer's ability to demonstrate to the regulator that its rates or proposed rating plan meets the requirements of the rating laws. In those states that significantly restrict an insurer's discretion in selecting the business that it wants to underwrite, an insurer can manage its risk of loss by charging a rate that reflects the cost and expense of providing the insurance. In those states that significantly restrict an insurer's ability to charge a rate that reflects the cost and expense of providing the insurance, the insurer can manage its risk of loss by being more selective in the type of business it underwrites. When a state significantly restricts both underwriting and pricing, it becomes more difficult for an insurer to maintain its profitability.

From time to time, the private passenger auto insurance industry comes under pressure from state regulators, legislators, and special interest groups to reduce, freeze, or set rates at levels that do not correspond with our analysis of underlying costs and expenses. Homeowners insurance can come under similar pressure, particularly in states subject to significant increases in loss costs from high levels of catastrophe losses. We expect this kind of pressure to persist. In addition, Allstate and other insurers are using increasingly sophisticated pricing models that are being reviewed by regulators and special interest groups. The result could be legislation or regulation that adversely affects the profitability or growth of the Allstate Protection segment. We cannot predict the impact on our business of possible future legislative and regulatory measures regarding rating.

Involuntary Markets. As a condition of maintaining our licenses to write personal property and casualty insurance in various states, we are required to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities, and joint underwriting associations that provide various types of insurance coverage to individuals or entities that otherwise are unable to purchase such coverage from private insurers. Underwriting results related to these arrangements, which tend to be adverse, have been immaterial to our results of operations.

Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association. The Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA") is a mandatory insurance coverage and reinsurance indemnification mechanism for personal injury protection losses that provides indemnification for losses over a retention level that increases every other MCCA fiscal year. The retention level is

Table of Contents

\$530 thousand per claim and \$500 thousand per claim for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. It operates similar to a reinsurance program and is funded by participating companies through a per vehicle annual assessment. This assessment is included in our premiums that we charge our customers and when collected, we remit the assessment to the MCCA. The MCCA may not be funded on an actuarial basis and can accumulate unfunded claims liabilities. As required for a member company, we report covered paid and unpaid claims to the MCCA, when estimates of loss for a reported claim are expected to exceed the retention level. The MCCA reimburses members as claims are paid and billed by members to the MCCA. Because of the nature of the coverage, losses may be paid over the lifetime of an insured, and accordingly, significant levels of incurred claims reserves are recorded by member companies as well as offsetting reinsurance recoverables. The MCCA currently has unfunded claims liabilities with an obligation to indemnify its members. The MCCA's future operation and form are dependent upon the continuation of enabling state legislation. We do not anticipate any material adverse financial impact from this entity on Allstate.

Guaranty Funds. Under state insurance guaranty fund laws, insurers doing business in a state can be assessed, up to prescribed limits, in order to cover certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies.

National Flood Insurance Program. We voluntarily participate as a Write Your Own carrier in the National Flood Insurance Program ("NFIP"). The NFIP is administered and regulated by the Federal Emergency Management Agency. We operate in a fiduciary capacity as a fiscal agent of the federal government in the issuing and administering of the Standard Flood Insurance Policy. This involves the collection of premiums belonging to the federal government and the paying of covered claims by directly drawing on funds of the United States Treasury. We receive expense allowances from the NFIP for underwriting administration, claims management, commissions and adjuster fees. The federal government is obligated to pay all claims that fall under the arrangement.

Investment Regulation. Our insurance subsidiaries are subject to regulations that require investment portfolio diversification and that limit the amount of investment in certain categories. Failure to comply with these rules leads to the treatment of non-conforming investments as non-admitted assets for purposes of measuring statutory surplus. Further, in some instances, these rules require divestiture of non-conforming investments.

Exiting Geographic Markets; Canceling and Non-Renewing Policies. Most states regulate an insurer's ability to exit a market. For example, states may limit, to varying degrees, an insurer's ability to cancel and non-renew policies. Some states restrict or prohibit an insurer from withdrawing one or more types of insurance business from the state, except pursuant to a plan that is approved by the state insurance department. Regulations that limit cancellation and non-renewal and that subject withdrawal plans to prior approval requirements may restrict an insurer's ability to exit unprofitable markets.

Variable Life Insurance and Registered Fixed Annuities. The sale and administration of variable life insurance and registered fixed annuities with market value adjustment features are subject to extensive regulatory oversight at the federal and state level, including regulation and supervision by the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and the Financial Industry Regulatory Authority ("FINRA").

Broker-Dealers, Investment Advisors, and Investment Companies. The Allstate entities that operate as broker-dealers, registered investment advisors, and investment companies are subject to regulation and supervision by the SEC, FINRA and/or, in some cases, state securities administrators.

Privacy Regulation. Federal law and the laws of many states require financial institutions to protect the security and confidentiality of customer information and to notify customers about their policies and practices relating to collection and disclosure of customer information and their policies relating to protecting the security and confidentiality of that information. Federal law and the laws of many states also regulate disclosures and disposal of customer information. Congress, state legislatures, and regulatory authorities are expected to consider additional regulation relating to privacy and other aspects of customer information.

Asbestos. Congress has considered legislation to address asbestos claims and litigation in the past, but unified support among various defendant and insurer groups considered essential to any possible reform has been lacking. We cannot predict the impact on our business of possible future legislative measures regarding asbestos.

Environmental. Environmental pollution and clean-up of polluted waste sites is the subject of both federal and state regulation. The Comprehensive Environmental Response Compensation and Liability Act of 1980 ("Superfund") and comparable state statutes ("mini-Superfund") govern the clean-up and restoration of waste sites by Potentially Responsible Parties ("PRPs"). Superfund and the mini-Superfunds (Environmental Clean-up Laws or "ECLs") establish a mechanism to assign liability to PRPs or to fund the clean-up of waste sites if PRPs fail to do so. The extent of liability to be allocated to a PRP is dependent on a variety of factors. By some estimates, there are thousands of potential waste

Table of Contents

sites subject to clean-up, but the exact number is unknown. The extent of clean-up necessary and the process of assigning liability remain in dispute. The insurance industry is involved in extensive litigation regarding coverage issues arising out of the clean-up of waste sites by insured PRPs and the insured parties' alleged liability to third parties responsible for the clean-up. The insurance industry, including Allstate, has disputed and is disputing many such claims. Key coverage issues include whether Superfund response, investigation, and clean-up costs are considered damages under the policies; trigger of coverage; the applicability of several types of pollution exclusions; proper notice of claims; whether administrative liability triggers the duty to defend; appropriate allocation of liability among triggered insurers; and whether the liability in question falls within the definition of an "occurrence." Identical coverage issues exist for clean-up and waste sites not covered under Superfund. To date, courts have been inconsistent in their rulings on these issues. Allstate's exposure to liability with regard to its insureds that have been, or may be, named as PRPs is uncertain. While comprehensive Superfund reform proposals have been introduced in Congress, only modest reform measures have been enacted.

INTERNET WEBSITE

Our Internet website address is allstate.com. The Allstate Corporation's annual reports on Form 10-K, quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, current reports on Form 8-K, and any amendments to such reports that we file or furnish pursuant to Section 13(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 are available through our Internet website, free of charge, as soon as reasonably practicable after they are electronically filed or furnished to the SEC. In addition, our corporate governance guidelines, our code of ethics, and the charters of our Audit Committee, Compensation and Succession Committee, Executive Committee, Nominating and Governance Committee, and Risk and Return Committee are available on our website and in print to any stockholder who requests copies by contacting Investor Relations, The Allstate Corporation, 2775 Sanders Road, Northbrook, Illinois 60062-6127, 1-800-416-8803.

OTHER INFORMATION ABOUT ALLSTATE

As of December 31, 2013, Allstate had approximately 38,800 full-time employees and 600 part-time employees.

Information regarding revenues generated outside of the United States is incorporated in this Part I, Item 1 by reference to Note 20 of the consolidated financial statements.

Allstate's four business segments use shared services, including human resources, investment, finance, information technology and legal services, provided by Allstate Insurance Company and other affiliates.

Although the insurance business generally is not seasonal, claims and claims expense for the Allstate Protection segment tend to be higher for periods of severe or inclement weather.

"Allstate" is one of the most recognized brand names in the United States. We use the names "Allstate," "Encompass," "Esurance" and "Lincoln Benefit Life®" extensively in our business, along with related service marks, logos, and slogans, such as "Good Hands®." Our rights in the United States to these names, service marks, logos, and slogans continue so long as we continue to use them in commerce. These service marks and many others used by Allstate are the subject of renewable U.S. and/or foreign service mark registrations. We believe that these service marks are important to our business and we intend to maintain our rights to them through continued use.

Table of Contents**Executive Officers of the Registrant**

The following table sets forth the names of our executive officers, their ages as of February 1, 2014, their positions, and the years of their first election as officers. "AIC" refers to Allstate Insurance Company.

| Name | Age | Position/Offices | Year First Elected Officer |
|-------------------|------------|--|-----------------------------------|
| Thomas J. Wilson | 56 | Chairman of the Board, President, and Chief Executive Officer of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC. | 1995 |
| Don Civgin | 52 | President and Chief Executive Officer Allstate Financial. | 2008 |
| James D. DeVries | 50 | Executive Vice President and Chief Administrative Officer of AIC (Human Resources). | 2008 |
| Judith P. Greffin | 53 | Executive Vice President and Chief Investment Officer of AIC. | 2002 |
| Sanjay Gupta | 45 | Executive Vice President and Chief Marketing Officer of AIC. | 2012 |
| Suren Gupta | 52 | Executive Vice President, Allstate Technology and Operations of AIC. | 2011 |
| Susan L. Lees | 56 | Executive Vice President, General Counsel, and Secretary of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC (Chief Legal Officer). | 2008 |
| Katherine A. Mabe | 55 | President, Business to Business of AIC. | 2011 |
| Samuel H. Pilch | 67 | Senior Group Vice President and Controller of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC. | 1996 |
| Steven E. Shebik | 57 | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of The Allstate Corporation and of AIC. | 1999 |
| Steven C. Verney | 55 | Executive Vice President and Chief Risk Officer of AIC. | 1999 |
| Matthew E. Winter | 57 | President Allstate Personal Lines of AIC. | 2009 |

Each of the officers named above may be removed from office at any time, with or without cause, by the board of directors of the relevant company.

Messrs. Wilson, Civgin, DeVries, Pilch, Shebik and Verney, and Mmes. Greffin and Lees have held the listed positions for at least the last five years or have served Allstate in various executive or administrative capacities for at least five years.

Prior to joining Allstate in 2012, Mr. Sanjay Gupta served as Chief Marketing Officer of Ally Financial from 2008 to 2012 and Senior Vice President of Global Consumer and Small Business Marketing at Bank of America from 2001 to 2008.

Prior to joining Allstate in 2011, Mr. Suren Gupta served as Executive Vice President of Wells Fargo from 2003 to 2011.

Prior to joining Allstate in 2011, Ms. Mabe served as President and CEO of The Economical Insurance Group, Canada from 2010 to 2011 and President Specialty Products of Nationwide Mutual Insurance Company from 2008 to 2010.

Prior to joining Allstate in 2009, Mr. Winter served as Vice Chairman of American International Group ("AIG") in 2009 and President and Chief Executive Officer of AIG American General Domestic Life Companies from 2006 to 2009.

Item 1A. Risk Factors

This document contains "forward-looking statements" that anticipate results based on our estimates, assumptions and plans that are subject to uncertainty. These statements are made subject to the safe-harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. We assume no obligation to update any forward-looking statements as a result of new information or future events or developments.

Table of Contents

These forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and may be identified by their use of words like "plans," "seeks," "expects," "will," "should," "anticipates," "estimates," "intends," "believes," "likely," "targets" and other words with similar meanings. These statements may address, among other things, our strategy for growth, catastrophe exposure management, product development, investment results, regulatory approvals, market position, expenses, financial results, litigation and reserves. We believe that these statements are based on reasonable estimates, assumptions and plans. However, if the estimates, assumptions or plans underlying the forward-looking statements prove inaccurate or if other risks or uncertainties arise, actual results could differ materially from those communicated in these forward-looking statements.

In addition to the normal risks of business, we are subject to significant risks and uncertainties, including those listed below, which apply to us as an insurer and a provider of other products and financial services. These risks constitute our cautionary statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995 and readers should carefully review such cautionary statements as they identify certain important factors that could cause actual results to differ materially from those in the forward-looking statements and historical trends. These cautionary statements are not exclusive and are in addition to other factors discussed elsewhere in this document, in our filings with the SEC or in materials incorporated therein by reference.

Risks Relating to the Property-Liability business

As a property and casualty insurer, we may face significant losses from catastrophes and severe weather events

Because of the exposure of our property and casualty business to catastrophic events, our operating results and financial condition may vary significantly from one period to the next. Catastrophes can be caused by various natural and man-made events, including earthquakes, volcanic eruptions, wildfires, tornadoes, tsunamis, hurricanes, tropical storms and certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. We may incur catastrophe losses in our auto and property business in excess of: (1) those experienced in prior years, (2) the average expected level used in pricing, (3) our current reinsurance coverage limits, or (4) loss estimates from external hurricane and earthquake models at various levels of probability. Despite our catastrophe management programs, we are exposed to catastrophes that could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition. For example, our historical catastrophe experience includes losses relating to Hurricane Katrina in 2005 totaling \$3.6 billion, the Northridge earthquake of 1994 totaling \$2.1 billion and Hurricane Andrew in 1992 totaling \$2.3 billion. We are also exposed to assessments from the California Earthquake Authority and various state-created insurance facilities, and to losses that could surpass the capitalization of these facilities. Our liquidity could be constrained by a catastrophe, or multiple catastrophes, which result in extraordinary losses or a downgrade of our debt or financial strength ratings.

In addition, we are subject to claims arising from weather events such as winter storms, rain, hail and high winds. The incidence and severity of weather conditions are largely unpredictable. There is generally an increase in the frequency and severity of auto and property claims when severe weather conditions occur.

The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted and could be material to our operating results and financial condition

Along with others in the insurance industry, we use models developed by third party vendors as well as our own historic data in assessing our property insurance exposure to catastrophe losses. These models assume various conditions and probability scenarios. Such models do not necessarily accurately predict future losses or accurately measure losses currently incurred. Catastrophe models, which have been evolving since the early 1990s, use historical information and scientific research about hurricanes and earthquakes and also utilize detailed information about our in-force business. While we use this information in connection with our pricing and risk management activities, there are limitations with respect to its usefulness in predicting losses in any reporting period as actual catastrophic events vary considerably. Other limitations are evident in significant variations in estimates between models, material increases and decreases in results due to model changes and refinements of the underlying data elements and actual conditions that are not yet well understood or may not be properly incorporated into the models.

Impacts of catastrophes and our catastrophe management strategy may adversely affect premium growth

Due to our catastrophe risk management efforts, the size of our homeowners business has been negatively impacted and may continue to be negatively impacted if we take further actions. Homeowners premium growth rates and retention could be more adversely impacted than we expect by adjustments to our business structure, size and underwriting practices in markets with significant catastrophe risk exposure. In addition, due to the diminished potential for cross-selling opportunities that cannot be fully replaced by brokering arrangements that allow our agents to write

Table of Contents

property products with other carriers, new business growth in our auto lines has been and could continue to be lower than expected.

A regulatory environment that limits rate increases and requires us to underwrite business and participate in loss sharing arrangements may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

From time to time, political events and positions affect the insurance market, including efforts to suppress rates to a level that may not allow us to reach targeted levels of profitability. For example, if Allstate Protection's loss ratio compares favorably to that of the industry, state or provincial regulatory authorities may impose rate rollbacks, require us to pay premium refunds to policyholders, or resist or delay our efforts to raise rates even if the property and casualty industry generally is not experiencing regulatory resistance to rate increases. Such resistance affects our ability, in all product lines, to obtain approval for rate changes that may be required to achieve targeted levels of profitability and returns on equity. Our ability to afford reinsurance required to reduce our catastrophe risk in designated areas may be dependent upon the ability to adjust rates for its cost.

In addition to regulating rates, certain states have enacted laws that require a property-liability insurer conducting business in that state to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations or require the insurer to offer coverage to all consumers, often restricting an insurer's ability to charge the price it might otherwise charge. In these markets, we may be compelled to underwrite significant amounts of business at lower than desired rates, possibly leading to an unacceptable return on equity, or as the facilities recognize a financial deficit, they may in turn have the ability to assess participating insurers, adversely affecting our results of operations and financial condition. Laws and regulations of many states also limit an insurer's ability to withdraw from one or more lines of insurance in the state, except pursuant to a plan that is approved by the state insurance department. Additionally, certain states require insurers to participate in guaranty funds for impaired or insolvent insurance companies. These funds periodically assess losses against all insurance companies doing business in the state. Our operating results and financial condition could be adversely affected by any of these factors.

The potential benefits of our sophisticated risk segmentation process may not be fully realized

We believe that our sophisticated pricing and underwriting methods (which, in some situations, considers information that is obtained from credit reports and other factors) has allowed us to be more competitive and operate more profitably. However, because many of our competitors seek to adopt underwriting criteria and sophisticated pricing models similar to those we use, our competitive advantage could decline or be lost. Further, the use of increasingly sophisticated pricing models is being reviewed by regulators and special interest groups. Competitive pressures could also force us to modify our sophisticated pricing models. Furthermore, we cannot be assured that these sophisticated pricing models will accurately reflect the level of losses that we will ultimately incur.

Allstate Protection's operating results and financial condition may be adversely affected by the cyclical nature of the property and casualty business

The property and casualty market can be cyclical and has experienced periods characterized by relatively high levels of price competition, less restrictive underwriting standards and relatively low premium rates, followed by periods of relatively lower levels of competition, more selective underwriting standards and relatively high premium rates. A downturn in the profitability cycle of the property and casualty business could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Unexpected increases in the severity or frequency of claims may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Unexpected changes in the severity or frequency of claims may affect the profitability of our Allstate Protection segment. Changes in bodily injury claim severity are driven primarily by inflation in the medical sector of the economy and litigation. Changes in auto physical damage claim severity are driven primarily by inflation in auto repair costs, auto parts prices and used car prices. Changes in homeowners claim severity are driven by inflation in the construction industry, in building materials and in home furnishings, and by other economic and environmental factors, including increased demand for services and supplies in areas affected by catastrophes. However, changes in the level of the severity of claims are not limited to the effects of inflation and demand surge in these various sectors of the economy. Increases in claim severity can arise from unexpected events that are inherently difficult to predict. Although we pursue various loss management initiatives in the Allstate Protection segment in order to mitigate future increases in claim severity, there can be no assurances that these initiatives will successfully identify or reduce the effect of future increases in claim severity.

Table of Contents

Our Allstate Protection segment may experience volatility in claim frequency from time to time, and short-term trends may not continue over the longer term. A significant increase in claim frequency could have an adverse effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Actual claims incurred may exceed current reserves established for claims and may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Recorded claim reserves in the Property-Liability business are based on our best estimates of losses, both reported and incurred but not reported claims reserves ("IBNR"), after considering known facts and interpretations of circumstances. Internal factors are considered including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns, pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms. External factors are also considered, such as court decisions and changes in law, regulatory requirements and economic conditions. Because reserves are estimates of the unpaid portion of losses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded reserves and such variance may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition.

Predicting claim expense relating to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines is inherently uncertain and may have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition

The process of estimating asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines liabilities is complicated by complex legal issues concerning, among other things, the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether losses are covered, or were ever intended to be covered, and whether losses could be recoverable through retrospectively determined premium, reinsurance or other contractual agreements. Asbestos-related bankruptcies and other asbestos litigation are complex, lengthy proceedings that involve substantial uncertainty for insurers. Actuarial techniques and databases used in estimating asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines net loss reserves may prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable loss. Ultimate net losses from these discontinued lines could materially exceed established loss reserves and expected recoveries and have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

Risks Relating to the Allstate Financial Segment

Changes in underwriting and actual experience could materially affect profitability and financial condition

Our product pricing includes long-term assumptions regarding investment returns, mortality, morbidity, persistency and operating costs and expenses of the business. We establish target returns for each product based upon these factors and the average amount of capital that we must hold to support in-force contracts taking into account rating agencies and regulatory requirements. We monitor and manage our pricing and overall sales mix to achieve target new business returns on a portfolio basis, which could result in the discontinuation or de-emphasis of products and a decline in sales. Profitability from new business emerges over a period of years depending on the nature and life of the product and is subject to variability as actual results may differ from pricing assumptions. Additionally, many of our products have fixed or guaranteed terms that limit our ability to increase revenues or reduce benefits, including credited interest, once the product has been issued.

Our profitability in this segment depends on the sufficiency of premiums and contract charges to cover mortality and morbidity benefits, the persistency of policies to ensure recovery of acquisition expenses, the adequacy of investment spreads, the management of market and credit risks associated with investments, and the management of operating costs and expenses within anticipated pricing allowances. Legislation and regulation of the insurance marketplace and products could also affect our profitability and financial condition.

Changes in reserve estimates may adversely affect our operating results

The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits is computed on the basis of long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, persistency and expenses. We periodically review the adequacy of these reserves on an aggregate basis and if future experience differs significantly from assumptions, adjustments to reserves and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC") may be required that could have a material effect on our operating results.

Changes in market interest rates may lead to a significant decrease in the profitability of spread-based products

Our ability to manage the in-force Allstate Financial spread-based products, such as fixed annuities, is dependent upon maintaining profitable spreads between investment yields and interest crediting rates. When market interest rates decrease or remain at relatively low levels, proceeds from investments that have matured or have been prepaid or sold

Table of Contents

may be reinvested at lower yields, reducing investment spread. Lowering interest crediting rates on some products in such an environment can partially offset decreases in investment yield. However, these changes could be limited by regulatory minimum rates or contractual minimum rate guarantees on many contracts and may not match the timing or magnitude of changes in investment yields. Increases in market interest rates can have negative effects on Allstate Financial, for example by increasing the attractiveness of other investments to our customers, which can lead to increased surrenders at a time when the segment's fixed income investment asset values are lower as a result of the increase in interest rates. This could lead to the sale of fixed income securities at a loss. In addition, changes in market interest rates impact the valuation of derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged, which could lead to volatility in net income.

Changes in estimates of profitability on interest-sensitive life products may adversely affect our profitability and financial condition through the amortization of DAC

DAC related to interest-sensitive life contracts is amortized in proportion to actual historical gross profits and estimated future gross profits ("EGP") over the estimated lives of the contracts. The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are mortality, persistency, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges. Updates to these assumptions (commonly referred to as "DAC unlocking") could result in accelerated amortization of DAC and thereby adversely affect our profitability and financial condition.

Reducing our concentration in spread-based business and exiting certain distribution channels may adversely affect reported results

We have been reducing our concentration in spread-based business and will no longer offer fixed annuities effective January 1, 2014. We also exited the independent master brokerage agencies and structured settlement annuity brokers distribution channels in 2013. The reduction in sales of these products could negatively impact investment portfolio levels, complicate settlement of contract benefits including forced sales of assets with unrealized capital losses, and affect goodwill impairment testing and insurance reserves deficiency testing.

Changes in tax laws may decrease sales and profitability of products and adversely affect our financial condition

Under current federal and state income tax law, certain products we offer, primarily life insurance, receive favorable tax treatment. This favorable treatment may give certain of our products a competitive advantage over noninsurance products. Congress and various state legislatures from time to time consider legislation that would reduce or eliminate the favorable policyholder tax treatment currently applicable to life insurance. Congress and various state legislatures also consider proposals to reduce the taxation of certain products or investments that may compete with life insurance. Legislation that increases the taxation on insurance products or reduces the taxation on competing products could lessen the advantage or create a disadvantage for certain of our products making them less competitive. Such proposals, if adopted, could have a material effect on our profitability and financial condition or ability to sell such products and could result in the surrender of some existing contracts and policies. In addition, changes in the federal estate tax laws could negatively affect the demand for the types of life insurance used in estate planning.

We may not be able to mitigate the capital impact associated with statutory reserving requirements, potentially resulting in a need to increase prices, reduce sales of term or universal life products, and/or a return on equity below original levels assumed in pricing

To support statutory reserves for certain term and universal life insurance products with secondary guarantees, we currently utilize reinsurance and capital markets solutions for financing a portion of our statutory reserve requirements deemed to be non-economic. As we continue to underwrite term and universal life business, we expect to have additional financing needs to mitigate the impact of these reserve requirements. If we do not obtain additional financing as a result of market conditions or otherwise, this could require us to increase prices, reduce our sales of term or universal life products, and/or result in a return on equity below original levels assumed in pricing.

Risks Relating to Investments

We are subject to market risk and declines in credit quality which may adversely affect investment income and cause realized and unrealized losses

Although we continually reevaluate our investment management strategies, we remain subject to the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Adverse changes in these rates, spreads and prices may occur due to changes in monetary policy and the economic climate, the liquidity of a market or market segment, investor return expectations and/or risk tolerance, insolvency or

Table of Contents

financial distress of key market makers or participants, or changes in market perceptions of credit worthiness. We are also subject to market risk related to investments in real estate, loans and securities collateralized by real estate. Some of our investment strategies target individual investments with unique risks that are not highly correlated with broad market risks. Although we expect these investments to increase total portfolio returns over time, their performance may vary from and under-perform relative to the market in some periods.

We are subject to risks associated with potential declines in credit quality related to specific issuers or specific industries and a general weakening in the economy, which are typically reflected through credit spreads. Credit spread is the additional yield on fixed income securities and loans above the risk-free rate (typically referenced as the yield on U.S. Treasury securities) that market participants require to compensate them for assuming credit, liquidity and/or prepayment risks. Credit spreads vary (i.e. increase or decrease) in response to the market's perception of risk and liquidity in a specific issuer or specific sector and are influenced by the credit ratings, and the reliability of those ratings, published by external rating agencies. Although we have the ability to use derivative financial instruments to manage these risks, the effectiveness of such instruments is subject to the same risks. Adverse economic conditions or other factors could cause declines in the quality and valuation of our investment portfolio that could result in realized and unrealized losses.

A decline in market interest rates or credit spreads could have an adverse effect on our investment income as we invest cash in new investments that may earn less than the portfolio's average yield. In a declining interest rate environment, borrowers may prepay or redeem securities more quickly than expected as they seek to refinance at lower rates. A decline could also lead us to purchase longer-term or riskier assets in order to obtain adequate investment yields resulting in a duration gap when compared to the duration of liabilities. Alternatively, longer-term assets may be sold and reinvested in shorter-term assets in anticipation of rising interest rates. An increase in market interest rates or credit spreads could have an adverse effect on the value of our investment portfolio by decreasing the fair values of the fixed income securities that comprise a substantial majority of our investment portfolio. Declining equity markets could also cause the investments in our pension plans to decrease and decreasing interest rates could cause the funding target and the projected benefit obligation of our pension plans or the accumulated benefit obligation of our other postretirement benefit plans to increase, either or both resulting in a decrease in the funded status of the pension plans and a reduction in the accumulated other comprehensive income component of shareholders' equity, increases in pension and other postretirement benefit expense and increases in required contributions to the pension plans.

Deteriorating financial performance impacting securities collateralized by residential and commercial mortgage loans, collateralized corporate loans, and commercial mortgage loans may lead to write-downs and impact our results of operations and financial condition

Adverse changes in residential or commercial mortgage delinquencies, loss severities or recovery rates, declining residential or commercial real estate prices, corporate loan delinquencies or recovery rates, borrower ability to obtain alternative sources of financing, changes in credit or bond insurer strength ratings and the quality of service provided by service providers on securities in our portfolios could lead us to determine that write-downs are necessary in the future.

Concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular segment of the economy may have adverse effects on our operating results and financial condition

The concentration of our investment portfolios in any particular industry, collateral type, group of related industries, geographic sector or risk type could have an adverse effect on our investment portfolios and consequently on our results of operations and financial condition. Events or developments that have a negative impact on any particular industry, group of related industries or geographic region may have a greater adverse effect on the investment portfolios to the extent that the portfolios are concentrated rather than diversified.

The determination of the amount of realized capital losses recorded for impairments of our investments is subjective and could materially impact our operating results and financial condition

The determination of the amount of realized capital losses recorded for impairments vary by investment type and is based upon our ongoing evaluation and assessment of known and inherent risks associated with the respective asset class. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. We update our evaluations regularly and reflect changes in other-than-temporary impairments in our results of operations. The assessment of whether other-than-temporary impairments have occurred is based on our case-by-case evaluation of the underlying reasons for the decline in fair value. Our conclusions on such assessments are judgmental and include assumptions and projections of future cash flows which may ultimately prove to be incorrect as assumptions, facts and circumstances change. Furthermore, historical trends may not be indicative of future impairments and additional impairments may need to be recorded in the future.

Table of Contents

The determination of the fair value of our fixed income and equity securities is subjective and could materially impact our operating results and financial condition

In determining fair values we principally use the market approach which utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. The degree of management judgment involved in determining fair values is inversely related to the availability of market observable information. The fair value of assets may differ from the actual amount received upon sale of an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Moreover, the use of different valuation assumptions may have a material effect on the assets' fair values. The difference between amortized cost or cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes, certain life and annuity DAC, certain deferred sales inducement costs, and certain reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. Changing market conditions could materially affect the determination of the fair value of securities and unrealized net capital gains and losses could vary significantly.

Risks Relating to the Insurance Industry

Our future growth and profitability are dependent in part on our ability to successfully operate in an insurance industry that is highly competitive

The insurance industry is highly competitive. Many of our primary insurance competitors have well-established national reputations and market similar products.

We have invested in growth strategies by acting on our customer value propositions for each of our brands, through our differentiated product offerings and our distinctive advertising campaigns. If we are unsuccessful in generating new business and retaining a sufficient number of our customers, our ability to increase premiums written could be impacted. In addition, if we experience unexpected increases in our underlying costs (such as the frequency or severity of claims costs) generated by our new business, it could result in decreases in our profitability and lead to price increases which could impair our ability to compete effectively for insurance business.

Because of the competitive nature of the insurance industry, there can be no assurance that we will continue to effectively compete with our industry rivals, or that competitive pressures will not have a material effect on our business, operating results or financial condition. This includes competition for producers such as exclusive and independent agents and their licensed sales professionals. In the event we are unable to attract and retain these producers or they are unable to attract and retain customers for our products, growth and retention could be materially affected. Furthermore, certain competitors operate using a mutual insurance company structure and therefore may have dissimilar profitability and return targets. Our ability to successfully operate may also be impaired if we are not effective in anticipating the impact on our business of changing technology, including automotive technology, developing the talent and skills of our human resources, attracting and assimilating new executive talent into our organization, or deploying human resource talent consistently with our business goals.

Difficult conditions in the global economy and capital markets generally could adversely affect our business and operating results and these conditions may not improve in the near future

As with most businesses, we believe difficult conditions in the global economy and capital markets, such as significant negative macroeconomic trends, including relatively high and sustained unemployment, reduced consumer spending, lower residential and commercial real estate prices, substantial increases in delinquencies on consumer debt, including defaults on home mortgages, and the relatively low availability of credit could have an adverse effect on our business and operating results.

Stressed conditions, volatility and disruptions in global capital markets, particular markets or financial asset classes could adversely affect our investment portfolio. Disruptions in one market or asset class can also spread to other markets or asset classes. Although the disruption in the global financial markets has moderated, not all global financial markets are functioning normally, and the rate of recovery from the U.S. recession has been below historic averages. Several governments around the world have announced austerity actions to address their budget deficits that may lead to a decline in economic activity. While European policy makers have developed mechanisms to address funding concerns, risks to the European economy and financial markets remain.

General economic conditions could adversely affect us in the form of consumer behavior and pressure investment results. Consumer behavior changes could include decreased demand for our products. For example, as consumers purchase fewer automobiles, our sales of auto insurance may decline. Also, as consumers become more cost conscious, they may choose lower levels of auto and homeowners insurance. In addition, holders of some of our interest-sensitive life insurance and annuity products may engage in an elevated level of discretionary withdrawals of contractholder

Table of Contents

funds. Our investment results could be adversely affected as deteriorating financial and business conditions affect the issuers of the securities in our investment portfolio.

There can be no assurance that we can accurately predict the timing and impact of changes in the Federal Reserve's monetary policy

The Federal Reserve has indicated that it may change its highly accommodative monetary policy as the U.S. economic recovery strengthens and unemployment declines. There can be no assurance as to the long-term impact such actions will have on the financial markets or on economic conditions, including potential inflationary effects. Continued volatility and rising interest rates could materially and adversely affect our business, financial condition and results of operations.

Losses from legal and regulatory actions may be material to our operating results, cash flows and financial condition

As is typical for a large company, we are involved in various legal actions, including class action litigation challenging a range of company practices and coverage provided by our insurance products, some of which involve claims for substantial or indeterminate amounts. We are also involved in various regulatory actions and inquiries, including market conduct exams by state insurance regulatory agencies. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more of these matters, the ultimate liability may be in excess of amounts currently accrued and may be material to our operating results or cash flows for a particular quarter or annual period and to our financial condition. The aggregate estimate of the range of reasonably possible loss in excess of the amount accrued, if any, disclosed in Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements is not an indication of expected loss, if any. Actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate.

We are subject to extensive regulation and potential further restrictive regulation may increase our operating costs and limit our growth

As insurance companies, broker-dealers, investment advisers and/or investment companies, many of our subsidiaries are subject to extensive laws and regulations. These laws and regulations are complex and subject to change. Changes may sometimes lead to additional expenses, increased legal exposure, and additional limits on our ability to grow or to achieve targeted profitability. Moreover, laws and regulations are administered and enforced by a number of different governmental authorities, each of which exercises a degree of interpretive latitude, including state insurance regulators; state securities administrators; state attorneys general and federal agencies including the SEC, the FINRA and the U.S. Department of Justice. Consequently, we are subject to the risk that compliance with any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may not result in compliance with another's interpretation of the same issue, particularly when compliance is judged in hindsight. In addition, there is risk that any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue may change over time to our detriment, or that changes in the overall legal environment may, even absent any particular regulator's or enforcement authority's interpretation of a legal issue changing, cause us to change our views regarding the actions we need to take from a legal risk management perspective, thus necessitating changes to our practices that may, in some cases, limit our ability to grow or to improve the profitability of our business. Furthermore, in some cases, these laws and regulations are designed to protect or benefit the interests of a specific constituency rather than a range of constituencies. For example, state insurance laws and regulations are generally intended to protect or benefit purchasers or users of insurance products, not holders of securities, which is generally the jurisdiction of the SEC, issued by The Allstate Corporation. In many respects, these laws and regulations limit our ability to grow or to improve the profitability of our business.

Regulatory reforms, and the more stringent application of existing regulations, may make it more expensive for us to conduct our business

The federal government has enacted comprehensive regulatory reforms for financial services entities. As part of a larger effort to strengthen the regulation of the financial services market, certain reforms are applicable to the insurance industry, including the FIO established within the Treasury Department.

In recent years, the state insurance regulatory framework has come under public scrutiny, members of Congress have discussed proposals to provide for federal chartering of insurance companies, and the FIO and FSOC were established. In the future, if the FSOC were to determine that Allstate is a "systemically important" nonbank financial company, Allstate would be subject to regulation by the Federal Reserve Board. We can make no assurances regarding the potential impact of state or federal measures that may change the nature or scope of insurance and financial regulation.

Table of Contents

These regulatory reforms and any additional legislative change or regulatory requirements imposed upon us in connection with the federal government's regulatory reform of the financial services industry or arising from reform related to the international regulatory capital framework for financial services firms, and any more stringent enforcement of existing regulations by federal authorities, may make it more expensive for us to conduct our business, or limit our ability to grow or to achieve profitability.

Reinsurance may be unavailable at current levels and prices, which may limit our ability to write new business

Our personal lines catastrophe reinsurance program was designed, utilizing our risk management methodology, to address our exposure to catastrophes nationwide. Market conditions beyond our control impact the availability and cost of the reinsurance we purchase. No assurances can be made that reinsurance will remain continuously available to us to the same extent and on the same terms and rates as is currently available. For example, our ability to afford reinsurance to reduce our catastrophe risk in designated areas may be dependent upon our ability to adjust premium rates for its cost, and there are no assurances that the terms and rates for our current reinsurance program will continue to be available in future years. If we were unable to maintain our current level of reinsurance or purchase new reinsurance protection in amounts that we consider sufficient and at prices that we consider acceptable, we would have to either accept an increase in our catastrophe exposure, reduce our insurance writings, or develop or seek other alternatives.

Reinsurance subjects us to the credit risk of our reinsurers and may not be adequate to protect us against losses arising from ceded insurance, which could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition

The collectability of reinsurance recoverables is subject to uncertainty arising from a number of factors, including changes in market conditions, whether insured losses meet the qualifying conditions of the reinsurance contract and whether reinsurers, or their affiliates, have the financial capacity and willingness to make payments under the terms of a reinsurance treaty or contract. We also have credit risk exposure associated with the MCCA, a mandatory insurance coverage and reinsurance indemnification mechanism for personal injury protection losses that provides indemnification for losses over a retention level that increases every other MCCA fiscal year, which is operating with a deficit. Our reinsurance recoverable from the MCCA was \$3.46 billion as of December 31, 2013. Our inability to collect a material recovery from a reinsurer could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition.

A large scale pandemic, the continued threat of terrorism or military actions may have an adverse effect on the level of claim losses we incur, the value of our investment portfolio, our competitive position, marketability of product offerings, liquidity and operating results

A large scale pandemic, the continued threat of terrorism, within the United States and abroad, or military and other actions, and heightened security measures in response to these types of threats, may cause significant volatility and losses in our investment portfolio from declines in the equity markets and from interest rate changes in the United States, Europe and elsewhere, and result in loss of life, property damage, disruptions to commerce and reduced economic activity. Some of the assets in our investment portfolio may be adversely affected by declines in the equity markets and reduced economic activity caused by a large scale pandemic or the continued threat of terrorism. Additionally, a large scale pandemic or terrorist act could have a material effect on the sales, profitability, competitiveness, marketability of product offerings, liquidity, and operating results.

A downgrade in our financial strength ratings may have an adverse effect on our competitive position, the marketability of our product offerings, our liquidity, access to and cost of borrowing, operating results and financial condition

Financial strength ratings are important factors in establishing the competitive position of insurance companies and generally have an effect on an insurance company's business. On an ongoing basis, rating agencies review our financial performance and condition and could downgrade or change the outlook on our ratings due to, for example, a change in one of our insurance company's statutory capital; a change in a rating agency's determination of the amount of risk-adjusted capital required to maintain a particular rating; an increase in the perceived risk of our investment portfolio; a reduced confidence in management or our business strategy; as well as a number of other considerations that may or may not be under our control. The insurance financial strength ratings of Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate Life Insurance Company and The Allstate Corporation's senior debt ratings from A.M. Best, Standard & Poor's and Moody's are subject to continuous review, and the retention of current ratings cannot be assured. A downgrade in any of these ratings could have a material effect on our sales, our competitiveness, the marketability of our product offerings, our liquidity, access to and cost of borrowing, operating results and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Adverse capital and credit market conditions may significantly affect our ability to meet liquidity needs or our ability to obtain credit on acceptable terms

In periods of extreme volatility and disruption in the capital and credit markets, liquidity and credit capacity may be severely restricted. In such circumstances, our ability to obtain capital to fund operating expenses, financing costs, capital expenditures or acquisitions may be limited, and the cost of any such capital may be significant. Our access to additional financing will depend on a variety of factors such as market conditions, the general availability of credit, the overall availability of credit to our industry, our credit ratings and credit capacity, as well as lenders' perception of our long- or short-term financial prospects. Similarly, our access to funds may be impaired if regulatory authorities or rating agencies take negative actions against us. If a combination of these factors were to occur, our internal sources of liquidity may prove to be insufficient and in such case, we may not be able to successfully obtain additional financing on favorable terms.

We may be required to recognize impairments in the value of our goodwill, which may adversely affect our operating results and financial condition

Goodwill represents the excess of amounts paid for acquiring businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. Goodwill is evaluated for impairment annually, or more frequently if conditions warrant, by comparing the carrying value (attributed equity) of a reporting unit to its estimated fair value. Market declines or other events impacting the fair value of a reporting unit could result in a goodwill impairment, resulting in a charge to income. Such a charge could have an adverse effect on our results of operations or financial condition.

Changes in accounting standards issued by the Financial Accounting Standards Board or other standard-setting bodies may adversely affect our results of operations and financial condition

Our financial statements are subject to the application of generally accepted accounting principles, which are periodically revised, interpreted and/or expanded. Accordingly, we are required to adopt new guidance or interpretations, or could be subject to existing guidance as we enter into new transactions, which may have a material effect on our results of operations and financial condition that is either unexpected or has a greater impact than expected. For a description of changes in accounting standards that are currently pending and, if known, our estimates of their expected impact, see Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements.

The change in our unrecognized tax benefit during the next 12 months is subject to uncertainty

We have disclosed our estimate of unrecognized tax benefits and the reasonably possible increase or decrease in its balance during the next 12 months in Note 16 of the consolidated financial statements. However, actual results may differ from our estimate for reasons such as changes in our position on specific issues, developments with respect to the governments' interpretations of income tax laws or changes in judgment resulting from new information obtained in audits or the appeals process.

The realization of deferred tax assets is subject to uncertainty

The realization of our deferred tax assets, net of valuation allowance, if any, is based on our assumption that we will be able to fully utilize the deductions that are ultimately recognized for tax purposes. However, actual results may differ from our assumptions if adequate levels of taxable income are not attained.

The ability of our subsidiaries to pay dividends may affect our liquidity and ability to meet our obligations

The Allstate Corporation is a holding company with no significant operations. The principal asset is the stock of its subsidiaries. State insurance regulatory authorities limit the payment of dividends by insurance subsidiaries, as described in Note 17 of the consolidated financial statements. In addition, competitive pressures generally require the subsidiaries to maintain insurance financial strength ratings. These restrictions and other regulatory requirements affect the ability of the subsidiaries to make dividend payments. Limits on the ability of the subsidiaries to pay dividends could adversely affect holding company liquidity, including our ability to pay dividends to shareholders, service our debt, or complete share repurchase programs in the timeframe expected.

Our ability to pay dividends or repurchase our stock is subject to limitations under terms of certain of our securities

Subject to certain limited exceptions, during any dividend period while our preferred stock is outstanding, unless the full preferred stock dividends for the preceding dividend period have been declared and paid or declared and a sum sufficient for the payment thereof has been set aside and any declared but unpaid preferred stock dividends for any prior period have been paid, we may not repurchase or pay dividends on our common stock. If and when dividends on our preferred stock have not been declared and paid in full for at least six quarterly dividend periods, the authorized number

Table of Contents

of directors then constituting our board of directors will be increased by two additional directors, to be elected by the holders of our preferred stock together with the holders of all other affected classes and series of voting parity stock, voting as a single class, subject to certain conditions.

We are prohibited from declaring or paying dividends on our preferred stock if we fail to meet specified capital adequacy, net income or shareholders' equity levels. The prohibition is subject to an exception permitting us to declare dividends out of the net proceeds of common stock issued by us during the 90 days prior to the date of declaration even if we fail to meet such levels.

The terms of our outstanding subordinated debentures also prohibit us from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on our common or preferred stock or redeeming, purchasing, acquiring, or making liquidation payments on our common stock or preferred stock if we have elected to defer interest payments on the subordinated debentures, subject to certain limited exceptions.

The failure in cyber or other information security systems, as well as the occurrence of events unanticipated in our disaster recovery systems and management continuity planning could result in a loss or disclosure of confidential information, damage to our reputation, additional costs and impairment of our ability to conduct business effectively

We depend heavily upon computer systems to perform necessary business functions. Despite our implementation of a variety of security measures, our computer systems could be subject to cyber attacks and unauthorized access, such as physical and electronic break-ins or unauthorized tampering. Like other global companies, we have experienced threats to our data and systems, including malware and computer virus attacks, unauthorized access, system failures and disruptions. Events such as these could jeopardize the confidential, proprietary and other information (including personal information of our customers, claimants or employees) processed and stored in, and transmitted through, our computer systems and networks, or otherwise cause interruptions or malfunctions in our operations, which could result in damage to our reputation, financial losses, litigation, increased costs, regulatory penalties and/or customer dissatisfaction or loss. These risks may increase in the future as we continue to expand our internet and mobile strategies and develop additional remote connectivity solutions to serve our customers.

In the event of a disaster such as a natural catastrophe, industrial accident, terrorist attack, war, cyber attack or computer virus, unanticipated problems with our disaster recovery systems, or a support failure from external providers, could have an adverse effect on our ability to conduct business and on our results of operations and financial condition, particularly if those events affect our computer-based data processing, transmission, storage, and retrieval systems or destroy data. If a significant number of our managers were unavailable in the event of a disaster, our ability to effectively conduct our business could be severely compromised.

Third parties to whom we outsource certain of our functions are also subject to the risks outlined above, any one of which may result in our incurring substantial costs and other negative consequences, including a material adverse effect on our business, financial condition, results of operations and liquidity.

Changing climate conditions may adversely affect our financial condition, profitability or cash flows

Climate change, to the extent it produces changes in weather patterns, could affect the frequency or severity of weather events and wildfires, the affordability and availability of homeowners insurance, and the results for our Allstate Protection segment.

Loss of key vendor relationships or failure of a vendor to protect personal information of our customers, claimants or employees could affect our operations

We rely on services and products provided by many vendors in the United States and abroad. These include, for example, vendors of computer hardware and software and vendors of services such as claim adjustment services and human resource benefits management services. In the event that one or more of our vendors suffers a bankruptcy or otherwise becomes unable to continue to provide products or services, or fails to protect personal information of our customers, claimants or employees, we may suffer operational impairments and financial losses.

We may not be able to protect our intellectual property and may be subject to infringement claims

We rely on a combination of contractual rights and copyright, trademark, patent and trade secret laws to establish and protect our intellectual property. Although we use a broad range of measures to protect our intellectual property rights, third parties may infringe or misappropriate our intellectual property. We may have to litigate to enforce and protect our intellectual property and to determine its scope, validity or enforceability, which could divert significant

Table of Contents

resources and prove unsuccessful. An inability to protect our intellectual property could have a material effect on our business.

We may be subject to claims by third parties for patent, trademark or copyright infringement or breach of usage rights. Any such claims and any resulting litigation could result in significant expense and liability. If our third party providers or we are found to have infringed a third-party intellectual property right, either of us could be enjoined from providing certain products or services or from utilizing and benefiting from certain methods, processes, copyrights, trademarks, trade secrets or licenses. Alternatively, we could be required to enter into costly licensing arrangements with third parties or implement a costly work around. Any of these scenarios could have a material effect on our business and results of operations.

Item 1B. Unresolved Staff Comments

None.

Item 2. Properties

Our home office complex is located in Northbrook, Illinois. As of December 31, 2013, the Home Office complex consists of several buildings totaling 2.3 million square feet of office space on a 278-acre site.

We also operate from approximately 1,330 administrative, data processing, claims handling and other support facilities in North America. In addition to our home office facilities, 1.3 million square feet are owned and 7.4 million square feet are leased. Outside North America, we lease three properties in Northern Ireland comprising 161,460 square feet. We also have one lease in India for 99,260 square feet. Generally, only major Allstate facilities are owned. In a majority of cases, new lease terms and renewals are for five years or less.

The locations out of which the Allstate exclusive agencies operate in the U.S. are normally leased by the agencies as lessees.

Item 3. Legal Proceedings

Information required for Item 3 is incorporated by reference to the discussion under the heading "Regulation and Compliance" and under the heading "Legal and regulatory proceedings and inquiries" in Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements.

Item 4. Mine Safety Disclosures

Not applicable.

Table of Contents**Part II****Item 5. Market for Registrant's Common Equity, Related Stockholder Matters and Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

As of January 31, 2014, there were 93,107 record holders of The Allstate Corporation's common stock. The principal market for the common stock is the New York Stock Exchange but it is also listed on the Chicago Stock Exchange. Set forth below are the high and low New York Stock Exchange Composite listing prices of, and cash dividends declared for, the common stock during 2013 and 2012.

| | High | Low | Close | Dividends Declared |
|----------------|-------------|------------|--------------|-------------------------------|
| 2013 | | | | |
| First quarter | 49.13 | 40.65 | 49.07 | .25 |
| Second quarter | 50.69 | 45.60 | 48.12 | .25 |
| Third quarter | 52.98 | 47.32 | 50.55 | .25 |
| Fourth quarter | 54.84 | 50.21 | 54.54 | .25 |
| 2012 | | | | |
| First quarter | 33.33 | 26.98 | 32.92 | .22 |
| Second quarter | 35.15 | 31.93 | 35.09 | .22 |
| Third quarter | 40.72 | 33.35 | 39.61 | .22 |
| Fourth quarter | 42.81 | 37.92 | 40.17 | .22 |

The payment of dividends by Allstate Insurance Company ("AIC") to The Allstate Corporation is limited by Illinois insurance law to formula amounts based on statutory net income and statutory surplus, as well as the timing and amount of dividends paid in the preceding twelve months. In the twelve-month period ending December 31, 2013, AIC paid dividends of \$1.95 billion. Based on the greater of 2013 statutory net income or 10% of statutory surplus, the maximum amount of dividends that AIC will be able to pay without prior Illinois Department of Insurance approval at a given point in time in 2014 is \$2.47 billion, less dividends paid during the preceding twelve months measured at that point in time. Notification and approval of intercompany lending activities is also required by the Illinois Department of Insurance for those transactions that exceed formula amounts based on statutory admitted assets and statutory surplus.

Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities

| Period | Total number of shares (or units) purchased ⁽¹⁾ | Average price paid per share (or unit) | Total number of shares (or units) purchased as part of publicly announced plans or programs ⁽²⁾ | Maximum number (or approximate dollar value) of shares (or units) that may yet be purchased under the plans or programs ⁽³⁾ |
|---|---|---|---|---|
| October 1, 2013 - October 31, 2013 | 3,224,616 | \$ 52.5290 | 3,219,396 | \$ 419.4 million |
| November 1, 2013 - November 30, 2013 | 2,724,659 | \$ 53.9871 | 2,723,800 | \$ 272.3 million |
| December 1, 2013 - December 31, 2013 | 2,579,523 | \$ 53.4923 | 2,488,257 | \$ 139.2 million |
| Total | 8,528,798 | \$ 53.2862 | 8,431,453 | |

(1)

In accordance with the terms of its equity compensation plans, Allstate acquired the following shares in connection with stock option exercises by employees and/or directors. The stock was received in payment of the exercise price of the options and in satisfaction of withholding taxes due upon exercise or vesting.

October: 5,220
November: 859
December: 91,266

(2)

From time to time, repurchases under our programs are executed under the terms of a pre-set trading plan meeting the requirements of Rule 10b5-1(c) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

(3)

On February 6, 2013, we announced the approval of an additional share repurchase program for \$1.00 billion, to be completed by March 31, 2014.

Table of Contents**Item 6. Selected Financial Data****5-YEAR SUMMARY OF SELECTED FINANCIAL DATA**

| (\$ in millions, except per share data and ratios) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2010 | 2009 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|-----------|
| Consolidated Operating Results | | | | | |
| Insurance premiums and contract charges | \$ 29,970 | \$ 28,978 | \$ 28,180 | \$ 28,125 | \$ 28,152 |
| Net investment income | 3,943 | 4,010 | 3,971 | 4,102 | 4,444 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | 594 | 327 | 503 | (827) | (583) |
| Total revenues | 34,507 | 33,315 | 32,654 | 31,400 | 32,013 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 2,263 | 2,306 | 787 | 911 | 888 |
| Net income available to common shareholders per common share: | | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders per common share basic | 4.87 | 4.71 | 1.51 | 1.69 | 1.65 |
| Net income available to common shareholders per common share diluted | 4.81 | 4.68 | 1.50 | 1.68 | 1.64 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | 1.00 | 0.88 | 0.84 | 0.80 | 0.80 |
| Consolidated Financial Position | | | | | |
| Investments ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 81,155 | \$ 97,278 | \$ 95,618 | \$ 100,483 | \$ 99,833 |
| Total assets | 123,520 | 126,947 | 125,193 | 130,500 | 132,209 |
| Reserves for claims and claims expense, life-contingent contract benefits and contractholder funds ⁽¹⁾ | 58,547 | 75,502 | 77,113 | 81,113 | 84,659 |
| Long-term debt | 6,201 | 6,057 | 5,908 | 5,908 | 5,910 |
| Shareholders' equity | 21,480 | 20,580 | 18,298 | 18,617 | 16,184 |
| Shareholders' equity per diluted common share | 45.31 | 42.39 | 36.18 | 34.58 | 29.90 |
| Equity | 21,480 | 20,580 | 18,326 | 18,645 | 16,213 |
| Property-Liability Operations | | | | | |
| Premiums earned | \$ 27,618 | \$ 26,737 | \$ 25,942 | \$ 25,957 | \$ 26,194 |
| Net investment income | 1,375 | 1,326 | 1,201 | 1,189 | 1,328 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 2,754 | 1,968 | 403 | 1,053 | 1,546 |
| Operating ratios ⁽²⁾ | | | | | |
| Claims and claims expense ("loss") ratio | 64.9 | 69.1 | 77.7 | 73.0 | 71.6 |
| Expense ratio | 27.1 | 26.4 | 25.7 | 25.1 | 24.6 |
| Combined ratio | 92.0 | 95.5 | 103.4 | 98.1 | 96.2 |
| Allstate Financial Operations | | | | | |
| Premiums and contract charges | \$ 2,352 | \$ 2,241 | \$ 2,238 | \$ 2,168 | \$ 1,958 |
| Net investment income | 2,538 | 2,647 | 2,716 | 2,853 | 3,064 |
| Net income (loss) available to common shareholders | 95 | 541 | 590 | 42 | (452) |
| Investments | 39,105 | 56,999 | 57,373 | 61,582 | 62,216 |

(1)

As of December 31, 2013, \$11.98 billion of investments and \$12.84 billion of reserves for life-contingent contract benefits and contractholder funds are classified as held for sale relating to the pending sale of Lincoln Benefit Life Company (see Note 4 of the consolidated financial statements).

(2)

We use operating ratios to measure the profitability of our Property-Liability results. We believe that they enhance an investor's understanding of our profitability. They are calculated as follows: Claims and claims expense ("loss") ratio is the ratio of claims and claims expense to premiums earned. Loss ratios include the impact of catastrophe losses. Expense ratio is the ratio of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, operating costs and expenses and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. Combined ratio is the ratio of claims and claims expense, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs, operating costs and expenses and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. The difference between 100% and the combined ratio represents underwriting income (loss) as a percentage of premiums earned, or underwriting margin.

Table of Contents

Item 7. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations

| | Page |
|---|-------------|
| Overview | 29 |
| 2013 Highlights | 30 |
| Consolidated Net Income | 31 |
| Impact of Low Interest Rate Environment | 31 |
| Application of Critical Accounting Estimates | 33 |
| Property-Liability 2013 Highlights | 45 |
| Property-Liability Operations | 45 |
| Allstate Protection Segment | 47 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages Segment | 60 |
| Property-Liability Investment Results | 61 |
| Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves | 62 |
| Allstate Financial 2013 Highlights | 72 |
| Allstate Financial Segment | 73 |
| Investments 2013 Highlights | 83 |
| Investments | 84 |
| Market Risk | 93 |
| Pension Plans | 96 |
| Goodwill | 98 |
| Capital Resources and Liquidity 2013 Highlights | 99 |
| Capital Resources and Liquidity | 100 |
| Enterprise Risk and Return Management | 107 |
| Regulation and Legal Proceedings | 108 |
| Pending Accounting Standards | 108 |

Table of Contents

OVERVIEW

The following discussion highlights significant factors influencing the consolidated financial position and results of operations of The Allstate Corporation (referred to in this document as "we," "our," "us," the "Company" or "Allstate"). It should be read in conjunction with the 5-year summary of selected financial data, consolidated financial statements and related notes found under Part II, Item 6, and Item 8, contained herein. Further analysis of our insurance segments is provided in the Property-Liability Operations (which includes the Allstate Protection and the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments) and in the Allstate Financial Segment sections of Management's Discussion and Analysis ("MD&A"). The segments are consistent with the way in which we use financial information to evaluate business performance and to determine the allocation of resources. Resources are allocated by the chief operating decision maker and performance is assessed for Allstate Protection, Discontinued Lines and Coverages and Allstate Financial. Allstate Protection performance and resources are managed by a committee of senior officers of the segment.

Allstate is focused on the following priorities in 2014:

- grow insurance policies in force;
- maintain the underlying combined ratio;
- proactively manage investments to generate attractive risk adjusted returns;
- modernize the operating model; and
- build long-term growth platforms.

The most important factors we monitor to evaluate the financial condition and performance of our company include:

For Allstate Protection: premium, the number of policies in force ("PIF"), new business sales, retention, price changes, claim frequency (rate of claim occurrence per policy in force) and severity (average cost per claim), catastrophes, loss ratio, expenses, underwriting results, and relative competitive position.

For Allstate Financial: benefit and investment spread, asset-liability matching, amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC"), expenses, operating income, net income, new business sales, invested assets, and premiums and contract charges.

For Investments: exposure to market risk, credit quality/experience, total return, net investment income, cash flows, realized capital gains and losses, unrealized capital gains and losses, stability of long-term returns, and asset and liability duration.

For financial condition: liquidity, parent holding company level of deployable assets, financial strength ratings, operating leverage, debt leverage, book value per share, and return on equity.

Summary of Results:

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders was \$2.26 billion in 2013 compared to \$2.31 billion in 2012 and \$787 million in 2011. The decrease in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to higher net income available to common shareholders from Property-Liability and the curtailment gain reported in Corporate and Other being more than offset by the estimated loss on disposition related to the pending LBL sale recorded in Allstate Financial and the loss on extinguishment of debt and settlement charges reported in Corporate and Other. The increase in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to higher net income available to common shareholders from Property-Liability, partially offset by lower net income available to common shareholders from Allstate Financial. Net income available to common shareholders per diluted common share was \$4.81, \$4.68 and \$1.50 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$2.36 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.25 billion in 2012 and an underwriting loss of \$857 million in 2011. The increase in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to increases in underwriting income in homeowners, other personal lines and auto resulting from decreased catastrophe losses. The underwriting income in 2012 compared to the underwriting loss in 2011 was primarily due to underwriting income in homeowners and other personal

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

lines in 2012 compared to underwriting losses in 2011, partially offset by a decrease in auto underwriting income. The Allstate Protection combined ratio was 91.5, 95.3 and 103.3 in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Underwriting income (loss), a measure not based on accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"), is defined in the Property-Liability Operations section of the MD&A.

Allstate Financial net income available to common shareholders was \$95 million in 2013 compared to \$541 million in 2012 and \$590 million in 2011. The decrease in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to the estimated loss on disposition related to the pending LBL sale. The decrease in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to net realized capital losses in 2012 compared to net realized capital gains in 2011, lower net

Table of Contents

investment income and higher life and annuity contract benefits, partially offset by decreased interest credited to contractholder funds and lower amortization of DAC.

A number of capital management actions were completed in 2013, including refinancing long-term debt through a tender offer and new issuances to take advantage of low interest rates and the issuance of preferred stock that allows for more financial flexibility. Consolidated shareholders' equity increased to \$21.48 billion as of December 31, 2013 from \$20.58 billion as of December 31, 2012.

2013 HIGHLIGHTS

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders was \$2.26 billion in 2013 compared to \$2.31 billion in 2012. Net income available to common shareholders per diluted common share was \$4.81 in 2013 compared to \$4.68 in 2012.

Property-Liability net income available to common shareholders was \$2.75 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.97 billion in 2012.

The Property-Liability combined ratio was 92.0 in 2013 compared to 95.5 in 2012.

Allstate Financial net income available to common shareholders was \$95 million in 2013 compared to \$541 million in 2012.

On July 17, 2013, we entered into a definitive agreement with Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. to sell Lincoln Benefit Life Company ("LBL"), LBL's life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL's deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business for \$600 million subject to certain adjustments as of the closing date. The estimated loss on disposition of \$521 million, after-tax, was recorded in 2013.

Total revenues were \$34.51 billion in 2013 compared to \$33.32 billion in 2012.

Property-Liability premiums earned totaled \$27.62 billion in 2013, an increase of 3.3% from \$26.74 billion in 2012.

Investments totaled \$81.16 billion as of December 31, 2013, decreasing from \$97.28 billion as of December 31, 2012. Investments classified as held for sale totaled \$11.98 billion as of December 31, 2013. Net investment income was \$3.94 billion in 2013, a decrease of 1.7% from \$4.01 billion in 2012.

Net realized capital gains were \$594 million in 2013 compared to \$327 million in 2012.

Book value per diluted common share (ratio of common shareholders' equity to total common shares outstanding and dilutive potential common shares outstanding) was \$45.31 as of December 31, 2013, an increase of 6.9% from \$42.39 as of December 31, 2012.

For the twelve months ended December 31, 2013, return on the average of beginning and ending period common shareholders' equity was 11.0%, a decrease of 0.9 points from 11.9% for the twelve months ended December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2013, shareholders' equity was \$21.48 billion. This total included \$2.56 billion in deployable assets at the parent holding company level.

In July 2013, we announced changes to our employee pension and other postretirement benefit offerings. The remeasurement of pension and other postretirement benefit obligations related to the changes resulted in a \$658 million increase to accumulated other comprehensive income and a curtailment gain of \$118 million, after-tax. In addition, settlement losses of \$150 million, after-tax, were recognized due to the level of lump sum benefit payments made during 2013.

In 2013, we repurchased \$1.90 billion of long-term debt, issued \$2.30 billion of long-term debt and issued \$807.5 million of preferred stock. We recognized a loss on extinguishment of debt of \$319 million, after-tax, in 2013.

Table of Contents**CONSOLIDATED NET INCOME**

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Property-liability insurance premiums | \$ 27,618 | \$ 26,737 | \$ 25,942 |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges | 2,352 | 2,241 | 2,238 |
| Net investment income | 3,943 | 4,010 | 3,971 |
| Realized capital gains and losses: | | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses | (207) | (239) | (563) |
| Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income | (8) | 6 | (33) |
| | | | |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings | (215) | (233) | (596) |
| Sales and other realized capital gains and losses | 809 | 560 | 1,099 |
| | | | |
| Total realized capital gains and losses | 594 | 327 | 503 |
| | | | |
| Total revenues | 34,507 | 33,315 | 32,654 |
| Costs and expenses | | | |
| Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense | (17,911) | (18,484) | (20,161) |
| Life and annuity contract benefits | (1,917) | (1,818) | (1,761) |
| Interest credited to contractholder funds | (1,278) | (1,316) | (1,645) |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | (4,002) | (3,884) | (3,971) |
| Operating costs and expenses | (4,387) | (4,118) | (3,739) |
| Restructuring and related charges | (70) | (34) | (44) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | (491) | | |
| Interest expense | (367) | (373) | (367) |
| | | | |
| Total costs and expenses | (30,423) | (30,027) | (31,688) |
| | | | |
| (Loss) gain on disposition of operations | (688) | 18 | (7) |
| Income tax expense | (1,116) | (1,000) | (172) |
| | | | |
| Net income | 2,280 | 2,306 | 787 |
| | | | |
| Preferred stock dividends | (17) | | |
| | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,263 | \$ 2,306 | \$ 787 |
| | | | |
| Property-Liability | \$ 2,754 | \$ 1,968 | \$ 403 |
| Allstate Financial | 95 | 541 | 590 |
| Corporate and Other | (586) | (203) | (206) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ | 2,263 | \$ | 2,306 | \$ | 787 |
|---|----|-------|----|-------|----|-----|

IMPACT OF LOW INTEREST RATE ENVIRONMENT

Despite the increase in interest rates during 2013, our current reinvestment yields are generally lower than the overall portfolio income yield, primarily for our investments in fixed income securities and commercial mortgage loans. At the December 2013 meeting, the Federal Reserve Board announced its decision to reduce the amount of its purchases of both longer-term Treasury and agency mortgage-backed securities in the open market. The Federal Open Market Committee also reaffirmed its view that a highly accommodative stance of monetary policy will remain appropriate for a considerable time after the asset purchase program ends and the economic recovery strengthens and stated that it now anticipates that it likely will be appropriate to maintain the current target range for the federal funds rate well past the time that the unemployment rate declines below 6.5 percent, especially if projected inflation continues to run below the Committee's 2 percent longer-run goal. We anticipate that interest rates will continue to increase but remain below historic averages and the portfolio income yield for some period. We also expect capital markets to remain volatile.

Deferred annuity contracts with fixed and guaranteed crediting rates, or floors that limit crediting rate reductions, are adversely impacted by a prolonged low interest rate environment since we may not be able to reduce crediting rates sufficiently to maintain investment spreads. Financial results of long duration products that do not have stated crediting

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

rate guarantees but for which underlying assets may have to be reinvested at interest rates that are lower than portfolio rates, such as structured settlements and term life insurance, may also be adversely impacted.

The following table summarizes the weighted average guaranteed crediting rates and weighted average current crediting rates as of December 31, 2013 for certain fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts where management has the ability to change the crediting rate, subject to a contractual minimum. Other products, including equity-indexed, variable and immediate annuities, equity-indexed and variable life, and institutional products totaling \$6.39 billion of contractholder funds, have been excluded from the analysis because management does not have the ability to change the crediting rate or the minimum crediting rate is not considered meaningful in this context.

| (\$ in millions) | Weighted average guaranteed crediting rates | Weighted average current crediting rates | Contractholder funds |
|--|---|--|-------------------------|
| Annuities with annual crediting rate resets | 2.93% | 2.93% | \$ 6,653 |
| Annuities with multi-year rate guarantees ⁽¹⁾ : | | | |
| Resetable in next 12 months | 1.01 | 4.18 | 1,227 |
| Resetable after 12 months | 1.26 | 3.46 | 2,479 |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | 4.01 | 4.15 | 7,556 |

(1)

These contracts include interest rate guarantee periods which are typically 5 or 6 years.

Investing activity will continue to decrease our portfolio yield as long as market yields remain below the current portfolio yield. In the Allstate Financial segment, the portfolio yield has been less impacted by reinvestment in the current low interest rate environment, as much of the investment cash flows have been used to fund the managed reduction in spread-based liabilities. The declines in both invested assets and portfolio yield are expected to result in lower net investment income in future periods.

For the Allstate Financial Segment, we expect approximately 4.4% of the amortized cost of fixed income securities not subject to prepayment and approximately 7.8% of commercial mortgage loans to mature in 2014. Allstate Financial has \$25.77 billion of such fixed income securities and \$4.29 billion of such commercial mortgage loans as of December 31, 2013. Additionally, for asset-backed securities ("ABS"), residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS") and commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") that have the potential for prepayment and are therefore not categorized by contractual maturity, we received periodic principal payments of \$1.41 billion in 2013. To the extent portfolio cash flows are reinvested, the average pre-tax investment yield of 5.5% is expected to decline due to lower market yields. These amounts exclude assets classified as held for sale.

For the Property-Liability segment, we expect approximately 4.4% of the amortized cost of fixed income securities not subject to prepayment to mature in 2014. Property-Liability has \$25.33 billion of such assets as of December 31, 2013. Additionally, for ABS, RMBS and CMBS securities that have the potential for prepayment and are therefore not categorized by contractual maturity, we received periodic principal payments of \$528 million in 2013. We have been shortening the maturity profile of the fixed income securities in this segment to make the portfolio less sensitive to a future rise in interest rates. This approach to reducing interest rate risk results in realized capital gains, but will contribute to lower portfolio yields as sales proceeds are invested at lower market yields. The average pre-tax investment yield of 4.0% is expected to decline due to reinvesting at lower market yields.

In order to mitigate the unfavorable impact that the current interest rate environment has on investment results, we are:

Managing our exposure to interest rate risk by maintaining a shorter maturity profile in the Property-Liability portfolio.

Shifting the portfolio mix to have less reliance on investments whose returns come primarily from interest payments to investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance including equities and real estate.

Investing to the specific needs and characteristics of Allstate's businesses.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

We expect volatility in accumulated other comprehensive income resulting from changes in unrealized net capital gains and losses and unrecognized pension cost.

These topics are discussed in more detail in the respective sections of the MD&A.

Table of Contents

APPLICATION OF CRITICAL ACCOUNTING ESTIMATES

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to adopt accounting policies and make estimates and assumptions that affect amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements. The most critical estimates include those used in determining:

- Fair value of financial assets
- Impairment of fixed income and equity securities
- Deferred policy acquisition costs amortization
- Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense estimation
- Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits estimation

In making these determinations, management makes subjective and complex judgments that frequently require estimates about matters that are inherently uncertain. Many of these policies, estimates and related judgments are common in the insurance and financial services industries; others are specific to our businesses and operations. It is reasonably likely that changes in these estimates could occur from period to period and result in a material impact on our consolidated financial statements.

A brief summary of each of these critical accounting estimates follows. For a more detailed discussion of the effect of these estimates on our consolidated financial statements, and the judgments and assumptions related to these estimates, see the referenced sections of this document. For a complete summary of our significant accounting policies, see the notes to the consolidated financial statements.

Fair value of financial assets Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. We are responsible for the determination of fair value of financial assets and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. We use independent third-party valuation service providers, broker quotes and internal pricing methods to determine fair values. We obtain or calculate only one single quote or price for each financial instrument.

Valuation service providers typically obtain data about market transactions and other key valuation model inputs from multiple sources and, through the use of proprietary models, produce valuation information in the form of a single fair value for individual fixed income and other securities for which a fair value has been requested under the terms of our agreements. The inputs used by the valuation service providers include, but are not limited to, market prices from recently completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities, interest rate yield curves, credit spreads, liquidity spreads, currency rates, and other information, as applicable. Credit and liquidity spreads are typically implied from completed transactions and transactions of comparable securities. Valuation service providers also use proprietary discounted cash flow models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry and similar to those used by other market participants to value the same financial instruments. The valuation models take into account, among other things, market observable information as of the measurement date, as described above, as well as the specific attributes of the security being valued including its term, interest rate, credit rating, industry sector, and where applicable, collateral quality and other issue or issuer specific information. Executing valuation models effectively requires seasoned professional judgment and experience. For certain equity securities, valuation service providers provide market quotations for completed transactions on the measurement date. In cases where market transactions or other market observable data is limited, the extent to which judgment is applied varies inversely with the availability of market observable information.

For certain of our financial assets measured at fair value, where our valuation service providers cannot provide fair value determinations, we obtain a single non-binding price quote from a broker familiar with the security who, similar to our valuation service providers, may consider transactions or activity in similar securities among other information. The brokers providing price quotes are generally from the brokerage divisions of leading financial institutions with market making, underwriting and distribution expertise regarding the security subject to valuation.

The fair value of certain financial assets, including privately placed corporate fixed income securities, auction rate securities ("ARS") backed by student loans, equity-indexed notes, and certain free-standing derivatives, for which our valuation service providers or brokers do not provide fair value determinations, is determined using valuation methods and models widely accepted in the financial services industry. Our internal pricing methods are primarily based on models using discounted cash flow methodologies that develop a single best estimate of fair value. Our models generally incorporate inputs that we believe are representative of inputs other market participants would use to determine fair value of the same instruments, including yield curves, quoted market prices of comparable securities, published credit spreads, and other applicable market data as well as instrument-specific characteristics that include, but are not limited to, coupon rates, expected cash flows, sector of the issuer, and call provisions. Judgment is required

Table of Contents

in developing these fair values. As a result, the fair value of these financial assets may differ from the amount actually received to sell an asset in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. Moreover, the use of different valuation assumptions may have a material effect on the financial assets' fair values.

For most of our financial assets measured at fair value, all significant inputs are based on or corroborated by market observable data and significant management judgment does not affect the periodic determination of fair value. The determination of fair value using discounted cash flow models involves management judgment when significant model inputs are not based on or corroborated by market observable data. However, where market observable data is available, it takes precedence, and as a result, no range of reasonably likely inputs exists from which the basis of a sensitivity analysis could be constructed.

We gain assurance that our financial assets are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, our processes and controls are designed to ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a continuing basis, we assess the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. We perform procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, we may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. We perform ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, we validate them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

We also perform an analysis to determine whether there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity, and if so, whether transactions may not be orderly. Among the indicators we consider in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of market activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, level of credit spreads over historical levels, bid-ask spread, and price consensus among market participants and sources. If evidence indicates that prices are based on transactions that are not orderly, we place little, if any, weight on the transaction price and will estimate fair value using an internal model. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we did not alter fair values provided by our valuation service providers or brokers or substitute them with an internal model for such securities.

The following table identifies fixed income and equity securities and short-term investments, including those classified as held for sale, as of December 31, 2013 by source of fair value determination:

| (\$ in millions) | Fair value | Percent to total |
|---|---------------|---------------------|
| Fair value based on internal sources | \$ 5,830 | 7.4% |
| Fair value based on external sources ⁽¹⁾ | 72,897 | 92.6 |
| Total | \$ 78,727 | 100.0% |

(1)

Includes \$2.98 billion that are valued using broker quotes.

For additional detail on fair value measurements, see Note 7 of the consolidated financial statements.

Impairment of fixed income and equity securities For investments classified as available for sale, the difference between fair value and amortized cost for fixed income securities and cost for equity securities, net of certain other items and deferred income taxes (as disclosed in Note 6), is reported as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position and is not reflected in the operating results of any period until reclassified to net income upon the consummation of a transaction with an unrelated third

party or when a write-down is recorded due to an other-than-temporary decline in fair value. We have a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

Table of Contents

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, we assess whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not we will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If we have not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not we will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, we evaluate whether we expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. We use our best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage, geographic concentration, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if we determine that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If we determine that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, we may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.

There are a number of assumptions and estimates inherent in evaluating impairments of equity securities and determining if they are other than temporary, including: 1) our ability and intent to hold the investment for a period of time sufficient to allow for an anticipated recovery in value; 2) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than cost; 3) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; and 4) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity.

Once assumptions and estimates are made, any number of changes in facts and circumstances could cause us to subsequently determine that a fixed income or equity security is other-than-temporarily impaired, including: 1) general economic conditions that are worse than previously forecasted or that have a greater adverse effect on a particular issuer or industry sector than originally estimated; 2) changes in the facts and circumstances related to a particular issue or issuer's ability to meet all of its contractual obligations; and 3) changes in facts and circumstances that result in changes to management's intent to sell or result in our assessment that it is more likely than not we will be required to sell before recovery of the amortized cost basis of a fixed income security or causes a change in our ability or intent to hold an equity security until it recovers in value. Changes in assumptions, facts and circumstances could result in additional charges to earnings in future periods to the extent that losses are realized. The charge to earnings, while potentially significant to net income, would not have a significant effect on shareholders' equity, since our securities are designated as available for sale and carried at fair value and as a result, any related unrealized loss, net of deferred income taxes and related DAC, deferred sales inducement costs and reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, would already be reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity.

The determination of the amount of other-than-temporary impairment is an inherently subjective process based on periodic evaluations of the factors described above. Such evaluations and assessments are revised as conditions change and new information becomes available. We update our evaluations regularly and reflect changes in other-than-temporary impairments in results of operations as such evaluations are revised. The use of different methodologies and assumptions in the determination of the amount of other-than-temporary impairments may have a material effect on the amounts presented within the consolidated financial statements.

For additional detail on investment impairments, see Note 6 of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

Deferred policy acquisition costs amortization We incur significant costs in connection with acquiring insurance policies and investment contracts. In accordance with GAAP, costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance policies and investment contracts are deferred and recorded as an asset on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

DAC related to property-liability contracts is amortized into income as premiums are earned, typically over periods of six or twelve months. The amortization methodology for DAC related to Allstate Financial policies and contracts includes significant assumptions and estimates.

DAC related to traditional life insurance is amortized over the premium paying period of the related policies in proportion to the estimated revenues on such business. Significant assumptions relating to estimated premiums, investment returns, as well as mortality, persistency and expenses to administer the business are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not revised during the life of the policy. The assumptions for determining the timing and amount of DAC amortization are consistent with the assumptions used to calculate the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits. Any deviations from projected business in force resulting from actual policy terminations differing from expected levels and any estimated premium deficiencies may result in a change to the rate of amortization in the period such events occur. Generally, the amortization periods for these policies approximates the estimated lives of the policies. The recovery of DAC is dependent upon the future profitability of the business. We periodically review the adequacy of reserves and recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. We aggregate all traditional life insurance products and immediate annuities with life contingencies in the analysis. In the event actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance must be expensed to the extent not recoverable and a premium deficiency reserve may be required if the remaining DAC balance is insufficient to absorb the deficiency. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, our reviews concluded that no premium deficiency adjustments were necessary, primarily due to projected profit from traditional life insurance more than offsetting the projected losses in immediate annuities with life contingencies.

DAC related to interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts is amortized in proportion to the incidence of the total present value of gross profits, which includes both actual historical gross profits ("AGP") and estimated future gross profits ("EGP") expected to be earned over the estimated lives of the contracts. The amortization is net of interest on the prior period DAC balance using rates established at the inception of the contracts. Actual amortization periods generally range from 15-30 years; however, incorporating estimates of the rate of customer surrenders, partial withdrawals and deaths generally results in the majority of the DAC being amortized during the surrender charge period, which is typically 10-20 years for interest-sensitive life and 5-10 years for fixed annuities. The cumulative DAC amortization is reestimated and adjusted by a cumulative charge or credit to income when there is a difference between the incidence of actual versus expected gross profits in a reporting period or when there is a change in total EGP.

