TENGASCO INC Form 10-Q August 11, 2006 U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission	
Washington, D.C. 20549	
Form 10-Q	
QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15(d)	OF
THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934	
For the Quarterly period ended <u>June 30, 2006</u>	
Commission File No <u>. 0-20975</u>	
Tengasco, Inc. and Subsidiaries	
(Exact name of issuer as specified in its charter)	
<u>Tennessee</u> State or other jurisdiction of	87-0267438 (IRS Employer Identification No.)
Incorporation or organization	
10215 Technology Drive N.W. Suite 301	
Knoxville, TN 37932	
(Address of principal executive offices)	

(865-675-1554)

(Issuer s telephone number, including area code)

Indicate by check mark whether the issuer (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Exchange Act during the

preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.
Yes X No_
Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is an accelerated filer (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No X
Indicate the number of shares outstanding of each of the issuer s classes of common stock, as of the latest practicable date 58,959,621 common shares at July 31, 2006.

TENGASCO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I.	FINANCIAL INFORMATION	PAGE
	ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS * Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2006 and December 31, 2005	
		3-4
	* Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005	
	<u> </u>	5
	* Condensed Consolidated Statements of Stockholders	
		6
	* Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and 2005	
		7
	* Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8-16
	ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS	
		16-23
	ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK	
		24
	ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES	25
PART II.	OTHER INFORMATION	
	ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS	
		25-26
	ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS	
		26-27
	ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION	27
	ITEM 6. EXHIBITS	28

*	SIGNATURES	28
*	CERTIFICATIONS	29-32

TENGASCO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

ASSETS

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(unaudited)	
Assets		
Current Cash and cash equivalents Accounts receivable Participant receivables Inventory Other current assets	\$ 267,696 1,098,910 28,374 504,093 11,056	\$ 260,969 1,154,405 9,777 496,331 6,056
Total current assets	1,910,129	1,927,538
Restricted cash (Note 9) Loan fees (Note 8)	120,500 236,651	- -
Oil and gas properties, net (on the basis		
of full cost accounting)	10,115,081	9,675,877
Pipeline facilities, net Other property and equipment, net	13,722,703 274,857	13,994,453 310,748
	\$ 26,379,921	\$ 25,908,616

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

TENGASCO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

	June 30, 2006	December 31, 2005
	(Unaudited)	
Current liabilities		
Current maturities of long-term debt	\$ 54,751	\$ 58,867
Accounts payable Other accrued liabilities	649,078 379,151	597,278 281,737
Drilling program (Note 6)	-	2,324,400
Total current liabilities	1,082,980	3,262,282
Asset retirement obligations (Note 7)	572,366	566,968
Long term debt, less current maturities (Note 8)	1,503,046	117,912
Total liabilities	3,158,392	3,947,162
Stockholders equity Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares;		
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares;	58.960	58.605
	58,960 54,422,949	58,605 54,200,345
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 58,959,621 and 58,604,678 shares issued and outstanding	*	
Common stock, \$.001 par value; authorized 100,000,000 shares; 58,959,621 and 58,604,678 shares issued and outstanding Additional paid-in capital	54,422,949	54,200,345

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

TENGASCO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS

(Unaudited)

	For the Three Mor 2006	oths Ended <u>June 30</u> , 2005	For the Six Months 2006	Ended <u>June 30,</u> 2005
Revenues and other income Oil and gas revenues Pipeline transportation revenues Interest income	\$ 2,328,275 22,700 3,761	\$ 1,589,693 21,973 431	\$ 4,404,417 45,491 3,797	\$ 2,997,342 44,655 1,118
Total revenues and other income	2,354,736	1,612,097	4,453,705	3,043,115
Cost and other deductions Production costs and taxes Depletion, depreciation and amortization Interest expense General and administrative cost Public relations Professional fees	811,342 405,893 26,948 339,621 22,369 27,794	696,361 477,846 196,918 315,740 546 57,226	1,666,852 811,779 49,037 737,846 24,081 126,994	1,481,483 957,154 352,990 606,850 1,181 194,348
Total cost and other deductions	1,633,967	1,744,637	3,416,589	3,594,006
Net income/loss	\$ 720,769	\$ (132,540)	\$ 1,037,116	\$ (550,891)
Net income/loss per share				
Basic and diluted:				
Operations	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)
Total	\$ 0.01	\$ (0.00)	\$ 0.02	\$ (0.01)

Shares used in computing Earnings Per Share

Basic Diluted	58,823,714 60,249,141	48,677,828 48,677,828	58,715,015 60,140,442	48,677,828 48,677,828
See accompanying notes to co	ondensed consolidated financial stat	ements		
5				

TENGASCO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS EQUITY

(Unaudited)

	Common Stock Shares	Amount	Additional Paid in Capital	Accumulated Deficit	<u>Total</u>
Balance at December 31, 2005	58,604,678	\$ 58,605	\$ 54,200,345	\$ (32,297,496)	\$ 21,961,454
Net income	-	-	-	1,037,116	1,037,116
Options & compensation expense					
Common stock issued for exercise of warrants	344,500	345	217,915	-	218,260
	10,443	10	4,689	-	4,699
Balance June 30, 2006 (Unaudited)	58,959,621	\$ 58,960	\$ 54,422,949	\$ (31,260,380)	\$ 23,221,529

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

TENGASCO, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES

CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS

	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 (unaudited)	For the Six Months Ended June 30, 2005 (unaudited)
Operating activities		
Net Income/Loss	\$ 1,037,116	\$ (550,891)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash	Ψ 1,027,110	Ψ (000,001)
sed in operating activities:		
Depletion, depreciation, and amortization	811,779	957,154
Accretion of redeemable shares	-	246,007
Accretion on Asset Retirement Obligation	34,386	39,007
Gain on extinguishment of Asset Retirement Obligation	-	(72,399)
(Gain)/loss on sale of vehicles/equipment	(22,565)	12,670
Gain on sale of pipeline facilities	-	(17,605)
Changes in assets and liabilities:		
Accounts receivable	55,495	(27,564)
Compensation and services paid in stock options	80,780	- · ·
Participant receivables	(18,597)	54,197
Other current assets	(5,000)	41,079
Inventory	(7,762)	(83,678)
Accounts payable	51,800	110,291
Accrued interest payable	-	(18,367)
Other accrued liabilities	97,414	19,850
Settlement on Asset Retirement Obligations	(28,889)	(18,004)
Net cash provided by operating activities	2,085,957	691,747
Investing activities		
Investing activities Additions to other property & equipment	(59,239)	(86,583)
Restricted cash	(120,500)	(00,000 <i>)</i>
Decrease to other property & equipment	27,915	
Net additions to oil and gas properties	(1,956,604)	(219,934)
Sale of Kansas gas field (See Note 8)	-	2,350,000
Drilling program portion of additional drilling	1,067,400	-
Increase/decrease in pipeline facilities	(250)	97,395
increase/decrease in pipeline facilities	(230)	
Net cash provided by (used in) investing activities	(1,041,278)	2,140,878
Financing activities		
Proceeds from exercise of options/warrants	142,179	-
Proceeds from borrowings	1,452,783	106,721
Loan fees	(236,651)	(0.400.400)
Repayments of borrowings	(71,863)	(2,438,630)

