COGENT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC Form DEF 14A February 28, 2013

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(4)

(5)

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

		SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION
		Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934
	Filed	by the Registrant ý
	Filed	by a Party other than the Registrant o
	Chec	k the appropriate box:
	o	Preliminary Proxy Statement
	o	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
	ý	Definitive Proxy Statement
	o	Definitive Additional Materials
	o	Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-11 OR §240.14a-12
co	GEN	T COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC.
Na	ime of	f Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)
Na	me of	f Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)
ay	ment	of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):
,	No	o fee required.
)	Fee (1)	e computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Total fee paid:

0	Fee p	aid previously with preliminary materials.						
o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of								
	(1)	Amount Previously Paid:						
	(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:						
	(3)	Filing Party:						
	(4)	Date Filed:						

1015 31st Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 295-4200

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON April 18, 2013

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Cogent Communications Group, Inc., a Delaware corporation (the "Company"), will be held on April 18, 2013, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Company's offices at 1015 31st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20007, for the following purposes:

- To elect seven directors to hold office until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their respective successors have been elected or appointed.
- 2. To vote on the ratification of the selection by the Audit Committee of Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accountants for the Company for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013.
- To vote on the re-approval of the Cogent Communications Group, Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan.
 The vote is on re-approval of the plan document; no increase in the number of shares available for issuance under the plan is being requested.
- 4. To hold a non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of Company's named executive officers.
- To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The foregoing matters are described in more detail in the enclosed Proxy Statement.

The Board of Directors has fixed February 22, 2013 as the record date for determining stockholders entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders.

The Company's Proxy Statement is attached hereto. Financial and other information about the Company is contained in the enclosed 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012.

You are cordially invited to attend the meeting in person. Your participation in these matters is important, regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether or not you expect to attend in person, we urge you to complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card as promptly as possible in the enclosed envelope. If you choose to attend the meeting you may then vote in person if you so desire, even though you may have executed and returned the proxy. Any stockholder who executes such a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is exercised. A proxy may be revoked at any time before it is exercised by delivering written notice of revocation to the Company, Attention: Ried Zulager; by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date to the Company; or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

	Ried Zulager, Secretary	
Washington, D.C.		
Washington, D.C. February 28, 2013		

Edgar Filing: COGENT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP INC - Form DEF 14A COGENT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to Be Held at 9:00 a.m., April 18, 2013

The proxy statement and annual report to stockholders (Form 10-K) are available at: http://www.cogentco.com/en/about-cogent/investor-relations/reports.

The materials available at the website are the proxy statement and annual report to shareholders (Form 10-K).

The annual shareholder meeting will be held at 9:00 a.m. on April 18, 2013 at Cogent's offices at 1015 31st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20007. The matters to be covered are noted below:

- 1. Election of directors;
- 2. Ratification of appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013;
- 3.

 To vote on the re-approval of the Cogent Communications Group, Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan, originally approved in 2004. The vote is on re-approval of the plan document; no increase in the number of shares available for issuance under the plan is being requested.
- 4. Non-binding advisory vote to approve the compensation of Company's named executive officers;
- 5. Other matters as may properly come before the meeting.

The Board of Directors of Cogent recommends voting FOR Proposal 1 Election of Directors, FOR Proposal 2 Ratification of Appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013, FOR Proposal 3 Re-approval of the Amended and Restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan, and FOR Proposal 4 Non-binding Approval of Executive Compensation.

You are cordially invited to attend the meeting in person. Your participation in these matters is important, regardless of the number of shares you own. Whether or not you expect to attend in person, we urge you to complete, sign, date and return the enclosed proxy card as promptly as possible in the enclosed envelope. If you choose to attend the meeting you may then vote in person if you so desire, even though you may have executed and returned the proxy. Any stockholder who executes such a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is exercised. A proxy may be revoked at any time before it is exercised by delivering written notice of revocation to the Company, Attention: Ried Zulager; by delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date to the Company; or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

1015 31st Street, NW Washington, D.C. 20007 (202) 295-4200

PROXY STATEMENT

The Board of Directors of Cogent Communications Group, Inc. (the "Company"), a Delaware corporation, is soliciting your proxy on the proxy card enclosed with this Proxy Statement. Your proxy will be voted at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held on April 18, 2013, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Company's offices at 1015 31st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20007, and any adjournment or postponement thereof. This Proxy Statement, the accompanying proxy card and the Company's 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders are first being mailed to stockholders on or about March 4, 2013.

VOTING SECURITIES

Voting Rights and Outstanding Shares

Only stockholders of record on the books of the Company as of 5:00 p.m., February 22, 2013 (the "Record Date"), will be entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. At the close of business on the Record Date, the outstanding voting securities of the Company consisted of 47,129,700 shares of common stock, par value \$0.001 per share.

Holders of our common stock are entitled to one vote for each share held of record on all matters submitted to a vote of the stockholders. Votes cast by proxy or in person at the Annual Meeting will be tabulated by the Inspector of Elections with the assistance of the Company's transfer agent. The Inspector will also determine whether or not a quorum is present. In general, our Bylaws provide that a quorum consists of a majority of the shares issued and outstanding and entitled to vote, the holders of which are present in person or represented by proxy. Broker non-votes (which occur when a brokerage firm has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner on a non-routine matter, as defined by under applicable rules and as discussed in greater detail below) and abstentions are counted for purposes of determining whether a quorum is present.

Except in very limited circumstances, the affirmative vote of a majority of the shares having voting power present in person or represented by proxy at a duly held meeting at which a quorum is present is required under the Company's Bylaws for approval of proposals presented to stockholders, including Proposals 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Proxies

The shares represented by the proxies received, properly dated and executed and not revoked will be voted at the Annual Meeting in accordance with the instructions of the stockholders. A proxy may be revoked at any time before it is exercised by:

delivering written notice of revocation to the Company, Attention: Ried Zulager;

delivering a duly executed proxy bearing a later date to the Company; or

attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person.

Any proxy that is returned using the form of proxy enclosed and which is not marked as to a particular item will be voted "FOR" the election of directors, "FOR" the ratification of the selection by

the Audit Committee of Ernst & Young LLP as independent registered public accountants, "FOR" the approval of the Cogent Communications Group, Inc. Amended and Restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan, and "FOR" the non-binding approval of the compensation of the Company's named executive officers.

Proposals 1, 3, and 4 are matters considered non-routine under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee cannot vote on these non-routine matters without specific voting instructions and therefore there may be broker non-votes on Proposals 1, 3, and 4.

Proposal 2 is a matter considered routine under applicable rules. A broker or other nominee may generally vote on routine matters without specific voting instructions, and therefore no broker non-votes are expected to exist in connection with Proposal 2.

Broker non-votes will not be deemed to have voting power and thus will have no effect on voting. However, abstentions will be treated as present and having voting power, and accordingly will have the effect of a negative vote for purposes of determining the approval of Proposals 1, 2, 3, and 4.

The Company believes that the tabulation procedures to be followed by the Inspector are consistent with the general statutory requirements in Delaware concerning voting of shares and determination of a quorum.

The cost of soliciting proxies will be borne by the Company. In addition, the Company may reimburse brokerage firms and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares for their expenses in forwarding solicitation material to such beneficial owners. Proxies may also be solicited by certain of the Company's directors, officers and regular employees, without additional compensation, personally or by telephone or email.

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Seven directors are to be elected at the Annual Meeting to serve until their respective successors are elected and qualified. Nominees for election to the Board of Directors shall be approved by a majority of the votes cast by holders of our common stock present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, each share being entitled to one vote.

In the event any nominee is unable or unwilling to serve as a nominee, the proxies may be voted for the balance of those nominees named and for any substitute nominee designated by the present Board or the proxy holders to fill such vacancy, or for the balance of those nominees named without nomination of a substitute, or the Board may be reduced in accordance with the Bylaws of the Company. The Board has no reason to believe that any of the persons named will be unable or unwilling to serve as a nominee or as a director if elected.

Set forth below is certain information concerning the seven directors of the Company nominated to be elected at the Annual Meeting:

Dave Schaeffer, age 56, founded our Company in August 1999 and is our Chairman of the Board of Directors, Chief Executive Officer and President. Prior to founding the Company, Mr. Schaeffer was the founder of Pathnet, Inc., a broadband telecommunications provider, where he served as Chief Executive Officer from 1995 until 1997 and as Chairman from 1997 until 1999. Mr. Schaeffer has been a director since 1999. Mr. Schaeffer serves as both Chairman and CEO because he is the founder of the Company and has successfully led the Company and the board since the Company was founded. For this reason he has been nominated to continue serving on the Board.

Steven D. Brooks, age 61, has served on our Board of Directors since October 2003. Mr. Brooks is a private investor. He was Managing Partner of BCP Capital Management from 1999 to 2009. From 1997 until 1999, Mr. Brooks headed the technology industry mergers and acquisition practice at Donaldson, Lufkin & Jenrette. Previously, Mr. Brooks held a variety of positions in the investment banking and private equity fields, including: Head of Global Technology Banking at Union Bank of Switzerland, Managing Partner of Corporate Finance at Robertson Stephens, founder and Managing Partner of West Coast technology investment banking at Alex Brown & Sons, and Principal at Rainwater, Inc., a private equity firm in Fort Worth, Texas. Mr. Brooks has been nominated to continue serving on the Board because of his extensive experience with firms such as Cogent and with public market activities of such companies. Having been involved with the Company since its early days he also brings extensive historical perspective to the Board.

Erel N. Margalit, age 52, has served on our Board of Directors since 2000. Mr. Margalit is chairman of Jerusalem Venture Partners (which he founded in 1998) and is a member of the Israeli parliament (the Knesset). He was a general partner of Jerusalem Pacific Ventures from December 1993 to August 1997. From 1990 to 1993, Mr. Margalit was Director of Business Development of the City of Jerusalem. Mr. Margalit serves on the board of directors (which also serves as the compensation committee in each case) of Sepaton, Inc., Cyber-Ark Software, Ltd., CyOptics, Inc., JVP Media Studio, L.P., Citypulse Ltd., Anyclip Media Ltd., and Siano Mobile Silicon, Inc. All of these companies are privately held. A Jerusalem Venture Partners fund managed by Mr. Margalit was a founding investor in Cogent. Mr. Margalit continues to play an active role in various technology ventures both in and outside the U.S. Mr. Margalit has been nominated to continue serving on the Board because of this extensive knowledge of the international technology market.

Timothy Weingarten, age 37, has served on our Board of Directors since October 2003. Mr. Weingarten is currently the co-founder & CEO of ShopTAP Inc. Prior to founding ShopTAP Inc, he was the Chairman and CEO of Visage Mobile. He is also a former General Partner of Worldview Technology Partners an early stage venture capital fund with over \$1 billion under management. From

1996 to 2000, Mr. Weingarten was a member of the telecom equipment research group at Robertson Stephens and Company. Mr. Weingarten is also a member of the board of directors of ShopTAP Inc. and Ooma, Inc., both privately held companies. Mr. Weingarten has been nominated to continue serving on the Board because of his extensive knowledge of U.S. venture capital backed companies making use of the Internet. The Board values this insight since Cogent's future growth depends to a great extent on the uses made of the Internet.

Richard T. Liebhaber, age 77, has served on our Board of Directors since March 2006. Mr. Liebhaber was with IBM from 1954 to 1985, where he held a variety of positions. Subsequently, he served as executive vice president and member of the management committee at MCI Communications, and served on the board of directors of MCI from 1992 to 1995. From 1995 to 2001, Mr. Liebhaber served as managing director at Veronis, Suhler & Associates, a New York media merchant banking firm. Mr. Liebhaber has been nominated to continue serving on the Board because of his extensive operational experience with telecommunications companies.

D. Blake Bath, age 50, has served on our Board of Directors since November 2006. He is the Chief Executive Officer of Bay Bridge Capital Management, LLC, an investment firm in Bethesda, MD. From 1996 until 2006, Mr. Bath was Managing Director at Lehman Brothers and, as a senior equity research analyst for Lehman Brothers, was Lehman's lead analyst covering wireline and wireless telecommunications services. Prior to joining Lehman Brothers he was the primary telecommunications analyst at Sanford C. Bernstein from 1992 to 1996. From 1989 to 1992 he was an analyst in the Strategic Planning and Corporate Finance organizations at MCI Communications. Mr. Bath has been nominated to continue serving on the Board because of his wide experience with the telecommunications industry which allows him to contribute a broad perspective to discussions about the Company's future activities and its place in the current competitive landscape.

