ADESA INC Form PRE 14A March 10, 2006

(4)

QuickLinks -- Click here to rapidly navigate through this document

UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

SCHEDULE 14A

Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. Filed by the Registrant ý Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o Check the appropriate box: Preliminary Proxy Statement ý oConfidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2)) o **Definitive Proxy Statement** Definitive Additional Materials 0 Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12 ADESA, INC. (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter) (Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant) Payment of Filing Fee (Check the appropriate box): No fee required. ý Fee computed on table below per Exchange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(4) and 0-11. Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies: (1) (2) Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies: (3) Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):

Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:

(5)	Total fee paid:
Fee p	aid previously with preliminary materials.
filing	k box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and identify the for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing by registration nent number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.
(1)	Amount Previously Paid:
(2)	Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:
(3)	Filing Party:
(4)	Date Filed:

April , 2006

Dear fellow stockholder:

On behalf of the Board of Directors and management, you are cordially invited to attend the annual meeting of stockholders of ADESA, Inc. to be held on Wednesday, May 17, 2006, at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Ritz Charles, 12156 North Meridian Street, Carmel, Indiana.

This booklet includes the notice of annual meeting of stockholders and the proxy statement. The proxy statement describes the business to be transacted at the annual meeting and provides other information about ADESA that you should know when you vote your shares. The principal business of the annual meeting will be to:

elect members of the board of directors;

ratify the appointment by the audit committee of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as ADESA's independent auditors for 2006; and

amend our certificate of incorporation to provide for the phase-in of the annual election of directors.

We will also provide an overview of the status of ADESA's business at the meeting.

Your vote is very important. I urge you to vote by mail, by telephone or on the Internet in order to be certain your shares are represented at the meeting, even if you plan to attend. Please review the instructions on the proxy card regarding each of these voting options.

Thank you for your ongoing support of, and continued interest in, ADESA.

Sincerely,

David G. Gartzke

Chairman of the Board,

President and Chief Executive Officer

ADESA, Inc. 13085 Hamilton Crossing Blvd. Carmel, Indiana 46032

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

Time and Date

9:00 a.m., local time, on May 17, 2006

Place

Ritz Charles 12156 North Meridian Street

Items of Business

- Carmel, Indiana 46032
- successor is elected and qualified, subject, however, to prior death, resignation, retirement, disqualification or removal.

1. To elect three directors of ADESA, each until the annual meeting in 2009 and until his or her

- 2. To ratify the audit committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (an independent registered public accounting firm) as ADESA's independent auditors for fiscal year 2006.
- 3. To amend our certificate of incorporation to provide for the phase-in of the annual election of directors.
- 4. To transact any other business as may properly come before the meeting or any adjournments or postponements thereof.

Record Date

You are entitled to vote at the annual meeting and at any adjournments or postponements thereof if you were a stockholder at the close of business on Wednesday, March 22, 2006.

Voting by Proxy

Please submit your proxy card as soon as possible so that your shares can be voted at the annual meeting in accordance with your instructions. For specific instructions on voting, please refer to the instructions on your enclosed proxy card.

By Order of the Board,

George J. Lawrence

Executive Vice President,

General Counsel and Secretary

April , 2006 Carmel, Indiana

TABLE OF CONTENTS

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING	1
Why am I receiving these materials?	1
What proposals will be voted on at the annual meeting?	1
What is the ADESA Board's voting recommendation?	1
Who is entitled to vote?	1
What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?	2
How can I vote my shares in person at the annual meeting?	2
How can I vote my shares without attending the annual meeting?	2
If I am an employee holding shares pursuant to our employee stock purchase plan, how will my shares be voted?	3
Can I revoke my proxy?	3
What is the quorum requirement for the annual meeting?	3
What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?	4
What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy or voting instruction card?	4
Who will count the vote?	4
Who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the annual meeting?	4
What is "householding" and how does it affect me?	5
How can I obtain a copy of ADESA's Annual Report on Form 10-K?	5
BOARD STRUCTURE AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	6
Board Structure and Committees	6
Audit Committee	6
Executive Compensation Committee	7
Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee	7
Corporate Governance	8
Corporate Governance Guidelines, Committee Charter and Codes of Ethics	8
Executive Sessions of the Board	8
Communications with Directors	8
Director Qualification Standards and Independence	9
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS AND STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES	10
Fiscal 2005 Director Compensation	10
Fiscal 2006 Director Compensation	10
Stock Ownership Guidelines PROPOGNAL STOCKED ON BY A DESAIS STOCKHOLDERS	11
PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED ON BY ADESA'S STOCKHOLDERS PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS	12 12
Structure of the Board	12
Majority Vote Provision in our Corporate Governance Guidelines	12
Information Regarding Nominees for Director, Directors and Executive Officers	12
Nominees for Director to be Elected at the 2006 Annual Meeting for Terms Expiring in 2009	13
Directors Whose Terms Will Expire in 2007	13
Directors Whose Terms Will Expire in 2008	14
Executive Officers Who Do Not Serve on the Board	15
PROPOSAL NO. 2 RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS	17
Proposal	17
Fees Paid To PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP	17
Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors	18
,	10

i

REPORT OF THE AUDIT COMMITTEE	19
PROPOSAL NO. 3	21
Background	21
Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation	21
BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP OF COMPANY COMMON STOCK	23
Stock Ownership of Directors, Director Nominees and Executive Officers	23
Principal Stockholders	24
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	25
Summary Compensation Table	25
Option Grants in 2005	30
Aggregated Option Exercises in 2005 and Fiscal Year-End Option Values	30
Equity Compensation Plan Information	31
REPORT OF THE EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE	32
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE INTERLOCKS AND INSIDER PARTICIPATION	36
SEVERANCE ARRANGEMENTS	37
CERTAIN RELATED-PARTY RELATIONSHIPS	38
STOCK PRICE PERFORMANCE GRAPH	39
REQUIREMENTS, INCLUDING DEADLINES, FOR SUBMISSION OF PROXY PROPOSALS, NOMINATION OF	י
DIRECTORS AND OTHER BUSINESS OF STOCKHOLDERS	40
Directions to the Annual Meeting	Inside Back Cover

ELECTRONIC ACCESS OF PROXY STATEMENT

This proxy statement is available on our Internet site at www.adesainc.com. Most stockholders can elect to view future proxy statements over the Internet instead of receiving paper copies in the mail. If you are a stockholder of record, you can choose this option and save ADESA the cost of production and mailing these documents by registering for electronic access of future proxy statements at http://www.econsent.com/kar/. If you hold your ADESA stock through a bank, broker or other holder of record, please refer to the information provided by that entity for instructions on how to elect to view future proxy statements over the Internet.

If you choose to view future proxy statements over the Internet, next year you will be mailed a proxy card and instructions indicating the Internet address to access ADESA's proxy statement. Your choice will remain in effect until you tell us otherwise. You do not have to elect Internet access each year. To view, cancel or change your enrollment profile, please go to http://www.econsent.com/kar/.

ADESA, INC. 13085 Hamilton Crossing Blvd. Carmel, Indiana 46032

PROXY STATEMENT

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

Q:	Why am I receiving these materials?
<i>A</i> :	We are providing these proxy materials to you in connection with the solicitation, by ADESA's Board of Directors, of proxies to be voted at ADESA's 2006 annual meeting of stockholders and at any adjournments or postponements thereof. Stockholders are invited to attend the annual meeting to be held on May 17, 2006 beginning at 9:00 a.m., local time, at the Ritz Charles, 12156 North Meridian Street, Carmel, Indiana 46032. ADESA's proxy materials are being mailed to stockholders starting April , 2006.
Q:	What proposals will be voted on at the annual meeting?
<i>A</i> :	There are three proposals scheduled to be voted on at the annual meeting:
	the election of three directors of ADESA, each until the annual meeting in 2009 and until his or her successor is elected and qualified, subject, however, to prior death, resignation, retirement, disqualification or removal;
	the ratification of the audit committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (an independent registered public accounting firm) as ADESA's independent auditors for fiscal year 2006; and
	the approval of an amendment to our certificate of incorporation to provide for the phase-in of the annual election of directors.
Q:	What is the ADESA Board's voting recommendation?
A :	ADESA's Board recommends that you vote your shares "FOR" the election of directors, the ratification of auditors and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation.
Q:	Who is entitled to vote?
A :	All shares owned by you as of the record date, which is the close of business on March 22, 2006, may be voted by you. You may cast one vote per share of common stock that you held on the record date. These shares include shares that are:
	held directly in your name as the stockholder of record; and
	held for you as the beneficial owner through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee.
	On the record date, ADESA had approximately shares of common stock issued and outstanding.

Q:
What is the difference between holding shares as a stockholder of record and as a beneficial owner?

Many stockholders of ADESA hold their shares through a stockbroker, bank or other nominee rather than directly in their own name. As summarized below, there are some differences between shares held of record and those owned beneficially.

<u>Stockholder of Record.</u> If your shares are registered directly in your name with ADESA's transfer agent, Wells Fargo, you are considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record, and these proxy materials are being sent to you directly by ADESA. As the stockholder of record, you have the right to grant your voting proxy directly to ADESA or to vote in person at the annual meeting. ADESA has enclosed a proxy card for you to use. You may also vote on the Internet or by telephone, as described below under the heading "How can I vote my shares without attending the annual meeting?"

Beneficial Owner. If your shares are held in a stock brokerage account or by a bank or other nominee, you are considered the beneficial owner of shares held in "street name", and these proxy materials are being forwarded to you by your broker or nominee who is considered, with respect to those shares, the stockholder of record. As the beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker on how to vote and are also invited to attend the annual meeting. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote these shares in person at the annual meeting. Your broker or nominee has enclosed a voting instruction card for you to use in directing your broker or nominee as to how to vote your shares. You may also vote by Internet or by telephone, as described below under "How can I vote my shares without attending the annual meeting?"

Q:

How can I vote my shares in person at the annual meeting?

A:

A:

A:

Shares held directly in your name as the stockholder of record may be voted in person at the annual meeting. If you choose to vote your shares in person at the annual meeting, please bring proof of identification. Even if you plan to attend the annual meeting, ADESA recommends that you vote your shares in advance as described below so that your vote will be counted if you later decide not to attend the annual meeting.

Shares held in street name may be voted in person by you only if you obtain a signed proxy from the record holder giving you the right to vote the shares.

Q:

How can I vote my shares without attending the annual meeting?

Whether you hold your shares directly as the stockholder of record or beneficially in "street name", you may direct your vote without *attending* the annual meeting by voting in one of the following manners:

on the Internet;

by telephone; or

by completing and mailing your proxy card or voting instruction card in the enclosed, pre-paid envelope.

Please refer to the proxy card for more detailed instructions.

If you vote on the Internet or by telephone, you do not need to return your proxy card or voting instruction card. Internet and telephone voting for stockholders will be available 24 hours a day, and will close at 12:00 p.m., central time, on May 16, 2006.

Q:

If I am an employee holding shares pursuant to our employee stock purchase plan, how will my shares be voted?

A:

If you are an employee holding stock acquired through our employee stock purchase plan, you will receive a voting instruction card covering all shares held in your individual account. The employee stock purchase plan will vote your shares (i) in accordance with the instructions on your returned instruction card; or (ii) in its discretion on the election of directors, the ratification of the appointment of ADESA's independent auditors and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation to provide for the phase-in of the annual election of directors, if you do not return an instruction card or if you return an instruction card with no instructions.

Q: Can I revoke my proxy?

A:

A:

Yes. You may revoke your proxy at any time prior to the vote at the annual meeting by:

providing written notice to the corporate secretary of ADESA;

delivering a valid, later-dated proxy or a later-dated vote on the Internet or by telephone; or

attending the annual meeting and voting in person.

Please note that your attendance at the annual meeting in person will not cause your previously granted proxy to be revoked unless you specifically so request. Shares held in street name may be voted in person by you at the annual meeting only if you obtain a signed proxy from the record holder giving you the right to vote the shares.

Q: What is the quorum requirement for the annual meeting?

The quorum requirement for holding the annual meeting and transacting business is a majority of the outstanding shares entitled to be voted. The shares may be present in person or represented by proxy at the annual meeting.

Both abstentions and broker non-votes are counted as present and entitled to vote for the purpose of determining the presence of a quorum. Generally, broker non-votes occur when shares held by a broker for a beneficial owner are not voted with respect to a particular proposal because:

the broker has not received voting instructions from the beneficial owner; and

the broker lacks discretionary voting power to vote such shares.

If you are a beneficial stockholder and your broker holds your shares in its name, the broker is permitted to vote your shares on the election of directors, the ratification of the appointment of ADESA's independent auditors and the amendment to our certificate of incorporation to provide for the phase-in of the annual election of directors, even if the broker does not receive voting instructions from you.

- Q: What is the voting requirement to approve each of the proposals?
- A:

 Election of Directors. In the election for directors, the three persons receiving the highest number of "for" votes will be elected. Only votes "for" or "withheld" affect the outcome. Abstentions are not counted for purposes of the election of directors.

Our corporate governance guidelines, which can be found on our website, set forth our procedures if a director-nominee is elected, but receives a majority of "withheld" votes. In an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election is required to tender his or her resignation following certification of the stockholder vote.

The corporate governance and nominating committee of the Board is required to make recommendations to the Board with respect to any such letter of resignation. The Board is required to take action with respect to this recommendation and to disclose their decision-making process. Full details of this policy are set out under "Proposal No. 1 Election of Directors."

Ratification of Independent Auditors. The ratification of the appointment of the Company's independent auditors requires the affirmative vote of a *majority* of the shares of common stock represented at the annual meeting and entitled to vote thereon. Abstentions and, if applicable, broker non-votes, will not be voted and will not be counted in determining the number of shares necessary for approval, although they will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. Accordingly, an abstention or a broker non-vote with respect to this proposal will have the effect of a negative vote with respect to this proposal.

Amendment to Certificate of Incorporation. The approval of the amendment to our certificate of incorporation to provide for the annual election of directors at the 2009 annual meeting requires the affirmative vote of eighty percent (80%) of the outstanding shares of common stock entitled to vote at the annual meeting. Abstentions and, if applicable, broker non-votes, will not be voted and will not be counted in determining the number of shares necessary for approval, although they will be counted for purposes of determining whether there is a quorum. Accordingly, an abstention or a broker non-vote with respect to this proposal will have the effect of a negative vote with respect to this proposal.

- Q: What does it mean if I receive more than one proxy or voting instruction card?
- A:

 It means your shares are registered differently or are in more than one account. Please provide voting instructions for all proxy and voting instruction cards you receive.
- Q: Who will count the vote?

A:

- A representative of Wells Fargo will tabulate the votes and act as the inspector of election.
- Q: Who will bear the cost of soliciting votes for the annual meeting?
- A:

 ADESA will pay the entire cost of preparing, assembling, printing, mailing and distributing these proxy materials. In addition to the mailing of these proxy materials, the solicitation of proxies or votes may be made in person, by telephone or by electronic and facsimile transmission by our directors, officers and employees, who will

not receive any additional compensation for such solicitation activities. We have hired Georgeson Shareholder Communications Inc. to assist in the solicitation and distribution of proxies. Georgeson will receive a fee of \$8,500, plus reasonable expenses, for these services. In addition, ADESA may reimburse brokerage firms, including Georgeson, and other persons representing beneficial owners of shares of ADESA common stock for their expenses in forwarding solicitation material to such beneficial owners.

Q: What is "householding" and how does it affect me?

A:

Householding is a program, approved by the Securities and Exchange Commission, which allows the delivery of only one package of stockholder proxy materials if there are multiple ADESA stockholders who live at the same address. This means that, if your household participates in the householding program, you will receive an envelope containing one set of proxy materials and a separate proxy card for each stockholder account in the household. Please vote all proxy cards enclosed in the package.

Participation in householding will not impact or apply to any of your other stockholder mailings, such as dividend checks. If you are a registered stockholder, your participation in householding continues until you or any member of your household revokes his or her implied consent by calling our transfer agent, Wells Fargo, at 1(877) 602-7615, and requesting to opt out. Alternatively, you may revoke your consent by writing to Wells Fargo Shareowner Services, Attn: Data Maintenance, P. O. Box 64945, Saint Paul, MN 55164-0945. If you live in an eligible household that is not currently participating in this program and are receiving multiple copies of the annual report and proxy statement, you can request to participate in householding by contacting our transfer agent, Wells Fargo, at 1(800) 468-9716.

If you are a beneficial holder, you can request information about householding from your broker, bank or other nominee.

Q:

How can I obtain a copy of ADESA's Annual Report on Form 10-K?

A:

Copies of ADESA's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005, as filed with the SEC, are available to stockholders free of charge on ADESA's website at www.adesainc.com or by writing to Attn: Investor Relations, ADESA, Inc., 13085 Hamilton Crossing Blvd., Carmel, Indiana 46032.

BOARD STRUCTURE AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Board Structure and Committees

The Board is divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms. The Board has eight directors and the following three committees:

audit;

executive compensation; and

corporate governance and nominating.

The membership and the function of each committee are described below. During 2005, the Board held seven meetings and the audit, executive compensation and corporate governance and nominating committees held eight, five and four meetings, respectively. Each director attended at least 75% of the aggregate number of Board and applicable committee meetings. It is the Board's policy that directors are expected to attend ADESA's annual meeting of stockholders. All of the directors attended the 2005 annual meeting of stockholders.