AGP and EGP primarily consist of the following components: contract charges for the cost of insurance less mortality costs and other benefits (benefit margin); investment income and realized capital gains and losses less interest credited (investment margin); and surrender and other contract charges less maintenance expenses (expense margin). The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges, and these assumptions are reasonably likely to have the greatest impact on the amount of DAC amortization. Changes in these assumptions can be offsetting and we are unable to reasonably predict their future movements or offsetting impacts over time.

Each reporting period, DAC amortization is recognized in proportion to AGP for that period adjusted for interest on the prior period DAC balance. This amortization process includes an assessment of AGP compared to EGP, the actual amount of business remaining in force and realized capital gains and losses on investments supporting the product liability. The impact of realized capital gains and losses on amortization of DAC depends upon which product liability is supported by the assets that give rise to the gain or loss. If the AGP is greater than EGP in the period, but the total EGP is unchanged, the amount of DAC amortization will generally increase, resulting in a current period decrease to earnings. The opposite result generally occurs when the AGP is less than the EGP in the period, but the total EGP is unchanged. However, when DAC amortization or a component of gross profits for a quarterly period is potentially negative (which would result in an increase of the DAC balance) as a result of negative AGP, the specific facts and circumstances surrounding the potential negative amortization are considered to determine whether it is appropriate for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Negative amortization is only recorded when the increased DAC balance is determined to be recoverable based on facts and circumstances. Negative amortization was not recorded for certain

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

fixed annuities during 2012 and 2011 periods in which capital losses were realized on their related investment portfolio. For products whose supporting investments are exposed to capital losses in excess of our expectations which may cause periodic AGP to become temporarily negative, EGP and AGP utilized in DAC amortization may be modified to exclude the excess capital losses.

Annually, we review and update all assumptions underlying the projections of EGP, including persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, comprising investment income and realized capital gains and losses, interest crediting rates and the effect of any hedges. At each reporting period, we assess whether any revisions to assumptions used to determine DAC amortization are required. These reviews and updates may result in amortization acceleration or deceleration, which are commonly referred to as "DAC unlocking". If the update of assumptions causes total EGP to increase, the rate of DAC amortization will generally decrease, resulting in a current period increase to earnings. A decrease to earnings generally occurs when the assumption update causes the total EGP to decrease.

The following table provides the effect on DAC amortization of changes in assumptions relating to the gross profit components of investment margin, benefit margin and expense margin during the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------|---------|-------|--------|
| Investment margin | \$ (17) | \$ 3 | \$ (3) |
| Benefit margin | 15 | 33 | (6) |
| Expense margin | 25 | (2) | 16 |
| | | | |
| Net acceleration | \$ 23 | \$ 34 | \$ 7 |

In 2013, DAC amortization deceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP primarily related to fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to increased projected investment margins. The acceleration related to benefit margin was primarily due to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to an increase in projected mortality. The acceleration related to expense margin related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to an increase in projected expenses. In 2012, DAC amortization acceleration for changes in the investment margin component of EGP primarily related to fixed annuities and was due to lower projected investment returns. The acceleration related to benefit margin was primarily due to increased projected mortality on variable life insurance, partially offset by increased projected persistency on interest-sensitive life insurance. The deceleration related to expense margin related to interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities and was due to a decrease in projected expenses. In 2011, DAC amortization deceleration related to changes in the investment margin component of EGP primarily related to equity-indexed annuities and was due to an increase in projected investment margins. The deceleration related to benefit margin was primarily due to increased projected persistency on interest-sensitive life insurance. The acceleration related to expense margin primarily related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was due to an increase in projected expenses.

The following table displays the sensitivity of reasonably likely changes in assumptions included in the gross profit components of investment margin or benefit margin to amortization of the DAC balance as of December 31, 2013.

| (\$ in millions) | Increase/(reduction) in DAC |
|--|-----------------------------|
| Increase in future investment margins of 25 basis points | \$ 77 |
| Decrease in future investment margins of 25 basis points | \$ (86) |
| | |
| Decrease in future life mortality by 1% | \$ 17 |
| Increase in future life mortality by 1% | \$ (18) |

Any potential changes in assumptions discussed above are measured without consideration of correlation among assumptions. Therefore, it would be inappropriate to add them together in an attempt to estimate overall variability in amortization.

For additional detail related to DAC, see the Allstate Financial Segment section of this document.

Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense estimation Reserves are established to provide for the estimated costs of paying claims and claims expenses under insurance policies we have issued. Property-Liability underwriting results are significantly influenced by estimates of property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves. These reserves are an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that have been incurred but not reported ("IBNR"), as of the financial statement date.

Table of Contents

Characteristics of reserves Reserves are established independently of business segment management for each business segment and line of business based on estimates of the ultimate cost to settle claims, less losses that have been paid. The significant lines of business are auto, homeowners, and other personal lines for Allstate Protection, and asbestos, environmental, and other discontinued lines for Discontinued Lines and Coverages. Allstate Protection's claims are typically reported promptly with relatively little reporting lag between the date of occurrence and the date the loss is reported. Auto and homeowners liability losses generally take an average of about two years to settle, while auto physical damage, homeowners property and other personal lines have an average settlement time of less than one year. Discontinued Lines and Coverages involve long-tail losses, such as those related to asbestos and environmental claims, which often involve substantial reporting lags and extended times to settle.

Reserves are the difference between the estimated ultimate cost of losses incurred and the amount of paid losses as of the reporting date. Reserves are estimated for both reported and unreported claims, and include estimates of all expenses associated with processing and settling all incurred claims. We update most of our reserve estimates quarterly and as new information becomes available or as events emerge that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates (reserve reestimates), which may be material, are determined by comparing updated estimates of ultimate losses to prior estimates, and the differences are recorded as property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period such changes are determined. Estimating the ultimate cost of claims and claims expenses is an inherently uncertain and complex process involving a high degree of judgment and is subject to the evaluation of numerous variables.

The actuarial methods used to develop reserve estimates Reserve estimates are derived by using several different actuarial estimation methods that are variations on one primary actuarial technique. The actuarial technique is known as a "chain ladder" estimation process in which historical loss patterns are applied to actual paid losses and reported losses (paid losses plus individual case reserves established by claim adjusters) for an accident year or a report year to create an estimate of how losses are likely to develop over time. An accident year refers to classifying claims based on the year in which the claims occurred. A report year refers to classifying claims based on the year in which the claims are reported. Both classifications are used to prepare estimates of required reserves for payments to be made in the future. The key assumptions affecting our reserve estimates comprise data elements including claim counts, paid losses, case reserves, and development factors calculated with this data.

In the chain ladder estimation technique, a ratio (development factor) is calculated which compares current period results to results in the prior period for each accident year. A three-year or two-year average development factor, based on historical results, is usually multiplied by the current period experience to estimate the development of losses of each accident year into the next time period. The development factors for the future time periods for each accident year are compounded over the remaining future periods to calculate an estimate of ultimate losses for each accident year. The implicit assumption of this technique is that an average of historical development factors is predictive of future loss development, as the significant size of our experience database achieves a high degree of statistical credibility in actuarial projections of this type. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process, the implicit assumption being that a multi-year average development factor includes an adequate provision. Occasionally, unusual aberrations in loss patterns are caused by external and internal factors such as changes in claim reporting, settlement patterns, unusually large losses, process changes, legal or regulatory changes, and other influences. In these instances, analyses of alternate development factor selections are performed to evaluate the effect of these factors and actuarial judgment is applied to make appropriate development factor assumptions needed to develop a best estimate of ultimate losses.

How reserve estimates are established and updated Reserve estimates are developed at a very detailed level, and the results of these numerous micro-level best estimates are aggregated to form a consolidated reserve estimate. For example, over one thousand actuarial estimates of the types described above are prepared each quarter to estimate losses for each line of insurance, major components of losses (such as coverages and perils), major states or groups of states and for reported losses and IBNR. The actuarial methods described above are used to analyze the settlement patterns of claims by determining the development factors for specific data elements that are necessary components of a reserve estimation process. Development factors are calculated quarterly and periodically throughout the year for data elements such as claim counts reported and settled, paid losses, and paid losses combined with case reserves. The calculation of development factors from changes in these data elements also impacts claim severity trends, which is a common industry reference used to explain changes in reserve estimates. The historical development patterns for these data elements are used as the assumptions to calculate reserve estimates.

Table of Contents

Often, several different estimates are prepared for each detailed component, incorporating alternative analyses of changing claim settlement patterns and other influences on losses, from which we select our best estimate for each component, occasionally incorporating additional analyses and actuarial judgment, as described above. These micro-level estimates are not based on a single set of assumptions. Actuarial judgments that may be applied to these components of certain micro-level estimates generally do not have a material impact on the consolidated level of reserves. Moreover, this detailed micro-level process does not permit or result in a compilation of a company-wide roll up to generate a range of needed loss reserves that would be meaningful. Based on our review of these estimates, our best estimate of required reserves for each state/line/coverage component is recorded for each accident year, and the required reserves for each component are summed to create the reserve balance carried on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

Reserves are reestimated quarterly and periodically throughout the year, by combining historical results with current actual results to calculate new development factors. This process incorporates the historic and latest actual trends, and other underlying changes in the data elements used to calculate reserve estimates. New development factors are likely to differ from previous development factors used in prior reserve estimates because actual results (claims reported or settled, losses paid, or changes to case reserves) occur differently than the implied assumptions contained in the previous development factor calculations. If claims reported, paid losses, or case reserve changes are greater or less than the levels estimated by previous development factors, reserve reestimates increase or decrease. When actual development of these data elements is different than the historical development pattern used in a prior period reserve estimate, a new reserve is determined. The difference between indicated reserves based on new reserve estimates and recorded reserves (the previous estimate) is the amount of reserve reestimate and is recognized as an increase or decrease in property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations. Total Property-Liability reserve reestimates, after-tax, as a percent of net income available to common shareholders were favorable 3.5%, 18.7% and 27.7% in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The 3-year average of reserve reestimates as a percentage of total reserves was a favorable 2.2% for Property-Liability, a favorable 2.9% for Allstate Protection and an unfavorable 4.2% for Discontinued Lines and Coverages, each of these results being consistent within a reasonable actuarial tolerance for our respective businesses. A more detailed discussion of reserve reestimates is presented in the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

The following table shows net claims and claims expense reserves by segment and line of business as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Allstate Protection | | | |
| Auto | \$ 11,616 | \$ 11,383 | \$ 11,404 |
| Homeowners | 1,821 | 2,008 | 2,439 |
| Other lines | 2,110 | 2,250 | 2,237 |
| | | | |
| Total Allstate Protection | 15,547 | 15,641 | 16,080 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | | | |
| Asbestos | 1,017 | 1,026 | 1,078 |
| Environmental | 208 | 193 | 185 |
| Other discontinued lines | 421 | 418 | 444 |
| | | | |
| Total Discontinued Lines and Coverages | 1,646 | 1,637 | 1,707 |
| | | | |
| Total Property-Liability | \$ 17,193 | \$ 17,278 | \$ 17,787 |

Allstate Protection reserve estimates

Factors affecting reserve estimates Reserve estimates are developed based on the processes and historical development trends described above. These estimates are considered in conjunction with known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including our experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. When we experience changes of the type previously mentioned, we may need to apply actuarial judgment in the determination and selection of development factors considered more reflective of the new trends, such as combining shorter or longer periods of historical results with current actual results to produce development factors based on two-year, three-year, or longer development periods to reestimate our reserves. For example, if a legal

change is expected to have a significant impact on the development of claim severity for a coverage which is part of a particular line of insurance in a specific state,

Table of Contents

actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors that will most accurately reflect the expected impact on that specific estimate. Another example would be when a change in economic conditions is expected to affect the cost of repairs to damaged autos or property for a particular line, coverage, or state, actuarial judgment is applied to determine appropriate development factors to use in the reserve estimate that will most accurately reflect the expected impacts on severity development.

As claims are reported, for certain liability claims of sufficient size and complexity, the field adjusting staff establishes case reserve estimates of ultimate cost, based on their assessment of facts and circumstances related to each individual claim. For other claims which occur in large volumes and settle in a relatively short time frame, it is not practical or efficient to set case reserves for each claim, and a statistical case reserve is set for these claims based on estimation techniques described above. In the normal course of business, we may also supplement our claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, and other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims.

Historically, the case reserves set by the field adjusting staff have not proven to be an entirely accurate estimate of the ultimate cost of claims. To provide for this, a development reserve is estimated using the processes described above, and allocated to pending claims as a supplement to case reserves. Typically, the case and supplemental development reserves comprise about 90% of total reserves.

Another major component of reserves is incurred but not reported ("IBNR"). Typically, IBNR comprises about 10% of total reserves.

Generally, the initial reserves for a new accident year are established based on severity assumptions for different business segments, lines and coverages based on historical relationships to relevant inflation indicators, and reserves for prior accident years are statistically determined using processes described above. Changes in auto current year claim severity are generally influenced by inflation in the medical and auto repair sectors of the economy. We mitigate these effects through various loss management programs. Injury claims are affected largely by medical cost inflation while physical damage claims are affected largely by auto repair cost inflation and used car prices. For auto physical damage coverages, we monitor our rate of increase in average cost per claim against a weighted average of the Maintenance and Repair price index and the Parts and Equipment price index. We believe our claim settlement initiatives, such as improvements to the claim review and settlement process, the use of special investigative units to detect fraud and handle suspect claims, litigation management and defense strategies, as well as various other loss management initiatives underway, contribute to the mitigation of injury and physical damage severity trends.

Changes in homeowners current year claim severity are generally influenced by inflation in the cost of building materials, the cost of construction and property repair services, the cost of replacing home furnishings and other contents, the types of claims that qualify for coverage, deductibles and other economic and environmental factors. We employ various loss management programs to mitigate the effect of these factors.

As loss experience for the current year develops for each type of loss, it is monitored relative to initial assumptions until it is judged to have sufficient statistical credibility. From that point in time and forward, reserves are reestimated using statistical actuarial processes to reflect the impact actual loss trends have on development factors incorporated into the actuarial estimation processes. Statistical credibility is usually achieved by the end of the first calendar year; however, when trends for the current accident year exceed initial assumptions sooner, they are usually determined to be credible, and reserves are increased accordingly.

The very detailed processes for developing reserve estimates, and the lack of a need and existence of a common set of assumptions or development factors, limits aggregate reserve level testing for variability of data elements. However, by applying standard actuarial methods to consolidated historic accident year loss data for major loss types, comprising auto injury losses, auto physical damage losses and homeowner losses, we develop variability analyses consistent with the way we develop reserves by measuring the potential variability of development factors, as described in the section titled "Potential Reserve Estimate Variability" below.

Causes of reserve estimate uncertainty Since reserves are estimates of unpaid portions of claims and claims expenses that have occurred, including IBNR losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophe losses, requires regular reevaluation and refinement of estimates to determine our ultimate loss estimate.

At each reporting date, the highest degree of uncertainty in estimates of losses arises from claims remaining to be settled for the current accident year and the most recent preceding accident year. The greatest degree of uncertainty exists in the current accident year because the current accident year contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled but must be estimated as of the current reporting date. Most of these losses relate to

Table of Contents

damaged property such as automobiles and homes, and medical care for injuries from accidents. During the first year after the end of an accident year, a large portion of the total losses for that accident year are settled. When accident year losses paid through the end of the first year following the initial accident year are incorporated into updated actuarial estimates, the trends inherent in the settlement of claims emerge more clearly. Consequently, this is the point in time at which we tend to make our largest reestimates of losses for an accident year. After the second year, the losses that we pay for an accident year typically relate to claims that are more difficult to settle, such as those involving serious injuries or litigation. Private passenger auto insurance provides a good illustration of the uncertainty of future loss estimates: our typical annual percentage payout of reserves for an accident year is approximately 45% in the first year after the end of the accident year, 20% in the second year, 15% in the third year, 10% in the fourth year, and the remaining 10% thereafter.

Reserves for catastrophe losses Property-Liability claims and claims expense reserves also include reserves for catastrophe losses. Catastrophe losses are an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance industry that have contributed, and will continue to contribute, to potentially material year-to-year fluctuations in our results of operations and financial position. We define a "catastrophe" as an event that produces pre-tax losses before reinsurance in excess of \$1 million and involves multiple first party policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, winter storms, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes. We are also exposed to man-made catastrophic events, such as certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be predicted.

The estimation of claims and claims expense reserves for catastrophe losses also comprises estimates of losses from reported claims and IBNR, primarily for damage to property. In general, our estimates for catastrophe reserves are based on claim adjuster inspections and the application of historical loss development factors as described above. However, depending on the nature of the catastrophe, as noted above, the estimation process can be further complicated. For example, for hurricanes, complications could include the inability of insureds to promptly report losses, limitations placed on claims adjusting staff affecting their ability to inspect losses, determining whether losses are covered by our homeowners policy (generally for damage caused by wind or wind driven rain) or specifically excluded coverage caused by flood, estimating additional living expenses, and assessing the impact of demand surge, exposure to mold damage, and the effects of numerous other considerations, including the timing of a catastrophe in relation to other events, such as at or near the end of a financial reporting period, which can affect the availability of information needed to estimate reserves for that reporting period. In these situations, we may need to adapt our practices to accommodate these circumstances in order to determine a best estimate of our losses from a catastrophe. As an example, in 2005 to complete an estimate for certain areas affected by Hurricane Katrina and not yet inspected by our claims adjusting staff, or where we believed our historical loss development factors were not predictive, we relied on analysis of actual claim notices received compared to total PIF, as well as visual, governmental and third party information, including aerial photos, area observations, and data on wind speed and flood depth to the extent available.

Potential reserve estimate variability The aggregation of numerous micro-level estimates for each business segment, line of insurance, major components of losses (such as coverages and perils), and major states or groups of states for reported losses and IBNR forms the reserve liability recorded in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. Because of this detailed approach to developing our reserve estimates, there is not a single set of assumptions that determine our reserve estimates at the consolidated level. Given the numerous micro-level estimates for reported losses and IBNR, management does not believe the processes that we follow will produce a statistically credible or reliable actuarial reserve range that would be meaningful. Reserve estimates, by their very nature, are very complex to determine and subject to significant judgment, and do not represent an exact determination for each outstanding claim. Accordingly, as actual claims, and/or paid losses, and/or case reserve results emerge, our estimate of the ultimate cost to settle will be different than previously estimated.

To develop a statistical indication of potential reserve variability within reasonably likely possible outcomes, an actuarial technique (stochastic modeling) is applied to the countrywide consolidated data elements for paid losses and paid losses combined with case reserves separately for injury losses, auto physical damage losses, and homeowners losses excluding catastrophe losses. Based on the combined historical variability of the development factors calculated for these data elements, an estimate of the standard error or standard deviation around these reserve estimates is calculated within each accident year for the last twenty years for each type of loss. The variability of these reserve estimates within one standard deviation of the mean (a measure of frequency of dispersion often viewed to be an acceptable level of accuracy) is believed by management to represent a reasonable and statistically probable measure of potential variability. Based on our products and coverages, historical experience, the statistical credibility of our

Table of Contents

extensive data and stochastic modeling of actuarial chain ladder methodologies used to develop reserve estimates, we estimate that the potential variability of our Allstate Protection reserves, excluding reserves for catastrophe losses, within a reasonable probability of other possible outcomes, may be approximately plus or minus 4%, or plus or minus \$500 million in net income available to common shareholders. A lower level of variability exists for auto injury losses, which comprise approximately 80% of reserves, due to their relatively stable development patterns over a longer duration of time required to settle claims. Other types of losses, such as auto physical damage, homeowners losses and other personal lines losses, which comprise about 20% of reserves, tend to have greater variability but are settled in a much shorter period of time. Although this evaluation reflects most reasonably likely outcomes, it is possible the final outcome may fall below or above these amounts. Historical variability of reserve estimates is reported in the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

Reserves for Michigan and New Jersey unlimited personal injury protection Property-Liability claims and claims expense reserves include reserves for Michigan unlimited personal injury protection ("PIP") which is a mandatory coverage that provides unlimited personal injury protection to covered insureds involved in certain auto and motorcycle accidents. The administration of this program is through a private, non-profit association created by the state of Michigan, the Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA"). Due to increasing costs of providing healthcare related to serious injuries and advances in medical care extending the duration of treatment, the estimation process and assumptions for this reserve balance have been enhanced.

We were able to substantiate an increase in MCCA covered losses by reviewing MCCA actuarial reports, other MCCA members' reports and our PIP loss trends which have increased in severity. To address this exposure, we refined our estimation techniques in 2011 through 2013, including relying more on paid loss development methods and increasing our view of future claim development and longevity of claimants, as a result of conducting comprehensive claim file reviews to develop case reserve estimates of specific claims and other estimation refinements.

We provide similar PIP coverage in New Jersey for auto policies issued or renewed in New Jersey prior to 1991 that is administered by the New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund ("NJUCJF"). In 2013, we adopted similar actuarial estimating techniques as for the MCCA exposures to estimate loss reserves for unlimited PIP coverage for policies covered by the NJUCJF. The NJUCJF was merged into the New Jersey Property Liability Guaranty Association who collects the assessments.

Reserve estimates by their nature are very complex to determine and subject to significant judgments, and do not represent an exact determination for each outstanding claim. As actual claims, paid losses and/or case reserve results emerge, our estimate of the ultimate cost to settle may be different than previously estimated.

Adequacy of reserve estimates We believe our net claims and claims expense reserves are appropriately established based on available methodology, facts, technology, laws and regulations. We calculate and record a single best reserve estimate, in conformance with generally accepted actuarial standards, for each line of insurance, its components (coverages and perils) and state, for reported losses and for IBNR losses, and as a result we believe that no other estimate is better than our recorded amount. Due to the uncertainties involved, the ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on our best estimates.

Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserve estimates

Characteristics of Discontinued Lines exposure Our exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims arises principally from assumed reinsurance coverage written during the 1960s through the mid-1980s, including reinsurance on primary insurance written on large U.S. companies, and from direct excess insurance written from 1972 through 1985, including substantial excess general liability coverages on large U.S. companies. Additional exposure stems from direct primary commercial insurance written during the 1960s through the mid-1980s. Asbestos claims relate primarily to bodily injuries asserted by people who were exposed to asbestos or products containing asbestos. Environmental claims relate primarily to pollution and related clean-up costs. Other discontinued lines exposures primarily relate to general liability and product liability mass tort claims, such as those for medical devices and other products, workers' compensation claims and claims for various other coverage exposures other than asbestos and environmental.

In 1986, the general liability policy form used by us and others in the property-liability industry was amended to introduce an "absolute pollution exclusion," which excluded coverage for environmental damage claims, and to add an asbestos exclusion. Most general liability policies issued prior to 1987 contain annual aggregate limits for product liability coverage. General liability policies issued in 1987 and thereafter contain annual aggregate limits for product liability coverage and annual aggregate limits for all coverages. Our experience to date is that these policy form changes have limited the extent of our exposure to environmental and asbestos claim risks.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Our exposure to liability for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines losses manifests differently depending on whether it arises from assumed reinsurance coverage, direct excess insurance or direct primary commercial insurance. The direct insurance coverage we provided that covered asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines was substantially "excess" in nature.

Direct excess insurance and reinsurance involve coverage written by us for specific layers of protection above retentions and other insurance plans. The nature of excess coverage and reinsurance provided to other insurers limits our exposure to loss to specific layers of protection in excess of policyholder retention on primary insurance plans. Our exposure is further limited by the significant reinsurance that we had purchased on our direct excess business.

Our assumed reinsurance business involved writing generally small participations in other insurers' reinsurance programs. The reinsured losses in which we participate may be a proportion of all eligible losses or eligible losses in excess of defined retentions. The majority of our assumed reinsurance exposure, approximately 85%, is for excess of loss coverage, while the remaining 15% is for pro-rata coverage.

Our direct primary commercial insurance business did not include coverage to large asbestos manufacturers. This business comprises a cross section of policyholders engaged in many diverse business sectors located throughout the country.

How reserve estimates are established and updated We conduct an annual review in the third quarter to evaluate and establish asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines reserves. Changes to reserves are recorded in the reporting period in which they are determined. Using established industry and actuarial best practices and assuming no change in the regulatory or economic environment, this detailed and comprehensive methodology determines asbestos reserves based on assessments of the characteristics of exposure (i.e. claim activity, potential liability, jurisdiction, products versus non-products exposure) presented by individual policyholders, and determines environmental reserves based on assessments of the characteristics of exposure (i.e. environmental damages, respective shares of liability of potentially responsible parties, appropriateness and cost of remediation) to pollution and related clean-up costs. The number and cost of these claims is affected by intense advertising by trial lawyers seeking asbestos plaintiffs, and entities with asbestos exposure seeking bankruptcy protection as a result of asbestos liabilities, initially causing a delay in the reporting of claims, often followed by an acceleration and an increase in claims and claims expenses as settlements occur.

After evaluating our insureds' probable liabilities for asbestos and/or environmental claims, we evaluate our insureds' coverage programs for such claims. We consider our insureds' total available insurance coverage, including the coverage we issued. We also consider relevant judicial interpretations of policy language and applicable coverage defenses or determinations, if any.

Evaluation of both the insureds' estimated liabilities and our exposure to the insureds depends heavily on an analysis of the relevant legal issues and litigation environment. This analysis is conducted by our specialized claims adjusting staff and legal counsel. Based on these evaluations, case reserves are established by claims adjusting staff and actuarial analysis is employed to develop an IBNR reserve, which includes estimated potential reserve development and claims that have occurred but have not been reported. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, IBNR was 55.4% and 57.8%, respectively, of combined net asbestos and environmental reserves.

For both asbestos and environmental reserves, we also evaluate our historical direct net loss and expense paid and incurred experience to assess any emerging trends, fluctuations or characteristics suggested by the aggregate paid and incurred activity.

Other Discontinued Lines and Coverages The following table shows reserves for other discontinued lines which provide for remaining loss and loss expense liabilities related to business no longer written by us, other than asbestos and environmental, as of December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--------------------------|------|-----|------|-----|------|-----|
| Other mass torts | \$ | 183 | \$ | 166 | \$ | 169 |
| Workers' compensation | | 105 | | 112 | | 117 |
| Commercial and other | | 133 | | 140 | | 158 |
| Other discontinued lines | \$ | 421 | \$ | 418 | \$ | 444 |

Other mass torts describes direct excess and reinsurance general liability coverage provided for cumulative injury losses other than asbestos and environmental. Workers' compensation and commercial and other include run-off from discontinued direct primary, direct excess and

reinsurance commercial insurance operations of various coverage

Table of Contents

exposures other than asbestos and environmental. Reserves are based on considerations similar to those described above, as they relate to the characteristics of specific individual coverage exposures.

Potential reserve estimate variability Establishing Discontinued Lines and Coverages net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is subject to uncertainties that are much greater than those presented by other types of claims. Among the complications are lack of historical data, long reporting delays, uncertainty as to the number and identity of insureds with potential exposure and unresolved legal issues regarding policy coverage; unresolved legal issues regarding the determination, availability and timing of exhaustion of policy limits; plaintiffs' evolving and expanding theories of liability; availability and collectability of recoveries from reinsurance; retrospectively determined premiums and other contractual agreements; estimates of the extent and timing of any contractual liability; the impact of bankruptcy protection sought by various asbestos producers and other asbestos defendants; and other uncertainties. There are also complex legal issues concerning the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are covered, or were ever intended to be covered, and could be recoverable through retrospectively determined premium, reinsurance or other contractual agreements. Courts have reached different and sometimes inconsistent conclusions as to when losses are deemed to have occurred and which policies provide coverage; what types of losses are covered; whether there is an insurer obligation to defend; how policy limits are determined; how policy exclusions and conditions are applied and interpreted; and whether clean-up costs represent insured property damage. Our reserves for asbestos and environmental exposures could be affected by tort reform, class action litigation, and other potential legislation and judicial decisions. Environmental exposures could also be affected by a change in the existing federal Superfund law and similar state statutes. There can be no assurance that any reform legislation will be enacted or that any such legislation will provide for a fair, effective and cost-efficient system for settlement of asbestos or environmental claims. We believe these issues are not likely to be resolved in the near future, and the ultimate costs may vary materially from the amounts currently recorded resulting in material changes in loss reserves. Historical variability of reserve estimates is demonstrated in the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

Adequacy of reserve estimates Management believes its net loss reserves for environmental, asbestos and other discontinued lines exposures are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws, regulations, and assessments of other pertinent factors and characteristics of exposure (i.e. claim activity, potential liability, jurisdiction, products versus non-products exposure) presented by individual policyholders, assuming no change in the legal, legislative or economic environment. Due to the uncertainties and factors described above, management believes it is not practicable to develop a meaningful range for any such additional net loss reserves that may be required.

Further discussion of reserve estimates For further discussion of these estimates and quantification of the impact of reserve estimates, reserve reestimates and assumptions, see Notes 9 and 15 to the consolidated financial statements and the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of this document.

Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits estimation Due to the long term nature of traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, benefits are payable over many years; accordingly, the reserves are calculated as the present value of future expected benefits to be paid, reduced by the present value of future expected net premiums. Long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, policy terminations and expenses are used when establishing the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under these insurance policies. These assumptions, which for traditional life insurance are applied using the net level premium method, include provisions for adverse deviation and generally vary by characteristics such as type of coverage, year of issue and policy duration. Future investment yield assumptions are determined based upon prevailing investment yields as well as estimated reinvestment yields. Mortality, morbidity and policy termination assumptions are based on our experience and industry experience. Expense assumptions include the estimated effects of inflation and expenses to be incurred beyond the premium-paying period. These assumptions are established at the time the policy is issued, are consistent with assumptions for determining DAC amortization for these policies, and are generally not changed during the policy coverage period. However, if actual experience emerges in a manner that is significantly adverse relative to the original assumptions, adjustments to DAC or reserves may be required resulting in a charge to earnings which could have a material effect on our operating results and financial condition. We periodically review the adequacy of reserves and recoverability of DAC for these policies on an aggregate basis using actual experience. In the event actual experience is significantly adverse compared to the original assumptions and a premium deficiency is determined to exist, any remaining unamortized DAC balance must be expensed to the extent not recoverable and the establishment of a premium deficiency reserve may be required. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, our reviews concluded that no premium deficiency adjustments were necessary, primarily due to projected profit from traditional life insurance more than offsetting the projected losses in immediate annuities with life contingencies. We will continue to monitor the experience of our traditional life insurance and immediate annuities. We

Table of Contents

anticipate that mortality, investment and reinvestment yields, and policy terminations are the factors that would be most likely to require premium deficiency adjustments to these reserves or related DAC.

For further detail on the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits, see Note 10 of the consolidated financial statements.

PROPERTY-LIABILITY 2013 HIGHLIGHTS

Property-Liability net income available to common shareholders was \$2.75 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.97 billion in 2012.

Property-Liability premiums written totaled \$28.16 billion in 2013, an increase of 4.2% from \$27.03 billion in 2012.

The Property-Liability loss ratio was 64.9 in 2013 compared to 69.1 in 2012.

Catastrophe losses were \$1.25 billion in 2013 compared to \$2.35 billion in 2012.

Property-Liability prior year reserve reestimates totaled \$121 million favorable in 2013 compared to \$665 million favorable in 2012.

Property-Liability underwriting income was \$2.22 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.20 billion in 2012. Underwriting income, a measure not based on GAAP, is defined below.

Property-Liability investments were \$39.64 billion as of December 31, 2013, an increase of 3.7% from \$38.22 billion as of December 31, 2012. Net investment income was \$1.38 billion in 2013, an increase of 3.7% from \$1.33 billion in 2012.

Net realized capital gains were \$519 million in 2013 compared to \$335 million in 2012.

PROPERTY-LIABILITY OPERATIONS

Overview Our Property-Liability operations consist of two reporting segments: Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages. Allstate Protection comprises three brands where we accept underwriting risk: Allstate, Encompass and Esurance. Allstate Protection is principally engaged in the sale of personal property and casualty insurance, primarily private passenger auto and homeowners insurance, to individuals in the United States and Canada. Discontinued Lines and Coverages includes results from insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. These segments are consistent with the groupings of financial information that management uses to evaluate performance and to determine the allocation of resources.

Underwriting income, a measure that is not based on GAAP and is reconciled to net income available to common shareholders below, is calculated as premiums earned, less claims and claims expense ("losses"), amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses and restructuring and related charges, as determined using GAAP. We use this measure in our evaluation of results of operations to analyze the profitability of the Property-Liability insurance operations separately from investment results. It is also an integral component of incentive compensation. It is useful for investors to evaluate the components of income separately and in the aggregate when reviewing performance. Net income available to common shareholders is the GAAP measure most directly comparable to underwriting income. Underwriting income should not be considered as a substitute for net income available to common shareholders and does not reflect the overall profitability of the business.

The table below includes GAAP operating ratios we use to measure our profitability. We believe that they enhance an investor's understanding of our profitability. They are calculated as follows:

Claims and claims expense ("loss") ratio the ratio of claims and claims expense to premiums earned. Loss ratios include the impact of catastrophe losses.

Expense ratio the ratio of amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.

Combined ratio the ratio of claims and claims expense, amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges to premiums earned. The combined ratio is the sum of the loss ratio and the expense ratio. The difference between 100% and the combined ratio represents underwriting income as a percentage of premiums earned, or underwriting margin.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

We have also calculated the following impacts of specific items on the GAAP operating ratios because of the volatility of these items between fiscal periods.

Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio the percentage of catastrophe losses included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses.

Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio the percentage of prior year reserve reestimates included in claims and claims expense to premiums earned. This ratio includes prior year reserve reestimates of catastrophe losses.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Effect of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined and expense ratio the percentage of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets to premiums earned.

Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio the percentage of restructuring and related charges to premiums earned.

Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio the ratio of claims and claims expense and operating costs and expenses in the Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment to Property-Liability premiums earned. The sum of the effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on the combined ratio and the Allstate Protection combined ratio is equal to the Property-Liability combined ratio.

Summarized financial data, a reconciliation of underwriting income to net income available to common shareholders, and GAAP operating ratios for our Property-Liability operations are presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions, except ratios) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Premiums written | \$ 28,164 | \$ 27,027 | \$ 25,980 |
| | | | |
| Revenues | | | |
| Premiums earned | \$ 27,618 | \$ 26,737 | \$ 25,942 |
| Net investment income | 1,375 | 1,326 | 1,201 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | 519 | 335 | 85 |
| | | | |
| Total revenues | 29,512 | 28,398 | 27,228 |
| | | | |
| Costs and expenses | | | |
| Claims and claims expense | (17,911) | (18,484) | (20,161) |
| Amortization of DAC | (3,674) | (3,483) | (3,477) |
| Operating costs and expenses | (3,752) | (3,536) | (3,143) |
| Restructuring and related charges | (63) | (34) | (43) |
| | | | |
| Total costs and expenses | (25,400) | (25,537) | (26,824) |
| | | | |
| Loss on disposition of operations | (1) | | |
| Income tax expense | (1,357) | (893) | (1) |
| | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,754 | \$ 1,968 | \$ 403 |
| | | | |
| Underwriting income (loss) | \$ 2,218 | \$ 1,200 | \$ (882) |
| Net investment income | 1,375 | 1,326 | 1,201 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on operations | (1,177) | (779) | 30 |
| Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | 339 | 221 | 54 |
| Loss on disposition of operations, after-tax | (1) | | |
| | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,754 | \$ 1,968 | \$ 403 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|-------|----|-------|----|-------|
| Catastrophe losses ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | 1,251 | \$ | 2,345 | \$ | 3,815 |
| GAAP operating ratios | | | | | | |
| Claims and claims expense ratio | | 64.9 | | 69.1 | | 77.7 |
| Expense ratio | | 27.1 | | 26.4 | | 25.7 |
| Combined ratio | | 92.0 | | 95.5 | | 103.4 |
| Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio ⁽¹⁾ | | 4.5 | | 8.8 | | 14.7 |
| Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio ⁽¹⁾ | | (0.4) | | (2.5) | | (1.3) |
| Effect of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio | | 0.3 | | 0.5 | | 0.2 |
| Effect of restructuring and related charges on combined ratio | | 0.2 | | 0.1 | | 0.2 |
| Effect of Discontinued Lines and Coverages on combined ratio | | 0.5 | | 0.2 | | 0.1 |

(1) Prior year reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$88 million favorable in 2013, \$410 million favorable in 2012 and \$130 million favorable in 2011.

Table of Contents

ALLSTATE PROTECTION SEGMENT

Overview and strategy The Allstate Protection segment primarily sells private passenger auto and homeowners insurance to individuals through Allstate exclusive agencies supported by contact centers and the internet under the Allstate brand. We sell auto and homeowners insurance through independent agencies under both the Allstate brand and the Encompass brand. We also sell auto insurance direct to consumers online, through contact centers and through select agents, including Answer Financial, under the Esurance brand.

Our strategy is to position our products and distribution systems to meet the changing needs of the customer in managing the risks they face. This includes customers who want advice and assistance and those who are self-directed. In addition, there are customers who are brand-sensitive and those who are brand-neutral. Our strategy is to serve all four of these consumer segments with unique products and in unique and innovative ways while leveraging our claims, pricing and operational capabilities. When we do not offer a product our customers need, we may make available non-proprietary products that meet their needs.

Allstate is executing a multi-year effort to focus on the customer experience. We utilize specific customer value propositions for each brand to improve our competitive position and performance. Over time, delivering on these customer value propositions may include investments in resources and require significant changes to our products, capabilities and processes.

Our strategy for the Allstate brand focuses on customers who prefer local personal advice and service and are brand-sensitive. Our customer-focused strategy for the Allstate brand aligns targeted marketing, product innovation, distribution effectiveness, and pricing toward acquiring and retaining an increased share of our target customers, which generally refers to consumers who want to purchase multiple products from one insurance provider including auto, homeowners and financial products, who have better retention and potentially present more favorable prospects for profitability over the course of their relationships with us.

The Allstate brand utilizes marketing delivered to target customers to promote our strategic priorities, with messaging that continues to communicate ease of doing business with Allstate and Allstate agencies, good value, as well as the importance of having proper coverage by highlighting our comprehensive product and coverage options.

The Allstate brand differentiates itself from competitors by offering a comprehensive range of innovative product options and features through a network of agencies that provide local advice and service. Product features include Allstate Your Choice Auto® with options such as accident forgiveness, safe driving deductible rewards and a safe driving bonus, and Allstate House and Home® that provides options of coverage for roof damage including graduated coverage and pricing based on roof type and age. In addition, we offer a Claim Satisfaction Guaranteesm that promises a return of premium to Allstate brand auto insurance customers dissatisfied with their claims experience. Our Drivewise® program enables participating customers to be eligible for discounts and bonuses based on driving performance and is currently available in 30 states. We will continue to focus on developing and introducing products and services that benefit today's consumers and further differentiate Allstate and enhance the customer experience. We will deepen customer relationships through value-added customer interactions and expanding our presence in households with multiple products by providing financial protection for customer needs. In certain areas with higher risk of catastrophes, we offer a homeowners product from North Light Specialty Insurance Company ("North Light"), our excess and surplus lines carrier. When an Allstate product is not available, we may make available non-proprietary products for customers through brokering arrangements. For example, in hurricane exposed areas, Allstate agencies sell non-proprietary property insurance products to customers who prefer to use a single agent for all their insurance needs.

We are undergoing a focused effort to enhance our capabilities by implementing uniform processes and standards to elevate the level and consistency of our customer experience. We continue to enhance technology to improve customer service, facilitate the introduction of new products and services and reduce infrastructure costs related to supporting agencies and handling claims. These actions and others are designed to optimize the effectiveness of our distribution and service channels by increasing the productivity of the Allstate brand's exclusive agencies. Beginning February 2013, Allstate brand customers are immediately assigned an Allstate agency relationship at the time of purchase. The majority of Allstate brand customers who purchased their policies directly through contact centers and the internet prior to February 2013 were assigned an Allstate exclusive agency relationship in the second quarter of 2013.

Other personal lines sold under the Allstate brand include renter, condominium, landlord, boat, umbrella and manufactured home insurance policies. Commercial lines include commercial products for small business owners. Other business lines include Allstate Roadside Services that provides roadside assistance products, Allstate Dealer

Table of Contents

Services that provides service contracts and other products sold in conjunction with auto lending and vehicle sales transactions, and Ivantage insurance agency.

Our strategy for the Encompass brand centers around a highly differentiated offering which simplifies the insurance experience by packaging a product with broader coverage and higher limits into a single annual household ("Package") policy with one premium, one bill, one policy deductible and one renewal date. It appeals to consumers with broad personal lines coverage needs who prefer an independent agent. As part of its Package policy strategy, Encompass is focused on engaging independent agencies through superior claims service, ease of doing business initiatives, product innovation, greater compensation alignment, and by de-emphasizing mono-line auto and homeowners products.

Our strategy for the Esurance brand focuses on self-directed and web-savvy consumers. To best serve these customers, Esurance develops its technology, website and mobile capabilities to continuously improve its hassle-free purchase and claims experience and offer innovative product options and features. Esurance's DriveSense™ program enables participating customers to be eligible for discounts based on driving performance as measured by a device installed temporarily in the vehicle. Esurance's DriveSafe™ program is designed to help parents coach teens on safe driving by providing customizable driving statistics and the ability to limit cell phone use while the car is in motion, all controlled by a device installed in the vehicle. Esurance continues to develop additional products to complement its auto line of business and provide a more comprehensive solution to its customers. Esurance expanded its renter product from 5 to 16 states, expanded auto from 35 to 41 states, introduced its motorcycle product in 6 states and introduced its homeowners product in 3 states during 2013. Esurance continues to focus on increasing its preferred driver mix, while raising advertising investment and marketing effectiveness to support growth.

Answer Financial, an independent personal lines insurance agency, serves self-directed, brand-neutral consumers who want a choice between insurance carriers and offers comparison quotes for auto and homeowners insurance from approximately 25 insurance companies through its website and over the phone. It receives fee income for this service.

Our pricing and underwriting strategies and decisions for all of our brands are primarily designed to achieve appropriate returns along with enhancing our competitive position. Our sophisticated pricing uses a number of risk evaluation factors including insurance scoring, to the extent permissible by applicable law, based on information that is obtained from credit reports, and other factors. A pricing strategy involves marketplace pricing and underwriting decisions that are based on these risk evaluation models and an evaluation of competitors. Our sophisticated pricing methodology allows us to attract and retain multiple risk segments. A combination of underwriting information, pricing and discounts are used to achieve a more competitive position.

We continue to manage our property catastrophe exposure with the goal of providing shareholders an acceptable return on the risks assumed in our property business and to reduce the variability of our earnings. Our property business includes personal homeowners, commercial property and other property insurance lines. As of December 31, 2013, we have less than a 1% likelihood of exceeding average annual aggregate catastrophe losses by \$2 billion, net of reinsurance, from hurricanes and earthquakes, based on modeled assumptions and applications currently available. The use of different assumptions and updates to industry models, and updates to our risk transfer program, could materially change the projected loss. Our growth strategies include areas previously restricted where we believe we can earn an appropriate return for the risk and as a result our exposure may increase, but remain lower than \$2 billion as noted above. In addition, we have exposure to severe weather events which impact catastrophe losses.

Property catastrophe exposure management includes purchasing reinsurance to provide coverage for known exposure to hurricanes, earthquakes, wildfires, fires following earthquakes and other catastrophes. We are also working for changes in the regulatory environment, including recognizing the need for better catastrophe preparedness, improving appropriate risk-based pricing and promoting the creation of government sponsored, privately funded solutions for mega-catastrophes that will make insurance more available and affordable.

Pricing of property products is typically intended to establish returns that we deem acceptable over a long-term period. Losses, including losses from catastrophic events and weather-related losses (such as wind, hail, lightning and freeze losses not meeting our criteria to be declared a catastrophe), are accrued on an occurrence basis within the policy period. Therefore, in any reporting period, loss experience from catastrophic events and weather-related losses may contribute to negative or positive underwriting performance relative to the expectations we incorporated into the products' pricing. We pursue rate increases where indicated, taking into consideration potential customer disruption, the impact on our ability to market our auto lines, regulatory limitations, our competitive position and profitability, using a methodology that appropriately addresses the changing costs of losses from catastrophes such as severe weather and the net cost of reinsurance.

Table of Contents**Allstate Protection outlook**

Allstate Protection will continue to focus on its strategy of offering differentiated products and services to our customers while maintaining pricing discipline.

We expect that volatility in the level of catastrophes we experience will contribute to variation in our underwriting results; however, this volatility will be mitigated due to our catastrophe management actions, including the purchase of reinsurance.

We will continue to improve the efficiencies of our operations and cost structure.

We will invest in building long-term growth platforms.

Premiums written is the amount of premiums charged for policies issued during a fiscal period. Premiums are considered earned and are included in the financial results on a pro-rata basis over the policy period. The portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired terms of the policies is recorded as unearned premiums on our Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.

The following table shows the unearned premium balance as of December 31 and the timeframe in which we expect to recognize these premiums as earned.

| (\$ in millions) | | | % earned after | | | |
|--|------------------|------------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | Three months | Six months | Nine months | Twelve months |
| Allstate brand: | | | | | | |
| Auto | \$ 4,533 | \$ 4,388 | 70.9% | 96.3% | 99.1% | 100.0% |
| Homeowners | 3,496 | 3,396 | 43.4% | 75.5% | 94.2% | 100.0% |
| Other personal lines ⁽¹⁾ | 819 | 808 | 43.5% | 75.5% | 94.1% | 100.0% |
| Commercial lines | 236 | 226 | 43.7% | 75.0% | 93.9% | 100.0% |
| Other business lines ⁽²⁾ | 468 | 336 | 21.7% | 36.5% | 48.5% | 57.9% |
| Total Allstate brand | 9,552 | 9,154 | 55.5% | 83.6% | 94.3% | 97.9% |
| Encompass brand: | | | | | | |
| Auto | 335 | 321 | 43.5% | 75.3% | 94.0% | 100.0% |
| Homeowners | 253 | 222 | 43.4% | 75.4% | 94.1% | 100.0% |
| Other personal lines | 54 | 50 | 43.8% | 75.6% | 94.1% | 100.0% |
| Total Encompass brand | 642 | 593 | 43.4% | 75.3% | 94.1% | 100.0% |
| Esurance brand: | | | | | | |
| Auto | 328 | 265 | 73.8% | 98.6% | 99.7% | 100.0% |
| Other personal lines | 1 | | 43.5% | 75.5% | 94.2% | 100.0% |
| Total Esurance brand | 329 | 265 | 73.7% | 98.5% | 99.6% | 100.0% |
| Allstate Protection unearned premiums | \$ 10,523 | \$ 10,012 | 54.8% | 83.0% | 94.3% | 98.1% |

-
- (1) Other personal lines include renter, condominium, landlord and other personal lines.
 - (2) Other business lines include Allstate Roadside Services, Allstate Dealer Services and other business lines.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

A reconciliation of premiums written to premiums earned is shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|------|--------|------|--------|------|--------|
| Premiums written: | | | | | | |
| Allstate Protection | \$ | 28,164 | \$ | 27,026 | \$ | 25,981 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | | | | 1 | | (1) |
| Property-Liability premiums written | | 28,164 | | 27,027 | | 25,980 |
| Increase in unearned premiums | | (572) | | (322) | | (33) |
| Other | | 26 | | 32 | | (5) |
| Property-Liability premiums earned | \$ | 27,618 | \$ | 26,737 | \$ | 25,942 |
| Premiums earned: | | | | | | |
| Allstate Protection | \$ | 27,618 | \$ | 26,737 | \$ | 25,942 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | | | | | | |
| Property-Liability | \$ | 27,618 | \$ | 26,737 | \$ | 25,942 |

Premiums written by brand are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Allstate brand | | | Encompass brand | | | Esurance brand | | | Allstate Protection | | |
|-------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|---------|---------|----------------|---------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 (1) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Auto | \$16,752 | \$16,398 | \$16,478 | \$ 641 | \$ 618 | \$ 605 | \$1,308 | \$1,024 | \$181 | \$18,701 | \$18,040 | \$17,264 |
| Homeowners | 6,289 | 6,060 | 5,893 | 461 | 398 | 362 | | | | 6,750 | 6,458 | 6,255 |
| Other personal lines | 1,539 | 1,515 | 1,484 | 104 | 97 | 90 | 2 | | | 1,645 | 1,612 | 1,574 |
| Subtotal Personal lines | 24,580 | 23,973 | 23,855 | 1,206 | 1,113 | 1,057 | 1,310 | 1,024 | 181 | 27,096 | 26,110 | 25,093 |
| Commercial lines | 466 | 454 | 472 | | | | | | | 466 | 454 | 472 |
| Other business lines | 602 | 462 | 416 | | | | | | | 602 | 462 | 416 |
| Total | \$25,648 | \$24,889 | \$24,743 | \$1,206 | \$1,113 | \$1,057 | \$1,310 | \$1,024 | \$181 | \$28,164 | \$27,026 | \$25,981 |

(1)

Represents period from October 7, 2011 to December 31, 2011.

Premiums earned by brand are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Allstate brand | | | Encompass brand | | | Esurance brand | | | Allstate Protection | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Auto | \$ 16,578 | \$ 16,352 | \$ 16,476 | \$ 626 | \$ 609 | \$ 622 | \$ 1,245 | \$ 967 | \$ 201 | \$ 18,449 | \$ 17,928 | \$ 17,299 |
| Homeowners | 6,183 | 5,980 | 5,835 | 430 | 379 | 365 | | | | 6,613 | 6,359 | 6,200 |
| Other personal lines | 1,527 | 1,501 | 1,475 | 100 | 93 | 91 | 2 | | | 1,629 | 1,594 | 1,566 |
| Subtotal | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Personal lines | 24,288 | 23,833 | 23,786 | 1,156 | 1,081 | 1,078 | 1,247 | 967 | 201 | 26,691 | 25,881 | 25,065 |
| Commercial lines | 456 | 462 | 495 | | | | | | | 456 | 462 | 495 |
| Other business lines | 471 | 394 | 382 | | | | | | | 471 | 394 | 382 |
| Total | \$25,215 | \$24,689 | \$24,663 | \$1,156 | \$1,081 | \$1,078 | \$1,247 | \$967 | \$201 | \$27,618 | \$26,737 | \$25,942 |

Premium measures and statistics that are used to analyze the business are calculated and described below.

PIF: Policy counts are based on items rather than customers. A multi-car customer would generate multiple item (policy) counts, even if all cars were insured under one policy.

Average premium-gross written ("average premium"): Gross premiums written divided by issued item count. Gross premiums written include the impacts from discounts, surcharges and ceded reinsurance premiums and exclude the impacts from mid-term premium adjustments and premium refund accruals. Average premiums represent the appropriate policy term for each line. Allstate and Esurance brands are 6 months for auto and 12 months for homeowners. Encompass brand is 12 months for auto and homeowners.

Renewal ratio: Renewal policies issued during the period, based on contract effective dates, divided by the total policies issued 6 months prior for auto (12 months prior for Encompass brand) or 12 months prior for homeowners.

New issued applications: Item counts of automobiles or homeowners insurance applications for insurance policies that were issued during the period, regardless of whether the customer was previously insured by another Allstate Protection brand. Does not include automobiles that are added by existing customers.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Auto premiums written totaled \$18.70 billion in 2013, a 3.7% increase from \$18.04 billion in 2012, following a 4.5% increase in 2012 from \$17.26 billion in 2011.

| | Allstate brand | | | Encompass brand | | | Esurance brand | | |
|--|----------------|--------|--------------------|-----------------|--------|--------|----------------|--------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| PIF (thousands) | 19,362 | 19,084 | 19,328 | 774 | 731 | 695 | 1,286 | 1,029 | 786 |
| Average premium ⁽¹⁾ \$ | 468 | \$ 458 | \$ 452 | \$ 880 | \$ 890 | \$ 914 | \$ 485 | \$ 493 | N/A |
| Renewal ratio (%) | 88.6 | 87.9 | 87.9 | 78.7 | 75.8 | 69.5 | 80.7 | 80.5 | 78.5 |
| Approved rate changes ⁽²⁾ : | | | | | | | | | |
| # of states | 39 | 42 | 35 | 29 | 31 | 19 | 31 | 29 | N/A |
| Countrywide (%) ⁽³⁾ | 1.9 | 3.0 | 4.7 | 5.9 | 4.1 | 3.5 | 4.8 | 4.4 | N/A |
| State specific (%) ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁵⁾ | 3.2 | 5.0 | 8.3 ⁽⁶⁾ | 7.0 | 5.2 | 6.1 | 6.5 | 5.6 | N/A |

(1) Policy term is six months for Allstate and Esurance brands and twelve months for Encompass brand.

(2) Rate changes that are indicated based on loss trend analysis to achieve a targeted return will continue to be pursued. Rate changes do not include rating plan enhancements, including the introduction of discounts and surcharges that result in no change in the overall rate level in the state. These rate changes do not reflect initial rates filed for insurance subsidiaries initially writing business in a state. Rate changes for Allstate brand exclude Canada and specialty auto.

(3) Represents the impact in the states where rate changes were approved during the period as a percentage of total countrywide prior year-end premiums written.

(4) Represents the impact in the states where rate changes were approved during the period as a percentage of its respective total prior year-end premiums written in those states.

(5) Based on historical premiums written in those states, rate changes approved for auto totaled \$379 million, \$539 million and \$780 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

(6) 2011 includes the impact of Florida rate increases averaging 18.6% and New York rate increases averaging 11.2% taken across multiple companies.

N/A reflects not available.

Allstate brand auto premiums written totaled \$16.75 billion in 2013, a 2.2% increase from \$16.40 billion in 2012. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

1.5% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012.

12.5% increase in new issued applications to 2,749 thousand in 2013 from 2,443 thousand in 2012.

2.2% increase in average premium in 2013 compared to 2012.

0.7 point increase in the renewal ratio in 2013 compared to 2012.

In 2013, the Ontario government gave the Financial Services Commission of Ontario the authority to implement an average reduction of premium rates by 15%. Regulator approval of the rate filings remains pending and is not expected to be finalized until early 2014. The rate

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

reductions are expected to be effective for new business in the second half of 2014 and renewal contracts in late 2014. They are estimated to reduce premiums written by approximately \$45 million and premiums earned by approximately \$15 million in 2014.

Allstate brand auto premiums written totaled \$16.40 billion in 2012, a 0.5% decrease from \$16.48 billion in 2011. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

1.3% decrease in PIF as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 due to fewer new issued applications and fewer policies available to renew.

3.6% decrease in new issued applications to 2,443 thousand in 2012 from 2,534 thousand in 2011.

1.3% increase in average premium in 2012 compared to 2011.

the renewal ratio in 2012 was comparable to 2011.

Encompass brand auto premiums written totaled \$641 million in 2013, a 3.7% increase from \$618 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to a 5.9% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 and actions taken to enhance the Package policy. New issued applications increased 9.2% to 155 thousand in 2013 from 142 thousand in 2012. The renewal ratio increased 2.9 points in 2013 compared to 2012. Encompass discontinued writing new auto business in Florida in September 2012 and non-renewals began in February 2013.

Encompass brand auto premiums written totaled \$618 million in 2012, a 2.1% increase from \$605 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to a 5.2% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 and actions taken to enhance the Package policy. New issued applications increased 25.7% to 142 thousand in 2012 from 113 thousand in 2011 primarily due to increases in efforts to improve agency engagement. The renewal ratio increased 6.3 points in 2012 compared to 2011 driven primarily by retaining more Package business as a result of our household-focused strategy.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Esurance brand auto premiums written totaled \$1.31 billion in 2013, a 27.7% increase from \$1.02 billion in 2012. The increase was primarily due to a 25.0% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012. New issued applications increased 23.5% to 747 thousand in 2013 from 605 thousand in 2012. Growth in new issued applications was driven by increased advertising, which resulted in an increase in quotes. Our conversion rate was comparable to the prior year. The renewal ratio increased 0.2 points in 2013 compared to 2012.

Esurance brand auto premiums written totaled \$1.02 billion in 2012. Esurance brand auto premiums written totaled \$181 million in 2011 for the period from the October 7, 2011 acquisition date to December 31, 2011. PIF increased 30.9% as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011.

Homeowners premiums written totaled \$6.75 billion in 2013, a 4.5% increase from \$6.46 billion in 2012, following a 3.2% increase in 2012 from \$6.26 billion in 2011. Excluding the cost of catastrophe reinsurance, premiums written increased 3.4% in 2013 compared to 2012. For a more detailed discussion on reinsurance, see the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of the MD&A and Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements.

| | Allstate brand | | | Encompass brand | | |
|---|----------------|----------|-------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| PIF (thousands) | 6,077 | 6,213 | 6,588 | 356 | 327 | 306 |
| Average premium (12 months) | \$ 1,115 | \$ 1,074 | \$ 991 | \$ 1,374 | \$ 1,311 | \$ 1,297 |
| Renewal ratio (%) (12 months) | 87.7 | 87.4 | 88.4 | 86.6 | 83.3 | 79.8 |
| Approved rate changes ⁽¹⁾ : | | | | | | |
| # of states | 41 | 42 | 41 ⁽³⁾ | 31 | 33 ⁽³⁾ | 27 ⁽³⁾ |
| Countrywide (%) | 3.6 | 6.3 | 8.6 | 7.4 | 6.0 | 3.1 |
| State specific (%) ⁽²⁾ | 5.2 | 8.6 | 11.0 | 8.2 | 6.4 | 4.1 |

- (1) Includes rate changes approved based on our net cost of reinsurance. Rate changes for Allstate brand exclude Canada.
- (2) Based on historical premiums written in those states, rate changes approved for homeowners totaled \$254 million, \$412 million and \$533 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.
- (3) Includes Washington D.C.

Allstate brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$6.29 billion in 2013, a 3.8% increase from \$6.06 billion in 2012. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

2.2% decrease in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 due to fewer policies available to renew.

31.6% increase in new issued applications to 625 thousand in 2013 from 475 thousand in 2012.

3.8% increase in average premium in 2013 compared to 2012 primarily due to rate changes.

0.3 point increase in the renewal ratio in 2013 compared to 2012.

\$56 million decrease in the cost of our catastrophe reinsurance program to \$425 million in 2013 from \$481 million in 2012.

For Allstate brand homeowners, we continue to address rate adequacy and improve underwriting and claim effectiveness. Our primary focus continues to be on improving returns in our homeowners business which is progressing as expected. The rate of PIF decline continues to moderate due to several factors including:

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Selectively entering areas previously closed to new business where we believe we will earn an appropriate return for the risk.

Continued rollout of our Allstate House and Home product which provides options of coverage for roof damage including graduated coverage and pricing based on roof type and age. Allstate House and Home accounted for 75% of Allstate brand homeowners new issued applications in 2013. House and Home has been rolled out in 27 states, making it available to approximately 60% of the U.S. population as of December 31, 2013. States with House and Home show new business growth greater than the countrywide average.

A decreased need for profitability improvement actions which has helped retention return to more normal levels.

In states with severe weather and risk, North Light and non-proprietary products will remain a critical component to our overall homeowners strategy to profitably grow and serve our customers.

Table of Contents

Allstate brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$6.06 billion in 2012, a 2.8% increase from \$5.89 billion in 2011. Factors impacting premiums written were the following:

5.7% decrease in PIF as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 due to fewer policies available to renew and fewer new issued applications.

2.5% decrease in new issued applications to 475 thousand in 2012 from 487 thousand in 2011 due to new business underwriting restrictions in certain states.

8.4% increase in average premium in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to rate changes.

1.0 point decrease in the renewal ratio in 2012 compared to 2011.

\$14 million decrease in the cost of our catastrophe reinsurance program to \$481 million in 2012 from \$495 million in 2011.

Encompass brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$461 million in 2013, a 15.8% increase from \$398 million in 2012. The increase was primarily due to an 8.9% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 and actions taken to enhance the Package policy. New issued applications increased 12.9% to 79 thousand in 2013 from 70 thousand in 2012. The renewal ratio increased 3.3 points in 2013 compared to 2012.

Encompass brand homeowners premiums written totaled \$398 million in 2012, a 9.9% increase from \$362 million in 2011. The increase was primarily due to a 6.9% increase in PIF as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 and actions taken to enhance the Package policy. New issued applications increased 40.0% to 70 thousand in 2012 from 50 thousand in 2011. The renewal ratio increased 3.5 points in 2012 compared to 2011 driven primarily by retaining more package business.

Other personal lines Allstate brand other personal lines premiums written totaled \$1.54 billion in 2013, a 1.6% increase from \$1.52 billion in 2012, following a 2.1% increase in 2012 from \$1.48 billion in 2011. The increase in 2013 primarily relates to renter and condominium insurance.

Commercial lines premiums written totaled \$466 million in 2013, a 2.6% increase from \$454 million in 2012, following a 3.8% decrease in 2012 from \$472 million in 2011.

Other business lines premiums written totaled \$602 million in 2013, a 30.3% increase from \$462 million in 2012, following an 11.1% increase in 2012 from \$416 million in 2011. The increase in 2013 is primarily due to increased sales of vehicle service contracts at Allstate Dealer Services and new and expanded partnerships where Allstate Roadside Services provides roadside assistance to the partners' customer base.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Underwriting results are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|-------------|----------|
| Premiums written | \$ | 28,164 | \$ | 27,026 | \$ | 25,981 |
| Premiums earned | \$ | 27,618 | \$ | 26,737 | \$ | 25,942 |
| Claims and claims expense | | (17,769) | | (18,433) | | (20,140) |
| Amortization of DAC | | (3,674) | | (3,483) | | (3,477) |
| Other costs and expenses | | (3,751) | | (3,534) | | (3,139) |
| Restructuring and related charges | | (63) | | (34) | | (43) |
| Underwriting income (loss) | \$ | 2,361 | \$ | 1,253 | \$ | (857) |
| Catastrophe losses | \$ | 1,251 | \$ | 2,345 | \$ | 3,815 |
| Underwriting income (loss) by line of business | | | | | | |
| Auto | \$ | 668 | \$ | 469 | \$ | 663 |
| Homeowners | | 1,422 | | 690 | | (1,331) |
| Other personal lines | | 198 | | (10) | | (188) |
| Commercial lines | | 41 | | 51 | | (14) |
| Other business lines | | 51 | | 77 | | 20 |
| Answer Financial | | (19) | | (24) | | (7) |
| Underwriting income (loss) | \$ | 2,361 | \$ | 1,253 | \$ | (857) |
| Underwriting income (loss) by brand | | | | | | |
| Allstate brand | \$ | 2,551 | \$ | 1,539 | \$ | (660) |
| Encompass brand | | 47 | | (70) | | (146) |
| Esurance brand | | (218) | | (192) | | (44) |
| Answer Financial | | (19) | | (24) | | (7) |
| Underwriting income (loss) | \$ | 2,361 | \$ | 1,253 | \$ | (857) |

Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$2.36 billion in 2013 compared to \$1.25 billion in 2012, primarily due to increases in underwriting income in homeowners and auto, and underwriting income in other personal lines in 2013 compared to an underwriting loss in 2012. Homeowners underwriting income was \$1.42 billion in 2013 compared to \$690 million in 2012, primarily due to decreased catastrophe

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

losses, decreased loss costs excluding catastrophe losses and increased premiums earned, partially offset by lower favorable reserve reestimates and higher expenses. Other personal lines underwriting income was \$198 million in 2013 compared to an underwriting loss of \$10 million in 2012, primarily due to decreased catastrophe losses, decreased loss costs excluding catastrophe losses, increased premiums earned and lower unfavorable reserve reestimates, partially offset by higher expenses. Auto underwriting income was \$668 million in 2013 compared to \$469 million in 2012, primarily due to increased premiums earned and decreased catastrophe losses including favorable Sandy reserve reestimates, partially offset by higher incurred losses excluding catastrophe losses, higher expenses and lower favorable reserve reestimates.

Allstate Protection had underwriting income of \$1.25 billion in 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$857 million in 2011, primarily due to underwriting income in homeowners in 2012 compared to an underwriting loss in 2011 and a decrease in underwriting loss in other personal lines in 2012 compared to 2011, partially offset by a decrease in auto underwriting income. Homeowners underwriting income was \$690 million in the 2012 compared to an underwriting loss of \$1.33 billion in 2011, primarily due to decreases in catastrophe losses and average earned premiums increasing faster than loss costs, partially offset by higher expenses. Other personal lines underwriting loss was \$10 million in 2012 compared to \$188 million in 2011, primarily due to decreased catastrophe losses and lower unfavorable reserve reestimates. Auto underwriting income decreased \$194 million to \$469 million in 2012 from \$663 million in 2011 primarily due to the inclusion of a full year of Esurance brand's underwriting losses in 2012 and increases in catastrophe losses.

Catastrophe losses were \$1.25 billion in 2013 compared to \$2.35 billion in 2012 and \$3.82 billion in 2011.

We define a "catastrophe" as an event that produces pre-tax losses before reinsurance in excess of \$1 million and involves multiple first party policyholders, or an event that produces a number of claims in excess of a preset, per-event threshold of average claims in a specific area, occurring within a certain amount of time following the event. Catastrophes are caused by various natural events including high winds, winter storms, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes. We are also exposed to man-made catastrophic events, such as

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

certain types of terrorism or industrial accidents. The nature and level of catastrophes in any period cannot be reliably predicted.

Catastrophe losses by the size of event are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Number of events | 2013 | | Combined ratio impact | Average catastrophe loss per event |
|---------------------------------|------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|------------------------------------|
| | | Claims and claims expense | | | |
| Size of catastrophe loss | | | | | |
| \$101 million to \$250 million | 2 | 2.6% | \$ 297 | 23.7% | 1.1 \$ 149 |
| \$50 million to \$100 million | 5 | 6.6 | 386 | 30.9 | 1.4 77 |
| Less than \$50 million | 69 | 90.8 | 656 | 52.4 | 2.3 10 |
| Total | 76 | 100.0% | 1,339 | 107.0 | 4.8 18 |
| Prior year reserve reestimates | | | (88) ⁽¹⁾ | (7.0) | (0.3) |
| Total catastrophe losses | | | \$ 1,251 | 100.0% | 4.5 |

(1)

Reserve reestimates related to Sandy in 2013 totaled \$42 million favorable, including \$52 million favorable for auto, \$29 million unfavorable for homeowners and \$19 million favorable for other personal lines.

Catastrophe losses by the type of event are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|------------------|-----------|
| | Number of events | | Number of events | | Number of events | |
| Hurricanes/Tropical storms | \$ 14 | 1 | \$ 1,200 | 3 | \$ 619 | 3 |
| Tornadoes | 169 | 3 | 297 | 5 | 1,234 | 7 |
| Wind/Hail | 1,089 | 64 | 1,198 | 64 | 1,775 | 68 |
| Wildfires | 41 | 5 | 53 | 11 | 67 | 9 |
| Other events | 26 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 250 | 4 |
| Prior year reserve reestimates | (88) | | (410) | | (130) | |
| Total catastrophe losses | \$ 1,251 | 76 | \$ 2,345 | 84 | \$ 3,815 | 91 |

Catastrophe losses, including prior year reserve reestimates, excluding hurricanes named or numbered by the National Weather Service, fires following earthquakes and earthquakes totaled \$1.35 billion, \$1.32 billion and \$3.30 billion in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

Combined ratio Loss ratios by product, and expense and combined ratios by brand, are shown in the following table.

| | Ratio (1) | | | Effect of catastrophe losses on combined ratio | | | Effect of prior year reserve reestimates on combined ratio | | | Effect of business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets on combined ratio | | |
|---|-----------|-------|-------|--|------|------|--|--------|-------|---|------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Allstate brand loss ratio: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Auto | 68.5 | 70.3 | 70.2 | 1.0 | 3.8 | 2.5 | (1.2) | (2.1) | (2.4) | | | |
| Homeowners | 53.4 | 64.1 | 98.0 | 15.6 | 23.2 | 50.0 | | (5.2) | (1.2) | | | |
| Other personal lines | 58.6 | 72.3 | 84.5 | 3.5 | 12.3 | 19.1 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 7.2 | | | |
| Commercial lines | 60.7 | 60.4 | 74.7 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 7.7 | (7.9) | (10.4) | (0.8) | | | |
| Total Allstate brand loss ratio | 63.6 | 68.3 | 77.3 | 4.7 | 8.9 | 14.8 | (0.9) | (2.7) | (1.5) | | | |
| Allstate brand expense ratio | 26.3 | 25.5 | 25.4 | | | | | | | | | |
| Allstate brand combined ratio | 89.9 | 93.8 | 102.7 | 4.7 | 8.9 | 14.8 | (0.9) | (2.7) | (1.5) | | | |
| Encompass brand loss ratio: | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Auto | 73.5 | 78.5 | 82.0 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 1.8 | (4.8) | (3.9) | 2.3 | | | |
| Homeowners | 56.3 | 76.5 | 88.5 | 12.6 | 28.8 | 39.7 | (1.2) | (3.2) | 0.3 | | | |
| Other personal lines | 54.0 | 67.7 | 83.5 | 4.0 | 5.4 | 9.9 | (8.0) | (9.7) | | | | |
| Total Encompass brand loss ratio | 65.4 | 76.9 | 84.3 | 5.2 | 12.6 | 15.3 | (3.7) | (4.2) | 1.4 | | | |
| Encompass brand expense ratio | 30.5 | 29.6 | 29.2 | | | | | | | | | |
| Encompass brand combined ratio | 95.9 | 106.5 | 113.5 | 5.2 | 12.6 | 15.3 | (3.7) | (4.2) | 1.4 | | | |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--------------|--------------|--------------|------------|------------|-------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-------------|-------------|------------|--|
| Esurance brand loss ratio: | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Auto | 78.5 | 77.2 | 78.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | | | | | | | | |
| Other personal lines | 50.0 | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Esurance brand loss ratio | 78.5 | 77.2 | 78.1 | 0.9 | 1.6 | | | | | | | | |
| Esurance brand expense ratio | 39.0 | 42.7 | 43.8 | | | | | | 4.9 | 10.1 | 20.9 | | |
| Esurance brand combined ratio | 117.5 | 119.9 | 121.9 | 0.9 | 1.6 | | | | 4.9 | 10.1 | 20.9 | | |
| Allstate Protection loss ratio | 64.4 | 68.9 | 77.6 | 4.5 | 8.8 | 14.7 | (1.0) | (2.7) | (1.4) | | | | |
| Allstate Protection expense ratio | 27.1 | 26.4 | 25.7 | | | | | | | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | |
| Allstate Protection combined ratio | 91.5 | 95.3 | 103.3 | 4.5 | 8.8 | 14.7 | (1.0) | (2.7) | (1.4) | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 | |

(1)

Ratios are calculated using the premiums earned for the respective line of business.

Auto loss ratio for the Allstate brand decreased 1.8 points in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses, partially offset by lower favorable reserve reestimates. Auto loss ratio for the Allstate brand increased 0.1 points in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to higher catastrophe losses and lower favorable reserve reestimates.

Claim frequencies in the bodily injury and property damage coverages decreased 1.1% and increased 0.3% in 2013, respectively, compared to 2012. Frequencies in both coverages continue to perform within the historical ranges. Bodily injury and property damage coverage paid claim severities increased 3.8% and 1.8% in 2013, respectively, compared to 2012. Bodily injury severity in 2013 is showing only moderate growth compared to the historical Consumer Price Index ("CPI") trends after adjusting for geographic mix, age of claims and policy limit shifts. Claim frequencies in the bodily injury and property damage coverages decreased 1.7% and 2.6% in 2012, respectively, compared to 2011. Bodily injury and property damage coverage paid claim severities increased 3.6% and 3.0% in 2012, respectively, compared to 2011. In 2012, severity increased in line with historical CPI trends.

Encompass brand auto loss ratio decreased 5.0 points in 2013 compared to 2012, due to lower catastrophe losses, a higher mix of preferred insureds and higher favorable reserve reestimates. Encompass is focused on profitability management actions which include changing its geographic footprint and increasing rates in certain geographies. Encompass brand auto loss ratio decreased 3.5 points in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to favorable reserve reestimates, partially offset by higher catastrophe losses.

Esurance brand auto loss ratio increased 1.3 points in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to increases in the volume of new business, increased utilization of price discounts and higher unallocated loss adjustment expense,

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

partially offset by lower catastrophe losses. Esurance is focused on profitability management actions, including raising rates and tightening underwriting practices. These actions have contributed to deceleration in the rate of growth in the second half of 2013 compared to the first half of 2013. They could also lead to lower growth in new issued applications and a decrease in the conversion rate. Esurance brand auto loss ratio decreased 0.9 points in 2012 compared to 2011. In 2012, Esurance implemented a number of profitability management actions, including rate increases in 23 out of 30 states, and underwriting actions in Florida and Michigan.

Homeowners loss ratio for the Allstate brand decreased 10.7 points to 53.4 in 2013 from 64.1 in 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses, decreased loss costs excluding catastrophe losses and increased premiums earned. Claim frequency excluding catastrophe losses decreased 0.3% in 2013 compared to 2012. Paid claim severity excluding catastrophe losses decreased 0.2% in 2013 compared to 2012. Homeowners loss ratio for the Allstate brand decreased 33.9 points to 64.1 in 2012 from 98.0 in 2011 primarily due to lower catastrophe losses and average earned premiums increasing faster than loss costs. Claim frequency excluding catastrophe losses decreased 8.8% in 2012 compared to 2011. Paid claim severity excluding catastrophe losses increased 3.0% in 2012 compared to 2011.

Encompass brand homeowners loss ratio decreased 20.2 points in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower catastrophe losses. Excluding the impact of catastrophe losses, the Encompass brand homeowners loss ratio decreased 4.0 points in 2013 compared to 2012. Encompass brand homeowners loss ratio decreased 12.0 points in 2012 compared to 2011 primarily due to lower catastrophe losses and favorable reserve reestimates.

Expense ratio for Allstate Protection increased 0.7 points in 2013 compared to 2012. The impact of specific costs and expenses on the expense ratio are shown in the following table.

| | Allstate brand | | | Encompass brand | | | Esurance brand | | | Allstate Protection | | |
|---|----------------|-------------|-------------|-----------------|-------------|-------------|----------------|-------------|-------------|---------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Amortization of DAC | 13.6 | 13.2 | 13.3 | 18.3 | 17.5 | 17.4 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 13.3 | 12.9 | 13.3 |
| Advertising expenses | 2.8 | 2.7 | 3.0 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 14.8 | 15.4 | 10.9 | 3.2 | 3.1 | 2.9 |
| Business combination expenses and amortization of purchased intangible assets | | | | | | | 4.9 | 10.1 | 20.9 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.2 |
| Other costs and expenses | 9.7 | 9.5 | 8.9 | 11.5 | 11.6 | 11.7 | 16.6 | 14.7 | 11.5 | 10.1 | 9.8 | 9.1 |
| Restructuring and related charges | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | | | | | | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |
| Total expense ratio | 26.3 | 25.5 | 25.4 | 30.5 | 29.6 | 29.2 | 39.0 | 42.7 | 43.8 | 27.1 | 26.4 | 25.7 |

Amortization of DAC primarily includes agent remuneration and premium taxes. Allstate exclusive agent remuneration comprises a base commission, variable compensation and a bonus. Agent commissions and bonus were higher in 2013 compared to 2012. Variable compensation has two components: agency success factors (local presence, Allstate Financial product sales and licensed staff), which must be achieved in order to qualify for the second component, customer experience (customer satisfaction survey). In addition, a bonus that is a percentage of premiums can be earned by agents. To qualify for the bonus, agents must achieve a loss ratio and an amount of sales of Allstate Financial products. The bonus is earned by increases in multi-line households and increases in Property-Liability policies in force and Allstate Financial policies in force.

The Encompass brand DAC amortization is higher on average than Allstate brand DAC amortization due to higher commission rates paid to independent agencies. Since Esurance uses a direct distribution model, its primary acquisition-related costs are advertising as opposed to commissions for the Allstate and Encompass brands. Advertising costs are not capitalized as DAC while commission costs are capitalized as DAC. As a result, the Esurance expense and combined ratios will be higher during periods of growth since the expenses will be recognized prior to the premium earned. Based on our analysis, Esurance's acquisition costs, primarily advertising, are in line with other distribution channels when considering the cumulative earned premiums of policies sold. The Esurance brand expense ratio is higher than Allstate and Encompass brands due to business combination expenses and amortization of purchased intangible assets. Purchased intangible assets are amortized on an accelerated basis with over 80% of the amortization taking place by 2016.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Other costs and expenses increased in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to higher technology related costs and increased expenses at Esurance. Esurance has been developing additional products to complement its auto line of business and provide a more comprehensive solution to its customers. In addition, Esurance is investing in geographic expansion of its products. Esurance expanded its renters product from 5 to 16 states, expanded auto from 35 to 41

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

states, introduced its motorcycle product in 6 states, and introduced its homeowners product in 3 states during 2013. These expansion initiatives, some of which commenced in the prior year, contributed approximately 2 points to the expense ratio and are expected to continue in 2014. Other costs and expenses for Esurance also includes certain costs relating to the acquisition of new business, such as salaries of telephone sales personnel and other underwriting costs, which increased in 2013 in connection with the growth in new business.

Restructuring and related charges were \$63 million in 2013, primarily related to the technology organization, which is changing its organizational structure by leveraging centralization, global sourcing and automation to meet contemporary business needs; the closure of a contact center; and claim office consolidation.

We have taken actions to reduce our future cost structure, including changes to our employee pension and other postretirement benefit offerings announced in July 2013 and the restructuring items noted above. We expect the Allstate Protection expense ratio will decline over time.

