SASOL LTD
Form 20-F
September 28, 2010

Use these links to rapidly review the document

Table of Contents

As filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on 28 September 2010

UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

Washington, D.C. 20549

FORM 20-F

o **REGISTRATION STATEMENT PURSUANT TO SECTION 12(b) OR 12(g) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OR

ý **ANNUAL REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 for the year ended 30 June 2010**

OR

o **TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

OR

o **SHELL COMPANY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

Commission file number: 001-31615

Sasol Limited

(Exact name of registrant as Specified in its Charter)

Republic of South Africa
(Jurisdiction of Incorporation or Organization)

1 Sturdee Avenue, Rosebank 2196
South Africa
(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

Christine Ramon, Chief Financial Officer, Tel. No. +27 11 441 3435, Email christine.ramon@sasol.com
1 Sturdee Avenue, Rosebank 2196, South Africa

(Name, Telephone, E-mail and/or Facsimile number and Address of Company Contact Person)

Securities registered or to be registered pursuant to Section 12(b) of the Act:

Title of Each Class	Name of Each Exchange on Which Registered
American Depositary Shares	New York Stock Exchange
Ordinary Shares of no par value*	New York Stock Exchange

* Listed on the New York Stock Exchange not for trading or quotation purposes, but only in connection with the registration of American Depositary Shares pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Securities registered pursuant to Section 12(g) of the Act: **None**

Securities for which there is a reporting obligation pursuant to Section 15(d) of the Act: **None**

Indicate the number of outstanding shares of each of the issuer's classes of capital or common stock as of the close of the period covered by the annual report:

595 784 362 ordinary shares of no par value

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is a well-known seasoned issuer, as defined in Rule 405 of the Securities Act. **Yes ý No o**

If this report is an annual or transition report, indicate by check mark if the registrant is not required to file reports pursuant to Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934. **Yes o No ý**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. **Yes ý No o**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232 405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). **Yes o No o**

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, or a non-accelerated filer. See definition of "accelerated filer and large accelerated filer" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer ý Accelerated filer o Non-accelerated filer o

Indicate by check mark which basis of accounting the registrant has used to prepare the financial statements included in this filing:

U.S. GAAP o International Financial Reporting Standards as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board ý Other o

If "Other" has been checked in response to the previous question, indicate by check mark which financial statement item the registrant has elected to follow.

Item 17 o Item 18 o

If this is an annual report, indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). **Yes o No ý**

Table of Contents

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Table of Contents

LOCATION MAPS M-1

Table of Contents

PRESENTATION OF INFORMATION

We are incorporated in the Republic of South Africa as a public company under South African Company law. Our consolidated financial statements included in our corporate filings in South Africa were prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB) for the financial years ended 30 June 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010.

For purposes of this annual report on Form 20-F, we have prepared our consolidated financial statements in accordance with IFRS. Our consolidated financial statements for each of the financial years ended 30 June 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009 and 2010 have been audited.

As used in this Form 20-F:

"rand" or "R" means the currency of the Republic of South Africa;

"US dollars", "dollars", "US$" or "$" means the currency of the United States;

"euro", "EUR" or "€" means the common currency of the member states of the European Monetary Union;

"GBP" means British Pound Sterling, the currency of the United Kingdom;

"JPY" means Japanese Yen, the currency of Japan;

"CNY" means Renminbi, the currency of China; and

"AUD" means Australian dollar, the currency of Australia.

We present our financial information in rand, which is our reporting currency. Solely for your convenience, this Form 20-F contains translations of certain rand amounts into US dollars at specified rates. These rand amounts do not represent actual US dollar amounts, nor could they necessarily have been converted into US dollars at the rates indicated. Unless otherwise indicated, rand amounts have been translated into US dollars at the rate of R7,07 per US dollar, which was the closing rate for customs purposes of the rand as reported by Thomson Reuters on 23 September 2010.

All references in this Form 20-F to "years" refer to the financial years ended on 30 June. Any reference to a calendar year is prefaced by the word "calendar".

Besides applying barrels (b) and cubic feet (cf) for reporting oil and gas reserves and production, Sasol applies the Système International (SI) metric measures for all global operations. A ton or tonne denotes one metric ton equivalent to 1 000 kilograms (kg). Sasol's reference to metric tons should not be confused with an imperial ton equivalent to 2 240 pounds (or about 1 016 kg). Barrels per day, or bpd, is used to refer to our oil and gas production.

In addition, in line with a particular South African distinction under the auspices of the South African Bureau of Standards (SABS), all Sasol global reporting emanating from South Africa uses the decimal comma (e.g., 3,5) instead of the more familiar decimal point (e.g., 3.5) used in the UK, USA and elsewhere. Similarly, a hard space is used to distinguish thousands in numeric figures (e.g., 2 500) instead of a comma (e.g., 2,500).

All references to billions in this Form 20-F are to thousands of millions.

All references to the "group", "us", "we", "our", "the company", or "Sasol" in this Form 20-F are to Sasol Limited, its group of subsidiaries and its interests in associates, joint ventures and special purpose entities. All references in this Form 20-F are to Sasol Limited or the companies comprising the group, as the context may require. All references to "(Pty) Limited" refers to (Proprietary) Limited, a form of corporation in South Africa which restricts the right of transfer of its shares, limits the number of members and prohibits the public offering of its shares.

Table of Contents

All references in this Form 20-F to "South Africa" and "the government" are to the Republic of South Africa and its government. All references to the "JSE" are to the JSE Limited, the securities exchange of our primary listing. All references to "SARB" refer to the South African Reserve Bank. All references to "PPI" and "CPI" refer to the Producer Price Index and Consumer Price Index, respectively, which are a measure of inflation in South Africa. All references to "GTL" and "CTL" refer to our gas-to-liquids and coal-to-liquids processes, respectively.

Certain industry terms used in this Form 20-F are defined in the Glossary of Terms.

Unless otherwise stated, presentation of financial information in this annual report on Form 20-F will be in terms of IFRS. Our discussion of business segment results follows the basis used by the Group Executive Committee (GEC) (the company's chief operating decision maker) for segmental financial decisions, resource allocation and performance assessment, which forms the accounting basis for segmental reporting, that is disclosed to the investing and reporting public.

Table of Contents

FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS

We may from time to time make written or oral forward-looking statements, including in this Form 20-F, in other filings with the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, in reports to shareholders and in other communications. These statements may relate to analyses and other information which are based on forecasts of future results and estimates of amounts not yet determinable. These statements may also relate to our future prospects, developments and business strategies. Examples of such forward-looking statements include, but are not limited to:

- statements regarding our future results of operations and financial condition and regarding future economic performance;
- statements regarding recent and proposed accounting pronouncements and their impact on our future results of operations and financial condition;
- statements of our business strategy, plans, objectives or goals, including those related to products or services;
- statements regarding future competition, volume growth and changes in market share in the South African and international industries and markets for our products;
- statements regarding our existing or anticipated investments (including the gas-to-liquid (GTL) projects in Uzbekistan, Qatar and Nigeria, Iran, the potential development of coal-to-liquid (CTL) projects in China, India and South Africa, and other investments), acquisitions of new businesses or the disposition of existing businesses;
- statements regarding our estimated oil, gas and coal reserves;
- statements regarding the probable future outcome of the litigation and the future development in legal and regulatory matters, including initiatives such as Sasol Inzalo for the economic empowerment of historically disadvantaged South Africans;
- statements regarding future fluctuations in refining margins and crude oil, natural gas and petroleum product prices;
- statements regarding the demand and cyclicality of petrochemical product prices;
- statements regarding changes in the manufacturers' fuel pricing mechanism in South Africa and their effects on fuel prices, our operating results and profitability;
- statements regarding future fluctuations in exchange and interest rates;
- statements regarding total shareholder return;
- statements regarding cost reduction targets and initiatives;

statements regarding our plans to expand the South African retail and commercial markets for liquid fuels;

statements regarding our current or future products and anticipated customer demand for these products;

statements regarding acts of war, terrorism or other events that may adversely affect the group's operations or that of key stakeholders to the group; and

statements of assumptions underlying such statements.

Words such as "believe", "anticipate", "expect", "intend", "seek", "will", "plan", "could", "may", "endeavour" and "project" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements, but are not the exclusive means of identifying such statements.

Table of Contents

By their very nature, forward-looking statements involve inherent risks and uncertainties, both general and specific, and there are risks that the predictions, forecasts, projections and other forward-looking statements will not be achieved. If one or more of these risks materialise, or should underlying assumptions prove incorrect, our actual results may differ materially from those anticipated in this Form 20-F. You should understand that a number of important factors could cause actual results to differ materially from the plans, objectives, expectations, estimates and intentions expressed in such forward-looking statements. These factors include among others, and without limitation:

- the outcomes in developing regulatory matters and the effect of changes in regulation and government policy;
- the political, social and fiscal regime and economic conditions and developments in the world, especially in those countries in which we operate;
- the outcomes of legal proceedings;
- our ability to maintain key customer relations in important markets;
- our ability to improve results despite increased levels of competitiveness;
- the continuation of substantial growth in significant developing markets, such as China and India;
- the ability to benefit from our capital expenditure programme;
- the capital cost of projects (including material, engineering and construction cost);
- growth in significant developing areas of our business;
- changes in the demand for and international prices of crude oil, petroleum and chemical products and changes in foreign currency exchange rates;
- the ability to gain access to sufficient competitively priced gas and coal reserves and other commodities such as ethylene in Iran;
- environmental legislation and access to and impact on natural resources;
- our success in continuing technological innovation;
- our ability to maintain sustainable earnings despite fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates and interest rates;
- our ability to attract and retain sufficient skilled employees; and
- our success at managing the risks of the foregoing.

The foregoing list of important factors is not exhaustive; when relying on forward-looking statements to make investment decisions, you should carefully consider the foregoing factors and other uncertainties and events. Forward-looking statements apply only as of the date on which they are made and we do not undertake any obligation to update or revise any of them, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

Table of Contents

ENFORCEABILITY OF CERTAIN CIVIL LIABILITIES

We are a public company incorporated under the company law of South Africa. All of our directors and officers reside outside the United States, principally in South Africa. You may not be able, therefore, to effect service of process within the United States upon those directors and officers with respect to matters arising under the federal securities laws of the United States.

In addition, substantially all of our assets and the assets of our directors and officers are located outside the United States. As a result, you may not be able to enforce against us or our directors and officers judgements obtained in United States courts predicated on the civil liability provisions of the federal securities laws of the United States.

A foreign judgement is not directly enforceable in South Africa, but constitutes a cause of action which will be enforced by South African courts provided that:

- the court which pronounced the judgement has jurisdiction to entertain the case according to the principles recognised by South African law with reference to the jurisdiction of foreign courts;
- the judgement is final and conclusive, that is, it cannot be altered by the court which pronounced it;
- the judgement has not been prescribed;
- the recognition and enforcement of the judgement by South African courts would not be contrary to public policy, including observance of the rules of natural justice which require that the documents initiating the proceeding were properly served on the defendant and that the defendant was given the right to be heard and represented by counsel in a free and fair trial before an impartial tribunal;
- the judgement was not obtained by fraudulent means;
- the judgement does not involve the enforcement of a penal or revenue law; and
- the enforcement of the judgement is not otherwise precluded by the provisions of the Protection of Businesses Act 99 of 1978, as amended, of the Republic of South Africa.

It is the policy of South African courts to award compensation for the loss or damage actually sustained by the person to whom the compensation is awarded. Although the award of punitive damages is generally unknown to the South African legal system that does not mean that such awards are necessarily contrary to public policy. Whether a judgement was contrary to public policy depends on the facts of each case. Exorbitant, unconscionable, or excessive awards will generally be contrary to public policy. South African courts cannot enter into the merits of a foreign judgement and cannot act as a court of appeal or review over the foreign court. South African courts will usually implement their own procedural laws and, where an action based on an international contract is brought before a South African court, the capacity of the parties to the contract will usually be determined in accordance with South African law. It is doubtful whether an original action based on United States federal securities law can be brought before South African courts. A plaintiff who is not resident in South Africa may be required to provide security for costs in the event of proceedings being initiated in South Africa. Furthermore the Rules of the High Court of South Africa require that documents executed outside South Africa must be authenticated for the purpose of use in South Africa.

Table of Contents

PART I

ITEM 1. IDENTITY OF DIRECTORS, SENIOR MANAGEMENT AND ADVISERS

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 2. OFFER STATISTICS AND EXPECTED TIMETABLE

Not applicable.

Table of Contents

ITEM 3. KEY INFORMATION

3.A Selected financial data

The following information should be read in conjunction with "Item 5 Operating and Financial Review and Prospects" and the consolidated financial statements, the accompanying notes and other financial information included elsewhere in this annual report on Form 20-F.

The financial data set forth below for the years ended as at 30 June 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for each of the years in the three-year period ended 30 June 2010 have been derived from our audited consolidated financial statements included in Item 18 of this annual report on Form 20-F.

Financial data at 30 June 2008, 2007 and 2006 have been derived from the group's previously published audited consolidated financial statements not included in this document.

The financial data at 30 June 2010, 2009 and 2008 and for each of the years in the three-year period ended 30 June 2010 should be read in conjunction with, and are qualified in their entirety by reference to, our audited consolidated financial statements.

The audited consolidated financial statements from which the selected consolidated financial data set forth below have been derived were prepared in accordance with International Financial Reporting Standards (IFRS), as issued by the International Accounting Standards Board (IASB).

	Year ended					
	30 June 2006	30 June 2007	30 June 2008	30 June 2009	30 June 2010	30 June[1] 2010 (US$ in millions)
	(Rand in millions)					
	(except per share information and weighted average shares in issue)					
Income Statement data:						
Turnover	82 395	98 127	129 943	137 836	122 256	17 292
Operating profit	17 212	26 621	33 816	24 666	23 937	3 386
Profit attributable to owners of Sasol Limited	10 406	17 030	22 417	13 648	15 941	2 255
Statement of Financial Position data:						
Total assets	103 266	119 112	140 122	145 865	156 484	22 124
Total equity	52 984	63 269	78 995	86 217	97 242	13 753
Share capital	3 634	3 628	20 176	27 025	27 229	3 851
Per share information (Rand and US$):						
Basic earnings per share	16,78	27,35	37,30	22,90	26,68	3,77
Diluted earnings per share	16,51	27,02	36,78	22,80	26,54	3,75
Dividends per share[2]	7,10	9,00	13,00	8,50	10,50	1,49
Weighted average shares in issue (in millions):						
Average shares outstanding basic	620,0	622,6	601,0	596,1	597,6	597,6
Average shares outstanding diluted	630,2	630,3	609,5	614,0	615,5	615,5

(1) Translations into US dollars in this table are for convenience only and are computed at the closing rate of Thomson Reuters on 23 September 2010 of R7,07 per US dollar. You should not view such translations as a representation that such amounts represent actual US dollar amounts.

(2) Includes the final dividend which was declared subsequent to the reporting date and is presented for information purposes only. No provision for this final dividend has been recognised.

Table of Contents

Exchange rate information

The following table sets forth certain information with respect to the rand/US dollar exchange rate for the years shown:

Rand per US dollar for the year ended 30 June or the respective month	Average[1]	High	Low
2006[2]	6,41	7,43	5,99
2007[2]	7,20	7,88	6,74
2008[2]	7,30	8,25	6,43
2009[3]	9,04	11,88	7,17
2010[3]	7,59	8,36	7,20
2011[4]	7,35	7,78	6,98
April 2010	7,34	7,40	7,25
May 2010	7,65	8,09	7,36
June 2010	7,65	7,84	7,41
July 2010	7,54	7,78	7,28
August 2010	7,30	7,44	7,19
September 2010[4]	7,16	7,39	6,98

(1) The average exchange rates for each full year are calculated using the average exchange rate on the last day of each month during the period. The average exchange rate for each month is calculated using the average of the daily exchange rates during the period.

(2) Based on the noon buying rate as published by the Federal Reserve Bank of New York.

(3) Based on the closing rate of Thomson Reuters.

(4) Through 23 September 2010 based on the closing rate of Thomson Reuters.

3.B Capitalisation and indebtedness

Not applicable.

3.C Reasons for the offer and use of proceeds

Not applicable.

3.D Risk factors

Fluctuations in exchange rates may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

The rand is the principal functional currency of our operations. However, a large part of our group's turnover is denominated in US dollars and some part in euro, derived either from exports from South Africa or from our manufacturing and distribution operations outside South Africa. Approximately 90% of our turnover is linked to the US dollar as petroleum prices in general and the price of most petroleum and chemical products are based on global commodity and benchmark prices which are quoted in US dollars. A significant part of our capital expenditure is also US dollar-denominated, as it is directed to investments outside South Africa or constitutes materials, engineering and construction costs imported into South Africa. The majority of our costs are either rand based for South African operations or euro based for European operations. Accordingly, fluctuations in the exchange rates between the rand and US dollar and/or euro may have a material effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

During 2010, the rand/US dollar exchange rate averaged R7,59 and fluctuated between the high of R8,36 and the low of R7,20. This compares to an average exchange rate of R9,04 during 2009 which

Table of Contents

fluctuated between the high of R11,88 and the low of R7,17. The rand exchange rate is impacted by various international and South African economic and political factors. Subsequent to 30 June 2010, the rand has on average strengthened against the US dollar and the euro.

Although the exchange rate of the rand is primarily market-determined, its value at any time may not be an accurate reflection of its underlying value, due to the potential effect of, among other factors, exchange controls. For more information regarding exchange controls in South Africa see "Item 10.D Exchange controls".

We use derivative instruments to protect us against adverse movements in exchange rates on certain transactional risks in accordance with our group hedging policies. See "Item 11 Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk".

Fluctuations in refining margins and crude oil, natural gas and petroleum product prices may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

Market prices for crude oil, natural gas and petroleum products may fluctuate as they are subject to local and international supply and demand fundamentals and factors over which we have no control. Worldwide supply conditions and the price levels of crude oil may be significantly influenced by international cartels, which control the production of a significant proportion of the worldwide supply of crude oil, and by political developments, especially in the Middle East, South America and Nigeria. Other factors which may influence the aggregate demand and hence affect the markets and prices for petroleum products in regions which influence South African fuel prices through the Basic Fuel Price (BFP) price formula (used for the calculation of the refinery gate price of petroleum products in South Africa) and/or where we market these products include changes in economic conditions, the price and availability of substitute fuels, changes in product inventory, product specifications and other factors. In recent years, prices for petroleum products have fluctuated widely.

During 2010, the dated Brent crude oil price averaged US$74,37/b and fluctuated between the high of US$88,09/b and the low of US$58,25/b. This compares to an average dated brent crude oil price of US$68,14/b during 2009 which fluctuated between the high of US$143,95/b and the low of US$33,73/b.

A substantial proportion of our turnover is derived from sales of petroleum and petrochemical products. Through our equity participation in the National Petroleum Refiners of South Africa (Pty) Limited (Natref) crude oil refinery, we are exposed to fluctuations in refinery margins resulting from differing fluctuations in international crude oil and petroleum product prices. We are also exposed to changes in absolute levels of international petroleum product prices through our synthetic fuels and oil operations. Fluctuations in international crude oil prices affect our results mainly through their indirect effect on the BFP price formula, see "Item 4.B Business overview Sasol Synfuels" and "Sasol Oil", as well as the impact on oil derived feedstock. Prices of petrochemical products and natural gas are also affected by fluctuations in crude oil prices.

We use derivative instruments to protect us against day-to-day US dollar oil price and rand to US dollar exchange rate fluctuations affecting the acquisition cost of our crude oil needs. See "Item 11 Quantitative and qualitative disclosures about market risk".

While the use of these instruments may provide some protection against short-term fluctuations in crude oil prices it does not protect us against longer term fluctuations in crude oil prices or differing trends between crude oil and petroleum product prices.

We are unable to accurately forecast fluctuations in refining margins and crude oil, natural gas and petroleum products prices. Fluctuations in any of these may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Cyclicality in petrochemical product prices may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

The demand for chemicals and especially products such as solvents, olefins, surfactants, fertilisers and polymers is cyclical. Typically, higher demand during peaks in the industry business cycles leads producers to increase their production capacity. Although peaks in the business cycle have been characterised by increased selling prices and higher operating margins, in the past such peaks have led to overcapacity with supply exceeding demand growth. Low periods during the industry business cycle are characterised by a decrease in selling prices and excess capacity, which can depress operating margins. Some areas within the chemicals industry currently show production overcapacity, which has been exacerbated by a contraction in demand for products due to the current global economic downturn. The expected capacity additions in the next few years, together with a less optimistic outlook in the medium term, could continue to put pressure on prices of chemical products. Such pressure may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

We may not be able to exploit technological advances quickly and successfully

Most of our operations, including the gasification of coal and the manufacture of synfuels and petrochemical products, are highly dependent on the development and use of advanced technologies. The development, commercialisation and integration of the appropriate advanced technologies can affect, among other things, the competitiveness of our products, the continuity of our operations, our feedstock requirements and the capacity and efficiency of our production.

It is possible that new technologies or novel processes may emerge and that existing technologies may be further developed in the fields in which we operate. Unexpected rapid advances in employed technologies or the development of novel processes can affect our operations and product ranges in that they could render the technologies we utilise or the products we produce obsolete or less competitive in the future. Difficulties in accessing new technologies may impede us from implementing them and competitive pressures may force us to implement these new technologies at a substantial cost. Examples of new technologies which may in the future affect our business include the following:

- The development and commercialisation of non-hydrocarbon-dependent energy carrier technologies, including the further development of fuel cells or the large scale broadening of the application of electricity to drive motor vehicles. These may be disruptive to the use of hydrocarbon and refined crude oil-derived fuels.

- The development of improved fuels (and associated automotive technologies) from a crude oil base with equivalent properties to that of Fischer-Tropsch derived fuels, which may erode the competitive advantage of Fischer-Tropsch fuels.

- The development by competitors of next generation catalysts in which catalyst performance is manipulated, resulting in highly selective and high purity chemical products, which may render the use of our mixed feed stream catalytic-based production processes uncompetitive.

We cannot predict the effect of these or other technological changes or the development of novel processes on our business or on our ability to provide competitive products. Our ability to compete will depend on our timely and cost-effective implementation of new technological advances. It will also depend on our success in commercialising these advances in spite of competition we face by our competitors.

In addition to the technological challenges, a large number of our expansion projects are integrated across a number of Sasol businesses. Problems with the development of an integrated project might accordingly have an impact on more than one Sasol business.

Table of Contents

If we are unable to implement new technologies in a timely or cost-efficient manner, or penetrate new markets in a timely manner in response to changing market conditions or customer requirements, we could experience a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Our GTL and CTL projects may not prove sufficiently viable or as profitable as planned

We have constructed a gas-to-liquids (GTL) plant in Qatar and are involved in constructing a GTL plant in Nigeria. In addition, we are considering opportunities for further GTL and coal-to-liquids (CTL) investments in other areas of the world. CTL projects are being investigated in China (feasibility phase), India (pre-feasibility phase) and Indonesia (screening phase). A GTL opportunity being investigated in Uzbekistan is currently in the feasibility phase. The development of these projects, solely or through joint ventures or associates, is a capital-intensive process and requires us to commit significant capital expenditure and devote considerable management resources in utilising our existing experience and know-how, especially in connection with Fischer-Tropsch synthesis technologies. See "Item 4.B Business overview Sasol Synfuels International".

The processes used and the products developed by these projects may also give rise to patent risks in connection with the use of our GTL and CTL technologies. See below "Intellectual property risks may adversely affect our products or processes and our competitive advantage".

We consider the development of our GTL and CTL projects as a major part of our strategy for future growth and believe that GTL and CTL fuels will in time develop to become an efficient and widely used alternative and/or supplement to conventional liquid fuels. In assessing the viability of our GTL and CTL projects, we make a number of assumptions relating to specific variables, mainly including:

access to sufficient competitively priced gas or coal reserves;

prices of crude oil, petroleum products and gas;

sales opportunities and risks in the relevant countries;

fluctuations in the exchange rate of the US dollar and other currencies against the rand;

fluctuations in interest rates;

fiscal dispensation in the countries in which we invest;

capital cost of our facilities, including material, engineering and construction costs;

operating costs, including manpower, services, supplies, utilities, etc.;

technology and catalyst performance;

conditions in the countries in which we invest, including factors relating to political, social and economic conditions;

the availability of skilled workers to construct and operate the plants;

timely completion of projects; and

environmental regulations, specifically in respect to emissions to the atmosphere and control thereof.

Significant variations in any one or more of the above factors which are beyond our control, or any other relevant factor, may adversely affect the profitability or even the viability of our GTL and CTL investments. Most of the above assumptions are also applicable to other growth strategies followed by Sasol. Should we not be successful in the implementation of our GTL and CTL projects, we may be

Table of Contents

required to write off significant amounts of capital expenditure already incurred and we may need to redirect our strategy for future growth. In view of the resources invested in these projects and their importance to our growth strategy, problems we may experience as a result of these factors may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition and opportunities for future growth.

Increasing exposure related to investments in associates and joint venture companies may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

We have invested in a number of associates and joint ventures as part of our strategy to expand operations globally. We are considering opportunities for further GTL and CTL investments, as well as related opportunities in chemicals, to continue our local and global expansion. The development of these projects may require investments in associates and joint ventures, most of which are aimed at facilitating entry into countries and/or sharing risk with third parties. Although the risks are shared, the objectives of associates and joint venture partners, their ability to meet their financial and/or contractual obligations and their behaviour, as well as the increasing complexity of country specific legislation and regulations, may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition and constrain the achievement of our growth objectives.

There are country-specific risks relating to the countries in which we operate that could adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

Several of our subsidiaries, joint ventures and associates operate in countries and regions that are subject to significantly differing political, social, economic and market conditions. See "Item 4.B Business Overview" for a description of the extent of our operations in the main countries and regions. Although we are a South African domiciled company and the majority of our operations are located in South Africa, we also have significant energy businesses in Africa and chemical businesses in Europe, the USA, the Middle East and Asia and a joint venture in a GTL facility in Qatar and a joint venture in Iran as well as an economic interest in a GTL project in Nigeria.

Particular aspects of country-specific risks that may have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition include:

(a) Political, social and economic issues

We have invested or are in the process of investing in significant operations in African, European, North American, Asian and Middle Eastern countries that have in the past, to a greater or lesser extent, experienced political, social and economic uncertainty. Government policies, laws and regulations in countries in which we operate or plan to operate may change in the future. There is also a risk that our plants that were constructed during buoyant market conditions will have to operate in markets in which product prices may have declined, as we are currently experiencing. The impact of such changes on our ability to deliver on planned projects cannot be ascertained with any degree of certainty and such changes may therefore have an adverse effect on our operations and financial results.

(b) Fluctuations in inflation and interest rates

The strengthening of the South African rand during the 2009 calendar year and the recessionary conditions in the South African economy during that time helped to drive consumer inflation from its double digit peak during the prior calendar year to just below 5%, the upper end of the inflation target. The South African Reserve Bank responded to this moderation in inflation by cutting its interest rate during the 2009 and 2010 calendar years. Announced increases in electricity tariffs of approximately 25% for each of the next three years will put upward pressure on inflation. Whilst the

Table of Contents

direct impact of these tariff increases on consumer inflation will be relatively modest at approximately 0,5 percentage points per year, the indirect effects are uncertain and could potentially be significantly larger. Wage settlements above the consumer inflation rate will place further upward pressure on inflation. High interest rates or inflation could adversely impact on our ability to contain costs and to ensure cost-effective debt financing in South Africa.

(c) Transportation, water and other infrastructure

The infrastructure in some countries in which we operate, such as rail infrastructure, electricity and water supply may need to be further upgraded and expanded and in certain instances possibly at our own cost. Water, as a resource, is becoming increasingly limited as world demand for water increases. The risk in South Africa that water may become significantly limited is exacerbated by the fact that it is one of the drier countries in the world. Water use by our operations varies widely depending largely on feedstock and technology choice. While a GTL plant is typically a net producer of water, a CTL process has a significant water requirement, driven by the need to produce hydrogen and additional cooling requirements. Although various technological advances may improve the water efficiency of our processes, we may experience limited water availability and other infrastructural challenges, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows, financial condition and future growth.

(d) Disruptive industrial action

The majority of our employees worldwide belong to trade unions. These employees comprise mainly general workers, artisans and technical operators. Disputes over wage increases have led to a general increase in industrial action in South Africa during 2010 which had a limited indirect affect on our operations. Although we have constructive relations with our employees and their unions, we cannot assure you that significant labour disruptions will not occur in the future.

(e) Exchange control regulations

South African law provides for exchange control regulations which restrict the export of capital from the Common Monetary Area, which includes South Africa, subject to South African Reserve Bank dispensation.

These regulations apply to transactions involving South African residents, including both natural persons and legal entities. These regulations also affect our ability to borrow funds from non-South African sources for use in South Africa and to repay these funds from South Africa and, in some cases, our ability to guarantee the obligations of our subsidiaries with regard to these funds. These restrictions have affected the manner in which we have financed our transactions outside South Africa and the geographic distribution of our debt. See "Item 10.D Exchange controls" and "Item 5.B Liquidity and capital resources".

(f) Localisation issues

In some countries our operations are required to comply with local procurement, employment equity, equity participation and other regulations which are designed to address country-specific social and economic transformation and localisation issues.

In South Africa, there are various transformation initiatives with which we are required to comply. As a leading and patriotic South African-based company, we embrace and will engender or participate in initiatives to bring about meaningful transformation to assist in correcting the imbalances and injustices of the apartheid era. We consider these initiatives to be a strategic imperative and we acknowledge the risk of not vigorously pursuing them. It is not currently known what additional costs or implications will arise for us to comply with these transformation initiatives. See "Item 4.B Empowerment of historically disadvantaged South Africans".