Audit Committee. The audit committee assists the Board with the oversight of: the integrity of ADESA's financial statements and internal controls; ADESA's compliance with legal and regulatory requirements; the independent auditors' qualifications and independence; and the performance of ADESA's internal audit function and the independent auditors. More specifically, under its current charter, the audit committee, among other things:

is solely responsible for the appointment, compensation and oversight of the work of ADESA's independent registered public accounting firm;

pre-approves all auditing and non-auditing services to be performed by the independent auditors;

engages in dialogue with the independent auditors with respect to relationships or services that may impact the objectivity and independence of the independent auditors;

reviews and discusses with management and the independent auditors ADESA's annual and quarterly financial statements;

reviews, with management, the independent auditors and the senior internal audit executive, the adequacy and effectiveness of, and any significant changes in, ADESA's internal controls, the accounting policies, procedures or practices of ADESA; and

reviews the status of compliance with laws, regulations and internal procedures, contingent liabilities and risks that may be material to ADESA.

The responsibilities and activities of the audit committee are described in greater detail in the section entitled "Report of the Audit Committee" and the audit committee charter, which is attached as Appendix A to this proxy statement.

The audit committee consists of Dennis O. Green (chairperson), Wynn V. Bussmann, Thomas L. Cunningham and A. R. Sales. The Board has determined that each of the members of the audit committee is "independent" within the meaning of the applicable listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. The Board has determined that Mr. Green and Mr. Sales are each an "audit committee financial expert" and "independent" as defined under the applicable rules of the NYSE and the Securities and Exchange Commission.

Executive Compensation Committee. The executive compensation committee establishes ADESA's philosophy and policies regarding executive and director compensation; oversees the administration of ADESA's director and executive compensation programs; and reviews the compensation of directors, executive officers and senior management. More specifically, under its current charter, the executive compensation committee, among other things:

based on the performance evaluation conducted by the corporate governance and nominating committee, sets the CEO's compensation level, sets performance goals and approves awards for the CEO under incentive compensation plans;

reviews and approves the individual elements of total compensation for the executive officers of ADESA, other than the CEO, and reviews and approves revisions to ADESA's executive and senior management salary range structure and annual salary increase guidelines; and

makes recommendations to the Board with respect to ADESA's equity and incentive plan, employee stock purchase plan and other similar plans.

The responsibilities and activities of the executive compensation committee are described in greater detail in the section entitled "Report of the Executive Compensation Committee."

The executive compensation committee consists of Donald C. Wegmiller (chairperson), Wynn V. Bussmann, Thomas L. Cunningham, Nick Smith and Deborah L. Weinstein. The Board has determined that each of the members of the executive compensation committee is "independent" within the meaning of the applicable listing standards of the NYSE.

Corporate Governance and Nominating Committee. Under its current charter, the corporate governance and nominating committee, among other things:

develops and recommends to the Board a set of corporate governance principles applicable to ADESA;

provides recommendations to the Board with respect to:

- Board organization, membership and function;
- committee structure and membership;
- succession planning for the executive management of ADESA; and

oversees the evaluation of the Board and ADESA's executive officers, including the CEO.

In addition, the corporate governance and nominating committee, in consultation with the chairman of the Board, is responsible for identifying, screening, personally interviewing and recommending candidates to the entire Board. The Board, as a whole, is responsible for nominating individuals for election to the Board and for filling vacancies on the Board that may occur between annual meetings of the stockholders. In nominating candidates, the Board takes into consideration such factors as it deems appropriate. These factors may include integrity, achievements, judgment, intelligence, personal character, the interplay of the candidate's relevant experience with the experience of other Board members, the willingness of the candidate to devote adequate time to Board duties and likelihood that he or she will be willing and able to serve on the Board for a sustained period. In connection with the selection of nominees for director, due consideration will be given to the Board's overall balance of diversity of perspectives, backgrounds and experiences. It is the Board's policy that any potential nominee must be interviewed by a majority of the members of the corporate governance and nominating committee. The corporate governance and nominating committee

will consider any suggestions offered by management, other directors or any stockholder with respect to potential directors.

The corporate governance and nominating committee will consider candidates recommended for nomination by stockholders, provided that the recommendations are made in accordance with the procedures described in the section entitled "Requirements, Including Deadlines, For Submission of Proxy Proposals, Nominations of Directors and Other Business of Stockholders" located at the end of this proxy statement. Candidates recommended for nomination by stockholders that comply with these procedures will receive the same consideration as other candidates recommended by the committee. In addition, the corporate governance and nominating committee has engaged a third party search firm, Spencer Stuart, to identify and assist the committee on an ongoing basis in identifying, evaluating and conducting due diligence on potential director nominees.

The corporate governance and nominating committee consists of Deborah L. Weinstein (chairperson), Dennis O. Green, A. R. Sales and Nick Smith. The Board has determined that each of the members of the corporate governance and nominating committee is "independent" within the meaning of the applicable listing standards of the NYSE.

Corporate Governance

Corporate Governance Guidelines, Committee Charter and Codes of Ethics. ADESA has had corporate governance guidelines in place since the company's completion of its initial public offering in June 2004. ADESA has reviewed internally and with the Board the rules of the SEC and the NYSE's corporate governance listing standards regarding corporate governance policies and processes. ADESA also has adopted charters for its audit committee, executive compensation committee and corporate governance and nominating committee consistent with the applicable rules and standards. You can access ADESA's corporate governance guidelines, committee charters, code of business conduct and ethics and financial code of ethics that applies to ADESA's senior financial officers (and any amendments to, or waivers of, the codes of ethics) in the "Investor Relations" section of ADESA's web page at www.adesainc.com. These documents also are available in print to any stockholder requesting a copy by writing to ADESA, Inc., 13085 Hamilton Crossing Blvd., Carmel, Indiana 46032, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

Executive Sessions of the Board. At regularly scheduled Board meetings, the Board generally conducts executive sessions of the Board, which are discussions that involve only the non-management directors. ADESA's corporate governance guidelines state that the executive sessions of the Board will be chaired by either the chairman of the Board (if he or she is an independent director) or by the independent lead director (if the chairman is not an independent director). Because ADESA's chairman of the Board is not an independent director, ADESA's lead independent director, Deborah L. Weinstein, chairs the executive sessions of the Board.

Communications with Directors. Stockholders and other interested parties may contact any member (or all members) of the Board by mail. To communicate with the Board, any individual directors or any group or committee of directors, correspondence should be addressed to the Board or any such individual directors or group or committee of directors by either name or title. All such correspondence should be sent to ADESA, Inc., 13085 Hamilton Crossing Blvd., Carmel, Indiana 46032, Attention: Corporate Secretary.

All communications received as set forth in the preceding paragraph will be opened by the office of the corporate secretary for the sole purpose of determining whether the contents represent a message to ADESA's directors. Any contents that are not in the nature of advertising, promotions of a product or service, or patently offensive material will be forwarded promptly to the addressee. In the case of communications to the Board or any group or committee of directors, the corporate secretary's office will make sufficient copies of the contents to send to each director who is a member of the group or committee to which the envelope or e-mail is addressed.

Director Qualification Standards and Independence. The Board has made an affirmative determination that the following members of the Board meet the standards for "independence" set forth in the applicable listing standards of the NYSE on the basis that they have no material relationship with ADESA (either directly or as a partner, stockholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with ADESA): Wynn V. Bussmann, Thomas L. Cunningham, Dennis O. Green, A. R. Sales, Nick Smith, Donald C. Wegmiller and Deborah L. Weinstein.

In making this determination, the Board evaluated the relationship between Nick Smith and ADESA's former parent company, ALLETE, Inc., and found that this relationship was not material, as defined by the applicable listing standards of the NYSE, because it does not interfere with Mr. Smith's exercise of independent judgment. Currently, Mr. Smith serves as a director of ALLETE, Inc. If Mr. Smith's directorship on ALLETE's Board ever rises to an interest that conflicts, or appears to conflict, with the interests of ADESA, the Board will take all appropriate steps to ensure that Mr. Smith will be excused from discussions on the issue and recuse himself from voting on the issue.

David G. Gartzke does not meet the aforementioned independence standards because he serves as ADESA's current president and chief executive officer in addition to his duties as Chairman of the Board.

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION ARRANGEMENTS AND STOCK OWNERSHIP GUIDELINES

Fiscal 2005 Director Compensation

The following table provides information regarding the compensation paid to ADESA's non-employee directors during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2005. Directors who are employed by ADESA do not receive any compensation for their Board activities.

Non-Employee Director	Total	Annual Director Retainer (Cash)	Annual Director Retainer (Stock) (1)	Annual Committee Chair Fees	Annual Committee Member Fees
Wynn V. Bussmann	\$92,750.01	\$25,833.34	\$47,500.00	\$0	\$19,416.67
Thomas L. Cunningham Dennis O. Green	\$92,750.01	\$25,833.34	\$47,500.00	\$0	\$19,416.67
	\$98,750.01	\$25,833.34	\$47,500.00	\$6,000.00	\$19,416.67
A. R. Sales	\$92,750.01	\$25,833.34	\$47,500.00	\$0	\$19,416.67
Nick Smith	\$91,250.01	\$25,833.34	\$47,500.00	\$0	\$17,916.67
Donald C. Wegmiller	\$86,791.67	\$25,833.34	\$47,500.00	\$4,500.00	\$8,958.33
Deborah L. Weinstein (2)	\$126,333.33	\$78,833.33	\$47,500.00	\$0	\$0

⁽¹⁾ Each of the non-employee directors receives shares of ADESA's common stock equal in value to \$47,500 on the date of grant under the terms of ADESA's director compensation plan.

(2)
Ms. Weinstein is ADESA's lead independent director. Ms. Weinstein is not eligible to receive any committee chair or committee member fees.

Fiscal 2006 Director Compensation

Effective as of June 1, 2005, the following table provides information regarding the annual compensation ADESA provides to its non-employee directors:

	Cash Payment (1)(2)	Stock Payment (3)
Annual Director Retainer	\$30,000	\$47,500
Annual Lead Independent Director Retainer Annual Retainer for Committee Chairs (4)	\$83,000 \$4,500 - \$6,000	\$47,500 \$0
Annual Retainer for Committee Members (5)	\$10,000 - \$11,500	\$0

⁽¹⁾The directors may elect to (a) defer all or part of the cash portion of their retainer and fees pursuant to ADESA's director compensation deferral plan; and/or (b) receive stock in lieu of all or a part of the cash portion of his or her retainer and fees pursuant to ADESA's

director compensation plan.

(2)

ADESA also reimburses its directors, and in certain circumstances spouses who accompany directors, for travel, lodging and related expenses they incur in attending Board and committee meetings and the annual meeting of stockholders. In addition, ADESA reimburses its directors for attending director education seminars and industry-related events. The directors occasionally may utilize the Company's aircraft in order to attend Board and committee meetings, the annual meeting of stockholders, director education seminars or industry-related events.

- (3) Each of the non-employee directors receives shares of ADESA's common stock equal in value to \$47,500 on the date of grant under the terms of ADESA's director compensation plan.
- The compensation structure for the chairpersons of the executive compensation committee and the corporate governance and nominating committee of the Board is \$4,500 per annum in cash. The compensation structure for the chairperson of the audit committee is \$6,000 per annum in cash. Chairpersons also receive annual committee member fees for being a member of the applicable committee. However, the lead independent director is not eligible to receive any committee chair fees.
- (5)

 The compensation structure for the committee members of the executive compensation committee and the corporate governance and nominating committee of the Board is \$10,000 per annum in cash. The compensation structure for the committee members of the audit committee is \$11,500 per annum in cash. However, the lead independent director is not eligible to receive any committee member fees.

Stock Ownership Guidelines

The Board believes that the directors and executive officers of ADESA should be stockholders of ADESA and, based on recommendations of the corporate governance and nominating committee, the Board established the following guidelines for stock ownership by Board members and executive officers. Once achieved, ownership of the amount set forth in the applicable stock ownership guideline must be maintained for as long as the individual is subject to these stock ownership guidelines.

	Number of Shares of Common Stock of ADESA Recommended to Be Owned (1)	Time Period Given to Meet Stock Ownership Guidelines
Non-Employee Director	5,000 shares of common stock of ADESA	Three years from date initially elected or appointed director of ADESA
Chief Executive Officer	Shares of common stock of ADESA equal to five times base salary of chief executive officer	If the chief executive officer on January 1, 2005, by December 31, 2009 (five years)
		If appointed the chief executive officer after January 1, 2005, five years from the start date of that position
Executive Officer, Other Than Chief Executive Officer	Shares of common stock of ADESA equal to three times base salary of executive officer	If an executive officer on January 1, 2005, by December 31, 2009 (five years) If appointed an executive officer after January 1, 2005, five years from the start date of that position

(1)
Ownership includes restricted stock units. Unexercised options to acquire shares of common stock of ADESA are not taken into consideration in meeting the ownership guidelines.

PROPOSALS TO BE VOTED ON BY ADESA'S STOCKHOLDERS

PROPOSAL NO. 1 ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Structure of the Board

ADESA's Board is divided into three classes serving staggered three-year terms. Directors for each class are elected at the annual meeting of stockholders held in the year in which the term for their class expires.

The terms for three directors will expire at this 2006 annual meeting. The three nominees named below are the only individuals proposed for election to the Board at this 2006 annual meeting. Directors elected at the 2006 annual meeting will hold office for a three-year term expiring at the annual meeting in 2009 (and until his or her successor is elected and qualified, subject, however, to prior death, resignation, retirement, disqualification or removal).

Majority Vote Provision in our Corporate Governance Guidelines

Under our corporate governance guidelines, in an uncontested election, any nominee for director who receives a greater number of votes "withheld" from his or her election than votes "for" such election (a "Majority Withheld Vote") shall promptly tender his or her resignation following certification of the stockholder vote. The corporate governance and nominating committee shall consider the resignation offer and recommend to the Board whether to accept it. The Board will act on the corporate governance and nominating committee's recommendation within 90 days following certification of the stockholder vote. The Board will promptly disclose its decision whether to accept or reject the director's resignation offer (and the reasons for rejecting the resignation offer, if applicable) in a press release.

Any director who tenders his or her resignation pursuant to this provision shall not participate in the corporate governance and nominating committee recommendation or Board action regarding whether to accept the resignation offer.

If all members of the corporate governance and nominating committee receive a Majority Withheld Vote at the same election, then the independent Directors who did not receive a Majority Withheld Vote shall appoint a committee amongst themselves to consider the resignation offers and recommend to the Board whether to accept them; provided, however, if the only directors who did not receive a Majority Withheld Vote in the same election constitute two or fewer directors, all directors may participate in the action regarding whether to accept the resignation offers.

Information Regarding Nominees for Director, Directors and Executive Officers

All of the nominees are currently directors of ADESA. Information is provided below regarding the business experience of each of the nominees. In addition, information is provided below regarding the business experience of the directors whose terms are not expiring this year and our executive officers not serving on the Board. The directors whose terms are not expiring will continue to serve as directors for the remainder of their terms or earlier in accordance with ADESA's charter documents. All ages of the directors and executive officers listed below are as of the date of the May 17, 2006 annual meeting.

Nominees for Director to be Elected at the 2006 Annual Meeting for Terms Expiring in 2009

Dennis O. Green, 65, has been a member of ADESA's Board since May 2004 and serves as the chairperson of its audit committee and is a member of its corporate governance and nominating committee.

Mr. Green is the managing member and founder of Celadon, LLC, a real estate development firm. In addition, Mr. Green is the Vice Chairman of the Board and chairman of the audit committee of Coastal Banking Company, a bank holding company, and its banking subsidiary, Lowcountry National Bank. Mr. Green retired in July 1997 from his position as Chief Auditor of Citicorp and of its principal banking subsidiary, Citibank, NA, where he had been responsible for their worldwide audit functions since 1990. From 1984 to 1990, he was the General Auditor of Ford Motor Company.

Nick Smith, 69, has been a member of ADESA's Board since May 2004 and serves on its corporate governance and nominating committee and executive compensation committee.

Since November 1999, Mr. Smith has been of counsel for the law firm of Fryberger, Buchanan, Smith & Frederick. Mr. Smith also is a director of ALLETE, ADESA's former parent company, and currently serves as a member of its corporate governance and nominating committee and its executive compensation committee.

Deborah L. Weinstein, 46, has been ADESA's lead independent director since January 2004 and serves as the chairperson of the corporate governance and nominating committee and is a member of its executive compensation committee.

Ms. Weinstein has been a partner in LaBarge Weinstein, LLP, a business law firm, since 1997. Ms. Weinstein also is a director of Dynex Semiconductor, Inc., a semiconductor company based in Lincoln, England.

ADESA's Board recommends a vote "FOR" the election to the Board of each of the foregoing nominees.

Directors Whose Terms Will Expire in 2007

David G. Gartzke, 62, has been ADESA's Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer since January 2004.

From 2002 to 2004, Mr. Gartzke served as Chairman of the Board, President and Chief Executive Officer of ALLETE, Inc., an energy services company and ADESA's former parent company. From September 2000 until May 2004, Mr. Gartzke was Chairman, President and Chief Executive Officer of ALLETE Automotive Services, Inc. From 1994 to 2001, he was Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of ALLETE.

