TE Connectivity Ltd. Form DEF 14A January 13, 2012

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

Table of Contents

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A (RULE 14a-101)

INFORMATION REQUIRED IN PROXY STATEMENT SCHEDULE 14A INFORMATION

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No.)

Filed by the Registrant ý

Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

- o Preliminary Proxy Statement
- o Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
- ý Definitive Proxy Statement
- o Definitive Additional Materials
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

TE CONNECTIVITY LTD.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- ý No fee required.
- o Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.
 - (1) Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
 - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:

- (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):
- (4) Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:
- (5) Total fee paid:
- o Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.
- o Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
 - (1) Amount Previously Paid:
 - (2) Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
 - (3) Filing Party:
 - (4) Date Filed:

January 13, 2012

Dear Shareholder,

You are invited to attend the 2012 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders of TE Connectivity Ltd., to be held on March 7, 2012 at 2:00 p.m., Central European Time (8:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time), at the Park Hyatt Zürich, Beethoven-Strasse 21, 8002 Zürich, Switzerland. Details of the business to be presented at the meeting can be found in the accompanying Invitation to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement.

If you cannot attend, you can ensure that your shares are represented at the meeting by promptly completing, signing, and dating your proxy card and returning it in the enclosed envelope.

We look forward to seeing you at the meeting.

Sincerely,

Frederic M. Poses Chairman of the Board

> TE Connectivity Ltd. Rheinstrasse 20 CH-8200 Schaffhausen, Switzerland

> > Tel: +41 (0)52 633 66 61 Fax: +41 (0)52 633 66 99

Contents

Invitation to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders	1	
Proxy Statement	5	
Questions and Answers About This Proxy Statement and Voting	5	
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	13	
Agenda Item No. 1 Election of Directors	16	
Nominees for Election	16	
Corporate Governance	21	
The Board of Directors and Board Committees	24	
Executive Officers	26	
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	29	
Management Development and Compensation Committee Report	47	
Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation	47	
Executive Officer Compensation	48	
Compensation of Non-Employee Directors	59	
Certain Relationships and Related Transactions	61	
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	62	
Audit Committee Report	62	
Agenda Item No. 2 Approval of Annual Report and Financial Statements for the Fiscal Year Ended September 30, 2011	64	
Agenda Item No. 3 Release of the Members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers for Activities During the Fiscal		
Year ended September 30, 2011	67	
Agenda Item No. 4 Election of Auditors	68	
Agenda Item No. 5 Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	71	
Agenda Item No. 6 Approval of an Increase in the Number of Shares Available for Awards Under the TE Connectivity Ltd.		
2007 Stock and Incentive Plan	73	
Agenda Item No. 7 Approval of Reduction of Share Capital for Shares Acquired under our Share Repurchase Program	83	
Agenda Item No. 8 Authorization Relating to Share Repurchase Program	85	
Agenda Item No. 9 Approval of a Distribution to Shareholders in the Form of a Capital Reduction	87	
Agenda Item No. 10 Approval of Reallocation of Free Reserves (Contributed Surplus) to Legal Reserves (Reserves from		
Capital Contributions)	99	
Agenda Item No. 11 Approval of any Adjournments or Postponements of the Meeting	100	
Additional Information	100	
TE Connectivity 2013 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders	100	
Where You Can Find More Information	101	
Appendix A TE Connectivity Ltd. 2007 Stock and Incentive Plan		
	A-1	
Appendix B Primary Talent Market Peer Group		
	B-1	
Agenda items to be voted upon at the meeting		
2012 Annual General Meeting Proxy Stateme	nt i	i

TE CONNECTIVITY LTD.

Rheinstrasse 20 CH-8200 Schaffhausen, Switzerland

Invitation to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders

2:00 p.m., Central European Time (8:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time), on March 7, 2012 Park Hyatt Zürich, Beethoven-Strasse 21, 8002 Zürich, Switzerland 1. Election of eleven (11) director nominees proposed by the Board of Directors; 2. Approval of (i) the 2011 Annual Report of TE Connectivity Ltd. (excluding the statutory financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011), (ii) the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, and (iii) the consolidated financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011; 3. Release of the members of the Board of Directors and executive officers of TE Connectivity for activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011; 4. Election of (i) Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012, (ii) Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our Swiss registered auditor until our next annual general meeting, and (iii) PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our special auditor until our next annual general meeting; 5. Advisory vote on executive compensation; 6. Approval of an increase in the number of shares available for awards under the TE Connectivity Ltd. 2007 Stock and Incentive Plan: 7. Approval of reduction of share capital for shares acquired under our share repurchase program; 8. Authorization relating to share repurchase program; 9. Approval of the equivalent of a dividend payment in the form of a distribution to shareholders through a reduction of the par value of our shares, such payment to be made in four equal quarterly installments starting with the third fiscal quarter of 2012 and ending in the second fiscal quarter of 2013; 10. Reallocation of free reserves (contributed surplus) to legal reserves (reserves from capital contributions); 11. Approval of any adjournments or postponements of the meeting; and 12. Transaction of any other business properly brought at the meeting.

2012 Annual General Meeting Proxy Statement 1

Time and Date: Place: Agenda Items:

Table of Contents Persons Who Will Receive Proxy Materials: A copy of the proxy materials, including a proxy card, has been sent to each shareholder registered in our share register as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on January 10, 2012. A copy of the proxy materials also will be sent to any additional shareholders who are registered in our share register as shareholders with voting rights, or who become beneficial owners through a nominee registered in our share register as a shareholder with voting rights, as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012. Admission to Meeting and Persons Eligible to Vote: Shareholders who are registered with voting rights in our share register as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012 have the right to attend the Annual General Meeting and vote their shares, or may grant a proxy to vote on each of the agenda items in this invitation and any other matter properly presented at the meeting for consideration. Shareholders who hold their shares in the name of a bank, broker or other nominee should follow the instructions provided by their bank, broker or nominee. Beneficial owners who have not obtained a proxy from their bank, broker or nominee are not entitled to vote in person at the Annual General Meeting. Granting of Proxy: Shareholders of record with voting rights who do not wish to attend the Annual General Meeting have the right to appoint as proxy the TE Connectivity officers named in the enclosed proxy card. Alternatively, they may appoint Dr. Jvo Grundler, Ernst & Young AG, as independent proxy, pursuant to article 689c of the Swiss Code of Obligations with full rights of substitution by marking the appropriate box on and submitting the enclosed proxy card, or grant a written proxy to any person, who does not need to be a shareholder. The proxies granted to the TE Connectivity officers named in the proxy card or the independent proxy must be received no later than 5:00 p.m., Central European Time (11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time) on March 6, 2012. A shareholder of record who gives a proxy may revoke it at any time before it is exercised by voting in person at the meeting, or, subject to timing limitations, by delivering a subsequent proxy or by notifying the Secretary of TE Connectivity or the independent proxy in writing of such revocation. With regard to the items listed on the agenda and without any explicit instructions to the contrary, the TE Connectivity officers acting as proxy and the independent proxy will vote according to the recommendation of the Board of Directors of TE Connectivity. If new agenda items (other than those on the agenda) or new proposals or motions regarding agenda items set out in this Invitation to the Annual General Meeting are being put forth at the meeting, the TE Connectivity officers acting as proxy will vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors, as will the independent proxy.

Edgar Filing: TE Connectivity Ltd. - Form DEF 14A

Proxy Holders of Deposite	d
Shares:	Institutions subject to the Swiss Federal Law on Banks and Savings Banks as well as professional asset managers who hold proxies for holders of record with voting rights who did not grant proxies to TE Connectivity officers or the independent proxy must inform TE Connectivity of the number of shares they represent by mail so that we receive notice no later than 5:00 p.m., Central European Time (11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time), on March 6, 2012. This information must be mailed to: TE Connectivity Ltd., Attention: Secretary, Rheinstrasse 20, CH-8200 Schaffhausen, Switzerland.
Date of Mailing:	This Invitation to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders and Proxy Statement and the enclosed proxy card are first being sent on or about January 27, 2012 to each shareholder of record of TE Connectivity registered shares at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on January 10, 2012.
By order of the Board of D	

Harold G. Barksdale Corporate Secretary

January 13, 2012

(This page has been left blank intentionally.)

PROXY STATEMENT FOR THE ANNUAL GENERAL MEETING OF SHAREHOLDERS OF

TE CONNECTIVITY LTD.

TO BE HELD ON WEDNESDAY, MARCH 7, 2012

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THIS PROXY STATEMENT AND VOTING

Why am I receiving these materials?

TE Connectivity's Board of Directors is soliciting your proxy to vote at the Annual General Meeting to be held at 2:00 p.m., Central European Time (8:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time), on March 7, 2012, at the Park Hyatt Zürich, Beethoven-Strasse 21, 8002 Zürich, Switzerland. The information provided in this proxy statement is for your use in determining how you will vote on the agenda items described within.

We have sent this proxy statement and proxy card to each person who is registered as a holder of our shares in the register of shareholders (such owners are often referred to as "holders of record" or "record holders") as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on January 10, 2012. We will also send a copy of this proxy statement and proxy card to any additional shareholders who become registered in our share register after the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on January 10, 2012 and continue to be registered in our share register at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012. Distribution of this proxy statement and a proxy card to shareholders is scheduled to begin on or about January 27, 2012.

We have requested that banks, brokerage firms and other nominees who hold TE Connectivity shares on behalf of the owners of the shares (such owners are often referred to, and we refer to them below, as "beneficial owners," "beneficial shareholders" or "street name holders") as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on January 10, 2012 forward these materials, together with a proxy card or voting instruction card, to those beneficial shareholders and to do the same for any additional beneficial owners who acquire their shares after January 10, 2012 and continue to hold them at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012. We have agreed to pay the reasonable expenses of the banks, brokerage firms and other nominees for forwarding these materials. We also have provided for these materials to be sent to persons who have interests in our shares through participation in our employee share purchase plans. These individuals are not eligible to vote directly at the Annual General Meeting, but they may instruct the trustees of these plans how to vote the shares represented by their interests. The enclosed proxy card also will serve as voting instructions for the trustees of the plans.

Are proxy materials available on the Internet?

Yes.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual General Meeting to be Held on March 7, 2012.

Our proxy statement for the Annual General Meeting to be held on March 7, 2012, other proxy material and our annual report to shareholders for fiscal year 2011 is available at *http://www.te.com/2012AnnualMeeting*.

What agenda items are scheduled to be voted on at the meeting?

The eleven agenda items scheduled for a vote are:

Agenda Item No. 1: To elect eleven (11) nominees proposed by the Board of Directors as directors to hold office until the next annual general meeting of shareholders;

Agenda Item No. 2: To approve (i) the 2011 Annual Report of TE Connectivity Ltd. (excluding the statutory financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011), (ii) the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, and (iii) the consolidated financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011;

Agenda Item No. 3: To release the members of the Board of Directors and executive officers of TE Connectivity for activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011;

Agenda Item No. 4: To elect (i) Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012, (ii) Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our Swiss registered auditor until our next annual general meeting, and (iii) PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our special auditor until our next annual general meeting;

Agenda Item No. 5: To cast an advisory vote on executive compensation;

Agenda Item No. 6: To approve an increase in the number of shares available for awards under the TE Connectivity Ltd. 2007 Stock and Incentive Plan;

Agenda Item No. 7: To approve a reduction of share capital for shares acquired under our share repurchase program and related amendments to our articles of association;

Agenda Item No. 8: To approve an authorization related to our share repurchase program;

Agenda Item No. 9: To approve the equivalent of a dividend payment in the form of a distribution to shareholders through a reduction of the par value of our shares, such payment in a Swiss franc amount equal to US\$ 0.84 per issued share to be paid in four equal quarterly installments of US\$ 0.21 starting with the third fiscal quarter of 2012 and ending in the second fiscal quarter of 2013 pursuant to the terms of the capital reduction distribution resolution;

Agenda Item No. 10: To approve a reallocation of free reserves (contributed surplus) to legal reserves (reserves from capital contributions); and

Agenda Item No. 11: To approve any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

What is the recommendation of the Board of Directors on each of the agenda items scheduled to be voted on at the meeting? How do the Board of Directors and executive officers intend to vote with respect to the agenda items?

TE Connectivity's Board of Directors recommends that you vote **FOR** each of the agenda items listed above as recommended by our Board of Directors. Our directors and executive officers have indicated that they intend to vote their shares in favor of each of the agenda items, except for Agenda Item No. 3 (Release of the Members of the Board of Directors and Executive Officers of TE Connectivity for Activities during the Fiscal Year ended September 30, 2011), where they are by law precluded from voting their shares. On January 3, 2012, our directors and executive officers and their affiliates beneficially owned approximately 1.5% of the outstanding shares.

What is the difference between being a shareholder of record and a beneficial owner?

If your shares are registered directly in your name in our share register operated by our stock transfer agent, you are considered the "shareholder of record" of those shares.

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee on your behalf and the broker, bank or nominee is registered in our share register as a shareholder with voting rights, your broker, bank or other nominee is considered the shareholder of record and you are considered

the "beneficial owner" or "street name holder" of those shares. In this case, the shareholder of record that is registered as a shareholder with voting rights has forwarded these proxy materials, and separate voting instructions, to you. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct the shareholder of record how to vote your shares by following the voting instructions they have provided with these materials. Because you are not the shareholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the meeting unless you receive a valid proxy from your broker, bank or other nominee that holds your shares giving you the right to vote the shares in person at the meeting.

Who is entitled to vote?

Shareholders of record

All shareholders registered in our share register at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on **February 17, 2012** are entitled to vote on the matters set forth in this proxy statement and any other matter properly presented at the meeting for consideration, provided such shareholders will have become registered as shareholders with voting rights by that time. See " I am a shareholder of record. How do I become registered as a shareholder with voting rights?"

Beneficial owners

Beneficial owners whose banks, brokers or nominees are shareholders registered in our share register with respect to the beneficial owners' shares at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on **February 17, 2012** are entitled to vote on the matters set forth in this proxy statement and any other matter properly presented at the meeting for consideration, provided such banks, brokers or nominees become registered as shareholders with voting rights. See " I am a shareholder of record. How do I become registered as a shareholder with voting rights?"

What if I am the record holder or beneficial owner of shares at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on January 10, 2012 but sell or otherwise transfer those shares before the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012?

Holders of record and beneficial owners will not be entitled to vote their shares or provide instructions to vote with respect to their shares if they hold shares at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on January 10, 2012 but sell or otherwise transfer those shares before the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012.

I am a shareholder of record. How do I become registered as a shareholder with voting rights?

If you are a shareholder of record, you have been registered as a shareholder with voting rights in our share register, unless in certain circumstances (such as failure to comply with particular disclosure requirements set forth in our articles of association) we have specifically advised you that you are registered as a shareholder without voting rights.

How do I attend the Annual General Meeting?

For admission to the meeting, shareholders and their authorized representatives must bring a valid government-issued photo identification, such as a driver's license or a passport. Shareholders of record with voting rights should bring the portion of the proxy card marked "Admission Ticket" to the check-in area, where their ownership will be verified. Those who have beneficial ownership of registered shares held by a bank, brokerage firm or other nominee which has voting rights must bring to the check-in area account statements or letters from their banks, brokers or nominees showing that they own TE Connectivity registered shares as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012.

Registration will begin at 1:00 p.m., Central European Time (7:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time), and the meeting will begin at 2:00 p.m., Central European Time (8:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time).

See " How do I vote if I am a shareholder of record?" and " How do I vote if I am a beneficial shareholder?" for a discussion of who is eligible and how to vote in person at the Annual General Meeting.

Security measures will be in place at the meeting to help ensure the safety of attendees. Cameras, sound recording devices, signs, photographs and visual displays are not permitted in the meeting without the prior permission of TE Connectivity. We reserve the right to inspect bags, backpacks, briefcases or other packages brought to the meeting. Cell phones and other sound transmitting devices must be turned off during the meeting.

How do I vote if I am a shareholder of record?

If you are a registered shareholder, you can vote in the following ways:

At the Annual General Meeting: If you are a shareholder of record with voting rights of TE Connectivity registered shares who plans to attend the Annual General Meeting and wishes to vote your shares in person, we will give you a ballot at the meeting.

Even if you plan to be present at the Annual General Meeting, we encourage you to complete and mail the enclosed card to vote your shares by proxy. If you are a holder of record, you may still attend the Annual General Meeting and vote in person.

By Mail: If you are a holder of record with voting rights, you may vote by marking, dating and signing the enclosed proxy card and returning it by mail for receipt by no later than indicated below. You may appoint the officers of TE Connectivity named in the proxy card as your proxy. If you appoint officers of TE Connectivity as your proxy, you will need to send your proxy card to TE Connectivity Ltd., c/o BNY Mellon Shareowner Services, P. O. Box 3550, South Hackensack, NJ 07606-9250, United States of America. Alternatively, you may authorize the independent proxy, Dr. Jvo Grundler, Ernst & Young AG, with full rights of substitution, to vote your shares on your behalf. If you appoint the independent proxy, you will need to send your proxy card directly to the independent proxy at the following address: Dr. Jvo Grundler, Ernst & Young Ltd., Maagplatz 1, P.O. Box, CH-8046, Zurich, Switzerland.

In order to assure that your votes are tabulated in time to be voted at the Annual General Meeting, you must submit your proxy card so that it is received by 5:00 p.m., Central European Time (11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time) on March 6, 2012.

If you have timely submitted a properly executed proxy card and clearly indicated your votes, your shares will be voted as indicated. If you have timely submitted a properly executed proxy card and have not clearly indicated your votes, your shares will be voted **FOR** each of the agenda items for which you have not clearly indicated votes as recommended by our Board of Directors. If any other matters are properly presented at the meeting, the TE Connectivity officers or the independent proxy, as applicable, will vote the shares represented by all properly executed proxies in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors.

How do I vote if I am a beneficial shareholder?

General: If you hold your shares in street name, you should provide instructions to your bank or broker on how you wish your vote to be recorded by following the instructions on your voting instruction form supplied by your bank or broker with these proxy materials.

At the Annual General Meeting: If you are a shareholder who owns shares in street name, you are not entitled to vote in person at the Annual General Meeting unless you have a proxy, executed in your favor, from the bank, broker or nominee holder of record of your shares. We will then give you a ballot at the meeting.

Can I vote by telephone or via the Internet?

If you are a shareholder of record, you cannot vote by telephone or via the Internet. If you are a beneficial owner, see the voting instruction card provided by your broker, bank or other nominee for telephone or Internet voting instructions.

How do I appoint TE Connectivity officers as my proxy?

If you properly fill in your proxy card and mark the appropriate box so as to appoint officers of TE Connectivity as your proxy, with full rights of substitution, and send it to us in time to vote, your proxy, meaning one of the individuals named on your proxy card, will vote your shares as you have directed. If you sign the proxy card but do not make specific choices, you will be deemed to appoint officers of TE Connectivity as your proxy and your proxy will vote your shares **FOR** each of the agenda items listed in the Invitation to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders as recommended by the Board of Directors. Alternatively, you can grant a proxy to the independent proxy as described below.

If a new agenda item or a new motion or proposal for an existing agenda item is properly presented to the Annual General Meeting, the TE Connectivity officers acting as your proxy will vote in accordance with the recommendation of our Board of Directors. At the time of printing this proxy statement, we know of no matters to be acted on at the Annual General Meeting other than those discussed in the Invitation to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders and this proxy statement.

How do I appoint the independent proxy as my proxy?

If you are a shareholder of record with voting rights, you may authorize the independent proxy, Dr. Jvo Grundler, with full rights of substitution, to vote your shares on your behalf by marking the box corresponding to the independent proxy on the enclosed proxy card. If you authorize the independent proxy to vote your shares without giving instructions, your shares will be voted in accordance with the recommendations of the Board of Directors with regard to the items listed in the Invitation to the Annual General Meeting of Shareholders. If new agenda items (other than those in the Invitation) or new motions or proposals with respect to those agenda items set forth in the Invitation are properly being put forth at the Annual General Meeting, the independent proxy will vote in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors. Proxy cards authorizing the independent proxy to vote shares on your behalf must be sent by mail directly to the independent proxy at the following address: Dr. Jvo Grundler, Ernst & Young Ltd., Maagplatz 1, P.O. Box, CH-8046, Zurich, Switzerland. These proxy cards must be received no later than 5:00 p.m., Central European Time (11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time), on March 6, 2012.

If my shares are held in "street name" by my broker, will my broker vote my shares for me?

We recommend that you contact your broker. Your broker can give you directions on how to instruct the broker to vote your shares. If you have not provided instructions to the broker, your broker will be able to vote your shares with respect to "routine" matters but not "non-routine" matters pursuant to New York Stock Exchange ("NYSE") rules. We believe the following agenda items will be considered non-routine under NYSE rules and therefore your broker will not be able to vote your shares with respect to these agenda items unless the broker receives appropriate instructions from you: Agenda Item No. 1 (Election of Directors), Agenda Item No. 5 (Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation) and Agenda Item No. 6 (Approval of an Increase in the Number of Shares Available for Awards Under the TE Connectivity Ltd. 2007 Stock and Increative Plan).

What if I am a proxy holder of deposited shares?

Institutions subject to the Swiss Federal Law on Banks and Savings Banks as well as professional asset managers who hold proxies for holders of record with voting rights who did not grant proxies to TE Connectivity officers or the independent proxy must inform TE Connectivity of the number of

shares they represent by mail so that we receive notice no later than 5:00 p.m., Central European Time (11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time), on March 6, 2012. This information must be mailed to: TE Connectivity Ltd., Attention: Secretary, Rheinstrasse 20, CH-8200 Schaffhausen, Switzerland.

What will happen if I don't vote my shares?

If you are a shareholder of record and you do not sign and return your proxy card, no votes will be cast on your behalf on any of the items of business at the meeting. If you are a shareholder of record and you return your signed proxy card but make no direction as to how your shares are to be voted, your shares will be voted "FOR" each of the director nominees and "FOR" each of the other agenda items (including each subpart thereof) and in accordance with the recommendation of the Board of Directors on such other business as may properly be presented at the Annual General Meeting.

If you are a beneficial shareholder and you do not provide voting instructions to your bank or broker, subject to any contractual arrangements your bank or broker may vote your shares in its discretion on all agenda items except Agenda Item No. 1 (Election of Directors), Agenda Item No. 5 (Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation) and Agenda Item No. 6 (Approval of an Increase in the Number of Shares Available for Awards Under the TE Connectivity Ltd. 2007 Stock and Incentive Plan) and no votes will be cast on your behalf on Agenda Items No. 1, No. 5 and No. 6.

How many shares can vote at the Annual General Meeting?

Our registered shares are our only class of voting stock. As of January 10, 2012, there were 425,827,568 registered shares issued and outstanding and entitled to vote; however, shareholders who are not registered in our share register as shareholders or do not become registered as shareholders with voting rights as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012 will not be entitled to attend, vote or grant proxies to vote at, the Annual General Meeting. See " I am a shareholder of record. How do I become registered as a shareholder with voting rights?" Shares duly represented at the Annual General Meeting will be entitled to one vote per share for each matter presented at the Annual General Meeting. Shareholders who are registered in our share register as of the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012 and who are registered with voting rights may vote in person at the Annual General Meeting as discussed under " How do I vote if I am a shareholder of record? At the Annual General Meeting."