DAC We establish a DAC asset for costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal insurance policies, principally agents' remuneration and premium taxes. For the Allstate Protection business, DAC is amortized to income over the period in which premiums are earned. The DAC balance as of December 31 by brand and product type are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Allstate brand | | Encompass brand | | Esurance brand | | Allstate Protection | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--------------|----------------|-------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Auto | \$ 582 | \$ 531 | \$ 62 | \$ 54 | \$ 8 | \$ 7 | \$ 652 | \$ 592 |
| Homeowners | 484 | 436 | 42 | 36 | | | 526 | 472 |
| Other personal lines | 108 | 97 | 9 | 7 | | | 117 | 104 |
| Commercial lines | 31 | 29 | | | | | 31 | 29 |
| Other business lines | 299 | 199 | | | | | 299 | 199 |
| Total DAC | \$ 1,504 | \$ 1,292 | \$ 113 | \$ 97 | \$ 8 | \$ 7 | \$ 1,625 | \$ 1,396 |

Catastrophe management

Historical catastrophe experience For the last ten years, the average annual impact of catastrophes on our Property-Liability loss ratio was 9.5 points. The average annual impact of catastrophes on the homeowners loss ratio for the last ten years was 33.6 points.

Over time, we have limited our aggregate insurance exposure to catastrophe losses in certain regions of the country that are subject to high levels of natural catastrophes. Limitations include our participation in various state facilities, such as the California Earthquake Authority ("CEA"), which provides insurance for California earthquake losses; the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ("FHCF"), which provides reimbursements to participating insurers for certain qualifying Florida hurricane losses; and other state facilities, such as wind pools. However, the impact of these actions may be diminished by the growth in insured values, and the effect of state insurance laws and regulations. In addition, in various states we are required to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations that provide insurance coverage to individuals or entities that otherwise are unable to purchase such coverage from private insurers. Because of our participation in these and other state facilities such as wind pools, we may be exposed to losses that surpass the capitalization of these facilities and to assessments from these facilities.

We have continued to take actions to maintain an appropriate level of exposure to catastrophic events while continuing to meet the needs of our customers, including the following:

Continuing to not offer new homeowners business in certain coastal states.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Increased capacity in our brokerage platform for customers not offered a renewal.

North Light expanded to 2 new states in 2013, bringing the total number of active states to 33.

In Texas we have been ceding wind exposure related to insured property located in wind pool eligible areas along the coast including the Galveston Islands.

We ceased writing new homeowners business in California in 2007. We continue to renew current policyholders.

We ceased writing new homeowners business in Florida in 2011 beyond a modest stance for existing customers who replace their currently-insured home with an acceptable property. The Encompass companies operating in Florida withdrew from the property lines in 2009.

Tropical cyclone deductibles are in place for a large portion of coastal insured properties though contract language varies across states and companies, allowing for these higher deductibles to be triggered differently across our customer base.

Table of Contents

We have additional catastrophe exposure, beyond the property lines, for auto customers who have purchased physical damage coverage. Auto physical damage coverage generally includes coverage for flood-related loss. We manage this additional exposure through inclusion of auto losses in our nationwide reinsurance program (which excludes New Jersey and Florida). New Jersey auto losses are included in our New Jersey reinsurance program commencing in 2013.

Hurricanes

We consider the greatest areas of potential catastrophe losses due to hurricanes generally to be major metropolitan centers in counties along the eastern and gulf coasts of the United States. Usually, the average premium on a property policy near these coasts is greater than in other areas. However, average premiums are often not considered commensurate with the inherent risk of loss. In addition and as explained in Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements, in various states Allstate is subject to assessments from assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations providing insurance for wind related property losses.

We have addressed our risk of hurricane loss by, among other actions, purchasing reinsurance for specific states and on a countrywide basis for our personal lines property insurance in areas most exposed to hurricanes, limiting personal homeowners new business writings in coastal areas in southern and eastern states, implementing tropical cyclone deductibles where appropriate, and not offering continuing coverage on certain policies in coastal counties in certain states. We continue to seek appropriate returns for the risks we write. This may require further actions, similar to those already taken, in geographies where we are not getting appropriate returns. However, we may maintain or opportunistically increase our presence in areas where we achieve adequate returns and do not materially increase our hurricane risk.

Earthquakes

Actions taken to reduce our exposure from earthquake coverage are substantially complete. These actions included purchasing reinsurance on a countrywide basis and in the state of Kentucky, no longer offering new optional earthquake coverage in most states, removing optional earthquake coverage upon renewal in most states, and entering into arrangements in many states to make earthquake coverage available through other insurers for new and renewal business.

We expect to retain approximately 30,000 PIF with earthquake coverage due to regulatory and other reasons. We also will continue to have exposure to earthquake risk on certain policies that do not specifically exclude coverage for earthquake losses, including our auto policies, and to fires following earthquakes. Allstate policyholders in the state of California are offered coverage through the CEA, a privately-financed, publicly-managed state agency created to provide insurance coverage for earthquake damage. Allstate is subject to assessments from the CEA under certain circumstances as explained in Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements.

Fires Following Earthquakes

Actions taken related to our risk of loss from fires following earthquakes include changing homeowners underwriting requirements in California, purchasing reinsurance for Kentucky personal lines property risks, and purchasing nationwide occurrence reinsurance, excluding Florida and New Jersey.

Wildfires

Actions we are taking to reduce our risk of loss from wildfires include changing homeowners underwriting requirements in certain states and purchasing nationwide occurrence reinsurance.

Reinsurance

A description of our current catastrophe reinsurance program appears in Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**DISCONTINUED LINES AND COVERAGES SEGMENT**

Overview The Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment includes results from insurance coverage that we no longer write and results for certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. Our exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is reported in this segment. We have assigned management of this segment to a designated group of professionals with expertise in claims handling, policy coverage interpretation, exposure identification and reinsurance collection. As part of its responsibilities, this group may at times be engaged in policy buybacks, settlements and reinsurance assumed and ceded commutations.

Summarized underwriting results for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|------------------------------|----------|---------|----------|
| Premiums written | \$ | \$ | 1 \$ (1) |
| Premiums earned | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Claims and claims expense | (142) | (51) | (21) |
| Operating costs and expenses | (1) | (2) | (4) |
| Underwriting loss | \$ (143) | \$ (53) | \$ (25) |

Underwriting losses of \$143 million in 2013 related to a \$74 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves, a \$30 million unfavorable reestimate of environmental reserves and a \$30 million unfavorable reestimate of other exposure reserves, primarily as a result of our annual review using established industry and actuarial best practices, partially offset by a \$1 million decrease in our allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance. The cost of administering claims settlements totaled \$13 million for 2013 and \$11 million for each of 2012 and 2011.

The underwriting loss of \$53 million in 2012 related to a \$26 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves, a \$22 million unfavorable reestimate of environmental reserves and a \$5 million unfavorable reestimate of other reserves, primarily as a result of our annual review using established industry and actuarial best practices, partially offset by a \$14 million decrease in our allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance.

The underwriting loss of \$25 million in 2011 related to a \$26 million unfavorable reestimate of asbestos reserves and a \$5 million unfavorable reestimate of other reserves, primarily as a result of our annual review using established industry and actuarial best practices, partially offset by a \$26 million decrease in our allowance for future uncollectable reinsurance. Environmental reserves were essentially unchanged.

See the Property-Liability Claims and Claims Expense Reserves section of the MD&A for a more detailed discussion.

Discontinued Lines and Coverages outlook

We may continue to experience asbestos and/or environmental losses in the future. These losses could be due to the potential adverse impact of new information relating to new and additional claims or the impact of resolving unsettled claims based on unanticipated events such as litigation or legislative, judicial and regulatory actions. Environmental losses may also increase as the result of additional funding for environmental site cleanup. Because of our annual review, we believe that our reserves are appropriately established based on available information, technology, laws and regulations.

We continue to be encouraged that the pace of industry asbestos claim activity has slowed, perhaps reflecting various state legislative and judicial actions with respect to medical criteria and increased legal scrutiny of the legitimacy of claims.

Table of Contents**PROPERTY-LIABILITY INVESTMENT RESULTS**

Net investment income The following table presents net investment income.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fixed income securities | \$ 912 | \$ 1,073 | \$ 1,110 |
| Equity securities | 136 | 118 | 114 |
| Mortgage loans | 20 | 21 | 8 |
| Limited partnership interests ⁽¹⁾ | 365 | 188 | 39 |
| Short-term investments | 3 | 4 | 3 |
| Other | 38 | 14 | 3 |
| Investment income, before expense | 1,474 | 1,418 | 1,277 |
| Investment expense | (99) | (92) | (76) |
| Net investment income | \$ 1,375 | \$ 1,326 | \$ 1,201 |

(1)

Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2013 and 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

The average pre-tax investment yields for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table. Pre-tax yield is calculated as annualized investment income before investment expense (including dividend income in the case of equity securities) divided by the average of the investment balances at the end of each quarter during the year. Investment balances, for purposes of the pre-tax yield calculation, exclude unrealized capital gains and losses.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fixed income securities: tax-exempt | 3.4% | 4.3% | 4.8% |
| Fixed income securities: tax-exempt equivalent | 5.0 | 6.3 | 7.0 |
| Fixed income securities: taxable | 3.2 | 3.7 | 3.8 |
| Equity securities | 3.8 | 3.5 | 2.8 |
| Mortgage loans | 4.2 | 4.3 | 4.0 |
| Limited partnership interests | 12.2 | 6.3 | 5.6 |
| Total portfolio | 4.0 | 3.9 | 3.9 |

Net investment income increased 3.7% to \$1.38 billion in 2013 from \$1.33 billion in 2012, after increasing 10.4% in 2012 compared to 2011. The 2013 increase was primarily due to higher limited partnership income, average investment balances and equity dividends, as well as prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds which together increased income by a total of \$18 million in 2013, partially offset by lower fixed income yields. Higher EMA limited partnership income resulted from favorable equity and real estate valuations which increased the carrying value of the partnerships, while cost method limited partnerships experienced an increase in earnings distributed by the partnerships. The decrease in fixed income yields is primarily due to actions taken to reduce interest rate risk through dispositions of long-duration municipal and corporate fixed income securities and increased investment in floating rate securities and short and intermediate term corporate fixed income securities. While the dispositions generated net realized capital gains, we expect a decline in investment income prospectively due to the lower yield on the reinvestment of proceeds. The 2012 increase was primarily due to income from limited partnerships and higher average investment balances, partially offset by lower fixed income yields.

Table of Contents

Net realized capital gains and losses are presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|----------|----------|
| Impairment write-downs | \$ (39) | \$ (134) | \$ (250) |
| Change in intent write-downs | (124) | (31) | (49) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings | (163) | (165) | (299) |
| Sales | 706 | 511 | 469 |
| Valuation of derivative instruments | (3) | 5 | (54) |
| Settlements of derivative instruments | (21) | (16) | (127) |
| EMA limited partnership income | | | 96 |
| Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax | 519 | 335 | 85 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (180) | (114) | (31) |
| Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | \$ 339 | \$ 221 | \$ 54 |

For a further discussion of net realized capital gains and losses, see the Investments section of the MD&A.

PROPERTY-LIABILITY CLAIMS AND CLAIMS EXPENSE RESERVES

Property-Liability underwriting results are significantly influenced by estimates of property-liability claims and claims expense reserves. For a description of our reserve process, see Note 9 of the consolidated financial statements and for a further description of our reserving policies and the potential variability in our reserve estimates, see the Application of Critical Accounting Estimates section of the MD&A. These reserves are an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including IBNR claims, as of the reporting date.

The facts and circumstances leading to our reestimates of reserves relate to revisions to the development factors used to predict how losses are likely to develop from the end of a reporting period until all claims have been paid. Reestimates occur because actual losses are likely different than those predicted by the estimated development factors used in prior reserve estimates. As of December 31, 2013, the impact of a reserve reestimation corresponding to a one percent increase or decrease in net reserves would be a decrease or increase of approximately \$112 million in net income available to common shareholders.

We believe the net loss reserves for Allstate Protection exposures are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations.

The table below shows total net reserves as of December 31 by line of business.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Allstate brand | \$ 14,225 | \$ 14,364 | \$ 14,792 |
| Encompass brand | 747 | 807 | 859 |
| Esurance brand | 575 | 470 | 429 |
| Total Allstate Protection | 15,547 | 15,641 | 16,080 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | 1,646 | 1,637 | 1,707 |
| Total Property-Liability | \$ 17,193 | \$ 17,278 | \$ 17,787 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

The tables below show reserves, net of reinsurance, representing the estimated cost of outstanding claims as they were recorded at the beginning of years 2013, 2012 and 2011, and the effect of reestimates in each year.

| (\$ in millions) | January 1 reserves | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Allstate brand | \$ 14,364 | \$ 14,792 | \$ 14,696 |
| Encompass brand | 807 | 859 | 921 |
| Esurance brand | 470 | 429 | |
| | | | |
| Total Allstate Protection | 15,641 | 16,080 | 15,617 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | 1,637 | 1,707 | 1,779 |
| | | | |
| Total Property-Liability | \$ 17,278 | \$ 17,787 | \$ 17,396 |

Table of Contents

| (\$ in millions, except ratios) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|--------------------------------------|--|
| | Reserve reestimate ⁽¹⁾ | Effect on combined ratio ⁽²⁾ | Reserve reestimate ⁽¹⁾ | Effect on combined ratio ⁽²⁾ | Reserve reestimate ⁽¹⁾ | Effect on combined ratio ⁽²⁾ |
| Allstate brand | \$ (220) | (0.8) | \$ (671) | (2.5) | \$ (371) | (1.4) |
| Encompass brand | (43) | (0.2) | (45) | (0.2) | 15 | |
| Esurance brand | | | | | | |
| Total Allstate Protection | (263) | (1.0) | (716) | (2.7) | (356) | (1.4) |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | 142 | 0.6 | 51 | 0.2 | 21 | 0.1 |
| Total Property-Liability ⁽³⁾ | \$ (121) | (0.4) | \$ (665) | (2.5) | \$ (335) | (1.3) |
| Reserve reestimates, after-tax | \$ (79) | | \$ (432) | | \$ (218) | |
| Consolidated net income available to common shareholders | \$ 2,263 | | \$ 2,306 | | \$ 787 | |
| Reserve reestimates as a % of consolidated net income available to common shareholders | 3.5% | | 18.7% | | 27.7% | |

(1)

Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in parentheses.

(2)

Ratios are calculated using Property-Liability premiums earned.

(3)

Prior year reserve reestimates included in catastrophe losses totaled \$88 million favorable in 2013, \$410 million favorable in 2012 and \$130 million favorable in 2011. The effect of catastrophe losses included in prior year reserve reestimates on the combined ratio totaled 0.3 favorable, 1.5 favorable and 0.5 favorable in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Allstate Protection

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

The tables below show Allstate Protection net reserves representing the estimated cost of outstanding claims as they were recorded at the beginning of years 2013, 2012, and 2011, and the effect of reestimates in each year.

| (\$ in millions) | January 1 reserves | | |
|---------------------------|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Auto | \$ 11,383 | \$ 11,404 | \$ 11,034 |
| Homeowners | 2,008 | 2,439 | 2,442 |
| Other personal lines | 1,596 | 1,531 | 1,422 |
| Commercial lines | 627 | 678 | 678 |
| Other business lines | 27 | 28 | 41 |
| | | | |
| Total Allstate Protection | \$ 15,641 | \$ 16,080 | \$ 15,617 |

Table of Contents

| (\$ in millions, except ratios) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|-----------------------|-------------------|
| | Reserve reestimate | Effect on | Reserve reestimate | Effect on | Reserve reestimate | Effect on |
| | | combined ratio | | combined ratio | | combined ratio |
| Auto | \$ (237) | (0.9) | \$ (365) | (1.4) | \$ (381) | (1.5) |
| Homeowners | (5) | | (321) | (1.2) | (69) | (0.3) |
| Other personal lines | 19 | | 24 | 0.1 | 106 | 0.4 |
| Commercial lines | (36) | (0.1) | (48) | (0.2) | (4) | |
| Other business lines | (4) | | (6) | | (8) | |
| Total Allstate Protection | \$ (263) | (1.0) | \$ (716) | (2.7) | \$ (356) | (1.4) |
| | | | | | | |
| Underwriting income (loss) | \$ 2,361 | | \$ 1,253 | | \$ (857) | |
| | | | | | | |
| Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting income (loss) | 11.1% | | 57.1% | | 41.5% | |

Auto reserve reestimates in 2013, 2012, and 2011 were primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected.

Favorable homeowners reserve reestimates in 2013 were primarily due to favorable non-catastrophe reserve reestimates. Favorable homeowners reserve reestimates in 2012, and 2011 were primarily due to favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates.

Other personal lines reserve reestimates in 2013, 2012 and 2011 were primarily the result of non-catastrophe loss development higher than anticipated in previous estimates.

Commercial lines reserve reestimates in 2013, 2012 and 2011 were primarily due to favorable non-catastrophe reserve reestimates.

Pending, new and closed claims for Allstate Protection are summarized in the following table for the years ended December 31. The decrease in pending claims as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012 relates to

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

catastrophes. The increase in pending claims as of December 31, 2012 compared to December 31, 2011 relates to catastrophes, primarily Sandy, for all lines as well as the inclusion of Esurance claims for auto.

| Number of claims | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 ⁽¹⁾ |
|-----------------------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------------|
| Auto | | | |
| Pending, beginning of year | 472,078 | 436,972 | 490,459 |
| New | 5,902,746 | 5,807,557 | 5,656,687 |
| Total closed | (5,901,121) | (5,772,451) | (5,710,174) |
| | | | |
| Pending, end of year | 473,703 | 472,078 | 436,972 |
| | | | |
| Homeowners | | | |
| Pending, beginning of year | 48,418 | 44,134 | 51,031 |
| New | 711,883 | 1,003,493 | 1,214,792 |
| Total closed | (722,881) | (999,209) | (1,221,689) |
| | | | |
| Pending, end of year | 37,420 | 48,418 | 44,134 |
| | | | |
| Other personal lines | | | |
| Pending, beginning of year | 42,969 | 19,866 | 20,115 |
| New | 197,424 | 282,625 | 276,865 |
| Total closed | (223,389) | (259,522) | (277,114) |
| | | | |
| Pending, end of year | 17,004 | 42,969 | 19,866 |
| | | | |
| Commercial lines | | | |
| Pending, beginning of year | 10,242 | 11,998 | 13,272 |
| New | 58,697 | 54,616 | 56,280 |
| Total closed | (58,517) | (56,372) | (57,554) |
| | | | |
| Pending, end of year | 10,422 | 10,242 | 11,998 |
| | | | |
| Other business lines | | | |
| Pending, beginning of year | | 7 | 1 |
| New | 27 | 16 | 64 |
| Total closed | (27) | (23) | (58) |

Pending, end of year 7

Total Allstate Protection

| | | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Pending, beginning of year | 573,707 | 512,977 | 574,878 |
| New | 6,870,777 | 7,148,307 | 7,204,688 |
| Total closed | (6,905,935) | (7,087,577) | (7,266,589) |
| | | | |
| Pending, end of year | 538,549 | 573,707 | 512,977 |

(1) Excludes Esurance brand number of claims since not available.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following tables reflect the accident years to which the reestimates shown above are applicable by line of business. Favorable reserve reestimates are shown in parentheses.

2013 Prior year reserve reestimates

| (\$ in millions) | 2003 & | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|---------|-------|----------|
| | prior | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | 2012 | Total |
| Allstate brand | \$ 56 | \$ 5 | \$ (33) | \$ (44) | \$ (45) | \$ (32) | \$ (59) | \$ (16) | \$ (70) | \$ 18 | \$ (220) |
| Encompass brand | 2 | 1 | 1 | (1) | (1) | (5) | (4) | (4) | (14) | (18) | (43) |
| Esurance brand | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages | 58 | 6 | (32) | (45) | (46) | (37) | (63) | (20) | (84) | | (263) |
| | 142 | | | | | | | | | | 142 |
| Total Property- Liability | \$ 200 | \$ 6 | \$ (32) | \$ (45) | \$ (46) | \$ (37) | \$ (63) | \$ (20) | \$ (84) | | \$ (121) |

2012 Prior year reserve reestimates

| (\$ in millions) | 2002 & | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|---------|---------|---------|-------|---------|---------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| | prior | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | 2011 | Total |
| Allstate brand | \$ 102 | \$ (9) | \$ (10) | \$ (36) | \$ 11 | \$ (11) | \$ (36) | \$ (33) | \$ (147) | \$ (502) | \$ (671) |
| Encompass brand | | (1) | | (12) | (1) | | (5) | (4) | (14) | (8) | (45) |
| Esurance brand | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages | 102 | (10) | (10) | (48) | 10 | (11) | (41) | (37) | (161) | (510) | (716) |
| | 51 | | | | | | | | | | 51 |
| Total Property- Liability | \$ 153 | \$ (10) | \$ (10) | \$ (48) | \$ 10 | \$ (11) | \$ (41) | \$ (37) | \$ (161) | \$ (510) | \$ (665) |

2011 Prior year reserve reestimates

| (\$ in millions) | 2001 & | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--------|-------|-------|------|------|------|------|---------|----------|----------|----------|
| | prior | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | 2006 | 2007 | 2008 | 2009 | 2010 | Total |
| Allstate brand | \$ 123 | \$ 16 | \$ 26 | \$ 8 | \$ 5 | \$ 7 | \$ | \$ (28) | \$ (150) | \$ (378) | \$ (371) |
| Encompass brand | 2 | | (1) | | 1 | 1 | (1) | 2 | 2 | 9 | 15 |
| Esurance brand | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Total Allstate Protection Discontinued Lines and Coverages | 125 | 16 | 25 | 8 | 6 | 8 | (1) | (26) | (148) | (369) | (356) |
| | 21 | | | | | | | | | | 21 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Total Property- Liability \$ 146 \$ 16 \$ 25 \$ 8 \$ 6 \$ 8 \$ (1) \$ (26) \$ (148) \$ (369) \$ (335)

Allstate brand prior year reserve reestimates were \$220 million favorable in 2013, \$671 million favorable in 2012 and \$371 million favorable in 2011. In 2013, this was primarily due to severity development that was better than expected and catastrophe reserve reestimates. In 2012, this was primarily due to favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates and severity development that was better than expected. The increased reserves in accident years 2002 & prior is due to a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening. In 2011, this was primarily due to severity development that was better than expected and favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates. The increased reserves in accident years 2001 & prior is due to a reclassification of injury reserves to older years and reserve strengthening.

These trends are primarily responsible for revisions to loss development factors, as described above, used to predict how losses are likely to develop from the end of a reporting period until all claims have been paid. Because these trends

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

cause actual losses to differ from those predicted by the estimated development factors used in prior reserve estimates, reserves are revised as actuarial studies validate new trends based on the indications of updated development factor calculations.

The impact of these reestimates on the Allstate brand underwriting income (loss) is shown in the table below.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--|------|-------|------|-------|------|-------|
| Reserve reestimates | \$ | (220) | \$ | (671) | \$ | (371) |
| Allstate brand underwriting income (loss) | | 2,551 | | 1,539 | | (660) |
| Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting income (loss) | | 8.6% | | 43.6% | | 56.2% |

Encompass brand prior year reserve reestimates in 2013 and 2012 were related to lower than anticipated claim settlement costs and favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates. Reserve reestimates in 2011 were related to higher than anticipated claim settlement costs.

The impact of these reestimates on the Encompass brand underwriting income (loss) is shown in the table below.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--|------|-------|------|-------|------|---------|
| Reserve reestimates | \$ | (43) | \$ | (45) | \$ | 15 |
| Encompass brand underwriting income (loss) | | 47 | | (70) | | (146) |
| Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting income (loss) | | 91.5% | | 64.3% | | (10.3)% |

Esurance brand There were no prior year reserve reestimates for Esurance in 2013 or 2012. However, the Esurance opening balance sheet reserves were reestimated in 2012 resulting in a \$13 million reduction in reserves due to lower severity. The adjustment was recorded as a reduction in goodwill and an increase in payables to the seller under the terms of the purchase agreement and therefore had no impact on claims expense or the loss ratio.

Discontinued Lines and Coverages We conduct an annual review in the third quarter of each year to evaluate and establish asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines reserves. Reserves are recorded in the reporting period in which they are determined. Using established industry and actuarial best practices and assuming no change in the regulatory or economic environment, this detailed and comprehensive methodology determines reserves based on assessments of the characteristics of exposure (e.g. claim activity, potential liability, jurisdiction, products versus non-products exposure) presented by policyholders.

Reserve reestimates for the Discontinued Lines and Coverages are shown in the table below.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|--------------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|-----------------------|
| | January 1 reserves | Reserve reestimate | January 1 reserves | Reserve reestimate | January 1 reserves | Reserve reestimate |
| Asbestos claims | \$ 1,026 | \$ 74 | \$ 1,078 | \$ 26 | \$ 1,100 | \$ 26 |
| Environmental claims | 193 | 30 | 185 | 22 | 201 | |
| Other discontinued lines | 418 | 38 | 444 | 3 | 478 | (5) |

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------|--------|----------|-------|----------|-------|
| Total Discontinued Lines and Coverages | \$ 1,637 | \$ 142 | \$ 1,707 | \$ 51 | \$ 1,779 | \$ 21 |
|--|----------|--------|----------|-------|----------|-------|

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------|----|-------|----|------|----|------|
| Underwriting loss | \$ | (143) | \$ | (53) | \$ | (25) |
|-------------------|----|-------|----|------|----|------|

| | | | | | | |
|---|--|---------|--|---------|--|---------|
| Reserve reestimates as a % of underwriting loss | | (99.3)% | | (96.2)% | | (84.0)% |
|---|--|---------|--|---------|--|---------|

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Reserve additions for asbestos in 2013 were primarily related to a cedent's settlement with a bankrupt insured of asbestos claims in excess of a previously advised amount and loss trends from other claims. Reserve additions for asbestos in 2012 and 2011 were primarily for products related coverage due to increases for the assumed reinsurance portion of discontinued lines where we are reliant on our ceding companies to report claims.

The reserve additions for environmental in 2013 were primarily related to an adverse court ruling for site-specific disputed coverage. The reserve additions for environmental in 2012 were primarily related to site-specific remediations where the clean-up cost estimates and responsibility for the clean-up were more fully determined. Normal environmental claim activity resulted in essentially no change in estimated reserves for 2011.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes reserves and claim activity for asbestos and environmental claims before (Gross) and after (Net) the effects of reinsurance for the past three years.

| (\$ in millions, except ratios) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Asbestos claims | | | | | | |
| Beginning reserves | \$ 1,522 | \$ 1,026 | \$ 1,607 | \$ 1,078 | \$ 1,655 | \$ 1,100 |
| Incurred claims and claims expense | 84 | 74 | 34 | 26 | 38 | 26 |
| Claims and claims expense paid | (111) | (83) | (119) | (78) | (86) | (48) |
| Ending reserves | \$ 1,495 | \$ 1,017 | \$ 1,522 | \$ 1,026 | \$ 1,607 | \$ 1,078 |
| Annual survival ratio | 13.5 | 12.3 | 12.8 | 13.2 | 18.7 | 22.5 |
| 3-year survival ratio | 14.2 | 14.5 | 14.1 | 14.7 | 13.6 | 13.6 |
| Environmental claims | | | | | | |
| Beginning reserves | \$ 241 | \$ 193 | \$ 225 | \$ 185 | \$ 248 | \$ 201 |
| Incurred claims and claims expense | 44 | 30 | 32 | 22 | (2) | |
| Claims and claims expense paid | (17) | (15) | (16) | (14) | (21) | (16) |
| Ending reserves | \$ 268 | \$ 208 | \$ 241 | \$ 193 | \$ 225 | \$ 185 |
| Annual survival ratio | 15.8 | 13.9 | 15.1 | 13.8 | 10.7 | 11.6 |
| 3-year survival ratio | 14.9 | 13.9 | 13.4 | 12.9 | 11.8 | 11.6 |
| Combined environmental and asbestos claims | | | | | | |
| Annual survival ratio | 13.8 | 12.5 | 13.1 | 13.3 | 17.1 | 19.7 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

3-year survival ratio 14.3 14.4 14.0 14.3 13.4 13.3

Percentage of IBNR in ending reserves 55.4% 57.8% 59.0%

The survival ratio is calculated by taking our ending reserves divided by payments made during the year. This is a commonly used but extremely simplistic and imprecise approach to measuring the adequacy of asbestos and environmental reserve levels. Many factors, such as mix of business, level of coverage provided and settlement procedures have significant impacts on the amount of environmental and asbestos claims and claims expense reserves, claim payments and the resultant ratio. As payments result in corresponding reserve reductions, survival ratios can be expected to vary over time.

In 2013, the asbestos net 3-year survival ratio decreased due to continuing claim payments. In 2012, the asbestos net 3-year survival ratio increased due to lower average annual payments. In 2013, the environmental net 3-year survival ratio increased due to reserve additions. In 2012, the environmental net 3-year survival ratio increased due to reserve additions and lower average annual payments.

Our net asbestos reserves by type of exposure and total reserve additions are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | December 31, 2013 | | | December 31, 2012 | | | December 31, 2011 | | |
|-------------------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|----------------------|--------------|---------------|
| | Active policyholders | Net reserves | % of reserves | Active policyholders | Net reserves | % of reserves | Active policyholders | Net reserves | % of reserves |
| Direct policyholders: | | | | | | | | | |
| Primary | 53 | \$ 7 | 1% | 54 | \$ 12 | 1% | 52 | \$ 17 | 2% |
| Excess | 301 | 267 | 26 | 299 | 276 | 27 | 314 | 263 | 24 |
| Total | 354 | 274 | 27 | 353 | 288 | 28 | 366 | 280 | 26 |
| Assumed reinsurance | | 171 | 17 | | 150 | 15 | | 171 | 16 |
| IBNR | | 572 | 56 | | 588 | 57 | | 627 | 58 |
| Total net reserves | | \$ 1,017 | 100% | | \$ 1,026 | 100% | | \$ 1,078 | 100% |
| Total reserve additions | | \$ 74 | | | \$ 26 | | | \$ 26 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

During the last three years, 43 direct primary and excess policyholders reported new claims, and claims of 59 policyholders were closed, decreasing the number of active policyholders by 16 during the period. There was a net increase of 1 in 2013, including 12 new policyholders reporting new claims and the closing of 11 policyholders' claims. There was a net decrease of 13 in 2012 including 15 new policyholders reporting new claims and the closing of 28 policyholders' claims. There was a net decrease of 4 in 2011 including 16 new policyholders reporting new claims and the closing of 20 policyholders' claims.

IBNR net reserves decreased \$16 million as of December 31, 2013 compared to December 31, 2012. As of December 31, 2013 IBNR represented 56% of total net asbestos reserves, compared to 57% as of December 31, 2012. IBNR provides for reserve development of known claims and future reporting of additional unknown claims from current policyholders and ceding companies.

Pending, new, total closed and closed without payment claims for asbestos and environmental exposures for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

| Number of claims | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Asbestos | | | |
| Pending, beginning of year | 7,447 | 8,072 | 8,421 |
| New | 736 | 492 | 507 |
| Total closed | (739) | (1,117) | (856) |
| | | | |
| Pending, end of year | 7,444 | 7,447 | 8,072 |
| | | | |
| Closed without payment | 451 | 728 | 664 |
| | | | |
| Environmental | | | |
| Pending, beginning of year | 3,676 | 4,176 | 4,297 |
| New | 464 | 402 | 351 |
| Total closed | (423) | (902) | (472) |
| | | | |
| Pending, end of year | 3,717 | 3,676 | 4,176 |
| | | | |
| Closed without payment | 299 | 511 | 334 |

Property-Liability reinsurance ceded For Allstate Protection, we utilize reinsurance to reduce exposure to catastrophe risk and manage capital, and to support the required statutory surplus and the insurance financial strength ratings of certain subsidiaries such as Castle Key Insurance Company and Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company. We purchase significant reinsurance to manage our aggregate countrywide exposure to an acceptable level. The price and terms of reinsurance and the credit quality of the reinsurer are considered in the purchase process, along with whether the price can be appropriately reflected in the costs that are considered in setting future rates charged to policyholders. We also participate in various reinsurance mechanisms, including industry pools and facilities, which are backed by the financial resources of the property-liability insurance company market participants, and have historically purchased reinsurance to mitigate long-tail liability lines, including environmental, asbestos and other discontinued lines exposures. We retain primary liability as a direct insurer for all risks ceded to

reinsurers.

Table of Contents

Our reinsurance recoverable balances are shown in the following table as of December 31, net of the allowance we have established for uncollectible amounts.

| (\$ in millions) | Standard & Poor's financial strength rating ⁽¹⁾ | Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid claims, net | |
|--|--|--|-------------------------|
| | | 2013 | 2012 |
| Industry pools and facilities | | | |
| Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA") | N/A | \$ 3,462 ₍₂₎ | \$ 2,590 ₍₂₎ |
| New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund ("NJUCJF") | N/A | 378 | 38 |
| North Carolina Reinsurance Facility | N/A | 58 | 64 |
| National Flood Insurance Program | N/A | 32 | 428 |
| Other | | 2 | 3 |
| Subtotal | | 3,932 | 3,123 |
| | | | |
| Lloyd's of London ("Lloyd's") | A+ | 191 | 190 |
| Westport Insurance Corporation (formerly Employers Reinsurance Corporation) | AA- | 85 | 95 |
| New England Reinsurance Corporation | N/A | 33 | 35 |
| Swiss Reinsurance America Corporation | AA- | 29 | 41 |
| R&Q Reinsurance Company | N/A | 29 | 30 |
| Clearwater Insurance Company | N/A | 28 | 26 |
| Other, including allowance for future uncollectible reinsurance recoverables | | 422 | 539 |
| Subtotal | | 817 | 956 |
| | | | |
| Total Property-Liability | | \$ 4,749 | \$ 4,079 |

(1)

N/A reflects no rating available.

(2)

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, MCCA includes \$29 million and \$23 million of reinsurance recoverable on paid claims, respectively, and \$3.43 billion and \$2.57 billion of reinsurance recoverable on unpaid claims, respectively.

Reinsurance recoverables include an estimate of the amount of property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves that may be ceded under the terms of the reinsurance agreements, including incurred but not reported unpaid losses. We calculate our ceded reinsurance estimate based on the terms of each applicable reinsurance agreement, including an estimate of how IBNR losses will ultimately be ceded under the agreement. We also consider other limitations and coverage exclusions under our reinsurance agreements. Accordingly, our estimate of reinsurance recoverables is subject to similar risks and uncertainties as our estimate of reserves for property-liability claims and claims expense. We believe the recoverables are appropriately established; however, as our underlying reserves continue to develop, the amount ultimately recoverable may vary from amounts currently recorded. We regularly evaluate the reinsurers and the respective amounts recoverable, and a

provision for uncollectible reinsurance is recorded if needed. The establishment of reinsurance recoverables and the related allowance for uncollectible reinsurance is also an inherently uncertain process involving estimates. Changes in estimates could result in additional changes to the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

The allowance for uncollectible reinsurance primarily relates to Discontinued Lines and Coverages reinsurance recoverables and was \$92 million and \$87 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The allowance for Discontinued Lines and Coverages represents 12.6% and 12.4% of the related reinsurance recoverable balances as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The allowance is based upon our ongoing review of amounts outstanding, length of collection periods, changes in reinsurer credit standing, and other relevant factors. In addition, in the ordinary course of business, we may become involved in coverage disputes with certain of our reinsurers which may ultimately result in lawsuits and arbitrations brought by or against such reinsurers to determine the parties' rights and obligations under the various reinsurance agreements. We employ dedicated specialists to manage reinsurance collections and disputes. We also consider recent developments in commutation activity between reinsurers and cedants, and recent

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

trends in arbitration and litigation outcomes in disputes between cedants and reinsurers in seeking to maximize our reinsurance recoveries.

Adverse developments in the insurance industry have led to a decline in the financial strength of some of our reinsurance carriers, causing amounts recoverable from them and future claims ceded to them to be considered a higher risk. There has also been consolidation activity in the industry, which causes reinsurance risk across the industry to be concentrated among fewer companies. In addition, some companies have segregated asbestos, environmental, and other discontinued lines exposures into separate legal entities with dedicated capital. Regulatory bodies in certain cases have supported these actions. We are unable to determine the impact, if any, that these developments will have on the collectability of reinsurance recoverables in the future.

For a detailed description of the MCCA, FHCF and Lloyd's, see Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements. As of December 31, 2013, other than the recoverable balances listed in the table above, no other amount due or estimated to be due from any single Property-Liability reinsurer was in excess of \$24 million.

The effects of reinsurance ceded on our property-liability premiums earned and claims and claims expense for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Ceded property-liability premiums earned | \$ 1,069 | \$ 1,090 | \$ 1,098 |
| | | | |
| Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense | | | |
| Industry pool and facilities | | | |
| MCCA | \$ 954 | \$ 962 | \$ 509 |
| National Flood Insurance Program | 289 | 758 | 196 |
| NJUCJF | 356 | 5 | 12 |
| FHCF | | | 8 |
| Other | 63 | 65 | 72 |
| | | | |
| Subtotal industry pools and facilities | 1,662 | 1,790 | 797 |
| Other | 55 | 261 | 130 |
| | | | |
| Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense | \$ 1,717 | \$ 2,051 | \$ 927 |

In 2013, ceded property-liability premiums earned decreased \$21 million compared to 2012, primarily due to decreased premium rates, acquiring reinsurance in the capital markets and lower limits placed in our catastrophe reinsurance program, partially offset by higher MCCA reinsurance premiums due to an increase in policies written in Michigan. In 2012, ceded property-liability premiums earned decreased \$8 million compared to 2011, primarily due to decreased premiums in our catastrophe reinsurance program.

Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense decreased in 2013 primarily due to lower amounts ceded to the National Flood Insurance Program, partially offset by reserve increases for the NJUCJF program. Ceded property-liability claims and claims expense increased in 2012 primarily due to amounts ceded to the National Flood Insurance Program related to Sandy, increases in reserves ceded in the MCCA program, and amounts ceded under our catastrophe reinsurance program related to Sandy.

We are experiencing similar experience as reported by the MCCA with reported and pending claims increasing in recent years. Moreover, the MCCA has reported severity increasing with more than 60% of reimbursements for attendant and residential claim services. Michigan's unique no-fault auto insurance law provides unlimited lifetime coverage for medical expenses resulting from auto accidents. The reserve increases in the MCCA program are attributable to an increased recognition of longer term paid loss trends. The paid loss trends are rising due to increased costs in medical and attendant care and increased longevity of claimants.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The table below summarizes reserves and claim activity for Michigan personal injury protection claims before (Gross) and after (Net) the effects of MCCA reinsurance for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 ⁽¹⁾ | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|----------|--------|---------------------|--------|
| | Gross | Net | Gross | Net | Gross | Net |
| Beginning reserves | \$ 2,866 | \$ 299 | \$ 1,957 | \$ 267 | \$ 1,453 | \$ 226 |
| Incurred claims and claims expense-current year | 417 | 181 | 272 | 114 | 215 | 82 |
| Incurred claims and claims expense-prior years | 731 | 13 | 832 | 27 | 394 | 16 |
| Claims and claims expense paid-current year | (44) | (42) | (36) | (35) | (28) | (28) |
| Claims and claims expense paid-prior years | (172) | (86) | (159) | (74) | (122) | (45) |
| Ending reserves | \$ 3,798 ⁽²⁾ | \$ 365 | \$ 2,866 | \$ 299 | \$ 1,912 | \$ 251 |

(1) Excludes Esurance brand since not available.

(2) Reserves comprise 66% case reserves and 34% IBNR.

Pending, new and closed claims for Michigan personal injury protection exposures for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

| Number of claims | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------------------|-------|-------|----------------------|
| Pending, beginning of year | 4,029 | 3,844 | 3,089 |
| New | 8,531 | 7,629 | 6,486 |
| Total closed | 7,876 | 7,444 | 6,122 |
| Pending, end of year | 4,684 | 4,029 | 3,453 ⁽¹⁾ |

(1) Excludes Esurance claims totaling 391 as of December 31, 2011.

The reserve increases of \$351 million in the NJUCJF program in 2013 are attributable to unlimited personal injury protection coverage on policies written prior to 1991. The ceded claims reflects increased longer term paid loss trends due to increased costs of medical care and increased longevity of claimants. New claims for this cohort of policies are unlikely and pending claims are expected to decline.

We enter into certain intercompany insurance and reinsurance transactions for the Property-Liability operations in order to maintain underwriting control and manage insurance risk among various legal entities. These reinsurance agreements have been approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

Catastrophe reinsurance

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Our catastrophe reinsurance program is designed, utilizing our risk management methodology, to address our exposure to catastrophes nationwide. Our program is designed to provide reinsurance protection for catastrophes including hurricanes, windstorms, hail, tornados, fires following earthquakes, earthquakes and wildfires. These reinsurance agreements are part of our catastrophe management strategy, which is intended to provide our shareholders an acceptable return on the risks assumed in our property business, and to reduce variability of earnings, while providing protection to our customers.

We anticipate completing the placement of our 2014 catastrophe reinsurance program in second quarter 2014. We expect the program will be similar to our 2013 catastrophe reinsurance program. For further details of the existing 2013 program, see Note 11 of the consolidated financial statements.

ALLSTATE FINANCIAL 2013 HIGHLIGHTS

Net income available to common shareholders was \$95 million in 2013 compared to \$541 million in 2012.

Premiums and contract charges on underwritten products, including traditional life, interest-sensitive life and accident and health insurance, totaled \$2.30 billion in 2013, an increase of 5.5% from \$2.18 billion in 2012.

Investments totaled \$39.11 billion as of December 31, 2013, reflecting a decrease of \$17.89 billion from \$57.00 billion as of December 31, 2012. Investments classified as held for sale totaled \$11.98 billion as of December 31, 2013. Net investment income decreased 4.1% to \$2.54 billion in 2013 from \$2.65 billion in 2012.

Net realized capital gains totaled \$74 million in 2013 compared to net realized capital losses of \$13 million in 2012.

Table of Contents

During 2013, loss on disposition of \$521 million, after-tax, was recorded relating to the pending sale of Lincoln Benefit Life Company.

Contractholder funds totaled \$24.30 billion as of December 31, 2013, reflecting a decrease of \$15.02 billion from \$39.32 billion as of December 31, 2012. Contractholder funds classified as held for sale totaled \$10.95 billion as of December 31, 2013.

ALLSTATE FINANCIAL SEGMENT

Overview and strategy The Allstate Financial segment sells life insurance and voluntary employee benefits products. We serve our customers through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists, and workplace distribution. Allstate Financial brings value to The Allstate Corporation in three principal ways: through profitable growth, by bringing new customers to Allstate, and by improving the economics of the Protection business through increased customer loyalty and stronger customer relationships based on cross selling Allstate Financial products to existing customers. Allstate Financial's strategy is focused on expanding Allstate customer relationships, growing the number of products delivered to customers through Allstate exclusive agencies and Allstate Benefits (our workplace distribution business), improving returns on our in-force annuity products, and emphasizing capital efficiency and shareholder returns.

On July 17, 2013, we announced our plans to exit the independent master brokerage agencies distribution channel. In connection with this announcement, we entered into a definitive agreement with Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. to sell Lincoln Benefit Life Company, LBL's life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL's deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business for \$600 million subject to certain adjustments as of the closing date. The transaction is subject to regulatory approvals and other customary closing conditions. We expect the closing to occur in April 2014. The estimated loss on disposition of \$521 million, after-tax, was recorded in 2013. The business being sold had \$341 million of premiums and contract charges in 2013. Effective July 18, 2013, we no longer offer any products through the independent master brokerage agency distribution channel.

The products we currently offer include interest-sensitive, traditional and variable life insurance; and voluntary accident and health insurance. Our products are sold through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists and workplace enrolling independent agents. Effective January 1, 2014, we no longer offer fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities. Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists have a portfolio of non-proprietary products, including fixed and variable annuities and mutual funds, available to meet customer needs. We are planning to outsource the administration of our annuity business to a third party administration company by the end of 2014. Institutional products consisting of funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts that use them to back medium-term notes were previously offered and \$85 million remain outstanding as of December 31, 2013. Banking products and services were previously offered to customers through the Allstate Bank, which ceased operations in 2011.

Based upon Allstate's strong financial position and brand, we have a unique opportunity to cross-sell our products to meet the needs of more Allstate customers. We will enhance trusted customer relationships established through Allstate exclusive agencies to serve those who are looking for assistance in meeting their protection and retirement needs by providing them with information, products and services. To further strengthen Allstate Financial's value proposition to Allstate exclusive agencies and drive further engagement in selling our products, Allstate Financial products are integrated into the Allstate Protection sales processes and the agent compensation structure incorporates sales of Allstate Financial products. Life insurance policies issued through Allstate agencies increased 3.9% and 9.3% in 2013 and 2012, respectively, compared to the prior years.

Our employer relationships through Allstate Benefits also afford opportunities to offer Allstate products to more customers and grow our business. Allstate Benefits is an industry leader in voluntary benefits, offering one of the broadest product portfolios in the voluntary benefits market. Our strategy for Allstate Benefits focuses on growth in the national accounts market by increasing the number of sales and account management personnel, expanding independent agent distribution in targeted geographic locations for increased new sales, increasing Allstate exclusive agency engagement to drive cross selling of voluntary benefits products, and developing opportunities for revenue growth through new product and fee income offerings. Allstate Benefits new business written premiums increased 9.4% and 6.5% in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Our in-force deferred and immediate annuity business has been adversely impacted by the credit cycle and historically low interest rate environment. Our immediate annuity business has also been impacted by medical advancements that have resulted in annuitants living longer than anticipated when many of these contracts were originated. We have reduced the level of legacy deferred annuities in force and proactively manage annuity crediting rates to improve the profitability of the business. The pending LBL sale will further reduce the level of deferred annuities

Table of Contents

in force. We are managing the investment portfolio supporting our immediate annuities to ensure the assets match the characteristics of the liabilities and provide the long-term returns needed to support this business. We are increasing investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance including equities and real estate to more appropriately match investment duration with these long-term liabilities.

Allstate Financial outlook

Our growth initiatives continue to focus on increasing the number of customers served through our proprietary Allstate agency and Allstate Benefits channels.

We continue to focus on improving returns on our in-force deferred and immediate annuity products.

We plan to accelerate growth of premiums and contract charges by offering a broad range of products to meet our customers' needs. The solutions we offer to meet customer life and retirement needs will include underwritten insurance products as well as third-party solutions where we choose not to offer certain products.

We expect lower investment spread due to reduced contractholder funds, the continuing low interest rate environment and changes in asset allocations. The amount by which the low interest rate environment will reduce our investment spread is contingent on our ability to maintain the portfolio yield and lower interest crediting rates on spread-based products, which could be limited by market conditions, regulatory minimum rates or contractual minimum rate guarantees, and may not match the timing or magnitude of changes in asset yields. We also anticipate changing our asset allocation for long-term immediate annuities to have less reliance on investments whose returns come primarily from interest payments to investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance including equities and real estate. This shift could result in lower and more volatile investment income; however, we anticipate that this strategy will lead to higher total returns on attributed equity.

Allstate Financial's attributed GAAP equity may increase as there may be limitations on the amount of dividends Allstate Financial companies can pay without prior approval by their insurance departments.

We continue to review our strategic options to reduce our exposure and improve returns of the spread-based businesses. As a result, we may take additional operational and financial actions that offer return improvement and risk reduction opportunities.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Summary analysis Summarized financial data for the years ended December 31 is presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | | | |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges | \$ 2,352 | \$ 2,241 | \$ 2,238 |
| Net investment income | 2,538 | 2,647 | 2,716 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | 74 | (13) | 388 |
| | | | |
| Total revenues | 4,964 | 4,875 | 5,342 |
| Costs and expenses | | | |
| Life and annuity contract benefits | (1,917) | (1,818) | (1,761) |
| Interest credited to contractholder funds | (1,278) | (1,316) | (1,645) |
| Amortization of DAC | (328) | (401) | (494) |
| Operating costs and expenses | (565) | (576) | (555) |
| Restructuring and related charges | (7) | | (1) |
| | | | |
| Total costs and expenses | (4,095) | (4,111) | (4,456) |
| | | | |
| (Loss) gain on disposition of operations | (687) | 18 | (7) |
| Income tax expense | (87) | (241) | (289) |
| | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 95 | \$ 541 | \$ 590 |
| | | | |
| Life insurance | \$ 235 | \$ 226 | \$ 262 |
| Accident and health insurance | 89 | 81 | 95 |
| Annuities and institutional products | 292 | 234 | 233 |
| Loss on sale of LBL | (521) | | |
| | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 95 | \$ 541 | \$ 590 |
| | | | |
| Allstate Life and Retirement | \$ (5) | \$ 458 | \$ 484 |
| Allstate Benefits | 100 | 83 | 106 |
| | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ 95 | \$ 541 | \$ 590 |
| | | | |
| Investments as of December 31 | \$ 39,105 | \$ 56,999 | \$ 57,373 |
| Investments classified as held for sale as of December 31 | 11,983 | | |

Net income available to common shareholders was \$95 million in 2013 compared to \$541 million in 2012. The decrease was primarily due to the estimated loss on disposition related to the pending LBL sale, lower net investment income and higher life and annuity contract benefits, partially offset by higher life and annuity premiums and contract charges, net realized capital gains in 2013 compared to net realized capital

losses in 2012 and decreased amortization of DAC.

Net income in 2012 was \$541 million compared to \$590 million in 2011. The decrease was primarily due to net realized capital losses in 2012 compared to net realized capital gains in 2011, lower net investment income and higher life and annuity contract benefits, partially offset by decreased interest credited to contractholder funds and lower amortization of DAC.

Analysis of revenues Total revenues increased 1.8% or \$89 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to higher life and annuity premiums and contract charges and net realized capital gains in 2013 compared to net realized capital losses in 2012, partially offset by lower net investment income. Total revenues decreased 8.7% or \$467 million in 2012 compared to 2011 due to net realized capital losses in 2012 compared to net realized capital gains in 2011 and lower net investment income.

Life and annuity premiums and contract charges Premiums represent revenues generated from traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies, and accident and health insurance products that have significant mortality or morbidity risk. Contract charges are revenues generated from interest-sensitive and variable life insurance and fixed annuities for which deposits are classified as contractholder funds or separate account liabilities. Contract charges are assessed against the contractholder account values for maintenance, administration, cost of insurance and surrender prior to contractually specified dates.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes life and annuity premiums and contract charges by product for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Underwritten products | | | |
| Traditional life insurance premiums | \$ 455 | \$ 434 | \$ 406 |
| Accident and health insurance premiums | 26 | 26 | 27 |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges | 991 | 969 | 935 |
| | | | |
| Subtotal Allstate Life and Retirement | 1,472 | 1,429 | 1,368 |
| | | | |
| Traditional life insurance premiums | 36 | 36 | 35 |
| Accident and health insurance premiums | 694 | 627 | 616 |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance contract charges | 95 | 86 | 80 |
| | | | |
| Subtotal Allstate Benefits | 825 | 749 | 731 |
| | | | |
| Total underwritten products | 2,297 | 2,178 | 2,099 |
| | | | |
| Annuities | | | |
| Immediate annuities with life contingencies premiums | 37 | 45 | 106 |
| Other fixed annuity contract charges | 18 | 18 | 33 |
| | | | |
| Total annuities | 55 | 63 | 139 |
| | | | |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,352 | \$ 2,241 | \$ 2,238 |

(1)

Contract charges related to the cost of insurance totaled \$725 million, \$696 million and \$659 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Total premiums and contract charges increased 5.0% in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to growth in Allstate Benefits accident and health insurance business, higher contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance products primarily resulting from the aging of our policyholders and growth of insurance in force, and increased traditional life insurance premiums due to lower reinsurance premiums ceded and higher sales and renewals through Allstate agencies, partially offset by lower sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies. Effective March 22, 2013, we no longer offer structured settlement annuities. We continue to service the in-force structured settlement contracts.

Total premiums and contract charges increased 0.1% in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to higher contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance products primarily resulting from the aging of our policyholders and lower reinsurance ceded, and increased traditional life insurance premiums due to lower reinsurance ceded and higher sales through Allstate agencies, partially offset by lower sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuities, funding agreements and, prior to December 31, 2011, bank deposits. The balance of contractholder funds is equal to the cumulative deposits received and interest credited to the contractholder less

Table of Contents

cumulative contract benefits, surrenders, withdrawals, maturities and contract charges for mortality or administrative expenses. The following table shows the changes in contractholder funds for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Contractholder funds, beginning balance | \$ 39,319 | \$ 42,332 | \$ 48,195 |
| Deposits | | | |
| Fixed annuities | 1,062 | 928 | 667 |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | 1,378 | 1,347 | 1,291 |
| Bank deposits | | | 360 |
| Total deposits | 2,440 | 2,275 | 2,318 |
| Interest credited | 1,295 | 1,323 | 1,629 |
| Benefits, withdrawals, maturities and other adjustments | | | |
| Benefits | (1,535) | (1,463) | (1,461) |
| Surrenders and partial withdrawals | (3,299) | (3,990) | (4,935) |
| Bank withdrawals | | | (1,463) |
| Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products | (1,799) | (138) | (867) |
| Contract charges | (1,112) | (1,066) | (1,028) |
| Net transfers from separate accounts | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| Fair value hedge adjustments for institutional products | | | (34) |
| Other adjustments ⁽¹⁾ | (72) | 35 | (34) |
| Total benefits, withdrawals, maturities and other adjustments | (7,805) | (6,611) | (9,810) |
| Contractholder funds classified as held for sale | (10,945) | | |
| Contractholder funds, ending balance | \$ 24,304 | \$ 39,319 | \$ 42,332 |

(1)

The table above illustrates the changes in contractholder funds, which are presented gross of reinsurance recoverables on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The table above is intended to supplement our discussion and analysis of revenues, which are presented net of reinsurance on the Consolidated Statements of Operations. As a result, the net change in contractholder funds associated with products reinsured to third parties is reflected as a component of the other adjustments line.

Contractholder funds decreased 38.2%, 7.1% and 12.2% in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The decrease in 2013 reflects the reclassification of contractholder funds held for sale relating to the pending LBL sale. Contractholder funds including those classified as held for sale decreased 10.4% in 2013, reflecting a large institutional product maturity in 2013 and our continuing strategy to reduce our concentration in spread-based products. Average contractholder funds decreased 22.1% in 2013 compared to 2012 and 9.8% in 2012 compared to 2011.

Contractholder deposits increased 7.3% in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to increased fixed annuity deposits driven by the new equity-indexed annuity products and higher deposits on immediate annuities, as well as higher deposits on interest-sensitive life insurance. Contractholder deposits decreased 1.9% in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to increased fixed annuity deposits driven by new equity-indexed annuity products launched in second quarter 2012 being more than offset by the absence of Allstate Bank deposits in 2012.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Surrenders and partial withdrawals on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products decreased 17.3% to \$3.30 billion in 2013 from \$3.99 billion in 2012. Surrenders and partial withdrawals on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products decreased 19.1% to \$3.99 billion in 2012 from \$4.94 billion in 2011. 2011 had elevated surrenders on fixed annuities resulting from crediting rate actions and a large number of contracts reaching the 30-45 day period (typically at their 5 or 6 year anniversary) during which there is no surrender charge. The surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 10.2% in 2013 compared to 11.3% in 2012 and 12.6% in 2011.

Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products in 2013 include a \$1.75 billion maturity. There are \$85 million of institutional products outstanding as of December 31, 2013. Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products decreased to \$138 million in 2012 from \$867 million in 2011, reflecting differences in the timing and magnitude of maturities.

Net investment income decreased 4.1% or \$109 million to \$2.54 billion in 2013 from \$2.65 billion in 2012, primarily due to lower average investment balances, partially offset by higher prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

which together increased income by a total of \$50 million in 2013 and higher limited partnership income. Net investment income in 2013 includes \$264 million relating to investments classified as held for sale for the period from July 17, 2013 to December 31, 2013. Net investment income decreased 2.5% to \$2.65 billion in 2012 from \$2.72 billion in 2011, primarily due to lower average investment balances and lower yields on fixed income securities, partially offset by income from limited partnerships.

Net realized capital gains and losses for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|---------|----------|
| Impairment write-downs | \$ (33) | \$ (51) | \$ (246) |
| Change in intent write-downs | (19) | (17) | (51) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings | (52) | (68) | (297) |
| Sales | 112 | 20 | 838 |
| Valuation of derivative instruments | (3) | (16) | (237) |
| Settlements of derivative instruments | 17 | 51 | 22 |
| EMA limited partnership income ⁽¹⁾ | | | 62 |
| Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax | 74 | (13) | 388 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit | (28) | 5 | (138) |
| Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | \$ 46 | \$ (8) | \$ 250 |

(1)

Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2013 and 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

For further discussion of realized capital gains and losses, see the Investments section of the MD&A.

Analysis of costs and expenses Total costs and expenses decreased 0.4% or \$16 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower amortization of DAC and interest credited to contractholder funds, partially offset by higher life and annuity contract benefits. Total costs and expenses decreased 7.7% or \$345 million in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to lower interest credited to contractholder funds and amortization of DAC, partially offset by higher life and annuity contract benefits.

Life and annuity contract benefits increased 5.4% or \$99 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to an increase in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance, growth at Allstate Benefits and worse mortality experience on life insurance. Our 2013 annual review of assumptions resulted in a \$37 million increase in reserves primarily for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance due to higher concentration of and increased projected exposure to secondary guarantees.

Life and annuity contract benefits increased 3.2% or \$57 million in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to worse mortality experience on life insurance and the reduction in accident and health insurance reserves at Allstate Benefits in 2011, partially offset by lower sales of immediate annuities with life contingencies and the reduction in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance. Our 2012 annual review of assumptions resulted in a \$13 million decrease in the reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance due to favorable projected mortality.

We analyze our mortality and morbidity results using the difference between premiums and contract charges earned for the cost of insurance and life and annuity contract benefits excluding the portion related to the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies ("benefit spread"). This implied interest totaled \$527 million, \$538 million and \$541 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The benefit spread by product group for the years ended December 31 is disclosed in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Life insurance | \$ 301 | \$ 330 | \$ 338 |
| Accident and health insurance | (18) | (9) | (9) |
| Annuities | (77) | (66) | (55) |
| | | | |
| Subtotal Allstate Life and Retirement | 206 | 255 | 274 |
| | | | |
| Life insurance | 21 | 17 | 17 |
| Accident and health insurance | 356 | 312 | 338 |
| | | | |
| Subtotal Allstate Benefits | 377 | 329 | 355 |
| | | | |
| Total benefit spread | \$ 583 | \$ 584 | \$ 629 |

Benefit spread decreased 0.2% or \$1 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to the increase in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and worse mortality experience on life insurance and annuities, partially offset by premium growth in Allstate Benefits accident and health insurance and higher cost of insurance contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance.

Benefit spread decreased 7.2% or \$45 million in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to worse mortality experience on life insurance and annuities and the reduction in accident and health insurance reserves at Allstate Benefits in 2011, partially offset by lower reinsurance premiums ceded on life insurance, higher cost of insurance contract charges on interest-sensitive life insurance and the reduction in reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance.

Interest credited to contractholder funds decreased 2.9% or \$38 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower average contractholder funds and lower interest crediting rates, partially offset by the valuation change on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that reduced interest credited expense in 2012. Interest credited to contractholder funds decreased 20.0% or \$329 million in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to the valuation change on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that reduced interest credited expense, lower average contractholder funds and lower interest crediting rates. Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged increased interest credited to contractholder funds by \$24 million in 2013 compared to a \$126 million decrease in 2012 and an \$18 million increase in 2011. During third quarter 2012, we reviewed the significant valuation inputs for these embedded derivatives and reduced the projected option cost to reflect management's current and anticipated crediting rate setting actions, which were informed by the existing and projected low interest rate environment and are consistent with our strategy to reduce exposure to spread-based business. The reduction in projected interest rates resulted in a reduction of contractholder funds and interest credited expense by \$169 million in 2012.

In order to analyze the impact of net investment income and interest credited to contractholders on net income, we monitor the difference between net investment income and the sum of interest credited to contractholder funds and the implied interest on immediate annuities with life contingencies, which is included as a component of life and annuity contract benefits on the Consolidated Statements of Operations ("investment spread").

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The investment spread by product group for the years ended December 31 is shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|--------|--------|--------|
| Annuities and institutional products | \$ 342 | \$ 292 | \$ 188 |
| Life insurance | 93 | 72 | 42 |
| Accident and health insurance | 14 | 13 | 8 |
| Allstate Bank products | | | 22 |
| Net investment income on investments supporting capital | 271 | 253 | 251 |
| | | | |
| Subtotal Allstate Life and Retirement | 720 | 630 | 511 |
| | | | |
| Life insurance | 12 | 10 | 12 |
| Accident and health insurance | 11 | 12 | 11 |
| Net investment income on investments supporting capital | 14 | 15 | 14 |
| | | | |
| Subtotal Allstate Benefits | 37 | 37 | 37 |
| | | | |
| Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged | 757 | 667 | 548 |
| | | | |
| Valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts that are not hedged | (24) | 126 | (18) |
| | | | |
| Total investment spread | \$ 733 | \$ 793 | \$ 530 |

Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged increased 13.5% or \$90 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower crediting rates, higher prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds and higher limited partnership income, partially offset by the continued managed reduction in our spread-based business in force. Investment spread before valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged increased 21.7% or \$119 million in 2012 compared to 2011 due to income from limited partnerships and lower crediting rates, partially offset by lower yields on fixed income securities and the continued managed reduction in our spread-based business in force.

To further analyze investment spreads, the following table summarizes the weighted average investment yield on assets supporting product liabilities and capital, interest crediting rates and investment spreads. For purposes of these calculations, investments, reserves and contractholder funds classified as held for sale are included.

| | Weighted average investment yield | | | Weighted average interest crediting rate | | | Weighted average investment spreads | | |
|---|-----------------------------------|------|------|--|------|------|-------------------------------------|------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | 5.1% | 5.2% | 5.4% | 3.8% | 4.0% | 4.2% | 1.3% | 1.2% | 1.2% |
| Deferred fixed annuities and institutional products | 4.5 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 1.6 | 1.4 | 1.3 |
| Immediate fixed annuities with and without life contingencies | 6.9 | 6.9 | 6.3 | 6.0 | 6.1 | 6.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.1 |
| Investments supporting capital, traditional life and other products | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.9 | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes our product liabilities as of December 31 and indicates the account value of those contracts and policies in which an investment spread is generated.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Immediate fixed annuities with life contingencies | \$ 8,928 | \$ 8,889 | \$ 8,831 |
| Other life contingent contracts and other | 3,458 | 6,006 | 5,575 |
| | | | |
| Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits | \$ 12,386 | \$ 14,895 | \$ 14,406 |
| | | | |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | \$ 7,777 | \$ 11,011 | \$ 10,826 |
| Deferred fixed annuities | 12,524 | 22,066 | 25,228 |
| Immediate fixed annuities without life contingencies | 3,675 | 3,815 | 3,821 |
| Institutional products | 85 | 1,851 | 1,891 |
| Other | 243 | 576 | 566 |
| | | | |
| Contractholder funds | \$ 24,304 | \$ 39,319 | \$ 42,332 |
| | | | |
| Traditional life insurance | \$ 570 | \$ | \$ |
| Accident and health insurance | 1,324 | | |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | 3,529 | | |
| Deferred fixed annuities | 7,416 | | |
| | | | |
| Liabilities held for sale | \$ 12,839 | \$ | \$ |

Amortization of DAC decreased 18.2% or \$73 million in 2013 compared to 2012 and 18.8% or \$93 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The components of amortization of DAC for the years ended December 31 are summarized in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Amortization of DAC before amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses, valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged and changes in assumptions | \$ 298 | \$ 310 | \$ 331 |
| Amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses ⁽¹⁾ and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged | 7 | 57 | 156 |
| Amortization acceleration for changes in assumptions ("DAC unlocking") | 23 | 34 | 7 |
| | | | |
| Total amortization of DAC | \$ 328 | \$ 401 | \$ 494 |

(1)

The impact of realized capital gains and losses on amortization of DAC is dependent upon the relationship between the assets that give rise to the gain or loss and the product liability supported by the assets. Fluctuations result from changes in the impact of realized capital gains and losses on actual and expected gross profits.

The decrease in DAC amortization in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to the absence of amortization on a large fixed annuity block that became fully amortized in 2012, lower amortization relating to valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts due to a large valuation change in 2012, lower amortization on interest-sensitive life insurance resulting from decreased benefit spread, and lower amortization acceleration for changes in assumptions. Amortization relating to valuation changes on derivatives embedded in equity-indexed annuity contracts was \$1 million in 2013 compared to \$25 million in 2012.

The decrease in DAC amortization in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to decreased amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses and decreased amortization on fixed annuity products due to the DAC balance for contracts issued prior to 2010 being fully amortized, partially offset by increased amortization acceleration for changes in assumptions and increased amortization relating to valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged.

Our annual comprehensive review of the profitability of our products to determine DAC balances for our interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts covers assumptions for persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses, interest crediting rates to policyholders, and the effect of any hedges in all product lines. In 2013, the review resulted in an acceleration of DAC amortization (charge to income) of \$23 million. Amortization acceleration of \$38 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to

Table of Contents

an increase in projected mortality and expenses, partially offset by increased projected investment margins. Amortization deceleration of \$12 million related to fixed annuities and was primarily due to an increase in projected investment margins. Amortization deceleration of \$3 million related to variable life insurance.

In 2012, the review resulted in an acceleration of DAC amortization of \$34 million. Amortization acceleration of \$38 million related to variable life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected mortality. Amortization acceleration of \$4 million related to fixed annuities and was primarily due to lower projected investment returns. Amortization deceleration of \$8 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected persistency.

In 2011, the review resulted in an acceleration of DAC amortization of \$7 million. Amortization acceleration of \$12 million related to interest-sensitive life insurance and was primarily due to an increase in projected expenses. Amortization deceleration of \$5 million related to equity-indexed annuities and was primarily due to an increase in projected investment margins.

The changes in DAC for the years ended December 31 are detailed in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Traditional life and accident and health | | Interest-sensitive life insurance | | Fixed annuities | | Total | |
|---|---|--------|--------------------------------------|----------|--------------------|--------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| | | | | | | | | |
| Beginning balance | \$ 671 | \$ 616 | \$ 1,529 | \$ 1,698 | \$ 25 | \$ 209 | \$ 2,225 | \$ 2,523 |
| Acquisition costs deferred | 164 | 154 | 176 | 192 | 24 | 25 | 364 | 371 |
| Amortization of DAC before amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses, valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged and changes in assumptions (1) | (111) | (99) | (174) | (186) | (13) | (25) | (298) | (310) |
| Amortization relating to realized capital gains and losses and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged (1) | | | (6) | (18) | (1) | (39) | (7) | (57) |
| Amortization (acceleration) deceleration for changes in assumptions ("DAC unlocking") (1) | | | (35) | (30) | 12 | (4) | (23) | (34) |
| Effect of unrealized capital gains and losses (2) | | | 201 | (127) | 28 | (141) | 229 | (268) |
| DAC classified as held for sale | (13) | | (700) | | (30) | | (743) | |
| Ending balance | \$ 711 | \$ 671 | \$ 991 | \$ 1,529 | \$ 45 | \$ 25 | \$ 1,747 | \$ 2,225 |

(1) Included as a component of amortization of DAC on the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

(2) Represents the change in the DAC adjustment for unrealized capital gains and losses. The DAC adjustment represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains

and losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

Operating costs and expenses decreased 1.9% or \$11 million in 2013 compared to 2012 and increased 3.8% or \$21 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The following table summarizes operating costs and expenses for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|-------------------------------------|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|-------------|-----|
| Non-deferrable commissions | \$ | 103 | \$ | 103 | \$ | 111 |
| General and administrative expenses | | 398 | | 421 | | 385 |
| Taxes and licenses | | 64 | | 52 | | 59 |
| | | | | | | |
| Total operating costs and expenses | \$ | 565 | \$ | 576 | \$ | 555 |
| | | | | | | |
| Restructuring and related charges | \$ | 7 | \$ | | \$ | 1 |

General and administrative expenses decreased 5.5% or \$23 million in 2013 compared to 2012, primarily due to lower employee related expenses and proceeds received from a litigation settlement.

General and administrative expenses increased 9.4% or \$36 million in 2012 compared to 2011, primarily due to higher employee related expenses, lower reinsurance expense allowances and increased marketing costs, partially offset by a charge in 2011 related to the liquidation plan for Executive Life Insurance Company of New York, the elimination of expenses following our exit from the banking business in 2011 and lower pension costs.

Loss on disposition of \$687 million in 2013 includes the estimated \$698 million loss relating to the pending LBL sale. Gain on disposition of \$18 million in 2012 relates to the amortization of the deferred gain from the disposition through

Table of Contents

reinsurance of substantially all of our variable annuity business in 2006, and the sale of Surety Life Insurance Company, which was not used for new business, in third quarter 2012. Loss on disposition of \$7 million in 2011 included \$22 million related to the dissolution of Allstate Bank. In 2011, after receiving regulatory approval to dissolve, Allstate Bank ceased operations.

Reinsurance ceded We enter into reinsurance agreements with unaffiliated reinsurers to limit our risk of mortality and morbidity losses. In addition, Allstate Financial has used reinsurance to effect the acquisition or disposition of certain blocks of business. We retain primary liability as a direct insurer for all risks ceded to reinsurers. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, 36% and 39%, respectively, of our face amount of life insurance in force was reinsured. Additionally, we ceded substantially all of the risk associated with our variable annuity business.

Our reinsurance recoverables, summarized by reinsurer as of December 31, are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Standard & Poor's financial strength rating ⁽⁴⁾ | Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid benefits | |
|---|--|---|----------|
| | | 2013 | 2012 |
| | | | |
| Prudential Insurance Company of America | AA- | \$ 1,510 | \$ 1,691 |
| RGA Reinsurance Company | AA- | 305 | 361 |
| Swiss Re Life and Health America, Inc. ⁽¹⁾ | AA- | 186 | 217 |
| Paul Revere Life Insurance Company | A | 121 | 127 |
| Munich American Reassurance | AA- | 109 | 131 |
| Scottish Re Group | N/A | 104 | 131 |
| Mutual of Omaha Insurance | A+ | 92 | 96 |
| Transamerica Life Group | AA- | 88 | 447 |
| Manulife Insurance Company | AA- | 59 | 62 |
| Triton Insurance Company | N/A | 54 | 55 |
| Security Life of Denver | A- | 48 | 83 |
| American Health & Life Insurance Co. | N/A | 44 | 45 |
| Lincoln National Life Insurance | AA- | 39 | 60 |
| General Re Life Corporation | AA+ | 25 | 31 |
| Employers Reassurance Corporation | A+ | 15 | 1,059 |
| Other ⁽²⁾ | | 73 | 92 |
| Total ⁽³⁾ | | \$ 2,872 | \$ 4,688 |

(1) The Company has extensive reinsurance contracts directly with Swiss Re and its affiliates and indirectly through Swiss Re's acquisition of other companies with whom we had reinsurance or retrocession contracts.

(2) As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the other category includes \$58 million and \$75 million, respectively, of recoverables due from reinsurers with an investment grade credit rating from Standard & Poor's ("S&P").

(3) Reinsurance recoverables classified as held for sale were \$1.66 billion as of December 31, 2013.

(4) N/A reflects no rating available.

We continuously monitor the creditworthiness of reinsurers in order to determine our risk of recoverability on an individual and aggregate basis, and a provision for uncollectible reinsurance is recorded if needed. No amounts have been deemed unrecoverable in the three-years ended

December 31, 2013.

We enter into certain intercompany reinsurance transactions for the Allstate Financial operations in order to maintain underwriting control and manage insurance risk among various legal entities. These reinsurance agreements have been approved by the appropriate regulatory authorities. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation.

INVESTMENTS 2013 HIGHLIGHTS

Investments totaled \$81.16 billion as of December 31, 2013, decreasing from \$97.28 billion as of December 31, 2012. Investments classified as held for sale totaled \$11.98 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Unrealized net capital gains totaled \$2.70 billion as of December 31, 2013, decreasing from \$5.55 billion as of December 31, 2012.

Net investment income was \$3.94 billion in 2013, a decrease of 1.7% from \$4.01 billion in 2012.

Net realized capital gains were \$594 million in 2013 compared to \$327 million in 2012.

Table of Contents**INVESTMENTS**

Overview and strategy The return on our investment portfolios is an important component of our financial results. Investment portfolios are segmented between the Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and Corporate and Other operations. While taking into consideration the investment portfolio in aggregate, we manage the underlying portfolios based upon the nature of each respective business and its corresponding liability structure.

We employ a strategic asset allocation approach which considers the nature of the liabilities and risk tolerances, as well as the risk and return parameters of the various asset classes in which we invest. This asset allocation is informed by our global economic and market outlook, as well as other inputs and constraints, including diversification effects, duration, liquidity and capital considerations. Within the ranges set by the strategic asset allocation, tactical investment decisions are made in consideration of prevailing market conditions. We manage risks associated with interest rates, credit spreads, equity markets, real estate and currency exchange rates. Our continuing focus is to manage risks and returns and to position our portfolio to take advantage of market opportunities while attempting to mitigate adverse effects.

The Property-Liability portfolio's investment strategy emphasizes protection of principal and consistent income generation, within a total return framework. This approach, which has produced competitive returns over the long term, is designed to ensure financial strength and stability for paying claims, while maximizing economic value and surplus growth.

The Allstate Financial portfolio's investment strategy focuses on the total return of assets needed to support the underlying liabilities, asset-liability management and achieving an appropriate return on capital.

The Corporate and Other portfolio's investment strategy balances the unique liquidity needs of the portfolio in relation to the overall corporate capital structure with the pursuit of returns.

Investments outlook

Although interest rates rose in 2013, we anticipate that they may remain below historic averages for an extended period of time and that financial markets will continue to have periods of high volatility. Invested assets and income are expected to decline in line with reductions in contractholder funds for the Allstate Financial segment, including \$11.98 billion of investments classified as held for sale as of December 31, 2013 related to the pending sale of Lincoln Benefit Life. Additionally, income will decline as we continue to invest and reinvest proceeds at market yields that are below the current portfolio yield. We plan to focus on the following priorities:

Managing our exposure to interest rate risk by maintaining a shorter maturity profile in the Property-Liability portfolio.

Shifting the portfolio mix to have less reliance on investments whose returns come primarily from interest payments to investments in which we have ownership interests and a greater proportion of return is derived from idiosyncratic operating or market performance including equities and real estate

Investing to the specific needs and characteristics of Allstate's businesses.

Portfolio composition The composition of the investment portfolios as of December 31, 2013 is presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Property-Liability ⁽⁵⁾ | | Allstate Financial ⁽⁵⁾ | | Corporate and Other ⁽⁵⁾ | | Total | |
|--|-----------------------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------|------------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------------|
| | | Percent to total | | Percent to total | | Percent to total | | Percent to total |
| Fixed income securities ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 29,578 | 74.6% | \$ 29,648 | 75.8% | \$ 1,684 | 69.8% | \$ 60,910 | 75.1% |
| Equity securities ⁽²⁾ | 4,396 | 11.1 | 701 | 1.8 | | | 5,097 | 6.3 |
| Mortgage loans | 429 | 1.1 | 4,292 | 11.0 | | | 4,721 | 5.8 |
| Limited partnership interests ⁽³⁾ | 2,898 | 7.3 | 2,064 | 5.3 | 5 | 0.2 | 4,967 | 6.1 |
| Short-term investments ⁽⁴⁾ | 1,002 | 2.5 | 668 | 1.7 | 723 | 30.0 | 2,393 | 2.9 |
| Other | 1,335 | 3.4 | 1,732 | 4.4 | | | 3,067 | 3.8 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|
| Total | \$ 39,638 | 100.0% | \$ 39,105 | 100.0% | \$ 2,412 | 100.0% | \$ 81,155 | 100.0% |
|-------|-----------|--------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|

(1)

Fixed income securities are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these securities was \$29.05 billion, \$28.30 billion, \$1.66 billion and \$59.01 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial, Corporate and Other, and in Total, respectively.

84

Table of Contents

- (2) Equity securities are carried at fair value. Cost basis for these securities was \$3.86 billion, \$607 million and \$4.47 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and in Total, respectively.
- (3) We have commitments to invest in additional limited partnership interests totaling \$1.48 billion, \$1.37 billion and \$2.85 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial and in Total, respectively.
- (4) Short-term investments are carried at fair value. Amortized cost basis for these investments was \$1.00 billion, \$668 million, \$723 million and \$2.39 billion for Property-Liability, Allstate Financial, Corporate and Other, and in Total, respectively.
- (5) Balances reflect the elimination of related party investments between segments.

Total investments decreased to \$81.16 billion as of December 31, 2013, from \$97.28 billion as of December 31, 2012, primarily due to the reclassification of investments relating to LBL to assets held for sale. Total investments including those classified as held for sale were \$93.14 billion as of December 31, 2013, a decrease of \$4.14 billion from December 31, 2012, reflecting net reductions in Allstate Financial's contractholder funds and lower fixed income valuations. The decline in valuation of fixed income securities during 2013 was primarily due to increasing risk-free interest rates.

The Property-Liability investment portfolio increased to \$39.64 billion as of December 31, 2013, from \$38.22 billion as of December 31, 2012, primarily due to positive operating cash flows, partially offset by dividends paid by Allstate Insurance Company ("AIC") to The Allstate Corporation (the "Corporation") and lower fixed income valuations.

The Allstate Financial investment portfolio decreased to \$39.11 billion as of December 31, 2013, from \$57.00 billion as of December 31, 2012, primarily due to the reclassification of investments relating to LBL to assets held for sale, our continuing strategy to reduce our concentration in spread based products and lower fixed income valuations.

