**ECOLAB INC** Form DEF 14A March 18, 2011

Use these links to rapidly review the document **TABLE OF CONTENTS** 

#### UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### **SCHEDULE 14A**

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of

the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. ) Filed by the Registrant ý Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o Check the appropriate box:

- Preliminary Proxy Statement o
- Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) o
- ý **Definitive Proxy Statement**
- **Definitive Additional Materials**
- o Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

#### ECOLAB INC.

(Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box):

- No fee required. ý
- Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11. o
  - Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:
  - (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

(4)

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

|   | (5)   | Total fee paid:                               |  |  |  |  |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| o | Fee p   | paid previously with preliminary materials.   |  |  |  |  |
| o | Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the filing for which the offsetting was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing. |   |  |  |  |  |
|   | (1)   | Amount Previously Paid:                       |  |  |  |  |
|   | (2)   | Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.: |  |  |  |  |
|   | (3)   | Filing Party:                                 |  |  |  |  |
|   | (4)   | Date Filed:                                   |  |  |  |  |

# NOTICE OF 2011 ANNUAL MEETING AND

# PROXY STATEMENT

FOR MAY 5, 2011

### TABLE OF CONTENTS

| <u>NOTICE</u>   | <u>ii</u>  |
|---|--|
| VOTING PROCEDURES   | <u>2</u>   |
| STOCKHOLDER ACCESS  | <u>4</u>   |
| Communications with Directors   | <u>4</u>   |
| Future Stockholder Proposals and Director Nomination Process  | ii 2 4 4 4 7 7 7 8 9 9 9 9 9 9   |
| SECURITY OWNERSHIP  | <u>7</u>   |
| <u>Certain Beneficial Owners</u>  | <u>7</u>   |
| Executive Officers and Directors  | <u>8</u>   |
| CORPORATE GOVERNANCE  | 9  |
| Corporate Governance Materials and Code of Conduct  | 9  |
| Board Structure   | 9  |
| Board Leadership Structure  | 9  |
| Board's Role on Risk Oversight  | <u>9</u>   |
| Compensation Risk Analysis  | 10<br>11<br>11<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>16<br>16<br>18<br>19<br>30<br>30<br>34<br>36<br>37<br>40<br>41<br>41<br>42<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>46<br>48 |
| <u>Director Attendance</u>  | 11   |
| Board Committees  NIDECTOR COMPENSATION FOR 2010  | 11   |
| DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR 2010  Director Companyation Table   | 13   |
| <u>Director Compensation Table</u>  | 13   |
| Summary Stock Retention and Ownership Guidelines  | 14<br>15   |
| Changes Effective in 2010   | 15   |
| DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS AND DETERMINATIONS  | 15   |
| "Independence" Standards  | 16   |
| "Independence" Determinations   | 16   |
| RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS   | 18   |
| PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS   | 19   |
| COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT   | 30   |
| COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS  | 30   |
| Introduction and Overview   | 30   |
| Program Objectives and Reward Philosophy  | 34   |
| Base Salaries   | 36   |
| Annual Cash Incentives  | 37   |
| Long-Term Equity Incentives   | 40   |
| Executive Benefits and Perquisites  | 41   |
| Executive Change-in-Control Policy  | <u>41</u>  |
| Stock Retention and Ownership Guidelines  | <u>42</u>  |
| Compensation Recovery   | <u>42</u>  |
| Total Compensation Mix  | <u>43</u>  |
| SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE FOR 2010   | <u>44</u>  |
| GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR 2010  | <u>46</u>  |
| <u>OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END FOR 2010</u>  |  |
| OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED FOR 2010  | <u>49</u>  |
| PENSION BENEFITS FOR 2010   | <u>50</u>  |
| NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR 2010  | <u>54</u>  |
| POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL  | <u>56</u>  |
| EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT   | 63<br>64   |
| AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT AUDIT FEES   | 65   |
|   | <u>66</u>  |
| PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM PROPOSAL 3: APPROVE AMENDMENTS TO THE ECOLAB STOCK PURCHASE PLAN | <u>66</u>  |
| PROPOSAL 4: ADVISORY VOTE ON APPROVAL OF THE COMPENSATION OF EXECUTIVES DISCLOSED IN THIS PROXY   | 00   |
| STATEMENT   | <u>71</u>  |
| PROPOSAL 5: ADVISORY VOTE ON THE FREQUENCY OF FUTURE STOCKHOLDER ADVISORY VOTES ON EXECUTIVE  | <u>/1</u>  |
| COMPENSATION  | <u>73</u>  |
| PROPOSAL 6: TO CONSIDER A STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REQUESTING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO ADOPT A   | 13   |
| POLICY ON THE HUMAN RIGHT TO WATER  | <u>73</u>  |
| PROPOSAL 7: TO CONSIDER A STOCKHOLDER PROPOSAL REQUESTING THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS TO TAKE  | <u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>  |
| ACTION TO ELIMINATE SUPER-MAJORITY VOTING   | 76   |

| OTHER MATTERS  | <u>78</u>   |
|--|-------------|
| Proxy Solicitation Costs                                       | <u>78</u>   |
| Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance        | <u>78</u>   |
| Householding Information                                       | 79          |
| Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials | 79          |
| Voting by Plan Participants                                    | 79          |
| APPENDIX: A Directions to the Ecolab Annual Meeting            | <u>A-</u> 1 |
|  |             |

#### Table of Contents

March 21, 2011 Dear Fellow Stockholder:

You are cordially invited to join us for our Annual Meeting of Stockholders, to be held at 10:00 a.m. on Thursday, May 5, 2011, in the McKnight Theatre of the Ordway Center for the Performing Arts, 345 Washington Street, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102. The Notice of Annual Meeting and the Proxy Statement that follow describe the business to be conducted at our Annual Meeting. We urge you to read both carefully.

We hope you plan to attend our Annual Meeting. However, if you will not be able to join us, we encourage you to exercise your right as a stockholder and vote. Please sign, date and promptly return the accompanying proxy card, or make use of either our telephone or Internet voting services. Stockholders not in attendance may listen to a broadcast of the meeting on the Internet. Webcast instructions will be available on-line at www.ecolab.com/investor.

Sincerely,

Douglas M. Baker, Jr. Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer

## YOUR VOTE IS IMPORTANT! PLEASE SUBMIT YOUR PROXY TODAY.

Your vote is a valuable part of the investment made in our Company, and is the best way to influence corporate governance and decision-making. Please take time to read the enclosed materials and vote!

Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, please complete the accompanying proxy and return it in the enclosed envelope. Or, you may vote by telephone or the Internet. If you attend the meeting, you may vote your shares in person even though you have previously returned your proxy by mail, telephone or the Internet.

PLEASE REFER TO THE ACCOMPANYING MATERIALS FOR VOTING INSTRUCTIONS.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 i

#### **Table of Contents**

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD MAY 5, 2011

To the Stockholders of Ecolab Inc.:

The Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Ecolab Inc. will be held on Thursday, May 5, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., in the McKnight Theatre of the Ordway Center for the Performing Arts, 345 Washington Street, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102, for the following purposes (which are more fully explained in the Proxy Statement):

(1) to elect as Class I Directors to a term ending in 2013 the four nominees named in the Proxy Statement; (2)to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current year ending December 31, 2011; (3) to approve amendments to the Ecolab Stock Purchase Plan; (4) to advise on the compensation of executives disclosed in the Proxy Statement; (5) to advise on the frequency of future stockholder advisory votes on executive compensation; (6) to consider a stockholder proposal requesting the Board of Directors to adopt a policy on the human right to water; (7) to consider a stockholder proposal requesting the Board of Directors to take action to eliminate super-majority voting; and (8)

to transact such other business as may properly come before our Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement

Our Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on March 8, 2011 as the record date for the determination of stockholders entitled to notice of and to vote at the meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors

James J. Seifert General Counsel and Secretary

March 21, 2011

ii PROXY STATEMENT 2011

thereof.

#### ECOLAB INC.

370 Wabasha Street North, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102

# PROXY STATEMENT ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS MAY 5, 2011

The Board of Directors of Ecolab Inc. is using this Proxy Statement to solicit proxies from the holders of Ecolab Common Stock, par value \$1.00 per share ("Common Stock"), for use at the 2011 Annual Meeting of Ecolab Stockholders. We are first mailing this Proxy Statement and accompanying form of proxy to Ecolab stockholders on or about March 21, 2011.

**Meeting Time and Place** Thursday, May 5, 2011, at 10:00 a.m., in the McKnight Theatre of the Ordway Center for the Performing Arts, 345 Washington Street, Saint Paul, Minnesota 55102.

**Purpose of the Meeting** is to vote on the following items:

- (1) to elect as Class I Directors to a term ending in 2013 the four nominees named in the Proxy Statement;
- (2) to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the current year ending December 31, 2011;
- (3) to approve amendments to the Ecolab Stock Purchase Plan;
- (4) to advise on the compensation of executives disclosed in this Proxy Statement;
- (5) to advise on the frequency of future stockholder advisory votes on executive compensation;
- (6) to consider a stockholder proposal requesting the Board of Directors to adopt a policy on the human right to water;
- (7) to consider a stockholder proposal requesting the Board of Directors to take action to eliminate super-majority voting; and
- (8) to transact such other business as may properly come before our Annual Meeting and any adjournment or postponement thereof.

**Record Date** The record date for determining the holders of Common Stock entitled to vote at our Annual Meeting is the close of business on March 8, 2011.

**Shares Entitled to Vote** As of March 8, 2011, the record date for the meeting, there were 232,037,738 shares of Common Stock outstanding. Each share of Common Stock is entitled to one vote. Common Stock held by Ecolab in our treasury is not counted in shares outstanding and will not be voted.

Note References in this Proxy Statement to "Ecolab," "the Company," "we," or "our" are to Ecolab Inc.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### **VOTING PROCEDURES**

**Quorum** A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. The presence in person or by proxy at the meeting of holders of a majority of the outstanding shares of Common Stock entitled to vote at the meeting is a quorum. Abstentions and broker non-votes count as present for establishing a quorum. Common Stock held by Ecolab in our treasury does not count toward a quorum.

**Broker Non-Votes** Generally, broker non-votes occur on a proposal when a broker is not permitted under applicable rules to vote on that proposal without instruction from the beneficial owner of the Common Stock and no instruction is given. Broker non-votes are not counted as votes cast for any purpose in determining whether a matter has been approved. **To ensure that their views are represented at the meeting, we strongly urge all beneficial owners to provide specific voting instructions on all matters to be considered at the meeting to their record-holding brokers.** 

**How to Vote by Proxy** You may vote in person by ballot at our Annual Meeting or by submitting a valid proxy. We recommend you submit your proxy even if you plan to attend the Annual Meeting. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may vote by ballot, thereby canceling any proxy previously submitted.

Voting instructions are included on your proxy card. If you properly complete your proxy and submit it to us in time to be tabulated, one of the individuals named as your proxy will vote your Common Stock as you have directed. You may vote for or against each proposal, or you may abstain from voting on a proposal. With respect to the election of directors, you may vote for or against each nominee, or you may abstain from voting on the election of one or more nominees.

**Revoking Your Proxy** You may revoke your proxy at any time before it is voted by:

timely delivery of a valid, later-dated proxy, including a proxy given by telephone or Internet;

timely delivery of written notice to our Corporate Secretary before the Annual Meeting, stating that you have revoked your proxy; or

voting by ballot at our Annual Meeting.

**Treatment of Abstentions** Shares voting "Abstain" will have no effect on the election of directors. For the other proposals to be voted on at the Annual Meeting, abstentions are treated as shares present or represented and voting, and therefore (except with respect to Proposal 5 (Advisory Vote on the Frequency of Future Stockholder Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation)) have the same effect as negative votes.

**Vote Tabulation** The vote on each proposal will be tabulated as follows:

**Proposal 1: Election of Directors** Each nominee will be elected by a majority of the votes cast in uncontested elections. We currently expect that the election of directors at our meeting will be uncontested. Under the majority voting standard, a nominee must receive a number of "**FOR**" votes that exceeds 50% of the votes cast with respect to that director's election. Votes cast with respect to a nominee include votes **FOR** or **AGAINST** a nominee and exclude abstentions and broker non-votes.

In a contested election, directors will be elected by a plurality vote. A contested election is an election in which the number of candidates for election as directors exceeds the number of directors to be elected. Under the plurality standard, the three nominees receiving the most number of "FOR" votes will be elected as directors.

#### **Table of Contents**

If an uncontested nominee for director does not receive an affirmative majority of "FOR" votes, he or she will be required to promptly offer his or her resignation to the Board's independent Governance Committee. That committee will then make a recommendation to the Board as to whether the offered resignation should be accepted or rejected, or whether other action should be taken. The Board will publicly announce its decision regarding the offered resignation and the rationale behind it within 90 days after the election results have been certified. Any director who offered his or her resignation will not be permitted to vote on the recommendation of the Governance Committee or the Board's decision with respect to his or her resignation.

Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **FOR** the election of the four nominees named in this Proxy Statement. If, for any reason, any nominee becomes unavailable for election prior to our Annual Meeting, the proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **FOR** such substituted nominee as is selected by our Board of Directors, or our Board of Directors, at its option, may reduce the number of directors to constitute the entire Board.

**Proposal 2: Ratification of Appointment of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm** The affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote will constitute ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **FOR** ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

**Proposal 3: Approve Amendments to the Ecolab Stock Purchase Plan** The affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote will constitute approval of the Ecolab Stock Purchase Plan, as amended; provided, in compliance with New York Stock Exchange rules, the total votes cast on the proposal (including abstentions) represent over 50% of the Company's total outstanding shares entitled to vote on the proposal. Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **FOR** approval of amendments to the Ecolab Stock Purchase Plan.

**Proposal 4: Advisory Vote on Approval of the Compensation of Executives Disclosed in this Proxy Statement** The affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote will constitute approval of the compensation of executives disclosed in this Proxy Statement. Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **FOR** approval of the compensation of executives disclosed in this Proxy Statement.

**Proposal 5: Advisory Vote on the Frequency of Future Stockholder Advisory Votes on Executive Compensation** For this proposal, which provides for an advisory vote on the frequency of an advisory vote on compensation of our named executive officers (that is, annually or every two or three years), the Company will treat the option selected by the plurality (that is, the most frequently selected option) of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote as the option selected by the stockholders. Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted for **ANNUAL** frequency of an advisory vote on compensation of our named executive officers.

**Proposal 6: Stockholder Proposal Requesting the Board of Directors to Adopt a Policy on the Human Right to Water** The affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 3

#### **Table of Contents**

entitled to vote will constitute approval of the proposal. Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **AGAINST** the proposal.

**Proposal 7: Stockholder Proposal Requesting the Board of Directors to Take Action to Eliminate Super-Majority Voting**The affirmative vote of a majority of the total votes cast by holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting and entitled to vote will constitute approval of the proposal. Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **AGAINST** the proposal.

**Discretionary Voting** We are not currently aware of any other business to be acted upon at our Annual Meeting. If, however, other matters are properly brought before the Annual Meeting, or any adjournment or postponement of the Annual Meeting, your proxy includes discretionary authority on the part of the individuals appointed to vote your Common Stock or act on those matters according to their best judgment, including to adjourn the Annual Meeting.

**Adjournments** Adjournment of our Annual Meeting may be made for the purpose of, among other things, soliciting additional proxies. Any adjournment may be made from time-to-time by approval of the holders of Common Stock representing a majority of the votes present in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, whether or not a quorum exists, without further notice other than by an announcement made at the Annual Meeting. We do not currently intend to seek an adjournment of the Annual Meeting.

#### STOCKHOLDER ACCESS

**Communications with Directors** Our stakeholders and other interested parties, including our stockholders and employees, can send substantive communications to our Board using the following methods published on our website at www.ecolab.com/investor/governance:

to correspond with the Board's Lead Director, please complete and submit the on-line "Contact Lead Director" form;

to report potential issues regarding accounting, internal controls and other auditing matters to the Board's Audit Committee, please complete and submit the on-line "Contact Audit Committee" form; or

to make a stockholder recommendation for a potential candidate for nomination to the Board, please submit an e-mail to the Board's Governance Committee, in care of our Corporate Secretary, at investor.info@ecolab.com.

All substantive communications regarding governance matters or potential accounting, control, compliance or auditing irregularities are promptly relayed or brought to the attention of the Lead Director or Chair of the Audit Committee following review by our management. Communications not requiring the substantive attention of our Board, such as employment inquiries, sales solicitations, questions about our products and other such matters, are handled directly by our management. In such instances, we respond to the communicating party on behalf of the Board. Nonetheless, our management periodically updates the Board on all of the on-line communications received, whether or not our management believes they are substantive. In addition to on-line communications, interested parties may direct correspondence to our Board of Directors, our Board Committees or to individual directors at our headquarters address, repeated at the top of page 1 of this Proxy Statement.

**Future Stockholder Proposals and Director Nomination Process** Any stockholder proposal must comply with advance notice procedures set forth in Article II, Section 4 of our By-Laws. Under our By-Laws, to be in proper written form, the stockholder's notice to our Corporate

#### **Table of Contents**

Secretary must set forth as to each matter such stockholder proposes to bring before the Annual Meeting a brief description of the business desired to be brought before the Annual Meeting and the reasons for conducting such business at the Annual Meeting and as to the stockholder giving the notice and any Stockholder Associated Person (i.e., any person acting in concert, directly or indirectly, with such stockholder and any person controlling, controlled by or under common control with such stockholder) (i) the name and record address of such person, (ii) the number of shares beneficially owned by the stockholder, (iii) the nominee holder for, and number of, shares owned beneficially but not of record by such person, (iv) whether and the extent to which any hedging or other transaction or series of transactions has been entered into, the effect or intent of which is to mitigate loss to or manage risk or benefit of share price changes for, or to increase or decrease the voting power of, such person with respect to any shares beneficially owned, (v) the name and address of any other stockholder supporting the proposal, (vi) a description of all arrangements or understandings between or among such persons in connection with the proposal, and (vii) a representation by the stockholder that he or she intends to appear at the Annual Meeting to present the business. Any ownership information shall be supplemented by the stockholder giving the notice not later than ten (10) days after the record date for the meeting as of the record date. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of our By-Laws, which can be found on our website at www.ecolab.com/investor/governance. If the presiding Chairperson of the Annual Meeting of Stockholders determines that business, or a nomination, was not brought before the meeting in accordance with the By-Law provisions, that business will not be transacted or the defective nomination will not be accepted.

**Deadline for Inclusion in the Proxy Statement** All proposals to be considered by the Board for inclusion in the Proxy Statement and form of proxy for next year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders expected to be held on May 3, 2012, must be received by the Corporate Secretary at our headquarters address, repeated at the top of page 1 of this Proxy Statement, no later than November 21, 2011.

**Deadline for Consideration** Stockholder proposals not included in a proxy statement for an annual meeting as well as proposed stockholder nominations for the election of directors at an annual meeting must each comply with advance notice procedures set forth in our By-Laws in order to be properly brought before that annual meeting of stockholders. In general, written notice of a stockholder proposal or a director nomination must be received by the Corporate Secretary not less than 120 days nor more than 150 days prior to the anniversary date of the preceding annual meeting of stockholders. With regard to next year's Annual Meeting of Stockholders, expected to be held on May 3, 2012, the written notice must be received between December 7, 2011 and January 6, 2012 inclusive.

**Director Nomination Process** Our Board's Governance Committee has, under its Charter, responsibility for director nominee functions, including review of any director nominee candidates recommended by stockholders. The Governance Committee has the authority to:

| Review and recommend to the Board of Directors policies for the composition of the Board, including such criteria as: |
|---|
| size of the Board;  |
| diversity of experience, employment, background and other relevant factors of Board members;                          |
| the proportion of the Board to be comprised of non-management directors;  |

#### **Table of Contents**

qualifications for new or continued membership on the Board, including experience, employment, background and other relevant considerations; and

director retirement requirements or standards.

Review any director nominee candidates recommended by stockholders.

Identify, interview and evaluate director nominee candidates and have sole authority to:

retain and terminate any search firm to be used to assist the Committee in identifying director candidates; and

approve the search firm's fees and other retention terms.

Recommend to the Board:

the slate of director nominees to be presented by the Board for election at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders;

the director nominees to fill vacancies on the Board; and

the members of each Board Committee.

Any stockholder nomination for directors must comply with the advance notice procedures set forth in Article II, Section 3 of our By-Laws. Under our By-Laws, to be in proper written form, the stockholder's notice to our Corporate Secretary must set forth as to each person whom the stockholder proposes to nominate for election as a director (i) the name, age, business address, residence address and record address of the person, (ii) the principal occupation or employment of the person, (iii) the number of shares owned beneficially or of record by the person, (iv) any information relating to the person that would be required to be disclosed in a proxy statement or other filings required to be made in connection with solicitations of proxies for election of directors pursuant to Section 14 of the "Exchange Act", and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, (v) the nominee holder for, and number of, shares owned beneficially but not of record by the person, (vi) whether and the extent to which any hedging or other transaction or series of transactions has been entered into by or on behalf of, has been made, the or intent of which is to mitigate loss to or manage risk or benefit of share price changes for, or to increase or decrease the voting power of, the person with respect to any share of stock of the Corporation, (vii) to the extent known, the name and address of any other stockholder supporting the nominee for election or reelection as a director on the date of such stockholder's notice, (viii) a description of all arrangements or understandings between or among persons pursuant to which the nomination(s) are to be made by the stockholder and (ix) a representation that the stockholder intends to appear in person or by proxy at the meeting to nominate the persons named in its notice. Any ownership information shall be supplemented by the stockholder giving the notice not later than ten (10) days after the record date for the meeting as of the record date. The notice must be accompanied by a written consent of the proposed nominee to being named as a nominee and to serve as a director if elected. No person shall be eligible for election as a director of the Company unless nominated in accordance with the foregoing procedures. This summary is qualified in its entirety by reference to the full text of our By-Laws, which can be found on our website at www.ecolab.com/investor/governance.

In terms of our principles for composition of the Board generally, and qualifications for director nominees specifically, we refer you to our Corporate Governance Principles, which can be

#### **Table of Contents**

found on our website at www.ecolab.com/investor/governance. Under these provisions, for example:

no more than three Board members will be from current management. These management members normally would be the Chief Executive Officer, the Chairman (if an employee of the Company and not the CEO) and the President (if an employee of the Company and not the CEO), but may be any other officer deemed appropriate by the Board;

it is desired that the members of the Board represent a geographical dispersion and variety of business disciplines so as to bring to the work of the Board a diversity of experience and background, with the predominance of members being chief or executive officers from different industries; and

a continuing effort is made to seek well-qualified women and minority group members for the Board, but these persons must be sought out and evaluated as individuals rather than as representatives of specific groups.

Other criteria relevant to service as a director of our Company are also set forth in our Corporate Governance Principles.