What quorum is required for the Annual General Meeting?

The presence, in person or by proxy, of at least the majority of the registered shares entitled to vote constitutes a quorum for the conduct of business at the Annual General Meeting.

What vote is required for approval of each agenda item and what is the effect of broker non-votes and abstentions?

The following agenda items require the affirmative vote of an absolute majority of the votes of registered shares with voting rights that are represented at the Annual General Meeting in person or by proxy. An absolute majority means at least half plus one additional vote represented at a general meeting of shareholders.

Agenda Item No. 1: Election of eleven (11) director nominees proposed by the Board of Directors;

Agenda Item Nos. 2.1, 2.2 and 2.3: Approval of (i) the 2011 Annual Report of TE Connectivity Ltd. (excluding the statutory financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011), (ii) the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal

Table of Contents

year ended September 30, 2011, and (iii) the consolidated financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011;

Agenda Item Nos. 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3: Election of (i) Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for fiscal year 2012, (ii) Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our Swiss registered auditor until our next annual general meeting, and (iii) PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our special auditor until our next annual general meeting;

Agenda Item No. 5: Advisory vote on executive compensation;

Agenda Item No. 7: Approval of reduction of share capital for shares acquired under our share repurchase program;

Agenda Item No. 8: Authorization relating to share repurchase program;

Agenda Item No. 9: Approval of the equivalent of a dividend payment in the form of a distribution to shareholders through a reduction of the par value of our shares;

Agenda Item No. 10: Reallocation of free reserves (contributed surplus) to legal reserves (reserves from capital contributions); and

Agenda Item No. 11: Approval of any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

The following agenda item requires the affirmative vote of an absolute majority of the votes of registered shares with voting rights that are represented at the Annual General Meeting in person or by proxy, not counting the votes of any member of the Board of Directors or any executive officer of TE Connectivity.

Agenda Item No. 3: The release of the members of the Board of Directors and executive officers for activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

The following agenda item requires the affirmative vote of the majority of the votes cast on the agenda item, provided that the total votes cast on the agenda item represent over 50% of the voting power of the total outstanding registered shares with voting rights.

Agenda Item No. 6: Approval of an increase in the number of shares available for awards under the TE Connectivity Ltd. 2007 Stock and Incentive Plan.

Registered shares which are represented by broker non-votes (which occur when a broker holding shares for a beneficial owner does not vote on a particular agenda item because the broker does not have discretionary voting power for that particular item and has not received instructions from the beneficial owner) and registered shares which are cast as abstentions on any matter, are counted towards the determination of a majority required to approve such agenda item and will therefore have the effect of an **AGAINST** vote on that item. Abstentions and broker non-votes are counted for quorum purposes.

Who will count the votes and certify the results?

An independent vote tabulator will count the votes. BNY Mellon Shareowner Services has been appointed by the Board of Directors as the independent inspector of election and will determine the existence of a quorum, validity of proxies and ballots, and certify the results of the voting.

If I vote and then want to change or revoke my vote, may I?

If you are a shareholder of record and have granted a proxy to designated officers of TE Connectivity, you may revoke or change your proxy at any time before it is exercised at the meeting by submitting a later dated proxy card at or before the meeting, by notifying our Secretary in writing that you have revoked your proxy, or by attending the meeting and giving notice of revocation in person. If

you wish to revoke your proxy, you must do so in sufficient time to permit the necessary examination and tabulation of the subsequent proxy or revocation before the vote is taken. Written revocations should be directed to:

Secretary TE Connectivity Ltd. Rheinstrasse 20 CH-8200 Schaffhausen, Switzerland

If you are a shareholder of record and have granted a proxy to the independent proxy, Dr. Jvo Grundler, you may revoke or change your proxy at any time before it is exercised at the meeting by submitting a revocation letter, and new proxy, if applicable, directly to the independent proxy so that it is received by no later than 5:00 p.m., Central European Time (11:00 a.m., Eastern Standard Time) on March 6, 2012. Written revocations should be directed to the following address: Dr. Jvo Grundler, Ernst & Young Ltd., Maagplatz 1, P.O. Box, CH-8046, Zurich, Switzerland.

Your presence without voting at the meeting will not automatically revoke your proxy, and any revocation during the meeting will not affect votes previously taken at the meeting.

If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee on your behalf, follow the voting instructions provided to you with these materials to determine how you may change your vote.

Can I sell my shares before the meeting if I have voted?

Yes. TE Connectivity does not block the transfer of shares before the meeting. However, unless you are a shareholder of record with voting rights at the close of business (Eastern Standard Time) on February 17, 2012, your vote will not be counted.

Are shareholders permitted to ask questions at the meeting?

During the Annual General Meeting, shareholders may ask questions or make comments relating to agenda items when permitted by the moderator.

Whom may I contact for assistance?

You should contact Innisfree M&A Incorporated, who we have engaged as a proxy solicitor for the Annual General Meeting. The contact information for Innisfree is below:

Innisfree M&A Incorporated 501 Madison Avenue, 20th Floor New York, New York 10022, United States of America Shareholders call toll free 877-750-9497 (U.S. and Canada) or collect +1-412-232-3651 (international) Banks and brokerage firms call collect 212-750-5834

SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth the number of outstanding shares of TE Connectivity beneficially owned as of January 3, 2012 by each current director and nominee, each executive officer named in the Summary Compensation Table and all of our executive officers, directors and nominees as a group. All current directors are nominees for director, except Mr. Hernandez. The address of our executive officers and directors is c/o TE Connectivity, 1050 Westlakes Drive, Berwyn, Pennsylvania 19312.

Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares Beneficially Owned ⁽¹⁾
Directors and Executive Officers:	
Thomas J. Lynch ⁽²⁾⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	2,769,461
Alan C. Clarke ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	268,502
Terrence R. Curtin ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	532,496
Joseph B. Donahue ⁽²⁾⁽⁴⁾	310,539
Robert A. $\text{Scott}^{(2)(4)(5)}$	432,224
Pierre R. Brondeau ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	23,633
Juergen W. Gromer ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	103,592
Robert M. Hernandez ⁽⁶⁾⁽⁷⁾⁽⁸⁾	55,681
William A. Jeffrey ⁽⁹⁾	
Yong Nam ⁽⁹⁾	
Daniel J. Phelan ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	22,138
Frederic M. Poses ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	345,000
Lawrence S. Smith ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽¹⁰⁾	36,943
Paula A. Sneed ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	25,941
David P. Steiner ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	22,138
John C. Van Scoter ⁽³⁾⁽⁶⁾	19,421
All current directors, nominees and executive officers as a group (24 persons) ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾⁽¹¹⁾	6,504,264

(1)

The number shown reflects the number of shares owned beneficially as of January 3, 2012 based on information furnished by the persons named, public filings and TE Connectivity records. Beneficial ownership is determined in accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") and generally includes voting or investment power with respect to securities. Except as otherwise indicated in the notes below and subject to applicable community property laws, each owner has sole voting and sole investment power with respect to all shares beneficially owned by such person. To the extent indicated in the notes below, shares beneficially owned by a person include shares of which the person has the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days after January 3, 2012. All current directors, nominees and executive officers as a group beneficially owned 1.5% of the outstanding shares as of January 3, 2012. No current director, nominee or executive officer appearing in the above table beneficially owned 1% or more of the outstanding shares as of January 3, 2012.

(2)

The named person is a director and nominee for director.

(4)

Includes shares issuable upon the exercise of stock options presently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days after January 3, 2012 as follows: Mr. Lynch 2,515,039; Mr. Clarke 244,560; Mr. Curtin 492,315; Mr. Donahue 276,512; Mr. Scott 386,396;

The named person is named in the Summary Compensation Table as an executive officer.

⁽³⁾

Table of Contents

(5)

(6)

(8)

(9)

(10)

all executive officers as a group 5,295,615. Includes restricted stock units vesting within 60 days after January 3, 2012 as follows: All executive officers as a group 7,581.

Includes 15,000 shares held in a trust over which Mr. Scott has dispositive power.

Includes vested deferred stock units (DSUs) as follows: Dr. Brondeau 11,439; Dr. Gromer 26,115; Mr. Hernandez 11,439; Mr. Phelan 11,439; Mr. Poses 12,823; Mr. Smith 15,309; Ms. Sneed 14,042; Mr. Steiner 11,439; Mr. Van Scoter 6,126. Distribution of DSUs will occur upon the termination of the individual's service on the Board of Directors. Upon such termination, TE Connectivity will issue the number of shares equal to the aggregate number of DSUs credited to the individual, including DSUs received through the accrual of dividend equivalents.

⁽⁷⁾ The named person is a director.

Includes 35,000 shares held in a trust over which Mr. Hernandez has dispositive power.

The named person is a nominee for director.

Includes 1,860 shares held in a trust and 3,000 shares held in a family limited partnership over which Mr. Smith has dispositive power. Mr. Smith disclaims beneficial ownership of such shares. Also includes 12,759 shares that either are or could be pledged as security for certain margin account transactions.

(11)

Includes 14,360 shares held in a trust over which an executive officer has dispositive power. Also includes 25,003 shares held by an executive officer and 11,833 shares held by another executive officer that either are or could be pledged as security for certain margin account transactions. See also notes (5), (8) and (10) above.

The following table sets forth the information indicated for persons or groups known to us to be beneficial owners of more than 5% of our outstanding shares as of January 3, 2012.

Name and Address of Beneficial Owner	Number of Shares	Percentage of Class
Dodge & Cox ⁽¹⁾ 555 California Street, 40th Floor San Francisco, CA 94104	34,412,823	8.1%
Janus Capital Management LLC ⁽²⁾ 151 Detroit Street Denver, CO 80206	26,495,040	6.2%

(1)

This information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 10, 2011 by Dodge & Cox, which reported sole voting power and sole dispositive power as follows: sole voting power 33,061,373 and sole dispositive power 34,412,823.

^{14 2012} Annual General Meeting Proxy Statement

Table of Contents

(2)

This information is based on a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC on February 14, 2011 by Janus Capital Management LLC ("Janus Capital"). Janus Capital reported the following information in its Schedule 13G. It has a direct 94.5% ownership stake in INTECH Investment Management ("INTECH") and a direct 77.8% ownership stake in Perkins Investment Management LLC ("Perkins"). Due to the above ownership structure, holdings for Janus Capital, Perkins and INTECH are aggregated for purposes of the Schedule 13G filing. Janus Capital, Perkins and INTECH are registered investment advisers, each furnishing investment advice to various investment companies registered under Section 8 of the Investment Company Act of 1940 and to individual and institutional clients (collectively referred to herein as "Managed Portfolios"). As a result of its role as investment adviser or sub-adviser to the Managed Portfolios, Janus Capital may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of 26,414,640 shares held by such Managed Portfolios and has sole voting and dispositive power with respect to these shares. As a result of its role as investment adviser or sub-adviser to the Managed Portfolios, INTECH may be deemed to be the beneficial owner of 80,400 shares held by such Managed Portfolios and Janus Capital has shared voting and dispositive power with respect to these shares.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

At the Annual General Meeting, upon the recommendation of the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee, the Board of Directors proposes eleven (11) nominees for election as directors to hold office until the annual general meeting of shareholders in 2013. Nine nominees are current directors of TE Connectivity Ltd. and two nominees, Mr. Nam and Dr. Jeffrey, are not current directors of TE Connectivity Ltd. The Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee retained a third party search firm to assist it in identifying Mr. Nam and Dr. Jeffrey for Board membership. All nominees are listed below with brief biographies. Robert M. Hernandez has decided not to stand for re-election.

Vote Requirement to Elect Directors

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for approval of the election of each of the eleven (11) nominees for director.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" the election of each of the eleven (11) nominees for director. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

NOMINEES FOR ELECTION

Qualifications of Nominees Recommended by the Board

The Board as a whole is constituted to be strong in its collective knowledge of and diversity of experience in accounting and finance, management and leadership, vision and strategy, business operations, business judgment, crisis management, risk assessment, industry knowledge, corporate governance and global markets. The Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee designs searches for candidates to fill vacancies on the Board and makes recommendations for director nominations to the Board. When preparing to search for a new director, the committee takes into account the experience, qualifications, skills and expertise of the Board's current members. The committee seeks candidates who have a history of achievement and leadership and are experienced in areas relevant to the company's business such as international trade, finance, technology, manufacturing processes and marketing. The committee also considers independence, as defined by applicable law, stock exchange listing standards and the categorical standards listed in the company's Board Governance Principles, which are set forth in the "Board Organization and Independence of its Members" section of the Principles, and which can be found on the company's website at *http://www.te.com/aboutus/boardofdirectors.asp.*

The professional experience, qualifications, skills and expertise of each nominee is set forth below. The Board and the company believe that all nominees possess additional qualities, business knowledge and personal attributes valuable to their service on the Board and that all have demonstrated commitment to ethical and moral values and personal and professional integrity.

Pierre R. Brondeau, 54, joined our Board of Directors in June 2007, immediately following our separation from Tyco International Ltd. ("Tyco International"). Dr. Brondeau has been President, Chief Executive Officer and a Director of FMC Corporation, a global chemical company, since January 2010 and has served as Chairman of its Board of Directors since October 2010. Prior to joining FMC Corporation, he was President and Chief Executive Officer of Rohm & Haas Company, a manufacturer of specialty materials and a wholly-owned subsidiary of the Dow Chemical Company, upon the April 2009 merger of Rohm & Haas Company and Dow Chemical Company, until September 2009. From 2006 to 2009, Dr. Brondeau served as Executive Vice President of electronics materials and specialty

materials of Rohm & Haas Company. He also served as Vice-President, Business Group Executive, Electronic Materials, President and Chief Executive Officer, Rohm & Haas Electronic Materials LLC, and Regional Director, Europe, from 2003 to 2006, and previously as Vice-President, Business Group Director, Electronic Materials, President and Chief Executive Officer, Shipley Company, LLC, from 1999 to 2003. Dr. Brondeau received a master's degree from Universite de Montpellier and a Doctorate from Institut National des Sciences appliquees de Toulouse. Dr. Brondeau is a Director of Marathon Oil Corporation.

Dr. Brondeau has over 20 years of executive leadership experience, including thirteen years of senior executive experience, at large multi-national public companies engaged in the specialty materials and chemicals industries. He has over 25 years of international business experience in the United States and Europe, and significant expertise in finance and mergers and acquisitions, as well as other areas of business.

Juergen W. Gromer, 66, joined our Board of Directors in June 2007, immediately following our separation from Tyco International. Dr. Gromer was President of Tyco Electronics from April 1999 until he retired from that position on December 31, 2007. From September 2006 until our separation from Tyco International, he also held the position of President of the Electronic Components Business segment of Tyco International. Dr. Gromer held a number of senior executive positions over the prior ten years with AMP Incorporated, which was acquired by Tyco International in 1999. Dr. Gromer received his undergraduate degree and doctorate in physics from the University of Stuttgart. Dr. Gromer is a Director of WABCO Holdings Inc. and Marvell Technology Group Ltd. He also is Chairman of the Board of the Society for Economic Development of the District Bergstrasse/Hessen, a member of the Advisory Board of Commerzbank, and a Director of the Board and Vice President of the American Chamber of Commerce Germany.

Dr. Gromer retired as President of Tyco Electronics with over 25 years of achievement and executive management experience with the company and its predecessor AMP and provides valuable historical perspective to the Board. Dr. Gromer holds a Ph.D. in physics which, combined with past and current directorships with publicly-held technology companies in Europe and the United States, makes him a valuable contributor to the technology vision of the company. He also has financial, governance and global leadership expertise gained from his service as a member, executive or chairman of the boards of several European financial, utility and economic organizations.

William A. Jeffrey, 51, a nominee for director, has served as Chief Executive Officer and President of HRL Laboratories, LLC, an automotive, aerospace and defense research and development laboratory, since September 2008. From 2007 through 2008, he was the Director of the Science and Technology Division of the Institute for Defense Analyses and prior to that he was Director of the National Institute of Standards and Technology from 2005. From 2002 to 2005, Dr. Jeffrey served as Senior Director of Homeland and National Security and Assistant Director of Space and Aeronautics in the Executive Office of the President, Office of Science and Technology Policy. He began his career at the Institute for Defense Analyses in 1988. Dr. Jeffrey holds a Ph.D. and master's degree in Astronomy from Harvard University and a bachelor of science degree in physics from Massachusetts Institute of Technology. He also is Chairman of the Director's Review Committee for Global Security at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory.

Dr. Jeffrey will bring exceptional technical and scientific expertise and leadership experience to the Board as CEO of a private technology research organization as well as almost 20 years of government executive experience.

Thomas J. Lynch, 57, has served on our Board of Directors since early 2007 and as Chief Executive Officer of TE Connectivity since January 2006, and was previously President of Tyco Engineered Products and Services since joining Tyco International in September 2004. Prior to joining Tyco International, Mr. Lynch was at Motorola where he was Executive Vice President and President and Chief Executive Officer, Personal Communications Sector from August 2002 to September 2004;

Executive Vice President and President, Integrated Electronic Systems Sector from January 2001 to August 2002; Senior Vice President and General Manager, Satellite & Broadcast Network Systems, Broadband Communications Sector from February 2000 to January 2001; and Senior Vice President and General Manager, Satellite & Broadcast Network Systems, General Instrument Corporation from May 1998 to February 2000. Mr. Lynch holds a bachelor of science degree in commerce from Rider University. Mr. Lynch is a Director of Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc.

Mr. Lynch has extensive executive leadership experience in the electronics industry, having served as our chief executive officer for the past six years and, before that, as lead executive of business units at the company's former parent. He has gained international expertise through management of the company's world-wide presence and as a member of the U.S.-China Business Council. Mr. Lynch also serves as a member of the President's National Security Telecommunications Advisory Committee. Mr. Lynch's education in accounting and commerce and experience on the audit, compensation and nominating committees of the board of another large corporation provide him with valuable perspective for service on our Board.

Yong Nam, 63, a nominee for director, has served as an advisor to LG Electronics, Inc., a global provider of consumer electronics, mobile communications and home appliances, since April 2011. From 2007 through March 2011, Mr. Nam served as Vice Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of LG Electronics. He previously served as President of LG Corp., the global conglomerate of the LG group of companies, from 2006 to 2007, and as Chief Executive Officer of LG Telecom from 1998 until 2006. Mr. Nam's 35 year career with LG began in 1976. Mr. Nam received a bachelor's degree in economics from Seoul National University. Mr. Nam is a Director of Pohang Iron and Steel Company (POSCO) and GS Retail, a South Korean retailer.

Mr. Nam has over 35 years of international business experience in the United States and Asia with a global conglomerate where his responsibilities and focus have included strategy, marketing, information technology and operations. Mr. Nam's experience in the corporate office, telecommunications and electronics includes 22 years of executive leadership, of which he spent 12 years in CEO positions and four years as vice chairman. Mr. Nam's global business perspective will make him a valuable contributor to the vision of the company.

Daniel J. Phelan, 62, joined our Board of Directors in June 2007, immediately following our separation from Tyco International. Mr. Phelan is Senior Advisor to the Chief Executive Officer of GlaxoSmithKline, a manufacturer of pharmaceuticals and consumer health-related products. He also served as Chief of Staff of GlaxoSmithKline from May 2008 until January 2011 and previously was Senior Vice President, Human Resources from 1994. As Chief of Staff, Mr. Phelan was responsible for information technology, human resources, corporate strategy and development, world wide real estate and facilities, environmental health and safety, and global security. Mr. Phelan received bachelor's and law degrees from Rutgers University and a master's degree from Ohio State University.

Mr. Phelan brings a range of valuable expertise to the Board. He was chief of staff of a large global health products and pharmaceuticals manufacturer and has served for over 15 years in executive positions where his responsibilities have included information technology, human resource management, strategy, real estate, environmental concerns and global security. In addition, he holds a law degree and has experience advising chief executives, as well as experience in labor law and labor relations, executive compensation, mergers, acquisitions and divestitures, succession planning, leadership development, and pension and benefits design and management.

Frederic M. Poses, 69, joined our Board of Directors as Chairman in June 2007, immediately following our separation from Tyco International. Mr. Poses is Chief Executive Officer and Partner of Ascend Performance Materials, a manufacturer of nylon related chemicals, resins and fibers for commercial and industrial products, since June 2009. He previously was Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Trane Inc. (formerly American Standard Companies Inc.), a manufacturer and provider of air conditioning systems and services, vehicle control systems and bath and kitchen products, from 1999

until its acquisition by Ingersoll Rand in 2008. From 1998 to 1999, Mr. Poses was President and Chief Operating Officer of AlliedSignal, Inc., where he served in various capacities over his career, beginning in 1969. Mr. Poses holds a bachelor's degree in business administration from New York University. Mr. Poses is a Director of Raytheon Company and previously served as Chairman of Trane (formerly American Standard) from January 2000 until its acquisition by Ingersoll Rand in 2008, a Director of Centex Corporation from July 2001 until August 2009 and of WABCO Holdings Inc. for a brief period in 2007 until its spinoff.

Mr. Poses has extensive leadership experience as chief executive officer and chairman of a large multi-national public manufacturing company for ten years and president and chief operating officer of another large global public manufacturing company. He has served as our chairman for over four years and has demonstrated significant leadership skills as a director for over ten years at several other large public companies. His business career began over 40 years ago as a financial analyst and includes over 20 years in executive management positions.

Lawrence S. Smith, 64, joined our Board of Directors in June 2007, immediately following our separation from Tyco International. Mr. Smith was Executive Vice President from 1995 and Co-Chief Financial Officer from 2002 of Comcast Corporation, a broadband cable provider, until his retirement in March 2007, following which he consulted for Comcast Corporation until 2010. He served in finance and administration positions at Comcast from 1988 to 1995. Prior to joining Comcast, Mr. Smith was Chief Financial Officer of Advanta Corporation. He also worked for Arthur Andersen LLP for 18 years, where he was a tax partner. Mr. Smith has a bachelor's degree from Ithaca College. Mr. Smith is a Director of Air Products and Chemicals, Inc. and was a director of GSI Commerce from 2008 until its acquisition by eBay Inc. in 2011.

Mr. Smith brings many years of public company experience both as chief financial officer of a large public company and by serving on the boards of international public companies. His significant experience with complex financial and operational issues combined with his knowledge of public reporting requirements and processes brings accounting, financial management and operational insight to the Board. He also has extensive mergers and acquisitions and corporate finance experience. Mr. Smith meets the SEC definition of an audit committee financial expert.

Paula A. Sneed, 64, joined our Board of Directors in June 2007, immediately following our separation from Tyco International. Ms. Sneed is Chair and Chief Executive Officer of Phelps Prescott Group, LLC, a strategy and management consulting firm, since 2008. Previously, she was Executive Vice President of Global Marketing Resources and Initiatives for Kraft Foods, Inc., a worldwide producer of branded food and beverage products, until her retirement in December 2006. She served as Group Vice President and President of Electronic-Commerce and Marketing Resources for Kraft Foods North America, part of Kraft Foods, Inc., from 2000 until 2004, and Senior Vice President, Global Marketing Resources and Initiatives from December 2004 to July 2005. She joined General Foods Corporation (which later merged with Kraft Foods) in 1977 and held a variety of general management positions. Ms. Sneed received a bachelor's degree from Simmons College and an MBA from Harvard Graduate School of Business. Ms. Sneed is a Director of Airgas Inc. and Charles Schwab Corporation.