The Corporate and Other investment portfolio increased to \$2.41 billion as of December 31, 2013, from \$2.06 billion as of December 31, 2012, primarily due to the proceeds from the issuance of debt and preferred stock, and dividends paid by AIC to the Corporation, partially offset by payments for the debt tender offer, common share repurchases and dividends paid to common shareholders.

During 2013, strategic actions focused on optimizing portfolio yield, return and risk in the low interest rate environment. In the Property-Liability portfolio, we increased our investment in short and intermediate term corporate fixed income securities and reduced our investment in long-duration municipal and corporate bonds and shorter duration U.S. government and agencies. This positioning, coupled with an increase in floating rate bank loans, has reduced our exposure to rising interest rates. While the dispositions generated net realized capital gains, we expect a decline in investment income prospectively due to the lower yield on the reinvestment of proceeds. We reduced our investments in ARS through dispositions. The carrying value of RMBS and CMBS declined due to the receipt of principal payments during the year. We also increased our real estate and limited partnership interests, consistent with our strategy to have a greater proportion of ownership of assets and equity investments.

Fixed income securities by type are listed in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Fair value as of December 31, 2013 | Percent to total investments | Fair value as of December 31, 2012 | Percent to total investments |
|------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 2,913 | 3.6% | \$ 4,713 | 4.9% |
| Municipal | 8,723 | 10.8 | 13,069 | 13.5 |
| Corporate | 40,603 | 50.0 | 48,537 | 49.9 |
| Foreign government | 1,824 | 2.2 | 2,517 | 2.6 |
| ABS | 4,518 | 5.6 | 3,624 | 3.7 |
| RMBS | 1,474 | 1.8 | 3,032 | 3.1 |
| CMBS | 829 | 1.0 | 1,498 | 1.5 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 26 | 0.1 | 27 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------|----|--------|-------|----|--------|-------|
| Total fixed income securities | \$ | 60,910 | 75.1% | \$ | 77,017 | 79.2% |
|-------------------------------|----|--------|-------|----|--------|-------|

As of December 31, 2013, 89.4% of the consolidated fixed income securities portfolio was rated investment grade, which is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from S&P, Fitch, Dominion, Kroll or Realpoint, a rating of aaa, aa, a or bbb from A.M. Best, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. All of our fixed income securities are rated by third party credit rating agencies, the National Association of Insurance Commissioners, and/or are internally rated. Our initial investment decisions and ongoing monitoring procedures for fixed income securities are based on a thorough due diligence process which includes, but is not limited to, an assessment of the credit quality, sector, structure, and liquidity risks of each issue.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the fair value and unrealized net capital gains and losses for fixed income securities by credit rating as of December 31, 2013.

| (\$ in millions) | Aaa | | Aa | | A | |
|---|------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| | Fair value | Unrealized gain/(loss) | Fair value | Unrealized gain/(loss) | Fair value | Unrealized gain/(loss) |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 2,913 | \$ 122 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Municipal | | | | | | |
| Tax exempt | 923 | 8 | 2,588 | 51 | 1,411 | 42 |
| Taxable | 202 | 6 | 1,961 | 136 | 961 | 53 |
| Corporate | | | | | | |
| Public | 588 | 12 | 2,500 | 56 | 10,833 | 345 |
| Privately placed | 633 | 8 | 921 | 52 | 3,218 | 170 |
| Foreign government | 836 | 61 | 373 | 8 | 323 | 9 |
| ABS | | | | | | |
| Collateralized debt obligations ("CDO") | 491 | 2 | 392 | | 182 | (6) |
| Consumer and other asset-backed securities ("Consumer and other ABS") | 2,660 | 21 | 275 | 7 | 198 | 8 |
| RMBS | | | | | | |
| U.S. government sponsored entities ("U.S. Agency") | 409 | 15 | | | | |
| Prime residential mortgage-backed securities ("Prime") | 24 | | 8 | | 34 | 1 |
| Alt-A residential mortgage-backed securities ("Alt-A") | 2 | | | | 4 | |
| Subprime residential mortgage-backed securities ("Subprime") | 6 | | | | 6 | |
| CMBS | 327 | 13 | 51 | 3 | 83 | 5 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | | | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | \$ 10,014 | \$ 268 | \$ 9,069 | \$ 313 | \$ 17,253 | \$ 627 |

| | Baa | | Ba or lower | | Total | |
|------------------------------|------------|------------------------|-------------|------------------------|------------|------------------------|
| | Fair value | Unrealized gain/(loss) | Fair value | Unrealized gain/(loss) | Fair value | Unrealized gain/(loss) |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | 2,913 | \$ 122 |
| Municipal Tax exempt | 240 | 5 | 121 | (2) | 5,283 | 104 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------|-----------|--------|----------|--------|-----------|----------|
| Taxable | 258 | (15) | 58 | (7) | 3,440 | 173 |
| Corporate | | | | | | |
| Public | 12,482 | 351 | 3,633 | 101 | 30,036 | 865 |
| Privately placed | 4,505 | 163 | 1,290 | 14 | 10,567 | 407 |
| Foreign government | 292 | 10 | | | 1,824 | 88 |
| ABS | | | | | | |
| CDO | 5 | | 148 | (10) | 1,218 | (14) |
| Consumer and other | | | | | | |
| ABS | 138 | 4 | 29 | 1 | 3,300 | 41 |
| RMBS | | | | | | |
| U.S. Agency | | | | | 409 | 15 |
| Prime | 63 | | 324 | 32 | 453 | 33 |
| Alt-A | 11 | | 346 | 28 | 363 | 28 |
| Subprime | | | 237 | (5) | 249 | (5) |
| CMBS | 118 | 3 | 250 | 17 | 829 | 41 |
| Redeemable preferred | | | | | | |
| stock | 25 | 4 | 1 | | 26 | 4 |
| Total fixed income | | | | | | |
| securities | \$ 18,137 | \$ 525 | \$ 6,437 | \$ 169 | \$ 60,910 | \$ 1,902 |

Municipal bonds, including tax exempt and taxable securities, totaled \$8.72 billion as of December 31, 2013 with an unrealized net capital gain of \$277 million. The municipal bond portfolio includes general obligations of state and local issuers and revenue bonds (including pre-refunded bonds, which are bonds for which an irrevocable trust has been established to fund the remaining payments of principal and interest).

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes by state the fair value, amortized cost and credit rating of our municipal bonds, excluding \$646 million of pre-refunded bonds, as of December 31, 2013.

| (\$ in millions) State | State general obligation | Local general obligation | Revenue ⁽¹⁾ | Fair value | Amortized cost | Average credit rating |
|---------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------|-----------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|
| Texas | \$ 29 | \$ 338 | \$ 333 | \$ 700 | \$ 672 | Aa |
| California | 118 | 216 | 311 | 645 | 631 | A |
| Florida | 99 | 92 | 321 | 512 | 497 | Aa |
| New York | 28 | 96 | 383 | 507 | 496 | Aa |
| Pennsylvania | 97 | 58 | 172 | 327 | 321 | Aa |
| Washington | 125 | 10 | 184 | 319 | 310 | Aa |
| Michigan | 131 | 11 | 142 | 284 | 271 | Aa |
| Ohio | 70 | 57 | 155 | 282 | 271 | Aa |
| Oregon | 48 | 153 | 67 | 268 | 250 | Aa |
| Illinois | | 67 | 190 | 257 | 250 | A |
| All others | 1,002 | 829 | 2,145 | 3,976 | 3,871 | Aa |
| Total | \$ 1,747 | \$ 1,927 | \$ 4,403 | \$ 8,077 | \$ 7,840 | Aa |

(1)

The nature of the activities supporting revenue bonds is highly diversified and includes transportation, health care, industrial development, housing, higher education, utilities, recreation/convention centers and other activities.

Our practice for acquiring and monitoring municipal bonds is predominantly based on the underlying credit quality of the primary obligor. We currently rely on the primary obligor to pay all contractual cash flows and are not relying on bond insurers for payments. As a result of downgrades in the insurers' credit ratings, the ratings of the insured municipal bonds generally reflect the underlying ratings of the primary obligor. As of December 31, 2013, 99.5% of our insured municipal bond portfolio is rated investment grade.

Corporate bonds, including publicly traded and privately placed, totaled \$40.60 billion as of December 31, 2013, with an unrealized net capital gain of \$1.27 billion. Privately placed securities primarily consist of corporate issued senior debt securities that are directly negotiated with the borrower or are in unregistered form.

Our \$10.57 billion portfolio of privately placed securities is broadly diversified by issuer, industry sector and country. The portfolio is made up of 442 issuers. Privately placed corporate obligations contain structural security features such as financial covenants and call protections that provide investors greater protection against credit deterioration, reinvestment risk or fluctuations in interest rates than those typically found in publicly registered debt securities. Additionally, investments in these securities are made after due diligence of the issuer, typically including direct discussions with senior management and on-site visits to company facilities. Ongoing monitoring includes direct periodic dialog with senior management of the issuer and continuous monitoring of operating performance and financial position. Every issue not rated by an independent rating agency is internally rated with a formal rating affirmation at least once a year.

Foreign government securities totaled \$1.82 billion as of December 31, 2013, with 100% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$88 million. Of these securities, 50.2% are in Canadian governmental and provincial securities (41.3% of which are held by our Canadian companies), 20.6% are backed by the U.S. government and the remaining 29.2% are highly diversified in other foreign governments.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS are structured securities that are primarily collateralized by consumer or corporate borrowings and residential and commercial real estate loans. The cash flows from the underlying collateral paid to the securitization trust are generally applied in a pre-determined order and are designed so that each security issued by the trust, typically referred to as a "class", qualifies for a specific original

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

rating. For example, the "senior" portion or "top" of the capital structure, or rating class, which would originally qualify for a rating of Aaa typically has priority in receiving principal repayments on the underlying collateral and retains this priority until the class is paid in full. In a sequential structure, underlying collateral principal repayments are directed to the most senior rated Aaa class in the structure until paid in full, after which principal repayments are directed to the next most senior Aaa class in the structure until it is paid in full. Senior Aaa classes generally share any losses from the underlying collateral on a pro-rata basis after losses are absorbed by classes with lower original ratings. The payment priority and class subordination included in these securities serves as credit enhancement for holders of the senior or top portions of the structures. These securities continue to retain the payment priority features that existed at the origination of the securitization trust. Other forms of

Table of Contents

credit enhancement may include structural features embedded in the securitization trust, such as overcollateralization, excess spread and bond insurance. The underlying collateral can have fixed interest rates, variable interest rates (such as adjustable rate mortgages) or may contain features of both fixed and variable rate mortgages.

ABS, including CDO and Consumer and other ABS, totaled \$4.52 billion as of December 31, 2013, with 96.1% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$27 million. Credit risk is managed by monitoring the performance of the underlying collateral. Many of the securities in the ABS portfolio have credit enhancement with features such as overcollateralization, subordinated structures, reserve funds, guarantees and/or insurance.

CDO totaled \$1.22 billion as of December 31, 2013, with 87.8% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital loss of \$14 million. CDO consist of obligations collateralized by cash flow CDO, which are structures collateralized primarily by below investment grade senior secured corporate loans.

Consumer and other ABS totaled \$3.30 billion as of December 31, 2013, with 99.1% rated investment grade. Consumer and other ABS consists of \$1.24 billion of consumer auto and \$2.06 billion of other ABS with unrealized net capital gains of \$4 million and \$37 million, respectively.

RMBS totaled \$1.47 billion as of December 31, 2013, with 38.5% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$71 million. The RMBS portfolio is subject to interest rate risk, but unlike other fixed income securities, is additionally subject to significant prepayment risk from the underlying residential mortgage loans. RMBS consists of a U.S. Agency portfolio having collateral issued or guaranteed by U.S. government agencies and a non-agency portfolio consisting of securities collateralized by Prime, Alt-A and Subprime loans. The non-agency portfolio totaled \$1.07 billion as of December 31, 2013, with 14.8% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$56 million.

CMBS totaled \$829 million as of December 31, 2013, with 69.8% rated investment grade and an unrealized net capital gain of \$41 million. The CMBS portfolio is subject to credit risk and has a sequential paydown structure. Of the CMBS investments, 93.6% are traditional conduit transactions collateralized by commercial mortgage loans, broadly diversified across property types and geographical area. The remainder consists of non-traditional CMBS such as small balance transactions, large loan pools and single borrower transactions.

Equity securities Equity securities primarily include common stocks, exchange traded and mutual funds, non-redeemable preferred stocks and real estate investment trust equity investments. The equity securities portfolio was \$5.10 billion as of December 31, 2013, with an unrealized net capital gain of \$624 million.

Mortgage loans Our mortgage loan portfolio, which is primarily held in the Allstate Financial portfolio, totaled \$4.72 billion as of December 31, 2013 and primarily comprises loans secured by first mortgages on developed commercial real estate. Key considerations used to manage our exposure include property type and geographic diversification. For further detail on our mortgage loan portfolio, see Note 6 of the consolidated financial statements.

Limited partnership interests consist of investments in private equity/debt funds, real estate funds, tax credit funds and other funds. The limited partnership interests portfolio is well diversified across a number of characteristics including fund managers, vintage years, strategies, geography (including international), and company/property types. The following table presents information about our limited partnership interests as of December 31, 2013.

| (\$ in millions) | Private equity/debt funds ⁽¹⁾ | Real estate funds | Tax credit funds | Other funds | Total |
|--|--|----------------------|---------------------|----------------|----------|
| Cost method of accounting ("Cost") | \$ 963 | \$ 477 | \$ | \$ 3 | \$ 1,443 |
| Equity method of accounting ("EMA") | 1,599 | 1,210 | 626 | 89 | 3,524 |
| Total | \$ 2,562 | \$ 1,687 | \$ 626 | \$ 92 | \$ 4,967 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|---------------------------------|-------|--------|-------|-------|
| Number of managers | 109 | 46 | 11 | 10 |
| Number of individual funds | 189 | 98 | 21 | 12 |
| Largest exposure to single fund | \$ 80 | \$ 264 | \$ 53 | \$ 83 |

(1)

Includes \$526 million of infrastructure and real asset funds.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following tables show the earnings from our limited partnership interests by fund type and accounting classification for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | | | 2012 | | | | |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------------------|---------|--------|---------------------------------------|--------|--------|---------------------------------------|
| | Cost | EMA | Total Impairment incomewrite-downs | Cost | EMA | Total Impairment incomewrite-downs | Cost | EMA | Total Impairment incomewrite-downs |
| Private equity/debt funds | \$ 162 | \$ 172 | \$ 334 | \$ (14) | \$ 94 | \$ 152 | \$ 246 | \$ (2) | |
| Real estate funds | 37 | 184 | 221 | (4) | 17 | 106 | 123 | (4) | |
| Tax credit funds | | (35) | (35) | | | (28) | (28) | | |
| Other funds | | 21 | 21 | | | 7 | 7 | | (2) |
| Total | \$ 199 | \$ 342 | \$ 541 | \$ (18) | \$ 111 | \$ 237 | \$ 348 | \$ (8) | |

Limited partnership interests produced income, excluding impairment write-downs, of \$541 million in 2013 compared to \$348 million in 2012. Higher EMA limited partnership income resulted from favorable equity and real estate valuations which increased the carrying value of the partnerships, while cost method limited partnerships experienced an increase in earnings distributed by the partnerships. Income on EMA limited partnerships is recognized on a delay due to the availability of the related financial statements. The recognition of income on private equity/debt funds, real estate funds and tax credit funds are generally on a three month delay and the income recognition on other funds is primarily on a one month delay. Income on cost method limited partnerships is recognized only upon receipt of amounts distributed by the partnerships.

Short-term investments Our short-term investment portfolio was \$2.39 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Other investments Our other investments as of December 31, 2013 primarily comprise \$919 million of policy loans, \$1.24 billion of bank loans, \$341 million of agent loans and \$269 million of certain derivatives. For further detail on our use of derivatives, see Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements.

Unrealized net capital gains totaled \$2.70 billion as of December 31, 2013 compared to \$5.55 billion as of December 31, 2012. The decline for fixed income securities was primarily due to increasing risk-free interest rates and the realization of unrealized net capital gains through sales. The increase for equity securities was primarily due to positive equity market performance, partially offset by the realization of unrealized net capital gains through sales. The following table presents unrealized net capital gains and losses as of December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|--------|--------|
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 122 | \$ 326 |
| Municipal | 277 | 930 |
| Corporate | 1,272 | 3,594 |
| Foreign government | 88 | 227 |
| ABS | 27 | 1 |
| RMBS | 71 | 32 |
| CMBS | 41 | (12) |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 4 | 4 |
| Fixed income securities | 1,902 | 5,102 |
| Equity securities | 624 | 460 |
| Derivatives | (18) | (22) |
| EMA limited partnerships | (3) | 7 |
| Investments classified as held for sale | 190 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----|-------|
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax | \$ | 2,695 | \$ | 5,547 |
|--|----|-------|----|-------|

The unrealized net capital gain for the fixed income portfolio totaled \$1.90 billion and comprised \$2.48 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$573 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2013. This is compared to an unrealized net capital gain for the fixed income portfolio totaling \$5.10 billion, comprised of \$5.63 billion of gross unrealized gains and \$530 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Gross unrealized gains and losses on fixed income securities by type and sector as of December 31, 2013 are provided in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized | | Fair value |
|---|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|------------------|
| | | Gains | Losses | |
| Corporate: | | | | |
| Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical) | \$ 9,089 | \$ 306 | \$ (66) | \$ 9,329 |
| Utilities | 6,284 | 438 | (62) | 6,660 |
| Capital goods | 4,283 | 186 | (49) | 4,420 |
| Banking | 3,345 | 84 | (47) | 3,382 |
| Basic industry | 2,404 | 75 | (38) | 2,441 |
| Energy | 3,613 | 145 | (31) | 3,727 |
| Technology | 2,255 | 56 | (31) | 2,280 |
| Communications | 2,827 | 117 | (30) | 2,914 |
| Financial services | 2,979 | 114 | (19) | 3,074 |
| Transportation | 1,560 | 92 | (10) | 1,642 |
| Other | 692 | 46 | (4) | 734 |
| Total corporate fixed income portfolio | 39,331 | 1,659 | (387) | 40,603 |
| U.S. government and agencies | 2,791 | 129 | (7) | 2,913 |
| Municipal | 8,446 | 364 | (87) | 8,723 |
| Foreign government | 1,736 | 99 | (11) | 1,824 |
| ABS | 4,491 | 71 | (44) | 4,518 |
| RMBS | 1,403 | 101 | (30) | 1,474 |
| CMBS | 788 | 48 | (7) | 829 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 22 | 4 | | 26 |
| Total fixed income securities | \$ 59,008 | \$ 2,475 | \$ (573) | \$ 60,910 |

The consumer goods, utilities, capital goods and banking sectors had the highest concentration of gross unrealized losses in our corporate fixed income securities portfolio as of December 31, 2013. In general, the gross unrealized losses are principally related to increasing risk-free interest rates or widening credit spreads since the time of initial purchase.

The unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaled \$624 million and comprised \$658 million of gross unrealized gains and \$34 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2013. This is compared to an unrealized net capital gain for the equity portfolio totaling \$460 million, comprised of \$494 million of gross unrealized gains and \$34 million of gross unrealized losses as of December 31, 2012.

Gross unrealized gains and losses on equity securities by sector as of December 31, 2013 are provided in the table below.

| (\$ in millions) | Cost | Gross unrealized | | Fair value |
|--|--------|------------------|---------|------------|
| | | Gains | Losses | |
| Emerging market equity funds | \$ 556 | \$ 3 | \$ (12) | \$ 547 |
| Utilities | 382 | 15 | (8) | 389 |
| Emerging market fixed income funds | 548 | | (6) | 542 |
| Basic industry | 163 | 26 | (4) | 185 |
| Real estate | 157 | 19 | (3) | 173 |
| Consumer goods (cyclical and non-cyclical) | 639 | 148 | (1) | 786 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------|----------|--------|---------------|
| Financial services | 178 | 47 | 225 |
| Energy | 299 | 58 | 357 |
| Technology | 227 | 66 | 293 |
| Capital goods | 239 | 56 | 295 |
| Index-based funds | 677 | 104 | 781 |
| Banking | 136 | 56 | 192 |
| Communications | 201 | 36 | 237 |
| Transportation | 71 | 24 | 95 |
| | | | |
| Total equity securities | \$ 4,473 | \$ 658 | \$ (34) 5,097 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Within the equity portfolio, the losses were primarily concentrated in emerging market equity funds, the utilities sector and emerging market fixed income funds. The unrealized losses were company and sector specific. As of December 31, 2013, we have the intent and ability to hold our equity securities with unrealized losses until recovery.

Net investment income The following table presents net investment income for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Fixed income securities | \$ 2,921 | \$ 3,234 | \$ 3,484 |
| Equity securities | 149 | 127 | 122 |
| Mortgage loans | 372 | 374 | 359 |
| Limited partnership interests ⁽¹⁾ | 541 | 348 | 88 |
| Short-term investments | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Other | 161 | 132 | 95 |
| Investment income, before expense | 4,149 | 4,221 | 4,154 |
| Investment expense | (206) | (211) | (183) |
| Net investment income | \$ 3,943 | \$ 4,010 | \$ 3,971 |

(1)

Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2013 and 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

Net investment income decreased 1.7% or \$67 million in 2013 compared to 2012, after increasing 1.0% or \$39 million in 2012 compared to 2011. The 2013 decrease was primarily due to lower average investment balances and lower fixed income yields, partially offset by higher limited partnership income and equity dividends, as well as prepayment fee income and litigation proceeds which together increased 2013 income by a total of \$68 million. Higher EMA limited partnership income resulted from favorable equity and real estate valuations which increased the carrying value of the partnerships, while cost method limited partnerships experienced an increase in earnings distributed by the partnerships. Net investment income in 2013 includes \$264 million relating to investments classified as held for sale for the period from July 17, 2013 to December 31, 2013. The 2012 increase was primarily due to income from limited partnerships, partially offset by lower average investment balances and lower fixed income yields.

Realized capital gains and losses The following table presents the components of realized capital gains and losses and the related tax effect for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|----------|----------|
| Impairment write-downs | \$ (72) | \$ (185) | \$ (496) |
| Change in intent write-downs | (143) | (48) | (100) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings | (215) | (233) | (596) |
| Sales | 819 | 536 | 1,336 |
| Valuation of derivative instruments | (6) | (11) | (291) |
| Settlements of derivative instruments | (4) | 35 | (105) |
| EMA limited partnership income ⁽¹⁾ | | | 159 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|--------|--------|--------|
| Realized capital gains and losses, pre-tax | 594 | 327 | 503 |
| Income tax expense | (209) | (111) | (179) |
| Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | \$ 385 | \$ 216 | \$ 324 |

(1) Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2013 and 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

Table of Contents

Impairment write-downs, which includes changes in the mortgage loan valuation allowance, for the years ended December 31 are presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fixed income securities | \$ (49) | \$ (108) | \$ (302) |
| Equity securities | (12) | (63) | (131) |
| Mortgage loans | 11 | 5 | (37) |
| Limited partnership interests | (18) | (8) | (6) |
| Other investments | (4) | (11) | (20) |
| | | | |
| Impairment write-downs | \$ (72) | \$ (185) | \$ (496) |

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2013 were primarily driven by CMBS that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows and municipal bonds impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Limited partnership write-downs primarily related to cost method limited partnerships that experienced declines in portfolio valuations deemed to be other than temporary. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends. The valuation allowance on mortgage loans as of December 31, 2013 decreased compared to December 31, 2012 primarily due to reversals related to loans no longer deemed impaired.

Impairment write-downs on fixed income securities in 2012 were primarily driven by RMBS and CMBS that experienced deterioration in expected cash flows and municipal and corporate fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances. Equity securities were written down primarily due to the length of time and extent to which fair value was below cost, considering our assessment of the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issuer, including relevant industry conditions and trends.

Impairment write-downs in 2011 were primarily driven by RMBS, which experienced deterioration in expected cash flows; investments with commercial real estate exposure, including CMBS, mortgage loans and municipal bonds, which were impacted by lower real estate valuations or experienced deterioration in expected cash flows; and corporate fixed income securities impacted by issuer specific circumstances.

Change in intent write-downs were \$143 million, \$48 million and \$100 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The change in intent write-downs in 2013 were primarily related to the repositioning and ongoing portfolio management of our equity securities. The change in intent write-downs in 2012 were primarily a result of ongoing comprehensive reviews of our portfolios resulting in write-downs of individually identified investments, primarily RMBS and equity securities. The change in intent write-downs in 2011 were primarily a result of ongoing comprehensive reviews of our portfolios resulting in write-downs of individually identified investments, primarily lower yielding, floating rate RMBS and municipal bonds, and equity securities.

Sales generated \$819 million, \$536 million and \$1.34 billion of net realized capital gains in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The sales in 2013 primarily related to equity securities in connection with portfolio repositioning and ongoing portfolio management and municipal and corporate fixed income securities in conjunction with reducing our exposure to interest rate risk in the Property-Liability portfolio. The sales in 2012 primarily related to corporate, municipal and U.S. government and agencies fixed income securities and equity securities in connection with portfolio repositioning. The sales in 2011 were primarily due to \$1.11 billion of net gains on sales of corporate, foreign government, U.S. government, ABS, U.S. Agency and municipal fixed income securities and \$202 million of net gains on sales of equity securities.

Valuation and settlements of derivative instruments generated net realized capital losses of \$10 million in 2013, net realized capital gains of \$24 million in 2012 and net realized capital losses of \$396 million in 2011. The net realized capital losses on derivative instruments in 2013 primarily composed of losses on equity futures used for risk management due to increases in equity indices and losses on credit default swaps due to the tightening of credit spreads on the underlying credit names. The net realized capital gains on derivative instruments in 2012 primarily included gains on credit default swaps due to the tightening of credit spreads on the underlying credit names. The net realized capital losses on derivative instruments in 2011 primarily included losses on interest rate risk management due to decreases in interest rates.

Table of Contents

MARKET RISK

Market risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Adverse changes to these rates and prices may occur due to changes in fiscal policy, the economic climate, the liquidity of a market or market segment, insolvency or financial distress of key market makers or participants or changes in market perceptions of credit worthiness and/or risk tolerance. Our primary market risk exposures are to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices.

The active management of market risk is integral to our results of operations. We may use the following approaches to manage exposure to market risk within defined tolerance ranges: 1) rebalancing existing asset or liability portfolios, 2) changing the type of investments purchased in the future and 3) using derivative instruments to modify the market risk characteristics of existing assets and liabilities or assets expected to be purchased. For a more detailed discussion of our use of derivative financial instruments, see Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements.

Overview In formulating and implementing guidelines for investing funds, we seek to earn returns that enhance our ability to offer competitive rates and prices to customers while contributing to attractive and stable profits and long-term capital growth. Accordingly, our investment decisions and objectives are a function of the underlying risks and product profiles of each business.

Investment policies define the overall framework for managing market and other investment risks, including accountability and controls over risk management activities. Subsidiaries that conduct investment activities follow policies that have been approved by their respective boards of directors. These investment policies specify the investment limits and strategies that are appropriate given the liquidity, surplus, product profile and regulatory requirements of the subsidiary. Executive oversight of investment activities is conducted primarily through subsidiaries' boards of directors and investment committees. For Allstate Financial, its asset-liability management ("ALM") policies further define the overall framework for managing market and investment risks. ALM focuses on strategies to enhance yields, mitigate market risks and optimize capital to improve profitability and returns for Allstate Financial while factoring in future expected cash requirements to repay liabilities. Allstate Financial ALM activities follow asset-liability policies that have been approved by their respective boards of directors. These ALM policies specify limits, ranges and/or targets for investments that best meet Allstate Financial's business objectives in light of its product liabilities.

We use quantitative and qualitative market-based approaches to measure, monitor and manage market risk. We evaluate our exposure to market risk through the use of multiple measures including but not limited to duration, value-at-risk, scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis. Duration measures the price sensitivity of assets and liabilities to changes in interest rates. For example, if interest rates increase 100 basis points, the fair value of an asset with a duration of 5 is expected to decrease in value by 5%. Value-at-risk is a statistical estimate of the probability that the change in fair value of a portfolio will exceed a certain amount over a given time horizon. Scenario analysis estimates the potential changes in the fair value of a portfolio that could occur under different hypothetical market conditions defined by changes to multiple market risk factors: interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. Sensitivity analysis estimates the potential changes in the fair value of a portfolio that could occur under different hypothetical shocks to a market risk factor. In general, we establish investment portfolio asset allocation and market risk limits for the Property-Liability and Allstate Financial businesses based upon a combination of duration, value-at-risk, scenario analysis and sensitivity analysis. The asset allocation limits place restrictions on the total funds that may be invested within an asset class. Comprehensive day-to-day management of market risk within defined tolerance ranges occurs as portfolio managers buy and sell within their respective markets based upon the acceptable boundaries established by investment policies. For Allstate Financial, this day-to-day management is integrated with and informed by the activities of the ALM organization. This integration is intended to result in a prudent, methodical and effective adjudication of market risk and return, conditioned by the unique demands and dynamics of Allstate Financial's product liabilities and supported by the continuous application of advanced risk technology and analytics.

Although we apply a similar overall philosophy to market risk, the underlying business frameworks and the accounting and regulatory environments differ considerably between the Property-Liability and Allstate Financial businesses affecting investment decisions and risk parameters.

Interest rate risk is the risk that we will incur a loss due to adverse changes in interest rates relative to the characteristics of our interest bearing assets and liabilities. This risk arises from many of our primary activities, as we invest substantial funds in interest-sensitive assets and issue interest-sensitive liabilities. Interest rate risk includes risks related to changes in U.S. Treasury yields and other key risk-free reference yields.

We manage the interest rate risk in our assets relative to the interest rate risk in our liabilities. One of the measures used to quantify this exposure is duration. The difference in the duration of our assets relative to our liabilities is our

Table of Contents

duration gap. To calculate the duration gap between assets and liabilities, we project asset and liability cash flows and calculate their net present value using a risk-free market interest rate adjusted for credit quality, sector attributes, liquidity and other specific risks. Duration is calculated by revaluing these cash flows at alternative interest rates and determining the percentage change in aggregate fair value. The cash flows used in this calculation include the expected maturity and repricing characteristics of our derivative financial instruments, all other financial instruments, and certain other items including unearned premiums, property-liability insurance claims and claims expense reserves, annuity liabilities and other interest-sensitive liabilities. The projections include assumptions (based upon historical market experience and our experience) that reflect the effect of changing interest rates on the prepayment, lapse, leverage and/or option features of instruments, where applicable. The preceding assumptions relate primarily to mortgage-backed securities, municipal housing bonds, callable municipal and corporate obligations, and fixed rate single and flexible premium deferred annuities. Additionally, the calculations include assumptions regarding the renewal of property-liability policies.

As of December 31, 2013, the difference between our asset and liability duration was a (0.95) gap compared to a (0.23) gap as of December 31, 2012. A negative duration gap indicates that the fair value of our liabilities is more sensitive to interest rate movements than the fair value of our assets, while a positive duration gap indicates that the fair value of our assets is more sensitive to interest rate movements than the fair value of our liabilities. The Property-Liability segment generally maintains a positive duration gap between its assets and liabilities due to the relatively short duration of auto and homeowners claims, which are its primary liabilities. The Allstate Financial segment may have a positive or negative duration gap, as the duration of its assets and liabilities vary with its product mix and investing activity. As of December 31, 2013, Property-Liability had a positive duration gap while Allstate Financial had a negative duration gap.

In the management of investments supporting the Property-Liability business, we adhere to an objective of emphasizing safety of principal and consistency of income within a total return framework. This approach is designed to ensure our financial strength and stability for paying claims, while maximizing economic value and surplus growth.

For the Allstate Financial business, we seek to invest premiums, contract charges and deposits to generate future cash flows that will fund future claims, benefits and expenses, and that will earn stable returns across a wide variety of interest rate and economic scenarios. To achieve this objective and limit interest rate risk for Allstate Financial, we adhere to a philosophy of managing the duration of assets and related liabilities within predetermined tolerance levels. This philosophy is executed using duration targets for fixed income investments in addition to interest rate swaps, futures, forwards, caps, floors and swaptions to reduce the interest rate risk resulting from mismatches between existing assets and liabilities, and financial futures and other derivative instruments to hedge the interest rate risk of anticipated purchases and sales of investments and product sales to customers.

Based upon the information and assumptions used in the duration calculation, and interest rates in effect as of December 31, 2013, we estimate that a 100 basis point immediate, parallel increase in interest rates ("rate shock") would increase the net fair value of the assets and liabilities by \$826 million, compared to an increase of \$211 million as of December 31, 2012, reflecting year to year changes in duration. The selection of a 100 basis point immediate, parallel change in interest rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event. The estimate excludes the traditional and interest-sensitive life insurance products that are not considered financial instruments and the \$13.13 billion of assets supporting them and the associated liabilities. The \$13.13 billion of assets excluded from the calculation increased from \$12.04 billion as of December 31, 2012. Based on assumptions described above, in the event of a 100 basis point immediate increase in interest rates, the assets supporting life insurance products would decrease in value by \$753 million, compared to a decrease of \$737 million as of December 31, 2012.

To the extent that conditions differ from the assumptions we used in these calculations, duration and rate shock measures could be significantly impacted. Additionally, our calculations assume that the current relationship between short-term and long-term interest rates (the term structure of interest rates) will remain constant over time. As a result, these calculations may not fully capture the effect of non-parallel changes in the term structure of interest rates and/or large changes in interest rates.

Credit spread risk is the risk that we will incur a loss due to adverse changes in credit spreads ("spreads"). This risk arises from many of our primary activities, as we invest substantial funds in spread-sensitive fixed income assets.

We manage the spread risk in our assets. One of the measures used to quantify this exposure is spread duration. Spread duration measures the price sensitivity of the assets to changes in spreads. For example, if spreads increase 100 basis points, the fair value of an asset exhibiting a spread duration of 5 is expected to decrease in value by 5%.

Table of Contents

Spread duration is calculated similarly to interest rate duration. As of December 31, 2013, the spread duration of Property-Liability assets was 3.28, compared to 4.04 as of December 31, 2012, and the spread duration of Allstate Financial assets was 5.35, compared to 5.85 as of December 31, 2012. Based upon the information and assumptions we use in this spread duration calculation, and spreads in effect as of December 31, 2013, we estimate that a 100 basis point immediate, parallel increase in spreads across all asset classes, industry sectors and credit ratings ("spread shock") would decrease the net fair value of the assets by \$3.46 billion compared to \$4.04 billion as of December 31, 2012. Reflected in the duration calculation are the effects of our tactical actions that use credit default swaps to manage spread risk. The selection of a 100 basis point immediate parallel change in spreads should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

Equity price risk is the risk that we will incur losses due to adverse changes in the general levels of the equity markets. As of December 31, 2013, we held \$5.04 billion in common stocks and exchange traded and mutual funds and \$5.02 billion in other securities with equity risk (including primarily limited partnership interests, non-redeemable preferred securities and equity-linked notes), compared to \$3.99 billion and \$4.97 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. 86.1% and 58.8% of these totals, respectively, represented assets of the Property-Liability operations as of December 31, 2013, compared to 90.8% and 60.2%, respectively, as of December 31, 2012.

As of December 31, 2013, our portfolio of common stocks and other securities with equity risk had a cash market portfolio beta of 1.10, compared to a beta of 0.86 as of December 31, 2012. Beta represents a widely used methodology to describe, quantitatively, an investment's market risk characteristics relative to an index such as the Standard & Poor's 500 Composite Price Index ("S&P 500"). Based on the beta analysis, we estimate that if the S&P 500 increases or decreases by 10%, the fair value of our equity investments will increase or decrease by 11.0%, respectively. Based upon the information and assumptions we used to calculate beta as of December 31, 2013, we estimate that an immediate decrease in the S&P 500 of 10% would decrease the net fair value of our equity investments by \$1.10 billion, compared to \$766 million as of December 31, 2012, and an immediate increase in the S&P 500 of 10% would increase the net fair value by \$1.10 billion compared to \$766 million as of December 31, 2012. The selection of a 10% immediate decrease or increase in the S&P 500 should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

The beta of our common stocks and other securities with equity risk was determined by calculating the change in the fair value of the portfolio resulting from stressing the equity market up and down 10%. The illustrations noted above may not reflect our actual experience if the future composition of the portfolio (hence its beta) and correlation relationships differ from the historical relationships.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, we had separate accounts assets, including those classified as held for sale, related to variable annuity and variable life contracts with account values totaling \$6.74 billion and \$6.61 billion, respectively. Equity risk exists for contract charges based on separate account balances and guarantees for death and/or income benefits provided by our variable products. In 2006, we disposed of substantially all of the variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial Inc. and therefore mitigated this aspect of our risk. Equity risk for our variable life business relates to contract charges and policyholder benefits. Total variable life contract charges for 2013 and 2012 were \$67 million and \$71 million, respectively. Separate account liabilities related to variable life contracts were \$900 million and \$767 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012 we had \$3.71 billion and \$3.63 billion, respectively, in equity-indexed annuity liabilities that provide customers with interest crediting rates based on the performance of the S&P 500. We hedge the majority of the risk associated with these liabilities using equity-indexed options and futures and eurodollar futures, maintaining risk within specified value-at-risk limits. \$2.26 billion of the December 31, 2013 balance are a component of the pending LBL sale.

Foreign currency exchange rate risk is the risk that we will incur economic losses due to adverse changes in foreign currency exchange rates. This risk primarily arises from our foreign equity investments, including real estate funds and private equity funds, and our Canadian, Northern Ireland and Indian operations. We also have investments in certain fixed income securities and emerging market fixed income funds that are denominated in foreign currencies; however, derivatives are used to hedge approximately 29% of this foreign currency risk.

As of December 31, 2013, we had \$1.10 billion in foreign currency denominated equity investments, \$878 million net investment in our foreign subsidiaries, and \$330 million in unhedged non-dollar pay fixed income securities. These amounts were \$1.11 billion, \$858 million, and \$548 million, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. 80.9% of the foreign currency exposure is in the Property-Liability business.

Table of Contents

Based upon the information and assumptions used as of December 31, 2013, we estimate that a 10% immediate unfavorable change in each of the foreign currency exchange rates to which we are exposed would decrease the value of our foreign currency denominated instruments by \$254 million, compared with an estimated \$264 million decrease as of December 31, 2012. The selection of a 10% immediate decrease in all currency exchange rates should not be construed as our prediction of future market events, but only as an illustration of the potential effect of such an event.

The modeling technique we use to report our currency exposure does not take into account correlation among foreign currency exchange rates. Even though we believe it is very unlikely that all of the foreign currency exchange rates that we are exposed to would simultaneously decrease by 10%, we nonetheless stress test our portfolio under this and other hypothetical extreme adverse market scenarios. Our actual experience may differ from these results because of assumptions we have used or because significant liquidity and market events could occur that we did not foresee.

PENSION PLANS

We have defined benefit pension plans, which cover most full-time, certain part-time employees and employee-agents. See Note 18 of the consolidated financial statements for a complete discussion of these plans and their effect on the consolidated financial statements. The pension and other postretirement plans may be amended or terminated at any time. Any revisions could result in significant changes to our obligations and our obligation to fund the plans.

We report unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income in shareholders' equity. It represents the after-tax differences between the fair value of plan assets and the projected benefit obligation ("PBO") for pension plans and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation for other postretirement plans that have not yet been recognized as a component of net periodic cost. As of December 31, 2013, it totaled \$638 million comprising \$854 million related to pension benefits and \$(216) million related to other postretirement benefits. The unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost decreased by \$1.09 billion as of December 31, 2013 from \$1.73 billion as of December 31, 2012. The measurement of the unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost can vary based upon the fluctuations in the fair value of plan assets and the actuarial assumptions used for the plans as discussed below. During 2013, we amended our primary pension plans effective January 1, 2014 to introduce a new cash balance formula to replace the previous formulas (including the final average pay formula and the previous cash balance formula) under which eligible employees accrue benefits. In addition, during 2013 we eliminated the retiree life insurance benefits effective January 1, 2014 for current eligible employees and effective January 1, 2016 for eligible retirees who retired after 1989. The estimated after-tax developments reducing the unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost included:

\$397 million due to actuarial assumption and census data updates, including approximately \$385 million, after-tax, due to increases in the discount rate assumptions.

\$329 million due to the change in the pension plan benefit formulas.

\$180 million due to lump sum settlement charges.

\$109 million due to annual amortization.

\$68 million due to the elimination of the retiree life benefits for eligible retirees who retired after 1989.

The components of net periodic pension cost for all pension plans for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Service cost | \$ 140 | \$ 152 | \$ 151 |
| Interest cost | 265 | 298 | 322 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (394) | (393) | (367) |
| Amortization of: | | | |
| Prior service credit | (28) | (2) | (2) |
| Net actuarial loss | 235 | 178 | 154 |
| Settlement loss | 277 | 33 | 46 |
| Net periodic cost | \$ 495 | \$ 266 | \$ 304 |

The service cost component is the actuarial present value of the benefits attributed by the plans benefit formula to services rendered by the employees during the period. Interest cost is the increase in the PBO in the period due to the passage of time at the discount rate. Interest cost fluctuates as the discount rate changes and is also impacted by the related change in the size of the PBO. The decrease or increase in the PBO due to an increase or decrease in the discount rate is deferred and decreases or increases the net actuarial loss. It is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as unrecognized pension benefit cost and may be amortized.

Table of Contents

The expected return on plan assets is determined as the product of the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets and the adjusted fair value of plan assets, referred to as the market-related value of plan assets. To determine the market-related value, the fair value of plan assets is adjusted annually so that differences between changes in the fair value of equity securities and hedge fund limited partnerships and the expected long-term rate of return on these securities are recognized into the market-related value of plan assets over a five year period. We believe this is consistent with the long-term nature of pension obligations.

When the actual return on plan assets exceeds the expected return on plan assets it reduces the net actuarial loss; when the expected return exceeds the actual return it increases the net actuarial loss. It is recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income as unrecognized pension benefit cost and may be amortized. The market-related value adjustment represents the current difference between actual returns and expected returns on equity securities and hedge fund limited partnerships recognized over a five year period. The market-related value adjustment is a deferred net gain of \$19 million as of December 31, 2013. The expected return on plan assets fluctuates when the market-related value of plan assets changes and when the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption changes.

Amortization of net actuarial loss in pension cost is recorded when the net actuarial loss including the unamortized market-related value adjustment exceeds 10% of the greater of the PBO or the market-related value of plan assets. The amount of amortization is equal to the excess divided by the average remaining service period for active employees for each plan, which approximates 9 years for Allstate's largest plan. As a result, the effect of changes in the PBO due to changes in the discount rate and changes in the fair value of plan assets may be experienced in our net periodic pension cost in periods subsequent to those in which the fluctuations actually occur.

Net actuarial loss fluctuates as the discount rate fluctuates, as the actual return on plan assets differ from the expected long-term rate of return on plans assets, and as actual plan experience differs from other actuarial assumptions. Net actuarial loss related to changes in the discount rate will change when interest rates change and from amortization of net actuarial loss when there is an excess sufficient to qualify for amortization. Net actuarial loss related to changes in the fair value of plan assets will change when plan assets change in fair value and when there is an excess sufficient to qualify for amortization. Other net actuarial loss will change over time due to changes in other valuation assumptions and the plan participants or when there is an excess sufficient to qualify for amortization.

An increase in the discount rate decreased the net actuarial loss by \$593 million in 2013 and a decrease in the discount rate increased the net actuarial loss by \$806 million and \$407 million in 2012 and 2011, respectively. The difference between actual and expected returns on plan assets (decreased) increased the net actuarial loss by \$(172) million, \$(201) million, and \$100 million in 2013, 2012, and 2011, respectively.

Settlement charges are non-cash charges that accelerate the recognition of unrecognized pension benefit cost, that would have been incurred in subsequent periods, when plan payments primarily lump sums from qualified pension plans, exceed a threshold of service and interest cost for the period. The value of lump sums paid to employees electing retirement in 2013 was elevated due to historically low interest rates. Voluntary retirement activity during the fourth quarter was almost five times the typical level. Of the \$180 million settlement charges, after-tax, \$150 million were reported in the Corporate and Other Segment, since the Corporation is the plan sponsor for the employee pension plans.

Net periodic pension cost in 2014 is estimated to be \$55 million including expected settlement charges of \$44 million primarily for agent lump sum payments. Expected returns on plan assets and amortization of prior service credits offset the other components of pension cost. Over half of the \$440 million decrease from pension cost of \$495 million in 2013 is due to a decline of \$233 million in expected settlement charges. The remainder is due to lower amortization of net actuarial loss, lower service cost and interest cost, and a higher amortization of prior service credit as a result of the change in plan benefit formulas. Pension expense is reported consistent with other types of employee compensation and as a result is included in claims expense, operating costs and expenses and investment expense. Employee plan settlement charges are reported in the Corporate and other segment because the Corporation is the plan sponsor. Net periodic pension cost increased in 2013 compared to \$266 million in 2012 due to an increase in the amortization expense for prior year's net actuarial losses (gain) which increased due to a lower discount rate used to value the pension plans. Net periodic pension cost decreased in 2012 compared to \$304 million in 2011 primarily due to an increase in the expected return on plan assets, a lower discount rate used to value the pension plans and a decrease in settlement charges partially offset by increased amortization of net actuarial loss (gain). In 2013, 2012 and 2011, net pension cost included non-cash settlement charges primarily resulting from lump sum distributions. Settlement charges are likely to continue for some period in the future as we settle our remaining agent pension obligations by making lump sum distributions to agents.

Table of Contents

Unrecognized pension benefit cost, pre-tax, has primarily resulted from changes in the discount rates and asset returns differing from expected returns. As of December 31, 2013, the discount rate increased to 5.0% following a decline over the last five years from 7.5%, due to the decline in the weighted average yields of the investments that qualify for consideration to establish the assumption for the discount rate. Also, plan assets sustained net losses in 2008 primarily due to declines in equity and credit markets.

We anticipate that the net actuarial loss for our pension plans will exceed 10% of the greater of the PBO or the market-related value of assets in 2014 and into the foreseeable future, resulting in additional amortization and net periodic pension cost. The net actuarial loss will be amortized over the remaining service life of active employees (approximately 9 years) or will reverse with increases in the discount rate or better than expected returns on plan assets.

Amounts recorded for net periodic pension cost and accumulated other comprehensive income are significantly affected by changes in the assumptions used to determine the discount rate and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets. The discount rate is based on rates at which expected pension benefits attributable to past employee service could effectively be settled on a present value basis at the measurement date. We develop the assumed discount rate by utilizing the weighted average yield of a theoretical dedicated portfolio derived from non-callable bonds and bonds with a make-whole provision available in the Bloomberg corporate bond universe having ratings of at least "AA" by S&P or at least "Aa" by Moody's on the measurement date with cash flows that match expected plan benefit requirements. Significant changes in discount rates, such as those caused by changes in the credit spreads, yield curve, the mix of bonds available in the market, the duration of selected bonds and expected benefit payments, may result in volatility in pension cost and accumulated other comprehensive income.

Holding other assumptions constant, a hypothetical decrease of 100 basis points in the discount rate would result in an increase of \$39 million in net periodic pension cost and a \$379 million increase in the unrecognized pension cost liability recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2013, compared to an increase of \$51 million in net periodic pension cost and a \$503 million increase in the unrecognized pension cost liability as of December 31, 2012. A hypothetical increase of 100 basis points in the discount rate would decrease net periodic pension cost by \$35 million and would decrease the unrecognized pension cost liability recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income by \$322 million as of December 31, 2013, compared to a decrease in net periodic pension cost of \$45 million and a \$421 million decrease in the unrecognized pension cost liability recorded as accumulated other comprehensive income as of December 31, 2012. This non-symmetrical range results from the non-linear relationship between discount rates and pension obligations, and changes in the amortization of unrealized net actuarial gains and losses.

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets reflects the average rate of earnings expected on plan assets. While this rate reflects long-term assumptions and is consistent with long-term historical returns, sustained changes in the market or changes in the mix of plan assets may lead to revisions in the assumed long-term rate of return on plan assets that may result in variability of pension cost. Differences between the actual return on plan assets and the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets are a component of net actuarial loss and are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Holding other assumptions constant, a hypothetical decrease of 100 basis points in the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets would result in an increase of \$55 million in pension cost as of December 31, 2013, compared to \$51 million as of December 31, 2012. A hypothetical increase of 100 basis points in the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets would result in a decrease in net periodic pension cost of \$55 million as of December 31, 2013, compared to \$51 million as of December 31, 2012.

We target funding levels in accordance with regulations under the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") and generally accepted actuarial principles. Our funding levels were within our targeted range as of December 31, 2013. In 2013, we contributed \$561 million to our pension plans. We expect to contribute \$38 million for the 2014 fiscal year to maintain the plans' funded status. This estimate could change significantly following either an improvement or decline in investment markets.

GOODWILL

Goodwill represents the excess of amounts paid for acquiring businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The goodwill balances were \$825 million and \$418 million as of December 31, 2013 for the Allstate Protection segment and the Allstate Financial segment, respectively. Our reporting units are equivalent to our reporting segments, Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial. Goodwill is allocated to reporting units based on which unit is expected to benefit from the synergies of the business combination.

Table of Contents

Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment at least annually. We perform our annual goodwill impairment testing during the fourth quarter of each year based upon data as of the close of the third quarter. We also review goodwill for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as deteriorating or adverse market conditions, indicate that it is more likely than not that the carrying amount of goodwill may exceed its implied fair value.

Impairment testing requires the use of estimates and judgments. For purposes of goodwill impairment testing, if the carrying value of a reporting unit exceeds its estimated fair value, the second step of the goodwill test is required. In such instances, the implied fair value of the goodwill is determined in the same manner as the amount of goodwill that would be determined in a business acquisition. The excess of the carrying value of goodwill over the implied fair value of goodwill would be recognized as an impairment and recorded as a charge against net income.

To estimate the fair value of our reporting units for our annual impairment test, we initially utilize a stock price and market capitalization analysis and apportion the value between our reporting units using peer company price to book multiples. If the stock price and market capitalization analysis does not result in the fair value of the reporting unit exceeding its carrying value, we may also utilize a peer company price to earnings multiples analysis and/or a discounted cash flow analysis to supplement the stock price and market capitalization analysis. If a combination of valuation techniques are utilized, the analyses would be weighted based on management's judgment of their relevance given current facts and circumstances.

The stock price and market capitalization analysis takes into consideration the quoted market price of our outstanding common stock and includes a control premium, derived from historical insurance industry acquisition activity, in determining the estimated fair value of the consolidated entity before allocating that fair value to individual reporting units. The total market capitalization of the consolidated entity is allocated to the individual reporting units using book value multiples derived from peer company data for the respective reporting units. The peer company price to earnings multiples analysis takes into consideration the price earnings multiples of peer companies for each reporting unit and estimated income from our strategic plan. The discounted cash flow analysis utilizes long term assumptions for revenue growth, capital growth, earnings projections including those used in our strategic plan, and an appropriate discount rate. We apply significant judgment when determining the fair value of our reporting units and when assessing the relationship of market capitalization to the estimated fair value of our reporting units. The valuation analyses described above are subject to critical judgments and assumptions and may be potentially sensitive to variability. Estimates of fair value are inherently uncertain and represent management's reasonable expectation regarding future developments. These estimates and the judgments and assumptions utilized may differ from future actual results. Declines in the estimated fair value of our reporting units could result in goodwill impairments in future periods which may be material to our results of operations but not our financial position.

During fourth quarter 2013, we completed our annual goodwill impairment test using information as of September 30, 2013. The stock price and market capitalization analysis resulted in the fair value of our reporting units exceeding their respective carrying values. The results of this analysis are supported by the operating performance of the individual reporting units as well as their respective industry sector's performance. Goodwill impairment evaluations indicated no impairment as of December 31, 2013 and no reporting unit was at risk of having its carrying value including goodwill exceed its fair value.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY 2013 HIGHLIGHTS

Shareholders' equity as of December 31, 2013 was \$21.48 billion, an increase of 4.4% from \$20.58 billion as of December 31, 2012.

On April 1, 2013, July 1, 2013, and October 1, 2013, we paid common shareholder dividends of \$0.25 each. On November 19, 2013, we declared a common shareholder dividend of \$0.25 to be payable on January 2, 2014. On February 19, 2014, we declared a common shareholder dividend of \$0.28 to be payable on April 1, 2014.

On October 15, 2013, we paid a dividend on our 5.625% preferred stock for the dividend period from June 12, 2013 through October 14, 2013. On November 19, 2013, we declared dividends on our 5.625% preferred stock for the dividend period from October 15, 2013 through January 14, 2014 to be payable on January 15, 2014 and our 6.75% preferred stock for the dividend period from September 30, 2013 through January 14, 2014 to be payable on January 15, 2014. On February 19, 2014, we declared dividends on our 5.625% and 6.75% preferred stock for the dividend period from January 15, 2014 through April 14, 2014 to be payable on April 15, 2014 and our 6.625% preferred stock for the dividend period from December 16, 2013 through April 14, 2014 to be payable on April 15, 2014.

During 2013, we repurchased 37.4 million common shares for \$1.84 billion. As of December 31, 2013, there was \$139 million remaining on our common share repurchase programs which was completed in February 2014. In

Table of Contents

February 2014, a new \$2.5 billion common share repurchase program was authorized and is expected to be completed by August 2015.

CAPITAL RESOURCES AND LIQUIDITY

Capital resources consist of shareholders' equity and debt, representing funds deployed or available to be deployed to support business operations or for general corporate purposes. The following table summarizes our capital resources as of December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Preferred stock, common stock, retained income and other shareholders' equity items | \$ 20,434 | \$ 19,405 | \$ 18,269 |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,046 | 1,175 | 29 |
| | | | |
| Total shareholders' equity | 21,480 | 20,580 | 18,298 |
| Debt | 6,201 | 6,057 | 5,908 |
| | | | |
| Total capital resources | \$ 27,681 | \$ 26,637 | \$ 24,206 |

| | | | |
|---------------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Ratio of debt to shareholders' equity | 28.9% | 29.4% | 32.3% |
| Ratio of debt to capital resources | 22.4% | 22.7% | 24.4% |

Shareholders' equity increased in 2013, primarily due to net income, decreased unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost from increasing the discount rate, changes in plan benefits and settlements charges reducing the plan's benefit obligations, and the issuance of preferred stock, partially offset by common share repurchases, decreased unrealized net capital gains on investments and dividends paid to shareholders. Shareholders' equity increased in 2012, primarily due to net income and increased unrealized net capital gains on investments, partially offset by common share repurchases and dividends paid to shareholders.

Preferred stock On June 12, 2013, we issued 11,500 shares of 5.625% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$287.5 million. The proceeds of this issuance were used to fund the repurchase of debt and for general corporate purposes. On September 30, 2013, we issued 15,400 shares of 6.75% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$385 million. The proceeds of this issuance were used for general corporate purposes, including to prefund the repayment of debt maturing in 2014. In December 2013, we issued 5,400 shares of 6.625% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock for gross proceeds of \$135 million. The proceeds of this issuance were used for general corporate purposes.

Debt On January 10, 2013, we issued \$500 million of 5.10% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Debentures due 2053. The proceeds of this issuance were used for general corporate purposes, including the repurchase of our common stock through open market purchases and through the accelerated repurchase program entered into on February 28, 2013. On June 7, 2013, we issued \$500 million of 3.15% Senior Notes due 2023 and \$500 million of 4.50% Senior Notes due 2043. The proceeds of this issuance were used to fund the repurchase of debt and for general corporate purposes. In June 2013, we issued \$500 million of commercial paper with the proceeds used to fund the repurchase of debt. On August 8, 2013, we issued \$800 million of 5.75% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Debentures due 2053. The proceeds of this issuance were used for the repayment of the commercial paper borrowings, to fund the repurchase of debt, for the repurchase of our common stock in open market purchases, and for general corporate purposes.

On June 20, 2013, we repurchased principal amounts of \$1.83 billion of debt and recognized a loss on extinguishment of \$480 million, pre-tax, representing the excess of the repurchase price over the principal repaid, the write-off of the unamortized debt issuance costs and other costs related to the repurchase transaction. During third and fourth quarter 2013, we repurchased principal amounts of \$73 million of debt and recognized a loss on extinguishment of \$11 million, pre-tax, representing the excess of the repurchase price over the principal repaid and the write-off of the unamortized debt issuance costs.

The next debt maturities are on May 16, 2014 when \$300 million of 6.20% Senior Notes are due and August 15, 2014 when \$650 million of 5.00% Senior Notes are due and which are expected to be paid from available funds. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, there were no outstanding commercial paper borrowings. For further information on outstanding debt, see Note 13 of the consolidated financial statements.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

During 2014, we may execute additional issuances of perpetual preferred stock for general corporate purposes.

Common share repurchases In July 2013, our \$1.00 billion common share repurchase program that commenced in December 2012 was completed. As of December 31, 2013, our \$1.00 billion common share repurchase program that

Table of Contents

was authorized in February 2013 had \$139 million remaining which was completed in February 2014. On February 28, 2013, we entered into an accelerated share repurchase agreement with Barclays Bank PLC ("Barclays") and Barclays Capital Inc., as Barclays' agent, to purchase \$500 million of our outstanding common stock. The accelerated share repurchase agreement settled on June 6, 2013. During 2013, we repurchased 37.4 million common shares for \$1.84 billion. In February 2014, a new \$2.5 billion common share repurchase program was authorized and is expected to be completed by August 2015.

Since 1995, we have acquired 561 million shares of our common stock at a cost of \$23.01 billion, primarily as part of various stock repurchase programs. We have reissued 112 million common shares since 1995, primarily associated with our equity incentive plans, the 1999 acquisition of American Heritage Life Investment Corporation and the 2001 redemption of certain mandatorily redeemable preferred securities. Since 1995, total common shares outstanding has decreased by 451 million shares or 50.1%, primarily due to our repurchase programs.

Financial ratings and strength The following table summarizes our senior long-term debt, commercial paper and insurance financial strength ratings as of December 31, 2013.

| | Moody's | Standard & Poor's | A.M. Best |
|--|----------------|------------------------------|------------------|
| The Allstate Corporation (senior long-term debt) | A3 | A- | a- |
| The Allstate Corporation (commercial paper) | P-2 | A-2 | AMB-1 |
| Allstate Insurance Company (insurance financial strength) | Aa3 | AA- | A+ |
| Allstate Life Insurance Company (insurance financial strength) | A1 | A+ | A+ |

Our ratings are influenced by many factors including our operating and financial performance, asset quality, liquidity, asset/liability management, overall portfolio mix, financial leverage (i.e., debt), exposure to risks such as catastrophes and the current level of operating leverage.

In January 2014, A.M. Best affirmed The Allstate Corporation's debt and commercial paper ratings of a- and AMB-1, respectively, and our insurance entities financial strength ratings of A+ for AIC and Allstate Life Insurance Company ("ALIC"). The outlook for AIC and ALIC remained stable. In April 2013, Moody's affirmed The Allstate Corporation's debt and commercial paper ratings of A3 and P-2, respectively, AIC's financial strength ratings of Aa3 and ALIC's financial strength rating of A1. The outlook for all Moody's ratings was revised to stable from negative. In May 2013, S&P affirmed The Allstate Corporation's debt and commercial paper ratings of A- and A-2, respectively, AIC's financial strength ratings of AA- and ALIC's financial strength rating of A+. The outlook for all S&P ratings was revised to stable from negative. The affirmation was based in part on the expectation that capital will be maintained within S&P's guideline. In the future, if our financial position is less than rating agency expectations including those related to capitalization at the parent company, AIC or ALIC, we could be exposed to a downgrade in our ratings which we do not view as being material to our business model or strategies.

We have distinct and separately capitalized groups of subsidiaries licensed to sell property and casualty insurance in New Jersey and Florida that maintain separate group ratings. The ratings of these groups are influenced by the risks that relate specifically to each group. Many mortgage companies require property owners to have insurance from an insurance carrier with a secure financial strength rating from an accredited rating agency. On February 12, 2014, A.M. Best affirmed the Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company, which writes auto and homeowners insurance, rating of A-. The outlook for this rating is stable. Allstate New Jersey Insurance Company also has a Financial Stability Rating® of A" from Demotech, which was affirmed on November 22, 2013. On August 29, 2013, A.M. Best affirmed the Castle Key Insurance Company, which underwrites personal lines property insurance in Florida, rating of B-. The outlook for the rating is negative. Castle Key Insurance Company also has a Financial Stability Rating® of A' from Demotech, which was affirmed on November 22, 2013.

Subsequent to the announcement of the pending sale of LBL, the rating agencies initiated reviews of LBL's ratings and outlook. Moody's downgraded LBL from A1 to Baa1 and revised the rating outlook from stable to negative. Both the rating and outlook will be finalized after the transaction closes. S&P downgraded LBL from A+ to BBB+ and placed LBL on CreditWatch negative. Both the rating and CreditWatch will be finalized after the transaction closes. A.M. Best placed LBL's rating under review with negative implications, pending a final determination on both the rating and outlook after the transaction closes. The Moody's, S&P and A.M. Best ratings and outlook of ALIC are unaffected by the sale of LBL.

ALIC, AIC and The Allstate Corporation are party to the Amended and Restated Intercompany Liquidity Agreement ("Liquidity Agreement") which allows for short-term advances of funds to be made between parties for liquidity and

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

other general corporate purposes. The Liquidity Agreement does not establish a commitment to advance funds on the part of any party. ALIC and AIC each serve as a lender and borrower and the Corporation serves only as a lender. AIC also has a capital support agreement with ALIC. Under the capital support agreement, AIC is committed to provide capital to ALIC to maintain an adequate capital level. The maximum amount of potential funding under each of these agreements is \$1.00 billion. On March 22, 2013, the Corporation advanced \$500 million to AIC under the Liquidity Agreement to facilitate investing activity. This amount was repaid on June 7, 2013.

In addition to the Liquidity Agreement, the Corporation also has an intercompany loan agreement with certain of its subsidiaries, which include, but are not limited to, AIC and ALIC. The amount of intercompany loans available to the Corporation's subsidiaries is at the discretion of the Corporation. The maximum amount of loans the Corporation will have outstanding to all its eligible subsidiaries at any given point in time is limited to \$1.00 billion. The Corporation may use commercial paper borrowings, bank lines of credit and securities lending to fund intercompany borrowings.

Allstate's domestic property-liability and life insurance subsidiaries prepare their statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the insurance department of the applicable state of domicile. Statutory surplus is a measure that is often used as a basis for determining dividend paying capacity, operating leverage and premium growth capacity, and it is also reviewed by rating agencies in determining their ratings. As of December 31, 2013, total statutory surplus is \$18.28 billion compared to \$17.28 billion as of December 31, 2012. Property-Liability surplus was \$15.26 billion as of December 31, 2013, compared to \$13.74 billion as of December 31, 2012. Allstate Financial surplus was \$3.02 billion as of December 31, 2013, compared to \$3.54 billion as of December 31, 2012.

The ratio of net premiums written to statutory surplus is a common measure of operating leverage used in the property-casualty insurance industry and serves as an indicator of a company's premium growth capacity. Ratios in excess of 3 to 1 are typically considered outside the usual range by insurance regulators and rating agencies, and for homeowners and related coverages that have significant net exposure to natural catastrophes a ratio of 1 to 1 is considered appropriate. AIC's combined premium to surplus ratio was 1.5x as of December 31, 2013 compared to 1.6x as of December 31, 2012.

The National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC") has also developed a set of financial relationships or tests known as the Insurance Regulatory Information System to assist state regulators in monitoring the financial condition of insurance companies and identifying companies that require special attention or actions by insurance regulatory authorities. The NAIC analyzes financial data provided by insurance companies using prescribed ratios, each with defined "usual ranges". Generally, regulators will begin to monitor an insurance company if its ratios fall outside the usual ranges for four or more of the ratios. If an insurance company has insufficient capital, regulators may act to reduce the amount of insurance it can issue. Our domestic insurance companies have no significant departure from these ranges.

Liquidity sources and uses Our potential sources of funds principally include activities shown in the following table.

| | Property- Liability | Allstate Financial | Corporate and Other |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Receipt of insurance premiums | X | X | |
| Contractholder fund deposits | | X | |
| Reinsurance recoveries | X | X | |
| Receipts of principal, interest and dividends on investments | X | X | X |
| Sales of investments | X | X | X |
| Funds from securities lending, commercial paper and line of credit agreements | X | X | X |
| Intercompany loans | X | X | X |
| Capital contributions from parent | X | X | |
| Dividends from subsidiaries | X | | X |
| Tax refunds/settlements | X | X | X |
| Funds from periodic issuance of additional securities | | | X |
| Receipt of intercompany settlements related to employee benefit plans | | | X |

Table of Contents

Our potential uses of funds principally include activities shown in the following table.

| | Property- Liability | Allstate Financial | Corporate and Other |
|---|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Payment of claims and related expenses | X | | |
| Payment of contract benefits, maturities, surrenders and withdrawals | | X | |
| Reinsurance cessions and payments | X | X | |
| Operating costs and expenses | X | X | X |
| Purchase of investments | X | X | X |
| Repayment of securities lending, commercial paper and line of credit agreements | X | X | X |
| Payment or repayment of intercompany loans | X | X | X |
| Capital contributions to subsidiaries | X | | X |
| Dividends to shareholders/parent company | X | X | X |
| Tax payments/settlements | X | X | |
| Common share repurchases | | | X |
| Debt service expenses and repayment | X | X | X |
| Payments related to employee and agent benefit plans | X | X | X |

We actively manage our financial position and liquidity levels in light of changing market, economic, and business conditions. Liquidity is managed at both the entity and enterprise level across the Company, and is assessed on both base and stressed level liquidity needs. We believe we have sufficient liquidity to meet these needs. Additionally, we have existing intercompany agreements in place that facilitate liquidity management across the Company to enhance flexibility.

Parent company capital capacity At the parent holding company level, we have deployable assets totaling \$2.56 billion as of December 31, 2013 comprising cash and investments that are generally saleable within one quarter. The substantial earnings capacity of the operating subsidiaries is the primary source of capital generation for the Corporation. In 2014, AIC will have the capacity to pay dividends currently estimated at \$2.47 billion without prior regulatory approval. In addition, we have access to \$1.00 billion of funds from either commercial paper issuance or an unsecured revolving credit facility. This provides funds for the parent company's fixed charges and other corporate purposes.

In 2013, AIC paid dividends totaling \$1.95 billion to its parent, Allstate Insurance Holdings, LLC ("AIH") who then paid the same amount of dividends to the Corporation. In 2012, AIC paid dividends totaling \$1.51 billion. These dividends comprised \$1.06 billion in cash paid to AIH, of which \$1.04 billion were paid by AIH to the Corporation, and the transfer of ownership (valued at \$450 million) to AIH of three insurance companies that were formerly subsidiaries of AIC (Allstate Indemnity Company, Allstate Fire and Casualty Insurance Company and Allstate Property and Casualty Insurance Company). In 2011, dividends totaling \$838 million were paid by AIC to the Corporation. There were no capital contributions paid by the Corporation to AIC in 2013, 2012 or 2011. There were no capital contributions by AIC to ALIC in 2013, 2012 or 2011. In 2013 and 2012, Allstate Financial paid \$774 million and \$357 million, respectively, of return of capital, repayment of surplus notes and dividends to the Corporation and other affiliates.

The sale of LBL is expected to generate deployable capital of approximately \$1 billion. As allowed by regulatory authorities and subject to dividend limitations and approvals the capital may be returned to AIC. The \$1 billion includes the estimated gain on the sale on a statutory-basis of accounting in the range of approximately \$350 million to \$400 million and the release of risk-based capital. During 2014, ALIC will not be able to pay dividends to AIC without prior Illinois Department of Insurance approval.

No dividends may be paid or declared on our common stock and no shares of common stock may be repurchased unless the full dividends for the latest completed dividend period on our preferred stock have been declared and paid or provided for. We are prohibited from declaring or paying dividends on our preferred stock if we fail to meet specified capital adequacy, net income or shareholders' equity levels, except out of the net proceeds of common stock issued during the 90 days prior to the date of declaration. As of December 31, 2013, we satisfied all of the tests, with no current restrictions on the payment of preferred stock dividends.

The terms of our outstanding subordinated debentures also prohibit us from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on our common or preferred stock or redeeming, purchasing, acquiring, or making liquidation payments on our common stock or preferred stock if we have elected to defer interest payments on the subordinated debentures, subject to certain limited exceptions. In 2013, we did not defer interest payments on the subordinated debentures.

Table of Contents

The Corporation has access to additional borrowing to support liquidity as follows:

A commercial paper facility with a borrowing limit of \$1.00 billion to cover short-term cash needs. As of December 31, 2013, there were no balances outstanding and therefore the remaining borrowing capacity was \$1.00 billion; however, the outstanding balance can fluctuate daily.

Our \$1.00 billion unsecured revolving credit facility is available for short-term liquidity requirements and backs our commercial paper facility. We have the option to extend the expiration of its initial five year term by one year at the first and second anniversary of the facility, upon approval of existing or replacement lenders. In April 2013, we utilized the option on the first anniversary of the facility and we extended the facility by one year making its current expiration April 2018. The facility is fully subscribed among 12 lenders with the largest commitment being \$115 million. The commitments of the lenders are several and no lender is responsible for any other lender's commitment if such lender fails to make a loan under the facility. This facility contains an increase provision that would allow up to an additional \$500 million of borrowing. This facility has a financial covenant requiring that we not exceed a 37.5% debt to capitalization ratio as defined in the agreement. This ratio was 15.5% as of December 31, 2013. Although the right to borrow under the facility is not subject to a minimum rating requirement, the costs of maintaining the facility and borrowing under it are based on the ratings of our senior unsecured, unguaranteed long-term debt. There were no borrowings under the credit facility during 2013. The total amount outstanding at any point in time under the combination of the commercial paper program and the credit facility cannot exceed the amount that can be borrowed under the credit facility.

A universal shelf registration statement was filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on April 30, 2012. We can use this shelf registration to issue an unspecified amount of debt securities, common stock (including 451 million shares of treasury stock as of December 31, 2013), preferred stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and securities of trust subsidiaries. The specific terms of any securities we issue under this registration statement will be provided in the applicable prospectus supplements.

Liquidity exposure Contractholder funds were \$24.30 billion as of December 31, 2013. The following table summarizes contractholder funds by their contractual withdrawal provisions as of December 31, 2013.

| (\$ in millions) | | Percent to total |
|--|-----------|---------------------|
| Not subject to discretionary withdrawal | \$ 3,838 | 15.8% |
| Subject to discretionary withdrawal with adjustments: | | |
| Specified surrender charges ⁽¹⁾ | 6,974 | 28.7 |
| Market value adjustments ⁽²⁾ | 3,247 | 13.4 |
| Subject to discretionary withdrawal without adjustments ⁽³⁾ | 10,245 | 42.1 |
| | | |
| Total contractholder funds ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 24,304 | 100.0% |

-
- (1) Includes \$3.34 billion of liabilities with a contractual surrender charge of less than 5% of the account balance.
- (2) \$2.45 billion of the contracts with market value adjusted surrenders have a 30-45 day period at the end of their initial and subsequent interest rate guarantee periods (which are typically 5, 6, 7 or 10 years) during which there is no surrender charge or market value adjustment.
- (3) 79% of these contracts have a minimum interest crediting rate guarantee of 3% or higher.
- (4)

Includes \$911 million of contractholder funds on variable annuities reinsured to The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial Inc., in 2006.

Retail life and annuity products may be surrendered by customers for a variety of reasons. Reasons unique to individual customers include a current or unexpected need for cash or a change in life insurance coverage needs. Other key factors that may impact the likelihood of customer surrender include the level of the contract surrender charge, the length of time the contract has been in force, distribution channel, market interest rates, equity market conditions and potential tax implications. In addition, the propensity for retail life insurance policies to lapse is lower than it is for fixed annuities because of the need for the insured to be re-underwritten upon policy replacement. Surrenders and partial withdrawals for our retail annuities decreased 20.0% in 2013 compared to 2012. The surrender and partial withdrawal rate on deferred fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life insurance products, based on the beginning of year contractholder funds, was 10.2% and 11.3% in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Allstate Financial strives to promptly pay customers who request cash surrenders; however, statutory regulations generally provide up to six months in most states to fulfill surrender requests.

Our asset-liability management practices enable us to manage the differences between the cash flows generated by our investment portfolio and the expected cash flow requirements of our life insurance and annuity product obligations.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Certain remote events and circumstances could constrain our liquidity. Those events and circumstances include, for example, a catastrophe resulting in extraordinary losses, a downgrade in our senior long-term debt rating of A3, A- and a- (from Moody's, S&P and A.M. Best, respectively) to non-investment grade status of below Baa3/BBB-/bb, a downgrade in AIC's financial strength rating from Aa3, AA- and A+ (from Moody's, S&P and A.M. Best, respectively) to below Baa2/BBB/A-, or a downgrade in ALIC's financial strength ratings from A1, A+ and A+ (from Moody's, S&P and A.M. Best, respectively) to below A3/A-/A-. The rating agencies also consider the interdependence of our individually rated entities; therefore, a rating change in one entity could potentially affect the ratings of other related entities.

The following table summarizes consolidated cash flow activities by segment.

| (\$ in millions) | Property-Liability (1) | | | Allstate Financial (1) | | | Corporate and Other (1) | | | Consolidated | | |
|--|------------------------|----------|--------|------------------------|----------|----------|-------------------------|----------|----------|--------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net cash provided by (used in): | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Operating activities | \$ 3,058 | \$ 2,023 | \$ 789 | \$ 1,068 | \$ 1,165 | \$ 1,295 | \$ 116 | \$ (134) | \$ (155) | \$ 4,242 | \$ 3,054 | \$ 1,929 |
| Investing activities | (1,858) | (1,081) | 244 | 3,833 | 2,497 | 5,284 | (395) | 165 | 633 | 1,580 | 1,581 | 6,161 |
| Financing activities | 38 | (18) | (4) | (4,393) | (3,363) | (6,504) | (1,598) | (1,224) | (1,368) | (5,953) | (4,605) | (7,876) |
| Net (decrease) increase in consolidated cash | | | | | | | | | | \$ (131) | \$ 30 | \$ 214 |

(1)

Business unit cash flows reflect the elimination of intersegment dividends, contributions and borrowings.

Property-Liability Higher cash provided by operating activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to lower claim payments, increased premiums and the surrender of company owned life insurance, partially offset by higher expenses and tax payments. Higher cash provided by operating activities in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to lower claim payments.

Higher cash used in investing activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily related to 2013 operating cash flows being invested. Cash used in investing activities in 2012 compared to cash provided by investing activities in 2011 was primarily due to 2012 operating cash flows being invested. There were lower sales of fixed income and equity securities and lower purchases of fixed income and equity securities.

Allstate Financial Lower cash provided by operating cash flows in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to lower net investment income, partially offset by lower contract benefits paid and higher premiums. Lower cash provided by operating cash flows in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to higher contract benefits paid.

Higher cash provided by investing activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was due to higher investment collections and higher financing needs to fund institutional product maturities. Lower cash provided by investing activities in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to lower financing needs as reflected in lower sales of fixed income securities, partially offset by decreased purchases of fixed income securities.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Higher cash used in financing activities in 2013 compared to 2012 was primarily due to a \$1.75 billion institutional product maturity. Lower cash used in financing activities in 2012 compared to 2011 was primarily due to lower surrenders and partial withdrawals on fixed annuities, decreased maturities of institutional products and the absence of Allstate Bank activity in 2012. For quantification of the changes in contractholder funds, see the Allstate Financial Segment section of the MD&A.

Corporate and Other Fluctuations in the Corporate and Other operating cash flows were primarily due to the timing of intercompany settlements. Investing activities primarily relate to investments in the parent company portfolio. Financing cash flows of the Corporate and Other segment reflect actions such as fluctuations in short-term debt, repayment of debt (including payment for the debt tender offer), proceeds from the issuance of debt and preferred stock, dividends to common shareholders of The Allstate Corporation and common share repurchases; therefore, financing cash flows are affected when we increase or decrease the level of these activities.

Table of Contents

Contractual obligations and commitments Our contractual obligations as of December 31, 2013 and the payments due by period are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | Over 5 years |
|--|-------------------|---------------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| Liabilities for collateral ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 624 | \$ 624 | | | |
| Contractholder funds ⁽²⁾ | 53,740 | 5,258 | 8,604 | 7,216 | 32,662 |
| Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits ⁽²⁾ | 36,264 | 1,283 | 2,393 | 2,246 | 30,342 |
| Long-term debt ⁽³⁾ | 16,177 | 1,377 | 680 | 861 | 13,259 |
| Capital lease obligations ⁽³⁾ | 44 | 17 | 14 | 4 | 9 |
| Operating leases ⁽³⁾ | 533 | 145 | 208 | 91 | 89 |
| Unconditional purchase obligations ⁽³⁾ | 377 | 157 | 155 | 41 | 24 |
| Defined benefit pension plans and other postretirement benefit plans ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ | 1,309 | 61 | 121 | 118 | 1,009 |
| Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense ⁽⁵⁾ | 21,857 | 9,258 | 6,930 | 2,496 | 3,173 |
| Other liabilities and accrued expenses ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾ | 3,754 | 3,575 | 114 | 40 | 25 |
| Total contractual cash obligations | \$ 134,679 | \$ 21,755 | \$ 19,219 | \$ 13,113 | \$ 80,592 |

(1)

Liabilities for collateral are typically fully secured with cash or short-term investments. We manage our short-term liquidity position to ensure the availability of a sufficient amount of liquid assets to extinguish short-term liabilities as they come due in the normal course of business, including utilizing potential sources of liquidity as disclosed previously.