Marc Montagner, age 51, has served on our Board of Directors since April 2010. He is currently Chief Financial Officer at LightSquared. He had been Executive Vice President of Strategy, Development and Distribution at LightSquared earlier. On May 14, 2012, LightSquared filed for reorganization under Chapter 11 of the U.S. Bankruptcy Code. Prior to joining LightSquared in February of 2009, Mr. Montagner was Managing Director and Co-Head of the Global Telecom, Media and Technology Merger and Acquisition Group at Banc of America Securities. Until August of 2006, he was Senior Vice President, Corporate Development and M&A with the Sprint Nextel Corporation. Prior to this, Mr. Montagner had the same responsibilities with Nextel Communications. Prior to 2002, Mr. Montagner was a Managing Director in the Media and Telecom Group at Morgan Stanley. Prior to joining Morgan Stanley, Mr. Montagner worked for France Télécom in New York where he was Head of Corporate Development for North America. Mr. Montagner has been nominated to continue serving on the Board due to his extensive experience in the telecommunications industry, specifically with respect to operational, financial and strategic matters.

Unless marked otherwise, proxies received will be voted "FOR" the election of each of the nominees named above.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors:

The Board recommends a vote "FOR" the election of all nominees named above.

PROPOSAL NO. 2 RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF ERNST & YOUNG LLP AS INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. Services provided to the Company and its subsidiaries by Ernst & Young LLP in fiscal years 2011 and 2012 are described under "Relationship with Independent Registered Public Accountants" Fees and Services of Ernst & Young LLP," below.

We are asking our stockholders to ratify the selection of Ernst & Young LLP as our independent registered public accountants. Although ratification is not required by our Bylaws or otherwise, the Board is submitting the selection of Ernst & Young LLP to our stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP will be available by telephone at the Annual Meeting to respond to appropriate questions and will have the opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on this item will be required for ratification. The Board recommends that stockholders vote "FOR" ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for fiscal year 2013. Unless marked otherwise, proxies received will be voted "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for 2013.

In the event stockholders do not ratify the appointment, the appointment may be reconsidered by the Audit Committee and the Board. The Company believes that neither the Audit Committee nor the Board is obliged to make any such reconsideration under Delaware law, the rules of the stock exchange on which it is listed, or the rules promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission that frame certain specific obligations of the members of all public company audit committees with respect to the selection of independent registered public accountants. Even if the selection is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may select a different independent registered public accounting firm at any time during the year if it determines that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors:

The Board recommends a vote "FOR" the ratification of the appointment of Ernst & Young LLP as the Company's independent registered public accountants for 2013.

PROPOSAL NO. 3 APPROVAL OF THE AMENDED AND RESTATED 2004 INCENTIVE AWARD PLAN

On February 20, 2013, the Board of Directors approved, subject to stockholder approval, the amendment and restatement of the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. The principal purpose of the amendment and restatement is to allow the Company to continue to use the pre-existing 2004 Incentive Award Plan beyond June 2014 when, pursuant to its present terms, the plan will otherwise expire. Upon the approval of the amended and restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan, the "Expiration Date" of the plan will be April 18, 2023, the tenth (10th) anniversary of the most recent stockholder approval. At the 2012 annual meeting, the stockholders approved an amendment to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan that added shares to the plan so that a total of 1,480,040 shares could be issued under the Plan. The approval sought at this meeting is simply to re-authorize the plan and extend the Expiration Date; no additional shares are being requested and no further changes to the plan are being made.

The amended and restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan is the Company's sole plan for providing equity incentive compensation to eligible employees, non-employee directors and consultants and is used to attract, motivate and retain talented employees, consultants and non-employee directors, align employee and stockholder interests, link employee compensation with company performance and maintain a culture based on employee stock ownership. As of December 31, 2012, there were 201,115 shares available for issuance under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan.

Burn Rate

The "burn rate" at which the Company has awarded stock and options to employees, including the named executive officers, in the last three years is set out below. The "burn rate" is the sum of stock and option awards granted divided by the number of shares of stock outstanding.

(shares in thousands)	2012	2011	2010	TOTAL	AVERAGE
Options granted	61	47	43	151	50
Shares granted	1,338	246	1,230	2,814	938
TOTAL	1,399	293	1,273	2,965	988
Weighted average shares basic EPS	45,514				
Burn rate 1 year	3.07%				
Burn rate 3 year average	2.17%				

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on this item will be required for ratification. Approval of the amended and restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan by our stockholders will constitute approval of the terms and conditions set forth therein. If this Proposal 3 is not approved by our stockholders, the amended and restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan will not become effective, but the pre-existing plan will still remain in effect in accordance with its present terms until its expiration in 2014.

The principal features of the amended and restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan, which is subject to stockholder approval, are summarized below. The summary below is qualified by reference to the full text of the amended and restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan which is included as Appendix A to this Proxy Statement.

Summary of the 2004 Incentive Award Plan

General. In 2004, the Company adopted the 2004 Incentive Award Plan to promote the success of the business and enhance the Company's value by linking the personal interests of employees, consultants and directors to its success and by providing these individuals with an incentive for outstanding performance. The 2004 Incentive Award Plan provides for the grant of incentive stock options, nonqualified stock options, restricted stock, restricted stock units, stock appreciation rights, dividend equivalent rights, performance-based awards, deferred stock, stock payments and other stock-based awards (collectively, the "Awards").

Shares Subject to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. As of December 31, 2012 under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan, the aggregate number of shares of our common stock that may be issued or transferred pursuant to Awards is 201,115. No more than 769,230 shares of our common stock may be granted to any one participant in any twelve month period.

If any shares subject to an Award under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan terminate, expire or lapse for any reason without the delivery of shares, then the shares subject to such Award will be available

for grant under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. In addition, shares tendered or withheld to satisfy the grant or exercise price or tax withholding obligation pursuant to an Award will be available for future grants under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. To the extent permitted by applicable law or any exchange rule, shares issued in assumption of, or in substitution for, any outstanding awards of an entity acquired by the Company or any of its subsidiaries will not be counted against the shares available for grant under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. The payment of dividend equivalents in connection with any outstanding Awards will not count against the shares available for issuance under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan.

On February 15, 2013, the closing price of a share of our common stock as reported by the NASDAQ Global Select Market was \$25.02.

Administration. Our Board of Directors administers the 2004 Incentive Award Plan, unless and until the Board of Directors delegates administration of the plan to a committee, subject to the limitations set forth in the plan (the "Administrator"). The CEO has been delegated authority, subject to limits, to make grants to employees other than executive officers.

The Administrator is authorized to determine the individuals who will receive Awards (the "participants"), the terms and conditions of such Awards, the types of Awards to be granted, the number of shares to be subject to each Award, the price of the Awards granted, any reload provisions, payment terms and payment methods applicable to each Award. The Administrator is also authorized to establish, adopt or revise rules relating to the administration of the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. The Administrator may delegate its authority to grant or amend Awards with respect to participants other than senior executive officers subject to Section 16 of the Exchange Act, employees covered by Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code or the officers to whom the authority to grant or amend Awards has been delegated.

Eligibility. Awards may be granted to individuals who are then employees, consultants or independent directors of our company or one of our subsidiaries, unless otherwise indicated. As of January 31, 2013, we had approximately 605 employees and seven directors, six of whom were independent directors.

Awards. Each Award is set forth in a separate Award agreement with the person receiving the Award. The Award agreement indicates the type, terms and conditions of the Award.

Nonqualified Stock Options. Nonqualified stock options provide for the right to purchase shares of our common stock at a specified price, which may not be less than the market price of our common stock on the date of grant of the option. Nonqualified stock options may be granted for any term specified in the applicable Award agreement that does not exceed ten years and usually become exercisable in one or more installments after the grant date, subject to vesting conditions which may include continued employment or service with us, satisfaction of performance targets and/or other conditions. The option exercise price may be paid in: (i) cash; (ii) a promissory note bearing interest at no less than such rate that will preclude the imputation of interest under the Internal Revenue Code; (iii) shares of our common stock held for longer than six months (iv) a broker assisted cash-less exercise or (v) other property acceptable to the Administrator.

Incentive Stock Options. Incentive stock options are designed in a manner intended to comply with the provisions of Section 422 of the Internal Revenue Code, and are subject to specified restrictions contained in the Internal Revenue Code. Incentive stock options have an exercise price of not less than 100% of the fair market value of the underlying share on the date of grant (or if granted to certain individuals who own or are deemed to own at least 10% of the total combined voting power of all of our classes of stock ("10% shareholders"), then such exercise price may not be less than 110% of the fair market value of our common stock on the date of

grant). Only employees are eligible to receive incentive stock options, and incentive stock options may not have a term of more than ten years (or five years in the case of incentive stock options granted to 10% stockholders). Vesting conditions may apply to incentive stock options as determined by the Administrator and may include continued employment with us, satisfaction of performance targets and/or other conditions.

Restricted Stock. Restricted stock may be granted to any eligible individual and made subject to such restrictions as may be determined by the Administrator. Typically, restricted stock may be forfeited for no consideration or repurchased by us if the conditions or restrictions on vesting are not met, and may not be sold or otherwise transferred to third parties until restrictions are removed or expire. Recipients of restricted stock, unlike recipients of options, may have voting rights and may receive dividends, if any, prior to the time when the restrictions lapse.

Restricted Stock Units. Restricted stock units may be awarded to any eligible individual, typically without payment of consideration or for a nominal purchase price, but typically subject to vesting conditions including continued employment or pre-established performance targets. Shares of common stock underlying restricted stock units are not issued until the restricted stock units have vested. Recipients of restricted stock units will have no voting or dividend rights with respect to the underlying shares prior to the time when the shares are issued.

Stock Appreciation Rights. Stock appreciation rights typically will provide for payments to the holder based upon increases in the price of our common stock over the base price of the stock appreciation rights. Similar to nonqualified stock options, stock appreciation rights typically become exercisable in one or more installments after the grant date, subject to vesting conditions which may include continued employment or service with us, satisfaction of performance targets and/or other conditions. The Administrator may elect to pay stock appreciation rights in cash, in common stock or in a combination of both.

Dividend Equivalents. Dividend equivalents represent the value of the dividends, if any, per share paid by us, calculated with reference to the number of shares covered by an Award. Dividend equivalents may be settled in cash or shares and at such times as determined by the Administrator, subject to certain restrictions set forth in the 2004 Incentive Award Plan.

Performance Awards Performance awards are denominated in cash or shares of our common stock and are linked to satisfaction of performance targets established by the Administrator. If the Administrator determines that the Award is intended to meet the requirements of "qualified performance based compensation" and therefore be deductible under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, then the performance targets which the Award will be based shall be with reference to any one or more of the following:

net earnings (either before or after interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization),
economic value-added (as determined by the Administrator),
sales or revenue,
net income (before or after taxes),
operating earnings,
cash flow (including, but not limited to, operating cash flow and free cash flow),

return on capital,

return on net assets,

return on stockholders' equity,

8

return on assets,
stockholder return,
return on sales,
gross or net profit margin,
productivity,
expense margins,
operating efficiency,
customer satisfaction,
working capital,
earnings per share,
price per share of common stock, and
market share, be measured either in absolute terms or as compared to any incremental increase or as compared to results of a pee

Stock Payments Participants may receive stock payments in the manner determined by the Administrator from time to time. Such Awards may be based upon the achievement of specific performance targets determined by the Administrator on the

any of which may

date the stock payment is made or anytime thereafter.

group.

Deferred Stock Deferred stock typically is awarded without payment of consideration and is subject to vesting conditions, including satisfaction of performance targets. Like restricted stock, deferred stock may not be sold, or otherwise transferred until the vesting conditions are removed or expire. Unlike restricted stock, deferred stock is not actually issued until the deferred stock award has vested. Recipients of deferred stock also will have no voting or dividend rights prior to the time when the vesting conditions are met and the deferred stock is delivered.

Other Awards. Other performance share awards, performance stock unit awards and stock-based awards may be granted under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. The right to vest in these awards generally will be based upon achievement of specific performance targets and these awards may generally be paid in cash or in common stock or in a combination of both.