Decrease in Drilling Program liability Dividends paid on redeemable liabilities	(2,324,400)	(658,351) (8,000)
Net cash (used in) financing activities	(1,037,952)	(2,998,260)
Net change in cash and cash equivalents Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	6,727 260,969	(165,635) 267,735
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	\$ 267,696	\$ 102,100

See accompanying notes to condensed consolidated financial statements

Tengasco, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements

(Unaudited)

(1) Basis of Presentation

The accompanying unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements have been prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles for interim financial information and with the instructions to Form 10-Q and Item 210 of Regulation S-X. Accordingly, they do not include all of the information and footnotes required by generally accepted accounting principles for complete financial statements. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of only normal recurring accruals) considered necessary for a fair presentation have been included. Operating results for the six months ended June 30, 2006 are not necessarily indicative of the results that may be expected for the year ended December 31, 2006. No income tax expense was recognized for the six months ended June 30, 2006 because deferred tax benefits, derived from the Company s prior net operating losses, were previously fully-reserved and are being offset against liabilities that would otherwise arise from the results of current operations. Additionally, deferred income tax assets and liabilities are not reflected in the Company s financial statements, as management is unable to determine the future value of the net operating loss carry-forwards. Certain prior year amounts have been reclassified to conform with current year presentation. For further information, refer to the Company s consolidated financial statements and footnotes thereto included in the Company s annual report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2005.

(2) Earnings per Share

In accordance with Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 128, Earnings Per Share (SFAS 128), basic income/loss per share are based on 58,823,714 and 48,677,828 weighted average shares outstanding for the quarters ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005 respectively, and 58,715,015 and 48,677,828 for the six months ended June 30, 2006 and June 30, 2005 respectively. Diluted earnings per common share is computed by dividing income available to common shareholders by the weighted-average number of shares of common stock outstanding during the period increased to include the number of additional shares of common stock that would have been outstanding if the dilutive potential shares of common stock had been issued. The dilutive effect of outstanding options and warrants is reflected in diluted earnings per share. The dilutive shares outstanding are 1,425,427 related to options and warrants.

The Company adopted the disclosure provision of the Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS or statement) No.148, Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation-Transition and Disclosure (SFAS 148), which amends SFAS No. 123 Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation, (SFAS 123). SFAS 148 provides alternative methods of transition for a voluntary change

to the fair value based method of accounting for stock-based employee compensation, which was originally provided under SFAS 123. The Statement also improves the timeliness of disclosures by requiring the information be included in interim, as well as annual, financial statements.

The Company issued 740,000 stock options on September 9, 2005 to officers and directors and granted 200,000 stock options in January 2006. The Company also granted 26,500 shares to the members of the Audit Committee for services. The Company calculated the fair value per share of options granted using the Black Scholes pricing model. Compensation expense relating to stock options and shares granted in the amount of \$53,374 was recognized in the first quarter of 2006 and 27,406 in the second quarter of 2006. The Company used an expected volatility of 60%, a risk free interest rate of 3.67%; and an expected option life of 2-1/2 years to calculate the compensation expense amount.

(3) Recent Accounting Pronouncements

In December 2004, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (FASB) published Statement of Financial Accounting Standards (SFAS) No. 123 (revised 2004), (SFAS 123(R)) Share Based Payment . SFAS 123(R) establishes standards for the accounting for transactions in which an entity exchanges its equity instruments for goods or services. SFAS 123(R) eliminates the ability to account for share-based compensation transactions using APB Opinion No. 25 (APB 25), Accounting for Stock Issued to Employees , and generally requires that such transactions be accounted for using a fair-value-based method. This statement is effective for fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2005. SFAS 123(R) applies to all awards granted after the required effective date and to awards modified, repurchased, or cancelled after that date and as a consequence, future employee stock option grants and other stock based compensation plans will be recorded as expense over the requisite service period of the award based on their fair values at the date the stock based compensation is granted. The cumulative effect of initially applying SFAS 123(R) is to be recognized as of the required effective date using a modified prospective method. Under the modified prospective method the Company will recognize stock-based compensation expense from July 1, 2005 as if the fair value based accounting method had been used to account for all outstanding unvested employee awards granted, modified or settled in prior years. The Company adopted SFAS 123(R) in 2005 and recognized \$84,030 in compensation expense for options granted in 2005. The Company will recognize \$112,040 in 2006 and 2007 in compensation expense relating to these options granted in 2005 and \$55,344 relating to options granted in 2006. The ultimate impact on results of operation and financial position in future years will depend upon the level of stock-based compensation granted.

In May 2005, the FASB issued SFAS No. 154, Accounting Changes and Error Correction a replacement of APB Opinion No. 20 and FASB Statement No. 3. This statement changes the requirements for the accounting for and reporting of a change in accounting principle and applies to all voluntary changes in accounting principle. It also applies to changes required by an accounting pronouncement in the unusual instance that the pronouncement does not include specific transition provisions. When a pronouncement includes specific transition provisions, those provisions should be followed. APB No. 20 required that most voluntary changes in accounting principle be recognized by including in net income of the period of the change the cumulative effect of changing to the new accounting principle. This statement requires retrospective application to prior period financial statements of changes in accounting principle, unless it is impracticable to determine either the period-specific effects or the cumulative effect of the change. The provisions of SFAS No. 154 are effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2005. The Company does not expect that the adoption of SFAS No. 154 will have an impact on the Company s financial statements.

(4) Related Party Transactions

On May 18, 2004, Dolphin Offshore Partners L.P. (Dolphin) loaned the Company \$2,500,000 bearing interest at 12% per annum with interest payable monthly beginning June 18, 2004 and principal payable on May 20, 2005, which loan was secured by a lien on the Company s Tennessee and Kansas producing properties and the Tennessee pipeline. Peter E. Salas, the Chairman of the Company s Board of Directors and the sole shareholder and controlling person of Dolphin Management, Inc. the general partner of Dolphin, negotiated the terms of the loans directly with management, which terms were approved by management in view of the Company s immediate needs, financial condition and prospective alternatives and under circumstances in which Dolphin was not generally engaged in the business of lending money. These loans were made on terms that management believed were at least as favorable to the Company as it could have obtained through arms-length negotiations with unaffiliated third parties.

On December 30, 2004, Dolphin loaned the Company \$550,000 bearing interest at 12% per annum with interest payable monthly and principal payable on May 20, 2005, which loan was secured by lien on the Company s Tennessee and Kansas properties. On March 4, 2005, Dolphin was paid \$2,350,000 from the proceeds received from the sale of the Company s Kansas gas field to reduce the principal of the promissory note dated May 18, 2004 in the original amount of \$2,500,000, to \$150,000. With this payment, the combined balances owed on the two outstanding notes to Dolphin at March 31, 2005 became \$700,000.