(2)

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities, including immediate annuities without life contingencies and institutional products. The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits relates primarily to traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies and voluntary accident and health insurance. These amounts reflect the present value of estimated cash payments to be made to contractholders and policyholders. Certain of these contracts, such as immediate annuities without life contingencies and institutional products, involve payment obligations where the amount and timing of the payment is essentially fixed and determinable. These amounts relate to (i) policies or contracts where we are currently making payments and will continue to do so and (ii) contracts where the timing of a portion or all of the payments has been determined by the contract. Other contracts, such as interest-sensitive life, fixed deferred annuities, traditional life insurance, immediate annuities with life contingencies and voluntary accident and health insurance, involve payment obligations where a portion or all of the amount and timing of future payments is uncertain. For these contracts, we are not currently making payments and will not make payments until (i) the occurrence of an insurable event such as death or illness or (ii) the occurrence of a payment triggering event such as the surrender or partial withdrawal on a policy or deposit contract, which is outside of our control. We have estimated the timing of payments related to these contracts based on historical experience and our expectation of future payment patterns. Uncertainties relating to these liabilities include mortality, morbidity, expenses, customer lapse and withdrawal activity, estimated additional deposits for interest-sensitive life contracts, and renewal premium for life policies, which may significantly impact both the timing and amount of future payments. Such cash outflows reflect adjustments for the estimated timing of mortality, retirement,

and other appropriate factors, but are undiscounted with respect to interest. As a result, the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table exceeds the corresponding liabilities of \$35.25 billion for contractholder funds and \$14.28 billion for reserve for life-contingent contract benefits as included in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of December 31, 2013, including those classified as held for sale. The liability amount in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position reflects the discounting for interest as well as adjustments for the timing of other factors as described above.

- (3) Our payment obligations relating to long-term debt, capital lease obligations, operating leases, unconditional purchase obligations and pension and other postretirement benefits ("OPEB") contributions are managed within the structure of our intermediate to long-term liquidity management program. Amount differs from the balance presented on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of December 31, 2013 because the long-term debt amount above includes interest.
- (4) The pension plans' obligations in the next 12 months represent our planned contributions where the benefit obligation exceeds the assets, and the remaining years' contributions are projected based on the average remaining service period using the current underfunded status of the plans. The OPEB plans' obligations are estimated based on the expected benefits to be paid. These liabilities are discounted with respect to interest, and as a result the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table exceeds the corresponding liability amount of \$628 million included in other liabilities and accrued expenses on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position.
- (5) Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense is an estimate of amounts necessary to settle all outstanding claims, including claims that have been IBNR as of the balance sheet date. We have estimated the timing of these payments based on our historical experience and our expectation of future payment patterns. However, the timing of these payments may vary significantly from the amounts shown above, especially for IBNR claims. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts which are our best estimates. The reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense includes loss reserves related to asbestos and environmental claims as of December 31, 2013, of \$1.50 billion and \$268 million, respectively.
- (6) Other liabilities primarily include accrued expenses and certain benefit obligations and claim payments and other checks outstanding. Certain of these long-term liabilities are discounted with respect to interest, as a result the sum of the cash outflows shown for all years in the table exceeds the corresponding liability amount of \$3.68 billion.
- (7) Balance sheet liabilities not included in the table above include unearned and advance premiums of \$11.69 billion and gross deferred tax liabilities of \$2.59 billion. These items were excluded as they do not meet the definition of a contractual liability as we are not contractually obligated to pay these amounts to third parties. Rather, they represent an accounting mechanism that allows us to present our financial statements on an accrual

Table of Contents

basis. In addition, other liabilities of \$395 million were not included in the table above because they did not represent a contractual obligation or the amount and timing of their eventual payment was sufficiently uncertain.

Our contractual commitments as of December 31, 2013 and the periods in which the commitments expire are shown in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | Total | Less than 1 year | 1-3 years | 4-5 years | Over 5 years |
|---------------------------------|----------|---------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------------|
| Other commitments conditional | \$ 70 | \$ 37 | \$ | \$ | \$ 33 |
| Other commitments unconditional | 2,846 | 33 | 162 | 262 | 2,389 |
| Total commitments | \$ 2,916 | \$ 70 | \$ 162 | \$ 262 | \$ 2,422 |

Contractual commitments represent investment commitments such as private placements, limited partnership interests and other loans. Limited partnership interests are typically funded over the commitment period which is shorter than the contractual expiration date of the partnership and as a result, the actual timing of the funding may vary.

We have agreements in place for services we conduct, generally at cost, between subsidiaries relating to insurance, reinsurance, loans and capitalization. All material intercompany transactions have appropriately been eliminated in consolidation. Intercompany transactions among insurance subsidiaries and affiliates have been approved by the appropriate departments of insurance as required.

For a more detailed discussion of our off-balance sheet arrangements, see Note 8 of the consolidated financial statements.

ENTERPRISE RISK AND RETURN MANAGEMENT

Allstate manages enterprise risk under an integrated Enterprise Risk and Return Management ("ERRM") framework with risk-return principles, governance and analytics. This framework provides an enterprise view of risks and opportunities and is used by senior leaders and business managers to drive strategic and business decisions. Allstate's risk management strategies adapt to changes in business and market environments and seek to optimize returns. Allstate continually validates and improves its ERRM practices by benchmarking and securing external perspectives for our processes.

Our qualitative risk-return principles define how we operate and guide decision-making around risk and return. These principles are built around three key operating components: maintaining our strong foundation of stakeholder trust and financial strength, building strategic value and optimizing return per unit of risk.

ERRM governance includes an executive management committee structure, Board oversight and chief risk officers ("CROs"). The Enterprise Risk & Return Council ("ERRC") is Allstate's senior risk management committee. It directs ERRM by establishing risk-return targets, determining economic capital levels and directing integrated strategies and actions from an enterprise perspective. It consists of Allstate's chief executive officer, business unit presidents, enterprise and business unit chief risk officers and chief financial officers, general counsel and treasurer. Allstate's Board of Directors, Risk and Return Committee and Audit Committee provide ERRM oversight by reviewing enterprise principles, guidelines and limits for Allstate's significant risks and by monitoring strategies and actions management has taken to control these risks. Allstate's Board of Directors has overall responsibility for oversight of management's design and implementation of ERRM. Risk and Return Committee oversight focuses on the risk and return position of the company and Audit Committee oversight focuses on risk assessment and risk management policies, including the effectiveness of management's control environment.

CROs are appointed for the enterprise and for Allstate Protection, Allstate Financial and Allstate Investments. Collectively, the CROs create an integrated approach to risk and return management to ensure risk management practices and strategies are aligned with Allstate's overall enterprise objectives.

Our ERRM governance is supported with an analytic framework to manage risk exposure and optimize returns on risk-adjusted capital. Management and the ERRC use enterprise stochastic modeling, risk expertise and judgment to determine an appropriate level of enterprise

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

economic capital to hold considering a broad range of risk objectives and external constraints. These include limiting risks of financial stress, insolvency, likelihood of capital stress and volatility, maintaining stakeholder value and financial strength ratings and satisfying regulatory and rating agency risk-based capital requirements. We generally assess solvency on a statutory accounting basis, but also consider GAAP volatility. Enterprise economic capital approximates a combination of statutory surplus and deployable invested assets at the parent holding company level.

Table of Contents

Using our governance and analytic framework, Allstate designs business and enterprise strategies that seek to optimize returns on risk-adjusted capital. Examples include reducing exposure to rising interest rates, reducing our concentration in spread-based products, and looking for opportunities to position the homeowners business to support our customer value proposition and growth strategies.

REGULATION AND LEGAL PROCEEDINGS

We are subject to extensive regulation and we are involved in various legal and regulatory actions, all of which have an effect on specific aspects of our business. For a detailed discussion of the legal and regulatory actions in which we are involved, see Note 15 of the consolidated financial statements.

PENDING ACCOUNTING STANDARDS

There are several pending accounting standards that we have not implemented because the implementation date has not yet occurred. For a discussion of these pending standards, see Note 2 of the consolidated financial statements.

The effect of implementing certain accounting standards on our financial results and financial condition is often based in part on market conditions at the time of implementation of the standard and other factors we are unable to determine prior to implementation. For this reason, we are sometimes unable to estimate the effect of certain pending accounting standards until the relevant authoritative body finalizes these standards or until we implement them.

Item 7A. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk

Information required for Item 7A is incorporated by reference to the material under the caption "Market Risk" in Part II, Item 7 of this report.

Item 8. Financial Statements and Supplementary Data

| | Page |
|--|-------------|
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Operations</u> | <u>109</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income</u> | <u>110</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Financial Position</u> | <u>111</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity</u> | <u>112</u> |
| <u>Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows</u> | <u>113</u> |
| <u>Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements (Notes)</u> | <u>114</u> |
| <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>198</u> |

Table of Contents**THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**

(\$ in millions, except per share data)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|--------------------------------|--------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | | | |
| Property-liability insurance premiums (net of reinsurance ceded of \$1,069, \$1,090 and \$1,098) | \$ 27,618 | \$ 26,737 | \$ 25,942 |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges (net of reinsurance ceded of \$639, \$674 and \$750) | 2,352 | 2,241 | 2,238 |
| Net investment income | 3,943 | 4,010 | 3,971 |
| Realized capital gains and losses: | | | |
| Total other-than-temporary impairment losses | (207) | (239) | (563) |
| Portion of loss recognized in other comprehensive income | (8) | 6 | (33) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings | (215) | (233) | (596) |
| Sales and other realized capital gains and losses | 809 | 560 | 1,099 |
| Total realized capital gains and losses | 594 | 327 | 503 |
| | 34,507 | 33,315 | 32,654 |
| Costs and expenses | | | |
| Property-liability insurance claims and claims expense (net of reinsurance ceded of \$1,717, \$2,051 and \$927) | 17,911 | 18,484 | 20,161 |
| Life and annuity contract benefits (net of reinsurance ceded of \$355, \$665 and \$653) | 1,917 | 1,818 | 1,761 |
| Interest credited to contractholder funds (net of reinsurance ceded of \$27, \$28 and \$27) | 1,278 | 1,316 | 1,645 |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 4,002 | 3,884 | 3,971 |
| Operating costs and expenses | 4,387 | 4,118 | 3,739 |
| Restructuring and related charges | 70 | 34 | 44 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 491 | | |
| Interest expense | 367 | 373 | 367 |
| | 30,423 | 30,027 | 31,688 |
| (Loss) gain on disposition of operations | (688) | 18 | (7) |
| Income from operations before income tax expense | 3,396 | 3,306 | 959 |
| Income tax expense | 1,116 | 1,000 | 172 |
| Net income | | | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|----|-------|----------|---------|
| | | 2,280 | 2,306 | 787 |
| Preferred stock dividends | | 17 | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | \$ | 2,263 | \$ 2,306 | \$ 787 |
| Earnings per common share: | | | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders per common share Basic | \$ | 4.87 | \$ 4.71 | \$ 1.51 |
| Weighted average common shares Basic | | 464.4 | 489.4 | 520.7 |
| Net income available to common shareholders per common share Diluted | \$ | 4.81 | \$ 4.68 | \$ 1.50 |
| Weighted average common shares Diluted | | 470.3 | 493.0 | 523.1 |
| Cash dividends declared per common share | \$ | 1.00 | \$ 0.88 | \$ 0.84 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME

| (\$ in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|--|-------------------------|----------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Net income | \$ 2,280 | \$ 2,306 | \$ 787 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, after-tax | | | |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses | (1,188) | 1,434 | 452 |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments | (32) | 14 | (12) |
| Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost | 1,091 | (302) | (239) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, after-tax | (129) | 1,146 | 201 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 2,151 | \$ 3,452 | \$ 988 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(\$ in millions, except par value data)

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|-------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Assets | | |
| Investments | | |
| Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost \$59,008 and \$71,915) | \$ 60,910 | \$ 77,017 |
| Equity securities, at fair value (cost \$4,473 and \$3,577) | 5,097 | 4,037 |
| Mortgage loans | 4,721 | 6,570 |
| Limited partnership interests | 4,967 | 4,922 |
| Short-term, at fair value (amortized cost \$2,393 and \$2,336) | 2,393 | 2,336 |
| Other | 3,067 | 2,396 |
| | | |
| Total investments | 81,155 | 97,278 |
| Cash | 675 | 806 |
| Premium installment receivables, net | 5,237 | 5,051 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | 3,372 | 3,621 |
| Reinsurance recoverables, net | 7,621 | 8,767 |
| Accrued investment income | 624 | 781 |
| Property and equipment, net | 1,024 | 989 |
| Goodwill | 1,243 | 1,240 |
| Other assets | 1,937 | 1,804 |
| Separate Accounts | 5,039 | 6,610 |
| Assets held for sale | 15,593 | |
| | | |
| Total assets | \$ 123,520 | \$ 126,947 |
| | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense | \$ 21,857 | \$ 21,288 |
| Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits | 12,386 | 14,895 |
| Contractholder funds | 24,304 | 39,319 |
| Unearned premiums | 10,932 | 10,375 |
| Claim payments outstanding | 631 | 797 |
| Deferred income taxes | 635 | 597 |
| Other liabilities and accrued expenses | 5,156 | 6,429 |
| Long-term debt | 6,201 | 6,057 |
| Separate Accounts | 5,039 | 6,610 |
| Liabilities held for sale | 14,899 | |
| | | |
| Total liabilities | 102,040 | 106,367 |
| | | |
| Commitments and Contingent Liabilities (Note 8, 9 and 15) | | |
| Equity | | |
| Preferred stock and additional capital paid-in, \$1 par value, 25 million shares authorized, 32.3 thousand shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and none issued and outstanding as of | 780 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|-------------------|-------------------|
| December 31, 2012, \$807.5 aggregate liquidation preference | | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 2.0 billion shares authorized and 900 million issued, 449 million and 479 million shares outstanding | 9 | 9 |
| Additional capital paid-in | 3,143 | 3,162 |
| Retained income | 35,580 | 33,783 |
| Deferred ESOP expense | (31) | (41) |
| Treasury stock, at cost (451 million and 421 million shares) | (19,047) | (17,508) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses: | | |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses on fixed income securities with OTTI | 50 | (11) |
| Other unrealized net capital gains and losses | 1,698 | 3,614 |
| Unrealized adjustment to DAC, DSI and insurance reserves | (102) | (769) |
| | | |
| Total unrealized net capital gains and losses | 1,646 | 2,834 |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments | 38 | 70 |
| Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost | (638) | (1,729) |
| | | |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,046 | 1,175 |
| | | |
| Total shareholders' equity | 21,480 | 20,580 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | \$ 123,520 | \$ 126,947 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF SHAREHOLDERS' EQUITY

| (\$ in millions, except per share data) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Preferred stock par value | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Preferred stock issuance | | | |
| Balance, end of year | | | |
| Preferred stock additional capital paid-in | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | | | |
| Preferred stock issuance | 780 | | |
| Balance, end of year | 780 | | |
| Common stock | 9 | 9 | 9 |
| Additional capital paid-in | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 3,162 | 3,189 | 3,176 |
| Equity incentive plans activity | (19) | (27) | 13 |
| Balance, end of year | 3,143 | 3,162 | 3,189 |
| Retained income | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 33,783 | 31,909 | 31,558 |
| Net income | 2,280 | 2,306 | 787 |
| Dividends on common stock | (466) | (432) | (436) |
| Dividends on preferred stock | (17) | | |
| Balance, end of year | 35,580 | 33,783 | 31,909 |
| Deferred ESOP expense | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | (41) | (43) | (44) |
| Payments | 10 | 2 | 1 |
| Balance, end of year | (31) | (41) | (43) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Treasury stock | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | (17,508) | (16,795) | (15,910) |
| Shares acquired | (1,845) | (910) | (950) |
| Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net | 306 | 197 | 65 |
| Balance, end of year | (19,047) | (17,508) | (16,795) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | 1,175 | 29 | (172) |
| Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses | (1,188) | 1,434 | 452 |
| Change in unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments | (32) | 14 | (12) |
| Change in unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost | 1,091 | (302) | (239) |
| Balance, end of year | 1,046 | 1,175 | 29 |
| Total shareholders' equity | 21,480 | 20,580 | 18,298 |
| Noncontrolling interest | | | |
| Balance, beginning of year | | 28 | 28 |
| Change in noncontrolling interest ownership | | (28) | (4) |
| Noncontrolling gain | | | 4 |
| Balance, end of year | | | 28 |
| Total equity | \$ 21,480 | \$ 20,580 | \$ 18,326 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents**THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES****CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**

(\$ in millions)

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,280 | \$ 2,306 | \$ 787 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Depreciation, amortization and other non-cash items | 368 | 388 | 252 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | (594) | (327) | (503) |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 491 | | |
| Loss (gain) on disposition of operations | 688 | (18) | 7 |
| Interest credited to contractholder funds | 1,278 | 1,316 | 1,645 |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Policy benefits and other insurance reserves | (55) | 214 | (77) |
| Unearned premiums | 602 | 306 | 37 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | (268) | (18) | 177 |
| Premium installment receivables, net | (205) | (125) | 33 |
| Reinsurance recoverables, net | (729) | (1,560) | (716) |
| Income taxes | 573 | 698 | 133 |
| Other operating assets and liabilities | (187) | (126) | 154 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 4,242 | 3,054 | 1,929 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from sales | | | |
| Fixed income securities | 21,243 | 18,872 | 29,436 |
| Equity securities | 3,173 | 1,495 | 2,012 |
| Limited partnership interests | 1,045 | 1,398 | 1,000 |
| Mortgage loans | 24 | 14 | 97 |
| Other investments | 151 | 148 | 164 |
| Investment collections | | | |
| Fixed income securities | 5,908 | 5,417 | 4,951 |
| Mortgage loans | 1,020 | 1,064 | 634 |
| Other investments | 275 | 128 | 123 |
| Investment purchases | | | |
| Fixed income securities | (24,087) | (22,658) | (27,896) |
| Equity securities | (3,677) | (671) | (1,824) |
| Limited partnership interests | (1,312) | (1,524) | (1,696) |
| Mortgage loans | (538) | (525) | (1,241) |
| Other investments | (1,084) | (665) | (204) |
| Change in short-term investments, net | (427) | (698) | 2,182 |
| Change in other investments, net | 97 | 58 | (415) |
| Purchases of property and equipment, net | (207) | (285) | (246) |
| (Acquisition) disposition of operations, net of cash acquired | (24) | 13 | (916) |
| Net cash provided by investing activities | 1,580 | 1,581 | 6,161 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 2,271 | 493 | 7 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|---|---------|---------|---------|
| Repayment of long-term debt | (2,627) | (352) | (7) |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | 781 | | |
| Contractholder fund deposits | 2,174 | 2,158 | 2,176 |
| Contractholder fund withdrawals | (6,556) | (5,519) | (8,680) |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (352) | (534) | (435) |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock | (6) | | |
| Treasury stock purchases | (1,834) | (913) | (953) |
| Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net | 170 | 85 | 19 |
| Excess tax benefits on share-based payment arrangements | 38 | 10 | (5) |
| Other | (12) | (33) | 2 |
| | | | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (5,953) | (4,605) | (7,876) |
| | | | |
| Net (decrease) increase in cash | (131) | 30 | 214 |
| Cash at beginning of year | 806 | 776 | 562 |
| | | | |
| Cash at end of year | \$ 675 | \$ 806 | \$ 776 |

See notes to consolidated financial statements.

Table of Contents

NOTES TO CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

1. General

Basis of presentation

The accompanying consolidated financial statements include the accounts of The Allstate Corporation (the "Corporation") and its wholly owned subsidiaries, primarily Allstate Insurance Company ("AIC"), a property-liability insurance company with various property-liability and life and investment subsidiaries, including Allstate Life Insurance Company ("ALIC") (collectively referred to as the "Company" or "Allstate"). These consolidated financial statements have been prepared in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP"). All significant intercompany accounts and transactions have been eliminated.

To conform to the current year presentation, certain amounts in the prior year notes to consolidated financial statements have been reclassified.

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with GAAP requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the amounts reported in the consolidated financial statements and accompanying notes. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Nature of operations

Allstate is engaged, principally in the United States, in the property-liability insurance and life insurance business. Allstate's primary business is the sale of private passenger auto and homeowners insurance. The Company also sells several other personal property and casualty insurance products, select commercial property and casualty coverages, life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance. Allstate primarily distributes its products through exclusive agencies, financial specialists, independent agencies, contact centers and the internet.

The Allstate Protection segment principally sells private passenger auto and homeowners insurance, with earned premiums accounting for 80% of Allstate's 2013 consolidated revenues. Allstate was the country's second largest personal property and casualty insurer as of December 31, 2012. Allstate Protection, through several companies, is authorized to sell certain property-liability products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia and Puerto Rico. The Company is also authorized to sell certain insurance products in Canada. For 2013, the top geographic locations for premiums earned by the Allstate Protection segment were Texas, California, New York, Florida and Pennsylvania. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5% of premiums earned for Allstate Protection.

Allstate has exposure to catastrophes, an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance business, which have contributed, and will continue to contribute, to material year-to-year fluctuations in the Company's results of operations and financial position (see Note 9). The nature and level of catastrophic loss caused by natural events (high winds, winter storms, tornadoes, hailstorms, wildfires, tropical storms, hurricanes, earthquakes and volcanoes) and man-made events (terrorism and industrial accidents) experienced in any period cannot be predicted and could be material to results of operations and financial position. The Company considers the greatest areas of potential catastrophe losses due to hurricanes to generally be major metropolitan centers in counties along the eastern and gulf coasts of the United States. The Company considers the greatest areas of potential catastrophe losses due to earthquakes and fires following earthquakes to be major metropolitan areas near fault lines in the states of California, Oregon, Washington, South Carolina, Missouri, Kentucky and Tennessee. The Company also has exposure to asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims (see Note 15).

The Allstate Financial segment sells life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance products. The principal products are interest-sensitive, traditional and variable life insurance; and voluntary accident and health insurance. Effective January 1, 2014, the Company no longer offers fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities. Institutional products consisting of funding agreements sold to unaffiliated trusts that use them to back medium-term notes were offered prior to 2009. Banking products and services were previously offered to customers through the Allstate Bank, which ceased operations in 2011.

Allstate Financial, through several companies, is authorized to sell life insurance and retirement products in all 50 states, the District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, the U.S. Virgin Islands and Guam. For 2013, the top geographic locations for statutory premiums and annuity considerations for the Allstate Financial segment were California, Texas, Florida and New York. No other jurisdiction accounted for more than 5% of statutory premiums and annuity considerations for Allstate Financial. Allstate Financial distributes its products through Allstate exclusive agencies and exclusive financial specialists, and workplace enrolling independent agents.

Table of Contents

Allstate has exposure to market risk as a result of its investment portfolio. Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur realized and unrealized net capital losses due to adverse changes in interest rates, credit spreads, equity prices or currency exchange rates. The Company's primary market risk exposures are to changes in interest rates, credit spreads and equity prices. Interest rate risk is the risk that the Company will incur a loss due to adverse changes in interest rates relative to the interest rate characteristics of its interest bearing assets and liabilities. This risk arises from many of the Company's primary activities, as it invests substantial funds in interest-sensitive assets and issues interest-sensitive liabilities. Interest rate risk includes risks related to changes in U.S. Treasury yields and other key risk-free reference yields. Credit spread risk is the risk that the Company will incur a loss due to adverse changes in credit spreads. This risk arises from many of the Company's primary activities, as the Company invests substantial funds in spread-sensitive fixed income assets. Equity price risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in the general levels of the equity markets.

The Company monitors economic and regulatory developments that have the potential to impact its business. Federal and state laws and regulations affect the taxation of insurance companies and life insurance and annuity products. Congress and various state legislatures from time to time consider legislation that would reduce or eliminate the favorable policyholder tax treatment currently applicable to life insurance and annuities. Congress and various state legislatures also consider proposals to reduce the taxation of certain products or investments that may compete with life insurance or annuities. Legislation that increases the taxation on insurance products or reduces the taxation on competing products could lessen the advantage or create a disadvantage for certain of the Company's products making them less competitive. Such proposals, if adopted, could have an adverse effect on the Company's financial position or Allstate Financial's ability to sell such products and could result in the surrender of some existing contracts and policies. In addition, changes in the federal estate tax laws could negatively affect the demand for the types of life insurance used in estate planning.

2. Summary of Significant Accounting Policies

Investments

Fixed income securities include bonds, asset-backed securities ("ABS"), residential mortgage-backed securities ("RMBS"), commercial mortgage-backed securities ("CMBS") and redeemable preferred stocks. Fixed income securities, which may be sold prior to their contractual maturity, are designated as available for sale and are carried at fair value. The difference between amortized cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes, certain life and annuity deferred policy acquisition costs ("DAC"), certain deferred sales inducement costs ("DSI") and certain reserves for life-contingent contract benefits, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income. Cash received from calls, principal payments and make-whole payments is reflected as a component of proceeds from sales and cash received from maturities and pay-downs, including prepayments, is reflected as a component of investment collections within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

Equity securities primarily include common stocks, exchange traded and mutual funds, non-redeemable preferred stocks and real estate investment trust equity investments. Equity securities are designated as available for sale and are carried at fair value. The difference between cost and fair value, net of deferred income taxes, is reflected as a component of accumulated other comprehensive income.

Mortgage loans are carried at unpaid principal balances, net of unamortized premium or discount and valuation allowances. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans when it is probable that contractual principal and interest will not be collected.

Investments in limited partnership interests, including interests in private equity/debt funds, real estate funds, hedge funds and tax credit funds, where the Company's interest is so minor that it exercises virtually no influence over operating and financial policies are accounted for in accordance with the cost method of accounting; all other investments in limited partnership interests are accounted for in accordance with the equity method of accounting ("EMA").

Short-term investments, including money market funds, commercial paper and other short-term investments, are carried at fair value. Other investments primarily consist of policy loans, bank loans, agent loans and derivatives. Policy loans are carried at unpaid principal balances and were \$919 million and \$1.14 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Bank loans are primarily senior secured corporate loans and are carried at amortized cost. Agent loans are loans issued to exclusive Allstate agents and are carried at unpaid principal balances, net of valuation allowances and unamortized deferred fees or costs. Derivatives are carried at fair value.

Table of Contents

Investment income primarily consists of interest, dividends, income from certain derivative transactions, income from cost method limited partnership interests, and, in 2013 and 2012, income from EMA limited partnership interests. Interest is recognized on an accrual basis using the effective yield method and dividends are recorded at the ex-dividend date. Interest income for ABS, RMBS and CMBS is determined considering estimated pay-downs, including prepayments, obtained from third party data sources and internal estimates. Actual prepayment experience is periodically reviewed and effective yields are recalculated when differences arise between the prepayments originally anticipated and the actual prepayments received and currently anticipated. For ABS, RMBS and CMBS of high credit quality with fixed interest rates, the effective yield is recalculated on a retrospective basis. For all others, the effective yield is recalculated on a prospective basis. Accrual of income is suspended for other-than-temporarily impaired fixed income securities when the timing and amount of cash flows expected to be received is not reasonably estimable. Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans, bank loans and agent loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on investments on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value. Income from cost method limited partnership interests is recognized upon receipt of amounts distributed by the partnerships. Income from EMA limited partnership interests is recognized based on the Company's share of the partnerships' net income, including unrealized gains and losses, and is recognized on a delay due to the availability of the related financial statements. Income recognition on private equity/debt funds, real estate funds and tax credit funds is generally on a three month delay and income recognition on other funds is generally on a one month delay.

Realized capital gains and losses include gains and losses on investment sales, write-downs in value due to other-than-temporary declines in fair value, adjustments to valuation allowances on mortgage loans and agent loans, periodic changes in fair value and settlements of certain derivatives including hedge ineffectiveness, and, in 2011, income from EMA limited partnership interests. Realized capital gains and losses on investment sales, including principal payments, are determined on a specific identification basis.

Derivative and embedded derivative financial instruments

Derivative financial instruments include interest rate swaps, credit default swaps, futures (interest rate and equity), options (including swaptions), interest rate caps, warrants and rights, foreign currency swaps, foreign currency forwards, certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements, and certain bond forward purchase commitments. Derivatives required to be separated from the host instrument and accounted for as derivative financial instruments ("subject to bifurcation") are embedded in certain fixed income securities, equity-indexed life and annuity contracts, reinsured variable annuity contracts and certain funding agreements.

All derivatives are accounted for on a fair value basis and reported as other investments, other assets, other liabilities and accrued expenses or contractholder funds. Embedded derivative instruments subject to bifurcation are also accounted for on a fair value basis and are reported together with the host contract. The change in fair value of derivatives embedded in certain fixed income securities and subject to bifurcation is reported in realized capital gains and losses. The change in fair value of derivatives embedded in life and annuity product contracts and subject to bifurcation is reported in life and annuity contract benefits or interest credited to contractholder funds. Cash flows from embedded derivatives subject to bifurcation and derivatives receiving hedge accounting are reported consistently with the host contracts and hedged risks, respectively, within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows. Cash flows from other derivatives are reported in cash flows from investing activities within the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. The hedged item may be either all or a specific portion of a recognized asset, liability or an unrecognized firm commitment attributable to a particular risk for fair value hedges. At the inception of the hedge, the Company formally documents the hedging relationship and risk management objective and strategy. The documentation identifies the hedging instrument, the hedged item, the nature of the risk being hedged and the methodology used to assess the effectiveness of the hedging instrument in offsetting the exposure to changes in the hedged item's fair value attributable to the hedged risk. For a cash flow hedge, this documentation includes the exposure to changes in the variability in cash flows attributable to the hedged risk. The Company does not exclude any component of the change in fair value of the hedging instrument from the effectiveness assessment. At each reporting date, the Company confirms that the hedging instrument continues to be highly effective in offsetting the hedged risk. Ineffectiveness in fair value hedges and cash flow hedges, if any, is reported in realized capital gains and losses.

Fair value hedges The change in fair value of hedging instruments used in fair value hedges of investment assets or a portion thereof is reported in net investment income, together with the change in fair value of the hedged items. The change in fair value of hedging instruments used in fair value hedges of contractholder funds liabilities or a portion

Table of Contents

thereof is reported in interest credited to contractholder funds, together with the change in fair value of the hedged items. Accrued periodic settlements on swaps are reported together with the changes in fair value of the swaps in net investment income or interest credited to contractholder funds. The amortized cost for fixed income securities, the carrying value for mortgage loans or the carrying value of the hedged liability is adjusted for the change in fair value of the hedged risk.

Cash flow hedges For hedging instruments used in cash flow hedges, the changes in fair value of the derivatives representing the effective portion of the hedge are reported in accumulated other comprehensive income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income, realized capital gains and losses or interest expense as the hedged or forecasted transaction affects income. Accrued periodic settlements on derivatives used in cash flow hedges are reported in net investment income. The amount reported in accumulated other comprehensive income for a hedged transaction is limited to the lesser of the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative less the amount reclassified to income, or the cumulative gain or loss on the derivative needed to offset the cumulative change in the expected future cash flows on the hedged transaction from inception of the hedge less the derivative gain or loss previously reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to income. If the Company expects at any time that the loss reported in accumulated other comprehensive income would lead to a net loss on the combination of the hedging instrument and the hedged transaction which may not be recoverable, a loss is recognized immediately in realized capital gains and losses. If an impairment loss is recognized on an asset or an additional obligation is incurred on a liability involved in a hedge transaction, any offsetting gain in accumulated other comprehensive income is reclassified and reported together with the impairment loss or recognition of the obligation.

Termination of hedge accounting If, subsequent to entering into a hedge transaction, the derivative becomes ineffective (including if the hedged item is sold or otherwise extinguished, the occurrence of a hedged forecasted transaction is no longer probable or the hedged asset becomes other-than-temporarily impaired), the Company may terminate the derivative position. The Company may also terminate derivative instruments or redesignate them as non-hedge as a result of other events or circumstances. If the derivative instrument is not terminated when a fair value hedge is no longer effective, the future gains and losses recognized on the derivative are reported in realized capital gains and losses. When a fair value hedge is no longer effective, is redesignated as non-hedge or when the derivative has been terminated, the fair value gain or loss on the hedged asset, liability or portion thereof which has already been recognized in income while the hedge was in place and used to adjust the amortized cost for fixed income securities, the carrying value for mortgage loans or the carrying value of the hedged liability, is amortized over the remaining life of the hedged asset, liability or portion thereof, and reflected in net investment income or interest credited to contractholder funds beginning in the period that hedge accounting is no longer applied. If the hedged item in a fair value hedge is an asset that has become other-than-temporarily impaired, the adjustment made to the amortized cost for fixed income securities or the carrying value for mortgage loans is subject to the accounting policies applied to other-than-temporarily impaired assets.

When a derivative instrument used in a cash flow hedge of an existing asset or liability is no longer effective or is terminated, the gain or loss recognized on the derivative is reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to income as the hedged risk impacts income. If the derivative instrument is not terminated when a cash flow hedge is no longer effective, the future gains and losses recognized on the derivative are reported in realized capital gains and losses. When a derivative instrument used in a cash flow hedge of a forecasted transaction is terminated because it is probable the forecasted transaction will not occur, the gain or loss recognized on the derivative is immediately reclassified from accumulated other comprehensive income to realized capital gains and losses in the period that hedge accounting is no longer applied.

Non-hedge derivative financial instruments For derivatives for which hedge accounting is not applied, the income statement effects, including fair value gains and losses and accrued periodic settlements, are reported either in realized capital gains and losses or in a single line item together with the results of the associated asset or liability for which risks are being managed.

Securities loaned

The Company's business activities include securities lending transactions, which are used primarily to generate net investment income. The proceeds received in conjunction with securities lending transactions are reinvested in short-term investments. These transactions are short-term in nature, usually 30 days or less.

The Company receives cash collateral for securities loaned in an amount generally equal to 102% and 105% of the fair value of domestic and foreign securities, respectively, and records the related obligations to return the collateral in other liabilities and accrued expenses. The carrying value of these obligations approximates fair value because of their

Table of Contents

relatively short-term nature. The Company monitors the market value of securities loaned on a daily basis and obtains additional collateral as necessary under the terms of the agreements to mitigate counterparty credit risk. The Company maintains the right and ability to repossess the securities loaned on short notice.

Recognition of premium revenues and contract charges, and related benefits and interest credited

Property-liability premiums are deferred and earned on a pro-rata basis over the terms of the policies, typically periods of six or twelve months. The portion of premiums written applicable to the unexpired terms of the policies is recorded as unearned premiums. Premium installment receivables, net, represent premiums written and not yet collected, net of an allowance for uncollectible premiums. The Company regularly evaluates premium installment receivables and adjusts its valuation allowance as appropriate. The valuation allowance for uncollectible premium installment receivables was \$77 million and \$70 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Traditional life insurance products consist principally of products with fixed and guaranteed premiums and benefits, primarily term and whole life insurance products. Voluntary accident and health insurance products are expected to remain in force for an extended period. Premiums from these products are recognized as revenue when due from policyholders. Benefits are reflected in life and annuity contract benefits and recognized in relation to premiums, so that profits are recognized over the life of the policy.

Immediate annuities with life contingencies, including certain structured settlement annuities, provide insurance protection over a period that extends beyond the period during which premiums are collected. Premiums from these products are recognized as revenue when received at the inception of the contract. Benefits and expenses are recognized in relation to premiums. Profits from these policies come from investment income, which is recognized over the life of the contract.

Interest-sensitive life contracts, such as universal life and single premium life, are insurance contracts whose terms are not fixed and guaranteed. The terms that may be changed include premiums paid by the contractholder, interest credited to the contractholder account balance and contract charges assessed against the contractholder account balance. Premiums from these contracts are reported as contractholder fund deposits. Contract charges consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balance for the cost of insurance (mortality risk), contract administration and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates. These contract charges are recognized as revenue when assessed against the contractholder account balance. Life and annuity contract benefits include life-contingent benefit payments in excess of the contractholder account balance.

Contracts that do not subject the Company to significant risk arising from mortality or morbidity are referred to as investment contracts. Fixed annuities, including market value adjusted annuities, equity-indexed annuities and immediate annuities without life contingencies, and funding agreements (primarily backing medium-term notes) are considered investment contracts. Consideration received for such contracts is reported as contractholder fund deposits. Contract charges for investment contracts consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balance for maintenance, administration and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates, and are recognized when assessed against the contractholder account balance.

Interest credited to contractholder funds represents interest accrued or paid on interest-sensitive life and investment contracts. Crediting rates for certain fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts are adjusted periodically by the Company to reflect current market conditions subject to contractually guaranteed minimum rates. Crediting rates for indexed life and annuities and indexed funding agreements are generally based on a specified interest rate index or an equity index, such as the Standard & Poor's ("S&P") 500 Index. Interest credited also includes amortization of DSI expenses. DSI is amortized into interest credited using the same method used to amortize DAC.

Contract charges for variable life and variable annuity products consist of fees assessed against the contractholder account balances for contract maintenance, administration, mortality, expense and surrender of the contract prior to contractually specified dates. Contract benefits incurred for variable annuity products include guaranteed minimum death, income, withdrawal and accumulation benefits. Substantially all of the Company's variable annuity business is ceded through reinsurance agreements and the contract charges and contract benefits related thereto are reported net of reinsurance ceded.

Deferred policy acquisition and sales inducement costs

Costs that are related directly to the successful acquisition of new or renewal property-liability insurance, life insurance and investment contracts are deferred and recorded as DAC. These costs are principally agents' and brokers' remuneration, premium taxes and certain underwriting expenses. DSI costs, which are deferred and recorded as other assets, relate to sales inducements offered on sales to new customers, principally on annuity and interest-sensitive life

Table of Contents

contracts. These sales inducements are primarily in the form of additional credits to the customer's account balance or enhancements to interest credited for a specified period which are in excess of the rates currently being credited to similar contracts without sales inducements. All other acquisition costs are expensed as incurred and included in operating costs and expenses. DAC associated with property-liability insurance is amortized into income as premiums are earned, typically over periods of six or twelve months, and is included in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs. Amortization of DAC associated with life insurance and investment contracts is included in amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs and is described in more detail below. DSI is amortized into income using the same methodology and assumptions as DAC and is included in interest credited to contractholder funds. DAC and DSI are periodically reviewed for recoverability and adjusted if necessary. Future investment income is considered in determining the recoverability of DAC.

For traditional life insurance, DAC is amortized over the premium paying period of the related policies in proportion to the estimated revenues on such business. Assumptions used in the amortization of DAC and reserve calculations are established at the time the policy is issued and are generally not revised during the life of the policy. Any deviations from projected business in force resulting from actual policy terminations differing from expected levels and any estimated premium deficiencies may result in a change to the rate of amortization in the period such events occur. Generally, the amortization periods for these policies approximates the estimated lives of the policies.

For interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts, DAC and DSI are amortized in proportion to the incidence of the total present value of gross profits, which includes both actual historical gross profits ("AGP") and estimated future gross profits ("EGP") expected to be earned over the estimated lives of the contracts. The amortization is net of interest on the prior period DAC balance using rates established at the inception of the contracts. Actual amortization periods generally range from 15-30 years; however, incorporating estimates of the rate of customer surrenders, partial withdrawals and deaths generally results in the majority of the DAC being amortized during the surrender charge period, which is typically 10-20 years for interest-sensitive life and 5-10 years for fixed annuities. The cumulative DAC and DSI amortization is reestimated and adjusted by a cumulative charge or credit to income when there is a difference between the incidence of actual versus expected gross profits in a reporting period or when there is a change in total EGP. When DAC or DSI amortization or a component of gross profits for a quarterly period is potentially negative (which would result in an increase of the DAC or DSI balance) as a result of negative AGP, the specific facts and circumstances surrounding the potential negative amortization are considered to determine whether it is appropriate for recognition in the consolidated financial statements. Negative amortization is only recorded when the increased DAC or DSI balance is determined to be recoverable based on facts and circumstances. Recapitalization of DAC and DSI is limited to the originally deferred costs plus interest.

AGP and EGP primarily consist of the following components: contract charges for the cost of insurance less mortality costs and other benefits; investment income and realized capital gains and losses less interest credited; and surrender and other contract charges less maintenance expenses. The principal assumptions for determining the amount of EGP are persistency, mortality, expenses, investment returns, including capital gains and losses on assets supporting contract liabilities, interest crediting rates to contractholders, and the effects of any hedges. For products whose supporting investments are exposed to capital losses in excess of the Company's expectations which may cause periodic AGP to become temporarily negative, EGP and AGP utilized in DAC and DSI amortization may be modified to exclude the excess capital losses.

The Company performs quarterly reviews of DAC and DSI recoverability for interest-sensitive life, fixed annuities and other investment contracts in the aggregate using current assumptions. If a change in the amount of EGP is significant, it could result in the unamortized DAC or DSI not being recoverable, resulting in a charge which is included as a component of amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs or interest credited to contractholder funds, respectively.

The DAC and DSI balances presented include adjustments to reflect the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized capital gains or losses in the respective product investment portfolios were actually realized. The adjustments are recorded net of tax in accumulated other comprehensive income. DAC, DSI and deferred income taxes determined on unrealized capital gains and losses and reported in accumulated other comprehensive income recognize the impact on shareholders' equity consistently with the amounts that would be recognized in the income statement on realized capital gains and losses.

Table of Contents

Customers of the Company may exchange one insurance policy or investment contract for another offered by the Company, or make modifications to an existing investment, life or property-liability contract issued by the Company. These transactions are identified as internal replacements for accounting purposes. Internal replacement transactions determined to result in replacement contracts that are substantially unchanged from the replaced contracts are accounted for as continuations of the replaced contracts. Unamortized DAC and DSI related to the replaced contracts continue to be deferred and amortized in connection with the replacement contracts. For interest-sensitive life and investment contracts, the EGP of the replacement contracts are treated as a revision to the EGP of the replaced contracts in the determination of amortization of DAC and DSI. For traditional life and property-liability insurance policies, any changes to unamortized DAC that result from replacement contracts are treated as prospective revisions. Any costs associated with the issuance of replacement contracts are characterized as maintenance costs and expensed as incurred. Internal replacement transactions determined to result in a substantial change to the replaced contracts are accounted for as an extinguishment of the replaced contracts, and any unamortized DAC and DSI related to the replaced contracts are eliminated with a corresponding charge to amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs or interest credited to contractholder funds, respectively.

The costs assigned to the right to receive future cash flows from certain business purchased from other insurers are also classified as DAC in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. The costs capitalized represent the present value of future profits expected to be earned over the lives of the contracts acquired. These costs are amortized as profits emerge over the lives of the acquired business and are periodically evaluated for recoverability. The present value of future profits was \$79 million and \$95 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Amortization expense of the present value of future profits was \$16 million, \$41 million and \$39 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Reinsurance

In the normal course of business, the Company seeks to limit aggregate and single exposure to losses on large risks by purchasing reinsurance. The Company has also used reinsurance to effect the acquisition or disposition of certain blocks of business. The amounts reported as reinsurance recoverables include amounts billed to reinsurers on losses paid as well as estimates of amounts expected to be recovered from reinsurers on insurance liabilities and contractholder funds that have not yet been paid. Reinsurance recoverables on unpaid losses are estimated based upon assumptions consistent with those used in establishing the liabilities related to the underlying reinsured contracts. Insurance liabilities are reported gross of reinsurance recoverables. Reinsurance premiums are generally reflected in income in a manner consistent with the recognition of premiums on the reinsured contracts. For catastrophe coverage, the cost of reinsurance premiums is recognized ratably over the contract period to the extent coverage remains available. Reinsurance does not extinguish the Company's primary liability under the policies written. Therefore, the Company regularly evaluates the financial condition of its reinsurers, including their activities with respect to claim settlement practices and commutations, and establishes allowances for uncollectible reinsurance as appropriate.

Goodwill

Goodwill represents the excess of amounts paid for acquiring businesses over the fair value of the net assets acquired. The goodwill balances were \$825 million and \$418 million as of December 31, 2013 and \$822 million and \$418 million as of December 31, 2012 for the Allstate Protection segment and the Allstate Financial segment, respectively. The Company's reporting units are equivalent to its reporting segments, Allstate Protection and Allstate Financial. Goodwill is allocated to reporting units based on which unit is expected to benefit from the synergies of the business combination. Goodwill is not amortized but is tested for impairment at least annually. The Company performs its annual goodwill impairment testing during the fourth quarter of each year based upon data as of the close of the third quarter. The Company also reviews goodwill for impairment whenever events or changes in circumstances, such as deteriorating or adverse market conditions, indicate that it is more likely than not that the carrying amount of goodwill may exceed its implied fair value.

To estimate the fair value of its reporting units, the Company may utilize a combination of widely accepted valuation techniques including a stock price and market capitalization analysis, discounted cash flow calculations and peer company price to earnings multiples analysis. The stock price and market capitalization analysis takes into consideration the quoted market price of the Company's outstanding common stock and includes a control premium, derived from historical insurance industry acquisition activity, in determining the estimated fair value of the consolidated entity before allocating that fair value to individual reporting units. The discounted cash flow analysis utilizes long term assumptions for revenue growth, capital growth, earnings projections including those used in the Company's strategic plan, and an appropriate discount rate. The peer company price to earnings multiples analysis takes into consideration the price to earnings multiples of peer companies for each reporting unit and estimated income from the Company's strategic plan.

Table of Contents

Goodwill impairment evaluations indicated no impairment as of December 31, 2013 or 2012.

Property and equipment

Property and equipment is carried at cost less accumulated depreciation. Included in property and equipment are capitalized costs related to computer software licenses and software developed for internal use. These costs generally consist of certain external payroll and payroll related costs. Certain facilities and equipment held under capital leases are also classified as property and equipment with the related lease obligations recorded as liabilities. Property and equipment depreciation is calculated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful lives of the assets, generally 3 to 10 years for equipment and 40 years for real property. Depreciation expense is reported in operating costs and expenses. Accumulated depreciation on property and equipment was \$2.19 billion and \$2.41 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Depreciation expense on property and equipment was \$208 million, \$214 million and \$222 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company reviews its property and equipment for impairment at least annually and whenever events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount may not be recoverable.

Income taxes

The income tax provision is calculated under the liability method. Deferred tax assets and liabilities are recorded based on the difference between the financial statement and tax bases of assets and liabilities at the enacted tax rates. The principal assets and liabilities giving rise to such differences are DAC, unrealized capital gains and losses, unearned premiums, differences in tax bases of invested assets and insurance reserves. A deferred tax asset valuation allowance is established when there is uncertainty that such assets will be realized.

Reserves for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense and life-contingent contract benefits

The reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense is the estimate of amounts necessary to settle all reported and unreported claims for the ultimate cost of insured property-liability losses, based upon the facts of each case and the Company's experience with similar cases. Estimated amounts of salvage and subrogation are deducted from the reserve for claims and claims expense. The establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophe losses, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. Reserve estimates are regularly reviewed and updated, using the most current information available. Any resulting reestimates are reflected in current results of operations.

The reserve for life-contingent contract benefits payable under insurance policies, including traditional life insurance, life-contingent immediate annuities and voluntary accident and health insurance products, is computed on the basis of long-term actuarial assumptions of future investment yields, mortality, morbidity, policy terminations and expenses. These assumptions, which for traditional life insurance are applied using the net level premium method, include provisions for adverse deviation and generally vary by characteristics such as type of coverage, year of issue and policy duration. To the extent that unrealized gains on fixed income securities would result in a premium deficiency if those gains were realized, the related increase in reserves for certain immediate annuities with life contingencies is recorded net of tax as a reduction of unrealized net capital gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income.

Contractholder funds

Contractholder funds represent interest-bearing liabilities arising from the sale of products such as interest-sensitive life insurance, fixed annuities and funding agreements. Contractholder funds primarily comprise cumulative deposits received and interest credited to the contractholder less cumulative contract benefits, surrenders, withdrawals, maturities and contract charges for mortality or administrative expenses. Contractholder funds also include reserves for secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and certain fixed annuity contracts and reserves for certain guarantees on reinsured variable annuity contracts.

Held for sale classification

Business is classified as held for sale when management has approved or received approval to sell the business, the sale is probable to occur during the next 12 months at a price that is reasonable in relation to its current fair value and certain other specified criteria are met. A business classified as held for sale is recorded at the lower of its carrying amount or estimated fair value less cost to sell. If the carrying amount of the business exceeds its estimated fair value less cost to sell, a loss is recognized. Assets and liabilities related to a business classified as held for sale are segregated in the Consolidated Statement of Position in the period in which the business is classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents

Separate accounts

Separate accounts assets are carried at fair value. The assets of the separate accounts are legally segregated and available only to settle separate account contract obligations. Separate accounts liabilities represent the contractholders' claims to the related assets and are carried at an amount equal to the separate accounts assets. Investment income and realized capital gains and losses of the separate accounts accrue directly to the contractholders and therefore are not included in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Operations. Deposits to and surrenders and withdrawals from the separate accounts are reflected in separate accounts liabilities and are not included in consolidated cash flows.

Absent any contract provision wherein the Company provides a guarantee, variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders bear the investment risk that the separate accounts' funds may not meet their stated investment objectives. Substantially all of the Company's variable annuity business was reinsured beginning in 2006.

Deferred Employee Stock Ownership Plan ("ESOP") expense

Deferred ESOP expense represents the remaining unrecognized cost of shares acquired by the Allstate ESOP to pre-fund a portion of the Company's contribution to the Allstate 401(k) Savings Plan.

Equity incentive plans

The Company currently has equity incentive plans under which the Company grants nonqualified stock options, restricted stock units and performance stock awards ("equity awards") to certain employees and directors of the Company. The Company measures the fair value of equity awards at the award date and recognizes the expense over the shorter of the period in which the requisite service is rendered or retirement eligibility is attained. The expense for performance stock awards is adjusted each period to reflect the performance factor most likely to be achieved at the end of the performance period. The Company uses a binomial lattice model to determine the fair value of employee stock options.

Off-balance sheet financial instruments

Commitments to invest, commitments to purchase private placement securities, commitments to extend loans, financial guarantees and credit guarantees have off-balance sheet risk because their contractual amounts are not recorded in the Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Position (see Note 8 and Note 15).

Consolidation of variable interest entities ("VIEs")

The Company consolidates VIEs when it is the primary beneficiary. A primary beneficiary is the entity with both the power to direct the activities of the VIE that most significantly impact the economic performance of the VIE and the obligation to absorb losses, or the right to receive benefits, that could potentially be significant to the VIE (see Note 13).

Foreign currency translation

The local currency of the Company's foreign subsidiaries is deemed to be the functional currency of the country in which these subsidiaries operate. The financial statements of the Company's foreign subsidiaries are translated into U.S. dollars at the exchange rate in effect at the end of a reporting period for assets and liabilities and at average exchange rates during the period for results of operations. The unrealized gains and losses from the translation of the net assets are recorded as unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments and included in accumulated other comprehensive income. Changes in unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments are included in other comprehensive income. Gains and losses from foreign currency transactions are reported in operating costs and expenses and have not been material.

Earnings per common share

Basic earnings per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding, including unvested participating restricted stock units. Diluted earnings per common share is computed using the weighted average number of common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding. For the Company, dilutive potential common shares consist of outstanding stock options and unvested non-participating restricted stock units and contingently issuable performance stock awards.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The computation of basic and diluted earnings per common share for the years ended December 31 is presented in the following table.

| (\$ in millions, except per share data) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Numerator: | | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,280 | \$ 2,306 | \$ 787 |
| Less: Preferred stock dividends | 17 | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 2,263 | 2,306 | 787 |
| Denominator: | | | |
| Weighted average common shares outstanding | 464.4 | 489.4 | 520.7 |
| Effect of dilutive potential common shares: | | | |
| Stock options | 4.1 | 2.4 | 1.8 |
| Restricted stock units and performance stock awards (non-participating) | 1.8 | 1.2 | 0.6 |
| Weighted average common and dilutive potential common shares outstanding | 470.3 | 493.0 | 523.1 |
| Earnings per common share Basic | \$ 4.87 | \$ 4.71 | \$ 1.51 |
| Earnings per common share Diluted | \$ 4.81 | \$ 4.68 | \$ 1.50 |

The effect of dilutive potential common shares does not include the effect of options with an anti-dilutive effect on earnings per common share because their exercise prices exceed the average market price of Allstate common shares during the period or for which the unrecognized compensation cost would have an anti-dilutive effect. Options to purchase 8.8 million, 20.4 million and 27.2 million Allstate common shares, with exercise prices ranging from \$40.49 to \$62.42, \$26.56 to \$62.84 and \$22.71 to \$62.84, were outstanding in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, but were not included in the computation of diluted earnings per common share in those years.

Adopted accounting standards

Disclosures about Offsetting Assets and Liabilities

In December 2011 and January 2013, the Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") issued guidance requiring expanded disclosures, including both gross and net information, for derivatives, repurchase and reverse repurchase agreements, and securities borrowing and securities lending transactions that are either offset in the reporting entity's financial statements or those that are subject to an enforceable master netting arrangement or similar agreement. The Company adopted the new guidance in the first quarter of 2013. The new guidance affects disclosures only and therefore had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Reporting of Amounts Reclassified Out of Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income

In February 2013, the FASB issued guidance requiring expanded disclosures about the amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by component. The guidance requires the presentation of significant amounts reclassified out of accumulated other comprehensive income by income statement line item but only if the amount reclassified is required under accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America ("GAAP") to be reclassified to net income in its entirety in the same reporting period. For other amounts that are not required under GAAP to be reclassified in their entirety to net income, cross-reference to other disclosures that provide additional detail about those amounts is required. The Company adopted the new guidance in the first quarter of 2013. The new guidance affects disclosures only and therefore had no impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

Pending accounting standard

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Accounting for Investments in Qualified Affordable Housing Projects

In January 2014, the FASB issued guidance which allows entities that invest in certain qualified affordable housing projects through limited liability entities the option to account for these investments using the proportional amortization method if certain conditions are met. Under the proportional amortization method, the entity amortizes the initial cost of the investment in proportion to the tax credits and other tax benefits received and recognizes the net investment performance in the income statement as a component of income tax expense or benefit. The guidance is effective for reporting periods beginning after December 15, 2014 and is to be applied retrospectively. The Company is in the process

Table of Contents

of evaluating the impact of adoption, which is not expected to be material to the Company's results of operations and financial position.

3. Acquisition

On October 7, 2011, The Allstate Corporation acquired all of the shares of Esurance Holdings, Inc. (formerly, White Mountains, Inc.) and Answer Financial Inc. ("Answer Financial") from White Mountains Holdings (Luxembourg) S.à r.l. for \$1.01 billion in cash. Esurance Holdings, Inc. primarily comprises the Esurance insurance business ("Esurance"). Esurance sells private passenger auto, renters and homeowners insurance direct to consumers online, through contact centers and through select agents, including Answer Financial. Answer Financial is an independent personal lines insurance agency that offers comparison quotes for auto and homeowners insurance from approximately 25 insurance companies through its website and over the phone. Esurance expands the Company's ability to serve the self-directed, brand-sensitive market segment. Answer Financial strengthens the Company's offering to self-directed consumers who want a choice between insurance carriers.

In connection with the acquisition, as of October 7, 2011 the Company recorded present value of future profits of \$42 million, goodwill of \$368 million, other intangible assets of \$426 million, reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense of \$487 million, and unearned premiums of \$229 million. In 2012, goodwill was reduced by \$2 million related to reestimates of the opening balance sheet reserve for property-liability claims and claims expense.

4. Held for Sale Transaction

On July 17, 2013, the Company entered into a definitive agreement with Resolution Life Holdings, Inc. to sell Lincoln Benefit Life Company ("LBL"), LBL's life insurance business generated through independent master brokerage agencies, and all of LBL's deferred fixed annuity and long-term care insurance business for \$600 million subject to certain adjustments as of the closing date. LBL is reported in the Allstate Financial segment. The transaction is subject to regulatory approvals and other customary closing conditions. The Company expects the closing to occur in April 2014. The estimated loss on disposition of \$521 million, after-tax, was recorded in 2013, excluding any impact of unrealized net capital gains and losses. This transaction met the criteria for held for sale accounting. As a result, the related assets and liabilities are included as single line items in the asset and liability sections of the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2013. The following table summarizes the assets and liabilities held for sale as of December 31, 2013.

(\$ in millions)**Assets**

| | | |
|-----------------------------------|----|--------|
| Investments | | |
| Fixed income securities | \$ | 10,167 |
| Mortgage loans | | 1,367 |
| Short-term investments | | 160 |
| Other investments | | 289 |
| | | |
| Total investments | | 11,983 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | | 743 |
| Reinsurance recoverables, net | | 1,660 |
| Accrued investment income | | 109 |
| Other assets | | 79 |
| Separate Accounts | | 1,701 |
| | | |
| Assets held for sale | | 16,275 |
| Less: Loss accrual | | (682) |
| | | |
| Total assets held for sale | \$ | 15,593 |

Liabilities

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|---|----|--------|
| Reserve for life-contingent contract benefits | \$ | 1,894 |
| Contractholder funds | | 10,945 |
| Unearned premiums | | 12 |
| Deferred income taxes | | 151 |
| Other liabilities and accrued expenses | | 196 |
| Separate Accounts | | 1,701 |
| | | |
| Total liabilities held for sale | \$ | 14,899 |

Table of Contents

Included in shareholders' equity is \$85 million of accumulated other comprehensive income related to assets held for sale.

5. Supplemental Cash Flow Information

Non-cash modifications of certain mortgage loans, fixed income securities, limited partnership interests and other investments, as well as mergers completed with equity securities, totaled \$322 million, \$323 million and \$601 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Non-cash financing activities include \$94 million, \$39 million and \$18 million related to the issuance of Allstate shares for vested restricted stock units in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Liabilities for collateral received in conjunction with the Company's securities lending program were \$609 million, \$784 million and \$419 million as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses. Obligations to return cash collateral for over-the-counter ("OTC") and cleared derivatives were \$15 million, \$24 million and \$43 million as of December 31, 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, and are reported in other liabilities and accrued expenses or other investments. The accompanying cash flows are included in cash flows from operating activities in the Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows along with the activities resulting from management of the proceeds, which for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|----------|----------|----------|
| Net change in proceeds managed | | | |
| Net change in short-term investments | \$ 190 | \$ (341) | \$ 21 |
| Operating cash flow provided (used) | 190 | (341) | 21 |
| Net change in cash | (6) | (5) | 1 |
| Net change in proceeds managed | \$ 184 | \$ (346) | \$ 22 |
| Net change in liabilities | | | |
| Liabilities for collateral, beginning of year | \$ (808) | \$ (462) | \$ (484) |
| Liabilities for collateral, end of year | (624) | (808) | (462) |
| Operating cash flow (used) provided | \$ (184) | \$ 346 | \$ (22) |

Table of Contents**6. Investments****Fair values**

The amortized cost, gross unrealized gains and losses and fair value for fixed income securities are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Amortized cost | Gross unrealized | | Fair value |
|-------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|----------|---------------|
| | | Gains | Losses | |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 2,791 | \$ 129 | \$ (7) | \$ 2,913 |
| Municipal | 8,446 | 364 | (87) | 8,723 |
| Corporate | 39,331 | 1,659 | (387) | 40,603 |
| Foreign government | 1,736 | 99 | (11) | 1,824 |
| ABS | 4,491 | 71 | (44) | 4,518 |
| RMBS | 1,403 | 101 | (30) | 1,474 |
| CMBS | 788 | 48 | (7) | 829 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 22 | 4 | | 26 |
| | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | \$ 59,008 | \$ 2,475 | \$ (573) | \$ 60,910 |
| | | | | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 4,387 | \$ 326 | \$ | \$ 4,713 |
| Municipal | 12,139 | 1,038 | (108) | 13,069 |
| Corporate | 44,943 | 3,721 | (127) | 48,537 |
| Foreign government | 2,290 | 228 | (1) | 2,517 |
| ABS | 3,623 | 108 | (107) | 3,624 |
| RMBS | 3,000 | 142 | (110) | 3,032 |
| CMBS | 1,510 | 65 | (77) | 1,498 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 23 | 4 | | 27 |
| | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | \$ 71,915 | \$ 5,632 | \$ (530) | \$ 77,017 |

Scheduled maturities

The scheduled maturities for fixed income securities are as follows as of December 31, 2013:

| (\$ in millions) | Amortized cost | Fair value |
|--|-------------------|---------------|
| Due in one year or less | \$ 2,661 | \$ 2,699 |
| Due after one year through five years | 24,065 | 24,781 |
| Due after five years through ten years | 16,770 | 17,293 |
| Due after ten years | 8,830 | 9,316 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|--------------------|-----------|-----------|
| ABS, RMBS and CMBS | 52,326 | 54,089 |
| | 6,682 | 6,821 |
| Total | \$ 59,008 | \$ 60,910 |

Actual maturities may differ from those scheduled as a result of calls and make-whole payments by the issuers. ABS, RMBS and CMBS are shown separately because of the potential for prepayment of principal prior to contractual maturity dates.

Table of Contents**Net investment income**

Net investment income for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fixed income securities | \$ 2,921 | \$ 3,234 | \$ 3,484 |
| Equity securities | 149 | 127 | 122 |
| Mortgage loans | 372 | 374 | 359 |
| Limited partnership interests ⁽¹⁾ | 541 | 348 | 88 |
| Short-term investments | 5 | 6 | 6 |
| Other | 161 | 132 | 95 |
| Investment income, before expense | 4,149 | 4,221 | 4,154 |
| Investment expense | (206) | (211) | (183) |
| Net investment income | \$ 3,943 | \$ 4,010 | \$ 3,971 |

(1)

Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2013 and 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

Realized capital gains and losses

Realized capital gains and losses by asset type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Fixed income securities | \$ 262 | \$ 107 | \$ 712 |
| Equity securities | 327 | 183 | 63 |
| Mortgage loans | 20 | 8 | (27) |
| Limited partnership interests ⁽¹⁾ | (5) | 13 | 159 |
| Derivatives | (10) | 23 | (397) |
| Other | | (7) | (7) |
| Realized capital gains and losses | \$ 594 | \$ 327 | \$ 503 |

(1)

Income from EMA limited partnerships is reported in net investment income in 2013 and 2012 and realized capital gains and losses in 2011.

Realized capital gains and losses by transaction type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|----------|----------|
| Impairment write-downs | \$ (72) | \$ (185) | \$ (496) |
| Change in intent write-downs | (143) | (48) | (100) |
| Net other-than-temporary impairment losses recognized in earnings | (215) | (233) | (596) |
| Sales | 819 | 536 | 1,336 |
| Valuation of derivative instruments | (6) | (11) | (291) |
| Settlements of derivative instruments | (4) | 35 | (105) |
| EMA limited partnership income | | | 159 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | \$ 594 | \$ 327 | \$ 503 |

Gross gains of \$432 million, \$564 million and \$1.27 billion and gross losses of \$103 million, \$322 million and \$240 million were realized on sales of fixed income securities during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Other-than-temporary impairment losses by asset type for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
|--|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|----------|-----------------|----------|
| | Gross | Included in OCI | Net | Gross | Included in OCI | Net | Gross | Included in OCI | Net |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | | | | |
| Municipal | \$ (24) | \$ (5) | \$ (29) | \$ (42) | \$ 9 | \$ (33) | \$ (59) | \$ (3) | \$ (62) |
| Corporate | | | | (21) | (2) | (23) | (30) | 6 | (24) |
| Foreign government | | | | | | | (1) | | (1) |
| ABS | | (2) | (2) | | | | (9) | 2 | (7) |
| RMBS | (3) | 2 | (1) | (65) | (4) | (69) | (196) | (39) | (235) |
| CMBS | (32) | (3) | (35) | (22) | 3 | (19) | (66) | 1 | (65) |
| Total fixed income securities | (59) | (8) | (67) | (150) | 6 | (144) | (361) | (33) | (394) |
| Equity securities | (137) | | (137) | (75) | | (75) | (139) | | (139) |
| Mortgage loans | 11 | | 11 | 5 | | 5 | (37) | | (37) |
| Limited partnership interests | (18) | | (18) | (8) | | (8) | (6) | | (6) |
| Other | (4) | | (4) | (11) | | (11) | (20) | | (20) |
| Other-than-temporary impairment losses | \$ (207) | \$ (8) | \$ (215) | \$ (239) | \$ 6 | \$ (233) | \$ (563) | \$ (33) | \$ (596) |

The total amount of other-than-temporary impairment losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income at the time of impairment for fixed income securities, which were not included in earnings, are presented in the following table. The amount excludes \$260 million and \$219 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, of net unrealized gains related to changes in valuation of the fixed income securities subsequent to the impairment measurement date.

| (\$ in millions) | December 31, 2013 | December 31, 2012 |
|------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| Municipal | \$ (9) | \$ (20) |
| Corporate | | (1) |
| ABS | (10) | (14) |
| RMBS | (152) | (182) |
| CMBS | (12) | (19) |
| Total | \$ (183) | \$ (236) |

Rollforwards of the cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for fixed income securities held as of December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|------------|
| Beginning balance | \$ (617) | \$ (944) | \$ (1,046) |
| Additional credit loss for securities previously other-than-temporarily impaired | (30) | (58) | (152) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Additional credit loss for securities not previously other-than-temporarily impaired | (19) | (50) | (150) |
| Reduction in credit loss for securities disposed or collected | 150 | 427 | 379 |
| Reduction in credit loss for securities the Company has made the decision to sell or more likely than not will be required to sell | 2 | 7 | 15 |
| Change in credit loss due to accretion of increase in cash flows | 1 | 1 | 10 |
| Ending balance ⁽¹⁾ | \$ (513) | \$ (617) | \$ (944) |

(1) The December 31, 2013 ending balance includes \$60 million of cumulative credit losses recognized in earnings for fixed income securities that are classified as held for sale.

The Company uses its best estimate of future cash flows expected to be collected from the fixed income security, discounted at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, to calculate a recovery value and determine whether a credit loss exists. The determination of cash flow estimates is inherently subjective and methodologies may vary depending on facts and circumstances specific to the security. All reasonably available information relevant to the collectability of the security, including past events, current conditions, and reasonable and supportable assumptions and forecasts, are considered when developing the estimate of cash flows expected to be collected. That information

Table of Contents

generally includes, but is not limited to, the remaining payment terms of the security, prepayment speeds, foreign exchange rates, the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer, expected defaults, expected recoveries, the value of underlying collateral, vintage, geographic concentration, available reserves or escrows, current subordination levels, third party guarantees and other credit enhancements. Other information, such as industry analyst reports and forecasts, sector credit ratings, financial condition of the bond insurer for insured fixed income securities, and other market data relevant to the realizability of contractual cash flows, may also be considered. The estimated fair value of collateral will be used to estimate recovery value if the Company determines that the security is dependent on the liquidation of collateral for ultimate settlement. If the estimated recovery value is less than the amortized cost of the security, a credit loss exists and an other-than-temporary impairment for the difference between the estimated recovery value and amortized cost is recorded in earnings. The portion of the unrealized loss related to factors other than credit remains classified in accumulated other comprehensive income. If the Company determines that the fixed income security does not have sufficient cash flow or other information to estimate a recovery value for the security, the Company may conclude that the entire decline in fair value is deemed to be credit related and the loss is recorded in earnings.

Unrealized net capital gains and losses

Unrealized net capital gains and losses included in accumulated other comprehensive income are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) December 31, 2013 | Fair value | Gross unrealized | | Unrealized net gains (losses) |
|--|---------------|------------------|----------|----------------------------------|
| | | Gains | Losses | |
| Fixed income securities | \$ 60,910 | \$ 2,475 | \$ (573) | \$ 1,902 |
| Equity securities | 5,097 | 658 | (34) | 624 |
| Short-term investments | 2,393 | | | |
| Derivative instruments ⁽¹⁾ | (13) | 1 | (19) | (18) |
| EMA limited partnerships ⁽²⁾ | | | | (3) |
| Investments classified as held for sale | | | | 190 |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax | | | | 2,695 |
| Amounts recognized for: | | | | |
| Insurance reserves ⁽³⁾ | | | | |
| DAC and DSI ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | (158) |
| Amounts recognized | | | | (158) |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | (891) |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax | | | | \$ 1,646 |

(1) Included in the fair value of derivative instruments are \$1 million classified as assets and \$14 million classified as liabilities.

(2) Unrealized net capital gains and losses for limited partnership interests represent the Company's share of EMA limited partnerships' other comprehensive income. Fair value and gross gains and losses are not applicable.

(3)

The insurance reserves adjustment represents the amount by which the reserve balance would increase if the net unrealized gains in the applicable product portfolios were realized and reinvested at current lower interest rates, resulting in a premium deficiency. Although the Company evaluates premium deficiencies on the combined performance of life insurance and immediate annuities with life contingencies, the adjustment primarily relates to structured settlement annuities with life contingencies, in addition to annuity buy-outs and certain payout annuities with life contingencies.

(4)

The DAC and DSI adjustment balance represents the amount by which the amortization of DAC and DSI would increase or decrease if the unrealized gains or losses in the respective product portfolios were realized.

Table of Contents

| (\$ in millions) December 31, 2012 | Gross unrealized | | | Unrealized net gains (losses) |
|--|------------------|----------|----------|----------------------------------|
| | Fair value | Gains | Losses | |
| Fixed income securities | \$ 77,017 | \$ 5,632 | \$ (530) | \$ 5,102 |
| Equity securities | 4,037 | 494 | (34) | 460 |
| Short-term investments | 2,336 | | | |
| Derivative instruments ⁽¹⁾ | (17) | 2 | (24) | (22) |
| EMA limited partnerships | | | | 7 |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses, pre-tax | | | | 5,547 |
| Amounts recognized for: | | | | |
| Insurance reserves | | | | (771) |
| DAC and DSI | | | | (412) |
| Amounts recognized | | | | (1,183) |
| Deferred income taxes | | | | (1,530) |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax | | | | \$ 2,834 |

(1) Included in the fair value of derivative instruments are \$2 million classified as assets and \$19 million classified as liabilities.

Change in unrealized net capital gains and losses

The change in unrealized net capital gains and losses for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------------|----------|----------|
| Fixed income securities | \$ (3,200) | \$ 2,368 | \$ 1,908 |
| Equity securities | 164 | 300 | (423) |
| Derivative instruments | 4 | (5) | 5 |
| EMA limited partnerships | (10) | 5 | 2 |
| Investments classified as held for sale | 190 | | |
| Total | (2,852) | 2,668 | 1,492 |
| Amounts recognized for: | | | |
| Insurance reserves | 771 | (177) | (585) |
| DAC and DSI | 254 | (288) | (209) |
| Amounts recognized | 1,025 | (465) | (794) |
| Deferred income taxes | 639 | (769) | (246) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---|----|---------|----|-------|----|-----|
| (Decrease) increase in unrealized net capital gains and losses, after-tax | \$ | (1,188) | \$ | 1,434 | \$ | 452 |
|---|----|---------|----|-------|----|-----|

Portfolio monitoring

The Company has a comprehensive portfolio monitoring process to identify and evaluate each fixed income and equity security whose carrying value may be other-than-temporarily impaired.

For each fixed income security in an unrealized loss position, the Company assesses whether management with the appropriate authority has made the decision to sell or whether it is more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the security before recovery of the amortized cost basis for reasons such as liquidity, contractual or regulatory purposes. If a security meets either of these criteria, the security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

If the Company has not made the decision to sell the fixed income security and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell the fixed income security before recovery of its amortized cost basis, the Company evaluates whether it expects to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the security. The Company calculates the estimated recovery value by discounting the best estimate of future cash flows at the security's original or current effective rate, as appropriate, and compares this to the amortized cost of the security. If the Company does not expect to receive cash flows sufficient to recover the entire amortized cost basis of the fixed income security, the credit loss component of the impairment is recorded in earnings, with the remaining amount of the unrealized loss related to other factors recognized in other comprehensive income.

Table of Contents

For equity securities, the Company considers various factors, including whether it has the intent and ability to hold the equity security for a period of time sufficient to recover its cost basis. Where the Company lacks the intent and ability to hold to recovery, or believes the recovery period is extended, the equity security's decline in fair value is considered other than temporary and is recorded in earnings.

For fixed income and equity securities managed by third parties, either the Company has contractually retained its decision making authority as it pertains to selling securities that are in an unrealized loss position or it recognizes any unrealized loss at the end of the period through a charge to earnings.

The Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all securities to identify instances where the fair value of a security compared to its amortized cost (for fixed income securities) or cost (for equity securities) is below established thresholds. The process also includes the monitoring of other impairment indicators such as ratings, ratings downgrades and payment defaults. The securities identified, in addition to other securities for which the Company may have a concern, are evaluated for potential other-than-temporary impairment using all reasonably available information relevant to the collectability or recovery of the security. Inherent in the Company's evaluation of other-than-temporary impairment for these fixed income and equity securities are assumptions and estimates about the financial condition and future earnings potential of the issue or issuer. Some of the factors that may be considered in evaluating whether a decline in fair value is other than temporary are: 1) the financial condition, near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer, including relevant industry specific market conditions and trends, geographic location and implications of rating agency actions and offering prices; 2) the specific reasons that a security is in an unrealized loss position, including overall market conditions which could affect liquidity; and 3) the length of time and extent to which the fair value has been less than amortized cost or cost.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the gross unrealized losses and fair value of fixed income and equity securities by the length of time that individual securities have been in a continuous unrealized loss position.

| (\$ in millions) | Less than 12 months | | | 12 months or more | | | Total unrealized losses |
|---|------------------------|------------------|----------------------|------------------------|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| | Number of issues | Fair value | Unrealized losses | Number of issues | Fair value | Unrealized losses | |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | 22 | \$ 700 | \$ (7) | | \$ | \$ | \$ (7) |
| Municipal | 315 | 2,065 | (41) | 38 | 208 | (46) | (87) |
| Corporate | 796 | 10,375 | (308) | 54 | 550 | (79) | (387) |
| Foreign government | 36 | 262 | (9) | 1 | 18 | (2) | (11) |
| ABS | 85 | 1,715 | (10) | 43 | 429 | (34) | (44) |
| RMBS | 134 | 149 | (4) | 175 | 247 | (26) | (30) |
| CMBS | 8 | 22 | | 7 | 52 | (7) | (7) |
| Total fixed income securities | 1,396 | 15,288 | (379) | 318 | 1,504 | (194) | (573) |
| Equity securities | 158 | 982 | (34) | 1 | | | (34) |
| Total fixed income and equity securities | 1,554 | \$ 16,270 | \$ (413) | 319 | \$ 1,504 | \$ (194) | \$ (607) |
| Investment grade fixed income securities | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade fixed income securities | 1,217 | \$ 14,019 | \$ (340) | 221 | \$ 975 | \$ (116) | \$ (456) |
| Below investment grade fixed income securities | 179 | 1,269 | (39) | 97 | 529 | (78) | (117) |
| Total fixed income securities | 1,396 | \$ 15,288 | \$ (379) | 318 | \$ 1,504 | \$ (194) | \$ (573) |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | 6 | \$ 85 | \$ | | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Municipal | 130 | 1,012 | (13) | 80 | 717 | (95) | (108) |
| Corporate | 133 | 1,989 | (33) | 70 | 896 | (94) | (127) |
| Foreign government | 22 | 190 | (1) | | | | (1) |
| ABS | 12 | 145 | (1) | 77 | 794 | (106) | (107) |
| RMBS | 117 | 50 | (1) | 336 | 638 | (109) | (110) |
| CMBS | 11 | 68 | | 44 | 357 | (77) | (77) |
| Redeemable preferred stock | | | | 1 | | | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | |
|--|-------|----------|---------|-----|----------|----------|----------|
| Total fixed income securities | 431 | 3,539 | (49) | 608 | 3,402 | (481) | (530) |
| Equity securities | 803 | 284 | (27) | 96 | 69 | (7) | (34) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed income and equity securities | 1,234 | \$ 3,823 | \$ (76) | 704 | \$ 3,471 | \$ (488) | \$ (564) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade fixed income securities | 387 | \$ 3,141 | \$ (39) | 409 | \$ 2,172 | \$ (217) | \$ (256) |
| Below investment grade fixed income securities | 44 | 398 | (10) | 199 | 1,230 | (264) | (274) |
| | | | | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | 431 | \$ 3,539 | \$ (49) | 608 | \$ 3,402 | \$ (481) | \$ (530) |

As of December 31, 2013, \$504 million of unrealized losses are related to securities with an unrealized loss position less than 20% of amortized cost or cost, the degree of which suggests that these securities do not pose a high risk of being other-than-temporarily impaired. Of the \$504 million, \$383 million are related to unrealized losses on investment grade fixed income securities. Investment grade is defined as a security having a rating of Aaa, Aa, A or Baa from Moody's, a rating of AAA, AA, A or BBB from S&P, Fitch, Dominion, Kroll or Realpoint, a rating of aaa, aa, a or bbb from A.M. Best, or a comparable internal rating if an externally provided rating is not available. Unrealized losses on investment grade securities are principally related to increasing risk-free interest rates or widening credit spreads since the time of initial purchase.