Table of Contents

We are a participant in transformation charters in the liquid fuels and mining industry, pursuant to which we have undertaken to enable previously disadvantaged South Africans to hold at least 25% equity ownership in our liquid fuels business and 26% equity ownership, by 2014, in our mining business.

The Minister of Trade and Industry published the Codes of Good Practice for broad-based BEE on 9 February 2007, effective from the date of publication. These Codes provide a standard framework for the measurement of broad-based BEE across all sectors of the economy.

We have complied with the current requirements of said Codes and other requirements of the Liquid Fuels, Mining Charter and the Codes of Good Practice for broad-based BEE. We believe that the long-term benefits to the company and our country should outweigh any possible short-term adverse effects, but we cannot assure you that future implications of compliance with these requirements or with any newly imposed conditions will not have a material adverse effect on our shareholders or business operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

(g)

Engineering and construction contract costs

During the period proceeding the global pre-economic recession, the worldwide increase in the demand for large engineering and construction projects resulted in a shortage of engineering and construction resources and put strain on these industries. These strains impacted some of our projects and have adversely affected project construction timing schedules and costs. Even though the global economic recession has led to a marginally downward trend in the demand for large engineering and construction projects, we cannot assure you that our engineering and construction resources will not be constrained in the long-term following an economic recovery.

In order to mitigate the shortage of the availability of engineering resources, we have entered into long-term relationship agreements with large reputable engineering contractors, both locally in South Africa and internationally. This should provide Sasol with preferential access to the resource pools of these engineering contractors on a global basis in order to sustain our projects and growth plans.

(h)

Other specific country risks that are applicable to countries in which we operate and which may have a material impact on our business include:

external acts of warfare and civil clashes;

government interventions, including protectionism and subsidies;

regulatory, taxation and legal structure changes;

the control of oil and gas field developments and transportation infrastructure;

failure to receive new permits and consents;

cancellation of contractual rights;

expropriation of assets;

lack of capacity to deal with emergency response situations; and

the introduction of selective environmental and carbon taxes.

Some of the countries where we have already made, or other countries where we may consider making, investments are in various stages of developing institutions and legal and regulatory systems that are characteristic of parliamentary democracies. However, institutions in these countries may not yet be as firmly established as they are in parliamentary democracies in South Africa and some European countries. Some of these countries are also transitioning to a market economy and, as a result, experiencing changes in their economies and their government policies that could affect our investments in these countries.

Table of Contents

Moreover, the procedural safeguards of the new legal and regulatory regimes in these countries are still being developed and, therefore, existing laws and regulations may be applied inconsistently. In some circumstances, it may not be possible to obtain the legal remedies provided under those laws and regulations in a timely manner.

As the political, economic and legal environments remain subject to continuous development, investors in these countries face uncertainty as to the security of their investments. Any unexpected changes in the political or economic conditions in the countries in which we operate (including neighbouring countries) may have a material adverse effect on the investments that we have made or may make in the future, which may in turn have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Increase in electricity supply interruptions and increase in electricity costs in South Africa could adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows, financial condition and future growth

Sasol generates one-third of its total South African power supply needs internally and has begun commissioning additional power generation equipment to increase internal electricity generation up to 50% of our requirements. However, our South African operations remain dependent on power generated by the state-owned utility, Eskom. During 2008, South Africa experienced significant electricity supply interruptions, and although the situation has improved since then, it is possible that the electricity supply will again become constrained from the latter part of the 2010 calendar year up to 2013 calendar year, when significant new generation capacity is expected to become available. Although Eskom has announced a number of short- and long-term mitigation plans, we cannot assure you that we will not experience power supply interruptions which could have material adverse effects on our business, operating results, cash flows, financial condition and future growth.

Furthermore, South Africa is experiencing higher than normal electricity price increases. In June 2009, the National Energy Regulator of South Africa (NERSA) granted Eskom an average annual tariff increase of 31,3%, which was recovered by March 2010. During February 2010, NERSA granted Eskom further price increases of 24,8%, 25,8% and 25,9% per year for the next three years in terms of the multi-year pricing dispensation, with effect from 1 April 2010. We have entered into a power purchase agreement with Eskom which mitigates these price increases to some extent. Any sharp increase in electricity costs may have material adverse effects on our business, operating results, cash flows, financial condition and future growth.

We may not comply with laws or regulations in the countries in which we operate

The industry in which we operate is highly regulated and requires compliance with a myriad of laws and regulations, governing matters such as minerals, trading in petroleum products, safety, health and environment, etc. in our South African and global operations. Non-compliance can impact business performance dramatically. Although systems and processes are in place to ensure compliance with applicable laws and regulations we cannot assure you that all employees comply with all laws and regulations at all times, which could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

New South African mining legislation may have an adverse effect on our mineral rights

Since the enactment of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA) in May 2004, all mineral and petroleum resources have been placed under the custodianship of the state. Our subsidiary, Sasol Mining (Pty) Limited, has been successful in converting its old order prospecting permits and mining authorisations (old order rights) to new order rights in terms of the MPRDA. The new order mining rights in respect of the Secunda area have been granted for a period of ten years, while those in respect of the Mooikraal operations have been granted for a period of thirty years. Our

Table of Contents

new order mining rights may be extended for further periods thereafter of thirty years each. Prospecting rights are granted for five years, with one further renewal of three years.

In case of a breach of its obligations by an entity, the new order rights can be suspended or cancelled by the Minister of Mineral Resources if the entity, upon receiving a notice of breach from the Minister, fails to remedy such breach. The MPRDA, and the subsequent Minerals Petroleum Resources Amendment Act, and applicable provisions in the National Environmental Management Act impose additional responsibilities with respect to environmental management as well as the prevention of environmental pollution, degradation or damage from mining and/or prospecting activities.

The Minister of Mineral Resources has, in terms of the MPRDA, developed a Code of Good Practice for the Minerals Industry (Code) and a Housing and Living Conditions Standard (Standard), both of which were published in the Government Gazette of 29 April 2009. The Code was developed to create principles aimed at facilitating the effective implementation of minerals and mining legislation and enhancing the implementation of the Mining Charter applicable to the mining industry. The Standard aims to include the provision of housing as an integral part of infrastructure during the development of a mine.

Both the Code and the Standard provide that non-compliance equates to non-compliance with the MPRDA but it is unclear whether non-compliance with the Code and the Standard would result in the cancellation or suspension of a mining right, whether they will be used in evaluating applications for new rights or for the conversion of old rights, and whether they would be considered legislation under the MPRDA. Organised labour and the mining industry have engaged in discussions with the Department of Mineral Resources in an effort to possibly amend the Code and the Standard in order to address the concerns of the mining industry, to ensure the constitutionality thereof and to ensure alignment between the respective role players. This process is still ongoing.

The Mining Charter came into effect on 1 May 2004 and the purpose thereof is to facilitate the transformation of the South African mining industry. A review of the Mining Charter commenced during the 2009 calendar year and will continue during the 2010 calendar year. It is intended to review only the targets contained in the Mining Charter. It is expected that the original role players who took part in the development of the Mining Charter, being the Department of Mineral Resources, organised labour and the Chamber of Mines, will play a significant role in the revision process.

We cannot assure you that these changes will not affect our operations and mining rights in the future, and as a result have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Royalties from mining activities became payable to the state from 1 March 2010 under provisions contained in the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Royalty Act and the Petroleum Resources Royalty Administration Act (the Acts). The introduction of the revenue based royalty does not have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. See "Item 4.B Business overview Regulation of mining activities in South Africa".

New legislation in South Africa on petroleum and energy activities may have an adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

The Petroleum Products Amendment Act requires persons involved in the manufacturing, wholesale and retail sale of petroleum products to obtain relevant licences for such activities. Although Sasol Oil, Natref and Sasol Synfuels have applied for applicable licences for their respective existing manufacturing and retail activities, we cannot assure you that these licences will be granted and if they are granted, the conditions of the licences may not have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. New retail site development by Sasol Oil could be delayed given the requirements under the new regulations for site and retail licences. Pending a decision in respect of these applications, the companies are deemed to be the holders of licences for

Table of Contents

those activities. See "Item 4.B Business overview Regulation of petroleum-related activities in South Africa".

The Petroleum Pipelines Act requires the licensing of the construction, conversion and operation of petroleum pipelines and storage and loading facility activities, and grants limited discretion to NERSA to adopt different pricing methodologies in connection with the setting of tariffs for different market and geographic conditions. NERSA is currently in the process of determining a methodology for transportation tariffs in respect of petroleum pipelines. We have made representations to NERSA in this regard in an effort to ensure that we will not be unduly prejudiced by the new tariff methodology. If these tariffs are disadvantageous to us, the prices of our petroleum products may be affected and be less competitive than the prices of our competitors, and as a result, may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flow and financial condition. In addition, our ability to recover crude oil pumping costs, incurred to supply our Natref refinery, fully from the market may also be impacted See "Item 4.B Business overview Sasol Oil" and " Regulation of petroleum-related activities in South Africa".

We have also applied to NERSA for applicable licences for our depots and related infrastructure and are awaiting the issue of these licences. We cannot assure you that the licences will be granted or that the licence conditions imposed by NERSA will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flow and financial condition.

The Gas Act regulates matters relating to gas transmission, storage, distribution, liquefaction and re-gasification activities. On 1 May 2009, NERSA published guidelines for Monitoring and Approving Piped-Gas Transmission and Storage Tariffs in South Africa pursuant to the Gas Act. However, the determination of various elements required to calculate the tariffs, such as the specific application of the rate or return and discounted cash flow methodologies, the method used to value the asset base, suitable benchmarking measurements appropriate to a developing market, calculation of the weighted average cost of capital and a dispute resolution process, remain uncertain. In addition, uncertainty exists as to NERSA's position regarding distribution tariffs, maximum prices for trading activities and the timeframe within which NERSA plans to develop these additional elements of the regulatory framework. Due to the uncertainty regarding the regulatory framework that will ultimately apply to the Sasol Gas business, we cannot assure you that the implementation and enforcement of these regulations will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flow and financial condition.

Although we negotiated a ten year regulatory dispensation (expiring in 2014) with the South African government with respect to the supply of Mozambican natural gas to the South African market, we cannot assure you that the provisions of the Gas Act will not have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. See "Item 4.B Business overview Regulation of gas related activities in South Africa".

The Department of Energy has embarked on a process to change the methodology for determining the margins of the regulated retail price of fuel. The results are not yet known, but may impact the wholesale and retail prices of petrol, illuminating paraffin and diesel, thereby having a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Changes in safety, health and environmental regulations and legislation and public opinion may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

Our products are required to comply with numerous pieces of legislation relating, amongst others, to the protection of the environment, climate change, the health and safety of employees, the public and the end consumer, while also meeting customer needs. As these laws and regulations may grow stricter, we may be required in some cases to incur additional expenditure in order to comply with such legislation. For example, meeting the registration requirements in the registration, evaluation and

Table of Contents

authorisation of chemicals (REACH) compliance procedure, implemented by the European Commission, may have significant cost implications. Similarly, public opinion is growing more sensitive to consumer health and safety, environmental and climate change protection matters, and, as a result, markets may apply pressure on us concerning certain of our products, manufacturing processes, transport and distribution arrangements.

As a result of these additional costs of compliance and other factors, including pressures related to public opinion, we may be required to withdraw certain products from the market, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Our exploration, mining and production operations are required to conform to legislation relating to the protection of the environment, health and safety of the workforce and neighbouring communities. As these regulations may grow stricter, we may be required in some instances to incur additional expenditure in order to provide additional protection, to adjust specifications or manufacturing processes, amend transport and distribution arrangements for certain of our operations and this may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. See "Item 4.B Business overview Safety, health and environment.

We are subject to a wide range of general and industry-specific environmental, health and safety and other legislation in jurisdictions in which we operate. Environmental requirements govern, among other things, land use, air emissions, use of renewable energy, energy efficiency, use of water, wastewater discharge, waste management, decommissioning and site remediation. Compliance with these laws, regulations, permits, licences and authorisations is a significant factor in our business, and we incur, and expect to continue to incur, significant capital and operating expenditures in order to continue to comply with applicable laws, regulations, permits, licences and authorisations.

Failure to comply with applicable safety, health and environmental laws, regulations or permit requirements may result in fines or penalties or enforcement actions, including regulatory or judicial orders enjoining or curtailing operations or requiring corrective measures, installation of pollution control equipment or other remedial actions, any of which could entail significant expenditures.

We continue to take remedial actions at a number of sites due to soil and groundwater contamination. The process of investigation and remediation can be lengthy and is subject to the uncertainties of site specific factors, changing legal requirements, developing technologies, the allocation of liability among multiple parties and the discretion of regulators. Accordingly, we cannot estimate with certainty the actual amount and timing of costs associated with site remediation.

In order to continue to comply with these safety, health and environmental licences, laws and regulations, we may have to incur costs which we may finance from our available cash flows or from alternative sources of financing. We may be required to provide for financial security for environmental rehabilitation in the form of a trust fund, guarantee, deposit or other methods as may be required by legislation imposing obligations in respect of decommissioning and rehabilitation of environmental impacts. No assurance can be given that changes in safety, health and environmental laws and regulations or their application or the discovery of previously unknown contamination or other liabilities will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

While it is our policy that asbestos-containing materials will be phased out on a risk-based order of priority, there are currently certain asbestos-containing materials at our older existing facilities. In addition, our manufacturing processes may utilise and result in the emission of substances with potential health risks. We also manufacture products which may pose health risks. Although we apply a duty of care principle and implement health and safety, product stewardship and other measures to eliminate or mitigate associated potential risks, we cannot assure you that no liabilities may arise as a result of the use or exposure to these materials or emissions.

Table of Contents

In recent years global understanding and awareness regarding climate change have increased significantly. Potential CTL technology providers are experiencing an increasing number of questions regarding their CTL technology and how the CO_2 emitted will be addressed to combat climate change. We have initiated a focused and coordinated approach to understanding and providing solutions to reduce CO_2 emissions from our CTL ventures. In December 2008, the group executive committee (GEC) approved a revised greenhouse gas (GHG) policy and also agreed to a new set of GHG targets. We have set targets for reducing GHG emissions intensity by 15% by 2020 on the 2005 baseline. In addition, new CTL plants commissioned before 2020 have a target emissions intensity reduction of 20%, increasing to 30% for new CTL plants commissioned by 2030 (with the 2005 designs as the baseline) as a precautionary measure. Sasol established the New Energy business in 2008, which is pursuing opportunities in renewable energy, low carbon electricity, energy efficiency and carbon capture and storage. Some of these potential solutions are not yet proven on a large scale and face regulatory, economic, technical, geological and geographical challenges. We cannot predict the effect of these solutions on our ability to implement our CTL projects, which could have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

At the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC) Conference of Parties' (COP) thirteenth meeting in Bali in December 2007, a roadmap was developed to reach agreement on, *inter alia*, a long term global goal for greenhouse gas emission reduction. Despite a perceived lack of a successful outcome at the UNFCCC COP in Copenhagen in December 2009, countries like South Africa, China and India have signed the non-binding Copenhagen Accord that sets out the voluntary country emission reduction targets. In the Copenhagen Accord, the South African government signed a voluntary non-binding agreement to take nationally appropriate mitigation action to enable a 34% deviation below "business as usual" emissions growth trajectory by 2020, and 42% by 2025. The target is conditional on provision of financial resources, the transfer of technology and capacity building support by developed countries, which will enable South Africa's GHG emissions to peak between 2020 and 2025, plateau for approximately a decade and decline in absolute terms thereafter. South Africa has indicated that its mitigation strategy can include regulatory mechanisms and economic instruments such as taxes and incentives. Various policy development processes are underway in South Africa. The Department of Environmental Affairs is expected to publish a climate change response policy in the 2011 calendar year. Also in South Africa, National Treasury has indicated its intent to issue a carbon tax discussion document in the second half of the 2010 calendar year, which will be followed by the policy development process thereafter. In addition, the Department of Energy is developing the Integrated Resource Plan which will set the future electricity policy for South Africa. Since the climate change response policy, carbon tax policy and energy policy of the country are related, we cannot predict the outcome of these policy development processes and we cannot assure you that no liabilities will arise that will have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Failure to comply with competition and anti trust laws

Globally, competition authorities are increasingly enforcing legislation, networking and exchanging information relating to potential violation of antitrust laws.

Violations of competition/antitrust legislation could expose the group to administrative penalties of up to 10% of its worldwide turnover and civil claims and damages, including punitive damages, by entities which can prove they were harmed by such conduct. In addition, there is also the significant reputational damage that accompanies findings of such contraventions as well as imprisonment or fines for individuals in some countries where antitrust violations are a criminal offence.

In October 2008 and May 2009, Sasol was fined by the European Commission Directorate-General for Competition and the South African Competition Authorities, respectively. The South African Competition Authority is conducting investigations into the pipeline gas, coal mining, petroleum,

Table of Contents

polymers, fertilisers and wax industries. The group embarked on a competition law compliance review programme in July 2008, conducted by external legal counsel, of all its entities globally and has cooperated with competition authorities to deal pro-actively with non-compliance matters. The review programme has now been completed, but there are matters that remain subject to investigation. We continue to interact and cooperate with the South African Competition Commission in respect of leniency applications as well as in the areas that are subject to the South African Competition Commission investigations. Refer "Item 4.B Business overview Legal proceedings and other contingencies". Although it is our policy to comply with all laws, and notwithstanding training and compliance programmes, we could, notwithstanding this programme, fall foul of competition or antitrust laws and be subject to the imposition of fines, criminal sanctions and/or civil claims. This could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

The competition law compliance risks mentioned above will be aggravated in South Africa when the Competition Amendment Act of 2009 becomes effective. This act will introduce individual criminal liability for collusion as well as the concept of a "complex monopoly". This could have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

We may not be successful in attracting and retaining sufficient skilled employees

We are highly dependent on the continuous development and successful application of new technologies. In order to achieve this, we need to maintain a focus on recruiting and retaining qualified scientists and engineers as well as artisans and operators. In addition, we are dependent on highly skilled employees in business and functional roles to establish new business ventures as well as to maintain existing operations.

In the past, we have been successful in recruiting and retaining such personnel. However, globally the demand for personnel with the range of capabilities and experience required in our industry is high and success in attracting and retaining such employees is not guaranteed. We have recently observed a downward trend in natural attrition rates as a result of the current global economic downturn. Some areas of the global economy are showing signs of recovery and there is a risk that our scientific, engineering, artisans, operators and project execution skills base may be constrained over time because of, for example, natural attrition and a shortage of people being available in these disciplines in the jurisdictions in which we operate. The quality and availability of skills in certain labour markets is impacted by the challenges within the education and training systems in certain countries in which we operate, such as South Africa and Mozambique. The retention of staff is particularly challenging in South Africa, where in addition to global industry shortages of skilled employees, we and our competitors are also required to achieve employment equity targets. Localisation and other similar legislation in countries in which we operate are equally challenging to the attraction and retention of sufficiently skilled employees.

The shortage of skilled employees will be further exacerbated as global economic recovery progresses and we compete with a global industry for skilled and experienced employees. Failure to attract and retain people with the right capabilities and experience could negatively affect our ability to introduce and maintain the appropriate technological improvements to our business, our ability to successfully construct and commission new plants or establish new business ventures. This may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Intellectual property risks may adversely affect our freedom to operate our processes and sell our products and may dilute our competitive advantage

Our various products and processes, including most notably, our chemical, CTL and GTL products and processes have unique characteristics and chemical structures and, as a result, are subject to patent protection, the extent of which varies from country to country. Rapid changes in our technology

Table of Contents

commercialisation strategy may result in a misalignment between our intellectual property protection filing strategy and the countries in which we operate. The expiry of a patent may result in increased competition in the market for the previously patented products and processes, although the continuous supplementation of our patent portfolio mitigates such risk to an extent. In addition, aggressive patenting by our competitors, especially in developing countries, may result in an increased patent infringement risk and may constrain our ability to operate in our preferred markets.

A significant percentage of our products can be regarded as commodity chemicals, some of which have unique characteristics and chemical structure. These products are normally utilised by our clients as feedstock to manufacture specialty chemicals or application-type products. We have noticed a worldwide trend of increased filing of patents relating to the composition of product formulations and the applications thereof. These patents may create pressure on those of our clients who market these product formulations which may adversely affect our sales to these clients. These patents may also increase our risk to exposure from limited indemnities provided to our clients of these products. Patent-related pressures may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

We believe that our proprietary technology, know-how and trade secrets, especially in the Fischer-Tropsch area, provide us with a competitive advantage. A possible loss of experienced personnel to competitors, and a possible transfer of know-how and trade secrets associated therewith, may negatively impact this advantage. Exploitation of our proprietary technology may result in the disclosure of confidential information and trade secrets to a wider group of people. In addition, the patenting by our competitors of technology built on our know-how obtained through ex-personnel may further result in loss.

Similarly, operating and licensing technology in countries in which intellectual property laws are not well established and enforced may result in an inability to effectively enforce our intellectual property rights. The risk of some transfer of our know-how and trade secrets to our competitors is increased by the increase in the number of licences granted under our intellectual property, as well as the increase in the number of licensed plants which are brought into operation through entities which we do not control. As intellectual property warranties and indemnities are provided under each new licence granted, the cumulative risk increases accordingly.

The above risks may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Increasing competition by products originating from countries with low production costs may adversely affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition

Certain of our chemical production facilities are located in developed countries, including the United States and Europe. Economic and political conditions in these countries result in relatively high labour costs and, in some regions, relatively inflexible labour markets. Increasing competition from regions with lower production costs, for example the Middle East, India and China, exercises pressure on the competitiveness of our chemical products and, therefore, on our profit margins. This could result in the withdrawal of particular products or the closure of specific facilities. We cannot assure you that increasing competition from products originating from countries with lower production costs will not result in withdrawal of our products or closure of our facilities, which may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

We may face potential costs in connection with industry-related accidents or deliberate acts of terror causing property damage, personal injuries or environmental contamination

We operate coal mines, explore for and produce oil and gas and operate a number of plants and facilities for the manufacture, storage, processing and transportation of oil, chemicals and gas related

Table of Contents

raw materials, products and wastes. These facilities and their respective operations are subject to various risks, such as fires, explosions, leaks, ruptures, discharges of toxic hazardous substances, soil and water contamination, flooding and land subsidence, among others. As a result, we are subject to the risk of experiencing, and have in the past experienced, industry-related incidents.

Our facilities, located mainly in South Africa, the United States and various European countries, as well as in various African countries, the Middle East and Asia, may be subject to the risk of experiencing deliberate acts of terror.

Our main Sasol Synfuels production facilities are concentrated in a relatively small area in Secunda, South Africa. This facility utilises feedstock from our mining and gas businesses, whilst the chemical and oil businesses rely on the facility for the raw materials it produces. Industry-related accidents and acts of terror may result in damages to our facilities and may require shutdown of the affected facilities, thereby disrupting production, increasing production costs and may even disrupt the mining, gas, chemicals and oil businesses which make up a significant portion of our total income.

It is Sasol's policy to procure property damage and business interruption insurance cover for its production facilities above acceptable deductible levels at acceptable commercial premiums. However, full cover for all loss scenarios may in some years not be available at acceptable commercial rates and we cannot give any assurance that the insurance procured for any particular year would cover all potential risks sufficiently or that the insurers will have the financial ability to pay all claims that may arise.

Furthermore, acts of terror or accidents at our longstanding operations may have caused, or may in future cause environmental contamination, personal injuries, health impairment or fatalities and may result in exposure to extensive environmental remediation costs, civil litigation, the imposition of fines and penalties and the need to obtain or implement costly pollution control technology.

We have implemented a number of programmes, including on-the-job safety training, behaviour-based safety programmes and process safety management systems in order to improve safety performance, and we monitor our safety, health and environmental procedures. In some cases we also have indemnity agreements with the previous owners of acquired businesses which limit certain of our exposures to environmental contamination. However, there can be no assurance that accidents or acts of terror will not occur in the future, that insurance will adequately cover the entire scope or extent of our losses or that we may not be found liable in connection with claims arising from these and other events.

In general, we cannot assure you that costs incurred as a result of the above or related factors will not have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Our coal, synthetic oil and gas, natural oil and gas reserve estimates may be materially different from reserves that we may actually recover

Our reported coal reserves are estimated quantities based on applicable reporting regulations that under present and anticipated conditions have the potential to be economically mined and processed.

Our reported synthetic oil and natural oil and gas reserves are estimated quantities based on applicable reporting regulations that under present and anticipated conditions have the potential to be economically produced.

The coal reserves and resources declared, meet the criteria of the applicable preparation codes (South African Mineral Resource Committee (SAMREC) and SEC Industry 7 Guideline (United States Securities and Exchange Commission, Industry Guides)). These factors reduce the risk that estimates will be materially different from the coal that is eventually produced.

Table of Contents

Our reported estimated reserves of proved developed and undeveloped natural oil and gas comply with applicable reporting regulations and are based on the Society of Petroleum Engineers Petroleum Resources Management System (SPE-PRMS). Although now allowed, we do not report probable and possible natural oil and gas estimates, and we apply a conservative approach to the definition of undeveloped reserves, including such only when full project sanction has been obtained. These factors reduce the risk that estimates will be materially greater than the oil and gas that is eventually produced.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of reserves and in projecting potential future rates of coal, synthetic oil and gas and natural oil and gas production, including many factors which are beyond our control. In addition, reserve/reservoir engineering is a subjective process of estimating underground deposits of reserves that cannot be measured in an exact manner and the accuracy of any reserve estimate is a function of the quality of available data, engineering and geological interpretation and judgement. Estimates of different engineers may vary and results of our mining/drilling and production subsequent to the date of an estimate may justify revision of estimates.

Reserve estimates will require revision based on actual production experience and other factors, including extensions and discoveries. In addition, several factors including the market price of coal, oil and natural gas, reduced recovery rates or increased production costs and other factors may render certain of our estimated proved and probable coal reserves and proved developed oil and natural gas reserves and undeveloped oil and natural gas resources uneconomical to exploit and may ultimately result in a revision to estimated reserves. Significantly revised estimates may have a material adverse effect on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition. See "Item 4.D Property, plants and equipment".

There is a possible risk that sanctions may be imposed on Sasol by the US government, the European Union and the United Nations as a result of our existing chemicals investments in Iran should current legislation be changed

There are possible risks posed by the potential imposition of US economic sanctions in connection with activities we are undertaking in the polymers field, as well as pre-feasibility studies relating to a potential ammonia/urea project at Assaluyeh, in Iran. For a description of our activities in Iran see "Item 4.B Business overview Sasol Polymers".

The risks relate to two sanctions programmes administered by the US government that we have considered: the Iranian Transactions Regulations (ITRs) administered by the US Treasury Department Office of Foreign Assets Control (OFAC) and the Iran Sanctions Act (ISA) administered by the US Department of State.

The ITRs prohibit or restrict most transactions between US persons and Iran. The ITRs, which are administered by OFAC, do not apply directly to either Sasol or the group entities involved in activities in Iran, because none of them would be considered US persons under these regulations. Nonetheless, because the group is a multinational enterprise, the ITRs may apply to certain entities associated with the group, including US employees, investors and certain subsidiaries.

We are taking measures to mitigate the risk that our US employees, investors and certain subsidiaries of the group to which the ITRs apply will not violate the ITRs as a result of their respective affiliations with the group. For instance, to that end, we are taking measures to:

- ensure that no US persons are involved in our Iranian activities, either as directors and officers, or in other positions, including engineering, financial, administrative and legal;

- ensure that funds dedicated to or generated from projects in Iran will be kept segregated from general group funds;

Table of Contents

ensure that no funds of US investors will be utilised in the projects by using separate bank accounts for any funds directed to, or to be received from, these projects and monitoring the flow of funds to and from these projects; and

separate the results of these businesses into separate legal entities.

By undertaking these steps, we believe that any risks posed by the ITRs to us, as well as to US persons and entities affiliated with the group will be mitigated. Nevertheless, we cannot predict OFACs enforcement policy in this regard and it is possible that OFAC may take a different view of the measures described above. In such event, US persons or affiliates associated with the group may be subject to a range of civil and criminal penalties.

The ISA was adopted by the US government in 1996 with the objective of denying Iran the ability to support acts of international terrorism and fund the development or acquisition of weapons of mass destruction. The ISA was extended in 2001 and amended in 2006 by the Iran Freedom Support Act; it will continue in force through 2011. In addition, the House and the Senate continue to consider amendments to ISA that could subject a broader range of business or investment activities to sanctions.

In its amended form, the ISA grants the President of the United States discretion in imposing sanctions on companies found to be in violation of its provisions involving investment in the petroleum industry in Iran or involving exports, transfers or other provisions any person or company, regardless of nationality, that (i) makes an investment in Iran of US$20 million or more in any 12-month period that directly and significantly contributes to Iran's ability to develop its petroleum industries, or (ii) exports, transfers or otherwise provides to Iran any goods, services, technology or other items with the knowledge that such provision would contribute materially to the ability of Iran to acquire or develop chemical, biological or nuclear weapons (or related technologies), or destabilising numbers and types of advanced conventional weapons.

Should the US government determine that some or all of our activities in Iran are investments in the petroleum industry, as statutorily defined by the ISA, the President of the United States may, in his discretion, determine which sanctions to apply. These could include restrictions on our ability to obtain credit from US financial institutions, restrictions on our ability to procure goods, services and technology from the United States or restrictions on our ability to make sales into the United States.