Limitations on Terms of Grants. Absent approval of the stockholders, no option may be amended to reduce the per share exercise price of shares subject to such option below the per share exercise price as of the date the option is granted, and except as permitted by the 2004 Incentive Award Plan with respect to changes in capital structure, no option may be granted in exchange for, or in connection with, the cancellation or surrender of an option having a higher per share exercise price, nor may an option be exchanged for restricted stock. Restricted

Stock, that does not vest on the basis of meeting performance targets shall not vest at a rate that would cause the following vesting schedule to be exceeded: no vesting prior to the first anniversary of the grant; no more than $\frac{1}{3}$ vested on the first anniversary of the grant; no more than $\frac{2}{3}$ vested on the second anniversary of the grant; and full vesting not occurring prior to the end of the third year.

Certain Transactions. In the event of certain transactions and events affecting our common stock or the share price of our common stock, such as stock dividends, stock splits, mergers, acquisitions, spin-offs, recapitalizations, consolidations and other corporate transactions, or changes in applicable

law, the Administrator has broad discretion to make proportionate adjustments to reflect changes with respect to: (i) the terms and conditions of any outstanding Awards, (ii) the aggregate number and type of shares subject to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan and (iii) the grant and exercise price per share for any outstanding Awards granted pursuant to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan, to prevent the dilution or enlargement of intended benefits and/or facilitate such transactions or events or give effect to such changes in applicable law. In the event of a change in control where the acquirer does not assume or replace Awards granted under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan, such Awards will be subject to accelerated vesting so that 100% of such Awards will become vested and exercisable or payable and all forfeiture restrictions will lapse, as applicable. Award agreements may also provide for accelerated vesting or payment, as applicable, upon certain events.

Awards Not Transferable. Generally the Awards may not be assigned, transferred or otherwise disposed other than by will or by laws of descent and distribution. The Administrator may allow Awards other than incentive stock options to be transferred for estate or tax planning purposes to members of the holder's family, charitable institutions or trusts for the benefit of family members.

Amendment and Termination of the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. The Administrator may terminate, amend or modify the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. However, stockholder approval of any amendment to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan will be obtained (i) to the extent necessary and desirable to comply with any applicable law, regulation or stock exchange rule, (ii) for any amendment to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan that increases the number of shares available under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan (other than any adjustment as provided by the plan with respect to changes in capital structure), or (iii) for any amendment to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan that permits the Administrator to extend the exercise period of an option beyond ten years from the date of grant. Absent approval of the stockholders, no option may be amended to reduce the per share exercise price of shares subject to such option below the per share exercise price as of the date the option is granted, and except as permitted by the plan with respect to changes in capital structure, no option may be granted in exchange for, or in connection with, the cancellation or surrender of an option having a higher per share exercise price, nor may an option be exchanged for restricted stock. If not terminated earlier by the Administrator, the 2004 Incentive Award Plan expires ten years after the most recent date the plan was approved by our stockholders.

U.S. Federal Income Tax Consequences. The tax consequences of the 2004 Incentive Award Plan under current federal law are summarized in the following discussion. This discussion is limited to the general tax principles applicable to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan, and is intended for general information only. Non-U.S., state, and local income taxes are not discussed. Tax laws are complex and subject to change and may vary depending on individual circumstances and from locality to locality. The tax information summarized is not tax advice.

Nonqualified Stock Options. For federal income tax purposes, an optionee generally will not recognize taxable income at the time a non-qualified stock option is granted under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. The optionee will recognize ordinary income, and the Company generally will be entitled to a deduction, upon the exercise of a non-qualified stock option. The amount of income recognized (and the amount generally deductible by the Company) generally will be equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise over the aggregate exercise price paid for the shares, regardless of whether the exercise price is paid in cash, shares or other property. An optionee's basis for the stock for purposes of determining his or her gain or loss upon a subsequent disposition of the shares generally will be the fair market value of the stock on the date of exercise of the non-qualified stock option, and any subsequent gain or loss will generally be taxable as capital gain or loss.

Incentive Stock Options. An optionee generally will not recognize taxable income either at the time an incentive stock option is granted or when it is exercised. However, the amount by which the fair market value of the shares at the time of exercise exceeds the exercise price will be an "item of tax preference" to the optionee for purposes of alternative minimum tax. Generally, upon the sale or other taxable disposition of the shares acquired upon exercise of an incentive stock option, the optionee will recognize taxable income. If shares acquired upon the exercise of an incentive stock option are held for the longer of two years from the date of grant or one year from the date of exercise, the gain or loss (in an amount equal to the difference between the fair market value on the date of sale and the exercise price) upon disposition will be treated as a long-term capital gain or loss, and the company will not be entitled to any deduction. If this holding period is not met and the stock is sold for a gain, then the difference between the option price and the fair market value of the stock on the date of exercise will be taxed as ordinary income and any gain over that will be eligible for long or short term capital gain treatment. If the holding period is not met and the shares are disposed of for less than the fair market value on the date of exercise, then the amount of ordinary income is limited to the excess, if any, of the amount realized over the exercise price paid. The Company generally will be entitled to a deduction in the amount of any ordinary income recognized by the optionee.

Stock Appreciation Rights. No taxable income is generally recognized upon the receipt of a stock appreciation right. Upon exercise of a stock appreciation right, the cash or the fair market value of the shares received generally will be taxable as ordinary income in the year of such exercise. The Company generally will be entitled to a compensation deduction for the same amount which the recipient recognizes as ordinary income.

Restricted Stock. A participant to whom restricted stock is issued generally will not recognize taxable income upon such issuance and the Company generally will not then be entitled to a deduction, unless an election is made by the participant under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. However, when restrictions on shares of restricted stock lapse, such that the shares are no longer subject to a substantial risk of forfeiture, the participant generally will recognize ordinary income and the Company generally will be entitled to a deduction for an amount equal to the excess of the fair market value of the shares on the date such restrictions lapse over the purchase price thereof. If an election is made under Section 83(b) of the Internal Revenue Code, then the participant generally will recognize ordinary income on the date of issuance equal to the excess, if any, of the fair market value of the shares on that date over the purchase price therefor and the Company will be entitled to a deduction for the same amount.

Restricted Stock Unit. A participant will generally not recognize taxable income upon the grant of a restricted stock unit. However, when the shares are delivered to the participant, the value of such shares at that time will be taxable to the participant as ordinary income. Generally the Company will be entitled to a deduction for an amount equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the participant.

Deferred Stock. A participant will generally not recognize taxable income upon the grant of deferred stock. However, when the shares are delivered to the participant, the value of such shares at that time will be taxable to the participant as ordinary income. Generally, the Company will be entitled to a deduction for an amount equal to the amount of ordinary income recognized by the participant.

Stock Payments. A participant will recognize taxable ordinary income on the fair market value of the stock delivered as payment of bonuses or other compensation under the Plan and generally the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

Performance Awards. A participant who has been granted a performance award (either performance unit or stock) generally will not recognize taxable income at the time of grant, and the Company will not be entitled to a deduction at that time. When an award is paid, whether in cash or

shares, the Participant generally will recognize ordinary income, and the Company will be entitled to a corresponding deduction.

Section 162(m) Limitation. In general, under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, income tax deductions of publicly-held corporations may be limited to the extent total compensation (including base salary, annual bonus, stock option exercises and non-qualified benefits paid) for certain executive officers exceeds \$1,000,000 (less the amount of any "excess parachute payments" as defined in Section 280G of the Internal Revenue Code) in any one year. However, under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, the deduction limit does not apply to certain "performance-based compensation" established by an independent compensation committee which is adequately disclosed to, and approved by, stockholders. In particular, stock options and stock appreciation rights will satisfy the "performance-based compensation" exception if the awards are made by a qualifying compensation committee, the 2004 Incentive Award Plan sets the maximum number of shares that can be granted to any person within a specified period and the compensation is based solely on an increase in the stock price after the grant date (i.e., the option exercise price is equal to or greater than the fair market value of the stock subject to the award on the grant date). Restricted stock, restricted stock units and performance unit/share Awards granted under the 2004 Incentive Award Plan may qualify as "qualified performance-based compensation" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code if such awards are granted or vest upon pre-established objective performance measures based on the performance goals described above under the section entitled "Performance Awards," and the other technical requirements for granting such Awards are met at the time the performance based awards are granted.

We have attempted to structure the 2004 Incentive Award Plan in such a manner that Awards made under such plan are able to qualify as "performance-based compensation" for purposes of the Section 162(m) \$1,000,000 compensation limit. However, we have not requested a ruling from the IRS or an opinion of counsel regarding this issue. This discussion will neither bind the IRS nor preclude the IRS from taking a contrary position with respect to the 2004 Incentive Award Plan. Additionally, nothing in the 2004 Incentive Award Plan requires or otherwise guarantees that Awards will be qualified "performance-based compensation" under Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code, and the Administrator may in its discretion make awards that do not so qualify.

New Plan Benefits

The future benefits or amounts that will be received by or allocated are not determinable. Future benefits or amounts to be received by or allocated will be determined by future action of the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors.

Equity Compensation Plan

The following table provides certain information as of December 31, 2012 about our common stock that may be issued under our 2004 Incentive Award Plan:

Equity Compensation Plan Information

Plan Category	Number of Securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options warrants and rights	Weighted-average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights	Number of Securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a))		
	(a)	(b)	(c)		
Equity compensation plans approved by security holders	439,617	\$ 14.87	201,115		
Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders	0	0			
Total	439,617	\$ 14.87	201,115		

Recommendation of the Board of Directors:

The Board recommends a vote "FOR" the approval of the Amended and Restated 2004 Incentive Award Plan.

PROPOSAL NO. 4 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

In accordance with the requirements of Section 14A of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, we are asking stockholders to approve the following advisory resolution at the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders:

RESOLVED that the Company's stockholders approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers, as disclosed in the Company's Proxy Statement for the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the 2012 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure.

Although the stockholder's vote is advisory and non-binding upon our Board of Directors, our Board will take your vote into consideration when making future decisions regarding executive compensation. However, our Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee will retain full responsibility for determining the final compensation of the executive management of the Company.

The affirmative vote of the holders of a majority of shares represented in person or by proxy and entitled to vote on this item will be required for approval.

As described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis section of this Proxy Statement, the Company's executive compensation is designed to attract, reward and retain the executives of our Company in order to achieve our Company's corporate goals and to align the interests of the executives with the long-term interests of our stockholders. In setting the Company's executive compensation, the Board took into account the affirmative stockholder advisory vote at the 2012 annual meeting of stockholders and continued to apply the same principles used last year in determining the amounts and types of the executive compensation.

The Board urges stockholders to carefully read the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this Proxy Statement, which describes in more detail our executive compensation policies and procedures, as well as the Summary Compensation Table and other related compensation tables and the narrative discussion.

Recommendation of the Board of Directors:

The Board recommends a vote "FOR" the resolution set forth above thereby approving the compensation of the named executive officers.

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND COMMITTEES

The Board of Directors met four (4) times during 2012 and acted once by unanimous written consent. Each director, during his term as director, attended 100% of the meetings of the Board. Each director, during his term as director, attended 100% of the meetings of the committees of the Board of which he was a member. The independent directors met four (4) times. All of the directors attended the annual meeting of stockholders. During 2012, the Board had a standing Audit Committee, Compensation Committee and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee.

Mr. Schaeffer serves as CEO and Chairman of the Board of Directors. He is the founder of the Company and owns approximately 6.8% of the Company's stock. His dual role was established 13 years ago when he founded the Company. The Board believes that at the Company's current stage of growth the Board is best served by a chairman who is involved with the Company on a full-time basis and is therefore able to bring great depth of knowledge about the Company to this role. The Board does not have a designated lead independent director.