On May 19, 2005 these two notes were replaced with a single new note to Dolphin for \$700,000 payable on August 20, 2005. By an amended and restated note dated August 18, 2005, the due date of the note was extended on the same terms as the existing note from August 20, 2005 to December 31, 2005

On August 22, 2005 all holders of the Company's Series B and C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series B and Series C shares"), having an aggregate value of \$5,113,045 consisting of face value, dividends, and interest exchanged all rights under their Series B and C shares for cash or for the Company's common stock. Holders of approximately 53.2% of the face value of outstanding Series B and C shares exchanged their preferred shares having an aggregate value of \$2,721,140 for cash payments totaling \$1,814,184. The Company borrowed the sum of \$1,814,000 from Dolphin to fund this exchange of cash for Series B and C Preferred Stock. (See Note 5 to the Financial Statements). The loan from Dolphin was evidenced by a secured promissory note bearing 12% interest per annum payable interest only monthly until the principal amount of the note became due on December 31, 2005. As a result of the exchange, as of August 22, 2005 the Company no longer had any holders of Series B or C preferred stock and no further obligations under any Series B or C shares. On October 5, 2005, Hoactzin Partners, L.P. ("Hoactzin") surrendered to the Company the two outstanding promissory notes dated December 30, 2004 and August 22, 2005 made by the Company to Dolphin in the aggregate principal amount of \$2,514,000. In exchange for the surrender of these notes, the Company entered into an agreement granting Hoactzin a 94.3% working interest in a twelve-well drilling program to be undertaken by the Company on its properties in Kansas. The Company retains the remaining 5.7% working interest in the drilling program.

On June 29, 2006 the Company used \$1.393 million of the proceeds of a \$2.6 million loan from Citibank Texas, N.A. (See Note 8 to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements, below) to exercise the Company s option to repurchase from Hoactzin the Company s obligation to drill for Hoactzin the final six wells of the Company s 12-well Kansas drilling program. The controlling person of Hoactzin is Dolphin Advisors, LLC, an entity controlled by Peter E. Salas, the Company s Chairman of the Board. If the Company had not exercised its repurchase option, Hoactzin would have received a 94% working interest in the final six wells of the program until payout as established in the terms of the drilling program. However, as a result of the terms of the repurchase option exercised by the Company on June 29, 2006 Hoactzin will receive only a 6.25% overriding royalty in the next six Company wells to be drilled, plus an additional 6.25% overriding royalty in the six program wells that have previously been drilled. As a further result of the repurchase, the 12-well program was converted into a 6-well program, and because six wells have already been drilled by the Company as of June 30, 2006 the drilling obligation in this program is satisfied. Consequently, as of June 30, 2006, all well-drilling obligations of the Company owed to participants

have been satisfied as to both the 8-well drilling program (offered to the former Company s Series A preferred stockholders) and the 12-well drilling program (offered to Hoactzin and converted to a 6-well program upon the Company s repurchase of the obligation to drill the last six wells as described above). The participants will continue to receive the agreed upon revenues allocable to their working interest until payout under the programs occur, at which time the Company will begin to receive a management fee of 85% of those working interest proceeds for the remaining life of the wells.

(5) Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock and Drilling Program

The Company issued three classes of preferred stock (Series A, Series B and Series C). Shares of both Series A and B of Preferred Stock were immediately convertible into shares of Common Stock. Each \$100 liquidation preference share of preferred stock was convertible at a rate of \$7.00 for the Series A per share of common stock. For the Series B, the conversion rate was the average market price of the Company s common stock for 30 days before the sale of the Series B preferred stock with a minimum conversion price of \$9.00 per share. The conversion rate was subject to downward adjustment for certain events.

During 2002, the Board of Directors authorized the sale of up to 50,000 shares of Series C Preferred Stock at \$100 per share. The Company issued 14,491 shares, resulting in net proceeds after commissions of \$1,303,168. The Series C Preferred Stock accrued a 6% cumulative dividend on the outstanding balance, payable quarterly. This stock was convertible into the Company s common stock at the average stock trading price 30 days prior to the closing of the sales of all the Series C Preferred Stock being offered or \$5.00 per share, whichever was greater. The Company was required to redeem any remaining Series C Preferred Stock and any accrued and unpaid dividends in May 2007.

The Company adopted the provisions of SFAS No. 150 Accounting for Certain Financial Instruments with Characteristics of Both Liabilities and Debt (SFAS 150) on July 1, 2003. Under SFAS 150, mandatorily redeemable preferred stock shall be reclassified at fair value to a liability. The Company determined that each of the Series A, Series B and Series C preferred stock qualify as shares subject to mandatory redemption, and as such, were reclassified as liabilities upon adoption

of SFAS150. Accordingly, the difference between the carrying amount at the date of adoption and the fair value of the shares (discounted at rates between 12% and 12.5%) was recognized as a cumulative effect of a change in accounting principle

of \$365,675 effective July 1, 2003. The difference between the carrying amount of shares subject to mandatory redemption and the face value amount of preferred stock is being accreted at rates between 12% and 12.5% into interest expense and the liability until conversion or redemption of the shares. Accretion associated with these shares subject to mandatory redemption from July 1, 2003 through December 31, 2003 was \$354,735 and \$752,003 for 2004 and \$242,007 in 2005.

In December, 2004, the Company completed an exchange offer to thirteen holders of the Company s Series A 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock in the amount of \$2,867,900. Seven of the thirteen holders elected a cash exchange option, and the face amount of \$1,085,000 of Series A shares was exchanged on or before December 31, 2004 for cash payments of \$723,370. A gain was recorded on this transaction in the amount of \$458,310, the difference between the carrying amount and the cash settlement amount. The Company obtained funds for the exchange from cash on hand and the proceeds of a loan from Dolphin. The loan from Dolphin was in the form of a secured note in principal amount of \$550,000 bearing 12% interest per annum payable interest only until due on May 20, 2005. Five of the thirteen Series A holders elected to participate in a drilling program (Drilling Program) in exchange for their preferred Shares, and on December 31, 2004 the amount of \$1,582,900 of Series A shares plus accrued dividend of \$31,658 was exchanged for approximately 6.5 units in the Drilling Program. A liability was recorded for the Drilling Program in the amount of \$1,755,603 and Shares subject to mandatory redemption was reduced by the same amount. The Drilling Program liability recorded represents the estimated fair value of the liability calculated upon adoption of SFAS 150 less accretion, from such date to the date of the exchange. The remaining 1.5 units in the Drilling Program continue to be owned by the Company.