We cannot predict future interpretations of the provisions of the ISA or the implementation policy of the US government with respect to the ISA. Although we believe that our polymers project is not in the petroleum industry and we are only involved in a pre-feasibility study in connection with a possible ammonia /urea project, in Iran, we cannot assure you that our activities in Iran would not be considered investments as statutorily defined by the ISA or that the imposition of sanctions on the company or other entities of the group would not have a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

In addition to the sanctions administered by OFAC and the US Department of State described above, the US government may impose (and, from time to time, has in the past imposed) restrictions and sanctions against Iranian financial institutions under the USA Patriot Act and other anti-money laundering legislation. Such measures against Iranian financial institutions could have an adverse effect on our operations and investments in Iran.

Additionally, recent developments in US, European Union and United Nations sanctions have increased the risks of doing business related to Iran. The US president signed into law on 1 July 2010 the Comprehensive Iran Sanctions, Accountability and Divestment Act of 2010, the European Union expanded sanctions on 26 July 2010 and the United Nation's Security Council's Resolution 1929 was adopted on 9 June 2010. We continue to evaluate the risks and implications of these sanctions on our investments in Iran, however, we cannot assure you that as a result of these sanctions our activities in

Table of Contents

Iran would not be adversely impacted and that there would not be a material adverse impact on our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Legislation by US states that may require US public pension funds to divest of securities of companies with certain Iran-related activities could adversely affect our reputation with US investors or the market price of our shares

Several US states have enacted or are considering legislation that may require US state pension funds to divest securities of companies that have certain business operations in Iran. The terms of these provisions differ from state to state, and we cannot predict which legislation, if any, would require state pension funds to divest our shares. If a substantial number of our shares were to be divested as a result of state legislation, or the perception be created that the divestiture is required to occur, our reputation with US investors or the market price of our shares could be adversely affected.

The exercise of voting rights by holders of American Depositary Receipts is limited in some circumstances

Holders of American Depositary Receipts (ADRs) may exercise voting rights with respect to the ordinary shares underlying their American Depositary Shares (ADSs) only in accordance with the provisions of our deposit agreement (Deposit Agreement) with The Bank of New York Mellon, as the depositary (Depositary). For example, ADR holders will not receive notice of a meeting directly from us. Rather, we will provide notice of a shareholders meeting to The Bank of New York Mellon in accordance with the Deposit Agreement. The Bank of New York Mellon has undertaken in turn, as soon as practicable after receipt of our notice, to mail voting materials to holders of ADRs. These voting materials include information on the matters to be voted on as contained in our notice of the shareholders meeting and a statement that the holders of ADRs on a specified date will be entitled, subject to any applicable provision of the laws of South Africa and our Articles of Association, to instruct The Bank of New York Mellon as to the exercise of the voting rights, pertaining to the shares underlying their respective ADSs on a specified date. In addition, holders of our ADRs will be required to instruct The Bank of New York Mellon how to exercise these voting rights.

Upon the written instruction of an ADR holder, The Bank of New York Mellon will endeavour, in so far as practicable, to vote or cause to be voted the shares underlying the ADSs in accordance with the instructions received. If instructions from an ADR holder are not received by The Bank of New York Mellon by the date specified in the voting materials, The Bank of New York Mellon will not request a proxy on behalf of such holder. The Bank of New York Mellon will not vote or attempt to exercise the right to vote other than in accordance with the instructions received from ADR holders.

We cannot assure you that you will receive the voting materials in time to ensure that you can instruct The Bank of New York Mellon to vote the shares underlying your ADSs. In addition, The Bank of New York Mellon and its agents are not responsible for failing to carry out voting instructions or for the manner of carrying out voting instructions. This means that you may not be able to exercise your right to vote and there may be no recourse if your voting rights are not exercised as you directed.

Sales of a large amount of Sasol's ordinary shares and ADSs could adversely affect the prevailing market price of the securities

Historically, trading volumes and liquidity of shares listed on the JSE Limited (JSE) have been low in comparison with other major markets. The ability of a holder to sell a substantial number of Sasol's ordinary shares on the JSE in a timely manner, especially in a large block trade, may be restricted by this limited liquidity. The sales of ordinary shares or ADSs, if substantial, or the perception that these sales may occur and be substantial, could exert downward pressure on the prevailing market prices for the Sasol ordinary shares or ADSs, causing their market prices to decline.

Table of Contents

ITEM 4. INFORMATION ON THE COMPANY

4.A History and development of the company

Sasol Limited, the ultimate holding company of our group, is a public company. It was incorporated under the laws of the Republic of South Africa in 1979 and has been listed on the JSE Limited (JSE) since October 1979. Our registered office and corporate headquarters are at 1 Sturdee Avenue, Rosebank, 2196, South Africa, and our telephone number is +27 11 441 3111. Our agent for service of process in the United States is Puglisi and Associates, 850 Library Avenue, Suite 204, P.O. Box 885, Newark, Delaware 19715.

In 1947, the South African Parliament enacted legislation detailing the establishment of an oil-from-coal industry in South Africa. This followed 20 years after the publication of a White Paper by Parliament, aiming to protect the country's balance of payments against increasing crude oil imports in view of the lack of domestic crude oil reserves. As a result of this initiative, the South African government in 1950, through the Industrial Development Corporation of South Africa Limited (IDC), a state-owned entity, formed our predecessor company known as the South African Coal, Oil and Gas Corporation Limited to manufacture fuels and chemicals from indigenous raw materials.

Construction work on our synthetic fuels plant at Sasolburg (Sasol One), in the Free State province, about 80 kilometres (km) south of Johannesburg, commenced in 1952, and in 1955, the original Sasol One production units were commissioned. We supplied our first petrol and diesel to motorists in Sasolburg in November 1955. The operation of this plant was based on a combination of the German fixed-bed and the US fluidised-bed Fischer-Tropsch technologies, together with German Lurgi coal gasification technologies for the synthetic production of petrol, diesel, other liquid fuels and chemical feedstock from coal.

During the 1960s, we became a major supplier of raw materials for the chemical industry. This included products such as solvents for paints, butadiene and styrene for synthetic rubber and ammonia for nitrogenous fertiliser. When our first naphtha cracker became operational in the mid-1960s, we added ethylene and propylene for the plastics industry to our product portfolio.

In 1966, we completed construction of our first gas pipeline, which connected 250 industrial companies in the greater Johannesburg area to pipeline gas.

In December 1967, National Petroleum Refiners of South Africa (Pty) Limited (Natref) was incorporated and, at the same time, construction of the oil refinery commenced at Sasolburg. The refinery was commissioned in February 1971. Currently we, through our 75% holding in Sasol Oil (Pty) Limited, and Total South Africa (Pty) Limited (Total), a subsidiary of Total S.A. of France, hold 63,64% and 36,36%, respectively, in Natref.

The increased oil prices experienced in the early 1970's presented us with an opportunity to increase our synfuels production capacity and assist in reducing South Africa's dependence on imported crude oil. We commenced the construction of Sasol Two in Secunda, 145 km southeast of Johannesburg in the Mpumalanga province, in 1976, and in March 1980, this plant produced its first synthetic fuel. During the final construction phases of Sasol Two in 1979, work commenced on the construction of our third synfuels and chemicals plant also in Secunda, Sasol Three, which was completed in 1982. The virtually identical operations of Sasol Two and Sasol Three were merged in 1993 to form Sasol Synthetic Fuels, now Sasol Synfuels.

Towards the time of the completion of the Sasol Three project, all our technical and research and development services were consolidated into a new company, Sasol Technology (Pty) Limited. Since then, Sasol Technology has been an important area of our activities, responsible for research and development, technology development and commercialisation, project management and specialist engineering skills.

Table of Contents

In October 1979, Sasol Limited was listed on the JSE, and 70% of its share capital was privatised. We used the proceeds from the private and public issue to acquire 100% shareholding in Sasol One and 50% shareholding in Sasol Two and Sasol Three from the IDC. During 1983, we acquired the IDC's remaining interest in Sasol Two and the remaining interest in Sasol Three was acquired effective 1 July 1990. Subsequently, the interest in our share capital held by the South African government through the IDC was further reduced to its current 8,0%.

In 1982, our American Depositary Receipts (ADRs) were quoted on the National Association of Securities Dealers Automated Quotations (NASDAQ) National Market through an unsponsored ADR programme, which was later converted to a sponsored ADR programme in 1994. With effect from 9 April 2003, we transferred our listing to the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE).

Our technology enabled us to enter the downstream production of higher-value chemicals, including nitrogenous fertilisers and commercial explosives in 1983 and 1984, respectively, and also of solvents, phenolics, waxes and co-monomers.

During 1988 and 1989, we undertook the construction of a large polypropylene plant that incorporated BASF gas-phase technology. Between 1990 and 1993, Sasol One underwent an R820 million renovation, during which we discontinued the production of synfuels and increased the production of higher-value chemicals, including ammonia, solvents, phenolics, paraffin and waxes.

Polifin Limited (Polifin) was established in Johannesburg in January 1994, as a joint venture with AECI Limited (AECI), a South African listed chemicals and explosives company. The joint venture manufactured and marketed monomers and polymers. In 1996, Polifin was listed on the JSE. In 1999, pursuant to a takeover offer, we acquired Polifin's remaining share capital from AECI and the public, delisted Polifin and subsequently it became part of our chemicals portfolio and was renamed Sasol Polymers.

In June 1994, the first co-monomer plant at Secunda was commissioned to produce 1-hexene and 1-pentene for the international polymers market.

In 1995, we founded Sasol Petroleum International (Pty) Limited (SPI) to undertake oil and gas exploration and production in selected high potential areas in West and Southern Africa. SPI is currently active in South Africa, Gabon, Nigeria, São Tomé e Príncipe, Australia, Papua New Guinea and, most notably, in Mozambique. In 2000 and 2001, we signed agreements with the government of Mozambique for the development of natural gas fields and the construction of a gas pipeline transporting gas to the South African market. The construction of this pipeline was completed in 2004. We introduced natural gas to the South African pipeline gas market as of 2004 and use natural gas as part of our feedstock for our chemicals and synfuels operations in both Secunda and Sasolburg.

The Schümann Sasol International wax manufacturing and marketing joint venture was established in 1995 after a merger of Sasol Waxes and the Hamburg-based Schümann wax operations. It produces paraffin and Fischer-Tropsch waxes and operates in various countries. Effective 1 July 2002, we acquired from Vara Holdings GmbH and Co KG the remaining third of the share capital of Schümann Sasol and this group of companies, now 100% owned, has been renamed Sasol Wax.

By early 1999, Sasol Synfuels had commissioned the last of its eight new generation Sasol Advanced Synthol (SAS) reactors at Secunda, and a ninth reactor was commissioned in 2001. The 1-octene plant, also at Secunda, was commissioned in April 1999 by Sasol Solvents and commenced supply to Dow Chemical Company polyethylene plants in May 1999.

Over the past years, we have been exploring opportunities through Sasol Synfuels International (Pty) Limited (SSI) to exploit the Sasol Slurry Phase Distillate (Sasol SPD) process technology for the production of high-quality, environment-friendly diesel and other higher-value hydrocarbons from natural gas and coal. In October 2000, we signed agreements with Chevron for the creation of Sasol

Table of Contents

Chevron, a 50:50 global joint venture founded on gas-to-liquids (GTL) technology. Sasol Chevron was formed in order to take advantage of the synergies of Sasol's and Chevron's GTL strengths. Sasol has advanced Fischer-Tropsch technology and Chevron has extensive global experience with respect to natural gas utilisation, product marketing and hydrotreating technology. Sasol and Chevron have reviewed and optimised their business model for co-operation with respect to their GTL ambitions and have agreed, in future, to work together directly and on a case-by-case basis and not through the Sasol Chevron joint venture that will only be used to support the GTL project in Nigeria.

Sasol together with Chevron is currently involved in the development of a GTL project in collaboration with the Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC) and Chevron Nigeria Limited at existing oil and gas facilities at Escravos in Nigeria. In December 2008, Sasol reduced its economic interest in the Escravos GTL (EGTL) project in Nigeria from 37,5% to 10%, while still providing full technical and manpower support to the project.

Sasol acquired Condea in March 2001 from German-based RWE-DEA AG for €1,3 billion (R8,3 billion). Most of this business was subsequently hosted in Sasol Olefins & Surfactants (Sasol O&S) with production facilities mainly in the United States, Europe and South Africa. In 2003, it was determined that we would continue to grow our chemical businesses conditional upon projects leveraging our technology or securing integrated or highly cost-competitive feedstock positions. We announced in August 2005 that we were considering the divestment of the Sasol O&S business, excluding our co-monomers activities in South Africa, subject to fair value being attained. In March 2007, we terminated the divestiture process and decided to retain and restructure the business. The reason for the termination of the sale was that fair value could not be obtained. A restructuring programme was implemented in 2007. The shut down for an indefinite period of the Baltimore, USA and Porto Torres, Italy linear alkyl benzene (LAB) facilities as well as normal paraffin production in Augusta, Italy constituted the first phase of this programme. In June 2009, agreement was reached for the sale of the Crotone, Italy inorganic facilities and the sale was concluded in September 2009.

In July 2001, we signed a joint venture agreement with Qatar Petroleum to establish Oryx GTL (Qatar Petroleum 51% and Sasol 49%). The joint venture has constructed a GTL plant located at Ras Laffan Industrial City to produce high quality synfuels from Qatar's natural gas resources. The plant started producing on specification product during the first quarter of the 2007 calendar year and the first product was sold in April 2007.

In February 2003, we signed a joint venture agreement with the Pars Petrochemical Company, a subsidiary of the National Petrochemical Company of Iran. The joint venture (Arya Sasol Polymer Company), on behalf of both joint venture parties, constructed a polymer plant designed to produce one million tons of ethylene to be converted into polyethylene or exported as ethylene. The complex comprises one ethane cracker for producing polymer-grade ethylene and two polyethylene plants. The ethane cracker was commissioned in November 2007. The low-density polyethylene plant and high-density polyethylene plant reached beneficial operation in 2009.

In 2004, we initiated Project Turbo, our fuel enhancement project, intended to liberate further chemical feedstock and enable concomitant investments by Sasol Polymers to expand its South African polymer production capacity by more than 80%. The selective catalytic cracker (SCC) at Sasol Synfuels was first operated during 2006. The SCC was subsequently taken out of operation for modifications following initial performance problems. Investigations and modifications were performed and the cold section of the plant was started up again in July 2007 and the hot section in January 2008, and produced ethylene, propylene and petrol to specification. The new associated polymer plants (polyethylene and polypropylene) have also been commissioned.

Effective 1 January 2004, Sasol Oil entered the South African retail fuel market with the establishment of its first Sasol-branded retail convenience centre (service station). Sasol Oil also

Table of Contents

completed the acquisition and integration of Exel Petroleum in a major step towards forming Sasol Oil. We now have 418, compared to 411 in 2009, Sasol-and Exel-branded retail convenience centres.

We announced on 16 March 2006, the first phase implementation of Sasol Mining's black economic empowerment (BEE) strategy through the formation of Igoda Coal (Pty) Limited (Igoda Coal), an empowerment venture with Exxaro Coal Mpumalanga (formerly Eyesizwe Coal (Pty) Limited) (Exxaro), a black-owned mining company. During August 2009, we received a notice of intention to withdraw from the Igoda transaction from our partner, Exxaro. Sasol Mining is actively pursuing alternatives to ensure that its BEE strategy remains intact.

In June 2006, we announced the signing of a co-operation agreement with the Shenhua Group Corporation Limited and the Shenhua Ningxia Coal Industry Group Company Limited of the People's Republic of China to proceed with the second stage of feasibility studies to determine the viability of an 80 000 barrels per day (bpd) coal-to-liquids (CTL) plant in the Shaanxi Province, and for another 80 000 bpd CTL plant in the Ningxia Hui Autonomous region. In November 2007, Sasol approved an amount of US$140 million for its share of the final stage of the feasibility study for the two China CTL opportunities. In August 2008, Sasol and the Shenhua Ningxia Group agreed to proceed with only one 80 000 bpd plant in the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region of China, about 1 000 km west of Beijing. The proposed site in the Ningdong Chemical and Energy base has excellent infrastructure and this decision will enable the project schedule to be speeded up and result in lower feasibility and project cost. There are abundant coal reserves in the proximity of the large well laid out site, providing the platform for future expansion. A feasibility study for the project was completed in the first half of the 2010 calendar year. Sasol and Shenhua Ningxia Coal Group jointly submitted a Project Application Report to the Chinese Government in December 2009, to seek approval for the CTL plant. The result thereof is expected in the second half of the 2010 calendar year. The Shaanxi feasibility study will not proceed at this stage.

On 30 June 2006, we announced that our R1,45 billion broad-based BEE transaction, through an investment by Tshwarisano LFB Investment (Pty) Limited (Tshwarisano), had been successfully concluded. In terms of the agreement, Tshwarisano acquired a 25% shareholding in Sasol Oil effective 1 July 2006.

On 11 October 2007, Sasol Mining announced the implementation of the second phase of its BEE strategy. In a transaction valued at approximately R1,9 billion, a black-women controlled coal mining company, Ixia Coal (Pty) Limited (Ixia), will acquire 20% of Sasol Mining's shareholding through the issue of new shares. The transaction will increase Sasol Mining's BEE ownership component to an estimated 20% (calculated on attributable units of production). The transaction will be financed through equity (R47 million) and a combination of third party funding and appropriate Sasol facilitation. Ixia has procured its share of the financing for the transaction. The implementation of this transaction was conditional upon, inter alia, the conversion of the existing prospecting permits and mining authorisations (old order mining rights) to new order rights. The conversion of rights has been approved by the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR). The converted mining rights were signed and notarially executed on 29 March 2010. The converted mining rights for the Secunda Complex have been granted for a period of ten years. Sasol Mining has the exclusive right to apply and be granted renewal of the converted mining rights for additional periods not exceeding 30 years at a time. The Mooikraal Complex converted mining right has been granted for the maximum allowable period of 30 years. The Competition Tribunal of South Africa approved the transaction on 1 September 2010. We anticipate that this transaction will be completed by the end of September 2010. The transaction was not yet effective at 30 June 2010.

On 16 May 2008, our shareholders approved our broad-based BEE transaction valued at approximately R24 billion (at R380 per share) at that time, which resulted in the transfer of beneficial ownership of approximately 10% of Sasol Limited's issued share capital to our employees and a wide

Table of Contents

spread of black South African BEE participants. This transaction will provide long-term sustainable benefits to all participants and has a tenure of ten years. The following BEE participants acquired indirect or direct ownership in Sasol's issued share capital as follows:

- Sasol employees and black managers through the Sasol Inzalo Employee Trust and Sasol Inzalo Management Trust (Employee Trusts) 4,0%;
- The Sasol Inzalo Foundation 1,5%;
- Selected participants 1,5%; and
- The black public through:
  - The funded invitation 2,6%; and
  - The cash invitation 0,4%.

The Employee Trusts and the Sasol Inzalo Foundation were funded entirely through Sasol facilitation whilst the selected participants and the black public participating, through the funded invitation, were funded by way of equity contributions and preference share funding (including preference shares subscribed for by Sasol). The black public participating, through the cash invitation, were financed entirely by the participants from their own resources.

The effective date of the transaction for the Employee Trusts and the Sasol Inzalo Foundation was 3 June 2008. The effective date of the transaction for the selected participants was 27 June 2008 and the effective date for the black public invitations was 8 September 2008. Refer to "Item 5A Operating results Broad-based Black Economic Empowerment transactions".

In January 2010, the Sasol and Tata 50:50 joint venture initiated a pre-feasibility study for a CTL facility in India, following the award by the Government of India in February 2009 of a coal block in the eastern state of Orissa. This study is expected to be completed by the end of the 2010 calendar year and will be followed by a full feasibility study should the joint venture parties agree to proceed further with the project.

In April 2009, we signed a heads of agreement with Uzbekneftegaz, the national oil and gas company of Uzbekistan, and Petronas of Malaysia, for the possible construction of a 1,3 million tonnes per annum GTL plant in Uzbekistan. On 15 July 2009, we signed a joint venture agreement with our partners and launched a feasibility study for the development and implementation of this GTL project.

Since May 2000, we have undertaken share repurchases, which may be made at times and at prices deemed appropriate by management and consistent with the authorisation of the shareholders. At 30 June 2006, a total of 60 111 477 shares, representing 8,8% of the issued ordinary share capital of the company, had been repurchased since 9 May 2000 at an average price of R60,67 per share. At a general meeting held on 3 October 2006, shareholders approved that we acquire 60 111 477 Sasol Limited ordinary shares held by our subsidiary, Sasol Investment Company (Pty) Limited. These shares were cancelled on 10 October 2006. Except for the related transaction costs, the repurchase and cancellation of these shares had no effect on the consolidated financial position of the group.

At our general meeting of 23 November 2006, shareholders approved that we be granted the authority to acquire up to 10% of Sasol Limited ordinary shares by way of a general repurchase. This authority was renewed by shareholders at our general meeting held on 30 November 2007.

Through our subsidiary, Sasol Investment Company (Pty) Limited, we had purchased 40 309 886 ordinary shares representing 6,39% of the issued share capital of the company, excluding the Sasol Inzalo share transaction, for R12,1 billion at a cumulative average price of R299,77 per share since the inception of the programme in 2007. 31 500 000 ordinary shares of the repurchased shares were cancelled on 4 December 2009 for a total value of R7,9 billion. 8 809 886 ordinary shares are still held

33

Table of Contents

by Sasol Investment Company (Pty) Limited. At the annual general meetings held on 28 November 2008 and 27 November 2009, respectively, the shareholders renewed the authority to repurchase up to 4% of the issued ordinary shares of the company. This authority is valid until the company's next annual general meeting. To date, no further purchases have been made under this authority.

As of 30 June 2010, we were the seventh largest JSE listed company by market capitalisation (R183 350 million), with total consolidated turnover of R122 256 million in 2010. We employ approximately 33 300 people worldwide in our operations.

Capital expenditure

In 2010, we invested approximately R16 billion, compared with R16 billion and R11 billion in 2009 and 2008, respectively, in capital expenditure (on a cash flow basis excluding capitalised borrowing costs and including projects entered into by our joint ventures) to enhance our existing facilities and to expand operations. Capital expenditure incurred on key projects to expand our operations includes:

Projects[1]	Business categories	30 June 2010	30 June 2009	30 June 2008
		(Rand in millions)		
Pipeline expansion sl compressor	Sasol Gas	186	532	
Power generation with open cycle gas turbines	Sasol Synfuels	842	1 077	186
16th Oxygen train	Sasol Synfuels	970	507	304
10th SAS reactor	Sasol Synfuels	463	316	69
Gas heated exchange reformers	Sasol Synfuels	354	189	23
Oryx GTL and Escravos GTL[2]	Sasol Synfuels International			865
3rd Catalyst plant in Sasolburg, South Africa	Sasol Synfuels International	465	221	10
2nd Catalyst plant, The Netherlands	Sasol Synfuels International			366
Mozambique expansion	Sasol Petroleum International	484	1 203	454
Petroleum West Africa development	Sasol Petroleum International	83	429	235
Project Turbo	Sasol Polymers		86	362
Arya Sasol Polymer Company (Iran)	Sasol Polymers		166	457
2nd and 3rd Octene trains	Sasol Solvents		298	323
Fischer-Tropsch Wax expansion project	Other chemical businesses	564	227	
Other projects	Various	2 189	2 732	1 598
		6 600	**7 983**	**5 252**

(1) The amounts include business development costs and our group's share of capital expenditure of joint ventures. The amounts exclude borrowing costs capitalised. These amounts were approved by our board of directors. We hedge all our major South African capital expenditure in foreign currency immediately upon commitment of the expenditure or upon approval of the project.

(2) In December 2008, Sasol reduced its economic interest in the Nigerian GTL project from 37,5% to 10%. The 10% interest retained by Sasol has been recognised as an investment in an associate.

Table of Contents

Key projects to meet legal and environmental obligations as well as to sustain existing operations during 2010 include:

Projects[1]	Business categories	30 June 2010	30 June 2009	30 June 2008
		(Rand in millions)		
Mining renewal	Sasol Mining			118
Thubelisha shaft to maintain Twistdraai Colliery operation	Sasol Mining	752	91	14
Refurbishments of continuous miners	Sasol Mining	60	36	15
Impumelelo shaft to maintain Brandspruit Colliery operation	Sasol Mining	88	21	
Major shutdown and statutory maintenance	Sasol Synfuels	1 484		
Replacement of air heater systems at boiler 9	Sasol Synfuels	301	104	29
Improvement of Synthol total feed compressors	Sasol Synfuels	266		
Selective catalytic cracker baseline optimisation project	Sasol Synfuels	231	206	76
Ashlock project	Sasol Synfuels	181	191	70
17th Reformer project	Sasol Synfuels	174		
Turbo phase 1 project	Sasol Synfuels	148	33	64
Replace long term catalyst	Sasol Synfuels	111	112	63
Replacement of turbine rotors for generator 4	Sasol Synfuels		51	6
Switch replacement programmes	Sasol Synfuels	94	64	45
Sulphuric acid plant project	Sasol Synfuels	89	134	281
Volatile organic compounds abatement programme	Sasol Synfuels	64	41	
Refurbishment of firewater lines	Sasol Synfuels	84	47	43
Oxygen emergency shut down system replacement	Sasol Synfuels	71	115	91
Replacement of steam turbines at steam plant	Sasol Synfuels	60		
Refurbishment of the utility cooling water towers	Sasol Synfuels	55	2	
Replacement of combined waste heat boilers and feed preheater	Sasol Synfuels	54	39	12
Synthol tailgas compressor and turbine upgrade	Sasol Synfuels	51	111	
Replacement of tube bundles in interstage cooler systems	Sasol Synfuels	37	90	8
Replacement of conveyor belts for coal processing and ash plants	Sasol Synfuels		62	5
Change plant to reduce benzene fuel	Sasol Synfuels	25	84	116
Secunda Natref pipeline project	Sasol Oil	155	50	
Diesel unifier project	Sasol Oil	154	79	
Depot expansion project	Sasol Oil	148	117	11
Supply Chain project	Sasol Oil	69	28	
Hydrocrackers project	Sasol Oil	14	184	
Replace long term catalyst	Sasol Oil	9	50	2

Table of Contents

Projects[1]	Business categories	30 June 2010	30 June 2009	30 June 2008
		(Rand in millions)		
Oryx statutory maintenance	Sasol Synfuels International	264	288	213
Replacement of trunk and gathering lines at Sasol Petroleum Temane	Sasol Petroleum International		84	179
Upgrade of central processing facility at Sasol Petroleum Temane	Sasol Petroleum International	77	48	11
Replacement of Infrachem laboratory	Other chemical businesses	101	60	
Replacement of cranes	Other businesses	27	61	
Replacement of information management systems and software	Other businesses	127	174	
Replacement of existing radio systems	Other businesses		121	
Other projects to sustain existing operations[2]	Various	3 572	4 141	3 538
Expenditure related to environmental obligations	Various	126	239	396
Expenditure incurred relating to safety regulations	Various	185	331	197
		9 508	7 689	5 603

(1) The amounts include business development costs and our group's share of capital expenditure of joint ventures. The amounts exclude borrowing costs capitalised. These amounts were approved by our board of directors. We hedge all our major South African capital expenditure in foreign currency immediately upon commitment of the expenditure or upon approval of the project.

(2) Includes property, plant and equipment, assets under construction and intangible assets.

In addition, we invested approximately R50 million in intangible assets (including investments made by joint ventures), mainly in respect of software, patents and trademarks during the year. For a discussion of the method of financing capital expenditure, refer to "Item 5.B Liquidity and capital resources liquidity".

Capital commitments

As at 30 June 2010, we had authorised approximately R67 billion of group capital expenditure in respect of projects in progress, of which we had spent R21 billion by 30 June 2010. Of the unspent capital commitments of R46 billion, R10 billion has been contracted for. Of this amount, we expect to spend R17 billion in 2011, R12 billion in 2012 and the remainder in 2013 and thereafter. For more information regarding our capital commitments refer to "Item 5.B Liquidity and capital resources liquidity" and "Item 5.F Capital and contractual commitments".

We expect to spend approximately R42 billion of our capital commitments on projects in South Africa, R2 billion in other African countries, R1 billion in Europe and the remainder on projects in

Table of Contents

other regions. The following table reflects key projects approved by the Sasol Limited Board and contracted which were not yet completed at 30 June 2010:

Project	Business categories	Total cost approved and contracted (Rand in millions)	Estimated beneficial operation (Calendar year)
Thubelisha Mine	Sasol Mining	2 444	2012
Open cycle turbine power generation	Sasol Synfuels	2 058	2011
Fixed bed dry bottom gasifiers	Sasol Synfuels	870	2013
17th Reformer	Sasol Synfuels	1 035	2012
16th Oxygen train	Sasol Synfuels	1 378	2010
Sulphuric acid plant project	Sasol Synfuels	873	2010
3rd Catalyst plant in Sasolburg, South Africa	Sasol Synfuels International	924	2011
Wax expansion project	Sasol Wax	2 115	2012
Ethylene Purification Unit 5	Sasol Polymers	1 913	2013

The amounts include business development costs and our group's share of capital expenditure of joint ventures.

In 2010, an amount of R1 266 million (2009: R2 468 million) has been committed by the group for further development of the Escravos GTL project.

4.B Business overview

Sasol is an integrated energy and chemicals company. We add value to coal, oil and gas reserves, using these feedstocks to produce liquid fuels, fuel components and chemicals through our unique, proprietary technologies. We mine coal in South Africa and produce gas and condensate in Mozambique and oil in Gabon, and our chemical manufacturing and marketing operations span the globe. In South Africa we refine imported crude oil and retail liquid fuel products through our network of retail convenience centres. We also supply fuels to other distributors in the region and gas to industrial customers. We maintain extensive chemical manufacturing and marketing operations, mostly in South Africa, Europe, the United States of America (USA), the Middle East and Asia.