All directors are encouraged to submit to the Governance Committee the name of any person deemed qualified to serve on the Board, together with information on the candidate's qualifications. The Governance Committee screens and submits to the full Board the names and biographical information of those persons considered by the Committee to be viable candidates for election as directors. The same evaluation process and criteria are used by the Committee (i) for recommendations for director candidates submitted by stockholders in accordance with our Restated Certificate of Incorporation and By-Laws and (ii) for recommendations submitted by any other source, such as a director or a third-party search firm.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP

**Certain Beneficial Owners** The following table sets forth information as to entities which have reported to the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") or have advised us that they are a "beneficial owner," as defined by the SEC's rules and regulations, of more than 5% of our outstanding Common Stock.

| Title of<br>Class | Name and<br>Address<br>of Beneficial<br>Owner                      | Amount and<br>Nature of<br>Beneficial<br>Ownership | Percent<br>of<br>Class(1) |
|-------------------|--|--|---------------------------|
| Common            | BlackRock, Inc.<br>40 East<br>52nd Street<br>New York, NY<br>10022 | 12,755,657(2)                                      | 5.5%                      |

- (1) The percent of class is based on the number of voting shares outstanding as of March 8, 2011.
- Beneficial ownership of these shares as of December 31, 2010 was reported on a Schedule 13G filed February 4, 2011. According to such 13G, BlackRock, Inc. and its subsidiaries collectively report sole power to vote or direct the vote over 12,755,657 shares, and sole power to dispose or to direct the disposition of 12,755,657 shares.

**Executive Officers and Directors** In general, "beneficial ownership" includes those shares of our Common Stock which a director or executive officer has the power to vote or transfer, as well as stock options that are exercisable currently or within 60 days and stock underlying stock units that may be acquired within 60 days. On March 8, 2011, our current executive officers and directors beneficially owned, in the aggregate, 5,701,475 shares of Common Stock constituting approximately 2.4% of our shares outstanding. As required by SEC disclosure rules, "shares outstanding" for this purpose includes options exercisable within 60 days and stock underlying stock units that may be acquired within 60 days by such executive officers and directors. The detail of beneficial ownership is set forth in the following table.

| Name of Beneficial Owner      | Amount<br>and<br>Nature of<br>Beneficial<br>Ownership | Percentage of<br>Outstanding<br>Shares<br>Beneficially<br>Owned |  |  |
|-------------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Named Executive Officers      |   |   |  |  |
| Douglas M. Baker, Jr.         | 2,003,441(1)(2)                                       | *   |  |  |
| Steven L. Fritze              | 804,767(1)(2)   | *   |  |  |
| James J. Seifert              | 31,980(1)(2)  | *   |  |  |
| Phillip J. Mason              | 255,106(1)(2)   | *   |  |  |
| Thomas W. Handley             | 365,668(1)(2)   | *   |  |  |
| Directors                     |   |   |  |  |
| Barbara J. Beck               | 22,249(2)(3)  | *   |  |  |
| Leslie S. Biller              | 123,180(2)(3)(4)                                      | *   |  |  |
| Jerry A. Grundhofer           | 115,120(2)(3)(4)                                      | *   |  |  |
| Arthur J. Higgins             | 6,300(2)(3)   | *   |  |  |
| Joel W. Johnson               | 157,607(2)(3)   | *   |  |  |
| Jerry W. Levin                | 110,247(2)(3)   | *   |  |  |
| Robert L. Lumpkins            | 116,665(2)(3)   | *   |  |  |
| C. Scott O'Hara               | 10,109(2)(3)(4)                                       | *   |  |  |
| Victoria J. Reich             | 10,936(2)(3)(4)                                       | *   |  |  |
| John J. Zillmer               | 26,177(2)(3)  | *   |  |  |
| Current Directors and         |   |   |  |  |
| Executive Officers as a Group |   |   |  |  |
| (24 persons)                  | 5,701,475(4)  | 2.4%  |  |  |

Indicates beneficial ownership of less than 1% of our outstanding Common Stock.

- Includes the following shares held by officers in the Ecolab Savings Plan and ESOP as of the last Plan report: Mr. Baker, 9,521; Mr. Fritze, 23,256; Mr. Seifert, 0; Mr. Mason, 1,098; and Mr. Handley, 968.
- Includes the following shares which could be purchased under Company-granted stock options within 60 days from March 8, 2011 including, in the case of retirement-eligible officers, options vesting upon retirement from the Company: Mr. Baker, 1,785,685; Mr. Fritze, 709,011; Mr. Seifert, 0; Mr. Mason 250,000; Mr. Handley, 364,700; Ms. Beck, 14,700; Mr. Biller, 50,749; Mr. Grundhofer, 39,667; Mr. Higgins, 4,500; Mr. Johnson, 67,129; Mr. Levin, 72,347; Mr. Lumpkins, 84,408; Mr. O'Hara, 7,900; Ms. Reich, 6,700; and Mr. Zillmer,

23,000.

- Includes the following interests in stock units under our 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option and Deferred Compensation Plan: Ms. Beck, 7,549; Mr. Biller, 26,074; Mr. Grundhofer, 32,520; Mr. Higgins, 1,800; Mr. Johnson, 37,119; Mr. Levin, 25,724; Mr. Lumpkins, 23,086; Mr. O'Hara, 1,209; Ms. Reich, 3,236; and Mr. Zillmer, 3,777. The stock units are Common Stock equivalents which may not be voted or transferred. They are included in the table because in certain circumstances they will be paid in the form of Common Stock within 60 days after a director leaves the Board.
- Includes 96,400 shares held by or on behalf of family members of certain directors or executive officers; 15,000 shares of Mr. Grundhofer held in a foundation in which he has no economic interest; 46,357 shares of Mr. Biller, 9,171 shares of Mr. Lumpkins, 1,000 shares of Mr. O'Hara, and 1,000 shares of Ms. Reich held in trusts over which they have shared voting authority and/or shared power of disposition; 50,445 shares held for executive officers in Company-sponsored employee benefit plans as of the last plan reports; and 5,113,270 shares to which these persons have the right to acquire beneficial ownership within 60 days of March 8, 2011 including, in the case of retirement-eligible officers, options vesting upon retirement from the Company.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

**Corporate Governance Materials and Code of Conduct** Our Company is managed under the overall direction of our Board of Directors for the benefit of all stockholders. Written materials concerning policies of our Board of Directors, corporate governance principles and corporate ethics practices, including our Code of Conduct as last amended in 1995 and supplemented by our Code of Ethics for Senior Officers and Finance Associates adopted in 2003, are available on our website at www.ecolab.com/investor/governance.

We intend to promptly disclose on our website should there be any amendments to, or waivers by the Board of Directors of, the Code of Conduct or the Code of Ethics for Senior Officers and Finance Associates.

**Board Structure** Under our Restated Certificate of Incorporation, the number of directors is determined exclusively by the Board. Currently, the Board has fixed the number of directors at 11. Under our Corporate Governance Principles, the optimal size of the Board is between 11 and 15 members, in order to facilitate effective discussion and decision-making, adequate staffing of Board Committees, and a desired mix of diversified experience and background.

**Board Leadership Structure** Our Board of Directors is led by Douglas M. Baker, Jr., our Chairman, who is also our President and Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Baker was named President in 2002 and Chief Executive Officer in 2004. In 2006, upon the retirement of our former Chairman of the Board, Mr. Baker was elected by the Board as Chairman.

As stated in our Corporate Governance Principles, the Board believes that it is best not to have a fixed policy on whether the offices of Chairman and Chief Executive Officer are to be held by one person or not. In making the determination to appoint Mr. Baker to Chairman five years ago, the Board considered numerous factors, including the benefits to the decision-making process with a leader who is both Chairman and Chief Executive Officer, the significant operating experience and qualifications of Mr. Baker, the importance of deep Ecolab knowledge, which Mr. Baker's years at Ecolab have provided him, in exercising business judgment in leading the Board, the size and complexity of our business, the significant business experience and tenure of our directors and the qualifications and role of our Lead Director. Based on these factors, the Board determined that it was in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders to appoint Mr. Baker Chairman in addition to his duties as President and Chief Executive Officer.

In accordance with our Corporate Governance Principles, the Board has appointed the Chair of the Governance Committee, Jerry W. Levin, as Lead Director. Responsibilities of the Lead Director include presiding over meetings of the independent directors; acting as a liaison between the Chairman and the independent directors; review of information sent to the Board; review of meeting agendas for the Board; and review of meeting schedules to assure that there is sufficient time for discussion of all agenda items. The Lead Director may at his discretion also call meetings of the independent directors. Mr. Baker works closely with Mr. Levin to establish Board agendas and to ensure the smooth operation of the Board. Mr. Levin is particularly well qualified to serve as our Lead Director. He is independent and is our longest serving director, with 19 years of continuous service on the Board, so he has considerable knowledge of our business. As detailed in Mr. Levin's biography and qualifications on page 22, Mr. Levin also has extensive public company board experience. His long history with the Company, combined with his leadership skills and operating experience make him an effective Lead Director.

**Board's Role on Risk Oversight** The Board of Directors, in exercising its overall responsibility to direct the business and affairs of the Company, has established various processes and procedures with respect to risk management. First, annually as a core agenda item of the full Board, management presents the Board a comprehensive and detailed risk

#### **Table of Contents**

assessment for the Company after following a vigorous enterprise risk review and analysis. Pursuant to the risk assessment, the Company has categorized the most relevant risks as follows: strategic, operating, reporting and compliance. As part of the annual risk assessment, the Board determines whether any of the Company's overall risk management process or control procedures requires modification or enhancement.

Strategic risk, which relates to the Company properly defining and achieving its high-level goals and mission, as well as operating risk, the effective and efficient use of resources and pursuit of opportunities, is regularly monitored and managed by the full Board through the Board's regular and consistent review of the Company's operating performance and strategic plan. For example, at each of the Board's five regularly scheduled meetings throughout the year, management provided the Board presentations on the Company's various business units as well as the Company's performance as a whole. Agenda items were included for significant developments as appropriate, for example, significant acquisitions, important market developments and management succession. Pursuant to the Board's established monitoring procedures, Board approval is required for the Company's strategic plan and annual plan which is reported on by management at each Board meeting. Similarly, significant transactions, such as acquisitions and financings are brought to the Board for approval.

Reporting risk, which relates to the reliability of the Company's financial reporting, and compliance risk, relating to the Company's compliance with applicable laws and regulations, are primarily overseen by the Audit Committee. The Audit Committee meets at least five times per year and, pursuant to its charter and core agendas, receives input directly from management as well as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, regarding the Company's financial reporting process, internal controls and public filings. The Committee also receives regular updates from the Company's in-house attorneys regarding any Code of Conduct issues or legal compliance concerns and annually receives a summary of all Code of Conduct incidents during the preceding year from the General Counsel. See "Board Committees" Audit Committee" below for further information on how the Audit Committee fulfills, and assists the Board of Directors' oversight of, reporting and compliance risks.

The Company believes that its leadership structure, discussed in detail above, supports the risk oversight function of the Board. While the Company has a combined Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer, we have a Lead Director, strong directors chair the various Board Committees involved in risk oversight, there is open communication between management and directors, and all directors are actively involved in the risk oversight function.

Compensation Risk Analysis In November 2010, the Compensation Committee established a process and criteria for assessing risk in our compensation programs and directed management to apply that process and criteria to all compensation plans and practices that have the potential to give rise to behavior that creates risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company and to report the results to the Compensation Committee. As part of the process, the Company took the following steps to complete the assessment: (1) we developed a materiality framework for determining which compensation plans and practices to review; (2) we inventoried plans and practices that fell within the materiality framework; (3) we reviewed the identified plans and practices against our evaluation framework established in consultation with the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc.; (4) we identified factors, processes or procedures in place which may mitigate any risks in identified plans and practices; and (5) the Compensation Committee reviewed the results of the analysis with Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc. Our risk assessment revealed that our compensation programs do not create risks that are reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. In making this determination, we took into account the compensation mix for our employees as well as

#### **Table of Contents**

various risk control and mitigation features of our programs, including varied and balanced performance targets, review procedures for incentive pay calculations, appropriate incentive payout caps, the Company's rights to cancel incentive awards for employee misconduct, discretionary authority of the Compensation Committee to reduce award pay-outs, internal controls around customer and distributor pricing and contract terms, our stock ownership guidelines, prohibition on hedging Company stock and our compensation recovery ("clawback") policy.

**Director Attendance** There were seven meetings of the Board of Directors during the year ended December 31, 2010. Each incumbent director attended at least 88% of all Board meetings and meetings held by all Committees on which he or she served. Overall attendance at Board and Committee meetings was 93%. Directors are expected, but are not required, to attend our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. Eight of the ten directors then serving who were continuing to serve following the meeting attended last year's Annual Meeting.

**Board Committees** Our By-Laws permit the Board of Directors to designate Committees, each comprised of three or more directors, to assist the Board in carrying out its duties. The Board annually reviews its Committee structure as well as the Charter and composition of each Committee and makes modifications as necessary. The Board believes its current Committee structure, comprised of standing Audit, Compensation, Finance and Governance Committees, is appropriate. The Charters of these Committees are available on our website at www.ecolab.com/investor/governance. The Charters were last reviewed and approved by the Board in May 2010. The separately designated standing Audit Committee meets the requirements of Section 3(a)(58)(A) of the Exchange Act. The members of the Audit, Compensation and Governance Committees meet the "independence" and other requirements established by the rules and regulations of the SEC, the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "IRS Code"), the New York Stock Exchange and our Board, as applicable.

Audit Committee The Audit Committee members are Ms. Reich and Messrs. Higgins, Johnson (Chairman), Lumpkins (Vice Chairman) and O'Hara. The Committee met six times during 2010. In addition, the Committee Chair, as representative of the Committee, discussed the interim financial information contained in each quarterly earnings announcement for the first three calendar quarters of 2010 with our Chief Financial Officer, Controller and Assistant Controller and with our independent registered public accounting firm, prior to each of our quarterly earnings announcements. The Committee (and five of our other directors, as the full Board was invited to participate) met to discuss the financial information contained in the fourth quarter and full year 2010 earnings announcement prior to dissemination of that press release and it being furnished to the SEC on a Form 8-K in February 2011. The Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 was discussed by the Committee at its regularly scheduled February 2011 meeting.

The Committee fulfills, and assists the Board of Directors' oversight of, its responsibilities to monitor (i) the quality and integrity of our consolidated financial statements and management's financial control of operations; (ii) the qualifications, independence and performance of the independent accountants; (iii) the role and performance of the internal audit function; and (iv) our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements. The Committee meets regularly and privately with our management and internal auditors and with our independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

A report of the Audit Committee is found under the heading "Audit Committee Report" at page 64.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011

11

#### **Table of Contents**

The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Audit Committee is "independent" and meets the independence and other requirements of Sections 303A.02 and 303A.07(b) of the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange, and Rule 10A-3 under the Exchange Act, as well as of our Board. The Board has determined that each member of the Committee is an "audit committee financial expert" under the SEC's rules and should be so designated. Further, the Board has determined, in its business judgment, that each member of the Committee has "accounting and related financial management expertise" and is "financially literate" under the New York Stock Exchange's listing standards.

Compensation Committee The Compensation Committee members are Ms. Beck and Messrs. Biller, Grundhofer (Chair), Levin (Vice Chair) and Zillmer. The Committee met five times during the past year. The principal functions of this Committee are to (i) review and approve or recommend to the Board, as applicable, with respect to the establishment, amendment and administration of any compensation plans, benefits plans, severance arrangements and long-term incentives for directors and any executive officers (including the CEO); (ii) review and approve our overall compensation policy and annual executive salary plan, including CEO compensation; and (iii) administer our director stock option and deferred compensation plans, executive and employee stock incentive plans, stock purchase plans and cash incentive programs. The Committee may not delegate its primary responsibilities with respect to overseeing executive officer compensation.

To assist the Committee in the design and review of the executive and director compensation programs, the Committee has selected and retained Frederick W. Cook & Co., Inc. ("Cook & Co."), an independent compensation consulting firm, which reports directly to the Committee. As requested from time to time on behalf of the Committee, Cook & Co. provides the Committee with market data regarding various components of executive and director compensation, reviews methodology on which compensation is based and designed, and informs the Committee of market trends in executive and director compensation. Cook & Co. performs no services for us other than those performed on behalf of the Committee. A report by the Committee is located on page 30 of this Proxy Statement.

The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Compensation Committee meets the independence requirements of the SEC (including Rule 16b-3), the New York Stock Exchange, Section 162(m) of the IRS Code and of our Board.

**Finance Committee** The current Finance Committee members are Mses. Beck and Reich and Messrs. Biller (Chair), Grundhofer (Vice Chair) and O'Hara. The Committee met eight times during the past year. The principal functions of this Committee are to review and make recommendations to the Board concerning (i) management's financial and tax policies and standards; (ii) our financing requirements, including the evaluation of management's proposals concerning funding to meet such requirements; (iii) dividends; (iv) our capital expenditure budget; and (v) adequacy of insurance coverage. The Committee also evaluates specific acquisition, divestiture and capital expenditure projects from a financial standpoint. The Committee monitors our investor relations program and oversees a management committee which is charged with monitoring the performance of trust assets held in our benefit plans.

**Governance Committee** The Governance Committee members are Messrs. Higgins, Johnson, Levin (Chair), Lumpkins (Vice Chair) and Zillmer. The Committee met four times during the past year. Certain functions of the Governance Committee are described on pages 5 and 6 of this Proxy Statement under the heading "Director

(1)

Nomination Process." In addition, the principal functions of this Committee include: (i) lead the annual review of Board performance and effectiveness; (ii) review the Board's organizational structure and operations (including appointing a lead director for executive sessions of non-management directors) and its relationship to senior management; (iii) review issues of senior management succession; (iv) lead the annual Chief Executive Officer performance review and oversee the evaluation process for senior management; (v) review Certificate of Incorporation, By-Law or stockholder rights plan issues or changes in fundamental corporate charter provisions; (vi) review various corporate governance matters (including any necessary modifications to the Corporate Governance Principles); (vii) review and recommend to the Board with respect to director independence determinations and review, approve or ratify reportable related person transactions; (viii) receive reports from management with regard to relevant social responsibility issues and report to the Board as appropriate; (ix) review our Company's efforts to achieve its affirmative action and diversity goals; (x) review our Company's environmental practices, including progress and compliance with our Global Sustainability Principles; (xi) review director orientation, training and continuing education; and (xii) undertake special projects which do not fall within the jurisdiction of other committees of the Board.

The Board of Directors has determined that each member of the Governance Committee meets the "independence" requirements of the SEC, the New York Stock Exchange and of our Board.

Change

#### **DIRECTOR COMPENSATION FOR 2010**

**Director Compensation Table** The following table summarizes the compensation that our non-employee directors received during 2010.

|                      |           |           | :          |          |             |              |           |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------|------------|----------|-------------|--------------|-----------|
|                      |           |           |            |          | in          |              |           |
|                      | _         |           |            |          | Pension     |              |           |
|                      | Fees      |           |            |          | Value       |              |           |
|                      | Earned    |           | ľ          | Non-Equi | ty and      |              |           |
|                      | or Paid   |           |            | Incentiv | Nonqualifie | d            |           |
|                      | in        | Stock     | Option     | Plan     | Deferred    | All Other    |           |
|                      | Cash(1)   | Awards(2) | Awards(3Co | ompensat | idarnings   | Compensation | Total     |
| Name                 | (\$)      | (\$)      | (\$)       | (\$)     | (\$)        | (\$)         | (\$)      |
|                      | (,,       | (,,       | (,,        | (.,      | (.,         | (.,          | (.,)      |
| Barbara J. Beck      | \$85,000  | \$42,500  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$177,360 |
| Leslie S. Biller     | \$95,000  | \$42,500  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$187,360 |
| Richard U. De        |           |           |            |          |             |              |           |
| Schutter(4)          | \$32,357  | \$14,875  | \$13,380   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$60,612  |
| Jerry A. Grundhofer  | \$95,000  | \$42,500  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$187,360 |
| Arthur J. Higgins(5) | \$60,801  | \$27,944  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$138,605 |
| Joel W. Johnson      | \$100,000 | \$42,500  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | \$1,000      | \$193,360 |
| Jerry W. Levin       | \$100,000 | \$42,500  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$192,360 |
| Robert L. Lumpkins   | \$92,500  | \$42,500  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$184,860 |
| C. Scott O'Hara(6)   | \$92,500  | \$42,500  | \$87,532   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$222,532 |
| Beth M. Pritchard(4) | \$29,750  | \$14,875  | \$13,528   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$58,153  |
| Victoria J. Reich(7) | \$92,500  | \$42,500  | \$74,236   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$209,236 |
| John J. Zillmer      | \$87,607  | \$42,500  | \$49,860   | 0        | 0           | 0            | \$179,967 |

Represents annual retainer of \$85,000 earned during 2010, plus additional fees paid to the respective Chairs of Board Committees and to members of the Audit Committee; includes retainer and fees, if any, deferred at the election of directors pursuant to the 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option and Deferred Compensation

Plan (the "2001 Plan"), as described in footnote (2) below. The dollar amount of retainer and fees deferred by applicable directors during 2010 is as follows: Ms. Beck, \$85,000; Mr. Grundhofer, \$95,000; Mr. Higgins, \$60,801; Mr. Johnson, \$100,000; Mr. Lumpkins, \$92,500; Ms. Pritchard, \$14,875; and Ms. Reich, \$92,500.

(2)
Represents the crediting by the Company of \$42,500 (or a pro rata portion thereof) to a deferred stock unit account under the 2001 Plan during 2010. The features of the deferred stock unit account are described under footnote (3) to

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 13

the "Security Ownership Executive Officers and Directors" table at page 8 and the director compensation deferral paragraph under the "Summary" heading at page 14.

Represents the full grant date fair value of each option award, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The value has been determined by application of the lattice (binomial)-pricing model, based upon the terms of the option grant to directors. Mr. De Schutter and Ms. Pritchard received reload options totaling 2,364 shares (valued at \$13,380) and 2,182 shares (valued at \$13,528), respectively. The issuance of these reload options were not new discretionary grants by the Company, rather the issuance results from rights that were granted as part of their original option grants made under the 2001 Plan. The respective reload options are immediately exercisable in full and expire on the expiration date of their original grant. The reload feature was eliminated for new grants under the 2001 Plan as amended effective May 2004. Director stock options granted in May 2010 to all of the directors other than Mr. De Schutter and Ms. Pritchard have a ten-year contractual exercise term and vest 25% at the end of each three-month period following the date of grant. Key assumptions include: risk-free rate of return; expected life of the option, expected stock price volatility and expected dividend yield. The specific assumptions used in the valuation of these options is summarized in the table below:

| Grant Date               | Risk Free<br>Rate | Expected<br>Life | Expected<br>Volatility | Expected<br>Dividend<br>Yield |
|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------|------------------------|-------------------------------|
| 04/19/2010 (De Schutter) | 1.01%             | 2.03 years       | 23.31%                 | 1.27%                         |
| 04/20/2010 (Pritchard)   | 1.10%             | 2.01 years       | 23.31%                 | 1.27%                         |
| 05/06/2010               | 2.53%             | 6.15 years       | 23.30%                 | 1.31%                         |

As of December 31, 2010, the aggregate number of stock options held by each director named in the table above is as follows: Ms. Beck, 14,700; Mr. Biller, 50,749; Mr. De Schutter, 33,164; Mr. Grundhofer, 39,667; Mr. Higgins, 4,500; Mr. Johnson, 67,129; Mr. Levin, 72,347; Mr. Lumpkins, 84,408; Mr. O'Hara, 7,900; Ms. Pritchard, 32,982; Ms. Reich, 6,700 and Mr. Zillmer, 23,000.