Under the terms of the Drilling Program, the former Series A holders participating will receive all the cash flow from each of eight wells drilled until they have recovered 80% of the value of the Series A shares exchanged. At that point, the Company will begin to receive 85% of the cash flow from these wells as a management fee, and the former Series A owners will continue to receive 15% of the cash flow for the productive life of the wells.

In summary, twelve of the 13 holders of Series A preferred stock exchanged their Series A shares. As a result, as of December 31, 2004, the Company had remaining only one Series A shareholder, in face amount of \$200,000. On December 30, 2005 the Company reached an agreement to exchange the last remaining Series A 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock in the face amount of \$200,000 plus \$12,000 of accrued dividends for a cash settlement of \$145,400. The payment was made on January 3, 2006. The \$145,400 liability as of December 31, 2005 was recorded as an accrued liability on the balance sheet and a gain of \$78,324 was recorded, the difference between the carrying amount of the preferred stock and the cash settlement amount.

During 2005 the Company completed six wells of the eight well Drilling Program and completed the program drilling in the second quarter of 2006. The Company reduced the Drilling Program liability by \$1,755,602 and offset oil and gas properties by the corresponding amount.

On August 22, 2005 all holders of the Company's Series B and C Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the "Series B and Series C shares"), having a total aggregate value of \$5,113,045 consisting of face value, dividends, and interest exchanged all rights under their Series B and C shares for cash or for the Company's common stock. As a result of the exchange, as of August 22, 2005 the Company no longer had any holders of Series B or C preferred stock and no further obligations under any Series B or C shares. Holders of approximately 53.2% of the face value of outstanding Series B and C shares exchanged their preferred shares having an aggregate value of \$2,721,140 for cash payments totaling \$1,814,184 The Company obtained the funds for this exchange primarily from proceeds of a loan of \$1,814,000 from Dolphin. The loan from Dolphin was evidenced by a secured promissory note dated August 22, 2005 bearing 12% interest per annum payable interest only monthly until the principal amount of the note was to become due on December 31, 2005. The note was exchanged for a twelve well Drilling Program on October 5, 2005. A second option offered to the Series B and C holders was to exchange their Series B and C shares for four shares of the Company's common stock for each dollar of the face value and unpaid accrued dividends and interest on their Series B and C shares. All of the holders, including Dolphin, of the remaining aggregate value of \$2,391,905 or 46.8% of the Series B and C shares selected this option. As a result, a total of 9,567,620 shares of the Company's common stock were issued to those holders. Of this total number, 4,595,040 shares of unregistered common stock were issued to Dolphin in exchange for the \$1,148,760 in aggregate value of the Series B shares held by Dolphin.

In total, the Company recorded a gain during 2005 from the exchange of Series A, B and C shares for cash and stock of \$655,746, the difference between the carrying amount and the cash settlement amount and the stock issued.

(6) Asset Retirement Obligation

In accordance with SFAS 143, the Company has recorded a liability and corresponding increase in long-lived assets for the present value of material obligations associated with the retirement of tangible long-lived assets. Over the passage of time, accretion of the liability is recognized as an operating expense and the capitalized cost is depleted over the estimated useful life of the related asset. The Company s asset retirement obligations relate primarily to the plugging, dismantling, and removal of wells drilled to date.

Management determined that the following assumptions in estimating the initial recording of the Company s Asset Retirement Obligation were appropriate: using a credit-adjusted risk free rate of 12%; an estimated useful life of wells ranging from 30-40 years; and estimated plugging and abandonment costs ranging

from \$5,000 to \$10,000 per well. Management continues to periodically evaluate the appropriateness of these assumptions.

For the six months of 2006 and 2005, the Company recorded accretion expenses of \$34,386 and \$39,007 associated with this liability. These expenses are included in interest expense in the Consolidated Statements of Operations.

On March 4, 2005 the Company sold its Kansas gas wells, and consequently the asset and the corresponding liability relating to asset retirement obligations on these wells was extinguished. The asset account was credited for \$60,998 and the liability was removed in the amount of \$133,397, creating a gain on the extinguishment of future obligations in the amount of \$72,399 which was credited to interest expense.

(7) Sale of Kansas Properties

On March 4, 2005 the Company sold its Kansas gas wells, leases and the associated gathering system in place in Rush County, Kansas to Bear Petroleum, Inc. for \$2.4 million. The Company s gas producing properties in Kansas were physically separated from the oil properties, and were all located in Rush County, Kansas consisting of 51 producing gas wells and associated gathering system. All proceeds of this sale, being the sales price less a sales commission of \$50,000, were immediately paid to Dolphin Offshore Partners, L.P. to reduce the principal of the promissory note to Dolphin in the original amount of \$2.5 million, to \$150,000. (See note 4 to the financial statements.) The Company recorded a credit to oil and gas properties of \$2,350,000, the sale price net of commission.

(8) Bank Loan

On June 29, 2006 the Company closed a \$50,000,000 revolving senior credit facility between the Company and Citibank Texas, N.A. in its own capacity and also as agent for other banks.

Under the facility, loans and letters of credit will be available to the Company on a revolving basis in an amount outstanding not to exceed the lesser of \$50,000,000 or the borrowing base in effect from time to time. The Company s initial borrowing base was set at \$2,600,000.

The initial loan under the facility with Citibank closed on June 29, 2006 in the principal amount of \$2.6 million, bearing interest at a floating rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.5%, resulting in a current rate of interest of approximately 8.2%. Interest only is payable during the term of the loan and the principal balance of the loan is due thirty-six months from closing. The facility is secured by a lien on substantially all of the Company s producing and non-producing oil and gas properties and pipeline assets.

\$1.393 million of the \$2.6 million loan proceeds was used by the Company on June 29, 2006 to exercise its option to repurchase from Hoactzin

Partners, L.P., the Company s obligation to drill the final six wells in the Company s 12-well Kansas drilling program for Hoactzin. The controlling person of Hoactzin is Dolphin Advisors, LLC, an entity controlled by Peter E. Salas, the Company s Chairman of the Board. If the Company did not exercise its repurchase option, Hoactzin would have received a 94% working interest in the final six wells of the program. As a result of the repurchase, Hoactzin will now receive only a 6.25% overriding royalty in six Company wells to be drilled, plus an additional 6.25% overriding royalty in the six program wells that have previously been drilled. As a further result of the repurchase, the 12-well program has been converted to a 6-well program, all of which have been drilled by the Company. The Company incurred closing cost consisting of legal fees, mortgage taxes, commissions and bank fees totaling \$236,651. This amount will be amortized over the term of the note.

(9) Restricted Cash

The Company placed \$120,500 in a Certificate of Deposit to cover future asset retirement obligations for the Company s Tennessee wells.