Ms. Sneed brings proven leadership in strategy development as CEO of a strategy and management consulting firm for four years, and previously as the executive vice president managing a global marketing division of a large public company for six years where she demonstrated expertise in all aspects of marketing and general management for over 20 years. She has over 35 years of experience in corporate and non-profit leadership roles. Ms. Sneed also has over 15 years of corporate director experience including service on audit, compensation and nominating and governance committees, bringing valuable insight to our Board. She has an MBA and meets the SEC definition of an audit committee financial expert.

David P. Steiner, 51, joined our Board of Directors in June 2007, immediately following our separation from Tyco International. Since March 2004, Mr. Steiner has served as Chief Executive

Officer and a Director of Waste Management, Inc., a provider of integrated waste management services, and also has been its President since June 2010. His previous positions at Waste Management included Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer from 2003 to 2004, Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary from 2001 to 2003 and Vice President and Deputy General Counsel from 2000 to 2001. Mr. Steiner received a bachelor's degree from Louisiana State University and a law degree from the University of California, Los Angeles. Mr. Steiner is a Director of FedEx Corporation.

Mr. Steiner brings thirteen years of large public company leadership experience, including seven as CEO, two as CFO, and four as general counsel or in other legal roles. As a former CFO, he brings finance experience to the Board. He also brings large public company directorship experience to the Board. Additionally, before his large public company experience, Mr. Steiner spent fourteen years in private practice at large law firms. His legal background makes him well suited to address legal and governance issues of the Board.

John C. Van Scoter, 50, joined our Board of Directors in December 2008. Since February 2010, Mr. Van Scoter has been Chief Executive Officer and President of eSolar, Inc., a producer of modular, scalable concentrating solar thermal power technology. He also is a Director of eSolar, Inc. From 2005 through 2009, he was Senior Vice President of Texas Instruments Incorporated, a global semiconductor company. During his over 25 year career at Texas Instruments, he also held positions as General Manager of the Digital Light Processing (DLP®) Products Division and various Digital Signal Processor business units, manager of application specific integrated circuit (ASIC) product development and engineering, product engineer and technical sales engineer. Mr. Van Scoter holds a bachelor of science degree in mechanical engineering from the University of Vermont.

Mr. Van Scoter brings significant technology and leadership experience to the Board as CEO of a private energy technology producer and through over 25 years of management and executive positions with a large public technology company. His training in mechanical engineering and experience as a product engineer also is valuable to the Board.

The Board of Directors has concluded that the experience, qualifications, skills and expertise described above qualify the nominees to serve as Directors of the company.

Board Diversity

The Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee regularly reviews the composition of the Board in light of the company's businesses, strategic plan, structure and the current global business and economic environment. The Board demands the highest standards of individual and corporate integrity and is dedicated to diversity, fair treatment, mutual respect and trust. Although the Board does not have a specific board diversity policy, it is constituted of individuals possessing diverse business experience, education, vision, and industry and global market knowledge.

Shareholder Recommendations

The Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee will consider all shareholder recommendations for candidates for the Board, which should be sent to the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee, c/o Harold G. Barksdale, Secretary, TE Connectivity, Rheinstrasse 20, CH-8200 Schaffhausen, Switzerland. In addition to considering candidates suggested by shareholders, the committee considers candidates recommended by current directors, company officers, employees and others. The committee screens all candidates in the same manner regardless of the source of the recommendation. The committee's review is typically based on any written materials provided with respect to the candidate. The committee determines whether the candidate meets the company's general qualifications and specific qualities and skills for directors (see above) and whether requesting additional information or an interview is appropriate.

^{20 2012} Annual General Meeting Proxy Statement

CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Governance Principles

The Board of Directors' Governance Principles, which include guidelines for determining director independence and qualifications for directors, can be found on the company's website at *http://www.te.com/aboutus/boardofdirectors.asp*. Corporate governance developments are regularly reviewed by the Board in order to appropriately modify the Board's Governance Principles, committee charters and policies.

Board Leadership Structure

The Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee reviews the Board's organization annually and recommends appropriate changes to the Board. To conduct its business the Board maintains three standing committees: Audit, Management Development and Compensation and Nominating, Governance and Compliance which are composed entirely of independent directors. Assignments to, and chairs of, the Audit and Management Development and Compensation Committees are recommended by the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee and selected by the Board. The independent directors as a group elect the members and the chair of the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee.

Since its inception as a public company, the company's governance structure has included a non-executive chairman who is separate from the CEO and presides over all meetings of the Board. The Board believes that there are advantages to having a non-executive chairman for matters such as communications and relations between the Board, the CEO and other senior management and in assisting the Board in reaching consensus on particular strategies and policies.

The Board is normally constituted of between ten and thirteen directors and is comprised of a substantial majority of independent directors. All directors are annually elected by a majority of votes cast at the annual general meeting of shareholders.

Board Oversight of Risk Management

The Board of Directors is responsible for appraising the company's major risks and overseeing that appropriate risk management and control procedures are in place. The Board must understand the risks facing the company as a function of its strategy, provide oversight of the processes put in place to identify and manage risk and manage those risks (for example, in relation to executive compensation and succession) that only the Board is positioned to manage. The Board is responsible for determining that senior executives take the appropriate steps to manage all major risks. Management has day-to-day responsibility for assessing and managing the company's particular exposures to risk.

The Audit Committee of the Board meets to review and discuss, as determined to be appropriate, with management, the internal auditor and the independent registered public accounting firm the company's major financial and accounting risk exposures and related policies and practices to assess and control such exposures, and assist the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities regarding the company's policies and guidelines with respect to risk assessment and risk management. The company's risk assessment process was in place for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and followed by the Board of Directors.

The Management Development and Compensation Committee reviews the company's risks related to chief executive officer succession and succession plans for senior executives, overall compensation structure, incentive compensation plans and equity-based plans, policies and programs, severance programs and change-of-control agreements and benefit programs. The committee meets, as appropriate, with the internal and/or external auditors to discuss management and employee compliance with the compensation, incentive, severance and other benefit programs and policies under the committee's jurisdiction.

The Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee reviews the company's policies and risks related to related person transactions required to be disclosed pursuant to U.S. securities rules, the effectiveness of the company's environmental, health and safety management program, the company's enterprise-wide risk assessment processes and the company's compliance programs.

The Board's role in risk oversight of the company is consistent with the company's leadership structure, with the CEO and other members of senior management having responsibility for assessing and managing the company's risk exposure, and the Board and its committees providing oversight in connection with those efforts.

Director Independence

Ten of the eleven director nominees have been determined by the Board to be independent directors. For a director to be considered independent, the Board must make an affirmative determination that a director meets the stringent guidelines for independence set by the Board. These guidelines either meet or exceed the NYSE listing standards' independence requirements. The guidelines include a determination that the director has no current or prior material relationships with TE Connectivity (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with the company), aside from his or her directorship, that could affect his or her judgment.

The independence guidelines also include the determination that certain limits to annual sales to or purchases from entities for which a director serves as an executive officer, and limits on direct compensation from the company for directors and certain family members (other than fees paid for board or committee service), are not exceeded and other restrictions.

Based on the review and recommendation by the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee, the Board analyzed the independence of each director nominee and determined that the following director nominees meet the standards of independence under our director independence guidelines and applicable NYSE listing standards, and that each of them is free of any relationship that would interfere with his or her individual exercise of independent judgment: Pierre R. Brondeau, Juergen W. Gromer, William A. Jeffrey, Yong Nam, Daniel J. Phelan, Frederic M. Poses, Lawrence S. Smith, Paula A. Sneed, David P. Steiner and John C. Van Scoter. In reaching this determination for Mr. Nam, the Board considered the fact that Mr. Nam is an advisor to, and until March 2011 was CEO and Chairman of, LG Electronics, a Korean company with which TE Connectivity made purchases totaling approximately \$6.8 million and sales totaling approximately \$18.3 million in fiscal 2011. Such transactions were a result of arms-length commercial dealings between the companies, which were not material individually or in the aggregate. In reaching this determination for Dr. Gromer, the Board considered the fact that Dr. Gromer previously served as President of Tyco Electronics until December 2007 and served as a consultant to the company in 2008. The Board also reached this independence determination for Robert M. Hernandez, who is not standing for re-election, and also previously reached this independence determination for Ram Charan, who did not stand for re-election at our 2011 annual general meeting of shareholders.

Guide to Ethical Conduct

All directors, officers and employees of TE Connectivity review and affirm that they understand and are in compliance with the policies and principles contained in TE Connectivity's code of ethical conduct set forth in the company's manual, "The Power of Integrity: Guide to Ethical Conduct." The guide is published in the Board of Directors section of TE Connectivity's website at *http://www.te.com/aboutus/EthicalConduct.asp.*

Directors are required to promptly inform the chair of the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee of actual or potential conflicts of interest.

Table of Contents

TE Connectivity has an Office of the Ombudsman established by our Audit Committee which ensures a direct, confidential and impartial avenue to raise any concern or issue with compliance or ethics, including concerns about the company's accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters, with the Board. The office is designed to field compliance concerns from external constituencies investors, suppliers and customers as well as TE Connectivity employees.

Reporting directly to the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors, the Ombudsman's office is independent of functional management. It seeks the fair, timely and impartial resolution of all compliance and ethics issues. Employees have a number of vehicles to raise issues within TE Connectivity, including a confidential, toll-free phone number and a confidential submission system via the Internet. Concerns also may be sent directly to the Board by mail or by email.

All concerns are received and promptly reviewed by the Ombudsman and are responded to as quickly as possible. All accounting, audit or control concerns are sent to, and will be addressed by, the Board's Audit Committee.

Communicating Concerns to Directors

Any shareholder or interested party who wishes to contact members of the TE Connectivity Board of Directors, including the chairman or the non-management directors as a group, may do so by mailing written communications to:

TE Connectivity Board of Directors Attn: Ombudsman 1050 Westlakes Drive Berwyn, PA 19312

Inquiries and concerns also can be submitted anonymously and confidentially through the Ombudsman to the TE Connectivity Board of Directors by email to directors@te.com or through the Internet at *http://www.te.com/aboutus/contact_board.asp*.

Voting Standards for the Election of Directors

Directors are elected by an affirmative vote of a majority of the votes present, in person or by proxy, at a general meeting of shareholders and serve until the next annual general meeting of shareholders. Any nominee for director who does not receive a majority of votes present at the meeting is not elected to the Board.

Voting Standards for Amendments to the Articles of Association

The articles of association may be amended, in whole or in part, by the Board, subject to approval by the affirmative vote of the holders of record:

in the case of article 1 (with respect to domicile), article 2 (purpose), article 4 (with respect to the creation of preferred shares and an increase in capital out of equity, against contributions in kind, or for the purpose of acquisition of assets, or the granting of special privileges), article 5 (with respect to an increase in authorized share capital and the limitation or withdrawal of preemptive rights) and article 6 (with respect to an increase in conditional share capital and the limitation or withdrawal of advance subscription rights), of at least two-thirds of the share votes represented and the absolute majority of the par value of the share votes represented, in person or by proxy, at a general meeting of shareholders;

in the case of article 17, paragraph 5 (no shareholder action by written consent), article 18, paragraphs 3 and 4 and article 34 (provisions relating to "freeze-out" of business combinations with "interested shareholders" (as defined in the articles of association)), and article 18, paragraph 6 (80% vote requirement for certain article amendments), of 80% of the total votes of shares outstanding and entitled to vote on the relevant record date with respect thereto; and

in the case of all other articles, of an absolute majority of the votes represented, in person or by proxy, at a general meeting of shareholders (an "absolute majority" means at least half plus one additional vote represented at a general meeting of shareholders).

THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND BOARD COMMITTEES

Board of Directors

The Board of Directors currently consists of ten directors, nine of whom are nominees for election. Robert M. Hernandez has decided not to stand for re-election. Frederic Poses serves as Chairman of the Board. During fiscal 2011, there were no changes in the composition of the Board, other than the departure in March 2011 of Dr. Ram Charan, who did not stand for re-election at the 2011 annual general meeting of shareholders. The Board held eight meetings in fiscal year 2011. Six of our ten directors attended 100% and the four remaining directors attended at least 87% of the total number of meetings of the Board and committees on which they served in fiscal year 2011. It is the policy of the Board that directors are expected to attend the annual general meeting of shareholders. All directors attended the 2011 annual general meeting of shareholders.

Board Committees

The Board has adopted written charters for each of its three standing committees: the Audit Committee, the Management Development and Compensation Committee and the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee. The charters can be found on the company's website at *http://www.te.com/aboutus/boardofdirectors.asp.* Each Board committee reports to the Board on their activities at each regular Board meeting.

The Board has determined that all members of the Audit, Management Development and Compensation and Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committees are independent and satisfy the relevant SEC, NYSE and TE Connectivity additional independence requirements for the members of such committees.

Board Advisors

Consistent with their respective charters, the Board and its committees may retain their own advisors as they determine necessary to carry out their responsibilities.

Audit Committee

The members of the Audit Committee are directors Lawrence Smith, who chairs the committee, Pierre Brondeau and Paula Sneed. The Board has determined that each of Mr. Smith and Ms. Sneed is an "audit committee financial expert," as defined under SEC rules. The Audit Committee primarily is concerned with the quality and integrity of the company's annual and quarterly financial statements, including its financial and accounting principles, policies and practices, and its internal control over financial reporting; the qualifications, independence and performance of the company's independent registered public accounting firm and lead audit partner and the company's Swiss registered auditor; review and oversight of the company's internal audit function; compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; review of financial and accounting risk exposure; assisting the Board in fulfilling its oversight responsibilities regarding the company's policies and guidelines with respect to risk assessment and risk management; and procedures for handling complaints regarding accounting or auditing matters. The committee also oversees the company Ombudsman and the company's Guide to Ethical Conduct. The Audit Committee met eleven times in fiscal year 2011. The committee's report appears on pages 62 and 63.

Management Development and Compensation Committee

The members of the Management Development and Compensation Committee are directors David Steiner, who chairs the committee, Robert Hernandez and Daniel Phelan. This committee is responsible to ensure succession of senior leadership; review plans for the development of the

organization; review and approve compensation, benefits and human resources policies and objectives and whether the company's officers, directors and employees are compensated in accordance with these policies and objectives; review and approve compensation of the company's executive officers other than the Chief Executive Officer and recommend the Chief Executive Officer's compensation for approval by the independent members of the Board; and review and approve management incentive compensation policies and programs and equity compensation programs for employees. This committee met seven times in fiscal year 2011. The committee's report appears on page 47. Additional information on the committee's processes and procedures for consideration of executive compensation are addressed in "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" which follows.

Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee

The current members of the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee are directors Frederic Poses, who chairs the committee, and John Van Scoter. This committee's responsibilities include the selection of director nominees for the Board and the development and review of our Board Governance Principles. The committee annually reviews director compensation and benefits in conjunction with the Management Development and Compensation Committee; oversees the annual self-evaluations of the Board and its committees, as well as director performance; and makes recommendations to the Board concerning the structure and membership of the Board committees. The committee also oversees our environmental, health and safety management system and compliance programs. This committee held six meetings in fiscal year 2011.

Meetings of Non-Management Directors

The non-management directors met without any management directors or employees present six times in fiscal year 2011. The non-executive chairman of the Board presided at these meetings.

Non-Management Directors' Compensation in Fiscal 2011

Non-management directors' compensation is established collaboratively by the Nominating, Governance and Compliance and the Management Development and Compensation Committees. Compensation of non-management directors in fiscal year 2011 is described under "Compensation of Non-Employee Directors."

Non-Management Directors' Stock Ownership

To help align Board and shareholder interests, directors are encouraged to own, at a minimum, TE Connectivity stock or stock units equal to three times the annual cash retainer (a total of \$240,000, based on the current \$80,000 annual cash retainer) within three years of joining the Board. Once a director satisfies the minimum stock ownership recommendation, the director will remain qualified, regardless of market fluctuations, under the guidelines unless the director sells shares of stock that were considered in determining that the ownership amount was met. Commencing in fiscal year 2010, each non-employee director received TE Connectivity common shares as the equity component of their compensation, with the exception of Dr. Gromer, who continued to receive deferred stock units. The deferred stock units awarded to non-employee directors in fiscal year 2011 cannot be transferred until the director leaves the Board. As of fiscal 2011 year-end, all of the directors met their stock ownership requirements.

EXECUTIVE OFFICERS

The following table presents information with respect to our executive officers as of January 3, 2012.

Name	Age	Position (s)
Thomas J. Lynch	57	Chief Executive Officer and Director
Mario Calastri	54	Senior Vice President and Treasurer
Alan C. Clarke	58	President, Network Solutions
Terrence R. Curtin	43	Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer
Joseph B. Donahue	53	Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer; President, Transportation Solutions
Charles P. Dougherty	49	President, Communications and Industrial Solutions
Jane A. Leipold	51	Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources
Robert J. Ott	50	Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller
Eric J. Resch	54	Senior Vice President and Chief Tax Officer
Michael Robinson	55	Senior Vice President, Operations
Robert A. Scott	61	Executive Vice President and General Counsel
Robert N. Shaddock	53	Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer
Joan E. Wainwright	51	Senior Vice President, Marketing and Communications; Senior Vice President, Channel Business Unit, Communications and Industrial Solutions

See "Nominees for Election" for additional information concerning Mr. Lynch who also is a nominee for director.

Mario Calastri has been Senior Vice President and Treasurer of TE Connectivity since our separation from Tyco International in June 2007 and he served on the TE Connectivity Board prior to the separation. He was Vice President and Assistant Treasurer of Tyco International between 2005 and June 2007. Prior to joining Tyco International, Mr. Calastri was Vice President, Finance and Planning for IBM Global Financing EMEA in 2004 and Assistant Treasurer of IBM Corporation from 1999 to 2003.

Alan C. Clarke has been President of Network Solutions of TE Connectivity since September 2006 and served as a Vice President of TE Connectivity since 1999. Prior to that, Mr. Clarke worked for Raychem Corporation, which was acquired by Tyco International in 1999, for 17 years in various senior management positions.

Terrence R. Curtin has been Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of TE Connectivity since October 2006 and he served on the TE Connectivity Board prior to the separation. Mr. Curtin previously served as Vice President and Corporate Controller since 2001. Prior to joining TE Connectivity, Mr. Curtin worked for Arthur Andersen LLP.

Joseph B. Donahue has been Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer of TE Connectivity since May 2011 and President, Transportation Solutions of TE Connectivity since August 2010. He served as President, Global Automotive for the prior two years, and Senior Vice President, Global Automotive from August 2007 until then. From 2006 to August 2007, he was Group Vice President, Woodcoatings Division for Valspar Corporation, a manufacturer of commercial and industrial coating. Over the prior 16 years, Mr. Donahue held a variety of senior management roles at TE Connectivity and AMP Incorporated, leading the North America automotive business from 2001 to 2006.

Charles P. Dougherty has been President, Communications and Industrial Solutions of TE Connectivity since January 2010. From June 2009 to December 2009, he was President, Public Safety and Professional Communications at Harris Corporation, a communications and information technology company. Previously, Mr. Dougherty was President of the Wireless Systems business of TE Connectivity from October 2006 to May 2009, when the business was sold to Harris Corporation. Prior to joining TE Connectivity, Mr. Dougherty was at Motorola for 25 years where he last served as Corporate Vice President and General Manager, Voice and Data Solutions from July 2004 and previously held a variety of senior management positions.

Jane A. Leipold has been Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources for TE Connectivity since 2006 and was previously Vice President, Global Human Resources since 2001. She has a total of 30 years of TE Connectivity, Tyco Electronics and AMP Incorporated experience and has held various human resources, purchasing and engineering positions.

Robert J. Ott has been Senior Vice President and Corporate Controller of TE Connectivity since our separation from Tyco International in June 2007. Prior to that, he was Vice President, Corporate Audit of Tyco International from March 2003 to June 2007 and Vice President of Finance-Corporate Governance of Tyco International from August 2002 until March 2003. Prior to joining Tyco International, Mr. Ott was Chief Financial Officer of Multiplex, Inc. from 2001 to 2002 and Chief Financial Officer of SourceAlliance, Inc. from 2000 to 2001.

Eric J. Resch has been Senior Vice President and Chief Tax Officer of TE Connectivity since our separation from Tyco International in June 2007 and he served on the TE Connectivity Board prior to the separation. He was Vice President, Tax Reporting of Tyco International from 2003 until June 2007. Prior to joining Tyco International, Mr. Resch was Director, Tax Reporting for United Technologies Corporation from 2001 to 2003.

Michael Robinson has been Senior Vice President, Operations of TE Connectivity since August 2007. Prior to that, he spent 27 years at United Technologies Corporation where he most recently was Vice President of Operations for the Residential and Light Commercial International business at Carrier Corporation. Mr. Robinson began his United Technologies career with Pratt & Whitney where he held a series of operations and engineering positions over 22 years.

Robert A. Scott has been Executive Vice President and General Counsel of TE Connectivity since 2006 and prior to that was Senior Vice President, Corporate Planning for Tyco International from January 2006 and Vice President of Strategy and Business Planning for Engineered Products and Services from May 2004 to January 2006. He also served on the TE Connectivity Board prior to our separation from Tyco International in June 2007. Prior to joining Tyco International, Mr. Scott was Senior Vice President and Chief of Staff of Motorola's Integrated Electronics sector during 2002 and 2003 and Motorola's Senior Vice President of Business Integration in 2001. Prior to joining Motorola, Mr. Scott was Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of General Instrument Corporation.

Robert N. Shaddock has been Senior Vice President and Chief Technology Officer of TE Connectivity since September 2008. Previously, he was Senior Vice President of the Consumer Products

business at Motorola from August 2007 to August 2008 and prior to that he was Chief Technology Officer for Motorola's Mobile Devices business since January 2004.

Joan E. Wainwright has been Senior Vice President, Marketing and Communications at TE Connectivity since February 2008, and Senior Vice President, Channel Business Unit, Communications and Industrial Solutions since May 2011, and she previously was Senior Vice President, Communications and Public Affairs since joining us in June 2006. Previously, she served as Vice President, Public Affairs and Vice President, Corporate Communications for Merck & Co., Inc. from June 2000 to June 2006. Ms. Wainwright also served as Deputy Commissioner of Communications for the U.S. Social Security Administration and in the communications and public relations departments of the University Health System of New Jersey, the Children's Hospital of Philadelphia, the University of Delaware and Villanova University.

COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

Introduction

Our Management Development and Compensation Committee ("MDCC" or "Committee") is responsible for the establishment and oversight of our executive compensation programs. At the foundation of our executive compensation process is an executive compensation philosophy adopted by the Committee that serves as the guiding principles in the development of executive compensation levels and programs for our executive officers. In addition, the Committee has established a disciplined process for the adoption of executive compensation actions, which includes Board approval of executive compensation actions for the Chief Executive Officer and Committee approval of compensation actions for all other executive officers. All proposed compensation actions are reviewed for alignment with the company's executive compensation policy and with consideration of competitive market data (the Committee reviews competitive data from two separate peer groups) and other relevant factors discussed below. In considering proposed compensation actions, the Committee relies on a compensation consultant who is independent from management for advice, information and an objective point of view.

Fiscal 2011 Executive Compensation Highlights

Consistent with our executive compensation process, the Committee conducted a comprehensive analysis of the competitive position of our fiscal 2010 executive pay levels and compensation programs relative to our two market peer groups. Based on that review, the Committee concluded that our executive pay levels and compensation programs are competitive relative to our peer companies and are consistent with our executive compensation philosophy. For 2011, we highlight the following:

Fiscal 2011 Say on Pay Vote Results

At the last annual meeting, shareholders expressed support for our executive compensation programs, with approximately 82% of shareholders voting to ratify the compensation of our named executive officers.

Overall Structure

We continue to structure our executive compensation programs to rely heavily on performance through the use of annual and long-term incentive programs.

We continue to emphasize equity awards (in the form of long-term incentive awards granted primarily in the form of stock options) as the primary component of long-term compensation for our executive officers, ensuring that pay opportunities are linked to shareholder return and to maximize share ownership by our executive officers.

Base Salaries

We provided base pay raises to our executive officers, effective January 1, 2011, based on the scope of their job responsibilities and performance in the context of our 2010 competitive market assessment.

Annual Incentives

We designed our fiscal 2011 annual incentive plan to focus on four key financial metrics to support our fiscal 2011 operating plan, and added a quantitative customer service measure to drive improved customer experience throughout our businesses.

Fiscal 2011 was a successful year overall for the company and most of our business units performed close to or above target performance levels; a few businesses underperformed target expectations. Consistent with our philosophy, annual incentive plan payouts to the executive officers reflected the performance of their particular business unit or overall company performance, as applicable, with limited discretion applied to recognize superior individual performance.

Long-Term Incentives

We maintained long-term incentive grant levels at fiscal 2010 values for approximately half of our executive officers, but increased the grant level values for the other executive officers to recognize their significant performance in fiscal 2010 and/or increased responsibilities.

Governance

We implemented a "clawback" provision in all executive officer fiscal 2011 incentive award agreements (both annual and long-term) such that awards are subject to clawback based on SEC rules and/or the Committee's determination that the incentive compensation awards were based on erroneous financial information.

As we proceed into fiscal 2012, the Committee will continue to follow the disciplined executive compensation review process that it has established in order to assure that executive compensation programs remain competitive, meet the objectives of our executive compensation philosophy and deliver rewards commensurate with realized performance.

Executive Compensation Philosophy

Our executive compensation philosophy is designed to deliver competitive total compensation, upon the achievement of individual and/or corporate performance objectives, which will attract, motivate and retain leaders who will drive the creation of shareholder value. The executive compensation philosophy has also been designed to align with the company's organization-wide total rewards strategy. The Committee reviews and administers the company's compensation and benefit programs for executive officers, including the named executive officers, and performs an annual assessment of the company's executive compensation policy. (For purposes of this Compensation Discussion and Analysis ("CD&A"), "executive officer" means the Chief Executive Officer, his direct reports and any other executive officers of the company subject to Section 16 of the Securities Exchange Act.) In determining total compensation, the Committee considers the following key objectives and attributes:

Shareholder alignment Our executive compensation programs are designed to create shareholder value. Long-term incentive awards, which make up a significant percentage of our executives' total compensation, closely align the interests of executives with the long-term interest of our shareholders.

Performance based Many components of our executive compensation package are linked to performance. Annual cash incentive awards are tied to overall corporate, segment or business unit measures that allow for differentiation among our highest and lowest performing business units and

also permit limited discretion to recognize superior business unit or individual performance. Long-term incentive awards, granted primarily in the form of stock options, are designed to reward our executive officers for the creation of long-term shareholder value.

Appropriate Risk Our executive compensation programs are designed to provide incentives that encourage our executive officers to take appropriate risks in managing their businesses and to provide incentives where the balance between business performance and risk is carefully achieved.

Competitive with external talent markets Our executive compensation programs are designed to be competitive within the various talent markets in which the company competes for executive talent. Compensation programs are designed with reference to both a general peer group of companies that compete with us for executive talent and an electronics industry peer group.

Focus on executive stock ownership The company has adopted the TE Connectivity Ltd. Share Ownership and Retention Requirement Plan which, together with long-term equity awards, drives executive stock ownership.

Simple and transparent Our executive compensation programs are designed to be readily understood by our executives and transparent to our investors.

Role of the Management Development & Compensation Committee

The MDCC oversees the company's compensation policies and programs for executive officers, including the named executive officers. The Committee reviews, analyzes and approves the design of the company's executive compensation policies and programs, administers the company's stock incentive plans (including reviewing and approving equity incentive awards for executive officers) and reviews and approves all compensation decisions relating to the named executive officers and other executive officers of the company.

The Committee is comprised exclusively of members who meet the independence requirements of the NYSE. Each MDCC member also is a "non-employee director" for purposes of Rule 16b-3 of the Securities Exchange Act and an "outside director" for purposes of Section 162(m) of the Internal Revenue Code.

Role of Management

The MDCC has established a process with management to support the development and review of executive officer compensation, as described below.

Chief Executive Officer Compensation

The MDCC makes recommendations to the independent members of the Board regarding Chief Executive Officer compensation actions. The recommendations are based on factors deemed appropriate by the Committee, including Chief Executive Officer performance and competitive market data provided by the Committee's compensation consultant. The MDCC discusses and evaluates Chief Executive Officer compensation recommendations in an executive session attended only by the Committee members, its compensation consultant, and the Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources, who attends primarily to provide contextual information. The Chief Executive Officer does not attend the executive session when Chief Executive Officer compensation actions are discussed. The MDCC does not anticipate that management will have any role in the development of Chief Executive

Table of Contents

Officer compensation except for providing to the MDCC or the Committee's compensation consultant relevant data relating to the Chief Executive Officer's performance and compensation history.

Other Named Executive Officer Compensation

The Chief Executive Officer makes recommendations to the MDCC relating to compensation actions for the other executive officers, including the other named executive officers. The recommendations are made based on each executive officer's performance, as assessed by the Chief Executive Officer, competitive market data provided by the Committee's compensation consultant and other factors deemed relevant by the Chief Executive Officer, including but not limited to differences in the executive's responsibilities versus the role reflected in the competitive market analysis; internal pay equity and relative importance of the executive's role with the company; individual performance and contributions to strategic initiatives; level of experience; and compensation history. The Senior Vice President, Global Human Resources also is present for the discussion of compensation actions for the other named executive officers.

The named executive officers for fiscal 2011 in addition to the Chief Executive Officer are: Terrence Curtin, Executive Vice President and Chief Financial Officer; Joseph Donahue, Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer and President, Transportation Solutions; Robert Scott, Executive Vice President and General Counsel; and Alan Clarke, President, Network Solutions. Mr. Clarke was not a named executive officer in fiscal 2010.

Role of Compensation Consultant

Under its charter, the MDCC has the sole authority to retain consultants, counsel, accountants and others to assist in the performance of its duties, including the evaluation of executive compensation levels and programs. During fiscal year 2011, the MDCC retained Pay Governance LLC to be its independent compensation consultant.

The compensation consultant reports directly to the MDCC and provides assistance to the Committee in developing the company's executive compensation programs and executive pay levels and generally provides advice to the Committee on executive compensation issues and trends. The MDCC independently retains its compensation consultant and has the ability to terminate its services at the Committee's discretion.

Pay Governance is not permitted to provide any services to the company except with prior approval of the MDCC chair. During fiscal 2011, Pay Governance did not provide any services to the company outside of its services to the MDCC.

The Committee's compensation consultant generally supports all aspects of the Committee's duties as described in its charter, which in fiscal 2011 included the following:

Evaluated the competitive position of the company's executive officers' total compensation packages relative to the company's peer groups for purposes of assisting the MDCC in determining compensation actions for fiscal 2011 and beyond

Provided advice to the Committee in the establishment of annual and long-term incentive guidelines for executive officers

Provided ongoing advice on the design of TE Connectivity's annual cash and long-term equity incentive programs

Assisted with the development of competitive global long-term incentive grant guidelines for the company's global participant population, including both executives and non-executives

Briefed the MDCC on executive compensation trends among the company's peer groups

Provided advice to the MDCC and Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee on director compensation levels

Provided advice to the MDCC on the Chief Executive Officer's compensation

Provided support in establishing a compensation risk assessment and reviewed the results of the assessment

Reviewed our peer group approach

Executive Compensation Benchmarks

For purposes of benchmarking market practices on compensation levels for executive officers, the company has adopted a peer group framework that includes the use of a primary talent market peer group and a secondary reference group. The company believes that the two-pronged approach is the best approach for benchmarking executive compensation practices and trends, as it reflects both talent market peers and business segment competitors. The peer group structure is reviewed by the Committee on an annual basis.

The primary talent market peer group is comprised of companies across a range of industries in which TE Connectivity competes for executive talent as opposed to being limited to companies only in the electronics industry. Since TE Connectivity typically competes for executive talent with companies in both the electronics industry and in other industry groups, the company and Committee believe that it is appropriate to establish a benchmark peer group that sufficiently covers companies in those industries. The industries included in the primary talent market peer group are aerospace and defense, electronics and scientific equipment and industrial manufacturing. The primary talent market peer group consists of 88 companies, listed in Appendix B, with fiscal-annual revenues ranging from \$482.0 million to \$150.2 billion, with a median of \$4.1 billion. Data obtained from this group is adjusted to reflect the relative size of TE Connectivity within the group.

The secondary reference group is comprised of companies within the electronics industry. We use the secondary reference group as a benchmark to identify any differences in compensation practices between our industry peers and the broader primary talent market peer companies. As shown below, there are currently 17 companies in the secondary industry reference group with fiscal-annual revenues ranging from \$3.0 billion to \$34.3 billion, with a median of \$11.0 billion.

3M Company	General Dynamics Corporation
Agilent Technologies Inc.	Harris Corporation
Amphenol Corporation	Honeywell International Inc.
Cooper Industries, Ltd.	ITT Corporation
Corning Incorporated	Johnson Controls, Inc.
Danaher Corporation	Molex Incorporated
Eaton Corporation	Parker Hannifin Corporation
EMC Corporation	SPX Corporation
Emerson Electric Co.	

The benchmark data is compiled by the Committee's consultant and is used by the MDCC as a reference to ensure that our compensation levels and programs are competitive with the compensation paid by the companies that may compete with TE Connectivity for executive talent. As explained below, the benchmark data is just one of the factors used in setting executive compensation levels.

Tax Deductibility of Executive Compensation

In evaluating compensation programs covering our executive officers, the Committee considers the potential impact on the company of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m). The Committee generally intends to maximize deductibility of compensation under Section 162(m) to the extent consistent with our overall compensation program objectives, while also maintaining maximum flexibility in the design of our compensation programs and in making appropriate payments to executive officers. However, the Committee reserves the right to use its independent judgment to approve nondeductible compensation, while taking into account the financial effects such action may have on the company. Section 162(m) limits the tax deduction available to public companies for annual compensation that is paid to certain of the company's executive officers in excess of \$1 million, unless the compensation qualifies as performance-based or is otherwise exempt from Section 162(m). Annual incentive bonuses, stock options and other performance-based awards made to executive officers under the company's 2007 Stock and Incentive Plan are intended to qualify as performance-based compensation under Section 162(m).

Shareholder Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

Although the advisory shareholder vote on executive compensation is non-binding, the Committee has considered, and will continue to consider, the outcome of the vote when making future compensation decisions for the named executive officers. At our annual general meeting of shareholders held on March 9, 2011, approximately 82% of the shareholders who voted on the "say-on-pay" proposal approved the compensation of our named executive officers, while approximately 13% voted against (and approximately 5% abstained). Based on the results of the 2011 shareholder vote, the Committee believes that the company's executive compensation philosophy and the compensation paid to the named executive officers are supported by shareholders.

Compensation Overview Process

The company's total compensation package for executive officers, including named executive officers, is currently comprised of the following elements:

Base salary

Annual cash incentives

Long-term equity incentives

Executive benefits and perquisites

Broad-based retirement and health and welfare benefits

As discussed above, the MDCC is responsible for the review and establishment of each executive officer's compensation level, except for the Chief Executive Officer. (The MDCC makes recommendations to the Board of Directors and the independent members are responsible for decisions regarding the Chief Executive Officer's compensation.) In determining the appropriate total compensation level for each executive officer, the MDCC first considers the market reference point for the executive officer, based on the 50th percentile of our primary talent market peer group for the executive officer's particular role. (The market reference point is determined for total direct compensation and for each component of compensation base salary, target bonus and target long-term incentive awards.) Using the reference point as the starting point in the analysis, the MDCC then considers other factors in evaluating the appropriateness of the executive's compensation level. Those factors include: differences in the executive's responsibilities versus the benchmark role; internal pay equity and relative importance of the executive's role with the company; individual performance and contributions to strategic initiatives; level of experience; and compensation history. As a result of

the consideration of all relevant factors, actual pay positioning for each executive officer may be below or above the market reference point for total compensation and/or for one or more of the component elements of total compensation.

In addition, in order to attract highly qualified external candidates to fill critical management roles, the MDCC may approve a total compensation package and/or individual compensation components that are above the market reference point for that candidate's position.

In addition to base pay, annual cash incentives and long-term equity incentives, executive officers in the United States receive limited perquisites (as described below). Perquisites for executive officers outside the U.S. are based on local market practice. Broad-based employee benefit programs also are provided to executive officers on the same basis as all other employees.

In order to assist it in setting executive compensation levels and determining the appropriateness of pay adjustments, the MDCC conducts a comprehensive assessment of total compensation at least annually, with the assistance of its compensation consultant. The assessment is completed for each executive officer and analyzes current base salary, target annual incentive opportunity, target long-term incentive opportunity, target total cash compensation (base salary and target incentive), and total direct compensation (base salary, target annual incentive opportunity and target long-term incentive opportunity) in light of current market practices, which includes comparative data from the company's primary talent market peer group. The compensation assessment for each executive officer is presented on a tally sheet which also includes the officer's compensation history, job responsibilities, tenure with the company and performance achievements. The tally sheets enable the MDCC to understand how changes in one element of an executive officer's compensation and provide an overview of the relevant factors necessary to evaluate the appropriateness of an executive's officer's compensation level. Finally, as discussed above, the Chief Executive Officer provides the Committee with a more detailed performance assessment for each executive officer and makes his recommendations concerning compensation actions.

With the information provided in the total compensation assessment as a reference, and with the input of its compensation consultant and the Chief Executive Officer (with respect to actions taken for the other executive officers), the Committee makes executive compensation determinations for our executive officers based on the analysis discussed above. The Committee and Board follow a similar process in making determinations regarding the Chief Executive Officer's compensation.

Differences in compensation levels between our executive officers are driven by a number of factors, including the applicable market reference points specific to each executive officer's role and consideration of the factors described above (such as differences in the executive's responsibilities versus the benchmark role, internal pay equity and relative importance of the executive's role with the company, individual performance and contributions to strategic initiatives, level of experience and compensation history). An executive officer's compensation can change materially from year to year based on company performance, business unit performance, individual performance, or a role change, including promotion.

September 2011 Compensation Assessment

In September 2011, the Committee, with the assistance of its compensation consultant, conducted a compensation assessment to determine the competitive position of each executive officer's fiscal 2011 base salary, annual incentive target, long-term incentive value and total direct compensation relative to the company's primary and secondary talent market peer groups. (The assessment assumed each executive officer's current base salary, target annual incentive award level and fiscal 2011 long-term incentive equity award value.) The competitive assessment indicated that the total direct compensation levels for our executive officers, including our named executive officers, fell both below and above the

Table of Contents

applicable market reference points. While the fiscal 2011 total direct compensation levels for Messrs. Lynch, Curtin, Donahue and Clarke were positioned within ten percent of the 50th percentile of their peer market reference points, Mr. Scott's total direct compensation level was positioned closer to the 75th percentile. The Committee is comfortable that Mr. Scott's total compensation level is appropriate and consistent with our executive compensation philosophy. Besides his role as General Counsel of the company, Mr. Scott serves other roles in the company, including heading the company's social responsibility initiative and enterprise risk assessment process.

The results of the September 2011 competitive compensation assessment assisted the Committee in considering whether to make base salary pay adjustments, in setting annual and long-term incentive targets for the executive officer group, and in determining actual long-term incentive grant values for the executive officers for fiscal 2012, as discussed below.

Elements of Compensation

Base salary

Base salary provides a fixed compensation for the performance of the executive's core duties and responsibilities. Based on the competitive market assessment conducted in September 2010 and increased responsibilities being assumed as a result of business restructurings or acquisitions, the MDCC approved base salary increases for a number of executive officers, including the named executive officers, effective January 1, 2011, in order to bring their base salary levels closer to the market median. In addition, merit increases were also approved, effective January 1, 2011, to recognize superior performance over a period of years, including fiscal 2010. The following total base salary increases were approved for the named executive officers: Mr. Lynch a 5.3% increase (from \$950,000 to \$1,000,000) Mr. Lynch's last base salary increase was January 1, 2007; Mr. Curtin a 15% increase (from \$505,875 to \$582,000) Mr. Curtin's last base salary increase was April 1, 2008; Mr. Donahue a 10% increase (from \$500,055 to \$550,000) Mr. Donahue's last base salary increase was January 1, 2010; Mr. Scott's last base salary increase was April 1, 2008; and Mr. Clarke an 8% increase (from \$406,061 to \$438,546) Mr. Clarke's last base salary increase was January 4, 2006. In conjunction with his promotion to Executive Vice President and Chief Operating Officer, Mr. Donahue received a subsequent pay increase to \$605,000, effective May 9, 2011.

For fiscal 2012, no base salary adjustments or merit increases were approved for the named executive officers.

Annual Incentive Awards

Annual incentive awards provide executive officers with a bonus opportunity if certain financial performance goals are achieved. The annual incentive program is intended to reward executive officers upon the achievement of fiscal year financial performance goals (at the corporate, segment and/or business unit level), with some limited discretion available for individual performance. The MDCC intends the company's annual incentive award program to provide market competitive awards relative to our talent market peer companies for performance achieved at predetermined target levels. Award opportunities above the target award levels are available to the extent that performance exceeds the predetermined target levels. Payments at levels below the target award levels are awarded to the extent that performance is below the pre-determined target levels. No annual incentive payments are made if threshold performance levels are not achieved, absent the occurrence of extenuating circumstances that, in the discretion of the Committee, merit an exception to the threshold performance requirement.

The annual incentive awards will typically be structured as cash payments and were for fiscal 2011. Within 90 days of the start of each fiscal year, the Committee establishes the applicable performance criteria, which include minimum performance thresholds required to earn an award, target performance

goals required to earn a payment of 100%, and a maximum performance level required to earn the maximum bonus permitted. If the company attains the established financial goals, executive officers will receive an award based on a target bonus percentage which is set at the beginning of each fiscal year and expressed as a percentage of the executive's base salary. The target bonus percentages for our named executive officers for fiscal 2011 were as follows: Mr. Lynch 125%; Mr. Curtin 75%; Mr. Donahue 75%; Mr. Scott 75%; and Mr. Clarke 75%.

For fiscal 2011, the company established the following five financial and strategic measures for the annual incentive program:

Corporate Level:

Measure	Weighting
Corporate Earnings per Share ("EPS")	20%
Operating Income	20%
Free Cash Flow	20%
Operating Income Margin ("OI Margin")	20%
Customer Service Metric*	20%

Business Unit Level

Measure	Weighting
Corporate EPS	20%
Business Unit Operating Income	20%
Business Unit Free Cash Flow	20%
Business Unit OI Margin	20%
Customer Service Metric*	20%

*

The customer service metric for each business unit is a quantitative measure incorporated to improve the timeliness of product delivery to our customers, and is individually designed to take into account the particular incentive needs and circumstances of each business unit. The corporate level bonus score for the customer service metric is measured as the revenue-weighted average of the customer service metric scores for each business unit.

For purposes of the annual incentive program, all of the financial measures are adjusted financial measures (i.e., non-GAAP (U.S. Generally Accepted Accounting Principles) measures) that exclude the effects of events deemed not reflective of the actual performance of the eligible participants. For fiscal 2011, the adjustments to EPS, Operating Income and OI Margin were as follows: (i) exclusion of the costs associated with the acquisition and integration of ADC Telecommunications, Inc. ("ADC"), (ii) exclusion of restructuring and other related charges, and (iii) exclusion of income tax benefits associated with the settlement of certain tax matters related to a prior-year audit and the related impact to other income pursuant to the Tax Sharing Agreement with Tyco International and Covidien plc. For fiscal 2011, the adjusted (non-GAAP) financial measure of Free Cash Flow was

Table of Contents

adjusted from cash flows from continuing operating activities, the most comparable GAAP measure, by including net capital expenditures and excluding net payments related to pre-separation tax matters.

The performance range for payouts under the fiscal 2011 annual incentive program was set at a threshold level of 80%, a target level of 100% and a maximum level of 120% for the EPS, Operating Income and Free Cash Flow measures. For the OI Margin measures, the performance range was set at a threshold level of 90%, a target level of 100% and a maximum level of 110%. At threshold performance against the established performance measures, the earned payout would be 50% of target, and at maximum performance against the established performance measures, the earned payout would be 200% of target. For performance between the threshold and maximum levels, the earned payout would be calculated ratably between 50% and 200%, with a 100% target payout being earned at 100% performance. For performance above the 200% level, the earned payout would be calculated on the same ratable basis, but the maximum payout that could be earned under any performance measure for the fiscal 2011 annual incentive program was capped at 300%. The performance ranges for the Customer Service measures varied for each business unit and were designed to support the intended results for each business unit. The maximum payout available under the fiscal 2011 annual incentive program was capped at 200% of target.

No individual performance metrics were assigned to any executive officer under the fiscal 2011 annual incentive program. However, the Committee reserved the discretion to adjust individual or business unit award amounts upward or downward by up to 25% based on its subjective evaluation of the individual or business unit performance during the fiscal year. However, any discretionary adjustments would be required to net out to zero. In other words, any such adjustment cannot increase the total earned annual incentive award pool available for payout. In addition, the Committee reserved an additional discretionary award pool of \$7.8 million (10% of the total target annual incentive award pool amount, i.e., the total amount that would be paid if all participants received a payout at 100% of target) which, with the Committee's approval, could be used in whole or part to reward exceptional performance at either the business unit or individual level regardless of the performance results against the established financial measures.