As of December 31, 2013, the remaining \$103 million of unrealized losses are related to securities in unrealized loss positions greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost or cost. Investment grade fixed income securities comprising \$73 million of these unrealized losses were evaluated based on factors such as discounted cash flows and the financial condition and near-term and long-term prospects of the issue or issuer and were determined to have adequate resources to fulfill contractual obligations. Of the \$103 million, \$25 million are related to below investment grade fixed income securities and \$5 million are related to equity securities. Of these amounts, \$17 million are related to below

Table of Contents

investment grade fixed income securities that had been in an unrealized loss position greater than or equal to 20% of amortized cost for a period of twelve or more consecutive months as of December 31, 2013.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on actual and projected collateral losses relative to the securities' positions in the respective securitization trusts, security specific expectations of cash flows, and credit ratings. This evaluation also takes into consideration credit enhancement, measured in terms of (i) subordination from other classes of securities in the trust that are contractually obligated to absorb losses before the class of security the Company owns, (ii) the expected impact of other structural features embedded in the securitization trust beneficial to the class of securities the Company owns, such as overcollateralization and excess spread, and (iii) for ABS and RMBS in an unrealized loss position, credit enhancements from reliable bond insurers, where applicable. Municipal bonds in an unrealized loss position were evaluated based on the quality of the underlying securities. Unrealized losses on equity securities are primarily related to temporary equity market fluctuations of securities that are expected to recover.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has not made the decision to sell and it is not more likely than not the Company will be required to sell fixed income securities with unrealized losses before recovery of the amortized cost basis. As of December 31, 2013, the Company had the intent and ability to hold equity securities with unrealized losses for a period of time sufficient for them to recover.

Limited partnerships

As of both December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value of equity method limited partnerships totaled \$3.52 billion. The Company recognizes an impairment loss for equity method limited partnerships when evidence demonstrates that the loss is other than temporary. Evidence of a loss in value that is other than temporary may include the absence of an ability to recover the carrying amount of the investment or the inability of the investee to sustain a level of earnings that would justify the carrying amount of the investment. The Company had write-downs related to equity method limited partnerships of \$1 million and \$2 million in 2013 and 2011, respectively. The Company had no write-downs related to equity method limited partnerships in 2012.

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the carrying value for cost method limited partnerships was \$1.44 billion and \$1.41 billion, respectively. To determine if an other-than-temporary impairment has occurred, the Company evaluates whether an impairment indicator has occurred in the period that may have a significant adverse effect on the carrying value of the investment. Impairment indicators may include: significantly reduced valuations of the investments held by the limited partnerships; actual recent cash flows received being significantly less than expected cash flows; reduced valuations based on financing completed at a lower value; completed sale of a material underlying investment at a price significantly lower than expected; or any other adverse events since the last financial statements received that might affect the fair value of the investee's capital. Additionally, the Company's portfolio monitoring process includes a quarterly review of all cost method limited partnerships to identify instances where the net asset value is below established thresholds for certain periods of time, as well as investments that are performing below expectations, for further impairment consideration. If a cost method limited partnership is other-than-temporarily impaired, the carrying value is written down to fair value, generally estimated to be equivalent to the reported net asset value of the underlying funds. In 2013, 2012 and 2011, the Company had write-downs related to cost method limited partnerships of \$17 million, \$8 million and \$4 million, respectively.

Mortgage loans

The Company's mortgage loans are commercial mortgage loans collateralized by a variety of commercial real estate property types located throughout the United States and totaled, net of valuation allowance, \$4.72 billion and \$6.57 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Substantially all of the commercial mortgage loans are non-recourse to the borrower. The following table shows the principal geographic distribution of commercial real estate

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

represented in the Company's mortgage loan portfolio. No other state represented more than 5% of the portfolio as of December 31.

| (% of mortgage loan portfolio carrying value) | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-------|-------|
| California | 23.0% | 23.6% |
| Illinois | 10.0 | 8.1 |
| New Jersey | 6.8 | 6.2 |
| Texas | 6.3 | 6.4 |
| New York | 6.0 | 6.4 |
| Florida | 5.7 | 4.7 |
| District of Columbia | 5.3 | 3.8 |

The types of properties collateralizing the mortgage loans as of December 31 are as follows:

| (% of mortgage loan portfolio carrying value) | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|--------|--------|
| Office buildings | 26.5% | 26.6% |
| Apartment complex | 23.2 | 20.6 |
| Retail | 21.0 | 22.7 |
| Warehouse | 18.0 | 19.7 |
| Other | 11.3 | 10.4 |
| | | |
| Total | 100.0% | 100.0% |

The contractual maturities of the mortgage loan portfolio as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Number of loans | Carrying value | Percent |
|------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------|
| 2014 | 23 | \$ 336 | 7.1% |
| 2015 | 38 | 628 | 13.3 |
| 2016 | 46 | 548 | 11.6 |
| 2017 | 42 | 475 | 10.1 |
| Thereafter | 204 | 2,734 | 57.9 |
| | | | |
| Total | 353 | \$ 4,721 | 100.0% |

Mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment on a specific loan basis through a quarterly credit monitoring process and review of key credit quality indicators. Mortgage loans are considered impaired when it is probable that the Company will not collect the contractual principal and interest. Valuation allowances are established for impaired loans to reduce the carrying value to the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell or the present value of the loan's expected future repayment cash flows discounted at the loan's original effective interest rate. Impaired mortgage loans may not have a valuation allowance when the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell is higher than the carrying value. Valuation allowances are adjusted for subsequent changes in the fair value of the collateral less costs to sell. Mortgage loans are charged off against their corresponding valuation allowances when there is no reasonable expectation of recovery. The impairment evaluation is non-statistical in respect to the aggregate portfolio but considers facts and circumstances attributable to each loan. It is not considered probable that additional impairment losses, beyond those identified on a specific loan basis, have been incurred as of December 31, 2013.

Accrual of income is suspended for mortgage loans that are in default or when full and timely collection of principal and interest payments is not probable. Cash receipts on mortgage loans on nonaccrual status are generally recorded as a reduction of carrying value.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Debt service coverage ratio is considered a key credit quality indicator when mortgage loans are evaluated for impairment. Debt service coverage ratio represents the amount of estimated cash flows from the property available to the borrower to meet principal and interest payment obligations. Debt service coverage ratio estimates are updated annually or more frequently if conditions are warranted based on the Company's credit monitoring process.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table reflects the carrying value of non-impaired fixed rate and variable rate mortgage loans summarized by debt service coverage ratio distribution as of December 31:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|---|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------|---------------------------|------------------------------|----------|
| | Fixed rate mortgage loans | Variable rate mortgage loans | Total | Fixed rate mortgage loans | Variable rate mortgage loans | Total |
| Debt service coverage ratio distribution | | | | | | |
| Below 1.0 | \$ 153 | \$ | \$ 153 | \$ 267 | \$ | \$ 267 |
| 1.0 - 1.25 | 613 | | 613 | 1,208 | 20 | 1,228 |
| 1.26 - 1.50 | 1,233 | 2 | 1,235 | 1,458 | 46 | 1,504 |
| Above 1.50 | 2,562 | 77 | 2,639 | 3,268 | 148 | 3,416 |
| Total non-impaired mortgage loans | \$ 4,561 | \$ 79 | \$ 4,640 | \$ 6,201 | \$ 214 | \$ 6,415 |

Mortgage loans with a debt service coverage ratio below 1.0 that are not considered impaired primarily relate to instances where the borrower has the financial capacity to fund the revenue shortfalls from the properties for the foreseeable term, the decrease in cash flows from the properties is considered temporary, or there are other risk mitigating circumstances such as additional collateral, escrow balances or borrower guarantees.

The net carrying value of impaired mortgage loans as of December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-------|--------|
| Impaired mortgage loans with a valuation allowance | \$ 81 | \$ 147 |
| Impaired mortgage loans without a valuation allowance | | 8 |
| Total impaired mortgage loans | \$ 81 | \$ 155 |

Valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans \$ 21 \$ 42

The average balance of impaired loans was \$88 million, \$202 million and \$210 million during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The rollforward of the valuation allowance on impaired mortgage loans for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Beginning balance | \$ 42 | \$ 63 | \$ 84 |
| Net (decrease) increase in valuation allowance | (11) | (5) | 37 |
| Charge offs | (8) | (16) | (58) |
| Mortgage loans classified as held for sale | (2) | | |
| Ending balance | \$ 21 | \$ 42 | \$ 63 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

The carrying value of past due mortgage loans as of December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|-----------------------------|----------|----------|
| Less than 90 days past due | \$ | \$ 21 |
| 90 days or greater past due | | 4 |
| | | |
| Total past due | | 25 |
| Current loans | 4,721 | 6,545 |
| | | |
| Total mortgage loans | \$ 4,721 | \$ 6,570 |

Municipal bonds

The Company maintains a diversified portfolio of municipal bonds. The following table shows the principal geographic distribution of municipal bond issuers represented in the Company's portfolio as of December 31. No other state represents more than 5% of the portfolio.

| (% of municipal bond portfolio carrying value) | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|------|------|
| Texas | 8.7% | 8.2% |
| California | 8.0 | 8.1 |
| Florida | 6.3 | 6.5 |
| New York | 6.3 | 5.9 |
| | 135 | |

Table of Contents

Concentration of credit risk

As of December 31, 2013, the Company is not exposed to any credit concentration risk of a single issuer and its affiliates greater than 10% of the Company's shareholders' equity.

Securities loaned

The Company's business activities include securities lending programs with third parties, mostly large banks. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, fixed income and equity securities with a carrying value of \$590 million and \$760 million, respectively, were on loan under these agreements. Interest income on collateral, net of fees, was \$2 million in each of 2013, 2012 and 2011.

Other investment information

Included in fixed income securities are below investment grade assets totaling \$6.44 billion and \$6.62 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

As of December 31, 2013, fixed income securities and short-term investments with a carrying value of \$258 million were on deposit with regulatory authorities as required by law.

As of December 31, 2013, the carrying value of fixed income securities that were non-income producing was \$48 million.

7. Fair Value of Assets and Liabilities

Fair value is defined as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants at the measurement date. The hierarchy for inputs used in determining fair value maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs by requiring that observable inputs be used when available. Assets and liabilities recorded on the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position at fair value are categorized in the fair value hierarchy based on the observability of inputs to the valuation techniques as follows:

Level 1: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets or liabilities in an active market that the Company can access.

Level 2: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on the following:

- (a) Quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets;
- (b) Quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active; or
- (c) Valuation models whose inputs are observable, directly or indirectly, for substantially the full term of the asset or liability.

Level 3: Assets and liabilities whose values are based on prices or valuation techniques that require inputs that are both unobservable and significant to the overall fair value measurement. Unobservable inputs reflect the Company's estimates of the assumptions that market participants would use in valuing the assets and liabilities.

The availability of observable inputs varies by instrument. In situations where fair value is based on internally developed pricing models or inputs that are unobservable in the market, the determination of fair value requires more judgment. The degree of judgment exercised by the Company in determining fair value is typically greatest for instruments categorized in Level 3. In many instances, valuation inputs used to measure fair value fall into different levels of the fair value hierarchy. The category level in the fair value hierarchy is determined based on the lowest level input that is significant to the fair value measurement in its entirety. The Company uses prices and inputs that are current as of the measurement date, including during periods of market disruption. In periods of market disruption, the ability to observe prices and inputs may be reduced for many instruments.

The Company is responsible for the determination of fair value and the supporting assumptions and methodologies. The Company gains assurance that assets and liabilities are appropriately valued through the execution of various processes and controls designed to ensure the overall reasonableness and consistent application of valuation methodologies, including inputs and assumptions, and compliance with accounting standards. For fair values received from third parties or internally estimated, the Company's processes and controls are designed to

ensure that the valuation methodologies are appropriate and consistently applied, the inputs and assumptions are reasonable and consistent with the objective of determining fair value, and the fair values are accurately recorded. For example, on a

Table of Contents

continuing basis, the Company assesses the reasonableness of individual fair values that have stale security prices or that exceed certain thresholds as compared to previous fair values received from valuation service providers or brokers or derived from internal models. The Company performs procedures to understand and assess the methodologies, processes and controls of valuation service providers. In addition, the Company may validate the reasonableness of fair values by comparing information obtained from valuation service providers or brokers to other third party valuation sources for selected securities. The Company performs ongoing price validation procedures such as back-testing of actual sales, which corroborate the various inputs used in internal models to market observable data. When fair value determinations are expected to be more variable, the Company validates them through reviews by members of management who have relevant expertise and who are independent of those charged with executing investment transactions.

The Company has two types of situations where investments are classified as Level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. The first is where quotes continue to be received from independent third-party valuation service providers and all significant inputs are market observable; however, there has been a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for the asset when compared to normal market activity such that the degree of market observability has declined to a point where categorization as a Level 3 measurement is considered appropriate. The indicators considered in determining whether a significant decrease in the volume and level of activity for a specific asset has occurred include the level of new issuances in the primary market, trading volume in the secondary market, the level of credit spreads over historical levels, applicable bid-ask spreads, and price consensus among market participants and other pricing sources.

The second situation where the Company classifies securities in Level 3 is where specific inputs significant to the fair value estimation models are not market observable. This primarily occurs in the Company's use of broker quotes to value certain securities where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, and the use of valuation models that use significant non-market observable inputs.

Certain assets are not carried at fair value on a recurring basis, including investments such as mortgage loans, limited partnership interests, bank loans and policy loans. Accordingly, such investments are only included in the fair value hierarchy disclosure when the investment is subject to remeasurement at fair value after initial recognition and the resulting remeasurement is reflected in the consolidated financial statements. In addition, derivatives embedded in fixed income securities are not disclosed in the hierarchy as free-standing derivatives since they are presented with the host contracts in fixed income securities.

In determining fair value, the Company principally uses the market approach which generally utilizes market transaction data for the same or similar instruments. To a lesser extent, the Company uses the income approach which involves determining fair values from discounted cash flow methodologies. For the majority of Level 2 and Level 3 valuations, a combination of the market and income approaches is used.

Summary of significant valuation techniques for assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis

Level 1 measurements

Fixed income securities: Comprise certain U.S. Treasuries. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Equity securities: Comprise actively traded, exchange-listed equity securities. Valuation is based on unadjusted quoted prices for identical assets in active markets that the Company can access.

Short-term: Comprise actively traded money market funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access.

Separate account assets: Comprise actively traded mutual funds that have daily quoted net asset values for identical assets that the Company can access. Net asset values for the actively traded mutual funds in which the separate account assets are invested are obtained daily from the fund managers.

Assets held for sale: Comprise U.S. Treasury fixed income securities, short-term investments and separate account assets. The valuation is based on the respective asset type as described above.

Level 2 measurements

Fixed income securities:

U.S. government and agencies: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Municipal: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

Corporate, including privately placed: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. Also included are privately placed securities valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses market observable inputs and inputs derived principally from, or corroborated by, observable market data. The primary inputs to the discounted cash flow model include an interest rate yield curve, as well as published credit spreads for similar assets in markets that are not active that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

Foreign government: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads.

ABS and RMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, prepayment speeds, collateral performance and credit spreads. Certain ABS are valued based on non-binding broker quotes whose inputs have been corroborated to be market observable.

CMBS: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, collateral performance and credit spreads.

Redeemable preferred stock: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields, underlying stock prices and credit spreads.

Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active.

Short-term: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that are not active, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. For certain short-term investments, amortized cost is used as the best estimate of fair value.

Other investments: Free-standing exchange listed derivatives that are not actively traded are valued based on quoted prices for identical instruments in markets that are not active.

OTC derivatives, including interest rate swaps, foreign currency swaps, foreign exchange forward contracts, certain options and certain credit default swaps, are valued using models that rely on inputs such as interest rate yield curves, currency rates, and counterparty credit spreads that are observable for substantially the full term of the contract. The valuation techniques underlying the models are widely accepted in the financial services industry and do not involve significant judgment.

Assets held for sale: Comprise U.S. government and agencies, municipal, corporate, foreign government, ABS, RMBS and CMBS fixed income securities, and short-term investments. The valuation is based on the respective asset type as described above.

Level 3 measurements

Fixed income securities:

Municipal: Municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies but are rated by the National Association of Insurance Commissioners ("NAIC"). The primary inputs to the valuation of these municipal bonds include quoted prices for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements, contractual cash flows, benchmark yields and credit spreads. Also includes auction rate securities ("ARS") primarily backed by student loans that have become illiquid due to failures in the auction market are valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses significant non-market

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

observable inputs, including the anticipated date liquidity will return to the market.

Corporate, including privately placed: Primarily valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. Also included are equity-indexed notes which are valued using a discounted cash flow model that is widely accepted in the financial services industry and uses significant non-market observable inputs, such as volatility. Other inputs include an interest rate yield curve, as

Table of Contents

well as published credit spreads for similar assets that incorporate the credit quality and industry sector of the issuer.

ABS, RMBS and CMBS: Valued based on non-binding broker quotes received from brokers who are familiar with the investments and where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable.

Equity securities: The primary inputs to the valuation include quoted prices or quoted net asset values for identical or similar assets in markets that exhibit less liquidity relative to those markets supporting Level 2 fair value measurements.

Other investments: Certain OTC derivatives, such as interest rate caps, certain credit default swaps and certain options (including swaptions), are valued using models that are widely accepted in the financial services industry. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs such as volatility. Other primary inputs include interest rate yield curves and credit spreads.

Assets held for sale: Comprise municipal, corporate, ABS and CMBS fixed income securities. The valuation is based on the respective asset type as described above.

Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in certain life and annuity contracts are valued internally using models widely accepted in the financial services industry that determine a single best estimate of fair value for the embedded derivatives within a block of contractholder liabilities. The models primarily use stochastically determined cash flows based on the contractual elements of embedded derivatives, projected option cost and applicable market data, such as interest rate yield curves and equity index volatility assumptions. These are categorized as Level 3 as a result of the significance of non-market observable inputs.

Liabilities held for sale: Comprise derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts. The valuation is the same as described above for contractholder funds.

Assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis

Mortgage loans written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing impairments are valued based on the fair value of the underlying collateral less costs to sell. Limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments are valued using net asset values. The carrying value of the LBL business was written-down to fair value in connection with being classified as held for sale.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2013:

| (\$ in millions) | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | | | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | Counterparty and cash collateral netting | Balance as of December 31, 2013 |
|--|--|------------------|-----------------|---|--|---|--|
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 1,801 | \$ 1,105 | \$ 7 | | | | \$ 2,913 |
| Municipal | | 8,380 | 343 | | | | 8,723 |
| Corporate | | 39,494 | 1,109 | | | | 40,603 |
| Foreign government | | 1,824 | | | | | 1,824 |
| ABS | | 4,326 | 192 | | | | 4,518 |
| RMBS | | 1,472 | 2 | | | | 1,474 |
| CMBS | | 786 | 43 | | | | 829 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | | 25 | 1 | | | | 26 |
| Total fixed income securities | 1,801 | 57,412 | 1,697 | | | | 60,910 |
| Equity securities | 4,268 | 697 | 132 | | | | 5,097 |
| Short-term investments | 752 | 1,626 | 15 | | | | 2,393 |
| Other investments: Free-standing derivatives | | 284 | 9 | \$ (24) | | | 269 |
| Separate account assets | 5,039 | | | | | | 5,039 |
| Other assets | 1 | | | | | | 1 |
| Assets held for sale | 1,854 | 9,812 | 362 | | | | 12,028 |
| Total recurring basis assets | 13,715 | 69,831 | 2,215 | | (24) | | 85,737 |
| Non-recurring basis (1) | | | 24 | | | | 24 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 13,715 | \$ 69,831 | \$ 2,239 | | \$ (24) | | \$ 85,761 |
| | | | | | | | |
| % of total assets at fair value | 16.0% | 81.4% | 2.6% | | % | | 100.0% |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | | | | | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ (307) | | | | \$ (307) |
| Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives | | (194) | (14) | \$ 11 | | | (197) |
| Liabilities held for sale | | | (246) | | | | (246) |

| | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|----------|----|----------|
| Total recurring basis liabilities | | (194) | (567) | 11 | (750) |
| Non-recurring basis (2) | | | (11,088) | | (11,088) |

| | | | | | | | | | |
|--|----|----|-------|----|----------|----|----|----|----------|
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ | \$ | (194) | \$ | (11,655) | \$ | 11 | \$ | (11,838) |
|--|----|----|-------|----|----------|----|----|----|----------|

| | | | | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|------|--|-------|--|--------|--|--------|
| <u>% of total liabilities at fair value</u> | | % | 1.6% | | 98.5% | | (0.1)% | | 100.0% |
|---|--|---|------|--|-------|--|--------|--|--------|

(1) Includes \$8 million of mortgage loans and \$16 million of limited partnership interests written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

(2) Relates to LBL business held for sale (see Note 4). The total fair value measurement includes \$15,593 million of assets held for sale and \$(14,899) million of liabilities held for sale, less \$12,028 million of assets and \$(246) million of liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis.

Table of Contents

The following table summarizes the Company's assets and liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring and non-recurring basis as of December 31, 2012.

| (\$ in millions) | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | | | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | Counterparty and cash collateral netting | Balance as of December 31, 2012 |
|--|--|------------------|-----------------|---|--|---|--|
| Assets | | | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 2,790 | \$ 1,915 | \$ 8 | | | | \$ 4,713 |
| Municipal | | 12,104 | 965 | | | | 13,069 |
| Corporate | | 46,920 | 1,617 | | | | 48,537 |
| Foreign government | | 2,517 | | | | | 2,517 |
| ABS | | 3,373 | 251 | | | | 3,624 |
| RMBS | | 3,029 | 3 | | | | 3,032 |
| CMBS | | 1,446 | 52 | | | | 1,498 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | | 26 | 1 | | | | 27 |
| Total fixed income securities | 2,790 | 71,330 | 2,897 | | | | 77,017 |
| Equity securities | 3,008 | 858 | 171 | | | | 4,037 |
| Short-term investments | 703 | 1,633 | | | | | 2,336 |
| Other investments: Free-standing derivatives | | 187 | 3 | \$ (57) | | | 133 |
| Separate account assets | 6,610 | | | | | | 6,610 |
| Other assets | 5 | | 1 | | | | 6 |
| Total recurring basis assets | 13,116 | 74,008 | 3,072 | | (57) | | 90,139 |
| Non-recurring basis (1) | | | 9 | | | | 9 |
| Total assets at fair value | \$ 13,116 | \$ 74,008 | \$ 3,081 | | \$ (57) | | \$ 90,148 |
| | | | | | | | |
| % of total assets at fair value | 14.6% | 82.1% | 3.4% | | (0.1)% | | 100.0% |
| Liabilities | | | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | | | | | | | |
| | \$ | \$ | \$ (553) | | | | \$ (553) |
| Other liabilities: Free-standing derivatives | | (98) | (30) | \$ 33 | | | (95) |
| Total liabilities at fair value | \$ | \$ (98) | \$ (583) | | \$ 33 | | \$ (648) |

| | | | | | |
|---|---|-------|-------|--------|--------|
| <u>% of total liabilities at fair value</u> | % | 15.1% | 90.0% | (5.1)% | 100.0% |
|---|---|-------|-------|--------|--------|

(1)

Includes \$4 million of mortgage loans, \$4 million of limited partnership interests and \$1 million of other investments written-down to fair value in connection with recognizing other-than-temporary impairments.

The following table summarizes quantitative information about the significant unobservable inputs used in Level 3 fair value measurements.

(\$ in millions)

| | Fair value | Valuation technique | Unobservable input | Range | Weighted average |
|--|------------|----------------------------|--|----------------|------------------|
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Equity-indexed and forward starting options | \$ (247) | Stochastic cash flow model | Projected option cost | 1.0 - 2.0% | 1.75% |
| Liabilities held for sale Equity-indexed and forward starting options | \$ (246) | Stochastic cash flow model | Projected option cost | 1.0 - 2.0% | 1.91% |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| ARS backed by student loans | \$ 394 | Discounted cash flow model | Anticipated date liquidity will return to the market | 18 - 60 months | 31 - 43 months |
| Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts Equity-indexed and forward starting options | \$ (419) | Stochastic cash flow model | Projected option cost | 1.0 - 2.0% | 1.92% |

If the anticipated date liquidity will return to the market is sooner (later), it would result in a higher (lower) fair value. If the projected option cost increased (decreased), it would result in a higher (lower) liability fair value.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, Level 3 fair value measurements include \$1.27 billion and \$1.87 billion, respectively, of fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable and \$208 million and \$395 million, respectively, of municipal fixed income securities that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies. As of December 31, 2013, Level 3 fair value measurements for assets held for sale include \$319 million of fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes where the inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable. The Company does not develop the unobservable inputs used in measuring fair value; therefore, these are not included in the table above. However, an increase (decrease) in credit spreads for fixed income securities valued based on non-binding broker quotes would result in a lower (higher) fair value, and an increase (decrease) in the credit rating of municipal bonds that are not rated by third party credit rating agencies would result in a higher (lower) fair value.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2013.

| (\$ in millions) | Total gains (losses) included in: | | | | |
|--|---------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | Balance as of December 31, 2012 | Net income ⁽¹⁾ | OCI | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ 8 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Municipal | 965 | (33) | 47 | 6 | (63) |
| Corporate | 1,617 | 35 | (32) | 84 | (323) |
| ABS | 251 | | 29 | 29 | (86) |
| RMBS | 3 | | | | |
| CMBS | 52 | (1) | 2 | 4 | |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 1 | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | 2,897 | 1 | 46 | 123 | (472) |
| Equity securities | 171 | 3 | 7 | | |
| Free-standing derivatives, net | (27) | 19 | | | |
| Other assets | 1 | (1) | | | |
| Assets held for sale | | (2) | (6) | 13 | (13) |
| Total recurring Level 3 assets | \$ 3,042 | \$ 20 | \$ 47 | \$ 136 | \$ (485) |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | | | | | |
| Liabilities held for sale | \$ (553) | \$ 89 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | | 20 | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 liabilities | \$ (553) | \$ 109 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

Table of Contents

| | Transfer to held for sale | Purchases/ Issues ⁽²⁾ | Sales | Settlements | Balance as of December 31, 2013 |
|--|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------|------------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (1) | \$ 7 |
| Municipal | (51) | 55 | (558) | (25) | 343 |
| Corporate | (244) | 504 | (389) | (143) | 1,109 |
| ABS | (85) | 174 | (82) | (38) | 192 |
| RMBS | | | | (1) | 2 |
| CMBS | (5) | 11 | (19) | (1) | 43 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | (385) | 744 | (1,048) | (209) | 1,697 |
| Equity securities | | 1 | (50) | | 132 |
| Free-standing derivatives, net | | 9 | | (6) | (5) ⁽³⁾ |
| Other assets | | | | | |
| Assets held for sale | 385 | | (10) | (5) | 362 |
| | | | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 assets | \$ | \$ 754 | \$ (1,108) | \$ (220) | \$ 2,186 |
| | | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | | | | | |
| | \$ 265 | \$ (111) | | \$ 3 | \$ (307) |
| Liabilities held for sale | (265) | (6) | | 5 | (246) |
| | | | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 liabilities | \$ | \$ (117) | | \$ 8 | \$ (553) |

-
- (1) The effect to net income totals \$129 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$3 million in realized capital gains and losses, \$18 million in net investment income, \$40 million in interest credited to contractholder funds, \$74 million in life and annuity contract benefits and \$(6) million in loss on disposition of operations.
- (2) Represents purchases for assets and issues for liabilities.
- (3) Comprises \$9 million of assets and \$14 million of liabilities.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2012.

(\$ in millions)

| | Balance as of December 31, 2011 | Total gains (losses) included in: | | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Net income ⁽¹⁾ | OCI | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 8 | \$ |
| Municipal | 1,332 | (35) | 76 | 53 | (28) |
| Corporate | 1,405 | 20 | 68 | 387 | (92) |
| ABS | 297 | 26 | 61 | 43 | (81) |
| RMBS | 51 | | | | (47) |
| CMBS | 60 | (4) | 9 | | (5) |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 1 | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | 3,146 | 7 | 214 | 491 | (253) |
| Equity securities | 43 | (7) | 9 | | |
| Free-standing derivatives, net | (95) | 27 | | | |
| Other assets | 1 | | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 assets | \$ 3,095 | \$ 27 | \$ 223 | \$ 491 | \$ (253) |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | | | | | |
| | \$ (723) | \$ 168 | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| Total recurring Level 3 liabilities | \$ (723) | \$ 168 | \$ | \$ | \$ |

| | Purchases | Sales | Issues | Settlements | Balance as of December 31, 2012 |
|------------------------------|-----------|-------|--------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ 8 |
| Municipal | 46 | (463) | | (16) | 965 |
| Corporate | 276 | (310) | | (137) | 1,617 |
| ABS | 155 | (217) | | (33) | 251 |
| RMBS | | | | (1) | 3 |
| CMBS | 34 | (27) | | (15) | 52 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 1 | (1) | | | 1 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--------------------------------|-----|---------|-------|---------------------|
| Total fixed income securities | 512 | (1,018) | (202) | 2,897 |
| Equity securities | 164 | (38) | | 171 |
| Free-standing derivatives, net | 27 | | 14 | (27) ⁽²⁾ |
| Other assets | | | | 1 |

Total recurring Level 3 assets \$ 703 \$ (1,056) \$ (188) \$ 3,042

Liabilities

Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts \$ \$ (79) \$ 81 \$ (553)

Total recurring Level 3 liabilities \$ \$ (79) \$ 81 \$ (553)

-
- (1) The effect to net income totals \$195 million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$27 million in net investment income, \$132 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$36 million in life and annuity contract benefits.
- (2) Comprises \$3 million of assets and \$30 million of liabilities.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 assets and liabilities held at fair value on a recurring basis during the year ended December 31, 2011.

| (\$ in millions) | Balance as of December 31, 2010 | Total gains (losses) included in: | | Transfers into Level 3 | Transfers out of Level 3 |
|--|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|---------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| | | Net income ⁽¹⁾ | OCI | | |
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| Municipal | \$ 2,016 | \$ (44) | \$ 54 | \$ 70 | \$ (82) |
| Corporate | 1,908 | 62 | (44) | 239 | (523) |
| ABS | 2,417 | 23 | (65) | | (2,137) |
| RMBS | 1,794 | (86) | 107 | | (1,256) |
| CMBS | 923 | (43) | 113 | 86 | (966) |
| Redeemable preferred stock | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | 9,059 | (88) | 165 | 395 | (4,964) |
| Equity securities | 63 | (10) | | | (10) |
| Free-standing derivatives, net | (21) | (91) | | | |
| Other assets | 1 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 assets | \$ 9,102 | \$ (189) | \$ 165 | \$ 395 | \$ (4,974) |
| | | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | | | | | |
| | \$ (653) | \$ (134) | \$ | \$ | \$ |
| | | | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 liabilities | \$ (653) | \$ (134) | \$ | \$ | \$ |

| | Purchases | Sales | Issues | Settlements | Balance as of December 31, 2011 |
|-------------------------------|-----------|----------|--------|-------------|---------------------------------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| Municipal | \$ 14 | \$ (689) | \$ | \$ (7) | \$ 1,332 |
| Corporate | 387 | (537) | | (87) | 1,405 |
| ABS | 504 | (169) | | (276) | 297 |
| RMBS | 4 | (378) | | (134) | 51 |
| CMBS | 17 | (66) | | (4) | 60 |
| Redeemable preferred stock | | | | | 1 |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | 926 | (1,839) | | (508) | 3,146 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | |
|--|--------|------------|----------|--------|---------------------|
| Equity securities | 1 | (1) | | | 43 |
| Free-standing derivatives, net | 70 | | (53) | | (95) ⁽²⁾ |
| Other assets | | | | | 1 |
| Total recurring Level 3 assets | \$ 997 | \$ (1,840) | \$ (561) | \$ | 3,095 |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | \$ | \$ | \$ (100) | \$ 164 | \$ (723) |
| Total recurring Level 3 liabilities | \$ | \$ | \$ (100) | \$ 164 | \$ (723) |

(1)

The effect to net income totals \$(323) million and is reported in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as follows: \$(221) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$36 million in net investment income, \$(106) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(32) million in life and annuity contract benefits.

(2)

Comprises \$1 million of assets and \$96 million of liabilities.

Transfers between level categorizations may occur due to changes in the availability of market observable inputs, which generally are caused by changes in market conditions such as liquidity, trading volume or bid-ask spreads. Transfers between level categorizations may also occur due to changes in the valuation source. For example, in situations where a

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

fair value quote is not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price is stale or has been replaced with a broker quote whose inputs have not been corroborated to be market observable, the security is transferred into Level 3. Transfers in and out of level categorizations are reported as having occurred at the beginning of the quarter in which the transfer occurred. Therefore, for all transfers into Level 3, all realized and changes in unrealized gains and losses in the quarter of transfer are reflected in the Level 3 rollforward table.

There were no transfers between Level 1 and Level 2 during 2013 or 2011. During 2012, certain U.S. government securities were transferred into Level 1 from Level 2 as a result of increased liquidity in the market and a sustained increase in the market activity for these assets.

During 2011, certain ABS, RMBS and CMBS were transferred into Level 2 from Level 3 as a result of increased liquidity in the market and a sustained increase in the market activity for these assets. Additionally, in 2011 certain ABS that were valued based on non-binding broker quotes were transferred into Level 2 from Level 3 since the inputs were corroborated to be market observable.

Transfers into Level 3 during 2013, 2012 and 2011 included situations where a fair value quote was not provided by the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider and as a result the price was stale or had been replaced with a broker quote where the inputs had not been corroborated to be market observable resulting in the security being classified as Level 3. Transfers out of Level 3 during 2013, 2012 and 2011 included situations where a broker quote was used in the prior period and a fair value quote became available from the Company's independent third-party valuation service provider in the current period. A quote utilizing the new pricing source was not available as of the prior period, and any gains or losses related to the change in valuation source for individual securities were not significant.

The following table provides the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for Level 3 assets and liabilities held as of December 31.

(\$ in millions)

| | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 |
|--|---------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|--------------|
| Assets | | | | | |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | |
| Municipal | \$ (19) | \$ | (28) | \$ | (28) |
| Corporate | 13 | | 15 | | 20 |
| ABS | (1) | | | | (33) |
| RMBS | (1) | | (1) | | |
| CMBS | (2) | | (3) | | (11) |
| | | | | | |
| Total fixed income securities | (10) | | (17) | | (52) |
| Equity securities | | | (6) | | (10) |
| Free-standing derivatives, net | 10 | | 6 | | (41) |
| Other assets | (1) | | | | |
| Assets held for sale | (2) | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 assets | \$ (3) | \$ | (17) | \$ | (103) |
| | | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | | |
| Contractholder funds: Derivatives embedded in life and annuity contracts | \$ 89 | \$ | 168 | \$ | (134) |
| Liabilities held for sale | 20 | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| Total recurring Level 3 liabilities | \$ 109 | \$ | 168 | \$ | (134) |

The amounts in the table above represent the change in unrealized gains and losses included in net income for the period of time that the asset or liability was determined to be in Level 3. These gains and losses total \$106 million in 2013 and are reported as follows: \$(9) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$12 million in net investment income, \$35 million in interest credited to contractholder funds, \$74 million in life and annuity contract benefits and \$(6) million in loss on disposition of operations. These gains and losses total \$151 million in 2012 and are reported as follows: \$(37) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$21 million in net investment income, \$131 million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$36 million in life and annuity contract benefits. These gains and losses total \$(237) million in 2011 and are reported as follows: \$(147) million in realized capital gains and losses, \$44 million in net investment income, \$(102) million in interest credited to contractholder funds and \$(32) million in life and annuity contract benefits.

Presented below are the carrying values and fair value estimates of financial instruments not carried at fair value.

Table of Contents**Financial assets**

| (\$ in millions) | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying value | Fair value | Carrying value | Fair value |
| Mortgage loans | \$ 4,721 | \$ 4,871 | \$ 6,570 | \$ 6,886 |
| Cost method limited partnerships | 1,443 | 1,835 | 1,406 | 1,714 |
| Bank loans | 1,242 | 1,244 | 682 | 684 |
| Agent loans | 341 | 325 | 319 | 314 |
| Assets held for sale | 1,458 | 1,532 | | |

The fair value of mortgage loans, including those classified as assets held for sale, is based on discounted contractual cash flows or, if the loans are impaired due to credit reasons, the fair value of collateral less costs to sell. Risk adjusted discount rates are selected using current rates at which similar loans would be made to borrowers with similar characteristics, using similar types of properties as collateral. The fair value of cost method limited partnerships is determined using reported net asset values of the underlying funds. The fair value of bank loans, which are reported in other investments or assets held for sale, is based on broker quotes from brokers familiar with the loans and current market conditions. The fair value of agent loans, which are reported in other investments, is based on discounted cash flow calculations that use discount rates with a spread over U.S. Treasury rates. Assumptions used in developing estimated cash flows and discount rates consider the loan's credit and liquidity risks. The fair value measurements for mortgage loans, cost method limited partnerships, bank loans, agent loans and assets held for sale are categorized as Level 3.

Financial liabilities

| (\$ in millions) | December 31, 2013 | | December 31, 2012 | |
|--|-------------------|------------|-------------------|------------|
| | Carrying value | Fair value | Carrying value | Fair value |
| Contractholder funds on investment contracts | \$ 15,569 | \$ 16,225 | \$ 27,014 | \$ 28,019 |
| Long-term debt | 6,201 | 6,509 | 6,057 | 7,141 |
| Liability for collateral | 624 | 624 | 808 | 808 |
| Liabilities held for sale | 7,417 | 7,298 | | |

The fair value of contractholder funds on investment contracts, including those classified as liabilities held for sale, is based on the terms of the underlying contracts utilizing prevailing market rates for similar contracts adjusted for the Company's own credit risk. Deferred annuities included in contractholder funds are valued using discounted cash flow models which incorporate market value margins, which are based on the cost of holding economic capital, and the Company's own credit risk. Immediate annuities without life contingencies and fixed rate funding agreements are valued at the present value of future benefits using market implied interest rates which include the Company's own credit risk. The fair value measurements for contractholder funds on investment contracts and liabilities held for sale are categorized as Level 3.

The fair value of long-term debt is based on market observable data (such as the fair value of the debt when traded as an asset) or, in certain cases, is determined using discounted cash flow calculations based on current interest rates for instruments with comparable terms and considers the Company's own credit risk. The liability for collateral is valued at carrying value due to its short-term nature. The fair value measurements for long-term debt and liability for collateral are categorized as Level 2.

8. Derivative Financial Instruments and Off-balance sheet Financial Instruments

The Company uses derivatives to manage risks with certain assets and liabilities arising from the potential adverse impacts from changes in risk-free interest rates, changes in equity market valuations, increases in credit spreads and foreign currency fluctuations, and for asset replication. The Company does not use derivatives for speculative purposes.

Property-Liability uses interest rate swaps, swaptions, futures and options to manage the interest rate risks of existing investments. Portfolio duration management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed by Property-Liability wherein financial futures and interest rate swaps are utilized to change the duration of the portfolio in order to offset the economic effect that interest rates would otherwise have on the fair value of its fixed income securities. Equity index futures and options are used by Property-Liability to offset valuation losses in the equity portfolio during periods of declining equity market values. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Property-Liability fixed income portfolio. Property-Liability uses equity futures to hedge the market risk related to deferred compensation liability contracts and forward contracts to hedge foreign currency risk associated with holding foreign currency denominated investments and foreign operations.

Table of Contents

Asset-liability management is a risk management strategy that is principally employed by Allstate Financial to balance the respective interest-rate sensitivities of its assets and liabilities. Depending upon the attributes of the assets acquired and liabilities issued, derivative instruments such as interest rate swaps, caps, swaptions and futures are utilized to change the interest rate characteristics of existing assets and liabilities to ensure the relationship is maintained within specified ranges and to reduce exposure to rising or falling interest rates. Allstate Financial uses financial futures and interest rate swaps to hedge anticipated asset purchases and liability issuances and futures and options for hedging the equity exposure contained in its equity indexed life and annuity product contracts that offer equity returns to contractholders. In addition, Allstate Financial uses interest rate swaps to hedge interest rate risk inherent in funding agreements. Allstate Financial uses foreign currency swaps and forwards primarily to reduce the foreign currency risk associated with issuing foreign currency denominated funding agreements and holding foreign currency denominated investments. Credit default swaps are typically used to mitigate the credit risk within the Allstate Financial fixed income portfolio.

The Company may also use derivatives to manage the risk associated with corporate actions, including the sale of a business. During December 2013, swaptions were utilized to hedge the expected proceeds from the pending disposition of LBL.

Asset replication refers to the "synthetic" creation of assets through the use of derivatives and primarily investment grade host bonds to replicate securities that are either unavailable in the cash markets or more economical to acquire in synthetic form. The Company replicates fixed income securities using a combination of a credit default swap and one or more highly rated fixed income securities to synthetically replicate the economic characteristics of one or more cash market securities.

The Company also has derivatives embedded in non-derivative host contracts that are required to be separated from the host contracts and accounted for at fair value with changes in fair value of embedded derivatives reported in net income. The Company's primary embedded derivatives are equity options in life and annuity product contracts, which provide equity returns to contractholders; equity-indexed notes containing equity call options, which provide a coupon payout that is determined using one or more equity-based indices; credit default swaps in synthetic collateralized debt obligations, which provide enhanced coupon rates as a result of selling credit protection; and conversion options in fixed income securities, which provide the Company with the right to convert the instrument into a predetermined number of shares of common stock.

When derivatives meet specific criteria, they may be designated as accounting hedges and accounted for as fair value, cash flow, foreign currency fair value or foreign currency cash flow hedges. Allstate Financial designates certain of its interest rate and foreign currency swap contracts and certain investment risk transfer reinsurance agreements as fair value hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the risk of changes in the fair value of the hedged item. Allstate Financial designates certain of its foreign currency swap contracts as cash flow hedges when the hedging instrument is highly effective in offsetting the exposure of variations in cash flows for the hedged risk that could affect net income. Amounts are reclassified to net investment income or realized capital gains and losses as the hedged item affects net income.

The notional amounts specified in the contracts are used to calculate the exchange of contractual payments under the agreements and are generally not representative of the potential for gain or loss on these agreements. However, the notional amounts specified in credit default swaps where the Company has sold credit protection represent the maximum amount of potential loss, assuming no recoveries.

Fair value, which is equal to the carrying value, is the estimated amount that the Company would receive or pay to terminate the derivative contracts at the reporting date. The carrying value amounts for OTC derivatives are further adjusted for the effects, if any, of enforceable master netting agreements and are presented on a net basis, by counterparty agreement, in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position. For certain exchange traded and cleared derivatives, margin deposits are required as well as daily cash settlements of margin accounts. As of December 31, 2013, the Company pledged \$12 million of cash and securities in the form of margin deposits.

For those derivatives which qualify for fair value hedge accounting, net income includes the changes in the fair value of both the derivative instrument and the hedged risk, and therefore reflects any hedging ineffectiveness. For cash flow hedges, gains and losses are amortized from accumulated other comprehensive income and are reported in net income in the same period the forecasted transactions being hedged impact net income.

Non-hedge accounting is generally used for "portfolio" level hedging strategies where the terms of the individual hedged items do not meet the strict homogeneity requirements to permit the application of hedge accounting. For non-hedge derivatives, net income includes changes in fair value and accrued periodic settlements, when applicable. With the exception of non-hedge derivatives used for asset replication and non-hedge embedded derivatives, all of the Company's derivatives are evaluated for their ongoing effectiveness as either accounting hedge or non-hedge derivative financial instruments on at least a quarterly basis.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2013.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

| | Balance sheet location | Notional amount | Volume (1) Number of contracts | Fair value, net | Gross asset | Gross liability |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| <u>Asset derivatives</u> | | | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency swap agreements | Other investments | \$ 16 | n/a | \$ 1 | \$ 1 | \$ |
| Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swaption agreements | Other investments | 1,420 | n/a | | | |
| Interest rate cap agreements | Other investments | 61 | n/a | 2 | 2 | |
| Financial futures contracts | Other assets | | 550 | | | |
| Equity and index contracts | | | | | | |
| Options and warrants (2) | Other investments | 3 | 10,035 | 263 | 263 | |
| Financial futures contracts | Other assets | | 1,432 | 1 | 1 | |
| Foreign currency contracts | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forwards | Other investments | 161 | n/a | 10 | 10 | |
| Embedded derivative financial instruments | | | | | | |
| Credit default swaps | Fixed income securities | 12 | n/a | (12) | | (12) |
| Other embedded derivative financial instruments | Other investments | 1,000 | n/a | | | |
| Credit default contracts | | | | | | |
| Credit default swaps buying protection | Other investments | 2 | n/a | | | |
| Credit default swaps selling protection | Other investments | 105 | n/a | 2 | 2 | |
| Other contracts | | | | | | |
| Other contracts | Other assets | 4 | n/a | | | |
| Subtotal | | 2,768 | 12,017 | 266 | 278 | (12) |
| Total asset derivatives | | \$ 2,784 | 12,017 | \$ 267 | \$ 279 | \$ (12) |
| <u>Liability derivatives</u> | | | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency swap agreements | | \$ 132 | n/a | \$ (15) | \$ | \$ (15) |

Other liabilities &
accrued expenses**Derivatives not designated as accounting
hedging instruments****Interest rate contracts**

| | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---|-------|-----|---|---|
| Interest rate swap agreements | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 85 | n/a | 4 | 4 |
| Interest rate swaption agreements | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 4,570 | n/a | 1 | 1 |
| Interest rate cap agreements | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 262 | n/a | 4 | 4 |

Equity and index contracts

| | | | | | | |
|---------|---|----|--------|-------|---|-------|
| Options | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 55 | 10,035 | (165) | 2 | (167) |
|---------|---|----|--------|-------|---|-------|

Foreign currency contracts

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|
| Foreign currency forwards | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 148 | n/a | (3) | 2 | (5) |
|---------------------------|---|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|

Embedded derivative financial instruments

| | | | | | | |
|--|------------------------------|-------|-----|-------|--|-------|
| Guaranteed accumulation benefits | Contractholder funds | 738 | n/a | (43) | | (43) |
| Guaranteed withdrawal benefits | Contractholder funds | 506 | n/a | (13) | | (13) |
| Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life and annuity product contracts | Contractholder funds | 1,693 | n/a | (247) | | (247) |
| | Liabilities held for sale | 2,363 | n/a | (246) | | (246) |
| Other embedded derivative financial instruments | Contractholder funds | 85 | n/a | (4) | | (4) |

Credit default contracts

| | | | | | | |
|---|---|-----|-----|------|---|------|
| Credit default swaps buying protection | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 397 | n/a | (6) | | (6) |
| Credit default swaps selling protection | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 185 | n/a | (13) | 2 | (15) |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|--|--------|--------|-------|----|-------|
| Subtotal | | 11,087 | 10,035 | (731) | 15 | (746) |
|----------|--|--------|--------|-------|----|-------|

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| Total liability derivatives | | 11,219 | 10,035 | (746) | \$ 15 | \$ (761) |
|------------------------------------|--|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Total derivatives | | \$ 14,003 | 22,052 | \$ (479) | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------|--------|----------|--|--|

- (1) Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)
- (2) In addition to the number of contracts presented in the table, the Company held 6,160 stock rights and 1,232,420 stock warrants. Stock rights and warrants can be converted to cash upon sale of those instruments or exercised for shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of the volume and fair value positions of derivative instruments as well as their reporting location in the Consolidated Statement of Financial Position as of December 31, 2012.

(\$ in millions, except number of contracts)

| | Balance sheet location | Notional amount | Volume (1) Number of contracts | Fair value, net | Gross asset | Gross liability |
|---|-------------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------|-----------------|-------------|-----------------|
| Asset derivatives | | | | | | |
| Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency swap agreements | Other investments | \$ 16 | n/a | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ |
| Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | | | | | |
| Interest rate swap agreements | Other investments | 5,541 | n/a | 19 | 28 | (9) |
| Interest rate cap agreements | Other investments | 372 | n/a | 1 | 1 | |
| Financial futures contracts | Other assets | n/a | 2 | | | |
| Equity and index contracts | | | | | | |
| Options and warrants (2) | Other investments | 146 | 12,400 | 125 | 125 | |
| Financial futures contracts | Other assets | n/a | 1,087 | 5 | 5 | |
| Foreign currency contracts | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency forwards and options | Other investments | 258 | n/a | 6 | 6 | |
| Embedded derivative financial instruments | | | | | | |
| Conversion options | Fixed income securities | 5 | n/a | | | |
| Equity-indexed call options | Fixed income securities | 90 | n/a | 9 | 9 | |
| Credit default swaps | Fixed income securities | 12 | n/a | (12) | | (12) |
| Other embedded derivative financial instruments | Other investments | 1,000 | n/a | | | |
| Credit default contracts | | | | | | |
| Credit default swaps buying protection | Other investments | 209 | n/a | | 2 | (2) |
| Credit default swaps selling protection | Other investments | 308 | n/a | 2 | 3 | (1) |
| Other contracts | | | | | | |
| Other contracts | Other assets | 4 | n/a | 1 | 1 | |
| Subtotal | | 7,945 | 13,489 | 156 | 180 | (24) |
| Total asset derivatives | | \$ 7,961 | 13,489 | \$ 158 | \$ 182 | \$ (24) |

Liability derivatives**Derivatives designated as accounting hedging instruments**

| | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-----|---------|---------|
| Foreign currency swap agreements | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | \$ 135 | n/a | \$ (19) | \$ (19) |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|-----|---------|---------|

Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments**Interest rate contracts**

| | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-------|-----|----|----|-----|
| Interest rate swap agreements | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 1,185 | n/a | 16 | 18 | (2) |
| Interest rate swaption agreements | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 250 | n/a | | | |
| Interest rate cap agreements | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 429 | n/a | 1 | 1 | |
| Financial futures contracts | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | | 357 | | | |

Equity and index contracts

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------|------|--|------|
| Options and futures | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | | 12,262 | (58) | | (58) |
|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--------|------|--|------|

Foreign currency contracts

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|
| Foreign currency forwards and options | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 139 | n/a | (1) | 1 | (2) |
|---------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|---|-----|

Embedded derivative financial instruments

| | | | | | | |
|---|----------------------|-------|-----|-------|--|-------|
| Guaranteed accumulation benefits | Contractholder funds | 820 | n/a | (86) | | (86) |
| Guaranteed withdrawal benefits | Contractholder funds | 554 | n/a | (39) | | (39) |
| Equity-indexed and forward starting options in life and annuity product contracts | Contractholder funds | 3,916 | n/a | (419) | | (419) |
| Other embedded derivative financial instruments | Contractholder funds | 85 | n/a | (9) | | (9) |

Credit default contracts

| | | | | | | |
|---|--------------------------------------|-----|-----|------|---|------|
| Credit default swaps buying protection | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 420 | n/a | (3) | 2 | (5) |
| Credit default swaps selling protection | Other liabilities & accrued expenses | 285 | n/a | (29) | 1 | (30) |

| | | | | | | |
|----------|--|-------|--------|-------|----|-------|
| Subtotal | | 8,083 | 12,619 | (627) | 23 | (650) |
|----------|--|-------|--------|-------|----|-------|

| | | | | | | |
|------------------------------------|--|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|
| Total liability derivatives | | 8,218 | 12,619 | (646) | \$ 23 | \$ (669) |
|------------------------------------|--|-------|--------|-------|-------|----------|

| | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------|--------|----------|--|--|
| Total derivatives | | \$ 16,179 | 26,108 | \$ (488) | | |
|--------------------------|--|-----------|--------|----------|--|--|

-
- (1) Volume for OTC derivative contracts is represented by their notional amounts. Volume for exchange traded derivatives is represented by the number of contracts, which is the basis on which they are traded. (n/a = not applicable)
- (2) In addition to the number of contracts presented in the table, the Company held 34,634 stock rights and 879,158 stock warrants. Stock rights and warrants can be converted to cash upon sale of those instruments or exercised for shares of common stock.

Table of Contents

The following table provides gross and net amounts for the Company's OTC derivatives, all of which are subject to enforceable master netting agreements.

(\$ in millions)

| | Offsets | | | | | | |
|--------------------------|-----------------|--------------------------|---|------------------------------|---|---------------|---------------|
| | Gross amount | Counter-party netting | Cash collateral (received) pledged | Net amount on sheet | Securities collateral (received) pledged | Net amount | Net amount |
| December 31, 2013 | | | | | | | |
| Asset derivatives | \$ 28 | \$ (15) | \$ (9) | \$ 4 | \$ (4) | \$ (7) | |
| Liability derivatives | (41) | 15 | (4) | (30) | 23 | (7) | |
| December 31, 2012 | | | | | | | |
| Asset derivatives | \$ 66 | \$ (35) | \$ (22) | \$ 9 | \$ (4) | \$ 5 | |
| Liability derivatives | (70) | 35 | (2) | (37) | 25 | (12) | |

The following table provides a summary of the impacts of the Company's foreign currency contracts in cash flow hedging relationships for the years ended December 31.

(\$ in millions)

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|------|--------|------|
| Gain (loss) recognized in OCI on derivatives during the period | \$ 3 | \$ (6) | \$ 4 |
| Loss recognized in OCI on derivatives during the term of the hedging relationship | (18) | (22) | (17) |
| Loss reclassified from AOCI into income (net investment income) | (1) | | |
| Loss reclassified from AOCI into income (realized capital gains and losses) | | (1) | (1) |

Amortization of net losses from accumulated other comprehensive income related to cash flow hedges is expected to be \$2 million during the next twelve months. There was no hedge ineffectiveness reported in realized gains and losses in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

The following tables present gains and losses from valuation, settlements and hedge ineffectiveness reported on derivatives used in fair value hedging relationships and derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31. In 2013, the Company had no derivatives used in fair value hedging relationships.

(\$ in millions)

| | 2013 | | | | | Total gain (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives |
|---|---|------------------------------------|--|------------------------------------|--|--|
| | Realized capital gains and losses | Life and annuity benefits | Interest credited to contractholder funds | Operating costs and expenses | Loss on disposition of operations | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ 4 | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (6) | \$ (2) |
| Equity and index contracts | (12) | | | 94 | 34 | 116 |
| Embedded derivative financial instruments | (1) | 74 | (75) | | | (2) |
| Foreign currency contracts | (9) | | | | 7 | (2) |
| Credit default contracts | 8 | | | | | 8 |
| Other contracts | | | (3) | | | (3) |
| Total | \$ (10) | \$ 74 | \$ 16 | \$ 41 | \$ (6) | \$ 115 |

Table of Contents

| | 2012 | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Net | Realized | Life | Interest | Operating | Total |
| | investment | capital | and | credited to | costs | gain |
| | income | gains | annuity | contractholder | and | (loss) |
| | losses | and | contract | funds | expenses | recognized |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | and | in net |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | expenses | income |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | expenses | on |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | expenses | derivatives |
| Derivatives in fair value accounting hedging relationships | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (1) | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ | \$ (1) |
| Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | (1) | | | | (1) |
| Equity and index contracts | | (4) | | 56 | 17 | 69 |
| Embedded derivative financial instruments | | 21 | 36 | 134 | | 191 |
| Foreign currency contracts | | (1) | | | 7 | 6 |
| Credit default contracts | | 9 | | | | 9 |
| Other contracts | | | | 3 | | 3 |
| Subtotal | | 24 | 36 | 193 | 24 | 277 |
| Total | \$ (1) | \$ 24 | \$ 36 | \$ 193 | \$ 24 | \$ 276 |

| | 2011 | | | | | |
|---|------------|----------|----------|----------------|-----------|-------------|
| | Net | Realized | Life | Interest | Operating | Total |
| | investment | capital | and | credited to | costs | gain |
| | income | gains | annuity | contractholder | and | (loss) |
| | losses | and | contract | funds | expenses | recognized |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | and | in net |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | expenses | income |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | expenses | on |
| | income | losses | benefits | funds | expenses | derivatives |
| Derivatives in fair value accounting hedging relationships | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | \$ (2) | \$ (8) | \$ | \$ (5) | \$ | \$ (15) |
| Foreign currency and interest rate contracts | | | | (32) | | (32) |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---|-----|-------|------|-------|-------|-------|----|------|----|-----|----|-------|
| Subtotal | (2) | (8) | (37) | (47) | | | | | | | | |
| Derivatives not designated as accounting hedging instruments | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Interest rate contracts | | (304) | | (304) | | | | | | | | |
| Equity and index contracts | | (43) | (2) | (3) | (48) | | | | | | | |
| Embedded derivative financial instruments | | (37) | (32) | (38) | (107) | | | | | | | |
| Foreign currency contracts | | (12) | | 2 | (10) | | | | | | | |
| Credit default contracts | | 8 | | | 8 | | | | | | | |
| Other contracts | | | 7 | | 7 | | | | | | | |
| Subtotal | | (388) | (32) | (33) | (1) | (454) | | | | | | |
| Total | \$ | (2) | \$ | (396) | \$ | (32) | \$ | (70) | \$ | (1) | \$ | (501) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table provides a summary of the changes in fair value of the Company's fair value hedging relationships in the Consolidated Statements of Operations for the years ended December 31.

| (\$ in millions) | Gain (loss) on derivatives | | Gain (loss) on hedged risk | |
|---|----------------------------|--|----------------------------|-------------|
| | Interest rate contracts | Foreign currency & interest rate contracts | Contractholder funds | Investments |
| Location of gain or (loss) recognized in net income on derivatives | | | | |
| 2012 | | | | |
| Net investment income | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ | \$ (3) |
| Total | \$ 3 | \$ | \$ | \$ (3) |
| 2011 | | | | |
| Interest credited to contractholder funds | \$ (7) | \$ (34) | \$ 41 | \$ |
| Net investment income | 26 | | | (26) |
| Realized capital gains and losses | (8) | | | |
| Total | \$ 11 | \$ (34) | \$ 41 | \$ (26) |

The Company manages its exposure to credit risk by utilizing highly rated counterparties, establishing risk control limits, executing legally enforceable master netting agreements ("MNAs") and obtaining collateral where appropriate. The Company uses MNAs for OTC derivative transactions that permit either party to net payments due for transactions and collateral is either pledged or obtained when certain predetermined exposure limits are exceeded. As of December 31, 2013, counterparties pledged \$17 million in cash and securities to the Company, and the Company pledged \$23 million in securities to counterparties which includes \$14 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent provisions that are in a liability position and \$9 million of collateral posted under MNAs for contracts without credit-risk-contingent liabilities. The Company has not incurred any losses on derivative financial instruments due to counterparty nonperformance. Other derivatives, including futures and certain option contracts, are traded on organized exchanges which require margin deposits and guarantee the execution of trades, thereby mitigating any potential credit risk.

Counterparty credit exposure represents the Company's potential loss if all of the counterparties concurrently fail to perform under the contractual terms of the contracts and all collateral, if any, becomes worthless. This exposure is measured by the fair value of OTC derivative contracts with a positive fair value at the reporting date reduced by the effect, if any, of legally enforceable master netting agreements.

The following table summarizes the counterparty credit exposure as of December 31 by counterparty credit rating as it relates to the Company's OTC derivatives.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | | 2012 | | |
|-------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|
| | Number of counterparty | Notional amount (2) | Credit exposure (2) | Number of counterparty | Notional amount (2) | Credit exposure (2) |
| Rating (1) | | | | | | |
| A+ | 1 | \$ 22 | \$ 1 | 2 | \$ 29 | \$ 1 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|-------|---|----------|-------|------|----|----------|-------|------|
| A | 5 | 1,628 | 9 | 2 | 4 | 2,450 | 13 | 2 |
| A- | 1 | 24 | 1 | | 3 | 797 | 8 | 2 |
| BBB+ | 1 | 33 | 3 | | 1 | 3,617 | 11 | |
| BBB | 1 | 76 | 1 | | | | | |
| Total | 9 | \$ 1,783 | \$ 15 | \$ 3 | 10 | \$ 6,893 | \$ 33 | \$ 5 |

(1)

Rating is the lower of S&P or Moody's ratings.

(2)

Only OTC derivatives with a net positive fair value are included for each counterparty.

Market risk is the risk that the Company will incur losses due to adverse changes in market rates and prices. Market risk exists for all of the derivative financial instruments the Company currently holds, as these instruments may become less valuable due to adverse changes in market conditions. To limit this risk, the Company's senior management has established risk control limits. In addition, changes in fair value of the derivative financial instruments that the Company uses for risk management purposes are generally offset by the change in the fair value or cash flows of the hedged risk component of the related assets, liabilities or forecasted transactions.

Table of Contents

Certain of the Company's derivative instruments contain credit-risk-contingent termination events, cross-default provisions and credit support annex agreements. Credit-risk-contingent termination events allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative on certain dates if AIC's, ALIC's or Allstate Life Insurance Company of New York's ("ALNY") financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P fall below a certain level or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by either Moody's or S&P. Credit-risk-contingent cross-default provisions allow the counterparties to terminate the derivative instruments if the Company defaults by pre-determined threshold amounts on certain debt instruments. Credit-risk-contingent credit support annex agreements specify the amount of collateral the Company must post to counterparties based on AIC's, ALIC's or ALNY's financial strength credit ratings by Moody's or S&P, or in the event AIC, ALIC or ALNY are no longer rated by either Moody's or S&P.

The following summarizes the fair value of derivative instruments with termination, cross-default or collateral credit-risk-contingent features that are in a liability position as of December 31, as well as the fair value of assets and collateral that are netted against the liability in accordance with provisions within legally enforceable MNAs.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|-------------|-------------|
| Gross liability fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features | \$ 28 | \$ 65 |
| Gross asset fair value of contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features and subject to MNAs | (11) | (31) |
| Collateral posted under MNAs for contracts containing credit-risk-contingent features | (14) | (25) |
| | | |
| Maximum amount of additional exposure for contracts with credit-risk-contingent features if all features were triggered concurrently | \$ 3 | \$ 9 |

Credit derivatives selling protection

Free-standing credit default swaps ("CDS") are utilized for selling credit protection against a specified credit event. A credit default swap is a derivative instrument, representing an agreement between two parties to exchange the credit risk of a specified entity (or a group of entities), or an index based on the credit risk of a group of entities (all commonly referred to as the "reference entity" or a portfolio of "reference entities"), in return for a periodic premium. In selling protection, CDS are used to replicate fixed income securities and to complement the cash market when credit exposure to certain issuers is not available or when the derivative alternative is less expensive than the cash market alternative. CDS typically have a five-year term.

Table of Contents

The following table shows the CDS notional amounts by credit rating and fair value of protection sold.

| (\$ in millions) | Notional amount | | | | | Total | Fair value |
|-------------------------------------|-----------------|-------|--------|--------|--------------|--------|-------------|
| | AAA | AA | A | BBB | BB and lower | | |
| <u>December 31, 2013</u> | | | | | | | |
| Single name | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade corporate debt (1) | \$ | \$ 20 | \$ 25 | \$ 65 | \$ | \$ 110 | \$ 2 |
| Baskets | | | | | | | |
| First-to-default | | | | | | | |
| Municipal | | | 100 | | | 100 | (15) |
| Index | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade corporate debt (1) | | 1 | 20 | 55 | 4 | 80 | 2 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 21 | \$ 145 | \$ 120 | \$ 4 | \$ 290 | \$ (11) |
| | | | | | | | |
| <u>December 31, 2012</u> | | | | | | | |
| Single name | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade corporate debt (1) | \$ | \$ 5 | \$ 20 | \$ 53 | \$ 80 | \$ 10 | \$ 168 |
| Municipal | | | 25 | | | | 25 (3) |
| Subtotal | | 5 | 45 | 53 | 80 | 10 | 193 (3) |
| Baskets | | | | | | | |
| First-to-default | | | | | | | |
| Municipal | | | 100 | | | 100 | (26) |
| Index | | | | | | | |
| Investment grade corporate debt (1) | | 3 | 79 | 204 | 14 | 300 | 2 |
| Total | \$ | \$ 5 | \$ 48 | \$ 232 | \$ 284 | \$ 24 | \$ 593 (27) |

(1)

Investment grade corporate debt categorization is based on the rating of the underlying name(s) at initial purchase.

In selling protection with CDS, the Company sells credit protection on an identified single name, a basket of names in a first-to-default ("FTD") structure or a specific tranche of a basket, or credit derivative index ("CDX") that is generally investment grade, and in return receives periodic premiums through expiration or termination of the agreement. With single name CDS, this premium or credit spread generally corresponds to the difference between the yield on the reference entity's public fixed maturity cash instruments and swap rates at the time the agreement is executed. With a FTD basket or a tranche of a basket, because of the additional credit risk inherent in a basket of named reference entities, the premium generally corresponds to a high proportion of the sum of the credit spreads of the names in the basket and the correlation between the names. CDX is utilized to take a position on multiple (generally 125) reference entities. Credit events are typically defined as bankruptcy, failure to pay, or restructuring, depending on the nature of the reference entities. If a credit event occurs, the Company settles with the counterparty, either through physical settlement or cash settlement. In a physical settlement, a reference asset is delivered by the buyer of protection to the Company, in exchange for cash payment at par, whereas in a cash settlement, the Company pays the difference between par and the prescribed value of the reference asset. When a credit event occurs in a single name or FTD basket (for FTD, the first credit event occurring for any one name in the basket), the contract terminates at the time of settlement. When a credit event occurs in a tranche of a basket, there is no immediate impact to the Company until cumulative losses in the basket exceed the contractual subordination. To date, realized losses have not exceeded the subordination. For CDX, the reference entity's name incurring the credit event is removed from the index while the contract continues until expiration. The maximum payout on a CDS is the contract notional amount. A physical settlement may afford the Company with recovery rights as the new owner of the asset.

The Company monitors risk associated with credit derivatives through individual name credit limits at both a credit derivative and a combined cash instrument/credit derivative level. The ratings of individual names for which protection has been sold are also monitored.

In addition to the CDS described above, the Company's synthetic collateralized debt obligations contain embedded credit default swaps which sell protection on a basket of reference entities. The synthetic collateralized debt obligations are fully funded; therefore, the Company is not obligated to contribute additional funds when credit events occur related to the reference entities named in the embedded credit default swaps. The Company's maximum amount at risk equals the amount of its aggregate initial investment in the synthetic collateralized debt obligations.

Table of Contents**Off-balance sheet financial instruments**

The contractual amounts of off-balance sheet financial instruments as of December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|----------|----------|
| Commitments to invest in limited partnership interests | \$ 2,846 | \$ 2,080 |
| Commitments to extend mortgage loans | 1 | 67 |
| Private placement commitments | 43 | 54 |
| Other loan commitments | 26 | 7 |

In the preceding table, the contractual amounts represent the amount at risk if the contract is fully drawn upon, the counterparty defaults and the value of any underlying security becomes worthless. Unless noted otherwise, the Company does not require collateral or other security to support off-balance sheet financial instruments with credit risk.

Commitments to invest in limited partnership interests represent agreements to acquire new or additional participation in certain limited partnership investments. The Company enters into these agreements in the normal course of business. Because the investments in limited partnerships are not actively traded, it is not practical to estimate the fair value of these commitments.

Commitments to extend mortgage loans are agreements to lend to a borrower provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. The Company enters into these agreements to commit to future loan fundings at a predetermined interest rate. Commitments generally have fixed expiration dates or other termination clauses. The fair value of commitments to extend mortgage loans, which are secured by the underlying properties, is zero as of December 31, 2013, and is valued based on estimates of fees charged by other institutions to make similar commitments to similar borrowers.

Private placement commitments represent conditional commitments to purchase private placement debt and equity securities at a specified future date. The Company enters into these agreements in the normal course of business. The fair value of these commitments generally cannot be estimated on the date the commitment is made as the terms and conditions of the underlying private placement securities are not yet final.

Other loan commitments are agreements to lend to a borrower provided there is no violation of any condition established in the contract. The Company enters into these agreements to commit to future loan fundings at predetermined interest rates. Commitments generally have varying expiration dates or other termination clauses. The fair value of these commitments is insignificant.

9. Reserve for Property-Liability Insurance Claims and Claims Expense

The Company establishes reserves for claims and claims expense on reported and unreported claims of insured losses. The Company's reserving process takes into account known facts and interpretations of circumstances and factors including the Company's experience with similar cases, actual claims paid, historical trends involving claim payment patterns and pending levels of unpaid claims, loss management programs, product mix and contractual terms, changes in law and regulation, judicial decisions, and economic conditions. In the normal course of business, the Company may also supplement its claims processes by utilizing third party adjusters, appraisers, engineers, inspectors, and other professionals and information sources to assess and settle catastrophe and non-catastrophe related claims. The effects of inflation are implicitly considered in the reserving process.

Because reserves are estimates of unpaid portions of losses that have occurred, including incurred but not reported ("IBNR") losses, the establishment of appropriate reserves, including reserves for catastrophes, is an inherently uncertain and complex process. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimates. The highest degree of uncertainty is associated with reserves for losses incurred in the current reporting period as it contains the greatest proportion of losses that have not been reported or settled. The Company regularly updates its reserve estimates as new information becomes available and as events unfold that may affect the resolution of unsettled claims. Changes in prior year reserve estimates, which may be material, are reported in property-liability insurance claims and claims expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations in the period such changes are determined.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Activity in the reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense is summarized as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|---------------|---------------------|---------------|
| Balance as of January 1 | \$ 21,288 | \$ 20,375 | \$ 19,468 |
| Less reinsurance recoverables | 4,010 | 2,588 | 2,072 |
| Net balance as of January 1 | 17,278 | 17,787 | 17,396 |
| Esurance acquisition as of October 7, 2011 | | (13) ⁽¹⁾ | 425 |
| Incurred claims and claims expense related to: | | | |
| Current year | 18,032 | 19,149 | 20,496 |
| Prior years | (121) | (665) | (335) |
| Total incurred | 17,911 | 18,484 | 20,161 |
| Claims and claims expense paid related to: | | | |
| Current year | 11,658 | 12,545 | 13,893 |
| Prior years | 6,338 | 6,435 | 6,302 |
| Total paid | 17,996 | 18,980 | 20,195 |
| Net balance as of December 31 | 17,193 | 17,278 | 17,787 |
| Plus reinsurance recoverables | 4,664 | 4,010 | 2,588 |
| Balance as of December 31 | \$ 21,857 | \$ 21,288 | \$ 20,375 |

(1)

The Esurance opening balance sheet reserves were reestimated in 2012 resulting in a reduction in reserves due to lower severity. The adjustment was recorded as a reduction in goodwill and an increase in payables to the seller under the terms of the purchase agreement and therefore had no impact on claims expense.

Incurred claims and claims expense represents the sum of paid losses and reserve changes in the calendar year. This expense includes losses from catastrophes of \$1.25 billion, \$2.35 billion and \$3.82 billion in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively, net of reinsurance and other recoveries (see Note 11). Catastrophes are an inherent risk of the property-liability insurance business that have contributed to, and will continue to contribute to, material year-to-year fluctuations in the Company's results of operations and financial position.

The Company calculates and records a single best reserve estimate for losses from catastrophes, in conformance with generally accepted actuarial standards. As a result, management believes that no other estimate is better than the recorded amount. Due to the uncertainties involved, including the factors described above, the ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimates. Accordingly, management believes that it is not practical to develop a meaningful range for any such changes in losses incurred.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

During 2013, incurred claims and claims expense related to prior years was primarily composed of net decreases in auto reserves of \$237 million primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected, net decreases in homeowners reserves of \$5 million due to favorable non-catastrophe reserve reestimates, net decreases in other reserves of \$21 million, and net increases in Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserves of \$142 million. Incurred claims and claims expense includes favorable catastrophe loss reestimates of \$88 million, net of reinsurance and other recoveries.

During 2012, incurred claims and claims expense related to prior years was primarily composed of net decreases in auto reserves of \$365 million primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected, net decreases in homeowners reserves of \$321 million due to favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates, net decreases in other reserves of \$30 million, and net increases in Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserves of \$51 million. Incurred claims and claims expense includes favorable catastrophe loss reestimates of \$410 million, net of reinsurance and other recoveries.

During 2011, incurred claims and claims expense related to prior years was primarily composed of net decreases in auto reserves of \$381 million primarily due to claim severity development that was better than expected, net decreases in homeowners reserves of \$69 million due to favorable catastrophe reserve reestimates, net increases in other reserves of \$94 million, and net increases in Discontinued Lines and Coverages reserves of \$21 million. Incurred claims and claims expense includes favorable catastrophe loss reestimates of \$130 million, net of reinsurance and other recoveries.

Management believes that the reserve for property-liability insurance claims and claims expense, net of reinsurance recoverables, is appropriately established in the aggregate and adequate to cover the ultimate net cost of reported and

Table of Contents

unreported claims arising from losses which had occurred by the date of the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations.

For further discussion of asbestos and environmental reserves, see Note 15.

10. Reserve for Life-Contingent Contract Benefits and Contractholder Funds

As of December 31, the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits consists of the following:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|-----------|-----------|
| Immediate fixed annuities: | | |
| Structured settlement annuities | \$ 6,645 | \$ 7,274 |
| Other immediate fixed annuities | 2,283 | 2,386 |
| Traditional life insurance | 2,542 | 3,110 |
| Accident and health insurance | 816 | 2,011 |
| Other | 100 | 114 |
| | | |
| Total reserve for life-contingent contract benefits | \$ 12,386 | \$ 14,895 |

The following table highlights the key assumptions generally used in calculating the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits:

| Product | Mortality | Interest rate | Estimation method |
|---|--|--|---|
| Structured settlement annuities | U.S. population with projected calendar year improvements; mortality rates adjusted for each impaired life based on reduction in life expectancy | Interest rate assumptions range from 0% to 9.0% | Present value of contractually specified future benefits |
| Other immediate fixed annuities | 1983 group annuity mortality table with internal modifications; 1983 individual annuity mortality table; Annuity 2000 mortality table with internal modifications; Annuity 2000 mortality table; 1983 individual annuity mortality table with internal modifications | Interest rate assumptions range from 0% to 11.5% | Present value of expected future benefits based on historical experience |
| Traditional life insurance | Actual company experience plus loading | Interest rate assumptions range from 2.5% to 11.3% | Net level premium reserve method using the Company's withdrawal experience rates; includes reserves for unpaid claims |
| Accident and health insurance | Actual company experience plus loading | Interest rate assumptions range from 3.0% to 7.0% | Unearned premium; additional contract reserves for mortality risk and unpaid claims |
| Other: | | | |
| Variable annuity guaranteed minimum death benefits ⁽¹⁾ | Annuity 2000 mortality table with internal modifications | Interest rate assumptions range from 4.0% to 5.8% | Projected benefit ratio applied to cumulative assessments |

(1)

In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with The Prudential Insurance Company of America, a subsidiary of Prudential Financial, Inc. (collectively "Prudential").

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

To the extent that unrealized gains on fixed income securities would result in a premium deficiency had those gains actually been realized, a premium deficiency reserve is recorded for certain immediate annuities with life contingencies. A liability of \$771 million is included in the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits with respect to this deficiency as of December 31, 2012. The offset to this liability is recorded as a reduction of the unrealized net capital gains included in accumulated other comprehensive income. The liability is zero as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

As of December 31, contractholder funds consist of the following:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|--|---------------|---------------|
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | \$ 7,777 | \$ 11,011 |
| Investment contracts: | | |
| Fixed annuities | 16,199 | 25,881 |
| Funding agreements backing medium-term notes | 89 | 1,867 |
| Other investment contracts | 239 | 560 |
| Total contractholder funds | \$ 24,304 | \$ 39,319 |

The following table highlights the key contract provisions relating to contractholder funds:

| Product | Interest rate | Withdrawal/surrender charges |
|--|---|---|
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | Interest rates credited range from 0% to 10.0% for equity-indexed life (whose returns are indexed to the S&P 500) and 1.0% to 6.0% for all other products | Either a percentage of account balance or dollar amount grading off generally over 20 years |
| Fixed annuities | Interest rates credited range from 0% to 9.8% for immediate annuities; (8.0)% to 13.5% for equity-indexed annuities (whose returns are indexed to the S&P 500); and 0.1% to 6.0% for all other products | Either a declining or a level percentage charge generally over ten years or less. Additionally, approximately 25.8% of fixed annuities are subject to market value adjustment for discretionary withdrawals |
| Funding agreements backing medium-term notes | Interest rates credited range from 1.8% to 5.4% | Not applicable |
| Other investment contracts: | | |
| Guaranteed minimum income, accumulation and withdrawal benefits on variable ⁽¹⁾ and fixed annuities and secondary guarantees on interest-sensitive life insurance and fixed annuities | Interest rates used in establishing reserves range from 1.7% to 10.3% | Withdrawal and surrender charges are based on the terms of the related interest-sensitive life insurance or fixed annuity contract |

(1) In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with Prudential.

Contractholder funds include funding agreements held by VIEs issuing medium-term notes. The VIEs are Allstate Life Funding, LLC, Allstate Financial Global Funding, LLC and Allstate Life Global Funding, and their primary assets are funding agreements used exclusively to back medium-term note programs.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Contractholder funds activity for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 39,319 | \$ 42,332 | \$ 48,195 |
| Deposits | 2,440 | 2,275 | 2,318 |
| Interest credited | 1,295 | 1,323 | 1,629 |
| Benefits | (1,535) | (1,463) | (1,461) |
| Surrenders and partial withdrawals | (3,299) | (3,990) | (4,935) |
| Bank withdrawals | | | (1,463) |
| Maturities of and interest payments on institutional products | (1,799) | (138) | (867) |
| Contract charges | (1,112) | (1,066) | (1,028) |
| Net transfers from separate accounts | 12 | 11 | 12 |
| Fair value hedge adjustments for institutional products | | | (34) |
| Other adjustments | (72) | 35 | (34) |
| Classified as held for sale | (10,945) | | |
| | | | |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 24,304 | \$ 39,319 | \$ 42,332 |

The Company offered various guarantees to variable annuity contractholders. Liabilities for variable contract guarantees related to death benefits are included in the reserve for life-contingent contract benefits and the liabilities related to the income, withdrawal and accumulation benefits are included in contractholder funds. All liabilities for variable contract guarantees are reported on a gross basis on the balance sheet with a corresponding reinsurance recoverable asset for those contracts subject to reinsurance. In 2006, the Company disposed of substantially all of its variable annuity business through reinsurance agreements with Prudential.