In South Africa, we refine imported crude oil and retail liquid fuels through a network of 418 Sasol retail convenience centres and Exel service stations. We also supply fuels to oil companies operating in South Africa and other distributors in South Africa and sub-Saharan Africa. Through Sasol Synfuels International (SSI), we are pursuing international opportunities to commercialise our CTL and GTL technologies. We brought our first international GTL plant, Oryx GTL, into operation in 2007 and we are developing, through our interest in an associate, a GTL plant in Nigeria. We are promoting our GTL technology in Uzbekistan and our CTL technology in China and India.

We employ approximately 33 300 people worldwide and remain one of South Africa's largest investors in capital projects, skills development and technological research and development.

Table of Contents

Our activities

Sasol believes that its ability to compete and grow sustainably is contingent on internal collaboration, knowledge and resource sharing, as well as building effective external partnerships and joint ventures in different markets, territories and cultural contexts. We cluster our businesses according to common business drivers. Clustering, which involves creating linkages among logically related businesses that allow for strategic consistency and operational efficiencies, has been increasingly adopted by world-class companies to become recognised best practice. In 2007, we formalised the group's structure into three focused business clusters South African Energy Cluster, International Energy Cluster and Chemical Cluster.

We divide our operations into the following segments:

South African Energy Cluster

Sasol Mining. We mine approximately 41 million tons (Mt) of saleable coal per year, mostly for gasification feedstock and utilities coal for our complexes in Secunda and Sasolburg and export approximately 3 Mt of coal annually. Sasol Mining accounted for 1% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Sasol Gas. We distribute and market Mozambican-produced natural gas and Secunda-produced methane-rich gas to customers in the Gauteng, Mpumalanga, Free State, North-West and KwaZulu-Natal provinces of South Africa. We also have a 49% interest in Spring Lights Gas (Pty) Limited, an empowerment gas marketing company in Durban, and a 50%

interest in Republic of Mozambique Pipeline Investments Company (Pty) Limited (Rompco), a company

Table of Contents

which owns, operates and maintains the 865 km cross-border pipeline that conveys natural gas from the Temane central processing facility in Mozambique to the gas network in South Africa. Sasol Gas accounted for 2% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Sasol Synfuels. We operate the world's only commercial coal-based synfuels manufacturing facility at Secunda. We produce synthesis gas through coal gasification and natural gas reforming, using our proprietary technology to convert synthesis gas into synthetic fuel components, chemical feedstock and pipeline gas. Sasol Synfuels accounted for 1% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Sasol Oil. We market fuels blended at Secunda and refined through our 63,64% interest in the Sasolburg Natref refinery (South Africa's only inland crude oil refinery). Products include petrol, diesel, jet fuel, illuminating paraffin, fuel oils, bitumen, lubricants gasses and sulphur. We have 234 Sasol branded retail convenience centres (including 37 recent conversions from the Exel brand) and 184 Exel service stations in South Africa and export fuels to several South African Development Community (SADC) countries. Sasol Oil accounted for 39% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Other. This segment currently includes costs related to the pre-feasibility study for the potential expansion of our synthetic fuels capacity in South Africa known as Project Mafutha.

International Energy Cluster

Sasol Synfuels International. We pursue international commercial opportunities based on our CTL and GTL Fischer-Tropsch technology and operational experience. We are developing and implementing international ventures based on the Sasol SPD process. In partnership with Qatar Petroleum, we brought our first international GTL plant, Oryx, into operation in Qatar in 2007. We also pursue opportunities based on other hydrocarbons that could be beneficiated through our Fischer-Tropsch technology. SSI accounted for 2% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Sasol Petroleum International. We develop and manage our upstream interests in oil and gas exploration and production in Mozambique, South Africa, Gabon, Nigeria, Australia, Papua New Guinea and the Joint Development Zone between Nigeria and São Tomé e Príncipe. We produce gas and condensate from Mozambique's onshore Pande and Temane fields and oil from Gabon's offshore Etame oilfield cluster. Furthermore, SPI is also mandated to pursue gas exploration opportunities in other geographic locations to enable it to supply feedstock to potential future Sasol GTL plants. SPI accounted for 1% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Chemical Cluster

Sasol Polymers. We operate plants at Sasolburg and Secunda in South Africa and supply ethylene, propylene, polyethylene, polypropylene, polyvinyl chloride, chlor-alkali chemicals and mining reagents to domestic and international customers. We also have joint venture monomer and polymer interests in Malaysia and Iran, and marketing facilities in China. Sasol Polymers accounted for 12% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Sasol Solvents. We operate plants in South Africa and Germany and supply a diverse range of solvents (ketones and alcohols), co-monomers (hexene and octene), acrylates and associated products. We also have a maleic anhydride joint venture in Germany with Huntsman Corporation. Sasol Solvents accounted for 12% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Sasol Olefins & Surfactants. We operate plants in Germany, Italy, the Slovak Republic, the USA, China and United Arab Emirates and supply surfactants, linear alkylbenzene, surfactant

Table of Contents

intermediates, n-paraffins, n-olefins, C_6-C_{22} alcohols, ethylene aluminas, oleochemicals and other organic intermediates to customers worldwide. Sasol Olefins & Surfactants accounted for 20% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Other chemical businesses. We are involved in a number of other activities in the chemicals industry, both in South Africa and abroad, which, among others, include production and marketing of other chemical products, like waxes, fertilisers and mining explosive products. These activities accounted for 10% of our total external segmental turnover in 2010.

Other businesses

Other. We are involved in a number of other activities in the energy and chemicals industries, both in South Africa and abroad, which, among others, are technology research and development, and our financing activities as well as alternative energy activities.

Table of Contents

The following tables present our total external turnover after the elimination of inter-segment turnover by business operation and geographic market in accordance with IFRS:

	South Africa Energy Cluster				International Energy Cluster			Chemical Cluster				
2010	**Sasol Mining**	**Sasol Gas**	**Sasol Synfuels**	**Sasol Oil**	**Other**	**Sasol Synfuels International**	**Sasol Petroleum International**	**Sasol Polymers**	**Sasol Solvents**	**Sasol Olefins and Surfactants**	**Other chemicals**	**Other businesses**	**Total**
						(Rand in millions)							
South Africa	55	2 962	541	44 137				7 409	1 136	166	5 350	132	61 888
Rest of Africa	92	12	10	3 016		71	48	1 422	155	153	625	11	5 615
Europe	309	12	288	769		1 719	868	415	6 307	12 923	3 486	6	27 102
Middle East and India	758		10			492		2 265	1 321	295	297	13	5 451
Far East	70		8					1 613	1 115	1 775	105		4 686
North America			3	6					2 941	8 923	1 173	2	13 048
South America	20		2					148	537	432	304		1 443
Southeast Asia and Australasia	392		17	4				964	913	107	611	15	3 023
Turnover	**1 696**	**2 986**	**879**	**47 932**		**2 282**	**916**	**14 236**	**14 425**	**24 774**	**11 951**	**179**	**122 256**

	South Africa Energy Cluster				International Energy Cluster			Chemical Cluster				
2009	**Sasol Mining**	**Sasol Gas**	**Sasol Synfuels**	**Sasol Oil**	**Other**	**Sasol Synfuels International**	**Sasol Petroleum International**	**Sasol Polymers**	**Sasol Solvents**	**Sasol Olefins and Surfactants**	**Other chemicals**	**Other businesses**	**Total**
						(Rand in millions)							
South Africa	159	2 816	1 066	47 362				8 168	1 443	99	7 348	100	68 561
Rest of Africa	266	13	2	3 493		78	190	1 832	157	181	898	11	7 121
Europe	1 783		222	105		1 858	425	280	7 399	15 378	3 744	36	31 230
Middle East and India	398		10			972		2 144	1 547	309	414	24	5 818
Far East	145		3					1 242	1 441	1 894	64		4 789
North America			38	7					2 864	10 380	1 403		14 692
South America	134		3				541	252	512	479	290		2 211
Southeast Asia and Australasia			23	119		119		1 408	954	147	644		3 414
Turnover	**2 885**	**2 829**	**1 367**	**51 086**		**3 027**	**1 156**	**15 326**	**16 317**	**28 867**	**14 805**	**171**	**137 836**

Table of Contents

	South Africa Energy Cluster				International Energy Cluster			Chemical Cluster				
2008	**Sasol Mining**	**Sasol Gas**	**Sasol Synfuels**	**Sasol Oil**	**Other**	**Sasol Synfuels International**	**Sasol Petroleum International**	**Sasol Polymers**	**Sasol Solvents**	**Sasol Olefins and Surfactants**	**Other chemicals**	**Other businesses**	**Total**
						(Rand in millions)							
South Africa	161	2 563	788	48 260				7 872	1 343	184	6 287	174	67 632
Rest of Africa	201		12	4 240	85		227	1 290	170	102	771		7 098
Europe	1 839		118		1 155			267	7 102	15 055	3 624	44	29 204
Middle East and India	64		20		370			202	1 385	324	363	5	2 733
Far East	205		10					742	1 456	1 520	109		4 042
North America			17						2 651	10 111	1 313	2	14 094
South America			5				1 001	73	487	750	276		2 592
Southeast Asia and Australasia			12		178			716	991	9	572		2 548
Turnover	**2 470**	**2 563**	**982**	**52 500**	**1 788**		**1 288**	**11 162**	**15 585**	**28 125**	**13 315**	**225**	**129 943**

Table of Contents

Our strategy

Sasol is an integrated energy and chemicals company. We add value to coal, oil and gas reserves, using these feedstocks to produce liquid fuels, fuel components and chemicals through our unique, proprietary technologies. We are active in petroleum and chemical sectors in Southern Africa and other countries where we can obtain an advantage through competitive feedstock. Our core business is adding value to competitively priced coal and gas feedstock through our unique Fischer-Tropsch synthesis and other proprietary technologies for the production of fuel, fuel components and chemicals.

Commercialising and expanding our Fischer-Tropsch GTL and CTL technology We have made further progress in the drive to commercialise our GTL technology based on the Sasol SPD process in natural gas-rich regions. The Sasol SPD process allows us to monetise underutilised gas resources by converting them into ultra-low sulphur, superior quality diesel and naphtha in line with global trends towards cleaner fuel and reduced emissions to the environment.

Oryx GTL, the 49:51 joint venture with Qatar Petroleum was commissioned in 2007 and is in stable operation and has met and sustained its design basis. The plant is the world's first commercial scale Slurry Phase Fischer-Tropsch GTL plant outside South Africa, developed and built specifically to produce GTL diesel and to a lesser extent, GTL naphtha and liquefied petroleum gas (LPG). The GTL diesel can be used either as a neat fuel or as a blend stock.

The development of the EGTL plant in Nigeria is advancing, but the project is experiencing significantly higher than expected capital cost increases. Capital costs are currently estimated to be US$6 billion with a completion date of 2012. In order to mitigate this risk, Sasol has reduced its economic interest in the EGTL project to 10%, while still providing full technical and manpower support to the project.

We continue to assess various GTL and CTL opportunities in a number of countries. The focus remains on the possible roll out of Sasol's proven CTL technology in China, India, Indonesia and the USA, which together hold the bulk of the world's coal reserves. The possible expansion of the GTL footprint in Qatar also remains a target, in addition to prospects for other GTL facilities, for example Uzbekistan, currently being explored by SSI.

In support of this growth driver, our team of researchers continues to advance our next-generation GTL technology, including our proprietary low-temperature Slurry Phase Fischer-Tropsch reactor and cobalt-based catalysts.

Sasol Mining has concluded a pre-feasibility study for establishing a mine to supply a CTL plant in the Limpopo province, South Africa, with coal being supplied from the prospecting rights area held by Sasol Mining. The project is awaiting progress on a decision by Sasol Mafutha CTL to proceed to feasibility stage. A bulk sample, of approximately 170 000 tons, has been mined in the Limpopo West prospecting right area in order to confirm the gasifiability of the coal. This sample is currently being beneficiated into 80 000 tons of the various gasifier products for testing in Sasol's Secunda Synfuels plant during the August to December 2010 period. A mining right application for the Limpopo West prospecting right area is being prepared for submission to the DMR during the 2011 calendar year. The environmental studies to establish a mine for the Mafutha CTL plant has commenced, with the base line studies complete, while the public participation process is planned to commence early in the 2011 calendar year. We will continue to explore new opportunities to commercialise our competitive Fischer-Tropsch synthesis technology for the beneficiation of coal and other hydrocarbon resources, including environmentally friendly biomass.

Growing our chemicals portfolio The chemical cluster represents the second leg in Sasol's portfolio, in addition to energy and fuels. In South Africa, the chemical businesses are closely integrated in the Fischer-Tropsch value chain. We operate related chemical businesses based on backward integration into feedstock and/or competitive market positions. The chemical cluster is also

Table of Contents

supplementing our CTL and GTL growth by way of three chemical growth ambitions based on the concepts of Fischer-Tropsch, conventional cracker and syngas platforms.

Outside South Africa, our polymer business continues to gain momentum. In Iran, Sasol has invested €535 million (our 50% share of the total capital project) in an ethane cracker/polyethylene polymer complex which is designed to produce one million tons per annum (tpa) of ethylene and 600 000 tpa polyethylene (high-density polyethylene (HDPE) and low-density polyethylene (LDPE) for sale in Iran and internationally). This project is a 50:50 joint venture (called Arya Sasol Polymer Company) between Sasol and the Pars Petrochemical Company of Iran. The complex comprises one ethane cracker for producing polymer-grade ethylene and two polyethylene plants. Production at all three units is still ramping up to design capacity.

Sasol Solvents continues to benefit from its status as a diversified producer and marketer of industrial solvents. The breadth of our solvents product portfolio and international market presence covering all major regions are competitive strengths of this business unit. The Octene 3 plant in South Africa, which produces high quality 1-octene as a co-monomer for the polyolefins market, achieved beneficial operation in June 2008. This plant has the capacity to produce 100 000 tpa of 1-Octene. Recently, Sasol Solvents has installed capacity to produce and market 356 000 tons of 1-Octene and 1-Hexene per annum.

Sasol Olefins & Surfactants (Sasol O&S), made good progress on their turnaround strategy during 2010. Continued monitoring of asset profitability and fixed costs remains a cornerstone of this strategy which is proven through stronger results. Volumes and margins have strengthened through the course of the year, with the second half of the year reaching pre-recession levels. Although a number of assets in the business remain under review, the success of the new strategy and the improved robustness of the business validated the decision by Sasol Limited to retain Sasol O&S.

Exploit upstream hydrocarbon opportunities SPI produces natural gas and condensate from its onshore Temane and Pande gas fields in Mozambique and oil from its Gabon offshore Etame oil field cluster. We are continuing our efforts to grow the upstream asset base in order to supply feedstock gas for existing and possible new downstream businesses. For that purpose, SPI has embarked on a growth plan to a) maximise production from existing assets; b) expand our exploration portfolio; c) consider asset acquisitions; and d) investigate non-conventional gas opportunities.

Sasol Gas continues to focus on growing the South African gas market following the successful introduction of natural gas from Mozambique in 2004.

South African Energy Cluster

Sasol Mining

Nature of the operations and principal activities

In South Africa, we have three coal mining operations:

Secunda Mining Complex, consisting of four underground mines (Bosjesspruit, Brandspruit, Middelbult and Syferfontein) at Secunda from which 39,3 Mt of coal was supplied to Sasol Synfuels, our primary customer.

Export Complex (situated in the Secunda Mining Complex), supplied by the Twistdraai mine at Secunda, producing coal for the international market (export coal sales of 3,0 Mt) and local market (coal sales of 0,1 Mt) as well as a secondary product (middlings), of 1,4 Mt, supplied to Sasol Synfuels.

Sigma: Mooikraal Colliery. The Sigma: Mooikraal mine near Sasolburg was brought into operation to supply utility coal to the group's utility plants in Sasolburg at a rate of about 1,9 Mt

Table of Contents

a year. It replaced the depleted Mohlolo underground operation and the Wonderwater high-wall operation, which are undergoing final closure and rehabilitation.

During 2010, total production was 42,6 Mt of coal, compared to 39,1 Mt in the previous year. The increase in production is mainly due to the implementation of the operations excellence programme and the revision of the production bonus structure. Each year, saleable production volumes vary according to internal demand and export capacity.

Operational statistics

	2010	2009	2008
	(Mt, unless otherwise stated)		
Sigma Mine	2,0	1,8	1,7
Secunda Mines	40,6	37,3	41,1
Total production	**42,6**	**39,1**	**42,8**
Saleable production from all mines[(1)]	41,0	37,3	40,4
External coal purchases mainly from Anglo Operations	4,7	5,3	4,8
Sales to Sasol Infrachem, Sasolburg	1,9	1,8	1,7
Sales to Sasol Synfuels, Secunda	39,3	38,6	40,1
Additional South African market sales	0,1	0,2	0,9
Export sales (primarily Europe)	3,0	3,1	3,4
Total sales including exports	**44,3**	**43,7**	**46,1**
Production tonnes per continuous miner (mining production machine) per shift (t/cm/shift)	1 535	1 391	1 614

(1) Saleable production equals our total production minus discard and includes both product sold and movements in stockpiles.

Principal markets

We extract and supply coal mainly to our Synfuels and chemical plants under terms and conditions which are determined on an arm's length basis. We export approximately 7% of Sasol Mining's production. In 2010, external sales, primarily exports, totalled 3,1 Mt, compared to 3,3 Mt in 2009. The reduction in external sales tons during the current year resulted mainly from Transnet Freight Rail constraints and the implementation of Phase V at Richards Bay Coal Terminal. In a volatile currency market, average US dollar export prices achieved decreased by 24%, while the rand strengthened by 14% compared with the prior year. This resulted in a net decrease in the rand export coal price of 34%.

Marketing opportunities for coal in both the international and domestic utility market continue to be explored. Our exports are currently constrained by our throughput entitlement at the Richards Bay Coal Terminal.

External market opportunities

International CTL projects. In support of SSI, Sasol Mining is involved in CTL project studies in China and India. At this stage, Sasol Mining's role is to evaluate the coal feedstock supply in terms of the reserve base, the ability to mine the feedstock, pricing of feedstock, quality requirements of the coal for gasification and safety issues.

Mafutha Mining project. Sasol Mining has concluded a pre-feasibility study for establishing a mine to supply a CTL plant in the Limpopo province, South Africa, with coal being supplied from the

Table of Contents

prospecting rights area held by Sasol Mining. The project is awaiting progress on a decision by Sasol Mafutha CTL to proceed to feasibility stage. A bulk sample, of approximately 170 000 tons, has been mined in the Limpopo West prospecting right area in order to confirm the gasifiability of the coal. This sample is currently being beneficiated into 80 000 tons of the various gasifier products for testing in Sasol's Secunda Synfuels plant during the August to December 2010 period. A mining right application for the Limpopo West prospecting right area is being prepared for submission to the DMR during the 2011 calendar year. The environmental studies to establish a mine for the Mafutha CTL plant has commenced, with the base line studies complete, while the public participation process is planned to commence early in the 2011 calendar year.

Seasonality

The demand for coal by our Synfuels and chemical plants is consistent throughout the year. The export coal is sold mainly in Europe and Asia. Even though the demand for coal is seasonal in certain regions, our sales are planned to ensure even shipment of coal throughout the year.

Marketing channels

Sasol Mining has appointed a limited number of agents in Europe to represent the company, each responsible for their own specific geographic markets. These agents operate on a commission basis and are authorised to act as intermediaries only with the aim of promoting our product and providing after-sales service. All sales require approval of Sasol Mining before they may be concluded with the customer.

Factors on which the business is dependent

Being part of the Sasol value chain we are continuously engaging with Sasol Synfuels to ensure optimal delivery and utilisation of our coal resources. We also have dedicated strategic and long-term planning departments who ensure that mining and other related activities are performed in accordance with our strategic plans for the future.

Also refer to Item 4B "Business overview Regulation of mining activities in South Africa".

Property, plants and equipment

Sasol Mining operates six mines for the supply of coal to Sasol Synfuels, Sasol Infrachem (utility coal only) and the external market. The annual production of each mine, the primary market to which it supplies coal and the location of each mine are indicated in the table below:

			Production (Mt)		
Mine	**Market**	**Location**	**2010**	**2009**	**2008**
Bosjesspruit	Sasol Synfuels	Secunda	**7,6**	6,4	7,3
Brandspruit	Sasol Synfuels	Secunda	**8,0**	7,4	7,7
Middelbult	Sasol Synfuels	Secunda	**8,5**	7,6	7,6
Syferfontein	Sasol Synfuels	Secunda	**9,9**	9,5	9,3
Twistdraai	Export/Sasol Synfuels[1]	Secunda	**6,6**	6,4	9,2
Sigma : Mooikraal	Sasol Infrachem	Sasolburg	**2,0**	1,8	1,7
			42,6	39,1	42,8

(1) The secondary product from the export beneficiation plant is supplied to Sasol Synfuels.

Some of our mines are approaching the end of their useful lives and we are developing new mines and shafts to sustain consistent supply. During 2010, we started construction of Twistdraai Colliery's

Table of Contents

new Thubelisha shaft. We also obtained board approval for the construction of the Impomelelo mine, which will replace the ageing Brandspruit Colliery. Construction is due to start in 2011.

Coal handling facility Sasol Coal Supply (SCS)

SCS at Secunda is responsible for the conveyance of coal from the mine mouth to a stock holding facility. Here the coal from the different mines is blended in order to homogenise the product that is then conveyed to Sasol Synfuels as demanded.

Beneficiation plant

A coal beneficiation plant is operated at Secunda to enable coal export to the international market. The design throughput of the plant is 10,5 Mt per annum. The plant feedstock is supplied by Twistdraai mine via overland conveyor belts of approximately 20,2 km in length.

Sasol Gas

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

Established in 1964, originally as the South African Gas Distribution Corporation Limited (Gascor), Sasol Gas operates and maintains a 2 242 km pipeline network in South Africa and Mozambique. Sasol Gas is a shareholder in Rompco and Spring Lights Gas (Pty) Limited (Spring Lights Gas).

As part of the Natural Gas Project for the development, production and transportation of natural gas from Mozambique, Rompco was established as the owner of the Mozambique to Secunda gas transmission pipeline (MSP).

Initially, Rompco was a wholly owned subsidiary of Sasol Gas Holdings. Pursuant to the Rompco Shareholders' Agreement the South African and Mozambican governments' nominated shareholders, namely the South African Gas Development Company (Pty) Limited (iGas) and Companhia de Moçambicana de Gasoduto, S.A.R.L (CMG) were afforded a deferred option to purchase in aggregate up to 50% of the shareholding in Rompco. With effect from 1 July 2005, iGas exercised its option to purchase 25% of the shares in Rompco. CMG exercised its option with effect from 2 August 2006. A total profit of R576 million was realised on the sale of shares to the respective parties. The shareholding by government nominated entities positively impacted the political risk profile of the investment in Rompco and the MSP.

As part of Sasol Gas' commitment to broad based BEE, Sasol Gas formed a joint venture company with Coal Energy and Power Resources Limited, Spring Lights Gas, in 2002 to which it sold its marketing business in KwaZulu-Natal, a province in South Africa. This venture has realised substantial growth in the market since its inception.

Since 1996, Sasol Gas has been using the Lilly pipeline owned by Transnet Pipelines for the transportation of gas to the KwaZulu-Natal market. During 2005, we renewed the gas transportation agreement with Transnet Pipelines to continue to use the pipeline for a duration of 17 years (until 2022), with an option to extend the agreement for a further three years.

Principal markets

Sasol Gas markets methane-rich gas, produced by Sasol Synfuels and natural gas produced from gas fields in Mozambique. In the energy market, pipeline gas competes with crude oil-derived products, electricity and coal in various industries, such as ceramics, glass, metal, manufacturing, chemical, food and pulp and paper.

Table of Contents

The pipeline gas segment makes up a small part of the overall energy industry in South Africa. The market has grown as a result of the introduction of natural gas from Mozambique since 2004. The current supply of 124 MGJ/a of pipeline gas increased from 122 MGJ/a in 2009. Compared to developed countries, South Africa is a small consumer of natural gas as a percentage of its total energy requirements. This presents us with the opportunity to increase sales of environmentally preferred natural gas. Environmental and technological trends together with new environmental legislation are expected to entice customers to convert to gas as a substitute for environmentally less desirable energy sources. During 2010, natural gas volumes sold reached 102 MGJ/a and methane rich gas volumes 22 MGJ/a.

Sasol Gas supplies 59,3 MGJ/a of gas to 576 industrial and commercial customers in the South African provinces of Mpumalanga, Gauteng, KwaZulu-Natal, North-West and the Free State. Besides marketing pipeline gas to these customers, natural gas is also supplied as feedstock to Sasol's facilities in Sasolburg and Secunda.

Seasonality

The total South African demand for gas is consistent throughout the year and is generally not subject to seasonal fluctuations due to moderate temperature variances between seasons and the absence of a significant domestic market.

Raw materials

The natural gas purchased in Mozambique from an un-incorporated joint venture (UJV) consisting of Sasol Petroleum Temane Limitada (SPT), a subsidiary of Sasol Petroleum International, International Finance Corporation (IFC) and Companhia Moçambicana de Hidrocarbonetos, S.A.R.L (CMH) is transported by Rompco to Secunda in South Africa. Methane-rich gas is purchased from the Sasol Synfuels facility in Secunda. The UJV has been supplying Sasol Gas with natural gas since 2004 and Sasol Synfuels has been supplying methane-rich gas to Sasol Gas since 1994.

Marketing channels

Over 93% of the products produced by Sasol Gas are sold to end-use industrial customers by our own sales and marketing personnel. We also supply a small number of traders and resellers who sell the gas to their own customers.

Factors on which the business is dependent

Licences and regulations

We have obtained, from the National Energy Regulator of South Africa (NERSA), the necessary licences required in terms of the Gas Act to operate our gas distribution facilities and to engage in our trading activities. We are in the process of obtaining the relevant licences for the operation of transmission gas facilities in order to comply with the Gas Act and the rules published by NERSA. As and when expansion of our distribution and transmission facilities is required we apply for the required construction licences from NERSA. Refer to Item 4B "Business overview Regulation of pipeline gas activities in South Africa" for additional information.

Property, plants and equipment

The MSP natural gas transmission pipeline owned by Rompco is a 26 inch carbon steel underground pipeline of 865 km. The pipeline starts from the natural gas central processing facility (CPF) at Temane in Mozambique and ends at the pressure protection station (PPS) in Secunda, South Africa. The instantaneous capacity of the pipeline is 136 MGJ/a, with an annual average of 120 MGJ/a

Table of Contents

without any additional compression along the pipeline. Rompco is in the process of constructing the first compressor station near Komatipoort in South Africa. This will supply midpoint compression and will enable the pipeline to increase gas transportation up to an annual average of 149 MGJ/a. The compressor station is expected to reach beneficial operation during the second half of the 2010 calendar year.

The inland transmission network of Gauteng is fed from the PPS at Nigel. The network is operated at a pressure of 3 550 kPa and lower and the capacity of the transmission network is approximately 84 MGJ/a. These pipelines supply various low pressure distribution areas as well as some customers directly. Where these lines enter into various distribution areas, a pressure reduction station reduces the pressure to 625 kPa. The southern part of the inland network ends at the auto thermal reformer plant (ATR) in Sasolburg. The ATR plant is used to convert the natural gas into chemical feedstock for the chemical cluster businesses located in Sasolburg and is owned and operated by Sasol Infrachem.

The Secunda, Witbank and Middelburg distribution network receives methane-rich gas from Sasol Synfuels. The maximum operating pressure for this pipeline is 3 000 kPa and the capacity of the network is 10 MGJ/a. The same methane-rich gas as supplied to Witbank and Middelburg is compressed and fed into the Transnet Pipelines transmission pipeline to feed our customers in the KwaZulu-Natal province. The maximum operating pressure for this transmission pipeline is 5 300 kPa and the capacity of the network is approximately 21 MGJ/a.

Sasol Synfuels

Nature of the operations and principal activities

Sasol Synfuels, based in Secunda operates a coal and gas based synthetic fuels manufacturing facility. We produce syngas primarily from low-grade coal with a smaller portion of feedstock being natural gas. The process uses advanced high temperature Fischer-Tropsch technology to convert syngas into a range of synthetic fuel components, as well as industrial pipeline gas and chemical feedstock. We produce most of South Africa's chemical and polymer building blocks, including ethylene, propylene, ammonia, phenols, alcohols and ketones. We operate the world's largest oxygen production facilities (according to Air Liquide, the French industrial gas company), currently consisting of 15 units. We are in a process of expanding the oxygen facility with an additional unit with commissioning expected during the fourth quarter of the 2010 calendar year.

Major growth opportunities exist for us in the domestic and international markets. Sasol Synfuels is partnering with Sasol Technology, Sasol Oil and key chemical businesses in a feasibility study for a substantial increase in production. This project consists of two phases. The first phase will expand the current high temperature Fischer-Tropsch volumes and the second phase will use low temperature Fischer-Tropsch technology, with both the natural gas and coal as feed streams. Portions of the first phase are currently in the execution phase, with the remainder of the first phase in feasibility stage. The second phase is in pre-feasibility stage.