- Mr. De Schutter and Ms. Pritchard each retired from the Board in May 2010 and received a pro-rated portion of compensation for 2010.
- (5) Mr. Higgins was elected to the Board in May 2010, and received a pro-rated portion of retainer, fees and stock units for 2010.
- Mr. O'Hara received an initial stock option grant in May 2010 with a grant date fair value of \$37,672 to reflect his pro-rated service commencing in August 2009, as well as his periodic stock option grant valued at \$49,860.
- (7)
  Ms. Reich received an initial stock option grant in May 2010 with a grant date fair value of \$24,376 to reflect her pro-rated service commencing in November 2009, as well as her periodic stock option grant valued at

\$49,860.

**Summary** During 2010, members of the Board of Directors who are not employees of the Company were entitled to receive base annual compensation valued at \$182,500 as follows:

An annual retainer of \$85,000;

\$42,500 annually in the form of stock units (which are described under footnote (3) to the "Security Ownership Executive Officers and Directors" table at page 8 and the director compensation deferral paragraph below); and

Stock options having a grant date fair value of approximately \$55,000.

Chairs of the Board's Compensation and Finance Committees each received an additional fee of \$10,000 per year. The Chair of the Governance Committee, in his capacity as Lead Director, received \$15,000. The Chair of the Audit Committee received an additional \$15,000 fee and other Audit Committee members received \$7,500 each. All reasonable travel, telephone and other expenses incurred by directors on behalf of Ecolab were reimbursed.

Non-employee directors may elect to defer some, or all, of the cash portion of their annual retainer and additional fees in a cash account or a deferred stock unit account until cessation of Board service. Amounts deferred in the cash account earn interest at market rates and amounts deferred in the stock unit account are credited with dividend equivalents. Upon cessation of Board service, deferred amounts (whether in the interest-bearing account or in the stock unit account) are paid in a lump sum or in equal installments to a maximum of ten years as elected by the director. Amounts deferred after January 1, 2005 must be paid in a lump sum. A distribution from a cash account will be made in cash only and a distribution from a stock unit account will be made in shares of Common Stock only. The aggregate number of

#### **Table of Contents**

stock units held by each non-employee director is set forth under footnote (3) to the "Security Ownership Executive Officers and Directors" table at page 8.

Director stock option grants are made on the date of the Annual Meeting of Stockholders, and have an exercise price which is the average of the high and low market price on the date of grant. We believe that the use of the average of the high and low market price on the date of the grant removes same day stock volatility. We do not have a program, plan, or practice to time stock option grants to directors in coordination with the release of material non-public information. Director stock options vest 25% at the end of each three-month period following the grant date.

The options granted to directors under the 2001 Plan may be transferred to defined family members or legal entities established for their benefit, and with respect to options granted through May 2004, provide for a one-time automatic grant of a reload stock option if the optionee exercises the original stock option by tendering shares of previously owned Common Stock of the Company. The reload stock option is for the same number of shares tendered to exercise the original stock option and the number of shares required to be withheld to satisfy minimum statutory tax obligations, has an exercise price equal to the fair market value of our Common Stock on the reload grant date, and is immediately exercisable at any time during the remaining exercise term of the original stock option. The reload feature under the 2001 Plan was eliminated in 2004.

Stock Retention and Ownership Guidelines We have in place stock retention and ownership guidelines to encourage our directors to accumulate a significant ownership stake so they are vested in maximizing long-term stockholder returns. Our guidelines provide that our directors own Company stock with a market value of at least five times the annual retainer. Until the stock ownership guideline is met, the director is expected to retain 100% of all after-tax profit shares from stock option exercises. For purposes of complying with our guidelines, stock is not considered owned if subject to an unexercised stock option. Shares owned outright, legally or beneficially, by a director or his or her immediate family members residing in the same household and deferred stock units in the director's deferral plan count towards meeting the guidelines. Our directors may not enter into any risk hedging arrangements with respect to Company stock. Our directors are in compliance with our guidelines by either having achieved the ownership guideline or, if the guideline is not yet achieved, by retaining 100% of all after-tax profit shares from any stock option exercises.

Changes Effective in 2010 The Committee reviews our compensation program for non-employee directors annually; however, it is our general practice to consider adjustments to our program every other year. Based upon the recommendation of the Compensation Committee's independent consultant, Frederic W. Cook & Co., Inc., we made the following two changes effective as of January 1, 2010: (1) increased the annual cash retainer from \$70,000 to \$85,000 and (2) increased the stock unit award from \$35,000 to \$42,500. The changes to the annual cash retainer and stock unit awards increase total annual director compensation from \$160,000 per year to \$182,500 per year, excluding committee retainers, and is within the median range of our competitive market. For director compensation, we define our competitive market as the Standard & Poor's Materials Sector and the median range as within 10% of the median for total annual director compensation. The companies comprising the Standard & Poor's Materials Sector are set forth at page 35 of this Proxy Statement. This increase is designed to keep the total annual director compensation within the median range for the next two years.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 15

#### DIRECTOR INDEPENDENCE STANDARDS AND DETERMINATIONS

"Independence" Standards Pursuant to the Board of Directors' policy a director is not independent if:

- (i)

  The director is, or has been within the last three years, an employee of the Corporation, or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, an executive officer, of the Corporation.
- (ii)

  The director has received, or has an immediate family member who has received, during any twelve-month period within the last three years, more than \$120,000 in direct compensation from the Corporation, other than director and committee fees and pension or other forms of deferred compensation for prior service (provided such compensation is not contingent in any way on continued service).
- (iii)

  (A) The director is a current partner or employee of a firm that is the Corporation's internal or external auditor; (B) the director has an immediate family member who is a current partner of such a firm; (C) the director has an immediate family member who is a current employee of such a firm and personally works on the Corporation's audit; or (D) the director or an immediate family member was within the last three years a partner or employee of such a firm and personally worked on the Corporation's audit within that time.
- (iv)

  The director or an immediate family member is, or has been within the last three years, employed as an executive officer of another company where any of the Corporation's present executive officers at the same time serves or served on that company's compensation committee.
- (v)

  The director is a current employee, or an immediate family member is a current executive officer, of a company that has made payments to, or received payments from, the Corporation for property or services in an amount which, in any of the last three fiscal years, exceeds the greater of \$1 million, or 2% of such other company's consolidated gross revenues.

The Board of Directors' independence policy is also available on our website at www.ecolab.com/investor/governance/BoardofDirectors.asp.

"Independence" Determinations In February 2011, the Governance Committee undertook a review of director independence by examining the nature and magnitude of transactions and relationships during 2010, 2009 and 2008 between each director serving during 2010 or director nominee, as the case may be (or any member of his or her immediate family or the company he or she is employed by and its subsidiaries and affiliates), and Ecolab, its subsidiaries and affiliates. Appropriate scrutiny is given to any situation which could be reasonably considered a material relationship. Both the existence and nature of the relationship are considered. The relationships include, among others, commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships. Ecolab also endeavors to identify, quantify and evaluate ordinary course commercial transactions between Ecolab and any company that employs a director or director nominee, including subsidiaries and affiliates of the company. The Board's Governance Committee has reviewed the following immaterial transactions between certain directors' companies and Ecolab, and determined that none of the transactions exceeds the Board's categorical "independence" standards described above, or adversely affects the director's "independence" status.

**Barbara J. Beck**, a director, was an Executive Vice President of Manpower, Inc., a world leader in the employment services industry until February 28, 2011. During 2010,

#### **Table of Contents**

Ecolab's sales to Manpower and its affiliates were approximately \$3,000. In addition, during 2010, Ecolab purchased services in the amount of approximately \$873,000 from Manpower and its affiliates. Ecolab believes all sales to and purchases from Manpower were made in the ordinary course, at arm's length, and at prices and on terms customarily available. Further, the director had no personal interest in, nor received any personal benefit from, such commercial transactions.

**C. Scott O'Hara**, a director, is an Executive Vice President of H.J. Heinz Company, a food producer and marketer. During 2010, Ecolab's sales to Heinz and its affiliates were approximately \$7,124,000. In addition, during 2010, Ecolab purchased products in the amount of approximately \$122,000 from Heinz and its affiliates. Ecolab believes all sales to and purchases from Heinz were made in the ordinary course, at arm's length, and at prices and on terms customarily available. Further, the director had no personal interest in, nor received any personal benefit from, such commercial transactions.

**Victoria J. Reich**, a director, is the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Stationers Inc., a wholesale distributor of business products. During 2010, Ecolab's sales to United Stationers and its affiliates were approximately \$872,000. Ecolab believes all sales to United Stationers were made in the ordinary course, at arm's length, and at prices and on terms customarily available. Further, the director had no personal interest in, nor received any personal benefit from, such commercial transactions.

**John J. Zillmer,** a director, is the Chief Executive Officer of Univar Inc., a distributor of industrial chemicals. During 2010, Ecolab's sales to Univar and its affiliates were approximately \$37,000. In addition, during 2010, Ecolab purchased products from Univar and its affiliates in the amount of approximately \$28,260,000. Ecolab believes all sales to and purchases from Univar were made in the ordinary course, at arm's length, and at prices and on terms customarily available. Further, the director had no personal interest in, nor received any personal benefit from, such commercial transactions.

Based on the review of the Governance Committee, the Board of Directors has determined that the following directors, including those on the slate of nominees for election to the Board at this year's Annual Meeting (other than Mr. Baker), are, and have been since January 1, 2010, independent in accordance with the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange, the rules and regulations of the SEC, applicable law, and the Board's "independence" policy: Barbara J. Beck, Leslie S. Biller, Jerry A. Grundhofer, Arthur J. Higgins, Joel W. Johnson, Jerry W. Levin, Robert L. Lumpkins, C. Scott O'Hara, Victoria J. Reich and John J. Zillmer. In addition, based on such review, the Board of Directors also determined that former directors Richard U. De Schutter and Beth M. Pritchard were, from January 1, 2010 until their respective retirement from the Board of Directors in May 2010, independent under such standards, rules and policies.

The Board determined that Douglas M. Baker, Jr. is not "independent," due to his status as the current Chief Executive Officer.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### RELATED PERSON TRANSACTIONS

The Governance Committee of the Board of Directors is responsible for reviewing, approving or ratifying transactions in excess of \$120,000 with the Company's executive officers or directors, including their immediate family members, or any greater than 5% stockholder known to us. Our practices and procedures for identifying transactions with related persons are located in the charter of the Governance Committee. The Governance Committee considers the related person's relationship to the Company and interest in the transaction; the material facts of the transaction, including the proposed aggregate value of such transaction; the benefits to the Company of the proposed related person transaction; if applicable, the availability of other sources of comparable products or services; an assessment of whether the proposed related person transaction is on terms that are comparable to the terms available to an unrelated third party or to employees; and such other factors and information as the Governance Committee may deem appropriate. The Governance Committee determined that there were no such transactions with related persons during 2010, nor any currently anticipated transactions.

#### **Table of Contents**

#### PROPOSAL 1: ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Our Board of Directors currently consists of 11 members. The Board of Directors is divided into three classes. Prior to the 2010 amendment of our Restated Certificate of Incorporation ("Restated Certificate") with respect to the classification of the Board, the members of each class were elected to serve a three-year term with the terms of office of each class ending in successive years. Pursuant to the Restated Certificate, as of the 2013 Annual Meeting of Stockholders, our Board will no longer be classified and each director will be subject to annual election. With respect to Class I Directors being nominated for election at the Annual Meeting, the nominees, if elected, will serve a two-year term ending as of the 2013 Annual Meeting expected to be held in May 2013. The directors of Class II and Class III will continue in office.

Pursuant to the recommendation of the Governance Committee, Ms. Beck and Messrs. Baker, Levin and Lumpkins were nominated by the Board of Directors for election as Class I Directors. The Board of Directors has no reason to believe that any of the named nominees is not available or will not serve if elected.

**Board of Directors' Recommendation** The Board of Directors recommends a vot**FOR** the election of the four nominees named in this Proxy Statement. Unless a contrary choice is specified, proxies solicited by our Board of Directors will be voted **FOR** the four nominees named in this Proxy Statement.

The following information with regard to business experience and directorships has been furnished by the respective directors or nominees or obtained from our records.

# NOMINEES FOR ELECTION TO THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS CLASS I (FOR A TERM ENDING 2013)

DOUGLAS M. BAKER, JR., age 52.

**Biography** Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of Ecolab. Director of Ecolab since 2004.

Since joining Ecolab in 1989, Mr. Baker has held various leadership positions within our Institutional, Europe and Kay operations. Mr. Baker was named Ecolab's President and Chief Operating Officer in August 2002, was promoted to President and Chief Executive Officer in July 2004, and added the position of Chairman of the Board in May 2006. Prior to joining Ecolab in 1989, Mr. Baker was employed by The Procter & Gamble Company in various marketing and management positions.

**Qualifications** Mr. Baker has more than 20 years of Ecolab marketing, sales and general management experience, including leadership roles in Ecolab's Institutional, Europe and Kay businesses before becoming Ecolab's Chief Operating Officer in 2002 and Chief Executive Officer in 2004. He has deep and direct knowledge of Ecolab's businesses and operations. In addition, his experience at The Procter & Gamble Company included various marketing and management positions, including in the institutional market in which Ecolab operates.

Other directorships held during the past five years Director of U.S. Bancorp.

#### **Table of Contents**

BARBARA J. BECK, age 50.

**Biography** Chief Executive Officer, Learning Care Group, Inc., a leading for-profit early education/child care provider in North America. Director of Ecolab since February 2008. Member of the Compensation and Finance Committees.

Prior to joining Learning Care Group in March 2011, Ms. Beck spent nine years as an executive of Manpower Inc., a world leader in the employment services industry. From 2006 to 2011, Ms. Beck was President of Manpower's EMEA operations, overseeing Europe (excluding France), the Middle East and Africa. She previously served as Executive Vice President of Manpower's U.S. and Canada business unit from 2002 to 2005. Prior to joining Manpower, Ms. Beck was an executive of Sprint, a global communications company, serving in various operating and leadership roles for 15 years.

**Qualifications** Ms. Beck has extensive North American and European general management and operational experience, including as a current CEO, allowing her to contribute to Ecolab's strategic vision particularly as it relates to Europe, the Middle East and Africa. With her Manpower knowledge of the impact of labor market trends on global and local economies combined with her knowledge of employment services, which tends to be a leading economic indicator, she provides timely insight into near-term projections of general economic activity. As an executive at Sprint, Ms. Beck obtained experience in the information technology field which is relevant to Ecolab's development of its ERP systems as well as field automation tools.

Other directorships held during the past five years None.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 21

#### **Table of Contents**

JERRY W. LEVIN, age 66.

**Biography** Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Wilton Brands Inc., a consumer products company. Also Chairman of JW Levin Partners LLC, a private investment and advisory firm. Director of Ecolab since 1992. Lead Director, Chairman of the Governance Committee and Vice Chair of the Compensation Committee.

Mr. Levin served in a number of senior executive positions with The Pillsbury Company from 1974 through 1989. In 1989, he joined MacAndrews & Forbes Holdings, Inc. which controlled Revlon, Inc. and The Coleman Company, among other companies. From 1989 through 1997, Mr. Levin served in various capacities at the Coleman Company, Inc., Revlon, Inc., Revlon Consumer Products Corporation and the Cosmetic Center, Inc., including as Chairman and/or Chief Executive Officer. Mr. Levin served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of American Household, Inc. (formerly known as Sunbeam Corporation) from 1998 to 2005. He joined the Board of Sharper Image in July 2006, and served as interim CEO from September 2006 to April 2007. He became Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Wilton Brands in 2009.

**Qualifications** Mr. Levin has more than 30 years of public company operating experience, including as Chairman and/or Chief Executive Officer of Coleman, Revlon and American Household, and has served on numerous public company boards. In addition to his experience leading companies, he has a background and expertise in mergers and acquisitions, which allows him to provide the company guidance and counsel for its acquisition program. He has experience in operating companies in diverse industries, giving him a unique perspective to provide advice to the Company regarding its many operating units. In addition, with 19 years on Ecolab's Board, Mr. Levin is our longest serving director and has developed a deep knowledge of our business. His long history with the Company, combined with his leadership skills and operating experience, makes him particularly well suited to be our Lead Director.

**Other directorships held during the past five years** Director of Saks Incorporated and U.S. Bancorp. Formerly a director of American Household, Inc., Sharper Image and Wendy's Inc.

#### **Table of Contents**

ROBERT L. LUMPKINS, age 67.

**Biography** Chairman of the Board of The Mosaic Company, a leading producer and marketer of crop and animal nutrition products and services. Director of Ecolab since 1999. Vice Chair of the Audit Committee and Vice Chair of the Governance Committee.

Mr. Lumpkins, who retired as Vice Chairman and a director of Cargill Inc. in 2006, began his career with the company in 1968, and served in various finance and general management positions. Named President of the Financial Services Division in 1983 and Chief Financial Officer for Cargill Europe in 1988. Served as Chief Financial Officer of Cargill from 1989 to 2005, and elected to Cargill's Board of Directors in 1991. Elected Vice Chairman in 1995.

**Qualifications** Mr. Lumpkins' nearly 40-year career at Cargill, a large and diverse global industrial company, which operates in the food industry and chemicals industry, provides him with background in two industries relevant to Ecolab. His service in various domestic and international senior operating and financial roles at Cargill, including as Chief Financial Officer, allows him to contribute both strategic direction and sophisticated financial management advice to the Company. As Chairman of the Board of Mosaic, he also has current experience leading a public company Board.

Other directorships held during the past five years Director of Airgas, Inc., and The Mosaic Company. Formerly a director of Cargill Inc. and Webdigs Inc.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 23

# MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE CLASS II (TERM ENDING 2012)

LESLIE S. BILLER, age 62.

**Biography** Chairman of the Board of Sterling Financial Corporation, the bank holding company for Sterling Savings Bank, a Pacific Northwest regional community bank. Also, Chief Executive Officer of Greendale Capital, LLC, a private investment and consultive company. Director of Ecolab since 1997. Chairman of the Finance Committee and member of the Compensation Committee.

After holding various positions with Citicorp and Bank of America, Mr. Biller joined Norwest Corporation in 1987 as Executive Vice President in charge of strategic planning and acquisitions for Norwest Banking. Appointed Executive Vice President in charge of South Central Community Banking in 1990. Mr. Biller served as President and Chief Operating Officer of Norwest Corporation from February 1997 until its merger with Wells Fargo & Company in November 1998. Mr. Biller retired as Vice Chairman and Chief Operating Officer of Wells Fargo & Company in October 2002. He became Chairman of Sterling Financial Corporation in 2010.

**Qualifications** Throughout his career in banking, including as Vice Chair and Chief Operating Officer of Wells Fargo, Mr. Biller gained extensive public company senior management and board experience. Having spent a significant part of his career in international assignments in Europe, he is familiar with operating businesses in that region, which allows him to provide advice and guidance relevant to our significant European operations. He has extensive knowledge and experience in banking, treasury and finance, which enables him to provide insight and advice on financing, treasury and enterprise risk management areas. As a chemical engineer, he is familiar with chemicals manufacturing and distribution, which allows him to relate well to our operations.

**Other directorships held during the past five years** Director of Sterling Financial Corporation, Knowledge Schools Inc. and Knowledge Universe Education. Formerly a director of PG&E Corporation.

## **Table of Contents**

## JERRY A. GRUNDHOFER, age 66.

**Biography** Chairman of the Board of Citibank, N.A. and Chairman Emeritus and retired Chairman of the Board of U.S. Bancorp, a financial services holding company. Director of Ecolab since 1999. Chairman of the Compensation Committee and Vice Chair of the Finance Committee.

Following an extensive career in the commercial banking industry, including serving as Vice Chairman of the Board of BankAmerica Corporation, Mr. Grundhofer joined Star Banc Corporation as President and Chief Executive Officer in 1993, assuming the Chairman post in December 1993. In November 1998, Star Banc acquired Firstar Corporation and he assumed the position of President and Chief Executive Officer of Firstar Corporation. In 2001, following a merger of Firstar Corporation and U.S. Bancorp, Mr. Grundhofer was named President and CEO of U.S. Bancorp and added the position of Chairman of the Board in 2003. Mr. Grundhofer retired as CEO in 2006, and as Chairman of the Board in December 2007.

**Qualifications** Mr. Grundhofer has more than 40 years leadership experience in the banking and financial services industry, including as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of U.S. Bancorp. His senior operating experience and public company board experience give him an understanding for leading a public company and allow him to provide strategic vision to the company. He has extensive knowledge and experience in banking, treasury and finance, which enables him to provide insight and advice on financing, treasury and enterprise risk management areas. He also possesses extensive experience with mergers and acquisitions.

**Other directorships held during the past five years** Director of Citigroup and Citibank, N.A. Formerly a director of U.S. Bancorp, Lehman Brothers Inc. and The Midland Company.

## **Table of Contents**

## VICTORIA J. REICH, age 53.

**Biography** Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of United Stationers Inc., a broad line wholesale distributor of business products. Ms. Reich has announced plans to step down from this position and leave United Stationers by the end of 2011. Director of Ecolab since November 2009. Member of the Audit and Finance Committees.

Prior to joining United Stationers in 2007, Ms. Reich spent ten years as an executive with Brunswick Corporation, last serving as President Brunswick European Group, and previously as Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer. Before joining Brunswick, Ms. Reich was employed for 17 years at General Electric Company in various financial management positions.

**Qualifications** As a Chief Financial Officer of a public company, Ms. Reich possesses relevant financial leadership experience with respect to all financial management disciplines relevant to the company, including public reporting, strategic planning, treasury, IT and financial analysis. Her financial management background at United Stationers, Brunswick and General Electric, combined with her experience in European general management at Brunswick, enables her to provide strategic input as well as financial discipline. United Stationers operates a cleaning supplies distribution business which provides Ms. Reich familiarity with the institutional market, our largest end-market.

Other directorships held during the past five years None.

## **Table of Contents**

JOHN J. ZILLMER, age 55.

**Biography** Chief Executive Officer of Univar Inc., a global distributor of industrial chemicals and related specialty services. Director of Ecolab since 2006. Member of the Compensation and Governance Committees.

Prior to joining Univar in 2009, Mr. Zillmer served as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Allied Waste Industries, a solid waste management business, from 2005 until the merger of Allied Waste with Republic Services, Inc. in December 2008. Before Allied Waste, Mr. Zillmer spent thirty years in the managed services industry, most recently as Executive Vice President of ARAMARK Corporation, a provider of food, uniform and support services. During his eighteen-year career with ARAMARK, Mr. Zillmer served as President of ARAMARK's Business Services division, the International division and the Food and Support Services group. Prior to joining ARAMARK, Mr. Zillmer was employed by Szabo Food Services until Szabo was acquired by ARAMARK in 1986.

**Qualifications** As Chief Executive Officer of Univar and previously Allied Waste, Mr. Zillmer has experience leading both public and large private companies. With Univar, he is intimately familiar with the chemical market, including with respect to chemicals that Ecolab uses to manufacture its products. He also has extensive knowledge of the environmental aspects of chemicals manufacturing and distribution. His experience leading various ARAMARK operations have given him deep knowledge of the institutional market, particularly the contract catering segment, which is a large market for the company. His roles on the boards of Reynolds American, Allied Waste and United Stationers have provided him with significant public company board experience.