Angel Rodolfo Sales, 57, has been a member of ADESA's Board since May 2004 and serves on its audit committee and corporate governance and nominating committee.

Mr. Sales is the managing director of Odyssey Corporate Finance, LLC, a corporate finance and strategy consulting firm. From 2002 to 2003, he was the Chief Operating Officer of Best Access Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of access control systems and security hardware. He retired in November 2001 from his position as Senior Vice President at ArvinMeritor, Inc., a global parts supplier to the automotive industry, where he held senior operating and financial positions since 1990. From 1987 to 1990, he was Vice President and Treasurer of The Upjohn Company.

Directors Whose Terms Will Expire in 2008

Wynn V. Bussmann, 64, has been a member of ADESA's Board since May 2004 and serves on its audit committee and executive compensation committee.

Mr. Bussmann retired in March 2004 from his position of Senior Vice President Global Forecasting of J.D. Power and Associates, an international marketing information firm, which he held since 2001. From 1994 to 2001 he was the corporate economist for DaimlerChrysler Corporation, where he provided forecasts and analysis of vehicle sales and other trends in the vehicle industry for product strategy and planning.

Thomas L. Cunningham, 60, has been a member of ADESA's Board since May 2004 and serves on its audit committee and executive compensation committee.

Mr. Cunningham retired in 2002 from his position of Director, Remarketing Strategy for Ford Motor Company, where he was responsible for the total design and implementation of Ford's wholesale used vehicle sales strategy in the United States for all the Ford and Ford-affiliate brands. From 1989 to 2001 he was Manager, Vehicle Remarketing for Ford, where he developed industry-leading vehicle remarketing processes.

Donald C. Wegmiller, 67, has been a member of ADESA's Board since May 2004 and serves as the chairperson of its executive compensation committee.

Mr. Wegmiller is the Chairman of the Clark Consulting Healthcare Group, a unit of Clark Inc., a national executive and physician compensation and benefits consulting firm. Prior to joining Clark Consulting-Healthcare Group, Mr. Wegmiller served as Vice Chairman and President of HealthSpan Health Systems Corporation, a healthcare network company. Mr. Wegmiller also serves as a director of Possis Medical, Inc., a developer, manufacturer and marketer of medical devices, and Omnicell, Inc., a provider of patient safety and operational efficiency solutions for the healthcare industry.

Executive Officers Who Do Not Serve on the Board

Cameron C. Hitchcock, 44, has served as an executive vice president and the chief financial officer of ADESA since January 2004. During 2003, Mr. Hitchcock served as a consultant for private equity opportunities. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Hitchcock served as vice president and treasurer of Lear Corporation, an automotive interior systems supplier. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Hitchcock was the corporate treasurer of Dean Foods Company, a food company, and from 1993 to 1997, Mr. Hitchcock was vice president, corporate finance of Deutsche Morgan Grenfell, an investment banking firm.

George J. Lawrence, 47, has served as an executive vice president and the general counsel and corporate secretary of ADESA since September 2004. From January 2000 until September 2004, Mr. Lawrence served as vice president and general counsel-americas for Thomson, a leading consumer electronics company, where he was responsible for the legal affairs of Thomson for the Americas. From 1997 until 2000, Mr. Lawrence served as associate general counsel for Thomson. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Lawrence was vice president and general counsel of OHM Corporation, an environmental services company.

Brenda J. Flayton, 50, has served as an executive vice president and the chief administrative officer of ADESA since June 2004. From July 1998 until May 2004, Ms. Flayton served as the vice president of human resources for ALLETE, where she was responsible for compensation, benefits, recruiting, safety, employee development and training, executive and

director compensation, and employee relations. From August 1995 to July 1998, Ms. Flayton was a director of human resources for ALLETE.

Ron Beaver, 47, has served as an executive vice president and chief information officer since March 15, 2006. From 2001 to 2005, Mr. Beaver served as the vice president and chief information officer of Otis Elevator Co., a manufacturer of elevators, escalators and moving walkways. From 1999 to 2001, Mr. Beaver served as the vice president of e-business of Otis Elevator Co. and from 1990 to 1998, Mr. Beaver served as a director of sales for Otis Elevator Co.

Bradley A. Todd, 38, has served as an executive vice president of ADESA since May 2004, as the president of AFC, one of ADESA's wholly owned subsidiaries, since December 2001 and as the chief operating officer of ADESA Corporation, LLC's, one of ADESA's wholly owned subsidiaries, since April 2005. From October 1996 to December 2001, Mr. Todd served as the chief operations officer of AFC.

Cheryl A. Munce, 48, has served as an executive vice president of ADESA since May 2004. Ms. Munce has served as the president of Impact Auto Auctions Ltd., one of ADESA's wholly owned subsidiaries, since May 2000 and as the president of Automotive Recovery Services, Inc. (d/b/a ADESA Impact), also one of ADESA's wholly owned subsidiaries, since September 2003. From September 1996 to May 2000, Ms. Munce was director of business development for Impact Auto Auctions Ltd.

Brian J. Warner, 39, has served as a vice president of ADESA since March 2005. Mr. Warner has also served as the president of ADESA Canada Corporation, one of ADESA's wholly owned subsidiaries, since January 2003 and from October 1998 until December 2001. From December 2001 until January 2003, Mr. Warner served as the president of ADESA Corporation. From 1995 to September 1998, Mr. Warner held various positions at ADESA, including corporate controller, chief financial officer and general manager of ADESA's auction in Indianapolis.

Scott A. Anderson, 40, has served as the controller of ADESA since November 2001 and the assistant treasurer from November 2001 until January 2004. From 1997 until November 2001, Mr. Anderson served as senior manager of assurance and business advisory services at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, where he was responsible for performing and coordinating audit and business advisory services for privately and publicly held companies. From 1988 to 1997, Mr. Anderson held various positions at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as a certified public accountant.

Curt L. Phillips, 49, has served as the treasurer of ADESA since January 2004. From April 1998 until January 2004, Mr. Phillips served as the chief financial officer of AFC, one of ADESA's wholly owned subsidiaries, where he was responsible for overseeing accounting, cash management, and the credit and contract functions for AFC. From April 1997 to March 1998, Mr. Phillips was the vice president of finance for Chautauqua Airlines and from 1993 to March 1997, Mr. Phillips was the chief financial officer of Anthem Financial, Inc., a diversified financial services company focused primarily on equipment leasing.

Jonathan Peisner, 46, has served as the vice president of investor relations and planning since April 2005. From 2003 to 2005, Mr. Peisner founded and managed Axios Advisors, LLC, a financial advisory firm. From 1999 to 2002, Mr. Peisner was the senior vice president of communications and investor relations and then senior vice president and treasurer of Collins & Aikman, an automotive supplier. From 1997 to 1999, Mr. Peisner served as the director of investor relations and business planning of Lear Corporation, an automotive interior systems supplier. From 1995 to 1997, Mr. Peisner served as the director of investor relations of Lear Corporation.

PROPOSAL NO. 2 RATIFICATION OF INDEPENDENT AUDITORS

Proposal

The audit committee of the Board has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP (an independent registered public accounting firm) as ADESA's independent auditors to audit its consolidated financial statements for the 2006 fiscal year. During the 2005 fiscal year, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP served as ADESA's independent auditors and also provided certain tax and other non-audit services. Although ADESA is not required to seek stockholder approval of this appointment, the Board believes it to be sound corporate governance to do so. If the appointment is not ratified, the audit committee will investigate the reasons for stockholder rejection and will reconsider the appointment.

Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to attend the annual meeting where they will be available to respond to questions and, if they desire, to make a statement.

ADESA's Board recommends a vote "FOR" the ratification of the audit committee's appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as ADESA's independent auditors.

Fees Paid To PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP

The following table sets forth the aggregate fees charged to ADESA by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP for audit services rendered in connection with the audited consolidated financial statements and reports for the 2005 and 2004 fiscal years and for other services rendered during the 2005 and 2004 fiscal years to ADESA and its subsidiaries, as well as all out-of-pocket costs incurred in connection with these services:

Fee Category	2005		2004	
Audit Fees	\$ 1,987,304	\$	1,296,149	
Audit-Related Fees Tax Fees	210,681 455,695(1)		1,288,664(2)	
All Other Fees	1,500		401,500	
Total Fees	\$ 2,655,180	\$	2,986,313	

⁽¹⁾ In 2005, \$254,046 of the tax fees were for compliance and preparation and \$201,649 of the tax fees were for state, federal and international tax consulting, advice and services.

Audit Fees: Consists of fees for professional services rendered for the audit of ADESA's consolidated financial statements and review of the interim condensed consolidated financial statements included in quarterly reports and services that are normally provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements, and attest services, except those not required by statute or regulation. For 2005, audit fees include the independent auditor's report on management's report on internal controls for financial reporting.

⁽²⁾ In 2004, \$517,315 of the tax fees were for compliance and preparation and \$771,349 of the tax fees were for state, federal and international tax consulting, advice and services.

Audit-Related Fees: Consists principally of services with respect to due diligence services pertaining to potential business acquisition, accounting consultation for significant or unusual transaction not classified as "audit services", assistance with understanding and implementing new accounting and financial reporting guidance from rulemaking authorities and other attestation services.

Tax Fees: Consists of fees for professional services for tax compliance and reporting as well as tax planning and consulting.) In 2005, \$254,046 of the tax fees were for compliance and preparation and \$201,649 of the tax fees were for state, federal and international tax consulting, advice and services. In 2004, \$517,315 of the tax fees were for compliance and preparation and \$771,349 of the tax fees were for state, federal and international tax consulting, advice and services. The tax fees were higher in 2004 because of our initial public offering which occurred in June 2004 and our subsequent spin-off from our former parent company, ALLETE, which occurred in September 2004.

All Other Fees: Consists of fees for all other services other than those reported above. In 2005, these services consisted of a license fee for the use of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's accounting research software. In 2004, these services included services related to an inquiry by the SEC and a license fee for the use of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP's accounting research software.

In making its recommendation to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as ADESA's auditors for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2006, the audit committee has considered whether services other than audit and audit-related provided by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are compatible with maintaining the independence of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Policy on Audit Committee Pre-Approval of Audit and Permissible Non-Audit Services of Independent Auditors

ADESA's independent auditor fee pre-approval policy provides for an annual process through which the audit committee evaluates the nature and scope of the audit prior to the commencement of the audit. At the same time, the committee evaluates audit-related, tax and other services that are proposed, along with the anticipated cost of such services. The committee reviews schedules of specific services to be provided.

If other services are desired outside of this annual process, under the policy they may be pre-approved by the committee at a regularly scheduled meeting or by the chair, acting between meetings and reporting back to the committee at the next scheduled meeting.

>

Balance at September 30, 2007

\$74,285 \$26,908 \$369,954 (\$284) \$(143,000) \$327,863

Balance at January 1, 2008

\$74,285 \$27,502 \$375,654 \$2,900 \$(142,781) \$337,560

Net income for nine months ended September 30, 2008

\$44,403 44,403 44,403

Other comprehensive income, net of tax

Change in unrealized losses on securities of \$11,121 net of reclassification adjustment for losses included in net income of (\$1,559) and tax benefit of (\$3,347).

(6,215) (6,215) (6,215)

Change in pension obligation

10 10 10

Comprehensive Income

\$38,198

Cash dividends declared (\$0.93 per share)

(24,690) (24,690)

Treasury stock issued (285,674 shares)

(1,396) 7,899 6,503

Recognition of restricted stock compensation expense

286 286

Tax benefit from nonstatutory stock options exercised

804 804

Recognition of nonstatutory stock option compensation expense

341 341

Treasury stock issued in acquisition (2,751,749 shares)

15,602 76,113 91,715

Balance at September 30, 2008

\$74,285 \$43,139 \$395,367 \$(3,305) \$(58,769) \$450,717

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

Table of Contents

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (Unaudited)

(dollars in thousands)	Nine Mon Septem 2008	
Operating Activities	2000	2007
Net Income	\$ 44,403	\$ 42,833
Adjustments to reconcile net income to net cash provided by operating activities:	Ψ 11,103	Ψ 12,033
Provision for loan losses	7,317	4,625
Depreciation and amortization	3,549	2,788
Net amortization of investment security premiums	697	640
Recognition of stock-based compensation expense	1,148	553
Security losses (gains), net	1,559	(3,265)
Deferred income taxes	(5,858)	67
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation	(776)	(204)
Mortgage loans originated for sale	(13,769)	(13,173)
Proceeds from the sale of loans	14,394	12,964
Gain on the sale of loans, net	(178)	(300)
Increase in interest receivable	(6,122)	(659)
Increase in interest payable	80	1,157
Increase (decrease) in other assets	7,084	(4,286)
(Increase) decrease in other liabilities	(2,794)	4,244
(morease) sections in outer machines	(=,,,,,)	.,
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities	50,734	47,984
Investing Activities		-1,5
Net increase of interest-earning deposits with banks	(12)	
Proceeds from maturities of securities available for sale	50,247	67,562
Proceeds from sales of securities available for sale	146,279	5,441
Purchases of securities available for sale	(73,331)	(8,489)
Net increase in loans	(294,662)	(88,465)
Purchases of premises and equipment	(4,047)	(4,118)
Payment for purchase of IBT, net of cash acquired	(68,304)	(1,223)
	, , ,	
Net Cash Used in Investing Activities	(243,830)	(28,069)
Financing Activities	· · ·	
Net (decrease) increase in core deposits	(114,764)	42,540
Net increase in time deposits	51,221	12,329
Net increase (decrease) in short-term borrowings	297,850	(25,000)
Net decrease in securities sold under repurchase agreements and federal funds purchased	(28,940)	(37,212)
Proceeds from long-term borrowings	20,000	50,000
Repayments of long-term borrowings	(87,698)	(10,686)
Proceeds from junior subordinated debt securities	64,888	
Acquisition of treasury stock		(31,802)
Treasury stock issued for stock option exercises	6,503	3,352
Cash dividends paid to shareholders	(23,747)	(22,428)
Tax benefits from stock-based compensation	776	204
Not Cook Provided (Head) by Financing Activities	186 000	(19.702)
Net Cash Provided (Used) by Financing Activities (Decrease) increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	186,089	(18,703)
(Decrease) increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents	(7,007)	1,212
Cash and Cash Equivalents at Beginning of Period	74,879	59,980
Cash and Cash Equivalents at End of Period	\$ 67,872	\$ 61,192

Non Cash Activities

Transfers to other real estate owned \$ 623 \$ 346

Net assets acquired in acquisition, excluding cash and cash equivalents 160,019

See Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

6

Table of Contents

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NOTE A BASIS OF PRESENTATION

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements of S&T Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries (S&T) have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles (GAAP) in the United States for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Article 10 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by GAAP for complete annual financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments consisting of normal recurring accruals considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ending December 31, 2008. S&T operates within one business segment, community banking, providing a full range of services to individual and corporate customers. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2007 has been extracted from the audited financial statements included in S&T s 2007 Annual Report to Shareholders. For further information, refer to the consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC) on February 29, 2008.

The financial statements of S&T have been prepared in accordance with GAAP. In preparing the financial statements, management is required to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities as of the dates of the balance sheets and revenues and expenses for the periods. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The consolidated financial statements include the accounts of S&T and its wholly owned subsidiaries. All significant intercompany transactions have been eliminated in consolidation. Investments of 20 percent 50 percent of the outstanding common stock of investees are accounted for using the equity method of accounting.

For the nine month periods ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, interest paid was \$44,636,000 and \$78,148,000, respectively. Income taxes paid during the first nine months of 2008 were \$17,928,000 compared to \$15,058,000 for the same period of 2007.

Certain amounts in prior years financial statements have been reclassified to conform to the current year s presentation. The reclassifications had no effect on S&T s financial condition or results of operations.

NOTE B NET INCOME PER SHARE

S&T s basic net income per share is calculated as net income divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding. For diluted net income per share, net income is divided by the weighted average number of shares outstanding plus the incremental number of shares added as a result of converting common stock equivalents, calculated using the treasury stock method. S&T s common stock equivalents consist of outstanding stock options and restricted stock. Excluded from the calculation were anti-dilutive stock options for 551,000 and 564,000 shares for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

A reconciliation of the weighted average shares outstanding used to calculate basic net income per share and diluted net income per share follows:

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2008	2007	2007 2008 20	
Weighted average shares outstanding (basic)	27,416,807	24,529,448	25,764,275	24,798,043
Impact of common stock equivalents	185,409	161,287	170,370	161,521
Weighted average shares outstanding (diluted)	27,602,216	24,690,735	25,934,645	24,959,564

NOTE C RECENTLY ISSUED ACCOUNTING PRONOUNCEMENTS

In September 2006, the Financial Account Standards Board (FASB) issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 157 also responds to investors requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value

7

Table of Contents

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 157 does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances. S&T adopted SFAS No. 157 as of January 1, 2008. The adoption of SFAS No. 157 did not have a significant impact on S&T s financial position or results of operations.

In February 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 159, The Fair Value Option for Financial Assets and Financial Liabilities, which is effective as of the beginning of the entity s first fiscal year that begins after November 15, 2007. SFAS No. 159 enables entities to reduce the volatility in reported earnings caused by measuring related assets and liabilities differently. SFAS No. 159 is expected to expand the use of fair-value measurements and achieve a long-term objective of reporting all financial instruments at fair value. S&T elected to not expand the use of fair value under SFAS No. 159.