The Sasol Natural Gas Growth Project (SNGGP) phase 1(a) was approved by the Sasol Limited board during March 2010. The total approved amount of R14,2 billion, consists of both capital and feasibility funds. This investment will result in an increase in production of approximately 3,2% on a sustainable basis as well as additional power from gas turbines. Since 2008, Sasol Synfuels has incurred costs of R599 million in respect of the pre-feasibility and feasibility studies related to the SNGGP phase 1(a). On the fuel specification programme phase 1(b), an amount of R47 million has been approved, with a total expected capital investment of R11 billion. The scope of phase 1(b) is to address the fuel specifications and environmental requirements associated with the growth programme. Further growth opportunities are being considered, but these are in the early stages and have not yet been

Table of Contents

approved for commercial development. It is therefore premature to assess the impact they would have on our operations.

Principal markets

Sasol Synfuels sells fuel components to Sasol Oil, and methane-rich gas is sold to Sasol Gas. Chemical feedstocks are sold to the chemical divisions of Sasol and its joint venture partners, including Merisol. Such feedstocks are processed and marketed for a wide range of applications locally and abroad. Ammonia and sulphur are sold to the fertiliser and explosives industries, including Sasol Nitro, our nitrogenous products division.

Raw materials

The dominant feedstock components used by Sasol Synfuels in the production process are low grade coal obtained from Sasol Mining and natural gas obtained from Sasol Gas. Prices of low grade coal are influenced by the South African Producer Price Index while the price of natural gas is mainly determined by the international price of crude oil.

Marketing channels

The bulk of our products are sold to other Sasol business units. A very small volume of carbon products are directly marketed to clients locally and abroad, via commercial distribution channels. Sasol Nitro also acts as a marketing agent for the selling of ammonia and sulphur, mainly to the South African fertiliser industry.

Property, plants and equipment

Specific product volumes

	2010	2009	2008
		(Mt)	
Total production volumes	7,4	7,1	7,4

	2010	2009	2008
		(% of total production)	
Liquid and gaseous fuels	62	63	64
Petrochemical feedstock	29	28	27
Carbon plus nitrogenous feedstock for fertilisers and explosives	7	7	7
Specialised cokes, creosote and related carbon and tar products	2	2	2

Sasol Synfuels is continuing the development of an operations excellence approach suitable for Sasol Synfuels' manufacturing activities. Greater energy efficiency is also being pursued through new programmes aimed at reducing overall unit cost, improving environmental performance and assuring the reliability of electricity supply. Sasol Synfuels has commenced with the construction of a 200-megawatt power-generation plant at Secunda. Beneficial operation is planned to be achieved during July 2010. This facility will be commissioned on natural gas but will eventually use waste-gas streams as an energy source to reduce costs and environmental impact as well as overall site energy efficiency.

Overall production integrity and reliability remained at relatively stable levels throughout the year. Overall production volumes for 2010 were higher than 2009 due to improved plant reliability, availability and efficiency of operations. Ongoing programmes are followed to further improve plant reliability.

Table of Contents

Sasol Synfuels continues to advance a series of major environmental projects as part of a wider group initiative in South Africa to reduce our environmental footprint and enhance operational efficiency. We have commissioned the sulphuric acid plant at Sasol Synfuels and an ammonium sulphate plant at Sasol Nitro that is expected to cost R1 272 million. The sulphuric acid plant will use hydrogen sulphide and offtake gas from the Rectisol plant as feedstock. Sasol Nitro converts a large percentage of the sulphuric acid into ammonium sulphate, an important fertiliser ingredient. The sulphuric acid plant is expected to achieve beneficial operation in the fourth quarter of the 2010 calendar year.

We are also focusing on opportunities to reduce volumes of low-level volatile organic compounds (VOCs), as well as emissions of sulphur oxides (SOx) and oxides of nitrogen (NOx). Projects are in various development phases.

Sasol Synfuels has approved capital of R2 350 million for environmental projects. This amount includes spending on black product remediation, rehabilitation of the waste ash site and dolomite pits and the reduction of VOC emissions. To date, the expenditure on these projects amounts to R281 million, with the remaining R2 068 million to be spent in the future.

Sasol Oil

Nature of the operations and principal activities

Sasol Oil encompasses the established liquid fuels, bitumen and lubricants marketing activities of Sasol through our wholesale, commercial and retailing interests, featuring both the Sasol and the Exel brands. Operations include fuel blending and storage facilities at our Secunda operations to turn fuel components procured from Sasol Synfuels into market ready products. We are also responsible for crude oil procurement, shipping and the subsequent refining of crude oil through our majority shareholder interest in the Natref refinery in Sasolburg. Final product is supplied to and traded with, other licensed wholesalers operating in Southern Africa. Products include petrol, diesel, jet fuel, illuminating paraffin, LPG, fuel oils, motor and industrial lubricants, bitumen and sulphur.

Liquid fuels marketed

	2010	2009	2008
	(million m^3)		
Total liquid fuel sales	10,55	9,85	9,98
Total liquid fuel sales (exported)	0,59	0,56	0,84

Principal markets

Sasol Oil's fuel production is primarily located in South Africa's industrial heartland, where an estimated 60% of the country's petrol and diesel is consumed. Our full production of approximately 9,2 million m^3 of white products per year is insufficient to supply this market. The balance of the market is supplied from coastal refineries and imports, transported via the Transnet Pipelines' (previously Petronet) pipeline, road and rail tankers. Limited volumes of white products are exported overland to neighbouring countries.

Seasonality

The total South African demand for transportation fuels is fairly consistent throughout the year. Slightly higher demand for petrol is evident during the December holiday period and diesel demand tends to peak during October, the summer grain planting season and weakens during the December holiday period. The demand for fuel oil and gasses tends to increase in the winter season and weaken in the December holiday period. Demand during the first quarter of the calendar year is generally weaker than the annual average.

Table of Contents

South Africa is a price taker from international markets for transportation fuels as a result of the longstanding regulatory regime, which is based on import alternatives. Local price seasonality is mainly as a result of northern hemisphere demand peaks for petrol in the summer and diesel in the winter. This normally results in petrol and diesel prices being higher during our winter and summer compared to the USA and Europe, respectively.

During 2010, international petrol and diesel price trends have been substantially different to the established historical norm due to the global economic recession. A reduction in global demand for petrol and diesel has affected the prices and reduced normal seasonality, resulting in lower than anticipated refining margins. Increased refining capacity in emerging economies has increased supply, further negatively impacting margins. Margins are not expected to recover in the near future, but we do expect the seasonal impacts to return.

Raw materials

Sasol Oil's main raw material inputs are blending components from Sasol Synfuels, crude oil and base oils for lubricant manufacturing.

Blending Components
Sasol Oil has an agreement with Sasol Synfuels to uplift fuel components, which are then blended to market specifications in Secunda. Fuel oil components from Sasol Synfuels and Natref are blended to provide customer specific heating fuel solutions. The purchase price of fuel components is referenced to international petroleum product prices, crude oil and refinery operating costs.

Crude Oil

Natref obtains approximately 50% of its crude oil requirements from the Middle East (of the purchases from the Middle East approximately 13 000 bpd of crude oil is purchased from Naftiran Intertrade Company Limited of Iran and approximately 20 000 bpd of crude oil is purchased from Saudi Arabia) through crude oil term contracts. The balance of the requirement is bought on the spot market from West Africa and other sources. Volatility in crude oil prices has increased since the late 1990's as result of international supply/demand dynamics and geo-politics. Crude oil prices have continued to increase since the second half of the 2009 calendar year and are extremely volatile due to increased trading and speculation in the crude oil market.

Crude oil is landed at Durban and transferred to the refinery by a 670 km pipeline owned and operated by Transnet Pipelines Limited, a subsidiary of Transnet, which is a state-owned multi-modal transport company.

Lubricant Base Oils

Sasol Oil owns a portion (40%) of the ESA Lubricants Blending facility of Island View in Durban. The plant is managed by Engen Petroleum and blends automotive and industrial lubricants to Sasol Oil specifications. Base Oils are predominantly procured locally.

Marketing channels

Sasol Oil's marketing effort can be divided into four main areas namely sales to licensed wholesalers, direct (retail and commercial markets) in South Africa, direct marketing in other African countries, as well as overland exports into Africa.

Table of Contents

Licensed wholesalers

Sasol Oil is predominantly a bulk supplier to licensed wholesalers. Multi-national oil companies with their own South African refining capacity, namely, British Petroleum (BP), Engen Petroleum (Engen), Royal Dutch Shell (Shell), Chevron and Total South Africa (Total), rely on Sasol to supply a large part of their inland retail and commercial marketing requirements. A new type of licensed wholesaler, referred to as a Non-Refining Wholesaler, has emerged over the past few years. Non-Refining Wholesalers have limited access to retail networks and tend to compete with major oil companies in the commercial market.

Individual agreements that vary in terms of duration, volume, and modes of delivery, regulate the relationship between Sasol and its licensed wholesale customers. The agreed product slates reflect Sasol Oil's production slate to aid efficient and reliable supply. Product is imported to cover planned and unplanned refinery outages to ensure that supply commitments are met.

Direct markets (Retail, Commercial, Lubricants, Aviation Fuel, Fuel Oil and Bitumen)

We believe that independent access to retail and commercial markets have strategic, competitive and growth opportunities, and we intend to improve our position in the South African fuels market in this respect. Sasol Oil entered the South African retail market on 1 January 2004 with Sasol- and Exel-branded retail convenience centres. Currently our network consists of 418 retail convenience centres across South Africa. Sasol's current national market share is estimated at 9,6%. We have commenced with a process to phase out the Exel brand and to convert existing retail convenience centres to the Sasol brand. New site development is progressing, although slower than anticipated, due to, amongst other things, a challenging regulatory environment.

The commercial business has been repositioned to become a significant contributor through customer focused strategy. A significant number of large supply contracts have been signed. The current estimated market share is 6%.

Lubricants are marketed within our group of companies and retail networks as well as targeted industrial market segments. Efficient supply logistics are essential to operate a competitive business model. Extensive effort has been put into designing and implementing a supply chain that is comparable with international benchmarks.

In 2009, we acquired the remaining 50,1% of Exelem Aviation (Pty) Limited. The business is now trading as Sasol Aviation (Pty) Limited (Sasol Aviation). Sasol Aviation focuses on jet fuel marketing at South Africa's premier airport, OR Tambo International, but also services other inland airports. Sasol Aviation is part of an operating consortium at OR Tambo International and its market share at the airport is approximately 14%.

The Fuel Oil business provides a remarkably diverse range of heating fuels and applications to industrial and mining customers. The Natref refinery is situated 670 km from the coast. The resultant lack of a bunker fuels market makes this business unit crucial to ensure sale of heavy fuels to assist in smooth refining operations at Natref.

Bitumen is sold via Tosas Holdings (Pty) Limited, a wholly owned subsidiary since November 2007. Tosas Holdings (Pty) Limited procures bitumen from Sasol Oil and either markets the product or applies it through construction teams.

Africa marketing

Lesotho, Swaziland and Botswana are in the natural supply area of Sasol Oil's production facilities. Exel Lesotho and Exel Swaziland, fully owned subsidiaries of Sasol Oil, acquired the marketing assets of British Petroleum (BP) in Lesotho and Swaziland in 2006 and 2007, respectively. Exel Lesotho is the marketing leader in Lesotho and Exel Swaziland currently has 6% market share in Swaziland. Entry into the Botswana market has not yet been finalised.

Table of Contents

Sasol Oil holds a 49% interest in Petromoc e Sasol Sarl (PeSS), which is a joint venture with the Mozambican national state oil company, Petromoc. PeSS operates a network of 8 retail convenience centres and has 25 commercial customers. It has 7,5% market share in Mozambique. Both petrol and diesel are marketed through PeSS.

Trading exports (Africa Overland)
Export sales to other African countries are effected at the refinery gate, as Sasol Oil has no marketing assets in these countries. Volumes available for export to these markets are limited as a result of significant demand growth in South Africa.

Factors on which the business is dependent

Activities across the value chain, including manufacturing, wholesaling and retailing, are regulated through a licensing regime. Retail pump prices of petrol, the maximum refining gate price of LPG and a maximum single national retail price of unpacked illuminating kerosene are controlled by the Petroleum Controller under the Petroleum Products Act, 1977 (Act 120 of 1977).

A licensing regime for activities in the South African oil industry was introduced during 2006. Manufacturing, wholesaling and retailing of petroleum products may only be conducted once a licence has been issued by the Petroleum Controller under the Petroleum Products Act, 1977 (Act 120 of 1977). Onerous application requirements and a lengthy licensing process may hamper the development of retail convenience centres in future. Refer to Item 4B "Business overview Regulation of petroleum-related activities in South Africa" for additional information.

The methodology to determine marketing margins via controlled fuels prices is currently under review by the Petroleum Controller, and it is uncertain how the results of this review will impact our marketing activities.

NERSA, under the Petroleum Pipelines Act, sets tariffs for petroleum pipelines and approves tariffs for third party access to storage and marine loading facilities. This Act grants NERSA limited discretion when applying its pricing methodologies to set tariffs, which may affect some competitors, because of different market and production locations. NERSA approved new pipeline tariffs that became effective on 6 May 2009. In the short term, and until a new white products pipeline is commissioned, the differential between the white product pipeline and crude pipeline will be small, which negatively impacts Natref. Upon commissioning of the new white products pipeline, the tariff differential between crude oil and refined products may increase, if NERSA's tariff setting methodology remains unchanged. Refer to Item 4B "Business overview Regulation of petroleum-related activities in South Africa" for additional information.

Property, plants and equipment

Natref refinery operational statistics [(1)]

	2010	2009	2008
Crude oil processed (million m^3)	3,3	3,5	3,5
White product yield (% of raw material)	89,7	88,3	88,8
Total product yield (%)	99,1	98,0	97,8

(1) Data based on our 63,64% share in Natref.

Natref is an inland refinery, focusing on the production of refined petrol and distillate fuels and producing only a small percentage of fuel oil and bitumen. It is designed to upgrade relatively heavy crude oil with a high sulphur content (sour) to yield about 90% white petroleum products. Crude oil selection and degree of upgrade are ultimately dictated by refinery configuration and overall economics.

Table of Contents

Products of the refinery include petrol, diesel, commercial propane, jet fuel, different grades of bitumen, fuel oils, sulphur and various gasses.

While Sasol Oil operates the refinery, Total participates in its management with veto rights over a number of corporate actions, including, increasing or reducing Natref's share capital, amending Natref's Memorandum and Articles of Association and the rights attaching to its shares, appointing directors to serve as executive officers and determining directors' remuneration.

Under the terms of an agreement concluded between Total and Sasol, Total has the option to purchase up to 13,64% of the ordinary shares in Natref from Sasol at fair market value upon the occurrence of certain events. Since December 2003, Total has had two opportunities to increase its shareholding in Natref to 50%, the first being the termination of the Main Supply Agreements and the second the proposed transaction between Sasol and Petronas, which was subsequently prohibited by the Competition Tribunal. On both occasions Total decided not to exercise its option to increase its shareholding in Natref.

During the 2005 upgrade to meet new fuel specifications, Natref's nameplate capacity was reduced by 11%. A decision has been made that capacity will not be increased in the foreseeable future. South African fuel specifications continue to evolve with international trends and it is expected that substantial additional investment of approximately R4-5 billion will be required around 2015 to meet these more stringent specifications. Construction of a pipeline to integrate Sasol Synfuels and Natref has commenced. This will facilitate and optimise the production of new specification fuels through both plants.

During 2010, the overall refinery availability amounted to 92,1%, mainly due to planned and unplanned shutdowns. Planned shutdowns on the crude distillation unit, diesel unifier unit and residual crude desulphurisation unit have resulted in improved output from these units.

International Energy Cluster

Sasol Synfuels International

Nature of operations and principal activities

Based in Johannesburg and formed in 1997, SSI, our technology marketing and support subsidiary, is responsible for developing and implementing international business ventures based on our Fischer-Tropsch synthesis technology. We initiate and develop new ventures from project conception through to venture implementation and participate fully in supporting those ventures, holding equity in and marketing the products.

The Sasol SPD process

Based on our long and extensive experience in the commercial application of Fischer-Tropsch technology, we have successfully developed the Fischer-Tropsch-based Sasol SPD process for converting natural gas into high-quality, environment-friendly diesel and other liquid hydrocarbons. The SPD process consists of three main steps, each of which is commercially proven. These include:

- the Haldor Topsøe reforming technology, which converts natural gas and oxygen into syngas;
- our Slurry Phase Fischer-Tropsch technology, which converts syngas into hydrocarbons; and
- the Chevron Isocracking technology, which converts hydrocarbons into particular products, mainly diesel, naphtha and LPG.

Currently we believe, based on our knowledge of the industry and publicly available information, that on a worldwide basis we have the most extensive experience in the application of Fischer-Tropsch technology on a commercial scale. Given the increasing discovery of extensive natural gas reserves,

Table of Contents

especially in remote regions, our Sasol SPD process can be applied with significant commercial advantages in various parts of the world. As a consequence, our technology has evoked interest from countries and companies with extensive natural gas reserves as an appealing alternative for commercialising these reserves. In recent years, we have been actively promoting our Sasol SPD technology and are examining opportunities with a view to commencing commercial application for new GTL and CTL plants.

The Sasol SPD process converts natural gas into diesel and other liquid hydrocarbons which are generally more environmentally friendly and of higher quality and performance compared to the equivalent crude oil-derived products. In view of product specifications gradually becoming more stringent, especially with respect to emissions, we believe that the option of environmentally friendly GTL and CTL fuels will become increasingly appealing. GTL and CTL diesel can be used with optimised engines for best performance, although it can also be utilised with current compression ignition engines. GTL diesel is currently used as a cost-competitive blend stock for conventional diesels, thereby enabling conventional diesel producers to improve the quality and capacity of their product without investing substantially in sophisticated new plants and infrastructure. We anticipate that the combined factors of GTL and CTL diesel's superior characteristics and the prevailing market conditions in developed economies will enable GTL and CTL diesel to command premium prices for either niche applications or as a blend stock for upgrading lower- specification products. The construction of GTL/CTL facilities and the production of GTL/CTL fuels require significant capital investment.

In support of this growth driver, our team of researchers continues to advance our GTL and CTL technology, including our proprietary low-temperature Fischer-Tropsch Slurry Phase reactor and cobalt-based catalysts.

GTL developments utilising the Sasol SPD process

In June 1999, Sasol and Chevron Corporation, agreed to create a global alliance, Sasol Chevron (SC), a 50:50 joint venture between Sasol and Chevron, in order to identify and implement ventures based on the Sasol SPD process as part of our strategy to exploit our Fischer-Tropsch technology and to develop and commercialise the GTL process. During the first half of 2009, Sasol and Chevron reviewed and optimised their business model for cooperation regarding their GTL ambitions and have agreed, in future, to work together directly and only on a case-by-case basis, rather than through the SC joint venture.

In July 2001, we signed a joint venture agreement with Qatar Petroleum to establish Oryx GTL (Qatar Petroleum 51% and Sasol 49%). The joint venture has constructed a GTL plant located at Ras Laffan Industrial City to produce high quality synfuels from Qatar's natural gas resources. The plant started producing on specification product during the first quarter of calendar year 2007 and first product was sold in April 2007. Oryx GTL is in stable operation and has met and sustained its design basis. As the business has now demonstrated its viability, Sasol, together with its Qatari partners, is progressively expanding the facility by a further 10% with an expected completion date in the 2013 calendar year. The Oryx GTL facility had an unscheduled shutdown in the second quarter of 2010 as a result of a failure in a vendor supplied air compressor unit and undertook an approximate one month planned shutdown for statutory maintenance work in the fourth quarter of 2010. In December 2008, following negotiations with Chevron Nigeria Limited, Sasol reduced its economic interest in the Escravos GTL project from 37,5% to 10%, for which a consideration of R3 486 million (US$360 million) was received. Due to uncertainties that arose in 2009 from the fiscal arrangements for the project, management reassessed this impact on its commitments relating to the project. This resulted in a provision of R1 274 million (US$166 million) being recognised. A loss of R771 million was realised on the disposal in 2009. The 10% economic interest retained by Sasol has been recognised as an investment in an associate at its fair value from the effective date of the transaction. Sasol continues to provide full technical and manpower support to the project.

Table of Contents

In April 2009, Sasol, Uzbekneftegaz, the national oil and gas company of Uzbekistan, and Petronas, of Malaysia, signed agreements to evaluate the feasibility of GTL and upstream co-operation in Uzbekistan. On 15 July 2009, we signed a joint venture agreement with Uzbekneftegaz and Petronas, which launched a feasibility study for the development and implementation of a GTL project in Uzbekistan.

CTL developments utilising Sasol's proprietary Fisher Tropsch technology

In June 2006, Sasol announced the signing of co-operation agreements with the Shenhua Group Corporation Limited and the Shenhua Ningxia Coal Industry Group Company Limited of the People's Republic of China to proceed with the second stage of feasibility studies to determine the viability of two 80 000 barrels per day (bpd) CTL plants, respectively, in the Shaanxi Province and in the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region.

In August 2008, Sasol and the Shenhua Ningxia Group agreed to proceed with only one plant with a nominal capacity of approximately 80 000 bpd in the Ningxia Hui Autonomous Region of China, which is situated about 1 000 km west of Beijing. The proposed site in the Ningdong Chemical and Energy base has excellent infrastructure and there are abundant coal reserves in proximity which provide a platform for possible future expansion. A feasibility study for the project was completed in the first half of the 2010 calendar year. Sasol and Shenhua Ningxia Coal Group jointly submitted a Project Application Report (PAR) to the Chinese Government in December 2009, to seek approval for the CTL plant, the result thereof is expected in the first half of the 2011 calendar year. We have initiated engagements with key stakeholders to ensure the establishment of an enabling environment within which to evaluate the potential for a CTL project in India. This has resulted in the decision to open a representative office in Mumbai. Sasol and the Tata group of India have signed agreements to form a 50:50 joint venture company which has been allocated a portion of the North of Arkhapal and Srirampur coal blocks in the Talchar coalfield in the State of Orissa for the development of a potential CTL project in India.

We have completed our evaluation of the viability of a CTL facility in a number of coal-rich states in the USA and are currently considering our options. We continue to be involved in exploratory discussion with some of the world's coal-rich countries with a view to developing CTL plants in the future.

Principal markets

The bulk of the ultra low sulphur GTL diesel produced at Oryx GTL is sold as a blend stock to produce on-specification automotive diesel from middle distillate product streams derived from conventional oil refining. The GTL naphtha produced at Oryx GTL is sold to naphtha crackers that produce olefins such as ethylene.

Seasonality

GTL product prices reflect the seasonal behaviour of global petroleum product markets.

Raw materials

Oryx GTL, a 51% Qatar Petroleum and 49% Sasol Joint Venture, purchases natural gas feedstock from Al Khaleej Gas, a joint venture between ExxonMobil Middle East Gas Marketing Limited and Qatar Petroleum, under a gas purchase agreement with a contractual minimum off-take volume. The agreement commenced in January 2006 and is valid for a term of 25 years with an option to extend for a further 7 years.

Table of Contents

Marketing channels

The products produced by Oryx GTL are marketed by Sasol Synfuels International Marketing Limited under a marketing and agency agreement.

Factors on which the business is dependent

Technology

SSI is dependant on the successful integration of various technologies also referred to in the description of the Sasol SPD process.

Feedstock

The growth of the SSI business depends i.e. on the availability of competitively priced natural gas or coal reserves.

Remaining cost competitive

Working closely with Sasol Technology's Fischer-Tropsch process innovation teams at Sasolburg and Johannesburg, we are involved in an ongoing programme aimed at further improving competitiveness by lowering the capital and operating costs of future GTL and CTL plants.

Property, plants and equipment

We, together with our joint venture partner, Qatar Petroleum, decided to repay the outstanding balance of the limited recourse project financing of the Oryx GTL venture.

Plant description	Location	Design capacity
Oryx GTL	Ras Laffan Industrial City in Qatar	32 400[(1)] bpd (nominal)

(1) Nominal design capacity was 34 000 bpd but the final approved detailed design capacity was 32 400 bpd.

Sasol Petroleum International

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

Mozambique

Our natural gas extraction and processing activities from the Temane and Pande fields have been fully operational since the first quarter of the 2004 calendar year. Production from the Pande field commenced following an extensive drilling campaign during 2007/8 and completion of the flowline and trunkline tie-in in 2009. Current gas production levels are in line with original expectations at the time of project approval.

There are currently two onshore licences in Mozambique; the Petroleum Production Agreement (PPA) area, and the Production Sharing Agreement (PSA) area. The ownership structure of the PPA is 70% Sasol Petroleum Temane Limitada (SPT), 25% Companhia Moçambicana de Hidrocarbonetos, S.A.R.L (CMH) and 5% International Finance Corporation (IFC). Sasol Petroleum Mozambique Limitada (SPM) holds 100% of the PSA, with a production sharing arrangement with Empresa Nacional de Hidrocarbonetos (ENH).

The Njika-1 and Njika-2 offshore exploration wells drilled in the exploration and production concession (EPC), Blocks 16 and 19, were completed in January 2009. A detailed integrated subsurface study was recently completed. Based on the extensive data we have acquired, we can confirm that both wells discovered gas, but due to reservoir complexity we do not foresee an immediate follow-up

Table of Contents

appraisal or development activities at this time. However, we are encouraged by these exploration results, which have proven an effective hydrocarbon system in the under-explored basin offshore Mozambique.

In November 2009, we acquired exploration rights in the Sofala and M-10 EPC's adjacent to the EPC Blocks 16 and 19. Our share as operator in the M-10 licence is 50% (Petronas, as our partner, acquired the other 50%) and in the Sofala licence is 100%. On 23 August 2010, ENH was assigned a 15% carried interest, until field development decision approval, representing the Mozambican government's participation in both the M-10 and Sofala licences, resulting in our share reducing to 42,5% and 85%, respectively. Potential success in either of these two new licences would possibly allow for this entire area, including the Njika discoveries in EPC Blocks 16 and 19, to be developed further.

Negotiations on the Block A EPC contract awarded during the Mozambique 3rd licensing round were concluded in August 2010. On 22 September 2010, the exploration and production concession contract was signed by the Mozambique Ministry of Mineral Resources, ENH and SPI.

Gabon

In Gabon, we hold a 27,75% working interest in the Etame Marin Permit, operated by VAALCO Gabon (Etame) Inc. This permit contains the Etame, Avouma and Ebouri fields as well as other discoveries and prospects. During the first half of 2010, the combined gross oil production from all three producing fields averaged 19 300 bpd.

The Etame field is currently producing from one vertical and three horizontal wells. The field produces through a Floating Production Storage and Off-loading (FPSO) vessel moored above the Etame field. The Avouma field was brought on stream in January 2007. The field produces from two wells via a minimum facilities fixed platform tied back by pipeline to the Etame FPSO with production commingled on the vessel. The Ebouri Field was brought into production early in 2009. Development is via a minimum-facilities platform and three horizontal wells tied back to the Etame FPSO.

A further drilling programme commenced in February 2010 to enhance production from existing fields and to test two exploration prospects. This programme is currently in progress.

Nigeria

We currently hold a 5% paying interest in the OML 140 permit, for which Chevron is the operator. The licence includes part of the Bonga SW/Aparo oil field, operated by Royal Dutch Shell, for which a development plan is being considered. In early 2010, the Nigerian government approved the development concept for this very large field, in which Sasol has a 0,375% paying interest. The licence area also includes the Nsiko discovery. Work continues on the feasibility of developing this field, as well as advancing the exploration potential of the permit.

We also hold a 5% working interest in the OPL 214 Production Sharing Contract, where ExxonMobil is the operator. Three oil discoveries have been drilled in the licence to date, two of which discovered and delineated the Uge Field. A feasibility study for the Uge development has been completed, and a field development plan is being considered. Work is also continuing to advance the exploration potential of this licence.

We hold a 2,4% working interest (6% paying interest) in the OPL 247 licence. Extensive 3D seismic data/studies have been acquired and interpreted resulting in identification of several small leads and prospects. Development in this deepwater licence will require either a large discovery or a cluster development. Some new opportunities are being evaluated in order to assess the potential of the licence. Drilling has been postponed until after this evaluation, but the joint venture believes that although technical prospectivity remains there is limited commercial prospectivity in the permit. Consquently, relinquishment is being considered.

Table of Contents

We also have a 5,1% interest in Block 1 of the Nigeria/São Tomé e Príncipe joint development zone. The OBO-1 discovery is a non-commercial discovery on a stand alone basis. Exploration efforts will focus on joint development with possible additional exploration successes in the vicinity. Exploration wells have been drilled in the nearby blocks, but are still of confidential nature.

South Africa

Following the change in legislation in South Africa, the conversion process from the "sub-lease" agreements to the "exploration rights/production rights" agreement (ER/PR) is still ongoing. We hold a 10% partially carried interest in the prospecting sub-lease agreement in Block 3A/4A, offshore of South Africa's west coast. It is expected that the partially carried interest will be converted to a partially carried ER/PR contract during the 2010 calendar year.

Papua New Guinea

In mid August 2008, Sasol Petroleum Papua New Guinea Limited (SPPNG) became the operator (51% interest) of onshore Petroleum Prospecting Licences 285, 286, 287 and 288, in Papua New Guinea. Since this time, SPPNG has been managing the project to ensure all necessary work programme obligations will be met. This included the acquisition of 375 km of 2D seismic studies, completed in March 2009, and the completion of regional aerogravity/aeromagnetic survey over four licences in June 2009. The interpretation of this data has high graded one drillable prospect ('Awapa') to satisfy the PPL 285 Second Term commitment well.

Activities are underway to enable drilling operations early in the 2011 calendar year. Additionally, planning is ongoing for new seismic acquisitions in all four licences, with start-up scheduled for October 2010.

Australia

In the offshore Northwest Shelf of Australia, Sasol Petroleum Australia (SPA) is a partner in two separate exploration permits.