The Board's role in the Company is to provide general oversight of strategy and operations. As part of its oversight of operations it reviews the performance of the Company and the risks involved in the operations of the Company. The Board and the Audit Committee receive regular reports on the status of the Company's internal controls and each has reviewed key operational risks. The Board's risk oversight role has no effect on its leadership structure as all directors other than Mr. Schaeffer are independent directors and therefore have no conflict that might discourage critical review.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

We established our Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee in April 2005. During all of 2012 the members of this committee were Messrs. Brooks (Chairman), Montagner and Margalit, each of whom are independent members of our Board. Our Board has adopted a charter governing the activities of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. The charter of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may be found on the Company's website under the tab "About Cogent; Investor Relations; Corporate Governance" at www.cogentco.com. Pursuant to its charter, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's tasks include assisting the Board of Directors in identifying individuals qualified to become Board members, recommending to the Board director nominees to fill vacancies in the membership of the Board as they occur and, prior to each annual meeting of stockholders, recommending director nominees for election at such meeting.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee seeks diversity in the membership of the Board. It does not have formal objective criteria for determining the amount of diversity needed or present on the Board. Instead it and the Board seek candidates with a range of experience. Board candidates are considered based upon various criteria, such as skills, knowledge, perspective, broad business judgment and leadership, relevant specific industry or regulatory affairs knowledge, business creativity and vision, experience, and any other factors appropriate in the context of an assessment of the committee's understood needs of the Board at that time. In addition, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers whether the individual satisfies criteria for independence

as may be required by applicable regulations and personal integrity and judgment. Accordingly, the Company seeks to attract and retain highly qualified directors who have sufficient time to attend to their substantial duties and responsibilities to the Company.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has the sole authority to retain, compensate, and terminate any search firm or firms to be used in connection with the identification, assessment, and/or engagement of directors and director candidates. No such firm has been retained by the Company in the past.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee considers proposed nominees whose names are submitted to it by stockholders; however, it does not have a formal process for that consideration. The Company has not to date adopted a formal process because it believes that the informal consideration process has been adequate. The committee intends to review periodically whether a more formal policy should be adopted. If a stockholder wishes to suggest a proposed name for committee consideration, the name of that nominee and related personal information should be forwarded to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, in care of the Secretary, at least three months before the next annual meeting to ensure meaningful consideration by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. See also "Stockholder Proposals" for Bylaw requirements for nominations.

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee had two (2) formal meetings in 2012; all meetings were held in conjunction with a meeting of the full board to accommodate the views of all members of the Board of Directors concerning its membership and constitution.

Stockholder Communication with Board Members

Although the Company has not to date developed formal processes by which stockholders may communicate directly to directors, it believes that the informal process, in which stockholder communications that are received by the Secretary for the Board's attention, or summaries thereof, are then forwarded to the Board has served the Board's and the stockholders' needs. In the past several institutional investors communicated with the Board in this fashion. The investor letters were subsequently addressed by direct communications with representatives of the investors and a member of the Board with responsibility for the topics addressed by the investors, and the full Board was apprised of the conversations. Accordingly, the Board considers that an effective and well established traditional means of receiving communications from stockholders currently exists. In view of Securities and Exchange Commission, or SEC, disclosure requirements relating to this issue, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may consider development of more specific procedures. Until any other procedures are developed and posted on the Company's corporate website at www.cogentco.com, any communications to the Board should be sent to it in care of the Secretary.

Code of Conduct

The Company's Code of Conduct may be found on the Company's website under the tab "About Cogent; Investor Relations; Corporate Governance" at www.cogentco.com.

Board Member Attendance at Annual Meetings

The Company encourages all of its directors to attend the Annual Meeting of Stockholders. All of the directors attended the 2012 Annual Meeting. The Company generally holds a Board meeting coincident with the Annual Meeting to minimize director travel obligations and facilitate their attendance at the Annual Meeting.

Director Independence

Nasdaq Marketplace Rules require that a majority of the Board be independent. No director qualifies as independent unless the Board determines that the director has no direct or indirect relationship with the Company that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director. In assessing the independence of its members, the Board examined the commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships of each member. The Board's inquiry extended to both direct and indirect relationships with the Company. Based upon both detailed written submissions by its members and discussions regarding the facts and circumstances pertaining to each member, considered in the context of applicable Nasdaq Marketplace Rules, the Board has determined that all of the directors nominated for election, other than Mr. Schaeffer, are independent.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee is established in accordance with Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the "Exchange Act"). During all of 2012 the members of this committee were Messrs. Liebhaber (Chairman), Bath and Montagner, each of whom is independent as the term "independence" is defined in the applicable listing standards of Nasdaq and Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act. The Board has determined that each of Messrs. Liebhaber, Bath and Montagner qualifies as a financial expert, as that term is defined in the Exchange Act. The responsibilities of this Audit Committee include:

the appointment, compensation, retention and oversight of our independent registered public accountants;

reviewing with our independent registered public accountants the plans and results of the audit engagement;

pre-approving professional services provided by our independent registered public accountants;

reviewing our critical accounting policies, our Annual and Quarterly reports on Forms 10-K and 10-Q, and our earnings releases;

reviewing the independence of our independent registered public accountants; and

reviewing the adequacy of our internal accounting controls and overseeing our ethics program.

The Audit Committee met six (6) times during 2012. The charter of the Audit Committee may be found under the tab "About Cogent; Investor Relations; Corporate Governance" at www.cogentco.com.

Audit Committee Report

To the Board of Directors:

We have reviewed and discussed with management the Company's audited consolidated financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2012.

We have discussed with the independent registered public accountants, Ernst & Young LLP, the matters required to be discussed with us by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants, the Securities and Exchange Commission, the Nasdaq Stock Market and the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, including those required by the Statement on Auditing Standards No. 61, as amended.

We have received and reviewed the letter from Ernst & Young LLP required by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board, and have discussed with Ernst & Young LLP their independence, including the written disclosures and letter required by Rule 3526 of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board.

Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited consolidated financial statements referred to above be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 for filing with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Board of Directors caused the Form 10-K to be so filed.

Audit Committee:

Richard T. Liebhaber Marc Montagner D. Blake Bath

Compensation Committee

From January 1 to February 21, 2012 the Compensation Committee consisted of Messrs. Bath, Margalit and Weingarten, each of whom is independent as the term "independence" is defined in the applicable listing standards of Nasdaq. Mr. Bath was chairman of the Compensation Committee during that period. On February 21, 2012 the Board appointed Mr. Brooks to replace Mr. Bath on the committee and designated Mr. Margalit as chairman. Mr. Brooks is also independent as the term "independence" is defined in the applicable listing standards of Nasdaq. The Compensation Committee is responsible for determining compensation for our executive officers and other employees, and administering our compensation programs. The Compensation Committee had two (2) formal meetings in 2012 and did not act by unanimous written consent. In 2012 the functions of the Compensation Committee were largely undertaken by the full Board during closed executive session meetings held in conjunction with regularly scheduled in-person meetings of the Board. Salary and equity compensation awards for all of the executive officers and key employees of the Company listed in this proxy statement were considered during these meetings and Mr. Schaeffer was absent from any discussions concerning his compensation. The charter of the Compensation Committee is available under the tab "About Cogent; Investor Relations; Corporate Governance" at www.cogentco.com.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND KEY EMPLOYEES

Set forth below is certain information concerning the executive officers and significant employees of the Company. Biographical information on Mr. Schaeffer is included under "Proposal 1" Election of Directors."

Thaddeus G. Weed, age 51, joined us in February 2000 and served as Vice President and Controller until May 2004 when he became our Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Weed served as Senior Vice President of Finance and Treasurer at Transaction Network

Services, Inc. where Mr. Weed undertook a broad range of financial management responsibilities. From 1987 to 1997, Mr. Weed was employed at Arthur Andersen LLP where he served as Senior Audit Manager.

Robert N. Beury, Jr., age 59, joined us in September 2000 and serves as Chief Legal Officer (Vice President and General Counsel) and Assistant Secretary. Prior to joining us, Mr. Beury served as Deputy General Counsel of Iridium LLC, a mobile satellite service provider, from 1994 to 2000. From 1987 to 1994, Mr. Beury was General Counsel of Virginia's Center for Innovative Technology, a non-profit corporation set up to develop the high tech industry in Virginia.

R. Brad Kummer, age 64, joined us in February 2000 and serves as Vice President of Optical Transport Engineering and Chief Technology Officer. Mr. Kummer spent the 25 years prior to joining us at Lucent Technologies (formerly Bell Laboratories), where he served in a variety of research and development and business development roles relating to optical fibers and systems. In his most recent work at Lucent, he was responsible for optical fiber systems engineering for long haul and metropolitan dense wavelength division multiplexing systems.

Timothy G. O'Neill, age 57, joined us in January 2001 and serves as the Vice President of Field Engineering, Construction and Network Operations. He is responsible for network operation, construction and maintenance. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. O'Neill was employed at @Link Networks, Inc., where he served as Chief Network Officer. While at @Link Networks, Inc., Mr. O'Neill was responsible for engineering, implementing and operating a network for Internet access and layer 2 services.

Jeffrey Karnes, age 41, joined us in May of 2004 and serves as Vice President of Global Sales and Chief Revenue Officer. Prior to joining us, Mr. Karnes served Vice President of Regional Sales at UUNet division of MCI Communications, where he had served in a number of positions in the sales organization since joining UUNet in 1995.

Bryant Hird "Guy" Banks, age 48, joined us in May of 2000 and serves as Vice President of Real Estate. Prior to joining us Mr. Banks held positions with various affiliates of Security Capital Group Incorporated, including the positions of Vice President of Land Acquisition and Vice President of Development for CWS Communities Trust.

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for determining compensation changes for senior officers and employees in general. The CEO participates in the decision making by making recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding compensation changes for senior management and other employees (except the CEO). After informal discussion among the Compensation Committee members and the CEO, recommendations for compensation changes are voted upon by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors. The compensation changes take the form of specific amounts for the CEO and other executive officers and general guidelines for use by the CEO in determining compensation for other employees. Compensation changes are developed based upon the understanding of the CEO and the Compensation Committee of the compensation of officers and employees in similar companies and the performance of the individual officers. Neither the CEO nor the Compensation Committee has retained any third party consultants or engaged in any formal comparison of compensation at the Company to compensation at other companies.

The method of compensation decision making employed by the Company does not lend itself to extensive analytical and quantitative disclosure. Most of the analysis that goes into compensation determinations is simply the subjective business judgment of the Company's CEO and the Board of Directors. Cogent is a small company, with 605 employees, half of whom are sales people. Its

compensation structure is simple, consisting of salary, commissions for sales employees, small cash bonuses, and grants of options and restricted stock that vest over time. Accordingly, the compensation decisions do not involve extensive analysis, but rather are based on the judgment of the Company's Board of Directors, its Compensation Committee, and its CEO, based on their experience, described below.

Each member of the Compensation Committee has extensive personal experience with the determination of executive compensation. Erel Margalit, the chairman of the Compensation Committee, is the founder of one of the venture capital funds that provided the Company with its initial investment and has managed venture capital funds for many years. During this time period, he has helped foster the growth of more than 60 companies in the U.S., Israel and Europe and has participated in the determination of CEO and executive compensation in dozens of cases. He was involved in the initial funding of the Company when the CEO's compensation was initially determined. Timothy Weingarten has been a venture capitalist for many years. The funds with which he is affiliated were some of the initial investors in the Company when it was founded. He has served on the Board of Directors of seven companies in which the funds with which he is affiliated have invested. Serving on these boards he has participated in setting compensation for CEOs and other executives. The funds with which he is affiliated have invested in close to 100 companies. The fund managers have regularly discussed and debated executive compensation in the context of the decision of the funds to invest in these companies. He is also familiar with these issues in connection with his role as CEO at several start-up companies. Steven Brooks' past service on numerous public company boards has included membership in a number of compensation committees. Additionally, as managing partner of Broadview Capital Partners, a private equity firm, for more than ten years, Mr. Brooks was regularly involved in discussing and setting executive compensation in more than a dozen companies, including Cogent.

With their combined experience as a starting point, the members of the Compensation Committee have considered the proposed compensation of the named executive officers. They have shared their views, based on their experience, with one another and come to a consensus on the proper level of compensation. The ultimate decision is a synthesis of each member's experience and views on proper compensation levels.

The combined experience of the members of the Compensation Committee along with the non-management board members, having seen hundreds of executives in start-up and other companies has given them a sense of the compensation that executives at various levels expect and the amount that must be paid to maintain a motivated executive who will not seek other employment. The Compensation Committee relies on this experience in determining that the awards granted to management are sufficient to retain the named executive officers and are comparable to compensation received by management at similar companies.

The compensation philosophy of the CEO and the Compensation Committee is to pay reasonable salaries in light of the perceived market for the skills of each individual hired, to avoid offering any perquisites (such as automobiles, club dues, etc.), to pay cash bonuses from time to time related to specific events, and to make equity grants that vest over time. The Company believes that this philosophy has been successful in recruiting and retaining its management team as evidenced by the Company's success and the low management turnover.