Other directorships held during the past five years Director of Reynolds American Inc. Formerly a director of Allied Waste Industries, Inc., Casella Waste Systems, Inc., Pathmark Stores Inc. and United Stationers Inc.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011

27

# MEMBERS OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS CONTINUING IN OFFICE CLASS III (TERM ENDING 2013)

ARTHUR J. HIGGINS, age 55

**Biography** Consultant, Blackstone Healthcare Partners of The Blackstone Group. Director of Ecolab since 2010. Member of the Audit and Governance Committees.

Until 2010, Mr. Higgins served as Chairman of the Board of Management of Bayer HealthCare AG, a developer and manufacturer of human and animal health products, and Chairman of the Bayer HealthCare Executive Committee. Prior to joining Bayer HealthCare in 2004, Mr. Higgins served as Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Inc. from 2001 to 2004. Prior to joining Enzon Pharmaceuticals, Mr. Higgins spent 14 years with Abbott Laboratories, most recently as President of the Pharmaceutical Products Division from 1998 to 2001. He is a past member of the Board of Directors of the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America (PhRMA), of the Council of the International Federation of Pharmaceutical Manufacturers and Associations (IFPMA) and President of the European Federation of Pharmaceutical Industries and Associations (EFPIA).

**Qualifications** Mr. Higgins has extensive leadership experience in the global healthcare market. Through leadership positions with large healthcare developers and manufacturers in both the United States and Europe, Mr. Higgins has gained deep knowledge of the healthcare market and the strategies for developing and marketing products in this highly regulated area. This knowledge and industry background allows him to provide valuable insight to Ecolab's growing Healthcare business, which is developing in both the U.S. and Europe. In addition, his global perspective from years of operating global businesses and his background in working with high growth companies fits well with Ecolab's ambitions for global growth and provide him experiences from which to draw to advise the Company on strategies for sustainable growth. In his role as Chief Executive Officer of Bayer HealthCare he has gained significant exposure to enterprise risk management as well as quality and operating risk management necessary in a highly regulated industry such as healthcare.

Other directorships held during the past five years Director of Zimmer Inc. and Resverlogix Corp.

## **Table of Contents**

JOEL W. JOHNSON, age 67.

**Biography** Retired Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Hormel Foods Corporation, a processor and marketer of meat and food products. Director of Ecolab since 1996. Chairman of the Audit Committee and member of the Governance Committee.

Following an extensive career at General Foods Corporation, Mr. Johnson joined Hormel Foods Corporation in 1991 as Executive Vice President Sales & Marketing. Advanced to President in 1992, Chief Operating Officer and Chief Executive Officer in 1993 and Chairman of the Board in 1995.

**Qualifications** Mr. Johnson's tenure as Chairman and Chief Executive Officer of Hormel Foods, a public company with global operations, provides him with directly relevant operating experience. As the former leader of a food products company, Mr. Johnson has insights into one of Ecolab's major end-markets. In addition, with Hormel, he has experience with and understanding of the complexities of operating a global manufacturing company in a regulated environment like the one in which Ecolab operates (e.g., EPA, FDA and USDA). His roles on the boards of Hormel, Meredith Corporation and U.S. Bancorp have provided him with significant public company board experience.

**Other directorships held during the past five years** Director of the Meredith Corporation and U.S. Bancorp. Formerly a director of Hormel Foods Corporation.

#### C. SCOTT O'HARA, age 49.

**Biography** Executive Vice President of H.J. Heinz Company, a leading producer and marketer of healthy and convenient foods. Director of Ecolab since August 2009. Member of the Audit and Finance Committees.

Mr. O'Hara was named President and Chief Executive Officer of Heinz North America in July 2009. He previously served as Heinz's Executive Vice President Europe and formerly as Executive Vice president Asia Pacific/Rest of World. Prior to Joining H.J. Heinz Company in 2006, Mr. O'Hara was an executive of the Gillette Company serving in various global operating and management roles for 14 years.

**Qualifications** In his leadership roles at Heinz and Gillette, Mr. O'Hara has gained significant experience operating businesses in Europe, Asia and Latin America. His international experiences running manufacturing operations for public companies allow him to provide strategic input with respect to Ecolab's significant international operations, which in 2010 accounted for approximately 48% of our sales. In addition, running major portions of Heinz's operations, first in Europe and more recently in North America, gives Mr. O'Hara knowledge of the food industry, which is one of our major end-markets.

Other directorships held during the past five years None.

## **EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION**

#### COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

The Compensation Committee has reviewed and discussed the following Compensation Discussion and Analysis of the Company with management. Based on their review and discussion, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, the inclusion of the Compensation Discussion and Analysis in both the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, and the Company's Proxy Statement for the Annual Meeting of Stockholders to be held May 5, 2011.

Dated: February 25, 2011 Barbara J. Beck Jerry W. Levin Les S. Biller John J. Zillmer

Jerry A. Grundhofer

## COMPENSATION DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS

#### INTRODUCTION AND OVERVIEW

The Company's compensation programs have contributed to its strong growth and returns over the past decade. The mix of annual salary, annual cash incentive bonus and long-term incentives, as more fully described in this Compensation Discussion and Analysis, has motivated executives to meet the Company's annual growth targets (in most years, strong revenue and operating income growth accompanied by double-digit EPS growth) while balancing necessary investments in the business in order to achieve attractive, long-term shareholder returns. Evidence of the Company's consistently strong performance can be seen in both our financial performance and stock appreciation over the past decade. For the ten-year period from January 1, 2001 to December 31, 2010, the Company's sales have increased 162% and its earnings per share have increased 228%. During this same ten-year period, our stock price has appreciated 134% versus the S&P 500's 5% decline. More recently, 2010 reported sales and earnings per share increased 3% and 28%, respectively, with adjusted EPS (adjusted to eliminate the impact of special gains and charges and discrete tax items) increasing 12% over 2009. Our 2010 share performance (up 13.1% for the year) has outperformed the S&P 500 for the seventh consecutive year and nine of the last ten years.

**Highlights** Some of the key components of our compensation programs that have contributed to our successful track record of business results are briefly highlighted below and discussed in more detail throughout this Compensation Discussion and Analysis:

Pay-for-Performance and Pay Risk Philosophy. We emphasize pay-for-performance and structure our programs to provide incentives for executives to drive business and financial results. We believe that the pay of our executives, particularly our principal executive officer, correlates well with our total shareholder returns; and while our incentive programs help to drive results, they do so without encouraging excessive risk taking that would threaten the long-term growth of our business (see "Annual Cash Incentives" on page 37, "Long-term Equity Incentives" on page 40 and "Corporate Governance Compensation Risk Analysis" on page 10);

Compensation Mix. We utilize a mix of compensation elements—salary, annual cash incentive bonus and long-term incentives—that is within the median range for the mix of executive compensation provided by the market survey data we review in determining compensation (see "Total Compensation Mix" on page 43);

## **Table of Contents**

Base Salary Philosophy. We provide executives with competitive base salaries that are within the median range of our size-adjusted competitive market (see "Base Salaries" on page 36);

2010 Salary and Incentive Pay Statistics. With respect to the 2010 compensation for our named executive officers, excluding salary adjustments for promotions or changes of responsibility, base salaries increased between 0% and 2.9% (0% and 21.4% including the impact of promotions for two of our named executive officers) and on average 1.7% (7.3% including the impact of the promotions) versus 2009, annual cash incentive bonus payouts were between 176% and 139% of target, and averaged 158% of target, and long-term incentive awards, consisting of stock options and performance-based restricted stock units, were within the median range of our competitive market for each named executive officer (see "Base Salaries" on page 36, "Annual Cash Incentives" on page 37, and "Long-term Equity Incentives" on page 40);

*Performance-Based Compensation.* At least 65% of each of our named executive officers' 2010 target compensation was performance based (84% in the case of our principal executive officer), with the majority of the performance-based compensation coming in the form of long-term incentives, and by contrast, perquisites are limited (see "Total Compensation Mix" on page 43);

Setting Performance Targets. We utilize a robust planning process to establish financial and business performance metrics for incentive plans that, while challenging, are designed to be achievable, and appropriately balance short-term results with necessary investments to achieve our long-term goals. These performance measures are fully disclosed in this Proxy Statement (see "Annual Cash Incentives" on page 37);

Performance Measures. We use numerous performance measures to determine the amount of incentive compensation an executive will receive under both short-term and longer-term performance based incentive programs, including adjusted earnings per share, business unit sales and operating income and return on invested capital. Again, this approach balances annual financial objectives with long-term value creation and avoids reliance on a single metric that could unduly cause our executives to focus on limited components of our business (see "Annual Cash Incentives" on page 37 and "Long-term Equity Incentives" on page 40);

Long-term Incentives. A significant portion of our executives' compensation is derived from long-term equity based compensation awards granted each year. Our long-term incentive program is designed so that 50% of the value is delivered in the form of performance-based restricted stock units (PBRSUs) with the remaining 50% of the value delivered in the form of stock options. Under such awards, our executives' compensation increases in an appropriate relationship with the increase in the value of our Company, promoting our pay-for-performance philosophy. In addition, the PBRSU component can only be fully earned when our performance on return on invested capital significantly exceeds the historic median annual S&P 500 performance and is substantially above our weighted average cost of capital. This further aligns compensation with performance and shareholder value creation (see "Long-term Equity Incentives" on page 40);

Stock Ownership Guidelines. We have established stock ownership guidelines that encourage executives to retain a significant long-term position in our stock and thereby align them with the interests of our stockholders (see "Stock Retention and Ownership Guidelines" on page 42);

PROXY STATEMENT 2011

31

## **Table of Contents**

Change-in-Control Benefits. We have in place a balanced change in control severance policy that provides our officers severance at two times base compensation plus annual incentive pay at target following a change in control and termination of employment (a so-called "double-trigger"), with no tax gross-ups (see "Executive Change-in-Control Policy" on page 41); and

Risk Mitigation Features. Our programs contain other features to mitigate against our executives taking excessive risk in order to maximize pay-outs, including varied and balanced performance targets, discretionary authority of the Compensation Committee to reduce award pay-outs, bonus caps at 200% of target and a Policy on Reimbursement of Incentive Payments (or so-called "clawback" policy) (see "Compensation Recovery" on page 42 and "Corporate Governance Compensation Risk Analysis" on page 10).

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors oversees the design and administration of our executive compensation programs according to the processes and procedures discussed in the Corporate Governance section of this Proxy Statement, located at page 12 hereof. The Committee is advised by an independent compensation consultant as it deems appropriate.

We made two notable changes to our compensation programs in 2010. First, our new 2010 Stock Incentive Plan, which was approved by stockholders at our 2010 Annual Meeting, provides for a "double-trigger" for acceleration of incentive awards upon a change-in-control if incentive awards are continued or assumed (our prior stock incentive plans provided for a "single-trigger" upon a change-in-control) and expressly prohibits the repurchase (as well as re-pricing) of underwater options.

Second, in 2010, we amended our U.S. pension plans to reduce the benefit accrual rate starting in 2021. Effective January 1, 2021, all associates will earn pension benefits under the same cash balance formula with contribution credits equal to 3% of annual cash compensation. Our non-qualified excess plan (Mirror Pension) was similarly amended to provide for benefit accrual under the same 3% cash balance formula beginning in 2021, while our supplemental executive retirement plan was amended to eliminate further benefit accruals after December 31, 2020. This action allows us to meet our goal of providing a competitive benefit to our associates while controlling costs and improving profitability.

**Program Elements** The principal elements of our executive compensation programs for 2010 are illustrated below:

Base Salary is designed to provide a base wage that is not subject to company performance risk. Generally represents 16% of total compensation for the principal executive officer and averages 29% of total compensation for the remaining named executive officers.\*

Annual Bonus is designed to motivate executives to achieve annual business and individual goals. Averages 20% of total compensation for the named executive officers.\*

50% of the value of our long-term equity incentives is in Stock Options and 50% in Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units. Stock Options are designed to motivate executives to make decisions that focus on long-term stockholder value creation. Like Stock Options, Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units align executives' interests with stockholders; in addition, they encourage associate retention even in times of turbulent markets. Long-term incentives represent 63% of total compensation for the principal executive officer and averages 51% of total compensation for the remaining named executive officers.\*

Executive Benefits continue benefits due to qualified plan limits, allow for additional deferral and provide supplemental retirement benefits. Market competitive perquisites support attraction and retention of executives.\*

Change-in-Control arrangements promote continuity, impartiality and objectivity in event of a change-in-control situation to enhance stockholder value. Policy is reflective of evolving best practice relative to design provisions and benefit levels with those in our competitive market.

\*

Total compensation is defined as the sum of base salary, target annual cash incentives and the grant date present value of long-term equity incentives, and does not necessarily tie to the values disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table and supplemental tables. The chart is not drawn to scale for any particular named executive officer.

Our philosophy is to position the aggregate of these elements of compensation in the median range of our competitive market, adjusted for the Company's current size. For annual cash incentives, our philosophy generally is to also position them at a level commensurate with the

Company's performance based on adjusted earnings per share compared to EPS growth in the Standard & Poor's 500. We position annual cash incentives and long-term incentives to provide lower than median compensation for lower than competitive market performance and higher than median compensation for higher than competitive market performance. This approach provides motivation to executives without incentivizing inappropriate risk-taking to achieve pay-outs, as we believe that the company's prospects for growth are generally at least as favorable as the average of the S&P 500. For stock options, our grant processes do not permit backdating and, as described under Long-Term Equity Incentives, are granted on the same date as the Compensation Committee approval date.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 33

#### PROGRAM OBJECTIVES AND REWARD PHILOSOPHY

In General We use executive compensation (i) to support our corporate vision and long-term financial objectives, (ii) to communicate the importance of our business results, (iii) to retain and motivate executives important to our success and (iv) to reward executives for contributions at a level reflecting our performance. Our executive compensation program, that is the compensation package as a whole as well as each element of compensation, is designed to be market competitive in order to attract, motivate and retain our executives in a manner that is in the best interests of our stockholders. Our executive compensation program is further designed to reinforce and complement ethical and sustainable management practices, which is supported in part by our compensation recovery (or "clawback") policy, promote sound risk management and to align management interests (such as sustainable long-term growth) with those of our stockholders. We believe that our long-term equity incentive program, which typically accounts for at least half of our named executive officers' total annual compensation, is an effective tool in aligning our executives' interests with those of our stockholders and incentivizing long-term value creation.

Competitive Market We define our competitive market to be a broad range of general industry manufacturing and service companies, as provided by third party surveys (in which we participate) with sales that range from approximately \$0.5 billion to more than \$10 billion. We use surveys published by Hewitt Associates and Towers Watson as the primary sources of competitive data because we have determined these to be the best sources for credible, size-adjusted market data for general industry companies. Due to the high correlation between annual sales revenue and compensation, we size adjust the competitive market compensation data and use the median to set our targeted parameters, which we refer to as the median range. We define the median range as within 15% of the median for base salaries and within 20% of the median for annual cash incentive targets and long-term incentive targets.

We used two surveys for benchmarking 2010 base salary and annual cash incentive compensation. The 2009 Towers Watson CDB Executive Compensation Survey includes 419 corporate entities with median revenue of \$6.2 billion. Including subsidiaries, this survey includes over 760 participants. We also used the 2009 Hewitt TCM Executive Regression Analysis Survey, which includes 319 corporate entities with median revenue of \$5.9 billion. Including subsidiaries, the survey includes 361 participants. We used these surveys for benchmarking base salary and annual cash incentive compensation. We used the 2010 Towers Watson Long-Term Incentive Report for benchmarking long-term incentives in 2010. This survey has 423 participants with median revenue of \$5.3 billion.

We size adjust the survey data by inserting the annual revenue for the company (for use with the principal executive officer, principal financial officer and general counsel) or the applicable business unit (for use with the leaders of particular business units) into a statistical regression model supplied by the survey providers, which then computes the size-adjusted median by position for base salaries and annual cash incentives. We use the average of the size-adjusted medians from the two surveys as the standard by which we set base salary and annual cash incentive targets. For long-term incentive guidelines, we use the size-adjusted median of the Towers Watson survey, which the Compensation Committee's consultant validates against its own data for reasonableness.

We annually assess the reasonableness of our total compensation levels and mix relative to the data contained in these surveys. We have no explicit peer group with which to compare compensation levels because our primary competitors are either privately held or are publicly held but the portion of the company which competes with our business is not separately reported and, therefore, directly comparable compensation figures are not publicly available.

# **Table of Contents**

Since no explicit peer group exists based on our size and business type, we annually verify the reasonableness of the survey information used for our principal executive officer and principal financial officer by compiling proxy statement compensation information from the Standard & Poor's 500 Materials Sector, of which we are a component. The companies which currently comprise the Standard & Poor's 500 Materials Sector are:

Air Products & Chemicals Inc. Du Pont (EI) De Nemours Nucor Corp.

Airgas Inc.Eastman Chemical Co.OwensIllinois Inc.AK Steel Holding Corp.Ecolab Inc.PPG Industries Inc.Alcoa Inc.FMC Corp.Praxair Inc.Allegheny Technologies Inc.Freeport-McMoran COP & GOLDSealed Air Corp.Ball Corp.Int'l Flavors & FragrancesSherwin-Williams Co.

Bemis Co. Inc.Int'l Paper Co.Sigma-Aldrich Corp.CF Industries Holdings Inc.MeadWestvaco Corp.Titanium Metals Corp.Cliffs Natural Resources Inc.Monsanto Co.United States Steel Corp.Dow ChemicalNewmont Mining Corp.Vulcan Materials Co.

The 2010 median revenue of the Materials Sector was \$6.3 billion and the median market capitalization of the Sector as of December 31, 2010 was \$7.7 billion.

**Compensation Process** For the named executive officers, the Compensation Committee reviewed and approved all elements of 2010 compensation taking into consideration recommendations from our principal executive officer (but not for his own compensation), as well as competitive market guidance and feedback provided by the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant and our human resources staff regarding individual performance, time in position and internal pay comparisons. The Compensation Committee reviewed and approved all elements of 2010 compensation for our principal executive officer taking into consideration the Board's performance assessment of the principal executive officer and recommendations, competitive market guidance and feedback from the Compensation Committee's independent compensation consultant and our human resources staff. Recommendations with respect to the compensation of our principal executive officer are not shared with our principal executive officer.

**Regulatory Considerations** We monitor changes in the regulatory environment when assessing the financial efficiency of the various elements of our executive compensation program. We have designed and administered our annual cash incentives, particularly our stockholder-approved Management Performance Incentive Plan, which we refer to as the MPIP, and long-term equity incentive plans in a manner that is intended to preserve the federal income tax deductions of the associated compensation expense.

The MPIP is designed to meet the requirements of Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m) regarding performance-based compensation and is administered by the Compensation Committee, who selects the participants each year, establishes the annual performance goal based upon performance criteria that it selects, the performance target and a maximum annual cash award dependent on achievement of the performance goal. For 2010, the Compensation Committee selected diluted earnings per share as the performance measure under the MPIP. The Compensation Committee certifies the extent to which the performance goal has been met and the corresponding amount of the award earned by the participants, with the ability to exercise downward discretion to lower, but not raise, the award to an amount based upon the metrics used for our Management Incentive Plan cash incentive and to recognize individual

# **Table of Contents**

performance. In effect, the MPIP establishes the maximum bonus pool for the named executive officers, while the Management Incentive Plan criteria is used by the Compensation Committee to exercise downward discretion to determine the pay-outs which have historically been (and were in 2010) well below the MPIP maximum awards. As described under Long-Term Equity Incentives below, the Compensation Committee has similarly positioned the performance-based restricted stock units to meet the requirements of Section 162(m).

We have designed and administered our deferred compensation, equity compensation and change-in-control severance plans to be in compliance with federal tax rules affecting nonqualified deferred compensation. In accordance with FASB Accounting Standards Codification 718, 

\*Compensation\*\* Stock Compensation\*\*, for financial statement purposes, we expense all equity-based awards over the service period for awards expected to vest, based upon their estimated fair value at grant date. Accounting treatment has not resulted in changes in our equity compensation program design for our named executive officers.

#### **BASE SALARIES**

In General The Committee reviews base salaries for the named executive officers and other executives annually in December effective for the following fiscal year, and increases are based on changes in our competitive market, changes in scope of responsibility, individual performance and time in position. Our philosophy is to pay base salaries that are within the median range of our size-adjusted competitive market. When an executive officer is new to his/her position, his/her initial base salary will likely be at the low end of the median range but, if performance is acceptable, his/her base salary will be increased over several years to arrive at the median.

**Salary Increases** For 2009 and 2010, annualized base salary rates for the named executive officers are summarized below:

| Name                 | Fiscal<br>Year<br>2010 | Fiscal<br>Year<br>2009 | Annualized<br>Percent<br>Increase from<br>2009 to 2010 |
|----------------------|------------------------|------------------------|--|
| Douglas M. Baker, Jr | \$1,000,000            | \$1,000,000            | 0.0%   |
| Steven L. Fritze     | \$ 510,000             | \$ 500,000             | 2.0%   |
| James J. Seifert(1)  | \$ 450,000             |                        |  |
| Phillip J. Mason(2)  | \$ 425,000             | \$ 350,000             | 21.4%  |
| Thomas W. Handley(3) | \$ 450,000             | \$ 425,000             | 5.9%   |

- (1) Mr. Seifert joined the Company in May 2010.
- Consistent with the Company's annual salary review for officers, effective January 1, 2010, Mr. Mason's salary was increased 2.9% to \$360,000. Effective July 1, 2010, Mr. Mason accepted a new position as President EMEA Sector, and his salary was increased 18.1% to \$425,000.
- (3) Effective January 1, 2010, Mr. Handley received a 2.0% merit salary increase plus a 3.9% promotion increase.

For 2010, the Compensation Committee increased the principal executive officer's salary by 2%, consistent with our U.S. merit increase budget; however, Mr. Baker declined the base salary increase.

Our Analysis For 2010, base salaries accounted for approximately 16% of total compensation for the principal executive officer and 29% on average for the other named executive officers. 2010 base salary rates were within the median range for all our named executive officers. In general, 2010 salary increases for our named executive officers were in line with the Company's U.S. salary increases broadly, which reflected the difficult economic environment. Mr. Handley's 2010 salary increase is a combination of a 2.0% merit increase, consistent with the Company's U.S. merit salary increase broadly, plus a 3.9% promotion

## **Table of Contents**

increase, in recognition of his successful transition to a global leadership role in 2009. In 2010, Mr. Mason received a 2.9% salary increase while in the role of President International Sector, where he was responsible for our Asia Pacific and Latin America business, with 2010 sales of approximately \$0.8 billion. Effective July 1, 2010, Mr. Mason accepted the role of President EMEA Sector, where he became responsible for a business with sales of \$1.8 billion. With this new assignment Mr. Mason's base salary was increased 18.1% in order to adjust it to within the median range for the position, recognizing the size and complexity of our EMEA business and Mr. Mason's extensive management experience.

#### ANNUAL CASH INCENTIVES

To determine the 2010 award payments (which were paid in March 2011), the Committee reviewed the performance of the named executive officers and other executives at its February 2011 meeting. With respect to the 2010 awards, the Committee established a performance goal under the MPIP to determine maximum pay-out potential and then used the goals described below with respect to the Management Incentive Plan (or MIP) to determine the appropriate amount for each named executive officer's annual cash incentive award.