ITEM 2 MANAGEMENT S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Results of Operations and Financial Condition Kansas

During the first six months of 2006, the Company produced and sold 85,777 gross barrels of oil from its Kansas Properties comprised of 143 producing oil wells. Of the 85,777 gross barrels, 59,018 barrels were net to the Company s interest after required payments to all of the Drilling Program participants and royalty interests. The Company s first six months production of 59,018 barrels of oil compares to 44,428 barrels produced to the Company s interest in the first six months of 2005. The Company s net revenues from the Kansas properties were \$3,654,420 in the first six months of 2006 compared to \$2,181,401 in 2005. This increase was due to increased production of 14,590 barrels to the Company s interest and higher prices for oil.

Tennessee

During the first six months of 2006, the Company produced gas from 23 wells in the Swan Creek field, which it primarily sold in Kingsport, Tennessee to Eastman Chemical Company. Natural gas production from the Swan Creek field for the first six months of 2006 was an average of 406 Mcf per day during that period as compared to 483 Mcf per day in the first six months of 2005. The first six months production reflected expected natural decline in production from the existing Swan Creek gas wells which were first brought into production in mid-2001 upon completion of the Company s pipeline. For the first six months of 2006 the Company produced 4,063 barrels of oil as compared to 5,657 in 2005. This

natural decline is normal for any producing oil and gas well, and this decline as experienced on existing wells in Swan Creek was not unexpected.

Comparison of the Six Months Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

The Company recognized \$4,453,705 in total revenues from its Kansas Properties and the Swan Creek Field during the first six months of 2006 compared to \$3,043,115 in the first six months of 2005. The increase in revenues was due to an increase in oil prices in 2006 and a 14,590 barrel increase allocable to the Company s interest in oil production from the Kansas field. This Kansas oil production increase is attributable to well workovers, polymer completion workovers and the Company s portion (19%) of the eight-well Drilling Program. Oil prices in the first six months of 2006 averaged \$61.92 per barrel as compared to \$49.10 per barrel in the first six months of 2005.

The Company realized a net income attributable to common shareholders of \$1,037,116 or \$0.02 per share of common stock during the first six months of 2006, compared to a net loss in the first six months of 2005 to common shareholders of (\$550,891) or (\$0.01) per share of common stock.

Production costs and taxes in the first six months of 2006 increased to \$1,666,852 from \$1,481,483 in the first six months of 2005. The difference is due to increased workovers to increase production and overall cost increases of supplies in the industry.

Depletion, depreciation, and amortization expense for the first six months of 2006 was \$811,779 compared to \$957,154 in the first six months of 2005. This is due to a reduction in depletion. Increased production and increased reserve base resulted in this reduction in depletion. The 2005 Ryder Scott Report is the basis for the depletion calculation. Ryder Scott has performed reserve analysis of all the Company s productive leases.

During the first six months of 2006, general and administrative costs increased to \$737,846 from \$606,850 in the first six months of 2005 due mainly to \$80,780 in compensation expense charged relating to stock options.

Professional fees in the first six months of 2006 were \$126,994 compared to \$194,348 in the same period in 2005. These fees have decreased as all material litigation has been resolved.

Interest expense for the first six months of 2006 decreased to \$49,037 from \$352,990 in the first six months of 2005. The substantial decrease is the result of the payoff of the Dolphin notes and the conversion of shares subject to mandatory redemption in 2005.

Comparison of the Quarters Ended June 30, 2006 and 2005

The Company recognized \$2,354,736 in total revenues from its Kansas Properties and the Swan Creek Field during the second quarter of 2006 compared to \$1,612,097 in the second quarter of 2005. The increase in revenues was due mainly to an increase in oil prices in 2006. The Company also increased production by 8,229 barrels allocable to the Company s interest in the second quarter of 2006 over 2005 levels. Oil prices in the second quarter of 2006 averaged \$64.92 per barrel as compared to \$50.29 per barrel in the second quarter of 2005.

The Company realized a net income attributable to common shareholders of \$720,769 or \$0.01 per share of common stock during the second quarter of 2006, compared to a net loss in the second quarter of 2005 to common shareholders of (\$132,540) or (\$0.00) per share of common stock.

Production costs and taxes in the second quarter of 2006 increased to \$811,342 from \$696,361 in the second quarter of 2005. The difference is due to increased workovers to increase production and overall cost increases of supplies in the industry.

Depletion, depreciation, and amortization expense for the second quarter of 2006 was \$405,893 compared to \$477,846 in the second quarter of 2005. This is due to a reduction in depletion. Increased production and increased reserve base resulted in this reduction in depletion. The 2005 Ryder Scott Report is the basis for the depletion calculation.

During the second quarter of 2006, general and administrative costs increased to \$339,621 from \$315,740 in the second quarter of 2005 due to compensation expense charges relating to stock options.

Professional fees in the second quarter of 2006 were \$27,794 compared to \$57,226 in the same period in 2005.

Interest expense for the second quarter of 2006 decreased to \$26,948 from \$196,918 in the second quarter of 2005. The substantial decrease is the result of the payoff of the Dolphin notes and the conversion of shares subject to mandatory redemption in 2005.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

Management believes that the Company s foundation for it s future growth began to solidify in 2004. In 2004, all material litigation involving the Company was resolved, eliminating the substantial ongoing costs and expenses of such litigation. Capital restructuring began in February 2004, when the Company s rights offering to its then-shareholders successfully raised sufficient capital to pay in full all preexisting secured debt in the amount of \$3.8 million, most of which

had been obtained at relatively high interest rates. Also in early 2004 certain unsecured convertible notes entered into in 1998 in the principal amount of \$1.5 million were fully paid, and still other convertible notes entered into in 2002 in the original principal amount of \$650,000 were paid in full in March 2004. In December, 2004 the Company completed an exchange offer to the thirteen holders of all of the Company's Series A 8% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (Series A Shares) in the face value of \$2,867,900. Seven of the thirteen holders elected a cash exchange option, and the face value of \$1,085,000 of Series A Shares was exchanged for a cash payment of \$723,369. The Company obtained funds for the exchange from cash on hand and the proceeds of a loan from Dolphin Offshore Partners, L.P. (Dolphin), the Company's largest shareholder. Peter E. Salas, the Chairman of the Company's Board of Directors is the sole shareholder and controlling person of Dolphin Management, Inc., the general partner of Dolphin. The loan from Dolphin was in the form of a secured note in principal amount of \$550,000 bearing 12% interest per annum. Five of the thirteen Series A shareholders selected a drilling program exchange option and on December 31, 2004 the face value of \$1,582,900 of Series A Shares plus dividend value of \$31,658 was exchanged for 6.5 of the eight units in the Company's Eight Well Program. In December 2005 the last remaining Series A preferred shareholder exchanged his preferred stock for cash on essentially identical terms as received by the other Series A owners who had exchanged their shares for cash.