The compensation of the CEO was originally determined in negotiations with the managers of the venture capital funds that initially invested in the Company in February 2000. At the same time he entered into an employment agreement that governs various aspects of his employment. His employment agreement is described below. The compensation of subsequently hired executive officers was determined in negotiations between the CEO and such executive officers and in consultation with the Board of Directors and the Compensation Committee. Subsequent adjustments to the compensation of executive officers have been made based upon the recommendation of the CEO after

consultation with the Compensation Committee in the manner described above. Any change to any aspect of the compensation of the CEO is approved by the Board of Directors, excluding the CEO, upon recommendation from the Compensation Committee.

The Company's current executive compensation program is composed primarily of salary paid in cash, bonuses paid in cash, and restricted stock. All Company executive officers also participate in the Company's benefit programs, including the Company's 401(k) plan and its medical, dental and other benefits plans, on the same basis as other employees. Changes in all elements of compensation for all executive officers, including the CEO, have generally arisen from informal discussions among the CEO and the directors followed by formal recommendations by the CEO to the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors.

The Company's compensation components in 2012 were the same as in prior years salary, cash bonus, and restricted stock. Changes in salaries over the years have been made on the basis of changes in responsibility and compensation for inflation. Cash bonuses have not been a significant part of compensation. Restricted stock that vests over time has been used by the Compensation Committee to motivate the executives and link their interests to those of the stockholders. The elements are each viewed independently: salary increases to partially offset inflation; bonuses awarded primarily based on the CEO's and the Compensation Committee's subjective analysis of an employee's contributions and the Company's performance; and equity awards to motivate employees and align their interest with stockholders.

Salary

The Compensation Committee periodically reviews the compensation of the Company's CEO and each executive officer and, based on recommendations from the CEO, determines the compensation for each executive. In recent years the Company has given raises to all employees to partially compensate for general inflation. Specific executive officers have been given additional raises based on the officer's increased responsibilities as the Company has grown. The CEO and the committee members have determined the amount of these raises based on their experience as managers and directors without reference to studies or consultants. Consistent with its policy the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors granted as of January 1, 2012 senior officers the same 2.0% salary increase granted to other employees. Effective January 1, 2013, the senior officers and other employees were granted a 1.5% salary increase.

Bonus and Commissions

The Company's executive officers and employees have received cash bonuses based on accomplishing certain goals, and the subjective judgment of the Compensation Committee and the Board. The Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors have made specific awards to the CEO and others, specified the maximum amounts for awards made by the CEO to executive officers other than himself, and determined the bonus pools for awards to non-executive employees. Subject to these limitations the Board has authorized the CEO to make bonus grants to executive officers and employees (other than the CEO). The CEO has also authorized specific cash bonuses to certain employees and officers for performance based on a qualitative assessment of performance. In all cases the Compensation Committee and the Board (without the CEO present) have reviewed and approved any bonus for the CEO. (No bonus has been awarded to the CEO since 2006.)

The Company's Chief Revenue Officer and Vice President of Global Sales, Jeff Karnes, is paid commissions once a quarter based on the achievement of the entire sales organization against a revenue quota for that quarter. The revenue quota for the entire sales organization is the sum of quotas assigned to each sales office. Within each sales office each sales person has a revenue quota assigned to him or her. The quota is an amount of revenue from new customers that the sales person is supposed to deliver. Salespersons are paid a commission based on the percentage of quota each achieves. Revenue, for purposes of the quota and commission calculation, is the expected revenue from customers that have had the Company's service (Internet access and related services) installed during the relevant period. Revenue includes monthly recurring revenue and a portion of non-recurring revenue, such as installation charges. Mr. Karnes' revenue quota is based on the sum of the quotas for all sales offices. If the revenue generated by the entire sales organization for the quarter is 100% of Mr. Karnes' revenue quota, he will receive 100% of \$15,000. If the percentage is more or less he receives a proportionally lesser or greater amount. For example if revenue were at 50% of his quota for the quarter, then he would be paid 50% of \$15,000, or \$7,500. If revenue were 200% of his quota he would receive \$30,000.

Options and Restricted Stock

The Company has made awards of options and restricted stock to employees to align their interests with the interests of the Company's stockholders. Awards are not timed to material company events. The exercise price of the option awarded to an employee is the price of Company's common stock on the NASDAQ market on the grant date (fair market value).

Vesting of options and restricted stock is based on continued employment with acceleration of vesting upon a change of control or, for some awards, if the executive is discharged other than for cause or resigns for good cause. Awards to senior officers are determined by the Compensation Committee and/or the full Board of Directors as appropriate, taking into account such factors as the nature of the participant's responsibilities and the business priorities of the Company. Awards to employees other than senior officers are made by the CEO pursuant to general guidelines established by the Compensation Committee and the Board. The restricted stock granted to our executive officers fully vests upon a change of control, even if the officer is not discharged. A change of control is defined as a transaction after which the current stockholders of the Company have less than 50% of the stock of the surviving entity, for example, a merger, sale of substantially all of the assets of the Company, or similar transaction. Permitting the officers to become fully vested upon a change of control and allowing this to occur without the executive being discharged provides, in the view of the Board of Directors, an incentive for the executives to pursue a transaction that could be beneficial for the stockholders even though the change of control would create uncertainty with respect to the future of each officer.

The intent of the Compensation Committee has been to use the awards of restricted stock and options to align the interests of management with the interests of the stockholders. The size of these awards was based primarily on the Compensation Committee's subjective experience with awards to CEOs that are the founder of the company and to other executives hired to build a start-up company. The Compensation Committee's intent has been to make awards that are sufficient to retain the executives and that are comparable to the compensation received by management in other, similar companies. The awards of restricted stock made by the Compensation Committee and the Board of Directors continue this practice. The stock vests over a period of years to provide an incentive for the executives to stay with the Company.

Mr. Schaeffer's stock awards have been substantially greater than the awards given to other executive officers. He was the founder of the Company and has been its CEO since inception. The Compensation Committee regards him as critical to the future prospects of the Company. Not only was he the founder of the Company but he has been primarily responsible for hiring every member of

management, has led all of the Company's capital raising activities, and has actively managed the Company for its entire history. The size of the award was based primarily on the business experience of the Compensation Committee members with respect to the size of the ownership position of a founder and CEO.

In 2012, the Board and the Compensation Committee made awards of restricted stock to the executive officers and all employees who had been with the company since January 1, 2010. The awards to named executive officers are detailed in the Summary Compensation Table and other tables below. The number of shares granted to each executive officer was determined by the Board and Compensation Committee on the basis of their subjective judgment. All of the awards vest over 3 to 4 years.

Performance Shares

In April 2010 the Committee and the Board made grants to the executive officers other than the CEO of restricted stock that would vest upon the attainment of financial goals for 2010, 2011, and 2012. There were three goals grow revenue by 15% each year, maintain EBITDA margin at not less than the prior year, and hold capital expenditures to less than 21% of revenue for each year. All goals had to be met in order to receive any award. A portion of the restricted stock grants made to the CEO were made subject to accelerated vesting if these same goals were met. In November 2010 the goals for 2010 for the executive officers other than the CEO were modified by reducing the maximum possible award to 70% of the amount originally authorized and allowing the reduced award to be earned by achieving the EBITDA margin and capital expenditure goals. Also, in November 2010 the Board and the Committee decided to revise the goals for 2011 (but not 2012) after reviewing the characteristics of performance plans for other similar companies. Based on the discussions in November 2010 and information on the characteristics of other company's plans, in February 2011 the goals for 2011 for the executive officers other than the CEO were implemented by eliminating the capital expenditures goal, establishing the revenue and EBITDA margin goals and allowing for reduced awards if the goals were only partially attained. Specifically, the goals for 2011 were set as follows:

The number of performance shares received shall be calculated on a weighted basis with 50% weight given to revenue growth performance and 50% weight given to EBITDA margin, as adjusted (percentage) growth.

The revenue growth component shall be calculated by comparing revenue in 2010 to revenue in 2011 in constant U.S. dollars by using for both 2011 and 2010 the average currency exchange rate for 2010. The value assigned to the revenue growth component shall be: zero if growth is less than or equal to 10.0%, 75% if growth is greater than 10.0% but less than or equal to 12.5%, and 100% if growth is greater than 12.5%.

The EBITDA margin (percentage), as adjusted, component shall be calculated by comparing EBITDA margin (percentage) in 2010 to the EBITDA margin (percentage) in 2011. The value assigned to the EBITDA margin growth component shall be: zero if the EBITDA margin (percentage) increased by less than or equal to 1.0%, 75% if the EBITDA margin (percentage) increased by greater than 1.0% but less than or equal to 2.0%, and 100% if the EBITDA margin (percentage) increased by greater than 2.0%.

The goals set in April 2010 for performance in 2012 were not changed. They were not achieved and consequently the executive officers did not receive any performance shares for performance in 2012. No acceleration of vesting of the awards to the CEO occurred because all of the original goals

(set in April 2010 and never modified) were not met. The table below shows the performance shares received by the executive officers other than the CEO over the three year performance period.

	Shares received for performance in 2010(a)	Shares received for performance in 2011	Shares received for performance in 2012
Thaddeus Weed	8,400	12,000	0
Robert Beury	5,040	7,200	0
Jeffrey Karnes	5,040	7,200	0
Timothy O'Neill	5,040	7,200	0

(a)

The amounts are 70% of the initial maximum award.

Response to 2012 Say on Pay Vote

At the Company's annual meeting in 2012 the stockholders approved the compensation of the named executive officers. The Board believes this reflects approval of the actions taken in response to the negative vote by stockholders at the 2011 annual meeting.

Severance and Change of Control Compensation

Each of the senior managers is entitled to receive 6-12 months of salary and, in certain cases, acceleration of vesting in restricted stock in the event of discharge or a change of control. The specific amounts that each executive officer would receive are described below in the section titled "Employment Agreements and Other Potential Post-Employment Payments." The Compensation Committee and the Board believe such termination arrangements are necessary to secure and retain the services of experienced managers. Such arrangements are typical for executives. They serve a purpose in that they encourage executives to be receptive to changes, such as sale of the company or management changes, that benefit the company though they may place an individual executive at risk.

Tax Deductibility of Compensation

Section 162(m) of the U.S. Internal Revenue Code limits the Company's federal income tax deduction for certain executive compensation in excess of \$1,000,000 paid to the Chief Executive Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers (excluding the Chief Financial Officer). The awards made to the CEO will cause his compensation to exceed \$1,000,000 in most years and such compensation will not be fully deductible for federal income tax purposes.

Summary Compensation Table

The following table sets forth the cash and non-cash compensation paid or incurred on our behalf to our Chief Executive Officer, our principal financial officer, and each of our three other most highly compensated executive officers, or our named executive officers, whose annual compensation equaled or exceeded \$100,000 for the year three years ended December 31, 2012.

Name	Principal Position	Year	Salary	Bonus	GRANT DATE VALUE Stock Awards(1)		ll Other npensation (g) TOTAL
Dave Schaeffer	CEO	2012	\$ 332,623	\$ 0	\$ 8,413,400(1	\$	6,118 \$ 8,752,141
		2011 2010	\$ 326,219 \$ 323,122	\$ 0 \$ 0	\$ 1,363,000(1 \$ 3,664,800(6		5,439 \$ 1,694,658 2,951 \$ 3,990,873
Thaddeus Weed	CFO	2012	\$ 257,262	\$ 0	\$ 1,335,170(,	5,158 \$ 1,597,590
		2011 2010	\$ 252,225 \$ 249,920	\$ 0 \$ 25,000(a)	\$ 0 \$ 1,193,880(a	\$ e) \$	5,124 \$ 257,349 5,160 \$ 1,473,960
	Chief Revenue	2010	Ψ 247,720	Ψ 23,000(a)	ψ 1,175,000(ν,	5,100 ψ 1,475,500
Jeffrey Karnes	Officer	2012	\$ 249,468		\$ 877,920(1		5,834 \$ 1,175,144
		2011 2010	\$ 244,664 \$ 242,342	\$ 0 \$ 20,000(b)	\$ 0 \$ 586,368(1	\$ 41,797 \$ f) \$ 38,890 \$	4,212 \$ 290,673 4,444 \$ 892,044
	Chief Legal			, , , ,	, , ,		
Robert Beury	Officer	2012	\$ 254,655		\$ 877,920(1	· ·	5,099 \$ 1,137,674
		2011	\$ 249,673	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	5,243 \$ 254,916
		2010	\$ 247,392	\$ 25,000(c)		<i>'</i>	5,107 \$ 863,867
Timothy O'Neill	VP	2012	\$ 249,696	\$ 0	\$ 877,920(1		5,000 \$ 1,132,616
		2011	\$ 244,804	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$	4,896 \$ 249,700
		2010	\$ 228,861	\$ 0	\$ 586,368(1	f) \$	4,577 \$ 819,806

(a) Received for completion of note offering.