**Target Award Opportunities** Under the MIP, we establish annual target award opportunities expressed as a percentage of base salary paid during the year and various award payment limits expressed as a percentage of the target award. Our bonus targets are set within the median range relative to our competitive market for each position, and the bonus plan is structured so that lower performance results in below market payouts and superior performance drives payouts above the median range. For 2010, target award opportunities were within the median range for all our named executive officers ranging from 60% to 130% of base salary. Minimum and maximum payout opportunities ranged from 0% to 200% of target award opportunity, respectively.

Performance Measures Under the MIP, we use a mix of overall corporate, business unit and individual performance measures to foster cross-divisional cooperation and to assure that executives have a reasonable measure of control over the factors that affect their awards. This performance measure mix varies by executive position. For 2010, the performance measure mix for the named executive officers is summarized in the table on page 39.

**Performance Goals and Achievement** Under the MIP, several performance goals are used, including goals measuring overall corporate performance as well as goals for specific business unit performance for those executives who are responsible for these business units. Overall corporate performance in 2010 was based on adjusted earnings per share goals. The Company uses adjusted earnings per share as a measure because it is most closely aligned with our strategy of delivering profitable growth and increased stockholder value. We define adjusted diluted earnings per share as diluted earnings per share excluding discrete tax items and special gains and charges. Our fourth quarter 2010 earnings release, dated February 17, 2011, which can be found at the company's website, provides a reconciliation of 2010 reported diluted earnings per share to 2010 adjusted diluted earnings per share. We believe that adjusted diluted earnings per share is a better measure of the Company's underlying business performance than reported diluted earnings per share because it eliminates the effect of nonrecurring items such as special gains from the sale of assets as well as special charges from restructuring activities. In addition, a total company measure of performance such as adjusted diluted earnings per share is used as one of the performance measures with respect to our named executive officers who manage particular business units because it reinforces our Circle the Customer Circle the Globe strategy and fosters cross-divisional cooperation. In establishing these goals for 2010 we took into consideration our prior year results, overall economic and market trends, other large companies' performance expectations and our

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 37

# **Table of Contents**

anticipated business opportunities, investment requirements and the competitive situation. For 2010, the adjusted diluted earnings per share goals were: payout at 40% of the target award opportunity (minimum level) at \$2.05; payout at 100% of the target award opportunity (target level) at \$2.14; payout at 140% percent of the target award opportunity (140% level) at \$2.20; and payout at 200% of the target award opportunity (maximum level) at \$2.28. Payouts for results between performance levels are interpolated on a straight-line basis. Actual 2010 adjusted earnings per share were \$2.23; however, the Compensation Committee, at the recommendation of management and within the Committee's discretion, approved a payout at \$2.22, resulting in achievement of the adjusted EPS goal at 155% of target. The \$0.01 reduction was to eliminate the impact of a one-time favorable legal settlement.

For two of our named executive officers (Messrs. Mason and Handley) who manage particular business units for us, as indicated in the table on page 39, 70% of their annual cash incentive is based upon their respective 2010 business unit performance which is measured against the achievement of revenue and operating income goals. For Mr. Mason, the revenue goal is weighted 60% and the operating income goal is weighted 40%; and for Mr. Handley, the revenue goal and operating income goal are weighted equally. The 2010 revenue goal for Mr. Mason was 1.6% growth over 2009 revenue for payout at the minimum level, 4.7% growth for payout at the target level, 6.8% growth for payout at the 140% level and 10.2% growth for payout at the maximum level; and for Mr. Handley was 0.7% growth over 2009 revenue for payout at the maximum level. The 2010 operating income goal for Mr. Mason was 99.0% of 2009 operating income for payout at the minimum level, 1.8% growth over 2009 operating income for payout at the target level, 3.7% growth for payout at the 140% level and 18.5% growth for payout at the maximum level; and for Mr. Handley was 97.0% of 2009 operating income for payout at the minimum level, 6.3% growth over 2009 operating income for payout at the target level, 12.6% growth for payout at the 140% level and 23.9% growth for payout at the maximum level. No pay-out is made with respect to the business unit revenue goal unless the business unit achieves at least the minimum level on its operating income goal. Pay-outs for results between these performance levels are interpolated on a straight-line basis.

For two of our named executive officers (Messrs. Fritze and Seifert), who hold staff positions (principal financial officer and General Counsel and Secretary, respectively), as indicated in the table on page 39, 30% of their annual cash incentive is based upon performance of individual performance goals. This component of staff position awards under the MIP is set at 30% of the performance measure mix for annual cash incentives so that achievement of these goals is a component of the award but remains balanced against achievement of corporate performance goals. The 2010 individual performance objectives for our principal financial officer and the General Counsel are specific, qualitative, achievable with significant effort and, if achieved, provide benefit to the Company. Mr. Fritze's individual performance goals covered organizational and strategic initiatives, including developing talent and projects to increase efficient service delivery. Mr. Seifert's individual performance goals also covered organizational and strategic initiatives, including ensuring alignment of legal resources with key business areas and succession planning. Mr. Fritze and Mr. Seifert achieved 128% and 100% of their individual target performance goals, respectively. The Compensation Committee, with input from the principal executive officer, approved the annual cash incentives as shown on the table on page 39, including the component based on the principal financial officer's and General Counsel's achievement of their respective 2010 individual performance goals. Pursuant to the terms of his offer letter, Mr. Seifert's MIP bonus is calculated based on his annualized, full-year 2010 base salary.

# **Table of Contents**

**2010 Annual Incentive Compensation Pay-Out Summary** The table below illustrates the calculation of the 2010 annual cash incentive pay-outs based on the targets and performance achievements described above (pay-out amounts are rounded up to the nearest \$1,000, except in the case of pay-out amounts for our principle executive officer which are rounded up to the nearest \$10,000):

|                             | 2010           | MIP<br>Target<br>Award<br>Opportunity | Perfo | rmance Me        | easure Mix | MIP<br>Target    |                         |             |
|-----------------------------|----------------|---------------------------------------|-------|------------------|------------|------------------|-------------------------|-------------|
|                             | Base<br>Salary | (% of Base<br>Salary)                 | EPS   | Business<br>Unit | Individual | Pay-Out<br>Level | Performance<br>Achieved | Pay-Out     |
| Douglas<br>M. Baker,<br>Jr. | \$1,000,000    | 130%                                  | 100%  |                  |            | \$1,300,000      | 155%                    | \$2,020,000 |
| Steven L.<br>Fritze         | \$ 510,000     | 70%                                   | 70%   |                  |            | \$ 250,000       | 155%                    | \$388,000   |
| rinze                       |                |                                       |       |                  | 30%        | \$ 107,000       | 128%                    | \$137,000   |
|                             |                |                                       |       |                  |            |                  |                         | \$525,000   |
| James J.<br>Seifert(1)      | \$ 450,000     | 60%                                   | 70%   |                  |            | \$ 189,000       | 155%                    | \$293,000   |
|                             |                |                                       |       |                  | 30%        | \$ 81,000        | 100%                    | \$81,000    |
|                             |                |                                       |       |                  |            |                  |                         | \$374,000   |
| Phillip J.<br>Mason(2)      | \$ 392,500     | 65%                                   | 30%   |                  |            | \$ 76,000        | 155%                    | \$118,000   |
| 11145011(2)                 |                |                                       |       | 70%              |            | \$178,000        | 178%                    | \$318,000   |
|                             |                |                                       |       |                  |            |                  |                         | \$436,000   |
| Thomas<br>W.<br>Handley     | \$ 450,000     | 70%                                   | 30%   |                  |            | \$ 95,000        | 155%                    | \$148,000   |
|                             |                |                                       |       | 70%              |            | \$ 221,000       | 185%                    | \$409,000   |
|                             |                |                                       |       |                  |            |                  |                         | \$557,000   |

<sup>(1)</sup>Pursuant to the terms of his offer letter, Mr. Seifert's MIP bonus was calculated based on his annualized 2010 base salary.

(2)

Effective July 1, 2010, Mr. Mason's 2010 base salary was increased from \$360,000 to \$425,000; accordingly his actual full-year 2010 base salary earnings was \$392,500, which was used for purposes of calculating his MIP award pay-out. Also on July 1, 2010, Mr. Mason's MIP target award opportunity was increased from 60% of base salary to 70%; accordingly, his MIP award pay-out was calculated using a 65% target award opportunity, representing the effective rate based on six months at 60% and six months at 70%.

**Discretionary Adjustments** To recognize individual performance, the Compensation Committee also may increase or decrease a named executive officer's MIP award, with input from the principal executive officer (other than as to his own award), based on the individual performance of the named executive officer. This is done to recognize either inferior or superior individual performance in cases where this performance is not fully represented by the performance measures. The Committee did not make any discretionary adjustments with respect to the named executive officers' MIP awards.

The Compensation Committee reviews and approves all adjustments to our overall corporate results and significant adjustments to our business unit performance results. As indicated above, in 2010 the Committee adjusted our adjusted EPS downward by \$0.01, thereby reducing the incentive pay-outs that were based on adjusted EPS.

**Our Analysis** In 2010 the Compensation Committee set the minimum, target and maximum levels of the adjusted EPS component of the annual incentive so that the intended relative difficulty of achieving the various levels is consistent with the past several years taking into account current prospects and market considerations. Target award opportunities in 2010 accounted for approximately 20% of total compensation on average for the named executive officers and were within the median range for each position. Actual award payments for the named executive officers averaged 158% of target award opportunities. The slow economic recovery in 2010 in many of our end markets, particularly in the U.S. food service market, made our executives' performance goals challenging. The 2010 award pay outs are indicative of the achievement of good underlying earnings growth and business improvements during the year.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 39

#### LONG-TERM EQUITY INCENTIVES

**In General** The Committee granted long-term equity incentives to our named executive officers and other executives in December 2010, consistent with its core agenda and past practice of granting these incentives at its regularly scheduled December meeting. For 2010, our long-term equity incentive program consisted of an annual grant of stock options and performance-based restricted stock units, weighted equally in terms of grant value.

Our program continues to be based on pre-established grant guidelines that are calibrated annually to our competitive market. Grant guidelines for the named executive officers are developed on a position-by-position basis using market data from the Towers Watson CDB Long-Term Incentive Plan report for general industry companies. The survey data represent the median range of long-term incentive values adjusted for size based on revenue. The Compensation Committee's independent consultant, Frederick W. Cook & Co., Inc., validates the survey results against its own independent survey data for reasonableness.

Actual grants may be above or below our guidelines based on our assessment of individual performance and future potential. All long-term equity incentives are granted on the same date as our Compensation Committee approval date.

**Stock Options** Our stock options have a 10-year contractual exercise term and vest ratably over three years. Our stock options have an exercise price which is the average of the high and low market price on the date of grant. We believe that the use of the average of the high and low market price on the date of the grant removes potential same day stock volatility. We do not have a program, plan or practice to time stock option grants to executives in coordination with the release of material non-public information.

Performance-Based Restricted Stock Units Our performance-based restricted stock units, or PBRSUs, cliff vest after three years, subject to attainment of three-year average annual return on invested capital (ROIC) goals over the performance period. We selected ROIC as the performance measure because it reinforces focus on capital efficiency throughout the organization, is highly correlated with shareholder returns, matches well with our long-standing corporate goal of achieving consistent return on beginning equity and is understood by our external market. We define ROIC as the quotient of after-tax operating income divided by the sum of short-term and long-term debt and shareholders' equity, less cash and cash equivalents. The Committee established an ROIC goal for the executive officers to determine maximum payout potential, with the ability to exercise downward discretion to reduce the actual payout in accordance with the ROIC goals described below. For the 2011 to 2013 performance cycle, 40% of the PBRSUs granted may be earned subject to attainment of a threshold goal of 10% average annual ROIC over the cycle and 100% of the PBRSUs may be earned subject to attainment of a target goal of 15% average annual ROIC over the cycle, with straight-line proration for performance results between threshold and target goals. No PBRSUs may be earned if ROIC is below the threshold goal, and no more than 100% of the PBRSUs may be earned if ROIC is above the target goal; accordingly, target and maximum are equal. Importantly, the threshold goal exceeds our cost of capital thereby ensuring that value is created before awards are earned. The Company's annual ROIC for 2010 was 20.5%. Dividend equivalents are not paid or accrued during the performance period. The Committee has the discretion to make adjustments to the actual ROIC achieved for such things as unplanned acquisitions or unusual, non-recurring charges.

**Restricted Stock** From time-to-time, we may make special grants of restricted stock or restricted stock units to our named executive officers and other executives in connection with promotions and recruitment, and for general retention purposes. During 2010, we made one special grant of restricted stock to one of our named executive officers, Mr. Seifert. In

## **Table of Contents**

connection with his hiring in 2010, Mr. Seifert was awarded 29,980 restricted shares, which vest one-third per year on the anniversary date of the grant. The award was granted as an inducement to Mr. Seifert to join the Company and was designed to compensate him for the loss of other equity awards due to his leaving his prior employer.

Our Analysis For the last completed fiscal year, long-term equity incentives accounted for approximately 63% of total target compensation for the principal executive officer and 51% on average for the other named executive officers, which is consistent with our competitive market. Actual grants to the named executive officers were within the median range for all our named executive officers. Our annual practice of granting equity incentives in the form of stock options and PBRSUs is similar to our competitive market, where other forms of long-term equity and cash compensation are typically awarded in addition to, or in lieu of, stock options. Our selective use of restricted stock or restricted stock units as a retention or recruitment incentive is consistent with our competitive market. We believe that our overall long-term equity compensation cost is within a reasonable range of our competitive market as to our named executive officers and also our other employees.

#### **EXECUTIVE BENEFITS AND PERQUISITES**

**In General** Our named executive officers participate in all of the same health care, disability, life insurance, pension, and 401(k) benefit plans made available generally to the Company's U.S. employees. In addition, our named executive officers are eligible to participate in a deferred compensation program, restoration plans for the qualified 401(k) and pension plans, a supplemental retirement benefit and an executive disability and life benefit. These plans are described in more detail on pages 51 to 56. The named executive officers also receive perquisites described in more detail in footnote (6) to the Summary Compensation Table.

**Our Analysis** We review our executive benefits and perquisites program periodically to ensure it remains market competitive for our executives and supportable to our stockholders. Perquisites account for 1% of total compensation for the principal executive officer and 3% on average for the other named executive officers. Executive benefits are consistent with our competitive market.

#### EXECUTIVE CHANGE-IN-CONTROL POLICY

**In General** The terms of our Change-In-Control Severance Compensation Policy, including the events constituting a change in control under our policy, are described on pages 60 and 61. Our policy applies to all elected officers, including the named executive officers.

Our Analysis We review our change-in-control protection periodically to ensure it continues to address the best interests of our stockholders. In 2010, we amended our change-in-control policy principally to modify the events which constitute a change in control. For example, under our prior policy, a change in control would result upon stockholder approval of a plan to liquidate or dissolve the company, and under the amended policy, no change in control would occur until the liquidation or dissolution is consummated. Our analysis indicates that our change-in-control policy, which is structured as a so-called "double-trigger" policy, promotes the interests of stockholders by mitigating executives' concerns about the impact a change-in-control may have on them thereby allowing the executives to focus on the best interests of stockholders under such circumstances.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 41

#### STOCK RETENTION AND OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES

In General We have in place stock retention and ownership guidelines to encourage our named executive officers and other executives to accumulate a significant ownership stake so they are vested in maximizing long-term stockholder returns. Our guidelines provide that the principal executive officer own company stock with a market value of at least five times current base salary and that other corporate officers own Company stock with a market value of at least three times current base salary. Until the stock ownership guideline is met, our principal executive officer, principal financial officer and sector presidents are expected to retain 100% of all after-tax profit shares from exercise, vesting or payout of equity awards. Our other officers are expected to retain 50% of all after-tax profit shares from exercise, vesting or payout of equity awards. For purposes of complying with our guidelines, stock is not considered owned if subject to an unexercised stock option or unvested performance based restricted stock unit. Shares owned outright, legally or beneficially, by an officer or his or her immediate family members residing in the same household and shares held in the 401(k) plan count towards meeting the guideline. Our named executive officers and other officers may not enter into any risk hedging arrangements with respect to Company stock.

**Our Analysis** Our analysis indicates that our stock retention and ownership guidelines are consistent with the design provisions of other companies disclosing such guidelines, as reported in public SEC filings and as periodically published in various surveys and research reports. Our analysis further indicates that our named executive officers are in compliance with our guidelines by either having achieved the ownership guideline or, if the guideline is not yet achieved, by retaining 100% or 50%, as applicable, of all after-tax profit shares from any stock option exercises.

#### COMPENSATION RECOVERY

The Company's Board of Directors has adopted a policy requiring the reimbursement of payments made to an executive officer due to the executive officer's misconduct, as determined by the Board. Each of our executive officers have agreed in writing to this policy. This policy was filed with the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2008 as Exhibit (10)W.

#### TOTAL COMPENSATION MIX

**In General** The table below illustrates how total compensation for our named executive officers for 2010 was allocated between performance based and fixed components, how performance based compensation is allocated between annual and long-term components and how total compensation is allocated between cash and equity components:

# **2010 Total Compensation Mix**

(base salary, target annual incentives, and long-term equity incentives valued in total at grant) **Percent of Performance Percent of Total** Percent of Total Compensation **Based Total** Compensation that is: **Compensation that is:** that is: Performance Cash **Equity** Based(1) Based(6) Name Fixed(2) Annual(3) Long-Term(4) Based(5)

| Douglas M. Baker, Jr. | 84% | 16% | 25% | 75% | 37% | 63% |
|-----------------------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| Steven L. Fritze      | 74% | 26% | 25% | 75% | 45% | 55% |
| James J. Seifert      | 65% | 35% | 32% | 68% | 55% | 45% |
| Phillip J. Mason      | 72% | 28% | 28% | 72% | 48% | 52% |
| Thomas W. Handley     | 72% | 28% | 27% | 73% | 47% | 53% |
|                       |     |     |     |     |     |     |

- (1) Target annual incentives plus long-term equity incentives divided by total compensation
- (2) Base salary divided by total compensation
- (3) Target annual incentives divided by target annual incentives plus long-term equity incentives
- (4) Long-term equity incentives divided by target annual incentives plus long-term equity incentives
- (5)
  Base salary plus target annual incentives divided by total compensation
- (6) Long-term equity incentives divided by total compensation

Our Analysis Our analysis indicates that total compensation mix for our named executive officers on average is generally consistent with the competitive market. The principal executive officer receives a higher proportion of his total compensation allocated to performance based components than non-performance based components and more allocated to equity based compensation than cash based compensation compared to the other named executive officers. The higher emphasis on performance based compensation for the principal executive officer is designed to reward him for driving company performance and creating long-term shareholder value that is a greater responsibility in his position than in the positions of the other named executive officers, and is consistent with the competitive market for the CEO position. The level of compensation of Mr. Baker reflects the many responsibilities of serving as chief executive officer of a public company. Accordingly, Mr. Baker's median range competitive pay levels (including long-term equity awards) reflect his broader scope and greater responsibilities compared to our other named

executive officers.

PROXY STATEMENT 2011 43

# **SUMMARY COMPENSATION TABLE FOR 2010**

The following table shows cash and non-cash compensation for the years ended December 31, 2010, 2009 and 2008 for the persons serving as the Company's "Principal Executive Officer" and "Principal Financial Officer" during the year ended December 31, 2010 and for the next three most highly-compensated executive officers who were serving in those capacities at December 31, 2010.

| Name &<br>Principal<br>Position  | Year                 | Salary(1) (\$) | Bonus(1) (\$)  | Stock<br>Awards(2)<br>(\$) | Option<br>Awards(3)<br>(\$) | Non-Equity<br>Incentive<br>Plan<br>Compen-<br>sation(1,4)<br>(\$) | Change in<br>Pension<br>Value and<br>Non-<br>qualified<br>Deferred<br>Compen-<br>sation<br>Earnings(5) | All Other<br>Compen-<br>sation(6)<br>(\$) | Total<br>(\$) |
|--|----------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------------------|-----------------------------|---|--|---|---------------|
| Douglas<br>M. Baker,<br>Jr.  | 2010                 | \$1,000,000    | 0              | \$1,904,531                | \$1,677,848                 | \$2,020,000   | \$2,651,968  | \$200,200                                 | \$9,454,547   |
| Chairman of the Board, President   | 2009                 | \$1,000,000    | 0              | \$1,910,994                | \$1,973,026                 | \$1,880,000   | \$1,774,381  | \$236,166                                 | \$8,774,567   |
| and Chief<br>Executive<br>Officer<br>(principal<br>executive<br>officer) | 2008                 | \$1,000,000    | 0              | 0                          | \$3,149,080                 | \$1,397,000   | \$1,454,192  | \$157,560                                 | \$7,157,832   |
| Steven L.<br>Fritze  | 2010                 | \$ 510,000     | 0              | \$ 504,733                 | \$ 573,498                  | \$ 525,000  | \$1,280,801  | \$95,982                                  | \$3,490,014   |
| Chief Financial Officer  | 2009                 | \$ 500,000     | 0              | \$ 527,923                 | \$ 728,957                  | \$ 500,000  | \$1,017,942  | \$114,604                                 | \$3,389,426   |
| (principal<br>financial<br>officer)                                      | 2008                 | \$ 475,000     | 0              | 0                          | \$ 857,956                  | \$ 496,000  | \$ 708,726   | \$ 66,526                                 | \$2,604,208   |
| James J. Seifert(7) General Counsel and Secretary                        | 2010<br>2009<br>2008 | \$ 300,000     | \$ 120,000 (8) | \$1,683,478                | \$ 242,088                  | \$ 374,000  | 0 (  | 9) \$ 89,710                              | \$2,809,276   |

| Phillip J.<br>Mason(10) | 2010 \$ | 392,500 | 0         | \$<br>366,995 | \$<br>322,784 | \$<br>436,000 | \$<br>915,533 | \$. | 330,942 | \$2,764,754 |
|-------------------------|---------|---------|-----------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|-----|---------|-------------|
| President<br>EMEA       | 2009    |         |           |               |               |               |               |     |         |             |
|                         | 2008    |         |           |               |               |               |               |     |         |             |
| Thomas                  | 2010 \$ | 450,000 | 0         | \$<br>412,755 | \$<br>363,656 | \$<br>557,000 | \$<br>393,159 | \$  | 94,058  | \$2,270,628 |
| W.                      |         |         |           |               |               |               |               |     |         |             |
| Handley                 |         |         |           |               |               |               |               |     |         |             |
| President               | 2009 \$ | 425,000 | \$ 44,000 | \$<br>383,944 | \$<br>330,642 | \$<br>406,000 | \$<br>262,799 | \$  | 86,116  | \$1,938,501 |
| Global                  |         |         |           |               |               |               |               |     |         |             |
| Food and                | 2008 \$ | 400,000 | 0         | 0             | \$<br>549,032 | \$<br>223,000 | \$<br>190,604 | \$  | 91,306  | \$1,453,942 |
| Beverage                |         |         |           |               |               |               |               |     |         |             |

- Includes amounts deferred under Section 401(k) of the Internal Revenue Code, pursuant to the Company's Savings Plan and ESOP, amounts deferred under a non-qualified mirror 401(k) deferred compensation plan maintained by the Company for a select group of executives and any salary reductions per Section 125 or Section 132(f)(4) of the Internal Revenue Code.
- Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of performance-based restricted stock unit (PBRSU) award grants during the year in accordance with FASB ASC topic 718, based on the average daily share price of the Company's Common Stock at the date of grant, adjusted for the absence of future dividends, and assuming full (maximum) achievement of the performance criteria over the performance period. The PBRSU awards cliff-vest after three years, subject to attainment of three-year average annual return on invested capital goals for the Company over the performance period. See Note 10 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, included as Exhibit (13) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, for further discussion of the assumptions used in determining these values. See footnote (1) to the Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2010 table on page 46 for a description of the specific performance goals. With Respect to Mr. Seifert, includes a restricted stock award granted in 2010 of 29,980 shares (valued at \$1,408,460) which vests ratably on the first three anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the post-termination and change-in-control provisions generally described at pages 56 through 62 under the heading "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control."
- Represents the aggregate grant date fair value of stock option grants during the year in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 but with no discount for estimated forfeitures. The value of grants have been determined by application of the lattice (binomial)-pricing model. During 2010, Mr. Fritze received reload options totaling 29,895 shares (valued at \$129,146). During 2009, Messrs. Baker and Fritze received reload options totaling 61,885 shares (valued at \$326,134) and 55,133 shares (valued at \$275,114), respectively. The issuance of these reload options were not new discretionary grants by the Company; rather, the issuance results from rights that were granted as part of their original option grants made under the Company's 1997 and 2002 Stock Incentive Plans. The respective reload options expire on the expiration date of their original grant. The reload feature was eliminated for grants subsequent to 2002. Key assumptions include: risk-free rate of return, expected life of the option, expected stock price volatility and expected dividend yield. The specific assumptions used in the valuation of the options granted in 2010 are summarized in the table below:

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form DEF 14A

| Grant Date          | Risk Free<br>Rate | Expected<br>Life<br>(years) | Expected<br>Volatility | Expected<br>Dividend Yield |
|---------------------|-------------------|-----------------------------|------------------------|----------------------------|
| 05/02/0010 (F:      | 0.600             | 1.20                        | 22.200                 | 1 060                      |
| 05/03/2010 (Fritze) | 0.60%             | 1.30                        | 23.30%                 | 1.26%                      |
| 12/01/2010 (All)    | 2.05%             | 6.15                        | 23.08%                 | 1.46%                      |

See Note 10 to the Company's Consolidated Financial Statements for the year ended December 31, 2010, included as Exhibit (13) to the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010, for further discussion of the assumptions used in determining these values.