Fiscal 2011 performance targets, actual attainment and corresponding annual incentive award results at the corporate level and for the Transportation Solutions and Network Solutions business units (for Mr. Donahue and Mr. Clarke, respectively) were as follows:

Performance Measure		arget	R	esults	Performance % to Target	Bonus Score
EPS (20%)	\$	3.13	\$	3.12	99.7%	99.2%
Operating Income (20%)	\$	1,958	\$	1,950	99.6%	99.0%
Free Cash Flow (20%)	\$	1,291	\$	1,445	119.3%	159.7%
OI Margin (20%)		14.2%	, 5	13.6%	95.8%	78.6%
Customer Service Metric (20%)*		*		*		84%
Corporate Level Earned Award:						104.1%
38 2012 Annual General Meeting Prox	y Sta	atement				

Transportation Solutions: Mr. Donahue

Performance Measure	Та	rget*	Re	sults*	Performance % to Target	Bonus Score
EPS (20%)	\$	3.13	\$	3.12	99.7%	99.2%
Business Unit Operating Income (20%)*		*		*	106.4%	132.1%
Business Unit Free Cash Flow (20%)*		*		*	84.6%	68.7%
Business Unit OI Margin (20%)*		*		*	97.7%	88.2%
Customer Service Metric (20%)*		*		*		100%
Transportation Solutions Earned Award:						97.6 %

Network Solutions (including Subsea Communications): Mr. Clarke

Performance Measure	Target	Results	Performance % to Target	Bonus Score
EPS (20%)	\$3.13	\$3.12	99.7%	99.2%
Business Unit Operating Income (20%)	\$485-\$518	\$495.0	100%	100%
Business Unit Free Cash Flow (20%)	\$360-\$390	\$412	105.6%	128.2%
Business Unit OI Margin (20%)	14.1%-14.4%	14.5%	100.7%	107.1%
Customer Service Metric (20%)*	*	*		0%
Network Solutions Earned Award:				86.9 %

*

The target performance levels and results for the Transportation Solutions business unit and the Customer Service metrics are confidential information. The company has determined that disclosure of the performance targets and results for a business unit like Transportation Solutions that operates primarily in a singular defined market would result in competitive harm to the company. Likewise, the disclosure of the performance targets and results for the Customer Service metrics for the business units and the overall corporate results would result in competitive harm to the company.

No adjustments were made to the Network Solutions bonus scores in determining the final annual incentive payout for Mr. Clarke. However, Mr. Clarke's bonus calculation was increased by 25% to recognize his leadership role of the ADC acquisition and business integration process. The bonus score for the Corporate Level was decreased from 104% to 100% to more appropriately reflect the overall business performance of the company, and the 100% score was used in calculating the final annual incentive payout for Messrs. Lynch, Curtin and Scott. The bonus score for the Transportation Solutions business unit was increased from 97.6% to 115% to reflect the business unit's pro-forma results, which adjusted for the negative impact of metals costs absorbed by the business unit on goods ultimately sold to external customers by other company business units. The 115% score was used in calculating Mr. Donahue's final annual incentive payout.

The company did not utilize any of the \$7.8M discretionary pool to increase any employee's annual incentive payout.

For fiscal 2012, the annual incentive plan target percentages for Messrs. Lynch, Scott and Clarke will remain the same, and the annual incentive plan target percentages for Messrs. Curtin and Donahue have been increased to 90% to better align their incentive targets with competitive market levels.

Long-Term Incentive Awards

The company uses long-term incentive awards in the form of stock options and restricted stock units to deliver competitive compensation that recognizes employees for their contributions to the

Table of Contents

company and aligns executive officers with shareholders in focusing on long-term growth and stock performance. As part of the company's compensation philosophy, the MDCC concluded that annual grants of long-term incentive awards to executive officers typically should be competitive relative to our primary talent market peer group, but should have the ability to deliver compensation at the high end of the market for superior performance and at the low end of the market for weak performance. The company does not have a specific policy for the allocation of long-term equity incentive awards among the different forms of equity, but determines on a year-to-year basis what forms of equity are appropriate in light of the then-current circumstances. However, consistent with its philosophy that a majority of an executive officer's compensation be performance-based, long-term equity incentive awards for executive officers is weighted heavily in the form of stock options and/or some other form of performance-based award.

In order to facilitate the long-term equity incentive grant process, the Committee has established executive officer equity grant guidelines that provide an equity value range applicable to each executive officer. Under the guidelines, certain executive officers (excluding Mr. Lynch) are grouped together in separate grant ranges based on such factors as market benchmark data, similarity in roles and scope of business and/or an evaluation of the impact of the executive officer's role to the organization in order to promote internal pay equity. The Committee then assigned an equity value range for each executive officer group based on competitive market data applicable for the roles represented within the band. Grant values actually awarded to each executive are intended to be within the ranges assigned, although the Committee may grant award values outside an assigned range to recognize exceptional or below average performance. The guidelines are reviewed annually and adjusted as deemed appropriate by the Committee. For the fiscal 2011 long-term equity incentive grants reflected in the Summary Compensation Table, Messrs. Curtin and Scott were grouped together in the same long-term incentive range reflecting their roles as Executive Vice Presidents of the company; and Messrs. Donahue and Clarke were grouped together with other executives leading large business units. For our named executive officers, the equity value ranges for the fiscal 2011 long-term equity incentive awards were as follows:

Executive Vice President Range (Curtin/Scott) \$1.5M \$2.0M

Large Business Unit Leader Range (Donahue/Clarke) \$900K \$1.3M

To determine the value of each executive officer's long-term equity incentive award in any year, the Committee refers to the equity grant guidelines and also considers the same factors generally considered for other components of total compensation internal pay equity; individual performance and contributions to strategic initiatives; level of experience; future potential and compensation history. As with the other components of total compensation, Mr. Lynch makes a long-term equity incentive award recommendation for each executive officer.

In determining its annual long-term equity incentive award recommendation for Mr. Lynch, the Committee reviews the applicable market reference data and competitive compensation analysis performed by its compensation consultant (and any additional input from the consultant), and also conducts an assessment of Mr. Lynch's performance. Based on this information, the Committee develops a recommendation which is then presented to the independent members of the full Board for its consideration.

Fiscal 2011 Long-Term Incentive Awards

In the first quarter of fiscal 2011, annual long-term equity incentive awards for fiscal 2011 were granted to executive officers and other employees. (The values of the fiscal 2011 equity awards for the named executive officers are reflected in the Summary Compensation and Grants of Plan-Based Awards Tables.) To support our philosophy that long-term incentive awards be primarily performance-based and be designed to reward the creation of shareholder value, the fiscal 2011 long-term equity

Table of Contents

incentive awards for executive officers continued to be made in the form of stock options (70%) and restricted stock unit awards (30%).

The award values for Messrs. Lynch and Scott were at the same level as fiscal 2010. The annual equity award value for Mr. Curtin was \$200,000 greater than the value of his fiscal 2010 award in recognition of his continued superior leadership of the company's financial affairs in fiscal 2010. Mr. Donahue's equity award value was increased by \$200,000 over his fiscal 2010 equity award level in recognition of his continued superior leadership of the Automotive group throughout fiscal 2010, and also to recognize his increased responsibilities assumed in fiscal 2010 as head of the new Transportation Solutions business unit. In June 2011, Mr. Donahue received an additional long-term equity incentive award with a value of \$200,000 to recognize his increased responsibilities in assuming the role of Chief Operating Officer of the company. The equity award value for Mr. Donahue was consistent with the value for his position based on the peer market data, but was outside of the established equity grant range because of the larger role that he assumed in fiscal 2010 relative to the role that he had when the grant ranges were established. The fiscal 2011 equity grant awarded to Mr. Clarke was \$200,000 greater than the value of his fiscal 2010 award, and was outside of his established equity grant range in recognition of his outstanding performance in fiscal 2010, including his leadership role in driving the strategic acquisition of ADC, and also to recognize the increased size of the Network Solutions business unit as a result of the acquisition.

In establishing the fiscal 2011 long-term equity incentive award value for Mr. Lynch, the Committee considered Mr. Lynch's leadership of the company through an extremely successful year and the competitive total direct compensation and long-term incentive benchmark data from the company's two peer groups.

Fiscal 2012 Long-Term Incentive Awards

In the first quarter of fiscal 2012, annual long-term equity incentive awards were granted to executive officers and employees for fiscal 2012. (These equity awards are not reflected in the Summary Compensation Table or in the Grant of Plan-Based Awards table because they were granted in fiscal 2012.) As in fiscal 2011, the fiscal 2012 equity incentive awards for executive officers continued to be made in the form of stock options (70%) and restricted stock units (30%). The equity grant ranges established under the equity grant guidelines were adjusted for fiscal 2012 to reflect updated competitive market data. For the fiscal 2012 long-term equity incentive grants, Messrs. Curtin and Donahue were grouped together in the same long-term incentive range and Messrs. Scott and Clarke were grouped together with certain other senior executives. For our named executive officers, the equity value ranges for the fiscal 2012 long-term equity incentive awards were as follows:

Messrs. Curtin and Donahue \$900K \$2.7M

Messrs. Clarke and Scott \$500K \$1.5M

The equity award values approved by the Committee for the named executive officers for fiscal 2012 are as follows:

Mr. Lynch	\$	7,000,000
Mr. Curtin	\$	2,100,000
Mr. Donahue	\$	2,000,000
Mr. Scott	\$	1,600,000
Mr. Clarke	\$	1,300,000
	Ψ	1,000,000

Table of Contents

The annual long-term equity award value for Mr. Curtin is \$100,000 greater than the value of his fiscal 2011 award in recognition of his continued superior leadership of the company's financial affairs in fiscal 2011, including among other accomplishments, his stewardship role in the acquisition of ADC. Mr. Donahue's equity award value is \$200,000 greater than the value of his fiscal 2011 award in recognition of his strong performance in fiscal 2011, including among other accomplishments, his leadership of two of the company's strongest performing businesses (Automotive and Aerospace, Defense and Marine) and the significant improvement in delivery performance throughout Transportation Solutions. Mr. Clarke's equity award value is \$100,000 less than his fiscal 2011 equity award value. The award value for Mr. Scott is at the same level as fiscal 2011. While the award value is slightly above the equity grant range, it is in recognition of Mr. Scott's continued strong performance through fiscal 2011, including among other accomplishments his leadership in driving significant company-wide improvements in risk management, social responsibility and the company's intellectual property process.

The fiscal 2012 long-term equity incentive award value for Mr. Lynch is \$300,000 greater than the value of his fiscal 2011 award. In establishing the fiscal 2012 equity award value for Mr. Lynch, the Committee considered Mr. Lynch's continued strong leadership of the company through another successful year in fiscal 2011, and the competitive total direct compensation and long-term incentive benchmark data from the company's two peer groups.

Pay Mix

The company does not maintain a defined policy with respect to the allocation between fixed versus performance-based compensation or annual versus long-term compensation. Our pay mix for each named executive officer is largely driven by our stated philosophy to deliver compensation primarily through performance-based components that align the named executive officers' interests with those of our shareholders, and to deliver a competitive pay mix relative to our peer benchmark companies. Management and the MDCC periodically review our pay mix in relation to market practices and in relation to our desired objective of providing the majority of our named executive officers' compensation and annual versus long-term compensation, based on the data reported in the Summary Compensation Table. As indicated by the table below, our actual pay mix is consistent with our objective to deliver the majority of executive compensation through short-term and long-term performance-based incentives.

	Base Salary Rate	Long-Term Incentives	Non-Equity Incentive	Other Compensation
Lynch	10%	72%	13%	5%
Curtin	18%	64%	13%	5%
Donahue*	18%	57%	16%	9%
Scott	20%	60%	15%	5%
Clarke	19%	63%	16%	2%

*

The amounts included in the "Other Compensation" column for Mr. Donahue include his cash perquisite allowance, rental housing payments and company car related expenses, but exclude the value of the other benefits paid on Mr. Donahue's behalf as a result of his assignment in Germany, as described in footnote (a) of the "All Other Compensation" table in the Summary Compensation Table section of this proxy statement.

Risk Profile of Compensation Programs

The Committee has structured the company's executive compensation programs to provide the appropriate level of incentives that do not encourage our executive officers to take excessive risks in managing their businesses and which emphasize the requirement that these officers' behavior exemplify the company's core values. As discussed above, a majority of our executive officers' compensation is performance-based, consistent with our executive compensation philosophy. Our annual incentive award program is designed to reward annual financial and/or strategic performance in areas considered critical to the short and long-term success of the company, and features a cap (200% of target in fiscal 2011) on the maximum amount that can be earned in any year. In order to provide an adequate balance and avoid excessive risk, the annual incentive program typically incorporates four or five performance metrics that are evaluated in calculating award payouts. Our long-term equity incentive awards are directly aligned with long-term shareholder interests through their link to our stock price and multi-year vesting schedules, and our executive stock ownership guidelines further support a long-term focus by requiring our executives to personally own significant levels of the company's stock. In combination, the Committee believes that the various elements of our executive compensation program tie our executives' compensation opportunities to the company's sustained long-term performance.

The company conducted a risk assessment of its compensation programs and practices in fiscal 2011 and determined that none of the programs or practices are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company. To conduct the assessment, the company first conducted an inventory of its executive and non-executive incentive compensation programs globally, including all significant sales incentive programs. Each program was evaluated to determine whether the primary components of the program properly balanced compensation opportunities and risk. The company performed the evaluation with the assistance of a compensation risk analysis checklist prepared by the Committee's compensation consultant. Each program was evaluated against the checklist, the results were recorded and risk levels were identified. After the initial evaluation, the assessment team confirmed with the corporate managers responsible for each program (a) the program design and alignment with business unit or overall corporate strategy, (b) the controls in place to mitigate the potential for risk, and (c) the process for review and approval of final plan payouts. The results of the assessment results and the preliminary conclusions, the Committee agreed with the company's conclusion that none of its compensation programs and practices in fiscal 2011 were reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the company.

Retirement and Deferred Compensation Benefits

The company maintains various retirement plans to assist our executive officers with retirement income planning and to increase the attractiveness of employment with the company.

The company provides a defined contribution plan, the Tyco Electronics Retirement Savings and Investment Plan ("RSIP"), that is available to all eligible United States employees, and a nonqualified supplemental retirement plan, the Tyco Electronics Supplemental Savings and Retirement Plan ("SSRP"), in which executive officers may participate.

Table of Contents

Under the RSIP, the company match level is based on years of service, as follows:

Years of Service	Employee Contribution*	Company Contribution*
09	1%	5%
10 19	2%	6%
20 24	3%	7%
25 29	4%	8%
30 or more	5%	9%

*

Represents the percentage of the employee's compensation, which for purposes of the RSIP, generally includes base salary and annual incentive awards paid to the employee.

Company contributions for the named executive officers are shown in the "All Other Compensation" column of the Summary Compensation Table that follows this CD&A. Executive officers are fully vested in company matching contributions upon completion of three years of vesting service under the RSIP and SSRP.

Under the SSRP, executive officers may defer up to 50% of their base salary and 100% of their annual incentive awards. The company provides matching contributions to the SSRP based on the executive officer's deferred base salary and annual incentive awards at the same rate such officer is eligible to receive matching contributions under the RSIP and on any cash compensation (i.e., base salary and annual incentive awards) earned in excess of Internal Revenue Service limits.

All of the company's U.S. retirement, deferred compensation, incentive and other executive and broad-based plans are intended to comply with Section 409A of the Internal Revenue Code.

Mr. Donahue has accrued a benefit under two frozen tax-qualified defined benefit plans, as described in the Pension Benefits for Fiscal 2011 table that follows this CD&A.

Mr. Clarke participates in the Tyco Electronics UK Ltd Pension Plan on the same basis as all other eligible Tyco Electronics UK Ltd employees. Projected pension benefits for Mr. Clarke are disclosed in the Pension Benefits for Fiscal 2011 table that follows this CD&A.

Welfare Benefits

We provide welfare benefits to executive officers on the same basis as all other employees. These arrangements include medical, dental, life insurance and disability coverage and are offered to all eligible U.S. based employees. The various benefit plans are part of our overall total compensation offering and are intended to be competitive with peer companies.

Outside of the United States, the company provides welfare benefits based on local country practices.

Perquisites

The company's perquisite program provides for the payment to U.S. executive officers of a cash allowance equal to an additional ten percent (10%) of base salary, in lieu of perquisites typically provided by other companies. The executive is permitted to apply the allowance as he or she deems appropriate. In addition to the perquisite allowance, the company permits the Chief Executive Officer to use the company aircraft for limited non-company business purposes, as is further described in footnote (a) to the All Other Compensation table on page 49. Other than the items described in this paragraph, there are no other perquisites provided to U.S. executive officers.

Table of Contents

For non-U.S. executives, perquisite benefits are paid to executive officers based on local country practice. (See the Summary Compensation Table that follows this CD&A for more information on the perquisite benefits paid to our non-U.S. named executive officers.)

Expatriate Assignment Benefits

As described in the Summary Compensation Table that follows this CD&A, Mr. Donahue received certain benefits as a result of his relocation to and assignment in Germany. The benefits paid to Mr. Donahue are paid under the terms of an expatriate assignment policy made available to all employees who are asked to relocate from their home country in connection with their work assignments. Under the policy, eligible employees are reimbursed (or provided cash allowances) for items such as rent, goods and services, dependent tuition, home leave costs, language training, housing management fees, tax preparation services, utility allowance, storage costs and miscellaneous living expenses. In addition, eligible employees are placed in a tax-equalization program that makes them whole (including tax gross-up payments, where necessary) for any additional taxes imposed in excess of the taxes that they would have incurred in their home country.

Change in Control and Termination Payments

The company maintains the Tyco Electronics Severance Plan for U.S. Officers and Executives ("Severance Plan") and the Tyco Electronics Change in Control Severance Plan for Certain U.S. Officers and Executives ("CIC Plan"). The company believes that the maintenance of severance and change in control benefits is appropriate in order to attract and retain executive talent (given the fact that such benefits are standard benefits provided by peer companies), to avoid costly and potentially protracted separation negotiations, to ensure continuity of management in the event of an actual or threatened change in control and to protect our executive officers' investment in the company. The Committee performed a competitive analysis of both plans when they were adopted in fiscal 2007 and determined that the benefits provided under both plans were standard in the marketplace.

Under the company's Severance Plan, benefits are payable to an executive officer only upon an involuntary termination of employment for any reason other than cause, permanent disability or death. These severance benefits are conditioned upon the executive officer executing a release (including confidentiality, one year non-competition, two year non-solicitation and non-disparagement covenants) in favor of the company. Under the Severance Plan, an eligible executive will be paid cash severance upon termination of employment equal to: two times base salary plus two times target bonus for the Chief Executive Officer, one and one-half times base salary plus one and one-half times target bonus for other executive officers. Cash severance payments are made in monthly installments. In addition, the terminated executive will be eligible to receive a pro rata annual incentive payment for the year in which the termination occurs and continued health and welfare benefits for the length of the severance Plan, including the cash severance multiples, were set by the Committee at levels deemed consistent with market practice. "Cause" is defined as substantial failure or refusal to perform duties and responsibilities of the executive's job, violation of fiduciary duty, conviction of a felony or misdemeanor, dishonesty, theft, violation of our rules or policies, or other egregious conduct that has or could have a serious and detrimental impact on TE Connectivity and its employees.

Severance benefits for non-U.S. executives will generally be based on local statutory requirements.

The company's CIC Plan incorporates a "double trigger" concept before benefits become payable. In other words, benefits are payable to an executive officer under the CIC Plan only upon an involuntary termination of employment by the company or "good reason resignation" that occurs

during a period shortly before and continuing after a change in control (a "qualifying termination") and are conditioned upon the executive officer executing a release (including confidentiality, non-competition, non-solicitation and non-disparagement covenants) in favor of the company. For purposes of the CIC Plan, "good reason resignation" generally means assignment of duties materially inconsistent with the executive's position, a material adverse change in the executive's position, company actions that would cause the executive to violate his or her ethical or professional obligations, relocation to a place of employment greater than 60 miles from the executive's benefits or failure by the company to have its obligations under the CIC Plan assumed by a successor.

No benefits are payable under the CIC Plan if the executive officer is terminated for "cause." "Cause" is defined as a violation of fiduciary duty, conviction of a felony or misdemeanor, dishonesty, theft or other egregious conduct likely to have a materially detrimental impact on TE Connectivity and its employees.

Under the CIC Plan, an eligible executive will be paid cash severance in the event of a qualifying termination equal to: three times base salary plus three times target bonus for the Chief Executive Officer, two times base salary plus two times target bonus for Section 16 officers who are direct reports to the Chief Executive Officer, and one and one-half times base salary plus one and one-half times target bonus for other Section 16 officers and Band 1 employees. Cash severance payments will be made in the form of a lump sum payment. In addition, the terminated executive will be eligible to receive a pro rata annual incentive payment for the year in which the termination occurs and continued health and welfare benefits for the length of the severance period. Outstanding equity awards will become fully vested in the event of a qualifying termination. Cash severance and other benefits payable as a result of a qualifying termination will be limited to the greater after-tax amount resulting from (i) payment of the full benefits provided under the CIC Plan and imposition of all taxes, including any applicable excise taxes under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G, or (ii) payment of the benefits capped at the Section 280G limit with no excise tax imposed. Benefits payable under the CIC Plan will not be grossed up for the imposition of Section 280G or any other taxes. The severance benefits provided under the CIC Plan, including the cash severance multiples, were set by the Committee at levels deemed consistent with market practice.

Executive Stock Ownership Requirements

The company maintains a Share Ownership and Retention Requirement Plan applicable to the company's executive officers, including the named executive officers. Under the plan, the Chief Executive Officer is required to own TE Connectivity common shares in an amount equal to five times base salary, while the direct reports to the Chief Executive Officer are required to own shares in an amount equal to two times base salary. All covered executive officers are required to meet the share ownership requirements within five years of the effective date of the plan (July 9, 2007), or within five years of the officer's date of employment, if later. The following shares count towards the ownership requirements: wholly owned shares, shares in stock units or deferred compensation plans, employee stock ownership plans, unvested restricted stock and shares held by immediate family members that are considered beneficially owned by the executive officer. As of fiscal 2011 year-end, all of the named executive officers met their stock ownership requirements.

MANAGEMENT DEVELOPMENT AND COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Management Development and Compensation Committee has reviewed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis and has discussed the Analysis with management. Based on its review and discussions with management, the Committee recommended to our Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in the company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and in the company's proxy statement for the 2012 Annual General Meeting of Shareholders. This report is provided by the following independent directors, who comprise the Committee:

The Management Development and Compensation Committee:

David P. Steiner, Chair Robert M. Hernandez Daniel J. Phelan

December 7, 2011

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION

None of our executive officers serves as a member of the board of directors or compensation committee of any entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of our Management Development and Compensation Committee. In addition, none of our executive officers serves as a member of the compensation committee of any entity that has one or more of its executive officers serving as a member of our Board of Directors.

EXECUTIVE OFFICER COMPENSATION

Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the compensation of the named executive officers for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 ("fiscal 2011") and, where applicable, the fiscal years ended September 24, 2010 and September 25, 2009. The named executive officers are the company's principal executive officer, principal financial officer and the three other most highly compensated executives.