In early 2005, the Company elected to sell its gas producing properties in Rush County, Kansas for \$2.4 million and to utilize all the net proceeds of the sale to pay down the \$2.5 million debt to Dolphin incurred by the Company to fund the settlement of the litigation with the Company's former primary lender, Bank One N.A., in May, 2004. This had the effect of reducing the principal balance of the note evidencing that loan from \$2.5 million to \$150,000, correspondingly reducing the high interest payments on that note and reducing the total secured debt owed by the Company to Dolphin to approximately \$700,000 as of March 31, 2005, consisting of the \$150,000 remaining principal of the \$2.5 million note, and the principal of the \$550,000 note described above which evidenced the loan from Dolphin, the proceeds of which were used by the Company to fund the cash exchange payment for the Series A Shares. On May 19, 2005, a replacement note in the principal amount of \$700,000 bearing interest at the rate of 12% per annum evidencing this secured debt was issued by the Company to Dolphin (the \$700,000 Note).

In August 2005, all of the holders of the Company s Series B 8% and C 6% Cumulative Convertible Preferred Stock (the Series B and Series C Shares) in the total aggregate value of \$5,113,045 consisting of face value, dividends, and interest exchanged their Series B and C shares for cash or for the Company s common stock. The cash option exchange provided for a cash payment equal to 66.67% of the face value together with any unpaid accrued dividends. Holders of approximately 53.2% of the face value of outstanding Series B and C Shares selected this option, exchanging preferred shares having an aggregate value of \$2,721,140.39 for cash payments totaling \$1,814,184.

The Company obtained the funds for this exchange primarily from proceeds of a loan of \$1,814,000 from Dolphin evidenced by a secured promissory note bearing 12% interest (the \$1,814,000 Note). The second option offered to the holders of the Series B and C Shares was to exchange their Series B and C Shares for four shares of the Company s common stock for each dollar of the face value and unpaid accrued dividends and interest on their Series B and C Shares. The holders of the remaining aggregate value of \$2,391,905 or 46.8% of the Series B and C shares including Dolphin selected this option. As a result, a total of 9,567,620 shares of the Company s common stock were issued to holders of Series B and C Shares. Of this total number, 4,595,040 shares of unregistered common stock were issued to Dolphin in exchange for the \$1,148,760 in aggregate value of the Series B shares held by Dolphin. As a result of this exchange, as of August 22, 2005, the Company no longer had any Series B or C preferred stockholders and no further obligations under the Series B or C shares.

On October 5, 2005 the Company and Hoactzin Partners, L. P. (Hoactzin) signed an agreement whereby Hoactzin surrendered the \$700,000 and \$1,814,000 Notes and exchanged the Company s obligation to repay this principal amount of \$2.514 million for a 94.275% working interest in a new twelve well drilling program (the Twelve Well Program) to be undertaken by the Company on its properties in Kansas. The Company retained the 5.725% working interest in the Twelve Well Program not owned by Hoactzin. The principal of the Notes exchanged by Hoactzin represented the funds paid by the Company for the previous exchanges by holders of the Company s Series A, B, and C preferred stock of their preferred stock for cash. Under the terms of the Twelve Well Program, the Company retained an option to repurchase from Hoactzin the obligations to drill the final six wells of the Twelve Well Program for one half of the principal of notes exchanged by Hoactzin, plus interest on that amount at 6% per annum until the date of any repurchase, plus a 1/16 overriding royalty to Hoactzin on all wells drilled in the Twelve Well Program. Payout and management fee calculations would also be adjusted to reflect any reduction to a six well program. Hoactzin agreed to extend the expiration date of the repurchase option from March 31, 2006 to an indefinite future date being not later than the beginning of drilling of what would be the seventh well in the program if the repurchase option has not been exercised.

As a result of the above exchanges of preferred stock and notes for interests in the Eight and Twelve Well Programs, as of December 31, 2005, the Company had reduced its liabilities in the form of face amount of preferred stock and secured promissory notes from approximately \$16 million as of December 31, 2003 to \$0, the Company no longer had any preferred stock outstanding, and the Company no longer had any liens on any of its oil and gas properties or pipelines. The Company s only substantial liability was its contractual obligation to drill the wells in the Eight and Twelve Well Programs.

On June 29, 2006 the Company closed a \$50,000,000 revolving senior credit facility between the Company and Citibank Texas, N.A. in its own capacity and also as agent for other banks. Under the facility, loans and letters of credit will be available to the Company on a revolving basis in an amount outstanding not to exceed the lesser of \$50,000,000 or the borrowing base in effect from time to time. The Company s initial borrowing base was set at \$2,600,000. The initial loan under the facility with Citibank closed on June 29, 2006 in the principal amount of \$2.6 million, bearing interest at a floating rate equal to LIBOR plus 2.5%, resulting in a current rate of interest of approximately 8.2%. Interest only is payable during the term of the loan and the principal balance of the loan is due thirty-six months from closing. The facility is secured by a lien on substantially all of the Company s producing and non-producing oil and gas properties and pipeline assets.

On June 29, 2006 the Company used \$1.393 million of the proceeds of the \$2.6 million loan to exercise the Company s option to repurchase from Hoactzin Partners, L.P., the Company s obligation to drill for Hoactzin the final six wells of the Company s Twelve Well Program. The controlling person of Hoactzin is Dolphin Advisors, LLC, an entity controlled by Peter E. Salas, the Company s Chairman of the Board. If the Company had not exercised its repurchase option, Hoactzin would have received a 94% working interest in the final six wells of the Program until payout as established in the terms of the drilling program. However, as a result of the terms of the repurchase option exercised by the Company on June 29, 2006 Hoactzin will receive only a 6.25% overriding royalty in the next six Company wells to be drilled, plus an additional 6.25% overriding royalty in the six Program Wells that have previously been drilled. As a further result of the repurchase, the Twelve Well Program was converted into a six well program, and because six wells have already been drilled by the Company as of June 30, 2006 the drilling obligation in this program is satisfied. Consequently, as of June 30, 2006, all well-drilling obligations of the Company owed to participants have been satisfied as to both the Eight Well Program (offered to the former Series A preferred stockholders) and the Twelve Well Program (offered to Hoactzin and converted to a six well program upon the Company s repurchase of the obligation to drill the last six wells as described above). The participants will continue to receive the agreed upon revenues allocable to their working interest until payout under the program occurs, when the Company will begin to receive a management fee of 85% of those working interest proceeds for the remaining life of the wells.