Absent any contract provision wherein the Company guarantees either a minimum return or account value upon death, a specified contract anniversary date, partial withdrawal or annuitization, variable annuity and variable life insurance contractholders bear the investment risk that the separate accounts' funds may not meet their stated investment objectives. The account balances of variable annuities contracts' separate accounts with guarantees included \$5.20 billion and \$5.23 billion of equity, fixed income and balanced mutual funds and \$748 million and \$721 million of money market mutual funds as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The table below presents information regarding the Company's variable annuity contracts with guarantees. The Company's variable annuity contracts may offer more than one type of guarantee in each contract; therefore, the sum of amounts listed exceeds the total account balances of variable annuity contracts' separate accounts with guarantees.

| (\$ in millions) | December 31, | |
|---|--------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| <i>In the event of death</i> | | |
| Separate account value | \$ 5,951 | \$ 5,947 |
| Net amount at risk ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 636 | \$ 1,044 |
| Average attained age of contractholders | 68 years | 67 years |
| <i>At annuitization (includes income benefit guarantees)</i> | | |
| Separate account value | \$ 1,463 | \$ 1,416 |
| Net amount at risk ⁽²⁾ | \$ 252 | \$ 418 |
| Weighted average waiting period until annuitization options available | None | None |
| <i>For cumulative periodic withdrawals</i> | | |
| Separate account value | \$ 488 | \$ 532 |
| Net amount at risk ⁽³⁾ | \$ 9 | \$ 16 |
| <i>Accumulation at specified dates</i> | | |
| Separate account value | \$ 732 | \$ 811 |
| Net amount at risk ⁽⁴⁾ | \$ 27 | \$ 50 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Weighted average waiting period until guarantee date

5 years

6 years

- (1) Defined as the estimated current guaranteed minimum death benefit in excess of the current account balance as of the balance sheet date.
- (2) Defined as the estimated present value of the guaranteed minimum annuity payments in excess of the current account balance.

Table of Contents

- (3) Defined as the estimated current guaranteed minimum withdrawal balance (initial deposit) in excess of the current account balance as of the balance sheet date.
- (4) Defined as the estimated present value of the guaranteed minimum accumulation balance in excess of the current account balance.

The liability for death and income benefit guarantees is equal to a benefit ratio multiplied by the cumulative contract charges earned, plus accrued interest less contract excess guarantee benefit payments. The benefit ratio is calculated as the estimated present value of all expected contract excess guarantee benefits divided by the present value of all expected contract charges. The establishment of reserves for these guarantees requires the projection of future fund values, mortality, persistency and customer benefit utilization rates. These assumptions are periodically reviewed and updated. For guarantees related to death benefits, benefits represent the projected excess guaranteed minimum death benefit payments. For guarantees related to income benefits, benefits represent the present value of the minimum guaranteed annuitization benefits in excess of the projected account balance at the time of annuitization.

Projected benefits and contract charges used in determining the liability for certain guarantees are developed using models and stochastic scenarios that are also used in the development of estimated expected gross profits. Underlying assumptions for the liability related to income benefits include assumed future annuitization elections based on factors such as the extent of benefit to the potential annuitant, eligibility conditions and the annuitant's attained age. The liability for guarantees is re-evaluated periodically, and adjustments are made to the liability balance through a charge or credit to life and annuity contract benefits.

Guarantees related to the majority of withdrawal and accumulation benefits are considered to be derivative financial instruments; therefore, the liability for these benefits is established based on its fair value.

The following table summarizes the liabilities for guarantees:

| (\$ in millions) | Liability for guarantees related to death benefits and interest- sensitive life products | | Liability for guarantees related to income benefits | | Liability for guarantees related to accumulation and withdrawal benefits | | Total |
|---|--|-----|---|-----|--|-----|--------|
| Balance, December 31, 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | 309 | \$ | 235 | \$ | 129 | \$ 673 |
| Less reinsurance recoverables | | 113 | | 220 | | 125 | 458 |
| Net balance as of December 31, 2012 | | 196 | | 15 | | 4 | 215 |
| Incurred guarantee benefits | | 83 | | (1) | | 5 | 87 |
| Paid guarantee benefits | | (2) | | | | | (2) |
| Net change | | 81 | | (1) | | 5 | 85 |
| Net balance as of December 31, 2013 | | 277 | | 14 | | 9 | 300 |
| Plus reinsurance recoverables | | 100 | | 99 | | 56 | 255 |
| Balance, December 31, 2013 ⁽²⁾ | \$ | 377 | \$ | 113 | \$ | 65 | \$ 555 |

Balance, December 31, 2011 ⁽³⁾

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | |
|---|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|----|-----|
| Less reinsurance recoverables | \$ | 289 | \$ | 191 | \$ | 164 | \$ | 644 |
| | | 116 | | 175 | | 162 | | 453 |
| Net balance as of December 31, 2011 | | 173 | | 16 | | 2 | | 191 |
| Incurred guarantee benefits | | 25 | | (1) | | 2 | | 26 |
| Paid guarantee benefits | | (2) | | | | | | (2) |
| Net change | | 23 | | (1) | | 2 | | 24 |
| Net balance as of December 31, 2012 | | 196 | | 15 | | 4 | | 215 |
| Plus reinsurance recoverables | | 113 | | 220 | | 125 | | 458 |
| Balance, December 31, 2012 ⁽¹⁾ | \$ | 309 | \$ | 235 | \$ | 129 | \$ | 673 |

(1)

Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2012 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$112 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$221 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$86 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$39 million and other guarantees of \$215 million.

(2)

Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2013 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$98 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$99 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$43 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$13 million and other guarantees of \$302 million.

(3)

Included in the total liability balance as of December 31, 2011 are reserves for variable annuity death benefits of \$116 million, variable annuity income benefits of \$175 million, variable annuity accumulation benefits of \$105 million, variable annuity withdrawal benefits of \$57 million and other guarantees of \$191 million.

Table of Contents**11. Reinsurance**

The effects of reinsurance on property-liability insurance premiums written and earned and life and annuity premiums and contract charges for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Property-liability insurance premiums written | | | |
| Direct | \$ 29,241 | \$ 28,103 | \$ 27,066 |
| Assumed | 52 | 35 | 22 |
| Ceded | (1,129) | (1,111) | (1,108) |
| Property-liability insurance premiums written, net of reinsurance | \$ 28,164 | \$ 27,027 | \$ 25,980 |
| | | | |
| Property-liability insurance premiums earned | | | |
| Direct | \$ 28,638 | \$ 27,794 | \$ 27,016 |
| Assumed | 49 | 33 | 24 |
| Ceded | (1,069) | (1,090) | (1,098) |
| Property-liability insurance premiums earned, net of reinsurance | \$ 27,618 | \$ 26,737 | \$ 25,942 |
| | | | |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges | | | |
| Direct | \$ 2,909 | \$ 2,860 | \$ 2,953 |
| Assumed | 82 | 55 | 35 |
| Ceded | (639) | (674) | (750) |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges, net of reinsurance | \$ 2,352 | \$ 2,241 | \$ 2,238 |

Property-Liability

The Company purchases reinsurance after evaluating the financial condition of the reinsurer, as well as the terms and price of coverage. Developments in the insurance and reinsurance industries have fostered a movement to segregate asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines exposures into separate legal entities with dedicated capital. Regulatory bodies in certain cases have supported these actions. The Company is unable to determine the impact, if any, that these developments will have on the collectability of reinsurance recoverables in the future.

Property-Liability reinsurance recoverable

Total amounts recoverable from reinsurers as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$4.75 billion and \$4.08 billion, respectively, including \$85 million and \$69 million, respectively, related to property-liability losses paid by the Company and billed to reinsurers, and \$4.66 billion and \$4.01 billion, respectively, estimated by the Company with respect to ceded unpaid losses (including IBNR), which are not billable until the losses are paid.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

With the exception of the recoverable balances from the Michigan Catastrophic Claim Association ("MCCA"), Lloyd's of London and other industry pools and facilities, the largest reinsurance recoverable balance the Company had outstanding was \$85 million and \$95 million from Westport Insurance Corporation (formerly Employers' Reinsurance Company) as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. No other amount due or estimated to be due from any single property-liability reinsurer was in excess of \$34 million and \$42 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

The allowance for uncollectible reinsurance was \$92 million and \$87 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, and is primarily related to the Company's Discontinued Lines and Coverages segment.

Industry pools and facilities

Reinsurance recoverable on paid and unpaid claims including IBNR as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 includes \$3.46 billion and \$2.59 billion, respectively, from the MCCA. The MCCA is a mandatory insurance coverage and reinsurance indemnification mechanism for personal injury protection losses that provides indemnification for losses over a retention level that increases every other MCCA fiscal year. The retention level is \$530 thousand per claim and \$500 thousand per claim for the fiscal years ending June 30, 2014 and 2013, respectively. The MCCA operates similar to a reinsurance program and is funded by participating companies through a per vehicle annual assessment. This assessment is included in the premiums charged to the Company's customers and when collected, the Company remits the assessment to the MCCA. These assessments provide funds for the indemnification for losses described above. The MCCA is required to assess an amount each year sufficient to cover lifetime claims of all persons catastrophically injured in that year, its operating expenses, and adjustments for the amount for excesses or deficiencies in prior

Table of Contents

assessments. The MCCA prepares statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the State of Michigan Department of Insurance and Financial Services ("MI DOI"). The MI DOI has granted the MCCA a statutory permitted practice that expires in 2016 to discount its liabilities for loss and loss adjustment expense. As of June 30, 2013, the date of its most recent annual financial report, the permitted practice reduced the MCCA's accumulated deficit by \$51.48 billion to \$1.87 billion.

Allstate sells and administers policies as a participant in the National Flood Insurance Program ("NFIP"). The amounts recoverable as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 were \$32 million and \$428 million, respectively. Ceded premiums earned include \$316 million, \$311 million and \$312 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Ceded losses incurred include \$289 million, \$758 million and \$196 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Under the arrangement, the Federal Government is obligated to pay all covered claims.

Ceded premiums earned under the Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund ("FHCF") agreement were \$16 million, \$18 million and \$27 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. There were no ceded losses incurred in 2013 or 2012. Ceded losses incurred were \$8 million in 2011. The Company has access to reimbursement provided by the FHCF for 90% of qualifying personal property losses that exceed its current retention of \$72 million for the 2 largest hurricanes and \$24 million for other hurricanes, up to a maximum total of \$198 million effective from June 1, 2013 to May 31, 2014. There were no amounts recoverable from the FHCF as of December 31, 2013 or 2012.

Catastrophe reinsurance

The Company has the following catastrophe reinsurance treaties in effect as of December 31, 2013:

The Nationwide Per Occurrence Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises five contracts, placed in six layers, incepting as of June 1, 2011 and with one, two and three year terms. This agreement reinsures Allstate Protection personal lines auto and property business countrywide, in all states except Florida and New Jersey, for excess catastrophe losses caused by multiple perils. The first four layers, which are 95% placed and subject to reinstatement, comprise three contracts and cover \$1.75 billion in per occurrence losses subject to a \$500 million retention and after \$250 million in losses "otherwise recoverable." Losses from multiple qualifying occurrences can apply to this \$250 million threshold which applies once to each contract year and only to the agreement's first layer. Coverage for the first \$500 million of the \$1 billion limit of the fifth layer is 95% placed and comprises three contracts, one of which expires May 31, 2014 and two of which expire May 31, 2015. Coverage for the second \$500 million of the fifth layer is 63% placed and comprises two contracts expiring May 31, 2014 and May 31, 2015. The entire fifth layer is subject to reinstatement. The sixth layer provides \$500 million in per occurrence losses in excess of a \$3.25 billion retention and is 38.04% placed. This layer comprises three contracts, two of which expire May 31, 2014 and one of which expires May 31, 2015. The sixth layer is not subject to reinstatement.

The Top and Drop Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises a three year term contract, incepting June 1, 2011, and provides \$250 million of reinsurance limits which may be used for Coverage A, Coverage B, or a combination of both. Coverage A reinsures 6.33% of \$500 million in limits excess of a \$3.25 billion retention. Coverage B provides 12.66% of \$250 million in limits excess of a \$750 million retention and after \$500 million in losses "otherwise recoverable" under the agreement. Losses from multiple qualifying occurrences can apply to this \$500 million threshold.

The Property Claims Services ("PCS") Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement reinsures personal lines property and auto excess catastrophe losses caused by hurricanes in 28 states and the District of Columbia, and earthquakes, including fires following earthquakes, in California, New York, and Washington. This agreement comprises two contracts: a Class B Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance contract which provides \$150 million in limits excess of a \$2.75 billion retention, and a Class A Excess Catastrophe contract which provides \$200 million in limits excess of a \$3.25 billion retention. The contracts' risk period is May 4, 2013 to May 3, 2017, with no reinstatement of limits. The placement of these contracts achieves, for the perils of hurricanes and earthquakes, including fires following earthquakes, a 94% placement of the reinsurance limits of the fifth layer of the Nationwide Per Occurrence Excess Catastrophe agreement, and, in conjunction with the Top and Drop Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement, an 84.37% placement of the sixth layer of the reinsurance limits of the Nationwide Per Occurrence Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement.

Losses recoverable under the Company's New Jersey, Kentucky and Pennsylvania reinsurance agreements, described below, are disregarded when determining coverage under the Nationwide Per Occurrence Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement, the Top and Drop Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement, and the PCS Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement.

Table of Contents

The New Jersey Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement comprises three contracts. Two contracts that provide coverage for Allstate Protection personal lines property excess catastrophe losses for multiple perils in New Jersey expire May 31, 2014 and May 31, 2015, and provide 32% of a \$400 million limit excess of a \$134 million retention and 32% of a \$400 million limit excess of a \$154 million retention, respectively. One contract that reinsures personal lines property and automobile excess catastrophe losses in New Jersey expires May 31, 2016 and provides 32% of a \$400 million limit excess of a \$150 million retention. Each contract contains one reinstatement each year. The reinsurance premium and retention applicable to the agreement are subject to redetermination for exposure changes annually.

The Kentucky Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement provides coverage for Allstate Protection personal lines property excess catastrophe losses in the state for earthquakes and fires following earthquakes effective June 1, 2011 to May 31, 2014. The agreement provides three limits of \$25 million excess of a \$5 million retention subject to two limits being available in any one contract year and is 95% placed.

The Pennsylvania Excess Catastrophe Reinsurance agreement provides coverage for Allstate Protection personal lines property excess catastrophe losses in the state for multi-perils effective June 1, 2012 through May 31, 2015. The agreement provides three limits of \$100 million excess of a \$100 million retention subject to two limits being available in any one contract year and is 95% placed.

Four separate contracts for Castle Key Insurance Company and its subsidiary ("Castle Key") provide coverage for personal lines property excess catastrophe losses in Florida and coordinate coverage with Castle Key's participation in the FHCF, effective June 1, 2013 to May 31, 2014. All the contracts comprising the Florida agreement including the contract that provides coverage through the FHCF, provides an estimated provisional limit of \$535 million excess of a provisional retention of \$25 million.

The Company ceded premiums earned of \$471 million, \$531 million and \$531 million under catastrophe reinsurance agreements in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Asbestos, environmental and other

Reinsurance recoverables include \$191 million and \$190 million from Lloyd's of London as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Lloyd's of London, through the creation of Equitas Limited, implemented a restructuring plan in 1996 to solidify its capital base and to segregate claims for years prior to 1993.

Allstate Financial

The Company's Allstate Financial segment reinsures certain of its risks to other insurers primarily under yearly renewable term, coinsurance, modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld agreements. These agreements result in a passing of the agreed-upon percentage of risk to the reinsurer in exchange for negotiated reinsurance premium payments. Modified coinsurance and coinsurance with funds withheld are similar to coinsurance, except that the cash and investments that support the liability for contract benefits are not transferred to the assuming company and settlements are made on a net basis between the companies.

For certain term life insurance policies issued prior to October 2009, Allstate Financial ceded up to 90% of the mortality risk depending on the year of policy issuance under coinsurance agreements to a pool of fourteen unaffiliated reinsurers. Effective October 2009, mortality risk on term business is ceded under yearly renewable term agreements under which Allstate Financial cedes mortality in excess of its retention, which is consistent with how Allstate Financial

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

generally reinsures its permanent life insurance business. The following table summarizes those retention limits by period of policy issuance.

| Period | Retention limits |
|----------------------------------|--|
| April 2011 through current | Single life: \$5 million per life, \$3 million age 70 and over, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria Joint life: \$8 million per life, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria |
| July 2007 through March 2011 | \$5 million per life, \$3 million age 70 and over, and \$10 million for contracts that meet specific criteria |
| September 1998 through June 2007 | \$2 million per life, in 2006 the limit was increased to \$5 million for instances when specific criteria were met |
| August 1998 and prior | Up to \$1 million per life |

In addition, Allstate Financial has used reinsurance to effect the acquisition or disposition of certain blocks of business. Allstate Financial had reinsurance recoverables of \$1.51 billion and \$1.69 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, due from Prudential related to the disposal of substantially all of its variable annuity business that was effected through reinsurance agreements. In 2013, life and annuity premiums and contract charges of \$120 million, contract benefits of \$139 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$22 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$23 million were ceded to Prudential. In 2012, life and annuity premiums and contract charges of \$128 million, contract benefits of \$91 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$23 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$25 million were ceded to Prudential. In 2011, life and annuity premiums and contract charges of \$152 million, contract benefits of \$121 million, interest credited to contractholder funds of \$20 million, and operating costs and expenses of \$27 million were ceded to Prudential. In addition, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012 Allstate Financial had reinsurance recoverables of \$156 million and \$160 million, respectively, due from subsidiaries of Citigroup (Triton Insurance and American Health and Life Insurance) and Scottish Re (U.S.) Inc. in connection with the disposition of substantially all of the direct response distribution business in 2003.

As of December 31, 2013, the gross life insurance in force was \$542.48 billion of which \$196.27 billion was ceded to the unaffiliated reinsurers.

Allstate Financial's reinsurance recoverables on paid and unpaid benefits as of December 31 are summarized in the following table.

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|------------------------------|--------------|--------------|
| Annuities | \$ 1,648 | \$ 1,831 |
| Life insurance | 1,029 | 1,609 |
| Long-term care insurance | 78 | 1,163 |
| Other | 117 | 85 |
| Total Allstate Financial | \$ 2,872 | \$ 4,688 |

As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, approximately 92% and 95%, respectively, of Allstate Financial's reinsurance recoverables are due from companies rated A- or better by S&P.

Table of Contents**12. Deferred Policy Acquisition and Sales Inducement Costs**

Deferred policy acquisition costs for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|
| | Allstate Financial | Property- Liability | Total |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 2,225 | \$ 1,396 | \$ 3,621 |
| Acquisition costs deferred | 364 | 3,903 | 4,267 |
| Amortization charged to income | (328) | (3,674) | (4,002) |
| Effect of unrealized gains and losses | 229 | | 229 |
| Classified as held for sale | (743) | | (743) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 1,747 | \$ 1,625 | \$ 3,372 |

| | 2012 | | |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|
| | Allstate Financial | Property- Liability | Total |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 2,523 | \$ 1,348 | \$ 3,871 |
| Acquisition costs deferred | 371 | 3,531 | 3,902 |
| Amortization charged to income | (401) | (3,483) | (3,884) |
| Effect of unrealized gains and losses | (268) | | (268) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 2,225 | \$ 1,396 | \$ 3,621 |

| | 2011 | | |
|--|-----------------------|------------------------|----------|
| | Allstate Financial | Property- Liability | Total |
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ 2,859 | \$ 1,321 | \$ 4,180 |
| Esurance acquisition present value of future profits | | 42 | 42 |
| Acquisition costs deferred | 333 | 3,462 | 3,795 |
| Amortization charged to income | (494) | (3,477) | (3,971) |
| Effect of unrealized gains and losses | (175) | | (175) |
| Balance, end of year | \$ 2,523 | \$ 1,348 | \$ 3,871 |

DSI activity for Allstate Financial, which primarily relates to fixed annuities and interest-sensitive life contracts, for the years ended December 31 was as follows:

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | | 2011 | |
|---------------------------------------|-------------|------|-------------|------|-------------|------|
| Balance, beginning of year | \$ | 41 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 86 |
| Sales inducements deferred | | 24 | | 22 | | 7 |
| Amortization charged to income | | (7) | | (14) | | (23) |
| Effect of unrealized gains and losses | | 12 | | (8) | | (29) |
| Classified as held for sale | | (28) | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Balance, end of year | \$ | 42 | \$ | 41 | \$ | 41 |

Table of Contents**13. Capital Structure****Debt**

Total debt outstanding as of December 31 consisted of the following:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|----------|----------|
| 7.50% Debentures, due 2013 | \$ | \$ 250 |
| 5.00% Senior Notes, due 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | 650 | 650 |
| 6.20% Senior Notes, due 2014 ⁽¹⁾ | 300 | 300 |
| 6.75% Senior Debentures, due 2018 | 177 | 250 |
| 7.45% Senior Notes, due 2019 ⁽¹⁾ | 317 | 700 |
| 3.15% Senior Notes, due 2023 ⁽¹⁾ | 500 | |
| 6.125% Senior Notes, due 2032 ⁽¹⁾ | 159 | 250 |
| 5.35% Senior Notes due 2033 ⁽¹⁾ | 323 | 400 |
| 5.55% Senior Notes due 2035 ⁽¹⁾ | 546 | 800 |
| 5.95% Senior Notes, due 2036 ⁽¹⁾ | 386 | 650 |
| 6.90% Senior Debentures, due 2038 | 165 | 250 |
| 5.20% Senior Notes, due 2042 ⁽¹⁾ | 72 | 500 |
| 4.50% Senior Notes, due 2043 ⁽¹⁾ | 500 | |
| 5.10% Subordinated Debentures, due 2053 | 500 | |
| 5.75% Subordinated Debentures, due 2053 | 800 | |
| 6.125% Junior Subordinated Debentures, due 2067 | 252 | 500 |
| 6.50% Junior Subordinated Debentures, due 2067 | 500 | 500 |
| Synthetic lease VIE obligations, floating rates, due 2014 | 44 | 44 |
| Federal Home Loan Bank ("FHLB") advances, due 2018 | 10 | 13 |
| | | |
| Total long-term debt | 6,201 | 6,057 |
| Short-term debt ⁽²⁾ | | |
| | | |
| Total debt | \$ 6,201 | \$ 6,057 |

(1)

Senior Notes are subject to redemption at the Company's option in whole or in part at any time at the greater of either 100% of the principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date or the discounted sum of the present values of the remaining scheduled payments of principal and interest and accrued and unpaid interest to the redemption date.

(2)

The Company classifies any borrowings which have a maturity of twelve months or less at inception as short-term debt.

Debt maturities for each of the next five years and thereafter as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | |
|------------------|--------|
| 2014 | \$ 994 |
| 2015 | |
| 2016 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | |
|------------|-------|
| 2017 | |
| 2018 | 187 |
| Thereafter | 5,020 |

Total debt \$ 6,201

On January 10, 2013, the Company issued \$500 million of 5.10% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Debentures due 2053. The proceeds of this issuance were used for general corporate purposes, including the repurchase of the Company's common stock through open market purchases and through an accelerated repurchase program.

On June 7, 2013, the Company issued \$500 million of 3.15% Senior Notes due 2023 and \$500 million of 4.50% Senior Notes due 2043. The proceeds of this issuance were used to fund the repurchase of debt and for general corporate purposes.

On June 20, 2013, the Company repurchased principal amounts of \$1.83 billion of debt. The Company recognized a loss on extinguishment of \$480 million, pre-tax, representing the excess of the repurchase price over the principal repaid, the write-off of the unamortized debt issuance costs and other costs related to the repurchase transaction.

Table of Contents

On August 8, 2013, the Company issued \$800 million of 5.75% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Subordinated Debentures due 2053. The proceeds of this issuance were used for the repayment of certain commercial paper borrowings, to fund the repurchase of debt, for the repurchase of our common stock in open market purchases and for general corporate purposes.

During third and fourth quarter 2013, the Company repurchased principal amounts of \$73 million of debt. The Company recognized a loss on extinguishment of \$11 million, pre-tax, representing the excess of the repurchase price over the principal repaid and the write-off of the unamortized debt issuance costs.

The Subordinated Debentures may be redeemed (i) in whole at any time or in part from time to time on or after January 15, 2023 for the 5.10% Subordinated Debentures and August 15, 2023 for the 5.75% Subordinated Debentures at their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date of redemption; provided that if the Subordinated Debentures are not redeemed in whole, at least \$25 million aggregate principal amount must remain outstanding, or (ii) in whole, but not in part, prior to January 15, 2023 for the 5.10% Subordinated Debentures and August 15, 2023 for the 5.75% Subordinated Debentures, within 90 days after the occurrence of certain tax and rating agency events, at their principal amount or, if greater, a make-whole redemption price, plus accrued and unpaid interest to, but excluding, the date of redemption. The 5.75% Subordinated Debentures have this make-whole redemption price provision only when a reduction of equity credit assigned by a rating agency has occurred.

Interest on the 5.10% Subordinated Debentures is payable quarterly at the stated fixed annual rate to January 14, 2023, or any earlier redemption date, and then at an annual rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 3.165%. Interest on the 5.75% Subordinated Debentures is payable semi-annually at the stated fixed annual rate to August 14, 2023, or any earlier redemption date, and then quarterly at an annual rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 2.938%. The Company may elect to defer payment of interest on the Subordinated Debentures for one or more consecutive interest periods that do not exceed five years. During a deferral period, interest will continue to accrue on the Subordinated Debentures at the then-applicable rate and deferred interest will compound on each interest payment date. If all deferred interest on the Subordinated Debentures is paid, the Company can again defer interest payments.

The Company has outstanding \$500 million of Series A 6.50% and \$252 million of Series B 6.125% Fixed-to-Floating Rate Junior Subordinated Debentures (together the "Debentures"). The scheduled maturity dates for the Debentures are May 15, 2057 and May 15, 2037 for Series A and Series B, respectively, with a final maturity date of May 15, 2067. The Debentures may be redeemed (i) in whole or in part, at any time on or after May 15, 2037 or May 15, 2017 for Series A and Series B, respectively, at their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption, or (ii) in certain circumstances, in whole or in part, prior to May 15, 2037 and May 15, 2017 for Series A and Series B, respectively, at their principal amount plus accrued and unpaid interest to the date of redemption or, if greater, a make-whole price.

Interest on the Debentures is payable semi-annually at the stated fixed annual rate to May 15, 2037 and May 15, 2017 for Series A and Series B, respectively, and then payable quarterly at an annual rate equal to the three-month LIBOR plus 2.12% and 1.935% for Series A and Series B, respectively. The Company may elect at one or more times to defer payment of interest on the Debentures for one or more consecutive interest periods that do not exceed 10 years. Interest compounds during such deferral periods at the rate in effect for each period. The interest deferral feature obligates the Company in certain circumstances to issue common stock or certain other types of securities if it cannot otherwise raise sufficient funds to make the required interest payments. The Company has reserved 75 million shares of its authorized and unissued common stock to satisfy this obligation.

The terms of the Company's outstanding subordinated debentures prohibit the Company from declaring or paying any dividends or distributions on common or preferred stock or redeeming, purchasing, acquiring, or making liquidation payments on common stock or preferred stock if the Company has elected to defer interest payments on the subordinated debentures, subject to certain limited exceptions.

In connection with the issuance of the Debentures, the Company entered into replacement capital covenants ("RCCs"). These covenants were not intended for the benefit of the holders of the Debentures and could not be enforced by them. Rather, they were for the benefit of holders of one or more other designated series of the Company's indebtedness ("covered debt"), initially the 6.90% Senior Debentures due 2038. At the time of the issuance of the Subordinated Debentures, the Company terminated the existing RCCs and entered into new RCCs designating the 6.75% Senior Debentures due 2018 as the covered debt. Pursuant to the new RCCs, the Company has agreed that it will not repay, redeem, or purchase the Debentures on or before May 15, 2067 and May 15, 2047 for Series A and Series B, respectively, (or such earlier date on which the new RCCs terminate by their terms) unless, subject to certain limitations, the Company has received net cash proceeds in specified amounts from the sale of common stock or certain

Table of Contents

other qualifying securities. The promises and covenants contained in the new RCC will not apply if (i) S&P upgrades the Company's issuer credit rating to A or above, (ii) the Company redeems the Debentures due to a tax event, (iii) after notice of redemption has been given by the Company and a market disruption event occurs preventing the Company from raising proceeds in accordance with the new RCCs, or (iv) if the Company repurchases or redeems up to 10% of the outstanding principal of the Debentures in any one-year period, provided that no more than 25% will be so repurchased, redeemed or purchased in any ten-year period.

The new RCCs terminate in 2067 and 2047 for Series A and Series B, respectively. The new RCCs will terminate prior to their scheduled termination date if (i) the applicable series of Debentures is no longer outstanding and the Company has fulfilled its obligations under the new RCCs or they are no longer applicable, (ii) the holders of a majority of the then-outstanding principal amount of the then-effective series of covered debt consent to agree to the termination of the new RCCs, (iii) the Company does not have any series of outstanding debt that is eligible to be treated as covered debt under the new RCCs, (iv) the applicable series of Debentures is accelerated as a result of an event of default, (v) certain rating agency or change in control events occur, (vi) S&P, or any successor thereto, no longer assigns a solicited rating on senior debt issued or guaranteed by the Company, or (vii) the termination of the new RCCs would have no effect on the equity credit provided by S&P with respect to the Debentures. An event of default, as defined by the supplemental indenture, includes default in the payment of interest or principal and bankruptcy proceedings.

The Company is the primary beneficiary of a consolidated VIE used to acquire up to 19 automotive collision repair stores ("synthetic lease"). In 2011, the Company renewed the synthetic lease for a three-year term at a floating rate due 2014. The Company's Consolidated Statements of Financial Position include \$29 million and \$30 million of property and equipment, net and \$44 million and \$44 million of long-term debt as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

To manage short-term liquidity, the Company maintains a commercial paper program and a credit facility as a potential source of funds. These include a \$1.00 billion unsecured revolving credit facility and a commercial paper program with a borrowing limit of \$1.00 billion. The Company has the option to extend the expiration of its initial five year term by one year at the first and second anniversary of the facility, upon approval of existing or replacement lenders. In April 2013, the Company utilized the option on the first anniversary of the facility and extended the facility by one year making its current expiration April 2018. This facility contains an increase provision that would allow up to an additional \$500 million of borrowing. This facility has a financial covenant requiring the Company not to exceed a 37.5% debt to capitalization ratio as defined in the agreement. Although the right to borrow under the facility is not subject to a minimum rating requirement, the costs of maintaining the facility and borrowing under it are based on the ratings of the Company's senior unsecured, unguaranteed long-term debt. The total amount outstanding at any point in time under the combination of the commercial paper program and the credit facility cannot exceed the amount that can be borrowed under the credit facility. No amounts were outstanding under the credit facility as of December 31, 2013 or 2012. The Company had no commercial paper outstanding as of December 31, 2013 or 2012.

The Company paid \$361 million, \$366 million and \$363 million of interest on debt in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

During 2012, the Company filed a universal shelf registration statement with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") that expires in 2015. The registration statement covers an unspecified amount of securities and can be used to issue debt securities, common stock, preferred stock, depositary shares, warrants, stock purchase contracts, stock purchase units and securities of trust subsidiaries.

Common stock

The Company had 900 million shares of issued common stock of which 449 million shares were outstanding and 451 million shares were held in treasury as of December 31, 2013. In 2013, the Company reacquired 38 million shares at an average cost of \$49.29 and reissued 8 million shares under equity incentive plans.

Preferred stock

On June 12, 2013, the Company issued 11,500 shares of 5.625% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A, with a \$1.00 par value per share and a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, for gross proceeds of \$287.5 million. The proceeds of this issuance were used to fund the repurchase of debt and for general corporate purposes.

On September 30, 2013, the Company issued 15,400 shares of 6.75% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series C, with a \$1.00 par value per share and a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, for gross proceeds of \$385 million. The proceeds of this issuance were used for general corporate purposes, including to prefund the repayment of debt maturing in 2014.

Table of Contents

In December 2013, the Company issued 5,400 shares of 6.625% Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series D, with a \$1.00 par value per share and a liquidation preference of \$25,000 per share, for gross proceeds of \$135 million. The proceeds of this issuance were used for general corporate purposes.

The preferred stock ranks senior to the Company's common stock with respect to the payment of dividends and liquidation rights. The Company will pay dividends on the preferred stock on a noncumulative basis only when, as and if declared by the Company's board of directors (or a duly authorized committee of the board) and to the extent that the Company has legally available funds to pay dividends. If dividends are declared on the preferred stock, they will be payable quarterly in arrears at an annual fixed rate. Dividends on the preferred stock are not cumulative. Accordingly, in the event dividends are not declared on the preferred stock for payment on any dividend payment date, then those dividends will cease to be payable. If the Company has not declared a dividend before the dividend payment date for any dividend period, the Company has no obligation to pay dividends for that dividend period, whether or not dividends are declared for any future dividend period. No dividends may be paid or declared on the Company's common stock and no shares of the Company's common stock may be repurchased unless the full dividends for the latest completed dividend period on the preferred stock have been declared and paid or provided for.

The Company is prohibited from declaring or paying dividends on preferred stock in excess of the amount of net proceeds from an issuance of common stock taking place within 90 days before a dividend declaration date if, on that dividend declaration date, either: (1) the risk-based capital ratios of largest U.S. property-casualty insurance subsidiaries that collectively account for 80% or more of the net written premiums of U.S. property-casualty insurance business on a weighted average basis were less than 175% of their company action level risk-based capital as of the end of the most recent year; or (2) consolidated net income for the four-quarter period ending on the preliminary quarter end test date (the quarter that is two quarters prior to the most recently completed quarter) is zero or negative and consolidated shareholders' equity (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income, and subject to certain other adjustments relating to changes in U.S. GAAP) as of each of the preliminary quarter test date and the most recently completed quarter has declined by 20% or more from its level as measured at the end of the benchmark quarter (the date that is ten quarters prior to the most recently completed quarter). If the Company fails to satisfy either of these tests on any dividend declaration date, the restrictions on dividends will continue until the Company is able again to satisfy the test on a dividend declaration date. In addition, in the case of a restriction arising under (2) above, the restrictions on dividends will continue until consolidated shareholders' equity (excluding accumulated other comprehensive income, and subject to certain other adjustments relating to changes in U.S. GAAP) has increased, or has declined by less than 20%, in either case as compared to its level at the end of the benchmark quarter for each dividend payment date as to which dividend restrictions were imposed.

The preferred stock does not have voting rights except with respect to certain changes in the terms of the preferred stock, in the case of certain dividend nonpayments, certain other fundamental corporate events, mergers or consolidations and as otherwise provided by law. If and when dividends have not been declared and paid in full for at least six quarterly dividend periods or their equivalent (whether or not consecutive), the authorized number of directors then constituting our board of directors will be increased by two. The holders of the preferred stock, together with the holders of all other affected classes and series of voting parity stock, voting as a single class, will be entitled to elect the two additional members of the board of directors of the Company, subject to certain conditions. The board of directors shall at no time have more than two preferred stock directors.

The preferred stock is perpetual and has no maturity date. The preferred stock is redeemable at the Company's option in whole or in part, on or after June 15, 2018 for Series A, October 15, 2018 for Series C and April 15, 2019 for Series D, at a redemption price of \$25,000 per share of preferred stock, plus declared and unpaid dividends. Prior to June 15, 2018 for Series A, October 15, 2018 for Series C and April 15, 2019 for Series D, the preferred stock is redeemable at the Company's option, in whole but not in part, within 90 days of the occurrence of certain rating agency events at a redemption price equal to \$25,000 per share or, if greater, a make-whole redemption price, plus declared and unpaid dividends.

14. Company Restructuring

The Company undertakes various programs to reduce expenses. These programs generally involve a reduction in staffing levels, and in certain cases, office closures. Restructuring and related charges include employee termination and relocation benefits, and post-exit rent expenses in connection with these programs, and non-cash charges resulting from pension benefit payments made to agents in connection with the 1999 reorganization of Allstate's multiple agency programs to a single exclusive agency program. The expenses related to these activities are included in the Consolidated Statements of Operations as restructuring and related charges, and totaled \$70 million, \$34 million and \$44 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Restructuring and related charges in 2013 primarily related to the technology organization, which is changing its organizational structure by leveraging centralization, global sourcing and automation to meet contemporary business needs; the closure of a contact center; exiting the annuity business; and claim office consolidation.

Table of Contents

The following table presents changes in the restructuring liability in 2013.

| (\$ in millions) | Employee costs | Exit costs | Total liability |
|------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|--------------------|
| Balance as of December 31, 2012 | \$ 6 | \$ 3 | \$ 9 |
| Expense incurred | 45 | 5 | 50 |
| Adjustments to liability | (2) | | (2) |
| Payments applied against liability | (28) | (5) | (33) |
| | | | |
| Balance as of December 31, 2013 | \$ 21 | \$ 3 | \$ 24 |

The payments applied against the liability for employee costs primarily reflect severance costs, and the payments for exit costs generally consist of post-exit rent expenses and contract termination penalties. As of December 31, 2013, the cumulative amount incurred to date for active programs totaled \$115 million for employee costs and \$54 million for exit costs.

15. Commitments, Guarantees and Contingent Liabilities**Leases**

The Company leases certain office facilities and computer equipment. Total rent expense for all leases was \$192 million, \$243 million and \$256 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Minimum rental commitments under noncancelable capital and operating leases with an initial or remaining term of more than one year as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Capital leases | Operating leases |
|---|-------------------|---------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 17 | \$ 145 |
| 2015 | 7 | 118 |
| 2016 | 7 | 90 |
| 2017 | 2 | 55 |
| 2018 | 2 | 36 |
| Thereafter | 9 | 89 |
| | | |
| Total | \$ 44 | \$ 533 |
| | | |
| Present value of minimum capital lease payments | \$ 38 | |

Shared markets and state facility assessments

The Company is required to participate in assigned risk plans, reinsurance facilities and joint underwriting associations in various states that provide insurance coverage to individuals or entities that otherwise are unable to purchase such coverage from private insurers. Underwriting results related to these arrangements, which tend to be adverse, have been immaterial to the Company's results of operations. Because of the Company's participation, it may be exposed to losses that surpass the capitalization of these facilities and/or assessments from these facilities.

Florida Citizens

Castle Key is subject to assessments from Citizens Property Insurance Corporation in the state of Florida ("FL Citizens"), which was initially created by the state of Florida to provide insurance to property owners unable to obtain coverage in the private insurance market. FL Citizens, at the discretion and direction of its Board of Governors ("FL Citizens Board"), can levy a regular assessment on assessable insurers and assessable insureds for a deficit in any calendar year up to a maximum of the greater of: 2% of the projected deficit or 2% of the aggregate statewide direct written premium for the prior calendar year. The base of assessable insurers includes all property and casualty premiums in the state, except workers' compensation, medical malpractice, accident and health insurance and policies written under the NFIP. An insurer may recoup a regular assessment through a surcharge to policyholders. In order to recoup this assessment, an insurer must file for a policy surcharge with the Florida Office of Insurance Regulation ("FL OIR") at least fifteen days prior to imposing the surcharge on policies. If a deficit remains after the regular assessment, FL Citizens can also levy emergency assessments in the current and subsequent years. Companies are

Table of Contents

required to collect the emergency assessments directly from residential property policyholders and remit to FL Citizens as collected.

Louisiana Citizens

The Company is also subject to assessments from Louisiana Citizens Property Insurance Corporation ("LA Citizens"). LA Citizens can levy a regular assessment on participating companies for a deficit in any calendar year up to a maximum of the greater of 10% of the calendar year deficit or 10% of Louisiana direct property premiums industry-wide for the prior calendar year.

Florida Hurricane Catastrophe Fund

Castle Key participates in the mandatory coverage provided by the FHCF and therefore has access to reimbursements on certain qualifying Florida hurricane losses from the FHCF (see Note 11), has exposure to assessments and pays annual premiums to the FHCF for this reimbursement protection. The FHCF has the authority to issue bonds to pay its obligations to insurers participating in the mandatory coverage in excess of its capital balances. Payment of these bonds is funded by emergency assessments on all property and casualty premiums in the state, except workers' compensation, medical malpractice, accident and health insurance and policies written under the NFIP. The FHCF emergency assessments are limited to 6% of premiums per year beginning the first year in which reimbursements require bonding, and up to a total of 10% of premiums per year for assessments in the second and subsequent years, if required to fund additional bonding. The FHCF issued \$625 million in bonds in 2008, and the FL OIR ordered an emergency assessment of 1% of premiums collected for all policies renewed after January 1, 2007. The FHCF issued \$676 million in bonds in 2010 and the FL OIR ordered an emergency assessment of 1.3% of premiums collected for all policies written or renewed after January 1, 2011. The FHCF issued \$2 billion in pre-event bonds in 2013 to build their capacity to reimburse member companies' claims. The FHCF plans to fund these pre-event bonds through current FHCF cash flows. In the event the FL OIR were to issue an order for emergency assessments related to these pre-event bonds, companies would collect the assessments from policyholders and remit them to the FHCF as collected.

Facilities such as FL Citizens, LA Citizens and the FHCF are generally designed so that the ultimate cost is borne by policyholders; however, the exposure to assessments from these facilities and the availability of recoupments or premium rate increases may not offset each other in the Company's financial statements. Moreover, even if they do offset each other, they may not offset each other in financial statements for the same fiscal period due to the ultimate timing of the assessments and recoupments or premium rate increases, as well as the possibility of policies not being renewed in subsequent years.

California Earthquake Authority

Exposure to certain potential losses from earthquakes in California is limited by the Company's participation in the California Earthquake Authority ("CEA"), which provides insurance for California earthquake losses. The CEA is a privately-financed, publicly-managed state agency created to provide insurance coverage for earthquake damage. Insurers selling homeowners insurance in California are required to offer earthquake insurance to their customers either through their company or by participation in the CEA. The Company's homeowners policies continue to include coverages for losses caused by explosions, theft, glass breakage and fires following an earthquake, which are not underwritten by the CEA.

As of September 30, 2013, the CEA's capital balance was approximately \$4.43 billion. Should losses arising from an earthquake cause a deficit in the CEA, additional funding would be obtained from the proceeds of revenue bonds the CEA may issue, an existing \$3.12 billion reinsurance layer, and finally, if needed, assessments on participating insurance companies. Participating insurers are required to pay an assessment, currently estimated not to exceed \$1.66 billion, if the capital of the CEA falls below \$350 million. Participating insurers are required to pay a second additional assessment, currently estimated not to exceed \$385 million, if aggregate CEA earthquake losses exceed \$9.90 billion and the capital of the CEA falls below \$350 million. Within the limits previously described, the assessment could be intended to restore the CEA's capital to a level of \$350 million. There is no provision that allows insurers to recover assessments through a premium surcharge or other mechanism. The CEA's projected aggregate claim paying capacity is \$9.90 billion as of September 30, 2013 and if an event were to result in claims greater than its capacity, affected policyholders would be paid a prorated portion of their covered losses.

All future assessments on participating CEA insurers are based on their CEA insurance market share as of December 31 of the preceding year. As of December 31, 2012, the Company's share of the CEA was 14.8%. The Company does not expect its CEA market share to materially change. At this level, the Company's maximum possible CEA assessment would be \$303 million during 2014. Accordingly, assessments from the CEA for a particular quarter or

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

annual period may be material to the results of operations and cash flows, but not the financial position of the Company. Management believes the Company's exposure to earthquake losses in California has been significantly reduced as a result of its participation in the CEA.

Texas Windstorm Insurance Association

The Company participates as a member of the Texas Windstorm Insurance Association ("TWIA") which provides wind and hail coverage to coastal risks unable to procure coverage in the voluntary market. Wind and hail coverage is written on a TWIA-issued policy. Under current law, as amended in 2009, to the extent losses exceed premiums and reinsurance, TWIA follows a funding structure first utilizing funds set aside from periods (including prior years) in which premiums exceeded losses. Once those funds and available reinsurance are utilized, TWIA will issue up to \$1 billion of securities, 30% of which will be repaid by participating insurers assessments and 70% of which will be repaid by surcharges on coastal property policies. After those funds are depleted, TWIA can issue \$500 million of securities which will be repaid by participating insurer assessments. Participating companies' maximum assessment is capped at \$800 million annually. The Company's current participation ratio is approximately 13% based upon its proportion of the premiums written. The TWIA board has not indicated the likelihood of any possible future assessments to insurers at this time. However, assessments from TWIA for a particular quarter or annual period may be material to the results of operations and cash flows, but not the financial position of the Company.

New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund

The New Jersey Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund ("NJUCJF") provides compensation to qualified claimants for bodily injury or death caused by private passenger automobiles operated by uninsured or "hit and run" drivers. The fund also provides reimbursement to insurers for the medical benefits portion of personal injury protection coverage paid in excess of \$75,000 with no limits for policies issued or renewed prior to January 1, 1991 and in excess of \$75,000 and capped at \$250,000 for policies issued or renewed from January 1, 1991 to December 31, 2004. NJUCJF expenses are assessed on companies writing motor vehicle liability insurance in New Jersey annually based on their private passenger and commercial automobile written premiums. The NJUCJF was merged into the New Jersey Property Liability Guaranty Association who collects the assessments. Assessments to the Company totaled \$9 million in 2013.

North Carolina Reinsurance Facility

The North Carolina Reinsurance Facility ("NCRF") provides automobile liability insurance to drivers that insurers are not otherwise willing to insure. All insurers licensed to write and engaged in writing automobile insurance in North Carolina are members of the NCRF. Premium, losses and expenses ceded to the NCRF are shared by the member companies in proportion to their respective North Carolina automobile liability writings. Member companies are assessed based on their participation ratios when necessary to offset NCRF operating losses. As of December 31, 2013, the NCRF reported a surplus of \$53 million in members' equity to cover future losses.

North Carolina Joint Underwriters Association

The North Carolina Joint Underwriters Association ("NCJUA") was created to provide property insurance to those unable to buy coverage in the standard insurance market. All licensed property insurers in the state assume plan results based on a market share participation ratio. Assessments are made when plan deficits occur. As of December 31, 2013, the Company has a \$2 million receivable from the NCJUA reflecting a plan surplus from all open years.

North Carolina Insurance Underwriting Association

The North Carolina Insurance Underwriting Association ("NCIUA") provides windstorm and hail coverage as well as homeowners policies for properties located in the state's beach and coastal areas. All licensed residential and commercial property insurers in the state participate based on a market share participation ratio which varies by coverage. Insurers are assessed for plan deficits. The plan currently has a surplus. Legislation in 2009 capped insurers' assessments for losses incurred in any year at \$1 billion. Subsequent to an industry assessment of \$1 billion, if the plan continues to require funding, it may authorize insurers to assess a 10% surcharge on each property insurance policy statewide to be remitted to the plan.

Guaranty funds

Under state insurance guaranty fund laws, insurers doing business in a state can be assessed, up to prescribed limits, for certain obligations of insolvent insurance companies to policyholders and claimants. Amounts assessed to each company are typically related to its proportion of business written in each state. The Company's policy is to accrue assessments when the entity for which the insolvency relates has met its state of domicile's statutory definition of insolvency, the amount of the loss is reasonably estimable and the related premium upon which the assessment is based

Table of Contents

is written. In most states, the definition is met with a declaration of financial insolvency by a court of competent jurisdiction. In certain states there must also be a final order of liquidation. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, the liability balance included in other liabilities and accrued expenses was \$36 million and \$49 million, respectively. The related premium tax offsets included in other assets were \$31 million and \$32 million as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

PMI runoff support agreement

The Company has certain limited rights and obligations under a capital support agreement ("Runoff Support Agreement") with PMI Mortgage Insurance Company ("PMI"), the primary operating subsidiary of PMI Group, related to the Company's disposition of PMI in prior years. Under the Runoff Support Agreement, the Company would be required to pay claims on PMI policies written prior to October 28, 1994 if PMI fails certain financial covenants and fails to pay such claims. The agreement only covers these policies and not any policies issued on or after that date. In the event any amounts are so paid, the Company would receive a commensurate amount of preferred stock or subordinated debt of PMI Group or PMI. The Runoff Support Agreement also restricts PMI's ability to write new business and pay dividends under certain circumstances. On October 20, 2011, the Director of the Arizona Department of Insurance took control of the PMI insurance companies; effective October 24, 2011, the Director instituted a partial claim payment plan: claim payments will be made at 50%, with the remaining amount deferred as a policyholder claim. The effect of these developments to the Company is uncertain. The Company has not received any notices or requests for payments under this agreement. Management does not believe these developments will have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

Guarantees

The Company provides residual value guarantees on Company leased automobiles. If all outstanding leases were terminated effective December 31, 2013, the Company's maximum obligation pursuant to these guarantees, assuming the automobiles have no residual value, would be \$40 million as of December 31, 2013. The remaining term of each residual value guarantee is equal to the term of the underlying lease that ranges from less than one year to three years. Historically, the Company has not made any material payments pursuant to these guarantees.

The Company owns certain fixed income securities that obligate the Company to exchange credit risk or to forfeit principal due, depending on the nature or occurrence of specified credit events for the reference entities. In the event all such specified credit events were to occur, the Company's maximum amount at risk on these fixed income securities, as measured by the amount of the aggregate initial investment, was \$5 million as of December 31, 2013. The obligations associated with these fixed income securities expire at various dates on or before March 11, 2018.

Related to the disposal through reinsurance of substantially all of Allstate Financial's variable annuity business to Prudential in 2006, the Company and its consolidated subsidiaries, ALIC and ALNY, have agreed to indemnify Prudential for certain pre-closing contingent liabilities (including extra-contractual liabilities of ALIC and ALNY and liabilities specifically excluded from the transaction) that ALIC and ALNY have agreed to retain. In addition, the Company, ALIC and ALNY will each indemnify Prudential for certain post-closing liabilities that may arise from the acts of ALIC, ALNY and their agents, including in connection with ALIC's and ALNY's provision of transition services. The reinsurance agreements contain no limitations or indemnifications with regard to insurance risk transfer, and transferred all of the future risks and responsibilities for performance on the underlying variable annuity contracts to Prudential, including those related to benefit guarantees. Management does not believe this agreement will have a material effect on results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

In the normal course of business, the Company provides standard indemnifications to contractual counterparties in connection with numerous transactions, including acquisitions and divestitures. The types of indemnifications typically provided include indemnifications for breaches of representations and warranties, taxes and certain other liabilities, such as third party lawsuits. The indemnification clauses are often standard contractual terms and are entered into in the normal course of business based on an assessment that the risk of loss would be remote. The terms of the indemnifications vary in duration and nature. In many cases, the maximum obligation is not explicitly stated and the contingencies triggering the obligation to indemnify have not occurred and are not expected to occur. Consequently, the maximum amount of the obligation under such indemnifications is not determinable. Historically, the Company has not made any material payments pursuant to these obligations.

The aggregate liability balance related to all guarantees was not material as of December 31, 2013.

Table of Contents

Regulation and Compliance

The Company is subject to changing social, economic and regulatory conditions. From time to time, regulatory authorities or legislative bodies seek to influence and restrict premium rates, require premium refunds to policyholders, require reinstatement of terminated policies, restrict the ability of insurers to cancel or non-renew policies, require insurers to continue to write new policies or limit their ability to write new policies, limit insurers' ability to change coverage terms or to impose underwriting standards, impose additional regulations regarding agent and broker compensation, regulate the nature of and amount of investments, and otherwise expand overall regulation of insurance products and the insurance industry. The Company has established procedures and policies to facilitate compliance with laws and regulations, to foster prudent business operations, and to support financial reporting. The Company routinely reviews its practices to validate compliance with laws and regulations and with internal procedures and policies. As a result of these reviews, from time to time the Company may decide to modify some of its procedures and policies. Such modifications, and the reviews that led to them, may be accompanied by payments being made and costs being incurred. The ultimate changes and eventual effects of these actions on the Company's business, if any, are uncertain.

Legal and regulatory proceedings and inquiries

The Company and certain subsidiaries are involved in a number of lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings arising out of various aspects of its business.

Background

These matters raise difficult and complicated factual and legal issues and are subject to many uncertainties and complexities, including the underlying facts of each matter; novel legal issues; variations between jurisdictions in which matters are being litigated, heard, or investigated; differences in applicable laws and judicial interpretations; the length of time before many of these matters might be resolved by settlement, through litigation, or otherwise; the fact that some of the lawsuits are putative class actions in which a class has not been certified and in which the purported class may not be clearly defined; the fact that some of the lawsuits involve multi-state class actions in which the applicable law(s) for the claims at issue is in dispute and therefore unclear; and the current challenging legal environment faced by large corporations and insurance companies.

The outcome of these matters may be affected by decisions, verdicts, and settlements, and the timing of such decisions, verdicts, and settlements, in other individual and class action lawsuits that involve the Company, other insurers, or other entities and by other legal, governmental, and regulatory actions that involve the Company, other insurers, or other entities. The outcome may also be affected by future state or federal legislation, the timing or substance of which cannot be predicted.

In the lawsuits, plaintiffs seek a variety of remedies which may include equitable relief in the form of injunctive and other remedies and monetary relief in the form of contractual and extra-contractual damages. In some cases, the monetary damages sought may include punitive or treble damages. Often specific information about the relief sought, such as the amount of damages, is not available because plaintiffs have not requested specific relief in their pleadings. When specific monetary demands are made, they are often set just below a state court jurisdictional limit in order to seek the maximum amount available in state court, regardless of the specifics of the case, while still avoiding the risk of removal to federal court. In Allstate's experience, monetary demands in pleadings bear little relation to the ultimate loss, if any, to the Company.

In connection with regulatory examinations and proceedings, government authorities may seek various forms of relief, including penalties, restitution, and changes in business practices. The Company may not be advised of the nature and extent of relief sought until the final stages of the examination or proceeding.

Accrual and disclosure policy

The Company reviews its lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings on an ongoing basis and follows appropriate accounting guidance when making accrual and disclosure decisions. The Company establishes accruals for such matters at management's best estimate when the Company assesses that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company does not establish accruals for such matters when the Company does not believe both that it is probable that a loss has been incurred and the amount of the loss can be reasonably estimated. The Company's assessment of whether a loss is reasonably possible or probable is based on its assessment of the ultimate outcome of the matter following all appeals. The Company does not include potential recoveries in its estimates of reasonably possible or probable losses. Legal fees are expensed as incurred.

Table of Contents

The Company continues to monitor its lawsuits, regulatory inquiries, and other legal proceedings for further developments that would make the loss contingency both probable and estimable, and accordingly accruable, or that could affect the amount of accruals that have been previously established. There may continue to be exposure to loss in excess of any amount accrued. Disclosure of the nature and amount of an accrual is made when there have been sufficient legal and factual developments such that the Company's ability to resolve the matter would not be impaired by the disclosure of the amount of accrual.

When the Company assesses it is reasonably possible or probable that a loss has been incurred, it discloses the matter. When it is possible to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, for the matters disclosed, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed. Disclosure is not required when an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss cannot be made.

For certain of the matters described below in the "Claims related proceedings" and "Other proceedings" subsections, the Company is able to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any. In determining whether it is possible to estimate the reasonably possible loss or range of loss, the Company reviews and evaluates the disclosed matters, in conjunction with counsel, in light of potentially relevant factual and legal developments.

These developments may include information learned through the discovery process, rulings on dispositive motions, settlement discussions, information obtained from other sources, experience from managing these and other matters, and other rulings by courts, arbitrators or others. When the Company possesses sufficient appropriate information to develop an estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, that estimate is aggregated and disclosed below. There may be other disclosed matters for which a loss is probable or reasonably possible but such an estimate is not possible. Disclosure of the estimate of the reasonably possible loss or range of loss above the amount accrued, if any, for any individual matter would only be considered when there have been sufficient legal and factual developments such that the Company's ability to resolve the matter would not be impaired by the disclosure of the individual estimate.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company estimates that the aggregate range of reasonably possible loss in excess of the amount accrued, if any, for the disclosed matters where such an estimate is possible is zero to \$810 million, pre-tax. This disclosure is not an indication of expected loss, if any. Under accounting guidance, an event is "reasonably possible" if "the chance of the future event or events occurring is more than remote but less than likely" and an event is "remote" if "the chance of the future event or events occurring is slight." This estimate is based upon currently available information and is subject to significant judgment and a variety of assumptions, and known and unknown uncertainties. The matters underlying the estimate will change from time to time, and actual results may vary significantly from the current estimate. The estimate does not include matters or losses for which an estimate is not possible. Therefore, this estimate represents an estimate of possible loss only for certain matters meeting these criteria. It does not represent the Company's maximum possible loss exposure. Information is provided below regarding the nature of all of the disclosed matters and, where specified, the amount, if any, of plaintiff claims associated with these loss contingencies.

Due to the complexity and scope of the matters disclosed in the "Claims related proceedings" and "Other proceedings" subsections below and the many uncertainties that exist, the ultimate outcome of these matters cannot be predicted. In the event of an unfavorable outcome in one or more of these matters, the ultimate liability may be in excess of amounts currently accrued, if any, and may be material to the Company's operating results or cash flows for a particular quarterly or annual period. However, based on information currently known to it, management believes that the ultimate outcome of all matters described below, as they are resolved over time, is not likely to have a material effect on the financial position of the Company.

Claims related proceedings

Allstate is vigorously defending a class action lawsuit in Montana state court challenging aspects of its claim handling practices in Montana. The plaintiff alleges that the Company adjusts claims made by individuals who do not have attorneys in a manner that unfairly resulted in lower payments compared to claimants who were represented by attorneys. In January 2012, the court certified a class of Montana claimants who were not represented by attorneys with respect to the resolution of auto accident claims. The court certified the class to cover an indefinite period that commences in the mid-1990's. The certified claims include claims for declaratory judgment, injunctive relief and punitive damages in an unspecified amount. Injunctive relief may include a claim process by which unrepresented claimants could request that their claims be readjusted. No compensatory damages are sought on behalf of the class. The Company appealed the order certifying the class. In August 2013, the Montana Supreme Court affirmed in part, and reversed in part, the lower court's order granting plaintiff's motion for class certification and remanded the case for trial.

Table of Contents

The Company petitioned for rehearing of the Montana Supreme Court's decision, which the Court denied. On January 30, 2014, the Company timely filed a petition for a writ of certiorari with the U.S. Supreme Court seeking review of the Montana Supreme Court's decision. To date no discovery has occurred related to the potential value of the class members' claims. The Company has asserted various defenses with respect to the plaintiff's claims, which have not been finally resolved. In the Company's judgment a loss is not probable.

Other proceedings

The Company is defending certain matters relating to the Company's agency program reorganization announced in 1999. Although these cases have been pending for many years, they currently are in the early stages of litigation because of appellate court proceedings and threshold procedural issues.

These matters include a lawsuit filed in 2001 by the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC") alleging retaliation under federal civil rights laws ("EEOC I") and a class action filed in 2001 by former employee agents alleging retaliation and age discrimination under the Age Discrimination in Employment Act ("ADEA"), breach of contract and ERISA violations ("Romero I"). In 2004, in the consolidated EEOC I and Romero I litigation, the trial court issued a memorandum and order that, among other things, certified classes of agents, including a mandatory class of agents who had signed a release, for purposes of effecting the court's declaratory judgment that the release was voidable at the option of the release signer. The court also ordered that an agent who voided the release must return to Allstate "any and all benefits received by the [agent] in exchange for signing the release." The court also stated that, "on the undisputed facts of record, there is no basis for claims of age discrimination." The EEOC and plaintiffs asked the court to clarify and/or reconsider its memorandum and order and in January 2007, the judge denied their request. In June 2007, the court reversed its prior ruling that the release was voidable and granted the Company's motions for summary judgment, ruling that the asserted claims were barred by the release signed by most plaintiffs. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Third Circuit ("Third Circuit"). In July 2009, the Third Circuit vacated the trial court's entry of summary judgment in the Company's favor and remanded the cases to the trial court for additional discovery, including additional discovery related to the validity of the release and waiver. In its opinion, the Third Circuit held that if the release and waiver is held to be valid, then all of the claims in Romero I and EEOC I are barred. Thus, if the waiver and release is upheld, then only the claims in Romero I asserted by the small group of employee agents who did not sign the release and waiver would remain for adjudication. In January 2010, following the remand, the cases were assigned to a new judge for further proceedings in the trial court. Plaintiffs filed their Second Amended Complaint on July 28, 2010. Plaintiffs seek broad but unspecified "make whole relief," including back pay, compensatory and punitive damages, liquidated damages, lost investment capital, attorneys' fees and costs, and equitable relief, including reinstatement to employee agent status with all attendant benefits for up to approximately 6,500 former employee agents. Despite the length of time that these matters have been pending, to date only limited discovery has occurred related to the damages claimed by individual plaintiffs, and no damages discovery has occurred related to the claims of the putative class. Nor have plaintiffs provided any calculations of the putative class's alleged back pay or the alleged liquidated, compensatory or punitive damages, instead asserting that such calculations will be provided at a later stage during expert discovery. Damage claims are subject to reduction by amounts and benefits received by plaintiffs and putative class members subsequent to their employment termination. Little to no discovery has occurred with respect to amounts earned or received by plaintiffs and putative class members in mitigation of their alleged losses. Alleged damage amounts and lost benefits of the approximately 6,500 putative class members also are subject to individual variation and determination dependent upon retirement dates, participation in employee benefit programs, and years of service. Discovery limited to the validity of the waiver and release is closed. The parties filed cross motions for summary judgment with respect to the validity of the waiver and release on April 8, 2013, and are awaiting the Court's determination. At present, no class is certified.

A putative nationwide class action has also been filed by former employee agents alleging various violations of ERISA, including a worker classification issue ("Romero II"). These plaintiffs are challenging certain amendments to the Agents Pension Plan and are seeking to have exclusive agent independent contractors treated as employees for benefit purposes. Romero II was dismissed with prejudice by the trial court, was the subject of further proceedings on appeal, and was reversed and remanded to the trial court in 2005. In June 2007, the court granted the Company's motion to dismiss the case. Plaintiffs filed a notice of appeal with the Third Circuit. In July 2009, the Third Circuit vacated the district court's dismissal of the case and remanded the case to the trial court for additional discovery, and directed that the case be reassigned to another trial court judge. In its opinion, the Third Circuit held that if the release and waiver is held to be valid, then one of plaintiffs'

Table of Contents

three claims asserted in Romero II is barred. The Third Circuit directed the district court to consider on remand whether the other two claims asserted in Romero II are barred by the release and waiver. In January 2010, following the remand, the case was assigned to a new judge (the same judge for the Romero I and EEOC I cases) for further proceedings in the trial court. On April 23, 2010, plaintiffs filed their First Amended Complaint. Plaintiffs seek broad but unspecified "make whole" or other equitable relief, including losses of income and benefits as a result of their decision to retire from the Company Pension Plan with all attendant benefits revised and recalculated for thousands of former employee agents, and attorney's fees and costs. Despite the length of time that this matter has been pending, to date only limited discovery has occurred related to the damages claimed by individual plaintiffs, and no damages discovery has occurred related to the claims of the putative class. Nor have plaintiffs provided any calculations of the putative class's alleged losses, instead asserting that such calculations will be provided at a later stage during expert discovery. Damage claims are subject to reduction by amounts and benefits received by plaintiffs and putative class members subsequent to their employment termination. Little to no discovery has occurred with respect to amounts earned or received by plaintiffs and putative class members in mitigation of their alleged losses. Alleged damage amounts and lost benefits of the putative class members also are subject to individual variation and determination dependent upon retirement dates, participation in employee benefit programs, and years of service. As in Romero I and EEOC I, discovery limited to issues relating to the validity of the waiver and release is closed. The parties filed cross motions for summary judgment with respect to the validity of the waiver and release on April 8, 2013, and are awaiting the Court's determination. At present, class certification has not been decided.

In these agency program reorganization matters, the threshold issue of the validity and scope of the waiver and release is yet to be decided and, if decided in favor of the Company, would preclude any damages being awarded in Romero I and EEOC I and may also preclude damages from being awarded in Romero II. In the Company's judgment a loss is not probable. Allstate has been vigorously defending these lawsuits and other matters related to its agency program reorganization.

Asbestos and environmental

Allstate's reserves for asbestos claims were \$1.02 billion and \$1.03 billion, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$478 million and \$496 million, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Reserves for environmental claims were \$208 million and \$193 million, net of reinsurance recoverables of \$60 million and \$48 million, as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. Approximately 55% and 58% of the total net asbestos and environmental reserves as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively, were for incurred but not reported estimated losses.

Management believes its net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines exposures are appropriately established based on available facts, technology, laws and regulations. However, establishing net loss reserves for asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims is subject to uncertainties that are much greater than those presented by other types of claims. The ultimate cost of losses may vary materially from recorded amounts, which are based on management's best estimate. Among the complications are lack of historical data, long reporting delays, uncertainty as to the number and identity of insureds with potential exposure and unresolved legal issues regarding policy coverage; unresolved legal issues regarding the determination, availability and timing of exhaustion of policy limits; plaintiffs' evolving and expanding theories of liability; availability and collectability of recoveries from reinsurance; retrospectively determined premiums and other contractual agreements; estimates of the extent and timing of any contractual liability; the impact of bankruptcy protection sought by various asbestos producers and other asbestos defendants; and other uncertainties. There are also complex legal issues concerning the interpretation of various insurance policy provisions and whether those losses are covered, or were ever intended to be covered, and could be recoverable through retrospectively determined premium, reinsurance or other contractual agreements. Courts have reached different and sometimes inconsistent conclusions as to when losses are deemed to have occurred and which policies provide coverage; what types of losses are covered; whether there is an insurer obligation to defend; how policy limits are determined; how policy exclusions and conditions are applied and interpreted; and whether clean-up costs represent insured property damage. Management believes these issues are not likely to be resolved in the near future, and the ultimate costs may vary materially from the amounts currently recorded resulting in material changes in loss reserves. In addition, while the Company believes that improved actuarial techniques and databases have assisted in its ability to estimate asbestos, environmental, and other discontinued lines net loss reserves, these refinements may subsequently prove to be inadequate indicators of the extent of probable losses. Due to the uncertainties and factors described above, management believes it is not practicable to develop a meaningful range for any such additional net loss reserves that may be required.

Table of Contents**16. Income Taxes**

The Company and its domestic subsidiaries file a consolidated federal income tax return. Tax liabilities and benefits realized by the consolidated group are allocated as generated by the respective entities.

The Internal Revenue Service ("IRS") is currently examining the Company's 2011 and 2012 federal income tax returns. The IRS has completed its examination of the Company's 2009 and 2010 federal income tax returns and issued a Revenue Agent's Report on April 15, 2013. The Company protested certain of the adjustments contained in the report and the case was forwarded to Appeals on June 13, 2013. The IRS has also completed its examinations of the Company's federal income tax returns for the years 2005-2008 and a final settlement for those years has been approved by the Joint Committee on Taxation. The Company's tax years prior to 2005 have been examined by the IRS and the statute of limitations has expired on those years. Any adjustments that may result from IRS examinations of tax returns are not expected to have a material effect on the results of operations, cash flows or financial position of the Company.

The reconciliation of the change in the amount of unrecognized tax benefits for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|-------|-------|-------|
| Balance beginning of year | \$ 25 | \$ 25 | \$ 25 |
| Increase for tax positions taken in a prior year | 1 | | |
| Decrease for tax positions taken in a prior year | | | |
| Increase for tax positions taken in the current year | | | |
| Decrease for tax positions taken in the current year | | | |
| (Decrease) increase for settlements | (26) | | |
| Reductions due to lapse of statute of limitations | | | |
| | | | |
| Balance end of year | \$ | \$ 25 | \$ 25 |

The Company believes it is reasonably possible that the liability balance will not significantly increase within the next twelve months. Because of the impact of deferred tax accounting, recognition of previously unrecognized tax benefits is not expected to impact the Company's effective tax rate.

The Company recognizes interest accrued related to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense. The Company did not record interest income or expense relating to unrecognized tax benefits in income tax expense in 2013, 2012 or 2011. As of December 31, 2013 and 2012, there was no interest accrued with respect to unrecognized tax benefits. No amounts have been accrued for penalties.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The components of the deferred income tax assets and liabilities as of December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 |
|---|----------|----------|
| Deferred assets | | |
| Unearned premium reserves | \$ 722 | \$ 666 |
| Discount on loss reserves | 238 | 280 |
| Accrued compensation | 226 | 212 |
| Difference in tax bases of invested assets | 223 | 353 |
| Sale of subsidiary | 196 | 27 |
| Other postretirement benefits | 105 | 218 |
| Pension | | 278 |
| Alternative minimum tax credit carryforward | | 165 |
| Other assets | 96 | 92 |
| | | |
| Total deferred assets | 1,806 | 2,291 |
| Deferred liabilities | | |
| DAC | (1,077) | (917) |
| Unrealized net capital gains | (849) | (1,527) |
| Life and annuity reserves | (206) | (130) |
| Pension | (136) | |
| Other liabilities | (324) | (314) |
| | | |
| Total deferred liabilities | (2,592) | (2,888) |
| Net deferred liability before classification as held for sale | (786) | (597) |
| Deferred taxes classified as held for sale | (151) | |
| | | |
| Net deferred liability | \$ (635) | \$ (597) |

Although realization is not assured, management believes it is more likely than not that the deferred tax assets will be realized based on the Company's assessment that the deductions ultimately recognized for tax purposes will be fully utilized.

As of December 31, 2013, the Company has net operating loss carryforwards of \$110 million which will expire at the end of 2014 through 2029.

The components of income tax expense for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--------------------------|----------|----------|--------|
| Current | \$ 869 | \$ 295 | \$ 14 |
| Deferred | 247 | 705 | 158 |
| | | | |
| Total income tax expense | \$ 1,116 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 172 |

The Company paid income taxes of \$500 million, \$280 million and \$32 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company had current income tax payable of \$203 million as of December 31, 2013 and current income tax receivable of \$157 million as of December 31, 2012.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

A reconciliation of the statutory federal income tax rate to the effective income tax rate on income from operations for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|
| Statutory federal income tax rate | 35.0% | 35.0% | 35.0% |
| Tax-exempt income | (1.8) | (3.0) | (13.6) |
| Tax credits | (2.2) | (1.4) | (2.1) |
| Dividends received deduction | (0.6) | (0.5) | (1.8) |
| Sale of subsidiary | 2.0 | | |
| Other | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.4 |
| Effective income tax rate | 32.9% | 30.3% | 17.9% |

Table of Contents**17. Statutory Financial Information and Dividend Limitations**

Allstate's domestic property-liability and life insurance subsidiaries prepare their statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with accounting practices prescribed or permitted by the insurance department of the applicable state of domicile. Prescribed statutory accounting practices include a variety of publications of the NAIC, as well as state laws, regulations and general administrative rules. Permitted statutory accounting practices encompass all accounting practices not so prescribed.

All states require domiciled insurance companies to prepare statutory-basis financial statements in conformity with the NAIC Accounting Practices and Procedures Manual, subject to any deviations prescribed or permitted by the applicable insurance commissioner and/or director. Statutory accounting practices differ from GAAP primarily since they require charging policy acquisition and certain sales inducement costs to expense as incurred, establishing life insurance reserves based on different actuarial assumptions, and valuing certain investments and establishing deferred taxes on a different basis.

Statutory net income and capital and surplus of Allstate's domestic insurance subsidiaries, determined in accordance with statutory accounting practices prescribed or permitted by insurance regulatory authorities are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Net income | | | Capital and surplus | |
|---|------------|----------|--------|---------------------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Amounts by major business type: | | | | | |
| Property-Liability ⁽¹⁾ | \$ 2,707 | \$ 2,014 | \$ 213 | \$ 15,256 | \$ 13,743 |
| Allstate Financial | 504 | 456 | (42) | 3,020 | 3,536 |
| Amount per statutory accounting practices | \$ 3,211 | \$ 2,470 | \$ 171 | \$ 18,276 | \$ 17,279 |

(1)

The Property-Liability statutory capital and surplus balances exclude wholly-owned subsidiaries included in the Allstate Financial segment.

Dividend Limitations

There are no regulatory restrictions that limit the payment of dividends by the Corporation, except those generally applicable to corporations incorporated in Delaware. Dividends are payable only out of certain components of shareholders' equity as permitted by Delaware law. However, the ability of the Corporation to pay dividends is dependent on business conditions, income, cash requirements of the Company, receipt of dividends from AIC and other relevant factors.

The payment of shareholder dividends by AIC without the prior approval of the Illinois Department of Insurance ("IL DOI") is limited to formula amounts based on net income and capital and surplus, determined in conformity with statutory accounting practices, as well as the timing and amount of dividends paid in the preceding twelve months. AIC paid dividends of \$1.95 billion in 2013. The maximum amount of dividends AIC will be able to pay without prior IL DOI approval at a given point in time during 2014 is \$2.47 billion, less dividends paid during the preceding twelve months measured at that point in time. The payment of a dividend in excess of this amount requires 30 days advance written notice to the IL DOI. The dividend is deemed approved, unless the IL DOI disapproves it within the 30 days notice period. Additionally, any dividend must be paid out of unassigned surplus excluding unrealized appreciation from investments, which for AIC totaled \$11.99 billion as of December 31, 2013, and cannot result in capital and surplus being less than the minimum amount required by law.

Under state insurance laws, insurance companies are required to maintain paid up capital of not less than the minimum capital requirement applicable to the types of insurance they are authorized to write. Insurance companies are also subject to risk-based capital ("RBC") requirements adopted by state insurance regulators. A company's "authorized control level RBC" is calculated using various factors applied to certain financial balances and activity. Companies that do not maintain statutory capital and surplus at a level in excess of the company action

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

level RBC, which is two times authorized control level RBC, are required to take specified actions. Company action level RBC is significantly in excess of the minimum capital requirements. Total statutory capital and surplus and authorized control level RBC of AIC were \$17.81 billion and \$2.69 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2013. Substantially all of the Corporation's insurance subsidiaries are subsidiaries of and/or reinsure all of their business to AIC, including ALIC. The subsidiaries are included as a component of AIC's total statutory capital and surplus.

The amount of restricted net assets, as represented by the Corporation's investment in its insurance subsidiaries, was \$25 billion as of December 31, 2013.

Intercompany transactions

Notification and approval of intercompany lending activities is also required by the IL DOI for transactions that exceed a level that is based on a formula using statutory admitted assets and statutory surplus.

Table of Contents**18. Benefit Plans****Pension and other postretirement plans**

Defined benefit pension plans cover most full-time employees, certain part-time employees and employee-agents. Benefits under the pension plans are based upon the employee's length of service, eligible annual compensation and, prior to January 1, 2014, either a cash balance or final average pay formula. A cash balance formula applies to all eligible employees hired after August 1, 2002. Eligible employees hired before August 1, 2002 chose between the cash balance formula and the final average pay formula. In July 2013, the Company amended its primary plans effective January 1, 2014 to introduce a new cash balance formula to replace the previous formulas (including the final average pay formula and the previous cash balance formula) under which eligible employees accrue benefits.

The Company also provides certain health care subsidies for eligible employees hired before January 1, 2003 when they retire and their eligible dependents and certain life insurance benefits for eligible employees hired before January 1, 2003 when they retire ("postretirement benefits"). Qualified employees may become eligible for these health care subsidies if they retire in accordance with the terms of the applicable plans and are continuously insured under the Company's group plans or other approved plans in accordance with the plan's participation requirements. The Company shares the cost of retiree medical benefits with non Medicare-eligible retirees based on years of service, with the Company's share being subject to a 5% limit on annual medical cost inflation after retirement. For Medicare-eligible retirees, the Company provides a fixed Company contribution based on years of service and other factors, which is not subject to adjustments for inflation. In July 2013, the Company amended the plan to eliminate the life insurance benefits effective January 1, 2014 for current eligible employees and effective January 1, 2016 for eligible retirees who retired after 1989.

The Company has reserved the right to modify or terminate its benefit plans at any time and for any reason.

Obligations and funded status

The Company calculates benefit obligations based upon generally accepted actuarial methodologies using the projected benefit obligation ("PBO") for pension plans and the accumulated postretirement benefit obligation ("APBO") for other postretirement plans. The determination of pension costs and other postretirement obligations are determined using a December 31 measurement date. The benefit obligations represent the actuarial present value of all benefits attributed to employee service rendered as of the measurement date. The PBO is measured using the pension benefit formulas and assumptions as to future compensation levels. A plan's funded status is calculated as the difference between the benefit obligation and the fair value of plan assets. The Company's funding policy for the pension plans is to make annual contributions at a level that is in accordance with regulations under the Internal Revenue Code ("IRC") and generally accepted actuarial principles. The Company's postretirement benefit plans are not funded.

The components of the plans' funded status that are reflected in the Consolidated Statements of Financial Position as of December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Pension benefits | | Postretirement benefits | |
|---|------------------|------------|-------------------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Fair value of plan assets | \$ 5,602 | \$ 5,398 | \$ | \$ |
| Less: Benefit obligation | 5,297 | 6,727 | 482 | 803 |
| Funded status | \$ 305 | \$ (1,329) | \$ (482) | \$ (803) |
| Items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic cost: | | | | |
| Net actuarial loss (gain) | \$ 1,794 | \$ 2,892 | \$ (236) | \$ (115) |
| Prior service credit | (480) | (1) | (106) | (129) |

Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost, pre-tax

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | |
|--|----------------|------------------|--------------|-------------|
| Deferred income tax | 1,314 (460) | 2,891 (1,012) | (342) 126 | (244) 94 |
| Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost | \$ 854 | \$ 1,879 | \$ (216) | \$ (150) |

The \$1.10 billion decrease in the pension net actuarial loss during 2013 is primarily related to an increase in the discount rate and the change in the plan formula relating to the pension plan amendments. The majority of the \$1.79 billion net actuarial pension benefit losses not yet recognized in 2013 reflects decreases in the discount rate and

Table of Contents

the effect of unfavorable equity market conditions on the value of the pension plan assets in prior years. The \$479 million increase in the pension prior service credit during 2013 resulted from the pension plan amendments to the benefit formula. The \$121 million increase in the OPEB net actuarial gain during 2013 primarily reflects the modified life insurance benefit due to the plan amendment and an increase in the discount rate for the medical plan.