In December 2007, FASB issued SFAS No. 141R, Business Combinations, and SFAS No. 160, Noncontrolling Interest in Consolidated Financial Statements. SFAS Nos. 141R and 160 require most identifiable assets, liabilities, noncontrolling interest, and goodwill acquired in a business combination to be recorded at full fair value and require noncontrolling interests (previously referred to as minority interests) to be reported as a component of equity, which changes the accounting for transactions with noncontrolling interest holders. SFAS Nos. 141R and 160 are effective for periods beginning on or after December 15, 2008, and earlier adoption is prohibited. SFAS No. 141R will be applied to all business entities and SFAS No. 160 will be applied prospectively to all noncontrolling interests, including any that arose before the December 15, 2008 effective date. S&T is in the process of determining the impact of applying SFAS Nos. 141R and 160 on S&T s financial position and results of operations.

NOTE D ACQUISITION

On June 6, 2008, S&T completed the acquisition of 100 percent of the voting shares of IBT Bancorp, Inc. located in Irwin, Pennsylvania, (IBT) which was the sole shareholder of Irwin Bank, in a stock and cash transaction valued at approximately \$177.1 million. Pursuant to the terms of the merger agreement, shareholders of IBT were entitled to elect to receive for each share of IBT common stock that they owned, either \$31.00 in cash or 0.93 of a share of S&T common stock. S&T issued 2,751,749 shares of common stock out of its treasury shares at a recorded fair value of \$91.7 million based on \$33.33 per share which was the closing price on May 12, 2008, the day before the IBT shareholders approved the merger and paid a total of \$75.1 million in cash to the former IBT shareholders. The acquisition significantly expands S&T s market share in the growing Allegheny and Westmoreland County markets in Western Pennsylvania. The acquisition was accounted for under the purchase method, and all transactions of IBT since the acquisition date are included in S&T s consolidated financial statements.

The following table summarizes the estimated fair values of the assets acquired and liabilities assumed at the date of acquisition of IBT. However, there may be additional adjustments through June 2009 as additional information becomes available.

(dollars in thousands)	me 7, 2008 Inaudited
Assets	
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 17,066
Securities	253,347
Other investments	5,856
Loans, net of allowance of \$5,420	485,860
Premises and other equipment	7,451
Goodwill and other intangibles	123,246
Other assets	23,962
Total assets acquired	\$ 916,788
Liabilities	
Deposits	\$ 573,601

Borrowings	160,316
Other liabilities	5,786
Total liabilities assumed	739,703
Net assets acquired	\$ 177,085

Table of Contents

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

Presented below is certain unaudited pro forma information for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, as if IBT had been acquired on January 1, 2008 and 2007, respectively. These results combine the historical results of IBT for the period from January 1, 2008 to June 6, 2008 and for the nine months ended September 30, 2007 with the results of S&T. The results of IBT exclude certain non-recurring merger related expenses (including contract buy-outs, \$5,183; change-in-control payments, \$1,014; and legal and consulting expense, \$2,244). These pro forma results which include certain adjustments for the estimated impact of purchase accounting adjustments, are not necessarily indicative of what results would have been had the acquisition taken place on the indicated dates.

(dollars in thousands)	Sept	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008		Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007	
Revenue	\$	144,001	\$	132,080	
Net income		49,649		45,150	
Basic EPS	\$	1.82	\$	1.64	
Diluted EPS	\$	1.80	\$	1.63	

NOTE E FAIR VALUE

Effective January 1, 2008, S&T adopted SFAS No. 157, Fair Value Measurements. SFAS No. 157 provides enhanced guidance for using fair value to measure assets and liabilities. SFAS No. 157 also responds to investors requests for expanded information about the extent to which companies measure assets and liabilities at fair value, the information used to measure fair value, and the effect of fair value measurements on earnings. SFAS No. 157 applies whenever other standards require (or permit) assets or liabilities to be measured at fair value. SFAS No. 157 does not expand the use of fair value in any new circumstances.

Fair-Value Hierarchy

SFAS No. 157 specifies a hierarchy of valuation techniques based on whether the inputs to those valuation techniques are observable or unobservable.

Observable inputs reflect market data obtained from independent sources, while unobservable inputs reflect S&T s market assumptions. These two types of inputs have created the following fair-value hierarchy:

- Level 1 Quoted prices for *identical* instruments in active markets.
- Level 2 Quoted prices for *similar* instruments in active markets; quoted prices for identical or similar instruments in markets that are not active; and model-derived valuations in which all significant inputs and significant value drivers are observable in active markets.
- Level 3 Valuations derived from valuation techniques in which one or more significant inputs or significant value drivers are *unobservable*.
- SFAS No. 157 requires the use of observable market data when available.

Determination of Fair Value

S&T measures fair value using the procedures set out below for all assets and liabilities measured at fair value.

When available, S&T generally uses quoted market prices to determine fair value, and classifies such items in Level 1. In some cases where a market price is available, S&T will make use of acceptable practical expedients (such as matrix pricing) to calculate fair value, in which case the items are classified in Level 2.

If quoted market prices are not available, fair value is based upon internal valuation techniques that use, where possible, current market-based or independently sourced market parameters, such as interest rates. Items valued using such valuation techniques are classified according to the lowest level input or value driver that is significant to the valuation. Thus, an item may be classified in Level 3 even though there may be some significant inputs that are readily observable.

9

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

The following section describes the valuation methodologies used by S&T to measure different financial instruments at fair value, including an indication of the level in the fair value hierarchy in which each instrument is generally classified. Where appropriate, the description includes details of the valuation models, the key inputs to those models, as well as any significant assumptions.

Investments

The investments category includes available for sale debt and equity securities, whose fair value is determined using the following methodology:

Debt Securities S&T obtains market values for debt securities from a third-party pricing service which utilizes several sources for valuing fixed-income securities. The majority of the market evaluation sources includes observable inputs rather than significant unobservable inputs and therefore falls into the Level 2 category.

S&T s U.S. government agencies and mortgage backed securities portfolio are valued based on market data. The service provider utilizes evaluated pricing models that vary based by asset class and include available trade, bid, and other market information. Generally, the methodologies include broker quotes, proprietary models, vast descriptive terms and conditions databases, as well as extensive quality control programs and are classified as Level 2.

S&T s obligations of state and political subdivisions portfolio is valued using proprietary valuation matrices from the service provider, which incorporates the recent unprecedented changes in the municipal market. The market evaluation model includes a separate curve structure for the bank-qualified versus general market municipals. For the bank-qualified municipals, the source is the service provider s own trading desk. Securities are further broken down according to insurer, credit support, state of issuance, and rating to incorporate additional spreads and municipal curves and are classified as Level 2.

Equity Securities Equity securities that have an active, quotable market are classified in Level 1. Equity securities that are quotable, but are thinly traded, are classified in Level 2, and securities that are not readily traded and do not have a quotable market are classified as Level 3.

Trading Assets

When available, S&T uses quoted market prices to determine the fair value of trading assets; such items are classified in Level 1 of the fair-value hierarchy. Since S&T s only trading account asset is a Rabbi Trust for deferred compensation plans, which is invested in two readily quoted mutual funds, the Rabbi Trust asset is classified as Level 1 and is recorded in other assets in S&T s Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Impaired Loans

A loan is considered to be impaired when it is probable that all of the principal and interest due under the original terms of the loan may not be collected. S&T s policy for impairment includes commercial and commercial real estate loans greater than \$500,000 for which a specific reserve has been established as a component of the allowance for loan losses. Impairment is measured based on the fair value of the underlying collateral. When the fair value is based on an observable market price or a current appraisal, impaired loans are classified as Level 2. When a current appraisal is not available, or if management determines the fair value of the collateral is further impaired below the appraised value and there is no observable market price, the impaired loans are classified as Level 3. Impaired loans are recorded in portfolio loans in S&T s Consolidated Balance Sheet.

Mortgage Servicing Rights

On January 1, 2007, S&T adopted fair-value accounting under SFAS No. 156 Accounting for Servicing of Financial Assets, for mortgage servicing rights (MSRs). The market value of the MSRs are estimated by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. The expected and actual rates of mortgage loan prepayments are the most significant factors driving the value of MSRs.

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

These MSRs, which totaled \$1,867,000 as of September 30, 2008, are classified as part of other assets on S&T s Consolidated Balance Sheet. Changes in fair value for MSRs are recorded in mortgage banking in S&T s Consolidated Statements of Income. MSRs are classified as Level 3 because the valuation model includes significant unobservable inputs.

Interest Rate Swaps

S&T has certain interest rate derivative positions that are not designated as hedging instruments. These derivative positions relate to transactions in which S&T enters into an interest-rate swap with a customer while at the same time entering into an offsetting interest-rate swap with another financial institution. In connection with each transaction, S&T agrees to pay interest to the customer on a notional amount at a variable interest rate and receive interest from the customer on the same notional amount at a fixed rate. At the same time, S&T agrees to pay another financial institution the same fixed interest rate on the same notional amount and receive the same variable interest rate on the same notional amount. The transaction allows S&T to provide long-term fixed rate financing to the customer while retaining a variable rate asset on the balance sheet. Because S&T has two offsetting swaps, changes in the market value of the underlying derivative contracts largely offset each other and do not materially impact S&T s results of operations.

These interest rate swaps are marked to market on a quarterly basis after considerations of counterparty and S&T credit risk and collateral. Because the estimated fair market value includes certain observable inputs, it is considered a Level 2 fair value.

Items Measured at Fair Value on a Recurring Basis

The following table presents S&T s assets and liabilities that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis by fair value hierarchy level at September 30, 2008.

(dollars in thousands)	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3	Total
Assets				
Securities available for sale	\$ 8,473	\$ 458,056	\$ 1,050	\$ 467,579
Impaired Loans		31,912	13,959	45,871
Trading account assets	2,922			2,922
Mortgage servicing rights			1,867	1,867
Interest rate swaps		5,742		5,742
Total Assets	\$ 11,395	\$ 495,710	\$ 16,876	\$ 523,981
Liabilities				
Interest rate swaps		\$ 5,995		\$ 5,995
Total Liabilities		\$ 5,995		\$ 5,995

Loans Originated for Sale and Held For Sale

Loans held for sale consist of 1-4 family residential loans originated for sale in the secondary market and carried at the lower of cost or fair value. As a result, the carrying amount of loans held for sale has not been included in the disclosure of fair value hierarchy in the table above. S&T determines fair value based on reference to quoted market prices for similar assets and liabilities. As a result, such estimates of fair value would be considered a Level 2 disclosure.

11

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

The following table presents the changes in the Level 3 fair-value category for the nine-month period ended September 30, 2008. S&T classifies financial instruments in Level 3 of the fair-value hierarchy when there is reliance on at least one significant unobservable input to the valuation model. In addition to these unobservable inputs, the valuation models for Level 3 financial instruments typically also rely on a number of inputs that are readily observable either directly or indirectly. Thus, the gains and losses presented below include changes in the fair value related to both observable and unobservable inputs.

(dollars in thousands)	Ba Jan	ginning alance at uary 1, 2008	incipal sactions	Gains/(Losses) Realized and Unrealized	Transfers in and or out of Level 3	Ba	Ending alance at tember 30, 2008
Assets							
Securities available for sale (1)	\$	750	\$ 300	\$	\$	\$	1,050
Impaired Loans (2)		9,498	4,471				13,959
Mortgage servicing rights (3)		1,861	(75)	81			1,867

⁽¹⁾ Changes in fair value for available for sale investments are recorded in accumulated other comprehensive income, while gains and losses from sales are recorded in net security gains(losses) on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

NOTE F MORTGAGE LOAN SERVICING

Mortgage servicing assets are recognized as separate assets when servicing rights are acquired through loan originations and the underlying loan is sold. Upon sale, the mortgage servicing right is established, which represents the then fair value of future net cash flows expected to be realized for performing the servicing activities. The fair value of the MSRs are estimated by calculating the present value of estimated future net servicing cash flows, taking into consideration actual and expected mortgage loan prepayment rates, discount rates, servicing costs and other economic factors, which are determined based on current market conditions. The expected and actual rates of mortgage loan prepayments are the most significant factors driving the value of MSRs. Increases in mortgage loan prepayments reduce estimated future net servicing cash flows because the life of the underlying loan is reduced. In determining the fair value of the MSRs, mortgage interest rates, which are used to determine prepayment rates and discount rates, are held constant over the estimated life of the portfolio. Capitalized MSRs are reported in other assets and are amortized into noninterest income in proportion to, and over the period of, the estimated future net servicing income of the underlying mortgage loans.

Capitalized MSRs are regularly evaluated for impairment based on the estimated fair value of those rights. The MSRs are stratified by certain risk characteristics, primarily loan term and note rate. If temporary impairment exists within a risk stratification tranche, a valuation allowance is established through a charge to income equal to the amount by which the carrying value exceeds the market value. If it is later determined all or a portion of the temporary impairment no longer exists for a particular tranche, the valuation allowance is reduced.

MSRs are also reviewed for other-than-temporary impairment. Other-than-temporary impairment exists when the recoverability of a recorded valuation allowance is determined to be remote, taking into consideration historical and projected interest rates and loan pay-off activity. When this situation occurs, the unrecoverable portion of the valuation allowance is applied as a direct write-down to the carrying value of the MSRs. Unlike a valuation allowance, a direct write-down permanently reduces the carrying value of the MSRs and the valuation allowance, precluding subsequent recoveries.

⁽²⁾ Changes in fair value of the underlying collateral on impaired loans are recorded in provision for loans losses on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

⁽³⁾ Unrealized gains (losses) on MSRs are recorded in mortgage banking noninterest income on the Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income.

For the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, the 1-4 family mortgage loans that were sold to Fannie Mae amounted to \$14.4 million and \$13.0 million, respectively. At September 30, 2008 and 2007, S&T s servicing portfolio totaled \$174.5 million and \$178.3 million, respectively.

12

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

The following table presents changes in MSRs as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007:

(dollars in thousands)	Servicing Rights					uation owance		rrying Value Fair Value
Balance at January 1, 2008	\$	2,017	\$	156	\$	1,861		
Additions/(reductions)	Ψ	179	Ψ	(85)	Ψ	264		
Amortization		(258)		(63)		(258)		
Balance at September 30, 2008	Se	1,938 ervicing Rights		71 Juation owance		1,867 rrying Value Fair Value		
(dollars in thousands) Balance at January 1, 2007	\$	2,124	\$	56	\$	2,068		
Additions/(reductions)	Ψ	170	Ψ	(35)	Ψ	205		
Amortization		(244)		(55)		(244)		
		(= 1 1)				(= 1.1)		

NOTE G EMPLOYEE BENEFITS

The following table summarizes the components of net periodic pension expense for S&T s defined benefit plan:

	Three Months Ended September 30,				ths En			
(dollars in thousands)	20	008	2	007	20	800	20	007
Service cost benefits earned during the period	\$	531	\$	489	\$ 1	,496	\$ 1	1,491
Interest cost on projected benefit obligation		884		756	2	,533	2	2,236
Expected return on plan assets	(1	,387)	(1,231)	(4	,010)	(3	3,698)
Net amortization and deferral		(1)		4		7		12
Net Periodic Pension Expense	\$	27	\$	18	\$	26	\$	41

As previously disclosed, S&T made no contributions to its pension plan in 2008. No contributions are expected to be made for 2008.

Table of Contents 43

13

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

NOTE H SECURITIES

The amortized cost and market value of securities are as follows:

September 30, 2008

	Available for Sale					
		Gross	Gross			
	Amortized	Unrealized	Unrealized	Market		
(dollars in thousands)	Cost	Gains	Losses	Value		
Obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 190,700	\$ 1,357	\$ (58)	\$ 191,999		
Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	53,801	350	(50)	54,101		
Mortgage-backed securities	79,027	278	(352)	78,953		
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	125,477	193	(2,566)	123,104		
Other securities	25			25		
Debt securities available for sale	449,030	2,178	(3,026)	448,182		
Marketable equity securities	19,518	1,427	(1,726)	19,219		
Other securities	178			178		
Total	\$ 468,726	\$ 3,605	\$ (4,752)	\$ 467,579		

December 31, 2007

	Available for Sale					
		(Fross	(Gross	
	Amortized	Unr	realized	Uni	realized	Market
(dollars in thousands)	Cost	C	Gains	L	osses	Value
Obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 144,230	\$	1,220	\$	(128)	\$ 145,322
Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	58,780		377		(161)	58,996
Mortgage-backed securities	28,053		21		(502)	27,572
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	81,045		253		(209)	81,089
Debt securities available for sale	312,108		1,871		(1,000)	312,979
Marketable equity securities	33,767		10,134		(2,590)	41,311
Other securities	4,532					4,532
Total	\$ 350,407	\$	12,005	\$	(3,590)	\$ 358,822

For securities classified as available for sale, S&T does not believe any individual unrealized loss as of September 30, 2008 represents an other-than-temporary impairment. S&T performs a review of the entire securities portfolio on a quarterly basis to identify securities that may indicate an other-than-temporary impairment. S&T management considers the length of time and the extent to which the market value has been less than cost and the financial condition of the issuer. The unrealized losses on 202 debt securities at September 30, 2008 are attributable to changes in interest rates. The unrealized losses on 11 marketable equity securities at September 30, 2008 are attributable to temporary declines in market value. S&T has both the intent and the ability to hold the securities referenced in the table above for a time necessary to recover the amortized cost or, in the case of the debt securities, until maturity.