As of June 30, 2006 the Company completed a total reworking of its balance sheets that has been ongoing since February 2004. The Company has resolved all major litigation and eliminated the accompanying legal fees. The Company s successful rights offering in February 2004 raised capital to pay off substantial debt. The Company also sold its small block of gas properties in Kansas obtaining a dollar benefit of high gas prices reflected in the \$2.4 million sales price while simultaneously eliminating the high operating expenses of those properties. The Company used proceeds of the gas property sale to further pay down debt. The Company has also met its obligations to all of its preferred

stockholders by exchange their preferred stock either for cash, stock, or drilling program interests, and has accordingly cancelled all of the Series A, B, and C preferred stock. The Company has completed all of its drilling program obligations out of its own cash flow from operations and without additional borrowing for drilling. The Company continues to successfully rework existing wells, and to drill new oil wells in Kansas and is acquiring additional lease acreage to increase production and to grow its reserves through the drill bit. During this time the Company has benefited from the currently high commodity prices for oil and gas and has used higher prices and increasing production volumes to conservatively fuel the reworking of the balance sheets and to prepare the Company for those times in the future when commodity prices may not be as favorable, which is a part of the business cycle that is well known and almost universally expected to some degree as an element of the oil and gas industry. The Company success in turning around its financial condition has enabled the Company to close the senior credit facility with Citibank Texas, N.A. in June 2006 thus meeting a primary Company goal to establish a commercial banking relationship with an established energy lender that will serve the Company as it continues to grow. In less than two years the Company has gone from total liabilities as of December 31, 2003 of about \$19.4 million to approximately \$3.2 million as of June 30, 2006, which includes the loan obligation to Citibank Texas. Management believes that the Company is now well situated to enter into a new period of development and growth.

Critical Accounting Policies

The Company prepares its Consolidated Financial Statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America, which requires the Company to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosures of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the year. Actual results could differ from those estimates. The Company considers the following policies to be the most critical in understanding the judgments that are involved in preparing the Company s financial statements and the uncertainties that could impact the Company s results of operations, financial condition and cash flows.

Revenue Recognition

The Company recognizes revenues based on actual volumes of oil and gas sold and delivered to its customers. Natural gas meters are placed at the customers locations and usage is billed each month. Crude oil is stored and at the time of delivery to the purchasers, revenues are recognized.

Full Cost Method of Accounting

The Company follows the full cost method of accounting for oil and gas property acquisition, exploration, and development activities. Under this method, all productive and non-productive costs incurred in connection with the acquisition of, exploration for, and development of oil and gas reserves for each cost center are capitalized. Capitalized costs include lease acquisitions, geological and geophysical work, daily rentals and the costs of drilling, completing and equipping oil and gas wells. Costs, however, associated with production and general corporate activities are expensed in the period incurred. Interest costs related to unproved properties and properties under development are also capitalized to oil and gas properties. Gains or losses are recognized only upon sales or dispositions of significant amounts of oil and gas reserves representing an entire cost center. Proceeds from all other sales or dispositions are treated as reductions to capitalized costs. The capitalized oil and gas property, less accumulated depreciation, depletion and amortization and related deferred income taxes, if any, are generally limited to an amount (the ceiling limitation) equal to the sum of: (a) the present value of estimated future net revenues computed by applying current prices in effect as of the balance sheet date (with consideration of price changes only to the extent provided by contractual arrangements) to estimated future production of proved oil and gas reserves, less estimated future expenditures (based on current costs) to be incurred in developing and producing the reserves using a discount factor of 10% and assuming continuation of existing economic conditions; and (b) the cost of investments in unevaluated properties excluded from the costs being amortized. No ceiling write-downs were recorded in 2006 or 2005.

Oil and Gas Reserves/ Depletion, Depreciation,

And Amortization of Oil and Gas Properties

The capitalized costs of oil and gas properties, plus estimated future development costs relating to proved reserves and estimated costs of plugging and abandonment, net of estimated salvage value, are amortized on the unit-of-production method based on total proved reserves. The costs of unproved properties are excluded from amortization until the properties are evaluated, subject to an annual assessment of whether impairment has occurred. The Company owns no unproved properties as of the date of this Report.

The Company s proved oil and gas reserves as at December 31, 2005 were estimated by Ryder Scott, L.P., Petroleum Consultants. Projecting the effects of commodity prices on production and timing of development expenditures include many factors beyond the Company s control. The future estimates of net cash flows from the Company s reserves and their present value are based upon various assumptions about future production levels, prices, and costs that may prove to be incorrect over time. Any significant variance from assumptions could result in the actual future net cash flows being materially different from the estimates.

ITEM 3 QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURE ABOUT MARKET RISK

Commodity Risk

The Company s major market risk exposure is in the pricing applicable to its oil and gas production. Realized pricing is primarily driven by the prevailing worldwide price for crude oil and spot prices applicable to natural gas production. Historically, prices received for oil and gas production have been volatile and unpredictable and price volatility is expected to continue. Monthly oil price realizations ranged from a low of \$40.73 per barrel to a high of \$64.00 per barrel during 2005. Gas price realizations ranged from a monthly low of \$5.02 per Mcf to a monthly high of \$14.03 per Mcf during the same period. The Company did not enter into any hedging agreements in 2005 or in 2006 to limit exposure to oil and gas price fluctuations.

Interest Rate Risk

On June 30, 2006, the Company had debt outstanding of approximately \$165,000 at a fixed rate and \$1,393,543 at a variable rate. The Company did not have any open derivative contracts relating to interest rates on June 30, 2006.

Forward-Looking Statements and Risk

Certain statements in this report, including statements of the future plans, objectives, and expected performance of the Company, are forward-looking statements that are dependent upon certain events, risks and uncertainties that may be outside the Company s control, and which could cause actual results to differ materially from those anticipated. Some of these include, but are not limited to, the market prices of oil and gas, economic and competitive conditions, inflation rates, legislative and regulatory changes, financial market conditions, political and economic uncertainties of foreign governments, future business decisions, and other uncertainties, all of which are difficult to predict.

There are numerous uncertainties inherent in estimating quantities of oil and gas reserves and in projecting future rates of production and the timing of development expenditures. The total amount or timing of actual future production may vary significantly from reserves and production estimates. The drilling of exploratory or developmental wells can involve significant risks, including those related to timing, success rates and cost overruns. Lease and rig availability, complex geology and other factors can also affect these risks. Additionally, fluctuations in oil and gas prices, or a prolonged period of low prices, may substantially adversely affect the Company s financial position, results of operations, and cash flows.

ITEM 4 CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Controls and Procedures

The Company maintains disclosure controls and procedures that are designed to ensure that information required to be disclosed by the Company in reports that it files or submits under the Securities Exchange Act, is recorded, processed, summarized and reported within the time periods specified in the SEC s rules and forms of the Securities and Exchange Commission and that such information is accumulated and communicated to our management, including the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, as appropriate, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures. In designing and evaluating the disclosure controls and procedures, management recognizes that any controls and procedures, no matter how well designed and operated, can provide only reasonable assurance of achieving the desired control objectives, and management necessarily is required to apply its judgment in evaluating the cost-benefit relationship of possible controls and procedures. As of the end of the period covered by this Report, and under the supervision and with the participation of the management, including its Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of the design and operation of these disclosure controls and procedures. Based on this evaluation and subject to the foregoing, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective in reaching a reasonable level of assurance of achieving management s desired controls and procedures objectives.

Changes in Internal Controls

During the period covered by this Report, there have not been any changes in the Company s internal controls that have materially affected or are reasonably likely to materially affect the Company s internal controls over financial reporting.