(f)

(h)

- (b) Received for completion of large customer contract.
- (c)

 Received for completion of note offering; ¹/₂ taken as cash, ¹/₂ waived in return for additional vacation
- (d)
 Consists of a restricted stock award of 360,000 shares made on April 15, 2010 valued at \$10.18 per share. 160,000 of the 360,000 shares vest monthly at 10,000 shares per month beginning in January 2012. 200,000 of the 360,000 shares vest on April 1, 2013.
- (e)

 Consists of restricted stock awards of 96,000 shares made on April 15, 2010 and 20,000 shares made on November 3, 2010, valued at \$10.18 and \$10.83 per share, respectively. 36,000 of the 96,000 shares may be received if performance goals in 2010 through 2012 are met. For performance in 2010 8,400 shares were received and 3,600 forfeited. For performance in 2011 12,000 shares were received. For performance in 2012 no shares were received. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis for additional detail. 60,000 of the 96,000 shares vest over three years. The 20,000 shares granted in November 2010 vest over four years. The 20,000 shares replaced a prior award of 50,000 options with an (under water) strike price of \$25.46. The incremental value of the new award compared to the old award was \$91,800, calculated pursuant to FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718.
- Consists of restricted stock awards of 57,600 shares made on April 15, 2010 valued at \$10.18 per share. 21,600 of the 57,600 shares may be received if performance goals in 2010 through 2012 are met. For performance in 2010 5,040 shares were received and 2160 forfeited. For performance in 2011 7,200 shares were received. For performance in 2012 no shares were received. See Compensation Discussion and Analysis for additional detail. 36,000 of the 57,600 shares vest over three years.
- (g)

 Consists of employer matching amounts contributed to the Company's 401(k) defined contribution plan.
- On February 2, 2011 Mr. Schaeffer received an award of 100,000 shares of restricted stock that will vest on February 2, 2014.
- (i) Consists of a restricted stock award of 460,000 shares made on April 19, 2012 valued at \$18.29 per share. 50,000 shares vest on May 1, 2013, 10,000 shares vest monthly on June 1, 2013 to December 1, 2015 and 100,000 shares vest on December 31, 2015.

- (j)
 Consists of a restricted stock award of 73,000 shares made on April 19, 2012 valued at \$18.29 per share. 5,000 shares vest on May 1, 2013, 1,000 shares vest monthly on June 1, 2013 to December 1, 2016 and 25,000 shares vest on December 31, 2016.
- (k)

 Consists of a restricted stock award of 48,000 shares made on April 19, 2012 valued at \$18.29 per share. 5,000 shares vest on May 1, 2013 and 1,000 shares vest monthly on June 1, 2013 to December 1, 2016.

24

(I)

Except as otherwise noted, amounts represent the grant date fair value of stock awards computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718. For additional information regarding the assumptions used in determining these values, see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

In January 2011 and January 2012, the executive officers received a 1.0% and 2.0%, respectively salary increase which was the same percentage increase that was granted to all employees. This was intended simply to compensate (partially) for inflation.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2012

The executive officers received awards of restricted stock in April 2012. The awards are detailed in the table below. Mr. Karnes' commission has been paid on a continuing basis since he was hired. Mr. Karnes' revenue quota is based on the sum of the quotas for all sales offices. If the revenue generated by the entire sales organization for the quarter is 100% of Mr. Karnes' revenue quota, he will receive 100% of \$15,000. If the percentage is more or less he receives a proportionally lesser or greater amount. For example if revenue were at 50% of his quota for the quarter, then he would be paid 50% of \$15,000, or \$7,500. If revenue were 200% of his quota he would receive \$30,000.

]	stimated F Payouts Ui -Equity Ir Plan Awa	nder acentive	All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock and	
			Threshold	Target	Maximum	of Stock		Option
Name	Grant Date	NOTES	(\$)	(\$)	(\$)	or Units	I	Awards(f)
Dave Schaeffer	4/19/2012	(a)				360,000	\$	6,584,400
Dave Schaeffer	4/19/2012	(b)				100,000	\$	1,829,000
Thaddeus Weed	4/19/2012	(c)				48,000	\$	877,920
Thaddeus Weed	4/19/2012	(d)				25,000	\$	457,250
Robert Beury	4/19/2012	(c)				48,000	\$	877,920
Timothy O'Neill	4/19/2012	(c)				48,000	\$	877,920
Jeffrey Karnes	4/19/2012	(c)(e)	0	\$ 60,000	unlimited	48.000	\$	877.920

FOOTNOTES

- (a) Vests 50,000 on May 1, 2013 and 10,000 shares monthly thereafter until fully vested on December 1, 2015.
- (b) Vests 100% on December 31, 2015.
- (c) Vests 5,000 on May 1, 2013 and 1,000 shares monthly thereafter until fully vested on December 1, 2016.
- (d) Vests 100% on December 31, 2016.
- (e) While in theory Mr. Karnes commission is unlimited it is in practice limited by the Company's ability to accept and install service for new customers.
- (f)

 Valued at \$18.29 per share. Except as otherwise noted, amounts represent the grant date fair value of stock awards computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718. For additional information regarding the assumptions used in determining these values, see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Outstanding Equity Awards at Fiscal Year End

The following table shows the information regarding the options and stock held by our named executive officers on December 31, 2012.

		STOCK AWARDS									
		Number of Securities Underlying U Unexercised Options Exercisabl	Underlying In-exercised Options E	xeı	rcise	Option Expiration	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested	T	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock hat Have ot Vested	Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested	Market or Payout Value of
Name		(#)	(#)		\$)	Date	(#)	1	(\$)(k)	(#)	(\$)(i)
Dave Schaeffer	(a) (b) (c) (d) (e)	(#)	(")	(4	Ψ)	Dute	100,000 40,000 200,000 360,000 100,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	2,264,000 905,600 4,528,000 8,150,400 2,264,000	(")	(Ψ)(Ι)
Thaddeus Weed	(f) (g) (h) (i)						10,000 10,000 48,000 25,000	\$ \$ \$ \$	226,400 226,400 1,086,720 566,000		
Jeffrey Karnes	(j) (f) (h)	10,000	\$	6	4.88	10/26/2015	6,000 48,000	\$	135,840 1,086,720		
Robert Beury	(j) (f) (h)	2,500	\$	8	4.88	10/26/2015	6,000 48,000	\$ \$	135,840 1,086,720		
Timothy O'Neill	(f) (h)						6,000 48,000	\$ \$	135,840 1,086,720		

FOOTNOTES

- (a) Shares vest on February 1, 2014.
- (b) Remaining shares from original grant that vests monthly at 10,000 per month from January 1, 2012 to April 1, 2013.
- (c) Shares vest on April 1, 2013.
- (d) Shares vest 50,000 on May 1, 2013 and 10,000 shares monthly thereafter until fully vested on December 1, 2015.
- (e) Shares vest on December 31, 2015.
- (f) Remaining shares from original grant that vests 33.3% on April 15, 2011, then 8.3% each quarter thereafter.
- (g)

 Remaining shares from original grant that vests 25% on November 3, 2011 and 6.25% quarterly thereafter.

(h)

Shares vest 5,000 on May 1, 2013 and 1,000 shares monthly thereafter until fully vested on December 1, 2016.

- (i) Shares vest on December 31, 2016.
- (j) Fully vested.
- (k) Valued using the closing market price of our common stock on December 31, 2012 \$22.64.

26

Option Exercises and Stock Vested Value

The following table shows information regarding option exercises by our named executive officers during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, and the value of stock awards at the time of vesting for stock awards that vested during the year.

	Option Awards		Stock Awards			
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise	Value Realized On Exercise		Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting	Value Realized On Vesting	
Dave Schaeffer		\$	0	120,000	\$	2,290,500
Thaddeus Weed		\$	0	38,250	\$	725,845
Jeffrey Karnes		\$	0	20,450	\$	387,545
Robert Beury		\$	0	20,450	\$	387,545
Timothy O'Neill	3,500	\$	52,360	20,450	\$	387,545

Employment Agreements and Other Potential Post-Employment Payments

Each of Messrs. Schaeffer, Weed, Beury, Karnes and O'Neill have entered into an employment agreement with us. Among other things, these agreements and the terms of the grants of options and restricted stock provide for certain benefits upon change of control, termination of employment without cause and resignation for "good reason" (as defined in the respective employment agreements). The agreements are as follows:

Dave Schaeffer Employment Agreement. Dave Schaeffer has an employment agreement that provides for his services as Chief Executive Officer. He also receives all of our standard employee benefits. If he is discharged without cause or resigns for "good reason" he is entitled to a lump sum amount equal to his annual salary at the time and continuation of his benefits for one year. Under the terms of the grants of restricted stock that have been made to him in the event of death, disability, retirement or a change of control 100% of his then unvested restricted stock will vest immediately. The value on December 31, 2012 of the unvested restricted stock and options that could have vested pursuant to these provisions was \$18,112,000. However, in the event the acceleration arose from a change of control this amount would have been limited to \$13,020,950 (3 times his annual compensation) and the remaining \$5,091,050 would have continued to vest normally. Had his employment been terminated without cause or had he resigned for "good reason" on December 31, 2012 he would have received a payment of \$332,880 (equal to one year's salary). In addition he would have continued to vest in his restricted stock during his one year severance period and would have vested in certain other grants after the end of that severance period. The value on December 31, 2012 of that stock was \$12,678,400. "Good reason" for resignation includes removal from his position as CEO or failure to elect him as chairman of the Board of Directors.

Thaddeus G. Weed Employment Agreement. Thaddeus Weed has an employment agreement under which he serves as Chief Financial Officer and Treasurer. In the event that his employment with us is terminated without cause or he resigns for good reason, the agreement entitles him to twelve months of salary and continuation of benefits for twelve months. Had this occurred on December 31, 2012 he would have received \$257,472 (12 months' salary). Under the terms of the grants of restricted stock he is also entitled to continued vesting of his restricted stock during his severance period. The value on December 31, 2012 of the unvested stock for which vesting would continue was \$611,280. In the event of death, disability, retirement, or a change of control he becomes fully vested in his restricted stock. If this had occurred on December 31, 2012 the value of the restricted stock that would have vested was \$2,105,520. In the event of a change of control resulting in his termination without cause or resignation for good reason, 100% of his then restricted stock will vest immediately and he will receive his severance payment as a lump sum.

Robert N. Beury, Jr. Employment Agreement. Robert Beury's employment agreement entitles him to twelve months of salary and twelve months of benefits in the event that his employment with us is terminated without cause or he resigns for good reason. Had this occurred on December 31, 2012 he would have received \$254,856 (twelve months' salary). Under the terms of the grants of restricted stock he is also entitled to continued vesting of his restricted stock during his severance period. The value on December 31, 2012 of the unvested stock for which vesting would continue was \$407,520. In the event of death, disability, retirement, or a change of control the vesting of his restricted stock accelerates so that he will be 100% vested. Had this occurred on December 31, 2012 the value of the restricted stock that would have vested was \$1,222,560. In the event of a change of control resulting in his termination without cause or resignation for good reason, 100% of his then restricted stock will vest immediately and he will receive his severance payment as a lump sum.

Jeffrey Karnes Employment Agreement. Jeffrey Karnes's employment agreement provides that in the event his employment with us is terminated without cause or he resigns for good reason he will receive six months' salary and continuation of benefits for six months. Had this occurred on December 31, 2012 he would have received \$124,836 (six months' salary). Under the terms of the grants of restricted stock he is also entitled to continued vesting of his restricted stock during his severance period. The value on December 31, 2012 of the unvested stock for which vesting would continue was \$271,680. In the event of death, disability, retirement, or a change of control the vesting of his restricted stock accelerates so that he will be 100% vested. Had this occurred on December 31, 2012 the value of the restricted stock that would have vested was \$1,222,560. In the event of a change of control resulting in his termination without cause or resignation for good reason, 100% of his then restricted stock will vest immediately and he will receive his severance payment as a lump sum.