14

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

There were \$3.1 million and \$3.4 million in gross realized gains and \$4.6 million and \$0.1 million in gross realized losses for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively, relative to securities available for sale. S&T recognized other-than-temporary impairments totaling \$3.9 million on five equity securities and \$0.7 million of realized losses from restructuring the IBT debt securities during the first nine months of 2008 and a \$0.1 million of other-than temporary impairment on one equity security during the nine months ended September 30, 2007, which are included in the gross unrealized losses. For the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, there were \$2.2 million and \$1.1 million in gross realized gains and \$2.6 million and zero gross realized losses, respectively, relative to securities available for sale. For the three months ended September 30, 2008, S&T recognized other-than-temporary impairments of \$2.6 million on two equity securities and had no other-than-temporary impairment for the three months ended September 30, 2007.

The following tables present the age of gross unrealized losses and market value by investment category:

September 30, 2008

	Less Than	12 Months	12 Mont	hs or More	Total			
	Market	Unrealized	Market	Unrealized	Market	Unrealized		
(dollars in thousands)	Value	Losses	Value	Losses	Value	Losses		
Obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$ 25,033	\$ (58)	\$	\$	\$ 25,033	\$ (58)		
Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. government								
corporations and agencies	12,301	(50))		12,301	(50)		
Mortgage-backed securities	16,315	(83)	9,791	(269)	26,106	(352)		
Obligations of state and political subdivisions	79,441	(2,564)	364	(2)	79,805	(2,566)		
	400.000	/a ===	404==	(4-4)	440.045	(2.02.6)		
Debt securities available for sale	133,090	(2,755)	10,155	(271)	143,245	(3,026)		
Marketable equity securities	5,597	(1,726)	1		5,597	(1,726)		
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 138,687	\$ (4,481)	\$ 10,155	\$ (271)	\$ 148,842	\$ (4,752)		

December 31, 2007

	Less Than 12 Months			12 Month	Iore	Total							
	Market	Unrealized		Unrealized		Unrealized		Market	Unr	ealized	Market	Un	realized
(dollars in thousands)	Value	Loss	es	Value	Lo	osses	Value]	Losses				
Obligations of U.S. government corporations and agencies	\$	\$		\$ 43,378	\$	(128)	\$ 43,378	\$	(128)				
Collateralized mortgage obligations of U.S. government													
corporations and agencies	12,513		(37)	15,076		(124)	27,589		(161)				
Mortgage-backed securities				21,420		(502)	21,420		(502)				
Obligations of state and political subdivisions				43,087		(209)	43,087		(209)				
Debt securities available for sale	12,513		(37)	122,961		(963)	135,474		(1,000)				
Marketable equity securities	15,545	(2,	590)				15,545		(2,590)				
• •													
Total temporarily impaired securities	\$ 28,058	\$ (2,	627)	\$ 122,961	\$	(963)	\$ 151,019	\$	(3,590)				

The amortized cost and estimated market value of debt securities at September 30, 2008, by expected maturity, are as set forth in the following table. Expected maturities will differ from contractual maturities because borrowers may have the right to call or prepay obligations with or

without call or prepayment penalties.

15

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

For purposes of the maturity table, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, which are not due at a single maturity date, have been allocated over maturity groupings based upon the current estimated prepayment rates. The mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations may mature earlier or later than their estimated maturities because of principal repayment optionality.

Available for Sale (dollars in thousands)	Amortized Cost	Estimated Market Value
Due in one year or less	\$ 75,941	\$ 76,201
Due after one year through five years	155,974	156,932
Due after five years through ten years	111,485	111,193
Due after ten years	105,630	103,856
Total Debt Securities Available for Sale	\$ 449,030	\$ 448,182

At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, investment securities with a principal amount of \$434,773,000 and \$279,835,000, respectively, were pledged to secure repurchase agreements, public funds and trust fund deposits.

NOTE I LOANS AND ALLOWANCE FOR LOAN LOSSES

The composition of the loan portfolio was as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	Se	ptember 30, 2008	December 3 2007	31,
Real estate construction	\$	407,834	\$ 329,8	75
Real estate mortgages:				
Residential		844,378	610,25	50
Commercial		1,360,054	965,7	70
Commercial and industrial		879,664	815,30	06
Consumer		86,205	74,83	39
Gross Portfolio Loans		3,578,135	2,796,04	40
Allowance for loan losses		(43,235)	(34,34	45)
Total Portfolio Loans		3,534,900	2,761,69	95
Loans held for sale		452	89	99
Total Loans	\$	3,535,352	\$ 2,762,59	94

Changes in the allowance for loan losses for the nine months ended September 30 were as follows:

(dollars in thousands)	2008	2007
Balance at beginning of year	\$ 34,345	\$ 33,220

Charge-offs	(5,758)	(5,747)
Recoveries	1,911	2,046
Net charge-offs	(3,847)	(3,701)
Provision for loan losses	7,317	4,625
Acquired loan loss reserve	5,420	
Balance at end of period	\$ 43,235	\$ 34,144

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

The principal balances of loans on nonaccrual status were \$32,793,000 and \$16,798,000 at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. Other real estate owned, which is included in other assets, was \$1,111,000 at September 30, 2008 and \$488,000 at December 31, 2007.

The following table represents S&T s investment in loans considered to be impaired and related information on those impaired loans as of September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007:

(dollars in thousands)	Sept	September 30, 2008		ember 31, 2007
Recorded investment in loans considered to be impaired	\$	45,871	\$	14,965
Recorded investment in impaired loans with no related allowance for loan losses		18,594		10,332
Loans considered to be impaired that were on a nonaccrual basis		16,006		6,356
Allowance for loan losses related to loans considered to be impaired		6,713		2,919
Average recorded investment in impaired loans		26,727		21,110
Total interest income per contractual terms on impaired loans		1,823		1,710
Interest income on impaired loans recognized on a cash basis		800		461
NOTE I DODDOWINGS				

NOTE J BORROWINGS

Following is a summary of short-term borrowings at:

(dollars in thousands)	Sep	September 30, 2008		December 31, 2007	
Securities sold under repurchase agreements	\$	174,656	\$	84,458	
Federal funds purchased				15,800	
Federal Home Loan Bank Advances		377,850		80,000	
Total	\$	552,506	\$	180,258	

Short-term borrowings are for terms under one year and were comprised of retail repurchase agreements (REPOs), wholesale REPOs, federal funds purchased and Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) advances. S&T defines repurchase agreements with its local retail customers as retail REPOs; short-term wholesale REPOs are those transacted with other banks and brokerage firms. Securities pledged as collateral under these REPOs financing arrangements cannot be sold or repledged by the secured party. The fair value of collateral provided to a third party is continually monitored, and additional collateral is obtained or requested to be returned as appropriate. Federal funds purchased are unsecured overnight borrowings with other financial institutions; overnight and FHLB advances are for various terms secured by a blanket lien on securities, residential mortgages and other loans with the FHLB of Pittsburgh.

Following is a summary of long-term debt at:

(dollars in thousands)	Sep	September 30, 2008		December 31, 2007	
Long-term borrowings	\$	190,302	\$	201,021	
Junior subordinated debt securities		90,619		25,000	

Total \$ 280,921 \$ 226,021

The purpose of these long-term borrowings is to match-fund selected new loan originations, to mitigate interest-rate sensitivity risk and to take advantage of discounted borrowing rates through the FHLB for community investment projects. S&T had long-term debt outstanding of \$187.2 million at September 30, 2008 at a fixed rate and \$93.7 million at a variable rate. Long-term borrowings included \$50.0 million of repurchase agreement borrowings with embedded floors. The weighted average rates were 4.23 percent and 5.52 percent at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively.

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS continued

During the first quarter of 2008, S&T completed a private placement to a financial institution of \$20.0 million of floating rate trust preferred securities. The trust preferred securities mature in March 2038, and are callable after five years, and bear interest initially at a rate of 6.44 percent per annum and adjusts quarterly with the three-month LIBOR plus 350 basis points.

During the second quarter of 2008, S&T Bank issued \$20.0 million of junior subordinated debt through a private placement with three financial institutions at an initial rate of 6.40 percent and floats quarterly with 3-month LIBOR plus 350 basis points. If all or any portion of the subordinated debt ceases to be deemed to be Tier 2 Capital due to a change in applicable capital regulations, S&T will have the right to redeem, on any interest payment date, subject to a 30 day written notice and prior approval by the FDIC, the subordinated debt at the applicable redemption rate which starts at a high of 102.82 percent at June 15, 2009 and decreases yearly to 100 percent on June 15, 2013 and thereafter and can be called after five years. The subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital under regulatory guidelines and will mature on June 15, 2018.

Also during the second quarter of 2008, S&T Bank issued \$25.0 million of junior subordinated debt through a private placement with a financial institution at an initial rate of 5.15 percent and floats quarterly with 3-month LIBOR plus 250 basis points. At any time after May 30, 2013, S&T will have the right to redeem all or a portion of the subordinated debt, subject to a 30 day written notice and prior approval by the FDIC. The subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital under regulatory guidelines and will mature on May 30, 2018. The subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital under regulatory guidelines and will mature on June 15, 2018.

NOTE K GUARANTEES

S&T, in the normal course of business, commits to extend credit and issue standby letters of credit. The obligations are not recorded in S&T s financial statements. Loan commitments and standby letters of credit are subject to S&T s normal credit underwriting policies and procedures and generally require collateral based upon management s evaluation of each customer s financial condition and ability to satisfy completely the terms of the agreement. S&T s exposure to credit loss in the event the customer does not satisfy the terms of the agreement equals the notional amount of the obligation less the value of any collateral. Unfunded commercial loan commitments totaled \$783,887,000, unfunded other loan commitments, comprised of credit card lines and home equity lines, totaled \$176,307,000 and obligations under standby letters of credit totaled \$171,248,000 at September 30, 2008.

NOTE L LITIGATION

S&T, in the normal course of business, is subject to various legal proceedings in which claims for monetary damages are asserted. Management does not believe that the outcome of any current proceedings will have a material adverse effect on the consolidated financial position of S&T.

Item 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS The following discussion and analysis is presented so that shareholders may review in further detail the financial condition and results of operations of S&T Bancorp, Inc. and subsidiaries (S&T). This discussion and analysis should be read in conjunction with the condensed consolidated financial statements and the other financial data presented elsewhere in this report.

Business Summary

S&T is a financial holding company with its headquarters located in Indiana, Pennsylvania and with assets of approximately \$4.5 billion at September 30, 2008. S&T provides a full range of financial services through a branch network of 55 offices located in Allegheny, Armstrong, Blair, Butler, Cambria, Clarion, Clearfield, Indiana, Jefferson and Westmoreland counties of Pennsylvania. S&T provides full service retail and commercial banking products as well as cash management services; insurance; financial and estate planning; estate and trust administration; investment management; employee benefit services and administration; corporate services and other fiduciary services. S&T s common stock trades on the Nasdaq Global Select Market under the symbol STBA.

On June 6, 2008, S&T completed its acquisition of IBT, pursuant to an Agreement and Plan of Merger, by and between S&T and IBT, dated December 16, 2007 (the Merger Agreement). Pursuant to the terms of the Merger Agreement, which was approved by the shareholders of IBT on May 13, 2008, IBT was merged with and into S&T, with the S&T being the

18

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

surviving corporation (the Merger). In connection with the Merger, IBT shareholders received for each share of IBT common stock they hold, at their election, either \$31.00 in cash or 0.93 of a share of S&T common stock. IBT shareholders could elect to receive all cash, all S&T common stock, or a combination of cash and S&T common stock for their shares of IBT common stock, subject to the allocation procedures described in the Merger Agreement. Holders of options to purchase IBT common stock had their stock options cancelled for a cash payment equal to the difference between \$31.00 and the exercise price per share for each such stock option, which IBT paid immediately prior to the merger.

S&T issued a total of 2,751,749 shares of S&T common stock at a recorded fair value of \$91.7 million and paid a total of \$75.1 million in cash to the former IBT shareholders.

Recent Government Regulation

On October 3, 2008, the Emergency Economic Stabilization Act of 2008 (the Act) was signed into law. The Act allocates up to \$700 billion towards purchasing and insuring troubled assets held by financial institutions for the purpose of stabilizing and providing liquidity to the U.S. financial markets. The Act establishes the basic framework and policy goals, and vests with the United States Treasury Department (UST) the authority to carry out the Act s purpose.

On October 14, 2008, UST announced a voluntary Trouble Asset Relief Purchase Program (the Program) whereby UST will purchase senior preferred shares from qualifying United States financial institutions. Each participating institution may sell an amount of senior preferred shares ranging from 1.0% to 3.0% of its risk-weighted assets. The preferred shares are generally nonvoting, pay an initial dividend rate of 5.0% per year for the first five years increasing to 9.0% per year after year five, and are callable at par after three years or sooner with the proceeds of a qualifying offering of Tier 1 perpetual preferred stock or common stock for cash. UST will receive warrants to acquire common stock from the participating institution having an aggregate market price equal to 15.0% of the amount of capital invested by UST in the senior preferred shares and an exercise price equal to the average trailing 20-trading day market price of the institution s common stock at the time of issuance. Participating institutions must agree to certain limitations on executive compensation, repurchases of junior preferred or common stock and increases in common stock dividend payments. The deadline for submitting an application to participate in the Program is November 14, 2008. S&T is in the process of fully analyzing the benefits and costs of participating in the Program in order to make a final determination as to whether or to what extent we would participate in the Program.

Financial Condition

Total assets averaged \$3.8 billion in the first nine months of 2008 and \$3.3 billion for the 2007 full year average. Average loans increased \$391.4 million and average securities, other investments and federal funds sold increased \$14.4 million in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the 2007 full year average. Average deposits increased \$199.9 million and average borrowings increased \$217.1 million during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the 2007 full year average.

19

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

Average Balance Sheet and Net Interest Income Analysis

	Septe	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008			Twelve Months Ended December 31, 2007			
(dollars in millions)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		
Assets	Dalance	Interest	Kate	Dalance	Interest	Kate		
Loans (1)	\$ 3,123.2	\$ 148.0	6.33%	\$ 2,731.8	\$ 202.1	7.40%		
Securities/other (1)	409.6	14.1	4.58%	395.2	18.3	4.63%		
Securities other (1)	107.0	11.1	1.5070	373.2	10.5	1.03 /0		
Total interest-earning assets	3,532.8	162.1	6.13%	3,127.0	220.4	7.05%		
Noninterest-earning assets	287.6			209.1				
TOTAL	\$ 3,820.4			\$ 3,336.1				
Liabilities And Shareholders Equity								
NOW/money market/savings	\$ 1,243.6	\$ 11.9	1.27%	\$ 1,217.4	\$ 37.5	3.08%		
Time deposits	1,038.5	27.6	3.56%	934.7	42.1	4.50%		
Borrowed funds < 1 year	329.1	5.2	2.11%	140.4	6.6	4.70%		
Borrowed funds > 1 year	264.7	10.3	5.18%	236.3	13.0	5.51%		
Total interest bearing lightlities	2 975 0	55.0	2.55%	2 520 0	99.2	3.92%		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,875.9	55.0	2.55%	2,528.8	99.2	3.94%		
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand deposits	511.6			441.7				
Shareholders equity/other	432.9			365.6				
TOTAL	\$ 3,820.4			\$ 3,336.1				
Net yield on interest-earning assets			4.05%			3.87%		
Net Interest Income		\$ 107.1			\$ 121.2			

Lending Activity

Average loans increased \$391.4 million to \$3.1 billion during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the 2007 full year average. Changes in the composition of the average loan portfolio included increases of \$101.9 million of commercial and industrial loans, \$129.1 million of residential mortgages and home equity loans, \$157.4 million of commercial real estate loans and \$3.0 million of consumer loans. S&T acquired \$207.9 million of average loans with the IBT acquisition. The composition of the average acquired loan portfolio included

⁽¹⁾ The yield on earning assets and the net interest margin are presented on a fully tax-equivalent (FTE) and annualized basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35 percent for each period presented. S&T believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

\$19.5 million of commercial and industrial loans, \$88.9 million of residential mortgages and home equity loans, \$94.0 of commercial real estate loans and \$5.5 million of consumer loans.

Average commercial loans, including commercial real estate, commercial and industrial and real estate construction comprised 74 percent of the average loan portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 76 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Although commercial loans can have a relatively higher risk profile, management believes these risks are mitigated through active portfolio management, underwriting and continuous review. Rates and terms for commercial real estate, equipment loans and lines of credit are normally negotiated, subject to such variables as the financial condition of the borrower, economic conditions, marketability of collateral, credit history of the borrower and future cash flows. The loan to value policy guideline for commercial real estate loans is generally 65-85 percent. Variable-rate commercial loans were 57 percent of the commercial loan portfolio at September 30, 2008 and 48 percent at December 31, 2007.