As part of a continuing effort to improve the Company's business processes, management is evaluating its internal controls and may update certain controls to accommodate any modifications to its business processes or accounting procedures.

PART II OTHER INFORMATION

ITEM 2 UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

During the second quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company issued 9,423 unregistered and restricted shares of its common stock pursuant to the exercise of warrants issued by the Company to members of the plaintiff class as part of the settlement of the action entitled <u>Paul Miller v. M. E.</u> Ratliff and Tengasco, Inc.,

United States District Court for the Eastern District of Tennessee, Knoxville, Docket Number 3:02-CV-644. Those warrants are exercisable for a period of three years from date of issue at \$0.45 per share and the warrants themselves are exempt from registration pursuant to Section 3(a) (10) of the Securities Act of 1933.

During the second quarter of fiscal 2006, the Company issued 318,000 registered and unrestricted shares upon the exercise of options granted under the Tengasco, Inc. Incentive Stock Plan including 60,000 shares each to Jeffrey R. Bailey, a Director and Chief Executive Officer of the Company, and Mark A. Ruth, the Company s Chief Financial Officer, 50,000 shares to John A. Clendening, a Director of the Company, 40,000 shares to Cary V. Sorensen, a Vice-President, Secretary and General Counsel of the Company, and 80,000 shares to Neal F. Harding, a former Director of the Company.

On June 1, 2006, the Company issued 7,500 shares of its common stock each to John A. Clendening, a Director of the Company and Neal F. Harding, a former Director of the Company and 11,500 shares to another Director, Clarke H. Bailey, for their services as members of the Company s Audit Committee in Fiscal 2005. Mr. Bailey was the Chairman of the Committee and was designated by the Board of Directors as the Company s Audit Committee financial expert as defined by applicable Securities and Exchange Commission regulations.

ITEM 4 SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS

- (a) The annual meeting of stockholders of the Company was held on April 24, 2006.
- (b) The first item voted upon was the election of Directors. Clarke H. Bailey, Jeffrey R. Bailey, John A. Clendening, Neal F. Harding, Carlos P. Salas, and Peter E. Salas were elected as Directors of the Company for a term of one year or until their successors are elected and qualified. The results of voting were as follows: 54,699,156 votes for Clarke H. Bailey and 82,347 withheld; 54,709,183 votes for Jeffrey R. Bailey and 72,320 withheld; 54,705,283 votes for John A. Clendening and 76,220 withheld; 54,705,183 votes for Neal F. Harding and 76,320 withheld; 54,550,065 votes for Carlos P. Salas and 231,438 withheld; and, 54,701,883 votes for Peter E. Salas and 79,620 withheld.

A majority of votes at the meeting having voted for them, Messrs. Clarke H. Bailey, Jeffrey R. Bailey, John A. Clendening, Neal F. Harding, Carlos P. Salas, and Peter E. Salas were duly elected as Directors of the Company.

(c) The next item voted on was a proposal to ratify the appointment by the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors of Rodefer Moss & Co, PLLC to serve as the independent certified public accountants of the Company for fiscal 2006.

The results of the voting were as follows:
54,272,104 votes for the proposal; 26,732 votes against; and 482,666 abstained.
A majority of the votes cast at the meeting having voted for the proposal, the proposal was duly passed.
No other matters were voted upon at the meeting.
ITEM 5 OTHER INFORMATION
The Company drilled two wells in Kansas during July 2006, in both of which the Company holds 100% of the working interest. Completions and evaluations are underway on both of them but are not completed as of the date of this Report. However, indications are that it is likely that each of the wells will produce commercial quantities of oil. In addition, the Company has recently acquired approximately 7,860 acres of additional leases in Kansas, in three separate areas of interest, on which several different 3D seismic exploration surveys will occur before the end of 2006. The new acreage leased also contained two existing wells with sufficient existing production, when also considering available geology, to support the Company s decision to perform additional drilling on those leases. The Company also plans to drill another 6 to 9 well on the new properties and the Company s existing Kansas properties before the end of the year 2006 as rigs become available. The Company will also own 100% of the working interests in those wells.
27

ITEM 6 EXHIBITS
(a) The following exhibits are filed with this report:
31.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to Exchange Act Rule, Rule 13a-14a/15d-14a.
31.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer, pursuant Exchange Act Rule, Rule 13a-14a/15d-14.
32.1 Certification of the Chief Executive Officer, pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2 Certification of Chief Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C Section 1350 as adopted pursuant to section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
SIGNATURES
Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934, the Registrant duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned hereto duly authorized.
Dated: August 11, 2006
TENGASCO, INC.

By: <u>s/ Jeffrey R. Bailey</u> Jeffrey R. Bailey Chief Executive Officer

By: s/ Mark A. Ruth Mark A. Ruth Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 31.1 <u>CERTIFICATION</u>

I, Jeffrey R. Bailey

1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Tengasco, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period

covered by this report;

3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the

financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;

. The Registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as

defined in Exchange Act Rules (13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:

(a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made know to us by others within those

entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared:

(b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation, and;

(c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that the occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to

materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and

5. The Registrant s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent

functions);

(a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are

reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and

(b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant s

internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 11, 2006

By: s/ Jeffrey R. Bailey

Jeffrey R. Bailey

Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 31.2 CERTIFICATION

I, Mark A. Ruth, certify that:

- 1. I have reviewed this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q of Tengasco, Inc. for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.
- 2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
- 3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other information included in this quarterly report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the Registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
- 4. The Registrant s other certifying officer(s) and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules (13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) for the registrant and have:
- (a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made know to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared:
- (b) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant s disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation, and;
- (c) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant s internal control over financial reporting that the occurred during the registrant s most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant s fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant s internal control over financial reporting; and
- 5. The Registrant s other certifying officers and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant s auditors and the audit committee of the Registrant s board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions);
- (a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the Registrant s ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
- (b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the Registrant s internal control over financial reporting.

Dated: August 11, 2006

By: s/ Mark A. Ruth

Mark A. Ruth Chief Financial Officer

Exhibit 32.1

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 I hereby certify that:

I have reviewed the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

To the best of my knowledge this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (i) fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m (a) or 78o (d)); and, (ii) the information contained in this Report fairly present, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Tengasco, Inc. and its subsidiaries during the period covered by this report.

Dated: August 11, 2006

By: s/Jeffrey R. Bailey
Jeffrey R. Bailey
Chief Executive Officer

Exhibit 32.2

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 I hereby certify that:

I have reviewed the Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2006.

To the best of my knowledge this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q (i) fully complies with the requirements of section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities and Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. 78m (a) or 78o (d)); and, (ii) the information contained in this Report fairly present, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of Tengasco, Inc. and its subsidiaries during the period covered by this report.

Dated: August 11, 2006

By: s/Mark A. Ruth

Mark A. Ruth Chief Financial Officer