Name and Principal Position	Year (b)	S	Salary ⁽¹⁾ (\$) (c)	Bonus (\$) (d)	А	Stock wards ⁽²⁾ (\$) (e)	A	Option Awards ⁽³⁾ (\$) (f)		fon-Equity Incentive Plan Compen- sation ⁽⁴⁾ (\$) (g)	F Va Nor D C	nange in Pension alue and aqualified eferred ompen- sation rnings ⁽⁵⁾ (\$) (h)	C	ll Other Compen- sation ⁽⁶⁾ (\$) (i)		Total (\$) (j)
Thomas J. Lynch	2011	\$	1,005,769		\$	2,211,339	\$	4,789,700	\$	1,250,000			\$	443,238	\$	9,700,046
Chief Executive	2010	\$	950,000		\$	2,189,892	\$	5,066,494	\$	2,375,000			\$	325,305	\$	10,906,691
Officer																
(PEO)	2009	\$	950,000		\$	1,381,744	\$	2,927,936	\$	281,485			\$	344,132	\$	5,885,297
Terrence R. Curtin	2011	\$	572,514		\$	660,096	\$	1,429,646	\$	436,317			\$	166,911	\$	3,265,484
EVP & Chief Financial	2010	\$	505,875		\$	588,186	\$	1,361,219	\$	758,812			\$	126,113	\$	3,340,205
Officer (PFO)	2009	\$	505,875		\$	319,733	\$	671,766	¢	112,418			\$	130,351	\$	1,740,143
Joseph B. Donahue	2009	.թ \$	569,362		.թ \$	587,088		1,273,847			¢	42,131		1,015,014	.թ \$	4,010,162
EVP & Chief	2011	.թ Տ	480,136		.թ Տ	457,560		1,273,847			э \$	42,131 84,660	.թ Տ	915,586	.թ Տ	3,746,674
Operating Officer; President, Transportation Solutions											ψ	84,000			·	
Robert A. Scott	2011	\$	554,784		\$	528,212		1,143,626		,			\$	155,991	\$	2,796,051
EVP & General	2010	\$	525,000		\$	522,996	\$	1,209,935	\$	787,500			\$	131,005	\$	3,176,436
Counsel	2009	\$	525,000		\$	319,733	\$	671,766	¢	116,668			¢	134,080	¢	1 767 247
Alan C. Clarke ⁽⁷⁾	2009	ֆ \$	434,936		ֆ \$	462.101		1,000,616			\$	174,923	\$ \$	49,566	\$ \$	1,767,247 2,479,419
President, Network	2011	э \$	434,930 394,988		ֆ \$	402,101 392,124	ֆ \$	· · · · ·		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	э \$	· · ·	э \$	· · · · ·	ֆ \$	2,479,419
Solutions		÷.	,			,		,		,	Ċ		Ċ.	- ,		
	2009	\$	394,058		\$	239,870	\$	503,867	\$	114,020	\$	8,514	\$	20,311	\$	1,280,640

(1)

(2)

(3)

Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect the named executive officers' elections, if any, to defer receipt of salary into the SSRP.

This amount represents the grant date fair value of restricted stock units ("RSUs") calculated using the provisions of Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 718, *Compensation Stock Compensation*.

This amount represents the grant date fair value of stock options calculated using the provisions of ASC 718. See Note 22 (Share Plans) to the notes to consolidated financial statements ("Note 22") set forth in TE Connectivity's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 (the "10-K") for the assumptions made in determining ASC 718 grant date fair values.

(5)

(4)

Represents amounts earned under the fiscal 2011 annual incentive program. Amounts shown are not reduced to reflect the named executive officers' elections, if any, to defer receipt of awards into the SSRP.

Represents the aggregate change in actuarial present value of the accumulated benefits for Messrs. Donahue and Clarke under their frozen pension plans as described in "CD&A Elements of Compensation Retirement and Deferred Compensation Benefits." Messrs. Lynch, Curtin and Scott do not participate in a pension plan. There are no nonqualified deferred compensation earnings because the SSRP does not provide for "above-market" or preferential earnings as defined in applicable SEC rules.

See the All Other Compensation table below for a breakdown of amounts shown in column (i) which include perquisites and company match on employee contributions to the employee stock purchase plan, the company's 401(k) plan and nonqualified defined contribution plan, dividend equivalent units and other amounts. Amounts shown for 2009 for Messrs. Curtin and Scott (and total amounts for 2009) have been adjusted from the amounts disclosed in our proxy statement for the 2011 annual general meeting of shareholders to correct immaterial administrative errors made in the calculation of the company contributions to the nonqualified defined contribution plan in 2009. The amounts reflected in the table for perquisites are the company's incremental cost. The company also provides group life, health, hospitalization and medical reimbursement plans which do not discriminate in scope, terms or operation in favor of officers and are available to all full-time employees; the values of the benefits are not shown in the table. Amounts for Mr. Lynch for fiscal 2010 and 2009 also reflect adjustments made (\$11,007 for 2010 and \$10,823 for 2009) for non-business use of the company's aircraft, as described in more detail below in footnote (a) to the All Other Compensation table.

(7)

(6)

Mr. Clarke's salary and bonus are paid in Great Britain pounds (GBP). To convert compensation values to USD, the average monthly conversion rates as determined by TE Connectivity finance were used. The following is the conversion rate for the above table: USD 1.6018175:GBP 1.

All Other Compensation

Name	Year	Per	rquisites ^(a) (\$)	 surance				ESPP Company Match ^(d) (\$)		Company ntributions to DC Plans ^(e) (\$)	All Other Compensation (\$)		
Thomas J.													
Lynch	2011	\$	126,567		\$	141,190	\$	3,750	\$	171,731	\$	443,238	
Terrence R.													
Curtin	2011	\$	56,279		\$	37,191			\$	73,441	\$	166,911	
Joseph B.													
Donahue	2011	\$	870,777	\$ 462	\$	30,877			\$	112,898	\$	1,015,014	
Robert A. Scott	2011	\$	54,468		\$	33,138			\$	68,385	\$	155,991	
Alan C. Clarke	2011	\$	22,644		\$	26,922					\$	49,566	

(a)

Amounts reflect a cash perquisite allowance under the executive flexible perquisites allowance program which provides executives a cash allowance of 10% of base salary. The amount for Mr. Lynch also includes \$27,817 for non-business use of our aircraft. We own an aircraft that we use for business purposes. Mr. Lynch uses the aircraft for business purposes, but occasionally will make a non-business related stop while on a business trip, or will travel on the aircraft to attend meetings of the Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. board of directors, of which he is a member. The incremental cost to us of Mr. Lynch's non-business use of our aircraft was calculated to include the direct variable costs and the value of the lost corporate tax benefits associated with a non-business related stop and travel on the aircraft to attend Thermo Fisher Scientific Inc. board meetings. The amount for Mr. Donahue, who is an expatriate residing in Germany, also includes benefits payable under our expatriate policy. We maintain an expatriate policy under which employees who are asked to relocate from their home country in connection with their work assignments are reimbursed for certain costs and expenses associated with relocating to, and living in, another country. Mr. Clarke's perquisites include the incremental cost to the company of the lease value of a company car along with associated taxes. In addition, Mr. Clarke was provided with a car allowance and tax preparation.

Amounts greater than \$25,000 for Mr. Donahue are:

Benefit Type	Amount
Rent	\$ 58,336
Goods and services allowance	\$ 43,947
Company car related	\$ 25,350

Amounts less than \$25,000 for Mr. Donahue are comprised of home leave costs, dependent education tuition, housing management fees, tax preparation services, utility allowance, storage costs and repatriation costs which totaled \$90,926. Tax allowances are provided to individuals on expatriate assignments to make their assignments effectively tax and cost neutral to them. Under these arrangements, the company paid on behalf of Mr. Donahue foreign taxes in the amount of \$479,944 (net of amounts withheld from his base pay under the tax equalization program) for services performed in Germany in fiscal 2011. The company also provided Mr. Donahue with tax gross-up payments of \$141,973 for calendar 2010; gross-up amounts for calendar 2011 are not determined until the end of calendar year 2011. Due to the timing of payments in fiscal 2011, the following range of exchange rates, primarily as determined by TE Connectivity finance, were used to convert amounts reported or paid in euros to U.S. dollars: USD1.32103 USD1.44109:EUR 1.

(b)

Represents the additional income reported for participation in a company paid split dollar life insurance program.

(c)

Represents the value of dividend equivalent units credited in the fiscal year to each individual's unvested RSUs using the closing price on the date of the crediting.

(d)

Represents the company matching contribution made under the TE Connectivity employee stock purchase plan.

(e)

Reflects contributions made on behalf of the named executive officers under TE Connectivity's qualified defined contribution plan and accruals on behalf of the named executive officers under the SSRP (a nonqualified defined contribution excess plan), as follows:

Name	Year	С	pany Matching ontribution alified Plan) ^(*)	Company Contribution (Non-Qualified Plan)		
Mr. Lynch	2011	\$	12,250	\$	159,481	
Mr. Curtin	2011	\$	12,196	\$	61,245	
Mr. Donahue	2011	\$	23,104	\$	89,793	
Mr. Scott	2011	\$	11,071	\$	57,313	
Mr. Clarke	2011					

(*)

Included in Mr. Donahue's amount is an additional supplemental contribution of \$5,390 as a result of a frozen defined benefit plan.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards in Fiscal 2011

The following table discloses the potential payouts for fiscal 2011 under the company's annual incentive program and actual numbers of stock options and restricted stock unit awards granted during fiscal 2011 and the grant date fair value of these awards.

	Grant Date		Non-	Equ	ossible Payo nity Incenti Awards ⁽¹⁾	ve l	Plan	All Othe Stock Awards Number of Shares of Stock of Units ⁽²⁾	s: r of r	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options ⁽³⁾	Exercise or Base Price of Option Awards	F	Grant Date air Value of Stock and Option Awards ⁽⁴⁾
Name	(b)	Tł	nreshold (\$) (c)		Target (\$) (d)	ſ	Maximum (\$) (e)	(#) (i)		(#) (j)	(\$/Sh) (k)		(\$) (1)
Thomas J.	(6)		(0)		(u)		(0)	(1)		(j)	(K)		(1)
Lynch	11/08/2010	\$	625,000	\$	1,250,000	\$	2,500,000	65,56	60	527,500	33.73	\$	7,001,039
Terrence R. Curtin	11/08/2010		,		436,317		872,634	19,57		157,450			2,089,742
Joseph B. Donahue	11/08/2010 06/07/2011	\$	226,875	\$	453,750	\$	907,500	15,66 1,64		125,950 13,650	33.73 35.90	\$ \$	1,671,838 189,097
Robert A. Scott Alan C. Clarke	11/08/2010 11/08/2010		206,719 164,455	\$ \$	413,438 328,909	\$ \$	826,876 657,818	15,66 13,70		125,950 110,200	33.73 33.73		1,671,838 1,462,717

(1)

The "Threshold" column represents the minimum amount payable (50% of target payout) when threshold performance is met. The "Target" column represents the amount payable (100% of target payout) if the specified performance targets are reached. The "Maximum" column represents the maximum amount payable (200% of target payout). See "CD&A Elements of Compensation Annual Incentive Awards."

(2)

This column shows the number of RSUs granted in fiscal 2011 to the named executive officers. The grants vest equally over four years starting on the first anniversary date.

(3)

This column shows the number of stock options granted in fiscal 2011 to the named executive officers. Stock options issued have a ten-year term and vest ratably over a four-year period, with 25% becoming vested and exercisable on each anniversary of the grant date.

(4)

This column shows the full grant date fair value of RSUs and stock options under ASC 718 granted to the named executive officers in fiscal 2011. For additional information on the valuation assumptions, see Note 22 in the 10-K. In determining the number of stock options and RSUs that are awarded to eligible equity award participants, including each named executive officer, the company follows an established policy under which it uses the average daily closing price in the month preceding grant as the applicable value. For purposes of the fiscal 2011 equity awards reflected in the table above, the applicable stock value used to determine the number of stock option shares and RSUs awarded to each named executive officer was \$30.66 per share for the November grant (which was the average daily closing price for October, the month before the November 8, 2010 grant) and \$36.57 for the June grant (Mr. Donahue's grant). The value of the award shown in this column, however, is based on the grant date closing price, \$33.73 per share for the November grant and \$35.90 per share for the June grant, as applicable.

Outstanding Equity Awards at 2011 Fiscal Year-End

The following table shows the number of TE Connectivity shares covered by exercisable and unexercisable options and unvested RSUs held by the company's named executive officers on September 30, 2011. Each equity grant is shown separately for each named executive officer. The vesting schedule for each grant is shown following the table, based on the option or stock award grant date.

Name	Grant Date	Opt Number of S Underly Unexercised ExercisableUne (#) (b)	ying I Options	Ex	Pption cercise Price (\$) (e)	Option Expiration Date (f)	Grant Date	Stock Award Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ (#) (g)	of T	Market Value Shares or Units of Stock That Have ot Vested ⁽³⁾ (\$) (h)
Thomas J.										
Lynch	09/27/04 03/10/05 11/22/05 11/21/06 07/02/07 11/18/08 12/03/09	359,007 173,015 121,111 216,269 527,750 410,075 185,450	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 410,075\\ 556,350 \end{array}$		34.48 41.38 33.52 35.03 39.97 14.56 24.60	09/26/14 03/09/15 11/21/15 11/20/16 07/01/17 11/18/18 11/17/19	11/18/08 12/03/09	50,975 69,809	\$ \$	1,434,437 1,964,425
	11/08/10	0	527,500	\$	33.73	11/08/20	11/08/10	66,933	\$	1,883,495
Terrence R. Curtin	03/26/04 03/10/05 11/22/05 11/21/06 07/02/07 11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10	14,360 21,626 17,301 47,579 105,550 97,925 49,825 0	$\begin{array}{c} 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 0\\ 97,925\\ 149,475\\ 157,450 \end{array}$		32.13 41.38 33.52 35.03 39.97 14.11 24.60 33.73	03/25/14 03/09/15 11/21/15 11/20/16 07/01/17 11/17/18 11/17/19 11/08/20	11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10	12,171 18,750 19,979	\$ \$ \$	342,492 527,625 562,209
Joseph B. Donahue	09/26/07 11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10 06/07/11	87,950 39,788 38,750 0 0	0 79,575 116,250 125,950 13,650	\$ \$ \$	34.54 14.11 24.60 33.73 35.90	09/26/17 11/17/18 11/17/19 11/08/20 06/07/21	11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10 06/07/11	9,888 14,585 15,988 1,649	\$ \$ \$ \$	278,248 410,422 449,902 46,403
Robert A. Scott	05/03/04 03/10/05 11/22/05 11/21/06 07/02/07 11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10	22,387 7,462 5,795 43,253 105,550 32,925 44,287 0	0 0 0 97,925 132,863 125,950	\$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	32.25 41.38 33.52 35.03 39.97 14.11 24.60 33.73	05/02/14 03/09/15 11/21/15 11/20/16 07/01/17 11/17/18 11/17/19 11/08/20	11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10	12,171 16,671 15,988	\$ \$ \$	342,492 469,122 449,902
Alan C. Clarke	11/21/06 07/02/07 11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10	41,522 105,550 0 0 0	0	\$ \$ \$ \$	35.03 39.97 14.11 24.60	11/20/16 07/01/17 11/17/18 11/17/19 11/08/20	11/17/08 12/03/09 11/08/10		\$ \$ \$	256,918 351,722 393,594

(1)

Option and stock vesting schedule:

Grant Date	Option Vesting Schedule	Restricted Stock Awards Vesting Schedule
11/17/08	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary
11/18/08	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary
12/03/09	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary
11/08/10	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary
06/07/11	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary	¹ /4 vesting each year starting on 1 st anniversary

(2) Any dividend equivalents issued on RSUs have been included in the number of units reported.

(3)

Value represents the market value of TE Connectivity common shares (based on the closing price of \$28.14 per share on September 30, 2011).

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in Fiscal 2011

The following table sets forth certain information regarding TE Connectivity options and stock awards exercised and vested, respectively, during fiscal 2011 for the named executive officers.

	Option	Aw	ards	Stock Awards					
Name	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#) (b)	١	Value Realized on Exercise ⁽¹⁾ (\$) (c)	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting ⁽²⁾ (#) (d)	Value Realized on Vesting ⁽³⁾ (\$) (e)				
Thomas J. Lynch	(-)		(-)	103,622	\$	3,438,008			
Terrence R. Curtin				23,757	\$	779,246			
Joseph B. Donahue	39,787	\$	745,744	19,820	\$	587,057			
Robert A. Scott	65,000	\$	1,390,915	22,578	\$	742,371			
Alan C. Clarke	106,662	\$	1,914,243	19,539	\$	648,001			

(1)

The value realized on exercise is equal to the difference between the market price of the shares acquired upon exercise and the option exercise price for the acquired shares.

(2)

Represents vesting of RSUs. Any dividend equivalents issued on RSUs that vested during fiscal 2011 have been included in the number of units reported.

(3)

We computed the aggregate dollar amount realized upon vesting by multiplying the number of units vested by the market value of the underlying shares on the vesting date.

Pension Benefits for Fiscal 2011

The following table provides details regarding the present value of accumulated benefits under the plans described in "CD&A Elements of Compensation Retirement and Deferred Compensation Benefits" for the named executive officers in fiscal 2011.

		Present Value of									
		Number of Years Credited Service ⁽²⁾	Accumulated Benefit ⁽³⁾	Payments During Last Fiscal Year							
	Plan Name	(#)	(\$)	(\$)							
Name ⁽¹⁾	(b)	(c)	(d)	(e)							
Joseph B. Donahue	Tyco Electronics Pension Plan Part II & AMP Restoration										
	Plan	16.8	\$ 459,071								
Alan C. Clarke	Tyco Electronics UK Pension Plan	30.2	\$ 2,602,914								

(1)

Messrs. Lynch, Curtin and Scott do not participate in any pension plan sponsored by TE Connectivity.

(3)

(2)

For Mr. Donahue, years of service is calculated from date of original hire through the end of 1999, when the plan was frozen.

The present value of accumulated benefit amount has been measured as of September 30, 2011 and is based on a number of assumptions, including:

For Mr. Donahue, a discount rate of 4.7% was used for the Tyco Electronics Pension Plan Part II and a discount rate of 3.6% was used for the AMP Restoration Plan. For Mr. Clarke, a discount rate of 5.0% was used for the Tyco Electronics UK

Pension Plan;

Mortality rates based on standard actuarial tables; and

No retirements prior to normal retirement age or withdrawals for disability or otherwise prior to retirement.

Nonqualified Deferred Compensation for Fiscal 2011

The following table discloses contributions and earnings credited to each of the named executive officers under the SSRP (Supplemental Savings and Retirement Plan) in fiscal 2011 and balances at fiscal year end. The SSRP is a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. See "CD&A Elements of Compensation Retirement and Deferred Compensation Benefits" for information regarding the plan. Pursuant to the SSRP, executive officers may defer up to 50% of their base salary and 100% of their annual bonus. We provide matching contributions based on the executive's deferred base salary and bonus, and any salary and bonus actually paid in excess of the Internal Revenue Code Section 401(a)(17) limit (\$245,000 in 2011) as follows: \$5 for every \$1 the executive officer contributes up to the first 1% of the executive officer's eligible pay or, if the executive officer is credited with more than ten years of service, \$6 for every \$1 the executive officer contributes up to the first 2% of the executive officer contributes up to the first 3% of the executive officer's eligible pay or, if the executive officer contributes up to the first 4% of the executive officer's eligible pay or, if the executive officer contributes up to the first 5% of the executive officer's eligible pay.

Name	Со	Executive ntributions in ast FY ⁽¹⁾ (\$) (b)	Registrant Contributions in Last FY ⁽²⁾ (\$) (c)		Ε	Aggregate arnings in ast FY ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾ (\$) (d)	Aggregate Withdrawals/ Distributions ⁽⁵⁾ (\$) (e)			Aggregate lance at Last FYE ⁽⁴⁾⁽⁶⁾ (\$) (f)
Thomas J. Lynch	\$	640,106	\$	159,481	\$	137,273			\$	2,909,614
Terrence R.										
Curtin	\$	402,810	\$	61,245	\$	(50,695)			\$	1,750,653
Joseph B.										
Donahue	\$	106,326	\$	89,793	\$	(17,831)	\$	17,264	\$	400,564
Robert A. Scott	\$	67,268	\$	57,313	\$	(12,346)			\$	634,912
Alan C. Clarke										

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

(5)

(6)

The amounts shown represent deferrals of cash and bonuses by the named executive officers under the SSRP, the amounts of which are included in the Summary Compensation Table in the Salary or Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column, as applicable.

- The amounts shown represent matching contributions by the company, the amounts of which are included in the Summary Compensation Table in the All Other Compensation column.
- No portion of these earnings shown in column (d) were included in the Summary Compensation Table because the SSRP does not provide for "above-market" or preferential earnings as defined in applicable SEC rules.
 - For Messrs. Curtin and Scott, the balance shown also includes amounts credited under the TE Connectivity Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan, the predecessor to the SSRP that was frozen to new contributions effective December 31, 2004. The SSRP became effective on January 1, 2005.
- Represents a scheduled distribution in accordance with the individual's original distribution election.
 - The Aggregate Balance at Last FYE amounts shown for fiscal 2010 in our proxy statement for the 2011 annual general meeting of shareholders were incorrect due to immaterial administrative errors that occurred in the calculation of company contributions for one or more prior years. The

correct Aggregate Balance at Last FYE for fiscal 2010 for the named executive officers were as follows: Lynch \$1,971,316; Curtin \$1,335,883; Donahue \$239,294; and Scott \$520,021.

Termination and Change in Control Payments

The table below outlines the potential payments to our Chief Executive Officer and other named executive officers upon the occurrence of certain termination triggering events. For the purpose of the table, below are definitions generally applicable for the various types of terminations under the TE Connectivity Severance Plan for U.S. Officers and Executives (referred to in this proxy statement as the "Severance Plan") and/or the TE Connectivity Change in Control Severance Plan for Certain U.S. Officers and Executives (referred to in this proxy statement as the "CIC Plan"). See "CD&A Elements of Compensation Change in Control and Termination Payments" for information regarding the terms of the plans.

"Voluntary Resignation" means any retirement or termination of employment that is not initiated by the company or any subsidiary other than a Good Reason Resignation (defined below).

"*Good Reason Resignation*" means any retirement or termination of employment by a participant that is not initiated by the company or any subsidiary and that is caused by any one or more of the following events which occurs during the period beginning 60 days prior to the date of a Change in Control (defined below) and ending two years after the date of such Change in Control:

(1) without the participant's written consent, the company (a) assigns or causes to be assigned to the participant any duties inconsistent in any material respect with his or her position as in effect immediately prior to the Change in Control, (b) makes or causes to be made any material adverse change in the participant's position (including titles and reporting relationships and level), authority, duties or responsibilities, or (c) takes or causes to be taken any other action which, in the reasonable judgment of the participant, would cause him or her to violate his or her ethical or professional obligations (after written notice of such judgment has been provided by the participant to the Management Development and Compensation Committee and the company has been given a 15-day period within which to cure such action), or which results in a significant diminution in such position, authority, duties or responsibilities;

(2) without the participant's written consent, the participant's being required to relocate to a principal place of employment more than 60 miles from his or her existing principal place of employment;

(3) without the participant's written consent, the company (a) reduces the participant's base salary or annual bonus, or (b) reduces the participant's retirement, welfare, stock incentive, perquisite and other benefits, taken as a whole; or

(4) the company fails to obtain a satisfactory agreement from any successor to assume and agree to perform the company's obligations to the participant under the CIC Plan.