Timothy G. O'Neill Employment Agreement. Timothy O'Neill's employment agreement provides that in the event his employment with us is terminated without cause or he resigns for good reason he will receive six months' salary and continuation of benefits for six months. Had this occurred on December 31, 2012 he would have received \$124,950 (six months' salary). Under the terms of the grants of restricted stock he is also entitled to continued vesting of his restricted stock during his severance period. The value on December 31, 2012 of the unvested stock for which vesting would continue was \$271,680. In the event of death, disability, retirement, or a change of control the vesting of his restricted stock accelerates so that he will be 100% vested. Had this occurred on December 31, 2012 the value of the restricted stock that would have vested was \$1,222,560. In the event of a change of control resulting in his termination without cause or resignation for good reason, 100% of his then restricted stock will vest immediately and he will receive his severance payment as a lump sum.

Director Compensation

Our non-management Board members were compensated in 2012 as follows for their services:

10,000 shares of the Company's common stock paid in increments of 2,500 shares per quarter, and

\$1,000 per in-person board meeting for each non-management director.

28

The following table shows the amounts earned or paid in 2012.

Name	Fees E or P In C	aid	Stock wards(a)	Total
Blake Bath	\$	3,000	\$ 194,000	\$ 197,000
Steven Brooks	\$	3,000	\$ 194,000	\$ 197,000
Richard Liebhaber	\$	4,000	\$ 194,000	\$ 198,000
Erel Margalit	\$	2,000	\$ 194,000	\$ 196,000
Timothy Weingarten	\$	3,000	\$ 194,000	\$ 197,000
Marc Montagner	\$	4,000	\$ 194,000	\$ 198,000

(a)

Amounts represent the grant date fair value of stock awards computed in accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718. For additional information regarding the assumptions used in determining these values, see Note 8 to our consolidated financial statements included in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The compensation of David Schaeffer, who is a director and our Chief Executive Officer is disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table, above, and is therefore not shown in the Director Compensation table. He does not receive compensation for serving as a director.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for determining compensation for the Company's executive officers and other employees, and administering the 2004 Incentive Award Plan, the Company's management bonus plan and other compensation programs. The committee reviewed and discussed the Compensation, Discussion and Analysis with management and based on that review and discussion, recommended its inclusion in this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee:
D. Blake Bath
Erel Margalit
Timothy Weingarten

RISK ASSESSMENT IN COMPENSATION PROGRAMS

The Company has reviewed and considered all of its compensation policies and practices and does not believe that its compensation policies and practices create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

During 2012:

Messrs. Bath, Brooks, Margalit, and Weingarten served on the Compensation Committee;

None of the members of the Compensation Committee was an officer (or former officer) or employee of the Company or any of its subsidiaries;

None of the members of the Compensation Committee entered into (or agreed to enter into) any transaction or series of transactions with the Company or any of its subsidiaries in which the amount involved exceeds \$120,000;

None of the Company's executive officers served on the compensation committee (or another board committee with similar functions) of any entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee;

None of the Company's executive officers was a director of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served on the Company's Compensation Committee; and

None of the Company's executive officers served on the compensation committee (or another board committee with similar functions) of another entity where one of that entity's executive officers served as a director on the Company's Board of Directors.

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table provides summary information regarding beneficial ownership of our outstanding capital stock based on information available to the Company as of February 15, 2013, for:

each person or group who beneficially owns more than 5% of our capital stock on a fully diluted basis;

each of the executive officers named in the Summary Compensation Table;

each of our directors and nominees to become a director; and

all of our directors and executive officers as a group.

Beneficial ownership of shares is determined under the rules of the SEC and generally includes any shares over which a person exercises sole or shared voting or investment power. Except as indicated by footnote, and subject to applicable community property laws, each person identified in the table possesses sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of common stock held by them. The information has been compiled by the Company from reports filed with the SEC and other information available to the Company. Shares of common stock that will vest or are subject to options currently exercisable or exercisable within the period 60 days after February 15, 2013, are deemed outstanding for calculating the percentage of outstanding shares of the person holding these options, but are not deemed outstanding for calculating the percentage of any other person.

Unless otherwise noted, the address for each director and executive officer is c/o Cogent Communications Group, Inc., 1015 31st Street, NW, Washington, D.C. 20007. The shares of stock to which this table applies are shares of common stock. The Company has no other class of stock.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Amount Owned	Percent of Class
FMR LLC and affiliated entities (Fidelity Investments)(1)	2,697,476	5.72%
82 Devonshire Street, Boston, MA 02109		
BlackRock, Inc.		
40 East 52 nd Street, New York, NY 10022(2)	2,490,231	5.28%
Frontier Capital Management Co., LLC(3)		
99 Summer Street, Boston, MA 02110	3,691,002	7.83%
The Vanguard Group, Inc.(4)		
100 Vanguard Blvd, Malvern, PA 19355	2,764,455	5.87%
Sarbit Advisory Services Inc.(5)		
100 - 1 Evergreen Place	2,757,602	5.85%
Winnipeg, MB, R3L 0E9, Canada		
Directors and Officers:		
Dave Schaeffer(6)	3,212,471	6.82%
Erel Margalit	20,500	*
Timothy Weingarten	15,476	*
Steven Brooks	23,150	*
Richard Liebhaber	58,530	*
Blake Bath	17,100	*
Marc Montagner	25,500	*
Thaddeus Weed(7)	96,749	*
Robert Beury(7)	56,640	*
Jeffrey Karnes(7)	104,418	*
Timothy O'Neill(7)	67,452	*
Directors and executive officers as a group (12 persons)(8)	3,780,826	8.02%

Denotes less than 1% ownership.

(1)

Fidelity Management & Research Company ("Fidelity"), 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02109, a wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC and an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is the beneficial owner of 1,383,710 shares or 2.933% of the common stock outstanding of the Company as a result of acting as investment adviser to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940. Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR LLC, through its control of Fidelity, and the funds each has sole power to dispose of the 1,383,710 shares owned by the Funds. Members of the family of Edward C. Johnson 3d, Chairman of FMR LLC, are the predominant owners, directly or through trusts, of Series B voting common shares of FMR LLC, representing 49% of the voting power of FMR LLC. The Johnson family group and all other Series B shareholders have entered into a shareholders' voting agreement under which all Series B voting common shares will be voted in accordance with the majority vote of Series B voting common shares. Accordingly, through their ownership of voting common shares and the execution of the shareholders' voting agreement, members of the Johnson family may be deemed, under the Investment Company Act of 1940, to form a controlling group with respect to FMR LLC. Neither FMR LLC nor Edward C. Johnson 3d, Chairman of FMR LLC, has the sole power to vote or direct the voting of the shares owned directly by the Fidelity Funds, which power resides with the Funds' Boards of Trustees.

Fidelity carries out the voting of the shares under written guidelines established by the Funds' Boards of Trustees. Pyramis Global Advisors, LLC ("PGALLC"), 900 Salem Street, Smithfield, Rhode Island, 02917, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC and an investment adviser registered under Section 203 of the Investment Advisers Act of 1940, is the beneficial owner of 8,630 shares or 0.018% of the outstanding common stock of the Company as a result of its serving as investment adviser to institutional accounts, non-U.S. mutual funds, or investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 owning such shares. Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR LLC, through its control of PGALLC, each has sole dispositive power over 8,630 shares and sole power to vote or to direct the voting of 8,630 shares of common stock owned by the institutional accounts or funds advised by PGALLC as reported above. Pyramis Global Advisors Trust Company ("PGATC"), 900 Salem Street, Smithfield, Rhode Island, 02917, an indirect wholly-owned subsidiary of FMR LLC and a bank as defined in Section 3(a)(6) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, is the beneficial owner of 1,265,736 shares or 2.683% of the outstanding common stock of the Company as a result of its serving as investment manager of institutional accounts owning such shares. Edward C. Johnson 3d and FMR LLC, through its control of Pyramis Global Advisors Trust Company, each has sole dispositive power over 1,265,736 shares and sole power to vote or to direct the voting of 1,100,636 shares of common stock owned by the institutional accounts managed by PGATC as reported above. FIL Limited ("FIL"), Pembroke Hall, 42 Crow Lane, Hamilton, Bermuda, and various foreign-based subsidiaries provide investment advisory and management services to a number of non-U.S. investment companies and certain institutional investors. FIL, which is a qualified institution under section 240.13d-1(b)(1)(ii), is the beneficial owner of 39,400 shares or 0.084% of the common stock outstanding of the Company. Partnerships controlled predominantly by members of the family of Edward C. Johnson 3d, Chairman of FMR LLC and FIL, or trusts for their benefit, own shares of FIL voting stock with the right to cast approximately between 25-50% of the total votes which may be cast by all holders of FIL voting stock. FMR LLC and FIL are separate and independent corporate entities, and their boards of directors are generally composed of different individuals. The information herein regarding this stockholder is derived from such stockholder's Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013.

- (2) BlackRock, Inc. has sole voting and dispositive power over 2,490,231 shares of our common stock. The information herein regarding this stockholder is derived from such stockholder's Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 8, 2013.
- Frontier Capital Management Co., LLC reports sole voting power over 2,379,495 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power over 3,691,002 shares of our common stock. The information herein regarding this stockholder is derived from such stockholder's Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013.
- The Vanguard Group, Inc. reports sole voting power over 61,723 shares of common stock and sole dispositive power over 2,704,832 shares of common stock and shared dispositive power over 59,623 shares of our common stock. Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company ("VFTC"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc. is the beneficial owner of 59,623 shares or 0.12% of our common stock outstanding as a result of serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts. Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd. ("VIA"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of The Vanguard Group, Inc., is the beneficial owner of 2,100 shares of our common stock outstanding as a result of its serving as investment manager of Australian investment offerings. The information herein regarding this stockholder is derived from such stockholder's Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 12, 2013.
- (5) Sarbit Advisory Services Inc. reports sole voting and dispositive power over 2,757,602 shares of our common stock. The information herein regarding this stockholder is derived from such stockholder's Schedule 13 G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2013.

- Includes 3,212,471 shares of common stock, 3,205,721 of which are owned directly by Mr. Schaeffer and 6,750 shares of which are held by the Schaeffer Descendant's Trust. Mr. Schaeffer disclaims beneficial ownership of the shares held by the trust. Includes 780,000 shares of restricted stock that may be voted but remain subject to certain vesting provisions. Of the shares owned 1,966,930 shares have been pledged as security for a full recourse loan.
- Consists of common stock (not all of which is vested) and exercisable options. Of the shares shown in the table for Mr. Beury, 51,000 shares are not yet vested and an additional 2,500 of the shares represent exercisable options. Of the shares shown in the table for Mr. Karnes, 51,000 shares are not yet vested and an additional 10,000 of the shares represent exercisable options. Of the shares shown in the table for Mr. Weed, 86,750 shares are not yet vested. Of the shares shown in the table for Mr. O' Neill, 51,000 shares are not yet vested.
- (8)

 Consists of Dave Schaeffer, Erel Margalit, Timothy Weingarten, Steven Brooks, Richard T. Liebhaber, D. Blake Bath, Marc Montagner, Robert Beury, Jeffrey Karnes, Thaddeus Weed, R. Brad Kummer, and Timothy O'Neill. Of the shares shown in the table, Mr. Kummer owns 79,040 shares of the company's common stock; 51,000 of the shares are not yet vested and an additional 3,800 of the shares represent exercisable options.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

Employment Agreements

We have employment agreements with each of our named executive officers as described in "Employment Agreements and Other Potential Post-Employment Payments."

Our Headquarters Lease

The Company's headquarters is located in an office building owned by Niobium LLC (a successor to 6715 Kenilworth Avenue Partnership). The two owners of the partnership are our Chief Executive Officer, Dave Schaeffer, who has a 51% interest in the partnership and his wife, Ruth Schaeffer, who has a 49% interest in the partnership. The Company paid \$0.6 million in 2012 for rent and related costs (including taxes and utilities) to this company. The dollar value of Mr. Schaeffer's interest in the lease payments in 2012 was \$0.3 million. The dollar value of Mrs. Schaeffer's interest is combined with that of his wife then the total dollar value of his interest in the lease payments in 2012 was \$0.6 million. We believe that this lease agreement is on terms at least as favorable to us as could have been obtained from an unaffiliated third party. In November 2012, the lease was extended an additional two years through August 31, 2015.