## **Table of Contents**

- (4)
  Represents the annual cash incentive awards earned and paid in respect of 2010 under the Company's Management Incentive Plan ("MIP") and the Company's Management Performance Incentive Plan ("MPIP"). The MIP and MPIP are discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning at page 37 and as part of the table entitled "Grants of Plan Based Awards For 2010" at page 46.
- Represents the change in the actuarial present value of the executive officer's accumulated benefit under the Company's defined benefit plans as of December 31, 2010 over such amount as of December 31, 2009. The Company's defined benefit plans include the Pension Plan, the Mirror Pension Plan and the Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan which are discussed beginning at page 50 as part of the table entitled Pension Benefits For 2010. There are no "above market" earnings under the Company's non-qualified mirror 401(k) deferred compensation plan maintained by the Company for a select group of executives because all earnings under that plan are calculated at the same rate as earnings on externally managed investments available to participants of the Company's broad-based tax qualified deferred compensation plan.
- (6) Amounts reported as All Other Compensation include:
  - Payment by the Company of certain perquisites, including costs relating to the following: (i) an executive cash allowance for each of the named executive officers; (ii) executive physical examinations in the case of Messrs. Baker, Fritze, Mason and Handley; (iii) financial planning in the case of each of the named executive officers; (iv) moving expenses in the case of Mr. Seifert; (v) relocation allowance in the case of Mr. Seifert (\$37,500) representing one month's salary for use for miscellaneous expenses related to the move.
  - In 2010, Mr. Mason was on assignment in Switzerland and received certain additional compensation in connection with his foreign assignment, including payment by the Company of the following: (i) relocation service fees; (ii) automobile; (iii) tax payments and tax gross-ups in connection with moving expenses; (iv) expense reimbursements for miscellaneous items such as language training, property management, visa costs; (v) moving expenses (\$65,316) for transportation of household goods and travel to new residence; (vi) disturbance premium (\$35,417) representing one month's salary for use for miscellaneous expenses related to the move; (vii) housing and utilities costs (\$57,417); and (viii) cost-of-living differential (\$37,605) representing goods and service differential calculated quarterly from Mercer International Compensation Tables based on family size and income. The housing and utilities payments were made in Swiss francs and were converted to U.S. dollars at 0.98351 U.S. conversion rate, representing the average exchange rate July October from Oanda.com. Each of the other payments was made in U.S. dollars.
  - (c)
    Payment by the Company of tax gross-ups in connection with moving expenses in the case of Messrs. Seifert and Mason.
  - (d) Payment by the Company of life insurance premiums in 2010 for: Mr. Baker, \$33,225; Mr. Fritze, \$26,247; Mr. Mason, \$27,962; and Mr. Handley, \$25,700.

- Payment of matching contributions made by the Company for 2010 as follows: (i) matching contributions of \$563 for Mr. Seifert and maximum matching contributions of \$9,800 to each of the other named executive officers made by the Company under the Company's tax-qualified defined contribution 401(k) Savings Plan and ESOP available generally to all employees; and (ii) matching contributions made or to be made by the Company on base salary and annual cash incentive award earned in respect of 2010 that the executive deferred under a non-qualified mirror 401(k) deferred compensation plan maintained by the Company for a select group of executives, in the following amounts: Mr. Baker, \$111,000; Mr. Fritze, \$31,600; Mr. Seifert, \$17,160; Mr. Mason, \$23,340; and Mr. Handley, \$30,480.
- (f)

  The Company maintains a self-funded, supplemental long-term disability benefit plan for a select group of executives. No specific allocation of cost is made to any named executive officer prior to the occurrence of a disability.
- (7) Mr. Seifert became employed as an executive officer May 1, 2010.
- (8) Mr. Seifert received a hiring bonus of \$120,000.
- (9) Mr. Seifert became a participant in the Company's defined benefit plans on January 1, 2011.
- (10) Mr. Mason was not a named executive officer in 2009 or 2008.

# **GRANTS OF PLAN-BASED AWARDS FOR 2010**

|   |                             | Estimated Future Payouts Under<br>Non-Equity<br>Incentive Plan Awards |                 |                 | Payout<br>Inc    | Payouts Under Equity<br>Incentive Plan<br>Awards(1,4) |               |                 | Number of  | Exercise of Source of S | Price of   | Fair Value                 |
|---|-----------------------------|---|-----------------|-----------------|------------------|---|---------------|-----------------|------------|-------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| lame  | Grant<br>Date               | Threshold (\$)  | Target (\$)     | Maximum T       | Threshold<br>(#) | l Target M<br>(#)                                     | Iaximu<br>(#) | of Stock        | Underlying | g Option                | Grant      | Option                     |
| uglas   |                             |   |                 |                 |                  |   |               |                 |            |                         |            |                            |
| ker,<br>(PEO)<br>PIP(7)<br>10<br>ock<br>entive<br>n<br>10<br>ock<br>entive<br>n | N/A<br>12/01/10<br>12/01/10 | 0   |                 | 0               | 0<br>0<br>16,648 | 0<br>0<br>41,620                                      |               | 0               | 160,100    | 0<br>\$ 48.055          | \$ 47.88   | \$ 1,677,84<br>\$ 1,904,53 |
| ven L.<br>itze  |                             |   |                 |                 |                  |   |               |                 |            |                         |            |                            |
| PIP(7)<br>)2<br>ck<br>entive  | N/A<br>05/03/10             | \$ 142,800<br>0   |                 | \$ 714,000<br>0 | 0                | 0   | (             |                 |            | \$ 49.335               | 0 \$ 49.34 |                            |
| n<br>10<br>ck<br>entive<br>n  | 12/01/10                    | 0   | 0               | 0               | 0                | 0   | (             | 0               | 42,400     | \$ 48.055               | \$ 47.88   | \$ 444,35                  |
| l0<br>ck<br>entive<br>n   | 12/01/10                    | 0   | 0               | 0               | 4,412            | 11,030  | 11,030        | 0               | 0          | C                       | 0          | \$ 504,73                  |
| nes J.<br>fert<br>PIP(7)  | N/A<br>08/04/10             |   | \$ 270,000<br>0 |                 | 0                | 0<br>0  |               | ) 0<br>) 29,980 |            | C<br>C                  |            | \$ 1,408,46                |

| ck<br>entive<br>n<br>l0<br>ck<br>entive<br>n<br>l0<br>ck<br>entive | 12/01/10<br>12/01/10 | 0          | 0               | 0       | 0<br>2,404 | 6,010  | 6,010  | 0      | 23,100 \$   | 48.055 \$ 4<br>0 | 47.88 \$<br>0 \$ | 242,08<br>275,01 |
|--|----------------------|------------|-----------------|---------|------------|--------|--------|--------|-------------|------------------|------------------|------------------|
| n<br>illip J.<br>ison<br>PIP(7)<br>10                              | N/A \$<br>12/01/10   | 102,100 \$ | 255,200 \$<br>0 | 510,300 | 0<br>0     | 0      | 0      | 0<br>0 | 0 30,800 \$ | 0<br>48.055 \$ 4 | 0<br>47.88 \$    | 322,78           |
| ck<br>entive<br>n<br>l0  | 12/01/10             | 0          | 0               | 0       | 3,208      | 8,020  | 8,020  | 0      | 0           | 0                | 0 \$             | 366,99           |
| ck<br>entive<br>n<br>omas  |                      |            |                 |         |            |        |        |        |             |                  |                  |                  |
| ndley<br>PIP(7)<br>10  | N/A \$ 12/01/10      | 126,000 \$ | 315,000 \$      | 630,000 | 0<br>0     | 0<br>0 | 0<br>0 | 0      | 0 34,700 \$ | 0<br>48.055 \$ 4 | 0<br>47.88 \$    | 363,65           |
| entive<br>n<br>l0<br>ck<br>entive                                  | 12/01/10             | 0          | 0               | 0       | 3,608      | 9,020  | 9,020  | 0      | 0           | 0                | 0 \$             | 412,75           |

Amounts reflect the threshold, target and maximum number of shares of Company Common Stock that may be earned pursuant to performance-based restricted stock unit (PBRSU) awards granted in 2010. The PBRSU awards cliff-vest after three years, subject to attainment of performance goals over a three-year performance period of 2011 to 2013. For the 2011 to 2013 performance cycle, 40% of the PBRSUs granted may be earned subject to attainment of a threshold goal of 10% average annual return on invested capital (ROIC) over the performance cycle and 100% of the PBRSUs may be earned subject to attainment of a target goal of 15% average annual ROIC over the cycle, with straight-line proration for performance results between threshold and target goals. No PBRSUs may be earned if ROIC is below the threshold goal, and no more than 100% of the PBRSUs may be earned if ROIC is above the target goal; accordingly, target and maximum are equal. Dividend equivalents are not paid or accrued during the performance period. See the discussion of performance-based restricted stock units in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis at page 40 for more

information on these awards.

- (2) Reflects the number of shares granted to Mr. Seifert under a restricted stock award. The award will vest as to one third of the shares on the first and second anniversaries of the date of grant and as to the remaining shares on the third anniversary. Dividends are not paid or accrued on unvested shares.
- Options granted in 2010 have a ten-year contractual exercise term and vest (or will be exercisable) over three years, on a cumulative basis, as to one third of the option shares on the first and second anniversaries of the date of grant and as to the remaining option shares on the third anniversary.
- If a holder terminates employment at or after age 55 with five or more years of continuous employment, stock options held at least six months will become immediately exercisable in full and the service-based vesting conditions on PBRSU awards held at least six months shall be deemed satisfied but vesting will remain subject to attainment of the performance goals; all unvested restricted stock awards will terminate and be forfeited. For a discussion of the consequences of a change-in-control on outstanding options, PBRSU awards and restricted stock awards, see page 60 under the heading "Change-in-Control."
- Our stock options are granted on the same date as our Compensation Committee approval date, and have an exercise price which is the average of the high and low market price on the date of grant. We believe that the use of the average of the high and low market price on the date of the grant removes potential same day stock volatility.
- 46 PROXY STATEMENT 2011

(6)

Represents the grant date fair value of each equity award, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. With respect to stock options, the value has been determined by application of the lattice (binomial)-pricing model, based upon the terms of the option grant and Ecolab's stock price performance history as of the date of the grant. Key assumptions include: risk-free rate of return, expected life of the option, expected stock price volatility and expected dividend yield. The specific assumptions used in the valuation of these options are located in footnote (3) to the Summary Compensation Table at page 44.

With respect to PBRSUs, the value has been determined based on the maximum award payout, consistent with the estimate of aggregate compensation cost to be recognized over the three-year vesting period of the award. See footnote (1) above for a description of the performance goals and performance period. With respect to restricted stock awards, the value represents the aggregate grant date fair value in accordance with FASB ASC topic 718, based on the average grant date share price.

(7)

The Company maintains annual cash incentive programs for executives referred to as the Management Incentive Plan or MIP and Management Performance Incentive Plan or MPIP, which are discussed in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning at page 37. In 2009, the Company's stockholders approved the version of the MPIP applicable for 2010, an annual incentive plan under which awards should qualify as performance based under Internal Revenue Code Section 162(m). As required under the terms of the MPIP, the Compensation Committee of the Board ("Committee") selected each of the named executive officers employed on the first day of the year to participate in the MPIP for 2010, established the 2010 performance goal based upon the performance criteria of diluted earnings per share ("EPS"), and EPS performance target of a designated earnings per share, and a cash award of 300% of the participant's base salary for 2010 to the extent the goal is achieved. The award is subject to and interpreted in accordance with the terms and conditions of the MPIP and no amount will be paid under the MPIP unless and until the Committee has determined the extent to which the performance goal has been met and the corresponding amount of the award earned by the participant. The MPIP permits the Committee to exercise downward discretion so as to pay an amount which is less than the amount of the award earned by the participant. In applying this downward discretion, the Committee considers underlying operating metrics communicated to the participant at the beginning of 2010, which in the case of the named executive officer participants are noted in the MPIP row of the above table. Actual payouts to each of the named executive officers with respect to 2010 are included under the Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column in the Summary Compensation Table at page 44.

# **OUTSTANDING EQUITY AWARDS AT FISCAL YEAR-END FOR 2010**

|              |   | Opti   |  | Stock Awards                        |                              |   |   |  |   |  |  |
|--------------|---|--|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|---|---|--|---|--|--|
| Name         | Number of<br>Securities<br>Underlying<br>Unexercised<br>Options<br>(#)<br>Exercisable | Number of<br>Securities<br>Underlying<br>Unexercised<br>Options<br>(#)<br>Unexercisable(1) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Unearned Options (#) | Option<br>Exercise<br>Price<br>(\$) | Option<br>Expiration<br>Date | Number<br>of<br>Shares<br>or Units<br>of Stock<br>That<br>Have<br>Not<br>Vested<br>(#)(2) | Market<br>Value of<br>Shares or<br>Units of<br>Stock That<br>Have Not<br>Vested<br>(\$) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Number of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested(3) (#) | Equity Incentive Plan Awards: Market or Payout Value of Unearned Shares, Units or Other Rights That Have Not Vested(3) (\$) |  |  |
| Douglas      |   |  |  |                                     |                              |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| M.<br>Baker, |   |  |  |                                     |                              |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| Jr.          | 314,000   | 0  | 0  | \$34.500000                         | 12/09/14                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
| (PEO)        | 303,000   | 0  | 0  | \$34.075000                         | 12/07/15                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
| (LC)         | 21,421  | 0  | 0  | \$44.810000                         | 12/06/11                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 293,100   | 0  | 0  | \$45.240000                         | 12/06/16                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 52,902  | 0  | 0  | \$43.240000                         | 12/06/11                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 37,034  | 0  | 0  | \$43.240000                         | 12/05/12                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 59,544  | 0  | 0  | \$51.445000                         | 12/05/12                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 61,885  | 0  | 0  | \$46.715000                         | 12/05/12                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 310,000   | 0  | 0  | \$49.420000                         | 12/05/17                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 280,666   | 140,334  | 0  | \$35.630000                         | 12/03/18                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 52,133  | 104,267  | 0  | \$45.665000                         | 12/02/19                     | 0   | 0   | 43,800   | \$ 2,208,396  |  |  |
|              | 0   | 160,100  | 0  | \$48.055000                         | 12/01/20                     | 0   | 0   | 41,620   | \$ 2,098,480  |  |  |
| Steven       |   |  |  |                                     |                              |   |   |  |   |  |  |
| L. Fritze    | 29,895  | 0  | 0  | \$49.335000                         | 12/05/12                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
| (PFO)        | 100,000   | 0  | 0  | \$27.390000                         | 12/11/13                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
| (110)        | 88,200  | 0  | 0  | \$34.500000                         | 12/09/14                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 83,200  | 0  | 0  | \$34.075000                         | 12/07/15                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 73,300  | 0  | 0  | \$45.240000                         | 12/06/16                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 20,411  | 0  | 0  | \$51.520000                         | 12/06/11                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 13,578  | 0  | 0  | \$51.520000                         | 05/10/12                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 1,394   | 0  | 0  | \$51.520000                         | 12/05/12                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              | 55,133  | 0  | 0  | \$44.330000                         | 12/05/12                     | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0   |  |  |
|              |   |  |  |                                     |                              |   |   |  |   |  |  |

|            | 86,100 | 0      | 0 | \$49.420000 | 12/05/17 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|------------|--------|--------|---|-------------|----------|--------|-------------|--------|----|---------|
|            | 76,466 | 38,234 | 0 | \$35.630000 | 12/03/18 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|            | 14,366 | 28,734 | 0 | \$45.665000 | 12/02/19 | 0      | 0           | 12,100 | \$ | 610,082 |
|            | 0      | 42,400 | 0 | \$48.055000 | 12/01/20 | 0      | 0           | 11,030 | \$ | 556,133 |
|            |        | •      |   | ·           |          |        |             | *      | •  | ĺ       |
| ames J.    |        |        |   |             |          |        |             |        |    |         |
| Seifert    | 0      | 23,100 | 0 | \$48.055000 | 12/01/20 | 29,980 | \$1,511,592 | 6,010  | \$ | 303,024 |
|            |        |        |   |             |          |        |             |        |    |         |
| Phillip J. |        |        |   |             |          |        |             |        |    |         |
| Mason      | 50,000 | 0      | 0 | \$29.290000 | 05/07/14 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|            | 10,800 | 0      | 0 | \$34.500000 | 12/09/14 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|            | 45,400 | 0      | 0 | \$34.075000 | 12/07/15 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|            | 29,300 | 0      | 0 | \$45.240000 | 12/06/16 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|            | 35,900 | 0      | 0 | \$49.420000 | 12/05/17 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|            | 36,733 | 18,367 | 0 | \$35.630000 | 12/03/18 | 0      | 0           | 0      |    | 0       |
|            | 7,833  | 15,667 | 0 | \$45.665000 | 12/02/19 | 0      | 0           | 6,600  | \$ | 332,772 |
|            | 0      | 30,800 | 0 | \$48.055000 | 12/01/20 | 0      | 0           | 8,020  | \$ | 404,368 |
|            |        |        |   |             |          |        |             |        |    |         |

Except for certain reload options described under footnote (3) to the Summary Compensation Table located on page 44, stock options have a ten-year contractual exercise term and vest ratably on the first three anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the post-termination and change-in-control provisions generally described at pages 56 through 62 under the heading "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control."

\$25.490000

\$34.500000

\$34.075000

\$45.240000

\$49.420000

\$35.630000

\$45.665000

\$48.055000

08/14/13

12/09/14

12/07/15

12/06/16

12/05/17

12/03/18

12/02/19

12/01/20

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

8,800

9,020

0

0

0

0

0

0

\$ 443,696

\$ 454,788

# 48 PROXY STATEMENT 2011

Thomas W. Handley

70,000

54,100

45,400

36,600

53,800

48,933

10,466

0

0

0

0

0

0

24,467

20,934

34,700

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

0

# **Table of Contents**

The vesting dates of the respective stock options held at December 31, 2010 that were unexercisable are summarized in the table below:

| Name              | Option<br>Grant<br>Date | Securities<br>vesting<br>December<br>2011 | Securities<br>vesting<br>December<br>2012 | Securities<br>vesting<br>December<br>2013 | Option<br>Expiration<br>Date |
|-------------------|-------------------------|---|---|---|------------------------------|
| Douglas M. Baker, |                         |   |   |   |                              |
| Jr.               | 12/03/08                | 140,334                                   | 0   | 0   | 12/03/18                     |
|                   | 12/02/09                | 52,133                                    | 52,134                                    | 0   | 12/02/19                     |
|                   | 12/01/10                | 53,366                                    | 53,367                                    | 53,367                                    | 12/01/20                     |
|                   |                         |   |   |   |                              |
| Steven L. Fritze  | 12/03/08                | 38,234                                    | 0   | 0   | 12/03/18                     |
|                   | 12/02/09                | 14,367                                    | 14,367                                    | 0   | 12/02/19                     |
|                   | 12/01/10                | 14,133                                    | 14,133                                    | 14,134                                    | 12/01/20                     |
|                   |                         |   |   |   |                              |
| James J. Seifert  | 12/01/10                | 7,700                                     | 7,700                                     | 7,700                                     | 12/01/20                     |
|                   |                         |   |   |   |                              |
| Phillip J. Mason  | 12/03/08                | 18,367                                    | 0   | 0   | 12/03/18                     |
|                   | 12/02/09                | 7,833                                     | 7,834                                     | 0   | 12/02/19                     |
|                   | 12/01/10                | 10,266                                    | 10,267                                    | 10,267                                    | 12/01/20                     |
| TEN XXX           |                         |   |   |   |                              |
| Thomas W.         | 10/02/00                | 24.467                                    | 0   | 0   | 12/02/10                     |
| Handley           | 12/03/08                | 24,467                                    | 0   | 0   | 12/03/18                     |
|                   | 12/02/09                | 10,467                                    | 10,467                                    | 0   | 12/02/19                     |
|                   | 12/01/10                | 11,566                                    | 11,567                                    | 11,567                                    | 12/01/20                     |

- (2)
  Represents restricted stock award granted in 2010 which vests ratably on the first three anniversaries of the date of grant, subject to the post-termination and change-in-control provisions generally described at pages 56 through 62 under the heading "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control."
- Represents performance-based restricted stock unit (PBRSU) awards which cliff-vest after three years, subject to attainment of performance goals over a three-year performance period, and assuming attainment of target (which also represents maximum) performance, as the performance over the prior three-year period has exceeded threshold. The reported market value is based on the closing market price of the Company's Common Stock on December 31, 2010 of \$50.42 per share. The awards are subject to the post-termination and change-in-control provisions generally described at pages 56 through 62 under the heading "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change-in-Control."