Average residential mortgage loans comprised 23 percent of the average loan portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 22 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Residential mortgage lending continues to be a strategic focus in 2008 through our centralized mortgage origination department, ongoing product redesign, secondary market activities and the utilization of commission compensated originators. Management believes that S&T is fairly well insulated

20

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

from the impact of potential future declines in its local real estate market due to its conservative mortgage lending policies. The loan to value policy guideline is 80 percent for residential first lien mortgages. Higher loan to value loans may be approved with the appropriate private mortgage insurance coverage. Second lien positions are sometimes assumed with home equity loans, but normally only to the extent that the combined credit exposure for both the first and second liens does not exceed 100 percent of the fair value of the mortgage property. At September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, nine and ten percent, respectively, of the residential mortgage portfolio consisted of adjustable rate mortgages with repricing terms of one, three and five years.

S&T periodically designates specific loan originations, generally longer-term, lower-yielding 1-4 family mortgages as held for sale and sells them to Fannie Mae. The rationale for these sales is to mitigate interest rate risk associated with holding long-term residential mortgages in the loan portfolio, generate fee revenue from servicing, and maintain the primary customer relationship. During the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, S&T sold \$14.4 million and \$13.0 million, respectively, of 1-4 family mortgages and services \$174.5 million of secondary market mortgage loans to Fannie Mae at September 30, 2008. S&T intends to continue to sell longer-term loans to Fannie Mae in the future on a selective basis, especially during periods of lower interest rates.

Average consumer loans comprised three percent of the loan portfolio for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007. The average balance of consumer loans for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 was \$77.8 million as compared to \$74.8 million for the 2007 full year average. S&T offers a variety of unsecured and secured consumer loan and credit card products.

Management intends to continue to pursue quality loans in a variety of lending categories in order to enhance shareholder value. S&T s loan portfolio primarily represents loans to businesses and consumers in our market area of Western Pennsylvania. Management continues to develop and improve the effectiveness of our credit and loan administration processes and staff, which assists management in evaluating loans before they are made and in identifying problem loans early.

Securities Activity

Average securities, other investments and federal funds sold increased by \$14.5 million in the first nine months of 2008 compared to the 2007 full year average primarily due to \$110.5 million of average securities acquired with the IBT acquisition, offset by an average decrease of \$96.0 million in securities which is attributable to an S&T Asset Liability Committee (ALCO) strategy to limit the replacement of matured investment securities with borrowings to mitigate interest rate risk. The components of the increase of \$14.5 million include \$19.0 million in obligations of state and political subdivisions, \$18.6 million in mortgage-backed securities, \$7.6 million in other investments and \$0.8 million in other securities. Offsetting these increases are decreases of \$17.6 million in U.S. government corporations and agencies, \$13.0 million in marketable equity securities and \$0.3 million of U.S. treasury securities. During the second quarter of 2008, S&T restructured the IBT debt securities portfolio to conform to S&T s securities policy guidelines. The increase of \$7.6 million in other investments in the first nine months of 2008 compared to the 2007 full year average are comprised of Federal Home Loan Bank (FHLB) stock that is a membership and borrowing requirement and is recorded at historical cost. The amount of S&T s investment in FHLB stock depends upon S&T s borrowing availability and level from the FHLB. Average federal funds sold decreased \$0.6 million in the first nine months of 2008 compared to the 2007 full year average. At September 30, 2008, the equity securities portfolio had total market value of \$19.2 million compared to \$41.3 million at December 31, 2007 and net unrealized losses of \$0.3 million at September 30, 2008 compared to net unrealized gains of \$7.5 million at December 31, 2007. The primary cause of this market value decrease is due a general market decline, the retirement of \$14.6 million of IBT common stock held by S&T prior to the acquisition and a strategy over the past two years to methodically reduce the equities portfolio. The equity securities portfolio consists of securities traded on the various stock markets and is subject to changes in market value.

S&T s policy for security classification includes U.S. treasury securities, U.S. government corporations and agencies, mortgage-backed securities, collateralized mortgage obligations, states and political subdivisions, corporate securities, marketable equity securities and other securities classified as available for sale. On a quarterly basis, management evaluates the securities portfolios for other-than-temporary declines in market value in accordance with FASB issued Staff Position (FSP) FAS 115-1 and FAS 124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments. During the first nine months of 2008, there was \$3.9 million of realized losses taken for other-than-temporary impairment on five bank equity investment securities. The performance of the equities and debt securities markets could

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

generate further impairment in future periods. At September 30, 2008, net unrealized losses on securities classified as available for sale, including equity securities, were \$1.1 million as compared to net unrealized gains of \$8.4 million at December 31, 2007. Net unrealized losses related to S&T s debt securities portfolio totaled \$0.8 million at September 30, 2008 and \$0.9 million unrealized gains at December 31, 2007. S&T has the intent and ability to hold debt and equity securities until maturity or until market value recovers above cost or until maturity.

Allowance for Loan Losses

The balance in the allowance for loan losses was \$43.2 million or 1.21 percent of total loans at September 30, 2008 as compared to \$34.3 million or 1.23 percent of total loans at December 31, 2007. The increase in the allowance for loan losses is consistent with the growth of internally generated loans and \$5.4 million of allowance for loan losses associated with the IBT acquisition. The allowance for loan losses also increased as a result of the establishment of \$5.4 million of specific reserves for three commercial real estate loan credits during the third quarter of 2008, offset by the resolution of two commercial loan credits during the second quarter of 2008. S&T s allowance for lending-related commitments such as unfunded commercial real estate, commercial and industrial term loan commitments and letters of credit totaled \$1.8 million at September 30, 2008 and \$0.9 million at December 31, 2007. The increase is primarily due to one troubled commercial letter of credit totaling \$1.2 million. The allowance for lending-related commitments is included in other liabilities.

Problem loans are identified and continually monitored through detailed reviews of specific commercial loans, and the analysis of delinquency and charge-off levels of consumer loan portfolios. Management evaluates the degree of loss exposure for loans on a continuous basis through a formal allowance for loan loss policy as administered by S&T Bank s Loan Administration Department and various management and director committees. Updates are presented to the S&T Board of Directors as to the status of loan quality. Charged-off and recovered loan amounts are applied to the allowance for loan losses. The allowance for loan losses is increased through a charge to current earnings through the provision for loan losses, based upon management s assessment of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. A quantitative analysis is utilized to support the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. This analysis includes a review of the historical charge-off rates for all loan categories as well as fluctuations and trends in various risk factors that have occurred within the portfolios economic life cycle. The analysis includes assessment of qualitative factors such as credit trends, unemployment trends, vacancy trends, loan growth and the degree of variable interest rate risk. Should any of the factors considered by management in evaluating the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses change, S&T s estimate of loan losses could also change.

Significant to this analysis and assessment is the loan portfolio composition of a higher mix of commercial loans. These loans are generally larger in size and, due to the continuing growth, many are not seasoned and may be more vulnerable to an economic slowdown. Management relies on its risk rating process to assess potential weaknesses within specific credits. Current risk factors, trends in risk ratings and historical charge-off experiences are considered in the determination of the allowance for loan losses. During the first nine months of 2008, the risk rating profile of the portfolio was primarily impacted by six commercial loan relationships, and two of these commercial loan relationships were resolved during second quarter of 2008. The first commercial loan relationship was a construction company with residual loan exposure of \$3.7 million. The bank negotiated a settlement resulting in a recovery of \$0.8 million. In the third quarter of 2007, S&T previously recorded a charge of \$7.2 million for this loan relationship. Concurrent with the settlement, S&T released a specific reserve of \$2.0 million, which had been established in the second quarter of 2007. The second relationship is a sales and service company with loan exposure of \$3.6 million. S&T negotiated a settlement resulting in a \$1.1 million charge. This was partially offset with the release of a specific reserve of \$0.9 million, which had been established in the first quarter of 2008. The third commercial loan relationship was an internet marketing company totaling \$1.2 million that was placed on nonperforming status and specific a reserve of \$1.2 million was allocated to this commercial loan relationship during the second quarter of 2008.

During the third quarter of 2008, S&T established \$5.4 million of specific reserves on three commercial real estate loans totaling \$26.1 million. The first relationship is apartment rental complexes in western Pennsylvania with residual loan exposure of \$15.4 million, and a specific reserve of \$2.5 million has been allocated to this relationship. The second relationship is a condominium project with a residual loan exposure of \$8.8 million. A specific reserve of \$1.8 million has been established for this relationship. This relationship was placed into nonperforming status during the third quarter of 2008. The third relationship is within the food services industry with residual loan exposure of \$1.9 million, and a specific reserve of \$1.1 million has been allocated to this relationship. This relationship was placed into nonperforming status during the third quarter of 2008. Management believes these commercial relationships have been adequately reserved as determined by the quarterly impairment

analysis and risk-rating process performed by the Loan Administration Department.

22

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

Net loan charge-offs totaled \$3.8 million or 0.16 percent of average loans on an annualized basis for the first nine months of 2008 as compared to \$3.7 million or 0.18 percent of average loans on an annualized basis in the first nine months of 2007.

Net loan charge-offs during the first nine months of 2008 were primarily impacted by a \$1.1 million charge-off on a loan with the aforementioned sales and service company in the second quarter of 2008, a \$0.9 million charge-off on a construction company loan during the third quarter of 2008, offset by a \$0.8 million recovery on the loan with aforementioned construction company. The balance of nonperforming loans, which included loans past due 90 days or more, at September 30, 2008 was \$32.8 million or 0.92 percent of total loans. This compares to nonperforming loans of \$16.8 million or 0.60 percent of total loans at December 31, 2007. Nonperforming assets totaled \$33.9 million or 0.76 percent of total assets at September 30, 2008 and \$17.3 million or 0.51 percent of total assets at December 31, 2007. There are no loans 90 days past due and still accruing interest. The provision for loan losses was \$7.3 million for the first nine months of 2008, as compared to \$4.6 million for the same period of 2007. The provision was the result of management s detailed analysis of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses and is consistent with commercial loan growth, loan charge-off levels and the level of specific reserves established for impaired commercial loan relationships, offset by the resolution of the two aforementioned commercial loan relationships.

Deposits

Average total deposits increased by \$199.9 million, or 8 percent, during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the 2007 full year average. Changes in the average deposit mix include increases of \$104.2 million in certificates of deposit, \$69.5 million in demand deposits, \$34.8 million in money market accounts and \$34.7 million in NOW accounts. Offsetting these increases is a decrease of \$43.3 million in savings accounts. S&T acquired \$242.6 million of average deposits with the IBT acquisition. The composition of these acquired deposits included \$119.0 million in certificates of deposit, \$58.8 million in demand and NOW accounts, \$34.3 million of money market accounts and \$30.5 million of savings accounts. The decrease in savings accounts is primarily attributable to S&T being less aggressive with competitive pricing strategies as borrowings currently have a slight pricing advantage. We are willing to accept slightly less robust deposit growth in the short-term in order to take advantage of these unique circumstances.

S&T Cash Management accounts totaled \$628.8 million at September 30, 2008 and \$811.6 million at December 31, 2007 and has an account pricing feature that allows S&T to better complement shifting interest rate sensitivity. Other important strategies include providing cash management services to commercial customers to increase transaction related deposits, and enhanced delivery services such as electronic banking. Core deposit growth is an important strategic initiative for S&T, through the expansion of retail facilities, promotions and new products.

Management believes that the S&T deposit base is stable and that S&T has the ability to attract new deposits, mitigating a funding dependency on other more volatile sources. Certificates of deposit of \$100,000 and over were eleven and ten percent of total deposits at September 30, 2008 and at December 31, 2007, respectively, and primarily represent deposit relationships with local customers in our market area. In addition, when market conditions permit, management believes that S&T has the ability to access both public and private markets to raise long-term funding if necessary. S&T had \$52.1 and \$2.8 million of brokered retail certificates of deposit outstanding at September 30, 2008 and December 31, 2007, respectively. The issuance of brokered retail certificates of deposits is an ALCO strategy to increase liquidity for loan demand, as an alternative to increased borrowings.

Borrowings

Average borrowings by S&T increased \$217.0 million for the first nine months of 2008 as compared to full year 2007 average. The increase is the result of increased loan growth that was not fully funded by maturing investment securities or deposit growth, and to take advantage of low short-term funding sources. Borrowings are comprised of retail repurchase agreements (REPOs), wholesale REPOs, federal funds purchased, FHLB advances and long-term borrowings. S&T defines REPOs with our local retail customers as retail REPOs; wholesale REPOs are those transacted with other banks and brokerage firms with terms normally ranging from one to 365 days.

23

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

The largest increase in average borrowings for the first nine months of 2008 is a \$158.5 million increase in FHLB advances compared to the 2007 full year average. FHLB advances are being utilized to fund loan growth as this type of borrowing has a slight pricing advantage for S&T. The average balance in retail REPOs increased approximately \$48.0 million for the first nine months of 2008 compared to the 2007 full year average. S&T views retail REPOs as a relatively stable source of funds because most of these accounts are with local long-term customers. There has been a growing customer preference for retail REPOs due to the secured features of these accounts during these times of economic concern. Average federal funds purchased decreased by \$8.0 million and average wholesale REPOs decreased by \$9.8 million for the first nine months of 2008 compared to the full year 2007 average.

Average long-term borrowings have increased by \$28.3 million in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the full year 2007 average. S&T had long-term borrowings outstanding of \$187.2 million at September 30, 2008 at a fixed rate and \$93.7 million at a variable rate.

During the first quarter of 2008, S&T completed a private placement to a financial institution of \$20.0 million of floating rate trust preferred securities. The trust preferred securities mature in March 2038, and are callable at S&T s option, after five years, and bear interest initially at a rate of 6.44 percent per annum and quarterly adjusts with the three-month LIBOR plus 350 basis points. S&T will make interest payments to the trustee beginning on June 15, 2008 and quarterly thereafter. The proceeds from the sale of the trust preferred securities was used to partially finance the acquisition of IBT.

During the second quarter of 2008, S&T Bank issued \$20.0 million of junior subordinated debt through a private placement with three financial institutions at an initial rate of 6.40 percent and floats quarterly with 3-month LIBOR plus 350 basis points. If all or any portion of the subordinated debt ceases to be deemed to be Tier 2 Capital due to a change in applicable capital regulations, S&T will have the right to redeem, on any interest payment date, subject to a 30 day written notice and prior approval by the FDIC, the subordinated debt at the applicable redemption rate which starts at a high of 102.82 percent at June 15, 2009 and decreases yearly to 100 percent on June 15, 2013 and thereafter and can be called after five years. The subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital under regulatory guidelines and will mature on June 15, 2018.

Also during the second quarter of 2008, S&T Bank issued \$25.0 million of junior subordinated debt through a private placement with a financial institution at an initial rate of 5.15 percent and floats quarterly with 3-month LIBOR plus 250 basis points. At any time after May 30, 2013, S&T will have the right to redeem all or a portion of the subordinated debt, subject to a 30-day written notice and prior approval by the FDIC. The subordinated debt qualifies as Tier 2 capital under regulatory guidelines and will mature on May 30, 2018. The proceeds from the sale of these two subordinated debt issuances were also used to partially finance the acquisition of IBT.

Capital Resources

Shareholders equity increased \$113.2 million at September 30, 2008, compared to December 31, 2007. Net income was \$44.4 million, and dividends paid to shareholders were \$23.7 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2008. Also effecting capital is a decrease of \$84.0 million in treasury stock primarily as a result of issuing 2,751,749 shares to the former IBT shareholders as well as an increase of \$6.2 million in unrealized losses on securities available for sale, net of tax, which is included in other comprehensive income. The S&T Board of Directors authorized stock buyback programs in 2005 and 2006 of one million shares each, or approximately four percent of shares outstanding in each year. On June 18, 2007, S&T s Board of Directors authorized an additional buyback program of one million shares until June 30, 2008. During 2007, S&T repurchased 971,400 shares through these programs at an average cost of \$32.74 per share. During the first nine months of 2008, there were no purchases of S&T common stock under the 2007 program. During 2008, S&T s Board of Directors did not authorize any additional buyback programs.

S&T paid 53 percent of net income in dividends, equating to a projected annual dividend yield of approximately three percent utilizing the September 30, 2008 closing market price of \$36.83. The book value of S&T s common stock was \$16.34 at September 30, 2008 and \$13.75 at December 31, 2007. S&T continues to maintain a strong capital position with a leverage ratio of 7.2 percent at September 30, 2008 and 8.6 percent at December 31, 2007 as compared to the minimum regulatory guideline of 3.0 percent. S&T s risk-based capital Tier I and Total ratios were 8.2 percent and 11.4 percent, respectively, at September 30, 2008 and 9.5 percent and 11.6 percent respectively at December 31, 2007. These ratios place S&T above the Federal Reserve Board s risk-based capital guidelines of 4.0 percent and 8.0 percent for Tier I and Total,

respectively.

During 2003, S&T filed a shelf registration statement on Form S-3 under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, with the SEC for the issuance of up to \$150.0 million of a variety of securities including debt and capital securities, preferred and

24

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

common stock and warrants. S&T can use the proceeds from the sale of any securities for general corporate purposes, which could include investments at the holding company level, investing in, or extending credit to, its subsidiaries, possible acquisitions and stock repurchases. As of September 30, 2008, S&T had not utilized the shelf registration statement.