Approval of Related Party Transactions

The Audit Committee reviews and approves related party transactions.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company's officers and directors, and persons who own more than ten percent of a registered class of the Company's stock to file reports of ownership and changes in ownership with the SEC. Officers, directors and greater than ten percent stockholders are required by SEC regulation to furnish the Company with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based on its records and other information, the Company believes that all Section 16(a) filing requirements applicable to its directors and executive officers for 2012 were timely met except for three Form 4 filings for Mr. Weingarten relating to the sale of shares in three separate transactions.

RELATIONSHIP WITH INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTANTS

The Audit Committee reappointed Ernst & Young LLP as the independent registered public accounting firm to audit our financial statements for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2013. In making this appointment, the Audit Committee considered whether the audit and non-audit services Ernst & Young LLP provides are compatible with maintaining the independence of our outside auditors. The Audit Committee has adopted a policy that sets forth the manner in which the Audit Committee will review and approve all services to be provided by Ernst & Young LLP before the firm is retained.

Representatives of Ernst & Young LLP will not be present at the Annual Meeting but are expected to be available by telephone should there be questions that need to be addressed by them.

Fees and Services of Ernst & Young LLP

The following table summarizes fees billed to us by Ernst & Young LLP for fiscal years 2011 and 2012; all services were pre-approved by the Audit Committee:

(\$ in thousands)

Service	2011		2012	
Audit fees(1)	\$	1,345	\$	1,326
Tax fees(2)	\$	121	\$	79
TOTAL	\$	1,466	\$	1,405

- (1)

 Fees for audit services include fees associated with the annual audit, the reviews of our quarterly reports on Form 10-Q and statutory audits (in jurisdictions where required).
- (2) Tax fees included tax compliance, tax advice and tax planning.

STOCKHOLDER PROPOSALS

No stockholder proposals intended for inclusion in the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders in 2013 were received by Ried Zulager, Secretary, Cogent Communications Group, Inc., 1015 31st Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20007.

Stockholders who wish to submit a proposal to be included in the Proxy Statement for the 2014 Annual Meeting of Stockholders must submit their proposal by November 4, 2013, to Ried Zulager, Secretary, Cogent Communications Group, Inc., 1015 31st Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20007. The proposal must comply with the SEC's proxy rules.

Additionally, the Company's Bylaws provide that stockholders desiring to nominate a director or bring any other business before the stockholders at an annual meeting must notify the Secretary of the Company thereof in writing during the period 120 to 90 days before the first anniversary of the date of the preceding year's annual meeting or, if the date of the annual meeting is more than 30 days before or more than 70 days after such anniversary date, notice by the stockholder to be timely must be so delivered during the period 120 to 90 days before such annual meeting or 10 days following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made by the Company. These stockholder notices must set forth certain information specified in the Company's Bylaws.

OTHER MATTERS

The Board knows of no other business that will be presented to the Annual Meeting. If any other business is properly brought before the Annual Meeting, proxies in the enclosed form will be voted in respect thereof in accordance with the judgments of the persons voting the proxies.

It is important that the proxies be returned promptly and that your shares are represented. Stockholders are urged to sign, date and promptly return the enclosed proxy card in the enclosed envelope.

A copy of the Company's 2012 Annual Report to Stockholders accompanies this Proxy Statement. The Company has filed an Annual Report for its fiscal year ended December 31, 2012 on Form 10-K with the SEC. Stockholders may obtain, free of charge, a copy of the Form 10-K by writing to Cogent Communications Group, Inc., Attn: Investor Relations, 1015 31st Street NW, Washington, D.C. 20007. Stockholders may also obtain a copy of the Form 10-K by accessing the Company's website at www.cogentco.com under the tab "About Cogent; Investor Relations; Reports."

Stockholders may also obtain a copy of the Form 10-K Investor Relations; Reports."	by accessing the Company's website at www.cogentco.com under the tab "About Cog
	By Order of the Board of Directors
Washington, D.C. February 28, 2013	Ried Zulager, Secretary
	35

APPENDIX A

COGENT COMMUNICATIONS GROUP, INC 2004 INCENTIVE AWARD PLAN

(as amended by the Board of Directors through February 20, 2013, subject to stockholder approval)

ARTICLE 1

PURPOSE

The purpose of the Cogent Communications Group, Inc. 2004 Incentive Award Plan (the "*Plan*") is to promote the success and enhance the value of Cogent Communications Group, Inc. (the "*Company*") by linking the personal interests of the members of the Board, Employees, and Consultants to those of Company stockholders and by providing such individuals with an incentive for outstanding performance to generate superior returns to Company stockholders. The Plan is further intended to provide flexibility to the Company in its ability to motivate, attract, and retain the services of members of the Board, Employees, and Consultants upon whose judgment, interest, and special effort the successful conduct of the Company's operation is largely dependent.

ARTICLE 2

DEFINITIONS AND CONSTRUCTION

Wherever the following terms are used in the Plan they shall have the meanings specified below, unless the context clearly indicates otherwise. The singular pronoun shall include the plural where the context so indicates.

- 2.1 "Award" means an Option, a Restricted Stock award, a Stock Appreciation Right award, a Performance Share award, a Performance Stock Unit award, a Dividend Equivalents award, a Stock Payment award, a Deferred Stock award, a Restricted Stock Unit award, an Other Stock-Based Award, or a Performance-Based Award granted to a Participant pursuant to the Plan.
 - 2.2 "Award Agreement" means any written agreement, contract, or other instrument or document evidencing an Award.
 - 2.3 "Board" means the Board of Directors of the Company.
- 2.4 "Change in Control" means a change in ownership or control of the Company effected through the first to occur of any of the following transactions:
 - (a) A consolidation, merger or reorganization of the Company with or into any other corporation or corporations in which the stockholders of the Company immediately before such event shall own fifty percent (50%) or less (calculated on an as converted basis, fully diluted) of the voting securities of the surviving corporation;
 - (b) Any transaction or series of related transactions in which at least fifty percent (50%) of the Company's voting power is transferred;
 - (c) The sale, transfer or lease of all or substantially all of the assets of the Company;
 - (d) Any acquisition of shares of capital stock of the Company (whether through a direct issuance by the Company, negotiated stock purchase, a tender for such shares, merger, consolidation or otherwise) by any party or group that did not beneficially own a majority of the voting power of the outstanding shares of capital stock of the Company immediately prior to such purchase, the effect of which is that such party or group beneficially owns at least a majority of such voting power immediately after such event; or

(e) The Company consummates a plan of complete liquidation of the Company.

The Committee shall have full and final authority, which shall be exercised in its discretion, to determine conclusively whether a Change in Control of the Company has occurred pursuant to the above definition, and the date of the occurrence of such Change in Control and any incidental matters relating thereto.

- 2.5 "Code" means the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended and the regulations issued thereunder.
- 2.6 "Committee" means the committee of the Board described in Article 11.
- 2.7 "Consultant" means any consultant or adviser if:
 - (a) The consultant or adviser renders bona fide services to the Company;
 - (b) The services rendered by the consultant or adviser are not in connection with the offer or sale of securities in a capital-raising transaction and do not directly or indirectly promote or maintain a market for the Company's securities; and
 - (c) The consultant or adviser is a natural person who has contracted directly with the Company to render such services.
- 2.8 "Covered Employee" means an Employee who is, or could be, a "covered employee" within the meaning of Section 162(m) of the Code.
 - 2.9 "Deferred Stock" means a right to receive a specified number of shares of Stock during specified time periods pursuant to Article 8.
- 2.10 "Disability" means that the Participant qualifies to receive long-term disability payments under the Company's long-term disability insurance program, as it may be amended from time to time.
- 2.11 "Dividend Equivalents" means a right granted to a Participant pursuant to Article 8 to receive the equivalent value (in cash or Stock) of dividends paid on Stock.
 - 2.12 "Effective Date" shall have the meaning set forth in Section 12.1.
- 2.13 "Employee" means any officer or other employee (as defined in accordance with Section 3401(c) of the Code) of the Company or any Subsidiary.
 - 2.14 "Exchange Act" means the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended.
- 2.15 "Fair Market Value" means, as of any given date, the fair market value of a share of Stock on the immediately preceding date determined by such methods or procedures as may be established from time to time by the Committee. Unless otherwise determined by the Committee, the Fair Market Value of a share of Stock as of any date shall be the average of the high and low trading prices for a share of Stock as reported on the American Stock Exchange (or on any national securities exchange on which the Stock is then listed) for the immediately preceding date or, if no such prices are reported for that date, the average of the high and low trading prices on the next preceding date for which such prices were reported.
- 2.16 "Incentive Stock Option" means an Option that is intended to meet the requirements of Section 422 of the Code or any successor provision thereto.
 - 2.17 "Independent Director" means a member of the Board who is not an Employee of the Company.
- 2.18 "Non-Employee Director" means a member of the Board who qualifies as a "Non-Employee Director" as defined in Rule 16b-3(b)(3) of the Exchange Act, or any successor definition adopted by the Board.

- 2.19 "Non-Qualified Stock Option" means an Option that is not intended to be an Incentive Stock Option.
- 2.20 "Option" means a right granted to a Participant pursuant to Article 5 of the Plan to purchase a specified number of shares of Stock at a specified price during specified time periods. An Option may be either an Incentive Stock Option or a Non-Qualified Stock Option.
 - 2.21 "Other Stock-Based Award" means an Award granted or denominated in Stock or units of Stock pursuant to Section 8.7 of the Plan.
- 2.22 "Participant" means a person who, as a member of the Board, Consultant or Employee, has been granted an Award pursuant to the Plan.
- 2.23 "Performance-Based Award" means an Award granted to selected Covered Employees pursuant to Articles 6 and 8, and which is intended to qualify as Qualified Performance-Based Compensation.
- 2.24 "Performance Criteria" means the criteria that the Committee selects for purposes of establishing the Performance Goal or Performance Goals for a Participant for a Performance Period. The Performance Criteria that will be used to establish Performance Goals are limited to the following: net earnings (either before or after interest, taxes, depreciation and amortization), economic value-added (as determined by the Committee), sales or revenue, net income (either before or after taxes), operating earnings, cash flow (including, but not limited to, operating cash flow and free cash flow), return on capital, return on net assets, return on stockholders' equity, return on assets, stockholder returns, return on sales, gross or net profit margin, productivity, expense margins, operating efficiency, customer satisfaction, working capital, earnings per share, price per share of Stock, and market share, any of which may be measured either in absolute terms or as compared to any incremental increase or as compared to results of a peer group. The Committee shall, within the time prescribed by Section 162(m) of the Code, define in an objective fashion the manner of calculating the Performance Criteria it selects to use for such Performance Period for such Participant.
- 2.25 "Performance Goals" means, for a Performance Period, the goals established in writing by the Committee for the Performance Period based upon the Performance Criteria. Depending on the Performance Criteria used to establish such Performance Goals, the Performance Goals may be expressed in terms of overall Company performance or the performance of a division, business unit, or an individual. The Committee, in its discretion, may, within the time prescribed by Section 162(m) of the Code, adjust or modify the calculation of Performance Goals for such Performance Period in order to prevent the dilution or enlargement of the rights of Participants (i) in the event of, or in anticipation of, any unusual or extraordinary corporate item, transaction, event, or development, or (ii) in recognition of, or in anticipation of, any other unusual or nonrecurring events affecting the Company, or the financial statements of the Company, or in response to, or in anticipation of, changes in applicable laws, regulations, accounting principles, or business conditions.
- 2.26 "Performance Period" means the one or more periods of time, which may be of varying and overlapping durations, as the Committee may select, over which the attainment of one or more Performance Goals will be measured for the purpose of determining a Participant's right to, and the payment of, a Performance-Based Award.
- 2.27 "Performance Share" means a right granted to a Participant pursuant to Article 8, to receive Stock, the payment of which is contingent upon achieving certain Performance Goals or other performance-based targets established by the Committee.
- 2.28 "Performance Stock Unit" means a right granted to a Participant pursuant to Article 8, to receive Stock, the payment of which is contingent upon achieving certain Performance Goals or other performance-based targets established by the Committee.