## **OPTION EXERCISES AND STOCK VESTED FOR 2010**

Option Awards(1)

**Stock Awards** 

Edgar Filing: ECOLAB INC - Form DEF 14A

| Name                   | Number of<br>Shares<br>Acquired on<br>Exercise<br>(#) | Value<br>Realized<br>on<br>Exercise<br>(\$) | Number of<br>Shares<br>Acquired on<br>Vesting<br>(#) | Value<br>Realized<br>on<br>Vesting<br>(\$) |
|------------------------|---|---|--|--|
| Douglas M. Baker, Jr.  |   |   |  |  |
| (PEO)                  | 276,703   | \$5,275,755                                 | 0  | 0  |
| Steven L. Fritze (PFO) | 64,508  | \$1,209,119                                 | 0  | 0  |
| James J. Seifert       | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0  |
| Phillip J. Mason       | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0  |
| Thomas W. Handley      | 0   | 0   | 0  | 0  |

The table above shows the aggregate number of shares and dollar amount realized by the named executive officer upon exercise of one or more stock options during 2010. The dollar amount realized on exercise represents the difference between the fair market value of our Common Stock on the exercise date and the exercise price of the option.

#### PENSION BENEFITS FOR 2010

| Name              | Plan Name                              | Number<br>of<br>Years<br>Credited<br>Service<br>(#) | Present Value of Accumulated Benefit (\$) | Payments During Last Fiscal Year (\$) |
|-------------------|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|
| Douglas M. Baker, |  |   |   |                                       |
| Jr. (PEO)         | Pension Plan                           | 21  | \$ 444,686                                | 0                                     |
| JI. (I LO)        | Mirror Pension Plan                    | 21  | \$6,451,881                               | 0                                     |
|                   | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan | 21  | \$2,070,486                               | 0                                     |
|                   | Supplemental Executive Retirement Fran | 21  | Ψ2,070,100                                | U                                     |
| Steven L. Fritze  |  |   |   |                                       |
| (PFO)             | Pension Plan                           | 30  | \$ 823,028                                | 0                                     |
|                   | Mirror Pension Plan                    | 30  | \$3,499,271                               | 0                                     |
|                   | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan | 30  | \$1,262,977                               | 0                                     |
|                   |  |   |   |                                       |
| James J. Seifert  | Pension Plan                           | 0   | 0   | 0                                     |
|                   | Mirror Pension Plan                    | 0   | 0   | 0                                     |
|                   | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan | 19.10   | 0   | 0                                     |
|                   |  |   |   |                                       |
| Phillip J. Mason  | Pension Plan                           | 29.69   | \$1,002,272                               | 0                                     |
|                   | Mirror Pension Plan                    | 29.69   | \$2,160,637                               | 0                                     |
|                   | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan | 29.69   | \$1,029,212                               | 0                                     |
|                   |  |   |   |                                       |
| Thomas W. Handley | Pension Plan                           | 7   | \$81,250                                  | 0                                     |
|                   | Mirror Pension Plan                    | 7   | \$159,273                                 | 0                                     |
|                   | Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan | 21.10   | \$1,041,102                               | 0                                     |

The Company maintains the following non-contributory defined benefit plans for its executives: (i) a tax-qualified plan (Pension Plan); (ii) a non-qualified excess plan (Mirror Pension); and (iii) a supplemental executive retirement plan (SERP). The preceding table shows the actuarial present value of the accumulated benefit for each executive officer under the Pension Plan, the Mirror Pension and the SERP as of December 31, 2010, using the same assumptions as are used for financial reporting purposes under generally accepted accounting principles by the Company, except that retirement age is assumed to be age 62, the earliest retirement age at which a participant may retire under the plans without any benefit reduction due to age. The current accrued benefit is allocated between the tax-qualified Pension Plan and the related supplemental non-qualified plans based on the Internal Revenue Code limitations applicable to tax-qualified plans as of December 31, 2010. The present value is determined by using a discount rate of 5.41% for 2010 and assuming that the executive officer (i) terminated employment on December 31, 2010 with vested benefits; and (ii) commenced a retirement benefit at age 62 as a single life annuity or lump sum, if available. Pension annuities were converted to lump sums, where available, using an interest rate of 3.18% and the mortality rates defined in the Mirror Pension and SERP plans as prescribed in Revenue Ruling 2001-62. The present value of the pension single life annuity assumed mortality rates from the RP 2000 Combined Healthy table with mortality improvements projected to December 31, 2010. Cash balance benefits were valued assuming future interest credits of 3.91% (the discount rate less 1.50%) for periods after December 31, 2010. The cash balance annuity conversion for the SERP offset used an interest rate of 4.41% (the discount rate less 1.00%) and the mortality rates prescribed by the IRS for 2010 pension lump sum calculations.

The Pension Plan is a tax-qualified defined benefit plan covering most U.S. employees of the Company and its U.S. affiliates. It is intended to provide long-service employees a foundation for retirement benefits in the form of regular income. Participants hired prior to January 1, 2003, including each of the named executive officers, except Messrs. Handley and Seifert, earn monthly pension benefits under the following formula ("traditional formula"): \(^1/12\) of the sum of (a) years of credited service times 1% of "final average compensation" plus (b) years of credited

service (not exceeding 35) times 0.45% of "final average compensation" minus "covered compensation." "Final average compensation" is the average of the participant's annual compensation for the five consecutive calendar years that produce the highest average,

#### **Table of Contents**

counting the participant's base salary and annual cash incentive compensation for a plan year, excluding any long-term and non-cash incentive bonuses and amounts above the IRS compensation limits for qualified plans. "Covered compensation" is the average amount determined by the IRS to have been subject to Social Security taxes over the participant's working life.

Participants hired after 2002 accrue an account credit at the end of each year equal to a fixed percentage of the participant's compensation for that year plus an interest credit applied to the participant's account balance on the first day of that year ("cash balance formula"). Compensation used in determining the credits is the participant's base salary and annual cash incentive compensation for a plan year, excluding any long-term and non-cash incentive bonuses and amounts above the IRS limits for qualified plans.

Participants become entitled to a non-forfeitable ("vested") right to their Pension Plan benefit upon completing three years of continuous service with the Company. Normal retirement date is the date on which the participant attains age 65 and has completed at least three years of continuous service. Traditional formula participants who have terminated employment with the Company may begin to receive benefit payments as early as age 55, reducing the benefit by 1/280 for each month by which payment begins before age 62. Unreduced benefits may begin after age 62. The normal form of benefit is a single life only annuity for participants who are not married and a joint and 50% survivor annuity for married participants. Subject to a spousal consent requirement for married participants, participants may select an actuarially equivalent benefit in one of the following forms: single life only annuity, joint and 75% or 100% survivor annuity (married participants only); life and five year certain annuity; and life and ten-year certain annuity.

If a participant dies after benefit commencement, payments to a beneficiary, if any, are made according to the payment option selected by the participant. If a participant with a vested traditional formula benefit dies before benefit payments commence, the participant's beneficiary is entitled to a death benefit. If the beneficiary is the participant's surviving spouse, the benefit is a life annuity beginning after the participant would have attained age 55. Other beneficiaries receive a five or ten-year annuity benefit.

Cash balance formula participants with at least three years of continuous service may commence benefit payment at any time after termination. The payment will be the actuarial equivalent value of their account balance, determined using the mortality and interest factors prescribed by the IRS. The normal form of benefit for cash balance formula participants is a single life only annuity for participants who are not married and a joint and 50% survivor annuity for married participants. Optional forms of payment for cash balance formula participants are lump-sum payment, single life annuity, and, for married participants only, joint and 75% or 100% survivor annuity. The beneficiary of a cash balance formula participant who dies before commencing benefits will receive a death benefit actuarially equivalent to the participant's account balance.

The Mirror Pension is a non-qualified plan intended to restore benefits under the tax-qualified Pension Plan for those employees whose benefits are reduced by Internal Revenue Code limits. The Mirror Pension has generally the same terms as the Pension Plan except: (i) compensation is determined without regard to the IRS limits for qualified plans; (ii) vesting is accelerated upon a change-in-control; (iii) benefits may be forfeited for certain serious misconduct; and (iv) the optional forms of benefits available to participants with respect to benefits accrued and vested as of December 31, 2004 ("Grandfathered Mirror Pension Benefits") include a lump sum payment. Benefits accrued or vested after December 31, 2004 are subject to Internal Revenue Code Section 409A ("409A Mirror Pension Benefits") and are not linked to the Pension Plan.

#### **Table of Contents**

The normal form of 409A Mirror Pension Benefit is a 10-Year Annual Installment payout commencing upon the later of attainment of age 55 or separation from service for traditional formula participants or upon separation from service for cash balance formula participants, provided that payment to a "specified employee" (corporate officers, including each of the named executive officers) may not commence earlier than six months after separation from service. Optional forms of benefits available to participants include 5-Year Annual Installments, Lump Sum or an Annuity Option (Single Life, Life & 5-Year Certain, Life and 10-Year Certain, and for married participants, Joint and 50%, 75% or 100% Survivor). Participants were permitted to make a transition election as to an optional form of benefit for their 409A Mirror Pension Benefit before the end of 2008 as permitted under 409A regulations. Any subsequent change in optional form by a participant is subject to the "1-year/5-year rule" which requires that the change be made 12 months before separation from service and must not become effective for 12 months after the election is made (the 1-year rule), and the payment commencement date must be delayed for 5-years after the original commencement date (the 5-year rule). A participant who elects an Annuity Option may choose among the various types of annuity forms at any time before separation from service. Despite the plan's normal form of benefit or a participant's election of an optional form of benefit, the Company will cash out the participant's Grandfathered Mirror Pension Benefit and/or the participant's 409A Mirror Pension Benefit in a lump sum if the present value of such portion of the benefit at the time of distribution does not exceed \$25,000.

The SERP is a non-qualified supplemental executive retirement plan intended to ensure a pension benefit that replaces a significant portion of the income of certain executives. The maximum SERP benefit equals 2% of final average compensation times years of credited service (up to 30 years), reduced by the benefits payable under the Pension Plan, the Mirror Pension and 50% of the age 65 Primary Social Security benefit. A participant age 65 with 30 years of service would receive benefits from all three defined benefit plans equal to 60% of final average compensation (less 50% of the age 65 Social Security benefit). For executives hired by the Company after age 35 and therefore unable to earn the maximum benefit at age 65, the SERP provides an additional "past service benefit." The annual past service benefit equals 1% of the difference between final average compensation and annualized earnings at the time of joining the Company ("first year earnings") multiplied by the difference between the executive's age at date of hire and 35. Material terms of the SERP are similar to those of the Pension Plan except: (i) compensation is determined without regard to the IRS limits for qualified plans; (ii) the SERP benefit vests upon attainment of age 55 and completion of ten-years of service or attainment of age 65; (iii) vesting is accelerated upon a change-in-control; (iv) benefits may be forfeited for certain serious misconduct; (v) participants hired after age 35 are credited with additional "past service credit" equal to one year for each year by which the executive's age at date of hire exceeded 35. In addition, the normal form of benefit with respect to SERP benefits accrued and vested as of December 31, 2004 ("Grandfathered SERP Benefits") is a 15-year certain monthly annuity commencing at age 65 and participants may elect to receive an actuarially equivalent benefit in any of the optional forms of payment available under the Pension Plan or in a lump sum. SERP benefits accrued or vested after December 31, 2004 are subject to Internal Revenue Code Section 409A ("409A SERP Benefits"). The normal form of benefit, election of optional forms of benefit and time of commencement of the 409A SERP Benefit are linked to the Mirror Pension. Despite the normal form of benefit or a participant's optional form of benefit election, the Company will cash out the participant's Pre-409A SERP Benefit and/or the participant's 409A SERP Benefit in a lump sum if the present value of such portion of the benefit at the time of distribution does not exceed \$25,000.

## **Table of Contents**

Messrs. Seifert and Handley were hired by the Company after age 35 and will benefit from the past service benefit and past service credits under the SERP. The SERP benefit in the above table includes past service benefits as follows: Mr. Seifert, \$0 and 19.10 years of past service credit; and Mr. Handley, \$507,841 and 14.10 years of past service credit. Mr. Seifert became a participant January 1, 2011.

In 2010, we amended the SERP to eliminate further benefit accruals after December 31, 2020.

Messrs. Fritze and Mason are the only named executive officers who are currently eligible for early retirement under the Pension Plan, Mirror Pension and SERP. As a cash balance formula participant, Mr. Handley would be eligible to receive his vested benefits under the Pension Plan and Mirror Pension upon separation from service.

The Company does not grant extra years of credited service under the Pension Plan or the Mirror Plan except as approved by its Board of Directors. Prior service credits have been approved by the Board in limited circumstances in connection with a business acquisition or merger, entry into plan participation by employees formerly participating in a union plan while employed with the Company and for employment with the Company before the Pension Plan was adopted in 1972. None of the named executive officers has been granted extra years of service under these plans. The SERP grants extra years of credited service for executive officers hired by the Company after age 35. Messrs. Seifert and Handley have been granted extra years as noted above in the discussion of the SERP.

#### NON-QUALIFIED DEFERRED COMPENSATION FOR 2010

| Name                   | Executive Contributions in Last FY(1,2) (\$) | Registrant Contributions in Last FY(1) (\$) | Aggregate<br>Earnings<br>in<br>Last FY<br>(\$) | Aggregate<br>Withdrawals/<br>Distributions<br>(\$) | Aggregate<br>Balance at<br>Last<br>FYE(2)<br>(\$) |
|------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| Douglas M. Baker, Jr.  |  |   |  |  |   |
| (PEO)                  | \$131,750                                    | \$105,400                                   | \$158,910                                      | 0  | \$1,862,775                                       |
| Steven L. Fritze (PFO) | \$38,250                                     | \$30,600                                    | \$190,955                                      | 0  | \$2,238,917                                       |
| James J. Seifert       | \$ 14,063                                    | \$2,200                                     | \$ 1,670                                       | 0  | \$ 17,932   |
| Phillip J. Mason       | \$ 20,175                                    | \$16,140                                    | \$ 13,726                                      | 0  | \$ 297,349  |
| Thomas W. Handley      | \$ 32,750                                    | \$26,200                                    | \$ 77,820                                      | 0  | \$1,249,610                                       |

- (1) Contributions credited in 2010 include deferrals and match on base salary earned in 2010 and annual cash incentive earned in respect of 2009.
- Amounts reported for executive contributions and included in the aggregate balance at year-end include the following amounts which were reported as salary in 2010 in the Summary Compensation Table at page 44 and which were deferred by each named executive officer: Mr. Baker, \$37,750; Mr. Fritze, \$13,250; Mr. Seifert, \$2,750; Mr. Mason, \$7,375; and Mr. Handley, \$10,250. Amounts reported in the aggregate balance at last fiscal year end include the following amounts which were reported for 2010 and which were previously reported as compensation to the named executive officer in the Summary Compensation Table for previous years and which were deferred in 2006 through 2010: Mr. Baker, \$527,600; Mr. Fritze, \$628,949; Mr. Seifert, \$2,750; Mr. Mason, \$7,375; and Mr. Handley, \$328,825.

The Mirror Savings Plan is a non-qualified mirror 401(k) deferred compensation excess plan which enables executives to obtain benefits of a tax-deferred savings and investment program without regard to limits on compensation and benefits imposed by the Internal Revenue Code on the Company's tax-qualified deferred compensation plan. The plan is unfunded and does not protect the executive from insolvency of the Company.

Participants may defer up to 25% of base salary and up to 100% of annual cash incentive compensation for a calendar year. The Company credits a matching contribution equal to (i) 100% of the amount of the executive's deferrals that do not exceed 3% of covered compensation plus (ii) 50% of the executive's deferrals that exceed 3% but do not exceed 5% of the executive's covered compensation. An account is maintained on the Company's books in the name of each executive. The account is credited with phantom earnings at the same rate as earnings on externally managed investment funds available to participants of the Company's tax-qualified deferred compensation plan. An executive is allowed to elect the investment fund or funds that will apply and may change the election at any time; provided that (i) an executive officer is not permitted to elect the Company stock fund, and (ii) effective January 1, 2006, the Company discontinued making its matching contributions to the Company stock fund. The

## **Table of Contents**

earnings rate applicable to each such investment fund for 2010 is as set forth in the following table:

| Fund<br>Name  | 2010 Earnings<br>Rate |
|---|-----------------------|
| Managed Income Portfolio II   | 1.57%                 |
| Fidelity Money Market Trust Retirement Money Market Portfolio         | 0.02%                 |
| Fidelity Government Income Fund                                       | 5.11%                 |
| PIMCO Total Return Fund Administrative Class                          | 8.56%                 |
| SSgA Target Retirement Income Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II | 9.62%                 |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2010 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class I    | 12.25%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2015 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 13.72%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2020 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 14.62%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2025 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 15.30%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2030 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 15.78%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2035 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 16.02%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2040 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 16.15%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2045 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 16.13%                |
| SSgA Target Retirement 2050 Securities Lending Series Fund-Class II   | 16.14%                |
| Fidelity Puritan® Fund  | 14.04%                |
| Spartan® 500 Index Fund Investor Class                                | 14.98%                |
| Harbor Capital Appreciation Fund Institutional Class                  | 11.61%                |
| Dodge & Cox Stock Fund  | 13.49%                |
| Spartan Extended Market Index Fund                                    | 28.58%                |
| Invesco U.S. Small Cap Value Fund Class Y Shares                      | 30.30%                |
| Hartford Small Company Fund Class 1A                                  | 24.13%                |
| Dodge & Cox International Stock Fund                                  | 13.69%                |
| Ecolab Stock Fund   | 14.48%                |

Participants, including the named executive officers, are always 100% vested in their deferred compensation account and are entitled to receive a distribution in cash upon termination, death or disability. The normal form of distribution with respect to the portion of the account attributable to contributions made before 2005 ("Grandfathered Mirror Savings Benefit") is a single lump sum, but an executive may elect to receive such portion of the account in the form of annual installments over a period not to exceed ten years. The portion of the executive's account attributable to contributions made after 2004 is subject to Internal Revenue Code Section 409A ("409A Mirror Savings Benefit"). The normal form of 409A Mirror Savings Benefit is a 10-Year Annual Installment payout commencing upon separation from service, provided that payment to a "specified employee" (corporate officers, including each of the named executive officers) may not commence earlier than six months after separation from service. Optional forms of benefits available to participants include 5-Year Annual Installments or Lump Sum. Participants were permitted to make a transition election as to an optional form of benefit for their 409A Mirror Savings Benefit before the end of 2008 as permitted under 409A regulations. Any subsequent change in optional form by a participant is subject to the "1-year/5-year rule" which requires that the change be made 12 months before separation from service and must not become effective for 12 months after the election is made (the 1-year

PROXY STATEMENT 2011

55

#### **Table of Contents**

rule), and the payment commencement date must be delayed for 5-years after separation from service (the 5-year rule). Despite the plan's normal form of benefit or a participant's election of an optional form of benefit, the Company will cash out the participant's Grandfathered Mirror Savings Benefit and/or the participant's 409A Mirror Savings Benefit in a lump sum if the present value of such portion of the benefit at the time of distribution does not exceed \$25,000. Deferrals may be withdrawn during employment only upon an unforeseeable emergency and are limited to the amount needed to satisfy such emergency. Company matching amounts are not available for such in-service withdrawal and are subject to forfeiture for certain serious misconduct.

# POTENTIAL PAYMENTS UPON TERMINATION OR CHANGE-IN-CONTROL

The Company maintains certain plans, policies and practices covering named executive officers that will require it to provide incremental compensation upon certain types of terminations, including termination due to a change-in-control of the Company.

**Overview** The following discussion describes additional amounts that the Company would pay or provide to a named executive officer or his or her beneficiaries as a result of termination of employment in each of the following situations: voluntary resignation, discharge for cause, discharge without cause, resignation due to constructive discharge, death or disability and change-in-control of the Company. For purposes of this discussion, estimated benefits are calculated as if the termination occurred on December 31, 2010 and that the value of a share of the Company's stock on that day was \$50.42, the closing price on December 31, 2010, the last trading day of 2010.

As permitted by SEC rules, the following discussion and amounts do not include the payments and benefits that are not enhanced by the termination of employment or change-in-control. These payments and benefits are referred to hereafter in this discussion as "vested benefits" and include:

benefits accrued under the Company's tax-qualified Pension Plan and tax-qualified deferred compensation 401(k) plan in which all employees participate;

benefits provided under a retiree health and death benefits program in which all employees participate;

accrued vacation pay, health and life insurance plan continuation and other similar amounts payable when employment terminates under programs applicable to the Company's salaried employees generally;

payment of earned annual cash incentive payable if employed through the end of the year described at page 37;

benefits accrued under the Company's non-qualified mirror 401(k) deferred compensation plan described in connection with the Non-Qualified Deferred Compensation table at page 54;

benefits accrued that have become vested under the Company's non-qualified Mirror Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan ("SERP") described in connection with the Pension Benefits table at page 50;

stock options that have vested and become exercisable as described at page 40 and 48;

## **Table of Contents**

performance-based restricted stock unit ("PBRSU") awards that have vested upon attainment of the relevant performance goals as described at page 40; and

shares of restricted stock that have vested as described at page 40.

**Voluntary Resignation** The Company is not obligated to pay any amounts in addition to the named executive officer's vested benefits in the event of a voluntary termination of employment, unless the executive's age and years of service qualify for special provisions applicable for retirement under the plans described below.

Annual Cash Incentive If termination is after age 55 and completion of at least three years of service, the executive would receive payment of a portion of the annual cash incentive under the Company's annual cash incentive program (Management Performance Incentive Plan or "MPIP" and Management Incentive Plan or "MIP") which is described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning at page 37 and as part of the table entitled "Grants of Plan Based Awards in 2010" at page 46 earned for the year that is proportionate to the portion of the performance period under the Plan that was completed prior to the termination of employment. The earned annual cash incentive payable to such an eligible executive officer for termination on December 31, 2010 would be the full amount of the actual annual cash incentive earned as reported as Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table at page 44.

Retiree Death Benefit Elected corporate officers who terminate employment at or after (i) attaining age 55 and completing at least ten-years of service or (ii) attaining age 65 are covered by an executive retiree death benefit program. Under the program, the beneficiary of the retired executive is entitled to a death benefit equal to the lesser of (i) 200% of the executive's average compensation for the five consecutive years of employment preceding retirement which yields the highest average compensation, or (ii) \$750,000.

Options If termination is after (i) age 55 and (ii) completion of (a) at least three years of service for options granted prior to 2010 and (b) at least five years of service for options granted in 2010, the executive would be entitled to accelerated vesting for options held at least six months and extended, post-retirement exercise period of five years (or the remaining term of the options, if shorter).

PBRSUs If termination is after (i) age 55 and (ii) completion of (a) at least three years of service for PBRSU awards granted prior to 2010 and (b) at least five years of service for PBRSU awards granted in 2010, service-vesting conditions with respect to PBRSU awards held at least six months will be deemed satisfied but vesting remains subject to attainment of performance goals.

Messrs. Fritze, Mason and Handley are the only named executive officers who would have been entitled to some or all of such special retirement provisions as of December 31, 2010 as follows:

Mr. Fritze, \$525,000 annual cash incentive, \$750,000 retiree death benefit coverage, 66,968 accelerated options at \$702,111 value, and 12,100 accelerated PBRSU awards at \$610,082 value; Mr. Mason, \$436,000 annual cash incentive; \$750,000 retiree death benefit coverage, 34,034 accelerated options at \$346,145 value, and 6,600 accelerated PBRSU awards at \$332,772 value; and Mr. Handley \$557,000 annual cash incentive, 45,401 accelerated options at \$461,408 value, and 8,800 accelerated PBRSU awards at \$443,696 value.