In addition to the results of operations presented in accordance with GAAP, S&T management uses, and this quarterly report contains or references, certain non-GAAP financial measures, such as net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis and operating revenue. S&T believes these non-GAAP financial measures provide information useful to investors in understanding our underlying operational performance and our business and performance trends as they facilitate comparisons with the performance of others in the financial services industry. Although S&T believes that these non-GAAP financial measures enhance investors—understanding of S&T—s business and performance, these non-GAAP financial measures should not be considered an alternative to GAAP measures.

We believe the presentation of net interest income on a fully tax-equivalent basis ensures comparability of net interest income arising from both taxable and tax-exempt sources and is consistent with industry practice. Interest income per the consolidated statements of income is reconciled to net interest income adjusted to a fully tax-equivalent basis on pages 26 and 30.

Operating revenue is the sum of net interest income and noninterest income less security gains. In order to understand the significance of net interest income to S&T s business and operating results, S&T management believes it is appropriate to evaluate the significance of net interest income as a component of operating revenue.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to

Nine months ended September 30, 2007

Net Income

Net income was \$44.4 million or \$1.71 diluted earnings per share for the first nine months of 2008 as compared to \$42.8 million or \$1.72 diluted earnings per share for the same period of 2007. The increase in net income during the first nine months of 2008 was primarily the result of increases in net interest income and an increase in noninterest income, offset by lower security gains, higher provision for loan losses and an increase in noninterest expense compared to the first nine months of 2007. The difference between changes in net income and earnings per share is primarily due to the 2.8 million shares issued as partial payment for the IBT acquisition in the second quarter of 2008. The return on average assets was 1.55 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2008, as compared to 1.71 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2007. The return on average equity was 15.19 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to 17.37 percent for the same period of 2007.

Net Interest Income

Net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis was \$107.1 million, a \$17.1 million or 19 percent increase for the first nine months of 2008 as compared to \$90.0 million for the same period of 2007. The increase in net interest income was a result of a \$409.6 million increase in average interest-earning assets and improvement in the net interest margin. \$318.3 million of this growth is related to the IBT acquisition. The net interest margin on a fully taxable equivalent basis was 4.05 percent in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to 3.85 percent in the same period of 2007. The increase in the net interest margin is primarily attributable to the effect of decreasing short-term interest rates, in combination with an increasingly sloped yield curve, strong loan growth and better loan pricings. S&T s balance sheet is currently liability sensitive, with funding costs decreasing faster than asset yields during the first nine months of 2008.

For the first nine months of 2008, average loans increased \$403.5 million, and average securities and federal funds sold increased \$6.0 million as compared to the same period of 2007. S&T acquired \$207.9 million of average loans and \$110.5 million of average securities with the IBT acquisition. The \$195.6 million of average organic loan growth is attributable to commercial loans. The yields on average loans decreased by 112 basis points from the comparable period in 2007 and the yield on average securities decreased by three basis points. Overall yields on interest-earning assets were 6.13 percent and 7.09 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007, respectively.

25

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

For the first nine months of 2008, balances of average interest-bearing deposits increased by \$139.1 million as compared to the same period of 2007. S&T acquired \$201.8 million of average interest-bearing deposits with the IBT acquisition. The average organic decrease of \$62.7 million is attributable to S&T being less aggressive with competitive pricing strategies, as borrowings currently have a slight pricing advantage. The cost of deposits totaled 2.31 percent, a decrease of 145 basis points from the comparable period in 2007 due to lower rates paid on both core and time deposits. The cost of REPOs and other borrowed funds decreased 180 basis points to 3.48 percent as a result of lower short-term rates as compared to the same period of 2007. Overall funding costs decreased 145 basis points to 2.55 percent at September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007. Positively affecting net interest income was a \$60.7 million increase in average net free funds during the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007. Average net free funds are the excess of demand deposits, other non-interest bearing liabilities and shareholders—equity over nonearning assets. The increase is primarily due to successful marketing of new demand accounts and corporate cash management services, acquired balances in the IBT acquisition and increased retained earnings.

Net interest income represents the difference between the interest and fees earned on interest-earning assets and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is affected by changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and changes in interest yields and rates. Maintaining consistent spreads between interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities is very significant to our financial performance because net interest income comprised 78 percent and 75 percent of operating revenue (net interest income plus noninterest income, excluding security gains) in the first nine months of 2008 and 2007. The level and mix of interest-earning assets and funds are continually monitored by ALCO in order to mitigate the interest-rate sensitivity and liquidity risks of the balance sheet. A variety of ALCO strategies were successfully implemented, within prescribed ALCO risk parameters, to maintain an acceptable net interest margin given the challenges of the current interest rate environment and the shifting yield curve.

The following table reconciles interest income per the consolidated statements of income to net interest income adjusted to a fully tax-equivalent basis:

	- 1	Nine Months Ended September 30,		
(dollars in thousands)	2008	2007		
Interest income per consolidated statements of income	\$ 158,307	\$ 161,969		
Adjustment to fully taxable equivalent basis	3,760	3,572		
Interest income adjusted to fully taxable equivalent basis	162,067	165,541		
Interest expense	54,945	75,532		
Net interest income adjusted to fully taxable equivalent basis	\$ 107,122	\$ 90,009		

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

Average Balance Sheet and Net Interest Income Analysis

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Average Average			Nine Months Ended September 30, 2007 Average Average			
(dollars in millions)	Balance	Interest	Rate	Balance	Interest	Rate	
Assets							
Loans (1)	\$ 3,123.2	\$ 148.0	6.33%	\$ 2,719.7	\$ 151.6	7.45%	
Securities/other (1)	409.6	14.1	4.58%	403.6	13.9	4.61%	
Total interest-earning assets	3,532.8	162.1	6.13%	3,123.3	165.5	7.09%	
Noninterest-earning assets	287.6			209.2			
TOTAL	\$ 3,820.4			\$ 3,332.5			
Liabilities And Shareholders Equity							
NOW/money market/savings	\$ 1,243.6	\$ 11.9	1.27%	\$ 1,205.9	\$ 28.7	3.18%	
Time deposits	1,038.5	27.6	3.56%	937.1	31.7	4.52%	
Borrowed funds < 1 year	329.1	5.2	2.11%	146.1	5.3	4.90%	
Borrowed funds > 1 year	264.7	10.3	5.18%	238.0	9.8	5.52%	
Total interest-bearing liabilities	2,875.9	55.0	2.55%	2,527.1	75. 5	4.00%	
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:							
Demand deposits	511.6			441.8			
Shareholders equity/other	432.9			363.6			
TOTAL	\$ 3,820.4			\$ 3,332.5			
Net yield on interest-earning assets			4.05%			3.85%	
Net Interest Income		\$ 107.1			\$ 90.0		

27

⁽¹⁾ The yield on earning assets and the net interest margin are presented on a fully taxable-equivalent (FTE) and annualized basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35 percent for each period presented. S&T believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated a summary of the changes in interest earned and interest paid resulting from changes in volume and changes in rates:

	Nine Months Ended September 30, 2008 Compared to					
(dollars in thousands)	September 30, 2007 Increase (Decrease)(Volume Rate Ne				ase)(1) Net	
Interest earned on:	`	volume	Kate			Net
Loans(2)	\$	22,494	\$	(26,110)	\$	(3,616)
Securities/other(2)	φ	210	φ	(68)	φ	142
Securities/outet(2)		210		(00)		142
Total interest-earning assets		22,704		(26,178)		(3,474)
Interest paid on:						
NOW/money market/savings		899		(17,756)		(16,857)
Time deposits		3,425		(7,440)		(4,015)
Borrowed funds < 1 year		6,699		(6,863)		(164)
Borrowed funds > 1 year		1,101		(652)		449
Total interest-bearing liabilities		12,124		(32,711)		(20,587)
Change in net interest income	\$	10,580	\$	6,533	\$	17,113

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$7.3 million for the first nine months of 2008 and \$4.6 million for the same period of 2007. The provision is the result of management s assessment of credit quality statistics and other factors that would have an impact on probable losses in the loan portfolio, and the model used for determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Changes within the allowance for loan loss model are directionally consistent with the growth in commercial loans, loan charge-off levels and impact of the six aforementioned commercial loan relationships during the first nine months of 2008.

Credit quality is the most important factor in determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses and the resulting provision. During the third quarter, S&T had an increase in delinquencies and nonperforming loan levels, primarily due to the two aforementioned commercial real estate credits. Also affecting the amount of the allowance for loan losses, and resulting provision, is loan growth and portfolio composition. Most of the loan growth during the first nine months of 2008 and 2007 is attributable to larger-sized commercial loans as well as the acquisition of IBT in second quarter 2008. For the first nine months of 2008, net loan charge-offs were \$3.8 million and net loan charge-offs were \$3.7 million for the first nine months 2007. The most significant net charge-offs in 2008 were the aforementioned \$1.1 million charge-off on a loan with a sales and service company that had a specifically assigned reserve of \$0.9 million and a \$0.9 million charge-off on a loan with a construction company.

⁽¹⁾ The change in interest due to both volume and rate has been allocated to volume and rate changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

⁽²⁾ Tax-exempt income is on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the statutory federal corporate income tax rate of 35 percent for 2008 and 2007.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income, excluding security gains (losses), increased \$1.2 million or 4 percent, to \$29.3 million in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the same 2007 period. Increases included \$1.3 million or 17 percent service charges on deposit accounts, \$0.6 million or ten percent in insurance commissions and an increase of \$0.3 million or five percent in wealth management fees, offset by decreases of \$0.6 million or eight percent in other noninterest income, \$0.3 million or 16 percent in letter of credit fees and a \$0.1 million or 7 percent in mortgage banking fees. The increase of \$1.3 million in service charges on deposit fees is primarily related to fees charged for insufficient funds and account analysis fees incurred during the period. The increase of \$0.6 million in insurance commissions is primarily attributable to stronger overall sales volumes during the period. The increase of \$0.3 million in wealth management fees is related to increased brokerage and annuity commissions. Offsetting these increases are decreases of \$0.6 million in other noninterest income primarily due to a reclassification of investment securities held in the deferred compensation plan trust to a trading classification from available for sale classification. The reclassification generated a one-time favorable adjustment of \$1.2 million in the third quarter of

28

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

2007 and \$1.2 million of current year market adjustment for the deferred compensation plans, offset by a \$0.4 million non-recurring gain from the Visa, Inc. initial public offering in the first quarter of 2008, a \$0.5 million increase in commercial swap fees, a \$0.8 million increase in debit/credit card activities and a \$0.1 million of debt prepayment gains associated with the IBT acquisition. The decrease of \$0.3 million in letter of credit fees is attributable to changing customer preferences for this type of product.

S&T recognized \$1.6 million of losses on available for sale securities in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to \$3.3 million of gains in the same period of 2007. Included in the 2008 results were \$0.7 million of realized losses from restructuring the IBT debt securities portfolio in the second quarter of 2008, and \$3.9 million of other-than-temporary impairment charges on five bank equity holdings in accordance with FSP 115-1 and FAS 124-1, The Meaning of Other-Than-Temporary Impairment and Its Application to Certain Investments. Partially offsetting the realized losses and impairments were \$3.0 million of realized equity security gains for the nine months ended September 30, 2008.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased by \$8.9 million or 17 percent during the nine months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the nine months ended September 30, 2007. Salaries and employee benefit expense increased \$2.4 million or eight percent primarily attributable to the addition of 73 full-time equivalent staff, mostly due to the IBT acquisition, normal year-end merit increases and higher incentive accruals. Salaries and employee benefits were also affected by the current year market adjustment for the deferred compensation plans. Occupancy, furniture and equipment expense increased \$0.9 million or 13 percent during the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the same period 2007, as a result of the net acquisition of eight new branches with the IBT acquisition, and one *de novo* branch opened by S&T during the year. Data processing expense increased \$0.4 million or 11 percent as compared to the same period in 2007 as a result of conversion expenses related to the IBT acquisition, partially offset by the renegotiation of the current contract and a change in data communication processes. Marketing expenses increased \$0.7 million or 36 percent as a result of additional promotions and new customer account packages associated with the IBT acquisition. Amortization of intangibles increased \$0.4 million or 181 percent due to the IBT acquisition. Other noninterest expense increased \$4.1 million or 38 percent during the first nine months of 2008 and is primarily attributable to a \$1.6 million increase in the reserve for unfunded loan commitments, a \$1.4 million valuation adjustment for five affordable housing limited partnerships, a \$0.5 increase in amortization for affordable housing limited partnerships, a \$0.3 million increase in data communication processes.

S&T s efficiency ratio, which measures noninterest expense as a percent of noninterest income plus net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis, excluding security gains, was 46 percent for the nine months ended September 30, 2008 and for the same period of 2007.

Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense increased \$0.2 million or one percent in the first nine months of 2008 as compared to the first nine months of 2007. The effective tax rate for the first nine months of 2008 was 27 percent and 28 percent in the same period of 2007, which is below the 35 percent statutory rate due to benefits resulting from tax-exempt interest, excludable dividend income and the tax benefits associated with Low Income Housing Tax Credit (LIHTC) and Federal Historic Tax Credit projects. S&T currently does not incur any alternative minimum tax.

RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to

Three months ended September 30, 2007

Net Income

Net income was \$15.7 million or \$0.57 diluted earnings per share for the third quarter of 2008 as compared to \$15.7 million or \$0.63 diluted earnings per share for the same period of 2007. The flat performance in terms of net income during the third quarter of 2008 was primarily the result of increased operating expenses, security losses, and a higher loan loss provision, offset by an increase in net interest income. The decrease in diluted earnings per share is primarily due to the

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

2.8 million shares issued as partial payment for the IBT acquisition in the second quarter of 2008. The return on average assets was 1.44 percent at September 30, 2008, as compared to 1.86 percent at September 30, 2007. The return on average equity was 13.93 percent at September 30, 2008 compared to 19.17 percent for the same period of 2007.

Net Interest Income

On a fully tax-equivalent basis net interest income was \$40.6 million, a \$10.1 million or 33 percent increase for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$30.4 million for the same period of 2007. The increase in net interest income was a result of \$834.2 million increase in average interest-earning assets and improvement in the net interest margin. \$752.0 million of this growth is related to the IBT acquisition. The net interest margin on a fully taxable equivalent basis improved to 4.07 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the 3.86 percent in the same period of 2007. The increase in the net interest margin is primarily attributable to the effect of decreasing short-term interest rates, in combination with an increasingly sloped yield curve and strong loan growth with improved pricing.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, average loans increased \$748.3 million, and average securities and federal funds sold increased \$85.9 million as compared to the same period of 2007. S&T acquired \$491.0 million of average loans and \$261.0 million of average securities with the IBT acquisition. The \$257.3 million of average organic loan growth is primarily attributable to commercial loans. The yields on average loans decreased by 136 basis points from the comparable period in 2007 and the yield on average securities decreased by seven basis points. Overall yields on earning assets were 5.91 percent for the three months ending September 30, 2008 and 7.10 percent for the three months ending September 30, 2007.

For the three months ended September 30, 2008, balances of average interest-bearing deposits increased by \$311.9 million as compared to the same period of 2007. S&T acquired \$476.6 million of average interest-bearing deposits with the IBT acquisition. The average organic decrease of \$164.7 is attributable to S&T being less aggressive with competitive pricing strategies, as borrowings currently have a slight pricing advantage. The cost of interest-bearing deposits totaled 1.96 percent, a decrease of 183 basis points from the comparable period in 2007 due to decreased rates paid on both core and time deposits. The cost of REPOs and other borrowed funds decreased 212 basis points to 3.08 percent as a result of lower short-term rates. Overall funding costs decreased 176 basis points to 2.23 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007.

Positively affecting net interest income was a \$109.6 million increase in average net free funds during the third quarter of 2008 compared to the same period of 2007. Average net free funds are the excess of demand deposits, other non-interest bearing liabilities and shareholders equity over nonearning assets. The increase is primarily due to successful marketing of new demand accounts and corporate cash management services, demand deposit balances acquired with the IBT acquisition and increased retained earnings.

Net interest income represents the difference between the interest and fees earned on interest-earning assets and the interest paid on interest-bearing liabilities. Net interest income is affected by changes in the volume of interest-earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities and changes in interest yields and rates. Therefore, maintaining consistent spreads between earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities is very significant to our financial performance because net interest income comprised 79 percent of operating revenue (net interest income plus noninterest income, excluding security gains) in the third quarter of 2008 and 74 percent in the same period of 2007. The level and mix of earning assets and funds are continually monitored by ALCO in order to mitigate the interest-rate sensitivity and liquidity risks of the balance sheet. A variety of ALCO strategies were successfully implemented within prescribed ALCO risk parameters, to maintain an acceptable net interest margin given the challenges of the current interest rate environment.