The change in 2013 in items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic cost, which is recorded in unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost, is shown in the table below.

| (\$ in millions) | Pension benefits | Postretirement benefits |
|--|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic cost | | |
| December 31, 2012 | \$ 2,891 | \$ (244) |
| Net actuarial gain arising during the period | (579) | (32) |
| Net actuarial (loss) gain amortized to net periodic benefit cost | (512) | 16 |
| Prior service credit arising during the period | (506) | |
| Prior service credit amortized to net periodic benefit cost | 28 | 23 |
| Plan curtailment | | (104) |
| Translation adjustment and other | (8) | (1) |
| Items not yet recognized as a component of net periodic cost | | |
| December 31, 2013 | \$ 1,314 | \$ (342) |

The net actuarial loss (gain) is recognized as a component of net periodic cost amortized over the average remaining service period of active employees expected to receive benefits. Estimates of the net actuarial loss (gain) and prior service credit expected to be recognized as a component of net periodic benefit cost during 2014 are shown in the table below.

| (\$ in millions) | Pension benefits | Postretirement benefits |
|---------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| Net actuarial loss (gain) | \$ 115 | \$ (22) |
| Prior service credit | (58) | (23) |

The accumulated benefit obligation ("ABO") for all defined benefit pension plans was \$5.23 billion and \$6.09 billion as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, respectively. The ABO is the actuarial present value of all benefits attributed by the pension benefit formula to employee service rendered at the measurement date. However, it differs from the PBO due to the exclusion of an assumption as to future compensation levels.

The PBO, ABO and fair value of plan assets for the Company's pension plans with an ABO in excess of plan assets were \$146 million, \$145 million and zero, respectively, as of December 31, 2013 and \$6.35 billion, \$5.75 billion and \$5.02 billion, respectively, as of December 31, 2012. Included in the accrued benefit cost of the pension benefits are certain unfunded non-qualified plans with accrued benefit costs of \$146 million and \$146 million for 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The changes in benefit obligations for all plans for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Pension benefits | | | Postretirement benefits | | |
|---------------------------------------|------------------|----------|----|-------------------------|--------|--|
| | 2013 | 2012 | | 2013 | 2012 | |
| | | | | | | |
| Benefit obligation, beginning of year | \$ 6,727 | \$ 5,831 | \$ | \$ 803 | \$ 716 | |
| Service cost | 140 | 152 | | 12 | 13 | |
| Interest cost | 265 | 298 | | 28 | 36 | |
| Participant contributions | 1 | 1 | | 18 | 20 | |
| Actuarial (gain) loss | (406) | 756 | | (32) | 76 | |
| Benefits paid ⁽¹⁾ | (892) | (312) | | (57) | (59) | |
| Plan amendments | (506) | | | | | |
| Translation adjustment and other | (31) | 1 | | (5) | 1 | |
| Curtailement gain | (1) | | | (285) | | |
| Benefit obligation, end of year | \$ 5,297 | \$ 6,727 | \$ | \$ 482 | \$ 803 | |

(1) Benefits paid include lump sum distributions, a portion of which may trigger settlement accounting treatment.

Components of net periodic cost

The components of net periodic cost for all plans for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | Pension benefits | | | Postretirement benefits | | |
|--------------------------------|------------------|--------|--------|-------------------------|-------|--------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Service cost | \$ 140 | \$ 152 | \$ 151 | \$ 12 | \$ 13 | \$ 11 |
| Interest cost | 265 | 298 | 322 | 28 | 36 | 37 |
| Expected return on plan assets | (394) | (393) | (367) | | | |
| Amortization of: | | | | | | |
| Prior service credit | (28) | (2) | (2) | (23) | (23) | (23) |
| Net actuarial loss (gain) | 235 | 178 | 154 | (16) | (20) | (30) |
| Settlement loss | 277 | 33 | 46 | | | 1 |
| Curtailement gain | | | | (181) | | |
| Net periodic cost (credit) | \$ 495 | \$ 266 | \$ 304 | \$ (180) | \$ 6 | \$ (4) |

Assumptions

Weighted average assumptions used to determine net pension cost and net postretirement benefit cost for the years ended December 31 are:

| (\$ in millions) | Pension benefits | | | Postretirement benefits | | |
|------------------|------------------|------|------|-------------------------|------|------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|--|-------|-------|---------|-------|-------|-------|
| Discount rate | 4.60% | 5.25% | 6.00% | 3.75% | 5.25% | 6.00% |
| Rate of increase in compensation levels | 3.5 | 4.5 | 4.0-4.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| Expected long-term rate of return on plan assets | 7.75 | 8.5 | 8.5 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

Weighted average assumptions used to determine benefit obligations as of December 31 are listed in the following table.

| | Pension benefits | | Postretirement benefits | |
|---|------------------|-------|-------------------------|-------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Discount rate | 5.00% | 4.00% | 4.85% | 4.25% |
| Rate of increase in compensation levels | 3.5 | 3.5 | n/a | n/a |

The weighted average health care cost trend rate used in measuring the accumulated postretirement benefit cost is 7.00% for 2014, gradually declining to 4.5% in 2024 and remaining at that level thereafter.

Assumed health care cost trend rates have a significant effect on the amounts reported for the postretirement health care plans. A one percentage-point increase in assumed health care cost trend rates would increase the total of

Table of Contents

the service and interest cost components of net periodic benefit cost of other postretirement benefits and the APBO by \$2 million and \$21 million, respectively. A one percentage-point decrease in assumed health care cost trend rates would decrease the total of the service and interest cost components of net periodic benefit cost of other postretirement benefits and the APBO by \$2 million and \$19 million, respectively.

Pension plan assets

The change in pension plan assets for the years ended December 31 is as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | 2012 | |
|--|------|-------|------|-------|
| Fair value of plan assets, beginning of year | \$ | 5,398 | \$ | 4,675 |
| Actual return on plan assets | | 566 | | 594 |
| Employer contribution | | 561 | | 439 |
| Benefits paid | | (892) | | (312) |
| Translation adjustment and other | | (31) | | 2 |
| | | | | |
| Fair value of plan assets, end of year | \$ | 5,602 | \$ | 5,398 |

In general, the Company's pension plan assets are managed in accordance with investment policies approved by pension investment committees. The purpose of the policies is to ensure the plans' long-term ability to meet benefit obligations by prudently investing plan assets and Company contributions, while taking into consideration regulatory and legal requirements and current market conditions. The investment policies are reviewed periodically and specify target plan asset allocation by asset category. In addition, the policies specify various asset allocation and other risk limits. The target asset allocation takes the plans' funding status into consideration, among other factors, including anticipated demographic changes or liquidity requirements that may affect the funding status such as the potential impact of lump sum settlements as well as existing or expected market conditions. In general, the allocation has a lower overall investment risk when a plan is in a stronger funded status position since there is less economic incentive to take risk to increase the expected returns on the plan assets. The pension plans' asset exposure within each asset category is tracked against widely accepted established benchmarks for each asset class with limits on variation from the benchmark established in the investment policy. Pension plan assets are regularly monitored for compliance with these limits and other risk limits specified in the investment policies.

The pension plans' weighted average target asset allocation and the actual percentage of plan assets, by asset category as of December 31, 2013 are as follows:

| Asset category | Target asset allocation | Actual percentage of plan assets | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------------------|------|
| | 2013 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Equity securities | 40 - 50% | 49% | 50% |
| Fixed income securities | 43 - 52 | 41 | 38 |
| Limited partnership interests | 12 - 18 | 7 | 9 |
| Short-term investments and other | | 3 | 3 |
| | | | |
| Total ⁽¹⁾ | | 100% | 100% |

(1) Securities lending collateral reinvestment is excluded from target and actual percentage of plan assets.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

The target asset allocation for an asset category may be achieved either through direct investment holdings, through replication using derivative instruments (e.g., futures or swaps) or net of hedges using derivative instruments to reduce exposure to an asset category. The notional amount of derivatives used for replication net of the notional amount of hedges is limited to 105% or 115% of total plan assets depending on the plan. Market performance of the different asset categories may, from time to time, cause deviation from the target asset allocation. The asset allocation mix is reviewed on a periodic basis and rebalanced to bring the allocation within the target ranges.

Outside the target asset allocation, the pension plans participate in a securities lending program to enhance returns. As of December 31, 2013, U.S. government fixed income securities and U.S. equity securities are lent out and cash collateral is invested 7% in fixed income securities and 93% in short-term investments.

Table of Contents

The following table presents the fair values of pension plan assets as of December 31, 2013.

(\$ in millions)

| | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | Balance as of December 31, 2013 |
|--|---|---|--|--|
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ | \$ 2,124 | \$ 78 | \$ 2,202 |
| International | 160 | 182 | 159 | 501 |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | 608 | 52 | | 660 |
| Foreign government | | 44 | | 44 |
| Municipal | | | 18 | 18 |
| Corporate | | 1,433 | 18 | 1,451 |
| RMBS | | 83 | | 83 |
| Short-term investments | 54 | 344 | | 398 |
| Limited partnership interests: | | | | |
| Real estate funds ⁽¹⁾ | | | 197 | 197 |
| Private equity funds ⁽²⁾ | | | 211 | 211 |
| Hedge funds ⁽³⁾ | | | 9 | 9 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 25 | | | 25 |
| Free-standing derivatives: | | | | |
| Assets | 1 | 3 | | 4 |
| Liabilities | (1) | | | (1) |
| Total plan assets at fair value | \$ 847 | \$ 4,265 | \$ 690 | 5,802 |
| | | | | |
| % of total plan assets at fair value | 14.6% | 73.5% | 11.9% | 100.0% |
| Securities lending obligation ⁽⁴⁾ | | | | (290) |
| Other net plan assets ⁽⁵⁾ | | | | 90 |
| | | | | |
| Total reported plan assets | | | \$ | 5,602 |

(1) Real estate funds held by the pension plans are primarily invested in U.S. commercial real estate.

(2) Private equity funds held by the pension plans are primarily comprised of North American buyout funds.

(3) Hedge funds held by the pension plans primarily comprise fund of funds investments in diversified pools of capital across funds with underlying strategies such as convertible arbitrage, equity market neutral, fixed

income arbitrage, global macro, commodity trading advisors, long short equity, short biased equity, and event driven.

(4)

The securities lending obligation represents the plan's obligation to return securities lending collateral received under a securities lending program. The terms of the program allow both the plan and the counterparty the right and ability to redeem/return the securities loaned on short notice. Due to its relatively short-term nature, the outstanding balance of the obligation approximates fair value.

(5)

Other net plan assets represent interest and dividends receivable and net receivables related to settlements of investment transactions, such as purchases and sales.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The following table presents the fair values of pension plan assets as of December 31, 2012.

(\$ in millions)

| | Quoted prices in active markets for identical assets (Level 1) | Significant other observable inputs (Level 2) | Significant unobservable inputs (Level 3) | Balance as of December 31, 2012 |
|--|---|--|--|--|
| Equity securities: | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 13 | \$ 2,042 | \$ 68 | \$ 2,123 |
| International | 136 | 198 | 246 | 580 |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | |
| U.S. government and agencies | 799 | 78 | | 877 |
| Foreign government | | 32 | | 32 |
| Municipal | | | 129 | 129 |
| Corporate | | 994 | 10 | 1,004 |
| RMBS | | 95 | | 95 |
| Short-term investments | 56 | 424 | | 480 |
| Limited partnership interests: | | | | |
| Real estate funds | | | 214 | 214 |
| Private equity funds | | | 199 | 199 |
| Hedge funds | | | 80 | 80 |
| Cash and cash equivalents | 17 | | | 17 |
| Free-standing derivatives: | | | | |
| Assets | | | | |
| Liabilities | | | | |
| Total plan assets at fair value | \$ 1,021 | \$ 3,863 | \$ 946 | 5,830 |
| | | | | |
| % of total plan assets at fair value | 17.5% | 66.3% | 16.2% | 100.0% |
| Securities lending obligation | | | | (463) |
| Other net plan assets | | | | 31 |
| | | | | |
| Total reported plan assets | | | | \$ 5,398 |

The fair values of pension plan assets are estimated using the same methodologies and inputs as those used to determine the fair values for the respective asset category of the Company. These methodologies and inputs are disclosed in Note 7.

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2013.

| (\$ in millions) | Actual return on plan assets: | | | |
|------------------|---|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---|
| | Balance as of December 31, | Relating to assets | Relating to assets | Purchases, Net sales and transfers in December 31, |
| | | | | |

| | 2012 | sold | still | net | and/or | 2013 |
|----------------------------------|---------------|---------------|------------------|-----------------|-----------------|---------------|
| | | during | held at | | (out) of | |
| | | the | the | | Level 3 | |
| | | period | reporting | | | |
| | | date | date | | | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 68 | \$ | \$ 10 | \$ | \$ | \$ 78 |
| International | 246 | 3 | 8 | (98) | | 159 |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | |
| Municipal | 129 | 7 | 1 | (119) | | 18 |
| Corporate | 10 | 5 | | 3 | | 18 |
| Limited partnership interests: | | | | | | |
| Real estate funds | 214 | | 11 | (28) | | 197 |
| Private equity funds | 199 | | (2) | 14 | | 211 |
| Hedge funds | 80 | | | (71) | | 9 |
| Total Level 3 plan assets | \$ 946 | \$ 15 | \$ 28 | \$ (299) | \$ | \$ 690 |

Table of Contents

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2012.

| (\$ in millions) | Actual return on plan assets: Relating | | | | | Balance as of December 31, 2012 |
|--------------------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Balance as of December 31, 2011 | Relating to assets sold during the period | to assets still held at the reporting date | Purchases, sales and settlements, net | Net transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 64 | \$ | \$ 7 | \$ (3) | \$ | \$ 68 |
| International | 245 | | 1 | | | 246 |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | |
| Municipal | 163 | 5 | (2) | (37) | | 129 |
| Corporate | 9 | 1 | | | | 10 |
| Limited partnership interests: | | | | | | |
| Real estate funds | 192 | 16 | 2 | 4 | | 214 |
| Private equity funds | 186 | 8 | (6) | 11 | | 199 |
| Hedge funds | 79 | | 1 | | | 80 |
| Total Level 3 plan assets | \$ 938 | \$ 30 | \$ 3 | \$ (25) | \$ | \$ 946 |

The following table presents the rollforward of Level 3 plan assets for the year ended December 31, 2011.

| (\$ in millions) | Actual return on plan assets: Relating | | | | | Balance as of December 31, 2011 |
|-----------------------------|--|---|--|---|--|--|
| | Balance as of December 31, 2010 | Relating to assets sold during the period | to assets still held at the reporting date | Purchases, sales and settlements, net | Net transfers in and/or (out) of Level 3 | |
| Equity securities: | | | | | | |
| U.S. | \$ 6 | \$ | \$ (2) | \$ 60 | \$ | \$ 64 |
| International | 253 | | (5) | (3) | | 245 |
| Fixed income securities: | | | | | | |
| Municipal | 222 | | 1 | (60) | | 163 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|--------|-------|-------|---------|---------|--------|
| Corporate | 10 | 1 | | (2) | | 9 |
| RMBS | 48 | (8) | 8 | (30) | (18) | |
| Limited partnership interests: | | | | | | |
| Real estate funds | 167 | (1) | 29 | (3) | | 192 |
| Private equity funds | 166 | 1 | 22 | (3) | | 186 |
| Hedge funds | 120 | 43 | (43) | (41) | | 79 |
| Total Level 3 plan assets | \$ 992 | \$ 36 | \$ 10 | \$ (82) | \$ (18) | \$ 938 |

The expected long-term rate of return on plan assets reflects the average rate of earnings expected on plan assets. The Company's assumption for the expected long-term rate of return on plan assets is reviewed annually giving consideration to appropriate financial data including, but not limited to, the plan asset allocation, forward-looking expected returns for the period over which benefits will be paid, historical returns on plan assets and other relevant market data. Given the long-term forward looking nature of this assumption, the actual returns in any one year do not immediately result in a change. In giving consideration to the targeted plan asset allocation, the Company evaluated returns using the same sources it has used historically which include: historical average asset class returns from an independent nationally recognized vendor of this type of data blended together using the asset allocation policy weights for the Company's pension plans; asset class return forecasts from a large global independent asset management firm that specializes in providing multi-asset class investment fund products which were blended together using the asset allocation policy weights; and expected portfolio returns from a proprietary simulation methodology of a widely recognized external investment consulting firm that performs asset allocation and actuarial services for corporate pension plan sponsors. This same methodology has been applied on a consistent basis each year. All of these were consistent with the Company's weighted average long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption of 7.75% used for 2013 and 7.36% that will be used for 2014. The decrease in the weighted average long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption for 2014 is primarily due to a decrease in the assumption for the pension plan covering employee-agents that comprises 14% of total plan assets. The decrease for this plan related to a decrease in the investment allocation to equities and increase in the allocation to fixed income securities. The long-term rate of return on plan assets assumption for the primary employee plan comprising 79% of total plan assets remained unchanged. As of the 2013 measurement date, the arithmetic average of the annual actual return on plan assets for the most recent 10 and 5 years was 8.0% and 11.3%, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Pension plan assets did not include any of the Company's common stock as of December 31, 2013 or 2012.

Cash flows

There was no required cash contribution necessary to satisfy the minimum funding requirement under the IRC for the tax qualified pension plans as of December 31, 2013. The Company currently plans to contribute \$38 million to its pension plans in 2014.

The Company contributed \$39 million and \$39 million to the postretirement benefit plans in 2013 and 2012, respectively. Contributions by participants were \$18 million and \$20 million in 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Estimated future benefit payments

Estimated future benefit payments expected to be paid in the next 10 years, based on the assumptions used to measure the Company's benefit obligation as of December 31, 2013, are presented in the table below.

| (\$ in millions) | Pension benefits | Postretirement benefits |
|------------------------|---------------------|----------------------------|
| 2014 | \$ 355 | \$ 35 |
| 2015 | 367 | 36 |
| 2016 | 390 | 29 |
| 2017 | 420 | 30 |
| 2018 | 438 | 32 |
| 2019-2023 | 2,481 | 188 |
| | | |
| Total benefit payments | \$ 4,451 | \$ 350 |

Allstate 401(k) Savings Plan

Employees of the Company, with the exception of those employed by the Company's international, Sterling Collision Centers ("Sterling"), Esurance and Answer Financial subsidiaries, are eligible to become members of the Allstate 401(k) Savings Plan ("Allstate Plan"). The Company's contributions are based on the Company's matching obligation and certain performance measures. The Company is responsible for funding its anticipated contribution to the Allstate Plan, and may, at the discretion of management, use the ESOP to pre-fund certain portions. In connection with the Allstate Plan, the Company has a note from the ESOP with a principal balance of \$21 million as of December 31, 2013. The ESOP note has a fixed interest rate of 7.9% and matures in 2019. The Company records dividends on the ESOP shares in retained income and all the shares held by the ESOP are included in basic and diluted weighted average common shares outstanding.

The Company's contribution to the Allstate Plan was \$54 million, \$52 million and \$48 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. These amounts were reduced by the ESOP benefit computed for the years ended December 31 as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|---------|--------|--------|
| Interest expense recognized by ESOP | \$ 2 | \$ 2 | \$ 2 |
| Less: dividends accrued on ESOP shares | (3) | (2) | (2) |
| Cost of shares allocated | 7 | 2 | 2 |
| | | | |
| Compensation expense | 6 | 2 | 2 |
| Reduction of defined contribution due to ESOP | 46 | 10 | 9 |
| | | | |
| ESOP benefit | \$ (40) | \$ (8) | \$ (7) |

The Company made \$2 million in contributions to the ESOP in 2013. The Company made no contributions to the ESOP in 2012 and 2011. As of December 31, 2013, total committed to be released, allocated and unallocated ESOP shares were 0.8 million, 34 million and 4 million, respectively.

Allstate's Canadian, Sterling, Esurance and Answer Financial subsidiaries sponsor defined contribution plans for their eligible employees. Expense for these plans was \$11 million, \$7 million and \$7 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents**19. Equity Incentive Plans**

The Company currently has equity incentive plans under which the Company grants nonqualified stock options, restricted stock units and performance stock awards to certain employees and directors of the Company. The total compensation expense related to equity awards was \$93 million, \$86 million and \$64 million and the total income tax benefits were \$32 million, \$30 million and \$21 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total cash received from the exercise of options was \$212 million, \$99 million and \$19 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. Total tax benefit realized on options exercised and stock unrestricted was \$65 million, \$28 million and \$10 million for 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The Company records compensation expense related to awards under these plans over the shorter of the period in which the requisite service is rendered or retirement eligibility is attained. Compensation expense for performance share awards is based on the probable number of awards expected to vest using the performance level most likely to be achieved at the end of the performance period. As of December 31, 2013, total unrecognized compensation cost related to all nonvested awards was \$88 million, of which \$35 million related to nonqualified stock options which are expected to be recognized over the weighted average vesting period of 2.17 years, \$40 million related to restricted stock units which are expected to be recognized over the weighted average vesting period of 2.22 years and \$13 million related to performance stock awards which are expected to be recognized over the weighted average vesting period of 1.59 years.

Options are granted to employees with exercise prices equal to the closing share price of the Company's common stock on the applicable grant date. Options granted to employees generally vest 50% on the second anniversary of the grant date and 25% on each of the third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date. Options granted prior to 2010 vest ratably over a four year period. Options may be exercised once vested and will expire ten years after the date of grant. Upon normal retirement, which is defined as either age 60 with five years of service or age 55 with ten years of service, all options granted more than 12 months before retirement, and a pro-rata portion of options granted within 12 months of retirement, continue to vest as scheduled. When the options become vested, they may be exercised on or before the earlier of the option expiration date or the fifth anniversary of the employee's retirement. If termination of employment is a result of death or disability, then all options vest immediately and may be exercised on or before the earlier of the option expiration date or the second anniversary of the date of termination of employment. Vested options may be exercised within three months and unvested options are forfeited following any other type of termination of employment except termination after a change in control.

Restricted stock units generally vest and unrestrict 50% on the second anniversary of the grant date and 25% on each of the third and fourth anniversaries of the grant date, except for directors whose awards vest immediately and unrestrict after leaving the board. Restricted stock units granted to employees prior to 2010 vest and unrestrict in full on the fourth anniversary of the grant date. Upon normal retirement, all restricted stock units granted more than 12 months before retirement, and a pro-rata portion of restricted stock units granted within 12 months of retirement, continue to unrestrict as provided for in the original grant. Upon termination of employment as a result of death or disability, all restricted stock units vest. Unvested restricted stock units are forfeited following any other type of termination of employment except termination after a change in control.

Performance stock awards vest and are converted into shares of stock on the third anniversary of the grant date. Upon normal retirement occurring 12 months or more from the grant date, the number of performance stock awards earned based on the attainment of performance goals for each of the performance periods continue to vest as scheduled. Upon normal retirement occurring within 12 months of the grant date, a pro-rata portion of the performance stock awards earned based on the attainment of the performance goals for each of the performance periods continue to vest as scheduled. Upon termination of employment as a result of death or disability, the number of performance stock awards that have been earned based on attainment of the performance goals for completed performance periods plus the target number of performance stock awards granted for any incomplete performance periods vest immediately. Unvested performance stock awards are forfeited following any other type of termination of employment except termination after a change in control.

A total of 97.6 million shares of common stock were authorized to be used for awards under the plans, subject to adjustment in accordance with the plans' terms. As of December 31, 2013, 33.5 million shares were reserved and remained available for future issuance under these plans. The Company uses its treasury shares for these issuances.

The fair value of each option grant is estimated on the date of grant using a binomial lattice model. The Company uses historical data to estimate option exercise and employee termination within the valuation model. In addition, separate groups of employees that have similar historical exercise behavior are considered separately for valuation purposes. The expected term of options granted is derived from the output of the binominal lattice model and

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The expected volatility of the price of the underlying shares is implied based on traded options and historical volatility of the Company's common stock. The expected dividends were based on the current dividend yield of the Company's stock as of the date of the grant. The risk-free rate for periods within the contractual life of the option is based on the U.S. Treasury yield curve in effect at the time of grant. The assumptions used are shown in the following table.

| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|-------------------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|
| Weighted average expected term | 8.2 years | 9.0 years | 7.9 years |
| Expected volatility | 19.1 - 48.1% | 20.2 - 53.9% | 22.1 - 53.9% |
| Weighted average volatility | 31.0% | 34.6% | 35.1% |
| Expected dividends | 1.9 - 2.2% | 2.2 - 3.0% | 2.5 - 3.7% |
| Weighted average expected dividends | 2.2% | 2.8% | 2.7% |
| Risk-free rate | 0.0 - 2.9% | 0.0 - 2.2% | 0.0 - 3.5% |

A summary of option activity for the year ended December 31, 2013 is shown in the following table.

| | Number (in 000s) | Weighted average exercise price | Aggregate intrinsic value (in 000s) | Weighted average remaining contractual term (years) |
|--|-----------------------------|--|--|--|
| Outstanding as of January 1, 2013 | 29,643 | \$ 39.81 | | |
| Granted | 2,809 | 45.68 | | |
| Exercised | (6,062) | 34.93 | | |
| Forfeited | (556) | 35.77 | | |
| Expired | (1,852) | 55.72 | | |
| | | | | |
| Outstanding as of December 31, 2013 | 23,982 | 40.60 | \$ 350,860 | 5.1 |
| | | | | |
| Outstanding, net of expected forfeitures | 23,773 | 40.61 | 347,686 | 5.1 |
| Outstanding, exercisable ("vested") | 15,545 | 43.09 | 194,376 | 3.7 |

The weighted average grant date fair value of options granted was \$11.99, \$8.69 and \$9.49 during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The intrinsic value, which is the difference between the fair value and the exercise price, of options exercised was \$92 million, \$52 million and \$15 million during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

The changes in restricted stock units are shown in the following table for the year ended December 31, 2013.

| | Number (in 000s) | Weighted average grant date fair value |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------|---|
| Nonvested as of January 1, 2013 | 4,452 | \$ 27.27 |
| Granted | 817 | 45.78 |
| Vested | (2,260) | 22.49 |
| Forfeited | (169) | 35.77 |
| | | |
| Nonvested as of December 31, 2013 | 2,840 | 35.89 |

The fair value of restricted stock units is based on the market value of the Company's stock as of the date of the grant. The market value in part reflects the payment of future dividends expected. The weighted average grant date fair value of restricted stock units granted was \$45.78,

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

\$31.89 and \$31.38 during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The total fair value of restricted stock units vested was \$104 million, \$30 million and \$13 million during 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

The changes in performance stock awards are shown in the following table for the year ended December 31, 2013.

| | Number (in 000s) | Weighted average grant date fair value |
|--|-----------------------------|---|
| Nonvested as of January 1, 2013 | 437 | \$ 31.43 |
| Granted | 312 | 45.61 |
| Adjustment for performance achievement | 132 | 31.17 |
| Vested | | |
| Forfeited | (38) | 37.22 |
| | | |
| Nonvested as of December 31, 2013 | 843 | 36.38 |

The fair value of performance stock awards is based on the market value of the Company's stock as of the date of the grant. The market value in part reflects the payment of future dividends expected. The weighted average grant date fair value of performance stock awards granted was \$45.61 and \$31.41 during 2013 and 2012, respectively. None of the performance stock awards vested during 2013 or 2012.

The tax benefit realized in 2013, 2012 and 2011 related to tax deductions from stock option exercises and included in shareholders' equity was \$12 million, \$8 million and \$3 million, respectively. The tax benefit (expense) realized in 2013, 2012 and 2011 related to all stock-based compensation and recorded directly to shareholders' equity was \$30 million, \$6 million and \$(0.4) million, respectively.

20. Reporting Segments

Allstate management is organized around products and services, and this structure is considered in the identification of its four reportable segments. These segments and their respective operations are as follows:

Allstate Protection principally sells private passenger auto and homeowners insurance in the United States and Canada. Revenues from external customers generated outside the United States were \$1.06 billion, \$992 million and \$892 million in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively. The Company evaluates the results of this segment based upon underwriting results.

Discontinued Lines and Coverages consists of business no longer written by Allstate, including results from asbestos, environmental and other discontinued lines claims, and certain commercial and other businesses in run-off. This segment also includes the historical results of the commercial and reinsurance businesses sold in 1996. The Company evaluates the results of this segment based upon underwriting results.

Allstate Financial sells life insurance and voluntary accident and health insurance products. The principal products are interest-sensitive, traditional and variable life insurance; and voluntary accident and health insurance. Effective January 1, 2014, the Company no longer offers fixed annuities such as deferred and immediate annuities. Allstate Financial had no revenues from external customers generated outside the United States in 2013, 2012 or 2011. The Company evaluates the results of this segment based upon operating income.

Corporate and Other comprises holding company activities and certain non-insurance operations.

Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages comprise Property-Liability. The Company does not allocate Property-Liability investment income, realized capital gains and losses, or assets to the Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments. Management reviews assets at the Property-Liability, Allstate Financial, and Corporate and Other levels for decision-making purposes.

The accounting policies of the reportable segments are the same as those described in Note 2. The effects of certain inter-segment transactions are excluded from segment performance evaluation and therefore are eliminated in the segment results.

Measuring segment profit or loss

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

The measure of segment profit or loss used by Allstate's management in evaluating performance is underwriting income for the Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments and operating income for the Allstate Financial and Corporate and Other segments. A reconciliation of these measures to net income is provided below.

Underwriting income is calculated as premiums earned, less claims and claims expenses ("losses"), amortization of DAC, operating costs and expenses, and restructuring and related charges as determined using GAAP.

Table of Contents

Operating income is net income excluding:

realized capital gains and losses, after-tax, except for periodic settlements and accruals on non-hedge derivative instruments, which are reported with realized capital gains and losses but included in operating income,

valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax,

amortization of DAC and DSI, to the extent they resulted from the recognition of certain realized capital gains and losses or valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax,

business combination expenses and the amortization of purchased intangible assets, after-tax,

gain (loss) on disposition of operations, after-tax, and

adjustments for other significant non-recurring, infrequent or unusual items, when (a) the nature of the charge or gain is such that it is reasonably unlikely to recur within two years, or (b) there has been no similar charge or gain within the prior two years.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Summarized revenue data for each of the Company's reportable segments for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| Revenues | | | |
| <i>Property-Liability</i> | | | |
| Property-liability insurance premiums | | | |
| Auto | \$ 18,449 | \$ 17,928 | \$ 17,299 |
| Homeowners | 6,613 | 6,359 | 6,200 |
| Other personal lines | 1,629 | 1,594 | 1,566 |
| Commercial lines | 456 | 462 | 495 |
| Other business lines | 471 | 394 | 382 |
| | | | |
| Allstate Protection | 27,618 | 26,737 | 25,942 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | | | |
| | | | |
| Total property-liability insurance premiums | 27,618 | 26,737 | 25,942 |
| Net investment income | 1,375 | 1,326 | 1,201 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | 519 | 335 | 85 |
| | | | |
| Total Property-Liability | 29,512 | 28,398 | 27,228 |
| <i>Allstate Financial</i> | | | |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges | | | |
| Traditional life insurance | 491 | 470 | 441 |
| Immediate annuities with life contingencies | 37 | 45 | 106 |
| Accident and health insurance | 720 | 653 | 643 |
| | | | |
| Total life and annuity premiums | 1,248 | 1,168 | 1,190 |
| Interest-sensitive life insurance | 1,086 | 1,055 | 1,015 |
| Fixed annuities | 18 | 18 | 33 |
| | | | |
| Total contract charges | 1,104 | 1,073 | 1,048 |
| | | | |
| Total life and annuity premiums and contract charges | 2,352 | 2,241 | 2,238 |
| Net investment income | 2,538 | 2,647 | 2,716 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | 74 | (13) | 388 |
| | | | |
| Total Allstate Financial | 4,964 | 4,875 | 5,342 |
| <i>Corporate and Other</i> | | | |
| Service fees | 9 | 4 | 7 |
| Net investment income | 30 | 37 | 54 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | 1 | 5 | 30 |
| | | | |
| Total Corporate and Other before reclassification of service fees | 40 | 46 | 91 |
| Reclassification of service fees ⁽¹⁾ | (9) | (4) | (7) |
| | | | |
| Total Corporate and Other | 31 | 42 | 84 |

Consolidated revenues \$ 34,507 \$ 33,315 \$ 32,654

(1) For presentation in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, service fees of the Corporate and Other segment are reclassified to operating costs and expenses.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Summarized financial performance data for each of the Company's reportable segments for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|--|----------|----------|----------|
| Net income | | | |
| <i>Property-Liability</i> | | | |
| Underwriting income (loss) | | | |
| Allstate Protection | \$ 2,361 | \$ 1,253 | \$ (857) |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | (143) | (53) | (25) |
| | | | |
| Total underwriting income (loss) | 2,218 | 1,200 | (882) |
| Net investment income | 1,375 | 1,326 | 1,201 |
| Income tax (expense) benefit on operations | (1,177) | (779) | 30 |
| Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | 339 | 221 | 54 |
| Loss on disposition of operations, after-tax | (1) | | |
| | | | |
| Property-Liability net income available to common shareholders | 2,754 | 1,968 | 403 |
| <i>Allstate Financial</i> | | | |
| Life and annuity premiums and contract charges | 2,352 | 2,241 | 2,238 |
| Net investment income | 2,538 | 2,647 | 2,716 |
| Periodic settlements and accruals on non-hedge derivative instruments | 17 | 55 | 70 |
| Contract benefits and interest credited to contractholder funds | (3,171) | (3,252) | (3,378) |
| Operating costs and expenses and amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | (895) | (926) | (898) |
| Restructuring and related charges | (7) | | (1) |
| Income tax expense on operations | (246) | (236) | (240) |
| | | | |
| Operating income | 588 | 529 | 507 |
| Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | 46 | (8) | 250 |
| Valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax | (16) | 82 | (12) |
| DAC and DSI amortization related to realized capital gains and losses and valuation changes on embedded derivatives that are not hedged, after-tax | (5) | (42) | (108) |
| DAC and DSI unlocking related to realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Reclassification of periodic settlements and accruals on non-hedge derivative instruments, after-tax | (11) | (36) | (45) |
| (Loss) gain on disposition of operations, after-tax | (514) | 12 | (5) |
| | | | |
| Allstate Financial net income available to common shareholders | 95 | 541 | 590 |
| <i>Corporate and Other</i> | | | |
| Service fees ⁽¹⁾ | 9 | 4 | 7 |
| Net investment income | 30 | 37 | 54 |
| Operating costs and expenses ⁽¹⁾ | (627) | (383) | (403) |
| Income tax benefit on operations | 220 | 136 | 126 |
| Preferred stock dividends | (17) | | |
| | | | |
| Operating loss | (385) | (206) | (216) |
| Realized capital gains and losses, after-tax | | 3 | 20 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt, after-tax | (319) | | |
| Postretirement benefits curtailment gain, after-tax | 118 | | |
| Business combination expenses, after-tax | | | (10) |
| | | | |
| Corporate and Other net loss available to common shareholders | (586) | (203) | (206) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Consolidated net income available to common shareholders \$ 2,263 \$ 2,306 \$ 787

(1) For presentation in the Consolidated Statements of Operations, service fees of the Corporate and Other segment are reclassified to operating costs and expenses.

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

Additional significant financial performance data for each of the Company's reportable segments for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|----------------------------|----------|----------|----------|
| Amortization of DAC | | | |
| Property-Liability | \$ 3,674 | \$ 3,483 | \$ 3,477 |
| Allstate Financial | 328 | 401 | 494 |
| Consolidated | \$ 4,002 | \$ 3,884 | \$ 3,971 |
| | | | |
| Income tax expense | | | |
| Property-Liability | \$ 1,357 | \$ 893 | \$ 1 |
| Allstate Financial | 87 | 241 | 289 |
| Corporate and Other | (328) | (134) | (118) |
| Consolidated | \$ 1,116 | \$ 1,000 | \$ 172 |

Interest expense is primarily incurred in the Corporate and Other segment. Capital expenditures for long-lived assets are generally made in the Property-Liability segment. A portion of these long-lived assets are used by entities included in the Allstate Financial and Corporate and Other segments and, accordingly, are charged expenses in proportion to their use.

Summarized data for total assets and investments for each of the Company's reportable segments as of December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
|---------------------|------------|------------|------------|
| Assets | | | |
| Property-Liability | \$ 54,726 | \$ 52,201 | \$ 49,791 |
| Allstate Financial | 65,707 | 72,368 | 72,526 |
| Corporate and Other | 3,087 | 2,378 | 2,876 |
| Consolidated | \$ 123,520 | \$ 126,947 | \$ 125,193 |
| | | | |
| Investments | | | |
| Property-Liability | \$ 39,638 | \$ 38,215 | \$ 35,998 |
| Allstate Financial | 39,105 | 56,999 | 57,373 |
| Corporate and Other | 2,412 | 2,064 | 2,247 |
| Consolidated | \$ 81,155 | \$ 97,278 | \$ 95,618 |

The balances above reflect the elimination of related party investments between segments.

Table of Contents**21. Other Comprehensive Income**

The components of other comprehensive (loss) income on a pre-tax and after-tax basis for the years ended December 31 are as follows:

| (\$ in millions) | 2013 | | | 2012 | | | 2011 | | |
|---|------------|--------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|-----------|
| | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax | Pre-tax | Tax | After-tax |
| Unrealized net holding gains and losses arising during the period, net of related offsets | \$ (1,278) | \$ 447 | \$ (831) | \$ 2,428 | \$ (848) | \$ 1,580 | \$ 1,493 | \$ (524) | \$ 969 |
| Less: reclassification adjustment of realized capital gains and losses | 549 | (192) | 357 | 225 | (79) | 146 | 795 | (278) | 517 |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses | (1,827) | 639 | (1,188) | 2,203 | (769) | 1,434 | 698 | (246) | 452 |
| Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost arising during the period | 1,231 | (429) | 802 | (634) | 224 | (410) | (517) | 183 | (334) |
| Less: reclassification adjustment of net periodic cost recognized in operating costs and expenses | (445) | 156 | (289) | (166) | 58 | (108) | (146) | 51 | (95) |
| Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost | 1,676 | (585) | 1,091 | (468) | 166 | (302) | (371) | 132 | (239) |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments | (49) | 17 | (32) | 22 | (8) | 14 | (18) | 6 | (12) |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income | \$ (200) | \$ 71 | \$ (129) | \$ 1,757 | \$ (611) | \$ 1,146 | \$ 309 | \$ (108) | \$ 201 |

22. Quarterly Results (unaudited)

| (\$ in millions, except per share data) | First Quarter | | Second Quarter | | Third Quarter | | Fourth Quarter | |
|---|---------------|----------|----------------|----------|---------------|----------|----------------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 | 2013 | 2012 |
| Revenues | \$ 8,463 | \$ 8,362 | \$ 8,787 | \$ 8,278 | \$ 8,465 | \$ 8,128 | \$ 8,792 | \$ 8,547 |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 709 | 766 | 434 | 423 | 310 | 723 | 810 | 394 |
| Net income earnings available to common shareholders per common share Basic | 1.49 | 1.54 | 0.93 | 0.86 | 0.67 | 1.49 | 1.79 | 0.82 |
| Net income earnings available to common shareholders per common share Diluted | 1.47 | 1.53 | 0.92 | 0.86 | 0.66 | 1.48 | 1.76 | 0.81 |

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
The Allstate Corporation
Northbrook, Illinois 60062

We have audited the accompanying Consolidated Statements of Financial Position of The Allstate Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the related Consolidated Statements of Operations, Comprehensive Income, Shareholders' Equity, and Cash Flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013. We also have audited the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. The Company's management is responsible for these financial statements, for maintaining effective internal control over financial reporting, and for its assessment of the effectiveness of internal control over financial reporting, included in the accompanying *Item 9A. Controls and Procedures*. Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements and an opinion on the Company's internal control over financial reporting based on our audits.

We conducted our audits in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board (United States). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement and whether effective internal control over financial reporting was maintained in all material respects. Our audits of the financial statements included examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements, assessing the accounting principles used and significant estimates made by management, and evaluating the overall financial statement presentation. Our audit of internal control over financial reporting included obtaining an understanding of internal control over financial reporting, assessing the risk that a material weakness exists, and testing and evaluating the design and operating effectiveness of internal control based on the assessed risk. Our audits also included performing such other procedures as we considered necessary in the circumstances. We believe that our audits provide a reasonable basis for our opinions.

A company's internal control over financial reporting is a process designed by, or under the supervision of, the company's principal executive and principal financial officers, or persons performing similar functions, and effected by the company's board of directors, management, and other personnel to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles. A company's internal control over financial reporting includes those policies and procedures that (1) pertain to the maintenance of records that, in reasonable detail, accurately and fairly reflect the transactions and dispositions of the assets of the company; (2) provide reasonable assurance that transactions are recorded as necessary to permit preparation of financial statements in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles, and that receipts and expenditures of the company are being made only in accordance with authorizations of management and directors of the company; and (3) provide reasonable assurance regarding prevention or timely detection of unauthorized acquisition, use, or disposition of the company's assets that could have a material effect on the financial statements.

Because of the inherent limitations of internal control over financial reporting, including the possibility of collusion or improper management override of controls, material misstatements due to error or fraud may not be prevented or detected on a timely basis. Also, projections of any evaluation of the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting to future periods are subject to the risk that the controls may become inadequate because of changes in conditions, or that the degree of compliance with the policies or procedures may deteriorate.

In our opinion, the consolidated financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of The Allstate Corporation and subsidiaries as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and the results of their operations and their cash flows for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America. Also, in our opinion, the Company maintained, in all material respects, effective internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, based on the criteria established in *Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)* issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 20, 2014

Table of Contents

Item 9. Changes in and Disagreements with Accountants on Accounting and Financial Disclosure

None.

Item 9A. Controls and Procedures

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures. We maintain disclosure controls and procedures as defined in Rule 13a-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report. Based upon this evaluation, the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer concluded that our disclosure controls and procedures are effective in providing reasonable assurance that material information required to be disclosed in our reports filed with or submitted to the Securities and Exchange Commission under the Securities Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified by the Securities Exchange Act and made known to management, including the principal executive officer and the principal financial officer, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure.

Management's Report on Internal Control over Financial Reporting. Management is responsible for establishing and maintaining adequate internal control over financial reporting as defined in Rule 13a-15(f) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934.

Under the supervision and with the participation of our management, including our principal executive officer and principal financial officer, we conducted an evaluation of the effectiveness of our internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013 based on the criteria related to internal control over financial reporting described in "Internal Control - Integrated Framework (1992)" issued by the Committee of Sponsoring Organizations of the Treadway Commission. Based on our evaluation, management concluded that our internal control over financial reporting was effective as of December 31, 2013.

Deloitte & Touche LLP, the independent registered public accounting firm that audited the consolidated financial statements included in this Form 10-K, has issued their attestation report on the Company's internal control over financial reporting, which is included herein.

Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting. During the fiscal quarter ended December 31, 2013, there have been no changes in our internal control over financial reporting that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, our internal control over financial reporting.

Item 9B. Other Information

On February 18, 2014 the Company filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware a Certificate of Correction to our Certificate of Designations (the "Certificate of Designations") of Fixed Rate Noncumulative Perpetual Preferred Stock, Series A (the "Series A Preferred Stock"). The Certificate of Correction was filed in order to correct the quarter end dates used in the application of the tests for the suspension of the declaration and payment of dividends on the Series A Preferred Stock, in order that such tests could be given proper effect. Under the Certificate of Designations, financial statements for the final quarter end dates would not have been available by the related dividend payment dates. The Certificate of Correction replaced the definitions of "Final Quarter End Test Date" and "Preliminary Quarter End Test Date" in the Certificate of Designations so that they now refer to the appropriate quarter end dates for the related dividend payment dates and such tests are given proper effect, as originally intended. The Certificate of Designations, reflecting this correction, is filed as Exhibit 3.6 to this annual report on Form 10-K.

Table of Contents

Part III

Item 10. Directors, Executive Officers and Corporate Governance

Information regarding directors of The Allstate Corporation standing for election at the 2014 annual stockholders meeting is incorporated in this Item 10 by reference to the descriptions in the Proxy Statement under the captions "Corporate Governance Practices Proposal 1. Election of Directors."

Information regarding our audit committee and audit committee financial experts is incorporated in this Item 10 by reference to the chart under the captions "Corporate Governance Practices Board Meetings and Committees" in the Proxy Statement.

Information regarding compliance with Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 is incorporated in this Item 10 by reference to "Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance" in the Proxy Statement.

Information regarding executive officers of The Allstate Corporation is incorporated in this Item 10 by reference to Part I, Item 1 of this report under the caption "Executive Officers of the Registrant."

We have adopted a code of ethics that applies to all of our employees, including our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, and controller. The text of our code of ethics is posted on our Internet website, allstate.com.

Item 11. Executive Compensation

Information required for Item 11 is incorporated by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement with the following captions:

Corporate Governance Practices Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

Director Compensation

Executive Compensation

Item 12. Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management and Related Stockholder Matters

Information regarding security ownership of certain beneficial owners and management is incorporated in this Item 12 by reference to the sections of the Proxy Statement with the following captions:

Securities Authorized for Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans

Security Ownership of Directors and Executive Officers

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners

Asset managers, such as those that manage mutual funds and exchange traded funds, principally on behalf of third party investors, at times acquire sufficient voting ownership interests in Allstate to require disclosure. BlackRock, Inc. has disclosed that it, together with certain subsidiaries, held 6.1% of our common stock as of December 31, 2013. BlackRock also manages a small portion of Allstate's investment portfolio under an investment management agreement and has licensed an investment technology software system to Allstate. The terms of these arrangements are customary and the aggregate related fees are not material. Northern Trust Corporation has disclosed that it, together with certain subsidiaries, held 5.0% of our common stock as of December 31, 2013, some of which was held by the Northern Trust Company as trustee on behalf of participants in Allstate's 401(k) Savings Plan.

Item 13. Certain Relationships and Related Transactions, and Director Independence

Information required for Item 13 is incorporated by reference to the material in the Proxy Statement under the captions "Corporate Governance Practices Related Person Transactions" and "Corporate Governance Practices Nominee Independence Determinations."

Item 14. Principal Accounting Fees and Services

Information required for Item 14 is incorporated by reference to the material in the Proxy Statement under the captions "Proposal 4. Ratification of the Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accountant."

Table of Contents**Part IV****Item 15. (a) (1) Exhibits and Financial Statement Schedules.**

The following consolidated financial statements, notes thereto and related information of The Allstate Corporation (the "Company") are included in Item 8.

Consolidated Statements of Operations
 Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income
 Consolidated Statements of Financial Position
 Consolidated Statements of Shareholders' Equity
 Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows
 Notes to the Consolidated Financial Statements
 Quarterly Results
 Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm

Item 15. (a) (2)

The following additional financial statement schedules and independent auditors' report are furnished herewith pursuant to the requirements of Form 10-K.

| | |
|---------------------------------|-------------|
| The Allstate Corporation | Page |
|---------------------------------|-------------|

Schedules required to be filed under the provisions of Regulation S-X Article 7:

| | | |
|---------------------|---|-------------|
| <u>Schedule I</u> | <u>Summary of Investments Other than Investments in Related Parties</u> | <u>S-1</u> |
| <u>Schedule II</u> | <u>Condensed Financial Information of Registrant (The Allstate Corporation)</u> | <u>S-2</u> |
| <u>Schedule III</u> | <u>Supplementary Insurance Information</u> | <u>S-6</u> |
| <u>Schedule IV</u> | <u>Reinsurance</u> | <u>S-7</u> |
| <u>Schedule V</u> | <u>Valuation Allowances and Qualifying Accounts</u> | <u>S-8</u> |
| <u>Schedule VI</u> | <u>Supplementary Information Concerning Consolidated Property-Casualty Insurance Operations</u> | <u>S-9</u> |
| | <u>Report of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm</u> | <u>S-10</u> |

All other schedules are omitted because they are not applicable, or not required, or because the required information is included in the Consolidated Financial Statements or in notes thereto.

Item 15. (a) (3)

The following is a list of the exhibits filed as part of this Form 10-K. The exhibit numbers followed by an asterisk (*) indicate exhibits that are management contracts or compensatory plans or arrangements. A dagger (†) indicates an award form first used under The Allstate Corporation 2001 Equity Incentive Plan, which was amended and restated as The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan: A plus (+) indicates an award form first used under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan, which was amended and restated as The Allstate Corporation 2013 Equity Incentive Plan.

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed or Furnished Herewith |
|-------------------|---|------|---------------------------|---------|--------------|-----------------------------------|
| | | | File Number | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 3.1 | Restated Certificate of Incorporation filed with the Secretary of State of Delaware on May 23, 2012 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 3(i) | May 23, 2012 | |
| 3.2 | Amended and Restated By-Laws of The Allstate Corporation as amended May 23, 2012 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 3(ii) | May 23, 2012 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

3.3 Certificate of Designations with respect to the Preferred Stock, Series A of the Registrant, dated June 10, 2013

8-K

1-11840

3.1

June 12, 2013

201

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | | Filed or Furnished Herewith |
|----------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Form | File Number | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 3.4 | Certificate of Designations with respect to the Preferred Stock, Series C of the Registrant, dated September 26, 2013 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 3.1 | September 30, 2013 | |
| 3.5 | Certificate of Designations with respect to the Preferred Stock, Series D of the Registrant, dated December 13, 2013 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 3.1 | December 16, 2013 | |
| 3.6 | Certificate of Correction of Certificate of Designations with respect to the Preferred Stock, Series A of the Registrant dated February 18, 2014 | | | | | X |
| 4.1 | The Allstate Corporation hereby agrees to furnish to the Commission, upon request, the instruments defining the rights of holders of each issue of long-term debt of it and its consolidated subsidiaries | | | | | |
| 4.2 | Deposit Agreement, dated June 12, 2013, among the Registrant, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as depository, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts described therein (Series A) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.1 | June 12, 2013 | |
| 4.3 | Form of Preferred Stock Certificate, Series A (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 3.3 above) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.2 | June 12, 2013 | |
| 4.4 | Form of Depository Receipt, Series A (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.2 above) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.3 | June 12, 2013 | |
| 4.5 | Deposit Agreement, dated September 30, 2013, among the Registrant, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as depository, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts described therein (Series C) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.1 | September 30, 2013 | |
| 4.6 | Form of Preferred Stock Certificate, Series C (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 3.4 above) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.2 | September 30, 2013 | |
| 4.7 | Form of Depository Receipt, Series C (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.5 above) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.3 | September 30, 2013 | |
| 4.8 | Deposit Agreement, dated December 16, 2013, among the Registrant, Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., as depository, and the holders from time to time of the depository receipts described therein (Series D) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.1 | December 16, 2013 | |
| 4.9 | Form of Preferred Stock Certificate, Series D (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 3.5 above) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.2 | December 16, 2013 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed or Furnished Herewith |
|----------------|--|-------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | File Number | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 4.10 | Form of Depositary Receipt, Series D (included as Exhibit A to Exhibit 4.8 above) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 4.3 | December 16, 2013 | |
| 10.1 | Credit Agreement dated April 27, 2012 among The Allstate Corporation, Allstate Insurance Company and Allstate Life Insurance Company, as Borrowers; the Lenders party thereto, Wells Fargo Bank, National Association, as Syndication Agent; Citibank, N.A. and Bank of America, N.A., as Documentation Agents; and JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A., as Administrative Agent | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.6 | May 2, 2012 | |
| 10.2* | The Allstate Corporation Annual Executive Incentive Plan | Proxy | 1-11840 | App. B | April 1, 2009 | |
| 10.3* | The Allstate Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan, as amended and restated as of January 1, 2014 | | | | | X |
| 10.4* | The Allstate Corporation 2013 Equity Incentive Plan | Proxy | 1-11840 | App. B | April 10, 2013 | |
| 10.5*+ | Form of Performance Stock Award Agreement for awards granted on or after March 6, 2012 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.4 | May 2, 2012 | |
| 10.6*+ | Form of Option Award Agreement for awards granted on or after February 21, 2012 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.3 | May 2, 2012 | |
| 10.7*+ | Form of Option Award Agreement for awards granted on or after December 30, 2011 and prior to February 21, 2012 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.2 | December 28, 2011 | |
| 10.8*+ | Form of Option Award Agreement for awards granted on or after February 22, 2011 and prior to December 30, 2011 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.3 | April 27, 2011 | |
| 10.9*+ | Form of Option Award Agreement for awards granted on or after May 19, 2009 and prior to February 22, 2011 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K/A | 1-11840 | 10.3 | May 20, 2009 | |
| 10.10* | Form of Option Award Agreement for awards granted on or after September 13, 2008 and prior to May 19, 2009 under The Allstate Corporation 2001 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.3 | September 19, 2008 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed or Furnished Herewith |
|----------------|--|------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | File Number | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 10.11* | Form of Executive Officer Option Award Agreement for awards granted on or after July 18, 2006 and prior to September 13, 2008 under The Allstate Corporation 2001 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.1 | July 20, 2006 | |
| 10.12* | Form of Executive Officer Option Award Agreement under The Allstate Corporation 2001 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-K | 1-11840 | 10.19 | March 11, 2004 | |
| 10.13*+ | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for awards granted on or after February 21, 2012 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.2 | May 2, 2012 | |
| 10.14*+ | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for awards granted on or after December 30, 2011 and prior to February 21, 2012 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.3 | December 28, 2011 | |
| 10.15*+ | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for awards granted on or after February 22, 2011 and prior to December 30, 2011 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.4 | April 27, 2011 | |
| 10.16*+ | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for awards granted on or after February 22, 2010 and prior to February 22, 2011 under The Allstate Corporation 2009 Equity Incentive Plan | 10-K | 1-11840 | 10.17 | February 25, 2010 | |
| 10.17* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for awards granted on or after September 13, 2008 and prior to February 22, 2010 under The Allstate Corporation 2001 Equity Incentive Plan | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.2 | September 19, 2008 | |
| 10.18* | Supplemental Retirement Income Plan, as amended and restated effective January 1, 2014 | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.3 | July 31, 2013 | |
| 10.19* | The Allstate Corporation Change in Control Severance Plan effective December 30, 2011 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.1 | December 28, 2011 | |
| 10.20* | The Allstate Corporation Deferred Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective September 15, 2008 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.7 | September 19, 2008 | |
| 10.21* | The Allstate Corporation Equity Incentive Plan for Non-Employee Directors as amended and restated effective September 15, 2008 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.5 | September 19, 2008 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Form | Incorporated by Reference | | | Filed or Furnished Herewith |
|----------------|--|------|---------------------------|---------|--------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | | File Number | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 10.22* | The Allstate Corporation 2006 Equity Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors, as amended and restated effective September 15, 2008 | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.6 | September 19, 2008 | |
| 10.23* | Form of Option Award Agreement under The Allstate Corporation 2006 Equity Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.3 | May 19, 2006 | |
| 10.24* | Form of amended and restated Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement with regards to awards outstanding on September 15, 2008 under The Allstate Corporation 2006 Equity Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.8 | September 19, 2008 | |
| 10.25* | Form of Restricted Stock Unit Award Agreement for awards granted on or after September 15, 2008 under The Allstate Corporation 2006 Equity Compensation Plan for Non-Employee Directors | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.9 | September 19, 2008 | |
| 10.26* | Form of Indemnification Agreement between the Registrant and Director | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.2 | August 1, 2007 | |
| 10.27* | Resolutions regarding Non-Employee Director Compensation | 10-Q | 1-11840 | 10.1 | October 27, 2010 | |
| 10.28 | Stock Purchase Agreement, dated as of May 17, 2011, between White Mountains Holdings (Luxembourg) S.à r.l. and The Allstate Corporation. (Certain schedules and exhibits to the Stock Purchase Agreement are omitted pursuant to Item 601(b)(2) of Regulation S-K. The Registrant agrees to furnish to the Securities and Exchange Commission, upon request, a copy of any omitted schedule or exhibit.) | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.1 | May 23, 2011 | |
| 10.29 | Guaranty Agreement, dated as of May 17, 2011, by White Mountains Insurance Group, Ltd. in favor of The Allstate Corporation | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.2 | May 23, 2011 | |
| 10.30 | Stock Purchase Agreement, dated July 17, 2013, among Allstate Life Insurance Company, Resolution Life Holdings, Inc., and Resolution Life L.P. | 8-K | 1-11840 | 10.1 | July 22, 2013 | |
| 12 | Computation of Earnings to Fixed Charges Ratio | | | | | X |
| 14 | The Allstate Code of Ethics | 8-K | 1-11840 | 14 | May 23, 2012 | |
| 21 | Subsidiaries of The Allstate Corporation | | | | | X |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

Table of Contents

| Exhibit Number | Exhibit Description | Incorporated by Reference | | | | Filed or Furnished Herewith |
|----------------|---|---------------------------|-------------|---------|-------------|-----------------------------|
| | | Form | File Number | Exhibit | Filing Date | |
| 23 | Consent of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm | | | | | X |
| 31(i) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Executive Officer | | | | | X |
| 31(i) | Rule 13a-14(a) Certification of Principal Financial Officer | | | | | X |
| 32 | Section 1350 Certifications | | | | | X |
| 101.INS | XBRL Instance Document | | | | | X |
| 101.SCH | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema | | | | | X |
| 101.CAL | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase | | | | | X |
| 101.DEF | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase | | | | | X |
| 101.LAB | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase | | | | | X |
| 101.PRE | XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase | | | | | X |

Item 15. (b)

The exhibits are listed in Item 15. (a)(3) above.

Item 15. (c)

The financial statement schedules are listed in Item 15. (a)(2) above.

Table of Contents

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION
(Registrant)

/s/ Samuel H. Pilch

By: Samuel H. Pilch
(Senior Group Vice President and Controller)

February 19, 2014

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, this report has been signed below by the following persons on behalf of the registrant and in the capacities and on the dates indicated.

| Signature | Title | Date |
|---|---|-------------------|
| <u>/s/ Thomas J. Wilson</u> Thomas J. Wilson | Chairman of the Board, President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director (Principal Executive Officer) | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ Steven E. Shebik</u> Steven E. Shebik | Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer (Principal Financial Officer) | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ F. Duane Ackerman</u> F. Duane Ackerman | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ Robert D. Beyer</u> Robert D. Beyer | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ Kermit R. Crawford</u> Kermit R. Crawford | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ Jack M. Greenberg</u> Jack M. Greenberg | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ Herbert L. Henkel</u> Herbert L. Henkel | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ Ronald T. LeMay</u> Ronald T. LeMay | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| <u>/s/ Andrea Redmond</u> | Director | February 19, 2014 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | |
|------------------------|---------------|-------------------|
| Andrea Redmond | | |
| /s/ H. John Riley, Jr. | | |
| H. John Riley, Jr. | Lead Director | February 19, 2014 |
| /s/ John W. Rowe | | |
| John W. Rowe | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| /s/ Judith A. Sprieser | | |
| Judith A. Sprieser | Director | February 19, 2014 |
| /s/ Mary Alice Taylor | | |
| Mary Alice Taylor | Director | February 19, 2014 |

207

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE I SUMMARY OF INVESTMENTS
OTHER THAN INVESTMENTS IN RELATED PARTIES
DECEMBER 31, 2013

(\$ in millions)

| <u>Type of investment</u> | Cost/ amortized cost | Fair value | Amount at which shown in the Balance Sheet |
|---|----------------------------|---------------|---|
| Fixed maturities: | | | |
| Bonds: | | | |
| United States government, government agencies and authorities | \$ 2,791 | \$ 2,913 | \$ 2,913 |
| States, municipalities and political subdivisions | 8,446 | 8,723 | 8,723 |
| Foreign governments | 1,736 | 1,824 | 1,824 |
| Public utilities | 6,284 | 6,660 | 6,660 |
| Convertibles and bonds with warrants attached | 148 | 113 | 113 |
| All other corporate bonds | 32,899 | 33,830 | 33,830 |
| Asset-backed securities | 4,491 | 4,518 | 4,518 |
| Residential mortgage-backed securities | 1,403 | 1,474 | 1,474 |
| Commercial mortgage-backed securities | 788 | 829 | 829 |
| Redeemable preferred stocks | 22 | 26 | 26 |
| | | | |
| Total fixed maturities | 59,008 | \$ 60,910 | 60,910 |
| | | | |
| Equity securities: | | | |
| Common stocks: | | | |
| Public utilities | 373 | \$ 380 | 380 |
| Banks, trusts and insurance companies | 300 | 394 | 394 |
| Industrial, miscellaneous and all other | 3,755 | 4,267 | 4,267 |
| Nonredeemable preferred stocks | 45 | 56 | 56 |
| | | | |
| Total equity securities | 4,473 | \$ 5,097 | 5,097 |
| | | | |
| Mortgage loans on real estate | 4,721 | \$ 4,871 | 4,721 |
| | | | |
| Real estate (includes \$19 acquired in satisfaction of debt) | 126 | | 126 |
| Policy loans | 919 | | 919 |
| Derivative instruments | 268 | \$ 269 | 269 |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|-------------------------------|-------|----------|-------|
| Limited partnership interests | 4,967 | | 4,967 |
| Other long-term investments | 1,753 | | 1,753 |
| Short-term investments | 2,393 | \$ 2,393 | 2,393 |

| | | |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|
| Total investments | \$ 78,628 | \$ 81,155 |
|-------------------|-----------|-----------|

S-1

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE II
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

| (\$ in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|--------------|------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Revenues | | | |
| Investment income, less investment expense | \$ 3 | \$ 6 | \$ 16 |
| Realized capital gains and losses | | | 28 |
| Other income | 42 | 10 | 9 |
| | 45 | 16 | 53 |
| Expenses | | | |
| Interest expense | 366 | 372 | 365 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 491 | | |
| Pension and other postretirement benefit expense | (184) | | |
| Other operating expenses | 30 | 22 | 63 |
| | 703 | 394 | 428 |
| Loss from operations before income tax benefit and equity in net income of subsidiaries | (658) | (378) | (375) |
| Income tax benefit | (251) | (137) | (135) |
| Loss before equity in net income of subsidiaries | (407) | (241) | (240) |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | 2,687 | 2,547 | 1,027 |
| Net income | 2,280 | 2,306 | 787 |
| Preferred stock dividends | 17 | | |
| Net income available to common shareholders | 2,263 | 2,306 | 787 |
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, after-tax | | | |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses | (1,188) | 1,434 | 452 |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments | (32) | 14 | (12) |
| Unrecognized pension and other postretirement benefit cost | 1,091 | (302) | (239) |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | |
|--|----------|----------|--------|
| Other comprehensive (loss) income, after-tax | (129) | 1,146 | 201 |
| Comprehensive income | \$ 2,151 | \$ 3,452 | \$ 988 |

See accompanying notes to condensed financial information and notes to consolidated financial statements.

S-2

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE II (CONTINUED)
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
STATEMENTS OF FINANCIAL POSITION

(\$ in millions, except par value data)

| | December 31, | |
|--|---------------------|------------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 |
| Assets | | |
| Investments in subsidiaries | \$ 26,813 | \$ 27,403 |
| Fixed income securities, at fair value (amortized cost \$210 and \$255) | 213 | 259 |
| Short-term investments, at fair value (amortized cost \$565 and \$133) | 565 | 133 |
| Cash | 105 | 4 |
| Receivable from subsidiaries | 311 | 307 |
| Prepaid pension benefit asset | 401 | |
| Deferred income taxes | | 496 |
| Other assets | 110 | 271 |
| Total assets | \$ 28,518 | \$ 28,873 |
| | | |
| Liabilities | | |
| Long-term debt | \$ 6,157 | \$ 6,012 |
| Pension and other postretirement benefit obligations | 358 | 1,936 |
| Deferred compensation | 255 | 227 |
| Dividends payable to shareholders | 131 | 5 |
| Deferred income taxes | 38 | |
| Other liabilities | 99 | 113 |
| Total liabilities | 7,038 | 8,293 |
| | | |
| Shareholders' equity | | |
| Preferred stock and additional capital paid-in, \$1 par value, 25 million shares authorized, 32.3 thousand shares issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2013 and none issued and outstanding as of December 31, 2012, \$807.5 aggregate liquidation preference | 780 | |
| Common stock, \$.01 par value, 2.0 billion shares authorized and 900 million issued, 449 million and 479 million shares outstanding | 9 | 9 |
| Additional capital paid-in | 3,143 | 3,162 |
| Retained income | 35,580 | 33,783 |
| Deferred ESOP expense | (31) | (41) |
| Treasury stock, at cost (451 million and 421 million shares) | (19,047) | (17,508) |
| Accumulated other comprehensive income: | | |
| Unrealized net capital gains and losses | 1,646 | 2,834 |
| Unrealized foreign currency translation adjustments | 38 | 70 |
| Unrealized pension and other postretirement benefit cost | (638) | (1,729) |
| Total accumulated other comprehensive income | 1,046 | 1,175 |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--------|--------|--------|--------|
| Total shareholders' equity | | 21,480 | | 20,580 | |
| Total liabilities and shareholders' equity | | \$ | 28,518 | \$ | 28,873 |

See accompanying notes to condensed financial information and notes to consolidated financial statements.

S-3

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE II (CONTINUED)
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

| (\$ in millions) | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-------------|-------------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Cash flows from operating activities | | | |
| Net income | \$ 2,280 | \$ 2,306 | \$ 787 |
| Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities: | | | |
| Equity in net income of subsidiaries | (2,687) | (2,547) | (1,027) |
| Dividends received from subsidiaries | 1,992 | 1,038 | 878 |
| Loss on extinguishment of debt | 491 | | |
| Realized capital gains and losses | | | (28) |
| Changes in: | | | |
| Pension and other postretirement benefits | (184) | | |
| Income taxes | 113 | (4) | 7 |
| Operating assets and liabilities | 25 | 59 | 70 |
| Net cash provided by operating activities | 2,030 | 852 | 687 |
| Cash flows from investing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from sales of investments | | 92 | 1,045 |
| Investment purchases | (156) | | (653) |
| Investment collections | 200 | 100 | |
| Return of capital from subsidiaries | 37 | 154 | 27 |
| Change in short-term investments, net | (450) | 15 | 1,282 |
| Acquisition of subsidiary, net | | | (1,009) |
| Net cash (used in) provided by investing activities | (369) | 361 | 692 |
| Cash flows from financing activities | | | |
| Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt | 2,271 | 493 | |
| Repayment of long-term debt | (2,627) | (352) | |
| Proceeds from issuance of preferred stock | 781 | | |
| Dividends paid on common stock | (352) | (534) | (435) |
| Dividends paid on preferred stock | (6) | | |
| Treasury stock purchases | (1,834) | (913) | (953) |
| Shares reissued under equity incentive plans, net | 170 | 85 | 19 |
| Excess tax benefits on share-based payment arrangements | 38 | 10 | (5) |
| Other | (1) | (3) | |
| Net cash used in financing activities | (1,560) | (1,214) | (1,374) |
| Net increase (decrease) in cash | 101 | (1) | 5 |
| Cash at beginning of year | 4 | 5 | |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | |
|---------------------|----|-----|----|---|----|---|
| Cash at end of year | \$ | 105 | \$ | 4 | \$ | 5 |
|---------------------|----|-----|----|---|----|---|

See accompanying notes to condensed financial information and notes to consolidated financial statements.

S-4

Table of Contents

**THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE II (CONTINUED)
CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION OF REGISTRANT
NOTES TO CONDENSED FINANCIAL INFORMATION**

1. General

The financial statements of the Registrant should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto included in Item 8. The long-term debt presented in Note 13 "Capital Structure" are direct obligations of the Registrant, with the exception of the \$44 million of synthetic lease obligations as of both December 31, 2013 and 2012. A majority of the pension and other postretirement benefits plans presented in Note 18 "Benefit Plans" are direct obligations of the Registrant. Effective November 30, 2011, the Registrant became the sponsor of a majority of the pension benefit plans presented in Note 18. Prior to November 30, 2011, a subsidiary of the Registrant was the sponsor of these plans.

2. Supplemental Disclosures of Non-Cash Investing Activity and Cash Flow Information

The Registrant's assumption of the obligations associated with the pension benefit plans previously sponsored by a subsidiary of the Registrant resulted in a non-cash decrease in the Registrant's investment in subsidiaries of \$713 million, after-tax in 2011.

The Registrant paid \$359 million, \$364 million and \$360 million of interest on debt in 2013, 2012 and 2011, respectively.

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE III SUPPLEMENTARY INSURANCE INFORMATION

| (\$ in millions) | As of December 31, | | | For the year ended December 31, | | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---|--|------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| | Deferred acquisition costs | Reserves for claims and claims expense, contract benefits and funds | Unearned premiums | Premium revenue and contract charges | Net investment income | Claims and claims expense, contract benefits and interest credited to contractholders | Amortization of policy acquisition costs | Other operating costs and expenses | Premiums written (excluding life) |
| Segment | | | | | | | | | |
| 2013 | | | | | | | | | |
| Property-Liability operations | | | | | | | | | |
| Allstate Protection | \$ 1,625 | \$ 19,598 | \$ 10,917 | \$ 27,618 | | \$ 17,769 | \$ 3,674 | \$ 3,814 | \$ 28,164 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | | 2,259 | | | | 142 | | 1 | |
| Total Property-Liability | 1,625 | 21,857 | 10,917 | 27,618 | \$ 1,375 | 17,911 | 3,674 | 3,815 | 28,164 |
| Allstate Financial operations | 1,747 | 36,690 | 15 | 2,352 | 2,538 | 3,195 | 328 | 572 | 723 |
| Corporate and Other | | | | | 30 | | | 928 | |
| Total | \$ 3,372 | \$ 58,547 | \$ 10,932 | \$ 29,970 | \$ 3,943 | \$ 21,106 | \$ 4,002 | \$ 5,315 | \$ 28,887 |
| 2012 | | | | | | | | | |
| Property-Liability operations | | | | | | | | | |
| Allstate Protection | \$ 1,396 | \$ 19,036 | \$ 10,345 | \$ 26,737 | | \$ 18,433 | \$ 3,483 | \$ 3,568 | \$ 27,026 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | | 2,252 | | | | 51 | | 2 | 1 |
| Total Property-Liability | 1,396 | 21,288 | 10,345 | 26,737 | \$ 1,326 | 18,484 | 3,483 | 3,570 | 27,027 |
| Allstate Financial operations | 2,225 | 54,214 | 30 | 2,241 | 2,647 | 3,134 | 401 | 576 | 655 |
| Corporate and Other | | | | | 37 | | | 379 | |
| Total | \$ 3,621 | \$ 75,502 | \$ 10,375 | \$ 28,978 | \$ 4,010 | \$ 21,618 | \$ 3,884 | \$ 4,525 | \$ 27,682 |
| 2011 | | | | | | | | | |
| Property-Liability operations | | | | | | | | | |
| Allstate Protection | \$ 1,348 | \$ 18,042 | \$ 10,023 | \$ 25,942 | | \$ 20,140 | \$ 3,477 | \$ 3,182 | \$ 25,981 |
| Discontinued Lines and Coverages | | 2,333 | | | | 21 | | 4 | (1) |
| Total Property-Liability | 1,348 | 20,375 | 10,023 | 25,942 | \$ 1,201 | 20,161 | 3,477 | 3,186 | 25,980 |
| Allstate Financial operations | 2,523 | 56,738 | 34 | 2,238 | 2,716 | 3,406 | 494 | 556 | 647 |
| Corporate and Other | | | | | 54 | | | 408 | |
| Total | \$ 3,871 | \$ 77,113 | \$ 10,057 | \$ 28,180 | \$ 3,971 | \$ 23,567 | \$ 3,971 | \$ 4,150 | \$ 26,627 |

(1) A single investment portfolio supports both Allstate Protection and Discontinued Lines and Coverages segments.

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE IV REINSURANCE

(\$ in millions)

| | Gross amount | Ceded to other companies ⁽¹⁾ | Assumed from other companies | Net amount | Percentage of amount assumed to net |
|---|-----------------|---|------------------------------------|---------------|---|
| Year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 528,473 | \$ 196,274 | \$ 14,003 | \$ 346,202 | 4.0% |
| Premiums and contract charges: | | | | | |
| Life insurance | \$ 2,088 | \$ 538 | \$ 82 | \$ 1,632 | 5.0% |
| Accident and health insurance | 821 | 101 | | 720 | % |
| Property-liability insurance | 28,638 | 1,069 | 49 | 27,618 | 0.2% |
| Total premiums and contract charges | \$ 31,547 | \$ 1,708 | \$ 131 | \$ 29,970 | 0.4% |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 521,209 | \$ 209,874 | \$ 14,834 | \$ 326,169 | 4.5% |
| Premiums and contract charges: | | | | | |
| Life insurance | \$ 2,089 | \$ 556 | \$ 55 | \$ 1,588 | 3.5% |
| Accident and health insurance | 771 | 118 | | 653 | % |
| Property-liability insurance | 27,794 | 1,090 | 33 | 26,737 | 0.1% |
| Total premiums and contract charges | \$ 30,654 | \$ 1,764 | \$ 88 | \$ 28,978 | 0.3% |
| Year ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Life insurance in force | \$ 519,639 | \$ 222,379 | \$ 9,137 | \$ 306,397 | 3.0% |

Edgar Filing: ALLSTATE CORP - Form 10-K

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|----|--------|----|-------|----|----|----|--------|------|-------|----|----|----|--------|------|
| Premiums and contract charges: | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Life insurance | \$ | 2,177 | \$ | 617 | \$ | 35 | \$ | 1,595 | 2.2% | | | | | | |
| Accident and health insurance | | 776 | | 133 | | | | 643 | % | | | | | | |
| Property-liability insurance | | 27,016 | | 1,098 | | 24 | | 25,942 | 0.1% | | | | | | |
| Total premiums and contract charges | | | | | | | \$ | 29,969 | \$ | 1,848 | \$ | 59 | \$ | 28,180 | 0.2% |

(1) No reinsurance or coinsurance income was netted against premium ceded in 2013, 2012 or 2011.

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE V VALUATION ALLOWANCES AND QUALIFYING ACCOUNTS

| Description | Additions | | | | Balance as of end of period |
|--|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|------------|-----------------------------|
| | Balance as of beginning of period | Charged to costs and expenses | Other additions | Deductions | |
| (\$ in millions) | | | | | |
| Year ended December 31, 2013 | | | | | |
| Allowance for reinsurance recoverables | \$ 87 | \$ 8 | | \$ 3 | \$ 92 |
| Allowance for premium installment receivable | 70 | 96 | | 89 | 77 |
| Allowance for deferred tax assets | | | | | |
| Allowance for estimated losses on mortgage loans | 42 | (11) | | 10 | 21 |
| Year ended December 31, 2012 | | | | | |
| Allowance for reinsurance recoverables | \$ 103 | \$ | \$ | \$ 16 | \$ 87 |
| Allowance for premium installment receivable | 70 | 85 | | 85 | 70 |
| Allowance for deferred tax assets | 67 | | | 67 | |
| Allowance for estimated losses on mortgage loans | 63 | (5) | | 16 | 42 |
| Year ended December 31, 2011 | | | | | |
| Allowance for reinsurance recoverables | \$ 142 | \$ | \$ | \$ 39 | \$ 103 |
| Allowance for premium installment receivable | 75 | 82 | | 87 | 70 |
| Allowance for deferred tax assets | 6 | | 67 | 6 | 67 |
| Allowance for estimated losses on mortgage loans | 84 | 37 | | 58 | 63 |

S-8

Table of Contents

THE ALLSTATE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES
SCHEDULE VI SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION CONCERNING
CONSOLIDATED PROPERTY-CASUALTY INSURANCE OPERATIONS

| (\$ in millions) | As of December 31, | | |
|--|--------------------|----------|----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Deferred policy acquisition costs | \$ 1,625 | \$ 1,396 | \$ 1,348 |
| Reserves for insurance claims and claims expense | 21,857 | 21,288 | 20,375 |
| Unearned premiums | 10,917 | 10,345 | 10,023 |

| | Year Ended December 31, | | |
|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|
| | 2013 | 2012 | 2011 |
| Earned premiums | \$ 27,618 | \$ 26,737 | \$ 25,942 |
| Net investment income | 1,375 | 1,326 | 1,201 |
| Claims and claims adjustment expense incurred | | | |
| Current year | 18,032 | 19,149 | 20,496 |
| Prior years | (121) | (665) | (335) |
| Amortization of deferred policy acquisition costs | 3,674 | 3,483 | 3,477 |
| Paid claims and claims adjustment expense | 17,996 | 18,980 | 20,195 |
| Premiums written | 28,164 | 27,027 | 25,980 |

S-9

Table of Contents

REPORT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

To the Board of Directors and Shareholders of
The Allstate Corporation
Northbrook, Illinois 60062

We have audited the consolidated financial statements of The Allstate Corporation and subsidiaries (the "Company") as of December 31, 2013 and 2012, and for each of the three years in the period ended December 31, 2013, and the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of December 31, 2013, and have issued our report thereon dated February 20, 2014; such consolidated financial statements and report are included elsewhere in this Annual Report on Form 10-K. Our audits also included the consolidated financial statement schedules of the Company listed in the accompanying index at Item 15. These consolidated financial statement schedules are the responsibility of the Company's management. Our responsibility is to express an opinion based on our audits. In our opinion, such consolidated financial statement schedules, when considered in relation to the basic consolidated financial statements taken as a whole, present fairly, in all material respects, the information set forth therein.

/s/ Deloitte & Touche LLP

Chicago, Illinois
February 20, 2014

S-10