In July 2008, Sasol farmed into the Oilex operated WA-388 licence in the Carnarvon Basin, agreeing to part-fund a 1 064 square kilometres (km^2) 3D seismic acquisition programme, thereby acquiring a 30% interest. The 3D seismic acquisition was completed in September 2008. Prospect maturation work of the 3D seismic acquired in September 2008 was completed during the 2010 calendar year and the joint venture is currently defining work programmes and activities for the 2011 and 2012 calendar years.

Recently acquired exploration licences

On 4 December 2009, Sasol signed a Farm-in Agreement with an Australian independent company, Finder Exploration Pty Ltd (Finder), giving SPI a 45% participating interest in Block AC/P 52, in the Browse Basin of the Northwest Shelf. The Farm-in Agreement called for Sasol to make a cash payment of US$3,5 million and finance the first three year work programme to a maximum of US$3,8 million. The acquisition of a 520 km^2 3D seismic programme is ongoing and was completed early in September 2010.

In July 2010, SPI was jointly, with Statoil ASA and Chesapeake Energy Corporation, awarded an onshore petroleum Technical Cooperation Permit covering approximately 88 000 square kilometres. This permit awards the applicants the right to study the prospectivity for shale gas in the Karoo Basin in the central region of South Africa.

Table of Contents

Principal markets

Mozambican production

Other than royalty gas provided to the Mozambican government, all gas produced is exported to South Africa. The Mozambican government is dedicating royalty gas for use in the vicinity of the processing plant in Temane as well as developing the gas market in the capital city, Maputo. The natural gas condensate produced in the gas processing plant is currently sold at the plant, trucked to Beira by the buyer, and exported via the port of Beira to offshore markets.

Gabon production

Oil production from operations is sold internationally on the open market.

Marketing channels

Mozambican production

In the ongoing business, all natural gas is sold on long-term sales contracts to Sasol Gas, for marketing in the South African market. Opportunities are being assessed for gas supply to Mozambican markets. The additional gas volumes will become available from the proposed expansion of the current operations.

Sasol Petroleum Temane sells its condensate on a long-term sales agreement with an international trading organisation.

Gabon production

An annual sales contract is typically entered into based on a competitive bidding process and sales prices are linked to international oil prices.

Property, plants and equipment

Mozambican production

Our gas processing facilities (CPF) in Mozambique are located some 700 km north of the capital, Maputo. Ownership is shared with the Mozambican government through CMH (25%) and the IFC (5%).

Gabon production

The Etame field production occurs via subsea wells through a dedicated FPSO vessel. This is moored offshore at the field site. In addition, two fixed steel platforms installed on Avouma and Ebouri produce and export oil from these fields via subsea pipeline to the FPSO.

Chemical Cluster

Sasol Polymers

Our polymer-related activities are managed in two separate entities, Sasol Polymers, a division of Sasol Chemical Industries Limited, and Sasol Polymers International Investments (Pty) Limited (SPII), a subsidiary of Sasol Investment Company (Pty) Limited. SPII manages our international operations.

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

In Sasol Polymers, we produce ethylene by separating and purifying an ethylene-rich mixture and by cracking of ethane and propane supplied by Sasol Synfuels. Propylene is separated and purified from a Fischer-Tropsch stream produced in the Sasol process. The ethylene is polymerised into low density

Table of Contents

polyethylene (LDPE), linear low density polyethylene (LLDPE) and the propylene into polypropylene (PP). We operate a fully integrated chlor-alkali/polyvinylchloride chain. Ethylene and chlorine, from on-site chlor-alkali plants, are reacted to produce vinyl chloride monomer and then polymerised to polyvinylchloride (PVC). Caustic soda, hydrochloric acid, sodium hypochlorite and calcium chloride are other chlor-alkali products which are produced. Sodium cyanide is produced from methane, ammonia and caustic soda.

We are a major South African plastics and chemicals operation and our vision is to be an exceptional producer of polymers and preferred supplier to all our customers. We supply quality monomers, polymers, chlor-alkali chemicals and mining reagents.

In South Africa Sasol Polymers has two operating businesses:

- Polyolefins; and
- Chlor Vinyls.

In Sasol Polymers International Investments we manage the following international investments:

- Our 12% shareholding in Optimal Olefins (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd (with Petronas of Malaysia and The Dow Chemical Company of the USA), a manufacturer of ethylene and propylene. Optimal Olefins produces 600 kilotons per annum (ktpa) ethylene in an ethane/propane cracker. The cracker co-produces 90 ktpa of propylene.
- Our 40% shareholding in Petlin (Malaysia) Sdn Bhd (with Petronas of Malaysia), a manufacturer and supplier of LDPE with a capacity of 255 ktpa is operated by Petlin (Malaysia).
- Our 50% shareholding in Arya Sasol Polymer Company in Iran with Pars Petrochemical Company, a subsidiary of the National Petrochemical Company of Iran, a manufacturer and supplier of ethylene (1 000 ktpa), LDPE (300 ktpa), and medium and high density polyethylene (300 ktpa). Beneficial operation has been achieved for the entire Arya Sasol Polymers complex during 2009. The ethane cracker reached beneficial operation in November 2007. As part of the privatisation process in Iran, the National Petrochemical Company of Iran transferred 40% of its shareholding in Pars Petrochemical Company to SATA, a private company in Iran that is primarily engaged in making investments on behalf of the pension fund of the armed forces.
- A 40% share in Wesco China Limited (with Rhine Park Holdings), a polymer distributor in China and Taiwan.

Principal markets

Over the past three years between 71% and 78% of Sasol Polymers' revenue has been earned from sales into the South African market.

We are the sole polymer producer of PVC, LDPE and LLDPE in South Africa and have the leading share of sales of these products in South Africa, where the competition is in the form of polymer imports primarily from Asian and Middle Eastern producers. We supply 160 ktpa ethylene and 100 ktpa propylene under contract to Safripol (Pty) Limited (Safripol) in Sasolburg, South Africa, by pipeline for the production of HDPE and polypropylene, respectively. We compete directly with Safripol in the polypropylene market, where we have a significant share of the South African market. Caustic soda is sold primarily in South Africa into the pulp and paper, minerals beneficiation and soap and detergent industries. We are the sole local producer of sodium cyanide solution which is sold to local gold producers. Sales are expected to be in line with investment in dump retreatment in association with gold and uranium prices.

Currently, we export polymers from our South African operations to the African continent, South East Asia, Europe and South America. Product from the Petlin plant in Malaysia is sold into Malaysia,

62

Table of Contents

India, China, Australia and New Zealand. The focus for polymer marketing activity from our Iran operations is mainly South East Asia, China and the Indian subcontinent, while ethylene is being exported into South East Asia.

Seasonality

Global polymer demand does not show any marked annual seasonality although higher demand tends to arise in the third quarter of each calendar year as converters stock up for increased sales over the South African festive season.

The global polymer industry is, however, cyclical in terms of margins earned, given lumpy investment patterns caused by large capital requirements and size of plants. The duration of a typical cycle has been seven years and margins can vary from low trough conditions to extreme peak conditions. During tight supply/demand periods, which usually coincide with increases in economic activity as measured by gross domestic product (GDP), margins may increase disproportionately with high peaks. Over time margins reduce as investment is stimulated or as demand slows down in line with GDP. It may happen that too much capacity is installed which results in collapsed margins.

Raw materials

Feedstock for ethylene and propylene in South Africa is purchased from Sasol Synfuels at market-priced fuel-alternative values. The mechanism for determining the fuel-alternative value is based on the South African Basic Fuel Price (BFP) mechanism administered by the Department of Energy. Feedstock prices have increased in line with the oil price. Salt used in our chlor-alkali production process is imported from Namibia and Botswana at US dollar denominated prices. Electricity is purchased from Eskom, South Africa's state-owned electricity provider.

Feedstock namely, ethane and propane, for SPII's joint venture cracker in Malaysia (Optimal Olefins) is purchased from Petronas at set prices, unrelated to oil, that escalates annually in line with US inflation rates. Petlin (Malaysia) buys its ethylene feedstock from Optimal Olefins at prices related to the South East Asian ethylene market. Arya Sasol Polymer Company (SPII's joint venture in Iran) buys its feedstock, ethane, from the Pars Petrochemical Company at a set price, unrelated to the oil price. In times of high oil prices this provides a competitive advantage to the operations in Malaysia and Iran, compared to crude oil based producers.

Marketing channels

Our sales in South Africa are made directly to customers using our own marketing and sales staff. Sales offices are located in Johannesburg, Durban and Cape Town. Account managers are responsible for management of our relationship with customers.

For exports from South African operations, an international trading business was established to sell directly into Southern Africa and through distributors and agents into East and West Africa, the Far East, Europe and South America. All sales, administration and logistics are arranged from the Johannesburg office. Half of the exports from Arya Sasol are handled by Sasol Polymers Middle East, a marketing company established in Dubai and wholly owned by SPII.

Table of Contents

Property, plants and equipment

The following table summarises the production capacities of each of our main product areas.

Production capacity at 30 June 2010

Product	South Africa[1],[2]	Malaysia[1],[2]	Iran[1],[2]	Total
		(ktpa)		
Ethylene	618	72	500	**1 190**
Propylene	950	11		**961**
LDPE	220	102	150	**472**
MD/HDPE			150	**150**
LLDPE	150			**150**
Polypropylene-1	220			**220**
Polypropylene-2	300			**300**
Ethylene dichloride	160			**160**
Vinyl chloride	205			**205**
PVC	190			**190**
Chlorine	145			**145**
Caustic soda	160			**160**
Cyanide	40			**40**
Hydrochloric acid	90			**90**
Calcium chloride	10			**10**

(1) Includes our attributable share of the production capacity of proportionately consolidated investees.

(2) Nameplate capacity represents the total saleable production capacity. Due to the integrated nature of these facilities, the requirement for regular statutory maintenance shutdowns and market conditions, actual saleable volumes will be less than the nameplate capacity.

Sasol Solvents

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

We are one of the leading manufacturers and suppliers of a diverse range of solvents, co-monomers and associated products. Solvent products are supplied to customers in approximately 110 countries and are used primarily in the coatings, printing, packaging, plastics, pharmaceutical, fragrance, aerosol paint and adhesive industries, as well as in the polish, cosmetics, agriculture and mining chemicals sectors. Pentene, hexene and octene are used as co-monomers in polyethylene production. We have production facilities in South Africa at Secunda and Sasolburg and in Germany at Moers and Herne. Our product range includes ketones, glycol ethers, acetates, alcohols, acrylates, pentene, hexene and octene, fine chemicals and mining chemicals. Our joint venture with Huntsman Corporation (Sasol Huntsman) produces maleic anhydride in Europe. We believe that the breadth of our product portfolio provides a competitive advantage relative to the more limited portfolios of some of our competitors in the global market.

The successful start up of Octene train III during 2009 added an additional 100 ktpa of Octene to the co-monomers product portfolio. A second 30 ktpa methyl isobutyl ketone (MiBK) in Sasolburg was commissioned in April 2010 and production is being ramped up according to plan.

Principal markets

In 2010, approximately 1,74 Mt of products were sold worldwide. Our global business is managed from offices in Johannesburg in South Africa. We have sales offices in Europe, Asia, the Middle East and the USA.

Table of Contents

We market our products throughout the world, with a large proportion of our alcohols being distributed in Europe. We are the leading producer of solvents in South Africa and we are the global market leader in co-monomers based on production capacity. We expect to strengthen our position in the co-monomer high growth market through the commercialisation of our proprietary tetramerisation technology which involves the manufacture of octene from ethylene. The basic engineering on a 100 ktpa octene plant has been completed with beneficial operation planned for the middle of the 2013 calendar year. The probable location of the unit is at the Sasol cracker complex at Lake Charles in Louisiana, USA, where we will benefit from plant integration economics and close location to our key customers.

Our competition varies depending on the products sold and includes a number of major international oil and chemical companies. Our competitors include ExxonMobil, Shell Chemicals, BP Chemicals, Chevron Phillips, Ineos, the Dow Chemical Company, Celanese and Eastman.

Seasonality

Production and sales volumes are generally not subject to seasonal fluctuations but tend to follow the broader global industry trends. In terms of the global cyclical nature of our products, periods of high demand and higher prices are followed by an increase in global production capacity which can depress global margins. The global economic crisis has had a detrimental effect on our sales volumes. However, moderate demand has returned to most of our markets and sales levels have improved to approximately 15% below levels attained prior to the global economic crisis. The increased demand and increasing feedstock costs have driven product prices up and margins have improved.

Raw materials

Feedstocks for our operations in Secunda are derived mainly from Sasol Synfuels at market-priced fuel-alternative values based on the Basic Fuel Price. Fluctuations in the crude oil price and rand/US dollar exchange rate have a direct impact on the cost of our feedstocks and hence on margins. Feedstocks in Sasolburg are purchased from Sasol Polymers (based on fuel-alternative value) and Sasol Infrachem based on a long-term supply contract price with an annual inflation-linked escalation clause.

Ethylene, propylene and butane, used in our production facilities in Germany, are purchased at market prices from third party suppliers under a combination of long-term supply contracts and open market purchases.

Some products are produced by converting primary chemical commodities produced in our facilities to higher value-added derivatives. These include:

Methyl iso-butyl ketone from acetone.

Ethyl acetate from ethanol.

Ethyl and butyl acrylates from acrylic acids and the corresponding alcohols.

Ethylene glycol butyl ethers from butanol and ethylene oxide.

Marketing channels

We operate thirteen regional sales offices and seven storage hubs in South Africa, Europe, the Asia-Pacific region, the Middle East and the USA. We utilise a number of distributors and agents worldwide as an extension of our sales and marketing force to enable increased market penetration.

A combination of product and account managers ensures continued, long-term relationships with our customers. Our in-house sales and administrative staff manage order processing, logistics and collection of payments as well as customer relationships. The use of bulk supply facilities situated in

Table of Contents

China, Dubai, Rotterdam and Antwerp in Europe, Singapore, South Africa and the United States allows for timely delivery to our customers.

Factors on which the business is dependant

Our plants operate using a combination of proprietary technology developed by Sasol, primarily by Sasol Technology, as well as technology licensed from various suppliers. Our acrylates and n-butanol technology is licensed from the Mitsubishi Chemical Company. Our maleic anhydride technology (utilised in Sasol Huntsman) is licensed from Huntsman. We also license MiBK technology from Uhde and hydroformylation technology for use in our Safol and octene 3 plants from Davy Process Technology.

We license our technology for alcohol recovery to PetroSA. Being fully integrated into the Sasol operations in South Africa, we are dependant on Sasol Synfuels and Sasol Infrachem for the supply of both our raw materials and utilities (electricity, water and air).

We are in the process of obtaining the relevant data required in order to comply with the European Union Regulatory Framework for the Registration, Evaluation and Authorisation of Chemicals (REACH), which became effective on 1 June 2007. The estimated costs of compliance over the next ten years amount to approximately €7 million.

Table of Contents

Property, plants and equipment

Production capacity as at 30 June 2010

Product	South Africa	Germany	Total[1]
		(ktpa)	
Ethylene	293	65	**358**
Acetone	175		*175*
MEK	60	65	*125*
MiBK	58		*58*
Glycol ethers		80	**80**
Butyl glycol ether		80	*80*
Acetates	54		**54**
Ethyl acetate	54		*54*
Mixed alcohols	215		**215**
Pure alcohols	323	530	**853**
Methanol	140		*140*
Ethanol	114	140	*254*
n-Propanol	54		*54*
Isopropanol		240	*240*
n-Butanol		150	*150*
iso-Butanol	15		*15*
Acrylates	125		**125**
Ethyl acrylate	35		*35*
Butyl acrylate	80		*80*
Glacial acrylic acid	10		*10*
C_5-C_8 alpha olefins	356		**356**
Maleic anhydride		30	**30**
Other	19	20	**39**

(1) Consolidated nameplate capacities excluding internal consumption, including our attributable share of the production capacity of our Sasol-Huntsman joint venture.

Nameplate capacity represents the total saleable production capacity. Due to the integrated nature of these facilities, the requirement for regular statutory maintenance shutdowns and market conditions, actual saleable volumes will be less than the nameplate capacity.

Approximately 70% of our production capacity is at sites in South Africa and 30% in Germany. Our second MiBK plant at Sasolburg, South Africa, with a nameplate capacity of 30 ktpa, started up in April 2010.

Sasol-Huntsman is progressing with plans to increase its total production capacity from 60 ktpa to 105 ktpa through the construction of a second 45 ktpa reactor and purification section, with the new capacity being available from the first quarter of the 2011 calendar year.

Sasol Olefins & Surfactants

In 2007, we began restructuring the business in order to improve its financial performance under a "turnaround" process.

Table of Contents

Despite the general downturn due to the global economic crisis, the turnaround process initiated in 2008 has improved the robustness of the business. The overall turnaround process focuses on fixed and variable cost reduction, margin improvement, disposal or shutdown of underperforming assets and an organisational overhaul. Nine plants, with a total production capacity in excess of half a million tons per annum, were shut down, idled or sold and headcount was reduced by approximately 400, or 14%, as compared to 2007.

We remain of the view that greater shareholder value has been unlocked by continuing to focus on the turnaround process of the Sasol O&S business and by exploring selected group cost optimisation and growth opportunities. We will continue to carefully monitor and review the performance of all assets in the Sasol O&S portfolio.

A large portion of the turnaround programme was successfully completed by 30 June 2010 and most of the focus areas during the turnaround process are now embedded as part of normal business processes.

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

Sasol O&S comprises seven areas of activity, grouped into two business divisions, namely the Organics and Inorganics Divisions.

The Organics Division consists of:

- Alkylates;
- Alcohols;
- Surfactants;
- Organic intermediates; and
- Ethylene.

The Inorganics Division consists of:

- High-purity alumina; and
- Ultra-high purity alumina.

Alkylates

The main alkylate products are paraffins, olefins and linear alkyl benzene (LAB). LAB is the feedstock for the manufacture of linear alkyl benzene sulfonate (LAS), an essential surfactant ingredient for the detergents industry. Paraffins (n-paraffins) and n-olefins are produced mainly as feedstock for the production of LAB and oxo-alcohols. A portion of this business unit's products are used internally for the production of downstream surfactants.

Alcohols

These products cover a diversified portfolio of linear and semi-linear alcohols of carbon range between C_6 and C_{22+}. The diversity of this product portfolio is supported by the wide range of feedstocks (petrochemical, oleochemical and coal-based), technologies and manufacturing facilities used. A portion of the alcohols production is consumed internally to produce surfactants and specialty plasticisers.

Table of Contents

Surfactants

These products include nonionic and anionic surfactants, based on alcohol and alkylates and other organic chemicals.

Organic intermediates

Other organic intermediate chemicals include ethylene oxide, alkyl phenols, alkanolamines, fatty acid esters, etc.

Ethylene

Our ethane-based cracker in Lake Charles, Louisiana produces ethylene for the United States market. A portion of the ethylene production is consumed internally to manufacture Ziegler alcohols and ethylene oxide.

Inorganics

These products involve mainly alumina products both as co-products from the Ziegler units (together with alcohol) as well as in dedicated production units. The alumina is upgraded by means of a variety of technical processes to adapt the product characteristics to highly specialised products.

In June 2009, agreement was reached for the sale of the Crotone, Italy Inorganic facilities, which produces zeolites and as such was considered non-core to the Sasol O&S business. This sale was concluded on 30 September 2009.

Principal markets

The bulk of the production from the alkylates product group ends up as surfactants, either produced internally (our surfactants product group) or by other parties having acquired the intermediates from us. The bulk of these surfactants result in the making of detergents and industrial or institutional cleaning products. The main competitors include: ExxonMobil, Shell and Petresa in n-paraffins; Huntsman, Petresa and ISU in the LAB market; and Huntsman and Cognis in the LAS market.

Although a substantial portion of the alcohols and resultant surfactants products also end up in detergents and industrial and institutional products, these products also find wide application in industries such as metalworking, flavours and fragrances, personal care, cosmetics, plastic additives, textiles and agriculture. The main competitors include Shell, BASF and Cognis. Significant additional oleochemical-based alcohol capacity has come on stream in Asia.

Aluminas (high-purity and ultra-high purity) from the inorganic division are used in a broad range of applications, including catalyst support, raw material for ceramics, coatings and polymer additives. Competitors in aluminas include UOP, Grace and BASF Catalyst.

Ethylene, based on Ethane as feedstock, is sold to plastic manufacturers in the US Gulf Coast region and is used internally to manufacture alcohols and ethylene oxide. There are numerous competitors in the United States ethylene market. It is expected that projected increases in ethylene production capacity in the Middle East will impact mainly Europe and Northeast Asia and to a lesser extent naphtha-based crackers in the USA.

Seasonality

There is very little seasonality associated with our products or the markets in which they participate. Cyclicality of this business is more related to the general chemical investment cycle, which impacts the supply side of the market equation. Many of the markets that we serve typically follow

Table of Contents

global and regional gross domestic product growth trends and are therefore impacted more by macro-economic factors, including the current global economic downturn.

Raw materials

The main feedstocks used in this business are kerosene, benzene, ethane, ethylene and aluminium (all purchased externally with the exception of some portion of our ethylene which is produced at our Lake Charles facility and the Fischer Tropsch based feedstock used for our South African alcohol production). The prices of most of these materials are related to crude oil and energy pricing and the prices follow the movement of crude oil and energy pricing reasonably closely and, to a lesser extent, lauric oils. In view of the expected increase in oleochemical-based alcohol production, the differential between crude oil and lauric oils is expected to become increasingly important in determining competitiveness.

Marketing channels

Over 90% of the products produced by Sasol O&S are sold directly to end-use customers by our sales and marketing personnel. A limited number of distributors are used. Approximately 60% of the total sales by Sasol O&S are conducted under annual and in some cases multi-year contracts.

Factors upon which the business is dependent

The business, especially margins, is dependent on the supply and demand of the various products that we make and the feedstock costs. Demand growth is typically GDP driven with some exceptions of higher growth products and markets. Supply is primarily influenced by the build-up of new capacity in the developing regions, especially China, India and Southeast Asia. Feedstock costs generally follow the trends of crude oil and vegetable oil.

We are in the process of obtaining the relevant data required in order to comply with the European Union Regulatory Framework for the Registration, Evaluation and Authorisation of Chemicals (REACH), which became effective on 1 June 2007. The estimated total costs of compliance over the next ten years amount to approximately €24 million. To date, €3 million has been incurred to comply with the REACH policy.

Property, plants and equipment

The following table summarises the production capacity for each of our main product areas.

Production capacity at 30 June 2010

Product	Facilities location	Total[(1)] (ktpa)
Surfactants	United States, Europe, Far East, Middle East	1 000
C_{6+} alcohol	United States, Europe, South Africa, Far East	600
Ethylene	United States	455
Inorganics[(2)]	United States, Europe	70
Paraffins and olefins	United States, Europe	750
LAB	United States, Europe	435

(1) Nameplate capacity represents the total saleable production capacity. Due to the integrated nature of these facilities, the requirement for regular statutory maintenance shutdowns and market conditions, actual saleable volumes will be less than the nameplate capacity.

(2) Inorganics capacity excludes the capacity from Crotone, our former inorganics facility in Italy which was sold during 2010.

Table of Contents

Other chemical activities

Sasol Wax

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

We produce and market wax and wax-related products to commodity and specialty wax markets globally. We refine and blend crude oil-derived paraffin waxes, as well as synthetic waxes produced on the basis of our Fischer-Tropsch technology. Sasol Wax has its head office in Hamburg and employs approximately 1 100 people globally.

The overall volume of products marketed by the business amounts to 600 ktpa, of which approximately 30% are products derived from the Fischer-Tropsch process. The product portfolio includes paraffin waxes, both fully refined and semi-refined, produced and marketed in various grades, as well as Fischer-Tropsch-based synthetic waxes which include the Fischer-Tropsch-derived hard wax, the Fischer-Tropsch-derived medium wax and liquid paraffins in the carbon range C_5 through C_{20}. Various specialty blends of waxes are also produced and marketed. We continue to develop niche markets for higher-value specialty waxes, such as those used by the cosmetics, pharmaceutical, construction-board, adhesive, polymer additives, inks and coatings and bitumen additive industries. We also produce wax emulsions at our facilities in Germany, Austria, South Africa, USA and the United Kingdom. We produce and market petroleum jelly and trade in white-oils to support our personal care business.

We manufacture and sell candles from our subsidiary, Price's Candles in South Africa. We supply the Middle East market as well as our operations in Hamburg with additional paraffin waxes from our subsidiary, Alexandria Wax Products Company, located in Egypt.

Principal markets

The division markets its products globally, but its main markets are in Europe, the United States and Southern Africa. Approximately 30% of waxes are sold to candle manufacturing companies and the balance is sold to numerous market segments, including cosmetics, pharmaceutical, construction-board, adhesive, polymer additives, inks and coatings and bitumen additive industries. N-paraffins are sold predominantly into the drilling-fluids market (west coast of Africa) and for use in the plastics industry (mainly South Africa, India and the Far East).

The overall world market for waxes is estimated at about 4 500 ktpa and our main competitors in the commodity market are ExxonMobil, Shell, China Oil and Sinopec. In specialty wax markets our main competitors are H & R Wax Company and Paramelt. Shell Malaysia is the only other hard wax producer.

Seasonality

The candle market in Europe is seasonal in nature, with demand peaking prior to the Christmas season. In South Africa, demand is relatively stable although higher demand is evident in the winter season. The other market segments that Sasol Wax services are more driven by economic growth than seasonality.

Marketing channels

Marketing is mostly done by own resources in all geographical areas where we operate. Primary marketing areas are Europe, the United States and South Africa but we also market our products in the rest of Africa, Latin America, the Middle East, Asia, and Australasia. Agents are also used, where appropriate.

Table of Contents

Factors upon which the business is dependent

As a result of the move from production of group I to group II & III base-oils, it is expected that there will be a long-term decline in the availability of slack wax.

It is expected that GTL production capacity will increase in future. GTL facilities typically also produce medium wax as an intermediate product which is cracked to produce liquid fuels. It is possible to extract this product stream for use in the wax industry.

We are in the process of obtaining the relevant data required in order to comply with the European Union Regulatory Framework for the Registration, Evaluation and Authorisation of Chemicals (REACH), which became effective on 1 June 2007. The estimated costs of compliance over the next ten years amount to approximately €1 million.

Property, plants and equipment

The main production assets are located in Hamburg, Germany; Sasolburg, Johannesburg and Durban, South Africa; and Richmond, California, United States. We also have wax emulsion production facilities located in Birkenhead, United Kingdom and Linz, Austria.

Our plant in Hamburg has a production and blending capacity for paraffin wax of 300 ktpa. It purchases slack wax feedstock from numerous lube-oil-producing refineries predominantly in Western Europe and from Eastern Europe and Africa. We initially de-oil slack waxes to fully or semi-refined quality and fully hydrogenate all final products. Subsequently, various product blends are produced. Products are sold either in liquid bulk or in solidified form.

Our plant in Sasolburg operates Fischer-Tropsch-based technology for the production of synthetic waxes. It uses natural gas as feedstock, supplied by Sasol Gas from Mozambique. We own and operate a wax plant integrated into the Engen refinery in Durban, South Africa. This plant produces wax blends predominantly for the South African and other African candle industries. The production capacity of the South African wax plants amounts to 220 ktpa of Fischer-Tropsch-derived products.

We also operate a major candle factory located in Johannesburg with a capacity of up to 26 ktpa.

In the United States, we have a plant based in Richmond, California. The facility receives refined and other waxy products from the Far East and from within the USA and markets them in the USA. We also distribute Fischer-Tropsch-derived and paraffin waxes.

Production capacity at 30 June 2010

Product	Germany	South Africa	United States	Total[(1)]
		(ktpa)		
Paraffin wax and wax emulsions	430			**430**
FT-based wax and related products		220		**220**
Paraffin wax		30	100	**130**

(1) Nameplate capacity represents the total saleable production capacity. Due to the integrated nature of these facilities, the requirement for regular statutory maintenance shutdowns and market conditions, actual saleable volumes will be less than the nameplate capacity.

Sasol Nitro

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

Sasol Nitro, a division of Sasol Chemical Industries Limited, our nitrogenous products division, manufactures and markets ammonia, fertilisers, commercial explosives and related products. The

Table of Contents

division also markets ammonia, sulphur and specialty gases produced by other Sasol divisions. All production activities are located in South Africa. The business' products are sold within South Africa with limited exports, mainly into Southern Africa.

The division's product portfolio includes:

- ammonia;
- nitric acid;
- ammonium nitrate solution;
- sulphur;
- hydrogen;
- specialty gases;
- various grades of fertiliser;
- ammonium sulphate;
- explosives-grade ammonium nitrate;
- various packaged explosives; and
- explosive accessories non-electronic initiation systems, boosters and detonating cord.

At the end of October 2009, the phosphoric acid plant in Phalaborwa was shut down for economic reasons and following a consultation process with relevant stakeholders. All impacted staff were either redeployed within Sasol or offered voluntary retrenchment packages by the end of June 2010, except for limited staff remaining to undertake rehabilitation and closure activities. An impairment loss of R174 million and a restructuring provision of R33 million were recognised in 2009 in respect of the Phalaborwa plant and workforce transition impacts, respectively.

Sasol Nitro also decided to mothball the packaged emulsion explosives plant in Secunda in November 2009. An impairment loss of R5 million was recognised in respect of this plant. The majority of the employees were redeployed within Sasol.