#### **Table of Contents**

**Discharge for Cause** The Company is not obligated to pay any amounts in addition to the named executive officer's vested benefits in the event of a termination of employment for cause. The executive's right to exercise vested options expires and unvested PBRSU and restricted stock awards are forfeited upon discharge for cause. Cause under the Company's stock incentive plans includes (a) deliberate injury or attempted injury related to the Company or any subsidiary, including dishonesty, fraud, misrepresentation, or embezzlement; (b) any unlawful or criminal activity of a serious nature; (c) any intentional and deliberate material breach of duty; or (d) material breach of any confidentiality or non-compete agreement.

An elected corporate officer with qualifying age and years of service would receive coverage under the retiree death benefit program described in the above section entitled "Voluntary Resignation."

**Death or Disability** In the event of a termination as a result of death or disability, the named executive officer or his or her beneficiaries would be entitled to the following benefits in addition to his or her vested benefits.

Executive Long-Term Disability Benefits An executive who becomes "disabled" will, following a 180-day elimination period, receive payments from the Company equal to 60% of his or her base salary and annual cash incentive, reduced by the benefit paid under the Company's insured long-term disability plan available to all full time employees (which is limited to \$15,000 per month). Total disability benefits are limited to \$35,000 per month. An executive is "disabled" during the first 18 months if he or she cannot earn at least 80% of his or her pre-disability compensation at his or her own occupation. After 18 months, the executive is "disabled" if he or she cannot earn at least 80% of his or her pre-disability compensation at any occupation for which he or she is qualified by training, education or experience. Benefits may continue until the executive reaches Social Security Normal Retirement Age, subject to certain minimum lengths of payment. Benefits are limited to 24 months if disability is a result of mental illness that results from any cause; any condition that may result from mental illness; alcoholism which is under treatment; or the non-medical use of narcotics, sedatives, stimulants, hallucinogens or any other such substance.

Executive Life Insurance If the executive dies, his beneficiary will receive an insured basic executive death benefit equal to three times the executive's annual compensation for the year preceding the death, subject to a maximum benefit of \$9,000,000. The basic executive death benefit which would have been payable to the beneficiaries of each of the named executive officers for a death as of December 31, 2010 would be as follows: Mr. Baker, \$7,191,000; Mr. Fritze, \$2,987,997; Mr. Seifert, \$1,350,000; Mr. Mason, \$1,809,000; and Mr. Handley, \$1,944,000. If the death is accidental, the beneficiary would receive an additional accidental death benefit amount equal to the basic executive death benefit, subject to a maximum of \$6,000,000. If the executive's death occurs during travel on Company business, the benefit would be increased by three times the executive's annual compensation for the year preceding the death, subject to a maximum business travel benefit of \$6,000,000.

Annual Cash Incentive Payment of the annual cash incentive under the Company's annual cash incentive program (Management Performance Incentive Plan or "MPIP" and Management Incentive Plan or "MIP") which is described in the Compensation Discussion and Analysis beginning at page 37 and as part of the table entitled "Grants of Plan Based Awards For 2010" at page 46 earned for the year that is proportionate to the portion of the performance period under the Plan that was completed prior to the termination of employment. The earned annual cash incentive payable to each of the

#### **Table of Contents**

named executive officers for termination due to death or disability on December 31, 2010 would be the full amount of the actual annual cash incentive earned as reported as Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation column of the Summary Compensation Table at page 44.

Executive Financial Counseling The Company's Executive Financial Counseling Plan pays for financial, investment, tax and estate planning, tax preparation and tax audit assistance. The benefits are available through the end of the calendar year following the calendar year in which the executive's death or disability occurs, with a maximum annual benefit equal to 5% for the principal executive officer's, and 3% for each of the other named executive officer's, rate of annual base compensation as of the first day of the year (or the date of employment in the case of an executive officer employed after January 1), which in the case of the named executive officers would be as follows: Mr. Baker, \$50,000; Mr. Fritze, \$15,300; Mr. Seifert, \$13,500; Mr. Mason, \$10,800; and Mr. Handley, \$13,500.

Options If employment terminates as a result of death or disability, the vesting of options is accelerated and the post-death/disability exercise period is extended to five years (or the remaining term of the options, if shorter). Accelerated vesting for each of the named executive officers would be as follows: Mr. Baker 404,701 shares at \$2,949,966 value; Mr. Fritze, 109,368 shares at \$802,387 value; Mr. Seifert, 23,100 shares at \$54,632 value; Mr. Mason, 64,834 shares at \$418,987 value; and Mr. Handley, 80,101 shares at \$543,474 value.

PBRSU Awards If employment terminates as a result of death or disability, service-based vesting conditions on PBRSU awards will be deemed satisfied but vesting remains subject to attainment of performance goals. Accelerated vesting for each of the named executive officers would be as follows, assuming full attainment of performance goals, payment after the end of the performance period and a stock price of \$50.42, the closing price on December 31, 2010: Mr. Baker, 85,420 units at \$4,306,876 value; Mr. Fritze, 23,130 units at \$1,166,215 value; Mr. Seifert, 6,010 units at \$303,024 value; Mr. Mason, 14,620 units at \$737,140 value; and Mr. Handley, 17,820 units at \$898,484 value.

Restricted Stock Awards If employment terminates as a result of death or disability, the vesting of restricted stock awards is accelerated. In the case of Mr. Seifert, accelerated vesting of restricted stock awards would be as follows: 29,980 units at \$1,511,592 value.

**Discharge Not for Cause; Resignation Due to Constructive Discharge**The Company negotiates severance arrangements on a case-by-case basis if an executive's employment is terminated involuntarily without cause or if the executive resigns as a result of a constructive discharge. Any such negotiated settlement would require the named executive officer to sign a general release and waiver of claims against the Company and would typically require compliance with confidentiality and non-compete restrictions. Payment of such severance will generally be made in equal installments over regular payroll periods. For purposes of this disclosure, such a negotiated severance is estimated to include payment of up to two years base salary and target annual cash incentive for each of the named executive officers, as follows: Mr. Baker, \$4,600,000; Mr. Fritze, \$1,734,000; Mr. Seifert, \$1,440,000; Mr. Mason, \$1,445,000; and Mr. Handley, \$1,530,000.

At the discretion of the Compensation Committee, the vesting of options may be accelerated or extended and the exercise period extended. However, no option may remain exercisable or continue to vest for more than two years beyond the date such option would have terminated if

## **Table of Contents**

not for the Compensation Committee's action, or beyond its expiration date, whichever first occurs. In addition, the Compensation Committee may, at its discretion, accelerate the vesting of PBRSU and restricted stock awards. The PBRSU awards granted in 2010 further provide that vesting of the service-based vesting conditions will be accelerated on a pro-rated basis in the event an executive's employment is terminated without cause, with payment of the pro-rated award subject to satisfaction of applicable performance criteria.

In addition, if the executive's position, age and years of service qualify at time of termination, the executive would receive benefits under the same special provisions applicable for retirement as are described in the section entitled voluntary resignation above. As noted in that section, Messrs. Fritze, Mason and Handley are the only named executive officers who would have been entitled to such special retirement provisions as of December 31, 2010.

**Change-in-Control** The Company maintains a Change-in-Control Severance Compensation Policy (the "Policy") which applies to elected officers (other than assistant officers) of the Company, including each named executive officer listed in the Summary Compensation Table at page 44. The Board of Directors may terminate the Policy after two years' advance notice except that the Policy may not be terminated within two years after a change-in-control has occurred.

The Policy entitles the officer to a severance payment if, within two years following a change-in-control, the officer's employment with the Company is terminated without Just Cause (as defined in the Policy) or the officer voluntarily terminates employment for Good Reason (as defined in the Policy). The severance payment is paid in a lump sum equal to the sum of (i) two times the sum of the officer's base salary plus target annual cash incentive; plus (ii) a pro-rated portion of the target annual cash incentive for the year of termination. The officer also is entitled to payment of reasonable outplacement service fees up to 20% of base salary and continuation, for up to 18 months, of medical and dental health coverage at the cost the officer paid prior to termination of employment. The Policy does not provide a gross-up for the 280G excise tax. However, the Policy does provide for a reduction of payments if the Policy results in higher after-tax income to the participant due to 280G excise tax. As a condition of the payment of such benefits, the officer must release the Company from employment-related claims.

The Company's non-qualified Mirror Pension and Supplemental Executive Retirement Plan discussed under the section entitled Pension Benefits For 2010 at page 50 provide that the interests of participants shall vest and become non-forfeitable upon a change-in-control of the Company. Each named executive officer listed in the Summary Compensation Table at page 44 participates in such deferred compensation plans. Mr. Seifert's participation commenced January 1, 2011.

Upon a change-in-control, the vesting for outstanding stock options, PBRSUs and restricted stock awards that were granted prior to 2010 would be accelerated (but no extended exercise period for options). If any outstanding option, PBRSU award or restricted stock award granted in 2010 is continued, assumed or replaced by the Company or the surviving or successor entity in connection with the change-in-control, and if within two years after the change in control an executive's employment or other service is terminated without cause or is terminated by the executive for good reason, then (i) each of the executive's outstanding options will become exercisable in full and remain exercisable for the remaining term of the option, (ii) each of the holder's unvested restricted stock awards and PBRSU awards will fully vest, and (iii) any performance goals applicable to the holder's PBRSU awards will be deemed to have been satisfied to the maximum degree. If any outstanding option, PBRSU award or restricted stock award is not continued, assumed or replaced in connection with the change-in-control, then the

## **Table of Contents**

same consequences as specified in clauses (i) through (iii) of the previous sentence will occur in connection with a change-in-control unless and to the extent the Compensation Committee elects to terminate such options or awards in exchange for a payment with respect to each option or award in an amount equal to the excess, if any, between the fair market value of the shares subject to the option or award immediately prior to the effective date of such change-in-control (which may be the fair market value of the consideration to be received in the change of control transaction for the same number of shares) over the aggregate exercise price (if any) for the shares subject to such option or award (or, if there is not excess, such option or award may be terminated without payment).

For purposes of the Policy and stock incentive plans, the term "change-in-control" means the occurrence of any of the events set forth in the paragraph immediately below. This definition was amended in 2010 and the definition below has been marked to show the changes. The amended definition applies to the Policy and stock incentive grants made in 2010; the prior definition continues to apply to the deferred compensation plans and to stock incentive grants made prior to 2010. (Double underline text indicates language added in the 2010 amendments; strikethrough text indicates language deleted in the 2010 amendments.)

A change-in-control of the Company occurs if:

a person or group acquires 25% or more of the Company's outstanding voting power; However, if the acquisition was approved by the Board of Directors, then a change in control occurs at 34% ownership. If the acquiring person, prior to becoming a 25% shareholder, has entered into (and is in compliance with) a shareholder agreement which imposes limits on the person's maximum Company shareholdings, then a change in control occurs only upon acquisition of 50% of the Company's voting power;

during any 36 consecutive month period, individuals who constitute the Board on the first day of the period or any new director (other than a director whose initial assumption of office is in connection with an actual or threatened election relating to the election of directors) whose election or nomination for election by the Company's stockholders was approved or recommended by a vote of at least two-thirds of the directors then still in office who were directors on the first day of such period (or whose election or nomination were previously so approved) shall cease for any reason to constitute at least a majority of the Board of Directors;

the Company engages in a merger or consolidation, other than a merger or consolidation in which the Company's voting securities immediately prior to the transaction continue to represent over 50% of the voting power of the Company or the surviving entity immediately after the transaction and in which no person or group acquires 50% or more of the voting power of the Company or surviving entity; and

the Company's stockholders approve a plan of complete liquidation or the Company sells all or substantially all of the Company's assets, other than to an entity with more than 50% of its voting power owned by the Company's stockholders in substantially the same proportion as their ownership of the Company immediately prior to the sale.

## **Table of Contents**

The table below summarizes the maximum additional payments the Company would be obligated to make if a qualifying termination due to a change-in-control occurred on December 31, 2010.

| Severance Payments |             |             |              | <b>Equity Awards</b> |                 |             |              |          |              |      |
|--------------------|-------------|-------------|--------------|----------------------|-----------------|-------------|--------------|----------|--------------|------|
|                    |             |             |              |                      |                 |             |              |          | ( <b>C</b> ) |      |
|                    |             |             |              |                      |                 |             |              | Accelera | ated Portion |      |
|                    |             |             |              |                      | <b>(A)</b>      | <b>(I</b>   | 3)           |          | of           |      |
|                    | Cash        | Accelerated |              | Health               | Total           | Accelerated | l Portion of | PBRS     | SU & RS      |      |
|                    | Lump        | Portion of  | Outplacement | Insurance            | Severance       | Stock (     | Options      | Av       | wards        | P    |
| ame                | Sum         | Pension(1)  | Service Fees | Premiums             | <b>Payments</b> | Number(2)   | Value(3)     | Number   | Value(4)     | 1    |
|                    |             |             |              |                      |                 |             |              |          |              |      |
| 1. Baker, Jr.      | \$4,600,000 | \$2,070,486 | \$200,000    | \$19,308             | \$6,889,794     | 404,701     | \$2,949,966  | 85,420   | \$4,306,876  | \$1  |
| Fritze             | \$1,734,000 | 0           | \$102,000    | \$19,308             | \$1,855,308     | 109,368     | \$ 802,387   | 23,130   | \$1,166,215  | \$   |
| eifert             | \$1,440,000 | 0           | \$ 90,000    | \$19,308             | \$1,549,308     | 23,100      | \$ 54,632    | 35,990   | \$1,814,616  | \$   |
| Mason              | \$1,445,000 | 0           | \$ 85,000    | \$10,033             | \$1,540,033     | 64,834      | \$ 418,987   | 14,620   | \$ 737,140   | \$   |
| V. Handley         | \$1,530,000 | \$1,041,102 | \$ 90,000    | \$19,308             | \$2,680,410     | 80,101      | \$ 543,474   | 17,820   | \$ 898,484   | \$ . |
|                    |             |             |              |                      |                 |             |              |          |              |      |

- (1)
  Represents that portion of the actuarial present value of accumulated pension benefits reported in the Pension Benefits For 2010 table at page 50 which would become payable upon a change-in-control as a result of acceleration of vesting.
- (2) Total number of unvested options as of December 31, 2010.
- Represents the difference between the closing price of our Common Stock as of December 31, 2010 (\$50.42) and the exercise price of each option. All options may be exercised at any time during the three months (or five years if retirement eligible) after employment after the change-in-control, but not beyond the original ten-year term of the option.
- (4) Represents the value of PBRSU and restricted stock awards accelerated as of December 31, 2010 (\$50.42).
- (5)
  Represents the sum of amounts in Column (A) Total Severance Payouts, (B) Accelerated Portion of Stock Options and (C) Accelerated Portion of PBRSU and restricted stock awards.

(1)

# **EQUITY COMPENSATION PLAN INFORMATION**

The following table presents, as of December 31, 2010, compensation plans (including individual compensation arrangements) under which our equity securities are authorized for issuance.

| Plan Category  | (a) Number of securities to be issued upon exercise of outstanding options, warrants and rights | of | (b)<br>Weighted average<br>exercise price<br>outstanding options,<br>varrants and rights | (c) Number of securities remaining available for future issuance under equity compensation plans (excluding securities reflected in column (a)) |
|--|---|----|--|---|
| Equity compensation plans approved by security holders     | 21,008,093(1)   | \$ | 38.66  | 11,608,387  |
| Equity compensation plans not approved by security holders | -0-   |    |  | -0-   |
| Total  | 21,008,093(1)   | \$ | 38.66  | 11,608,387  |

Includes 162,088 Common Stock equivalents under our 2001 Non-Employee Director Stock Option and Deferred Compensation Plan. These Common Stock equivalents represent deferred compensation earned by non-employee directors and are excluded from the calculation of weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights in column (b) of this table. Includes 338 shares of our Common Stock subject to stock options with a weighted-average exercise price of \$43.39, which we assumed in connection with our acquisition of Alcide Corporation effective July 30, 2004. These assumed options are deemed exempt from shareholder approval under Rule 303A.08 of the New York Stock Exchange in accordance with our notice to the NYSE dated August 18, 2004. The respective Alcide plans were amended to prohibit future grants. Includes 845,630 Common Stock equivalents under our 2005 and 2010 Stock Incentive Plans. These Common Stock equivalents represent performance-based restricted stock units payable to employees and are excluded from the calculation of weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights in column (b) of this table. Includes 12,350 Common Stock equivalents under our 2010 Stock Incentive Plan. These Common Stock equivalents represent restricted stock units payable to employees and are excluded from the calculation of weighted average exercise price of outstanding options, warrants and rights in column (b) of this table.

## **AUDIT COMMITTEE REPORT**

The Audit Committee operates under a written Charter and the functions of the Committee are described under the heading "Board Committees Audit Committee" at page 11 hereof. The Audit Committee's Charter recognizes that (i) it is the responsibility of management to prepare the Company's financial statements in accordance with Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America and to maintain an effective system of financial control; and (ii) it is the responsibility of the independent auditors to plan and conduct the annual audit and express their opinion on the consolidated financial statements in accordance with professional standards. As recognized in the Charter, the Committee's responsibilities include overseeing the work of the participants in the financial reporting and control process.

In this context, the Audit Committee has (i) reviewed and discussed the audited consolidated financial statements of the Company as of December 31, 2010 and for the year then ended (the "Financial Statements") with management which has represented that the Financial Statements were prepared in accordance with Accounting Principles Generally Accepted in the United States of America, (ii) discussed the Financial Statements with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (our independent registered public accounting firm), including the matters required to be discussed by Public Company Accounting Oversight Board AU Section 380, "Communications with Audit Committees," and (iii) received the written disclosures and the letter from PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountant's communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP their independence. The Committee has also considered whether PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's provision of non-audit services as described below under the heading "Audit Fees" is compatible with maintaining PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's independence.

Based on the foregoing review and discussions, the Audit Committee recommended to the Board of Directors, and the Board has approved, that the Financial Statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2010 for filing with the SEC

Dated: February 25, 2011

Arthur L. Higgins Joel W. Johnson Robert L. Lumpkins C. Scott O'Hara Victoria J. Reich

#### **AUDIT FEES**

The following table presents fees for professional services rendered by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

| Fee Category          | 2010        | 2009        |
|-----------------------|-------------|-------------|
| Audit Fees(1)         | \$6,721,000 | \$6,511,000 |
| Audit-related Fees(2) | \$ 72,000   | \$ 121,000  |
| Tax Fees(3)           | \$ 231,000  | \$ 126,000  |
| All Other Fees(4)     | 0           | 0           |

- Fees and expenses paid to PwC for: (i) annual audit (annual audit and quarterly reviews of the consolidated financial statements required to be performed in accordance with generally accepted auditing standards); (ii) 404 attestation services (attestation services relating to the report on the Company's internal controls as specified in Section 404 of Sarbanes-Oxley Act the aggregate fees and expenses for these services were \$1,054,000 in 2010 and \$1,058,000 in 2009); (iii) statutory audits (statutory audits or financial audits and accounting consultations for subsidiaries or affiliates required to be performed in accordance with local regulations); (iv) regulatory financial filings (services associated with SEC registration statements, periodic reports and other documents filed with the SEC or other documents issued in connection with securities offerings (e.g., comfort letters, consents) and assistance in responding to SEC comment letters); and (v) consultations on accounting and disclosure matters (consultations by the Company's management as to the accounting or disclosure treatment of transactions or events and/or the actual or potential impact of final or proposed rules, standards or interpretations by the SEC, FASB or other regulatory or standard setting bodies).
- Fees and expenses paid to PwC for: (i) agreed-upon procedures (agreed-upon or expanded audit procedures related to accounting records required to respond to or comply with financial, accounting or regulatory matters); (ii) attest services; and (iii) employee benefit plan audits (financial statement audits of pension and other employee benefit plans).
- Fees and expenses paid to PwC for: (i) U.S. federal, state and local tax compliance (preparation and/or review of tax returns including sales and use tax, excise tax, income tax and property tax, as well as, consultation regarding applicable handling of items for tax returns, required disclosures, elections and filing positions available to the Company); (ii) U.S. federal, state and local tax advice (assistance with tax audits, technical interpretations, applicable laws and regulations, tax advice on mergers, acquisitions and restructurings); (iii) international non-U.S. tax compliance (preparation and review of income, local, VAT, and GST tax returns or other tax filings, required disclosures, elections and filing positions available to the Company); (iv) international non-U.S. tax advice (assistance with tax examinations (but not legal or other representation in tax courts or agencies), advice on various matters including foreign tax credit, foreign income tax, tax accounting, foreign earnings and profits, U.S. treatment of foreign subsidiary income, VAT, GST, excise tax or equivalent taxes in the jurisdiction, and tax advice on restructurings, mergers, and acquisitions); and (v) transfer pricing (advice and assistance with respect to transfer pricing matters, including preparation of reports used by the Company to comply with taxing authority documentation requirements regarding royalties

and inter-company pricing and assistance with tax exemptions).

(4) This category includes all fees paid to PwC that must be disclosed and are appropriately not included in the Audit, Audit-Related and Tax categories. No such fees were incurred for the years ended December 31, 2010 and 2009.

All of the professional services provided by PwC in 2010 and 2009 were approved or pre-approved in accordance with policies of the Audit Committee and the Company. The Audit Committee has pre-approved projects for certain permissible non-audit services. Under the policy, requests for pre-approvals of permissible non-audit services must be accompanied by detailed documentation regarding specific services to be provided. The policy specifies that:

annual pre-approval of the audit engagement (including internal control attestation) is required;

the independent auditor may not provide prohibited services;

annual pre-approval is provided for employee benefit plan audits and special audits, as well as other attestation services;

management and the independent auditors report to the Committee at each meeting on all non-audit service projects and related fees;

all services and fees are reviewed annually; and

## **Table of Contents**

the Committee Chair has been delegated authority to approve specific permissible non-audit service projects and fees to ensure timely handling of unexpected matters.

Examples of permissible non-audit services under the policy include: (i) merger/acquisition due diligence services; (ii) attest services; (iii) tax compliance, filings and returns; and (iv) tax planning services, provided that such services are limited to projects having "known or accepted" outcomes.

# PROPOSAL 2: RATIFICATION OF APPOINTMENT OF INDEPENDENT REGISTERED PUBLIC ACCOUNTING FIRM

The Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP ("PwC") as independent registered public accounting firm to audit our consolidated financial statements for the year ending December 31, 2011 and to perform other appropriate services. Representatives of PwC are expected to be present at our Annual Meeting of Stockholders. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they desire to do so and are expected to be available to respond to appropriate questions.

PwC has provided professional services to the Company in 2010, the aggregate fees and expenses of which are reported at page 65.

**Board of Directors' Recommendation** The Board of Directors recommends that the stockholders vot**FOR** the ratification of the appointment of PwC as our independent registered public accounting firm for the year ending December 31, 2011. Under the laws of the State of Delaware, stockholder ratification of the appointment of our independent registered public accounting firm is not required. However, the Board deems it advisable to submit the appointment of PwC for stockholder consideration and ratification. If the appointment of PwC is not ratified, the Audit Committee will rec