The following table reconciles interest income per the consolidated statements of income to net interest income adjusted to a fully tax-equivalent basis:

	En	Three Months Ended September 30,	
(dollars in thousands)	2008	2007	
Interest income per consolidated statements of income	\$ 57,416	\$ 54,761	
Adjustment to fully taxable equivalent basis	1,385	1,170	
Interest income adjusted to fully taxable equivalent basis	58,801	55,931	
Interest expense	18,245	25,485	
Net interest income adjusted to fully taxable equivalent basis	\$ 40,556	\$ 30,446	

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

Average Balance Sheet and Net Interest Income Analysis

		Three Months Ended September 30, 2008			Three Months Ended September 30, 2007			
(dollars in millions)	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate	Average Balance	Interest	Average Rate		
Assets	Dulance	THEFEST	Tute	Duluitee	THEFESE	Tuit		
Loans (1)	\$ 3,488.8	\$ 53.4	6.08%	\$ 2,740.5	\$ 51.4	7.44%		
Securities/other (1)	472.5	5.4	4.58%	386.6	4.5	4.65%		
Total interest-earning assets	3,961.3	58.8	5.91%	3,127.1	55.9	7.10%		
Noninterest-earning assets	385.2			212.9				
mom a v	** ** ** ** ** ** ** **			4.2.240.0				
TOTAL	\$ 4,346.5			\$ 3,340.0				
Liabilities And Shareholders Equity								
NOW/money market/savings	\$ 1,303.2	\$ 3.0	0.92%	\$ 1,228.1	\$ 9.8	3.18%		
Time deposits	1,185.5	9.3	3.11%	948.7	11.0	4.58%		
Borrowed funds < 1 year	489.2	2.3	1.91%	113.4	1.3	4.56%		
Borrowed funds > 1 year	281.0	3.6	5.12%	244.1	3.4	5.49%		
Total interest-bearing liabilities	3,258.9	18.2	2.23%	2,534.3	25.5	3.99%		
Noninterest-bearing liabilities:								
Demand deposits	597.8			447.0				
Shareholders equity/other	489.8			358.7				
TOTAL	\$ 4,346.5			\$ 3,340.0				
	4 .,e !o.e			+ 0,0 .00				
Net yield on interest-earning assets			4.07%			3.86%		
Net Interest Income		\$ 40.6			\$ 30.4			

The following table sets forth for the periods indicated a summary of the changes in interest earned and interest paid resulting from changes in volume and changes in rates:

⁽¹⁾ The yield on earning assets and the net interest margin are presented on a fully tax-equivalent (FTE) and annualized basis. The FTE basis adjusts for the tax benefit of income on certain tax-exempt loans and investments using the federal statutory tax rate of 35 percent for each period presented. S&T believes this measure to be the preferred industry measurement of net interest income and provides relevant comparison between taxable and non-taxable amounts.

Edgar Filing: ADESA INC - Form PRE 14A

(dollars in thousands)	•	Volume	Rate	Net
Interest earned on:				
Loans(2)	\$	14,037	\$ (12,080)	\$ 1,957
Securities/other(2)		1,006	(93)	913
Total interest-earning assets		15,043	(12,173)	2,870
Interest paid on:				
NOW/money market/savings		602	(7,421)	(6,819)
Time deposits		2,735	(4,436)	(1,701)
Borrowed funds < 1 year		4,319	(3,273)	1,046
Borrowed funds > 1 year		512	(278)	234
Total interest-bearing liabilities		8,168	(15,408)	(7,240)
Change in net interest income	\$	6,875	\$ 3,235	\$ 10,110

⁽¹⁾ The change in interest due to both volume and rate has been allocated to volume and rate changes in proportion to the relationship of the absolute dollar amounts of the change in each.

⁽²⁾ Tax-exempt income is on a fully tax-equivalent basis using the statutory federal corporate income tax rate of 35 percent for 2008 and 2007.

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

Provision for Loan Losses

The provision for loan losses was \$6.2 million for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and \$1.1 million for the same period of 2007. The provision is the result of management s assessment of credit quality statistics and other factors that would have an impact on probable losses in the loan portfolio, and the model used for determination of the adequacy of the allowance for loan losses. Changes within the allowance for loan loss model are directionally consistent with the growth in commercial loans, loan charge-off levels and the impact of the three aforementioned commercial real estate loan relationships during the third quarter of 2008. During the third quarter, S&T had an increase in delinquencies and nonperforming loan levels.

Credit quality is the most important factor in determining the amount of the allowance for loan losses and the resulting provision. Also affecting the amount of the allowance for loan losses, and the resulting provision, is loan growth and portfolio composition. Most of the loan growth during the third quarter of 2008 and 2007 is attributable to larger-sized commercial loans. Net charged-off loans were \$1.7 million and \$2.8 million for the third quarter 2008 and 2007, respectively. The most significant charge-off in the third quarter of 2008 was the aforementioned \$0.9 million charge-off with a construction company.

Noninterest Income

Noninterest income, excluding security gains (losses), increased \$0.1 million or one percent for the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to 2007. Service charges and fees increased \$1.0 million or 38 percent primarily attributable to higher account analysis fees and insufficient funds fees due to customer behavior patterns during the third quarter of 2008, as well as a full quarter effect of IBT. The increase of \$0.4 million or 21 percent in wealth management fees is related to increased core wealth management fees, brokerage and annuity commissions. Insurance commissions increased \$0.2 million or 11 percent attributable to stronger overall sales volume during the third quarter of 2008. Other income decreased \$1.5 million or 42 percent in the third quarter of 2008 as compared to the same period of 2007 primarily due to the aforementioned one-time favorable adjustment for the deferred compensation plan trust of \$1.2 million in the third quarter of 2007 and \$0.6 million of current year market adjustment for the deferred compensation plan, offset by increases of \$0.3 million in debit/credit card revenues.

S&T recognized \$0.3 million of net losses on available for sale securities in the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to \$1.1 million of net gains in the same period of 2007. The decrease of \$1.5 million in realized security gains (losses) is the result of \$2.6 million of other-than-temporary impairment charges for two bank equity securities, offset by \$2.3 million of realized equity security gains for the three months ended September 30, 2008.

Noninterest Expense

Noninterest expense increased by \$4.2 million or 23 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2008 compared to the three months ended September 30, 2007. Salaries and employee benefit expense increased \$1.8 million or 18 percent primarily attributable to the effects of increased full-time equivalent staff levels as a result of the IBT acquisition, year-end merit increases and higher incentive accruals. Occupancy, furniture and equipment expense increased by \$0.4 million or 14 percent during the three months ended September 30, 2008 as compared to the same period 2007 as a result of the net acquisition of eight new branches with the IBT acquisition, and one de novo branch opened by S&T during the period. Data processing expense increased \$0.2 million or 16 percent in the third quarter of 2008 as compared to the third quarter of 2007 as a result of higher activity levels, offset by the renegotiation of the current contract and a change in data communication processes.

Marketing expenses increased \$0.3 million or 46 percent as a result of additional promotions associated with the IBT acquisition. Amortization of intangibles increased \$0.3 million or 404 percent due to the IBT acquisition. Other noninterest expense increased \$1.2 million or 35 percent during the third quarter of 2008 as compared to the same period in 2007, and is primarily attributable to a \$0.6 million increase in amortization for affordable housing limited partnerships, a \$0.2 million increase in telephone expense due to a change in data communications processes, a \$0.2 million increase in postage and supplies expense primarily associated with the IBT acquisition and a \$0.2 million increase in the reserve for unfunded loan commitments.

S&T s efficiency ratio, which measures noninterest expense as a percent of noninterest income plus net interest income on a fully taxable equivalent basis, excluding security gains, was 44 percent for the three months ended September 30, 2008 and 2007.

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

Federal Income Taxes

Federal income tax expense decreased \$0.7 million or 12 percent in the third quarter of 2008 as compared to the third quarter of 2007. The effective tax rate for the third quarter of 2008 was 25 percent and 28 percent for 2007, which is below the 35 percent statutory rate due to benefits resulting from tax-exempt interest, excludable dividend income and the tax benefits associated with LIHTC and Federal Historic Tax Credit projects. S&T currently does not incur any alternative minimum tax.

Critical Accounting Policies and Judgments

S&T s consolidated financial statements are prepared based upon the application of certain critical accounting policies affecting accounts such as securities, income taxes, joint ventures, allowance for loan losses, mortgage servicing rights valuations and goodwill and other intangibles. Certain of these policies require numerous estimates and strategic or economic assumptions that may prove inaccurate or subject to variations and may significantly affect S&T s reported results and financial position for the period or in future periods. Changes in underlying factors, assumptions or estimates in any of these areas could have a material impact on S&T s future financial condition and results of operations. S&T s critical accounting policies are presented in Management s Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations in S&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K, as filed with the SEC on February 29, 2008. There have been no material changes in S&T s critical accounting policies since December 31, 2007.

Safe Harbor Statement under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995

This Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q contains or incorporates statements that we believe are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements generally relate to our financial condition, results of operations, plans, objectives, future performance or business. Such statements usually can be identified by the use of forward-looking language such as will likely result, may, are expected to, is anticipated, estimate, forecast, projected, intends to or other similar words. You should not place undo on these statements, as they are subject to risks and uncertainties, including but not limited to those described in this Form 10-Q or the documents incorporated by reference. When considering these forward-looking statements, you should keep in mind these risks and uncertainties, as well as any cautionary statements we may make. Moreover, you should treat these statements as speaking only as of the date they are made and based only on information then actually known to us. We undertake no obligation to update publicly any forward-looking statements, whether as a result of new information, future events or otherwise.

These forward-looking statements are based on current expectations, estimates and projections about S&T s business, management s beliefs and assumptions made by management. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and involve certain risks, uncertainties and assumptions (Future Factors), which are difficult to predict. Therefore, actual outcomes and results may differ materially from what is expressed or forecasted in these forward-looking statements.

changes in interest rates, spreads on earning assets and interest-bearing liabilities, the shape of the yield curve and interest rat sensitivity;

credit losses;

Future Factors include:

sources of liquidity;
legislation affecting the financial services industry as a whole, and/or S&T and its subsidiaries individually or collectively;
regulatory supervision and oversight, including required capital levels;
increasing price and product/service competition by competitors, including new entrants;
rapid technological developments and changes;
the ability to continue to introduce competitive new products and services on a timely, cost-effective basis;
the mix of products/services;

33

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF

OPERATIONS continued

containing costs and expenses;
governmental and public policy changes, including environmental regulations;
reliance on large customers;
technological, implementation and cost/financial risks in large, multi-year contracts;
the outcome of pending and future litigation and governmental proceedings;
continued availability of financing;
financial resources in the amounts, at the times and on the terms required to support our future businesses;
changes in the local economy in western-Pennsylvania area;
managing our internal growth and acquisitions in general and the integration of the recent IBT acquisition in particular;
volatility and disruption in national and international financial markets;
government intervention in the U.S. financial system; and
general economic or business conditions, either nationally or regionally, may be less favorable than expected, resulting in other things, a reduced demand for credit and other services.

among

These are representative of the Future Factors that could affect the outcome of the forward-looking statements. In addition, such statements could be affected by general industry and market conditions and growth rates, general economic conditions, including interest rate and currency exchange rate fluctuations, and other Future Factors.

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

ALCO monitors and manages interest-rate sensitivity through gap, rate shock analysis and simulations in order to avoid unacceptable earnings fluctuations due to interest rate changes. S&T s gap model includes certain management assumptions based upon past experience and the expected behavior of customers. The assumptions include principal prepayments for fixed rate loans, mortgage-backed securities and collateralized mortgage obligations, and classifying the demand, savings and money market balances by degree of interest-rate sensitivity.

The gap and cumulative gap represent the net position of assets and liabilities subject to repricing in specified time periods, as measured by a ratio of rate sensitive assets to rate sensitive liabilities. The table below shows the amount and timing of repricing assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2008.

(dollars in thousands)	Interest Rate Sensitivity September 30, 2008			
GAP	1-6 Months	7-12 Months	13-24 Months	>2 Years
Repricing Assets:				
Cash/Due From Banks	\$	\$	\$	\$ 67,872
Securities	98,262	67,601	99,645	202,071
Other Investments	29,265			
Net Loans	1,648,007	277,445	449,494	1,160,406
Other Assets				361,017
Total	1,775,534	345,046	549,139	1,791,366
Repricing Liabilities:				
Demand				600,246
NOW	27,597	27,597	55,194	110,390
Money Market	215,192			
Savings	668,845	25,143	50,286	100,572
Certificates	635,774	239,213	193,141	182,692
Repos & Short-term Borrowings	552,506			
Long-term Borrowings	142,630	20,506	75,748	42,037
Other Liabilities/Equity				495,776
Total	2,242,544	312,459	374,369	1,531,713
	· · ·	·	,	
Gap	(467,010)	32,587	174,770	259,653
r	(127,020)	- 2,007	= 2 - 3, 2 - 3	=22,000
Cumulative GAP	\$ (467,010)	\$ (434,423)	\$ (259,653)	\$

	September 30,	December 31,
Rate Sensitive Assets/Rate Sensitive Liabilities	2008	2007
Cumulative 6 months	0.79	0.78
Cumulative 12 months	0.83	0.81

S&T s one-year gap position at September 30, 2008 indicates a liability sensitive position. This means that more liabilities than assets will reprice during the measured time frames. The implications of a liability sensitive position will differ depending upon the change in market interest rates. For example, with a liability sensitive position in a declining interest rate environment, more liabilities than assets will decrease in rate. This situation could result in an increase to our interest rate spreads, net interest income and operating income. Conversely, with a liability sensitive position in a rising interest rate environment, more liabilities than assets will increase in rate. This situation could result in a decrease to our interest rate spreads, net interest income and operating income.

35

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK continued

In addition to the gap analysis, S&T performs rate shock analyses on a static balance sheet to estimate the effect that specific interest-rate changes would have on 12 months of pretax net interest income. The rate shock incorporates management assumptions regarding the level of interest rate changes on non-maturity deposit products (savings, money market and NOW and demand deposits) and changes in the prepayment behavior of fixed rate loans and securities with optionality. Inclusion of these assumptions makes rate shock analysis more useful than gap analysis alone. The table below shows the results of the rate shock analyses.

Change in Pretax net interest income	Immediate Char	Immediate Change in Rates	
	+300		
(dollars in millions)	bps	-300 bps	
September 30, 2008	\$ (3.1)	\$ (1.5)	
December 31, 2007	\$ (4.4)	\$ (2.9)	

The results in the 300 basis point shock scenario are not consistent with a liability sensitive gap position, which would indicate an increase in net interest income. This is primarily due to: (1) rates on regular savings, NOW and money market accounts lagged when short-term rates increased and cannot be decreased to any great extent as rates go down; and (2) loan refinance activity will be considerable in a rates down interest rate scenario. Since December 2007 short-term rates have decreased by 225 basis points. With interest rates at this low level, variable rate loans, short duration time deposits, core deposits, and short-term variable rate borrowings are not repriced at the full -300 basis pints in shock down scenarios. As a result these variable rate assets and funding sources hit floors quickly while fixed rate assets continue to reprice at the -300 basis point rate reduction. Comparing September 2008 to December 2007, the relative improvement in net interest income in the -300 basis point shock scenario is mainly due to an increase in short-term variable wholesale funding that reprices more quickly in rates down.

Consistent with a liability sensitive gap position, the +300 rate shock results show pretax net interest income decreasing in an increasing interest rate environment. Comparing September 2008 to December 2007, the relative improvement is due to the refinement of core deposit rate change sensitivity assumptions and an increase in rate sensitive assets, offset by an increase in variable rate funding. Rate change sensitivity assumptions utilized in modeling core deposits in rate shock scenarios were refined to reflect historical experience. Historical data indicates that as market rates increase, core deposit rates did not increase as much as previously assumed.

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures

Our management, with the participation of our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, evaluated the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2008. The term disclosure controls and procedures, as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (Exchange Act), means controls and other procedures of a company that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is recorded, processed, summarized and reported, within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms. Disclosure controls and procedures include, without limitation, controls and procedures designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by a company in the reports that it files or submits under the Exchange Act is accumulated and communicated to the company s management, including its principal executive and principal financial officers, as appropriate to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosure. Our management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving their objectives and our management necessarily applies its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. Based on the evaluation of our disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2008, our Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that, as of such date, our disclosure controls and procedures were effective at the reasonable assurance level.

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES continued

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There were no changes in S&T s internal controls over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the third quarter of 2008 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, S&T s internal control over financial reporting.

PART II

OTHER INFORMATION

Item 1. Legal Proceedings.

Not Applicable

Item 1A. Risk Factors.

Risk factors are presented in Item 1A of S&T s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2007, as filed with the SEC on February 29, 2008. Management believes that there have been no material changes in S&T s risk factors since December 31, 2007.

Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds.

Not Applicable

Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities.

Not Applicable

Item 4. Submission of Matters to a Vote of Security Holders.

Not Applicable

Item 5. Other Information.

Not Applicable

Item 6. Exhibits

Exhibit 31.1

Certification of the Chief Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Filed herewith.

Exhibit 31.2

Certification of the Chief Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Filed herewith.

Exhibit 32

Certification for Todd D. Brice, Chief Executive Officer, and Robert E. Rout, Chief Financial Officer, pursuant to Rule 13a-14(b) and Rule 15d-14(b) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended. Filed herewith.

37

S&T BANCORP, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

Exhibit 99.1

The unaudited pro forma condensed consolidated financial statements of S&T and IBT as of and for the three months ended March 31, 2008 and for the year ended December 31, 2007. Filed as Exhibit 99.3 to S&T s Current Report on Form 8-K, filed on August 18, 2008 and incorporated by reference herein.

38

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

S&T Bancorp, Inc.

(Registrant)

Date: November 5, 2008

/s/ Wendy S. Bell Wendy S. Bell Chief Accounting Officer

39