As part of a settlement agreement with the South African Competition Commission (the Commission) signed on 5 July 2010, and confirmed by the Competition Tribunal (the Tribunal) on 20 July 2010, Sasol Nitro will among other undertakings, dispose of the downstream fertiliser blending assets in Durban, Bellville, Endicott, Kimberley and Potchefstroom, within a period of 12 months or such later date as may be approved by the Commission or ordered by the Tribunal. Furthermore, as part of the settlement agreement, Sasol Nitro has undertaken that within 12 months, its Sasolburg ammonia plant and its ammonia business operations will be housed as a business unit separate from Sasol Nitro. Sasol Nitro has also agreed that, except for internal use within the Sasol group, it will cease within 25 months all importation of ammonia into South Africa except for those imports on behalf of third parties that may be occasional due to supply and logistic disruptions and plant

maintenance shutdowns.

Principal markets

About half of Sasol's total ammonia production is used to produce Sasol Nitro's ammonium nitrate-based fertilisers and explosives. The balance of ammonia is sold mainly to other South African explosives and fertiliser manufacturers with relatively small quantities sold for use in other industrial applications, which include chemical manufacture and mineral beneficiation.

Sasol is the only ammonia producer in South Africa, with a total production capacity of 660 ktpa.

Table of Contents

Seasonality

The fertiliser sales are closely linked to the relevant crop planting seasons. The majority of fertilisers are consumed for maize production, for which planting starts in October and runs through to January. Explosives products are used in both opencast and underground mining, with sales spread evenly throughout the year.

Raw materials

Natural gas is used as feedstock in the manufacture of ammonia at its Sasolburg plant. Ammonia is the main feedstock used in the manufacture of nitric acid and ammonium nitrate.

Most raw materials for non-electronic initiation systems have until now been imported from the USA. Sasol Nitro is in the process of backward integration in an effort to reduce its exposure to the rand/US dollar exchange rate fluctuations on these imports. The backward integration facility achieved beneficial operation in June 2010.

Fertilisers are usually a combination of nitrogen, potassium and phosphates in a so-called N:P:K (nitrogen : phosphate : potassium) formulation. The nitrogen compound consists mainly of either Sasol produced ammonium nitrate or imported urea. The phosphate compound was prior to November 2009 sourced from phosphoric acid produced at the Sasol Nitro Phalaborwa operations, and will in future be sourced from other local suppliers or imported. All of South Africa's potassium needs for its fertiliser industry are imported in the form of potash.

Marketing channels

Until the end of 2011, fertiliser will be supplied to the farming community via agents, distributors and co-operatives. As a result of the settlement agreement with the Commission, the fertiliser business will in future focus on bulk sales ex factory gate.

Explosives and explosive accessories are only supplied to the Southern African mining industry and explosives grade ammonium nitrate is exported to South America, the rest of Africa and Asia.

Factors on which the business is dependent

The profitability of the business is dependent on the international ammonia and urea prices, international mining and agricultural commodity prices, mining and agriculture activity, and the exchange rate. International mining commodity prices influence the demand for explosives, while the variability of maize and other crop production influence the market demand for fertiliser.

Property, plant and equipment

All production facilities of Sasol Nitro are located in South Africa. The Sasolburg operations also produce hydrogen that is sold to the oil and metal refining industries in South Africa.

Sasol Nitro operates two nitric acid plants. The smaller 315 ktpa unit in Sasolburg is linked to a downstream ammonium nitrate plant. The ammonium nitrate produced at the Sasolburg operations is used mainly for the production of explosive grade low-density ammonium nitrate. The 470 ktpa nitric acid plant in Secunda supplies a downstream ammonium nitrate plant linked to a 500 ktpa fertiliser granulation facility. The granulation plant produces limestone ammonium nitrate fertilisers and various other fertiliser blends containing nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium. Ammonium nitrate for industrial use is sourced from both the Sasolburg and Secunda sites.

Sasol Nitro will be commissioning a new 400 ktpa fertiliser granulation plant in Secunda producing only limestone ammonium nitrate to replace the existing granulation facility. The plant is expected to come on-line during 2011.

A 100 ktpa ammonium sulphate plant in Secunda was commissioned in June 2009.

Table of Contents

At the end of October 2009, the 225 ktpa phosphoric acid plant in Phalaborwa was shut down.

Sasol Nitro also manufactures bulk explosives at various mining sites and cartridge explosives in Ekandustria. Sasol Dyno Nobel (Sasol Nitro has a 50% share holding) manufactures non-electronic initiation systems in Ekandustria.

Product	Secunda	Sasolburg	Ekandustria	Phalaborwa[3]	Other	Capacity[2]
		(Number of plants)				(ktpa)
Ammonia[1]	1	1				**660**
Granular and liquid fertilisers	2	1			3	**700**
Fertiliser bulk blending[4]	1				3	**300**
Ammonium sulphate	1					**100**
Phosphates[3]				1		**225**
Explosives	3	1	2			**300**

(1) Includes volumes produced by Sasol Synfuels. The Sasolburg ammonia business will be housed outside Sasol Nitro in the future as part of the settlement with the Commission.

(2) Nameplate capacity represents the total saleable production capacity. Due to the integrated nature of these facilities, the requirement for regular statutory maintenance shutdowns and market conditions, actual saleable volumes will be less than the nameplate capacity.

(3) The phosphoric acid production capacity was shut down following the closure of the Phalaborwa operation in October 2009.

(4) The downstream fertiliser regional blending and liquid fertiliser facilities will be disposed of within 12 months as per the settlement agreement with the Commission or such later period as may be approved by the Commission or ordered by the Tribunal.

Sasol Infrachem

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

Sasol Infrachem is the supplier of utilities and services to various Sasol business units (Sasol Polymers, Sasol Solvents, Sasol Wax, Merisol and Sasol Nitro) as well as external businesses in Sasolburg. Sasol Infrachem operates and maintains the auto thermal reformer (ATR) which reforms natural gas into synthesis gas. Sasol Infrachem is the custodian of the Sasolburg gas pipeline and the primary responsibility of this function is to ensure that the gas demand/supply is balanced and that reformed gas is supplied to the users of gas on its site on behalf of Sasol Gas.

Raw materials

Coal required for steam and power generation is sourced internally from Sasol Mining. Raw water is sourced from the Vaal River and potable/drinking water is sourced from the local municipality. Electricity is purchased from Eskom, the state-owned electricity provider.

Property, plants and equipment

Production capacity at 30 June 2010

Product	Facilities location	Total[1]
Steam	South Africa	1 750 tonne per hour (tph)
Electricity	South Africa	175 Megawatt hour (MWh)
Water	South Africa	123 Mega litres per day (Ml/day)

(1)

Nameplate capacity represents the total saleable production capacity. Due to the integrated nature of these facilities, the requirement for regular statutory maintenance shutdowns and market conditions, actual saleable volumes will be less than the nameplate capacity.

Table of Contents

Merisol

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

Merisol is a joint venture company formed in 1997 by the merger of Sasol Phenolics in Sasolburg, South Africa, with the phenolics activities of Merichem Company, based in Houston, Texas, USA. The joint venture partners each own 50% of Merisol. Merisol has a strong presence in the global market for natural phenolics and cresylics with manufacturing facilities in Sasolburg, Houston, Texas, and Oil City, Pennsylvania, USA. Merisol has a 20:80 venture (Merisol holding 20%) with Chang Chun of Taiwan for the production in Sasolburg of ortho-cresol novolac, a precursor to high-performance epoxy resins used for encapsulating memory and processor chips. Merisol is the supplier of ortho-cresol feedstock and manages this plant.

Merisol manufactures the pure products, phenol, ortho-cresol, meta-cresol and para-cresol, and a diverse range of blended products, consisting of mixtures of phenol, cresols, xylenols and other phenol derivatives. These blends are known collectively as cresylic acids. Both the Sasolburg and Houston plants produce phenol- and ortho-cresol and cresylic acids. The Houston plant uses proprietary separation technologies to produce high-purity meta, para-cresol and pure meta-cresol and para-cresol, making Merisol one of the few producers of these products in the world.

Principal markets

The pure products, phenol, ortho-cresol, meta-cresol and para-cresol, are sold in competition with synthetically produced equivalents. Merisol is relatively small in the global phenol market, but strong in the South African market and in selected niche markets elsewhere.

Merisol supplies major shares of the cresol and cresylic acids global markets for:

ortho-cresol, where the main competitors include General Electric, Lanxess, Nippon Steel Chemicals, Rutgers Chemicals and Deza;

meta-cresol, where the main competitors include Lanxess and Honshu Chemical;

para-cresol, where the main competitors include Degussa, Konan Chemical, Atul Chemicals and various Chinese producers;

high purity meta, para-cresol, where the main competitors include Mitsui Chemicals, Lanxess and Sumitomo Chemicals; and

wire enamel solvents where the main competitors are Rütgers-Chemicals, Deza, C-Chem and Mitsui Chemicals.

Merisol derives about 75% of its turnover from North and South America, Europe and Far East markets and the balance from South Africa and other regions.

Seasonality

There is little seasonality associated with our products or the markets in which they participate. Our business is driven by market demands which are normally slightly higher in the second half of the financial year.

Raw materials

Merisol derives its raw material as a by-product of coal gasification that is recovered for purification and separation, mostly from Sasol. About 80% of raw materials are subject to fluctuations in the oil price.

Table of Contents

Marketing channels

Merisol markets its products worldwide through sales offices in the United Kingdom, Hong Kong, the United States and South Africa. Markets are served from product inventories held in Antwerp, Belgium, for the European market, in Houston, for the US market and Sasolburg for most other markets, including Asia.

Factors upon which the business is dependent

Our plants operate using a combination of distillation and proprietary technologies developed and licensed by Sasol Technology, as well as proprietary technologies developed and licensed by Merichem, a subsidiary within the Merisol group. Being fully integrated into the Sasol operations in South Africa, we are dependent on Sasol Synfuels and Sasol Infrachem for the supply of both our raw materials and utilities (electricity, water and air).

We are in the process of obtaining the relevant data required in order to comply with the European Chemical Policy, REACH. The estimated costs of compliance over the next five years amount to approximately US$3 million.

Property, plants and equipment

Merisol's Sasolburg plant, including the tar naphtha extraction plant, uses feedstock from our coal gasification activities at Secunda. During 2007, the Houston operations completed rationalisation and streamlining of its Green Bayou plant to reduce costs.

Merisol owns a butylation plant at Oil City, Pennsylvania, producing di-butyl para-cresol and meta-cresol from meta, para-cresol and pure para-cresol feedstock produced by Merisol at its Houston plant. The Oil City plant has completed an expansion project to increase meta-cresol capacity.

Production capacity at 30 June 2010

Product	Facilities location	Total[(1)] (ktpa)
Phenol	South Africa, United States	45
Ortho-cresol	South Africa, United States	15
Meta-cresol and para-cresol	United States	16
Pure meta,para-cresol	United States	30
Cresylic acids and xylenols	South Africa, United States	44
High-boiling tar acids	South Africa, United States	4
Butylated products	United States	13

(1) Nameplate capacity represents the total saleable production capacity. Due to the integrated nature of these facilities, the requirement for regular statutory maintenance shutdowns and market conditions, actual saleable volumes will be less than the nameplate capacity.

Other businesses

Sasol Technology

Nature of the operations and its principal activities

Sasol Technology, as the technology partner in the group, is fully committed to the growth objectives by working together with the business units and taking responsibility for the long-term research and development of technology improvements as well as developing new technologies. Through engineering and project execution activities Sasol Technology demonstrates its commitment to the delivery of functional plants to their business partners for their operation.

Table of Contents

Directing technology

Sasol Technology are responsible for directing Sasol's technology future, by delivering strategies for long-term research and development, technological improvements and new, innovative and cleaner technologies.

Acquiring technology research and development

The central research and development division in Sasolburg, South Africa, employs approximately 600 people who focus on fundamental research, while the decentralised divisions focus on product applications. The Sasolburg research facility was expanded and modernised with the aim to:

- enhance infrastructure through enabling the installation of new pilot-plants to expand operational efficiency and flexibility;
- allow the relocation, upgrading and full integration of existing pilot plants;
- enable enhanced reactor and catalyst development programs in support of our advanced Fischer-Tropsch technology development objectives;
- install modern process control systems; and
- improve the capturing of the information generated.

The enhanced facilities allow the opportunity to commercialise new and improved petrochemical processes more effectively. The central research function has a full suite of state-of-the-art pilot plants to support both current and the development of future technologies. As a result of our investment in facility upgrades in recent years, we are now seeing the benefits in the improved quality and efficiency of our research efforts.

The Sasolburg research activities, supplemented by a presence at the University of St Andrews in Scotland and in Enschede in The Netherlands, are also conducted through external alliances and research collaborations with over 100 research institutions, consortia and universities worldwide. In addition, strong emphasis is placed on training. As a result of this, at least 20 of the employees from South Africa are at any given time studying abroad in a continuing effort to ensure top level in-house research competency.

Noteworthy Sasol Technology research and revelopment successes over the past decade include the development of the Slurry Phase and Advanced Synthol reactors, the development of the proprietary cobalt catalyst, the low temperature Fischer-Tropsch process, ethylene tetramerisation and the 1-heptene to 1-octene conversion process.

A significant part of the research focuses on supporting the CTL and GTL technologies and associated products the production of chemicals from the primary Fischer-Tropsch products is of particular interest.

Research is also focused on the reduction of the Sasol operations' environmental footprint which includes greenhouse gas reduction, water treatment and purification. In this regard, special attention is given to water utilisation, given the location of some of the current and possible future plants in semi-arid areas. Reduction in greenhouse gases focuses on improving plant efficiencies, carbon dioxide capturing and understanding potential storage alternatives. The introduction of non-carbon based energy as process energy is also under review as part of our new energy focus.

Commercialising technology front end engineering and technology management

All front end engineering and technology integration and management are performed by specialist Sasol Technology teams, taking the ideas from our research and development teams and engineering them into a commercial proposition for exploitation by the group. The conceptual studies, basic design

Table of Contents

and engineering management of projects are undertaken on an integrated basis with the business units, leveraging with external technology suppliers and contractors.

Installing technology project execution and engineering

Sasol Technology is responsible for the project engineering and project management of the major capital programmes in the group. The involvement is not only focused in South Africa but also elsewhere in the world where Sasol is undertaking studies and the execution of projects. Delivery of smaller projects and shutdowns are also undertaken. These initiatives are highly leveraged with external engineering and construction contractors.

Optimising technology operations support

Technical support groups work on an integrated basis with the operations personnel of the business units to improve the profitability and optimise plant performance throughout the group.

Principal Markets

Sasol Technology partners with all business units in the Sasol group. However, in line with the group's strategic priorities Sasol Technology is focused on:

South African Energy Cluster

- expanding South African synthetic fuels capacity, specifically in the Secunda Complex;
- additional CTL capacity in South Africa for future projects; and
- understanding the energy landscape and evaluating various alternatives with a view to introducing low/no carbon based energy sources into our energy mix.

International Energy Cluster

- implementing prospective GTL and CTL facilities globally; and
- catalyst manufacture facilities to supply GTL and CTL plants with proprietary FT cobalt catalyst.

Chemical Cluster

- co-monomers, polymers and waxes.

Sasol group

- long-term strategic research in GTL, CTL, future chemicals and environmental technologies.

Property, plant and equipment

The Sasolburg research facility was expanded affording the opportunity to commercialise new and improved petrochemical processes more effectively. The central research function has a full suite of state-of-the-art pilot plants to support both current and the development of future technologies. A new fuel testing and engine emissions laboratory has been commissioned in Cape Town, to more effectively research the application of our unique GTL and CTL fuels at sea level.

Legal proceedings and other contingencies

Fly Ash Plant Sasol Synfuels was in legal proceedings with regard to the operation of a plant in Secunda. Ashcor claimed damages of R313 million relating to their inability to develop their business and a projected loss of future cash flows. In January 2010, Sasol Synfuels was granted absolution from the instance with a cost order in its favour. Ashcor filed an application for leave to appeal which was dismissed by the court with costs on 18 May 2010. The prospect of future loss is deemed to be remote.

Table of Contents

Sasol Nitro In 2004, the South African Competition Commission (Commission) commenced with investigations against Sasol Nitro, a division of Sasol Chemical Industries Limited, based on complaints levelled against Sasol Nitro by two of its customers, Nutri-Flo and Profert. Both complaints were subsequently referred to the Competition Tribunal (Tribunal) by the Commission. The Nutri-Flo complaint was referred in May 2005, alleging findings of prohibited horizontal practices (namely, price fixing and the prevention or lessening of competition) and abuses of dominance (namely, charging excessive prices and engaging in exclusionary conduct) in the fertiliser industry. The Profert complaint was referred in May 2006, alleging prohibited horizontal practices (namely, entering into agreements which divided the relevant market and which substantially lessened or prevented competition in that market) and abuses of dominance (namely, refusing to supply scarce goods to competitors, discriminating between customers in relation to sale prices and engaging in other exclusionary acts).

During this time Sasol Nitro was also advised by the Commission that it was investigating whether or not there were any other unlawful agreements amounting to contraventions of the Competition Act's prohibitions against restrictive horizontal practices between Foskor and Sasol Nitro relating to toll manufacturing arrangements concluded between the parties in 2004, pending consideration of a merger application to the Commission relating to the intended sale by Sasol Nitro of its phosphoric acid production facilities to Foskor. In terms of the toll manufacturing agreements Sasol Nitro would toll manufacture phosphoric acid for Foskor.

Sasol Nitro initially defended all three matters, but in late 2008 and early 2009, Sasol Nitro became aware of certain facts which necessitated that it engage with the Commission in order to negotiate a settlement with regard to the complaints relating to price fixing and market sharing. In the settlement agreement concluded with the Commission, and which was confirmed by the Tribunal on 20 May 2009, Sasol Nitro, acknowledged that, in the period from 1996 to 2005, it had contravened the Competition Act by fixing prices of certain fertilisers with its competitors, by agreeing with its competitors on the allocation of customers and suppliers and to collusively tendering for supply contracts. Sasol Nitro, as part of the settlement agreement, acknowledged that the toll manufacturing agreement and related interactions and communications between Sasol and Foskor on various levels amounted to a division of markets by allocating customers and territories with regard to phosphoric acid and its derivatives. Sasol Nitro subsequently paid an administrative penalty of R250,7 million.

Civil claims and law suits may be instituted against Sasol arising from the admissions made in the settlement agreement. It is currently not possible to make an estimate of such contingent liability and accordingly, no provision was made as at 30 June 2010.

Sasol Nitro did not at the time, as part of the settlement agreement, admit to engaging in price discrimination, excessive pricing or exclusionary practices as it does not believe it engaged in price discrimination, excessive pricing and exclusionary practices and these matters were to proceed to trial in due course. Subsequent to the settlement agreement, the Tribunal consolidated the hearing of the remaining Nutri-Flo and Profert complaints.

Sasol Nitro, however, continued with its engagement of the Commission and on 5 July 2010, Sasol Nitro concluded a further settlement agreement with the Commission. In terms of this settlement, Sasol Nitro will restructure its fertiliser business. Sasol Nitro believes the restructuring will address the Commission's concerns regarding Sasol's position within the nitrogen based fertiliser value chain, while also opening the industry to more competition. Sasol Nitro will withdraw from certain downstream fertiliser activities with increased focus on the core activities of its fertiliser business.

Sasol Nitro approached the Commission with this structural solution and has undertaken the following salient changes to its fertiliser business model:

- Divesting its regional blending capacity in Bellville, Durban, Kimberley, Potchefstroom and Endicott whilst retaining its full production activities in Secunda.

Table of Contents

Altering Sasol Nitro's fertiliser sales approach to a Secunda ex-works model. All fertiliser retail agent contracts will be phased out and a new fertiliser sales operating model formulated.

Pricing all ammonium nitrate based fertilisers on an ex-Secunda basis.

Phasing out ammonia imports on behalf of customers in South Africa.

The agreement is a full and final settlement of the alleged contraventions of excessive pricing and exclusionary practices, which were the subject of the Nutri-Flo and Profert referrals. As the Commission is of the view that the settlement will address their competition concerns, the Commission did not seek an administrative penalty.

On 20 July 2010, the Tribunal confirmed the settlement agreement. No finding was made relating to abuse of dominance and accordingly no administrative penalty was imposed. Sasol also did not make any admissions as to abuse of dominance.

Sasol Nitro has also concluded confidential settlement agreements with Profert and Nutri-Flo in terms of which any and all of the complaints arising from the Commission's investigations were settled without admission of any liability or admission of any anti-competitive or unlawful conduct as alleged by Profert and Nutri-Flo. A non-material liability has been recognised in this respect at 30 June 2010.

The settlement confirmed by the Tribunal on 14 July 2010, together with the changes to the Sasol Nitro business, will not have a material adverse impact on the Sasol group.

With the decrease in the price of phosphoric acid following the economic downturn, Sasol elected to cease the manufacturing of phosphoric acid and closed its plant at Phalaborwa on 31 October 2009. Sasol has commenced a process to dispose of this plant and is currently in discussion with a prospective purchaser.

Sasol Wax On 1 October 2008, following an investigation by the European Commission, the European Union found that members of the European paraffin wax industry, including Sasol Wax GmbH, formed a cartel and violated antitrust laws.

A fine of €318,2 million was imposed by the European Commission on Sasol Wax GmbH (of which Sasol Wax International AG, Sasol Holding in Germany GmbH and Sasol Limited would be jointly and severally liable for €250 million). According to the decision of the European Commission, an infringement of antitrust laws commenced in 1992 or even earlier. In 1995, Sasol became a co-shareholder in an existing wax business located in Hamburg, Germany owned by the Schümann group. In July 2002, Sasol acquired the remaining shares in the joint venture and became the sole shareholder of the business. Sasol was unaware of these infringements before the European Commission commenced their investigation at the wax business in Hamburg in April 2005.

On 15 December 2008, all Sasol companies affected by the decision lodged an appeal with the European Union's General Court against the decision of the European Commission on the basis that the fine is excessive and should be reduced. The fine has been paid in accordance with the legal requirements on 7 January 2009. As a result of the fine imposed on Sasol Wax GmbH, it is possible that customers may institute court proceedings against Sasol Wax for compensation of damages. The result of such proceedings cannot be determined at present and accordingly, no provision was made at 30 June 2010.

Veolia Water Systems On 15 July 2008, Veolia Water Systems (Veolia) issued summons against Sasol Synfuels arising from a contract concluded between Sasol Synfuels and Veolia in June 2004. The contract entailed the detailed engineering, construction and commissioning of a water desalination plant at Unit 544 of Sasol Synfuels' facilities at Secunda. Veolia claimed an amount of R438,6 million, including interest, for breach of contract, from Sasol Synfuels. The claim was originally defended. A counterclaim against Veolia was also made by Sasol. The parties underwent mediation proceedings during April 2010, and on 29 June 2010 a settlement agreement between Veolia and Sasol Synfuels was

Table of Contents

reached. Sasol Synfuels has agreed to settle the claim with Veolia by the payment of an amount of R160 million (including interest) to Veolia. This is in full and final settlement of all claims and the amount has been provided for at 30 June 2010.

Dorothy Molefi and others Certain plaintiffs sued Sasol Limited and National Petroleum Refiners of South Africa (Pty) Limited (Natref) and various other defendants in two claims in the United States District Court for the Southern District of New York. These claims are similar to many instituted against a large number of multi-national corporations worldwide under the Alien Tort Claims Act and the Torture Victim Protection Act, referred to as the related cases. The plaintiffs allege a conspiracy between the defendants and both the former "Apartheid Era Government" as well as the post 1994 democratic government in South Africa of former Presidents Nelson Mandela and Mbeki, resulting in the genocide of South Africa's indigenous people and other wrongful acts. Defendants in the related cases moved to dismiss the actions against them. The Molefi action against Sasol Limited and Natref was stayed in November 2004 pending a decision on the motions to dismiss in the related cases. The motion to dismiss in the related cases was granted, and plaintiffs appealed to the Second Circuit Court of Appeals. During October 2007, the appeal was decided. Plaintiffs in those related cases were successful on one of the three grounds of appeal, thus enabling the plaintiffs to amend their complaint to assert additional factual allegations to meet the requirements of the Alien Tort Claims Act. The case was then appealed to the United States Supreme Court. In May 2008, the Supreme Court issued an order stating that because four justices recused themselves, the United States Supreme Court lacked the necessary quorum and therefore affirmed the judgement of the Second Circuit Court of Appeals with the same effect as an affirmance by an equally divided court, namely, it does not have precedential effect. During 2009, the court issued an order dismissing the case against Sasol and the other defendants based on failure to prosecute. Despite this order, it remains possible for plaintiffs to join Sasol and the other defendants to the related cases.

Sasol Polymers As previously disclosed by Sasol, the Commission has been investigating the South African polymers industry. On 12 August 2010, the Commission announced that it has referred its findings to the Tribunal for adjudication.

The complaints that the Commission has referred to the Tribunal allege that Sasol Chemical Industries Limited (SCI) has in the pricing of polypropylene and propylene in the domestic South African market contravened section 8(a) of the Competition Act (the Act) in that its prices for each of the products are excessive. The referral further alleges that in regard to a formula employed and information exchanged between SCI and Safripol (Pty) Limited (Safripol) to determine the price of propylene which SCI sells to Safripol, SCI and Safripol have contravened section 4(1)(b)(i) of the Act by engaging in price fixing. The Commission also announced that it has simultaneously reached a settlement with Safripol in which Safripol admits that the supply agreement between SCI and Safripol and its implementation amounted to the indirect fixing of a price or trading condition in contravention of the Act. This settlement agreement was confirmed by the Tribunal on 25 August 2010.

The Commission's allegation of collusion relates to an agreement of the Sasol Polymers division of SCI with Safripol, which was structured at the behest of the former Competition Board following the formation of Polifin (the Sasol/AECI joint venture) in 1994. The agreement was structured to ensure Safripol's ongoing access to propylene supply at a market-related price. South African propylene and polypropylene prices are comparable to international prices and hence Sasol believes that there is no legitimate basis for the Commission's excessive pricing allegations.

At this time, there is no reasonable certainty as to whether or not SCI will be found to have contravened the Act as alleged, whether a penalty will be imposed and the quantum thereof. SCI intends defending the matter before the Tribunal should an amicable resolution of the matter with the Commission not be achieved and accordingly, no provision was made at 30 June 2010.

Table of Contents

The Commission has indicated that it is seeking an administrative penalty of 10% of SCI's annual turnover for each of these alleged contraventions and an order compelling SCI to sell polypropylene and propylene on an ex-works basis without discriminating in price between customers on the basis of their location. SCI houses a number of Sasol's South African chemical businesses such as Sasol Nitro, Sasol Polymers, Sasol Solvents and Sasol Wax. In Sasol Limited's public disclosures, Sasol has reported on a business segment basis and has not provided the turnover of SCI as a legal entity. The turnover of SCI excluding transfers to the Sasol group for the 2009 financial year was R22,13 billion. There is, however, no certainty that SCI is the correct base from which to calculate a potential administrative penalty.

Bitumen Pricing A review of competition law compliance at Sasol Oil and Tosas identified a competition compliance concern related to the use of a bitumen pricing methodology agreement reached within the South African Bitumen and Tar Association (SABITA), of which Sasol Oil and Tosas are members, along with other oil companies. Sasol Oil and Tosas thereupon approached the Commission for leniency in terms of the Commission's corporate leniency policy and were granted conditional leniency by the Commission in April 2009. On 4 March 2010, the Commission announced that it had referred the findings of its investigation into bitumen pricing to the Tribunal for adjudication.

Sasol Oil and Tosas, as leniency applicants, have been granted conditional immunity from prosecution and no penalty will be sought by the Commission against Sasol or its subsidiaries subject to the leniency becoming unconditional. Sasol Oil and Tosas are cooperating with the Commission in its preparation for the hearing of the referral against those respondents who have not yet concluded settlement agreements with the Commission.

Sasol Gas On 30 October 2009, after being advised that certain provisions in a suite of agreements concluded between Sasol Gas, Coal, Energy and Power Resources Limited (CEPR) and Spring Lights Gas (Pty) Ltd (Spring Lights) constituted contraventions of the Act, Sasol Gas applied for leniency in terms of the Commission's corporate leniency policy and obtained conditional leniency. Subsequent to Sasol Gas' leniency application, the Commission investigated the matter and found that provisions in the agreements resulted in fixing of prices and had the effect of dividing the piped gas market by allocating customers and territories. The suite of agreements related to the establishment of Spring Lights as a broad-based black economic empowerment (BBBEE) company for the purpose of acquiring a portion of the business of Sasol Gas as part of Sasol's BBBEE strategy at the time. On 20 August 2010, Spring Lights concluded a settlement agreement with the Commission in terms of which Spring Lights acknowledged the mentioned contraventions and agreed to pay an administrative penalty of R10,8 million. A provision was made for this amount in 2009. Spring Lights has also made an application to the Commission to exempt the conduct permitted in terms of these agreements, on the basis that it promotes the ability of small businesses, or firms controlled or owned by historically disadvantaged persons, to become competitive, in terms of section 10 (3)(b)(ii) of the Act. The settlement agreement was considered by the Tribunal on 1 September 2010 but the matter was postponed *sine die* to enable the Commission to make a ruling on the exemption application of Spring Lights.

Other From time to time Sasol companies are involved in other litigation and administrative proceedings in the normal course of business. Although the outcome of these proceedings and claims cannot be predicted with certainty, the company does not believe that the outcome of any of these cases would have a material effect on the group's financial results.

Competition matters

Sasol is continuously evaluating and enhancing its compliance programmes and controls in general, and its competition law compliance programme and controls in particular. As a consequence of these

Table of Contents

compliance programmes and controls, including monitoring and review activities, Sasol has also adopted appropriate remedial and/or mitigating steps, where necessary or advisable, lodged leniency applications and made disclosures on material findings as and when appropriate. As reported previously, these compliance activities have already revealed, and the implementation of certain close-out actions arising there from, may still reveal competition law contraventions or potential contraventions in respect of which we have taken, or will take, appropriate remedial and/or mitigating steps including lodging leniency applications.