"*Involuntary Termination*" means a termination of the participant initiated by the company or a subsidiary for any reason other than Cause (defined below), Permanent Disability (defined below) or death, subject to the conditions specified in the applicable plan.

"*Cause*" means any misconduct identified as a ground for termination in company policy or other written policies or procedures, including among other things, misconduct, dishonesty, criminal activity, or egregious conduct that has or could have a serious and detrimental impact on the company and its employees.

"*Permanent Disability*" means that a participant has a permanent and total incapacity from engaging in any employment for the employer for physical or mental reasons. A "Permanent Disability" will be deemed to exist if the participant meets the requirements for disability benefits under the employer's

long-term disability plan or under the requirements for disability benefits under the U.S. social security laws (or similar laws outside the United States, if the participant is employed in that jurisdiction) then in effect, or if the participant is designated with an inactive employment status at the end of a disability or medical leave.

"Change in Control" means any of the following events:

(1) any "person" (as defined in Section 13(d) and 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act), excluding for this purpose, (i) the company or any subsidiary company (wherever incorporated) of the company, or (ii) any employee benefit plan of the company or any such subsidiary company (or any person or entity organized, appointed or established by the company for or pursuant to the terms of any such plan that acquires beneficial ownership of voting securities of the company), is or becomes the "beneficial owner" (as defined in Rule 13d-3 under the Securities Exchange Act) directly or indirectly of securities of the company representing more than 30 percent of the combined voting power of the company's then outstanding securities; provided, however, that no Change in Control will be deemed to have occurred as a result of a change in ownership percentage resulting solely from an acquisition of securities by the company;

(2) persons who, as of July 1, 2007 (the "effective date"), constitute the Board (the "Incumbent Directors") cease for any reason (including without limitation, as a result of a tender offer, proxy contest, merger or similar transaction) to constitute at least a majority thereof, provided that any person becoming a director of the company subsequent to the effective date shall be considered an Incumbent Director if such person's election or nomination for election was approved by a vote of at least 50 percent of the Incumbent Directors; but provided further, that any such person whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened proxy contest relating to the election of members of the Board or other actual or threatened solicitation of proxies or consents by or on behalf of a "person" (as defined in Section 13(d) and 14(d) of the Securities Exchange Act) other than the Board, including by reason of agreement intended to avoid or settle any such actual or threatened contest or solicitation, shall not be considered an Incumbent Director;

(3) consummation of a reorganization, merger or consolidation or sale or other disposition of at least 80 percent of the assets of the company (a "Business Combination"), in each case, unless, following such Business Combination, all or substantially all of the individuals and entities who were the beneficial owners of outstanding voting securities of the company immediately prior to such Business Combination beneficially own directly or indirectly more than 50 percent of the company resulting from such Business Combination (including, without limitation, a company which, as a result of such transaction, owns the company or all or substantially all of the company's assets either directly or through one or more subsidiary companies (wherever incorporated) of the company) in substantially the same proportions as their ownership, immediately prior to such Business Combination, of the outstanding voting securities of the company; or

(4) consummation of a complete liquidation or dissolution of the company.

"*Change in Control Termination*" means a participant's Involuntary Termination or Good Reason Resignation that occurs during the period beginning 60 days prior to the date of a Change in Control and ending two years after the date of such Change in Control.

No named executive officer is entitled to a payment in connection with an Involuntary Termination for Cause.

Table of Contents

]	Total Permanent Disability		nvoluntary ermination Not for	Involuntary Termination Change in Control ⁽⁸⁾		
Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination	Re	tirement		or Death		Cause		Control ⁽⁸⁾	
Thomas J. Lynch									
Compensation					¢	4 500 000	¢	6 750 000	
Severance ⁽¹⁾					\$	4,500,000	\$	6,750,000	
Short-Term Incentive ⁽²⁾					\$	1,250,000	\$	1,250,000	
Long-Term Incentives									
Stock Options (Unvested and Accelerated or $(1)^{(3)}$	ф -		٩	7 520 200			¢	7 520 200	
Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$ 7	7,538,298	\$	7,538,298			\$	7,538,298	
Restricted Stock Units (Unvested and	ф с	200.062	٩	5 202 256			¢	5 202 254	
Accelerated or Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$ 3	3,398,862	\$	5,282,356			\$	5,282,356	
Benefits and Perquisites ⁽⁴⁾					.	22 (()	•	22.000	
Health and Welfare Benefits Continuation ⁽⁵⁾					\$	22,660	\$	33,990	
Outplacement ⁽⁶⁾							\$	26,000	
Terrence R. Curtin									
Compensation					<i>.</i>		.		
Severance ⁽¹⁾					\$	1,527,110	\$	2,036,146	
Short-Term Incentive ⁽²⁾					\$	436,317	\$	436,317	
Long-Term Incentives									
Stock Options (Unvested and Accelerated or	<u> </u>						<i>•</i>		
Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$ 1	,903,029	\$	1,903,029			\$	1,903,029	
Restricted Stock Units (Unvested and									
Accelerated or Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$	870,117	\$	1,432,326			\$	1,432,326	
Benefits and Perquisites ⁽⁴⁾					<i>.</i>	4 4 9 9 7	.		
Health and Welfare Benefits Continuation ⁽⁵⁾					\$	16,995	\$	22,660	
Outplacement ⁽⁶⁾							\$	9,300	
Joseph B. Donahue									
Compensation									
Severance ⁽¹⁾					\$	1,588,125	\$	2,117,500	
Short-Term Incentive ⁽²⁾					\$	522,720	\$	522,720	
Long-Term Incentives									
Stock Options (Unvested and Accelerated or									
Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$ 1	,527,962	\$	1,527,962			\$	1,527,962	
Restricted Stock Units (Unvested and									
Accelerated or Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$	688,670	\$	1,184,975			\$	1,184,975	
Benefits and Perquisites ⁽⁴⁾									
Health and Welfare Benefits Continuation ⁽⁵⁾					\$	16,995	\$	22,660	
Outplacement ⁽⁶⁾							\$	9,300	
Robert A. Scott									
Compensation									
Severance ⁽¹⁾					\$	1,447,031	\$	1,929,375	
Short-Term Incentive ⁽²⁾					\$	413,438	\$	413,438	
Long-Term Incentives									
Stock Options (Unvested and Accelerated or Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$ 1	,844,223	\$	1,844,223			\$	1,844,223	
Restricted Stock Units (Unvested and									
Accelerated or Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾	\$	811,614	\$	1,261,516			\$	1,261,516	
Benefits and Perquisites ⁽⁴⁾									
Health and Welfare Benefits Continuation ⁽⁵⁾					\$	16,995	\$	22,600	
Outplacement ⁽⁶⁾							\$	9,300	
56 2012 Annual General Meeting Proxy Statement									

Executive Benefits and Payments Upon Termination	Retirement	Total Permanent Disability or Death		nvoluntary `ermination Not for Cause	Т	nvoluntary ermination Change in Control ⁽⁸⁾
Alan C. Clarke						
Compensation						
Severance ⁽¹⁾			\$	371,077	\$	371,077
Short-Term Incentive ⁽²⁾						
Long-Term Incentives						
Stock Options (Unvested and Accelerated or						
Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾		\$ 1,383,222			\$	1,383,222
Restricted Stock Units (Unvested and						
Accelerated or Continued Vesting) ⁽³⁾		\$ 1,002,234			\$	1,002,234
Benefits and Perquisites ⁽⁴⁾						
Health and Welfare Benefits Continuation ⁽⁵⁾			\$	204	\$	204
Outplacement ⁽⁶⁾			\$	3,204	\$	3,204
Automobile ⁽⁷⁾			\$	4,538	\$	4,538
Automobile			φ	4,338	φ	4,338

(1)

Severance is calculated as follows under Involuntary Termination Not for Cause for U.S.-based executives: Mr. Lynch two times base salary plus two times target bonus, Messrs. Curtin, Donahue and Scott one and one-half times base salary plus one and one-half times target bonus; and as follows under Involuntary Termination Change in Control for U.S.-based executives: Mr. Lynch three times base salary plus three times target bonus, Messrs. Curtin, Donahue and Scott two times base salary plus two times target bonus. If the "parachute payment" (severance plus value of accelerated equity) is greater than three times the average W-2 reported compensation for the preceding five years, then an "excise tax" is imposed on the portion of the parachute payment that exceeds the average W-2 reported compensation for the preceding years. In this case, the participant will receive the greater of (i) payment of the full benefits provided under the CIC Plan and imposition of all taxes, including any applicable excise taxes under Internal Revenue Code Section 280G, or (ii) payment of the benefits capped at the Section 280G limit with no excise tax imposed. Under the CIC Plan, benefits payable thereunder will not be grossed up for the imposition of Internal Revenue Code Section 280G or any other taxes. Mr. Clarke is not covered by the Severance Plan or CIC Plan; the termination benefits payable are based on local requirements. Mr. Clarke is entitled to a redundancy payment based on local statutory requirements three weeks of base salary per every year of service as well as a notice pay amount. The total maximum redundancy payment cannot exceed 104 weeks' salary and the notice pay amount cannot exceed twelve weeks' salary. Mr. Clarke currently has 30 years of service with the company; however, only 11 years of service are included in the calculation because of the lump sum settlement Mr. Clarke received in 2000 related to the acquisition of Raychem Corporation by Tyco International in 1999.

(2)

Assumes the effective date of termination is September 30, 2011 and that the pro rata payment under the annual incentive program is equal to 12/12ths of the actual award earned for fiscal 2011.

(3)

Assumes the effective date of termination is September 30, 2011 and the price per TE Connectivity common share on the date of termination equals \$28.14. Under Involuntary Termination Change in Control, all outstanding stock options that are vested and exercisable as of the termination date, as well as the options that vest as a result of the acceleration, will be exercisable for the greater of the period specified in the option agreement or twelve months from the termination date. In no event, however, will an option be exercisable beyond its original expiration date. Amounts disclosed for stock options only reflect options that are in-the-money as of September 30, 2011. RSUs and options granted on November 8, 2010 and June 7, 2011 will be forfeited if the retirement date is prior to the achievement of the grants' one-year anniversary date. However, the one-year employment requirement is not applicable in the case of death or permanent disability.

(4)

Payments associated with benefits and perquisites are limited to the items listed. No other benefits or perquisite continuation occurs under the termination scenarios listed.

(5)

Health and welfare benefits continuation is calculated as follows under Involuntary Termination Not for Cause: Mr. Lynch 24 months, Messrs. Curtin, Donahue and Scott 18 months; and as follows under Involuntary Termination Change in Control: Mr. Lynch 36 months, Messrs. Curtin, Donahue and Scott 24 months. Annual amount is an approximation based on the fiscal 2011 per capita employee cost. In the event that provision of any of the benefits would adversely affect the tax status of the applicable plan or benefits, the company, in its sole discretion, may elect to pay to the participant cash in lieu of such coverage

Table of Contents

in an amount equal to the company's premium or average cost of providing such coverage. Mr. Clarke's health and welfare continuation is calculated at 12 weeks in accordance with the company's local practice.

(6)

(7)

(8)

Outplacement is calculated as the cost of services for the participant for a period of twelve months from the participant's termination date under Involuntary Termination Change in Control. The company offers twelve month coverage totaling \$26,000 for the Chief Executive Officer and provides \$9,300 for executives under the executive program for outplacement services. The company has the right and sole discretion to pay outplacement services under Involuntary Termination Not for Cause, but is not required to provide such benefits. Mr. Clarke's outplacement benefit is determined in accordance with local statutory requirements.

Mr. Clarke's automobile benefit is based on the notice period in accordance with the company's local practice.

The payments shown in this column are the same payments that would be made in the event of a "Good Reason Resignation."

COMPENSATION OF NON-EMPLOYEE DIRECTORS

Fiscal 2011 compensation of each director who is not our salaried employee or an employee of our subsidiaries was set at \$215,000 per annum, payable \$80,000 in cash and \$135,000 in equity value. The chair of the Audit Committee received an additional \$25,000 cash retainer and the chairs of the Management Development and Compensation Committee and Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee received an additional \$15,000 cash retainer. The chairman of the Board received an additional retainer fee of \$160,000 (\$100,000 in cash and \$60,000 in equity value). Audit Committee members, including the chair, each received an additional \$10,000 in cash compensation. Directors who are employees of us or our subsidiaries do not receive any compensation for their services as directors.

Each non-employee director received the equity component of their compensation in the form of a grant of common shares of TE Connectivity Ltd., with the exception of Dr. Gromer, who received the equity component of his compensation in the form of deferred stock units ("DSUs"). Prior to fiscal 2010, all non-employee directors received the equity component of their compensation in the form of DSUs. Due to changes in U.S. tax law, U.S.-based non-employee directors began to receive the equity component of their compensation in the form of common shares commencing in fiscal 2010. (Under the tax law, our U.S.-based non-employee directors can no longer defer any portion of their compensation, including DSUs, and therefore, they were issued common shares (which are immediately taxable) in lieu of DSUs.) Because Dr. Gromer is a German citizen, he continued to receive his equity compensation in the form of DSUs.

DSUs awarded to Dr. Gromer vested immediately upon grant, and will be paid in common shares within 30 days following termination (subject to the previously-existing option of deferring the payout). Dividend equivalents or additional DSUs are credited to a non-employee director's DSU account when dividends or distributions are paid on our common shares.

Fiscal 2012 compensation for non-employee directors will be the same as fiscal 2011.

We reimburse our Board members for expenses incurred in attending Board and committee meetings or performing other services for us in their capacities as directors. Such expenses include food, lodging and transportation.

The following table discloses the cash and equity awards paid to each of our non-employee directors during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

Name	 Earned or in Cash ⁽¹⁾ (\$) (b)	Sto	ck Awards ⁽²⁾ (\$) (c)	Co	All Other mpensation ⁽³⁾ (\$) (g)	Total (\$) (h)		
Pierre R. Brondeau	\$ 90,000	\$	148,547	\$	7,649	\$	246,196	
Ram Charan ⁽⁴⁾	\$ 40,000			\$	7,649	\$	47,649	
Juergen W. Gromer	\$ 80,000	\$	148,547	\$	14,810	\$	243,357	
Robert M. Hernandez	\$ 80,000	\$	148,547	\$	17,649	\$	246,196	
Daniel J. Phelan	\$ 80,000	\$	148,547	\$	12,649	\$	241,196	
Frederic M. Poses	\$ 195,000	\$	214,557	\$	8,567	\$	418,124	
Lawrence S. Smith	\$ 115,000	\$	148,547	\$	20,232	\$	283,779	
Paula A. Sneed	\$ 90,000	\$	148,547	\$	19,385	\$	257,932	
David P. Steiner	\$ 95,000	\$	148,547	\$	7,649	\$	251,196	
John C. Van Scoter	\$ 80,000	\$	148,547	\$	6,592	\$	235,139	

(1)

The amounts shown represent the amount of cash compensation earned in fiscal 2011 for Board and committee services. Mr. Poses received additional fees for his work as the Board chair. Mr. Poses, Mr. Smith and Mr. Steiner each received additional fees for their roles as chair of the

Edgar Filing: TE Connectivity Ltd. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee, the Audit Committee and the Management Development and Compensation Committee, respectively. Mr. Brondeau, Mr. Smith and Ms. Sneed each received for the full year the additional cash retainer for serving on the Audit Committee. The amount for Dr. Gromer reflects the U.S. dollar equivalent for fees earned as Dr. Gromer is paid in euros.

(2)

On November 8, 2010, each director received a grant of 4,404 common shares, except for Dr. Gromer who received his award in the form of DSUs. Mr. Poses received an additional 1,957 shares in equity compensation as chairman. In determining the number of common shares and DSUs to be issued, we used the average daily closing price in the month preceding grant (\$30.66 per share), the same methodology used to determine employee equity awards. The grant date fair value of these awards, as shown above, was calculated by using the closing price of the common shares on the date of grant (\$33.73 per share). The common shares and DSUs vested immediately and non-employee directors receive dividend equivalents in connection with any DSU award granted to them. As of fiscal 2011 year-end, the aggregate number of DSUs outstanding for each non-employee director was as follows: Dr. Brondeau 11,372; Dr. Charan 11,372; Dr. Gromer 22,078; Mr. Hernandez 11,372; Mr. Phelan 11,372; Mr. Poses 12,747; Mr. Smith 15,219; Ms. Sneed 13,959; Mr. Steiner 11,372; Mr. Van Scoter 6,090.

(3)

Represents the value of dividend equivalent units earned on current and prior DSU awards calculated using the market value on the date of the dividend, and company matching gift contributions made on behalf of certain directors under TE Connectivity's matching gift program.

(4)

Dr. Charan did not receive a fiscal 2011 stock award, and left the Board effective March 9, 2011.

In connection with their nomination to the Board of Directors, the company entered into board consulting agreements with director nominees Yong Nam and William Jeffrey, effective September 2011 and January 2012, respectively, through the date of the Annual General Meeting, to enable the nominees to attend Board meetings in preparation for their proposed director roles, under which the nominees receive compensation of \$20,000 per quarter.

Charitable Contributions

Our board governance principles require that the Board approve all charitable donations by TE Connectivity to organizations associated with a director. The amount of any such donation is limited to an amount that is less than one percent of that organization's annual charitable receipts and is less than one percent of TE Connectivity's annual charitable contributions.

Any matching donation by TE Connectivity to organizations associated with a director is limited to an amount that is no greater than the amount contributed by the director and is required to be made in a manner consistent with TE Connectivity's employee matching gift program.

TE Connectivity's Political Action Committee Charitable Match Program

TE Connectivity matches fifty cents for each dollar contributed by a director to the TE Connectivity Political Action Committee. This match may be designated by the director to an eligible public charity of their choice. Eligible organizations include, but are not limited to: colleges, private universities, private and public elementary and secondary schools, civic, arts and culture, health and human service agencies, and environmental organizations.

CERTAIN RELATIONSHIPS AND RELATED TRANSACTIONS

All relationships and transactions in which the company and our directors and executive officers or their immediate family members are participants were reviewed to determine whether such persons have a direct or indirect material interest. As required under SEC rules, transactions that are determined to be directly or indirectly material to a related person are disclosed in the company's proxy statement. In addition, we have adopted a written policy with respect to related person transactions pursuant to which the Nominating, Governance and Compliance Committee reviews and approves or ratifies any related person transaction that is required to be disclosed. In the course of its review and approval or ratification of a disclosable related person transaction, the committee considers whether the transaction is fair and reasonable to the company and will take into account, among other factors it deems appropriate:

whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third-party under the same or similar circumstances;

the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction and the materiality of the transaction to the company;

the related person's relationship to the company;

the material facts of the transaction, including the proposed aggregate value of the transaction;

the business purpose for and reasonableness of the transaction, taken in the context of the alternatives available to the company for attaining the purposes of the transaction;

whether the transaction is in the ordinary course of the company's business and was proposed and considered in the ordinary course of business; and

the effect of the transaction on the company's business and operations, including on the company's internal control over financial reporting and system of disclosure controls or procedures, and any additional conditions or controls (including reporting and review requirements) that should be applied to such transaction.

Any member of the committee who is a related person with respect to a transaction under review may not participate in the deliberations or vote respecting approval or ratification of the transaction, provided, however, that such director may be counted in determining the presence of a quorum at a meeting at which the committee considers the transaction.

Frederic Poses, a director and Chairman, is Chief Executive Officer and an equity owner of Ascend Performance Materials ("Ascend"), a private manufacturer of nylon related chemicals, resins and fibers for commercial and industrial products. TE Connectivity made \$9.37 million in purchases from Ascend during fiscal 2011. David Steiner, a director, is the Chief Executive Officer of Waste Management, Inc., a provider of waste management services, from which TE Connectivity made \$0.35 million in purchases during fiscal 2011. Such transactions were arms-length commercial dealings between the companies, none of which are material individually or in the aggregate. The committee has reviewed and approved or ratified these transactions.

SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act requires TE Connectivity's executive officers and directors and persons who beneficially own more than ten percent of TE Connectivity's common shares to file electronically reports of ownership and changes in ownership of such common shares with the SEC and NYSE. These persons are required by SEC regulations to furnish TE Connectivity with copies of all Section 16(a) forms they file. As a matter of practice, TE Connectivity's administrative staff assists TE Connectivity's executive officers and directors in preparing initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in ownership and files those reports on their behalf. Based on TE Connectivity's review of such forms, as well as information provided and representations made by the reporting persons, TE Connectivity believes that all of its executive officers, directors and beneficial owners of more than ten percent of its common shares complied with the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) during TE Connectivity's fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, other than one late Form 4 filing for one officer, James O'Toole, reporting one transaction.

AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT

The information contained in the report below shall not be deemed to be "soliciting material" or to be "filed" with the SEC, nor shall such information be incorporated by reference into any future filing under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, except to the extent that the company specifically incorporates it by reference in such filing.

During our fiscal year ended September 30, 2011, the Audit Committee of the Board was composed of three directors. Lawrence S. Smith served as chair and Pierre R. Brondeau and Paula A. Sneed served as members of the Committee. The Board of Directors determined that each of the members of the Audit Committee met the independence and experience requirements of the NYSE and applicable federal regulations. In addition, the Board determined that Mr. Smith and Ms. Sneed are audit committee financial experts.

The Audit Committee operates under a charter approved by the Board of Directors. A summary description of the duties and powers of the Audit Committee can be found in "The Board of Directors and Board Committees" section of this proxy statement. The Audit Committee oversees the company's financial reporting process on behalf of the Board. Management has the primary responsibility for the financial statements and the reporting process, assures that the company develops and maintains adequate financial controls and procedures, and monitors compliance with these processes. The company's independent registered public accounting firm (the "independent auditor") is responsible for performing an audit of the consolidated year-end financial statements in accordance with the standards of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board ("PCAOB") (United States) to obtain reasonable assurance that the company's consolidated financial statements are free from material misstatement and expressing an opinion on the conformity of the financial statements with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States. The company's Swiss registered auditor is responsible for performing an audit of the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. prepared in accordance with Swiss law and the company's articles of association. The internal auditors are responsible to the Audit Committee and the Board for testing the integrity of the financial accounting and reporting control systems and such other matters as the Audit Committee and Board determine. The company's special auditor is responsible for delivering reports in accordance with Swiss law confirming that the receivables of the creditors of the company will be fully covered by assets after giving effect to any reductions of capital in connection with shareholders' approvals of distributions to shareholders in the form of capital reductions or under other circumstances.