The Commission is conducting investigations into the South African piped gas, coal mining, petroleum, fertilisers, wax and polymer industries. Sasol continues to interact and co-operate with the Commission in respect of the subject matter of current leniency applications brought by Sasol, conditional leniency agreements concluded with the Commission, as well as in the areas that are subject to the Commission's investigations. To the extent appropriate, further announcements will be made in future.

During 2010, Sasol received two notices of non-referral in regard to investigations that were conducted by the Commission into the South African candle wax industry. Sasol is not aware of any further investigations by the Commission in respect of this industry.

Environmental Orders

Sasol is subject to loss contingencies pursuant to numerous national and local environmental laws and regulations that regulate the discharge of materials into the environment or that otherwise relate to the protection of human health and the environment in all locations in which Sasol operates. These laws and regulations may, in future, require Sasol to remediate or rehabilitate the effects of its operations on the environment. The contingencies may exist at a number of sites, including, but not limited to, sites where action has been taken to remediate soil and groundwater contamination. These future costs are not fully determinable due to factors such as the unknown extent of possible contamination, uncertainty regarding the timing and extent of remediation actions that may be required, the allocation of the environmental obligation among multiple parties, the discretion of regulators and changing legal requirements.

Sasol's environmental obligation accrued at 30 June 2010 was R6 109 million compared to R4 819 million in 2009. Included in this balance is an amount accrued of approximately R3 328 million in respect of the costs of remediation of soil and groundwater contamination and similar environmental costs. These costs relate to the following activities: site assessments, soil and groundwater clean-up and remediation, and ongoing monitoring. Due to uncertainties regarding future costs the potential loss in excess of the amount accrued cannot be reasonably determined.

Under the agreement for the acquisition of Sasol Chemie, Sasol received an indemnification from RWE-DEA AG for most of the costs of remediation and rehabilitation of environmental contamination existing at Condea Vista Company located in the United States on or before 1 March 2001.

Although Sasol has provided for known environmental obligations that are probable and reasonably estimable, the amount of additional future costs relating to remediation and rehabilitation may be material to results of operations in the period in which they are recognised. It is not expected that these environmental obligations will have a material effect on the financial position of the group.

As with the oil and gas and chemical industries generally, compliance with existing and anticipated environmental, health, safety and process safety laws and regulations increases the overall cost of business, including capital costs to construct, maintain, and upgrade equipment and facilities. These laws and regulations have required, and are expected to continue to require, the group to make significant expenditures of both a capital and expense nature.

Table of Contents

Augusta Bay Pollution Investigation June 2008

The local prosecutor's office in Augusta, Italy, is investigating a pollution incident at Augusta Bay, allegedly caused by the infiltration of pollutants into the sea. The investigation involves all the companies located within the Melilli-Priolo-Augusta industrial area, which includes Sasol Italy. The Prosecutor's office and the involved companies have each appointed experts to evaluate the environmental situation which includes a broad range of ecological impacts. It is currently not clear what product is the cause of the pollution and Sasol Italy's potential involvement will only be able to be determined after collection and analysis of samples, sea sediments and sea water. Experts have, at the request of the judge, filed their opinions on the cause of the pollution. Depending upon the final determination of environmental impacts resulting from the investigation, administrative fines or criminal penalties may be imposed on the guilty party or parties.

The judge requested the court for an extension of the preliminary investigation. According to our expert, there is not a clear connection between the pollution and Sasol Italy's operations. Consequently, no provisions have been raised.

September 2004 Accident Trust

On 1 September 2004, the lives of ten employees and contractors were lost and a number of employees and contractors were injured during an explosion that occurred at our Secunda West ethylene production facilities.

The company, Solidarity, the Chemical, Energy, Paper, Printing, Wood and Allied Workers' Union and an attorney representing the unions negotiated a mechanism to pay compensation to the dependants of people that died or to people who were physically injured in the accident to the extent that they had not been previously compensated in terms of existing policies and practices. It was agreed to establish an independent trust, the September 2004 Accident Trust, to expeditiously make ex gratia grants to such persons. The September 2004 Accident Trust was registered on 29 June 2006. Qualifying victims of the accident were invited to submit applications for compensation. These grants were calculated in accordance with the applicable South African legal principles for the harm and loss suffered by them as a result of the accident to the extent that they had not already been compensated.

Sasol funded the September 2004 Accident Trust to pay the grants. Whilst accepting social responsibility, Sasol did not acknowledge legal liability in creating the trust. As at 30 June 2010, a total of 172 claims had been received, all of which have been finalised, resulting in payments totalling R22 million. The trust has concluded its objective and is in the process of being wound up.

Regulation

The majority of our operations are based in South Africa, but we also operate in numerous other countries throughout the world. In South Africa, we operate coal mines and a number of production plants and facilities for the storage, processing and transportation of raw materials, products and wastes related to coal, oil, chemicals and gas. These facilities and the respective operations are subject to various laws and regulations that may become more stringent and may, in some cases, affect our business, operating results, cash flows and financial condition.

Table of Contents

Empowerment of historically disadvantaged South Africans

Broad-based Black Economic Empowerment Act

The South African Department of Trade and Industry introduced the Broad-based Black Economic Empowerment Act (the Act). The Act's stated objectives are to:

- promote economic transformation in order to facilitate meaningful participation of black people in the economy;
- achieve a substantial change in the racial composition of ownership and management structures in new and existing enterprises;
- increase the instance of ownership and management of communities, workers and collective enterprise cooperatives in new and existing enterprises;
- promote investment programs that lead to broad-based and meaningful participation by black people in the economy in order to achieve sustainable development and general prosperity; and
- develop rural communities and empower local communities by enabling access to economic activities, land, infrastructure, ownership and skills.

The Act establishes a Black Economic Empowerment Advisory Council (the Council) to advise the President on BEE. In terms of the Act, the Minister of Trade and Industry may issue codes of practice on BEE, which may include:

- the interpretation and definition of BEE;
- qualification criteria for preferential purposes for procurement and other economic activities;
- indicators and weighting to measure BEE;
- guidelines for stakeholders in the relevant sectors of the economy to draw up transformation charters for their sectors;
- the development of a system of reporting on the implementation of BEE; and
- any other matter necessary to achieve the objectives of the Act.

The Act provides that every organ of the State must take into account any relevant code of practice issued pursuant to the Act in determining qualification criteria for the issuing of licences and other authorisations pursuant to any law and in developing and implementing a preferential procurement policy.

The Minister of Trade and Industry may propose regulations under this Act.

Sasol Inzalo share transaction

During May 2008, the shareholders approved the Sasol Inzalo share transaction, a broad-based Black Economic Empowerment (BEE) transaction which resulted in the transfer of beneficial ownership of 10% (63,1 million shares) of Sasol Limited's issued share capital before the implementation of this transaction to its employees and a wide spread of black South Africans (BEE participants). The transaction was introduced to assist Sasol, as a major participant in the South African economy, in meeting its empowerment objectives. This transaction will provide long-term sustainable benefits to all participants and has a tenure of ten years. The following BEE participants acquired indirect or direct ownership in Sasol's issued share capital at the time as follows:

Sasol employees and black managers through the Sasol Inzalo Employee Trust and Sasol Inzalo Management Trust (Employee Trusts) 4,0%;

Table of Contents

The Sasol Inzalo Foundation 1,5%;

Selected participants 1,5%; and

The black public through:

The funded invitation 2,6%; and

The cash invitation 0,4%.

The Employee Trusts and the Sasol Inzalo Foundation were funded entirely through Sasol facilitation whilst the selected participants and the black public participating, through the funded invitation, were funded by way of equity contributions and preference share funding (including preference shares subscribed for by Sasol). The black public participating, through the cash invitation, were financed entirely by the participants from their own resources.

The effective date of the transaction for the Employee Trusts and the Sasol Inzalo Foundation was 3 June 2008. The effective date of the transaction for the selected participants was 27 June 2008. The effective date for the black public invitations was 8 September 2008. Refer to "Item 5A Operating results Sasol Inzalo share transaction".

Codes of good practice for broad-based black economic empowerment (the Codes)

On 6 December 2006, the South African government approved the gazetting of both Phase 1 and Phase 2 of the Codes published in November 2005 and December 2005, respectively, pursuant to the Act mentioned above. The Codes were gazetted on 9 February 2007 in Government Gazette 29617 (Main Codes) and the Minister of Trade and Industry determined that the Codes came into operation on the same date.

Progress to date includes the publishing of guidelines on the Department of Trade and Industry website, which includes the following:

Guidelines: Equity Equivalents Programme for Multinationals; and

Guidelines: Complex Structures and Transactions, and Fronting (previously Statement 002).

Pursuant to the gazetting of the Codes (Main Codes) and published guidelines, private sector enterprises are urged to apply the principles contained in the Codes when implementing broad-based BEE initiatives. In interactions with public entities and organs of state, it is considered essential that the private sector applies these principles to ensure full recognition for their efforts. Furthermore, it is considered desirable that the private sector also apply these principles in their interactions with one another.

Stakeholders are encouraged to align any legislation properly enacted prior to the Act, which imposes BEE objectives, with the Act and the Codes. This will apply specifically to the Liquid Fuels Charter as contained in the Petroleum Products Amendment Act and the Mining Charter as contained in the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA) which shall remain in force unless amended, substituted or repealed. Alignment of all such legislation, over time, will reduce any residual uncertainty.

The Mining Charter

In October 2002, the government and representatives of South African mining companies and mineworkers' unions reached broad agreement on the Mining Charter, which is designed to facilitate

Table of Contents

the participation of historically disadvantaged South Africans (HDSAs) in the country's mining industry. The Mining Charter's stated objectives include the:

- expansion of opportunities for persons disadvantaged by unfair discrimination under the previous political dispensation;
- expansion of the skills base of such persons;
- promotion of employment and advancement of the social and economic welfare of mining communities; and
- promotion of beneficiation, or the crushing and separation of ore into valuable substances or waste within South Africa.

The Mining Charter, together with a scorecard which was published on 18 February 2003 to facilitate the interpretation of and compliance with the Mining Charter (the scorecard), requires mining companies to ensure that HDSAs hold at least 15% ownership of mining assets or equity in South Africa within five calendar years and 26% ownership within ten calendar years from the enactment of the new MPRDA which came into force on 1 May 2004. The Mining Charter further specifies that the mining industry is required to assist HDSAs in securing finance to fund their equity participation up to an amount of R100 billion within the first 5 calendar years after the coming into force of the aforementioned Act. Beyond this R100 billion commitment, the Mining Charter requires that participation of HDSAs should be increased towards the 26% target on a willing-seller-willing-buyer basis at fair market value.

The scorecard provides a method of indicating the extent to which applicants for the conversion of their mineral rights under the MPRDA complied with the provisions of the Mining Charter. It is intended that the entire scorecard would be taken into account in decision making. Notes attached to the scorecard provide guidance in interpreting the objectives of the Mining Charter.

On 16 March 2006, we announced the implementation of the first phase of Sasol Mining's BEE strategy through the formation of Igoda Coal, an empowerment venture with Exxaro Coal Mpumalanga (formerly known as Eyesizwe Coal), a black-owned mining company. During August 2009, we received a notice of intention to withdraw from the Igoda transaction from our partner, Exxaro Coal Mpumalanga. Sasol Mining is actively pursuing alternatives to ensure that its BEE strategy remains intact.

On 11 October 2007, Sasol Mining announced the second phase of its BEE strategy by the formation of a black-woman controlled mining company called Ixia Coal (Pty) Limited (Ixia). Ixia is a venture with Women Investment Portfolio Holdings Limited and Mining Women Investments (Pty) Limited. The transaction is valued at R1,9 billion. This transaction brings Sasol Mining's broad-based BEE ownership component to an estimated 20% (calculated on attributable units of production). The transaction will be financed through equity (R47 million) and a combination of third party funding and appropriate Sasol facilitation. Ixia has procured its share of the financing for the transaction. The implementation of the transaction was conditional upon, inter alia, the conversion of the existing prospecting permits and mining authorisations (old order mining rights) to new order rights. The conversion of rights has been approved by the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR). The converted mining rights were signed and notarially executed on 29 March 2010. The converted mining rights for the Secunda Complex have been granted for a period of ten years. Sasol Mining has the exclusive right to apply and be granted renewal of the converted mining right for additional periods not exceeding 30 years at a time. The Mooikraal Complex converted mining right has been granted for the maximum allowable period of 30 years. The Competition Tribunal of South Africa approved the transaction on 1 September 2010. We anticipate that this transaction will be completed by the end of September 2010. The transaction was not yet effective at 30 June 2010.

Table of Contents

The Liquid Fuels Charter

In November 2000, following a process of consultation, the Minister of Mineral Resources and representatives of the companies in the liquid fuels industry, including Sasol Oil, signed the Liquid Fuels Charter setting out the principles for the empowerment of HDSAs in the South African petroleum and liquid fuels industry.

The Liquid Fuels Charter requires liquid fuels companies, including Sasol Oil, to ensure that HDSAs hold at least 25% equity ownership in the South African company holding their liquid fuels assets by the 2010 calendar year. It also envisages methods of measuring progress by requiring participants in the industry to meet targets set in connection with transformation of ownership. In addition, the Liquid Fuels Charter requires that historically disadvantaged persons be given preferred supplier status, where possible, in the procurement of supplies, products, goods and services, as well as access to use and ownership of facilities. By concluding the Sasol and Tshwarisano transaction, referred to below, Sasol Oil has satisfied this requirement.

It is possible that the Minister of Energy may wish to renegotiate the equity ownership of HDSA's in South African liquid fuels companies. However, clarity with regard to this possibility is not expected before November 2010.

Sasol and Tshwarisano BEE transaction

It is our fundamental objective to comply with the terms of the Liquid Fuels Charter. We have therefore facilitated a transaction with our BEE partner in the form of Tshwarisano which acquired a 25% shareholding in Sasol Oil effective 1 July 2006. Refer to "Item 5A Operating results Sasol and Tshwarisano BEE transaction".

BEE policies and legislation

The Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment Act No.53, underpinned by the scorecard setting out clear targets for Broad Based Black Economic Empowerment (BBBEE), was promulgated into law on 9 February 2003. The scorecard measures the following areas:

- Ownership
- Management and control
- Employment equity
- Skills development
- Procurement
- Enterprise development
- Socio-economic development

As from 1 July 2006, Sasol Oil has met the 25% BEE ownership target with Tshwarisano holding 25% of the shares in Sasol Oil in line with the BEE Charter.

Employees

In keeping with the spirit of the Liquid Fuels Charter, as well as the Employment Equity Act, we have set employment equity targets. This requires that advantageous treatment be given to HDSAs in aspects of employment such as hiring and promotion. Employment Equity targets are set out and reviewed periodically to ensure that they are met. Special training and mentorship programmes are in place to create a work

environment that is suited to the successful nurturing of HDSA staff.

Table of Contents

Procurement

Procurement is a crucial element of BEE as set out in the Liquid Fuels Charter, as well as in other industry charters and government policy. BEE procurement affords smaller industry players the opportunity to participate meaningfully in the sector. As prescribed in the Charter, HDSA companies are accorded preferred supplier status as far as possible.

Sasol Oil has established a BEE procurement policy; an enhanced procurement governance model and unique strategies to stimulate growth in its BEE spend.

Corporate social investment

We focus on facilitating the socioeconomic development of the communities in which we operate, through partnerships with key stakeholders in these communities.

Social investments are presently channelled into five main areas:

Education (particularly in mathematics and science);

Job creation and capacity building;

Health and welfare;

Arts, culture and sport development; and

Environment.

The Restitution of Land Rights Act

Our privately held land could be subject to land restitution claims under the Restitution of Land Rights Act 22 of 1994. Under this Act, any person who was dispossessed of rights in land in South Africa as a result of past racially discriminatory laws or practices is granted certain remedies, including, but not limited to:

restoration of the land claimed with or without compensation to the holder;

granting of an appropriate right in alternative state-owned land to the claimant; or

payment of compensation by the state or the holder of the land to the claimant.

If land is restored without fair compensation, it is possible that a constitutional challenge to the restoration could be successful. Once a land claim has been lodged with the Commission on Restitution of Land Rights, the rights of any person in respect of such land are restricted in that he may not perform certain actions relating to the land, including, but not limited to, selling, leasing exchanging, donating, subdividing, rezoning or developing such land, without the consent of the Commission. The Commission is obligated to notify the land owner of such a claim lodged or any other party which might have an interest in a claim. All claims had to have been lodged with the Commission by 31 December 1998. Although this was the final date for filing claims, many claims lodged before the deadline are still being reviewed and not all parties who are subject to claims have yet been notified. We have not been notified of any land claim that could have a material adverse effect on our rights to any of our significant properties. Sasol has however been notified of a potential land claim over a property that we believe belongs to Sasol Synfuels, namely the farm Goedehoop 301 IS. As this property consists of a number of portions and the Land Claims Commission is still investigating against which portion the claim has been instituted, we are unsure about possible impacts that the claim will have on our

operations, but no material adverse effect is anticipated. Sasol is currently assisting the Land Claims Commission to establish the exact nature of the claim to ensure that any risks can be mitigated.

Table of Contents

The Restitution of Land Rights Amendment Act became law in February 2004. Under the original Act, in the absence of a court order, the power of the Minister of Land Affairs to acquire or expropriate land for restitution purposes is limited to circumstances where an agreement has been reached between the interested parties. The Act would entitle the Minister to expropriate land in the absence of agreement. Such an expropriation could be for restitution or other land reform purposes. Compensation payable to the owner of the land would be subject to the provisions of the Expropriation Act 63 of 1975 and section 25(3) of the Constitution which provides, in general, that compensation must be just and equitable.

Regulation of mining activities in South Africa

The Minerals Act

For the period up to 30 April 2004, all mineral rights, encompassing the right to prospect and mine, were held, either privately or by the government of South Africa. Ownership of private mineral rights was held through title deeds and constituted real rights in land, which were enforceable against any third party. Prospecting and mining were regulated by the Minerals Act and South African common law. The Minerals Act regulated the prospecting for and the optimal exploitation, processing and utilisation of minerals. The Minerals Act required that anyone undertaking prospecting or mining operations had to compile an environmental management programme and to provide for the environmental impact of the proposed prospecting or mining activities. This programme had to be approved by the relevant Director of Mineral Development. The Minerals Act has subsequently been repealed by the implementation of the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (Act 28 of 2002), which came into effect on 1 May 2004.

Under the Minerals Act, we owned all the coal rights to the properties over which we had mining authorisations, except for small tracts of land at Secunda, which were owned by the government of South Africa and for which we have obtained the government's consent to mine in consideration for the payment of a royalty per ton of coal mined from those properties.

The Mineral and Petroleum Resources Development Act (MPRDA)

The fundamental principle of the MPRDA is the recognition that the mineral resources of the country are the common heritage of all South Africans and therefore belong to all the people of South Africa. The MPRDA vests the right to prospect and mine, including the right to grant prospecting and mining rights on behalf of the nation, in the state, to be administered by the government of South Africa. Thus, the state is the guardian of all mineral rights and has the right to exercise full and permanent custodianship over mineral resources.

The MPRDA imposes significantly more stringent environmental obligations on mining activities than the repealed Minerals Act and also introduces extensive social and labour plan, mining work programme and prospecting work programme requirements. However, it contains transitional arrangements for existing operations. Under these transitional provisions, the environmental management programmes will continue in force, while the Department of Mineral Resources (DMR) introduces the more stringent requirements of the MPRDA.

The MPRDA adopts the environmental management principles and environmental impact assessment provisions of the National Environmental Management Act (NEMA). The MPRDA addresses the allocation of responsibilities for environmental damage, pollution and degradation and imposes rehabilitation obligations. It significantly extends the scope of liability of directors who may be jointly and severally liable for any unacceptable negative impact on the environment, advertently or inadvertently caused by the company. It also allows the state to take remedial action and claim costs. It maintains the requirement for an environmental management programme/plan for all prospecting and mining operations, but with more detailed specifications than under the Minerals Act, and prohibits the

Table of Contents

carrying out of mining activities before the approval of the programme/plan. When rehabilitation is required, it is not limited to the land surface. We complied with the repealed Minerals Act, and we comply with the new legislation. The South African government has also adopted the MPRDA Amendment Act, 49 of 2008 and the NEMA Amendment Act, 62 of 2008, in an effort to streamline environmental approvals. Even though the MPRDA Amendment Act and the NEMA Amendment Act has been promulgated, they will only be implemented on a date still to be published in the Government Gazette. Once implemented, they introduce the concept of environmental authorisation which must be obtained in terms of the provisions of NEMA. It also provides an interim period of 18 months, during which the Minister of Mineral Resources will be the approval entity, where after it will revert to the Minister of Water and Environmental Affairs.

Mining rights

Transitional provisions are included in the MPRDA, which phases out privately held mineral rights held under the repealed legislation. The transitional provisions contemplate three types of rights:

(a) mineral rights in respect of which no prospecting permit or mining authorisation has been issued and/or no prospecting or mining activities are taking place;

(b) mineral rights in respect of which prospecting permits have been issued and prospecting is taking place; and

(c) mineral rights in respect of which mining authorisations have been issued and mining is taking place.

The rights described in these three categories are defined as Old Order rights. Under category (a), the holders of mineral rights had to apply for a prospecting or mining right in their own names to replace their existing mineral rights by 30 April 2005. Under categories (b) and (c), any prospecting permit or mining authorisation granted under the previous legislation would continue to be valid for a maximum period of two years ending on 30 April 2006 or five years ending on 30 April 2009 from enactment, respectively or for the duration of the prospecting permit or mining authorisation, whichever is the shorter. After the lapse of the one-year period referred to in category (a) and the respective periods in categories (b) and (c), the mineral rights will cease to exist. Within these periods, the holders of mineral rights and prospecting permits or mining authorisations, in order to continue with their mining or prospecting operations, must apply for a new prospecting right or mining right in respect of category (a) and for conversion to new prospecting or mining rights in respect of categories (b) and (c).

Under the MRPDA, prospecting rights can be granted for an initial period of up to five years, and could be renewed once, upon application, for a period not exceeding three years. Mining rights will be valid for a maximum period of thirty calendar years, and could be renewed, upon application, for further periods, each not exceeding thirty years. Provision is made for the grant of retention permits, which would have a maximum term of three calendar years and could be renewed once, upon application for a further two calendar years.

A wide range of factors and principles will be taken into account by the Minister of Mineral Resources when considering these applications. These factors include the applicant's access to financial resources and appropriate technical ability to conduct the proposed prospecting or mining operation, the environmental impact of the operation and, in the case of prospecting rights, considerations relating to fair competition. Other factors include considerations relevant to promoting employment and the social and economic welfare of all South Africans and showing compliance with the provisions of the Mining Charter for the empowerment of HDSAs in the mining industry. A major aspect through which this will be ensured is the Social and Labour Plan required for mining operations, which encapsulates most of the requirements of the Mining Charter.

Table of Contents

The Mining Titles Registration Amendment Act (Act 24 of 2003) and Regulations have been implemented simultaneously with the implementation of the MPRDA and new amendments to this legislation are under consideration. Further revisions to the Act are expected during the 2009 calendar year. It provides the mechanism to give effect to the provisions of the MPRDA, in particular with regard to the registration of rights under the MPRDA.

Sasol Mining held various prospecting permits or mining authorisations with respect to our existing mining operations, which were classified as old order rights. We applied for the conversion of all our existing old order mining rights in the Secunda area as well as our Mooikraal Operations near Sasolburg, well within the 30 April 2009 deadline imposed by the MPRDA. All old order prospecting rights have been converted to new order prospecting rights and all our old order mining rights have been converted to new order mining rights. The mining rights in respect of the Mooikraal Operations have been granted for 30 years, whilst those in respect of the Secunda area have been granted for ten years, after which both are capable of renewal.

With regard to the renewal of the converted mining rights, the holder of a mining right has the right to apply and be granted renewal of a mining right, subject to meeting specified requirements of the MPRDA and the Minister of Mineral Resources must grant renewal if these requirements have been met. Rights can be renewed for periods not exceeding 30 years at a time.

The mining rights in respect of the Secunda area were only granted for a ten year period as Sasol Mining does not currently comply with the 26% BEE ownership requirement. However, extensive plans to meet the required 26% BEE ownership requirements are currently being developed and will be implemented once the review of the Mining Charter has been finalised. In addition, Sasol Mining held the rights to coal over large reserves not covered by prospecting permits or mining authorisations. In terms of the MPRDA, these were classified as unused old order rights. We have acquired prospecting rights in terms of the MPRDA over all these areas. It is the declared intent of the South African government not to disrupt operations as a result of the introduction of the new legislation. The approved social and labour plans and mining work programmes are now legally enforceable, and we have undertaken and will continue to undertake any appropriate action required to ensure retention of our converted mining rights under the MPRDA.

The MPRDA provides that a mining right granted under the MPRDA may be cancelled if the mineral to which such mining right relates is not mined at an optimal rate. The MPRDA also provides that any rights granted under the MPRDA may be cancelled or suspended if activities are being conducted in contravention of the MPRDA, if any material terms or conditions of such rights are breached or if the approved environmental management programme/plan is contravened. However, such cancellation or suspension is subject to the Minister of Mineral Resources giving written notice of the intention to suspend or cancel the relevant right and affording the holder the opportunity to show why the right should not be cancelled or suspended.

Furthermore, royalties from mining activities are payable to the state, as from 1 March 2010, under provisions contained in the Mineral and Petroleum Resources Royalty Act, 28 of 2008 and the Mineral and Petroleum Royalty Administration Act, 29 of 2008 (the Acts). The most significant feature of the Acts is that the royalty is determinable in accordance with a formula-based system. The impact on Sasol Mining for the year ended 30 June 2010 is a cost of R9,9 million and an estimated cost of R35,3 million for the year ending 30 June 2011 and R93,7 million for the year ending 30 June 2012. The royalty will be deductible for normal income tax purposes.

Table of Contents

Regulation of pipeline gas activities in South Africa

The Gas Act

The Gas Act came into effect on 1 November 2005 as proclaimed by the President of South Africa. The Gas Act regulates matters relating to gas transmission, storage, distribution, liquefaction and re-gasification activities. Among its stated objectives are:

- promoting the efficient development and operation of the respective facilities and the provision of respective services in a safe, efficient, economically and environmentally responsible way;
- promoting companies in the gas industry that are owned or controlled by HDSAs;
- promoting competition and investment in the gas markets; and
- securing affordable and safe access to gas services.

The Gas Act provides for the powers of the National Energy Regulator of South Africa (NERSA) regarding pipeline gas, whose powers include the issuance of licences for a range of activities including:

- the construction, conversion or operation of gas transmission, storage, distribution, liquefaction and re-gasification facilities; and
- trading in gas.

NERSA has the authority to determine maximum prices for distributors, reticulators and all classes of consumers where there is inadequate competition as contemplated in the South African Competition Act. NERSA may impose fines not exceeding R2 million a day, if a licencee fails to comply with its licence conditions or with any provisions of the Gas Act. The Piped Gas Regulations issued in terms of section 34(1) of the Gas Act was promulgated on 20 April 2007.

The Regulatory Reporting Manual (RRM) developed in accordance with NERSA's authority to determine the format for regulatory reporting by licensed entities was gazetted on 9 September 2008 and is effective from 1 September 2008.

In terms of the RRM, licencees are required to submit six monthly financial reports to NERSA in compliance with the RRM requirements. The RRM became effective on 1 July 2009. The RRM obliges licencees to agree to an implementation plan with NERSA, which includes an agreement on a cost allocation manual which will enable the conversion of Sasol Gas' statutory financial statements to the format requirement by NERSA as well as the date for the submission of the relevant financial statements to NERSA. Sasol Gas is engaging with NERSA in order to agree these matters for subsequent implementation. Separate financial reports are required for the different regulated activities of a licencee. Compliance with the RRM requirements, necessitates regulatory reporting and accounting activities in addition to the existing statutory accounting and reporting requirements of Sasol Gas and Rompco. Sasol Gas implemented substantial upgrades to its Enterprise Resource Planning (ERP) system in order to enable compliance with the RRM requirements.

The National Energy Regulator Act

The National Energy Regulator Act came into operation on 15 September 2005 as proclaimed by the President. The National Energy Regulator Act provides for the establishment of a single regulator to regulate the piped gas, petroleum pipeline and electricity industries and for the functions and composition of the energy regulator.

On 1 November 2005, NERSA, pursuant to the National Energy Regulator Act, came into existence by the appointment of the four full-time regulators, of which one is the designated chief executive officer of NERSA. The Regulator consists of nine members, including four full-time members and five part-time members. Although the full-time members of NERSA are appointed for specific portfolios (gas, electricity and petroleum pipelines), NERSA operates as a collective and decisions are made on a collective basis.

94

Table of Contents

According to Section 35 of the Gas Act licence applications for existing business activities had to be submitted to NERSA within six months from the effective date of the Gas Act (2 May 2006) by any person owning or operating gas facilities or trading in gas. Accordingly, Rompco submitted an application for the operation of a gas transmission facility in respect of the Mozambique to Secunda pipeline. This licence to operate a transmission facility was issued to Rompco on 21 February 2007. Sasol Gas submitted licence applications for the operation of distribution and transmission facilities as well as for trading in gas.

All the licence applications have been compiled in accordance with the Gas Act and the rules published by NERSA. On 27 October 2008, Sasol Gas was granted 27 distribution and trading licences in respect of its operations in the Mpumalanga, Gauteng, Free State and North West provinces and o