In this context, the Audit Committee has reviewed the consolidated financial statements in TE Connectivity's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. The Committee held discussions with management, the internal auditors, the independent auditor and the

Swiss registered auditor concerning the consolidated financial statements, as well as the independent auditor's and Swiss registered auditor's opinions thereon. The Committee also discussed with management, the internal auditors and the independent auditor the report of management and the independent auditor's opinion regarding the company's internal control over financial reporting required by Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002. Management represented to the Committee that the company's consolidated financial statements were prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States. The Audit Committee reviewed and discussed the statutory financial statements with management, the internal auditors and the Swiss registered auditor, as well as the Swiss registered auditor's opinion thereon. The Committee routinely reviewed and discussed with management and the Ombudsman any concerns from employees or external constituencies (including investors, suppliers and customers) about the company's accounting, internal accounting controls or auditing matters.

The Committee discussed with the independent auditor all communications required by auditing standards of the PCAOB (United States). In addition, the Committee discussed with the independent auditor the auditor's independence from TE Connectivity and its management, including the matters in the letter received from the independent auditor regarding the independent auditor's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence.

Based upon the Committee's review and discussions referred to above, the Committee recommended that the Board include the company's audited consolidated financial statements in TE Connectivity's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission. The Committee further recommended that the audited statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd., together with the company's audited consolidated financial statements, be included in the company's Annual Report to Shareholders for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

The Audit Committee:

Lawrence S. Smith, Chair Pierre R. Brondeau Paula A. Sneed

December 7, 2011

AGENDA ITEM NO. 2 APPROVAL OF ANNUAL REPORT AND FINANCIAL STATEMENTS FOR THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Agenda Item No. 2.1 Approval of the 2011 Annual Report of TE Connectivity Ltd. (excluding the statutory financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011)

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that the 2011 Annual Report of TE Connectivity Ltd. (excluding the statutory financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and the consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011) be approved.

Explanation

Our 2011 Annual Report, which accompanies this proxy statement, includes the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. (which do not consolidate the results of operations for our subsidiaries) for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and the TE Connectivity Ltd. consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 and contains the reports of our Swiss registered auditor and our independent registered public accounting firm, as well as information on our business, organization and strategy. Copies of our 2011 Annual Report and this proxy statement are available on the Internet at *http://www.te.com/2012AnnualMeeting*.

Under Swiss law, certain portions of our annual report must be submitted to shareholders for approval or disapproval at each annual general meeting. This agenda item must be submitted to shareholders for approval or disapproval in addition to the statutory financial statements and the consolidated financial statements, which are presented separately for approval as Agenda Items No. 2.2 and No. 2.3, respectively.

In the event of a negative vote on this agenda item by shareholders, the Board of Directors will call an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders for re-consideration of this agenda item by shareholders.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for approval of Agenda Item No. 2.1.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 2.1. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

Agenda Item No. 2.2 Approval of the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 be approved.

Explanation

TE Connectivity Ltd.'s statutory financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 are contained in our 2011 Annual Report, which accompanies this proxy statement. Our 2011 Annual

Report also contains the report of our Swiss registered auditor with respect to the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd.

Under Swiss law, our statutory financial statements must be submitted to shareholders for approval or disapproval at each annual general meeting.

In the event of a negative vote on this agenda item by shareholders, the Board of Directors will call an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders for re-consideration of this agenda item by shareholders.

Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our Swiss registered auditor, has issued an unqualified recommendation to the Annual General Meeting that the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 be approved. As our Swiss registered auditor, Deloitte AG has expressed its opinion that the statutory financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 comply with Swiss law and our articles of association and has reported on other legal requirements. Representatives of Deloitte AG will attend the Annual General Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish. They also will be available to answer appropriate questions at the meeting.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for approval of Agenda Item No. 2.2.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 2.2. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

Agenda Item No. 2.3 Approval of the consolidated financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that the consolidated financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 be approved.

Explanation

Our consolidated financial statements for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 are contained in our 2011 Annual Report, which accompanies this proxy statement. Our 2011 Annual Report also contains the report of our Swiss registered auditor with respect to the consolidated financial statements.

Under Swiss law, our consolidated financial statements must be submitted to shareholders for approval or disapproval at each annual general meeting.

In the event of a negative vote on this agenda item by shareholders, the Board of Directors will call an extraordinary general meeting of shareholders for re-consideration of this agenda item by shareholders.

Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland, as our Swiss registered auditor, has issued an unqualified recommendation to the Annual General Meeting that the consolidated financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd. for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 be approved. As our Swiss registered auditor, Deloitte AG has expressed its opinion that the consolidated financial statements present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position, the results of operations and the cash flows of TE Connectivity in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of

America (U.S. GAAP) and comply with Swiss law and has reported on other legal requirements. Representatives of Deloitte AG will attend the Annual General Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish. They also will be available to answer appropriate questions at the meeting.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for approval of Agenda Item No. 2.3.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 2.3. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 3 RELEASE OF THE MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS AND EXECUTIVE OFFICERS FOR ACTIVITIES DURING THE FISCAL YEAR ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2011

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that shareholders release the members of the Board of Directors and executives of TE Connectivity from liability for their activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011.

Explanation

As is customary for Swiss corporations and in accordance with article 698, subsection 2, item 5 of the Swiss Code of Obligations (the "Swiss Code"), shareholders are requested to release the members of the Board of Directors and the executive officers of TE Connectivity from liability for their activities during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011. This release from liability claims brought by TE Connectivity or its shareholders against members of the Board of Directors and executive officers of TE Connectivity for activities carried out during the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 is only effective with respect to facts that have been disclosed to shareholders. This release binds shareholders who either voted in favor of the agenda item or who subsequently acquired shares with knowledge of the resolution. Registered shareholders that do not vote in favor of this agenda item are not bound by the result for a period ending six months after the vote.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, not counting the votes of any director or executive officer of TE Connectivity, is required for approval of Agenda Item No. 3.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 3. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 4 ELECTION OF AUDITORS

Agenda Item No. 4.1 Election of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending September 28, 2012

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that our shareholders ratify Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending September 28, 2012.

Explanation

The election of our independent registered public accounting firm is recommended by our Audit Committee to the Board of Directors for approval by our shareholders annually. The Audit Committee reviews both the audit scope and estimated fees for professional services for the coming year. The Audit Committee has recommended the ratification of the engagement of Deloitte & Touche LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending September 28, 2012.

Representatives of Deloitte & Touche LLP will attend the Annual General Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish. They also will be available to answer appropriate questions at the meeting.

Independent Auditor Fee Information

Aggregate fees for professional services rendered by Deloitte & Touche LLP, the member firms of Deloitte Touche Tohmatsu, and their respective affiliates as of and for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010 are set forth below. The aggregate fees included in the audit fees category are fees paid or accrued for the fiscal years for the services described below. The aggregate fees included in each of the other categories are fees billed in the fiscal years or expected to be billed with respect to the fiscal years for the services described below. (All references to "\$" below are to United States dollars.)

Fiscal Years 2011 and 2010 Fees

	Fiscal Year 2011		Fiscal Year 2010	
Audit Fees	\$	16,062,000	\$	16,050,000
Audit-Related Fees		580,000		
Tax Fees		631,000		493,000
All Other Fees		154,000		2,000
Total	\$	17,427,000	\$	16,545,000

Audit fees for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010 were for professional services rendered for the year-end audits of the consolidated financial statements of the company, review of quarterly financial statements included in the company's quarterly reports on Form 10-Q, consents, comfort letters and statutory and regulatory filings in foreign jurisdictions. Audit fees for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 also included fees for statutory audits related to ADC entities.

Audit-related fees for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 were primarily related to audits of carve-out financial statements of certain businesses that have been divested or were being considered for divestiture and other attest services.

```
68 2012 Annual General Meeting Proxy Statement
```

Edgar Filing: TE Connectivity Ltd. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

Tax fees for the fiscal years ended September 30, 2011 and September 24, 2010 were primarily for tax compliance services. Tax fees for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 also included tax consulting and planning fees notably related to ADC entities.

Other fees for the fiscal year ended September 30, 2011 were for subscriptions and miscellaneous advisory services. Other fees for the fiscal year ended September 24, 2010 were for subscriptions.

None of the services described above were approved by the Audit Committee under the de minimis exception provided by Rule 2-01(c)(7)(i)(C) under Regulation S-X.

Policy for the Pre-Approval of Audit and Non-Audit Services

The Audit Committee adopted a pre-approval policy that provides guidelines for the audit, audit-related, tax and other permissible non-audit services that may be provided by the independent auditor. The policy identifies the principles that must be considered by the Audit Committee in approving services to ensure that the auditor's independence is not impaired. The policy provides that the controller will support the Audit Committee by providing a list of proposed services to the Committee, monitoring the services and fees pre-approved by the Committee, providing periodic reports to the Committee with respect to pre-approved services and ensuring compliance with the policy.

Under the policy, the Audit Committee annually pre-approves the audit fee and terms of the engagement, as set forth in the audit engagement letter. These services may not extend for more than twelve months, unless the Audit Committee specifically provides for a different period. All audit-related services and non-audit tax services must be separately pre-approved by the Audit Committee. The independent auditor may not begin work on any engagement without confirmation of Audit Committee pre-approval from the controller or his delegate.

In accordance with the policy, the Audit Committee may delegate one or more of its members the authority to pre-approve the engagement of the independent auditor when the entire Committee is unable to do so. The chair must report all such pre-approvals to the Audit Committee at the next committee meeting.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for approval of Agenda Item No. 4.1.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 4.1. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

Agenda Item No. 4.2 Election of Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland as our Swiss registered auditor until our next annual general meeting

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland be elected as the company's Swiss registered auditor until our next annual general meeting.

Explanation

Under Swiss law, our shareholders must elect an independent Swiss registered public accounting firm. The Swiss registered auditor's main task is to audit our consolidated financial statements and the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity. Our Board of Directors has recommended that

Deloitte AG, Zurich, Switzerland, be elected as our Swiss registered auditor for our consolidated financial statements and the statutory financial statements of TE Connectivity Ltd.

Representatives of Deloitte AG will attend the Annual General Meeting and will have an opportunity to make a statement if they wish. They also will be available to answer appropriate questions at the meeting.

For independent auditor fee information and information on our pre-approval policy of audit and non-audit services, see Agenda Item No. 4.1. See the Audit Committee Report included in this proxy statement for additional information about our Swiss registered auditors.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for approval of Agenda Item No. 4.2.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 4.2. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

Agenda Item No. 4.3 Election of PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Zurich, Switzerland as special auditing firm until our next annual general meeting

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that PricewaterhouseCoopers AG, Zurich, Switzerland be elected as our special auditing firm until our next annual general meeting.

Explanation

Under Swiss law, special reports by an auditor are required in connection with certain corporate transactions, including certain types of increases and decreases in share capital.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for approval of Agenda Item No. 4.3.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 4.3. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

AGENDA ITEM NO. 5 ADVISORY VOTE ON EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Motion Proposed by the Board of Directors

Our Board of Directors proposes that shareholders provide advisory (non-binding) approval of the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Fiscal 2011 Summary Compensation Table and related tables and disclosure.

Explanation

Our Board of Directors recognizes the interest our investors have in the compensation of our executives. In recognition of that interest and as required by the Dodd-Frank Act, we are providing our shareholders with the opportunity to cast a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC (also referred to as "say-on-pay").

As described in our CD&A, we have adopted an executive compensation philosophy designed to deliver competitive total compensation, upon the achievement of financial and/or strategic performance objectives, which will attract, motivate and retain leaders who will drive the creation of shareholder value. In order to implement that philosophy, the Management Development and Compensation Committee has established a disciplined process for the adoption of executive compensation programs and individual executive officer pay actions that includes the analysis of competitive market data, a review of each executive officer's role and performance assessment, and consultation with the Committee's independent compensation consultant. Since 2007, the year in which TE Connectivity became a public company, the Committee has followed this process to align executive compensation programs and individual pay actions with the company's executive compensation philosophy. Among the program features incorporated by the Committee (or Board of Directors) since 2007 to align with the executive compensation philosophy are the following:

70% of the value of each executive officer's annual long-term incentive awards is in the form of stock options to drive long-term performance and alignment with shareholder interests;

Equity awards (both stock options and restricted stock units) incorporate a four-year vesting period to further emphasize long-term performance and executive officer commitment;

Our annual incentive plan incorporates at least four financial and/or strategic performance metrics in order to properly balance risk with the incentives to drive our key annual financial and/or strategic initiatives; in addition, the annual incentive program incorporates a 200% maximum payout to further manage risk and the possibility of excessive payments;

The company implemented a robust compensation risk assessment process, as described in the CD&A, and has determined that our incentive compensation programs are not reasonably likely to create a material risk to the company;

The Committee adopted an executive share ownership and retention plan which, along with the design of the long-term incentive awards, drives long-term executive stock ownership; and

The Board adopted a Change in Control Severance Plan that only pays upon a change-in-control termination (i.e., a "double trigger") and does not permit the payment of any gross-up amounts.

One of the core tenets of our executive compensation philosophy is our emphasis on performance pay. The Pay Mix chart in the CD&A demonstrates that in fiscal 2011 a large portion of our named executive officers' compensation (ranging from 73% to 85%) is delivered in the form of annual and long-term incentives. This is further demonstrated in the historic pay levels since 2007 in that pay levels have been relatively low in fiscal years in which the company has not met its target performance

Edgar Filing: TE Connectivity Ltd. - Form DEF 14A

Table of Contents

measures (such as in fiscal 2009) and pay levels have been relatively high in years in which company performance has been strong (such as in fiscal 2010). This track record reflects the performance-driven design of our executive compensation programs and is wholly consistent with our executive compensation philosophy.

The Committee believes that our executive compensation programs, executive officer pay levels and individual pay actions approved for our executive officers, including our named executive officers, are directly aligned with our executive compensation philosophy, fully support its goals and provide an appropriate balance between risk and incentives. (Shareholders are urged to read the CD&A section of this proxy statement, which discusses in greater detail how our compensation policies and procedures implement our executive compensation philosophy.) We are asking our shareholders to indicate their support for our named executive officer compensation as described in this proxy statement.

Text of the Shareholder Resolution

IT IS RESOLVED, that shareholders of TE Connectivity Ltd. approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of the named executive officers of the company, as disclosed in the proxy statement for the 2012 Annual General Meeting pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission, including the Compensation Discussion and Analysis, the Fiscal 2011 Summary Compensation Table and the other related tables and disclosure.

Vote Requirement to Approve Agenda Item

The approval of a majority of our shares represented at the meeting, whether in person or by proxy, is required for advisory (non-binding) approval of Agenda Item No. 5. The vote is advisory, and therefore not binding on the company, the MDCC or our Board. Our Board and our MDCC value the opinions of our shareholders and to the extent there is any significant vote against the named executive officer compensation as disclosed in this proxy statement, we will consider our shareholders' concerns and the MDCC will evaluate whether any actions are necessary to address those concerns.

Recommendation

The Board of Directors recommends a vote "FOR" approval of Agenda Item No. 5. Proxies will be so voted unless shareholders specify otherwise in their proxies.

^{72 2012} Annual General Meeting Proxy Statement

AGENDA ITEM NO. 6 APPROVAL OF AN INCREASE IN THE NUMBER OF SHARES AVAILABLE FOR AWARDS UNDER THE TE CONNECTIVITY LTD. 2007 STOCK AND INCENTIVE PLAN

The Board of Directors and company management are requesting that shareholders approve an increase of 20,000,000 shares to the number of shares reserved for issuance of awards under the TE Connectivity Ltd. 2007 Stock and Incentive Plan (the "SIP" or the "Plan").

Background

The SIP governs the award and payment of cash and equity awards to company employees and non-employee directors. The SIP was initially approved by the company's Board of Directors and by Tyco International Ltd., as our former sole shareholder, on June 4, 2007, prior to our separation from Tyco International on June 29, 2007. The SIP, as amended and restated, was approved by our shareholders on June 22, 2009 to ensure that certain payments made under the SIP would continue to qualify as "performance-based" compensation under Section 162(m) ("Section 162(m)") of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). On March 10, 2010, our shareholders approved an increase in the number of shares available for awards under the Plan by 15,000,000.

Discussion of the Purposes of this Agenda Item

The Board of Directors and company management are requesting that shareholders approve an increase of 20,000,000 shares to the number of shares reserved for issuance of awards under the SIP. The maximum number of common shares originally reserved for the issuance of awards under the SIP was 24,843,452, five percent (5%) of the outstanding common shares of the company on June 29, 2007, the original effective date of the SIP. This number was increased by 15,000,000 upon approval of our shareholders on March 10, 2010. As of January 3, 2012, approximately 6 million shares remain available for issuance under the SIP. Management and the Board have determined that, given current annual grant practices and the current market value of the company's shares, the company needs to seek shareholder approval to increase the authorized number of shares under the SIP at this Annual General Meeting.

We are a global company that operates in all of the major markets in the world. As such, we recruit and compete for talent on a global basis, and as discussed in the CD&A, our main competitors for talent are the companies listed in our primary and secondary peer groups. We believe that in order to successfully compete with our peer companies for top talent, we need to provide our employees with competitive compensation consisting of both short- and long-term cash and equity incentives, consistent with the practices of our peers. The Board and management believe that the issuance of equity incentive awards promotes the growth and success of our business by aligning the interests of employees with those of our shareholders, and provides our employees an opportunity to participate in our growth and financial success. In order to issue competitive equity incentive awards to our employees, we need to have a sufficient pool of shares available, and therefore we are requesting that shareholders approve an increase of 20,000,000 shares to the number of shares reserved for issuance under the SIP. Management and the Board expect that the proposed increase in authorized shares will be sufficient to permit awards to be made under the SIP in the next three years in light of our current share utilization rate, forfeiture rates, stock performance and the remaining shares available from the original authorization. If the request for additional shares is approved, we will have enough shares to make competitive equity awards in fiscal year 2013 and beyond. Otherwise, we will need to adopt other non-equity compensation programs in order to maintain competitive pay levels.

Material Terms of the SIP

A summary of the material features of the SIP is set forth below. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the complete text of the SIP (as proposed to be amended and restated upon approval by shareholders of this agenda item), which is attached as Appendix A to this proxy statement.

Purpose. The Plan is intended to make available incentives that aid us to attract, recruit and retain directors and employees, by providing performance-related incentives and an opportunity to participate in the company's growth and financial success, and to align the financial interests of directors and employees with that of our other shareholders.

Plan Administration. The Plan is administered by the Management Development and Compensation Committee. The Committee, or to the extent required by applicable law, the Board of Directors, has broad discretion and authority under the Plan to:

interpret and administer the Plan;

select employees to receive awards, determine the form of an award, the number of common shares subject to an award and the terms and conditions of each award;

waive or amend any terms, conditions, restrictions or limitations on an award, except that the Plan's prohibition on the repricing of stock options and stock appreciation rights cannot be waived; and

delegate its duties and appoint agents to help administer the Plan.

Eligibility. In general, each of our employees, non-employee directors and grantees of an acquired company is eligible to receive awards under the Plan. For fiscal year 2012, approximately 1,500 employees received annual equity awards in the form of stock options and/or restricted stock units. Each non-employee director also received an award of fully-vested stock or deferred stock units as part of his/her fiscal year 2012 annual retainer. The persons who are eligible to receive annual performance bonuses pursuant to the SIP are certain employees and non-employee directors designated by the Committee and who are subject to the reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act. The persons who are eligible to receive long-term performance awards pursuant to the SIP are certain employees and non-employee directors designated by the Committee. Subject to annual individual limits set forth in the Plan, the number of future awards that may be granted to any one individual or category of individuals is not presently determinable.

Shares Subject to the Plan; Additional Share Authorization. The total number of shares that presently may be issued to participants under the Plan is 5% of the company's shares outstanding as of the original effective date of the Plan (24,843,452 shares), subject to adjustments as provided under the terms of the Plan, plus the 15,000,000 shares approved by our shareholders on March 10, 2010. As of January 3, 2012, approximately 6 million shares remain available for issuance under the Plan. We are requesting that shareholders approve an increase of 20,000,000 shares to the number of shares reserved for issuance under the SIP. Management and the Board expect that the proposed increase in authorized shares will be sufficient to permit awards to be made under the SIP in the next three years in light of our current share utilization rate, forfeiture rates, stock performance and the remaining shares available from the original authorization. When common shares are issued pursuant to a grant of restricted stock, restricted stock units, deferred stock units, performance units or as payment of an annual performance bonus or other stock-based award, the total number of shares that remain available under the Plan, the following do not count against the Plan's share limit: (a) shares related to awards paid in cash; (b) shares related to awards that expire, are forfeited or cancelled or terminate for any other reason without issuance of shares; (c) any shares

issued in connection with awards that are assumed, converted or substituted as a result of the acquisition of an acquired company by us or a combination of our company with another company; and (d) any shares of restricted stock that are returned to us upon a participant's termination of employment.

Stock Options and Stock Appreciation Rights. Stock options awarded under the Plan may be in the form of nonqualified stock options or incentive stock options or a combination of the two. Stock appreciation rights may be awarded either alone or in tandem with stock options. Stock appreciation rights will be paid in cash or common shares or a combination of cash and common shares, as determined by the Committee. Unless determined otherwise by the Committee or as required by law, stock options and stock appreciation rights granted under the Plan are subject to the following terms and conditions:

Exercise Price. The Committee will set the exercise price at the time of grant, which will be no less than the fair market value of a common share as of the date of grant.

No Repricing. The exercise price of a stock option may not be decreased after the date of grant, other than in connection with permitted Plan adjustments, nor may stock options be cancelled for cash or otherwise be replaced by new stock option grants having a lower exercise price, unless approved by our shareholders.

Vesting. Stock options and stock appreciation rights will vest at such time and in the manner as determined at the time of grant by the Committee. Stock options and stock appreciation rights (i) will immediately vest in full upon the death or disability of a participant, or upon a change in control that results in a termination without cause or resignation for good reason and (ii) will vest on a pro rata basis upon a participant's retirement (which is defined as the attainment of age 55 and completion of five years of service).

Post-Termination Exercise. Unless the Committee provides otherwise, stock options, stock appreciation rights and long-term performance awards that have not vested as of the date of a participant's termination of employment will be forfeited, except in the case of death, disability, change in control termination or retirement, as described above. Subject to the term of the award, any vested stock option or stock appreciation right that has not already been exercised will remain exercisable for a period of three years after termination of employment because of retirement, death or disability, and any vested stock option or stock appreciation right that has not already been exercisable for a period of 90 days after termination for any other reason except for a termination of employment for cause.

Performance-Based Awards. The Plan provides for performance-based awards in the form of: (1) annual performance bonuses that may be granted in the form of cash or common shares; and (2) long-term performance awards in the form of performance units that may be paid in cash or shares or performance-based restricted stock units or restricted stock awards that are paid in shares. It is intended that annual performance bonuses and long-term performance awards will qualify as "performance-based" compensation for purposes of Section 162(m). The Committee, in its discretion, will fix the amount, terms and conditions of annual performance bonuses and long-term performance awards, subject to the following:

Performance Cycles. Annual performance bonuses will be awarded in connection with a 12-month performance cycle, which will coincide with our fiscal year. Long-term performance awards will be awarded in connection with a performance cycle that will not be shorter than 12 months or longer than five years. The annual performance bonus amount and the number of shares or units that are earned will be determined by the level of performance attained in relation to the applicable performance measures, as certified by the Committee following completion of the performance period.